# Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

## 2005-08

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-08-01 through 2005-08-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,475

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

## 1. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 00:14:36 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42ed6915.181737675@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 114352
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"MW0GUV" <me@spamno.net> wrote:

: Can anyone tell me what the length my Koi Carp has to be to be resonant
: across 40 metres?

tu tut tut

anyone with even basic understanding of the term resonant will
tell you that one length can not resonate "across 40 metres"

## 2. Re: Steve lying and libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 01:25:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122859548.605680.161120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114353

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > >
> > > I haven't evaded anything.
> >
> > you evade most everything stevie
>
> Nope.

sure did

>
> > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> >
> > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
>
cutting stevie stevie evasion

>
> > > > > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
> > > > > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
> > > > > > ones
> > > > > >
> > > > > Two comments:
> > > >
> > > > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
> > >
> > cuting stevie dening he was trying to practive medicine in the
> > precceding cut
>
cuting stevie evasion

>
> > > > > > and you should know that Doc are notorious for poor handwriting
> > > > > >
> > > > cuting stevie libel of a man he has never even met
> > >
> > What libel?
> >
> your libel you typed it don't you know what it was?

>
> I've not written anything libellous.

you called my dontor unprofessional that is libel Stevie boy

>
> To what were you refering?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 3. Re: libel and more libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 01:28:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122859704.437875.6290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114354

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
>

recutting libel

> > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
>
> You mean this?
>
rectuing stevie trying to didtate the practice of medicine
fun)
>
> You need to get a good proctologist to help you get your head out
> of it's present "up and locked" position, Markie...

more of stevie genital obsession

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 4. Newflash Stevie get sometime RIGHT of course it takes tight focus to find it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 01:43:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122860632.622457.46740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114355

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > Tsk. I think Stebie thinks ALL should be concerned with Stebie's
> > > > demands! :-)
> > >
> > > But we're not, so he bites his knuckle and thinks up new taunts and
> > > attacks.
> >
> > Don't need to, Brain, ,<cut>

you sure don't geting one thing right

<cut>as much as you'd like to think I do...You and
> Lennie will just go on doing those things that MAKE you "Brain and
> Lennie", and it's there for all to see.

>
> > > > Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > > sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > > about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > >
> > > He has a unique viewpoint.
> >
> > And you don't?

of course he does, as do I, and len and todd, but of ocurse you have no
interest in those view points so flail against us us all

>
> > Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> > Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> > seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
> >
> > So far, there are no rantings.

so far? taking your sampl pretty narowly

>
> Just me proving you to be what I claim. And You providing the
> material for me to do it with.

what do you claim BTW, this moment it changes more often the Kerry's
positions

>
> > > Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > > copy stuff. :-)
> >
> > Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
>
> A little lost, are you?

not at all, why do you ask? are you admiting to being lost? (we know
you are lost)

>
> > > Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > stuff don't exist in reality.
> >
> > "His Truth." "His Reality."
> >
> > That kind of sums it up.
>
> Nice try. Doesn't change the facts that you're both discreditied
> liars.

they freely admit to not confroming to YOUR notion of reality,
Something I will also admit to if you like

>
> Where were you on that Boy Scout Jamboree, BTW, Brain?
>
> Certainly osunds like they ahd some of your "leadership" behind
> it.

and you pounce on me for spelling?

>
> > > >> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > >> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
> > > >>
> > > >> Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
> > > >> people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.
> > > >
> > > >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
> > > >
> > > >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
> > > >offended!"
> > >
> > > Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
> > > ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> > > wider distribution and acclaim!
> >
> > Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."
>

> We could do an "episode" on Brain's and Lennie's achievments, but
> what would we do with the other 27 1/2 minutes of airtime?

back to ranting I see

>
> > > >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> > > >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> > > >name.
> > >
> > > You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible.
> >
> > It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
> > behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.
>
> There are those with reality acceptance issues here, Brain...But
> I'm not one of them...

BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
reality

>
> > > Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> > > plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> > > personalities).
> >
> > He's not very bright.
>
> Brighter than the sum of the two of you, but then that didn't take
> a whole lot.

well he is admiting to not being very bright, has to libel 2 people to
do it but he admits it

>
> > > Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> > > anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> > > admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> > > of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there.
> >
> > I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.
>
> I'd be interested in figuring out where you got that "Steve
> admitted ot hypocricy" thing, but then Lord knows where the two of you
> pull things out from...

can't prove it objectively but yet another lie I am sure, you don't
realy want that

>
> But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> the whole gang in.

don't need an inviation
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 5. Re: You'll never get the truth from Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 01:44:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122860655.182283.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114356

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > right you did not provide enough facts to substnaiate it Steve you are
> > > > > > > > > agreeing with him and with me
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, I am not...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I am saying BRAIN did not care enough to substantiate HIS claims,
> > > > > > > several of which were made in your absence.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > indeed you even refuse to stat what you claim those acheveiments are
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Untrue.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's been hashed, re-hashed, and hashed over repeatedly. That YOU
> > > > > > won't take time to dig back through the archives to see what I am
> > > > > > talking about is not justification for me to re-do the whole thing
> > > > > > again.
> > > > >
> > > > > Mark, in your absence Steve has claimed to have been appointed to a
> > > > > leadership role in Navy MARS on Okinawa. Yet Steve has displayed a
> > > > > remarkable ignorance of MARS, all the while making outrageous claims
> > > > > that, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

that is al he was claiming and refusing to support geeze nowonder i missed his "claims" I though he was reffereing to something important

but thn I will disagree I suspect he may well have been appointed (after if went into one of His bull dog modes and it were in my power to fend him by writing a letter of appointment I might well have done so), and simply failed utterly to learn anything about what he was doing, or utterly failed to even find the object of his posting
>
> In the end, no one beleived him.

I'll believe him in that one He was given the job and utterly failed to understand it, that seems plausible to me
>
> (Steve's accusations of having sex with dogs snipped)

## 6. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 02:22:27 UTC
From: MW0GUV <me@spamno.net>
Message-ID: <dck10e$978$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114357
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"ZZZZPK "
<zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.e
s.it.net> wrote in message news:42ed6915.181737675@news.iol.ie...
> "MW0GUV" <me@spamno.net> wrote:
>
> : Can anyone tell me what the length my Koi Carp has to be to be resonant
> : across 40 metres?
>
> tu tut tut
>
> anyone with even basic understanding of the term resonant will
> tell you that one length can not resonate "across 40 metres"
>

Are you sure Paddy? I'm sure I read about the 'Barnacle effect' somewhere

Andy MW0GUV
```

## 7. Re: libel and more libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 02:27:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qMfHe.418$I04.115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114358

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
>>
>
> recutting libel
>
>
>>>cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
>>
>> You mean this?
>>
>
> rectuing stevie trying to didtate the practice of medicine
> fun)
>
>> You need to get a good proctologist to help you get your head out
>>of it's present "up and locked" position, Markie...
>
>
> more of stevie genital obsession

I suppose it takes a special man to think of the anus as a genital organ.

Dave K8MN

## 8. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 02:38:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122863929.091430.181500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114359

John:

Well the problem is the rules, the rules are so obscure that many amateurs believe that encrytion isn't allowed on the amateur bands. however, really this isn't the case. 97.113(a)(4) states:

"..... messages in codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning thereof, except as otherwise provided herein..."

and many amateur operators will tell you that amateur's operators are not allowed to do any form of coding. however, if you read 97.309(b) it states:

"....b) Where authorized by §§97.305(c) and 97.307(f) of this Part, a station may transmit a RTTY or data emission using an unspecified digital code, except to a station in a country with which the United States does not have an agreement permitting the code to be used. RTTY and data emissions using unspecified digital codes must not be transmitted for the purpose of obscuring the meaning of any communication. When deemed necessary by an EIC to assure compliance with the FCC Rules, a station must:

(1) Cease the transmission using the unspecified digital code;
(2) Restrict transmissions of any digital code to the extent instructed;

(3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information, of all digital communications transmitted"

So unspecified digital codes are allowed as long as they are not there to obscure or hide the meaning.

Todd N9OGL

## 9. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 02:56:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122864976.832764.36860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bert Craig wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:vY6He.6713$0C.2556@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> > The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to
> > a "middle
> > conscious" level, where the characters flow at an
> > almost sub-conscious
> > level from the ear to the fingertip without active
> > thought about the actual characters heard.

> Sigh, I hope to get to this level eventually. I'm at the point > where I'm
> just starting to "hear" words like tnx, abt, the, fer, qsl,
> qsb, name, op,
> rst, etc. rather than spelling them in my head.
>
You're talking about two different skill sets.

Hans is talking about written copy - specifically,
copying on a mill (typewriter). Those who get
good at that skill set reach a point where they
really don't think about the incoming copy - it
just flows.

In some cases the op literally doesn't know the
content of the copy.

What you're experiencing is the beginnings of
conversational Morse Code. This is where you
understand the incoming Morse "directly", like
listening to someone talk.

Similar skill sets occur with sending.

--

Morse Code is much more than "an encoding scheme".

--

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

## 10. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 03:40:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sQgHe.103$CI5.3452043@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114361

N9OGL:

That was enlightening, I have never read that part... I had started believing what everyone has "said" for decades... thanks.

John

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122863929.091430.181500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
John:

Well the problem is the rules, the rules are so obscure that many amateurs believe that encrytion isn't allowed on the amateur bands. however, really this isn't the case. 97.113(a)(4) states:

"..... messages in codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning thereof, except as otherwise provided herein..."

and many amateur operators will tell you that amateur's operators are not allowed to do any form of coding. however, if you read 97.309(b) it states:

"....b) Where authorized by ��97.305(c) and 97.307(f) of this Part, a station may transmit a RTTY or data emission using an unspecified digital code, except to a station in a country with which the United States does not have an agreement permitting the code to be used. RTTY and data emissions using unspecified digital codes must not be transmitted for the purpose of obscuring the meaning of any communication. When deemed necessary by an EIC to assure compliance with the FCC Rules, a station must:

(1) Cease the transmission using the unspecified digital code;
(2) Restrict transmissions of any digital code to the extent instructed;

(3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information, of all digital communications transmitted"

So unspecified digital codes are allowed as long as they are not there to obscure or hide the meaning.

Todd N9OGL

## 11. Re: Johnny B. Goode (Pedo Steve Holsten of Kennett, Missouri) has his head up his fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 06:19:06 UTC
From: Misty <tick@noentity>
Message-ID: <dcker3$1sa$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114362
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122825898.542291.7980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Who's Your Daddy, BITCH? wrote:
> Hey (Dumbass sees Roger everywhere)

Hey keep making an ass out of yourself, you lisping faggot.

'

And you are not?

## 12. Re: Steve isn't lying when he accuses me of libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 09:01:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122886916.376565.74000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114363

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > > > >
> > > > I haven't evaded anything.
> > > >
> > > you evade most everything stevie
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> sure did

Nope.

> > > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> > > >
> > > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
> > >
> cutting stevie stevie evasion

Nope.

You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
questions aimed at you.

THAT is "evasion".

THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.

> > > > > > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
> > > > > > > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
> > > > > > > > ones
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Two comments:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting stevie dening he was trying to practive medicine in the
> > > > > precceding cut
> > > >
> > > cuting stevie evasion

You keep "cutting" direct questions.

You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.

So here it is AGAIN.

QUOTE

Secondly, if YOU don't take the time to sit down with your doctor and go over those medications to understand what each one is, it's benefits, expected outcomes, potential side effects and possible alternatives to their use, then you're the idiot I have always claimed you are

UNQUOTE

Show us, Mighty Markie, where the "practicing medicine" part is, or have yet ANOTHER validated reason to be known as a liar heaped upon your already robust CV of existing mistruths...

> you called my dontor unprofessional that is libel Stevie boy

Your "dontor"...?!?!

I said IF yuor Doctor's writing was illegible, then he's unprofessional.

That's not MY assessment, that's the AMA, the DEA and the JCAHO.

So is it now YOUR assessment that YOU know better than the American Medical Association, the Drug Enforcement Agency and the Joint Commission for the Accredidation of Healthcare Organizations what constitutes professional conduct?

Steve, K4YZ

## 13. Re: Steve isn't lying when he accuses me of libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 09:15:33 UTC
From: DeShawn <innercity@DeShawn>
Message-ID: <dckp5v$dnd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114364

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122886916.376565.74000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > > >
> > > > I haven't evaded anything.
> > >
> > > you evade most everything stevie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure did

Nope.

> > > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> > >
> > > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
> >
> cutting stevie stevie evasion

Nope.

You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
questions aimed at you.

THAT is "evasion".

THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.

> > > > > > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and
JCAHO.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written
ones, I am
> > > > > > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get
the right
> > > > > > > ones
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Two comments:
> > > > >
> > > > > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again

> > > >
> > > cuting stevie dening he was trying to practive medicine in the
> > > precceding cut
> >
> cuting stevie evasion

You keep "cutting" direct questions.

You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.

So here it is AGAIN.

QUOTE

Secondly, if YOU don't take the time to sit down with your doctor and go over those medications to understand what each one is, it's benefits, expected outcomes, potential side effects and possible alternatives to their use, then you're the idiot I have always claimed you are

UNQUOTE

Show us, Mighty Markie, where the "practicing medicine" part is, or have yet ANOTHER validated reason to be known as a liar heaped upon your already robust CV of existing mistruths...

> you called my dontor unprofessional that is libel Stevie boy

Your "dontor"...?!?!

I said IF yuor Doctor's writing was illegible, then he's unprofessional.

That's not MY assessment, that's the AMA, the DEA and the JCAHO.

So is it now YOUR assessment that YOU know better than the American Medical Association, the Drug Enforcement Agency and the Joint Commission for the Accredidation of Healthcare Organizations what constitutes professional conduct?

Steve, K4YZ

>

Steve. Ignore the Splibs. Don't waste your time.

## 14. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 10:35:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122892518.448846.121180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114365
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:
> What's all this childish, long running fuss about?

Much ado about nothing, Reg.
>
> There's nothing whatever to prevent radio hams from
> carrying on in
> their traditional, relatively well-ordered,
> self-disciplined ways.

Most of them will do just that.

> There's plenty of room on the bands to share with a little more > QRM.

The more the merrier!

> And CB-ers cannot possibly interfere with use of soldering
> irons on
> the kitchen table. There always has been obstruction by the
> XYL.

I once built a receiver on the kitchen table. Had all the bits
and tools in a large wooden box. I'd clear the table, put down
yesterday's newspapers to protect the surface, then build. At the
end of the work session the parts were all put away and the
newspapers were carefully folded up, containing all the wire
snipends, metal filings, etc.

1977 or so, when I was in a small apartment. Still have the receiver.
>
> Just give it time and the more unruly band users will either
> fall into line or change hobby to tropical fish.

Hopefully!

Thanks for all the great software, btw.

--

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 15. Mushing Through Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 11:48:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122896919.318958.112230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114366

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > > sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > > about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > >
> > > He has a unique viewpoint.
> >
> > And you don't?
>
> of course he does, as do I, and len and todd, but of ocurse you have no
> interest in those view points so flail against us us all

Sure I have an interest...even when it's a "different viewpoint".

The PROBLEM is that you, Lennie, Brain and Toiddie have a unique
way of expressing those viewpoints.

Espeically Lennie.

"I don't like Morse Code testing because I think it's an
antiquated mode" is a valid and debatable point.

"Macho Morsemen are killing off the hobby with their luddite,
archaic demands" is a baldfaced lie and meant to do nothing more than
incite the very flames that it does.

You? You're just an idiot that is always in second place because
you won't pay attention or fix your own problems. Everything is always
"someones" fault. You're a career victim.

You occassionally have moments of lucid, polite behaviour, even
insightful, but then launch right back into your own "lier" (now
updated and improved to 'lair') routine.

> > > Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> > > Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> > > seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
> >
> > So far, there are no rantings.
>
> so far? taking your sampl pretty narowly

What? Huh?

> > Just me proving you to be what I claim. And You providing the
> > material for me to do it with.

>
> what do you claim BTW, this moment it changes more often the Kerry's
> positions

I claim that Leonard H Anderson is a liar. I claim this due to
his frequent errors of fact that are obviously intentional and intended
to decive others about the Amateur Radio service.

This is, in fact, proven by repeated examples of
misrepresentations on his part. Not to mention numerous deceitful acts
and actions. His "license" e-mail (actually a picture of a guy
'mooning' from the forward bubble of an A-26) and "I'll get my 'Extra
Lite' out of the Box" stories come to immediate mind.

I call Brian P Burke a liar. I do this since he's repeatedly made
statements and assertions about his alleged "DX" operations that have
never bore out with so much as one single QSL card to prove it, nor has
he shown where it was a legitimate operation to start with.

Furthermore, he's made coutless other assinine assertions
("cordless devices" and "ARES" among them) that have also never bore
the weight of scruitiny. Nor has he ever offered a single word of
validation as to why he'd say so patently wrong things.

The only one in the bunch who can't be really "dinged" for being a
liar is you, since you own up to it, but that still doesn't relieve you
of responsiblity for BEING a liar, especially one who BRAGS aboutm
being a liar.

I don't know why some people think it's perfectly OK for them to
"exercise my free speech" by saying things that are offensive and
deceptive, only to scream foul when someone else uses THEIR "freedom of
speech" to rebutt it...ESPECIALLY where there is evidence to prove the
assertion.

> > > > Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > > > copy stuff.
> > >
> > > Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
> >
> > A little lost, are you?
>
> not at all, why do you ask? are you admiting to being lost? (we know
> you are lost)

You seem to not know what "Watchtower" is, thinking it's "science
fiction".

"Watchtower" is a periodical put out by the Jehovah's Witnesses,
and usually delivered by three devotees who show up at your front door
at dinner time asking "Have you heard the word of God today...?!?!"

> > > > Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > > stuff don't exist in reality.
> > >
> > > "His Truth." "His Reality."
> > >
> > > That kind of sums it up.
> >
> > Nice try. Doesn't change the facts that you're both discreditied
> > liars.
>
> they freely admit to not confroming to YOUR notion of reality,
> Something I will also admit to if you like

My "notion of reality" is that adults tell the truth to each
other, or at least explain thier reasoning behind it...

Doesn't happen here too often...I screwed up and tried that with
you and Lennie...You started right off with "lier" and "I am a
Colonel..." carap.

You expect me to respect your "ideas" after that?

And Lennie didn't take too long to realize that I wasn't being
swayed by his techno-geek bufoonery (while remaining civil), and
launched in on "Nazi", "thug", "Dill Sergeant", etc etc etc.

He claims he didn't start it nor does he "do" that, but look at
any of his posts directed at Jim Miccolis, N2EY...Jim has never once
addressed Lennie as anything BUT "Len" or other appropriate derivision
of his proper name.

Doesn't stop Lennie.

> > Where were you on that Boy Scout Jamboree, BTW, Brain?
> >
> > Certainly osunds like they ahd some of your "leadership" behind
> > it.
>
> and you pounce on me for spelling?

Yep. Like I said...All of us have an occassional typo. YOU make
it an Olympic sport.

> > > > Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
> > > > ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> > > > wider distribution and acclaim!
> > >
> > > Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."
> >
> > We could do an "episode" on Brain's and Lennie's achievments, but
> > what would we do with the other 27 1/2 minutes of airtime?
>
> back to ranting I see

What ranting?

It was his comments about "episodes".

> > > > >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> > > > >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> > > > >name.
> > > >
> > > > You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible.
> > >
> > > It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
> > > behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.
> >
> > There are those with reality acceptance issues here, Brain...But
> > I'm not one of them...
>
> BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
> reality

BUZZ wrong answer Markie. I have a very firm grip on reality.

Now I will grant you that expecting Lennie to be civil, Brain to
tell the truth, or you to get help for your English composition and/or
comprehension problems may be UNREALISTIC, but so were the concepts of
Aviation and space flight once-upon-a-time.

> > > > Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> > > > plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> > > > personalities).
> > >
> > > He's not very bright.
> >
> > Brighter than the sum of the two of you, but then that didn't take
> > a whole lot.
>
> well he is admiting to not being very bright, has to libel 2 people to
> do it but he admits it

It's not "libel" if it's true.

> > > > Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> > > > anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> > > > admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> > > > of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there.
> > >
> > > I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.
> >
> > I'd be interested in figuring out where you got that "Steve
> > admitted ot hypocricy" thing, but then Lord knows where the two of you
> > pull things out from...
>
> can't prove it objectively but yet another lie I am sure, you don't
> realy want that

You can't prove you're an adult objectively either.

Oh well.

> > But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> > again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> > the whole gang in.
>
> don't need an inviation

You'll also notice you WEREN'T invited.

Wonder why?

Steve, K4YZ

## 16. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 12:07:29 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42ee105a.224565149@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 114367
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"MW0GUV" <me@spamno.net> wrote:

: >
: > anyone with even basic understanding of the term resonant will
: > tell you that one length can not resonate "across 40 metres"
: >
:
: Are you sure Paddy? I'm sure I read about the 'Barnacle effect' somewhere

yes i'm sure...

and just who is this paddy you keep referring to ?

## 17. Re: Johnny B. Goode (Pedo Steve Holsten of Kennett, Missouri) has his head up his fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 13:04:10 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122901450.508845.70500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114368
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Misty wrote:
> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122825898.542291.7980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Who's Your Daddy, BITCH? wrote:
> > Hey (Dumbass sees Roger everywhere)
>
> Hey keep making an ass out of yourself, you lisping faggot.
>
> '
>
> And you are not?

Hi Porky! Still posting as a woman, I see. At least you are embracing
your inner woman, since you are such a whiner.
```

## 18. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 14:06:02 UTC
From: "- Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <l0bse1t6nbb67f48uav5hbdl4si68hquo5@4ax.com>
Source record: 114370
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 31 Jul 2005 11:30:20 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Reg Edwards is a long time UK ham and author of dozens of
> excellent small stand-alone programs for specialized
> calculations on ham radio technology.

I doubt Reg would be happy to be called a "ham"?

73 de Jock.
--

It is impossible to lick your elbow.

## 19. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 14:06:54 UTC
From: "- Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <03bse1d27t5dj669ev2936fvtv6qve0cuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 114369
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 31 Jul 2005 11:34:49 -0700, "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Trust me, I am a fan of Reg, have nothing but for respect for his style of
>communication in text... not only caliber, but substance!

I don't think Reg drinks Caliber, he probably quaffs
the real stuff.

73 de Jock.
--

It is impossible to lick your elbow.

## 20. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 14:24:53 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <VgqHe.23746$Ag3.10572@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 114371
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

thats whjy i beleive in a free system for those who dont wish ot build or repair

legailse 2 metres/70cms maybe only single freqs not repeaters for anyone who wants to,a licence to purchased but no need to learn morse and other things,so ok still a liocence nbut make it easier

then the other liocences for those who require more.

and why insult cbers all the time again i have known many a good cber and many a bad one

seems like you want to just have ham radio to a few in th clique

things will change regardlss OFCOM really does not want the hassle of loking after any two way radio,least alon ameteur,it wants more of a free for all on all bands.

i disagree with much of OFCOMS ideas but ham radio ifd its going to survive needs to welcome more people regardless of abackgoind and abiklities

i fail to see why i should know how to put an aerail together or solder something when all i want to do[not really just hypothetixcally] is speak into it to contact someone else.

"Polymath" <invalid@invalid.invalid> wrote in message news:42ecb4db$1_2@x-privat.org...
> Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society as the
> nest from which the engineers of the country fledge; but
> what is its position today; and, do we wish to do anything
> about that tradition?
>
> 1. We have a privileged position in that we are authorised both
> to make and also to operate our own transmitters. No-one else has the
> privileges of both and hence we are in a unique position. Do we wish
> to preserve this status quo, or, are we happy that our numbers
> are increasingly over-run by CB types, by which category I mean those
> who buy their rigs ready-made off-the-shelf and even send them back
> when minor repairs are needed?
>
> 2. Ham Radio has traditions of international gentlemanliness, but you
> might
> think otherwise from reading these NG. The foul-mouthed infantile temper
> tantrum is an increasing problem, and, as such, it reflects badly upon us.
> Do
> we wish this to continue, or should we set ourselves firmly apart from

> such people AND REFUSE EVEN TO DISCOURSE WITH THEM,
> THESE CHILDISH BROADCASTERS (CBERS), IN THESE NG?
>
> 3. The self-styled "national" society, in Britland at least, is going
> through a
> financial crisis as the _REAL_ Radio Hams leave in their droves when faced
> with an influx of what can only be described as CBers. (How else can you
> describe
> a 6-year-old licensee who does not have the mathematical skill set to
> deal with decimals, let alone the elementary transpositions that are
> necessary
> to deal with Ohm's Law?). Do we wish to have a society that represents the
> technical aficionado that is the _REAL_ Radio Ham?
>
> In short, do we simply stand by whilst the Mongolian Hordes of
> Ne'er-Do-Wells
> destroy what we and out predecessors have setup?
>
>
>

## 21. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 14:38:09 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dclc4h$pr2$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 114372
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >> There's plenty of room on the bands to share with a little more
QRM.
> >
> >The more the merrier!
>
> You could not be more wrong.
> :-(
>
> 73 de G3NYY
============================

Oh yes he could!

Radio amateurs thrive when in the midst of QRM and N. Brings out the best in 'em. Determination and the competitive spirit.

Without it, on a silent band, they are in danger of lapsing back into the state of couch potatoes with nothing to discuss except the weather and their state of health.
----
Reg

## 22. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 14:40:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7vqHe.7911$Uk3.667@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth
> can go back to being a teaching method.

Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back to"....

73, de Hans, K0HB

## 23. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 14:59:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rNqHe.16$mU1.418881@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114374
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jock:

You sure you are not related to Jacque Straap, me and that guy were really tight in high school and college.

He was a real supporter of mine...

John

"- Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message news:03bse1d27t5dj669ev2936fvtv6qve0cuf@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 31 Jul 2005 11:34:49 -0700, "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Trust me, I am a fan of Reg, have nothing but for respect for his style of
>>communication in text... not only caliber, but substance!
>
> I don't think Reg drinks Caliber, he probably quaffs
> the real stuff.
>
> 73 de Jock.
> --
>
> It is impossible to lick your elbow.

## 24. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:02:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eQqHe.6992$0C.2714@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114375

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Nothing wrong with moderate use of uuencoding in newsgroup, end of story!

That isn't true, "John". It isn't the end of the story. You really
need to check out the facts before you make such statements.

> Go control freak on 160-80-75-etc... your false information is NOT welcome
> here...

"Here" meaning where your computer happens to sit? It seems odd that
you view someone who attempts to enlighten you as a "control freak" I'm
not required to go anywhere, especially when the order is issued by some
anonymous fellow who may or may not even be a radio amateur.

that is what ham radio has become, it has no place here on the
> internet...

Amateur radio is about control freaking on 160-80-75-etc ? I don't
believe you.

> John
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:b9vGe.10173$dU3.4950@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Excellent, in the future I shall post a uuencoded test pic, tell me what the
>>>picture depicts and we will come up with some sort of fitting prize, perhaps
>>>a free URL to a website on hawaii!
>>
>>
>>"John":
>>
>>I'm amazed that you are seemingly unaware that almost every e-mail program on
>>the market decodes UUE automatically. The fact is, you shouldn't be doing so
>>in a text newsgroup.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
>

## 25. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:04:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lSqHe.19$1Y1.435341@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114376
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg:

AMEN!

A call to arms where sword, shield and steed are called upon to defend the honor.... nothing heats the blood better than the toss of a gauntlet!

Onward to glory will become the cry in the land!

John

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message news:dclc4h$pr2$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>> >> There's plenty of room on the bands to share with a little more
> QRM.
>> >
>> >The more the merrier!
>>
>> You could not be more wrong.
>> :-(
>>
>> 73 de G3NYY
> ============================
>
> Oh yes he could!
>
> Radio amateurs thrive when in the midst of QRM and N. Brings out the
> best in 'em. Determination and the competitive spirit.
>
> Without it, on a silent band, they are in danger of lapsing back into
> the state of couch potatoes with nothing to discuss except the weather
> and their state of health.
> ----
> Reg
>
>

## 26. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:08:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FVqHe.21$dN1.392256@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114377
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar:

Quality? Don't need quantity?

Just how does one tell the "quality girly-man whiners" here from the "not so quality ones?"

We need a flood of new amateurs to wash this stale and poisonous "air" away which has been generated by inaction and lack of progress.

John

"Nedlar >" <Nedlar <me@privacy.net> wrote in message news:ae3se1hmpsdlsvb16elcm5n5h1gudq9rbs@4ax.com...
> On 1 Aug 2005 03:35:18 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>The more the merrier!
>>
>
> What utter rubbish. What we need is more QUALITY not quantity. The
> infestation of the amateur bands by low-life CB scum is rapidly
> killing the hobby.

## 27. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:13:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <g3rHe.23$532.467063@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114378
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

PB:

In reading your post, I was able to get the gist of it...

You are on the right track there... "fixes" are now being applied, have patience, the "berlin wall of radio" begins to fall...

John

"PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com> wrote in message news:VgqHe.23746$Ag3.10572@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net...
> thats whjy i beleive in a free system for those who dont wish ot build or
> repair
>
>
> legailse 2 metres/70cms maybe only single freqs not repeaters for anyone who
> wants to,a licence to purchased but no need to learn morse and other
> things,so ok still a liocence nbut make it easier
>
>
> then the other liocences for those who require more.
>
> and why insult cbers all the time again i have known many a good cber and
> many a bad one
>
> seems like you want to just have ham radio to a few in th clique
>
> things will change regardlss OFCOM really does not want the hassle of loking
> after any two way radio,least alon ameteur,it wants more of a free for all on
> all bands.
>
> i disagree with much of OFCOMS ideas but ham radio ifd its going to survive
> needs to welcome more people regardless of abackgoind and abiklities
>
> i fail to see why i should know how to put an aerail together or solder
> something when all i want to do[not really just hypothetixcally] is speak
> into it to contact someone else.
>
>
> "Polymath" <invalid@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
> news:42ecb4db$1_2@x-privat.org...
>> Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society as the
>> nest from which the engineers of the country fledge; but
>> what is its position today; and, do we wish to do anything

>> about that tradition?
>>
>> 1. We have a privileged position in that we are authorised both
>> to make and also to operate our own transmitters. No-one else has the
>> privileges of both and hence we are in a unique position. Do we wish
>> to preserve this status quo, or, are we happy that our numbers
>> are increasingly over-run by CB types, by which category I mean those
>> who buy their rigs ready-made off-the-shelf and even send them back
>> when minor repairs are needed?
>>
>> 2. Ham Radio has traditions of international gentlemanliness, but you might
>> think otherwise from reading these NG. The foul-mouthed infantile temper
>> tantrum is an increasing problem, and, as such, it reflects badly upon us.
>> Do
>> we wish this to continue, or should we set ourselves firmly apart from
>> such people AND REFUSE EVEN TO DISCOURSE WITH THEM,
>> THESE CHILDISH BROADCASTERS (CBERS), IN THESE NG?
>>
>> 3. The self-styled "national" society, in Britland at least, is going
>> through a
>> financial crisis as the _REAL_ Radio Hams leave in their droves when faced
>> with an influx of what can only be described as CBers. (How else can you
>> describe
>> a 6-year-old licensee who does not have the mathematical skill set to
>> deal with decimals, let alone the elementary transpositions that are
>> necessary
>> to deal with Ohm's Law?). Do we wish to have a society that represents the
>> technical aficionado that is the _REAL_ Radio Ham?
>>
>> In short, do we simply stand by whilst the Mongolian Hordes of
>> Ne'er-Do-Wells
>> destroy what we and out predecessors have setup?
>>
>>
>>
>
>

## 28. Re: Stevie Knows Squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:22:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t6rHe.540$I04.488@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114379

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Jul 29, 10:07 am
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:

> Snarly Stebie doesn't do much else in this newsgroup but
> boast generalities of a non-specific nature while generally
> insulting everyone who doesn't agree with him.

> Snarly Stebie's version of "truth" is whatever he wants to
> claim on any particular day. He has NO references on any of
> it. Some examples:

Do you mean something like your own claims that you've had a several
decades interest in amateur radio and the one about getting the "Extra
right out of the box" and your subsequent statements about having no
interest in obtaining an amateur radio license?

> True! Google archives have the proof in them, in thousands of
> personal-insult messages generated by Stebie...with only a few
> (perhaps a dozen at most) which are actual discussions devoid
> of personal attacks at somebody.

Watch it, Leonard. That sword cuts two ways!

> That would disturb Stebie's fantasy. He wants the nicely-ordered
> military-style discipline he is no longer a part of...one where
> everything is explained and laid-out, no room for deviation from
> the precise order of things. He can fit into that. However, if
> the environment changes, he gets very upset and angry. He can't
> handle disagreements with his opinions, tries to defend himself
> (no need in normal discussion not about a person) by issuing a
> series of personal insults directed at his perceived "accuser."

Wow! That must seem like something straight from your past, Len. You
don't handle disagreements with your positions and you defend yourself
in a series of personal insults, directed at your perceived "accuser".
In fact, a fitting profile of your likely actions was put together by N2EY.

> There is, nor has there ever been, a SINGLE "correct" way of
> doing things in amateur radio. Amateur radio is NOT a military
> branch and the ARRL is NOT a directive-issuing "headquarters" (no

> matter what some like to believe).

Congrats. That's a familiar and masterful statement of the obvious.

> Any "need" to hold tradition
> tight and fast, to "work" stations in a particular mode (such as
> radiotelegraphy) is purely personal perception.

I don't know how you operate your amateur radio station, Len, but I
don't use tradition in working other stations. If a station I want to
work is using CW, I use the same mode to QSO him. If he's using SSB, I
use that mode. If he is using FM, I use that mode. Your own personal
perception seems skewed by your lack of amateur radio experience.

> Hewing to one
> particular way of doing things is NOT indicative of some kind of
> expertise in anything.

Interesting, Leonard. So, just because others use CW to call a station
CQing in that mode, is no reason that you'd have to do so. You could,
for example, use FM. That would certainly show us your level of expertise.

> But, the old rank-status-privilege class consciousness of the
> old six-class license system suits the ordered, disciplined
> military psyche. One "works up through the ranks" (in some
> folks' perception) even though the hobby is just a hobby, not a
> job, not a branch of the military, not part of a union or craft
> or guild.

Nope. There's no union, craft or guild. Then again, nobody has claimed
anything like that. You might see similarities between the six class
licensing system and the new three-tiered system. You'd still have to
work your way through the ranks and you'd still receive additional
operating privileges as you went through those ranks.

> It CAN be a fun hobby, an interesting personal
> recreation,

...or so you've been told.

> ... but having to march in ranks to some saber-rattling
> control freak's idea of what constitutes "real" ham radio is
> NOT a recreation. Such is more like a variant of sado-
> masochism.

Maybe amateur radio just isn't for you.

> Radio is radio.

Masterful.

Dave K8MN

## 29. Re: asked and answered again and again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:27:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gbrHe.541$I04.392@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114380

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>> Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
>>>>I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
>>>
>>>
>>>becuase by titling the post that way you did are are claiming that
>>>glory falsely
>>
>>Mark, your peculiar ideas make you look very, very silly.
>
>
> and you do love taking stuff out of context

There's nothing "out of context", Mark. You've stated that Steve is attempting to claim glory by mentioning that he'd received a QSL card for a QSO he didn't make. I've received hundreds of 'em over the years. I've never attached any personal glory to that. I didn't read anything like that into Steve's post. You are the only person who did.

Dave K8MN

## 30. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:46:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AtrHe.31$FB1.341120@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114381

Dave:

With enlightenment comes freedom...

Freedom to exchange data without restriction is a tool all educated peoples would seek.

How some can argue that placing limits and short comings on the internet is some kind of "enlightenment" is beyond logic, the two conflicting concepts are ironic to attempt to even fit together...

"A picture is worth 10,000 words" has always been accurate--it still stands today." Individuals who would argue to "blind" us to that wisdom and call it "enlightenment" are either sorely mistaken and confused, or do so for other purposes not well understood by those questing true knowledge and enlightenment.

Newsgroups are just a 7 bit data forum, methods exist to fit 8 bit data in such a forum...

Don't open posts with encoded binary if it is some kind of threat to you. That is your option...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:eQqHe.6992$0C.2714@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Nothing wrong with moderate use of uuencoding in newsgroup, end of story!
>
> That isn't true, "John". It isn't the end of the story. You really need to
> check out the facts before you make such statements.
>
>> Go control freak on 160-80-75-etc... your false information is NOT welcome
>> here...
>
> "Here" meaning where your computer happens to sit? It seems odd that you
> view someone who attempts to enlighten you as a "control freak" I'm not
> required to go anywhere, especially when the order is issued by some
> anonymous fellow who may or may not even be a radio amateur.
>
> that is what ham radio has become, it has no place here on the
>> internet...
>
> Amateur radio is about control freaking on 160-80-75-etc ? I don't believe

> you.
>
>> John
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:b9vGe.10173$dU3.4950@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Excellent, in the future I shall post a uuencoded test pic, tell me what
>>>>the picture depicts and we will come up with some sort of fitting prize,
>>>>perhaps a free URL to a website on hawaii!
>>>
>>>
>>>"John":
>>>
>>>I'm amazed that you are seemingly unaware that almost every e-mail program
>>>on the market decodes UUE automatically. The fact is, you shouldn't be
>>>doing so in a text newsgroup.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>

## 31. Stevie needs to gow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 15:54:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122911676.867631.14060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114382

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > > > > >
> > > > > I haven't evaded anything.
> > > >
> > > you evade most everything stevie
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> > sure did
>
> Nope.

see you evasion become obviousafter awhile

>
> > > > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> > > > >
> > > > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
> > >
> > cutting stevie stevie evasion
>
> Nope.
>
> You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> questions aimed at you.

More lies Stevie, I refuse to participate in your libeling of some one
else

>
> THAT is "evasion".

nope that is honarable behoavot
>
> THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.

nope it shows your lack of Honnor and your cowrdace that you attack
people not present to defend themselves if they choose

cutting stevie trying to inviolve people not here in his juvi games

I have said i will play along with your games, to a point, but there are points I will not go

Like when you seek to use people not here to play your games

## 32. Re:stevie know shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 16:20:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122913246.892781.196980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114383

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > > > > sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > > > > about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > He has a unique viewpoint.
> > > >
> > > And you don't?
> > >
> > of course he does, as do I, and len and todd, but of ocurse you have no
> > interest in those view points so flail against us us all
>
> Sure I have an interest...even when it's a "different viewpoint".

no you don't you just start demeaning that we comply with your
viewpoint

>
> The PROBLEM is that you, Lennie, Brain and Toiddie have a unique
> way of expressing those viewpoints.

and therein lies your problem Stevie, you see the facts we are are
defferent as a problem

>
> Espeically Lennie.
>
> "I don't like Morse Code testing because I think it's an
> antiquated mode" is a valid and debatable point.
>
> "Macho Morsemen are killing off the hobby with their luddite,
> archaic demands" is a baldfaced lie and meant to do nothing more than
> incite the very flames that it does.

it isn't a bald faced lie, it is an accurtate disription of a valid
point of view

I agree that the Luddite rejection of change embodied by the clring to
more Code is an open wound in the Body of the ARS.

Len statement is simply a colorful expression of that view

>
> You? You're just an idiot that is always in second place because

> you won't pay attention or fix your own problems. Everything is always
> "someones" fault. You're a career victim.

nope you are just lying agian

>
> You occassionally have moments of lucid, polite behaviour, even
> insightful, but then launch right back into your own "lier" (now
> updated and improved to 'lair') routine.

stevie if you want me to treat you politely then you can have it but
you have to treat me politely first at this point

you lie constantly by presenting your view as undesputable fact

where it is at best opinion

>
> > > > Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> > > > Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> > > > seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
> > >
> > > So far, there are no rantings.
> >
> > so far? taking your sampl pretty narowly
>
> What? Huh?

taking your sample narrowly

>
> > > Just me proving you to be what I claim. And You providing the
> > > material for me to do it with.
> >
> > what do you claim BTW, this moment it changes more often the Kerry's
> > positions
>
> I claim that Leonard H Anderson is a liar. I claim this due to
> his frequent errors of fact that are obviously intentional and intended
> to decive others about the Amateur Radio service.

Making erros of facts even if done doesn't make make one a lair, unless
you are willing to embrace that label yourself

and the rest of the statement is another bald faced lie

>
> This is, in fact, proven by repeated examples of
> misrepresentations on his part. Not to mention numerous deceitful acts
> and actions. His "license" e-mail (actually a picture of a guy
> 'mooning' from the forward bubble of an A-26) and "I'll get my 'Extra
> Lite' out of the Box" stories come to immediate mind.

neither of those example have any conection to the charge you are
making

>
> I call Brian P Burke a liar. I do this since he's repeatedly made
> statements and assertions about his alleged "DX" operations that have
> never bore out with so much as one single QSL card to prove it, nor has
> he shown where it was a legitimate operation to start with.

you make that the claim it was not then becomes your burden

if this is Somolia reference than I don't see in the absense of any
Govt any operation on treaty ham bands could be ilegal

>
> Furthermore, he's made coutless other assinine assertions
> ("cordless devices" and "ARES" among them) that have also never bore
> the weight of scruitiny. Nor has he ever offered a single word of
> validation as to why he'd say so patently wrong things.

I have seen any such patently wrong statements and if he has not made
any he does not owe any explaination

>
> The only one in the bunch who can't be really "dinged" for being a
> liar is you, since you own up to it, but that still doesn't relieve you
> of responsiblity for BEING a liar, especially one who BRAGS aboutm
> being a liar.

more lies stevie I don't brag about it, you make it an issue often
enough that i end up not dening it often

and you simply can't stand for a defferent veiwpoint
>
> I don't know why some people think it's perfectly OK for them to
> "exercise my free speech" by saying things that are offensive and
> deceptive, only to scream foul when someone else uses THEIR "freedom of
> speech" to rebutt it...ESPECIALLY where there is evidence to prove the
> assertion.

you claim they are deceptive without proving the claim, and it takes so
little to offend that it borders on by proof that you have a mental
problem right there

you don't rebut the statements with facts you attack the maker of the
statement, not the the statement, this is hard to do without either,
one, comiting libel/slander or two, engaing in harassment, or engageing
in hate speech.

Stevie you seem to lac the skill to mange that

>
> > > > > Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > > > > copy stuff.
> > > >

> > > > Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
> > >
> > > A little lost, are you?
> >
> > not at all, why do you ask? are you admiting to being lost? (we know
> > you are lost)
>
> You seem to not know what "Watchtower" is, thinking it's "science
> fiction".

you now claim to know what I think

In point of fact I believe the refference is to a minor religous mag

>
> "Watchtower" is a periodical put out by the Jehovah's Witnesses,
> and usually delivered by three devotees who show up at your front door
> at dinner time asking "Have you heard the word of God today...?!?!"

ah yes you typed it all ready

>
> > > > > Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > > > stuff don't exist in reality.
> > > >
> > > > "His Truth." "His Reality."
> > > >
> > > > That kind of sums it up.
> > >
> > > Nice try. Doesn't change the facts that you're both discreditied
> > > liars.
> >
> > they freely admit to not confroming to YOUR notion of reality,
> > Something I will also admit to if you like

break
>
> My "notion of reality" is that adults tell the truth to each
> other, or at least explain thier reasoning behind it...

I know that your view includes that, that is why I say you are not
dealing with reality

>
> Doesn't happen here too often...I screwed up and tried that with
> you and Lennie...You started right off with "lier" and "I am a
> Colonel..." carap.

nope you started out with me being a condesending prick, and moved on
to threatening me and family

>
> You expect me to respect your "ideas" after that?

you never showed them any respect at any time so obvioucly I don't
expect your respect to change

>
> And Lennie didn't take too long to realize that I wasn't being
> swayed by his techno-geek bufoonery (while remaining civil), and
> launched in on "Nazi", "thug", "Dill Sergeant", etc etc etc.
>
> He claims he didn't start it nor does he "do" that, but look at
> any of his posts directed at Jim Miccolis, N2EY...Jim has never once
> addressed Lennie as anything BUT "Len" or other appropriate derivision
> of his proper name.
>
> Doesn't stop Lennie.
>
> > > Where were you on that Boy Scout Jamboree, BTW, Brain?
> > >
> > > Certainly osunds like they ahd some of your "leadership" behind
> > > it.
> > >
> > and you pounce on me for spelling?
>
> Yep. Like I said...All of us have an occassional typo. YOU make
> it an Olympic sport.

and know why and choose to continue that is your decision and you are
responible for it

>
> > > > > Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
> > > > > ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> > > > > wider distribution and acclaim!
> > > > >
> > > > > Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."
> > > >
> > > > We could do an "episode" on Brain's and Lennie's achievments, but
> > > > what would we do with the other 27 1/2 minutes of airtime?
> > >
> > back to ranting I see
> >
> What ranting?

your ranting

>
> It was his comments about "episodes".

and began one of your rants right on cue
'
>
> > > > > >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> > > > > >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> > > > > >name.

> > > > >
> > > > > You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible.
> > > > >
> > > > It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
> > > > behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.
> > > >
> > > There are those with reality acceptance issues here, Brain...But
> > > I'm not one of them...
> > >
> > BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
> > reality
>

> BUZZ wrong answer Markie. I have a very firm grip on reality.

nope you have death grip on a fantasy that you are trying to call
reality

>
> Now I will grant you that expecting Lennie to be civil, Brain to
> tell the truth, or you to get help for your English composition and/or
> comprehension problems may be UNREALISTIC, but so were the concepts of
> Aviation and space flight once-upon-a-time.

more ranting

>
> > > > > Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> > > > > plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> > > > > personalities).
> > > > >
> > > > He's not very bright.
> > > >
> > > Brighter than the sum of the two of you, but then that didn't take
> > > a whole lot.
> > >
> > well he is admiting to not being very bright, has to libel 2 people to
> > do it but he admits it
>
> It's not "libel" if it's true.

sure can be

and not dening that you are pretty stupid too

>
> > > > > Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> > > > > anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> > > > > admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> > > > > of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.
> > > >

> > > I'd be interested in figuring out where you got that "Steve
> > > admitted ot hypocricy" thing, but then Lord knows where the two of you
> > > pull things out from...
> >
> > can't prove it objectively but yet another lie I am sure, you don't
> > realy want that
>
> You can't prove you're an adult objectively either.

indeed and neither can you certainly not on usenet

but then you don't face that reality either

>
> Oh well.
>
> > > But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> > > again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> > > the whole gang in.
> >
> > don't need an inviation
>
> You'll also notice you WEREN'T invited.

why would i be invited why would anyone be invited

you read a lot into stuff and then assume it is vlid without question,
gets you into loads of trouble

>
> Wonder why?

not realy
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 33. Re: Stevie Knows Squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 16:23:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122913437.199718.175040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114384

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: an old friend on Jul 29, 10:07 am
> >
> >
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Snarly Stebie doesn't do much else in this newsgroup but
> > boast generalities of a non-specific nature while generally
> > insulting everyone who doesn't agree with him.
>
>
> > Snarly Stebie's version of "truth" is whatever he wants to
> > claim on any particular day. He has NO references on any of
> > it. Some examples:
>
> Do you mean something like your own claims that you've had a several
> decades interest in amateur radio and the one about getting the "Extra
> right out of the box" and your subsequent statements about having no
> interest in obtaining an amateur radio license?
>
>
> > True! Google archives have the proof in them, in thousands of
> > personal-insult messages generated by Stebie...with only a few
> > (perhaps a dozen at most) which are actual discussions devoid
> > of personal attacks at somebody.
>
> Watch it, Leonard. That sword cuts two ways!
>
>
>
> > That would disturb Stebie's fantasy. He wants the nicely-ordered
> > military-style discipline he is no longer a part of...one where
> > everything is explained and laid-out, no room for deviation from
> > the precise order of things. He can fit into that. However, if
> > the environment changes, he gets very upset and angry. He can't
> > handle disagreements with his opinions, tries to defend himself
> > (no need in normal discussion not about a person) by issuing a
> > series of personal insults directed at his perceived "accuser."
>
```

> Wow! That must seem like something straight from your past, Len. You
> don't handle disagreements with your positions and you defend yourself
> in a series of personal insults, directed at your perceived "accuser".
> In fact, a fitting profile of your likely actions was put together by N2EY.
>
break
> > There is, nor has there ever been, a SINGLE "correct" way of
> > doing things in amateur radio. Amateur radio is NOT a military
> > branch and the ARRL is NOT a directive-issuing "headquarters" (no
> > matter what some like to believe).
>

> Congrats. That's a familiar and masterful statement of the obvious.

realy you find it obvous and yet stevie doesn't

>
> > Any "need" to hold tradition
> > tight and fast, to "work" stations in a particular mode (such as
> > radiotelegraphy) is purely personal perception.
>
> I don't know how you operate your amateur radio station, Len, but I
> don't use tradition in working other stations. If a station I want to
> work is using CW, I use the same mode to QSO him. If he's using SSB, I
> use that mode. If he is using FM, I use that mode. Your own personal
> perception seems skewed by your lack of amateur radio experience.
>
> > Hewing to one
> > particular way of doing things is NOT indicative of some kind of
> > expertise in anything.
>
> Interesting, Leonard. So, just because others use CW to call a station
> CQing in that mode, is no reason that you'd have to do so. You could,
> for example, use FM. That would certainly show us your level of expertise.
>
> > But, the old rank-status-privilege class consciousness of the
> > old six-class license system suits the ordered, disciplined
> > military psyche. One "works up through the ranks" (in some
> > folks' perception) even though the hobby is just a hobby, not a
> > job, not a branch of the military, not part of a union or craft
> > or guild.
>
> Nope. There's no union, craft or guild. Then again, nobody has claimed
> anything like that. You might see similarities between the six class
> licensing system and the new three-tiered system. You'd still have to
> work your way through the ranks and you'd still receive additional
> operating privileges as you went through those ranks.
>
> > It CAN be a fun hobby, an interesting personal
> > recreation,
>

> ...or so you've been told.
>

break
> > ... but having to march in ranks to some saber-rattling
> > control freak's idea of what constitutes "real" ham radio is
> > NOT a recreation. Such is more like a variant of sado-
> > masochism.
>
> Maybe amateur radio just isn't for you.

but S&M has little to do real ham radio except in the twisted visions
of many hams
>
> > Radio is radio.
>
> Masterful.
>
> Dave K8MN

## 34. Re: asked and answered again and again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 16:26:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122913568.798526.193760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114385

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>> Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> >>>>I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>becuase by titling the post that way you did are are claiming that
> >>>glory falsely
> >>
> >>Mark, your peculiar ideas make you look very, very silly.
> >
> >
> > and you do love taking stuff out of context
>
> There's nothing "out of context", Mark. You've stated that Steve is
> attempting to claim glory by mentioning that he'd received a QSL card
> for a QSO he didn't make. I've received hundreds of 'em over the years.
> I've never attached any personal glory to that. I didn't read anything
> like that into Steve's post. You are the only person who did.

taking one claim out of a dozen or so is taking it out of context, in
and of iteslf Stvie 's amking the claim of a false contect is merely
odd, indefending it freicely he makes it odder, placed in context of of
many other acts it helps form a pattern
>
> Dave K8MN

## 35. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 16:49:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122914973.840821.134990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114386

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
break
> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+ would
> become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to get on
> HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges. Does
> anyone know which is right?

I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
called tech plus,

but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
themselves a lair

## 36. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 17:01:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KzsHe.552$I04.33@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114387

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
> themselves a lair
>

"Lair". Maybe you meant "a liar"?

In any case, more likely just "mistaken in their understanding of the proposed rule".

73, de Hans, K0HB
~~~
We pass the word around; we ponder how the case is put by different
people, we read the poetry; we meditate over the literature; we play
the music; we change our minds; we reach an understanding. Society
evolves this way, not by shouting each other down, but by the unique
capacity of unique, individual human beings to comprehend each other.
--Lewis Thomas, The Medusa and the Snail (1979)
~~~

## 37. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 17:37:56 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <U5tHe.21215$bT4.21211@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 114388
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

blimey someone understanding my posts its a miracle

as said i dont want to denigrste the hard work and achievements of fully licensed hams,just see the need for a more fun less or unlicensed side again like pmr446 using low power

in rest of eurrope you have such a band of 69 channels in middle of 70cms,and indeed some people use such in uk already,males sense to allow its use in UK

trouble is of course 70 cms is MOD as well of course and they are rspidly taking more and more spectrum for barracks/us bases and other high sec location

regards,pAUL
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:g3rHe.23$532.467063@news.sisna.com...
> PB:
>
> In reading your post, I was able to get the gist of it...
>
> You are on the right track there... "fixes" are now being applied, have
> patience, the "berlin wall of radio" begins to fall...
>
> John
>
> "PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:VgqHe.23746$Ag3.10572@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net...
>> thats whjy i beleive in a free system for those who dont wish ot build or
>> repair
>>
>>
>> legailse 2 metres/70cms maybe only single freqs not repeaters for anyone
>> who wants to,a licence to purchased but no need to learn morse and other
>> things,so ok still a liocence nbut make it easier
>>
>>
>> then the other liocences for those who require more.
>>
>> and why insult cbers all the time again i have known many a good cber and
>> many a bad one
>>
>> seems like you want to just have ham radio to a few in th clique
>>

>> things will change regardlss OFCOM really does not want the hassle of
>> loking after any two way radio,least alon ameteur,it wants more of a free
>> for all on all bands.
>>
>> i disagree with much of OFCOMS ideas but ham radio ifd its going to
>> survive needs to welcome more people regardless of abackgoind and
>> abiklities
>>
>> i fail to see why i should know how to put an aerail together or solder
>> something when all i want to do[not really just hypothetixcally] is speak
>> into it to contact someone else.
>>
>>
>> "Polymath" <invalid@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:42ecb4db$1_2@x-privat.org...
>>> Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society as the
>>> nest from which the engineers of the country fledge; but
>>> what is its position today; and, do we wish to do anything
>>> about that tradition?
>>>
>>> 1. We have a privileged position in that we are authorised both
>>> to make and also to operate our own transmitters. No-one else has the
>>> privileges of both and hence we are in a unique position. Do we wish
>>> to preserve this status quo, or, are we happy that our numbers
>>> are increasingly over-run by CB types, by which category I mean those
>>> who buy their rigs ready-made off-the-shelf and even send them back
>>> when minor repairs are needed?
>>>
>>> 2. Ham Radio has traditions of international gentlemanliness, but you
>>> might
>>> think otherwise from reading these NG. The foul-mouthed infantile temper
>>> tantrum is an increasing problem, and, as such, it reflects badly upon
>>> us. Do
>>> we wish this to continue, or should we set ourselves firmly apart from
>>> such people AND REFUSE EVEN TO DISCOURSE WITH THEM,
>>> THESE CHILDISH BROADCASTERS (CBERS), IN THESE NG?
>>>
>>> 3. The self-styled "national" society, in Britland at least, is going
>>> through a
>>> financial crisis as the _REAL_ Radio Hams leave in their droves when
>>> faced
>>> with an influx of what can only be described as CBers. (How else can you
>>> describe
>>> a 6-year-old licensee who does not have the mathematical skill set to
>>> deal with decimals, let alone the elementary transpositions that are
>>> necessary
>>> to deal with Ohm's Law?). Do we wish to have a society that represents
>>> the
>>> technical aficionado that is the _REAL_ Radio Ham?
>>>

>>> In short, do we simply stand by whilst the Mongolian Hordes of
>>> Ne'er-Do-Wells
>>> destroy what we and out predecessors have setup?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

## 38. English, Morgan...Learn and USE English....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 18:11:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122919894.118740.123640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114389

an old friend wrote:

> taking one claim out of a dozen or so is taking it out of context, in
> and of iteslf Stvie 's amking the claim of a false contect is merely
> odd, indefending it freicely he makes it odder, placed in context of of
> many other acts it helps form a pattern

Mark, you blabbering idiot...Type ONE WORD AT A TIME, do it SLOWLY,
and QUIT trying to impress us whith how many words you (think) you
"know"...because it's painfully evident to any elementary schooler that
you're functionally deficient in the use of even colloquial English.

What ever you tied to say there was nothing but trash...And I
don't mean that because I am sure you were tryi to "diss" me...It was
just alphabet trash.

Steve, K4YZ

## 39. Re: Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 18:33:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122921221.863813.324590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114390

From: b.b. on Jul 31, 2:10 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "b.b." on Sun 31 Jul 2005 07:42
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> b.b. wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > b.b. wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:

>> >> > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
>
>> >> More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character, Brain.
>
>> >Steve's needs are not high on my priority list. He wishes it weren't
>> >so.
>
>> Tsk. I think Stebie thinks ALL should be concerned with Stebie's
>> demands! :-)
>
>But we're not, so he bites his knuckle and thinks up new taunts and
>attacks.

All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)

>> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
>> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
>> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
>
>He has a unique viewpoint.

[all from inside his own fevered imagination...]

>Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
>Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
>seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.

Aye, that be the cross we all must bear.

Tsk, if we could only pry Kellie away from his Navel Intelligence
job he could shoot THAT bear for us... :-)

>> >> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
>
>> >> Nope.

>
>> >> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.
>
>> >Like I said...
>
>> Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
>> copy stuff. :-)
>
>Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?

No. It's not even a subject at the world's first (and still
oldest surviving) Science Fiction Writer's Association in
North Hollywood, CA.

Stebie's output is more like the 1930s "Big Lie" technique
used by the national socialist party of Germany at that time.
Publish enough of it, often enough, as widely as one can, and
some folks will begin to believe it. It's a common
psychological warfare technique used the world over.

>> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
>> stuff don't exist in reality.
>
>"His Truth." "His Reality."
>
>That kind of sums it up.

Yes, that's the essence of his output.

>> >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
>
>> >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
>> >offended!"
>
>> Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
>> ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
>> wider distribution and acclaim!
>
>Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."

ANY disagreement with Stebie WILL "trigger an episode."

His emotional state is that of a walking nitroglycerine vial.
Shake it slightly and it sets off an explosion.

>> >> > We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
>> >> > the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
>> >> > yourselves.
>
>> >> I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
>> >> If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.
>
>> >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes

>> >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
>> >name.
>
>> You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible. :-)
>
>It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
>behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.

We might have to bring back "Duck and Cover" drills...

>> Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
>> plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
>> personalities). :-)
>
>He's not very bright.

Plus a barbarian emotional mentality...resorts to brute force
whenever challenged in the slightest. Tsk, brute force doesn't
work in an enviornnment where it is impossible to really reach
out and hit anyone. He implies "threats" of coming to various
challengers' residence areas as a surrogate, that nothing more
than bullying taunts.

>> Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
>> anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
>> admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
>> of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there. :-)
>
>I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.

Tsk, I'm getting the same way.

It's remarkable that his "fellows" and "peers" in here (extras
all of them) all seem to condone his actions denigrating the
spirit, cameraderie, and "propensity for international good
will" (FCC phrase) of the amateur radio service. He's a poster
boy of what NOT to be in the amateur radio hobby. But, he IS
"federally qualified" to transmit RF on U.S. amateur radio
frequencies and has a certificate (suitable for framing)
attesting to that. After all, he thinks that OBTAINING THE
*LICENSE* is the most desireable attribute in U.S. ham radio.
He recently said so.

hic cup
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 40. Re: Stevie Knows Squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 18:39:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122921544.532452.79190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114391

From: "an old friend" on Mon 1 Aug 2005 09:23

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: an old friend on Jul 29, 10:07 am
>> >>K4YZ wrote:
>> >>>b.b. wrote:
>> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > Snarly Stebie doesn't do much else in this newsgroup but
>> > boast generalities of a non-specific nature while generally
>> > insulting everyone who doesn't agree with him.
>
>> > Snarly Stebie's version of "truth" is whatever he wants to
>> > claim on any particular day. He has NO references on any of
>> > it. Some examples:

[Judge Heil does a nice bit of SELECTIVE EDITING there in order
to attempt more on-line perfidy...]

>> Do you mean something like your own claims that you've had a several
>> decades interest in amateur radio and the one about getting the "Extra
>> right out of the box" and your subsequent statements about having no
>> interest in obtaining an amateur radio license?

Mark, the above is consistent with "Heah com' de Judge" Heil
to attempt discrediting anyone disagreeing with his god-like
opinions.

The word "interest" is unquantified and subjective, the subjective
being both emotional and intellectual. But, Heil attempts to
concentrate ALL RADIO into the realm of amateur radio in his
statements that I was (implied) "interested in amateur radio."
He failed to understand that MY INTEREST was in ELECTRONICS
(radio is a subset within that generic grouping) since 1947 but
that was NOT some kind of deep, soul-grabbing, lifetime career
goal to achieve ONLY in licensed amateur radio.

My original "lifetime career goal" was to be an illustrator
(that's an artist who draws/paints things as they are), using
a born aptitude for art/illustration. I began work (for money)
at that prior to military service. However, my military
assignment put me into the 3rd largest U.S. Army HF
Communications Network transmitter station of the 1950s, a 24/7
operation relaying about a quarter million messages a month
over three dozen and more high-power HF transmitters. That plus

a delayed (installation priority of equipment) exposure to multi-channel microwave radio relay equipment as well as all the facets of Big Time communications of that era changed my career goals so that, by 1958, I had changed my major study (and campus) from Art to electronics engineering...while being employed full time in southern California aerospace industry. I've retired from regular hours in that, but still work from time to time at electronics design engineering. [yes, I've done some illustration from time to time (for money, of course) just to keep my hand in that, plus (unpaid) practice, but that is due to an aptitude and skill in that field]

Now David Heil, Judge of the Lowest Court of Arrogance, wishes to "sentence" me on some artificial charges of moral perfidy because I DID NOT BECOME AN AMATEUR *FIRST*! :-) Tsk, his personal vilification insults (from being disagreed with early on in here) ORDER me to DO AS HE SAYS I MUST! :-) Tsk, tsk, I do not have the glorious Time Machine apparently possessed by James Miccolis to travel back in time to alter my Life Goals. Further, I have NO WISH to subjugate myself to Heil's COMMANDS nor his moral edicts on WHAT IS GOOD and WHAT IS NOT GOOD in anything.

My original goal in THIS NEWSGROUP about seven years ago was to attempt some influence on ELIMINATING THE MORSE CODE TEST REQUIREMENT for U.S. amateur radio licenses. What I've encounterd is an almost KKK-like good-old-boys-club of Mighty Macho Morsemen who all seem to be control freaks of major status, ultra-conservative RADIO BIGOTS who stop at nothing to preserve THEIR PERSONAL DESIRES in federal regulations of a virtual HOBBY radio activity. These Mighty Macho Morsemen are guilty of moral perfidy and unbending status-quo-ism in absolute vilification of ANYONE who does not agree with them. The MMM denizens of this din of inequity are hypocrites as well as radio bigots...they are "free" to personally insult anyone they so choose, yet NONE are free to do the same to them.

Instead of discussion and debate on regulation ISSUES and SUBJECTS, we are "treated" to an outpouring of personal insults, out-of-context quotes, and, in a few cases, outright falsehood statements by these self-enobled "keepers of (some) faith" all in the interest of maintaining THEIR elite status of rank and privilege and long tenure in U.S. amateur radio.

But, these elitist morsemen have ultra-thin computer-modem "skin" and their "sensitive" emotional states forbid ANY criticsm of THEM. THEY are sacrosanct, not to be touched while they freely roam and throw insults at their disagreers as early barbarians did in subjugating other people in other lands. ALL MUST SUBJUGATE themselves to THEIR points of view

and MUST NEVER act as they do back at them!

Tsk, tsk. These morsemen do not understand that they are NOT universally "loved" nor are their opinions "truth" always! They are delusional in thinking that all those who disagree with them are "wrong." They are in denial and are frozen in the antiquity of hobby radio standards and practices. There is no point in trying to argue the SUBJECT with THEM, just to bear up under the onslaught of personal denigrations they hurl at disagreers. The "radio sport" in HERE thus becomes the usual mud-slinging Flame War unfortunately common to all of USENET and any Internet message forum.

So, Judge Heil "conveniently forgets" certain past statements, pulls out others OUT of context, and thus manufactures some Moral Perfidy commited by a disagreing individual. He cannot argue the SUBJECT...all he can do is attempt insult on individuals to win "message points." He wins NOTHING.

Stebie Robeson is another, though he appears so far gone in his visible-syndrome psychosis and reality-fantasy confusion that he be beyond redemption, certainly beyond discussion or debate.

Fortunately there is WT Docket 05-235 before the Commission and the Comments so far (184 as of mid-Sunday) are running about 3:1 in favor of dropping the morse code test for an amateur radio license. This will be the "equalizer" to put the amateur radio "servicemen" almost on the same playing field (or battleground as many seem to think) and there may be some break in the rancor and bile from the elitist ultra-conservative status-quo-ists.

dit bah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 41. A Sincere Challenge For Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 18:41:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122921684.985448.79850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114392

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > > > > > > sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > > > > > > about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > He has a unique viewpoint.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > And you don't?
> > > > > >
> > > > > of course he does, as do I, and len and todd, but of ocurse you have no
> > > > > interest in those view points so flail against us us all
> > > > >
> > > > Sure I have an interest...even when it's a "different viewpoint".
> >
> no you don't you just start demeaning that we comply with your
> viewpoint

Start demeaning what?

Or did you mean "demanding"...???

If you perceived something I said as "demeaning", it was probably
because I was responding to something that was so absolutley idiotic or
assinine that I had a hard time staying in the chair from laughing so
hard.

If you meant "demanding", you're more than welcome to pony-up a
quote of mine that substantiates your claim that I have DEMANDED
anything.

> > The PROBLEM is that you, Lennie, Brain and Toiddie have a unique
> > way of expressing those viewpoints.
>
> and therein lies your problem Stevie, you see the facts we are are
> defferent as a problem

Oh, you're "defferent" alright.

I don't have a problem with DIFFERENT, Mark.

I DO have a problem with intentionally mistruthful or deceitful.

> > Espeically Lennie.
> >
> > "I don't like Morse Code testing because I think it's an
> > antiquated mode" is a valid and debatable point.
> >
> > "Macho Morsemen are killing off the hobby with their luddite,
> > archaic demands" is a baldfaced lie and meant to do nothing more than
> > incite the very flames that it does.
>
> it isn't a bald faced lie, it is an accurtate disription of a valid
> point of view

No, it's a bald faced lie.

There are no "Macho Morsemen" holding ANYthing back.

If there was, there never would have been the NCT or the present
"Kill Element 1" movement.

Amateur Radio is more than 3 1/2 times bigger today than it was
when I was a Novice in 72. One can communicate around the world with
an NCT and an HT. We can communicate with the Space Station, any one
of 10-12 satellites, and we have HF radios smaller than a carton of
cigarettes where it once took a whole deskfull of gear to do the same
thing.

> I agree that the Luddite rejection of change embodied by the clring to
> more Code is an open wound in the Body of the ARS.

Read the above then try to realize why your statement is so
assinine.

> Len statement is simply a colorful expression of that view

Lennie's statement is an anagonistic swipe at a subset of radio
communicators he doesn't like because at sometime in his past one of
them "diss'ed" him.

It has nothing to do with reality.

So here's the challenge, Mark...

We just hit August of 2005 so I will use previous Augusts as the
milestone...

Go find old copies of any Amateur Radio journal...Heck...take "CQ"
since it started in 1945...A nice round number of years (suggested
since Lennie will jump in about how the ARRL is hardly objective, etc
etc etc...)

That represents an even 60 years of Augusts.

I dare you to look back through all thos Augusts and then come
back here with a straight face and tell me about how Amateur Radio is
not "progressing".

Even better yet...Since Lennie's always harping about how Amateurs are allegedly "stuck in the 30's", go ahead and stroll back through QST's back to 1930. That now gives you SEVENTY FIVE Augusts to establish trends with.

Now compare the technology, practices, modes, etc of August 1930 or 1945 with August of 2005, and THEN tell me how Lennie's accusations of the immobility of Amateur Radio are true...?!?!

Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

## 42. learn Manners stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 19:24:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122924275.559551.242990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114393

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > taking one claim out of a dozen or so is taking it out of context, in
> > and of iteslf Stvie 's amking the claim of a false contect is merely
> > odd, indefending it freicely he makes it odder, placed in context of of
> > many other acts it helps form a pattern
>
ctuing out stevie ill manners

gee nothing left
> Steve, K4YZ

## 43. stevie rantings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 19:41:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122925262.243017.253930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114394

gee I should just cut out stvies rudeness would have nothing left
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > > > > > > > > sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > > > > > > > > about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > He has a unique viewpoint.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And you don't?
> > > > > >
> > > > > of course he does, as do I, and len and todd, but of ocurse you have no
> > > > > interest in those view points so flail against us us all
> > > >
> > > Sure I have an interest...even when it's a "different viewpoint".
> > >
> > no you don't you just start demeaning that we comply with your
> > viewpoint
>
> Start demeaning what?
>
> Or did you mean "demanding"...???
>
> If you perceived something I said as "demeaning", it was probably
> because I was responding to something that was so absolutley idiotic or
> assinine that I had a hard time staying in the chair from laughing so
> hard.
>
> If you meant "demanding", you're more than welcome to pony-up a
> quote of mine that substantiates your claim that I have DEMANDED
> anything.
>
break
> > > The PROBLEM is that you, Lennie, Brain and Toiddie have a unique
> > > way of expressing those viewpoints.
> >
> > and therein lies your problem Stevie, you see the facts we are are
> > defferent as a problem

Begin stevie tantrum
>
> Oh, you're "defferent" alright.
>
> I don't have a problem with DIFFERENT, Mark.

lie

dfference is only allowed if it results in agreement with,

the above being one the most nonsenical statement I have ever typed,
unforunately it has the virtue of being completely true

>
> I DO have a problem with intentionally mistruthful or deceitful.

with the represntation of a view different form your own you mean

>
> > > Espeically Lennie.
> > >
> > > "I don't like Morse Code testing because I think it's an
> > > antiquated mode" is a valid and debatable point.
> > >
> > > "Macho Morsemen are killing off the hobby with their luddite,
> > > archaic demands" is a baldfaced lie and meant to do nothing more than
> > > incite the very flames that it does.
> >
> > it isn't a bald faced lie, it is an accurtate disription of a valid
> > point of view

begin trantrum number 2
>
> No, it's a bald faced lie.
>
> There are no "Macho Morsemen" holding ANYthing back.

sure are

relaince on Morse Hald back bring new folks in EME for example with
most of that world being unwilling to bother with other modes people
like myself are all but excluded

this but one example

Indeed you sent me an email fewyears back after CQ or was it CQ VHF
published a letter to that effect when I comented on the inapproateness
of talking about testing in the "VHF and up "

>
> If there was, there never would have been the NCT or the present
> "Kill Element 1" movement.

wrong that MM is the reason it was needed to to form and campaign for
the NCT The ARRL was opposed to NCT til it was done then tried to claim

it was all for it, that it has teken years to kill code testing is
another sign of the MMM hlding things back

>
> Amateur Radio is more than 3 1/2 times bigger today than it was
> when I was a Novice in 72. One can communicate around the world with
> an NCT and an HT. We can communicate with the Space Station, any one

you over blow the abilites of the TCh and HT.

> of 10-12 satellites, and we have HF radios smaller than a carton of
> cigarettes where it once took a whole deskfull of gear to do the same
> thing.

and what would we have had if the MMM had gotten on board and pushed
NCT sooner and welcomed new hams rather than bemoan them? I don't know
but it likely would have more

>
> > I agree that the Luddite rejection of change embodied by the clring to
> > more Code is an open wound in the Body of the ARS.
>
> Read the above then try to realize why your statement is so
> assinine.

it isn't assine at all

>
> > Len statement is simply a colorful expression of that view
>
> Lennie's statement is an anagonistic swipe at a subset of radio
> communicators he doesn't like because at sometime in his past one of
> them "diss'ed" him.

and contiue to diss him, and his rights you do so on a near daily basis
(you seem to be givng him break lately with me to pick on)
>
> It has nothing to do with reality.

everything to do with it, you look on radio with blinders on and you
want to impose those blinders on the rest of us
>
> So here's the challenge, Mark...
>
> We just hit August of 2005 so I will use previous Augusts as the
> milestone...
>
> Go find old copies of any Amateur Radio journal...Heck...take "CQ"
> since it started in 1945...A nice round number of years (suggested
> since Lennie will jump in about how the ARRL is hardly objective, etc
> etc etc...)
>
> That represents an even 60 years of Augusts.

>
> I dare you to look back through all thos Augusts and then come
> back here with a straight face and tell me about how Amateur Radio is
> not "progressing".

no access

and what progress do you see, compared to world aorund us

>
> Even better yet...Since Lennie's always harping about how Amateurs
> are allegedly "stuck in the 30's", go ahead and stroll back through
> QST's back to 1930. That now gives you SEVENTY FIVE Augusts to
> establish trends with.
>
> Now compare the technology, practices, modes, etc of August 1930
> or 1945 with August of 2005, and THEN tell me how Lennie's accusations
> of the immobility of Amateur Radio are true...?!?!

how many non ham stations are using vacumtube for any part of the
operations?

how many ham staions can't deal any modern digtial mode?

>
> Good luck.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 44. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 20:31:49 UTC
From: John Smythe <smythe44@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <345cd1b6e.99075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 114395

On Mon, 1 Aug 2005 08:46:28 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Dave:
>
> With enlightenment comes freedom...

Indeed.

> Freedom to exchange data without restriction is a tool all educated peoples
> would seek.

But we use shared resources provided by others. Restrictions are
essential if the resources are to be successfully shared

> How some can argue that placing limits and short comings on the internet is
> some kind of "enlightenment" is beyond logic, the two conflicting concepts are
> ironic to attempt to even fit together...

Ah, but there is no such thing as an Internet without limits. You are
living in a make-believe world if you think so.

> Newsgroups are just a 7 bit data forum, methods exist to fit 8 bit data in such
> a forum...
>

Clue: Usenet is *not* the Internet. Repeat that to yourself 100 times.

> Don't open posts with encoded binary if it is some kind of threat to you. That
> is your option...

The people who make their servers available to carry Usenet are the
ones who are making the rules. If their rules are violated, they
either block the originating site from their collective servers
(Usenet death penalty), or they retroactively cancel the posts of an
offending individual (spam canceling, based on the BI of a post, for
example). Others, such as groups.google.com, simply don't allow
binary posts on their servers in the first place.

So, John, it is the option of the people who own the servers to cancel
or block your posts, and there's nothing you or Dave can do to change
that. If you want to know what the server owners are thinking, go to
the newsgroup 'news.answers' - a group that a newbie like yourself
should read before continuing to make a fool of yourself on Usenet.
In news.answers you will find one or more FAQs which outline the
reasons that binaries in non-binary news groups are not allowed.

Again: Usenet is not the Internet. And Usenet is not a democracy.

## 45. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 20:57:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <u1wHe.90$6o4.1221767@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114396

John:

Ahhh, see, they blocked it!

Gee, who would have ever thought!

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:R_vHe.89$Bk4.1161338@news.sisna.com...
> John:
>
> I suppose server owners can block anything they would like, it is america.
> Also, they can remove any uuencoding--if they choose, if you notice, there
> are massive binary news groups on usenet, they don't have a problem with
> uuencoding...
>
> But, let us examine a little uuencoded example file to see just what the
> danger is, it follows:
>
> ----- cut below this line -----
> section 1 of uuencode 5.24 of file balun2.jpg by R.E.M.
>
>

---

> ----- cut above this line
>
> Anyone who can use uudecode, or has a newsreader which decodes automatically
> can view it, it is a hand drawn schematic of a balun.
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

## 46. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:00:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <14wHe.680$I04.658@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114397

John Smith wrote:
> Paul:
>
> I realize YOU wish to see binary banned, I realize YOU are NOT alone...

That's a pretty good leap, "John". I didn't see anything about binaries being banned. There are binary newsgroups for everything.

> I think you can probably come up with a lot of reasons YOU think they should be
> banned--and are good enough, but, freedom of exchange over-rides anything you
> can come up with! end of story...

I saw nothing advocating the banning of binaries. Your "freedom of exchange" is intact and your "right" to post binaries in text newsgroups overrides nothing.

> But, they are not good enough reasons to block the exchange of ideas, there is
> no "gentleman's agreement", there is a bunch of cheap-shot idiots like you
> attempting to push your control on the mindless.

The exchange of binary "ideas" is carried out daily, in the tens of thousands--in the *binary* newsgroups.

> You think if you argue long enough and hard enough you may pull the wool over
> the simple minded masses eyes.

Some of the simple-minded masses seem to have plenty of eye wool already.

> This is the internet, it was founded on the idea of free, un-hindered exchange
> of information and data--you are best suited for the amateur bands where the
> common mode is to suppress freedoms through regulations--GO HOME, DO NOT DARKEN
> OUR DOORSTEPS!!!

We'd have to know who you are and where you live before we could approach your doorstep, "John".

Dave K8MN

## 47. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:09:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8cwHe.7115$0C.4165@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114398

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Tsk, tsk. If the FCC wished, they could eliminate ALL testing
> and licensing of U.S. radio amateurs...or not...all without the
> permission of K stroke-O H B! Really...

Sure--and God could smite the Earth and turn it into a parking lot.
There is no indication that either will take place.

> Tsk. All I can claim is support of the NCI endeavors as a
> member...and as a citizen of the United States and as a
> veteran of Army service...IN radio communications there.

I'll see your "citizen of the United States and as a veteran of Army
service...IN radio communications there" and raise you with citizen of
the United States, veteran of Air Force service IN radio communications,
veteran of six Foreign Service postings IN radio and other electronic
communications *and* as a licensed radio amateur.

> Obviously must not be enough for this holy group. :-(

You expect to win with three of a kind?

Dave K8MN

## 48. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:20:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lmwHe.102$6m4.1189979@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114400

Dave:

Look, this conversation has went on long enough, believe as you choose...
others can do the same...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:14wHe.680$I04.658@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Paul:
>>
>> I realize YOU wish to see binary banned, I realize YOU are NOT alone...
>
> That's a pretty good leap, "John". I didn't see anything about binaries
> being banned. There are binary newsgroups for everything.
>
>> I think you can probably come up with a lot of reasons YOU think they should
>> be banned--and are good enough, but, freedom of exchange over-rides anything
>> you can come up with! end of story...
>
> I saw nothing advocating the banning of binaries. Your "freedom of exchange"
> is intact and your "right" to post binaries in text newsgroups overrides
> nothing.
>
>> But, they are not good enough reasons to block the exchange of ideas, there
>> is no "gentleman's agreement", there is a bunch of cheap-shot idiots like
>> you attempting to push your control on the mindless.
>
> The exchange of binary "ideas" is carried out daily, in the tens of
> thousands--in the *binary* newsgroups.
>
>> You think if you argue long enough and hard enough you may pull the wool
>> over the simple minded masses eyes.
>
> Some of the simple-minded masses seem to have plenty of eye wool already.
>
>> This is the internet, it was founded on the idea of free, un-hindered
>> exchange of information and data--you are best suited for the amateur bands
>> where the common mode is to suppress freedoms through regulations--GO HOME,
>> DO NOT DARKEN OUR DOORSTEPS!!!
>
> We'd have to know who you are and where you live before we could approach
> your doorstep, "John".
>

>
> Dave K8MN

## 49. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:20:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dmwHe.8070$Uk3.1636@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114399

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Jul 29, 12:41 pm
>
>
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
>
>>>You lie
>>>you cheat
>>>you comit public fraud
>>>you stalk
>>>you harrass
>>>you threaten
>>>you libel
>>>you forge
>>
>>You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.
>
>
> Ah, Raddio Kopp Court is now in session, dishonorable Judge Heil
> presiding! Oyez, oyez.
>
> Other than "committing public fraud" (somewhat questionable)
> I'd say that Mark's seven statements are CORRECT. The proof
> is in Google archives.

Okay, *you'd say*. Let's ask some rational folks. I suppose you're dismissing Mark's fabrications of some years ago and his stubborn refusal to make his posts look like the English language. How do you feel about his vulgar postings which make him look more like the harasser/stalker than the harassed/stalked?

> But, Gruppenfuhrer (excuse me, JUDGE) Heil LIKES to pick on
> those he perceives "weak" and unmercifully berate them in

> anywhichway he can.

Is the little old piranha supposed to be part of the weak?

> Only two such postings berating Mark
> this morning? Tsk, Judge (mental) Heil is falling down...
>
>
>>> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
>>
>>I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.
>
>
> Tsk. So far, you've not done very good at all on that!

You'll have to take my word that I'm very respoinile.

> Tsk, tsk. I think "more of youse" ought to take some
> responsibility for another PCTA extra's "actions" in
> here. At least take up a collection to buy him some
> downers...and make sure he TAKES them...

Oh? Did Brian Burke pass his Extra?

> What was that FCC phrase about amateur radio..."a
> natural propensity towards international good will?"
> Golly gosh and gee, shouldn't all these royal PCTA
> extras push a little of that "good will" domestically?

There's nothing from the FCC about domestic good will. Maybe you can
talk Mark into really moving to Canada. Perhaps then, he can continue
to act like a jerk and Steve may ignore him.

> I guess not. Their version of amateur radio is coming
> to a nasty, inevitable END. They are just so SURLY!

I expect that "my version" of amateur radio will be just fine. I'll
continue to operate using the mode of my choice. I'll work those I
choose to work and not work those I choose not to work. Are you going
for that "Extra right out of the box" when *your* version of amateur
radio comes into being?

Dave K8MN

## 50. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:22:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LowHe.104$D73.666737@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114401

KXHB:

Interesting, not even a great speelcheeker would have caught lair and liar error...

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:KzsHe.552$I04.33@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>>
>> but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
>> themselves a lair
>>
>
> "Lair". Maybe you meant "a liar"?
>
> In any case, more likely just "mistaken in their understanding of the
> proposed rule".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> ~~~
> We pass the word around; we ponder how the case is put by different
> people, we read the poetry; we meditate over the literature; we play
> the music; we change our minds; we reach an understanding. Society
> evolves this way, not by shouting each other down, but by the unique
> capacity of unique, individual human beings to comprehend each other.
> --Lewis Thomas, The Medusa and the Snail (1979)
> ~~~
>
>
>

## 51. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:29:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_uwHe.699$I04.251@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114402

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Jul 29, 12:36 pm
>
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>
>>Your attempt at a sentence is gibberish, Mark.
>
>
> No problem...

Sure it is a problem. It was gibberish before you came along with your
bag o' snot post and it is still gibberish.

> Gruppenfuhrer Heil's attempts at "discussion"
> turn into personal insults against all who reply to him
> (with the exception of PCTA extras, that is).

Why do you suppose that Bill Sohl is able to disagree with PCTA Extra
Class licensees and still not be insulted? Do you think it might be
that his manner is different than yours?

>
>
>>There are times when you write a nearly-comprehensible thought. Those
>>times are rare.
>
>
> There are very few times when Herr Gruppenfuhrer Heil's
> "replies" are anything other than personal insults.

Spoken like an expert on the subject, Mr. Carbon Obnoxide.

>
>
>>You still have not answered the questions about what you meant to
>>convey. Perhaps you thought you did so. You were wrong.
>
>
> Oh, oh...self-promotion to a JUDGE of ALL.
>
> "Heah come de judge, heah come de judge!"
>
>
>>No, Mark, it is still *your* problem. You aren't able to communicate
>>with others by use of the written word.
>
>
> Tsk. Fearless Leader speaks!
>
> Can we understand, your holiness, that all MUST make fun of
> those with dyslexia? Apparantly that is the RULE in here.
> [or is it RILE instead?]

Why, absolutely not, Leonid! I'm only dealing with a dyslexic who
refuses to use available tools to enable him to better communicate using
the written word. You know--the guy who claims that his gibberish is
everyone else's problem.

>
>
>>1. You write gibberish. That is not a lie.
>
>
> You've written personal insults to people who disagree with
> you. That is not a lie.

Your insulting posts to those who disagree with you outnumber any I've
written by five or six to one.

>>2. You were questioned about what you wrote and what it was supposed to
>> mean. That is not a lie.
>
>
> You've been questioned about what you wrote and what it was
> supposed to mean...you've only responded with personal insults
> to those who have disagreed with you. That is not a lie.

See my response above.

>>3. You write crap which others can't understand and you've claimed
>> "abuse" when someone tells you so. That is not a lie.
>
>
> So? You write CRAP (merde, shit, whatever) which only PCTA
> extras can understand and claimed that NCTAs "abuse" you,

> therefore "justifying" your personal insults against them.
> That is not a lie.

Bzzzzz! Sorry, that *is* a lie.

> Have fun making fun at others' expense, Herr Gruppenfuhrer.
> That is your nature, apparently.

Swell, Mr. Carbon Obnoxide.

> You are a God of Radio and JUDGE over all others who don't
> agree with you. Charming behavior. Not.

Oh? Those personalities inhabiting the body of Leonard H. Anderson have reached a consenus on whether I am or am not a Radio God? They've been divided for several years now.

You are a licensed radio amateur. Not.

Dave K8MN

## 52. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:47:30 UTC
From: "Jock. -" <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <iu5te1dspbpvmvjhs2dd8sh768qmp667tm@4ax.com>
Source record: 114403
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 17:37:56 GMT, "PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com> wrote:

>blimey someone understanding my posts its a miracle

Not at all easy!

>As I said I don't want to denigrate the hard work and achievements of fully
>licensed hams, just see the need for a more or less unlicensed side again
>like pmr446 using low power

Then use PMR446. What's the problem?

73 de Jock.
--

It is impossible to lick your elbow.

## 53. No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 21:56:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122933398.697390.15370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114404

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122776126.458483.123700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dee Flint wrote:
>
> It is very difficult to guess but I would expect a significant number of
> people to take the Tech & General at the same time as there is only a small
> jump in difficulty level so that their original licenses will be General.

Well, "small jump in difficulty" is subjective. Back in 1987, FCC
thought
the difference was significant enough that they split the old General
into
two elements. Old 3 became 3A and 3B, with 3A for Tech and both for
General.

> In any classes that I teach, that will be the approach that I take and I
> will have a syllabus geared towards that goal. If you are familiar with the
> "Now You're Talking" study guides from the 1992 era, you will recall that it
> covered both the Novice written and Technician written. It actively
> encouraged students to take the road of studying for both writtens (and
> code) and take all the tests. I'll take the same approach. This way the
> material that is repeated between the Tech & General needs to be studied
> only once.

I think it all depends on the individual. Some will find the two tests
a big step, others won't.

> However, it wouldn't surprise me to see Extra eventually become the largest
> class under the upcoming system.

Well, look at the numbers.

Back in May 2000, Extras made up just 11.67% of then-current licenses
held by individuals (78,750 out of 674,792)

Today, Extras make up 16.10% of current licenses held by individuals
(106,900 out of 664,040).

Meanwhile, the combined Tech class percentages have *dropped*:

May 2000: 49.5% of then-current licenses held by individuals were Tech
or Tech Plus (334,254 out of 674,792)

Today: 47.8% of current licenses held by individuals are Tech or Tech
Plus (317,452 out of 664,040)

If you add in Novices, so that you're looking at all the "entry level" licenses, the difference is even greater.

Perhaps you're right, Dee. Maybe the system will evolve to something approaching a two-level system, in that there will be a few Techs, lots of Generals and lots of Extras.

---

I read the FCC document again. Seems very clear to me that FCC's vision for the Technician is that it will have *no*, repeat *no*, HF privileges.
Instead, FCC intends that those who want HF pass at least the General test.

Of course those who have Novice or "Tech with HF" privileges won't lose them. But both existing noncodetested Techs and future ones will have no
HF privileges at all, under the FCC proposal. This is evident from a careful reading of the proposal.

Here's why:

The proposed changes to the rules are very simple.

First, Element 1 would simply be deleted. No more Morse Code testing at all.

Second, the requirements and credits for existing licenses would change slightly. Getting a Tech would require Element 2, getting a General would require Elements 2 and 3, and getting an Extra would require Elements 2, 3 and 4.

And that's about it for changes to Part 97.

The Part 97 verbiage about HF privileges for Techs involves those who have passed a Morse Code exam and retain license documents to prove it. But if
Element 1 is deleted, there will be no way for anyone to pass such an exam, and so there will be no new Techs with HF privileges.

IOW, existing noncodetested Techs *will not* get any HF under the FCC proposal as written.

This is backed up by repeated verbiage in the document about no one getting
privileges without passing the exams. No free upgrades for anybody. FCC also
repeatedly mentions the generous HF and MF privileges that would be obtained
by passing the written for General.

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

btw, the comments of two regular posters to rrap were mentioned in the document.

## 54. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 22:04:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Yd-dnXBfp9YSAXPfRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114407

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122914973.840821.134990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> break
>> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+ would
>> become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to get
>> on
>> HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges.
>> Does
>> anyone know which is right?
>
> I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
> called tech plus,
>
> but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
> themselves a lair
>

If you are talking about the US proposal, read the NPRM through a couple of times. They discuss this at great length. Techs will not get Tech+ privileges. Anyone who wants to increase their privileges will have to take a test. They specifically state that no one will get any changes in privileges. That is also consistent with the way the paragraphs will be reworded as shown in the appendix.

Finally, one of the posters on eHam called the FCC on this and asked for and got clarification. He was told that Techs will not get Tech+ privileges. It is indeed the FCC's position that anyone desiring more than their current privileges must take the appropriate written test.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 55. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 22:06:36 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42ee9cc9.260521281@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 114406
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

: Reg:
:
: AMEN!
:
: A call to arms where sword, shield and steed are called upon to defend the
: honor.... nothing heats the blood better than the toss of a gauntlet!

theres never an anonymous chicken with a dodgy keyboard around to check
the spelling when you need one !

## 56. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 22:07:11 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42ee9d04.260580516@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 114405
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jock. - <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote:

:
: Then use PMR446. What's the problem?

theres no dx on 446....

## 57. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 22:15:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122934541.463602.62480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114408

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of July 31, 2005:

Novice - 27,975 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,354]
Technician - 269,801 (40.6%) [increase of 64,407)
Technician Plus - 47,651 (7.2%) [decrease of 81,209]
General - 136,167 (20.5%) [increase of 23,490]
Advanced - 75,546 (11.4%) [decrease of 24,236]
Extra - 106,900 (16.1%) [increase of 28,150]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,452 (47.8%) [decrease of 16,802]

Total all classes - 664,040 (decrease of 10,752)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 58. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 22:33:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122935604.500715.240420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kardiac Korner wrote:
> Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
> Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
> this is not endemic to the HF bands.

On 'phone, maybe. Not on Morse Code.
>
> Case in point. This afternoon three of the youth challenged Old Timers tied
> up a local repeater as they compared notes and even argued over whose heart
> surgery was the worst. They droned on and on about the incisions made in
> their legs, their chests, and even went into graphic detail about the
> drainage tube that had been implanted in one of their abdomens.

How did they "tie up" the repeater? Did they refuse to let others use
it?

Or would it have simply been silent without them?
>
> And it this was not depressing enough, one of the Codgers went on to regale
> the listeners with details of his wife's cancer and chemo treatments and
> detailed the prescription drugs his wife uses.
>
> Come on, guys. My heart goes out to you, but MUST you discuss it for hours
> on end? On a repeater? Or anywhere? These oldsters are understandably caught
> up in their dilemma but someone, some day, is going to have to ask them to
> please, please, stop.

Why?

> These Oldsters, all Extra licensees, have no idea how depressing it is to
> listen to them.

See below.

> And, guys. When someone asks you how you are doing, don't go into an hour
> long history of your medical trials and health tribulations. Spare us the
> details and the resultant depression. Please?
>
A couple of observations:

First off, with a few exceptions, the subject matter of amateur radio
conversations isn't regulated or restricted by FCC. Unless you can
make a case that the conversations involved violated some provision
of Part 97 or the Communications Act, they're allowed.

Second, I hope no one, including you, ever gets seriously ill or has a loved one in perilous health. May we all live to very old ages with all our faculties intact, and go quietly and peacefully in our sleep when
the time comes.

But in reality, many if not most people have to deal with such health situations. While those conversations may be boring and depressing to *you*, those involved may find them a source of strength, support and comfort. Also information. Would you rather those hams go through such times without the support of fellow amateurs, whom they may have known for decades?

Third, a repeater's owners have the right to shut down a repeater if they don't like the way it is being used, or who is using it. But that didn't happen. Are you one of the repeater's owners, or an authorized control operator for it?

Fourth, almost all of the ham rigs I've seen have both a frequency control and an on-off switch. Use them!

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 59. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 22:43:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122936213.146879.206760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114411

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122914973.840821.134990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> > break
> >> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+ would
> >> become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to get
> >> on
> >> HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges.
> >> Does
> >> anyone know which is right?
> >
> > I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
> > called tech plus,
> >
> > but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
> > themselves a lair
> >
>
> If you are talking about the US proposal, read the NPRM through a couple of
> times. They discuss this at great length. Techs will not get Tech+
> privileges. Anyone who wants to increase their privileges will have to take
> a test. They specifically state that no one will get any changes in
> privileges. That is also consistent with the way the paragraphs will be
> reworded as shown in the appendix.

I have read it and read it and I come to the same conclusion
>
> Finally, one of the posters on eHam called the FCC on this and asked for and
> got clarification. He was told that Techs will not get Tech+ privileges.
> It is indeed the FCC's position that anyone desiring more than their current
> privileges must take the appropriate written test.

so what?

It is unlikely that you are going to get anyone on the phone able to
speak for the what the R&O will say before even the end of the coment
period

indeed that issue is the only mystery left,

after all we are assued that No one loses previdges as well, and if all the langauge that is said to be delected is delected then the old tech plus folks lose HF access

The lang is badly worded, and frankly I suspect ones reading depends on wether you look at rules in the light all not forbidden is allowed or all not expressly allowed is forbidden

I hope (nearly said I trsut but though better of it) the FCC will make that one point clear in the R&O
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 60. FCC proposal = CB'ers with ham licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 22:44:02 UTC
From: Roger Beep <breaker.breaker@channel.nineteen>
Message-ID: <42eea55f$0$91674$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 114410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Ten-four?

Yah, that's a big ten-four.

All my channel 19 good-buddy CB friends are going to be so happy.

## 61. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:09:46 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dcma3q$gif$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 114412
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dear John,

Really now, should you be encouraging me to make outrageous
statements?
----
Yours, Reg

## 62. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:13:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7vqdnWwwN7pcMXPfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 114413

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122936213.146879.206760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122914973.840821.134990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
>> > break
>> >> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+
>> >> would
>> >> become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to
>> >> get
>> >> on
>> >> HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges.
>> >> Does
>> >> anyone know which is right?
>> >
>> > I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
>> > called tech plus,
>> >
>> > but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
>> > themselves a lair
>> >
>>
>> If you are talking about the US proposal, read the NPRM through a couple
>> of
>> times. They discuss this at great length. Techs will not get Tech+
>> privileges. Anyone who wants to increase their privileges will have to
>> take
>> a test. They specifically state that no one will get any changes in
>> privileges. That is also consistent with the way the paragraphs will be
>> reworded as shown in the appendix.
>
> I have read it and read it and I come to the same conclusion
>>
>> Finally, one of the posters on eHam called the FCC on this and asked for
>> and
>> got clarification. He was told that Techs will not get Tech+ privileges.
>> It is indeed the FCC's position that anyone desiring more than their
>> current
>> privileges must take the appropriate written test.
```

>
> so what?
>
> It is unlikely that you are going to get anyone on the phone able to
> speak for the what the R&O will say before even the end of the coment
> period
>
> indeed that issue is the only mystery left,
>
> after all we are assued that No one loses previdges as well, and if all
> the langauge that is said to be delected is delected then the old tech
> plus folks lose HF access
>

Nope. The paragraph that gives Tech+ their HF access is unchanged. Look at the appendix. It shows what paragraphs they are planning to change and what the projected wording is. The Tech+ paragraph is untouched and left to stand as is.

> The lang is badly worded, and frankly I suspect ones reading depends on
> wether you look at rules in the light all not forbidden is allowed or
> all not expressly allowed is forbidden
>

Nope. It is very clear on which paragraphs they propose to change and what the proposed wording is. The paragraph that grants Tech+ the Novice HF privileges has no changes proposed.

> I hope (nearly said I trsut but though better of it) the FCC will make
> that one point clear in the R&O
>>

The problem with the NPRM is simply its extensive discussion, which does get rather wordy but is explicit if one reads it. The revised paragraphs for Part 97 listed at the end of the NPRM are quite clear.

I originally was confused too but comparing the new text to the old text clarified the situation. That comparison put the lengthy discussion into perspective and clarified it a great deal.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 63. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:29:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ufyHe.7158$0C.3308@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114414

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> As a manager, you should be aware that personal preferences in
> personalities have NO validity for business purposes.
>

There is no "manager" class license in the US Amateur Radio Service. Point dismissed.

>
> The purpose of business is business
>

Prominent framed sign in my office says: "Never forget that the business of life is living, not business."

If you wish, you can see our "business: https://www6.adc.com/ecom/hier?NODE=OND66399 at the Fort Sneeze AFCEA C4IST show in early October. I'll bring you a copy of my framed sign.

>
> I really don't care one bit what YOU personally like or
> dislike.
>

Likewise, I'm sure.

>
> Someone once wrote in here "gentlemen can disagree without
> being disagreeable." Wonder who that was?
>

Henry Thoreau, if memory serves correctly.

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 64. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:34:46 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122939286.297950.289590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth
> > can go back to being a teaching method.
>
> Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back to"....
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Morse had become merely a licensing hurdle, Farnsworth a testing
scheme. Now if anyone bothers to learn Morse, it will be to use it.

## 65. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:35:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.01.23.35.05.159078@gmail.com>
Source record: 114418
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg:

My mother used to know the right words to bring me to my senses, she must have told ya how to do it...

Naaa, just poking a bit of fun Reg (just wish more of these guys had a darn sense of humor!), darn amateurs here are more afraid of CB'ers than California DiamondBack Rattlesnakes or Black Widow Spiders. Some of those "good 'old buddies" are a hoot, quite fun to chat with actually.

Warmest regards,
John

On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 23:09:46 +0000, Reg Edwards wrote:

> Dear John,
>
> Really now, should you be encouraging me to make outrageous
> statements?
> ----
> Yours, Reg

## 66. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:41:14 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122939674.726380.227170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114416

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> In this new "feels good generation" I suppose you are supposed to disguise all
> dislikes and keep them a "state secret."
>
> Frankly, I hail from a time when you were tested and knew your worth, from then
> on you went about your business, independent of others expectations or demands.
>
> Back then, it was considered poor form to not allow others their personal
> opinions, beliefs and prejudices.
>
> Since I made it though that time, I accepted that NOT all others could be
> expected to "like" me, indeed, I have never found the occasion where another
> mans' opinion either "makes me" or "breaks me."

Dang! There goes Steve's wishful theory.

And, tools have been provided
> to me by my creator (whether that creator hailed from a mud puddle or exists as
> a GOD) and placed between my ears to allow me to realize all of this.
>
> Now it seems, others expect to control the opinion one forms of them--the
> future will see if they are successful or not... I think NOT!
>
> John

Not!

## 67. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:46:27 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122939987.803549.232720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114417

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote>
> >
> > Someone once wrote in here "gentlemen can disagree without
> > being disagreeable." Wonder who that was?
>
> Henry Thoreau, if memory serves correctly.
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Han's, I miss Hank. Never did catch his callsign, but he sure knew how to act gentlemanly.

## 68. Re: Steve is ready to talk (7 Hostile Actions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-01 23:55:54 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122940554.903743.54620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114419

b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > >
> > > > > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > > > > faulty thinking on your part.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...
> > > >
> > > > But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> > > > public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> > > > was coming from"...
> > >
> > > Indeed. So tell us more about your claims of seven hostile actions.
> >
> > I guess Steve will deal with his truth in due time. Hi!
>
> Or maybe he won't. Maybe there is no truth in his claims.

Seven hostile actions?

## 69. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 00:04:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.00.04.51.968832@gmail.com>
Source record: 114420

Dee:

If the only difference between NC tech, and tech+ is the code, and there is is no longer a code test, would logic dictate that the plus'es can still hold a greater privilege than a tech?

Now, why would logic dictate their being two classes of tech, when there does not exist any difference between the classes? Often you see this kind of insanity when the gov't becomes involved, you could argue that point, and there are no more tech plus licenses being issued, you could also argue that point.

However, I think just as valid an argument would be that tech plus was ok for some HF, now there is no code, so tech is now ok for the same HF allowances.

However, I don't think you are going to find many who would care to argue this point, there just is not enough interest in amateur radio at this point, and the argument is something of a "time sensitive" issue, it would have to be argued quickly--or not at all. As precedence is won by the dragging of the feet...

John

On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 19:13:52 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122936213.146879.206760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1122914973.840821.134990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> > break
>>> >> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+
>>> >> would
>>> >> become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to
>>> >> get
>>> >> on
>>> >> HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges.
>>> >> Does
>>> >> anyone know which is right?
>>> >

>>> > I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
>>> > called tech plus,
>>> >
>>> > but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
>>> > themselves a lair
>>> >
>>>
>>> If you are talking about the US proposal, read the NPRM through a couple
>>> of
>>> times. They discuss this at great length. Techs will not get Tech+
>>> privileges. Anyone who wants to increase their privileges will have to
>>> take
>>> a test. They specifically state that no one will get any changes in
>>> privileges. That is also consistent with the way the paragraphs will be
>>> reworded as shown in the appendix.
>>
>> I have read it and read it and I come to the same conclusion
>>>
>>> Finally, one of the posters on eHam called the FCC on this and asked for
>>> and
>>> got clarification. He was told that Techs will not get Tech+ privileges.
>>> It is indeed the FCC's position that anyone desiring more than their
>>> current
>>> privileges must take the appropriate written test.
>>
>> so what?
>>
>> It is unlikely that you are going to get anyone on the phone able to
>> speak for the what the R&O will say before even the end of the coment
>> period
>>
>> indeed that issue is the only mystery left,
>>
>> after all we are assued that No one loses previdges as well, and if all
>> the langauge that is said to be delected is delected then the old tech
>> plus folks lose HF access
>>
>
> Nope. The paragraph that gives Tech+ their HF access is unchanged. Look at
> the appendix. It shows what paragraphs they are planning to change and what
> the projected wording is. The Tech+ paragraph is untouched and left to
> stand as is.
>
>> The lang is badly worded, and frankly I suspect ones reading depends on
>> wether you look at rules in the light all not forbidden is allowed or
>> all not expressly allowed is forbidden
>>
>
> Nope. It is very clear on which paragraphs they propose to change and what
> the proposed wording is. The paragraph that grants Tech+ the Novice HF

> privileges has no changes proposed.
>
>> I hope (nearly said I trsut but though better of it) the FCC will make
>> that one point clear in the R&O
>>>
>
> The problem with the NPRM is simply its extensive discussion, which does get
> rather wordy but is explicit if one reads it. The revised paragraphs for
> Part 97 listed at the end of the NPRM are quite clear.
>
> I originally was confused too but comparing the new text to the old text
> clarified the situation. That comparison put the lengthy discussion into
> perspective and clarified it a great deal.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 70. Re: Newflash Stevie get sometime RIGHT of course it takes tight focus to find it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 00:15:36 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122941736.272197.67350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114421

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:

> > > Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> > > Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> > > seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
> >
> > So far, there are no rantings.
>
> so far? taking your sampl pretty narowly

Hi! A sample of one out of many thousands.

> > Just me proving you to be what I claim. And You providing the
> > material for me to do it with.
>
> what do you claim BTW, this moment it changes more often the Kerry's
> positions

I still want to hear about his claims to seven (7) hostile actions.

The moment he speaks of them, the Stolen Valor people are going to be
all over him. He's lived in fear ever since his first brag.

> > > > Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > > > copy stuff. :-)
> > >
> > > Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
> >
> > A little lost, are you?
>
> not at all, why do you ask? are you admiting to being lost? (we know
> you are lost)

Darkguard lives in the Watchtower. He sends messages to Quitefine
using Morse Code.

> > > > Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > > stuff don't exist in reality.
> > >
> > > "His Truth." "His Reality."
> > >
> > > That kind of sums it up.
> >
> > Nice try. Doesn't change the facts that you're both discreditied
> > liars.

>
> they freely admit to not confroming to YOUR notion of reality,
> Something I will also admit to if you like

Using Steve's rules, he discredits himself, his family name, and
amateur radio.

> > Where were you on that Boy Scout Jamboree, BTW, Brain?
> >
> > Certainly osunds like they ahd some of your "leadership" behind
> > it.
>
> and you pounce on me for spelling?

He's special. Extra Special.

And just like Steve and the Lib press to focus in on BSA errors while
ignoring all of the liberal failures of the last half century. Wonder
if Steve hates the BSA just because I've been an adult volunteer, or if
he was denied an adult leadership position for whatever reason, and
couldn't wear its uniform?

> > > > >> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > > >> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
> > > > >> people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.
> > > > >
> > > > >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
> > > > >
> > > > >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
> > > > >offended!"
> > > >
> > > > Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
> > > > ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> > > > wider distribution and acclaim!
> > >
> > > Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."
> >
> > We could do an "episode" on Brain's and Lennie's achievments, but
> > what would we do with the other 27 1/2 minutes of airtime?
>
> back to ranting I see

Steve is a wit, at least partially.

> > > > >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> > > > >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> > > > >name.
> > > >

> > > > You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible.
> > >
> > > It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
> > > behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.
> >
> > There are those with reality acceptance issues here, Brain...But
> > I'm not one of them...
>
> BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
> reality

All you got was a BUZZ?

A Klaxon just went off behind my head on that one. Hi!

> > > > Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> > > > plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> > > > personalities).
> > >
> > > He's not very bright.
> >
> > Brighter than the sum of the two of you, but then that didn't take
> > a whole lot.
>
> well he is admiting to not being very bright, has to libel 2 people to
> do it but he admits it

That he does. Not too bright.

> > > > Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> > > > anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> > > > admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> > > > of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there.
> > >
> > > I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.
> >
> > I'd be interested in figuring out where you got that "Steve
> > admitted ot hypocricy" thing, but then Lord knows where the two of you
> > pull things out from...
>
> can't prove it objectively but yet another lie I am sure, you don't
> realy want that

Steve piled up 29 lies in three weeks, and I didn't even read
everything he posted in those three weeks. Who'se got the time?

> > But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> > again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> > the whole gang in.
>
> don't need an inviation

It's an open forum, which is why Steve is still permitted access.

## 71. Re: Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 00:30:42 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122942642.710749.267440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114422

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Jul 31, 2:10 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "b.b." on Sun 31 Jul 2005 07:42
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> b.b. wrote:
> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > b.b. wrote:
> >> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> >> > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
> > >
> >> >> More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character, Brain.
> > >
> >> >Steve's needs are not high on my priority list. He wishes it weren't
> >> >so.
> > >
> >> Tsk. I think Stebie thinks ALL should be concerned with Stebie's
> >> demands! :-)
> > >
> >>But we're not, so he bites his knuckle and thinks up new taunts and
> >>attacks.
>
> All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)

He is to be pitied.

> >> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> >> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> >> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > >
> >>He has a unique viewpoint.
>
> [all from inside his own fevered imagination...]

Temper Fry.

> >Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> >Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> >seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
>
> Aye, that be the cross we all must bear.

>
> Tsk, if we could only pry Kellie away from his Navel Intelligence
> job he could shoot THAT bear for us... :-)

He'd turn PETA before he shot that bear.

> >> >> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
> >
> >> >> Nope.
> >
> >> >> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.
> >
> >> >Like I said...
> >
> >> Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> >> copy stuff. :-)
> >
> >Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
>
> No. It's not even a subject at the world's first (and still
> oldest surviving) Science Fiction Writer's Association in
> North Hollywood, CA.

Darn!

> Stebie's output is more like the 1930s "Big Lie" technique
> used by the national socialist party of Germany at that time.
> Publish enough of it, often enough, as widely as one can, and
> some folks will begin to believe it. It's a common
> psychological warfare technique used the world over.

And it's called Watchtower?

> >> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> >> stuff don't exist in reality.
> >
> >"His Truth." "His Reality."
> >
> >That kind of sums it up.
>
> Yes, that's the essence of his output.

I'm just hoping he opens up a little about his "seven hostile actions"
so the Stolen Valor people set things straight.

> >> >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
> >
> >> >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
> >> >offended!"
> >
> >> Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
> >> ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> >> wider distribution and acclaim!

> >
> >Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."
>
> ANY disagreement with Stebie WILL "trigger an episode."
>
> His emotional state is that of a walking nitroglycerine vial.
> Shake it slightly and it sets off an explosion.

They could make a movie.... "The Detonator!"

> >> >> > We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
> >> >> > the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
> >> >> > yourselves.
> >
> >> >> I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
> >> >> If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.
> >
> >> >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> >> >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> >> >name.
> >
> >> You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible. :-)
> >
> >It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
> >behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.
>
> We might have to bring back "Duck and Cover" drills...

Air raid sirens and all.

> >> Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> >> plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> >> personalities). :-)
> >
> >He's not very bright.
>
> Plus a barbarian emotional mentality...resorts to brute force
> whenever challenged in the slightest. Tsk, brute force doesn't
> work in an enviornnment where it is impossible to really reach
> out and hit anyone. He implies "threats" of coming to various
> challengers' residence areas as a surrogate, that nothing more
> than bullying taunts.

I'm not so sure they are taunts.

> >> Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> >> anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> >> admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> >> of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there. :-)
> >
> >I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.
>

> Tsk, I'm getting the same way.
>
> It's remarkable that his "fellows" and "peers" in here (extras
> all of them) all seem to condone his actions denigrating the
> spirit, cameraderie, and "propensity for international good
> will" (FCC phrase) of the amateur radio service. He's a poster
> boy of what NOT to be in the amateur radio hobby. But, he IS
> "federally qualified" to transmit RF on U.S. amateur radio
> frequencies and has a certificate (suitable for framing)
> attesting to that. After all, he thinks that OBTAINING THE
> *LICENSE* is the most desireable attribute in U.S. ham radio.
> He recently said so.
>
> hic cup
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

They sit by silently. One day he will come for them.

## 72. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 00:36:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122943002.684726.54180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114423

John Smith wrote:
>
> If the only difference between NC tech, and tech+ is the
> code, and there
> is is no longer a code test, would logic dictate that the
> plus'es can
> still hold a greater privilege than a tech?

The FCC's logic says "YES!"
>
> Now, why would logic dictate their being two classes of
> tech, when there
> does not exist any difference between the classes?

But there *does* exist a difference!

Techs who have passed a code test have some HF privileges
now - today - under current rules.

Techs who have not passed a code test have no HF privileges
now - today - under current rules.

The FCC makes it clear that they do not want any current
licensee to gain or lose privileges simply because of the
proposed changes.

Therefore, if the proposal is enacted, the two types of
Techs (code tested and non code tested) will continue to
exist, with the difference in privileges, even if there's
no longer a code test, and even after the last Tech Plus
expires, upgrades, or is renewed as Tech.

> Often you see this kind of insanity when the gov't
> becomes involved, you could argue that point, and there
> are no more tech plus licenses being issued, you could
> also argue that point.

It's not "insanity" at all. It's the only way to satisfy
all the requirements listed above.

> However, I think just as valid an argument would be that tech
> plus was ok
> for some HF, now there is no code, so tech is now ok for the
> same HF allowances.

That would violate the principle of no additional privs without
the appropriate test, whoch the FCC repeatedly supports in the
NPRM document.

> However, I don't think you are going to find many who would
> care to argue
> this point, there just is not enough interest in amateur radio > at this point, and the
> argument is something of a "time sensitive" issue, it would
> have to be argued
> quickly--or not at all. As precedence is won by the dragging > > of the feet...

You can argue it all you want. Comments don't close for weeks yet.

If anyone actually bothers to read the NPRM, they'll see that
FCC repeatedly mentions how easy it is for existing licensees
to get more privileges by passing only written tests. FCC also
mentions repeatedly how, if the NPRM is enacted, all nonExtras
will be able to get more privileges by taking a few written
tests. They even mention how many correct answers are needed.

FCC also repeatedly mentions their support for spectrum as an
incentive to upgrading, and how free upgrades act as a
disincentive.

Do you not like the idea of a VHF/UHF-only entry-level license,
with HF held out as an incentive for more *written* testing?

--

Just think - under the new system, all new hams will have access to all
of amateur VHF/UHF, all modes, and full authorized power.

Those who go on to General will have most HF privileges too, with small
parts of 4 HF bands reserved for Extras.

Be careful what you ask for - you may just get it.

## 73. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 00:37:34 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122943054.296131.151270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114424

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 14:50
>
>
> >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >> two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
> >> disablity or other public aid, only makes improper and/or illegal to do
> >> so
> >
> >A former employee of mine (now retired) lost an arm in Vietnam, and receives
> >compensation for his disability. He built a fine career as an electronics design
> >engineer. What is improper or illegal about that?
>
> There's NOTHING "improper or illegal" about that. In fact, this
> individual WAS NOT MENTIONED in anyone's text or context!
>
> Now you are putting on the Grand Champion cload of MORAL ARBITER
> and trying to strike down someone who NEVER MENTIONED your "former
> employee."
>
> I'm just going to keep your little "moral lesson" in mind the
> next time I spot any of your mentions of someone's alleged
> moral impropriety, super chief. I know lots of people that I've
> never mentioned in here...the next time there's a HINT of
> possible "INSULT TO THEM" I will demand YOU apologize to THEM!
>
> > You owe a lot of disabled
> >veterans a huge apology for suggesting that succeeding in life is "improper
> >and/or illegal".
>
> Have you been dipping into the rum barrel again, super chief?
>
> NOBODY "OWES" ANY "APOLOGIES" FOR NOT KNOWING EVERYONE THAT
> >>YOU<< KNOW AND >>NOT MENTIONED IN HERE YET<<.
>
> But, you MISSED someone HERE who goes by the initials SJR...a
> mighty warrior, been in "seven hostile actions," will "always
> be a marine," and claimed BOTH a medical discharge AND a
> disability pension. Yes, "disabled" so much that he received
> U.S. money so that he could fly a private aircraft, engage in
> rollerblading, engage in hang-gliding, go on weight-packing
> "marches" and do all sorts of glorious physical feats of might.
>

> Hello? DISABLED? MEDICAL DISCHARGE (that suddenly turned into
> an "Honorable" as if by magic)? EXTRA DISABILITY INCOME (that
> he "needs")? Yah, yah, all dat and more...
>
> On top of that, a demonstrated sociopathic liar (repeated) who
> has never "apologized" for anydamnthing he wrote, who can't
> ever accept that anyone else has ever challenged him in anything.
>
> By golly, I'm just so glad you are supporting (encouraging?)
> such an individual...makes it EASY to NOT bother entering
> amateur radio through federally-authorized licensing...like who
> would WANT to associate with such as SJR?
>
> Keep up the one-sided Moral Arbiter role. Do it enough and you
> will have college credits towards an MA in BS.
>
> With all bestest of possible regards,
>
> bat bot
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Do you think he'll get it?

## 74. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 00:37:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <J_idnQp9gv7DXXPfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114425

Leo wrote:

>
> LOL! My calculator's in the shop at the moment, but the total so far
> here is definitely 22, eh?
>

> 73, Leo
Leo

What exactly IS a "hoser" anyhow?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 75. Re: Steve isn't lying when he accuses me of libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 00:43:23 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122943403.804177.307680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114426

DeShawn wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122886916.376565.74000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > > > >
> > > > > I haven't evaded anything.
> > > >
> > > > you evade most everything stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure did
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> > > >
> > > > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
> > >
> > cutting stevie stevie evasion
>
> Nope.
>
> You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> questions aimed at you.
>
> THAT is "evasion".
>
> THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
>
> > > > > > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and
> JCAHO.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written
> ones, I am
> > > > > > > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get
> the right
> > > > > > > > ones

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Two comments:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
> > > > > >
> > > > cuting stevie dening he was trying to practive medicine in the
> > > > precceding cut
> > >
> > cuting stevie evasion
>
> You keep "cutting" direct questions.
>
> You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to
> specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.
>
> So here it is AGAIN.
>
> QUOTE
>
>
> Secondly, if YOU don't take the time to sit down with your doctor
> and go over those medications to understand what each one is, it's
> benefits, expected outcomes, potential side effects and possible
> alternatives to their use, then you're the idiot I have always claimed
> you are
>
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Show us, Mighty Markie, where the "practicing medicine" part is, or
> have yet ANOTHER validated reason to be known as a liar heaped upon
> your already robust CV of existing mistruths...
>
> > you called my dontor unprofessional that is libel Stevie boy
>
> Your "dontor"...?!?!
>
> I said IF yuor Doctor's writing was illegible, then he's
> unprofessional.
>
> That's not MY assessment, that's the AMA, the DEA and the JCAHO.
>
> So is it now YOUR assessment that YOU know better than the
> American Medical Association, the Drug Enforcement Agency and the Joint
> Commission for the Accredidation of Healthcare Organizations what
> constitutes professional conduct?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> >

>
> Steve. Ignore the Splibs. Don't waste your time.

Who is this DeShawn?

## 76. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 01:06:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.01.06.51.280238@gmail.com>
Source record: 114428

N2EY:

I have consistently given the answers for the general ticket, I will continue to do so, I have a study guide for general (put together by another individual) the answers are in it, all one needs to do is dig them out--they should have to work a bit for extra, one only needs study these for an evening, two if they are slow, three if they are a "ma'roon" and go to the examiner and get their ticket... it is a moot point for me... I think the FCC changes are perfect as is...

John

On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 17:36:42 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>>
>> If the only difference between NC tech, and tech+ is the code, and
>> there
>> is is no longer a code test, would logic dictate that the plus'es can
>> still hold a greater privilege than a tech?
>
> The FCC's logic says "YES!"
>>
>> Now, why would logic dictate their being two classes of tech, when
>> there
>> does not exist any difference between the classes?
>
> But there *does* exist a difference!
>
> Techs who have passed a code test have some HF privileges now - today -
> under current rules.
>
> Techs who have not passed a code test have no HF privileges now - today
> - under current rules.
>
> The FCC makes it clear that they do not want any current licensee to
> gain or lose privileges simply because of the proposed changes.
>
> Therefore, if the proposal is enacted, the two types of Techs (code
> tested and non code tested) will continue to exist, with the difference
> in privileges, even if there's no longer a code test, and even after the
> last Tech Plus expires, upgrades, or is renewed as Tech.
>
>> Often you see this kind of insanity when the gov't becomes involved,

>> you could argue that point, and there are no more tech plus licenses
>> being issued, you could also argue that point.
>
> It's not "insanity" at all. It's the only way to satisfy all the
> requirements listed above.
>
>> However, I think just as valid an argument would be that tech plus was
>> ok
>> for some HF, now there is no code, so tech is now ok for the same HF
>> allowances.
>
> That would violate the principle of no additional privs without the
> appropriate test, whoch the FCC repeatedly supports in the NPRM
> document.
>
>> However, I don't think you are going to find many who would care to
>> argue
>> this point, there just is not enough interest in amateur radio > at
>> this point, and the argument is something of a "time sensitive" issue,
>> it would have to be argued
>> quickly--or not at all. As precedence is won by the dragging > > of
>> the feet...
>
> You can argue it all you want. Comments don't close for weeks yet.
>
> If anyone actually bothers to read the NPRM, they'll see that FCC
> repeatedly mentions how easy it is for existing licensees to get more
> privileges by passing only written tests. FCC also mentions repeatedly
> how, if the NPRM is enacted, all nonExtras will be able to get more
> privileges by taking a few written tests. They even mention how many
> correct answers are needed.
>
> FCC also repeatedly mentions their support for spectrum as an incentive
> to upgrading, and how free upgrades act as a disincentive.
>
> Do you not like the idea of a VHF/UHF-only entry-level license, with HF
> held out as an incentive for more *written* testing?
>
> --
>
> Just think - under the new system, all new hams will have access to all
> of amateur VHF/UHF, all modes, and full authorized power.
>
> Those who go on to General will have most HF privileges too, with small
> parts of 4 HF bands reserved for Extras.
>
> Be careful what you ask for - you may just get it.

# 77. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 01:35:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.01.22.13.500056@gmail.com>
Source record: 114429

... all I was remarking on was the insanity which wrapped itself around amateur radio, in the 60's-70's, and has maintained its' death grip, and brought the hobby to its' knees and the sorry shape we find it in today. The leaders we put our trust it have let us down severly, destruction has happened "on their watch!" The correction necessary now is going to be painful but we have only those to blame for it... and ourselves for allowing it to happen without complaint or action...

I suspect this now has all taken a change for the better, we will be on an upswing and we will only see younger guys in control from here on out. If not, at least we are getting people who are capable with handling the changes to get us up to speed in the second millenium (actually 3rd millennium!!!: 0-1000 <<-- first millennium, 1000-2000 <<-- second millennium, 2000-3000 <<-- third millennium) after 2000 years you'd think people could handle changes better and quicker... ahhh, that isn't true either, think if Jesus came back, stood before the multi-million dollar churches and commanded, "Sell these structures and feed my people!" They'd have him on a cross before the evening meal (and his last supper!)

John

On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 18:06:58 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> N2EY:
>
> I have consistently given the answers for the general ticket, I will
> continue to do so, I have a study guide for general (put together by
> another individual) the answers are in it, all one needs to do is dig them
> out--they should have to work a bit for extra, one only needs study these
> for an evening, two if they are slow, three if they are a "ma'roon" and go
> to the examiner and get their ticket... it is a moot point for me... I
> think the FCC changes are perfect as is...
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 17:36:42 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>> If the only difference between NC tech, and tech+ is the code, and
>>> there
>>> is is no longer a code test, would logic dictate that the plus'es can
>>> still hold a greater privilege than a tech?
>>

>> The FCC's logic says "YES!"
>>>
>>> Now, why would logic dictate their being two classes of tech, when
>>> there
>>> does not exist any difference between the classes?
>>
>> But there *does* exist a difference!
>>
>> Techs who have passed a code test have some HF privileges now - today -
>> under current rules.
>>
>> Techs who have not passed a code test have no HF privileges now - today
>> - under current rules.
>>
>> The FCC makes it clear that they do not want any current licensee to
>> gain or lose privileges simply because of the proposed changes.
>>
>> Therefore, if the proposal is enacted, the two types of Techs (code
>> tested and non code tested) will continue to exist, with the difference
>> in privileges, even if there's no longer a code test, and even after the
>> last Tech Plus expires, upgrades, or is renewed as Tech.
>>
>>> Often you see this kind of insanity when the gov't becomes involved,
>>> you could argue that point, and there are no more tech plus licenses
>>> being issued, you could also argue that point.
>>
>> It's not "insanity" at all. It's the only way to satisfy all the
>> requirements listed above.
>>
>>> However, I think just as valid an argument would be that tech plus was
>>> ok
>>> for some HF, now there is no code, so tech is now ok for the same HF
>>> allowances.
>>
>> That would violate the principle of no additional privs without the
>> appropriate test, whoch the FCC repeatedly supports in the NPRM
>> document.
>>
>>> However, I don't think you are going to find many who would care to
>>> argue
>>> this point, there just is not enough interest in amateur radio > at
>>> this point, and the argument is something of a "time sensitive" issue,
>>> it would have to be argued
>>> quickly--or not at all. As precedence is won by the dragging > > of
>>> the feet...
>>
>> You can argue it all you want. Comments don't close for weeks yet.
>>
>> If anyone actually bothers to read the NPRM, they'll see that FCC
>> repeatedly mentions how easy it is for existing licensees to get more

>> privileges by passing only written tests. FCC also mentions repeatedly
>> how, if the NPRM is enacted, all nonExtras will be able to get more
>> privileges by taking a few written tests. They even mention how many
>> correct answers are needed.
>>
>> FCC also repeatedly mentions their support for spectrum as an incentive
>> to upgrading, and how free upgrades act as a disincentive.
>>
>> Do you not like the idea of a VHF/UHF-only entry-level license, with HF
>> held out as an incentive for more *written* testing?
>>
>> --
>>
>> Just think - under the new system, all new hams will have access to all
>> of amateur VHF/UHF, all modes, and full authorized power.
>>
>> Those who go on to General will have most HF privileges too, with small
>> parts of 4 HF bands reserved for Extras.
>>
>> Be careful what you ask for - you may just get it.

## 78. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 01:36:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <L6AHe.12432$oZ.5724@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1122939286.297950.289590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth
> > can go back to being a teaching method.
>
> Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back
> to"....
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Morse had become merely a licensing hurdle, Farnsworth a testing
scheme. Now if anyone bothers to learn Morse, it will be to use it.

You know something? Your probably right....and actually that is a good
thing.

Dan/W4NTI

## 79. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 01:46:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ngAHe.130$xG7.2579488@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114430

Mike:

A guy who chugs beer from a hose? Just a guess...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:J_idnQp9gv7DXXPfRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
> Leo wrote:
>
>
>>
>> LOL! My calculator's in the shop at the moment, but the total so far
>> here is definitely 22, eh?
>>
>
>> 73, Leo
> Leo
>
> What exactly IS a "hoser" anyhow?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 80. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 01:53:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vludnaSFtqaXT3PfRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114431

an old friend wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
>
> break
>
>>There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+ would
>>become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to get on
>>HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges. Does
>>anyone know which is right?
>
>
> I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
> called tech plus,

It would be an odd thing if that were the case, Mark. The Tech Plus
gave limited HF access, and isn't being tested for any more anyways.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 81. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 01:55:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ioAHe.132$EP6.2252860@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114432

N2EY:

I think at this time, the tech ticket can be dropped, let 'em go general to start or forget it!!! Just combine tech&gen tests to one...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1122933398.697390.15370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122776126.458483.123700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> It is very difficult to guess but I would expect a significant number of
>> people to take the Tech & General at the same time as there is only a small
>> jump in difficulty level so that their original licenses will be General.
>
> Well, "small jump in difficulty" is subjective. Back in 1987, FCC
> thought
> the difference was significant enough that they split the old General
> into
> two elements. Old 3 became 3A and 3B, with 3A for Tech and both for
> General.
>
>> In any classes that I teach, that will be the approach that I take and I
>> will have a syllabus geared towards that goal. If you are familiar with the
>> "Now You're Talking" study guides from the 1992 era, you will recall that it
>> covered both the Novice written and Technician written. It actively
>> encouraged students to take the road of studying for both writtens (and
>> code) and take all the tests. I'll take the same approach. This way the
>> material that is repeated between the Tech & General needs to be studied
>> only once.
>
> I think it all depends on the individual. Some will find the two tests
> a big step, others won't.
>
>> However, it wouldn't surprise me to see Extra eventually become the largest
>> class under the upcoming system.
>
> Well, look at the numbers.
>
> Back in May 2000, Extras made up just 11.67% of then-current licenses
> held by individuals (78,750 out of 674,792)

>
> Today, Extras make up 16.10% of current licenses held by individuals
> (106,900 out of 664,040).
>
> Meanwhile, the combined Tech class percentages have *dropped*:
>
> May 2000: 49.5% of then-current licenses held by individuals were Tech
> or Tech Plus (334,254 out of 674,792)
>
> Today: 47.8% of current licenses held by individuals are Tech or Tech
> Plus (317,452 out of 664,040)
>
> If you add in Novices, so that you're looking at all the "entry level"
> licenses, the difference is even greater.
>
> Perhaps you're right, Dee. Maybe the system will evolve to something
> approaching a two-level system, in that there will be a few Techs, lots
> of Generals and lots of Extras.
>
> ---
>
> I read the FCC document again. Seems very clear to me that FCC's vision
> for the Technician is that it will have *no*, repeat *no*, HF
> privileges.
> Instead, FCC intends that those who want HF pass at least the General
> test.
>
> Of course those who have Novice or "Tech with HF" privileges won't lose
> them. But both existing noncodetested Techs and future ones will have
> no
> HF privileges at all, under the FCC proposal. This is evident from a
> careful reading of the proposal.
>
>
> Here's why:
>
> The proposed changes to the rules are very simple.
>
> First, Element 1 would simply be deleted. No more Morse Code testing at
> all.
>
> Second, the requirements and credits for existing licenses would change
> slightly. Getting a Tech would require Element 2, getting a General
> would require Elements 2 and 3, and getting an Extra would require
> Elements 2, 3 and 4.
>
> And that's about it for changes to Part 97.
>
> The Part 97 verbiage about HF privileges for Techs involves those who
> have passed a Morse Code exam and retain license documents to prove it.

> But if
> Element 1 is deleted, there will be no way for anyone to pass such an
> exam, and so there will be no new Techs with HF privileges.
>
> IOW, existing noncodetested Techs *will not* get any HF under the FCC
> proposal as written.
>
> This is backed up by repeated verbiage in the document about no one
> getting
> privileges without passing the exams. No free upgrades for anybody. FCC
> also
> repeatedly mentions the generous HF and MF privileges that would be
> obtained
> by passing the written for General.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> 313
>
>
> btw, the comments of two regular posters to rrap were mentioned in the
> document.
>

## 82. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:00:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vludnaeFtqYrTnPfRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114433

Chucky wrote:
> 39. Lennie is a gas bag.
> 40. Lennie is a troll.

41. My doggies like to tail-wag,
42. and long live Rock 'n Roll.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 83. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:02:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vludnaaFtqbcSXPfRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114434

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>Why do some hams just wanna be difficult?
>>
>
>
> Jim wasn't being difficult, just responding to an 'inside' comment.
>
> About 40 years ago Jim and I worked together on Guam ("Duva" in the local
> Chamorran lingo). "Duva Den" was the enlisted watering hole at the Naval
> Communications Station. Consumption at happy hour (10-cents-a-can "Lucky
> Lager", laced with formeldahyde for preservation during ocean shipment) tended
> to be liberal.
>
> You can admire a photo of the "Duva Den" at
> http://www.anzwers.org/free/navyscpo4/guam_frank_lynch_2.html

Looks like where I went to school, Hans.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 84. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:07:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122948477.007664.6720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114435

b.b. wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "an old friend" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 11:20
> >

>
> Perhaps Steve was the kind of "A" NCOIC that walked around with a
> coffee cup the size of a beer mug and too busy handing out commands,
> demands, and insults to have noticed the equipment?

pretty much or else left so clueless about it that he did not realize
he was just holding a spot on the MTOE, and since he mat well have
recieved a nice comendation for holding down that spot he may have
concluded he did something

## 85. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:08:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aYednWveP6o-SHPfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 114436

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.02.00.04.51.968832@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> If the only difference between NC tech, and tech+ is the code, and there
> is is no longer a code test, would logic dictate that the plus'es can
> still hold a greater privilege than a tech?
>
> Now, why would logic dictate their being two classes of tech, when there
> does not exist any difference between the classes? Often you see this
> kind
> of insanity when the gov't becomes involved, you could argue that point,
> and there
> are no more tech plus licenses being issued, you could also argue that
> point.
>
> However, I think just as valid an argument would be that tech plus was ok
> for some HF, now there is no code, so tech is now ok for the same HF
> allowances.
>
> However, I don't think you are going to find many who would care to argue
> this point, there just is not enough interest in amateur radio at this
> point, and the
> argument is something of a "time sensitive" issue, it would have to be
> argued
> quickly--or not at all. As precedence is won by the dragging of the
> feet...
>
> John
>

Well whatever one personally believes, the FCC has made their position clear. The distinction between Tech and Tech+ will remain as it currently is. No code Techs will not have HF unless they upgrade to General. The Techs with code (Tech+) will have the limited Novice HF privileges unless they upgrade to General.

Based on the tone of the FCC's discussion, their goal is to drive people to upgrade. They discussed how upgrading is part of fulfilling the basis and purpose of amateur radio (i.e. the self training part).

Also think of this. Long ago (and before my time in amateur radio), there was so much bitterness over people losing privileges in one of the restructurings that the FCC probably did not want to make that mistake

again. On the other hand, there's been so much hulabaloo about no automatic upgrades that they didn't care to make a mistake by increasing anyone's privileges.

Actually it does make a kind of sense though. This way, everyone will have had to take at least two tests of some kind to get on HF. Besides most of the Tech+ who were both currently active and wanted more privileges have probably upgraded to General by now.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 86. Re: Newflash Stevie get sometime RIGHT of course it takes tight focus to find it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:12:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122948763.474742.20650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114437

```
b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
>
> > > > Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> > > > Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> > > > seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
> > >
> > > So far, there are no rantings.
> >
> > so far? taking your sampl pretty narowly
>
> Hi! A sample of one out of many thousands.
>
> > > Just me proving you to be what I claim. And You providing the
> > > material for me to do it with.
> >
> > what do you claim BTW, this moment it changes more often the Kerry's
> > positions
>
> I still want to hear about his claims to seven (7) hostile actions.
>
> The moment he speaks of them, the Stolen Valor people are going to be
> all over him. He's lived in fear ever since his first brag.
>
> > > > > Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > > > > copy stuff. :-)
> > > >
> > > > Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
> > >
> > > A little lost, are you?
> >
> > not at all, why do you ask? are you admiting to being lost? (we know
> > you are lost)
>
> Darkguard lives in the Watchtower. He sends messages to Quitefine
> using Morse Code.
>
> > > > > Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > > > stuff don't exist in reality.
> > > >
> > > > "His Truth." "His Reality."
> > > >
```

> > > > That kind of sums it up.
> > >
> > > Nice try. Doesn't change the facts that you're both discreditied
> > > liars.
> > >
> > they freely admit to not confroming to YOUR notion of reality,
> > Something I will also admit to if you like
>
> Using Steve's rules, he discredits himself, his family name, and
> amateur radio.
>
> > > Where were you on that Boy Scout Jamboree, BTW, Brain?
> > >
> > > Certainly osunds like they ahd some of your "leadership" behind
> > > it.
> > >
> > and you pounce on me for spelling?
>
> He's special. Extra Special.
>
> And just like Steve and the Lib press to focus in on BSA errors while
> ignoring all of the liberal failures of the last half century. Wonder
> if Steve hates the BSA just because I've been an adult volunteer, or if
> he was denied an adult leadership position for whatever reason, and
> couldn't wear its uniform?
>
> > > > > >> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > > > >> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
> > > > > >> people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
> > > > > >offended!"
> > > > >
> > > > > Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
> > > > > ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> > > > > wider distribution and acclaim!
> > > >
> > > > Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."
> > >
> > > We could do an "episode" on Brain's and Lennie's achievments, but
> > > what would we do with the other 27 1/2 minutes of airtime?
> >
> > back to ranting I see
>

> Steve is a wit, at least partially.
>
> > > > > >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> > > > > >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> > > > > >name.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
> > > > > behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.
> > > >
> > > There are those with reality acceptance issues here, Brain...But
> > > I'm not one of them...
> > >
break
> > BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
> > reality
>
> All you got was a BUZZ?
>
> A Klaxon just went off behind my head on that one. Hi!

I have specail Stevie filters instaled got tire of all the noise ;)
>
> > > > > Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> > > > > plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> > > > > personalities).
> > > > >
> > > > > He's not very bright.
> > > >
> > > Brighter than the sum of the two of you, but then that didn't take
> > > a whole lot.
> > >
> > well he is admiting to not being very bright, has to libel 2 people to
> > do it but he admits it
>
> That he does. Not too bright.
>
> > > > > Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> > > > > anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> > > > > admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> > > > > of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.
> > > >
> > > I'd be interested in figuring out where you got that "Steve
> > > admitted ot hypocricy" thing, but then Lord knows where the two of you
> > > pull things out from...
> > >
> > can't prove it objectively but yet another lie I am sure, you don't

> > realy want that
>
> Steve piled up 29 lies in three weeks, and I didn't even read
> everything he posted in those three weeks. Who'se got the time?

I have had the time but I haven't been counted (the weather herehas
been beastly so I have been staying inside a lot)

>
> > > But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> > > again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> > > the whole gang in.
> >
> > don't need an inviation
>
> It's an open forum, which is why Steve is still permitted access.

well said

or should we just disinvite him?

## 87. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:14:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6o2dnQOUgLy6SnPfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 114438

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ioAHe.132$EP6.2252860@news.sisna.com...
> N2EY:
>
> I think at this time, the tech ticket can be dropped, let 'em go general
> to start or forget it!!! Just combine tech&gen tests to one...
>
> John
>

As I've mentioned before, in my classes in the future, I'll be encouraging my students to do that extra bit of study and go straight for the General. Apparently some of the proposals to the FCC did suggest this but they are not ready to go this route just yet.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 88. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:16:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122948992.725327.170600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114439

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> > I think that regular readers are fully aware
> > of your personal dislike of Fred Maia.
>
> I've never met the guy, so it'd be pretty far fetched to suggest have a
> "personal dislike" for him.

hardly after Stevei has never met and there is NO room for doubt that
he at least dislikes me
>
> I certainly, however, disagree with a lot of his philosophy.
>
> Same applies to you. My guess, from what I see here, is that you're a humorous
> guy, good conversationalist, and that we'd find much in common. But having
> never met you, I can't pretend a "personal like" or a "personal dislike" for
> you. I certainly, however, disagree with much of the philosophy you express
> here.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

## 89. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:26:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jRAHe.7238$0C.7026@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114440

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> It's not "insanity" at all. It's the only way to satisfy
> all the requirements listed above.
>

Of course it's insane.

"The Morse test is no longer required for operation below 30MHz. You can't operate on HF because you've not passed a Morse test." By any measure, that illogical.

Beep Beep
73, de Hans, K0HB

## 90. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:38:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <N0BHe.142$rZ7.2632776@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114446

KXHB:

I wouldn't even think about allowing someone a radio, unless first they have mastered the "African Message Drum", if all civilization is gone the drum is what they will need to survive.

Much more logical than cw, you don't need a radio! Can make a drum out of a hollow log and a skin off the neighbors cat!

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:jRAHe.7238$0C.7026@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> It's not "insanity" at all. It's the only way to satisfy
>> all the requirements listed above.
>>
>
> Of course it's insane.
>
> "The Morse test is no longer required for operation below 30MHz. You can't
> operate on HF because you've not passed a Morse test." By any measure, that
> illogical.
>
> Beep Beep
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

## 91. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:43:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hZqdnXOGgepUQHPfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Kardiac Korner wrote:
>
>>Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
>>Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
>>this is not endemic to the HF bands.
>
>
> On 'phone, maybe. Not on Morse Code.

Not on Phone either. Of course, I think you knew that already, Jim!

>>Case in point. This afternoon three of the youth challenged Old Timers tied
>>up a local repeater as they compared notes and even argued over whose heart
>>surgery was the worst. They droned on and on about the incisions made in
>>their legs, their chests, and even went into graphic detail about the
>>drainage tube that had been implanted in one of their abdomens.

Does sound gross. I tend to get sympathy pains - so my skin would have
been crawling listening to that. But!....

> How did they "tie up" the repeater? Did they refuse to let others use
> it?
>
> Or would it have simply been silent without them?

almost certainly the latter...

>>And it this was not depressing enough, one of the Codgers went on to regale
>>the listeners with details of his wife's cancer and chemo treatments and
>>detailed the prescription drugs his wife uses.
>>
>>Come on, guys. My heart goes out to you, but MUST you discuss it for hours
>>on end? On a repeater? Or anywhere? These oldsters are understandably caught
>>up in their dilemma but someone, some day, is going to have to ask them to
>>please, please, stop.
>
>
> Why?
>
>
>>These Oldsters, all Extra licensees, have no idea how depressing it is to
>>listen to them.
>
>

> See below.
>
>
>>And, guys. When someone asks you how you are doing, don't go into an hour
>>long history of your medical trials and health tribulations. Spare us the
>>details and the resultant depression. Please?
>>
>
> A couple of observations:
>
> First off, with a few exceptions, the subject matter of amateur radio
> conversations isn't regulated or restricted by FCC. Unless you can
> make a case that the conversations involved violated some provision
> of Part 97 or the Communications Act, they're allowed.
>
> Second, I hope no one, including you, ever gets seriously ill or has
> a loved one in perilous health. May we all live to very old ages with
> all our faculties intact, and go quietly and peacefully in our sleep
> when the time comes.
>
> But in reality, many if not most people have to deal with such health
> situations. While those conversations may be boring and depressing to
> *you*, those involved may find them a source of strength, support and
> comfort. Also information. Would you rather those hams go through such
> times without the support of fellow amateurs, whom they may have known
> for decades?

One of the biggest reasons that some hams have a problem with those types of conversations is their *own personal* fear of their *own personal* mortality. And the oldsters with their discussions remind those fearful ones of that very mortality. And they do not like that.

One of the most gripping conversations I ever heard on the bands was one fellow talking to another. He was in the last stages of something or other. But he was wheezing and gurgling on the air. I was fearful that he was going to pass on right there in the middle of the QSO. Real funny stuff, that. Let's go make some hilarious jokes, eh? 8^(

> Third, a repeater's owners have the right to shut down a repeater if
> they don't like the way it is being used, or who is using it. But that
> didn't happen. Are you one of the repeater's owners, or an authorized
> control operator for it?

Anyone who would turn off their repeater for *that* is a heartless bastard. The Karma buildup would be very bad - and deserved.

> Fourth, almost all of the ham rigs I've seen have both a frequency
> control and an on-off switch. Use them!

Finally! And good advice.

I do know that one thing that is much more annoying than old dudes talking about their ailments is people that try to control what other people can or can't say on the air.

A simply excellent response, Jim.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 92. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 02:55:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <egBHe.791$I04.482@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114442

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> Looks like where I went to school, Hans.
>

That answers a lot of questions some of us have had. <BSEG>

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 93. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 03:14:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <o_idnfX_ZedkeXPfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114443

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>Looks like where I went to school, Hans.
>>
>
>
> That answers a lot of questions some of us have had. <BSEG>

Hehe... Thanks Hans, I really needed the chuckle tonight! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 94. Re: FCC proposal = CB'ers with ham licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 03:17:21 UTC
From: "M.S." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <8BBHe.4$h4.875@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 114444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

What a fool!

"Roger Beep" <breaker.breaker@channel.nineteen> wrote in message
news:42eea55f$0$91674$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Ten-four?
>
> Yah, that's a big ten-four.
>
> All my channel 19 good-buddy CB friends are going to be so happy.
>

## 95. Re: FCC proposal = CB'ers with ham licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 03:31:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eOBHe.151$sZ7.2633801@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Roger Beep:

How is your partner doing Echo Mike? And, old Leen E. Ere?

Roger, you and your buddies ready to get up to speed, grab the BIG tickets and QSO with Art Bell on 3.840? He comes on around 10:30 PST, are your buddies anxious?

As popular as Art is with the ladies, I imagine the only reason the girls are grabbing their tickets is to chat with him...

Tell him to say hi to Wayne for me when ya chat him up...

John
"Roger Beep" <breaker.breaker@channel.nineteen> wrote in message news:42eea55f$0$91674$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Ten-four?
>
> Yah, that's a big ten-four.
>
> All my channel 19 good-buddy CB friends are going to be so happy.
>

## 96. Markie Mularkie Multiplied

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 04:12:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122955965.180001.125470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114447

an old friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:

> > > BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
> > > reality
> >
> > All you got was a BUZZ?
> >
> > A Klaxon just went off behind my head on that one. Hi!
>
> I have specail Stevie filters instaled got tire of all the noise

Cute!~

Markie claims he can install filters to ignore the opinions he
doesn't want to see, yet can't seem to use a spellchecker!

> > Steve piled up 29 lies in three weeks, and I didn't even read
> > everything he posted in those three weeks. Who'se got the time?
>
> I have had the time but I haven't been counted (the weather herehas
> been beastly so I have been staying inside a lot)

Brain and his alleged 29 lies...sheesh.

Was asked to validate and substantiate his claims then...didn't
do it.

Still passing on the same unvalidated claims today...

And he wonders why he's held in such "high regard" by others...

Now he's in a back-slapping contest with yet another CONFIRMED
pathological liar...

Why am I not surprised...?!?!

> > > > But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> > > > again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> > > > the whole gang in.
> > >
> > > don't need an inviation
> >
> > It's an open forum, which is why Steve is still permitted access.
>
> well said
>
> or should we just disinvite him?

Gee, Markie...that sounds like a "threat"...Why are you "stalking" me...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 97. Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head...Maybe If He Pulled His Out Of Lennie's ###...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 04:29:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122956972.632605.145710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114448

b.b. wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> > his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
>
> He is to be pitied.

Not by you, Brain.

> > >> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > >> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > >> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > >
> > >He has a unique viewpoint.
> >
> > [all from inside his own fevered imagination...]
>
> Temper Fry.

In the words of your Master, I see.

> > >Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> > >Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> > >seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
> >
> > Aye, that be the cross we all must bear.
> >
> > Tsk, if we could only pry Kellie away from his Navel Intelligence
> > job he could shoot THAT bear for us...
>
> He'd turn PETA before he shot that bear.

People Eating Tasty Animals?

Sure!

But I bet Brian Kelly would know what that Bear looked like before
the trigger got pulled. Of course Lennie knows...he "saw" them years
before they were even in service, which would be pretty astounding
since the old Soviet government kept things like that pretty secret in
those days...

> > >> >> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
> > >
> > >> >> Nope.

> > >
> > >> >> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.
> > >
> > >> >Like I said...
> > >
> > >> Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > >> copy stuff. :-)
> > >
> > >Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
> >
> > No. It's not even a subject at the world's first (and still
> > oldest surviving) Science Fiction Writer's Association in
> > North Hollywood, CA.
>
> Darn!

There's a lot of fiction eminating from California...Not all of it
from Hollywood, North or otherwise.

> > Stebie's output is more like the 1930s "Big Lie" technique
> > used by the national socialist party of Germany at that time.
> > Publish enough of it, often enough, as widely as one can, and
> > some folks will begin to believe it. It's a common
> > psychological warfare technique used the world over.
>
> And it's called Watchtower?

Ooooops...Went and invoked the Nazi's again! Not too surprising
there.

Of course Lennie should know about The Big Lie technique...He's
been trying to root it here without success.

> > >> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > >> stuff don't exist in reality.
> > >
> > >"His Truth." "His Reality."
> > >
> > >That kind of sums it up.
> >
> > Yes, that's the essence of his output.
>
> I'm just hoping he opens up a little about his "seven hostile actions"
> so the Stolen Valor people set things straight.

We could just take some of your Somaila DX Radio Hero stories too,
Brain.

> > Plus a barbarian emotional mentality...resorts to brute force
> > whenever challenged in the slightest. Tsk, brute force doesn't
> > work in an enviornnment where it is impossible to really reach
> > out and hit anyone. He implies "threats" of coming to various

> > challengers' residence areas as a surrogate, that nothing more
> > than bullying taunts.
>
> I'm not so sure they are taunts.

Of course you don't.

That's part of the reason why you are "Brain" instead of "Brian".

> > It's remarkable that his "fellows" and "peers" in here (extras
> > all of them) all seem to condone his actions denigrating the
> > spirit, cameraderie, and "propensity for international good
> > will" (FCC phrase) of the amateur radio service...(SNIP)

This forum is NOT "Amateur Radio", Lennie...You've said so
yourself.

In as much as you do not now nor ever have held an Amateur Radio
license, you'll never be in a situation that will allow you to make a
first-hand assessment of my operating skills or conduct WHILE
operating.

> > He's a poster boy of what NOT to be in the amateur radio hobby.

And remind me, Lennie...

What are YOUR qualifications to make ANY assessment about ANYone
in Amateur Radio?

> > But, he IS
> > "federally qualified" to transmit RF on U.S. amateur radio
> > frequencies and has a certificate (suitable for framing)
> > attesting to that.

Yep. Since 1972.

And unlike you, I am a radio OPERATOR.

> > After all, he thinks that OBTAINING THE
> > *LICENSE* is the most desireable attribute in U.S. ham radio.
> > He recently said so.

No I didn't. Why do you utter lies like that in an archived
forum, Lennie?

> They sit by silently. One day he will come for them.

Well...Lennie utters lies and Brain echos his sentiments...

What else do I need to validate my assertions of their dishonesty
and culpability in deceit?

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

## 98. Brain Making More Outlandish Claims...Must Be A Slow Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 04:39:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122957589.889220.106800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114449

b.b. wrote:

> And just like Steve and the Lib press to focus in on BSA errors while
> ignoring all of the liberal failures of the last half century.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....

"Liberal failures-vs-BSA errors"

Now there was a stretch to pull from the on-going "conversation".

Not the least of which interesting as I am neither a liberal or
member of the Boy Scouts.

> Wonder
> if Steve hates the BSA just because I've been an adult volunteer, or if
> he was denied an adult leadership position for whatever reason, and
> couldn't wear its uniform?

"...hates the BSA..." ?!?!

Where in the world did you get THAT line of mularkie from, Brain?
Just because I pointed out that some Scout "leaders" violated one of
the most basic safety rules of erecting a tent and paid for it with
their lives...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 99. Re: Lennie Acts Out Again, Shows Us His True Style

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 05:09:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122959377.145333.201970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114450

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Markie Morgan said: "Re: Stevie lies and deciets"
> >
> > FYI, Markie: "deceit"
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > > Hello? DISABLED? MEDICAL DISCHARGE (that suddenly turned into
> > > an "Honorable" as if by magic)? EXTRA DISABILITY INCOME (that
> > > he "needs")? Yah, yah, all dat and more...
> >
> > Wondering where you came up with all of that, Lennie.
> >
> > You're trying to put all the big peices together with .060 thread
> > and wondering why your stories don't hold water...
>
> well the story doesn't need to hold water merely truth

Then his story failed twice over, Mark.

> > > On top of that, a demonstrated sociopathic liar (repeated) who
> > > has never "apologized" for anydamnthing he wrote, who can't
> > > ever accept that anyone else has ever challenged him in anything.
> >
> > Now why would you suddenly change the subject from me to you,
> > Lennie?
>
> no he reamins focused on you you just never reconize yourself

There's none of "me" in there, Mark.

> > Just can't stand anyone else being in the spotlight for a minute,
> > can you?
>
> not at all it remains on you i guess where you want it

Nope. Not even close.

Steve, K4YZ

## 100. Brain Demonstrates Suffering from ARCF ( * )

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 05:14:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122959655.968087.202820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114451

b.b. wrote:

> Do you think he'll get it?

I'm sure you'll try to "geti it across", Brain...Especially since
you quoted over 60 lines of LennieRant just to post a 6 word response.

Neither of which were worth the bandwidth.

Steve, K4YZ

(*) Acute Retentive Cranial Flatulance

# 101. Re: Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 05:32:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122960728.782086.326160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114452

From: "b.b." on Mon 1 Aug 2005 17:30

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: b.b. on Jul 31, 2:10 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "b.b." on Sun 31 Jul 2005 07:42
>> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> b.b. wrote:
>> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > > b.b. wrote:
>> >> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
>> >> >> > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
>> >
>> >> >> More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character, Brain.
>> >
>> >> >Steve's needs are not high on my priority list. He wishes it weren't
>> >> >so.
>> >
>> >> Tsk. I think Stebie thinks ALL should be concerned with Stebie's
>> >> demands! :-)
>> >
>> >But we're not, so he bites his knuckle and thinks up new taunts and
>> >attacks.
>>
>> All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
>> his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
>
>He is to be pitied.

He needs to be bandaged. :-)

>> >> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
>> >> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
>> >> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
>> >
>> >He has a unique viewpoint.
>>
>> [all from inside his own fevered imagination...]
>
>Temper Fry.

Deep fat fry? :-)

>> >Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
>> >Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
>> >seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
>>
>> Aye, that be the cross we all must bear.
>>
>> Tsk, if we could only pry Kellie away from his Navel Intelligence
>> job he could shoot THAT bear for us... :-)
>
>He'd turn PETA before he shot that bear.

I'm betting he would turn white first. :-)

>> >> >> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
>> >
>> >> >> Nope.
>> >
>> >> >> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.
>> >
>> >> >Like I said...
>> >
>> >> Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
>> >> copy stuff. :-)
>> >
>> >Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
>>
>> No. It's not even a subject at the world's first (and still
>> oldest surviving) Science Fiction Writer's Association in
>> North Hollywood, CA.
>
>Darn!

Well, much of the time it IS fiction at least...

>> Stebie's output is more like the 1930s "Big Lie" technique
>> used by the national socialist party of Germany at that time.
>> Publish enough of it, often enough, as widely as one can, and
>> some folks will begin to believe it. It's a common
>> psychological warfare technique used the world over.
>
>And it's called Watchtower?

The religious tract is. I'm not sure what the tract were
Stebie is now is called.

>> >> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
>> >> stuff don't exist in reality.
>> >
>> >"His Truth." "His Reality."
>> >
>> >That kind of sums it up.
>>
>> Yes, that's the essence of his output.

>
>I'm just hoping he opens up a little about his "seven hostile actions"
>so the Stolen Valor people set things straight.

Not likely. :-) But, we can take up a collection and get
Stebie an IMPLIED medal for his IMPLIED "hostile action"
Heroism. [doesn't cost much at all...:-) ]

>> >> >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
>> >
>> >> >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
>> >> >offended!"
>> >
>> >> Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
>> >> ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
>> >> wider distribution and acclaim!
>> >
>> >Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."
>>
>> ANY disagreement with Stebie WILL "trigger an episode."
>>
>> His emotional state is that of a walking nitroglycerine vial.
>> Shake it slightly and it sets off an explosion.
>
>They could make a movie.... "The Detonator!"

Wow! A movie! Maybe Tom Crude could star as Stebie?

>> >> >> > We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
>> >> >> > the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
>> >> >> > yourselves.
>> >
>> >> >> I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
>> >> >> If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.
>> >
>> >> >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
>> >> >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
>> >> >name.
>> >
>> >> You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible. :-)
>> >
>> >It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
>> >behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.
>>
>> We might have to bring back "Duck and Cover" drills...
>
>Air raid sirens and all.

Well, his cries of "outrage" could out-db the siren! :-)

>> >> Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
>> >> plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple

>> >> personalities). :-)
>> >
>> >He's not very bright.
>>
>> Plus a barbarian emotional mentality...resorts to brute force
>> whenever challenged in the slightest. Tsk, brute force doesn't
>> work in an enviornnment where it is impossible to really reach
>> out and hit anyone. He implies "threats" of coming to various
>> challengers' residence areas as a surrogate, that nothing more
>> than bullying taunts.
>
>I'm not so sure they are taunts.

I think he just got a new Capital One card and is showing off.

>> >> Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
>> >> anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
>> >> admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
>> >> of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there. :-)
>> >
>> >I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.
>>
>> Tsk, I'm getting the same way.
>>
>> It's remarkable that his "fellows" and "peers" in here (extras
>> all of them) all seem to condone his actions denigrating the
>> spirit, cameraderie, and "propensity for international good
>> will" (FCC phrase) of the amateur radio service. He's a poster
>> boy of what NOT to be in the amateur radio hobby. But, he IS
>> "federally qualified" to transmit RF on U.S. amateur radio
>> frequencies and has a certificate (suitable for framing)
>> attesting to that. After all, he thinks that OBTAINING THE
>> *LICENSE* is the most desireable attribute in U.S. ham radio.
>> He recently said so.
>>
>They sit by silently. One day he will come for them.

I hope NOT...there are two women and one nun here watching!

Ugh, what an awful sight...!

tic tac
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 102. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 05:37:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122961034.938688.54920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> I do know that one thing that is much more annoying than old >dudes talking about their ailments is people that try to control
>what other people can or can't say on the air.

Tsk, tsk...that lumps all the PCTA extras in that group!

> A simply excellent response, Jim.

Expected remark from one PCTA to another. :-)

dit dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 103. Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 05:40:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122961234.440789.48220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114454

As of the close of business on 1 Aug 05, the total Comments on WT Docket 05-235 were 258. Of those approximately 7 were either duplicates (two) or desired an intermediate decision not covered by the NPRM. There were 78 Comments that were against eliminating the morse code test. The remainder, 251 Comments were for the elimination of the morse code test.

The ratio of For versus Against elimination was 3.22:1.

Comments began on 20 Jul 05 with none logged in by the FCC on 23 and 24 July, none on 30 and 31 July.

non dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 104. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 05:43:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122961426.199118.286990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114455

>Do you think he'll get it?

Perhaps. We'll know after the AFCEA gathering... :-)

bat man
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 105. Mark Morgan WANTS to be Sued.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 06:00:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122962453.473041.222830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114456

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > hacking sexual coment
> > >
> > Whcih is to say you "hacked" nothing. There was no "sexual coment"
> > therein.
>
> there was and you put it there I choose to cut it and noted that I did
> so

Then we have you lying yet again.

> > > > > > "Public dole"..?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
> > > > > > it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You deny being on disability?
> > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely.
> > > > >
> > > > > Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I
> > > > >
> > > > > might add.
> > > > >
> > > > > UNQUOTE
> > > > >
> > > > > So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me
> > > > > of taking things that I haven't taken.
> > > >
> > > your quote has nothing to do with the matter years ago you mentioned it
> > > and now you deny it I simply don't beleive you in cahnging your story
> > > again
> > >
> > "cahngubg" THAT story? I've never claimed to be on disability, nor
> > id I was would I brag about it.
>
> yes you did you were not braging of it but lameting it some 6 years ago

> you were using it to counter the argument you should not be picking on
> me becuase of my disability

Cite it. Cite where I said "I am on disability and receiving
public assistance", or other such words, Markie.

> > > > First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> > > > allegedging some impropriety.
> > >
> > > yes based on your statements in the past and present
> >
> > Based upon WHAT statements "in the past and present"...??
>
> asked and answered

Not answered.

I asked you for specifics.

"asked and answered" is a lie.

"Answer" with specifics or acknowledge you are either making it
up, or just trying to recall events from memory (and failing in the
attempt)

> > I've NEVER stated that I am on disability.
>
> you were never that clear but you danced around around it enough to
> convince me of it

"danced around around it"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

You ARE the idiot I accused you of being ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > your quote supports the impropriety, as in being able to work 40 hours
> > > you clearly should not eleigble
> >
> > Supports WHAT impropriety?
>
> being on disablity and clearly being able to work is improper

And WHO said I am on disability, Mark?

from WHAT public archive did you get this?

> > I am working, ergo do NOT claim ANY "disability".
>
> one I have only your word you are working, and your word means nothing
> to me

Of course it doesn't.

That's because you've publically admitted to and are proud of
being a liar. Liar's don't like the truth.

> two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
> disablity or other public aid...(SNIP)

It does in the State of Tennessee. If you're receiving ANY form
of public aid, any income you make will pro-rate that down. And if
your "income" is from a source that would otherwise indicate that your
public aid is obtained under false pretenses, you're in trouble.

> (UNSNIP)...only makes improper and/or illegal to do so

Sure it is. Why would I violate State and Federal law for less
than $2000 a months when I make almost double and a half that as a
Nurse?

> > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
>
> your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> problems

(A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
'...some years ago' is a lie)

(B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
another lie of yours)

(C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
Mark, but it's not me.

> > > I have no reason beyond your word that you are not double dipping, as a
> > > point of fact I believe you are...(SNIP)
> >
> > First of all, you obviously don't know what "double dipping" is.
>
> No I simply don't apply the word as narrowly as you do, as can be
> expected since I am less narrow minded than you

No, you're far MORE narrow minded than I ever thought about being.

> more of Stevie trying to pretend he is a binding authority on english
> cut

No "binding authority"...Just rubbing your nose in yet another
mis-applied "definition" that you heard on some newscast once and tried
(inappropriately) to use here.

> why do you bother with that stevie, I don't accept your "authority"
> surely even you are bright enough to notice

What's "bright enough to notice" is your glaring ignorance of
proper terminology...Or at least terminology as the rest of society
uses it...Your applications are...well...bizzare.

> > > I have not been bringing it up since
> > > then since I don't think it that important, but since you insist I
> > > think you are stealing for the govt monies you are not entitled to
> >
> > Then you've demonstrated what a COMPLETE idiot you are by making
> > such a statement without an iota of evidence.
>
> merely your statements of 7 years no eveidence there

What statements?

You keep refering to alleged statements of mine wherein I claimed
to be on disability.

I call you a liar, Morgan. Prove me wrong.

> > > That isn't libel BTW since I clearly state it is my opinion
> >
> > yes it is, since you allege the perpetration of a felony without
> > evidence, opinion or not.
>
> not at all you simply don't know much about law. otherwise the DNC
> would be broke about now

I know enough to know that you're an idiot, have proven it
repeatedly, and if you ever got it into your pea-brain to TRY an "sue
(me) for libel", the judge's family could successfully sue YOU for
causing him to laugh himself to death!

> > > > Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> > > > I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
> > >
> > > are so you are
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you are

Where..?!?!

Show me the content that says "Look at me! See what I did! ! !"

Hint: It's NOT there.

> > > > Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why
> > > > do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the
> > > > contrary...???
> > >
> > > You have presented NO eveidence to the contrary, none at all, Indeed I
> > > don't think you or anyone could present any eveidence in this forum
> >
> > You're welcome to search my name and DOB on public files in the
> > State of Tennessee, Markie. The only "hit" you'll find are my driver's
> > license and Nursing license.

>
> anytime you want to present some evidence you go ahead, but again I
> have formed my opinion, you wish to prove something you prove it, carry
> your own freight you lazy bum

Nope.

YOU made the claim that I am a welfare/disability cheat.

This is YOUR freight to carry, Mark.

Make good on your claim.

> It is statements like the one you just made that help convince me that
> you are in fact cheating the system somehow

How?

What part of "you're welcome to search the records" says to you
"I'm cheating" on public assistance?

> Even if I preformed the search you sugest it would prove nothing You
> could be using a fake name and other id to acomplise this feat Indeed
> as I said at some point you can't prove your inocence, it is quite
> impossible

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.......

NOW who's doing the 'dancing around around it', Markie...?!?!

> > > I got the idea form your post of some 6 years ago, and emails you sent
> > > in the same time frame
> >
> > I have never claimed drawing any disability pay,
> > Markie...ESPEICALLY in any alleged (ie: never happened) "e-mails".
>
> you sent bunches of them harrasing me pleading with me demanding I take
> various action trying to black mail with the material you had found out
> about my sexual orientation

A lie, Markie. I NEVER "pleaded" with you for ANYTHING!

BBWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> again the precision with which you making your claim suggest that I
> quite close to the truth. that you care so much about what someone who
> you claim is of so little import has to say on the subject

"precison with which you making your claim"...?!?!?!

> as the old saying goes, "Methinks thou doest protest too much"

E N G L I S H ! ! ! ! !

Geezus, Markie! You make all these ludicrous claims of your
lingusitic skills, and can't even QUOTE thigs correctly!

> > Once again, you substantiate my claims of your lack of situational
> > awareness and attention to detail.
>
> i do nothing of the sort you are merely trying , desperately to cloud
> an issue on which you are very likely vulernable

Like I said, LiarBoy...Help yourself.

> > > It is no lie when I state that I believe you are comitting fraud of
> > > some Govt agency.
> >
> > And it is no lie on MY part when I state that I believe you need
> > to be under the supervision of mental health case workers, or at the
> > very least a reputable vice cop.
>
> I accept that is a true statement
>
> However it also shows your lack of mental balance

No, it does not.

It shows that I believe you need to be under the supervsion of
mental health case workers or a vice cop.

And we have YOU to prove it right.

> nothing you accuse me off merits any attention form a Vice cop and I
> suffer from no mental illness as determined by licensed pros

What "licensed pros"..?!?! The "working girls" in Michigan have
licenses?

> > > wether you are or not is another matter but that I have formed that
> > > opinion is a fact
> >
> > You've broken new ground here, Markie. Looks like it't time to
> > have a chat with the folks at Houghton County SO. Someone up there
> > needs to know about you.
>
> not at all, no new ground at all, not even your threats are new I have
> stated my opinions on you quite clearly in the past

No, you've not "stated (your) opinions quite clearly".

They are a blended mess of jibberish interspersed with occassional
lucid lines that, when taken in the whole, demonstrate significant
deficits on your part.

> > BTW...How did you manage to screw up your address change with the
> > FCC so badly? Geeze, Markie, how many time do you think it's necessary
> > to note "PO" box in the address line???
>

> more proof of your stalking
>
> as if more were needed

Nope.

Public records. www.qrz.com. Personally, I think it's
hillarious...A simple one-line address and you couldn't even fill THAT
out without screwing it up...

More proof of your functional illiteracy.

Steve, K4YZ

## 106. Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 06:05:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <D2EHe.158$5h1.2984068@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114457

Len:

Ahhh, good to see such a thing. Restores a bit of faith in humanity. You never have to fear the decision of the majority, logic, common sense and decency still resides in the overall consensus.

I can go to sleep realizing God is good...
<grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122961234.440789.48220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> As of the close of business on 1 Aug 05, the total Comments
> on WT Docket 05-235 were 258. Of those approximately 7 were
> either duplicates (two) or desired an intermediate decision
> not covered by the NPRM. There were 78 Comments that were
> against eliminating the morse code test. The remainder, 251
> Comments were for the elimination of the morse code test.
>
> The ratio of For versus Against elimination was 3.22:1.
>
> Comments began on 20 Jul 05 with none logged in by the FCC
> on 23 and 24 July, none on 30 and 31 July.
>
> non dit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

## 107. Mark Lies...Hans Proves It...Mark Lies Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 06:08:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122962917.888895.193720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114458

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > you disort my mean sir and you have removed the stement from context
> >
> > I didn't distort your statement at all, but quoted it completely and verbatim.
>
> now you are plain flat out lying

Nope.

There you go making more accusations that are so readily proven
false, Markie.

Hans quoted every word you wrote.

> and like Stevie you cut again the stement you claim to have quoted
> >
> > Then I proved it false.
>
> you demolised a straw man of your own making

What "straw man"...?!?!

There you go quoting Lennie again, and like your OTHER uses of
"definitions" for which you have no idea what they mean, you screwed
this up too.

> > Deal with that.
>
> as will you

He DID "deal with it"...

Made you look like an even BIGGER fool than you already are...as
if THAT were possible, but he did!

Your foolishness is just like a bowl of Jell-O..."There's Always
Room For More!"

Steve, K4YZ

## 108. Mark Morgan Is An Insufferable Creep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 06:22:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122963764.478574.47220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114459

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I haven't evaded anything.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you evade most everything stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > sure did
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> see you evasion become obviousafter awhile

Nope.

What we see is you trying to make an argument out of something
that is inarguable.

> > > > > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
> > > >
> > > cutting stevie stevie evasion
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > questions aimed at you.
>
> More lies Stevie, I refuse to participate in your libeling of some one
> else

Who did I libel?

You need an English comprehension course and some backround work in
basic civil law, Markie.

> > THAT is "evasion".
>
> nope that is honarable behoavot

"honarable behoavot"...

What's that?

(Noting that Mark AGAIN cut out the paragraph wherein I asked him
to prove his assertions but he overtly avoids doing so)

> > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
>
> nope it shows your lack of Honnor and your cowrdace that you attack
> people not present to defend themselves if they choose

What's to defend?

If your "dontor" is doing what YOU siad he's doing, he's a
screw-up.

That's not MY assessment...it's the AMA's.

> cutting stevie trying to inviolve people not here in his juvi games

What you're "cutting" is direct questions that you refuse to
answer.

> I have said i will play along with your games, to a point, but there
> are points I will not go
>
> Like when you seek to use people not here to play your games

There's no games here, LiarBoy.

For example, YOU alledge that I am "practicing medicine" based on
the following quote

QUOTE

Secondly, if YOU don't take the time to sit down with your doctor
and go over those medications to understand what each one is, it's
benefits, expected outcomes, potential side effects and possible
alternatives to their use, then you're the idiot I have always claimed
you are

UNQUOTE

I've asked you for four posts now to validate you allegation, but
you just keep "ctuuing juvi stiff"..

So...Where's the answer?

From WHAT statement do you draw the conclusion that I am
"practicing medicine"...?!?!

(Hint: I've already written this one off as yet ANOTHER example of you using terminology for which you have NO idea what it means...You just like tryig to use the words in a sentence)

Steve, K4YZ

## 109. More Markie Mularkie Mashed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 06:31:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122964261.072993.181670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114460

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> >>
> >
> > recutting libel
> >
> >
> >>>cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
> >>
> >> You mean this?
> >>
> >
> > rectuing stevie trying to didtate the practice of medicine
> > fun)
> >
> >> You need to get a good proctologist to help you get your head out
> >>of it's present "up and locked" position, Markie...
> >
> >
> > more of stevie genital obsession
>
> I suppose it takes a special man to think of the anus as a genital organ.

That's partly due to his being functionally illiterate, Dave...

He missed the "Do Not Enter" sign...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 110. Re: Steve isn't lying when he accuses me of libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 06:32:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122964376.796903.115690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114461

b.b. wrote:

> Who is this DeShawn?

Who is this "b.b." to ask?

Steve, K4YZ

## 111. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 08:01:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122968399.239442.126350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114462

an_old_friend wrote:
> hmm usenet seems to have eaten my first reply this is a second wonder
> whihc will hit stevie first
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > You sure screwed up "civilized"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > so did he I simply choose to to make it plain
> > > > >
> > > > Markie, you already refuse to use capitalization, punctuation, or
> > > > correct your spelling.
> > > >
> > > Why not just skip putting spaces in there too? Trust me when I say
> > > it won't hurt the intelligibility of your "comnets" one little bit.
> > >
> > why don't you grow up will not hurt you any
> >
> > Why don't YOU learn to use proper grammatical construction...It
> > can only HELP you...And it won't hurt a bit.
>
> why becuase you insist I must

Because you're a liability to yourself, your "partner" (whoever he
is) and all around you when you can't communicate effectively

> losing my freedom even slightly to bully and bigot such as yourself
> harms me beyond measure

You are completely free to bully and bigot anyone you please,
Mark...As a matter of fact, you've been doing quite well right here.

And if anything "...harms (you) beyond measure...", it's your own
failure to "do the right thing" and get your problems fixed.

> so we chalk up another lie stevie

Yes, Markie, you've been caught lying again, so go right ahead and
chalk it up.

> ever care to start teling the turth

Sure..go right ahead and start any time. We've been waiting for
you...

> > > why don't you give up you control freak status
> >
> > Seems you suffer from that which you would accuse others of,
> > Markie.
>
> I don't want to control anyone it is far too much work, and as you
> point out I am far too lazy to work that hard

But you do keep trying.

You "control" us by making us wade through your jibberish and try
to put the ends together to a logical outcome.

YOUR problem is that you insist on being stupid and misunderstood.

I am quite sure that other statements you made above were intended
to say something other than what was said, but since you refuse to
exercise reasonable grammatical structure, it came out "bassackwards".

THAT is why you need to fix these problems.

Now...to re-ask a question I asked you in another thread but you
refused to answer with a yes/no response...Would you allow a surgeon to
do surgery on you or a loved one based upon pre-op orders that were
written the way YOU write?

Try to be honest. We're all watching.

Steve, K4YZ

## 112. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 13:06:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcnr4o$tv6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> I do know that one thing that is much more annoying than old >dudes talking about their ailments is people that try to control
>>what other people can or can't say on the air.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk...that lumps all the PCTA extras in that group!
>
>
>> A simply excellent response, Jim.
>
>
> Expected remark from one PCTA to another. :-)

Illogical.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 113. Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 13:18:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6pKHe.12712$oZ.8064@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114464

Len,

Thanks for the status analysis.
Will you be doing periodic updates too?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122961234.440789.48220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> As of the close of business on 1 Aug 05, the total Comments
> on WT Docket 05-235 were 258. Of those approximately 7 were
> either duplicates (two) or desired an intermediate decision
> not covered by the NPRM. There were 78 Comments that were
> against eliminating the morse code test. The remainder, 251
> Comments were for the elimination of the morse code test.
>
> The ratio of For versus Against elimination was 3.22:1.
>
> Comments began on 20 Jul 05 with none logged in by the FCC
> on 23 and 24 July, none on 30 and 31 July.
>
> non dit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

## 114. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 13:22:18 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcns29$omk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114465

an old friend wrote:

>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of the
>>>>analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely
>>>>disappear...
>>>
>>>
>>>indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece
>>>
>>>Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
>>>newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed
>>
>>
>> Of course, we probably don't want the new digital phones to be running
>>at the power levels the old bag phones run. Especially for the lenght of
>>time that people hold the things to their head nowadays.
>
>
> why not sounds like it be would evoltuion in action, but I would like
> havinga digtial bag phone or a cordless remote to car mounted phone of
> the higher powere we had with the bag phones

Hey Mark,

I wouldn't call it evolution in action - but perhaps Darwinism in
action! 8^)

The RF isn't ionizing radiation, so it wouldn't mutate any cells,
giving rise to evolvement.

But the idea of holding 5 or more watts if UHF RF against my temple is
a scary one.

Related item. I was on vacation last weekend, and a couple weekends
before that. I was very nearly killed several times by cell phone users
who nearly rear-ended me, were engaged in some sort of animated
argument, and nearly side swiped me, or just generally drove like a

complete idiot.

Seeing as how truck drivers and Hams have been using radios for years and years with out (apparently) nasty incidents, I wonder if exposure to the near field of near GHz radiation makes people temporarily stupid.

I believe that we need to treat people who drive and use cell phones in the same manner that we treat people who drive intoxicated. Proof that an intelligent person can be made stupid by a cell phone would go a long way in that direction.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 115. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 13:57:15 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcnu3r$16ri$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114466

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>>>>>>that they expect any Tech
>>>>>>not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>>>>>>to take the General exam and
>>>>>>upgrade to get HF privileges.
>>>>>
>>>>>Interesting - in many ways!
>>>>>
>>>>>For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
>>>>>Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>>>
>>>>Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
>>>>that licensees
>>>>are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want
>>>>more
>>>>privileges, they show that development by upgrading.
>>>
>>>The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
>>>contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!
>>
>>But it does completely satisfy those who kept hammering on no
>>automatic upgrades and then some as I got the impression that most would
>> not have minded Techs being consolidated with Tech Plus at the Tech Plus
>> privileges even though otherwise against automatic upgrades.
>>
>
> Perhaps.
>
> Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
> for General or Extra.

But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
Technician) earlier?

>
>>>The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-> > with-HF
>>>is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not.
>>>The latter
>>>has some HF privileges and the former has none.
>>>
>>>The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and
>>>proposes
>>>to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
>>>follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but > > that's not what FCC
proposes?!
>>>
>>>The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
>>>long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
>>>term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
>>>and require at least a General for HF.
>>
>>It is hard to say what the FCC was thinking. However perhaps
>>they too are
>>looking at the statistics and interpret it as no need for the
>>introductory
>>license to have HF privileges. Afterall the Novice are
>>declining at a fast
>>enough pace that it looks like almost none are active and that > almost all
>>are failing to renew. They can easily go into the data as they > have it and
>>see how many Tech Pluses actually upgraded, let themselves
>>lapse, or simply
>>renewed. The last indicating a low activity level and/or
>>interest level since they have not upgraded.
>
>
> The number of upgrades isn't easily obtained from the database, though.
>
>
>>Also, they may be thinking that this keeps the minimum number
>>to tests to
>>get onto HF at least at two. The Novices had to take two and
>>Techs after
>>April 2000 had to take two to get on HF. Prior to that, Techs > had to take 3
>>tests to get on HF (Novice written, Tech written, and code).
>
>
> True enough!
>
> And when you consider that the old, pre-restructuring 20 wpm *Extra*
> was earned by at least one third-grader at the age of 8,
> it's a bit hard to accept that the written tests are "too hard".

>
> (OTOH, the same can be said about the code tests...)
>
>>They also discussed the concept that they do not want Techs to > stay at the
>>Tech level. They consider upgrading as a significant element
>>in individuals
>>fulfilling the basis and purpose of ham radio (i.e. self
>>training, etc).
>>Allowing the Technicians some HF privileges reduces the
>>motivation to
>>upgrade. And the FCC repeately referred to the Techs being
>>able to get on
>>HF by taking the simple written test to upgrade to General.
>
>
> It gets more and more interesting. Sounds like a rebirth of
> Incentive Licensing.

THey better call it something else! ;^)

> Think about it: FCC saying that it's *not OK* to stay a Tech!?!
> That upgrading is part of being a good ham and supporting the
> Basis and Purpose...

Works for me!

<rest snipped>

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 116. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:03:29 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <5XLHe.2360$6D5.1070@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114467

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:N0BHe.142$rZ7.2632776@news.sisna.com...
> KXHB:
>
> I wouldn't even think about allowing someone a radio, unless first they
have
> mastered the "African Message Drum", if all civilization is gone the drum
is
> what they will need to survive.
>
> Much more logical than cw, you don't need a radio! Can make a drum out of
a
> hollow log and a skin off the neighbors cat!
>
> John
>

And, uh, what'd you say *your* callsign was? I, uh, just didn't quite catch it... I'm just asking because it's a generally noted condition of a few major posters in this newsgroup, that if one is not an amateur radio licensee (Len Anderson, for example) their opinions on the matter of amateur radio are taken with a grain of salt.

If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is around, does it make a sound?

Kim W5TIT

## 117. Re: Steve Lies.....Hans Lies Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:14:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122995670.141890.122640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114468

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > you disort my mean sir and you have removed the stement from context
> > >
> > > I didn't distort your statement at all, but quoted it completely and verbatim.
> >
> > now you are plain flat out lying
>
> Nope.
>
> There you go making more accusations that are so readily proven
> false, Markie.

that at all
>
> Hans quoted every word you wrote.

a lie on both of parts

>
> > and like Stevie you cut again the stement you claim to have quoted
> > >
> > > Then I proved it false.
> >
> > you demolised a straw man of your own making
>
> What "straw man"...?!?!

which straw men you mean

you add stuff to any statement
>
> There you go quoting Lennie again, and like your OTHER uses of
> "definitions" for which you have no idea what they mean, you screwed
> this up too.

not at all. It is not my fault or lens that you mind is so limited

>
> > > Deal with that.
> >
> > as will you
>

> He DID "deal with it"...

by making another bald faced lie
>
> Made you look like an even BIGGER fool than you already are...as
> if THAT were possible, but he did!
>
> Your foolishness is just like a bowl of Jell-O..."There's Always
> Room For More!"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 118. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:25:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8gMHe.959$I04.543@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114469

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote

> 
> If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
> around, does it make a sound?
> 
> Kim W5TIT
> 

If a man is in the forest and says something, but there's no woman around to
hear it, is he still wrong?

## 119. Mark Morgan Just Doesn't Get It. He Can't Get Away With His BS, No Matter How He Tries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:42:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122997371.788950.17190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114470

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > you disort my mean sir and you have removed the stement from context
> > > >
> > > > I didn't distort your statement at all, but quoted it completely and verbatim.
> > >
> > > now you are plain flat out lying
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > There you go making more accusations that are so readily proven
> > false, Markie.
>
> that at all

"...that at all"...

What was that? It wasn't a sentence.

> > Hans quoted every word you wrote.
>
> a lie on both of parts

No, it wasn't.

> > > and like Stevie you cut again the stement you claim to have quoted
> > >
> > > > Then I proved it false.
> > >
> > > you demolised a straw man of your own making
> >
> > What "straw man"...?!?!
>
> which straw men you mean

Well here we go again, LiarBoy.

YOU said..."you demolised a straw man..."

Singular. As in "just one"...

"men" is plural.

> you add stuff to any statement

Nope. You do.

Re-read what I just wrote, quoting YOU word-for-word.

> > There you go quoting Lennie again, and like your OTHER uses of
> > "definitions" for which you have no idea what they mean, you screwed
> > this up too.
>
> not at all. It is not my fault or lens that you mind is so limited

There's a limited mind here, alright, but it's not mine, LiarBoy.

> > > > Deal with that.
> > >
> > > as will you
> >
> > He DID "deal with it"...
>
> by making another bald faced lie

Nope. Every word of it true.

> > Made you look like an even BIGGER fool than you already are...as
> > if THAT were possible, but he did!
> >
> > Your foolishness is just like a bowl of Jell-O..."There's Always
> > Room For More!"

As I said, and you PROVED it for me AGAIN.

Steve, K4YZ

## 120. Re: Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:43:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122997395.834057.189330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114471

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > hacking sexual coment
> > >
> > > Whcih is to say you "hacked" nothing. There was no "sexual coment"
> > > therein.
> >
> > there was and you put it there I choose to cut it and noted that I did
> > so
>
> Then we have you lying yet again.

nope

>
> > > > > > > "Public dole"..?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
> > > > > > it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You deny being on disability?
> > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely.
> > > > >
> > > > > Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I
> > > > >
> > > > > might add.
> > > > >
> > > > > UNQUOTE
> > > > >
> > > > > So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me
> > > > > of taking things that I haven't taken.
> > > >
> > > > your quote has nothing to do with the matter years ago you mentioned it
> > > > and now you deny it I simply don't beleive you in cahnging your story
> > > > again
> > >

> > > "cahngubg" THAT story? I've never claimed to be on disability, nor
> > > id I was would I brag about it.
> >
> > yes you did you were not braging of it but lameting it some 6 years ago
> > you were using it to counter the argument you should not be picking on
> > me becuase of my disability
>
> Cite it. Cite where I said "I am on disability and receiving
> public assistance", or other such words, Markie.

you said it or rather you typed words to that effect

>
> > > > > First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> > > > > allegedging some impropriety.
> > > >
> > > > yes based on your statements in the past and present
> > >
> > > Based upon WHAT statements "in the past and present"...??
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Not answered.

asked and answered

>
> I asked you for specifics.

I choose not to repaet myself again, you can ask for anything you like,
I don't have to give it to you

>
> "asked and answered" is a lie.

wrong it is simply not the answer you want

>
> "Answer" with specifics or acknowledge you are either making it
> up, or just trying to recall events from memory (and failing in the
> attempt)

More orders Stevie? makes of lie of claims you don't make "demands"

shove it

>
> > > I've NEVER stated that I am on disability.
> >
> > you were never that clear but you danced around around it enough to
> > convince me of it
>
> "danced around around it"...?!?!

yes danced around around it

>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

cry baby
>
> You ARE the idiot I accused you of being ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> > > > your quote supports the impropriety, as in being able to work 40 hours
> > > > you clearly should not eleigble
> > >
> > > Supports WHAT impropriety?
> >
> > being on disablity and clearly being able to work is improper
>
> And WHO said I am on disability, Mark?

you did

>
> from WHAT public archive did you get this?

never claimed it was a public archive, never denied it was public
either

>
> > > I am working, ergo do NOT claim ANY "disability".
> >
> > one I have only your word you are working, and your word means nothing
> > to me
>
> Of course it doesn't.

progress

>
> That's because you've publically admitted to and are proud of
> being a liar. Liar's don't like the truth.

no that isn't the reason Stevie BUZZ another lie

the reason is that I don't trust you because you are an arrogant, lying
peice of shit

>
> > two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
> > disablity or other public aid...(SNIP)
>
> It does in the State of Tennessee. If you're receiving ANY form
> of public aid, any income you make will pro-rate that down. And if
> your "income" is from a source that would otherwise indicate that your
> public aid is obtained under false pretenses, you're in trouble.

more Stevie lies

indeed your stament makes clear you can legaly work and collect public
aid.

and yes I suspect that your income is obtained under false pretenses,
so you shold be in trouble
>
> > (UNSNIP)...only makes improper and/or illegal to do so
>
> Sure it is. Why would I violate State and Federal law for less
> than $2000 a months when I make almost double and a half that as a
> Nurse?

Stevie how would I know why you were stupid enough to that. Funny
though that you claim to know how much is involved without ever have
received it

that statement alone is enough to convince you are a fraud

>
> > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> >
> > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > problems
>
> (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> '...some years ago' is a lie)

but you did

>
> (B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
> another lie of yours)

you know more about disability than the ARS that is certainly
sugeestive

that you deny it so loudly is another

that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
being caught in an outright lie

>
> (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> Mark, but it's not me.

you have clear mental health issues

very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins

>
> > > > I have no reason beyond your word that you are not double dipping, as a
> > > > point of fact I believe you are...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > First of all, you obviously don't know what "double dipping" is.

> >
> > No I simply don't apply the word as narrowly as you do, as can be
> > expected since I am less narrow minded than you
>
> No, you're far MORE narrow minded than I ever thought about being.

do you know what narrow minded means? I don't think so

>
>
> > more of Stevie trying to pretend he is a binding authority on english
> > cut
>
> No "binding authority"...Just rubbing your nose in yet another
> mis-applied "definition" that you heard on some newscast once and tried
> (inappropriately) to use here.

yes stvie trying to play english cop

don't you get tired of it?

>
> > why do you bother with that stevie, I don't accept your "authority"
> > surely even you are bright enough to notice
>
> What's "bright enough to notice" is your glaring ignorance of
> proper terminology...Or at least terminology as the rest of society
> uses it...Your applications are...well...bizzare.

more stevie doubel stanard everyone else must answer stevie but stevie
need not answer anybody else

>
> > > > I have not been bringing it up since
> > > > then since I don't think it that important, but since you insist I
> > > > think you are stealing for the govt monies you are not entitled to
> > >
> > > Then you've demonstrated what a COMPLETE idiot you are by making
> > > such a statement without an iota of evidence.
> >
> > merely your statements of 7 years no eveidence there
>
> What statements?

yours

>
> You keep refering to alleged statements of mine wherein I claimed
> to be on disability.

No I don't as a point of facts, I allege statement where you lead me to
believe you are

>
> I call you a liar, Morgan. Prove me wrong.

you call me lots of thing, none of them true why should I care, about
what a stupid, hillbilly, paraniod, with delusions and a hair trigger
tempter and clear mental problems thinks of me (well I should worry he
will carry though on of his threats, and I do a bit)

>
> > > > That isn't libel BTW since I clearly state it is my opinion
> > >
> > > yes it is, since you allege the perpetration of a felony without
> > > evidence, opinion or not.
> >
> > not at all you simply don't know much about law. otherwise the DNC
> > would be broke about now
>
> I know enough to know that you're an idiot, have proven it
> repeatedly, and if you ever got it into your pea-brain to TRY an "sue
> (me) for libel", the judge's family could successfully sue YOU for
> causing him to laugh himself to death!

Stevie mind takes another holiday here

>
> > > > > > Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> > > > > > I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
> > > > >
> > > > > are so you are
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yes you are
> >
> Where..?!?!

there

>
> Show me the content that says "Look at me! See what I did! ! !"

the title does it for you

by posting it you seek glory or attention os some such thing

>
> Hint: It's NOT there.

Buzz it is

>
> > > > > > Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why
> > > > > > do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the
> > > > > > contrary...???
> > > > >

> > > > You have presented NO eveidence to the contrary, none at all, Indeed I
> > > > don't think you or anyone could present any eveidence in this forum
> > >
> > > You're welcome to search my name and DOB on public files in the
> > > State of Tennessee, Markie. The only "hit" you'll find are my driver's
> > > license and Nursing license.
> >
> > anytime you want to present some evidence you go ahead, but again I
> > have formed my opinion, you wish to prove something you prove it, carry
> > your own freight you lazy bum
>
> Nope.

yep you are a lazy bum you demand other do yoru work for you. a fact
that backs up my convinction that you are on some kind of public dole
legaly or not

>
> YOU made the claim that I am a welfare/disability cheat.

not quite (but turth is not your long suit) you did, I am expressing my
agreement with it

>
> This is YOUR freight to carry, Mark.

not realy you have convinced me entirely

>
> Make good on your claim.

already have

>
> > It is statements like the one you just made that help convince me that
> > you are in fact cheating the system somehow
>
> How?

as I have said "Thou doth protest too much"

>
> What part of "you're welcome to search the records" says to you
> "I'm cheating" on public assistance?

That you invite to to profrom a search that would not reveal you if
your were cheating. I have given you the credit to assume you have done
decent job of it
>
> > Even if I preformed the search you sugest it would prove nothing You
> > could be using a fake name and other id to acomplise this feat Indeed
> > as I said at some point you can't prove your inocence, it is quite
> > impossible
>

> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.......
>
> NOW who's doing the 'dancing around around it', Markie...?!?!

no dancing just stating facts

you can't prove inocence, neither can I it is an impossibility
>
> > > > I got the idea form your post of some 6 years ago, and emails you sent
> > > > in the same time frame
> > >
> > > I have never claimed drawing any disability pay,
> > > Markie...ESPEICALLY in any alleged (ie: never happened) "e-mails".
> >
> > you sent bunches of them harrasing me pleading with me demanding I take
> > various action trying to black mail with the material you had found out
> > about my sexual orientation
>
> A lie, Markie. I NEVER "pleaded" with you for ANYTHING!

yes you did liar

>
> BBWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> > again the precision with which you making your claim suggest that I
> > quite close to the truth. that you care so much about what someone who
> > you claim is of so little import has to say on the subject
>
> "precison with which you making your claim"...?!?!?!

yes

>
> > as the old saying goes, "Methinks thou doest protest too much"
>
> E N G L I S H ! ! ! ! !

that is english

>
> Geezus, Markie! You make all these ludicrous claims of your
> lingusitic skills, and can't even QUOTE thigs correctly!

I have

and you are evading again and convining me that you lying

>
> > > Once again, you substantiate my claims of your lack of situational
> > > awareness and attention to detail.

> >
> > i do nothing of the sort you are merely trying , desperately to cloud
> > an issue on which you are very likely vulernable
>
> Like I said, LiarBoy...Help yourself.

another classic tactic when attacked attack your accuser

only makes you look more guilty

certainly helps with your rep of having an mircon thick skin
>
> > > > It is no lie when I state that I believe you are comitting fraud of
> > > > some Govt agency.
> > >
> > > And it is no lie on MY part when I state that I believe you need
> > > to be under the supervision of mental health case workers, or at the
> > > very least a reputable vice cop.
> >
> > I accept that is a true statement
> >
> > However it also shows your lack of mental balance
>
> No, it does not.

sure does

>
> It shows that I believe you need to be under the supervsion of
> mental health case workers or a vice cop.

it does but it also shows your lack of mental balance
>
> And we have YOU to prove it right.

wrong

>
> > nothing you accuse me off merits any attention form a Vice cop and I
> > suffer from no mental illness as determined by licensed pros
>
cutting sexual inuendo

>
> > > > wether you are or not is another matter but that I have formed that
> > > > opinion is a fact
> > >
> > > You've broken new ground here, Markie. Looks like it't time to
> > > have a chat with the folks at Houghton County SO. Someone up there
> > > needs to know about you.
> >
> > not at all, no new ground at all, not even your threats are new I have
> > stated my opinions on you quite clearly in the past

>
> No, you've not "stated (your) opinions quite clearly".

then you are stupid not to understand

>
> They are a blended mess of jibberish interspersed with occassional
> lucid lines that, when taken in the whole, demonstrate significant
> deficits on your part.

IYO

and you continue to make threats
>
> > > BTW...How did you manage to screw up your address change with the
> > > FCC so badly? Geeze, Markie, how many time do you think it's necessary
> > > to note "PO" box in the address line???
> >
> > more proof of your stalking
> >
> > as if more were needed
>
> Nope.

yes it is

>
> Public records. www.qrz.com. Personally, I think it's
> hillarious...A simple one-line address and you couldn't even fill THAT
> out without screwing it up...

that you keep checking is proof of your stalking, that the record is
public does not eman you are not stakling when you keep checking on it

>
> More proof of your functional illiteracy.

proof of your stupidity Stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 121. Re: Stevie Is An Insufferable Creep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:47:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122997643.572510.209820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114472

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I haven't evaded anything.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you evade most everything stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > sure did
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > see you evasion become obviousafter awhile
>
> Nope.
>
> What we see is you trying to make an argument out of something
> that is inarguable.

>
> > > > > > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
> > > > > >
> > > > > cutting stevie stevie evasion
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > questions aimed at you.
> >
> More lies Stevie, I refuse to participate in your libeling of some one
> else
>
> Who did I libel?

that is right you don't even know the m]names of the mens you libel
```

>
> You need an English comprehension course and some backround work in
> basic civil law, Markie.

i have both, you have met all the usaul marker for libel/slander
against me and aginast others

>
> > > THAT is "evasion".
> >
> > nope that is honarable behoavot
cuting spell cop

> > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> >
> > nope it shows your lack of Honnor and your cowrdace that you attack
> > people not present to defend themselves if they choose
>

cuting more Libel

> > cutting stevie trying to inviolve people not here in his juvi games
>
> What you're "cutting" is direct questions that you refuse to
> answer.

just like you refuse to answer direct question fi you don't like them

fair is fair

>
> > I have said i will play along with your games, to a point, but there
> > are points I will not go
> >
> > Like when you seek to use people not here to play your games
>
> There's no games here, LiarBoy.

there is nothing but games Stevie you control freak games

cutting stevie repating his offenses

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 122. Stevie admit to delusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:49:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122997747.463021.314390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114473

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
cut

> He missed the "Do Not Enter" sign...!

claims there are such signs

are there protents too?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 123. Hold Your Breath! Mark Morgan Caught Lying AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:52:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122997959.617684.20370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114474

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > yes you did you were not braging of it but lameting it some 6 years ago
> > > you were using it to counter the argument you should not be picking on
> > > me becuase of my disability
> >
> > Cite it. Cite where I said "I am on disability and receiving
> > public assistance", or other such words, Markie.
>
> you said it or rather you typed words to that effect

I said "CITE IT", LiarBoy...not just say I said it.

Failure to produce the alleged comments proves you are lying. The
answers, if they were there, would be right at your fingertips and easy
for you to quote and say "SEE SEE! I was right!" Bu they are NOT
there.

Mark Morgan lying again.

> > > > > > First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> > > > > > allegedging some impropriety.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes based on your statements in the past and present
> > > >
> > > > Based upon WHAT statements "in the past and present"...??
> > >
> > > asked and answered
> >
> > Not answered.
>
> asked and answered

Ignored by you since you know the answer is embarrassing to you.

Because once again, Mark Morgan is lying.

> > I asked you for specifics.
>
> I choose not to repaet myself again, you can ask for anything you like,
> I don't have to give it to you
>
> >
> > "asked and answered" is a lie.
>
> wrong it is simply not the answer you want

Nope. It's a ruse.

You answered nothing.

> > "Answer" with specifics or acknowledge you are either making it
> > up, or just trying to recall events from memory (and failing in the
> > attempt)
>
> More orders Stevie? makes of lie of claims you don't make "demands"
>
> shove it

Uh huh...pretty much what I expected.

No truthful answer...because the whole premise was a lie by Marke
Morgan in the first place.

## 124. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 15:56:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122998207.248907.61780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114475

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hmm usenet seems to have eaten my first reply this is a second wonder
> > whihc will hit stevie first
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You sure screwed up "civilized"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > so did he I simply choose to to make it plain
> > > > > >
> > > > > Markie, you already refuse to use capitalization, punctuation, or
> > > > > correct your spelling.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Why not just skip putting spaces in there too? Trust me when I say
> > > > > it won't hurt the intelligibility of your "comnets" one little bit.
> > > > >
> > > > why don't you grow up will not hurt you any
> > > >
> > > Why don't YOU learn to use proper grammatical construction...It
> > > can only HELP you...And it won't hurt a bit.
> > >
> > why becuase you insist I must
> >
> Because you're a liability to yourself, your "partner" (whoever he
> is) and all around you when you can't communicate effectively

gee anybody that wants to can get the gist of it, and prove that she is
a man stevie

>
> > losing my freedom even slightly to bully and bigot such as yourself
> > harms me beyond measure
>
> You are completely free to bully and bigot anyone you please,
> Mark...As a matter of fact, you've been doing quite well right here.

nope you are

smart assed lie cut

>
> > so we chalk up another lie stevie
>
smart assed lie cut

>
> > ever care to start teling the turth
>
smart

>
> > > > why don't you give up you control freak status
> > >
> > > Seems you suffer from that which you would accuse others of,
> > > Markie.
> >
> > I don't want to control anyone it is far too much work, and as you
> > point out I am far too lazy to work that hard
>
> But you do keep trying.

another lie

>
> You "control" us by making us wade through your jibberish and try
> to put the ends together to a logical outcome.

no I don't.

you choose of your own free will to read my stuff

indeed you have in the past promised not to to kill file my stff unread
but you lied becuase you can't stand ignoring me

I don't make you do anything

I can't

You try and make me but equaly you can't, and that drives nuts or is it
just nuttier?

cuting stevie rehashing a game I have already played as far as I will
go

Unlike stevie I will not involve people unknowing and unable to defend
themselves in your juvi games

> Steve, K4YZ

## 125. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:01:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122998474.687307.59520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114476

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of the
> >>>>analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely
> >>>>disappear...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece
> >>>
> >>>Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
> >>>newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed
> >>
> >>
> >> Of course, we probably don't want the new digital phones to be running
> >>at the power levels the old bag phones run. Especially for the lenght of
> >>time that people hold the things to their head nowadays.
> >
> >
> > why not sounds like it be would evoltuion in action, but I would like
> > havinga digtial bag phone or a cordless remote to car mounted phone of
> > the higher powere we had with the bag phones
>
>
> Hey Mark,
>
> I wouldn't call it evolution in action - but perhaps Darwinism in
> action! 8^)
>
> The RF isn't ionizing radiation, so it wouldn't mutate any cells,
> giving rise to evolvement.

I hear the jury is out out on mutagenc effects
>
> But the idea of holding 5 or more watts if UHF RF against my temple is

> a scary one.

you Have a 440 HT?

and you are afraid of it?

>
> Related item. I was on vacation last weekend, and a couple weekends
> before that. I was very nearly killed several times by cell phone users
> who nearly rear-ended me, were engaged in some sort of animated
> argument, and nearly side swiped me, or just generally drove like a
> complete idiot.
>
> Seeing as how truck drivers and Hams have been using radios for years
> and years with out (apparently) nasty incidents, I wonder if exposure to
> the near field of near GHz radiation makes people temporarily stupid.
>
> I believe that we need to treat people who drive and use cell phones in
> the same manner that we treat people who drive intoxicated. Proof that
> an intelligent person can be made stupid by a cell phone would go a long
> way in that direction.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 126. More Markie Foolishness Proves Him An Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:07:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122998859.609997.93260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114477

KB9RQZ said:
>And K4YZ made a fool out of him with:

> > > two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
> > > disablity or other public aid...(SNIP)
> >
> > It does in the State of Tennessee. If you're receiving ANY form
> > of public aid, any income you make will pro-rate that down. And if
> > your "income" is from a source that would otherwise indicate that your
> > public aid is obtained under false pretenses, you're in trouble.
>
> more Stevie lies

Nope.

> indeed your stament makes clear you can legaly work and collect public
> aid.

How?

Notice EXACTLY what I said, Markie...???

If you DO work and collect ANY "support" money, they pro-rate your
benefits DOWN.

Even if I asked for public assistance (why would I?) I couldn't
qualify.

> and yes I suspect that your income is obtained under false pretenses,
> so you shold be in trouble

Under WHAT false pretenses?

YOUR lying doesn't qualify.

> > > (UNSNIP)...only makes improper and/or illegal to do so
> >
> > Sure it is. Why would I violate State and Federal law for less
> > than $2000 a months when I make almost double and a half that as a
> > Nurse?
>
> Stevie how would I know why you were stupid enough to that. Funny
> though that you claim to know how much is involved without ever have
> received it

Because I have to deal with people who come to my ER every day who
need help getting that support, Markie. It's called "Case Management".
That's part of what Nurses do. My care for my patients may start at

the front door when they come in, but ti doesn't end there when they leave again.

What? You think I help these people and then just forget everything when I punch out at the end of the shift?

> that statement alone is enough to convince you are a fraud

Then you've proved yourself the idiot I claim you to be.

So much for your claimed "248" IQ, eh, LiarBoy?

Stgeve, K4YZ

## 127. stevie fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:09:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122998965.800982.123150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114478

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
>
> > > > BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
> > > > reality
> > >
> > > All you got was a BUZZ?
> > >
> > > A Klaxon just went off behind my head on that one. Hi!
> >
> > I have specail Stevie filters instaled got tire of all the noise
>
> Cute!~
>
break
> Markie claims he can install filters to ignore the opinions he
> doesn't want to see, yet can't seem to use a spellchecker!

more lies Stevie you can't get anything right

and I was making a joke, unlike you I have asense of humor, even about
my tormentors

>
> > > Steve piled up 29 lies in three weeks, and I didn't even read
> > > everything he posted in those three weeks. Who'se got the time?
> >
> > I have had the time but I haven't been counted (the weather herehas
> > been beastly so I have been staying inside a lot)
>
> Brain and his alleged 29 lies...sheesh.

at least 29 I think the total is more like 200 or so

>
> Was asked to validate and substantiate his claims then...didn't
> do it.

you know we can't parrot everything you write back at you

>
> Still passing on the same unvalidated claims today...
>
> And he wonders why he's held in such "high regard" by others...
>

> Now he's in a back-slapping contest with yet another CONFIRMED
> pathological liar...

another Lie Stevie or at least the offense of exceeding your nursing
license

>
> Why am I not surprised...?!?!

why aren't you?

>
> > > > > But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> > > > > again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> > > > > the whole gang in.
> > > > >
> > > > > don't need an inviation
> > > >
> > > It's an open forum, which is why Steve is still permitted access.
> > >
> > well said
> >
> > or should we just disinvite him?
>
> Gee, Markie...that sounds like a "threat"...Why are you "stalking"
> me...?!?!

it might be a threat if I could I do it, and indeed if I could do it, i
would, in a heart beat

but absulely not stalking

It was of course a joke, and your reaction prooves your paranoia and
delusional nature

yes I am pratcice med with a Ham license, same as you do

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 128. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:14:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.16.14.10.214850@gmail.com>
Source record: 114510

Kim:

Well, there ya go, the only people you would consider fitting to converse with have proven they are a ham to you. Of course, this is going to rather limit your social skills and world quite a bit, wouldn't be surprized if you don't know ALL ~300,000 by name (that might be an over-estimate.) Don't worry, why you are there doing "ham sorting" the world goes on, we will keep you abreast of the changes which have occured while you have been engaged in you little diversion.

John

On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 15:03:29 +0000, Kim wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:N0BHe.142$rZ7.2632776@news.sisna.com...
>> KXHB:
>>
>> I wouldn't even think about allowing someone a radio, unless first they
> have
>> mastered the "African Message Drum", if all civilization is gone the drum
> is
>> what they will need to survive.
>>
>> Much more logical than cw, you don't need a radio! Can make a drum out of
> a
>> hollow log and a skin off the neighbors cat!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> And, uh, what'd you say *your* callsign was? I, uh, just didn't quite catch
> it... I'm just asking because it's a generally noted condition of a few
> major posters in this newsgroup, that if one is not an amateur radio
> licensee (Len Anderson, for example) their opinions on the matter of amateur
> radio are taken with a grain of salt.
>
> If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
> around, does it make a sound?
>
> Kim W5TIT

## 129. Markie Caught With Pants Down On His Mental Health Issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:15:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122999310.458880.10940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114479

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > >
> > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > problems
> >
> > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > '...some years ago' is a lie)
>
> but you did

No I did not. Not once.

Provide a cite, Markie.

(Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
happened...)

> > (B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
> > another lie of yours)
>
> you know more about disability than the ARS that is certainly
> sugeestive

Hardly.

But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.

I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a
part of my job.

> that you deny it so loudly is another

I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.

But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
accuse others of is grossly apparent.

> that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> being caught in an outright lie

I don't need to be concerned.

And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
matter how it's phrased.

A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.

> > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > Mark, but it's not me.
>
> you have clear mental health issues
>
> very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins

So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
health infrastructure.

It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
by abbreviations with casual familiarity.

THAT'S telling!

Steve, K4YZ

# 130. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:16:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.16.16.16.379977@gmail.com>
Source record: 114513

K0HB:

The real question is, will he get lucky if there she has at least one TIT
in her call, and he is able to make contact with her?

John

On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 15:25:56 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
>> around, does it make a sound?
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>
> If a man is in the forest and says something, but there's no woman around to
> hear it, is he still wrong?

## 131. cuting Stveie libelous and disgusting title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:18:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122999537.321461.285670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114480

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> > > his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
> >
> > He is to be pitied.
>
> Not by you, Brain.

by all of us

>
> > > >> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > >> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > >> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > > >
> > > >He has a unique viewpoint.
> > >
> > > [all from inside his own fevered imagination...]
> >
> > Temper Fry.
>
> In the words of your Master, I see.

no he has his own themes as does Len and myself and even todd you are
just so narrow minded you can't see the defferences

>
> > > >Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> > > >Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> > > >seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.
> > >
> > > Aye, that be the cross we all must bear.
> > >
> > > Tsk, if we could only pry Kellie away from his Navel Intelligence
> > > job he could shoot THAT bear for us...
> >
> > He'd turn PETA before he shot that bear.
>
> People Eating Tasty Animals?
>
> Sure!
>

> But I bet Brian Kelly would know what that Bear looked like before
> the trigger got pulled. Of course Lennie knows...he "saw" them years
> before they were even in service, which would be pretty astounding
> since the old Soviet government kept things like that pretty secret in
> those days...
>
> > > >> >> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
> > > >
> > > >> >> Nope.
> > > >
> > > >> >> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.
> > > >
> > > >> >Like I said...
> > > >
> > > >> Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > > >> copy stuff. :-)
> > > >
> > > >Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?
> > >
> > > No. It's not even a subject at the world's first (and still
> > > oldest surviving) Science Fiction Writer's Association in
> > > North Hollywood, CA.
> >
> > Darn!
>
> There's a lot of fiction eminating from California...Not all of it
> from Hollywood, North or otherwise.

indeed and even more from Tenn, but I prefer Speilburgs "War of the
Worlds" to your tripe

>
> > > Stebie's output is more like the 1930s "Big Lie" technique
> > > used by the national socialist party of Germany at that time.
> > > Publish enough of it, often enough, as widely as one can, and
> > > some folks will begin to believe it. It's a common
> > > psychological warfare technique used the world over.
> >
> > And it's called Watchtower?
>
> Ooooops...Went and invoked the Nazi's again! Not too surprising
> there.

alas the Nazi refferences fit between your Goebelsish effort at
psychological warfare, to your views on the disabled
>
> Of course Lennie should know about The Big Lie technique...He's
> been trying to root it here without success.

root it out

why can't Stevie get it straight?

prehaps becuase he is a closet Gay, as were many Nazi's

>
> > > >> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > >> stuff don't exist in reality.
> > > >
> > > >"His Truth." "His Reality."
> > > >
> > > >That kind of sums it up.
> > >
> > > Yes, that's the essence of his output.
> >
> > I'm just hoping he opens up a little about his "seven hostile actions"
> > so the Stolen Valor people set things straight.
>
> We could just take some of your Somaila DX Radio Hero stories too,
> Brain.
>
> > > Plus a barbarian emotional mentality...resorts to brute force
> > > whenever challenged in the slightest. Tsk, brute force doesn't
> > > work in an enviornnment where it is impossible to really reach
> > > out and hit anyone. He implies "threats" of coming to various
> > > challengers' residence areas as a surrogate, that nothing more
> > > than bullying taunts.
> >
> > I'm not so sure they are taunts.
>
> Of course you don't.

and you insist on making wonder about them and then are not man enough
to admit they are threats

>
> That's part of the reason why you are "Brain" instead of "Brian".
>
> > > It's remarkable that his "fellows" and "peers" in here (extras
> > > all of them) all seem to condone his actions denigrating the
> > > spirit, cameraderie, and "propensity for international good
> > > will" (FCC phrase) of the amateur radio service...(SNIP)
>
> This forum is NOT "Amateur Radio", Lennie...You've said so
> yourself.
>
> In as much as you do not now nor ever have held an Amateur Radio
> license, you'll never be in a situation that will allow you to make a
> first-hand assessment of my operating skills or conduct WHILE
> operating.

which does not prevent him from forming opinions, except of course in
that dark sick disgurting realm you dare to call a mind

>
> > > He's a poster boy of what NOT to be in the amateur radio hobby.

>
> And remind me, Lennie...
>
> What are YOUR qualifications to make ANY assessment about ANYone
> in Amateur Radio?

another Lie he does not want that he wants to bash len again over his
bio, but is too dishonest to admit it

>
> > > But, he IS
> > > "federally qualified" to transmit RF on U.S. amateur radio
> > > frequencies and has a certificate (suitable for framing)
> > > attesting to that.
>
> Yep. Since 1972.
>
> And unlike you, I am a radio OPERATOR.
>
> > > After all, he thinks that OBTAINING THE
> > > *LICENSE* is the most desireable attribute in U.S. ham radio.
> > > He recently said so.
>
> No I didn't. Why do you utter lies like that in an archived
> forum, Lennie?

because he isn't lying he is reasoning something you might try doing

>
> > They sit by silently. One day he will come for them.
>
> Well...Lennie utters lies and Brain echos his sentiments...

not really
>
> What else do I need to validate my assertions of their dishonesty
> and culpability in deceit?

you could start with having one to make

>
> Putzii.

back to gentals again
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 132. Re: Steve isn't lying when he accuses me of libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:19:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.16.19.15.227278@gmail.com>
Source record: 114511

K4YZ:

Any relation to the "world famous!" "b.b. Gunn?"

John

On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 23:32:56 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> b.b. wrote:
>
>> Who is this DeShawn?
>
> Who is this "b.b." to ask?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 133. Re: Brain Making More Outlandish Claims...Must Be A Slow Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:21:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122999693.122752.98590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114481

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > And just like Steve and the Lib press to focus in on BSA errors while
> > ignoring all of the liberal failures of the last half century.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....
>
> "Liberal failures-vs-BSA errors"
>
> Now there was a stretch to pull from the on-going "conversation".

really?

ah yes required you to think always a strech for you

>
> Not the least of which interesting as I am neither a liberal or
> member of the Boy Scouts.
>
> > Wonder
> > if Steve hates the BSA just because I've been an adult volunteer, or if
> > he was denied an adult leadership position for whatever reason, and
> > couldn't wear its uniform?
>
> "...hates the BSA..." ?!?!
>
> Where in the world did you get THAT line of mularkie from, Brain?
> Just because I pointed out that some Scout "leaders" violated one of
> the most basic safety rules of erecting a tent and paid for it with
> their lives...?!?!

in a newsgroup about the ARS yes one could get the line.

I disagree with him, I think you trying to devirt attetion from your
misdeeds by attacking some poor fools, who aren't around to defend
themselves

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 134. Re: stevie ##### up again <<< Markie Resorting To Profanity To Hide His Embarrassment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:22:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122999736.790056.97760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114482

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> >
> > > > > BUZZ wrong answer Stevie you have a complete refusaul to deal with
> > > > > reality
> > > >
> > > > All you got was a BUZZ?
> > > >
> > > > A Klaxon just went off behind my head on that one. Hi!
> > >
> > > I have specail Stevie filters instaled got tire of all the noise
> >
> > Cute!~
> >
> break
> > Markie claims he can install filters to ignore the opinions he
> > doesn't want to see, yet can't seem to use a spellchecker!
>
> more lies Stevie you can't get anything right
>
> and I was making a joke, unlike you I have asense of humor, even about
> my tormentors

How can anyone tell a joke from sincerity with you, Mark?

You won't fix your functional illiteracy.

> > > > Steve piled up 29 lies in three weeks, and I didn't even read
> > > > everything he posted in those three weeks. Who'se got the time?
> > >
> > > I have had the time but I haven't been counted (the weather herehas
> > > been beastly so I have been staying inside a lot)
> >
> > Brain and his alleged 29 lies...sheesh.
>
> at least 29 I think the total is more like 200 or so

You have the same invitation that Brain does, Markie, and that is
to prove a single one of them.

> > Was asked to validate and substantiate his claims then...didn't
> > do it.
```

>
> you know we can't parrot everything you write back at you

But you insist we do it to you!

You have tried to make an issue of trimming these posts down after
they get to be 3-4 quote deep.

> > Still passing on the same unvalidated claims today...
> >
> > And he wonders why he's held in such "high regard" by others...
> >
> > Now he's in a back-slapping contest with yet another CONFIRMED
> > pathological liar...
>
> another Lie Stevie or at least the offense of exceeding your nursing
> license

Nope.

You're a pathologial liar. You can't help yourself anymore.

> > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
>
> why aren't you?

Because you keep on doing it. It's like breathing. Take a
breath...tell a lie...

> > > > > > But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here
> > > > > > again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had
> > > > > > the whole gang in.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > don't need an inviation
> > > > >
> > > > > It's an open forum, which is why Steve is still permitted access.
> > > >
> > > > well said
> > > >
> > > > or should we just disinvite him?
> > >
> > > Gee, Markie...that sounds like a "threat"...Why are you "stalking"
> > > me...?!?!
>
> it might be a threat if I could I do it, and indeed if I could do it, i
> would, in a heart beat

Then you'd find out exactlyu what "countersuit" means, Markie.

> but absulely not stalking

Absolutley stalking...According the the same "definition" of
"stalking" that you try to apply to me, LiarBoy.

> It was of course a joke, and your reaction prooves your paranoia and
> delusional nature

Nope.

It proves that I find anythig you ahve to say is based in lies.

> yes I am pratcice med with a Ham license, same as you do

I don't "pratcice med" with or WITHOUT an Amateur license, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 135. 3.840 was a riot earty this morning!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:24:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.16.24.46.830152@gmail.com>
Source record: 114512

Early in the morning turned on the rig beside the bed. One lone guy (probably a newbie) defending himself against a large band of sharks as feed here. It kept me in stitches, he did excellent!

The CB'ers have arrived, and 3.840 bears watching (even sneak in and have a bit of fun with 'em, well, maybe! <grin>)

John

## 136. a new attack thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:27:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123000065.289099.126730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114483

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > yes you did you were not braging of it but lameting it some 6 years ago
> > > > you were using it to counter the argument you should not be picking on
> > > > me becuase of my disability
> > >
> > > Cite it. Cite where I said "I am on disability and receiving
> > > public assistance", or other such words, Markie.
> >
> > you said it or rather you typed words to that effect
>
> I said "CITE IT", LiarBoy...not just say I said it.

you can say cite it all you like

I don't have to do what you want

cuting a flailing of Stevie illogical ranting

> > > > > > > First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> > > > > > > allegedging some impropriety.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yes based on your statements in the past and present
> > > > >
> > > > > Based upon WHAT statements "in the past and present"...??
> > > >
> > > > asked and answered
> > >
> > > Not answered.
> >
> > asked and answered
>
cuting another rave by stevie

> > > I asked you for specifics.
> >
> > I choose not to repaet myself again, you can ask for anything you like,
> > I don't have to give it to you
> >
> > >
> > > "asked and answered" is a lie.
> >
> > wrong it is simply not the answer you want
>

> Nope. It's a ruse.

wrong even it it were a ruse it is still an answer and not the one you
wanted....

so Stevie lies again when he says nope

>
> You answered nothing.

sure did just not what you want

>
> > > "Answer" with specifics or acknowledge you are either making it
> > > up, or just trying to recall events from memory (and failing in the
> > > attempt)
> >
> > More orders Stevie? makes of lie of claims you don't make "demands"
> >
> > shove it
>
> Uh huh...pretty much what I expected.
>
cuting stevie poor logic

## 137. Re: cuting Stveie non-libelous and disgusting becasue it's true title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:28:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123000132.335615.270090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114484

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> > > > his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
> > >
> > > He is to be pitied.
> >
> > Not by you, Brain.
>
> by all of us

By "all of us" I assume you only mean you, Brain and Lennie.

As if the three of you "mattered"...?!?!

> > > > >> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > > >> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > > >> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >He has a unique viewpoint.
> > > >
> > > > [all from inside his own fevered imagination...]
> > >
> > > Temper Fry.
> >
> > In the words of your Master, I see.
>
> no he has his own themes as does Len and myself and even todd you are
> just so narrow minded you can't see the defferences

Obviously he DOESN'T have "his own themes" as he was mimicking
Lennie.

> > There's a lot of fiction eminating from California...Not all of it
> > from Hollywood, North or otherwise.
>
> indeed and even more from Tenn, but I prefer Speilburgs "War of the
> Worlds" to your tripe

No lies here, Markie.

> > > > Stebie's output is more like the 1930s "Big Lie" technique
> > > > used by the national socialist party of Germany at that time.

> > > > Publish enough of it, often enough, as widely as one can, and
> > > > some folks will begin to believe it. It's a common
> > > > psychological warfare technique used the world over.
> > >
> > > And it's called Watchtower?
> >
> > Ooooops...Went and invoked the Nazi's again! Not too surprising
> > there.
>
> alas the Nazi refferences fit between your Goebelsish effort at
> psychological warfare, to your views on the disabled

You, Lennie and Brain are the "Big Lie" promulgators, Markie. And
I have all the compassion in the world for the TRULY disabled.

People like YOU are not in that category.

> > Of course Lennie should know about The Big Lie technique...He's
> > been trying to root it here without success.
>
> root it out
>
> why can't Stevie get it straight?

I got it right the first time.

> prehaps becuase he is a closet Gay, as were many Nazi's

Nope...Not closet, open or otherwise.

> > > > >> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > > >> stuff don't exist in reality.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >"His Truth." "His Reality."
> > > > > >
> > > > > >That kind of sums it up.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, that's the essence of his output.
> > > >
> > > I'm just hoping he opens up a little about his "seven hostile actions"
> > > so the Stolen Valor people set things straight.
> > >
> > We could just take some of your Somaila DX Radio Hero stories too,
> > Brain.
> >
> > > > > Plus a barbarian emotional mentality...resorts to brute force
> > > > > whenever challenged in the slightest. Tsk, brute force doesn't
> > > > > work in an enviornnment where it is impossible to really reach
> > > > > out and hit anyone. He implies "threats" of coming to various
> > > > > challengers' residence areas as a surrogate, that nothing more
> > > > > than bullying taunts.
> > >

> > > I'm not so sure they are taunts.
> >
> > Of course you don't.
>
> and you insist on making wonder about them and then are not man enough
> to admit they are threats

What threats?

You keeps whining about "threats" but none have been made OR
proven.

Why do you insist on lying?

Steve, K4YZ

## 138. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:31:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123000304.609294.214800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114486

KØHB wrote:
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote

> > If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
> > around, does it make a sound?

Yes

> > Kim W5TIT

> If a man is in the forest and says something, but there's no woman around to
> hear it, is he still wrong?

Yes!

Then again, why would a man in the forest say anything?

Isn't there a list of things he should be doing? Did he remember to
pick up the dry cleaning and drop off the rental videos on his way to
the forest? For that matter, did he take out the garbage on his way out
of the
house? How about getting those new shoes like he promised he would two
weeks ago? And the upstairs bathroom *still* isn't painted. Honestly,
you'd think he had nothing better to do than go off in the woods saying
things to no one in particular....

---

Overheard in a bookstore: "Telling you where the self-help books
are would defeat their purpose"

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

## 139. Re: Hold Your Breath! Mark Morgan Caught Lying AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:33:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bfNHe.8359$Uk3.6358@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114485

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> an old friend wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 140. Re: More Markie Foolishness Proves Him An Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:33:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NfNHe.8360$Uk3.1353@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114487

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> KB9RQZ said:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 141. Re: Markie Caught With Pants Down On His Mental Health Issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:34:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pgNHe.8362$Uk3.8027@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114488

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> an old friend wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 142. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from Hint" It's NOT KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:37:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123000679.410028.202410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114489

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Because you're a liability to yourself, your "partner" (whoever he
> > is) and all around you when you can't communicate effectively
>
> gee anybody that wants to can get the gist of it, and prove that she is
> a man stevie

You do that, Markie.

You say you sleep with men.

You refer to "her" as your "partner"..."Partner" is PC for
same-sex lover. We have no other evidence to prove otherwise.

> > > losing my freedom even slightly to bully and bigot such as yourself
> > > harms me beyond measure
> >
> > You are completely free to bully and bigot anyone you please,
> > Mark...As a matter of fact, you've been doing quite well right here.
>
> nope you are
>
> smart ###ed lie cut

"Steve caught me screwing up again so"ill just ignore it".

No lie. You proved it with your own words, Markie. You admitted
to "bully(ing)" and "bigot(ing)" me.

> > > so we chalk up another lie stevie
> >
> smart ###ed lie cut

"Steve caught me screwing up again so"ill just ignore it".

> > > ever care to start teling the turth
> >
> smart

"Steve caught me screwing up again so"ill just ignore it".

> > > > > why don't you give up you control freak status
> > > >
> > > > Seems you suffer from that which you would accuse others of,
> > > > Markie.
> > >

> > > I don't want to control anyone it is far too much work, and as you
> > > point out I am far too lazy to work that hard
> >
> > But you do keep trying.
>
> another lie

Nope. You keep trying. Prove positive every time you respond to
one of my posts.

> > You "control" us by making us wade through your jibberish and try
> > to put the ends together to a logical outcome.
>
> no I don't.

Sure you do.

> you choose of your own free will to read my stuff

I don't read it.

I try to decipher it.

> indeed you have in the past promised not to to kill file my stff unread
> but you lied becuase you can't stand ignoring me

You were in the file for a looooooooooooong time.

> I don't make you do anything
>
> I can't

You do ONE thing well...

You make me laugh!

> You try and make me but equaly you can't, and that drives nuts or is it
> just nuttier?
>
> cuting stevie rehashing a game I have already played as far as I will
> go
>
> Unlike stevie I will not involve people unknowing and unable to defend
> themselves in your juvi games

"Steve caught me screwing up again so"ill just ignore it"

Still refusing to answer my question as to what part of exercising
YOUR responsiblity to know about your OWN health care is "practicing
medicine"...

Yet another MarkieRant on the heap.

Steve, K4YZ

## 143. Stevie must be a Homosexaul to be so obsessed by the contents of my pants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:38:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123000730.752498.221590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114490

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > >
> > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > problems
> > > >
> > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > >
> > > but you did
> >
> > No I did not. Not once.

sure did

>
> Provide a cite, Markie.

shove it
>
> (Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
> happened...)

nope it will not since I have no intention of doing as you demand

another stevie lie

>
> > > (B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
> > > another lie of yours)
> >
> > you know more about disability than the ARS that is certainly
> > sugeestive
>
> Hardly.

it sure is

>
> But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.
>
> I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
> helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a
> part of my job.

ah admiting to being on the dole well thank you

>
> > that you deny it so loudly is another
>
> I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.

no you deny it becuase you think it is improper, meaning that when you
make me think you getting or have gotten it (as you have admited above)
you also admit to doing something improper

>
> But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
> accuse others of is grossly apparent.

not lying at all

>
> > that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> > being caught in an outright lie
>
> I don't need to be concerned.

gee Stevie I don't believe you

>
> And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
> matter how it's phrased.

bullshit

>
> A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.
>
> > > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > > Mark, but it's not me.
> >
> > you have clear mental health issues
> >
> > very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins
>
> So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
> health infrastructure.

no

>
> It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
> your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
> by abbreviations with casual familiarity.

so?

>
> THAT'S telling!

of what? nothing I have not admited before many times in fact

that you think dealing with the mental health system is somehow "dirty" or something simply shows you a bigot.

OTOH I have no intention of discusing my medical records with you, byind stating that other than some anger issues, there is really nothing wrong with me, and those issues are simply a matter of dealing with them

Indeed it is one the reasons I show up here dealing with such a provoking bigoted fool as yourself, without losing my top is most benificail

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 144. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from Hint" It's NOT KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:40:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3mNHe.8364$Uk3.7965@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114491

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 145. Re: a new attack thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:41:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PmNHe.8366$Uk3.7326@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114496

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote >
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 146. Re: Stevie must be a Homosexaul to be so obsessed by the contents of my pants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:41:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hnNHe.8367$Uk3.137@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114493

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote >
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.
```

## 147. Re: stevie fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:42:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YnNHe.8368$Uk3.2119@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114495

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > b.b. wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.
```

## 148. Re: stevie ##### up again <<< Markie Resorting To Profanity To Hide His Embarrassment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:42:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <loNHe.8370$Uk3.8168@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114492

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > b.b. wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 149. Re: Brain Making More Outlandish Claims...Must Be A Slow Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:43:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KoNHe.8371$Uk3.2632@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114494

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 150. Re: cuting Stveie libelous and disgusting title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:43:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <apNHe.8372$Uk3.7090@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114497

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.
```

## 151. Re: cuting Stveie non-libelous and disgusting becasue it's true title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:44:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FpNHe.8374$Uk3.2656@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114500

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > b.b. wrote:
>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 152. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:45:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123001111.097002.69190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Kardiac Korner wrote:
> >
> >>Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
> >>Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
> >>this is not endemic to the HF bands.

> > On 'phone, maybe. Not on Morse Code.
>
> Not on Phone either. Of course, I think you knew that already, Jim!

There are some spots on 'phone where such conversations go on. 75
meters is
a common place for them. I've never encountered one on Morse Code even
though
I spend far more time using that mode than all the others put together.

> >>Case in point. This afternoon three of the youth challenged Old Timers tied
> >>up a local repeater as they compared notes and even argued over whose heart
> >>surgery was the worst. They droned on and on about the incisions made in
> >>their legs, their chests, and even went into graphic detail about the
> >>drainage tube that had been implanted in one of their abdomens.
>
> Does sound gross. I tend to get sympathy pains - so my skin would have
> been crawling listening to that. But!....

Me too.
>
> > How did they "tie up" the repeater? Did they refuse to let others use
> > it?
> >
> > Or would it have simply been silent without them?
>
> almost certainly the latter...
>
> >>And it this was not depressing enough, one of the Codgers went on to regale
> >>the listeners with details of his wife's cancer and chemo treatments and
> >>detailed the prescription drugs his wife uses.
> >>
> >>Come on, guys. My heart goes out to you, but MUST you discuss it for hours
> >>on end? On a repeater? Or anywhere? These oldsters are understandably caught
> >>up in their dilemma but someone, some day, is going to have to ask them to
> >>please, please, stop.

>
> > Why?
>
> >>These Oldsters, all Extra licensees, have no idea how depressing it is to
> >>listen to them.

> > See below.

> >>And, guys. When someone asks you how you are doing, don't go into an hour
> >>long history of your medical trials and health tribulations. Spare us the
> >>details and the resultant depression. Please?
> >
> > A couple of observations:
> >
> > First off, with a few exceptions, the subject matter of amateur radio
> > conversations isn't regulated or restricted by FCC. Unless you can
> > make a case that the conversations involved violated some provision
> > of Part 97 or the Communications Act, they're allowed.
> >
> > Second, I hope no one, including you, ever gets seriously ill or has
> > a loved one in perilous health. May we all live to very old ages with
> > all our faculties intact, and go quietly and peacefully in our sleep
> > when the time comes.
> >
> > But in reality, many if not most people have to deal with such health
> > situations. While those conversations may be boring and depressing to
> > *you*, those involved may find them a source of strength, support and
> > comfort. Also information. Would you rather those hams go through such
> > times without the support of fellow amateurs, whom they may have known
> > for decades?
>
> One of the biggest reasons that some hams have a problem with those
> types of conversations is their *own personal* fear of their *own
> personal* mortality. And the oldsters with their discussions remind
> those fearful ones of that very mortality. And they do not like that.

Understandable, but not a reason to tell folks to shut up.
>
> One of the most gripping conversations I ever heard on the bands was
> one fellow talking to another. He was in the last stages of something or
> other. But he was wheezing and gurgling on the air. I was fearful that
> he was going to pass on right there in the middle of the QSO. Real funny
> stuff, that. Let's go make some hilarious jokes, eh? 8^(

Probably emphysema or CHF. Neither is any fun. Two big reasons I never
took
up smoking.

> > Third, a repeater's owners have the right to shut down a repeater if
> > they don't like the way it is being used, or who is using it. But that
> > didn't happen. Are you one of the repeater's owners, or an authorized
> > control operator for it?

>
> Anyone who would turn off their repeater for *that* is a heartless
> bastard.

Agreed - but they'd be within their rights as repeater owners. Then
again,
maybe the folks talking *were* the repeater owners.

> The Karma buildup would be very bad - and deserved.

Yup.
>
> > Fourth, almost all of the ham rigs I've seen have both a frequency
> > control and an on-off switch. Use them!
>
> Finally! And good advice.
>
> I do know that one thing that is much more annoying than old dudes
> talking about their ailments is people that try to control what other
> people can or can't say on the air.

What you can't say is pretty well laid out in Part 97 and the
Communications
Act. The rest is pretty much open.

Of course there are folks everywhere who have a hard time gauging their
audience. For example, there are folks on the Internet who can't seem
to
learn to spell, use grammar or punctuation, or even post in the same
style as others in the particular forum. Some haven't even learned that
using all caps is the equivalent of SHOUTING.

And of course there are the folks who will tell you the same story of
their life over and over and over....and over again, even though
there's no point to it and it has nothing to do with the discussion
at hand...

> A simply excellent response, Jim.

Thanks!

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

## 153. Re: Mark Morgan Just Doesn't Get It. He Can't Get Away With His BS, No Matter How He Tries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:45:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DqNHe.8375$Uk3.4059@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114499

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

```
Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.
```

## 154. Re: Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:46:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KrNHe.8377$Uk3.3091@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114501

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 155. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from Hint" It's NOT KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:47:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123001243.104055.157400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114502

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
>
> Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
>
> Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> FAST, before that baby overflows.

Slow day in the Great White North, eh, Hans...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 156. Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:53:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123001588.832712.303830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114503

K4YZ wrote:
> KB9RQZ said:
> >And K4YZ made a fool out of him with:
>
> > > > two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
> > > > disablity or other public aid...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > It does in the State of Tennessee. If you're receiving ANY form
> > > of public aid, any income you make will pro-rate that down. And if
> > > your "income" is from a source that would otherwise indicate that your
> > > public aid is obtained under false pretenses, you're in trouble.
> >
> > more Stevie lies
>
> Nope.
>
> > indeed your stament makes clear you can legaly work and collect public
> > aid.
>
> How?
>
> Notice EXACTLY what I said, Markie...???
>
> If you DO work and collect ANY "support" money, they pro-rate your
> benefits DOWN.

yes you can get both under the conditions you list

>
> Even if I asked for public assistance (why would I?) I couldn't
> qualify.

why wouldn't you? if you qualify why not get it?

I recieve a certain amount of assistance myself, mostly in the form of
leting someone else pay for new edition of various software that is
supoosed to make my typing easier but never seems to work, indeed I
qualify for such assitance dispite my income

>
> > and yes I suspect that your income is obtained under false pretenses,
> > so you shold be in trouble
>
> Under WHAT false pretenses?

not sure which false pretenses you are using

cuting of nonreply

> > > > (UNSNIP)...only makes improper and/or illegal to do so
> > >
> > > Sure it is. Why would I violate State and Federal law for less
> > > than $2000 a months when I make almost double and a half that as a
> > > Nurse?
> >
> > Stevie how would I know why you were stupid enough to that. Funny
> > though that you claim to know how much is involved without ever have
> > received it
>
> Because I have to deal with people who come to my ER every day who
> need help getting that support, Markie. It's called "Case Management".
> That's part of what Nurses do. My care for my patients may start at
> the front door when they come in, but ti doesn't end there when they
> leave again.
>
> What? You think I help these people and then just forget
> everything when I punch out at the end of the shift?

In point of fact No I think you insult and deman those folks and then
think about them (negitively of course) after you punch out

>
> > that statement alone is enough to convince you are a fraud
>
cuting Stevies ilogic

>
> Stgeve, K4YZ

not even spelling your own name right now

## 157. KB9RQZ Is An Insufferable Creep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:54:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123001653.601827.80630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114504

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > > questions aimed at you.
> > >
> > > More lies Stevie, I refuse to participate in your libeling of some one
> > > else
> >
> > Who did I libel?
>
> that is right you don't even know the m]names of the mens you libel

I didn't libel anyone Mark.

> > You need an English comprehension course and some backround work in
> > basic civil law, Markie.
>
> i have both, you have met all the usaul marker for libel/slander
> against me and aginast others

You have neither, and there's no libel OR slander.

> > > > THAT is "evasion".
> > >
> > > nope that is honarable behoavot
> cuting spell cop
>
> > > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > >
> > > nope it shows your lack of Honnor and your cowrdace that you attack
> > > people not present to defend themselves if they choose
> >
>
> cuting more Libel

What libel? You keep insisitng there's libel here, but you don't
prove it.

THAT makes YOU a liar.

> > > cutting stevie trying to inviolve people not here in his juvi games
> >
> > What you're "cutting" is direct questions that you refuse to
> > answer.
>
> just like you refuse to answer direct question fi you don't like them

>
> fair is fair

But you cut ALL the questions, Markie!

> > > I have said i will play along with your games, to a point, but there
> > > are points I will not go
> > >
> > > Like when you seek to use people not here to play your games
> >
> > There's no games here, LiarBoy.
>
> there is nothing but games Stevie you control freak games

What games?

> cutting stevie repating his offenses

What offenses? Prove any "offenses"...

Steve, K4YZ

## 158. Re: Stevie admit to delusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:55:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123001750.153807.30890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114507

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > cut
> >
> > > He missed the "Do Not Enter" sign...!
> >
> > claims there are such signs
> >
> > are there protents too?

"protents"..?!?!

I am pro tents...Especially in case of rain or snow.

Steve, K4YZ

## 159. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:56:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5BNHe.980$I04.170@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114505

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> KB9RQZ said:
>> >And K4YZ

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 160. Re: Stevie admit to delusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:57:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YBNHe.983$I04.110@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114506

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:

Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.

Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
FAST, before that baby overflows.

## 161. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 16:59:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123001946.233836.276460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114508

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Because you're a liability to yourself, your "partner" (whoever he
> > > is) and all around you when you can't communicate effectively
> >
> > gee anybody that wants to can get the gist of it, and prove that she is
> > a man stevie
>
> You do that, Markie.
nope

>
> You say you sleep with men.

and women

>
> You refer to "her" as your "partner"..."Partner" is PC for
> same-sex lover. We have no other evidence to prove otherwise.

more assuming again so of course you have the coming you ass

>
> > > losing my freedom even slightly to bully and bigot such as yourself
> > > harms me beyond measure
> >
> > You are completely free to bully and bigot anyone you please,
> > Mark...As a matter of fact, you've been doing quite well right here.
> >
> > nope you are
> >
> > smart ###ed lie cut

another forgery and other stevie lie

> > > so we chalk up another lie stevie
> >
> > smart ###ed lie cut
>
more forgery

> > > ever care to start teling the turth
> >
> > smart
>

more steveie lies

> > > > > > why don't you give up you control freak status
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Seems you suffer from that which you would accuse others of,
> > > > > > Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't want to control anyone it is far too much work, and as you
> > > > > point out I am far too lazy to work that hard
> > > >
> > > > But you do keep trying.
> > >
> > another lie
>
> Nope. You keep trying. Prove positive every time you respond to
> one of my posts.

nope I repsond your attacks most of them no one could keep up with you

>
> > > You "control" us by making us wade through your jibberish and try
> > > to put the ends together to a logical outcome.
> > >
> > no I don't.
>
> Sure you do.

another lie by stevie

>
> > you choose of your own free will to read my stuff
>
> I don't read it.

>
> I try to decipher it.

nope you don't bother you just attack

2 lies at once

>
> > indeed you have in the past promised not to to kill file my stff unread
> > but you lied becuase you can't stand ignoring me
>
> You were in the file for a looooooooooooong time.

what 2 hours I guess for a child like you that is a long time

>
> > I don't make you do anything
> >
> > I can't
>

> You do ONE thing well...

then your were lying when you have I can nothing at all

ho hum more lies

>
> You make me laugh!
>
> > You try and make me but equaly you can't, and that drives nuts or is it
> > just nuttier?
> >
> > cuting stevie rehashing a game I have already played as far as I will
> > go
> >
> > Unlike stevie I will not involve people unknowing and unable to defend
> > themselves in your juvi games
>

cuting stevie rehashing a gam I will not play

> Yet another MarkieRant on the heap.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 162. Re: a new attack thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 17:00:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123002029.041248.221500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114509

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
>
> Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> FAST, before that baby overflows.

welcome to the Stvie rants show

## 163. Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 17:09:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123002577.734062.128370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114514

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > > > > questions aimed at you.
> > > > >
> > > > > More lies Stevie, I refuse to participate in your libeling of some one
> > > > > else
> > > >
> > > > Who did I libel?
> > >
> > > that is right you don't even know the m]names of the mens you libel
> >
> > I didn't libel anyone Mark.

caling someone you have never met unprofessional in public is generaly
considered libel
>
> > > You need an English comprehension course and some backround work in
> > > basic civil law, Markie.
> > >
> > > i have both, you have met all the usaul marker for libel/slander
> > > against me and aginast others
> >
> > You have neither, and there's no libel OR slander.

sure is and it is your post that have the markers

>
> > > > > > THAT is "evasion".
> > > > >
> > > > > nope that is honarable behoavot
> > > cuting spell cop
> > >
> > > > > > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope it shows your lack of Honnor and your cowrdace that you attack
> > > > > people not present to defend themselves if they choose
> > > >
> > >
> > > cuting more Libel
> >
> > What libel? You keep insisitng there's libel here, but you don't
> > prove it.

>
> THAT makes YOU a liar.

No it doesn't failing to prove something does not make me a liar

in this case I refuse to play your games in Libeling men that are not
here

>
> > > > cutting stevie trying to inviolve people not here in his juvi games
> > > >
> > > What you're "cutting" is direct questions that you refuse to
> > > answer.
> > >
> > just like you refuse to answer direct question fi you don't like them
> >
> > fair is fair
>
> But you cut ALL the questions, Markie!

another lies by Stevie I cut many of them yes

and if as I have had to in couple of your posts well it isn't my fault
if you can't ask a question without making a sexaul, or libelous, or
criminal allusions,

>
> > > > I have said i will play along with your games, to a point, but there
> > > > are points I will not go
> > > >
> > > > Like when you seek to use people not here to play your games
> > > >
> > > There's no games here, LiarBoy.
> > >
> > there is nothing but games Stevie you control freak games
>
> What games?

your contol freak games

>
> > cutting stevie repating his offenses
>
> What offenses? Prove any "offenses"...

your Offenses, and they are porven by your own words I need not add any
to them

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 164. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 17:44:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.17.44.34.773570@gmail.com>
Source record: 114515

N2EY:

It is strongly suggested they don't appoint a deaf person as net control,
however, it is a free country. The hearing disabled may wish to consider
smoke signals, message arrow or carrier pidgeon. If near an ocean,
"message in a bottle" might be investigated.

John

On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 09:31:44 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
>> > If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
>> > around, does it make a sound?
>
> Yes
>
>> > Kim W5TIT
>
>> If a man is in the forest and says something, but there's no woman around to
>> hear it, is he still wrong?
>
> Yes!
>
> Then again, why would a man in the forest say anything?
>
> Isn't there a list of things he should be doing? Did he remember to
> pick up the dry cleaning and drop off the rental videos on his way to
> the forest? For that matter, did he take out the garbage on his way out
> of the
> house? How about getting those new shoes like he promised he would two
> weeks ago? And the upstairs bathroom *still* isn't painted. Honestly,
> you'd think he had nothing better to do than go off in the woods saying
> things to no one in particular....
>
> ---
>
> Overheard in a bookstore: "Telling you where the self-help books
> are would defeat their purpose"
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

> 313

## 165. Re: stevie f***s up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 18:40:54 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcoenl$t3o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114516

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>
>
>
> Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
>
> Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> FAST, before that baby overflows.

It is getting a little old, isn't it? Hard to even filter this latest
ploy. short of plonking the posters. Which I is loathe to do..

- Mike KB4EIA

## 166. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 18:42:13 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcoeq4$t3o$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114517

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>KB9RQZ said:
>>>
>>>>And K4YZ
>
>
> Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
>
> Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> FAST, before that baby overflows.

I wonder what the point of all this is?

- Mike KB3EIA

## 167. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from Hint" It's NOT KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 19:34:19 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcohrq$utu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114518

K4YZ wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
>>
>>Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
>>FAST, before that baby overflows.
>
>
> Slow day in the Great White North, eh, Hans...?!?!

Ya hafta admit that it's pretty funny, Steve..8^)

## 168. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 19:34:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123011285.595675.316910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114519

Michael Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > >
> > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>KB9RQZ said:
> > >>>
> > >>>>And K4YZ
> > >
> > >
> > > Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
> > >
> > > Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> > > FAST, before that baby overflows.
>
>
> I wonder what the point of all this is?

not sure what hans' point is.

stevie well has enaged in this jihad for 7 years, trying to control or
effectively censor my access to this and other newsgroups, He think by
reltenlessly harrasing me he can stop me from posting, or make me post
what he wants.

he has tried threats of murder, commited blackmail (which fails since I
do not conceal "the secert" he went and found out)

My point is one of asserting persoanal freedom and not backing down
from a 2 bit cyber terrorist
>
> - Mike KB3EIA

## 169. Re: 3.840 was a riot earty this morning!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 19:43:12 UTC
From: Lappy <lappy@lappynet.net>
Message-ID: <ddc4a$42efccf7$d1cc7a0f$4948@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 114520

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.02.16.24.46.830152@gmail.com...
> Early in the morning turned on the rig beside the bed. One lone guy
> (probably a newbie) defending himself against a large band of sharks as
> feed here. It kept me in stitches, he did excellent!
>
> The CB'ers have arrived, and 3.840 bears watching (even sneak in and have
> a bit of fun with 'em, well, maybe! <grin>)
>
> John
>

What time? What time did all this take place?
I'll listen tonight. This is the freq where Art OBB
and the West Coast group hangs out. Hope they weren't being
qrm'ed as their fun to listen to.

## 170. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 19:46:25 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcoiig$rlg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114521

an_old_friend wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>KB9RQZ said:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>And K4YZ
>>>
>>>
>>>Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
>>>
>>>Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
>>>FAST, before that baby overflows.
>>
>>
>> I wonder what the point of all this is?
>
>
> not sure what hans' point is.
>
> stevie well has enaged in this jihad for 7 years, trying to control or
> effectively censor my access to this and other newsgroups, He think by
> reltenlessly harrasing me he can stop me from posting, or make me post
> what he wants.
>
> he has tried threats of murder, commited blackmail (which fails since I
> do not conceal "the secert" he went and found out)
>
> My point is one of asserting persoanal freedom and not backing down
> from a 2 bit cyber terrorist

Well, you guys have your reasons, I guess. The problem is the rest of
us get to observe Steve's and your bar room brawl. While those of us who
would rather not have to read the stuff normally could filter the
thread, we can't do it here because so many new threads are started

every day.

If ya'll would just keep it to a few threads, it would be cool. I much prefer to filter threads rather than names.

And of course, Steve has no intention of "backing down" either. So I guess this is just you folks' "life-on-line". As long as you are all happy, then go for it!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 171. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:00:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nhQHe.7468$0C.1772@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114522

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> I wonder what the point of all this is?
>

Hi Mike,

It's totally pointless, just like the rest of the ongoing rants that
Steve/Todd/Mark/et.al perpetuate here.

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 172. Re: More Markie Mularkie Mashed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:12:50 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <0aeaf1889a96a6ea705ea54f5cd47ad4@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 114523

In article
<1122964261.072993.181670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> He missed the "Do Not Enter" sign...!

That's because Marky's sign reads "Open For Business".....

## 173. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:14:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123013650.590225.324700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114525

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> >
> > I wonder what the point of all this is?
> >
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> It's totally pointless, just like the rest of the ongoing rants that
> Steve/Todd/Mark/et.al perpetuate here.

well at least you lead off with the chief villaim of the piece
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

## 174. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:14:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123013656.926106.74900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114524

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> >
> > I wonder what the point of all this is?
> >
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> It's totally pointless, just like the rest of the ongoing rants that
> Steve/Todd/Mark/et.al perpetuate here.

well at least you lead off with the chief villaim of the piece
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

## 175. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:15:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123013733.981325.258780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114526

```
Michael Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >>
> >>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
> >>
> >>Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> >>FAST, before that baby overflows.
> >
> >
> > Slow day in the Great White North, eh, Hans...?!?!
>
> Ya hafta admit that it's pretty funny, Steve..8^)

only in HS locker room sorta way
```

## 176. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:21:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123014069.005366.106590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114527

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>KB9RQZ said:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>And K4YZ
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
> >>>
> >>>Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> >>>FAST, before that baby overflows.
> >>
> >>
> >> I wonder what the point of all this is?
> >
> >
> > not sure what hans' point is.
> >
> > stevie well has enaged in this jihad for 7 years, trying to control or
> > effectively censor my access to this and other newsgroups, He think by
> > reltenlessly harrasing me he can stop me from posting, or make me post
> > what he wants.
> >
> > he has tried threats of murder, commited blackmail (which fails since I
> > do not conceal "the secert" he went and found out)
> >
> > My point is one of asserting persoanal freedom and not backing down
> > from a 2 bit cyber terrorist
>
>
> Well, you guys have your reasons, I guess. The problem is the rest of

> us get to observe Steve's and your bar room brawl. While those of us who
> would rather not have to read the stuff normally could filter the
> thread, we can't do it here because so many new threads are started
> every day.

you have may apologies for that indeed if stevie would just tone the
level of insult in the titles of the threads I could leave em alone but
you need to speak with him about that (good luck if you go that way)
>
> If ya'll would just keep it to a few threads, it would be cool. I much
> prefer to filter threads rather than names.

I do try and Prune a bunch every now and then but one must be carefull
for then Stevie gets realy riled up
>
> And of course, Steve has no intention of "backing down" either. So I
> guess this is just you folks' "life-on-line". As long as you are all
> happy, then go for it!

as I have said spending my time at it now since the weather is beastly
right now

as to Stevie I suppose he could suddenly grow up not holding my breath
of course
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 177. Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:22:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123014122.155000.221210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114528

From: "Bill Sohl" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 13:18

>Len,
>
>Thanks for the status analysis.
>Will you be doing periodic updates too?

Aperiodically, as time here permits.

So far, I've READ each and every Comment posted in the ECFS
on WT Docket 05-235. I've noted which ones were absolutely
FOR code test elimination and which ones were absolutely
AGAINST code test elimination. There are a few "gray area"
Comments which want an in-between state; i.e., code test for
some classes but not others...or the writing is too ambiguous
as to what the commentor is trying to say. The third category
has to be subjectively judged and I "put on my editor's hat"
to gauge those. The first two categories have NO possible
ambiguity since this is a highly-polarized subject and most
are very definitely on one side or the other.

As for approximately mid-afternoon in DC on 2 Aug 05 there
are 55 more Comments, one of which is mine (5 pages worth, but
a small download file, about 30K). Based on previous Dockets,
the ECFS totals are not stable until about 6 PM in DC as the
incoming Comments undergo some kind of human perusal/check
prior to appearing in the final day's listing. 6 PM in DC is
3 PM out here.

A ratio of greater than 3:1 FOR the NPRM is still holding.

dot bye
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 178. Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:23:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123014201.698646.323050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114529

From: "John Smith" on Mon 1 Aug 2005 23:05

>Len:
>
>Ahhh, good to see such a thing. Restores a bit of faith in humanity. You
>never have to fear the decision of the majority, logic, common sense and
>decency still resides in the overall consensus.

I wouldn't go at it QUITE that way, John. I'll put the BIG THING
on the federal government getting ON the Internet and letting folks
talk DIRECTLY to their government. No longer does one need a
legal firm or other organization to "talk for us" (or, laugh-in-ly,
as a "representative" ex-officio).

Up through the 1980s, "interfacing" with our government HAD to be
done at slow-speed via surface mail OR through some legal
representative. The ARRL helped (and hurt) the "amateur community"
by providing a legal firm on retainer in DC which, in turn,
"presented its case" to the FCC in neat legalistic format. Problem
is that the League HAD CONTROL of this "representative" process
all the way despite their spin that they "represented all amateurs."
They didn't since their membership was never greater than a quarter
of all licensed U.S. radio amateurs and right now is perhaps only
one out of five (have to wait until QST finishes their mid-year
"publishers sworn statement" to get an update on their numbers).
The League is only beholden to its membership and is in no way
obliged to "represent" non-members.

Once the Algore influence on Internet connecting by the government
began happening, we (those who were around prior to 1991 and
after the Internet became public) could COMMUNICATE *DIRECTLY*.
The first taste of this New Freedom for radio amateurs was
probably in 1998 and FCC 98-143, the NPRM on Restructuring.
Surprise, surprise, no more League filtering of opinions, us
citizens got to Comment easily and quickly DIRECTLY with the FCC.
I'm sure the League got a bit of a shock on realizing they no
longer had the CONTROL they thought they had.

Direct communication with our government is pretty much a good
thing despite the rare crackpot stuff that sometimes happens...
and even with "canned" Comments that originate with one author
and are spread around and copied and sent do work. Don't nobody
forget that those work for BOTH sides. :-)

So far, with the ECFS not yet completed for 2 Aug 05, the NCTAs
outnumber the PCTAs by at least 3:1.

>I can go to sleep realizing God is good...

Yes, but lock the doors and windows...a PCTA may be lurking out
there somewhere ready to BEEP you! :-)

out dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 179. Re: 3.840 was a riot earty this morning!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:24:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.20.24.37.534684@gmail.com>
Source record: 114532

Lappy:

Lots of activity around 3 AM PST...

John

On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 15:43:12 -0400, Lappy wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.02.16.24.46.830152@gmail.com...
>> Early in the morning turned on the rig beside the bed. One lone guy
>> (probably a newbie) defending himself against a large band of sharks as
>> feed here. It kept me in stitches, he did excellent!
>>
>> The CB'ers have arrived, and 3.840 bears watching (even sneak in and have
>> a bit of fun with 'em, well, maybe! <grin>)
>>
>> John
>>
>
> What time? What time did all this take place?
> I'll listen tonight. This is the freq where Art OBB
> and the West Coast group hangs out. Hope they weren't being
> qrm'ed as their fun to listen to.

## 180. Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:27:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.20.27.54.139043@gmail.com>
Source record: 114536

Len:

Well, that is cheating looking at the docket info...

How about you do a little "Len's Crystal Ball" piece--keeping it
up-to-date of course!, kinda give us a glimpse into the future? FCC and
arrl have such boring methods, we have seen them many, many times
before--time for a change and a bit-o-fun!

Warmest regards,
John

On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 13:22:02 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: "Bill Sohl" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 13:18
>
>>Len,
>>
>>Thanks for the status analysis.
>>Will you be doing periodic updates too?
>
> Aperiodically, as time here permits.
>
> So far, I've READ each and every Comment posted in the ECFS
> on WT Docket 05-235. I've noted which ones were absolutely
> FOR code test elimination and which ones were absolutely
> AGAINST code test elimination. There are a few "gray area"
> Comments which want an in-between state; i.e., code test for
> some classes but not others...or the writing is too ambiguous
> as to what the commentor is trying to say. The third category
> has to be subjectively judged and I "put on my editor's hat"
> to gauge those. The first two categories have NO possible
> ambiguity since this is a highly-polarized subject and most
> are very definitely on one side or the other.
>
> As for approximately mid-afternoon in DC on 2 Aug 05 there
> are 55 more Comments, one of which is mine (5 pages worth, but
> a small download file, about 30K). Based on previous Dockets,
> the ECFS totals are not stable until about 6 PM in DC as the
> incoming Comments undergo some kind of human perusal/check
> prior to appearing in the final day's listing. 6 PM in DC is
> 3 PM out here.
>
> A ratio of greater than 3:1 FOR the NPRM is still holding.
>
> dot bye

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 181. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 20:34:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.02.20.34.29.647775@gmail.com>
Source record: 114533

Michael cautiously approached his keyboard and quickly typed out, "I
wonder what the point of all this is?", while no one was looking...

When we finally noticed, we wondered?

1) The meaning of life?
2) Is there a "God?"
3) Was our distant ancestor a mud puddle?
4) Is there intelligent life on Earth?
5) What did anyone do which is so horrible the FCC stuck them with a call
including the letters "TIT?"
6) Is this a bi-sexual/gay/lesbian amateur thread?
7) Is Art Bell secretly manipulating the future of amateur radio--with
only his mind?
8) Could the "African Message Drum" make a come back?
9) Are aliens in control of the either?
10) What is Dee cooking for dinner tonight?

... the answers, they are out there, somewhere!
<humming-X-File-theme>

John

On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 14:42:13 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>KB9RQZ said:
>>>>
>>>>>And K4YZ
>>
>>
>> Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
>>
>> Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
>> FAST, before that baby overflows.
>
>
> I wonder what the point of all this is?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA

## 182. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 21:33:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123018438.294275.64560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114530

From: an old friend on Aug 2, 9:01 am

>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>>John Smith wrote:

>> The RF isn't ionizing radiation, so it wouldn't mutate any cells,
>> giving rise to evolvement.
>
>I hear the jury is out out on mutagenc effects

Not really, Mark. It's just that a couple dozen research facilities have NOT YET uncovered anything harmful in low-level radiation in at least 3 decades of study on the subject.

>> But the idea of holding 5 or more watts if UHF RF against my temple is
>> a scary one.
>
>you Have a 440 HT?
>
>and you are afraid of it?

It's a SOCIAL STIGMA fear, Mark. No "real" ham is supposed to have a "shack on the belt" sort of radio.

If a PCTA is seen by other PCTAs using a handheld, he is subject to immediate excommunication. No one is allowed to beep to him again.

>> Related item. I was on vacation last weekend, and a couple weekends
>> before that. I was very nearly killed several times by cell phone users
>> who nearly rear-ended me, were engaged in some sort of animated
>> argument, and nearly side swiped me, or just generally drove like a
>> complete idiot.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did Mike get a Warrant for their arrest? Attempted manslaughter is felony in most states.

>> Seeing as how truck drivers and Hams have been using radios for years
>> and years with out (apparently) nasty incidents, I wonder if exposure to
>> the near field of near GHz radiation makes people temporarily stupid.

No, some hams are naturally stupid.

Tsk, tsk. The strongest effect on human tissue of RF radiation is
in the low-VHF spectrum. That is much reduced above 300 MHz and
on up...

>> I believe that we need to treat people who drive and use cell phones in
>> the same manner that we treat people who drive intoxicated. Proof that
>> an intelligent person can be made stupid by a cell phone would go a long
>> way in that direction.

There is considerably more "proof" that ham radio makes supposedly
intelligent persons go stupid just from all the comments in here...

bar nut
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 183. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 21:47:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123019262.789997.8240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114531

From: Michael Coslo on Aug 2, 6:57 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:

>>>>>>>In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>>>>>>>that they expect any Tech
>>>>>>>not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>>>>>>>to take the General exam and
>>>>>>>upgrade to get HF privileges.
>
>>>>>>Interesting - in many ways!
>
>>>>>>For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
>>>>>>Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>
>>>>>Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
>>>>>that licensees
>>>>>are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want
>>>>>more privileges, they show that development by upgrading.
>
>>>>The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
>>>>contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!
>
>>>But it does completely satisfy those who kept hammering on no
>>>automatic upgrades and then some as I got the impression that most would
>>> not have minded Techs being consolidated with Tech Plus at the Tech Plus
>>> privileges even though otherwise against automatic upgrades.
>
>> Perhaps.
>
>> Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
>> for General or Extra.
>
>But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
>tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
>Technician) earlier?

Oh, my, all that SPECULATION and the "bearing down heavily!"

WT Docket 05-235 is about ONE thing and ONE thing only: Delete
or retain the morse code test. The FCC expects deletion but
will not order that until all Comments are done and has reached
a conclusion on the basis of those Comments.

NOTHING in that docket was about "restructuring" anything but
test element 1 statements.

Whatever else anyone in this group has said/pronounced/babbled
about is PURE SUBJECTIVE SPECTULATION.

Tsk, where are all the "insiders" who used to say "exactly
what the FCC was thinking?!?" [ as if... ]

>> And when you consider that the old, pre-restructuring 20 wpm *Extra*
>> was earned by at least one third-grader at the age of 8,
>> it's a bit hard to accept that the written tests are "too hard".
>
>> (OTOH, the same can be said about the code tests...)

Tsk, the FCC doesn't recognize AGE. :-)

WT Docket 05-235 is NOT about license classes, "restructuring"
acts befores or afters, or WRITTEN TESTS. It is concerned
with Test Element 1 deletion or retention.

Tsk, tsk, you people just have NO focus...

>> It gets more and more interesting. Sounds like a rebirth of
>> Incentive Licensing.

Sounds more like you PCTAs have way too much time on your
hands when you cannot understand what 05-235 is about...

> THey better call it something else! ;^)

WT Docket 05-235 is good enough for the FCC. Why isn't it
good enough for you PCTAs?

>> Think about it: FCC saying that it's *not OK* to stay a Tech!?!

Only one little problem: The FCC did NOT say that in
WT Docket 05-235.

><rest snipped>

Just as well. You PCTAs just can't focus on THE important
part of U.S. amateur radio regulations for entering amateur
radio...the morse code test.

bla bla
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 184. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:01:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123019396.820768.299210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114535

From: Kim on Aug 2, 8:03 am

>"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> KXHB:
>
>> I wouldn't even think about allowing someone a radio, unless first they have
>> mastered the "African Message Drum", if all civilization is gone the drum is
>> what they will need to survive.
>
>> Much more logical than cw, you don't need a radio! Can make a drum out of a
>> hollow log and a skin off the neighbors cat!
>
>> John
>
>And, uh, what'd you say *your* callsign was? I, uh, just didn't quite catch
>it... I'm just asking because it's a generally noted condition of a few
>major posters in this newsgroup, that if one is not an amateur radio
>licensee (Len Anderson, for example) their opinions on the matter of amateur
>radio are taken with a grain of salt.

Oh, ohhhhh...here we go...yet another Flame War ignition attempt...

>If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
>around, does it make a sound?

"If a tree falls on a florist, will he make a sound?"

How many angles bisect on a PCTA pinhead?

"Etcetera, etcetera," sang the King of Siam.

Isaac Asimov's shortest short story on science-fiction, written
during
a panel talk by a group of science-fiction writers:

"The last man on earth sat alone in his cabin. Suddenly there
was
a knock on the door..."

Be sure and take EVERYTHING oh, so seriously! This be the Din of
Inequity!

den din
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 185. Steve Demonstrates ARCF ( * ) Affliction, Nurse, Heal Thyself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:01:39 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123020099.064705.195310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114534

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:

> > Do you think he'll get it?

> I'm sure you'll try to "geti it across", Brain...

What are you talking about, ""*geti it across*""???

Whatever disability you might have, why can't you use simple tools to
clean up your postings?

Sheesh! Are you a dyslexic or something? Pull your head out!

> Steve, K4YZ

> (*) Acute Retentive Cranial Flatulance

** indeed!

Nurse, Heal Thyself!

## 186. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:14:29 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123020869.414564.217070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1122939286.297950.289590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> KØHB wrote:
> > "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> > > Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth
> > > can go back to being a teaching method.
> >
> > Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back
> > to"....
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Morse had become merely a licensing hurdle, Farnsworth a testing
> scheme. Now if anyone bothers to learn Morse, it will be to use it.
>
> You know something? Your probably right....and actually that is a good
> thing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

People may not always agree with my opinions, but that's OK with me.

There were plenty of Code Tape Extra's out there who never worked a dit
in their lives.

## 187. Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:24:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123021447.932165.29810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114539

6. John Smith Aug 2, 1:27 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: John Smith <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: Tue, 02 Aug 2005 13:27:55 -0700
Local: Tues, Aug 2 2005 1:27 pm
Subject: Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

Len:

>Well, that is cheating looking at the docket info...

? How else to look at REALITY? Look it square and fair, no hesitation.

>How about you do a little "Len's Crystal Ball" piece--keeping it
>up-to-date of course!, kinda give us a glimpse into the future? FCC and
>arrl have such boring methods, we have seen them many, many times
>before--time for a change and a bit-o-fun!

No. Firstly, you guys don't pay enough...together you can't get up scale (fees). Secondly, there's ten kinds of "insiders" in here all "explaining" what the FCC "is all about." Those get nauseous as well
as repetitive. Thirdly, I go after things DIRECTLY instead of sitting in here all the time and "explaining" things like so many others do.

I've Commented directly to the FCC on 98-143, BPL, and all 18 petitions. I doubt there are any other "regulars" who've had the guts
to do the same...I've not seen them in the ECFS on ALL of those.

yah yah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 188. Re: Steve isn't lying when he accuses me of libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:24:09 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123021449.247226.28660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114538

Buster Brown

John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:
>
> Any relation to the "world famous!" "b.b. Gunn?"
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 23:32:56 -0700, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >
> > b.b. wrote:
> >
> >> Who is this DeShawn?
> >
> > Who is this "b.b." to ask?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

## 189. I Steve an ANON? Says he's never posted anonymously!!! Hi, hi!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:27:36 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123021656.862876.39870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114540

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > Who is this DeShawn?
>
> Who is this "b.b." to ask?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Suddenly there's this DeShawn guy(?) talking as if he were

Steven Robeson/K4YZ!

Hi, hi!

## 190. Re: Steve is ready to talk (7 Hostile Actions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:30:57 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123021857.549604.261110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114541

b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > > > > > > > > > > faulty thinking on your part.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> > > > > > > > > > public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> > > > > > > > > > was coming from"...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Indeed. So tell us more about your claims of seven hostile actions.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I guess Steve will deal with his truth in due time. Hi!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Or maybe he won't. Maybe there is no truth in his claims.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Seven hostile actions?

Real or Imagined?

## 191. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:34:45 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123022085.513454.140110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114542

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > > > > > > > questions aimed at you.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > More lies Stevie, I refuse to participate in your libeling of some one
> > > > > > > > else
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Who did I libel?
> > > > >
> > > that is right you don't even know the m]names of the mens you libel
> > >
> > I didn't libel anyone Mark.
> >
> caling someone you have never met unprofessional in public is generaly
> considered libel
> >
> > > > You need an English comprehension course and some backround work in
> > > > basic civil law, Markie.
> > > >
> > > i have both, you have met all the usaul marker for libel/slander
> > > against me and aginast others
> > >
> > You have neither, and there's no libel OR slander.
> >
> sure is and it is your post that have the markers
> >
> >
> > > > > > > > THAT is "evasion".
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nope that is honarable behoavot
> > > > > cuting spell cop
> > > >
> > > > > > > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nope it shows your lack of Honnor and your cowrdace that you attack
> > > > > > > people not present to defend themselves if they choose
> > > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > cuting more Libel
> > >
```

> > What libel? You keep insisitng there's libel here, but you don't
> > prove it.
> >
> > THAT makes YOU a liar.
>
> No it doesn't failing to prove something does not make me a liar
>
> in this case I refuse to play your games in Libeling men that are not
> here
>
> >
> > > > > cutting stevie trying to inviolve people not here in his juvi games
> > > > >
> > > > What you're "cutting" is direct questions that you refuse to
> > > > answer.
> > > >
> > > just like you refuse to answer direct question fi you don't like them
> > > >
> > > fair is fair
> >
> > But you cut ALL the questions, Markie!
>
> another lies by Stevie I cut many of them yes
>
> and if as I have had to in couple of your posts well it isn't my fault
> if you can't ask a question without making a sexaul, or libelous, or
> criminal allusions,
>
> >
> > > > > I have said i will play along with your games, to a point, but there
> > > > > are points I will not go
> > > > >
> > > > > Like when you seek to use people not here to play your games
> > > > >
> > > > There's no games here, LiarBoy.
> > > >
> > > there is nothing but games Stevie you control freak games
> >
> > What games?
>
> your contol freak games
>
> >
> > > cutting stevie repating his offenses
> >
> > What offenses? Prove any "offenses"...
>
> your Offenses, and they are porven by your own words I need not add any
> to them
>

> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

Yikes!!!

Wasn't it another medical professional that Steve referred to as unprofessional?

Was Steve on some kind of medical review board to have rendered such an opinion?

Isn't that unprofessional?

## 192. Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:37:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <YASHe.199$IB1.6471814@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114555

Len:

OK, I gave you your chance, I am going back to consulting with Sylvia Browne...
<frown>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1123021447.932165.29810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> 6. John Smith Aug 2, 1:27 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: John Smith <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: Tue, 02 Aug 2005 13:27:55 -0700
> Local: Tues, Aug 2 2005 1:27 pm
> Subject: Re: Status of WT Docket 05-235
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> Len:
>
>
>>Well, that is cheating looking at the docket info...
>
> ? How else to look at REALITY? Look it square and fair, no
> hesitation.
>
>>How about you do a little "Len's Crystal Ball" piece--keeping it
>>up-to-date of course!, kinda give us a glimpse into the future? FCC and
>>arrl have such boring methods, we have seen them many, many times
>>before--time for a change and a bit-o-fun!
>
> No. Firstly, you guys don't pay enough...together you can't get up
> scale (fees). Secondly, there's ten kinds of "insiders" in here all
> "explaining" what the FCC "is all about." Those get nauseous as
> well
> as repetitive. Thirdly, I go after things DIRECTLY instead of
> sitting in here all the time and "explaining" things like so many
> others do.
>
> I've Commented directly to the FCC on 98-143, BPL, and all 18
> petitions. I doubt there are any other "regulars" who've had the
> guts
> to do the same...I've not seen them in the ECFS on ALL of those.

>
> yah yah
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

## 193. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:43:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iGSHe.200$x02.6652024@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

b.b.:

Uhhh, we "code tape wizards" and "computer taught b*st*rds" are "out there" (more ways than one, I suppose), and if a code reader can't display it on the screen--we can probably live without those "words of wisdom."

Art Bell said, "CW is dead!" If Art Bell (even more trustworthy than Santa and the New York Times!) says it, Victoria, it is true!

John

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1123020869.414564.217070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1122939286.297950.289590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> > > Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth
> > > can go back to being a teaching method.
> >
> > Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back
> > to"....
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Morse had become merely a licensing hurdle, Farnsworth a testing
> scheme. Now if anyone bothers to learn Morse, it will be to use it.
>
> You know something? Your probably right....and actually that is a good
> thing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

People may not always agree with my opinions, but that's OK with me.

There were plenty of Code Tape Extra's out there who never worked a dit in their lives.

## 194. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:47:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <9KSHe.12918$oZ.7911@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1123020869.414564.217070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1122939286.297950.289590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> > > Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth
> > > can go back to being a teaching method.
> >
> > Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back
> > to"....
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Morse had become merely a licensing hurdle, Farnsworth a testing
> scheme. Now if anyone bothers to learn Morse, it will be to use it.
>
> You know something? Your probably right....and actually that is a good
> thing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

People may not always agree with my opinions, but that's OK with me.

There were plenty of Code Tape Extra's out there who never worked a dit
in their lives.

Of course there were/are. Lots of folks never cared a lick if they actually
operated CW or not. And to them it was a hurdle to do 13 or 20.

But to those select few that actually enjoyed the mode it became a real pain
hearing about how hard it was to learn, how useless, how unnecessary, etc.

Now perhaps the debate will finally END. And those that like CW can
continue to enjoy it. And help those that want to learn it, learn it, and
also enjoy it. And use it and not have to put up with all the whinning any
longer.

Dan/W4NTI

# 195. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:51:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <INSHe.201$5z1.6383641@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114553

Len:

Later, drinks will be served and a movie will be put up, it is an old "Mel Gibson flick"... MadMax!

Now considered a training film to introduce brass pounders to the necessity for morse.

Only two commercials in the whole film, one by KenWood--maker of fine antique radios, and DaveMade--maker of fine high-power chicken band equip!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1123019396.820768.299210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Kim on Aug 2, 8:03 am
>
>
>>"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> KXHB:
>>
>>> I wouldn't even think about allowing someone a radio, unless first they
>>> have
>>> mastered the "African Message Drum", if all civilization is gone the drum
>>> is
>>> what they will need to survive.
>>
>>> Much more logical than cw, you don't need a radio! Can make a drum out of
>>> a
>>> hollow log and a skin off the neighbors cat!
>>
>>> John
>>
>>And, uh, what'd you say *your* callsign was? I, uh, just didn't quite catch
>>it... I'm just asking because it's a generally noted condition of a few
>>major posters in this newsgroup, that if one is not an amateur radio
>>licensee (Len Anderson, for example) their opinions on the matter of amateur
>>radio are taken with a grain of salt.
>
> Oh, ohhhhh...here we go...yet another Flame War ignition attempt...
>
>>If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
>>around, does it make a sound?
>

> "If a tree falls on a florist, will he make a sound?"
>
> How many angles bisect on a PCTA pinhead?
>
> "Etcetera, etcetera," sang the King of Siam.
>
>
> Isaac Asimov's shortest short story on science-fiction, written
> during
> a panel talk by a group of science-fiction writers:
>
> "The last man on earth sat alone in his cabin. Suddenly there
> was
> a knock on the door..."
>
> Be sure and take EVERYTHING oh, so seriously! This be the Din of
> Inequity!
>
> den din
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

## 196. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:53:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JPSHe.12923$oZ.11439@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114544

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:6o2dnQOUgLy6SnPfRVn-2g@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ioAHe.132$EP6.2252860@news.sisna.com...
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I think at this time, the tech ticket can be dropped, let 'em go general
>> to start or forget it!!! Just combine tech&gen tests to one...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> As I've mentioned before, in my classes in the future, I'll be encouraging
> my students to do that extra bit of study and go straight for the General.
> Apparently some of the proposals to the FCC did suggest this but they are
> not ready to go this route just yet.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
That is a good idea Dee. With the massive desertion of V/UHF for HF, it
will be a vast wasteland. Yes indeed, standby for the giant sucking
sound.

All us present users of HF will have to make room, we will have phone all
the way down to 14.010. The FCC will be deluged with demands, yes demands
for more spectrum. Even 11 meters will be turned back into a ham band.

After all that is what all the anti-code is all about, right?

Dan/W4NTI

## 197. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 22:59:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SVSHe.202$GM1.6633579@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114551

Len:

My gawd, get out the antacid, laxatives, etc and pass them out freely!!!!

This belly-aching is going to go on forever, fact is CW looks almost certain to fall. And, the CB'ers are on the march to get their "KeenWoods" and "davemade" products now, in anticipation...

... amateur radio is about to take on a new personality.

Truckers with extra licenses, house wife's as generals, kiddie techs, the possibilities are endless...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1123019262.789997.8240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Michael Coslo on Aug 2, 6:57 am
>
>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>>>In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>>>>>>>>that they expect any Tech
>>>>>>>>not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>>>>>>>>to take the General exam and
>>>>>>>>upgrade to get HF privileges.
>>
>>>>>>>Interesting - in many ways!
>>
>>>>>>>For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
>>>>>>>Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>>
>>>>>>Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
>>>>>>that licensees
>>>>>>are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want
>>>>>>more privileges, they show that development by upgrading.
>>
>>>>>The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
>>>>>contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!
>>

>>>>But it does completely satisfy those who kept hammering on no
>>>>automatic upgrades and then some as I got the impression that most would
>>>> not have minded Techs being consolidated with Tech Plus at the Tech Plus
>>>> privileges even though otherwise against automatic upgrades.
>>
>>> Perhaps.
>>
>>> Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
>>> for General or Extra.
>>
>>But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
>>tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
>>Technician) earlier?
>
> Oh, my, all that SPECULATION and the "bearing down heavily!"
>
> WT Docket 05-235 is about ONE thing and ONE thing only: Delete
> or retain the morse code test. The FCC expects deletion but
> will not order that until all Comments are done and has reached
> a conclusion on the basis of those Comments.
>
> NOTHING in that docket was about "restructuring" anything but
> test element 1 statements.
>
> Whatever else anyone in this group has said/pronounced/babbled
> about is PURE SUBJECTIVE SPECTULATION.
>
> Tsk, where are all the "insiders" who used to say "exactly
> what the FCC was thinking?!?" [ as if... ]
>
>
>
>>> And when you consider that the old, pre-restructuring 20 wpm *Extra*
>>> was earned by at least one third-grader at the age of 8,
>>> it's a bit hard to accept that the written tests are "too hard".
>>
>>> (OTOH, the same can be said about the code tests...)
>
> Tsk, the FCC doesn't recognize AGE. :-)
>
> WT Docket 05-235 is NOT about license classes, "restructuring"
> acts befores or afters, or WRITTEN TESTS. It is concerned
> with Test Element 1 deletion or retention.
>
> Tsk, tsk, you people just have NO focus...
>
>
>>> It gets more and more interesting. Sounds like a rebirth of
>>> Incentive Licensing.
>

> Sounds more like you PCTAs have way too much time on your
> hands when you cannot understand what 05-235 is about...
>
>> THey better call it something else! ;^)
>
> WT Docket 05-235 is good enough for the FCC. Why isn't it
> good enough for you PCTAs?
>
>>> Think about it: FCC saying that it's *not OK* to stay a Tech!?!
>
> Only one little problem: The FCC did NOT say that in
> WT Docket 05-235.
>
>
>><rest snipped>
>
> Just as well. You PCTAs just can't focus on THE important
> part of U.S. amateur radio regulations for entering amateur
> radio...the morse code test.
>
> bla bla
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

## 198. Re: More Markie Foolishness Proves Him An Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 23:00:18 UTC
From: Lappy <lappy@lappynet.net>
Message-ID: <e50ee$42effaf9$d1cc5b7e$17770@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 114545

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:NfNHe.8360$Uk3.1353@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > KB9RQZ said:
>
> Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different
day.
>
> Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket,
and
> FAST, before that baby overflows.

I wish that they'd all get back on HF so we can
listen to these assholes live. They should get back on
14.313 or 3.894.5 and RE-ACTIVATE those freqs
so everyone can hear "the comedy show".

Hey...I got an even BETTER idea! Tell em to goto 3.975
day and 14.375 night so they can drown out K1MAN's bullshit.

NOW IF THEY DID ** THAT ** THEY'D NOT ONLY
HAVE A GREAT COMEDY SHOW, BUT THEY'D HAVE THE PROFOUND
THANKS OF MANY HAMS AS WELL!!

## 199. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 23:02:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123023777.263744.243650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114546

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
> > > Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
> > > for General or Extra.

> But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
> tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
> Technician) earlier?

I'm not sure what you're getting at, Mike.

05-235 isn't just an NPRM, and it isn't just about Element 1. It's
actually FCC's response to the 18 petitions, and denies most of
what was requested, with explanations of FCC's reasoning.

For example, FCC states that they see a 3-license-class system
as the correct number of license classes to work towards. They
specifically deny four-class and two-class suggestions (sorry, Hans -
FCC obviously read your ideas and disagreed).

Yet at the same time FCC doesn't want free upgrades, giveaways, more
complexity in the license structure, nor anybody to lose privileges.

FCC also doesn't see any need for a new entry level license, nor
changes
in the subband structure, nor big changes in the written test methods.

All this is spelled out in detail in 05-235. It's not speculation nor
interpretation.

One by one, almost all the proposed changes are denied by FCC. All that
is left up for grabs is the one remaining code test, which FCC proposes
to eliminate.

As I've said before, I'm surprised it took FCC this long. When FCC
wrote in the R&O for 98-143 that the only reason Element 1 was being
retained was the treaty, the future was pretty clear.

> >>>The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-> > with-HF
> >>>is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not.

> >>>The latter
> >>>has some HF privileges and the former has none.
> >>>
> >>>The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and
> >>>proposes
> >>>to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
> >>>follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but > > that's not what FCC proposes?!
> >>>
> >>>The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
> >>>long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
> >>>term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
> >>>and require at least a General for HF.
> >>
> >>It is hard to say what the FCC was thinking. However perhaps
> >>they too are
> >>looking at the statistics and interpret it as no need for the
> >>introductory
> >>license to have HF privileges. Afterall the Novice are
> >>declining at a fast
> >>enough pace that it looks like almost none are active and that > almost all
> >>are failing to renew. They can easily go into the data as they > have it and
> >>see how many Tech Pluses actually upgraded, let themselves
> >>lapse, or simply
> >>renewed. The last indicating a low activity level and/or
> >>interest level since they have not upgraded.
> >>
> >>
> > The number of upgrades isn't easily obtained from the database, though.
> >>
> >>
> >>Also, they may be thinking that this keeps the minimum number
> >>to tests to
> >>get onto HF at least at two. The Novices had to take two and
> >>Techs after
> >>April 2000 had to take two to get on HF. Prior to that, Techs > had to take 3
> >>tests to get on HF (Novice written, Tech written, and code).
> >>
> >>
> > True enough!
> >>
> > And when you consider that the old, pre-restructuring 20 wpm *Extra*
> > was earned by at least one third-grader at the age of 8,
> > it's a bit hard to accept that the written tests are "too hard".
> >>
> > (OTOH, the same can be said about the code tests...)
> >>
> >>They also discussed the concept that they do not want Techs to > stay at the
> >>Tech level. They consider upgrading as a significant element
> >>in individuals

> >>fulfilling the basis and purpose of ham radio (i.e. self
> >>training, etc).
> >>Allowing the Technicians some HF privileges reduces the
> >>motivation to
> >>upgrade. And the FCC repeately referred to the Techs being
> >>able to get on
> >>HF by taking the simple written test to upgrade to General.
> >
> >
> > It gets more and more interesting. Sounds like a rebirth of
> > Incentive Licensing.
>
> THey better call it something else! ;^)

Why? FCC specifically uses the term "incentives" and says the primary incentive is spectrum space. They say such incentives will not be removed. In the discussion where FCC denies automatic upgrades and expansion of privileges for various license classes, FCC says such things are disincentives to upgrading.

In fact if you read the document carefully, there's a bit of a tone that says 'how easy do you want us to make it? We're saying we'll dump the code test. If you want the privs, take the tests!'

> > Think about it: FCC saying that it's *not OK* to stay a Tech!?!
> > That upgrading is part of being a good ham and supporting the
> > Basis and Purpose...
>
> Works for me!
>
Yup.

It's clear where FCC wants things to go. Start out the beginners on VHF/UHF,
offering HF/MF as the big incentive to get a General. Those who want those
little pieces of HF and a fancy callsign can go for Extra.

They're also clearly saying that anybody with an existing license will be
able to upgrade by just taking a written test, so what's the problem? Are the
tests really so hard, and VE sessions so difficult to access?

Eventually the closed-off license classes will disappear from the database, and
the rules governing them will be removed.

--

Another idea:

Suppose Element 1 is eliminated, but the number of US hams continues to decline.
What will be the fix then?

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

## 200. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 23:16:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123024584.407694.14850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114547

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:6o2dnQOUgLy6SnPfRVn-2g@comcast.com...
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:ioAHe.132$EP6.2252860@news.sisna.com...
> >> N2EY:
> >>
> >> I think at this time, the tech ticket can be dropped, let 'em go general
> >> to start or forget it!!! Just combine tech&gen tests to one...
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >
> > As I've mentioned before, in my classes in the future, I'll be encouraging
> > my students to do that extra bit of study and go straight for the General.
> > Apparently some of the proposals to the FCC did suggest this but they are
> > not ready to go this route just yet.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> That is a good idea Dee. With the massive desertion of V/UHF for HF, it
> will be a vast wasteland. Yes indeed, standby for the giant sucking
> sound.
>
> All us present users of HF will have to make room, we will have phone all
> the way down to 14.010. The FCC will be deluged with demands, yes demands
> for more spectrum.

Maybe not, Dan.

The license is just the first step. Then comes building a station,
putting up
an effective antenna, getting on the air, learning the characteristics
of the
various bands, etc.

How many folks are actually going to do all that? Particularly during
sunspot minimum?

> Even 11 meters will be turned back into a ham band.

A lot of folks are going to be surprised that all of HF isn't like 11
m.

> After all that is what all the anti-code is all about, right?

We'll see.

---

One report I read is that in Germany, where the code test was dumped some time back, there has been an *increased interest* in Morse Code operation! Apparently a sizable number of newcomers want to use Morse Code, test or no test, simply because it's different, takes skill, etc.

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

## 201. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 23:28:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pZKdnZ2dnZ2IHzubnZ2dnQucbd-dnZ2dRVn-zZ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Kardiac Korner wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
>>>>Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
>>>>this is not endemic to the HF bands.
>
>
>>>On 'phone, maybe. Not on Morse Code.
>>
>> Not on Phone either. Of course, I think you knew that already, Jim!
>
>
> There are some spots on 'phone where such conversations go on. 75
> meters is a common place for them.

No argument there. My point was that I take exception with the idea that
the HF bands are populated by the type.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 202. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 23:42:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Z-2dnZ2dnZ2mnX6VnZ2dnXOZbd-dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114549

an old friend wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of the
>>>>>>analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely
>>>>>>disappear...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece
>>>>>
>>>>>Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
>>>>>newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Of course, we probably don't want the new digital phones to be running
>>>>at the power levels the old bag phones run. Especially for the lenght of
>>>>time that people hold the things to their head nowadays.
>>>
>>>
>>>why not sounds like it be would evoltuion in action, but I would like
>>>havinga digtial bag phone or a cordless remote to car mounted phone of
>>>the higher powere we had with the bag phones
>>
>>
>> Hey Mark,
>>
>> I wouldn't call it evolution in action - but perhaps Darwinism in
>>action! 8^)
>>
>> The RF isn't ionizing radiation, so it wouldn't mutate any cells,

>>giving rise to evolvement.
>
>
> I hear the jury is out out on mutagenc effects

Yup. The jury was out on cigarettes causing cancer until the recent
past. And yet I have seen documents from the late 1800's that link the two.

>> But the idea of holding 5 or more watts if UHF RF against my temple is
>>a scary one.
>
>
> you Have a 440 HT

Why yes I do.

> and you are afraid of it?

Nope. Transmissions are kept short, and I use an earpieces mic almost
all the time. If the earpieces isn't handy, I hold the thing several
inches away from me while I talk.

I'm not particularly afraid of anything. I do take necessary precautions.

>> Related item. I was on vacation last weekend, and a couple weekends
>>before that. I was very nearly killed several times by cell phone users
>>who nearly rear-ended me, were engaged in some sort of animated
>>argument, and nearly side swiped me, or just generally drove like a
>>complete idiot.
>>
>> Seeing as how truck drivers and Hams have been using radios for years
>>and years with out (apparently) nasty incidents, I wonder if exposure to
>>the near field of near GHz radiation makes people temporarily stupid.
>>
>> I believe that we need to treat people who drive and use cell phones in
>>the same manner that we treat people who drive intoxicated. Proof that
>>an intelligent person can be made stupid by a cell phone would go a long
>>way in that direction.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

## 203. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 23:50:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dFTHe.1080$I04.491@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114550

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> Michael cautiously approached his keyboard and quickly typed out, "I
> wonder what the point of all this is?", while no one was looking...

First Book of Bokonon, Verses 2-4:

In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic
loneliness.
And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see what
We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth, and one
was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as man sat up,
looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of all this?" he
asked politely.

"Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.

"Certainly," said man.

"Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.

And He went away

## 204. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-02 23:53:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WHTHe.215$4L1.6628460@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114554

Mike:

Nothing has changed with denials by corps making profits. Global warming, lung diseases caused by the burning of fossil fuels, pollution of the food supply and the water by toxins enter the system, etc, etc....

Years from now they will laugh that we remained ignorant, even when we had the lessons of cigarettes to learn from...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:Z-2dnZ2dnZ2mnX6VnZ2dnXOZbd-dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
> an old friend wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power
>>>>>>>of the
>>>>>>>analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most
>>>>>>>likely
>>>>>>>disappear...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
>>>>>>newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Of course, we probably don't want the new digital phones to be running
>>>>>at the power levels the old bag phones run. Especially for the lenght of

>>>>>time that people hold the things to their head nowadays.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>why not sounds like it be would evoltuion in action, but I would like
>>>>havinga digtial bag phone or a cordless remote to car mounted phone of
>>>>the higher powere we had with the bag phones
>>>
>>>
>>> Hey Mark,
>>>
>>> I wouldn't call it evolution in action - but perhaps Darwinism in
>>>action! 8^)
>>>
>>> The RF isn't ionizing radiation, so it wouldn't mutate any cells,
>>>giving rise to evolvement.
>>
>>
>> I hear the jury is out out on mutagenc effects
>
> Yup. The jury was out on cigarettes causing cancer until the recent past. And
> yet I have seen documents from the late 1800's that link the two.
>
>>> But the idea of holding 5 or more watts if UHF RF against my temple is
>>>a scary one.
>>
>>
>> you Have a 440 HT
>
> Why yes I do.
>
>> and you are afraid of it?
>
> Nope. Transmissions are kept short, and I use an earpieces mic almost all the
> time. If the earpieces isn't handy, I hold the thing several inches away from
> me while I talk.
>
> I'm not particularly afraid of anything. I do take necessary precautions.
>
>
>>> Related item. I was on vacation last weekend, and a couple weekends
>>>before that. I was very nearly killed several times by cell phone users
>>>who nearly rear-ended me, were engaged in some sort of animated
>>>argument, and nearly side swiped me, or just generally drove like a
>>>complete idiot.
>>>
>>> Seeing as how truck drivers and Hams have been using radios for years
>>>and years with out (apparently) nasty incidents, I wonder if exposure to
>>>the near field of near GHz radiation makes people temporarily stupid.
>>>
>>> I believe that we need to treat people who drive and use cell phones in

>>>the same manner that we treat people who drive intoxicated. Proof that
>>>an intelligent person can be made stupid by a cell phone would go a long
>>>way in that direction.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>

## 205. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:05:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PSTHe.7563$0C.6235@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114556

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> How many folks are actually going to do all that?
>

Hundreds of thousands of us have done it to date.

73, de Hans, K0HB

## 206. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:29:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PcudnQgxtY9qkm3fRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 114557

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1123024584.407694.14850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:6o2dnQOUgLy6SnPfRVn-2g@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:ioAHe.132$EP6.2252860@news.sisna.com...
>> >> N2EY:
>> >>
>> >> I think at this time, the tech ticket can be dropped, let 'em go
>> >> general
>> >> to start or forget it!!! Just combine tech&gen tests to one...
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >
>> > As I've mentioned before, in my classes in the future, I'll be
>> > encouraging
>> > my students to do that extra bit of study and go straight for the
>> > General.
>> > Apparently some of the proposals to the FCC did suggest this but they
>> > are
>> > not ready to go this route just yet.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> That is a good idea Dee. With the massive desertion of V/UHF for HF,
>> it
>> will be a vast wasteland. Yes indeed, standby for the giant sucking
>> sound.
>>
>> All us present users of HF will have to make room, we will have phone
>> all
>> the way down to 14.010. The FCC will be deluged with demands, yes
>> demands
>> for more spectrum.
>
> Maybe not, Dan.
>
> The license is just the first step. Then comes building a station,
> putting up
```

> an effective antenna, getting on the air, learning the characteristics
> of the
> various bands, etc.
>
> How many folks are actually going to do all that? Particularly during
> sunspot minimum?
>

Yeah I think we won't see any major changes. There will be a noticeable blip in upgrades but that's about it. Actually the fact that it is being implemented at the time of a sunspot minimum means we are at risk of losing hams as they upgrade, find the HF bands difficult and then drop out. We'll really need to do some exra Elmering to help the new people and keep them in the hobby.

>> Even 11 meters will be turned back into a ham band.
>
> A lot of folks are going to be surprised that all of HF isn't like 11
> m.
>
>> After all that is what all the anti-code is all about, right?
>
> We'll see.
>
> ---
>
> One report I read is that in Germany, where the code test was dumped
> some time back, there has been an *increased interest* in Morse Code
> operation! Apparently a sizable number of newcomers want to use Morse
> Code, test or no test, simply because it's different, takes skill, etc.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 313

I hope so. Again perhaps the fact that the change in the code requirements comes as we approach a solar minimum will affect how people view the code.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 207. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:31:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1JqdnUVeMc7zjW3fRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 114558

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Z-2dnZ2dnZ2mnX6VnZ2dnXOZbd-dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
[snip]
>
> Yup. The jury was out on cigarettes causing cancer until the recent past.
> And yet I have seen documents from the late 1800's that link the two.
>

Yeah it was our grandparents and great-grandparents who coined the nickname
"coffin nails" for cigarettes.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 208. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:37:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gbGdnRiONohPjG3fRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114559

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Aug 2, 9:01 am
>
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
>>> The RF isn't ionizing radiation, so it wouldn't mutate any cells,
>>>giving rise to evolvement.
>>
>>I hear the jury is out out on mutagenc effects
>
>
> Not really, Mark. It's just that a couple dozen research
> facilities have NOT YET uncovered anything harmful in low-
> level radiation in at least 3 decades of study on the
> subject.
>
>
>>> But the idea of holding 5 or more watts if UHF RF against my temple is
>>>a scary one.
>>
>>you Have a 440 HT?
>>
>>and you are afraid of it?
>
>
> It's a SOCIAL STIGMA fear, Mark. No "real" ham is supposed to
> have a "shack on the belt" sort of radio.
>
> If a PCTA is seen by other PCTAs using a handheld, he is subject
> to immediate excommunication. No one is allowed to beep to him
> again.

I have two handhelds myself.

>
>>> Related item. I was on vacation last weekend, and a couple weekends
>>>before that. I was very nearly killed several times by cell phone users
>>>who nearly rear-ended me, were engaged in some sort of animated
>>>argument, and nearly side swiped me, or just generally drove like a
>>>complete idiot.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did Mike get a Warrant for their arrest? Attempted
> manslaughter is felony in most states.

Felonius Monk was one of the drivers..

>
>
>>> Seeing as how truck drivers and Hams have been using radios for years
>>>and years with out (apparently) nasty incidents, I wonder if exposure to
>>>the near field of near GHz radiation makes people temporarily stupid.
>
>
> No, some hams are naturally stupid.
>
> Tsk, tsk. The strongest effect on human tissue of RF radiation is
> in the low-VHF spectrum. That is much reduced above 300 MHz and
> on up...

hmmm, a number of Hams think that the "takeoff angle of an antenna is
where all the energy goes too.

I wonder where I got the idea that freq's ~2.45 GHz had some effect on
tissue?

>
>>> I believe that we need to treat people who drive and use cell phones in
>>>the same manner that we treat people who drive intoxicated. Proof that
>>>an intelligent person can be made stupid by a cell phone would go a long
>>>way in that direction.
>
>
> There is considerably more "proof" that ham radio makes supposedly
> intelligent persons go stupid just from all the comments in here...

Apparently.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 209. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:49:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123030157.033596.157190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114560

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > How many folks are actually going to do all that?

> Hundreds of thousands of us have done it to date.

Hundreds of millions haven't, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

btw, Hans, you were one of only two regular rrap posters whose comments were quoted by FCC in 05-235. FCC agreed with some of what you wrote but not most of it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

# 210. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:57:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2EUHe.219$Tk4.7240876@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114570

Dee:

You might be right, I think I have a defective crystal ball anyway, never has worked with 100% accuracy, not even close :(

However, I have been on CB channel 17--27.165 a lot, informing the truck drivers, they seem very interested, about 1 out of every five want to know more. In reality this probably translates to 1 out of every 10 which will actually do something about it (quite possibly even lower). Still, if word is gotten out effectively, should produce quite a "bump" in new licenses. Those guys carry the word to many states.

Also, first time I catch Art Bell on 3.840Mhz, I will try to get him to mention it on his show (I certainly don't have special influence with the man, but if enough of us prompt him, hey!), the discontinuance of CW, perhaps even give a little chat on the benefits of the hobby, that show reaches millions! He should be able to create quite a "bump" all on his own! Be nice to see him do an hour or so with a couple of hams "friendly" to these new changes and stoke the hype up a bit...

Let's make this "bump" as BIG as we can!

I have posted a flyer on all the bulletin boards at the college too, and an EE professor extra has offered to assist newbies to amateur radio. He has gotten the ok to use the college facilities and even set up some kind of summer course (~1 unit) to prepare in obtaining a general ticket.

We will be keeping our fingers crossed here...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:PcudnQgxtY9qkm3fRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1123024584.407694.14850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6o2dnQOUgLy6SnPfRVn-2g@comcast.com...
>>> >
>>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> > news:ioAHe.132$EP6.2252860@news.sisna.com...
>>> >> N2EY:
>>> >>

>>> >> I think at this time, the tech ticket can be dropped, let 'em go general
>>> >> to start or forget it!!! Just combine tech&gen tests to one...
>>> >>
>>> >> John
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> > As I've mentioned before, in my classes in the future, I'll be
>>> > encouraging
>>> > my students to do that extra bit of study and go straight for the
>>> > General.
>>> > Apparently some of the proposals to the FCC did suggest this but they are
>>> > not ready to go this route just yet.
>>> >
>>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>> >
>>> That is a good idea Dee. With the massive desertion of V/UHF for HF, it
>>> will be a vast wasteland. Yes indeed, standby for the giant sucking
>>> sound.
>>>
>>> All us present users of HF will have to make room, we will have phone all
>>> the way down to 14.010. The FCC will be deluged with demands, yes demands
>>> for more spectrum.
>>
>> Maybe not, Dan.
>>
>> The license is just the first step. Then comes building a station,
>> putting up
>> an effective antenna, getting on the air, learning the characteristics
>> of the
>> various bands, etc.
>>
>> How many folks are actually going to do all that? Particularly during
>> sunspot minimum?
>>
>
> Yeah I think we won't see any major changes. There will be a noticeable blip
> in upgrades but that's about it. Actually the fact that it is being
> implemented at the time of a sunspot minimum means we are at risk of losing
> hams as they upgrade, find the HF bands difficult and then drop out. We'll
> really need to do some exra Elmering to help the new people and keep them in
> the hobby.
>
>>> Even 11 meters will be turned back into a ham band.
>>
>> A lot of folks are going to be surprised that all of HF isn't like 11
>> m.
>>
>>> After all that is what all the anti-code is all about, right?
>>
>> We'll see.

>>
>> ---
>>
>> One report I read is that in Germany, where the code test was dumped
>> some time back, there has been an *increased interest* in Morse Code
>> operation! Apparently a sizable number of newcomers want to use Morse
>> Code, test or no test, simply because it's different, takes skill, etc.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> 313
>
> I hope so. Again perhaps the fact that the change in the code requirements
> comes as we approach a solar minimum will affect how people view the code.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

## 211. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:57:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Ff2dnZ2dnZ3oNJiFnZ2dnRuLbd-dnZ2dRVn-0p2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114561

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
>>>for General or Extra.
>
>
>>But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
>>tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
>>Technician) earlier?
>
>
> I'm not sure what you're getting at, Mike.

Somewhere in the bowels of this thread are comments about giving the
Present Technicians the old Technician Plus privileges

> 05-235 isn't just an NPRM, and it isn't just about Element 1. It's
> actually FCC's response to the 18 petitions, and denies most of
> what was requested, with explanations of FCC's reasoning.
>
> For example, FCC states that they see a 3-license-class system
> as the correct number of license classes to work towards. They
> specifically deny four-class and two-class suggestions (sorry, Hans -
> FCC obviously read your ideas and disagreed).
>
> Yet at the same time FCC doesn't want free upgrades, giveaways, more
> complexity in the license structure, nor anybody to lose privileges.
>
> FCC also doesn't see any need for a new entry level license, nor
> changes

> in the subband structure, nor big changes in the written test methods.
>
> All this is spelled out in detail in 05-235. It's not speculation nor
> interpretation.
>
> One by one, almost all the proposed changes are denied by FCC. All that
> is left up for grabs is the one remaining code test, which FCC proposes
> to eliminate.
>
> As I've said before, I'm surprised it took FCC this long. When FCC
> wrote in the R&O for 98-143 that the only reason Element 1 was being
> retained was the treaty, the future was pretty clear.
>
>
>>>>>The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-> > with-HF
>>>>>is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not.
>>>>>The latter
>>>>>has some HF privileges and the former has none.
>>>>>
>>>>>The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and
>>>>>proposes
>>>>>to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
>>>>>follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but > > that's not what FCC
proposes?!
>>>>>
>>>>>The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
>>>>>long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
>>>>>term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
>>>>>and require at least a General for HF.
>>>>
>>>>It is hard to say what the FCC was thinking. However perhaps
>>>>they too are
>>>>looking at the statistics and interpret it as no need for the
>>>>introductory
>>>>license to have HF privileges. Afterall the Novice are
>>>>declining at a fast
>>>>enough pace that it looks like almost none are active and that > almost all
>>>>are failing to renew. They can easily go into the data as they > have it and
>>>>see how many Tech Pluses actually upgraded, let themselves
>>>>lapse, or simply
>>>>renewed. The last indicating a low activity level and/or
>>>>interest level since they have not upgraded.
>>>
>>>
>>>The number of upgrades isn't easily obtained from the database, though.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Also, they may be thinking that this keeps the minimum number
>>>>to tests to

>>>>get onto HF at least at two. The Novices had to take two and
>>>>Techs after
>>>>April 2000 had to take two to get on HF. Prior to that, Techs > had to take 3
>>>>tests to get on HF (Novice written, Tech written, and code).
>>>
>>>
>>>True enough!
>>>
>>>And when you consider that the old, pre-restructuring 20 wpm *Extra*
>>>was earned by at least one third-grader at the age of 8,
>>>it's a bit hard to accept that the written tests are "too hard".
>>>
>>>(OTOH, the same can be said about the code tests...)
>>>
>>>
>>>>They also discussed the concept that they do not want Techs to > stay at the
>>>>Tech level. They consider upgrading as a significant element
>>>>in individuals
>>>>fulfilling the basis and purpose of ham radio (i.e. self
>>>>training, etc).
>>>>Allowing the Technicians some HF privileges reduces the
>>>>motivation to
>>>>upgrade. And the FCC repeately referred to the Techs being
>>>>able to get on
>>>>HF by taking the simple written test to upgrade to General.
>>>
>>>
>>>It gets more and more interesting. Sounds like a rebirth of
>>>Incentive Licensing.
>>
>> THey better call it something else! ;^)
>
>
> Why? FCC specifically uses the term "incentives" and says the primary
> incentive is spectrum space. They say such incentives will not be
> removed. In the discussion where FCC denies automatic upgrades and
> expansion of privileges for various license classes, FCC says such
> things are disincentives to upgrading.
>
> In fact if you read the document carefully, there's a bit of a tone
> that says 'how easy do you want us to make it? We're saying we'll
> dump the code test. If you want the privs, take the tests!'

Ask Bill and Carl and Fred how easy they want things made.

Care to make a friendly wager on what NCI morphs into? We've already
heard the whining about how difficult the Technician tests are.

>>>Think about it: FCC saying that it's *not OK* to stay a Tech!?!
>>>That upgrading is part of being a good ham and supporting the
>>>Basis and Purpose...

>>
>> Works for me!
>>
>
> Yup.
>
> It's clear where FCC wants things to go. Start out the beginners on
> VHF/UHF, offering HF/MF as the big incentive to get a General. Those who want
> those little pieces of HF and a fancy callsign can go for Extra.
>
> They're also clearly saying that anybody with an existing license will
> be able to upgrade by just taking a written test, so what's the problem?
> Are the tests really so hard, and VE sessions so difficult to access?

You and I don't think so. Others differ.

> Eventually the closed-off license classes will disappear from the
> database, and the rules governing them will be removed.

> Another idea:
>
> Suppose Element 1 is eliminated, but the number of US hams continues to
> decline. What will be the fix then?

Make things *even* easier. It is a time proven fact that if you want to
attract technical people, you have to make things *less* technical.
(detect note of sarcasm)

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 212. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 00:59:11 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cEUHe.2485$jq.1945@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 114562
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

EEEEEEEEEEEEK! A SPIDER! :)

J
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.01.23.35.05.159078@gmail.com...
> Reg:
>
> My mother used to know the right words to bring me to my senses, she must
> have told ya how to do it...
>
> Naaa, just poking a bit of fun Reg (just wish more of these guys had a
> darn sense of humor!), darn amateurs here are more afraid of
> CB'ers than California DiamondBack Rattlesnakes or Black Widow Spiders.
> Some of those "good 'old buddies" are a hoot, quite fun to chat with
> actually.
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
>
> On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 23:09:46 +0000, Reg Edwards wrote:
>
>> Dear John,
>>
>> Really now, should you be encouraging me to make outrageous
>> statements?
>> ----
>> Yours, Reg
>

## 213. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 01:00:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Ff2dnZ2dnZ3rNJiFnZ2dnYeKbd-dnZ2dRVn-0p2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> Now perhaps the debate will finally END. And those that like CW can
> continue to enjoy it. And help those that want to learn it, learn it, and
> also enjoy it. And use it and not have to put up with all the whinning any
> longer.

You are an optimist Dan! The whining has only begun. Those writtens are
just too darn HARD!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 214. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 01:10:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cQUHe.222$es4.7326833@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114571

Mike:

At this point, amateur is just NOT that technical...

However, it still can fill one of its' directives it was given, and the hams be ambassadors of goodwill...

Hey, it is a hobby, perfect for the CB'ers. It can be technical--and perfect for the computer communications people. It can go anywhere from here, and probably be multiuse without a problem...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:Ff2dnZ2dnZ3oNJiFnZ2dnRuLbd-dnZ2dRVn-0p2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
>>>>for General or Extra.
>>
>>
>>>But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
>>>tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
>>>Technician) earlier?
>>
>>
>> I'm not sure what you're getting at, Mike.
>
> Somewhere in the bowels of this thread are comments about giving the Present
> Technicians the old Technician Plus privileges
>

>> 05-235 isn't just an NPRM, and it isn't just about Element 1. It's
>> actually FCC's response to the 18 petitions, and denies most of
>> what was requested, with explanations of FCC's reasoning.
>>
>> For example, FCC states that they see a 3-license-class system
>> as the correct number of license classes to work towards. They
>> specifically deny four-class and two-class suggestions (sorry, Hans -
>> FCC obviously read your ideas and disagreed).
>>
>> Yet at the same time FCC doesn't want free upgrades, giveaways, more
>> complexity in the license structure, nor anybody to lose privileges.
>>
>> FCC also doesn't see any need for a new entry level license, nor
>> changes
>> in the subband structure, nor big changes in the written test methods.
>>
>> All this is spelled out in detail in 05-235. It's not speculation nor
>> interpretation.
>>
>> One by one, almost all the proposed changes are denied by FCC. All that
>> is left up for grabs is the one remaining code test, which FCC proposes
>> to eliminate.
>>
>> As I've said before, I'm surprised it took FCC this long. When FCC
>> wrote in the R&O for 98-143 that the only reason Element 1 was being
>> retained was the treaty, the future was pretty clear.
>>
>>
>>>>>>The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-> > with-HF
>>>>>>is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not.
>>>>>>The latter
>>>>>>has some HF privileges and the former has none.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and
>>>>>>proposes
>>>>>>to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
>>>>>>follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but > > that's
>>>>>>not what FCC proposes?!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
>>>>>>long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
>>>>>>term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
>>>>>>and require at least a General for HF.
>>>>>
>>>>>It is hard to say what the FCC was thinking. However perhaps
>>>>>they too are
>>>>>looking at the statistics and interpret it as no need for the
>>>>>introductory
>>>>>license to have HF privileges. Afterall the Novice are
>>>>>declining at a fast

>>>>>enough pace that it looks like almost none are active and that > almost
>>>>>all
>>>>>are failing to renew. They can easily go into the data as they > have it
>>>>>and
>>>>>see how many Tech Pluses actually upgraded, let themselves
>>>>>lapse, or simply
>>>>>renewed. The last indicating a low activity level and/or
>>>>>interest level since they have not upgraded.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The number of upgrades isn't easily obtained from the database, though.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Also, they may be thinking that this keeps the minimum number
>>>>>to tests to
>>>>>get onto HF at least at two. The Novices had to take two and
>>>>>Techs after
>>>>>April 2000 had to take two to get on HF. Prior to that, Techs > had to
>>>>>take 3
>>>>>tests to get on HF (Novice written, Tech written, and code).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>True enough!
>>>>
>>>>And when you consider that the old, pre-restructuring 20 wpm *Extra*
>>>>was earned by at least one third-grader at the age of 8,
>>>>it's a bit hard to accept that the written tests are "too hard".
>>>>
>>>>(OTOH, the same can be said about the code tests...)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>They also discussed the concept that they do not want Techs to > stay at
>>>>>the
>>>>>Tech level. They consider upgrading as a significant element
>>>>>in individuals
>>>>>fulfilling the basis and purpose of ham radio (i.e. self
>>>>>training, etc).
>>>>>Allowing the Technicians some HF privileges reduces the
>>>>>motivation to
>>>>>upgrade. And the FCC repeately referred to the Techs being
>>>>>able to get on
>>>>>HF by taking the simple written test to upgrade to General.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It gets more and more interesting. Sounds like a rebirth of
>>>>Incentive Licensing.
>>>
>>> THey better call it something else! ;^)
>>

>>
>> Why? FCC specifically uses the term "incentives" and says the primary
>> incentive is spectrum space. They say such incentives will not be
>> removed. In the discussion where FCC denies automatic upgrades and
>> expansion of privileges for various license classes, FCC says such
>> things are disincentives to upgrading.
>>
>> In fact if you read the document carefully, there's a bit of a tone
>> that says 'how easy do you want us to make it? We're saying we'll
>> dump the code test. If you want the privs, take the tests!'
>
>
> Ask Bill and Carl and Fred how easy they want things made.
>
> Care to make a friendly wager on what NCI morphs into? We've already heard
> the whining about how difficult the Technician tests are.
>
>
>>>>Think about it: FCC saying that it's *not OK* to stay a Tech!?!
>>>>That upgrading is part of being a good ham and supporting the
>>>>Basis and Purpose...
>>>
>>> Works for me!
>>>
>>
>> Yup.
>>
>> It's clear where FCC wants things to go. Start out the beginners on
>> VHF/UHF, offering HF/MF as the big incentive to get a General. Those who
>> want
>> those little pieces of HF and a fancy callsign can go for Extra.
>>
>> They're also clearly saying that anybody with an existing license will
>> be able to upgrade by just taking a written test, so what's the problem?
>> Are the tests really so hard, and VE sessions so difficult to access?
>
> You and I don't think so. Others differ.
>
>> Eventually the closed-off license classes will disappear from the
>> database, and the rules governing them will be removed.
>
>> Another idea:
>>
>> Suppose Element 1 is eliminated, but the number of US hams continues to
>> decline. What will be the fix then?
>
> Make things *even* easier. It is a time proven fact that if you want to
> attract technical people, you have to make things *less* technical. (detect
> note of sarcasm)
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 215. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 01:13:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8TUHe.8602$Uk3.939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114564

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> FCC agreed with some of what you wrote....

Even though Len took 6 pages to advise the FCC that my remarks were "out of
place", and "inappropriate as well as misdirected".

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 216. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 01:21:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <d_UHe.8604$Uk3.316@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114565

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> Global warming, lung diseases caused by the burning
> of fossil fuels, pollution of the food supply and the water by toxins enter
> the system, etc, etc....

"Bergeron's epitaph for the planet, I remember,
which he said should be carved in big letters in
a wall of the Grand Canyon for the flying-saucer
people to find, was this:

WE COULD HAVE SAVED
BUT WE WERE TOO DOGGONE CHEAP

Only he didn't say 'doggone.' "

- Kurt Vonnegut in "Hocus Pocus"

## 217. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 01:24:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S0VHe.8605$Uk3.1313@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114566

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote

Make that:

WE COULD HAVE SAVED IT
BUT WE WERE TOO DOGGONE CHEAP

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 218. Re: Stevie must be a Homosexaul to be so obsessed by the contents of my pants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 01:50:41 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123033841.312840.296770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114567

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > problems
> > > > >
> > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > >
> > > but you did
> > >
> > No I did not. Not once.
> >
> sure did

Steve said he was discharged from the service early, and either had
therapy before or after the discharge. But he also says he was never
injured except for some sand in his eye, so his therapy must not have
been physical therapy.

The military generally grants disability to people unfit to serve, and
Steve is constantly refering folks to the VA to see his records. Ergo,
Stve must be receiving some disability pension from the VA, even though
he claims he's been rehabilitated.

Steve could clear up any misconceptions, but he would rather call
people liars rather than come clean on his own stories. Steve prefers
to remain an enigma within an absurdity.
```

## 219. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:00:30 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123034430.192024.22700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1123020869.414564.217070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1122939286.297950.289590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth
> > > > can go back to being a teaching method.
> > >
> > > Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back
> > > to"....
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Morse had become merely a licensing hurdle, Farnsworth a testing
> > scheme. Now if anyone bothers to learn Morse, it will be to use it.
> >
> > You know something? Your probably right....and actually that is a good
> > thing.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> People may not always agree with my opinions, but that's OK with me.
>
> There were plenty of Code Tape Extra's out there who never worked a dit
> in their lives.
>
> Of course there were/are. Lots of folks never cared a lick if they actually
> operated CW or not. And to them it was a hurdle to do 13 or 20.

Even 5wpm was an unnecessary hurdle to folks not interested in the
mode.

> But to those select few that actually enjoyed the mode it became a real pain
> hearing about how hard it was to learn, how useless, how unnecessary, etc.

Why?

> Now perhaps the debate will finally END.

I hope so.

> And those that like CW can continue to enjoy it.

I certainly hope so.

Just one question; why must I have learned Morse Code for you to enjoy
CW use?

> And help those that want to learn it, learn it, and
> also enjoy it.

I hope so.

> And use it and not have to put up with all the whinning any longer.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

What whining?

## 220. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:03:49 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123034629.779185.95820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >
> > Now perhaps the debate will finally END. And those that like CW can
> > continue to enjoy it. And help those that want to learn it, learn it, and
> > also enjoy it. And use it and not have to put up with all the whinning any
> > longer.
>
> You are an optimist Dan! The whining has only begun. Those writtens are
> just too darn HARD!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Darkguard, you're funny.

## 221. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:08:40 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123034920.210282.80730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> b.b.:
>
> Uhhh, we "code tape wizards" and "computer taught b*st*rds" are "out there"
> (more ways than one, I suppose), and if a code reader can't display it on the
> screen--we can probably live without those "words of wisdom."
>
> Art Bell said, "CW is dead!" If Art Bell (even more trustworthy than Santa and
> the New York Times!) says it, Victoria, it is true!
>
> John

I have no problem with folks wanting to use CW. It just pisses me off
when they make claims of intentionally sending code so poorly that a
pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a code reader can't listen in.

## 222. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:12:57 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123035177.124867.226870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114573

KØHB wrote:
> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops
> about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all
> with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report ONLY
> THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position on
> these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding Amateur
> Radio Policy.
>
> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's
> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
> 11. John Smith is
> 12. John Smith isn't
> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
> 22. Leo is a hoser
> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz

> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
> 37. __________ is a _________ and a radio whiz.
> 38. __________ is a _________ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
is in therapy or on medication, or both.

## 223. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:19:28 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123035568.702445.264850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114574

Mike Coslo wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: an old friend on Aug 2, 9:01 am
> >
> >
> >>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> The RF isn't ionizing radiation, so it wouldn't mutate any cells,
> >>>giving rise to evolvement.
> >>
> >>I hear the jury is out out on mutagenc effects
> >>
> >>
> > Not really, Mark. It's just that a couple dozen research
> > facilities have NOT YET uncovered anything harmful in low-
> > level radiation in at least 3 decades of study on the
> > subject.
> >
> >
> >>> But the idea of holding 5 or more watts if UHF RF against my temple is
> >>>a scary one.
> >>
> >>you Have a 440 HT?
> >>
> >>and you are afraid of it?
> >
> >
> > It's a SOCIAL STIGMA fear, Mark. No "real" ham is supposed to
> > have a "shack on the belt" sort of radio.
> >
> > If a PCTA is seen by other PCTAs using a handheld, he is subject
> > to immediate excommunication. No one is allowed to beep to him
> > again.
>

> I have two handhelds myself.

K3LT and his hanger's on would not approve. You might have to let the
door hit you...

> >>> Related item. I was on vacation last weekend, and a couple weekends
> >>>before that. I was very nearly killed several times by cell phone users
> >>>who nearly rear-ended me, were engaged in some sort of animated
> >>>argument, and nearly side swiped me, or just generally drove like a
> >>>complete idiot.
> >
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did Mike get a Warrant for their arrest? Attempted
> > manslaughter is felony in most states.
>
> Felonius Monk was one of the drivers..

Was he wearing a collar?

> >>> Seeing as how truck drivers and Hams have been using radios for years
> >>>and years with out (apparently) nasty incidents, I wonder if exposure to
> >>>the near field of near GHz radiation makes people temporarily stupid.
> >
> >
> > No, some hams are naturally stupid.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. The strongest effect on human tissue of RF radiation is
> > in the low-VHF spectrum. That is much reduced above 300 MHz and
> > on up...
>
> hmmm, a number of Hams think that the "takeoff angle of an antenna is
> where all the energy goes too.
>
> I wonder where I got the idea that freq's ~2.45 GHz had some effect on
> tissue?

Litton Industries? Amana Radarrange?

> >>> I believe that we need to treat people who drive and use cell phones in
> >>>the same manner that we treat people who drive intoxicated. Proof that
> >>>an intelligent person can be made stupid by a cell phone would go a long
> >>>way in that direction.
> >
> >
> > There is considerably more "proof" that ham radio makes supposedly
> > intelligent persons go stupid just from all the comments in here...
>
> Apparently.

Where's Quitefine when you need a perfectly anonymous comment?

## 224. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:25:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PLKdnXOZyqG4tm3fRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114575

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> At this point, amateur is just NOT that technical...
>
> However, it still can fill one of its' directives it was given, and the hams be
> ambassadors of goodwill...
>
> Hey, it is a hobby, perfect for the CB'ers. It can be technical--and perfect
> for the computer communications people. It can go anywhere from here, and
> probably be multiuse without a problem...

My whole beef is not exactly how technical the hobby is or isn't. I have problems with the idea that is trotted out from time to time, about how relaxation or elimination, or whatever, of some technical aspect of licensing is going to attract technical people.

I'd give them a lot more credibility if they said:
We're tired of all the whining about the Morse code test. So Element one goes away. Now would you people get on with your life?"

That would be intellectually honest.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 225. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:26:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iXVHe.8620$Uk3.7859@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote

> It just pisses me off when they make claims of
> intentionally sending code so poorly that a
> pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a code
> reader can't listen in.

Give it up Willy Weeper. "They" (a single guy) who said that is long since dead, but you keep writing jeremiads on a topic that no living soul remains to support.

And why is it that you continue to hijack my satirical character, Billy Beeper?

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

(Apologies to Kurt Vonnegut)

To all external appearances, Farnsworth Corners, U.S.A., is bright, cheerful, and happy. However, in dark corners of the city lurk Godless Nocoders who seek to undermine the moral fabric of our mighty Nation.

In a dirty basement apartment, an underfed, seedy-looking old man wearing small, round spectacles and threadbare clothes, types furiously at a musty desk illumined only by a single, naked bulb. As the pages fly through his typewriter, an evil plan gradually emerges: a treacherous treatise which threatens the well-being of the upright citizens of Farnsworth Corners. The work is completed, and the old man leans back thoughtfully and smiles as he rubs the three-day stubble on his chin. The time has come for the Hammer of Leonard to strike!

Some weeks later, in another part of town, little Billy Beeper walks home from school. Suddenly he hears a voice right next to his ear.

"Psst! Hey, kid! Would you like to try my 2M HT? It's free!"

Billy's eyes open wide as he faces the stranger. An embroidered patch on the mans soiled jumpsuit reads "Codefree Charlie". "Gosh, no! N2EY told me never to touch a radio which didn't beep!"

"Aw, c'mon!" says the old man. "I only wanna be friends with you!"

"Well, I don't know," replies Billy. "I was told that FM was bad for you!"

"That's just what the grown-ups say to scare you!" says the drooling man.

"The truth is that they don't want you to try it 'cause it'll make you grow up faster and be able to smoke cigarettes and drink liquor just like them!"

Billy is hesitant, but suddenly a tall form looms before them and grabs the ruffian by the collar.

"Golly!" exclaims Billy. "It's Captain Code!"

Yes, Readers, it's Captain Code: faster than a Vibroplex Blue Racer, more powerful than an Alpha three-holer, able to leap tall pileups in a single bound.

"You should be ashamed of yourself, old man," says Captain Code to the hoodlum. "There are far better ways to earn money than to hoodwink innocent children into a life of codelessness. It's to the FCC for you!

"And as for you, young friend, take my advice and stay away from strangers, and believe nothing that they say. It's tragic, but until Godless Nocodism is abolished from the world, there will always be those who would like nothing better than to hurt you ."

"Gee, thanks, Captain Code!" says Billy. "I promise that I'll always listen to Mom and Dad, and I'll keep away from strangers with shacks on their belt!"

## 226. The Chosen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:33:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <A1WHe.1483$I04.831@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

The Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the usenet servers at Google.com. They are the descendants of the Gods. I personally subscribe to the theory they are the children of Thor Heyerdahl, the Viking god of Thunder and Balsawood Raft Dxpeditions. But that's another story.

The Wise Ones control everything that happens on the air and on usenet, but they can't police every pileup or every newsgroup by
themselves, so every morning, just before daylight over Katmandu, the Wise Ones select the "Mostest Intellegentest DXer" in their layer and convey upon him the title INFLICTOR OF HIGHER MORAL HARANGUES. This title and its duties last until the following dawn on Bouvet, if someone is there to observe the sunrise. Otherwise your duties end when K2ASP gets tired of your crap and sends his lawyers with a gag order.

If you are ever chosen you will know because a representative of the Wise Ones will anoint your temples with oil from a forty-two year old bottle of Dr. Guano, collected on Ocean Island by VR1L in 1963. For that day you will be known as The Chosen. Your duties will be to seek out those that don't do things the way you do them (in other words, the right way.) When you find them, it will be your duty to correct them.

Think about it...too wide, too weak, too slow, too fast, what's your call dammit, wrong VFO, rotten fist, poor pileup control, wrong band, wrong QSL route, you timed out the repeater, wrong lingo, not enough green stamps, you're in the DX window, no-coders not allowed, coders suck, .... They're all yours.

When you find these wayward ones, you will lumber onto their frequency, stand up in your operating chair and shout, I AM SMARTER THAN YOU, SHUT UP AND LISTEN. You will now have the wayward one's undivided attention. He will think about this very briefly, then yield to your superior intellect. He will be very receptive to your thoughts and point of view.

Lecture him and proceed to the next wrong doer.

Now for the sad part of this tale. There are pretenders and charlatans out there who try to usurp the rightful powers of The Chosen. To you villainous few, I say beware. The Wise Ones also created BAWA (Baddest Ass Whats Around). No matter how bad you are, BAWA has the ability to be badder. You will know when you start your lecture and Bawa yells back, "You're a jerk, but I'm a bigger one. LET'S ESCALATE".

And you will have no choice.

But soon BAWA will tire of you and sink your little balsa boat. As you slip under the surface you will yell, "BUT I WAS RIGHT, DAMMIT" and you will once again prove the ancient mariners axiom -- AN OPEN MOUTH TAKES ON WATER.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

## 227. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 02:46:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aeWHe.1523$I04.1135@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114578

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>FCC agreed with some of what you wrote....
>
>
> Even though Len took 6 pages to advise the FCC that my remarks were "out of
> place", and "inappropriate as well as misdirected".

Do you mean the Len who told us that he wasn't attempting to dictate how
amateur radio should be regulated?

Dave K8MN

## 228. Re: The Chosen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:00:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123038034.608251.46710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

KØHB wrote:
> The Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the usenet
> servers at Google.com. They are the descendants of the Gods. I personally
> subscribe to the theory they are the children of Thor Heyerdahl, the Viking god
> of Thunder and Balsawood Raft Dxpeditions. But that's another story.

Yeah it is because the friggin' thing SANK.

> dit dit

10-4. QLF.

> de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

## 229. Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:00:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123038039.062383.133230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114579

THE PLOT THICKENS IN THE NCTA V. PCTA AMATEUR CHAMPIONSHIPS!

As of the close of business in DC on 2 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments are:

Total 408
In-Between/Dupes 25*
Against Elimination 122
For Elimination 261

* In-betweeners are those "following" the ARRL plan to scrap code
testing for all but Extras, therefore with feetses in both camps.
Also 2 duplicates (Dupes) appeared in August up to 2nd; two more
on 2 Aug are considered in this category because the writers
appear undecided and/or wanting something else not on the Docket.

Note: Submissions made prior to late-night whatever at the FCC
computer are appearing on that date in the next days search
(the software/procedures may have changed since last year). A
listing made at 6 PM Eastern does NOT necessarily contain ALL
Comments made later on that same day. For that reason I will
be monitoring a day behind and allow the current day's contents
to accumulate. This posting's numbers may not be complete as for
2 Aug 05 (99 total, 13 in-betweener, 30 against) but are complete
for the 9 days up to and including 1 Aug 05.

To date, the NCTA:PCTA ratio has shrunk to 261:122 or 2.14:1
ratio. The number of "in-betweener" Comments has increased
markedly over the first week's Comments; someone may be
pumping up the "ARRL plan" behind the scenes so to speak. :-)
More morsemen are joining the fray in August. Stay tuned.
This is only Day 10 of Comments; there are 65 more days
before the official end of shouting and hollering.

doo dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 230. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:03:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123038193.301752.241850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114581

b.b. wrote:

> Where's Quitefine when you need a perfectly anonymous comment?

Where's the scoop on unlicensed devices having a "major role" in
emergency communications?

Where did you get "ARES won't respond in an emergency" from?

Who gave you permission to operate from a UN controlled zone?

Steve, K4YZ

## 231. Re: cuting Stveie libelous and disgusting title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:04:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123038292.171859.257720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114582

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > > All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> > > > > his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
> > > >
> > > > He is to be pitied.
> > >
> > > Not by you, Brain.
> >
> > by all of us
>
> By "all of us" I assume you only mean you, Brain and Lennie.

and by hans and dave and ebveryone else

ASS-ume stevie

>
> As if the three of you "mattered"...?!?!

obviously we do esp to you

>
> > > > > >> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > > > > >> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > > > > >> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >He has a unique viewpoint.
> > > > >
> > > > > [all from inside his own fevered imagination...]
> > > >
> > > > Temper Fry.
> > >
> > > In the words of your Master, I see.
> >
> > no he has his own themes as does Len and myself and even todd you are
> > just so narrow minded you can't see the defferences
>
> Obviously he DOESN'T have "his own themes" as he was mimicking
> Lennie.

not realy you just are too narrow minded to notice
```

>
> > > There's a lot of fiction eminating from California...Not all of it
> > > from Hollywood, North or otherwise.
> >
> > indeed and even more from Tenn, but I prefer Speilburgs "War of the
> > Worlds" to your tripe
>
> No lies here, Markie.

you lie and generate a lot of Fiction esp fantasy

>
> > > > > Stebie's output is more like the 1930s "Big Lie" technique
> > > > > used by the national socialist party of Germany at that time.
> > > > > Publish enough of it, often enough, as widely as one can, and
> > > > > some folks will begin to believe it. It's a common
> > > > > psychological warfare technique used the world over.
> > > >
> > > > And it's called Watchtower?
> > >
> > > Ooooops...Went and invoked the Nazi's again! Not too surprising
> > > there.
> >
> > alas the Nazi refferences fit between your Goebelsish effort at
> > psychological warfare, to your views on the disabled
>
> You, Lennie and Brain are the "Big Lie" promulgators, Markie. And
> I have all the compassion in the world for the TRULY disabled.

right and you are one nursie empowered to make the judgement

>
> People like YOU are not in that category.

practicing med with just a ham license again

>
> > > Of course Lennie should know about The Big Lie technique...He's
> > > been trying to root it here without success.
> >
> > root it out
> >
> > why can't Stevie get it straight?
>
> I got it right the first time.

nope nor the second or the third

>
> > prehaps becuase he is a closet Gay, as were many Nazi's
>
> Nope...Not closet, open or otherwise.

you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul

>
> > > > > >> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > > > > >> stuff don't exist in reality.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >"His Truth." "His Reality."
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >That kind of sums it up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, that's the essence of his output.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm just hoping he opens up a little about his "seven hostile actions"
> > > > so the Stolen Valor people set things straight.
> > >
> > We could just take some of your Somaila DX Radio Hero stories too,
> > Brain.
> >
> > > > > > Plus a barbarian emotional mentality...resorts to brute force
> > > > > > whenever challenged in the slightest. Tsk, brute force doesn't
> > > > > > work in an enviornnment where it is impossible to really reach
> > > > > > out and hit anyone. He implies "threats" of coming to various
> > > > > > challengers' residence areas as a surrogate, that nothing more
> > > > > > than bullying taunts.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not so sure they are taunts.
> > >
> > Of course you don't.
> >
> > and you insist on making wonder about them and then are not man enough
> > to admit they are threats
>
> What threats?

"One Shot one kill... " amoug others

>
> You keeps whining about "threats" but none have been made OR
> proven.

you made it you don't deny the posting therefore it is Threat and you
made it therefore it is proven

>
> Why do you insist on lying?

no lie you threatened to kill me

for something related to code testing

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 232. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:07:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123038461.609983.74460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114583

```
b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > > > > > > > questions aimed at you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > More lies Stevie, I refuse to participate in your libeling of some one
> > > > > > > > else
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who did I libel?
> > > > > >
> > > > > that is right you don't even know the m]names of the mens you libel
> > > >
> > > I didn't libel anyone Mark.
> > >
> > caling someone you have never met unprofessional in public is generaly
> > considered libel
> > >
> > > > > > You need an English comprehension course and some backround work in
> > > > > > basic civil law, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > i have both, you have met all the usaul marker for libel/slander
> > > > against me and aginast others
> > >
> > > You have neither, and there's no libel OR slander.
> >
> > sure is and it is your post that have the markers
> >
> > >
> > > > > > > > THAT is "evasion".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nope that is honarable behoavot
> > > > > cuting spell cop
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nope it shows your lack of Honnor and your cowrdace that you attack
> > > > > > > people not present to defend themselves if they choose
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > cuting more Libel
```

> > >
> > > What libel? You keep insisitng there's libel here, but you don't
> > > prove it.
> > >
> > > THAT makes YOU a liar.
> >
> > No it doesn't failing to prove something does not make me a liar
> >
> > in this case I refuse to play your games in Libeling men that are not
> > here
> >
> > >
> > > > > > cutting stevie trying to inviolve people not here in his juvi games
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What you're "cutting" is direct questions that you refuse to
> > > > > > answer.
> > > > >
> > > > > just like you refuse to answer direct question fi you don't like them
> > > > >
> > > > > fair is fair
> > > >
> > > > But you cut ALL the questions, Markie!
> > >
> > > another lies by Stevie I cut many of them yes
> > >
> > > and if as I have had to in couple of your posts well it isn't my fault
> > > if you can't ask a question without making a sexaul, or libelous, or
> > > criminal allusions,
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > > I have said i will play along with your games, to a point, but there
> > > > > > are points I will not go
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Like when you seek to use people not here to play your games
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There's no games here, LiarBoy.
> > > > >
> > > > > there is nothing but games Stevie you control freak games
> > > >
> > > > What games?
> > >
> > > your contol freak games
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > cutting stevie repating his offenses
> > > >
> > > > What offenses? Prove any "offenses"...
> > >
> > > your Offenses, and they are porven by your own words I need not add any
> > > to them

> >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Yikes!!!
>
> Wasn't it another medical professional that Steve referred to as
> unprofessional?

yes the LPN is presumeing to judge an MD, without even meeting him or knowing his name

>
> Was Steve on some kind of medical review board to have rendered such an
> opinion?
>
> Isn't that unprofessional?

In some states for a nurse to do is criminal or is cause to pull a license

## 233. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:08:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123038493.109427.64430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114584

an_old_friend wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:

> > Well, you guys have your reasons, I guess. The problem is the rest of
> > us get to observe Steve's and your bar room brawl. While those of us who
> > would rather not have to read the stuff normally could filter the
> > thread, we can't do it here because so many new threads are started
> > every day.

Actually it's the same thread, Mike...

> you have may apologies for that indeed if stevie would just tone the
> level of insult in the titles of the threads I could leave em alone but
> you need to speak with him about that (good luck if you go that way)

Lessee...Who's the one using the profanity, admits he's a liar,
and has expressed his deviant behaviour as being normal...?!?!

Uhhhhhhhhhh...NOT ME!

> > If ya'll would just keep it to a few threads, it would be cool. I much
> > prefer to filter threads rather than names.
>
> I do try and Prune a bunch every now and then but one must be carefull
> for then Stevie gets realy riled up

No, Markie...no you don't.

> > And of course, Steve has no intention of "backing down" either. So I
> > guess this is just you folks' "life-on-line". As long as you are all
> > happy, then go for it!
>
> as I have said spending my time at it now since the weather is beastly
> right now

Gee, Markie...you said you were caring for a person. So...this
person gets squat as soon as the weather gets sour?

> as to Stevie I suppose he could suddenly grow up not holding my breath
> of course

Already there, Markie. Just hoping I can get you to learn English,
stop your profanity, and stop the blatant lying.

Steve, K4YZ

## 234. Re: The Chosen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:11:05 UTC
From: Topaz305RK <k7sam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <_82dnWB_bK0PqG3fRVn-tQ@vnet-inc.com>
Source record: 114585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Hans -

Destined to be a classic, I love it. It has been saved and is ready for future use. I am sure that at some point in the future it will need to be repeated.

Sam - K7SAM

## 235. Re: Stevie must be a Homosexaul to be so obsessed by the contents of my pants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:12:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123038741.269572.15920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114586

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > problems
> > > > >
> > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > >
> > > > but you did
> > >
> > > No I did not. Not once.
> >
> > sure did
>
break

> Steve said he was discharged from the service early, and either had
> therapy before or after the discharge. But he also says he was never
> injured except for some sand in his eye, so his therapy must not have
> been physical therapy.

indeed and if it is not physical then it would be mental, which is
certianly consistant with Stveie behavoir
>
> The military generally grants disability to people unfit to serve, and
> Steve is constantly refering folks to the VA to see his records. Ergo,
> Stve must be receiving some disability pension from the VA, even though
> he claims he's been rehabilitated.

indeed if he isn't recieveing a VA pension or disabilty and turely was
discharged as discribed then he is being cheated

>
> Steve could clear up any misconceptions, but he would rather call
> people liars rather than come clean on his own stories. Steve prefers
> to remain an enigma within an absurdity.

I wonder if Stve can in fact have a prefference on the subject, that is
I wonder f there is enough in the head to make such a decision

## 236. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:15:20 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123038920.502259.81440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114587

an_old_friend wrote:

> b.b. wrote:
> >
> > Yikes!!!
> >
> > Wasn't it another medical professional that Steve referred to as
> > unprofessional?
>
> yes the LPN is presumeing to judge an MD, without even meeting him or
> knowing his name

That's just how Steve is. He "knows" things. He makes phone calls.
Hi!

> > Was Steve on some kind of medical review board to have rendered such an
> > opinion?
> >
> > Isn't that unprofessional?
>
> In some states for a nurse to do is criminal or is cause to pull a
> license

Yikes! I hope Steve doesn't end up completely on the government dole.

## 237. Mark Morgan is an Insufferable Creep, But Brian Burke Is His Partner Pea in the Pod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:15:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123038935.088686.86120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114588

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > What offenses? Prove any "offenses"...
> >
> > your Offenses, and they are porven by your own words I need not add any
> > to them
> >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Yikes!!!
>
> Wasn't it another medical professional that Steve referred to as
> unprofessional?

I guess asking you to read what MARKIE wrote was an excessive
burden, Brainless?

And then read from who's decision the comments >>I<< made were
taken from?

> Was Steve on some kind of medical review board to have rendered such an
> opinion?
>
> Isn't that unprofessional?

Nope. It's not my "judgement" that sloppy writing and poor
patient education are evidence of professional incompetence,
Brain...That's the AMA and JCAHO.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

## 238. DeShawn is speaking for Steve Robeson/K4YZ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:20:34 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123039234.703261.232810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114589

DeShawn wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122886916.376565.74000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> > > > >
> > > > > I haven't evaded anything.
> > > >
> > > > you evade most everything stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure did
>
> Nope.

Who said dat?

> > > > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
> > > >
> > > > cuting stevie playing spelling cop again
> > >
> > > cutting stevie stevie evasion
>
> Nope.
>
> You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> questions aimed at you.

Who's "ME?" Dat you, Steve?

> THAT is "evasion".
>
> THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.

Who'se accusations? Steve's or DeShawn's?

> > > > > > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and
> JCAHO.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written

> ones, I am
> > > > > > > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get
> the right
> > > > > > > > ones
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Two comments:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
> > > > > >
> > > > cuting stevie dening he was trying to practive medicine in the
> > > > precceding cut
> > >
> > cuting stevie evasion
>
> You keep "cutting" direct questions.
>
> You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to
> specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.
>
> So here it is AGAIN.

Mark never accused DeShawn of anything. Why you say he did?

Who is dis DeShawn?

## 239. Markie Flattering Himself Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:28:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123039711.389043.308420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114590

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> > > > > > his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
> > > > >
> > > > > > He is to be pitied.
> > > >
> > > > Not by you, Brain.
> > >
> > > by all of us
> >
> > By "all of us" I assume you only mean you, Brain and Lennie.
>
> and by hans and dave and ebveryone else
>
> ASS-ume stevie

I guess you weren't reading very closely, Markie.

I didn't "assume" anything.

> > As if the three of you "mattered"...?!?!
>
> obviously we do esp to you

Nope.

> > > no he has his own themes as does Len and myself and even todd you are
> > > just so narrow minded you can't see the defferences
> >
> > Obviously he DOESN'T have "his own themes" as he was mimicking
> > Lennie.
>
> not realy you just are too narrow minded to notice

Nope.

He mimick's Lennie's hand. Just like the PuppetBoy I called him.

> > > > Ooooops...Went and invoked the Nazi's again! Not too surprising
> > > > there.
> > >

> > > alas the Nazi refferences fit between your Goebelsish effort at
> > > psychological warfare, to your views on the disabled
> >
> > You, Lennie and Brain are the "Big Lie" promulgators, Markie. And
> > I have all the compassion in the world for the TRULY disabled.
>
> right and you are one nursie empowered to make the judgement

Now you follow suit with Lennie's "nursie"...! ! ! !

> > People like YOU are not in that category.
>
> practicing med with just a ham license again

Who's practicing medicine without a license of ANY kind,
Markie...?!?!

Show your work.

Cite those inappropriate parts, Markie. What "evidence" would you
show to the State Board of Medicine that I was "practicing
medicine"...?!?!

> > > > Of course Lennie should know about The Big Lie technique...He's
> > > > been trying to root it here without success.
> > >
> > > root it out
> > >
> > > why can't Stevie get it straight?
> >
> > I got it right the first time.
>
> nope nor the second or the third

First time. On the nose...and in your case that was a LOT of nose.

> > > prehaps becuase he is a closet Gay, as were many Nazi's
> >
> > Nope...Not closet, open or otherwise.
>
> you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul

I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....

> > > and you insist on making wonder about them and then are not man enough
> > > to admit they are threats
> >
> > What threats?
>
> "One Shot one kill... " amoug others

Four words out of an entire post...Made in response to YOUR
comment "Is that your best shot.."

> > You keeps whining about "threats" but none have been made OR
> > proven.
>
> you made it you don't deny the posting therefore it is Threat and you
> made it therefore it is proven

I don't deny that I used those words, but not in the contect you
try to put them in, Markie...

THAT makes YOUR use of them a lie.

> > Why do you insist on lying?
>
> no lie you threatened to kill me

No, I didn't.

> for something related to code testing

Nope. Not code testing, not your sexual preferences, not your
religious choices or lack of honesty.

You flatter yourself by thinking that I would hazard my freedom by
such an act. It's part of what makes you an insufferable creep. And a
fool.

Steve, K4YZ

## 240. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:29:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7TWHe.223$Ga6.7713909@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

b.b.:

They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>

Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable speed! <grin>

I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...

John

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1123034920.210282.80730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> b.b.:
>>
>> Uhhh, we "code tape wizards" and "computer taught b*st*rds" are "out there"
>> (more ways than one, I suppose), and if a code reader can't display it on
>> the
>> screen--we can probably live without those "words of wisdom."
>>
>> Art Bell said, "CW is dead!" If Art Bell (even more trustworthy than Santa
>> and
>> the New York Times!) says it, Victoria, it is true!
>>
>> John
>
> I have no problem with folks wanting to use CW. It just pisses me off
> when they make claims of intentionally sending code so poorly that a
> pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a code reader can't listen in.
>

## 241. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:32:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gVWHe.224$I86.7688297@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KXHB:

OMG. The perverts have exchanged their boxes of lollipops for HT's...

My gawd, those evil, evil men!

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:iXVHe.8620$Uk3.7859@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
>> It just pisses me off when they make claims of
>> intentionally sending code so poorly that a
>> pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a code
>> reader can't listen in.
>
>
> Give it up Willy Weeper. "They" (a single guy) who said that is long since
> dead, but you keep writing jeremiads on a topic that no living soul remains
> to support.
>
> And why is it that you continue to hijack my satirical character, Billy
> Beeper?
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
>
> (Apologies to Kurt Vonnegut)
>
> To all external appearances, Farnsworth Corners, U.S.A., is bright, cheerful,
> and happy. However, in dark corners of the city lurk Godless Nocoders who
> seek to undermine the moral fabric of our mighty Nation.
>
> In a dirty basement apartment, an underfed, seedy-looking old man wearing
> small, round spectacles and threadbare clothes, types furiously at a musty
> desk illumined only by a single, naked bulb. As the pages fly through his
> typewriter, an evil plan gradually emerges: a treacherous treatise which
> threatens the well-being of the upright citizens of Farnsworth Corners. The
> work is completed, and the old man leans back thoughtfully and smiles as he
> rubs the three-day stubble on his chin. The time has come for the Hammer of
> Leonard to strike!
>

> Some weeks later, in another part of town, little Billy Beeper walks home
> from school. Suddenly he hears a voice right next to his ear.
>
> "Psst! Hey, kid! Would you like to try my 2M HT? It's free!"
>
> Billy's eyes open wide as he faces the stranger. An embroidered patch on the
> mans soiled jumpsuit reads "Codefree Charlie". "Gosh, no! N2EY told me never
> to touch a radio which didn't beep!"
>
> "Aw, c'mon!" says the old man. "I only wanna be friends with you!"
>
> "Well, I don't know," replies Billy. "I was told that FM was bad for you!"
>
> "That's just what the grown-ups say to scare you!" says the drooling man.
>
> "The truth is that they don't want you to try it 'cause it'll make you grow
> up faster and be able to smoke cigarettes and drink liquor just like them!"
>
> Billy is hesitant, but suddenly a tall form looms before them and grabs the
> ruffian by the collar.
>
> "Golly!" exclaims Billy. "It's Captain Code!"
>
> Yes, Readers, it's Captain Code: faster than a Vibroplex Blue Racer, more
> powerful than an Alpha three-holer, able to leap tall pileups in a single
> bound.
>
> "You should be ashamed of yourself, old man," says Captain Code to the
> hoodlum. "There are far better ways to earn money than to hoodwink innocent
> children into a life of codelessness. It's to the FCC for you!
>
> "And as for you, young friend, take my advice and stay away from strangers,
> and believe nothing that they say. It's tragic, but until Godless Nocodism is
> abolished from the world, there will always be those who would like nothing
> better than to hurt you ."
>
> "Gee, thanks, Captain Code!" says Billy. "I promise that I'll always listen
> to Mom and Dad, and I'll keep away from strangers with shacks on their belt!"
>
>
>

# 242. Re: DeShawn is speaking for Steve Robeson/K4YZ??? And If He Were, How Would That Be Different Than Brain Speaking For Lennie???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:33:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123039996.954183.55780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114591

b.b. wrote:
> DeShawn wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

> > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > questions aimed at you.
>
> Who's "ME?" Dat you, Steve?

Ebonics don't suit you, Brain.

> > THAT is "evasion".
> >
> > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
>
> Who'se accusations? Steve's or DeShawn's?

Whoever "DeShawn" is doesn't amtter, Brain.

Are you having trouble following the attributes?

> > You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to
> > specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.
> >
> > So here it is AGAIN.
>
> Mark never accused DeShawn of anything. Why you say he did?

Still having problems following the attributes, Brain?

Hitting the Geritol before you gt on to RRAP, Brain?

> Who is dis DeShawn?

Who is b.b....???

Steve, K4YZ

## 243. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 03:38:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <R_WHe.226$th6.7751774@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114594

Mike:

Building antennas of their own will attract people. Building linears of their own will attract people. Fiddling with feedlines, etc...

Building radios is going bye, bye... the new ones will be PCI cards, USB external devices, etc... your new rig will be a computer with external components...

Human hands, in most cases, are just not designed to create computer cards...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:PLKdnXOZyqG4tm3fRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> At this point, amateur is just NOT that technical...
>>
>> However, it still can fill one of its' directives it was given, and the hams
>> be ambassadors of goodwill...
>>
>> Hey, it is a hobby, perfect for the CB'ers. It can be technical--and
>> perfect for the computer communications people. It can go anywhere from
>> here, and probably be multiuse without a problem...
>
> My whole beef is not exactly how technical the hobby is or isn't. I have
> problems with the idea that is trotted out from time to time, about how
> relaxation or elimination, or whatever, of some technical aspect of licensing
> is going to attract technical people.
>
> I'd give them a lot more credibility if they said:
> We're tired of all the whining about the Morse code test. So Element one goes
> away. Now would you people get on with your life?"
>
> That would be intellectually honest.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 244. Setting the Record Straight For Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 04:48:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123044508.773056.10820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114595

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > > problems
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > > >
> > > > but you did
> > >
> > No I did not. Not once.
> >
> sure did

Nope. Never.

If I had, you would have found it and re-quoted it here by now.

You haven't. Neither has Lennie or Brain.

Because it didn't happen.

> > Provide a cite, Markie.
> >
> shove it

I'll take that to mean "I haven't got the prrof, so I'll just tell
you to get lost so I don't have to own up to it..."

> > (Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
> > happened...)
> >
> nope it will not since I have no intention of doing as you demand

It's not a demand.

It's an opportunity for you to prove your point and try and
humiliate me.

But what it REALLY is is me proving that you haven't got a leg to
stand on with this assinine allegation of yours.

> another stevie lie

Another Markie dance around the truth.

> > > > (B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
> > > > another lie of yours)
> > > >
> > > you know more about disability than the ARS that is certainly
> > > sugeestive
> > >
> > Hardly.
> >
> it sure is

Nope.

> > But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.
> > >
> > I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
> > helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a
> > part of my job.
> >
> ah admiting to being on the dole well thank you

Nope.

Admitting that I know more about taking care of people who need
help.

> > > that you deny it so loudly is another
> > >
> > I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.
> >
> no you deny it becuase you think it is improper, meaning that when you
> make me think you getting or have gotten it (as you have admited above)
> you also admit to doing something improper

And you're demonstrating that you've got nothing but this story of
yours to hide behind, Markie.

As for how "loudly" something is denied allegedly "proving" how
guilty someone is supposed to be, I'd have to point to YOUR "denials"
about deviant behaviour and mental health issues.

> > But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
> > accuse others of is grossly apparent.
> >
> not lying at all

Sure you are.

You've blatantly stated that I am receving "the dole" illegally.

It's not true...Not in fact, not in fantasy. Not under my "real"
name nor any fictional name.

> > > that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> > > being caught in an outright lie
> >
> > I don't need to be concerned.
>
> gee Stevie I don't believe you

Too bad.

> > And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
> > matter how it's phrased.
>
> bull#####

You disagree that the truth is truth?

Is water not water? Is air not air? Why would the truth not be
truth?

> > A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.
> >
> > > > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > > > Mark, but it's not me.
> > >
> > > you have clear mental health issues
> > >
> > > very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins
> >
> > So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
> > health infrastructure.
>
> no

Why not?

Take up too much bandwidth, wouldn't it...?!?!

> > It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
> > your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
> > by abbreviations with casual familiarity.
>
> so?

So I've proved my point.

Thanks.

> > THAT'S telling!
>
> of what? nothing I have not admited before many times in fact
>
> that you think dealing with the mental health system is somehow "dirty"
> or something simply shows you a bigot.

I never said that.

What I HAVE said is that (a) you need help and (b) you're lying
about it when it's very clear that you ARE in the mental health system.

As I said...You have a "casual familiarity" with mental health
terminology.

> OTOH I have no intention of discusing my medical records with you,
> byind stating that other than some anger issues, there is really
> nothing wrong with me, and those issues are simply a matter of dealing
> with them

You have more than "anger issues", Markie.

Anyone who brags about being a chronic liar has deeper problems
than "anger issues".

> Indeed it is one the reasons I show up here dealing with such a
> provoking bigoted fool as yourself, without losing my top is most
> benificail

But you ARE angry. You're ate up with not being able to get ahead
and with people being able to see right through you, even when they
can't see you in person. This includes your "I am a victim" routine
which you use as a crutch.

People try to give you direction, both overtly and covertly, but
you're bound-and-determined to beleive that "how" you are is normal.
It's not. It's an unrealistic assessment of your problems.

As for "provoking" and "bigoted", those are issues of YOUR own to
deal with. Again, they fall squarely into your "I am a victim"
routine.

Steve, K4YZ

# 245. Markie Caught With His Pants Down On His Mental Health Issues...Now Brain Trying to Help Him...Blind Leading the Blind...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 04:55:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123044937.865635.323540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114596

an_old_friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > > > > problems
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > but you did
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No I did not. Not once.
> > > > >
> > > > sure did
> > >
> > break
>
> > Steve said he was discharged from the service early, and either had
> > therapy before or after the discharge. But he also says he was never
> > injured except for some sand in his eye, so his therapy must not have
> > been physical therapy.
>
> indeed and if it is not physical then it would be mental, which is
> certianly consistant with Stveie behavoir

And Brain, as usual, leaves a lot out except for the parts that
"make" his rant.

As usual.

> > The military generally grants disability to people unfit to serve, and
> > Steve is constantly refering folks to the VA to see his records. Ergo,
> > Stve must be receiving some disability pension from the VA, even though
> > he claims he's been rehabilitated.
>
> indeed if he isn't recieveing a VA pension or disabilty and turely was
> discharged as discribed then he is being cheated

Nope to both of you.

The VA can verify a Veteran's status AS a Veteran...

> > Steve could clear up any misconceptions, but he would rather call
> > people liars rather than come clean on his own stories. Steve prefers
> > to remain an enigma within an absurdity.

I've explained it once too many times already, Brain.

But you sure do like to try and hide YOUR misdeeds behind it!

> I wonder if Stve can in fact have a prefference on the subject, that is
> I wonder f there is enough in the head to make such a decision

And I wonder what you were trying to say, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 246. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:00:24 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <IbYHe.3475$6D5.2028@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114598

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.02.16.14.10.214850@gmail.com...
> Kim:
>
> Well, there ya go, the only people you would consider fitting to converse
> with have proven they are a ham to you. Of course, this is going to
> rather limit your social skills and world quite a bit, wouldn't be
> surprized if you don't know ALL ~300,000 by name (that might be an
> over-estimate.) Don't worry, why you are there doing "ham sorting" the
> world goes on, we will keep you abreast of the changes which have occured
> while you have been engaged in you little diversion.
>
> John
>

Not at all. I've never really paid any attention to whether someone has a call or not. Doesn't matter to me one way or the other. I am just surprised you haven't run into that on the newsgroup yet. From someone such as...hmmm, trying to remember who that matters to, usually...Dave Heil, I believe I've seen razzing Len for not being an amateur radio operator. Others...but, was just wondering if it'd ever been an issue.

Oh, and then there's those who raz about people being annonymous, etc. Guess you've not had that happen yet, either. Although, come to think of it...there's not that many of the "regulars" who are communicating with you... So maybe it's still an issue, just not as noticeable as it used to be...

Kim W5TIT

## 247. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:00:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123045244.655936.83420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114597

From: John Smith on Aug 2, 3:59 pm

>Len:
>
>My gawd, get out the antacid, laxatives, etc and pass them out freely!!!!

I need them not...but what IS needed for some of these beeping
bleeping PCTA extras is SMART PILLS. Geez, what a group of
conspiracy thinkers and those who SEE things that aren't there!

>This belly-aching is going to go on forever, fact is CW looks almost certain to
>fall. And, the CB'ers are on the march to get their "KeenWoods" and "davemade"
>products now, in anticipation...

<shrug>

>... amateur radio is about to take on a new personality.

Damn good! The OLD personality was getting terminally
geriatric what with all the "pioneering by morsemanship"
triumphed as the Second Coming in radio...in 2005.

>Truckers with extra licenses, house wife's as generals, kiddie techs, the
>possibilities are endless...

John, ANYONE can get a ham license if they want one.

Some PCTA extras have blabbed that over and over and over
again to me.

"TRUCKERS!?" Hell no, they can't go! They used evil,
wicked, mean, nasty, and HIGHLY ILLEGAL CB!!!

cry bye
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 248. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:02:10 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <mdYHe.3495$6D5.1998@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114600

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.02.16.16.16.379977@gmail.com...
> K0HB:
>
> The real question is, will he get lucky if there she has at least one TIT
> in her call, and he is able to make contact with her?
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 15:25:56 +0000, K HB wrote:
>
> >
> > "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> If all civilization is gone, and you have a drum and a deaf person is
> >> around, does it make a sound?
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT
> >>
> >
> > If a man is in the forest and says something, but there's no woman
around to
> > hear it, is he still wrong?
>

Couldn't make any sense of John's retort. And, naw, the man wouldn't be
wrong if he's holding the credit card for the taking...LOL

Kim W5TIT

## 249. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:02:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123045339.607458.61510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114599

From: N...@AOL.COM <interpreter for da masses> on Aug 2, 4:02 pm

>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>>>news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>>>Dee Flint wrote:

>> > Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
>> > for General or Extra.
>
>> But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
>> tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
>> Technician) earlier?
>
>I'm not sure what you're getting at, Mike.
>
>05-235 isn't just an NPRM, and it isn't just about Element 1.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...the FCC says WT Docket 05-325 is an
NPRM and only intends to do something with Test Element 1.
They are the LAW in regards to U.S. civil radio. Are you
being a LAW-BREAKER?

> It's
>actually FCC's response to the 18 petitions, and denies most of
>what was requested, with explanations of FCC's reasoning.

Tsk. After two years of very NON-consensus-viewpoint
petitioning on a "mere" EIGHTEEN Petitions, you are
now going to give everyone the "real reasons?!?"

Do we congratulate you on your new LAW degree?

The Notice of Proposed Rule Making is quite clear to me.
They provide a lot of material THEY used to reach THEIR
decisions. But, you have the "real reason" perhaps from
the legendary Sylvia Browne's channeling? :-)

>For example, FCC states that they see a 3-license-class system
>as the correct number of license classes to work towards. They
>specifically deny four-class and two-class suggestions (sorry, Hans -
>FCC obviously read your ideas and disagreed).

Tsk. The FCC listed all 18 Petition numbers in the NPRM
heading, throughout the body of the text, and at the end
where they had bold-faced type saying in part either
"...IS DENIED" or "...IS GRANTED, to the exten indicated
herein."

Is that somehow too complicated or are you reading someone's
tea leaves that threaten a deep dark conspiracy?

>Yet at the same time FCC doesn't want free upgrades, giveaways, more
>complexity in the license structure, nor anybody to lose privileges.

Did they ever? [except for the creation of CB which all
God-fearing Hams thought was the armageddon of radio to allow
ordinary non-code-tested civilians to actually transmit on
the sacred HF]

Is ANYONE "losing privileges" if the subsequent R&O reflects
the NPRM?

What IS your beef, little ham?

>FCC also doesn't see any need for a new entry level license, nor changes
>in the subband structure, nor big changes in the written test methods.
>
>All this is spelled out in detail in 05-235. It's not speculation nor
>interpretation.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you are INTEPRETING all over the place, Jimmie.

>One by one, almost all the proposed changes are denied by FCC.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...read those later pages again, Jimmie.

Only EIGHT Petitions were denied. TEN were granted to the
extent indicated therein. There is NO WAY CLOSE to "almost
all denied" that you state.

> All that
>is left up for grabs is the one remaining code test, which FCC proposes
>to eliminate.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The FCC still has all those Petitions and
still has roughly six thousand Comments on them. They CAN,
and sometimes HAVE resurrected matters that were once denied
and then granted them at a later time. I don't claim to be
a legal beagle but the HISTORY of many, many decisions is
easily readable by ordinary literate people.

>As I've said before, I'm surprised it took FCC this long. When FCC
>wrote in the R&O for 98-143 that the only reason Element 1 was being
>retained was the treaty, the future was pretty clear.

Jimmie, you have ASTOUNDING retrovision, at least 20-10 in
Hindsight!!! :-)

Tsk, tsk. Turn back the clock just a little ways to 1998 and
check on your own postings in regard to FCC 90-53. Recall
that one? That was about the creation of the NO-CODE-TEST
Technician class. In the year 1990, the FCC said (essentially)
that morse code ability was NO indicator to them insofar as
being licensed. Imagine, a mere 15 years ago.

>It's clear where FCC wants things to go. Start out the beginners on VHF/UHF,
>offering HF/MF as the big incentive to get a General. Those who want those
>little pieces of HF and a fancy callsign can go for Extra.

Tsk, it's NOT CLEAR in WT Docket 05-235. That NPRM is ONLY about
deletion of the morse code test. There is NO "treaty" thing
[ITU-T S25] that says all administrations MUST give morse code
tests to license applicants who with below-30-MHz privilege
licenses.

"Beginners" (what you PCTA extras call your "lower classes")
were once the NOVICE class licensee. Remember them? That's
what the "Novice" name means, isn't it? Novices had some HF
privileges. But, long after their creation, the Novices were
DROPPING OUT. Those Novices MAY have upgraded, but it's
obvious not all were doing so...and NEWCOMERS were NOT getting
in via that Novice route! Then, in 1991, the "no-code" Tech
license got granted. But, under that "treaty" (the OLD S25)
they could NOT OPERATE BELOW 30 MHz! S25.5 was not changed
until 12 years LATER. [amazing but true...it is history]

The "no-code" Tech class license proved to be IMMENSELY
POPULAR to "beginners" and even those with years of radio
experience in OTHER radio services because there was NO code
test! [that may be hard to believe for you but it is true
and IS history in the FCC databases] Popular enough that
(roughly) 200 THOUSAND no-code-test Technicians joined the
"amateur community" (on the outskirts in the ghettos where
you PCTAs think they belong). The Novices (the original
beginners) kept on dropping in numbers, dropping, dropping
until - finally - an Epiphany of Reality dawned on the
Newington gods of radio and they "officially" dubbed the
no-code-test Technician class the ENTRY CLASS! [not as
a "beginner" or other lowly term you elitists love, but
ENTRY CLASS]

The NPRM does NOT change/alter/modify ANY OTHER regulations as
to class, nothing at all but the regulations about the MORSE
CODE TEST. That is ALL that WT Docket 05-235 is about.

Try, please TRY to understand that. Others do, why can't
you?

try cry
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 250. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:04:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123045494.394346.242520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114601

From: "K□B" on Wed 3 Aug 2005 01:13

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> FCC agreed with some of what you wrote....
>
>Even though Len took 6 pages to advise the FCC that my remarks were "out of
>place", and "inappropriate as well as misdirected".

Poor BAWA, all upset is he? :-)

<shrug>...you were out of place and inappropriate.

Try taking criticsm like a man, BAWA. Tuck in your vonnegut.

bad ass
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 251. Re: The Chosen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:05:50 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OgYHe.7712$0C.6745@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Topaz305RK" <k7sam@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:_82dnWB_bK0PqG3fRVn-tQ@vnet-inc.com...
>
> Hans -
>
> Destined to be a classic, I love it. It has been saved and is ready for
> future use. I am sure that at some point in the future it will need to be
> repeated.
>
> Sam - K7SAM

And repeated ... and repeated ... and when that fails ...
... haul out the wouf-hong.

--

... Hank

http://home.earthlink.net/~horedson
http://home.earthlink.net/~w0rli

## 252. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:09:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123045778.891642.46290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114603

From: b.b. on Aug 2, 7:12 pm

>K0HB wrote:

> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops
> about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all
> with a single thread.

<"quiz" choices deleted for brevity and sanity>

>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
>is in therapy or on medication, or both.

Brian, those extras CANNOT "be told" much of anything.

You are absolutely correct, but reality is usually
irrelevant in HERE. In HERE the PCTA extra thinks they
rule. Stebie is a PCTA extra...one of the 'hood (and
acts like it)

PCTA extras ENDORSE and even ENCOURAGE Stebie.

I don't understand it. I feel sure that morse beeping
does something to their brains, making them very UNLIKE
normal, civil human beans. PCTA extras are "BAWAs!" :-)

bin ban
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 253. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:35:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123047355.241791.160720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114604

b.b. wrote:

> Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> is in therapy or on medication, or both.

What therapy? What medication?

Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
issues in others?

Steve, K4YZ

# 254. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:47:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114606

Kim:

Ahhh, I think the old guys who used to have to worry about winding a filament choke for an old tube PA are still worried. Indeed, there are probably some around who remember when the filament used to be the cathode! Perhaps they even worry more...

There eyes are not as good as they used to be, probably from trying to read news papers from the purplish-bluish glow of gassy finals. Maybe they just can't read the text here anymore.

Kim, don't you ever wonder who setup newsgroups for them on their computer, isn't pretty obvious they could not? Who then, grandkids?

Some of these old guys probably even remember very old and ancient tubes, where thorium was included into the filaments metal to increase electron emission, and to "rejuvenate" the tube you would have to run the filament at an increased voltage to over-heat and to get some of the thorium to come up to the surface. Not long ago (decade or two) this was still being done on TV cathode ray tubes, some oscilloscope crts could be rejuved the same way...

That was the lair of these old brass pounders, around equip and practices which is now only found in museums--and old hams shacks...

Wonder a NC Tech (and a woman even!--with a "hard to pronounce call!"--something like "Lake Titicaca?" <grin>) can survive here, don't they tend to "use up all the air in room", leaving one wanting for outside and a fresh breath of air?
<grin>

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:IbYHe.3475$6D5.2028@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.02.16.14.10.214850@gmail.com...
>> Kim:
>>
>> Well, there ya go, the only people you would consider fitting to converse
>> with have proven they are a ham to you. Of course, this is going to
>> rather limit your social skills and world quite a bit, wouldn't be
>> surprized if you don't know ALL ~300,000 by name (that might be an
>> over-estimate.) Don't worry, why you are there doing "ham sorting" the
>> world goes on, we will keep you abreast of the changes which have occured
>> while you have been engaged in you little diversion.

>>
>> John
>>
>
> Not at all. I've never really paid any attention to whether someone has a
> call or not. Doesn't matter to me one way or the other. I am just
> surprised you haven't run into that on the newsgroup yet. From someone such
> as...hmmm, trying to remember who that matters to, usually...Dave Heil, I
> believe I've seen razzing Len for not being an amateur radio operator.
> Others...but, was just wondering if it'd ever been an issue.
>
> Oh, and then there's those who raz about people being annonymous, etc.
> Guess you've not had that happen yet, either. Although, come to think of
> it...there's not that many of the "regulars" who are communicating with
> you... So maybe it's still an issue, just not as noticeable as it used to
> be...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

## 255. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 05:56:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <N0ZHe.249$OE7.8811535@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114607

Len:

I can see the new issue of "Hot Mama Truckers" when it hits the stands. A leather thong clad "Mama Trucker", with the mike of her KeenWood in her hand, her other hand resting on a custom made 3KW Davemade Amp. Cowboy encased foot on the side board of her Peterbilt and a wide, and somewhat, toothless grin. And, leaning against a rather phallic shaped object which is actually a 1/2 wave mobile antenna for 10 meters... ahhh, what a centerfold!

Doesn't that just present a beautiful visual to your mind? Doesn't she look like a darn angel on that "video screen in your mind?"

I tell ya Len, life is good...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1123045244.655936.83420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Aug 2, 3:59 pm
>
>>Len:
>>
>>My gawd, get out the antacid, laxatives, etc and pass them out freely!!!!
>
> I need them not...but what IS needed for some of these beeping
> bleeping PCTA extras is SMART PILLS. Geez, what a group of
> conspiracy thinkers and those who SEE things that aren't there!
>
>>This belly-aching is going to go on forever, fact is CW looks almost certain
>>to
>>fall. And, the CB'ers are on the march to get their "KeenWoods" and
>>"davemade"
>>products now, in anticipation...
>
> <shrug>
>
>>... amateur radio is about to take on a new personality.
>
> Damn good! The OLD personality was getting terminally
> geriatric what with all the "pioneering by morsemanship"
> triumphed as the Second Coming in radio...in 2005.
>
>>Truckers with extra licenses, house wife's as generals, kiddie techs, the
>>possibilities are endless...
>
> John, ANYONE can get a ham license if they want one.

>
> Some PCTA extras have blabbed that over and over and over
> again to me.
>
> "TRUCKERS!?" Hell no, they can't go! They used evil,
> wicked, mean, nasty, and HIGHLY ILLEGAL CB!!!
>
> cry bye
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

# 256. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 06:11:57 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dcpn7d$p28$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 114605

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:Yd-dnXBfp9YSAXPfRVn-qQ@comcast.com:

>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122914973.840821.134990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in break
>>> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+
>>> would become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element
>>> 3 to get on HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get
>>> Tech+ privileges. Does
>>> anyone know which is right?
>>
>> I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
>> called tech plus,
>>
>> but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
>> themselves a lair
>>
>
> If you are talking about the US proposal, read the NPRM through a
> couple of times. They discuss this at great length. Techs will not
> get Tech+ privileges. Anyone who wants to increase their privileges
> will have to take a test. They specifically state that no one will get
> any changes in privileges. That is also consistent with the way the
> paragraphs will be reworded as shown in the appendix.
>
> Finally, one of the posters on eHam called the FCC on this and asked
> for and got clarification. He was told that Techs will not get Tech+
> privileges. It is indeed the FCC's position that anyone desiring more
> than their current privileges must take the appropriate written test.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

That is how I read it too, but it was a little hard to be sure without a
copy of Part 97 in front of me, plus a few people had made posts that
interpreted it the other way.

## 257. Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 06:14:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123049641.127115.156130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114608

Here's my submission to the FCC.

Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?

73

Steve, K4YZ

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,

The issue of whether or not to remove Morse Code competency
testing has been a heated and hotly contested issue for years. Many
persons cite the evolution of new technologies and methodologies of
communications as having made Morse Code "archaic", while on the
otherhand some demand it's retention as "traditional".

There is certainly precedent for allowing Amateurs access to the
HF spectrum (below 30Mhz) without Morse Code competency, however this
respondent believes that dropping it completely will be an overall
detriment to the Amateur Radio Service.

The Basis And Purpose of the Amateur Radio Service as outlined in
Part 97 provides that the Amateur Service shall provide a trained pool
of radio operators for emergency service. And technology
notwithstanding, Morse Code remains the simplest, most easily deployed
communications mode available to Amateurs worldwide. To drop this
requirement simply because military or commercial users no longer use
it is foolhearty.

However I am in favor of allowing access to the HF allocations
without the benefit of a Morse Code examination with the restriction
that non-Morse tested Amateurs not be allowed access to those parts of
the spectrum wherein voice (wideband) modes are not permittted.
Without the basic skills of being able to recognize whether or not they
are potentially interfering with other communications, the non-Morse
tested operator should be restricted to areas wherein they will have
less likelyhood of causing such interference.

I thank you for this opportunity to participate in the rule-making
process.

STEVEN J ROBESON, LPN
Amateur Radio Licensee K4YZ

# 258. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 06:26:52 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dcpo3c$p28$1$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 114609

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1122943002.684726.54180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> John Smith wrote:
>>
>> If the only difference between NC tech, and tech+ is the
>> code, and there
>> is is no longer a code test, would logic dictate that the
>> plus'es can
>> still hold a greater privilege than a tech?
>
> The FCC's logic says "YES!"
>>
>> Now, why would logic dictate their being two classes of
>> tech, when there
>> does not exist any difference between the classes?
>
> But there *does* exist a difference!
>
> Techs who have passed a code test have some HF privileges
> now - today - under current rules.
>
> Techs who have not passed a code test have no HF privileges
> now - today - under current rules.
>
> The FCC makes it clear that they do not want any current
> licensee to gain or lose privileges simply because of the
> proposed changes.
>
> Therefore, if the proposal is enacted, the two types of
> Techs (code tested and non code tested) will continue to
> exist, with the difference in privileges, even if there's
> no longer a code test, and even after the last Tech Plus
> expires, upgrades, or is renewed as Tech.
>
>> Often you see this kind of insanity when the gov't
>> becomes involved, you could argue that point, and there
>> are no more tech plus licenses being issued, you could also argue that
>> point.
>
> It's not "insanity" at all. It's the only way to satisfy
> all the requirements listed above.
>

>> However, I think just as valid an argument would be that tech
>> plus was ok
>> for some HF, now there is no code, so tech is now ok for the same HF
>> allowances.
>
> That would violate the principle of no additional privs without
> the appropriate test, whoch the FCC repeatedly supports in the
> NPRM document.
>
>> However, I don't think you are going to find many who would
>> care to argue
>> this point, there just is not enough interest in amateur radio > at
>> this point, and the argument is something of a "time sensitive" issue,
>> it would have to be argued
>> quickly--or not at all. As precedence is won by the dragging > > of
>> the feet...
>
> You can argue it all you want. Comments don't close for weeks yet.
>
> If anyone actually bothers to read the NPRM, they'll see that
> FCC repeatedly mentions how easy it is for existing licensees
> to get more privileges by passing only written tests. FCC also
> mentions repeatedly how, if the NPRM is enacted, all nonExtras
> will be able to get more privileges by taking a few written
> tests. They even mention how many correct answers are needed.
>
> FCC also repeatedly mentions their support for spectrum as an
> incentive to upgrading, and how free upgrades act as a
> disincentive.
>
> Do you not like the idea of a VHF/UHF-only entry-level license,
> with HF held out as an incentive for more *written* testing?
>
> --
>
> Just think - under the new system, all new hams will have access to all
> of amateur VHF/UHF, all modes, and full authorized power.
>
> Those who go on to General will have most HF privileges too, with small
> parts of 4 HF bands reserved for Extras.
>
> Be careful what you ask for - you may just get it.
>

An interesting aside in the NPRM says that, in another separate proceeding
in another NPRM, the Novice subbands will be eliminated, and all Novice and
Tech+ hams will have the General subbands on the bands where they have
privileges. Presumably on 80/40/15 they really mean only the General _CW_
subbands, but on 10 they would apparently get the whole band.

Oddly, though, both these licence classes would be closed, so that no-one could get a new one. I suppose it does make sense, as it would be idiotic to preserve the Novice subbands only for closed licence classes.

## 259. A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 06:28:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123050495.597599.325080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114610

Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.

Proceeding: 05-235 Type Code: CO
Date Received/Adopted: 08/02/05 Date Released/Denied:
Document Type: COMMENT Total Pages: 1
File Number/Community: DA/FCC Number:
Filed on Behalf of: John Q. Public
Filed By:
Attorney/Author Name: Document Date:
Complete Mailing Address:
101 main street

Houston, TX 77043
Brief Comment

i glad u guys got red of the morris cod. i nevir liked morris da kat either. Thanc now i kan use dat raydeo I stoles from dat kar at da hamfeast.

## 260. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 06:31:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123050713.964494.124980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114611

K4YZ wrote:
> Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.

And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
Army career!

Sheesh!

Steve, K4YZ

## 261. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 06:39:05 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dcpoq9$p28$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 114612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
news:9KSHe.12918$oZ.7911@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1123020869.414564.217070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122939286.297950.289590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> > "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>> >
>> > > Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and
>> > > Farnsworth can go back to being a teaching method.
>> >
>> > Thus it is, thus it always has been.... I don't understand "go back
>> > to"....
>> >
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> Morse had become merely a licensing hurdle, Farnsworth a testing
>> scheme. Now if anyone bothers to learn Morse, it will be to use it.
>>
>> You know something? Your probably right....and actually that is a
>> good thing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> People may not always agree with my opinions, but that's OK with me.
>
> There were plenty of Code Tape Extra's out there who never worked a dit
> in their lives.
>
> Of course there were/are. Lots of folks never cared a lick if they
> actually operated CW or not. And to them it was a hurdle to do 13 or
> 20.
>
> But to those select few that actually enjoyed the mode it became a real
> pain hearing about how hard it was to learn, how useless, how
> unnecessary, etc.
>

> Now perhaps the debate will finally END. And those that like CW can
> continue to enjoy it. And help those that want to learn it, learn it,
> and also enjoy it. And use it and not have to put up with all the
> whinning any longer.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>

That's a change of tune from you. When you're right, you're right!

# 262. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 07:02:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123051499.907038.257750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114613

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
>
> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> Army career!
>
> Sheesh!

Oooops...forgot to mention, The last footnote in Lennie's
comments:

"Any change in the license examination regulations will primarily
affect license applicants. That does not directly affect those already
licensed and have already passed any test given under older
regulations...despite the displayed spite in a few Comments who had
worked hard to pass the morse code tests and are disturbed that
applicants in the future might not have to do the same. The Commission
is in no way responsible to hold thigs in perpetuity or to insure
feeding of emotional sustanance to those already licensed.

Of course his comments were laced with the usual amount of
"mythology", "tribal" and other insulting inferences that we have
become used to here.

The biggest lie being his suggestion on page 1 that he was a
potential Amateur Radio licensee.

Too bad there's not a method for filing charges of perjury on
filings.

Steve, K4YZ

## 263. RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 10:15:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114614

Well, well, well.....................

After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look over some of the other comments.

Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for the rest of you.

Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.

Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT, W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.

Sooooooooooo......

Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?

On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 264. Brian Picking Up The Slack for Markie But Still Both Way Behind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 10:56:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123066617.120642.141190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114615

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
>
> > > Do you think he'll get it?
>
> > I'm sure you'll try to "geti it across", Brain...
>
> What are you talking about, ""*geti it across*""???
>
> Whatever disability you might have, why can't you use simple tools to
> clean up your postings?
>
> Sheesh! Are you a dyslexic or something? Pull your head out!
>
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> > (*) Acute Retentive Cranial Flatulance
>
> ** indeed!
>
> Nurse, Heal Thyself!

Of..?!?!

Streve, K4YZ

# 265. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 11:11:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NOidnSTfbqDgO23fRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 114616

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com...
> Kim:

[snip]

>
> Wonder a NC Tech (and a woman even!--with a "hard to pronounce
> call!"--something like "Lake Titicaca?" <grin>) can survive here, don't
> they tend to "use up all the air in room", leaving one wanting for outside
> and a fresh breath of air?
> <grin>
>
> John
>

You haven't been paying attention. Kim is a Tech Plus. She has chosen to
stay at that level since HF holds no interest for her.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 266. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 11:14:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W_qdnZ2dnZ05uxrrnZ2dnaI6bd-dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 114617

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:dcpn7d$p28$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:Yd-dnXBfp9YSAXPfRVn-qQ@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122914973.840821.134990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in break
>>>> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+
>>>> would become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element
>>>> 3 to get on HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get
>>>> Tech+ privileges. Does
>>>> anyone know which is right?
>>>
>>> I read it as techs all tech end up with what amounts to what was once
>>> called tech plus,
>>>
>>> but anyone that claims to know what the result is likely to prove
>>> themselves a lair
>>>
>>
>> If you are talking about the US proposal, read the NPRM through a
>> couple of times. They discuss this at great length. Techs will not
>> get Tech+ privileges. Anyone who wants to increase their privileges
>> will have to take a test. They specifically state that no one will get
>> any changes in privileges. That is also consistent with the way the
>> paragraphs will be reworded as shown in the appendix.
>>
>> Finally, one of the posters on eHam called the FCC on this and asked
>> for and got clarification. He was told that Techs will not get Tech+
>> privileges. It is indeed the FCC's position that anyone desiring more
>> than their current privileges must take the appropriate written test.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
> That is how I read it too, but it was a little hard to be sure without a
> copy of Part 97 in front of me, plus a few people had made posts that

> interpreted it the other way.

I found it took a couple of readings AND getting out my copy of Part 97 and doing a comparison to have confidence in my conclusion. By the way, it's not a bad idea to keep a copy of the current Part 97 on hand. A person tends to forget those areas that relate to activities that they only do occasionally. I always have to look up the rules on digital since I only hook it up every couple of years.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 267. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 11:49:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123069784.726046.154900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114618

K4YZ wrote:
> Well, well, well.....
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-
> regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA,
> K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>

Steve,

W5DXP, K3LT, WK3C, and W1RFI haven't posted to rrap in a long time.

Cecil decided to focus on antennas soon after the 2000 restructuring.
He said in here that 5 wpm was the right level,
he resigned his NCI membership and went on to other things.

Larry's work and other interests caused him to spend his time
differently.

Carl has shown up once or twice but his main focuses have been
his job, house, anti-BPL and NCI duties. Heck, he was so busy it
took him a year to get his Extra and vanity call.

Ed has been focused on fighting BPL. He's also an ARRL staffer, so he
may prefer not to even give the appearance of a conflict.

> Sooooooooooo......
>
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?

It's only been a few days. There are weeks to go yet.

Look at the responses - most of them are "Brief Comments", meaning a
short yea or nay. Many are embarrassing in their
poor spelling, grammar, capitalization and punctuation. Better
to spend some time proofreading and polishing.

There's also the perception some have that the whole thing may be a
done deal already.

Suppose the majority of commenters want code testing to stay, either as
it is now or maybe just for Extra. Will that make any difference at all
to what FCC does? Back in 1999, a member of NCI read and tallied up the
comments and found that the *majority*

wanted either two or three code test speeds. Yet FCC went to 5 wpm
only.
>
> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a
> word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the
> other...
>
Almost everything NCVEC wanted in their second petition was denied.

73 de Jim, N2EY

313

btw, you missed the biggest hoot in Len's comments - the part where he
says he offers an "objective" view...AS IF!

# 268. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:01:34 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcqbmu$157k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114619

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>How many folks are actually going to do all that?
>>
>
>
> Hundreds of thousands of us have done it to date.

And no doubt that many people will. There will probably be a larger percentage that do not, as the requirements ease. Soon a good "test-taker" will be able to get that license with a lot less effort. There will be a lot of casual Extras.

No big deal, but it *will* be a side effect of the new testing requirements.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 269. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:03:58 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcqbrd$157k$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114620

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>FCC agreed with some of what you wrote....
>
>
> Even though Len took 6 pages to advise the FCC that my remarks were "out of
> place", and "inappropriate as well as misdirected".

I wonder how much credence the FCC gives to a person that uses their
feedback gathering mechanism to attack other people?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 270. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:05:42 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcqbul$157k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114621

Kim wrote:

> 
> Although, come to think of
> it...there's not that many of the "regulars" who are communicating with
> you... So maybe it's still an issue, just not as noticeable as it used to
> be...

Bingo!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 271. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:12:19 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcqcb3$157k$4@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114622

K4YZ wrote:

> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Sooooooooooo......
>
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...

Hey Steve.

I figured that I would reserve my comments for a place that had a
better chance of having an effect on the decision. That would be in
rrap! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 272. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:28:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123072084.546617.230230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114623

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Well, well, well.....
> > After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at
> > Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-
> > regular
> > of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
> >
> > Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA,
> > K3LT,
> > W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
> >
>
> Steve,
>
> W5DXP, K3LT, WK3C, and W1RFI haven't posted to rrap in a long time.

I know.

Does that mean they no longer take a proactive role in Amateur
Radio policy issues?

> Cecil decided to focus on antennas soon after the 2000 restructuring.
> He said in here that 5 wpm was the right level,
> he resigned his NCI membership and went on to other things.
>
> Larry's work and other interests caused him to spend his time
> differently.
>
> Carl has shown up once or twice but his main focuses have been
> his job, house, anti-BPL and NCI duties. Heck, he was so busy it
> took him a year to get his Extra and vanity call.
>
> Ed has been focused on fighting BPL. He's also an ARRL staffer, so he
> may prefer not to even give the appearance of a conflict.

All fine gentlemen and pursuits.

> > Sooooooooooo......
> >
> > Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> It's only been a few days. There are weeks to go yet.
>
> Look at the responses - most of them are "Brief Comments", meaning a
> short yea or nay. Many are embarrassing in their

> poor spelling, grammar, capitalization and punctuation. Better
> to spend some time proofreading and polishing.
>
> There's also the perception some have that the whole thing may be a
> done deal already.
>
> Suppose the majority of commenters want code testing to stay, either as
> it is now or maybe just for Extra. Will that make any difference at all
> to what FCC does? Back in 1999, a member of NCI read and tallied up the
> comments and found that the *majority*
> wanted either two or three code test speeds. Yet FCC went to 5 wpm
> only.

> > On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a
> > word
> > either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the
> > other...

> Almost everything NCVEC wanted in their second petition was denied.

But Gordo and The Fred will still sell books.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 313
>
> btw, you missed the biggest hoot in Len's comments - the part where he
> says he offers an "objective" view...AS IF!

Like I said...too bad there's noit a penalty for perjury in those
comments...Page 1 would have got him in HAC right off the bat.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 273. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:41:01 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <xX2Ie.1207$9U3.909@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114624

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com...
> Kim:
>
> Kim, don't you ever wonder who setup newsgroups for them on their
computer,
> isn't pretty obvious they could not? Who then, grandkids?
>

Me personally. I don't have a problem with someone who is, even, entrenched in tradition of something, which is pretty much what it seems you're describing in the paragraphs above that sentance there and that sentance. I don't know how to plumb a house, install wiring for electricity, rebuild a transmission, diagnose and treat my self medically, etc. And, I don't begrudge the people who do for having some pride in the tradition of "their" ways (whomever "their" may be). Where I do have a problem--and I don't see it much anymore, save a few idiots, is where that pride in tradition translates to some kind of inalienable right to belittle others for getting there differently and choosing to walk to the beat of a different drum.

>
> That was the lair of these old brass pounders, around equip and practices
which
> is now only found in museums--and old hams shacks...
>

And, what a wonderful thing that is. I am also in awe of the memory of people who forged this country on foot, horseback, train, early cars and dirt roads and now shoot themselves into space with all the threats to life and safety that is attached to that.

> Wonder a NC Tech (and a woman even!--with a "hard to pronounce
> call!"--something like "Lake Titicaca?" <grin>) can survive here, don't
they
> tend to "use up all the air in room", leaving one wanting for outside and
a
> fresh breath of air?
> <grin>
>

Not at all. The idiots don't even do that. I am not sure there's any NC Techs on this newsgroup, either. I may be the lowest license class amateur here, and that is Tech+.

Kim W5TIT

# 274. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:42:02 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <uY2Ie.1208$9U3.21@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114625

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:NOidnSTfbqDgO23fRVn-iA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com...
> > Kim:
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > Wonder a NC Tech (and a woman even!--with a "hard to pronounce
> > call!"--something like "Lake Titicaca?" <grin>) can survive here, don't
> > they tend to "use up all the air in room", leaving one wanting for
outside
> > and a fresh breath of air?
> > <grin>
> >
> > John
> >
>
> You haven't been paying attention. Kim is a Tech Plus. She has chosen to
> stay at that level since HF holds no interest for her.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

'Zackly.

Kim W5TIT

# 275. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:43:14 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <CZ2Ie.1209$9U3.379@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114626

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dcqbul$157k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Kim wrote:
>
>
> > >
> > > Although, come to think of
> > > it...there's not that many of the "regulars" who are communicating with
> > > you... So maybe it's still an issue, just not as noticeable as it used
to
> > > be...
> >
> >
> > Bingo!
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >

Shhhhhh. Does anyone have another halter?

Kim W5TIT

## 276. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:45:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123073104.303659.135680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114627

Michael Coslo wrote:

> I figured that I would reserve my comments for a place that had a
> better chance of having an effect on the decision. That would be in
> rrap! ;^)

I gave up on RRAP being any usable place for ANY kind of debate
other than flame throwing and frustration venting years ago, Mike.
There are occassional sidebars that are actually informative, but they
are so few and far between, and usually usurped by yet another
LennieRant as to make it ineffective as any discussion group.

I've started a few threads on different policy issues before (as
have others with sincere intent)only to have it drawn into Lennie's
"It's a Might Morsemen Conspiracy" mode within a few posts...

So...the NG isn't good for what it was intended...might as well
use it for what it is good for...

Mudfight!

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 277. ATTN: KB3EIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:49:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123073360.493126.209450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114628

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Aug 2, 6:57 am

> >But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
> >tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
> >Technician) earlier?
>
> Oh, my, all that SPECULATION and the "bearing down heavily!"
>
> WT Docket 05-235 is about ONE thing and ONE thing only: Delete
> or retain the morse code test...(SNIP)

Hey Mike...

See what I was saying in another thread about certain posters
always dragging any discussion into his realm, no matter what...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 278. Re: a new attack thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:51:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123073473.292333.224030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114629

```
an old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >> an old friend wrote:
> > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
> > >
> > > Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> > > FAST, before that baby overflows.
>
> welcome to the Stvie rants show

What part of his post excluded you, Markie?

You even got top billing in the attributes!

Sheesh.

Steve, K4YZ
```

## 279. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from Hint: It's NOT KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 12:53:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123073587.904349.274690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114630

Michael Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >>
> >>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
> >>
> >>Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> >>FAST, before that baby overflows.
> >
> >
> > Slow day in the Great White North, eh, Hans...?!?!
>
> Ya hafta admit that it's pretty funny, Steve..8^)

In his own self-righteous way, I suppose. Humility isn't one of
Hans' stronger suits!

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 280. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 13:51:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.13.51.39.889872@gmail.com>
Source record: 114634

Ohhh, tech plus, I stand corrected, and you are right, I missed that point!

Sorry Kim!

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 07:11:11 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com...
>> Kim:
>
> [snip]
>
>>
>> Wonder a NC Tech (and a woman even!--with a "hard to pronounce
>> call!"--something like "Lake Titicaca?" <grin>) can survive here, don't
>> they tend to "use up all the air in room", leaving one wanting for outside
>> and a fresh breath of air?
>> <grin>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> You haven't been paying attention. Kim is a Tech Plus. She has chosen to
> stay at that level since HF holds no interest for her.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 281. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 13:54:56 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Q04Ie.2359$ns.873@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114631

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Sooooooooooo......

We do have 60 days from when the NPRM is printed in the Federal
Register to comment.

> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...

You'll probably only see Fred's comments via the NCVEC comment
when that gets agreed to within the NCVEC groups.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 282. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 13:57:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.13.57.18.18524@gmail.com>
Source record: 114635

Kim:

Good post, I can't disagree with much there. People are funny, no accounting for some of their views, beliefs and ways, I guess.

One thing we can hold faith in, if some strive to hold back progress as much as it looks like the are to me, I believe strongly they will only fail. As, anyone over-looking our past can plainly see, the only thing which has truly been consistant is the push forward, always on to new technology and better hardware and methods.

Some fear tomorrow, some can't wait for it to get here...

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 12:41:01 +0000, Kim wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com...
>> Kim:
>>
>> Kim, don't you ever wonder who setup newsgroups for them on their
> computer,
>> isn't pretty obvious they could not? Who then, grandkids?
>>
>
> Me personally. I don't have a problem with someone who is, even, entrenched
> in tradition of something, which is pretty much what it seems you're
> describing in the paragraphs above that sentance there and that sentance. I
> don't know how to plumb a house, install wiring for electricity, rebuild a
> transmission, diagnose and treat my self medically, etc. And, I don't
> begrudge the people who do for having some pride in the tradition of "their"
> ways (whomever "their" may be). Where I do have a problem--and I don't see
> it much anymore, save a few idiots, is where that pride in tradition
> translates to some kind of inalienable right to belittle others for getting
> there differently and choosing to walk to the beat of a different drum.
>
>>
>> That was the lair of these old brass pounders, around equip and practices
> which
>> is now only found in museums--and old hams shacks...
>>
>
> And, what a wonderful thing that is. I am also in awe of the memory of
> people who forged this country on foot, horseback, train, early cars and

> dirt roads and now shoot themselves into space with all the threats to life
> and safety that is attached to that.
>
>> Wonder a NC Tech (and a woman even!--with a "hard to pronounce
>> call!"--something like "Lake Titicaca?" <grin>) can survive here, don't
> they
>> tend to "use up all the air in room", leaving one wanting for outside and
> a
>> fresh breath of air?
>> <grin>
>>
>
> Not at all. The idiots don't even do that. I am not sure there's any NC
> Techs on this newsgroup, either. I may be the lowest license class amateur
> here, and that is Tech+.
>
> Kim W5TIT

# 283. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 14:06:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.14.00.29.662820@gmail.com>
Source record: 114636

Michael:

Strange, fearing old hams which must lurk in shadows hoping the youngsters will fear them out of past deeds of glory. I suppose people always have a fear of the unknown, as long as you don't know the evil--it takes on a grand size and threat...

Naaa, I think they fear change. Even they know it is inevitable, Mr. Anderson...

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 08:05:42 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

> Kim wrote:
>
>
>>
>> Although, come to think of
>> it...there's not that many of the "regulars" who are communicating with
>> you... So maybe it's still an issue, just not as noticeable as it used to
>> be...
>
>
> Bingo!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 284. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 14:06:31 UTC
From: Tony Steen <ajsteen@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dPWdnZud9MHtUm3fRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114632

It's a plant from a fanatic CW'er. He writes like he takes code over the
air waves.

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123050495.597599.325080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
>
> Proceeding: 05-235 Type Code: CO
> Date Received/Adopted: 08/02/05 Date Released/Denied:
> Document Type: COMMENT Total Pages: 1
> File Number/Community: DA/FCC Number:
> Filed on Behalf of: John Q. Public
> Filed By:
> Attorney/Author Name: Document Date:
> Complete Mailing Address:
> 101 main street
>
> Houston, TX 77043
> Brief Comment
>
> i glad u guys got red of the morris cod. i nevir liked morris da kat
> either. Thanc now i kan use dat raydeo I stoles from dat kar at da
> hamfeast.
>

## 285. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 14:39:47 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <TG4Ie.5374$6D5.4517@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114633

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Q04Ie.2359$ns.873@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Well, well, well.....................
> >
> > After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> > predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> > over some of the other comments.
> >
> > Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> > the rest of you.
> >
> > Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> > of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
> >
> > Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> > W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
> >
> > Sooooooooooo......
>
> We do have 60 days from when the NPRM is printed in the Federal
> Register to comment.
>
> > Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
> >
> > On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> > either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...
>
> You'll probably only see Fred's comments via the NCVEC comment
> when that gets agreed to within the NCVEC groups.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

Well, Bill. I am not sure that a kind of public indictment of people who've
not chosen to submit comments to something is all that democratic ( :o);
but...

I am, admittedly, a ham who is not all that active. I also *used* to be
more affected emotionally by issues of CW and ham traditions than I am now.
Maybe chalk that up to having spouted off to people like Larry, etc., for

long enough that I've lost sail...LOL I have opinions on the service, the hobby, etc. But, I choose not to try to "affect" ham radio by way of my comments to NPRMs (that is, unless there was some kind of something that truly affected me emotionally) in things that I am OK with going either way and this one, I am. I think it's probably something along the lines of consensus. I may have an opinion of my own, but I am willing to step aside and yield to the prevailing group.

Kim W5TIT

## 286. more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:25:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123086305.012374.12710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114637

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > > problems
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > > >
> > > > > but you did
> > > >
> > > No I did not. Not once.
> > >
> > sure did
> >
> Nope. Never.
>
> If I had, you would have found it and re-quoted it here by now.

why would bother

I quote you often and you simply deny it

>
> You haven't. Neither has Lennie or Brain.
>
> Because it didn't happen.

and you spend such energy on dening something that did not happen sure
we all believe you...not

>
> > > Provide a cite, Markie.
> >
> > shove it
>
more stevie making up stuff

> > > (Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
> > > happened...)
> >

> > nope it will not since I have no intention of doing as you demand
>
> It's not a demand.

sure is a demand

>
> It's an opportunity for you to prove your point and try and
> humiliate me.

it is more humilating for you to watch you twist in the wind
>
> But what it REALLY is is me proving that you haven't got a leg to
> stand on with this assinine allegation of yours.

is is me means nothing
>
> > another stevie lie
>
> Another Markie dance around the truth.
>
> > > > > (B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
> > > > > another lie of yours)
> > > > >
> > > > > you know more about disability than the ARS that is certainly
> > > > > sugeestive
> > > >
> > > > Hardly.
> > >
> > > it sure is
> >
> > Nope.

it certainly is suggestive
>
> > > But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.
> > > >
> > > > I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
> > > > helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a
> > > > part of my job.
> > >
> > > ah admiting to being on the dole well thank you
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Admitting that I know more about taking care of people who need
> > help.

know more than what or whom?

your false supperioty of is fun to wacth

>
> > > > that you deny it so loudly is another
> > > >
> > > I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.
> >
> > no you deny it becuase you think it is improper, meaning that when you
> > make me think you getting or have gotten it (as you have admited above)
> > you also admit to doing something improper
>
> And you're demonstrating that you've got nothing but this story of
> yours to hide behind, Markie.

if it is nothing , a will of the wisp then why does it bother you?

thou doth protest too much

stevie I told i would all of you sexual references

>
> > > But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
> > > accuse others of is grossly apparent.
> >
> > not lying at all
>
> Sure you are.
>
> You've blatantly stated that I am receving "the dole" illegally.

nope I never said that

I said 3 things

1 that you have claimed a public support,

2 that if you are not lying then then I belive you doing something
improper, possibly ilegal

3 that isn't clear exactly how you are doing it

you keep making stuff up or over simplifing statements

>
> It's not true...Not in fact, not in fantasy. Not under my "real"
> name nor any fictional name.

BUZZZZ

If I am wrong then it is ture in fantasy

thou doth protest too much

>
> > > > that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> > > > being caught in an outright lie
> > >
> > > I don't need to be concerned.

> >
> > gee Stevie I don't believe you
>
> Too bad.

thou doth protest too much

>
> > > And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
> > > matter how it's phrased.
> >
> > bull#####
>
> You disagree that the truth is truth?

No I disgree that you ever say the truth

>
more itelavancy cut

> > > A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.
> > >
> > > > > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > > > > Mark, but it's not me.
> > > >
> > > > you have clear mental health issues
> > > >
> > > > very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins
> > >
> > > So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
> > > health infrastructure.
> >
> > no
>
> Why not?

becuase it is none of your busness

cuting another stevie taunt

> > > It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
> > > your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
> > > by abbreviations with casual familiarity.
> >
> > so?
>
> So I've proved my point.

a point I have never deined

congrats

>
> Thanks.

>
> > > THAT'S telling!
> >
> > of what? nothing I have not admited before many times in fact
> >
> > that you think dealing with the mental health system is somehow "dirty"
> > or something simply shows you a bigot.
>
> I never said that.

never said but that your meaning more or less

>
> What I HAVE said is that (a) you need help and (b) you're lying
> about it when it's very clear that you ARE in the mental health system.

nope you can't get it right even now

I have had dealing with mental health

but currently I don't need their help

nor am I getting it

more stevies lies

cuting more stevie shit

> > OTOH I have no intention of discusing my medical records with you,
> > byind stating that other than some anger issues, there is really
> > nothing wrong with me, and those issues are simply a matter of dealing
> > with them
>
> You have more than "anger issues", Markie.

nope not according to thoose licensed to know

>
> Anyone who brags about being a chronic liar has deeper problems
> than "anger issues".

don't brag about about it, simply adknowledge the truth since

>
> > Indeed it is one the reasons I show up here dealing with such a
> > provoking bigoted fool as yourself, without losing my top is most
> > benificail
>
> But you ARE angry. <cut>

I am not angry. I get angry at times with your lies and bigotry but it
passes you mostly make me laugh with your abusrdites

<cut> You're ate up with not being able to get ahead

get ahead at what? Life? I have my own home 2 vechiles, no debts, a satisfing relationship, now victory is cause I have fought for for years. Sure I could find uses for a some money but I doing fine, and all with resources that means I don't don't need to work more 5 hours a week roughly, and that "work" is almost play as well. I call that being ahead

> and with people being able to see right through you, even when they
> can't see you in person. This includes your "I am a victim" routine
> which you use as a crutch.

you know very little about me, indeed and what you should know by now you can't accept becuase it unsetlles your way of "thinking"
>
> People try to give you direction, both overtly and covertly, but
> you're bound-and-determined to beleive that "how" you are is normal.
> It's not. It's an unrealistic assessment of your problems.

Stevie you are nuts

of course how I am is normal for me part of the meaning of the word normal

I have a problem of two being that I, without huge effort express myself poorly in written form. That is unfortunate. It is not as you insist a mental defect esp not a simply correctable one, years in my youth dealing the mental health system taught me that

>
> As for "provoking" and "bigoted", those are issues of YOUR own to
> deal with. Again, they fall squarely into your "I am a victim"
> routine.

nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a jerk not a bully.

I know you are a bully because I watch you bully everyone you disagree with, not just me. that tells who is seeing thing straight

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 287. cuting stevie libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:28:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123086510.427359.207880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114638

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > > > > problems
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > but you did
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No I did not. Not once.
> > > > > >
> > > > > sure did
> > > > >
> > > break
> > >
> > > > Steve said he was discharged from the service early, and either had
> > > > therapy before or after the discharge. But he also says he was never
> > > > injured except for some sand in his eye, so his therapy must not have
> > > > been physical therapy.
> > >
> > indeed and if it is not physical then it would be mental, which is
> > certianly consistant with Stveie behavoir
>
> And Brain, as usual, leaves a lot out except for the parts that
> "make" his rant.

such as?

gee BYS since you did not cite to the contary meeans you are againd BYS
a liar

>
> As usual.
>
> > > The military generally grants disability to people unfit to serve, and
> > > Steve is constantly refering folks to the VA to see his records. Ergo,

> > > Stve must be receiving some disability pension from the VA, even though
> > > he claims he's been rehabilitated.
> >
> > indeed if he isn't recieveing a VA pension or disabilty and turely was
> > discharged as discribed then he is being cheated
>
> Nope to both of you.
>
> The VA can verify a Veteran's status AS a Veteran...

which isn't the issue of course

red herring

I do hope it is well salted or it starts to smell badly

>
> > > Steve could clear up any misconceptions, but he would rather call
> > > people liars rather than come clean on his own stories. Steve prefers
> > > to remain an enigma within an absurdity.
>
> I've explained it once too many times already, Brain.

BYS since you did not cite to the contary meeans you are againd BYS a
liar
>
> But you sure do like to try and hide YOUR misdeeds behind it!

and over to the bulling attack against Brain

>
> > I wonder if Stve can in fact have a prefference on the subject, that is
> > I wonder f there is enough in the head to make such a decision
>
> And I wonder what you were trying to say, Markie.

I am sure your brain will not allow you understand it don't worry about
it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 288. stevie assume or lies about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:43:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123087407.892553.184230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114639

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> > > > > > > his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > He is to be pitied.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not by you, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > by all of us
> > > >
break
> > > By "all of us" I assume you only mean you, Brain and Lennie.
> >
> > and by hans and dave and ebveryone else
> >
> > ASS-ume stevie
>
> I guess you weren't reading very closely, Markie.
>
> I didn't "assume" anything.

You type the word "I assume" therefore either you were assuming or
lying

>
> > > As if the three of you "mattered"...?!?!
> >
> > obviously we do esp to you
>
> Nope.

certainly we do

or else you would spend the time you do

>
> > > > no he has his own themes as does Len and myself and even todd you are
> > > > just so narrow minded you can't see the defferences
> > >

> > > Obviously he DOESN'T have "his own themes" as he was mimicking
> > > Lennie.
> >
> > not realy you just are too narrow minded to notice
>
> Nope.

you just are too narrow minded to notice

>
> He mimick's Lennie's hand. Just like the PuppetBoy I called him.
>
> > > > > Ooooops...Went and invoked the Nazi's again! Not too surprising
> > > > > there.
> > > > >
> > > > alas the Nazi refferences fit between your Goebelsish effort at
> > > > psychological warfare, to your views on the disabled
> > > >
> > > You, Lennie and Brain are the "Big Lie" promulgators, Markie. And
> > > I have all the compassion in the world for the TRULY disabled.
> > >
> > right and you are one nursie empowered to make the judgement
> >
> Now you follow suit with Lennie's "nursie"...! ! ! !

that you are nurse making medical judgements is not follow len's lead
but your own

and if anyone following a lead it is len following mine from years ago,
when first Started calling you on your nurse acting as doc routine

you can't even keep your lies straight

>
> > > People like YOU are not in that category.
> >
> > practicing med with just a ham license again
>
> Who's practicing medicine without a license of ANY kind,
> Markie...?!?!

not me I have a ham license same as you

>
> Show your work.

do your own work

>
> Cite those inappropriate parts, Markie. What "evidence" would you
> show to the State Board of Medicine that I was "practicing
> medicine"...?!?!

I would dump your posts esp the one where you boasted that at a VE
session you rejected a code waiver signed by a doc claiming you knew
(without test or exam) that is was a fraud

>
> > > > > Of course Lennie should know about The Big Lie technique...He's
> > > > > been trying to root it here without success.
> > > > >
> > > > > root it out
> > > > >
> > > > > why can't Stevie get it straight?
> > > >
> > > > I got it right the first time.
> > >
> > > nope nor the second or the third
> >
> > First time. On the nose...and in your case that was a LOT of nose.

not at all

you are the one using the biglie and you keep repaeting it and
repeating it just as the manual says

however people trained and thinking can spot it miles away

>
> > > > > prehaps becuase he is a closet Gay, as were many Nazi's
> > > >
> > > > Nope...Not closet, open or otherwise.
> > >
> > > you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul
> >
> > I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
> > I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....

nope

the more a person goes on about it the more likely they are concealing
homosexaul desires

simple facts

and of course I know more than you in this matter by your words

You are repressing homosexaul desires that is certain from your
writing, ref Dr Kinsey the standard in the feild

how much is hard to say without further contact (which I have no desire
for)

>
> > > > > and you insist on making wonder about them and then are not man enough
> > > > > to admit they are threats
> > > >
> > > > What threats?

> >
> > "One Shot one kill... " amoug others
>
> Four words out of an entire post...Made in response to YOUR
> comment "Is that your best shot.."

and you still are not man enough to admtt it is threatening

and it was since you insit
"One shot one kill even in illiopolis" being the only person you know
in that town well that is a threat

>
> > > You keeps whining about "threats" but none have been made OR
> > > proven.
> >
> > you made it you don't deny the posting therefore it is Threat and you
> > made it therefore it is proven
>
> I don't deny that I used those words, but not in the contect you
> try to put them in, Markie...

I agree I said is that your best shot but you decided to add the part
about killing
>
> THAT makes YOUR use of them a lie.

nope the language was and reamins a clear threat

you further recently add the threat to vist the UP

>
> > > Why do you insist on lying?
> >
> > no lie you threatened to kill me
>
> No, I didn't.

sure did and you keep repating the threat

>
> > for something related to code testing
>
> Nope. Not code testing, not your sexual preferences, not your
> religious choices or lack of honesty.

the subject at the time was code testing your were called to take a
beter shot at supporting code testing, instead you threatened murder

Law enforcement agrees it was threat but doesn't take the usenet
seriously, although the officer did call severl times over the next few
days just tosee if you had acted on your threat

>
> You flatter yourself by thinking that I would hazard my freedom by
> such an act. It's part of what makes you an insufferable creep. And a
> fool.

it isn't flatterery.

It is you being stupid to even type that

yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 289. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:48:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123087739.437607.248210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114641

K4YZ wrote:
> Here's my submission to the FCC.
>
> Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
> other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,
>
> The issue of whether or not to remove Morse Code competency
> testing has been a heated and hotly contested issue for years. Many
> persons cite the evolution of new technologies and methodologies of
> communications as having made Morse Code "archaic", while on the
> otherhand some demand it's retention as "traditional".
>
> There is certainly precedent for allowing Amateurs access to the
> HF spectrum (below 30Mhz) without Morse Code competency, however this
> respondent believes that dropping it completely will be an overall
> detriment to the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> The Basis And Purpose of the Amateur Radio Service as outlined in
> Part 97 provides that the Amateur Service shall provide a trained pool
> of radio operators for emergency service. And technology
> notwithstanding, Morse Code remains the simplest, most easily deployed
> communications mode available to Amateurs worldwide. To drop this
> requirement simply because military or commercial users no longer use
> it is foolhearty.
>
> However I am in favor of allowing access to the HF allocations
> without the benefit of a Morse Code examination with the restriction
> that non-Morse tested Amateurs not be allowed access to those parts of
> the spectrum wherein voice (wideband) modes are not permittted.
> Without the basic skills of being able to recognize whether or not they
> are potentially interfering with other communications, the non-Morse
> tested operator should be restricted to areas wherein they will have
> less likelyhood of causing such interference.
>
> I thank you for this opportunity to participate in the rule-making
> process.
>

>
> STEVEN J ROBESON, LPN
> Amateur Radio Licensee K4YZ

interesting that it says almost of relavance since it never deals with
the real issue of regulation, that being the Public interest,

and sugesting that HF access be allowed but only where digital mode
that these new folks are suposed to be bring a revolution makes it a
fraud

as well one does not need to to be able to read a morse coded CW
tranmission to hear that it is there, and from what I read pactor and
other mode are stumping on CW at times already in the hand of code
tested hams, therefore code testing is proven ineffective at stoping
this "problem" (I use the word graudly since I lack first hand
knowledge of the nature and frenquency of the "problem")

## 290. stevie evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:50:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123087803.015525.8920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114640

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > >
> > > > Do you think he'll get it?
> > >
> > > I'm sure you'll try to "geti it across", Brain...
> > >
> > What are you talking about, ""*geti it across*""???
> > >
> > Whatever disability you might have, why can't you use simple tools to
> > clean up your postings?
> > >
> > Sheesh! Are you a dyslexic or something? Pull your head out!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >

> > > (*) Acute Retentive Cranial Flatulance
> > >
> > ** indeed!
> > >
> > Nurse, Heal Thyself!
> >
> Of..?!?!

failing to read what you are responding to would be a start
>
> Streve, K4YZ

## 291. Re: a new attack thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:52:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123087927.977390.241850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114643

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote >
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> an old friend wrote:
> > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
> > > >
> > > > Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> > > > FAST, before that baby overflows.
> > >
> > welcome to the Stvie rants show
> >
> What part of his post excluded you, Markie?

never said it did
>
> You even got top billing in the attributes!

and I was honorably giving the credit where it was due
>
> Sheesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 292. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:53:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123087988.015503.132180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114642

K4YZ wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > >
> > >>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >>
> > >>>an old friend wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > >>Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnn. Same tune, different day.
> > >>
> > >>Call down to the rrap machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and
> > >>FAST, before that baby overflows.
> > >
> > >
> > > Slow day in the Great White North, eh, Hans...?!?!
> >
> > Ya hafta admit that it's pretty funny, Steve..8^)
>
> In his own self-righteous way, I suppose. Humility isn't one of
> Hans' stronger suits!

amusing that their are things we agree on
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 293. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 16:57:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123088241.252912.248250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114644

my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course

To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly

as Bill say we have 60 days
K4YZ wrote:
> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Sooooooooooo......
>
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 294. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:01:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123088462.718510.247370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114645

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.

realy tlaking to yourself today ole boy

> >
> > And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > Army career!

of course he does a bio is part of establish ones bono fides
> >
> > Sheesh!
>
> Oooops...forgot to mention, The last footnote in Lennie's
> comments:
>
> "Any change in the license examination regulations will primarily
> affect license applicants. That does not directly affect those already
> licensed and have already passed any test given under older
> regulations...despite the displayed spite in a few Comments who had
> worked hard to pass the morse code tests and are disturbed that
> applicants in the future might not have to do the same. The Commission
> is in no way responsible to hold thigs in perpetuity or to insure
> feeding of emotional sustanance to those already licensed.
>
> Of course his comments were laced with the usual amount of
> "mythology", "tribal" and other insulting inferences that we have
> become used to here.
>
> The biggest lie being his suggestion on page 1 that he was a
> potential Amateur Radio licensee.
>
> Too bad there's not a method for filing charges of perjury on
> filings.

more libel stevie and more stupidity on your part

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 295. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:03:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123088614.338702.96480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114646

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
>
> What therapy? What medication?

the threapy you so desperately need

the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
out

>
> Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> issues in others?

therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 296. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:03:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123088634.944212.283350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114647

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
>
> What therapy? What medication?

the threapy you so desperately need

the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
out

>
> Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> issues in others?

therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill

you don't have mental health issues you have a subcription

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 297. Re: DeShawn is speaking for Steve Robeson/K4YZ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:07:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123088873.937885.229280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114648

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > DeShawn wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > questions aimed at you.
> > >
> > Who's "ME?" Dat you, Steve?
> >
> Ebonics don't suit you, Brain.

well it was another thing to be tired in getting though your think
skull

>
> > > THAT is "evasion".
> > >
> > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > >
> > Who'se accusations? Steve's or DeShawn's?
> >
> Whoever "DeShawn" is doesn't amtter, Brain.

I agree it doesn't amtter. it might matter however esp if DeShawn is
you
>
> Are you having trouble following the attributes?
>
> > > You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to
> > > specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.
> > >
> > > So here it is AGAIN.
> > >
> > Mark never accused DeShawn of anything. Why you say he did?
> >
> Still having problems following the attributes, Brain?
>
> Hitting the Geritol before you gt on to RRAP, Brain?
>
> > Who is dis DeShawn?
> >
> Who is b.b....???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

what is Stevie?

Better not to know

## 298. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:14:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123089266.939280.47670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114650

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> > > Well, you guys have your reasons, I guess. The problem is the rest of
> > > us get to observe Steve's and your bar room brawl. While those of us who
> > > would rather not have to read the stuff normally could filter the
> > > thread, we can't do it here because so many new threads are started
> > > every day.
>
> Actually it's the same thread, Mike...

you have worked thing up at least 14 today that I count, plus multibles
int he same thread

>
> > you have may apologies for that indeed if stevie would just tone the
> > level of insult in the titles of the threads I could leave em alone but
> > you need to speak with him about that (good luck if you go that way)
>
told stevie you sexual references are geting cut

>
> > > If ya'll would just keep it to a few threads, it would be cool. I much
> > > prefer to filter threads rather than names.
> >
> > I do try and Prune a bunch every now and then but one must be carefull
> > for then Stevie gets realy riled up
>
> No, Markie...no you don't.

yes I do about a week ago I cut down a bunch of your thread by hacking
to guts out of them

you OTOH never let anything drop

>
> > > And of course, Steve has no intention of "backing down" either. So I
> > > guess this is just you folks' "life-on-line". As long as you are all
> > > happy, then go for it!
> >
> > as I have said spending my time at it now since the weather is beastly
> > right now
>
> Gee, Markie...you said you were caring for a person. So...this
> person gets squat as soon as the weather gets sour?

more libel

He get what he needs regardless of weather, but with the weather I am spending more time inside, as I said if you had bothered to read

>
> > as to Stevie I suppose he could suddenly grow up not holding my breath
> > of course
>
> Already there, Markie. Just hoping I can get you to learn English,
> stop your profanity, and stop the blatant lying.

I know english, I will use such words as I choose including if I deem them called for vulgaity, profanity even blasphemy if I choose

as to the lying well I only lie about my bio to project from people like you so if you ever straighten up and act in civilized manner, prehaps I can give that up, till then.....

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 299. Re: Stevie waiting online stalking looking to pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:15:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123089302.600924.76700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114649

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> > > Well, you guys have your reasons, I guess. The problem is the rest of
> > > us get to observe Steve's and your bar room brawl. While those of us who
> > > would rather not have to read the stuff normally could filter the
> > > thread, we can't do it here because so many new threads are started
> > > every day.
>
> Actually it's the same thread, Mike...

you have worked thing up at least 14 today that I count, plus multibles
int he same thread

>
> > you have may apologies for that indeed if stevie would just tone the
> > level of insult in the titles of the threads I could leave em alone but
> > you need to speak with him about that (good luck if you go that way)
>
told stevie you sexual references are geting cut

>
> > > If ya'll would just keep it to a few threads, it would be cool. I much
> > > prefer to filter threads rather than names.
> >
> > I do try and Prune a bunch every now and then but one must be carefull
> > for then Stevie gets realy riled up
>
> No, Markie...no you don't.

yes I do about a week ago I cut down a bunch of your thread by hacking
to guts out of them

you OTOH never let anything drop

>
> > > And of course, Steve has no intention of "backing down" either. So I
> > > guess this is just you folks' "life-on-line". As long as you are all
> > > happy, then go for it!
> >
> > as I have said spending my time at it now since the weather is beastly
> > right now
>
> Gee, Markie...you said you were caring for a person. So...this
> person gets squat as soon as the weather gets sour?

more libel

He get what he needs regardless of weather, but with the weather I am spending more time inside, as I said if you had bothered to read

>
> > as to Stevie I suppose he could suddenly grow up not holding my breath
> > of course
>
> Already there, Markie. Just hoping I can get you to learn English,
> stop your profanity, and stop the blatant lying.

I know english, I will use such words as I choose including if I deem them called for vulgaity, profanity even blasphemy if I choose

as to the lying well I only lie about my bio to project from people like you so if you ever straighten up and act in civilized manner, prehaps I can give that up, till then.....

so grow up and stoping runing around the playgorund screaming somebody used a bad word
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 300. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:17:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123089427.624337.45960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114651

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > Where's Quitefine when you need a perfectly anonymous comment?
>
> Where's the scoop on unlicensed devices having a "major role" in
> emergency communications?

phone are unlicensed CB and FRS are unlicensed cell phones are licensed
to their users all play major roles in emergencies
>
> Where did you get "ARES won't respond in an emergency" from?

round here Ares can't effectively respond, hopefully the completion of
certain building projects will fix that
>
> Who gave you permission to operate from a UN controlled zone?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 301. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:25:35 UTC
From: Hambone the Magnificent <hambonelogbutt@arrlsucks.com>
Message-ID: <7dfcc$42f0fe3e$d1cc507d$28796@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 114652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry folks, but I simply had to cross-post this gem of
a posting from rec.radio.cb to the ham radio groups.
I can easily imagine some of the goofballs and kooks
I saw at a certain hamfest last week trying this on 75M
after reading this. *If you do guys, remember that a
good ole traditional METAL garbage can, like a trusty
galvanized Wheeling Mfgr Corp model, available at any
home depot for modest cost, would work FAR BETTER
than any of the Rubbermaid plastic model(s)* Also remember
that when you fart inside of a said can with the same propped
over your head down to your lower torso, the sounds produced
will be AMPLIFIED by a factor of twenty or so and you can
get a breath of 'fresh air' while you're at it.

GO FER IT BOYS !!!!!!!!!

"Hochee Chunaka" <skg19744@cybernerds.com> wrote in message
news:age0f1h7q7gqshts2vl47669hl7c4fh4od@4ax.com...
>
> The first "echo box" I remember hearing on the CB was in early 1977.
> A guy calling himself "Wicker Bill" in Englewood, California came
> booming in via skip to the East Coast. He was using an analog tape
> loop. It sounded ten times better than the cheap reverbs of today.
> The second echo box I heard was when my 14 year old friend came on
> the air later in 1977 with tons of reverb type echo. I asked him what
> he was doing, and he said to come over and he would show me. When I
> arrived he had a tin metal trash can over top of his head, and he was
> holding his Realistic desk power mic up inside the trashcan with his
> head. It was hysterical to see. His entire head was enveloped by the
> trash can. I fell over laughing.
>
>

## 302. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:36:23 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sPmdnYa2RJEPnWzfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 114653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hambone the Magnificent wrote:
> Sorry folks, but I simply had to cross-post this gem of
> a posting from rec.radio.cb to the ham radio groups.

So you've just proved without a doubt that you are nothing but a troll.

```
.:\:/:.
+-------------------+ .:\:\:/:/:.
| PLEASE DO NOT | :.:\:\:/:/:.:
| FEED THE TROLLS! | :=.' - - '.=:
| | '=(\ 9 9 /)='
| Thank you, | ( (_) )
| rec.radio.cb NG | /`-vvv-'\
+-------------------+ / TROLL \
| | @@@ / /|,,,,,|\ \
| | @@@ /_// /^\ \\_\
@x@@x@ | | |/ WW( ( ) )WW
\|||||/ | | \| __\,,\ /,,/__
\|||/ | | | (______Y______) jgs
/\/\/\/\/\/\/\//\\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\
```

# 303. N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:40:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123090854.030197.141240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

---
N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
---
N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for nor against K1MAN but attends to raise some questions about the recent petition for deny for NO2K1MAN and the recent NAL from the FCC to K1MAN. The comments N9OGL is filing deals with Interference, pecuniary Interest, and Broadcasting. Some of the questions N9OGL is asking the FCC is "If K1MAN is interference is illegal (he begins in show on top of on going Communications, then why hasn't W1AW ever been complained about? It seems that amateur's are "picking on" his station, when in fact there is documents that W1AW has done the same thing. N9OGL also raises concerns over K1MAN pecuniary interest. Amateur Radio is a non-commercial service, yet unlike other NON-COMMERCIAL services aren't allowed to make any money, N9OGL want's to know the rational behind that. noncommercial broadcast stations are allowed to make money to sustain the station with contributions from listener, Public-Safety is given funds from the State and the federal government through taxes, which is used to by new radio's and other communications.
non-commercial often means in radio as a service that doesn't make a profit but get aid to sustain the station. K1MAN may have been using pecuniary interest "direct" but, at the same time W1AW which is owned by the ARRL is doing it "indirectly" the FCC rules state a station can't have any pecuniary interest direct or indirect. But when a short-wave listener hears W1AW they will go to internet and look it up and it will lead them to the ARRL website which sales all sort of good.
Finally N9OGL touches on K1MAN's information bulletin which amateur believe is a broadcast because it is opinionated. N9OGL want's the FCC to point out the rule that states an information bulletin cannot be opinionated, and secondly how does that rule conform to Section 326 of The Communication Act of 1934, and the MANY court cases that the federal courts, and even the Supreme Court has stated that the FCC can not have content-based rules. Because the vague rules and definitions on information bulletins and broadcasting in the amateur bands can be, and have been used by both amateurs, and The FCC to control the content of amateur radio stations, in clear violation of section 326 and the First Amendment.

## 304. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:42:01 UTC
From: Hambone the Magnificent <hambonelogbutt@arrlsucks.com>
Message-ID: <2a0ff$42f101e3$d1cc507d$29858@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 114655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:sPmdnYa2RJEPnWzfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> Hambone the Magnificent wrote:
> > Sorry folks, but I simply had to cross-post this gem of
> > a posting from rec.radio.cb to the ham radio groups.
>
> So you've just proved without a doubt that you are nothing but a troll.

....and you've proven that you don't have any sense of humor.

So now we're even - have a nifty day ! : )

"The lack of a sense of humor is a sure sign of impotence and/or insanity"
- Hunter S. Thompson

## 305. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 17:49:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.17.49.19.894506@gmail.com>
Source record: 114659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Scott:

Don't worry about it, and have a nice day...

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 13:36:23 -0400, Scott in Baltimore wrote:

> Hambone the Magnificent wrote:
>> Sorry folks, but I simply had to cross-post this gem of
>> a posting from rec.radio.cb to the ham radio groups.
>
> So you've just proved without a doubt that you are nothing but a troll.
>
> .:\:/:.
> +--------------------+ .:\:\:/:/:.
> | PLEASE DO NOT | :.:\:\:/:/:.:
> | FEED THE TROLLS! | :=.' - - '.=:
> | | '=(\ 9 9 /)='
> | Thank you, | ( (_) )
> | rec.radio.cb NG | /`-vvv-'\
> +--------------------+ / TROLL \
> | | @@@ / /|,,,,,|\ \
> | | @@@ /_// /^\ _\
> @x@@x@ | | |/ WW( ( ) )WW
> \|||||/ | | \| __\,,\ /,,/__
> \|||/ | | | (______Y______) jgs
> /\/\/\/\/\/\/\//\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\

# 306. Re: ATTN: KB3EIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 18:03:50 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcr0u6$rh4$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 114656

K4YZ wrote:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: Michael Coslo on Aug 2, 6:57 am
>
>
>>>But what would be the rationale of giving the priveliges of a class that
>>>tehy chose to remove (not test for, and eventually merge with
>>>Technician) earlier?
>>
>> Oh, my, all that SPECULATION and the "bearing down heavily!"
>>
>> WT Docket 05-235 is about ONE thing and ONE thing only: Delete
>> or retain the morse code test...(SNIP)
>
>
> Hey Mike...
>
> See what I was saying in another thread about certain posters
> always dragging any discussion into his realm, no matter what...?!?!

Yup.

Kinda like the Rush Limbaugh show. I listened for several months, and
then I knew exactly what he was going to say on any given topic. So I
didn't have to listen any more.

I still read every word the lad writes though. Then I simply choose not
to respond. Kinda like a zen revenge, I guess! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 307. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 18:16:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123092969.007768.130210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114657

Kim wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com...
> > Kim:
> >

cut
> Not at all. The idiots don't even do that. I am not sure there's any NC
> Techs on this newsgroup, either. I may be the lowest license class amateur
> here, and that is Tech+.

You are in error Kim there is one NC Tech present, myself
>
> Kim W5TIT

# 308. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 18:21:44 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0f22f1p36m966t0ukbg6v8f59p4ifao62g@4ax.com>
Source record: 114658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

Are you going to have a proofreader go over it or are you going to
send it in your usual grammar/spelling/syntax form as evidenced below?

It was too exhausting to find them all.

>-----------------------------------------------------------
>N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>-----------------------------------------------------------
>N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on
>the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for nor
>against K1MAN but **ATTENDS** to raise some questions about the recent
>petition for **DENY** for NO2K1MAN and the recent NAL from the FCC to
>K1MAN. The comments N9OGL **IS** filing **DEALS**als with Interference, pecuniary
>Interest, and Broadcasting. Some of the questions N9OGL is asking the
>FCC **IS** "If K1MAN **IS** interference is illegal (he begins in show on top
>of on going Communications, then why hasn't W1AW ever been complained
>about? It seems that amateur's are "picking on" his station, when in
>fact there **IS** documents that W1AW has done the same thing. N9OGL also
>raises concerns over K1MAN pecuniary interest. Amateur Radio is a
>non-commercial service, yet unlike other NON-COMMERCIAL services aren't
>allowed to make any money, N9OGL want's to know the **RATIONAL** behind
>that.**N**oncommercial broadcast stations are allowed to make money to
>sustain the station with contributions from listener**S**, Public-Safety is
>given funds from the State and the federal government through taxes,
>which **IS** used to by new **RADIO'S** and other communications.
>**N**on-commercial often means in radio as a service that doesn't make a
>profit but get aid to sustain the station. K1MAN may have been using
>pecuniary interest "direct" but, at the same time W1AW which is owned
>by the ARRL is doing it "indirectly" the FCC rules state a station
>can't have any pecuniary interest direct or indirect. But when a
>short-wave listener hears W1AW they will go to internet and look it up
>and it will lead them to the ARRL website which **SALES** all sort of good.**?????**
>Finally N9OGL touches on K1MAN's information bulletin which amateur **?????**
>believe is a broadcast because it is opinionated. N9OGL want's the FCC
>to point out the rule that states an information bulletin cannot be
>opinionated, and secondly how does that rule conform to Section 326 of
>The Communication Act of 1934, and the MANY court cases that the
>federal courts, and even the Supreme Court **HAS** stated that the FCC can
>not have content-based rules. Because the vague rules and definitions
>on information bulletins and broadcasting in the amateur bands can be,
>and have been used by both amateurs, and The FCC to control the content
>of amateur radio stations, in clear violation of section 326 and the

>First Amendment.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

# 309. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 18:56:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.18.56.25.63070@gmail.com>
Source record: 114660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd:

My gawd man, K1MAN is a GOD! He cannot be judged as a mere mortal!

If he wasn't posing such a danger to the "arrl enterprises, inc." he would probably have a write up in Forbes Magazine.

His best trait is throwing some light on the arrl and perhaps awakening the brain dead to the fact arrl needs a severe "hand slapping" and restructuring.

However, I think the sorry state of things is that those who already have the gray matter are already pi$$ed at the con job, the others will never know.

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 18:33:22 +0000, Lloyd wrote:

> On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>> N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on
>> the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for nor
>> against K1MAN but attends to raise some questions about the recent
>
> I attend too raise some questions also. K1MAN is a great man and
> a humanatarian who is missunderstood by the little pple. it would
> be a travestation if K1MAN isnt renewed and I will say so to them
> in a calm rationel manner when I write so that I dont sound like
> Dan or Steve do in rrap.

## 310. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 19:41:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123098089.253439.214440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> b.b.:
>
> They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
> code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
> computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
> suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
>
> Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
> translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
> to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
> speed! <grin>

personaly I have never had anyone but those in the know believe I was
sending via machine ( I would do that back in the days 13 wpm was
needed for real access to HF, after all anyine that can follow my
offhand typing at 13wpm can manage to pass any test at that speed

>
> I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
>
> John
>
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1123034920.210282.80730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> b.b.:
> >>
> >> Uhhh, we "code tape wizards" and "computer taught b*st*rds" are "out there"
> >> (more ways than one, I suppose), and if a code reader can't display it on
> >> the
> >> screen--we can probably live without those "words of wisdom."
> >>
> >> Art Bell said, "CW is dead!" If Art Bell (even more trustworthy than Santa
> >> and
> >> the New York Times!) says it, Victoria, it is true!
> >>
> >> John
> >
> > I have no problem with folks wanting to use CW. It just pisses me off
> > when they make claims of intentionally sending code so poorly that a
> > pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a code reader can't listen in.
> >

## 311. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 19:45:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123098327.517554.256900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114662

K4YZ wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> > I figured that I would reserve my comments for a place that had a
> > better chance of having an effect on the decision. That would be in
> > rrap! ;^)
>
> I gave up on RRAP being any usable place for ANY kind of debate
> other than flame throwing and frustration venting years ago, Mike.

then consider giving up your mudslinging

> There are occassional sidebars that are actually informative, but they
> are so few and far between, and usually usurped by yet another
> LennieRant as to make it ineffective as any discussion group.
>
> I've started a few threads on different policy issues before (as
> have others with sincere intent)only to have it drawn into Lennie's
> "It's a Might Morsemen Conspiracy" mode within a few posts...

haven't seen you start a thread related to radio, and that wasn't
simply a luddite rant

>
> So...the NG isn't good for what it was intended...might as well
> use it for what it is good for...
>
> Mudfight!

so says the senior mudslinger

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 312. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 21:01:34 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <s_qdna1svolurWzfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 114663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Allot of truckers and CBers do not need any echo box, their head is empty so
it comes naturally.

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.03.17.49.19.894506@gmail.com...
> Scott:
>
> Don't worry about it, and have a nice day...
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 13:36:23 -0400, Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>
>> Hambone the Magnificent wrote:
>>> Sorry folks, but I simply had to cross-post this gem of
>>> a posting from rec.radio.cb to the ham radio groups.
>>
>> So you've just proved without a doubt that you are nothing but a troll.
>>
>> .:\:/:.
>> +-------------------+ .:\:\:/:/:.
>> | PLEASE DO NOT | :.:\:\:/:/:.:
>> | FEED THE TROLLS! | :=.' - - '.=:
>> | | '=(\ 9 9 /)='
>> | Thank you, | ( (_) )
>> | rec.radio.cb NG | /`-vvv-'\
>> +-------------------+ / TROLL \
>> | | @@@ / /|,,,,,|\ \
>> | | @@@ /_// /^\ _\
>> @x@@x@ | | |/ WW( ( ) )WW
>> \||||/ | | \| __\,,\ /,,/__
>> \||/ | | | (______Y______) jgs
>> /\/\/\/\/\/\/\//\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\
>

## 313. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 21:20:20 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <b0d2f1da2tp3jire80a7p38ukkkjaufvat@4ax.com>
Source record: 114664

On 3 Aug 2005 03:15:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
>predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
>over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
>the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
>of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
>W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Soooooooooooo......
>
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?

Funny you should mention it. I filed mine today, as follows:

BEGIN QUOTED MATERIAL
**********

Mr. Chairman and Commissioners:

Following are my comments relative to proceeding WT 05-235, In the Matter of Amendment of Part of the Commission 's Rules To Implement WRC-03 Regulations Applicable to Requirements for Operator Licenses in the Amateur Radio Service.

There have been many arguments posed in favor of eliminating the telegraphy requirement for an Amateur Radio license. I see no reason for me to rehash all of them at this time. Simply stated, it is my considered opinion that continuing to test for Morse proficiency as a prerequisite for licensing in the Amateur radio service is not in the public interest. Furthermore, the requirement serves no useful regulatory purpose, and is not consistent with the goals for the Amateur Radio Service as stated in the Basis And Purpose section of the Commission's rules set forth in Part 97.

Therefore, I support the proposed rulemaking to the extent that it would remove the telegraphy requirement for all license classes in the Amateur Radio Service.

I would also like to comment on the matter of operator privileges to be assigned to the various license classes in the event that the telegraphy requirement is removed. In my opinion, the current written examinations for the Novice, Technician, and Technician-Plus license classes do not, in my opinion, insure sufficient knowledge to safely operate an amateur station consistent with the privileges of the General Class license. The material covered in the General Class written examination simply is not covered in the exams for the Novice, Technician, and Technician-Plus classes. Therefore, I concur with the Commission's proposal to retain operator privileges for those classes consistent with those currently in effect. I state this with the realization that subject to the further modification of those privileges that would result from the separate proceeding and NPRM regarding the Phone Band Expansion, licensees holding those classes of license stand to receive a significant gain in operating privileges. I do not feel it is in the public interest to grant automatic upgrades to higher license classes without requiring applicants for those higher license classes to pass the appropriate written examinations. I also do not see a need for adding any new classes of amateur radio license. The reorganization of the Amateur Radio Service that took effect in the year 2000 has already dealt properly with the number of available license classes.

Finally, I agree with the Commission's proposal to refrain from enacting any rules changes intended to more closely regulate the content of the written examinations. Applicants who pass one or more written examinations qualify for either a new license, or an upgrade to a higher class of license. As noted by the Commission in the NPRM, the purpose of the written examination is to insure that the applicant can safely operate a station. The written examinations are not, and were never intended to be, tests of an applicant's technical level or operating skills, other than those necessary to safely operate an amateur station while said applicant is developing his or her technical and operating skills. In other words, passing the exam is the beginning, not the end. Successful completion of the examination causes the applicant to be granted a license, which bestows certain privileges depending on the class of license that is granted. It is what the applicant does with those privileges after they are granted that demonstrates his or her technical level and operating skills. In fact, it occurs to me that, if one were required to be an electronics expert prior to obtaining an amateur license, then participation in the Amateur Radio Service would not be a viable means of training skilled operators and advancing the technical skills of those operators. This would not be in keeping with the Basis And Purpose of the Amateur Radio Service.

In closing, then, I find myself in complete agreement with the proposed changes to the Commission's rules as stated in the NPRM. I would like to express my appreciation to the Commission for it's attention to this matter, and also, in no small part, for having "gotten it right" so to speak. While I am sure that this proposed rulemaking will generate considerable distress for some, I can say as

the current holder of a General Class Amateur License that the
Commission's proposed action on this matter is in the public interest
and is in keeping with the Basis And Purpose of the Amateur Radio
Service.

Thanks you for the opportunity to participate in the regulatory
process governing the Amateur Radio Service. I look forward to the
Commission's eventual Report & Order on this matter.

Sincerely,

John D. Kasupski, KC2HMZ
Tonawanda, New York

**********
END QUOTED MATERIAL

If anybody is having trouble with the ECFS telling you the matter is
not open for commenting, be advised you cannot enter "WT05-235" in the
"Proceeding" field on the cover sheet. Just enter "05-235" without any
WT or FCC or anything else and it should work just fine.

73 de John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

## 314. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 21:32:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050803173238.971$Bt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 114665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> wrote:
> I do not need any echo box, my head is empty
> so it comes naturally.
>
Impressive.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

## 315. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 21:50:01 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <O4OTI2Q538567.9930671296@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 114666

In article <879912017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" <l...@no.spam> wrote:

> I attend too raise some questions also. K1MAN is a great man
and
> a humanatarian who is missunderstood by the little pple. it
would
> be a travestation if K1MAN isnt renewed and I will say so to
them
> in a calm rationel manner when I write so that I dont sound
like
> Dan or Steve do in rrap.

You should've "intended" to learn proper English, too, Porky!

## 316. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:23:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Ttble.3521$j21.1750@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 114667

I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the imagination.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

## 317. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:29:31 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <fzbIe.3522$j21.1476@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 114668

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Soooooooooooo......
>
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Hello, Steve

At age 58 and having lost my job in early 2004 (have had a couple of contract jobs), I've been *very* busy. To the point of not being nearly as involved as I should be with a number of Yahoo groups, some of which I moderate.

I am not really concerned about Morse code; only the arrival of folks that simply want to scream the loudest (with a big splatterbox). Others are trainable.

I've gotten to the point around here that if someone parks near my house with one of those ghetto-blasters running in their car or van, I open my windows and turn the entertainment system on pretty hard (6 Bose speakers and several hundred watts). Last time, the idiot turned of the car stereo and I had four pictures to pick up off the floor after shaking the whole

house.

So, if I can manage to find a decent, permanent job, my next move will be to get a decent HF rig and make sure the thing is set to cw regardless of the frequency (60 meters excepted LOL).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

## 318. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:32:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <rCbIe.3072$cg.601@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 114669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050803173238.971$Bt@newsreader.com...
> "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> wrote:
> > I do not need any echo box, my head is empty
> > so it comes naturally.
> >
> Impressive.
>
>

Nice shot, Mopar ;)

Good thing I checked the newsgroups in your post :)))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

## 319. Re: 3.840 was a riot earty this morning!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:34:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <IDbIe.3073$cg.1188@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 114670

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.02.16.24.46.830152@gmail.com...
> Early in the morning turned on the rig beside the bed. One lone guy
> (probably a newbie) defending himself against a large band of sharks as
> feed here. It kept me in stitches, he did excellent!
>
> The CB'ers have arrived, and 3.840 bears watching (even sneak in and have
> a bit of fun with 'em, well, maybe! <grin>)
>
> John
>

John,

CW worked wonders against idiots for me in the 60s; it can do as well,
despite its' age, in the 21st century :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 320. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:50:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cTbIe.2599$ns.895@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jealous eh Lllllloooooyyyyyddddd ????

How you coming with that free General?

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:879912017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>> N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on
>> the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for nor
>> against K1MAN but attends to raise some questions about the recent
>
> I attend too raise some questions also. K1MAN is a great man and
> a humanatarian who is missunderstood by the little pple. it would
> be a travestation if K1MAN isnt renewed and I will say so to them
> in a calm rationel manner when I write so that I dont sound like
> Dan or Steve do in rrap.

## 321. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:51:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TTble.2600$ns.1172@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So that's why MAN plays the ARRL bulletins on his show, eh?

Dan/W4NTI
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.03.18.56.25.63070@gmail.com...
>
> Lloyd:
>
> My gawd man, K1MAN is a GOD! He cannot be judged as a mere mortal!
>
> If he wasn't posing such a danger to the "arrl enterprises, inc." he would
> probably have a write up in Forbes Magazine.
>
> His best trait is throwing some light on the arrl and perhaps awakening
> the brain dead to the fact arrl needs a severe "hand slapping" and
> restructuring.
>
> However, I think the sorry state of things is that those who already have
> the gray matter are already pi$$ed at the con job, the others will never
> know.
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 18:33:22 +0000, Lloyd wrote:
>
>> On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>>> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>>> N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on
>>> the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for nor
>>> against K1MAN but attends to raise some questions about the recent
>>
>> I attend too raise some questions also. K1MAN is a great man and
>> a humanatarian who is missunderstood by the little pple. it would
>> be a travestation if K1MAN isnt renewed and I will say so to them
>> in a calm rationel manner when I write so that I dont sound like
>> Dan or Steve do in rrap.
>

## 322. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:52:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lUbIe.246$Wi6.60@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114672

an_old_friend wrote:

> is is me means nothing

Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.

>
> nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> jerk not a bully.

Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.

Dave K8MN

## 323. Re: 3.840 was a riot earty this morning!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:54:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.22.53.00.485920@gmail.com>
Source record: 114674

Jim:

Oh yeah, the CW was flying there--more furious than chickens feeding in a tin trough, you could copy the comments in CW at the same time you were following the phone. It was some confusion all right, you didn't know which to follow more closely, cw or phone, they were both quite entertaining... it really never got heavy enough to have to cut in the cw filter and drop the morse... the guy they were beating up on was a newbie, the comments in code had me in stitches... the newbie would only once in awhile get that conversation... it all ended on a lower pitch than tempers had peaked at...

I haven't dropped in on arts' little corner in quite awhile, now I am going back every night at ~10:30 PM PST and copying the mail, art hasn't shown on the nights I have been there--during the times I have been there, it is much more interesting when the master himself is present...

If you can't sleep, check it out, couple of nights now the goings-on have been entertaining enough to keep your interest--and then some!

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:34:16 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.02.16.24.46.830152@gmail.com...
>> Early in the morning turned on the rig beside the bed. One lone guy
>> (probably a newbie) defending himself against a large band of sharks as
>> feed here. It kept me in stitches, he did excellent!
>>
>> The CB'ers have arrived, and 3.840 bears watching (even sneak in and have
>> a bit of fun with 'em, well, maybe! <grin>)
>>
>> John
>>
>
> John,
>
> CW worked wonders against idiots for me in the 60s; it can do as well,
> despite its' age, in the 21st century :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

## 324. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:56:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050803185639.534$oW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 114675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050803173238.971$Bt@newsreader.com...
> > "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> wrote:
> > > I do not need any echo box, my head is empty
> > > so it comes naturally.
> > >
> > Impressive.
> >
> >
>
> Nice shot, Mopar ;)
>
> Good thing I checked the newsgroups in your post :)))
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
Hi Jim. He has his own group. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

## 325. Re: The first echo box.... ( plus AN IDEA FOR HAMS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 22:56:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.22.56.53.212455@gmail.com>
Source record: 114706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim:

If they always add a cross post thread to their post, I almost never remove it, heck, if the guy thinks that much about my posts he wants to share them with the world, why stop 'em. I even let mine go to the the topposting."something-wits", what the heck! <grin>

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:32:55 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050803173238.971$Bt@newsreader.com...
>> "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> wrote:
>> > I do not need any echo box, my head is empty
>> > so it comes naturally.
>> >
>> Impressive.
>>
>>
>
> Nice shot, Mopar ;)
>
> Good thing I checked the newsgroups in your post :)))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

## 326. Re: stevie assume or lies about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:01:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <W0cIe.251$Wi6.204@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114676

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:

>>>you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul
>>
>> I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
>>I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....
>
>
> nope
>
> the more a person goes on about it the more likely they are concealing
> homosexaul desires

> simple facts

It is a simple fact, Mark, but not the one you present. The fact would be that homosexuals often accuse their opponents of being 1) homosexual or b) homophobes (a vey inaccurate term sine it implies fear, rather than disgust or revulsion).

> and of course I know more than you in this matter by your words
>
> You are repressing homosexaul desires that is certain from your
> writing, ref Dr Kinsey the standard in the feild

Is that the same Doctor Kinsey who was repressing his own homosexual desires? Some standard! We have a homosexual who is repressing homosexual desires, stating that those who deny being homosexual are simply repressing their homosexual desires.

To steer the matter back to the world of amateur radio, Mark, let me add that I continue to prefer a straight key.

Dave K8MN

## 327. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:04:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I3cIe.255$Wi6.213@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114677

an_old_friend wrote:
> my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course
>
> To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
> likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly

I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish the stuff you intend to post here?

Dave K8MN

## 328. Re: 3.840 was a riot earty this morning!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:05:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <d5cle.3523$j21.1635@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 114679

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.03.22.53.00.485920@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> Oh yeah, the CW was flying there--more furious than chickens feeding in a
> tin trough, you could copy the comments in CW at the same time you were
> following the phone. It was some confusion all right, you didn't know
> which to follow more closely, cw or phone, they were both quite
> entertaining... it really never got heavy enough to have to cut in the cw
> filter and drop the morse... the guy they were beating up on was a
> newbie, the comments in code had me in stitches... the newbie would only
> once in awhile get that conversation... it all ended on a lower pitch than
> tempers had peaked at...
>
> I haven't dropped in on arts' little corner in quite awhile, now I am
> going back every night at ~10:30 PM PST and copying the mail, art hasn't
> shown on the nights I have been there--during the times I have been there,
> it is much more interesting when the master himself is present...
>
> If you can't sleep, check it out, couple of nights now the goings-on have
> been entertaining enough to keep your interest--and then some!
>
> John
>

John,

The object isn't to listen to the phone. You put a 200 Hz filter in there (or tighter) and you won't even hear the phone (am, ssb, whatever). You can chat right along with no interference from them whilst they get their panties in a knot. W2OY sure had his panties in a knot when my poor 75 watts of CW kept right on chatting with an Ohio station, despite Mike (W2OY) having a *lot* more power. I never heard Mike, except once in a while when I opened the receiver bandwidth up just to hear him screaming to "take those toys down into the cw band where they belong". If they can continue, so be it. They won't, however, likey interrupt the cw chat.

The other station and I chatted for a good half hour on cw. At 40 words per minute, one can pass a lot of information besides rst, qth, rig, etc. We actually had a nice chat. That's about as fast as most folks go using the keyboard in a chat. Quite sufficient. I could have done more and he probably could too, but 50 would have been pushing me pretty hard; 40 was comfortable.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 329. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:06:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <v5cle.2603$ns.601@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114678

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:PcudnQgxtY9qkm3fRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>
> Yeah I think we won't see any major changes. There will be a noticeable
blip in upgrades but that's about it. Actually the fact that it is being
implemented at the time of a sunspot minimum means we are at risk of losing
hams as they upgrade, find the HF bands difficult and then drop out. We'll
really need to do some exra Elmering to help the new people and keep them in
the hobby.
>
Maybe we should ask NASA to launch ECHO again, but make it miles and miles
wide, just to reflect HF signals. That way they will have perfect
propagation and make life so much easier for the poor babies.

Dan/W4NTI

## 330. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:12:22 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123110741.940335.109220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114680

K4YZ wrote:
> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Sooooooooooo......

I'm sooooooooooooo suprised that you bothered. A uniform is not
required for comment.

> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?

Ask after the closing date.

> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...

What did the ARRL ay? Will they sell books anyway?

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

72.125, bb

## 331. Re: 3.840 was a riot earty this morning!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:20:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.23.19.58.862935@gmail.com>
Source record: 114690

Jim:

Yeah, I have state of the art here, can choose to drop either phone or cw...

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 23:05:45 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.03.22.53.00.485920@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Oh yeah, the CW was flying there--more furious than chickens feeding in a
>> tin trough, you could copy the comments in CW at the same time you were
>> following the phone. It was some confusion all right, you didn't know
>> which to follow more closely, cw or phone, they were both quite
>> entertaining... it really never got heavy enough to have to cut in the cw
>> filter and drop the morse... the guy they were beating up on was a
>> newbie, the comments in code had me in stitches... the newbie would only
>> once in awhile get that conversation... it all ended on a lower pitch than
>> tempers had peaked at...
>>
>> I haven't dropped in on arts' little corner in quite awhile, now I am
>> going back every night at ~10:30 PM PST and copying the mail, art hasn't
>> shown on the nights I have been there--during the times I have been there,
>> it is much more interesting when the master himself is present...
>>
>> If you can't sleep, check it out, couple of nights now the goings-on have
>> been entertaining enough to keep your interest--and then some!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> John,
>
> The object isn't to listen to the phone. You put a 200 Hz filter in there
> (or tighter) and you won't even hear the phone (am, ssb, whatever). You can
> chat right along with no interference from them whilst they get their
> panties in a knot. W2OY sure had his panties in a knot when my poor 75
> watts of CW kept right on chatting with an Ohio station, despite Mike (W2OY)
> having a *lot* more power. I never heard Mike, except once in a while when
> I opened the receiver bandwidth up just to hear him screaming to "take those
> toys down into the cw band where they belong". If they can continue, so be
> it. They won't, however, likey interrupt the cw chat.

>
> The other station and I chatted for a good half hour on cw. At 40 words per
> minute, one can pass a lot of information besides rst, qth, rig, etc. We
> actually had a nice chat. That's about as fast as most folks go using the
> keyboard in a chat. Quite sufficient. I could have done more and he
> probably could too, but 50 would have been pushing me pretty hard; 40 was
> comfortable.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

## 332. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:22:35 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123111355.427610.232000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114681

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Well, well, well.....................
> > After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at
> > Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-
> > regular
> > of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
> >
> > Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA,
> > K3LT,
> > W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
> >
>
> Steve,
>
> W5DXP, K3LT, WK3C, and W1RFI haven't posted to rrap in a long time.
>
> Cecil decided to focus on antennas soon after the 2000 restructuring.
> He said in here that 5 wpm was the right level,
> he resigned his NCI membership and went on to other things.
>
> Larry's work and other interests caused him to spend his time
> differently.
>
> Carl has shown up once or twice but his main focuses have been
> his job, house, anti-BPL and NCI duties. Heck, he was so busy it
> took him a year to get his Extra and vanity call.
>
> Ed has been focused on fighting BPL. He's also an ARRL staffer, so he
> may prefer not to even give the appearance of a conflict.

Smart boy.

> > Soooooooooooo......
> >
> > Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> It's only been a few days. There are weeks to go yet.

K4YZ demands immediate replies!!!

> Look at the responses - most of them are "Brief Comments", meaning a
> short yea or nay. Many are embarrassing in their
> poor spelling, grammar, capitalization and punctuation. Better
> to spend some time proofreading and polishing.

Making fun of the dyslexic?

> There's also the perception some have that the whole thing may be a
> done deal already.

It was a done deal in the 70's. The gov't just works vewwy, vewwy
swoly.

> Suppose the majority of commenters want code testing to stay,

Huh??? Where did you get that hair-brained idea? Who redefined
"majority???"

either as
> it is now or maybe just for Extra.

Or maybe just for wishful thinking...

Will that make any difference at all
> to what FCC does? Back in 1999, a member of NCI read and tallied up the
> comments and found that the *majority*
> wanted either two or three code test speeds. Yet FCC went to 5 wpm
> only.

Hi!!!

Now you think the FCC will reverse itself after releasing this NPRM?

Hi, hi, hi!!!

> > On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a
> > word
> > either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the
> > other...
> >
> Almost everything NCVEC wanted in their second petition was denied.

Ditto N2EY requests...

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 313
>
> btw, you missed the biggest hoot in Len's comments - the part where he
> says he offers an "objective" view...AS IF!

Looks objective to me. No toob equipment.

## 333. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:24:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.23.24.04.118736@gmail.com>
Source record: 114691

Dave:

Yeah, I am always so hung up on spelling and grammer I can't focus unless it is perfect... there are both meds and psyche help for it, I hope those who can't cope with this severe disability on their own avail themselves of what is available...

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 23:04:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>> my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course
>>
>> To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
>> likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly
>
> I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a
> number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish
> the stuff you intend to post here?
>
> Dave K8MN

## 334. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:26:45 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123111605.144911.285570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114682

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Well, well, well.....................
> > > After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at
> > > Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-
> > > regular
> > > of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
> > >
> > > Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA,
> > > K3LT,
> > > W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
> > >
> >
> > Steve,
> >
> > W5DXP, K3LT, WK3C, and W1RFI haven't posted to rrap in a long time.
>
> I know.
>
> Does that mean they no longer take a proactive role in Amateur
> Radio policy issues?
>
> > Cecil decided to focus on antennas soon after the 2000 restructuring.
> > He said in here that 5 wpm was the right level,
> > he resigned his NCI membership and went on to other things.
> >
> > Larry's work and other interests caused him to spend his time
> > differently.
> >
> > Carl has shown up once or twice but his main focuses have been
> > his job, house, anti-BPL and NCI duties. Heck, he was so busy it
> > took him a year to get his Extra and vanity call.
> >
> > Ed has been focused on fighting BPL. He's also an ARRL staffer, so he
> > may prefer not to even give the appearance of a conflict.
>
> All fine gentlemen and pursuits.
>
> > > Soooooooooooo......
> > >
> > > Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
> >
> > It's only been a few days. There are weeks to go yet.

> >
> > Look at the responses - most of them are "Brief Comments", meaning a
> > short yea or nay. Many are embarrassing in their
> > poor spelling, grammar, capitalization and punctuation. Better
> > to spend some time proofreading and polishing.
> >
> > There's also the perception some have that the whole thing may be a
> > done deal already.
> >
> > Suppose the majority of commenters want code testing to stay, either as
> > it is now or maybe just for Extra. Will that make any difference at all
> > to what FCC does? Back in 1999, a member of NCI read and tallied up the
> > comments and found that the *majority*
> > wanted either two or three code test speeds. Yet FCC went to 5 wpm
> > only.
>
> > > On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a
> > > word
> > > either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the
> > > other...
>
> > Almost everything NCVEC wanted in their second petition was denied.
>
> But Gordo and The Fred will still sell books.
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > 313
> >
> > btw, you missed the biggest hoot in Len's comments - the part where he
> > says he offers an "objective" view...AS IF!
>
> Like I said...too bad there's noit a penalty for perjury in those
> comments...Page 1 would have got him in HAC right off the bat.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Now Steve libels Len... Who's next???

## 335. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:27:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.03.23.27.01.916135@gmail.com>
Source record: 114689

b.b.:

Isn't it like, "Why bother?"

Either the USA at least joins NCI belatedly, or else displays a psychotic need to fight progress... this has to be a given... religious zealots can never see the insanity in themselves, just others...

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 16:12:22 -0700, b.b. wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Well, well, well.....................
>>
>> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
>> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
>> over some of the other comments.
>>
>> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
>> the rest of you.
>>
>> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
>> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>>
>> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
>> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>>
>> Sooooooooooo......
>
> I'm sooooooooooooo suprised that you bothered. A uniform is not
> required for comment.
>
>> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> Ask after the closing date.
>
>> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
>> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...
>
> What did the ARRL ay? Will they sell books anyway?
>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

>
> 72.125, bb

## 336. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:28:33 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123111712.977464.60150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114683

K4YZ wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> > I figured that I would reserve my comments for a place that had a
> > better chance of having an effect on the decision. That would be in
> > rrap! ;^)
>
> I gave up on RRAP being any usable place for ANY kind of debate

No kidding? You're the #1 problem.

## 337. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:30:51 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123111851.025922.76870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114684

Kim wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Q04Ie.2359$ns.873@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > Well, well, well.....................
> > >
> > > After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> > > predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> > > over some of the other comments.
> > >
> > > Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> > > the rest of you.
> > >
> > > Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> > > of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
> > >
> > > Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> > > W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
> > >
> > > Soooooooooooo......
> >
> > We do have 60 days from when the NPRM is printed in the Federal
> > Register to comment.
> >
> > > Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
> > >
> > > On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> > > either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...
> >
> > You'll probably only see Fred's comments via the NCVEC comment
> > when that gets agreed to within the NCVEC groups.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
> >
>
> Well, Bill. I am not sure that a kind of public indictment of people who've
> not chosen to submit comments to something is all that democratic ( :o);
> but...
>
> I am, admittedly, a ham who is not all that active. I also *used* to be

> more affected emotionally by issues of CW and ham traditions than I am now.
> Maybe chalk that up to having spouted off to people like Larry, etc., for
> long enough that I've lost sail...LOL I have opinions on the service, the
> hobby, etc. But, I choose not to try to "affect" ham radio by way of my
> comments to NPRMs (that is, unless there was some kind of something that
> truly affected me emotionally) in things that I am OK with going either way
> and this one, I am. I think it's probably something along the lines of
> consensus. I may have an opinion of my own, but I am willing to step aside
> and yield to the prevailing group.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, don't yield to these sandbaggers. Post your comments to the FCC.
bb

## 338. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:35:53 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123112153.667026.275780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114685

K4YZ wrote:
> Here's my submission to the FCC.
>
> Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
> other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
>
> 73

KMA

> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,

Try treating your fellow hams like ladies and gentlemen, Steve.

Your 1,000+ comments each month treat people like dirt.

## 339. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:45:16 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123112716.421966.5410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114687

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > is is me means nothing
>
> Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
>
> >
> > nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> > doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> > jerk not a bully.
>
> Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
> presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious
> handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
> who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
> Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.
>
> Dave K8MN

Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
holiday???

## 340. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:46:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123112778.798950.73940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114686

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course
> >
> > To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
> > likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly
>
> I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a
> number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish
> the stuff you intend to post here?

you want to pay the bill? you are being mighty generous with my money
there Dave

and the typists do only part of the work of course

and when you going to use em yourself "..spelling and grammar and
important.."

>
> Dave K8MN

## 341. Re: stevie assume or lies about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:52:44 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123113164.130012.141400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114688

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
> >>>you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul
> >>
> >> I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
> >>I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....
> >
> > nope
> >
> > the more a person goes on about it the more likely they are concealing
> > homosexaul desires
>
> > simple facts
>
> It is a simple fact, Mark, but not the one you present. The fact would
> be that homosexuals often accuse their opponents of being 1) homosexual
> or b) homophobes (a vey inaccurate term sine it implies fear, rather
> than disgust or revulsion).

What is the disgust or revulsion of a certain wanting to be a world
renowned DXer working out of band French Hams on 6M called?
```

## 342. Re: stevie assume or lies about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-03 23:55:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123113332.457097.212560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114692

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
> >>>you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul
> >>
> >> I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
> >>I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....
> >
> >
> > nope
> >
> > the more a person goes on about it the more likely they are concealing
> > homosexaul desires
>
> > simple facts
>
> It is a simple fact, Mark, but not the one you present. <cut>

awfully confusing here you agreeing with what I am saying presenting
the rest or what

<cut> The fact would
> be that homosexuals often accuse their opponents of being 1) homosexual
> or b) homophobes <cut>

true enough, but esp the later tneds to be most common

<cut>(a vey inaccurate term sine it implies fear, rather
> than disgust or revulsion).

three comments here

one you get your own linguistic house in order before casting stones at
others

two, you (and Steve) object if I don't use use terms as they are
generaly used so get over it I am following convention

three the terms is pretty good good since fear disugst and revulsion
are pretty much the same thing, provoking the same reaction

>
> > and of course I know more than you in this matter by your words
> >
> > You are repressing homosexaul desires that is certain from your
> > writing, ref Dr Kinsey the standard in the feild
>
> Is that the same Doctor Kinsey who was repressing his own homosexual
> desires? Some standard! We have a homosexual who is repressing
> homosexual desires, stating that those who deny being homosexual are
> simply repressing their homosexual desires.

don't know your sourcing for this but if true then Kinsey would
certainly know his stuff on the subject

everything I have read though suggests that Kinsey was simply bisexaul

>
> To steer the matter back to the world of amateur radio, Mark, let me add
> that I continue to prefer a straight key.

funnier than normal for you
>
> Dave K8MN

## 343. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:00:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123113642.502860.54220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114693

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > is is me means nothing
>
> Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.

but of course you cut the context again more of your baseless attacks

cuting junk

>
> Dave K8MN

guess he is offended at not being called a bully

## 344. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:01:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123113698.196028.229960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114694

Jim Hampton wrote:
> I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> imagination.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM

# 345. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:03:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123113813.088464.322490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114695

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Yeah, I am always so hung up on spelling and grammer I can't focus unless
> it is perfect... there are both meds and psyche help for it, I hope those
> who can't cope with this severe disability on their own avail themselves
> of what is available...

what is realy amazing that if I typed in Morse I am sure theyd mange to
get all of it no problem
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 23:04:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course
> >>
> >> To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
> >> likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly
> > >
> > > I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a
> > > number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish
> > > the stuff you intend to post here?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN

## 346. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:03:40 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123113820.354398.56290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > It just pisses me off when they make claims of
> > intentionally sending code so poorly that a
> > pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a code
> > reader can't listen in.
>
>
> Give it up Willy Weeper. "They" (a single guy)

And a whole bunch of hanger's on...

> who said that is long since dead,

The actuarial tables offer no apology.

> but you keep writing jeremiads on a topic that no living soul remains to
> support.

If Dick were alive, a dozen amateurs of low intelligence would jump in
to support him.

> And why is it that you continue to hijack my satirical character, Billy Beeper?
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
>
> (Apologies to Kurt Vonnegut)

Continue??? You were asked if you wanted him back, and I would gladly
return him to his rightful owner. You didn't reply.

Are you asking now? If so, do it plainly so that I do not mistake if
for just more Extra-class "stuff."

With the mostest kindest regards, Billy Beeper

> To all external appearances, Farnsworth Corners, U.S.A., is bright, cheerful,
> and happy. However, in dark corners of the city lurk Godless Nocoders who seek
> to undermine the moral fabric of our mighty Nation.
>
> In a dirty basement apartment, an underfed, seedy-looking old man wearing small,
> round spectacles and threadbare clothes, types furiously at a musty desk
> illumined only by a single, naked bulb. As the pages fly through his typewriter,
> an evil plan gradually emerges: a treacherous treatise which threatens the

> well-being of the upright citizens of Farnsworth Corners. The work is completed,
> and the old man leans back thoughtfully and smiles as he rubs the three-day
> stubble on his chin. The time has come for the Hammer of Leonard to strike!
>
> Some weeks later, in another part of town, little Billy Beeper walks home from
> school. Suddenly he hears a voice right next to his ear.
>
> "Psst! Hey, kid! Would you like to try my 2M HT? It's free!"
>
> Billy's eyes open wide as he faces the stranger. An embroidered patch on the
> mans soiled jumpsuit reads "Codefree Charlie". "Gosh, no! N2EY told me never to
> touch a radio which didn't beep!"
>
> "Aw, c'mon!" says the old man. "I only wanna be friends with you!"
>
> "Well, I don't know," replies Billy. "I was told that FM was bad for you!"
>
> "That's just what the grown-ups say to scare you!" says the drooling man.
>
> "The truth is that they don't want you to try it 'cause it'll make you grow up
> faster and be able to smoke cigarettes and drink liquor just like them!"
>
> Billy is hesitant, but suddenly a tall form looms before them and grabs the
> ruffian by the collar.
>
> "Golly!" exclaims Billy. "It's Captain Code!"
>
> Yes, Readers, it's Captain Code: faster than a Vibroplex Blue Racer, more
> powerful than an Alpha three-holer, able to leap tall pileups in a single bound.
>
> "You should be ashamed of yourself, old man," says Captain Code to the hoodlum.
> "There are far better ways to earn money than to hoodwink innocent children into
> a life of codelessness. It's to the FCC for you!
>
> "And as for you, young friend, take my advice and stay away from strangers, and
> believe nothing that they say. It's tragic, but until Godless Nocodism is
> abolished from the world, there will always be those who would like nothing
> better than to hurt you ."
>
> "Gee, thanks, Captain Code!" says Billy. "I promise that I'll always listen to
> Mom and Dad, and I'll keep away from strangers with shacks on their belt!"

# 347. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:05:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123113926.935957.36990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I hope you were not expect Contructive coment from this bunch

N9OGL wrote:
> ----------
> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
> ----------
> N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on
> the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for nor
> against K1MAN but attends to raise some questions about the recent
> petition for deny for NO2K1MAN and the recent NAL from the FCC to
> K1MAN. The comments N9OGL is filing deals with Interference, pecuniary
> Interest, and Broadcasting. Some of the questions N9OGL is asking the
> FCC is "If K1MAN is interference is illegal (he begins in show on top
> of on going Communications, then why hasn't W1AW ever been complained
> about? It seems that amateur's are "picking on" his station, when in
> fact there is documents that W1AW has done the same thing. N9OGL also
> raises concerns over K1MAN pecuniary interest. Amateur Radio is a
> non-commercial service, yet unlike other NON-COMMERCIAL services aren't
> allowed to make any money, N9OGL want's to know the rational behind
> that. noncommercial broadcast stations are allowed to make money to
> sustain the station with contributions from listener, Public-Safety is
> given funds from the State and the federal government through taxes,
> which is used to by new radio's and other communications.
> non-commercial often means in radio as a service that doesn't make a
> profit but get aid to sustain the station. K1MAN may have been using
> pecuniary interest "direct" but, at the same time W1AW which is owned
> by the ARRL is doing it "indirectly" the FCC rules state a station
> can't have any pecuniary interest direct or indirect. But when a
> short-wave listener hears W1AW they will go to internet and look it up
> and it will lead them to the ARRL website which sales all sort of good.
> Finally N9OGL touches on K1MAN's information bulletin which amateur
> believe is a broadcast because it is opinionated. N9OGL want's the FCC
> to point out the rule that states an information bulletin cannot be
> opinionated, and secondly how does that rule conform to Section 326 of
> The Communication Act of 1934, and the MANY court cases that the
> federal courts, and even the Supreme Court has stated that the FCC can
> not have content-based rules. Because the vague rules and definitions
> on information bulletins and broadcasting in the amateur bands can be,
> and have been used by both amateurs, and The FCC to control the content
> of amateur radio stations, in clear violation of section 326 and the
> First Amendment.

not a bad set of coment over all

## 348. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:11:28 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123114288.379383.100230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114698

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > Where's Quitefine when you need a perfectly anonymous comment?
>
> Where's the scoop on unlicensed devices having a "major role" in
> emergency communications?

Where's those 7 hostile actions?

> Where did you get "ARES won't respond in an emergency" from?

Where's that "A" NCOIC letter of appointment?

> Who gave you permission to operate from a UN controlled zone?

Where's that disability check going?

# 349. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:11:52 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <c3dIe.5538$6D5.2134@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114699

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123092969.007768.130210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Kim wrote:
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:ETYHe.248$7C7.8801313@news.sisna.com...
> > > Kim:
> > >
>
> cut
> > Not at all. The idiots don't even do that. I am not sure there's any
NC
> > Techs on this newsgroup, either. I may be the lowest license class
amateur
> > here, and that is Tech+.
>
> You are in error Kim there is one NC Tech present, myself
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>

Well, I'll be derned! :)

Kim W5TIT

## 350. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:17:07 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <78dIe.5560$6D5.1288@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114700

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123112778.798950.73940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course
> > >
> > > To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
> > > likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly
> >
> > I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a
> > number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish
> > the stuff you intend to post here?
>
> you want to pay the bill? you are being mighty generous with my money
> there Dave
>
> and the typists do only part of the work of course
>
>
> and when you going to use em yourself "..spelling and grammar and
> important.."
>
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>

ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!

Y'all just need to learn we are all hooman....

Kim W5TIT

## 351. Re: DeShawn is speaking for Steve Robeson/K4YZ??? Mark's suspicions are correct...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:20:18 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123114818.573727.34850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114701

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > DeShawn wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > questions aimed at you.
> > >
> > Who's "ME?" Dat you, Steve?
> >
> Ebonics don't suit you, Brain.

Juss like bigot Steve makin funn ov ESL.

> > > THAT is "evasion".
> > > >
> > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > >
> > Who'se accusations? Steve's or DeShawn's?
> >
> Whoever "DeShawn" is doesn't amtter, Brain.

Ammtter's to me, Steve.

> Are you having trouble following the attributes?

Are you having trubble memberin who you is?

> > > You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to
> > > specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.
> > > >
> > > So here it is AGAIN.
> > >
> > Mark never accused DeShawn of anything. Why you say he did?
> >
> Still having problems following the attributes, Brain?

Still? No, just this time. Who is this DeShawn speaking as if he were
you?

> Hitting the Geritol before you gt on to RRAP, Brain?

You sound a might touchy about this DeShawn feller. Does he wear a
dress, too?

> > Who is dis DeShawn?
>
> Who is b.b....???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Indeed. Mark's suspicions are correct!

## 352. Re: Markie Flattering Himself Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:30:37 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123115437.374530.55790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114702

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > All his knuckles are painful open wounds. No doubt he blames all
> > > > > > > his own knuckle-biting on us...:-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He is to be pitied.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not by you, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > by all of us
> > > >
> > > By "all of us" I assume you only mean you, Brain and Lennie.
> > >
> > and by hans and dave and ebveryone else
> > >
> > ASS-ume stevie
> >
> I guess you weren't reading very closely, Markie.
>
> I didn't "assume" anything.

SOS, nobody reads closely except Steve.

> > > As if the three of you "mattered"...?!?!
> > >
> > obviously we do esp to you
> >
> Nope.

We are the foci of 99% of his attention, yet we don't matter! Hi!!!

Pull the other one.

## 353. Re: DeShawn is speaking for Steve Robeson/K4YZ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:38:57 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123115937.250605.287500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114703

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > DeShawn wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > > questions aimed at you.
> > > >
> > > Who's "ME?" Dat you, Steve?
> > >
> > Ebonics don't suit you, Brain.
> >
> well it was another thing to be tired in getting though your think
> skull

Thick skull. Steve is smarter than he lets on.

> > > > THAT is "evasion".
> > > >
> > > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > > >
> > > Who'se accusations? Steve's or DeShawn's?
> > >
> > Whoever "DeShawn" is doesn't amtter, Brain.
> >
> I agree it doesn't amtter. it might matter however esp if DeShawn is
> you

That's my best guess. Steve prides hisself on never having been
ANONymous. Yet here he is telling everyone to forget what they saw.

I think he's a lying SOS, and this is the proof.

> > Are you having trouble following the attributes?
> >
> > > > You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to
> > > > specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.
> > > >
> > > > So here it is AGAIN.
> > >
> > > Mark never accused DeShawn of anything. Why you say he did?
> > >
> > Still having problems following the attributes, Brain?
> >
> > Hitting the Geritol before you gt on to RRAP, Brain?

> >
> > > Who is dis DeShawn?
> >
> > Who is b.b....???
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> what is Stevie?
>
> Better not to know

Indeed!

## 354. Re: Mark Morgan is an Insufferable Creep, But Brian Burke Is His Partner Pea in the Pod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:41:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123116108.688338.45720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114704

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I've been having GREAT days.

It's so much fun showing you to be the LIARr that you are.

## 355. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 00:49:34 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123116574.014823.306400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114705

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Aug 2, 7:12 pm
>
> >K0HB wrote:
>
> > Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops
> > about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all
> > with a single thread.
>
> <"quiz" choices deleted for brevity and sanity>
>
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > >is in therapy or on medication, or both.
> >
> > Brian, those extras CANNOT "be told" much of anything.

Is this the part where I'm supposed to hang my head and scuff my toe
and say I'm sorry?

> You are absolutely correct,

I KNEW it!

but reality is usually
> irrelevant in HERE. In HERE the PCTA extra thinks they
> rule. Stebie is a PCTA extra...one of the 'hood (and
> acts like it)

Those ruling Extra's can take a long, hard suck... (just my considerate
opinion).

> PCTA extras ENDORSE and even ENCOURAGE Stebie.

Indeed they do. Both. I don't know if it's because they are too timid
to put forth so much steaming excrement themselves, or if they are too
cowardly to oppose Steve?

> I don't understand it. I feel sure that morse beeping
> does something to their brains, making them very UNLIKE
> normal, civil human beans. PCTA extras are "BAWAs!" :-)

They're BOHICA's. Kelly even once commanded me to bend over... They
are perverse...

## 356. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 03:00:15 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <963013d49a59bb47906ff00f6f5025eb@dizum.com>
Source record: 114707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <879912017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" <l...@no.spam> wrote:

> I attend too raise some questions also. K1MAN is a great man
and
> a humanatarian who is missunderstood by the little pple. it
would
> be a travestation if K1MAN isnt renewed and I will say so to
them
> in a calm rationel manner when I write so that I dont sound
like
> Dan or Steve do in rrap.

You should've "intended" to learn proper English, too, Porky!

# 357. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 03:04:28 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <NT6M95C238568.2114351852@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 114708

In article <I3cIe.255$Wi6.213@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of
course
> >
> > To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my
coments will
> > likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use
professionaly
>
> I think little details like spelling and grammar and important
to a
^^^
> number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of
typists polish
> the stuff you intend to post here?

Oh, the irony.

## 358. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 03:23:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xSfIe.356$Wi6.164@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114709

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>is is me means nothing
>>
>>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
>>
>>
>>>nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
>>>doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
>>>jerk not a bully.
>>
>>Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
>>presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious
>>handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
>>who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
>>Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.

> Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
> holiday???

I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in and I don't know Father
Hams.

Dave K8MN

# 359. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 03:27:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kWfle.358$Wi6.93@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114710

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course
>>>
>>>To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
>>>likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly
>>
>>I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a
>>number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish
>>the stuff you intend to post here?
>
>
> you want to pay the bill? you are being mighty generous with my money
> there Dave

Right. I know things are tough, Mark. You can't be bothered and your
inability to present coherent material here is everyone else's problem.

> and the typists do only part of the work of course

The trained chimps take care of the rest?

> and when you going to use em yourself "..spelling and grammar and
> important.."

You can see my single typo and can't notice any of yours? Any sympathy
I had over your affliction just went down another notch.

Dave K8MN

## 360. Re: stevie assume or lies about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 03:30:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rZfle.360$Wi6.250@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114711

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>>>you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul
>>>>
>>>> I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
>>>>I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....
>>>
>>>nope
>>>
>>>the more a person goes on about it the more likely they are concealing
>>>homosexaul desires
>>
>>>simple facts
>>
>>It is a simple fact, Mark, but not the one you present. The fact would
>>be that homosexuals often accuse their opponents of being 1) homosexual
>>or b) homophobes (a vey inaccurate term sine it implies fear, rather
>>than disgust or revulsion).
>
>
> What is the disgust or revulsion of a certain wanting to be a world
> renowned DXer working out of band French Hams on 6M called?

A certain what? I don't know anything about the sexuality of any of the
French hams who called me out of their allocated band segment. Stick
to the straight key, "bb".

Dave K8MN

## 361. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 03:36:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <j3gIe.367$Wi6.10@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114712

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>is is me means nothing
>>
>>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
>
>
> but of course you cut the context again more of your baseless attacks

Gibberish is gibberish, no matter the context.

> cuting junk

I have some junk lying around. How do you make yours cute?

> guess he is offended at not being called a bully

Why no, Mark, I'm simply amazed that someone who accuses another of
stalking and harassing seems to have reappeared here for just that
purpose. I couldn't care less if you'd call me a jerk, bigot, bully,
homophobe or any of your other pet names. I think most readers can
guess that you have some issues of your own to work out.

Dave K8MN

Dave K8MN

## 362. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 03:38:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <15gIe.369$Wi6.6@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114713

an old friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>Dave:
>>
>>Yeah, I am always so hung up on spelling and grammer I can't focus unless
>>it is perfect... there are both meds and psyche help for it, I hope those
>>who can't cope with this severe disability on their own avail themselves
>>of what is available...
>
>
> what is realy amazing that if I typed in Morse I am sure theyd mange to
> get all of it no problem

Gibberish "typed in morse" would still be gibberish.

Dave K8MN

## 363. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 04:23:37 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <dLgIe.593$RZ2.505@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114714

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123049641.127115.156130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Here's my submission to the FCC.
>
> Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
> other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
> Steve, K4YZ
---
OK, here's what I (K2UNK) just sent in:
1. I have been an amateur for over 45 years

and hold an Extra class license. I fully

endorse the proposed rules changes by

the FCC in NPRM 05-235 which, if

so adopted will end all code testing.

2. The discussion and debate on the need

for any code testing was fully covered some

5 years ago by the FCC with not one

compelling reason identified that could justify

continued code testing except for the

international treaty to which the USA was

a party to.

3. As the FCC clearly notes, that treaty has now

eliminated (via WRC-2003) any required code

testing and now clears the path for full deletion

of code testing for USA amateurs.

4. Accordingly, and in the absence of any new

compelling rational to retain a code test, the

FCC has only one logical choice.end all code

Testing.

Respectfully,

Bill Sohl, K2UNK

## 364. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 04:25:02 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <yMgIe.594$RZ2.184@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114715

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
> Soooooooooooo......
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
---
OK, here's what I (K2UNK) just sent in:
1. I have been an amateur for over 45 years

and hold an Extra class license. I fully

endorse the proposed rules changes by

the FCC in NPRM 05-235 which, if

so adopted will end all code testing.

2. The discussion and debate on the need

for any code testing was fully covered some

5 years ago by the FCC with not one

compelling reason identified that could justify

continued code testing except for the

international treaty to which the USA was

a party to.

3. As the FCC clearly notes, that treaty has now

eliminated (via WRC-2003) any required code

testing and now clears the path for full deletion

of code testing for USA amateurs.

4. Accordingly, and in the absence of any new compelling rational to retain a code test, the FCC has only one logical choice.end all code Testing.

Respectfully,

Bill Sohl, K2UNK

# 365. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 05:17:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123132635.062214.37920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114716

From: "b.b." on Wed 3 Aug 2005 16:26

>K4YZ wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:

>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > 313
>> >
>> > btw, you missed the biggest hoot in Len's comments - the part where he
>> > says he offers an "objective" view...AS IF!
>>
>> Like I said...too bad there's noit a penalty for perjury in those
>> comments...Page 1 would have got him in HAC right off the bat.
>
>Now Steve libels Len... Who's next???

ANYONE who disagrees with stebie WILL get libeled...that's a given.

Poor stebie doesn't understand that reality isn't black-and-
white, governed by stebie's rules.

Stebie thinks anything written against HIS viewpoint is "libel."

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

tsk tsk
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 366. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 05:19:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123132756.562803.315470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114717

From: "b.b." on Wed 3 Aug 2005 16:22

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > Well, well, well.....................
>> > After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at
>> > Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-
>> > regular
>> > of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>> >
>> > Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA,
>> > K3LT,
>> > W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>> >
>>
>> Steve,
>>
>> W5DXP, K3LT, WK3C, and W1RFI haven't posted to rrap in a long time.
>>
>> Cecil decided to focus on antennas soon after the 2000 restructuring.
>> He said in here that 5 wpm was the right level,
>> he resigned his NCI membership and went on to other things.
>>
>> Larry's work and other interests caused him to spend his time
>> differently.
>>
>> Carl has shown up once or twice but his main focuses have been
>> his job, house, anti-BPL and NCI duties. Heck, he was so busy it
>> took him a year to get his Extra and vanity call.
>>
>> Ed has been focused on fighting BPL. He's also an ARRL staffer, so he
>> may prefer not to even give the appearance of a conflict.
>
>Smart boy.

The Miccolis Amateur Detective Agency (MADA) can't take it any
more... :-)

Or maybe its a new gubmint intelligence agency, the MIA (Miccolis
Intercode Agency)...

>> > Sooooooooooo......
>> >
>> > Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?

>>
>> It's only been a few days. There are weeks to go yet.
>
>K4YZ demands immediate replies!!!

NOW, NOW, NOW!!! :-)

>> Look at the responses - most of them are "Brief Comments", meaning a
>> short yea or nay. Many are embarrassing in their
>> poor spelling, grammar, capitalization and punctuation. Better
>> to spend some time proofreading and polishing.
>
>Making fun of the dyslexic?

PCTA extras LOVE to humiliate anyone with anything different
from their viewpoints.

There's almost 600 Comments in the ECFS now and the daily number
is increasing. The "brief comments" exist on BOTH sides of the
code test issue.

>> There's also the perception some have that the whole thing may be a
>> done deal already.
>
>It was a done deal in the 70's. The gov't just works vewwy, vewwy
>swoly.

Actually, the official word from the gubmint came in 1990 on the
worth of the code test to the FCC for amateur radio licenses.
See FCC 90-53 on the creation of the no-code-test Technician
class.

But...with no less than EIGHTEEN petitions to change U.S. ham
radio AND about six thousand Comments on same, the petitions'
main themes showing LITTLE of the "consensus" that the FCC
mentioned, it's a wonder that an NPRM appeared this soon (in
my opinion).

>> Suppose the majority of commenters want code testing to stay,
>
>Huh??? Where did you get that hair-brained idea? Who redefined
>"majority???"

Jimmie doesn't get out much, doesn't understand the rest of the
"amateur community" other than his immediate Code Club.

With 3 August almost over, the FOR:AGAINST ratio is 392:129 or
3.1:1 on WT Docket 05-235. [575 total Comments to date, with
54 of those "in-betweeners" or duplicate Comments]

>>either as
>> it is now or maybe just for Extra.
>
>Or maybe just for wishful thinking...

Only about 9.4% of Comments to date are "in-betweeners"
or duplicates. Most of those in-betweeners wish to have
code testing MOSTLY for extras...but a tiny few want FAST
code for ALL...:-)

>>Will that make any difference at all
>> to what FCC does? Back in 1999, a member of NCI read and tallied up the
>> comments and found that the *majority*
>> wanted either two or three code test speeds. Yet FCC went to 5 wpm
>> only.
>
>Hi!!!
>
>Now you think the FCC will reverse itself after releasing this NPRM?
>
>Hi, hi, hi!!!

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Jimmie is a SORE loser...no, he's a BITTER
SORE LOSER, wanting to re-fight and re-fight all the OLD
issues in order to "win" something.

>> > On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a
>> > word
>> > either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the
>> > other...
>> >
>> Almost everything NCVEC wanted in their second petition was denied.
>
>Ditto N2EY requests...

Tsk, tsk. EIGHT Petitions (mostly pro-coders) were DENIED
while TEN Pentitons were "GRANTED in part."

Jimmie's "Blackguard" and "Quitefine" personalities are
beginning to emerge, wanting to FIGHT! :-)

Old battles, old fighting, done again hoping to "win" for
once. He ought to realize it won't work...be he no do.

>> btw, you missed the biggest hoot in Len's comments - the part where he
>> says he offers an "objective" view...AS IF!
>
>Looks objective to me. No toob equipment.

Give Jimmie SOME credit...he may have learned how to design solid-
state circuitry by now...but so far, all he's built of that are
KITS designed by others.

As far as the vast majority of commenters, I would be OBJECTIVE
on this issue since I have NO vested interest in preserving a
license title/rank/status/privilege of any kind. Last I heard,
the FCC was accepting Comments from ALL CITIZENS, not just the
minority in the "clubhouse" looking out at the rest of the world
(and wondering why no one respects them for their "radio

pioneer" work). :-)

new old
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 367. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 05:22:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123132942.655386.150760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114718

From: John Smith on Wed 3 Aug 2005 06:57

>Kim:
>
>Good post, I can't disagree with much there. People are funny, no
>accounting for some of their views, beliefs and ways, I guess.
>
>One thing we can hold faith in, if some strive to hold back progress as
>much as it looks like the are to me, I believe strongly they will only
>fail. As, anyone over-looking our past can plainly see, the only thing
>which has truly been consistant is the push forward, always on to new
>technology and better hardware and methods.
>
>Some fear tomorrow, some can't wait for it to get here...

John, what the PCTAs FEAR is losing their precious prestige
and sense of self-worth from their Nobel-quality achievement
of federally-tested morsemanship. When that is gone, they
don't have much of anything else.

Remember what Stebie Rosebud said out loud in here..."it's
all about the LICENSE." That's all it is to him, apparently.

out dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 368. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 05:23:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123133037.227376.220940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29

>b.b.:
>
>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
>
>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
>speed! <grin>
>
>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...

John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.

To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
to having different weighting for different characters, is a
snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
viable without much alteration of the source.

The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>

don dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 369. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 05:26:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123133218.932754.249990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114720

From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 22:47

>Kim:
>
>Ahhh, I think the old guys who used to have to worry about winding a filament

>Wonder a NC Tech (and a woman even!--with a "hard to pronounce
>call!"--something like "Lake Titicaca?" <grin>) can survive here, don't they
>tend to "use up all the air in room", leaving one wanting for outside and a
>fresh breath of air?
><grin>

Well, I would nominate for this din of inequity a Commenter of the
1st of August on WT Docket 05-235: Rowena (Renie) von Gortler,
US Army Command Sergeant Major, Retired. :-)

Indicated address is Lampasas, TX, and I doubt any "girly-man"
has a given name of "Rowena." Not in Texas at any rate...

BTW, a Command Sergeant Major outranks a murine Gunnery
Sergeant... :-)

Sunnuvagun!

big gun
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 370. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 05:29:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123133383.109460.219300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114721

From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 22:56

>Len:
>
>I can see the new issue of "Hot Mama Truckers" when it hits the stands. A
>leather thong clad "Mama Trucker", with the mike of her KeenWood in her hand,
>her other hand resting on a custom made 3KW Davemade Amp. Cowboy encased foot
>on the side board of her Peterbilt and a wide, and somewhat, toothless grin.
>And, leaning against a rather phallic shaped object which is actually a 1/2
>wave mobile antenna for 10 meters... ahhh, what a centerfold!
>
>Doesn't that just present a beautiful visual to your mind? Doesn't she look
>like a darn angel on that "video screen in your mind?"
>
>I tell ya Len, life is good...

John, put the peyote away, clean up, and go out and "get some"
very soon now. You are starting to worry some of us...

now get
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 371. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 05:51:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.05.51.54.830233@gmail.com>
Source record: 114723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Len:

Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others, some better.

Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width he is spanning of each the di and the dah.

The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not abbreviations.

You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the program cannot, yet, handle...

All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.

However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one together.

Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you have a relative or friend in the field?

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
>
>>b.b.:
>>
>>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
>>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
>>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
>>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
>>
>>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
>>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them

>>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
>>speed! <grin>
>>
>>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
>
> John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
> remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
> air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
> by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
> his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
> ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
> in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
> a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
> source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
> immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
> a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
> clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
>
> To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
> to having different weighting for different characters, is a
> snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
> viable without much alteration of the source.
>
> The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
> will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
> ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
>
> don dit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 372. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 06:00:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.06.00.07.188653@gmail.com>
Source record: 114722

Len:

I may have to follow your advice, I have noticed the neighbors have began locking up their dogs, and pulling them away by their leashes as I walk past them.

At first, I did not think much about it... now that I have looked in a mirror, I do see a slightly "deranged" look which must be a dead giveaway...

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:29:43 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 22:56
>
>>Len:
>>
>>I can see the new issue of "Hot Mama Truckers" when it hits the stands. A
>>leather thong clad "Mama Trucker", with the mike of her KeenWood in her hand,
>>her other hand resting on a custom made 3KW Davemade Amp. Cowboy encased foot
>>on the side board of her Peterbilt and a wide, and somewhat, toothless grin.
>>And, leaning against a rather phallic shaped object which is actually a 1/2
>>wave mobile antenna for 10 meters... ahhh, what a centerfold!
>>
>>Doesn't that just present a beautiful visual to your mind? Doesn't she look
>>like a darn angel on that "video screen in your mind?"
>>
>>I tell ya Len, life is good...
>
> John, put the peyote away, clean up, and go out and "get some"
> very soon now. You are starting to worry some of us...
>
> now get
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 373. Re: The Chosen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 08:06:01 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrndf3ist.4p4.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 114724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <A1WHe.1483$I04.831@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, Kï¿½HB wrote:
> The Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the usenet
> servers at Google.com. They are the descendants of the Gods. I personally
> subscribe to the theory they are the children of Thor Heyerdahl, the Viking god
> of Thunder and Balsawood Raft Dxpeditions. But that's another story.

Sorry, the only thing affiliated with radio that lives at Google is BPL.

73,

Geoff.
--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (077)-424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Want to elimnate amateur (ham) radio? Use Google.
eHam.net supports Google and BPL.

## 374. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 11:38:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123155533.143576.164290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114725

an_old_friend wrote:
> my coment will be sent when I have them polished enough of course
>
> To the FCC the little details are important, Indeed my coments will
> likey be typed by one of the pool of typists I use professionaly

What you NEED is Ms. Cleo to read your mind and save those poor
saps the effort of defragging your written trash.

Steve, K4YZ

## 375. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 11:40:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123155637.438508.36310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114726

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> > > I figured that I would reserve my comments for a place that had a
> > > better chance of having an effect on the decision. That would be in
> > > rrap! ;^)
> >
> > I gave up on RRAP being any usable place for ANY kind of debate
> > other than flame throwing and frustration venting years ago, Mike.
>
> then consider giving up your mudslinging

Then consider stopping providing so much dirt and water.

Steve, K4YZ

## 376. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 11:41:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123155696.020971.216630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bert Craig wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:vY6He.6713$0C.2556@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to a "middle
> > conscious" level, where the characters flow at an almost sub-conscious
> > level from the ear to the fingertip without active thought about the
> > actual characters heard.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Sigh, I hope to get to this level eventually. I'm at the point where I'm
> just starting to "hear" words like tnx, abt, the, fer, qsl, qsb, name, op,
> rst, etc. rather than spelling them in my head.
>
Hans is talking about this sort of thing:

http://hometown.aol.com/wa3iyc/myhomepage/photo.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 377. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 11:44:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123155872.607756.295500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114728

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Like I said...too bad there's not a penalty for perjury in those
> > comments...Page 1 would have got him in HAC right off the bat.
>
> Now Steve libels Len... Who's next???

Where's the libel, Brain?

I said Lennie couldn't get past the first page without mentioning
ADA and lying.

He did both on the very first page of his comments to the FCC.

It's not libel if it's true.

Steve, K4YZ

## 378. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 11:48:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123156118.440191.131400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114729

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> > > I figured that I would reserve my comments for a place that had a
> > > better chance of having an effect on the decision. That would be in
> > > rrap! ;^)
> >
> > I gave up on RRAP being any usable place for ANY kind of debate
>
> No kidding? You're the #1 problem.

Actually, I'd put myself around 5th or 6th. You, Lennie, Markie
and Toad get the first four, hands down, but not necessarily in that
order. I'm vacillitating between WA8ULX and the "anonnies" as a group
being fifth.

I'll leave it to you guys to argue about who get's to be first.

Steve, K4YZ

Steve, K4YZ

## 379. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 11:59:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123156781.439560.214610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114730

an old friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Dave:
> >
> > Yeah, I am always so hung up on spelling and grammer I can't focus unless
> > it is perfect... there are both meds and psyche help for it, I hope those
> > who can't cope with this severe disability on their own avail themselves
> > of what is available...
>
> what is realy amazing that if I typed in Morse I am sure theyd mange to
> get all of it no problem

I have absolutely no doubt, whatsoever, that it is absolutely
impossible for you to do that.

First of all, you don't know Morse Code...You'd have to have a
"cheat sheet" and do each letter one-at-a-time. You're too impatient
to spam us with your ill-conceived dreck as it is, and even then all
you have to do is push ONE button to make a letter apperear..I can
imagine you trying to take the time just to spell "an_old_friend" in
Code!

Then you can't spell correctly in the second place. So there we'd
have your incomprehensible typed code trying to encode even more
incomprehensible "words"...

We'd have better luck reading the United States Tax Code after
feeding it to a shredder than reading your "Morse Code", typed, sent,
telepathied, or otherwise.

Steve, K4YZ

## 380. Mark Says I Am Lying About His Mental Health Ills, Then Acknowledges He's A Mental Health Patient.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 12:48:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123159715.343813.10140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114731

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > > problems
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > > > >
> > > > > but you did
> > > > >
> > > > No I did not. Not once.
> > > >
> > > sure did
> > >
> > Nope. Never.
> >
> > If I had, you would have found it and re-quoted it here by now.
>
> why would bother
>
> I quote you often and you simply deny it

No you don't "quote me"...You say you do, but you don't.

A "quote" is verbatim...word-for-word.

Saying "you said it" is not quoting.

You've "quoted" nothing. By the way, I am using the Webster's
definition of "quoting", not some made-up "maybe it means this today"
stuff like you do.

> > You haven't. Neither has Lennie or Brain.
> >
> > Because it didn't happen.
>
> and you spend such energy on dening something that did not happen sure

> we all believe you...not

Prove it.

Cite a post. A "real" quote.

> > > > Provide a cite, Markie.
> > >
> > > shove it
> >
> more stevie making up stuff

Nope.

You said "shove it".

Anyone can back up two posts and see it.

> > > > (Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
> > > > happened...)
> > >
> > > nope it will not since I have no intention of doing as you demand
> >
> > It's not a demand.
>
> sure is a demand

"Shove it" is a "demand".

> > It's an opportunity for you to prove your point and try and
> > humiliate me.
>
> it is more humilating for you to watch you twist in the wind

What twisting?

So far, all I've done is take YOUR words and show them to be
false.

> > But what it REALLY is is me proving that you haven't got a leg to
> > stand on with this assinine allegation of yours.
>
> is is me means nothing

I am sure it does...to you...

And please note in a post to Dave Heil you accuse him of citing
those words ('..is is me means nothing...") out of context.

Well, LiarBoy...there they are...Quoted verbatim.

What YOU did was "ctuuing" out the sentence that I had them in.

"is is me" IN THE CONTEXT of my sentence is proper colloquial
English.

You are not even competent in conversationalist English to know
better.

> > > another stevie lie
> >
> > Another Markie dance around the truth.
> >
> > > > > > (B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
> > > > > > another lie of yours)
> > > > >
> > > > > you know more about disability than the ARS that is certainly
> > > > > sugeestive
> > > > >
> > > > Hardly.
> > >
> > > it sure is
> >
> > Nope.
>
> it certainly is suggestive

It's not suggestive of a single thing other than you are
desperately trying to find a way out of the dead end you've gotten
yourself into, and doing poorly at it.

> > > > But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.
> > > >
> > > > I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
> > > > helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a
> > > > part of my job.
> > >
> > > ah admiting to being on the dole well thank you
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Admitting that I know more about taking care of people who need
> > help.
>
> know more than what or whom?
>
> your false supperioty of is fun to wacth

There's nothing false about it.

I absolutely, without a shadow of a doubt, know more than you'll
EVER know about patient care...In this or any other life, Markie.

I am absolutely competent in all those disciplines I cited.

That's not "false supperioty", that's a fact.

> > > > > that you deny it so loudly is another
> > > > >
> > > > I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.

> > >
> > > no you deny it becuase you think it is improper, meaning that when you
> > > make me think you getting or have gotten it (as you have admited above)
> > > you also admit to doing something improper
> >
> > And you're demonstrating that you've got nothing but this story of
> > yours to hide behind, Markie.
>
> if it is nothing , a will of the wisp then why does it bother you?

For the billionth time, Markie, I don't tolerate liars.

You're a liar.

> thou doth protest too much

Still not getting it right, Markie. Try again.

> stevie I told i would all of you sexual references

You would what?

Sorry, Markie...I can't find my Dreck-to-English manual this
morning.

> > > > But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
> > > > accuse others of is grossly apparent.
> > >
> > > not lying at all
> >
> > Sure you are.
> >
> > You've blatantly stated that I am receving "the dole" illegally.
>
> nope I never said that
>
> I said 3 things
>
> 1 that you have claimed a public support,

Which I never did.

> 2 that if you are not lying then then I belive you doing something
> improper, possibly ilegal

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> 3 that isn't clear exactly how you are doing it

What's not clear to you is that you're getting your self in trouble
making such accusastions.

> you keep making stuff up or over simplifing statements

Nope. You said I was on the dole.

Now you're even lying about that.

> > It's not true...Not in fact, not in fantasy. Not under my "real"
> > name nor any fictional name.
>
> BUZZZZ
>
> If I am wrong then it is ture in fantasy

Huh?

> thou doth protest too much

I don't tolerate liars. You're a liar.

> > > > > that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> > > > > being caught in an outright lie
> > > >
> > > > I don't need to be concerned.
> > >
> > > gee Stevie I don't believe you
> >
> > Too bad.
>
> thou doth protest too much

Still not right...So many opportunites squandered...

> > > > And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
> > > > matter how it's phrased.
> > >
> > > bull####
> >
> > You disagree that the truth is truth?
>
> No I disgree that you ever say the truth

Then you're set for some real disappointments.

> more itelavancy cut

More questions Markie doesn't want to have to answer because he
realizes he's "busted".

> > > > A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.
> > > >
> > > > > > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > > > > > Mark, but it's not me.
> > > > >
> > > > > you have clear mental health issues
> > > > >
> > > > > very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins
> > > >
> > > So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
> > > health infrastructure.

> > >
> > > no
> >
> > Why not?
>
> becuase it is none of your busness

You make it our business when you smear it all over the NG, Markie.

> cuting another stevie taunt

It's not a taunt.

> > > > It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
> > > > your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
> > > > by abbreviations with casual familiarity.
> > >
> > > so?
> >
> > So I've proved my point.
>
> a point I have never deined

Sure you have. This very week alone, and in this same thread you
DENIED being in the mental health system. Now you acknowledge that you
ARE in the system.

> congrats
>
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > > > THAT'S telling!
> > >
> > > of what? nothing I have not admited before many times in fact
> > >
> > > that you think dealing with the mental health system is somehow "dirty"
> > > or something simply shows you a bigot.
> >
> > I never said that.
>
> never said but that your meaning more or less

E N G L I S H ! ! !

> > What I HAVE said is that (a) you need help and (b) you're lying
> > about it when it's very clear that you ARE in the mental health system.
>
> nope you can't get it right even now

Sure I can.

> I have had dealing with mental health

Not enough. You're out of lock-up.

> but currently I don't need their help

Oh yes you do!

> nor am I getting it

THAT is OBVIOUS!

> more stevies lies
>
> cuting more stevie ####

No lies.

No ####.

I said you're a mental health case, and now it's proven by your
own words.

> > > OTOH I have no intention of discusing my medical records with you,
> > > byind stating that other than some anger issues, there is really
> > > nothing wrong with me, and those issues are simply a matter of dealing
> > > with them
> >
> > You have more than "anger issues", Markie.
>
> nope not according to thoose licensed to know

You have more than "anger issues", Markie.

> > Anyone who brags about being a chronic liar has deeper problems
> > than "anger issues".
>
> don't brag about about it, simply adknowledge the truth since

Oh..you acknowledge the truth that you're a liar, yet you don't see
the probelm with lying...?!?!

> > > Indeed it is one the reasons I show up here dealing with such a
> > > provoking bigoted fool as yourself, without losing my top is most
> > > benificail
> >
> > But you ARE angry. <cut>
>
> I am not angry. I get angry at times with your lies and bigotry but it
> passes you mostly make me laugh with your abusrdites

There's no lies of my utterance, Markie.

There's no bigotry of my utterance.

And if expecting adults to speak like adults and act like adults
is "absurd", then you do have a lot to laugh at.

The problem here is that you don't even realize who's laughing at
you and why.

> <cut> You're ate up with not being able to get ahead
>
> get ahead at what? Life? I have my own home 2 vechiles, no debts, a
> satisfing relationship, now victory is cause I have fought for for
> years. Sure I could find uses for a some money but I doing fine, and
> all with resources that means I don't don't need to work more 5 hours a
> week roughly, and that "work" is almost play as well. I call that
> being ahead

I don't believe a bit of it.

You whine about the cost of an ISP...You whine about "hiding" from
former spouses to avoid child support (yeah...like any woman would
procreate with YOU...)

You have recent;y stated you're caring for a disabled person
(yourself, no doubt), but then liken it to play. One wonders what
you're playing WITH with this disabled person.

(60's flashback to "The Who: Rock Opera Tommy", specifically
"Uncle Ernie")

> > and with people being able to see right through you, even when they
> > can't see you in person. This includes your "I am a victim" routine
> > which you use as a crutch.
>
> you know very little about me, indeed and what you should know by now
> you can't accept becuase it unsetlles your way of "thinking"

I know far more about you than YOU know, and that's from reading
here.

You've outted yourself, proven then admitted yourself a lair, and
then found out to be fugitive from the mental health system.

> > People try to give you direction, both overtly and covertly, but
> > you're bound-and-determined to beleive that "how" you are is normal.
> > It's not. It's an unrealistic assessment of your problems.
>
> Stevie you are nuts

Nope.

> of course how I am is normal for me part of the meaning of the word
> normal

What you ARE is NOT normal.

> I have a problem of two being that I, without huge effort express
> myself poorly in written form. That is unfortunate.

It's extremely unfortuntate since there's very cheap technology
that would allow you to avoid it. In the above paragraphs you claim to

be so well-off, yet can't splurge for some software that would shut up
all the naysayers.

But you don't.

> It is not as you
> insist a mental defect esp not a simply correctable one, years in my
> youth dealing the mental health system taught me that

Sure it is.

You know there's a problem. You know there's both therapy and
tehcnology to help you overcome it. But you refuse to use eitehr to
help you.

> > As for "provoking" and "bigoted", those are issues of YOUR own to
> > deal with. Again, they fall squarely into your "I am a victim"
> > routine.
>
> nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> jerk not a bully.

Dave's not a jerk...Not by ANY definition.

Nor is Jim.

> I know you are a bully because I watch you bully everyone you disagree
> with, not just me. that tells who is seeing thing straight

The you've just proven that you need glasses, Markie, because
there are more folks here than you, Brain and Lennie that I've had
disagreements with, and manage to move on.

Too bad for you.

Steve, K4YZ

## 381. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 12:54:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123160060.809475.115640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114732

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >
> > Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
> > other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
> >
> > 73
>
> KMA

Uh huh.

About what I expected and whom I expected it from. Pretty much
substantiates my claims about who pulls threads into rants, etc etc
etc.

> > Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,
>
> Try treating your fellow hams like ladies and gentlemen, Steve.

OK...From now on I will treat you like a lady, Brain, but only
since you asked me to do it.

> Your 1,000+ comments each month treat people like dirt.

Nope...Just the liars, deceivers and cheats.

Which pretty much narrows it down to you, Lennie, Mark Morgan and
Toaddie.

Pretty small field when you consider the overall size of the race.

No "73" for you...You very aptly proved who's what here, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

# 382. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 12:56:47 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1123160207.746896.212160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114733

K4YZ wrote:
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?

Egbert C. Craig, Jr., WA2SI

August 4, 2005

Re. NPRM WT 05-235

To Whom It May Concern:

Undoubtedly, there will be many comments filed re. This NPRM. Therefore, I will be brief and to the point. Although I am not new to radio and earned my GROL long before my amateur radio license, I am a relative newcomer to the Amateur Radio Service. As such, I feel that I can offer an alternative view to many of the "old timers."

I believe that there is still a very important place for minimal Morse code proficiency testing in U.S. amateur radio licensing. I've been told that "proficiency" is the technically correct term, however, I do not feel 5-wpm represents Morse code proficiency. I feel that the current 5-wpm Element 1 test is sufficient to require an individual to learn the Morse characters so that s/he may then make an educated decision as to whether or not s/he wishes to pursue CW further.

I would also like to dispel two myths that I have repeatedly heard/read in the argument for dropping Element 1.

· The current Element 1 test acts as a filter to keep out CBers and other "insufficiently-dedicated" individuals.
· The current Element 1 test acts as a deterrent to newcomers to the hobby/service.

Along with being an amateur radio "newcomer," I am also a CBer and Element 1 did not deter me in any way, shape, or form from upgrading my license class and earning HF privileges. If during the course of reviewing the comments filed re. NPRM WT 05-235, this demographic is referred to while supporting the removal of Element 1, please do not include me. This "newcomer" wholeheartedly supports the retention of Element 1 testing for the General and Extra class licenses.

In closing, I would also like to remind those who believe that Morse code testing serves no "regulatory purpose" in amateur radio that there is an intrinsic quality at stake here too. It is part of our culture and tradition and therefore should not be removed entirely from the curriculum.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

Egbert C. Craig, Jr., WA2SI

## 383. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 13:02:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123157095.326597.184870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114734

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Remember what Stebie Rosebud said out loud in here..."it's
> all about the LICENSE." That's all it is to him, apparently.

Whellllp....You'll lie on documents that you send to the federal
government. I guess it's just as easy for you to lie here.

Steve Robeson did NOT say "...it's all about the license..."

Steve Robeson DID say that Amateur Radio is about OPERATING the
radio...Something that Lennie Anderson cannot do without the license.
His frequently mentioned GROL is useless for Part 97 operations.

Leonard Anderson lied on federally submitted documents. Right
there on page one.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

## 384. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 13:34:50 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8JadnaS7WKXghG_fRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 114735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dell does the same thing, not just HP

"Frances Mullane" <mullanef@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1CTEe.22524$Wt3.5125@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> It is true that almost everyone outsources. Intuit also does. I have had
> very good support people from India with impeccable English and good
> skills and I have also had horrid ones who could not understand or speak
> English and did not know what they were doing.
>
> I was told by one TS guy from India... one for Intuit that they are really
> trained and it is a lengthly process etc. etc. He was excellent. So I
> think each company decides how much to invest in this outsourced TS. Even
> if they don't outsource... if they don't train then it is garbage... It is
> the company's ethics rather than merely outsourcing per se.
>

## 385. Mark Perpetuates The Same Old Shill-game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 14:05:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123164349.891596.19800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114736

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

A Whole Bunch Of Snippage Of The Usual MarkieRants.....

> > > you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul
> >
> > I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
> > I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....
>
> nope

Yep.

> the more a person goes on about it the more likely they are concealing
> homosexaul desires

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...

> simple facts

Uh huh....riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...

Of course you're going to provide us some reference to a related
publication or journal that substantiates that, right...?!?!

> and of course I know more than you in this matter by your words

More from the "mud" you like to play in, Marke.

> You are repressing homosexaul desires that is certain from your
> writing, ref Dr Kinsey the standard in the feild

BBBWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

> how much is hard to say without further contact (which I have no desire
> for)

Then you're free to leave, Markie...it was starting to settle down
here...we almost had Brain shut up too....

> > > > > and you insist on making wonder about them and then are not man enough
> > > > > to admit they are threats
> > > >
> > > > What threats?

> > >
> > > "One Shot one kill... " amoug others
> >
> > Four words out of an entire post...Made in response to YOUR
> > comment "Is that your best shot.."
>
> and you still are not man enough to admtt it is threatening

Nope.

It wasn't a threat.

> and it was since you insit
> "One shot one kill even in illiopolis" being the only person you know
> in that town well that is a threat

It meant then, as it means now, and was explained to your then and
now that I am a better "shot" than YOUR "is that your best shot" taunt.

Nothing more. Nothing less.

> > > > You keeps whining about "threats" but none have been made OR
> > > > proven.
> > >
> > > you made it you don't deny the posting therefore it is Threat and you
> > > made it therefore it is proven
> >
> > I don't deny that I used those words, but not in the contect you
> > try to put them in, Markie...
>
> I agree I said is that your best shot but you decided to add the part
> about killing

So we're back to YOUR comment about being shot.

> > THAT makes YOUR use of them a lie.
>
> nope the language was and reamins a clear threat

Nope. Only in your deranged and self-flattering mind.

> you further recently add the threat to vist the UP

Uh huh...Again, you flatter yourself.

> > > > Why do you insist on lying?
> > >
> > > no lie you threatened to kill me
> >
> > No, I didn't.
>
> sure did and you keep repating the threat

Nope.

> > > for something related to code testing
> >
> > Nope. Not code testing, not your sexual preferences, not your
> > religious choices or lack of honesty.
>
> the subject at the time was code testing your were called to take a
> beter shot at supporting code testing, instead you threatened murder

No I didn't. Not then. Not now.

> Law enforcement agrees it was threat but doesn't take the usenet
> seriously, although the officer did call severl times over the next few
> days just tosee if you had acted on your threat

BBBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

I am sure the "officer called" to see if you were wearing your
tin-foil anti-alien brain-draining hat!

> > You flatter yourself by thinking that I would hazard my freedom by
> > such an act. It's part of what makes you an insufferable creep. And a
> > fool.
>
> it isn't flatterery.

Sure it is.

I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
for a putz like you..?!?!

> It is you being stupid to even type that

And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!

> yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that

Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.

Steve, K4YZ

## 386. Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 14:23:25 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <xxple.3146$iT2.1242@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114737

```
News Flash!

Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters
http://www.hamblog.com/?p=28

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
```

## 387. Ever Consider A Job At FEMA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 14:23:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123165415.776714.297500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114738

http://www.fema.gov/career/display_group.jsp?code=10&code_type=3

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10286 Chicago IL

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10295

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10290

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10294

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10294

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10296

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10285 Atlanta GA

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10293

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10289

http://www.fema.gov/career/get_desc.jsp?id=10288

# 388. Re: DeShawn is speaking for Steve Robeson/K4YZ??? No, But Brian Burke Seems To Be...He Likes Putting Words In Other People's Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 14:51:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123167065.508629.145460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114739

b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > Whoever "DeShawn" is doesn't amtter, Brain.
> >
> > I agree it doesn't amtter. it might matter however esp if DeShawn is
> > you
>
> That's my best guess. Steve prides hisself on never having been
> ANONymous. Yet here he is telling everyone to forget what they saw.

WHERE did I tell ANYone to "forget what they saw"...?!?!

Again, Burke...You don't see anything you can "use", so you make it up...At LEAST be smart enough to fake realizing you clipped it and hope no one goes back a post to see that you are indeed making it up!

Sheeesh!

> I think he's a lying SOS, and this is the proof.

No...the PROOF is that YOU lied while providing the very quote that proves you a liar...

Geeze Burke, you DO make this tooooooooooooooo easy sometimes!

> > > > Who is dis DeShawn?
> > >
> > > Who is b.b....???
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > what is Stevie?
> >
> > Better not to know
>
> Indeed!

You already know...I am your worst nightmare. I'm your guilty conscience mixed with equal parts of intolerance for liars and willingness to rub your nose in both.

Have a nice day, Peas. (Yes..."Peas"...you figger it out...)

Steve, K4YZ

## 389. Re: Mark Morgan is an Insufferable Creep, But Brian Burke Is His Partner Pea in the Pod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 14:57:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123167465.060366.190400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114740

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I've been having GREAT days.
>
> It's so much fun showing you to be the LIARr that you are.

"LIARr"...?!?!

That having been pointed out, sorry to disappoint your otherwise.

Also sorry to leave you with your butt hanging out on yet another
of your failed attempts to TRY and show me a liar.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

## 390. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...K1MAN Says "No Thanks", I'd Rather Get My Health Care From Jack Kevorkian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 15:01:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123167298.066326.256460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> ----------
> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
> ----------

If I were K1MAN sitting on death row waiting for N9OGL to write a comment to the court on my behalf, I think I'd start getting my veins in shape so it only took 'em one stick to get the IV catheter in....

Steve, K4YZ

## 391. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 15:18:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123168703.354475.34460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

n9ogl wrote:
>>he may well know he is a stalker after all
> He's a staker, bully, and narrow-minded, along with a few of friends on
> here.

>And you and old_friend are both dumber than a bowl of dirt.

Another one of rec.radio.amateur.policy fine bullies.

Todd O'Dochartaigh

## 392. stvie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 15:31:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123169477.693377.184090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114743

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut

> > > You flatter yourself by thinking that I would hazard my freedom by
> > > such an act. It's part of what makes you an insufferable creep. And a
> > > fool.
> >
> > it isn't flatterery.
>
> Sure it is.
>
> I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> for a putz like you..?!?!

the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it

>
> > It is you being stupid to even type that
>
> And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
> when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!

bullshit it was a threat, foruately one you have havne't carried out,
but one you keep repeating, such as your threat to come to the UP

>
> > yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> > have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that
>
> Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
> he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.

then stop Stevie

stop making threats stopp harassing folks
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 393. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...K1MAN Says "No Thanks", I'd Rather Get My Health Care From Jack Kevorkian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 15:40:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123170039.630248.57470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>rec.radio.amateur.policy number one bully stebie said
>If I were K1MAN sitting on death row waiting for N9OGL to write a
>comment to the court on my behalf, I think I'd start getting my veins
>in shape so it only took 'em one stick to get the IV catheter in....
>Stebie, K4YZ

First off stebie, he isn't on death row, and I not for nor against the renewal of his license. However, there is a lot questions that need to be answered as stated in my orginal post. If the FCC doesn't answer them I sure the US Court of Appeal will inform the FCC to do so.

Todd N9OGL

## 394. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:11:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123171885.668194.13570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114745

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
cut
> > > If I had, you would have found it and re-quoted it here by now.
> > >
> > why would bother
> > >
> > I quote you often and you simply deny it
> >
> No you don't "quote me"...You say you do, but you don't.

Sure i qupyr often

You still deny one shot one kill
>
> A "quote" is verbatim...word-for-word.
>
> Saying "you said it" is not quoting.

never said it was
>
> You've "quoted" nothing. By the way, I am using the Webster's
> definition of "quoting", not some made-up "maybe it means this today"
> stuff like you do.

and you are ranting off on a tangent again
>
> > > You haven't. Neither has Lennie or Brain.
> > >
> > > Because it didn't happen.
> > >
> > and you spend such energy on dening something that did not happen sure
> > we all believe you...not
> >
> Prove it.

why? why should I?

>
> Cite a post. A "real" quote.

why?

>
> > > > > Provide a cite, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > shove it
> > > >
> > more stevie making up stuff
>
> Nope.
>
> You said "shove it".

yes I did and I cut your made up repsonse to it

>
> Anyone can back up two posts and see it.

they can you just don't like your own editing thrown back little baby

>
> > > > > (Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
> > > > > happened...)
> > > > >
> > > > > nope it will not since I have no intention of doing as you demand
> > > >
> > > It's not a demand.
> >
> > sure is a demand
>
> "Shove it" is a "demand".

if you like

>
> > > It's an opportunity for you to prove your point and try and
> > > humiliate me.
> >
> > it is more humilating for you to watch you twist in the wind
>
> What twisting?

you ranting and raving makeing demands you can't back up. looking
gnerla impotent
>
> So far, all I've done is take YOUR words and show them to be
> false.

you have done NOTHING of the sort

You can't prove them false, I guess you just don't understand the word
proof

>
> > > But what it REALLY is is me proving that you haven't got a leg to

> > > stand on with this assinine allegation of yours.
> >
> > is is me means nothing
>
> I am sure it does...to you...
>
> And please note in a post to Dave Heil you accuse him of citing
> those words ('..is is me means nothing...") out of context.

and you have now also cut them out of context
>
cuting stvie losing it

> > > > another stevie lie
> > >
> > > Another Markie dance around the truth.
> > >
> > > > > > > (B) What in my words here says "I am on disability"...?!?! (yet
> > > > > > > another lie of yours)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you know more about disability than the ARS that is certainly
> > > > > > > sugeestive
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hardly.
> > > > > >
> > > > > it sure is
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > it certainly is suggestive
>
> It's not suggestive of a single thing other than you are
> desperately trying to find a way out of the dead end you've gotten
> yourself into, and doing poorly at it.

not at all, noting desperate, why would I BE desperate, nothing you can
do will make me think less of you, and nothing I do will change for the
better what you think of me

all that is going on is simple I'd rather attack than contiue to be
butt of your attacks

you brought this on yourself, whn you denied i droped the matter since
it was bit less clear but your brought it and demanded I apolgize and
made an issue of again so fine I do think it is true and it beats other
topics you want to deal with
>
> > > > > But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.
> > > > >
> > > > > I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
> > > > > helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a
> > > > > part of my job.

> > > >
> > > > ah admiting to being on the dole well thank you
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Admitting that I know more about taking care of people who need
> > > help.
> >
> > know more than what or whom?
> >
> > your false supperioty of is fun to wacth
>
> There's nothing false about it.

sure is

Always is

when anyone tried to play these "superiority games"

you are esp not good at it

>
cuting off topic remark

> > > > > > that you deny it so loudly is another
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.
> > > > >
> > > > > no you deny it becuase you think it is improper, meaning that when you
> > > > > make me think you getting or have gotten it (as you have admited above)
> > > > > you also admit to doing something improper
> > >
> > > And you're demonstrating that you've got nothing but this story of
> > > yours to hide behind, Markie.
> >
> > if it is nothing , a will of the wisp then why does it bother you?
>
> For the billionth time, Markie, I don't tolerate liars.

then you should comit suicide at once

>
> You're a liar.

at times when it protects me sure I am

you lie all the time for no reason but you can

>
> > thou doth protest too much
>
> Still not getting it right, Markie. Try again.

but you understand so it is right enough

>
> > stevie I told i would all of you sexual references
>
cuting stevie play english cop

> > > > > But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
> > > > > accuse others of is grossly apparent.
> > > > >
> > > > > not lying at all
> > > >
> > > > Sure you are.
> > > >
> > > > You've blatantly stated that I am receving "the dole" illegally.
> > >
> > > nope I never said that
> > >
> > > I said 3 things
> > >
> > > 1 that you have claimed a public support,
> >
> Which I never did.

not true

>
> > 2 that if you are not lying then then I belive you doing something
> > improper, possibly ilegal
> >
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

agrement I see, in legal terms at least

>
> > 3 that isn't clear exactly how you are doing it
> >
> What's not clear to you is that you're getting your self in trouble
> making such accusastions.

what trouble?

more threats

haven't you learned that road doesn't work?

are you really that dumb

>
> > you keep making stuff up or over simplifing statements
> >
> Nope. You said I was on the dole.

yep and a few posted back you added to that statement

>
> Now you're even lying about that.

nope you just will get right I am saying and what you are adding to my
statements

>
> > > It's not true...Not in fact, not in fantasy. Not under my "real"
> > > name nor any fictional name.
> > >
> > > BUZZZZ
> > >
> > > If I am wrong then it is ture in fantasy
> >
> > Huh?

plain english

>
> > thou doth protest too much
> >
> > I don't tolerate liars. You're a liar.

then you should comit suicide at once

>
> > > > > > that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> > > > > > being caught in an outright lie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't need to be concerned.
> > > > >
> > > > > gee Stevie I don't believe you
> > > >
> > > > Too bad.
> > >
> > > thou doth protest too much
> >
cuting stevie playing english cop

>
> > > > > And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
> > > > > matter how it's phrased.
> > > > >
> > > > > bull####
> > > >
> > > > You disagree that the truth is truth?
> > >
> > > No I disgree that you ever say the truth
> >
> > Then you're set for some real disappointments.

not realy It would be a pleasure to be wrong on that, truely, but your
world view is so distorted that you don't the truth

you alloy fantasy and reality together to point I am even sure if you
touch reality

>
> > more itelavancy cut
>
justing cuting the bluster

> > > > > A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > > > > > > > Mark, but it's not me.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you have clear mental health issues
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
> > > > > > health infrastructure.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no
> > > >
> > > Why not?
> > >
> > becuase it is none of your busness
>
> You make it our business when you smear it all over the NG, Markie.

nope I don't, it is never your busness

>
> > cuting another stevie taunt
>

> > > > > It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
> > > > > your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
> > > > > by abbreviations with casual familiarity.
> > > > >
> > > > so?
> > > >
> > > So I've proved my point.
> > >
> > a point I have never deined
>
> Sure you have. This very week alone, and in this same thread you
> DENIED being in the mental health system. Now you acknowledge that you
> ARE in the system.

nope I was in the system they are the ones who diagnosed the Dyslexia
and aphiasci condictions, that was more than 2 decades ago

what we have here again is your lack of brains

the past is not the present

thier is difference from having been someplace 20+ years ago and being
in the system TODAY

>
> > congrats
> >
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > > > THAT'S telling!
> > > >
> > > > of what? nothing I have not admited before many times in fact
> > > >
> > > > that you think dealing with the mental health system is somehow "dirty"
> > > > or something simply shows you a bigot.
> > >
> > > I never said that.
> >
> > never said but that your meaning more or less
>
cuting stvie pleling english cop

>
> > > What I HAVE said is that (a) you need help and (b) you're lying
> > > about it when it's very clear that you ARE in the mental health system.
> >
> > nope you can't get it right even now
>
> Sure I can.
>
> > I have had dealing with mental health
>
> Not enough. You're out of lock-up.

more libel

and more practicing med with a ham lecinse

>
> > but currently I don't need their help
>
> Oh yes you do!

well I supose they could help by droping a straight jacket on you, but
aside from that

>
> > nor am I getting it
>
> THAT is OBVIOUS!
>
> > more stevies lies
> >

> > cuting more stevie #####
>
cuting more shit

> > > > OTOH I have no intention of discusing my medical records with you,
> > > > byind stating that other than some anger issues, there is really
> > > > nothing wrong with me, and those issues are simply a matter of dealing
> > > > with them
> > >
> > > You have more than "anger issues", Markie.
> >
> > nope not according to thoose licensed to know
>
> You have more than "anger issues", Markie.

more practicing med with just a ham license

>
> > > Anyone who brags about being a chronic liar has deeper problems
> > > than "anger issues".
> >
> > don't brag about about it, simply adknowledge the truth since
>
> Oh..you acknowledge the truth that you're a liar, yet you don't see
> the probelm with lying...?!?!

no I don't

I reject the motto that "honesty is the best policy". It is very often
the worst. I have looked at the way the world works, and made a
philsopical and religous choice, constitutionaly protected by the way
>
> > > > Indeed it is one the reasons I show up here dealing with such a
> > > > provoking bigoted fool as yourself, without losing my top is most
> > > > benificail
> > >
> > > But you ARE angry. <cut>
> >
> > I am not angry. I get angry at times with your lies and bigotry but it
> > passes you mostly make me laugh with your abusrdites
>
> There's no lies of my utterance, Markie.

sure are

>
> There's no bigotry of my utterance.

big lie there Stevie

>
> And if expecting adults to speak like adults and act like adults
> is "absurd", then you do have a lot to laugh at.

anytime you are prepared to start acting like an adult please feel free

>
> The problem here is that you don't even realize who's laughing at
> you and why.

wrong again I know you are laughing, and why, however in my view that
just your bigotry

>
> > <cut> You're ate up with not being able to get ahead
> >
> > get ahead at what? Life? I have my own home 2 vechiles, no debts, a
> > satisfing relationship, now victory is cause I have fought for for
> > years. Sure I could find uses for a some money but I doing fine, and
> > all with resources that means I don't don't need to work more 5 hours a
> > week roughly, and that "work" is almost play as well. I call that
> > being ahead
>
> I don't believe a bit of it.

fine

>
new rule

Stevie when you make up stuff by adding falsehood to what I said I'll
cut it

> You have recent;y stated you're caring for a disabled person
> (yourself, no doubt), but then liken it to play. One wonders what

another lie I have not liken caring for my father to play, but that is
not my job, I care for the old man as much as he needs on top of
working

> you're playing WITH with this disabled person.

more libel

cuting stevie shit

> > > and with people being able to see right through you, even when they
> > > can't see you in person. This includes your "I am a victim" routine
> > > which you use as a crutch.
> >
> > you know very little about me, indeed and what you should know by now
> > you can't accept becuase it unsetlles your way of "thinking"
>
> I know far more about you than YOU know, and that's from reading
> here.

not really it is clear from what you type back you have distorted it to
the point you nothing about me, you think you know plenty I agree but
you don't

>
> You've outted yourself,<cut>
You outed me you and MD

<cut> proven then admitted yourself a lair,

ok

<cut> and
> then found out to be fugitive from the mental health system.

more lies
>
> > > People try to give you direction, both overtly and covertly, but
> > > you're bound-and-determined to beleive that "how" you are is normal.
> > > It's not. It's an unrealistic assessment of your problems.
> > >
> > Stevie you are nuts
>
> Nope.

you are nuts

it is unrealist of you to try an impose your moral code on anyone esp
over the medium of the internet

anyone that tries is a nut

>
> > of course how I am is normal for me part of the meaning of the word
> > normal
>
> What you ARE is NOT normal.

sure am I fall within the range of normal behavoir

>
> > I have a problem of two being that I, without huge effort express
> > myself poorly in written form. That is unfortunate.
>
> It's extremely unfortuntate since there's very cheap technology
> that would allow you to avoid it. In the above paragraphs you claim to
> be so well-off, yet can't splurge for some software that would shut up
> all the naysayers.

becuase as John has pointed for me it isn't just a matter of "some
cheap tech"

and it would nothing to shut you up

>
> But you don't.
>
> > It is not as you
> > insist a mental defect esp not a simply correctable one, years in my

> > youth dealing the mental health system taught me that
>
> Sure it is.

not according to the pros and the folks that do the tests

>
> You know there's a problem. You know there's both therapy and
> tehcnology to help you overcome it. But you refuse to use eitehr to
> help you.

another lie stevie the lie of course the notion everything can be
"fixed" most stuff can be mitagated to some extent but little can raly
be "fixed"

indeed if you got help for your problems i doubt they can fixed either,
they could be mitagated but you refuse to do so

>
> > > As for "provoking" and "bigoted", those are issues of YOUR own to
> > > deal with. Again, they fall squarely into your "I am a victim"
> > > routine.
> >
> > nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> > doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> > jerk not a bully.
>
> Dave's not a jerk...Not by ANY definition.

wrong and an clear lie, since i define him as one
>
> Nor is Jim.

never said jim was
>
> > I know you are a bully because I watch you bully everyone you disagree
> > with, not just me. that tells who is seeing thing straight
>
> The you've just proven that you need glasses, Markie, because
> there are more folks here than you, Brain and Lennie that I've had
> disagreements with, and manage to move on.

realy name one? cloest one would bil sohl but he rarely posts

no regular that you respond to do you seriously disgree with

but in any case you think that you might not bully everyone it becomes
valid to say you bully no one

realy sick stevie

>
> Too bad for you.

yes a truthfull stament

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 395. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:14:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123172089.701933.317410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114746

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> > >
> > > Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
> > > other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
> > >
> > > 73
> >
> > KMA
>
> Uh huh.
>
> About what I expected and whom I expected it from. Pretty much
> substantiates my claims about who pulls threads into rants, etc etc
> etc.

if by that you mean that some folks like myself will not allow you to
control the newsgroup then of course you are right

>
> > > Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,
> >
> > Try treating your fellow hams like ladies and gentlemen, Steve.
>
> OK...From now on I will treat you like a lady, Brain, but only
> since you asked me to do it.
>
> > Your 1,000+ comments each month treat people like dirt.
>
> Nope...Just the liars, deceivers and cheats.
>
> Which pretty much narrows it down to you, Lennie, Mark Morgan and
> Toaddie.
>
> Pretty small field when you consider the overall size of the race.
>
> No "73" for you...You very aptly proved who's what here, Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 396. spaming the Newsgroups Now stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:21:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123172467.249404.229200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114747

please give us a break
K4YZ wrote:
cuting stevie spaming us with links

## 397. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:24:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123172660.682530.280340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code as the
encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.

Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is another
issue. It is certainly not a "better way".

Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
greatly reduced.
Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
from rain
and other inclement weather.

Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
could win
the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
isn't
a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.

Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
been around
for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
them, and
reading sheet music is a skill of its own.

With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
machine that
scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
all those
lessons, practice, etc.

There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
who
Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
nothing."

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
> some better.
>

> Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
> what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
> he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
>
> The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
> checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
> abbreviations.
>
> You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
> abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
> from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
> program cannot, yet, handle...
>
> All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
> have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
> represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
> the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
> the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
>
> However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
> certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
> together.
>
> Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
> have a relative or friend in the field?
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>
> > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
> >
> >>b.b.:
> >>
> >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
> >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
> >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
> >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
> >>
> >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
> >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
> >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
> >>speed! <grin>
> >>
> >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
> >
> > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
> > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
> > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
> > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for

> > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
> > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
> > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
> > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
> > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
> > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
> > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
> > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
> >
> > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
> > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
> > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
> > viable without much alteration of the source.
> >
> > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
> > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
> > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
> >
> > don dit
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 398. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:24:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123172688.943635.213380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114748

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Remember what Stebie Rosebud said out loud in here..."it's
> > all about the LICENSE." That's all it is to him, apparently.
>
> Whellllp....You'll lie on documents that you send to the federal
> government. I guess it's just as easy for you to lie here.

more libel
>
> Steve Robeson did NOT say "...it's all about the license..."

you have said words to that effect, a valid response even under oath
>
> Steve Robeson DID say that Amateur Radio is about OPERATING the
> radio...Something that Lennie Anderson cannot do without the license.
> His frequently mentioned GROL is useless for Part 97 operations.

another Stevie lie

Len or anyone else without a license can indeed operate on ARS
frequecies

the means are well know the Stevie or should be

in addition of course one can simply operate (ilegal but possible)
>
> Leonard Anderson lied on federally submitted documents. Right
> there on page one.

anything Stevie doesn't like is a lie

>
> Putz.

more obsession with genitals
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 399. Re: DeShawn is speaking for Steve Robeson/K4YZ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:27:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123172873.326982.228310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114750

```
b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > DeShawn wrote:
> > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > > You're accusing ME of "evasion" then cut out direct, specific
> > > > > questions aimed at you.
> > > >
> > > > Who's "ME?" Dat you, Steve?
> > >
> > > Ebonics don't suit you, Brain.
> >
> > well it was another thing to be tired in getting though your think
> > skull
>
> Thick skull. Steve is smarter than he lets on.

he has to be smarter than he lets on
>
> > > > > THAT is "evasion".
> > > > >
> > > > > THAT substantiates my accusations of "COWARD" directed at you.
> > > >
> > > > Who'se accusations? Steve's or DeShawn's?
> > >
> > > Whoever "DeShawn" is doesn't amtter, Brain.
> >
> > I agree it doesn't amtter. it might matter however esp if DeShawn is
> > you
>
> That's my best guess. Steve prides hisself on never having been
> ANONymous. Yet here he is telling everyone to forget what they saw.
>
> I think he's a lying SOS, and this is the proof.
>
> > > Are you having trouble following the attributes?
> > >
> > > > > You accused me of "practicing medicine", yet when I asked you to
> > > > > specify what yuo thought that was, you just "cut" it out.
> > > > >
> > > > > So here it is AGAIN.
> > > >
```

> > > > Mark never accused DeShawn of anything. Why you say he did?
> > >
> > > Still having problems following the attributes, Brain?
> > >
> > > Hitting the Geritol before you gt on to RRAP, Brain?
> > >
> > > > Who is dis DeShawn?
> > >
> > > Who is b.b....???
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > what is Stevie?
> >
> > Better not to know
>
> Indeed!

## 400. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:32:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123173147.254705.81800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114752

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!

As of the of the day in DC on 3 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments are:

Total 575
In-Between/Dupes 54*
Against Elimination 129
For Elimination 392

* In-betweeners are those "following" the ARRL plan to scrap code testing for all but Extras, therefore with feetses in both camps. In that group also are duplicate Comments and a few who wish a higher morse rate for all below 30 MHz! Excluded are the "joke" comments with names and given mailing addresses which are obviously phony.

Note: The DATE RECEIVED block on the ECFS Search Listings is running on Eastern time zone and the calendar date for all Comments is set accordingly.

To date, the NCTA:PCTA ratio is 392:129 or 3.1:1 ratio. The number of "in-betweener" Comments has increased markedly over the second week of Comments. To double-check that, I went through the entire "Against" Comments collection prior to 3 August and adjusted them and the "In-betweeners" accordingly.

Stay tuned. This was only Day 11 of Comments; there are 64 more days before the official end of shouting and hollering.

did dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 401. Re: Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 16:38:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123173488.191688.235690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114753

A lot of that going around now... :-)

## 402. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 17:22:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123176121.169955.89230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> as the
> encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.

indeed we are
>
> Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> another
> issue. It is certainly not a "better way".

that does depend on the goal, and the operator. Personaly I find the idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along for a minute) That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it back the same way. It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to despense with a PC

Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station by your standards (more modes more abilities)

so where your beef?

it is not your cup of tea sure fine

>
> Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> greatly reduced.
> Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> from rain
> and other inclement weather.
>
> Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> could win
> the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> isn't
> a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
>
> Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have

> been around
> for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> them, and
> reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
>
> With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> machine that
> scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> all those
> lessons, practice, etc.

all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
>
> There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
> who
> Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
> nothing."
>
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > Len:
> >
> > Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
> > some better.
> >
> > Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
> > what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
> > he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
> >
> > The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
> > checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
> > abbreviations.
> >
> > You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
> > abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
> > from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
> > program cannot, yet, handle...
> >
> > All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
> > have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
> > represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
> > the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
> > the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
> >
> > However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
> > certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
> > together.
> >
> > Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you

> > have a relative or friend in the field?
> >
> > John
> >
> > On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
> >
> > > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
> > >
> > >>b.b.:
> > >>
> > >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
> > >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
> > >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
> > >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
> > >>
> > >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
> > >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
> > >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
> > >>speed! <grin>
> > >>
> > >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
> > >
> > > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
> > > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
> > > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
> > > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
> > > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
> > > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
> > > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
> > > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
> > > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
> > > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
> > > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
> > > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
> > >
> > > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
> > > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
> > > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
> > > viable without much alteration of the source.
> > >
> > > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
> > > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
> > > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
> > >
> > > don dit
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 403. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 17:29:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <v8CdnSVjFulN3G_fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
N9OGL wrote:
> n9ogl wrote:
>
>>>he may well know he is a stalker after all
>>
>>He's a staker, bully, and narrow-minded, along with a few of friends on
>>here.
>
>
>
>>And you and old_friend are both dumber than a bowl of dirt.
>
>
> Another one of rec.radio.amateur.policy fine bullies.
>
> Todd O'Dochartaigh
>

And you're so stupid you can't even make up your mind about your last name.
```

# 404. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 17:38:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123177084.611060.20810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114755

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:PcudnQgxtY9qkm3fRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> >
> > Yeah I think we won't see any major changes. There will be a noticeable
> blip in upgrades but that's about it. Actually the fact that it is being
> implemented at the time of a sunspot minimum means we are at risk of losing
> hams as they upgrade, find the HF bands difficult and then drop out. We'll
> really need to do some exra Elmering to help the new people and keep them in
> the hobby.

maybe just try being polite amazing what you get with honey as opposed
to Sarin
> >
> Maybe we should ask NASA to launch ECHO again, but make it miles and miles
> wide, just to reflect HF signals. That way they will have perfect
> propagation and make life so much easier for the poor babies.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

## 405. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:01:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123182064.083678.150620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: John Smith on Aug 3, 10:51 pm

>Len:
>
>Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
>some better.
>
>Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
>what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
>he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
>
>The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
>checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
>abbreviations.

There's no need to use a table of abbreviation...those can vary
from time to time and operator to operator. The MAJOR problem
is determining the "dit rate"...once that is done, the "dah" can
be separated, also the inter-character spacing.

>You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
>abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
>from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
>program cannot, yet, handle...

Those who've never gotten far INTO computer programming will NOT
fully understand how blazing fast a 2 GHz clock PC really is!
My platform isn't top-of-the-line at 2 GHz clock and 100 MHz
RAM access...but it can almost blow the mind on how fast it can
handle anything in the Win32 family...I am getting slowly into
that through PowerBasic Compiler, calling the canned Win32
routines directly.

Back in '92 I shifted over to a moderate PC with a 20 MHz clock
and 1 MHz RAM access rate...and was checking various forms of
complex number calculation combinations to handle LARGE two-
dimensional arrays. Had those runing at tens of thousands of
random-quantity repetitions in order to get the fastest. That was
for a ported-over circuit analysis program from RCA in the 70s
(which I had helped improve - along with others in Central
Engineering - then). In the 1970s, any mainframe with a 10 MHz
clock and 1 MHz RAM access was considered "top of the line." :-)
I dug out the same PC time test routines and ran them on THIS
platform and the runs were just an eyeblink long. :-) In order
to actually time them, I had to increase the number of iterations

a hundred times (from about 10K) in order to see some semblance
of actually working hard!

>All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
>have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
>represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
>the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
>the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.

I disagree. The first task is to ADAPT to the going rate. That
requires only a temporary memory (but a large one at that since
one dimension MUST be time) to set the approximate received rate.
When rate is approximated, there can be a built-in weighting on
time duration to determine dit from dah. Simple conditional yes-
no on duration but the trick seems to be arriving at a good
decision point in time.

"Abbreviations" tables aren't needed. In fact, from seeing such
a program working (and being able to look at the flow diagrams
of the routines...source was in C++ but flow diagrams were in
standard box-diamond form...the common abbreviations and Q codes
could be left as they were in ASCII on the screen. Since morse
operators tended to have a great variation in inter-character and
inter-word spacing, those spaces were just left in the screen
display and the human reader could do the final "adaptation" on
what the spaces meant (or didn't mean).

>However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
>certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
>together.

In 1970 (at an RCA division in Van Nuys, CA) I got a chance to
do programmed calculations on an HP 9100 desk calculator...did
some statistics runs on aircraft collision avoidance estimates,
part of a long-range R&D project at RCA devised by the late
Jack Breckman (a genius type who could extemporaneously speak
in ordered paragraphs). Found that programming and I got along
very well and a "romance" of sorts happened, went full-flower
with successful negotiations with bean counters to get corporate
computer time (then horribly expensive). Got Dan McCracken's
softcover on FORTRAN IV Programming to explain the program
ordering (damn good book, Dan became President of the ACM for
a time later), won a steak dinner bet with another on being able
to make a running program and off it went to bigger, better
things. The epiphany happened due to a supplier delay on some
small inductors for a vital hardware delay line...could I use
a "close, but not quite right" inductor which was plentiful?
Did a simulation run for pulse shape on the corporate computer
using the possible replacement, decided it was okay. When the
replacement parts arrived, I quickly tack-soldered the delay
line together, viewed the pulse shape though it and found the
computer simulation waveform matched the real waveform EXACTLY!

I was sold and a definite believer in accurate simulation ever since.

>Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you >have a relative or friend in the field?

I never bothered with a "morse code reader" program. Wayyyyy TOO MANY OTHER kinds of calculations that would be of immense value. When my group at the RCA division was disbanded in '75, I had six programs in the Central Engineering software library and have had four other programs as Shareware back in times before the Internet went public. Those are all Freeware now, not that it matters much with Windows and other GUI-ey graphic screens being "what all want." :-)

As a former voting member of the ACM, courtesy of cross-membership privilege of the IEEE, I've worked with/known a bunch of computer programmers. The kind that can DO THE WORK and DEMONSTRATE it without pointing to a bunch of framed/plaqued certificates on the wall. One of those was the guy I described...one who had the HOBBY of programming as well as doing it every day for a living. We were friends enough for him to let me look at all pages of his project notebook...and myself letting him use my Icom receiver as a morse signal source. He thought it was a fun program to do, while I thought morsemanship wasn't worth bothering about...but, it was a fascinating challenge to mechanize and to make work. His development platform was rather faster than my 20 MHz clock thing and - as it was written then without final optimization - wouldn't work with high rates of the speed-freak hams (I knew of two, one in Frisco, the other near San Diego, both retired and busy beeping each other most every day then). Right now I can order a Microchip PIC from DigiKey running at a 50 MHz clock, get a couple large EPROMs to hold the source code (if ported) and it would work fine, I'm sure. PIC's RISC instruction set is NOT compatible with 80x86 instruction set and takes a lot of translation. Thank you, I'll take canned PIC programs, use those and be done with it.

I do NOT have ANY sort of decoder for morse, TTY, commercial SSB TTY tones, any of the TORs in-house. Not my cuppa either. The 'TOR peripheral boxes are cheap enough that I could buy one and use it if the interest struck. No problem. Someone else did the design, debug, engineering and I respect that; no sense in re-inventing wheels unless it's to make them more rounded, smoother, etc. :-)

Note: Watch for Jimmie Noserve, the Nun of the Above, to pick up on that last sentence and use it later in chiding postings agin' me...it might be weeks before he do dat, but he gots a memory like an effluent and will issue it later. Predictable.

NSA BSA
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 406. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:03:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123182226.714584.50560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114757

From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14

>K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
>> > >
>> > > Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
>> > > other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
>> > >
>> > > 73
>> >
>> > KMA
>>
>> Uh huh.
>>
>> About what I expected and whom I expected it from. Pretty much
>> substantiates my claims about who pulls threads into rants, etc etc
>> etc.
>
>if by that you mean that some folks like myself will not allow you to
>control the newsgroup then of course you are right

Stebie has a terrible NEED to control others and acts like all
his diagreers are the Antichrist, spawn of satan, or evil
incarnate come to bedevil HIM, the DILL Instructor of this
murine corpse. :-)

I love Stebie's opening "salutation" to the FCC on WT Docket
05-235:

>> > > Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,

Geez, Stebie is giving a SPEECH for an assembled group! :-)

He steps up to the podium, adjusts the microphone, takes a
sip of water, opens his speech text copy notebook and beings
to SPEAK! [poor guy didn't get any thunderous applause when
he was finished...snif, snif...]

At 445 12th St. S.W. in DC is someone at a desk, using a
workstation, pulling down incoming Comments on WT Docket
05-235 at an average rate of about 52 a day...and Stebie
thinks he is making a SPEECH! Gotta love the immense EGO
on the DILL Instructor with the askew campaign hat. Like
the FCC folks love "getting SPOKEN to?" :-)

If Bill Cross had the FCC making a decision contrary to what Stebie wants, Stebie would probably yell "Get down and gimme ten!" at him. :-)

Ve are all Putzes in da ghetto while Stebie is up on the roof vid his fiddle, playing while his mind burns. Shalom!

oye veh
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 407. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:05:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123182353.164485.248960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114758

From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:24

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > Remember what Stebie Rosebud said out loud in here..."it's
>> > all about the LICENSE." That's all it is to him, apparently.
>>
>> Whellllp....You'll lie on documents that you send to the federal
>> government. I guess it's just as easy for you to lie here.
>
>more libel

Not really. He's just nutso, Mark. He's frustrated and Angry
As Hell And Can't Take It Anymore! as of several years ago.

>> Steve Robeson did NOT say "...it's all about the license..."
>
>you have said words to that effect, a valid response even under oath

I can pull out a recent archive quote from Google to prove
what Stebie said...but Stebie would DENY it (under oath or
mumbling several oaths), try to rationalize it, all to avoid
EVER saying any of us are correct. In his disordered mind,
all negative sayings against Stebie are LIES!!! :-)

>> Steve Robeson DID say that Amateur Radio is about OPERATING the
>> radio...Something that Lennie Anderson cannot do without the license.
>> His frequently mentioned GROL is useless for Part 97 operations.
>
>another Stevie lie
>
>Len or anyone else without a license can indeed operate on ARS
>frequecies

In a REAL emergency...FCC regulations say so. :-)

However, I can and have operated MANY RADIOS quite well and
all legally...just not IN the amateur frequency allocations
legally. OPERATION no problem.

>> Leonard Anderson lied on federally submitted documents. Right
>> there on page one.
>
>anything Stevie doesn't like is a lie

The current President of the United States LIED when he said, before the nation, that there were Weapons of Mass Destruction in Iraq, thus justifying invasion and the killing of thousands of Iraqis and 1800 U.S. military personnel. So far, shrub hasn't been recalled and still occupies the White House. :-)

Tsk. Stebie EXAGGERATES something fierce! :-)

"LIED ON FEDERALLY SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS!!!!"

Sound the Hue and Cry!!! Form the posse! Hang 'em high!

Stebie needs to wash his KKK "white dress uniform," especially around the mouth. It's gone on seven years now and hasn't been cleaned...must be itching something fierce after seven years.

No problem. We'll see Stebie IN COURT. Oyez, oyez! :-)

>> Putz.
>
>more obsession with genitals

Maybe Stebie isn't "getting any?" He wants to Convert?

Oy gevalt!

sha lom
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 408. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:08:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.19.08.12.859305@gmail.com>
Source record: 114759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY:

Oh, we are talking about MUCH MORE than RTTY...

Not even close... RTTY is dead... but some dead languages are still
spoken, no surprise. Look at how long Latin was a dead language, but
still pressed to service the the catholic church...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 09:24:21 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> as the
> encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
>
> Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> another
> issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
>
> Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> greatly reduced.
> Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> from rain
> and other inclement weather.
>
> Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> could win
> the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> isn't
> a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
>
> Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> been around
> for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> them, and
> reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
>
> With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> machine that
> scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> all those
> lessons, practice, etc.

>
> There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
> who
> Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
> nothing."
>
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
>> some better.
>>
>> Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
>> what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
>> he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
>>
>> The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
>> checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
>> abbreviations.
>>
>> You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
>> abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
>> from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
>> program cannot, yet, handle...
>>
>> All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
>> have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
>> represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
>> the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
>> the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
>>
>> However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
>> certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
>> together.
>>
>> Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
>> have a relative or friend in the field?
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>>
>> > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
>> >
>> >>b.b.:
>> >>
>> >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
>> >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a

>> >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
>> >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
>> >>
>> >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
>> >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
>> >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
>> >>speed! <grin>
>> >>
>> >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
>> >
>> > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
>> > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
>> > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
>> > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
>> > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
>> > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
>> > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
>> > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
>> > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
>> > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
>> > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
>> > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
>> >
>> > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
>> > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
>> > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
>> > viable without much alteration of the source.
>> >
>> > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
>> > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
>> > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
>> >
>> > don dit
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 409. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:10:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.19.10.28.444904@gmail.com>
Source record: 114760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Len:

It is not even close...

The end of all that design in computer hardware and software, when
efficient and up-to-date, would be impossible for a human operator to send
let alone receive without hardware and software... RTTY is as dead as CW...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 10:22:01 -0700, an old friend wrote:

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
>> as the
>> encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
>
> indeed we are
>>
>> Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
>> another
>> issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
>
> that does depend on the goal, and the operator. Personaly I find the
> idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
> redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
> for a minute) That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
> to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
> back the same way. It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> despense with a PC
>
> Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
> computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> by your standards (more modes more abilities)
>
> so where your beef?
>
>
> it is not your cup of tea sure fine
>
>>
>> Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need

>> to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
>> greatly reduced.
>> Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
>> pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
>> from rain
>> and other inclement weather.
>>
>> Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
>> could win
>> the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
>> isn't
>> a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
>>
>> Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
>> been around
>> for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
>> them, and
>> reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
>>
>> With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
>> machine that
>> scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
>> all those
>> lessons, practice, etc.
>
> all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
>>
>> There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
>> who
>> Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
>> nothing."
>>
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Len:
>> >
>> > Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
>> > some better.
>> >
>> > Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
>> > what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
>> > he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
>> >
>> > The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
>> > checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
>> > abbreviations.
>> >
>> > You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
>> > abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy

>> > from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
>> > program cannot, yet, handle...
>> >
>> > All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
>> > have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
>> > represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
>> > the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
>> > the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
>> >
>> > However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
>> > certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
>> > together.
>> >
>> > Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
>> > have a relative or friend in the field?
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>> > On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>> >
>> > > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
>> > >
>> > >>b.b.:
>> > >>
>> > >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
>> > >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
>> > >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
>> > >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
>> > >>
>> > >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
>> > >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
>> > >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
>> > >>speed! <grin>
>> > >>
>> > >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
>> > >
>> > > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
>> > > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
>> > > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
>> > > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
>> > > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
>> > > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
>> > > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
>> > > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
>> > > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
>> > > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
>> > > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
>> > > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
>> > >
>> > > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even

>> > > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
>> > > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
>> > > viable without much alteration of the source.
>> > >
>> > > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
>> > > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
>> > > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
>> > >
>> > > don dit
>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 410. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:14:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.19.14.09.830237@gmail.com>
Source record: 114761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Len:

Right now I am running a 3.8Ghz processor clock with a 466Mhz memory clock
on a bus capable of 266Mhz.

The fastest keyer would leave the
hardware/software killing time to await his next di or dah...

The purpose of the "error file" is to catch new abreviations so they can
be added to the table, let them come up with as many variations as they
possibly can, in the end the reader is only made stronger...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 12:01:04 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 3, 10:51 pm
>
>>Len:
>>
>>Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
>>some better.
>>
>>Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
>>what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
>>he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
>>
>>The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
>>checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
>>abbreviations.
>
> There's no need to use a table of abbreviation...those can vary
> from time to time and operator to operator. The MAJOR problem
> is determining the "dit rate"...once that is done, the "dah" can
> be separated, also the inter-character spacing.
>
>>You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
>>abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
>>from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
>>program cannot, yet, handle...
>
> Those who've never gotten far INTO computer programming will NOT
> fully understand how blazing fast a 2 GHz clock PC really is!
> My platform isn't top-of-the-line at 2 GHz clock and 100 MHz
> RAM access...but it can almost blow the mind on how fast it can

> handle anything in the Win32 family...I am getting slowly into
> that through PowerBasic Compiler, calling the canned Win32
> routines directly.
>
> Back in '92 I shifted over to a moderate PC with a 20 MHz clock
> and 1 MHz RAM access rate...and was checking various forms of
> complex number calculation combinations to handle LARGE two-
> dimensional arrays. Had those runing at tens of thousands of
> random-quantity repetitions in order to get the fastest. That was
> for a ported-over circuit analysis program from RCA in the 70s
> (which I had helped improve - along with others in Central
> Engineering - then). In the 1970s, any mainframe with a 10 MHz
> clock and 1 MHz RAM access was considered "top of the line." :-)
> I dug out the same PC time test routines and ran them on THIS
> platform and the runs were just an eyeblink long. :-) In order
> to actually time them, I had to increase the number of iterations
> a hundred times (from about 10K) in order to see some semblance
> of actually working hard!
>
>>All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
>>have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
>>represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
>>the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
>>the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
>
> I disagree. The first task is to ADAPT to the going rate. That
> requires only a temporary memory (but a large one at that since
> one dimension MUST be time) to set the approximate received rate.
> When rate is approximated, there can be a built-in weighting on
> time duration to determine dit from dah. Simple conditional yes-
> no on duration but the trick seems to be arriving at a good
> decision point in time.
>
> "Abbreviations" tables aren't needed. In fact, from seeing such
> a program working (and being able to look at the flow diagrams
> of the routines...source was in C++ but flow diagrams were in
> standard box-diamond form...the common abbreviations and Q codes
> could be left as they were in ASCII on the screen. Since morse
> operators tended to have a great variation in inter-character and
> inter-word spacing, those spaces were just left in the screen
> display and the human reader could do the final "adaptation" on
> what the spaces meant (or didn't mean).
>
>>However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
>>certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
>>together.
>
> In 1970 (at an RCA division in Van Nuys, CA) I got a chance to
> do programmed calculations on an HP 9100 desk calculator...did
> some statistics runs on aircraft collision avoidance estimates,

> part of a long-range R&D project at RCA devised by the late
> Jack Breckman (a genius type who could extemporaneously speak
> in ordered paragraphs). Found that programming and I got along
> very well and a "romance" of sorts happened, went full-flower
> with successful negotiations with bean counters to get corporate
> computer time (then horribly expensive). Got Dan McCracken's
> softcover on FORTRAN IV Programming to explain the program
> ordering (damn good book, Dan became President of the ACM for
> a time later), won a steak dinner bet with another on being able
> to make a running program and off it went to bigger, better
> things. The epiphany happened due to a supplier delay on some
> small inductors for a vital hardware delay line...could I use
> a "close, but not quite right" inductor which was plentiful?
> Did a simulation run for pulse shape on the corporate computer
> using the possible replacement, decided it was okay. When the
> replacement parts arrived, I quickly tack-soldered the delay
> line together, viewed the pulse shape though it and found the
> computer simulation waveform matched the real waveform EXACTLY!
> I was sold and a definite believer in accurate simulation ever
> since.
>
>>Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
>>have a relative or friend in the field?
>
> I never bothered with a "morse code reader" program. Wayyyyy
> TOO MANY OTHER kinds of calculations that would be of immense
> value. When my group at the RCA division was disbanded in '75,
> I had six programs in the Central Engineering software library
> and have had four other programs as Shareware back in times
> before the Internet went public. Those are all Freeware now,
> not that it matters much with Windows and other GUI-ey graphic
> screens being "what all want." :-)
>
> As a former voting member of the ACM, courtesy of cross-membership
> privilege of the IEEE, I've worked with/known a bunch of computer
> programmers. The kind that can DO THE WORK and DEMONSTRATE it
> without pointing to a bunch of framed/plaqued certificates on the
> wall. One of those was the guy I described...one who had the
> HOBBY of programming as well as doing it every day for a living.
> We were friends enough for him to let me look at all pages of his
> project notebook...and myself letting him use my Icom receiver as
> a morse signal source. He thought it was a fun program to do,
> while I thought morsemanship wasn't worth bothering about...but,
> it was a fascinating challenge to mechanize and to make work.
> His development platform was rather faster than my 20 MHz clock
> thing and - as it was written then without final optimization -
> wouldn't work with high rates of the speed-freak hams (I knew of
> two, one in Frisco, the other near San Diego, both retired and
> busy beeping each other most every day then). Right now I can
> order a Microchip PIC from DigiKey running at a 50 MHz clock,

> get a couple large EPROMs to hold the source code (if ported)
> and it would work fine, I'm sure. PIC's RISC instruction set is
> NOT compatible with 80x86 instruction set and takes a lot of
> translation. Thank you, I'll take canned PIC programs, use
> those and be done with it.
>
> I do NOT have ANY sort of decoder for morse, TTY, commercial
> SSB TTY tones, any of the TORs in-house. Not my cuppa either.
> The 'TOR peripheral boxes are cheap enough that I could buy
> one and use it if the interest struck. No problem. Someone
> else did the design, debug, engineering and I respect that;
> no sense in re-inventing wheels unless it's to make them more
> rounded, smoother, etc. :-)
>
> Note: Watch for Jimmie Noserve, the Nun of the Above, to pick
> up on that last sentence and use it later in chiding postings
> agin' me...it might be weeks before he do dat, but he gots a
> memory like an effluent and will issue it later. Predictable.
>
> NSA BSA
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 411. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...K1MAN Says "No Thanks", I'd Rather Get My Health Care From Jack Kevorkian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:16:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.19.16.50.83644@gmail.com>
Source record: 114762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ:

You should look at the letter I am preparing for the pope, I am
recommending K1MAN for sainthood. I expect him to become the patron saint
of amateurs world wide, well, at least for catholics...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 08:01:50 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>> ------------------------------------------------------------
>
> If I were K1MAN sitting on death row waiting for N9OGL to write a
> comment to the court on my behalf, I think I'd start getting my veins
> in shape so it only took 'em one stick to get the IV catheter in....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 412. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:33:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123184029.834189.5480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:
>
> You should look at the letter I am preparing for the pope, I am
> recommending K1MAN for sainthood. I expect him to become the patron saint
> of amateurs world wide, well, at least for catholics...

I wish youd take the newsgroups a little moreseriously

or prehaps I should say I wish you could take the NG more seriously

>
> John
>
> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 08:01:50 -0700, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> ---------------------------------------------------------
> >> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
> >> ---------------------------------------------------------
> >
> > If I were K1MAN sitting on death row waiting for N9OGL to write a
> > comment to the court on my behalf, I think I'd start getting my veins
> > in shape so it only took 'em one stick to get the IV catheter in....
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
```

## 413. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:39:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123184365.827743.180810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114764

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:24
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > Remember what Stebie Rosebud said out loud in here..."it's
> >> > all about the LICENSE." That's all it is to him, apparently.
> >>
> >> Whellllp....You'll lie on documents that you send to the federal
> >> government. I guess it's just as easy for you to lie here.
> >>
> >more libel
>
> Not really. He's just nutso, Mark. He's frustrated and Angry
> As Hell And Can't Take It Anymore! as of several years ago.

I supose his being insane might be a defense

>
> >> Steve Robeson did NOT say "...it's all about the license..."
> >>
> >you have said words to that effect, a valid response even under oath
>
> I can pull out a recent archive quote from Google to prove
> what Stebie said...but Stebie would DENY it (under oath or
> mumbling several oaths), try to rationalize it, all to avoid
> EVER saying any of us are correct. In his disordered mind,
> all negative sayings against Stebie are LIES!!! :-)

indeed anything said by someone he doesn't like

He can't even admit teh Borthers grim did more than write down fairy
tales

>
> >> Steve Robeson DID say that Amateur Radio is about OPERATING the
> >> radio...Something that Lennie Anderson cannot do without the license.
> >> His frequently mentioned GROL is useless for Part 97 operations.
> >>
> >another Stevie lie
> >>
> >Len or anyone else without a license can indeed operate on ARS
> >frequecies
>

> In a REAL emergency...FCC regulations say so. :-)

or if there is a Licensed op around
>
> However, I can and have operated MANY RADIOS quite well and
> all legally...just not IN the amateur frequency allocations
> legally. OPERATION no problem.
>
>
> >> Leonard Anderson lied on federally submitted documents. Right
> >> there on page one.
> >
> >anything Stevie doesn't like is a lie
>
> The current President of the United States LIED when he said,
> before the nation, that there were Weapons of Mass Destruction
> in Iraq, thus justifying invasion and the killing of thousands
> of Iraqis and 1800 U.S. military personnel. So far, shrub
> hasn't been recalled and still occupies the White House. :-)
>
> Tsk. Stebie EXAGGERATES something fierce! :-)
>
> "LIED ON FEDERALLY SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS!!!!"
>
> Sound the Hue and Cry!!! Form the posse! Hang 'em high!
>
> Stebie needs to wash his KKK "white dress uniform," especially
> around the mouth. It's gone on seven years now and hasn't been
> cleaned...must be itching something fierce after seven years.
>
> No problem. We'll see Stebie IN COURT. Oyez, oyez! :-)
>
> >> Putz.
> >
> >more obsession with genitals
>
> Maybe Stebie isn't "getting any?" He wants to Convert?

I don't he wants to convert just has and can't admit it to himself let
alone anyone esle

>
> Oy gevalt!
>
> sha lom
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 414. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:40:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123184447.468214.251780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114765

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:24
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > Remember what Stebie Rosebud said out loud in here..."it's
> >> > all about the LICENSE." That's all it is to him, apparently.
> >>
> >> Whellllp....You'll lie on documents that you send to the federal
> >> government. I guess it's just as easy for you to lie here.
> >>
> >more libel
>
> Not really. He's just nutso, Mark. He's frustrated and Angry
> As Hell And Can't Take It Anymore! as of several years ago.

I supose his being insane might be a defense

>
> >> Steve Robeson did NOT say "...it's all about the license..."
> >>
> >you have said words to that effect, a valid response even under oath
>
> I can pull out a recent archive quote from Google to prove
> what Stebie said...but Stebie would DENY it (under oath or
> mumbling several oaths), try to rationalize it, all to avoid
> EVER saying any of us are correct. In his disordered mind,
> all negative sayings against Stebie are LIES!!! :-)

indeed anything said by someone he doesn't like

He can't even admit teh Borthers grim did more than write down fairy
tales

>
> >> Steve Robeson DID say that Amateur Radio is about OPERATING the
> >> radio...Something that Lennie Anderson cannot do without the license.
> >> His frequently mentioned GROL is useless for Part 97 operations.
> >>
> >another Stevie lie
> >
> >Len or anyone else without a license can indeed operate on ARS
> >frequecies
>

> In a REAL emergency...FCC regulations say so. :-)

or if there is a Licensed op around
>
> However, I can and have operated MANY RADIOS quite well and
> all legally...just not IN the amateur frequency allocations
> legally. OPERATION no problem.
>
>
> >> Leonard Anderson lied on federally submitted documents. Right
> >> there on page one.
> >
> >anything Stevie doesn't like is a lie
>
> The current President of the United States LIED when he said,
> before the nation, that there were Weapons of Mass Destruction
> in Iraq, thus justifying invasion and the killing of thousands
> of Iraqis and 1800 U.S. military personnel. So far, shrub
> hasn't been recalled and still occupies the White House. :-)
>
> Tsk. Stebie EXAGGERATES something fierce! :-)
>
> "LIED ON FEDERALLY SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS!!!!"
>
> Sound the Hue and Cry!!! Form the posse! Hang 'em high!
>
> Stebie needs to wash his KKK "white dress uniform," especially
> around the mouth. It's gone on seven years now and hasn't been
> cleaned...must be itching something fierce after seven years.
>
> No problem. We'll see Stebie IN COURT. Oyez, oyez! :-)

nope stevie lack the guts and likely the funds to take us there, and
seem to lack the funds to worth us taking him there

id rather see him up before a comitement broad but likely he is not
screwed up enough to commit given the way they let folks out now

>
> >> Putz.
> >
> >more obsession with genitals
>
> Maybe Stebie isn't "getting any?" He wants to Convert?

I don't he wants to convert just has and can't admit it to himself let
alone anyone esle

>
> Oy gevalt!
>
> sha lom

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 415. nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 19:45:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123184755.040805.176340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114766

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I've been having GREAT days.

Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
>
> It's so much fun showing you to be the LIARr that you are.

## 416. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 20:05:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.20.05.56.679885@gmail.com>
Source record: 114769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
AOF:

Hey, no problem taking newsgroups seriously, the problem arises when
expected to take some posters seriously...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 12:33:49 -0700, an old friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> K4YZ:
>>
>> You should look at the letter I am preparing for the pope, I am
>> recommending K1MAN for sainthood. I expect him to become the patron saint
>> of amateurs world wide, well, at least for catholics...
>
> I wish youd take the newsgroups a little moreseriously
>
> or prehaps I should say I wish you could take the NG more seriously
>
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 08:01:50 -0700, K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> >> ------------------------------------------------------------
>> >> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>> >> ------------------------------------------------------------
>> >
>> > If I were K1MAN sitting on death row waiting for N9OGL to write a
>> > comment to the court on my behalf, I think I'd start getting my veins
>> > in shape so it only took 'em one stick to get the IV catheter in....
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
```

## 417. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 20:08:54 UTC
From: texasredleg@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1123186134.008285.176470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114767

Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF phone.....

John T.
W5KXO
San Antonio, Texas

## 418. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 20:09:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.20.09.48.836652@gmail.com>
Source record: 114768

Len:

The test of any great speaker is if he can sell 'em the BS as sanity
deserving a sane mans time... even George Bush is still hunting how to
make that dream come true, and he has closets full of aids to assist him!

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 12:03:46 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>> b.b. wrote:
>>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
>>> > >
>>> > > Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
>>> > > other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
>>> > >
>>> > > 73
>>> >
>>> > KMA
>>>
>>> Uh huh.
>>>
>>> About what I expected and whom I expected it from. Pretty much
>>> substantiates my claims about who pulls threads into rants, etc etc
>>> etc.
>>
>>if by that you mean that some folks like myself will not allow you to
>>control the newsgroup then of course you are right
>
> Stebie has a terrible NEED to control others and acts like all
> his diagreers are the Antichrist, spawn of satan, or evil
> incarnate come to bedevil HIM, the DILL Instructor of this
> murine corpse. :-)
>
> I love Stebie's opening "salutation" to the FCC on WT Docket
> 05-235:
>
>>> > > Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,
>
> Geez, Stebie is giving a SPEECH for an assembled group! :-)

>
> He steps up to the podium, adjusts the microphone, takes a
> sip of water, opens his speech text copy notebook and beings
> to SPEAK! [poor guy didn't get any thunderous applause when
> he was finished...snif, snif...]
>
> At 445 12th St. S.W. in DC is someone at a desk, using a
> workstation, pulling down incoming Comments on WT Docket
> 05-235 at an average rate of about 52 a day...and Stebie
> thinks he is making a SPEECH! Gotta love the immense EGO
> on the DILL Instructor with the askew campaign hat. Like
> the FCC folks love "getting SPOKEN to?" :-)
>
> If Bill Cross had the FCC making a decision contrary to what
> Stebie wants, Stebie would probably yell "Get down and gimme
> ten!" at him. :-)
>
> Ve are all Putzes in da ghetto while Stebie is up on the roof
> vid his fiddle, playing while his mind burns. Shalom!
>
> oye veh
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 419. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 20:50:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114770

texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
> Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
> morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
> never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
> phone.....

For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on homebrewing to use manufactured radio sets.

For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on voice modes to use Morse Code and data modes.

For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on the limits of VHF/UHF ham bands to operate on the HF/MF ham bands.

For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on RF exposure and electrical safety to use low power battery-operated rigs.

For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on transistors and ICs to use vacuum tube rigs.

Etc.

Suppose someone wanted to operate a low-power Morse Code amateur radio transceiver on 7020 kHz. Just a simple 50 watt transceiver and dipole antenna, with key and speaker.

To operate legally, such a person would need an Extra class license, which requires passing tests that include all sorts of stuff that is unnecessary for the legal and correct operation of the above station.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 420. Learn the rules Steve (was Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 20:55:48 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <obWcneU3i-ZKHW_fUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 114771

Steve,

The comment period isn't OPEN yet (the release of the NPRM by the FCC doesn't "start the clock," it's the publication in the Federal Register, which has not yet happened.

Thus, technically speaking, while the docket is open in the ECFS, comments filed now are "premature," so I would suggest you consider refraining from "dis-ing" people over something where they are behaving in a completely appropriate manner.

I fully intend to file my comments on the NPRM within the appropriate, prescribed time window. I expect others who understand the comment/reply comment process, as prescribed by the Administrative Procedures Act will likewise file their comments in the appropriate, prescribed time window.

73,
Carl - wk3c

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Sooooooooooo......
>
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...
>
> 73

>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

## 421. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:00:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123189207.593775.134350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Oh, we are talking about MUCH MORE than RTTY...

No, you're not.

The systems you're talking about consist of a keyboard and visual
readout,
same as RTTY and other "keyboard modes". The error-correction and other
features are simply enhancements - they do not change the basic method
of communication, nor the experience of the end users.
>
> Not even close... RTTY is dead... but some dead languages are still
> spoken, no surprise. Look at how long Latin was a dead language, but
> still pressed to service the the catholic church...

Morse Code, OTOH, is alive and well on the amateur bands. You will find
many
more radio amateurs on the HF/MF amateur bands using Morse Code than
any
other mode except single sideband amplitude modulated voice.

Another analogy:

Inexpensive pocket calculators can do basic arithmetic far faster and
with more
accuracy than most humans, even with pencil and paper. Does that mean
there is
no reason to learn how to add, subtract, multiply and divide?

> John
>
> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 09:24:21 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
> > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > as the
> > encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
> >
> > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > another
> > issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
> >
> > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is

> > greatly reduced.
> > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > from rain
> > and other inclement weather.
> >
> > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > could win
> > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > isn't
> > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> >
> > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > been around
> > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> > them, and
> > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> >
> > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > machine that
> > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > all those
> > lessons, practice, etc.
> >
> > There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
> > who
> > Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
> > nothing."
> >
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Len:
> >>
> >> Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
> >> some better.
> >>
> >> Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
> >> what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
> >> he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
> >>
> >> The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
> >> checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
> >> abbreviations.
> >>
> >> You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
> >> abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
> >> from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
> >> program cannot, yet, handle...
> >>

> >> All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
> >> have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
> >> represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
> >> the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
> >> the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
> >>
> >> However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
> >> certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
> >> together.
> >>
> >> Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
> >> have a relative or friend in the field?
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
> >>
> >> > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
> >> >
> >> >>b.b.:
> >> >>
> >> >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
> >> >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
> >> >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
> >> >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
> >> >>
> >> >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
> >> >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
> >> >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
> >> >>speed! <grin>
> >> >>
> >> >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
> >> >
> >> > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
> >> > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
> >> > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
> >> > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
> >> > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
> >> > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
> >> > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
> >> > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
> >> > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
> >> > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
> >> > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
> >> > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
> >> >
> >> > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
> >> > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
> >> > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
> >> > viable without much alteration of the source.

> >> >
> >> > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
> >> > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
> >> > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
> >> >
> >> > don dit
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 422. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:00:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.21.00.07.593871@gmail.com>
Source record: 114773

N2EY:

Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
political pressures for their personal gains.

The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 13:50:13 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>
> texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>> Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
>> morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
>> never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
>> phone.....
>
> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> homebrewing to use manufactured radio sets.
>
> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> voice modes to use Morse Code and data modes.
>
> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on the
> limits of VHF/UHF ham bands to operate on the HF/MF ham bands.
>
> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on RF
> exposure and electrical safety to use low power battery-operated rigs.
>
> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> transistors and ICs to use vacuum tube rigs.
>
> Etc.
>
> Suppose someone wanted to operate a low-power Morse Code amateur radio
> transceiver on 7020 kHz. Just a simple 50 watt transceiver and dipole
> antenna, with key and speaker.
>
> To operate legally, such a person would need an Extra class license,
> which requires passing tests that include all sorts of stuff that is
> unnecessary for the legal and correct operation of the above station.
>
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 423. Re: Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:02:01 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <BrWcnawD16_WH2_fUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 114774

Charles, now THAT's FUNNY!!!!!!!!!! ROTFLMAO.

73,
Carl - wk3c

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:xxpIe.3146$iT2.1242@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> News Flash!
>
> Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters
> http://www.hamblog.com/?p=28
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
>

## 424. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:20:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.04.21.20.12.938227@gmail.com>
Source record: 114775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY:

My gawd man, must you apply antique analogies to everything which is attempting to break archaic methods to attempt to obfuscate anything you don't like and/or agree with? Technology has passed you by man, the reins have passed, what you are holding in your hands are the ashes of yesteryear... don't embarrass yourself and others about you... speak on things you understand, or not at all...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 14:00:07 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> 
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Oh, we are talking about MUCH MORE than RTTY...
> 
> No, you're not.
> 
> The systems you're talking about consist of a keyboard and visual
> readout,
> same as RTTY and other "keyboard modes". The error-correction and other
> features are simply enhancements - they do not change the basic method
> of communication, nor the experience of the end users.
>>
>> Not even close... RTTY is dead... but some dead languages are still
>> spoken, no surprise. Look at how long Latin was a dead language, but
>> still pressed to service the the catholic church...
> 
> Morse Code, OTOH, is alive and well on the amateur bands. You will find
> many
> more radio amateurs on the HF/MF amateur bands using Morse Code than
> any
> other mode except single sideband amplitude modulated voice.
> 
> Another analogy:
> 
> Inexpensive pocket calculators can do basic arithmetic far faster and
> with more
> accuracy than most humans, even with pencil and paper. Does that mean
> there is
> no reason to learn how to add, subtract, multiply and divide?

>
>
>
>
>> John
>>
>> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 09:24:21 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
>> > as the
>> > encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
>> >
>> > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
>> > another
>> > issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
>> >
>> > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
>> > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
>> > greatly reduced.
>> > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
>> > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
>> > from rain
>> > and other inclement weather.
>> >
>> > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
>> > could win
>> > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
>> > isn't
>> > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
>> >
>> > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
>> > been around
>> > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
>> > them, and
>> > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
>> >
>> > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
>> > machine that
>> > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
>> > all those
>> > lessons, practice, etc.
>> >
>> > There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
>> > who
>> > Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
>> > nothing."
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:

>> >> Len:
>> >>
>> >> Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
>> >> some better.
>> >>
>> >> Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
>> >> what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
>> >> he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
>> >>
>> >> The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
>> >> checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
>> >> abbreviations.
>> >>
>> >> You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
>> >> abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
>> >> from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
>> >> program cannot, yet, handle...
>> >>
>> >> All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
>> >> have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
>> >> represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
>> >> the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
>> >> the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
>> >>
>> >> However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
>> >> certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
>> >> together.
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
>> >> have a relative or friend in the field?
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
>> >> >
>> >> >>b.b.:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
>> >> >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
>> >> >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
>> >> >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
>> >> >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
>> >> >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
>> >> >>speed! <grin>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...

>> >> >
>> >> > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
>> >> > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
>> >> > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
>> >> > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
>> >> > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
>> >> > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
>> >> > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
>> >> > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
>> >> > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
>> >> > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
>> >> > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
>> >> > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
>> >> >
>> >> > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
>> >> > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
>> >> > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
>> >> > viable without much alteration of the source.
>> >> >
>> >> > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
>> >> > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
>> >> > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
>> >> >
>> >> > don dit
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 425. What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:36:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123191375.432954.87380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114776

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
> however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
> political pressures for their personal gains.
>
> The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
> necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
>
> John

So what is your solution?

Would you eliminate the technical parts of the tests because hams
aren't required to build or fix their rigs?

Would you eliminate all mode-specific and band-specific questions
because hams aren't required to use any specific band or mode?

Would you eliminate all technology-specific questions
because hams aren't required to use any specific technology?

*Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
the license tests?

>
> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 13:50:13 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
> >
> > texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
> >> Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
> >> morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
> >> never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
> >> phone.....
> >
> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> > homebrewing to use manufactured radio sets.
> >
> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> > voice modes to use Morse Code and data modes.
> >
> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on the
> > limits of VHF/UHF ham bands to operate on the HF/MF ham bands.
> >
> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on RF

> > exposure and electrical safety to use low power battery-operated rigs.
> >
> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> > transistors and ICs to use vacuum tube rigs.
> >
> > Etc.
> >
> > Suppose someone wanted to operate a low-power Morse Code amateur radio
> > transceiver on 7020 kHz. Just a simple 50 watt transceiver and dipole
> > antenna, with key and speaker.
> >
> > To operate legally, such a person would need an Extra class license,
> > which requires passing tests that include all sorts of stuff that is
> > unnecessary for the legal and correct operation of the above station.
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 426. Re: Learn the rules Steve (was Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:42:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123191760.840938.102200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114777

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Steve,
>
> The comment period isn't OPEN yet (the release of the NPRM by the FCC
> doesn't "start the clock," it's the publication in the Federal Register,
> which has not yet happened.
>
> Thus, technically speaking, while the docket is open in the ECFS, comments
> filed now are "premature," so I would suggest you consider refraining from
> "dis-ing" people over something where they are behaving in a completely
> appropriate manner.
>
> I fully intend to file my comments on the NPRM within the appropriate,
> prescribed time window. I expect others who understand the comment/reply
> comment process, as prescribed by the Administrative Procedures Act will
> likewise file their comments in the appropriate, prescribed time window.

Yo: Welcome back. Right on. Lotta premature all of it. Like my
Economics 101 prof would probably describe it "it's the result of pent
up adrenaline". Been meaning to get to you about the tower. I'll drop
you a line over the weekend.

dit-dit?

> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

w3rv

## 427. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:47:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GY6dnS7tFI2JEG_fRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 114778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.04.19.10.28.444904@gmail.com...
> Len:
>
> It is not even close...
>
> The end of all that design in computer hardware and software, when
> efficient and up-to-date, would be impossible for a human operator to send
> let alone receive without hardware and software... RTTY is as dead as
> CW...
>
> John
>
> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 10:22:01 -0700, an old friend wrote:
>

Every mode has its advantages and disadvantages. Neither RTTY nor CW is
dead. One just has more choices than in the past.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 428. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:50:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123192203.536491.286790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114779

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > N2EY:
> >
> > Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
> > however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
> > political pressures for their personal gains.
> >
> > The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
> > necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
> >
> > John
>
> So what is your solution?
>
> Would you eliminate the technical parts of the tests because hams
> aren't required to build or fix their rigs?
>
> Would you eliminate all mode-specific and band-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific band or mode?
>
> Would you eliminate all technology-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific technology?
>
> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
> the license tests?

. . . can't wait to read the pile of bafflegab he tosses out in
response to this one.

## 429. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:53:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123192438.833173.292330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > N2EY:
> >
> > Oh, we are talking about MUCH MORE than RTTY...
>
> No, you're not.
>
> The systems you're talking about consist of a keyboard and visual
> readout,
> same as RTTY and other "keyboard modes". The error-correction and other
> features are simply enhancements - they do not change the basic method
> of communication, nor the experience of the end users.
> >
> > Not even close... RTTY is dead... but some dead languages are still
> > spoken, no surprise. Look at how long Latin was a dead language, but
> > still pressed to service the the catholic church...
>
> Morse Code, OTOH, is alive and well on the amateur bands. You will find
> many
> more radio amateurs on the HF/MF amateur bands using Morse Code than
> any
> other mode except single sideband amplitude modulated voice.

making it Number 2 number 3 in the ARS as a whole most likely (incduing
FM voice and the whole frequenct spread
>
> Another analogy:
>
> Inexpensive pocket calculators can do basic arithmetic far faster and
> with more
> accuracy than most humans, even with pencil and paper. Does that mean
> there is
> no reason to learn how to add, subtract, multiply and divide?

but it means there isn't much purpose in testing for it as part of the
ARS licens etest

>
>
>
>
> > John
> >

> > On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 09:24:21 -0700, N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > > as the
> > > encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
> > >
> > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > > another
> > > issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
> > >
> > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > > greatly reduced.
> > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > > from rain
> > > and other inclement weather.
> > >
> > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > > could win
> > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > > isn't
> > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> > >
> > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > > been around
> > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> > > them, and
> > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> > >
> > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > > machine that
> > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > > all those
> > > lessons, practice, etc.
> > >
> > > There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
> > > who
> > > Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
> > > nothing."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > >> Len:
> > >>
> > >> Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
> > >> some better.
> > >>
> > >> Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn

> > >> what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width
> > >> he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
> > >>
> > >> The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
> > >> checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
> > >> abbreviations.
> > >>
> > >> You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
> > >> abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
> > >> from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
> > >> program cannot, yet, handle...
> > >>
> > >> All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
> > >> have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
> > >> represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
> > >> the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
> > >> the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
> > >>
> > >> However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
> > >> certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
> > >> together.
> > >>
> > >> Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
> > >> have a relative or friend in the field?
> > >>
> > >> John
> > >>
> > >> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
> > >> >
> > >> >>b.b.:
> > >> >>
> > >> >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
> > >> >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
> > >> >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
> > >> >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
> > >> >>
> > >> >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
> > >> >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
> > >> >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
> > >> >>speed! <grin>
> > >> >>
> > >> >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
> > >> >
> > >> > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
> > >> > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
> > >> > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
> > >> > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
> > >> > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The

> > >> > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences
> > >> > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
> > >> > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
> > >> > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
> > >> > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
> > >> > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
> > >> > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
> > >> >
> > >> > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
> > >> > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
> > >> > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
> > >> > viable without much alteration of the source.
> > >> >
> > >> > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
> > >> > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
> > >> > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
> > >> >
> > >> > don dit
> > >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 430. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:56:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123192606.581675.36360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> My gawd man, must you apply antique analogies to everything which is
> attempting to break archaic methods to attempt to obfuscate anything you
> don't like and/or agree with? Technology has passed you by man, the reins
> have passed, what you are holding in your hands are the ashes of
> yesteryear... don't embarrass yourself and others about you... speak on
> things you understand, or not at all...
>
> John

and Jim is the best of the Procoders the very best of them, and
confirms my long standing conviction that if there is in fact a
goodreason for Code testing the Procoders don't know what it is
>
> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 14:00:07 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
> > >
massive cut

# 431. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 21:59:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0-ednV9DypdAEm_fRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 114782

<texasredleg@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123186134.008285.176470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
> morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
> never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
> phone.....
>
> John T.
> W5KXO
> San Antonio, Texas
>

Because it is one of the basics of amateur radio. There are a number of things that you have to learn as basics that you may never use. A fundamental introduction to these basics is valuable in allowing the operator to better judge whether the subject is interesting enough to pursue further.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 432. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:01:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ftOdnSvAzoG7DW_fRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114784

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123064145.668618.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Well, well, well.....................
>
> After I posted my comments and recovered from laughing at Lennie's
> predictably pessimistic and mistruthful diatribe, I started to look
> over some of the other comments.
>
> Then I decided to just cut to the chase and search by names for
> the rest of you.
>
> Other than myself and Lennie, I only found ONE other semi-regular
> of this group had cared enough to comment: WA2ISE.
>
> Missing? K0HB, W5TIT, N8UZE, W5DXP, K2UNK, N2EY, AA2QA, K3LT,
> W3RV, KB9RQZ, N0IMD, WK3C, W1RFI, N3KIP, KC2HMZ, K8MN.
>
> Sooooooooooo......
>
> Where is everyone from BOTH sides of the aisle?
>
> On another note, I notice both Maia and West haven't said a word
> either...guess they figure they'll sell books one way or the other...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I haven't decided whether to comment or not.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 433. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:01:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123190098.060258.73680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
>
> indeed we are

Glad you agree

> > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".

> that does depend on the goal, and the operator.

True enough.

> Personaly I find the
> idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
> redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
> for a minute)

It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and flexibility
of
human-machine interface. There are many more good things (redeeming
virtues?) of Morse Code.

> That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
> to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
> back the same way.

One more tool in the toolbox.

> It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> despense with a PC

If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC at either
station.
>
> Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
> computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> by your standards (more modes more abilities)

In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.
>

> so where your beef?

The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.

> it is not your cup of tea sure fine
>
> > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > greatly reduced.
> > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > from rain
> > and other inclement weather.
> >
> > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > could win
> > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > isn't
> > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> >
> > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > been around
> > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> > them, and
> > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> >
> > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > machine that
> > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > all those
> > lessons, practice, etc.
>
> all depends on what you want, to listen or to play

Point is, there's a big difference.

> > There are many such analogies. But they are lost on some people - those
> > who
> > Shaw described as "knowing the price of everything and the value of
> > nothing."
> >
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > Len:
> > >
> > > Yep, that is one way alright, and produces good results, there are others,
> > > some better.
> > >
> > > Adaptive learning by the program is the key, and the program must learn
> > > what the senders' length of a di to a dah is, and the breath of the width

> > > he is spanning of each the di and the dah.
> > >
> > > The amateur abbreviations are in a table, and the dictionary from a spell
> > > checker can be borrowed to check decoded morse words against which are not
> > > abbreviations.
> > >
> > > You are right, a high speed machine affords you time to do
> > > abundant error checking--and here is where you gain close to 100% accuracy
> > > from, final fall back is the ear and the mind, to correct any mistakes the
> > > program cannot, yet, handle...
> > >
> > > All words which do not match the table of abbreviations or the dictionary
> > > have a copy of that word thrown into an error file, along with di's
> > > represented by periods and dah's represented by underscores or hyphens, of
> > > the word thought to be an error. This error file can be studied later and
> > > the program "tweaked" to handle such errors in the future.
> > >
> > > However, what interests me most is your knowledge on the subject, you most
> > > certainly have a good grasp of the logic necessary to begin to put one
> > > together.
> > >
> > > Perhaps you have programmed and played with such yourself? Perhaps you
> > > have a relative or friend in the field?
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > > On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:23:57 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
> > >
> > > > From: "John Smith" on Tues 2 Aug 2005 20:29
> > > >
> > > >>b.b.:
> > > >>
> > > >>They are not "sending code so poorly that a pimply-faced No-Code Tech with a
> > > >>code reader..." can't read it, they are attempting to send so badly that a
> > > >>computer running software coded by one both CW and computer savvy has set up--I
> > > >>suspect they think themselves smarter than the computer... maybe... <grin>
> > > >>
> > > >>Indeed, a very good programmer would inject "nuances" into the way the app
> > > >>translated his keyboard code to morse, making it virtually impossible for them
> > > >>to tell they were copying automaton generated code, at a very respectable
> > > >>speed! <grin>
> > > >>
> > > >>I would think it would be a game, an enjoyable one...
> > > >
> > > > John, that discussion took place in here a few years ago, my
> > > > remarking on what I'd seen, lent my Icom HF receiver for an
> > > > air test, on an ADAPTIVE decoder for morse. It was written
> > > > by a professional programmer as an intellectual exercise for
> > > > his own benefit, just wondering if it could be done. The
> > > > ADAPTIVE part was in automatically adjusting to the differences

> > > > in weighting of dits and dahs, their combination resulting in
> > > > a word rate equivalent. The ADAPTIVE part took most of the
> > > > source code...the translation of morse characters to ASCII for
> > > > immediate display was a small, small part of the source, just
> > > > a small look-up table in effect. It was done on a medium-old
> > > > clock rate PC but would be a snap to work at a 2 GHz clock.
> > > >
> > > > To reverse the process, to add weighting to dits and dahs, even
> > > > to having different weighting for different characters, is a
> > > > snap with a random number routine. That wasn't done, but is
> > > > viable without much alteration of the source.
> > > >
> > > > The PCTA extras in here will have NONE of such things! They
> > > > will attempt to THRASH anyone in a monumental display of deus
> > > > ex machina worthy of the most devout Luddite. <shrug>
> > > >
> > > > don dit
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 434. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:09:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123193389.307087.47440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>Kardiac Korner wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
> >>>>Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
> >>>>this is not endemic to the HF bands.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>On 'phone, maybe. Not on Morse Code.
> >>
> >> Not on Phone either. Of course, I think you knew that already, Jim!
> >
> >
> > There are some spots on 'phone where such conversations go on. 75
> > meters is a common place for them.
>
> No argument there. My point was that I take exception with the idea that
> the HF bands are populated by the type.

Oddly enough, the original post was about a conversation on a repeater.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 435. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:12:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123193558.770916.295140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
> >
> > indeed we are
>
> Glad you agree
>
> > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > > another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
>
> > that does depend on the goal, and the operator.
>
> True enough.
>
> > Personaly I find the
> > idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
> > redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
> > for a minute)
>
> It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and flexibility
> of
> human-machine interface. There are many more good things (redeeming
> virtues?) of Morse Code.

IYO

not in mine

it is a fact manual morse is quite useless to me and others

>
> > That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
> > to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
> > back the same way.
>
> One more tool in the toolbox.

and yet you opose allowing me in the playing feild at all

My station is at least one if not several such tool but you don't wish
to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool

>
> > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> > of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> > despense with a PC
>
> If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC at either
> station.

agreed but so what

this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my sation to
help the pcles staion

> >
> > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
> > computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> > by your standards (more modes more abilities)
>
> In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
> just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.

I have never said it was

it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul is
always better which just isn't so

> >
> > so where your beef?
>
> The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.

and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better

>
> > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
> >
> > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > > greatly reduced.
> > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > > from rain
> > > and other inclement weather.
> > >
> > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > > could win
> > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > > isn't
> > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> > >
> > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > > been around
> > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play

> > > them, and
> > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> > >
> > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > > machine that
> > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > > all those
> > > lessons, practice, etc.
> >
break
> > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
>
> Point is, there's a big difference.

which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune

and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?

>

# 436. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...K1MAN Says "No Thanks", I'd Rather Get My Health Care From Jack Kevorkian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:16:31 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <Z0VPCK3W38568.7614699074@anonymous>
Source record: 114787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <1123170039.630248.57470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >rec.radio.amateur.policy number one bully stebie said
> >If I were K1MAN sitting on death row waiting for N9OGL to
write a
> >comment to the court on my behalf, I think I'd start getting
my veins
> >in shape so it only took 'em one stick to get the IV catheter
in....
> >Stebie, K4YZ
>
> First off stebie, he isn't on death row, and I not for nor
against the
> renewal of his license. However, there is a lot questions that
need to
> be answered as stated in my orginal post. If the FCC doesn't
answer
> them I sure the US Court of Appeal will inform the FCC to do
so.

As if a twinkle-toed little faggot who lives in his parents'
basement like you do, Toadie, would know anything about it.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 437. Re: The Chosen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:21:20 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ANidnZ2dnZ1LMEL5nZ2dnYkIb9-dnZ2dRVn-zZ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 114789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:

> In article <A1WHe.1483$I04.831@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>The Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the usenet
>>servers at Google.com. They are the descendants of the Gods. I personally
>>subscribe to the theory they are the children of Thor Heyerdahl, the Viking god
>>of Thunder and Balsawood Raft Dxpeditions. But that's another story.
>
>
> Sorry, the only thing affiliated with radio that lives at Google is BPL.
>
> 73,
>
> Geoff.

BPL !! Even that expression has been usurped. BPL used to mean Brass
Pounders League. It was a CW honor to achieve BPL in handling traffic on
the CW nets.

# 438. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:22:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123194155.095011.233920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114788

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> I haven't decided whether to comment or not.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I'd strongly suggest that you comment, Dee, regardless of
what your comments are. And regardless of whether they
affect the outcome at all.

For one thing, although some folks claim to know what the majority
wants,
the fact of that won't be known until all the comments are in. Last
time,
the majority didn't get what they wanted, though....

Of course someone trustworthy will have to read and categorize all the
comments for us to know what the majority wants. That was done back in
1998.

If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
we stood.

--

One thing that I found unsettling about 98-143 was how *few*
comments were filed. FCC was proposing the biggest shakeup of
the license and test structure in many years, and they got maybe
2200 comments - from an amateur population of almost 700,000 hams
(not counting expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses, clubs, etc.)

Such a low turnout is troubling, particularly considering how easy
FCC has made it to file comments. There's ECFS, which can accept a
brief comment typed-in, or a lengthier one as a file attachment.
There's comments by mail, in paper or electronic format. 98-143
had a very long comment period, yet only about 1 in 300 US hams
commented at all.

Back in the 1960s, when FCC proposed the changes that came to be
known as "incentive licensing", they got over 6000 comments. There
were only about 250,000 US hams back then, with no internet, no
email, no word-processing, etc.

Last time I looked there were over 600 comments on file.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 439. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:45:42 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123195541.900776.136230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114790

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > > I figured that I would reserve my comments for a place that had a
> > > > better chance of having an effect on the decision. That would be in
> > > > rrap! ;^)
> > >
> > > I gave up on RRAP being any usable place for ANY kind of debate
> >
> > No kidding? You're the #1 problem.
>
> Actually, I'd put myself around 5th or 6th.

We're making progress, then.

I'm suprised you even think that you're on the problem list.

# 440. Re: Learn the rules Steve (was Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:50:33 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123195833.239271.204880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114791

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Steve,
>
> The comment period isn't OPEN yet (the release of the NPRM by the FCC
> doesn't "start the clock," it's the publication in the Federal Register,
> which has not yet happened.
>
> Thus, technically speaking, while the docket is open in the ECFS, comments
> filed now are "premature," so I would suggest you consider refraining from
> "dis-ing" people over something where they are behaving in a completely
> appropriate manner.
>
> I fully intend to file my comments on the NPRM within the appropriate,
> prescribed time window. I expect others who understand the comment/reply
> comment process, as prescribed by the Administrative Procedures Act will
> likewise file their comments in the appropriate, prescribed time window.
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

Same stuff, different day. And at the end of the day, Steve's still an
idiot.

## 441. Re: Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 22:52:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DP6dnY6Ksrq7AW_fRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114792

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Charles, now THAT's FUNNY!!!!!!!!!! ROTFLMAO.

Hi Carl! Whatchu doing here?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 442. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 23:01:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123193095.203699.68590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114793

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > N2EY:
> >
> > Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
> > however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
> > political pressures for their personal gains.
> >
> > The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
> > necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
> >
> > John
>
> So what is your solution?
>
> Would you eliminate the technical parts of the tests because hams
> aren't required to build or fix their rigs?
>
> Would you eliminate all mode-specific and band-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific band or mode?
>
> Would you eliminate all technology-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific technology?
>
> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
> the license tests?

what I would do? gee id change the structure of the tests a pared down
basic test of rule regs, rf safety, bands stuff like, and several
(Passing only one of these) each test covering a type of operation in
detail, would even aloow a code test as an option for this second test,
so I kidnly like the Canda changes esp if theyd go a bit further down
that line
>
>
>
>
> >
> > On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 13:50:13 -0700, N2EY wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>cut

## 443. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 23:09:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uN6dnR9FoPCIPW_fRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114794

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>N2EY:
>>
>>Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
>>however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
>>political pressures for their personal gains.
>>
>>The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
>>necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
>>
>>John
>
>
> So what is your solution?

You must be kidding, Jim!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 444. Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 23:15:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123197347.645618.321650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114795

an old friend wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > > > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
> > >
> > > indeed we are
> >
> > Glad you agree
> >
> > > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > > > another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
> >
> > > that does depend on the goal, and the operator.
> >
> > True enough.
> >
> > > Personaly I find the
> > > idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
> > > redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
> > > for a minute)
> >
> > It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and flexibility
> > of
> > human-machine interface. There are many more good things (redeeming
> > virtues?) of Morse Code.
>
> IYO

Not just my opinion. The good things about Morse Code are an
objective fact.

Of course that doesn't mean Morse Code *must* be tested, any
more than the good things about, say, a standard phonetic alphabet
means it must be tested.
>
> not in mine
>
> it is a fact manual morse is quite useless to me and others

If you have a mcahine that can interface with someone using manual
Morse Code, whom you otherwise could not contact at all, how can that
manula Morse Code be useless to you?
>

> > > That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
> > > to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
> > > back the same way.
> >
> > One more tool in the toolbox.
>
> and yet you opose allowing me in the playing feild at all

That's simply not true!

All anyone has to do to get the license is to pass the required tests.

VEs are empowered to use all sorts of accomodations in the
tests (both written and Morse Code) if needed. In fact, a Morse
Code *sending* test can be substituted.
>
> My station is at least one if not several such tool but you
> don't wish to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool

I have no idea what you are trying to say.

> > > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> > > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> > > of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> > > despense with a PC
> >
> > If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC > > at either station.
>
> agreed but so what

A tool that is with someone always is the most useful.

> this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my
> sation to help the pcles staion

No one is saying you cannot use a PC for Morse Code.
>
> > > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
> > > computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> > > by your standards (more modes more abilities)
> >
> > In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
> > just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.
>
> I have never said it was
>
> it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul > is always better which
just isn't so

Where have *I* ever said Morse Code is always better?
>
> > > so where your beef?
> >

> > The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.
>
> and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better

*Where* have *I* said that?

> > > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
> > >
> > > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> > > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > > > greatly reduced.
> > > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > > > from rain
> > > > and other inclement weather.
> > > >
> > > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > > > could win
> > > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > > > isn't
> > > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> > > >
> > > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > > > been around
> > > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> > > > them, and
> > > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> > > >
> > > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > > > machine that
> > > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > > > all those
> > > > lessons, practice, etc.
> > >
> break
> > > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
> > >
> > Point is, there's a big difference.
>
> which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune
>
> and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?

The same things about all the other things hams are required to learn.

--

Suppose you were given the following test:

You're sitting at a table with pencil and paper, and your choice of
speaker or headphones.

Through the headphones you hear a series of words spoken slowly and clearly, spaced so there is one word every 2 seconds or so.

All you have to do is write down the first letter of each word.

The test always uses a standard phonetic alphabet, too.

So if you heard:

"Sierra....Tango.....Alpha.....Romeo.....Tango.....Whiskey.....India.....Tango.....Hotel"

you would write down

"START WITH..."

etc.

The test goes on for 5 minutes, but all you need is one minute correct to pass the test.

Could you pass such a test? Is there anyone who can converse in English, and who is literate in that language, who could not pass such a test?

All the Morse Code test does is to replace the words with specific sounds. Instead of "Sierra", for example, you would hear three short beeps.

What is so impossible about that?

I could see that if someone had an auditory or cognition problem, they might have trouble with both tests. But it seems incredible that people who would have no trouble with the first claim the second to be impossible, or even very difficult, for them.

Of course for some folks, "can't" actually means "won't" or "don't want to".

## 445. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 23:17:33 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <hmxIe.820$Je.287@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114796

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in part:

> 
> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
> the license tests?
> 
> 

I think the swimsuit competition needs to go.

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

## 446. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 23:22:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123197764.475639.147020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114797

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY:
> >>
> >>Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
> >>however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
> >>political pressures for their personal gains.
> >>
> >>The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
> >>necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
> >>
> >>John
> >
> >
> > So what is your solution?
>
>
> You must be kidding, Jim!
>
Not at all, Mike.

Of course some folks who poat to rrap tend to pose a
sort of Zen problem - they tell you what a thing is
not, or what it shouldn't be, but never say what it is,
or how it should be.

You watch. If Element 1 is dumped, as seems highly
likely, there will be a burst of license activity,
then same old same old as far as the numbers go.

Then watch as the written tests and other regs are
attacked as "barriers".

Heck, it's already started with the free upgrades
thing. FCC rejected that - this time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"What is the sound of one hand clapping?"

(snaps fingers)

## 447. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-04 23:34:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iCxIe.1394$RS.858@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114798

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> The test of any great speaker is if he can sell 'em the BS as sanity
> deserving a sane mans time... even George Bush is still hunting how to
> make that dream come true, and he has closets full of aids to assist him!

...and more than a few aides, though I don't think any are in the closet.

Dave K8MN

## 448. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 00:02:52 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <M0yIe.3215$ns.886@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114799

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in part:

>
> Would you eliminate the technical parts of the tests because hams
> aren't required to build or fix their rigs?
>

No. But I would emphasize troubleshooting strategy, which would help
hams at all levels from the appliance operators to the
one-handed-behind-the-back surface-mount circuit homebrewers.

> Would you eliminate all mode-specific and band-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific band or mode?
>

Nope. But know the best operating procedures for each band and mode. And
why, from a basic radio science perpective.

> Would you eliminate all technology-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific technology?
>

No. Keep the introductory electronics, but strongly emphasize the
science behind the RADIO WAVES you're EMITTING and RECEIVING, and the
media in which they propagate. This means that even appliance operators,
so maligned on this group and elesewhere, will have as firm foundation
in the actual activity of communications as the electronics wizard.

> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
> the license tests?

It's the Operator Techniques, stpuid. ($1) With fewer LIDS, people won't
care what electronics skill level you have when the mike is off. And ham
radio will be less of the circle jerk it has become.

And eliminate the swimsuit competition as stated in previous message. I
mean, the Huntsville Hamfest is coming up and man, I don't even want to
think about it.

73 Corry K4DOH
--
It Came From C. L. "Yes, I could drop a few pounds myself" Smith's
Unclaimed Mysteries. http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

Of course I went to law school. - Warren Zevon, "Mr. Bad Example"

# 449. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 00:22:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123201360.489688.192560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114800

From: texasred...@gmail.com on Aug 4, 1:08 pm

>Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
>morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
>never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
>phone.....

Heyo John T.,

Oh, my, that IS a long story, but it begins in 1896 and the
first demonstration of radio as a communications medium. Back
then, before transistors, even before vacuum tubes (!) this
"radio" thingy was VERY primitive. One could turn the Tx ON
and OFF, though, so all turned to the Morse-Vail telegraph
code which was now 52 years old and mature.

Okay, 18 more years to 1912, the appearance of the first U.S.
radio regulating agency and the sinking of the Titanic. This
fledgling radio regulating agency had no precedent, played it
by ear and eventually made radiotelegraphy a necessary test
for applicant radio operators. Almost ALL radio transmitters
used on-off keying. Damn simple technology, if you can call it
"technology" in radio. On-off keying is a no-brainer thing.

Two more years to 1914 and Saint Hiram of Maxim, the new
"leader" of hamdom who, with a couple buddies, establishes
the ARRL. [never mind that the Radio Club of America was
formed a lot earlier as well as some competitors] Things were
very competitive amongst the ham clubs then.

Cut to 1919 and Hiram Goes To Washington to lobby for the
restoration of the amateur bands...which had been shut down
for World War One. Big Publicity is later made of this
successful lobbying...even though Maxim wasn't the only one...
in the ARRL's telling of the tale Saint Hiram did everything
but walk on the reflecting pool of the Mall in DC.

ARRL has made it to the top of the club heap after WW1 with
a neat trick: Becoming a publisher...of books on ham radio,
of a periodical called QST. EXCELLENT base for spreading
the ARRL's word, it's "maxims" as it were. H.P.Maxim does
a regular column (he is now virtually President for Life)
under the pen name "T.O.M." ("the old man") and talks a lot
about sending good code, behavior, and all the other things.
Publishing MAKES MONEY and they can get away with it on taxes
because the League is ALSO a "membership organization." With

profit the League can afford a legal firm in DC to represent them before the various radio commissions.

New findings, new rulings. Hams are banished from the "BC" band and "vanquished" to "wavelengths shorter than 200 meters." I.e., frequencies above 1500 KC (as they usta call KHz), or MF and HF the "short waves." Oh, wow, lots to write about, lots of "technology" to publish about with this new "shortwave" stuff. Between the Wars the world's hams begin to converse, but in the newly-standardized (more or less) International Morse Code (standardized for international commercial telegraphy). Vacuum tubes begin to be available and the radio technology starts looking like technology. But...

The Great Depression hits with over a quarter of the workforce in the USA out of work (but almost three-quarters still work). Tough times, little income. Tube radio parts are expensive and radiotelegraphy is the cheapest mode in hardware...AM voice sounds too "commercial" like professional broadcasting. Very few hams know about "sideband" which the telephone long-lines folks started on land, then pioneered on HF with four voice channels per transmitter. T.O.M. hung in there into the thirties and kept on plugging morsemanship. Vive la beeping! "The Amateur's Code" came out in the 1920s, one item of which was a shameless plug for the League ("owe your allegiance to the ARRL" etc.).

Come WW2 and there's another blackout of ham radio. QST keeps being published, yielding free publicity for income-producing ham books. ARRL makes a big thing about hams being a "national resource of trained radio operators for the nation" and that notion will hang on for six decades plus. "CW" is King in the ham world. But...with the revolution in post-WW2 technology arrives the single-channel single sideband technology for voice at lower power, somewhat lower cost. The military starts the demand and Collins Radio leads the commercial pack in producing the bestest SSB rigs...which they also market to hams. Oboy, new technology in 1950 (although lagging the commercial radio field by at least two decades). QST and some olde-tyme hams bring back the hoary old saying "CW gets through when nothing else will" in defiance of this new voice mode and new technology (who the #$%^!!! ever heard of "sidebands" and what's all this "vector" crap?).

ARRL starts making good bucks in publishing after WW2 and several other publishers start up. Profits for all. Standard of living improves, money is spent. But, the leaders at the League are still of the "old school" where Code is King. Now back in that prehistory, few hams lived close to DC and the Internet wasn't even a pipe-dream. Communications with one's government had to be done by surface mail (slow) or telegram (fast but expensive).

Few could afford Telex things except maybe the attorneys who worked over the gubmint for their clients.

The League got terribly Conservative in the post-war time and that spread throughout the world. Political tensions were high all over and the "Reds" were waving sabers and tossing test nukes. Instability after a terrible Second World War. Conservatism and simplicity looked good, a comfort. Olde-tymers at the League pushed for "CW" to be at the top of the heap and there it stayed for decades. ARRL had the MAJOR publicity vehicle and monopolized the influence on ham hobbyists. Olde-tymer ham conservatives ruled the IARU and did their thing with the newly-formed ITU for ham radio standards.

Things didn't go as well for the olde-tymers as they thought. New blood was out there and flowing hotly. At the WARCs this progressive group were able to set the "code test mandatory" rule of the ITU to just below 30 MHz. The "T-hams" started up in various other nations before the USA made the no-code-test Tech in 1991. Domestically, the no-code-test movement was stirring and sounding off during the 1980s (they pushed the no-code-test Tech creation, NOT the League). But, comms on legal matters took TIME and effort for all but the attornies. Conservatives in the League were entrenched now and were determined not to give up at any cost!

Behold the Internet, finally public in 1991...then the push in the government to take advantage of that, to eventually integrate it into the DSN as a part. Pow! Suddenly the lowly citizen with a PC could now TALK DIRECT with their government! Wondrous! Something they never really had before...no need to "filter" things through some "membership organization." Internet exploded in many directions and the convservative olde-tymers groused and grumbled. Their INFLUENCE was waning and they could no longer "direct traffic" of members to THEIR way of thinking.

Cellular telephony, CDs, dial-direct-wired phones, DVDs and VHSs and a burgeoning fun-with-any-electronics in hobby things vied for attention among hobbyists. The WORLD was open to explore and didn't depend on the vagaries of the ionosphere or solar index. Olde-tyme conservatives were pissed and strutted around with hoary old phrases, cussing at evil CB types (now 47 years old), and making big big with morsemanship. The League managed to hang onto its core membership but the core was olde-tyme conservatives who prized morsemanship above everything else. The League fought tooth and nail for maintenance of the status quo since their black-ink ledger notations needed MORE $$$.

By 2005 there are MORE cell phone subscriptions than there are wired phone subscriptions, one in five families have some kind of Internet access, and the League membership has shrunk to less than a quarter of all licensed U.S. radio amateurs. ARRL

was seemingly unaware that the two Technician class license totals had reached 48% of all licensees in this year...they have never really reached out to them. Why, I don't know, but the League is hide-bound to their olde-tyme core conservative membership desires. The numbers of amateur licensees is NOT growing, actually slightly dropping (small but continuous). The newcomers are getting in via the NO-CODE-TEST class and most are staying there. They do NOT want, en masse or not, to be the greatest 1930s radiotelegrapher possible in 2005.

In 2000 the IARU defied the ARRL and pushed for no-code. By mid-2003 the ITU-T *finally* got S25 rewritten and the mandatory code test for a below-30-MHz license was removed, deleted, made defunct. Trouble is, all them olde-tyme conservative hams had been so thoroughly brainwashed by code testing that they opposed U.S. code test deletion like it was a battle for life itself. For some that may have been true...morsemanship was THE thing in their radio world and that world would END on code test elimination! Olde-tyme mentalities are VERY stubborn.

Trouble is, them morse lovers are outnumbered 3:1. They just don't realize it yet...

yee haw
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 450. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 00:25:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123201554.023644.32630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: John Smith on Aug 4, 2:20 pm

>N2EY:
>
>My gawd man, must you apply antique analogies to everything which is
>attempting to break archaic methods to attempt to obfuscate anything you
>don't like and/or agree with?

John, he MUST. It's an obsessive compulsion. :-)

That's Jimmie for sure, the Nun of the Above. Predictable.

> Technology has passed you by man, the reins
>have passed, what you are holding in your hands are the ashes of
>yesteryear... don't embarrass yourself and others about you... speak on
>things you understand, or not at all...

Oh, oh, Jimmie will now remark on his TWO degrees in EE and his
years-as-a-ham (like he spent 8 hours a day, 7 days a week in
pounding his brass on the ham bands). :-)

The Order of Luddism is upon Jimmie and EVERYTHING must be done
manually in communications! Just like in the 20s and 30s during
the pioneering days of radio. Jimmie bravely carries his cross
for them (provided Kellie doesn't shoot the bear).

He segues to music (morse is "music" to his ears...and years).
He probably hasn't heard a good musician at work on a synthesizer
keyboard producing the enjoyable sound of an entire band...in any
style of music you like. Down here there's dozens and dozens of
them...busy working.

You say that RTTY is "dead" but it hasn't "died" yet since it
carries on with the TORs (Teleprinter Over Radio) such as AMTOR.
The FCC, nor any of its three predecessors, NEVER had a manual
teleprinter test for radio amateur license applicants. They've
"always" had one for manual telegraphy. That's the REAL
subject of WT Docket 05-235.

Just leave Jimmie alone with his abacus/soroban and he can do
all his Eigenvalues by hand plus a table of elliptic integrals.
Very basic calculator. However, beyond the four functions it
gets cranky...forget simple square-roots, for example. A Taylor
Series for a correct Sine or Cosine is going to take a lonnnnng
while to finish on his buttons-on-wires soroban/abacus. :-)

<insert small bg tape of "she ain't got no yo-yo" song...>

sin cos
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 451. Re: Learn the rules Steve (was Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 00:30:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123201825.521399.66480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114802

From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 4, 1:55 pm

>Steve,
>
>The comment period isn't OPEN yet (the release of the NPRM by the FCC
>doesn't "start the clock," it's the publication in the Federal Register,
>which has not yet happened.

Heyo Carl! Welcome again.

Sunnuvagun you are RIGHT! I checked the FR at the GPO site
and there is nothing there yet from 15 July (the NPRM release
date) through 4 August!

Amazing...two weeks gone on this NPRM and it hasn't started yet!

Even worse, at least a dozen ham-interest websites have made it
a cause celebre, front-page headline thing (which it is)...and
lots of folks are now urging Comments to be sent in! Everyone
from Nancy Kott at FISTS on out... :-)

>Thus, technically speaking, while the docket is open in the ECFS, comments
>filed now are "premature," so I would suggest you consider refraining from
>"dis-ing" people over something where they are behaving in a completely
>appropriate manner.

Stebie don' need no steenking rules! :-)

See 25 Jan 99 on 98-143, 10 days AFTER the supposed window close
on the Restructuring NPRM. Stebie was in there dissing me. :-)
He hasn't stopped since.

>I fully intend to file my comments on the NPRM within the appropriate,
>prescribed time window. I expect others who understand the comment/reply
>comment process, as prescribed by the Administrative Procedures Act will
>likewise file their comments in the appropriate, prescribed time window.

No problem here. I can resend my Comment in the click of a few
mouse buttons. It will still apply. Morse code is only 161
years old...it's had its time in the sun.

Thanks for the advisory. Will have to check the Federal Register
daily now to see if 05-235 has had its window open.

No breeze. Or, as Shakespeare almost wrote it, "Lo, what ball
through yonder window breaks?" :-)

dit bye
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 452. Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 00:35:07 UTC
From: Lloydie <nospam@glendale>
Message-ID: <dcuc62$bkf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114803
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ... Not really good for anything......But he still brings a smile to your face when you push him down a flight of stairs.

.

# 453. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 00:58:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.00.58.20.935990@gmail.com>
Source record: 114805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee:

Some would argue Latin is not dead, churches and doctors still use it...

It is dead, both cw and morse, some may live to see the proof, some may not have long enough. This is the digital age.

Here in this newsgroup you can find many who try to form religious doctrine over out dated specs, faqs and past limitations of the net. This type of behavior is seen in many groups of individuals, the "control freaks" are here on the web too.

There is a real cult which has formed around CW, they have their church, high priests, hierarchy and devoted following, you might refer to them as "CW Groupies" if CW was the name of a rock band.

The data transmission protocols which could be used on amateur radio as we speak here are mind numbing. However, phone and cw do server hobby uses here. But, data transmission of binaries, graphics, movies, etc. are not suited to either. Two bursts of encrypted/compressed packets happening a a blink of an eye can fill your screen with enough text to keep you reading for two minutes. Or, an IM protocol could be employed in "text chat" with one freq serving as a party line and only grabbing packets they are interested in... possibilities are endless...

No, no one would pause at a simple cw reader, you would allow two machines to chat together, no human needs to tap a key, it is far below what the human mind was meant to do, it is akin to pounding on a skin covered drum, however, if MadMax ever happens, I will grant you it may have a use, "God" forbid that ever occurs... but then, if it does, there will be tons of CB radios out there which will be pressed into use... they will be able to be found in almost any diesel truck on the highway, and base stations in the smallest of towns, alternators removed from cars and driven by small gas engines off lawn mowers, etc.

I don't think there is going to be that many hams with a key in their hand saving the human race... and you will not likely know the heroes until the event happens... the greatest plans of mice and men...and all that...

But, hey, the dreams of men are what keeps them going, and there is always only a problem when they attempt to force their dreams on others--especially when the dreams become decades old... become brittle and turn to dust in the face of harsh reality...

John

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 17:47:53 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.04.19.10.28.444904@gmail.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> It is not even close...
>>
>> The end of all that design in computer hardware and software, when
>> efficient and up-to-date, would be impossible for a human operator to send
>> let alone receive without hardware and software... RTTY is as dead as
>> CW...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 10:22:01 -0700, an old friend wrote:
>>
>
> Every mode has its advantages and disadvantages. Neither RTTY nor CW is
> dead. One just has more choices than in the past.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 454. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 00:59:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.00.59.38.943464@gmail.com>
Source record: 114804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY:

Oddly enough, you can see the same of HF, just minus the repeater...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 15:09:49 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Kardiac Korner wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
>> >>>>Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
>> >>>>this is not endemic to the HF bands.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>On 'phone, maybe. Not on Morse Code.
>> >>>
>> >> Not on Phone either. Of course, I think you knew that already, Jim!
>> >>
>> >>
>> > There are some spots on 'phone where such conversations go on. 75
>> > meters is a common place for them.
>>
>> No argument there. My point was that I take exception with the idea that
>> the HF bands are populated by the type.
>
> Oddly enough, the original post was about a conversation on a repeater.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 455. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 01:07:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.01.07.24.403606@gmail.com>
Source record: 114806

N2EY:

Has our society been so dumbed down you must even ask a question like that?

I would have question fitting using a commercial radio for those whose
only use is to buy the damn thing and chat... of course the question of
rf hazards would always be important and should be included.

I would have tech questions fitting those who wished to build their own
equip, so I knew they were properly prepared...

For those constructing their own antennas, proper tower, mast, etc
questions to make sure they were prepared to do so safely...

Of course, you would need a group of minds to arrange the question
properly and sanely...

You should be able to extrapolate from the above and see how it would
apply to and given situation--hardware--software--rules--regs--etc

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 14:36:15 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
>> however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
>> political pressures for their personal gains.
>>
>> The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
>> necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
>>
>> John
>
> So what is your solution?
>
> Would you eliminate the technical parts of the tests because hams
> aren't required to build or fix their rigs?
>
> Would you eliminate all mode-specific and band-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific band or mode?
>
> Would you eliminate all technology-specific questions
> because hams aren't required to use any specific technology?

>
> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
> the license tests?
>
>
>
>
>>
>> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 13:50:13 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>> >> Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
>> >> morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
>> >> never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
>> >> phone.....
>> >
>> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
>> > homebrewing to use manufactured radio sets.
>> >
>> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
>> > voice modes to use Morse Code and data modes.
>> >
>> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on the
>> > limits of VHF/UHF ham bands to operate on the HF/MF ham bands.
>> >
>> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on RF
>> > exposure and electrical safety to use low power battery-operated rigs.
>> >
>> > For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
>> > transistors and ICs to use vacuum tube rigs.
>> >
>> > Etc.
>> >
>> > Suppose someone wanted to operate a low-power Morse Code amateur radio
>> > transceiver on 7020 kHz. Just a simple 50 watt transceiver and dipole
>> > antenna, with key and speaker.
>> >
>> > To operate legally, such a person would need an Extra class license,
>> > which requires passing tests that include all sorts of stuff that is
>> > unnecessary for the legal and correct operation of the above station.
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 456. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 01:08:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.01.08.05.783905@gmail.com>
Source record: 114807

Kelly:

Logic, it is a way of life!

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 14:50:03 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > N2EY:
>> >
>> > Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
>> > however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
>> > political pressures for their personal gains.
>> >
>> > The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
>> > necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
>> >
>> > John
>>
>> So what is your solution?
>>
>> Would you eliminate the technical parts of the tests because hams
>> aren't required to build or fix their rigs?
>>
>> Would you eliminate all mode-specific and band-specific questions
>> because hams aren't required to use any specific band or mode?
>>
>> Would you eliminate all technology-specific questions
>> because hams aren't required to use any specific technology?
>>
>> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
>> the license tests?
>
> . . . can't wait to read the pile of bafflegab he tosses out in
> response to this one.

## 457. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 01:11:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.01.11.32.255534@gmail.com>
Source record: 114808

UCM:

The new surface mount technology will now require highly trained "Gnome
Technicians", the ones with the smallest of hands will be most suited,
with very, very small soldering irons... and BIG magnifying glasses...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 00:02:52 +0000, Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in part:
>
>
>>
>> Would you eliminate the technical parts of the tests because hams
>> aren't required to build or fix their rigs?
>>
>
> No. But I would emphasize troubleshooting strategy, which would help
> hams at all levels from the appliance operators to the
> one-handed-behind-the-back surface-mount circuit homebrewers.
>
>
>> Would you eliminate all mode-specific and band-specific questions
>> because hams aren't required to use any specific band or mode?
>>
>
> Nope. But know the best operating procedures for each band and mode. And
> why, from a basic radio science perpective.
>
>> Would you eliminate all technology-specific questions
>> because hams aren't required to use any specific technology?
>>
>
> No. Keep the introductory electronics, but strongly emphasize the
> science behind the RADIO WAVES you're EMITTING and RECEIVING, and the
> media in which they propagate. This means that even appliance operators,
> so maligned on this group and elesewhere, will have as firm foundation
> in the actual activity of communications as the electronics wizard.
>
>
>> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
>> the license tests?
>
> It's the Operator Techniques, stpuid. ($1) With fewer LIDS, people won't

> care what electronics skill level you have when the mike is off. And ham
> radio will be less of the circle jerk it has become.
>
> And eliminate the swimsuit competition as stated in previous message. I
> mean, the Huntsville Hamfest is coming up and man, I don't even want to
> think about it.
>
> 73 Corry K4DOH

## 458. Re: FCC proposal = CB'ers with ham licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 01:16:21 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net>
Message-ID: <2005080421260637709%garv@elaboratenet>
Source record: 114810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

On 2005-08-01 18:44:02 -0400, Roger Beep
<breaker.breaker@channel.nineteen> said:

> Ten-four?
>
> Yah, that's a big ten-four.
>
> All my channel 19 good-buddy CB friends are going to be so happy.

Get a life you asshat

--
PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.

## 459. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 01:16:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.01.16.30.781744@gmail.com>
Source record: 114809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee:

... in the above "cw and morse" should be "cw and rtty" ...

Mainly I am explaining for the brain dead males here, they seem to go into utter states of confusion if words are not spelled exactly correct, or typo are encountered...

Just between you and me, I know the women seldom suffer such devastating limitations and disabilities...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 17:58:23 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> Dee:
>
> Some would argue Latin is not dead, churches and doctors still use it...
>
> It is dead, both cw and morse, some may live to see the proof, some
> may not have long enough. This is the digital age.
>
> Here in this newsgroup you can find many who try to form religious
> doctrine over out dated specs, faqs and past limitations of the net. This
> type of behavior is seen in many groups of individuals, the "control
> freaks" are here on the web too.
>
> There is a real cult which has formed around CW, they have their
> church, high priests, hierarchy and devoted following, you might refer to
> them as "CW Groupies" if CW was the name of a rock band.
>
> The data transmission protocols which could be used on amateur radio as we
> speak here are mind numbing. However, phone and cw do server hobby uses
> here. But, data transmission of binaries, graphics, movies, etc. are not
> suited to either. Two bursts of encrypted/compressed packets happening a
> a blink of an eye can fill your screen with enough text to keep you
> reading for two minutes. Or, an IM protocol could be employed in "text
> chat" with one freq serving as a party line and only grabbing packets they
> are interested in... possibilities are endless...
>
> No, no one would pause at a simple cw reader, you would allow two machines
> to chat together, no human needs to tap a key, it is far below what the
> human mind was meant to do, it is akin to pounding on a skin covered drum,
> however, if MadMax ever happens, I will grant you it may have a use,
> "God" forbid that ever occurs... but then, if it does, there will be tons

> of CB radios out there which will be pressed into use... they will be
> able to be found in almost any diesel truck on the highway, and base
> stations in the smallest of towns, alternators removed from cars and
> driven by small gas engines off lawn mowers, etc.
>
> I don't think there is going to be that many hams with a key in their hand
> saving the human race... and you will not likely know the heroes until
> the event happens... the greatest plans of mice and men...and all that...
>
> But, hey, the dreams of men are what keeps them going, and there is always
> only a problem when they attempt to force their dreams on
> others--especially when the dreams become decades old... become brittle
> and turn to dust in the face of harsh reality...
>
> John
>
>
> John
>
> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 17:47:53 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.04.19.10.28.444904@gmail.com...
>>> Len:
>>>
>>> It is not even close...
>>>
>>> The end of all that design in computer hardware and software, when
>>> efficient and up-to-date, would be impossible for a human operator to send
>>> let alone receive without hardware and software... RTTY is as dead as
>>> CW...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 10:22:01 -0700, an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>
>> Every mode has its advantages and disadvantages. Neither RTTY nor CW is
>> dead. One just has more choices than in the past.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 460. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 02:11:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123207888.186244.222540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114811

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > > > > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
> > > >
> > > > indeed we are
> > >
> > > Glad you agree
> > >
> > > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > > > another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
> > >
> > > that does depend on the goal, and the operator.
> > >
> > > True enough.
> > >
> > > > Personaly I find the
> > > > idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
> > > > redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
> > > > for a minute)
> > >
> > > It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and flexibility
> > > of
> > > human-machine interface. There are many more good things (redeeming
> > > virtues?) of Morse Code.
> >
> > IYO
>
> Not just my opinion. The good things about Morse Code are an
> objective fact.
>
> Of course that doesn't mean Morse Code *must* be tested, any
> more than the good things about, say, a standard phonetic alphabet
> means it must be tested.
> >
> > not in mine
> >
> > it is a fact manual morse is quite useless to me and others
>
> If you have a mcahine that can interface with someone using manual
> Morse Code, whom you otherwise could not contact at all, how can that

> manula Morse Code be useless to you?

because it is useless to me, simple fact, and i will never know wether
it is usefull to any other particular person, unless they tell me and I
believe them

> >
> > > > That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
> > > > to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
> > > > back the same way.
> > >
> > > One more tool in the toolbox.
> >
> > and yet you opose allowing me in the playing feild at all
>
> That's simply not true!
>
> All anyone has to do to get the license is to pass the required tests.

and you spport the Morse code test and therefore you opose me on the
field at all

>
> VEs are empowered to use all sorts of accomodations in the
> tests (both written and Morse Code) if needed. In fact, a Morse
> Code *sending* test can be substituted.

which doesn't meet the ADA standards of accomodation at all, since that
law allows me anything that will in fact work, my choice would be a
code reader

based my expeences in the past that is about all that would do it

However that aside you insist I develope some varraint of a skill that
I can't use.
> >
> > My station is at least one if not several such tool but you
> > don't wish to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool
>
> I have no idea what you are trying to say.

becuase you think Morse code is just a trival bit of work to learn, and
for some it is

and lacking that skill you would choose to sideline my station which is
able right now to work HF (I have up a G5RV conected to my ft 847
satelite rig which is also HF cappable

>
> > > > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> > > > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> > > > of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> > > > despense with a PC

> > >
> > > If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC > > at either station.
> >
> > agreed but so what
>
> A tool that is with someone always is the most useful.

The above noted for later reference as "A"

but only if it is ever with that person. even if through some
accomdated test I passed it I would not have a tool to use

>
> > this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my
> > sation to help the pcles staion
>
> No one is saying you cannot use a PC for Morse Code.

but you are supporting not allowing me access to specturm

> >
> > > > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
> > > > computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> > > > by your standards (more modes more abilities)
> > >
> > > In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
> > > just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.
> >
> > I have never said it was
> >
> > it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul > is always better
which just isn't so
>
> Where have *I* ever said Morse Code is always better?

in the post I am replying to you make a statement to that effect it
survies above as noted as "A"

> >
> > > > so where your beef?
> > >
> > > The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.
> >
> > and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better
>
> *Where* have *I* said that?

at A

>
> > > > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
> > > >
> > > > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need

> > > > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > > > > greatly reduced.
> > > > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > > > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > > > > from rain
> > > > > and other inclement weather.
> > > > >
> > > > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > > > > could win
> > > > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > > > > isn't
> > > > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> > > > >
> > > > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > > > > been around
> > > > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> > > > > them, and
> > > > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> > > > >
> > > > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > > > > machine that
> > > > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > > > > all those
> > > > > lessons, practice, etc.
> > > >
> > break
> > > > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
> > >
> > > Point is, there's a big difference.
> >
> > which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune
> >
> > and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?
>
> The same things about all the other things hams are required to learn.

no becuase I blow everything On AM or on SSB or FM and still get a
license Code testing is deferent nothing else in Ham radio has that
status so your staement is simply not true

>
> --
>
> Suppose you were given the following test:
>
> You're sitting at a table with pencil and paper, and your choice of
> speaker or headphones.
>
> Through the headphones you hear a series of words spoken slowly and
> clearly, spaced so there is one word every 2 seconds or so.
>

> All you have to do is write down the first letter of each word.
>
> The test always uses a standard phonetic alphabet, too.
>
> So if you heard:
>
> "Sierra....Tango.....Alpha.....Romeo.....Tango.........Whiskey.....India.....Tango.....H
otel"
>
> you would write down
>
> "START WITH..."
>
> etc.
>
> The test goes on for 5 minutes, but all you need is one minute correct
> to pass the test.
>
> Could you pass such a test? Is there anyone who can converse in
> English, and who is literate in that language, who could not
> pass such a test?

I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen

Does not mean I can't read text and understand it and tell you about
later
>
>
>
> All the Morse Code test does is to replace the words with specific
> sounds. Instead of "Sierra", for example, you would hear three short
> beeps.
>
> What is so impossible about that?

get it though your head, I have failed exactly that test a number of
times Jim
>
> I could see that if someone had an auditory or cognition problem,
> they might have trouble with both tests. But it seems incredible
> that people who would have no trouble with the first claim the
> second to be impossible, or even very difficult, for them.

In My case it is a set of learning disablities, varies people have
varies abilities
when you add morseyou have tranlating . to "e" which might make it to
the page as "y" when you sart with letters .- which I think is "a" I
may hear -. think "a" (becuase dyslexics reverse stuff) and might not
get even that "a" to the paper right

I do better with keyboards where I am tring to learn not the . is "e"
but is the trhid finger of left hand up one key (the touch tpye
position of "e" of course)

>
> Of course for some folks, "can't" actually means "won't" or
> "don't want to".

so to answer your title I doubt I could pass the test phoenetic test
you describe, unless it was realy slow, and certainly not with any test
anxeity

## 461. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 03:01:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123207796.547042.295120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114812

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > > > > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
> > > >
> > > > indeed we are
> > >
> > > Glad you agree
> > >
> > > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > > > another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
> > >
> > > that does depend on the goal, and the operator.
> > >
> > > True enough.
> > >
> > > > Personaly I find the
> > > > idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
> > > > redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
> > > > for a minute)
> > >
> > > It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and flexibility
> > > of
> > > human-machine interface. There are many more good things (redeeming
> > > virtues?) of Morse Code.
> >
> > IYO
>
> Not just my opinion. The good things about Morse Code are an
> objective fact.
>
> Of course that doesn't mean Morse Code *must* be tested, any
> more than the good things about, say, a standard phonetic alphabet
> means it must be tested.
> >
> > not in mine
> >
> > it is a fact manual morse is quite useless to me and others
>
> If you have a mcahine that can interface with someone using manual
> Morse Code, whom you otherwise could not contact at all, how can that
```

> manula Morse Code be useless to you?

because it is useless to me, simple fact, and i will never know wether
it is usefull to any other particular person, unless they tell me and I
believe them

> >
> > > > That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
> > > > to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
> > > > back the same way.
> > >
> > > One more tool in the toolbox.
> >
> > and yet you opose allowing me in the playing feild at all
>
> That's simply not true!
>
> All anyone has to do to get the license is to pass the required tests.

and you spport the Morse code test and therefore you opose me on the
field at all

>
> VEs are empowered to use all sorts of accomodations in the
> tests (both written and Morse Code) if needed. In fact, a Morse
> Code *sending* test can be substituted.

which doesn't meet the ADA standards of accomodation at all, since that
law allows me anything that will in fact work, my choice would be a
code reader

based my expeences in the past that is about all that would do it

However that aside you insist I develope some varraint of a skill that
I can't use.
> >
> > My station is at least one if not several such tool but you
> > don't wish to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool
>
> I have no idea what you are trying to say.

becuase you think Morse code is just a trival bit of work to learn, and
for some it is

and lacking that skill you would choose to sideline my station which is
able right now to work HF (I have up a G5RV conected to my ft 847
satelite rig which is also HF cappable

>
> > > > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> > > > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> > > > of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> > > > despense with a PC

> > >
> > > If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC > > at either station.
> >
> > agreed but so what
>
> A tool that is with someone always is the most useful.

The above noted for later reference as "A"

but only if it is ever with that person. even if through some
accomdated test I passed it I would not have a tool to use

>
> > this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my
> > sation to help the pcles staion
>
> No one is saying you cannot use a PC for Morse Code.

but you are supporting not allowing me access to specturm

> >
> > > > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
> > > > computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> > > > by your standards (more modes more abilities)
> > >
> > > In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
> > > just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.
> >
> > I have never said it was
> >
> > it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul > is always better
which just isn't so
>
> Where have *I* ever said Morse Code is always better?

in the post I am replying to you make a statement to that effect it
survies above as noted as "A"

> >
> > > > so where your beef?
> > >
> > > The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.
> >
> > and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better
>
> *Where* have *I* said that?

at A

>
> > > > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
> > > >
> > > > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need

> > > > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > > > > greatly reduced.
> > > > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > > > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > > > > from rain
> > > > > and other inclement weather.
> > > > >
> > > > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > > > > could win
> > > > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > > > > isn't
> > > > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> > > > >
> > > > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > > > > been around
> > > > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> > > > > them, and
> > > > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> > > > >
> > > > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > > > > machine that
> > > > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > > > > all those
> > > > > lessons, practice, etc.
> > > > >
> > break
> > > > > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
> > > >
> > > Point is, there's a big difference.
> >
> > which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune
> >
> > and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?
>
> The same things about all the other things hams are required to learn.

no becuase I blow everything On AM or on SSB or FM and still get a
license Code testing is deferent nothing else in Ham radio has that
status so your staement is simply not true

>
> --
>
> Suppose you were given the following test:
>
> You're sitting at a table with pencil and paper, and your choice of
> speaker or headphones.
>
> Through the headphones you hear a series of words spoken slowly and
> clearly, spaced so there is one word every 2 seconds or so.
>

> All you have to do is write down the first letter of each word.
>
> The test always uses a standard phonetic alphabet, too.
>
> So if you heard:
>
> "Sierra....Tango.....Alpha.....Romeo.....Tango.........Whiskey.....India.....Tango.....H
otel"
>
> you would write down
>
> "START WITH..."
>
> etc.
>
> The test goes on for 5 minutes, but all you need is one minute correct
> to pass the test.
>
> Could you pass such a test? Is there anyone who can converse in
> English, and who is literate in that language, who could not
> pass such a test?

I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen

Does not mean I can't read text and understand it and tell you about
later
>
>
>
> All the Morse Code test does is to replace the words with specific
> sounds. Instead of "Sierra", for example, you would hear three short
> beeps.
>
> What is so impossible about that?

get it though your head, I have failed exactly that test a number of
times Jim
>
> I could see that if someone had an auditory or cognition problem,
> they might have trouble with both tests. But it seems incredible
> that people who would have no trouble with the first claim the
> second to be impossible, or even very difficult, for them.

In My case it is a set of learning disablities, varies people have varies abilities
when you add morseyou have tranlating . to "e" which might make it to the page as "y" when you sart with letters .- which I think is "a" I may hear -. think "a" (becuase dyslexics reverse stuff) and might not get even that "a" to the paper right

I do better with keyboards where I am tring to learn not the . is "e" but is the trhid finger of left hand up one key (the touch tpye position of "e" of course)

>
> Of course for some folks, "can't" actually means "won't" or
> "don't want to".

# 462. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 03:19:36 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <cVAIe.3382$ns.3058@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114813

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>> Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
>> morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
>> never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
>> phone.....
>
> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> homebrewing to use manufactured radio sets.
>
> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> voice modes to use Morse Code and data modes.

Apples vs oranges. No other mode requires a "skill"
test which is exactly what the current CW test is...a skill
test.

> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on the
> limits of VHF/UHF ham bands to operate on the HF/MF ham bands.

Ditto my last

> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on RF
> exposure and electrical safety to use low power battery-operated rigs.

Ditto my last

> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
> transistors and ICs to use vacuum tube rigs.

Ditto my last

> Etc.
>
> Suppose someone wanted to operate a low-power Morse Code amateur radio
> transceiver on 7020 kHz. Just a simple 50 watt transceiver and dipole
> antenna, with key and speaker.
>
> To operate legally, such a person would need an Extra class license,
> which requires passing tests that include all sorts of stuff that is
> unnecessary for the legal and correct operation of the above station.

But not one of those subject areas stands alone as a pass/fail
gate as does the CW test. THAT is the difference. You
want some questions added to the pool regarding morse

as a mode, no problem. That is, however, not the same as
having a single stand-alone morse profficiency test.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 463. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 03:21:19 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ikq3nj0.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 114815

On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>we stood.

And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

## 464. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 03:22:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.03.21.57.928433@gmail.com>
Source record: 114814

AOF:

Look, they pose the most excellent argument, to their own argument, if you look closely...

First, they say there that CW is a useful current protocol, and that it is useful, then they say people are going to flock to use it and keep CW alive no matter what...

Then, they turn around and argue to keep the test, why? Because deep inside their brain, at the center where the denial does not exist, they realize without forcing people to learn morse, not many will (darn few in my best estimate.)

Isn't it quite obvious? They fool themselves (else they are liars), but if they fool you, think how others will judge the weight of your intellect...

It is all pretty clear, think about it...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 20:01:33 -0700, an old friend wrote:

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
>> > > > > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
>> > > > >
>> > > > indeed we are
>> > > >
>> > > Glad you agree
>> > > >
>> > > > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
>> > > > > another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
>> > > >
>> > > > that does depend on the goal, and the operator.
>> > > >
>> > > True enough.
>> > > >
>> > > > Personaly I find the
>> > > > idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
>> > > > redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
>> > > > for a minute)

>> > >
>> > > It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and flexibility
>> > > of
>> > > human-machine interface. There are many more good things (redeeming
>> > > virtues?) of Morse Code.
>> >
>> > IYO
>>
>> Not just my opinion. The good things about Morse Code are an
>> objective fact.
>>
>> Of course that doesn't mean Morse Code *must* be tested, any
>> more than the good things about, say, a standard phonetic alphabet
>> means it must be tested.
>> >
>> > not in mine
>> >
>> > it is a fact manual morse is quite useless to me and others
>>
>> If you have a mcahine that can interface with someone using manual
>> Morse Code, whom you otherwise could not contact at all, how can that
>> manula Morse Code be useless to you?
>
> because it is useless to me, simple fact, and i will never know wether
> it is usefull to any other particular person, unless they tell me and I
> believe them
>
>> >
>> > > > That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
>> > > > to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
>> > > > back the same way.
>> > >
>> > > One more tool in the toolbox.
>> >
>> > and yet you opose allowing me in the playing feild at all
>>
>> That's simply not true!
>>
>> All anyone has to do to get the license is to pass the required tests.
>
> and you spport the Morse code test and therefore you opose me on the
> field at all
>
>>
>> VEs are empowered to use all sorts of accomodations in the
>> tests (both written and Morse Code) if needed. In fact, a Morse
>> Code *sending* test can be substituted.
>
> which doesn't meet the ADA standards of accomodation at all, since that
> law allows me anything that will in fact work, my choice would be a

> code reader
>
> based my expeences in the past that is about all that would do it
>
>
> However that aside you insist I develope some varraint of a skill that
> I can't use.
>> >
>> > My station is at least one if not several such tool but you
>> > don't wish to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool
>>
>> I have no idea what you are trying to say.
>
> becuase you think Morse code is just a trival bit of work to learn, and
> for some it is
>
> and lacking that skill you would choose to sideline my station which is
> able right now to work HF (I have up a G5RV conected to my ft 847
> satelite rig which is also HF cappable
>
>>
>> > > > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
>> > > > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
>> > > > of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
>> > > > despense with a PC
>> > >
>> > > If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC > > at either station.
>> >
>> > agreed but so what
>>
>> A tool that is with someone always is the most useful.
>
> The above noted for later reference as "A"
>
> but only if it is ever with that person. even if through some
> accomdated test I passed it I would not have a tool to use
>
>>
>> > this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my
>> > sation to help the pcles staion
>>
>> No one is saying you cannot use a PC for Morse Code.
>
> but you are supporting not allowing me access to specturm
>
>> >
>> > > > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
>> > > > computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
>> > > > by your standards (more modes more abilities)
>> > >

>> > > In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
>> > > just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.
>> >
>> > I have never said it was
>> >
>> > it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul > is always better
which just isn't so
>>
>> Where have *I* ever said Morse Code is always better?
>
> in the post I am replying to you make a statement to that effect it
> survies above as noted as "A"
>
>> >
>> > > > so where your beef?
>> > >
>> > > The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.
>> >
>> > and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better
>>
>> *Where* have *I* said that?
>
> at A
>
>>
>> > > > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
>> > > >
>> > > > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
>> > > > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
>> > > > > greatly reduced.
>> > > > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
>> > > > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
>> > > > > from rain
>> > > > > and other inclement weather.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
>> > > > > could win
>> > > > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
>> > > > > isn't
>> > > > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
>> > > > > been around
>> > > > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
>> > > > > them, and
>> > > > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
>> > > > > machine that
>> > > > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without

>> > > > > all those
>> > > > > lessons, practice, etc.
>> > > >
>> > break
>> > > > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
>> > >
>> > > Point is, there's a big difference.
>> >
>> > which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune
>> >
>> > and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?
>>
>> The same things about all the other things hams are required to learn.
>
> no becuase I blow everything On AM or on SSB or FM and still get a
> license Code testing is deferent nothing else in Ham radio has that
> status so your staement is simply not true
>
>>
>> --
>>
>> Suppose you were given the following test:
>>
>> You're sitting at a table with pencil and paper, and your choice of
>> speaker or headphones.
>>
>> Through the headphones you hear a series of words spoken slowly and
>> clearly, spaced so there is one word every 2 seconds or so.
>>
>> All you have to do is write down the first letter of each word.
>>
>> The test always uses a standard phonetic alphabet, too.
>>
>> So if you heard:
>>
>> "Sierra....Tango.....Alpha.....Romeo.....Tango.........Whiskey.....India.....Tango.....
Hotel"
>>
>> you would write down
>>
>> "START WITH..."
>>
>> etc.
>>
>> The test goes on for 5 minutes, but all you need is one minute correct
>> to pass the test.
>>
>> Could you pass such a test? Is there anyone who can converse in
>> English, and who is literate in that language, who could not
>> pass such a test?

>
> I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
> I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
> from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
> changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
> decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
> seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
> leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
> myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen
>
> Does not mean I can't read text and understand it and tell you about
> later
>>
>>
>>
>> All the Morse Code test does is to replace the words with specific
>> sounds. Instead of "Sierra", for example, you would hear three short
>> beeps.
>>
>> What is so impossible about that?
>
> get it though your head, I have failed exactly that test a number of
> times Jim
>>
>> I could see that if someone had an auditory or cognition problem,
>> they might have trouble with both tests. But it seems incredible
>> that people who would have no trouble with the first claim the
>> second to be impossible, or even very difficult, for them.
>
> In My case it is a set of learning disablities, varies people have
> varies abilities
> when you add morseyou have tranlating . to "e" which might make it to
> the page as "y" when you sart with letters .- which I think is "a" I
> may hear -. think "a" (becuase dyslexics reverse stuff) and might not
> get even that "a" to the paper right
>
> I do better with keyboards where I am tring to learn not the . is "e"
> but is the trhid finger of left hand up one key (the touch tpye
> position of "e" of course)
>
>>
>> Of course for some folks, "can't" actually means "won't" or
>> "don't want to".

# 465. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 03:32:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com>
Source record: 114816

Bill:

Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to use
code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>>> Perhaps someone can clear up one issue for me.....why do we take a
>>> morse code test to gain access to phone portions of the bands? It has
>>> never made sense to me that you had to pass a code test to operate HF
>>> phone.....
>>
>> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
>> homebrewing to use manufactured radio sets.
>>
>> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
>> voice modes to use Morse Code and data modes.
>
> Apples vs oranges. No other mode requires a "skill"
> test which is exactly what the current CW test is...a skill
> test.
>
>> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on the
>> limits of VHF/UHF ham bands to operate on the HF/MF ham bands.
>
> Ditto my last
>
>> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on RF
>> exposure and electrical safety to use low power battery-operated rigs.
>
> Ditto my last
>
>> For the same reasons that you take tests which include questions on
>> transistors and ICs to use vacuum tube rigs.
>
> Ditto my last
>

>> Etc.
>>
>> Suppose someone wanted to operate a low-power Morse Code amateur radio
>> transceiver on 7020 kHz. Just a simple 50 watt transceiver and dipole
>> antenna, with key and speaker.
>>
>> To operate legally, such a person would need an Extra class license,
>> which requires passing tests that include all sorts of stuff that is
>> unnecessary for the legal and correct operation of the above station.
>
> But not one of those subject areas stands alone as a pass/fail
> gate as does the CW test. THAT is the difference. You
> want some questions added to the pool regarding morse
> as a mode, no problem. That is, however, not the same as
> having a single stand-alone morse profficiency test.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

## 466. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 03:56:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123214184.905954.174780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114817

John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> Logic, it is a way of life!

YOUR way of life "John". Which obviously has nothing to do with normal
human logical processes.

. . . are "they" still coming to get you "John" . . ?

> John

w3rv

## 467. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 04:06:27 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7BBIe.923$Je.421@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114818

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
> Bill:
>
> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
> you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to use
> code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...

Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
certify ability to use digital modes?

How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
in voice mode?

Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
case now and has always been the case.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 468. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 04:07:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.04.07.04.729236@gmail.com>
Source record: 114819

Kelly:

Don't get upset with me, either the majority wants to dump the CW test,
and the FCC, or not, I am but one person... try to gain a perspective here
man, "CW religious zealot-ism" can be thought of as a way of life to, I
don't think anyone is going to hinder your member ship in "The
Brotherhood of the Dead Key", there is room for ya man, somewhere...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 20:56:24 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Kelly:
>>
>> Logic, it is a way of life!
>
> YOUR way of life "John". Which obviously has nothing to do with normal
> human logical processes.
>
> . . . are "they" still coming to get you "John" . . ?
>
>> John
>
> w3rv

# 469. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 04:09:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <NDBIe.925$Je.130@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114820

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikq3nj0.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>we stood.
>
> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Maybe yes, maybe no. In this case, the majority (if one
looks at the comments already filed) appear to be
running better than 2:1 in support of the ending of
all code testing. Sure looks like a majority to me.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 470. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 04:12:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.04.12.05.753651@gmail.com>
Source record: 114821

K2EY:

I think the majoritys' will is what is occurring, and no, I don't think a minority is going to be able to stop the majority here, perhaps if you got a group together called "Gay Hams for Morse!" it might float, for some reason the homosexuals are able to enforce their will on others effectively, perhaps a study of their methods and their adoption could lead to success.

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 20:21:19 -0700, Phil Kane wrote:

> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>we stood.
>
> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?

# 471. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 04:17:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.04.17.00.459175@gmail.com>
Source record: 114822

Bill:

Have you ever used IRC chat and "conversed" with a slow typist there?

It sucks, yes, I would allow for having one come up to speed before using IRC chat, or hang in a newbie room until coming up-to-speed. That same system would work well for Morse... let'em stay off the key and on a "newbie frequency" until they get up to speed and have range of the whole cw bandwidth... sounds logical to me!

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 04:06:27 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>> Bill:
>>
>> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>> you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to use
>> code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>
> Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
> certify ability to use digital modes?
>
> How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
> in voice mode?
>
> Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
> for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
> case now and has always been the case.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

# 472. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 04:25:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114823

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
> >we stood.
>
> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?

Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.

Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy beltway-style actually works.

Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

# 473. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 05:21:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123219296.615343.49780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114824

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!

As of the of the day in DC on 4 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments are:

Total 662
In-Between/Dupes 67*
Against Elimination 152
For Elimination 443

* In-betweeners are those "following" the ARRL plan to scrap code testing for all but Extras, therefore with feetses in both camps. In that group also are duplicate Comments and a few who wish a higher morse rate for all below 30 MHz! Excluded are the "joke" comments with names and given mailing addresses which are obviously phony.

Note: The DATE RECEIVED block on the ECFS Search Listings is running on Eastern time zone and the calendar date for all Comments is set accordingly.

To date, the NCTA:PCTA ratio is 443:152 or 2.9:1 ratio. The number of "in-betweener" Comments has increased markedly over the second week of Comments.

Stay tuned. This NPRM has NOT YET appeared in the Federal Register so the Comments seen so far may/may not be used by the FCC in determining the final Report & Order. Thanks to Carl Stevenson for pointing out the Federal Register non-appearance.

did dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 474. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 05:39:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.05.39.18.32987@gmail.com>
Source record: 114825

Len:

Kinda of disappointing, you would think this would heat the blood much more and the comments would have been much more, even though, apparently, they comment too quickly... dismal figures there... I am thinking about seeing a shrink next week and seeking medication to fight off this depression... Krist those of "Brotherhood of the Dead Key" must be wearing black arm bands... perhaps contemplating a change of church! (away from the "Jehovah's Witness to the ARRL", "Holy Order of the Brothers of the CW Cross to Bear" or "Brotherhood of the Brass Key")

I expected those for elimination to run 10:1 against those supporting! I just can't understand it... I will use this bottle of Jim Beam to console my spirits, a "Meeting of the Spirits" if you will...

John

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 22:21:36 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!
>
> As of the of the day in DC on 4 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
> Comments are:
>
> Total 662
> In-Between/Dupes 67*
> Against Elimination 152
> For Elimination 443
>
> * In-betweeners are those "following" the ARRL plan to scrap code
> testing for all but Extras, therefore with feetses in both camps.
> In that group also are duplicate Comments and a few who wish a
> higher morse rate for all below 30 MHz! Excluded are the "joke"
> comments with names and given mailing addresses which are
> obviously phony.
>
> Note: The DATE RECEIVED block on the ECFS Search Listings is
> running on Eastern time zone and the calendar date for all
> Comments is set accordingly.
>
> To date, the NCTA:PCTA ratio is 443:152 or 2.9:1 ratio. The
> number of "in-betweener" Comments has increased markedly over
> the second week of Comments.
>
> Stay tuned. This NPRM has NOT YET appeared in the Federal Register
> so the Comments seen so far may/may not be used by the FCC in

> determining the final Report & Order. Thanks to Carl Stevenson for
> pointing out the Federal Register non-appearance.
>
> did dah
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 475. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 06:53:16 UTC
From: Ed <kc7flr@invalid.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <u5cfzw3pi05d.11rod62btr4fg$.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 114826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> ----------------------------------------------------------
> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
> ----------------------------------------------------------
<illiterate nonsense snipped>

Does N9OGL always refer to himself in the third preson?

Go back to school, Todd.

Ed

## 476. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 08:58:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123232326.718709.32420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > K4YZ:
> >
> > You should look at the letter I am preparing for the pope, I am
> > recommending K1MAN for sainthood. I expect him to become the patron saint
> > of amateurs world wide, well, at least for catholics...
>
> I wish youd take the newsgroups a little moreseriously
>
> or prehaps I should say I wish you could take the NG more seriously

And I wish you would take a laxitive a little more seriously.

Steve, K4YZ

## 477. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:03:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123232617.654428.42270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114828

Ed wrote:
> On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, N9OGL wrote:
>
> > ----------
> > N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
> > ----------
> <illiterate nonsense snipped>
>
> Does N9OGL always refer to himself in the third preson?
>
> Go back to school, Todd.

He figures if he does it this way it will appear as though someone important is being reported on by someone else who deems it important to report ON him...rather than being the basement-dwelling parasite that he is. You know...Kinda like that "When E.F. Hutton speaks...Everyone listens..." commercial...

Steve, K4YZ

## 478. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:16:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123233400.958439.146270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114829

b.b. wrote:

> Same stuff, different day. And at the end of the day, Steve's still an
> idiot.

Being an "idiot" is not a detriment to one's character. One man's
idiot is another man's genius.

However a liar is a liar, and Brainie Boy, you're a liar.

Proven. Archived.

Steve, K4YZ

## 479. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:21:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123233665.734907.128400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > n9ogl wrote:
> >
> >>>he may well know he is a stalker after all
> >>
> >>He's a staker, bully, and narrow-minded, along with a few of friends on
> >>here.
> >
> >>And you and old_friend are both dumber than a bowl of dirt.
> >
> > Another one of rec.radio.amateur.policy fine bullies.
> >
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh
> >
> >
> And you're so stupid you can't even make up your mind about your last name.

Not 'stupid', Buzz...Just confused. Should he use his daddy's
last name or his parents?

Maybe if he got out of the basement more often...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 480. Re: Ever Consider A Job With FEMA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:24:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123233872.788606.175940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114831

an old friend wrote:
> please give us a break
> K4YZ wrote:
> cuting stevie spaming us with links

"cutting"

"spamming"

Guess you're so well set that a $60K+ job in FEMA communications
doesn't interest you, Markie...

But it might someone else. (Someone LITERATE, is what I REALLY
meant.)

Steve, K4YZ

# 481. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:26:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123234011.169471.220330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114832

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >If nothing else, all of us can at least say that
> >we let FCC know where we stood.
>
> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
> released.

Yep. In fact they let us know that back in '99.

> Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?

The majority didn't get what they wanted back then. More than
half of those who commented wanted at least 2 code test speeds
but we got 5 wpm across the board.

Still, it will be worth commenting just for the principle
of the thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 482. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:31:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123234275.328690.180890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Oddly enough, you can see the same of HF, just minus the repeater...
>
> John

Maybe on 'phone. Not on Morse Code.
>
> On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 15:09:49 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Kardiac Korner wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
> >> >>>>Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
> >> >>>>this is not endemic to the HF bands.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>On 'phone, maybe. Not on Morse Code.
> >> >>>
> >> >> Not on Phone either. Of course, I think you knew that already, Jim!
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> > There are some spots on 'phone where such conversations go on. 75
> >> > meters is a common place for them.
> >>
> >> No argument there. My point was that I take exception with the idea that
> >> the HF bands are populated by the type.
> >
> > Oddly enough, the original post was about a conversation on a repeater.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
```

## 483. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:35:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123234522.058025.20750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114834

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
>
> > > > You flatter yourself by thinking that I would hazard my freedom by
> > > > such an act. It's part of what makes you an insufferable creep. And a
> > > > fool.
> > >
> > > it isn't flatterery.
> >
> > Sure it is.
> >
> > I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> > for a putz like you..?!?!
>
> the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it

Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...

On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...

Yet here you are stirring the pot.

If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!

The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.

> > > It is you being stupid to even type that
> >
> > And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
> > when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!
>
> bull##### it was a threat...(SNIP)

Nope. You WANT it to be a threat, because that then plays into
your "victim" role.

> (UNSNIP)....foruately one you have havne't carried out,
> but one you keep repeating, such as your threat to come to the UP

I don't "keep repeating" any threat. YOU keep repeating it.

As for any potential travel to the UP, guess what...?!?!

I can travel anywhere in the Untied States I care to go...Just
like YOU can...

> > > yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> > > have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that
> >
> > Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
> > he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.
>
> then stop Stevie

Stop what?

You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourslef on
numerous occassions.

> stop making threats stopp harassing folks

I'm doing neither.

Steve, K4YZ

## 484. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:54:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123235682.953870.145480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

fuck you, you little bitches!!!

## 485. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 09:59:23 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1123235963.890074.315340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114836

Isn't democracy grand? ;-)

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI

## 486. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 10:02:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123236170.605979.157490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114837

Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your bullying ass we'll ask.

## 487. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 10:06:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123236385.528671.290190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114838

```
an old friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
> > > > > > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.
> > > > >
> > > > > indeed we are
> > > >
> > > > Glad you agree
> > > >
> > > > > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be done is
> > > > > > another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
> > > >
> > > > > that does depend on the goal, and the operator.
> > > >
> > > > True enough.
> > > >
> > > > > Personaly I find the
> > > > > idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
> > > > > redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go along
> > > > > for a minute)
> > > >
> > > > It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and flexibility
> > > > of
> > > > human-machine interface. There are many more good things (redeeming
> > > > virtues?) of Morse Code.
> > >
> > > IYO
> >
> > Not just my opinion. The good things about Morse Code are an
> > objective fact.
> >
> > Of course that doesn't mean Morse Code *must* be tested, any
> > more than the good things about, say, a standard phonetic alphabet
> > means it must be tested.
> >
> > > not in mine
> >
> > > it is a fact manual morse is quite useless to me and others
> >
> > If you have a mcahine that can interface with someone using manual
```

> > Morse Code, whom you otherwise could not contact at all, how can that
> > manula Morse Code be useless to you?
>
> because it is useless to me, simple fact,

That's been proved to be untrue. If manual Morse by another operator
makes it possible for you to work that operator with an automated
system, that makes the mode useful to you.

Doesn't mean it must be tested.

> and i will never know wether
> it is usefull to any other particular person, unless they tell me and I
> believe them

It's pretty clear you won't believe them.

> > > > > That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
> > > > > to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and sending it
> > > > > back the same way.
> > > >
> > > > One more tool in the toolbox.
> > >
> > > and yet you opose allowing me in the playing feild at all
> >
> > That's simply not true!
> >
> > All anyone has to do to get the license is to pass the required tests.
>
> and you spport the Morse code test and therefore you opose me on the
> field at all

Not you personally.

I also support written tests. There are folks I know who have
repeatedly flunked the written tests - something about "math
trouble" - "never been good with numbers and rote memorization"

Why should they have to learn all that stuff?
> >
> > VEs are empowered to use all sorts of accomodations in the
> > tests (both written and Morse Code) if needed. In fact, a Morse
> > Code *sending* test can be substituted.
>
> which doesn't meet the ADA standards of accomodation at all, since that
> law allows me anything that will in fact work, my choice would be a
> code reader

ADA is about rights. A ham radio license is a privilege.

Have you ever asked the VEs for any accomodations?
>
> based my expeences in the past that is about all that would do it

> However that aside you insist I develope some varraint of a skill that
> I can't use.

Can't or won't?

> > > My station is at least one if not several such tool but you
> > > don't wish to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool
> >
> > I have no idea what you are trying to say.
>
> becuase you think Morse code is just a trival bit of work to learn, and
> for some it is

For some the written tests are trivial, for others they are not.
Shall they be eliminated because some people can't seem to pass them?

> and lacking that skill you would choose to sideline my station which is
> able right now to work HF (I have up a G5RV conected to my ft 847
> satelite rig which is also HF cappable

If someone buys a rig and sets up an antenna, does that give them the
right to demand a license?
>
> > > > > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> > > > > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> > > > > of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> > > > > despense with a PC
> > > >
> > > > If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC > > at either station.
> > >
> > > agreed but so what
> >
> > A tool that is with someone always is the most useful.
>
> The above noted for later reference as "A"
>
> but only if it is ever with that person. even if through some
> accomdated test I passed it I would not have a tool to use

So? Doesn't change the fact that a tool that is with someone always is
the most useful.
>
> > > this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my
> > > sation to help the pcles staion
> >
> > No one is saying you cannot use a PC for Morse Code.
>
> but you are supporting not allowing me access to specturm

I am supporting Morse Code testing. Also written testing. It's not
about you personally.
>
> > > > > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of

> > > > > computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> > > > > by your standards (more modes more abilities)
> > > > >
> > > > > In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
> > > > > just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.
> > > >
> > > > I have never said it was
> > > >
> > > > it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul > is always better
which just isn't so
> >
> > Where have *I* ever said Morse Code is always better?
>
> in the post I am replying to you make a statement to that effect it
> survies above as noted as "A"

"A tool that is with someone always is the most useful."? Has nothing
to do with what you are saying.

> > > > > so where your beef?
> > > > >
> > > > > The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.
> > > >
> > > > and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better
> >
> > *Where* have *I* said that?
>
> at A

"A tool that is with someone always is the most useful."

Doesn't fit.

> > > > > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
> > > > >
> > > > > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> > > > > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > > > > > greatly reduced.
> > > > > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > > > > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > > > > > from rain
> > > > > > and other inclement weather.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > > > > > could win
> > > > > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > > > > > isn't
> > > > > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > > > > > been around
> > > > > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play

> > > > > > them, and
> > > > > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > > > > > machine that
> > > > > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > > > > > all those
> > > > > > lessons, practice, etc.
> > > > > >
> > > break
> > > > > > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
> > > > >
> > > > Point is, there's a big difference.
> > >
> > which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune
> > >
> > and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?
> >
> The same things about all the other things hams are required to learn.
>
> no becuase I blow everything On AM or on SSB or FM and still get a
> license

Maybe not. Nobody knows exactly which questions are on the written
test.

> Code testing is deferent nothing else in Ham radio has that
> status so your staement is simply not true

The point is that you still have to learn stuff you'll probably never
use just to pass the written test.

>
> > --
> >
> > Suppose you were given the following test:
> >
> > You're sitting at a table with pencil and paper, and your choice of
> > speaker or headphones.
> >
> > Through the headphones you hear a series of words spoken slowly and
> > clearly, spaced so there is one word every 2 seconds or so.
> >
> > All you have to do is write down the first letter of each word.
> >
> > The test always uses a standard phonetic alphabet, too.
> >
> > So if you heard:
> >
> > "Sierra....Tango.....Alpha.....Romeo.....Tango.........Whiskey.....India.....Tango....
.Hotel"

> >
> > you would write down
> >
> > "START WITH..."
> >
> > etc.
> >
> > The test goes on for 5 minutes, but all you need is one minute correct
> > to pass the test.
> >
> > Could you pass such a test? Is there anyone who can converse in
> > English, and who is literate in that language, who could not
> > pass such a test?
>
> I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
> I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
> from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
> changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
> decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
> seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
> leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
> myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen

We see that all the time here.

> Does not mean I can't read text and understand it and tell you about
> later
> >
Often what you write here is very unclear or even incomprehensible.
> >
> > All the Morse Code test does is to replace the words with specific
> > sounds. Instead of "Sierra", for example, you would hear three short
> > beeps.
> >
> > What is so impossible about that?
>
> get it though your head, I have failed exactly that test a number of
> times Jim

Which one - the verbal one?

> > I could see that if someone had an auditory or cognition problem,
> > they might have trouble with both tests. But it seems incredible
> > that people who would have no trouble with the first claim the
> > second to be impossible, or even very difficult, for them.
>
> In My

Why did you capitalize "My"?

> case it is a set of learning disablities, varies people have
> varies abilities

> when you add morseyou have tranlating . to "e" which might make it to
> the page as "y" when you sart with letters .- which I think is "a" I
> may hear -. think "a" (becuase dyslexics reverse stuff) and might not
> get even that "a" to the paper right

> I do better with keyboards where I am tring to learn not the . is "e"
> but is the trhid finger of left hand up one key (the touch tpye
> position of "e" of course)

Would you accept the same argument about the written tests? Some people
just can't seem to pass those.

> > Of course for some folks, "can't" actually means "won't" or
> > "don't want to".

## 488. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 10:57:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123239469.426624.27610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114839

an old friend wrote:
> I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
> I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
> from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
> changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
> decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
> seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
> leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
> myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen

One last comment.

Back in 1990, FCC created medical waivers at the behest of a now-dead
King wanting a favor from then-president Bush. FCC waived the 13 and 20
wpm Morse Code tests if the applicant could show a doctor's letter.

Canada has recently made the Morse Code test part of the overall
scoring
rather than a stand alone test.

More than 5 years ago, I proposed here a "Chinese menu" form of license
testing that would allow much greater flexibility in testing.

But the near-unanimous reply from NCTAs has always been that the Morse
Code test must be completely eliminated - no compromises, no more
waivers, no score-blending, etc.

In fact, some NCTAs and their organizations, like NCVEC and NCI, went
a step further and pushed for lower *written* test standards such as
free
license upgrades and a "Communicator" license.

What's next?

## 489. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 12:13:28 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <8N5FRSET38569.3426851852@anonymous>
Source record: 114840

In article
<1123184755.040805.176340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out

That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Daog 20/20,
right, Marky?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 490. Re: FCC proposal = CB'ers with ham licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 12:23:24 UTC
From: bigamps <bigamps@even.louder.net>
Message-ID: <0TIIe.3690$j21.1123@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 114841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Roger Beep wrote:
> Ten-four?
>
> Yah, that's a big ten-four.
>
> All my channel 19 good-buddy CB friends are going to be so happy.

In all likelihood it'll improve things on the bands when the whiny old timers finally give up and go away.

## 491. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 13:15:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123247712.062508.103680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> @@@@ you, you little $$$$$$$!!!

Now THAT'S the Toiddie we've come to loathe and despise!

Steve, K4YZ

# 492. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 13:23:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123248190.536796.114210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114843

N9OGL wrote:
> #### you Steve you ####ing little troll, why don't you crawl back under
> that ####ing rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
> bullying ### we'll ask.

Toiddie, I am disappointed in you. Profanity was only 12.9% of
that post...Way, way down from your personal best!

Here's where YOUR biggest disappointment comes in, Toid...I get to
exercise the EXACT SAME "freedom of speech" that YOU get to use...

Sucks, huh...?!?!

You may not like the way I use mine, but then I guess that leaves
us tied now, doesn't it...?!?!

Keep that First Amendment spirit, Toiddie!

Steve, K4YZ

## 493. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 13:25:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123248343.865210.193740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114844

Bert Craig wrote:
> Isn't democracy grand? ;-)

That it tis, my friend...that it tis!

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 494. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 13:31:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2TJIe.1821$WD.325@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114845

K4YZ wrote:

> Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...
>
> On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
> that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...
>
> Yet here you are stirring the pot.
>
> If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
> the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!
>
> The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
> from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.

> Nope. You WANT it to be a threat, because that then plays into
> your "victim" role.

You're forgetting that Mark is a masochist. Perhaps he simply likes it.
If he asks you to hurt him, just say "no". It'll ruin his day.

Dave K8MN

## 495. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 13:32:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%TJIe.1822$WD.676@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114846

N9OGL wrote:
> Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under
> that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
> bullying ass we'll ask.

"We"? Do you have a pirate station in your pocket?

Dave K8MN

## 496. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 13:32:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8UJIe.1052$Je.483@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114847

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.05.04.17.00.459175@gmail.com...
> Bill:
> Have you ever used IRC chat and "conversed"
> with a slow typist there?

Sure. No problem. It still worked.

> It sucks, yes,

No, that's your opinion only.

> I would allow for having one come up to speed before using
> IRC chat, or hang in a newbie room until coming up-to-speed.

I don't think the FCC has domain over internet. Who'd enforce
your rule/requirement?

> That same system would work well for
> Morse... let'em stay off the key and on a
> "newbie frequency" until they get up to
> speed and have range of the whole
> cw bandwidth... sounds logical to me!

Have you found the CW segments of HF heavily
populated with very slow operators? Doesn't
seem to be any problem today.

Do you expect that
to change if the code test is ended completely?

What you (John) suggest happens by default now.
While there are no "newbie frequencies"
(although the novice segments could be viewed in
that light), in reality, no one, including yourself, is
forced or required to engage with another ham
who operates code (or keboard) at a speed
that is too slow for your liking. The choice
is yours. No pretest needed.

Additionally, having passed a test in no way
guarantees continued profficiency. I passed
13 wpm over 10 years and haven't use code
in probably 8 years or so. You'd probably not want to
have a CW QSO with me now :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
---------

> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 04:06:27 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>> Bill:
>>>
>>> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF,
>>> and I say IF, you are going to use CW, and perhaps
>>> they can "CW certify" a person to use
>>> code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>
>> Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>> certify ability to use digital modes?
>>
>> How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>> in voice mode?
>>
>> Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>> for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>> case now and has always been the case.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>

# 497. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 13:50:39 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <P8KIe.1059$Je.295@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114848

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>> >we stood.
>>
>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>
> Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
> comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
> Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
> have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
> deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
> published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>
> Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
> whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
> beltway-style actually works.
>
> Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
> w3rv

Bottom line here...

1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).

2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
pro-code test argument....

3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
was because of the international treaty requiring a code
test.

4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
any code test requirement in the international treaty with
almost unanomous agreement by the countries
to do so.

5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
The FCC, now has an open comment period for
discussion of the proposed change.

6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
comment process, any prospect
of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.

7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
going to happen either.
For two reasons:
(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
(b) The majority of current comments are actually
running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
elimination of code testing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 498. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 15:17:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.15.17.17.654893@gmail.com>
Source record: 114850

Bill:

Sounds like there is no problem then, let the "key bangers" hold to their own "key banger club", I don't think the new cb'ers on phone are going to bother them. If there are happy with their numbers on key, great! Seems like you won't mind any cb'ers which might like to try a key and head over towards you at 1wpm, good luck!

Sounds to me like the whole problem is just an imagined one! Can't imagine why some jerk ever even mentioned some problem, damn fool!

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 13:32:52 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.04.17.00.459175@gmail.com...
>> Bill:
>> Have you ever used IRC chat and "conversed"
>> with a slow typist there?
>
> Sure. No problem. It still worked.
>
>> It sucks, yes,
>
> No, that's your opinion only.
>
>> I would allow for having one come up to speed before using
>> IRC chat, or hang in a newbie room until coming up-to-speed.
>
> I don't think the FCC has domain over internet. Who'd enforce
> your rule/requirement?
>
>> That same system would work well for
>> Morse... let'em stay off the key and on a
>> "newbie frequency" until they get up to
>> speed and have range of the whole
>> cw bandwidth... sounds logical to me!
>
> Have you found the CW segments of HF heavily
> populated with very slow operators? Doesn't
> seem to be any problem today.
>
> Do you expect that

> to change if the code test is ended completely?
>
> What you (John) suggest happens by default now.
> While there are no "newbie frequencies"
> (although the novice segments could be viewed in
> that light), in reality, no one, including yourself, is
> forced or required to engage with another ham
> who operates code (or keboard) at a speed
> that is too slow for your liking. The choice
> is yours. No pretest needed.
>
> Additionally, having passed a test in no way
> guarantees continued profficiency. I passed
> 13 wpm over 10 years and haven't use code
> in probably 8 years or so. You'd probably not want to
> have a CW QSO with me now :-)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
> ---------
>
>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 04:06:27 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>>> Bill:
>>>>
>>>> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF,
>>>> and I say IF, you are going to use CW, and perhaps
>>>> they can "CW certify" a person to use
>>>> code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>>
>>> Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>>> certify ability to use digital modes?
>>>
>>> How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>>> in voice mode?
>>>
>>> Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>>> for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>>> case now and has always been the case.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> Bill K2UNK
>>

## 499. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 15:30:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123255800.741883.82910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114849

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> cut
> > > > If I had, you would have found it and re-quoted it here by now.
> > >
> > > why would bother
> > >
> > > I quote you often and you simply deny it
> >
> > No you don't "quote me"...You say you do, but you don't.
>
> Sure i qupyr often

How do you "qupyr"...?!?! Is that some Arabia sport? Sounds like
it might be kin to "Cricket".

> You still deny one shot one kill

I never denied saying it, Markie. You're welcome to try and
"qupyr" where I did...But you and I both know it's not there.

I DO deny and always have that it was any kind of a threat. It
was a response in kind to your "best shot" quip. Any other insistance
on your part is just childish ranting.

> > A "quote" is verbatim...word-for-word.
> >
> > Saying "you said it" is not quoting.
>
> never said it was

But you insist that just by saying I said something without
>>properly<< quoting it is a quote. That's not correct.

> > You've "quoted" nothing. By the way, I am using the Webster's
> > definition of "quoting", not some made-up "maybe it means this today"
> > stuff like you do.
>
> and you are ranting off on a tangent again

No I'm not.

YOU are the one who insists that "definitions" are changeable.

> > > > You haven't. Neither has Lennie or Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Because it didn't happen.
> > > >
> > > and you spend such energy on dening something that did not happen sure
> > > we all believe you...not
> > >
> > Prove it.
> >
> why? why should I?

To prove that you were right and I was wrong.

Of course THAT won't happen.

> > Cite a post. A "real" quote.
> >
> why?

To prove that you were right and I was wrong.

Of course THAT won't happen.

> > > > > > Provide a cite, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > shove it
> > > > >
> > > > more stevie making up stuff
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> > You said "shove it".
> >
> yes I did and I cut your made up repsonse to it

You cut what I said because you're a coward and didn't like
getting your nose rubbed in your silliness again. Nothing less.

> > Anyone can back up two posts and see it.
> >
> they can you just don't like your own editing thrown back little baby

I don't cut comments that I am respoding directly to, Markie.

You do. You try to avoid the truth, which is why people like you
lie so readily.

> > > > > > (Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
> > > > > > happened...)
> > > > > >
> > > > > nope it will not since I have no intention of doing as you demand

> > > >
> > > > It's not a demand.
> > > >
> > > sure is a demand
> >
> > "Shove it" is a "demand".
>
> if you like

It's not me, Makie. Try "English grammar".

> > > > It's an opportunity for you to prove your point and try and
> > > > humiliate me.
> > > >
> > > it is more humilating for you to watch you twist in the wind
> >
> > What twisting?
>
> you ranting and raving makeing demands you can't back up. looking
> gnerla impotent

What rants and raves?

As for what looks "gnerla impotent", I think an 38 year old who
writes like you do and won't take steps to fix it is pretty impotent.

> > So far, all I've done is take YOUR words and show them to be
> > false.
>
> you have done NOTHING of the sort

Sure I have.

> You can't prove them false, I guess you just don't understand the word
> proof

We have your admissions, Markie.

You first stated you were a Colonel in the Army. I waved evidence
in your face, including the name of the agency, the person I spoke to
and the phone number, and you dropped your lie about that.

The we have your stories about NOT being "Mark Morgan" under
different screen names (as if that broken dreck of yours could be
hidden). Then you admitted who you were, then tried the "I was trying
a different ISP for financial reasons" story. Of course that doesn't
answer why you lied about your identity.

Lately we have your "I have never been a mental health patient"
only to get tripped up on your own stories yet again. And your
rantings agains Hans after he tried to help you out...Priceless!

The list goes on, but why waste the bandwidth?

> > > > But what it REALLY is is me proving that you haven't got a leg to
> > > > stand on with this assinine allegation of yours.
> > >
> > > is is me means nothing
> >
> > I am sure it does...to you...
> >
> > And please note in a post to Dave Heil you accuse him of citing
> > those words ('..is is me means nothing...") out of context.
>
> and you have now also cut them out of context

Nope. I made certain I re-quoted the post to avoid that
accusation...

But YOU did!

> cuting stvie losing it

Lying Markie getting tripped up on his own lies.

Here's a "cut", but not the direct comments that I am responding
to.

> > It's not suggestive of a single thing other than you are
> > desperately trying to find a way out of the dead end you've gotten
> > yourself into, and doing poorly at it.
>
> not at all, noting desperate, why would I BE desperate, nothing you can
> do will make me think less of you, and nothing I do will change for the
> better what you think of me

Yes...you're desperate.

Why are you desperate? Perhaps because you think you're being so
cutsie and witty, only to keep getting your nose rubbed in your own
dreck and lies.

> all that is going on is simple I'd rather attack than contiue to be
> butt of your attacks

But it's YOUR silliness that keeps tripping you up, Markie!

I just point it out!

> you brought this on yourself, whn you denied i droped the matter since
> it was bit less clear but your brought it and demanded I apolgize and
> made an issue of again so fine I do think it is true and it beats other
> topics you want to deal with

WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!

> > > > > > But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
> > > > > > helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a

> > > > > > part of my job.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ah admiting to being on the dole well thank you
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Admitting that I know more about taking care of people who need
> > > > > help.
> > > >
> > > > know more than what or whom?
> > >
> > > your false supperioty of is fun to wacth
> >
> > There's nothing false about it.
>
> sure is
>
> Always is
>
> when anyone tried to play these "superiority games"

What "superiority games"...?!?!

> you are esp not good at it

Good at what?

> > > > > > > that you deny it so loudly is another
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no you deny it becuase you think it is improper, meaning that when you
> > > > > > make me think you getting or have gotten it (as you have admited above)
> > > > > > you also admit to doing something improper
> > > > >
> > > > > And you're demonstrating that you've got nothing but this story of
> > > > > yours to hide behind, Markie.
> > >
> > > if it is nothing , a will of the wisp then why does it bother you?
> >
> > For the billionth time, Markie, I don't tolerate liars.
>
> then you should comit suicide at once

Nope.

I'll just keep rubbing your nose in your dreck until you leave the
group, subscribe to "Hook On Phonics", or commit your own suicide.

> > You're a liar.
>
> at times when it protects me sure I am

Silly Markie!

Your lying hasn't protected you from SQUAT!

As a matter of fact, it's landed you squarely in the middle of
this mire of humiliation!

> you lie all the time for no reason but you can

No, I don't lie "all the time" even though I could if I wanted to.

Why would I?

> > > thou doth protest too much
> >
> > Still not getting it right, Markie. Try again.
>
> but you understand so it is right enough

I understand that you got it wrong.

> > > stevie I told i would all of you sexual references
> >
> cuting stevie play english cop

Markie avoiding the truth...again.

> > > > > > But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
> > > > > > accuse others of is grossly apparent.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not lying at all
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure you are.
> > > > >
> > > > > You've blatantly stated that I am receving "the dole" illegally.
> > > >
> > > > nope I never said that
> > > >
> > > > I said 3 things
> > > >
> > > > 1 that you have claimed a public support,
> > >
> > > Which I never did.
> >
> > not true

Prove it. Show the quote.

> > > 2 that if you are not lying then then I belive you doing something
> > > improper, possibly ilegal
> > >
> > > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > agrement I see, in legal terms at least

Nope.

Sarcasm.

In no particular terms.

> > > 3 that isn't clear exactly how you are doing it
> >
> > What's not clear to you is that you're getting your self in trouble
> > making such accusastions.
>
> what trouble?

You don't know? Sheesh, Markie, you're the big legal expert...Why
don't you tell us what making an improper accusation against a licensed
professional in a public forum is called...?!?!

> more threats
>
> haven't you learned that road doesn't work?
>
> are you really that dumb

Nope. But you seem to be.

> > > you keep making stuff up or over simplifing statements
> >
> > Nope. You said I was on the dole.
>
> yep and a few posted back you added to that statement

Nope.

YOU tried to state thay by knowing anything about the subject at
all made me "guilty".

I expounded on my duties as a licensed health care professional.
You continued to misrepresent the truth.

> > Now you're even lying about that.
>
> nope you just will get right I am saying and what you are adding to my
> statements

I say you're caught in a dead end and have nothing but blatantly
mistruthful statements that you use to try and validate your other
blatantly mistruthful statements.

> > > > It's not true...Not in fact, not in fantasy. Not under my "real"
> > > > name nor any fictional name.
> > >
> > > BUZZZZ
> > >
> > > If I am wrong then it is ture in fantasy
> >

> > Huh?
>
> plain english

Not in this country it's not.

> > > thou doth protest too much
> >
> > I don't tolerate liars. You're a liar.
>
> then you should comit suicide at once

Nope.

I'll just keep rubbing your nose in your dreck until you leave the
group, subscribe to "Hook On Phonics", or commit your own suicide.

> > > > > > > that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> > > > > > > being caught in an outright lie
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't need to be concerned.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > gee Stevie I don't believe you
> > > > > >
> > > > > Too bad.
> > > >
> > > thou doth protest too much
> >
> cuting stevie playing english cop

The truth is still the truth. You STILL don't have it right...No
matter what of MINE you "cut", YOU will still be wrong.

> > > > > > And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
> > > > > > matter how it's phrased.
> > > > > >
> > > > > bull####
> > > > >
> > > > You disagree that the truth is truth?
> > > >
> > > No I disgree that you ever say the truth
> >
> > Then you're set for some real disappointments.
>
> not realy It would be a pleasure to be wrong on that, truely, but your
> world view is so distorted that you don't the truth

I've been out IN the world, Markie...I've set foot on every
continent at least once (save for Antarctica).

> you alloy fantasy and reality together to point I am even sure if you
> touch reality

Sheesh, Markie...Cute coming from a confirmed mental health
patient.

> > > more itelavancy cut
> >
> justing cuting the bluster

You mean cutting out stuff you don't want to have to own up to...

No problem...You leave a lot of other stuff to unravel.....

> > > > > > A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > > > > > > > Mark, but it's not me.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you have clear mental health issues
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
> > > > > > > health infrastructure.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not?
> > >
> > > becuase it is none of your busness
> >
> > You make it our business when you smear it all over the NG, Markie.
>
> nope I don't, it is never your busness

It's my "busness" once you post it in a public forum or if it's a
matter of public record.

> > > > > > It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
> > > > > > your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
> > > > > > by abbreviations with casual familiarity.
> > > > >
> > > > > so?
> > > >
> > > > So I've proved my point.
> > >
> > > a point I have never deined
> >
> > Sure you have. This very week alone, and in this same thread you
> > DENIED being in the mental health system. Now you acknowledge that you
> > ARE in the system.
>
> nope I was in the system they are the ones who diagnosed the Dyslexia
> and aphiasci condictions, that was more than 2 decades ago
>

> what we have here again is your lack of brains
>
> the past is not the present
>
> thier is difference from having been someplace 20+ years ago and being
> in the system TODAY

Markie....

THIS WEEK you acknowledged being in the mental health system for
ANGER issues.

You acknowledged that you HAVE PRESCRIPTIONS from those doctors.
Remember..?!?! You tried to accuse me of "libel" for stating that if
their writing, which YOU were commenting about, was a lousy as YOU
said, then they were unprofessional...?!?!

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!!?

Nice try, Markie.

Busted again.

Or have you been holding on to the same bottles for twenty+
years...?!?!

> > > congrats
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > > > THAT'S telling!
> > > > >
> > > > > of what? nothing I have not admited before many times in fact
> > > > >
> > > > > that you think dealing with the mental health system is somehow "dirty"
> > > > > or something simply shows you a bigot.
> > > >
> > > > I never said that.
> > >
> > > never said but that your meaning more or less
> >
> cuting stvie pleling english cop

"Cutting"

"Stevie"

"playing"

"English"

Congratualtions on getting "cop" right, but you didn't end the
sentence with a period or initiate the sentence with a capital letter.

> > > > What I HAVE said is that (a) you need help and (b) you're lying
> > > > about it when it's very clear that you ARE in the mental health system.
> > > >
> > > nope you can't get it right even now
> > >
> > Sure I can.
> > >
> > > I have had dealing with mental health
> > >
> > Not enough. You're out of lock-up.
> >
> more libel

Nope.

Fact.

> and more practicing med with a ham lecinse

"license"

> > > but currently I don't need their help
> > >
> > Oh yes you do!
> >
> well I supose they could help by droping a straight jacket on you, but
> aside from that

Nope.

On YOU, Markie.

> > > nor am I getting it
> > >
> > THAT is OBVIOUS!
> > >
> > > more stevies lies
> > > >
> > > cuting more stevie #####
> > >
> cuting more ####

"Cutting"

> > > > > OTOH I have no intention of discusing my medical records with you,
> > > > > byind stating that other than some anger issues, there is really
> > > > > nothing wrong with me, and those issues are simply a matter of dealing
> > > > > with them
> > > > >
> > > > You have more than "anger issues", Markie.
> > > >
> > > nope not according to thoose licensed to know
> > >
> > You have more than "anger issues", Markie.

>
> more practicing med with just a ham license

I'm not practicing medicine, Markie.

You're welcome to quote the parts wherein you think I am, along
with references to state law that supports your contention.

> > > > Anyone who brags about being a chronic liar has deeper problems
> > > > than "anger issues".
> > > >
> > > don't brag about about it, simply adknowledge the truth since
> > >
> > Oh..you acknowledge the truth that you're a liar, yet you don't see
> > the probelm with lying...?!?!
>
> no I don't

Then you support MY contention, as a health care professional,
that you are demonstrating inappropriate, anti-social behaviour.

> I reject the motto that "honesty is the best policy". It is very often
> the worst. I have looked at the way the world works, and made a
> philsopical and religous choice, constitutionaly protected by the way

It's not Constitutionally protected when it is used to commit a
felony, Colonel.

And you again supprt MY contention that you're demonstrating
inappropriate, anti-social behaviour.

> > > > > Indeed it is one the reasons I show up here dealing with such a
> > > > > provoking bigoted fool as yourself, without losing my top is most
> > > > > benificail
> > > >
> > > > But you ARE angry. <cut>
> > >
> > > I am not angry. I get angry at times with your lies and bigotry but it
> > > passes you mostly make me laugh with your abusrdites
> > >
> > There's no lies of my utterance, Markie.
>
> sure are

Nope.

> > There's no bigotry of my utterance.
>
> big lie there Stevie

Double nope.

> > And if expecting adults to speak like adults and act like adults
> > is "absurd", then you do have a lot to laugh at.

>
> anytime you are prepared to start acting like an adult please feel free

I already am.

I am trying to effect a change in your inappropriate anti-social
behaviour.

Constitutionally protected, by the way.

> > The problem here is that you don't even realize who's laughing at
> > you and why.
>
> wrong again I know you are laughing, and why, however in my view that
> just your bigotry

Nope. No bigotry...and I am not the only one laughing at you.....

> > > <cut> You're ate up with not being able to get ahead
> > >
> > > get ahead at what? Life? I have my own home 2 vechiles, no debts, a
> > > satisfing relationship, now victory is cause I have fought for for
> > > years. Sure I could find uses for a some money but I doing fine, and
> > > all with resources that means I don't don't need to work more 5 hours a
> > > week roughly, and that "work" is almost play as well. I call that
> > > being ahead
> > >
> > I don't believe a bit of it.
>
> fine
>
> new rule
>
> Stevie when you make up stuff by adding falsehood to what I said I'll
> cut it

I didn't add anything to what you said, Markie.

Look up.

I didn't edit a single word you typed.

> > You have recent;y stated you're caring for a disabled person
> > (yourself, no doubt), but then liken it to play. One wonders what
>
> another lie I have not liken caring for my father to play, but that is
> not my job, I care for the old man as much as he needs on top of
> working

You didn't say you were taking car of your father.

You said you were caring for a disabled person, suggesting that
you were in the employ of a third party.

> > you're playing WITH with this disabled person.
>
> more libel

Nope.

> cuting stevie ####

"Cutting".

> > > > and with people being able to see right through you, even when they
> > > > can't see you in person. This includes your "I am a victim" routine
> > > > which you use as a crutch.
> > >
> > > you know very little about me, indeed and what you should know by now
> > > you can't accept becuase it unsetlles your way of "thinking"
> >
> > I know far more about you than YOU know, and that's from reading
> > here.
>
> not really it is clear from what you type back you have distorted it to
> the point you nothing about me, you think you know plenty I agree but
> you don't

Sure I do.

Scroll back to the top of THIS post.

I know you won't "admit" it, but you and I (and anyone following
along) knows that I've busted you so many times that it's not
funny...and all with YOUR words.

> > You've outted yourself,<cut>
> You outed me you and MD

Huh...?!?!

> <cut> proven then admitted yourself a lair,
>
> ok

Sheesh.

> <cut> and
> > then found out to be fugitive from the mental health system.
>
> more lies

Nope.

Truth.

> > > > People try to give you direction, both overtly and covertly, but
> > > > you're bound-and-determined to beleive that "how" you are is normal.
> > > > It's not. It's an unrealistic assessment of your problems.
> > >

> > > Stevie you are nuts
> >
> > Nope.
>
> you are nuts

Nope.

> it is unrealist of you to try an impose your moral code on anyone esp
> over the medium of the internet
>
> anyone that tries is a nut

Oh?

And what are you for trying to impose YOUR "moral code"...?!?!

> > > of course how I am is normal for me part of the meaning of the word
> > > normal
> >
> > What you ARE is NOT normal.
>
> sure am I fall within the range of normal behavoir

Not in civilized sociatey, you don't.

> > > I have a problem of two being that I, without huge effort express
> > > myself poorly in written form. That is unfortunate.
> >
> > It's extremely unfortuntate since there's very cheap technology
> > that would allow you to avoid it. In the above paragraphs you claim to
> > be so well-off, yet can't splurge for some software that would shut up
> > all the naysayers.
>
> becuase as John has pointed for me it isn't just a matter of "some
> cheap tech"

Sure it is. YOU claim all these real estate and real property
holdings, but you can't afford a few bucks in software...?!?!

> and it would nothing to shut you up

It would sure limit the number of times I have to query you about
your fractured grammar and functionally illiterate spelling.

> > But you don't.
> >
> > > It is not as you
> > > insist a mental defect esp not a simply correctable one, years in my
> > > youth dealing the mental health system taught me that
> >
> > Sure it is.
>
> not according to the pros and the folks that do the tests

I am certain the only "pros" you deal with are at the corner of
First and Main Streets.

If you were to engage a half-way competent occupational speech
therapist a lot of your dreck would clear up.

> > You know there's a problem. You know there's both therapy and
> > tehcnology to help you overcome it. But you refuse to use eitehr to
> > help you.
>
> another lie stevie...(SNIP)

Nope.

> the lie of course the notion everything can be
> "fixed" most stuff can be mitagated to some extent but little can raly
> be "fixed"

The lie here is Mark Morgan trying to squirm his way out of
admitting that there's a fix for his problem.

> indeed if you got help for your problems i doubt they can fixed either,
> they could be mitagated but you refuse to do so

What problem?

> > > > As for "provoking" and "bigoted", those are issues of YOUR own to
> > > > deal with. Again, they fall squarely into your "I am a victim"
> > > > routine.
> > >
> > > nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> > > doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> > > jerk not a bully.
> >
> > Dave's not a jerk...Not by ANY definition.
>
> wrong and an clear lie, since i define him as one

Your opinion doesn't make him a jerk.

It makes YOU look like a fool since Dave's professional and
"Amateur" careers are both stellar and without tarnish.

You, on the otherhand....well...Guess we've gone there enough
today...

> > Nor is Jim.
>
> never said jim was

Sure you have.

> > > I know you are a bully because I watch you bully everyone you disagree
> > > with, not just me. that tells who is seeing thing straight
> >
> > The you've just proven that you need glasses, Markie, because

> > there are more folks here than you, Brain and Lennie that I've had
> > disagreements with, and manage to move on.
>
> realy name one? cloest one would bil sohl but he rarely posts

Jim Miccolis. Kim Walker. Mike Coslo. Larry Roll. Cecil Moore.

> no regular that you respond to do you seriously disgree with

Wrong.

I just don't find it necessary to go toe-to-toe with them since
they treat me with respect as I do them.

Nor do they lie, deceive or otherwise attempt to redirect the
discussions with inappropriate content, threats, accusations of threats
or other such silliness.

> but in any case you think that you might not bully everyone it becomes
> valid to say you bully no one

I don't.

> realy sick stevie

"Really"

No, I'm not, but thanks for asking.

> > Too bad for you.
>
> yes a truthfull stament

"truthful"

"statement"

And still too bad for you.

Steve, K4YZ

## 500. Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 15:44:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.15.44.16.915124@gmail.com>
Source record: 114851

http://www.nocode.org/articles.html

John

## 501. Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 16:00:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.16.00.38.865711@gmail.com>
Source record: 114852

Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...

http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html

As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"

John

## 502. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 16:14:16 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <13dddcf73bd512e44dab403cd2873f34@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 114853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<1123235682.953870.145480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> fuck you, you little bitches!!!

Making homosexual advances again, Toadie? No thanks, we don't
want your STDs.

## 503. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 16:36:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123259814.868682.214320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114854

John Smith wrote:
> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>
> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>
> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>
Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.

Here's the whole story:

I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.

(have you done so?)

The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.

For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
been
used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
licensing".
The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was 10
wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.

To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
to
understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
radio
almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
efforts
of a few enthusiasts.

Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
1927. The
1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.

By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
receiver
was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
but few
hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.

Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual typewriters for
highspeed copy).

1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927 came into
effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40 was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.

But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham radio. The
number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935 there were
over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per year.

This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little technical
knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services threatened the existence of ham radio.

The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough to pass
the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of proper
design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of these
newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of their
knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was concerned, as
was the ARRL.

The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the code
speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by the NCI
article.

The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills and knowledge.

Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes sense.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 504. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 17:02:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.17.02.18.228220@gmail.com>
Source record: 114855

N2EY:

Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see what bites...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> 
> John Smith wrote:
>> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>
>> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>
>> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>>
> Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>
> Here's the whole story:
>
> I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
> "200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>
> (have you done so?)
>
> The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>
> For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
> been
> used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
> licensing".
> The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
> before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was 10
> wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>
> To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
> to
> understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
> radio
> almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
> efforts
> of a few enthusiasts.

>
> Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
> 1927. The
> 1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.
>
> By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
> all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
> transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
> receiver
> was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
> but few
> hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>
> Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
> considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
> like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
> typewriters for
> highspeed copy).
>
> 1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927 came
> into
> effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40
> was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
> signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.
>
> But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
> radio. The
> number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935 there
> were
> over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
> earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
> year.
>
> This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
> technical
> knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
> veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
> interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
> threatened the existence of ham radio.
>
> The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
> to pass
> the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
> proper
> design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
> these
> newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
> their
> knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
> concerned, as

> was the ARRL.
>
> The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
> code
> speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
> comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
> the NCI
> article.
>
> The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
> deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
> newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
> and knowledge.
>
> Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
> sense.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 505. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 17:03:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.17.03.28.739067@gmail.com>
Source record: 114856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hey!

Are my suspicions correct, and this is a gay ham group?
Anyone know where the straight rooms are?

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 18:14:16 +0200, Fritz Wuehler wrote:

> In article
> <1123235682.953870.145480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> fuck you, you little bitches!!!
>
> Making homosexual advances again, Toadie? No thanks, we don't
> want your STDs.

## 506. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 17:42:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123263747.709240.306240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114857

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
cut
> > and you spport the Morse code test and therefore you opose me on the
> > field at all
>
> Not you personally.

yes you mean me personaly

in supporting the current system and its predeceasor you personaly
oppose me and anyone like me

your statement "Nothing personal" is dishonest
>
> I also support written tests. There are folks I know who have
> repeatedly flunked the written tests - something about "math
> trouble" - "never been good with numbers and rote memorization"

and I oppose there being real math on the test since it isn't needed in
this day and age

the only realy rote memorizetion pretains to the rules and the rules
are of ocourse to some arbitaru
>
> Why should they have to learn all that stuff?

and much of it, they should not have too at least with it they some
choice I have elsewhere outlined my thoughts

> > >
> > > VEs are empowered to use all sorts of accomodations in the
> > > tests (both written and Morse Code) if needed. In fact, a Morse
> > > Code *sending* test can be substituted.
> >
> > which doesn't meet the ADA standards of accomodation at all, since that
> > law allows me anything that will in fact work, my choice would be a
> > code reader
>
> ADA is about rights. A ham radio license is a privilege.

Bullshit what do you know of the ADA?

ADA says In part and oversimplfies of course I have the RIGHT that any
barrair in my way (wether oof a RIGHT or aPrevldege I can earn) be
justified. It is a prevledge to have a drivers license, therefore
anything in the way of applaicnt must be justified, a blind man can't
drive, therefore ADA doesn't help, someone with NO morse Skill can
still operate a radio, therefore he has the right that any barrar be
truely needed

>
> Have you ever asked the VEs for any accomodations?

can't you read.

I have taken and FAILED test sent A LETTER at a time I have done so on
number of occasions

> >
> > based my expeences in the past that is about all that would do it
>
> > However that aside you insist I develope some varraint of a skill that
> > I can't use.
>
> Can't or won't?

can't

proven many times

>
> > > > My station is at least one if not several such tool but you
> > > > don't wish to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool
> > >
> > > I have no idea what you are trying to say.
> >
> > becuase you think Morse code is just a trival bit of work to learn, and
> > for some it is
>
> For some the written tests are trivial, for others they are not.
> Shall they be eliminated because some people can't seem to pass them?

you just are not reading or thinking Jim

>
> > and lacking that skill you would choose to sideline my station which is
> > able right now to work HF (I have up a G5RV conected to my ft 847
> > satelite rig which is also HF cappable
>
> If someone buys a rig and sets up an antenna, does that give them the
> right to demand a license?

no and your question is nonsense, and insulting

anyone has the RIGHT that any barrair in their way be fair after all I
can even operate with Morse code which the purpose of the test I can't
pass

> >
> > > > > > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
> > > > > > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal abilities
> > > > > > of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the affected area to
> > > > > > despense with a PC
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC > > at either
station.
> > > > >
> > > > > agreed but so what
> > > >
> > > > A tool that is with someone always is the most useful.
> >
> > The above noted for later reference as "A"
> >
> > but only if it is ever with that person. even if through some
> > accomdated test I passed it I would not have a tool to use
>
> So? Doesn't change the fact that a tool that is with someone always is
> the most useful.

and you miss the point

> >
> > > > > this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my
> > > > > sation to help the pcles staion
> > > >
> > > > No one is saying you cannot use a PC for Morse Code.
> > >
> > > but you are supporting not allowing me access to specturm
> >
> > I am supporting Morse Code testing. Also written testing. It's not
> > about you personally.

you are lying

your statement is dishonest
> >
> > > > > > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better operator of
> > > > > > computer morse than manual and it would make my staion a bteer station
> > > > > > by your standards (more modes more abilities)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally "better",
> > > > > > just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a bicycle.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have never said it was
> > > > >
> > > > > it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul > is always better
which just isn't so
> > > >
> > > > Where have *I* ever said Morse Code is always better?

> >
> > in the post I am replying to you make a statement to that effect it
> > survies above as noted as "A"
>
> "A tool that is with someone always is the most useful."? Has nothing
> to do with what you are saying.

>
> > > > > > so where your beef?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.
> > > > > >
> > > > > and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better
> > > >
> > > *Where* have *I* said that?
> >
> > at A
>
> "A tool that is with someone always is the most useful."
>
> Doesn't fit.
>
> > > > > > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider doesn't need
> > > > > > > to worry about falling over, so the skill required to ride it is
> > > > > > > greatly reduced.
> > > > > > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
> > > > > > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the rider
> > > > > > > from rain
> > > > > > > and other inclement weather.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile that
> > > > > > > could win
> > > > > > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its rider
> > > > > > > isn't
> > > > > > > a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments have
> > > > > > > been around
> > > > > > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and practice to play
> > > > > > > them, and
> > > > > > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply have a
> > > > > > > machine that
> > > > > > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" - without
> > > > > > > all those
> > > > > > > lessons, practice, etc.
> > > > > > >

> > > > break
> > > > > > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
> > > > > >
> > > > > Point is, there's a big difference.
> > > > >
> > > > which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune
> > > > >
> > > > and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?
> > > >
> > > The same things about all the other things hams are required to learn.
> >
> > no becuase I blow everything On AM or on SSB or FM and still get a
> > license
>
> Maybe not. Nobody knows exactly which questions are on the written
> test.

so what you take it again and get another set of questions, no rule say
you have to pass on the first try

never said anyone was entitled to a sure thing, just a fair shot
>
> > Code testing is deferent nothing else in Ham radio has that
> > status so your staement is simply not true
>
> The point is that you still have to learn stuff you'll probably never
> use just to pass the written test.

and I unlike you oppose testing that type
>
> >
> > > --
> > >
> > > Suppose you were given the following test:
> > >
> > > You're sitting at a table with pencil and paper, and your choice of
> > > speaker or headphones.
> > >
> > > Through the headphones you hear a series of words spoken slowly and
> > > clearly, spaced so there is one word every 2 seconds or so.
> > >
> > > All you have to do is write down the first letter of each word.
> > >
> > > The test always uses a standard phonetic alphabet, too.
> > >
> > > So if you heard:
> > >
> > > "Sierra....Tango.....Alpha.....Romeo.....Tango.........Whiskey.....India.....Tango..
...Hotel"
> > >
> > > you would write down

> > >
> > > "START WITH..."
> > >
> > > etc.
> > >
> > > The test goes on for 5 minutes, but all you need is one minute correct
> > > to pass the test.
> > >
> > > Could you pass such a test? Is there anyone who can converse in
> > > English, and who is literate in that language, who could not
> > > pass such a test?
> >
> > I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
> > I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
> > from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
> > changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
> > decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
> > seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
> > leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
> > myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen
>
> We see that all the time here.

indeed you do

>
>
> > Does not mean I can't read text and understand it and tell you about
> > later
> > >
> Often what you write here is very unclear or even incomprehensible.

now you are being insulting

it is uncalled for
> > >
> > > All the Morse Code test does is to replace the words with specific
> > > sounds. Instead of "Sierra", for example, you would hear three short
> > > beeps.
> > >
> > > What is so impossible about that?
> >
> > get it though your head, I have failed exactly that test a number of
> > times Jim
>
> Which one - the verbal one?

the morse test
nad for that matter if I am copiing enough letters the verbal (wether
in the span of any given minute I realy don't know)

>
> > > I could see that if someone had an auditory or cognition problem,
> > > they might have trouble with both tests. But it seems incredible
> > > that people who would have no trouble with the first claim the
> > > second to be impossible, or even very difficult, for them.
> >
> > In My
>
> Why did you capitalize "My"?

I don't know I just did

now if you'd like to at least pretend you were discusing this issue
rather than brating me about it, drop it

>
> > case it is a set of learning disablities, varies people have
> > varies abilities
> > when you add morseyou have tranlating . to "e" which might make it to
> > the page as "y" when you sart with letters .- which I think is "a" I
> > may hear -. think "a" (becuase dyslexics reverse stuff) and might not
> > get even that "a" to the paper right
>
> > I do better with keyboards where I am tring to learn not the . is "e"
> > but is the trhid finger of left hand up one key (the touch tpye
> > position of "e" of course)
>
> Would you accept the same argument about the written tests? Some people
> just can't seem to pass those.

no becuase it isn't true of anyone that can operate the radio
afterward, you find me a REAL person that can't pass the test in some
form, as I undersatnd they be read and ansered aloud I look at it and
reconsider

the key word of course is "seem"

as I sate else (another thread I believe I would change the writtens
quite a bit, Idealy I suppose I should be pushing for it NOW along with
code, but being human I fight first what can be fought and affects most

>
>
> > > Of course for some folks, "can't" actually means "won't" or
> > > "don't want to".

## 507. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 17:47:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123264066.078127.68560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114859

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
> > I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
> > from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
> > changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
> > decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
> > seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
> > leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
> > myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen
>
> One last comment.
>
> Back in 1990, FCC created medical waivers at the behest of a now-dead
> King wanting a favor from then-president Bush. FCC waived the 13 and 20
> wpm Morse Code tests if the applicant could show a doctor's letter.
>
> Canada has recently made the Morse Code test part of the overall
> scoring
> rather than a stand alone test.
>
> More than 5 years ago, I proposed here a "Chinese menu" form of license
> testing that would allow much greater flexibility in testing.
>
> But the near-unanimous reply from NCTAs has always been that the Morse
> Code test must be completely eliminated - no compromises, no more
> waivers, no score-blending, etc.

in correct Fred Maia, W5YI (i may be blowing the name but the call is
right) proposed something Like the new Canada system, publishing this
on the Old NCI website

As Far as I know NCI is calling Canada a victory for us
>
> In fact, some NCTAs and their organizations, like NCVEC and NCI, went
> a step further and pushed for lower *written* test standards such as
> free
> license upgrades and a "Communicator" license.

now you are fibbing about the NCI position, and NCI hardly control
NCVEC

and the ARRL wanted one time upgrades, as well, haven't seen a word out
of you about that

>
> What's next?

who knows, but that you pose the question tells a lot about you,
nothong I find esp favorable either

it shows something of the Luddite fear of change that the Nocoders
accuse the Procoders of

## 508. spam is spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 17:50:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123264222.664202.85390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114858

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > please give us a break
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > cuting stevie spaming us with links
>
> "cutting"
>
> "spamming"
>
> Guess you're so well set that a $60K+ job in FEMA communications
> doesn't interest you, Markie...

not really I have turned down 240K a years jobs

but in any case it has nothing to do the ARS
>
> But it might someone else. (Someone LITERATE, is what I REALLY
> meant.)

it is still off topic spam
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 509. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 18:37:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123267038.807019.203230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114860

From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 5 Aug 2005 13:50

><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>> >If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>> >we stood.
>>>
>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>
>> Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>> comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>> Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>> have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>> deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>> published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>
>> Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>> whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>> beltway-style actually works.
>
>Bottom line here...
>
>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).

Bill, there was also discussion 15 years ago in FCC 90-53 on
the creation of the no-code-test Technician class.

>2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>pro-code test argument....

That's true enough but is not acceptible to the MMMs in here.

>3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
>was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>test.

Well, there was undoubtedly a lot of heated discussion from
a lobbying group for a noted membership organization...

presumably that is since we in this "democracy" don't get
to actually observe such. :-)

However, the final word was in 99-412, the R&O establishing
this recent restructuring.

>4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>to do so.

The bellweather of that was the IARU's decision to support
optional code testing by administrations beginning in 2000.
U.S. hams tend to be a bit bigoted about their "preeminence"
in amateur radio so they don't look at much of what the rest
of the world is deciding by theirselves.

>5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>discussion of the proposed change.

Well, the Federal Register for 5 Aug 05 doesn't have any
mention of either 05-143 or 05-235...in fact doesn't have
any mention of the FCC at all. Neither have I had any
response to a query to the FCC on whether or not the Comment
period has "officially" started.

We will have to assume that it has started since, on the
13th day of opening of an ECFS slot (for 05-235), there are
almost 700 Comments!

>6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>comment process, any prospect
>of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.

Well, we didn't have the Homeland Security angle in '98. :-)
[that Department didn't exist then...]

>7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>going to happen either.
>For two reasons:
>(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and

The process of rule making is abundantly clear to those who
wish to see examples on the FCC website...or bother to look
in volume 1 of the 5-volume printed version of Title 47
C.F.R. One does NOT need to go to law school to understand

99+ percent of that. Hundreds of examples of the recent
past in civil radio to observe.

Too many have the false idea that "ham regs" are established
as if done in a local club or membership group. Not so since
the laws ARE laws, not "by-laws" and must follow legalities
of much precedence, possible review by the courts later, and
their effect on ALL citizens, not just the clubhouse folks.
Amateur radio is really a very small part of the activity of
the FCC...one indicator is that the "Amatuer" page from the
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau page doesn't have any
"headline" entries after 2002...obviously there were a lot
of things happening after 2002 but 455 12th St SW folks just
haven't bothered to update it.

>(b) The majority of current comments are actually
> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
> elimination of code testing.

On the 13th day of commenting on 05-235, whether "official"
or not, the ratio of For:Against on the NPRM is hovering
around 3:1 in favor of For as of about 1 PM Eastern time with
680 entries. That's an overall average of 52 comments a day.

Note: The above doesn't take into account the "in-betweeners"
who agree that "lower-class" licensees should not be code-
tested but Extras or Extras and Generals should be code-tested.
In-betweeners account for about 10 percent of total comments.
Those in-betweeners could be taken into the For side or the
Against side but a rather clear majority FOR the NPRM would
still ensue, the ratio still ABOVE 2:1 in favor of FOR.

Now it is predictable that someone will rationalize "the
sampling is too small" or that "we must wait to see the
'true' trend" but that is still little more than spite on
their part. Morse code testing is a HIGHLY polarized subject
and there has been a two-decade growing opinion to get rid
of it as a federal regulation. It should now be abundantly
clear that the MAJORITY feels it should be eliminated.

What is most strange to me is that there is so little
mention of the Against side on establishing an "ex-officio"
(i.e., not by federal regulation) radiotelegraphy award or
certificate of merit by a NEW group to demonstrate the
alleged efficacy of morsemanship skills. I can see only two
commenters hinting at that. Now if morsemanship is so darn
good, so superb, so attractive to all who try it, then it
would be natural to assume at least one new group to spring
into existance pushing for morsemanship. All that appears
in the comments Against are the tired old cliches and
morsemyths which have been seen by me for a half century.
Those old warhorse maxims just haven't done the job to

attract enough. Those who have made it through the federal tests rationalize that "it is still good" but they are just whistling in the graveyard...they are in the MINORITY now and they are (as they should be) very uncomfortable.

dit rid
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 510. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 18:43:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123267401.463347.37930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114861

From: John Smith on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 22:39

>Len:
>
>Kinda of disappointing, you would think this would heat the blood much
>more and the comments would have been much more, even though,
>apparently, they comment too quickly... dismal figures there... I am
>thinking about seeing a shrink next week and seeking medication to fight
>off this depression... Krist those of "Brotherhood of the Dead Key" must
>be wearing black arm bands... perhaps contemplating a change of church!
>(away from the "Jehovah's Witness to the ARRL", "Holy Order of the
>Brothers of the CW Cross to Bear" or "Brotherhood of the Brass Key")

Not to worry, John, even as we click away the Church of St. Hiram
is perparing a Novena.

Get your supply of votive candles before the stores run out...

>I expected those for elimination to run 10:1 against those supporting! I
>just can't understand it... I will use this bottle of Jim Beam to console
>my spirits, a "Meeting of the Spirits" if you will...

Well, settling for a mid-morning cup of coffee instead, my take
would have been a 4:1 ratio in favor of tossing the code test.

That's my old opinion based on slowly getting into the Elimination
fray about a quarter century ago...and having the advantage to
work full time in the REAL HF communications field a half century
ago.

What the rabid hams can't see is that there is MUCH MORE in the
whole of electronics to interest hobbyists. Note: Ham radio IS
a hobby activity regardless of the posturing and puffery of its
"servicemen" and "radio" is a subset of the whole of electronics.
For example, the hobby (and businesses supplying) of robotics
grew at an alarming rate in the last 5 years, catching me by
surprise...and I try to keep a pulse feel on the whole of
electronics. Such differing hobbies draw personal (hobby)
interests away from the "purity" of ham radio...and can account
for a lessening interest of either of the For or Against NPRM
groups. Ham radio gets the "who cares?" treatment and hobbyists
go to other things more fun and with less of the regimental,
strictly-ordered "fun" of being a "serviceman."

who car
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 511. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 18:44:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123267492.962567.227840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114862

From: John Smith on Fri 5 Aug 2005 09:00

>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>
>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>
>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"

...or, as one 7 hostile (personality) action murine would have it,
"LIAR PUTZ, LIAR PUTZ, LIAR PUTZ!!!" :-)

---

John, it may interest you to note that NCI was conceived and
begun by Bruce Perens, himself a 20 WPM tested Extra...a few
years BEFORE the release of 98-143 and dropping of the present
code test to 5 WPM.

It isn't that No Code International is that influential, but that
it is a coming-together of LIKE-MINDED INDIVIDUALS from all over
the world to banish the administrations' "necessity" for a morse
code test. The IARU got convinced and was a major mover and
shaker to rewrite S25 and to make morse testing optional in S25.5.
Carl Stevenson of NCI was on the scene in Geneva two years ago
to help push that along.

Elimination of a federal test for morsemanship started as a
small snowball decades ago. It has been rolling along steadily
for years, gathering momentum, gathering mass, and now has
become HUGE. We must pity those who stand in its path since
this is no Tienanmen Square and the no-code-test "tanks" aren't
stopping for anything or anybody. <squish, squish>

ave mar
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 512. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 18:51:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.05.18.51.37.441476@gmail.com>
Source record: 114863
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text; Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: icon.jpg

Len:

... anyway you cut it, any spin you put on it, any number of weak minded
you manage to sway, logic prevails, in the end... time, logic, reason and
the rationally minded always win...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 11:44:53 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Fri 5 Aug 2005 09:00
>
>
>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>
>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>
>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>
> ...or, as one 7 hostile (personality) action murine would have it,
> "LIAR PUTZ, LIAR PUTZ, LIAR PUTZ!!!" :-)
>
> ---
>
> John, it may interest you to note that NCI was conceived and
> begun by Bruce Perens, himself a 20 WPM tested Extra...a few
> years BEFORE the release of 98-143 and dropping of the present
> code test to 5 WPM.
>
> It isn't that No Code International is that influential, but that
> it is a coming-together of LIKE-MINDED INDIVIDUALS from all over
> the world to banish the administrations' "necessity" for a morse
> code test. The IARU got convinced and was a major mover and
> shaker to rewrite S25 and to make morse testing optional in S25.5.
> Carl Stevenson of NCI was on the scene in Geneva two years ago
> to help push that along.
>
> Elimination of a federal test for morsemanship started as a
> small snowball decades ago. It has been rolling along steadily
> for years, gathering momentum, gathering mass, and now has
> become HUGE. We must pity those who stand in its path since
> this is no Tienanmen Square and the no-code-test "tanks" aren't
> stopping for anything or anybody.

>
> ave mar
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

--

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: icon.jpg]

## 513. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:17:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123269460.825430.280430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114865

Dave Heil wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
cuting daves inaprotate rearaks

gee nothing left

> Dave K8MN

## 514. stevie doesn't know the present from the past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:17:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123269475.222601.252760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114864

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > cut
> > > > > > If I had, you would have found it and re-quoted it here by now.
> > > > >
> > > > > why would bother
> > > > >
> > > > > I quote you often and you simply deny it
> > > >
> > > No you don't "quote me"...You say you do, but you don't.
> > >
> > Sure i qupyr often
> >
> How do you "qupyr"...?!?! Is that some Arabia sport? Sounds like
> it might be kin to "Cricket".
>
> > You still deny one shot one kill
> >
> I never denied saying it, Markie. You're welcome to try and
> "qupyr" where I did...But you and I both know it's not there.
>
> I DO deny and always have that it was any kind of a threat. It
> was a response in kind to your "best shot" quip. Any other insistance
> on your part is just childish ranting.

not when you add your other attacks and your mentioning about coming to
the UP

you behave a in threatening manner

you threaten others

>
> > > A "quote" is verbatim...word-for-word.
> > >
> > > Saying "you said it" is not quoting.
> > >

> > never said it was
>
> But you insist that just by saying I said something without
> >>properly<< quoting it is a quote. That's not correct.

You make that threat and you threaten and harass others

>
> > > You've "quoted" nothing. By the way, I am using the Webster's
> > > definition of "quoting", not some made-up "maybe it means this today"
> > > stuff like you do.
> >
> > and you are ranting off on a tangent again
>
> No I'm not.

sure are

>
> YOU are the one who insists that "definitions" are changeable.

which is tangetncail

>
> > > > > You haven't. Neither has Lennie or Brain.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Because it didn't happen.
> > > > >
> > > > > and you spend such energy on dening something that did not happen sure
> > > > > we all believe you...not
> > > >
> > > > Prove it.
> > >
> > > why? why should I?
> >
> > To prove that you were right and I was wrong.

already have to anyone with a brain, and who uses it, which lets you
out

>
> Of course THAT won't happen.

of course since nothing proves anything you don't like to you

>
> > > Cite a post. A "real" quote.
> >
> > why?
>
> To prove that you were right and I was wrong.
>
> Of course THAT won't happen.

parroting

>
> > > > > > > Provide a cite, Markie.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > shove it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > more stevie making up stuff
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > You said "shove it".
> >
> > yes I did and I cut your made up repsonse to it
>
cuting

> > > Anyone can back up two posts and see it.
> >
> > they can you just don't like your own editing thrown back little baby
>
> I don't cut comments that I am respoding directly to, Markie.

lie
>
> You do. You try to avoid the truth, which is why people like you
> lie so readily.

nope

In defference the wishes of Others I am not responding to ceratin
classes of your atacks and cutting them

in other cases I am cutting your tangentail nonradio stuff

I am also cutting your spelling cop stuff

doesn't leave much in many posts

>
> > > > > > > > (Hint: This will keep you busy for a while since it never
> > > > > > > > happened...)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > nope it will not since I have no intention of doing as you demand
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It's not a demand.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure is a demand
> > >
> > > "Shove it" is a "demand".
> >
> > if you like
>
> It's not me, Makie. Try "English grammar".

as I said if you want to take that way go ahead

>
> > > > > It's an opportunity for you to prove your point and try and
> > > > > humiliate me.
> > > > >
> > > > > it is more humilating for you to watch you twist in the wind
> > > >
> > > What twisting?
> > >
> > you ranting and raving makeing demands you can't back up. looking
> > gnerla impotent
>
> What rants and raves?

yours

>
cuting more spelling cop as promised

> > > So far, all I've done is take YOUR words and show them to be
> > > false.
> > >
> > you have done NOTHING of the sort
>
> Sure I have.

nope

nothing of the sort
>
> > You can't prove them false, I guess you just don't understand the word
> > proof
>
> We have your admissions, Markie.

which don't prove what you claim they do

>
cuting more off point shit
>
> The we have your stories about NOT being "Mark Morgan" under
> different screen names (as if that broken dreck of yours could be
> hidden). Then you admitted who you were, then tried the "I was trying
> a different ISP for financial reasons" story. Of course that doesn't
> answer why you lied about your identity.

more of your fantasy no lie about my Idenity was made therefore no
answer about why You show me where I denied being me and you might have
something (or you might be taking a statement out of context

>
> Lately we have your "I have never been a mental health patient"

never said that Stevie

I said I am not a mental health patient, and that pros don't think I
need to be when they 'finsihed with, oh about 20 years ago (plus or
minus)

Indeed I have said pro had seen me and decided I needed no further help
from them many times over the years.

Only in your twisted mind is that making the statement

you need to learn the difference between never and not, the present and
the past, basic things like that

> only to get tripped up on your own stories yet again. And your

nope to "trip me up" you have to merge the past and the present it just
ain't so
> rantings agains Hans after he tried to help you out...Priceless!

and then you describe being not answering the questoion as help that is
priceless
never
>
> The list goes on, but why waste the bandwidth?

and you have nothing for what you have wasted so far

>
> > > > > But what it REALLY is is me proving that you haven't got a leg to
> > > > > stand on with this assinine allegation of yours.
> > > > >
> > > > > is is me means nothing
> > > >
> > > > I am sure it does...to you...
> > > >
> > > > And please note in a post to Dave Heil you accuse him of citing
> > > > those words ('..is is me means nothing...") out of context.
> > >
> > > and you have now also cut them out of context
> >
> > Nope. I made certain I re-quoted the post to avoid that
> > accusation...

another lie, but you lie so freely, and obviously without even thinking
about it.

When I lie it is the result of a deliberate choice

which of person is the pathological liar

Id say it was you, but that is for a real pro to know, after all you
could be so delusional you realy believe all of the shit you spew

I don't know or care

if you like you may subitute "You are delusional" for "you are lying"
anywhere they appear in refference to you, I'll say lying since it is

easier to spell.

>
> But YOU did!

did what?

call you on cuting things of context sure did

called dave on it too

sure did

call you on trying to claim that quoting a whole post in which someone
else cuting something out of context

did that too

>
> > cuting stvie losing it
>
>
cuting substancless name calling

> > > It's not suggestive of a single thing other than you are
> > > desperately trying to find a way out of the dead end you've gotten
> > > yourself into, and doing poorly at it.
> >
> > not at all, noting desperate, why would I BE desperate, nothing you can
> > do will make me think less of you, and nothing I do will change for the
> > better what you think of me
>
> Yes...you're desperate.

for what?

>
> Why are you desperate? Perhaps because you think you're being so
> cutsie and witty, only to keep getting your nose rubbed in your own
> dreck and lies.

never saw any one use desperate that way, but if you like then by
Stevie speak enjoying making youdance is desperate, I will of course
use the word as I see proepr in my own posts

>
> > all that is going on is simple I'd rather attack than contiue to be
> > butt of your attacks
>
> But it's YOUR silliness that keeps tripping you up, Markie!

not at all

>
> I just point it out!

>
> > you brought this on yourself, whn you denied i droped the matter since
> > it was bit less clear but your brought it and demanded I apolgize and
> > made an issue of again so fine I do think it is true and it beats other
> > topics you want to deal with
>
> WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!

not talking at all typing prehaps?

yea a cheap shot but I enjoyed it with word spliting jerk like you

but when you denied being on the dole I droped it having some doubt at
that point, when you starting bring it up, I concluded my doubt was not
justified, and since you were attacking me for position i was putting
forawrd but that I believe to be true I might as well have fun and make
it

your protesting and venom have convinced me that you are getting some
type of assitance, and that you are ashamed of it, making it likely
ilegal or unethical, exactly nature unknown to me

>
> > > > > > > But in either case, I bet I know more about both than you do.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I've been an active Amateur since before you reached puberty, and
> > > > > > > helping indigent patients get support, health care and nourishment is a
> > > > > > > part of my job.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ah admiting to being on the dole well thank you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Admitting that I know more about taking care of people who need
> > > > > > help.
> > > > >
> > > > > know more than what or whom?
> > > > >
> > > > > your false supperioty of is fun to wacth
> > > >
> > > > There's nothing false about it.
> > >
> > > sure is
> > >
> > > Always is
> > >
> > > when anyone tried to play these "superiority games"
>
> What "superiority games"...?!?!

your games

>
> > you are esp not good at it
>
> Good at what?

when anyone tried to play these "superiority games"

can't even hole the though for a line, what a stupid fool

>
> > > > > > > > > that you deny it so loudly is another
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I deny it "loudly" because it's not true.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > no you deny it becuase you think it is improper, meaning that when you
> > > > > > > > > make me think you getting or have gotten it (as you have admited above)
> > > > > > > > > you also admit to doing something improper
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And you're demonstrating that you've got nothing but this story of
> > > > > > > > > yours to hide behind, Markie.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > if it is nothing , a will of the wisp then why does it bother you?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > For the billionth time, Markie, I don't tolerate liars.
> > > >
> > > > then you should comit suicide at once
> >
> > Nope.

then you tolerate a liar the one you see in the mirror

>
cuting more name calling

> > > You're a liar.
> > >
> > > at times when it protects me sure I am
> >
cuting more name calling

>
> Your lying hasn't protected you from SQUAT!

not avoiding squat avoiding you or some other bigot you may incite to
violoence

>
> As a matter of fact, it's landed you squarely in the middle of
> this mire of humiliation!

no mire of humiliation

it is in no emabarishing to me to have you go and on about this stuff

it does get boring

>
> > you lie all the time for no reason but you can
>
> No, I don't lie "all the time" even though I could if I wanted to.

you certainly do

>
> Why would I?

it is not fro me to dive into that sick mind of yours, it is for a pro
who i would hope has a "mental wet suit" before doing it

why? I don't why, I don't care why

Motive is not an element in any crime as a matter of law

and I make no claim to understand you motives for your multi year
Jihad, other being sure it arises from some deep seated mental illiness

>
> > > > thou doth protest too much
> > >
> > > Still not getting it right, Markie. Try again.
> >
> > but you understand so it is right enough
>
more evasion

> > > > stevie I told i would all of you sexual references
> > >
> > cuting stevie play english cop
>
> Markie avoiding the truth...again.

simply not going on with you about spelling I have full conteact with
the turth, I am just avoiding dealing with you spelling cop mode

as promised

>
> > > > > > > But that you are lying about it and commiting the very "libel" you
> > > > > > > accuse others of is grossly apparent.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not lying at all
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure you are.
> > > > >
> > > > > You've blatantly stated that I am receving "the dole" illegally.
> > > >
> > > > nope I never said that
> > > >
> > > > I said 3 things
> > > >
> > > > 1 that you have claimed a public support,

> > >
> > > Which I never did.
> >
> > not true
>
> Prove it. Show the quote.

you prove you did not

I don't submit to your demands esp with this being a lot more fun
>
> > > > 2 that if you are not lying then then I belive you doing something
> > > > improper, possibly ilegal
> > >
> > > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > agrement I see, in legal terms at least
>
> Nope.

yes
>
> Sarcasm.

nope

not in legal terms

>
> In no particular terms.

more proof that you don't a lot about law
>
> > > > 3 that isn't clear exactly how you are doing it
> > >
> > > What's not clear to you is that you're getting your self in trouble
> > > making such accusastions.
> >
> > what trouble?
>
> You don't know? Sheesh, Markie, you're the big legal expert...Why
> don't you tell us what making an improper accusation against a licensed
> professional in a public forum is called...?!?!

it is called libel, but no libel here, simply string together your
statement in a logical manner, and coming to a conclusion

no improper accsuion has been made, possibly a mistaken one, but the
burden is on to prove it willful

>
> > more threats
> >

> > haven't you learned that road doesn't work?
> >
> > are you really that dumb
>
> Nope. But you seem to be.

I am not the one making threats stevie

you are

> > > What's not clear to you is that you're getting your self in trouble
> > > making such accusastions.

the above is another threat
>
> > > > you keep making stuff up or over simplifing statements
> > >
> > > Nope. You said I was on the dole.
> >
> > yep and a few posted back you added to that statement
>
> Nope.

sure did and everyone knows it

the more you twist everything arround
>
> YOU tried to state thay by knowing anything about the subject at
> all made me "guilty".

nope

another lie

I said no such thing

I said it added to the evidence against you

other things like the way you are trying to defend yourself against a
person of no account (to paraphrase you) and who knows nothing of law
(according to you) and is in no postion to harm you (according to you)
and yet you feel you must defend yourself in this manner, looks like
"awarenes of guilt", and becomes more evidence against you,

it takes a lot of stuff but slowly adding it up

it allows me to firm basis to from my judgement, and that being as
statement that you are getting some type of assitance, and that you are
ashamed of it, making it likely ilegal or unethical, exactly nature
unknown to me
>
> I expounded on my duties as a licensed health care professional.
> You continued to misrepresent the truth.

no misreprentation
>
> > > Now you're even lying about that.
> >
> > nope you just will get right I am saying and what you are adding to my
> > statements
>
> I say you're caught in a dead end and have nothing but blatantly
> mistruthful statements that you use to try and validate your other
> blatantly mistruthful statements.

then of course you are fine if that is true, but you continue this
absurd set of attacks as if you are guilty of something

>
> > > > > It's not true...Not in fact, not in fantasy. Not under my "real"
> > > > > name nor any fictional name.
> > > > >
> > > > > BUZZZZ
> > > > >
> > > > > If I am wrong then it is ture in fantasy
> > > >
> > > > Huh?
> > >
> > > plain english
> >
> > Not in this country it's not.

sure is
>
> > > > > thou doth protest too much
> > > >
> > > > I don't tolerate liars. You're a liar.
> > >
> > > then you should comit suicide at once
> >
> > Nope.

if you can't stand liars you should comitt suicide at once

or course you statement that you can't tolerate a liar is of course a
lie

cuting name calling

> > > > > > > > > that you prase your words on the subject so carefully as if to avoid
> > > > > > > > > being caught in an outright lie
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I don't need to be concerned.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > gee Stevie I don't believe you
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Too bad.

> > > >
> > > > thou doth protest too much
> > >
> > cuting stevie playing english cop
>
cuting stvie playing spelling cop
>
> > > > > > > And as for being "careful" about what I say, truth is truth, no
> > > > > > > matter how it's phrased.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > bull#####
> > > > >
> > > > > You disagree that the truth is truth?
> > > >
> > > > No I disgree that you ever say the truth
> > >
> > > Then you're set for some real disappointments.
> >
> > not realy It would be a pleasure to be wrong on that, truely, but your
> > world view is so distorted that you don't the truth
>
> I've been out IN the world, Markie...I've set foot on every
> continent at least once (save for Antarctica).

so? your mind is stuck in a cave
>
> > you alloy fantasy and reality together to point I am even sure if you
> > touch reality
>
cuting more practive med without the right license

>
> > > > more itelavancy cut
> > >
> > justing cuting the bluster
>
just cuting the bluster

> > > > > > > A lie is a lie no matter how eloquently it's phrased too.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > (C) There IS a person in this forum with mental health issues,
> > > > > > > > > > Mark, but it's not me.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you have clear mental health issues
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > very likely OCD for one or one of it's cousins
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So Markie...PLEASE tell us about YOUR dealings with the mental
> > > > > > health infrastructure.
> > > > >
> > > > > no

> > > > >
> > > > > Why not?
> > > > >
> > > > > becuase it is none of your busness
> > > >
> > > You make it our business when you smear it all over the NG, Markie.
> > >
> > nope I don't, it is never your busness
> >
> It's my "busness" once you post it in a public forum or if it's a
> matter of public record.

not realy but your are delusional

>
> > > > > > > It's very obvious that YOU have had dealings with it...Refering to
> > > > > > > your "docs", their "records" and even refering to established diagnosis
> > > > > > > by abbreviations with casual familiarity.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > so?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So I've proved my point.
> > > > >
> > > > > a point I have never deined
> > > >
> > > Sure you have. This very week alone, and in this same thread you
> > > DENIED being in the mental health system. Now you acknowledge that you
> > > ARE in the system.
> > >
> > nope I was in the system they are the ones who diagnosed the Dyslexia
> > and aphiasci condictions, that was more than 2 decades ago
> >
> > what we have here again is your lack of brains
> >
> > the past is not the present
> >
> > thier is difference from having been someplace 20+ years ago and being
> > in the system TODAY
> >
> Markie....
>
> THIS WEEK you acknowledged being in the mental health system for
> ANGER issues.

nope I have not

I acknowledged having them not being in a mental health system

>
> You acknowledged that you HAVE PRESCRIPTIONS from those doctors.

I have PRESCRIPTIONS from doctors for ALERGIES

cuting more libel

> Or have you been holding on to the same bottles for twenty+
> years...?!?!

nope I currently see an alegerist have always seen one, and will always
see one. I also handle my father PRESCRIPTIONS and see the writing on
them

You don't read very well

>
> > > > congrats
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > THAT'S telling!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > of what? nothing I have not admited before many times in fact
> > > > > >
> > > > > > that you think dealing with the mental health system is somehow "dirty"
> > > > > > or something simply shows you a bigot.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I never said that.
> > > > >
> > > > never said but that your meaning more or less
> > > >
> > > cuting stvie pleling english cop
cuting stvie pleling english cop

> > > > > What I HAVE said is that (a) you need help and (b) you're lying
> > > > > about it when it's very clear that you ARE in the mental health system.
> > > > >
> > > > nope you can't get it right even now
> > > >
> > > Sure I can.
> > > >
> > > > I have had dealing with mental health
> > > >
> > > Not enough. You're out of lock-up.
> > >
> > more libel
>
> Nope.
>
> Fact.

nope libel

>
> > and more practicing med with a ham lecinse

cuting stvie pleling english cop

> > > > but currently I don't need their help
> > >
> > > Oh yes you do!
> >
> > well I supose they could help by droping a straight jacket on you, but
> > aside from that
>
> Nope.
>
> On YOU, Markie.

in your dreams Indeed I am sure you do dream of it

>
> > > > nor am I getting it
> > >
> > > THAT is OBVIOUS!
> > >
> > > > more stevies lies
> > > >
> > > > cuting more stevie #####
> > >
> > cuting more #####
>
cuting stvie pleling english cop

> > > > > > OTOH I have no intention of discusing my medical records with you,
> > > > > > byind stating that other than some anger issues, there is really
> > > > > > nothing wrong with me, and those issues are simply a matter of dealing
> > > > > > with them
> > > > > >
> > > > > You have more than "anger issues", Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > nope not according to thoose licensed to know
> > > >
> > > You have more than "anger issues", Markie.
> > >
> > more practicing med with just a ham license
>
more libel then

> > > > > Anyone who brags about being a chronic liar has deeper problems
> > > > > than "anger issues".
> > > > >
> > > > don't brag about about it, simply adknowledge the truth since
> > > >
> > > Oh..you acknowledge the truth that you're a liar, yet you don't see
> > > the probelm with lying...?!?!
> > >
> > no I don't
>
> Then you support MY contention, as a health care professional,

> that you are demonstrating inappropriate, anti-social behaviour.

not at all

>
> > I reject the motto that "honesty is the best policy". It is very often
> > the worst. I have looked at the way the world works, and made a
> > philsopical and religous choice, constitutionaly protected by the way
>
> It's not Constitutionally protected when it is used to commit a
> felony, Colonel.

the only felony was your threat to kill me

that is a felony as exaplined I can say or do most anything while under
threat, I could have even tried to kill you if I thought it the best
course of action (and could meet other tests)

>
> And you again supprt MY contention that you're demonstrating
> inappropriate, anti-social behaviour.

not at all

must you keep repaeting yourself

>
> > > > > > Indeed it is one the reasons I show up here dealing with such a
> > > > > > provoking bigoted fool as yourself, without losing my top is most
> > > > > > benificail
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But you ARE angry. <cut>
> > > > >
> > > > > I am not angry. I get angry at times with your lies and bigotry but it
> > > > > passes you mostly make me laugh with your abusrdites
> > > >
> > > There's no lies of my utterance, Markie.
> > >
> > sure are
> >
> Nope.

plenty of lies

>
> > > There's no bigotry of my utterance.
> > >
> > big lie there Stevie
> >
> Double nope.

sure is in almost every post you make

>
> > > And if expecting adults to speak like adults and act like adults
> > > is "absurd", then you do have a lot to laugh at.
> >
> > anytime you are prepared to start acting like an adult please feel free
>
> I already am.

where? not here that is for sure

>
cuting the libel
>
> Constitutionally protected, by the way.

not when you comitt felonies such as stalking harassment hate speech
noe of that is protected by the constitution

>
> > > The problem here is that you don't even realize who's laughing at
> > > you and why.
> >
> > wrong again I know you are laughing, and why, however in my view that
> > just your bigotry
>
> Nope. No bigotry...and I am not the only one laughing at you.....

yes bigorty and no you aren't but I realy don't care about them either

I was addresing you so mentioned you

but lots of folks are bigoted and laugh at there subjects

>
> > > > <cut> You're ate up with not being able to get ahead
> > > >
> > > > get ahead at what? Life? I have my own home 2 vechiles, no debts, a
> > > > satisfing relationship, now victory is cause I have fought for for
> > > > years. Sure I could find uses for a some money but I doing fine, and
> > > > all with resources that means I don't don't need to work more 5 hours a
> > > > week roughly, and that "work" is almost play as well. I call that
> > > > being ahead
> > >
> > > I don't believe a bit of it.
> >
> > fine
> >
> > new rule
> >
> > Stevie when you make up stuff by adding falsehood to what I said I'll
> > cut it
>
> I didn't add anything to what you said, Markie.
>

> Look up.
>
> I didn't edit a single word you typed.

so not what I said you took a stament out of context again and decided
it meant what you think it meant and then bash on on it

you are getting boring

>
> > > You have recent;y stated you're caring for a disabled person
> > > (yourself, no doubt), but then liken it to play. One wonders what
> >
> > another lie I have not liken caring for my father to play, but that is
> > not my job, I care for the old man as much as he needs on top of
> > working
>
> You didn't say you were taking car of your father.

I have many times both 7 years and now I don't need to make everytime I
post or are you that stupid?

>
> You said you were caring for a disabled person, suggesting that
> you were in the employ of a third party.

that was an assumetion on your part, and in error but I am not
responible for your assumetions

>
> > > you're playing WITH with this disabled person.
> >
> > more libel
>
> Nope.

sure is

>
> > cuting stevie ####
>
cuting speling cop

metetion another stevie forgery
>
> > > > > and with people being able to see right through you, even when they
> > > > > can't see you in person. This includes your "I am a victim" routine
> > > > > which you use as a crutch.
> > > > >
> > > > > you know very little about me, indeed and what you should know by now
> > > > > you can't accept becuase it unsetlles your way of "thinking"
> > > >
> > > > I know far more about you than YOU know, and that's from reading

> > > here.
> >
> > not really it is clear from what you type back you have distorted it to
> > the point you nothing about me, you think you know plenty I agree but
> > you don't
>
> Sure I do.
>
> Scroll back to the top of THIS post.
>
> I know you won't "admit" it, but you and I (and anyone following
> along) knows that I've busted you so many times that it's not
> funny...and all with YOUR words.

certainly a lie stevie I "know" no such thing

all you have ever realy done is try and make fun of a disabled person
for being disabled

makes you a sadist PoS and nothing more

>
> > > You've outted yourself,<cut>
> > You outed me you and MD
>
> Huh...?!?!

you send email to after MD (a long gone poster here)agve stuff he
hunted sugeesting that I was a homosexaul) you tried to black mail into
leaving RRAP then or yourd expose me as a homesexaul

that is outing someone in comon usage

>
> > <cut> proven then admitted yourself a lair,
> >
> > ok
>
> Sheesh.

Stevie you go on and on about something I don't deny

I do deny lying in particar cases, generaly whan you take one statement
add 3-4 things out of your own mind and then say I said it when I said
no such thing, therefore ...

>
> > <cut> and
> > > then found out to be fugitive from the mental health system.
> >
> > more lies
>
> Nope.

Lies and Libel

I am a fugitive from nothing

>
> Truth.

Lie

>
> > > > > People try to give you direction, both overtly and covertly, but
> > > > > you're bound-and-determined to beleive that "how" you are is normal.
> > > > > It's not. It's an unrealistic assessment of your problems.
> > > > >
> > > > > Stevie you are nuts
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > you are nuts
> >
> > Nope.

you are nuts

>
> > it is unrealist of you to try an impose your moral code on anyone esp
> > over the medium of the internet
> >
> > anyone that tries is a nut
> >
> > Oh?

yep

>
> And what are you for trying to impose YOUR "moral code"...?!?!

not trying to impose my moral code at all. I'd like to discuss radio in
a radio groups it isn't the place for Moral discussions

if you did not make an issue of my morality all the it would never come
up (unless Dave or someone else decides to take up your mantel)
>
> > > > of course how I am is normal for me part of the meaning of the word
> > > > normal
> > > >
> > > > What you ARE is NOT normal.
> > >
> > > sure am I fall within the range of normal behavoir
> >
> > Not in civilized sociatey, you don't.

sure do you can't acept that fact

>
> > > > I have a problem of two being that I, without huge effort express
> > > > myself poorly in written form. That is unfortunate.
> > > >
> > > It's extremely unfortuntate since there's very cheap technology
> > > that would allow you to avoid it. In the above paragraphs you claim to
> > > be so well-off, yet can't splurge for some software that would shut up
> > > all the naysayers.
> > >
> > becuase as John has pointed for me it isn't just a matter of "some
> > cheap tech"
>
> Sure it is. YOU claim all these real estate and real property
> holdings, but you can't afford a few bucks in software...?!?!

it doesn't work all that well

>
> > and it would nothing to shut you up
>
> It would sure limit the number of times I have to query you about
> your fractured grammar and functionally illiterate spelling.

another lie

you NEVER have query me

you CHOOSE to

it would not stop you from going on and on about who I sleep with that
my moral code doesn't include what you think it should or the rest of
your personal attacks

It easier to do something other than what you want in this regard, it
offends (which is fine by me even a bonus) so why should I spend effort
doing something to please you (a person I'd rather see dead than
pleased) when I can offend (as I wish) with far less effort

you can call it lazy but I call it effiecent too

>
> > > But you don't.
> > >
> > > > It is not as you
> > > > insist a mental defect esp not a simply correctable one, years in my
> > > > youth dealing the mental health system taught me that
> > >
> > > Sure it is.
> >
> > not according to the pros and the folks that do the tests
>
more libel

>
> If you were to engage a half-way competent occupational speech
> therapist a lot of your dreck would clear up.

LOL

You are nuts a SPEECH theapist for writing

you are stupid and you are nuts

>
> > > You know there's a problem. You know there's both therapy and
> > > tehcnology to help you overcome it. But you refuse to use eitehr to
> > > help you.
> >
> > another lie stevie...(SNIP)
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> > the lie of course the notion everything can be
> > "fixed" most stuff can be mitagated to some extent but little can raly
> > be "fixed"
>
more shit

> > indeed if you got help for your problems i doubt they can fixed either,
> > they could be mitagated but you refuse to do so
>
> What problem?

your behavoir

>
> > > > > As for "provoking" and "bigoted", those are issues of YOUR own to
> > > > > deal with. Again, they fall squarely into your "I am a victim"
> > > > > routine.
> > > > >
> > > > nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> > > > doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> > > > jerk not a bully.
> > > >
> > > Dave's not a jerk...Not by ANY definition.
> >
> > wrong and an clear lie, since i define him as one
>
your Stemane is a lie

cuting more shit

>
> It makes YOU look like a fool since Dave's professional and
> "Amateur" careers are both stellar and without tarnish.

Stellar?

never heard or him outside this NG if that is stellar wel I amke that
cut as well

>
clainging up shit

> > > Nor is Jim.
> >
> > never said jim was
>
> Sure you have.

nope

>
> > > > I know you are a bully because I watch you bully everyone you disagree
> > > > with, not just me. that tells who is seeing thing straight
> > >
> > > The you've just proven that you need glasses, Markie, because
> > > there are more folks here than you, Brain and Lennie that I've had
> > > disagreements with, and manage to move on.
> >
> > realy name one? cloest one would bil sohl but he rarely posts
>
> Jim Miccolis. Kim Walker. Mike Coslo. Larry Roll. Cecil Moore.

BUZZ I did say name one, but you can't read

only one of them is arround of course

but I have not seen you disagree with any of her posting therefore the
point is unmade/unproven

>
> > no regular that you respond to do you seriously disgree with
>
> Wrong.
>
> I just don't find it necessary to go toe-to-toe with them since
> they treat me with respect as I do them.

if you had treated me with respect id treat you respect, but you didn't
and don't

>
> Nor do they lie, deceive or otherwise attempt to redirect the
> discussions with inappropriate content, threats, accusations of threats
> or other such silliness.

but you are the one doing all that yourself

>
> > but in any case you think that you might not bully everyone it becomes

> > valid to say you bully no one
>
> I don't.

you saying don't make it so

It does make you a liar

>
> > realy sick stevie
>
cuting speling cop

> > > Too bad for you.
> >
> > yes a truthfull stament
cuting spelling cop

## 515. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:24:04 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <o1PIe.3683$ns.3548@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114867

> 
> The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
> to pass
> the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
> proper
> design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
> these
> newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
> their
> knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
> concerned, as
> was the ARRL.
> 
> The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
> code
> speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
> comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
> the NCI
> article.

Code won't help here, but testing for knowledge does.
As the FCC lets us build and maintain our transmitter,
we need to know a few things. Like:
Basic knowledge of oscillator and amplifier circuits,
and what happens if such are not designed or adjusted right
(harmonics and such problems). Technical stuff the CB "freebanders"
with "Linayers" should know but don't. Basic troubleshooting
skills (probable faults in given situations). Basic
circuit theory. Also block diagram level systems
(like the parts of a superheterodyne receiver). KNowledge
of RFI and probable causes. Repeater management. Bandwidth
of various modes (SSB, FM, etc) and why you don't set the
transceiver frequency at 14.349 in USB mode (your signal
will leak over the band edge into another service's band).
Rules and regs like IDing and no pecuniary interest (which
really protects our bands from business invaders). No
broadcasting (the web is a better medium for this anyway).

Enough knowledge to be tested so a candidate can be
trusted to operate transmitters and not screw up the
radio spectrum for other users.

## 516. more stveie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:24:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123269851.868463.244280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114866

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > > > > You flatter yourself by thinking that I would hazard my freedom by
> > > > > > such an act. It's part of what makes you an insufferable creep. And a
> > > > > > fool.
> > > >
> > > > it isn't flatterery.
> > >
> > > Sure it is.
> > >
> > > I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> > > for a putz like you..?!?!
> >
> > the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it
>
> Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...
>
> On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
> that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...

sure are if I were closer
>
> Yet here you are stirring the pot.

showing what you were trying was blackmail
>
> If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
> the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!

I choose to balcane the risks verus not alowing a terroist such as
yourself to silence me
>
> The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
> from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.

Not when you keep making threats

you are a low level danger

terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible

>
> > > > It is you being stupid to even type that
> > > >
> > > And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
> > > when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!
> > >
> > bull#### it was a threat...(SNIP)
>
cuting a lie

> > (UNSNIP)....foruately one you have havne't carried out,
> > but one you keep repeating, such as your threat to come to the UP
>
> I don't "keep repeating" any threat. YOU keep repeating it.

you keep making threats of various sorts

threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain

cuting stevie evasion

>
> > > > yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> > > > have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that
> > > >
> > > Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
> > > he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.
> > >
> > then stop Stevie
>
> Stop what?

stop making new threats

stop harassing people

>
> You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourslef on
> numerous occassions.
>
> > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
>
> I'm doing neither.

you keep doing it you lying SoS

been doing it for years

threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 517. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:26:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123270007.157296.301070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114868

John Smith wrote:
> http://www.nocode.org/articles.html
>
> John

read that carefully and look at when I choose to return to the
newsgroup

it might tell why I choose to return at the time I did just before FD

I do wish NCI would post something newer but I think the BoD has to
hash it out first

# 518. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:28:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <j5PIe.72$Um3.931937@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114869

Michael:

Yeah, just imagine the lack of tact of some people!

Actually using that forum to comment and debate the issues...

... my gawd, why don't they just shut-up and go home! <grin>

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dcqbrd$157k$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>>FCC agreed with some of what you wrote....
>>
>>
>> Even though Len took 6 pages to advise the FCC that my remarks were "out of
>> place", and "inappropriate as well as misdirected".
>
>
> I wonder how much credence the FCC gives to a person that uses their feedback
> gathering mechanism to attack other people?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

# 519. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL...K1MAN Says "No Thanks", I'd Rather Get My Health Care From Jack Kevorkian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:39:34 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050805193934.88D56170DF@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 114870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <1123170039.630248.57470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >rec.radio.amateur.policy number one bully stebie said
> >If I were K1MAN sitting on death row waiting for N9OGL to
write a
> >comment to the court on my behalf, I think I'd start getting
my veins
> >in shape so it only took 'em one stick to get the IV catheter
in....
> >Stebie, K4YZ
>
> First off stebie, he isn't on death row, and I not for nor
against the
> renewal of his license. However, there is a lot questions that
need to
> be answered as stated in my orginal post. If the FCC doesn't
answer
> them I sure the US Court of Appeal will inform the FCC to do
so.

As if a twinkle-toed little faggot who lives in his parents'
basement like you do, Toadie, would know anything about it.

# 520. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 19:52:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QrPIe.73$sg5.1004577@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114871

Michael:

Perhaps a reg clearly stating, "Once anyone make an argument--NO COUNTER ARGUMENTS MAY BE MADE!"

Geesh, what is up with these guys, why didn't someone think of that before?

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:j5PIe.72$Um3.931937@news.sisna.com...
> Michael:
>
> Yeah, just imagine the lack of tact of some people!
>
> Actually using that forum to comment and debate the issues...
>
> ... my gawd, why don't they just shut-up and go home! <grin>
>
> John
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dcqbrd$157k$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> KXHB wrote:
>>
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>FCC agreed with some of what you wrote....
>>>
>>>
>>> Even though Len took 6 pages to advise the FCC that my remarks were "out of
>>> place", and "inappropriate as well as misdirected".
>>
>>
>> I wonder how much credence the FCC gives to a person that uses their
>> feedback gathering mechanism to attack other people?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>

# 521. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 21:37:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123277825.951245.37780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114872

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> >> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >> >If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
> >> >we stood.
> >>
> >> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
> >> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
> >> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
> >
> > Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
> > comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
> > Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
> > have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
> > deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
> > published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
> >
> > Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
> > whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
> > beltway-style actually works.
> >
> > Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
> > w3rv
>
> Bottom line here...
>
> 1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
> was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
> specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>
> 2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
> rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
> in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
> pro-code test argument....
>
> 3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
> was because of the international treaty requiring a code
> test.
>
> 4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of

> any code test requirement in the international treaty with
> almost unanomous agreement by the countries
> to do so.
>
> 5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
> for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
> The FCC, now has an open comment period for
> discussion of the proposed change.
>
> 6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
> retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
> comment process, any prospect
> of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
> The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
> have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
> out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>
> 7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
> retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
> going to happen either.
> For two reasons:
> (a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
> (b) The majority of current comments are actually
> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
> elimination of code testing.

Whoa Bill, slow down before you pop something, take a deep breath and
relax. It's only ham radio and it's only USENET.

I fully agree, the code test game was over years ago.

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

w3rv

## 522. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 21:47:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H7RIe.1646$Wi6.463@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114873

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see what
> bites...
>
> John

At least that's how you do it, "John".

Dave K8MN

> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>>
>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>>
>>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>>>
>>
>>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>>
>>Here's the whole story:
>>
>>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
>>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>>
>>(have you done so?)
>>
>>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>>
>>For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
>>been
>>used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
>>licensing".
>>The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
>>before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was 10
>>wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>>

>>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
>>to
>>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
>>radio
>>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
>>efforts
>>of a few enthusiasts.
>>
>>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
>>1927. The
>>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.
>>
>>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
>>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
>>receiver
>>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
>>but few
>>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>>
>>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
>>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
>>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>>typewriters for
>>highspeed copy).
>>
>>1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927 came
>>into
>>effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40
>>was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
>>signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.
>>
>>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
>>radio. The
>>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935 there
>>were
>>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
>>year.
>>
>>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
>>technical
>>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>>threatened the existence of ham radio.
>>
>>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
>>to pass
>>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of

>>proper
>>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
>>these
>>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
>>their
>>knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
>>concerned, as
>>was the ARRL.
>>
>>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
>>code
>>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
>>the NCI
>>article.
>>
>>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>>and knowledge.
>>
>>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
>>sense.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

## 523. Re: shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 21:57:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%gRIe.1655$Wi6.1574@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114874

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>
> cuting daves inaprotate rearaks

No matter how cute you make them, my inaprotate rearaks hit the nail on
its masochistic head.

Dave K8MN

## 524. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:03:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gnRIe.1658$Wi6.1527@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114875

an old friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>http://www.nocode.org/articles.html
>>
>>John
>
>
> read that carefully and look at when I choose to return to the
> newsgroup
>
> it might tell why I choose to return at the time I did just before FD

You've been on an undercover assignment with NCI?

Dave K8MN

# 525. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:15:49 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <1hn7f1l6rr55cesbmvut64jbc0dfkc6kl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 114878

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>snip

Y'know, the only reason that we Canadians were able to keep Morse
testing around (as an option) was because our regulatory authority
agreed that it would be valuable for the purpose of reciprocity
agreements with countries that have decided (or will!) to keep Code
testing as a mandatory requirement for their Amateur licensees.

It's a valid point - without a Morse-qualified licence, one may not be
permitted to operate HF in a foreign country that requires Morse for
access should one choose to travel there. Reciprocity has always been
an important part of the worldwide Amateur community.....therefore, we
would have lost something tangible that we already had should this
scenario have played out!

It sure makes a non-emotional, fact-based arguement - which worked
quite well up here. I wonder, if enough people presented this
reasoning to the FCC in their comments, if they might be willing to
buy in to it?

Might be worth a try....?

73, Leo

## 526. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:16:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <O-ednX90moWjeG7fRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114880

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.05.16.00.38.865711@gmail.com...
>
> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>
> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>
> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
> LIARS!"
>
> John
>

It obviously didn't work did it. Not only is the total number of hams far greater today than then but as a percent of the population we are more numerous than then. It simply goes to prove that anyone who wanted to be a ham could.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 527. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:27:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8JRIe.97$hq7.1278027@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114889

Dave:

Well, there is debate and argument for good and reason, and then there is not...

Then there is religious devotion to a test which serves only a select few...

It would be interesting if the powers that be were to decide in keeping CW--and then explain why they alone in the world community made that decision, frankly, I would be happy not to have that task...

There are plentiful examples of insanity in this world... that old book which bears the title something like "The Emperor Wore No Clothes" is as meaningful today as the day it was written...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:H7RIe.1646$Wi6.463@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
>> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see what
>> bites...
>>
>> John
>
> At least that's how you do it, "John".
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>>>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>>>
>>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>>>
>>>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
>>>>LIARS!"
>>>>
>>>

>>>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>>>
>>>Here's the whole story:
>>>
>>>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
>>>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>>>
>>>(have you done so?)
>>>
>>>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>>>
>>>For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
>>>been
>>>used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
>>>licensing".
>>>The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
>>>before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was 10
>>>wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>>>
>>>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
>>>to
>>>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
>>>radio
>>>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
>>>efforts
>>>of a few enthusiasts.
>>>
>>>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
>>>1927. The
>>>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.
>>>
>>>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>>>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
>>>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
>>>receiver
>>>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
>>>but few
>>>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>>>
>>>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
>>>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
>>>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>>>typewriters for
>>>highspeed copy).
>>>
>>>1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927 came
>>>into
>>>effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40
>>>was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
>>>signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.

>>>
>>>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
>>>radio. The
>>>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935 there
>>>were
>>>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>>>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
>>>year.
>>>
>>>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
>>>technical
>>>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>>>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>>>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>>>threatened the existence of ham radio.
>>>
>>>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
>>>to pass
>>>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
>>>proper
>>>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
>>>these
>>>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
>>>their
>>>knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
>>>concerned, as
>>>was the ARRL.
>>>
>>>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
>>>code
>>>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>>>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
>>>the NCI
>>>article.
>>>
>>>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>>>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>>>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>>>and knowledge.
>>>
>>>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
>>>sense.
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>

## 528. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:30:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RJCdncP6Z-c4dW7fRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 114881

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123049641.127115.156130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Here's my submission to the FCC.
>

[snip]

>
> However I am in favor of allowing access to the HF allocations
> without the benefit of a Morse Code examination with the restriction
> that non-Morse tested Amateurs not be allowed access to those parts of
> the spectrum wherein voice (wideband) modes are not permittted.
> Without the basic skills of being able to recognize whether or not they
> are potentially interfering with other communications, the non-Morse
> tested operator should be restricted to areas wherein they will have
> less likelyhood of causing such interference.

Steve, I have to disagree with you on the concept that only Morse tested operators be allowed to operate Morse. If the FCC is going to drop the code requirement (which seems certain now), the operators should be allowed the privileges of the comparable classes of today. Besides you don't have to be familiar with a mode to hear that someone is using the frequency and thus to know that you should go find another.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 529. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:36:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <DRRIe.99$gq7.1277000@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114887

Leo:

Yeah, an argument like, "We should be "middle of the road", and have one foot on both sides of the fence, so we can faint either way!"

I think that is what is known as, "The Girly-Man Stance", arnold would find that disgusting...

John

"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message news:1hn7f1l6rr55cesbmvut64jbc0dfkc6kl6@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>>snip
>
> Y'know, the only reason that we Canadians were able to keep Morse
> testing around (as an option) was because our regulatory authority
> agreed that it would be valuable for the purpose of reciprocity
> agreements with countries that have decided (or will!) to keep Code
> testing as a mandatory requirement for their Amateur licensees.
>
> It's a valid point - without a Morse-qualified licence, one may not be
> permitted to operate HF in a foreign country that requires Morse for
> access should one choose to travel there. Reciprocity has always been
> an important part of the worldwide Amateur community.....therefore, we
> would have lost something tangible that we already had should this
> scenario have played out!
>
> It sure makes a non-emotional, fact-based arguement - which worked
> quite well up here. I wonder, if enough people presented this
> reasoning to the FCC in their comments, if they might be willing to
> buy in to it?
>
> Might be worth a try....?
>
>
> 73, Leo
>

## 530. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:37:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5TRIe.100$kA7.1310866@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114888

commander buzzard logic:

... yawn ...

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:d4qdnWr1QclveW7fRVn-qw@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
>> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see what
>> bites...
>>
>>
>>
> Nice try at 'spin doctoring' yourself, but it doesn't fly.

## 531. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:38:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zJOdnZ2dnZ1OTvudnZ2dnQ1jbt-dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 114895

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.05.03.21.57.928433@gmail.com...
> AOF:
>
> Look, they pose the most excellent argument, to their own argument, if you
> look closely...
>
> First, they say there that CW is a useful current protocol, and that it is
> useful, then they say people are going to flock to use it and keep CW
> alive no matter what...
>

I've seen no one say that people will "flock to use it". However there is
reason to think that enough people will choose to use it to keep this as an
active mode.

> Then, they turn around and argue to keep the test, why? Because deep
> inside their brain, at the center where the denial does not exist, they
> realize without forcing people to learn morse, not many will (darn few in
> my best estimate.)
>

I'd expect avid QRP enthusiasts and contesters to have quite a bit of
interest.

However, I agree that "Joe Average" may not pursue it unfortunately if not
required to learn a very minimal, basic level of performance. Due to all
the activities of those trying to eliminate the test, he may falsely believe
it to be beyond his abilities. We may end up with only the very elite
operators, i.e. those who learn easily and progress to lightning speeds.
The casual ragchewer (i.e. the person who operates at around 13wpm or so)
may find slim pickings.

> Isn't it quite obvious? They fool themselves (else they are liars), but
> if they fool you, think how others will judge the weight of your
> intellect...
>
> It is all pretty clear, think about it...
>
> John

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 532. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:45:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%ZRIe.101$TC7.1328291@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114890

Dee:

I think it more reflects the readily availability of manufactured equipment as compared to equip constructed by ones own hand.

I also think it has to do with an economy which allows one to support such hobbies, and the abundant free time individuals have to devote to such hobbies.

I also think it has to do with education and the masses do not find radio a "dark mystery" anymore, etc, etc...

It has to do with a lot of things... one I don't even consider is a factor which has helped the popularity of ham radio is CW... indeed, it has served as a hindrance... only the degree which it has hindered is left to be argued, in my humble opinion--and shortly we are due to find out... indeed, without some sort of "shot in the arm" amateur radio would continue its' course and go the way of the dodo bird...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:O-ednX90moWjeG7fRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.16.00.38.865711@gmail.com...
>>
>> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>
>> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>
>> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>>
>> John
>>
>
> It obviously didn't work did it. Not only is the total number of hams far
> greater today than then but as a percent of the population we are more
> numerous than then. It simply goes to prove that anyone who wanted to be a
> ham could.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

## 533. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:50:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <e851976155cd8b5458d57a7dabb6a827@dizum.com>
Source record: 114882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <dcuc62$bkf$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloydie" <nospam@glendale> wrote:
>
> Roger Wiseman (Whining and crying)

Hi Lardass! I see you can't go two fucking minutes without
whining about Roger Wiseman. He OWNS you, BOY!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

## 534. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:56:55 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <X8SIe.1331$Je.843@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114883

"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:1hn7f1l6rr55cesbmvut64jbc0dfkc6kl6@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>>snip
>
> Y'know, the only reason that we Canadians were able to keep Morse
> testing around (as an option) was because our regulatory authority
> agreed that it would be valuable for the purpose of reciprocity
> agreements with countries that have decided (or will!) to keep Code
> testing as a mandatory requirement for their Amateur licensees.
>
> It's a valid point - without a Morse-qualified licence, one may not be
> permitted to operate HF in a foreign country that requires Morse for
> access should one choose to travel there.

Yet that has not become an issue for any country yet. Indeed,
by the nature of agreements, it has not been an issue with CEPT
reciprocation even before WRC-2003 deleted morse as a requirement
for HF licensing.

> Reciprocity has always been
> an important part of the worldwide Amateur community.....therefore, we
> would have lost something tangible that we already had should this
> scenario have played out!
>
> It sure makes a non-emotional, fact-based arguement - which worked
> quite well up here. I wonder, if enough people presented this
> reasoning to the FCC in their comments, if they might be willing to
> buy in to it?
>
> Might be worth a try....?

But as of today, and I'll defer to you to provide an example,
I am unaware of the issue being raised in any request
by any ham for reciprocal licensing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 535. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 22:58:44 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050805225844.C420F17185@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 114884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <d4qdnWv1QclWfm7fRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > fuck you, you little bitches!!!
> >
>
> Real intelligent and mature response toddieboy.

Toadie is having one of his "fag fits."

> I think I hear your mommy calling your daily breast feeding.

From what I gather, Toadie is quite self-sufficient on that
score. He can feed himself.

## 536. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:12:00 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123283520.949992.189480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114885

John Smith wrote:
> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>
> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>
> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>
> John

When Hans proposed that in the brave new world of a No-Code HF License,
that one should have to take a Morse Code Test to use CW, Jim/N2EY said
that a Morse Code Test would be a -barrier- to Morse Code use. Hi!
It's always been a barrier.

## 537. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:12:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <d4qdnWv1QclWfm7fRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> fuck you, you little bitches!!!
>

Real intelligent and mature response toddieboy. I think I hear your
mommy calling your daily breast feeding.

## 538. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:17:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <d4qdnWr1QclveW7fRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114877

John Smith wrote:

> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see what
> bites...
>
>
>
Nice try at 'spin doctoring' yourself, but it doesn't fly.

## 539. Re: shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:19:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <d4qdnWX1QcnDeG7fRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114879

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>
>> cuting daves inaprotate rearaks
>
>
> No matter how cute you make them, my inaprotate rearaks hit the nail on
> its masochistic head.
>
> Dave K8MN

rearaks usually are inaprotate.

## 540. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:20:25 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123284025.829729.166230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114886

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > Same stuff, different day. And at the end of the day, Steve's still an
> > idiot.
>
> Being an "idiot" is not a detriment to one's character. One man's
> idiot is another man's genius.
>
> However a liar is a liar, and Brainie Boy, you're a liar.
>
> Proven. Archived.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nope. I told the truth when I said that you were an idiot.

It's verifyable.

## 541. Re: Robeson fixated on men's privates.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:34:04 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123284844.389690.221560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114891

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Have a nice day.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I've been having GREAT days.
> >
> > It's so much fun showing you to be the LIARr that you are.
>
> "LIARr"...?!?!

That would be you.

> That having been pointed out, sorry to disappoint your otherwise.

I have no otherwise. You are a LIARr.

> Also sorry to leave you with your butt hanging out on yet another
> of your failed attempts to TRY and show me a liar.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Geez, first you imagine that you can see my naked rear end, then you
call me a Yiddish word for penis.

You've got more problems than I originally thought. Get some help.

## 542. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:38:44 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114892

Anonymous wrote:
> In article
> <1123184755.040805.176340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
>
>
> That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Daog 20/20,
> right, Marky?

Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.

## 543. Re: stevie assume or lies about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:43:52 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123285432.935625.109410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114893

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>you sure look and act like a closet Homosexaul
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> I am sure you have more experience with homosexual lifestyle than
>>>>>>I, Markie, but you managed to screw this up too....
>>>>>
>>>>>nope
>>>>>
>>>>>the more a person goes on about it the more likely they are concealing
>>>>>homosexaul desires
>>>>>
>>>>>simple facts
>>>>>
>>>>It is a simple fact, Mark, but not the one you present. The fact would
>>>>be that homosexuals often accuse their opponents of being 1) homosexual
>>>>or b) homophobes (a vey inaccurate term sine it implies fear, rather
>>>>than disgust or revulsion).
>>>
>>>
>>> What is the disgust or revulsion of a certain wanting to be a world
>>> renowned DXer working out of band French Hams on 6M called?
>>
>> A certain what? I don't know anything about the sexuality of any of the
>> French hams who called me out of their allocated band segment. Stick
>> to the straight key, "bb".

>
> Dave K8MN

Sexuality? You got a vivid imagination, "dh."

# 544. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-05 23:53:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <1_SIe.3775$ns.2995@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114894

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%TJIe.1822$WD.676@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> N9OGL wrote:
>> Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under
>> that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
>> bullying ass we'll ask.
>
> "We"? Do you have a pirate station in your pocket?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
Is that the one in California that was just busted???? HO HO

Dan/W4NTI

## 545. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:05:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dYqdnU72v5RgY27fRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 114896

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
> Bill:
>
> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
> you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to use
> code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:
>

Since most of the misbehaviour is on phone, they should not be allowed to
use phone protocols without a "phone certification."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 546. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:06:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <gaTIe.3780$ns.827@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114897

Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations have
all decided to keep CW.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:8JRIe.97$hq7.1278027@news.sisna.com...
> Dave:
>
> Well, there is debate and argument for good and reason, and then there is
> not...
>
> Then there is religious devotion to a test which serves only a select
> few...
>
> It would be interesting if the powers that be were to decide in keeping
> CW--and then explain why they alone in the world community made that
> decision, frankly, I would be happy not to have that task...
>
> There are plentiful examples of insanity in this world... that old book
> which bears the title something like "The Emperor Wore No Clothes" is as
> meaningful today as the day it was written...
>
> John
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:H7RIe.1646$Wi6.463@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> N2EY:
>>>
>>> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
>>> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see
>>> what
>>> bites...
>>>
>>> John
>>
>> At least that's how you do it, "John".
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>>
>>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>

>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>>>>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>>>>
>>>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>>>>
>>>>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
>>>>>LIARS!"
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>>>>
>>>>Here's the whole story:
>>>>
>>>>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
>>>>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>>>>
>>>>(have you done so?)
>>>>
>>>>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>>>>
>>>>For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
>>>>been
>>>>used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
>>>>licensing".
>>>>The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
>>>>before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was 10
>>>>wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>>>>
>>>>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
>>>>to
>>>>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
>>>>radio
>>>>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
>>>>efforts
>>>>of a few enthusiasts.
>>>>
>>>>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
>>>>1927. The
>>>>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.
>>>>
>>>>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>>>>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
>>>>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
>>>>receiver
>>>>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
>>>>but few
>>>>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>>>>

>>>>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
>>>>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
>>>>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>>>>typewriters for
>>>>highspeed copy).
>>>>
>>>>1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927 came
>>>>into
>>>>effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40
>>>>was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
>>>>signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.
>>>>
>>>>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
>>>>radio. The
>>>>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935 there
>>>>were
>>>>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>>>>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
>>>>year.
>>>>
>>>>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
>>>>technical
>>>>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>>>>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>>>>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>>>>threatened the existence of ham radio.
>>>>
>>>>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
>>>>to pass
>>>>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
>>>>proper
>>>>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
>>>>these
>>>>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
>>>>their
>>>>knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
>>>>concerned, as
>>>>was the ARRL.
>>>>
>>>>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
>>>>code
>>>>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>>>>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
>>>>the NCI
>>>>article.
>>>>
>>>>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>>>>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>>>>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>>>>and knowledge.

>>>>
>>>>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
>>>>sense.
>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>

# 547. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:07:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K-udnbKQDIDOYm7fRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 114898

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:NDBIe.925$Je.130@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikq3nj0.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>we stood.
>>
>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Maybe yes, maybe no. In this case, the majority (if one
> looks at the comments already filed) appear to be
> running better than 2:1 in support of the ending of
> all code testing. Sure looks like a majority to me.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

I think the ones against the change are worn out. They know that the FCC didn't listen before and don't believe the FCC will listen now. They are ready to move on.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 548. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:11:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <flWdnaa86PDHnWnfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 114899

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:%ZRIe.101$TC7.1328291@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> I think it more reflects the readily availability of manufactured
> equipment as compared to equip constructed by ones own hand.
>
> I also think it has to do with an economy which allows one to support such
> hobbies, and the abundant free time individuals have to devote to such
> hobbies.
>
> I also think it has to do with education and the masses do not find radio
> a "dark mystery" anymore, etc, etc...
>
> It has to do with a lot of things... one I don't even consider is a
> factor which has helped the popularity of ham radio is CW... indeed, it
> has served as a hindrance... only the degree which it has hindered is
> left to be argued, in my humble opinion--and shortly we are due to find
> out... indeed, without some sort of "shot in the arm" amateur radio would
> continue its' course and go the way of the dodo bird...
>
> John
>

There is no longer any need to keep repeating the false mantras that "ham
radio is dying" and "Morse code stops people from becoming hams" since the
FCC will be eliminating the code test.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 549. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:25:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.00.25.48.817287@gmail.com>
Source record: 114900

Dee:

"Joe BelowAverage", "Joe SixPack", his bother-in-law "Joe Geriatric", his cousins, "Joe CW-ReligiousFreak", "Joe Control-Freak", etc are already amateurs... in amongst them are a few, currently active and current hardware techs (but not many these days)...

"Joe ComputerSavvy" will be working on other protocols for data xfer on HF... and running a computer wan on HF... probably actively petitioning for changes to allow such...

And, of course, we all expect "Joe ChickenBander" to be there... as much a BS'er as any you can now find on 160-80-75-and-on-up...

And, "Joe RepeaterUser" will probably continue to dwindle, repeaters pale the most when compared to the internet, their only possible use for local lan/wan...

I am hoping all radio will go digital audio packet (for all phone)--one freq can be used for multiple QSOs' at a time, with no interfearence between, no ear will be of use anymore (packets are decoded and sent though the sound card), the computer becomes the "shack gear"... and hams are forced to update... some are already playing with this in the Ghz...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 18:38:48 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.21.57.928433@gmail.com...
>> AOF:
>>
>> Look, they pose the most excellent argument, to their own argument, if you
>> look closely...
>>
>> First, they say there that CW is a useful current protocol, and that it is
>> useful, then they say people are going to flock to use it and keep CW
>> alive no matter what...
>>
>
> I've seen no one say that people will "flock to use it". However there is
> reason to think that enough people will choose to use it to keep this as an
> active mode.
>
>> Then, they turn around and argue to keep the test, why? Because deep
>> inside their brain, at the center where the denial does not exist, they

>> realize without forcing people to learn morse, not many will (darn few in
>> my best estimate.)
>>
>
> I'd expect avid QRP enthusiasts and contesters to have quite a bit of
> interest.
>
> However, I agree that "Joe Average" may not pursue it unfortunately if not
> required to learn a very minimal, basic level of performance. Due to all
> the activities of those trying to eliminate the test, he may falsely believe
> it to be beyond his abilities. We may end up with only the very elite
> operators, i.e. those who learn easily and progress to lightning speeds.
> The casual ragchewer (i.e. the person who operates at around 13wpm or so)
> may find slim pickings.
>
>> Isn't it quite obvious? They fool themselves (else they are liars), but
>> if they fool you, think how others will judge the weight of your
>> intellect...
>>
>> It is all pretty clear, think about it...
>>
>> John
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 550. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:34:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.00.34.28.610290@gmail.com>
Source record: 114901

Dee:

We are possibly talking about 300,000 hams in the us, that is NOT a large number for a good hobby... compare that to millions of bicyclists, millions of fishermen, millions of bowlers, etc, etc... perhaps skydivers are near that number, 300,000, but only because many of us are too damn smart and won't jump out of a plane with a large sized piece of ripstop nylon attached to us!

How you quote such dismal numbers in amateur radio as if they/it are something to be proud of does nothing but amaze me! As I have pointed out before, there are MAGNITUDES more illegal aliens here than hams!

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 18:16:05 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.16.00.38.865711@gmail.com...
>>
>> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>
>> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>
>> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
>> LIARS!"
>>
>> John
>>
>
> It obviously didn't work did it. Not only is the total number of hams far
> greater today than then but as a percent of the population we are more
> numerous than then. It simply goes to prove that anyone who wanted to be a
> ham could.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 551. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:38:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.00.38.34.784429@gmail.com>
Source record: 114902

Dee:

Those "false mantras" are what the FCC and world woke up to, and believed...

A course in logic should be given before one can use their amateur license!

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:11:41 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%ZRIe.101$TC7.1328291@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> I think it more reflects the readily availability of manufactured
>> equipment as compared to equip constructed by ones own hand.
>>
>> I also think it has to do with an economy which allows one to support such
>> hobbies, and the abundant free time individuals have to devote to such
>> hobbies.
>>
>> I also think it has to do with education and the masses do not find radio
>> a "dark mystery" anymore, etc, etc...
>>
>> It has to do with a lot of things... one I don't even consider is a
>> factor which has helped the popularity of ham radio is CW... indeed, it
>> has served as a hindrance... only the degree which it has hindered is
>> left to be argued, in my humble opinion--and shortly we are due to find
>> out... indeed, without some sort of "shot in the arm" amateur radio would
>> continue its' course and go the way of the dodo bird...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> There is no longer any need to keep repeating the false mantras that "ham
> radio is dying" and "Morse code stops people from becoming hams" since the
> FCC will be eliminating the code test.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 552. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:39:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <47qdnQG8d4NEm2nfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 114903

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.06.00.34.28.610290@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> We are possibly talking about 300,000 hams in the us, that is NOT a large
> number for a good hobby... compare that to millions of bicyclists,
> millions of fishermen, millions of bowlers, etc, etc... perhaps skydivers
> are near that number, 300,000, but only because many of us are too damn
> smart and won't jump out of a plane with a large sized piece of ripstop
> nylon attached to us!
>

There are over 600,000 hams in the US.

> How you quote such dismal numbers in amateur radio as if they/it are
> something to be proud of does nothing but amaze me! As I have pointed out
> before, there are MAGNITUDES more illegal aliens here than hams!
>
> John
>

In this day and age, any technical or semi-technical hobby is lucky to have
any members at all.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 553. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:41:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.00.41.08.799185@gmail.com>
Source record: 114904

```
Dan:

What communist counties do is almost always in the interest of the shadow
gov't really running the country. That is supposed to be surprising?

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 00:06:36 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations have
> all decided to keep CW.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8JRIe.97$hq7.1278027@news.sisna.com...
>> Dave:
>>
>> Well, there is debate and argument for good and reason, and then there is
>> not...
>>
>> Then there is religious devotion to a test which serves only a select
>> few...
>>
>> It would be interesting if the powers that be were to decide in keeping
>> CW--and then explain why they alone in the world community made that
>> decision, frankly, I would be happy not to have that task...
>>
>> There are plentiful examples of insanity in this world... that old book
>> which bears the title something like "The Emperor Wore No Clothes" is as
>> meaningful today as the day it was written...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:H7RIe.1646$Wi6.463@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>> N2EY:
>>>>
>>>> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
>>>> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see
>>>> what
>>>> bites...
>>>>
```

>>>> John
>>>
>>> At least that's how you do it, "John".
>>>
>>> Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>>>>>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
>>>>>>LIARS!"
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>>>>>
>>>>>Here's the whole story:
>>>>>
>>>>>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
>>>>>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>>>>>
>>>>>(have you done so?)
>>>>>
>>>>>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>>>>>
>>>>>For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
>>>>>been
>>>>>used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
>>>>>licensing".
>>>>>The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
>>>>>before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was 10
>>>>>wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>>>>>
>>>>>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
>>>>>to
>>>>>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
>>>>>radio
>>>>>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
>>>>>efforts
>>>>>of a few enthusiasts.
>>>>>
>>>>>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
>>>>>1927. The
>>>>>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.

>>>>>
>>>>>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>>>>>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
>>>>>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
>>>>>receiver
>>>>>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
>>>>>but few
>>>>>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>>>>>
>>>>>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
>>>>>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
>>>>>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>>>>>typewriters for
>>>>>highspeed copy).
>>>>>
>>>>>1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927 came
>>>>>into
>>>>>effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40
>>>>>was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
>>>>>signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.
>>>>>
>>>>>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
>>>>>radio. The
>>>>>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935 there
>>>>>were
>>>>>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>>>>>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
>>>>>year.
>>>>>
>>>>>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
>>>>>technical
>>>>>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>>>>>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>>>>>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>>>>>threatened the existence of ham radio.
>>>>>
>>>>>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
>>>>>to pass
>>>>>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
>>>>>proper
>>>>>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
>>>>>these
>>>>>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
>>>>>their
>>>>>knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
>>>>>concerned, as
>>>>>was the ARRL.
>>>>>
>>>>>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
>>>>>code

>>>>>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>>>>>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
>>>>>the NCI
>>>>>article.
>>>>>
>>>>>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>>>>>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>>>>>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>>>>>and knowledge.
>>>>>
>>>>>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
>>>>>sense.
>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>

# 554. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 00:44:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.00.44.50.167022@gmail.com>
Source record: 114905

Dee:

Radio needs to fit the people, we need not change the people to fit the radio...

I know in the world today, we have gotten darn near everything backwards, someday perhaps sane men will change the world to fit the people, rather than always, hopelessly, trying to adapt people to someones vision of "the perfect world."

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:05:54 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>> Bill:
>>
>> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>> you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to use
>> code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>
> Since most of the misbehaviour is on phone, they should not be allowed to
> use phone protocols without a "phone certification."
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 555. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 01:04:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h0UIe.1779$Wi6.51@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114906

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:%TJIe.1822$WD.676@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under
>>>that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
>>>bullying ass we'll ask.
>>
>>"We"? Do you have a pirate station in your pocket?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>
> Is that the one in California that was just busted???? HO HO

The one from the latest issue of the ARRL Letter? Todd should read it.
It tells of a fellow who had his amateur license set aside because of
unlicensed activity before he obtained his amateur license.

Dave K8MN

## 556. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 01:06:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.01.06.41.962789@gmail.com>
Source record: 114907

Dee:

I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...

Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:39:25 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.06.00.34.28.610290@gmail.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> We are possibly talking about 300,000 hams in the us, that is NOT a large
>> number for a good hobby... compare that to millions of bicyclists,
>> millions of fishermen, millions of bowlers, etc, etc... perhaps skydivers
>> are near that number, 300,000, but only because many of us are too damn
>> smart and won't jump out of a plane with a large sized piece of ripstop
>> nylon attached to us!
>>
>
> There are over 600,000 hams in the US.
>
>> How you quote such dismal numbers in amateur radio as if they/it are
>> something to be proud of does nothing but amaze me! As I have pointed out
>> before, there are MAGNITUDES more illegal aliens here than hams!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> In this day and age, any technical or semi-technical hobby is lucky to have
> any members at all.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 557. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 01:12:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.01.12.12.569462@gmail.com>
Source record: 114908

Wow, excellent idea, guy wants to go legit, some nutcase comes up with a
reason not to let him--and the freebander problem continues!

Geesh, I hope that fool isn't a gov't official we are paying!

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 01:04:13 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:%TJIe.1822$WD.676@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>
>>>>Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under
>>>>that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
>>>>bullying ass we'll ask.
>>>
>>>"We"? Do you have a pirate station in your pocket?
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>
>> Is that the one in California that was just busted???? HO HO
>
> The one from the latest issue of the ARRL Letter? Todd should read it.
> It tells of a fellow who had his amateur license set aside because of
> unlicensed activity before he obtained his amateur license.
>
> Dave K8MN

# 558. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:18:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114910

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>we stood.
>>>
>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>
>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>
>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>beltway-style actually works.
>>
>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>w3rv
>
>
> Bottom line here...
>
> 1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
> was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
> specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>
> 2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
> rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
> in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
> pro-code test argument....

They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.
```

> 3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
> was because of the international treaty requiring a code
> test.
>
> 4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
> any code test requirement in the international treaty with
> almost unanomous agreement by the countries
> to do so.
>
> 5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
> for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
> The FCC, now has an open comment period for
> discussion of the proposed change.
>
> 6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
> retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
> comment process, any prospect
> of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
> The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
> have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
> out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>
> 7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
> retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
> going to happen either.
> For two reasons:
> (a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
> (b) The majority of current comments are actually
> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
> elimination of code testing.

Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of Hams are of the same opinion?

*Is* it a representative sample?

I agree with what you wrote. Resistance is now futile. Why should I waste my time commenting?

You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your next effort?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 559. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:25:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zNqdncWVAvcJsGnfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114911

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY:
>>>>
>>>>Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
>>>>however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
>>>>political pressures for their personal gains.
>>>>
>>>>The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
>>>>necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>>
>>>So what is your solution?
>>
>>
>> You must be kidding, Jim!
>>
>
> Not at all, Mike.
>
> Of course some folks who poat to rrap tend to pose a
> sort of Zen problem - they tell you what a thing is
> not, or what it shouldn't be, but never say what it is,
> or how it should be.
>
> You watch. If Element 1 is dumped, as seems highly
> likely, there will be a burst of license activity,
> then same old same old as far as the numbers go.

f course. My question was who you were asking the question of.

> Then watch as the written tests and other regs are
> attacked as "barriers".
>
> Heck, it's already started with the free upgrades
> thing. FCC rejected that - this time.

I wonder how that argument will form? Without the Morse code as the
great "barrier", the argument will have to change quite a bit. Of course
I will wait excitedly for the next salvo in the requirement easing effort.

- mike KB3EIA -

# 560. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:32:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114912

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>
>>Bill:
>>
>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to use
>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>
>
> Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
> certify ability to use digital modes?
>
> How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
> in voice mode?

How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to
ever build anything?

> Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
> for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
> case now and has always been the case.

A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.

*Why* should there be any testing?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 561. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:34:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6dWIe.2008$WD.307@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114913

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who
> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>
> Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>
> John

You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!

Dave Heil

# 562. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:35:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <F9adnWS6iv-HrWnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114914

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>>we stood.
>>>>
>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>
>>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>
>>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>>beltway-style actually works.
>>>
>>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>>w3rv
>>
>>Bottom line here...
>>
>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>>
>>2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>>rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>>in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>>pro-code test argument....
>>
>>3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test

>>was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>>test.
>>
>>4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>>any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>>almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>>to do so.
>>
>>5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>>for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>>The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>>discussion of the proposed change.
>>
>>6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>>retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>>comment process, any prospect
>>of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>>The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>>have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>>out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>>
>>7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>>retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>>going to happen either.
>>For two reasons:
>>(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>>(b) The majority of current comments are actually
>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
>> elimination of code testing.
>
>
> Whoa Bill, slow down before you pop something, take a deep breath and
> relax. It's only ham radio and it's only USENET.
>
> I fully agree, the code test game was over years ago.

Be gracious Brian. They need to beat their chests a bit!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 563. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:37:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GfWIe.2010$WD.1123@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114915

John Smith wrote:
> Wow, excellent idea, guy wants to go legit, some nutcase comes up with a
> reason not to let him--and the freebander problem continues!
>
> Geesh, I hope that fool isn't a gov't official we are paying!
>
> John

I see you belong to the Brother Dave Gardner, "I believe we ought to
make everythin' legal--then we wouldn't have no crime" school of
thought. If these clown won't stay in line, fine them or jail them.

Dave K8MN

> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 01:04:13 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>news:%TJIe.1822$WD.676@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under
>>>>>that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
>>>>>bullying ass we'll ask.
>>>>
>>>>"We"? Do you have a pirate station in your pocket?
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>
>>>
>>>Is that the one in California that was just busted???? HO HO
>>
>>The one from the latest issue of the ARRL Letter? Todd should read it.
>>It tells of a fellow who had his amateur license set aside because of
>>unlicensed activity before he obtained his amateur license.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>

# 564. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:37:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <F9adnWe6iv8WrWnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114916

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:NDBIe.925$Je.130@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>>news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikq3nj0.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>>
>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>we stood.
>>>
>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>>Maybe yes, maybe no. In this case, the majority (if one
>>looks at the comments already filed) appear to be
>>running better than 2:1 in support of the ending of
>>all code testing. Sure looks like a majority to me.
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>
>
> I think the ones against the change are worn out. They know that the FCC
> didn't listen before and don't believe the FCC will listen now. They are
> ready to move on.

The torch is being passed. We shall enter the brave new world.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 565. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:52:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123300363.754643.23390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114917

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> Radio needs to fit the people, we need not change the people to fit the
> radio...
>
> I know in the world today, we have gotten darn near everything backwards,

Ya mean like WT Docket 05-235 "John"?

> someday perhaps sane men will change the world to fit the people, rather
> than always, hopelessly . . .

w3rv

## 566. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:53:20 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <J4-dnfE2ur0euGnfRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114909

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
> VERY apparent when tuning the bands...

Good grief!! So you can tell from listening to the bands how many hams there are. WOW!! Do you think all 600,000+ licensed operators are on at the same time? Do you even think all 600,000 are active on the bands? Which bands to you tune? Do you think you can hear all the stations that are on 40 meters? Do you think you can hear all the stations that are on 20, 15, 10 meters at any one time? Can you hear all the 2 meter, 440, and other UHF activity going on all over the country?
Do you think?

As of July 31, 2005

Novice - 27,975 (-43.28%) (-21,354)
Tech/+ - 317,655 (-5.02%) (-16,800)
General - 136,435 (+20.81%) (+23,490)
Advanced - 75,812 (-24.28%) (-24,236)
Extra - 106,900 (+35.74%) (+28,150)

Total All Classes - 664,040

## 567. Re: Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 03:59:49 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <3PednfwhaY0mqGnfUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 114918

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:DP6dnY6Ksrq7AW_fRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>> Charles, now THAT's FUNNY!!!!!!!!!! ROTFLMAO.
>
> Hi Carl! Whatchu doing here?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hi Mike,

Just checking to see how bad the ranting and whining over the NPRM is :-)

73,
Carl - wk3c

## 568. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 04:01:43 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <bbKcnYpina_HKGXfUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 115370

I have been EXTREMELY busy with business and household projects that can't be put off any longer.

I will update the NCI website either over the weekend or early next week, depending on when the rain comes and prevents outside work.

73,
Carl - wk3c

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1123270007.157296.301070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> http://www.nocode.org/articles.html
>>
>> John
>
> read that carefully and look at when I choose to return to the
> newsgroup
>
> it might tell why I choose to return at the time I did just before FD
>
> I do wish NCI would post something newer but I think the BoD has to
> hash it out first
>

## 569. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 04:51:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X9OdnVEDuIFv3GnfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114919

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:6dWIe.2008$WD.307@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those
>> who
>> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc... Those
>> figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>
>> John
>
> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
> think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
> massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>
> Dave Heil

And he obviously hasn't been on the bands during a major contest! Wall to
wall signals is inadequate to describe the activity.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 570. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:18:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123305493.665437.291920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114920

....and your point Dave??

## 571. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:30:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.05.30.30.643928@gmail.com>
Source record: 114921

Dave:

Anyone with a computer can grab the statistics off the FCC and arrl sites,
who is left which trusts them, if you, don't include me.

No, I was born at different time, when you got real data, real numbers,
real people to stand behind it, I understand the youngsters might be
confused by all this, but all us old timers have a real past when
everything was different. We have a bit more "history" to go by....

I guess you can just chuck me into the "conspiracy nuts" group, I don't
trust the figure, politicians, and news anymore... if I have to
appoligize for it, so be it... but don't consider it a half-felt one...

Frankly, I like progress, don't much care for liars and "spin doctors."

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 03:34:26 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who
>> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>>
>> Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>
>> John
>
> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
> think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
> massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>
> Dave Heil

# 572. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:41:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.05.41.17.953079@gmail.com>
Source record: 114922

Dee:

Nope, never have seen all the bandwidths in as much use as back during the 70's and early 80's, did you have a ticket back then? My gawd, those oldtimers have forgotten what a real "pileup" means! Congestion worse than imagined in my worst nightmare!

Never have had interest in "contesting", much prefer just a gentle argument around stuff which "seems to matter"... never had a wall covered with QSL cards, only asked the ones who interested me, which I wanted to be reminded of, to correspond--hell, guess I am "not with it", but never have been, don't count one me now to "get with it." <lack-a-daisyial-grin-and-a-wink>... that "different drummer" has always caught my attention, started listening as a youth, never quit...

I have never been afraid to be different, if there is just one of me--so be it!

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 00:51:27 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:6dWIe.2008$WD.307@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> Dee:
>>>
>>> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>>> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>>> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>>> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those
>>> who
>>> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>>> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc... Those
>>> figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>>
>>> John
>>
>> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
>> think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
>> massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>>
>> Dave Heil
>

> And he obviously hasn't been on the bands during a major contest! Wall to
> wall signals is inadequate to describe the activity.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 573. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:47:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.05.47.14.603115@gmail.com>
Source record: 114923

Dave:

Why ever bother to "reform" offenders? Why ever bother to restore them to society? Why ever bother to think what works?

Why not just alienate 'em, make 'em frankensteins, find fault, abuse 'em like we see fit? Create real monsters who harm us and society?

Are you for real? Do you have a purpose in mind?

Is you intent to find an offender and hold him there forever?

What happens when you create an army of 'em, and they end up harming your friends and family? You going to tell the harmed ones how much you are going to "punish the bad guys" you created?

Gawd man, I hope no fools give you power!

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 03:37:10 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Wow, excellent idea, guy wants to go legit, some nutcase comes up with a
>> reason not to let him--and the freebander problem continues!
>>
>> Geesh, I hope that fool isn't a gov't official we are paying!
>>
>> John
>
> I see you belong to the Brother Dave Gardner, "I believe we ought to
> make everythin' legal--then we wouldn't have no crime" school of
> thought. If these clown won't stay in line, fine them or jail them.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 01:04:13 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:%TJIe.1822$WD.676@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>>Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under
>>>>>>that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
>>>>>>bullying ass we'll ask.
>>>>>
>>>>>"We"? Do you have a pirate station in your pocket?
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Is that the one in California that was just busted???? HO HO
>>>
>>>The one from the latest issue of the ARRL Letter? Todd should read it.
>>>It tells of a fellow who had his amateur license set aside because of
>>>unlicensed activity before he obtained his amateur license.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>

# 574. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:50:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.05.50.16.798248@gmail.com>
Source record: 114924

Mike:

Funny, there is a "test block" not half a block from me with BPL
(Broadcast Over Powerline) I can't detect it is even there, anyone else
notice noise from it? Where is the demon they were promising us?

Only thing now, I want it!

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 23:18:45 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>>we stood.
>>>>
>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>
>>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>
>>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>>beltway-style actually works.
>>>
>>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>>w3rv
>>
>>
>> Bottom line here...
>>
>> 1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test

>> was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>> specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>>
>> 2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>> rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>> in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>> pro-code test argument....
>
> They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.
>
>> 3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
>> was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>> test.
>>
>> 4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>> any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>> almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>> to do so.
>>
>> 5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>> for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>> The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>> discussion of the proposed change.
>>
>> 6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>> retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>> comment process, any prospect
>> of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>> The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>> have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>> out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>>
>> 7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>> retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>> going to happen either.
>> For two reasons:
>> (a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>> (b) The majority of current comments are actually
>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
>> elimination of code testing.
>
> Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
> comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
> Hams are of the same opinion?
>
> *Is* it a representative sample?
>
> I agree with what you wrote. Resistance is now futile. Why should I
> waste my time commenting?
>

> You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your next effort?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 575. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:53:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.05.53.46.564877@gmail.com>
Source record: 114925

Mike:

Well, seeing all the "terrible mistakes of the past" (none) you would
think most would have learned a lesson, they haven't... still, fun to cry
to call "WOLF!"

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 23:37:50 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:NDBIe.925$Je.130@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>>>news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikq3nj0.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>>>
>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>>we stood.
>>>>
>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>>
>>>Maybe yes, maybe no. In this case, the majority (if one
>>>looks at the comments already filed) appear to be
>>>running better than 2:1 in support of the ending of
>>>all code testing. Sure looks like a majority to me.
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Bill K2UNK
>>
>>
>> I think the ones against the change are worn out. They know that the FCC
>> didn't listen before and don't believe the FCC will listen now. They are
>> ready to move on.
>
> The torch is being passed. We shall enter the brave new world.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 576. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:56:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.05.56.18.594548@gmail.com>
Source record: 114926

Kelly:

You sound the alarm, let us watch the future, if you have sounded the alarm falsely, let us ignore you in the future. As, I expect the future changes to come much more fast and furiously. If you are valid in your arguments, it will be shown, if not--equally shown...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:52:43 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> Radio needs to fit the people, we need not change the people to fit the
>> radio...
>>
>> I know in the world today, we have gotten darn near everything backwards,
>
> Ya mean like WT Docket 05-235 "John"?
>
>> someday perhaps sane men will change the world to fit the people, rather
>> than always, hopelessly . . .
>
> w3rv

## 577. Re: spam is spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 05:59:09 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <X33CHCD038570.3327430556@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 114927

In article <1123264222.664202.85390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> not really I have turned down 240K a years jobs

Which goes to show how stupid you really are, it it was even
true, Marky full of Capt. Morgan.

> but in any case it has nothing to do the ARS

Neither do you.

> it is still off topic spam

And your posts aren't?

Arrrrrrrrrrrgh!!!!!!!!

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

# 578. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:04:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.06.04.13.776246@gmail.com>
Source record: 114928

Mike:

Like, what size soldering iron would you need to replace most SMC components? Or, what card would you replace in your receiver if the audio goes out? Or, if you are going to operate a webcam what card supplies the video to the monitor? Or, what card in your computer supplies the audio to the xmitter card? Etc... I mean get real, radio is about to become PCI and USB cards you add to you computer. I still see linears (higher than say 20 watts) at external, and feedline and antennas are something an avg amateur will work with...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 23:32:19 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>
>>>Bill:
>>>
>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to use
>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>
>>
>> Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>> certify ability to use digital modes?
>>
>> How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>> in voice mode?
>
> How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to
> ever build anything?
>
>
>> Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>> for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>> case now and has always been the case.
>
> A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
> regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
>
> *Why* should there be any testing?
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 579. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:10:50 UTC
From: Johnny Smith <blinking@nodular>
Message-ID: <dd1k7e$vj6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114929

>>
>
> Is that the one in California that was just busted???? HO HO

The one from the latest issue of the ARRL Letter? Todd should read it.
It tells of a fellow who had his amateur license set aside because of
unlicensed activity before he obtained his amateur license.

Dave K8MN

>>>>

Asking Todd to read the letter and thereby expecting him to understand it
are two, separate issues.
Comprehension seems to be not be not be viable insofar as Todd is concerned.

## 580. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:14:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.06.14.01.948150@gmail.com>
Source record: 114936

Mike:

Well YEAH! Take a video card from your computer, an audio card, a processor, a memory chip, a hard drive--then inquire of the cost of replacing it, as opposed to "repairing it?" One is possible, the other is NOT! (well, not by rational men!)

You think amateur radio is going to stay in the same archaic state as it has been held it to this date? Take the cover off of your computer, look at the circuitry, open your antique radio, look at the circuitry. Do you know why such a staggering difference exists? Because "millions" perhaps "billions" use computers, and not enough amateurs exist to make it worthwhile to bring this advanced technology to amateur radio. I mean, don't embarrass both of us with a detailed description of how vast the void is between modern technology and amateurs!

If you can't embrace progress, at least be man enough to step aside and let others make it happen...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005
23:25:11 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY:
>>>>>
>>>>>Yes, your list there shows how quite insane FCC licensing has been,
>>>>>however, the arrl has to bear a lot of this blame also, they used
>>>>>political pressures for their personal gains.
>>>>>
>>>>>The longest journey begins but with the first step, there are many
>>>>>necessary steps now to bring amateur radio back in line with sanity...
>>>>>
>>>>>John
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So what is your solution?
>>>

>>>
>>> You must be kidding, Jim!
>>>
>>
>> Not at all, Mike.
>>
>> Of course some folks who poat to rrap tend to pose a
>> sort of Zen problem - they tell you what a thing is
>> not, or what it shouldn't be, but never say what it is,
>> or how it should be.
>>
>> You watch. If Element 1 is dumped, as seems highly
>> likely, there will be a burst of license activity,
>> then same old same old as far as the numbers go.
>
> f course. My question was who you were asking the question of.
>
>> Then watch as the written tests and other regs are
>> attacked as "barriers".
>>
>> Heck, it's already started with the free upgrades
>> thing. FCC rejected that - this time.
>
> I wonder how that argument will form? Without the Morse code as the
> great "barrier", the argument will have to change quite a bit. Of course
> I will wait excitedly for the next salvo in the requirement easing effort.
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

## 581. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:17:13 UTC
From: Johnny Smith <blinking@nodular>
Message-ID: <dd1kjf$1g1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114930

Are you for real? Do you have a purpose in mind?

Is you intent to find an offender and hold him there forever?

Gawd man, I hope no fools give you power!

John

>

Gawd, "John", you are such a hoot! Transparent, but a hoot nonetheless.

## 582. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:18:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123309118.244059.204060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114931

>Some fucking moron said
>Asking Todd to read the letter and thereby expecting him to understand it
>are two, separate issues.
>Comprehension seems to be not be not be viable insofar as Todd is concerned.

No what you and your little buddies on here can't understand is that I
not running a pirate radio station.

Todd N9OGL

## 583. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:26:33 UTC
From: Reb <Biker@sturgie>
Message-ID: <dd1l4u$3lt$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:e851976155cd8b5458d57a7dabb6a827@dizum.com...
In article <dcuc62$bkf$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloydie" <nospam@glendale> wrote:
>
> Roger Wiseman (Whining and crying)

Hi Lardass! I see you can't go two fucking minutes without
whining about Roger Wiseman. He OWNS you, BOY!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
.............................

That photo you placed in the singles group on Yahoo last year was very
telling, Wogie.
Did you ever take my advice and buy that shampoo that kills head lice?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

# 584. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:31:11 UTC
From: Cassie <tessie@bookreport>
Message-ID: <dd1ldk$4ld$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114933

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123309118.244059.204060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>Some fucking moron said
>Asking Todd to read the letter and thereby expecting him to understand it
>are two, separate issues.
>Comprehension seems to be not be not be viable insofar as Todd is
concerned.

No what you and your little buddies on here can't understand is that I
not running a pirate radio station.

Todd N9OGL
///

OK. I give up. What the heck is, "I not running a pirate radio station." ???
Damn, Todd. I "not running" one, either.

Toddie, you and Roger are sounding more and more like Siamese Twins with
every post.

## 585. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:36:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123310211.701253.103410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114934

OK, correction I'm not running a pirate radio station.

## 586. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 06:38:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.06.38.38.478564@gmail.com>
Source record: 114937

Cassie:

My gawd, back in the late 60's and into the 70's I lived for pirate stations (soon after that was college and a job, made me lose track of pirate stations <frown!>.)

Used to stay up all night tuning bands and searching for them, in high school--had a hard time staying up all night long and getting to school!

What ma'roon would find fault with a good pirate station? Have these "new timers" became a bunch of "Girly-Men?"

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 01:31:11 -0500, Cassie wrote:

>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123309118.244059.204060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>Some fucking moron said
>>Asking Todd to read the letter and thereby expecting him to understand it
>>are two, separate issues.
>>Comprehension seems to be not be not be viable insofar as Todd is
> concerned.
>
>
> No what you and your little buddies on here can't understand is that I
> not running a pirate radio station.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> ///
>
> OK. I give up. What the heck is, "I not running a pirate radio station." ???
> Damn, Todd. I "not running" one, either.
>
> Toddie, you and Roger are sounding more and more like Siamese Twins with
> every post.

# 587. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 07:13:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.07.13.37.794028@gmail.com>
Source record: 114935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan:

Now that you mention it, yeah. I think the boy is trying to make a point there, just wonder how many really catch on?

John

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:51:31 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> So that's why MAN plays the ARRL bulletins on his show, eh?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.03.18.56.25.63070@gmail.com...
>>
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> My gawd man, K1MAN is a GOD! He cannot be judged as a mere mortal!
>>
>> If he wasn't posing such a danger to the "arrl enterprises, inc." he would
>> probably have a write up in Forbes Magazine.
>>
>> His best trait is throwing some light on the arrl and perhaps awakening
>> the brain dead to the fact arrl needs a severe "hand slapping" and
>> restructuring.
>>
>> However, I think the sorry state of things is that those who already have
>> the gray matter are already pi$$ed at the con job, the others will never
>> know.
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 18:33:22 +0000, Lloyd wrote:
>>
>>> On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> ----------
>>>> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>>>> ----------
>>>> N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on
>>>> the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for nor
>>>> against K1MAN but attends to raise some questions about the recent
>>>

>>> I attend too raise some questions also. K1MAN is a great man and
>>> a humanatarian who is missunderstood by the little pple. it would
>>> be a travestation if K1MAN isnt renewed and I will say so to them
>>> in a calm rationel manner when I write so that I dont sound like
>>> Dan or Steve do in rrap.
>>

# 588. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 08:48:53 UTC
From: Horatio <beenthere@yesteryar>
Message-ID: <dd1tfq$4jd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114938

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.06.06.38.38.478564@gmail.com...
Cassie:

My gawd, back in the late 60's and into the 70's I lived for pirate stations (soon after that was college and a job, made me lose track of pirate stations <frown!>.)

Used to stay up all night tuning bands and searching for them, in high school--had a hard time staying up all night long and getting to school!

What ma'roon would find fault with a good pirate station? Have these "new timers" became a bunch of "Girly-Men?"

John

(tweren't that many eons ago, seems like yesterday, that a couple of us utilized a one watt fm txmitter to 'broadcast' what we thought would be a 'neat' neighborhood radio station...we were so innocent back then.)

## 589. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 09:51:15 UTC
From: Ballz <CuentWogie@remailer>
Message-ID: <dd214o$jfi$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114939

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123310211.701253.103410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
OK, correction I'm not running a pirate radio station.

Your Back Door Buddy, AB8MQ will be pleased to hear this.

It seems that ,of late, the pair of you are on the same operating level,
though you could not hold a candle to the foul, immature comments that AB8MQ
is capable of.
Not to be concerned, Todd. You are bringing up a close second to the
vileness that Wogie displays.
In due time you may even surpass him....

Supidity seeks it's own level. You and Roger will be quite the duo in days
to come.

## 590. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 11:04:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123326281.687194.317330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114940

>Your Back Door Buddy, AB8MQ will be pleased to hear this.

Last time I heard YOU was his little bitch.

>Supidity seeks it's own level. You and Roger will be quite the duo in days
>to come.

not really

# 591. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 11:22:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123327347.747202.273020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114941

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>Phil Kane wrote:
> >>
> >>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
> >>>>we stood.
> >>>
> >>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
> >>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
> >>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
> >>
> >>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
> >>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
> >>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
> >>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
> >>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
> >>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
> >>
> >>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
> >>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
> >>beltway-style actually works.
> >>
> >>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
> >>w3rv
> >
> >
> > Bottom line here...
> >
> > 1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
> > was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
> > specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).

I thought so to. But why didn't FCC simply dump Element 1 two years
ago, after WRC 2003 ended the treaty requirement?

> > 2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
> > rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
> > in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
> > pro-code test argument....

Yup. But again, why didn't FCC simply dump Element 1 two years ago,
after WRC 2003 ended the treaty requirement?

Note also that the majority of those who commented back then not only
wanted code testing, but wanted at least two speeeds of code testing.
FCC ignored the majority.
>
> They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.

Yes, FCC seems to think that, despite all the evidence to the
contrary.
>
> > 3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
> > was because of the international treaty requiring a code
> > test.

Yup.

> > 4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
> > any code test requirement in the international treaty with
> > almost unanomous agreement by the countries
> > to do so.

Yup.

> > 5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
> > for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
> > The FCC, now has an open comment period for
> > discussion of the proposed change.

The current NPRM *proposes* to dump Element 1. It also denies just
about everything else in the 18 proposals.

> > 6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
> > retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
> > comment process, any prospect
> > of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
> > The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
> > have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
> > out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.

Is there any chance that *any* pro-code-test discussion will
have *any* effect on the outcome?

Suppose - just suppose - that after all the comments are in, the
majority of commenters support at least some code testing. Will FCC
change their position?
>
> > 7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
> > retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
> > going to happen either.
> > For two reasons:
> > (a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
> > (b) The majority of current comments are actually

> > running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
> > elimination of code testing.

The first reason is clear.

The second is simply what's happening now. Weeks to go yet.

And if the first is true, the majority is irrelevant,isn't it?

> Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
> comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
> Hams are of the same opinion?
>
> *Is* it a representative sample?

Does that matter?

> I agree with what you wrote. Resistance is now futile.
> Why should I waste my time commenting?

Because if the majority supports elimination, FCC will say
'we just gave you what the majority wanted'.

> You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your
> next effort?
>
I don't think NCI, Bill or Carl have a "next effort". When Element 1
goes away, they're done.

Fred is a very different issue.

Watch what happens in the next few years. Element 1 will most probably
go away, regardless of commentary.

There will be a flurry of upgrades to General and Extra, and a
flurry of new licenses.

Then the license numbers will go back to about where they were
before all the changes.

And folks like Fred will resurrect the "Communicator" idea
again, and push for reducing the written tests yet again.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 592. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 11:52:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123329129.688765.17800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Some of the points to my petition:

1. This petition is neither for nor against K1MAN renewal, instead it is being sent to the commission for the purpose of the FCC to answer a few questions. These question mostly circle around the recent NAL given to K1MAN.

2. INTERFERENCE: The question of why the FCC seems to be going only after K1MAN when W1AW has also been reported to have cause interference. It seems funny that since 1987 the FCC has repeatedly sent warning letters and NAL's to K1MAN and not W1AW, who has been reported to begin transmitting over ongoing communications. It is also rather funny that amateur's, even the ones that W1AW has transmitted over, seem to target only K1MAN.

3. PECUNIARY INTEREST: Amateur radio is a non-commercial service and according to the rules and regulations amateur radio stations cannot have pecuniary interest either direct or indirect. The first of the questions is why does the FCC have a double standard or definition to the term non-commercial? Non-commercial under the general rule is a station that can't make a profit; instead a station can make money, to sustain the station. Non-commercial FM and AM radio station due it through viewer support and non-commercial point to point services do it through state and federal funds ALL other service are able to get funds to run their stations either commercial and non-commercial. The second is direct or indirect rule. K1MAN on his Information bulletin advertised his website which had commercial goods on it (DIRECT) while when some one listens to W1AW, like a short-wave listener, or a future ham operator they would get on the Internet and do a search for W1AW, which in term would lead them to the ARRL site, site, which also has goods for sale (INDIRECT). So the question is why is the FCC going after the ARRL for their indirect sale of goods? It seems that the FCC has a double standard when it comes to who can bend the rules and who can't. Which leads to the finally question, Does announcing your website on the radio (even if it to some guys your talking too) and it has commercial advertisement on it really constitute pecuniary interest? And again if so then why is the ARRL allowed to sale products on their website.

4. Broadcasting: Under the FCC rules governing amateur radio, ham operators are not allowed to broadcast, however under 97.3(a)(25) and 97.111(b)(6) amateur can run Information bulletins. The questions are where does the line between Information bulletin end and broadcasting begin? Many amateurs believe that an Information bulletin is to be a

newscast and is not allowed to opinionated. Which raises the second and third question, where in the amateur rules does it state an information bulletin cannot be opinionated? Third does these "non-opinionated rules" allowed under the "non-content based rules" (the Courts has ruled repeatedly that the FCC rules must be content-neutral) or Under Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 as amended, (prohibits the FCC from control the content of station except for obscene and indecent material) or the First Amendment

## 593. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 12:46:15 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <7U1LCH3T38570.3654513889@anonymous>
Source record: 114943
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <dd1l4u$3lt$0@pita.alt.net>
"Reb" <Biker@sturgie> wrote:
>
>
> "Nomen Nescio" <nob...@dizum.com> wrote in message
> news:e851976155cd8b5458d57a7dabb6a827@dizum.com...
> In article <dcuc62$bk...@pita.alt.net>
> "Lloydie" <nospam@glendale> wrote:
> >
> > Roger Wiseman (Whining and crying)
>
> Hi Lardass! I see you can't go two fucking minutes without
> whining about Roger Wiseman. He OWNS you, BOY!
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
> ..............................
>
> That photo (IKYABWAI)

That wasn't his photo, dumbass. You lose again. And, Roger
doesn't have long hair and unlike you has hair to shampoo on a
daily basis. Did you get that much needed Rogaine yet?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 594. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 12:46:21 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <A0OVKIS338570.3655208333@anonymous>
Source record: 114944

In article <dd1ldk$4ld$0@pita.alt.net>
"Cassie" <tessie@bookreport> wrote:
>
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123309118.244059.204060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >Some fucking moron said
> >Asking Todd to read the letter and thereby expecting him to
understand it
> >are two, separate issues.
> >Comprehension seems to be not be not be viable insofar as
Todd is
> concerned.
>
>
> No what you and your little buddies on here can't understand
is that I
> not running a pirate radio station.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> ///
>
> OK. I give up. What the heck is, "I not running a pirate radio
station." ???
> Damn, Todd. I "not running" one, either.

No, you are too stupiud to even get a license that allows you on
HF.

> Toddie, you and Roger are sounding more and more like Siamese
Twins with
> every post.

Poor Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd, he thinks of
Roger Wiseman every waking minute.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!! BTW, Lardass, your head looks like
you at one time had another sibling attached to it, Evinrude
head.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 595. Markie Mularkie Multiplying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 12:51:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123332675.040736.97320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114945

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > please give us a break
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > cuting stevie spaming us with links
> >
> > "cutting"
> >
> > "spamming"
> >
> > Guess you're so well set that a $60K+ job in FEMA communications
> > doesn't interest you, Markie...
>
> not really I have turned down 240K a years jobs

MARKIE! WAKE UP MARKIE!

You were dreaming out-loud again, LiarBoy.

> but in any case it has nothing to do the ARS

Nor does it have anything to do with reality.

> > But it might someone else. (Someone LITERATE, is what I REALLY
> > meant.)
>
> it is still off topic spam

Please remind us where you were appointed as determiner of
spam...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 596. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 12:54:07 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <2489C81R38570.3709143519@anonymous>
Source record: 114946

In article
<1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> Anonymous wrote:
> > In article
> > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> >
> >
> > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > right, Marky?
>
> Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.

Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS. Care to venture you've never
seen a wine list?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 597. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:02:54 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <H8WLXLON38570.3770138889@anonymous>
Source record: 114947

In article <dd214o$jfi$0@pita.alt.net>
"No-Ballz" <CuentLloydie@remailer> wrote:
>
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123310211.701253.103410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> OK, correction I'm not running a pirate radio station.
>
> Your Back Door

Mheh. That's our Lardass Lllllllllllllloyd always thinking
about sodomy.

> It seems that

It seems that "cassie" aka No-Ballz aka
Lllllllllllllllllllllloyd, you can't stop whining about Roger
Wiseman for even ONE fucking minute. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 598. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:13:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123333996.774513.147300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114949

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> > > > for a putz like you..?!?!
> > >
> > > the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it
> >
> > Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...
> >
> > On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
> > that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...
>
> sure are if I were closer

If I wanted to do you violence, Markie, it wouldn't matter if you
were across the street or across the country.

> > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
>
> showing what you were trying was blackmail

I never tried to "blackmail" you.

You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
"blackmail", Markie.

> > If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
> > the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!
>
> I choose to balcane the risks verus not alowing a terroist such as
> yourself to silence me

You choose to WHAT the risks...?!?!

And WHAT is a "terroist"...?!?!

> > The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
> > from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.
>
> Not when you keep making threats

I haven't made any threats.

> you are a low level danger

So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
and your "family".

> terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible

"terorists" "balckmailer" "resited"

There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.

In your IMAGINATION maybe...but not in reality.

> > > > > It is you being stupid to even type that
> > > > >
> > > > > And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
> > > > > when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!
> > > >
> > > > bull#### it was a threat...(SNIP)
> > >
> > cuting a lie

Then you cut nothing.

> > > (UNSNIP)....foruately one you have havne't carried out,
> > > but one you keep repeating, such as your threat to come to the UP
> > >
> > I don't "keep repeating" any threat. YOU keep repeating it.
> >
> you keep making threats of various sorts

Nope.

> threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain

What threats?

> cuting stevie evasion

What evasion?

You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.

You "substantiate" your claims by your OWN "evasion" in the form
of "cuting" the releveant questions.

> > > > > yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> > > > > have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that
> > > > >
> > > > > Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
> > > > > he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.
> > > >
> > > then stop Stevie
> > >
> > > Stop what?
> >
> stop making new threats

WHAT new threats?

> stop harassing people

I'm not harrassing people.

You post, I respond. Period.

Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?

"First Ammendment", Markie...

> > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourslef on
> > numerous occassions.
> >
> > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> >
> > I'm doing neither.
>
> you keep doing it you lying SoS

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's
go back to insinuating profanites....

> been doing it for years

Yes, you have. Time for you to stop it, isn't it?

> threats harrasment trying to intimadate people

Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.

Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
own way.

And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
sure...

You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!

Steve, K4YZ

# 599. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:13:28 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <YH2Je.1547$Je.116@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114948

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>
>>>Bill:
>>>
>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to
>>>use
>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>
>>
>> Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>> certify ability to use digital modes?
>>
>> How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>> in voice mode?
>
> How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to ever
> build anything?

Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
transmit.

>> Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>> for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>> case now and has always been the case.
>
> A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
> regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.

Not so. Part 97 gives reasons AND, the international treaty
still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge
of radio, etc.

> *Why* should there be any testing?

If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file
your comments with the FCC accordingly.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 600. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:14:27 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <g8d9f1dk0mvitjpk3k2ps6oh3siptpq8vc@4ax.com>
Source record: 115050

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 22:56:55 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>
>"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>news:1hn7f1l6rr55cesbmvut64jbc0dfkc6kl6@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>
>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>news:1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>>>snip
>>
>> Y'know, the only reason that we Canadians were able to keep Morse
>> testing around (as an option) was because our regulatory authority
>> agreed that it would be valuable for the purpose of reciprocity
>> agreements with countries that have decided (or will!) to keep Code
>> testing as a mandatory requirement for their Amateur licensees.
>>
>> It's a valid point - without a Morse-qualified licence, one may not be
>> permitted to operate HF in a foreign country that requires Morse for
>> access should one choose to travel there.
>
>Yet that has not become an issue for any country yet. Indeed,
>by the nature of agreements, it has not been an issue with CEPT
>reciprocation even before WRC-2003 deleted morse as a requirement
>for HF licensing.

Perhaps not - but the possibility of interfering with existing
reciprocity agrements was taken into account in their decision - a
quote from the Gazette Notice (the equivalent to the FCC R&O)
follows:

>>>>>>>

Notice No. DGRB-003-05 ï¿½ Revisions to Amateur Radio Operator
Requirements Relating to Morse code

>portion removed

Assessment of the RAC Proposal and Consultation

Prior to analyzing the elements of the RAC proposal, the Department
first assessed the validity of the following three factors presented

by the RAC as fundamental arguments:

There must be an awareness of the impact of this action (i.e.
elimination of the Morse code requirements) upon existing reciprocal
agreements and other arrangements which permit Canadian radio amateurs
to operate in other countries and foreign radio amateurs to operate in
Canada.

The Morse code examination must continue to be available in Canada for
the benefit of radio amateurs who may require such a qualification for
operation in another country, and for those who wish to acquire skill
in the use of Morse code.

Operation in the HF bands requires special knowledge and skills not
necessary for most operations in the bands above 30 MHz. This
difference should be reflected in the examination arrangements.
Industry Canada has accepted the validity of these three factors, and
consequently, they were taken as the basis from which the specific
recommendations were assessed.

.....etc

>>>>>>>>

The first two facctors listed are what I referre to in my original
post.

>
>> Reciprocity has always been
>> an important part of the worldwide Amateur community.....therefore, we
>> would have lost something tangible that we already had should this
>> scenario have played out!
>>
>> It sure makes a non-emotional, fact-based arguement - which worked
>> quite well up here. I wonder, if enough people presented this
>> reasoning to the FCC in their comments, if they might be willing to
>> buy in to it?
>>
>> Might be worth a try....?
>
>But as of today, and I'll defer to you to provide an example,
>I am unaware of the issue being raised in any request
>by any ham for reciprocal licensing.

Neither am I - however, the Canadian government was concerned that it
may become an issue in future - concerned enough that Morse testing
remains as an option here today!

Ain't politics grand! :)

>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

>

73, Leo

# 601. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:24:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <5S2Je.1561$RZ2.813@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115051

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:K-udnbKQDIDOYm7fRVn-pA@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:NDBIe.925$Je.130@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>> news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikq3nj0.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>we stood.
>>>
>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>> Maybe yes, maybe no. In this case, the majority (if one
>> looks at the comments already filed) appear to be
>> running better than 2:1 in support of the ending of
>> all code testing. Sure looks like a majority to me.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
> I think the ones against the change are worn out. They know that the FCC
> didn't listen before and don't believe the FCC will listen now. They are
> ready to move on.
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, the FCC did listen to their comments via NPRM 98-143.
The FCC then gave written reasons why their arguments for code
testing were not sufficient to retain code testing when the
R&O was issued from 98-143.

You state..."they are ready tom move on." That's fine and
we can therefore view those folks willing to move on
as agreeable to the 05-235 changes proposed by virtue
of their silence.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 602. Re: Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:25:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <eL-dnZ2dnZ1DtZ3GnZ2dncEnad-dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115052

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:DP6dnY6Ksrq7AW_fRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
>
>> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>
>>> Charles, now THAT's FUNNY!!!!!!!!!! ROTFLMAO.
>>
>>
>> Hi Carl! Whatchu doing here?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> Just checking to see how bad the ranting and whining over the NPRM is :-)

You guys won the war. Not much point in whining IMO. I'll buy ya a beer
if we ever meet up. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 603. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:28:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <eL-dnZ2dnZ1CtZ3GnZ2dnZsmad-dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115053

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%ZRIe.101$TC7.1328291@news.sisna.com...
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>I think it more reflects the readily availability of manufactured
>>equipment as compared to equip constructed by ones own hand.
>>
>>I also think it has to do with an economy which allows one to support such
>>hobbies, and the abundant free time individuals have to devote to such
>>hobbies.
>>
>>I also think it has to do with education and the masses do not find radio
>>a "dark mystery" anymore, etc, etc...
>>
>>It has to do with a lot of things... one I don't even consider is a
>>factor which has helped the popularity of ham radio is CW... indeed, it
>>has served as a hindrance... only the degree which it has hindered is
>>left to be argued, in my humble opinion--and shortly we are due to find
>>out... indeed, without some sort of "shot in the arm" amateur radio would
>>continue its' course and go the way of the dodo bird...
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> There is no longer any need to keep repeating the false mantras that "ham
> radio is dying" and "Morse code stops people from becoming hams" since the
> FCC will be eliminating the code test.

Perhaps a *new* mantra will come out?

- Mike

# 604. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:31:59 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <88e376e5d9c884f22b698ec8c2e183a5@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 115054

In article <dd1ldk$4ld$0@pita.alt.net>
"Cassie" <tessie@bookreport> wrote:
>
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123309118.244059.204060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >Some fucking moron said
> >Asking Todd to read the letter and thereby expecting him to
understand it
> >are two, separate issues.
> >Comprehension seems to be not be not be viable insofar as
Todd is
> concerned.
>
>
> No what you and your little buddies on here can't understand
is that I
> not running a pirate radio station.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> ///
>
> OK. I give up. What the heck is, "I not running a pirate radio
station." ???
> Damn, Todd. I "not running" one, either.

No, you are too stupid to even get a license that allows you on
HF.

> Toddie, you and Roger are sounding more and more like Siamese
Twins with
> every post.

Poor Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd, he thinks of
Roger Wiseman every waking minute.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!! BTW, Lardass, your head looks like
you at one time had another sibling attached to it, Evinrude
head.

# 605. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:34:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <H%2Je.1563$RZ2.828@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115055

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>>we stood.
>>>>
>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>
>>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>
>>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>>beltway-style actually works.
>>>
>>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>>w3rv
>>
>>
>> Bottom line here...
>>
>> 1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>> was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>> specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>>
>> 2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>> rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>> in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>> pro-code test argument....
>

> They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.
>
>> 3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
>> was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>> test.
>>
>> 4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>> any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>> almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>> to do so.
>>
>> 5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>> for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>> The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>> discussion of the proposed change.
>>
>> 6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>> retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>> comment process, any prospect
>> of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>> The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>> have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>> out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>>
>> 7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>> retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>> going to happen either.
>> For two reasons:
>> (a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>> (b) The majority of current comments are actually
>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
>> elimination of code testing.
>
> Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
> comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
> Hams are of the same opinion?

Yes I do. Some 10 years ago the ARRL conducted a survey
of asking about code retention and the results then were pretty
close. With 10 years now passed, lots of new hams, many older hams
now SK, the results today would, I believe, show a majority
in favor of ending code testing.

> *Is* it a representative sample?

Is the vote for president of the USA a representative sample?
Bottom line, you get a chance to comment and that's it. FCC
rules, ultimatly are not determined by majority voting via the
comment phase.

> I agree with what you wrote. Resistance is now futile. Why should I waste
> my time commenting?

I'm not here to convince you to comment or
not. Your choice, your opportuity to speak.

> You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your next effort?

Can't speak for Fred and Carl, but my next effort...actually my
continuing effort...is to support amateur radio as Local Gov't
Liason (LGL) within the ARRL field org.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 606. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:47:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0qadnXn2koAWImnfRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115056

Dave Heil wrote:

> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
> think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
> massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!

This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary importance to opinion.

If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying, that is the truth.

If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.

If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.

You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go along, so why do it?

- Mike KB3EIA -

BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.

Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but along the same lines
Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way to HF digital soon. 8^)

## 607. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 13:50:07 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <f4fa8bc7824f3dde0d2f6129a6b8b15d@dizum.com>
Source record: 115057

In article <A0OVKIS338570.3655208333@anonymous>
Anonymous <BigappleRemai...@bigapple.yi.org> wrote:

Typo corrected : "stupid"

Watch as Lardass pounces on it, because on his cum-encrusted
keyboard he can't see the letters on the top three rows.

# 608. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 14:23:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <W6SdnUOLsphfWmnfRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115058

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>>>we stood.
>>>>>
>>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>>
>>>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>>
>>>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>>>beltway-style actually works.
>>>>
>>>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>>>w3rv
>>>
>>>
>>>Bottom line here...
>>>
>>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>

>
> I thought so to. But why didn't FCC simply dump Element 1 two years
> ago, after WRC 2003 ended the treaty requirement?

Institutional inertia.

>
>>>2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>>>rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>>>in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>>>pro-code test argument....
>
>
> Yup. But again, why didn't FCC simply dump Element 1 two years ago,
> after WRC 2003 ended the treaty requirement?

Same thing.

> Note also that the majority of those who commented back then not only
> wanted code testing, but wanted at least two speeeds of code testing.
> FCC ignored the majority.
>
>>They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.
>
>
> Yes, FCC seems to think that, despite all the evidence to the
> contrary.

Faith based technology.

>>>3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
>>>was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>>>test.
>
>
> Yup.
>
>
>>>4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>>>any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>>>almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>>>to do so.
>
>
> Yup.
>
>
>>>5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>>>for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>>>The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>>>discussion of the proposed change.
>

>
> The current NPRM *proposes* to dump Element 1. It also denies just
> about everything else in the 18 proposals.
>
>
>>>6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>>>retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>>>comment process, any prospect
>>>of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>>>The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>>>have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>>>out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>
>
> Is there any chance that *any* pro-code-test discussion will
> have *any* effect on the outcome?

Negative.

> Suppose - just suppose - that after all the comments are in, the
> majority of commenters support at least some code testing. Will FCC
> change their position?

I believe that the way the argument is framed is critical. It seems
that the argument has been put forth about getting rid of a regulation.
And we all "know" that regulation is a bad thing. Element 1 goes away. I
consider the odds of it staying are about the same as a singularity
popping up.

>
>>>7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>>>retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>>>going to happen either.
>>>For two reasons:
>>>(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>>>(b) The majority of current comments are actually
>>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
>>> elimination of code testing.
>
>
> The first reason is clear.
>
> The second is simply what's happening now. Weeks to go yet.
>
> And if the first is true, the majority is irrelevant,isn't it?
>
>
>>Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
>>comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
>>Hams are of the same opinion?
>>

>> *Is* it a representative sample?
>
> Does that matter?

No it doesn't' matter. I simply want to point out to people such as
Bill and Jhxn that the comments are not even close to a statistically
proper poll. I expect better out of Bill.

>>I agree with what you wrote. Resistance is now futile.
>>Why should I waste my time commenting?
>
>
> Because if the majority supports elimination, FCC will say
> 'we just gave you what the majority wanted'.

Seems like more of that faith based stuff to me.

More correct would be "We are just enforcing what the majority of those
who chose to comment wanted.

And finally, *Who cares* what they would say? It is what they are going
to do, and to even give the commenters any idea that they have had any
say in something that has already been decided is dishonest on some level.

>
>>You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your
>>next effort?
>>
>
> I don't think NCI, Bill or Carl have a "next effort". When Element 1
> goes away, they're done.

I still keep trying to engage someone in a friendly wager on that one!
Say a six pack of Black and Tan that NCI will morph into an organization
that promotes increases in the numbers of Hams by relaxation of the
testing requirements?

> Fred is a very different issue.

Hehe.

> Watch what happens in the next few years. Element 1 will most probably
> go away, regardless of commentary.

Correct.

> There will be a flurry of upgrades to General and Extra, and a
> flurry of new licenses.

Also correct

> Then the license numbers will go back to about where they were
> before all the changes.

History shows this is likely.

> And folks like Fred will resurrect the "Communicator" idea
> again, and push for reducing the written tests yet again.

Yes. We only differ in that one detail about NCI.

I'm firmly convinced that many of the people that think of Element 1 as the "great barrier" will be dismayed when they find out that there are other barriers to Amateur radio. Putting together a station is probably harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a *good* station is definitely so.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 609. Re: Dead Horse Drops on ARRL Headquarters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 14:48:46 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123339725.882978.285580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115059

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:DP6dnY6Ksrq7AW_fRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> >> Charles, now THAT's FUNNY!!!!!!!!!! ROTFLMAO.
> >
> > Hi Carl! Whatchu doing here?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> Just checking to see how bad the ranting and whining over the NPRM is :-)
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

N2EY is still plotting a new course for amateur radio. Like the Dems,
he thinks he's in power.

# 610. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 14:52:28 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123339948.700441.314260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115060

K4YZ wrote:
> Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
>
> Proceeding: 05-235 Type Code: CO
> Date Received/Adopted: 08/02/05 Date Released/Denied:
> Document Type: COMMENT Total Pages: 1
> File Number/Community: DA/FCC Number:
> Filed on Behalf of: John Q. Public
> Filed By:
> Attorney/Author Name: Document Date:
> Complete Mailing Address:
> 101 main street
>
> Houston, TX 77043
> Brief Comment
>
> i glad u guys got red of the morris cod. i nevir liked morris da kat
> either. Thanc now i kan use dat raydeo I stoles from dat kar at da
> hamfeast.

Ho, hum. Was his name "DeShawn?"

# 611. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 14:53:34 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123340014.769531.115560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115061

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
>
> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> Army career!
>
> Sheesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yawn.

## 612. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 14:55:04 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <hairy.woger.womeo@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 115062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Aug 2005 12:46:15 -0000, Anonymous <Secwet Woger> wrote:
:
: That wasn't his photo, dumbass. You lose again. And, Roger
: doesn't have long hair and unlike you has hair to shampoo on a
: daily basis. Did you get that much needed Rogaine yet?
: BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

# 613. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:03:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123340581.196376.278680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115064

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
>
> realy tlaking to yourself today ole boy
>
> > >
> > > And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > > his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > > Army career!
>
> of course he does a bio is part of establish ones bono fides

No, it doesn't.

Not a one of the other comments I read are so obviously
grandstanding.

> > > Sheesh!
> >
> > Oooops...forgot to mention, The last footnote in Lennie's
> > comments:
> >
> > "Any change in the license examination regulations will primarily
> > affect license applicants. That does not directly affect those already
> > licensed and have already passed any test given under older
> > regulations...despite the displayed spite in a few Comments who had
> > worked hard to pass the morse code tests and are disturbed that
> > applicants in the future might not have to do the same. The Commission
> > is in no way responsible to hold thigs in perpetuity or to insure
> > feeding of emotional sustanance to those already licensed.
> >
> > Of course his comments were laced with the usual amount of
> > "mythology", "tribal" and other insulting inferences that we have
> > become used to here.
> >
> > The biggest lie being his suggestion on page 1 that he was a
> > potential Amateur Radio licensee.
> >
> > Too bad there's not a method for filing charges of perjury on
> > filings.
>
> more libel stevie and more stupidity on your part

What libel?

I quoted Lennie's comments word-for-word, Markie...Go read them yourself.

Furthermore, Lennie has, on numerous occassions in this forum, stated that he has no intention of getting an Amateur Radio license, yet he says just the opposite to the FCC in his opening comments.

So one way or the other he lied. Again.

So again I ask you..."what libel"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 614. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:03:38 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123340618.012259.276740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115063

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> >
> > And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > Army career!
> >
> > Sheesh!
>
> Oooops...forgot to mention, The last footnote in Lennie's
> comments:
>
> "Any change in the license examination regulations will primarily
> affect license applicants. That does not directly affect those already
> licensed and have already passed any test given under older
> regulations...despite the displayed spite in a few Comments who had
> worked hard to pass the morse code tests and are disturbed that
> applicants in the future might not have to do the same. The Commission
> is in no way responsible to hold thigs in perpetuity or to insure
> feeding of emotional sustanance to those already licensed.

Steve, you might want to end a direct quote with quotation marks so
that we can separate Len's comments from your rantings.

In any event, Len is correct.

> Of course his comments were laced with the usual amount of
> "mythology", "tribal" and other insulting inferences that we have
> become used to here.

Mythology??? Did he mention seven hostile actions?

> The biggest lie being his suggestion on page 1 that he was a
> potential Amateur Radio licensee.

Or being "A" NCOIC of a MARS station in Okinawa.

Did he say that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" ?

> Too bad there's not a method for filing charges of perjury on
> filings.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You could always start proceedings to impeach Len. Do you still have
the dress?

## 615. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:05:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123340713.556490.225390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115066

an old friend wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> > imagination.

'fraid so, Jim...Check the header I quoted in the ECFS Comments.

> I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
> submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM.

Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Steve, K4YZ

## 616. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:06:11 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123340771.048230.148740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115065

an old friend wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> > imagination.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
> submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM

It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
Bruce/WA8ULX.

That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
volumes.

## 617. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:16:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123341381.869381.7680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115067

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1123049641.127115.156130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > However I am in favor of allowing access to the HF allocations
> > without the benefit of a Morse Code examination with the restriction
> > that non-Morse tested Amateurs not be allowed access to those parts of
> > the spectrum wherein voice (wideband) modes are not permittted.
> > Without the basic skills of being able to recognize whether or not they
> > are potentially interfering with other communications, the non-Morse
> > tested operator should be restricted to areas wherein they will have
> > less likelyhood of causing such interference.
>
> Steve, I have to disagree with you on the concept that only Morse tested
> operators be allowed to operate Morse.

That's not what I said, Dee...

I said that non-Morse tested licesees shouldn't be allowed to
operate in areas where voice modes are not permitted.

There is a difference.

> If the FCC is going to drop the code
> requirement (which seems certain now), the operators should be allowed the
> privileges of the comparable classes of today. Besides you don't have to be
> familiar with a mode to hear that someone is using the frequency and thus to
> know that you should go find another.

But you need to be able to be able to inquire as to the use of the
frequency, etc. Just because I tune to 14.0xxMhz and not hear anything
for a minute or so does NOT mean the frequency isn't being used.

If they elect to go Code/Extra-NoCode/General, then we should
consider some band plan allowances to give the NCG's a place to
practice away from the skilled users. However that can be done on a
"Gentleperson's Agreement" (notice the PC there...?!?!?!) , not a new
"Novice" class license.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 618. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:16:49 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123341409.272822.307840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115068

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> b.b. wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >> > >
> >> > > Can we have just post our comments here and take the editorials to
> >> > > other threads, Ladies and Gentlemen?
> >> > >
> >> > > 73
> >> >
> >> > KMA
> >>
> >> Uh huh.
> >>
> >> About what I expected and whom I expected it from. Pretty much
> >> substantiates my claims about who pulls threads into rants, etc etc
> >> etc.
> >
> >if by that you mean that some folks like myself will not allow you to
> >control the newsgroup then of course you are right
>
> Stebie has a terrible NEED to control others and acts like all
> his diagreers are the Antichrist, spawn of satan, or evil
> incarnate come to bedevil HIM, the DILL Instructor of this
> murine corpse. :-)

Like the Dems, he thinks he's in control....(can't even control his own
impulses).

> I love Stebie's opening "salutation" to the FCC on WT Docket
> 05-235:
>
> >> > > Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, Greetings,
>
> Geez, Stebie is giving a SPEECH for an assembled group! :-)
>
> He steps up to the podium, adjusts the microphone, takes a
> sip of water, opens his speech text copy notebook and beings
> to SPEAK! [poor guy didn't get any thunderous applause when
> he was finished...snif, snif...]

Welp, he've been saying since the last millenium that he's
delusional...

> At 445 12th St. S.W. in DC is someone at a desk, using a
> workstation, pulling down incoming Comments on WT Docket
> 05-235 at an average rate of about 52 a day...and Stebie
> thinks he is making a SPEECH! Gotta love the immense EGO
> on the DILL Instructor with the askew campaign hat. Like
> the FCC folks love "getting SPOKEN to?" :-)

Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!

> If Bill Cross had the FCC making a decision contrary to what
> Stebie wants, Stebie would probably yell "Get down and gimme
> ten!" at him. :-)
>
> Ve are all Putzes in da ghetto while Stebie is up on the roof
> vid his fiddle, playing while his mind burns. Shalom!
>
> oye veh
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Whatta kook.

# 619. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:19:31 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <42F4D500.10105@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115069

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>>>I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
>>>imagination.
>>>
>>>
>>>73 from Rochester, NY
>>>Jim AA2QA
>>
>>I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
>>submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM
>
>
> It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
> Bruce/WA8ULX.
>
> That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
> volumes.
>
It was an admitted fake. Discussed on the QRZ forum - which makes this
group seem tame.
John

## 620. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:27:21 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115070

```
Anonymous wrote:
> In article
> <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > >
> > >
> > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > right, Marky?
> >
> > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
>
> Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.

Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."

> Care to venture you've never
> seen a wine list?

Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
you have referred to?
```

# 621. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:27:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123342071.368434.229690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115071

Mike Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Bill Sohl wrote:

> > Whoa Bill, slow down before you pop something, take a deep breath and
> > relax. It's only ham radio and it's only USENET.
> >
> > I fully agree, the code test game was over years ago.
>
> Be gracious Brian. They need to beat their chests a bit!

. . . if I was any more "gracious" I'd have to commit Hari-kari to get
there . .

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

## 622. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:44:56 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd2lto$4ab$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 115072

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1123207888.186244.222540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using
>> > > > > Morse Code as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot
>> > > > > or some other scheme.
>> > > > >
>> > > > indeed we are
>> > > >
>> > > Glad you agree
>> > >
>> > > > Of course it can be done, and has been done. Why it would be
>> > > > done is another issue. It is certainly not a "better way".
>> > >
>> > > that does depend on the goal, and the operator.
>> > >
>> > True enough.
>> > >
>> > > > Personaly I find the
>> > > > idea of the manual morse and compter morse interacting the only
>> > > > redeeming virtue of the mode (please I know you disagree but go
>> > > > along for a minute)
>> > >
>> > > It's just *one* good thing about Morse Code (the ease and
>> > > flexibility of human-machine interface. There are many more good
>> > > things (redeeming virtues?) of Morse Code.
>> >
>> > IYO
>>
>> Not just my opinion. The good things about Morse Code are an
>> objective fact.
>>
>> Of course that doesn't mean Morse Code *must* be tested, any
>> more than the good things about, say, a standard phonetic alphabet
>> means it must be tested.
>> >
>> > not in mine
>> >

>> > it is a fact manual morse is quite useless to me and others
>>
>> If you have a mcahine that can interface with someone using manual
>> Morse Code, whom you otherwise could not contact at all, how can that
>> manula Morse Code be useless to you?
>
> because it is useless to me, simple fact, and i will never know wether
> it is usefull to any other particular person, unless they tell me and I
> believe them
>
>> >
>> > > > That someone could use the simple assembly of the QRP rig
>> > > > to reach out to a station like mine reading fby machine and
>> > > > sending it back the same way.
>> > >
>> > > One more tool in the toolbox.
>> >
>> > and yet you opose allowing me in the playing feild at all
>>
>> That's simply not true!
>>
>> All anyone has to do to get the license is to pass the required tests.
>
> and you spport the Morse code test and therefore you opose me on the
> field at all
>
>>
>> VEs are empowered to use all sorts of accomodations in the
>> tests (both written and Morse Code) if needed. In fact, a Morse
>> Code *sending* test can be substituted.
>
> which doesn't meet the ADA standards of accomodation at all, since that
> law allows me anything that will in fact work, my choice would be a
> code reader
>
> based my expeences in the past that is about all that would do it
>
>
> However that aside you insist I develope some varraint of a skill that
> I can't use.
>> >
>> > My station is at least one if not several such tool but you don't
>> > wish to allow it without ahvng that ONE tool
>>
>> I have no idea what you are trying to say.
>
> becuase you think Morse code is just a trival bit of work to learn, and
> for some it is
>
> and lacking that skill you would choose to sideline my station which is

> able right now to work HF (I have up a G5RV conected to my ft 847
> satelite rig which is also HF cappable
>
>>
>> > > > It is one the few occasion I can realy see much use
>> > > > in the mode during an emergency gives the user the low signal
>> > > > abilities of RTTY or PSK 31 but allowing the station in the
>> > > > affected area to despense with a PC
>> > >
>> > > If the operators know Morse Code, there's no reason for a PC > >
>> > > at either station.
>> >
>> > agreed but so what
>>
>> A tool that is with someone always is the most useful.
>
> The above noted for later reference as "A"
>
> but only if it is ever with that person. even if through some
> accomdated test I passed it I would not have a tool to use
>
>>
>> > this doesn't justify keeping me from being there and using my sation
>> > to help the pcles staion
>>
>> No one is saying you cannot use a PC for Morse Code.
>
> but you are supporting not allowing me access to specturm
>
>> >
>> > > > Thus it is 'better" in some ways, indeed I am a much better
>> > > > operator of computer morse than manual and it would make my
>> > > > staion a bteer station by your standards (more modes more
>> > > > abilities)
>> > >
>> > > In that regard, it is "better". But it is not universally
>> > > "better", just as an automobile is not universally "better" than a
>> > > bicycle.
>> >
>> > I have never said it was
>> >
>> > it is your side that varies from stating or impling that Manaul > is
>> > always better which just isn't so
>>
>> Where have *I* ever said Morse Code is always better?
>
> in the post I am replying to you make a statement to that effect it
> survies above as noted as "A"
>
>> >

>> > > > so where your beef?
>> > >
>> > > The idea that machine operation is somehow universally better.
>> >
>> > and my beef is your insitance that manual morse is always better
>>
>> *Where* have *I* said that?
>
> at A
>
>>
>> > > > it is not your cup of tea sure fine
>> > > >
>> > > > > Consider a bicycle. If another wheel is added, the rider
>> > > > > doesn't need to worry about falling over, so the skill
>> > > > > required to ride it is greatly reduced.
>> > > > > Add a small gasoline engine and a suitable transmission, and
>> > > > > pedaling becomes much easier. A simple cover will protect the
>> > > > > rider from rain
>> > > > > and other inclement weather.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Eventually you wind up with a small, three-wheeled automobile
>> > > > > that could win
>> > > > > the Tour de France. Except it's not a bicycle anymore, and its
>> > > > > rider isn't a cyclist by any stretch of the imagination.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Or consider the piano. Pianos and similar keyboard instruments
>> > > > > have been around
>> > > > > for hundreds of years. It takes considerable skill and
>> > > > > practice to play them, and
>> > > > > reading sheet music is a skill of its own.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > With modern computers and software, however, one can simply
>> > > > > have a machine that
>> > > > > scans in the sheet music and turns it into a "performance" -
>> > > > > without all those
>> > > > > lessons, practice, etc.
>> > > >
>> > break
>> > > > all depends on what you want, to listen or to play
>> > > >
>> > > Point is, there's a big difference.
>> >
>> > which by analogy is up to me. Id rather listen than play that tune
>> >
>> > and what about Manual Morse justifies making ME play that tune?
>>
>> The same things about all the other things hams are required to learn.
>
> no becuase I blow everything On AM or on SSB or FM and still get a

> license Code testing is deferent nothing else in Ham radio has that
> status so your staement is simply not true
>
>>
>> --
>>
>> Suppose you were given the following test:
>>
>> You're sitting at a table with pencil and paper, and your choice of
>> speaker or headphones.
>>
>> Through the headphones you hear a series of words spoken slowly and
>> clearly, spaced so there is one word every 2 seconds or so.
>>
>> All you have to do is write down the first letter of each word.
>>
>> The test always uses a standard phonetic alphabet, too.
>>
>> So if you heard:
>>
>> "Sierra....Tango.....Alpha.....Romeo.....Tango.........Whiskey.....Indi
>> a.....Tango.....Hotel"
>>
>> you would write down
>>
>> "START WITH..."
>>
>> etc.
>>
>> The test goes on for 5 minutes, but all you need is one minute correct
>> to pass the test.
>>
>> Could you pass such a test? Is there anyone who can converse in
>> English, and who is literate in that language, who could not pass such
>> a test?
>
> I might well not be able to, that is the meaning of Dyslexiod Aphasia,
> I would hear the S in might write Y it all but certain I would suffer
> from one of these occurances if a minute was 27 letters, any time I am
> changing media there is high chance for making such errors, there is a
> decent chance if I am just coping writen text, indeed to take your test
> seeing the writen word one at a time and trying to copy them first
> leters I can make such errors I fequenly do in the newsgroup find
> myself unable to copy corectly a word on the screen
>
> Does not mean I can't read text and understand it and tell you about
> later
>>
>>
>>

>> All the Morse Code test does is to replace the words with specific
>> sounds. Instead of "Sierra", for example, you would hear three short
>> beeps.
>>
>> What is so impossible about that?
>
> get it though your head, I have failed exactly that test a number of
> times Jim
>>
>> I could see that if someone had an auditory or cognition problem, they
>> might have trouble with both tests. But it seems incredible that
>> people who would have no trouble with the first claim the second to be
>> impossible, or even very difficult, for them.
>
> In My case it is a set of learning disablities, varies people have
> varies abilities
> when you add morseyou have tranlating . to "e" which might make it to
> the page as "y" when you sart with letters .- which I think is "a" I
> may hear -. think "a" (becuase dyslexics reverse stuff) and might not
> get even that "a" to the paper right
>
> I do better with keyboards where I am tring to learn not the . is "e"
> but is the trhid finger of left hand up one key (the touch tpye
> position of "e" of course)
>
>>
>> Of course for some folks, "can't" actually means "won't" or "don't
>> want to".
>
> so to answer your title I doubt I could pass the test phoenetic test
> you describe, unless it was realy slow, and certainly not with any test
> anxeity
>
>

I agree with you, but you won't get very far with Jim.

I especially liked the bit about using a code reader as a handicap accomodation for the Morse test! I am a VE and never thought of that! Can you imagine if we had tried to get that past the ARRL VEC - they would have flipped for sure, but I think it may well meet the rules.

It's all academic now, or will be soon. The NPRM abolishes the code test, and the R&O will do the same. The pro-code test side have lost the war. Roll on VC Day (Victory over the Code Day, LOL!).

73 de Alun, N3KIP

(A 20wpm Extra who wasted years learning Morse code that I will probably never use)

## 623. spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:49:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123343363.100464.70640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115073

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
cuting
> > it is still off topic spam
>
> Please remind us where you were appointed as determiner of
> spam...?!?!

no one but I know it when I see

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 624. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 15:54:53 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd2mgd$4ab$1$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 115074

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:dYqdnU72v5RgY27fRVn-ug@comcast.com:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>> Bill:
>>
>> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say
>> IF, you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a
>> person to use code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine
>> protocols...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>
> Since most of the misbehaviour is on phone, they should not be allowed
> to use phone protocols without a "phone certification."
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

If you had to be able to speak the Queen's English that would clean up 80m
phone no end!

## 625. stevie threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:03:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123344225.167934.194550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115075

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> > > > > for a putz like you..?!?!
> > > >
> > > > the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it
> > >
> > > Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...
> > >
> > > On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
> > > that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...
> >
> > sure are if I were closer
>

> If I wanted to do you violence, Markie, it wouldn't matter if you
> were across the street or across the country.

sure sure more stavie bluster

>
> > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> >
> > showing what you were trying was blackmail
>
> I never tried to "blackmail" you.
>
> You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> "blackmail", Markie.

it is mading a demand for gain for a target under threat

your actions quailify

you continue to black mail me

>
> > > If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
> > > the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!
> >
> > I choose to balcane the risks verus not alowing a terroist such as
> > yourself to silence me
>
speling cop cut

> > > The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
> > > from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.
> >
> > Not when you keep making threats
>
> I haven't made any threats.

liar

"one shot one kill..." Is a threat

Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat

>
> > you are a low level danger
>
> So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> and your "family".

nope I am saying you have prooven you mostly make threats without
backing em up\

Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
nothing to do your making them

You simply make Idles(so far) threats, but you make threats often

>
> > terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible
>

> There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.

spelling cop cut

yep there is his name is Steve Robeson
>
> In your IMAGINATION maybe...but not in reality.
>
> > > > > > It is you being stupid to even type that
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
> > > > > > when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!
> > > > >
> > > > > bull#### it was a threat...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > cuting a lie
cuting another lie

> > > > (UNSNIP)....foruately one you have havne't carried out,
> > > > but one you keep repeating, such as your threat to come to the UP
> > >
> > > I don't "keep repeating" any threat. YOU keep repeating it.
> >
> > you keep making threats of various sorts

>
> Nope.

yes you do you threatened Tood I saw that, you conitue to threaten me,
idly it seems but you continue

and you lie about it

>
> > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
>
> What threats?

your threats
>
> > cuting stevie evasion
>
> What evasion?

your evasion

>
> You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.

yes you do, overlooking the fact it has been done in many case Years
ago, and repaeted again and again
>
cuting another stevie evasion distrotion

> > > > > > yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> > > > > > have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
> > > > > > he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.
> > > > >
> > > > > then stop Stevie
> > > >
> > > Stop what?
> >
> > stop making new threats
>
> WHAT new threats?

threaten you come to the UP

threatening action with various parties

>
> > stop harassing people
>
> I'm not harrassing people.

liar

>
> You post, I respond. Period.
>
> Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
> responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?

liar you are not a bit sorry

why do you tell such obvious lies

>
> "First Ammendment", Markie...

you don't have any right to threaten peoplethe 1st amendment does cover
that

>
> > > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourslef on
> > > numerous occassions.
> > >
> > > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> > >
> > > I'm doing neither.
> >
> > you keep doing it you lying SoS
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's
> go back to insinuating profanites....

not realy you are a lying sack of shit, just calling you on it
>
> > been doing it for years
>
cuting stvie distoring context

> > threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
>
> Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.

never made one

I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
it you have got anything worth talking

and of plausible to whom? You well that is more than likely right, a
real crout that is another matter

>
> Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
> own way.

really someone is making you read it?

>
> And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,

> sure...

not trying to intimadateI would not horn on your territory steve, not
that you arre any good at it
>
> You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 626. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:06:19 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd2n5r$4ab$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 115076

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
news:1123267038.807019.203230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 5 Aug 2005 13:50
>
>
>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know
>>>> >where we stood.
>>>>
>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that
>>>> the majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>
>>> Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>> comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna
>>> be. Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez
>>> they have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table
>>> until the deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS
>>> they published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>
>>> Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>> whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>> beltway-style actually works.
>>
>>Bottom line here...
>>
>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC specifically called
>>for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>
> Bill, there was also discussion 15 years ago in FCC 90-53 on
> the creation of the no-code-test Technician class.
>
>>2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>>rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>>in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every pro-code test argument....
>
> That's true enough but is not acceptible to the MMMs in here.
>
>>3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test

>>was because of the international treaty requiring a code test.
>
> Well, there was undoubtedly a lot of heated discussion from
> a lobbying group for a noted membership organization...
> presumably that is since we in this "democracy" don't get
> to actually observe such. :-)
>
> However, the final word was in 99-412, the R&O establishing
> this recent restructuring.
>
>>4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>>any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>>almost unanomous agreement by the countries to do so.
>
> The bellweather of that was the IARU's decision to support
> optional code testing by administrations beginning in 2000.
> U.S. hams tend to be a bit bigoted about their "preeminence"
> in amateur radio so they don't look at much of what the rest
> of the world is deciding by theirselves.
>
>>5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>>for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>>The FCC, now has an open comment period for discussion of the proposed
>>change.
>
> Well, the Federal Register for 5 Aug 05 doesn't have any
> mention of either 05-143 or 05-235...in fact doesn't have
> any mention of the FCC at all. Neither have I had any
> response to a query to the FCC on whether or not the Comment
> period has "officially" started.
>
> We will have to assume that it has started since, on the
> 13th day of opening of an ECFS slot (for 05-235), there are
> almost 700 Comments!
>
>>6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>>retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>>comment process, any prospect
>>of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>>The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>>have been rehashing) have no chance of winning out since they failed in
>>98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>
> Well, we didn't have the Homeland Security angle in '98. :-)
> [that Department didn't exist then...]
>
>>7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>>retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>>going to happen either.
>>For two reasons:

>>(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>
> The process of rule making is abundantly clear to those who
> wish to see examples on the FCC website...or bother to look
> in volume 1 of the 5-volume printed version of Title 47
> C.F.R. One does NOT need to go to law school to understand
> 99+ percent of that. Hundreds of examples of the recent
> past in civil radio to observe.
>
> Too many have the false idea that "ham regs" are established
> as if done in a local club or membership group. Not so since
> the laws ARE laws, not "by-laws" and must follow legalities
> of much precedence, possible review by the courts later, and
> their effect on ALL citizens, not just the clubhouse folks.
> Amateur radio is really a very small part of the activity of
> the FCC...one indicator is that the "Amatuer" page from the
> Wireless Telecommunications Bureau page doesn't have any
> "headline" entries after 2002...obviously there were a lot
> of things happening after 2002 but 455 12th St SW folks just
> haven't bothered to update it.
>
>>(b) The majority of current comments are actually
>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total elimination of code
>> testing.
>
> On the 13th day of commenting on 05-235, whether "official"
> or not, the ratio of For:Against on the NPRM is hovering
> around 3:1 in favor of For as of about 1 PM Eastern time with
> 680 entries. That's an overall average of 52 comments a day.
>
> Note: The above doesn't take into account the "in-betweeners"
> who agree that "lower-class" licensees should not be code-
> tested but Extras or Extras and Generals should be code-tested.
> In-betweeners account for about 10 percent of total comments.
> Those in-betweeners could be taken into the For side or the
> Against side but a rather clear majority FOR the NPRM would
> still ensue, the ratio still ABOVE 2:1 in favor of FOR.
>
> Now it is predictable that someone will rationalize "the
> sampling is too small" or that "we must wait to see the
> 'true' trend" but that is still little more than spite on
> their part. Morse code testing is a HIGHLY polarized subject
> and there has been a two-decade growing opinion to get rid
> of it as a federal regulation. It should now be abundantly
> clear that the MAJORITY feels it should be eliminated.
>
> What is most strange to me is that there is so little
> mention of the Against side on establishing an "ex-officio"
> (i.e., not by federal regulation) radiotelegraphy award or
> certificate of merit by a NEW group to demonstrate the

> alleged efficacy of morsemanship skills. I can see only two
> commenters hinting at that. Now if morsemanship is so darn
> good, so superb, so attractive to all who try it, then it
> would be natural to assume at least one new group to spring
> into existance pushing for morsemanship. All that appears
> in the comments Against are the tired old cliches and
> morsemyths which have been seen by me for a half century.
> Those old warhorse maxims just haven't done the job to
> attract enough. Those who have made it through the federal
> tests rationalize that "it is still good" but they are just
> whistling in the graveyard...they are in the MINORITY now
> and they are (as they should be) very uncomfortable.
>
> dit rid
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Len, I think, although I am not 100% sure, that the ARRL already does do Morse proficiency certificates, or maybe I am mixed up and it is only the RSGB that does that?

I have noticed that a lot of the PCTA don't actually operate CW (present company excepted). There are a lot of people who take the view that they had to do it, so others should have to. Naturally, that group aren't much interested in CW certificates, 'cos they probably wouldn't be able to get one!

## 627. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:10:33 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989693@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115077

In article <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com says...
>
> Anonymous wrote:
> > In article
> > <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > right, Marky?
> > >
> > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> >
> > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
>
> Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."
>
> > Care to venture you've never
> > seen a wine list?
>
> Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
> you have referred to?
>
>

I was curious and found:

http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html

There is no indication that they make any "yiddish" wine

## 628. stevie confuses the tenses of english

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:15:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123344910.603786.265410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115078

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> > > > > > for a putz like you..?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it
> > > >
> > > > Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...
> > > >
> > > > On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
> > > > that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...
> > >
> > > sure are if I were closer
> >

> If I wanted to do you violence, Markie, it wouldn't matter if you
> were across the street or across the country.

more bluster and with your pattern threatening behavoir as well

>
> > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> > >
> > showing what you were trying was blackmail
> >
> I never tried to "blackmail" you.

liar

>
> You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> "blackmail", Markie.

perhaps you should try it but then you lie even to yourself about what
you are doing so you can't understand
>
> > > If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
> > > the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!
> > >
> > I choose to balcane the risks verus not alowing a terroist such as
> > yourself to silence me
> >
cuting speling cop

> > > The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
> > > from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.
> >
> > Not when you keep making threats
>
> I haven't made any threats.

"one shot one kill.. is a threat you made it so by the aove statement
you are a liar

>
> > you are a low level danger
>
> So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> and your "family".

no merely adknowing that you porven yourself a maker of idle threats,
just a school yard bully, moderately dangerous but I can handle that

that you take a state saying "you are a low level danger" and twist it
into So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
and your "family"." is truely amazing

but for the beifit of people you may bedazzled with your bullshit,
(truely bullshit is the politest term for what you do Stevie)

I took you for a major threat then, now I see you a minor bully

wether you ever intended harm to me or were just Bluffing doesn't
matter

you threatened

I took such measures then as I saw fit and i take such measures NOW as
I see , that my threat assess ment of you has changed not with standing

you nonsense that you had no intention of carring out your threats
does not alter the fact that ANY ressonable person may consider the
phrase "one shot, One kill, even in ILLIOPOLIS" a threat when directed
at a person living in that town

that I don't see you as much danger today and therefore It is safe
enough today to simply volley back at you does not alter the facts you
fraidten me and my family is action those years ago

you are a terrorist
>
pruning the thread back

# 629. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:20:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cLmdnf2FK9nZfmnfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 115079

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:5S2Je.1561$RZ2.813@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:K-udnbKQDIDOYm7fRVn-pA@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:NDBIe.925$Je.130@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>>> news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikq3nj0.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>>>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>>we stood.
>>>>
>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>>
>>> Maybe yes, maybe no. In this case, the majority (if one
>>> looks at the comments already filed) appear to be
>>> running better than 2:1 in support of the ending of
>>> all code testing. Sure looks like a majority to me.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>> I think the ones against the change are worn out. They know that the FCC
>> didn't listen before and don't believe the FCC will listen now. They are
>> ready to move on.
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, the FCC did listen to their comments via NPRM 98-143.
> The FCC then gave written reasons why their arguments for code
> testing were not sufficient to retain code testing when the
> R&O was issued from 98-143.
>

That they gave their arguments makes them no more valid and no less valid
than before. It is a difference in beliefs, no more and no less.

> You state..."they are ready tom move on." That's fine and
> we can therefore view those folks willing to move on

> as agreeable to the 05-235 changes proposed by virtue
> of their silence.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

No I am not agreeable to the change but I would rather spend time elmering people than composing a comment on it. To do the type of job that I would want to do is time consuming. Of course I could just comment that I am against it and let it go at that but I think that one should state why they think the change should not go through.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 630. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:22:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123345329.607102.220450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115080

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.05.16.00.38.865711@gmail.com...
> >
> > Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
> > tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
> >
> > http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
> >
> > As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
> > LIARS!"
> >
> > John
> >
>
break
> It obviously didn't work did it. Not only is the total number of hams far
> greater today than then but as a percent of the population we are more
> numerous than then. It simply goes to prove that anyone who wanted to be a
> ham could.

proves nothing the sort

the use of the word "proof" is this Newsgroup is shocking (and some
folks complain my use of words is bad i just mispell them rather than
male there meaning)

without consideing the population growth rates when certain changes
were made you can't tell where wed be IF say the FCC (and the US) had
screew the s25 and reducing testing to "---...---" and saying if you
can rudersatnd that means distress you have passed a code test (which
would have met the letter of the treaty) how many ham would swould
there today?

I don't know but a lot more I think, I can't prove it, or course but I
don't use the word "proof" so litely
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 631. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:25:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123345520.013726.104860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115081

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> Those "false mantras" are what the FCC and world woke up to, and believed...
>
> A course in logic should be given before one can use their amateur license!

Sorry John you are Wrong, even in jest, even the thought of a logic or
testing hams of the meaning of the word "proof" could be the final nail
in the coffin
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:11:41 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:%ZRIe.101$TC7.1328291@news.sisna.com...
> >> Dee:
> >>
> >> I think it more reflects the readily availability of manufactured
> >> equipment as compared to equip constructed by ones own hand.
> >>
> >> I also think it has to do with an economy which allows one to support such
> >> hobbies, and the abundant free time individuals have to devote to such
> >> hobbies.
> >>
> >> I also think it has to do with education and the masses do not find radio
> >> a "dark mystery" anymore, etc, etc...
> >>
> >> It has to do with a lot of things... one I don't even consider is a
> >> factor which has helped the popularity of ham radio is CW... indeed, it
> >> has served as a hindrance... only the degree which it has hindered is
> >> left to be argued, in my humble opinion--and shortly we are due to find
> >> out... indeed, without some sort of "shot in the arm" amateur radio would
> >> continue its' course and go the way of the dodo bird...
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >
> > There is no longer any need to keep repeating the false mantras that "ham
> > radio is dying" and "Morse code stops people from becoming hams" since the
> > FCC will be eliminating the code test.
> >

> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 632. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:27:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123345641.846690.266930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115082

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who
> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>
> Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!

th book are cooked in about the manner you describe (the recipe of the
cooking varies slightly accross the nations )and is then seasonaly
cooked on top of that
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:39:25 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:pan.2005.08.06.00.34.28.610290@gmail.com...
> >> Dee:
> >>
> >> We are possibly talking about 300,000 hams in the us, that is NOT a large
> >> number for a good hobby... compare that to millions of bicyclists,
> >> millions of fishermen, millions of bowlers, etc, etc... perhaps skydivers
> >> are near that number, 300,000, but only because many of us are too damn
> >> smart and won't jump out of a plane with a large sized piece of ripstop
> >> nylon attached to us!
> >>
> >
> > There are over 600,000 hams in the US.
> >
> >> How you quote such dismal numbers in amateur radio as if they/it are
> >> something to be proud of does nothing but amaze me! As I have pointed out
> >> before, there are MAGNITUDES more illegal aliens here than hams!
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >
> > In this day and age, any technical or semi-technical hobby is lucky to have
> > any members at all.

> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 633. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:39:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123346371.600581.154560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115083

K4YZ wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1123049641.127115.156130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> > >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > >
> > > However I am in favor of allowing access to the HF allocations
> > > without the benefit of a Morse Code examination with the restriction
> > > that non-Morse tested Amateurs not be allowed access to those parts of
> > > the spectrum wherein voice (wideband) modes are not permittted.
> > > Without the basic skills of being able to recognize whether or not they
> > > are potentially interfering with other communications, the non-Morse
> > > tested operator should be restricted to areas wherein they will have
> > > less likelyhood of causing such interference.
> >
> > Steve, I have to disagree with you on the concept that only Morse tested
> > operators be allowed to operate Morse.
>
> That's not what I said, Dee...

you don't the shoe on other feet
>
> I said that non-Morse tested licesees shouldn't be allowed to
> operate in areas where voice modes are not permitted.
>
> There is a difference.

and in that defference, you would (if the FCC was fool enough to listen
to you) laid the groundwork for you and others to whine" why don't
these new folks do the digital modes they promised"

>
> > If the FCC is going to drop the code
> > requirement (which seems certain now), the operators should be allowed the
> > privileges of the comparable classes of today. Besides you don't have to be
> > familiar with a mode to hear that someone is using the frequency and thus to
> > know that you should go find another.
>
> But you need to be able to be able to inquire as to the use of the
> frequency, etc. Just because I tune to 14.0xxMhz and not hear anything
> for a minute or so does NOT mean the frequency isn't being used.

right (where are the men in white suits?) Indeed I'd hope it is being used somewhere (but that might to too hopeful on my part) but it enough to make it a good bet that freq is not in use where this operator is working from, which is all that matters

If as I am sure happens the poor fellows keys up sending RTTY or PSK31 and steps on one he could not hear then that is of course too bad, but if he could not hear them he could not hear them, testing him for morse code first will not improve his hearing

>
> If they elect to go Code/Extra-NoCode/General, then we should
> consider some band plan allowances to give the NCG's a place to
> practice away from the skilled users. However that can be done on a
> "Gentleperson's Agreement" (notice the PC there...?!?!?!) , not a new
> "Novice" class license.

they will elect

delusional again stevie
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 634. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:42:22 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d5ef889f9e9baf0989694@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115084

```
In article <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989693@news.west.earthlink.net>,
kf2ti@arrl.net says...
> In article <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com says...
> >
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > >
> > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > >
> > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > >
> > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."
> > >
> > > Care to venture you've never
> > > seen a wine list?
> > >
> > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
> > you have referred to?
> > >
> > >
>
>
> I was curious and found:
>
> http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html
>
> There is no indication that they make any "yiddish" wine
>
```

OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a non vintage, non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all of 60 minutes

What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our wine before it's time...what time is it now??

Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish no and certainly not a "bum" wine

## 635. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:43:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123346638.778068.76350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115085

b.b. wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> >
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> b.b. wrote:
> > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
>
cut
> Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!

not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"

we laughed and he made me a friend
>
> > If Bill Cross had the FCC making a decision contrary to what
> > Stebie wants, Stebie would probably yell "Get down and gimme
> > ten!" at him. :-)
> >
> > Ve are all Putzes in da ghetto while Stebie is up on the roof
> > vid his fiddle, playing while his mind burns. Shalom!
> >
> > oye veh
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Whatta kook.

## 636. Re: Could You Pass This Test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 16:56:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123347391.397298.317150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115086

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1123207888.186244.222540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
hack
> > so to answer your title I doubt I could pass the test phoenetic test
> > you describe, unless it was realy slow, and certainly not with any test
> > anxeity
> >
> >
>
break
> I agree with you, but you won't get very far with Jim.

sadly I fear you are right, and Jim is the most reasonable of the
devoted Procoders

but the target (mine anyway YMMV) is more moderate lurker in the NG
anyway no matter who one is addressing directly

I do have some (dwidnling fast) hope that prehaps some of the procoders
can be presauded to accept the new folks without basshing em over the
head over how they are unworthy not having done....
>
> I especially liked the bit about using a code reader as a handicap
> accomodation for the Morse test! I am a VE and never thought of that! Can
> you imagine if we had tried to get that past the ARRL VEC - they would have
> flipped for sure, but I think it may well meet the rules.

I am certain as is a Lawyer I know, if I were not certain other means
would prevail (even thought hey have taken longer than I thought) I
would likely have tried the formal complaint under DoJ rules to find
out

>
> It's all academic now, or will be soon. The NPRM abolishes the code test,
> and the R&O will do the same. The pro-code test side have lost the war.
> Roll on VC Day (Victory over the Code Day, LOL!).

now or soon it is time to pick up the peices,

>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP

>
> (A 20wpm Extra who wasted years learning Morse code that I will probably
> never use)

# 637. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:03:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123347818.995953.215990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115087

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
hack

> > Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
> > comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
> > Hams are of the same opinion?
>
> Yes I do. Some 10 years ago the ARRL conducted a survey
> of asking about code retention and the results then were pretty
> close. With 10 years now passed, lots of new hams, many older hams
> now SK, the results today would, I believe, show a majority
> in favor of ending code testing.

the fact that the ARRL hasn't tired another poll now suggests they at
least are far from sure that Code testing is majority position ( of
course they could simply feel it is a done and not worth fighting)

>
> > *Is* it a representative sample?
>
> Is the vote for president of the USA a representative sample?
> Bottom line, you get a chance to comment and that's it. FCC
> rules, ultimatly are not determined by majority voting via the
> comment phase.
>
> > I agree with what you wrote. Resistance is now futile. Why should I waste
> > my time commenting?
>
> I'm not here to convince you to comment or
> not. Your choice, your opportuity to speak.

Indeed I supect that even at this late hour someone were to come up
with a realy good reason esp one the FCC had not heard they might
relent, at least awhile to consider it

>
> > You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your next effort?
>
> Can't speak for Fred and Carl, but my next effort...actually my
> continuing effort...is to support amateur radio as Local Gov't
> Liason (LGL) within the ARRL field org.

good luck to you
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

# 638. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:04:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HKadnV2CDskncGnfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 115088

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1123341381.869381.7680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123049641.127115.156130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Here's my submission to the FCC.
>> >
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >
>> > However I am in favor of allowing access to the HF allocations
>> > without the benefit of a Morse Code examination with the restriction
>> > that non-Morse tested Amateurs not be allowed access to those parts of
>> > the spectrum wherein voice (wideband) modes are not permittted.
>> > Without the basic skills of being able to recognize whether or not they
>> > are potentially interfering with other communications, the non-Morse
>> > tested operator should be restricted to areas wherein they will have
>> > less likelyhood of causing such interference.
>>
>> Steve, I have to disagree with you on the concept that only Morse tested
>> operators be allowed to operate Morse.
>
> That's not what I said, Dee...
>
> I said that non-Morse tested licesees shouldn't be allowed to
> operate in areas where voice modes are not permitted.
>
> There is a difference.
>

Yes I do see the difference. May I suggest clarifying that in your
comments. i.e. They can operate code in the areas they have voice
privileges but that there be an "exclusive" section for those who are code
tested.

>> If the FCC is going to drop the code
>> requirement (which seems certain now), the operators should be allowed
>> the
>> privileges of the comparable classes of today. Besides you don't have to
>> be
>> familiar with a mode to hear that someone is using the frequency and thus
>> to
>> know that you should go find another.

>
> But you need to be able to be able to inquire as to the use of the
> frequency, etc. Just because I tune to 14.0xxMhz and not hear anything
> for a minute or so does NOT mean the frequency isn't being used.
>

Technically we have the same problem today in some modes. If I want to operate on a "RTTY frequency" with some other mode (although I wouldn't do so), I cannot currently use RTTY to ask if the frequency is in use.

Besides, if they are going to try to operate code, they will have studied it some and it won't be a major issue although it will happen now and then. In addition, the way for them to get better is to have the chance to communicate with the experienced.

> If they elect to go Code/Extra-NoCode/General, then we should
> consider some band plan allowances to give the NCG's a place to
> practice away from the skilled users. However that can be done on a
> "Gentleperson's Agreement" (notice the PC there...?!?!?!) , not a new
> "Novice" class license.
>

I do NOT like the "Politically Correct" results of butchering the language. People are putting the em-PHA-sis on the wrong syl-LA-ble. If one studies the development of the English language, the term and suffix "man" originally simply meant human being. Females were "women" and males were "wermen". Instead of butchering the language perhaps we should resurrect the male prefix "wer-"?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 639. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:07:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aOCdneReXdn1c2nfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 115089

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:dd2mgd$4ab$1$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:dYqdnU72v5RgY27fRVn-ug@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>> Bill:
>>>
>>> Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say
>>> IF, you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a
>>> person to use code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine
>>> protocols...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>
>>>
>> Since most of the misbehaviour is on phone, they should not be allowed
>> to use phone protocols without a "phone certification."
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> If you had to be able to speak the Queen's English that would clean up 80m
> phone no end!

Even Polite American would do the trick.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 640. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:09:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123348187.218454.132460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115090

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> > > imagination.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
> > submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM
>
> It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
> Bruce/WA8ULX.
>
> That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
> volumes.

It is called "willing suspenion of Disbelief" stevie so wants to
believe that is the general sort of person that opposes code testing
and so he jumps at it

It is sad realy but the FCC will see right though it

## 641. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:13:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.17.13.18.828600@gmail.com>
Source record: 115094

Mike:

As usual, you got everything backwards... digital is not analog, end of story.

The modem on the mic just points out hams are too lazy, or two limited to even be able to kludge a simple digital project together, when the parts are just laying around. Hell, you have to use such stuff, real digital equip is few are far between and there are so few hams the call for such equip is almost non-existant, and that is sure not much motivation for manufacturers to build any!

Your arguments are lame, you are confused, you are just pissed that some real numbers are going to come to amateur radio. You know the old brass pounders are going to be setting out there chatting with the fewer and fewer of themselves which survive each and every new coming year, time is their enemy and the hope of progress...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 09:47:57 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
>> think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
>> massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>
> This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary
> importance to opinion.
>
> If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the
> database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying,
> that is the truth.
>
> If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to
> hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>
> If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>
> You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go along,
> so why do it?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

> BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
> got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
> slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>
> Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner as
> he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but along
> the same lines
> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way to
> HF digital soon. 8^)

## 642. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:13:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123348437.664749.130670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115091

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> >
> >>http://www.nocode.org/articles.html
> >>
> >>John
> >
> >
> > read that carefully and look at when I choose to return to the
> > newsgroup
> >
> > it might tell why I choose to return at the time I did just before FD
>
> You've been on an undercover assignment with NCI?

closer than I would have thought you'd come

but simply conspiring with my NoCoder Friends by taking acts in
furtherence of our common ends
>
> Dave K8MN

## 643. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:13:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.17.13.56.71482@gmail.com>
Source record: 115095

commander buzzard:

... yawn ...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:53:20 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>> VERY apparent when tuning the bands...
>
>
> Good grief!! So you can tell from listening to the bands how many hams
> there are. WOW!! Do you think all 600,000+ licensed operators are on at
> the same time? Do you even think all 600,000 are active on the bands?
> Which bands to you tune? Do you think you can hear all the stations that
> are on 40 meters? Do you think you can hear all the stations that are on
> 20, 15, 10 meters at any one time? Can you hear all the 2 meter, 440,
> and other UHF activity going on all over the country?
> Do you think?
>
> As of July 31, 2005
>
> Novice - 27,975 (-43.28%) (-21,354)
> Tech/+ - 317,655 (-5.02%) (-16,800)
> General - 136,435 (+20.81%) (+23,490)
> Advanced - 75,812 (-24.28%) (-24,236)
> Extra - 106,900 (+35.74%) (+28,150)
>
> Total All Classes - 664,040

# 644. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:16:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cvqdnRSMe-_ybWnfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 115092

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:dd2n5r$4ab$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
> news:1123267038.807019.203230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 5 Aug 2005 13:50
>>
>>
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>

[snip]

>
> Len, I think, although I am not 100% sure, that the ARRL already does do
> Morse proficiency certificates, or maybe I am mixed up and it is only the
> RSGB that does that?
>
> I have noticed that a lot of the PCTA don't actually operate CW (present
> company excepted). There are a lot of people who take the view that they
> had to do it, so others should have to. Naturally, that group aren't much
> interested in CW certificates, 'cos they probably wouldn't be able to get
> one!

Yes the ARRL does do Morse proficiency certificates. However they are sought only for personal satisfaction and have no connection to licensing. I have them for 10wpm and 15wpm. When I took my 5wpm and 13wpm license tests, I was dissatisfied with my copy. Even though I successfully managed to answer the required 7 out of 10 questions, I had nowhere near solid copy. So I undertook to earn the certificates simply to satisfy myself that I could get solid copy. Although my 20wpm license test also lacked solid copy, I wasn't as dissatisfied so did not seek the higher level certificates. However, now that I'm into CW contesting a bit, I may go for the higher ones as a goal to help me up my code speed.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 645. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:20:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.17.20.26.996578@gmail.com>
Source record: 115093

K4YZ:

You only need sit back and see what the Chicken Banders will want when
they get here. I think their numbers will rather quickly put ancient hams
in the back seat...

I expect them to provide a whole different course to the direction of
amateur radio, no one here will probably be able to guess how that is
going to develop...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 08:16:21 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123049641.127115.156130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Here's my submission to the FCC.
>> >
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >
>> > However I am in favor of allowing access to the HF allocations
>> > without the benefit of a Morse Code examination with the restriction
>> > that non-Morse tested Amateurs not be allowed access to those parts of
>> > the spectrum wherein voice (wideband) modes are not permittted.
>> > Without the basic skills of being able to recognize whether or not they
>> > are potentially interfering with other communications, the non-Morse
>> > tested operator should be restricted to areas wherein they will have
>> > less likelyhood of causing such interference.
>>
>> Steve, I have to disagree with you on the concept that only Morse tested
>> operators be allowed to operate Morse.
>
> That's not what I said, Dee...
>
> I said that non-Morse tested licesees shouldn't be allowed to
> operate in areas where voice modes are not permitted.
>
> There is a difference.
>
>> If the FCC is going to drop the code
>> requirement (which seems certain now), the operators should be allowed the
>> privileges of the comparable classes of today. Besides you don't have to be

>> familiar with a mode to hear that someone is using the frequency and thus to
>> know that you should go find another.
>
> But you need to be able to be able to inquire as to the use of the
> frequency, etc. Just because I tune to 14.0xxMhz and not hear anything
> for a minute or so does NOT mean the frequency isn't being used.
>
> If they elect to go Code/Extra-NoCode/General, then we should
> consider some band plan allowances to give the NCG's a place to
> practice away from the skilled users. However that can be done on a
> "Gentleperson's Agreement" (notice the PC there...?!?!?!) , not a new
> "Novice" class license.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 646. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:22:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <X-qdnYrYNp59bGnfRVn-1w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115096

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Bill:
>>>>
>>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to
>>>>use
>>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>>
>>>
>>>Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>>>certify ability to use digital modes?
>>>
>>>How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>>>in voice mode?
>>
>>How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to ever
>>build anything?
>
>
> Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
> ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
> responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
> transmit.

however, we can greatly simplify the testing process and regulatory
burden by not allowing hams to build anything. I believe that there are
examples of this already in some countries.

>
>>>Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>>>for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>>>case now and has always been the case.
>>
>>A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
>>regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
>

>
> Not so. Part 97 gives reasons

Cannot Part 97 be changed to simplify the process and ease the burden
and eliminate the barriers?

> AND, the international treaty
> still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
> mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge
> of radio, etc.

There are ways around that. I believe that many countries, including
ours have worked their way around the rules.

I believe all it would take is issuance of a booklet, and a signed
affidavit that the prospective ham has read and understood it. This
would be akin to the old Morse sending test elimination.

>
>>*Why* should there be any testing?
>
>
> If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file
> your comments with the FCC accordingly.

I do not, Bill. I personally think there isn't enough testing now,
Morse code issue aside. I think I'm on record as being accepting of
elimination of Element one if there is a corresponding increase in the
testing requirements. If not, I am now.

I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
available to people who feel otherwise.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 647. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:26:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.17.26.34.671338@gmail.com>
Source record: 115097

Frankly, I would NOT be too worried about hams building anything, first they will have to know how... it may sound good, but as a early teen it took me many books and a few failed attempts to get those first complex circuits going... by the time they can build it and it works, they will darn sure be able to answer any necessary questions on how they did it!

Get real, teenagers would still be building this stuff today, if amateur radio had stayed current, but they lost 'em decades ago with the protectionist philosophy a few control freaks and spin doctors were able to hoist over on the unwitting...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 13:13:28 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>>
>>>>Bill:
>>>>
>>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to
>>>>use
>>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>>
>>>
>>> Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>>> certify ability to use digital modes?
>>>
>>> How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>>> in voice mode?
>>
>> How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to ever
>> build anything?
>
> Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
> ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
> responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
> transmit.
>
>>> Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required

>>> for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>>> case now and has always been the case.
>>
>> A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
>> regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
>
> Not so. Part 97 gives reasons AND, the international treaty
> still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
> mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge
> of radio, etc.
>
>> *Why* should there be any testing?
>
> If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file
> your comments with the FCC accordingly.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

# 648. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:28:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.17.28.23.972412@gmail.com>
Source record: 115099

Kelly:

I mean like a bunch of over-reactors too many are running around
half-cocked. The future will have to see the cb'ers get here, have them
voice their thoughts and needs and we see where this all starts going...

John

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 20:52:43 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> Radio needs to fit the people, we need not change the people to fit the
>> radio...
>>
>> I know in the world today, we have gotten darn near everything backwards,
>
> Ya mean like WT Docket 05-235 "John"?
>
>> someday perhaps sane men will change the world to fit the people, rather
>> than always, hopelessly . . .
>
> w3rv

# 649. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:29:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xNmdnRXa3urpbmnfRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115098

Leo wrote:
> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 22:56:55 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>
>>"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>>news:1hn7f1l6rr55cesbmvut64jbc0dfkc6kl6@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 03:19:36 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
>>><billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>news:1123188613.340304.54980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>>texasredleg@gmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>Y'know, the only reason that we Canadians were able to keep Morse
>>>testing around (as an option) was because our regulatory authority
>>>agreed that it would be valuable for the purpose of reciprocity
>>>agreements with countries that have decided (or will!) to keep Code
>>>testing as a mandatory requirement for their Amateur licensees.
>>>
>>>It's a valid point - without a Morse-qualified licence, one may not be
>>>permitted to operate HF in a foreign country that requires Morse for
>>>access should one choose to travel there.
>>
>>Yet that has not become an issue for any country yet. Indeed,
>>by the nature of agreements, it has not been an issue with CEPT
>>reciprocation even before WRC-2003 deleted morse as a requirement
>>for HF licensing.
>
>
> Perhaps not - but the possibility of interfering with existing
> reciprocity agrements was taken into account in their decision - a
> quote from the Gazette Notice (the equivalent to the FCC R&O)
> follows:
>
>
>
> Notice No. DGRB-003-05 ï¿½ Revisions to Amateur Radio Operator
> Requirements Relating to Morse code

>
>
>>portion removed
>
>
> Assessment of the RAC Proposal and Consultation
>
> Prior to analyzing the elements of the RAC proposal, the Department
> first assessed the validity of the following three factors presented
> by the RAC as fundamental arguments:
>
> There must be an awareness of the impact of this action (i.e.
> elimination of the Morse code requirements) upon existing reciprocal
> agreements and other arrangements which permit Canadian radio amateurs
> to operate in other countries and foreign radio amateurs to operate in
> Canada.
>
>
> The Morse code examination must continue to be available in Canada for
> the benefit of radio amateurs who may require such a qualification for
> operation in another country, and for those who wish to acquire skill
> in the use of Morse code.

I always thought Canadians were pretty smart folk! Then again, I
believe that they don't believe in faith based regulation.

> Operation in the HF bands requires special knowledge and skills not
> necessary for most operations in the bands above 30 MHz.

Kinda. If we can make Ham radio similar to CB, that is channelized
operation, (which will help with digital voice too) prohibitions on
homebrewing equipment, requirements for commercially built equipment
from antenna to rig, operations on HF need no special qualifications.

> This
> difference should be reflected in the examination arrangements.
> Industry Canada has accepted the validity of these three factors, and
> consequently, they were taken as the basis from which the specific
> recommendations were assessed.
>
> .....etc
>
>
>
> The first two facctors listed are what I referre to in my original
> post.
>
>
>>>Reciprocity has always been
>>>an important part of the worldwide Amateur community.....therefore, we
>>>would have lost something tangible that we already had should this

>>>scenario have played out!
>>>
>>>It sure makes a non-emotional, fact-based arguement - which worked
>>>quite well up here. I wonder, if enough people presented this
>>>reasoning to the FCC in their comments, if they might be willing to
>>>buy in to it?
>>>
>>>Might be worth a try....?
>>
>>But as of today, and I'll defer to you to provide an example,
>>I am unaware of the issue being raised in any request
>>by any ham for reciprocal licensing.
>
>
> Neither am I - however, the Canadian government was concerned that it
> may become an issue in future - concerned enough that Morse testing
> remains as an option here today!

It is the law of untended consequences. Reciprocal licenses are an
issue. Probably isn't important to a lot of Hams, but that doesn't mean
it isn't important.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 650. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:42:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qJWdnfBDwaria2nfRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114950

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>>>we stood.
>>>>>
>>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>>
>>>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>>
>>>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>>>beltway-style actually works.
>>>>
>>>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>>>w3rv
>>>
>>>
>>>Bottom line here...
>>>
>>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).

>>>
>>>2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>>>rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>>>in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>>>pro-code test argument....
>>
>>They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.
>>
>>
>>>3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
>>>was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>>>test.
>>>
>>>4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>>>any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>>>almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>>>to do so.
>>>
>>>5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>>>for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>>>The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>>>discussion of the proposed change.
>>>
>>>6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>>>retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>>>comment process, any prospect
>>>of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>>>The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>>>have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>>>out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>>>
>>>7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>>>retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>>>going to happen either.
>>>For two reasons:
>>>(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>>>(b) The majority of current comments are actually
>>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
>>> elimination of code testing.
>>
>>Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
>>comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
>>Hams are of the same opinion?
>
>
> Yes I do. Some 10 years ago the ARRL conducted a survey
> of asking about code retention and the results then were pretty
> close. With 10 years now passed, lots of new hams, many older hams
> now SK, the results today would, I believe, show a majority
> in favor of ending code testing.

I'm surprised, Bill. If a scientifically structured poll was made, I would likely accept the results, whether I agreed with those results or not. The comments in this case are largely useless as for any thing representing the majority of amateurs.

You have a good thesis in your last paragraph, but that is your thesis, which you are willing to validate by an amazingly imprecise poll.

>
>>*Is* it a representative sample?
>
>
> Is the vote for president of the USA a representative sample?

When I go to the polls, I know that my vote is counted (barring shennagins!) and if I vote for the winner, my candidate wins, if I vote for the loser, my candidate doesn't.

posting any comment on this issue has no effect on the outcome. I know that, you know that, and I choose not to comment for that reason.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 651. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 17:43:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qJWdnfNDwapQa2nfRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114951

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>
>
>>>Whoa Bill, slow down before you pop something, take a deep breath and
>>>relax. It's only ham radio and it's only USENET.
>>>
>>>I fully agree, the code test game was over years ago.
>>
>> Be gracious Brian. They need to beat their chests a bit!
>
>
> . . . if I was any more "gracious" I'd have to commit Hari-kari to get
> there . .

The group in closed session right now to decide if that would be
acceptable or not.... ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 652. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 18:40:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123353658.637690.155660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114952

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations have
> all decided to keep CW.

and you WANT the US to keep such company
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
hack

# 653. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 18:53:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZG7Je.2112$Wi6.1693@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114953

N9OGL wrote:
>>Some fucking moron said
>>Asking Todd to read the letter and thereby expecting him to understand it
>>are two, separate issues.
>>Comprehension seems to be not be not be viable insofar as Todd is concerned.
>
>
>
> No what you and your little buddies on here can't understand is that I
> not running a pirate radio station.

There are numerous archived quotes in which you say you were running a pirate radio station and a pirate TV station. The recent story in the ARRL Letter deals with an individual whose license was set aside for *previous* unlicensed activity.

Now, *little buddy*, see if you can see any similarity between that story and your own tales of pirate activity.

Dave K8MN

# 654. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 19:03:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EP7Je.2116$Wi6.1609@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114954

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
>>
>> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
>>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
>>Army career!
>>
>> Sheesh!
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> Yawn.

I'm with you, "bb". That was my reaction to reading Leonard's comments.

Dave K8MN

# 655. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 19:11:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BX7Je.2118$Wi6.1060@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114955

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> If you had to be able to speak the Queen's English that would clean up 80m
> phone no end!

There's not much danger of that becoming a requirement on *75m* phone.
Your lot lost a key war and thus began the downhill slide of an empire.
Some of its citizens even had to come here to make a living amongst
the former colonials. ;-)

Dave K8MN

## 656. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 19:19:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <R28Je.2119$Wi6.986@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114956

```
an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles.html
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>>
>>>read that carefully and look at when I choose to return to the
>>>newsgroup
>>>
>>>it might tell why I choose to return at the time I did just before FD
>>
>>You've been on an undercover assignment with NCI?
>
>
> closer than I would have thought you'd come
>
> but simply conspiring with my NoCoder Friends by taking acts in
> furtherence of our common ends

I've often suspected that some of you had common ends.

Dave K8MN
```

# 657. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 19:47:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123357654.761383.321500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114957

And like I said davy, There is a lot more to my deal and YOU ALL know,
So if you think you've got something go for it, and what the shit
fly!!!!

Todd N9OGL

## 658. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:07:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123358835.502017.326380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114958

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >

> > closer than I would have thought you'd come
> >
> > but simply conspiring with my NoCoder Friends by taking acts in
> > furtherence of our common ends
>
> I've often suspected that some of you had common ends.

only suspecting gee you are the fool the act like
>
> Dave K8MN

## 659. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:12:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123359141.524867.240130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114959

SORRY CORRECTION WATCH THE SHIT FLY!!!!!!!!! IF YOU ALL CAN TALK THE
TALK AND THAT'S ALL YOU CAN DO....TALK!!!

TODD N9OGL

# 660. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:15:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123359357.117170.257750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114960

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!

As of the end of the week in DC on 5 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 744
In-Between/Dupes 81*
Against Elimination 182
For Elimination 481

* In-betweeners are those "following" the ARRL plan to scrap code
testing for all but Extras, therefore with feetses in both camps.
In that group also are duplicate Comments or those commenting on
matters other than addressed in the NPRM. Those include one that
is obviously a "joke" entry.

Note: The DATE RECEIVED block on the ECFS Search Listings is
running on Eastern time zone and the calendar date for all
Comments is set accordingly.

To date, the average definite-opinion NCTA:PCTA ratio is 481:182
or 2.6:1 ratio. This excludes all 81 "in-betweener" Comments.

5 August 2005 is the 13th day of submitted commentaries. A notice
about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 13 days of Comments
will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and
Order. Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being
made, like it or not.

dit out
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 661. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:19:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123359552.798044.158070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114961

From: "Dee Flint" on Fri 5 Aug 2005 20:07

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know where
>>>>we stood.
>>>
>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>> Maybe yes, maybe no. In this case, the majority (if one
>> looks at the comments already filed) appear to be
>> running better than 2:1 in support of the ending of
>> all code testing. Sure looks like a majority to me.
>
> I think the ones against the change are worn out. They know that the FCC
>didn't listen before and don't believe the FCC will listen now. They are
>ready to move on.

Tsk, tsk, the FCC did listen to the majority in 1990 and again
in 1998. One big problem with the Elite is that they don't
understand THEY are the MINORITY.

"Moving on?" [a fairly good one-hour TV show years ago...]

You have a choice: Move ON and go with the majority flow to
the future or keep on recreating the past, a past before your
life existance began. Your choice.

Better yet, "adapt or die." Remember what happened to the
dinosaurs...

din din
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 662. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:22:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123359734.056141.313700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114962

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat 6 Aug 2005 16:06

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 5 Aug 2005 13:50
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>> On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>> What is most strange to me is that there is so little
>> mention of the Against side on establishing an "ex-officio"
>> (i.e., not by federal regulation) radiotelegraphy award or
>> certificate of merit by a NEW group to demonstrate the
>> alleged efficacy of morsemanship skills. I can see only two
>> commenters hinting at that. Now if morsemanship is so darn
>> good, so superb, so attractive to all who try it, then it
>> would be natural to assume at least one new group to spring
>> into existance pushing for morsemanship. All that appears
>> in the comments Against are the tired old cliches and
>> morsemyths which have been seen by me for a half century.
>> Those old warhorse maxims just haven't done the job to
>> attract enough. Those who have made it through the federal
>> tests rationalize that "it is still good" but they are just
>> whistling in the graveyard...they are in the MINORITY now
>> and they are (as they should be) very uncomfortable.
>>
>> dit rid
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org

>Len, I think, although I am not 100% sure, that the ARRL already does do
>Morse proficiency certificates, or maybe I am mixed up and it is only the
>RSGB that does that?

The League does or did, but that is irrelevant since the ARRL was
first organized in 1914. The year 1914 cannot possibly be
considered "new" by anyone, save for our resident Worshipper of
the Past, the redoubtable Nun of the Above. [the Radio Club of
America, still an organization, was formed 5 years prior in 1909]

I haven't noted what year the Radio Society of Great Britain was
formed other than the RSGB publishing EXCELLENT handbooks plus
having some excellent commentary by Pat Hawker in his very long-
running column in their membership magazine. In the past three
decades there has been MORE actual pioneering in radio by the
radio amateurs in the UK and Europe than in North America. Two
specific cases: The polyphase audio quadrature network permitting
generation/decoding of SSB without high-tolerance R-C components;

The innovation of Peter Martinez' (G3PLX) PSK31 and its trials in
the UK and Yurp well before that mode was published in the USA.

>I have noticed that a lot of the PCTA don't actually operate CW (present
>company excepted).

Actually, that would be irrelevant. WT Docket 05-235 affects ONLY
those who wish to ENTER U.S. amateur radio through licensing OR
those who want to "upgrade" to a "higher" class U.S. amateur radio
license. The ONLY effect on those U.S. radio amateurs ALREADY
licensed as amateur extras is EMOTIONAL.

The FCC is NOT chartered to act as an emotional sustenance
provider for whining crybabies who want to be Elitists in the
OLD way of doing the HOBBY of amateur radio.

> There are a lot of people who take the view that they
>had to do it, so others should have to. Naturally, that group aren't much
>interested in CW certificates, 'cos they probably wouldn't be able to get
>one!

No, no, Alun...these Mighty Macho Morsemen WILL absolutely pass
each and every morse code test ever devised/done/contemplated
and lots of them have implied that in here! :-)

"...look upon my works, ye mighty, and despair!" - Tennyson

fax you
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 663. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:24:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123359848.656848.57270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114963

From: b.b. on Aug 5, 4:12 pm

>John Smith wrote:

>> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>
>> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>
>> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>
>When Hans proposed that in the brave new world of a No-Code HF License,
>that one should have to take a Morse Code Test to use CW, Jim/N2EY said
>that a Morse Code Test would be a -barrier- to Morse Code use. Hi!
>It's always been a barrier.

True for many, Brian...and unworthy of keeping in federal
radio regulations about amateur radio.

Also true is that Jimmie has never refuted that quotation. It
may be that he has come to believe reality? Well, that may be
a bit too much to hope for...

too for
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 664. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:27:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123360029.418766.229800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114964

From: "b.b." on Sat 6 Aug 2005 08:03

>K4YZ wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
>> >
>> > And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
>> > his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
>> > Army career!
>> >
>> > Sheesh!
>>
>> Oooops...forgot to mention, The last footnote in Lennie's
>> comments:
>>
>> "Any change in the license examination regulations will primarily
>> affect license applicants. That does not directly affect those already
>> licensed and have already passed any test given under older
>> regulations...despite the displayed spite in a few Comments who had
>> worked hard to pass the morse code tests and are disturbed that
>> applicants in the future might not have to do the same. The Commission
>> is in no way responsible to hold thigs in perpetuity or to insure
>> feeding of emotional sustanance to those already licensed.
>
>Steve, you might want to end a direct quote with quotation marks so
>that we can separate Len's comments from your rantings.

Stebie likes to plagiarize...and try to get away with it...:-)

But, in truth, he only STARTED the quote with quote marks...but
FAILED to end with it.

>In any event, Len is correct.

Quite.

>> Of course his comments were laced with the usual amount of
>> "mythology", "tribal" and other insulting inferences that we have
>> become used to here.
>
>Mythology??? Did he mention seven hostile actions?

Stebie IMPLIED his "participation" in those "seven hostile
actions" yet did NOT GET SPECIFIC as to date or location of
HIS actual participation.

The "morse myths" are the stuff of legend and abound among
the really Olde-Tymers who repeat the stories and tales of
the Older Olde-Tymers and their Mighty Morse Machines. :-)

>> The biggest lie being his suggestion on page 1 that he was a
>> potential Amateur Radio licensee.
>
>Or being "A" NCOIC of a MARS station in Okinawa.
>
>Did he say that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" ?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. ANYONE is a POTENTIAL AMATEUR licensee. I've
been a COMMERCIAL licensee since 1956...and IN the HF comms
activity before AND after that year...AND working IN the
electronics industry of the southern California Aerospace
"community" from 1956 onwards...AND as a hobbyist in radio
and electronics since 1947 (non-transmitting electronics
and radio do NOT need any license).

As for ACTUAL, 24/7 HF communications, in the military, I
have that documented at:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/

in three separate papers, each with illustrations from military
or personal sources.

The point in those was to show what Big Time HF Communications
WERE back a half century ago. There was NO manual or automatic
morse code mode employed in those big 24/7 communications networks
of the United States military way back then. None has been used
since for the vast majority of communications that have taken
place.

>> Too bad there's not a method for filing charges of perjury on
>> filings.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>You could always start proceedings to impeach Len. Do you still have
>the dress?

My attorney and I will see him in civil court. The Superior Court
judges need the entertainment! :-)

bar non
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 665. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:29:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123360162.715027.238780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114965

From: "Dee Flint" on Fri 5 Aug 2005 18:16

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>
>> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>
>> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
>> LIARS!"
>
>It obviously didn't work did it. Not only is the total number of hams far
>greater today than then but as a percent of the population we are more
>numerous than then.

UNTRUE, Dee. The peak in license numbers happened a few
days longer than TWO YEARS AGO. The number of total U.S.
radio amateur licenses are now over 6 thousand LESS than
they were two years ago.

> It simply goes to prove that anyone who wanted to be a
>ham could.

Yes, Dee, and you too can become a concert violinist, an
artist-illustrator, a champion athlete, an intellectual
somebody IF YOU WORK REALLY REALLY HARD AT IT...plus one
tiny things: A physical aptitude ability to do that.

bee bop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 666. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:31:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123360308.890848.29770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114966

From: N...@AOL.COM on Aug 5, 3:06 am

>an old friend wrote:
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> > > > > > What you folks are describing is just a form of RTTY using Morse Code
>> > > > > > as the encoding method, rather than ASCII or Baudot or some other scheme.

Tsk, tsk, no discrimination between Hardware and Wetware MODEMS?
:-)

>It's pretty clear you won't believe them.

It should be clear to you that lots of US don't believe YOU!

>I also support written tests. There are folks I know who have
>repeatedly flunked the written tests - something about "math
>trouble" - "never been good with numbers and rote memorization"

WT Docket is >>NOT<< about the written test elements.

Please try to stay on track...

>ADA is about rights. A ham radio license is a privilege.

"ADA" is the callsign assigned to the Headquarters,
United States Army, Pacific (USARPAC). That callsign
has a proud heritage dating from 1946.

>Have you ever asked the VEs for any accomodations?

Are VEs hoteliers? Innkeepers?

>If someone buys a rig and sets up an antenna, does that give them the
>right to demand a license?

If a tree falls on a florist, will he make a bouquet?

>So? Doesn't change the fact that a tool that is with someone always is
>the most useful.

Is morse code for sale at Sears? Under the Craftsman label?

>I am supporting Morse Code testing. Also written testing. It's not
>about you personally.

WT Docket is >>NOT<< about the written test elements.

Please try to stay on track...

>"A tool that is with someone always is the most useful."
>
>Doesn't fit.

Have you thought of getting a tool that FITS into today's
radio world? No? Got the Time Machine fixed finally?

>The point is that you still have to learn stuff you'll probably never
>use just to pass the written test.

WT Docket is >>NOT<< about the written test elements.

Please try to stay on track...

>Often what you write here is very unclear or even incomprehensible.

No problem. Often what YOUR ideas are in here is unclear, OLD,
and incomprehensible to those of us living in REALITY.

old dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 667. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:34:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123360468.676331.286280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114967

From: kelly@dvol.com on Sat 6 Aug 2005 08:27

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> > Whoa Bill, slow down before you pop something, take a deep breath and
>> > relax. It's only ham radio and it's only USENET.
>> >
>> > I fully agree, the code test game was over years ago.
>>
>> Be gracious Brian. They need to beat their chests a bit!
>
>. . . if I was any more "gracious" I'd have to commit Hari-kari to get
>there . .

Be our guest...!

bye bye
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 668. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:51:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mp9Je.2146$Wi6.1394@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114968

N9OGL wrote:
> And like I said davy, There is a lot more to my deal and YOU ALL know,
> So if you think you've got something go for it, and what the shit
> fly!!!!

Great "Toddie". There's plenty of information that we already know from Google and various web sites. It is all in your very own words--all the brags and boasts along with all of your kindly words toward the FCC.

You can be sure that, when the stuff does start flying, you'll be standing directly in front of the impellers. You just don't know when to quit.

Dave K8MN

## 669. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:52:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vq9Je.2147$Wi6.1650@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114969

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
>
>>>closer than I would have thought you'd come
>>>
>>>but simply conspiring with my NoCoder Friends by taking acts in
>>>furtherence of our common ends
>>
>>I've often suspected that some of you had common ends.
>
>
> only suspecting gee you are the fool the act like

That's total gibberish, Mark.

Dave K8MN

## 670. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 20:54:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gs9Je.2148$Wi6.1769@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114970

N9OGL wrote:
> SORRY CORRECTION WATCH THE SHIT FLY!!!!!!!!! IF YOU ALL CAN TALK THE
> TALK AND THAT'S ALL YOU CAN DO....TALK!!!

It seems to me that you tried similar bravado some time back. It cost
you an ISP. Keep it up, Todd and you'll have to write letters to r.r.a.p.

Dave K8MN

# 671. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:12:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123362752.148860.10520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114971

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > SORRY CORRECTION WATCH THE SHIT FLY!!!!!!!!! IF YOU ALL CAN TALK THE
> > TALK AND THAT'S ALL YOU CAN DO....TALK!!!
>
> It seems to me that you tried similar bravado some time back. It cost
> you an ISP. Keep it up, Todd and you'll have to write letters to r.r.a.p.
>
> Dave K8MN

Well Dave if I didn't have to but up with you and your little
boyfriends bullying on here, things would probably be a little better.
Hey go whine to the FCC all I have to tell you and them is good luck in
the contest. As for my ISP hey do it and everyone on here and the
Internet will know what a total jerk you really are.

## 672. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:13:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123362835.581554.214910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114972

...or perhaps we should contact earthlink and tell them of your
bullying bahavior.

## 673. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:18:58 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6P9Je.4180$ns.570@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114973

> 
> Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
> 
No worse than advertising.

## 674. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:20:40 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114974

```
Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> In article <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989693@news.west.earthlink.net>,
> kf2ti@arrl.net says...
> > In article <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > >
> > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > >
> > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > >
> > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."
> > >
> > > > Care to venture you've never
> > > > seen a wine list?
> > >
> > > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
> > > you have referred to?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > I was curious and found:
> >
> > http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html
> >
> > There is no indication that they make any "yiddish" wine
> >
```

>
> OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a non vintage,
> non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all of 60 minutes
>
> What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our wine before it's
> time...what time is it now??
>
> Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> no and certainly not a "bum" wine

I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.

## 675. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:24:09 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123363448.966161.168160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114975

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Aug 5, 4:12 pm
>
> >John Smith wrote:
>
> >> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
> >> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
> >>
> >> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
> >>
> >> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
> >>
> >When Hans proposed that in the brave new world of a No-Code HF License,
> >that one should have to take a Morse Code Test to use CW, Jim/N2EY said
> >that a Morse Code Test would be a -barrier- to Morse Code use. Hi!
> >It's always been a barrier.
>
> True for many, Brian...and unworthy of keeping in federal
> radio regulations about amateur radio.
>
> Also true is that Jimmie has never refuted that quotation. It
> may be that he has come to believe reality? Well, that may be
> a bit too much to hope for...
>
> too for
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If code testing is good for people who just want to use voice modes, it
must be doubly good for those who want to use CW.

CW gets through when everything else does.

# 676. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:32:23 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <H%9Je.1824$Je.981@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114976

John Smith wrote:

> Dee:
>
> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who
> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...

The IRS knows to better accuracy the amount of people
earning W2 income. If they wanted to and allowed to,
they could say that X% of people who paid taxes before
are now unemployed, some out of work for 2 years or more.
And how many people are earning less income than last
year.

Pretty soon I'll be paying income tax again. IE, found
a job commensurate with my education/skills. I start
in 2 weeks. Well, I guess President Bush made good
on his promise to cut my taxes, I paid zero last year.
Though I'd like to have some income....

>

Ham radio still has another major obstacle than the
code. Antenna restrictions. CCRs and such.

# 677. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:33:18 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123363998.855334.92920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114977

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> >>
> >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> >>Army career!
> >>
> >> Sheesh!
> >>
> >>Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > Yawn.
>
> I'm with you, "bb".

But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

> That was my reaction to reading Leonard's comments.
>
> Dave K8MN

The part Steve tried to quote looked good to me, too.

## 678. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:40:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123364453.513536.288480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114978

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >
> >
> >>>closer than I would have thought you'd come
> >>>
> >>>but simply conspiring with my NoCoder Friends by taking acts in
> >>>furtherence of our common ends
> >>
> >>I've often suspected that some of you had common ends.
> >
> >
> > only suspecting gee you are the fool the act like
>
break
> That's total gibberish, Mark.

the pronouncement of a fool that is only NOW SUSPECTING that Nocoders
are working together for there common ends
>
> Dave K8MN

## 679. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:43:42 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <iaaJe.1830$Je.349@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114979

>>
>> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>> VERY apparent when tuning the bands...

I doubt that it's any more inflated today than it was decades
before.
>
>
>
> Good grief!! So you can tell from listening to the bands how many hams
> there are. WOW!! Do you think all 600,000+ licensed operators are on at
> the same time?

Only on the frequency I'm trying to snag that rare DX on.. :-)

But to a first order approximation the ratio of people
actually transmitting right now to the total number of
hams would be essentially constant.

# 680. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:45:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123364748.971144.327120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114980

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> > >
> > realy tlaking to yourself today ole boy
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > > > his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > > > Army career!
> > >
> > of course he does a bio is part of establish ones bono fides
> >
> No, it doesn't.

sure does
>
> Not a one of the other comments I read are so obviously
> grandstanding.

again you are not empowered as a style cop

>
> > > > Sheesh!
> > > >
> > > Oooops...forgot to mention, The last footnote in Lennie's
> > > comments:
> > > >
> > > "Any change in the license examination regulations will primarily
> > > affect license applicants. That does not directly affect those already
> > > licensed and have already passed any test given under older
> > > regulations...despite the displayed spite in a few Comments who had
> > > worked hard to pass the morse code tests and are disturbed that
> > > applicants in the future might not have to do the same. The Commission
> > > is in no way responsible to hold thigs in perpetuity or to insure
> > > feeding of emotional sustanance to those already licensed.
> > > >
> > > Of course his comments were laced with the usual amount of
> > > "mythology", "tribal" and other insulting inferences that we have
> > > become used to here.
> > > >
> > > The biggest lie being his suggestion on page 1 that he was a

> > > potential Amateur Radio licensee.
> > >
> > > Too bad there's not a method for filing charges of perjury on
> > > filings.
> >
> > more libel stevie and more stupidity on your part
>
> What libel?

accusing someone of perjury is libel

>
> I quoted Lennie's comments word-for-word, Markie...Go read them
> yourself.
>
> Furthermore, Lennie has, on numerous occassions in this forum,
> stated that he has no intention of getting an Amateur Radio license,
> yet he says just the opposite to the FCC in his opening comments.

not as I recall the only way you say that is take his statements of
context, which is nothing new for you

>
> So one way or the other he lied. Again.

only in your twisted mind
>
> So again I ask you..."what libel"...?!?!

accsuing someone of perjury in a document not even under oath is libel
stevie

Even if he is lying he can't comit perjury, he has to be under oath for
that

so you remarks are false on the face of them, and therefore you have no
defense against a chrage of libel for them
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 681. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:48:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123364881.727307.128460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114981

```
b.b. wrote:
> Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > In article <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989693@news.west.earthlink.net>,
> > kf2ti@arrl.net says...
> > > In article <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > > >
> > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > > > >
> > > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."
> > > > >
> > > > Care to venture you've never
> > > > seen a wine list?
> > > >
> > > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
> > > you have referred to?
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > I was curious and found:
> > >
> > http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html
> > >
> > There is no indication that they make any "yiddish" wine
```

> > >
> >
> > OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a non vintage,
> > non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all of 60 minutes
> >
> > What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our wine before it's
> > time...what time is it now??
> >
> > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
>
> I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.

you sure this Steven isn't just a fake front for Stevie? sure looks
like Stevie's still

nah I must be wrong no ranting Liar can't be the stevie we know and
loath

## 682. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:50:16 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <sgaJe.1831$Je.312@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114982

> the use of the word "proof" is this Newsgroup is shocking

Don't know about this newsgroup, but in math class "proofs"
were things that claimed to verify that some assertion was
in fact true. I never really figured out how proofs actually
worked or why I should care. As proofs never showed on tests.
All the "proof" I ever needed was that some process applied
to a test problem would yield a "correct" answer and I'd
get a decent grade.

# 683. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:50:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123365052.836122.108880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114983

Mike Coslo wrote:

>
> I'm firmly convinced that many of the people that think of Element 1 as
> the "great barrier" will be dismayed when they find out that there are
> other barriers to Amateur radio.

"I tried but I'm just not able to learn the code." I heard it in the 1950's and I still hear it today. The global-standard copout which probably goes back to 1912.

> Putting together a station is probably
> harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a
> *good* station is definitely so.

Agreed. The upcoming wave of nocode Extras and Generals will have to face and resolve the same age-old problems us 20wpm OFs have faced for decades when it comes to put up or shut up time as it relates to actually operating in the HF bands. Like being able to spend the money it takes to acquire decent HF equipment, having the ingenuity and knowledge needed to home-brew decent antennas for constricted spaces for instance, ditto solving QRN and RFI problems, etc. Those have always been far bigger HF show-stoppers than the code tests ever were and will continue to be so.

Those who want to get on HF badly enough will by one means or another. Those who can't be bothered with learning the code, spending the money, doing the learning and the physical work required to get on HF won't get on HF. In some huge percentage of cases those in this category are the same bunch who have been eagerly waiting for the code test "barrier" to completely disappear so that they can upgrade to HF tickets.

I expect that after the smoke and flames die down there won't be any noticeable differences in the HF bands between now and 2010 as result of eliminating the code tests. Which is where the rubber actually hits the road.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

## 684. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 21:55:43 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d5f4145e157d927989695@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114984

In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com says...
>
> Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > In article <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989693@news.west.earthlink.net>,
> > kf2ti@arrl.net says...
> > > In article <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > > >
> > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Care to venture you've never
> > > > > > seen a wine list?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
> > > > > > you have referred to?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I was curious and found:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html

> > >
> > > There is no indication that they make any "yiddish" wine
> > >
> >
> > OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a non vintage,
> > non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all of 60 minutes
> >
> > What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our wine before it's
> > time...what time is it now??
> >
> > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
>
> I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
>
>
I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian, mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the discussion you are having with the other people.

How that can be construed as a rescue attempt should be left for those that can actually see the writing between the lines

Again, if the attribute should be to Steve, my apologizes. I thought I had read them correctly.

The fact remains, there is no such thing as Yiddish wine. I have heard my grandmother, may she rest in peace, whine in yiddish though :-)

Have a topiary weekend

## 685. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 22:03:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123365817.321566.279390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114985

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 5 Aug 2005 09:36

>John Smith wrote:
>> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>
>> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>
>> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>>
>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.

Jimmie! That's called "the Sin of Omission!" Ain't "lying."

The ARRL does that a LOT.

Of course, to you, the ARRL always tells the "truth" and all others
are "liars." [or, at least, a "form" of liars...]

Tsk, you've gone over to the Dark Side wherein Stebie dwells.

>Here's the whole story:
>
>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.

...and, to you, the ARRL "tells the only truth!" :-)

>(have you done so?)
>
>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.

True, the ARRL "history" omits a LOT of facts. According to
you, omitting facts is equivalent to LYING! Tsk, tsk.

>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important to
>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham radio
>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated efforts
>of a few enthusiasts.

"Hiram Goes To Washington, Saves Ham Radio!" :-)

Hello? You've heard of the Radio Club of America? Formed in
1909 and still active.

Ever hear of Thomas H. White? He's got a very detailed lengthy
website on the History of Radio in the United States. Covers
Everything in radio.

>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until 1927. The
>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.

Did that disturb you at the time or were you indifferent to
your design of state-of-the-art ham radios?

There's more Real History of "treaty" matters at the ITU
(International Telecommunications Union) website. A UN body,
the ITU superseded the CCITT for international communications.

>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham receiver
>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed, but few
>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.

Tell us all about the Great Depression, Jimmie. You were THERE,
right? Your Dad was unemployed during the Depression, right?

>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>typewriters for highspeed copy).

You are familiar with "almost all radio services?" Tell us about
"Type C Carrier" that was the first modulation source of the first
SSB transmission contents, such as from Hilversum to the Netherlands
Antilles.

>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham radio. The
>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935 there were
>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per year.

Tsk. You forgot a "minor" item...The Communications Act of 1934
and the newest U.S. radio regulating agency, the FCC. You can
read about that on the FCC's own webpage along with some historical
letters (some from FDR) concerning who should control what in
interstate and international communications (which includes radio).

Of course, if you wanted to read a LOT more on the Real History
of Electronics, you could hustle on down to a technical library
and peruse McGraw-Hill's ELECTRONICS magazine anniversary issue
of April 17, 1980.

In that ELECTRONICS issue you'll find a few facts such as the
public's identification with radio growing by leaps and bounds
now that AM broadcasting had become widespread. "Hams" really did
have OTHER forms of information input other than the ARRL...

>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little technical
>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>threatened the existence of ham radio.

Wow, sounds like Hams of the 20s and 30s were just like CBers!

>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough to pass
>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of proper
>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of these
>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of their
>knowledge and skills became apparent.

Wow, sounds like the Hams of the 20s and 30s were now Just Like
the lowly underclass Technicians!!

> The newly formed FCC was concerned, as was the ARRL.

The FCC was "concerned?" Really? Big "fans" of Hams, were they?

Formed in 1934, the FCC was still getting its act together in those
early thirties and so was the rest of the federal government.
There's
LOTS MORE politics involved with ALL forms of communications at the
time, not JUST the simplistic involvement of radio amateurs.

>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the code
>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
>the NCI article.

Does WT Docket 05-235 concern itself with WRITTEN TESTS? NO!

>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>and knowledge.

Crap! The ARRL was then LED by the morsemen and, through its profit
in publishing amateur-related periodicals and handbooks could afford
legal representation in DC to swing government decisions its way.
T.O.M. survived into the thirties and prior to that, as a virtual
president-for-life, had gathered around him fellow morsemen to
spread the "CW" word.

"CW" technology was simpler than AM and the publisher's editors
could understand the simpler stuff. The "advanced technology"
information out of Newington at the time was very basic stuff.
Ready-built amateur radio products weren't much
better...regenerative
receivers like the National Radio "Thrillbox!" (what a name!) and,
what few AM ham rigs existed used the brute-force audio power
amplifier to swing the final amp's plate supply. Wow! "high tech!"
The superheterodyne receiver was invented in 1918 and the Phase
Locked Loop in 1932...

>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
>sense.

Looking at the COMPLETE PICTURE will only make a mockery of what the ARRL chooses to tell hams. COMPLETE, Jimmie, not the spoon-fed pap interspersed with self-congratulatory League praise of itself. Things have CHANGED now, Jimmie, and there's lots of free Real History available for everyone on the Internet. One very good place to start is:

http://earlyradiohistory.us

by Thomas H. White, a huge collection of information, many scans of documents covering early radio history from 1897 to 1926. It is not colored or spin-doctored as some membership organization's "histories" are for self-promotion purposes.

The History page of the FCC's own website has more. [FCC is not a membership organization]

job non
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 686. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 22:06:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123365964.675909.310720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114986

From: John Smith on Sat 6 Aug 2005 10:13

>Mike:
>
>As usual, you got everything backwards... digital is not analog, end of
>story.

Easy do, John, the Coslonaut is "reaching for the edge of space!"

>The modem on the mic just points out hams are too lazy, or two limited to
>even be able to kludge a simple digital project together, when the parts
>are just laying around. Hell, you have to use such stuff, real digital
>equip is few are far between and there are so few hams the call for such
>equip is almost non-existant, and that is sure not much motivation for
>manufacturers to build any!

Witness the comments on a previous thread about the "Sienna,"
a new HF transceiver built around a PC-on-a-card. It is not
"real radio" to some of these MMMs so they decry it. However,
this small DZ outfit chose to remove its first kit from its
product line called the "PSKUBE." That one was essentially a
PC with built-in LCD screen display and detachable keyboard
designed expressly to work with PSK31 or any other common
TTY format...full HF receiver and QRP (sorta) transmitter.
Apparently the demand for the PSKUBE was so low that it would
not have been profitable for DZ to continue marketing it.

>Your arguments are lame, you are confused, you are just pissed that some
>real numbers are going to come to amateur radio. You know the old brass
>pounders are going to be setting out there chatting with the fewer and
>fewer of themselves which survive each and every new coming year, time is
>their enemy and the hope of progress...

Coslo seems to have but one aim: Winning points in message
exchanges. Doesn't matter what the subject matter is, he
will swing either way to win a round. <shrug>

win non
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 687. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 22:18:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123366696.742292.54850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114987

k...@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> >
> > I'm firmly convinced that many of the people that think of Element 1 as
> > the "great barrier" will be dismayed when they find out that there are
> > other barriers to Amateur radio.
>
> "I tried but I'm just not able to learn the code." I heard it in the
> 1950's and I still hear it today. The global-standard copout which
> probably goes back to 1912.

ah yes accusing everyone that disagrees of laziness we have been
hearing that a long time too

In 1912 there were reason you needed to be able to USE morse code,
today there is no NEED to USE it at all and yte we test for for it
awhile longer

>
> > Putting together a station is probably
> > harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a
> > *good* station is definitely so.
>
> Agreed. The upcoming wave of nocode Extras and Generals will have to
> face and resolve the same age-old problems us 20wpm OFs have faced for
> decades when it comes to put up or shut up time as it relates to
> actually operating in the HF bands. Like being able to spend the money
> it takes to acquire decent HF equipment, having the ingenuity and

already got a decent rig, and one since it has VHF and UHF abilities I
have been the air for years with

> knowledge needed to home-brew decent antennas for constricted spaces

I don't need to to worry about constricted spaces I at least own 58
acress I don't think that even 160m will be a problem

> for instance, ditto solving QRN and RFI problems, etc. Those have
> always been far bigger HF show-stoppers than the code tests ever were
> and will continue to be so.

and you make this statement why?

it seems to have no point
>
> Those who want to get on HF badly enough will by one means or another.

> Those who can't be bothered with learning the code, <cut>

not much longer

spending the money,
> doing the learning and the physical work required to get on HF won't

learnign how to operate hf before geting on it a weird and impossible
idea

> get on HF. In some huge percentage of cases those in this category are
> the same bunch who have been eagerly waiting for the code test
> "barrier" to completely disappear so that they can upgrade to HF
> tickets.

I can operate (physicaly not legaly) Now, indeed my station has
operated on HF during a phone failure and power failure (some idoit and
back hoe as I understand) my station Operated using the fact I make
most of my own power already took someone coming over and "Blessing me"
to do so
>
> I expect that after the smoke and flames die down there won't be any
> noticeable differences in the HF bands between now and 2010 as result
> of eliminating the code tests. Which is where the rubber actually hits
> the road.

why 2010?
given that there hearable deference in those band between now and 2000
(numbers down) just staying the same will be not a bad peice of work
>
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv

## 688. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 22:36:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.22.36.55.83002@gmail.com>
Source record: 114993

b.b.:

Trust me, the internet gets through when CW will not...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 14:24:09 -0700, b.b. wrote:

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: b.b. on Aug 5, 4:12 pm
>>
>> >John Smith wrote:
>>
>> >> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> >> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>> >
>> >> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>> >
>> >> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>> >
>> >When Hans proposed that in the brave new world of a No-Code HF License,
>> >that one should have to take a Morse Code Test to use CW, Jim/N2EY said
>> >that a Morse Code Test would be a -barrier- to Morse Code use. Hi!
>> >It's always been a barrier.
>>
>> True for many, Brian...and unworthy of keeping in federal
>> radio regulations about amateur radio.
>>
>> Also true is that Jimmie has never refuted that quotation. It
>> may be that he has come to believe reality? Well, that may be
>> a bit too much to hope for...
>>
>> too for
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> If code testing is good for people who just want to use voice modes, it
> must be doubly good for those who want to use CW.
>
> CW gets through when everything else does.

## 689. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 22:41:15 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <c0b351ae9bbad90b767e7f05064adc6c@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 114988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <hairy.woger.womeo@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
blathered and fucks up again:

> "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I
Cor. 11:14

Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
followers in the Bible all have long hair?

But why would *you* quote the Bible, Saggytits, you sure don't
follow the teachings, you hypocrite.

BTW, Saggytits, did you get that much needed Rogaine for your
receding hairline (your'e almost competely bald) yet?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! Maybe those black helicopters will deliver
you some. BWHAHAHAHAHA..oh, that's right, they're too busy
watching anonymous remailers. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

## 690. Re: FCC proposal = CB'ers with ham licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 23:07:46 UTC
From: Bandit <Bandit_barefoot@banditradio.com>
Message-ID: <42F542C0.C54AF36A@banditradio.com>
Source record: 114989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

We all don't need no stinking fcc ticket to use our linears.

Nothin will change, morse rules.

Fred wrote:

> On 2005-08-01 18:44:02 -0400, Roger Beep
> <breaker.breaker@channel.nineteen> said:
>
> > Ten-four?
> >
> > Yah, that's a big ten-four.
> >
> > All my channel 19 good-buddy CB friends are going to be so happy.
>
> Get a life you asshat
>
> --
> PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.

## 691. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 23:26:09 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <lGbJe.4243$ns.1972@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114990

> 
> Steve, I have to disagree with you on the concept that only Morse tested
> operators be allowed to operate Morse.

Actually, the converse exists today. There are Morse code only
segments of 6m and 2m, and no code techs could operate
there (using Morse code). So why not for CW segments of HF?

# 692. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 23:32:09 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ZLbJe.4246$ns.2351@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114991

John Smith wrote:

> K4YZ:
>
> You only need sit back and see what the Chicken Banders will want when
> they get here. I think their numbers will rather quickly put ancient hams
> in the back seat...
>

Those chicken banders still have to take the written tests.
I doubt that many are prepared to pass.

As for the newbies making dumb mistakes, well, newbies
will make mistakes. Most will learn. Everyone was a
newbie once...

# 693. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 23:33:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <c9OdnUgK899N1WjfRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114992

an old friend wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>> I'm firmly convinced that many of the people that think of Element 1 as
>>>the "great barrier" will be dismayed when they find out that there are
>>>other barriers to Amateur radio.
>>
>>"I tried but I'm just not able to learn the code." I heard it in the
>>1950's and I still hear it today. The global-standard copout which
>>probably goes back to 1912.
>
>
> ah yes accusing everyone that disagrees of laziness we have been
> hearing that a long time too
>
> In 1912 there were reason you needed to be able to USE morse code,
> today there is no NEED to USE it at all and yte we test for for it
> awhile longer

I can't find any real reason why there is any need for testing for
anything in Amateur radio any more.

That doesn't mean that I don't support testing. It means that Hams and
our regulatory bodies have to get together and form a consensus on just
what we *want* Amateur radio to be. Do we want it to be a body of people
with some form of technical knowledge? So be it. We can do that But we
can indeed turn the Amateur bands into something else indeed. We can
channelize them, we can eliminate experimentation, we can reduce maximum
power levels, and we can ensure that only type accepted equipment is
used. At that point, we can eliminate testing altogether.

>
>>>Putting together a station is probably
>>>harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a
>>>>good* station is definitely so.
>>
>>Agreed. The upcoming wave of nocode Extras and Generals will have to
>>face and resolve the same age-old problems us 20wpm OFs have faced for
>>decades when it comes to put up or shut up time as it relates to
>>actually operating in the HF bands. Like being able to spend the money
>>it takes to acquire decent HF equipment, having the ingenuity and
>

>
> already got a decent rig, and one since it has VHF and UHF abilities I
> have been the air for years with

Think about other people.

>
>>knowledge needed to home-brew decent antennas for constricted spaces
>
>
> I don't need to to worry about constricted spaces I at least own 58
> acress I don't think that even 160m will be a problem

Does everyone? Think big-picture. Our own personal circumstances are
not everyones.

>
>
>>for instance, ditto solving QRN and RFI problems, etc. Those have
>>always been far bigger HF show-stoppers than the code tests ever were
>>and will continue to be so.
>
>
> and you make this statement why?
>
> it seems to have no point

The point is that of the barriers to HF use, Morse code use is not the
biggest.

>>Those who want to get on HF badly enough will by one means or another.
>>Those who can't be bothered with learning the code, <cut>
>
>
> not much longer
>
>
> spending the money,
>
>>doing the learning and the physical work required to get on HF won't
>
>
> learnign how to operate hf before geting on it a weird and impossible
> idea

Perhaps people should drive automobiles for a couple years before
getting their licenses?

I learned how to operate on HF by being Elmered by other hams. There
still are things to learn before getting on HF successfully and safely.

Of course, that could change if we change amateur radio into what some
people apparently desire.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 694. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 23:50:17 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 114994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:41:15 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:
: In article <hairy.woger.womeo@houston-hunks.com>
: Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
: blathered and fucks up again:
:
: > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I
: Cor. 11:14
:
: Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
: faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
: followers in the Bible all have long hair?

Those are derived from artists depictions from the middle ages.
During that epoch, long hair like yours was common, and it was natural
for the artists to paint Jesus to look like themselves.

In actuality, it is highly probable that Jesus had short hair. Even
the History Channel has put on programming which points that out.
Your own lack of scholarship is clearly evident in the comments quoted
above.

Paul (or Saul) lived during Jesus' time and was intimately familiar
with the customs of the day. Long hair like yours was regarded as
effeminate by most male Roman citizens (Saul was a Roman citizen), and
hence the quote from one of Saul's letters to the Corinthians.

If Saul were alive today, he would probably say "If Woger posts his
photo on Yahoo, it is a shame unto him."

And he would be right.

==========
"The good Christian should beware of mathematicians and all those who
make empty prophecies. The danger already exists that mathematicians
have made a covenant with the devil to darken the spirit and confine
man in the bonds of Hell."
-- St. Augustine

## 695. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 23:55:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.06.23.55.31.191210@gmail.com>
Source record: 115005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jesus came to establish the rules for the "new testament", many of the old rules were suspended... if Jesus had walked around with short hair, such as became the style this century, he would have had stood out like a sore thumb...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 23:50:17 +0000, Stagger Lee wrote:

> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:41:15 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:
> : In article <hairy.woger.womeo@houston-hunks.com>
> : Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
> : blathered and fucks up again:
> :
> : > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I
> : Cor. 11:14
> :
> : Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
> : faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
> : followers in the Bible all have long hair?
>
> Those are derived from artists depictions from the middle ages.
> During that epoch, long hair like yours was common, and it was natural
> for the artists to paint Jesus to look like themselves.
>
> In actuality, it is highly probable that Jesus had short hair. Even
> the History Channel has put on programming which points that out.
> Your own lack of scholarship is clearly evident in the comments quoted
> above.
>
> Paul (or Saul) lived during Jesus' time and was intimately familiar
> with the customs of the day. Long hair like yours was regarded as
> effeminate by most male Roman citizens (Saul was a Roman citizen), and
> hence the quote from one of Saul's letters to the Corinthians.
>
> If Saul were alive today, he would probably say "If Woger posts his
> photo on Yahoo, it is a shame unto him."
>
> And he would be right.
>
>
>
> ====================
> "The good Christian should beware of mathematicians and all those who

> make empty prophecies. The danger already exists that mathematicians
> have made a covenant with the devil to darken the spirit and confine
> man in the bonds of Hell."
> -- St. Augustine

## 696. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-06 23:58:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n8cJe.2223$Wi6.335@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114995

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>closer than I would have thought you'd come
>>>>>
>>>>but simply conspiring with my NoCoder Friends by taking acts in
>>>>furtherence of our common ends
>>>>
>>>>I've often suspected that some of you had common ends.
>>>
>>>
>>>only suspecting gee you are the fool the act like
>>
> break
>
>>That's total gibberish, Mark.
>
>
> the pronouncement of a fool that is only NOW SUSPECTING that Nocoders
> are working together for there common ends

Those aren't the common ends I was describing, Mark.

Dave K8MN

# 697. Re: FCC proposal = CB'ers with ham licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 00:26:08 UTC
From: Mr Fed UP <mrfedup@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qxcJe.19098$jq.15300@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 114996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Wooooo Hooooo I likes a good thread....
opinions a lot like azzzholes everyone's got one. wheeeeee

10 4 over rojor dojor we gots us a konvoy

"Bandit" <Bandit_barefoot@banditradio.com> wrote in message
news:42F542C0.C54AF36A@banditradio.com...
> We all don't need no stinking fcc ticket to use our linears.
>
> Nothin will change, morse rules.
>
> Fred wrote:
>
>> On 2005-08-01 18:44:02 -0400, Roger Beep
>> <breaker.breaker@channel.nineteen> said:
>>
>> > Ten-four?
>> >
>> > Yah, that's a big ten-four.
>> >
>> > All my channel 19 good-buddy CB friends are going to be so happy.
>>
>> Get a life you asshat
>>
>> --
>> PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.
>

# 698. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 00:32:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NEcJe.2256$RS.1226@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114997

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Anyone with a computer can grab the statistics off the FCC and arrl sites,
> who is left which trusts them, if you, don't include me.

I trust them and you are excluded. I know much about the FCC and the
ARRL. I don't know a thing about you, nor would I trust you.

> No, I was born at different time, when you got real data, real numbers,
> real people to stand behind it, I understand the youngsters might be
> confused by all this, but all us old timers have a real past when
> everything was different. We have a bit more "history" to go by....

If you told the truth in stating your age, I'm about a year older. So
you weren't born at a differeent time. I'm still getting real data and
real numbers. I have no reason to doubt the numbers. Each radio
amateur has a published name and address. If you'd like to randomly
sample for accuracy, start writing to a number of them.

> I guess you can just chuck me into the "conspiracy nuts" group...

I'd already done so.

> I don't
> trust the figure, politicians, and news anymore...

That probably accounts for the pseudonym.

> if I have to
> appoligize for it, so be it... but don't consider it a half-felt one...

A man should never have to apologize for being a conspiracy nut. It
marks him as a rugged individualist.

> Frankly, I like progress, don't much care for liars and "spin doctors."

If you don't pay attention to figures, politicians and the news, how do
you know we're making progress?

Dave K8MN

> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 03:34:26 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dee:

>>>
>>>I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>>>VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>>>that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>>>USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who
>>>have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>>>eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>>>
>>>Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>>
>>>John
>>
>>You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>>realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
>>think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
>>massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>>
>>Dave Heil
>
>

## 699. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 00:40:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bMcJe.2238$Wi6.1117@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114998

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> Nope, never have seen all the bandwidths in as much use as back during the
> 70's and early 80's, did you have a ticket back then? My gawd, those
> oldtimers have forgotten what a real "pileup" means! Congestion worse
> than imagined in my worst nightmare!

It's a nice story. It is a pity that t'ain't true. I've run pileups spanning five to seven KHz for as long as six hours at a time, working 200-300 CW QSOs per hour. That happened as recently as 2000. DXing and contesting aren't games about twenty and thirty years ago, they're about what you've done lately. Contest scores have gotten bigger. The top scorers work more stations than were worked two or three decades ago. There are domestic scores now which top the world high scores of that many years ago.

Dave K8MN

## 700. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 00:45:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2RcJe.2240$Wi6.2175@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114999

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do
>> you think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up
>> some massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>
>
> This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary
> importance to opinion.
>
> If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the
> database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying,
> that is the truth.
>
> If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to
> hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>
> If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>
> You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go
> along, so why do it?

It is tough to beat an anonymous man with invisible sources for
invisible facts.

> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
> got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
> slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.

HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
propagation phenomena.

> Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
> as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
> along the same lines
> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
> to HF digital soon. 8^)

Dave K8MN

# 701. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 00:51:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.00.51.31.489642@gmail.com>
Source record: 115010

Dave:

From your text and exchanges here, I'd venture to say you are below
average, most likely a C student though high school and no degree, but
possibly an AA at some community college...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:32:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Anyone with a computer can grab the statistics off the FCC and arrl sites,
>> who is left which trusts them, if you, don't include me.
>
> I trust them and you are excluded. I know much about the FCC and the
> ARRL. I don't know a thing about you, nor would I trust you.
>
>> No, I was born at different time, when you got real data, real numbers,
>> real people to stand behind it, I understand the youngsters might be
>> confused by all this, but all us old timers have a real past when
>> everything was different. We have a bit more "history" to go by....
>
> If you told the truth in stating your age, I'm about a year older. So
> you weren't born at a differeent time. I'm still getting real data and
> real numbers. I have no reason to doubt the numbers. Each radio
> amateur has a published name and address. If you'd like to randomly
> sample for accuracy, start writing to a number of them.
>
>> I guess you can just chuck me into the "conspiracy nuts" group...
>
> I'd already done so.
>
>> I don't
>> trust the figure, politicians, and news anymore...
>
> That probably accounts for the pseudonym.
>
>
>> if I have to
>> appoligize for it, so be it... but don't consider it a half-felt one...
>
> A man should never have to apologize for being a conspiracy nut. It
> marks him as a rugged individualist.

>
>> Frankly, I like progress, don't much care for liars and "spin doctors."
>
> If you don't pay attention to figures, politicians and the news, how do
> you know we're making progress?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 03:34:26 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dee:
>>>>
>>>>I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>>>>VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>>>>that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>>>>USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who
>>>>have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>>>>eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>>>>
>>>>Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>>You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>>>realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
>>>think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
>>>massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>>>
>>>Dave Heil
>>
>>

# 702. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 00:53:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.00.53.38.626765@gmail.com>
Source record: 115012

Dave:

A useful figure here would be the percentage of hams who contest... Dee
seems to think all 600,000 (and yes, I don't think that figure is even
close to correct) do...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:40:39 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> Nope, never have seen all the bandwidths in as much use as back during the
>> 70's and early 80's, did you have a ticket back then? My gawd, those
>> oldtimers have forgotten what a real "pileup" means! Congestion worse
>> than imagined in my worst nightmare!
>
> It's a nice story. It is a pity that t'ain't true. I've run pileups
> spanning five to seven KHz for as long as six hours at a time, working
> 200-300 CW QSOs per hour. That happened as recently as 2000. DXing and
> contesting aren't games about twenty and thirty years ago, they're
> about what you've done lately. Contest scores have gotten bigger. The
> top scorers work more stations than were worked two or three decades ago.
> There are domestic scores now which top the world high scores of that
> many years ago.
>
> Dave K8MN

## 703. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 00:58:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.00.58.02.544875@gmail.com>
Source record: 115006

Dave:

Maybe I am mistaken!!! They aren't counting dead hams by any chance, are
they? (and, they most CERTAINLY ARE!)

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:45:50 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>>> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do
>>> you think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up
>>> some massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>>
>>
>> This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary
>> importance to opinion.
>>
>> If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the
>> database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying,
>> that is the truth.
>>
>> If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to
>> hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>>
>> If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>>
>> You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go
>> along, so why do it?
>
> It is tough to beat an anonymous man with invisible sources for
> invisible facts.
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
>> got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
>> slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>
> HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
> is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
> propagation phenomena.

>
>> Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>> as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>> along the same lines
>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>> to HF digital soon. 8^)
>
> Dave K8MN

## 704. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:04:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123376653.764601.59920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115000

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > Steve, I have to disagree with you on the concept that only Morse tested
> > operators be allowed to operate Morse.
>
> Actually, the converse exists today. There are Morse code only
> segments of 6m and 2m, and no code techs could operate
> there (using Morse code). So why not for CW segments of HF?

because that is not how the process of making regs is suposed to work.
to make a reg you need, in theory, a why, not a why not

## 705. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:04:52 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123376692.884858.67130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115001

John Smith wrote:
> b.b.:
>
> Trust me, the internet gets through when CW will not...
>
> John
>
> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 14:24:09 -0700, b.b. wrote:
>
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: b.b. on Aug 5, 4:12 pm
> >>
> >> >John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >> >> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
> >> >> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
> >> >
> >> >> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
> >> >
> >> >> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
> >> >
> >> >When Hans proposed that in the brave new world of a No-Code HF License,
> >> >that one should have to take a Morse Code Test to use CW, Jim/N2EY said
> >> >that a Morse Code Test would be a -barrier- to Morse Code use. Hi!
> >> >It's always been a barrier.
> >>
> >> True for many, Brian...and unworthy of keeping in federal
> >> radio regulations about amateur radio.
> >>
> >> Also true is that Jimmie has never refuted that quotation. It
> >> may be that he has come to believe reality? Well, that may be
> >> a bit too much to hope for...
> >>
> >> too for
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > If code testing is good for people who just want to use voice modes, it
> > must be doubly good for those who want to use CW.
> >
> > CW gets through when everything else does.

But, but, but...

You'll get told that the internet is as unreliable as cellular
telephones.

# 706. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:07:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123376854.973456.47000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115002

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
> >> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do
> >> you think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up
> >> some massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
> >
> >
> > This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary
> > importance to opinion.
> >
> > If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the
> > database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying,
> > that is the truth.
> >
> > If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to
> > hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
> >
> > If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
> >
> > You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go
> > along, so why do it?
>
> It is tough to beat an anonymous man with invisible sources for
> invisible facts.
>
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
> > got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
> > slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>

> HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
> is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
> propagation phenomena.

really? gee it falls into the same catagory as when it was said that we
ham had been banished to "useless frequencies" everything above 200M

when was that Jim
>
> > Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner

> > as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
> > along the same lines
> > Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
> > to HF digital soon. 8^)
>
> Dave K8MN

# 707. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:11:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.01.11.50.101776@gmail.com>
Source record: 115008

Dave:

Try to keep in the forefront of your mind that everyday which goes by sees another of the 60+ year olds dominating HF, suffer a stroke, succumb to alzheimers, suffer massive a heart attack, etc, etc... indeed, a quick scan and monitor of the bands discloses much, too much chit-chat on the medical element which is plaguing them...

The next five years are GOING to be devastating on this groups numbers...

You only need logic to read those tea leaves...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:32:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Anyone with a computer can grab the statistics off the FCC and arrl sites,
>> who is left which trusts them, if you, don't include me.
>
> I trust them and you are excluded. I know much about the FCC and the
> ARRL. I don't know a thing about you, nor would I trust you.
>
>> No, I was born at different time, when you got real data, real numbers,
>> real people to stand behind it, I understand the youngsters might be
>> confused by all this, but all us old timers have a real past when
>> everything was different. We have a bit more "history" to go by....
>
> If you told the truth in stating your age, I'm about a year older. So
> you weren't born at a differeent time. I'm still getting real data and
> real numbers. I have no reason to doubt the numbers. Each radio
> amateur has a published name and address. If you'd like to randomly
> sample for accuracy, start writing to a number of them.
>
>> I guess you can just chuck me into the "conspiracy nuts" group...
>
> I'd already done so.
>
>> I don't
>> trust the figure, politicians, and news anymore...
>
> That probably accounts for the pseudonym.
>
>

>> if I have to
>> appoligize for it, so be it... but don't consider it a half-felt one...
>
> A man should never have to apologize for being a conspiracy nut. It
> marks him as a rugged individualist.
>
>> Frankly, I like progress, don't much care for liars and "spin doctors."
>
> If you don't pay attention to figures, politicians and the news, how do
> you know we're making progress?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 03:34:26 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dee:
>>>>
>>>>I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>>>>VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>>>>that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>>>>USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those who
>>>>have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>>>>eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>>>>
>>>>Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>>You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>>>realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
>>>think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
>>>massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>>>
>>>Dave Heil
>>
>>

# 708. Re: Learn the rules Steve (was Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:18:22 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123377502.862058.76260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115003

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 4, 1:55 pm
>
>
> >Steve,
> >
> >The comment period isn't OPEN yet (the release of the NPRM by the FCC
> >doesn't "start the clock," it's the publication in the Federal Register,
> >which has not yet happened.
>
> Heyo Carl! Welcome again.
>
> Sunnuvagun you are RIGHT! I checked the FR at the GPO site
> and there is nothing there yet from 15 July (the NPRM release
> date) through 4 August!
>
> Amazing...two weeks gone on this NPRM and it hasn't started yet!
>
> Even worse, at least a dozen ham-interest websites have made it
> a cause celebre, front-page headline thing (which it is)...and
> lots of folks are now urging Comments to be sent in! Everyone
> from Nancy Kott at FISTS on out... :-)

That's impossible. FISTS is non-political, and all things Morse Code
related are PURE! So that's impossible. Completely impossible.

> >Thus, technically speaking, while the docket is open in the ECFS, comments
> >filed now are "premature," so I would suggest you consider refraining from
> >"dis-ing" people over something where they are behaving in a completely
> >appropriate manner.
>
> Stebie don't need no steenking rules! :-)

Bet Steve got "steenking Badges!"

> See 25 Jan 99 on 98-143, 10 days AFTER the supposed window close
> on the Restructuring NPRM. Stebie was in there dissing me. :-)
> He hasn't stopped since.

Same Stuff, Different Millenium.

## 709. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:23:53 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123377833.860604.299530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115004

an old friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > In article <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989693@news.west.earthlink.net>,
> > > kf2ti@arrl.net says...
> > > > In article <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > > > >
> > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > > <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Care to venture you've never
> > > > > > > > seen a wine list?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
> > > > > > > > you have referred to?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I was curious and found:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html
> > > > > > >

> > > > There is no indication that they make any "yiddish" wine
> > > >
> > >
> > > OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a non vintage,
> > > non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all of 60 minutes
> > >
> > > What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our wine before it's
> > > time...what time is it now??
> > >
> > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> >
> > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
>
> you sure this Steven isn't just a fake front for Stevie? sure looks
> like Stevie's still
>
> nah I must be wrong no ranting Liar can't be the stevie we know and
> loath

Steve Adell is no Steven J. Robeson, though they may both be
connoisseurs of cheap, kosher wine.

"Anonymous" appears to be Robeson's feeble attempts at anonymity.

## 710. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:25:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.01.25.21.404405@gmail.com>
Source record: 115007

b.b.:

These guys and gals are telling a lot of people a lot of stuff, the
important thing is how many are deceived into believing...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 18:04:52 -0700, b.b. wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> b.b.:
>>
>> Trust me, the internet gets through when CW will not...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 14:24:09 -0700, b.b. wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: b.b. on Aug 5, 4:12 pm
>> >>
>> >> >John Smith wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old key
>> >> >> tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>> >> >
>> >> >> http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>> >> >
>> >> >> As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN LIARS!"
>> >> >
>> >> >When Hans proposed that in the brave new world of a No-Code HF License,
>> >> >that one should have to take a Morse Code Test to use CW, Jim/N2EY said
>> >> >that a Morse Code Test would be a -barrier- to Morse Code use. Hi!
>> >> >It's always been a barrier.
>> >>
>> >> True for many, Brian...and unworthy of keeping in federal
>> >> radio regulations about amateur radio.
>> >>
>> >> Also true is that Jimmie has never refuted that quotation. It
>> >> may be that he has come to believe reality? Well, that may be
>> >> a bit too much to hope for...
>> >>
>> >> too for

>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> > If code testing is good for people who just want to use voice modes, it
>> > must be doubly good for those who want to use CW.
>> >
>> > CW gets through when everything else does.
>
> But, but, but...
>
> You'll get told that the internet is as unreliable as cellular
> telephones.

## 711. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:33:00 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123378380.907455.7410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115009

```
Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com says...
> >
> > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > In article <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989693@news.west.earthlink.net>,
> > > kf2ti@arrl.net says...
> > > > In article <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > > > >
> > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > <1123285124.092291.201260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision Science."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Care to venture you've never
> > > > > > seen a wine list?
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the wine that
> > > > > you have referred to?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I was curious and found:
> > > >
```

> > > > http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html
> > > >
> > > > There is no indication that they make any "yiddish" wine
> > > >
> > >
> > > OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a non vintage,
> > > non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all of 60 minutes
> > >
> > > What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our wine before it's
> > > time...what time is it now??
> > >
> > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> >
> > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> >
> >
> I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> discussion you are having with the other people.

Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives, and
"Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher wines.
That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to Steve.

> How that can be construed as a rescue attempt should be left for those
> that can actually see the writing between the lines

Steve requires some kind of rescue. I'm suprised they don't have in in
some kind of group sessions at work.

> Again, if the attribute should be to Steve, my apologizes. I thought I
> had read them correctly.

You read them correctly.

> The fact remains, there is no such thing as Yiddish wine.

Fair enough. Does the label actually claim "Mad Dog" or does is state
"MD?"

I have heard
> my grandmother, may she rest in peace, whine in yiddish though :-)
>
> Have a topiary weekend

I do need to get my ears lowered.

## 712. The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 01:41:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123378883.260248.82590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115011

Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in regards to FCC NPRMs.

Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and commenters' views on code testing.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/bd661a50825a37f3?dmode=source&hl=en

http://tinyurl.com/7t3te

It was posted Mar 12 1999, by WA6VSE. Here's a relevant quote

BEGIN QUOTE:

Here's a summary of how the numbers came out ... more detail will be available from the NCI website soon ... special thanks to Larry Close

[Larry Klose, KC8EPO]

who put in a herculean effort to read EVERY record in the ECFS database and do a very comprehensive statistical analysis of the body of comment.

Code Exam Proposal Summary

Position Supported # %
No Code Comments 711 43% (favoring 5 wpm MAX or NO code test)
Pro-Code Comments 607 37% (status quo, including rants for faster code tests)
ARRL Comments 331 20% ("I support the ARRL proposal" or supporting 5/12/12)
---
TOTAL COMMENTS 1649 100%

END QUOTE

(the bit about "rants" is from the poster of the results, not KC8EPO)

Larry eliminated dupes and responses that did not address the code test issue.

It's clear that:

57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 2 or 3 code test speeds.

57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12, 13 or 20 wpm for Extra.

57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12 or 13 wpm for Advanced.

80% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 5 wpm for General

But only 43% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 5 wpm or less code testing.

57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all license classes requiring a code test.

For the record, I supported 5 wpm for General, 12 or 13 wpm for Advanced, and 20 wpm for Extra.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 713. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:00:32 UTC
From: Lucifer <666@remailer>
Message-ID: <dd3pu4$svs$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Father, forgive John for he know not what he says."

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.06.23.55.31.191210@gmail.com...

Jesus came to establish the rules for the "new testament", many of the old
rules were suspended... if Jesus had walked around with short hair, such
as became the style this century, he would have had stood out like a sore
thumb...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 23:50:17 +0000, Stagger Lee wrote:

> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:41:15 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Hairy Woger>
wrote:
> : In article <hairy.woger.womeo@houston-hunks.com>
> : Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
> : blathered and fucks up again:
> :
> : > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I
> : Cor. 11:14
> :
> : Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
> : faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
> : followers in the Bible all have long hair?
>
> Those are derived from artists depictions from the middle ages.
> During that epoch, long hair like yours was common, and it was natural
> for the artists to paint Jesus to look like themselves.
>
> In actuality, it is highly probable that Jesus had short hair. Even
> the History Channel has put on programming which points that out.
> Your own lack of scholarship is clearly evident in the comments quoted
> above.
>
> Paul (or Saul) lived during Jesus' time and was intimately familiar
> with the customs of the day. Long hair like yours was regarded as
> effeminate by most male Roman citizens (Saul was a Roman citizen), and
> hence the quote from one of Saul's letters to the Corinthians.
>
> If Saul were alive today, he would probably say "If Woger posts his
> photo on Yahoo, it is a shame unto him."
>
> And he would be right.

>
>
>
> ====================
> "The good Christian should beware of mathematicians and all those who
> make empty prophecies. The danger already exists that mathematicians
> have made a covenant with the devil to darken the spirit and confine
> man in the bonds of Hell."
> -- St. Augustine

# 714. Re: Interesting reading from NCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:01:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123376694.517401.65680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115014

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>closer than I would have thought you'd come
> >>>>>
> >>>>but simply conspiring with my NoCoder Friends by taking acts in
> >>>>furtherence of our common ends
> >>>>
> >>>>I've often suspected that some of you had common ends.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>only suspecting gee you are the fool the act like
> >>
> > break
> >
> >>That's total gibberish, Mark.
> >
> >
> > the pronouncement of a fool that is only NOW SUSPECTING that Nocoders
> > are working together for there common ends
>
> Those aren't the common ends I was describing, Mark.

then I'd guess you are as delusional as Stevie
>
> Dave K8MN

## 715. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:16:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.02.16.37.70921@gmail.com>
Source record: 115021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lucifer:

You old hot devil you, the women have been asking around for you!

Really don't know huh?

He changed "an eye for an eye" to "turn the other cheek"...

He changed not being able to eat pork to now being able to eat it...
(someone needs to sneak some ham in the muslims food! They don't know
and haven't tried it yet... <grin>)

... jews are still pi$$ed off about a lot of it, don't recognize Jesus as
the messiah, some will grant he bears prophet status...

... but then, much of this doesn't have to do with amateur policy,
although it can't hurt to have a catholic priest bless your rig and
antenna!

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 21:00:32 -0500, Lucifer wrote:

> "Father, forgive John for he know not what he says."
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.06.23.55.31.191210@gmail.com...
>
> Jesus came to establish the rules for the "new testament", many of the old
> rules were suspended... if Jesus had walked around with short hair, such
> as became the style this century, he would have had stood out like a sore
> thumb...
>
> John
>
> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 23:50:17 +0000, Stagger Lee wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:41:15 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Hairy Woger>
> wrote:
>> : In article <hairy.woger.womeo@houston-hunks.com>
>> : Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
>> : blathered and fucks up again:
>> :
>> : > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I
>> : Cor. 11:14
>> :

>> : Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
>> : faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
>> : followers in the Bible all have long hair?
>>
>> Those are derived from artists depictions from the middle ages.
>> During that epoch, long hair like yours was common, and it was natural
>> for the artists to paint Jesus to look like themselves.
>>
>> In actuality, it is highly probable that Jesus had short hair. Even
>> the History Channel has put on programming which points that out.
>> Your own lack of scholarship is clearly evident in the comments quoted
>> above.
>>
>> Paul (or Saul) lived during Jesus' time and was intimately familiar
>> with the customs of the day. Long hair like yours was regarded as
>> effeminate by most male Roman citizens (Saul was a Roman citizen), and
>> hence the quote from one of Saul's letters to the Corinthians.
>>
>> If Saul were alive today, he would probably say "If Woger posts his
>> photo on Yahoo, it is a shame unto him."
>>
>> And he would be right.
>>
>>
>>
>> ====================
>> "The good Christian should beware of mathematicians and all those who
>> make empty prophecies. The danger already exists that mathematicians
>> have made a covenant with the devil to darken the spirit and confine
>> man in the bonds of Hell."
>> -- St. Augustine

# 716. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:22:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123381363.086629.125520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115015

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> >>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> I'm firmly convinced that many of the people that think of Element 1 as
> >>>the "great barrier" will be dismayed when they find out that there are
> >>>other barriers to Amateur radio.
> >>
> >>"I tried but I'm just not able to learn the code." I heard it in the
> >>1950's and I still hear it today. The global-standard copout which
> >>probably goes back to 1912.
> >
> >
> > ah yes accusing everyone that disagrees of laziness we have been
> > hearing that a long time too
> >
> > In 1912 there were reason you needed to be able to USE morse code,
> > today there is no NEED to USE it at all and yte we test for for it
> > awhile longer
>
> I can't find any real reason why there is any need for testing for
> anything in Amateur radio any more.

then propose that to the FCC

>
> That doesn't mean that I don't support testing. It means that Hams and
> our regulatory bodies have to get together and form a consensus on just
> what we *want* Amateur radio to be. Do we want it to be a body of people

one the basic you basic premise is in error

The rules MUST reflect what is in the interest of the PUBLIC, these are
a subset of the PUBLIC airwaves

Public regulation must reflect public interests

> with some form of technical knowledge? So be it. We can do that But we
> can indeed turn the Amateur bands into something else indeed. We can
> channelize them, we can eliminate experimentation, we can reduce maximum
> power levels, and we can ensure that only type accepted equipment is
> used. At that point, we can eliminate testing altogether.
>

>
>
> >
> >>>Putting together a station is probably
> >>>harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a
> >>>*good* station is definitely so.
> >>
> >>Agreed. The upcoming wave of nocode Extras and Generals will have to
> >>face and resolve the same age-old problems us 20wpm OFs have faced for
> >>decades when it comes to put up or shut up time as it relates to
> >>actually operating in the HF bands. Like being able to spend the money
> >>it takes to acquire decent HF equipment, having the ingenuity and
> >
> >
> > already got a decent rig, and one since it has VHF and UHF abilities I
> > have been the air for years with
>
> Think about other people.

Every Tech I know with all mode abilities all have at least ONE rig
also able to do HF

look at what is on the market

>
> >
> >>knowledge needed to home-brew decent antennas for constricted spaces
> >
> >
> > I don't need to to worry about constricted spaces I at least own 58
> > acress I don't think that even 160m will be a problem
>
> Does everyone? Think big-picture. Our own personal circumstances are
> not everyones.

you presented as a absolute need an ability that doesn't aply to some
of us.

In other words you are making stuff up that ain't always so

your rant was flawed, I punched a hole in it
>
> >
> >
> >>for instance, ditto solving QRN and RFI problems, etc. Those have
> >>always been far bigger HF show-stoppers than the code tests ever were
> >>and will continue to be so.
> >
> >
> > and you make this statement why?
> >
> > it seems to have no point

>
> The point is that of the barriers to HF use, Morse code use is not the
> biggest.

IYO

Morse code USE is no barrair at all, hasn't been in years

testing is of course a barriar

and it is the Biggest one

I have read article in QST on operating with crumy antennas (I remeber
an article focusing on that)

then you rattle off a list of "Barriars" that don't exist in many tech
shacks
>
> >>Those who want to get on HF badly enough will by one means or another.
> >>Those who can't be bothered with learning the code, <cut>
> >
> >
> > not much longer
> >
> >
> > spending the money,
> >
> >>doing the learning and the physical work required to get on HF won't
> >
> >
> > learnign how to operate hf before geting on it a weird and impossible
> > idea
>
> Perhaps people should drive automobiles for a couple years before
> getting their licenses?

nope a silly idea

>
> I learned how to operate on HF by being Elmered by other hams. There
> still are things to learn before getting on HF successfully and safely.

Bullshit I have set up on HF on FD operating on battery with no help at
all and manged fine

BTW I suggest that myself ifone has the choice planing on you first
major operating being FD or something like that where you will KNOW
someone is on the Air

>
> Of course, that could change if we change amateur radio into what some
> people apparently desire.

no It already exists
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 717. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:23:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123381400.801460.295800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115016

and that is 5 years ago, and with people screaming how that it would be
disaster

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

massive cut

> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> regards to FCC NPRMs.
>
> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
> commenters' views on code testing.

> 57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all
> license classes requiring a code test.

wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything
>
> For the record, I supported 5 wpm for General, 12 or 13 wpm for
> Advanced, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 718. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:36:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123382202.281471.217600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115017

From: Mike Coslo on Aug 6, 4:33 pm

>an old friend wrote:
>> k...@dvol.com wrote:
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:

>> ah yes accusing everyone that disagrees of laziness we have been
>> hearing that a long time too
>
>> In 1912 there were reason you needed to be able to USE morse code,
>> today there is no NEED to USE it at all and yte we test for for it
>> awhile longer
>
> I can't find any real reason why there is any need for testing for
>anything in Amateur radio any more.

Translation: "Whahhh, whahhh...the FCC is taking away Test
Element 1 which I passed so make them take away the other
three Test Elements which I passed!"

Jay-suss, Coslo, you are one mean and spiteful mumbler...

Michael, WT Docket 05-235 is ONLY about Test Element 1.

> That doesn't mean that I don't support testing. It means that Hams and
>our regulatory bodies have to get together and form a consensus on just
>what we *want* Amateur radio to be.

"Consensus?!?"

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

If there was a "consensus" POSSIBLE, there wouldn't have been
EIGHTEEN PETITIONS, all different, on a "new" amateur radio in
the USA!

What you (and most MMMs) "want" seems to be a fantasy idea of
some kind of "radio expertise" allowed to use on certificates,
after your name, and rationalization for all your posturing
and elitist posings. A fantasyland where all can tell of their
mighty amateur exploits, of "fish stories" of "catching the rare
one," and strutting around like the cure for the common
terrorist for "Homeland Security."

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Do we want it to be a body of people
>with some form of technical knowledge? So be it. We can do that But we

>can indeed turn the Amateur bands into something else indeed. We can
>channelize them, we can eliminate experimentation, we can reduce maximum
>power levels, and we can ensure that only type accepted equipment is
>used. At that point, we can eliminate testing altogether.

More translation: "Whaaaah, whaaaah, I took a morse test and
passed and that makes me Very Technical Knowledgeable and those
who don't or can't are 'dumbed down!' Waaaa, waaaa..."

Tsk, tsk, tsk. WT Docket 05-235 and any subsequent R&O based
on that is NOT GOING TO CHANGE THE CONTENTS OF 97.1 in Title
47 C.F.R. In case you've forgotten, that's the DEFINITION of
"amateur radio" from the FCC, the agency that regulates amateur
radio.

You MAY be talking about the ARRL's idea of "what amateur radio
is all about" which seems to center around "working DX on HF with
CW." or "working CW contacts on HF for an 'official' (ARRL)
contest."

Does WT Docket 05-235 TAKE AWAY EXPERIMENTATION? NO.

Does WT Docket 05-235 MANDATE TYPE-ACCEPTED EQUIPMENT? NO.

Does WT Docket 05-235 MAKE ALL THE BANDS "CHANNELIZED?" NO.

Does WT Docket 05-235 MANDATE NEW RF OUT POWER LEVELS? NO.

>>>>Putting together a station is probably
>>>>harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a
>>>>*good* station is definitely so.

Wow, "really HARD!" Walk into an HRO holding a little plastic
card and point to what you want on display, saying "I want
that." Ask for an antenna to put up and select from a large
variety of them for ANY ham band. Swipe the card in their reader
and Voila! it is YOURS. Take home the boxes, open them up,
READ THE INSTRUCTIONS PACKED IN EACH BOX, and install.

Whether or not that will be a "good" station depends on the
balance in your card's account, how glorious a review it got
in QST, and whether or not you bothered to read the install
instructions.

>>>Agreed. The upcoming wave of nocode Extras and Generals will have to
>>>face and resolve the same age-old problems us 20wpm OFs have faced for
>>>decades when it comes to put up or shut up time as it relates to
>>>actually operating in the HF bands. Like being able to spend the money
>>>it takes to acquire decent HF equipment, having the ingenuity and
>
>> already got a decent rig, and one since it has VHF and UHF abilities I
>> have been the air for years with
>
> Think about other people.

Tsk, tsk. THINK ABOUT OTHER PEOPLE, yes. THINK ABOUT THE THREE
OTHERS BESIDES YOURSELF THAT >>DO NOT WANT THAT CODE TEST<<!!!

Coslo, the average NCTA v. PCTA ratio is hovering around 3:1.
It varies from day to day, but the virtual "poll" in the Comments
to WT Docket 05-235 make it GREATER than 2:1. You are only
thinking of YOURSELF and winning message points in here...

> Perhaps people should drive automobiles for a couple years before
>getting their licenses?

Oh, my, the "LICENSE" thing again! :-)

Tsk, tsk, good old reducto ad absurdum technique.

I learned to OPERATE as well as maintain HIGH-POWER HF Transmitters
a half century ago WITHOUT ANY "LICENSE" WHATSOEVER and WITHOUT
HAVING TO KNOW OR USE MORSE CODE.

Those RADIO OWNERS AND OPERATORS using Part 95 radios "need
licenses?"

> I learned how to operate on HF by being Elmered by other hams. There
>still are things to learn before getting on HF successfully and safely.

I recall only a few days of "on the job training" in the Army
with "elmering" (not yet in vogue as a term in ham radio then)
being simply "do <this>, do <that>, dip the plate and peak the
grid, do NOT hold onto <this> when in standby because it will
KILL you." BFD. All the rest was PROCEDURE, how the frequency
got checked, what the TTY order-wire stuff meant, regulations,
and lots of specific other things. It was NOT "rocket science"
and AMATEUR radio IS NOT A JOB.

Repeat: AMATEUR RADIO IS NOT A >>JOB<<. If you screw up operating
your own hobby radio, you will NOT BE FIRED and nobody will DOCK
YOUR PAY.

> Of course, that could change if we change amateur radio into what some
>people apparently desire.

Third translation: "Waaaa, waaaa, them damn no-coders are gonna
take away the FANTASY I bought into...me, a big time national asset
to homeland security and a 'serviceman' in the service of the
nation!"

Jay-suss, the Mighty Macho Morseman won't be "banned," the "bands"
(a colloquial hamname for HF) won't change, and extras will NOT
be forced to retest!

Elimination of Test Element 1 will do ONLY ONE thing: FREE
newcomers and "upgraders" of having to take a morse code cognition
test. Nothing more. YOU won't have to give up a thing...except
your fantasies pounded into your brain by the fearsome gods of
all morsemen working in Newington. You won't even be

excommunicated from the Church of St. Hiram!

boo his
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 719. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:39:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123382367.024465.296620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115018

From: Mike Coslo on Aug 6, 7:23 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>>>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>>>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>
>> I thought so to. But why didn't FCC simply dump Element 1 two years
>> ago, after WRC 2003 ended the treaty requirement?
>
> Institutional inertia.

INCORRECT.

1. The LAW (try the Communications Act of 1934 for starters)
doesn't work that way.

2. The FCC could legally do it just the same but would face
later LAW in the Courts from all the outraged membership
organizations, enough to delay everything for years more.

3. The ARRL lobbyists (both the law firm and the lobbying
firm) were dead set against the FCC doing anything without
"the League's Permission."

>> Is there any chance that *any* pro-code-test discussion will
>> have *any* effect on the outcome?
>
> Negative.

ANY negative with another negative added to it will still be
NEGATIVE.

>> Suppose - just suppose - that after all the comments are in, the
>> majority of commenters support at least some code testing. Will FCC
>> change their position?
>
> I believe that the way the argument is framed is critical. It seems
>that the argument has been put forth about getting rid of a regulation.
>And we all "know" that regulation is a bad thing. Element 1 goes away. I
>consider the odds of it staying are about the same as a singularity

>popping up.

WOW! Those SOUR GRAPES ripened FAST...you've already made gallons
of WHINE out of it!

Prosit.

>>>Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
>>>comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
>>>Hams are of the same opinion?
>
>>> *Is* it a representative sample?
>
>> Does that matter?
>
> No it doesn't' matter. I simply want to point out to people such as
>Bill and Jhxn that the comments are not even close to a statistically
>proper poll. I expect better out of Bill.

Wow! You WHINE-tipplers better not drive anywhere...you are DUI.

Tsk, is your definition of "representative sample" equivalent to
WHAT YOU BELIEVE IN?

Silly question, of course it is!

Now, if the ARRL had conducted a "poll," it would be absolutely,
positively, peachy-keen ACCURATE...even if the poll respondents
were League members and good, God-fearing Morsemen of high rate!

BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

hee haw
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 720. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 02:42:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123382544.297124.171240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115019

From: John Smith on Aug 6, 3:36 pm

>b.b.:
>
>Trust me, the internet gets through when CW will not...

Yes, yes, John, it is useful for arranging skeds, holding rag-chews,
but the Internet NEEDS a personal computer! All the Mighty Macho
Morsemen know the "computer" is evil, high-tech bafflegab, and
THREATENS the Wetware modems now "in the service of the nation"
helping Homeland Security against wily terrorists out to destroy
their 1930s fantasy of hamme raddio and morsemanship.

Ahem..."CW gets through when nothing else will" is a hoary old
maxim (probably originating in Newington) that has been around
since the 1930s...back at a time when Claude Shannon had not
shown the radio world his marvelous Law relating bandwidth,
noise, error rate, and information throughput...back at a time
when there were only two modes in most of ham radio: CW and
old-fashioned AM voice. That "morse myth" has been engraved
on the concrete minds of hams seemingly forever.

At one time in here there was a pandemic epidemic of that
hoary hairy old morse myth over-quoted in here by the MMMs
of the deus ex machina mindset. Never mind the Latter-Day
Saints of Technology trying out PSK or 2 KHz BW SSB or fooling
with digitized voice, they, the Faithful Followers of the
Church of St. Hiram HAD to write that ad nauseum.

Brian put that hairy old hoar's breath into a TRUE
statement: "CW gets through when everything else does."
It is suprebly TRUE and without blemish.

End newsgroup history lesson.

awl end
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 721. Re: No HF for Techs Without a Code Test, Says FCC was What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 03:35:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123385753.896384.146140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115020

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:6o2dnQOUgLy6SnPfRVn-2g@comcast.com...
> >
cut
> All us present users of HF will have to make room, we will have phone all
> the way down to 14.010. The FCC will be deluged with demands, yes demands
> for more spectrum. Even 11 meters will be turned back into a ham band.

missed this outburst

suggesting that it is possible to take the CB band back into ham shows
you have a screw loose if not 10 or 20 screws missing
>
> After all that is what all the anti-code is all about, right?

wrong
>
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

## 722. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 04:58:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <28udnTjUjPvAG2jfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Anonymous wrote:
>>That photo (IKYABWAI)
>
>
> And, Roger
> doesn't have long hair and unlike you has hair to shampoo on a
> daily basis.

Why are you shampooing your butt, wogie?

## 723. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:00:09 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x7OdnYo2wJHDCGjfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 115024

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:bMcJe.2238$Wi6.1117@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> Nope, never have seen all the bandwidths in as much use as back during
>> the
>> 70's and early 80's, did you have a ticket back then? My gawd, those
>> oldtimers have forgotten what a real "pileup" means! Congestion worse
>> than imagined in my worst nightmare!
>
> It's a nice story. It is a pity that t'ain't true. I've run pileups
> spanning five to seven KHz for as long as six hours at a time, working
> 200-300 CW QSOs per hour. That happened as recently as 2000. DXing and
> contesting aren't games about twenty and thirty years ago, they're about
> what you've done lately. Contest scores have gotten bigger. The top
> scorers work more stations than were worked two or three decades ago.
> There are domestic scores now which top the world high scores of that many
> years ago.
>
> Dave K8MN

Well I can attest to the fact that it takes some real finesse and staying
power to snag the rare DX when you are only running 100 watts into a G5RV.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 724. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:00:14 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <28udnTvUjPszG2jfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115023

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> *Why* should there be any testing?

Listen to 11 meters and see why.

## 725. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:01:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <j7KdnepyGug0CGjfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 115025

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.07.00.53.38.626765@gmail.com...
> Dave:
>
> A useful figure here would be the percentage of hams who contest... Dee
> seems to think all 600,000 (and yes, I don't think that figure is even
> close to correct) do...
>
> John
>

I have never implied that such a thing is true or that I think it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 726. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:04:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GDgJe.229$1k4.7081076@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 115031

Dee:

Darn girl, you caught me exaggerating! Again! <grin>

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:j7KdnepyGug0CGjfRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.07.00.53.38.626765@gmail.com...
>> Dave:
>>
>> A useful figure here would be the percentage of hams who contest... Dee
>> seems to think all 600,000 (and yes, I don't think that figure is even
>> close to correct) do...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> I have never implied that such a thing is true or that I think it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

# 727. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:04:42 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <d95bf1d810pnq8a9s59ouca4s8hjjd27u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 115026
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 16:55:32 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>Jesus came to establish the rules for the "new testament", many of the old
>rules were suspended... if Jesus had walked around with short hair, such
>as became the style this century, he would have had stood out like a sore
>thumb...
>
>John

(From the Church of Theists Suck)

What Would Jesus Do?

Advocate child abuse and murder amongst many other cruelties.

Christians are always claiming, "heï¿½s the lamb", "our savior",
"the king of peace", "the embodiment of love", amongst the many other
names they associate with a loving, merciful nature. Jesus a nice
guy? Not in my book. Nor in any other personï¿½s who is capable of
compassion and rationality. Letï¿½s examine who the hell the Jesus
character really is. These verses will show not only is Jesusï¿½
"loving" nature a joke but so are the Christians who worship him.
Jesusï¿½ real mission to come to earth:

Jesus says that he has come to destroy families by making family
members hate each other. He has "come not to send peace, but a
sword." Matthew 10:34

Jesus says, "Donï¿½t imagine that I came to bring peace on earth! No,
rather a sword lf you love your father, mother, sister, brother, more
than me, you are not worthy of being mine." The real beauty of this
verse is that Jesus demands people truly love him more then they love
their own family. I ask you how can we love someone that we can not
see or interact with? Love is an emotion pertaining to physical
existence not to faithful ideologies, yet God threatens you with Death
just because your love for your mother maybe stronger than your love
for him. Matthew 10:34

Families will be torn apart because of Jesus. "Brother shall deliver
up the brother to death, and the father the child: and the children
shall rise up against their parents, and cause them to be put to
death." Matthew 10:21

Jesus strongly approves of the law and the prophets. He hasnï¿½t the slightest objection to the cruelties of the Old Testament. Matthew 5:17

Jesus advocates murder and death:

Jesus condemns entire cities to dreadful deaths and to the eternal torment of hell because they didnï¿½t care for his preaching. Matthew 11:20

Jesus, whose clothes are dipped in blood, has a sharp sword sticking out of his mouth. Thus attired, he treads the winepress of the wrath of God. (The winepress is the actual press that humans shall be put into so that we may be ground up.) Revelations 19:13-15

The beast and the false prophet are cast alive into a lake of fire. The rest of us the unchosen will be killed with the sword of Jesus. "An all the fowls were filled with their flesh." Revelations 19:20-21

Jesus says he is the only way to salvation yet he purposely disillusions us so that we will go to hell:

Jesus explains that the reason he speaks in parables is so that no one will understand him, "lest . . . they . . . should understand . . . and should be converted, and I should heal them." Matthew 13:10-15

Jesus explains why he speaks in parables to confuse people so they will go to hell. Mark 4:11-12

Jesus advocates child abuse:

Jesus is criticized by the Pharisees for not washing his hands before eating. He defends himself by attacking them for not killing disobedient children according to the commandment: "He that curseth father or mother, let him die the death." Matthew 15:4-7

Abandon your wife and children for Jesus and heï¿½ll give your a big reward. Jesus asks that his followers abandon their children to follow him. To leave your child is abuse, itï¿½s called neglect, pure and simple. Matthew 19:29

Jesus criticizes the Jews for not killing their disobedient children according to Old Testament law. Mark 7:9

A few other things about Jesus:

Jesus says that those who have been less fortunate in this life will have it even worse in the life to come. Mark 4:25

Jesus sends the devils into 2000 pigs, causing them to jump off a cliff and be drowned in the sea. Clearly Jesus could have simply sent the devils out, yet he chose instead to place them into pigs and kill them. This is called animal abuse. Mark 5:12-13

Jesus kills a fig tree for not bearing figs, even though it was out of season. Jesus must not be as smart as Christians would have us

believe, for he was retarded enough to do something this silly. Youï¿½d think the son of god (god incarnate) would know that trees donï¿½t bear fruit in dry season. Mark 11:13

Luke 12:47 Jesus okays beating slaves.

# 728. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:19:31 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dd45l3$dn9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 115027

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Additionally, having passed a test in no way
>guarantees continued profficiency. I passed
>13 wpm over 10 years and haven't use code
>in probably 8 years or so. You'd probably not want to
>have a CW QSO with me now :-)

And yet we'll wait hours or even days for a reply to a NG post. This
form of communicating has to be about the slowest.

73 Bill,
Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

## 729. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:27:57 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <xZgJe.1979$RZ2.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115028

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:X-qdnYrYNp59bGnfRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>
>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Bill:
>>>>>
>>>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to
>>>>>use
>>>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>>>>certify ability to use digital modes?
>>>>
>>>>How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>>>>in voice mode?
>>>
>>>How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to ever
>>>build anything?
>>
>>
>> Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
>> ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
>> responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
>> transmit.
>
> however, we can greatly simplify the testing process and regulatory burden
> by not allowing hams to build anything. I believe that there are examples
> of this already in some countries.

If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
right to bring the idea forward.

>>>>Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>>>>for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the

>>>>case now and has always been the case.
>>>
>>>A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
>>>regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
>>
>>
>> Not so. Part 97 gives reasons
>
> Cannot Part 97 be changed to simplify the process and ease the burden and
> eliminate the barriers?

If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
right to bring the idea forward.

>> AND, the international treaty
>> still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
>> mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge
>> of radio, etc.
>
> There are ways around that. I believe that many countries, including ours
> have worked their way around the rules.
>
> I believe all it would take is issuance of a booklet, and a signed
> affidavit that the prospective ham has read and understood it. This would
> be akin to the old Morse sending test elimination.

I disagree, but again....
If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
right to bring the idea forward.

>>>*Why* should there be any testing?
>>
>> If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file
>> your comments with the FCC accordingly.
>
> I do not, Bill. I personally think there isn't enough testing now, Morse
> code issue aside. I think I'm on record as being accepting of elimination
> of Element one if there is a corresponding increase in the testing
> requirements. If not, I am now.

OK...05-235 doesn't do what you want, however.

> I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
> available to people who feel otherwise.

What pretty powerful tools are you thinking of
and for what use? You lost me on that last comment.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 730. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:30:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <q0hJe.230$lu4.7196740@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 115030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Teddie:

Get used to the idea, else believe we crawled out of mud puddles, and if so, get ready for the aliens which are likely on their way here--since there is a great possibility some of them left the mud puddles of other planets before we got our start here...

Anyway you cut it, don't look good...

Course, my rig and my antenna are not "christian", neither do they believe in "mud puddle creatures"--they are in a heck of a predicament there...

John

"Uncle Ted" <vmalic@uninet.com> wrote in message
news:d95bf1d810pnq8a9s59ouca4s8hjjd27u3@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 16:55:32 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Jesus came to establish the rules for the "new testament", many of the old
>>rules were suspended... if Jesus had walked around with short hair, such
>>as became the style this century, he would have had stood out like a sore
>>thumb...
>>
>>John
>
> (From the Church of Theists Suck)
>
> What Would Jesus Do?
>
> Advocate child abuse and murder amongst many other cruelties.
>
> Christians are always claiming, "he's the lamb", "our savior",
> "the king of peace", "the embodiment of love", amongst the many other
> names they associate with a loving, merciful nature. Jesus a nice
> guy? Not in my book. Nor in any other person's who is capable of
> compassion and rationality. Let's examine who the hell the Jesus
> character really is. These verses will show not only is Jesus'
> "loving" nature a joke but so are the Christians who worship him.
> Jesus' real mission to come to earth:
>
> Jesus says that he has come to destroy families by making family
> members hate each other. He has "come not to send peace, but a
> sword." Matthew 10:34

>
> Jesus says, "Don't imagine that I came to bring peace on earth! No,
> rather a sword lf you love your father, mother, sister, brother, more
> than me, you are not worthy of being mine." The real beauty of this
> verse is that Jesus demands people truly love him more then they love
> their own family. I ask you how can we love someone that we can not
> see or interact with? Love is an emotion pertaining to physical
> existence not to faithful ideologies, yet God threatens you with Death
> just because your love for your mother maybe stronger than your love
> for him. Matthew 10:34
>
> Families will be torn apart because of Jesus. "Brother shall deliver
> up the brother to death, and the father the child: and the children
> shall rise up against their parents, and cause them to be put to
> death." Matthew 10:21
>
> Jesus strongly approves of the law and the prophets. He hasn't the
> slightest objection to the cruelties of the Old Testament. Matthew
> 5:17
>
> Jesus advocates murder and death:
>
> Jesus condemns entire cities to dreadful deaths and to the eternal
> torment of hell because they didn't care for his preaching. Matthew
> 11:20
>
> Jesus, whose clothes are dipped in blood, has a sharp sword sticking
> out of his mouth. Thus attired, he treads the winepress of the wrath
> of God. (The winepress is the actual press that humans shall be put
> into so that we may be ground up.) Revelations 19:13-15
>
> The beast and the false prophet are cast alive into a lake of fire.
> The rest of us the unchosen will be killed with the sword of Jesus.
> "An all the fowls were filled with their flesh." Revelations 19:20-21
>
>
> Jesus says he is the only way to salvation yet he purposely
> disillusions us so that we will go to hell:
>
> Jesus explains that the reason he speaks in parables is so that no one
> will understand him, "lest . . . they . . . should understand . . .
> and should be converted, and I should heal them." Matthew 13:10-15
>
> Jesus explains why he speaks in parables to confuse people so they
> will go to hell. Mark 4:11-12
>
> Jesus advocates child abuse:
>
> Jesus is criticized by the Pharisees for not washing his hands before
> eating. He defends himself by attacking them for not killing

> disobedient children according to the commandment: "He that curseth
> father or mother, let him die the death." Matthew 15:4-7
>
> Abandon your wife and children for Jesus and he'll give your a big
> reward. Jesus asks that his followers abandon their children to
> follow him. To leave your child is abuse, it's called neglect, pure
> and simple. Matthew 19:29
>
> Jesus criticizes the Jews for not killing their disobedient children
> according to Old Testament law. Mark 7:9
>
> A few other things about Jesus:
>
> Jesus says that those who have been less fortunate in this life will
> have it even worse in the life to come. Mark 4:25
>
> Jesus sends the devils into 2000 pigs, causing them to jump off a
> cliff and be drowned in the sea. Clearly Jesus could have simply sent
> the devils out, yet he chose instead to place them into pigs and kill
> them. This is called animal abuse. Mark 5:12-13
>
> Jesus kills a fig tree for not bearing figs, even though it was out of
> season. Jesus must not be as smart as Christians would have us
> believe, for he was retarded enough to do something this silly. You'd
> think the son of god (god incarnate) would know that trees don't bear
> fruit in dry season. Mark 11:13
>
> Luke 12:47 Jesus okays beating slaves.
>
>

## 731. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 05:36:18 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <m5hJe.1980$RZ2.1860@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115029

```
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:qJWdnfBDwaria2nfRVn-jg@adelphia.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>
>>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know
>>>>>>>where
>>>>>>>we stood.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>>>> released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>>>> majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>>>
>>>>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>>>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>>>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>>>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>>>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>>>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>>>
>>>>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>>>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy
>>>>>beltway-style actually works.
>>>>>
>>>>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>>>>w3rv
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Bottom line here...
>>>>
```

>>>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>>>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>>>>
>>>>2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>>>>rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>>>>in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>>>>pro-code test argument....
>>>
>>>They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.
>>>
>>>
>>>>3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
>>>>was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>>>>test.
>>>>
>>>>4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>>>>any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>>>>almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>>>>to do so.
>>>>
>>>>5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>>>>for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>>>>The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>>>>discussion of the proposed change.
>>>>
>>>>6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>>>>retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>>>>comment process, any prospect
>>>>of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>>>>The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>>>>have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>>>>out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>>>>
>>>>7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>>>>retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>>>>going to happen either.
>>>>For two reasons:
>>>>(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>>>>(b) The majority of current comments are actually
>>>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total
>>>> elimination of code testing.
>>>
>>>Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
>>>comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
>>>Hams are of the same opinion?
>>
>>
>> Yes I do. Some 10 years ago the ARRL conducted a survey
>> of asking about code retention and the results then were pretty

>> close. With 10 years now passed, lots of new hams, many older hams
>> now SK, the results today would, I believe, show a majority
>> in favor of ending code testing.
>
> I'm surprised, Bill. If a scientifically structured poll was made, I would
> likely accept the results, whether I agreed with those results or not. The
> comments in this case are largely useless as for any thing representing
> the majority of amateurs.
>
> You have a good thesis in your last paragraph, but that is your thesis,
> which you are willing to validate by an amazingly imprecise poll.

And just how/who would you have fund and conduct a precise
poll? Me? ARRL? FCC?

>>>*Is* it a representative sample?
>>
>> Is the vote for president of the USA a representative sample?
>
> When I go to the polls, I know that my vote is counted (barring
> shennagins!) and if I vote for the winner, my candidate wins, if I vote
> for the loser, my candidate doesn't.

Well here's the problem you face...FCC rules
are not voted on by hams or anyone else via the
comments filed.

> posting any comment on this issue has no effect on the outcome. I know
> that, you know that, and I choose not to comment for that reason.

Your choice.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 732. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 06:09:33 UTC
From: Lucifer <666@remailer>
Message-ID: <dd48h2$vcu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Garsh darn it, John. Now you went and did it. I don't know whether to strike my chest three times and recite, "Mea Culpa, Mea Culpa, Mea Maxima Culpa", or to bow five times toward the east and hope that Allah blesses (???) me with several wives and a buggy whip. I suppose I could do both and top it off by throwing a few bananas in front of the Buddha statue just in case.

No need to piss Jesus, Lennie, Allah and Buddha off in one fell swoop, eh? That would surely send me to ARRL Hell in no time flat.

It is probably not necessary to have a Catholic Priest wave his hands over my radios, though perhaps it 'may' give added life to the transistors. I will instead defer and humbly bow to the Lennie God without whose blessings we cannot proceed.

Mea Culpa, Mea Culpa, Mea Maxima Culpa

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.07.02.16.37.70921@gmail.com...
Lucifer:

You old hot devil you, the women have been asking around for you!

Really don't know huh?

He changed "an eye for an eye" to "turn the other cheek"...

He changed not being able to eat pork to now being able to eat it...
(someone needs to sneak some ham in the muslims food! They don't know
and haven't tried it yet... <grin>)

... jews are still pi$$ed off about a lot of it, don't recognize Jesus as
the messiah, some will grant he bears prophet status...

... but then, much of this doesn't have to do with amateur policy,
although it can't hurt to have a catholic priest bless your rig and
antenna!

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 21:00:32 -0500, Lucifer wrote:

## 733. "Heeere's Cecil W6RCA/W5DXP!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 09:04:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123405444.708593.54460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115033

Those of you who lurked in this NG back in the days when there were actually some occasional bits an pieces of real intelligence posted here remember Cecil's NCTA one-line zingers well. Us put-it-on-the-air or shaddup PCTAs also remember him as the Straight Key King of RRAP that night on 7.037, he hardly missed a lick despite the lightning . . one NCTA ya can respect is what Cecil is.

"Here's the latest picture of me at the microphone."

http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp/w5dxp .jpg

w3rv . . .

## 734. Re: "Heeere's Cecil W6RCA/W5DXP!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 09:10:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123405845.224328.311030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115034

Dammit! Try this . . !

http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp/w5dxp.jpg

# 735. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 09:31:17 UTC
From: Radio Active <me@munged.poo>
Message-ID: <1rgzx1h74jn6n.12v0bb9fqtffx.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 115035

On 5 Aug 2005 03:02:50 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl back under
> that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
> bullying ass we'll ask.

Oh my, do you kiss your mother with that mouth?

Ed

# 736. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 09:36:08 UTC
From: Radio Active <kc7flr@invalid.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ib2y9wk15gc4.c7xdqojwiuxo.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 115036

On 5 Aug 2005 06:23:10 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>> #### you Steve you ####ing little troll, why don't you crawl back under
>> that ####ing rock where you came from and when want to hear from your
>> bullying ### we'll ask.
>
> Toiddie, I am disappointed in you. Profanity was only 12.9% of
> that post...Way, way down from your personal best!
>
> Here's where YOUR biggest disappointment comes in, Toid...I get to
> exercise the EXACT SAME "freedom of speech" that YOU get to use...
>
> Sucks, huh...?!?!
>
> You may not like the way I use mine, but then I guess that leaves
> us tied now, doesn't it...?!?!
>
> Keep that First Amendment spirit, Toiddie!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Interesting how Todd considers others using the same First Amendment
rights he does as "bullying."

He must have been deprived of essential education during his formative
years.

Ed

## 737. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 09:55:01 UTC
From: Lissa <HomeGal@no>
Message-ID: <dd4lnq$kvq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now you went and done it...you done tole ole Wogie that he is, in a few words, stupid...

"Your own lack of scholarship is clearly evident in the comments quoted"

Wogie gonna have to sit back and figger this one out. He got no 'scholarship' but from his Devry mail order Master Degree. Cost Wogie all of $249.30 plus tax.

"If Saul were alive today, he would probably say "If Woger posts his photo on Yahoo, it is a shame unto him."

Nice quote. Woger, however, would counter that Lloyd was behind this post. Wascallly Wogie sees Lloyd behind every door, in every shadow. Wascally Wogie has never been known for his intellect...

# 738. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 10:08:06 UTC
From: Radio Active <kc7flr@invalid.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <69j988fhvf8d$.v0mi9dee0lej.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 115038

On 6 Aug 2005 14:13:55 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> ...or perhaps we should contact earthlink and tell them of your
> bullying bahavior.

What "bullying behavior" would that be? Inquiring minds want to know.

Ed

# 739. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 13:09:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123420168.352413.309930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115039

N9OGL wrote:
> ...or perhaps we should contact earthlink and tell them of your
> bullying bahavior.

You mean like YOU were going to frag me to AOL...?!?!

Yeah....Right...

Hey Toddie...?!?! Isn't that yo mama calling you for your
Ovaltine and Cookies...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 740. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 13:14:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123420482.924684.191930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115040

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> > >>
> > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > >>Army career!
> > >>
> > >> Sheesh!
> > >>
> > >>Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >
> > > Yawn.
> >
> > I'm with you, "bb".
>
> But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

No...you just bootleggted from a UN-controlled zone without proper
authority.

What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.

Steve, K4YZ

## 741. Re: "Heeere's Cecil W6RCA/W5DXP!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 13:59:30 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123423170.509857.22150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115041

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dammit! Try this . . !
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp/w5dxp.jpg

Heh, heh. The King Lives!

## 742. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:02:56 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123423376.883861.191990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115042

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> regards to FCC NPRMs.
>
> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
> commenters' views on code testing.

The ARRL's "substantive" poll has come and gone.

WRT98-143 has come and gone.

Best of Luck making your journey to the present.

# 743. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:12:50 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123423970.944334.79820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115043

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> > > >>
> > > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > > >>Army career!
> > > >>
> > > >> Sheesh!
> > > >>
> > > >>Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yawn.
> > >
> > > I'm with you, "bb".
> >
> > But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
> No...you just bootleggted from a UN-controlled zone without proper
> authority.
>
> What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

That's merely your interpretation. Given your propensity for lying,
and not having seen you in Somalia for you to make such a judgement,
I'm highly suspicious of your statements. In fact, I'd say you are
lying. Nothing new there.

# 744. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:23:15 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123424594.893079.96450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115044

an old friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> > >
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> b.b. wrote:
> > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >
> cut
> > Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
>
> not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"

> we laughed and he made me a friend

Why am I not suprised?

I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
the air is a hoot. Thanks.

## 745. Re: stevie doesn make threats but i acuse him aniway haha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:25:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123424755.860358.295130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115045

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> > > > > > > > for a putz like you..?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
> > > > > > that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...
> > > > >
> > > > > sure are if I were closer
> > >
> >
> > If I wanted to do you violence, Markie, it wouldn't matter if you
> > were across the street or across the country.
>
> sure sure more stavie bluster

Nope.

It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
does the rest.

And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"

> > > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> > > >
> > > > showing what you were trying was blackmail
> > >
> > > I never tried to "blackmail" you.
> > >
> > > You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> > > "blackmail", Markie.
> >
> > it is mading a demand for gain for a target under threat

Oh, you're MAD alright!

And what target? What threat?

> your actions quailify

Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.

> you continue to black mail me

You continue to utter unfounded and hillarious lies in a public
forum.

> > > > If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
> > > > the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!
> > >
> > > I choose to balcane the risks verus not alowing a terroist such as
> > > yourself to silence me
> >
> speling cop cut

"balance"

"allowing"

"terrorist"

> > > > The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
> > > > from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.
> > >
> > > Not when you keep making threats
> >
> > I haven't made any threats.
>
> liar
>
> "one shot one kill..." Is a threat

Nope.

Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
taken from in court.

In other words...

MARK C. MORGAN IS LYING AGAIN!

> Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat

Nope.

> > > you are a low level danger
> >
> > So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> > and your "family".
>
> nope I am saying you have prooven you mostly make threats without
> backing em up

There's nothing to be "back(ed) up", Markie.

The "threat" was a mixture of YOUR paranoia and your need to be a
victim in order to get what you want.

> Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> nothing to do your making them

I didn't make any, Markie.

> You simply make Idles(so far) threats, but you make threats often

Nope.

> > > terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible
>
> > There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.
>
> spelling cop cut

"terrorists"

"blackmailer"

"resisted"

> yep there is his name is Steve Robeson

Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.

> > In your IMAGINATION maybe...but not in reality.
> >
> > > > > > > It is you being stupid to even type that
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
> > > > > > > when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > bull#### it was a threat...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > cuting a lie
> cuting another lie

We could do YOUR trick, Markie...

"cuting another lie...nothing left!"

But since you can't even get "cutting" right, it's apparent that
you've cut nothing but things you're too cowardly to address.

> > > > > (UNSNIP)....foruately one you have havne't carried out,
> > > > > but one you keep repeating, such as your threat to come to the UP
> > > > >
> > > > I don't "keep repeating" any threat. YOU keep repeating it.
> > >
> > you keep making threats of various sorts
> >
> > Nope.
>

> yes you do you threatened Tood I saw that, you conitue to threaten me,
> idly it seems but you continue

"continue"

I didn't threaten Todd.

> and you lie about it

Nope.

> > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> >
> > What threats?
>
> your threats

What threats?

> > > cuting stevie evasion
> >
> > What evasion?
>
> your evasion

WHAT evasion...?!?!

> > You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.
>
> yes you do, overlooking the fact it has been done in many case Years
> ago, and repaeted again and again

Nope. All we have are your stories of threats. I am surprised we
haven't been treated to any black helo or unmarked vans stories, too.

> cuting another stevie evasion distrotion

"Cutting"

"distortion"

You couldn't have cut a distortion because there wasn't one there.

> > > > > > > yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> > > > > > > have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
> > > > > > > he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > then stop Stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > Stop what?
> > > >
> > > > stop making new threats

> >
> > WHAT new threats?
>
> threaten you come to the UP

Oh?

Exercising my rights to travel freely within the United States is
now a "threat"...?!?!

> threatening action with various parties

What threats?

> > > stop harassing people
> >
> > I'm not harrassing people.
>
> liar

Nope.

> > You post, I respond. Period.
> >
> > Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
> > responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?
>
> liar you are not a bit sorry

Not a liar and sure I'm sorry.

> why do you tell such obvious lies

Why do you put words in others mouths and try to pass them off as
truthful?

> > "First Amendment", Markie...
>
> you don't have any right to threaten people

I haven't threatened anyone.

>the 1st amendment does cover that

No, it does not.

QUOTE:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

UNQUOTE:

What IS there:

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from establishing laws IRT the
establishment of religion.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from abrdging one's freedom to
exercise religion of choice.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from abridging freedom of speech.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from impeding the press.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from restricting peaceful assembly.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from making laws that impede the
people's right to seek relief of their grievances.

What's NOT there:

Any wording that says that, if I WANTED to, I couldn't threaten
you or anyone else.

Yes, there ARE laws that provide penalties for it...But the
Constitution, as you suggest, is NOT source of those laws.

> > > > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourself on
> > > > numerous occassions.
> > > >
> > > > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> > > >
> > > > I'm doing neither.
> > >
> > > you keep doing it you lying SoS
> >
> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's
> > go back to insinuating profanites....
>
> not realy you are a lying sack of ####, just calling you on it

More anger. Even spelled it out this time.

> > > been doing it for years
> >
> cuting stvie distoring context

"Cutting"

"Stevie"

"distorting"

What was cut was more "hitting the nail on the head" comments that
Markie doesn't want to see again due to coward issues.

> > > threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
> >
> > Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.
>

> never made one

Sure you did.

Said you were going to use the ADA to force the FCC's hand to give
you a free ride on the code test.

> I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> it you have got anything worth talking

I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!

You sure do like flattering yourself!

> and of plausible to whom? You well that is more than likely right, a
> real crout that is another matter

What? Huh? What's a "real crout"...?!?!

> > Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
> > own way.
>
> really someone is making you read it?

If I want to try an understand what you're saying.

I think learning Serbo-Croation by braille might be easier.

> > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > sure...
>
> not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> that you arre any good at it

"intimidate"

"are"

I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.

> > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!

But you HAVE left us laughing!

Steve, K4YZ

## 746. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:33:18 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <RMT7SV5A38571.4397916667@anonymous>
Source record: 115046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <dd4lnq$kvq$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lissa" <HomeGal@no> wrote:
>
> Now <Poor Lardass Davies>

Hi Lardass! Still posting as your true self a woman, I see. And
still spending your Saturday night, dateless whining on the
internet, too. How do you feel that they are coming out with a
movie about your life,"The 40 year old Virgin"?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 747. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:35:43 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123425343.763687.200300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115047

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>is is me means nothing
> >>
> >>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
> >>
> >>
> >>>nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> >>>doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> >>>jerk not a bully.
> >>
> >>Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
> >>presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious
> >>handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
> >>who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
> >>Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.
> >
> > Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
> > holiday???
> >
> I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in

You probably layed down in it.

> and I don't know Father
> Hams.

Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
that I referred to.

## 748. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:41:07 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123425667.581782.114450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115048

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
>
> What therapy? What medication?

EXACTLY!

## 749. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 14:43:46 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123425826.362979.176900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115049

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> >
> > > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
> >
> > What therapy? What medication?
>
> the threapy you so desperately need
>
> the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
> another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
> out

My best guess is "God Complex."

> > Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> > issues in others?
>
> therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
> disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill

God is infallible. All others are mere mortals with failings.

## 750. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 15:22:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123428133.350650.159370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115100

b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > > > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
> > >
> > > What therapy? What medication?
> >
> > the threapy you so desperately need
> >
> > the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
> > another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
> > out
>
> My best guess is "God Complex."

I have no doubt that Brain has the same mental health case workers
that Markie does...same "fuzzies-in-the-pocket" diagnosis.

> > > Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> > > issues in others?
> >
> > therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
> > disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill
>
> God is infallible.

That's yet to be proven. A brief review of world poverty,
neonatal demise and the rise of AIDS, just to name a few, leads me to
question the "omnipotent, benevolent diety" theories.

> All others are mere mortals with failings.

Yes, you are.

Steve, K4YZ

## 751. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 15:34:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123428870.939268.249140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115101

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> >
> > > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
> >
> > What therapy? What medication?
>
> the threapy you so desperately need

"therapy"

What therapy that I allegedly need?

> the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
> another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
> out

Your kind of "pros" we can do without.

Look what they've done for you!

> > Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> > issues in others?
>
> therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
> disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill

No, Markie.

I "insistance" that people who do not tell the truth are liars and
those that demonstrate abnormal human behaviour are "mentaly" ill,
Mark.

And so far I've done a fair job of ferreting out the truth! We
have you, Brain, and Lennie archived with your mistruths. Liars all.
Lennie's more poetic with his and you're more prolific...Brain...?!?!
Well, Brain will just take his cues from Lennie and hope for the best
like any good PuppetBoy should.

YOU stepped on your own tail and let the cat out of the bag about
your own mental health involvement, but then I HAD been claiming that
it was my professional opinion that you were in treatment.

Seems I had that right all along too!

Again!

Steve, K4YZ

## 752. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 15:56:10 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <ab36df0038ddd6735a5869faeb2b5c16@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 115102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <28udnTjUjPvAG2jfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Anonymous wrote:
> >>That photo (IKYABWAI)
> >
> >
> > > And, Roger
> > > doesn't have long hair and unlike you has hair to shampoo on
a
> > > daily basis.
>
> Why are you shampooing your butt, wogie?

Why are you so concerned with another man's butt, Commander
Queero? And where above does it say he was? The fact you chose
to bring it up shows you are a queer, fake pilot license boy.

# 753. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:12:04 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <a7ccf1d77n8nr1k6ir6msq45jlss1uqbf5@4ax.com>
Source record: 115104

On 7 Aug 2005 05:19:31 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>>Additionally, having passed a test in no way
>>guarantees continued profficiency. I passed
>>13 wpm over 10 years and haven't use code
>>in probably 8 years or so. You'd probably not want to
>>have a CW QSO with me now :-)
>
>And yet we'll wait hours or even days for a reply to a NG post. This
>form of communicating has to be about the slowest.
>
>73 Bill,
>Jeff KH6O

Two words: Snail mail.

## 754. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:23:09 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <mpccf19pjph8vhcbmhmcqhoavos2l4mkin@4ax.com>
Source record: 115107
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 6 Aug 2005 22:30:50 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Uncle Teddie:
>
>Get used to the idea, else believe we crawled out of mud puddles,

What "idea" are you talking about?

>and if so,
>get ready for the aliens which are likely on their way here--since there is a
>great possibility some of them left the mud puddles of other planets before we
>got our start here...

There are a lot of things that are possible, but many of those
possibilities are highly unlikely.

>Anyway you cut it, don't look good...

What don't look good?

>Course, my rig and my antenna are not "christian", neither do they believe in
>"mud puddle creatures"--they are in a heck of a predicament there...

What "predicament" are you talking about? You're not making any sense.

## 755. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:24:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <feadnWR9v9AgqGvfRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115103

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>>>>realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do
>>>>you think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up
>>>>some massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>>>
>>>
>>> This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary
>>>importance to opinion.
>>>
>>> If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the
>>>database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying,
>>>that is the truth.
>>>
>>> If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to
>>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>>>
>>> If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>>>
>>> You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go
>>>along, so why do it?
>>
>>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with invisible sources for
>>invisible facts.
>>
>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>>
>
>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>propagation phenomena.
>

>
> really? gee it falls into the same catagory as when it was said that we
> ham had been banished to "useless frequencies" everything above 200M

There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital HF won't work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that caused hams to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.

Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there. Many people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that knowledge.

Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data transmission?

> when was that Jim

A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.

>>> Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>>>along the same lines
>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)

I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time (measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost everyones standard) pictures.

Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was going to steal the technology.

Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the believers among themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?

Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop up from time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced into th e real world?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 756. Re: stevie threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:34:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123432466.390207.70430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115105

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > I repeat MY question....What makes you think I'd hazard my freedom
> > > > > > > > > for a putz like you..?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > the fact you act like you are nuts enough to do it
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Stop and think about this for a second, Markie...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > On the one hand you claim I am "nuts enough to do it" , suggesting
> > > > > > that I'd actually perpetrate an act of violence against you...
> > > > > >
> > > > > sure are if I were closer
> > > >
> > >
> > > If I wanted to do you violence, Markie, it wouldn't matter if you
> > > were across the street or across the country.
> >
> > sure sure more stavie bluster
>
> Nope.
>
> It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> does the rest.

which is of course essencaily a confession that you threaten and
harrass folks

>
> And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"

and I have answered it becuase you are nuts

>
> > > > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> > > > >
> > > > showing what you were trying was blackmail
> > >
> > > I never tried to "blackmail" you.

> > >
> > > You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> > > "blackmail", Markie.
> > >
> > > it is mading a demand for gain for a target under threat
> >
cuting libel

> And what target? What threat?

me the htreat s are violence, (one shot one kill..) outing Your
demands I comply or youd tell everyone I was gay, your conitued
harrasment unless I do as YOU say

It is all blackmail

>
> > your actions quailify
> >
> Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.

sure do

>
> > you continue to black mail me
> >
> You continue to utter unfounded and hillarious lies in a public
> forum.

nope

you are simply an ignorant stalker, and blackmailer.

>
> > > > > If I thought someone was a potential threat to myself or my family,
> > > > > the LAST thing I'd do is get in their face!
> > > > >
> > > > > I choose to balcane the risks verus not alowing a terroist such as
> > > > > yourself to silence me
> > > >
> > > speling cop cut

> > > > > The sum of it is that your own suggestion that you're in any danger
> > > > > from me is ludicrous, as >>I<< have been saying for years.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not when you keep making threats
> > > >
> > > I haven't made any threats.
> > >
> > > liar
> > >
> > > "one shot one kill..." Is a threat
> >

> Nope.
>
> Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> taken from in court.

it is in context Killing was never the subject

discsion of murder has nothing to do Radio or Morse Code

cut

> > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
>
> Nope.

sure is

>
> > > > you are a low level danger
> > >
> > > So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> > > and your "family".
> > >
> > nope I am saying you have prooven you mostly make threats without
> > backing em up
>
> There's nothing to be "back(ed) up", Markie.

yes there is and you keep making threats

cuting another lies

> > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > nothing to do your making them
>
> I didn't make any, Markie.

One shot on kill .. is a threat,

you are lying in saying otherwise

that it was idle matters not

>
> > You simply make Idles(so far) threats, but you make threats often
>
> Nope.

abolsutely true
>
> > > > terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible
> > >
> > > There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.
> > >
> > spelling cop cut

> > yep there is his name is Steve Robeson
>
> Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.

just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own
actions, and his own words

Just Stevie squirming to evade the turth of his bulling personality

>
> > > In your IMAGINATION maybe...but not in reality.
> > >
> > > > > > > > > It is you being stupid to even type that
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And it's even more idiotic for a putz like to you keep repeating it
> > > > > > > > > when it was so obviously NOT a threat then OR now!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > bull#### it was a threat...(SNIP)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cuting a lie
> > > cuting another lie
cuting speling cop

> > > > > > (UNSNIP)....foruately one you have havne't carried out,
> > > > > > but one you keep repeating, such as your threat to come to the UP
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't "keep repeating" any threat. YOU keep repeating it.
> > > > >
> > > > you keep making threats of various sorts
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes you do you threatened Tood I saw that, you conitue to threaten me,
> > idly it seems but you continue
cuting spelling cop

> I didn't threaten Todd.

you sure did

you threatened to call his perants you threatened to contact his ISP
(you carried out that threat but it was still a threat

you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
actions

you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats

Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity

>
> > and you lie about it
>
> Nope.
>

> > > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> > > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > your threats
>
> What threats?

the threats typed above

>
> > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > >
> > > What evasion?
> >
> > your evasion
>
> WHAT evasion...?!?!

your eveasion

I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so

>
> > > You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.
> >
> > yes you do, overlooking the fact it has been done in many case Years
> > ago, and repaeted again and again
>
> Nope. All we have are your stories of threats. I am surprised we
> haven't been treated to any black helo or unmarked vans stories, too.

well if that suprises then yuare of stupid, but we all know that,
everyone but you of course

>
> > cuting another stevie evasion distrotion
>
cuting speling cop

> You couldn't have cut a distortion because there wasn't one there.

sure was
>
> > > > > > > > yes I would rather take a threat more seriously than t deserves than
> > > > > > > > have my family and friends end up dead, any real man would see that
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Any real man would stop perpetuating a story that was a lie when
> > > > > > > > he stated it and is a lie 7 years later.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > then stop Stevie

> > > > >
> > > > > Stop what?
> > > > >
> > > > stop making new threats
> > >
> > > WHAT new threats?
> >
> > threaten you come to the UP
>
> Oh?
>
> Exercising my rights to travel freely within the United States is
> now a "threat"...?!?!

when cuopled with your other behavoir certainly is

>
> > threatening action with various parties
>
> What threats?

asked and answered

>
> > > > stop harassing people
> > >
> > > I'm not harrassing people.
> >
> > liar
>
> Nope.

you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
the Air, by what I hear from other hams

>
> > > You post, I respond. Period.
> > >
> > > Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
> > > responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?
> >
> > liar you are not a bit sorry
>
> Not a liar and sure I'm sorry.

2 lies with one sentence

>
> > why do you tell such obvious lies
>
> Why do you put words in others mouths and try to pass them off as
> truthful?

that is your gig stevie

>
> > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> >
> > you don't have any right to threaten people
>
> I haven't threatened anyone.

lying again

I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me

when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
lets you out

>
> >the 1st amendment does cover that
>
> No, it does not.
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> What IS there:

so what?

a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably

that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
have a legs to stand on

you are guilty of threats and hate speech

cuting stevie off target rant

>
> > > > > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourself on
> > > > > numerous occassions.
> > > > >
> > > > > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm doing neither.
> > > >
> > > > you keep doing it you lying SoS
> > >
> > > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's

> > > go back to insinuating profanites....
> >
> > not realy you are a lying sack of ####, just calling you on it
>
> More anger. Even spelled it out this time.

nope you would have to be angery to use the word shit. I use when I
deem it proper, very calmly

so another lie

I am not angery with I am disguted by you

>
> > > > been doing it for years
> > >
> > cuting stvie distoring context
cuting speling cop
> > > > threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
> > >
> > > Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.
> >
> > never made one
>
> Sure you did.
>
> Said you were going to use the ADA to force the FCC's hand to give
> you a free ride on the code test.

Lie I said a case could be made

I said it was a hard case at that time with the treaty lang in place

I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture

you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted

that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
YOUR making

>
> > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > it you have got anything worth talking
>
> I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!

sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money

that applies to you or anyone for that matter

>
> You sure do like flattering yourself!

more evidence that stevie does not have metal contact with the real
world

>
> > and of plausible to whom? You well that is more than likely right, a
> > real crout that is another matter
>
cuting spelling cop

> > > Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
> > > own way.
> >
> > really someone is making you read it?
>
> If I want to try an understand what you're saying.

you don't want to understand you are just hunting for things you can
twist

>
> I think learning Serbo-Croation by braille might be easier.

more bashing of the Disabled, the blind in this case

with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?

was it another power play?

>
> > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > sure...
> >
> > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> > that you arre any good at it
>
cutin spelling cop

> I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.

liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to

>
> > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
>
> But you HAVE left us laughing!

comenting on your words

Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 757. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:42:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123432939.265266.167080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115106

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
> >>>>realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do
> >>>>you think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up
> >>>>some massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary
> >>>importance to opinion.
> >>>
> >>> If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the
> >>>database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying,
> >>>that is the truth.
> >>>
> >>> If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to
> >>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
> >>>
> >>> If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
> >>>
> >>> You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go
> >>>along, so why do it?
> >>
> >>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with invisible sources for
> >>invisible facts.
> >>
> >>
> >>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
> >>>got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
> >>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
> >>
> >
> >>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
> >>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
> >>propagation phenomena.

> >
> >
> > really? gee it falls into the same catagory as when it was said that we
> > ham had been banished to "useless frequencies" everything above 200M
>

> There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital HF won't
> work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that caused hams
> to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.

agreed there are reasons of course as there were then

but it is folks like you with "it can not be Done.. therefore it should
not be disused etc. that insure it can't be done

I choose to look at a thorny problem and try to see if I can make
lemonaide, maybe brew those throns in a decent cup of Tea
>
> Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there. Many
> people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
> and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
> variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
> knowledge.
>
> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
> signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
> how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
> wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
> transmission?

in sprict order asking I don't know, don't know, difering weather
conidctions, and becuase comercail needs relaiblity where we hams are
free to spend on trying stuff
>
>
> > when was that Jim
>
> A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.

and if folks had listene to experts of the day what would we have today

>
> >>> Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
> >>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
> >>>along the same lines
> >>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
> >>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>
> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
> (measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
> maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
> everyones standard) pictures.

>
> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
> believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
> going to steal the technology.
>
> Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the believers among
> themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>
> Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop up from
> time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced into th e real world?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 758. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:50:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123433415.449549.148630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115108

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > > > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
> > >
> > > What therapy? What medication?
> >
> > the threapy you so desperately need
>
cuting speling cop

> What therapy that I allegedly need?

asked and answered

by me and BB

>
> > the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
> > another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
> > out
>
> Your kind of "pros" we can do without.
>
> Look what they've done for you!

indeed look what they have done, You of course never saw where we
started.. and as much as the current stuff offends you well you should
thank them for their efforts
>
> > > Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> > > issues in others?
> >
> > therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
> > disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill
>
> No, Markie.
>
> I "insistance" that people who do not tell the truth are liars and
> those that demonstrate abnormal human behaviour are "mentaly" ill,
> Mark.

which is proof of you mental problems

you don't know turth, you don't know normal behavoir

you can't or will not choose to think or reason much at all

>
> And so far I've done a fair job of ferreting out the truth! We
> have you, Brain, and Lennie archived with your mistruths. Liars all.
> Lennie's more poetic with his and you're more prolific...Brain...?!?!
> Well, Brain will just take his cues from Lennie and hope for the best
> like any good PuppetBoy should.
>
> YOU stepped on your own tail and let the cat out of the bag about
> your own mental health involvement, but then I HAD been claiming that
> it was my professional opinion that you were in treatment.

were a LONG time ago

and nothing wrong with it, Indeed the only treatment for dyslexia a
condiction I admknedled ahveing to more than 7 years ago now

no steping on my tail

you just don't understand "Past" and "Present"

>
> Seems I had that right all along too!
>
> Again!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 759. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:55:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123433747.278262.276590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115109

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >> b.b. wrote:
> > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> > >
> > > cut
> > > > Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
> >
> > not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> > goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> > opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> > bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> > quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
>
> > we laughed and he made me a friend
>
>
> Why am I not suprised?
>
> I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> the air is a hoot. Thanks.

amusing that in all he pounces on he missed these posts and the one
pointing accsuing Len of perjury before the FCC was libel (wether or
not len was lying)

guess soon he will claim the posts were never made

## 760. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 16:56:30 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <LJMNWHA538571.7892361111@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115110

In article
<1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> Anonymous wrote:
> > In article
> > <1123285124.092291.201...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog
20/20,
> > > > > right, Marky?
> > > >
> > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > >
> > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> >
> > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision
Science."

Nice of you to prove my point, NostraDUMBASS!

> > Care to venture you've never
> > seen a wine list?
>
> Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer makes the
wine that
> you have referred to?

NostraDUMBASS, you got it wrong again. I guess you drunks stick
up for one another like you are doing for Marky "full of Capt."
Morgan.

## 761. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 17:20:27 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <yprJe.12153$6%2.6000@fe10.lga>
Source record: 115111

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your next effort?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, did you just associate the word effort with NCI? This is more about
the elimination of "effort."

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

## 762. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 17:24:51 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <GtrJe.12155$6%2.2321@fe10.lga>
Source record: 115112

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123381400.801460.295800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> 57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all
>> license classes requiring a code test.
>
> wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
> thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything

Then why ask in the first place?

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

## 763. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 17:26:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.17.26.55.205265@gmail.com>
Source record: 115116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle teddie:

Many things are highly improbable, I agree, such as evolution.

However, if you venture a religious belief in evolution, and then there
are millions of millions of planets out there near similar to earth, with
billions of billions of mud puddles on them--aliens become a given
probability.

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 12:23:09 -0400, Uncle Ted wrote:

> On Sat, 6 Aug 2005 22:30:50 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Uncle Teddie:
>>
>>Get used to the idea, else believe we crawled out of mud puddles,
>
> What "idea" are you talking about?
>
>>and if so,
>>get ready for the aliens which are likely on their way here--since there is a
>>great possibility some of them left the mud puddles of other planets before we
>>got our start here...
>
> There are a lot of things that are possible, but many of those
> possibilities are highly unlikely.
>
>>Anyway you cut it, don't look good...
>
> What don't look good?
>
>>Course, my rig and my antenna are not "christian", neither do they believe in
>>"mud puddle creatures"--they are in a heck of a predicament there...
>
> What "predicament" are you talking about? You're not making any sense.

## 764. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 17:27:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123435639.751152.82230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115113

From: b.b. on Aug 7, 7:02 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
>> regards to FCC NPRMs.
>
>> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
>> commenters' views on code testing.
>
>The ARRL's "substantive" poll has come and gone.
>
>WRT98-143 has come and gone.
>
>Best of Luck making your journey to the present.

Jimmie is still stuck in the PAST.

He is so tense he loses his tenses...it should be "what the
majority WANTED"...in the past tense.

As to "what happened [with regard to] NPRM 98-143," that is
all viewable on the FCC ECFS under that Docket number. In
short, there are 2,367 entries there up to and including the
FCC-official cut-off date of 15 January 1995. There are a
total of 2,671 entries under 98-143, some of which are
marked as received as late as 2005! That's indicative of
lots of folks stuck in some kind of Time Warp.

Report and Order 99-412, released in late December of 1999,
made NPRM 98-143 a thing of the past. Once an R&O is
issued, its Notice of Proposed Rule Making is NO LONGER a
notice but an ORDER.

NPRM 98-143 covered MANY different aspects of U.S. amateur
radio regulations BESIDES the morse code test. For an
excellent statistical summation on the ENTIRETY of the
Comments submitted, LeRoy Klose (KC8EPO) did an excellent
job in no less than 4 Exhibits to the FCC plus a Reply to
Comments (15 pages) which is a text tabulation of the
various Commenters, dated 25 and 26 January 1999. In those
it is quite evident that the no-code-test advocates were
the MAJORITY and NOT the minority as Miccolis alleges and
has alleged in past postings here.

FCC 99-412 was released, became LAW for U.S. radio amateurs
and that is that whether morsemen like it or not.

WT Docket 05-235 is about >>ONE<< specific change to U.S. amateur radio regulations: Elimination of Test Element 1 concerning the morse code test required now for a new (or "upgrade" to) General or Extra class U.S. amateur radio license. That PAST commentary, ARRL polls, or pipe-dreaming by morsemen are taken as "present day opinions" is invalid for the PRESENT.

Jimmie and other rabid morsemen are in deep denial of the growing desire of those interested in amateur radio to DO AWAY with the morse code test. That growth has burgeoned into a MAJORITY, not a minority any longer.

A problem with those in deep denial is that they simply cannot recognize a public desire which is opposed to their own self-centered personal desires on retaining some mythical standards and practices of past times when they "bought into" those old standards and practices. As a result we have all that spin doctoring by the morsemen doing a failing job of keeping archaic standards and practices alive. They are guilty only of necro-equine flagellation...i.e., "beating a dead horse."

bet not
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 765. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 17:29:41 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd5ge5$4en$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 115114

```
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in
news:xZgJe.1979$RZ2.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:X-qdnYrYNp59bGnfRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Bill:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say
>>>>>>IF, you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a
>>>>>>person to use code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine
>>>>>>protocols...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>>>>>certify ability to use digital modes?
>>>>>
>>>>>How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics in voice
>>>>>mode?
>>>>
>>>>How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to
>>>>ever build anything?
>>>
>>>
>>> Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
>>> ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
>>> responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they transmit.
>>
>> however, we can greatly simplify the testing process and regulatory
>> burden by not allowing hams to build anything. I believe that there
>> are examples of this already in some countries.
>
> If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
```

> right to bring the idea forward.
>
>>>>>Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>>>>>for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the case now and has
>>>>>always been the case.
>>>>
>>>>A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
>>>>regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
>>>
>>>
>>> Not so. Part 97 gives reasons
>>
>> Cannot Part 97 be changed to simplify the process and ease the burden
>> and eliminate the barriers?
>
> If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> right to bring the idea forward.
>
>>> AND, the international treaty
>>> still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
>>> mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge of radio,
>>> etc.
>>
>> There are ways around that. I believe that many countries, including
>> ours have worked their way around the rules.
>>
>> I believe all it would take is issuance of a booklet, and a signed
>> affidavit that the prospective ham has read and understood it. This
>> would be akin to the old Morse sending test elimination.
>
> I disagree, but again....
> If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> right to bring the idea forward.
>
>>>>*Why* should there be any testing?
>>>
>>> If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file your
>>> comments with the FCC accordingly.
>>
>> I do not, Bill. I personally think there isn't enough testing now,
>> Morse code issue aside. I think I'm on record as being accepting of
>> elimination of Element one if there is a corresponding increase in the
>> testing requirements. If not, I am now.
>
> OK...05-235 doesn't do what you want, however.
>
>> I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
>> available to people who feel otherwise.

>
> What pretty powerful tools are you thinking of
> and for what use? You lost me on that last comment.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

Although there are some licences that don't allow homebrew, none of them allow full power, i.e. I don't think anywhere has banned homebrew altogether. Of course, there are different ideas of what reduced power means, i.e. 10w in the UK where full power is only 400w, versus 200w in Canada where full power is 2,250w!

There may be more attempts to get a lower level licence in the US, maybe by Fred Maia, but the FCC has made it pretty clear in recent comments IMHO that they think the Tech is easy enough, so I don't think it will ever happen. End of story.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

# 766. Re: Learn the rules Steve (was Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 17:30:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123435840.485553.59410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115115

From: "b.b." on Sat 6 Aug 2005 18:18

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 4, 1:55 pm
>>
>>
>> >Steve,
>> >
>> >The comment period isn't OPEN yet (the release of the NPRM by the FCC
>> >doesn't "start the clock," it's the publication in the Federal Register,
>> >which has not yet happened.
>>
>> Heyo Carl! Welcome again.
>>
>> Sunnuvagun you are RIGHT! I checked the FR at the GPO site
>> and there is nothing there yet from 15 July (the NPRM release
>> date) through 4 August!

[wasn't there in the Federal Register for 5 Aug 05 either...]

>> Amazing...two weeks gone on this NPRM and it hasn't started yet!
>>
>> Even worse, at least a dozen ham-interest websites have made it
>> a cause celebre, front-page headline thing (which it is)...and
>> lots of folks are now urging Comments to be sent in! Everyone
>> from Nancy Kott at FISTS on out... :-)
>
>That's impossible. FISTS is non-political, and all things Morse Code
>related are PURE! So that's impossible. Completely impossible.

To morsemen minds, that's absolutely true.

They think there is no better "music" than the on-off keying of
an RF carrier wave by the mislabeled "international language"
of morse code. ALL "interested in [ham] radio MUST test for
that skill...to "show dedication and committment to the
amateur community" (which consists of the individual mind of
each morseman in their hive-collective).

>> >Thus, technically speaking, while the docket is open in the ECFS, comments
>> >filed now are "premature," so I would suggest you consider refraining from
>> >"dis-ing" people over something where they are behaving in a completely
>> >appropriate manner.
>>
>> Stebie don't need no steenking rules! :-)

>
>Bet Steve got "steenking Badges!"

Stebie's cigar box of medals really needs an air freshener...

>> See 25 Jan 99 on 98-143, 10 days AFTER the supposed window close
>> on the Restructuring NPRM. Stebie was in there dissing me. :-)
>> He hasn't stopped since.
>
>Same Stuff, Different Millenium.

Poor feller hasn't learned to "play well with others" in six
years. I've given up on optimism of his changing enough to
get along with others. As Jeswald likes to say, "Ya 'jes
cain't fix stupid."

fix not
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 767. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 17:31:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.17.31.11.836080@gmail.com>
Source record: 115117

Len:

With each passing year mother nature war on the ancient brass pounders continues. This story has been told in many different countries in many different forms, here we are probably most familiar with "The Rabbit and the Hare."

Slow and steady stay the course, in the end progress and determination succeed...

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 19:42:24 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 6, 3:36 pm
>
>>b.b.:
>>
>>Trust me, the internet gets through when CW will not...
>
> Yes, yes, John, it is useful for arranging skeds, holding rag-chews,
> but the Internet NEEDS a personal computer! All the Mighty Macho
> Morsemen know the "computer" is evil, high-tech bafflegab, and
> THREATENS the Wetware modems now "in the service of the nation"
> helping Homeland Security against wily terrorists out to destroy
> their 1930s fantasy of hamme raddio and morsemanship.
>
> Ahem..."CW gets through when nothing else will" is a hoary old
> maxim (probably originating in Newington) that has been around
> since the 1930s...back at a time when Claude Shannon had not
> shown the radio world his marvelous Law relating bandwidth,
> noise, error rate, and information throughput...back at a time
> when there were only two modes in most of ham radio: CW and
> old-fashioned AM voice. That "morse myth" has been engraved
> on the concrete minds of hams seemingly forever.
>
> At one time in here there was a pandemic epidemic of that
> hoary hairy old morse myth over-quoted in here by the MMMs
> of the deus ex machina mindset. Never mind the Latter-Day
> Saints of Technology trying out PSK or 2 KHz BW SSB or fooling
> with digitized voice, they, the Faithful Followers of the
> Church of St. Hiram HAD to write that ad nauseum.
>
> Brian put that hairy old hoar's breath into a TRUE
> statement: "CW gets through when everything else does."
> It is suprebly TRUE and without blemish.

>
> End newsgroup history lesson.
>
> awl end
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 768. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 18:41:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123440073.007965.182970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115118

>You mean like YOU were going to frag me to AOL...?!?!

YOU would do something like that, but not me, that's what makes me
better then you.

Todd N9OGL

## 769. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 19:09:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123441748.906736.103340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115119

Bert Craig wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123381400.801460.295800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> 57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all
> >> license classes requiring a code test.
> >
> > wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
> > thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything
>
> Then why ask in the first place?

one becuase they are required to by law

two to see if there is something they overlooked

I guess the LAW is something you like to ignore if it gets in your way
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

## 770. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 19:12:56 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ikv11k2.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 115120

On Sun, 7 Aug 2005 13:24:51 -0400, Bert Craig wrote:

>> wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
>> thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything
>
>Then why ask in the first place?

A. Because the Administrative Procedures Act required it and

B. To see how many ya-yas and yuck-yucks come out of the woodwork.

Relieves the tensions of 8 hours "in the box" sandwiched between two
hours of car-pool on either end.. Maybe that's why I never went to HQ.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

## 771. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 19:36:40 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <fptJe.14777$_R1.5788@fe11.lga>
Source record: 115121

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123441748.906736.103340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123381400.801460.295800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> 57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all
>> >> license classes requiring a code test.
>> >
>> > wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
>> > thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything
>>
>> Then why ask in the first place?
>
> one becuase they are required to by law
>
> two to see if there is something they overlooked
>
> I guess the LAW is something you like to ignore if it gets in your way

If you only knew how wrong you are... <hihi>

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

## 772. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 19:42:25 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <EutJe.14778$_R1.6834@fe11.lga>
Source record: 115122

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikv11k2.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
> On Sun, 7 Aug 2005 13:24:51 -0400, Bert Craig wrote:
>
>>> wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
>>> thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything
>>
>>Then why ask in the first place?
>
> A. Because the Administrative Procedures Act required it and
>
> B. To see how many ya-yas and yuck-yucks come out of the woodwork.
>
> Relieves the tensions of 8 hours "in the box" sandwiched between two
> hours of car-pool on either end.. Maybe that's why I never went to HQ.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Lol! Thanks for the honest answer, Phil. As always, it's appreciated.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

# 773. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 19:43:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123443830.656862.214220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115123

From: Alun L. Palmer on Aug 7, 10:29 am

>"Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message

>>>> If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file your
>>>> comments with the FCC accordingly.
>
>>> I do not, Bill. I personally think there isn't enough testing now,
>>> Morse code issue aside. I think I'm on record as being accepting of
>>> elimination of Element one if there is a corresponding increase in the
>>> testing requirements. If not, I am now.
>
>> OK...05-235 doesn't do what you want, however.
>
>>> I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
>>> available to people who feel otherwise.
>
>> What pretty powerful tools are you thinking of
>> and for what use? You lost me on that last comment.
>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
>Although there are some licences that don't allow homebrew, none of them
>allow full power, i.e. I don't think anywhere has banned homebrew
>altogether. Of course, there are different ideas of what reduced power
>means, i.e. 10w in the UK where full power is only 400w, versus 200w in
>Canada where full power is 2,250w!

"Full power" is a very subjective term. That's NOT an issue
in WT Docket 05-235 regardless of how many are trying to
ignite differing wars of words in here.

No problem on power output for those that learn what to do.
I've operated 40 KW peak-power HF transmitters with as much
ease as handling a 20 W peak-power HF transceiver on a
manpack (AN/PRC-104). From RF power levels of around 100 W
output, there's a proportional increase in possible damage
to the human body with higher powers, plus a greater primary
power demand (i.e., higher electricity bills), and greater

cooling necessity in warm climates. Not to mention increasing
out of pocket expenses whether the transmitter is home-built
or ready-built.

>There may be more attempts to get a lower level licence in the US, maybe by
>Fred Maia, but the FCC has made it pretty clear in recent comments IMHO
>that they think the Tech is easy enough, so I don't think it will ever
>happen. End of story.

The ARRL has blessed and sactified the "entry level license"
as Technician class...which should be the end-all of that,
should it not? :-)

Curiously, the ARRL once upon a time lobbied long and hard
to get the ORIGINAL "entry level license" of Novice and did
get it. Yet, they fell down on the job of supporting it in
following years. Novice class numbers have been decreasing
for over a dozen years, longer than the existance of the
no-code-test Technician class license.

However, WT Docket 05-235 doesn't call for a "new license
class" or change any class names or even talk about an "entry
level." All it does is propose to eliminate the morse code
test for ALL U.S. amateur radio license classes.

WT Docket 05-235 is NOT about any "restructuring" of rules
save for the regulations about Test Element 1. However, if
the code test is eliminated, it will be the Death of Ham
Radio As They Know It to the rabid morsemen and they will
mourn its passing with mighty lamentations, sack cloth,
and ashes. The majority of amateur radio hobbyists will
continue on, adjusting to the new regulations, and possibly
embrace NEWER things besides a primitive communications
coding first used 161 years ago.

old new
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 774. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 19:46:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123443992.581181.244920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115124

From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am

>an old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:

>>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
>>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>
>>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>propagation phenomena.

Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
of high speed digital transmission?"

Shannon's Law is absolute, proven by experiment, embraced by all
the OTHER radio services. Shannon's Law is 58 years old and
mature, both de facto and de jure.

"Vagaries of wave propagation phenomena" [at HF due to ionospheric
changes] has been well-known to academia, the commercial and
government users of HF since the 1930s. The most well-known
(to non-amateur communications on HF) is "selective fading,"
a relatively short-duration change of BOTH amplitude and phase
over a relatively narrow band-span. In commercial SSB (using
12 KHz bandwidth format of four 3 KHz separate voice-grade
circuits) this was much reduced in its effect by simply
sending TWO tone-pair sets for each AFSK TTY circuit, the pair
separated by about 1 to 2 KHz; voting circuitry at the receive
end picked out the "best" received signal. That reduced at
least 95 percent of the error effects of selective fading.

The "charge" that high-speed data transmission is "impossible"
due to some (unquantified) "phase changes" has been thrashed
and discarded by at least two HF digital voice transmission
methods, one of which (DRM or Digital Radio Mondial) has been
in test for five years and is now heard over two dozen HF
broadcasts. DRM can carry binaural ("stereo") audio. The
bandwidth occupancy is NO GREATER than a conventional monoaural
AM transmission. The key to such untilization of a relatively
narrow bandwidth for higher throughput lies in examination of
the environment and using coding theory to fit that environment.

Will it send "high-quality" digital pictures in data mode? YES, but at relatively slow transmission rates depending on the bandwidth used/allowed/allocated. Shannon's Law is irrefutable. It will NOT carry "live, feature-length motion pictures." [that seems to be your goal but that goal is not possible to attain] It can send high-density image data without problem, with a minimum of error, but a cost of waiting a relatively long time for each image.

There are OTHER forms of on-the-fly determination of "the vagaries of propagation" [on HF]. The U.S. government has been using a standardized method for about five years now called Automatic Link Establishment or ALE. While perhaps not adapatable to amateur radio HF applications, it is a system for continuously monitoring signal quality, a scan of other predetermined frequencies to check their quality, and automatic changeover to whichever predetermined frequency signal quality is best. ALE on HF has been devised and tested expressly for HF beginning about two decades ago.

Both of the above mainly-HF systems have been little publicized in the amateur radio press. That is a fault of the amateur radio publishers, not the system. It is NOT the simplistic basic-level radio theory (and coding theory) which can be easily digested in a single reading sit-down. They require THINKING, "non-traditional" thinking away from what had been theory of a half century ago and propagated as "state-of-the-art" long after its first appearance.

On pushing throughput to much greater rates in relatively narrow bandwidths, consider the advances in amateur radio HF techniques over the last half century. In the 1950s the "standard" RTTY frequency-shift was 850 Hz for 60 WPM TTY 5-level coding. Today it is 170 Hz for 100 WPM 8-level TTY coding. AM voice used to take at least 6 KHz bandwidth but single-channel suppressed-carrier sideband cut that in half plus reducing the old AM heterodynes from CW carriers. PKS31 innovated and devised in the UK, tested in Europe, was a 30 WPM RTTY system using a bandwidth no larger than a conventional on-off keyed "CW" (morse code) signal. Those are now "accepted" methods because the amateur radio press has publicized it.

However, in OTHER areas, look at the common, ordinary PC modem operating with Plain Old Telephone System (POTS) lines of 3 KHz bandwidth. The data rate over the "56K" modem is about NINETEEN TIMES FASTER than "straight" analog AM. MILLIONS are used each day in the USA alone. You don't look into the WHY of such a large increase in throughput and I don't understand why you don't. The answer lies in combinatorial modulation PLUS some rather

simple coding theory to increase the data bit rate to a top of 56 THOUSAND bits per second. The modulation of the modem's audio tone carrier is a combination of AM and PM. It does NOT violate Shannon's Law. Such an EQUIVALENT system could be applied to HF (it is in the TORs or Teletype Over Radio outboard boxes) but that can be incorporated into an HF transceiver as an integral part. All of the radio amateurs, duly licensed as part of the "nation's service" and complete with federally-authorized call signs, seem to be satisfied with the LAZY way out...let someone else do the innovation and design. They won't "accept" it until the product ad appears in QST ready for shipment, has reviews from the "ARRL Lab" and all can argue over the ad specifications. Packaged innovation ready to go. Done by OTHERS. Everything for "the bands" (meaning only HF). "Standardized." :-(

I've brought up "scaling" of data rates before but that seems to be a non-understanding topic. It isn't in a convenient QST or CQ or QEX article so it isn't "accepted." Yet SCALING is done (has been for decades) in antenna testing as well as data rate. Look at high-definition television broadcasting that is now phasing in to consumers. The image throughput is more than doubled PLUS extra data is sent for quadraphonic sound (not just "stereo") and closed-captioning in a channel space NO LARGER than (in the USA) 6 MHz. It's a three times REDUCTION in bandwidth...PLUS more than double the amount of video data. The secret is in the MPEG (Motion Picture Experts Group) digitized video data coding and compression. Not only that, the image/sound quality is very nearly FREE of all the "propagation vagaries" due to phase and analog changes from moving reflections, greater immunity to random noise (such as from tools or appliances). SOMETHING EQUIVALENT might be done for audio on HF...perhaps scaling down the present-day SSB bandwidth of about 2.1 KHz to just 700 Hz or maybe 1 KHz...more than double the band occupancy for voice signals and with much greater immunity to "flutter" and selective fading effects.

Look at the WLANs (Wireless Local Area Networks) now in use by the hundreds of thousands daily, perhaps a dozen or more in the local vicinity of other WLANs...AND in the same band as cordless telephones (dozens more) and high-data-rate systems such as CCTV monitors or music distribution (dozens more). Each is NOT INTERFERED WITH by all the other local systems, all can operate as if the others did not exist. The secret to their success is Distributed Spread Spectrum techniques plus coding theory. Every system EXISTS in the same bandwidth yet each is separate and undisturbed by others. No "heterodynes," no need for

fancy, expensive filters-in-the-IF, or ultimate refinements of decades-old conventional techniques.

But, you don't seem to care about such possibilities or even getting a hint of what might be possible. You, like way too many others will only "accept" something if someone else has worked it out and it is a PRODUCT on the market. Then you can sit around and natter about the advertising phrases and argue someone's "lab reviews" and sound like "expert radiomen" of "extra" class when you don't know dink about its insides. Intellectual SLOTH. LAZINESS. All you wanna do is play wid yer raddios and pretend to radio greatness. <shrug>

dit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 775. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 19:56:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123444569.409150.284780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115125

Bert Craig wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123441748.906736.103340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1123381400.801460.295800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> 57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all
> >> >> license classes requiring a code test.
> >> >
> >> > wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
> >> > thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything
> >>
> >> Then why ask in the first place?
> >
> > one becuase they are required to by law
> >
> > two to see if there is something they overlooked
> >
> > I guess the LAW is something you like to ignore if it gets in your way
>
> If you only knew how wrong you are... <hihi>

intersting ask a question get 2 answers that are basicaly the same rude
to polite the other
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

## 776. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 20:02:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123444976.414052.83420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115126

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > > > > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
> > > >
> > > > What therapy? What medication?
> > >
> > > the threapy you so desperately need
> > >
> > > the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
> > > another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
> > > out
> >
> > My best guess is "God Complex."
>
> I have no doubt that Brain has the same mental health case workers
> that Markie does...same "fuzzies-in-the-pocket" diagnosis.

Delusional in there somewhere and a porblem with spacial reality, as
well

>
> > > > Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> > > > issues in others?
> > >
> > > therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
> > > disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill
> >
> > God is infallible.
>
> That's yet to be proven. A brief review of world poverty,
> neonatal demise and the rise of AIDS, just to name a few, leads me to
> question the "omnipotent, benevolent diety" theories.

Whoossh right over your head

or is it another stevie evasion

>
> > All others are mere mortals with failings.
>
> Yes, you are.

But You are right Godcomplex is in the mix somewhere BB
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 777. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 20:03:05 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <JVBYQZAA38571.9188078704@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115127

In article <1rgzx1h74jn6n.12v0bb9fqtffx.dlg@40tude.net>
Radio Active <m...@munged.poo> wrote:
>
> On 5 Aug 2005 03:02:50 -0700, N9OGL wrote:
>
> > Fuck you Steve you fucking little troll, why don't you crawl
back under
> > that fucking rock where you came from and when want to hear
from your
> > bullying ass we'll ask.
>
> Oh my, do you kiss your mother with that mouth?
>
> Ed

Yes, he does, that's the only date he gets when she comes down
to the basement to do the laundry.

## 778. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 21:13:38 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <9QuJe.25935$Kx6.14824@fe12.lga>
Source record: 115128

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123444569.409150.284780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123441748.906736.103340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > I guess the LAW is something you like to ignore if it gets in your way
>>
>> If you only knew how wrong you are... <hihi>
>
> intersting ask a question get 2 answers that are basicaly the same rude
> to polite the other

Mark, (KB9RQZ?)

The difference between your reply and Phil's is your addition of the
statement above. Phil answered the question with the insight of a fmr. FCC
employee. He made no sarcastic quips based on a guess re. my
approach to "the LAW."

In essence, it is you who closed with a rude statement. BTW, I did not mean
to be rude to you. <hihi> is meant as a friendly chuckle, similar to :-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

# 779. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 21:43:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Wt-dnZ2dnZ38rPKXnZ2dne0da9-dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115129

an old friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>k...@dvol.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> I'm firmly convinced that many of the people that think of Element 1 as
>>>>>the "great barrier" will be dismayed when they find out that there are
>>>>>other barriers to Amateur radio.
>>>>
>>>>"I tried but I'm just not able to learn the code." I heard it in the
>>>>1950's and I still hear it today. The global-standard copout which
>>>>probably goes back to 1912.
>>>
>>>
>>>ah yes accusing everyone that disagrees of laziness we have been
>>>hearing that a long time too
>>>
>>>In 1912 there were reason you needed to be able to USE morse code,
>>>today there is no NEED to USE it at all and yte we test for for it
>>>awhile longer
>>
>> I can't find any real reason why there is any need for testing for
>>anything in Amateur radio any more.
>
>
>
> then propose that to the FCC

Why do you and Bill keep saying that? I have made it clear on many
occasions that I don't support such a thing.

>> That doesn't mean that I don't support testing. It means that Hams and
>>our regulatory bodies have to get together and form a consensus on just
>>what we *want* Amateur radio to be. Do we want it to be a body of people
>
>
> one the basic you basic premise is in error
>

> The rules MUST reflect what is in the interest of the PUBLIC, these are
> a subset of the PUBLIC airwaves
>
> Public regulation must reflect public interests

Heheh There is another argument in favor of no testing. If the public interest is having barriers removed, then there should be no testing in order to allow more people to become hams. Thanks for the point!

>
>>with some form of technical knowledge? So be it. We can do that But we
>>can indeed turn the Amateur bands into something else indeed. We can
>>channelize them, we can eliminate experimentation, we can reduce maximum
>>power levels, and we can ensure that only type accepted equipment is
>>used. At that point, we can eliminate testing altogether.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>Putting together a station is probably
>>>>>harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a
>>>>>*good* station is definitely so.
>>>>
>>>>Agreed. The upcoming wave of nocode Extras and Generals will have to
>>>>face and resolve the same age-old problems us 20wpm OFs have faced for
>>>>decades when it comes to put up or shut up time as it relates to
>>>>actually operating in the HF bands. Like being able to spend the money
>>>>it takes to acquire decent HF equipment, having the ingenuity and
>>>
>>>
>>>already got a decent rig, and one since it has VHF and UHF abilities I
>>>have been the air for years with
>>
>> Think about other people.
>
>
> Every Tech I know with all mode abilities all have at least ONE rig
> also able to do HF

And none that I know do.

> look at what is on the market
>
>
>>>>knowledge needed to home-brew decent antennas for constricted spaces
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't need to to worry about constricted spaces I at least own 58
>>>acress I don't think that even 160m will be a problem
>>
>> Does everyone? Think big-picture. Our own personal circumstances are

>>not everyones.
>
>
> you presented as a absolute need an ability that doesn't aply to some
> of us.

Of course it doesn't apply to some people. It sounds like your idea of testing is to have a separate test for every facet of Ham radio.

> In other words you are making stuff up that ain't always so
>
>
> your rant was flawed, I punched a hole in it

Okay, that is what you consider punching a hole in my argument??

So be it.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 780. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 21:48:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yPidneUsiokoHGvfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115130

an_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

>> There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital HF won't
>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that caused hams
>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>
>
> agreed there are reasons of course as there were then
>
> but it is folks like you with "it can not be Done.. therefore it should
> not be disused etc. that insure it can't be done
>
> I choose to look at a thorny problem and try to see if I can make
> lemonaide, maybe brew those throns in a decent cup of Tea
>
>> Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there. Many
>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>>knowledge.
>>
>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>>signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>>transmission?
>
>
> in sprict order asking I don't know, don't know, difering weather
> conidctions, and becuase comercail needs relaiblity where we hams are
> free to spend on trying stuff

Yep, that is what I figured.

- Mike KB3EIA - -

## 781. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 21:50:45 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <89563d03c166a151e71f9e3dbc6808ad@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 115132

In article <1123378380.907455.7410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:

> Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives,
and
> "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher
wines.
> That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to
Steve.

It's not a secret about Mad Dog 20/20, NostraDUMBASS, perhaps
you should get out of the basement more.
But that would involve you getting a pair, eh?

> Fair enough. Does the label actually claim "Mad Dog" or does
is state
> "MD?"

Your ignorance has no bounds.

> I do need to get my ears lowered.

Do us all a favor and have it done from the neck up.

# 782. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 21:53:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zqadncxHKq0gH2vfRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115131

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am
>
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>
>
>>>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
>>>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
>>>>
>>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>>
>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>propagation phenomena.
>
>
> Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
> of high speed digital transmission?"

Dave wrote that last. But I agree with him

And the answer to "why" is that other people bring it up. So I answer.

Is that not allowed?

> The "charge" that high-speed data transmission is "impossible"

Who said that? It is most certainly possible. We just have to be
patient, very patient.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 783. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:18:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <CMvJe.4735$ns.1791@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sure he is. Baxter is NOT stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.06.07.13.37.794028@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> Now that you mention it, yeah. I think the boy is trying to make a point
> there, just wonder how many really catch on?
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 22:51:31 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> So that's why MAN plays the ARRL bulletins on his show, eh?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.03.18.56.25.63070@gmail.com...
>>>
>>> Lloyd:
>>>
>>> My gawd man, K1MAN is a GOD! He cannot be judged as a mere mortal!
>>>
>>> If he wasn't posing such a danger to the "arrl enterprises, inc." he
>>> would
>>> probably have a write up in Forbes Magazine.
>>>
>>> His best trait is throwing some light on the arrl and perhaps awakening
>>> the brain dead to the fact arrl needs a severe "hand slapping" and
>>> restructuring.
>>>
>>> However, I think the sorry state of things is that those who already
>>> have
>>> the gray matter are already pi$$ed at the con job, the others will never
>>> know.
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 18:33:22 +0000, Lloyd wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 3 Aug 2005 10:40:54 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> ----------
>>>>> N9OGL IS WORKING ON A FILING TO COMMENT ON K1MAN RENEWAL
>>>>> ----------
>>>>> N9OGL is filing a comment to the Federal Communication Commission on
>>>>> the renewal of K1MAN's license. N9OGL is filing the comment not for
>>>>> nor
>>>>> against K1MAN but attends to raise some questions about the recent
>>>>
>>>> I attend too raise some questions also. K1MAN is a great man and
>>>> a humanatarian who is missunderstood by the little pple. it would
>>>> be a travestation if K1MAN isnt renewed and I will say so to them
>>>> in a calm rationel manner when I write so that I dont sound like
>>>> Dan or Steve do in rrap.
>>>
>

# 784. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:22:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <mQvJe.4737$ns.2125@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123329129.688765.17800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Some of the points to my petition:
>
> 1. This petition is neither for nor against K1MAN renewal, instead it
> is being sent to the commission for the purpose of the FCC to answer a
> few questions. These question mostly circle around the recent NAL given
> to K1MAN.
>

BWAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAA....you seriously expect the FCC to answer a question from
YOU?

> 2. INTERFERENCE: The question of why the FCC seems to be going only
> after K1MAN when W1AW has also been reported to have cause
> interference. It seems funny that since 1987 the FCC has repeatedly
> sent warning letters and NAL's to K1MAN and not W1AW, who has been
> reported to begin transmitting over ongoing communications. It is also
> rather funny that amateur's, even the ones that W1AW has transmitted
> over, seem to target only K1MAN.
>

FCC responds to complaints. The issue is K1MAN, not W1AW. If you got a
problem with W1AW, send in a complaint. If you got the gonads that is.
>

> 3. PECUNIARY INTEREST: Amateur radio is a non-commercial service and
> according to the rules and regulations amateur radio stations cannot
> have pecuniary interest either direct or indirect. The first of the
> questions is why does the FCC have a double standard or definition to
> the term non-commercial? Non-commercial under the general rule is a
> station that can't make a profit; instead a station can make money, to
> sustain the station. Non-commercial FM and AM radio station due it
> through viewer support and non-commercial point to point services do it
> through state and federal funds ALL other service are able to get funds
> to run their stations either commercial and non-commercial. The second
> is direct or indirect rule. K1MAN on his Information bulletin
> advertised his website which had commercial goods on it (DIRECT) while
> when some one listens to W1AW, like a short-wave listener, or a future
> ham operator they would get on the Internet and do a search for W1AW,
> which in term would lead them to the ARRL site, site, which also has
> goods for sale (INDIRECT). So the question is why is the FCC going
> after the ARRL for their indirect sale of goods? It seems that the FCC
> has a double standard when it comes to who can bend the rules and who

> can't. Which leads to the finally question, Does announcing your
> website on the radio (even if it to some guys your talking too) and it
> has commercial advertisement on it really constitute pecuniary
> interest? And again if so then why is the ARRL allowed to sale products
> on their website.
>

<SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually that
ignorant are you?
>

> 4. Broadcasting: Under the FCC rules governing amateur radio, ham
> operators are not allowed to broadcast, however under 97.3(a)(25) and
> 97.111(b)(6) amateur can run Information bulletins. The questions are
> where does the line between Information bulletin end and broadcasting
> begin? Many amateurs believe that an Information bulletin is to be a
> newscast and is not allowed to opinionated. Which raises the second and
> third question, where in the amateur rules does it state an information
> bulletin cannot be opinionated? Third does these "non-opinionated
> rules" allowed under the "non-content based rules" (the Courts has
> ruled repeatedly that the FCC rules must be content-neutral) or Under
> Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 as amended, (prohibits the
> FCC from control the content of station except for obscene and indecent
> material) or the First Amendment
>

The FCC has answered that question. It is UP TO THE CONTROL OPERATOR.

Your shot goof.

Dan/W4NTI

## 785. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:27:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <rVvJe.4740$ns.4265@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115135

Of course, but Communism is done, right??? hi hi.

Russia has NOT dropped Morse. Nor has most of the ex Eastern Block countries.

Years ago when I was in Germany in the US Army it was decided to fire back up on CW training. The reason was because the Ruskies were having a field day trashing our HF nets. We were on AM/SSB/RATT (RTTY), and they would get close, or right on top of them on CW.

It was a total flop because hardly anyone in the US Military new Morse well enough to copy it.

Point is this. The Russians and their close neighbors are smart enough to realize Morse is a worth while mode and will continue its use.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.06.00.41.08.799185@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> What communist counties do is almost always in the interest of the shadow
> gov't really running the country. That is supposed to be surprising?
>
> John
>
> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 00:06:36 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>> have
>> all decided to keep CW.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:8JRIe.97$hq7.1278027@news.sisna.com...
>>> Dave:
>>>
>>> Well, there is debate and argument for good and reason, and then there
>>> is
>>> not...
>>>
>>> Then there is religious devotion to a test which serves only a select
>>> few...

>>>
>>> It would be interesting if the powers that be were to decide in keeping
>>> CW--and then explain why they alone in the world community made that
>>> decision, frankly, I would be happy not to have that task...
>>>
>>> There are plentiful examples of insanity in this world... that old book
>>> which bears the title something like "The Emperor Wore No Clothes" is as
>>> meaningful today as the day it was written...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>> news:H7RIe.1646$Wi6.463@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>>> N2EY:
>>>>>
>>>>> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
>>>>> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see
>>>>> what
>>>>> bites...
>>>>>
>>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> At least that's how you do it, "John".
>>>>
>>>> Dave K8MN
>>>>
>>>>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old
>>>>>>>key
>>>>>>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
>>>>>>>LIARS!"
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Here's the whole story:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
>>>>>>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>(have you done so?)

>>>>>>
>>>>>>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
>>>>>>been
>>>>>>used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
>>>>>>licensing".
>>>>>>The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
>>>>>>before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was
>>>>>>10
>>>>>>wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
>>>>>>radio
>>>>>>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
>>>>>>efforts
>>>>>>of a few enthusiasts.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
>>>>>>1927. The
>>>>>>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>>>>>>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
>>>>>>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
>>>>>>receiver
>>>>>>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
>>>>>>but few
>>>>>>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
>>>>>>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
>>>>>>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>>>>>>typewriters for
>>>>>>highspeed copy).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927
>>>>>>came
>>>>>>into
>>>>>>effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40
>>>>>>was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
>>>>>>signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
>>>>>>radio. The
>>>>>>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935
>>>>>>there
>>>>>>were

>>>>>>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>>>>>>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
>>>>>>year.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
>>>>>>technical
>>>>>>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>>>>>>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>>>>>>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>>>>>>threatened the existence of ham radio.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
>>>>>>to pass
>>>>>>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
>>>>>>proper
>>>>>>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
>>>>>>these
>>>>>>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
>>>>>>their
>>>>>>knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
>>>>>>concerned, as
>>>>>>was the ARRL.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
>>>>>>code
>>>>>>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>>>>>>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
>>>>>>the NCI
>>>>>>article.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>>>>>>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>>>>>>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>>>>>>and knowledge.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
>>>>>>sense.
>>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>>
>>>
>

## 786. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:29:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <QWvJe.4742$ns.2675@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115136

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123353658.637690.155660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>> have
>> all decided to keep CW.
>
> and you WANT the US to keep such company
>>

Absolutely I want us to keep such company.

Hows the old saying go? Keep your enemies close and your friends closer.

Dan/W4NTI

## 787. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:33:10 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <G_vJe.518$bm3.514@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 115137

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ikv11k2.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
> On Sun, 7 Aug 2005 13:24:51 -0400, Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >> wrong the FCC looked at it and did their JOB and ruled on what they
> >> thought was in the Public Interest, they did not ignore anything
> >
> >Then why ask in the first place?
>
> A. Because the Administrative Procedures Act required it and
>
> B. To see how many ya-yas and yuck-yucks come out of the woodwork.
>
> Relieves the tensions of 8 hours "in the box" sandwiched between two
> hours of car-pool on either end.. Maybe that's why I never went to HQ.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Wow! Howdy, Phil!

Kim W5TIT

# 788. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:38:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.22.38.17.900606@gmail.com>
Source record: 115138

Dan:

Too bad the americans had not developed HS Digital Checksum Error
Corrected Voice Transmission Packet methods over spread spectrum... could
have filtered the CW audio and went right on, would have pi$$ed 'em off in
style! Oh well, too late for back then, but today we can!

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 22:27:35 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Of course, but Communism is done, right??? hi hi.
>
> Russia has NOT dropped Morse. Nor has most of the ex Eastern Block
> countries.
>
> Years ago when I was in Germany in the US Army it was decided to fire back
> up on CW training. The reason was because the Ruskies were having a field
> day trashing our HF nets. We were on AM/SSB/RATT (RTTY), and they would
> get close, or right on top of them on CW.
>
> It was a total flop because hardly anyone in the US Military new Morse well
> enough to copy it.
>
> Point is this. The Russians and their close neighbors are smart enough to
> realize Morse is a worth while mode and will continue its use.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.06.00.41.08.799185@gmail.com...
>> Dan:
>>
>> What communist counties do is almost always in the interest of the shadow
>> gov't really running the country. That is supposed to be surprising?
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 00:06:36 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>>> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>>> have
>>> all decided to keep CW.
>>>

>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:8JRIe.97$hq7.1278027@news.sisna.com...
>>>> Dave:
>>>>
>>>> Well, there is debate and argument for good and reason, and then there
>>>> is
>>>> not...
>>>>
>>>> Then there is religious devotion to a test which serves only a select
>>>> few...
>>>>
>>>> It would be interesting if the powers that be were to decide in keeping
>>>> CW--and then explain why they alone in the world community made that
>>>> decision, frankly, I would be happy not to have that task...
>>>>
>>>> There are plentiful examples of insanity in this world... that old book
>>>> which bears the title something like "The Emperor Wore No Clothes" is as
>>>> meaningful today as the day it was written...
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:H7RIe.1646$Wi6.463@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>>>> N2EY:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
>>>>>> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see
>>>>>> what
>>>>>> bites...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> At least that's how you do it, "John".
>>>>>
>>>>> Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old
>>>>>>>>key
>>>>>>>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are DAMN
>>>>>>>>LIARS!"
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Here's the whole story:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles in
>>>>>>>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>(have you done so?)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing has
>>>>>>>been
>>>>>>>used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
>>>>>>>licensing".
>>>>>>>The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24 years
>>>>>>>before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was
>>>>>>>10
>>>>>>>wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is important
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1, ham
>>>>>>>radio
>>>>>>>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated
>>>>>>>efforts
>>>>>>>of a few enthusiasts.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
>>>>>>>1927. The
>>>>>>>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>>>>>>>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical ham
>>>>>>>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical ham
>>>>>>>receiver
>>>>>>>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques existed,
>>>>>>>but few
>>>>>>>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was not
>>>>>>>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was more
>>>>>>>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>>>>>>>typewriters for
>>>>>>>highspeed copy).
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927
>>>>>>>came
>>>>>>>into
>>>>>>>effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of 40
>>>>>>>was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
>>>>>>>signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon afterwards.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
>>>>>>>radio. The
>>>>>>>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935
>>>>>>>there
>>>>>>>were
>>>>>>>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>>>>>>>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
>>>>>>>year.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
>>>>>>>technical
>>>>>>>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>>>>>>>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>>>>>>>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>>>>>>>threatened the existence of ham radio.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just enough
>>>>>>>to pass
>>>>>>>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
>>>>>>>proper
>>>>>>>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many of
>>>>>>>these
>>>>>>>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations of
>>>>>>>their
>>>>>>>knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
>>>>>>>concerned, as
>>>>>>>was the ARRL.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise the
>>>>>>>code
>>>>>>>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>>>>>>>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored by
>>>>>>>the NCI
>>>>>>>article.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>>>>>>>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>>>>>>>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>>>>>>>and knowledge.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936 makes
>>>>>>>sense.
>>>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY

>>>>>>
>>>>
>>

# 789. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:43:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.22.43.18.541400@gmail.com>
Source record: 115139

Len:

I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!

We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!

John

On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 19:39:27 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 6, 7:23 am
>
>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>>>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>>>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>>>>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>>
>>> I thought so to. But why didn't FCC simply dump Element 1 two years
>>> ago, after WRC 2003 ended the treaty requirement?
>>
>> Institutional inertia.
>
> INCORRECT.
>
> 1. The LAW (try the Communications Act of 1934 for starters)
> doesn't work that way.
>
> 2. The FCC could legally do it just the same but would face
> later LAW in the Courts from all the outraged membership
> organizations, enough to delay everything for years more.
>
> 3. The ARRL lobbyists (both the law firm and the lobbying
> firm) were dead set against the FCC doing anything without
> "the League's Permission."
>
>
>>> Is there any chance that *any* pro-code-test discussion will
>>> have *any* effect on the outcome?

>>
>> Negative.
>
> ANY negative with another negative added to it will still be
> NEGATIVE.
>
>
>>> Suppose - just suppose - that after all the comments are in, the
>>> majority of commenters support at least some code testing. Will FCC
>>> change their position?
>>
>> I believe that the way the argument is framed is critical. It seems
>>that the argument has been put forth about getting rid of a regulation.
>>And we all "know" that regulation is a bad thing. Element 1 goes away. I
>>consider the odds of it staying are about the same as a singularity
>>popping up.
>
> WOW! Those SOUR GRAPES ripened FAST...you've already made gallons
> of WHINE out of it!
>
> Prosit.
>
>
>>>>Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
>>>>comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
>>>>Hams are of the same opinion?
>>
>>>> *Is* it a representative sample?
>>
>>> Does that matter?
>>
>> No it doesn't' matter. I simply want to point out to people such as
>>Bill and Jhxn that the comments are not even close to a statistically
>>proper poll. I expect better out of Bill.
>
> Wow! You WHINE-tipplers better not drive anywhere...you are DUI.
>
> Tsk, is your definition of "representative sample" equivalent to
> WHAT YOU BELIEVE IN?
>
> Silly question, of course it is!
>
> Now, if the ARRL had conducted a "poll," it would be absolutely,
> positively, peachy-keen ACCURATE...even if the poll respondents
> were League members and good, God-fearing Morsemen of high rate!
>
> BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> hee haw

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 790. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:47:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123454848.324479.157410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115140

From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 10:31

>Len:
>
>With each passing year mother nature war on the ancient brass pounders
>continues. This story has been told in many different countries in many
>different forms, here we are probably most familiar with "The Rabbit and
>the Hare."
>
>Slow and steady stay the course, in the end progress and determination
>succeed...

Thanks for a mediocre Obi Wan Kenobi imitation. <sigh>

Problem is, I ain't Luke Skywalker...but all the pro-coders
think they are Dearth Voder.

Tsk. I was giving you only a little insight how things
were IN HERE about 6 to 7 years ago. Was no "John Smith"
in here then.

obi wan
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 791. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 22:59:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123455577.077736.309790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115141

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
> way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!

gee you are leting Me out of that hrrrrmph
>
> We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>
> John
>
> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 19:39:27 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>
> > From: Mike Coslo on Aug 6, 7:23 am
> >
> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>Phil Kane wrote:
> >>>>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
> >>>>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
> >>>>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
> >>
> >>> I thought so to. But why didn't FCC simply dump Element 1 two years
> >>> ago, after WRC 2003 ended the treaty requirement?
> >>
> >> Institutional inertia.
> >
> > INCORRECT.
> >
> > 1. The LAW (try the Communications Act of 1934 for starters)
> > doesn't work that way.
> >
> > 2. The FCC could legally do it just the same but would face
> > later LAW in the Courts from all the outraged membership
> > organizations, enough to delay everything for years more.
> >
> > 3. The ARRL lobbyists (both the law firm and the lobbying
> > firm) were dead set against the FCC doing anything without

> > "the League's Permission."
> >
> >
> >>> Is there any chance that *any* pro-code-test discussion will
> >>> have *any* effect on the outcome?
> >>
> >> Negative.
> >
> > ANY negative with another negative added to it will still be
> > NEGATIVE.
> >
> >
> >>> Suppose - just suppose - that after all the comments are in, the
> >>> majority of commenters support at least some code testing. Will FCC
> >>> change their position?
> >>
> >> I believe that the way the argument is framed is critical. It seems
> >>that the argument has been put forth about getting rid of a regulation.
> >>And we all "know" that regulation is a bad thing. Element 1 goes away. I
> >>consider the odds of it staying are about the same as a singularity
> >>popping up.
> >
> > WOW! Those SOUR GRAPES ripened FAST...you've already made gallons
> > of WHINE out of it!
> >
> > Prosit.
> >
> >
> >>>>Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
> >>>>comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
> >>>>Hams are of the same opinion?
> >>
> >>>> *Is* it a representative sample?
> >>
> >>> Does that matter?
> >>
> >> No it doesn't' matter. I simply want to point out to people such as
> >>Bill and Jhxn that the comments are not even close to a statistically
> >>proper poll. I expect better out of Bill.
> >
> > Wow! You WHINE-tipplers better not drive anywhere...you are DUI.
> >
> > Tsk, is your definition of "representative sample" equivalent to
> > WHAT YOU BELIEVE IN?
> >
> > Silly question, of course it is!
> >
> > Now, if the ARRL had conducted a "poll," it would be absolutely,
> > positively, peachy-keen ACCURATE...even if the poll respondents
> > were League members and good, God-fearing Morsemen of high rate!

> >
> > BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > hee haw
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 792. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 23:00:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123455659.417923.109540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115142

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123353658.637690.155660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
> >> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
> >> have
> >> all decided to keep CW.
> >
> > and you WANT the US to keep such company
> >>
>
> Absolutely I want us to keep such company.
>
> Hows the old saying go? Keep your enemies close and your friends closer.

Nice comeback I honestly didn't think you had it in you, well done

>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 793. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 23:14:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123456470.574673.249880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115143

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>k...@dvol.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> I'm firmly convinced that many of the people that think of Element 1 as
> >>>>>the "great barrier" will be dismayed when they find out that there are
> >>>>>other barriers to Amateur radio.
> >>>>
> >>>>"I tried but I'm just not able to learn the code." I heard it in the
> >>>>1950's and I still hear it today. The global-standard copout which
> >>>>probably goes back to 1912.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>ah yes accusing everyone that disagrees of laziness we have been
> >>>hearing that a long time too
> >>>
> >>>In 1912 there were reason you needed to be able to USE morse code,
> >>>today there is no NEED to USE it at all and yte we test for for it
> >>>awhile longer
> >>
> >> I can't find any real reason why there is any need for testing for
> >>anything in Amateur radio any more.
> >
> >
> >
> > then propose that to the FCC
>
> Why do you and Bill keep saying that? I have made it clear on many
> occasions that I don't support such a thing.

then why bring it up

unless Len is right and you are just whining about it
>
> That doesn't mean that I don't support testing. It means that Hams and
> our regulatory bodies have to get together and form a consensus on just

> >>what we *want* Amateur radio to be. Do we want it to be a body of people
> >
> >
> > one the basic you basic premise is in error
> >
> > The rules MUST reflect what is in the interest of the PUBLIC, these are
> > a subset of the PUBLIC airwaves
> >
> > Public regulation must reflect public interests
>
> Heheh There is another argument in favor of no testing. If the public
> interest is having barriers removed, then there should be no testing in
> order to allow more people to become hams. Thanks for the point!

Indeed If it serves the public interest to end testing we should do
that, But then the question is, does it serve the public interest to
end testing?

I don't think so clearly neither does Bill

the arguement the less testing= more hams does indeed work to a point,
but you have taken it to the absurd

clearly the public interest is in having the ARS work fairly well and
be as large as pratcical. It is also in the public interest of the US
that we obey the ITU treaty (as amended over the years) which requires
some testing.

The currect tech pool (the only pool I am famier with personaly being a
tech seem OK I would shift some stuff off and more of some other stuff
on, but the result would be roughly as hard, so I am basicaly satified
that thing are OK on that score

>
> >
> >>with some form of technical knowledge? So be it. We can do that But we
> >>can indeed turn the Amateur bands into something else indeed. We can
> >>channelize them, we can eliminate experimentation, we can reduce maximum
> >>power levels, and we can ensure that only type accepted equipment is
> >>used. At that point, we can eliminate testing altogether.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>Putting together a station is probably
> >>>>harder for most people than learning Morse code. Putting together a
> >>>>>*good* station is definitely so.
> >>>>
> >>>>Agreed. The upcoming wave of nocode Extras and Generals will have to
> >>>>face and resolve the same age-old problems us 20wpm OFs have faced for
> >>>>decades when it comes to put up or shut up time as it relates to
> >>>>actually operating in the HF bands. Like being able to spend the money

> >>>>it takes to acquire decent HF equipment, having the ingenuity and
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>already got a decent rig, and one since it has VHF and UHF abilities I
> >>>have been the air for years with
> >>
> >> Think about other people.
> >
> >
> > Every Tech I know with all mode abilities all have at least ONE rig
> > also able to do HF
>
> And none that I know do.

how many tech you know with all mode rigs?

I suppose there may be afew with multimode rigs and no HF but their are
only rig comercail rig that way today The ICOM 910H ( i have one of
those) ten tect used to have one

I'll agree that going from FM only would be a jolt

but YMMV

>
> > look at what is on the market
> >
> >
> >>>>knowledge needed to home-brew decent antennas for constricted spaces
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I don't need to to worry about constricted spaces I at least own 58
> >>>acress I don't think that even 160m will be a problem
> >>
> >> Does everyone? Think big-picture. Our own personal circumstances are
> >>not everyones.
> >
> >
> > you presented as a absolute need an ability that doesn't aply to some
> > of us.
>
> Of course it doesn't apply to some people. It sounds like your idea of
> testing is to have a separate test for every facet of Ham radio.

and that isa good or bad thing in your view

BTW I do not suggest that having taken the say the QRP test that you
can only run QRP etc

>
> > In other words you are making stuff up that ain't always so
> >
> >

> > your rant was flawed, I punched a hole in it
>
>
> Okay, that is what you consider punching a hole in my argument??

you calim all these hams need and don't have the rig many do all need to HOME brew an antenna you went on and on about the barriars. In the younger population base they buy SUVs costing as much 60+ K and buy them every few years a few grand is not much for something that will last quite awhile, takes a bit of nerve to take the step but it is hardly a Barriar equal to Morse Code

>
> So be it.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 794. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-07 23:44:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.07.23.44.55.643996@gmail.com>
Source record: 115144

Len:

As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith" to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on. This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!

We stand at a unique time in history. The "Cult of the arrl" has fallen, yet refuses to die an easy death. The ancient cloistered walls of blood sucking amateurs is being thrown open to air the uncounted ancient pharts which have polluted these halls. The evil deeds which have been done here haunt the walls like insane spirits of ill will and dangerous plans.

The candle of progress threatens to cast light into even the darkest corners of this ancient monastery. Those ancient ones (amateurs) who have halted progress and kept radio as their personal "Moose Lodge" will have their names despised and stricken from the records as a movement on the scale of a "Biblical Event" slays the evil spirits who have brought radio to its knees and sucked the blood from it veins. The stake is poised over these black hearts of these evil men and the mallet begins its deadly plunge towards its target...

A bright day is coming, "God Bless Amateur Radio!" We move towards the day when extras and chicken banders will live in harmony!

Those brave men who still exist move to pick up their light-sabers and enter the fray....

On the 'morrow we pray the battlefield be littered and deep with the bodies of our enemies...

Luke Skywalker --AKA-- John Smith

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 15:47:28 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 10:31
>
>>Len:
>>
>>With each passing year mother nature war on the ancient brass pounders
>>continues. This story has been told in many different countries in many
>>different forms, here we are probably most familiar with "The Rabbit and
>>the Hare."
>>
>>Slow and steady stay the course, in the end progress and determination
>>succeed...
>

> Thanks for a mediocre Obi Wan Kenobi imitation. <sigh>
>
> Problem is, I ain't Luke Skywalker...but all the pro-coders
> think they are Dearth Voder.
>
> Tsk. I was giving you only a little insight how things
> were IN HERE about 6 to 7 years ago. Was no "John Smith"
> in here then.
>
> obi wan
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 795. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 00:20:47 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <e1db0bf38c4afb3c69d319ddf267e1fb@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 115145

```
In article
<1123377833.860604.299530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > > In article
<MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989...@news.west.earthlink.net>,
> > > > k...@arrl.net says...
> > > > > In article
<1123342041.756918.326...@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > billybee...@juno.com says...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > >
<1123285124.092291.201...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > >
<1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came
out
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of
Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you
away.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling
"Decision Science."
> > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > Care to venture you've never
> > > > > > > > seen a wine list?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer
makes the wine that
> > > > > > > you have referred to?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I was curious and found:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > There is no indication that they make any "yiddish"
wine
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a
non vintage,
> > > > > > > non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all
of 60 minutes
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our
wine before it's
> > > > > > > time...what time is it now??
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine
maybe, yiddish
> > > > > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to
Steve's rescue.
> > >
> > > you sure this Steven isn't just a fake front for Stevie?
sure looks
> > > like Stevie's still
> > >
> > > nah I must be wrong no ranting Liar can't be the stevie we
know and
> > > loath

Post in English, you retarded psycho.

> Steve Adell is no Steven J. Robeson, though they may both be
> connoisseurs of cheap, kosher wine.

Like I said, you've never seen a wine list, NostraDUMBASS!

> "Anonymous" appears to be Robeson's feeble attempts at
anonymity.

And all your posts seem to be a feeble attempt at faking
inelligence.

## 796. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 00:57:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123462638.669760.279080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115146

From: John Smith on Aug 7, 3:38 pm

>Dan:
>
>Too bad the americans had not developed HS Digital Checksum Error
>Corrected Voice Transmission Packet methods over spread spectrum... could
>have filtered the CW audio and went right on, would have pi$$ed 'em off in
>style! Oh well, too late for back then, but today we can!

The only thing WRONG with this back-and-forth is Dan's claim
of Disability from Vietnam. The Vietnam War ended in 1975,
THIRTY YEARS AGO. Had he been in communications with the
military in Yurp "after" that, he wouldn't have any "disability"
since he would be on active duty. If Dan Jeswald got out of
the military DUE to warfare in Vietnam, then his personal
experience from Yurp military exercises is THIRTY YEARS OLD.

Present-day, and back in the FIRST Gulf War times, U.S. land
forces most definitely have COMSEC (COMmunications SECurity)
which pretty well defeats old-style jammers. The first instance
of that was the AN/PRC-119 family, "SINCGARS," which is a
selectable digital-voice/data, single frequency or frequency
hopper on 30 to 88 MHz. It became operational in the U.S.
Army in 1989, first sets to Army in Korea. At frequency hops
of 10 per second, it is virtually immune to standard (old-style)
jamming and very resistant to "noise jamming." [it's damn hard
to detect, let alone jam] Later ("SIP") versions available by
the SECOND Gulf War ("Revenge of the Shrub") had fully built-in
COMSEC (voice scrambler no longer an external box) and half
the size of the original manpack. A QUARTER MILLION R/T sets
(manpack, vehicular, airborne) have been produced to the end
of 2004 and all fielded.

The land forces use a variety of radios and pieces of the EM
spectrum, NOT so totally dependent on HF as Dan would have
you believe. For MOST of the message transmissions, those
go through VHF, UHF, troposcatter, and microwave radio systems
with microwave dominating the major relays through military
comm sats...one reason why CENCOM could command the 2nd Gulf
War from Florida.

As to HF radios in the military land forces, the AN/PRC-104
family (20 W manpack through 400 W PEP vehicular) is a synth
frequency control unit for a full 3 to 30 MHz span and with
automatic antenna tuner (even in the manpack!) and direct
connections to COMSEC boxes. Designed and built by Hughes

Aircraft Ground Division, it became operational first in 1986. It will be replaced by the AN/PRC-150 family designed by Harris, called by them "Falcon II." The "150" is more resistant to jamming and has built-in COMSEC.

What these very amateur "military analysts" don't understand is that the RUSSIAN comm equipment "sold" to Iraq in the 1st Gulf War ALSO HAD SS-LIKE RF SCRAMBLING. That was back in 1990, 15 years ago. [they also had very Russian armor in which they carried those NON-morse-code radios]

As to the alleged "CW intel from behind the lines" BS spouted by a few in here back some 6 to 7 years, the U.S. Army had the (now obsolete and replaced with newer) UHF portables with built-in data, "chiclet" keyboards, LCD mini-screens and with three different portable antennas to shoot to the comm sats or to orbiting comm relay aircraft. None of this nonsense of easy-to-DF HF slow-speed "CW" where the RF was spraying in all directions from omnidirectional antennas. Data rate then was 1200 BPS and the antennas directional.

Whatever the Russians do in amateur regulations is a FAR cry from what they field in their army...as modern as any even if they have meager maintenance and not as much of the good stuff as the US military has. "WE" know HOW to jam them, or at least most of what they have for radios...the reverse has NOT been true for at least 15 years.

You can take my word of it or not. I didn't "stop" working in communications for any part of DoD after my Honorable Discharge in 1960. I've played with SINCGARS and entered enough hopsets through its touch-screen front panel. I would have personally liked to work on the PRC-104, at least in operational testing, but other contract work called. What I've remarked on in public here is FROM public information that anyone can get, on paper or electronically.

Instead, we have all these other "military analysts" claiming ten kinds of "knowledge" (some allegedly personal) which, in all likelyhood, comes from Popular Mechanics or old TV shows. Even the "FAS" (Foundation of American Scientists) is behind the times with old data from the 1980s. Better than nothing, I suppose. One thing for sure, the Russian amateur radio regs are NOT formulated to "build up a pool of trained morsemen" to serve in their military for their national whatevers.

Geez, if all these renowned AMATEUR military radio experts were telling like it is, the USAF recruiting posters would feature "Air Crews For B-17s and B-24s" and the USA would still have sojer pictures with pre-1940 'dish' helmets and lace-up leggings a la 1940. :-)

Unless something new has come up, WT Docket 05-235 is NOT concerned whether or not the Russkies still test for morse code. The FCC doesn't regulate in Russia...any more than Stebie Wundermurine "regulates" Somalian radio.

Whatever Russia cares to do after WRC-03 is THEIR concern, not ours. We and the Brits have to help them raise their mini-subs or record their interceptor comms as they shoot down Korean civil airliners (played back in front of the UN some time ago). On the other hand, a regular columnist at ANTENNEX website is Russian and they are NOT sticking with 1950s technology these days.

But, there's some ruff-and-tuff commie sympathizers talking at ya, John, and don't nobody step in THEIR way! :-)

Dosvedanya droog Ivan

day off
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 797. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 00:58:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <RIGdndBPyJGnM2vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115147

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123353658.637690.155660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>>>would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>>>have
>>>all decided to keep CW.
>>
>>and you WANT the US to keep such company
>>
>
> Absolutely I want us to keep such company.
>
> Hows the old saying go? Keep your enemies close and your friends closer.

It the other way around, Dan: Keep your friends close, and your enemies closer.

But otherwise you are spot-on.

Ideologies aside, there is one big difference between their country and ours. During WWII, they were brought to the brink of existence, and survival was not at all certain. That has perhaps changed their outlook on communications in extremis.

Here, we "know" that in emergencies that we will use cell phones and the Internet, and all will be well. For some reason or other, they think that perhaps a time will come when technology can fail. Then whatchya got?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 798. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:01:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123462866.018831.12490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115148

From: John Smith on Aug 7, 3:43 pm

>Len:
>
>I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>
>We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!

Absolutely cannot be done unless "we" become MEMBERS and
"work for change from the 'inside'." [repeated warnings
from Dee Flint in here]

Problem is, the entrenched officials and BoD are RESISTANT
to "change from within" as evident from their regular BoD
Meeting Minutes given on their websites. They do things
THEIR way and expect all to fall-in and pop-to. After all,
they "represent all amateurs in the USA" and say so up
front.

I'll have to check out the QST advertising page on the website,
see if they have a new "Publisher's Sworn Statement" on their
membership numbers. ARRL have never had more than a quarter
of all licensed U.S. radio amateurs and, at the end of 2004,
had only about 20 percent of them according to membership
numbers they gave.

However, in THIS newsgroup, all of the PCTA extras bow down
and accept all League words as sacrosanct. After all, Hiram
Went To Washington right after the end of WW1 and "saved
ham radio" all by hisself. For that all are supposed to
forever show gratitude and obediance to the ARRL, even 86
years after that "fact"!

Something to consider: The present elected President of
the ARRL was a former salesman. [see connection? :-) ]

ant how
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 799. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:06:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <T6udnZ2dnZ2b7bConZ2dnZQta9-dnZ2dRVn-yZ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115250

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:X-qdnYrYNp59bGnfRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Bill:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>>>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to
>>>>>>use
>>>>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>>>>>certify ability to use digital modes?
>>>>>
>>>>>How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>>>>>in voice mode?
>>>>
>>>>How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to ever
>>>>build anything?
>>>
>>>
>>>Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
>>>ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
>>>responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
>>>transmit.
>>
>>however, we can greatly simplify the testing process and regulatory burden
>>by not allowing hams to build anything. I believe that there are examples
>>of this already in some countries.
```

>
>
> If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> right to bring the idea forward.
>
>
>>>>>Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>>>>>for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>>>>>case now and has always been the case.
>>>>
>>>>A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
>>>>regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
>>>
>>>
>>>Not so. Part 97 gives reasons
>>
>>Cannot Part 97 be changed to simplify the process and ease the burden and
>>eliminate the barriers?
>
>
> If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> right to bring the idea forward.
>
>
>>>AND, the international treaty
>>>still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
>>>mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge
>>>of radio, etc.
>>
>>There are ways around that. I believe that many countries, including ours
>>have worked their way around the rules.
>>
>>I believe all it would take is issuance of a booklet, and a signed
>>affidavit that the prospective ham has read and understood it. This would
>>be akin to the old Morse sending test elimination.
>
>
> I disagree, but again....
> If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> right to bring the idea forward.
>
>
>>>>*Why* should there be any testing?
>>>
>>>If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file
>>>your comments with the FCC accordingly.
>>

>>I do not, Bill. I personally think there isn't enough testing now, Morse
>>code issue aside. I think I'm on record as being accepting of elimination
>>of Element one if there is a corresponding increase in the testing
>>requirements. If not, I am now.
>
>
> OK...05-235 doesn't do what you want, however.
>
>
>>I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
>>available to people who feel otherwise.
>
>
> What pretty powerful tools are you thinking of
> and for what use? You lost me on that last comment.

Yeah, after rereading it I wasn't very clear, Bill. I just fear that some of the Anti-Morse arguments might be adapted to gain other, less desirable changes in Amateur radio.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 800. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:06:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123463201.109197.88400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115149

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:

> >>>>You purport to be an active radio amateur
> >>>>and you didn't even have a
> >>>>realistic idea of how many hams there
> >>>>are in the United States? Do
> >>>>you think the FCC and ARRL are in
> >>>>collusion and they've whipped up
> >>>>some massive coverup of the number
> >>>>of licensees? Sheesh!

We know pretty closely how many individuals there are with
current (unexpired) FCC-issued amateur radio licenses - about
664,000

What we don't know is how many of those ~664,000 fall into
the following categories:

- Inactive due to being dead
- Inactive due to being seriously sick or injured
- Inactive due to loss of interest
- Inactive due to lack of resources (time, money, space, etc.)
- Slightly active
- Moderately active
- Very active

Worse, the definitions of the last three categories are entirely
subjective. If a ham is on the air 100 hours per year, is that
ham slightly, moderately, or very active?

> >>>This approach has been in evidence early on.
> >>>Facts are of secondary importance to opinion.

To some folks, their opinions *are* facts.

> >>>If we are told that there are not the
> >>>number of hams claimed on the
> >>>database, then that is the truth. If
> >>>that means that the FCC is lying,
> >>>that is the truth.

No, it's just somebody's opinion.

> >>>If we are told that the only thing needed
> >>>to go digital on HF is to
> >>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.

Actually, you *can* hook up a 56K modem to your HF rig and
"go digital". Doesn't mean it will work....

Note that almost all dialup modems have the ability to
operate at less than 56K. Backwards compatibility and
all that. Some will go all the way back to 300 baud.

> >>>If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.

Ham radio has always been "dying" - and always being reborn.

I was around back in 1968 when some folks said that 'incentive
licensing' would 'kill amateur radio'. Those folks said it was
completely unreasonable to expect or even ask the average ham
to get an Advanced, let alone an Extra.

Yet in the decade after those new requirements were put in place, the
number of US hams grew from about 250,000 to about 350,000.
And that was before the VE system and published question pools.

Sure, some of that was helped by the Bash books, but there were
no Bash books for 20 wpm Morse Code.

> >>>You can't argue with someone who makes up the
> >>>facts as they go along, so why do it?

Exactly!

> >>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with
> >>invisible sources for
> >>invisible facts.

Yup. Like folks who claim that 57% is not a majority...

> >>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital
> >>>transmission. Seems that they have
> >>>got it all wrong too. They have a method
> >>>that works, but it is pretty
> >>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.

So send small files!
>
> >>HF will never be the place for high speed
> >>digital transmission. There
> >>is too much noise and signals are subject
> >>to the vagaries of wave
> >>propagation phenomena.

A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
resources you can use.

For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
all sorts of stuff is possible.

If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain antennas,
all sorts of stuff is possible.

If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
things are possible.

If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
possible.

Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
regulations.
>
> > really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
> > when it was said that we
> > ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
> > everything above 200M

Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.

What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".

In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
even guessed at by "professionals in radio".

>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
> HF won't
> work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
> caused hams
> to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>
>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
> Many
> people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
> and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
> variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
> knowledge.
>
> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
> signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
> how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
> wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
> transmission?

All very good questions!
>
> > when was that Jim
>
> A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>
> >>> Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
> >>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
> >>>along the same lines
> >>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
> >>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>
> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
> (measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
> maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
> everyones standard) pictures.
>
> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
> believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
> going to steal the technology.

You can't steal vaporware.

> Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
> believers among
> themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>
> Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
> up from
> time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
> into the real world?
>
Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 801. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:13:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123463629.507252.18720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>BWAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAA....you seriously expect the FCC to answer a question from
>YOU?

Well you dumb redneck, either they will or the court of appeals will
tell too.

>FCC responds to complaints. The issue is K1MAN, not W1AW. If you got a
>problem with W1AW, send in a complaint. If you got the gonads that is.

Moron, W1AW does the same thing, it is funny that ALL THE MORONS in
amateur radio complain about K1MAN, and not W1AW.

><SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
>Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually that
>ignorant are you?

And what dumbass you are, Amateur radio is NON-COMMERCIAL, but
NON-COMMERCIAL IN ALL THE OTHER SERVICE CAN MAKE MONEY, BUT ONLY ENOUGH
TO SUBSTAIN THE STATION. Commercial radio can make a profit, read the
rules you freaking moron.

>The FCC has answered that question. It is UP TO THE CONTROL OPERATOR.

yeah but the NAL seems to me is an attempt by the FCC to control the
content. Simply because the definitions of an information bulletin and
broadcasting is very vague.

Todd N9OGL

# 802. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:16:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zdSdnXEGba7uL2vfRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115252

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:qJWdnfBDwaria2nfRVn-jg@adelphia.com...
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:1123215959.267320.70670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On 4 Aug 2005 15:22:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>If nothing else, all of us can at least say that we let FCC know
>>>>>>>>where
>>>>>>>>we stood.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>And the FCC let us all know where it stood when the NPRM was
>>>>>>>released. Does anyone deny that the horserace is fixed and that the
>>>>>>>majority wishes have nothing to do with the outcome?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Writeth this OF on 21 July: "This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to
>>>>>>comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be.
>>>>>>Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they
>>>>>>have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the
>>>>>>deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they
>>>>>>published today a done deal." Ignore the speling and thankew.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Anyone who thinks that flooding the FCC with "comments" will make a
>>>>>>whit of difference on this one doesn't understand how/why democracy

>>>>>>beltway-style actually works.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Diddy dah dit dah. Dit-DIT.
>>>>>>w3rv
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Bottom line here...
>>>>>
>>>>>1. The discussion as to value or need to have any code test
>>>>>was completely discussed prior to 2000 when the FCC
>>>>>specifically called for such discussion (NPRM 98-143).
>>>>>
>>>>>2. Thousands of comments were filed with various
>>>>>rationals in support of code testing....the FCC
>>>>>in their R&O reviewed and dismissed every
>>>>>pro-code test argument....
>>>>
>>>>They also think that BPL is the best thing since sliced bread.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>3. The ONLY reason the FCC kept even a 5wpm test
>>>>>was because of the international treaty requiring a code
>>>>>test.
>>>>>
>>>>>4. The WRC-2003 review resulted in elimination of
>>>>>any code test requirement in the international treaty with
>>>>>almost unanomous agreement by the countries
>>>>>to do so.
>>>>>
>>>>>5. The current NPRM, in short, deletes code testing
>>>>>for USA amateurs as allowed now by the international treaty.
>>>>>The FCC, now has an open comment period for
>>>>>discussion of the proposed change.
>>>>>
>>>>>6. Unless some great new and profound reason to
>>>>>retain code testing surfaces via the 05-235
>>>>>comment process, any prospect
>>>>>of keeping any code test is just not going to happen.
>>>>>The old arguments (and that's all that any PCTAs
>>>>>have been rehashing) have no chance of winning
>>>>>out since they failed in 98-143, WRC-2003, etc.
>>>>>
>>>>>7. Any argument or claim that the code test should be
>>>>>retained if a majority of hams want it so isn't
>>>>>going to happen either.
>>>>>For two reasons:
>>>>>(a) The FCC doesn't make the rules that way and
>>>>>(b) The majority of current comments are actually
>>>>> running better than 2 to 1 in favor of total

>>>>> elimination of code testing.
>>>>
>>>>Um, Bill. Do you *really* believe that because the majority of current
>>>>comments are in favor of elimination of the test, that the majority of
>>>>Hams are of the same opinion?
>>>
>>>
>>>Yes I do. Some 10 years ago the ARRL conducted a survey
>>>of asking about code retention and the results then were pretty
>>>close. With 10 years now passed, lots of new hams, many older hams
>>>now SK, the results today would, I believe, show a majority
>>>in favor of ending code testing.
>>
>>I'm surprised, Bill. If a scientifically structured poll was made, I would
>>likely accept the results, whether I agreed with those results or not. The
>>comments in this case are largely useless as for any thing representing
>>the majority of amateurs.
>>
>>You have a good thesis in your last paragraph, but that is your thesis,
>>which you are willing to validate by an amazingly imprecise poll.
>
>
> And just how/who would you have fund and conduct a precise
> poll? Me? ARRL? FCC?

Oh, heck I don't know how! I'm not looking for a precise poll. My point
is that comments on WT05-235 are only representative of the opinions of
those who chose to comment. Standard disclaimer for an unscientific
poll. I'd say the same if the results were the other way. No *way* could
we work that data into anything statistically significant.

>
>>>>*Is* it a representative sample?
>>>
>>>Is the vote for president of the USA a representative sample?
>>
>>When I go to the polls, I know that my vote is counted (barring
>>shennagins!) and if I vote for the winner, my candidate wins, if I vote
>>for the loser, my candidate doesn't.
>
>
> Well here's the problem you face...FCC rules
> are not voted on by hams or anyone else via the
> comments filed.

That is true, it's not my problem, and why I don't comment.

>
>>posting any comment on this issue has no effect on the outcome. I know
>>that, you know that, and I choose not to comment for that reason.
>

>
> Your choice.

Quite! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 803. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:18:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zdSdnXAGba5XL2vfRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115253

Bert Craig wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:n--dnUPEQ6qPsWnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
>
>>You win. Shouldn't you and Carl and Fred be working on your next effort?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike, did you just associate the word effort with NCI? This is more about
> the elimination of "effort."
>

Just one of those little slips there Bert! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 804. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:22:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zdSdnXMGba4gLmvfRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115254

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> There may be more attempts to get a lower level licence in the US, maybe by
> Fred Maia, but the FCC has made it pretty clear in recent comments IMHO
> that they think the Tech is easy enough, so I don't think it will ever
> happen. End of story.

There is never an end of story, Alun!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 805. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:29:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123464562.573717.245030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115255

looks like another Stevie clone

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article
> <1123377833.860604.299530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > > > In article
> <MPG.1d5ef12942d6fe95989...@news.west.earthlink.net>,
> > > > > k...@arrl.net says...
> > > > > > In article
> <1123342041.756918.326...@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > > billybee...@juno.com says...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > >
> <1123285124.092291.201...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > >
> <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came
> out
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of
> Mad Dog 20/20,
> > > > > > > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you
> away.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling
> "Decision Science."
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Care to venture you've never
> > > > > > > > > seen a wine list?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, care to venture what alcohol manufacturer
> makes the wine that
> > > > > > > > > you have referred to?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I was curious and found:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > http://www.thedrinksgroup.co.uk/index.html
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > There is no indication that they make any "yiddish"
> wine
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > OH, u mean Mogan David??? makers of MD 20/20, which is a
> non vintage,
> > > > > > > > non Kosher wine..bottled after sitting in vats for all
> of 60 minutes
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What was that old commercial, we shall not bottle our
> wine before it's
> > > > > > > > time...what time is it now??
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine
> maybe, yiddish
> > > > > > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to
> Steve's rescue.
> > > > >
> > > > > you sure this Steven isn't just a fake front for Stevie?
> sure looks
> > > > like Stevie's still
> > > >
> > > > nah I must be wrong no ranting Liar can't be the stevie we
> know and
> > > > loath
>
> Post in English, you retarded psycho.
>
> > Steve Adell is no Steven J. Robeson, though they may both be
> > connoisseurs of cheap, kosher wine.
>

> Like I said, you've never seen a wine list, NostraDUMBASS!
>
> > "Anonymous" appears to be Robeson's feeble attempts at
> anonymity.
>
> And all your posts seem to be a feeble attempt at faking
> inelligence.

## 806. Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:31:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.01.31.36.624783@gmail.com>
Source record: 115265

To all:

I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep in step with my beliefs.

However, somethings I believe are:

1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.

2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone, than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.

3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and above.

4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.

5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.

6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first stages of a massive change.

7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.

8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!

I am a believer...

John

# 807. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:38:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123465125.051140.263920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115256

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:X-qdnYrYNp59bGnfRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
> >
> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Bill:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
> >>>>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to
> >>>>>>use
> >>>>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
> >>>>>certify ability to use digital modes?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
> >>>>>in voice mode?
> >>>>
> >>>>How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to ever
> >>>>build anything?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
> >>>ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
> >>>responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
> >>>transmit.
> >>
> >>however, we can greatly simplify the testing process and regulatory burden
> >>by not allowing hams to build anything. I believe that there are examples

> >>of this already in some countries.
> >
> >
> > If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> > free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> > right to bring the idea forward.
> >
> >
> >>>>>Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
> >>>>>for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
> >>>>>case now and has always been the case.
> >>>>
> >>>>A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
> >>>>regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Not so. Part 97 gives reasons
> >>
> >>Cannot Part 97 be changed to simplify the process and ease the burden and
> >>eliminate the barriers?
> >
> >
> > If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> > free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> > right to bring the idea forward.
> >
> >
> >>>AND, the international treaty
> >>>still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
> >>>mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge
> >>>of radio, etc.
> >>
> >>There are ways around that. I believe that many countries, including ours
> >>have worked their way around the rules.
> >>
> >>I believe all it would take is issuance of a booklet, and a signed
> >>affidavit that the prospective ham has read and understood it. This would
> >>be akin to the old Morse sending test elimination.
> >
> >
> > I disagree, but again....
> > If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
> > free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
> > right to bring the idea forward.
> >
> >
> >>>>*Why* should there be any testing?
> >>>
> >>>If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file
> >>>your comments with the FCC accordingly.

> >>
> >>I do not, Bill. I personally think there isn't enough testing now, Morse
> >>code issue aside. I think I'm on record as being accepting of elimination
> >>of Element one if there is a corresponding increase in the testing
> >>requirements. If not, I am now.
> >
> >
> > OK...05-235 doesn't do what you want, however.
> >
> >
> >>I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
> >>available to people who feel otherwise.
> >
> >
> > What pretty powerful tools are you thinking of
> > and for what use? You lost me on that last comment.
>
> Yeah, after rereading it I wasn't very clear, Bill. I just fear that
> some of the Anti-Morse arguments might be adapted to gain other, less
> desirable changes in Amateur radio.

then you need to read some the treaty requirements, the same treaty
that has been the only keeping code testing the ARS for a couple of
decades

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 808. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:41:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S76dnfUIndTHJWvfRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 115257

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:QWvJe.4742$ns.2675@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123353658.637690.155660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>>> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>>> have
>>> all decided to keep CW.
>>
>> and you WANT the US to keep such company
>>>
>
> Absolutely I want us to keep such company.
>
> Hows the old saying go? Keep your enemies close and your friends closer.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Keep your friends close and your enemies closer.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 809. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:42:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123465353.430277.67140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> >BWAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAA....you seriously expect the FCC to answer a question from
> >YOU?
>
> Well you dumb redneck, either they will or the court of appeals will
> tell too.
>
> >FCC responds to complaints. The issue is K1MAN, not W1AW. If you got a
> >problem with W1AW, send in a complaint. If you got the gonads that is.
>
break

> Moron, W1AW does the same thing, it is funny that ALL THE MORONS in
> amateur radio complain about K1MAN, and not W1AW.

in point of fact K1MAN's real "crime" is being unpopular sorry to say
that amounts to crime these days

>
> ><SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
> >Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually that
> >ignorant are you?
>
> And what dumbass you are, Amateur radio is NON-COMMERCIAL, but
> NON-COMMERCIAL IN ALL THE OTHER SERVICE CAN MAKE MONEY, BUT ONLY ENOUGH
> TO SUBSTAIN THE STATION. Commercial radio can make a profit, read the
> rules you freaking moron.
>
> >The FCC has answered that question. It is UP TO THE CONTROL OPERATOR.
>
> yeah but the NAL seems to me is an attempt by the FCC to control the
> content. Simply because the definitions of an information bulletin and
> broadcasting is very vague.
>
> Todd N9OGL

# 810. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:43:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W9qdnWj_0c5WJWvfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 115259

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.07.22.43.18.541400@gmail.com...
> Len:
>
> I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
> way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>
> We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>
> John

They won't get to it until they are over 40 themselves. Right now they are building their careers and raising families. Doesn't leave much time for the major commitment of being in charge of the ARRL.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 811. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 01:57:19 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123466239.044016.307850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115260

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Aug 7, 3:43 pm
>
> >Len:
> >
> >I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
> >way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
> >
> >We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>
> Absolutely cannot be done unless "we" become MEMBERS and
> "work for change from the 'inside'." [repeated warnings
> from Dee Flint in here]

The ARRL isn't interested in change from within, either. I've been a
disenfranchised member for 18 years.

> Problem is, the entrenched officials and BoD are RESISTANT
> to "change from within" as evident from their regular BoD
> Meeting Minutes given on their websites. They do things
> THEIR way and expect all to fall-in and pop-to. After all,
> they "represent all amateurs in the USA" and say so up
> front.

Yep, they represent me.

> I'll have to check out the QST advertising page on the website,
> see if they have a new "Publisher's Sworn Statement" on their
> membership numbers. ARRL have never had more than a quarter
> of all licensed U.S. radio amateurs and, at the end of 2004,
> had only about 20 percent of them according to membership
> numbers they gave.
>
> However, in THIS newsgroup, all of the PCTA extras bow down
> and accept all League words as sacrosanct. After all, Hiram
> Went To Washington right after the end of WW1 and "saved
> ham radio" all by hisself. For that all are supposed to
> forever show gratitude and obediance to the ARRL, even 86
> years after that "fact"!
>
> Something to consider: The present elected President of
> the ARRL was a former salesman. [see connection? :-) ]
>
> ant how
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Shoes or used cars?

## 812. strange thing from the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:03:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123466599.187143.69520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115261

last month or was it late June I received an "invitation" to ARRl to rejoin. Today I went thought the yesterday's mail, and find another invatation different text but again asking me to rejoin

## 813. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:05:25 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123466725.777068.139090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115262

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >> b.b. wrote:
> > > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >> > > Here's my submission to the FCC.
> > > > >
> > > cut
> > > > > Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
> > >
> > > not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> > > goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> > > opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> > > bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> > > quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
> >
> > > we laughed and he made me a friend
> >
> >
> > Why am I not suprised?
> >
> > I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> > Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> > here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> > suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> > the air is a hoot. Thanks.
>
> amusing that in all he pounces on he missed these posts and the one
> pointing accsuing Len of perjury before the FCC was libel (wether or
> not len was lying)
>
> guess soon he will claim the posts were never made

That is definitely his mode of operation. Deny, deny, deny!
```

# 814. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:14:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.02.14.10.112573@gmail.com>
Source record: 115264

Dee:

I was a boy scout leader in my late 20's into my early 30's, it was hard, my job made some allowances, heck, some of the people over me had kids in my troop.

I think it can be done alright...

Old pharts shine in positions like supreme court justices, you don't need the constitution to change all that much, indeed, in over 200 years it has been a real benefit NOT having much change at all... you just have to realize where you need young minds and where you need old ones...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 21:43:33 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.07.22.43.18.541400@gmail.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>> way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>>
>> We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>>
>> John
>
> They won't get to it until they are over 40 themselves. Right now they are
> building their careers and raising families. Doesn't leave much time for
> the major commitment of being in charge of the ARRL.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 815. Re: Steve Caught Jerking Down Mark's Pants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:20:23 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123467623.520695.241650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115263

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > So from WHAT source did you glean this information?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
> > > > > > > > > problems
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > (A) I never said I was on disability (ergo your claims of
> > > > > > > > > '...some years ago' is a lie)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > but you did
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No I did not. Not once.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > sure did
> > > > > >
> > > > break
> > > >
> > > Steve said he was discharged from the service early, and either had
> > > therapy before or after the discharge. But he also says he was never
> > > injured except for some sand in his eye, so his therapy must not have
> > > been physical therapy.
> > >
> > indeed and if it is not physical then it would be mental, which is
> > certianly consistant with Stveie behavoir
>
> And Brain, as usual, leaves a lot out except for the parts that
> "make" his rant.
>
> As usual.

Hi! You're welcome to fill in the blanks, esp. the seven hostile
actions. Hi, hi!

> > > The military generally grants disability to people unfit to serve, and
> > > Steve is constantly refering folks to the VA to see his records. Ergo,
> > > Stve must be receiving some disability pension from the VA, even though
> > > he claims he's been rehabilitated.
> > >

> > indeed if he isn't recieveing a VA pension or disabilty and turely was
> > discharged as discribed then he is being cheated
>
> Nope to both of you.
>
> The VA can verify a Veteran's status AS a Veteran...
>
> > > Steve could clear up any misconceptions, but he would rather call
> > > people liars rather than come clean on his own stories. Steve prefers
> > > to remain an enigma within an absurdity.
>
> I've explained it once too many times already, Brain.

Tsk. Like you've provided your letter of appointment as "A" NCOIC
Okinawa MARS. Hi!

> But you sure do like to try and hide YOUR misdeeds behind it!

Assuming I had a misdeed. But you could certainly waste one or two
posts, out of your many thousands each month, to provide a few facts.
That you choose not to is very, very telling, and not my problem.

> > I wonder if Stve can in fact have a prefference on the subject, that is
> > I wonder f there is enough in the head to make such a decision
>
> And I wonder what you were trying to say, Markie.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

He said you are an idiot.

## 816. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:26:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <IsKdnTpTeI5cX2vfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115266

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>You purport to be an active radio amateur
>>>>>>and you didn't even have a
>>>>>>realistic idea of how many hams there
>>>>>>are in the United States? Do
>>>>>>you think the FCC and ARRL are in
>>>>>>collusion and they've whipped up
>>>>>>some massive coverup of the number
>>>>>>of licensees? Sheesh!
>>>>>
>>>>
>> We know pretty closely how many individuals there are with
> current (unexpired) FCC-issued amateur radio licenses - about
> 664,000
>
> What we don't know is how many of those ~664,000 fall into
> the following categories:
>
> - Inactive due to being dead
> - Inactive due to being seriously sick or injured
> - Inactive due to loss of interest
> - Inactive due to lack of resources (time, money, space, etc.)
> - Slightly active
> - Moderately active
> - Very active
>
> Worse, the definitions of the last three categories are entirely
> subjective. If a ham is on the air 100 hours per year, is that
> ham slightly, moderately, or very active?

How do other systems work? I suspect that they count licensees, and
work with that. At renewal time, adjustments are made.

>
>>>>>This approach has been in evidence early on.
>>>>>Facts are of secondary importance to opinion.
>
>
> To some folks, their opinions *are* facts.

To too many.....

>
>>>>>If we are told that there are not the
>>>>>number of hams claimed on the
>>>>>database, then that is the truth. If
>>>>>that means that the FCC is lying,
>>>>>that is the truth.
>
>
> No, it's just somebody's opinion.

yup

>
>>>>>If we are told that the only thing needed
>>>>>to go digital on HF is to
>>>>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>
>
> Actually, you *can* hook up a 56K modem to your HF rig and
> "go digital". Doesn't mean it will work....
>
> Note that almost all dialup modems have the ability to
> operate at less than 56K. Backwards compatibility and
> all that. Some will go all the way back to 300 baud.
>
>
>>>>>If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>
>
> Ham radio has always been "dying" - and always being reborn.

Yeah, just like "The Family" Always under attack, always going
downhill" and on and on.

Reminds me of the radio ads for stores that are having their "Biggest
Sale Ever!" The next week that are having another biggest sale ever.
Seems if we just wait a short while, they'll be giving the stuff away,
or paying us to take it away.

> I was around back in 1968 when some folks said that 'incentive
> licensing' would 'kill amateur radio'. Those folks said it was
> completely unreasonable to expect or even ask the average ham
> to get an Advanced, let alone an Extra.
>

> Yet in the decade after those new requirements were put in place, the
> number of US hams grew from about 250,000 to about 350,000.
> And that was before the VE system and published question pools.
>
> Sure, some of that was helped by the Bash books, but there were
> no Bash books for 20 wpm Morse Code.

Bash Keys???

>>>>>You can't argue with someone who makes up the
>>>>>facts as they go along, so why do it?
>
>
> Exactly!
>
>
>>>>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with
>>>>invisible sources for
>>>>invisible facts.
>
>
> Yup. Like folks who claim that 57% is not a majority...

they were just like, sayin' 8^)

>
>>>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital
>>>>>transmission. Seems that they have
>>>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method
>>>>>that works, but it is pretty
>>>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>
>
> So send small files!

Well, yeah! But if we are going to have a new mode, it needs to have
some good features. They can be speed, quality, or even coolness (say
the digital form of Hell-field)

So here we have digital transmission in a sort of competition with
SSTV. The images have to be pretty small, and most have to be compressed
to the point that they are pretty poor compared to a good SSTV image. So
the only advantage that I can see for this digital method is when the
signal is weak and noisy. Then the digital image will be better. Of
course the patience of Job will be needed for all the error correction
needed

>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed
>>>>digital transmission. There
>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject
>>>>to the vagaries of wave
>>>>propagation phenomena.

>
>
> A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
> resources you can use.
>
> For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
> all sorts of stuff is possible.

Sure. I think some people are believing that I am saying this is
impossible. It is not impossible. But not very practical. I'd have to
work it out, but I think there are some ham segments where we'd need
more bandwidth than is alloted

> If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain antennas,
> all sorts of stuff is possible.

A strong signal mode? 8^)

> If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
> so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
> things are possible.

OY!

> If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
> the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
> frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
> possible.

Doubly Oy!

> Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
> the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
> regulations.
> >
>
>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
>>>when it was said that we
>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
>>>everything above 200M
>
>
> Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
>
> What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
> only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
> a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
> s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
>
> In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
> worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
> longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
> even guessed at by "professionals in radio".

>
>
>>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
>>HF won't
>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
>>caused hams
>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>>
>>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
>>Many
>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>>knowledge.
>>
>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>>signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>>transmission?
>
>
> All very good questions!
>
>>>when was that Jim
>>
>>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>>
>>
>>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>>>>>along the same lines
>>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>>
>> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
>>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
>>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
>>everyones standard) pictures.
>>
>> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
>>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
>>going to steal the technology.
>
>
> You can't steal vaporware.
>
>
>>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
>>believers among

>>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>>
>>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
>>up from
>>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
>>into the real world?
>>
>
> Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?

You betchya!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 817. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:30:08 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <QszJe.2371$RZ2.1919@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115267

> 
> 
> Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
> ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
> responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
> transmit.
> 

If the FCC is to trust us with the legal ability to
pop the covers off our transmitters and make
adjustments and modifications, we need to have passed
tests to show that we have basic knowledge of RF and
such. That we'd know how to run and maintain a clean
operation.

It's quite rare that the FCC busts a ham for faulty
equipment anymore.

## 818. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:30:54 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123468254.862575.196110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115269

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Hans, you haven't been paying attention. This will end when the Gunny
> > > > > is in therapy or on medication, or both.
> > > >
> > > > What therapy? What medication?
> > >
> > > the threapy you so desperately need
> > >
> > > the dianosis we can only guess at OCD is a good guess, Meglomania
> > > another, simple delusional behavoir, it will take a real pro to sort it
> > > out
> >
> > My best guess is "God Complex."
>
> I have no doubt that Brain has the same mental health case workers
> that Markie does...same "fuzzies-in-the-pocket" diagnosis.

Huh? We were discussing your diagnosis.

> > > > Why does Brian Burke lie prolifically then suggest mental health
> > > > issues in others?
> > >
> > > therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
> > > disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill
> >
> > God is infallible.
>
> That's yet to be proven. A brief review of world poverty,
> neonatal demise and the rise of AIDS, just to name a few, leads me to
> question the "omnipotent, benevolent diety" theories.

I'm still questioning the "seven hostile actions" theory.

> > All others are mere mortals with failings.
>
> Yes, you are.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

...and you are not. Point proven, again.

## 819. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:31:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JuOdnW1FypxqXmvfRVn-1A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115268

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:X-qdnYrYNp59bGnfRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:F9adnWW6iv_csmnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:pan.2005.08.05.03.32.19.939209@gmail.com...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Bill:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Frankly, I think you should have to take a CW test... IF, and I say IF,
>>>>>>>>>you are going to use CW, and perhaps they can "CW certify" a person to
>>>>>>>>>use
>>>>>>>>>code--otherwise let them only use phone and machine protocols...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Do you also think one should take a keyboard (i.e. typing) test to
>>>>>>>>certify ability to use digital modes?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>How about a speed profficiency test for using phonetics
>>>>>>>>in voice mode?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How about any electronic oriented questions if you are not going to ever
>>>>>>>build anything?
>>>>>
```

>>>>>
>>>>>Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
>>>>>ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
>>>>>responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
>>>>>transmit.
>>>>
>>>>however, we can greatly simplify the testing process and regulatory burden
>>>>by not allowing hams to build anything. I believe that there are examples
>>>>of this already in some countries.
>>>
>>>
>>>If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
>>>free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
>>>right to bring the idea forward.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Bottom line, there is no minimum code speed required
>>>>>>>for anyone to use morse code as a ham. That is the
>>>>>>>case now and has always been the case.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>A little bit more bottom line is that you cannot provide me with a
>>>>>>regulatory function for any testing whatsoever.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Not so. Part 97 gives reasons
>>>>
>>>>Cannot Part 97 be changed to simplify the process and ease the burden and
>>>>eliminate the barriers?
>>>
>>>
>>>If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
>>>free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
>>>right to bring the idea forward.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>AND, the international treaty
>>>>>still requires verification of knowledge. WRC-2003 eliminated
>>>>>mandatory code knowledge...it did not end general knwoledge
>>>>>of radio, etc.
>>>>
>>>>There are ways around that. I believe that many countries, including ours
>>>>have worked their way around the rules.
>>>>
>>>>I believe all it would take is issuance of a booklet, and a signed
>>>>affidavit that the prospective ham has read and understood it. This would
>>>>be akin to the old Morse sending test elimination.
>>>
>>>

>>>I disagree, but again....
>>>If that's something you want to see happen in the USA, feel
>>>free to propose it. I won't support it, but you have every
>>>right to bring the idea forward.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>*Why* should there be any testing?
>>>>>
>>>>>If you believe there shouldn't be any testing, then file
>>>>>your comments with the FCC accordingly.
>>>>
>>>>I do not, Bill. I personally think there isn't enough testing now, Morse
>>>>code issue aside. I think I'm on record as being accepting of elimination
>>>>of Element one if there is a corresponding increase in the testing
>>>>requirements. If not, I am now.
>>>
>>>
>>>OK...05-235 doesn't do what you want, however.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
>>>>available to people who feel otherwise.
>>>
>>>
>>>What pretty powerful tools are you thinking of
>>>and for what use? You lost me on that last comment.
>>
>> Yeah, after rereading it I wasn't very clear, Bill. I just fear that
>>some of the Anti-Morse arguments might be adapted to gain other, less
>>desirable changes in Amateur radio.
>
>
> then you need to read some the treaty requirements, the same treaty
> that has been the only keeping code testing the ARS for a couple of
> decades

Didn't we cover this before somewhere? There is a long history of
dancing around the treaty requirements. Like medical waivers for
starters....

My notion of a book like "Now You're Talking!", and a signed affidavit
by the prospective Ham could serve quite well for the "test" process.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 820. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:34:32 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123468472.781936.280870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115270

```
Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> In article
> <1123342041.756918.326090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <1123285124.092291.201...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog
> 20/20,
> > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > >
> > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > >
> > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision
> Science."
> >
> > Nice of you to prove my point, NostraDUMBASS!

But you had no point. You continue to have no point.

Your original premise was incorrect.

Better luck next time.
```

## 821. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:37:28 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123468648.594211.78710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115271

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <1123378380.907455.7410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
> > Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives,
> and
> > "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher
> wines.
> > That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to
> Steve.
>
> It's not a secret about Mad Dog 20/20, NostraDUMBASS, perhaps
> you should get out of the basement more.
> But that would involve you getting a pair, eh?
>
> > Fair enough. Does the label actually claim "Mad Dog" or does
> is state
> > "MD?"
>
> Your ignorance has no bounds.
>
> > I do need to get my ears lowered.
>
> Do us all a favor and have it done from the neck up.

Funny that Fritz and Anonymous are repeating themselves, yet have
nothing worth saying.

## 822. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:41:06 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6DzJe.2374$RZ2.121@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115274

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> regards to FCC NPRMs.
>

THe FCC doesn't make rules based on how polling comes out. One very
good comment can trump many "me toos". Besides, the FCC isn't
in the business of handing out gold stars. If a requirement
serves no regulatory purpose, the FCC doesn't want to bother
with it.

## 823. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:41:16 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123468876.403266.258980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115273

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article
> <1123377833.860604.299530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:

> > Steve Adell is no Steven J. Robeson, though they may both be
> > connoisseurs of cheap, kosher wine.
>
> Like I said, you've never seen a wine list, NostraDUMBASS!

If you had even half a brain, you'd know how rediculous your
accusations are. Best of luck getting your insults up to speed.

## 824. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:44:42 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <uGzJe.2376$RZ2.450@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115276

>>
>>Then why ask in the first place?
>
>
> one becuase they are required to by law
>
> two to see if there is something they overlooked
>

Exactly. A comment that points out something that
was overlooked will have much impact. Not so for
many comments that say "This should be, because it
is "right and good"...

## 825. Steve The Annointed One, was: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:47:15 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123469235.552713.43010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115277

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
> > disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill
>
> No, Markie.
>
> I "insistance" that people who do not tell the truth are liars and
> those that demonstrate abnormal human behaviour are "mentaly" ill,
> Mark.

Remember, Mark, Steve was annointed on the temples with the oil of a
1963 vintage bottle of guano. Hi!

## 826. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:49:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123469342.568379.218940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115278

robert casey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> > regards to FCC NPRMs.
> >
>
> THe FCC doesn't make rules based on how polling comes out. One very
> good comment can trump many "me toos". Besides, the FCC isn't
> in the business of handing out gold stars. If a requirement
> serves no regulatory purpose, the FCC doesn't want to bother
> with it.

the most that the majority position will count for is about the same as
a "good comment"

but so far looks like No Code will even carry that count

## 827. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:53:20 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <AOzJe.2456$Je.1422@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115279

> 
> 
> A. Because the Administrative Procedures Act required it and
> 
> B. To see how many ya-yas and yuck-yucks come out of the woodwork.
> 
> Relieves the tensions of 8 hours "in the box" sandwiched between two
> hours of car-pool on either end.. Maybe that's why I never went to HQ.
> 

I can just imagine the bureaucrat at the FCC who gets stuck
wading thru all the filed comments searching for the one
that might actually point out something of substance that was
overlooked and would matter. I made my comment short and
to the point: "I agree, do it, drop the code test". So
whoever at the FCC doesn't have to waste much time on
my comment.

## 828. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:55:23 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <vQzJe.2458$Je.1820@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115280

John Smith wrote:

> Dave:
>
> A useful figure here would be the percentage of hams who contest... Dee
> seems to think all 600,000 (and yes, I don't think that figure is even
> close to correct) do...
>

How else am I gonna get my "Worked All Hams" award, then?

:-)

# 829. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 02:56:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123469788.640531.273450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115281

John Smith wrote:
> To all:
>
> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
> in step with my beliefs.
>
> However, somethings I believe are:
>
> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than
> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.

there may be more aliens in LA than hams
>
> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone,
> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
> above.

90% or more like 80% ? what you caling almost all

myself about I guess about 80%

>
> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are
> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.

Personaly I believe they do not realize it
>
> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>
> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
> stages of a massive change.

I truely hope you are right Sir I turely do

>
> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.

I'd love to call you a liar on that one

>
> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>
> I am a believer...
>
> John

# 830. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:02:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.03.02.45.742360@gmail.com>
Source record: 115283

AOF:

I checked out Art Bell's site, but couldn't get any figures on how many
"space aliens" are residing in California, I would have loved to have made
a comparison to their numbers with amateurs, as a ratio... I think that
me be another story, perhaps a "gov't conspiracy" and they are obfuscating
the facts... <heart-felt-frown> :(

80%? Eight out of ten? You think I am an alarmist if I use "almost all"
to describe that kind of percentage? <grin>

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 19:56:28 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> To all:
>>
>> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
>> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
>> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
>> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
>> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
>> in step with my beliefs.
>>
>> However, somethings I believe are:
>>
>> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than
>> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> there may be more aliens in LA than hams
>>
>> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone,
>> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>>
>> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
>> above.
>
> 90% or more like 80% ? what you caling almost all
>
> myself about I guess about 80%
>
>>
>> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
>> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are

>> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
>
> Personaly I believe they do not realize it
>>
>> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
>> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
>> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>>
>> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
>> stages of a massive change.
>
> I truely hope you are right Sir I turely do
>
>>
>> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
>
> I'd love to call you a liar on that one
>
>>
>> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
>> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
>> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
>> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
>> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
>> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
>> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
>> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>>
>> I am a believer...
>>
>> John

## 831. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:03:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OXzJe.2574$Wi6.1354@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115282

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
>>>
>>cut
>>
>>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
>>
>>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
>>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
>>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
>>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
>>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
>
>
>>we laughed and he made me a friend
>
>
>
> Why am I not suprised?
>
> I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> the air is a hoot. Thanks.

...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that
the morse distress call is OSO.

Dave K8MN

# 832. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:04:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.03.04.52.808404@gmail.com>
Source record: 115284

Robert:

HUH? <attempting-to-keep-a-straight-face>

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 02:55:23 +0000, robert casey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Dave:
>>
>> A useful figure here would be the percentage of hams who contest... Dee
>> seems to think all 600,000 (and yes, I don't think that figure is even
>> close to correct) do...
>>
>
> How else am I gonna get my "Worked All Hams" award, then?
>
> :-)

## 833. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:06:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S_zJe.2576$Wi6.1694@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115285

```
b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>is is me means nothing
>>>>
>>>>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
>>>>>doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
>>>>>jerk not a bully.
>>>>
>>>>Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
>>>>presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious
>>>>handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
>>>>who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
>>>>Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.
>>
>>>Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
>>>holiday???
>>
>>I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in
>
>
> You probably layed down in it.

Did you mean "laid down"? What's my motivation for doing that?

>
>>and I don't know Father
>>Hams.
>
>
> Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
> that I referred to.
```

It isn't what you wrote.

Dave K8MN

# 834. Re: Steve The Annointed One, was: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:07:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.03.07.33.976736@gmail.com>
Source record: 115286

b.b.:

Only guano I ever seen was in a cave, piles of it! Bat Guano it
was--reminded me a little of BS... hmmm, well, and looked a little like
"Steer Guano" too... is that a word, "Steer Guano?" Maybe I got my guanos
mixed up...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 19:47:15 -0700, b.b. wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> > therein lies part of your problem you insitance that anyone that
>> > disagrees with you is lying and mentaly ill
>>
>> No, Markie.
>>
>> I "insistance" that people who do not tell the truth are liars and
>> those that demonstrate abnormal human behaviour are "mentaly" ill,
>> Mark.
>
> Remember, Mark, Steve was annointed on the temples with the oil of a
> 1963 vintage bottle of guano. Hi!

# 835. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:12:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123470751.765627.312730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Commander Bitchwhore said:
>Actually he is

And you and your little buddies Davy Heil, and Stebie Robbieson are
bullies

## 836. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:13:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <95AJe.2581$Wi6.2501@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115288

an_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>> There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital HF won't
>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that caused hams
>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>
>
> agreed there are reasons of course as there were then
>
> but it is folks like you with "it can not be Done.. therefore it should
> not be disused etc. that insure it can't be done

So, according to your view, it is quite possible that you *could* pass a
morse test.

Dave K8MN

## 837. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:17:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <D9AJe.2584$Wi6.791@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115289

an_old_friend wrote:

> you don't know turth, you don't know normal behavoir

Mark, I make it a rule in making judgements on what is or isn't normal,
not to solicit advice on the topic from dyslexic, pagan, bisexual
masochists.

Dave K8MN

## 838. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:22:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123471332.869850.17800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115290

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>is is me means nothing
> >>>>
> >>>>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> >>>>doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> >>>>jerk not a bully.
> >>>>
> >>>>Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
> >>>>presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious
> >>>>handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
> >>>>who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
> >>>>Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.
> >>
> >>>Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
> >>>holiday???
> >>
> >>I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in
> >
> >
> > You probably layed down in it.
>
> Did you mean "laid down"? What's my motivation for doing that?

you need to ask what YOUR Motiviation is?

ok fine

>
> >

> >>and I don't know Father
> >>Hams.
> >
> >
> > Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
> > that I referred to.
>
> It isn't what you wrote.
>
> Dave K8MN

# 839. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:22:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123471373.259091.62900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115291

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> I was a boy scout leader in my late 20's into my early 30's, it was hard,
> my job made some allowances, heck, some of the people over me had kids in
> my troop.
>
> I think it can be done alright...
>
> Old pharts shine in positions like supreme court justices, you don't need
> the constitution to change all that much, indeed, in over 200 years it has
> been a real benefit NOT having much change at all... you just have to
> realize where you need young minds and where you need old ones...

It's like this "John": The ARRL is run by an elected, unpaid volunteer
BoD. In any practical sense the ARRL cannot be changed from the outside
but it can and has been changed from the inside. The "solution" is
rather obvious isn't it "John"? So go round up all your ARRL
like-minded member buddies and campaign to get one elected to the BoD
to kick off the "revolution".

In other words "John" "lead, follow or quit whining, trolling and
sucking up pointless bandwidth" on the topic.

> John

w3rv

>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 21:43:33 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:pan.2005.08.07.22.43.18.541400@gmail.com...
> >> Len:
> >>
> >> I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
> >> way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
> >>
> >> We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
> >>
> >> John
> >
> > They won't get to it until they are over 40 themselves. Right now they are
> > building their careers and raising families. Doesn't leave much time for
> > the major commitment of being in charge of the ARRL.

> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 840. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:25:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123471503.996391.289850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115292

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital HF won't
> >>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that caused hams
> >>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
> >
> >
> > agreed there are reasons of course as there were then
> >
> > but it is folks like you with "it can not be Done.. therefore it should
> > not be disused etc. that insure it can't be done
>
> So, according to your view, it is quite possible that you *could* pass a
> morse test.

Of course I could If write enough stuff in response to enough tests I
am certain I could indeed pass, assuming of course I live that long

OTOH I more likely to get a kind word from Stevie on my spelling or
even my honesty

>
> Dave K8MN

## 841. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:27:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123471639.457535.35310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115293

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > you don't know turth, you don't know normal behavoir
>
> Mark, I make it a rule in making judgements on what is or isn't normal,
> not to solicit advice on the topic from dyslexic, pagan, bisexual
> masochists.

meaning you are in fact a Biased bigoted SoB with a lack of respect for
Amercian Liberty, exactly the sort that should be.... well i am too
polite

but you might try doing some reasarch or knwoing what you are talking
about too
>
> Dave K8MN

## 842. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:28:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123471682.981980.99090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115294

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > you don't know turth, you don't know normal behavoir
>
> Mark, I make it a rule in making judgements on what is or isn't normal,
> not to solicit advice on the topic from dyslexic, pagan, bisexual
> masochists.

meaning you are in fact a Biased bigoted SoB with a lack of respect for
Amercian Liberty, exactly the sort that should be.... well i am too
polite

You sure you are Stevie to be so interested in what other MEN do with
their genitals....sad
>
> Dave K8MN

# 843. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:30:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123471831.106426.250170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115295

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >>>
> >>cut
> >>
> >>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
> >>
> >>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> >>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> >>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> >>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> >>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
> >
> >
> >>we laughed and he made me a friend
> >
> >
> >
> > Why am I not suprised?
> >
> > I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> > Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> > here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> > suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> > the air is a hoot. Thanks.
>
> ...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that

> the morse distress call is OSO.

but it is ososososososos doesn't matter where I come in the signal

I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with

>
> Dave K8MN

## 844. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:31:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MmAJe.2592$Wi6.2048@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115296

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
> way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>
> We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!

We? Are you an ARRL member? Len certainly isn't.

Dave K8MN

## 845. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:32:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123471931.177100.238020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115297

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> I checked out Art Bell's site, but couldn't get any figures on how many
> "space aliens" are residing in California, I would have loved to have made
> a comparison to their numbers with amateurs, as a ratio... I think that
> me be another story, perhaps a "gov't conspiracy" and they are obfuscating
> the facts... <heart-felt-frown> :(
>
> 80%? Eight out of ten? You think I am an alarmist if I use "almost all"
> to describe that kind of percentage? <grin>

I do think you are an alarmist, OTOH the state of affairs does call for
it
but 8 of ten is my experence and 1.5 out of ten are pushing upper 50's
>
> John
>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 19:56:28 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> To all:
> >>
> >> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
> >> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
> >> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
> >> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
> >> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
> >> in step with my beliefs.
> >>
> >> However, somethings I believe are:
> >>
> >> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than
> >> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
> >
> > there may be more aliens in LA than hams
> >>
> >> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone,
> >> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
> >>
> >> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
> >> above.
> >

> > 90% or more like 80% ? what you caling almost all
> >
> > myself about I guess about 80%
> >
> >>
> >> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
> >> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are
> >> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
> >
> > Personaly I believe they do not realize it
> >>
> >> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> >> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
> >> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
> >>
> >> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
> >> stages of a massive change.
> >
> > I truely hope you are right Sir I turely do
> >
> >>
> >> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
> >
> > I'd love to call you a liar on that one
> >
> >>
> >> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> >> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
> >> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> >> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
> >> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
> >> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
> >> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> >> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
> >>
> >> I am a believer...
> >>
> >> John

## 846. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:38:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AsAJe.2594$Wi6.1214@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115298

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
> to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
> This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
> life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!

Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a cheap motel with some floozy.

Dave K8MN

# 847. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:38:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123472310.962999.49800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115299

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Bill Sohl wrote:

cuting

> > then you need to read some the treaty requirements, the same treaty
> > that has been the only keeping code testing the ARS for a couple of
> > decades
>
> Didn't we cover this before somewhere? There is a long history of
> dancing around the treaty requirements. Like medical waivers for
> starters....

nope you are simply in error. The waivers (only for speeds above 5wpm)
needed no dance

the old lang required only that Ham be tested for morse code, no speed
was required, indeed we could if were dancing around the Treaty have
said ok all Hams will tested we send every one of them a "." and if
they answer that was E the requirement would have been met

the higher speed tests were all the ARRL's idea, and they sold it to
the FCC
>
> My notion of a book like "Now You're Talking!", and a signed affidavit
> by the prospective Ham could serve quite well for the "test" process.

feel free to propose it and watch it get shot down.

but if you truely believe that is all that should be required then you
should be proposing it otherwise you are just blowing smoke

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 848. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:40:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123472409.878497.187490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115150

robert casey wrote:
> >
> >
> > Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
> > ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
> > responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
> > transmit.
> >
>
> If the FCC is to trust us with the legal ability to
> pop the covers off our transmitters and make
> adjustments and modifications, we need to have passed
> tests to show that we have basic knowledge of RF and
> such. That we'd know how to run and maintain a clean
> operation.
>
> It's quite rare that the FCC busts a ham for faulty
> equipment anymore.

you know the last time the FCC busted a ham for faulty equipment

## 849. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:42:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ywAJe.2595$Wi6.1631@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115151

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Aug 7, 3:43 pm
>
>
>>Len:
>>
>>I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>>way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>>
>>We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>
>
> Absolutely cannot be done unless "we" become MEMBERS and
> "work for change from the 'inside'." [repeated warnings
> from Dee Flint in here]
>
> Problem is, the entrenched officials and BoD are RESISTANT
> to "change from within" as evident from their regular BoD
> Meeting Minutes given on their websites. They do things
> THEIR way and expect all to fall-in and pop-to. After all,
> they "represent all amateurs in the USA" and say so up
> front.
>
> I'll have to check out the QST advertising page on the website,
> see if they have a new "Publisher's Sworn Statement" on their
> membership numbers. ARRL have never had more than a quarter
> of all licensed U.S. radio amateurs and, at the end of 2004,
> had only about 20 percent of them according to membership
> numbers they gave.
>
> However, in THIS newsgroup, all of the PCTA extras bow down
> and accept all League words as sacrosanct. After all, Hiram
> Went To Washington right after the end of WW1 and "saved
> ham radio" all by hisself. For that all are supposed to
> forever show gratitude and obediance to the ARRL, even 86
> years after that "fact"!
>
> Something to consider: The present elected President of
> the ARRL was a former salesman. [see connection? :-) ]
>
> ant how
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

You are as involved in the ARRL as you are in amateur radio--not at all.

Dave K8MN

## 850. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:42:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <h6ydnZ2dnZ2U-Y_6nZ2dnXJYa9-dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <28udnTjUjPvAG2jfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmdr Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
>>Anonymous wrote:
>>
>>>>That photo (IKYABWAI)
>>>
>>>
>>> And, Roger
>>>doesn't have long hair and unlike you has hair to shampoo on
>
> a
>
>>>daily basis.
>>
>>Why are you shampooing your butt, wogie?
>
>
>
> Why are you so concerned with another man's butt, Commander
> Queero? And where above does it say he was?
>
>

It's so simple that if it has to be explained to you, then you're too
stupid to get it anyway, wogie.

## 851. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:43:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123472583.678701.251270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115152

Dave Heil wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Len:
> >
> > As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
> > to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
> > This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
> > life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>
> Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
> openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
> your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
> cheap motel with some floozy.

boy oh boy you are obsessed with what other MEN do with their genitals

>
> Dave K8MN

# 852. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:45:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <h6ydnZ2dnZ2X-Y_6nZ2dnQVba9-dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>>
>
>
> <SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
> Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually that
> ignorant are you?

Actually he is.

# 853. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 03:56:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VsydnWyREYZCSmvfRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115153

an_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>
> cuting
>
>
>>>then you need to read some the treaty requirements, the same treaty
>>>that has been the only keeping code testing the ARS for a couple of
>>>decades
>>
>> Didn't we cover this before somewhere? There is a long history of
>>dancing around the treaty requirements. Like medical waivers for
>>starters....
>
>
> nope you are simply in error. The waivers (only for speeds above 5wpm)
> needed no dance

Oops! I am indeed in error on that!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 854. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:02:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zPAJe.2611$Wi6.67@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115154

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>is is me means nothing
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
>>>>>>>doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
>>>>>>>jerk not a bully.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
>>>>>>presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious
>>>>>>handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
>>>>>>who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
>>>>>>Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.
>>>>
>>>>Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
>>>>holiday???
>>>>
>>>>I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in
>>>
>>>
>>>You probably layed down in it.

>>
>>Did you mean "laid down"? What's my motivation for doing that?
>
>
> you need to ask what YOUR Motiviation is?
>
> ok fine

Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain it to you.

Dave K8MN

## 855. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:05:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nSAJe.2613$Wi6.2164@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115155

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>> There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital HF won't
>>>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that caused hams
>>>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>>>
>>>
>>>agreed there are reasons of course as there were then
>>>
>>>but it is folks like you with "it can not be Done.. therefore it should
>>>not be disused etc. that insure it can't be done
>>
>>So, according to your view, it is quite possible that you *could* pass a
>>morse test.
>
>
> Of course I could If write enough stuff in response to enough tests I
> am certain I could indeed pass, assuming of course I live that long
>
> OTOH I more likely to get a kind word from Stevie on my spelling or
> even my honesty

But you've told Jim that he wasn't reading when you said you'd simply
tried everything, some of them a number of times and that you just
couldn't pass a morse exam. Now you'd have us believe that it is
```

possible for you to do so. You're making some improvement but it makes your previous statements sound a bit disingenuous.

Dave K8MN

## 856. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:13:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wZAJe.2615$Wi6.970@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115156

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>you don't know turth, you don't know normal behavoir
>>
>>Mark, I make it a rule in making judgements on what is or isn't normal,
>>not to solicit advice on the topic from dyslexic, pagan, bisexual
>>masochists.
>
>
> meaning you are in fact a Biased bigoted SoB with a lack of respect for
> Amercian Liberty, exactly the sort that should be.... well i am too
> polite

No, nothing forces me to accept your lifestyle as moral or normal. I
don't have to hang out with you or even like you. Now *that* is
American liberty.

> but you might try doing some reasarch or knwoing what you are talking
> about too

I know exactly what I'm talking about. I even wrote it down for you.
I wrote it in response to your statement to Steve that he didn't know
"turth" and didn't know normal "behavoir".

I don't see you being made the poster boy for normality any time soon.
It is my view that you'd first have to be normal before making the
comment you directed at Steve, Colonel Morgan.

Dave K8MN

## 857. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:15:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Y%AJe.2616$Wi6.116@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115157

an_old_friend wrote:

> You sure you are Stevie to be so interested in what other MEN do with
> their genitals....sad

It was just a few days ago, Mark, that you indicated that you believe
that the anus is a genital organ.

I don't find you to be remotely normal.

Dave K8MN

# 858. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:17:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H1BJe.2618$Wi6.948@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115158

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
>>>>>
>>>>cut
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
>>>>
>>>>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
>>>>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
>>>>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
>>>>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
>>>>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
>>>
>>>
>>>>we laughed and he made me a friend
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>Why am I not suprised?
>>>
>>>I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
>>>Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
>>>here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
>>>suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
>>>the air is a hoot. Thanks.
>>
>>...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that
>>the morse distress call is OSO.
>
>
> but it is ososososososos

No, it isn't.

doesn't matter where I come in the signal

Yes, it matters.

> I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with

I can't understand your point.

Dave K8MN

# 859. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:21:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.04.21.43.889647@gmail.com>
Source record: 115161

Dave:

Your ideas are bit strange, you probably didn't like old ben franklin when he assumed a pen name. Perhaps you refuse to read books when the author has adopted a pen name? Perhaps you despise actors for adopting a stage name? Perhaps your appreciation of a rose is solely based upon its' name, and "by another name, a rose is NOT a rose?"

Funny, it is principals and NOT personalities which have the true merit and worth--you seem to lack ability to grasp that concept... a good old buddies club where you only accept argument when it comes from a name you recognize is not available to you always, let me see, in real life those individuals who assign worth of an idea based on the persons identity whose idea it is, is called, in slang mind you, a "Kiss Ass" or "Brown Noser"... you are lost without knowing the identity behind the idea!

Perhaps the voting system of the USA is found in fault with you--it seems to guarantee anonymity... you seem to need a face and a character to attack--character assassination is your forte!

You seem to avoid argument on only an ideas worth, resorting to attacking thin air in an effort to confuse the unwitting... well, some just must proceed with the handicaps the world, God and nature assigns them, or they choose to shackle themselves with... strange though, how you choose to make yourself a prime example of the close minded "good ole boys" I mention, it almost makes me think you get the idea on some unconscious level and act out emotionally (but in text form) on what is presented--strange...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:38:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>> to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>> This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>> life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>
> Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
> openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
> your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
> cheap motel with some floozy.

>
> Dave K8MN

## 860. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:22:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Y5BJe.2619$Wi6.822@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115159

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Len:
>>>
>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>
>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
>>cheap motel with some floozy.
>
>
> boy oh boy you are obsessed with what other MEN do with their genitals

Colonel Morgan,

"John Smith", so the jokes go, is a commonly used name by those checking into a hotel with a woman other than one's wife. I didn't mention "John Smith's" or anyone else's genitals.

Dave K8MN

# 861. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:25:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.04.25.09.513263@gmail.com>
Source record: 115160

Dave:

When the arrl sees fit to serve amateur radio to its' best purpose, and
the purpose of this country and its' citizens, I would be a fool not to be
a member... I await future times...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:31:56 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>> way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>>
>> We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>
> We? Are you an ARRL member? Len certainly isn't.
>
> Dave K8MN

# 862. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:31:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.04.31.46.251089@gmail.com>
Source record: 115162

Kelly:

I wish your mother would have told you it is not only pointless to attempt to control others, it is also impolite... not to mention fruitless... you guys, which attempt this meaningless control, make me ponder on what type of idiots you have surrounded yourself with, which would take orders?

What do you call your little group, "Kellys' dummies?" Or, are you just pulling a fast one on us, and truth is they ignore you and march to their own drum? Are you sure you are an official in charge, or just self-appointed?

I mean this all seems quite ridiculous, are you sure the world revolves upon your commands? I try to keep an open mind, even when ridiculous posturing people pose preposterous ideas.

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:22:53 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> I was a boy scout leader in my late 20's into my early 30's, it was hard,
>> my job made some allowances, heck, some of the people over me had kids in
>> my troop.
>>
>> I think it can be done alright...
>>
>> Old pharts shine in positions like supreme court justices, you don't need
>> the constitution to change all that much, indeed, in over 200 years it has
>> been a real benefit NOT having much change at all... you just have to
>> realize where you need young minds and where you need old ones...
>
> It's like this "John": The ARRL is run by an elected, unpaid volunteer
> BoD. In any practical sense the ARRL cannot be changed from the outside
> but it can and has been changed from the inside. The "solution" is
> rather obvious isn't it "John"? So go round up all your ARRL
> like-minded member buddies and campaign to get one elected to the BoD
> to kick off the "revolution".
>
> In other words "John" "lead, follow or quit whining, trolling and
> sucking up pointless bandwidth" on the topic.
>
>> John

>
> w3rv
>
>
>
>>
>> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 21:43:33 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:pan.2005.08.07.22.43.18.541400@gmail.com...
>> >> Len:
>> >>
>> >> I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>> >> way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>> >>
>> >> We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >
>> > They won't get to it until they are over 40 themselves. Right now they are
>> > building their careers and raising families. Doesn't leave much time for
>> > the major commitment of being in charge of the ARRL.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 863. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:33:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.04.33.08.788015@gmail.com>
Source record: 115163

AOF:

Hey! You got proof the FCC is interested in an amateurs equipment? What,
is it a gay organization now?

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:40:09 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> robert casey wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
>> > ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
>> > responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
>> > transmit.
>> >
>>
>> If the FCC is to trust us with the legal ability to
>> pop the covers off our transmitters and make
>> adjustments and modifications, we need to have passed
>> tests to show that we have basic knowledge of RF and
>> such. That we'd know how to run and maintain a clean
>> operation.
>>
>> It's quite rare that the FCC busts a ham for faulty
>> equipment anymore.
>
> you know the last time the FCC busted a ham for faulty equipment

# 864. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:46:46 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <05aa3e90eec148ae955d090a36c67135@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 115165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:
>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:41:15 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet
Hairy Woger> wrote:
> : In article <hairy.woger.wo...@houston-hunks.com>
> : Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
> : blathered and fucks up again:
> :
> : > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul,
I
> : Cor. 11:14
> :
> : Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
> : faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
> : followers in the Bible all have long hair?
>
> Those are derived <more bullshit>

You never can get anything right, can you, dumbass?

>
> In actuality, it is highly probable that Jesus had short hair.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Yeah, barbers were so prevalent in his
day! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
What a dumbfuck you are Saggytits. Get that much needed Rogaine
yet? Or are you going to get hair plugs?

><backpedals, unsubstantiated bullshit and excuses flushed>

That still doesn't change the fact Roger has short hair, dumbass!

BTW, Paul was a faggot just like Jesus.....who got what he
deserved on the cross.

Find that Marshall County WV ARES website yet, shit for brains?

--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

## 865. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 04:59:33 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <VEBJe.2420$RZ2.1998@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115164

John Smith wrote:
> Robert:
>
> HUH? <attempting-to-keep-a-straight-face>
>
> John
>

>>
>>How else am I gonna get my "Worked All Hams" award, then?
>>
>>:-)
>
>

To get the "Worked All Hams" award one has to work each
and every licensed ham in the USA. All 600 thousand of them.
Which means they all must be active...

:-)

## 866. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 05:20:09 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <rfqdf1l7f5hi1bpnnobcct0gh7na5dhcvi@4ax.com>
Source record: 115168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 10:26:59 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Uncle teddie:
>
>Many things are highly improbable, I agree, such as evolution.
>
>However, if you venture a religious belief in evolution, and then there
>are millions of millions of planets out there near similar to earth, with
>billions of billions of mud puddles on them--aliens become a given
>probability.
>
>John

What does this have to do with what I originally posted about Jesus?
You're still not making any sense.

# 867. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 05:25:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.05.25.45.222809@gmail.com>
Source record: 115167

Robert:

You may have to enlist Sylvia Brownes' help, I think (my belief, opinion) is that some of those hams still on the books have entered the "here after." Propagation into that area is rare at best, and most reports are highly questionable...

Psychic communications is still in its' infancy, highly sporadic successes to this date, equipment is sparse... don't prepare a spot on the wall for that award, yet... <grin>

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 04:59:33 +0000, robert casey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Robert:
>>
>> HUH? <attempting-to-keep-a-straight-face>
>>
>> John
>>
>
>>>
>>>How else am I gonna get my "Worked All Hams" award, then?
>>>
>>>:-)
>>
>>
>
> To get the "Worked All Hams" award one has to work each
> and every licensed ham in the USA. All 600 thousand of them.
> Which means they all must be active...
>
> :-)

## 868. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 05:27:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123478848.833300.286810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115166

From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:

>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>propagation phenomena.
>
>> Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
>> of high speed digital transmission?"
>
>Dave wrote that last. But I agree with him

Sweetums, you've been plugging for that all by yourself for
lots of messages in here. You NEGLECT any other forms of
communications and concentrate on imagery, many-pixel images.

"HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.

> And the answer to "why" is that other people bring it up. So I answer.
>
> Is that not allowed?

It's allowed. It's also allowed that YOU *might* consider
OTHER forms of communications on beloved HF other than what
the holy Handbook says is "good."

Quit acting petulant.

>> The "charge" that high-speed data transmission is "impossible"
>
> Who said that? It is most certainly possible. We just have to be
>patient, very patient.

Sorry, I've lost my patience with the brain-draggers in here
only considering U.S. ham radio "high-tech" being some finished
product advertised in QST and having a "lab review" on it all
glowing with praise.

There's an INFINITY of POSSIBILITIES that can be done in U.S.
ham radio and about the ONLY innovation of late is the Tayloe

Mixer (patent pending). Mike Gingell in the UK came up with the polyphase audio phase shifter for better phasing SSB and Peter Martinez, also in the UK, came up with PSK31. Once in a while some U.S. guys come out with an innovating product and all you "communications experts" all get together and carp it up, refuse to buy it, or say whatever each one of you has is "so much better" than anything new. Newness is to be feared?

Go back in time to the late Dick Carroll complaining and grousing about his peripheral DSP audio filter...he said outright in here that he had difficulty setting the controls! Waaa...waaaa...if it ain't like it usta was in the 1950s and 1960s it ain't no good!

Okay, so somebody INNOVATE something.

INNOVATE something besides sitting around gabbling how "good" and "expert" you all are because you are morsemen and grand champions in radio because you are federally authorized for beeping. The rest of the radio world is NOT buying it. The rest of the radio world will continue to improve as it has been for years. The U.S. amateur radio world can only play copycat and steal from that, having the ARRL say that "hams invented it" when it didn't.

Tayloe did it. What have the other 700K+ done? Sit around griping because none of you have done anything?

non seq
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 869. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 05:42:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.05.42.51.786952@gmail.com>
Source record: 115169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle ted:

I am afraid you would have to have the ability to carry on a conversation without me having to align all of my comments up to your comments which prompted them. If you need to, go back, read your posts, read mine--in sequential order, you should be able to figure it out... if not, we probably should not be having this conversation in the first place...

I see that is the style you choose (alignment of each of my comments to your counter-comments), and now, perhaps, I know why you need such a style.

I am afraid you may have to choose others who you can "understand."

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 01:20:09 -0400, Uncle Ted wrote:

> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 10:26:59 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Uncle teddie:
>>
>>Many things are highly improbable, I agree, such as evolution.
>>
>>However, if you venture a religious belief in evolution, and then there
>>are millions of millions of planets out there near similar to earth, with
>>billions of billions of mud puddles on them--aliens become a given
>>probability.
>>
>>John
>
> What does this have to do with what I originally posted about Jesus?
> You're still not making any sense.

## 870. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 05:47:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.05.47.49.748143@gmail.com>
Source record: 115170
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted:

Let me try a simple approach for you. Since, by your own text, you dismissed the possibility of Jesus, the ONLY other explanation currently "on the board" is evolution. I accepted debate on what that all involves, such as the existence of aliens, and moved to that platform... why would you wish to go back and debate Jesus, an idea/possibility you have dismissed. I simply don't know, could be here because of "God" and awaiting an angel--or, could be here because of mud puddles and are awaiting an alien... But, it is damn sure one or the other (well, there are other possible reasons, but even fewer supporters of those...)

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 01:20:09 -0400, Uncle Ted wrote:

> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 10:26:59 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Uncle teddie:
>>
>>Many things are highly improbable, I agree, such as evolution.
>>
>>However, if you venture a religious belief in evolution, and then there
>>are millions of millions of planets out there near similar to earth, with
>>billions of billions of mud puddles on them--aliens become a given
>>probability.
>>
>>John
>
> What does this have to do with what I originally posted about Jesus?
> You're still not making any sense.

# 871. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 05:57:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tvCJe.2946$WD.827@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115171

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Your ideas are bit strange, you probably didn't like old ben franklin when
> he assumed a pen name.

I've read Ben Franklin. You're no Ben Franklin.

> Perhaps you refuse to read books when the author
> has adopted a pen name?

If he claims to speak "the truth" then, no. Have you written books as
"John Smith"?

> Perhaps you despise actors for adopting a stage
> name?

I'm fine with actors. I've watched Alec Baldwin in movies and don't
have a problem with him *as an actor*. When Alec Baldwin pontificates
on politics, I don't give him any credence. Are you the actor "John Smith"?

> Perhaps your appreciation of a rose is solely based upon its' name,
> and "by another name, a rose is NOT a rose?"

You may have a point. I'm not likely to purchase a rose bush of the
variety "John Smith".

> Funny, it is principals and NOT personalities which have the true merit
> and worth--you seem to lack ability to grasp that concept...

Your recent stuff reads like the rantings of homeless folks I've
encountered in New York. There is little of principal and little of
fact. Actually, there's been little of your stuff in which true merit
and worth has been addressed or established.

> a good old
> buddies club where you only accept argument when it comes from a name you
> recognize is not available to you always, let me see, in real life
> those individuals who assign worth of an idea based on the persons
> identity whose idea it is, is called, in slang mind you, a "Kiss Ass"
> or "Brown Noser"... you are lost without knowing the identity behind
> the idea!

Not so, "John Smith". If I'm present at a town council meeting, folks
are free to state their opinions. They have faces and they give their
names. No pseudonyms are permitted and no one stands behind a velvet
drape to present his views.

> Perhaps the voting system of the USA is found in fault with
> you--it seems to guarantee anonymity... you seem to need a face and a
> character to attack--character assassination is your forte!

You can't walk into any polling place, unregistered and vote. Your
identity is known and you've previously presented credentials which
establish your right to vote. What is not known on election day is *how
you voted*.

You don't have a face nor any identifiable character to attack and here
I am attacking your statements. Go figure!

> You seem to avoid argument on only an ideas worth, resorting to
> attacking thin air in an effort to confuse the unwitting... well, some
> just must proceed with the handicaps the world, God and nature assigns
> them, or they choose to shackle themselves with... strange though, how
> you choose to make yourself a prime example of the close minded "good ole
> boys" I mention, it almost makes me think you get the idea on some
> unconscious level and act out emotionally (but in text form) on what is
> presented--strange...

Your recent rantings present wild numbers and unverified percentages of
individuals within amateur radio. You've made some unsubstantiated
claims about the ARRL and about currently licensed radio amateurs. I'm
not going to let you get by with that unchallenged. It is quite easy to
hide behind your sofa and make pathetic claims as an anonymous writer.

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:38:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Len:
>>>
>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>
>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
>>cheap motel with some floozy.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>

# 872. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 05:58:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kwCJe.2947$WD.2608@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115172

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> When the arrl sees fit to serve amateur radio to its' best purpose, and
> the purpose of this country and its' citizens, I would be a fool not to be
> a member... I await future times...

Sure, "John", let someone else do it all for you.

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:31:56 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Len:
>>>
>>>I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>>>way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>>>
>>>We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>>
>>We? Are you an ARRL member? Len certainly isn't.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>

# 873. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:01:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GyCJe.2949$WD.2918@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115173

John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> I wish your mother would have told you it is not only pointless to attempt
> to control others, it is also impolite... not to mention fruitless...
> you guys, which attempt this meaningless control, make me ponder on what
> type of idiots you have surrounded yourself with, which would take orders?
>
> What do you call your little group, "Kellys' dummies?" Or, are you just
> pulling a fast one on us, and truth is they ignore you and march to their
> own drum? Are you sure you are an official in charge, or just
> self-appointed?

Who is asking?

> I mean this all seems quite ridiculous, are you sure the world revolves
> upon your commands? I try to keep an open mind, even when ridiculous
> posturing people pose preposterous ideas.

Well, there you go, "John". I'm trying to keep an open mind in reading
your material. It isn't easy.

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:22:53 -0700, kelly wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dee:
>>>
>>>I was a boy scout leader in my late 20's into my early 30's, it was hard,
>>>my job made some allowances, heck, some of the people over me had kids in
>>>my troop.
>>>
>>>I think it can be done alright...
>>>
>>>Old pharts shine in positions like supreme court justices, you don't need
>>>the constitution to change all that much, indeed, in over 200 years it has
>>>been a real benefit NOT having much change at all... you just have to
>>>realize where you need young minds and where you need old ones...
>>
>>It's like this "John": The ARRL is run by an elected, unpaid volunteer
>>BoD. In any practical sense the ARRL cannot be changed from the outside

>>but it can and has been changed from the inside. The "solution" is
>>rather obvious isn't it "John"? So go round up all your ARRL
>>like-minded member buddies and campaign to get one elected to the BoD
>>to kick off the "revolution".
>>
>>In other words "John" "lead, follow or quit whining, trolling and
>>sucking up pointless bandwidth" on the topic.
>>
>>
>>>John
>>
>>w3rv
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 21:43:33 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:pan.2005.08.07.22.43.18.541400@gmail.com...
>>>>
>>>>>Len:
>>>>>
>>>>>I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>>>>>way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>>>>>
>>>>>We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>>>>>
>>>>>John
>>>>
>>>>They won't get to it until they are over 40 themselves. Right now they are
>>>>building their careers and raising families. Doesn't leave much time for
>>>>the major commitment of being in charge of the ARRL.
>>>>
>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

# 874. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:02:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123480930.304794.186990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115174

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>>Len:
> >>>
> >>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
> >>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
> >>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
> >>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
> >>
> >>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
> >>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
> >>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
> >>cheap motel with some floozy.
> >
> >
> > boy oh boy you are obsessed with what other MEN do with their genitals
>
> Colonel Morgan,
>
break

> "John Smith", so the jokes go, is a commonly used name by those checking
> into a hotel with a woman other than one's wife. I didn't mention "John
> Smith's" or anyone else's genitals.

Now you refered instead to what he was doing with them

so you are still obsessed with what other MEN are doing with their
genitals

I am of course well aware of the joke

>
> Dave K8MN

# 875. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:05:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BCCJe.2950$WD.659@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115175

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm
>
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>>propagation phenomena.
>>
>>> Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
>>> of high speed digital transmission?"
>>
>>Dave wrote that last. But I agree with him
>
>
> Sweetums, you've been plugging for that all by yourself for
> lots of messages in here. You NEGLECT any other forms of
> communications and concentrate on imagery, many-pixel images.
>
> "HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
> What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
> High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.

Gee, Len, BPL isn't supposed to be radio at all. Fill us in on the
various intended BPL wireless propagation media. Those power lines are
intended to be antennas?

Dave K8MN

## 876. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:08:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123481323.306074.140680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115176

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>is is me means nothing
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
> >>>>>>>doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
> >>>>>>>jerk not a bully.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
> >>>>>>presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious
> >>>>>>handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
> >>>>>>who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
> >>>>>>Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.
> >>>>
> >>>>Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
> >>>>holiday???
> >>>>
> >>>I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>You probably layed down in it.
> >>
> >>Did you mean "laid down"? What's my motivation for doing that?
> >
> >
> > you need to ask what YOUR Motiviation is?
> >
> > ok fine
>
break

> Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> it to you.

yea I followed it all Dave

still want to know why you need someone else to tell you what your
motivation is?

makes you look like a fool.

I am sure I don't care WHY you do strange or say strange things. I'll
guess with some assurance that John doesn't either.

lectureing someone when they clearly undersatnd what you are saying
make YOU well ...silly

>
> Dave K8MN

# 877. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:09:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VGCJe.2952$WD.1210@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115177

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Len:
>>>>>
>>>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>>>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>>>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>>>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>>>
>>>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
>>>>cheap motel with some floozy.
>>>
>>>
>>>boy oh boy you are obsessed with what other MEN do with their genitals
>>
>>Colonel Morgan,
>>
>
> break
>
>
>>"John Smith", so the jokes go, is a commonly used name by those checking
>>into a hotel with a woman other than one's wife. I didn't mention "John
>>Smith's" or anyone else's genitals.
>
>
> Now you refered instead to what he was doing with them

That's incorrect. I wrote of where he was going with them. I wrote
nothing at all about what he was doing with them and I did not refer to
his, hers or the desk clerk's genitals.

> so you are still obsessed with what other MEN are doing with their
> genitals

Are you still laboring under a misconception about which organs are included as genitals, Colonel?

> I am of course well aware of the joke

From your response, that was not at all evident.

Dave K8MN

# 878. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:11:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.06.11.43.558001@gmail.com>
Source record: 115179

Dave:

Cut the BS. You don't like me. You seek to discredit and make ground
though character assassination and any other dirty trick you learned in
grade school... no one cares... not even me... stay there if you wish...

I cannot fathom what you get from such, or what rewards such behavior has
paid you in the past, but then, all depends on what you are after I
suppose. Frankly, it is just my nature to hope you enjoy it, whatever it
may do for you...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 05:57:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Your ideas are bit strange, you probably didn't like old ben franklin when
>> he assumed a pen name.
>
> I've read Ben Franklin. You're no Ben Franklin.
>
>> Perhaps you refuse to read books when the author
>> has adopted a pen name?
>
> If he claims to speak "the truth" then, no. Have you written books as
> "John Smith"?
>
>> Perhaps you despise actors for adopting a stage
>> name?
>
> I'm fine with actors. I've watched Alec Baldwin in movies and don't
> have a problem with him *as an actor*. When Alec Baldwin pontificates
> on politics, I don't give him any credence. Are you the actor "John Smith"?
>
>> Perhaps your appreciation of a rose is solely based upon its' name,
>> and "by another name, a rose is NOT a rose?"
>
> You may have a point. I'm not likely to purchase a rose bush of the
> variety "John Smith".
>
>> Funny, it is principals and NOT personalities which have the true merit
>> and worth--you seem to lack ability to grasp that concept...
>
> Your recent stuff reads like the rantings of homeless folks I've

> encountered in New York. There is little of principal and little of
> fact. Actually, there's been little of your stuff in which true merit
> and worth has been addressed or established.
>
>> a good old
>> buddies club where you only accept argument when it comes from a name you
>> recognize is not available to you always, let me see, in real life
>> those individuals who assign worth of an idea based on the persons
>> identity whose idea it is, is called, in slang mind you, a "Kiss Ass"
>> or "Brown Noser"... you are lost without knowing the identity behind
>> the idea!
>
> Not so, "John Smith". If I'm present at a town council meeting, folks
> are free to state their opinions. They have faces and they give their
> names. No pseudonyms are permitted and no one stands behind a velvet
> drape to present his views.
>
>> Perhaps the voting system of the USA is found in fault with
>> you--it seems to guarantee anonymity... you seem to need a face and a
>> character to attack--character assassination is your forte!
>
> You can't walk into any polling place, unregistered and vote. Your
> identity is known and you've previously presented credentials which
> establish your right to vote. What is not known on election day is *how
> you voted*.
>
> You don't have a face nor any identifiable character to attack and here
> I am attacking your statements. Go figure!
>
>> You seem to avoid argument on only an ideas worth, resorting to
>> attacking thin air in an effort to confuse the unwitting... well, some
>> just must proceed with the handicaps the world, God and nature assigns
>> them, or they choose to shackle themselves with... strange though, how
>> you choose to make yourself a prime example of the close minded "good ole
>> boys" I mention, it almost makes me think you get the idea on some
>> unconscious level and act out emotionally (but in text form) on what is
>> presented--strange...
>
> Your recent rantings present wild numbers and unverified percentages of
> individuals within amateur radio. You've made some unsubstantiated
> claims about the ARRL and about currently licensed radio amateurs. I'm
> not going to let you get by with that unchallenged. It is quite easy to
> hide behind your sofa and make pathetic claims as an anonymous writer.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:38:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>

>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Len:
>>>>
>>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>>
>>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
>>>cheap motel with some floozy.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>

## 879. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:12:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6JCJe.2954$WD.904@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115178

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>is is me means nothing
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Bingo! "Is is me" certainly means nothing.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>nope they are you being a Bully indeed I have no realy with Jim he
>>>>>>>>>doesn't bully me. I have issues with Dave, but that is becuase he is a
>>>>>>>>>jerk not a bully.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Jerk - noun 1)someone who doesn't buy your lame excuses for not
>>>>>>>>>presenting your comments coherently 2)one who does not see your obvious

>>>>>>>>handicap as being an excuse for a life of permanent victimhood. 3) one
>>>>>>>>who will not tolerate your fabrications and untruths about being a
>>>>>>>>Colonel in the U.S. Army and being in hiding.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Been swimmin' in da branch watta agin, DX Dave? Fr. Hams go on
>>>>>>>holiday???
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim in
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You probably layed down in it.
>>>>
>>>>Did you mean "laid down"? What's my motivation for doing that?
>>>
>>>
>>>you need to ask what YOUR Motiviation is?
>>>
>>>ok fine
>>
> break
>
>
>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
>>it to you.
>
>
> yea I followed it all Dave
>
> still want to know why you need someone else to tell you what your
> motivation is?
>
> makes you look like a fool.

It may appear that way to you, Colonel Morgan. To others, it surely
looks like your comprehension is not up to par.

> I am sure I don't care WHY you do strange or say strange things. I'll
> guess with some assurance that John doesn't either.

"John" is no more "John" than you are "an old friend".

> lectureing someone when they clearly undersatnd what you are saying
> make YOU well ...silly

Show me the part where you clearly understand.

Dave K8MN

# 880. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:12:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123481572.349861.290460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115180

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>cut
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> >>>>>>>>>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> >>>>>>>>>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> >>>>>>>>>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> >>>>>>>>>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>we laughed and he made me a friend

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Why am I not suprised?
> >>>
> >>>I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> >>>Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> >>>here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> >>>suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> >>>the air is a hoot. Thanks.
> >>
> >>...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that
> >>the morse distress call is OSO.
> >
> >
> > but it is ososososososos
>

break

> No, it isn't.
>
> doesn't matter where I come in the signal
>
> Yes, it matters.

gee you are looking like you need meds yourself

indeed Say "A" and then you say not "A" makes one of them a lie of
course, which one i don't know

>
> > I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> > can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
>
> I can't understand your point.

can't or won't?

Indeed from your problems understanding anything I realy can't accept
as true the claims of folks like you that You can't undersatnd my
posts, but you can undersatnd Morse encoded signals in real time, sent
by real people

I am quite certain one of those claims is lie as well, which of course
is another matter

>
> Dave K8MN

## 881. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:17:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123481825.064783.94200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115181

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > You sure you are Stevie to be so interested in what other MEN do with
> > their genitals....sad
>
> It was just a few days ago, Mark, that you indicated that you believe
> that the anus is a genital organ.

yes?
>
> I don't find you to be remotely normal.

so?

I am wether or not you think so or not, at least according to SCIENCE

your belief does not affect facts

both and Stevie are so interested in Male sexuality is is strane after
all I don't who or what you fool around with, would rather not know as
point of fact, and so many in this newsgrup consider it vital matter to
discuss what others are doing.

Is the word .sex. in the New title somewhere?

>
> Dave K8MN

# 882. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:19:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123481968.067303.69580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115182

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Hey! You got proof the FCC is interested in an amateurs equipment? What,
> is it a gay organization now?
>
> John

not at all they are as interested in Feamle hams equipment as well as,
male hams, nothing gay there. a Bit nosey prehaps not gay

>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:40:09 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > robert casey wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
> >> > ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
> >> > responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
> >> > transmit.
> >> >
> >>
> >> If the FCC is to trust us with the legal ability to
> >> pop the covers off our transmitters and make
> >> adjustments and modifications, we need to have passed
> >> tests to show that we have basic knowledge of RF and
> >> such. That we'd know how to run and maintain a clean
> >> operation.
> >>
> >> It's quite rare that the FCC busts a ham for faulty
> >> equipment anymore.
> >
> > you know the last time the FCC busted a ham for faulty equipment

# 883. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:24:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YUCJe.2955$WD.649@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115183

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Cut the BS. You don't like me.

I don't like you? I have no idea who or what you are. Right now,
you're simply a nameless, faceless entity whose rantings often make him
appear to be drinking or heavily medicated.

> You seek to discredit and make ground
> though character assassination and any other dirty trick you learned in
> grade school... no one cares... not even me... stay there if you wish...

How can I possibly discredit he who does not exist?

> I cannot fathom what you get from such, or what rewards such behavior has
> paid you in the past, but then, all depends on what you are after I
> suppose. Frankly, it is just my nature to hope you enjoy it, whatever it
> may do for you...

My statement about your not being a Ben Franklin--utterly heartfelt.

My statement asking about your being an actor--quite sincere.

The bit about my not purchasing a rose plant of the variety "John
Smith"? That's likely true. It would have to be one beautiful rose.

The part about my not reading books by anonymous individuals attempting
to present something as factual--you have my actual view.

That bit about a town meeting? Why, "John", that's the way it works.
Nobody jumps up anonymously and present material to the council.

My correction of your blurb about voting? Take it to the bank.

My refutation of your claim about not being able to attack you because
you haven't a face or a name? Spot on.

My statement questioning your use of numbers and makeup of amateur radio
and your claims about the ARRL? They represent very real concerns I
have with anonymous trolls who make unsubstantiated claims.

So which B.S. is left to cut?

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 05:57:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dave:
>>>
>>>Your ideas are bit strange, you probably didn't like old ben franklin when
>>>he assumed a pen name.
>>
>>I've read Ben Franklin. You're no Ben Franklin.
>>
>>
>>> Perhaps you refuse to read books when the author
>>>has adopted a pen name?
>>
>>If he claims to speak "the truth" then, no. Have you written books as
>>"John Smith"?
>>
>>
>>> Perhaps you despise actors for adopting a stage
>>>name?
>>
>>I'm fine with actors. I've watched Alec Baldwin in movies and don't
>>have a problem with him *as an actor*. When Alec Baldwin pontificates
>>on politics, I don't give him any credence. Are you the actor "John Smith"?
>>
>>
>>>Perhaps your appreciation of a rose is solely based upon its' name,
>>>and "by another name, a rose is NOT a rose?"
>>
>>You may have a point. I'm not likely to purchase a rose bush of the
>>variety "John Smith".
>>
>>
>>>Funny, it is principals and NOT personalities which have the true merit
>>>and worth--you seem to lack ability to grasp that concept...
>>
>>Your recent stuff reads like the rantings of homeless folks I've
>>encountered in New York. There is little of principal and little of
>>fact. Actually, there's been little of your stuff in which true merit
>>and worth has been addressed or established.
>>
>>
>>>a good old
>>>buddies club where you only accept argument when it comes from a name you
>>>recognize is not available to you always, let me see, in real life
>>>those individuals who assign worth of an idea based on the persons
>>>identity whose idea it is, is called, in slang mind you, a "Kiss Ass"
>>>or "Brown Noser"... you are lost without knowing the identity behind
>>>the idea!

>>
>>Not so, "John Smith". If I'm present at a town council meeting, folks
>>are free to state their opinions. They have faces and they give their
>>names. No pseudonyms are permitted and no one stands behind a velvet
>>drape to present his views.
>>
>>
>>>Perhaps the voting system of the USA is found in fault with
>>>you--it seems to guarantee anonymity... you seem to need a face and a
>>>character to attack--character assassination is your forte!
>>
>>You can't walk into any polling place, unregistered and vote. Your
>>identity is known and you've previously presented credentials which
>>establish your right to vote. What is not known on election day is *how
>>you voted*.
>>
>>You don't have a face nor any identifiable character to attack and here
>>I am attacking your statements. Go figure!
>>
>>
>>>You seem to avoid argument on only an ideas worth, resorting to
>>>attacking thin air in an effort to confuse the unwitting... well, some
>>>just must proceed with the handicaps the world, God and nature assigns
>>>them, or they choose to shackle themselves with... strange though, how
>>>you choose to make yourself a prime example of the close minded "good ole
>>>boys" I mention, it almost makes me think you get the idea on some
>>>unconscious level and act out emotionally (but in text form) on what is
>>>presented--strange...
>>
>>Your recent rantings present wild numbers and unverified percentages of
>>individuals within amateur radio. You've made some unsubstantiated
>>claims about the ARRL and about currently licensed radio amateurs. I'm
>>not going to let you get by with that unchallenged. It is quite easy to
>>hide behind your sofa and make pathetic claims as an anonymous writer.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:38:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Len:
>>>>>
>>>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"

>>>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>>>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>>>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>>>
>>>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
>>>>cheap motel with some floozy.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>

# 884. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:27:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.06.27.34.517325@gmail.com>
Source record: 115184

Len:

Phone lines are limited to roughly 38K by using the full audio bandwidth a phone line is filtered to, with the early compression techniques. 56K is obtained by improved data compression techniques.

Any line capable of supporting transmission of these audio freaks can carry that much digital data (roughly +/-300hz to +/-5,000hz.

DSL is obtained by pulling all the filters from the line, audio bandwidth is much expanded and much greater data can be crammed into that bandwidth, with even greater efficient compression techniques.

Powerlines can support near/equal such bandwidths. With a bandwidth allowing freqs to climb into the LF RF freqs, tremendous data speeds are possible.... very localized interference to some rf freqs may be generated... this is now in a testing phase...

Why this is so misunderstood is beyond explanation, or perhaps it is only limited to the older generations, for some unknown reason--as any familiar with technical details of data transmission methods and protocols should know this, it is very basic stuff...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 22:27:28 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>>propagation phenomena.
>>
>>> Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
>>> of high speed digital transmission?"
>>
>>Dave wrote that last. But I agree with him
>
> Sweetums, you've been plugging for that all by yourself for

> lots of messages in here. You NEGLECT any other forms of
> communications and concentrate on imagery, many-pixel images.
>
> "HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
> What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
> High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.
>
>> And the answer to "why" is that other people bring it up. So I answer.
>>
>> Is that not allowed?
>
> It's allowed. It's also allowed that YOU *might* consider
> OTHER forms of communications on beloved HF other than what
> the holy Handbook says is "good."
>
> Quit acting petulant.
>
>>> The "charge" that high-speed data transmission is "impossible"
>>
>> Who said that? It is most certainly possible. We just have to be
>>patient, very patient.
>
> Sorry, I've lost my patience with the brain-draggers in here
> only considering U.S. ham radio "high-tech" being some finished
> product advertised in QST and having a "lab review" on it all
> glowing with praise.
>
> There's an INFINITY of POSSIBILITIES that can be done in U.S.
> ham radio and about the ONLY innovation of late is the Tayloe
> Mixer (patent pending). Mike Gingell in the UK came up with
> the polyphase audio phase shifter for better phasing SSB
> and Peter Martinez, also in the UK, came up with PSK31. Once
> in a while some U.S. guys come out with an innovating product
> and all you "communications experts" all get together and
> carp it up, refuse to buy it, or say whatever each one of you
> has is "so much better" than anything new. Newness is to be
> feared?
>
> Go back in time to the late Dick Carroll complaining and
> grousing about his peripheral DSP audio filter...he said
> outright in here that he had difficulty setting the
> controls! Waaa...waaaa...if it ain't like it usta was in
> the 1950s and 1960s it ain't no good!
>
> Okay, so somebody INNOVATE something.
>
> INNOVATE something besides sitting around gabbling how "good"
> and "expert" you all are because you are morsemen and grand
> champions in radio because you are federally authorized for
> beeping. The rest of the radio world is NOT buying it. The

> rest of the radio world will continue to improve as it has
> been for years. The U.S. amateur radio world can only play
> copycat and steal from that, having the ARRL say that "hams
> invented it" when it didn't.
>
> Tayloe did it. What have the other 700K+ done? Sit around
> griping because none of you have done anything?
>
> non seq
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 885. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:30:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123482632.932824.223070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115185

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
pruning

> >>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> >>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> >>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> >>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> >>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> >>it to you.
> >
> >
> > yea I followed it all Dave
> >
> > still want to know why you need someone else to tell you what your
> > motivation is?
> >
> > makes you look like a fool.
>
> It may appear that way to you, Colonel Morgan. To others, it surely
> looks like your comprehension is not up to par.

if you say so

but Your promised to explain,

so why do you need others to explain YOUR motivations?

>
> > I am sure I don't care WHY you do strange or say strange things. I'll
> > guess with some assurance that John doesn't either.
>
> "John" is no more "John" than you are "an old friend".

right

taking evasion lession from stevie I guess

>
> > lectureing someone when they clearly undersatnd what you are saying
> > make YOU well ...silly
>
> Show me the part where you clearly understand.

huh? you need me to reprint your words for you?
no thanks

would still like to know

so why do you need others to explain YOUR motivations?

>
> Dave K8MN

## 886. SEX and the radio amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:37:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <75DJe.2958$WD.511@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115186

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>You sure you are Stevie to be so interested in what other MEN do with
>>>their genitals....sad
>>
>>It was just a few days ago, Mark, that you indicated that you believe
>>that the anus is a genital organ.
>
>
> yes?

Yes.

>>I don't find you to be remotely normal.
>
>
> so?

So, you are a peculiar individual to be making a statement about
normalcy to Steve.

> I am wether or not you think so or not, at least according to SCIENCE

Please point us to a scientfic paper which indicates that you are normal.

> your belief does not affect facts

You've presented no facts.

>
> both and Stevie are so interested in Male sexuality is is strane after
> all...

Strange? I'm quite interested in male sexuality, Mark. I *am* male.

> I don't who or what you fool around with, would rather not know as
> point of fact, and so many in this newsgrup consider it vital matter to
> discuss what others are doing.

Well now, Mark, you have a problem there. You've made posts on usenet
which have been archived. They addressed your sexual preferences as
well as your "please hurt me" desires. If you are embarrassed by the
things you posted in a very public forum, perhaps you shouldn't have
posted them.

As to who I fool around with, I don't fool around with anyone. I'm married to a woman.

> Is the word .sex. in the New title somewhere?

It is now.

Dave K8MN

# 887. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:37:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.06.37.54.615883@gmail.com>
Source record: 115187

Dave:

I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything... nor do I care,
your banter becomes taxing... I have not only had the chance to see the
text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
denominator to all is--well, so be it... however, I have formed an opinion
of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 06:24:56 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Cut the BS. You don't like me.
>
> I don't like you? I have no idea who or what you are. Right now,
> you're simply a nameless, faceless entity whose rantings often make him
> appear to be drinking or heavily medicated.
>
>> You seek to discredit and make ground
>> though character assassination and any other dirty trick you learned in
>> grade school... no one cares... not even me... stay there if you wish...
>
> How can I possibly discredit he who does not exist?
>
>> I cannot fathom what you get from such, or what rewards such behavior has
>> paid you in the past, but then, all depends on what you are after I
>> suppose. Frankly, it is just my nature to hope you enjoy it, whatever it
>> may do for you...
>
> My statement about your not being a Ben Franklin--utterly heartfelt.
>
> My statement asking about your being an actor--quite sincere.
>
> The bit about my not purchasing a rose plant of the variety "John
> Smith"? That's likely true. It would have to be one beautiful rose.
>
> The part about my not reading books by anonymous individuals attempting
> to present something as factual--you have my actual view.
>
> That bit about a town meeting? Why, "John", that's the way it works.
> Nobody jumps up anonymously and present material to the council.
>

> My correction of your blurb about voting? Take it to the bank.
>
> My refutation of your claim about not being able to attack you because
> you haven't a face or a name? Spot on.
>
> My statement questioning your use of numbers and makeup of amateur radio
> and your claims about the ARRL? They represent very real concerns I
> have with anonymous trolls who make unsubstantiated claims.
>
> So which B.S. is left to cut?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 05:57:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>
>>>>>Your ideas are bit strange, you probably didn't like old ben franklin when
>>>>>he assumed a pen name.
>>>
>>>I've read Ben Franklin. You're no Ben Franklin.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> Perhaps you refuse to read books when the author
>>>>>has adopted a pen name?
>>>
>>>If he claims to speak "the truth" then, no. Have you written books as
>>>"John Smith"?
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> Perhaps you despise actors for adopting a stage
>>>>>name?
>>>
>>>I'm fine with actors. I've watched Alec Baldwin in movies and don't
>>>have a problem with him *as an actor*. When Alec Baldwin pontificates
>>>on politics, I don't give him any credence. Are you the actor "John Smith"?
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Perhaps your appreciation of a rose is solely based upon its' name,
>>>>>and "by another name, a rose is NOT a rose?"
>>>
>>>You may have a point. I'm not likely to purchase a rose bush of the
>>>variety "John Smith".
>>>
>>>

>>>>Funny, it is principals and NOT personalities which have the true merit
>>>>and worth--you seem to lack ability to grasp that concept...
>>>
>>>Your recent stuff reads like the rantings of homeless folks I've
>>>encountered in New York. There is little of principal and little of
>>>fact. Actually, there's been little of your stuff in which true merit
>>>and worth has been addressed or established.
>>>
>>>
>>>>a good old
>>>>buddies club where you only accept argument when it comes from a name you
>>>>recognize is not available to you always, let me see, in real life
>>>>those individuals who assign worth of an idea based on the persons
>>>>identity whose idea it is, is called, in slang mind you, a "Kiss Ass"
>>>>or "Brown Noser"... you are lost without knowing the identity behind
>>>>the idea!
>>>
>>>Not so, "John Smith". If I'm present at a town council meeting, folks
>>>are free to state their opinions. They have faces and they give their
>>>names. No pseudonyms are permitted and no one stands behind a velvet
>>>drape to present his views.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Perhaps the voting system of the USA is found in fault with
>>>>you--it seems to guarantee anonymity... you seem to need a face and a
>>>>character to attack--character assassination is your forte!
>>>
>>>You can't walk into any polling place, unregistered and vote. Your
>>>identity is known and you've previously presented credentials which
>>>establish your right to vote. What is not known on election day is *how
>>>you voted*.
>>>
>>>You don't have a face nor any identifiable character to attack and here
>>>I am attacking your statements. Go figure!
>>>
>>>
>>>>You seem to avoid argument on only an ideas worth, resorting to
>>>>attacking thin air in an effort to confuse the unwitting... well, some
>>>>just must proceed with the handicaps the world, God and nature assigns
>>>>them, or they choose to shackle themselves with... strange though, how
>>>>you choose to make yourself a prime example of the close minded "good ole
>>>>boys" I mention, it almost makes me think you get the idea on some
>>>>unconscious level and act out emotionally (but in text form) on what is
>>>>presented--strange...
>>>
>>>Your recent rantings present wild numbers and unverified percentages of
>>>individuals within amateur radio. You've made some unsubstantiated
>>>claims about the ARRL and about currently licensed radio amateurs. I'm
>>>not going to let you get by with that unchallenged. It is quite easy to
>>>hide behind your sofa and make pathetic claims as an anonymous writer.

>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>>On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:38:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Len:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>>>>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>>>>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>>>>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>>>>
>>>>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>>>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>>>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
>>>>>cheap motel with some floozy.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>
>>>>
>>

# 888. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:40:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123483234.979172.103970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115188

Dave Heil wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Kelly:
> >
> > I wish your mother would have told you it is not only pointless to attempt
> > to control others, it is also impolite... not to mention fruitless...
> > you guys, which attempt this meaningless control, make me ponder on what
> > type of idiots you have surrounded yourself with, which would take orders?
> >
> > What do you call your little group, "Kellys' dummies?" Or, are you just
> > pulling a fast one on us, and truth is they ignore you and march to their
> > own drum? Are you sure you are an official in charge, or just
> > self-appointed?
>
> Who is asking?
>
>
> > I mean this all seems quite ridiculous, are you sure the world revolves
> > upon your commands? I try to keep an open mind, even when ridiculous
> > posturing people pose preposterous ideas.
>
> Well, there you go, "John". I'm trying to keep an open mind in reading
> your material. It isn't easy.

Easy? It's impossible. Even Don Quixote would write this strange unit
off as a loon.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

# 889. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:42:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.06.41.58.525587@gmail.com>
Source record: 115189

Dave:

I don't agree with bush on a lot, but don't want to focus on running for president either. Wasn't really happy with some teachers my son had, but didn't want to go full-time academic either. I really don't like the way the garbage men handle the trash, but refrain from that line of work also...

Really, make sense, drop the BS and out-right crap...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 05:58:40 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> When the arrl sees fit to serve amateur radio to its' best purpose, and
>> the purpose of this country and its' citizens, I would be a fool not to be
>> a member... I await future times...
>
> Sure, "John", let someone else do it all for you.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:31:56 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Len:
>>>>
>>>>I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>>>>way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>>>>
>>>>We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>>>
>>>We? Are you an ARRL member? Len certainly isn't.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>

# 890. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:43:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.06.43.54.164749@gmail.com>
Source record: 115190

Dave:

I am only thankful the others don't have such difficulty as you. Have you checked into medication, I hear they have something for alzheimers these days... have a nice day...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 06:01:10 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Kelly:
>>
>> I wish your mother would have told you it is not only pointless to attempt
>> to control others, it is also impolite... not to mention fruitless...
>> you guys, which attempt this meaningless control, make me ponder on what
>> type of idiots you have surrounded yourself with, which would take orders?
>>
>> What do you call your little group, "Kellys' dummies?" Or, are you just
>> pulling a fast one on us, and truth is they ignore you and march to their
>> own drum? Are you sure you are an official in charge, or just
>> self-appointed?
>
> Who is asking?
>
>
>> I mean this all seems quite ridiculous, are you sure the world revolves
>> upon your commands? I try to keep an open mind, even when ridiculous
>> posturing people pose preposterous ideas.
>
> Well, there you go, "John". I'm trying to keep an open mind in reading
> your material. It isn't easy.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:22:53 -0700, kelly wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dee:
>>>>
>>>>I was a boy scout leader in my late 20's into my early 30's, it was hard,

>>>>my job made some allowances, heck, some of the people over me had kids in
>>>>my troop.
>>>>
>>>>I think it can be done alright...
>>>>
>>>>Old pharts shine in positions like supreme court justices, you don't need
>>>>the constitution to change all that much, indeed, in over 200 years it has
>>>>been a real benefit NOT having much change at all... you just have to
>>>>realize where you need young minds and where you need old ones...
>>>
>>>It's like this "John": The ARRL is run by an elected, unpaid volunteer
>>>BoD. In any practical sense the ARRL cannot be changed from the outside
>>>but it can and has been changed from the inside. The "solution" is
>>>rather obvious isn't it "John"? So go round up all your ARRL
>>>like-minded member buddies and campaign to get one elected to the BoD
>>>to kick off the "revolution".
>>>
>>>In other words "John" "lead, follow or quit whining, trolling and
>>>sucking up pointless bandwidth" on the topic.
>>>
>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>>w3rv
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 21:43:33 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:pan.2005.08.07.22.43.18.541400@gmail.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>>Len:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
>>>>>>way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>John
>>>>>
>>>>>They won't get to it until they are over 40 themselves. Right now they are
>>>>>building their careers and raising families. Doesn't leave much time for
>>>>>the major commitment of being in charge of the ARRL.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>

# 891. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:45:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.06.45.27.815066@gmail.com>
Source record: 115192

AOF:

Frankly, I have been much more interested in the lady hams equipment
myself... I think the FCC got that one right!

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 23:19:28 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> Hey! You got proof the FCC is interested in an amateurs equipment? What,
>> is it a gay organization now?
>>
>> John
>
> not at all they are as interested in Feamle hams equipment as well as,
> male hams, nothing gay there. a Bit nosey prehaps not gay
>
>>
>> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:40:09 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > robert casey wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Because you can never be certain that the ham will NOT
>> >> > ever build anything. Additionally, all hams have technical
>> >> > responsibility for their stations and the RF signals they
>> >> > transmit.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> If the FCC is to trust us with the legal ability to
>> >> pop the covers off our transmitters and make
>> >> adjustments and modifications, we need to have passed
>> >> tests to show that we have basic knowledge of RF and
>> >> such. That we'd know how to run and maintain a clean
>> >> operation.
>> >>
>> >> It's quite rare that the FCC busts a ham for faulty
>> >> equipment anymore.
>> >
>> > you know the last time the FCC busted a ham for faulty equipment

# 892. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:45:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GcDJe.2664$Wi6.2025@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115191

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>cut

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
>>>>>>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
>>>>>>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
>>>>>>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
>>>>>>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>we laughed and he made me a friend
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Why am I not suprised?
>>>>>
>>>>>I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
>>>>>Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
>>>>>here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
>>>>>suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
>>>>>the air is a hoot. Thanks.
>>>>
>>>>...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that
>>>>the morse distress call is OSO.
>>>
>>>
>>>but it is ososososososos
>>
>
> break
>
>
>>No, it isn't.
>>
>>doesn't matter where I come in the signal
>>
>>Yes, it matters.
>
>
> gee you are looking like you need meds yourself
>
> indeed Say "A" and then you say not "A" makes one of them a lie of
> course, which one i don't know

I've not said "A" or "not A".

I've said that the morse distress call is not "ososososososo"

I've said that it matters "where I come in the signal". It is "SOS",
Mark, not "OSO" or "ososososososo". It isn't run together and it
doesn't begin with "o".

>
>>>I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
>>>can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
>>
>>I can't understand your point.
>
>
> can't or won't?

I can't understand it, Mark. There are simply too many errors in
spelling, grammar and word positioning to make an educated guess.

> Indeed from your problems understanding anything I realy can't accept
> as true the claims of folks like you that You can't undersatnd my
> posts, but you can undersatnd Morse encoded signals in real time, sent
> by real people

That'll have to be one of your unsolved mysteries, Mark. I'd have
trouble with morse if the words were misspelled and jumbled around. Oh,
I could copy the letters, but they'd still represent gibberish.

> I am quite certain one of those claims is lie as well, which of course
> is another matter

You seem to have great certainty that any number of people are simply
lying about any number of things. Of course, that doesn't mean that
you are correct. You're free to believe any crackpot thing you like.

Dave K8MN

# 893. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:49:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.08.06.49.44.824989@gmail.com>
Source record: 115193

Kelly:

You make a pathetic antagonist, also...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 23:40:35 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Kelly:
>> >
>> > I wish your mother would have told you it is not only pointless to attempt
>> > to control others, it is also impolite... not to mention fruitless...
>> > you guys, which attempt this meaningless control, make me ponder on what
>> > type of idiots you have surrounded yourself with, which would take orders?
>> >
>> > What do you call your little group, "Kellys' dummies?" Or, are you just
>> > pulling a fast one on us, and truth is they ignore you and march to their
>> > own drum? Are you sure you are an official in charge, or just
>> > self-appointed?
>>
>> Who is asking?
>>
>>
>> > I mean this all seems quite ridiculous, are you sure the world revolves
>> > upon your commands? I try to keep an open mind, even when ridiculous
>> > posturing people pose preposterous ideas.
>>
>> Well, there you go, "John". I'm trying to keep an open mind in reading
>> your material. It isn't easy.
>
> Easy? It's impossible. Even Don Quixote would write this strange unit
> off as a loon.
>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> w3rv

## 894. dave going on about sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:53:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123484004.853059.247550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115194

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>You sure you are Stevie to be so interested in what other MEN do with
> >>>their genitals....sad
> >>
> >>It was just a few days ago, Mark, that you indicated that you believe
> >>that the anus is a genital organ.
> >
> >
> > yes?
>
> Yes.
>
> >>I don't find you to be remotely normal.
> >
> >
> > so?
>

> So, you are a peculiar individual to be making a statement about
> normalcy to Steve.

really?

I am certainly more normal than he, or than you it seems

also better at trying to keep to topic,but then you are into attacks
and not much else

>
> > I am wether or not you think so or not, at least according to SCIENCE
>
> Please point us to a scientfic paper which indicates that you are normal.

the reports of the Kinsey inistitute

>
> > your belief does not affect facts
>
> You've presented no facts.

so?

your reply has nothing to do with what you are repling to

>
> >
> > both and Stevie are so interested in Male sexuality is is strane after
> > all...
>
> Strange? I'm quite interested in male sexuality, Mark. I *am* male.

Sorry my mistake you are merely a hypocrite then
>
> > I don't who or what you fool around with, would rather not know as
> > point of fact, and so many in this newsgrup consider it vital matter to
> > discuss what others are doing.
>
> Well now, Mark, you have a problem there. You've made posts on usenet

what problem?

> which have been archived. They addressed your sexual preferences as

Yes I am Bisexual

> well as your "please hurt me" desires. If you are embarrassed by the

I wish you'd get it right I am into S&M both not embarished at all.

> things you posted in a very public forum, perhaps you shouldn't have
> posted them.

Not at all I do think that you and Stevie esp, but many on Usenet in
general, need to the learn to read the titles of the newsgroups you are
in
>
> As to who I fool around with, I don't fool around with anyone. I'm
> married to a woman.

sorry to hear you are celibate, perhaps that explains it

>
> > Is the word .sex. in the New title somewhere?
>
> It is now.

nope it isn't just that it burns on your mind
>
> Dave K8MN

## 895. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:54:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115195

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...

I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something new.

> nor do I care,
> your banter becomes taxing...

If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your disjointed stuff from this side.

> I have not only had the chance to see the
> text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
> denominator to all is--well, so be it...

You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!

> however, I have formed an opinion
> of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...

Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick dismissal.

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 06:24:56 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dave:
>>>
>>>Cut the BS. You don't like me.
>>
>>I don't like you? I have no idea who or what you are. Right now,
>>you're simply a nameless, faceless entity whose rantings often make him
>>appear to be drinking or heavily medicated.
>>
>>

>>> You seek to discredit and make ground
>>>though character assassination and any other dirty trick you learned in
>>>grade school... no one cares... not even me... stay there if you wish...
>>
>>How can I possibly discredit he who does not exist?
>>
>>
>>>I cannot fathom what you get from such, or what rewards such behavior has
>>>paid you in the past, but then, all depends on what you are after I
>>>suppose. Frankly, it is just my nature to hope you enjoy it, whatever it
>>>may do for you...
>>
>>My statement about your not being a Ben Franklin--utterly heartfelt.
>>
>>My statement asking about your being an actor--quite sincere.
>>
>>The bit about my not purchasing a rose plant of the variety "John
>>Smith"? That's likely true. It would have to be one beautiful rose.
>>
>>The part about my not reading books by anonymous individuals attempting
>>to present something as factual--you have my actual view.
>>
>>That bit about a town meeting? Why, "John", that's the way it works.
>>Nobody jumps up anonymously and present material to the council.
>>
>>My correction of your blurb about voting? Take it to the bank.
>>
>>My refutation of your claim about not being able to attack you because
>>you haven't a face or a name? Spot on.
>>
>>My statement questioning your use of numbers and makeup of amateur radio
>>and your claims about the ARRL? They represent very real concerns I
>>have with anonymous trolls who make unsubstantiated claims.
>>
>>So which B.S. is left to cut?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 05:57:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>

>>>>>Your ideas are bit strange, you probably didn't like old ben franklin when
>>>>>he assumed a pen name.
>>>>
>>>>I've read Ben Franklin. You're no Ben Franklin.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Perhaps you refuse to read books when the author
>>>>>has adopted a pen name?
>>>>
>>>>If he claims to speak "the truth" then, no. Have you written books as
>>>>"John Smith"?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Perhaps you despise actors for adopting a stage
>>>>>name?
>>>>
>>>>I'm fine with actors. I've watched Alec Baldwin in movies and don't
>>>>have a problem with him *as an actor*. When Alec Baldwin pontificates
>>>>on politics, I don't give him any credence. Are you the actor "John Smith"?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Perhaps your appreciation of a rose is solely based upon its' name,
>>>>>and "by another name, a rose is NOT a rose?"
>>>>
>>>>You may have a point. I'm not likely to purchase a rose bush of the
>>>>variety "John Smith".
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Funny, it is principals and NOT personalities which have the true merit
>>>>>and worth--you seem to lack ability to grasp that concept...
>>>>
>>>>Your recent stuff reads like the rantings of homeless folks I've
>>>>encountered in New York. There is little of principal and little of
>>>>fact. Actually, there's been little of your stuff in which true merit
>>>>and worth has been addressed or established.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>a good old
>>>>>buddies club where you only accept argument when it comes from a name you
>>>>>recognize is not available to you always, let me see, in real life
>>>>>those individuals who assign worth of an idea based on the persons
>>>>>identity whose idea it is, is called, in slang mind you, a "Kiss Ass"
>>>>>or "Brown Noser"... you are lost without knowing the identity behind
>>>>>the idea!
>>>>

>>>>Not so, "John Smith". If I'm present at a town council meeting, folks
>>>>are free to state their opinions. They have faces and they give their
>>>>names. No pseudonyms are permitted and no one stands behind a velvet
>>>>drape to present his views.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Perhaps the voting system of the USA is found in fault with
>>>>>you--it seems to guarantee anonymity... you seem to need a face and a
>>>>>character to attack--character assassination is your forte!
>>>>
>>>>You can't walk into any polling place, unregistered and vote. Your
>>>>identity is known and you've previously presented credentials which
>>>>establish your right to vote. What is not known on election day is *how
>>>>you voted*.
>>>>
>>>>You don't have a face nor any identifiable character to attack and here
>>>>I am attacking your statements. Go figure!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>You seem to avoid argument on only an ideas worth, resorting to
>>>>>attacking thin air in an effort to confuse the unwitting... well, some
>>>>>just must proceed with the handicaps the world, God and nature assigns
>>>>>them, or they choose to shackle themselves with... strange though, how
>>>>>you choose to make yourself a prime example of the close minded "good ole
>>>>>boys" I mention, it almost makes me think you get the idea on some
>>>>>unconscious level and act out emotionally (but in text form) on what is
>>>>>presented--strange...
>>>>
>>>>Your recent rantings present wild numbers and unverified percentages of
>>>>individuals within amateur radio. You've made some unsubstantiated
>>>>claims about the ARRL and about currently licensed radio amateurs. I'm
>>>>not going to let you get by with that unchallenged. It is quite easy to
>>>>hide behind your sofa and make pathetic claims as an anonymous writer.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John
>>>>>
>>>>>On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:38:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Len:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>>>>>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
>>>>>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>>>>>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>>>>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>>>>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
>>>>>>cheap motel with some floozy.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>>
>

# 896. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:56:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FmDJe.2675$Wi6.2301@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115196

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Kelly:
>>>
>>>I wish your mother would have told you it is not only pointless to attempt
>>>to control others, it is also impolite... not to mention fruitless...
>>>you guys, which attempt this meaningless control, make me ponder on what
>>>type of idiots you have surrounded yourself with, which would take orders?
>>>
>>>What do you call your little group, "Kellys' dummies?" Or, are you just
>>>pulling a fast one on us, and truth is they ignore you and march to their
>>>own drum? Are you sure you are an official in charge, or just
>>>self-appointed?
>>
>>Who is asking?
>>
>>
>>
>>>I mean this all seems quite ridiculous, are you sure the world revolves
>>>upon your commands? I try to keep an open mind, even when ridiculous
>>>posturing people pose preposterous ideas.
>>
>>Well, there you go, "John". I'm trying to keep an open mind in reading
>>your material. It isn't easy.
>
>
> Easy? It's impossible. Even Don Quixote would write this strange unit
> off as a loon.

I don't know if John is a loon or if, as I orginally surmised, he is
simply a troll. He is strange and he has bonded with Leonard. Water
always seeks its own level.

Dave K8MN

# 897. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 06:59:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123484345.789387.78640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115197

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Frankly, I have been much more interested in the lady hams equipment
> myself... I think the FCC got that one right!
>
> John
>
these days I am payng more attention to a certain future lady ham's
equipment myself although I would not care to share her with the FCC

an aside It would be nice if you pruned some the endless stuff is
piling up at the end of your post, slows down my conection something
feirce indeed tonight I have felt like I was back on Dailup

shudder

# 898. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 07:01:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123484485.723886.172570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115198

Dave Heil wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Dave:
> >
> > I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>
> I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of
> your claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors
> with pen names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward
> something new.

holes? hmmmm ah it is procoder thing we nocoders would not understand
>
> > nor do I care,
> > your banter becomes taxing...
>
> If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
> disjointed stuff from this side.
>
> > I have not only had the chance to see the
> > text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
> > denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>
> You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
> amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>
> > however, I have formed an opinion
> > of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>
break

> Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
> dismissal.

then show some MANNERS and thank the man

>
> Dave K8MN
>

# 899. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 07:08:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123484904.307415.52360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115199

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >

> > break
> >
> >
> >>No, it isn't.
> >>
> >>doesn't matter where I come in the signal
> >>
> >>Yes, it matters.
> >
> >
> > gee you are looking like you need meds yourself
> >
> > indeed Say "A" and then you say not "A" makes one of them a lie of
> > course, which one i don't know
>
> I've not said "A" or "not A".
>
> I've said that the morse distress call is not "osoosososososo"

nope you didn't say that

you said
"doesn't matter where I come in the signal"

"Yes, it matters"

so indeed you said "A" and then not "A"

>
> I've said that it matters "where I come in the signal". It is "SOS",
> Mark, not "OSO" or "osoosososososo". It isn't run together and it
> doesn't begin with "o".

and now again you are contradicting yourself, maybe you should go to
bed and get some sleep

>
> >
> >>>I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> >>>can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
> >>
> >>I can't understand your point.

> >
> >
> > can't or won't?
>
> I can't understand it, Mark. There are simply too many errors in
> spelling, grammar and word positioning to make an educated guess.

then you aren't very bright, can't read context, you certainly can't
say what YOU mean, and expect folks to pick it out of the air but you
won't bother doing so yourself

>
> > Indeed from your problems understanding anything I realy can't accept
> > as true the claims of folks like you that You can't undersatnd my
> > posts, but you can undersatnd Morse encoded signals in real time, sent
> > by real people
>
> That'll have to be one of your unsolved mysteries, Mark. I'd have
> trouble with morse if the words were misspelled and jumbled around. Oh,
> I could copy the letters, but they'd still represent gibberish.

well better than Stevie he claims he read all morse

but you should remember people who live glass house should dress in
basement
>
> > I am quite certain one of those claims is lie as well, which of course
> > is another matter
>
> You seem to have great certainty that any number of people are simply
> lying about any number of things. Of course, that doesn't mean that
> you are correct. You're free to believe any crackpot thing you like.

mighty generous of you

>
> Dave K8MN

## 900. Re: What Would *You* Change (besides the code test)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 12:10:00 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7i2o$11ko$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115300

Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in part:
>
>>
>> *Besides* eliminating the code test, what would *you* change about
>> the license tests?
>>
>>
>
> I think the swimsuit competition needs to go.

HOWL! Ohhh, just the thought!

- I concur -

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 901. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 12:12:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7i7f$11ko$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115301

robert casey wrote:

>
>>
>>
>> A. Because the Administrative Procedures Act required it and
>>
>> B. To see how many ya-yas and yuck-yucks come out of the woodwork.
>>
>> Relieves the tensions of 8 hours "in the box" sandwiched between two
>> hours of car-pool on either end.. Maybe that's why I never went to HQ.
>>
>
> I can just imagine the bureaucrat at the FCC who gets stuck
> wading thru all the filed comments searching for the one
> that might actually point out something of substance that was
> overlooked and would matter. I made my comment short and
> to the point: "I agree, do it, drop the code test". So
> whoever at the FCC doesn't have to waste much time on
> my comment.

I thought you had to point out how the other respondants are misguided,
or whatever..... ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 902. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 12:15:40 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7idc$11ko$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115302

Dave Heil wrote:

> I don't know if John is a loon or if, as I orginally surmised, he is
> simply a troll. He is strange and he has bonded with Leonard. Water
> always seeks its own level.

With no anon-a-mousie comments or anything!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 903. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 12:35:07 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <r9kef1liu5ehkk1mp3t0bo7cjdiufms4ol@4ax.com>
Source record: 115303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 22:42:54 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Uncle ted:
>
>I am afraid you would have to have the ability to carry on a conversation
>without me having to align all of my comments up to your comments which
>prompted them. If you need to, go back, read your posts, read mine--in
>sequential order, you should be able to figure it out... if not, we
>probably should not be having this conversation in the first place...

You're right. I can't figure out the ramblings of loon that's off of
his meds...

# 904. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 12:48:56 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <vckef19ls4kgtstlh456r2hm7rgigq8i2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 115304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 22:47:51 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Uncle Ted:
>
>Let me try a simple approach for you. Since, by your own text, you
>dismissed the possibility of Jesus, the ONLY other explanation currently
>"on the board" is evolution.

Wrong. I dismissed the bible and the version of Jesus that it depicts.
Whether or not such a person actually existed is another matter, and
it certainly doesn't have anything to do with evolution.

>I accepted debate on what that all involves,
>such as the existence of aliens, and moved to that platform... why would
>you wish to go back and debate Jesus, an idea/possibility you have
>dismissed. I simply don't know, could be here because of "God" and
>awaiting an angel--or, could be here because of mud puddles and are
>awaiting an alien... But, it is damn sure one or the other (well, there
>are other possible reasons, but even fewer supporters of those...)

Go drink a bottle of Jim Beam with your medication. Maybe you'll come
up with some more of those "possibilities". Better yet, maybe you'll
keel over from alcohol poisoning and fall into one of those mud
puddles you mentioned...

## 905. Re: strange thing from the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 13:13:41 UTC
From: boink <bifbarff@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <d106a$42f75b7c$d1cc7af2$6045@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 115306

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123466599.187143.69520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> last month or was it late June I received an "invitation" to ARRl to
> rejoin. Today I went thought the yesterday's mail, and find another
> invatation different text but again asking me to rejoin
>

They occasianally blow a couple grand on mass
maillings to try and get hams to join up. Likely they
get the names from the warranty cards hams send
in when they buy a new radio or the prize raffles
from the larger hamfests, what few they're are left
around that is. I can recall many years ago a certain large
hamfest down south selling their hamfest attendence
and ticket database to em for some extra $$$. This practice
is now discontinued. Just toss it in the trash or use it
o start the woodstove going come this winter.

# 906. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 13:16:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123506973.541928.179640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115305

an old friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > AOF:
> >
> > Frankly, I have been much more interested in the lady hams equipment
> > myself... I think the FCC got that one right!
> >
> > John
> >
> these days I am payng more attention to a certain future lady ham's
> equipment myself although I would not care to share her with the FCC

Oh?

Your "partner" has a sister? Lucky him.

> an aside It would be nice if you pruned some the endless stuff is
> piling up at the end of your post, slows down my conection something
> feirce indeed tonight I have felt like I was back on Dailup
>
> shudder

Geeze...

Even when YOU repost six-exchanges worth of re-quotes, it still
only takes me a split second to get the page up.

I imagine what slows down YOUR connection is trying to read what
we write. It's in English, and yours is...well..NOT English...Or not
much like it, any way...

Steve, K4YZ

# 907. Markie Mularkie Multipled Massive Morass of Malicious Mudslinging from Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 13:50:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123509021.546758.53190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115307

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>>You sure you are Stevie to be so interested in what other MEN do with
> > > >>>their genitals....sad
> > > >>
> > > >>It was just a few days ago, Mark, that you indicated that you believe
> > > >>that the anus is a genital organ.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > yes?
> > >
> > > Yes.
> > >
> > > >>I don't find you to be remotely normal.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > so?
> > >
> >
> > So, you are a peculiar individual to be making a statement about
> > normalcy to Steve.
>
> really?
>
> I am certainly more normal than he, or than you it seems

Lets see...

You're an admitted sexual deviant.

Dave and I are married heterosexuals.

You've lied about being a member of the Armed Forces.

Dave and I both have faithfully and Honorably served our
country.

You're an admitted liar in general.

I've never "caught" Dave in so much as an error of ommission,
let alone an overt mistruth.

You've stated you'd teach kids how to lie and to do it often.

If Dave's mentioned kids, I missed it, but two of mine are in
college and one is in Army Warrant Officer training. They didn't get
there by lying.

Then you claim to be "more normal" than others.

Uh huh...yeah...right...

> also better at trying to keep to topic,but then you are into attacks
> and not much else

I've seen tons of K8MN posts that addressed nothing but Amateur
Radio related posts, while we get "dreck" and lies from you.

> > > I am wether or not you think so or not, at least according to SCIENCE
> >
> > Please point us to a scientfic paper which indicates that you are normal.
>
> the reports of the Kinsey inistitute

I've read them.

"Mark Morgan" is not in there. Nor is there anything in there
that suggests pathological liars and sexual deviants are "normal".

> > > your belief does not affect facts
> >
> > You've presented no facts.
>
> so?

"So?"...

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!

At least you're consistent about some things, Markie! Finding
indifference to facts being one of them!

> your reply has nothing to do with what you are repling to

"replying"

How can he NOT be replying to what he's replying to...?!?!

> > > both and Stevie are so interested in Male sexuality is is strane after
> > > all...
> >
> > Strange? I'm quite interested in male sexuality, Mark. I *am* male.
>
> Sorry my mistake you are merely a hypocrite then

I'll L O V E to see you rationalize that one, Markie!

> > > I don't who or what you fool around with, would rather not know as
> > > point of fact, and so many in this newsgrup consider it vital matter to
> > > discuss what others are doing.
> >
> > Well now, Mark, you have a problem there. You've made posts on usenet
>
> what problem?
>
> > which have been archived. They addressed your sexual preferences as
>
> Yes I am Bisexual

"sexual deviant"

> > well as your "please hurt me" desires. If you are embarrassed by the
>
> I wish you'd get it right I am into S&M both not embarished at all.

I stand corrected.

You are NOT a "sexual deviant"...

But you ARE a "sexual fetish deviant"

> > things you posted in a very public forum, perhaps you shouldn't have
> > posted them.
>
> Not at all I do think that you and Stevie esp, but many on Usenet in
> general, need to the learn to read the titles of the newsgroups you are
> in

WHOA MARKIE!

Just yesterday you were brandishing the "First Amendment" as your
Shield of Steel...Now today YOU seek to inhibit others same "Freedom of
Speech".

And YOU called DAVE a hypocrite ! ! !

> > As to who I fool around with, I don't fool around with anyone. I'm
> > married to a woman.
>
> sorry to hear you are celibate, perhaps that explains it

There's another Markism I can't wait to see him rationalize...

(Notice how "Markism" and "Marxism" look alike? Coincidence? I
dont' think so!)

> > > Is the word .sex. in the New title somewhere?
> >
> > It is now.
>
> nope it isn't just that it burns on your mind

Only since you keep making an issue of it. Why do you do that?

Steve, K4YZ

## 908. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 13:56:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FwJJe.3019$RS.2359@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115308

```
an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
>
>
>>>break
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>No, it isn't.
>>>>
>>>>doesn't matter where I come in the signal
>>>>
>>>>Yes, it matters.
>>>
>>>
>>>gee you are looking like you need meds yourself
>>>
>>>indeed Say "A" and then you say not "A" makes one of them a lie of
>>>course, which one i don't know
>>
>>I've not said "A" or "not A".
>>
>>I've said that the morse distress call is not "ososososososo"
>
>
> nope you didn't say that
>
> you said
> "doesn't matter where I come in the signal"
>
> "Yes, it matters"
>
> so indeed you said "A" and then not "A"
>

I see, Mark. I omitted an attribution so you'd like folks to think that
I'm the person who originally wrote "doesn't matter where I come in the
signal". The line was yours.
```

>>I've said that it matters "where I come in the signal". It is "SOS",
>>Mark, not "OSO" or "ososososososo". It isn't run together and it
>>doesn't begin with "o".
>
>
> and now again you are contradicting yourself, maybe you should go to
> bed and get some sleep

You know, that's exactly what I decided to do.

>
>>>>>I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
>>>>>can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
>>>>
>>>>I can't understand your point.
>>>
>>>
>>>can't or won't?
>>
>>I can't understand it, Mark. There are simply too many errors in
>>spelling, grammar and word positioning to make an educated guess.
>
>
> then you aren't very bright, can't read context, you certainly can't
> say what YOU mean, and expect folks to pick it out of the air but you
> won't bother doing so yourself

I find it very amusing to be chastised by one whose posts read like a
cryptogram.

>>>Indeed from your problems understanding anything I realy can't accept
>>>as true the claims of folks like you that You can't undersatnd my
>>>posts, but you can undersatnd Morse encoded signals in real time, sent
>>>by real people
>>
>>That'll have to be one of your unsolved mysteries, Mark. I'd have
>>trouble with morse if the words were misspelled and jumbled around. Oh,
>>I could copy the letters, but they'd still represent gibberish.
>
>
> well better than Stevie he claims he read all morse

I believe I just said the same, Mark. Copying it is one thing; making
sense of it is quite another.

> but you should remember people who live glass house should dress in
> basement

I'll remember that, should it ever come up in conversation.

>>>I am quite certain one of those claims is lie as well, which of course
>>>is another matter
>>
>>You seem to have great certainty that any number of people are simply

>>lying about any number of things. Of course, that doesn't mean that
>>you are correct. You're free to believe any crackpot thing you like.
>
>
> mighty generous of you

Nobody regulates your right to be in the dark about any topic.

Dave K8MN

# 909. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 14:14:58 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7pd1$uoi$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115309

Dee Flint wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.06.00.34.28.610290@gmail.com...
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>We are possibly talking about 300,000 hams in the us, that is NOT a large
>>number for a good hobby... compare that to millions of bicyclists,
>>millions of fishermen, millions of bowlers, etc, etc... perhaps skydivers
>>are near that number, 300,000, but only because many of us are too damn
>>smart and won't jump out of a plane with a large sized piece of ripstop
>>nylon attached to us!
>>
>
>
> There are over 600,000 hams in the US.
>
>
>>How you quote such dismal numbers in amateur radio as if they/it are
>>something to be proud of does nothing but amaze me! As I have pointed out
>>before, there are MAGNITUDES more illegal aliens here than hams!
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> In this day and age, any technical or semi-technical hobby is lucky to have
> any members at all.

True, Dee. But there are a number of people hard at work to change that!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 910. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 14:21:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123510885.540388.281430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115310

John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> You make a pathetic antagonist, also...

Well yes "John", thank you for the compliment. Particularly as it comes
from you "John", the latest master of mindless antagonism to pass thru
our neighborhood. I bow to your expertise in the field.

> John

w3rv

# 911. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 14:24:49 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7pvg$sq2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115311

Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Dee:
>>
>> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those
>> who
>> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>> Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>
>> John
>
>
> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
> think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
> massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!

It is a conspiracy, Dave. The FCC is inflating the numbers of Hams for some reason, even though the conspiracists on the other side think they would like there to be *fewer* Hams, so that the FCC can have a good excuse to take away our band segments.

Make sense? No? I think its related to Chemtrails somehow..... ;^)

Finally, do some of these folks have some trouble with their antennas or something? When I tune our bands, I hear a *lot* of activity. And it isn't just on contest weekends. On those weekends the bands are wall to wall. Only open spots are around the Maritime nets, and it is wise to leave them some space.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 912. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 14:40:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123512011.530100.255920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115312

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> >>Well, there you go, "John". I'm trying to keep an open mind in reading
> >>your material. It isn't easy.
> >
> >
> > Easy? It's impossible. Even Don Quixote would write this strange unit
> > off as a loon.
>
> I don't know if John is a loon or if, as I orginally surmised, he is
> simply a troll.

He's obviously just another transparent USENET master baiter and
nothing more.

> He is strange and he has bonded with Leonard. Water
> always seeks its own level.

Wash my mouf' but Sweetums stays on topic at least once in awhile. This
whatever-he-is "John" thingey is a whole 'nother ballgame who swims
much deeper in the muck than Sweetums does . .

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

## 913. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 14:40:56 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7qtn$16os$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115313

Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Dee:
>>
>> Nope, never have seen all the bandwidths in as much use as back during
>> the
>> 70's and early 80's, did you have a ticket back then? My gawd, those
>> oldtimers have forgotten what a real "pileup" means! Congestion worse
>> than imagined in my worst nightmare!
>
>
> It's a nice story. It is a pity that t'ain't true. I've run pileups
> spanning five to seven KHz for as long as six hours at a time, working
> 200-300 CW QSOs per hour. That happened as recently as 2000. DXing and
> contesting aren't games about twenty and thirty years ago, they're
> about what you've done lately. Contest scores have gotten bigger. The
> top scorers work more stations than were worked two or three decades ago.
> There are domestic scores now which top the world high scores of that
> many years ago.

That has been my experience too Dave. Must be an alternate universe
where this other stuff is going on....

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 914. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 15:04:45 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7sad$st6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115314

an_old_friend wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>>>>>>realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do
>>>>>>you think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up
>>>>>>some massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> This approach has been in evidence early on. Facts are of secondary
>>>>>importance to opinion.
>>>>>
>>>>> If we are told that there are not the number of hams claimed on the
>>>>>database, then that is the truth. If that means that the FCC is lying,
>>>>>that is the truth.
>>>>>
>>>>> If we are told that the only thing needed to go digital on HF is to
>>>>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>>>>>
>>>>> If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>>>>>
>>>>> You can't argue with someone who makes up the facts as they go
>>>>>along, so why do it?
>>>>
>>>>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with invisible sources for
>>>>invisible facts.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>

>>>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital transmission. Seems that they have
>>>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method that works, but it is pretty
>>>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>>>>
>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>propagation phenomena.
>>>
>>>
>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as when it was said that we
>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies" everything above 200M
>>
>
>> There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital HF won't
>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that caused hams
>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>
>
> agreed there are reasons of course as there were then
>
> but it is folks like you with "it can not be Done.. therefore it should
> not be disused etc. that insure it can't be done

Where did I say that? Of course it can be done. Wireless digital at HF
is similar to wireless at UHF and above. You just have a few things at
HF that are different. Noise is one of the differences. bandwidth is
another. If you are willing to out up with very slow transmissions,
Digital at HF is doable. Won't be high speed though, and it won't work
terribly well.

> I choose to look at a thorny problem and try to see if I can make
> lemonaide, maybe brew those throns in a decent cup of Tea

Well have at it, Mark! Occasionally the naysayers are proven wrong. Jim
had a few ideas that might be of some use. They have some flaws though,
as in requireing high power, special transmitters and recievers, and
most still use too much bandwidth.

>> Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there. Many
>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>>knowledge.
>>
>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>>signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>>transmission?
>
>

> in sprict order asking I don't know, don't know, difering weather
> conidctions, and becuase comercail needs relaiblity where we hams are
> free to spend on trying stuff
>
>>
>>>when was that Jim
>>
>> A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>
>
> and if folks had listene to experts of the day what would we have today

Experimentation proved them wrong. The experimentors then became the
new experts.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 915. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 15:10:29 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7sl4$11ne$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115315

Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Len:
>>
>> As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
>> to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live
>> on.
>> This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
>> life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>
>
> Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
> openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
> your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into a
> cheap motel with some floozy.

For all the bluff and bluster about how the internet is a dangerous
place, and only a fool would use their real identity, it is a telling
point to note that the anonymous brethren are the ones causing most of
the trouble.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 916. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 15:12:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7som$11ne$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115316

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm
>
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission. There
>>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>>propagation phenomena.
>>
>>> Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
>>> of high speed digital transmission?"
>>
>>Dave wrote that last. But I agree with him
>
>
> Sweetums, you've been plugging for that all by yourself for
> lots of messages in here. You NEGLECT any other forms of
> communications and concentrate on imagery, many-pixel images.
>
> "HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
> What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
> High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.

The signals are at an HF rate. Does that make them HF?

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 917. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 15:17:05 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7t1g$11ng$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115318

Dave Heil wrote:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm
>>
>>
>>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am
>>>>
>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>>> HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission.
>>>>>>> There
>>>>>>> is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>>> propagation phenomena.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
>>>> of high speed digital transmission?"
>>>
>>>
>>> Dave wrote that last. But I agree with him
>>
>>
>>
>> Sweetums, you've been plugging for that all by yourself for
>> lots of messages in here. You NEGLECT any other forms of
>> communications and concentrate on imagery, many-pixel images.
>>
>> "HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
>> What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
>> High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.
>
>

>
> Gee, Len, BPL isn't supposed to be radio at all. Fill us in on the
> various intended BPL wireless propagation media. Those power lines are
> intended to be antennas?

Is digital a sine wave? Dave, I'm soo confused! All this bandwidth
stuff is such a crock. Dunno why I'm getting so worked up over it. Heck,
Digital can be done on DC for all that matter!

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 918. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 15:17:17 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <DGKJe.140$op.88@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 115317

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123471931.177100.238020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> I checked out Art Bell's site, but couldn't get any figures on how many
>> "space aliens" are residing in California, I would have loved to have
>> made
>> a comparison to their numbers with amateurs, as a ratio... I think that
>> me be another story, perhaps a "gov't conspiracy" and they are
>> obfuscating
>> the facts... <heart-felt-frown> :(
>>
>> 80%? Eight out of ten? You think I am an alarmist if I use "almost all"
>> to describe that kind of percentage? <grin>
>
> I do think you are an alarmist, OTOH the state of affairs does call for
> it
> but 8 of ten is my experence and 1.5 out of ten are pushing upper 50's
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 19:56:28 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> To all:
>> >>
>> >> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I
>> >> believe I
>> >> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there
>> >> exists
>> >> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to
>> >> change
>> >> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And,
>> >> what
>> >> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to
>> >> keep
>> >> in step with my beliefs.
>> >>
>> >> However, somethings I believe are:
>> >>
>> >> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone,
```

>> >> than
>> >> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>> >
>> > there may be more aliens in LA than hams
>> >>
>> >> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California,
>> >> alone,
>> >> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>> >>
>> >> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
>> >> above.
>> >
>> > 90% or more like 80% ? what you caling almost all
>> >
>> > myself about I guess about 80%
>> >
>> >>
>> >> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
>> >> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they
>> >> are
>> >> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
>> >
>> > Personaly I believe they do not realize it
>> >>
>> >> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
>> >> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
>> >> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>> >>
>> >> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
>> >> stages of a massive change.
>> >
>> > I truely hope you are right Sir I turely do
>> >
>> >>
>> >> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal
>> >> numbers.
>> >
>> > I'd love to call you a liar on that one
>> >
>> >>
>> >> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
>> >> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would
>> >> have
>> >> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
>> >> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move
>> >> towards
>> >> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the
>> >> truly
>> >> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
>> >> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to

>> >> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>> >>
>> >> I am a believer...
>> >>
>> >> John

Then, tell me, HOW do they avoid ever pushing their upper 50's

J

# 919. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 15:32:00 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd7ttf$11ng$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115319

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>I was a boy scout leader in my late 20's into my early 30's, it was hard,
>>my job made some allowances, heck, some of the people over me had kids in
>>my troop.
>>
>>I think it can be done alright...
>>
>>Old pharts shine in positions like supreme court justices, you don't need
>>the constitution to change all that much, indeed, in over 200 years it has
>>been a real benefit NOT having much change at all... you just have to
>>realize where you need young minds and where you need old ones...
>
>
> It's like this "John": The ARRL is run by an elected, unpaid volunteer
> BoD. In any practical sense the ARRL cannot be changed from the outside
> but it can and has been changed from the inside. The "solution" is
> rather obvious isn't it "John"? So go round up all your ARRL
> like-minded member buddies and campaign to get one elected to the BoD
> to kick off the "revolution".
>
> In other words "John" "lead, follow or quit whining, trolling and
> sucking up pointless bandwidth" on the topic.

Like that is going to happen! 8^P Sounds like an interesting idea
though. An anonymous leader......

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 920. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 15:52:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123516367.966410.8520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115320

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > In other words "John" "lead, follow or quit whining, trolling and
> > sucking up pointless bandwidth" on the topic.
>
> Like that is going to happen! 8^P Sounds like an interesting idea
> though. An anonymous leader......

Heh. Yeah, like the lion in The Wizard of Oz.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

## 921. Re: Stevie mudsliing Malicious Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:12:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123517566.880730.122920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115321

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>>You sure you are Stevie to be so interested in what other MEN do with
> > > >>>their genitals....sad
> > > >>
> > > >>It was just a few days ago, Mark, that you indicated that you believe
> > > >>that the anus is a genital organ.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > yes?
> > >
> > > Yes.
> > >
> > >> I don't find you to be remotely normal.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > so?
> > >
> >
> > So, you are a peculiar individual to be making a statement about
> > normalcy to Steve.
> >
> > really?
> >
> > I am certainly more normal than he, or than you it seems
>
> Lets see...
>
> You're an admitted sexual deviant.
>
> Dave and I are married heterosexuals.

so?
>
> You've lied about being a member of the Armed Forces.

nope you keep getting it wrong Stevie I lied about rank in the armed
forces

>
> Dave and I both have faithfully and Honorably served our
> country.

you haven't faithfully served the nation, ever as far anyone can tell

>
> You're an admitted liar in general.
>
> I've never "caught" Dave in so much as an error of ommission,
> let alone an overt mistruth.

but I have caught you both in ossmissions in overt mistruth

>
> You've stated you'd teach kids how to lie and to do it often.

again with the lies Stevie Never ever said I teach them to do it often,
but yes that there is place for lying
>
> If Dave's mentioned kids, I missed it, but two of mine are in
> college and one is in Army Warrant Officer training. They didn't get
> there by lying.

and yet sight unseen I am certain they have lied, and will lie, as you
do.

>
> Then you claim to be "more normal" than others.

and better ajusted and far more rational as well.

>
> Uh huh...yeah...right...
>
> > also better at trying to keep to topic,but then you are into attacks
> > and not much else
>
> I've seen tons of K8MN posts that addressed nothing but Amateur
> Radio related posts, while we get "dreck" and lies from you.

interesting that you don't adress your own lack of on topic content,
but that would much to expect from you, honesty

and yet I am far more honest than You Stevie, but then that isn't hard
>
> > > > I am wether or not you think so or not, at least according to SCIENCE
> > >
> > > Please point us to a scientfic paper which indicates that you are normal.
> >
> > the reports of the Kinsey inistitute
>
> I've read them.
>

> "Mark Morgan" is not in there.<cut>

Never claimed I was

<cut> Nor is there anything in there
> that suggests pathological liars and sexual deviants are "normal".

nothing about lying that isn't the subject as you should Know, but you
are lying about the rest

>
> > > > your belief does not affect facts
> > >
> > > You've presented no facts.
> >
> > so?
>
> "So?"...
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
>
> At least you're consistent about some things, Markie! Finding
> indifference to facts being one of them!

not at all

it is a fact that Dave's (nor your's for that matter)beliefs have no
power to affect facts

and you lie as usaul about my "indifference"

>
> > your reply has nothing to do with what you are repling to
>
> "replying"
>
> How can he NOT be replying to what he's replying to...?!?!

can't read or process ENGLISH can you Stevie

I said again that he reply had nothing to do with what he was replying
to, not that he wasn't repllying

realy Stevie your stupidity is shocking

>
> > > > both and Stevie are so interested in Male sexuality is is strane after
> > > > all...
> > >
> > > Strange? I'm quite interested in male sexuality, Mark. I *am* male.
> >
> > Sorry my mistake you are merely a hypocrite then
>
> I'll L O V E to see you rationalize that one, Markie!

what rationaize? no need you and Dave both are so into sex, male
sexuality that you can't carry on a discusion without it, and you both,
find fault with someone quite able to do so

>
> > > > I don't who or what you fool around with, would rather not know as
> > > > point of fact, and so many in this newsgrup consider it vital matter to
> > > > discuss what others are doing.
> > > >
> > > Well now, Mark, you have a problem there. You've made posts on usenet
> >
> > what problem?
> >
> > > which have been archived. They addressed your sexual preferences as
> >
> > Yes I am Bisexual
>
> "sexual deviant"

stevie lie

>
> > > well as your "please hurt me" desires. If you are embarrassed by the
> >
> > I wish you'd get it right I am into S&M both not embarished at all.
>
> I stand corrected.
>
> You are NOT a "sexual deviant"...
>
> But you ARE a "sexual fetish deviant"
>
> > > things you posted in a very public forum, perhaps you shouldn't have
> > > posted them.
> >
> > Not at all I do think that you and Stevie esp, but many on Usenet in
> > general, need to the learn to read the titles of the newsgroups you are
> > in
>
> WHOA MARKIE!
>
> Just yesterday you were brandishing the "First Amendment" as your
> Shield of Steel...Now today YOU seek to inhibit others same "Freedom of
> Speech".

not at all Stevie not at all

But you and dave esp need to learn that there is a time and place for
everything esp if you are both going to ctricize others the same way
>
> And YOU called DAVE a hypocrite ! ! !

sure did but you of course are off on a tangent distorting the orginal
statement and claiming it means something else

>
> > > As to who I fool around with, I don't fool around with anyone. I'm
> > > married to a woman.
> >
> > sorry to hear you are celibate, perhaps that explains it
>
> There's another Markism I can't wait to see him rationalize...

no need

>
> (Notice how "Markism" and "Marxism" look alike? Coincidence? I
> dont' think so!)
>
> > > > Is the word .sex. in the New title somewhere?
> > >
> > > It is now.
> >
> > nope it isn't just that it burns on your mind
>
> Only since you keep making an issue of it. Why do you do that?

Liar Stevie the first thing off your Keyboard when I returned here was
about sex

I don't make an issue of it. I'd likely never mention if folks stoped
trying to use the fact That I am who I am as weapon, that it doesn't
work very well should do it

but I'll never mention my sexuality again, if the rest will refrain
from doing so

Offered this to you before if you recall, you pormised to do so and
that lasted less than a day

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 922. Re: strange thing from the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:17:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123517871.641550.110060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115322

boink wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123466599.187143.69520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > last month or was it late June I received an "invitation" to ARRl to
> > rejoin. Today I went thought the yesterday's mail, and find another
> > invatation different text but again asking me to rejoin
> >
>
> They occasianally blow a couple grand on mass
> maillings to try and get hams to join up. Likely they
> get the names from the warranty cards hams send
> in when they buy a new radio or the prize raffles
> from the larger hamfests, what few they're are left
> around that is. I can recall many years ago a certain large
> hamfest down south selling their hamfest attendence
> and ticket database to em for some extra $$$. This practice
> is now discontinued. Just toss it in the trash or use it
> o start the woodstove going come this winter.

thank you for the enlightenment, I guess it is fitting reward from Icom
for buying that 910H

I have a large stack of such mail It will become part of the
expereiment to see i my den/hamshack can be kept warm with nothing but
junk mail this winter

# 923. TEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:18:14 UTC
From: "Mr. George" <george@fuall.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AC72FD2D746georgefuallcom@63.223.7.253>
Source record: 115323

TEST

# 924. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:19:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123517980.342364.30450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115324

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >
> > cuting
> >
> >
> >>>then you need to read some the treaty requirements, the same treaty
> >>>that has been the only keeping code testing the ARS for a couple of
> >>>decades
> >>
> >> Didn't we cover this before somewhere? There is a long history of
> >>dancing around the treaty requirements. Like medical waivers for
> >>starters....
> >
> >
> > nope you are simply in error. The waivers (only for speeds above 5wpm)
> > needed no dance
>
> Oops! I am indeed in error on that!

most gracious of you to adknowledge that

and so rare on the newsgroup
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 925. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:24:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123518253.249596.77820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115325

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > AOF:
> > >
> > > Frankly, I have been much more interested in the lady hams equipment
> > > myself... I think the FCC got that one right!
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > these days I am payng more attention to a certain future lady ham's
> > equipment myself although I would not care to share her with the FCC
>
> Oh?
>
> Your "partner" has a sister? Lucky him.

still can't keep the genders straight

no my partner, my current partner is female, what the gender of the
next one may be well who knows. I'll deal with that when I need to

>
> > an aside It would be nice if you pruned some the endless stuff is
> > piling up at the end of your post, slows down my conection something
> > feirce indeed tonight I have felt like I was back on Dailup
> >
> > shudder
>
> Geeze...
>
> Even when YOU repost six-exchanges worth of re-quotes, it still
> only takes me a split second to get the page up.
>
> I imagine what slows down YOUR connection is trying to read what

you imagine some realy strangethings stvie but we all know that ,
except of course you

> we write. It's in English, and yours is...well..NOT English...Or not
> much like it, any way...

at last my replies have something to do with the topic, unlike many of
yours

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 926. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:30:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123518621.178906.34190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115326

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >
> >
> >
> >>>break
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>No, it isn't.
> >>>>
> >>>>doesn't matter where I come in the signal
> >>>>
> >>>>Yes, it matters.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>gee you are looking like you need meds yourself
> >>>
> >>>indeed Say "A" and then you say not "A" makes one of them a lie of
> >>>course, which one i don't know
> >>
> >>I've not said "A" or "not A".
> >>
> >>I've said that the morse distress call is not "ososososososo"
> >
> >
> > nope you didn't say that
> >
> > you said
> > "doesn't matter where I come in the signal"
> >
> > "Yes, it matters"
> >
> > so indeed you said "A" and then not "A"
> >
>
> I see, Mark. I omitted an attribution so you'd like folks to think that

nope you did follow protocol, something you demend of others but fail
to do yourself

> I'm the person who originally wrote "doesn't matter where I come in the
> signal". The line was yours.

well you typed without putting it in quotes, which is the proper thing
to do, therefore IT was reasonable to believe you indeed choose to make
the statement yourself.

after all you insist YOU follow the rules of english, and you Demand
others do so, but then when you feel like it you don't bother with the
rules yourself

>
> >>I've said that it matters "where I come in the signal". It is "SOS",
> >>Mark, not "OSO" or "ososososososo". It isn't run together and it
> >>doesn't begin with "o".
> >
> >
> > and now again you are contradicting yourself, maybe you should go to
> > bed and get some sleep
>
> You know, that's exactly what I decided to do.

good for you

>
> >
> >>>>>I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> >>>>>can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
> >>>>
> >>>>I can't understand your point.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>can't or won't?
> >>
> >>I can't understand it, Mark. There are simply too many errors in
> >>spelling, grammar and word positioning to make an educated guess.
> >
> >
> > then you aren't very bright, can't read context, you certainly can't
> > say what YOU mean, and expect folks to pick it out of the air but you
> > won't bother doing so yourself
>
> I find it very amusing to be chastised by one whose posts read like a
> cryptogram.

well you know if the shoe fits...
>
> >>>Indeed from your problems understanding anything I realy can't accept
> >>>as true the claims of folks like you that You can't undersatnd my
> >>>posts, but you can undersatnd Morse encoded signals in real time, sent

> >>>by real people
> >>
> >>That'll have to be one of your unsolved mysteries, Mark. I'd have
> >>trouble with morse if the words were misspelled and jumbled around. Oh,
> >>I could copy the letters, but they'd still represent gibberish.
> >
> >
> > well better than Stevie he claims he read all morse
>
> I believe I just said the same, Mark. Copying it is one thing; making
> sense of it is quite another.

ah yess Another MMM myth

>
> > but you should remember people who live glass house should dress in
> > basement
>
> I'll remember that, should it ever come up in conversation.
>
> >>>I am quite certain one of those claims is lie as well, which of course
> >>>is another matter
> >>
> >>You seem to have great certainty that any number of people are simply
> >>lying about any number of things. Of course, that doesn't mean that
> >>you are correct. You're free to believe any crackpot thing you like.
> >
> >
> > mighty generous of you
>
> Nobody regulates your right to be in the dark about any topic.

BUZZZZZ Stevie tries very hard

>
> Dave K8MN

# 927. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:32:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123518746.867688.89490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115327

Jerry wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123471931.177100.238020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> AOF:
> >>
> >> I checked out Art Bell's site, but couldn't get any figures on how many
> >> "space aliens" are residing in California, I would have loved to have
> >> made
> >> a comparison to their numbers with amateurs, as a ratio... I think that
> >> me be another story, perhaps a "gov't conspiracy" and they are
> >> obfuscating
> >> the facts... <heart-felt-frown> :(
> >>
> >> 80%? Eight out of ten? You think I am an alarmist if I use "almost all"
> >> to describe that kind of percentage? <grin>
> >
> > I do think you are an alarmist, OTOH the state of affairs does call for
> > it
> > but 8 of ten is my experence and 1.5 out of ten are pushing upper 50's
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 19:56:28 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> To all:
> >> >>
> >> >> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I
> >> >> believe I
> >> >> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there
> >> >> exists
> >> >> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to
> >> >> change
> >> >> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And,
> >> >> what
> >> >> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to
> >> >> keep
> >> >> in step with my beliefs.
> >> >>
> >> >> However, somethings I believe are:
> >> >>

> >> >> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone,
> >> >> than
> >> >> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
> >> >
> >> > there may be more aliens in LA than hams
> >> >>
> >> >> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California,
> >> >> alone,
> >> >> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
> >> >>
> >> >> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
> >> >> above.
> >> >
> >> > 90% or more like 80% ? what you caling almost all
> >> >
> >> > myself about I guess about 80%
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
> >> >> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they
> >> >> are
> >> >> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
> >> >
> >> > Personaly I believe they do not realize it
> >> >>
> >> >> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> >> >> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
> >> >> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
> >> >>
> >> >> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
> >> >> stages of a massive change.
> >> >
> >> > I truely hope you are right Sir I turely do
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal
> >> >> numbers.
> >> >
> >> > I'd love to call you a liar on that one
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> >> >> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would
> >> >> have
> >> >> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> >> >> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move
> >> >> towards
> >> >> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the
> >> >> truly
> >> >> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in

> >> >> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> >> >> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
> >> >>
> >> >> I am a believer...
> >> >>
> >> >> John
>
> Then, tell me, HOW do they avoid ever pushing their upper 50's

well it can be done but the method is a bit drastic

they can die, not that I am suggesting they should, Instead I'd like to
see the average brought down a bit with fresh blood
>
> J

## 928. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 16:42:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123519361.517450.47480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115328

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

> > > We know pretty closely how many individuals there are with
> > > current (unexpired) FCC-issued amateur radio licenses - about
> > > 664,000
> > >
> > > What we don't know is how many of those ~664,000 fall into
> > > the following categories:
> > >
> > > - Inactive due to being dead
> > > - Inactive due to being seriously sick or injured
> > > - Inactive due to loss of interest
> > > - Inactive due to lack of resources (time, money, space, etc.)
> > > - Slightly active
> > > - Moderately active
> > > - Very active
> > >
> > > Worse, the definitions of the last three categories are entirely
> > > subjective. If a ham is on the air 100 hours per year, is that
> > > ham slightly, moderately, or very active?
> >
> > How do other systems work? I suspect that they count licensees, and
> > work with that. At renewal time, adjustments are made.

Many other systems (like cb) require no license at all, so there's no
good way to know how many users there are. The fact that a certain
number of cb sets have been sold in the past X years tells nothing
definite about how many cb users there really are.

Same for stuff like FRS.

OTOH most broadcast and commercial licenses require usage as a
condition of grant. A broadcast station can (in theory) lose
its license if it has too many avoidable outages.

Of course the most prevalent use of two-way radio is the cell
phone. The license, as it were, is held by the provider(s). Of
course the users have almost no control over what the radio in
a cell phone does.

> >>>>>This approach has been in evidence early on.
> >>>>>Facts are of secondary importance to opinion.

> > To some folks, their opinions *are* facts.

> To too many.....

So why bother with them?
>
> >
> >>>>>If we are told that there are not the
> >>>>>number of hams claimed on the
> >>>>>database, then that is the truth. If
> >>>>>that means that the FCC is lying,
> >>>>>that is the truth.
> >
> >
> > No, it's just somebody's opinion.
>
> yup
>
> >
> >>>>>If we are told that the only thing needed
> >>>>>to go digital on HF is to
> >>>>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
> >
> >
> > Actually, you *can* hook up a 56K modem to your HF rig and
> > "go digital". Doesn't mean it will work....
> >
> > Note that almost all dialup modems have the ability to
> > operate at less than 56K. Backwards compatibility and
> > all that. Some will go all the way back to 300 baud.
> >
> >
> >>>>>If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
> >
> >
> > Ham radio has always been "dying" - and always being reborn.
>
> Yeah, just like "The Family" Always under attack, always going
> downhill" and on and on.
>
> Reminds me of the radio ads for stores that are having their "Biggest
> Sale Ever!" The next week that are having another biggest sale ever.
> Seems if we just wait a short while, they'll be giving the stuff away,
> or paying us to take it away.

"20th Annual Going Out Of Business Sale!"

> > I was around back in 1968 when some folks said that 'incentive
> > licensing' would 'kill amateur radio'. Those folks said it was
> > completely unreasonable to expect or even ask the average ham

> > to get an Advanced, let alone an Extra.
> >
> > Yet in the decade after those new requirements were put in place, the
> > number of US hams grew from about 250,000 to about 350,000.
> > And that was before the VE system and published question pools.
> >
> > Sure, some of that was helped by the Bash books, but there were
> > no Bash books for 20 wpm Morse Code.
>
> Bash Keys???

HAW!

The requirements were *raised* and ham radio *grew*....what a concept

> >>>>>You can't argue with someone who makes up the
> >>>>>facts as they go along, so why do it?
> >
> >
> > Exactly!
> >
> >
> >>>>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with
> >>>>invisible sources for
> >>>>invisible facts.
> >
> >
> > Yup. Like folks who claim that 57% is not a majority...
>
> they were just like, sayin' 8^)

It's a classic troll trick. They make statements that
are provably false just to get attention. Then they
argue that what they say is true, or you didn't understand
it, or you've been brainwashed by ARRL, etc.

> >>>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital
> >>>>>transmission. Seems that they have
> >>>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method
> >>>>>that works, but it is pretty
> >>>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.

> > So send small files!
>
> Well, yeah! But if we are going to have a new mode, it needs to have
> some good features. They can be speed, quality, or even coolness (say
> the digital form of Hell-field)
>
> So here we have digital transmission in a sort of competition with
> SSTV. The images have to be pretty small, and most have to be compressed
> to the point that they are pretty poor compared to a good SSTV image. So
> the only advantage that I can see for this digital method is when the

> signal is weak and noisy. Then the digital image will be better. Of
> course the patience of Job will be needed for all the error correction
> needed

Slow...error prone...not used by other services...

> >>>>HF will never be the place for high speed
> >>>>digital transmission. There
> >>>>is too much noise and signals are subject
> >>>>to the vagaries of wave
> >>>>propagation phenomena.

> >
> > A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
> > resources you can use.

If you're used to 45 baud RTTY, 1200 baud is high speed, isn't it?

> > For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
> > all sorts of stuff is possible.

> Sure. I think some people are believing that I am saying this is
> impossible. It is not impossible. But not very practical. I'd have to
> work it out, but I think there are some ham segments where we'd need
> more bandwidth than is alloted

The difference is that unlike, say, the US Army in 1952, there is no
High Command that determines who gets what frequencies for what path
at what time.

> > If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain antennas,
> > all sorts of stuff is possible.
>
> A strong signal mode? 8^)

Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and curtain
arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary for the
military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the taxpayer's
dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like the
R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of them are
needed? Etc.

Completely different from what most hams deal with.

> > If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
> > so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
> > things are possible.
>
> OY!

Very common military and commercial practice.

> > If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
> > the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and

> > frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
> > possible.
>
> Doubly Oy!

It's what ALE is all about.

> > Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
> > the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
> > regulations.

> >>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
> >>>when it was said that we
> >>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
> >>>everything above 200M
> >
> >
> > Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
> >
> > What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
> > only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
> > a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
> > s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
> >
> > In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
> > worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
> > longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
> > even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
> >
> >
> >>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
> >>HF won't
> >>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
> >>caused hams
> >>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
> >>
> >>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
> >>Many
> >>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
> >>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
> >>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
> >>knowledge.
> >>
> >> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
> >>signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
> >>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
> >>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
> >>transmission?
> >
> >
> > All very good questions!

> >
> >>>when was that Jim
> >>
> >>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
> >>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
> >>>>>along the same lines
> >>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
> >>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
> >>
> >> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
> >>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
> >>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
> >>everyones standard) pictures.
> >>
> >> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
> >>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
> >>going to steal the technology.
> >
> >
> > You can't steal vaporware.
> >
> >
> >>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
> >>believers among
> >>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
> >>
> >>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
> >>up from
> >>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
> >>into the real world?
> >>
> >
> > Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
>
> You betchya!
>
Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an old
friend".
You won't get it.

They're not about actually *doing* ham radio, just arguing about it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 929. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 17:21:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B_mdnfJpbf8QCWrfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115329

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>>We know pretty closely how many individuals there are with
>>>current (unexpired) FCC-issued amateur radio licenses - about
>>>664,000
>>>
>>>What we don't know is how many of those ~664,000 fall into
>>>the following categories:
>>>
>>>- Inactive due to being dead
>>>- Inactive due to being seriously sick or injured
>>>- Inactive due to loss of interest
>>>- Inactive due to lack of resources (time, money, space, etc.)
>>>- Slightly active
>>>- Moderately active
>>>- Very active
>>>
>>>Worse, the definitions of the last three categories are entirely
>>>subjective. If a ham is on the air 100 hours per year, is that
>>>ham slightly, moderately, or very active?
>>
>>How do other systems work? I suspect that they count licensees, and
>>work with that. At renewal time, adjustments are made.
>
>
> Many other systems (like cb) require no license at all, so there's no
> good way to know how many users there are. The fact that a certain
> number of cb sets have been sold in the past X years tells nothing
> definite about how many cb users there really are.
>

> Same for stuff like FRS.
>
> OTOH most broadcast and commercial licenses require usage as a
> condition of grant. A broadcast station can (in theory) lose
> its license if it has too many avoidable outages.
>
> Of course the most prevalent use of two-way radio is the cell
> phone. The license, as it were, is held by the provider(s). Of
> course the users have almost no control over what the radio in
> a cell phone does.
>
>
>>>>>>>This approach has been in evidence early on.
>>>>>>>Facts are of secondary importance to opinion.
>
>
>>>To some folks, their opinions *are* facts.
>
>
>> To too many.....
>
>
> So why bother with them?
>
>>>>>>>If we are told that there are not the
>>>>>>>number of hams claimed on the
>>>>>>>database, then that is the truth. If
>>>>>>>that means that the FCC is lying,
>>>>>>>that is the truth.
>>>
>>>
>>>No, it's just somebody's opinion.
>>
>> yup
>>
>>
>>>>>>>If we are told that the only thing needed
>>>>>>>to go digital on HF is to
>>>>>>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>>>
>>>
>>>Actually, you *can* hook up a 56K modem to your HF rig and
>>>"go digital". Doesn't mean it will work....
>>>
>>>Note that almost all dialup modems have the ability to
>>>operate at less than 56K. Backwards compatibility and
>>>all that. Some will go all the way back to 300 baud.
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>>>>>If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ham radio has always been "dying" - and always being reborn.
>>
>> Yeah, just like "The Family" Always under attack, always going
>>downhill" and on and on.
>>
>> Reminds me of the radio ads for stores that are having their "Biggest
>>Sale Ever!" The next week that are having another biggest sale ever.
>>Seems if we just wait a short while, they'll be giving the stuff away,
>>or paying us to take it away.
>
>
> "20th Annual Going Out Of Business Sale!"
>
>
>>>I was around back in 1968 when some folks said that 'incentive
>>>licensing' would 'kill amateur radio'. Those folks said it was
>>>completely unreasonable to expect or even ask the average ham
>>>to get an Advanced, let alone an Extra.
>>>
>>>Yet in the decade after those new requirements were put in place, the
>>>number of US hams grew from about 250,000 to about 350,000.
>>>And that was before the VE system and published question pools.
>>>
>>>Sure, some of that was helped by the Bash books, but there were
>>>no Bash books for 20 wpm Morse Code.
>>
>> Bash Keys???
>
>
> HAW!
>
> The requirements were *raised* and ham radio *grew*....what a concept
>
>
>>>>>>>You can't argue with someone who makes up the
>>>>>>>facts as they go along, so why do it?
>>>
>>>
>>>Exactly!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with
>>>>>>>invisible sources for
>>>>>>>invisible facts.
>>>
>>>

>>>Yup. Like folks who claim that 57% is not a majority...
>>
>>they were just like, sayin' 8^)
>
>
> It's a classic troll trick. They make statements that
> are provably false just to get attention. Then they
> argue that what they say is true, or you didn't understand
> it, or you've been brainwashed by ARRL, etc.
>
>
>>>>>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital
>>>>>>>transmission. Seems that they have
>>>>>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method
>>>>>>>that works, but it is pretty
>>>>>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>
>
>>>So send small files!
>>
>>Well, yeah! But if we are going to have a new mode, it needs to have
>>some good features. They can be speed, quality, or even coolness (say
>>the digital form of Hell-field)
>>
>> So here we have digital transmission in a sort of competition with
>>SSTV. The images have to be pretty small, and most have to be compressed
>>to the point that they are pretty poor compared to a good SSTV image. So
>>the only advantage that I can see for this digital method is when the
>>signal is weak and noisy. Then the digital image will be better. Of
>>course the patience of Job will be needed for all the error correction
>>needed
>
>
> Slow...error prone...not used by other services...

Where'd I hear that before? ;^)

>
>>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed
>>>>>>digital transmission. There
>>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject
>>>>>>to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>>propagation phenomena.
>
>
>>>A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
>>>resources you can use.
>
>
> If you're used to 45 baud RTTY, 1200 baud is high speed, isn't it?
>

>
>>>For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
>>>all sorts of stuff is possible.
>
>
>> Sure. I think some people are believing that I am saying this is
>>impossible. It is not impossible. But not very practical. I'd have to
>>work it out, but I think there are some ham segments where we'd need
>>more bandwidth than is alloted
>
>
> The difference is that unlike, say, the US Army in 1952, there is no
> High Command that determines who gets what frequencies for what path
> at what time.
>
>
>>>If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain antennas,
>>>all sorts of stuff is possible.
>>
>> A strong signal mode? 8^)
>
>
> Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and curtain
> arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary for the
> military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the taxpayer's
> dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like the
> R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of them are
> needed? Etc.
>
> Completely different from what most hams deal with.
>
>
>>>If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
>>>so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
>>>things are possible.
>>
>> OY!
>
>
> Very common military and commercial practice.
>
>
>>>If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
>>>the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
>>>frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
>>>possible.
>>
>> Doubly Oy!
>
>

> It's what ALE is all about.

Just not too applicable for our purposes.

>>>Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
>>>the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
>>>regulations.
>
>
>>>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
>>>>>when it was said that we
>>>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
>>>>>everything above 200M
>>>
>>>
>>>Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
>>>
>>>What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
>>>only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
>>>a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
>>>s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
>>>
>>>In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
>>>worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
>>>longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
>>>even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
>>>>HF won't
>>>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
>>>>caused hams
>>>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>>>>
>>>>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
>>>>Many
>>>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>>>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
>>>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>>>>knowledge.
>>>>
>>>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>>>>signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>>>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>>>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>>>>transmission?
>>>
>>>
>>>All very good questions!
>>>

>>>
>>>>>when was that Jim
>>>>
>>>>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>>>>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>>>>>>>along the same lines
>>>>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>>>>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>>>>
>>>> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
>>>>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
>>>>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
>>>>everyones standard) pictures.
>>>>
>>>> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
>>>>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
>>>>going to steal the technology.
>>>
>>>
>>>You can't steal vaporware.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
>>>>believers among
>>>>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>>>>
>>>>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
>>>>up from
>>>>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
>>>>into the real world?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
>>
>> You betchya!

I have to note that we speak of actual systems, real world devices -
some of which are yet to be made of course, but practicalities (granted
you much more than myself - but I am an RF neophyte, having come from
the digital world)

Others seem to be more in the vein of "so there! or someone is going to
come along and prove you naysayers wrong!" mode.

Faith based electronics.

I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along? You know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a few feet long that outperform anything we have today.
The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word efficient, *they* did.

>
> Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an old
> friend".
> You won't get it.

And I'm starting to think that some of them might be duplicates anyhow.

Quitefine and Darkguard (nee Blackguard) indeed!

> They're not about actually *doing* ham radio, just arguing about it.

Too bad they cant do a better job.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 930. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 17:25:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B_mdne1pbf8SCGrfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115330

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>
>
>>>In other words "John" "lead, follow or quit whining, trolling and
>>>sucking up pointless bandwidth" on the topic.
>>
>> Like that is going to happen! 8^P Sounds like an interesting idea
>>though. An anonymous leader......
>
>
> Heh. Yeah, like the lion in The Wizard of Oz.

And I'll pay no attention to the man behind the curtain!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 931. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 17:27:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B_mdnexpbf-fC2rfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115331

an_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>cuting
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>then you need to read some the treaty requirements, the same treaty
>>>>>that has been the only keeping code testing the ARS for a couple of
>>>>>decades
>>>>
>>>> Didn't we cover this before somewhere? There is a long history of
>>>>dancing around the treaty requirements. Like medical waivers for
>>>>starters....
>>>
>>>
>>>nope you are simply in error. The waivers (only for speeds above 5wpm)
>>>needed no dance
>>
>> Oops! I am indeed in error on that!
>
>
> most gracious of you to adknowledge that

No problem Mark. I really didn't do my homework on that one.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 932. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 17:36:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qrGdnS9m-PGTBWrfRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115332

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm
>>
>>
>>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 9:24 am
>>>>
>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>>> HF will never be the place for high speed digital transmission.
>>>>>>> There
>>>>>>> is too much noise and signals are subject to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>>> propagation phenomena.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Why do you keep beating this Dead Horse on "rapid transmission
>>>> of high speed digital transmission?"
>>>
>>>
>>> Dave wrote that last. But I agree with him
>>
>>
>>
>> Sweetums, you've been plugging for that all by yourself for
>> lots of messages in here. You NEGLECT any other forms of
>> communications and concentrate on imagery, many-pixel images.
>>
>> "HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
>> What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
>> High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.
>
>
>
```

> Gee, Len, BPL isn't supposed to be radio at all. Fill us in on the
> various intended BPL wireless propagation media. Those power lines are
> intended to be antennas?

I wonder why they have to have wireless routers and receivers (what frequency do they operate at?...) Seems like if it is HF already, we shouldn't have to use them. I can see a little HF receiver hooked to my computer, and make a little antenna from what would ordinarily go into my Ethernet port. That should work, shouldn't it?

Maybe it is a conspiracy to sell electronic parts?

- Mike KB3EIA

# 933. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 18:02:18 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <secwet.woger.gwoes.a.pinocchio.nose@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 115333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 06:46:46 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:
:
: That still doesn't change the fact Roger has short hair, dumbass!
:

Exhibit one is the photo which Woger first posted to Yahoo's singles group. It is currently available at http://img252.imageshack.us/img252/9323/ab8mq08030cb.jpg

A small version can also be found at http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/forum.php?board=10

Clearly, everyone on Usenet and on the web agree that that's a photo of Woger, and that Woger had long hair at the time the photo was taken.

The little, animated man which runs across the top of the Nimbuster's web page and pees on Woger's photo is probably not due to Woger's popularity with his peers.

Indeed he *is* like a slinky ...

==========
"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

==========
"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id <737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>

==========
"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

==========
In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>, Secwet Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim: "If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink

so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'ɹetested', 'dumb' and 'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

## 934. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 18:20:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <ba247ad936e9b0d239d7f1ff91e84444@dizum.com>
Source record: 115244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:
>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:41:15 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet
Hairy Woger> wrote:
> : In article <hairy.woger.wo...@houston-hunks.com>
> : Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
> : blathered and fucks up again:
> :
> : > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul,
I
> : Cor. 11:14
> :
> : Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
> : faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
> : followers in the Bible all have long hair?
>
> Those are derived <more bullshit>

You never can get anything right, can you, dumbass?

>
> In actuality, it is highly probable that Jesus had short hair.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Yeah, barbers were so prevalent in his
day! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
What a dumbfuck you are, Saggytits. Get that much needed Rogaine
yet? Or are you going to get hair plugs?

Oh, and you'd better upgrade your research skills yourself.
According to http://www.barberpole.com/artof.htm, In Egypt, many
centuries before Christ, barbers were prosperous and highly
respected. The ancient monuments and papyrus show that the
Egyptians shaved their beards and their heads. The Egyptian
priests even went so far as to shave the entire body every third
day. At this time the barbers carried their tools in open-
mouthed baskets and their razors were shaped like small hatchets
and had curved handles. The Bible tells us that when Joseph was
summoned to appear before Pharaoh, a barber was sent for to
shave Joseph, so that Pharaoh's sight would not be offended by a
dirty face. Apparently Joseph looked like the picture you claim
is Roger's.
In Greece, barbers came into prominence as early as the fifth
century, BC. These wise men of Athens rivaled each other in the

excellence of their beards. Beard trimming became an art and barbers became leading citizens. Statesmen, poets and philosophers, who came to have their hair cut or their beards trimmed or curled and scented with costly essences, frequented their shops. And, incidentally, they came to discuss the news of the day, because the barber shops of ancient Greece were the headquarters for social, political, and sporting news. The importance of the tonsorial art in Greece may be gathered from the fact that a certain prominent Greek was defeated for office because his opponent had a more neatly trimmed beard.

In the third century, BC, the Macedonians under Alexander the Great began their conquest of Asia and lost several battles to the Persians who grabbed the Macedonians by their beards, pulled them to the ground and speared them. This resulted in a general order by Alexander that all soldiers be clean-shaven. The civilians followed the example of the soldiers and beards lost their vogue. Barbers were unknown in Rome until 296 BC, when Ticinius Mena came to Rome from Sicily and introduced shaving. Shaving soon became the fashion and the barber shop became the gathering place for the Roman dandies. No people were better patrons of the barbers than the Romans. They often devoted several hours each day to tonsorial operations, which included shaving, hair cutting, hairdressing, massaging, manicuring and the application of rare ointments and cosmetics of unknown formulas. The great ladies of Rome always had a hairdresser among their slaves and the rich nobles had private tonsors, as they were then called. Barbers were so highly prized that a statue was erected to the memory of the first barber of Rome.

When Hadrian became emperor, beards became the fashion again -- and for a very good reason. Hadrian had a face covered with warts and scars. He allowed his beard to grow to cover these blemishes. The people of Rome imitated the emperor and grew beards whether they needed them or not.

The fashion changed again to clean-shaven faces. We know that Caesar was clean-shaven. As we will see repeated in history many times, the leaders of the state were the leaders of fashion and the people were always ready to follow the prevailing styles. There are many passages in the Bible referring to the barber profession. Moses commanded that all who recovered from leprosy should be shaved. This was done as a health precaution, because throughout history the Jews have honored the beard as a badge of manhood. To this day, the orthodox Jews have little respect for clean-shaven men. During periods of mourning, the ancient Jews allowed their beards to go untrimmed, but ordinarily their beards were trimmed regularly. The prophet Ezekiel refers to an ancient custom in these words: "Take thou a barber's razor and cause it to pass upon thy head and upon thy beard." The razors of those days were made of flint and oyster

shells.

Looks like your claim that Jesus, who was Jewish, did indeed
have long hair and a beard.

><backpedals, unsubstantiated bullshit and excuses flushed>

That still doesn't change the fact Roger has short hair, dumbass!

BTW, Paul was a faggot just like Jesus.....who got what he
deserved on the cross.

Find that Marshall County WV ARES website yet, shit for brains?

1936 ARLINGTON AVE
COLUMBUS, OH 43212-1038
614-488-7752
--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

## 935. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 18:58:01 UTC
From: Larry <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AC981EB43D8noone@63.223.7.253>
Source record: 115334

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:WKudnUVgc9VcYEPfRVn-pw@comcast.com:

> Well we'll have to wait and see. Personally I predict a flurry of
> upgrades but no sustained growth.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

You can see the truth about ham radio at any hamfest.....dying of old age.

73 DE W4CSC

--
Larry

# 936. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 19:28:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123529313.927518.149930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115335

From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 23:42

>Dave:
>
>I don't agree with bush on a lot, but don't want to focus on running for
>president either. Wasn't really happy with some teachers my son had, but
>didn't want to go full-time academic either. I really don't like the way
>the garbage men handle the trash, but refrain from that line of work also...
>
>Really, make sense, drop the BS and out-right crap...
>
>John

Another small history lesson on the newsgroup for you, John:

About 7 or 8 years ago, Obersturmbandsfuhrer Heil stormed in here making like the Authoritative Elmer of all Elmers, spouting off about "CW" is way so much better than RTTY and illustrating that with his saving-the-day actions from Guinea-Bisseau in Africa for the Department of State, his employer at the time (in the "foreign service"). That was in the 1980s. He was then, as he is now, an Ultimate Authority on HF from his many many years as a ham (probably working a minimum of 8 hours a day on his ham job) and waded into the morse code testing arguments as Mister Morseman (a "foreign service" counterpart to "Captain Code").

Unfamiliar with this country of Guinea-Bisseau, I had to look it up. Found out it was NOT a prosperous country and that its chief export was cashew nuts. I stated that and Heil got very angry. [he was a "key employee" or something at State as a "communications officer"...blah blah blah] How dare *I* question ANY statements of Heil's! :-)

Heil got pissed and a half when I recounted the HF comms done by the U.S. Army of the 1950s...using mainly RTTY and TTY over (commercial format) SSB...NOT encountering these "bad conditions" where "only 'CW' would get through" (and saving-the-day). Heil tried to make the argument that "CW" was "necessary" and all that old snit. Heil stated that "my station" (taxpayer owned, actually) "NEVER WORKED 24/7!" Tsk, four operating teams very certainly worked the 3rd largest Army station in ACAN-STARCOM then, using about 40 transmitters shooting across the Pacific south-east-west from Tokyo, all around the clock. NO "CW" (manual morse code) used by my battalion that served the Headquarters for the Far East Command

then...none later...all on HF.

Heil committed some small gaffes in his rationalizations on what he wrote...specifically that the "CW" was needed to "synchronize" the RTTY schedules. Any TTY is automatically self-synchronizeable, has been since before WW2 times. Heil then "explained" that "synchronizing" meant schedule times and so forth. Odd that such wouldn't have been worked out beforehand in operating orders, common to everyone else.

Heil got most disturbed on my descriptions of the Army net being BIGGER than what State had (it was) and said "I didn't know anything about what State's radio had/did." Tsk, I did and already possessed a great deal of documentation obtained from Army sources and a few items of contractors supplying the U.S. government (the RCA "RACES" mass memory on mag cards, two of which were installed in DC at State's headquarters). Heil did not realize that some of the Department of State messages were actually carried on Army and Air Force communications circuits...more in Europe than in Asia. [I can identify the stations, the TTY ID, paths, and controlling hubs on all of ACAN-STARCOM from publicly-released information available before 1980, stuff that I have, obtained from a civilian engineer acquaintence who worked at "my" Army station]

Heil engages in a lot of Gamesmanship in here, frequently citing his many State assignments (Finland, several countries in Africa). He WAS DX to a lot of other hams, courtesy of the U.S. government and complementary callsigns given to "diplomatic" personnel of the USA. Problem is, Department of State radio is rather smaller than the U.S. military networks and the retirees from State's radio are a tiny percentage of "radio operators." Now the military networks' former members are also a small percentage...but they are larger than civil government "radio operators." The more vocal hams with previous military radio experience seem to come from the USN and those mostly from ship "radio room" assignments. Heil seems to be banking on his Department of State experience being rare, thus he can bullshit his way into posing as a Great Authority on What The Government Does In Radio among amateur radio hobbyists. Heil shows no sign of having worked IN the larger military radio communications networks during his military service...yet he implies knowing all about them. He knows little and all he can do is the BS implication that he does.

A shock to Heil must have been my appearance in here, an unlicensed-in-amateur-radio person who is no shrinking violet on opinions! Even worse, one who HAS documentary

proof to counter most of the total bullshit spouted by this great "radio expert." [three such documents posted on http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment] Perhaps he was disturbed that I didn't polish the boots of his surplus Wehrmacht costume from Western Casting? Could be.

Heil, like Robeson, vents a lot of anger in here, always trying to verbally thrash his "opponents" on a personal basis. SUBJECT be damned, he wants to "fight" on a one-to-one basis anyone who speaks against his opinions.

In the last half year Heil has whittled a schtick about my "not being a participant in ham radio" etc. and thinks that is some kind of psywar "weapon." It isn't. Contrary to Fearless Leader's instruction-commands, I didn't get a ham license FIRST "to show an interest in radio." The Army provided the opportunity to INCREASE my interest in radio (since 1947 along with lots of other interests) and I "disobeyed orders" by getting a Commercial First Phone in 1956 and then became an electronics design engineer. No, no, no, that was NOT the Order Of The Day...I should have dutifully learned morsemanship to become an amateur first according to Fearless Leader Heil. Screum.

USA 1st
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 937. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 19:32:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123529525.808153.198030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115336

From: Michael Coslo on Mon 8 Aug 2005 11:12

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm
>
>> "HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
>> What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
>> High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.
>
> The signals are at an HF rate. Does that make them HF?

Tsk, tsk. Haven't you been reading the ARRL Lab reports like
a good little ham?

Access BPL (Broadband over Power Lines) is ALL OVER HF and
dribbles over into low VHF. Hundreds, thousands of sideband
components at enough strength right next to your residence
to completely swamp your beloved HF receiver. If you have
BPL running through your neighborhood say goodbye to "working
the rare ones" with "CW"...even "CW" can't work-through all
that QRM.

[I really cannot believe you wrote what you did to display
such utter lack of knowledge about the subject after it has
received so much attention in here and on the FCC OET]

non com
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 938. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 19:33:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123529598.605126.32950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115337

From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 23:27

>Len:
>
>Phone lines are limited to roughly 38K by using the full audio bandwidth a
>phone line is filtered to, with the early compression techniques. 56K is
>obtained by improved data compression techniques.
>
>Any line capable of supporting transmission of these audio freaks can
>carry that much digital data (roughly +/-300hz to +/-5,000hz.

[small point of order, upper limit about 3.4 KHz, no huhu...]

Both AM and PM at discrete increments push at the upper limit
by using more increments (enabling more states) but that
increases the probability of error. The present "56K" standard
is a compromise. The combinatorial AM-PM makes throughput
greater than either AM or PM by themselves...not easily explained
in text, needs graphical plotting.

>DSL is obtained by pulling all the filters from the line, audio bandwidth
>is much expanded and much greater data can be crammed into that bandwidth,
>with even greater efficient compression techniques.

True, and the providers are able to cram more charges onto one's
bill for that...:-)

>Powerlines can support near/equal such bandwidths. With a bandwidth
>allowing freqs to climb into the LF RF freqs, tremendous data speeds are
>possible.... very localized interference to some rf freqs may be
>generated... this is now in a testing phase...

In theory the Access BPL (what the FCC now calls it) can do
roughly 50 to 100 MBPS on proposed systems. That's on par
with the downlink cable TV services providing the same thing.

The MAJOR problem with Access BPL running through one's
neighborhood is that those can kiss their HF receiver
sensitivities bye-bye. Most of the tested BPL systems raise
the sensitivity floor 30 db or more.

By the way, it would seem that the Office of Engineering
and Technology at the FCC is getting stiffer with the BPL
providers. Saw this in scanning the Federal Register
contents looking for a Notice on WT Docket 05-235...it isn't
all on the OET page at the FCC website. The techno people
may be "getting even" in a small way with the political people

at 455 12th St SW. :-)

>Why this is so misunderstood is beyond explanation, or perhaps it is only
>limited to the older generations, for some unknown reason--as any familiar
>with technical details of data transmission methods and protocols should
>know this, it is very basic stuff...

IRRELEVANT to the amateur radio publications' editors. Those guys have to bow to pressure from their front office people (publishers) and "make it simple" for the readers. They seem to be of the opinion that hams are JUST radio operators. Since the periodicals NEED advertisers to pay their way, they tend to kowtow towards the advertisers offering hardware.

"Sidebands" as a result of modulations go only so far as voice transmissions in the ham "textbooks." [operators don't need smarts on theory?] Publishers and editors don't shine spotlights much on anything but AM voice sidebands...which may be THEIR deficiency. Radio amateurs get their theory where they can and that is mostly from the periodicals. If that theory ain't in those issues it seldom gets to the ham ops' heads. The publisher decides what goes in them magazines.

You may not have seen the ham magazines of the 50s when single channel SSB voice was beginning to take off. There was great antipathy towards PHASING methods of modulation-demodulation of SSB. Hams weren't told about PHASE, didn't have the tools to see phase, few could afford 'scopes that had passbands beyond 5 MHz. It was EASIER to build filter SSB mod-demod even though it CO$T a lot more for those crystal filters. Less thinking involved. AM voice spectra was easier to understand and "brute-force" filtering to eliminate an unwanted sideband almost intuitive. Despite some good attempts at showing PHASING methods in the 50's magazines, readers and editors alike didn't like it. Even after Mike Gingell (UK ham, now living in USA) did his Polyphase Network PhD paper on a low-cost, easy-component-tolerance quadrature phase circuit, the U.S. periodicals didn't care for it. The RSGB did and showed How and showed what UK and Yurp hams were doing with it in the pages of Radio Communication. That was 30 years ago...but European hams are having fun with that Gingell polyphase network in homebrew SSB building.

When it comes to Spread Spectrum and the Discrete Sequence SS, forget trying to explain the sidebands generated to radio operators. That subject doesn't help them win contest points or "work the rare ones." ["we" hams don't use that snit!] Forget spectra pictures of any modulation other than AM with a pure sinewave modulation input. Agreed, FM or PM at various modulation indexes can get confusing...H-P (just before they changed name to Agilent) had a neat "movie" on varying the index and showing how the sideband content changed, was done on their

website but can't be done in printed magazines. FM broadcasters commonly calibrate their modulation indices by the "carrier null" method where, at a certain modulation index with a given frequency mod signal, the carrier goes to minimum power as seen on a narrowband detector. That's been happening for over 40 years, a well-known technique.

Nah, hams don't need all that (hack, ptui) THEORY. All they need is high-rate MORSEMANSHIP! to be extra-super-special. Screum.

bit off
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 939. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 19:47:49 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd8ct5$1778$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115338

Mike Coslo wrote:

<a bunch of snippage>

> I have to note that we speak of actual systems, real world devices -
> some of which are yet to be made of course, but practicalities (granted
> you much more than myself - but I am an RF neophyte, having come from
> the digital world)

I have to restart this sentence to make it legible. Forgive me, things have been interesting lately.

The systems you and I speak of are arguably buildable. There is solid theory behind them.

> Others seem to be more in the vein of "so there! or someone is going
> to come along and prove you naysayers wrong!" mode.

Which is to say they are arguable only by people who just want to argue.

> Faith based electronics.
>
> I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along?
> You know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a
> few feet long that outperform anything we have today.
> The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor
> powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word
> efficient, *they* did.

Oy oy oy

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 940. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 19:49:07 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd8cvi$1778$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115340

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Michael Coslo on Mon 8 Aug 2005 11:12
>
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on Aug 7, 2:53 pm
>>
>>> "HF will never be the place for high-speed transmission?"
>>> What do you "extra experts" think BPL is basically? Clue:
>>> High-speed data transmission, most of it on HF.
>>
>> The signals are at an HF rate. Does that make them HF?
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. Haven't you been reading the ARRL Lab reports like
> a good little ham?
>
> Access BPL (Broadband over Power Lines) is ALL OVER HF and
> dribbles over into low VHF. Hundreds, thousands of sideband
> components at enough strength right next to your residence
> to completely swamp your beloved HF receiver. If you have
> BPL running through your neighborhood say goodbye to "working
> the rare ones" with "CW"...even "CW" can't work-through all
> that QRM.
>
> [I really cannot believe you wrote what you did to display
> such utter lack of knowledge about the subject after it has
> received so much attention in here and on the FCC OET]

Is static HF? Is lightning HF?

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 941. Re: strange thing from the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 19:50:25 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dd8d21$1778$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115339

an_old_friend wrote:

> boink wrote:
>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1123466599.187143.69520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>last month or was it late June I received an "invitation" to ARRl to
>>>rejoin. Today I went thought the yesterday's mail, and find another
>>>invatation different text but again asking me to rejoin
>>>
>>
>>They occasianally blow a couple grand on mass
>>maillings to try and get hams to join up. Likely they
>>get the names from the warranty cards hams send
>>in when they buy a new radio or the prize raffles
>>from the larger hamfests, what few they're are left
>>around that is. I can recall many years ago a certain large
>>hamfest down south selling their hamfest attendence
>>and ticket database to em for some extra $$$. This practice
>>is now discontinued. Just toss it in the trash or use it
>>o start the woodstove going come this winter.
>
>
> thank you for the enlightenment, I guess it is fitting reward from Icom
> for buying that 910H
>
> I have a large stack of such mail It will become part of the
> expereiment to see i my den/hamshack can be kept warm with nothing but
> junk mail this winter

Make sure you print it first, Mark! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 942. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 19:52:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pKOJe.55$4d3.1839262@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 115341

Dave:

You "points" have meaning only to yourself, enjoy them...
Whatever you attempt to do, do it, we must carry on here, try not to get in the way...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>
> I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your
> claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen
> names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something
> new.
>
>> nor do I care,
>> your banter becomes taxing...
>
> If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
> disjointed stuff from this side.
>
>> I have not only had the chance to see the
>> text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
>> denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>
> You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
> amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>
>> however, I have formed an opinion
>> of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>
> Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
> dismissal.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 06:24:56 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>

>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave:
>>>>
>>>>Cut the BS. You don't like me.
>>>
>>>I don't like you? I have no idea who or what you are. Right now, you're
>>>simply a nameless, faceless entity whose rantings often make him appear
>>>to be drinking or heavily medicated.
>>>
>>>
>>>> You seek to discredit and make ground
>>>>though character assassination and any other dirty trick you learned in
>>>>grade school... no one cares... not even me... stay there if you wish...
>>>
>>>How can I possibly discredit he who does not exist?
>>>
>>>
>>>>I cannot fathom what you get from such, or what rewards such behavior
>>>>has
>>>>paid you in the past, but then, all depends on what you are after I
>>>>suppose. Frankly, it is just my nature to hope you enjoy it, whatever
>>>>it
>>>>may do for you...
>>>
>>>My statement about your not being a Ben Franklin--utterly heartfelt.
>>>
>>>My statement asking about your being an actor--quite sincere.
>>>
>>>The bit about my not purchasing a rose plant of the variety "John Smith"?
>>>That's likely true. It would have to be one beautiful rose.
>>>
>>>The part about my not reading books by anonymous individuals attempting
>>>to present something as factual--you have my actual view.
>>>
>>>That bit about a town meeting? Why, "John", that's the way it works.
>>>Nobody jumps up anonymously and present material to the council.
>>>
>>>My correction of your blurb about voting? Take it to the bank.
>>>
>>>My refutation of your claim about not being able to attack you because
>>>you haven't a face or a name? Spot on.
>>>
>>>My statement questioning your use of numbers and makeup of amateur radio
>>>and your claims about the ARRL? They represent very real concerns I have
>>>with anonymous trolls who make unsubstantiated claims.
>>>
>>>So which B.S. is left to cut?
>>>

>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>>On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 05:57:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Your ideas are bit strange, you probably didn't like old ben franklin
>>>>>>when
>>>>>>he assumed a pen name.
>>>>>
>>>>>I've read Ben Franklin. You're no Ben Franklin.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps you refuse to read books when the author
>>>>>>has adopted a pen name?
>>>>>
>>>>>If he claims to speak "the truth" then, no. Have you written books as
>>>>>"John Smith"?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps you despise actors for adopting a stage
>>>>>>name?
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm fine with actors. I've watched Alec Baldwin in movies and don't
>>>>>have a problem with him *as an actor*. When Alec Baldwin pontificates
>>>>>on politics, I don't give him any credence. Are you the actor "John
>>>>>Smith"?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps your appreciation of a rose is solely based upon its' name,
>>>>>>and "by another name, a rose is NOT a rose?"
>>>>>
>>>>>You may have a point. I'm not likely to purchase a rose bush of the
>>>>>variety "John Smith".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Funny, it is principals and NOT personalities which have the true
>>>>>>merit

>>>>>>and worth--you seem to lack ability to grasp that concept...
>>>>>
>>>>>Your recent stuff reads like the rantings of homeless folks I've
>>>>>encountered in New York. There is little of principal and little of
>>>>>fact. Actually, there's been little of your stuff in which true merit
>>>>>and worth has been addressed or established.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>a good old
>>>>>>buddies club where you only accept argument when it comes from a name
>>>>>>you
>>>>>>recognize is not available to you always, let me see, in real life
>>>>>>those individuals who assign worth of an idea based on the persons
>>>>>>identity whose idea it is, is called, in slang mind you, a "Kiss Ass"
>>>>>>or "Brown Noser"... you are lost without knowing the identity behind
>>>>>>the idea!
>>>>>
>>>>>Not so, "John Smith". If I'm present at a town council meeting, folks
>>>>>are free to state their opinions. They have faces and they give their
>>>>>names. No pseudonyms are permitted and no one stands behind a velvet
>>>>>drape to present his views.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps the voting system of the USA is found in fault with
>>>>>>you--it seems to guarantee anonymity... you seem to need a face and a
>>>>>>character to attack--character assassination is your forte!
>>>>>
>>>>>You can't walk into any polling place, unregistered and vote. Your
>>>>>identity is known and you've previously presented credentials which
>>>>>establish your right to vote. What is not known on election day is
>>>>>*how you voted*.
>>>>>
>>>>>You don't have a face nor any identifiable character to attack and here
>>>>>I am attacking your statements. Go figure!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>You seem to avoid argument on only an ideas worth, resorting to
>>>>>>attacking thin air in an effort to confuse the unwitting... well, some
>>>>>>just must proceed with the handicaps the world, God and nature assigns
>>>>>>them, or they choose to shackle themselves with... strange though,
>>>>>>how
>>>>>>you choose to make yourself a prime example of the close minded "good
>>>>>>ole
>>>>>>boys" I mention, it almost makes me think you get the idea on some
>>>>>>unconscious level and act out emotionally (but in text form) on what
>>>>>>is
>>>>>>presented--strange...

>>>>>
>>>>>Your recent rantings present wild numbers and unverified percentages of
>>>>>individuals within amateur radio. You've made some unsubstantiated
>>>>>claims about the ARRL and about currently licensed radio amateurs. I'm
>>>>>not going to let you get by with that unchallenged. It is quite easy
>>>>>to hide behind your sofa and make pathetic claims as an anonymous
>>>>>writer.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>John
>>>>>>
>>>>>>On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 03:38:08 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Len:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John
>>>>>>>>Smith"
>>>>>>>>to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will
>>>>>>>>live on.
>>>>>>>>This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the
>>>>>>>>next
>>>>>>>>life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yep, what we need is more men who hide behind a pseudonym rather than
>>>>>>>openly standing by their convictions. As "John Smith", you can post
>>>>>>>your views without any personal repercussions--and you can check into
>>>>>>>a cheap motel with some floozy.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>

# 943. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 20:50:40 UTC
From: Mr George <George@fuckyou.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96ACA12DA4936georgefuckyoucom@63.223.7.253>
Source record: 115342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote in
news:h6ydnZ2dnZ2X-Y_6nZ2dnQVba9-dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com:

> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>>
>>
>>
>> <SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
>> Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually
>> that ignorant are you?
>
> Actually he is.
>

What the hell are Commander Asshole? Nothing but a self rightous asshole.

Mr.George

Be Happy:)

## 944. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 20:56:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123534579.456021.70400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115343

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 23:27
>
> >Len:
cut
> "Sidebands" as a result of modulations go only so far as voice
> transmissions in the ham "textbooks." [operators don't need
> smarts on theory?] Publishers and editors don't shine
> spotlights much on anything but AM voice sidebands...which may
> be THEIR deficiency. Radio amateurs get their theory where
> they can and that is mostly from the periodicals. If that
> theory ain't in those issues it seldom gets to the ham ops'
> heads. The publisher decides what goes in them magazines.
>
> You may not have seen the ham magazines of the 50s when
> single channel SSB voice was beginning to take off. There
> was great antipathy towards PHASING methods of modulation-
> demodulation of SSB. Hams weren't told about PHASE, didn't
> have the tools to see phase, few could afford 'scopes that
> had passbands beyond 5 MHz. It was EASIER to build filter
> SSB mod-demod even though it CO$T a lot more for those crystal
> filters. Less thinking involved. AM voice spectra was
> easier to understand and "brute-force" filtering to eliminate
> an unwanted sideband almost intuitive. Despite some good
> attempts at showing PHASING methods in the 50's magazines,
> readers and editors alike didn't like it. Even after Mike
> Gingell (UK ham, now living in USA) did his Polyphase Network
> PhD paper on a low-cost, easy-component-tolerance quadrature
> phase circuit, the U.S. periodicals didn't care for it. The
> RSGB did and showed How and showed what UK and Yurp hams
> were doing with it in the pages of Radio Communication. That
> was 30 years ago...but European hams are having fun with that
> Gingell polyphase network in homebrew SSB building.
cut

Sorry to butt in, but a bit confused here from the only famialr with
AM voice sidebands ad the talk of phasing sidebands are you refering to
a compatable system (that is can the brute force filtered SSb uint talk
to the phasing unit or are you discuing to different and Incompatable
systems (obviosuly if they were incompatable inerta is decent reason to
keep one over the other, after it has ekpt morse in place a LOT longer)

I migt as well learn something, and I am not afraid to admit the limits
of my knowledge that is of course the first step in increasing it

## 945. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 21:20:41 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <J0QJe.5281$ns.3194@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115344

>>
>>
>> Gee, Len, BPL isn't supposed to be radio at all. Fill us in on the
>> various intended BPL wireless propagation media. Those power lines
>> are intended to be antennas?

Maybe BPL is the answer to hams who can't put up HF
antennas? :-) CQ BPL CQ BPL CQ BPL...
>
>
> I wonder why they have to have wireless routers and receivers (what
> frequency do they operate at?...)

Around 2.4GHz or elsewhere in the microwave band.

> Seems like if it is HF already, we
> shouldn't have to use them. I can see a little HF receiver hooked to my
> computer, and make a little antenna from what would ordinarily go into
> my Ethernet port. That should work, shouldn't it?
>

# 946. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 21:46:35 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <%oQJe.2643$RZ2.1578@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115345

John Smith wrote:

> Len:
>
> Phone lines are limited to roughly 38K by using the full audio bandwidth a
> phone line is filtered to, with the early compression techniques. 56K is
> obtained by improved data compression techniques.
>
> Any line capable of supporting transmission of these audio freaks can
> carry that much digital data (roughly +/-300hz to +/-5,000hz.
>
> DSL is obtained by pulling all the filters from the line, audio bandwidth
> is much expanded and much greater data can be crammed into that bandwidth,
> with even greater efficient compression techniques.
>
> Powerlines can support near/equal such bandwidths. With a bandwidth
> allowing freqs to climb into the LF RF freqs, tremendous data speeds are
> possible.... very localized interference to some rf freqs may be
> generated... this is now in a testing phase...
>
> Why this is so misunderstood is beyond explanation, or perhaps it is only
> limited to the older generations, for some unknown reason--as any familiar
> with technical details of data transmission methods and protocols should
> know this, it is very basic stuff...
>

Only thing is that a DSL connection has a dedicated twisted pair
of wires, but BPL you have to share with everyone else in town.
Like cable modems, though the cable company cuts up their network so
only a handful of users share. With BPL the entire towns' users
have to share one channel. Now if you're the only user in town,
you got it made (unless a ham fires up his linear...)

# 947. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:11:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zMQJe.5310$ns.4650@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Trying to reason with Toad is like talking to a rock.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123463629.507252.18720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >BWAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAA....you seriously expect the FCC to answer a question
> >from
>>YOU?
>
> Well you dumb redneck, either they will or the court of appeals will
> tell too.
>
>>FCC responds to complaints. The issue is K1MAN, not W1AW. If you got a
>>problem with W1AW, send in a complaint. If you got the gonads that is.
>
> Moron, W1AW does the same thing, it is funny that ALL THE MORONS in
> amateur radio complain about K1MAN, and not W1AW.
>
>><SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
>>Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually that
>>ignorant are you?
>
> And what dumbass you are, Amateur radio is NON-COMMERCIAL, but
> NON-COMMERCIAL IN ALL THE OTHER SERVICE CAN MAKE MONEY, BUT ONLY ENOUGH
> TO SUBSTAIN THE STATION. Commercial radio can make a profit, read the
> rules you freaking moron.
>
>>The FCC has answered that question. It is UP TO THE CONTROL OPERATOR.
>
> yeah but the NAL seems to me is an attempt by the FCC to control the
> content. Simply because the definitions of an information bulletin and
> broadcasting is very vague.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

# 948. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:12:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <INQJe.5312$ns.1460@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:h6ydnZ2dnZ2X-Y_6nZ2dnQVba9-dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>>
>>
>>
>> <SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
>> Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually that
>> ignorant are you?
>
> Actually he is.

You know something? I believe your right.

Dan/W4NTI

## 949. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:16:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <uRQJe.5314$ns.2187@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115348

The only filtering on our HF systems was the crystal filters in the IF's and
my ears.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.07.22.38.17.900606@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> Too bad the americans had not developed HS Digital Checksum Error
> Corrected Voice Transmission Packet methods over spread spectrum... could
> have filtered the CW audio and went right on, would have pi$$ed 'em off in
> style! Oh well, too late for back then, but today we can!
>
> John
>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 22:27:35 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> Of course, but Communism is done, right??? hi hi.
>>
>> Russia has NOT dropped Morse. Nor has most of the ex Eastern Block
>> countries.
>>
>> Years ago when I was in Germany in the US Army it was decided to fire
>> back
>> up on CW training. The reason was because the Ruskies were having a
>> field
>> day trashing our HF nets. We were on AM/SSB/RATT (RTTY), and they would
>> get close, or right on top of them on CW.
>>
>> It was a total flop because hardly anyone in the US Military new Morse
>> well
>> enough to copy it.
>>
>> Point is this. The Russians and their close neighbors are smart enough
>> to
>> realize Morse is a worth while mode and will continue its use.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.06.00.41.08.799185@gmail.com...
>>> Dan:
>>>
>>> What communist counties do is almost always in the interest of the

>>> shadow
>>> gov't really running the country. That is supposed to be surprising?
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Sat, 06 Aug 2005 00:06:36 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>>>> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>>>> have
>>>> all decided to keep CW.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:8JRIe.97$hq7.1278027@news.sisna.com...
>>>>> Dave:
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, there is debate and argument for good and reason, and then there
>>>>> is
>>>>> not...
>>>>>
>>>>> Then there is religious devotion to a test which serves only a select
>>>>> few...
>>>>>
>>>>> It would be interesting if the powers that be were to decide in
>>>>> keeping
>>>>> CW--and then explain why they alone in the world community made that
>>>>> decision, frankly, I would be happy not to have that task...
>>>>>
>>>>> There are plentiful examples of insanity in this world... that old
>>>>> book
>>>>> which bears the title something like "The Emperor Wore No Clothes" is
>>>>> as
>>>>> meaningful today as the day it was written...
>>>>>
>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:H7RIe.1646$Wi6.463@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>> N2EY:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Nice attempt at "spin doctoring" for the weak minded...
>>>>>>> It is like fishing, you bait your hook, toss it in the water and see
>>>>>>> what
>>>>>>> bites...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> John
>>>>>>

>>>>>> At least that's how you do it, "John".
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave K8MN
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 09:36:54 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Here the NCI offers proof and spells it out, just in case these old
>>>>>>>>>key
>>>>>>>>>tappers are in danger of pulling some wool over your eyes...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>http://www.nocode.org/articles/filter.html
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>As some have noted in the past, "There are liars, and there are
>>>>>>>>>DAMN
>>>>>>>>>LIARS!"
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Omission of relevant facts can be a form of lying.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Here's the whole story:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I read that bit of W5YI propaganda, and also the original articles
>>>>>>>>>in
>>>>>>>>>"200 Meters And Down" and the QSTs of the time.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>(have you done so?)
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>The referenced article does not give all the relevant facts.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>For one thing, the article claims that "higher speed" code testing
>>>>>>>>>has
>>>>>>>>>been
>>>>>>>>>used to "limit the number of hams since the very beginning of ham
>>>>>>>>>licensing".
>>>>>>>>>The fact is that all US hams were licensed in the US by 1912, 24
>>>>>>>>>years
>>>>>>>>>before the 1936 happenings cited. The code test speed after 1919 was
>>>>>>>>>10
>>>>>>>>>wpm, and the 1936 increase to 13 wpm - hardly a quantum leap.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>To get a clear picture of what was actually happening, it is
>>>>>>>>>important
>>>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>>>understand what ham radio was like back in those days. After WW1,
>>>>>>>>>ham
>>>>>>>>>radio
>>>>>>>>>almost ceased to exist. It was brought back to life by the dedicated

>>>>>>>>efforts
>>>>>>>>of a few enthusiasts.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Amateur radio was not even recognized by international treaty until
>>>>>>>>1927. The
>>>>>>>>1927 treaty resulted in stricter new rules and much-narrowed bands.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>By 1929 there were about 16,000 hams in the US. Almost
>>>>>>>>all of them were on the 160, 80, 40, and 20 meter bands. A typical
>>>>>>>>ham
>>>>>>>>transmitter was a self-controlled power oscillator, and a typical
>>>>>>>>ham
>>>>>>>>receiver
>>>>>>>>was a three tube regenerative. Sure, more advanced techniques
>>>>>>>>existed,
>>>>>>>>but few
>>>>>>>>hams could afford them in thos Great Depression years.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Code skill was important in almost all radio services. 10 wpm was
>>>>>>>>not
>>>>>>>>considered as anything like professional level - 25 or 30 wpm was
>>>>>>>>more
>>>>>>>>like it. (This was with semiautomatic keys for sending and manual
>>>>>>>>typewriters for
>>>>>>>>highspeed copy).
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>1929 saw two big changes to ham radio. The treaties signed in 1927
>>>>>>>>came
>>>>>>>>into
>>>>>>>>effect, which cut deeply into the 40 and 20 meter hambands (70% of
>>>>>>>>40
>>>>>>>>was lost, and 80% of 20). The treaties also required much cleaner
>>>>>>>>signals from ham rigs. The Great Depression followed soon
>>>>>>>>afterwards.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>But the Depression and the new regs had a surprising effect on ham
>>>>>>>>radio. The
>>>>>>>>number of hams took a sharp upturn in the early thirties. By 1935
>>>>>>>>there
>>>>>>>>were
>>>>>>>>over 46,000 hams - almost TRIPLING the number of just five years
>>>>>>>>earlier! But the turnover in amateur radio was approaching 40% per
>>>>>>>>year.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>This meant that most hams were raw newcomers, with relatively little
>>>>>>>>technical
>>>>>>>>knowledge or operating skills. A ham with 5 years on the air was a
>>>>>>>>veteran, one with 10 years was a grizzled old timer. Problems of
>>>>>>>>interference and crowding abounded. Complaints from other services
>>>>>>>>threatened the existence of ham radio.

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The problem was that thousands of newcomers were learning just
>>>>>>>>enough
>>>>>>>>to pass
>>>>>>>>the tests, assembling simple stations with little understanding of
>>>>>>>>proper
>>>>>>>>design, adjustment, or operation, and putting them on the air. Many
>>>>>>>>of
>>>>>>>>these
>>>>>>>>newcomers lost interest quickly, particularly when the limitations
>>>>>>>>of
>>>>>>>>their
>>>>>>>>knowledge and skills became apparent. The newly formed FCC was
>>>>>>>>concerned, as
>>>>>>>>was the ARRL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The action proposed by the ARRL to the FCC was in two parts: Raise
>>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>>code
>>>>>>>>speed SLIGHTLY, (10 to 12-1/2 wpm) and make the written test more
>>>>>>>>comprehensive. The changes to the written tests are all but ignored
>>>>>>>>by
>>>>>>>>the NCI
>>>>>>>>article.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The goal was NOT to limit the total number of hams, nor to hinder or
>>>>>>>>deter anyone from getting a license, but to control the flood of
>>>>>>>>newcomers, and make sure that the new folks had the necessary skills
>>>>>>>>and knowledge.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Look at the complete picture, and the action of the FCC in 1936
>>>>>>>>makes
>>>>>>>>sense.
>>>>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>

# 950. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:30:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <b2RJe.2760$Je.1344@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115349

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1123462638.669760.279080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> The only thing WRONG with this back-and-forth is Dan's claim
> of Disability from Vietnam. The Vietnam War ended in 1975,
> THIRTY YEARS AGO. Had he been in communications with the
> military in Yurp "after" that, he wouldn't have any "disability"
> since he would be on active duty. If Dan Jeswald got out of
> the military DUE to warfare in Vietnam, then his personal
> experience from Yurp military exercises is THIRTY YEARS OLD.
>
<cut and paste snipped>

Lennie,

If you actually knew what you think you know then you would indeed be a dangerous man.

What your actually are is a pitiful excuse
for a human being. One who lives in the paste and because of his inability to learn Morse code has decided to take it out on those that could, and did.

You know absolutely nothing about my military situation, other than the easily obtained information such as dates of service. I'll save you the trouble. Perhaps you can figure it out. If not I'll be glad to help.

June 1964 to June 1968 USAF
April 1971 to Dec 1979 US ARMY

> Had he been in communications with the
> military in Yurp "after" that, he wouldn't have any "disability"
> since he would be on active duty.
>

I passed my Army physical in 1971, passed Army basic at Ft. Knox in 1971 and was assigned to Hanau, Germany. I came stateside for a tour at Ft. McClellan then back to Germany again. Fulda this time with the 11th Armored Cavalary.

From 1972 until I left service I was on medical profile. I ELECTED to get out and went to the VA and received 50%, later upgraded to the present 100%.

Your ignorance is glowing Lennie, only overshadowed by your stupidity.

Dan/W4NTI

## 951. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:41:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ccRJe.2765$Je.1953@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115200

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:RIGdndBPyJGnM2vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123353658.637690.155660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>>Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>>>>would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>>>>have
>>>>all decided to keep CW.
>>>
>>>and you WANT the US to keep such company
>>>
>>
>> Absolutely I want us to keep such company.
>>
>> Hows the old saying go? Keep your enemies close and your friends closer.
>
> It the other way around, Dan: Keep your friends close, and your enemies
> closer.
>
> But otherwise you are spot-on.
>
> Ideologies aside, there is one big difference between their country and
> ours. During WWII, they were brought to the brink of existence, and
> survival was not at all certain. That has perhaps changed their outlook on
> communications in extremis.
>
> Here, we "know" that in emergencies that we will use cell phones and the
> Internet, and all will be well. For some reason or other, they think that
> perhaps a time will come when technology can fail. Then whatchya got?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Naw, I like it my way...hi.

That is my point Mike. Just because it is old....does not make it useless.
I believe in the KISS method. And CW, in emergencies, is the easiest and
simplest thing to get running.

No modems, no regulated power supplies, no computers. Just the basic stuff.

I was asked once by my Battalion commander while in the field in Germany. ( I was talking to the Feldburg 2m ham repeater while standing on top of the Command track). He asked how I could communicate with Frankfurt, and all of his radios could not......I said...."Well Sir, it takes two things to communicate.....an operator on both ends"

He ordered me to take my H/T every time we went to the field from then on.

Dan/W4NTI

## 952. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:41:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <McRJe.2766$Je.374@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115201

I still like it my way.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:S76dnfUIndTHJWvfRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:QWvJe.4742$ns.2675@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123353658.637690.155660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> Minor correction "John Smith". If the USA decided not to drop CW, it
>>>> would NOT be alone in world. Russia and her ex Soviet Block nations
>>>> have
>>>> all decided to keep CW.
>>>
>>> and you WANT the US to keep such company
>>>>
>>
>> Absolutely I want us to keep such company.
>>
>> Hows the old saying go? Keep your enemies close and your friends closer.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Keep your friends close and your enemies closer.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

## 953. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:43:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123541024.894177.156750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Redneck Dan said
>You know something? I believe your right.

and I believe the two of you are morons

Todd N9OGL

## 954. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:49:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <wjRJe.2667$RZ2.352@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115203

"Larry" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96AC981EB43D8noone@63.223.7.253...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:WKudnUVgc9VcYEPfRVn-pw@comcast.com:
>
>> Well we'll have to wait and see. Personally I predict a flurry of
>> upgrades but no sustained growth.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> You can see the truth about ham radio at any hamfest.....dying of old age.
>
> 73 DE W4CSC
>
> --
> Larry

So what? The whole country is getting older. After the baby boomers go
away the whole country will be hispanic.

Dan/W4NTI

# 955. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:56:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hqRJe.2672$RZ2.1306@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115204

What, in your opinion, constitutes a "old timer". How, exactly are these
"old timers" contributing to the demise of Amateur Radio?

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.08.01.31.36.624783@gmail.com...
> To all:
>
> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
> in step with my beliefs.
>
> However, somethings I believe are:
>
> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than
> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone,
> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
> above.
>
> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are
> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
>
> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>
> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
> stages of a massive change.
>
> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
>
> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly

> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>
> I am a believer...
>
> John
>

# 956. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 22:57:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <RrRJe.2674$RZ2.1928@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115205

Are you "cbrambo" ?

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.07.23.44.55.643996@gmail.com...
> Len:
>
> As long as evil exists in the world, there will always be a "John Smith"
> to oppose it--a thousand deaths and the spirit of John Smith will live on.
> This man is invincible and immortal, death is only a tunnel to the next
> life, and to pick up the fight of evil men once again!
>
> We stand at a unique time in history. The "Cult of the arrl" has fallen,
> yet refuses to die an easy death. The ancient cloistered walls of blood
> sucking amateurs is being thrown open to air the uncounted ancient pharts
> which have polluted these halls. The evil deeds which have been done here
> haunt the walls like insane spirits of ill will and dangerous plans.
>
> The candle of progress threatens to cast light into even the darkest
> corners of this ancient monastery. Those ancient ones (amateurs) who have
> halted progress and kept radio as their personal "Moose Lodge" will have
> their names despised and stricken from the records as a movement on the
> scale of a "Biblical Event" slays the evil spirits who have brought radio
> to its knees and sucked the blood from it veins. The stake is poised over
> these black hearts of these evil men and the mallet begins its deadly
> plunge towards its target...
>
> A bright day is coming, "God Bless Amateur Radio!" We move towards the
> day when extras and chicken banders will live in harmony!
>
> Those brave men who still exist move to pick up their light-sabers and
> enter the fray....
>
> On the 'morrow we pray the battlefield be littered and deep with the
> bodies of our enemies...
>
> Luke Skywalker --AKA-- John Smith
>
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 15:47:28 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>
>> From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 10:31
>>
>>>Len:
>>>

>>>With each passing year mother nature war on the ancient brass pounders
>>>continues. This story has been told in many different countries in many
>>>different forms, here we are probably most familiar with "The Rabbit and
>>>the Hare."
>>>
>>>Slow and steady stay the course, in the end progress and determination
>>>succeed...
>>
>> Thanks for a mediocre Obi Wan Kenobi imitation. <sigh>
>>
>> Problem is, I ain't Luke Skywalker...but all the pro-coders
>> think they are Dearth Voder.
>>
>> Tsk. I was giving you only a little insight how things
>> were IN HERE about 6 to 7 years ago. Was no "John Smith"
>> in here then.
>>
>> obi wan
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

## 957. A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:06:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <XzRJe.2678$RZ2.712@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115206

Dear Mr. Baxter,

References to emergency work you allegedly performed 15 years ago are irrelevant to the present complaint # E05 003, now before the Maine Board of Professional Engineers.

Mr. Walter Cronkite (The Most Trusted Man in America) has stated bluntly that he has personal experience with your lack of ethics. He does not stand alone in this regard.

Section 14 of the MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CODE OF ETHICS states:

"Each registrant is charged with the responsibility of adhering to standards of highest ethical and moral conduct in all aspects of practice of Professional Engineering."

Robert J. Stolt alleged that your employer terminated you from employment when you repeatedly acted in a threatening manner to your supervisor and to other employees at the job site. You will recall that in February, 2005, the Maine Supreme Court upheld Mr. Stolt's privilege to make that statement.

Since you were employed as an Engineer at the time of your firing, Mr. Stolt's statement appears to be highly relevant to the Maine Professional Engineer's Code of Ethics.

Section 14 of the MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CODE OF ETHICS also states:

"Registrants shall recognize their responsibility to the public and shall represent themselves before the public only in an objective and truthful manner."

You have stated repeatedly that the FCC's Chief Enforcement Officer for Amateur Radio is "a cheap and corrupt politician" and a "moron" and you have also referred to him as the "Gestapo Chief for Amateur Radio." You also referred to over 100 of your peers as "Nazi's" and "Brown Shirts." These statements also appear to be relevant to the Code of Ethics.

Section 14 of the MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CODE OF ETHICS also states:

"Registrants shall not attempt to injure, maliciously or falsely, directly or indirectly, the professional reputation, prospects, practice or employment of other registrants nor indiscriminately criticize other registrants' work."

When you referred to W. Lee McVey, PE as a member of the Nazi party, he apparently interpreted your statement to be derogatory and defaming. He stated, "I consider such postings as a serious attack of me personally... meant to demean and detract from my personal and professional credentials."

Your statement about Mr. McVey also appears to be relevant to the Code of Ethics.

If the Maine Board of Professional Engineers retains you as a member of their organization, after being advised of your activities, that's something they will have to live with. However, I think your behavior is a poor reflection on Professional Engineers everywhere. Therefore, I hope they protect their organization's reputation by disassociating themselves from you at their earliest opportunity.

Sincerely,

Brian Crow

>From: K1MAN14275@aol.com
>Subject: K1MAN Bulletin - 050808A -
>Date: Mon, 8 Aug 2005 09:24:32 EDT
>
>
>8 August 2005
>
>Re: Brian L. Crow (K3VR) Complaint # E05 003
>
>Warren T. Foster, P.E.
>Executive Director
>Maine Board of Registration for Professional Engineers
>92 State House Station
>Augusta, Maine 04333-0092
>
>Dear Mr. Foster:
>
>This is in reply to your letter to me dated 3 August 2005. I find
>it quite interesting that John F. Kennedy once threatened to use his
>influence with the FCC against Walter Cronkite and CBS, and now Walter
>is trying to use his influence with the FCC against me and my Amateur
>Radio Station K1MAN. (See www.K1MAN.com) None of the issues
>raised in the Crow "Complaint," however, have anything to do with the
>practice of Professional Engineering in Maine.
>
>My daily short wave radio program has been heard around the world for
>eighteen years. Despite their professional jealousy, the FCC has no
>legal grounds to stop it, and I have been trying to get this matter
>into federal court with the Commission, without success, since 1990.
>My International Amateur Radio Network (IARN) has been the world
>leader in amateur radio emergency communication since the 1985 Mexico
>City earthquake. The State of Maine Legislature officially
>recognized IARN on 13 March 1990. The official declaration reads:
>
> "Be it known to all that We, the Members of the Senate and
> House of Representatives join in recognizing Glenn Baxter,
> of Belgrade Lakes who, as a coordinator and ham radio

> operator for the International Amateur Radio Network and
> International Amateur Radio Network Peace Corps, has
> served humankind through his noble efforts to facilitate
> international communication for the disaster stricken
> areas of the world;
>
> And be it ordered that this official expression of
> sentiment be sent forthwith on behalf of the Legislature
> and the people of the State of Maine."
>
> * * * * * * * * * * *
>
>For this international amateur radio emergency communications work, I
>was also named as a Distinguished Alumnus of Vermont Academy on 1
>October 1993.
>
>Again, none of these matters have anything whatsoever to do with the
>practice of Professional Engineering in the State of Maine.
>
>
>
>
>
>(signed)
>Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
>
>
>
>cc: Walter Cronkite
>
> CBS 60 Minutes
>
> World wide distribution
>
>

# 958. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:22:22 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <dd8pdh$fch$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It is an interesting site to say the least. I don't understand why half of the comments are green though.
Why is that?

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message news:secwet.woger.gwoes.a.pinocchio.nose@houston-hunks.com...
On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 06:46:46 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:
:
: That still doesn't change the fact Roger has short hair, dumbass!
:

Exhibit one is the photo which Woger first posted to Yahoo's singles group. It is currently available at
http://img252.imageshack.us/img252/9323/ab8mq08030cb.jpg

A small version can also be found at
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/forum.php?board=10

Clearly, everyone on Usenet and on the web agree that that's a photo of Woger, and that Woger had long hair at the time the photo was taken.

The little, animated man which runs across the top of the Nimbuster's web page and pees on Woger's photo is probably not due to Woger's popularity with his peers.

Indeed he *is* like a slinky ...

==========
"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

==========
"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id <737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>

==========
"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled

his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

==========
In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>, Secwet Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim: "If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and 'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

# 959. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:30:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <M96dnXrLwrqFdmrfRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115208

robert casey wrote:
>
>>>
>>>
>>> Gee, Len, BPL isn't supposed to be radio at all. Fill us in on the
>>> various intended BPL wireless propagation media. Those power lines
>>> are intended to be antennas?
>
>
> Maybe BPL is the answer to hams who can't put up HF
> antennas? :-) CQ BPL CQ BPL CQ BPL...

Isn't that what a lot of the newsgroup is doing now? hehe

>>
>>
>> I wonder why they have to have wireless routers and receivers
>> (what frequency do they operate at?...)
>
>
> Around 2.4GHz or elsewhere in the microwave band.

Kee-rect! Now I wonder why they don't put them at some other frequency,
such as HF? Isn't there a HF segment somewhere around 27 MHz or so where
they used to have cordless phones? Why not use that? I don't think there
are any phones there now.

Then let us modulate say a 1.8 MHz signal with say a 30 MHz signal.

Aww heck. Why don't we use a quarter wave dipole for the antenna on
this beast! ;^)

>> Seems like if it is HF already, we shouldn't have to use them. I can
>> see a little HF receiver hooked to my computer, and make a little
>> antenna from what would ordinarily go into my Ethernet port. That
>> should work, shouldn't it?

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 960. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:32:47 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123543967.095217.93090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115209

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >>>
> >>cut
> >>
> >>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
> >>
> >>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> >>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> >>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> >>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> >>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
> >
> >
> >>we laughed and he made me a friend
> >
> >
> >
> > Why am I not suprised?
> >
> > I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> > Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> > here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> > suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> > the air is a hoot. Thanks.
>
> ...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that

> the morse distress call is OSO.
>
> Dave K8MN

Hit the invert key, old timer.

# 961. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:35:05 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123544105.815354.178410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115210

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > >>b.b. wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>>b.b. wrote:
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
> > >>>
> > >>>>cut
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
> > >>>>
> > >>>>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> > >>>>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> > >>>>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> > >>>>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> > >>>>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>we laughed and he made me a friend
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> Why am I not suprised?
> > >>>
> > >>> I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> > >>> Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> > >>> here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> > >>> suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> > >>> the air is a hoot. Thanks.
> > >

> > ...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that
> > the morse distress call is OSO.
>
> but it is ososososososos doesn't matter where I come in the signal
>
> I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with

These old farts would let the boat sink if the signal wasn't sent they
way they want it sent. They're stubborn as hell.

yryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryr

The quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dogs back.

# 962. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:38:44 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123544324.856330.274210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115211

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>From: "an old friend" on Thurs 4 Aug 2005 09:14
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Here's my submission to the FCC.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>cut
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Steve has the ability to make friends wherever he goes. NOT!
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>not true BB he does have the ability to make friends where ever he
> >>>>>>>>>goes, he has made me several in Tennese last time I got a good 6m
> >>>>>>>>>opening to there, I heard some of the chating about anaying over
> >>>>>>>>>bearing extras, stevies call came indeed one hams said of him and I
> >>>>>>>>>quote (stevie)... "is Papa Oscar Serria"
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>we laughed and he made me a friend

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Why am I not suprised?
> >>>
> >>>I always figured he was out of control in many aspects of his life.
> >>>Yet, he always maintained that he doesn't act on the air like he does
> >>>here on RRAP. If that were true, he would be two-faced, which wouldn't
> >>>suprise me. But to have it confirmed that he's Pappa Oscar Sierra on
> >>>the air is a hoot. Thanks.
> >>
> >>...but you've gotten your information from someone who just told us that
> >>the morse distress call is OSO.
> >
> >
> > but it is ososososososos
>
> No, it isn't.
>
> doesn't matter where I come in the signal
>
> Yes, it matters.

Mark, told you so.

> > I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> > can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
>
> I can't understand your point.
>
> Dave K8MN

That's because you're:
a. thick
b. obtuse
c. smug
d. all of the above

## 963. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:41:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yOydnaNUIqUNcGrfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115213

robert casey wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Len:
>>
>> Phone lines are limited to roughly 38K by using the full audio
>> bandwidth a
>> phone line is filtered to, with the early compression techniques. 56K is
>> obtained by improved data compression techniques.
>>
>> Any line capable of supporting transmission of these audio freaks can
>> carry that much digital data (roughly +/-300hz to +/-5,000hz.
>>
>> DSL is obtained by pulling all the filters from the line, audio bandwidth
>> is much expanded and much greater data can be crammed into that
>> bandwidth,
>> with even greater efficient compression techniques.
>>
>> Powerlines can support near/equal such bandwidths. With a bandwidth
>> allowing freqs to climb into the LF RF freqs, tremendous data speeds are
>> possible.... very localized interference to some rf freqs may be
>> generated... this is now in a testing phase...
>>
>> Why this is so misunderstood is beyond explanation, or perhaps it is only
>> limited to the older generations, for some unknown reason--as any
>> familiar
>> with technical details of data transmission methods and protocols should
>> know this, it is very basic stuff...
>>
>
> Only thing is that a DSL connection has a dedicated twisted pair
> of wires, but BPL you have to share with everyone else in town.
> Like cable modems, though the cable company cuts up their network so
> only a handful of users share. With BPL the entire towns' users
> have to share one channel. Now if you're the only user in town,
> you got it made (unless a ham fires up his linear...)

NO linear needed! 5 Watts can do it. 100 watts is more than enough.

The very most interesting thing about BPL is that what goes on the
power lines is only the very last leg of the system. Power lines are not
particularly good at carrying digital signals. The signal gets mushed
up, and it won't survive the trip though the pole transformers. So they
carry the signal through the higher voltage lines, put a box that pops
the BPL signal onto the low voltage side of the transformer, then that

is what goes into your house.

But the big kicker is this. Since the digital signal has a lot of trouble surviving the trip on the power lines, fiber is run to the point where a tap is made to the HV-lines. It's a last block sort of thing. So unless you are on the end of the line, you will probably have a nice high-speed fiber going right past your place in a BPL scheme.

THAT'S the signal I want Fiber! Not a stinking, degraded, vulnerable, spectrum polluting BPL signal. I want Fiber - lots of fiber in my diet!

Besides, fiber is good for you! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 964. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:42:16 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123544536.550405.213520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115212

Dave Heil wrote:

> I've said that the morse distress call is not "ososososososo"
>
> I've said that it matters "where I come in the signal". It is "SOS",
> Mark, not "OSO" or "ososososososo". It isn't run together and it
> doesn't begin with "o".

Thank God that you're out of the radio communications business and only do it as a hobby. To think that someone would have to rely upon you for emcomms is frightening. Go back to working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

## 965. Re: Steve The Annointed One, was: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:46:20 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123544780.672380.226820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115214

John Smith wrote:
> b.b.:
>
> Only guano I ever seen was in a cave, piles of it! Bat Guano it
> was--reminded me a little of BS... hmmm, well, and looked a little like
> "Steer Guano" too... is that a word, "Steer Guano?" Maybe I got my guanos
> mixed up...
>
> John

The annointing came from a recent Hans post about old farts playing God.

## 966. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:49:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123544988.622867.47920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115215

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>and I don't know Father
> >>Hams.
> >
> > Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
> > that I referred to.
>
> It isn't what you wrote.

It's what you did.

## 967. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-08 23:51:31 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123545091.051802.216200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115216

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> it to you.
>
> Dave K8MN

A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

# 968. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:00:40 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123545640.376462.12480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115217

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "b.b." on Sat 6 Aug 2005 08:03
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> >> >
> >> > And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> >> > his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> >> > Army career!
> >> >
> >> > Sheesh!
> >>
> >> Oooops...forgot to mention, The last footnote in Lennie's
> >> comments:
> >>
> >> "Any change in the license examination regulations will primarily
> >> affect license applicants. That does not directly affect those already
> >> licensed and have already passed any test given under older
> >> regulations...despite the displayed spite in a few Comments who had
> >> worked hard to pass the morse code tests and are disturbed that
> >> applicants in the future might not have to do the same. The Commission
> >> is in no way responsible to hold thigs in perpetuity or to insure
> >> feeding of emotional sustanance to those already licensed.
> >
> >Steve, you might want to end a direct quote with quotation marks so
> >that we can separate Len's comments from your rantings.
>
> Stebie likes to plagiarize...and try to get away with it...:-)
>
> But, in truth, he only STARTED the quote with quote marks...but
> FAILED to end with it.

Steve has one failure after another.

> >In any event, Len is correct.
>
> Quite.

Fine.

> >> Of course his comments were laced with the usual amount of
> >> "mythology", "tribal" and other insulting inferences that we have
> >> become used to here.

> >
> >Mythology??? Did he mention seven hostile actions?
>
> Stebie IMPLIED his "participation" in those "seven hostile
> actions" yet did NOT GET SPECIFIC as to date or location of
> HIS actual participation.

Nor will he. The "Stolen Valor" people are on the watch. They'll
pounce the moment he spouts any more lies.

> The "morse myths" are the stuff of legend and abound among
> the really Olde-Tymers who repeat the stories and tales of
> the Older Olde-Tymers and their Mighty Morse Machines. :-)

I especially like Jim's stories about amateur radio during WWII.

> >> The biggest lie being his suggestion on page 1 that he was a
> >> potential Amateur Radio licensee.
> >
> >Or being "A" NCOIC of a MARS station in Okinawa.
> >
> >Did he say that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" ?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. ANYONE is a POTENTIAL AMATEUR licensee. I've
> been a COMMERCIAL licensee since 1956...and IN the HF comms
> activity before AND after that year...AND working IN the
> electronics industry of the southern California Aerospace
> "community" from 1956 onwards...AND as a hobbyist in radio
> and electronics since 1947 (non-transmitting electronics
> and radio do NOT need any license).

Even some transmitting devices require no license.

> As for ACTUAL, 24/7 HF communications, in the military, I
> have that documented at:
>
> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/
>
> in three separate papers, each with illustrations from military
> or personal sources.
>
> The point in those was to show what Big Time HF Communications
> WERE back a half century ago. There was NO manual or automatic
> morse code mode employed in those big 24/7 communications networks
> of the United States military way back then. None has been used
> since for the vast majority of communications that have taken
> place.

Stop it, stop it!!!

You are destroying th[i]er world.

> >> Too bad there's not a method for filing charges of perjury on
> >> filings.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >You could always start proceedings to impeach Len. Do you still have
> >the dress?
>
> My attorney and I will see him in civil court. The Superior Court
> judges need the entertainment! :-)

I can see him standing there in his cast-off flight suit and campaign
hat akilter.

> bar non
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 969. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:07:09 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123546029.671230.155430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115218

an old friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> > > > imagination.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> > > I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
> > > submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM
> >
> > It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
> > Bruce/WA8ULX.
> >
> > That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
> > volumes.
>
> It is called "willing suspenion of Disbelief" stevie so wants to
> believe that is the general sort of person that opposes code testing
> and so he jumps at it
>
> It is sad realy but the FCC will see right though it

Most of these not-so old-timers have lost it.

Just like Dave can't understand that "OSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS" just might be
a distress call. I pity the units that he supposedly served.

# 970. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:16:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.00.15.57.971244@gmail.com>
Source record: 115219

Len:

Thanks for the background info...

I have already written dave off as just another "yes man" to the status quo... he is a heckler here and his chief method of operation is character assassinations... rather than attack and debate ideas, he attacks posters... hey, the world is composed of all types, in the end it all works...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 12:28:34 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 23:42
>
>>Dave:
>>
>>I don't agree with bush on a lot, but don't want to focus on running for
>>president either. Wasn't really happy with some teachers my son had, but
>>didn't want to go full-time academic either. I really don't like the way
>>the garbage men handle the trash, but refrain from that line of work also...
>>
>>Really, make sense, drop the BS and out-right crap...
>>
>>John
>
> Another small history lesson on the newsgroup for you, John:
>
> About 7 or 8 years ago, Obersturmbandsfuhrer Heil stormed in
> here making like the Authoritative Elmer of all Elmers,
> spouting off about "CW" is way so much better than RTTY and
> illustrating that with his saving-the-day actions from
> Guinea-Bisseau in Africa for the Department of State, his
> employer at the time (in the "foreign service"). That was in
> the 1980s. He was then, as he is now, an Ultimate Authority
> on HF from his many many years as a ham (probably working a
> minimum of 8 hours a day on his ham job) and waded into the
> morse code testing arguments as Mister Morseman (a "foreign
> service" counterpart to "Captain Code").
>
> Unfamiliar with this country of Guinea-Bisseau, I had to look
> it up. Found out it was NOT a prosperous country and that its
> chief export was cashew nuts. I stated that and Heil got very
> angry. [he was a "key employee" or something at State as a
> "communications officer"...blah blah blah] How dare *I*

> question ANY statements of Heil's! :-)
>
> Heil got pissed and a half when I recounted the HF comms done
> by the U.S. Army of the 1950s...using mainly RTTY and TTY over
> (commercial format) SSB...NOT encountering these "bad
> conditions" where "only 'CW' would get through" (and saving-
> the-day). Heil tried to make the argument that "CW" was
> "necessary" and all that old snit. Heil stated that "my
> station" (taxpayer owned, actually) "NEVER WORKED 24/7!" Tsk,
> four operating teams very certainly worked the 3rd largest
> Army station in ACAN-STARCOM then, using about 40 transmitters
> shooting across the Pacific south-east-west from Tokyo, all
> around the clock. NO "CW" (manual morse code) used by my
> battalion that served the Headquarters for the Far East Command
> then...none later...all on HF.
>
> Heil committed some small gaffes in his rationalizations on
> what he wrote...specifically that the "CW" was needed to
> "synchronize" the RTTY schedules. Any TTY is automatically
> self-synchronizeable, has been since before WW2 times. Heil
> then "explained" that "synchronizing" meant schedule times
> and so forth. Odd that such wouldn't have been worked out
> beforehand in operating orders, common to everyone else.
>
> Heil got most disturbed on my descriptions of the Army net
> being BIGGER than what State had (it was) and said "I didn't
> know anything about what State's radio had/did." Tsk, I
> did and already possessed a great deal of documentation
> obtained from Army sources and a few items of contractors
> supplying the U.S. government (the RCA "RACES" mass
> memory on mag cards, two of which were installed in DC at
> State's headquarters). Heil did not realize that some of
> the Department of State messages were actually carried on
> Army and Air Force communications circuits...more in
> Europe than in Asia. [I can identify the stations, the
> TTY ID, paths, and controlling hubs on all of ACAN-STARCOM
> from publicly-released information available before 1980,
> stuff that I have, obtained from a civilian engineer
> acquaintence who worked at "my" Army station]
>
> Heil engages in a lot of Gamesmanship in here, frequently
> citing his many State assignments (Finland, several
> countries in Africa). He WAS DX to a lot of other hams,
> courtesy of the U.S. government and complementary callsigns
> given to "diplomatic" personnel of the USA. Problem is,
> Department of State radio is rather smaller than the U.S.
> military networks and the retirees from State's radio are
> a tiny percentage of "radio operators." Now the military
> networks' former members are also a small percentage...but
> they are larger than civil government "radio operators."

> The more vocal hams with previous military radio
> experience seem to come from the USN and those mostly from
> ship "radio room" assignments. Heil seems to be banking
> on his Department of State experience being rare, thus he
> can bullshit his way into posing as a Great Authority on
> What The Government Does In Radio among amateur radio
> hobbyists. Heil shows no sign of having worked IN the
> larger military radio communications networks during his
> military service...yet he implies knowing all about them.
> He knows little and all he can do is the BS implication
> that he does.
>
> A shock to Heil must have been my appearance in here, an
> unlicensed-in-amateur-radio person who is no shrinking
> violet on opinions! Even worse, one who HAS documentary
> proof to counter most of the total bullshit spouted by
> this great "radio expert." [three such documents posted
> on http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment] Perhaps
> he was disturbed that I didn't polish the boots of his
> surplus Wehrmacht costume from Western Casting? Could be.
>
> Heil, like Robeson, vents a lot of anger in here, always
> trying to verbally thrash his "opponents" on a personal
> basis. SUBJECT be damned, he wants to "fight" on a one-
> to-one basis anyone who speaks against his opinions.
>
> In the last half year Heil has whittled a schtick about
> my "not being a participant in ham radio" etc. and thinks
> that is some kind of psywar "weapon." It isn't. Contrary
> to Fearless Leader's instruction-commands, I didn't get a
> ham license FIRST "to show an interest in radio." The
> Army provided the opportunity to INCREASE my interest in
> radio (since 1947 along with lots of other interests) and
> I "disobeyed orders" by getting a Commercial First Phone
> in 1956 and then became an electronics design engineer.
> No, no, no, that was NOT the Order Of The Day...I should
> have dutifully learned morsemanship to become an amateur
> first according to Fearless Leader Heil. Screum.
>
> USA 1st
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 971. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:22:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <sHSJe.2708$RZ2.80@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115220

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:T6udnZ2dnZ2b7bConZ2dnZQta9-dnZ2dRVn-yZ2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:X-qdnYrYNp59bGnfRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
(SNIP)
>>>I raise these questions because there are some pretty powerful tools
>>>available to people who feel otherwise.
>>
>> What pretty powerful tools are you thinking of
>> and for what use? You lost me on that last comment.
>
> Yeah, after rereading it I wasn't very clear, Bill. I just fear that some
> of the Anti-Morse arguments might be adapted to gain other, less desirable
> changes in Amateur radio.
> - Mike KB3EIA -

OK, I understand your thoughts but so far we've not seen
any such move and, if we do, count me on your side in
opposition to such changes.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 972. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:25:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.00.25.27.892950@gmail.com>
Source record: 115221

Conspiracy or just bad methods of record keeping?

... in the end the reason is not as important as facts, and one fact
is--dead hams are on the books...

But damn it all and get real, the USA population is 350,000,000+, if just
one percent of that population were hams, you would need 3.5 millons hams...

... the figure 600,000 is too ridiculous to even argue as meaningful, stop
eating lotus flowers, wake up!

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 10:24:49 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Dee:
>>>
>>> I differ with that figure, I think it is inflated about half, this is
>>> VERY apparent when tuning the bands... something is OBVIOUSLY wrong with
>>> that figure. I suspect it is like our "unemployment figure" here in the
>>> USA, that ONLY depicts those who are "drawing" unemployment, not those
>>> who
>>> have used up their unemployment, only worked part time and are not
>>> eligible, those who have given up on looking for work, etc...
>>> Those figures are "cooked" and those in the know--KNOW IT!
>>>
>>> John
>>
>>
>> You purport to be an active radio amateur and you didn't even have a
>> realistic idea of how many hams there are in the United States? Do you
>> think the FCC and ARRL are in collusion and they've whipped up some
>> massive coverup of the number of licensees? Sheesh!
>
>
> It is a conspiracy, Dave. The FCC is inflating the numbers of Hams for
> some reason, even though the conspiracists on the other side think they
> would like there to be *fewer* Hams, so that the FCC can have a good
> excuse to take away our band segments.
>

> Make sense? No? I think its related to Chemtrails somehow..... ;^)
>
>
> Finally, do some of these folks have some trouble with their antennas
> or something? When I tune our bands, I hear a *lot* of activity. And it
> isn't just on contest weekends. On those weekends the bands are wall to
> wall. Only open spots are around the Maritime nets, and it is wise to
> leave them some space.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 973. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:31:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.00.31.55.226998@gmail.com>
Source record: 115222

N2EY:

... any way you cut it, when you end all the obfuscation, BS and mindless chatter to divert thought, probably less that .015% of the population are licensed amateurs--that isn't insanity, that is a crime! Something is obviously wrong and yet you think you can argue insanely that this is good, fine and dandy and nothing is wrong--do you really think people that stupid?

Only a hermit or recluse could be so blind...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 09:42:41 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>an old friend wrote:
>> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> > We know pretty closely how many individuals there are with
>> > current (unexpired) FCC-issued amateur radio licenses - about
>> > 664,000
>> >
>> > What we don't know is how many of those ~664,000 fall into
>> > the following categories:
>> >
>> > - Inactive due to being dead
>> > - Inactive due to being seriously sick or injured
>> > - Inactive due to loss of interest
>> > - Inactive due to lack of resources (time, money, space, etc.)
>> > - Slightly active
>> > - Moderately active
>> > - Very active
>> >
>> > Worse, the definitions of the last three categories are entirely
>> > subjective. If a ham is on the air 100 hours per year, is that
>> > ham slightly, moderately, or very active?
>>
>> How do other systems work? I suspect that they count licensees, and
>> work with that. At renewal time, adjustments are made.
>
> Many other systems (like cb) require no license at all, so there's no

> good way to know how many users there are. The fact that a certain
> number of cb sets have been sold in the past X years tells nothing
> definite about how many cb users there really are.
>
> Same for stuff like FRS.
>
> OTOH most broadcast and commercial licenses require usage as a
> condition of grant. A broadcast station can (in theory) lose
> its license if it has too many avoidable outages.
>
> Of course the most prevalent use of two-way radio is the cell
> phone. The license, as it were, is held by the provider(s). Of
> course the users have almost no control over what the radio in
> a cell phone does.
>
>> >>>>>This approach has been in evidence early on.
>> >>>>>Facts are of secondary importance to opinion.
>
>> > To some folks, their opinions *are* facts.
>
>> To too many.....
>
> So why bother with them?
>>
>> >
>> >>>>>If we are told that there are not the
>> >>>>>number of hams claimed on the
>> >>>>>database, then that is the truth. If
>> >>>>>that means that the FCC is lying,
>> >>>>>that is the truth.
>> >
>> >
>> > No, it's just somebody's opinion.
>>
>> yup
>>
>> >
>> >>>>>If we are told that the only thing needed
>> >>>>>to go digital on HF is to
>> >>>>>hook up that 56K modem to the rig, then that is the truth.
>> >
>> >
>> > Actually, you *can* hook up a 56K modem to your HF rig and
>> > "go digital". Doesn't mean it will work....
>> >
>> > Note that almost all dialup modems have the ability to
>> > operate at less than 56K. Backwards compatibility and
>> > all that. Some will go all the way back to 300 baud.
>> >
>> >

>> >>>>>If we are told that Ham radio is dying, then that is true.
>> >
>> >
>> > Ham radio has always been "dying" - and always being reborn.
>>
>> Yeah, just like "The Family" Always under attack, always going
>> downhill" and on and on.
>>
>> Reminds me of the radio ads for stores that are having their "Biggest
>> Sale Ever!" The next week that are having another biggest sale ever.
>> Seems if we just wait a short while, they'll be giving the stuff away,
>> or paying us to take it away.
>
> "20th Annual Going Out Of Business Sale!"
>
>> > I was around back in 1968 when some folks said that 'incentive
>> > licensing' would 'kill amateur radio'. Those folks said it was
>> > completely unreasonable to expect or even ask the average ham
>> > to get an Advanced, let alone an Extra.
>> >
>> > Yet in the decade after those new requirements were put in place, the
>> > number of US hams grew from about 250,000 to about 350,000.
>> > And that was before the VE system and published question pools.
>> >
>> > Sure, some of that was helped by the Bash books, but there were
>> > no Bash books for 20 wpm Morse Code.
>>
>> Bash Keys???
>
> HAW!
>
> The requirements were *raised* and ham radio *grew*....what a concept
>
>> >>>>>You can't argue with someone who makes up the
>> >>>>>facts as they go along, so why do it?
>> >
>> >
>> > Exactly!
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>It is tough to beat an anonymous man with
>> >>>>invisible sources for
>> >>>>invisible facts.
>> >
>> >
>> > Yup. Like folks who claim that 57% is not a majority...
>>
>> they were just like, sayin' 8^)
>
> It's a classic troll trick. They make statements that

> are provably false just to get attention. Then they
> argue that what they say is true, or you didn't understand
> it, or you've been brainwashed by ARRL, etc.
>
>> >>>>>BTW, CQ has an article on HF digital
>> >>>>>transmission. Seems that they have
>> >>>>>got it all wrong too. They have a method
>> >>>>>that works, but it is pretty
>> >>>>>slow for images (or files) of any appreciable size.
>
>> > So send small files!
>>
>> Well, yeah! But if we are going to have a new mode, it needs to have
>> some good features. They can be speed, quality, or even coolness (say
>> the digital form of Hell-field)
>>
>> So here we have digital transmission in a sort of competition with
>> SSTV. The images have to be pretty small, and most have to be compressed
>> to the point that they are pretty poor compared to a good SSTV image. So
>> the only advantage that I can see for this digital method is when the
>> signal is weak and noisy. Then the digital image will be better. Of
>> course the patience of Job will be needed for all the error correction
>> needed
>
> Slow...error prone...not used by other services...
>
>> >>>>HF will never be the place for high speed
>> >>>>digital transmission. There
>> >>>>is too much noise and signals are subject
>> >>>>to the vagaries of wave
>> >>>>propagation phenomena.
>
>> >
>> > A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
>> > resources you can use.
>
> If you're used to 45 baud RTTY, 1200 baud is high speed, isn't it?
>
>> > For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
>> > all sorts of stuff is possible.
>
>> Sure. I think some people are believing that I am saying this is
>> impossible. It is not impossible. But not very practical. I'd have to
>> work it out, but I think there are some ham segments where we'd need
>> more bandwidth than is alloted
>
> The difference is that unlike, say, the US Army in 1952, there is no
> High Command that determines who gets what frequencies for what path
> at what time.
>

>> > If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain antennas,
>> > all sorts of stuff is possible.
>>
>> A strong signal mode? 8^)
>
> Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and curtain
> arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary for the
> military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the taxpayer's
> dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like the
> R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of them are
> needed? Etc.
>
> Completely different from what most hams deal with.
>
>> > If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
>> > so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
>> > things are possible.
>>
>> OY!
>
> Very common military and commercial practice.
>
>> > If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
>> > the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
>> > frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
>> > possible.
>>
>> Doubly Oy!
>
> It's what ALE is all about.
>
>> > Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
>> > the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
>> > regulations.
>
>> >>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
>> >>>when it was said that we
>> >>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
>> >>>everything above 200M
>> >
>> >
>> > Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
>> >
>> > What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
>> > only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
>> > a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
>> > s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
>> >
>> > In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
>> > worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on

>> > longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
>> > even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
>> >
>> >
>> >>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
>> >>HF won't
>> >>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
>> >>caused hams
>> >>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>> >>
>> >>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
>> >>Many
>> >>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>> >>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
>> >>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>> >>knowledge.
>> >>
>> >> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>> >>signal? How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>> >>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>> >>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>> >>transmission?
>> >
>> >
>> > All very good questions!
>> >
>> >>>when was that Jim
>> >>
>> >>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>> >>>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>> >>>>>>along the same lines
>> >>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>> >>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>> >>
>> >> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
>> >>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
>> >>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
>> >>everyones standard) pictures.
>> >>
>> >> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
>> >>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
>> >>going to steal the technology.
>> >
>> >
>> > You can't steal vaporware.
>> >
>> >

>> >>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
>> >>believers among
>> >>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>> >>
>> >>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
>> >>up from
>> >>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
>> >>into the real world?
>> >>
>> >
>> > Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
>>
>> You betchya!
>>
> Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an old
> friend".
> You won't get it.
>
> They're not about actually *doing* ham radio, just arguing about it.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 974. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:35:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <oTSJe.2715$RZ2.2315@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115223

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dd7i7f$11ko$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> robert casey wrote:
>>> A. Because the Administrative Procedures Act required it and
>>>
>>> B. To see how many ya-yas and yuck-yucks come out of the woodwork.
>>>
>>> Relieves the tensions of 8 hours "in the box" sandwiched between two
>>> hours of car-pool on either end.. Maybe that's why I never went to
>>> HQ.
>>
>> I can just imagine the bureaucrat at the FCC who gets stuck
>> wading thru all the filed comments searching for the one
>> that might actually point out something of substance that was
>> overlooked and would matter. I made my comment short and
>> to the point: "I agree, do it, drop the code test". So
>> whoever at the FCC doesn't have to waste much time on
>> my comment.
>
> I thought you had to point out how the other respondants are misguided, or
> whatever..... ;^)
> - Mike KB3EIA -

You always have the chance to point out those misquided
comments during the 15 day reply comments period. :-) :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 975. One more opinion of mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:37:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.00.37.30.956345@gmail.com>
Source record: 115224

To all:

My opinion is that .015%, or less (and probably much less) of the USA population are licensed amateurs, that is a crime!

That isn't a "hobby group", that is an insignificant number! Those who are responsible for this need to be set aside, immediately, I believe any sane man will find that proof without question... that number deserves to either gain sufficient members to be able to ask for gov't consideration and regulation--or go the way of the dodo--and die with dignity!

John

## 976. Re: One more opinion of mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:52:53 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <F7TJe.2735$RZ2.428@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115225

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.09.00.37.30.956345@gmail.com...
> To all:
> My opinion is that .015%, or less (and probably much less) of the USA
> population are licensed amateurs, that is a crime!

Why is it a crime in your mind?

> That isn't a "hobby group", that is an insignificant number!

I'd bet many other hobby pursuits have even lower
numbers. The reality of hobby interests within the
general population is that the great majority of people have
no hobby at all. Just ask any of your friends what,
if any, hobby or hobbies they may have. Some may say they
follow this sport or that, but they are only fans...not
participants.

> Those who are
> responsible for this need to be set aside, immediately, I believe any sane
> man will find that proof without question... that number deserves to
> either gain sufficient members to be able to ask for gov't
> consideration and regulation--or go the way of the dodo--and die with
> dignity!

The numbers are higher now (within 10,000 or so) than they were
25 years ago.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 977. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 00:59:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123549174.820180.312510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115226

robert casey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Recently there have been some claims about "what the
> > majority wants" in regards to FCC NPRMs.
>
> THe FCC doesn't make rules based on how polling comes out.

I was speaking about comments, not polls. But you're right either way, in that the FCC can ignore the majority if it wants to.

> One very
> good comment can trump many "me toos".

Or one comment that FCC just happens to agree with.

Look at the BPL situation....

> Besides, the FCC isn't
> in the business of handing out gold stars. If a requirement
> serves no regulatory purpose, the FCC doesn't want to bother
> with it.

Or if FCC doesn't want to be bothered in the first place...

However, none of that is really what I was driving at.

My point is simply that the majority of comments on code testing (57%) on 98-143 were in favor of at least two code test speeds, including at least 12 wpm for Advanced and Extra. That fact is proved by KC8EPO's published results, right here on rrap back in March of 1999. (WA6VSE/WK3C posted them).

FCC ignored the majority opinion back then and reduced code testing to 5 wpm. The majority opinion was *not* acted upon by FCC.

Whether FCC did the best thing or not is a matter of opinion. But the plain simple fact is that the majority was *not* anti-code-test.

Now of course if the majority of comments on 05-235 are in favor of no more code testing, FCC will most certainly say they are simply doing what the majority wants.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 978. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:02:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123547460.039008.316460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115227

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

> >>Of
> >>course the patience of Job will be needed
> >>for all the error correction needed

> > Slow...error prone...not used by other services...

> Where'd I hear that before? ;^)

> >>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed
> >>>>>>digital transmission. There
> >>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject
> >>>>>>to the vagaries of wave
> >>>>>>propagation phenomena.

> >>>A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
> >>>resources you can use.

> > If you're used to 45 baud RTTY, 1200 baud is high speed,
> > isn't it?

> >>>For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
> >>>all sorts of stuff is possible.

> >>Sure. I think some people are believing that I am saying this > >>is
> >>impossible. It is not impossible. But not very practical.
> >>I'd have to
> >>work it out, but I think there are some ham segments where
> >>we'd need more bandwidth than is alloted

> > The difference is that unlike, say, the US Army in 1952,
> > there is no
> > High Command that determines who gets what frequencies
> > for what path at what time.

> >>>If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain
> >>>antennas, all sorts of stuff is possible.
>

> >> A strong signal mode? 8^)

Sure - that's predictable by Shannon's. It's not hard to
understand and explain, if you really understand what
Shannon is all about. (Some folks make a lot of noise
about it, acting as if it's some big deal, but it's really
quite easy to understand.)

Consider this situation:

Plain old on-off keying lets you send one unit of information
per unit time. Either a 1 or a 0, on or off. Digital and
all that.

But suppose we have a system (transmitter, receiver and connection
between them)that has four states - 100% on,
66% on, 33% on, and off (0% on). We can then apply a meaning to those
four states - say, 11, 10, 01, and 00, respectively - and send two
units of information per unit time in the *same* bandwidth.

We've just doubled the data rate without changing the modulation
method, bandwidth, or basic keying rate. But for it to work,
the system must have good enough signal-to-noise ratio to
determine the four states reliably.

That principle can be continued as far as our signal-to-noise ratio
allows. For example, a system of 128 states could be done,
if the system S/N is good enough, allowing the transmission of
seven units of information per unit time in the same bandwidth as
was previously used for one unit.

IIRC we had this conversation before, only it was about a PSK system
with many more states, rather than an AMK system.

And there's no reason multiple carriers can't be used, as well as
multiple ways of modulating the same carrier - say phase and amplitude
modulation at the same time.

Of course the entire system has to have adequate s/n to deal with
the tiny variations of phase and amplitude.

Telephone line modems make use of the predictable stability and
characteristics of the telephone lines. HF radio is a bit less
stable.

Of course if the taxpayers are footing the bill, all sorts of
things can be done.

> > Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and
> > curtain
> > arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary > > for the
> > military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the
> > taxpayer's
> > dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like > > the

> > R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of > > them are needed? Etc.

> > Completely different from what most hams deal with.

> >>>If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
> >>>so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
> >>>things are possible.
> >>
> >> OY!
> >
> >
> > Very common military and commercial practice.
> >
> >
> >>>If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
> >>>the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
> >>>frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
> >>>possible.
> >>
> >> Doubly Oy!
> >
> >
> > It's what ALE is all about.
>
> Just not too applicable for our purposes.

My Elecraft K2 has programmable memories that can be set for each band
and mode. Other rigs have similar features.

It would not be difficult to have it step through them (using the
RS-232 port and computer control) comparing each frequency with the
others. With suitable time synchronization between, say, you and I, the
rigs could step through the various preprogrammed QRGs, looking for the
spot with the best propagation and no QRM.

Of course we'd have to set it all up beforehand so we'd - or rather the
rigs - would know precisely where to send and listen.

The K2's ATU also remembers antenna tuner settings per memory.

> >>>Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
> >>>the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
> >>>regulations.
> >
> >
> >>>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
> >>>>>when it was said that we
> >>>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
> >>>>>everything above 200M
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.

> >>>
> >>>What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
> >>>only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
> >>>a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
> >>>s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
> >>>
> >>>In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
> >>>worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
> >>>longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
> >>>even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
> >>>HF won't
> >>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
> >>>caused hams
> >>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
> >>>>
> >>>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
> >>>Many
> >>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
> >>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
> >>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
> >>>knowledge.
> >>>>
> >>>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
> >>>signal?

As much as the S/N allows! See above.

>How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
> >>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
> >>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
> >>>transmission?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>All very good questions!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>when was that Jim
> >>>>
> >>>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
> >>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
> >>>>>along the same lines
> >>>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
> >>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)

> >>>>
> >>>> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
> >>>>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
> >>>>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
> >>>>everyones standard) pictures.
> >>>>
> >>>> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
> >>>>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
> >>>>going to steal the technology.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>You can't steal vaporware.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
> >>>>believers among
> >>>>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
> >>>>
> >>>>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
> >>>>up from
> >>>>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
> >>>>into the real world?
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
> >>
> >> You betchya!
>
> I have to note that we speak of actual systems, real world devices -
> some of which are yet to be made of course, but practicalities (granted
> you much more than myself - but I am an RF neophyte, having come from
> the digital world)

> Others seem to be more in the vein of "so there! or someone is going to
> come along and prove you naysayers wrong!" mode.

Bingo.

> Faith based electronics.

Yes - those who dare to even like modes such as Morse Code
are cursed as infidels who do not understand the Word that
"newer is always better" and "the PROFESSIONALS know best"
>
> I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along? You
> know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a few
> feet long that outperform anything we have today.
> The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor
> powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word
> efficient, *they* did.

It *is* efficient, Mike! It's very efficient at turning HF RF energy
into heat.

> > Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an
> > old friend".
> > You won't get it.
>
>And I'm starting to think that some of them might be
>duplicates anyhow.

> Quitefine and Darkguard (nee Blackguard) indeed!

Doesn't matter - they have nothing practical to offer.

> > They're not about actually *doing* ham radio,
> > just arguing about it.
>
> Too bad they cant do a better job.

Indeed

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 979. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:03:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.01.03.37.120927@gmail.com>
Source record: 115245

Kelly:

You "antagonist" is anyone not agreeing with you, I'd venture they seem
without end...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 07:21:25 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Kelly:
>>
>> You make a pathetic antagonist, also...
>
> Well yes "John", thank you for the compliment. Particularly as it comes
> from you "John", the latest master of mindless antagonism to pass thru
> our neighborhood. I bow to your expertise in the field.
>
>
>> John
>
> w3rv

# 980. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:05:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.01.05.20.532567@gmail.com>
Source record: 115228

AOF:

I have nothing but words of encouragement, to court that ladies
fondness... and step into the light...

John

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 23:59:05 -0700, an old friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> Frankly, I have been much more interested in the lady hams equipment
>> myself... I think the FCC got that one right!
>>
>> John
>>
> these days I am payng more attention to a certain future lady ham's
> equipment myself although I would not care to share her with the FCC
>
> an aside It would be nice if you pruned some the endless stuff is
> piling up at the end of your post, slows down my conection something
> feirce indeed tonight I have felt like I was back on Dailup
>
> shudder

## 981. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:07:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.01.07.52.628224@gmail.com>
Source record: 115229

Larry:

That visual is probably wasted, hard to tell how many hams are legally
blind, probably think all those old bald hams are just young "skin heads"
who have taken up the hobby!

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 14:58:01 -0400, Larry wrote:

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:WKudnUVgc9VcYEPfRVn-pw@comcast.com:
>
>> Well we'll have to wait and see. Personally I predict a flurry of
>> upgrades but no sustained growth.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> You can see the truth about ham radio at any hamfest.....dying of old age.
>
> 73 DE W4CSC

## 982. Re: One more opinion of mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:12:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.01.12.17.15749@gmail.com>
Source record: 115230

Bill:

It is an old crime, crossing ancient into prehistoric!

"A decade" = old
"Two decades" = ancient
"Three decades+" = prehistoric!

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 00:52:53 +0000, Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.09.00.37.30.956345@gmail.com...
>> To all:
>> My opinion is that .015%, or less (and probably much less) of the USA
>> population are licensed amateurs, that is a crime!
>
> Why is it a crime in your mind?
>
>> That isn't a "hobby group", that is an insignificant number!
>
> I'd bet many other hobby pursuits have even lower
> numbers. The reality of hobby interests within the
> general population is that the great majority of people have
> no hobby at all. Just ask any of your friends what,
> if any, hobby or hobbies they may have. Some may say they
> follow this sport or that, but they are only fans...not
> participants.
>
>> Those who are
>> responsible for this need to be set aside, immediately, I believe any sane
>> man will find that proof without question... that number deserves to
>> either gain sufficient members to be able to ask for gov't
>> consideration and regulation--or go the way of the dodo--and die with
>> dignity!
>
> The numbers are higher now (within 10,000 or so) than they were
> 25 years ago.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

## 983. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:12:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123549953.262798.196320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115231

John Smith wrote:
> To all:
>
> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
> in step with my beliefs.

Well, let's see what ya got.
>
> However, somethings I believe are:
>
> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California,
> alone, than
> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.

Are there more than 664,000 illegal aliens in California? If so,
your belief is reasonable.

The significance of that belief is another matter.

> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of
> California, alone,
> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.

Are there more than 664,000 unemployed in California? If so,
your belief is reasonable.

The significance of that belief is another matter.

> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of > age and above.

What evidence do you have?

I'm 51 and have been an Extra for 35 years. I know plenty of generals
and extras younger than 60 - and plenty who are older.

> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving
> old men of
> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they
> realize they are
> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.

What evidence do you have? Hoe do you define "impede progress"?

> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in
> regards to
> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.

> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the > first stages of a massive change.
>
> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
>
> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>
> I am a believer...

You can believe whatever you want, John, but that doesn't make it
so.

There are plenty of people who think the earth isn't much more than
6000 years old, despite all the evidence to the contrary.

There are plenty of people who technology can solve any problem.

There are plenty of people who think that change equals progress, and
so they push change regardless of whether it does any good
or not.

There are plenty of people who embrace simple, easy answers to
complex, tough problems - because such answers usually blame
some other group.

# 984. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:40:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.01.40.04.408809@gmail.com>
Source record: 115232

N2EY:

That is what we are here for, the debate and weeding out the false from the true... can't all be done in a day... bring a lunch, maybe dinner, a bottle of your favorite beverage, have a sit... we are just about to start...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 18:12:33 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> To all:
>>
>> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
>> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
>> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
>> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
>> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
>> in step with my beliefs.
>
> Well, let's see what ya got.
>>
>> However, somethings I believe are:
>>
>> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California,
>> alone, than
>> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> Are there more than 664,000 illegal aliens in California? If so,
> your belief is reasonable.
>
> The significance of that belief is another matter.
>
>> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of
>> California, alone,
>> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> Are there more than 664,000 unemployed in California? If so,
> your belief is reasonable.
>
> The significance of that belief is another matter.
>
>> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of > age and above.

>
> What evidence do you have?
>
> I'm 51 and have been an Extra for 35 years. I know plenty of generals
> and extras younger than 60 - and plenty who are older.
>
>> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving
>> old men of
>> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they
>> realize they are
>> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
>
> What evidence do you have? Hoe do you define "impede progress"?
>
>> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
>> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in
>> regards to
>> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>
>> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the > first stages of a
massive change.
>>
>> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
>>
>> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
>> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
>> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
>> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
>> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
>> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
>> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
>> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>>
>> I am a believer...
>
> You can believe whatever you want, John, but that doesn't make it
> so.
>
> There are plenty of people who think the earth isn't much more than
> 6000 years old, despite all the evidence to the contrary.
>
> There are plenty of people who technology can solve any problem.
>
> There are plenty of people who think that change equals progress, and
> so they push change regardless of whether it does any good
> or not.
>
> There are plenty of people who embrace simple, easy answers to
> complex, tough problems - because such answers usually blame
> some other group.

# 985. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:48:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.01.48.36.550683@gmail.com>
Source record: 115233

N2EY:

Text, with data compaction, is about a 10:1 compaction ratio (I usually average a 12:1 over a broad time period), that translates into sending one word for every 10, or 10X the speed of sending the data un-compacted.

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 18:02:10 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>an old friend wrote:
>> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>> >>Of
>> >>course the patience of Job will be needed
>> >>for all the error correction needed
>
>> > Slow...error prone...not used by other services...
>
>> Where'd I hear that before? ;^)
>
>> >>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed
>> >>>>>>digital transmission. There
>> >>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject
>> >>>>>>to the vagaries of wave
>> >>>>>>propagation phenomena.
>
>> >>>A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
>> >>>resources you can use.
>
>> > If you're used to 45 baud RTTY, 1200 baud is high speed,
>> > isn't it?
>
>> >>>For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
>> >>>all sorts of stuff is possible.
>
>> >>Sure. I think some people are believing that I am saying this > >>is

>> >>impossible. It is not impossible. But not very practical.
>> >>I'd have to
>> >>work it out, but I think there are some ham segments where
>> >>we'd need more bandwidth than is alloted
>
>> > The difference is that unlike, say, the US Army in 1952,
>> > there is no
>> > High Command that determines who gets what frequencies
>> > for what path at what time.
>
>> >>>If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain
>> >>>antennas, all sorts of stuff is possible.
>>
>> >> A strong signal mode? 8^)
>
> Sure - that's predictable by Shannon's. It's not hard to
> understand and explain, if you really understand what
> Shannon is all about. (Some folks make a lot of noise
> about it, acting as if it's some big deal, but it's really
> quite easy to understand.)
>
> Consider this situation:
>
> Plain old on-off keying lets you send one unit of information
> per unit time. Either a 1 or a 0, on or off. Digital and
> all that.
>
> But suppose we have a system (transmitter, receiver and connection
> between them)that has four states - 100% on,
> 66% on, 33% on, and off (0% on). We can then apply a meaning to those
> four states - say, 11, 10, 01, and 00, respectively - and send two
> units of information per unit time in the *same* bandwidth.
>
> We've just doubled the data rate without changing the modulation
> method, bandwidth, or basic keying rate. But for it to work,
> the system must have good enough signal-to-noise ratio to
> determine the four states reliably.
>
> That principle can be continued as far as our signal-to-noise ratio
> allows. For example, a system of 128 states could be done,
> if the system S/N is good enough, allowing the transmission of
> seven units of information per unit time in the same bandwidth as
> was previously used for one unit.
>
> IIRC we had this conversation before, only it was about a PSK system
> with many more states, rather than an AMK system.
>
> And there's no reason multiple carriers can't be used, as well as
> multiple ways of modulating the same carrier - say phase and amplitude
> modulation at the same time.

>
> Of course the entire system has to have adequate s/n to deal with
> the tiny variations of phase and amplitude.
>
> Telephone line modems make use of the predictable stability and
> characteristics of the telephone lines. HF radio is a bit less
> stable.
>
> Of course if the taxpayers are footing the bill, all sorts of
> things can be done.
>
>> > Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and
>> > curtain
>> > arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary > > for the
>> > military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the
>> > taxpayer's
>> > dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like > > the
>> > R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of > > them are needed? Etc.
>
>> > Completely different from what most hams deal with.
>
>> >>>If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
>> >>>so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
>> >>>things are possible.
>> >>
>> >> OY!
>> >
>> >
>> > Very common military and commercial practice.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
>> >>>the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
>> >>>frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
>> >>>possible.
>> >>
>> >> Doubly Oy!
>> >
>> >
>> > It's what ALE is all about.
>>
>> Just not too applicable for our purposes.
>
> My Elecraft K2 has programmable memories that can be set for each band
> and mode. Other rigs have similar features.
>
> It would not be difficult to have it step through them (using the
> RS-232 port and computer control) comparing each frequency with the
> others. With suitable time synchronization between, say, you and I, the
> rigs could step through the various preprogrammed QRGs, looking for the

> spot with the best propagation and no QRM.
>
> Of course we'd have to set it all up beforehand so we'd - or rather the
> rigs - would know precisely where to send and listen.
>
> The K2's ATU also remembers antenna tuner settings per memory.
>
>> >>>Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
>> >>>the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
>> >>>regulations.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
>> >>>>>when it was said that we
>> >>>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
>> >>>>>everything above 200M
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
>> >>>
>> >>>What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
>> >>>only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
>> >>>a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
>> >>>s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
>> >>>
>> >>>In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
>> >>>worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
>> >>>longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
>> >>>even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
>> >>>>HF won't
>> >>>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
>> >>>>caused hams
>> >>>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
>> >>>>Many
>> >>>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>> >>>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
>> >>>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>> >>>>knowledge.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>> >>>>signal?
>
> As much as the S/N allows! See above.
>

>>How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>> >>>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>> >>>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>> >>>>transmission?
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>All very good questions!
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>>when was that Jim
>> >>>>
>> >>>>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>> >>>>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>> >>>>>>>along the same lines
>> >>>>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>> >>>>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>> >>>>
>> >>>> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
>> >>>>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
>> >>>>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
>> >>>>everyones standard) pictures.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
>> >>>>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
>> >>>>going to steal the technology.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>You can't steal vaporware.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
>> >>>>believers among
>> >>>>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
>> >>>>up from
>> >>>>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
>> >>>>into the real world?
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
>> >>
>> >> You betchya!
>>
>> I have to note that we speak of actual systems, real world devices -

>> some of which are yet to be made of course, but practicalities (granted
>> you much more than myself - but I am an RF neophyte, having come from
>> the digital world)
>
>> Others seem to be more in the vein of "so there! or someone is going to
>> come along and prove you naysayers wrong!" mode.
>
> Bingo.
>
>> Faith based electronics.
>
> Yes - those who dare to even like modes such as Morse Code
> are cursed as infidels who do not understand the Word that
> "newer is always better" and "the PROFESSIONALS know best"
>>
>> I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along? You
>> know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a few
>> feet long that outperform anything we have today.
>> The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor
>> powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word
>> efficient, *they* did.
>
> It *is* efficient, Mike! It's very efficient at turning HF RF energy
> into heat.
>
>> > Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an
>> > old friend".
>> > You won't get it.
>>
>>And I'm starting to think that some of them might be
>>duplicates anyhow.
>
>> Quitefine and Darkguard (nee Blackguard) indeed!
>
> Doesn't matter - they have nothing practical to offer.
>
>> > They're not about actually *doing* ham radio,
>> > just arguing about it.
>>
>> Too bad they cant do a better job.
>
> Indeed
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 986. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:50:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZZTJe.3256$WD.1438@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115234

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> You "points" have meaning only to yourself, enjoy them...
> Whatever you attempt to do, do it, we must carry on here, try not to get in
> the way...

Ahhhhh, I've been dismissed have I? I love the "we must carry on here".
I take it that there are several of you and that you have a plan.

Dave K8MN

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dave:
>>>
>>>I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>>
>>I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your
>>claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen
>>names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something
>>new.
>>
>>
>>>nor do I care,
>>>your banter becomes taxing...
>>
>>If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
>>disjointed stuff from this side.
>>
>>
>>>I have not only had the chance to see the
>>>text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
>>>denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>>
>>You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
>>amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>>
>>
>>>however, I have formed an opinion
>>>of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>>

>>Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
>>dismissal.
>>
>>Dave K8MN

# 987. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:57:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.01.57.27.542703@gmail.com>
Source record: 115237

```
Dave:

I find all your points circular, "John Smith is not real", "anonymous
posters are NOT to be given credence!", "It is personalities which
matter here and NOT facts!", etc...

<yawn>

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 01:50:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> You "points" have meaning only to yourself, enjoy them...
>> Whatever you attempt to do, do it, we must carry on here, try not to get in
>> the way...
>
> Ahhhhh, I've been dismissed have I? I love the "we must carry on here".
> I take it that there are several of you and that you have a plan.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Dave:
>>>>
>>>>I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>>>
>>>I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your
>>>claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen
>>>names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something
>>>new.
>>>
>>>
>>>>nor do I care,
>>>>your banter becomes taxing...
>>>
>>>If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
>>>disjointed stuff from this side.
>>>
>>>
```

>>>>I have not only had the chance to see the
>>>>text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
>>>>denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>>>
>>>You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
>>>amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>>>
>>>
>>>>however, I have formed an opinion
>>>>of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>>>
>>>Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
>>>dismissal.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN

## 988. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:58:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o5UJe.3263$WD.2078@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115236

```
b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>and I don't know Father
>>>>Hams.
>>>
>>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
>>>that I referred to.
>>
>>It isn't what you wrote.
>
>
> It's what you did.

Nope. I've "done" no French hams.

Dave K8MN
```

## 989. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 01:59:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <06UJe.3265$WD.2656@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115235

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
>>it to you.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?

Dave K8MN

## 990. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:05:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123553113.689991.299260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115238

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> robert casey wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > Recently there have been some claims about "what the
> > > majority wants" in regards to FCC NPRMs.
> >
> > THe FCC doesn't make rules based on how polling comes out.
>

> I was speaking about comments, not polls. But you're right
> either way, in that the FCC can ignore the majority
> if it wants to.

The FCC is legaly bound to ignore the majority in what it sees as the
public interest
>
> > One very
> > good comment can trump many "me toos".
>
> Or one comment that FCC just happens to agree with.
>
> Look at the BPL situation....
>
> > Besides, the FCC isn't
> > in the business of handing out gold stars. If a requirement
> > serves no regulatory purpose, the FCC doesn't want to bother
> > with it.
>
> Or if FCC doesn't want to be bothered in the first place...

Indeed the FCC doesn't want to be bothered with much from the ARS, we
should count ourselves lucky to get any enforcement action
>
> However, none of that is really what I was driving at.
>
> My point is simply that the majority of comments on code testing
> (57%) on 98-143 were in favor of at least two code test speeds,
> including at least 12 wpm for Advanced and Extra. That fact is
> proved by KC8EPO's published results, right here on rrap back
> in March of 1999. (WA6VSE/WK3C posted them).

so?

>
> FCC ignored the majority opinion back then and reduced code

> testing to 5 wpm. The majority opinion was *not* acted upon
> by FCC.

and No one ever promised or sugessted it would be

the FCC has a DUTY to the PUBLIC interest first and only then to the
interests of the ARS and finaly to the WISHES of the ARS

>
> Whether FCC did the best thing or not is a matter of opinion.
> But the plain simple fact is that the majority was *not*
> anti-code-test.

so

>
> Now of course if the majority of comments on 05-235 are in
> favor of no more code testing, FCC will most certainly say
> they are simply doing what the majority wants.

Not likely

The FCC will simply issue it's report and order

>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 991. Re: A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:15:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123553734.541308.46920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115239

why you carring this Jihad here?

Never saw K1MAN here

you are posting about events that happened more the 15 years agao
meaning about halfway through his previous license

anything Crimenal is long past a statue of limitations very likely

and the worst the seem to have done is be unpleasent, prehaps extermely
unplaesent, if that were gorund not to renew a Licesne you, stevie,
Dave would be in real trouble

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Dear Mr. Baxter,
>
> References to emergency work you allegedly performed 15 years ago are
> irrelevant to the present complaint # E05 003, now before the Maine Board of
> Professional Engineers.

## 992. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:24:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123554284.893375.290010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115240

```
b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
cut

> > I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> > can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
>
>
> These old farts would let the boat sink if the signal wasn't sent they
> way they want it sent. They're stubborn as hell.

sure does look like it

>
> yryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryr
>
> The quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dogs back.
```

## 993. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:29:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123554578.954816.325050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115241

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > To all:
> >
> > I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
> > change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
> > proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
> > them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
> > is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
> > in step with my beliefs.
>
> Well, let's see what ya got.
> >
> > However, somethings I believe are:
> >
> > 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California,
> > alone, than
> > there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> Are there more than 664,000 illegal aliens in California? If so,
> your belief is reasonable.
>
> The significance of that belief is another matter.

well it helps put the Interests of the ARS into perspective
>
> > 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of
> > California, alone,
> > than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> Are there more than 664,000 unemployed in California? If so,
> your belief is reasonable.
>
> The significance of that belief is another matter.

Ditto

>
> > 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of > age and above.
>
> What evidence do you have?
>
> I'm 51 and have been an Extra for 35 years. I know plenty of generals
> and extras younger than 60 - and plenty who are older.
>

> > 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving
> > old men of
> > yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they
> > realize they are
> > doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
>
> What evidence do you have? Hoe do you define "impede progress"?

you realy need to ask?

>
> > 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> > yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in
> > regards to
> > sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>
> > 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the > first stages of a massive change.
> >
> > 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
> >
> > 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> > above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
> > it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> > would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
> > the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
> > great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
> > getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> > have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
> >
> > I am a believer...
>
> You can believe whatever you want, John, but that doesn't make it
> so.
>
> There are plenty of people who think the earth isn't much more than
> 6000 years old, despite all the evidence to the contrary.
>
> There are plenty of people who technology can solve any problem.
>
> There are plenty of people who think that change equals progress, and
> so they push change regardless of whether it does any good
> or not.
>
> There are plenty of people who embrace simple, easy answers to
> complex, tough problems - because such answers usually blame
> some other group.

# 994. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:31:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123554712.505483.100600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115242

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> > > >>
> > > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > > >>Army career!
> > > >>
> > > >> Sheesh!
> > > >>
> > > >>Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yawn.
> > >
> > > I'm with you, "bb".
> >
> > But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

>
> No...you just bootleggted from a UN-controlled zone without proper
> authority.
>
> What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.

when did you become Lord High Comissioner of the ITU?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 995. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:37:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123555074.649499.231090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115243

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> > > > > imagination.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > >
> > > > I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
> > > > submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM
> > >
> > > It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
> > > Bruce/WA8ULX.
> > >
> > > That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
> > > volumes.
> >
> > It is called "willing suspenion of Disbelief" stevie so wants to
> > believe that is the general sort of person that opposes code testing
> > and so he jumps at it
> >
> > It is sad realy but the FCC will see right though it
>
> Most of these not-so old-timers have lost it.
>
> Just like Dave can't understand that "OSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS" just might be
> a distress call. I pity the units that he supposedly served.

wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio

Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
send ...---...--- and hope for the best at interavals as long that
battery would still make sparks but Dave and prehaps a good many other
MMM would not help me

# 996. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:56:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123556181.080159.44870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115246

From: "an_old_friend" on Mon 8 Aug 2005 13:56

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 23:27

>> You may not have seen the ham magazines of the 50s when
>> single channel SSB voice was beginning to take off. There
>> was great antipathy towards PHASING methods of modulation-
>> demodulation of SSB. Hams weren't told about PHASE, didn't
>> have the tools to see phase, few could afford 'scopes that
>> had passbands beyond 5 MHz. It was EASIER to build filter
>> SSB mod-demod even though it CO$T a lot more for those crystal
>> filters. Less thinking involved. AM voice spectra was
>> easier to understand and "brute-force" filtering to eliminate
>> an unwanted sideband almost intuitive. Despite some good
>> attempts at showing PHASING methods in the 50's magazines,
>> readers and editors alike didn't like it. Even after Mike
>> Gingell (UK ham, now living in USA) did his Polyphase Network
>> PhD paper on a low-cost, easy-component-tolerance quadrature
>> phase circuit, the U.S. periodicals didn't care for it. The
>> RSGB did and showed How and showed what UK and Yurp hams
>> were doing with it in the pages of Radio Communication. That
>> was 30 years ago...but European hams are having fun with that
>> Gingell polyphase network in homebrew SSB building.
>
>Sorry to butt in, but a bit confused here from the only famialr with
>AM voice sidebands ad the talk of phasing sidebands are you refering to
>a compatable system (that is can the brute force filtered SSb uint talk
>to the phasing unit or are you discuing to different and Incompatable
>systems (obviosuly if they were incompatable inerta is decent reason to
>keep one over the other, after it has kept morse in place a LOT longer)

Both SSB modulate-demodulate systems are compatible. Each
produces a SINGLE AM sideband. In the Phasing system one
needs two balanced mixers and a 0 degree and 90 degree
relative phase shift (commonly called 'quadrature') of BOTH
the audio AND the RF carrier. The two balanced mixer outputs
are then algebraically summed for the result.

The reason it works has to do with the relative RF phases of
the conventional AM sideband products. The upper sideband
components are differnt from the lower sideband components
even though the sideband magnitudes (and frequencies) are the
same. I could show how mathematically but this medium doesn't
show equations well and John Carson's formula is rather long.

The trick is to get a wideband audio phase shift network
that retains the quadrature (90 degree) difference all across
the desired audio bandwidth. The RF carrier can do that but
the percentage bandwidth is small so the RF quadrature is
relatively easy to do in hardware. The audio network is not
easy to do. It is the SELECTION of audio phase and carrier
phase into the mixers that determines whether or not an upper
or lower sideband is desired. The amount of rejection of the
unwanted sideband depends on the tolerance of holding the
relative phase shifts as close as possible to 90 degrees.

The "suppressed carrier" part of SSB is by virtue of the
mixers balancing out the RF carrier in their output.

The Gingell Polyphase Network takes 0 and 180 degree audio
in and produces four audio outputs, each in quadrature with
their adjacent output. The tolerance of exact quadrature is
so good one can use ordinary 5% tolerance resistors and
capacitors (relatively inexpensive) without matching them
in pairs/quads. Much better than half-century old tube
technique passive networks which required 1% or better R
and C and always had a finite relative phase error.

>I migt as well learn something, and I am not afraid to admit the limits
>of my knowledge that is of course the first step in increasing it

Absolutely. [few extras in here admit to gaps in knowledge
since they are Morsemen who don't need theory]

There's considerable data on phasing SSB generation-demodulation
in older textbooks and some on the Internet. A search on
"Phasing SSB" will turn up some interesting homebrewed-designed
phasing generators and a few complete transceivers.

My former boss and still my friend, Jim Hall, KD6JG, pointed me
to a very interesting circuit in the RSGB magazine Radio
Communication back in the early 70s. Jim did some early work
on "third method of SSB" that is referenced in the "Collins
SSB book" (familiar name) by Pappenfus, Bruene, and Schoenike
then with Collins Radio. I snitched a bit of mainframe time
and did a circuit analysis of it that got mentioned in Pat
Hawker's column in Radio Communication a few months after
Peter Martinez' circuit/experiments were featured. [the same
G3PLX that would go on to innovate PSK31 much later]

For others who were in early radar work, particularly avionics
weapons systems, "phasing systems" are the key ingredient to
"monopulse radars" that can determine elevation and azimuth
from a radar antenna boresight line without physical movement
of the antenna. Those started showing up in the 1950s. The
"phase shift networks" at microwaves (X and K bands) are quite
a bit diffeent than R-C ones at audio! :-)

yee haw
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 997. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 02:58:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123556312.732763.177880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115247

From: Mike Coslo on Mon 8 Aug 2005 13:36

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> Gee, Len, BPL isn't supposed to be radio at all. Fill us in on the
>> various intended BPL wireless propagation media. Those power lines are
>> intended to be antennas?
>
> I wonder why they have to have wireless routers and receivers (what
>frequency do they operate at?...)

Geez, Coslo, you still don't know dink about BPL. Neither does
Heil apparently but then He can't be told anything...

The DATA signals carried on electric power lines are, effectively,
SIDEBAND components of a digital signal. Big wiiiiiide sideband
spectral content. [I have to simplify it in order to teach you
children sometimes] None of the systems have lil teeny
individual "HF channels" for subscribers. It is similar to,
but not the same as, the digital data stream coming down a
cable TV system.

> Seems like if it is HF already, we shouldn't have to use them.

You "shouldn't" or "should?" Be clear in your statements.

You CAN use them if your receiver bandwidth is as wide as
from 3 MHz to 80 MHz...AND you have the digital decoder...
AND you have the subscriber unlock code.

> I can see a little HF receiver hooked to my
>computer, and make a little antenna from what would ordinarily go into
>my Ethernet port. That should work, shouldn't it?

It will work as well as that aluminum foil hat you wear to
keep space aliens from reading your thoughts.

> Maybe it is a conspiracy to sell electronic parts?

No, books...like "Broadband Techniques For Dummies and
Simple-Minded Stupid Extras."

NSA DES
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 998. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 03:11:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <M9VJe.3292$WD.2348@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115248

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 23:42
>
>
>>Dave:
>>
>>I don't agree with bush on a lot, but don't want to focus on running for
>>president either. Wasn't really happy with some teachers my son had, but
>>didn't want to go full-time academic either. I really don't like the way
>>the garbage men handle the trash, but refrain from that line of work also...
>>
>>Really, make sense, drop the BS and out-right crap...
>>
>>John
>
>
> Another small history lesson on the newsgroup for you, John:
>
> About 7 or 8 years ago, Obersturmbandsfuhrer Heil

Godwin invoked, Windy.

> ...stormed in
> here making like the Authoritative Elmer of all Elmers,
> spouting off about "CW" is way so much better than RTTY...

Ooops! There's your first falsehood, Mr. Carbon Obnoxide.

> ...and illustrating that with his saving-the-day actions from...

There's your second falsehood.

> ...Guinea-Bisseau in Africa for the Department of State, his
> employer at the time (in the "foreign service").

It is "Foreign Service", Leonard.

> That was in
> the 1980s.

The time frame was late 1987 through late 1989.

> He was then, as he is now, an Ultimate Authority
> on HF...

That's your third falsehood. I've never claimed such.

> ...from his many many years as a ham (probably working a
> minimum of 8 hours a day on his ham job)...

You are actually quite close to the truth in this statement. If you count weekends, there are many weeks when I put in more hours at amateur radio than I did at work, even if we include typical overtime. Did you have a point to make? Are you jealous? Are you perplexed? Have you a problem with how I spent my own time?

> and waded into the
> morse code testing arguments as Mister Morseman (a "foreign
> service" counterpart to "Captain Code").

That makes falsehood number four. I've never posted as, nor signed any posts as "Mister Morseman" or any similar moniker.

> Unfamiliar with this country of Guinea-Bisseau, I had to look
> it up. Found out it was NOT a prosperous country and that its
> chief export was cashew nuts.

I can understand your unfamiliarity with the country. Why not admit that you are unfamiliar with just about any African country?

> I stated that and Heil got very
> angry.

There's falsehood number five.

> [he was a "key employee" or something at State as a
> "communications officer"...blah blah blah]

Oh, that part came much, much later, Leonard. You actually made a statement saying that I was never a key employee at any embassy. I produced urls for several years of the U.S. Department of State's "Key Officers of Foreign Service Posts" listings. My name and job title appeared in each. You quickly dismissed the lists as some sort of a telephone listing (even though telephone numbers did not appear with the names listed). You ate large quantities of crow.

> How dare *I*
> question ANY statements of Heil's! :-)

> Heil got pissed and a half when I recounted the HF comms done
> by the U.S. Army of the 1950s...using mainly RTTY and TTY over
> (commercial format) SSB...NOT encountering these "bad
> conditions" where "only 'CW' would get through" (and saving-
> the-day).

Here we have your falsehood number six. I was not even pissed, much less "pissed and a half". You vastly overestimate your ability to motivate. I wrote nothing about CW saving the day.

> Heil tried to make the argument that "CW" was
> "necessary" and all that old snit.

The use of CW was necessary and mandated.

> Heil stated that "my
> station" (taxpayer owned, actually) "NEVER WORKED 24/7!"

There's your seventh falsehood. I stated that *you*, Leonard H.
Anderson, never worked 24/7. You'd claimed that you had.

> Tsk,
> four operating teams very certainly worked the 3rd largest
> Army station in ACAN-STARCOM then, using about 40 transmitters
> shooting across the Pacific south-east-west from Tokyo, all
> around the clock. NO "CW" (manual morse code) used by my
> battalion that served the Headquarters for the Far East Command
> then...none later...all on HF.

Bully for you, Leonard! Bully!

> Heil committed some small gaffes in his rationalizations on
> what he wrote...specifically that the "CW" was needed to
> "synchronize" the RTTY schedules.
> Any TTY is automatically
> self-synchronizeable, has been since before WW2 times.

TTY is "self-synchronizable" (did you mean synchronizing?) but schedules
are not.

> Heil
> then "explained" that "synchronizing" meant schedule times
> and so forth. Odd that such wouldn't have been worked out
> beforehand in operating orders, common to everyone else.

One may issue orders and make demands. Propagation doesn't seem bound
to comply.

> Heil got most disturbed on my descriptions of the Army net
> being BIGGER than what State had (it was) and said...

Wrong! That's falsehood number eight. I never cared what the Army net
had and did not dispute its size.

> "I didn't
> know anything about what State's radio had/did."

...and the fact is that you didn't and don't know anything about the
Department of State's communications methods or abilities.

> Tsk, I
> did and already possessed a great deal of documentation
> obtained from Army sources and a few items of contractors
> supplying the U.S. government (the RCA "RACES" mass
> memory on mag cards, two of which were installed in DC at
> State's headquarters).

None of those were any longer used at State by the mid-1980s.

> Heil did not realize that some of
> the Department of State messages were actually carried on

> Army and Air Force communications circuits...

None of the Department of State's record traffic is carried by either Army or Air Force circuits.

> ...more in
> Europe than in Asia.

None in Europe or in Asia.

> [I can identify the stations, the
> TTY ID, paths, and controlling hubs on all of ACAN-STARCOM
> from publicly-released information available before 1980,
> stuff that I have, obtained from a civilian engineer
> acquaintence who worked at "my" Army station]

Before 1980? Why don't you just do another recounting of your RTTY experience at ADA in the early 1950's?

> Heil engages in a lot of Gamesmanship in here, frequently
> citing his many State assignments (Finland, several
> countries in Africa).

Frequently? Not at all, Leonard. Your retellings of your single ADA experience in Japan have to outnumber any postings I've made about State Department postings by six or eight to one. Those experiences of yours date back more than a half century.

> He WAS DX to a lot of other hams,
> courtesy of the U.S. government...

Courtesy of the U.S. government? Excuse me, that's your ninth falsehood. The U.S. government did not purchase any of my radio equipment, make any amateur radio QSOs or subsidize my time spent on the air as a radio amateur.

> and complementary callsigns
> given to "diplomatic" personnel of the USA.

Your tenth falsehood appears above. I paid the going license fee at each post and requested a license under reciprocal agreements the host country had with the United States. No special agreements exist for those in diplomatic status.

> Problem is,
> Department of State radio is rather smaller than the U.S.
> military networks and the retirees from State's radio are
> a tiny percentage of "radio operators." Now the military
> networks' former members are also a small percentage...but
> they are larger than civil government "radio operators."

Nobody retires from State's "radio". Those in communications were "Support Communications Officers", now "Information Mangagement Specialists". They handle not only HF radio circuits, but satellite and

leased-line circuits. They are responsible for stand-alone PCs, unclassified and classified LAN's, embassy telephones, HF E&E radios, post VHF/UHF repeaters, handheld and mobile radios, secure telephones, and classified pouch. They also supervise the embassy receptionists and the mailroom staff. Those with HF radio expertise, listed as "CPO (Communications Programs Officer) or IPO (Information Programs Officer)-Radio" are an even tinier percentage of all of the Department of State's communications/information management employees.

> The more vocal hams with previous military radio
> experience seem to come from the USN and those mostly from
> ship "radio room" assignments.

The more vocal? Are the folks with Army, Marine, Air Force and Coast Guard experience just quieter by nature?

> Heil seems to be banking
> on his Department of State experience being rare, thus he
> can bullshit his way into posing as a Great Authority on
> What The Government Does In Radio among amateur radio
> hobbyists.

"Heil" knows that his Department of State experience is rare and there's no posing is necessary. You made a statement about government not using Morse. I corrected you. I knew something which was unknown to you. Deal with it.

> Heil shows no sign of having worked IN the
> larger military radio communications networks during his
> military service...yet he implies knowing all about them.

"Heil" has shown numerous "signs". "Heil" was in the U.S. Air Force for four years and worked as a radio operator. "Heil" served in Vietnam as a U.S. Air Force radio operator. Heil wasn't some maintenance type, leaning on an equipment rack.

> He knows little and all he can do is the BS implication
> that he does.

You really should write that it is your guess that I know little and that it is your guess that "all he can do..." There are quite a number of regular posters here whose time as professional communications types exceeds your own by several magnitudes. If you add their amateur radio experience, you're left in the dust. Deal with it.

> A shock to Heil must have been my appearance in here, an
> unlicensed-in-amateur-radio person who is no shrinking
> violet on opinions!

Not exactly, Len. What shocked me was your pompous and condescending manner toward radio amateurs. You're right about your not being a shrinking violet on opinions. You have one whether you know what you're talking about or not. If no one pays attention to them, you restate

them time after time in hopes of being noticed. Well, you've been noticed. You're still on the sidelines of amateur radio.

> Even worse, one who HAS documentary
> proof to counter most of the total bullshit spouted by
> this great "radio expert." [three such documents posted
> on http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment]

Just what is this documentary proof supposed to be, Leonard--that you were somewhere in uniform in the early 1950's? I've never denied that. I just don't know why I'm supposed to be impressed and I don't know how it is supposed to relate to amateur radio.

> Perhaps
> he was disturbed that I didn't polish the boots of his
> surplus Wehrmacht costume from Western Casting? Could be.

Godwin x 2.

> Heil, like Robeson, vents a lot of anger in here, always
> trying to verbally thrash his "opponents" on a personal
> basis. SUBJECT be damned, he wants to "fight" on a one-
> to-one basis anyone who speaks against his opinions.

You've pretty well described your own behavior. N2EY even did a finely-crafted profile of your likely response to any poster who disagrees with you. Would you like to see it again?

> In the last half year Heil has whittled a schtick about
> my "not being a participant in ham radio" etc. and thinks
> that is some kind of psywar "weapon." It isn't.

No, it isn't some kind of psywar weapon. It is plain fact and I've brought up up for nearly eight years. You aren't a participant in amateur radio, despite your boast of several years back that you were going for an "Extra right out of the box".

> Contrary
> to Fearless Leader's instruction-commands, I didn't get a
> ham license FIRST "to show an interest in radio."

You didn't get into amateur radio first, last or in between.

> The
> Army provided the opportunity to INCREASE my interest in
> radio (since 1947 along with lots of other interests) and
> I "disobeyed orders" by getting a Commercial First Phone
> in 1956 and then became an electronics design engineer.
> No, no, no, that was NOT the Order Of The Day...I should
> have dutifully learned morsemanship to become an amateur
> first according to Fearless Leader Heil. Screum.

I disagree. I don't care whether you obtain an amateur radio license at all. If you choose to sit on the sidelines for the few years you have

remaining, it bothers me not. What I won't put up with, is your endless sniping.

Dave K8MN

## 999. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 03:23:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IkVJe.3300$WD.3274@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115249

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> I find all your points circular, "John Smith is not real",

Circular? Hell, that's fact, "John". You're hiding behind a mask.

> "anonymous
> posters are NOT to be given credence!"

That is my very sincere opinion. I give you no credence, especially
after reading your rants.

, "It is personalities which
> matter here and NOT facts!", etc...

You've attributed that to me but it not something I've written. You
wrote it. Go have an argument with yourself over it.

> <yawn>

You boring yourself?

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 01:50:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dave:
>>>
>>>You "points" have meaning only to yourself, enjoy them...
>>>Whatever you attempt to do, do it, we must carry on here, try not to get in
>>>the way...
>>
>>Ahhhhh, I've been dismissed have I? I love the "we must carry on here".
>> I take it that there are several of you and that you have a plan.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>news:NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>

>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>
>>>>>I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>>>>
>>>>I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your
>>>>claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen
>>>>names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something
>>>>new.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>nor do I care,
>>>>>your banter becomes taxing...
>>>>
>>>>If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
>>>>disjointed stuff from this side.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I have not only had the chance to see the
>>>>>text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
>>>>>denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>>>>
>>>>You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
>>>>amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>however, I have formed an opinion
>>>>>of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>>>>
>>>>Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
>>>>dismissal.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>
>

# 1000. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 03:31:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.03.31.15.476555@gmail.com>
Source record: 115350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

... Sampsons' strength was in his hair, didn't seem God hated long hair
too much ...

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 20:20:06 +0200, Nomen Nescio wrote:

> In article <st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>
> Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:
>>
>> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 00:41:15 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet
> Hairy Woger> wrote:
>> : In article <hairy.woger.wo...@houston-hunks.com>
>> : Saggytits KKKevin Waldo Strom Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com>
>> : blathered and fucks up again:
>> :
>> : > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul,
> I
>> : Cor. 11:14
>> :
>> : Isn't it funny how all artistic depictions/renderings of that
>> : faggot Jesus (who got what he deserved on the cross) and his
>> : followers in the Bible all have long hair?
>>
>> Those are derived <more bullshit>
>
> You never can get anything right, can you, dumbass?
>
>>
>> In actuality, it is highly probable that Jesus had short hair.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Yeah, barbers were so prevalent in his
> day! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> What a dumbfuck you are, Saggytits. Get that much needed Rogaine
> yet? Or are you going to get hair plugs?
>
> Oh, and you'd better upgrade your research skills yourself.
> According to http://www.barberpole.com/artof.htm, In Egypt, many
> centuries before Christ, barbers were prosperous and highly
> respected. The ancient monuments and papyrus show that the
> Egyptians shaved their beards and their heads. The Egyptian
> priests even went so far as to shave the entire body every third
> day. At this time the barbers carried their tools in open-
> mouthed baskets and their razors were shaped like small hatchets

> and had curved handles. The Bible tells us that when Joseph was
> summoned to appear before Pharaoh, a barber was sent for to
> shave Joseph, so that Pharaoh's sight would not be offended by a
> dirty face. Apparently Joseph looked like the picture you claim
> is Roger's.
> In Greece, barbers came into prominence as early as the fifth
> century, BC. These wise men of Athens rivaled each other in the
> excellence of their beards. Beard trimming became an art and
> barbers became leading citizens. Statesmen, poets and
> philosophers, who came to have their hair cut or their beards
> trimmed or curled and scented with costly essences, frequented
> their shops. And, incidentally, they came to discuss the news of
> the day, because the barber shops of ancient Greece were the
> headquarters for social, political, and sporting news. The
> importance of the tonsorial art in Greece may be gathered from
> the fact that a certain prominent Greek was defeated for office
> because his opponent had a more neatly trimmed beard.
>
> In the third century, BC, the Macedonians under Alexander the
> Great began their conquest of Asia and lost several battles to
> the Persians who grabbed the Macedonians by their beards, pulled
> them to the ground and speared them. This resulted in a general
> order by Alexander that all soldiers be clean-shaven. The
> civilians followed the example of the soldiers and beards lost
> their vogue. Barbers were unknown in Rome until 296 BC, when
> Ticinius Mena came to Rome from Sicily and introduced shaving.
> Shaving soon became the fashion and the barber shop became the
> gathering place for the Roman dandies. No people were better
> patrons of the barbers than the Romans. They often devoted
> several hours each day to tonsorial operations, which included
> shaving, hair cutting, hairdressing, massaging, manicuring and
> the application of rare ointments and cosmetics of unknown
> formulas. The great ladies of Rome always had a hairdresser
> among their slaves and the rich nobles had private tonsors, as
> they were then called. Barbers were so highly prized that a
> statue was erected to the memory of the first barber of Rome.
>
> When Hadrian became emperor, beards became the fashion again --
> and for a very good reason. Hadrian had a face covered with
> warts and scars. He allowed his beard to grow to cover these
> blemishes. The people of Rome imitated the emperor and grew
> beards whether they needed them or not.
>
> The fashion changed again to clean-shaven faces. We know that
> Caesar was clean-shaven. As we will see repeated in history
> many times, the leaders of the state were the leaders of fashion
> and the people were always ready to follow the prevailing
> styles. There are many passages in the Bible referring to the
> barber profession. Moses commanded that all who recovered from
> leprosy should be shaved. This was done as a health precaution,

> because throughout history the Jews have honored the beard as a
> badge of manhood. To this day, the orthodox Jews have little
> respect for clean-shaven men. During periods of mourning, the
> ancient Jews allowed their beards to go untrimmed, but
> ordinarily their beards were trimmed regularly. The prophet
> Ezekiel refers to an ancient custom in these words: "Take thou a
> barber's razor and cause it to pass upon thy head and upon thy
> beard." The razors of those days were made of flint and oyster
> shells.
>
> Looks like your claim that Jesus, who was Jewish, did indeed
> have long hair and a beard.
>
>
>><backpedals, unsubstantiated bullshit and excuses flushed>
>
> That still doesn't change the fact Roger has short hair, dumbass!
>
> BTW, Paul was a faggot just like Jesus.....who got what he
> deserved on the cross.
>
> Find that Marshall County WV ARES website yet, shit for brains?
>
> 1936 ARLINGTON AVE
> COLUMBUS, OH 43212-1038
> 614-488-7752
> --
> Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
> ignorant ass again in:
> <secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
> operated
> by the CIA?"

# 1001. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 03:34:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.03.34.33.672823@gmail.com>
Source record: 115351

Dave:

Like I say, repetitive and run in a circle... krist, no wonder your posts
seems do dizzy!

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 03:23:20 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> I find all your points circular, "John Smith is not real",
>
> Circular? Hell, that's fact, "John". You're hiding behind a mask.
>
>> "anonymous
>> posters are NOT to be given credence!"
>
> That is my very sincere opinion. I give you no credence, especially
> after reading your rants.
>
> , "It is personalities which
>> matter here and NOT facts!", etc...
>
> You've attributed that to me but it not something I've written. You
> wrote it. Go have an argument with yourself over it.
>
>> <yawn>
>
> You boring yourself?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 01:50:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave:
>>>>
>>>>You "points" have meaning only to yourself, enjoy them...
>>>>Whatever you attempt to do, do it, we must carry on here, try not to get in

>>>>the way...
>>>
>>>Ahhhhh, I've been dismissed have I? I love the "we must carry on here".
>>> I take it that there are several of you and that you have a plan.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>>>>>
>>>>>I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your
>>>>>claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen
>>>>>names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something
>>>>>new.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>nor do I care,
>>>>>>your banter becomes taxing...
>>>>>
>>>>>If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
>>>>>disjointed stuff from this side.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I have not only had the chance to see the
>>>>>>text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
>>>>>>denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>>>>>
>>>>>You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
>>>>>amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>however, I have formed an opinion
>>>>>>of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>>>>>
>>>>>Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
>>>>>dismissal.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave K8MN

>>
>>

## 1002. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 04:27:29 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <34cgf15mrg4b2333e4glst4rtsglkpvrum@4ax.com>
Source record: 115352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 20:31:18 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>... Sampsons' strength was in his hair, didn't seem God hated long hair
>too much ...
>
>John

He must have used some pretty strong conditioner...

# 1003. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 04:51:30 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <IPIGDBGI38573.0357638889@anonymous>
Source record: 115353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <pan.2005.08.09.03.31.15.476555@gmail.com>
John Smith <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> ... Sampsons' strength was in his hair, didn't seem God hated
long hair
> too much ...

Yep, and Jesus his son had long hair.......I bet even God has a
pony tail and long white beard.

Saggytits is just upset since he went bald before he reached 18.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1004. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 05:03:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uOWJe.3304$RS.1705@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115354

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Like I say, repetitive and run in a circle...

Your posts? It seems that way. You make a false statement and then dismiss anything which runs counter to it. As an example, you have a beef with my saying, "John Smith is not real". Well, "John", you aren't. There's nothing circular about it.

You complain over my opinion that anonymous posters shouldn't be given any credence. You don't provide a valid reason why anyone should give them credence.

You tried to put words in my mouth when you wrote (and put into quotes): "It is personalities which matter here and NOT facts!" but I didn't write that--you did. How do you account for that?

You start with a false premise and quickly veer away or become dismissive when someone confronts you with the falsehood.

> krist, no wonder your posts
> seems do dizzy!

I'm sure they seem that way to you, "John". My posts seem dizzy because they address specific things which you've written. Yet you don't address my points in response at all. That's the nice thing about being an anonymous troll.

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 03:23:20 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dave:
>>>
>>>I find all your points circular, "John Smith is not real",
>>
>>Circular? Hell, that's fact, "John". You're hiding behind a mask.
>>
>>
>>> "anonymous
>>>posters are NOT to be given credence!"

>>
>>That is my very sincere opinion. I give you no credence, especially
>>after reading your rants.
>>
>>, "It is personalities which
>>
>>>matter here and NOT facts!", etc...
>>
>>You've attributed that to me but it not something I've written. You
>>wrote it. Go have an argument with yourself over it.
>>
>>
>>><yawn>
>>
>>You boring yourself?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 01:50:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>
>>>>>You "points" have meaning only to yourself, enjoy them...
>>>>>Whatever you attempt to do, do it, we must carry on here, try not to get in
>>>>>the way...
>>>>
>>>>Ahhhhh, I've been dismissed have I? I love the "we must carry on here".
>>>> I take it that there are several of you and that you have a plan.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your
>>>>>>claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen
>>>>>>names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something
>>>>>>new.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>nor do I care,
>>>>>>>your banter becomes taxing...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
>>>>>>disjointed stuff from this side.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I have not only had the chance to see the
>>>>>>>text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common
>>>>>>>denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
>>>>>>amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>however, I have formed an opinion
>>>>>>>of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
>>>>>>dismissal.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>

# 1005. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 05:10:08 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <f5fef94e2be63f7d03d6096d1fda9c3c@dizum.com>
Source record: 115355

```
In article
<1123468472.781936.280870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > In article
> > <1123342041.756918.326...@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <1123285124.092291.201...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > >
<1123184755.040805.176...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Been having nice days myself since NPRM came out
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That and you got yourself a fresh bottle of Mad Dog
> > 20/20,
> > > > > > > right, Marky?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Nice try, ANON Steve. The Yiddish wine gave you away.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wrong prediction, NostraDUMBASS.
> > > >
> > > Do you know what a prediction is? Try googling "Decision
> > Science."
> > >
> > Nice of you to prove my point, NostraDUMBASS!
>
> But you had no point.

Ah, you are too brain dead to see it, NostraDUMBASS! Hitting the
MD 20/20 again?
```

# 1006. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 05:14:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123564477.018082.85320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115356

Dave Heil wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Dave:
> >
> > Like I say, repetitive and run in a circle...
>
> Your posts? It seems that way. You make a false statement and then
> dismiss anything which runs counter to it. As an example, you have a
> beef with my saying, "John Smith is not real". Well, "John", you
> aren't. There's nothing circular about it.

eniterely circlar Dave
>
> You complain over my opinion that anonymous posters shouldn't be given
> any credence. You don't provide a valid reason why anyone should give
> them credence.

But you clearly give credence by going on and on that they do not have
credence

you sound like Stevie, claiming he doesn't care what his targets say
and dreicting most of his output towards them

John need not, indeed can not provide a vaild reason, each person must
do that or not do it for themselves
>
> You tried to put words in my mouth when you wrote (and put into quotes):
> "It is personalities which matter here and NOT facts!" but I didn't
> write that--you did. How do you account for that?

it certainly the case you are not influenced by facts, few humans
really are, the matter is mostly one of personalities
>
> You start with a false premise and quickly veer away or become
> dismissive when someone confronts you with the falsehood.

you guys realy need to learn the difference beteween Falsehood and
simple difference of point of view
>
> > krist, no wonder your posts
> > seems do dizzy!
>
> I'm sure they seem that way to you, "John". My posts seem dizzy because
> they address specific things which you've written. Yet you don't

you don't have control over your world indeed no one does really merely
the ilusion of control

you come back to insiting on the same thing the right to control the
discussion, you can't, you can only influence it

> address my points in response at all. That's the nice thing about being
> an anonymous troll.

or simply in exercising Human choice
>
> Dave K8MN

not entirely sure what John is up to, which is of course part of the
fun in watching

# 1007. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 05:27:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123565249.580330.46430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115357

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an_old_friend" on Mon 8 Aug 2005 13:56
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: John Smith on Sun 7 Aug 2005 23:27
>
> >> You may not have seen the ham magazines of the 50s when
> >> single channel SSB voice was beginning to take off. There
> >> was great antipathy towards PHASING methods of modulation-
> >> demodulation of SSB. Hams weren't told about PHASE, didn't
> >> have the tools to see phase, few could afford 'scopes that
> >> had passbands beyond 5 MHz. It was EASIER to build filter
> >> SSB mod-demod even though it CO$T a lot more for those crystal
> >> filters. Less thinking involved. AM voice spectra was
> >> easier to understand and "brute-force" filtering to eliminate
> >> an unwanted sideband almost intuitive. Despite some good
> >> attempts at showing PHASING methods in the 50's magazines,
> >> readers and editors alike didn't like it. Even after Mike
> >> Gingell (UK ham, now living in USA) did his Polyphase Network
> >> PhD paper on a low-cost, easy-component-tolerance quadrature
> >> phase circuit, the U.S. periodicals didn't care for it. The
> >> RSGB did and showed How and showed what UK and Yurp hams
> >> were doing with it in the pages of Radio Communication. That
> >> was 30 years ago...but European hams are having fun with that
> >> Gingell polyphase network in homebrew SSB building.
> >
> >Sorry to butt in, but a bit confused here from the only famialr with
> >AM voice sidebands ad the talk of phasing sidebands are you refering to
> >a compatable system (that is can the brute force filtered SSb uint talk
> >to the phasing unit or are you discuing to different and Incompatable
> >systems (obviosuly if they were incompatable inerta is decent reason to
> >keep one over the other, after it has kept morse in place a LOT longer)
>
> Both SSB modulate-demodulate systems are compatible. Each
> produces a SINGLE AM sideband. In the Phasing system one
> needs two balanced mixers and a 0 degree and 90 degree
> relative phase shift (commonly called 'quadrature') of BOTH
> the audio AND the RF carrier. The two balanced mixer outputs
> are then algebraically summed for the result.
>
> The reason it works has to do with the relative RF phases of
> the conventional AM sideband products. The upper sideband
> components are differnt from the lower sideband components

> even though the sideband magnitudes (and frequencies) are the
> same. I could show how mathematically but this medium doesn't
> show equations well and John Carson's formula is rather long.

indeed it does not do equations well but I have seen most of it back in
college, pity my Physics prof never mentioned use SSB as a tranmission
medium his lecture would have gotten my attention beter, but by then I
was more interested in Plate Techtonics and what my geology profs was
going on about

and the graphical analys made more sense anyway
>
> The trick is to get a wideband audio phase shift network
> that retains the quadrature (90 degree) difference all across
> the desired audio bandwidth. The RF carrier can do that but
> the percentage bandwidth is small so the RF quadrature is
> relatively easy to do in hardware. The audio network is not
> easy to do. It is the SELECTION of audio phase and carrier
> phase into the mixers that determines whether or not an upper
> or lower sideband is desired. The amount of rejection of the
> unwanted sideband depends on the tolerance of holding the
> relative phase shifts as close as possible to 90 degrees.
>
> The "suppressed carrier" part of SSB is by virtue of the
> mixers balancing out the RF carrier in their output.
>
> The Gingell Polyphase Network takes 0 and 180 degree audio
> in and produces four audio outputs, each in quadrature with
> their adjacent output. The tolerance of exact quadrature is
> so good one can use ordinary 5% tolerance resistors and
> capacitors (relatively inexpensive) without matching them
> in pairs/quads. Much better than half-century old tube
> technique passive networks which required 1% or better R
> and C and always had a finite relative phase error.
>
> >I migt as well learn something, and I am not afraid to admit the limits
> >of my knowledge that is of course the first step in increasing it
>
> Absolutely. [few extras in here admit to gaps in knowledge
> since they are Morsemen who don't need theory]
>
> There's considerable data on phasing SSB generation-demodulation
> in older textbooks and some on the Internet. A search on
> "Phasing SSB" will turn up some interesting homebrewed-designed
> phasing generators and a few complete transceivers.
>
> My former boss and still my friend, Jim Hall, KD6JG, pointed me
> to a very interesting circuit in the RSGB magazine Radio
> Communication back in the early 70s. Jim did some early work
> on "third method of SSB" that is referenced in the "Collins
> SSB book" (familiar name) by Pappenfus, Bruene, and Schoenike

> then with Collins Radio. I snitched a bit of mainframe time
> and did a circuit analysis of it that got mentioned in Pat
> Hawker's column in Radio Communication a few months after
> Peter Martinez' circuit/experiments were featured. [the same
> G3PLX that would go on to innovate PSK31 much later]
>
> For others who were in early radar work, particularly avionics
> weapons systems, "phasing systems" are the key ingredient to
> "monopulse radars" that can determine elevation and azimuth
> from a radar antenna boresight line without physical movement
> of the antenna. Those started showing up in the 1950s. The
> "phase shift networks" at microwaves (X and K bands) are quite
> a bit diffeent than R-C ones at audio! :-)
>
> yee haw
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1008. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 05:41:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.05.41.54.157358@gmail.com>
Source record: 115358

Dave:

No dave, I dismiss your posts because there is nothing there of substance, usually. I find them usually just be contradictory just for the sake of contradiction, others may decide for themselves...

I don't argue what I know is fact, no sense in it, most times I would expect googling would provide anything necessary to prove it one way or another. Other times I suppose you would have had to have worked with the hardware and/or software to know... when you obviously have not, yet choose to argue something, which is obvious to me you have no clue on, I have no choice but to end it, arguing further would be pointless, you are free to carry on as you choose, just no longer at the expense of my time.

You may call all the names you like, hold any opinion you like, give any opinion you hold of me, or anyone else for that matter, in the end it just doesn't matter. You wish your opinions to matter to me--I cannot help you there, seems like life itself would have taught you about that...

You repeat you have an issue with my anonymity, perhaps anyones, so be it, get over it or set there and dwell upon, you don't need my company...

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 05:03:22 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Like I say, repetitive and run in a circle...
>
> Your posts? It seems that way. You make a false statement and then
> dismiss anything which runs counter to it. As an example, you have a
> beef with my saying, "John Smith is not real". Well, "John", you
> aren't. There's nothing circular about it.
>
> You complain over my opinion that anonymous posters shouldn't be given
> any credence. You don't provide a valid reason why anyone should give
> them credence.
>
> You tried to put words in my mouth when you wrote (and put into quotes):
> "It is personalities which matter here and NOT facts!" but I didn't
> write that--you did. How do you account for that?
>
> You start with a false premise and quickly veer away or become
> dismissive when someone confronts you with the falsehood.

>
>> krist, no wonder your posts
>> seems do dizzy!
>
> I'm sure they seem that way to you, "John". My posts seem dizzy because
> they address specific things which you've written. Yet you don't
> address my points in response at all. That's the nice thing about being
> an anonymous troll.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 03:23:20 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave:
>>>>
>>>>I find all your points circular, "John Smith is not real",
>>>
>>>Circular? Hell, that's fact, "John". You're hiding behind a mask.
>>>
>>>
>>>> "anonymous
>>>>posters are NOT to be given credence!"
>>>
>>>That is my very sincere opinion. I give you no credence, especially
>>>after reading your rants.
>>>
>>>, "It is personalities which
>>>
>>>>matter here and NOT facts!", etc...
>>>
>>>You've attributed that to me but it not something I've written. You
>>>wrote it. Go have an argument with yourself over it.
>>>
>>>
>>>><yawn>
>>>
>>>You boring yourself?
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>>On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 01:50:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You "points" have meaning only to yourself, enjoy them...
>>>>>>Whatever you attempt to do, do it, we must carry on here, try not to get in
>>>>>>the way...
>>>>>
>>>>>Ahhhhh, I've been dismissed have I? I love the "we must carry on here".
>>>>> I take it that there are several of you and that you have a plan.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:NkDJe.2672$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I don't think you much have an "idea" about anything...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I think I have a goodly number of them. I poked holes in several of your
>>>>>>>claims--about actors with pseudonyms, about voting, about authors with pen
>>>>>>>names. You haven't countered them. You're just veering toward something
>>>>>>>new.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>nor do I care,
>>>>>>>>your banter becomes taxing...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If you think *that's* tough, you should try reading some of your
>>>>>>>disjointed stuff from this side.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I have not only had the chance to see the
>>>>>>>>text you post to me, but others, if I am confused about what the common

>>>>>>>>denominator to all is--well, so be it...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You can see the stuff I post in response to others? That's pretty
>>>>>>>amazing. I can see the stuff you're posting to others too!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>however, I have formed an opinion
>>>>>>>>of it, and it not anything which I need be bothered with...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yet, you keep bothering. I like the attempt at a condescending, quick
>>>>>>>dismissal.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>
>>>>
>>

# 1009. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 05:59:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.05.59.45.578094@gmail.com>
Source record: 115360

AOF:

I am sorry it seems like a mystery, what I am "up to", I am simply observing a time in radio history like has never been before and may never come again, at least in my lifetime... old barriers are falling, a new influence will soon find its' way on to the amateur bands. It is obvious to me antiquated technology dominates the ham bands, the old timers and not accepting the new equipment and the state of the hobby lies in stagnation... another phenomenon is that there seems to be a common factor to most of these amateurs, they resist change--like it strikes fear in them... certainly I am not the only one to see home this "group of old buddies" have banded together around this "common denominator?"

I am thrilled over the prospect of new hams entering in meaningful numbers, manufacturers taking note, and dynamic changes occurring which returns some of the excitement back to the hobby... surely everyone realizes that not even the manufacturers who make ham equip can get very excited about the sales potential of new ideas, new hardware and new software to this very small market...

... believe it or not, I am quite excited about all of this--watching it unfold. Seeing how a few personalities are able to influence others will and "fool" them along on this rather bizarre rut they have been stuck in ...

I have always been a "radio loner." Have always though it ridiculous to see the "high school feud" between hams and cb'ers. I have always enjoyed a good cb rig.

You know what the real difference is between hams and cb'ers? About a megacycle, a short jump from the 11 meter band to the 10 meter... about a single footstep.

Guess that is how I escaped the trap I observe here, I never seen a wall there. And, now with the elimination of code, I expect none to find a wall there at all...

It really ain't no big thang, 10-fer good buddie? <grin>

John

On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 22:14:37 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Dave:
>> >

>> > Like I say, repetitive and run in a circle...
>>
>> Your posts? It seems that way. You make a false statement and then
>> dismiss anything which runs counter to it. As an example, you have a
>> beef with my saying, "John Smith is not real". Well, "John", you
>> aren't. There's nothing circular about it.
>
> eniterely circlar Dave
>>
>> You complain over my opinion that anonymous posters shouldn't be given
>> any credence. You don't provide a valid reason why anyone should give
>> them credence.
>
> But you clearly give credence by going on and on that they do not have
> credence
>
> you sound like Stevie, claiming he doesn't care what his targets say
> and dreicting most of his output towards them
>
>
> John need not, indeed can not provide a vaild reason, each person must
> do that or not do it for themselves
>>
>> You tried to put words in my mouth when you wrote (and put into quotes):
>> "It is personalities which matter here and NOT facts!" but I didn't
>> write that--you did. How do you account for that?
>
> it certainly the case you are not influenced by facts, few humans
> really are, the matter is mostly one of personalities
>>
>> You start with a false premise and quickly veer away or become
>> dismissive when someone confronts you with the falsehood.
>
> you guys realy need to learn the difference beteween Falsehood and
> simple difference of point of view
>>
>> > krist, no wonder your posts
>> > seems do dizzy!
>>
>> I'm sure they seem that way to you, "John". My posts seem dizzy because
>> they address specific things which you've written. Yet you don't
>
> you don't have control over your world indeed no one does really merely
> the ilusion of control
>
> you come back to insiting on the same thing the right to control the
> discussion, you can't, you can only influence it
>
>> address my points in response at all. That's the nice thing about being
>> an anonymous troll.

>
> or simply in exercising Human choice
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> not entirely sure what John is up to, which is of course part of the
> fun in watching

# 1010. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 06:06:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.06.06.39.303548@gmail.com>
Source record: 115359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Anon:

To tell you the truth, I have had both long and short hair. I have much seen it as I do the difference between a conversation on 10 meters and one on 11 meters, they are both alright...

Some people lacking real self-esteem always find some element to which they can attach themselves to and feel superior or better than another or a group. This only appears very strange to one who views them on their interests, thoughts, ideas, motivations and ability to be interesting...

Like that old TV ad, "We don't want tuna with good taste, we want tuna that tastes good!" I'll be the judge of someone who "thinks his/her self interesting", what they think just isn't a concern of mine, in that area... strange they can consider it to be any other way...

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 04:51:30 +0000, Anonymous wrote:

> In article <pan.2005.08.09.03.31.15.476555@gmail.com>
> John Smith <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> ... Sampsons' strength was in his hair, didn't seem God hated
> long hair
>> too much ...
>
> Yep, and Jesus his son had long hair.......I bet even God has a
> pony tail and long white beard.
>
> Saggytits is just upset since he went bald before he reached 18.
>
>
> -=-
> This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 1011. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 09:49:59 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.saw.de.wheel.in.de.middle.of.de.air@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 115361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

First, Woger tries to pretend that the mere idea of the existence of barbers during Jesus' time is laughable, by saying:

: BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Yeah, barbers were so prevalent in his
: day! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
: What a dumbfuck you are, Saggytits. Get that much needed Rogaine
: yet? Or are you going to get hair plugs?

And then, in a puzzling non-sequitur, Woger continues with:

: Oh, and you'd better upgrade your research skills yourself.
: According to http://www.barberpole.com/artof.htm, In Egypt, many
: centuries before Christ, barbers were prosperous and highly
: respected. The ancient monuments and papyrus show that the
: Egyptians shaved their beards and their heads. The [SNIP]

This latter statement underlines that fact that short hair (and barbers) had been around for centuries prior to the time of Jesus and St. Paul, making the reader wonder just what Woger's point could be?

It would seem that Woger was arguing with himself.

On second inspection, one can see that the last part of Woger's post is a cut and paste job which was tacked onto an earlier post. Apparently, Woger was too lazy to read the new, combined text, and he was completely oblivious to the actual meaning of what he had just created.

The post is therefore nothing more than a redemonstration of Woger's intellectual laziness.

Now we know why Woger never made the DeVry Correspondence School's Philosophical Debate Team, in spite of the fact that he resembles Ezekiel.

====
http://img252.imageshack.us/img252/9323/ab8mq08030cb.jpg

"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

## 1012. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 11:42:31 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <B3S2US2K38573.5711921296@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115362

In article
<1123468876.403266.258980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:

> If you had even half a brain

I'd still be much smarter than you are or ever could be,
NostraDUMBASS!

## 1013. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 13:06:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123592807.593668.218080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115363

Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com says...

> > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> >
> > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> >
> >
> I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> discussion you are having with the other people.
>
> How that can be construed as a rescue attempt should be left for those
> that can actually see the writing between the lines
>
> Again, if the attribute should be to Steve, my apologizes. I thought I
> had read them correctly.

Hey Steve...Welcome back!

No...You got the attributes correct.

Brain just can't stand to have his errors pointed out or
corrected, and unfortunately he provides so many of them that need
correcting.

Also, he tends to "hang" with a less...uh..."reputable" crowd, so
when he sees yet another person redressing his mistakes, he just digs
in for more of his antics.

So how's things been with you?

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 1014. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 13:17:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123593421.742122.323000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115364

b.b. wrote:
> Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com says...

> > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > >
> > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> > >
> > >
> > I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> > mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> > cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> > discussion you are having with the other people.
>
> Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives, and
> "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher wines.
> That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to Steve.

Steven J Robeson's posts only contain ONE yiddish word..."putz"...

Again, Brain, you grossly misrepresent the truth with such
exaggerations as "...postings are full of..."

Just one more remnder of why it's so easy to prove that you are
the deceitful creep I claim you to be.

> > How that can be construed as a rescue attempt should be left for those
> > that can actually see the writing between the lines
>
> Steve requires some kind of rescue. I'm suprised they don't have in in
> some kind of group sessions at work.

Brain wishes there were "sessions at work" for himself,
Steve...Brian P Burke continues to lie, deceieve, and make
misrepresentations about the very radio service in which he's a
licensee

> > Again, if the attribute should be to Steve, my apologizes. I thought I
> > had read them correctly.
>
> You read them correctly.

It's just that Brain doesn't want to take responsibility for his
own posting errors.

> > The fact remains, there is no such thing as Yiddish wine.
>
> Fair enough. Does the label actually claim "Mad Dog" or does is state
> "MD?"

Brain must be a "Boone's Farm" kinda guy...

> I have heard
> > my grandmother, may she rest in peace, whine in yiddish though :-)
> >
> > Have a topiary weekend
>
> I do need to get my ears lowered.

With a Guillotine.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1015. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 13:20:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123593645.946786.123540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115365

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:

> > you sure this Steven isn't just a fake front for Stevie? sure looks
> > like Stevie's still
> >
> > nah I must be wrong no ranting Liar can't be the stevie we know and
> > loath
>
> Steve Adell is no Steven J. Robeson, though they may both be
> connoisseurs of cheap, kosher wine.
>
> "Anonymous" appears to be Robeson's feeble attempts at anonymity.

What's a matter, Brain...?!?!

Someone with an anonymous screen name jumps in with "support" for
you and it's "OK"...

Someone see's I am correct and you're a lair, now you think it's
me doing it for my own benefit...

Pretty paranoid there, PuppetBoy!

Steve, K4YZ

## 1016. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 13:34:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123594449.968206.275260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115366

an_old_friend wrote:
> looks like another Stevie clone

"...another Stevie clone" suggests that there was an ORIGINAL
"clone"...

That would be...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1017. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 13:42:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddabs7$152e$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115367

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>Of
>>>>course the patience of Job will be needed
>>>>for all the error correction needed
>
>
>>>Slow...error prone...not used by other services...
>
>
>> Where'd I hear that before? ;^)
>
>
>>>>>>>>HF will never be the place for high speed
>>>>>>>>digital transmission. There
>>>>>>>>is too much noise and signals are subject
>>>>>>>>to the vagaries of wave
>>>>>>>>propagation phenomena.
>
>
>>>>>A lot depends on what you mean by "high speed", and what
>>>>>resources you can use.
>
>
>>>If you're used to 45 baud RTTY, 1200 baud is high speed,
```

>>>isn't it?
>
>
>>>>>For example, if you're allowed to use wide-enough bandwidths,
>>>>>all sorts of stuff is possible.
>
>
>>>>Sure. I think some people are believing that I am saying this > >>is
>>>>impossible. It is not impossible. But not very practical.
>>>>I'd have to
>>>>work it out, but I think there are some ham segments where
>>>>we'd need more bandwidth than is alloted
>
>
>>>The difference is that unlike, say, the US Army in 1952,
>>>there is no
>>>High Command that determines who gets what frequencies
>>>for what path at what time.
>
>
>>>>>If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain
>>>>>antennas, all sorts of stuff is possible.
>>
>>>> A strong signal mode? 8^)
>
>
> Sure - that's predictable by Shannon's. It's not hard to
> understand and explain, if you really understand what
> Shannon is all about. (Some folks make a lot of noise
> about it, acting as if it's some big deal, but it's really
> quite easy to understand.)
>
> Consider this situation:
>
> Plain old on-off keying lets you send one unit of information
> per unit time. Either a 1 or a 0, on or off. Digital and
> all that.
>
> But suppose we have a system (transmitter, receiver and connection
> between them)that has four states - 100% on,
> 66% on, 33% on, and off (0% on). We can then apply a meaning to those
> four states - say, 11, 10, 01, and 00, respectively - and send two
> units of information per unit time in the *same* bandwidth.
>
> We've just doubled the data rate without changing the modulation
> method, bandwidth, or basic keying rate. But for it to work,
> the system must have good enough signal-to-noise ratio to
> determine the four states reliably.
>
> That principle can be continued as far as our signal-to-noise ratio

> allows. For example, a system of 128 states could be done,
> if the system S/N is good enough, allowing the transmission of
> seven units of information per unit time in the same bandwidth as
> was previously used for one unit.
>
> IIRC we had this conversation before, only it was about a PSK system
> with many more states, rather than an AMK system.
>
> And there's no reason multiple carriers can't be used, as well as
> multiple ways of modulating the same carrier - say phase and amplitude
> modulation at the same time.
>
> Of course the entire system has to have adequate s/n to deal with
> the tiny variations of phase and amplitude.

I can see the needed s/n ratio going up with each addition.

> Telephone line modems make use of the predictable stability and
> characteristics of the telephone lines. HF radio is a bit less
> stable.

And yet even here, I can remember paying extra for a modem line, which
was quieter than a regular phone line. I don't know if there are such
things any more - its been a long time since I've used dial-up.
Otherwise you slow to a crawl, as error checking struggles to keep up
with line noise s/n.

> Of course if the taxpayers are footing the bill, all sorts of
> things can be done.
>
>
>>>Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and
>>>curtain
>>>arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary for the
>>>military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the
>>>taxpayer's
>>>dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like > > the
>>>R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of > > them are needed? Etc.
>
>
>>>Completely different from what most hams deal with.
>
>
>>>>>If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
>>>>>so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
>>>>>things are possible.
>>>>
>>>> OY!
>>>
>>>
>>>Very common military and commercial practice.

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
>>>>>the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
>>>>>frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
>>>>>possible.
>>>>
>>>> Doubly Oy!
>>>
>>>
>>>It's what ALE is all about.
>>
>>Just not too applicable for our purposes.
>
>
> My Elecraft K2 has programmable memories that can be set for each band
> and mode. Other rigs have similar features.
>
> It would not be difficult to have it step through them (using the
> RS-232 port and computer control) comparing each frequency with the
> others. With suitable time synchronization between, say, you and I, the
> rigs could step through the various preprogrammed QRGs, looking for the
> spot with the best propagation and no QRM.
>
> Of course we'd have to set it all up beforehand so we'd - or rather the
> rigs - would know precisely where to send and listen.
>
> The K2's ATU also remembers antenna tuner settings per memory.
>
>
>>>>>Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
>>>>>the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
>>>>>regulations.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
>>>>>>>>when it was said that we
>>>>>>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
>>>>>>>>everything above 200M
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
>>>>>
>>>>>What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
>>>>>only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
>>>>>a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
>>>>>s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
>>>>>
>>>>>In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually

>>>>>worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
>>>>>longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
>>>>>even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
>>>>>>HF won't
>>>>>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
>>>>>>caused hams
>>>>>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
>>>>>>Many
>>>>>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>>>>>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
>>>>>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>>>>>>knowledge.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>>>>>>signal?
>
>
> As much as the S/N allows! See above.

Of course! As a practical matter though, we have to assume amateur
radio conditions. A real limitation there.

One of the things that I wonder about with the need for huge s/n
handling ratios is that we obviously want a quiet reciever, with a
impressive noise floor. This means all of the "oomph" must be on the
strong signal handling side. We need an exquisitely sensitive and quiet
reciever, with exceptional strong signal handling capacity.

>>How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>>
>>>>>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>>>>>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>>>>>>transmission?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>All very good questions!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>when was that Jim
>>>>>>
>>>>>>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>>>>>>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>>>>>>>>>along the same lines
>>>>>>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>>>>>>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
>>>>>>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
>>>>>>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
>>>>>>everyones standard) pictures.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
>>>>>>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
>>>>>>going to steal the technology.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You can't steal vaporware.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
>>>>>>believers among
>>>>>>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
>>>>>>up from
>>>>>>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
>>>>>>into the real world?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
>>>>
>>>> You betchya!
>>
>> I have to note that we speak of actual systems, real world devices -
>>some of which are yet to be made of course, but practicalities (granted
>>you much more than myself - but I am an RF neophyte, having come from
>>the digital world)
>
>
>> Others seem to be more in the vein of "so there! or someone is going to
>>come along and prove you naysayers wrong!" mode.
>
>
> Bingo.
>
>

>> Faith based electronics.
>
>
> Yes - those who dare to even like modes such as Morse Code
> are cursed as infidels who do not understand the Word that
> "newer is always better" and "the PROFESSIONALS know best"

If newer is always better, I wonder why these vanguards of the brave new world aren't typing to us stodgy mortals in leet? After all, it's newer.

One of the biggest flaws of many people is that they have no appreciation of mature technology and people. They choose to concentrate on the limitations and foibles of both, not realizing that they are themselves full of their own foibles and limitations. They create for themselves great effort and even harm in their rush to discard the old, simply to reinvent it.

>
>> I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along? You
>>know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a few
>>feet long that outperform anything we have today.
>> The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor
>>powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word
>>efficient, *they* did.
>
>
> It *is* efficient, Mike! It's very efficient at turning HF RF energy
> into heat.

I've seen better! ;^)

>>>Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an
>>>old friend".
>>>You won't get it.
>>
>>And I'm starting to think that some of them might be
>>duplicates anyhow.
>
>
>> Quitefine and Darkguard (nee Blackguard) indeed!
>
>
> Doesn't matter - they have nothing practical to offer.
>
>
>>>They're not about actually *doing* ham radio,
>>>just arguing about it.
>>
>> Too bad they cant do a better job.
>
>

> Indeed

Quite! - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1018. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 13:44:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123595047.543334.171830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115368

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > > > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > > > >>Army career!
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Sheesh!
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Yawn.
> > > >
> > > > I'm with you, "bb".
> > >
> > > But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >
> > No...you just bootlegged from a UN-controlled zone without proper
> > authority.
> >
> > What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> That's merely your interpretation.

And the matter of regulations for foreces operating under UN
authority on foreign soil.

> Given your propensity for lying...(SNIP)

You mean my propensity for rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR lies,
Brain...

> and not having seen you in Somalia for you to make such a judgement,
> I'm highly suspicious of your statements.

What's to be suspicious of?

YOU stated you operated from Somalia. (Your words)

YOU stated your "commander" gave you permission to do so. (Your words)

Unless your "commander" was appointed by appropriate UN authority to do so, that "permission" was inappropriately given.

In short...You were illegal.

Based upon YOUR words....

> In fact, I'd say you are lying.

Then if I am "lying", it's based upon bogus information YOU provided.

> Nothing new there.

Now THAT would be correct...Nothing new there when it comes to bogus information from Brian P. Burke.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1019. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 13:45:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123595152.262441.86230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115369

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > > > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > > > >>Army career!
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Sheesh!
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Yawn.
> > > >
> > > > I'm with you, "bb".
> > >
> > > But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >
> > No...you just bootlegged from a UN-controlled zone without proper
> > authority.
> >
> > What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> That's merely your interpretation.

And the matter of regulations for foreces operating under UN
authority on foreign soil.

> Given your propensity for lying...(SNIP)

You mean my propensity for rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR lies,
Brain...

> and not having seen you in Somalia for you to make such a judgement,
> I'm highly suspicious of your statements.

What's to be suspicious of?

YOU stated you operated from Somalia. (Your words)

YOU stated your "commander" gave you permission to do so. (Your words)

Unless your "commander" was appointed by appropriate UN authority to do so, that "permission" was inappropriately given.

In short...You were illegal.

Based upon YOUR words....

> In fact, I'd say you are lying.

Then if I am "lying", it's based upon bogus information YOU provided.

> Nothing new there.

Now THAT would be correct...Nothing new there when it comes to bogus information from Brian P. Burke.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1020. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 14:40:37 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050809144037.E45371720B@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115371

In article <1123468648.594211.78710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > In article
<1123378380.907455.7...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish
perjoratives,
> > and
> > > > "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap,
kosher
> > wines.
> > > > That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous
to
> > Steve.
> >
> > > It's not a secret about Mad Dog 20/20, NostraDUMBASS, perhaps
> > > you should get out of the basement more.
> > > But that would involve you getting a pair, eh?
> >
> > > > Fair enough. Does the label actually claim "Mad Dog" or
does
> > is state
> > > > "MD?"
> >
> > > Your ignorance has no bounds.
> >
> > > > I do need to get my ears lowered.
> >
> > > Do us all a favor and have it done from the neck up.
>
> Funny that

Funny that you responded to all of them, but then you never do
have anything of interest to say.

Did you get your ears lowered from the neck up yet?

# 1021. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 14:51:50 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <EW5RKRLC38573.452662037@anonymous>
Source record: 115372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <pan.2005.08.09.06.06.39.303548@gmail.com>
John Smith <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Anon:
>
> To tell you the truth, I have had both long and short hair. I
have much
> seen it as I do the difference between a conversation on 10
meters and one
> on 11 meters, they are both alright...

I have short hair and have always been clean shaven. Saggytits
thinks everybody is Roger Wiseman, after getting trounced by him
for several years.

> Some people lacking real self-esteem always find some element
to which
> they can attach themselves to and feel superior or better than
another or
> a group. This only appears very strange to one who views them
on their
> interests, thoughts, ideas, motivations and ability to be
interesting...
>
> Like that old TV ad, "We don't want tuna with good taste, we
want tuna
> that tastes good!" I'll be the judge of someone who "thinks
his/her self
> interesting", what they think just isn't a concern of mine, in
that
> area... strange they can consider it to be any other way...
>
> John

Saggytits is very narrow minded.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1022. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 15:24:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123601073.734435.103540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115373

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:

> >>And I'm starting to think that some of them might be
> >>duplicates anyhow.
> >
> >
> >> Quitefine and Darkguard (nee Blackguard) indeed!

Whatta ya wanna bet that "Anon John" is not a licensed anything in
radio?

> > Doesn't matter - they have nothing practical to offer.

Well I dunno about that Mike, jeez, lookit all the leading-edge
"solutions" Sweetums has dumped in here over the years . . .

> >>>They're not about actually *doing* ham radio,
> >>>just arguing about it.
> >>
> >> Too bad they cant do a better job.
> >
> >
> > Indeed

CW provides a 10dB path gain over SSB with a simple twist of the mode
selector knob. Can't wait to find out what the path gain of CW will be
vs. all the furiously hyped HF digital modes. None of which actually
exist 10-15 years later of course. Big surprise huh?

RX front ends: One can sink thousands upon thousands of bucks into
current-tech xcvrs which, by current standards, are the masters of
front-end performance. IC-7800, Orion, FT-9000, etc. IF and ONLY if the
atmospheric + QRN noise levels are below the RX noise floor. If not a
kid with a "hopeless" $200 old crapper xcvr in a quiet location will
spank the pants off any of the aforementioned big boxes.

If the kid has also learned how to use his ears to duck around strong
adjacent signals and how to copy thru his crummy RX noise floor he'll
have another pile of dB gain over the clueless who only have money,
mouths and keyboards in some combiation or another.

Beyond this comes the subject of "operator skills". Oh Good Lord I
forgot again: Discussions about operator skills have nothing to do with
technical or policy "matters".

. apologies . .

These are the realities of REAL ham radio.

Fuggem, let 'em eat cake and rant on.

>
> Quite! - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

# 1023. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 15:26:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123601215.756699.233330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115374

John Smith wrote:
> To all:
>
> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
> in step with my beliefs.
>
> However, somethings I believe are:
>
> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than
> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.

There are more people in prisons in the United States than
licensed Amateurs, however I fail to see the relevence to that, too.

Shall we allow those illegals to obtain Amateur licenses much the
same as some states are considering allowing illegals to obtain drivers
licenses, public assistance, etc? Perhaps we should go ahead and start
putting repeater ID's up in Spanish?

> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone,
> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.

Maybe if we got the unelmployed to spend some of their idle time
getting an Amateur license those numbers would shift???

> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
> above.

Hmmmmmmmm...I know of a COUPLE Extras who are over 60, but almost
everyone I know (myself included, obviously) are well under 60. Of
course those numbers change drastically depending on local
demographics. I am sure that there are more 60+ Extras in Miami than
Nashville, for example!

> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are
> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.

I believe this is an inaccurate assessment.

The simple fact that FEMA and it's agents are pumping millions of
dollars INTO Amateur Radio training programs and providing Amateur
Radio facilities at it's comm centers alone disproves the assertion.

> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.

Judging on the capabilites of "modern" Amateur gear (ie: Icom
IC-756ProIII, etc) I'd say this is yet another inaccuate assessment.

Just picking up even one of the most basic 2 meter HT's and
comparing what they can do as opposed to thier forebearers demonstrates
that the move continues to be a forward one.

And if the manufacturers considered us so minute, why do the
manufacturers continue to "retool" and aggressively offer even newer
devices with expanded options and functionality? That retooling costs
money, and those expenditures must be justified to stockholders.
Obviously someone thinks the expenditure is worth the return.

> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
> stages of a massive change.

We have always been in a state of flux.

As a matter of fact, I think some of the biggest fluxers I have
ever known are fight here in this forum!

> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.

I refer back to my comments under Item #4 and #5. The investments
of trust and money by government, public and private agencies and
manufacturers doesn't support your contention.

Just because there aren't as many HT's hanging from beltloops as
cellphones does not negate our functionality or "worthiness".

> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!

If you read some of the Amateur journals from 20, 30, even 40
years ago there have been doom-sayers and gloomers throughout.

I say that Amateur Radio today is NOT Amateur Radio of 10 years
ago, let alone of the 30's as suggested by one rather poorly informed
participant of this forum.

Nor will the Amateur Radio of 2005 resemble anything like what our
kids or their kids will experience, assuming the commercial concerns
don't get their way with our allocations.

> I am a believer...

Ditto.

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 1024. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 15:41:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123602096.877942.193280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115375

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > robert casey wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > > Recently there have been some claims about "what the
> > > > majority wants" in regards to FCC NPRMs.
> > >
> > > THe FCC doesn't make rules based on how polling comes out.
> >
>
> > I was speaking about comments, not polls. But you're right
> > either way, in that the FCC can ignore the majority
> > if it wants to.
>
> The FCC is legaly bound to ignore the majority in what it sees as the
> public interest

"...legaly (legally) bound to ignore..."

I don't think so.

> > > One very
> > > good comment can trump many "me toos".
> >
> > Or one comment that FCC just happens to agree with.
> >
> > Look at the BPL situation....
> >
> > > Besides, the FCC isn't
> > > in the business of handing out gold stars. If a requirement
> > > serves no regulatory purpose, the FCC doesn't want to bother
> > > with it.
> >
> > Or if FCC doesn't want to be bothered in the first place...
>
> Indeed the FCC doesn't want to be bothered with much from the ARS, we
> should count ourselves lucky to get any enforcement action

Us or anyone else. However the FCC has demonstrated significant
enforcement actions over All services in recent years. Refer to the
FCC's NOV/NOUO archives.

> > However, none of that is really what I was driving at.
> >
> > My point is simply that the majority of comments on code testing

> > (57%) on 98-143 were in favor of at least two code test speeds,
> > including at least 12 wpm for Advanced and Extra. That fact is
> > proved by KC8EPO's published results, right here on rrap back
> > in March of 1999. (WA6VSE/WK3C posted them).
>
> so?

> > FCC ignored the majority opinion back then and reduced code
> > testing to 5 wpm. The majority opinion was *not* acted upon
> > by FCC.
>
> and No one ever promised or sugessted it would be

Sure it was.

It was called the Constitution of the Untied States.

That concept has been lost in the caucophony of
least-common-denominatior bar-lowerings.

> the FCC has a DUTY to the PUBLIC interest first and only then to the
> interests of the ARS and finaly to the WISHES of the ARS

"The "wishes" you refer to are by citizens of the United States
who told the government what they wanted.

The government ignored them.

> > Whether FCC did the best thing or not is a matter of opinion.
> > But the plain simple fact is that the majority was *not*
> > anti-code-test.
>
> so

So the wishes of the citizens were ignored.

The Consitution was violated.

> > Now of course if the majority of comments on 05-235 are in
> > favor of no more code testing, FCC will most certainly say
> > they are simply doing what the majority wants.
>
> Not likely

Absolutely. Watch.

> The FCC will simply issue it's report and order

And in that R&O they will say "...the majority of respondents..."

Steve, K4YZ

## 1025. An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 15:52:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123602776.541032.18740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115377

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > it to you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
licensure?

For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
his/her license?

Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1026. Re: One more opinion of mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 15:58:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123603115.006757.107290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115378

John Smith wrote:
> To all:
>
> My opinion is that .015%, or less (and probably much less) of the USA
> population are licensed amateurs, that is a crime!

Oh?

I hang glide. I can attest that the number of qualified hang
glider pilots is less than a fifth of the numbers of licensed
Amateurs...active pilots less than that....And NO licensure, let alone
Morse Code test is required!

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1027. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:00:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.16.00.12.218516@gmail.com>
Source record: 115398

K4YZ:

Yes. You are saying exactly what I am pointing out is at fault. A handful of hams have formed a protectionist group to keep amateur licenses to a minimum. They are quite content with the extreme low numbers of licensees. Their arguments will all revolve around an argument that, "A handful of hams is quite sufficient." They have chanted this insane mantra until it actually rings with the sound of truth in their own ears, it is only those outside this protectionist group, and those amateurs able to view this all in a "third person" frame of mind which see the real reality. And, of course, now the FCC has seen the light and pulled back the covers on what has been "the elephant in the china closet" for many decades.

However, with these dismally small numbers, protectionist amateurs are attempting to argue the bands are crowded--this we disagree on, vehemently! Arguments are even posed that the relatively small numbers of hams contesting nearly brings the bands to halt, from traffic and pileups, again we disagree on this point.

It is obvious, because of the above--and more, the protectionist/self-serving amateurs are arguing against new licensees, we vehemently disagree here also.

I think it quite apparent, we need drastic change and quickly. Old amateurs are going to squeal like fat hams (pun intended) over losing their closed little club which has been being ran at their selfish expense to american citizens.

No one likes change but a baby with a wet diaper...

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 08:26:55 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> To all:
>>
>> I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
>> change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
>> proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
>> them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
>> is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
>> in step with my beliefs.
>>
>> However, somethings I believe are:

>>
>> 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than
>> there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> There are more people in prisons in the United States than
> licensed Amateurs, however I fail to see the relevence to that, too.
>
> Shall we allow those illegals to obtain Amateur licenses much the
> same as some states are considering allowing illegals to obtain drivers
> licenses, public assistance, etc? Perhaps we should go ahead and start
> putting repeater ID's up in Spanish?
>
>> 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone,
>> than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> Maybe if we got the unelmployed to spend some of their idle time
> getting an Amateur license those numbers would shift???
>
>> 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
>> above.
>
> Hmmmmmmmm...I know of a COUPLE Extras who are over 60, but almost
> everyone I know (myself included, obviously) are well under 60. Of
> course those numbers change drastically depending on local
> demographics. I am sure that there are more 60+ Extras in Miami than
> Nashville, for example!
>
>> 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
>> yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are
>> doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
>
> I believe this is an inaccurate assessment.
>
> The simple fact that FEMA and it's agents are pumping millions of
> dollars INTO Amateur Radio training programs and providing Amateur
> Radio facilities at it's comm centers alone disproves the assertion.
>
>> 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
>> yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
>> sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>
> Judging on the capabilites of "modern" Amateur gear (ie: Icom
> IC-756ProIII, etc) I'd say this is yet another inaccuate assessment.
>
> Just picking up even one of the most basic 2 meter HT's and
> comparing what they can do as opposed to thier forebearers demonstrates
> that the move continues to be a forward one.
>
> And if the manufacturers considered us so minute, why do the
> manufacturers continue to "retool" and aggressively offer even newer

> devices with expanded options and functionality? That retooling costs
> money, and those expenditures must be justified to stockholders.
> Obviously someone thinks the expenditure is worth the return.
>
>> 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
>> stages of a massive change.
>
> We have always been in a state of flux.
>
> As a matter of fact, I think some of the biggest fluxers I have
> ever known are fight here in this forum!
>
>> 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
>
> I refer back to my comments under Item #4 and #5. The investments
> of trust and money by government, public and private agencies and
> manufacturers doesn't support your contention.
>
> Just because there aren't as many HT's hanging from beltloops as
> cellphones does not negate our functionality or "worthiness".
>
>> 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
>> above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
>> it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
>> would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
>> the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
>> great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
>> getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
>> have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>
> If you read some of the Amateur journals from 20, 30, even 40
> years ago there have been doom-sayers and gloomers throughout.
>
> I say that Amateur Radio today is NOT Amateur Radio of 10 years
> ago, let alone of the 30's as suggested by one rather poorly informed
> participant of this forum.
>
> Nor will the Amateur Radio of 2005 resemble anything like what our
> kids or their kids will experience, assuming the commercial concerns
> don't get their way with our allocations.
>
>> I am a believer...
>
> Ditto.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1028. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:01:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123603307.049521.161760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115379

N9OGL wrote:
> >You mean like YOU were going to frag me to AOL...?!?!
>
>
> YOU would do something like that, but not me, that's what makes me
> better then you.

Todd, I generally wouldn't respond to a statement like that with
this answer, but in this case it's so very true.

I >>AM<< better than you.

On so many different levels.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1029. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:02:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.16.02.05.750948@gmail.com>
Source record: 115384

Kelly:

Attempt to kill the messenger... ancient philosophy there, but why doesn't
it surprise me the clueless always fall to it when out of legit arguments?

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 08:24:33 -0700, kelly wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> >>And I'm starting to think that some of them might be
>> >>duplicates anyhow.
>> >
>> >
>> >> Quitefine and Darkguard (nee Blackguard) indeed!
>
> Whatta ya wanna bet that "Anon John" is not a licensed anything in
> radio?
>
>> > Doesn't matter - they have nothing practical to offer.
>
> Well I dunno about that Mike, jeez, lookit all the leading-edge
> "solutions" Sweetums has dumped in here over the years . . .
>
>> >>>They're not about actually *doing* ham radio,
>> >>>just arguing about it.
>> >>
>> >> Too bad they cant do a better job.
>> >
>> >
>> > Indeed
>
> CW provides a 10dB path gain over SSB with a simple twist of the mode
> selector knob. Can't wait to find out what the path gain of CW will be
> vs. all the furiously hyped HF digital modes. None of which actually
> exist 10-15 years later of course. Big surprise huh?
>
> RX front ends: One can sink thousands upon thousands of bucks into
> current-tech xcvrs which, by current standards, are the masters of
> front-end performance. IC-7800, Orion, FT-9000, etc. IF and ONLY if the
> atmospheric + QRN noise levels are below the RX noise floor. If not a
> kid with a "hopeless" $200 old crapper xcvr in a quiet location will

> spank the pants off any of the aforementioned big boxes.
>
> If the kid has also learned how to use his ears to duck around strong
> adjacent signals and how to copy thru his crummy RX noise floor he'll
> have another pile of dB gain over the clueless who only have money,
> mouths and keyboards in some combiation or another.
>
> Beyond this comes the subject of "operator skills". Oh Good Lord I
> forgot again: Discussions about operator skills have nothing to do with
> technical or policy "matters".
>
> . apologies . .
>
> These are the realities of REAL ham radio.
>
> Fuggem, let 'em eat cake and rant on.
>
>>
>> Quite! - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv

# 1030. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:06:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123603574.023846.265720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> >BWAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAA....you seriously expect the FCC to answer a question from
> >YOU?
>
> Well you dumb redneck, either they will or the court of appeals will
> tell too.
>
> >FCC responds to complaints. The issue is K1MAN, not W1AW. If you got a
> >problem with W1AW, send in a complaint. If you got the gonads that is.
>
> Moron, W1AW does the same thing, it is funny that ALL THE MORONS in
> amateur radio complain about K1MAN, and not W1AW.

Perhaps because the ARRL/W1AW actually provide a usable service to
Amateur Radio...Not to mention that at least W1AW keeps it's operations
close to the band edges where few folks venture...K1MAN plops down in
the middle of the band and other operations be danged.

> ><SNIP> What a blowhard you are Toad. Ham radio is NOT COMMERCIAL.
> >Broadcast radio is. Need I continue, really? You are not actually that
> >ignorant are you?
>
> And what dumb### you are, Amateur radio is NON-COMMERCIAL, but
> NON-COMMERCIAL IN ALL THE OTHER SERVICE CAN MAKE MONEY, BUT ONLY ENOUGH
> TO SUBSTAIN THE STATION. Commercial radio can make a profit, read the
> rules you freaking moron.

Read the rules yourself...And try to not drool on them as you do.

> >The FCC has answered that question. It is UP TO THE CONTROL OPERATOR.
>
> yeah but the NAL seems to me is an attempt by the FCC to control the
> content. Simply because the definitions of an information bulletin and
> broadcasting is very vague.

It's not vague to anyone except for those Junior D-J types, such
as yourself, as to what they are.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1031. Re: One more opinion of mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:15:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.16.15.22.234363@gmail.com>
Source record: 115385

K4YZ:

Absolutely, no CW is required and people can hang-glide just fine, and so
it is with amateur radio... we agree.

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 08:58:35 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> To all:
>>
>> My opinion is that .015%, or less (and probably much less) of the USA
>> population are licensed amateurs, that is a crime!
>
> Oh?
>
> I hang glide. I can attest that the number of qualified hang
> glider pilots is less than a fifth of the numbers of licensed
> Amateurs...active pilots less than that....And NO licensure, let alone
> Morse Code test is required!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1032. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:26:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123604768.312957.326990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115381

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Aug 7, 7:02 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> >> regards to FCC NPRMs.
> >
> >> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
> >> commenters' views on code testing.
> >
> >The ARRL's "substantive" poll has come and gone.
> >
> >WRT98-143 has come and gone.
> >
> >Best of Luck making your journey to the present.
>
> Jimmie is still stuck in the PAST.
>
> He is so tense he loses his tenses...it should be "what the
> majority WANTED"...in the past tense.
>
> As to "what happened [with regard to] NPRM 98-143," that is
> all viewable on the FCC ECFS under that Docket number. In
> short, there are 2,367 entries there up to and including the
> FCC-official cut-off date of 15 January 1995. There are a
> total of 2,671 entries under 98-143, some of which are
> marked as received as late as 2005! That's indicative of
> lots of folks stuck in some kind of Time Warp.
>
> Report and Order 99-412, released in late December of 1999,
> made NPRM 98-143 a thing of the past. Once an R&O is
> issued, its Notice of Proposed Rule Making is NO LONGER a
> notice but an ORDER.
>
> NPRM 98-143 covered MANY different aspects of U.S. amateur
> radio regulations BESIDES the morse code test. For an
> excellent statistical summation on the ENTIRETY of the
> Comments submitted, LeRoy Klose (KC8EPO) did an excellent
> job in no less than 4 Exhibits to the FCC plus a Reply to
> Comments (15 pages) which is a text tabulation of the
> various Commenters, dated 25 and 26 January 1999. In those
> it is quite evident that the no-code-test advocates were
> the MAJORITY and NOT the minority as Miccolis alleges and

> has alleged in past postings here.
>
> FCC 99-412 was released, became LAW for U.S. radio amateurs
> and that is that whether morsemen like it or not.
>
> WT Docket 05-235 is about >>ONE<< specific change to U.S.
> amateur radio regulations: Elimination of Test Element
> 1 concerning the morse code test required now for a new
> (or "upgrade" to) General or Extra class U.S. amateur radio
> license. That PAST commentary, ARRL polls, or pipe-dreaming
> by morsemen are taken as "present day opinions" is invalid
> for the PRESENT.
>
> Jimmie and other rabid morsemen are in deep denial of the
> growing desire of those interested in amateur radio to DO
> AWAY with the morse code test. That growth has burgeoned
> into a MAJORITY, not a minority any longer.
>
> A problem with those in deep denial is that they simply
> cannot recognize a public desire which is opposed to their
> own self-centered personal desires on retaining some
> mythical standards and practices of past times when they
> "bought into" those old standards and practices. As a
> result we have all that spin doctoring by the morsemen
> doing a failing job of keeping archaic standards and
> practices alive. They are guilty only of necro-equine
> flagellation...i.e., "beating a dead horse."
>
> bet not
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim is like an archivist that plays a role before each government project collecting pop can pull-off rings, shards of glass, and campfire coals.

He never affects the outcome of a project, but his presence is a necessary nuisance.

# 1033. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:31:32 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123605092.836604.35450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115382

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>>Yes, some idiot really sent this to the FCC.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> > > > > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> > > > > >>Army career!
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Sheesh!
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yawn.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm with you, "bb".
> > > >
> > > > But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > >
> > > No...you just bootlegged from a UN-controlled zone without proper
> > > authority.
> > >
> > > What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > That's merely your interpretation.
>
> And the matter of regulations for foreces operating under UN
> authority on foreign soil.

You would have to speak to the feces under UN control. I wasn't part
of that game.

> > Given your propensity for lying...(SNIP)
>
> You mean my propensity for rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR lies,

> Brain...

No. I said your propensity for lying.

> > and not having seen you in Somalia for you to make such a judgement,
> > I'm highly suspicious of your statements.
>
> What's to be suspicious of?

You have no first hand knowledge of the situation, yet you make
judgements.

Face it, Steve, you were a non-participant, so your opinion just
doesn't matter (hi Len).

> YOU stated you operated from Somalia. (Your words)
>
> YOU stated your "commander" gave you permission to do so. (Your
> words)
>
> Unless your "commander" was appointed by appropriate UN authority
> to do so, that "permission" was inappropriately given.

My commander was appointed my the first Bush president. He does not
work for the UN. BTW, neither does his son.

> In short...You were illegal.
>
> Based upon YOUR words....

In short, you've got your head up your rectum. You must like the
view...

> > In fact, I'd say you are lying.
>
> Then if I am "lying", it's based upon bogus information YOU
> provided.

You assume that my unit was under UN control. That's where you went
wrong.

> > Nothing new there.
>
> Now THAT would be correct...Nothing new there when it comes to
> bogus information from Brian P. Burke.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Your continued ignorance of the facts is what's not new.

## 1034. Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:32:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123605153.231340.226310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115383

The Space Shuttle made it back safely this morning. (Collective sigh of relief).

But it will be a while before any more Space Shuttles fly again. More problems to fix.

I noted that NASA made a point of referring to this mission as a "test flight"...

In any event, the Shuttle program is nearing its conclusion. NASA is already looking to the next generation of people-carrying space vehicles:

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2005/08/07/MNGJSE4CAS1.DTL&type=science

which is a lot less cumbersome as:

http://tinyurl.com/aevvs

The "new" designs are much closer to the old, one-time-use, pre-Shuttle rockets. Reusability, gliders and large cargo bays are out, simpler, one-shot capsules are in.

An interesting look at the Space Shuttle's history, ideology and lessons hopefully learned can be seen at:

http://www.idlewords.com/2005/08/a_rocket_to_nowhere.htm#school

which is less cumbersome as:

http://tinyurl.com/cws82

---

What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing, ham radio is mentioned in the second article.

But more importantly, there's the whole issue of "new" vs. "old" technology, fads and fashions, and politics vs. engineering and science.

The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit, reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program began.

What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.

Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and crew.

Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that will result in big trouble on the way down.

None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars spent on the Space Shuttle program.

Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the lessons learned from its problems...

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1035. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:55:41 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <i_KdnbDWMKLATGXfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115376

K4YZ wrote:

>
> There are more people in prisons in the United States than
> licensed Amateurs, however I fail to see the relevence to that, too.

There isn't any relevence in anything non-licensed JS says.

## 1036. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:56:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123606595.189119.63400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115388

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > robert casey wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Recently there have been some claims about "what the
> > > > > majority wants" in regards to FCC NPRMs.
> > > >
> > > > THe FCC doesn't make rules based on how polling comes out.
> > >
> >
> > I was speaking about comments, not polls. But you're right
> > either way, in that the FCC can ignore the majority
> > if it wants to.
> >
> > The FCC is legaly bound to ignore the majority in what it sees as the
> > public interest
>
cuting speling cop

> I don't think so.

of course you don't

that is one of the problems you don't bother learning what the turth is
>
> > > > One very
> > > > good comment can trump many "me toos".
> > >
> > > Or one comment that FCC just happens to agree with.
> > >
> > > Look at the BPL situation....
> > >
> > > > Besides, the FCC isn't
> > > > in the business of handing out gold stars. If a requirement
> > > > serves no regulatory purpose, the FCC doesn't want to bother
> > > > with it.
> > >
> > > Or if FCC doesn't want to be bothered in the first place...
> >
> > Indeed the FCC doesn't want to be bothered with much from the ARS, we
> > should count ourselves lucky to get any enforcement action
>
> Us or anyone else. However the FCC has demonstrated significant

> enforcement actions over All services in recent years. Refer to the
> FCC's NOV/NOUO archives.

your point?

>
> > > However, none of that is really what I was driving at.
> > >
> > > My point is simply that the majority of comments on code testing
> > > (57%) on 98-143 were in favor of at least two code test speeds,
> > > including at least 12 wpm for Advanced and Extra. That fact is
> > > proved by KC8EPO's published results, right here on rrap back
> > > in March of 1999. (WA6VSE/WK3C posted them).
> > >
> > so?
>
> > > FCC ignored the majority opinion back then and reduced code
> > > testing to 5 wpm. The majority opinion was *not* acted upon
> > > by FCC.
> > >
> > and No one ever promised or sugessted it would be
>
break
> Sure it was.

BUZZZ wrong answer

>
> It was called the Constitution of the Untied States.

nope an other Stevie lie

That important document say nothing of the sort

It says nothing about govening rules by direct public referendum

Indeed it says nothing giving the FCC any power at all, except the
socalled supremacy clasue declaering itself and any TREATIES we sign
the supreme law of the land, that and the neccasary and proper clause
are all that allow the FCC exist to exist as a legal body, neither says
anything about governing the reags by referendum

>
> That concept has been lost in the caucophony of
> least-common-denominatior bar-lowerings.

not at all

what has been lost by you is the notion that Radio rules and regs are
there to serve the PUBLIC interest not you narrow cliquish whims

>
> > the FCC has a DUTY to the PUBLIC interest first and only then to the
> > interests of the ARS and finaly to the WISHES of the ARS

>
> "The "wishes" you refer to are by citizens of the United States
> who told the government what they wanted.

and they come last by law, and very properly, nor of course are all of
them citizens

>
> The government ignored them.

another Stevie Lie, the Govt heard them, and found other things more
pressing
>
> > > Whether FCC did the best thing or not is a matter of opinion.
> > > But the plain simple fact is that the majority was *not*
> > > anti-code-test.
> >
> > so
>
> So the wishes of the citizens were ignored.

happen all the time

>
> The Consitution was violated.

nope

>
> > > Now of course if the majority of comments on 05-235 are in
> > > favor of no more code testing, FCC will most certainly say
> > > they are simply doing what the majority wants.
> >
> > Not likely
>
> Absolutely. Watch.

the FCC is very unlikely to do so because it would esteablish for the
first time an expectation that it was bound to follow the will f the
majority of the folks that comented

>
> > The FCC will simply issue it's report and order
>
> And in that R&O they will say "...the majority of respondents..."

It might note that fact but that is unlikely to attribute that any
weight and if it does one the lawyers that reviews it before release
should be fired

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1037. Don't Forget To Write/E-mail Your Elected Reps. re. NPRM WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:56:57 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1123606617.223232.65120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115386

Please refer to the link below from AMATEUR RADIO NEWS by Doug Aiken,
K1WPM:

http://www.imsasafety.org/journal/novdec/node1.htm

Pay particular attention to the part entitled 'Background of Vanity
Call Signs.'

Just because the FCC is ostensibly ignoring what the majority (IMHO) of
U.S. amateur radio operators want for their service, it doesn't mean
we cant have a more meaningful say in the final outcome.

Now is the time to write or e-mail our elected representatives. Even if
they are not the folks you personally voted for or plan on voting for,
send them a simple note asking for their assistance in getting the FCC
to respect your (Our?) wishes. All that's really needed is for
someone who actually has some influence on matters affecting the FCC
budget to make an inquiry or two.

Write the folks that send you campaign material as well. It only takes
a moment or two, but the results can be gratifying.

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI

# 1038. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:58:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123606683.269437.36140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115387

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >You mean like YOU were going to frag me to AOL...?!?!
> > >
> > >
> > > YOU would do something like that, but not me, that's what makes me
> > > better then you.
>
> Todd, I generally wouldn't respond to a statement like that with
> this answer, but in this case it's so very true.
>
> I >>AM<< better than you.
>
> On so many different levels.

bullshit stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1039. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 16:58:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123606733.564097.233210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115389

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain
> >>>>>>>>>antennas, all sorts of stuff is possible.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> A strong signal mode? 8^)
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sure - that's predictable by Shannon's. It's not hard to
> >>>>>>> understand and explain, if you really understand what
> >>>>>>> Shannon is all about. (Some folks make a lot of noise
> >>>>>>> about it, acting as if it's some big deal, but it's really
> >>>>>>> quite easy to understand.)
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Consider this situation:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Plain old on-off keying lets you send one unit of information
> >>>>>>> per unit time. Either a 1 or a 0, on or off. Digital and
> >>>>>>> all that.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> But suppose we have a system (transmitter, receiver and connection
> >>>>>>> between them)that has four states - 100% on,
> >>>>>>> 66% on, 33% on, and off (0% on). We can then apply a meaning to those
> >>>>>>> four states - say, 11, 10, 01, and 00, respectively - and send two
> >>>>>>> units of information per unit time in the *same* bandwidth.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> We've just doubled the data rate without changing the modulation

> > method, bandwidth, or basic keying rate. But for it to work,
> > the system must have good enough signal-to-noise ratio to
> > determine the four states reliably.
> >
> > That principle can be continued as far as our signal-to-noise ratio
> > allows. For example, a system of 128 states could be done,
> > if the system S/N is good enough, allowing the transmission of
> > seven units of information per unit time in the same bandwidth as
> > was previously used for one unit.
> >
> > IIRC we had this conversation before, only it was about a PSK system
> > with many more states, rather than an AMK system.
> >
> > And there's no reason multiple carriers can't be used, as well as
> > multiple ways of modulating the same carrier - say phase and amplitude
> > modulation at the same time.
> >
> > Of course the entire system has to have adequate s/n to deal with
> > the tiny variations of phase and amplitude.
>
> I can see the needed s/n ratio going up with each addition.

Exactly! Point is, if you can get the S/N high enough, you can put lots
more data through the same bandwidth.

There's no one answer to "how much data can I put through a bandwidth
of X Hz",
because it's related to things like S/N and modulation method.
Tradeoffs,
ES101 stuff.

> > Telephone line modems make use of the predictable stability and
> > characteristics of the telephone lines. HF radio is a bit less
> > stable.
>
> And yet even here, I can remember paying extra for a modem line, which
> was quieter than a regular phone line. I don't know if there are such
> things any more - its been a long time since I've used dial-up.
> Otherwise you slow to a crawl, as error checking struggles to keep up
> with line noise s/n.

The 'phone companies have cleaned up their act so much that most lines
will not
only support 56k dialup, they'll also support DSL. Such improvements
are almost
invisible to the unsuspecting public. They happen over periods of
years.

> > Of course if the taxpayers are footing the bill, all sorts of
> > things can be done.

> >>>Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and
> >>>curtain
> >>>arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary for the
> >>>military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the
> >>>taxpayer's
> >>>dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like > > the
> >>>R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of > > them are needed? Etc.
> >
> >
> >>>Completely different from what most hams deal with.
> >
> >
> >>>>>If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
> >>>>>so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
> >>>>>things are possible.
> >>>>
> >>>> OY!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Very common military and commercial practice.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
> >>>>>the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
> >>>>>frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
> >>>>>possible.
> >>>>
> >>>> Doubly Oy!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>It's what ALE is all about.
> >>
> >>Just not too applicable for our purposes.
> >
> >
> > My Elecraft K2 has programmable memories that can be set for each band
> > and mode. Other rigs have similar features.
> >
> > It would not be difficult to have it step through them (using the
> > RS-232 port and computer control) comparing each frequency with the
> > others. With suitable time synchronization between, say, you and I, the
> > rigs could step through the various preprogrammed QRGs, looking for the
> > spot with the best propagation and no QRM.
> >
> > Of course we'd have to set it all up beforehand so we'd - or rather the
> > rigs - would know precisely where to send and listen.
> >
> > The K2's ATU also remembers antenna tuner settings per memory.
> >
> >

> >>>>>Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
> >>>>>the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
> >>>>>regulations.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
> >>>>>>>>when it was said that we
> >>>>>>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
> >>>>>>>>everything above 200M
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
> >>>>>only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
> >>>>>a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
> >>>>>s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
> >>>>>
> >>>>>In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
> >>>>>worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
> >>>>>longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
> >>>>>even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
> >>>>>>>>HF won't
> >>>>>>>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
> >>>>>>>>caused hams
> >>>>>>>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
> >>>>>>>Many
> >>>>>>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
> >>>>>>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly
> >>>>>>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
> >>>>>>>knowledge.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
> >>>>>>>signal?
> >
> >
> > As much as the S/N allows! See above.
>
> Of course! As a practical matter though, we have to assume amateur
> radio conditions. A real limitation there.

Which is why you'll not see the pundits doing any of what they talk
about.

> One of the things that I wonder about with the need for huge s/n
> handling ratios is that we obviously want a quiet reciever, with a
> impressive noise floor. This means all of the "oomph" must be on the
> strong signal handling side. We need an exquisitely sensitive and quiet
> reciever, with exceptional strong signal handling capacity.

Not really.

In most situations, HF radio reception is limited by the noise picked
up by the antenna,
not internal receiver noise. Been that way since at least the 1930s. It
does no good to
have an HF receiver with, say, .05 uV for 10 dB S/N sensitivity if the
antenna picks up .5 uV of noise in the same bandwidth.

You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:

1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
you intend to use.

2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
noise roaring away.

3) Disconnect the antenna.

If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
sensitivity you can use in that application.
>
> >>How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
> >>
> >>>>>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
> >>>>>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
> >>>>>>transmission?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>All very good questions!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>when was that Jim
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
> >>>>>>>>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
> >>>>>>>>>>>along the same lines
> >>>>>>>>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
> >>>>>>>>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
> >>>>>>

> >>>>>> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
> >>>>>>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
> >>>>>>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
> >>>>>>everyones standard) pictures.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
> >>>>>>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
> >>>>>>going to steal the technology.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>You can't steal vaporware.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
> >>>>>>believers among
> >>>>>>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
> >>>>>>up from
> >>>>>>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
> >>>>>>into the real world?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
> >>>>
> >>>> You betchya!
> >>
> >> I have to note that we speak of actual systems, real world devices -
> >>some of which are yet to be made of course, but practicalities (granted
> >>you much more than myself - but I am an RF neophyte, having come from
> >>the digital world)
> >
> >
> >> Others seem to be more in the vein of "so there! or someone is going to
> >>come along and prove you naysayers wrong!" mode.
> >
> >
> > Bingo.
> >
> >
> >> Faith based electronics.
> >
> >
> > Yes - those who dare to even like modes such as Morse Code
> > are cursed as infidels who do not understand the Word that
> > "newer is always better" and "the PROFESSIONALS know best"
>
> If newer is always better, I wonder why these vanguards of the brave

> new world aren't typing to us stodgy mortals in leet? After all, it's
> newer.

Because it's not about that at all.

> One of the biggest flaws of many people is that they have no
> appreciation of mature technology and people.

Heck, some of them don't have any apparent maturity themselves...

> They choose to concentrate
> on the limitations and foibles of both, not realizing that they are
> themselves full of their own foibles and limitations.

You have to remember that in some folks' minds, newer *is* better,
regardless
of the reality. It's almost an ideology of constant change as being
morally
superior.

Remember Red China's "cultural revolution" in the 1960s? One of their
main ideas
was that there would be a constant, continuing revolution in everything
- that
all the old ideas would be tossed aside, to be replaced by the New.

In the process, however, they were unable to do even simple things like
feed
themselves, and large numbers of people died because of it.

Here in the West, the ideology of constant change has to do with
selling things.
Fads and fashions. In the process, all sorts of bad stuff and waste
come and go.
Worst of all, there's a sort of addiction to the quick fix rather than
real solutions.

> They create for
> themselves great effort and even harm in their rush to discard the old,
> simply to reinvent it.

See my post about the Space Shuttle in a different thread.
>
> >> I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along? You
> >>know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a few
> >>feet long that outperform anything we have today.
> >> The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor
> >>powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word
> >>efficient, *they* did.
> >
> >
> > It *is* efficient, Mike! It's very efficient at turning HF RF energy
> > into heat.

>
> I've seen better! ;^)

Not much better!

> >>>Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an
> >>>old friend".
> >>>You won't get it.

That's really what it comes down to.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 1040. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:00:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123606811.784133.192080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115390

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > looks like another Stevie clone
>
> "...another Stevie clone" suggests that there was an ORIGINAL
> "clone"...

only to you

but there are several posible stevie clone one more is a abrely oh hum
news
>
> That would be...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1041. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:02:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123605899.263580.213470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115391

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > it to you.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
> An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

he never said any such thing

>
> Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> licensure?

of course not

>
> For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> his/her license?

of course not

>
> Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

of course not

>
> Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...

But if the hams is ggod and expeenced he is likely to have a pretty
good idea

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1042. Let's See If Mark Can Answer A Whole Post Without "cuting" Out Parts He Finds Embarrassing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:10:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123607433.958432.77070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115392

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > does the rest.
>
> which is of course essencaily a confession that you threaten and
> harrass folks

"essentially"

No, it's not.

> > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
>
> and I have answered it becuase you are nuts

That's an answer?

Where are YOUR credentials to determine the stabilty of anyone
else's mental health, Mark?

The fact that YOU are a mental health patient?

> > > > > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> > > > >
> > > > > showing what you were trying was blackmail
> > > >
> > > > I never tried to "blackmail" you.
> > > >
> > > > You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> > > > "blackmail", Markie.
> > >
> > > it is mading a demand for gain for a target under threat
> >
> cuting libel
>
> > And what target? What threat?
>
> me the htreat s are violence, (one shot one kill..) outing Your
> demands I comply or youd tell everyone I was gay, your conitued
> harrasment unless I do as YOU say

What threats?

What 'outing (my) demands'...?!?!

You ARE gay, Markie. You stated so yourself in this forum.

> It is all blackmail

What blackmail?

In order to "prove" blackmail, you have to prove that I stood to
gain from some act or threat of violence against you.

No such "blackmail" was caused since no such threat was made nor
did any potential gain exist.

> > > your actions quailify
> >
> > Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.
>
> sure do

Nope.

We will now await your presentation of credentials in
jurisprudence.

> > > you continue to black mail me
> >
> > You continue to utter unfounded and hillarious lies in a public
> > forum.
>
> nope
>
> you are simply an ignorant stalker, and blackmailer.

Nope, nope and nope.

> > > "one shot one kill..." Is a threat
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> > taken from in court.
>
> it is in context Killing was never the subject

I never suggested to kill you.

That's your rampant paranoia.

> discsion of murder has nothing to do Radio or Morse Code

You're suggesting the "murder" of Morse Code usage in Amateur
Radio.

> > > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
> >

> > Nope.
>
> sure is

How?

> > > > > you are a low level danger
> > > >
> > > > So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> > > > and your "family".
> > >
> > > nope I am saying you have prooven you mostly make threats without
> > > backing em up
> >
> > There's nothing to be "back(ed) up", Markie.
>
> yes there is and you keep making threats

Why do you continue to make and remake this assertion in the face
of evidence to the contrary?

> cuting another lies

Avoiding other issues you don't want to get your nose rubbed in
any more.

> > > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > > nothing to do your making them
> >
> > I didn't make any, Markie.
>
> One shot on kill .. is a threat

Nope.

It MEANS, as it meant in the ORIGINAL CONTEXT, was that my
comments "squarely hit" what I "aim" for.

> you are lying in saying otherwise

You are lying in tryng to make it anything more than it was.

> that it was idle matters not

It wasn't a threat..."idle" or otherwise.

> > > You simply make Idles(so far) threats, but you make threats often
> >
> > Nope.
>
> abolsutely true

Absolutely UNtrue...

But don't let that slow you down...

> > > > > terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible
> > >
> > > > There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.
> > >
> > > spelling cop cut
>
> > > yep there is his name is Steve Robeson
> >
> > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.
>
> just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own
> actions, and his own words

Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.

> Just Stevie squirming to evade the turth of his bulling personality

Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again...and again...and
again...

> > > > > cuting a lie
> > > cuting another lie
> cuting speling cop

You just can't stand it, can you...cut, cut cut...

> > > yes you do you threatened Tood I saw that, you conitue to threaten me,
> > > idly it seems but you continue
> cuting spelling cop
>
> > I didn't threaten Todd.
>
> you sure did
>
> you threatened to call his perants you threatened to contact his ISP
> (you carried out that threat but it was still a threat

It wasn't a threat.

Toddie violated the terms of his TOS. He refused to stop. He got
what he asked for.

> you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
> actions

Sure I do.

> you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats

Nope. Toiddie is responsible for his own actions...And he had to
pay a price for them.

If YOU think that I have made all of these threats against you and
am the "stalker" you accuse me of, please, file a civil or criminal
charge against me, Markie..

It will be quite satisfying to have you served with the countersuit
and then see who's side holds up better in court.

> Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity

Sure it is.

And I've put my "hand out" with apologies or corrections where
appropriate.

Ask around.

> > > and you lie about it
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> > > > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> > > > >
> > > > What threats?
> > > >
> > > your threats
> > >
> > What threats?
> >
> the threats typed above

What threats?

You keep saying "those threats" and I keep saying "cite them".

All YOU do is say "those threats".

There are NO threats.

> > > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > > > >
> > > > What evasion?
> > > >
> > > your evasion
> > >
> > WHAT evasion...?!?!
> >
> your eveasion

What "eveasion"...?!?!

> I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
> repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so

You've retyped nothing except "your threats" and "your evasion",
Markie.

You've not SUBSTANTIATED either...

> > > > You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.
> > >
> > > yes you do, overlooking the fact it has been done in many case Years
> > > ago, and repaeted again and again
> >
> > Nope. All we have are your stories of threats. I am surprised we
> > haven't been treated to any black helo or unmarked vans stories, too.
>
> well if that suprises then yuare of stupid, but we all know that,
> everyone but you of course

I love being called "stupid" in a sentence that is so fractured
that it takes several re-readings to figure out that I was "insulted".

> > > cuting another stevie evasion distrotion
> >
> cuting speling cop

"cuting"

"distrotion"

> > You couldn't have cut a distortion because there wasn't one there.
>
> sure was

Nope.

> > Oh?
> >
> > Exercising my rights to travel freely within the United States is
> > now a "threat"...?!?!
>
> when cuopled with your other behavoir certainly is

"cuopled"

"behavoir"

What "behaviour" do you refer to?

> > > threatening action with various parties
> >
> > What threats?
>
> asked and answered

Avoided and evaded by you.

> > > > > stop harassing people
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not harrassing people.
> > >
> > > liar
> >

> > Nope.
>
> you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
> the Air, by what I hear from other hams

Oh?

Then you've proven that you're "hearing voices", Markie.

> > > > You post, I respond. Period.
> > > >
> > > > Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
> > > > responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?
> > >
> > > liar you are not a bit sorry
> >
> > Not a liar and sure I'm sorry.
>
> 2 lies with one sentence

Nope.

> > > why do you tell such obvious lies
> >
> > Why do you put words in others mouths and try to pass them off as
> > truthful?
>
> that is your gig stevie

Nope.

As usual, you're welcome to TRY and "prove" that, however you'll
not be successful.

> > > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> > >
> > > you don't have any right to threaten people
> >
> > I haven't threatened anyone.
>
> lying again

Nope.

> I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me

Nope, nope and nope.

> when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
> start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
> lets you out

Any discussion group wherein YOU profess to be an "inteligent"
(intelligent) man is a conversation mispent.

> > >the 1st amendment does cover that
> >
> > No, it does not.
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> > speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> > assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
> >
> > UNQUOTE:
> >
> > What IS there:
>
> so what?

The assertions YOU cut from the quote were NOT covered by a single word of the First Amendment.

Let's put this BACK into context since you snipped all of that out...

QUOTE

> you don't have any right to threaten people

I haven't threatened anyone.

>the 1st amendment does cover that

No, it does not.

QUOTE:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

UNQUOTE:

What IS there:

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from establishing laws IRT the establishment of religion.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from abrdging one's freedom to exercise religion of choice.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from abridging freedom of speech.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from impeding the press.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from restricting peaceful assembly.

The GOVERNMENT is prohibited from making laws that impede the
people's right to seek relief of their grievances.

What's NOT there:

Any wording that says that, if I WANTED to, I couldn't threaten
you or anyone else.

Yes, there ARE laws that provide penalties for it...But the
Constitution, as you suggest, is NOT source of those laws.

UNQUOTE

Now, I'd appreciate it if you'd acknowldge your "respoinblty" to
TAKE "respoinblty" for your mistake.

> a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably

The fact remains that the First Amendment does NOT say what you
alleged it to say.

> that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
> have a legs to stand on

"ois ilegal"

What were you trying to say?

What "hate speech"...?!?!

> you are guilty of threats and hate speech

Nope. Not even close.

> cuting stevie off target rant

Proving that YOUR assertions were "off target"...?!?!

> > > > > > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourself on
> > > > > > numerous occassions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm doing neither.
> > > > >
> > > > > you keep doing it you lying SoS
> > > >
> > > > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's
> > > > go back to insinuating profanites....
> > >
> > > not realy you are a lying sack of ####, just calling you on it
> >
> > More anger. Even spelled it out this time.
>
> nope you would have to be angery to use the word ####. I use when I
> deem it proper, very calmly

Profanity is the tool of a violent mind, Markie.

> so another lie

Nope.

> I am not angery with I am disguted by you

You are disgusted by getting your nose rubbed in your mistruths
and deceit?

Then stop doing it.

> > > > > been doing it for years
> > > >
> > > cuting stvie distoring context
> cuting speling cop

"cuting"

"speling"

Nice going, Markie...66 2/3 % error rate in one sentence...If one
can accurately call that a "sentence".

> > > > > threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
> > > >
> > > > Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.
> > >
> > > never made one
> >
> > Sure you did.
> >
> > Said you were going to use the ADA to force the FCC's hand to give
> > you a free ride on the code test.
>
> Lie I said a case could be made

A case CAN be made for your deceitfulness and dishonesty.

So as I said earlier, if you think a case can be made against me,
file it.

> I said it was a hard case at that time with the treaty lang in place

So what?

A M E R I C A N law allowed for alterations to the treaty as did
caveats IN the treaty that gave administrations some leeway.

> I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture

Then obviously not enough dedication.

> you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
> done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted

Sure I do.

> that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
> often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
> YOUR making

No lie made here.

You made threats of lawsuits under ADA.

> > > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > > it you have got anything worth talking
> >
> > I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!
>
> sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money

The cost would be yours twice over, Markie.

Your initial filing against me, then your defense expenses for the
countersuit.

> that applies to you or anyone for that matter

Yep...And they can countersue too.

> > You sure do like flattering yourself!
>
> more evidence that stevie does not have metal contact with the real
> world

There's no metal in my body, Markie.

How would I have metal contact withn the world?

> > > and of plausible to whom? You well that is more than likely right, a
> > > real crout that is another matter
> >
> cuting spelling cop

Congratulations. Only 33 1/3% error rate that time.

> > > > Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
> > > > own way.
> > >
> > > really someone is making you read it?
> >
> > If I want to try an understand what you're saying.
>
> you don't want to understand you are just hunting for things you can
> twist

There's nothing to "twist". Your comments are twisted right out
of the box, Markie...I jsut ahve fun with them!

> > I think learning Serbo-Croation by braille might be easier.
>
> more bashing of the Disabled, the blind in this case

Nope.

Are you NOW alleging that only the blind can use Braille?

> with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?

What "clear lack of human compassion"...?!?!

You mean not being a soft touch for every self-appreciating,
self-victimizing jerk that tries to take advantge of others compassion,
Markie?

If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again.

> was it another power play?

By saying "another" powert play, you're suggesting an ORIGINAL
"power play".

What would have been the first.

> > > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > > sure...
> > >
> > > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> > > that you arre any good at it
> >
> cutin spelling cop

Still 33 1/3%, however this time you ever mispelled your mispelled
word!

> > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
>
> liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to

No I didn't.

Quote the line where you alledge I say "I am trying to intimidate
you", Markie...

> > > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
> >
> > But you HAVE left us laughing!
>
> comenting on your words

"Commenting"

> Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself

"heard"

I am not "talking to (my)self"...

I am talking ABOUT you.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1043. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:14:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123607657.062883.273480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Perhaps because the ARRL/W1AW actually provide a usable service to
>Amateur Radio...Not to mention that at least W1AW keeps it's operations
>close to the band edges where few folks venture...K1MAN plops down in
>the middle of the band and other operations be danged.

Doesn't matter, Interference, is interference. it doesn't matter if
they are providing a service or not.

>Read the rules yourself...And try to not drool on them as you do.

I have read the rules and there is a double standard on the definition
of what non-commercial is

>It's not vague to anyone except for those Junior D-J types, such as yourself, as to what
they are.

No, the one's don't understand are those who try to put more into the
rules then what is here. For example the idea that information
bulletins can be opinionated, yet there is no rule that states that,
nor is there any order from the FCC that states that.

Todd N9OGL

# 1044. Re: Reality, "Slippery Facts, and Myths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:16:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123607761.095030.5900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115394

K4YZ wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > To all:
> >
> > I do have opinions, I do believe I try to form correct ones. I believe I
> > change, adapt and re-think these opinions--and frequently, if there exists
> > proof to the contrary of these beliefs--I would be an IDIOT not to change
> > them, and men of sound sanity and judgement will be the judges. And, what
> > is true today may change, it may not be true forever--I would like to keep
> > in step with my beliefs.
> >
> > However, somethings I believe are:
> >
> > 1) There are more illegal aliens in the state of California, alone, than
> > there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> There are more people in prisons in the United States than
> licensed Amateurs, however I fail to see the relevence to that, too.

of course you do

>
> Shall we allow those illegals to obtain Amateur licenses much the
> same as some states are considering allowing illegals to obtain drivers
> licenses, public assistance, etc? Perhaps we should go ahead and start
> putting repeater ID's up in Spanish?

and here we see your paranoia, always seeing a "plot" to give away
licenses

OTOH I see nothing wrong with them having Ham licenses is otherwise
qualified, after if they got "back home" many of them could operate
legaly here, what is realy gained by excluding them

and you mean there is nowhere that they don't put repeater id also in
spanish, that would be suprising

>
> > 2) I believe there are more unemployed in the state of California, alone,
> > than there are LICENSED amateurs in the whole united states.
>
> Maybe if we got the unelmployed to spend some of their idle time
> getting an Amateur license those numbers would shift???

as yes the unlmployed are all idle not doubt to stevie lazy too

>
> > 3) I believe almost ALL generals and extras are sixty years of age and
> > above.
>
> Hmmmmmmmm...I know of a COUPLE Extras who are over 60, but almost
> everyone I know (myself included, obviously) are well under 60. Of
> course those numbers change drastically depending on local
> demographics. I am sure that there are more 60+ Extras in Miami than
> Nashville, for example!
>
> > 4) I believe amateur has become a group of self-serving old men of
> > yesteryear and they impede progress, I am unclear if they realize they are
> > doing this, or the extent which others see them as doing that.
>
> I believe this is an inaccurate assessment.
>
> The simple fact that FEMA and it's agents are pumping millions of
> dollars INTO Amateur Radio training programs and providing Amateur
> Radio facilities at it's comm centers alone disproves the assertion.

not at all the Us govt spend million studing the mating habits of fruit
flies

mere federal funding is NO proof of merit

>
> > 5) I believe MOST amateur gear is outdated, uses technology from
> > yesteryear and manufacturers see hams as a minute group in regards to
> > sales and expendature on research and development and retooling.
>
> Judging on the capabilites of "modern" Amateur gear (ie: Icom
> IC-756ProIII, etc) I'd say this is yet another inaccuate assessment.
>
> Just picking up even one of the most basic 2 meter HT's and
> comparing what they can do as opposed to thier forebearers demonstrates
> that the move continues to be a forward one.

which doesn't mean they are not outdated tech etc

>
> And if the manufacturers considered us so minute, why do the
> manufacturers continue to "retool" and aggressively offer even newer
> devices with expanded options and functionality? That retooling costs
> money, and those expenditures must be justified to stockholders.
> Obviously someone thinks the expenditure is worth the return.

because they HAVE to retool etc, or we'd stop buying radios

worth the return and a big part of the sense are different things
Stevie but you don't think very well

>
> > 6) I believe, right now as I type this, that this is all in the first
> > stages of a massive change.

>
> We have always been in a state of flux.
>
> As a matter of fact, I think some of the biggest fluxers I have
> ever known are fight here in this forum!
>
> > 7) I believe amateur are a "Non-Issue" purely from these dismal numbers.
>
> I refer back to my comments under Item #4 and #5. The investments
> of trust and money by government, public and private agencies and
> manufacturers doesn't support your contention.

your "logic" is flawed at best

>
> Just because there aren't as many HT's hanging from beltloops as
> cellphones does not negate our functionality or "worthiness".

no such statement was made

indeed nowhere has John said we were worthless or nonfucntional, merely
that both could be VASTY improved

your statement is part the process you often use of slowing distorting
statements and adressing you coment to the distortion

>
> > 8) I believe much more, and it all points in the direction of the
> > above--amateur radio will change/adapt or die, and the oldsters would have
> > it die--either out of disability, ignorance or design, but the effect
> > would be the same--and I believe even as I type this a few move towards
> > the other direction, and a new day for amateur radio--I believe the truly
> > great men in amateur radio died when the old guy who assisted me in
> > getting tickets died, and I believe he would have been man enough to
> > have stood up to the plate and called a spade, a SPADE!
>
> If you read some of the Amateur journals from 20, 30, even 40
> years ago there have been doom-sayers and gloomers throughout.
>
> I say that Amateur Radio today is NOT Amateur Radio of 10 years
> ago, let alone of the 30's as suggested by one rather poorly informed
> participant of this forum.
>
> Nor will the Amateur Radio of 2005 resemble anything like what our
> kids or their kids will experience, assuming the commercial concerns
> don't get their way with our allocations.
>
> > I am a believer...
>
> Ditto.
>
> 73

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1045. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:22:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123608169.596582.21410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115395

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> The Space Shuttle made it back safely this morning. (Collective sigh of
> relief).
>
> But it will be a while before any more Space Shuttles fly again. More
> problems to fix.
>
> I noted that NASA made a point of referring to this mission as a "test
> flight"...
>
> In any event, the Shuttle program is nearing its conclusion. NASA is
> already looking to the next generation of people-carrying space
> vehicles:
>
> http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2005/08/07/MNGJSE4CAS1.DTL&type=science
>
> which is a lot less cumbersome as:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/aevvs
>
> The "new" designs are much closer to the old, one-time-use, pre-Shuttle
> rockets. Reusability, gliders and large cargo bays are out, simpler,
> one-shot capsules are in.
>
> An interesting look at the Space Shuttle's history, ideology and
> lessons hopefully learned can be seen at:
>
> http://www.idlewords.com/2005/08/a_rocket_to_nowhere.htm#school
>
> which is less cumbersome as:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/cws82
>
>
> ---
>
>

> What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
> ham radio is mentioned in the second article.

mentioned
>
> But more importantly, there's the whole issue of "new" vs. "old"
> technology, fads and fashions, and politics vs. engineering and

> science.
>

break

> The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel
> - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit,
> reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround
> times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars
> total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program
> began.

yea the shutle was and is a failure
>
> What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.

yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
preforming the missions promised

that it has some use is of course true

>
> Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> crew.

amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
refusual to listen to anybody else
>
> Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> will result in big trouble on the way down.

now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
sheild
>
> None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> spent on the Space Shuttle program.
>
>

> Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> lessons learned from its problems...

not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1046. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:31:04 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddap8p$neo$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115396

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>And I'm starting to think that some of them might be
>>>>duplicates anyhow.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Quitefine and Darkguard (nee Blackguard) indeed!
>
>
> Whatta ya wanna bet that "Anon John" is not a licensed anything in
> radio?

Hehe, not a good bet, Brian!

>
>>>Doesn't matter - they have nothing practical to offer.
>
>
> Well I dunno about that Mike, jeez, lookit all the leading-edge
> "solutions" Sweetums has dumped in here over the years . . .

Are puns solutions? I do enjoy those. Imagine if that intellect were
used for good..... ;^)

>
>>>>>They're not about actually *doing* ham radio,
>>>>>just arguing about it.
>>>>
>>>> Too bad they cant do a better job.
>>>
>>>
>>>Indeed
>
>
> CW provides a 10dB path gain over SSB with a simple twist of the mode
> selector knob. Can't wait to find out what the path gain of CW will be
> vs. all the furiously hyped HF digital modes. None of which actually
> exist 10-15 years later of course. Big surprise huh?

Wow! 10 db? I knew it was superior. I didn't know it was that much
superior.

But I am firmly convinced that OOK CW coupled with the processing power
of "wetware", is the bottom line of getting the message through.

To argue otherwise is well, not that smart.

Now the worst conditions won't happen every time of course, but they
will happen some times.

> RX front ends: One can sink thousands upon thousands of bucks into
> current-tech xcvrs which, by current standards, are the masters of
> front-end performance. IC-7800, Orion, FT-9000, etc. IF and ONLY if the
> atmospheric + QRN noise levels are below the RX noise floor. If not a
> kid with a "hopeless" $200 old crapper xcvr in a quiet location will
> spank the pants off any of the aforementioned big boxes.
>
> If the kid has also learned how to use his ears to duck around strong
> adjacent signals and how to copy thru his crummy RX noise floor he'll
> have another pile of dB gain over the clueless who only have money,
> mouths and keyboards in some combiation or another.
>
> Beyond this comes the subject of "operator skills". Oh Good Lord I
> forgot again: Discussions about operator skills have nothing to do with
> technical or policy "matters".

Who in the hell (PMF) said THAT????

Operator skills are intrinsically a part of Amateur radio policy.
Otherwise why do we have people who are trying to remove operator skills
from the equation?

> . apologies . .
>
> These are the realities of REAL ham radio.
>
> Fuggem, let 'em eat cake and rant on.

Good advice!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1047. The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:41:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.17.41.53.97584@gmail.com>
Source record: 115397

To all:

The majority of people who communicate use the internet (well, phones and cell phones too.) Email, Instant Messaging, blogs, newsgroups, webpages, IRC chat, etc, etc... and these communications take place on a worldwide scale and virtually touch the life of every citizen in first AND second world countries.

Since BPL would be a MAJOR GAIN to the majority of people communicating (using the internet) it would be one MAJOR MISTAKE to allow a handful of hams, an insignificant number of the people using communications (and then, theirs is only a hobby communication) to control the destiny of BPL simply because it interferes with an insignificant number of hobby users.

It will be nothing short of a crime to allow this insignificant number to influence BPL at all. The FCC and any public official(s) found doing so, and being so influenced, should be reprimanded harshly!

How this self-serving bunch of closed-membership hams even hope to stop tens of millions (hundreds of millions?) from these benefits, all so they can set and enjoy their little hobby, staggers the mind and leads one to doubt the sanity of those who would participate in this scam!

Amateur policy needs to get rid of its' self-serving element and demonstrate they have good judgment and will hold their self-serving interests above the interests and benefits of the hundreds of millions of american citizens. It the stark light of reality amateur radio is seen in the perverted state it has fallen into. This has happened by allowing men and women of question character to control the destiny of amateur radios' course--this needs to change direction and restore the dignity to this hobby which it once held, decades ago.

If there is not a clear rule, regulation or tradition in amateur radio which directs its members (licensees) to always behave in a manner which holds the interests, benefits and well being of the citizens of the united states in paramount importance, one SHOULD be placed there. However, it seems a natural principle educated men or worth, intelligence and caliber would hold to... and here, upon this simple test, you can weed the chaff from the grain...

John

# 1048. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:48:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123609709.035062.260590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115399

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > it to you.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >
> > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
>
> he never said any such thing

Sure he has...in his repetitive insinutations that DAVE was
responsible for the transmitting frequency of the French stations. He
wasn't then and isn't now, yet Brain keeps making the same insinuation
over and over.

> > Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> > you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> > licensure?
>
> of course not

Are you ghostwriting for Brain now?

Can he not answer the question put to him without your assistance?

> > For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> > domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> > his/her license?
>
> of course not

Are you ghostwriting for Brain now?

Can he not answer the question put to him without your assistance?

> > Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> > knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
>
> of course not

Are you ghostwriting for Brain now?

Can he not answer the question put to him without your assistance?

> > Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...
>
> But if the hams is ggod and expeenced he is likely to have a pretty
> good idea.

Oh?

Are YOU "ggod and expeenced" enough to have ANY idea where ANYone
should be operating other than yourself?

Standing-by for Brain's answers now that Mark has led the way.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1049. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:50:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123609842.588608.183480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115400

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >You mean like YOU were going to frag me to AOL...?!?!
> > >
> > >
> > > YOU would do something like that, but not me, that's what makes me
> > > better then you.
> >
> > Todd, I generally wouldn't respond to a statement like that with
> > this answer, but in this case it's so very true.
> >
> > I >>AM<< better than you.
> >
> > On so many different levels.
>
> bull##### stevie

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1050. chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:53:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.17.53.49.900525@gmail.com>
Source record: 115401

We need to scale back NASA and any space plans, other then the pursuit of
maintaining military superiority in space, if needed and focusing on
developing a fuel source which is not harming the planet and threatening
to bring us to our knees from dwindling supplies.

A scientific project on the scale of NASA and designed to develop a new
fuel, or new fuels, would be in our best interests...

Priorities need to be examined here...

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 09:32:33 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> The Space Shuttle made it back safely this morning. (Collective sigh of
> relief).
>
> But it will be a while before any more Space Shuttles fly again. More
> problems to fix.
>
> I noted that NASA made a point of referring to this mission as a "test
> flight"...
>
> In any event, the Shuttle program is nearing its conclusion. NASA is
> already looking to the next generation of people-carrying space
> vehicles:
>
> http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2005/08/07/MNGJSE4CAS1.DTL&type=science
>
> which is a lot less cumbersome as:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/aevvs
>
> The "new" designs are much closer to the old, one-time-use, pre-Shuttle
> rockets. Reusability, gliders and large cargo bays are out, simpler,
> one-shot capsules are in.
>
> An interesting look at the Space Shuttle's history, ideology and
> lessons hopefully learned can be seen at:
>
> http://www.idlewords.com/2005/08/a_rocket_to_nowhere.htm#school
>
> which is less cumbersome as:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/cws82
>

> 
> ---
> 
> 
> What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
> ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
> 
> But more importantly, there's the whole issue of "new" vs. "old"
> technology, fads and fashions, and politics vs. engineering and
> science.
> 
> The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel
> - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit,
> reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround
> times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars
> total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program
> began.
> 
> What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> 
> Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> crew.
> 
> Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> will result in big trouble on the way down.
> 
> None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> 
> 
> 
> Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> lessons learned from its problems...
> 
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1051. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 17:57:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123610262.984962.58590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> > Perhaps because the ARRL/W1AW actually provide a usable service to
> >Amateur Radio...Not to mention that at least W1AW keeps it's operations
> >close to the band edges where few folks venture...K1MAN plops down in
> >the middle of the band and other operations be danged.
>
> Doesn't matter, Interference, is interference. it doesn't matter if
> they are providing a service or not.

It's the receiving station's inerpretation of what "interference"
is.

Obviously a significantly higher number of people consider K1MAN to
be "interference" than they do W1AW. And for good reason.

> >Read the rules yourself...And try to not drool on them as you do.
>
> I have read the rules and there is a double standard on the definition
> of what non-commercial is

Nope.

The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
services.

K1MAN does.

It really is THAT simple.

> >It's not vague to anyone except for those Junior D-J types, such as yourself, as to what
they are.
>
> No, the one's don't understand are those who try to put more into the
> rules then what is here. For example the idea that information
> bulletins can be opinionated, yet there is no rule that states that,
> nor is there any order from the FCC that states that.

You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
what is here."

Steve, K4YZ

## 1052. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 18:02:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123610529.110785.44710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115403

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > > does the rest.
> >
> > which is of course essencaily a confession that you threaten and
> > harrass folks
>
> "essentially"
>
> No, it's not.

yes of course it is

>
> > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> >
> > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
>
> That's an answer?

yes it is

cuting stevie rants

> > > > > > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > showing what you were trying was blackmail
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I never tried to "blackmail" you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> > > > > > > "blackmail", Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > it is mading a demand for gain for a target under threat
> > > > >
> > > > cuting libel
> > >
> > > And what target? What threat?
> >
> > me the htreat s are violence, (one shot one kill..) outing Your
> > demands I comply or youd tell everyone I was gay, your conitued

> > harrasment unless I do as YOU say
>
> What threats?

you threats
One Shot one kill...

your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)\

your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago

why do you need everything repeated and again and agian

>
> What 'outing (my) demands'...?!?!
>
> You ARE gay, Markie. You stated so yourself in this forum.

but not until after you outed me, you preceeded that action by
threatening to do so unless I complied with your demands

that your blackmail was unsucessfull has no bearing on whether or not
your prefromed it

>
> > It is all blackmail
>
> What blackmail?
>
> In order to "prove" blackmail, you have to prove that I stood to
> gain from some act or threat of violence against you.

no I don't, however in this case you did stand to gain you demanded I
stop posting in RRAP obviously you valued that

>
> No such "blackmail" was caused since no such threat was made nor
> did any potential gain exist.

wrong
you made the threat and stood to gain

>
> > > > your actions quailify
> > >
> > > Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.
> >
> > sure do
>
> Nope.
>
> We will now await your presentation of credentials in
> jurisprudence.
>

> > > > you continue to black mail me
> > >
> > > You continue to utter unfounded and hillarious lies in a public
> > > forum.
> > >
> > nope
> > >
> > you are simply an ignorant stalker, and blackmailer.
>
> Nope, nope and nope.
>
> > > > "one shot one kill..." Is a threat
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> > > taken from in court.
> > >
> > it is in context Killing was never the subject
>
> I never suggested to kill you.

liar

it is a plain and clear threat
>
> That's your rampant paranoia.

one shot one kill is a threat

>
> > discsion of murder has nothing to do Radio or Morse Code
>
> You're suggesting the "murder" of Morse Code usage in Amateur
> Radio.

another Stevie lie I am making no such sugestion

after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered

>
> > > > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure is
>
> How?

by being one

>
> > > > > > you are a low level danger

> > > > >
> > > > > So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> > > > > and your "family".
> > > > >
> > > > > nope I am saying you have prooven you mostly make threats without
> > > > > backing em up
> > > >
> > > > There's nothing to be "back(ed) up", Markie.
> > >
> > yes there is and you keep making threats
>
> Why do you continue to make and remake this assertion in the face
> of evidence to the contrary?

what eveidence?

>
> > cuting another lies
>

> > > > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > > > nothing to do your making them
> > > >
> > > I didn't make any, Markie.
> > >
> > One shot on kill .. is a threat
> >
> Nope.
>
> It MEANS, as it meant in the ORIGINAL CONTEXT, was that my
> comments "squarely hit" what I "aim" for.

Killing is killing

it was and remains a threat
>
> > you are lying in saying otherwise
> >
> You are lying in tryng to make it anything more than it was.

nope

if you were manere when it was brought you would have adknowledged the
words to have been illchoosen or something like that

instead when I did not react as you wish you added various threats of
legal action, repated the thret in various manner and generaly behaved
in a threatening manner

>
> > that it was idle matters not
> >
> It wasn't a threat..."idle" or otherwise.

sure was

>
> > > > You simply make Idles(so far) threats, but you make threats often
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > abolsutely true
>
> Absolutely UNtrue...
>
> But don't let that slow you down...
>
> > > > > > terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible
> > > > >
> > > > > There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > spelling cop cut
> > >
> > > > yep there is his name is Steve Robeson
> > > >
> > > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.
> > >
> > just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own
> > actions, and his own words
>
> Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.

just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own
actions, and his own words

nothing is Stevies fault

>
> > Just Stevie squirming to evade the turth of his bulling personality
>
> Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again...and again...and
> again...
>
> > > > > > cuting a lie
> > > > > cuting another lie
> > cuting speling cop
>
> You just can't stand it, can you...cut, cut cut...
>
> > > > > yes you do you threatened Tood I saw that, you conitue to threaten me,
> > > > > idly it seems but you continue
> > cuting spelling cop
> >
> > > I didn't threaten Todd.
> >
> > you sure did

> >
> > you threatened to call his perants you threatened to contact his ISP
> > (you carried out that threat but it was still a threat
>
> It wasn't a threat.

it sure is

apeartnly it seems an idle one

>
> Toddie violated the terms of his TOS. He refused to stop. He got
> what he asked for.

but you threatened to do , and apealently did it

have a reason to make a threat doesn't mean you are not threatening him

you realy don't know english do you

>
> > you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
> > actions
>
> Sure I do.
>
> > you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats
>
> Nope. Toiddie is responsible for his own actions...And he had to
> pay a price for them.

yep as expected and predicted

>
> If YOU think that I have made all of these threats against you and
> am the "stalker" you accuse me of, please, file a civil or criminal
> charge against me, Markie..

I have sought to do so, and there is realy very little I can do short
of traveling to Tenn. and doing so that is one of the problems with the
internet

>
> It will be quite satisfying to have you served with the countersuit
> and then see who's side holds up better in court.

more threats

>
> > Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity
>
> Sure it is.
>
> And I've put my "hand out" with apologies or corrections where

> appropriate.

still haven't adknowledged your mistakes about the Brothers Grim

>
> Ask around.

No one answers yes you have

>
> > > > and you lie about it
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> > > > > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> > > > >
> > > > > What threats?
> > > >
> > > > your threats
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > the threats typed above
>
> What threats?
>
> You keep saying "those threats" and I keep saying "cite them".
>
> All YOU do is say "those threats".
>
> There are NO threats.

sure are

not going to retype them and retype em

threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to come to
the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
>
> > > > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > > > >
> > > > > What evasion?
> > > >
> > > > your evasion
> > >
> > > WHAT evasion...?!?!
> >
> > your eveasion
>
> What "eveasion"...?!?!

yours

>
> > I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
> > repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so
>
> You've retyped nothing except "your threats" and "your evasion",
> Markie.

lying agian

>
> You've not SUBSTANTIATED either...

you have admited to typing "One shot on kill" that does it right there,
the rest just piles on

>
> > > > > You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.
> > > > >
> > > > yes you do, overlooking the fact it has been done in many case Years
> > > > ago, and repaeted again and again
> > > >
> > > Nope. All we have are your stories of threats. I am surprised we
> > > haven't been treated to any black helo or unmarked vans stories, too.
> > >
> > well if that suprises then yuare of stupid, but we all know that,
> > everyone but you of course
>
> I love being called "stupid" in a sentence that is so fractured
> that it takes several re-readings to figure out that I was "insulted".

then where is your thank you

>
> > > > cuting another stevie evasion distrotion
> > > >
> > cuting speling cop
>

>
> > > You couldn't have cut a distortion because there wasn't one there.
> > >
> > sure was
>
> Nope.
>
> > > Oh?
> > >
> > > Exercising my rights to travel freely within the United States is
> > > now a "threat"...?!?!
> > >
> > when cuopled with your other behavoir certainly is
>
> "cuopled"

>
> "behavoir"
>
> What "behaviour" do you refer to?

your make threats

by the way It is infact ilegal for you to came HERE since you have been
informed your are unwelcome

>
> > > > threatening action with various parties
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Avoided and evaded by you.

asked and answered

>
> > > > > > stop harassing people
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm not harrassing people.
> > > > >
> > > > > liar
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
> > the Air, by what I hear from other hams
>
> Oh?
>
> Then you've proven that you're "hearing voices", Markie.

nope

I have hear Hams call you a Papa Oscar serria on the air

>
> > > > > > You post, I respond. Period.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
> > > > > > responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?
> > > > >
> > > > > liar you are not a bit sorry
> > >
> > > Not a liar and sure I'm sorry.
> >
> > 2 lies with one sentence
>

> Nope.
>
> > > > why do you tell such obvious lies
> > >
> > > Why do you put words in others mouths and try to pass them off as
> > > truthful?
> >
> > that is your gig stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> As usual, you're welcome to TRY and "prove" that, however you'll
> not be successful.

already have many times

>
> > > > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> > > > >
> > > > > you don't have any right to threaten people
> > > >
> > > > I haven't threatened anyone.
> > >
> > > lying again
> >
> Nope.

yes One shot one kill is a threat

>
> > I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me
> >
> Nope, nope and nope.

yep yep and yep

>
> > when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
> > start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
> > lets you out
> >
> Any discussion group wherein YOU profess to be an "inteligent"
> (intelligent) man is a conversation mispent.

more evasion

>
> > > >the 1st amendment does cover that
> > >
> > > No, it does not.
> > >
> > > QUOTE:
> > >

> > > Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> > > speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> > > assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE:
> > >
> > > What IS there:
> >
> > so what?
>
> The assertions YOU cut from the quote were NOT covered by a single
> word of the First Amendment.
>
> Let's put this BACK into context since you snipped all of that
> out...
cuting out your evasive waste of bandwidth

> Now, I'd appreciate it if you'd acknowldge your "respoinblty" to
> TAKE "respoinblty" for your mistake.

no mistake

you keep trying to divert the issue

you have NO right to threaten people

you have NO right to harrass people

you have NO right to stalk people

The first amendent does not give the right to do ilgeal things

nor does any other part of the Constitution

>
> > a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably
>
> The fact remains that the First Amendment does NOT say what you
> alleged it to say.

i was simply using your quoting of the text

>
> > that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
> > have a legs to stand on
>
> "ois ilegal"
>
> What were you trying to say?
>
> What "hate speech"...?!?!
your bashing of people for being gay or pagan or just different

>
> > you are guilty of threats and hate speech
>
> Nope. Not even close.

sure are I posted awhile back some example form just your titles

>
> > cuting stevie off target rant
>

> > > > > > > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourself on
> > > > > > > numerous occassions.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'm doing neither.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you keep doing it you lying SoS
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's
> > > > > > > go back to insinuating profanites....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > not realy you are a lying sack of ####, just calling you on it
> > > > >
> > > > More anger. Even spelled it out this time.
> > >
> > nope you would have to be angery to use the word ####. I use when I
> > deem it proper, very calmly
>
> Profanity is the tool of a violent mind, Markie.

IYO

I do assert my free right to use such words as I choose as long I as I
don't violate the rights of others

>
> > so another lie
>
> Nope.
>
> > I am not angery with I am disguted by you
>
> You are disgusted by getting your nose rubbed in your mistruths
> and deceit?

No I am disgusted by your manner your hypocrazy, your stupidity
>
> Then stop doing it.

more off tager Bullshit

>
> > > > > > been doing it for years
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting stvie distoring context
> > cuting speling cop
>
cutng spelling cop

> > > > > > threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.
> > > > >
> > > > > never made one
> > >
> > > Sure you did.
> > >
> > > Said you were going to use the ADA to force the FCC's hand to give
> > > you a free ride on the code test.
> >
> > Lie I said a case could be made
>
> A case CAN be made for your deceitfulness and dishonesty.
>
> So as I said earlier, if you think a case can be made against me,
> file it.

If Thought It would yeild anything I would do so but I don't think you
have to money to pay the judgement so it is not worth doing

>
> > I said it was a hard case at that time with the treaty lang in place
>
> So what?
>
> A M E R I C A N law allowed for alterations to the treaty as did
> caveats IN the treaty that gave administrations some leeway.

Indeed

but the treaty lang made the ADA case much harder,

>
> > I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture
>
> Then obviously not enough dedication.

if you like

>
> > you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
> > done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted
>
> Sure I do.

then you must be willfully lying when you make these claims

>
> > that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
> > often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
> > YOUR making
>
> No lie made here.
>
> You made threats of lawsuits under ADA.

nope

I discussed the posiblity

I discussed the pros and cons

I decided against it

you lie whan you make the staement "You made threats of lawsuits under
ADA."

>
> > > > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > > > it you have got anything worth talking
> > >
> > > I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!
> >
> > sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money
>
> The cost would be yours twice over, Markie.

prehaps which does not alter the factual statement I just made

going to court is such a crap shoot

>
> Your initial filing against me, then your defense expenses for the
> countersuit.

nope no doubling there I'd be handle one level on contingincy youd be
paying out of pocket the whole way mostlikely, or at least in your
defense

>
> > that applies to you or anyone for that matter
>
> Yep...And they can countersue too.

yea never said otherwise

It is easy to sue in America

not so easy to collect

>
> > > You sure do like flattering yourself!
> >
> > more evidence that stevie does not have metal contact with the real
> > world
>
> There's no metal in my body, Markie.
>
> How would I have metal contact withn the world?
>
> > > > and of plausible to whom? You well that is more than likely right, a
> > > > real crout that is another matter
> > >
> > cuting spelling cop
>
> Congratulations. Only 33 1/3% error rate that time.
>
> > > > > Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
> > > > > own way.
> > > >
> > > > really someone is making you read it?
> > >
> > > If I want to try an understand what you're saying.
> >
> > you don't want to understand you are just hunting for things you can
> > twist
>
> There's nothing to "twist". Your comments are twisted right out
> of the box, Markie...I jsut ahve fun with them!
>
> > > I think learning Serbo-Croation by braille might be easier.
> >
> > more bashing of the Disabled, the blind in this case
>
> Nope.
>
> Are you NOW alleging that only the blind can use Braille?

nope

but you are of course bashing the disabled

>
> > with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?
>
> What "clear lack of human compassion"...?!?!

your clear lack

>
> You mean not being a soft touch for every self-appreciating,
> self-victimizing jerk that tries to take advantge of others compassion,
> Markie?

Nope I mean your mean sprited obcessive bulling

>
> If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
> to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again.

more lies this time about the number of hospital you could affect

>
> > was it another power play?
>
> By saying "another" powert play, you're suggesting an ORIGINAL
> "power play".

yea

>
> What would have been the first.

not sure what power play was the first you go on about so many

>
> > > > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > > > sure...
> > > > >
> > > > > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> > > > > that you arre any good at it
> > > >
> > > cutin spelling cop
> >
> Still 33 1/3%, however this time you ever mispelled your mispelled
> word!
>
> > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > >
> > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
> >
> No I didn't.

sure did
>
> Quote the line where you alledge I say "I am trying to intimidate
> you", Markie...

no claim of such a an exact was ever made

>
> > > > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
> > > >
> > > But you HAVE left us laughing!
> > >
> > > comenting on your words
> >
> "Commenting"

> 
> > Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself
> 
> "heard"
> 
> I am not "talking to (my)self"...
> 
> I am talking ABOUT you.
> 
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1053. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 18:03:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123610617.661523.201720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115404

I would have to agree with steve mark, he is better then me on many levels, he can Harass, and Bully better then what I can.

Todd N9OGL

# 1054. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 18:08:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123610893.948315.30460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115405

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > it to you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > >
> > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> >
> > he never said any such thing
>
> Sure he has...in his repetitive insinutations that DAVE was
> responsible for the transmitting frequency of the French stations. He
> wasn't then and isn't now, yet Brain keeps making the same insinuation
> over and over.

unless you are reading diferent posts than I you are wrong

he has implied that Dave knew they were out of their bounds (not sure
on what bassi he makes that but..) and then asks why he made the
contacts anyway

>
> > > Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> > > you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> > > licensure?
> >
> > of course not
>
> Are you ghostwriting for Brain now?

nope

But I can guess the answers when you your questions are that stupid

>
> Can he not answer the question put to him without your assistance?

I am sure he can

Never implied otherwise

>
> > > For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> > > domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> > > his/her license?
> >
> > of course not
>
> Are you ghostwriting for Brain now?

asked and answered
>
> Can he not answer the question put to him without your assistance?
asked and answered
>
> > > Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> > > knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
> >
> > of course not
>
> Are you ghostwriting for Brain now?
asked and answered
>
> Can he not answer the question put to him without your assistance?
asked and answered

I can cut and paste tooo stevie

>
> > > Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...
> >
> > But if the hams is ggod and expeenced he is likely to have a pretty
> > good idea.
>
> Oh?
>
> Are YOU "ggod and expeenced" enough to have ANY idea where ANYone
> should be operating other than yourself?

yes of course I am

>
> Standing-by for Brain's answers now that Mark has led the way.

hold your breath please.. do the world a favor
>

> Steve, K4YZ

# 1055. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 18:09:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123610993.314449.95990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > > Perhaps because the ARRL/W1AW actually provide a usable service to
> > >Amateur Radio...Not to mention that at least W1AW keeps it's operations
> > >close to the band edges where few folks venture...K1MAN plops down in
> > >the middle of the band and other operations be danged.
> >
> > Doesn't matter, Interference, is interference. it doesn't matter if
> > they are providing a service or not.
>
> It's the receiving station's inerpretation of what "interference"
> is.
>
> Obviously a significantly higher number of people consider K1MAN to
> be "interference" than they do W1AW. And for good reason.

not at all obvious many possible explainations exist for complaining
about K1MAN and not about W1AW

>
> > >Read the rules yourself...And try to not drool on them as you do.
> >
> > I have read the rules and there is a double standard on the definition
> > of what non-commercial is
>
> Nope.
>
> The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
> services.
>
> K1MAN does.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> > >It's not vague to anyone except for those Junior D-J types, such as yourself, as to
what they are.
> >
> > No, the one's don't understand are those who try to put more into the
> > rules then what is here. For example the idea that information
> > bulletins can be opinionated, yet there is no rule that states that,
> > nor is there any order from the FCC that states that.
>
> You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
> what is here."

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1056. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 18:12:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123611129.178481.138180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Nope.
> The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
>services.
>K1MAN does.
> It really is THAT simple.

The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to the internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's DIRECT.

>You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
>what is here."

no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not be opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that power.

Todd N9OGL

# 1057. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 18:38:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123612693.275426.320540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115408

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
> > ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
>
> mentioned

Yep.

> > The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel
> > - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit,
> > reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround
> > times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars
> > total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program
> > began.
>
> yea the shutle was and is a failure

Based upon WHAT data, Mark?

That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?

E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.

> > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
>
> yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> preforming the missions promised

The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.

Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.

> that it has some use is of course true

That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
is even more true.

That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT "re-engineered" for better performance?

Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!

To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.

> > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > crew.
>
> amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> refusual to listen to anybody else

What, Mark?

As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has proven itself 100% error free?

I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.

And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design, engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in what...1992?

> > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > will result in big trouble on the way down.
>
> now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> sheild

What "fibbing", Mark?

Jim said, quote:

> > But it doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.

Where is there a "fib" in there, Mark?

And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a

different outcome.

> > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
>
> > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > lessons learned from its problems...
>
> not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy

Oh?

And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1058. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 18:45:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123613146.579216.51180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> > Nope.
> > The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
> >services.
> >K1MAN does.
> > It really is THAT simple.
>
> The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or
> indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to the
> internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them
> directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's DIRECT.

W1AW does NOT "make money", direct or indirect.

The American Radio Relay League does, which in turn funds W1AW.

But then you could also say that my employer "funds" K4YZ in the
same way.

> >You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
> >what is here."
>
> no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor
> final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not be
> opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to
> opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that
> power.

So far, no one has abused the rules in such a way as to argue what
"information" means. The FCC has pretty much assumed (erroneously,
obviusly...) that the average person knew the difference.

"Opinion" is not "information"...it's opinion. And offering your
opinion in a one-way transmission is broadcasting.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1059. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 19:05:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.19.05.14.835780@gmail.com>
Source record: 115410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ:

Well there ya go, ya figured it out!

K1MAN (the licensee) does NOT make any money! Glen Baxter (the man) does!

Same thing, good argument you posed, the FCC shouldn't hassle baxter
anymore then they do arrl/W1AW.

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 11:45:46 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> > Nope.
>> > The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
>> >services.
>> >K1MAN does.
>> > It really is THAT simple.
>>
>> The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or
>> indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to the
>> internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them
>> directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's DIRECT.
>
> W1AW does NOT "make money", direct or indirect.
>
> The American Radio Relay League does, which in turn funds W1AW.
>
> But then you could also say that my employer "funds" K4YZ in the
> same way.
>
>> >You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
>> >what is here."
>>
>> no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor
>> final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not be
>> opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to
>> opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that
>> power.
>
> So far, no one has abused the rules in such a way as to argue what
> "information" means. The FCC has pretty much assumed (erroneously,
> obviously...) that the average person knew the difference.

>
> "Opinion" is not "information"...it's opinion. And offering your
> opinion in a one-way transmission is broadcasting.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1060. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 19:12:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.19.12.12.931417@gmail.com>
Source record: 115411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

... and of course, Glen Baxter funds K1MAN!

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 12:05:17 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> K4YZ:
>
> Well there ya go, ya figured it out!
>
> K1MAN (the licensee) does NOT make any money! Glen Baxter (the man) does!
>
> Same thing, good argument you posed, the FCC shouldn't hassle baxter
> anymore then they do arrl/W1AW.
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 11:45:46 -0700, K4YZ wrote:
>
>>
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> > Nope.
>>> > The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
>>> >services.
>>> >K1MAN does.
>>> > It really is THAT simple.
>>>
>>> The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or
>>> indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to the
>>> internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them
>>> directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's DIRECT.
>>
>> W1AW does NOT "make money", direct or indirect.
>>
>> The American Radio Relay League does, which in turn funds W1AW.
>>
>> But then you could also say that my employer "funds" K4YZ in the
>> same way.
>>
>>> >You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
>>> >what is here."
>>>
>>> no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor
>>> final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not be

>>> opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to
>>> opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that
>>> power.
>>
>> So far, no one has abused the rules in such a way as to argue what
>> "information" means. The FCC has pretty much assumed (erroneously,
>> obviusly...) that the average person knew the difference.
>>
>> "Opinion" is not "information"...it's opinion. And offering your
>> opinion in a one-way transmission is broadcasting.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

# 1061. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 19:32:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123615924.385089.274050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115412

From: John Smith on Aug 9, 10:53 am

>We need to scale back NASA and any space plans, other then the pursuit of
>maintaining military superiority in space, if needed and focusing on
>developing a fuel source which is not harming the planet and threatening
>to bring us to our knees from dwindling supplies.
>
>A scientific project on the scale of NASA and designed to develop a new
>fuel, or new fuels, would be in our best interests...
>
>Priorities need to be examined here...

For an alternate way to reach terrestrial orbit, one possibility
is shown in the August 2005 issue of the IEEE SPECTRUM. It is the
cover story. In the same issue (beginning page 12) is a story
where Europe is joining Russia in building the "next" space shuttle.

SPECTRUM is viewable on the IEEE website, www.ieee.org.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1062. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 19:48:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.19.48.06.976380@gmail.com>
Source record: 115413

Len:

SPECTRUM? My gawd that just sounds impressive, I don't think I can even look, must be a project of "God Awful Proportions!"

Hey, they didn't get that idea from an old bond movie did they?

Isn't that what goldfinger was working on?

(I am partial to the "space elevator" constructed from carbon nano-tubes...)

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 12:32:04 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 9, 10:53 am
>
>>We need to scale back NASA and any space plans, other then the pursuit of
>>maintaining military superiority in space, if needed and focusing on
>>developing a fuel source which is not harming the planet and threatening
>>to bring us to our knees from dwindling supplies.
>>
>>A scientific project on the scale of NASA and designed to develop a new
>>fuel, or new fuels, would be in our best interests...
>>
>>Priorities need to be examined here...
>
> For an alternate way to reach terrestrial orbit, one possibility
> is shown in the August 2005 issue of the IEEE SPECTRUM. It is the
> cover story. In the same issue (beginning page 12) is a story
> where Europe is joining Russia in building the "next" space shuttle.
>
> SPECTRUM is viewable on the IEEE website, www.ieee.org.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1063. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 20:18:13 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <870131437833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 115414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 9 Aug 2005 09:49:59 GMT, Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> It would seem that Woger was arguing with himself.
>

he was. he has multipel personality disorder. havent you
noticed how the other personality talks about him like he
isnt roger? it is realy strange, man. he probaly has 3 or
4 personalitys living in his head.

# 1064. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 20:48:37 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddb4r5$n8i$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115415
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:

>>
>> I can see the needed s/n ratio going up with each addition.
>
>
> Exactly! Point is, if you can get the S/N high enough, you can put lots
> more data through the same bandwidth.
>
> There's no one answer to "how much data can I put through a bandwidth
> of X Hz",
> because it's related to things like S/N and modulation method.
> Tradeoffs,
> ES101 stuff.

But there is an answer that fits with practical useage.

>
>>>Telephone line modems make use of the predictable stability and
>>>characteristics of the telephone lines. HF radio is a bit less
>>>stable.
>>
>>And yet even here, I can remember paying extra for a modem line, which
>>was quieter than a regular phone line. I don't know if there are such
>>things any more - its been a long time since I've used dial-up.
>>Otherwise you slow to a crawl, as error checking struggles to keep up
>>with line noise s/n.
>
>
> The 'phone companies have cleaned up their act so much that most lines
> will not only support 56k dialup, they'll also support DSL. Such improvements
> are almost invisible to the unsuspecting public. They happen over periods of
> years.
>
>

>>>>>>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>>>>>>>signal?
>>>
>>>
>>>As much as the S/N allows! See above.
>>
>> Of course! As a practical matter though, we have to assume amateur

>>radio conditions. A real limitation there.
>
>
> Which is why you'll not see the pundits doing any of what they talk
> about.

When all is said and done, much more is said than done....

>
>>One of the things that I wonder about with the need for huge s/n
>>handling ratios is that we obviously want a quiet reciever, with a
>>impressive noise floor. This means all of the "oomph" must be on the
>>strong signal handling side. We need an exquisitely sensitive and quiet
>>reciever, with exceptional strong signal handling capacity.
>
>
> Not really.
>
> In most situations, HF radio reception is limited by the noise picked
> up by the antenna,
> not internal receiver noise. Been that way since at least the 1930s. It
> does no good to
> have an HF receiver with, say, .05 uV for 10 dB S/N sensitivity if the
> antenna picks up .5 uV of noise in the same bandwidth.
>
> You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
> your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
>
> 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
> you intend to use.
>
> 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
> noise roaring away.
>
> 3) Disconnect the antenna.
>
> If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
> sensitivity you can use in that application.

Did I forget to say we had to have quiet RF conditions?

I don't doubt that we can increase the s/n ratio by all the methods we
spoke about, but isn't there some limit there? Or is there no limit, in
that we could get ~infinite bandwidth out of any frequency if we had
~infinite power?

>>>> Faith based electronics.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yes - those who dare to even like modes such as Morse Code
>>>are cursed as infidels who do not understand the Word that
>>>"newer is always better" and "the PROFESSIONALS know best"

>>
>> If newer is always better, I wonder why these vanguards of the brave
>>new world aren't typing to us stodgy mortals in leet? After all, it's
>>newer.
>
>
> Because it's not about that at all.
>
>
>> One of the biggest flaws of many people is that they have no
>>appreciation of mature technology and people.
>
>
> Heck, some of them don't have any apparent maturity themselves...

snort...

>
>>They choose to concentrate
>>on the limitations and foibles of both, not realizing that they are
>>themselves full of their own foibles and limitations.
>
>
> You have to remember that in some folks' minds, newer *is* better,
> regardless
> of the reality. It's almost an ideology of constant change as being
> morally
> superior.
>
> Remember Red China's "cultural revolution" in the 1960s? One of their
> main ideas was that there would be a constant, continuing revolution in everything
> - that all the old ideas would be tossed aside, to be replaced by the New.

Sounds like some people here!

> In the process, however, they were unable to do even simple things like
> feed themselves, and large numbers of people died because of it.

The largest famine in history, and we hardly heard anything about it IIRC.

> Here in the West, the ideology of constant change has to do with
> selling things.
> Fads and fashions. In the process, all sorts of bad stuff and waste
> come and go.
> Worst of all, there's a sort of addiction to the quick fix rather than
> real solutions.
>
>
>
>>They create for
>>themselves great effort and even harm in their rush to discard the old,
>>simply to reinvent it.

>
>
> See my post about the Space Shuttle in a different thread.
>
>>>> I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along? You
>>>>know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a few
>>>>feet long that outperform anything we have today.
>>>> The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor
>>>>powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word
>>>>efficient, *they* did.
>>>
>>>
>>>It *is* efficient, Mike! It's very efficient at turning HF RF energy
>>>into heat.
>>
>> I've seen better! ;^)
>
>
> Not much better!
>
>
>>>>>Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an
>>>>>old friend".
>>>>>You won't get it.
>
>
> That's really what it comes down to.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

# 1065. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 20:56:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.20.56.33.705806@gmail.com>
Source record: 115416

N2EY:

No sense in attempting to efficiently send data anywhere, unless methods
are used which employ efficient data compaction and the use of CRC
checksums... it is only there that HS data transmission comes alive...

Encryption is good also, unless you want the whole world to know what you
are sending...

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 09:58:53 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>an old friend wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>If you're allowed to use very high power and high gain
>> >>>>>>>>>antennas, all sorts of stuff is possible.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> A strong signal mode? 8^)
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> Sure - that's predictable by Shannon's. It's not hard to
>> >> understand and explain, if you really understand what
>> >> Shannon is all about. (Some folks make a lot of noise
>> >> about it, acting as if it's some big deal, but it's really
>> >> quite easy to understand.)
>> >>
>> > Consider this situation:
>> >

>> > Plain old on-off keying lets you send one unit of information
>> > per unit time. Either a 1 or a 0, on or off. Digital and
>> > all that.
>> >
>> > But suppose we have a system (transmitter, receiver and connection
>> > between them)that has four states - 100% on,
>> > 66% on, 33% on, and off (0% on). We can then apply a meaning to those
>> > four states - say, 11, 10, 01, and 00, respectively - and send two
>> > units of information per unit time in the *same* bandwidth.
>> >
>> > We've just doubled the data rate without changing the modulation
>> > method, bandwidth, or basic keying rate. But for it to work,
>> > the system must have good enough signal-to-noise ratio to
>> > determine the four states reliably.
>> >
>> > That principle can be continued as far as our signal-to-noise ratio
>> > allows. For example, a system of 128 states could be done,
>> > if the system S/N is good enough, allowing the transmission of
>> > seven units of information per unit time in the same bandwidth as
>> > was previously used for one unit.
>> >
>> > IIRC we had this conversation before, only it was about a PSK system
>> > with many more states, rather than an AMK system.
>> >
>> > And there's no reason multiple carriers can't be used, as well as
>> > multiple ways of modulating the same carrier - say phase and amplitude
>> > modulation at the same time.
>> >
>> > Of course the entire system has to have adequate s/n to deal with
>> > the tiny variations of phase and amplitude.
>>
>> I can see the needed s/n ratio going up with each addition.
>
> Exactly! Point is, if you can get the S/N high enough, you can put lots
> more data through the same bandwidth.
>
> There's no one answer to "how much data can I put through a bandwidth
> of X Hz",
> because it's related to things like S/N and modulation method.
> Tradeoffs,
> ES101 stuff.
>
>> > Telephone line modems make use of the predictable stability and
>> > characteristics of the telephone lines. HF radio is a bit less
>> > stable.
>>
>> And yet even here, I can remember paying extra for a modem line, which
>> was quieter than a regular phone line. I don't know if there are such
>> things any more - its been a long time since I've used dial-up.
>> Otherwise you slow to a crawl, as error checking struggles to keep up

>> with line noise s/n.
>
> The 'phone companies have cleaned up their act so much that most lines
> will not
> only support 56k dialup, they'll also support DSL. Such improvements
> are almost
> invisible to the unsuspecting public. They happen over periods of
> years.
>
>> > Of course if the taxpayers are footing the bill, all sorts of
>> > things can be done.
>
>> >>>Sure! Look at what the military folks did. Big rhombic and
>> >>>curtain
>> >>>arrays, etc. Find a suitable site, take it over as necessary for the
>> >>>military purpose, put up whatever is needed - on the
>> >>>taxpayer's
>> >>>dollars. If you need more power, just get it! Receivers like > > the
>> >>>R-390, costing thousands of 1950s dollars? How many racks of > > them are needed?
Etc.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Completely different from what most hams deal with.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>>If you can separate the transmit and receive sites and/or frequencies
>> >>>>>so that full duplex is achieved, all sorts of
>> >>>>>things are possible.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> OY!
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Very common military and commercial practice.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>>If your setup has adaptive features so that it evaluates
>> >>>>>the path characteristics and adapts the modulation and
>> >>>>>frequencies used to conditions, all sorts of things are
>> >>>>>possible.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Doubly Oy!
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>It's what ALE is all about.
>> >>
>> >>Just not too applicable for our purposes.
>> >
>> >
>> > My Elecraft K2 has programmable memories that can be set for each band

>> > and mode. Other rigs have similar features.
>> >
>> > It would not be difficult to have it step through them (using the
>> > RS-232 port and computer control) comparing each frequency with the
>> > others. With suitable time synchronization between, say, you and I, the
>> > rigs could step through the various preprogrammed QRGs, looking for the
>> > spot with the best propagation and no QRM.
>> >
>> > Of course we'd have to set it all up beforehand so we'd - or rather the
>> > rigs - would know precisely where to send and listen.
>> >
>> > The K2's ATU also remembers antenna tuner settings per memory.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>>Of course most of the above is simply not practical for
>> >>>>>the average ham, and/or is incompatible with current US
>> >>>>>regulations.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>>>>>really? gee it falls into the same catagory as
>> >>>>>>>>when it was said that we
>> >>>>>>>>ham had been banished to "useless frequencies"
>> >>>>>>>>everything above 200M
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Hams were never banished to everything above 200 meters.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>What happened was that amateur stations were required to use
>> >>>>>only wavelengths of 200 meters and below. But every station had
>> >>>>>a specified wavelength. If a ham wanted to use, say, 159 meters,
>> >>>>>s/he needed a station license that said "159 meters".
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>In 1912 there wasn't much known about how HF propagation actually
>> >>>>>worked. The 'useless' idea came from extrapolation of what happened on
>> >>>>>longer wavelengths. The ionosphere's role was not
>> >>>>>even guessed at by "professionals in radio".
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>There are some pretty darn good reasons why high-speed digital
>> >>>>>>>>>HF won't
>> >>>>>>>>>work well. And they aren't related to early "knowledge" that
>> >>>>>>>>>caused hams
>> >>>>>>>>>to be relegated to those higher frequencies at the time.
>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>Radio is a fairly mature field, and digital is getting there.
>> >>>>>>>>>Many
>> >>>>>>>>>people have a pretty good idea what will likely work, based on education
>> >>>>>>>>>and experience. And HF is an unruly beast, given to noisy and incredibly

>> >>>>>>variable conditions. We don't have to be rocket scientists to gain that
>> >>>>>>knowledge.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Just as an exercise, how much information can be carried by a 1.8 MHz
>> >>>>>>signal?
>> >
>> >
>> > As much as the S/N allows! See above.
>>
>> Of course! As a practical matter though, we have to assume amateur
>> radio conditions. A real limitation there.
>
> Which is why you'll not see the pundits doing any of what they talk
> about.
>
>> One of the things that I wonder about with the need for huge s/n
>> handling ratios is that we obviously want a quiet reciever, with a
>> impressive noise floor. This means all of the "oomph" must be on the
>> strong signal handling side. We need an exquisitely sensitive and quiet
>> reciever, with exceptional strong signal handling capacity.
>
> Not really.
>
> In most situations, HF radio reception is limited by the noise picked
> up by the antenna,
> not internal receiver noise. Been that way since at least the 1930s. It
> does no good to
> have an HF receiver with, say, .05 uV for 10 dB S/N sensitivity if the
> antenna picks up .5 uV of noise in the same bandwidth.
>
> You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
> your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
>
> 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
> you intend to use.
>
> 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
> noise roaring away.
>
> 3) Disconnect the antenna.
>
> If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
> sensitivity you can use in that application.
>>
>> >>How much error correction will be needed during the summer, and
>> >>
>> >>>>>>how much during the winter? Why is there a difference? Why would a
>> >>>>>>wireless digital transmission system use UHF and above for data
>> >>>>>>transmission?
>> >>>>>

>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>All very good questions!
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>when was that Jim
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>A long, long time ago. When almost nothing was known about propagation.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>Jim might note that they do some bandwidth tricks in similar manner
>> >>>>>>>>as he proposed per our conversation in here earlier. Not exact, but
>> >>>>>>>>along the same lines
>> >>>>>>>> Hopefully we will see an article from those who know the right way
>> >>>>>>>>to HF digital soon. 8^)
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> I don't have my CQ handy, but it took them a fair amount of time
>> >>>>>>(measured in minutes IIRC, to transmit some heavily compressed (beyond
>> >>>>>>maximum jpeg compression), and therefore really poor quality (by almost
>> >>>>>>everyones standard) pictures.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Didn't I make a challenge with some of the HF high-speed digital
>> >>>>>>believers in here to do a sked? I think the "answer" was that I was
>> >>>>>>going to steal the technology.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>You can't steal vaporware.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>Not that that is likely, but how about say some of the
>> >>>>>>believers among
>> >>>>>>themselves, do a proof of performance of the technology?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>Or is this just one of those Wondertenna type ideas that crop
>> >>>>>>up from
>> >>>>>>time to time, only to be found lacking when introduced
>> >>>>>>into the real world?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Heck, Mike, you want *practical* stuff?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> You betchya!
>> >>
>> >> I have to note that we speak of actual systems, real world devices -
>> >>some of which are yet to be made of course, but practicalities (granted
>> >>you much more than myself - but I am an RF neophyte, having come from

>> >>the digital world)
>> >
>> >
>> >> Others seem to be more in the vein of "so there! or someone is going to
>> >>come along and prove you naysayers wrong!" mode.
>> >
>> >
>> > Bingo.
>> >
>> >
>> >> Faith based electronics.
>> >
>> >
>> > Yes - those who dare to even like modes such as Morse Code
>> > are cursed as infidels who do not understand the Word that
>> > "newer is always better" and "the PROFESSIONALS know best"
>>
>> If newer is always better, I wonder why these vanguards of the brave
>> new world aren't typing to us stodgy mortals in leet? After all, it's
>> newer.
>
> Because it's not about that at all.
>
>> One of the biggest flaws of many people is that they have no
>> appreciation of mature technology and people.
>
> Heck, some of them don't have any apparent maturity themselves...
>
>> They choose to concentrate
>> on the limitations and foibles of both, not realizing that they are
>> themselves full of their own foibles and limitations.
>
> You have to remember that in some folks' minds, newer *is* better,
> regardless
> of the reality. It's almost an ideology of constant change as being
> morally
> superior.
>
> Remember Red China's "cultural revolution" in the 1960s? One of their
> main ideas
> was that there would be a constant, continuing revolution in everything
> - that
> all the old ideas would be tossed aside, to be replaced by the New.
>
> In the process, however, they were unable to do even simple things like
> feed
> themselves, and large numbers of people died because of it.
>
> Here in the West, the ideology of constant change has to do with
> selling things.

> Fads and fashions. In the process, all sorts of bad stuff and waste
> come and go.
> Worst of all, there's a sort of addiction to the quick fix rather than
> real solutions.
>
>
>> They create for
>> themselves great effort and even harm in their rush to discard the old,
>> simply to reinvent it.
>
> See my post about the Space Shuttle in a different thread.
>>
>> >> I wonder how that tremendous antenna from the UofD is coming along? You
>> >>know, the one that is going to revolutionize radio? HF antennas a few
>> >>feet long that outperform anything we have today.
>> >> The one that was so "efficient" that it melted when the inventor
>> >>powered it with 100 watts. And I'm not the one who used the word
>> >>efficient, *they* did.
>> >
>> >
>> > It *is* efficient, Mike! It's very efficient at turning HF RF energy
>> > into heat.
>>
>> I've seen better! ;^)
>
> Not much better!
>
>> >>>Don't expect it from "John Smith", Len, "b.b.", or even "an
>> >>>old friend".
>> >>>You won't get it.
>
> That's really what it comes down to.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 1066. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 21:20:11 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123622411.033729.147810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115417

John Smith wrote:
> To all:
>
> The majority of people who communicate use the internet (well, phones and
> cell phones too.) Email, Instant Messaging, blogs, newsgroups, webpages,
> IRC chat, etc, etc... and these communications take place on a worldwide
> scale and virtually touch the life of every citizen in first AND second
> world countries.

I would even suggest that the majority of radio amateurs communicate
via the internet.

# 1067. Re: What a surprise! Woger deletes his picture!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 21:36:16 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <wogers.hairy.head.covering@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 115418
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 9 Aug 2005 22:26:52 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger Swaggart wrote:
:
: To make it appear to sound like something it wasn't intended to
: do.
:
: He quotes 1 Corinthians 11:14, but fails to mention (as usual
: his sloppy, biased "research" is like him, flawed) that Chapter

Here's a fuller quote: "Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him? But if a woman have long hair, it is a glory to her: for her hair is given her for a covering."

That's not hard to understand at all, Woger, and yet you seem to be having difficulties with the ideas that St. Paul tried to convey in his letter. The quote is not out of context at all, unless failing to quote the whole New Testament is the context which you seek.

Also, the original Greek text used the word "ATIMA" when describing long hair. That word can be translated as "shameful" or "vile," where vile refers to the offensiveness of a dead body. In fact, "atima" is used in the latter way in several other biblical passages.

So it is likely that Paul would have thought that your hair reminded him of the unseemliness and offensiveness of a dead body

We, on the other hand, know that it only smells that way.

===

Ezekiel:
http://img357.imageshack.us/img357/7599/ab8mq08033of.jpg

(Image restored after Woger had it removed. Not Woger, eh?)

# 1068. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 21:43:32 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123623812.611332.312840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115419

John Smith wrote:
> We need to scale back NASA and any space plans, other then the pursuit of
> maintaining military superiority in space,

But, but, but....

We had to break a Treaty to attempt that. The no-servers don't like
that plan.

if needed and focusing on
> developing a fuel source which is not harming the planet and threatening
> to bring us to our knees from dwindling supplies.

and terrorist induced disruptions.

> A scientific project on the scale of NASA and designed to develop a new
> fuel, or new fuels, would be in our best interests...

The time for that was 1973 (1st oil embargo), 1977 (second oil
embargo), 1991 (first Gulf War), 2001 (WTC/Pentagon attacks), and 2003
(2nd Gulf War). In that time frame, we've only succeeded in developing
an -interruptable- power supply. ;^)

I support alternative fuel development from a national security
standpoint, not a global warming view.

> Priorities need to be examined here...

Ooops. Congress just re-examined those priorities and decided to
"Spring Forward." Huge effort, that, making people change their
clocks.

What would it have taken for the environmentalists Clinton/Gore to have
merely extended the EPA Fleet Mileage requirements for and additional
10 years??? What would it have taken for Clinton/Gore to tighten up
the standards and lessen our dependence on foreign oil???

Answer:

A $00.25 Bic pen.

Instead, there is no longer an EPA Fleet Mileage requirement. None.
Nada. Zip.

So let's buy behemoth V-8 and V-10 vehicles, raise the speed limit to
70mph, and roll them down the highway at 85mph on underinflated tires.
Talk about a highway to hell.

# 1069. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 21:59:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123624794.362990.320660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115420

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Aug 9, 10:53 am

> >Priorities need to be examined here...
>
> For an alternate way to reach terrestrial orbit, one possibility
> is shown in the August 2005 issue of the IEEE SPECTRUM. It is the
> cover story. In the same issue (beginning page 12) is a story
> where Europe is joining Russia in building the "next" space shuttle.
>
> SPECTRUM is viewable on the IEEE website, www.ieee.org.

From that site:

QUOTE

We need something better, and that something is a space elevator-a
superstrong, lightweight cable stretching 100 000 kilometers from
Earth's surface to a counterweight in space.

UNQUOTE

I kept looking for the link to Todd's "Inventions" page but
couldn't find it.

Maybe we could anchor this "cable" at the center of one of Todd's
cryogenically cooled storage capacitors, using the resulting explosion
to force the "elevator" into orbit...?!?!

In all seriousness...I wonder if the resulting oscillations in the
cable from it hitting an object in space (or something hitting it...)
will be adequately dampened by the time it get's to the cable's
antipode...?!?!

Now we don't only have to worry about an aquatic earthquate
casuing a tsunami, we have to worry about The Cable falling.

And for the "counterweight" to remain in one place relative to
Earth's surface, it would have to be of considerable mass, sped-up to
phenominal speeds in order to reach station-keeping over the desired
target.

Now the eggheads at IEEE suggest we can orbit a counterweight to
support a 100K Km cable capable of supporting trans-orbital flight
loads...?!?!

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1070. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:00:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123624828.861059.196660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > > Nope.
> > > The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
> > >services.
> > >K1MAN does.
> > > It really is THAT simple.
> >
> > The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or
> > indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to the
> > internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them
> > directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's DIRECT.
>
> W1AW does NOT "make money", direct or indirect.

W1AW promates the ARRL
>
> The American Radio Relay League does, which in turn funds W1AW.

and ARRL is suposed to be non profit but leaving that aside

>
> But then you could also say that my employer "funds" K4YZ in the
> same way.

Realy Stevie?

Then I guess you are breaking the rules promoting your employers
busness, and admitting to braodcasting Stevie

>
> > >You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
> > >what is here."
> >
> > no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor
> > final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not be
> > opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to
> > opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that
> > power.
>
> So far, no one has abused the rules in such a way as to argue what
> "information" means. The FCC has pretty much assumed (erroneously,
> obviusly...) that the average person knew the difference.
>
> "Opinion" is not "information"...it's opinion. And offering your

> opinion in a one-way transmission is broadcasting.

Bullshit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1071. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:05:53 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123625153.698426.99010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115422

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > it to you.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
> An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

Steve, thanks for answering for David.

So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of band.<<<

Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?

# 1072. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:13:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123625624.931516.58580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115423

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
> > > ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
> >
> > mentioned
>
> Yep.
>
> > > The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel
> > > - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit,
> > > reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround
> > > times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars
> > > total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program
> > > began.
> >
> > yea the shutle was and is a failure
>
> Based upon WHAT data, Mark?

Based on the specs when the project started

The shuttle was supposed to take cargo to Geostationary orbit instaed
NASA settled for LEO
>
> That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
> commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?

as normal off target and not related

>
> E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
> in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.

wrong again Stevie, your premise that learning from something means the
something was a sucess

Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
>
> > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites

> > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> >
> > yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> > preforming the missions promised
>
> The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.

the Shuttle can't fufill the mission it was designed for

the Shuttle has falied utterly in being able to try it designed for
mission

The Shuttle is the best real world example of Dumbing down expectations

>
> Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
> to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
> on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
> deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.
>
> > that it has some use is of course true
>
> That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
> is even more true.

milstone yea it is that

>
> That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then
> what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> "re-engineered" for better performance?

that reengineering is not even planned for the shuttle shows it failure

>
> Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still
> using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!
>
> To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.

it is the plain and simple truth

>
> > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > crew.
> >
> > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > refusual to listen to anybody else
>
> What, Mark?
>

> As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> proven itself 100% error free?
>
> I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read
> that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.
>
> And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design,
> engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor
> vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in
> what...1992?
>
> > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> >
> > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> > sheild
>
> What "fibbing", Mark?

Jim said the Chalenger was destroyed by a heat shiled related problem

>
> Jim said, quote:
>
> > > But it doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.
>
> Where is there a "fib" in there, Mark?

taking stuff out of context ...again

>
> And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> different outcome.
>
> > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> >
> > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the

> > > lessons learned from its problems...
> >
> > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
>
> Oh?
>
> And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?

experence sure I got it I have folowed the Space program as far back as
I can remember

We started in 60 with the Goal of getting to the moon and we did then
we started to build a space born truck that was supposed to reach GEO
stationary orbit and be able to turn around in 2 weeks

we settled for LEO and months of turnaround

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1073. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:14:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123625688.816636.11050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115424

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
>
> > > > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> > > > mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> > > > cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> > > > discussion you are having with the other people.
> > >
> > Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives, and
> > "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher wines.
> > That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to Steve.
>
> Steven J Robeson's posts only contain ONE yiddish word..."putz"...

And your "Anonymous" persona posted a message containing only one
kosher wine.

That is what is known as a one to one (1:1) relationship.

You got found out.

> > I do need to get my ears lowered.
>
> With a Guillotine.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Are you suggest violence again?

# 1074. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:31:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EfydnZ2dnZ0gw-e_nZ2dnWSzZN-dnZ2dRVn-0Z2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 115425

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.09.17.41.53.97584@gmail.com...
> To all:
>
> The majority of people who communicate use the internet (well, phones and
> cell phones too.) Email, Instant Messaging, blogs, newsgroups, webpages,
> IRC chat, etc, etc... and these communications take place on a worldwide
> scale and virtually touch the life of every citizen in first AND second
> world countries.
>
> Since BPL would be a MAJOR GAIN to the majority of people communicating
> (using the internet) it would be one MAJOR MISTAKE to allow a handful of
> hams, an insignificant number of the people using communications (and
> then, theirs is only a hobby communication) to control the destiny of BPL
> simply because it interferes with an insignificant number of hobby users.
>
> It will be nothing short of a crime to allow this insignificant number to
> influence BPL at all. The FCC and any public official(s) found doing so,
> and being so influenced, should be reprimanded harshly!
>
> How this self-serving bunch of closed-membership hams even hope to stop
> tens of millions (hundreds of millions?) from these benefits, all so they
> can set and enjoy their little hobby, staggers the mind and leads one to
> doubt the sanity of those who would participate in this scam!
>
> Amateur policy needs to get rid of its' self-serving element and
> demonstrate they have good judgment and will hold their self-serving
> interests above the interests and benefits of the hundreds of millions of
> american citizens. It the stark light of reality amateur radio is seen in
> the perverted state it has fallen into. This has happened by allowing men
> and women of question character to control the destiny of amateur radios'
> course--this needs to change direction and restore the dignity to this
> hobby which it once held, decades ago.
>
> If there is not a clear rule, regulation or tradition in amateur radio
> which directs its members (licensees) to always behave in a manner which
> holds the interests, benefits and well being of the citizens of the united
> states in paramount importance, one SHOULD be placed there. However, it
> seems a natural principle educated men or worth, intelligence and caliber
> would hold to... and here, upon this simple test, you can weed the chaff
> from the grain...
>
> John
>

If you really look at BPL, it is not in the best interests of the potential customers. Since it will be transmitted on unshielded wires, it will be subject to interference from a wide range of natural and manmade sources such as lightning, atmospherics, faulty transformers that plague so many electrical lines, flourescent light bulbs, and plasma televisions. I can just see the results when Dad hooks up to the internet and loses the signal when other family members turn on the plasma TV! We already have several technologies far better than BPL.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1075. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:38:57 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <42F9307C.8070108@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115427

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dd7i7f$11ko$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>robert casey wrote:
>>
>>>> A. Because the Administrative Procedures Act required it and
>>>>
>>>> B. To see how many ya-yas and yuck-yucks come out of the woodwork.
>>>>
>>>> Relieves the tensions of 8 hours "in the box" sandwiched between two
>>>> hours of car-pool on either end.. Maybe that's why I never went to
>>>>HQ.
>>>
>>>I can just imagine the bureaucrat at the FCC who gets stuck
>>>wading thru all the filed comments searching for the one
>>>that might actually point out something of substance that was
>>>overlooked and would matter. I made my comment short and
>>>to the point: "I agree, do it, drop the code test". So
>>>whoever at the FCC doesn't have to waste much time on
>>>my comment.
>>
>>I thought you had to point out how the other respondants are misguided, or
>>whatever..... ;^)
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> You always have the chance to point out those misquided
> comments during the 15 day reply comments period. :-) :-)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
I looked at a large sample of the comments filed and they are an
embarassment to the Amateur Radio Service. It appears that a simple
literacy test is a more urgent need than a 5 wpm code test. A first
course in English grammar and basic writing wouldn't hurt either.
I prefer not to comment on the logic (or lack thereof) displayed in all
too many of the comments. However I did note quite a number of comments
whose authors were clearly unaware of the last 15 years worth of
regulatory changes or had no idea of what modes were allowed where.
John

# 1076. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:39:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123627143.102310.141130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115428

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > it to you.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >
> > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
>
> Steve, thanks for answering for David.
>
> So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> band.<<<
>
> Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?

As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
the history

nah will not happen stevie don't need to follow rules at least not in
stevies mind

# 1077. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:39:14 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <ddbb8k$eb5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.09.03.31.15.476555@gmail.com...

... Sampsons' strength was in his hair, didn't seem God hated long hair
too much ...

John

>
And his weakness was for pretty women. Neither of which, strength OR women,
AB8MQ knows anything about.

## 1078. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:40:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123627231.184273.221600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115429

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > > In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> >
> > > > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> > > > > mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> > > > > cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> > > > > discussion you are having with the other people.
> > >
> > > Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives, and
> > > "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher wines.
> > > That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to Steve.
> >
> > Steven J Robeson's posts only contain ONE yiddish word..."putz"...
>
> And your "Anonymous" persona posted a message containing only one
> kosher wine.
>
> That is what is known as a one to one (1:1) relationship.
>
> You got found out.
>
> > > I do need to get my ears lowered.
> >
> > With a Guillotine.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Are you suggest violence again?

look like it but to give him credit that isn't quite a threat just a
sugeestion of violence

## 1079. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 22:41:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123627265.888951.150490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115430

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > > In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > >
> > > > > > > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > > > > > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> > > > > > > mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> > > > > > > cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> > > > > > > discussion you are having with the other people.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives, and
> > > > > "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher wines.
> > > > > That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to Steve.
> > >
> > > Steven J Robeson's posts only contain ONE yiddish word..."putz"...
> >
> > And your "Anonymous" persona posted a message containing only one
> > kosher wine.

I don't have an "anonymous" persona, Brain.

And I couldn't tell a "kosher" wine from any other kind of wine if
I were bathing in it...

> That is what is known as a one to one (1:1) relationship.
>
> You got found out.

Nothing to "find out" here, Brain.

> > > I do need to get my ears lowered.
> >
> > With a Guillotine.
>
> Are you suggest violence again?

Nope. Just one way to get your hair cut and make everyone here
happy at the same time.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1080. Re: What a surprise! Woger deletes his picture!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 23:06:15 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <ddbcr9$kt5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115431
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If that photo is 'not' Roger as so claimed, why then is he going to the effort of having it removed?
A previous poster was right about Roger in that the more vehement the denial, the more veracity there is to the accusation.

This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message news:wogers.hairy.head.covering@houston-hunks.com...
On Tue, 9 Aug 2005 22:26:52 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger Swaggart wrote:
:
: To make it appear to sound like something it wasn't intended to
: do.
:
: He quotes 1 Corinthians 11:14, but fails to mention (as usual
: his sloppy, biased "research" is like him, flawed) that Chapter

Here's a fuller quote: "Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him? But if a woman have long hair, it is a glory to her: for her hair is given her for a covering."

That's not hard to understand at all, Woger, and yet you seem to be having difficulties with the ideas that St. Paul tried to convey in his letter. The quote is not out of context at all, unless failing to quote the whole New Testament is the context which you seek.

Also, the original Greek text used the word "ATIMA" when describing long hair. That word can be translated as "shameful" or "vile," where vile refers to the offensiveness of a dead body. In fact, "atima" is used in the latter way in several other biblical passages.

So it is likely that Paul would have thought that your hair reminded him of the unseemliness and offensiveness of a dead body

We, on the other hand, know that it only smells that way.

===

Ezekiel:
http://img357.imageshack.us/img357/7599/ab8mq08033of.jpg

(Image restored after Woger had it removed. Not Woger, eh?)

# 1081. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 23:30:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <70d3027f84f90d2950b00b02a5c4d078@dizum.com>
Source record: 115432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <woger.saw.de.wheel.in.de.middle.of.de.air@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> made excuses and lied and whined:
>
> First, Woger tries to pretend that the mere idea of the existence of
> barbers during Jesus' time is laughable

Roger isn't posting this, stupid. The fact that you think everybody is Roger is laughable, fatass.

Barber in the modern sense did NOT exist, stupid. They cut hair with flint rocks and oyster shells.

Answer the question: Did you get those much needed hair plugs or is the Rogaine option more appealing to your chrome dome?

>
> And then, in a puzzling

"Puzzling" only to you, because I didn't take anything out of context like you do to make it fit what you are trying to say.

>
> This latter statement underlines that fact that short hair

Can't you fucking read, Saggytits? That says nothing about Jesus having short hair. Although it was long, women had longer hair. You shound bitter because you went bald at 18.

>
> It would seem

It would seem that Saggytits is backpedaling and lying and making excuses for his lack of scholarship.

> (lies about "Devry")

Poor Saggytits, Roger never attended Devry, but three very good colleges, unlike you, freshman year dropout.

# 1082. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 23:38:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123630693.747988.125680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115433

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> No sense in attempting to efficiently send data anywhere, unless methods
> are used which employ efficient data compaction and the use of CRC
> checksums... it is only there that HS data transmission comes alive...
>
> Encryption is good also, unless you want the whole world to know what you
> are sending...
>
> John

Uhhh . . John you hopeless noclue check out what Part 97 has to say
about hams encrypting their transmissions.

w3rv

# 1083. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 23:46:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.09.23.46.04.873154@gmail.com>
Source record: 115435

K4YZ:

Don't forget the fact that cable will be traveling at over 1,000 miles
per hour, the centrifical force is going to add some force to "pull" it
out from the earth, also, it will be spinning in magnetic fields--you know
what happens when you spin a conductor around in a magnetic
field--however, most physicists say it looks very doable.

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 14:59:54 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: John Smith on Aug 9, 10:53 am
>
>> >Priorities need to be examined here...
>>
>> For an alternate way to reach terrestrial orbit, one possibility
>> is shown in the August 2005 issue of the IEEE SPECTRUM. It is the
>> cover story. In the same issue (beginning page 12) is a story
>> where Europe is joining Russia in building the "next" space shuttle.
>>
>> SPECTRUM is viewable on the IEEE website, www.ieee.org.
>
> From that site:
>
> QUOTE
>
> We need something better, and that something is a space elevator-a
> superstrong, lightweight cable stretching 100 000 kilometers from
> Earth's surface to a counterweight in space.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> I kept looking for the link to Todd's "Inventions" page but
> couldn't find it.
>
> Maybe we could anchor this "cable" at the center of one of Todd's
> cryogenically cooled storage capacitors, using the resulting explosion
> to force the "elevator" into orbit...?!?!
>
> In all seriousness...I wonder if the resulting oscillations in the
> cable from it hitting an object in space (or something hitting it...)
> will be adequately dampened by the time it get's to the cable's

> antipode...?!?!
>
> Now we don't only have to worry about an aquatic earthquate
> casuing a tsunami, we have to worry about The Cable falling.
>
> And for the "counterweight" to remain in one place relative to
> Earth's surface, it would have to be of considerable mass, sped-up to
> phenominal speeds in order to reach station-keeping over the desired
> target.
>
> Now the eggheads at IEEE suggest we can orbit a counterweight to
> support a 100K Km cable capable of supporting trans-orbital flight
> loads...?!?!
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1084. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-09 23:47:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123631224.734211.138780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115434

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > > > In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > > > > > > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> > > > > > > > mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> > > > > > > > cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> > > > > > > > discussion you are having with the other people.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives, and
> > > > > "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher wines.
> > > > > That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to Steve.
> > > >
> > > Steven J Robeson's posts only contain ONE yiddish word..."putz"...
> > >
> > And your "Anonymous" persona posted a message containing only one
> > kosher wine.
>
> I don't have an "anonymous" persona, Brain.

I think you have several personas, not all of them anonymous

>
> And I couldn't tell a "kosher" wine from any other kind of wine if
> I were bathing in it...

Hint stevie that isn't the test
>
> > That is what is known as a one to one (1:1) relationship.
> >
> > You got found out.
>
> Nothing to "find out" here, Brain.
>
> > > > I do need to get my ears lowered.
> > >

> > > With a Guillotine.
> >
> > Are you suggest violence again?
>
> Nope. Just one way to get your hair cut and make everyone here
> happy at the same time.

Nope another Stevie lie, the operant word being "Everyone"

No wait I may have blown the attiribution Stevie might have mean't all everyone there at stevie pc right then the several personas wandering around in his head

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1085. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:15:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123632926.695208.279370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115436

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > > > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > > > does the rest.
> > > >
> > > which is of course essencaily a confession that you threaten and
> > > harrass folks
> > >
> > "essentially"
> > >
> > No, it's not.
> >
> yes of course it is

Of course it's not.

> > > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> > > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> > > >
> > > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
> > >
> > That's an answer?
> >
> yes it is

> cuting stevie rants

More "cuting".

But here it is re-installed:

> Where are YOUR credentials to determine the stabilty of anyone
> else's mental health, Mark?
>
> The fact that YOU are a mental health patient?

That weasn't a rant.

That was a question.

Why were you so embarrassed to address it?

> > > > > > > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > showing what you were trying was blackmail
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I never tried to "blackmail" you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> > > > > > > "blackmail", Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > it is mading a demand for gain for a target under threat
> > > > >
> > > > cuting libel
> > > >
> > > > And what target? What threat?
> > >
> > > me the htreat s are violence, (one shot one kill..) outing Your
> > > demands I comply or youd tell everyone I was gay, your conitued
> > > harrasment unless I do as YOU say
> >
> > What threats?
>
> you threats
> One Shot one kill...

Wasn't a threat seven years ago.

It's not a threat today, no matter how many times you repeat it out
of context.

> your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)

Why does it concern you that you might be brought to the attention
of

> your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago

I didn't threaten yoy with exposing your deviancy, Mark. Pardon
the choice of words, but you "exposed" yourslf all on your own.

> why do you need everything repeated and again and agian

Why can't you type the same word the same way in one sentence?

And I keep hoping that sooner or later you'll come up with a
viable reason for your unviable assertions.

So far, you haven't done it, so expect to keep getting that same
question asked until you pony-up something that sounds remotely
life-like.

> > What 'outing (my) demands'...?!?!
> >
> > You ARE gay, Markie. You stated so yourself in this forum.
>
> but not until after you outed me, you preceeded that action by

> threatening to do so unless I complied with your demands

Markie...I DIDN'T OUT YOU! Whoever you THINK was under your saddle
about your deviancy, it wasn't me...You did that
allllllllllllllllllllll by your self!

> that your blackmail was unsucessfull has no bearing on whether or not
> your prefromed it

I didn't blackmail you or attempt to blackmail you, ergo it's
irrelevent WHAT was "successful" or not.

> > > It is all blackmail
> >
> > What blackmail?
> >
> > In order to "prove" blackmail, you have to prove that I stood to
> > gain from some act or threat of violence against you.
>
> no I don't, however in this case you did stand to gain you demanded I
> stop posting in RRAP obviously you valued that

Nope.

And still no proof, Mark.

> > No such "blackmail" was caused since no such threat was made nor
> > did any potential gain exist.
>
> wrong you made the threat and stood to gain

Nope. The ONLY person here who stands to gain anything by you not
posting here is YOU, Mark. Because as long as you post here, you'll be
known as the illiterate lying gay pagan draftee...

> > > > > your actions quailify
> > > >
> > > > Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.
> > >
> > > sure do
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > We will now await your presentation of credentials in
> > jurisprudence.

Guess you forgot about "cuting" that one....

> > > > > you continue to black mail me
> > > >
> > > > You continue to utter unfounded and hillarious lies in a public
> > > > forum.
> > >
> > > nope

> > >
> > > you are simply an ignorant stalker, and blackmailer.
> >
> > Nope, nope and nope.
> >
> > > > > "one shot one kill..." Is a threat
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> > > > > taken from in court.
> > > >
> > > > it is in context Killing was never the subject
> > >
> > > I never suggested to kill you.
> >
> > liar

Nope.

> it is a plain and clear threat

To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...

> > That's your rampant paranoia.
> >
> one shot one kill is a threat

To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...

> > > discsion of murder has nothing to do Radio or Morse Code
> > >
> > > You're suggesting the "murder" of Morse Code usage in Amateur
> > > Radio.
> >
> another Stevie lie I am making no such sugestion

Sure you have.

You've deliberately stated that you'd make all Morse Code usage
stop if you could,

> after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered

Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?

> > > > > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > sure is
> > >
> > > How?
> >

> by being one

"by being one" what?

Re-read what you wrote, in response to what >>I<< wrote, Mark...

It doesn't make sense.

> > > > > > > you are a low level danger
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> > > > > > and your "family".
> > > > > >
> > > > > nope I am saying you have prooven you mostly make threats without
> > > > > backing em up
> > > > >
> > > > There's nothing to be "back(ed) up", Markie.
> > > >
> > > yes there is and you keep making threats
> > >
> > Why do you continue to make and remake this assertion in the face
> > of evidence to the contrary?
>
> what eveidence?

E X A C T L Y ! ! ! !

N O E V I D E N C E ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

>>YOU<< have >>NO EVIDENCE<< of ANY threat!

> > > cuting another lies
> >
>
> > > > > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > > > > nothing to do your making them
> > > > >
> > > > I didn't make any, Markie.
> > > >
> > > One shot on kill .. is a threat
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > It MEANS, as it meant in the ORIGINAL CONTEXT, was that my
> > comments "squarely hit" what I "aim" for.
>
> Killing is killing

Not int he context of the comment I made, Mark. It meant then, as
it does now, taht I "hit" what I aim at...In the FULL CONTEXT of the
statement I amde in response to YOUR comments...

> it was and remains a threat

Nope.

Why do you continue to "force" this lie when it's apparent you're
not telling the truth, Mark?

> > > you are lying in saying otherwise
> >
> > You are lying in tryng to make it anything more than it was.
>
> nope

Absolutely.

> if you were manere when it was brought you would have adknowledged the
> words to have been illchoosen or something like that

If I was "marere"...?!?!

As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
response YOUR

> instead when I did not react as you wish you added various threats of
> legal action, repated the thret in various manner and generaly behaved
> in a threatening manner

> > > that it was idle matters not
> >
> > It wasn't a threat..."idle" or otherwise.
>
> sure was

To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...

> > > > > You simply make Idles(so far) threats, but you make threats often
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > abolsutely true
> > >
> > > Absolutely UNtrue...
> >
> > But don't let that slow you down...
> >
> > > > > > > terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > spelling cop cut
> > > >
> > > > > yep there is his name is Steve Robeson
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.
> > > >
> > > > just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own

> > > actions, and his own words
> >
> > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.
>
> just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own
> actions, and his own words
>
> nothing is Stevies fault

It's only "Stevies fault" when Stevie does it wrong.

So far you've not presented any "evidence" to the contrary except
your childish sandlot "ya-HUH!" arguments.

> > > Just Stevie squirming to evade the turth of his bulling personality
> >
> > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again...and again...and
> > again...
> >
> > > > > > > cuting a lie
> > > > > cuting another lie
> > > cuting speling cop
> >
> > You just can't stand it, can you...cut, cut cut...
> >
> > > > > > yes you do you threatened Tood I saw that, you conitue to threaten me,
> > > > > > idly it seems but you continue
> > > cuting spelling cop
> > >
> > > > > I didn't threaten Todd.
> > >
> > > you sure did
> > >
> > > you threatened to call his perants you threatened to contact his ISP
> > > (you carried out that threat but it was still a threat
> >
> > It wasn't a threat.
>
> it sure is
>
> apeartnly it seems an idle one

To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...

> > Toddie violated the terms of his TOS. He refused to stop. He got
> > what he asked for.
>
> but you threatened to do , and apealently did it

"apealently"...?!?!

I told him I wouldn't tolerate his violent, profane and
threatening behaviour towards me.

He thought rules didn't apply to him.

He found out different.

> have a reason to make a threat doesn't mean you are not threatening him
>
> you realy don't know english do you

I know it better than you. I employ it more accurately, too.

I know that English is capitalized.

I know that "realy" is actually spelled "really", but you keep
screwing that up too.

I know that a sentence is started with a capital letter and ended
with a period, except where a question is asked. You seem to have a
problem with that.

> > > you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
> > > actions
> >
> > Sure I do.
> >
> > > you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats
> >
> > Nope. Toiddie is responsible for his own actions...And he had to
> > pay a price for them.
>
> yep as expected and predicted

I am sure there was a point there.

Care to make it?

> > If YOU think that I have made all of these threats against you and
> > am the "stalker" you accuse me of, please, file a civil or criminal
> > charge against me, Markie..
>
> I have sought to do so, and there is realy very little I can do short
> of traveling to Tenn. and doing so that is one of the problems with the
> internet

But...but...but...MARK!

All this past week you were telling us what great financial shape
you're allegedly in...two cars..house...investments...allegedly turned
down a $240K/yr job...

Guess that's yet ANOTHER lie we've caught you in.

> > It will be quite satisfying to have you served with the countersuit
> > and then see who's side holds up better in court.

>
> more threats

Nope.

A promise. You file any "charges" or "suit" against me, and your
ears will whistle for a week with the paper landing in your lap from
summons servers!

> > > Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity
> >
> > Sure it is.
> >
> > And I've put my "hand out" with apologies or corrections where
> > appropriate.
>
> still haven't adknowledged your mistakes about the Brothers Grim

It's "Grimm", Markie.

> > Ask around.
>
> No one answers yes you have

You've not asked the question. And I bet most everyone is
ignoring these posts...so ask them directly.

> > > > > and you lie about it
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> > > > > > > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What threats?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > your threats
> > > > >
> > > > > What threats?
> > > >
> > > > the threats typed above
> > >
> > > What threats?
> > >
> > > You keep saying "those threats" and I keep saying "cite them".
> > >
> > > All YOU do is say "those threats".
> > >
> > > There are NO threats.
>
> sure are

Nope.

> not going to retype them and retype em

You can retype the Magna Carta and it won't prove anything.

You'll still have no threats.

> threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to come to
> the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain

What threats?

> > > > > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > What evasion?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > your evasion
> > > > >
> > > > > WHAT evasion...?!?!
> > > >
> > > your eveasion
> >
> > What "eveasion"...?!?!
>
> yours

WHAT EVASION...?!?!?

> > > I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
> > > repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so
> >
> > You've retyped nothing except "your threats" and "your evasion",
> > Markie.
>
> lying agian

I am not lying and I am not an agian.

> > You've not SUBSTANTIATED either...
>
> you have admited to typing "One shot on kill" that does it right there,
> the rest just piles on

That wasn't a threat.

Wasn't then...isn't now...won't be 100 years from now...

> > > > > > You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yes you do, overlooking the fact it has been done in many case Years
> > > > > > ago, and repaeted again and again
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope. All we have are your stories of threats. I am surprised we
> > > > > haven't been treated to any black helo or unmarked vans stories, too.
> > > >
> > > well if that suprises then yuare of stupid, but we all know that,

> > > everyone but you of course
> >
> > I love being called "stupid" in a sentence that is so fractured
> > that it takes several re-readings to figure out that I was "insulted".
>
> then where is your thank you

You flushed it after your last bowel movement, Markie.

> > > > > cuting another stevie evasion distrotion
> > > > >
> > > cuting speling cop
> >
>
> >
> > > > You couldn't have cut a distortion because there wasn't one there.
> > > >
> > > sure was
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > > Oh?
> > > > >
> > > > Exercising my rights to travel freely within the United States is
> > > > now a "threat"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > when cuopled with your other behavoir certainly is
> >
> > "cuopled"
> >
> > "behavoir"
> >
> > What "behaviour" do you refer to?
>
> your make threats

What threats?

> by the way It is infact ilegal for you to came HERE since you have been
> informed your are unwelcome

It would be illegal for me to knock on your front door or violate
your privacy.

It would NOT be illegal for me to come to Michigan...ANYwhere in
Michigan.

Nor would it be illegal for me to speak with local law enforcement
or mental health case workers about you, being careful to express my
concerns as just that...concerns.

> > > > > threatening action with various parties
> > > > >

> > > > What threats?
> > >
> > > asked and answered
> >
> > Avoided and evaded by you.
>
> asked and answered

Avoided and evaded by you.

> > > > > > > stop harassing people
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm not harrassing people.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > liar
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
> > > the Air, by what I hear from other hams
> >
> > Oh?
> >
> > Then you've proven that you're "hearing voices", Markie.
>
> nope
>
> I have hear Hams call you a Papa Oscar serria on the air

"heard"

"Sierra"

I am sure you hear a LOT of voices doing that, Markie...

All part of your delusional mindset, Markie.

> > > > > > You post, I respond. Period.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
> > > > > > responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > liar you are not a bit sorry
> > > > >
> > > > > Not a liar and sure I'm sorry.
> > >
> > > 2 lies with one sentence
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > > > > why do you tell such obvious lies
> > > > >

> > > > Why do you put words in others mouths and try to pass them off as
> > > > truthful?
> > >
> > > that is your gig stevie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > As usual, you're welcome to TRY and "prove" that, however you'll
> > not be successful.
>
> already have many times

No...you've CLAIMED that you have tried...

All you do is make sandlot taunts.

None of them effective or accurate.

> > > > > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you don't have any right to threaten people
> > > > >
> > > > > I haven't threatened anyone.
> > > >
> > > > lying again
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes One shot one kill is a threat

Nope.

A prosecuter won't touch your "claim" based upon the original
context and in response to your own words, Markie.

> > > I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me
> > >
> > > Nope, nope and nope.
> >
> > yep yep and yep

Nope, nope and nope.

And no matter how many times you wish to carry that one out, it
still won't be true.

> > > when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
> > > start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
> > > lets you out
> > >
> > > Any discussion group wherein YOU profess to be an "inteligent"
> > (intelligent) man is a conversation mispent.
> >

> more evasion

Nope.

You accused me of not being "inteligent". I corrected your error
and answerd the claim.

No evasion.

> > > > >the 1st amendment does cover that
> > > > >
> > > > No, it does not.
> > > > >
> > > > QUOTE:
> > > > >
> > > > Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > > > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> > > > speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> > > > assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
> > > > >
> > > > UNQUOTE:
> > > > >
> > > > What IS there:
> > > >
> > > so what?
> > >
> > The assertions YOU cut from the quote were NOT covered by a single
> > word of the First Amendment.
> >
> > Let's put this BACK into context since you snipped all of that
> > out...
> cuting out your evasive waste of bandwidth
>
> > Now, I'd appreciate it if you'd acknowldge your "respoinblty" to
> > TAKE "respoinblty" for your mistake.
>
> no mistake

A bit mistake...on your part. The one wherein you think you can
keep blustering your way through your inappropriate claims.

> you keep trying to divert the issue

No I don't.

> you have NO right to threaten people

I don't.

> you have NO right to harrass people

I don't.

> you have NO right to stalk people

I don't.

> The first amendent does not give the right to do ilgeal things

You again express your obvious misunderstanding of what is said in
the First Amendment to the Constitution, Markie.

> nor does any other part of the Constitution

And there is nothing in ANY part of the Constitution that is
"violated" by our exchanges here, Mark....

> > > a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably
> >
> > The fact remains that the First Amendment does NOT say what you
> > alleged it to say.
>
> i was simply using your quoting of the text

You simply did not.

You tried to take ONE word from it and apply it contrary to it's
use in THAT Amendement.

You failed.

> > > that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
> > > have a legs to stand on
> >
> > "ois ilegal"
> >
> > What were you trying to say?
> >
> > What "hate speech"...?!?!
>
> your bashing of people for being gay or pagan or just different

I don't "bash" you, Mark, and certainly NOT for any of those
reasons.

You keep getting your nose tweeked because you keep getting caught
lying.

And in fact you've LIED about all of those things.

You claimed you WEREN'T gay, but it's since been proven with your
own words that you are.

You claimed you weren't pagan, but it's since been proven with your
own words that you are.

And you've claimed that you're not "dffrent" when it's CLEARLY
evident that you are!

> > > you are guilty of threats and hate speech
> >

> > Nope. Not even close.
>
> sure are I posted awhile back some example form just your titles

And none of them were "hate speech" as defined by the Civil Rights
Act.

Try again.

> > > cuting stevie off target rant
> >
>
> > > > > > > > > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourself on
> > > > > > > > > numerous occassions.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'm doing neither.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you keep doing it you lying SoS
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's
> > > > > > > > go back to insinuating profanites....
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not realy you are a lying sack of ####, just calling you on it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > More anger. Even spelled it out this time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > nope you would have to be angery to use the word ####. I use when I
> > > > > deem it proper, very calmly
> > >
> > Profanity is the tool of a violent mind, Markie.
>
> IYO

Nope.

In the opinion of those "pros" you always refer to.

> I do assert my free right to use such words as I choose as long I as I
> don't violate the rights of others

By re-titling these posts "stvie ####" you violate MY right to not
be called a profane word or to have my "intellectual property"
defiled...

Hence the "Markie Mularkie" posts in kind.

> > > so another lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > I am not angery with I am disguted by you

> >
> > You are disgusted by getting your nose rubbed in your mistruths
> > and deceit?
>
> No I am disgusted by your manner your hypocrazy, your stupidity

Then you're focusing on the wrong things. You should be disgusted
by the fact that you are a decietful liar who can't post a word without
errors being laid bare.

> > Then stop doing it.
>
> more off tager Bull####

What a "tager"...?!?!

> > > > > > > been doing it for years
> > > > > >
> > > > > > cuting stvie distoring context
> > > cuting speling cop
> >
> cutng spelling cop

"cuting"

It's "CUTTING", Markie. And at the beginning of a sentence, if
that's what that was, the "C" should be capitalized.

> > > > > > > threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.
> > > > >
> > > > > never made one
> > > >
> > > > Sure you did.
> > > >
> > > > Said you were going to use the ADA to force the FCC's hand to give
> > > > you a free ride on the code test.
> > >
> > > Lie I said a case could be made
> >
> > A case CAN be made for your deceitfulness and dishonesty.
> >
> > So as I said earlier, if you think a case can be made against me,
> > file it.
>
> If Thought It would yeild anything I would do so but I don't think you
> have to money to pay the judgement so it is not worth doing

Uh huh.

What you DON'T have are (1) sufficent grounds and (2) what it would
take to pay your OWN "judgement" for the false suit charge that would

be appended.

> > > I said it was a hard case at that time with the treaty lang in place
> >
> > So what?
> >
> > A M E R I C A N law allowed for alterations to the treaty as did
> > caveats IN the treaty that gave administrations some leeway.
>
> Indeed
>
> but the treaty lang made the ADA case much harder

No it didn't.

If anything, the ADA made it EASIER to make your argument viable.

> > > I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture
> >
> > Then obviously not enough dedication.
>
> if you like

Nope...If YOU like...Obviously you don't have the heart for it.

> > > you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
> > > done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted
> >
> > Sure I do.
>
> then you must be willfully lying when you make these claims

Nope.

> > > that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
> > > often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
> > > YOUR making
> >
> > No lie made here.
> >
> > You made threats of lawsuits under ADA.
>
> nope

Yep.

> I discussed the posiblity

You said your "laywr" was preparing your brief".

> I discussed the pros and cons
>
> I decided against it

One of the very few wise things you ever did...or should I say
DIDN'T do...?!?!

> you lie whan you make the staement "You made threats of lawsuits under
> ADA."

Nope.

> > > > > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > > > > it you have got anything worth talking
> > > > >
> > > > > I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!
> > > >
> > > > sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money
> > >
> > The cost would be yours twice over, Markie.
>
> prehaps which does not alter the factual statement I just made
>
> going to court is such a crap shoot

In YOUR case it would be...

> > Your initial filing against me, then your defense expenses for the
> > countersuit.
>
> nope no doubling there I'd be handle one level on contingincy youd be
> paying out of pocket the whole way mostlikely, or at least in your
> defense

First of all, no lawyer is going to take a "he said/she said" case
"on contingency" unless it's against Wal-Mart, one of the Big Three in
Detroit, etc. In those cases they at least hope to win "shut up" money
and probably will.

Secondly, as I told you before, I have the resources to overcome
any legal case YOU could hopre to mount from Michigan. At the most,
I'd have to pony-up filing fees...No big deal...

> > > that applies to you or anyone for that matter
> >
> > Yep...And they can countersue too.
>
> yea never said otherwise

But you, like Toiddie, throw "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE" around as if in
doing so you are the only one who can use legal resourse.

As I have clearly demonstrated here, however, you'll quickly find
out that you are NOT the only person who can bring a suit.

> It is easy to sue in America

Finally you get the idea...

> not so easy to collect

Bravo.

> > > > You sure do like flattering yourself!
> > >
> > > more evidence that stevie does not have metal contact with the real
> > > world
> >
> > There's no metal in my body, Markie.
> >
> > How would I have metal contact withn the world?
> >
> > > > > and of plausible to whom? You well that is more than likely right, a
> > > > > real crout that is another matter
> > > >
> > > cuting spelling cop
> >
> > Congratulations. Only 33 1/3% error rate that time.
> >
> > > > > > Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
> > > > > > own way.
> > > > >
> > > > > really someone is making you read it?
> > > >
> > > > If I want to try an understand what you're saying.
> > >
> > > you don't want to understand you are just hunting for things you can
> > > twist
> >
> > There's nothing to "twist". Your comments are twisted right out
> > of the box, Markie...I jsut ahve fun with them!
> >
> > > > I think learning Serbo-Croation by braille might be easier.
> > >
> > > more bashing of the Disabled, the blind in this case
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Are you NOW alleging that only the blind can use Braille?
>
> nope
>
> but you are of course bashing the disabled

Nope.

Serbo-Croation is a difficult language. I tried. Gave up.

And I can't differentiate the characters in Braille adequately to
use it.

No bashing occured.

> > > with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?
> >
> > What "clear lack of human compassion"...?!?!
>
> your clear lack

Clear lack of what?

> > You mean not being a soft touch for every self-appreciating,
> > self-victimizing jerk that tries to take advantge of others compassion,
> > Markie?
>
> Nope I mean your mean sprited obcessive bulling

"sprited"

"obcessive"

"bulling"

The only one "bulling" anyone here, Mark, is you trying to bull
everyone else!

> > If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
> > to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again.
>
> more lies this time about the number of hospital you could affect

It's got nothing to do with me.

It's got to do with Nurses not being a "soft touch" as I stated
above.

And trust me when I say that every ER I ahve worked in, East,
West, North and South has it's share of "frequent flyers"...system
abusers just like you, Markie.

> > > was it another power play?
> >
> > By saying "another" powert play, you're suggesting an ORIGINAL
> > "power play".
>
> yea

And it was...?!?!?

> > What would have been the first.
>
> not sure what power play was the first you go on about so many

You've not named one so far.

> > > > > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > > > > sure...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not

> > > > > that you arre any good at it
> > > >
> > > cutin spelling cop
> >
> > Still 33 1/3%, however this time you ever mispelled your mispelled
> > word!
> >
> > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > >
> > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
> >
> > No I didn't.
>
> sure did

Sheesh.

Where, Markie. WHERE did I say "I am trying to intimidate you",
Markie?

> > Quote the line where you alledge I say "I am trying to intimidate
> > you", Markie...
>
> no claim of such a an exact was ever made

Oh, but it was!

QUOTE

> > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > >
> > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to

UNQUOTE

So...where's this alleged admission, Markie?

No quote = Markie Lying.

> > > > > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
> > > > >
> > > > But you HAVE left us laughing!
> > >
> > > comenting on your words
> >
> > "Commenting"
> >
> > > Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself
> >
> > "heard"
> >
> > I am not "talking to (my)self"...
> >

> > I am talking ABOUT you.

Still.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1086. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:18:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.00.18.12.20617@gmail.com>
Source record: 115437

Kelly:

There has been no challenge of data encryption on amateur bands "by
american citizens" that I am aware of...

It is quite obvious that data encryption on the internet is both legal and
in heavy use. How you can allow one medium and prosecute the same
activity on another is insane (the law was constructed for amateur radio
before they knew the internet would be developed, and it impossible to
stop.)

Indeed, I can place a digital voice encryption device on my phone
and a friends and hold private conversations (corporations used to do
this all the time--BEFORE the internet--now they use the internet), I can
also do it on a cell phone (as far as I have been able to determine it is
not a "crime.")

I am NOT a lawyer, but common sense says that "crime" would be hard to
prosecute to get a conviction... also, I'd say there are major
constitutional grounds the gov't would have to defeat to convict. I think
stand alone encryption is NOT a crime... treason, etc is...

Me, I have ignored and encrypted in the past, I continue to do so, but
only if it makes sense. Encryption does nothing to speed transmission, it
only places an increased burden on it. However, a data compaction
algorithm can be choose which naturally encrypts as a secondary effect to
compaction, however, not even close to PGP, etc encryption methods.
Someday there may come a court case to test if this is a "crime" which can
be successfully prosecuted... I feel I have a right to private
conversations, no matter what the medium is the communication takes place
on. But then, I believe in inalienable rights... one day a repressive,
evil gov't may be able to deprive me of those rights... not today...

This is simply a matter for each and every individual to decide for
themselves, they can act as they so choose...

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 16:38:13 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> No sense in attempting to efficiently send data anywhere, unless methods
>> are used which employ efficient data compaction and the use of CRC
>> checksums... it is only there that HS data transmission comes alive...

>>
>> Encryption is good also, unless you want the whole world to know what you
>> are sending...
>>
>> John
>
> Uhhh . . John you hopeless noclue check out what Part 97 has to say
> about hams encrypting their transmissions.
>
> w3rv

# 1087. Re: Don't Forget To Write/E-mail Your Elected Reps. re. NPRM WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:27:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123633662.703892.144460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115439

From: Bert Craig on Aug 9, 9:56 am

>Please refer to the link below from AMATEUR RADIO NEWS by Doug Aiken,
>K1WPM:
>
>http://www.imsasafety.org/journal/novdec/node1.htm
>
>Pay particular attention to the part entitled 'Background of Vanity
>Call Signs.'
>
>Just because the FCC is ostensibly ignoring what the majority (IMHO) of
>U.S. amateur radio operators want for their service, it doesn't mean
>we cant have a more meaningful say in the final outcome.

Bert, the "majority" isn't always what you or your particular
group wants, so it is common practice to equate yourself and
your group to this nebulous "majority."

As of midnight EDT on 8 August 2005, the ratio of unambiguous
FOR-the-code-test-elimination-NPRM to the unambiguous AGAINST-
the-NPRM was 2.23:1.

While I know that you are against the NPRM - I've read every
single one of the 1210 Comments posted to WT Docket 05-235
which includes yours - it should be fairly clear that the
REAL Majority just doesn't want the code test for any class
license test. That's just how it is after the 14th day of
Comments.

>Now is the time to write or e-mail our elected representatives.

That is ALWAYS good advice for any citizen on ANY law
matter or law pending.

Elected officials (as well as just representatives) are often
included in the front pages of telephone "white pages." If
those are unavailable, government officials can be found via
the websites of cities, counties (or townships), and states.
For those officials in the federal government, those can be
found through the U.S. federal government home website and
its links.

Be aware and informed.

> Even if
>they are not the folks you personally voted for or plan on voting for,
>send them a simple note asking for their assistance in getting the FCC

>to respect your (Our?) wishes. All that's really needed is for
>someone who actually has some influence on matters affecting the FCC
>budget to make an inquiry or two.

Ahem...it works both ways, Bert. :-)

>Write the folks that send you campaign material as well. It only takes
>a moment or two, but the results can be gratifying.

It's even better when one participates in in-person meetings
(such as where my wife and I were last Thursday)...but those
are rather restricted to very local matters.

off net
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1088. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:28:46 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050810002846.1DFB717119@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddbb8k$eb5$0@pita.alt.net>
"Binary" <GrannyGal@no> wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.09.03.31.15.476555@gmail.com...
>
> ... Sampsons' strength was in his hair, didn't seem God hated
long hair
> too much ...
>
> John
>
> >
> And his weakness was for pretty women. Neither of which,
strength OR women,
> AB8MQ knows anything about.

Really, that why he's not like you, a 31 year old VIRGIN?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

# 1089. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:29:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123633778.822714.184240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115440

From: b.b. on Aug 9, 9:31 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > b.b. wrote:
>> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > > > b.b. wrote:
>> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > >>K4YZ wrote:

>> > > > > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
>> > > > > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
>> > > > > >>Army career!
>
>> > > > > >> Sheesh!

[poor Stebie, still resentful, jealous, and angry...]

>> > > > But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
>> > > No...you just bootlegged from a UN-controlled zone without proper
>> > > authority.
>
>> > > What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.
>
>> > That's merely your interpretation.

[mostly his imagination following the lead of his spiteful nature]

>> And the matter of regulations for foreces operating under UN
>> authority on foreign soil.
>
>You would have to speak to the feces under UN control. I wasn't part
>of that game.

Sigh...Stebie squeaks like he IS the UN. He had too many cups
of 'Kofi.' :-)

>> > Given your propensity for lying...(SNIP)
>
>> You mean my propensity for rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR lies,
>> Brain...
>
>No. I said your propensity for lying.

Stebie has all the symptoms of a congenital sociopath.

>> > and not having seen you in Somalia for you to make such a judgement,
>> > I'm highly suspicious of your statements.
>
>> What's to be suspicious of?
>
>You have no first hand knowledge of the situation, yet you make
>judgements.
>
>Face it, Steve, you were a non-participant, so your opinion just
>doesn't matter (hi Len).

Stebie has presented NO proof, references, or anything else
that he was ever in any of his claimed "seven hostile actions"
during any part of his 18 years in the USMC.

>> YOU stated you operated from Somalia. (Your words)
>
>> YOU stated your "commander" gave you permission to do so. (Your
>> words)
>
>> Unless your "commander" was appointed by appropriate UN authority
>> to do so, that "permission" was inappropriately given.
>
>My commander was appointed my the first Bush president. He does not
>work for the UN. BTW, neither does his son.

Stebie must think he is part of Navy JAG...:-)

>> In short...You were illegal.
>
>> Based upon YOUR words....
>
>In short, you've got your head up your rectum. You must like the
>view...

That's his natural element...

>> > In fact, I'd say you are lying.
>
>> Then if I am "lying", it's based upon bogus information YOU
>> provided.
>
>You assume that my unit was under UN control. That's where you went
>wrong.

Tsk. Stebie "went wrong" long before he came in here...:-)

>> Now THAT would be correct...Nothing new there when it comes to
>> bogus information from Brian P. Burke.
>
>Your continued ignorance of the facts is what's not new.

Stebie's just on the road to going completely nuts. <shrug>

not new
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1090. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:31:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123633906.518787.140780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115441

From: John Smith on Tues 9 Aug 2005 10:41

>To all:
>
>The majority of people who communicate use the internet (well, phones and
>cell phones too.) Email, Instant Messaging, blogs, newsgroups, webpages,
>IRC chat, etc, etc... and these communications take place on a worldwide
>scale and virtually touch the life of every citizen in first AND second
>world countries.

Close but not quite the cigar.

>Since BPL would be a MAJOR GAIN to the majority of people communicating
>(using the internet) it would be one MAJOR MISTAKE to allow a handful of
>hams, an insignificant number of the people using communications (and
>then, theirs is only a hobby communication) to control the destiny of BPL
>simply because it interferes with an insignificant number of hobby users.

Tsk, what started out nice became a Trollcast.

BPL is just another way to access the Internet. It has yet to
be proven "anywhere" for the simple reason that electric power
lines were NEVER characterized as HF-VHF signal transmission
lines nor was the electric power distribution gred. The
variability in characteristic impedance and an almost-random
set of discontinuities along any electric power distribution
installation makes it a strong - and unstandardizable - RF
EM wave launching area in many, many, many places along that
electric power grid.

Radio amateurs are NOT the only users of the HF and low-VHF
EM spectrum in the USA. To see who are, go into the NTIA and
NTIS Reports that were released in 2004. With a little searching
you can find the Access BPL Comments on the FCC website.

>It will be nothing short of a crime to allow this insignificant number to
>influence BPL at all. The FCC and any public official(s) found doing so,
>and being so influenced, should be reprimanded harshly!

Not quite. [way too much exaggeration for Trollcast copy]

What the FCC actually did was "allow" A NEW DATA DISTRIBUTION
system to EXIST IN THE FCC REGULATIONS. It's under the
"unintentional radiators" in the OET jargon...but it is ALSO
cognizant of the measured FACT that every "test" installation
of BPL HAS RADIATED RF in HF through low-VHF.

OK, first the FCC *recognized* that BPL exists, legally and
in public. [turns on a spotlight, pretty or not] Second,
the FCC has NOT ALLOWED EXCESS RADIATION BEYOND THE EXISTING
SPECIFICATIONS for unintentional radiators. That is muy
importante...the BPL folks just haven't got as free a hand
as they thought at first. Third, the FCC (and the BPL folks)
have a difficult mutual problem: An HF measurement method
that is ACCEPTIBLE to all parties for "near-field" HF
radiated power levels. That's most important to avoid years
and years of legal wrangling for any particular RFI case.

Actually, the FCC, while POLITICALLY approving Access BPL
as to its existance, has also (courtesy of the OET) STUCK
the BPL providers with a lot of *required* controls and
record-keeping and having to actually shut down part of the
BPL data spectrum if there are enough interference reports.

>How this self-serving bunch of closed-membership hams even hope to stop
>tens of millions (hundreds of millions?) from these benefits, all so they
>can set and enjoy their little hobby, staggers the mind and leads one to
>doubt the sanity of those who would participate in this scam!

John, have you been getting your peyote from Gilroy, CA? :-)

Internet access providers range from POTS (Plain Old Telephone
System) through "high-speed" DSL through TV cable providers'
two-way data paths to "Wi-Fax" low microwave links. BPL is
a newcomer and NOT a dependable one for data transmission
everywhere.

>Amateur policy needs to get rid of its' self-serving element and
>demonstrate they have good judgment and will hold their self-serving
>interests above the interests and benefits of the hundreds of millions of
>american citizens. It the stark light of reality amateur radio is seen in
>the perverted state it has fallen into. This has happened by allowing men
>and women of question character to control the destiny of amateur radios'
>course--this needs to change direction and restore the dignity to this
>hobby which it once held, decades ago.

[yup, Gilroy for sure!]

>If there is not a clear rule, regulation or tradition in amateur radio
>which directs its members (licensees) to always behave in a manner which
>holds the interests, benefits and well being of the citizens of the united
>states in paramount importance, one SHOULD be placed there.

It's been in existance since before 1928 and "The Amateur's Code"
as propagated by the ARRL out of Newington.

> However, it
>seems a natural principle educated men or worth, intelligence and caliber
>would hold to... and here, upon this simple test, you can weed the chaff
>from the grain...

If any reader wonders, the grinding noise you hear is the bust of Thomas Jefferson slowly shaking his head from side to side up on Mount Rushmore. Tsk, John, he gonna give you some o' dem oratory lessons!

tom abe
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1091. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:34:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123634092.761613.178500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115442

From: "an_old_friend" Tues 9 Aug 2005 10:41

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> The Space Shuttle made it back safely this morning. (Collective sigh of
>> relief).

Anyone living in or near Los Angeles HEARD it most distinctly.

Double boom a few minutes after 5 AM.

>> But it will be a while before any more Space Shuttles fly again. More
>> problems to fix.

[Jimmie know how to fix, he gots two degrees and reads a lot]

>> I noted that NASA made a point of referring to this mission as a "test
>> flight"...
>>
>> In any event, the Shuttle program is nearing its conclusion. NASA is
>> already looking to the next generation of people-carrying space
>> vehicles:

Not quite an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY subject but that doesn't
deter good old Rev. Jimmie.

>> What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
>> ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
>
>mentioned

Yawn. Jimmie still thinks this newsgroup is HIS blog...

>> The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel
>> - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit,
>> reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround
>> times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars
>> total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program
>> began.
>
>yea the shutle was and is a failure

That's how it goes without "official" Jimmie approval... :-)

>> What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
>> War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
>> from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
>> predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
>

>yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
>preforming the missions promised
>
>that it has some use is of course true

Nah, Mark, Jimmie wasn't in on the STS "high council" so it
is a terrible failure or something.

>> Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
>> hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
>> crew.
>
>amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
>refusual to listen to anybody else

NASA never put any morse code thingy in the shuttle, Mark,
>>THAT"S<< why Jimmie thinks so badly of it...

>> Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist.

You betcha! I'm not a scientist but I've worked ON the
SSMEs (Space Shuttle Main Engines) instrumentation at
Rocketdyne.

>> But it
>> doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
>> Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.

Jimmie >>KNEW<< what went wrong! [AFTER the fact...]

>> None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
>> bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
>> bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
>> spent on the Space Shuttle program.

Let's all ask Shrub to put Jimmie in NASA as its new chief!

>> Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
>> lessons learned from its problems...
>
>not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy

"They are poor little lambs who have lost their way,
blah, blah, blah..."

Better idea...let's all LAUNCH JIMMIE into outer space!

out odd
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1092. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:43:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <q4cKe.3186$RZ2.2923@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"John Smith",

Have you ever listened to a K1MAN 2 hour "bulletin"???? His bad mouthing of his fellow hams, the FCC, the ARRL and "opinions" about his so called service to ham radio is nothing but a self aggrandizing pat on his own back.

He would plop down right on top of on going communications, then send out "felony affidavits" to those that told him to get bent. Fully indicating he had indeed been listening before the broadcast began. He should have sent himself one of those cheaply copied useless pieces of paper.

Mr. Baxter basically has made a total laughing stock of himself to any ham that has the ability to reason and think. Obviously Toad can't do either.

That's my opinion and I'm sticking to it.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.09.19.05.14.835780@gmail.com...
> K4YZ:
>
> Well there ya go, ya figured it out!
>
> K1MAN (the licensee) does NOT make any money! Glen Baxter (the man) does!
>
> Same thing, good argument you posed, the FCC shouldn't hassle baxter
> anymore then they do arrl/W1AW.
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 11:45:46 -0700, K4YZ wrote:
>
>>
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> > Nope.
>>> > The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
>>> >services.
>>> >K1MAN does.
>>> > It really is THAT simple.
>>>
>>> The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or
>>> indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to the
>>> internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them
>>> directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's DIRECT.

>>
>> W1AW does NOT "make money", direct or indirect.
>>
>> The American Radio Relay League does, which in turn funds W1AW.
>>
>> But then you could also say that my employer "funds" K4YZ in the
>> same way.
>>
>>> >You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
>>> >what is here."
>>>
>>> no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor
>>> final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not be
>>> opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to
>>> opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that
>>> power.
>>
>> So far, no one has abused the rules in such a way as to argue what
>> "information" means. The FCC has pretty much assumed (erroneously,
>> obviusly...) that the average person knew the difference.
>>
>> "Opinion" is not "information"...it's opinion. And offering your
>> opinion in a one-way transmission is broadcasting.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

# 1093. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:44:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <46cKe.3187$RZ2.661@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123541024.894177.156750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >Redneck Dan said
>>You know something? I believe your right.
>
> and I believe the two of you are morons
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Hey Todd, if you ain't careful your going to find out what a redneck thinks
of you.

Put that in your corn cob pipe and stick it where its dark.

Dan/W4NTI

# 1094. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:46:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.00.46.39.554416@gmail.com>
Source record: 115449

Len:

The BPL modems I have seen go from the bottom of low audio freqs up to ~300Khz. I don't know where anyone ever got the idea this range was even going to go into the am broadcast band! At some of those freqs, a full wavelength can be measured in miles... and, the "transmission line" becomes a few small wavelengths...

I think they are doing, REALLY, what they say they are doing, they are now simply in the process of TESTING it, what the final results of all this are/"will be" ???

I am just stating amateurs and the interference it MAY pose to their HOBBY is NOT ANY REASON(S) to be given ANY consideration what-so-ever, it is not the majority--the greatest good for the greatest number...

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 17:31:46 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Tues 9 Aug 2005 10:41
>
>>To all:
>>
>>The majority of people who communicate use the internet (well, phones and
>>cell phones too.) Email, Instant Messaging, blogs, newsgroups, webpages,
>>IRC chat, etc, etc... and these communications take place on a worldwide
>>scale and virtually touch the life of every citizen in first AND second
>>world countries.
>
> Close but not quite the cigar.
>
>>Since BPL would be a MAJOR GAIN to the majority of people communicating
>>(using the internet) it would be one MAJOR MISTAKE to allow a handful of
>>hams, an insignificant number of the people using communications (and
>>then, theirs is only a hobby communication) to control the destiny of BPL
>>simply because it interferes with an insignificant number of hobby users.
>
> Tsk, what started out nice became a Trollcast.
>
> BPL is just another way to access the Internet. It has yet to
> be proven "anywhere" for the simple reason that electric power
> lines were NEVER characterized as HF-VHF signal transmission
> lines nor was the electric power distribution gred. The
> variability in characteristic impedance and an almost-random
> set of discontinuities along any electric power distribution

> installation makes it a strong - and unstandardizable - RF
> EM wave launching area in many, many, many places along that
> electric power grid.
>
> Radio amateurs are NOT the only users of the HF and low-VHF
> EM spectrum in the USA. To see who are, go into the NTIA and
> NTIS Reports that were released in 2004. With a little searching
> you can find the Access BPL Comments on the FCC website.
>
>>It will be nothing short of a crime to allow this insignificant number to
>>influence BPL at all. The FCC and any public official(s) found doing so,
>>and being so influenced, should be reprimanded harshly!
>
> Not quite. [way too much exaggeration for Trollcast copy]
>
> What the FCC actually did was "allow" A NEW DATA DISTRIBUTION
> system to EXIST IN THE FCC REGULATIONS. It's under the
> "unintentional radiators" in the OET jargon...but it is ALSO
> cognizant of the measured FACT that every "test" installation
> of BPL HAS RADIATED RF in HF through low-VHF.
>
> OK, first the FCC *recognized* that BPL exists, legally and
> in public. [turns on a spotlight, pretty or not] Second,
> the FCC has NOT ALLOWED EXCESS RADIATION BEYOND THE EXISTING
> SPECIFICATIONS for unintentional radiators. That is muy
> importante...the BPL folks just haven't got as free a hand
> as they thought at first. Third, the FCC (and the BPL folks)
> have a difficult mutual problem: An HF measurement method
> that is ACCEPTIBLE to all parties for "near-field" HF
> radiated power levels. That's most important to avoid years
> and years of legal wrangling for any particular RFI case.
>
> Actually, the FCC, while POLITICALLY approving Access BPL
> as to its existance, has also (courtesy of the OET) STUCK
> the BPL providers with a lot of *required* controls and
> record-keeping and having to actually shut down part of the
> BPL data spectrum if there are enough interference reports.
>
>>How this self-serving bunch of closed-membership hams even hope to stop
>>tens of millions (hundreds of millions?) from these benefits, all so they
>>can set and enjoy their little hobby, staggers the mind and leads one to
>>doubt the sanity of those who would participate in this scam!
>
> John, have you been getting your peyote from Gilroy, CA? :-)
>
> Internet access providers range from POTS (Plain Old Telephone
> System) through "high-speed" DSL through TV cable providers'
> two-way data paths to "Wi-Fax" low microwave links. BPL is
> a newcomer and NOT a dependable one for data transmission
> everywhere.

>
>>Amateur policy needs to get rid of its' self-serving element and
>>demonstrate they have good judgment and will hold their self-serving
>>interests above the interests and benefits of the hundreds of millions of
>>american citizens. It the stark light of reality amateur radio is seen in
>>the perverted state it has fallen into. This has happened by allowing men
>>and women of question character to control the destiny of amateur radios'
>>course--this needs to change direction and restore the dignity to this
>>hobby which it once held, decades ago.
>
> [yup, Gilroy for sure!]
>
>>If there is not a clear rule, regulation or tradition in amateur radio
>>which directs its members (licensees) to always behave in a manner which
>>holds the interests, benefits and well being of the citizens of the united
>>states in paramount importance, one SHOULD be placed there.
>
> It's been in existance since before 1928 and "The Amateur's Code"
> as propagated by the ARRL out of Newington.
>
>> However, it
>>seems a natural principle educated men or worth, intelligence and caliber
>>would hold to... and here, upon this simple test, you can weed the chaff
>>from the grain...
>
> If any reader wonders, the grinding noise you hear is the bust
> of Thomas Jefferson slowly shaking his head from side to side
> up on Mount Rushmore. Tsk, John, he gonna give you some o'
> dem oratory lessons!
>
> tom abe
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1095. Re: A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:49:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6acKe.3189$RZ2.605@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115445

Because it applies to what is happening in Ham Radio today. If you don't
like it, you know what you can do. Oh and please use a spell checker,
your as hard to comprehend as Toad.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123553734.541308.46920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> why you carring this Jihad here?
>
> Never saw K1MAN here
>
> you are posting about events that happened more the 15 years agao
> meaning about halfway through his previous license
>
> anything Crimenal is long past a statue of limitations very likely
>
> and the worst the seem to have done is be unpleasent, prehaps extermely
> unplaesent, if that were gorund not to renew a Licesne you, stevie,
> Dave would be in real trouble
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Dear Mr. Baxter,
>>
>> References to emergency work you allegedly performed 15 years ago are
>> irrelevant to the present complaint # E05 003, now before the Maine Board
>> of
>> Professional Engineers.
>

# 1096. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:49:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123634979.432519.33800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115446

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > > What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
> > > > ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
> > >
> > > mentioned
> >
> > Yep.
> >
> > > > The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel
> > > > - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit,
> > > > reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround
> > > > times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars
> > > > total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program
> > > > began.
> > >
> > > yea the shutle was and is a failure
> >
> > Based upon WHAT data, Mark?
>
> Based on the specs when the project started
>
> The shuttle was supposed to take cargo to Geostationary orbit instaed
> NASA settled for LEO

And who says it can't?

The Shuttle does (and today proved) that is can and does operate
in the manner designed for...

And unless I missed something, this mission flew some part of
every profile that the Shuttle was envisoned doing.

> > That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
> > commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?
>
> as normal off target and not related

Sure it's "on target" and absolutely related.

YOU suggested, without explaining why, that the Shuttle was a
failure.

People have died on Shuttle missions. That is a fact. The fact
that people HAVE died on Shuttle missions IS a popular argument for the
program's termination by those who think that "space travel" ought to
be like flying on the Starship Enterprise of TV fame.

> > E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> > be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
> > in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.
>
> wrong again Stevie, your premise that learning from something means the
> something was a sucess

Oh?

It seems to be an adequate-enough justification for every scientist
since the dawn of time. What's your rationale for stating otherwise?

> Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
> regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success

I bet not.

We've learned more about orbital dynamics and extra vehicular
working than we would have in a million "deep tank" simulations.

> > > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > > > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> > >
> > > yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> > > preforming the missions promised
> >
> > The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.
>
> the Shuttle can't fufill the mission it was designed for

It can't fulfill what it's already surpassed, Mark?

> the Shuttle has falied utterly in being able to try it designed for
> mission

Oh?

"utterly"...?!?!

I take the word "utterly" to mean a nearly complete failure of all
designed mission parameters.

The Challenger and Columbia disaters notwithstanding, I'd say YOUR
suggestion is unsubstantiated at many, MANY levels.

> The Shuttle is the best real world example of Dumbing down expectations

Sure glad we have you to counter lots of REAL scientists who have
said otherwise, Mark!

> > Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
> > to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
> > on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
> > deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.
> >
> > > that it has some use is of course true
> >
> > That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
> > is even more true.
>
> milstone yea it is that
>
> >
> > That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then
> > what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> > "re-engineered" for better performance?
>
> that reengineering is not even planned for the shuttle shows it failure

What do you mean that there's no re-engineering planned?

They just got done with 2 years of it...

> > Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still
> > using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!
> >
> > To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.
>
> it is the plain and simple truth

Nope. What it is is a bit of failed argument on your part.

You need to be reading something other than "Pagan Monthly", Mark.

> > > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > > crew.
> > >
> > > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > > refusual to listen to anybody else
> >
> > What, Mark?
> >
> > As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> > proven itself 100% error free?
> >
> > I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> > Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> > leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read
> > that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.

> >
> > And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design,
> > engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor
> > vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in
> > what...1992?
> >
> > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> > >
> > > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> > > sheild
> > >
> > What "fibbing", Mark?
>
> Jim said the Chalenger was destroyed by a heat shiled related problem

No he didn't.

You didn't understand what he wrote.

Allow me.

QUOTE:

> > Jim said, quote:
> >
> > > > But it doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.
> >
> > Where is there a "fib" in there, Mark?
>
> taking stuff out of context ...again

Nope.

Mark, that was quoted line-for-line from YOUR post.

Are you now saying that YOU took it out of context?

Becasue there it is....

ONCE MORE FOR MARK'S EDIFICATION:

> > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.

END QUOTE.

Now...WHERE did Jim say Challenger's probelm was a heat shield
issue?

(Now patiently awating Mark to acknowledge he mis-read Jim's post
where he DID say that COLUMBIA'S problem was due to exposing the heat
shield to a foreign object strike)

> > And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> > compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> > to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> > different outcome.
> >
> > > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> > >
> > > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > > > lessons learned from its problems...
> > >
> > > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
> >
> > Oh?
> >
> > And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?
>
> experence sure I got it I have folowed the Space program as far back as
> I can remember

I remember it back to BEFORE the first Mercury shots went up. I
got to follow each Mercury, Gemini and Apollo misison, INCLUDING
Apollo-Soyuz. That includes the Skylab missions.

> We started in 60 with the Goal of getting to the moon and we did then
> we started to build a space born truck that was supposed to reach GEO
> stationary orbit and be able to turn around in 2 weeks
>
> we settled for LEO and months of turnaround

We haven't "settled" for anything yet.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1097. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:54:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jfcKe.3193$RZ2.2383@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115447

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123610617.661523.201720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I would have to agree with steve mark, he is better then me on many
> levels, he can Harass, and Bully better then what I can.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

I don't see where Steve is harassing or bulling anyone. I see Steve
responding to comments from you. Oh I get it Toad, you can dish it out,
but can't take it, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

# 1098. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:55:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123635315.549408.179010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115448

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an_old_friend" Tues 9 Aug 2005 10:41

> >> In any event, the Shuttle program is nearing its conclusion. NASA is
> >> already looking to the next generation of people-carrying space
> >> vehicles:
>
> Not quite an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY subject but that doesn't
> deter good old Rev. Jimmie.

We'll remember this exactly when you lauch into yet another of your
"...there I was at ADA in 53.." tales...

> >> What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
> >> ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
> >
> >mentioned
>
> Yawn. Jimmie still thinks this newsgroup is HIS blog...

From the guy who's published his (alleged) CV in it's entirety in
this same newsgroup...

> >> Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist.
>
> You betcha! I'm not a scientist but I've worked ON the
> SSMEs (Space Shuttle Main Engines) instrumentation at
> Rocketdyne.

Cleaned those floors really well, too, I hear...

> Better idea...let's all LAUNCH JIMMIE into outer space!

NASA could put several craft into orbit with the gas you've
expelled here, Lennie.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1099. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 00:57:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.00.57.34.393899@gmail.com>
Source record: 115550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan:

I will be holding sales of my "Save K1MAN!" (on the front) and "Glen Baxter is a GOD!" (on the back) T-Shirts on as close a freq to 3.840 as I can find vacant... drop by when I am taking orders, you may wish to purchase one! <grin>

I think everyone just wants to see arrl/W1AW cease and desist, or toe the line... and glen is a good vehicle to bring that debate to a head...

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 00:43:02 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "John Smith",
>
> Have you ever listened to a K1MAN 2 hour "bulletin"???? His bad mouthing of
> his fellow hams, the FCC, the ARRL and "opinions" about his so called
> service to ham radio is nothing but a self aggrandizing pat on his own back.
>
> He would plop down right on top of on going communications, then send out
> "felony affidavits" to those that told him to get bent. Fully indicating he
> had indeed been listening before the broadcast began. He should have sent
> himself one of those cheaply copied useless pieces of paper.
>
> Mr. Baxter basically has made a total laughing stock of himself to any ham
> that has the ability to reason and think. Obviously Toad can't do either.
>
> That's my opinion and I'm sticking to it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.09.19.05.14.835780@gmail.com...
>> K4YZ:
>>
>> Well there ya go, ya figured it out!
>>
>> K1MAN (the licensee) does NOT make any money! Glen Baxter (the man) does!
>>
>> Same thing, good argument you posed, the FCC shouldn't hassle baxter
>> anymore then they do arrl/W1AW.
>>
>> John
>>

>> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 11:45:46 -0700, K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>> > Nope.
>>>> > The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
>>>> >services.
>>>> >K1MAN does.
>>>> > It really is THAT simple.
>>>>
>>>> The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or
>>>> indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to the
>>>> internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them
>>>> directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's DIRECT.
>>>
>>> W1AW does NOT "make money", direct or indirect.
>>>
>>> The American Radio Relay League does, which in turn funds W1AW.
>>>
>>> But then you could also say that my employer "funds" K4YZ in the
>>> same way.
>>>
>>>> >You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
>>>> >what is here."
>>>>
>>>> no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor
>>>> final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not be
>>>> opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to
>>>> opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that
>>>> power.
>>>
>>> So far, no one has abused the rules in such a way as to argue what
>>> "information" means. The FCC has pretty much assumed (erroneously,
>>> obviusly...) that the average person knew the difference.
>>>
>>> "Opinion" is not "information"...it's opinion. And offering your
>>> opinion in a one-way transmission is broadcasting.
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>

# 1100. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 02:05:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123639546.165757.258100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123541024.894177.156750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >Redneck Dan said
> >>You know something? I believe your right.
> >
> > and I believe the two of you are morons
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Hey Todd, if you ain't careful your going to find out what a redneck thinks
> of you.

I thought threat were just stevie stock and trade

>
> Put that in your corn cob pipe and stick it where its dark.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

## 1101. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 02:24:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123640685.880304.174360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115553

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > > > > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > > > > does the rest.
> > > >
> > > > which is of course essencaily a confession that you threaten and
> > > > harrass folks
> > >
> > > "essentially"
> > >
> > > No, it's not.
> >
> > yes of course it is
>
> Of course it's not.

"It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
does the rest."

your words

and they are a confession to harrasment
>
> > > > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> > > > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> > > >
> > > > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
> > >
> > > That's an answer?
> >
> > yes it is
>
>
> > cuting stevie rants
>
>
more cuting of ranting and raving

> > > > > > > > > Yet here you are stirring the pot.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > showing what you were trying was blackmail
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I never tried to "blackmail" you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You need to whip out a legal dictionary and read what constitutes
> > > > > > > > "blackmail", Markie.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > it is mading a demand for gain for a target under threat
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting libel
> > > > >
> > > > > And what target? What threat?
> > > > >
> > > > me the htreat s are violence, (one shot one kill..) outing Your
> > > > demands I comply or youd tell everyone I was gay, your conitued
> > > > harrasment unless I do as YOU say
> > >
> > What threats?
> >
> you threats
> One Shot one kill...
>
> Wasn't a threat seven years ago.

it sure was

>
> It's not a threat today, no matter how many times you repeat it out
> of context.

it still is

just an idle one thankfuly

>
> > your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)
>
> Why does it concern you that you might be brought to the attention
> of

It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying

but that of course has nothing to do with wether it is a threat on your
part

>
> > your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago
>
> I didn't threaten yoy with exposing your deviancy, Mark. Pardon
> the choice of words, but you "exposed" yourslf all on your own.

liar Steve

you emailed me your proof and threatened to expose me if I did not stop
posting in the newsgroup

then you revealed it and found to your shock I never denied it

>
> > why do you need everything repeated and again and agian
>
> Why can't you type the same word the same way in one sentence?

becuase

>
> And I keep hoping that sooner or later you'll come up with a
> viable reason for your unviable assertions.

liar what you realy want is for me to go away again

>
> So far, you haven't done it, so expect to keep getting that same
> question asked until you pony-up something that sounds remotely
> life-like.

not into horse's stevie
>
> > > What 'outing (my) demands'...?!?!
> > >
> > > You ARE gay, Markie. You stated so yourself in this forum.
> >
> > but not until after you outed me, you preceeded that action by
> > threatening to do so unless I complied with your demands
>
> Markie...I DIDN'T OUT YOU! Whoever you THINK was under your saddle
> about your deviancy, it wasn't me...You did that
> allllllllllllllllllllll by your self!

lying stevie

nothing but lies on your part

You did the stalking looking for something you found it you tired
blackmail with it and I did not go along then you did it you outed me

>
> > that your blackmail was unsucessfull has no bearing on whether or not
> > your prefromed it
>
> I didn't blackmail you or attempt to blackmail you, ergo it's
> irrelevent WHAT was "successful" or not.

lying agian

>
> > > > It is all blackmail
> > >

> > > What blackmail?
> > >
> > > In order to "prove" blackmail, you have to prove that I stood to
> > > gain from some act or threat of violence against you.
> > >
> > no I don't, however in this case you did stand to gain you demanded I
> > stop posting in RRAP obviously you valued that
> >
> Nope.

yep
>
> And still no proof, Mark.

I have the proof and carefully in a file

>
> > > No such "blackmail" was caused since no such threat was made nor
> > > did any potential gain exist.
> > >
> > wrong you made the threat and stood to gain
> >
> Nope. The ONLY person here who stands to gain anything by you not
> posting here is YOU, Mark. Because as long as you post here, you'll be
> known as the illiterate lying gay pagan draftee...

another lie

You want me out of here,

very badly

I gain nothing by leaving, not even the end of your harassment

>
> > > > > > your actions quailify
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure do
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > We will now await your presentation of credentials in
> > > > jurisprudence.
> > >
> > Guess you forgot about "cuting" that one....

nope

but it isn't my credentcail that are important

>
> > > > > > you continue to black mail me

> > > > >
> > > > > You continue to utter unfounded and hillarious lies in a public
> > > > > forum.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > > >
> > > > > you are simply an ignorant stalker, and blackmailer.
> > > >
> > > > Nope, nope and nope.
> > > >
> > > > > > "one shot one kill..." Is a threat
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> > > > > > taken from in court.
> > > > >
> > > > > it is in context Killing was never the subject
> > > >
> > > > I never suggested to kill you.
> > >
> > liar
>
> Nope.

yes you have

you sent the threat out in the gruops more threats in the NG and more
still by email

someone, possibly you called the Cops In illiopolas with some cock and
bull story

you have boasted of finding a picture of my home in those days here
recently

>
> > it is a plain and clear threat
>
> To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...

no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out

>
> > > That's your rampant paranoia.
> >
> > one shot one kill is a threat
>
> To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...

no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out

you are the delsuional paraniod

any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
is paranois and delusional,

it is also a sign of other mental problems

>
> > > > discsion of murder has nothing to do Radio or Morse Code
> > >
> > > You're suggesting the "murder" of Morse Code usage in Amateur
> > > Radio.
> >
> > another Stevie lie I am making no such sugestion
>
> Sure you have.
>
> You've deliberately stated that you'd make all Morse Code usage
> stop if you could,
>
> > after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered
>
> Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?

not even accusing you of that, but of threatening too can't you keep
anything straight?

>
> > > > > > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure is
> > > >
> > > How?
> >
> > by being one
>
> "by being one" what?

by being a threat

>
> Re-read what you wrote, in response to what >>I<< wrote, Mark...
>
> It doesn't make sense.

sure does

>
> > > > > > > > > you are a low level danger
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > So NOW you're saying you HAVE been lying about any danger to you
> > > > > > > > > and your "family".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > nope I am saying you have prooven you mostly make threats without

> > > > > > backing em up
> > > > > >
> > > > > There's nothing to be "back(ed) up", Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > yes there is and you keep making threats
> > > >
> > > Why do you continue to make and remake this assertion in the face
> > > of evidence to the contrary?
> >
> > what eveidence?
>
> E X A C T L Y ! ! ! !
>
> N O E V I D E N C E ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

right you have presented no eveidence that you are not making threats

I have posted various bit of evidence

therefore you are lying when you say"Why do you continue to make and
remake this assertion in the face of evidence to the contrary?",
becuase you have present no evidence to contrary

>
> >>YOU<< have >>NO EVIDENCE<< of ANY threat!

I have your admission of sending the first threat, and then ore besides

what more do I need beyond an admission?

>
> > > > cuting another lies
> > >
> >
> > > > > > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > > > > > nothing to do your making them
> > > > > >
> > > > > I didn't make any, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > One shot on kill .. is a threat
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > It MEANS, as it meant in the ORIGINAL CONTEXT, was that my
> > > comments "squarely hit" what I "aim" for.
> >
> > Killing is killing
>
> Not int he context of the comment I made, Mark. It meant then, as
> it does now, taht I "hit" what I aim at...In the FULL CONTEXT of the
> statement I amde in response to YOUR comments...

Nothing in the context had anything to do with killing, the threat was
out of the blue. The is never a reason to threaten to kill anyone over
the ARS

Indeed what you intended isn't relavant. The standard is would a
reasonable person think they were being threatened

>
> > it was and remains a threat
>
> Nope.

sure is

>
> Why do you continue to "force" this lie when it's apparent you're
> not telling the truth, Mark?

becuase I am telling the truth

The only reason you don't see it is that you are pretty sick

>
> > > > you are lying in saying otherwise
> > >
> > > You are lying in tryng to make it anything more than it was.
> >
> > nope
>
> Absolutely.

not at all

if it were nothing you would have appologized for the misunderstanding

You might try that now, but I doubt it
>
> > if you were manere when it was brought you would have adknowledged the
> > words to have been illchoosen or something like that
>
> If I was "marere"...?!?!
>
> As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
> response YOUR

unfinished thought here?

My response was to put you off guard with a simple and harmless lie to
deflect you from your threat, based on your nestfew posts it diverted
you for several days, till I returned home and could take other actions

>
> > instead when I did not react as you wish you added various threats of
> > legal action, repated the thret in various manner and generaly behaved
> > in a threatening manner

>
> > > > that it was idle matters not
> > >
> > > It wasn't a threat..."idle" or otherwise.
> >
> > sure was
>
> To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...

to any reasonable person

>
> > > > > > You simply make Idles(so far) threats, but you make threats often
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > abolsutely true
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely UNtrue...
> > > >
> > > > But don't let that slow you down...
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > terorists and balckmailer need to be resited when possible
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There's no terrorists or blackmailers here, Markie.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > spelling cop cut
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yep there is his name is Steve Robeson
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.
> > > > >
> > > > > just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own
> > > > > actions, and his own words
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again.
> > >
> > just Stevie unable to be a man an accept respoiblity for his own
> > actions, and his own words
> >
> > nothing is Stevies fault
>
> It's only "Stevies fault" when Stevie does it wrong.

but Stevie never admts to making mistake so accordoing to Stevie
nothing is Stevie fault

>
> So far you've not presented any "evidence" to the contrary except
> your childish sandlot "ya-HUH!" arguments.

I have your admissions

I guess a confession to all the elements of the crime isn't good enough
for you

>
> > > > Just Stevie squirming to evade the turth of his bulling personality
> > > >
> > > Nope. Just Markie Morgan lying in public again...and again...and
> > > again...
> > > >
> > > > > > > > cuting a lie
> > > > > > cuting another lie
> > > > cuting speling cop
> > > >
> > > You just can't stand it, can you...cut, cut cut...
> > > >
> > > > > > yes you do you threatened Tood I saw that, you conitue to threaten me,
> > > > > > idly it seems but you continue
> > > > cuting spelling cop
> > > >
> > > > > I didn't threaten Todd.
> > > >
> > > > you sure did
> > > >
> > > > you threatened to call his perants you threatened to contact his ISP
> > > > (you carried out that threat but it was still a threat
> > > >
> > > It wasn't a threat.
> >
> > it sure is
> >
> > apeartnly it seems an idle one
>
> To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...

to any reasonable person

>
> > > Toddie violated the terms of his TOS. He refused to stop. He got
> > > what he asked for.
> >
> > but you threatened to do , and apealently did it
>
> "apealently"...?!?!
>
> I told him I wouldn't tolerate his violent, profane and
> threatening behaviour towards me.

and thereby threatened him

>
> He thought rules didn't apply to him.

you don't think they apply to you

>
> He found out different.

really?

you were claiming that you had nothing to do with what happened to todd
with his ISp awhile back now you admit it?

>
> > have a reason to make a threat doesn't mean you are not threatening him
> >
> > you realy don't know english do you
>
cuting speling cop shit

> > > > you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
> > > > actions
> > >
> > > Sure I do.
> > >
> > > > you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats
> > >
> > > Nope. Toiddie is responsible for his own actions...And he had to
> > > pay a price for them.
> >
> > yep as expected and predicted
>
> I am sure there was a point there.

indeed and you made it for me thank you

>
> Care to make it?

I can't you did the job for me

>
> > > If YOU think that I have made all of these threats against you and
> > > am the "stalker" you accuse me of, please, file a civil or criminal
> > > charge against me, Markie..
> >
> > I have sought to do so, and there is realy very little I can do short
> > of traveling to Tenn. and doing so that is one of the problems with the
> > internet
>
> But...but...but...MARK!
>
> All this past week you were telling us what great financial shape
> you're allegedly in...two cars..house...investments...allegedly turned
> down a $240K/yr job...

good shape, yes, your point?

>
> Guess that's yet ANOTHER lie we've caught you in.

nope

you really don't read do you.

I have to travel to tennesse at some point to deal with you, spend a
lot of money that you are not worth , to obtain a judgement that you
would not likely be able to pay

I am in good shape because I choose my fights carefully

Unlike you I don't go in full bore without thinking things through

>
> > > It will be quite satisfying to have you served with the countersuit
> > > and then see who's side holds up better in court.
> >
> > more threats
>
> Nope.
>
> A promise. You file any "charges" or "suit" against me, and your
> ears will whistle for a week with the paper landing in your lap from
> summons servers!

that is certainly a lie my ears would not wistle at all

at one point you were going to make a phone call and have me arrested
like that

You realy don't know much about how the world works

>
> > > > Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity
> > >
> > > Sure it is.
> > >
> > > And I've put my "hand out" with apologies or corrections where
> > > appropriate.
> >
> > still haven't adknowledged your mistakes about the Brothers Grim
>
> It's "Grimm", Markie.

and still stevie you fail to fess up

>
> > > Ask around.
> >
> > No one answers yes you have
>
> You've not asked the question. And I bet most everyone is
> ignoring these posts...so ask them directly.

not most everyone other coment on them,

Again your claim you make it

lazy bum
>
> > > > > > and you lie about it
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to came to
> > > > > > > > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What threats?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > your threats
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What threats?
> > > > > >
> > > > > the threats typed above
> > > >
> > > What threats?
> > >
> > > You keep saying "those threats" and I keep saying "cite them".
> > >
> > > All YOU do is say "those threats".
> > >
> > > There are NO threats.
> >
> > sure are
>
> Nope.

yep

you have admtted to making them, just denieng that they are threats

>
> > not going to retype them and retype em
>
> You can retype the Magna Carta and it won't prove anything.

you even blew that one Stevie it would indeed prove something but of
course Magna Carta has nothing to do with this matter so again you
evade the issue

>
> You'll still have no threats.

I have your admissions

>
> > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to come to
> > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain

>
> What threats?

the one you typed and admitted to typing

>
> > > > > > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What evasion?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > your evasion
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > WHAT evasion...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > your eveasion
> > > >
> > > What "eveasion"...?!?!
> >
> > yours
>
> WHAT EVASION...?!?!?

yours

>
> > > > I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
> > > > repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so
> > >
> > > You've retyped nothing except "your threats" and "your evasion",
> > > Markie.
> >
> > lying agian
>
> I am not lying and I am not an agian.

You are lying, and I never said you are an "again"

so 2 lies one sentence
>
> > > You've not SUBSTANTIATED either...
> >
> > you have admited to typing "One shot on kill" that does it right there,
> > the rest just piles on
>
> That wasn't a threat.

sure was

>
> Wasn't then...isn't now...won't be 100 years from now...

will always be a threat

>
> > > > > > > You keep making accusations that I ask you to substantiate.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > yes you do, overlooking the fact it has been done in many case Years
> > > > > > > ago, and repaeted again and again
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. All we have are your stories of threats. I am surprised we
> > > > > > haven't been treated to any black helo or unmarked vans stories, too.
> > > > >
> > > > > well if that suprises then yuare of stupid, but we all know that,
> > > > > everyone but you of course
> > >
> > > I love being called "stupid" in a sentence that is so fractured
> > > that it takes several re-readings to figure out that I was "insulted".
> >
> > then where is your thank you
>
> You flushed it after your last bowel movement, Markie.

more shit form you stevie

>
> > > > > > > cuting another stevie evasion distrotion
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cuting speling cop
> > >
> >
> > >
> > > > > You couldn't have cut a distortion because there wasn't one there.
> > > > > >
> > > > > sure was
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > > > Oh?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Exercising my rights to travel freely within the United States is
> > > > > > now a "threat"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > when cuopled with your other behavoir certainly is
> > >
> > > "cuopled"
> > >
> > > "behavoir"
> > >
> > > What "behaviour" do you refer to?
> >
> > your make threats
>
> What threats?

yours
admitted to and documented more than once

>
> > by the way It is infact ilegal for you to came HERE since you have been
> > informed your are unwelcome
>
> It would be illegal for me to knock on your front door or violate
> your privacy.

it is ilegal for you to enter my land

>
> It would NOT be illegal for me to come to Michigan...ANYwhere in
> Michigan.

wrong It is ilegal for you to come to my Land

and would allow me to use deadly force if I choose

>
> Nor would it be illegal for me to speak with local law enforcement
> or mental health case workers about you, being careful to express my
> concerns as just that...concerns.

HMM seem you were threatening me if I contacted Tennesse about my
concerns

those laws do work both ways

>
> > > > > > threatening action with various parties
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What threats?
> > > > >
> > > > asked and answered
> > > >
> > > Avoided and evaded by you.
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Avoided and evaded by you.

asked and answered
> > > > > > > > stop harassing people
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'm not harrassing people.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > liar
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
> > > > the Air, by what I hear from other hams

> > >
> > > Oh?
> > >
> > > Then you've proven that you're "hearing voices", Markie.
> >
> > nope
> >
> > I have hear Hams call you a Papa Oscar serria on the air
>
> "heard"
>
> "Sierra"
>
> I am sure you hear a LOT of voices doing that, Markie...

Only during a good opening to tennesse

is it so hard to admit there are other hams that don't like you?

>
> All part of your delusional mindset, Markie.

nope it is part of yours I fear

>
> > > > > > > You post, I respond. Period.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry you don't like the comments, but then the comments I was
> > > > > > > responding to were pretty stupid to start with, so what did you expect?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > liar you are not a bit sorry
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not a liar and sure I'm sorry.
> > > > >
> > > > > 2 lies with one sentence
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > > > > > why do you tell such obvious lies
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why do you put words in others mouths and try to pass them off as
> > > > > > truthful?
> > > > >
> > > > > that is your gig stevie
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > As usual, you're welcome to TRY and "prove" that, however you'll
> > > > not be successful.
> > >
> > > already have many times
> >

> No...you've CLAIMED that you have tried...

that too of course

but I have your repeated confessions that is about all I really need

>
> All you do is make sandlot taunts.
>
> None of them effective or accurate.

confessions are quite effective

>
> > > > > > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you don't have any right to threaten people
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I haven't threatened anyone.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > lying again
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yes One shot one kill is a threat
> >
> > Nope.

sure is

>
> A prosecuter won't touch your "claim" based upon the original
> context and in response to your own words, Markie.

there is no context in which it isn't a threat

>
> > > > I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me
> > > >
> > > > Nope, nope and nope.
> > >
> > > yep yep and yep
> >
> > Nope, nope and nope.

sure have and I have posted and reported

>
> And no matter how many times you wish to carry that one out, it
> still won't be true.

Huh?

It is ture that you have threatened me, both publicaly and in private
email

it is ture that you have harrased me publicaly and privately

You have threatened Todd Her I have seen that.

You ahve harrassed Todd I have seen that myself

You have admitng to conducting what Len discribes as harrassing IMing
of him on AOL

You harrass and Threaten BB in this forum

>
> > > > when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
> > > > start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
> > > > lets you out
> > >
> > > Any discussion group wherein YOU profess to be an "inteligent"
> > > (intelligent) man is a conversation mispent.
> >
> > more evasion
>
> Nope.
>
> You accused me of not being "inteligent". I corrected your error
> and answerd the claim.

not at all I questioned it I still do, since you do not ACT like an
inteligent human being

let alone an adult one

you also did not address the point

>
> No evasion.

Not addressing the point is an evasion stevie

>
> > > > > >the 1st amendment does cover that
> > > > > >
> > > > > No, it does not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > QUOTE:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > > > > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> > > > > speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> > > > > assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
> > > > > >
> > > > > UNQUOTE:
> > > > > >

> > > > > What IS there:
> > > >
> > > > so what?
> > >
> > > The assertions YOU cut from the quote were NOT covered by a single
> > > word of the First Amendment.
> > >
> > > Let's put this BACK into context since you snipped all of that
> > > out...
> > cuting out your evasive waste of bandwidth
> >
> > > Now, I'd appreciate it if you'd acknowldge your "respoinblty" to
> > > TAKE "respoinblty" for your mistake.
> >
> > no mistake
>
> A bit mistake...on your part. The one wherein you think you can
> keep blustering your way through your inappropriate claims.

not at all

>
> > you keep trying to divert the issue
>
> No I don't.

sure do

>
> > you have NO right to threaten people
>
> I don't.

agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it

>
> > you have NO right to harrass people
>
> I don't.

agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it

>
> > you have NO right to stalk people
>
> I don't.

agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it

>
> > The first amendent does not give the right to do ilgeal things
>
> You again express your obvious misunderstanding of what is said in

> the First Amendment to the Constitution, Markie.

I understand it clearly your mind is fogged on that subject and many
others

>
> > nor does any other part of the Constitution
>
> And there is nothing in ANY part of the Constitution that is
> "violated" by our exchanges here, Mark....

never said that it was

What I said is that constitution does not give the right to threaten or
harrass people

>
> > > > a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably
> > >
> > > The fact remains that the First Amendment does NOT say what you
> > > alleged it to say.
> > >
> > i was simply using your quoting of the text
>
> You simply did not.

sure did

>
> You tried to take ONE word from it and apply it contrary to it's
> use in THAT Amendement.

nope not at all

>
> You failed.

Not realy but then I wasn't doing what said I was doing and faling at
it, this rambling on and on is part of your SOP here, wander all over
the place

>
> > > > that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
> > > > have a legs to stand on
> > >
> > > "ois ilegal"
> > >
> > > What were you trying to say?
> > >
> > > What "hate speech"...?!?!
> > >
> > your bashing of people for being gay or pagan or just different
>
> I don't "bash" you, Mark, and certainly NOT for any of those

> reasons.

lying again Stevie

>
> You keep getting your nose tweeked because you keep getting caught
> lying.
>
> And in fact you've LIED about all of those things.

nope

>
> You claimed you WEREN'T gay, but it's since been proven with your
> own words that you are.

well i ambisexual you are not up on the facts
>
> You claimed you weren't pagan, but it's since been proven with your
> own words that you are.

nope

>
> And you've claimed that you're not "dffrent" when it's CLEARLY
> evident that you are!

another lie stevie

>
> > > > you are guilty of threats and hate speech
> > >
> > > Nope. Not even close.
> >
> > sure are I posted awhile back some example form just your titles
>
> And none of them were "hate speech" as defined by the Civil Rights
> Act.

sure are
>
> Try again.
>
> > > > cuting stevie off target rant
> > >
> >
> > > > > > > > > > You're the one with the honesty issues...said so yourself on
> > > > > > > > > > numerous occassions.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > stop making threats stopp harassing folks
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'm doing neither.
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > you keep doing it you lying SoS
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...here's the anger...Can't get your way so let's
> > > > > > > > go back to insinuating profanites....
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > not realy you are a lying sack of ####, just calling you on it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > More anger. Even spelled it out this time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope you would have to be angery to use the word ####. I use when I
> > > > > > deem it proper, very calmly
> > > > >
> > > > Profanity is the tool of a violent mind, Markie.
> > >
> > IYO
>
> Nope.
>
> In the opinion of those "pros" you always refer to.

now you are just lying agian

>
> > I do assert my free right to use such words as I choose as long I as I
> > don't violate the rights of others
>
> By re-titling these posts "stvie ####" you violate MY right to not
> be called a profane word or to have my "intellectual property"
> defiled...

you have no such right
>
> Hence the "Markie Mularkie" posts in kind.

>
> > > > so another lie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > I am not angery with I am disguted by you
> > >
> > > You are disgusted by getting your nose rubbed in your mistruths
> > > and deceit?
> >
> > No I am disgusted by your manner your hypocrazy, your stupidity
>
> Then you're focusing on the wrong things. You should be disgusted
> by the fact that you are a decietful liar who can't post a word without
> errors being laid bare.

more lies from stevie

>
> > > Then stop doing it.
> >
> > more off tager Bull####
>
> What a "tager"...?!?!
>
> > > > > > > > > been doing it for years
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > cuting stvie distoring context
> > > > > cuting speling cop
> > >
> > cutng spelling cop
>
cuting spelling cop

> > > > > > > > > threats harrasment trying to intimadate people
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Your "threats" to "sue" were never deemed even remotely plausible.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > never made one
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure you did.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Said you were going to use the ADA to force the FCC's hand to give
> > > > > > you a free ride on the code test.
> > > >
> > > > Lie I said a case could be made
> > >
> > > A case CAN be made for your deceitfulness and dishonesty.
> > >
> > > So as I said earlier, if you think a case can be made against me,
> > > file it.
> >
> > If Thought It would yeild anything I would do so but I don't think you
> > have to money to pay the judgement so it is not worth doing
>
> Uh huh.
>
> What you DON'T have are (1) sufficent grounds and (2) what it would
> take to pay your OWN "judgement" for the false suit charge that would
> be appended.
>
> > > > I said it was a hard case at that time with the treaty lang in place
> > >
> > > So what?
> > >
> > > A M E R I C A N law allowed for alterations to the treaty as did
> > > caveats IN the treaty that gave administrations some leeway.
> >
> > Indeed

> >
> > but the treaty lang made the ADA case much harder
>
> No it didn't.

sure did

>
> If anything, the ADA made it EASIER to make your argument viable.

Stvie you have lost it

ADA made the case possible, the treaty made the case harder

what your last stament has to do with the matter I don't know

>
> > > > I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture
> > >
> > > Then obviously not enough dedication.
> >
> > if you like
>
> Nope...If YOU like...Obviously you don't have the heart for it.

If it is what I like well I did not care to spend the money or time at
what I was sure would be won otherwise

indeed it apears that My discussing the possible case, may have
influneced NCI to include the ADA case arguement in there threat to the
FCC one they seem to caved on

So It seems other used the case as another wedge

>
> > > > you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
> > > > done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted
> > >
> > > Sure I do.
> >
> > then you must be willfully lying when you make these claims
>
> Nope.

sure do since you lie about what was said

>
> > > > that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
> > > > often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
> > > > YOUR making
> > >
> > > No lie made here.
> > >
> > > You made threats of lawsuits under ADA.

> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> > I discussed the posiblity
>
> You said your "laywr" was preparing your brief".

no never said said anything about "laywr"

>
> > I discussed the pros and cons
> >
> > I decided against it
>
> One of the very few wise things you ever did...or should I say
> DIDN'T do...?!?!
>
> > you lie whan you make the staement "You made threats of lawsuits under
> > ADA."
>
> Nope.

yes have have lied and contiue to do so

>
> > > > > > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > > > > > it you have got anything worth talking
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!
> > > > >
> > > > > sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money
> > >
> > > The cost would be yours twice over, Markie.
> >
> > prehaps which does not alter the factual statement I just made
> >
> > going to court is such a crap shoot
>
> In YOUR case it would be...

in all cases it is

>
> > > Your initial filing against me, then your defense expenses for the
> > > countersuit.
> >
> > nope no doubling there I'd be handle one level on contingincy youd be
> > paying out of pocket the whole way mostlikely, or at least in your

> > defense
>
> First of all, no lawyer is going to take a "he said/she said" case
> "on contingency" unless it's against Wal-Mart, one of the Big Three in
> Detroit, etc. In those cases they at least hope to win "shut up" money
> and probably will.

again you are misinformed

>
> Secondly, as I told you before, I have the resources to overcome
> any legal case YOU could hopre to mount from Michigan. At the most,
> I'd have to pony-up filing fees...No big deal...
>
> > > > that applies to you or anyone for that matter
> > >
> > > Yep...And they can countersue too.
> >
> > yea never said otherwise
>
> But you, like Toiddie, throw "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE" around as if in
> doing so you are the only one who can use legal resourse.

no another lie on your part, or is it delusions again

>
> As I have clearly demonstrated here, however, you'll quickly find
> out that you are NOT the only person who can bring a suit.

more threats

>
> > It is easy to sue in America
>
> Finally you get the idea...

no it has taken you several years to get that

>
> > not so easy to collect
>
> Bravo.

which is why sueing you is not worth while

>
> > > > > You sure do like flattering yourself!
> > > >
> > > > more evidence that stevie does not have metal contact with the real
> > > > world
> > >
> > > There's no metal in my body, Markie.
> > >

> > > How would I have metal contact withn the world?
> > >
> > > > > > and of plausible to whom? You well that is more than likely right, a
> > > > > > real crout that is another matter
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting spelling cop
> > > >
> > > Congratulations. Only 33 1/3% error rate that time.
> > >
> > > > > > > Your semi-literate dreck can be considered "harrassing" in it's
> > > > > > > own way.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > really someone is making you read it?
> > > > > >
> > > > > If I want to try an understand what you're saying.
> > > > >
> > > > you don't want to understand you are just hunting for things you can
> > > > twist
> > > >
> > > There's nothing to "twist". Your comments are twisted right out
> > > of the box, Markie...I jsut ahve fun with them!
> > >
> > > > > I think learning Serbo-Croation by braille might be easier.
> > > >
> > > more bashing of the Disabled, the blind in this case
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Are you NOW alleging that only the blind can use Braille?
> >
> > nope
> >
> > but you are of course bashing the disabled
>
> Nope.

sure are

>
> Serbo-Croation is a difficult language. I tried. Gave up.

not really Russian now that is one is bad

>
> And I can't differentiate the characters in Braille adequately to
> use it.

you just didn't want to bad enough

>
> No bashing occured.

sure did
>
> > > > with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?
> > >
> > > What "clear lack of human compassion"...?!?!
> >
> > your clear lack
>
> Clear lack of what?

human compassion

>
> > > You mean not being a soft touch for every self-appreciating,
> > > self-victimizing jerk that tries to take advantge of others compassion,
> > > Markie?
> >
> > Nope I mean your mean sprited obcessive bulling
>
cutting more spelling cop
>
> > > If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
> > > to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again.
> >
> > more lies this time about the number of hospital you could affect
>
> It's got nothing to do with me.

then you lied when you typed " If I were that, every hospital in every
community would be filed to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever
seeing an empty bed again."

You typed the I not me

>
> It's got to do with Nurses not being a "soft touch" as I stated
> above.

no you stated something else

>
> And trust me when I say that every ER I ahve worked in, East,
> West, North and South has it's share of "frequent flyers"...system
> abusers just like you, Markie.

more libel

>
> > > > was it another power play?
> > >
> > > By saying "another" powert play, you're suggesting an ORIGINAL
> > > "power play".
> >
> > yea

>
> And it was...?!?!?
>
> > > What would have been the first.
> >
> > not sure what power play was the first you go on about so many
>
> You've not named one so far.

sure have

Your actions as VE in denieing a properly issued code waiver for one

your efforts to control the posting of Todd, BB, Len and Me
>
> > > > > > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > > > > > sure...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> > > > > > > that you arre any good at it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cutin spelling cop
> > > >
> > > Still 33 1/3%, however this time you ever mispelled your mispelled
> > > word!
> > >
> > > > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
> > >
> > > No I didn't.
> >
> > sure did
>
> Sheesh.
>
> Where, Markie. WHERE did I say "I am trying to intimidate you",
> Markie?

never said you stated that I siad you were doing it

>
> > > Quote the line where you alledge I say "I am trying to intimidate
> > > you", Markie...
> >
> > no claim of such a an exact was ever made
>
> Oh, but it was!

nope
>
> QUOTE

>
> > > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> So...where's this alleged admission, Markie?

in the post I was repsoning to as stated

>
> No quote = Markie Lying.

nope

>
> > > > > > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But you HAVE left us laughing!
> > > > >
> > > > > comenting on your words
> > > >
> > > > "Commenting"
> > > >
> > > > > Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself
> > > >
> > > > "heard"
> > > >
> > > > I am not "talking to (my)self"...
> > > >
> > > > I am talking ABOUT you.
>
> Still.

now you are talking to yourself

look at the lines
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1102. Re: A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 02:26:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123640795.559202.155740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115554

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Because it applies to what is happening in Ham Radio today. If you don't
> like it, you know what you can do. Oh and please use a spell checker,
> your as hard to comprehend as Toad.

old news and old muck raking

Makes me convinced that Baxter can't be that bad if all you folks can
degde up is recycled complaints

>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123553734.541308.46920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > why you carring this Jihad here?
> >
> > Never saw K1MAN here
> >
> > you are posting about events that happened more the 15 years agao
> > meaning about halfway through his previous license
> >
> > anything Crimenal is long past a statue of limitations very likely
> >
> > and the worst the seem to have done is be unpleasent, prehaps extermely
> > unplaesent, if that were gorund not to renew a Licesne you, stevie,
> > Dave would be in real trouble
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> Dear Mr. Baxter,
> >>
> >> References to emergency work you allegedly performed 15 years ago are
> >> irrelevant to the present complaint # E05 003, now before the Maine Board
> >> of
> >> Professional Engineers.
> >

# 1103. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 02:29:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123640964.762165.190950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115555

Russia could, China might be able to, NASA would need a lot more

# 1104. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 02:36:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123641399.694106.132590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115556

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty!
> > > For one thing,
> > > ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
> >
> > mentioned
>
> Yep.

Did anyone besides me actually read the articles I linked?

> > > The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing"
> > > in space travel
> > > - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of
> > > getting to orbit,
> > > reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were
> > > told of turnaround
> > > times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to
> > > 20 million dollars
> > > total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30
> > > years after the program began.
> >
> > yea the shutle was and is a failure
>
> Based upon WHAT data, Mark?

It's a fact that the Space Shuttle program has not reached *some*
of the goals set for it. OTOH it has reached and exceeded some
of the goals, too.

The Space Shuttle program is neither a complete success nor a total
failure. It's done many great things, but not everything
that was expected.

But that's not the point I was making.
>
> That people have been killed flying it? So what?

No Americans died flying the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo missions. The
Apollo 1 fire that killed astronauts Grissom, Chaffee and White
happened during a ground training/checkout session.

> People die on
> commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a

> failure?

There's a big difference.

The chances of dying in a commercial airliner accident are extremely small. The failure rate of commercial airline flights (where "failure" equals "people died") is extremely small. In fact if you drive to the airport, fly around the world on First World commercial airliners (returning to your point of origin), and drive home, the most dangerous part of the trip is the drive to and from the airport, statistically speaking.

I've read reports that the reliability of the Space Shuttle (where "reliability" equals "chances there will be a total loss-of-mission-and-crew accident") was calculated to be between 1 in 75 to 1 in 250. Unfortunately those calculations have been quite accurate.

But that's not the point I ws making.
>
> E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> be predicated upon missions learned from the Space
> Shuttle era. That,
> in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.

No, that's just one of the successes of the Space Shuttle program.
>
> > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its
> > > planned role as a Cold
> > > War DoD resource, for doing things like
> > > snatching Soviet satellites
> > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> >
> > yep the shuttle is and has been from its
> > first launch a failure at
> > preforming the missions promised
>
> The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.

> Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions,
> one was due
> to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage
> inflicted
> on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate"
> NASA safety deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.

We can also implicate the extreme complexity of the system, too. Also the basic design.

In the Mercury/Gemini/Apollo missions, the main rockets were all below/behind the capsule that had the people inside. Stuff falling off the rockets could not hit the capsule.

The reentry rockets and heatshield were hidden away under the capsule,
and not exposed to damage from outside until it was almost time to use
them. The Shuttle's tiles are out in the breeze the whole time.
>
> > that it has some use is of course true
>
> That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone
> of our age is even more true.

Yet it's less expensive to launch satellites using a one-use rocket
like the Ariane.
>
> That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too,
> but then
> what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> "re-engineered" for better performance?

We're still driving cars that (mostly) use internal-combustion
gasoline-burning piston engines. The changes in them have been
evolutionary, not revolutionary.

> Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we
> were all still
> using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!

If you're using a Wintel machine, you are basically using an upgraded
IBM PC AT.

> To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.

Agreed - it's too complex to be described by a single word.
>
> > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > crew.
> >
> > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > refusual to listen to anybody else
>
> What, Mark?
>
> As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> proven itself 100% error free?
>
> I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read
> that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.

Yet nobody died on that flight.

> And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to
> design,
> engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building

> motor
> vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished > in what...1992?

Shall we do the Challenger/Titanic parallels again?
>
> > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> >
> > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> > sheild
>
> What "fibbing", Mark?
>
> Jim said, quote:
>
> > > But it doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.
>
> Where is there a "fib" in there, Mark?

You're missing the point, Steve.

> And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> different outcome.
>
> > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> >
> > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > > lessons learned from its problems...
> >
> > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
>
> Oh?
>
>And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?
>
Do not be distracted from the main point, Steve.

Here it is again:

The Mercury/Gemini/Apollo programs used "disposable" rockets and capsules. The Shuttle was a radical departure from that design philosophy, meant to be reusable and economic. But in reality, some of the Shuttle's goals have not been met, and never will be.

Now NASA is looking at the disposable-rocket/capsule idea again for the next generation of people-carrying space flights.

See the point?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1105. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 02:44:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123641875.027221.92420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115557

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!

As of midnight EDT on 8 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1215

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 50

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 329 28.24%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 685 58.80%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 151 12.96%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, one foreign citizen submission.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 8 Aug 05 that Total would be 1165.

A growing number of keep-code-test-for-Extra entries prompted
a review of all entries up to 8 Aug 05 and revision of the
previous postings of Against and Indeterminate numbers.
This was exacerbated by 14 entries made into the various day
listings by the FCC after midnight of the days given. Total
entry numbers are correct to the FCC-given totals up to and
including 8 Aug 05. Having read each and every entry made
so far, new entries made in previous day's listings can be
spotted without fail and tallied groups corrected as need be.

8 August 2005 is the 14th day of submitted Comments. A notice
about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 14 days of Comments
will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and

Order. Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made, like it or not.

dit dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1106. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 02:48:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123642128.636954.114590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115558

> NASA could put several craft into orbit with the gas you've
>expelled here, Lennie.
>
> Putz.

4 Q

dit not
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1107. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:01:22 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123642882.101134.15080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115560

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Aug 9, 9:31 am
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> b.b. wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > b.b. wrote:
> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> >> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > >>K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> >> > > > > >> And speaking of idiots, I see Lennie didn't get past the first of
> >> > > > > >>his five pages of comments without lying or mentioning his 1950's era
> >> > > > > >>Army career!
> >
> >> > > > > >> Sheesh!
>
> [poor Stebie, still resentful, jealous, and angry...]

His problem.

> >> > > > But I've never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >
> >> > > No...you just bootlegged from a UN-controlled zone without proper
> >> > > authority.
> >
> >> > > What Dave did was legal...What YOU did wasn't.
> >
> >> > That's merely your interpretation.
>
> [mostly his imagination following the lead of his spiteful nature]

His problem.

> >> And the matter of regulations for foreces operating under UN
> >> authority on foreign soil.
> >
> >You would have to speak to the feces under UN control. I wasn't part
> >of that game.
>
> Sigh...Stebie squeaks like he IS the UN. He had too many cups
> of 'Kofi.' :-)

His problem.

> >> > Given your propensity for lying...(SNIP)
> >
> >> You mean my propensity for rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR lies,
> >> Brain...
> >
> >No. I said your propensity for lying.
>
> Stebie has all the symptoms of a congenital sociopath.

His problem.

> >> > and not having seen you in Somalia for you to make such a judgement,
> >> > I'm highly suspicious of your statements.
> >
> >> What's to be suspicious of?
> >
> >You have no first hand knowledge of the situation, yet you make
> >judgements.
> >
> >Face it, Steve, you were a non-participant, so your opinion just
> >doesn't matter (hi Len).
>
> Stebie has presented NO proof, references, or anything else
> that he was ever in any of his claimed "seven hostile actions"
> during any part of his 18 years in the USMC.

He can't. The Stolen Valor people are waiting to pounce on this fake
hero.

Definitely his problem.

> >> YOU stated you operated from Somalia. (Your words)
> >
> >> YOU stated your "commander" gave you permission to do so. (Your
> >> words)
> >
> >> Unless your "commander" was appointed by appropriate UN authority
> >> to do so, that "permission" was inappropriately given.
> >
> >My commander was appointed my the first Bush president. He does not
> >work for the UN. BTW, neither does his son.
>
> Stebie must think he is part of Navy JAG...:-)

His problem.

> >> In short...You were illegal.
> >
> >> Based upon YOUR words....
> >
> >In short, you've got your head up your rectum. You must like the
> >view...
>

> That's his natural element...

And his problem.

> >> > In fact, I'd say you are lying.
> >
> >> Then if I am "lying", it's based upon bogus information YOU
> >> provided.
> >
> >You assume that my unit was under UN control. That's where you went
> >wrong.
>
> Tsk. Stebie "went wrong" long before he came in here...:-)

Wish the VA would take care of this problem; get it off the streets.

> >> Now THAT would be correct...Nothing new there when it comes to
> >> bogus information from Brian P. Burke.
> >
> >Your continued ignorance of the facts is what's not new.
>
> Stebie's just on the road to going completely nuts. <shrug>
>
>
> not new
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He arrived at that station a long time ago.

# 1108. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:01:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123639333.060790.141630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115561

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > > > What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty! For one thing,
> > > > > ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
> > > >
> > > > mentioned
> > >
> > > Yep.
> > >
> > > > > The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing" in space travel
> > > > > - as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of getting to orbit,
> > > > > reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were told of turnaround
> > > > > times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to 20 million dollars
> > > > > total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30 years after the program
> > > > > began.
> > > >
> > > > yea the shutle was and is a failure
> > >
> > > Based upon WHAT data, Mark?
> >
> > Based on the specs when the project started
> >
break
> > The shuttle was supposed to take cargo to Geostationary orbit instaed
> > NASA settled for LEO
>
> And who says it can't?

NASA

>
> The Shuttle does (and today proved) that is can and does operate
> in the manner designed for...

nope not even close it misses the mark by a mere 20,000 miles or there
abouts
>
> And unless I missed something, this mission flew some part of
> every profile that the Shuttle was envisoned doing.

only the first 200 miles of the 23,000 some part indeed

>
> > > That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
> > > commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?
> >
> > as normal off target and not related
>
> Sure it's "on target" and absolutely related.

Not really and it is unrelated

that Men died is bad of course but that men died isn't the reason the
shuttle is a failure, although it was supposed ot bring the men back
alive
>
> YOU suggested, without explaining why, that the Shuttle was a
> failure.

I certainly explained why

It can't reach the orbits it was designed for

that is failure

>
> People have died on Shuttle missions. That is a fact. The fact
> that people HAVE died on Shuttle missions IS a popular argument for the
> program's termination by those who think that "space travel" ought to
> be like flying on the Starship Enterprise of TV fame.

so what?

again off target and ilrelavant

>
> > > E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> > > be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
> > > in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.
> >
> > wrong again Stevie, your premise that learning from something means the
> > something was a sucess
>
> Oh?
>
> It seems to be an adequate-enough justification for every scientist
> since the dawn of time. What's your rationale for stating otherwise?

failure to meet it goals

that we have learned something from thsi failure is a good thing but it
is still a failure

and you realy don't undersatnd science stavie you just don't

>
> > Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would

> > regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> > learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
>
> I bet not.

you still owe me 500$ from your last bet
>
> We've learned more about orbital dynamics and extra vehicular
> working than we would have in a million "deep tank" simulations.

which has nothing to do with anything under discusion

>
> > > > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > > > > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > > > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> > > >
> > > > yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> > > > preforming the missions promised
> > >
> > > The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.
> >
> > the Shuttle can't fufill the mission it was designed for
>
> It can't fulfill what it's already surpassed, Mark?

It can't deviler what it promised

BTW Id call the last mission f Chalenger and Colombia failures

>
> > the Shuttle has falied utterly in being able to try it designed for
> > mission
>
> Oh?

yep

>
> "utterly"...?!?!

only come 20,000 out of 23,000 miles short

I call that utterly
>
> I take the word "utterly" to mean a nearly complete failure of all
> designed mission parameters.

well a major mission was satelite repair, geo sat repair, It has never
manged that

it has never manged to reach teh desred orbit of its planers
>

> The Challenger and Columbia disaters notwithstanding, I'd say YOUR
> suggestion is unsubstantiated at many, MANY levels.

No It is on the record from NASA, they defined the mission mid ay
though building the shuttles to a new and lessor mission set

The Shuttle has always failed to met it original mission parameters

>
> > The Shuttle is the best real world example of Dumbing down expectations
>
> Sure glad we have you to counter lots of REAL scientists who have
> said otherwise, Mark!

not really

and it is the engineers that say so
>
> > > Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
> > > to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
> > > on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
> > > deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.
> > >
> > > > that it has some use is of course true
> > >
> > > That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
> > > is even more true.
> >
> > milstone yea it is that
> >
> > >
> > > That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then
> > > what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> > > "re-engineered" for better performance?
> >
> > that reengineering is not even planned for the shuttle shows it failure
>
> What do you mean that there's no re-engineering planned?
>
> They just got done with 2 years of it...

not really and nothing on the board to suceed the shuttle in five years

they have tried to cover the failures and cobbled together nature of
the beast

>
> > > Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still
> > > using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!
> > >
> > > To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.
> >
> > it is the plain and simple truth

>
> Nope. What it is is a bit of failed argument on your part.

No just a case of you eading Press releases rather than looking at the
facts, but nothing new there

>
> You need to be reading something other than "Pagan Monthly", Mark.

more bashing

>
> > > > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > > > crew.
> > > > >
> > > > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > > > refusual to listen to anybody else
> > >
> > > What, Mark?
> > >
> > > As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> > > proven itself 100% error free?
> > >
> > > I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> > > Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> > > leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read
> > > that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.
> > >
> > > And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design,
> > > engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor
> > > vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in
> > > what...1992?
> > >
> > > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> > > > >
> > > > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > > > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> > > > sheild
> > >
> > > What "fibbing", Mark?
> >
> > Jim said the Chalenger was destroyed by a heat shiled related problem
>
> No he didn't.

>
>
cutinng more of stevie out of context rating

> > > And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> > > compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> > > to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> > > different outcome.
> > >
> > > > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > > > > lessons learned from its problems...
> > > > >
> > > > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
> > >
> > > Oh?
> > >
> > > And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?
> >
> > experence sure I got it I have folowed the Space program as far back as
> > I can remember
>
> I remember it back to BEFORE the first Mercury shots went up. I
> got to follow each Mercury, Gemini and Apollo misison, INCLUDING
> Apollo-Soyuz. That includes the Skylab missions.

so?

you are older than I we all knew that
>
> > We started in 60 with the Goal of getting to the moon and we did then
> > we started to build a space born truck that was supposed to reach GEO
> > stationary orbit and be able to turn around in 2 weeks
> >
> > we settled for LEO and months of turnaround
>
> We haven't "settled" for anything yet.

we sure did

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1109. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:02:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123639615.563295.289310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115559

NASA could not but maybe the Russians or even the Chiness likely could

# 1110. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:03:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123639418.876749.6340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1123541024.894177.156750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >Redneck Dan said
> >>You know something? I believe your right.
> >
> > and I believe the two of you are morons
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Hey Todd, if you ain't careful your going to find out what a redneck thinks
> of you.
>
> Put that in your corn cob pipe and stick it where its dark.

and here we thought that Threats were just Stevie stock and trade
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 1111. Re: Comments to the FCC on WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:08:29 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123643309.334380.133780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115563

an_old_friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> cut
>
> > > I guess your pass if you missed the first letters saying well if he
> > > can't even get it right isn't worth bothering with
> >
> >
> > These old farts would let the boat sink if the signal wasn't sent they
> > way they want it sent. They're stubborn as hell.
>
> sure does look like it

Guaranteed. They're a spiteful bunch.

> >
> > yryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryr
> >
> > The quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dogs back.

## 1112. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:11:26 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <-pCdnaCarPIw_GTfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:
> he probaly has 3 or
> 4 personalitys living in his head.

Among other things you don't even want to know about.

# 1113. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:13:26 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ddbrcm$f8e$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 115564

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1123641875.027221.92420
@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!
>
> As of midnight EDT on 8 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
> Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:
>
> Total 1215
>
> Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 50
>
> Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 329 28.24%
> Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 685 58.80%
> Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 151 12.96%
>
>
> Notes:
>
> 1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
> or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
> polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
> do with the NPRM, one foreign citizen submission.
>
> 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
> NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.
>
> 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
> the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
>
> 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
> test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
> eliminating the code test for other classes.
>
> Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
> the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
> midnight of 8 Aug 05 that Total would be 1165.
>
> A growing number of keep-code-test-for-Extra entries prompted
> a review of all entries up to 8 Aug 05 and revision of the
> previous postings of Against and Indeterminate numbers.
> This was exacerbated by 14 entries made into the various day
> listings by the FCC after midnight of the days given. Total
> entry numbers are correct to the FCC-given totals up to and

> including 8 Aug 05. Having read each and every entry made
> so far, new entries made in previous day's listings can be
> spotted without fail and tallied groups corrected as need be.
>
> 8 August 2005 is the 14th day of submitted Comments. A notice
> about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
> the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 14 days of Comments
> will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and
> Order. Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being
> made, like it or not.
>
> dit dah
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

How do I submit a comment? I haven't been able to find it on the FCC site?

# 1114. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:15:56 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123643756.774385.213980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115565

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>and I don't know Father
> >>>>Hams.
> >>>
> >>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
> >>>that I referred to.
> >>
> >>It isn't what you wrote.
> >
> >
> > It's what you did.
>
> Nope. I've "done" no French hams.
>
> Dave K8MN

They "did" you. And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.

## 1115. Re: nice day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:28:13 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123644493.125477.281320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115566

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> > > > > In article <1123363240.904604.278900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > billybeeper@juno.com says...
> > >
> > > > > > Still, no indication of a "yiddish" wine..a kosher wine maybe, yiddish
> > > > > > no and certainly not a "bum" wine
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm always amazed at the kind of people that come to Steve's rescue.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm sorry, did I get the attribute(s) wrong. I thought you, Brian,
> > > > mentioned about the yiddish wine. The fact that Mogan David makes a
> > > > cheap wine, and cheap is the operative word seems obtuse to the
> > > > discussion you are having with the other people.
> > >
> > > Steven J Robeson's postings are full of Yiddish perjoratives, and
> > > "Anonymous" appears to have a familiarity with cheap, kosher wines.
> > > That was the link that makes the connection from Anonymous to Steve.
> > >
> > > Steven J Robeson's posts only contain ONE yiddish word..."putz"...
> >
> > And your "Anonymous" persona posted a message containing only one
> > kosher wine.
>
> I don't have an "anonymous" persona, Brain.

Hi!

> And I couldn't tell a "kosher" wine from any other kind of wine if
> I were bathing in it...

No doubt.

> > That is what is known as a one to one (1:1) relationship.
> >
> > You got found out.
>
> Nothing to "find out" here, Brain.
>
> > > > I do need to get my ears lowered.
> > >
> > > With a Guillotine.

> >
> > Are you suggest violence again?
>
> Nope. Just one way to get your hair cut and make everyone here
> happy at the same time.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Everyone? I suspect you just told another lie.

# 1116. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:35:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123644908.915346.42980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115567

John wrote:

> I looked at a large sample of the comments filed and they are an
> embarassment to the Amateur Radio Service. It appears that a simple
> literacy test is a more urgent need than a 5 wpm code test. A first
> course in English grammar and basic writing wouldn't hurt either.
> I prefer not to comment on the logic (or lack thereof) displayed in all
> too many of the comments. However I did note quite a number of comments
> whose authors were clearly unaware of the last 15 years worth of
> regulatory changes or had no idea of what modes were allowed where.
> John

John, Extra Bruce/WA8ULX is somehow simultaneously a brilliant test taker and a functional illiterate. The comments posted here earlier look like a page out of his playbook. I'm sure he's not alone in his Extraness and stupidity, and willingness to sabotage the U.S. amateur radio service. $0.02. bb

# 1117. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:41:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9IeKe.3873$WD.2640@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115568

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>and I don't know Father
>>>>>>Hams.
>>>>>
>>>>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
>>>>>that I referred to.
>>>>
>>>>It isn't what you wrote.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's what you did.
>>
>>Nope. I've "done" no French hams.

> They "did" you.

First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
believe that some French hams did me.

> And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.

You really need to take a course in writing.

Dave K8MN

# 1118. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 03:58:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.03.58.06.276017@gmail.com>
Source record: 115569

Dave:

When you find a few others you also have trouble communicating with,
realize the problem is you, NOT everyone else--take a course in reading
comprehension!

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 03:41:57 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> b.b. wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>and I don't know Father
>>>>>>>Hams.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
>>>>>>that I referred to.
>>>>>
>>>>>It isn't what you wrote.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's what you did.
>>>
>>>Nope. I've "done" no French hams.
>
>> They "did" you.
>
> First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> believe that some French hams did me.
>
>> And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.

>
> You really need to take a course in writing.
>
> Dave K8MN

## 1119. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:23:23 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050810042323.7FCBC1718C@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115570

```
In article
<1123643756.774385.213980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > >>b.b. wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>and I don't know Father
> > >>>Hams.
> > >>>
> > >>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it
was French Hams
> > >>>that I referred to.
> > >>
> > >>It isn't what you wrote.
> > >
> > >
> > > It's what you did.
> >
> > Nope. I've "done" no French hams.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> They "did" you. And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.

Looks like Marky full of Capt. Morgan isn't the only bisexual
here. Deviant Brian is one as well.
```

# 1120. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:36:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123648575.869580.63860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115571

http://www.msu.edu/~volzmich/commenthelp.htm

leads to a site that will walk you through the process

or let you look at other comments etc

# 1121. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:37:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <owfKe.3876$RS.502@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115572

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Well, well, well.....................

Well, well, well, indeed!

For the first time in my memory Steve makes a comment to FCC on a new NPRM, then pounds his chest in pride and prematurely chides others for not following his lead.

Well, well, well!

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1122. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:40:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123648804.590620.207760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115573

Alun, it's through the Search buttons on the Home page. But, you can go direct via:

http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs

That gets you to the ECFS main page. On the left of that you will see several links which will supply you with the data page where you must fill in the required items...when that is done you have a choice of uploading a prepared file (WordPerfect, MS Word, or PDF) or to make your comment on-line (watch line length, no over-run or it will look funny). I use WordPerfect and convert it to PDF with Acrobat 4 (full version) and upload that.

If you want a copy of FCC 05-143, the document spelling out what the NPRM is, it is the very first document in the docket, entered on 15 July 2005.

You will get a data-begin page with every choice of links from the main ECFS page. To see all of the Docket entries, just enter 05-235 in the upper left corner box and press Return/Enter. That yields a list of EVERY entry under that docket. You can get to an individual day date entry by filling in the numeric date fields in the middle of the data-begin page...or an individual's entry if you know the exact spelling of their name used on their entry.

had fun
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1123. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:41:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123648865.765321.114340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115574

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Well, well, well.....................
>
> Well, well, well, indeed!
>
> For the first time in my memory Steve makes a comment to FCC on a new NPRM, then
> pounds his chest in pride and prematurely chides others for not following his
> lead.

check your memory again, didn't he do that is the last time too?

I think so but well that was a few years ago
>
> Well, well, well!
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1124. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:41:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123648908.452535.56090@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115575

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > Well I dunno about that Mike, jeez, lookit all the leading-edge
> > "solutions" Sweetums has dumped in here over the years . . .
>
> Are puns solutions?

For him you bet.

> I do enjoy those. Imagine if that intellect were
> used for good..... ;^)

That's what millions asked about Dr. Ted Kaczynski the Unabomber.

> > CW provides a 10dB path gain over SSB with a simple twist of the mode
> > selector knob. Can't wait to find out what the path gain of CW will be
> > vs. all the furiously hyped HF digital modes. None of which actually
> > exist 10-15 years later of course. Big surprise huh?
>
> Wow! 10 db? I knew it was superior. I didn't know it was that much
> superior.

Yup. Same net effect as adding an amp. Go to 20 or 40 and tune an ssb signal which peaks at S4 on ye olde S-meter. Then spin the knob counterclockwise and find a CW signal which also peaks at S4, crank in the CW filter and ponder the difference in intelligibilities. The 'ole power density thing at work.

> But I am firmly convinced that OOK CW coupled with the processing power
> of "wetware", is the bottom line of getting the message through.

You're there.

> Now the worst conditions won't happen every time of course, but they
> will happen some times.

Huge variable there Mike. The inherent gain of CW is a very big deal in weak signal work. But if if an op's jollies come from being able to kick his feet up on the desk and yak his buddies with his RX RF gain at half mast then S/N ratios, whizzy front end dynamic ranges and path gains are irrelevant. Depends on what the particular op is into.

RF gain knobs . . yessss . . "just in case" lemmee clue you about an HF dxers dirty little secret: The band is hot, big signals everywhere, yer ears are getting pounded and ya can't quite copy the new one in the muck. You have a big rig with DSP and hardware filters out the wazoo and a gazzilion buttons and knobs on the panel. Been there I dunno how

many times. Took awhile for me to finally get the message many years
ago but my best buddy in these situations is the lowly and usually
ignored RF gain pot.

> > Beyond this comes the subject of "operator skills". Oh Good Lord I
> > forgot again: Discussions about operator skills have nothing to do with
> > technical or policy "matters".
>
> Who in the hell (PMF) said THAT????

Heh . . . !

> Operator skills are intrinsically a part of Amateur radio policy.
> Otherwise why do we have people who are trying to remove operator skills
> from the equation?

Bwaaaaahaha!

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

# 1125. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:47:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123649249.652577.74570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115576

From: John Smith on Tues 9 Aug 2005 17:46

>Len:
>
>The BPL modems I have seen go from the bottom of low audio freqs up to
>~300Khz. I don't know where anyone ever got the idea this range was even
>going to go into the am broadcast band! At some of those freqs, a full
>wavelength can be measured in miles... and, the "transmission line"
>becomes a few small wavelengths...

We aren't talking about the in-building carrier-current kind of
thing. This is HIGHER SPEED stuff occupying HF and on up to
about 80 MHz.

>I think they are doing, REALLY, what they say they are doing, they are now
>simply in the process of TESTING it, what the final results of all this
>are/"will be" ???

It's primarily MARKET testing...plus ordinary Field Trials.
Seems to be very difficult to get some real guts-contents kind
of information but it IS broadband, much wider than the best
carrier-current stuff.

There's been several field tests for RFI on the installed Test
(Market) locales. That Testing began 3 years ago or so. It
has gone on longer in Yurp.

You can begin looking into BPL at the ARRL website and continue
on to some very large RFI testing reports by the government and
private metrology companies, checking out the links. FCC ECFS
has lots and lots and lots of Comments on it. Much of that has
been thrashed-out in words and figures in 2003 and 2004.

>I am just stating amateurs and the interference it MAY pose to their HOBBY
>is NOT ANY REASON(S) to be given ANY consideration what-so-ever, it is not
>the majority--the greatest good for the greatest number...

The "majority users" of HF may NOT be just radio amateurs.

Aeronautical Radio Inc., ARINC, contracts to do HF comms with
air carriers on long international routes. Those sites CAN
be interfered with, not a good thing with the "heavies" (747
and the like) carrying lots of passengers.

The U.S. government has about 2500 HF-capable stations in the
contiguous states, AK, and HI, plus PR and other U.S.
territories. Those are periodically netted as a SHARES

exercise. Most of those are equipped with ALE and can jump frequency as needed depending on local QRM.

There's still a couple of low HF freqs for maritime emergency comms. Maritime radio services still use HF especially on deep water; they've gone to single-channel SSB for voice and Teleprinter Over Radio for data in place of electromechanical teleprinter. There's the WWV and WWVH time-frequency standard stations; not everyone uses (or can get) the 60 KHz WWVB signal; still useful for medium-accuracy metrology.

The "low-VHF" band for PLMRS (Private Land Mobile Radio Service) includes freqs in high-HF as well as from 30 to 50 MHz. Lots and lots of those still working in USA.

"SW BC" can kiss some of their audience goombye wherever a BPL is running since it will effectively mask reception of both foreign and domestic stations. There are more SW BC bands than there are ham bands on HF.

The "occupiers" of HF can be found at the NIST site as reports and documents, going back two decades if you like that sort of thing. They and the NTIA work together to try future planning for the EM spectrum; FCC cooperates by using that information for decision-making on specific radio services. FCC OET (Office of Engineering and Technology) concentrates on Mass Media radio services (broadcasting) for BC standards, separation of stations by locale, and useful info on BC antennas.

In Yurp there's similar but their "BPL" (they use another acronym) is older. The first system was a test in Norway. Lots of info on the web for that, just not concentrated neatly as for the USA. Japan has done some trials and was NOT happy with the results.

Ackshully, the electric power transmission people have been using a BPL-predecessor longer for telemetry and control of the power lines they are controlling. Slower-speed stuff which may be what you've seen. Hasn't been a bed of roses for them, either, according to some of the Commentors in the FCC ECFS on Access BPL, a couple of those being P.E.s who were involved in that "pre-BPL" work. Electric power folks have the advantage that few other folk live close to the Kilo- and Mega-Volt electric power transmission lines; them MVe lines be ten kinds of noisy anyway.

Access BPL systems in Market Testing have been using the "medium" (around 4 KV) distribution lines. Those are the ones that connect to transformer primaries (such as on utility poles) so that the secondaries can supply 230/115 Volt drops to individual subscribers. Some kind of couplers

manage to get the KV line data to the drop lines for residential broadband service (two-way) with most systems but at least one uses a WiFax-kind of coupler to get to an individual residence. That sort-of isolates the data line from the KV. WiFax is a low end of microwaves or high UHF.

I would presume that the data rate of these BPL providers is similar to the broadband data supplied through wideband cable such as TV cable service. TV allows HF to 50 MHz for broadband data downstream since it doesn't interfere with present-day TV channel 2; that's a 40+ MHz wide path for fairly-good-rate data. TV itself uses about 1 GHz bandwidth (give or take) for analog TV; larger for digital TV through fiber optic main distribution (analog for the drops).

"Discontinuities" in transmission lines (for RF, data, etc.) are the culprit for high VSWR and thus reflected power which winds up spritzing out into space (around the lines). Discontinuities come from everything...jump to a different characteristic impedance, changes in conductor wires, weird loopy jumpers, pole-mounted circuit breakers. The electric power lines were NEVER characterized as RF or DATA transmission lines...ONLY for 60 Hz AC, never higher in frequency.

rfi emi
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1126. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:57:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ePfKe.3885$RS.1922@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115577

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> When you find a few others you also have trouble communicating with,
> realize the problem is you, NOT everyone else--take a course in reading
> comprehension!

In r.r.a.p., there are a number of folks with limited abilities to
convey their thoughts. It is up to the writer to choose words which
will express his ideas. You, for example, express what you think with
only a few difficulties. I just don't happen to agree with much of what
you write. Now, is there something about French hams which you'd like
to add? Is there anything about any of my DX operations that you'd like
to know about?

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 03:41:57 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>and I don't know Father
>>>>>>>>Hams.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
>>>>>>>that I referred to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>It isn't what you wrote.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>It's what you did.
>>>>
>>>>Nope. I've "done" no French hams.
>>
>>>They "did" you.
>>
>>First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
>>meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
>>believe that some French hams did me.
>>
>>
>>>And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
>>
>>You really need to take a course in writing.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>

# 1127. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 04:59:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123649979.348067.80470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115578

John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> There has been no challenge of data encryption on amateur bands "by
> american citizens" that I am aware of...
>
> It is quite obvious that data encryption on the internet is both legal and
> in heavy use. How you can allow one medium and prosecute the same
> activity on another is insane (the law was constructed for amateur radio
> before they knew the internet would be developed, and it impossible to
> stop.)

Typical freebanders mindset.

> Indeed, I can place a digital voice encryption device on my phone
> and a friends and hold private conversations (corporations used to do
> this all the time--BEFORE the internet--now they use the internet), I can
> also do it on a cell phone (as far as I have been able to determine it is
> not a "crime.")
>
> I am NOT a lawyer, but common sense says that "crime" would be hard to
> prosecute to get a conviction... also, I'd say there are major
> constitutional grounds the gov't would have to defeat to convict. I think
> stand alone encryption is NOT a crime... treason, etc is...

Fine "John": Toss some encrypted traffic into the ham bands and get to
meet Riley the lawyer who will explain it all to you in excruciating
detail as he slaps you with an NAL and cancels yer ticket. Not that you
have a ham license of course.

> Me, I have ignored and encrypted in the past, I continue to do so, but
> only if it makes sense. Encryption does nothing to speed transmission, it
> only places an increased burden on it. However, a data compaction
> algorithm can be choose which naturally encrypts as a secondary effect to
> compaction, however, not even close to PGP, etc encryption methods.
> Someday there may come a court case to test if this is a "crime" which can
> be successfully prosecuted... I feel I have a right to private
> conversations, no matter what the medium is the communication takes place
> on. But then, I believe in inalienable rights... one day a repressive,
> evil gov't may be able to deprive me of those rights... not today...
>
> This is simply a matter for each and every individual to decide for
> themselves, they can act as they so choose...

Anarchy and black helicopters. Got it. 10-4 on that Good Buddy?

>
> John

w3rv

# 1128. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 05:19:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.05.19.12.628979@gmail.com>
Source record: 115579

Len:

I must admit, I am not aware of any of that, attempts to use HF on power lines, or even VHF... but I have not kept up at all... that doesn't sound wacky, it sounds impossible to me...

However, I would't even attempt to get a 1.750Mhz signal down power wiring, the capacitance between windings, shielding in all those xfrms along the way, underground power lines, ground shielding in between windings, wiring wound around in conduit boxes, etc, etc...

My first degree was in EE. From what I remember, take a damn idiot to expect those freqs to go any distance at all--the capacitive loading is going to start looking like a direct short to ground I would expect! Especially at 80Mhz! And that, even if the modem puts out a 1KW output! There are some remote 60Hz users out there. The inductance of that wiring is going to look staggering to multi-Mhz signals, I would think--no one is going to be able to control the impedance of that feedline. Really, I would have to see it to believe it, will keep my eyes open, now you have me interested.

Now, 300Hz to vlf is great, and there would be tolerable line attenuation due to impedance from line inductance, the resistance of the wire would then become one of largest losses, if not the largest. In special cases, where line length ended up being a resonate or near-resonate length, might even have a signal in need of attenuation at the ISP. I have no idea what-so-ever of how "long wire antennas" of that magnitude behave like... and as a transmission line! Krist, I am worried about how much signal I am getting though 250+ feet of aging coax!

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 21:47:29 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Tues 9 Aug 2005 17:46
>
>>Len:
>>
>>The BPL modems I have seen go from the bottom of low audio freqs up to
>>~300Khz. I don't know where anyone ever got the idea this range was even
>>going to go into the am broadcast band! At some of those freqs, a full
>>wavelength can be measured in miles... and, the "transmission line"
>>becomes a few small wavelengths...
>
> We aren't talking about the in-building carrier-current kind of
> thing. This is HIGHER SPEED stuff occupying HF and on up to

> about 80 MHz.
>
>>I think they are doing, REALLY, what they say they are doing, they are now
>>simply in the process of TESTING it, what the final results of all this
>>are/"will be" ???
>
> It's primarily MARKET testing...plus ordinary Field Trials.
> Seems to be very difficult to get some real guts-contents kind
> of information but it IS broadband, much wider than the best
> carrier-current stuff.
>
> There's been several field tests for RFI on the installed Test
> (Market) locales. That Testing began 3 years ago or so. It
> has gone on longer in Yurp.
>
> You can begin looking into BPL at the ARRL website and continue
> on to some very large RFI testing reports by the government and
> private metrology companies, checking out the links. FCC ECFS
> has lots and lots and lots of Comments on it. Much of that has
> been thrashed-out in words and figures in 2003 and 2004.
>
>>I am just stating amateurs and the interference it MAY pose to their HOBBY
>>is NOT ANY REASON(S) to be given ANY consideration what-so-ever, it is not
>>the majority--the greatest good for the greatest number...
>
> The "majority users" of HF may NOT be just radio amateurs.
>
> Aeronautical Radio Inc., ARINC, contracts to do HF comms with
> air carriers on long international routes. Those sites CAN
> be interfered with, not a good thing with the "heavies" (747
> and the like) carrying lots of passengers.
>
> The U.S. government has about 2500 HF-capable stations in the
> contiguous states, AK, and HI, plus PR and other U.S.
> territories. Those are periodically netted as a SHARES
> exercise. Most of those are equipped with ALE and can jump
> frequency as needed depending on local QRM.
>
> There's still a couple of low HF freqs for maritime emergency
> comms. Maritime radio services still use HF especially on
> deep water; they've gone to single-channel SSB for voice and
> Teleprinter Over Radio for data in place of electromechanical
> teleprinter. There's the WWV and WWVH time-frequency standard
> stations; not everyone uses (or can get) the 60 KHz WWVB
> signal; still useful for medium-accuracy metrology.
>
> The "low-VHF" band for PLMRS (Private Land Mobile Radio
> Service) includes freqs in high-HF as well as from 30 to 50
> MHz. Lots and lots of those still working in USA.
>

> "SW BC" can kiss some of their audience goombye wherever a
> BPL is running since it will effectively mask reception of
> both foreign and domestic stations. There are more SW BC
> bands than there are ham bands on HF.
>
> The "occupiers" of HF can be found at the NIST site as
> reports and documents, going back two decades if you like
> that sort of thing. They and the NTIA work together to
> try future planning for the EM spectrum; FCC cooperates
> by using that information for decision-making on specific
> radio services. FCC OET (Office of Engineering and
> Technology) concentrates on Mass Media radio services
> (broadcasting) for BC standards, separation of stations
> by locale, and useful info on BC antennas.
>
> In Yurp there's similar but their "BPL" (they use another
> acronym) is older. The first system was a test in Norway.
> Lots of info on the web for that, just not concentrated
> neatly as for the USA. Japan has done some trials and was
> NOT happy with the results.
>
> Ackshully, the electric power transmission people have been
> using a BPL-predecessor longer for telemetry and control of
> the power lines they are controlling. Slower-speed stuff
> which may be what you've seen. Hasn't been a bed of roses
> for them, either, according to some of the Commentors in
> the FCC ECFS on Access BPL, a couple of those being P.E.s
> who were involved in that "pre-BPL" work. Electric power
> folks have the advantage that few other folk live close to
> the Kilo- and Mega-Volt electric power transmission lines;
> them MVe lines be ten kinds of noisy anyway.
>
> Access BPL systems in Market Testing have been using the
> "medium" (around 4 KV) distribution lines. Those are the
> ones that connect to transformer primaries (such as on
> utility poles) so that the secondaries can supply 230/115
> Volt drops to individual subscribers. Some kind of couplers
> manage to get the KV line data to the drop lines for
> residential broadband service (two-way) with most systems
> but at least one uses a WiFax-kind of coupler to get to an
> individual residence. That sort-of isolates the data line
> from the KV. WiFax is a low end of microwaves or high UHF.
>
> I would presume that the data rate of these BPL providers
> is similar to the broadband data supplied through wideband
> cable such as TV cable service. TV allows HF to 50 MHz for
> broadband data downstream since it doesn't interfere with
> present-day TV channel 2; that's a 40+ MHz wide path for
> fairly-good-rate data. TV itself uses about 1 GHz bandwidth
> (give or take) for analog TV; larger for digital TV through

> fiber optic main distribution (analog for the drops).
>
> "Discontinuities" in transmission lines (for RF, data, etc.)
> are the culprit for high VSWR and thus reflected power
> which winds up spritzing out into space (around the lines).
> Discontinuities come from everything...jump to a different
> characteristic impedance, changes in conductor wires,
> weird loopy jumpers, pole-mounted circuit breakers. The
> electric power lines were NEVER characterized as RF or
> DATA transmission lines...ONLY for 60 Hz AC, never higher
> in frequency.
>
> rfi emi
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1129. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits talks out of his ignorant fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 05:31:28 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050810053128.E537417233@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <wogers.hairy.head.covering@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whines and talks out of
his fat ass:

Saggytits is more interested in Roger's hairy
dickhead.....quite a change from the little boys he usually
molests.

> On Tue, 9 Aug 2005 22:26:52 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger
Swaggart wrote:

Hey Saggytits, how's El Sobrante, California these days? Isn't
that where your "private isp" is?

> : To make it appear to sound like something it wasn't intended
to
> : do.
> :
> : He quotes 1 Corinthians 11:14, but fails to mention (as usual
> : his sloppy, biased "research" is like him, flawed) that
Chapter
>
> Here's a fuller quo (more like "here's more Saggytits Lee
bullshit")

That's the same verse I was referencing, DUMBASS. Learn to read,
kook.
All one has to do is push your buttons and you are so easy.

> That's not hard to understand at all

It must be, since you took the verse that follows out of
context. And no matter haow many times you say it, I'm still not
Roger, Saggytits the NostraDUMBASS! Now go take text out of
context like a good little Nazi, fake Xtian. The chapter is
still discussing what is worn (or not worn) during worship, not
at any other time.

> Also, the original (More lies flushed)

{original text restored, not some made up bullshit Saggytits
likes to do, as he did with his UN "International Radio
license".)

but fails to mention (as usual his sloppy, biased "research" is
like him, flawed) that Chapter 11 deals with the Covering for

the head at worship. They have several verses discussing what should not be worn during worship services, probably referencing those rags they wear on their head in the desert (like they do today). His taking the verse out of context shows how stupid he really is and like all fake xtains, wants to use it for something it was not originally intended. The Hebrews, like other Semitic people, regarded LONG HAIR on HEAD and chin as a distinctive MARK OF MANLINESS. Absalom's beauty was attributed to the luxurious growth of his hair (2 Samuel 14:25, 26). This symbol of pride and beauty was shaven as a token of mourning. Non cutting of the hair was part of the vow of the Nazarites (Judges 16:17)Black hair was especially prized (Cant. 5:11). The long hair of men, especially in New Testament times was gererally exceeded in length only by that of women. So looks like they did indeed have long hair, but not in the case of the Egyptians or the Romans.
(Source: Holy Bible, King James version)
{end restore}

> So it is likely

Prove it, kook boy. It's even more likely that Jesus, his leader had long hair, since he was born in Bethlehem. And following the Hebrew tradition of long hair and beard as a mark of manliness. (Unlike you, which you really should go get the rest of your sex change operation so you can be a true woman)

But you never back up what you say, do you, Saggy?

> projection snipped)
> We, on the other hand, know that it only smells that way.

Projecting again, Saggytits, since you never bathe? How would *you* know how another man's hair smells like? Some sort of freudian slip, or are you like Marky, Brian, bisexual? Sounds like you are jealous since your hairline (guffaw! what hairline?) is almost at the back of your scrawny neck.

>
> (Image restored after Woger had it removed. Not Woger, eh?)

Wrong again, Saggytits. But you do stay consistent in being wrong.

# 1130. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 05:50:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.05.50.26.338893@gmail.com>
Source record: 115581

Kelly:

"Freebander mentality?" Hmmm, I have always taken keen interest in the unique, rare, unknown and unconventional. But, I imagine you might just be correct, and maybe I got all those traffic tickets, when I was younger, because of a "freebander mentality" (or, freethinker mentality--might have just been because of the foolishness of youth!) I am just wondering if I will have to consult a shrink or Sylvia Browne if I have to seek professional help on "freebander mentality?"

Unless I am gravely mistaken, there are others running compaction/encryption out there (I don't know what to do with those digital packets, I can't read them, by any algorithms I know!), must have the "radio police" on a constant run. Or, maybe they are going to get the "compacting encrypters" just after they finish rounding up all the illegal cb'ers and freebanders--if so, probably have at least another month before anyone has to worry.

Actually, you may not hear it that often, I suspect mainly because it must be all homebrew equip and helps to know a programming language, and ability to read schematics and run a soldering iron. But, it is there. Of course you can find it on the Ghz's--but it is not all that rare on VHF. Don't believe I have ever heard it on HF... could have a lot to do with ages you find on those freqs too...

Like I say, I think that law looks a bit ridiculous at this day and age... but then, in some areas there is a lot of that going around, ridiculous chit.

John

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 21:59:39 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Kelly:
>>
>> There has been no challenge of data encryption on amateur bands "by
>> american citizens" that I am aware of...
>>
>> It is quite obvious that data encryption on the internet is both legal and
>> in heavy use. How you can allow one medium and prosecute the same
>> activity on another is insane (the law was constructed for amateur radio
>> before they knew the internet would be developed, and it impossible to
>> stop.)
>

> Typical freebanders mindset.
>
>> Indeed, I can place a digital voice encryption device on my phone
>> and a friends and hold private conversations (corporations used to do
>> this all the time--BEFORE the internet--now they use the internet), I can
>> also do it on a cell phone (as far as I have been able to determine it is
>> not a "crime.")
>>
>> I am NOT a lawyer, but common sense says that "crime" would be hard to
>> prosecute to get a conviction... also, I'd say there are major
>> constitutional grounds the gov't would have to defeat to convict. I think
>> stand alone encryption is NOT a crime... treason, etc is...
>
> Fine "John": Toss some encrypted traffic into the ham bands and get to
> meet Riley the lawyer who will explain it all to you in excruciating
> detail as he slaps you with an NAL and cancels yer ticket. Not that you
> have a ham license of course.
>
>
>> Me, I have ignored and encrypted in the past, I continue to do so, but
>> only if it makes sense. Encryption does nothing to speed transmission, it
>> only places an increased burden on it. However, a data compaction
>> algorithm can be choose which naturally encrypts as a secondary effect to
>> compaction, however, not even close to PGP, etc encryption methods.
>> Someday there may come a court case to test if this is a "crime" which can
>> be successfully prosecuted... I feel I have a right to private
>> conversations, no matter what the medium is the communication takes place
>> on. But then, I believe in inalienable rights... one day a repressive,
>> evil gov't may be able to deprive me of those rights... not today...
>>
>> This is simply a matter for each and every individual to decide for
>> themselves, they can act as they so choose...
>
> Anarchy and black helicopters. Got it. 10-4 on that Good Buddy?
>
>>
>> John
>
> w3rv

# 1131. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits talks out of his ignorant fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 08:59:07 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <eda7e966444ddc0c3648536f21fddf04@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 115582
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <wogers.hairy.head.covering@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whines and talks out of
his fat ass:
>
> On Tue, 9 Aug 2005 22:26:52 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger
Swaggart wrote:

Hey Saggytits, how's El Sobrante, California these days?

> : To make it appear to sound like something it wasn't intended
to
> : do.
> :
> : He quotes 1 Corinthians 11:14, but fails to mention (as usual
> : his sloppy, biased "research" is like him, flawed) that
Chapter
>
> Here's a fuller quo (more like "here's more Saggytits Lee
bullshit")

That's the same verse I was referencing, DUMBASS. Learn to read,
kook.
All one has to do is push your buttons and you are so easy.

> That's not hard to understand at all

It must be, since you took the verse that follows out of
context. And no matter haow many times you say it, I'm still not
Roger, Saggytits the NostraDUMBASS! Now go take text out of
context like a good little Nazi, fake Xtian. The chapter is
still discussing what is worn (or not worn) during worship, not
at any other time.

> Also, the original (More lies flushed)

{original text restored, not some made up bullshit Saggytits
likes to do, as he did with his UN "International Radio
license".)

but fails to mention (as usual his sloppy, biased "research" is
like him, flawed) that Chapter 11 deals with the Covering for
the head at worship. They have several verses discussing what
should not be worn during worship services, probably referencing
those rags they wear on their head in the desert (like they do
today). His taking the verse out of context shows how stupid he
really is and like all fake xtains, wants to use it for

something it was not originally intended. The Hebrews, like other Semitic people, regarded LONG HAIR on HEAD and chin as a distinctive MARK OF MANLINESS. Absalom's beauty was attributed to the luxurious growth of his hair (2 Samuel 14:25, 26). This symbol of pride and beauty was shaven as a token of mourning. Non cutting of the hair was part of the vow of the Nazarites (Judges 16:17)Black hair was especially prized (Cant. 5:11). The long hair of men, especially in New Testament times was gererally exceeded in length only by that of women. So looks like they did indeed have long hair, but not in the case of the Egyptians or the Romans.
(Source: Holy Bible, King James version)
{end restore}

> So it is likely

Prove it, kook boy. It's even more likely that Jesus, his leader had long hair, since he was born in Bethlehem. And following the Hebrew tradition of long hair and beard as a mark of manliness. (Unlike you, which you really should go get the rest of your sex change operation so you can be a true woman)

But you never back up what you say, do you, Saggy?

> projection snipped)
> We, on the other hand, know that it only smells that way.

Projecting again, Saggytits, since you never bathe? How would *you* know how another man's hair smells like? Some sort of freudian slip, or are you like Marky, Brian, bisexual? Sounds like you are jealous since your hairline (guffaw! what hairline?) is almost at the back of your scrawny neck.

>
> (Image restored after Woger had it removed. Not Woger, eh?)

Wrong again, Saggytits. But you do stay consistent in being wrong.

# 1132. Re: What a surprise! Woger removes his photo a second time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 10:07:08 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.swaggart.bible.scholar@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 115583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 07:31:28 +0200 (CEST), <Secwet Woger Swaggart> wrote:
> >
> > (Image restored after Woger had it removed. Not Woger, eh?)
>
> Wrong again, Saggytits. But you do stay consistent in being
> wrong.
>

Original link (now dead, thanks to Woger's fear) restored to this
followup:

> http://img357.imageshack.us/img357/7599/ab8mq08033of.jpg

But, of course, we want to see not-Woger and his Yaesu wall chart, so
the image of not-Woger has once again been restored to the net and can
be found (until Woger has it removed again) at

http://img358.imageshack.us/img358/3138/ab8mq08033am.jpg

The fact that the image disappears only after it is mentioned here is
highly significant.

The image is also hosted elsewhere where it can't be removed, but the
Image Shack location is more fun to quote. Check with
images.google.com for the other locations.

And remember that the qoute of the week is: "If a man have long hair
it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through
somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just
to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" --
AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2ebf@posting.google.com>

"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called
here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the
black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one
to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id
<737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>

"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You
ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet

Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>, Secwet Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim: "If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and 'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

# 1133. Re: What a surprise! Lardass sockpuppets and felches!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:12:46 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <8J4KZQ9A38574.5505324074@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddbcr9$kt5$0@pita.alt.net>
"Binary" <GrannyGal@no> wrote:
>
>
> If that photo is 'not' Roger as so claimed, why then is he
going to the
> effort of having it removed?

Wrong, stupid. You are as fucking stupid as Lloyd Davies If not
Lloydie in one of his many sockpuppets..

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

# 1134. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:37:40 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ddcou4$6es$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 115585

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1123648804.590620.207760
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> Alun, it's through the Search buttons on the Home page. But, you
> can go direct via:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs
>
> That gets you to the ECFS main page. On the left of that you will
> see several links which will supply you with the data page where
> you must fill in the required items...when that is done you have a
> choice of uploading a prepared file (WordPerfect, MS Word, or PDF)
> or to make your comment on-line (watch line length, no over-run or
> it will look funny). I use WordPerfect and convert it to PDF with
> Acrobat 4 (full version) and upload that.
>
> If you want a copy of FCC 05-143, the document spelling out what
> the NPRM is, it is the very first document in the docket, entered
> on 15 July 2005.
>
> You will get a data-begin page with every choice of links from the
> main ECFS page. To see all of the Docket entries, just enter
> 05-235 in the upper left corner box and press Return/Enter. That
> yields a list of EVERY entry under that docket. You can get to
> an individual day date entry by filling in the numeric date fields
> in the middle of the data-begin page...or an individual's entry
> if you know the exact spelling of their name used on their entry.
>
> had fun
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

I have now entered my comment in favour of the NPRM. Of the last 50 comments, 24 are in favour, 17 against, and 9 want to keep a code test for the Extra only. I suppose that does mean that a slim majority (of the last 50) want to keep a code test for the Extra but not the General, but 48% want the code test eliminated. Unfortunately I don't have time to read all 1,319 comments!

# 1135. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:39:24 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123673964.740860.223790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115586

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> >>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> >>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> >>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> >>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> >>it to you.
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
> Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
DXers.

# 1136. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:39:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123673978.708676.184960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115587

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > it to you.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >
> > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
>
> Steve, thanks for answering for David.

I didn't answer for Dave.

I asked YOU seperate questions...None of which, I see, that you
answered.

> So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> band.<<<

Here's MY questions for you again, all of which will, if you ahve
the guts to answer them honestly, will answer YOUR questions:

QUOTE

An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur

you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of licensure?

For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY

domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of

his/her license?

Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

> Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?

He doesn't "demean" anyone.

YOU have MADE A POINT of trying to pass of as legitimate your Somalia "operation"...It was nothing of the sort. And that you keep getting your nose rubbed in yourfoolheartiness is do SOLELY to YOUR insistence on this mistruth about Somalia.

You try to "diss" Dave because he IS a "World Renowned DXer", and has far better insight to those matters than either you or I.

Now...How about those questions? Gonna ante up, or is this yet one MORE example of how Brian P Burke just makes crap up and expects people to take it as fact when indeed facts to the CONTRARY are evident?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1137. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:44:10 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123674250.204562.14250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115588

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Well, well, well.....................
>
> Well, well, well, indeed!
>
> For the first time in my memory Steve makes a comment to FCC on a new NPRM, then
> pounds his chest in pride and prematurely chides others for not following his
> lead.
>
> Well, well, well!
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

He's an idiot.

# 1138. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:44:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123674261.045082.20550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115589

an_old_friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > it to you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > >
> > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> >
> > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> >
> > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > band.<<<
> >
> > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
>
> As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> the history

Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.

Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something
"wrong" when he indeed didn't.

Brain has zero facts here, and zero justification in any article
of radio regulation to back him up...He's running his mouth off on this
issue for the sole purpose of hiding his own failings as a "DXer".

> nah will not happen stevie don't need to follow rules at least not in
> stevies mind

Hey Markie...I got something here that neither you or Brain
have...

The facts.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1139. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:46:42 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123674402.857966.105980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115590

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>and I don't know Father
> >>>>>>Hams.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it was French Hams
> >>>>>that I referred to.
> >>>>
> >>>>It isn't what you wrote.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>It's what you did.
> >>
> >>Nope. I've "done" no French hams.
> >
> > They "did" you.
> >
> First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> believe that some French hams did me.
> >
> > And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
> >
> You really need to take a course in writing.
> >
> Dave K8MN

You merely wish to misunderstand. Same old Dave.

## 1140. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:47:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123674473.812050.48970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115591

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > NASA could put several craft into orbit with the gas you've
> >expelled here, Lennie.
> >
> > Putz.
>
>
> 4 Q

I'd suggest you refer that solicitation to Markie...

He's into that kinda thing, though I doubt guys your age are on
his list of "things to do..."

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1141. An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:50:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123674629.217716.79400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115592

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> > meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> > believe that some French hams did me.
> >
> > > And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
> >
> > You really need to take a course in writing.
>
> You merely wish to misunderstand. Same old Dave.

I read both sides.

I think you merely wish to deceive...As if THAT were new...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1142. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 11:53:16 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123674796.696028.31260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115593

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > > it to you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > > > >
> > > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > > >
> > > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> > >
> > > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > > band.<<<
> > >
> > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
> >
> > As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> > follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> > the history
>
> Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.
>
> Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something
> "wrong" when he indeed didn't.

Poor amateur practice.

> Brain has zero facts here, and zero justification in any article
> of radio regulation to back him up...He's running his mouth off on this
> issue for the sole purpose of hiding his own failings as a "DXer".

Riley says that poor amateur practice is enforceable. Luckily, David
Heil was out of the country where Riley's opinions don't apply. David
was operating in a "lesser" developed country where infractions are
unlikely to be dealt with.

> > nah will not happen stevie don't need to follow rules at least not in
> > stevies mind
>
> Hey Markie...I got something here that neither you or Brain
> have...
>
> The facts.

As you see them. Hi!

## 1143. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 12:23:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123676626.388545.134170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115594

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > The shuttle was supposed to take cargo to Geostationary orbit instaed
> > > NASA settled for LEO
> >
> > And who says it can't?
>
> NASA

Where?

> > The Shuttle does (and today proved) that is can and does operate
> > in the manner designed for...
>
> nope not even close it misses the mark by a mere 20,000 miles or there
> abouts

When/where has there been a geostationary mission that required
the Shuttle?

> > And unless I missed something, this mission flew some part of
> > every profile that the Shuttle was envisoned doing.
>
> only the first 200 miles of the 23,000 some part indeed

That was the ONLY mission for the Shuttle, Markie?

I don't think so.

> > > > That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
> > > > commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?
> > >
> > > as normal off target and not related
> >
> > Sure it's "on target" and absolutely related.
>
> Not really and it is unrelated

Absolutely ontarget and absolutely related.

There has hardly been a news item on the Internet in the last 6
months mentioning the Shuttle that didn't mention the COlumbia and
Challenger disasters.

I'll take those as more-than-adequate evidence that the comments
were germane.

> that Men died is bad of course but that men died isn't the reason the
> shuttle is a failure, although it was supposed ot bring the men back
> alive

I am sure you meant men AND women.

The Shuttle wasn't the problem. BOTH disasters were directly
related to the boosters or external fuel tank.

> > YOU suggested, without explaining why, that the Shuttle was a
> > failure.
>
> I certainly explained why
>
> It can't reach the orbits it was designed for
>
> that is failure

The Shuttle's flown 113 missions as of yesterday.

This is HARDLY failure.

> > People have died on Shuttle missions. That is a fact. The fact
> > that people HAVE died on Shuttle missions IS a popular argument for the
> > program's termination by those who think that "space travel" ought to
> > be like flying on the Starship Enterprise of TV fame.
>
> so what?
>
> again off target and ilrelavant

What's "ilrelavant"...?!?!

Of course it's RELEVANT, Markie. TV fantasy shapes people's
ideations of what REAL life should be.

For one example...You'd not beleive the number of people (some of
them very educated persons) who come to my ER thinking they are going
to be in-and-out in an hour. Why? Becasue that's the length of time
an episode of "E.R." takes to kix things...

> > > > E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> > > > be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
> > > > in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.
> > >
> > > wrong again Stevie, your premise that learning from something means the
> > > something was a sucess
> >
> > Oh?
> >
> > It seems to be an adequate-enough justification for every scientist
> > since the dawn of time. What's your rationale for stating otherwise?
>
> failure to meet it goals

"A" goal out of dozens...?!?!

BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
!

You have some very unique perspective out of what determines
"failure", Markie...

Of course you've made a life-long habit out of manufacturing
failure in order to have excuses for non-success, haven't you?

> that we have learned something from thsi failure is a good thing but it
> is still a failure
>
> and you realy don't undersatnd science stavie you just don't

"And"

"really"

"understand"

"Stevie"

Sure I understand it.

And I understand you.

You think you've found ONE issue that you can dig into and hold on
to, yet it's fleeting already. That the Shuttle program has had
"failures" is evident, but the Shuttle program overall is NOT a
failure.

> > > Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
> > > regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> > > learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
> >
> > I bet not.
>
> you still owe me 500$ from your last bet

"$500"

Nope. Not even close, Markie. You actually have to have some
"proof" in order to get that...

You're a looooooooooooooooooooooooong way away from that.

> > We've learned more about orbital dynamics and extra vehicular
> > working than we would have in a million "deep tank" simulations.
>
> which has nothing to do with anything under discusion

?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?

Sure it is!

YOU claim the Shuttle is a failure based upon having never gone to
geostationary orbit.

I say you're bonkers because the Shuttle has MORE than fulfilled
it's rolls in supporting scientific missions, satellite repair, and
supporting both the MIR and ISS programs.

> > > > > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > > > > > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > > > > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > > > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> > > > > > preforming the missions promised
> > > > >
> > > > > The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.
> > > >
> > > the Shuttle can't fufill the mission it was designed for
> > >
> > It can't fulfill what it's already surpassed, Mark?
> >
> It can't deviler what it promised

Sure it has "deviler(ed)"...And more...

> BTW Id call the last mission f Chalenger and Colombia failures

I didn't say there weren't failure IN the program.

I said the Shuttle PROGRAM is not a failure.

And as I have CORRECTLY pointed out before, the catastrophies were
both due to problems with the external fuel tank/boosters.

> > > the Shuttle has falied utterly in being able to try it designed for
> > > mission
> > >
> > Oh?
> >
> yep

You're making assertions that reknowned scientists say otherwise,
Markie.

> > "utterly"...?!?!
> >
> only come 20,000 out of 23,000 miles short
> >
> I call that utterly

I call your assertion utterly rediculous.

> > I take the word "utterly" to mean a nearly complete failure of all
> > designed mission parameters.
> >

> well a major mission was satelite repair, geo sat repair, It has never
> manged that

Uh...Hubble's not geostationary, but YOU call that a failue?

And what fo the multiple launches FROM Shuttls and satellites
recovered from and returned to orbit by the shuttle?

> it has never manged to reach teh desred orbit of its planers

Still focusing on ONE parameter, Markie...Still misses your mark
of "utter"

> > The Challenger and Columbia disaters notwithstanding, I'd say YOUR
> > suggestion is unsubstantiated at many, MANY levels.
>
> No It is on the record from NASA, they defined the mission mid ay
> though building the shuttles to a new and lessor mission set

Soooooooooooo! Even before it flew the mission had ALREADY been
re-taasked, so BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION the Shuttle's flying now were NOT
designed for geostationary flight.

> The Shuttle has always failed to met it original mission parameters

Nope.

It's met and exceeded almost evry one.

A looooooooooooooooong way from YOUR assertion of "utter" failure.

> > > The Shuttle is the best real world example of Dumbing down expectations
> >
> > Sure glad we have you to counter lots of REAL scientists who have
> > said otherwise, Mark!
>
> not really
>
> and it is the engineers that say so

Which engineers?

Names? URL's to discussions on the issue please?

> > > > Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
> > > > to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
> > > > on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
> > > > deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.
> > > >
> > > > > that it has some use is of course true
> > > >
> > > > That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
> > > > is even more true.
> > >
> > > milstone yea it is that

> > >
> > > >
> > > > That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then
> > > > what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> > > > "re-engineered" for better performance?
> > >
> > > that reengineering is not even planned for the shuttle shows it failure
> >
> > What do you mean that there's no re-engineering planned?
> >
> > They just got done with 2 years of it...
>
> not really and nothing on the board to suceed the shuttle in five years
>
> they have tried to cover the failures and cobbled together nature of
> the beast

What's getting "cobbled together" here is your story. You're
trying to design it as you're laying bricks...

> > > > Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still
> > > > using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.
> > >
> > > it is the plain and simple truth
> >
> > Nope. What it is is a bit of failed argument on your part.
>
> No just a case of you eading Press releases rather than looking at the
> facts, but nothing new there

Reading press releases ARE part of the facts...

> > You need to be reading something other than "Pagan Monthly", Mark.
>
> more bashing

Nope.

More evidence that your story holds slightly less water than
toilet paper

> > > > > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > > > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > > > > crew.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > > > > > refusual to listen to anybody else
> > > > >
> > > > > What, Mark?
> > > > >

> > > > As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> > > > proven itself 100% error free?
> > > >
> > > > I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> > > > Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> > > > leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read
> > > > that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.
> > > >
> > > > And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design,
> > > > engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor
> > > > vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in
> > > > what...1992?
> > > >
> > > > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > > > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > > > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > > > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > > > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> > > > >
> > > > > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > > > > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> > > > > sheild
> > > >
> > > > What "fibbing", Mark?
> > >
> > > Jim said the Chalenger was destroyed by a heat shiled related problem
> >
> > No he didn't.
> >
> >
> cutinng more of stevie out of context rating

Putting what I said back in so Markie can face his foolishness one
more time:

ONCE MORE (AGAIN) FOR MARK'S EDIFICATION:

> > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.

END QUOTE.

Now...WHERE did Jim say Challenger's probelm was a heat shield
issue?

(Now patiently awating Mark to acknowledge he mis-read Jim's post
where he DID say that COLUMBIA'S problem was due to exposing the heat
shield to a foreign object strike)

END QUOTE

So, Mark...

You going to answer this, or can I just go ahead and start
appending it to every post of yours where YOU accuse others of
"evasion"...?!?!?

> > > > And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> > > > compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> > > > to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> > > > different outcome.
> > > >
> > > > > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > > > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > > > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > > > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > > > > > lessons learned from its problems...
> > > > >
> > > > > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
> > > >
> > > > Oh?
> > > >
> > > > And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?
> > >
> > > experence sure I got it I have folowed the Space program as far back as
> > > I can remember
> >
> > I remember it back to BEFORE the first Mercury shots went up. I
> > got to follow each Mercury, Gemini and Apollo misison, INCLUDING
> > Apollo-Soyuz. That includes the Skylab missions.
>
> so?
>
> you are older than I we all knew that

Yep.

I am also more well versed in the goals and milestones of the
progam, obviously, not that it took much to do.

> > > We started in 60 with the Goal of getting to the moon and we did then
> > > we started to build a space born truck that was supposed to reach GEO
> > > stationary orbit and be able to turn around in 2 weeks
> > >
> > > we settled for LEO and months of turnaround
> >
> > We haven't "settled" for anything yet.
>
> we sure did

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1144. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 12:28:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123676935.960159.108630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115595

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > > > it to you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > > > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > > > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> > > > >
> > > > > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > > > > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > > > > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > > > > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > > > > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > > > > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > > > > band.<<<
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > > > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
> > > >
> > > > As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> > > > follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> > > > the history
> > >
> > > Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.
> > >
> > > Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something

> > "wrong" when he indeed didn't.
>
> Poor amateur practice.

Where?

These questions will help YOU understand why Dave was not at fault
or even in violation of ANY regulation (assuming your wifey let's you
have enough testosterone to be a man long enough to answer them...)

QUOTE:

An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur

you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
licensure?

For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY

domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of

his/her license?

Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

UNQUOTE

> > Brain has zero facts here, and zero justification in any article
> > of radio regulation to back him up...He's running his mouth off on this
> > issue for the sole purpose of hiding his own failings as a "DXer".
>
> Riley says that poor amateur practice is enforceable. Luckily, David
> Heil was out of the country where Riley's opinions don't apply. David
> was operating in a "lesser" developed country where infractions are
> unlikely to be dealt with.
>
> > > nah will not happen stevie don't need to follow rules at least not in
> > > stevies mind
> >
> > Hey Markie...I got something here that neither you or Brain
> > have...
> >
> > The facts.
>
> As you see them. Hi!

Nope.

As they are.

QUOTE

An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur

you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of licensure?

For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY

domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of

his/her license?

Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

UNQUOTE

That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.

Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1145. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 12:39:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123677557.133632.17930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115596

b.b. wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > Well, well, well.....................
> >
> > Well, well, well, indeed!
> >
> > For the first time in my memory Steve makes a comment to FCC on a new NPRM, then
> > pounds his chest in pride and prematurely chides others for not following his
> > lead.
> >
> > Well, well, well!

Hans hasn't been paying attention.

> He's an idiot.

Oh, gee, Brain, after all we've meant to each other, you want to
go and be "that way"...

Of course pathological liars such as yourself have a need to hide
behind others...And you DO have a lot to hide...

As for the comments...It's not as if there hasn't been a seven
year plus history of this NG IRT the Code test, Hans...One might draw
the conclusion that this group had a predetermined interest in the
subject and had make decisions on what to say.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1146. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:03:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123679010.508039.144060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115597

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > Well, well, well.....................
> > >
> > > Well, well, well, indeed!
> > >
> > > For the first time in my memory Steve makes a comment to FCC on a new NPRM, then
> > > pounds his chest in pride and prematurely chides others for not following his
> > > lead.
> > >
> > > Well, well, well!
>
> Hans hasn't been paying attention.

well guess that is another admition that you will of course deny making

>
> > He's an idiot.
>
> Oh, gee, Brain, after all we've meant to each other, you want to
> go and be "that way"...
>
> Of course pathological liars such as yourself have a need to hide
> behind others...And you DO have a lot to hide...
>
> As for the comments...It's not as if there hasn't been a seven
> year plus history of this NG IRT the Code test, Hans...One might draw
> the conclusion that this group had a predetermined interest in the
> subject and had make decisions on what to say.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1147. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:07:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123679253.757317.39920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115598

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > > it to you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > > > >
> > > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > > >
> > > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> > >
> > > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > > band.<<<
> > >
> > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
> >
> > As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> > follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> > the history
>
> Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.

Not really
>

> Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something
> "wrong" when he indeed didn't.

Indeed Dave has done something wrong, in willfully aiding other in
breaking the rules he has comited a wrong or two

>
> Brain has zero facts here, and zero justification in any article

sound like enough facts, and the justifaction is not in radio regs but
it Laws concern conspricy and assesory in the comission of an
infraction

> of radio regulation to back him up...He's running his mouth off on this
> issue for the sole purpose of hiding his own failings as a "DXer".

no evidence of that
>
> > nah will not happen stevie don't need to follow rules at least not in
> > stevies mind
>
> Hey Markie...I got something here that neither you or Brain
> have...
>
> The facts.

nope just your distortions stevie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1148. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:08:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123679306.770874.324810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115500

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> > > meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> > > believe that some French hams did me.
> > >
> > > > And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
> > >
> > > You really need to take a course in writing.
> >
> > You merely wish to misunderstand. Same old Dave.
>
> I read both sides.
>
> I think you merely wish to deceive...As if THAT were new...

there is likely another lie Stevie a claim that you are THINKING

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1149. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits contines to whine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:09:16 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <KCX5QHZ238574.3814351852@anonymous>
Source record: 115599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <woger.swaggart.bible.scholar@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 07:31:28 +0200 (CEST), <Secwet Woger
Swaggart> wrote:

So did you get those much needed hair plugs yet, chromedome? Or
the Rogaine?

> Original link

Wrong again, dumbass!

>
> > http://img357.imageshack.us/img357/7599/ab8mq08033of.jpg
>
> But, of course, we want to see not-Woger and his Yaesu wall
chart

Hmm, Saggytits, exactly how many "Yaesu wall charts could there
be in the U.S., since many people have Yeasu radios and get the
chart when they purchase one.

> The fact that the image disappears only after it is mentioned
here is
> highly significant.

Sure it is, stupid. The fact that you beat your kids with coax
jumpers KKKevin Strom, is quite significant, too.

> The image is also hosted elsewhere where it can't be removed

Mheh. You are stupid, aren't you?

Say Saggytits, did 300 pound Brenda Ann ever give you that
blowjob for "defending" her?

> images.google.com for the other locations.
>
> And remember that the qoute of the week is:

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

Saggytits Lee fucks up again and thinks Roger Wiseman has "long
hair" in
<st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"Long hair like yours"

--
Stagger Lee:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1150. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:11:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123679510.962062.204690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115501

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > > > > it to you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > > > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > > > > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > > > > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > > > > > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > > > > > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > > > > > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > > > > > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > > > > > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > > > > > band.<<<
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > > > > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
> > > > >
> > > > > As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> > > > > follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> > > > > the history
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.
> > > >

> > > Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something
> > > "wrong" when he indeed didn't.
> >
> > Poor amateur practice.
>
> Where?

being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
practice
>
cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
you know
>
> That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
>
> Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?

nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1151. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:33:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KmnKe.3626$Wi6.2053@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115502

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> One might draw the conclusion that this group had
> a predetermined interest in the subject and had make
> decisions on what to say.

One might, or then again, one might not.

Since the Docket responds to 18 different petitions and runs to 30 pages in length with over 200 footnote references, one might take the time to thoughtfully review the FCC discussion in an unhurried (but timely) fashion.

Having done that, my comments have been submitted to FCC.

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1152. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:36:47 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <a59af765d34457368f10db61cd7b427e@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 115503
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <secwet.woger.gwoes.a.pinocchio.nose@houston-
hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

And any "pinocchio" anybody would "grow" Saggytits Lee is right
there on his/it's knees sucking it off.

> On Mon, 08 Aug 2005 06:46:46 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet
Hairy Woger> wrote:

Roger isn't hairy, DUMBASS...looks like you fucked up again.

> : That still doesn't change the fact Roger has short hair,
dumbass!
> :
>
> Exhibit <Saggytits' lies flushed>

Poor Saggytits, almost as fucking stupid as Lardass Davies.
Still no proof that it is indeed Roger Wiseman, you incredibly
stupid dumbass.

Just because you and idiots like you say it is, doesn't make it
so.

> A small version

Let's leave discussions about your small genitalias out of this,
eh, Saggytits?

And the excuse that he had long hair at the time of the photo
was taken is still unsubstantiated.
Looks like you lose as usual.....

>(More of Saggytits' whining)

Hey Saggytits, get that Rogaine that you so desperately need
yet? Paul was a faggot just like Jesus.....who got what he
deserved on the cross.
AS do all fake xtains like you......

1936 ARLINGTON AVE
COLUMBUS, OH 43212-1038
614-488-7752
--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:

<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:

Idiot-at-Large
Village Idiot
Perpetual Moron

You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

--
Stagger Lee:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

# 1153. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits talks out of his ignorant fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:40:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <8dee4e852a0a50deacef88acb0825d95@dizum.com>
Source record: 115504
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <wogers.hairy.head.covering@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whines and talks out of
his fat ass:

Saggytits is more interested in Roger's hairy
dickhead.....quite a change from the little boys he usually
molests.

> On Tue, 9 Aug 2005 22:26:52 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger
Swaggart wrote:

Hey Saggytits, how's El Sobrante, California these days? Isn't
that where your "private isp" is?

> : To make it appear to sound like something it wasn't intended
to
> : do.
> :
> : He quotes 1 Corinthians 11:14, but fails to mention (as usual
> : his sloppy, biased "research" is like him, flawed) that
Chapter
>
> Here's a fuller quo (more like "here's more Saggytits Lee
bullshit")

That's the same verse I was referencing, DUMBASS. Learn to read,
kook.
All one has to do is push your buttons and you are so easy.

> That's not hard to understand at all

It must be, since you took the verse that follows out of
context. And no matter haow many times you say it, I'm still not
Roger, Saggytits the NostraDUMBASS! Now go take text out of
context like a good little Nazi, fake Xtian. The chapter is
still discussing what is worn (or not worn) during worship, not
at any other time.

> Also, the original (More lies flushed)

{original text restored, not some made up bullshit Saggytits
likes to do, as he did with his UN "International Radio
license".)

but fails to mention (as usual his sloppy, biased "research" is
like him, flawed) that Chapter 11 deals with the Covering for

the head at worship. They have several verses discussing what should not be worn during worship services, probably referencing those rags they wear on their head in the desert (like they do today). His taking the verse out of context shows how stupid he really is and like all fake xtains, wants to use it for something it was not originally intended. The Hebrews, like other Semitic people, regarded LONG HAIR on HEAD and chin as a distinctive MARK OF MANLINESS. Absalom's beauty was attributed to the luxurious growth of his hair (2 Samuel 14:25, 26). This symbol of pride and beauty was shaven as a token of mourning. Non cutting of the hair was part of the vow of the Nazarites (Judges 16:17)Black hair was especially prized (Cant. 5:11). The long hair of men, especially in New Testament times was gererally exceeded in length only by that of women. So looks like they did indeed have long hair, but not in the case of the Egyptians or the Romans.
(Source: Holy Bible, King James version)
{end restore}

> So it is likely

Prove it, kook boy. It's even more likely that Jesus, his leader had long hair, since he was born in Bethlehem. And following the Hebrew tradition of long hair and beard as a mark of manliness. (Unlike you, which you really should go get the rest of your sex change operation so you can be a true woman)

But you never back up what you say, do you, Saggy?

> projection snipped)
> We, on the other hand, know that it only smells that way.

Projecting again, Saggytits, since you never bathe? How would *you* know how another man's hair smells like? Some sort of freudian slip, or are you like Marky, Brian, bisexual? Sounds like you are jealous since your hairline (guffaw! what hairline?) is almost at the back of your scrawny neck.

>
> (Image restored after Woger had it removed. Not Woger, eh?)

Wrong again, Saggytits. But you do stay consistent in being wrong.
--
Stagger Lee:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

# 1154. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:42:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123681323.445712.12770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115505

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > The shuttle was supposed to take cargo to Geostationary orbit instaed
> > > > NASA settled for LEO
> > >
> > > And who says it can't?
> >
> > NASA
>
> Where?

in every PR about the shuttles current capicites

>
> > > The Shuttle does (and today proved) that is can and does operate
> > > in the manner designed for...
> >
> > nope not even close it misses the mark by a mere 20,000 miles or there
> > abouts
>
> When/where has there been a geostationary mission that required
> the Shuttle?

In the 70/s when the project was funded

BTW you are changing your story in the middle of post now

a few lines ago you were dening that the shuttle could not get to GEO
orbit now you are impling it was never suposed to

>
> > > And unless I missed something, this mission flew some part of
> > > every profile that the Shuttle was envisoned doing.
> >
> > only the first 200 miles of the 23,000 some part indeed
>
> That was the ONLY mission for the Shuttle, Markie?

never said it was

>
> I don't think so.

and neither do I

>
> > > > > That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
> > > > > commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?
> > > >
> > > > as normal off target and not related
> > >
> > > Sure it's "on target" and absolutely related.
> >
> > Not really and it is unrelated
>
> Absolutely ontarget and absolutely related.

nope nothing about the loss of life is related to why the shuttle is a
failure

>
> There has hardly been a news item on the Internet in the last 6
> months mentioning the Shuttle that didn't mention the COlumbia and
> Challenger disasters.

so?

>
> I'll take those as more-than-adequate evidence that the comments
> were germane.

then you are in error of course

>
> > that Men died is bad of course but that men died isn't the reason the
> > shuttle is a failure, although it was supposed ot bring the men back
> > alive
>
> I am sure you meant men AND women.

No I meant men in one of the senses in which english uses the word

>
> The Shuttle wasn't the problem. BOTH disasters were directly
> related to the boosters or external fuel tank.

well you see how far the shuttle gets without either of them

but then in calling the shuttle a failure I am not referring to loss of
either shuttle, although these event don't exactly imporve the shuttles
scorecard of sucess verus failure

>
> > > YOU suggested, without explaining why, that the Shuttle was a
> > > failure.
> >
> > I certainly explained why
> >
> > It can't reach the orbits it was designed for
> >

> > that is failure
>
> The Shuttle's flown 113 missions as of yesterday.

so?

>
> This is HARDLY failure.

sure is

None of them to the orbits promised.

No shuttle has been turned around in the time promised

No GEO sat captured and repaired

No more polar launchs at all

No Cheap launchs

The shuttle does NOT do what it is supposed to

Does not mean it is Useless, merely unsucessfull at it
designed/promised mission

I am gald that we have found mission for the multibillion dollar
shuttle, ifwe had not it would be a failure at it original mission, but
an absolute disaster

>
> > > People have died on Shuttle missions. That is a fact. The fact
> > > that people HAVE died on Shuttle missions IS a popular argument for the
> > > program's termination by those who think that "space travel" ought to
> > > be like flying on the Starship Enterprise of TV fame.
> >
> > so what?
> >
> > again off target and ilrelavant
>
> What's "ilrelavant"...?!?!
>
> Of course it's RELEVANT, Markie. TV fantasy shapes people's
> ideations of what REAL life should be.

nope it isn't

cuting more off topic crap

> > > > > E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> > > > > be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
> > > > > in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.
> > > >
> > > > wrong again Stevie, your premise that learning from something means the
> > > > something was a sucess

> > >
> > > Oh?
> > >
> > > It seems to be an adequate-enough justification for every scientist
> > > since the dawn of time. What's your rationale for stating otherwise?
> > >
> > failure to meet it goals
>
> "A" goal out of dozens...?!?!

Orbit, turn around time, cost, realiablity,

>
> BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> !
>
> You have some very unique perspective out of what determines
> "failure", Markie...

nope you simply don't face facts

>
> Of course you've made a life-long habit out of manufacturing
> failure in order to have excuses for non-success, haven't you?

more stevie lies

>
> > that we have learned something from thsi failure is a good thing but it
> > is still a failure
> >
> > and you realy don't undersatnd science stavie you just don't
>
cuting speling cop'

> Sure I understand it.
>
> And I understand you.
>
> You think you've found ONE issue that you can dig into and hold on
> to, yet it's fleeting already. That the Shuttle program has had
> "failures" is evident, but the Shuttle program overall is NOT a
> failure.

how about 5

>
> > > > Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
> > > > regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> > > > learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
> > >
> > > I bet not.

> >
> > you still owe me 500$ from your last bet
>
> "$500"

yep

>
> Nope. Not even close, Markie. You actually have to have some
> "proof" in order to get that...

you bet that an english of my chioce would flunk my sentence and give
you an "A" you lost

>
> You're a looooooooooooooooooooooooong way away from that.
>
> > > We've learned more about orbital dynamics and extra vehicular
> > > working than we would have in a million "deep tank" simulations.
> >
> > which has nothing to do with anything under discusion
>
> ?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?
>
> Sure it is!
>
> YOU claim the Shuttle is a failure based upon having never gone to
> geostationary orbit.

and failing to prefroms it designed mission

which has nothing to do with simulations

>
> I say you're bonkers because the Shuttle has MORE than fulfilled
> it's rolls in supporting scientific missions, satellite repair, and
> supporting both the MIR and ISS programs.

nope it has not repaired a single satelite of the the type promised,
(only the hubble in leo orbit

It has not provided chaep access to space

It has manged to find usefull propose in lessor roles

>
> > > > > > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > > > > > > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > > > > > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > > > > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> > > > > > preforming the missions promised
> > > > >

> > > > > The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.
> > > >
> > > > the Shuttle can't fufill the mission it was designed for
> > >
> > > It can't fulfill what it's already surpassed, Mark?
> >
> > It can't deviler what it promised
>
> Sure it has "deviler(ed)"...And more...

"What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the predicted
failure rate of about 1 in 100."

form the original post Jim words

the Shuttle doesand can't do these things

>
> > BTW Id call the last mission f Chalenger and Colombia failures
>
> I didn't say there weren't failure IN the program.

you said all mission acheieved thier goals or in your exact words "The
"shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission."

>
> I said the Shuttle PROGRAM is not a failure.

not according to you

>
> And as I have CORRECTLY pointed out before, the catastrophies were
> both due to problems with the external fuel tank/boosters.

which are part and parcel of the shuttle program

>
> > > > the Shuttle has falied utterly in being able to try it designed for
> > > > mission
> > >
> > > Oh?
> >
> > yep
>
> You're making assertions that reknowned scientists say otherwise,
> Markie.

such as?

>
> > > "utterly"...?!?!
> >

> > only come 20,000 out of 23,000 miles short
> >
> > I call that utterly
>
> I call your assertion utterly rediculous.

of course you do

but then you lie about anything you find in your way

>
> > > I take the word "utterly" to mean a nearly complete failure of all
> > > designed mission parameters.
> >
> > well a major mission was satelite repair, geo sat repair, It has never
> > manged that
>
> Uh...Hubble's not geostationary, but YOU call that a failue?

I call Hubble a cluster fuck, and I am amazed that any science has
surrvivied the stupidity involed in Hubble, but the Shuttle was the
subject not Hubble and the less said about a couple of recent Mars
missions the better

>
> And what fo the multiple launches FROM Shuttls and satellites
> recovered from and returned to orbit by the shuttle?

what about them?

>
> > it has never manged to reach teh desred orbit of its planers
>
> Still focusing on ONE parameter, Markie...Still misses your mark
> of "utter"

I mentioned the others as has Jim

>
> > > The Challenger and Columbia disaters notwithstanding, I'd say YOUR
> > > suggestion is unsubstantiated at many, MANY levels.
> >
> > No It is on the record from NASA, they defined the mission mid ay
> > though building the shuttles to a new and lessor mission set
>
> Soooooooooooo! Even before it flew the mission had ALREADY been
> re-taasked, so BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION the Shuttle's flying now were NOT
> designed for geostationary flight.

right they could not deliver the promised and paid for vechile, so they
presented this fraud and pluged intot the shuttle program

>
> > The Shuttle has always failed to met it original mission parameters

>
> Nope.
>
> It's met and exceeded almost evry one.

nope

>
> A looooooooooooooooong way from YOUR assertion of "utter" failure.
>
> > > > The Shuttle is the best real world example of Dumbing down expectations
> > >
> > > Sure glad we have you to counter lots of REAL scientists who have
> > > said otherwise, Mark!
> >
> > not really
> >
> > and it is the engineers that say so
>
> Which engineers?
>
> Names? URL's to discussions on the issue please?

as soon as you fulfill your debits on reffernces I'll think about it

>
> > > > > Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
> > > > > to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
> > > > > on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
> > > > > deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.
> > > > >
> > > > > > that it has some use is of course true
> > > > >
> > > > > That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
> > > > > is even more true.
> > > >
> > > > milstone yea it is that
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then
> > > > > what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> > > > > "re-engineered" for better performance?
> > > >
> > > > that reengineering is not even planned for the shuttle shows it failure
> > >
> > > What do you mean that there's no re-engineering planned?
> > >
> > > They just got done with 2 years of it...
> >
> > not really and nothing on the board to suceed the shuttle in five years
> >

> > they have tried to cover the failures and cobbled together nature of
> > the beast
>
> What's getting "cobbled together" here is your story. You're
> trying to design it as you're laying bricks...

not at all

>
> > > > > Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still
> > > > > using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.
> > > > >
> > > > > it is the plain and simple truth
> > > >
> > > Nope. What it is is a bit of failed argument on your part.
> > >
> > No just a case of you eading Press releases rather than looking at the
> > facts, but nothing new there
>
> Reading press releases ARE part of the facts...

again with your Faith in PR's

>
> > > You need to be reading something other than "Pagan Monthly", Mark.
> > >
> > more bashing
>
more evasion

>
> > > > > > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > > > > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > > > > > crew.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > > > > > > refusual to listen to anybody else
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What, Mark?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> > > > > > proven itself 100% error free?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> > > > > > Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> > > > > > leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read
> > > > > > that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design,
> > > > > > engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor

> > > > > vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in
> > > > > what...1992?
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > > > > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > > > > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > > > > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > > > > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > > > > > > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> > > > > > > sheild
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What "fibbing", Mark?
> > > > >
> > > > > Jim said the Chalenger was destroyed by a heat shiled related problem
> > > >
> > > > No he didn't.
> > >
> > >
> > cutinng more of stevie out of context rating
>
> Putting what I said back in so Markie can face his foolishness one
> more time:
>

cuting stvie playing yet again with the facts

> > > > > And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> > > > > compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> > > > > to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> > > > > different outcome.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > > > > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > > > > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > > > > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > > > > > > lessons learned from its problems...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh?
> > > > >
> > > > > And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?
> > > >
> > > > experence sure I got it I have folowed the Space program as far back as
> > > > I can remember

> > >
> > > I remember it back to BEFORE the first Mercury shots went up. I
> > > got to follow each Mercury, Gemini and Apollo misison, INCLUDING
> > > Apollo-Soyuz. That includes the Skylab missions.
> > >
> > so?
> > >
> > you are older than I we all knew that
> >
> Yep.
> >
> I am also more well versed in the goals and milestones of the
> progam, obviously, not that it took much to do.

nope

you asserted that the Shuttle is cappble of Geo Orbit flight ay one
point in this thread then chnaged your story, proving you know very
little of the history of the program

>
> > > > We started in 60 with the Goal of getting to the moon and we did then
> > > > we started to build a space born truck that was supposed to reach GEO
> > > > stationary orbit and be able to turn around in 2 weeks
> > > >
> > > > we settled for LEO and months of turnaround
> > > >
> > > We haven't "settled" for anything yet.
> > >
> > we sure did
> >
> Nope.

sure did

the shuttle can only reach LEO we settled for that

Private hobbiests (abet really well off ones) can do reuseableflight
vechiles beter than NASA and its billions

Our space program is in sad shape, i really wish it wasn't, but them is
the facts

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1155. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:55:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123682109.018961.98130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115506

o
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>

> The 'phone companies have cleaned up their act so much that most lines
> will not
> only support 56k dialup, they'll also support DSL. Such improvements
> are almost
> invisible to the unsuspecting public. They happen over periods of
> years.

I'm using one of those lines here. A few years ago it was originally a
DSL line Ma Bell set up as a second line for Eric. Then he bailed away
from his DSL service and moved to Comcast thus I inherited Ma Bell's
former DSL line for my dialup connection. As dailup connections go the
thing screams. His cable TV connection is only 2-3 times faster than my
dialup connection but no more than that. He's paying something like
$50/month for his cable connection and I'm only paying $15/month. AND
my dialup connection is more reliable.

> > > As much as the S/N allows! See above.
> >
> > Of course! As a practical matter though, we have to assume amateur
> > radio conditions. A real limitation there.
>
> Which is why you'll not see the pundits doing any of what they talk
> about.

Gotta love it. And they're *everywhere*.

Hot air is free. Brains, innovation and bench time are not free. End
of.

Like all the bafflegab and excitement about DSP, SDR's, etc. Poke
around under the hood of any of the modern top-end ham xcvrs and you'll
find that their hot performance is directly dependent on their cascaded
xtal filters. Jumper the filters and put it all on their "firmware".
HA! As if. Xtal filters being at least seventy-year-old technology and
all that.

> > One of the things that I wonder about with the need for huge s/n
> > handling ratios is that we obviously want a quiet reciever, with a
> > impressive noise floor. This means all of the "oomph" must be on the
> > strong signal handling side. We need an exquisitely sensitive and quiet

> > reciever, with exceptional strong signal handling capacity.
>
> Not really.
>
> In most situations, HF radio reception is limited by the noise picked
> up by the antenna,
> not internal receiver noise. Been that way since at least the 1930s.

Exactly.

> It
> does no good to
> have an HF receiver with, say, .05 uV for 10 dB S/N sensitivity if the
> antenna picks up .5 uV of noise in the same bandwidth.

Eeeek! Yo, An HF RX with 0.05 µV sensitivity?! Not many of those
around!

> You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
> your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
>
> 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
> you intend to use.
>
> 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
> noise roaring away.
>
> 3) Disconnect the antenna.
>
> If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
> sensitivity you can use in that application.

I've done that and gotten some weird results. I get non-weird results
by A-B testing between the antenna and a dummy load instead. Impedance
matching issue.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

## 1156. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 13:57:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <AIYM6YNR38574.4145833333@anonymous>
Source record: 115507

In article <1123625153.698426.99010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:

> Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he
realizes that
> even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you
think?

Besides the fact you are a friggin' idiot, every individual
operator is responsible for the proper operation of their
station, be it in the United States or not.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 1157. Markie Mularkie Makes Madness Look Meaningless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 14:59:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123685993.920558.105360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115508

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > yes of course it is
> >
> > Of course it's not.
>
> "It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> does the rest."
>
> your words
>
> and they are a confession to harrasment
No, they are not.

They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
him.

You're an idiot and you keep acting like a fool.

That I respond in such a way as to show you both is NOT
"harrassment".

If you don't like what you see, Markie, correct your idiotic and
foolish behaviour.

> > > > > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> > > > > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> > > > >
> > > > > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
> > > >
> > > > That's an answer?
> > >
> > > yes it is
> >
> >
> > > > cuting stevie rants
> >
> >
> more cuting of ranting and raving

Your "cuting" is nothing more than self preservation, Markie.

QUOTE:
> cuting stevie rants

More "cuting".

But here it is re-installed:

> Where are YOUR credentials to determine the stabilty of anyone
> else's mental health, Mark?

> The fact that YOU are a mental health patient?

That wasn't a rant.

That was a question.

Why were you so embarrassed to address it?

> > > me the htreat s are violence, (one shot one kill..) outing Your
> > > demands I comply or youd tell everyone I was gay, your conitued
> > > harrasment unless I do as YOU say

> > What threats?

> you threats
> One Shot one kill...

Wasn't a threat seven years ago.

It's not a threat today, no matter how many times you repeat it out

of context.

> your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)

Why does it concern you that you might be brought to the attention

of mental health case workers or law enforcement if you're "OK",
Markie?

> It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying

I am sure that it would be. Most deviants and liars find having to
face thier problems head-long to be "annoying".

> but that of course has nothing to do with wether it is a threat on your
> part

It's not a threat.

> > > your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago
> >
> > I didn't threaten yoy with exposing your deviancy, Mark. Pardon
> > the choice of words, but you "exposed" yourslf all on your own.
>
> liar Steve

Nope.

> you emailed me your proof and threatened to expose me if I did not stop
> posting in the newsgroup

>
> then you revealed it and found to your shock I never denied it

It's no "shock" on my part that you're a deviant, Markie.

And still no "proof" of ANY wrongdoing, Markie.

> > > why do you need everything repeated and again and agian
> >
> > Why can't you type the same word the same way in one sentence?
>
> becuase

"becuase".....BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!

> > And I keep hoping that sooner or later you'll come up with a
> > viable reason for your unviable assertions.
>
> liar what you realy want is for me to go away again

No...that would be more like winning the lottery.

> > So far, you haven't done it, so expect to keep getting that same
> > question asked until you pony-up something that sounds remotely
> > life-like.
>
> not into horse's stevie

But you're SOMETHING like a horse's, Markie...

> > > > What 'outing (my) demands'...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > You ARE gay, Markie. You stated so yourself in this forum.
> > >
> > > but not until after you outed me, you preceeded that action by
> > > threatening to do so unless I complied with your demands
> >
> > Markie...I DIDN'T OUT YOU! Whoever you THINK was under your saddle
> > about your deviancy, it wasn't me...You did that
> > allllllllllllllllllllll by your self!
>
> lying stevie
>
> nothing but lies on your part
>
> You did the stalking looking for something you found it you tired
> blackmail with it and I did not go along then you did it you outed me

Uh huh...

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

> > > that your blackmail was unsucessfull has no bearing on whether or not
> > > your prefromed it

> >
> > I didn't blackmail you or attempt to blackmail you, ergo it's
> > irrelevent WHAT was "successful" or not.
>
> lying agian

I'm not lying and I'm not an agian, whatever that is...

> > > > > It is all blackmail
> > > > >
> > > > > What blackmail?
> > > > >
> > > > > In order to "prove" blackmail, you have to prove that I stood to
> > > > > gain from some act or threat of violence against you.
> > > >
> > > > no I don't, however in this case you did stand to gain you demanded I
> > > > stop posting in RRAP obviously you valued that
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep

Nope.

> > And still no proof, Mark.
>
> I have the proof and carefully in a file

Uh huh...Along with pictures of the Pope fondling goats, no
doubt...

> > > > No such "blackmail" was caused since no such threat was made nor
> > > > did any potential gain exist.
> > >
> > > wrong you made the threat and stood to gain
> >
> > Nope. The ONLY person here who stands to gain anything by you not
> > posting here is YOU, Mark. Because as long as you post here, you'll be
> > known as the illiterate lying gay pagan draftee...
>
> another lie
>
> You want me out of here,
>
> very badly
>
> I gain nothing by leaving, not even the end of your harassment

You gain everything...including the self-delusion that you're
right, that you're an "OK" person the way you are, that it's OK to lie,
and WHAT harrassment...???

> > > > > > > your actions quailify
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > sure do
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > We will now await your presentation of credentials in
> > > > > jurisprudence.
> > >
> > Guess you forgot about "cuting" that one....
>
> nope
>
> but it isn't my credentcail that are important

Sure it is.

> > > > > > Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> > > > > > taken from in court.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > it is in context Killing was never the subject
> > > > > >
> > > > > I never suggested to kill you.
> > > >
> > > liar
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you have
>
> you sent the threat out in the gruops more threats in the NG and more
> still by email

And you're still lying.

Why do you lie in public when it's already known that you're an
admtted liar, Markie?

How do you think all those admissions of lying are going to go
over in court...!?!

> someone, possibly you called the Cops In illiopolas with some cock and
> bull story

If it was a cock and bull story, it wasn't about you.

> you have boasted of finding a picture of my home in those days here
> recently

YOU posted it, Markie. It was on your GeoCities site, along with
your picture...

> > > it is a plain and clear threat
> >
> > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...
>
> no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out

Let's me in, Markie, and YOU out.

> > > > That's your rampant paranoia.
> > >
> > > one shot one kill is a threat
> >
> > To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...
>
> no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out
>
> you are the delsuional paraniod

Nope.

I'm not the one who thinks that someone is stalking him, trying to
kill him over flaming in a newsgroup, and who thinks sexual and
societal devaiance is OK.

> any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
> is paranois and delusional

No...LYING about Amateur Radio is an attack on licensed Amateurs.

> it is also a sign of other mental problems

Here we go with Dr Markie's diagnosis again...

> > > after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered
> >
> > Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?
>
> not even accusing you of that, but of threatening too can't you keep
> anything straight?

Sure I can.

You've allegeded I threatened to kill (murder) you.

And yes, you've accused me of it.

> > > > > > > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure is
> > > >
> > > > How?
> > >
> > > by being one

> >
> > "by being one" what?
>
> by being a threat

How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
something wrong?

> > Re-read what you wrote, in response to what >>I<< wrote, Mark...
> >
> > It doesn't make sense.
>
> sure does

To YOU, perhaps...But English is my native tongue.

> > > > Why do you continue to make and remake this assertion in the face
> > > > of evidence to the contrary?
> > >
> > > what eveidence?
> >
> > E X A C T L Y ! ! ! !
> >
> > N O E V I D E N C E ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> right you have presented no eveidence that you are not making threats
>
> I have posted various bit of evidence

You've posted nothing but your paranoid delusions.

> therefore you are lying when you say"Why do you continue to make and
> remake this assertion in the face of evidence to the contrary?",
> becuase you have present no evidence to contrary

Upside down as usual, Mark!

Nice try, though!

> > >>YOU<< have >>NO EVIDENCE<< of ANY threat!
>
> I have your admission of sending the first threat, and then ore besides

You have NO "admission".

> what more do I need beyond an admission?

Facts, Boyo, because you have no admission of ANYthing...

> > > > > cuting another lies
> > > >
> > >
> > > > > > > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > > > > > > nothing to do your making them

> > > > > >
> > > > > > I didn't make any, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > One shot on kill .. is a threat
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > It MEANS, as it meant in the ORIGINAL CONTEXT, was that my
> > > > > comments "squarely hit" what I "aim" for.
> > > >
> > > Killing is killing
> >
> > Not int he context of the comment I made, Mark. It meant then, as
> > it does now, taht I "hit" what I aim at...In the FULL CONTEXT of the
> > statement I made in response to YOUR comments...
>
> Nothing in the context had anything to do with killing, the threat was
> out of the blue. The is never a reason to threaten to kill anyone over
> the ARS

You continue this unhealthy rant about threats, Mark.

No threat was made.

> Indeed what you intended isn't relavant.

Sure it is. Ask a lawyer.

> The standard is would a
> reasonable person think they were being threatened

And as you said..."that leaves you out"....

> > > it was and remains a threat
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure is

More evidence of self-delusional acts, Markie...

> > Why do you continue to "force" this lie when it's apparent you're
> > not telling the truth, Mark?
>
> becuase I am telling the truth

No, you're not.

> The only reason you don't see it is that you are pretty sick

No, actually I am in very good health.

> > > > > you are lying in saying otherwise
> > > > >

> > > > You are lying in tryng to make it anything more than it was.
> > > >
> > > nope
> > >
> > Absolutely.
>
> not at all
>
> if it were nothing you would have appologized for the misunderstanding
>
> You might try that now, but I doubt it

You're the one with the misunderstanding, Mark.

Why should >>I<< apologize for YOUR misunderstanding?

> > > if you were manere when it was brought you would have adknowledged the
> > > words to have been illchoosen or something like that
> > >
> > If I was "marere"...?!?!
> > >
> > As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
> > response YOUR
>
> unfinished thought here?

Nope. Computer hiccup. Oh well.

But here it is in it's entirety:

"As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
response YOUR words might elicit".

> My response was to put you off guard with a simple and harmless lie to
> deflect you from your threat, based on your nestfew posts it diverted
> you for several days, till I returned home and could take other actions

Again with this story about "putting me off" and "other actions".

Fiction, Markie...Nothing but fiction...

> > > instead when I did not react as you wish you added various threats of
> > > legal action, repated the thret in various manner and generaly behaved
> > > in a threatening manner
> > >
> > > > > that it was idle matters not
> > > > >
> > > > It wasn't a threat..."idle" or otherwise.
> > > >
> > > sure was
> > >
> > To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...
>

> to any reasonable person

But you're not that.

> > > nothing is Stevies fault
> >
> > It's only "Stevies fault" when Stevie does it wrong.
>
> but Stevie never admts to making mistake so accordoing to Stevie
> nothing is Stevie fault

You keep saying that but it's not true.

I keep suggesting you ask around but you refuse to do it.

> > So far you've not presented any "evidence" to the contrary except
> > your childish sandlot "ya-HUH!" arguments.
>
> I have your admissions
>
> I guess a confession to all the elements of the crime isn't good enough
> for you

No admissions.

No confessions.

No crime...at least one commited by me.

> > > apeartnly it seems an idle one
> >
> > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...
>
> to any reasonable person

Then that leaves you out.

> > > > Toddie violated the terms of his TOS. He refused to stop. He got
> > > > what he asked for.
> > >
> > > but you threatened to do , and apealently did it
> >
> > "apealently"...?!?!
> >
> > I told him I wouldn't tolerate his violent, profane and
> > threatening behaviour towards me.
>
> and thereby threatened him

Nope.

> > He thought rules didn't apply to him.
>
> you don't think they apply to you

Sure they do.

You just haven't proven where I've "violated" some "rules".

> > He found out different.
>
> really?

Yep.

> you were claiming that you had nothing to do with what happened to todd
> with his ISp awhile back now you admit it?

What happened to Todd was a direct result of Todd's violation of
his TOS with Consolidated Communications. Todd had it in his control
to NOT violate them.

> > > have a reason to make a threat doesn't mean you are not threatening him
> > >
> > > you realy don't know english do you
> >
> cuting speling cop ####

Let me put it back for you:

QUOTE:

> you realy don't know english do you

I know it better than you. I employ it more accurately, too.

I know that English is capitalized.

I know that "realy" is actually spelled "really", but you keep
screwing that up too.

I know that a sentence is started with a capital letter and ended
with a period, except where a question is asked. You seem to have a
problem with that.

UNQUOTE

See...it's still as true today aas it was yesterday.

> > > > > you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
> > > > > actions
> > > >
> > > > Sure I do.
> > > >
> > > > > you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Toiddie is responsible for his own actions...And he had to
> > > > pay a price for them.
> > >
> > > yep as expected and predicted
> >

> > I am sure there was a point there.
>
> indeed and you made it for me thank you

What point?

That Toiddie was responsible for his own actions...?!?! Then
you'd be correct.

> > Care to make it?
>
> I can't you did the job for me

What point?

> > > > If YOU think that I have made all of these threats against you and
> > > > am the "stalker" you accuse me of, please, file a civil or criminal
> > > > charge against me, Markie..
> > >
> > > I have sought to do so, and there is realy very little I can do short
> > > of traveling to Tenn. and doing so that is one of the problems with the
> > > internet
> > >
> > But...but...but...MARK!
> >
> > All this past week you were telling us what great financial shape
> > you're allegedly in...two cars..house...investments...allegedly turned
> > down a $240K/yr job...
>
> good shape, yes, your point?

The point is you're lying about THIS, too...

You claim you can't afford to come to Tennessee.

> > Guess that's yet ANOTHER lie we've caught you in.
>
> nope

Yep.

> you really don't read do you.

Congratualtions on getting "really" right for a change. Now if we
can work on the capitalization and proper punctuation, you'll be well
on your way...

> I have to travel to tennesse at some point to deal with you, spend a
> lot of money that you are not worth , to obtain a judgement that you
> would not likely be able to pay
>
> I am in good shape because I choose my fights carefully

You're in good shape because the countersuit would leave you with
the clothes on your back, a pup-tent and a bicycle to get around on.

> Unlike you I don't go in full bore without thinking things through

Sure you do.

You're making ludicrous charges in a public forum EVERY day.

You've admitted to being a prolific liar and that's been archived.

Seems pretty "full bore" to me...

> > > > It will be quite satisfying to have you served with the countersuit
> > > > and then see who's side holds up better in court.
> > >
> > > more threats
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > A promise. You file any "charges" or "suit" against me, and your
> > ears will whistle for a week with the paper landing in your lap from
> > summons servers!
>
> that is certainly a lie my ears would not wistle at all

Sure they would.

> at one point you were going to make a phone call and have me arrested
> like that

Nope. Have you INVESTIGATED. There IS a difference.

> You realy don't know much about how the world works

Seems you're the one with the perception deficits, Markie.

> > > > > Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity
> > > >
> > > > Sure it is.
> > > >
> > > > And I've put my "hand out" with apologies or corrections where
> > > > appropriate.
> > >
> > > still haven't adknowledged your mistakes about the Brothers Grim
> >
> > It's "Grimm", Markie.
>
> and still stevie you fail to fess up

On what?

> > > > Ask around.
> > >
> > > No one answers yes you have
> >

> > You've not asked the question. And I bet most everyone is
> > ignoring these posts...so ask them directly.
>
> not most everyone other coment on them,
>
> Again your claim you make it

Nope.

YOU claimed I never apologize or admit mistakes.

I've given you references to verify.

You refuse to do it.

> lazy bum

Coward.

> > > > There are NO threats.
> > >
> > > sure are
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep
>
> you have admtted to making them, just denieng that they are threats

I can't "admit to making them" and then deny them, Markie.

I've stated all along, and correctly so, that I never made a
threat.

> > > not going to retype them and retype em
> >
> > You can retype the Magna Carta and it won't prove anything.
>
> you even blew that one Stevie it would indeed prove something but of
> course Magna Carta has nothing to do with this matter so again you
> evade the issue

Nope.

> > You'll still have no threats.
>
> I have your admissions

I didn't admit to any wrongdoing, Markie.

You have nothing.

> > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to come to
> > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> >

> > What threats?
>
> the one you typed and admitted to typing

I never typed a threat.

Why do you keep lying about this? (And then snipping my questions
about WHY you lie about it...?!?!)

> > > > > > > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What evasion?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > your evasion
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > WHAT evasion...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > your eveasion
> > > > >
> > > > What "eveasion"...?!?!
> > >
> > yours
> >
> > WHAT EVASION...?!?!?
>
> yours

Still no evasion, Markie...

Just your tit-for-tat accusations that are patently false.

> > > > > I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
> > > > > repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so
> > > > >
> > > > You've retyped nothing except "your threats" and "your evasion",
> > > > Markie.
> > >
> > lying agian
> >
> > I am not lying and I am not an agian.
>
> You are lying, and I never said you are an "again"

Re-read the sentence above, IdiotBoy.

> so 2 lies one sentence

Nope...but we have YOU looking stupid over one of YOUR typos
again!

> > > > You've not SUBSTANTIATED either...
> > >
> > > you have admited to typing "One shot on kill" that does it right there,

> > > the rest just piles on
> >
> > That wasn't a threat.
>
> sure was

Why does Mark Morgan continue to lie?

> > Wasn't then...isn't now...won't be 100 years from now...
>
> will always be a threat

Only to a paranoid delusional mind, Markie...

> > > > I love being called "stupid" in a sentence that is so fractured
> > > > that it takes several re-readings to figure out that I was "insulted".
> > >
> > > then where is your thank you
> >
> > You flushed it after your last bowel movement, Markie.
>
> more ##### form you stevie

capitalize

"from"

No punctuation.

Looks like the #### was coming from you.

> > > your make threats
> >
> > What threats?
>
> yours
>
> admitted to and documented more than once

I've not admitted to ANY threats. Not a one.

> > > by the way It is infact ilegal for you to came HERE since you have been
> > > informed your are unwelcome
> >
> > It would be illegal for me to knock on your front door or violate
> > your privacy.
>
> it is ilegal for you to enter my land

That's what I said, IdiotBoy.

Now where's YOUR basis for stating that it would be illegal for me
to travel to Michigan...the UP or otherwise...?!?!

> > It would NOT be illegal for me to come to Michigan...ANYwhere in
> > Michigan.
>
> wrong It is ilegal for you to come to my Land

As I said above...

> and would allow me to use deadly force if I choose

Now THERE'S a THREAT ! ! ! ! ! !

Better read the law on what constitutes the VALID use of deadly
force, Markie.

Hint: Cutting across your front yard isn't it....

> > Nor would it be illegal for me to speak with local law enforcement
> > or mental health case workers about you, being careful to express my
> > concerns as just that...concerns.
>
> HMM seem you were threatening me if I contacted Tennesse about my
> concerns

Nope.

I just said if YOU file a suit, be prepared for the result.

> those laws do work both ways

As I have been telling YOU, IdiotBoy.

> > > > > > > threatening action with various parties
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What threats?
> > > > > >
> > > > > asked and answered
> > > > >
> > > > Avoided and evaded by you.
> > >
> > asked and answered
> >
> > Avoided and evaded by you.
>
> asked and answered

Escaped and evaded.

> > > > > > > > > stop harassing people
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'm not harrassing people.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > liar
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
> > > > > the Air, by what I hear from other hams
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh?
> > > > >
> > > > > Then you've proven that you're "hearing voices", Markie.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > I have hear Hams call you a Papa Oscar serria on the air
> > >
> > > "heard"
> > >
> > > "Sierra"
> > >
> > > I am sure you hear a LOT of voices doing that, Markie...
> >
> Only during a good opening to tennesse
>
> is it so hard to admit there are other hams that don't like you?

I am sure there are.

But unless YOU get on the air and say something, I doubt you'll
hear them...

> > All part of your delusional mindset, Markie.
>
> nope it is part of yours I fear

You have good reason to fear, Markie.

You're sick and need help.

> > No...you've CLAIMED that you have tried...
>
> that too of course
>
> but I have your repeated confessions that is about all I really need

You keep claiming THAT too, but you have no confession from me on
ANYthing.

> > All you do is make sandlot taunts.
> >
> > None of them effective or accurate.
>
> confessions are quite effective

They would be if you had one.

> > > > > > > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > you don't have any right to threaten people
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I haven't threatened anyone.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > lying again
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yes One shot one kill is a threat
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure is

Nope.

> > A prosecuter won't touch your "claim" based upon the original
> > context and in response to your own words, Markie.
>
> there is no context in which it isn't a threat

Sure there is...The original context in which it was stated and
the comments to which it was responding...YOUR comments by the way...

> > > > > I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope, nope and nope.
> > >
> > > yep yep and yep
> >
> > Nope, nope and nope.
>
> sure have and I have posted and reported

Nope, nope and nope.

> > And no matter how many times you wish to carry that one out, it
> > still won't be true.
>
> Huh?

N o m a t t e r h o w m a n y t i m e s y o u w i s h
t o c a r r y
t h a t o n e o u t, i t s t i l l w o n ' t b e t r u e.

Was that better since I slowed down when I wrote it...?!?!

> It is ture that you have threatened me, both publicaly and in private
> email

No, it's not.

> it is ture that you have harrased me publicaly and privately

Nope.

> You have threatened Todd Her I have seen that.

Why are you calling Todd a "Her"...?!?! And I didn't threaten
Todd.

> You ahve harrassed Todd I have seen that myself

Gee, Markie...got "have" spelled wrong...'magine that.

And you're deluding yourself again.

> You have admitng to conducting what Len discribes as harrassing IMing
> of him on AOL

Lennie's another liar. Documented.

He lied then, he's lied since.

> You harrass and Threaten BB in this forum

S T I L L more mistruths, Markie!

When will it stop?

> > > > > when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
> > > > > start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
> > > > > lets you out
> > > > >
> > > > > Any discussion group wherein YOU profess to be an "inteligent"
> > > > > (intelligent) man is a conversation mispent.
> > > >
> > > more evasion
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> > You accused me of not being "inteligent". I corrected your error
> > and answerd the claim.
>
> not at all I questioned it I still do, since you do not ACT like an
> inteligent human being

You're reading your own stuff, Markie.

> let alone an adult one

You're reading your own stuff, Markie.

> you also did not address the point

Several times over, but that hasn't stopped you!

> > No evasion.
>
> Not addressing the point is an evasion stevie

Then you're guiltyn of THAT "rule" over and over...

> > > > > > >the 1st amendment does cover that
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, it does not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > QUOTE:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > > > > > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> > > > > > speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> > > > > > assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > UNQUOTE:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What IS there:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > so what?
> > > > >
> > > > > The assertions YOU cut from the quote were NOT covered by a single
> > > > > word of the First Amendment.
> > > > >
> > > > > Let's put this BACK into context since you snipped all of that
> > > > > out...
> > > > cuting out your evasive waste of bandwidth
> > > >
> > > > Now, I'd appreciate it if you'd acknowldge your "respoinblty" to
> > > > TAKE "respoinblty" for your mistake.
> > > >
> > > no mistake
> > >
> > A big mistake...on your part. The one wherein you think you can
> > keep blustering your way through your inappropriate claims.
>
> not at all

Sure.

> > > you keep trying to divert the issue
> > >
> > No I don't.
>
> sure do

Nope.

> > > you have NO right to threaten people
> > >
> > I don't.
>
> agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it

No...I said I don't threaten people.

> > > you have NO right to harrass people
> >
> > I don't.
>
> agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it

No...I said I don't harrass people.

> > > you have NO right to stalk people
> >
> > I don't.
>
> agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it

No...I said I don't stalk people.

> > > The first amendent does not give the right to do ilgeal things
> >
> > You again express your obvious misunderstanding of what is said in
> > the First Amendment to the Constitution, Markie.
>
> I understand it clearly your mind is fogged on that subject and many
> others

Your mind is the fogged one, IdiotBoy.

You're the one who said the First Amendment said things it didn't
say.

> > > nor does any other part of the Constitution
> >
> > And there is nothing in ANY part of the Constitution that is
> > "violated" by our exchanges here, Mark....
>
> never said that it was

Sure you did!

> What I said is that constitution does not give the right to threaten or
> harrass people

You said the First Amendment said that. You were wrong. I
re-posted the same thing three times over proving you were wrong.

Are you going to admit your error, or do we just throw this one on
the pile with the rest?

> > > > > a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably
> > > > >
> > > > The fact remains that the First Amendment does NOT say what you
> > > > alleged it to say.
> > >
> > > i was simply using your quoting of the text
> >
> > You simply did not.

>
> sure did

You're NOW lying about the content of the First Amendment.

Is there ANY topic that you won't lie about, Markie...?!?!

> > You tried to take ONE word from it and apply it contrary to it's
> > use in THAT Amendement.
>
> nope not at all

Yep. Absolutely.

> > You failed.
>
> Not realy but then I wasn't doing what said I was doing and faling at
> it, this rambling on and on is part of your SOP here, wander all over
> the place

Nope...YOU are the one making FALSE references to the First
Amendment of the Constitution. I proved you wrong. Are you going to
admit your error?

> > > > > that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
> > > > > have a legs to stand on
> > > >
> > > > "ois ilegal"
> > > >
> > > > What were you trying to say?
> > > >
> > > > What "hate speech"...?!?!
> > >
> > > your bashing of people for being gay or pagan or just different
> >
> > I don't "bash" you, Mark, and certainly NOT for any of those
> > reasons.
>
> lying again Stevie

Nope.

> > You keep getting your nose tweeked because you keep getting caught
> > lying.
> >
> > And in fact you've LIED about all of those things.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > You claimed you WEREN'T gay, but it's since been proven with your
> > own words that you are.
>

> well i ambisexual you are not up on the facts

Sure I am.

You're having sex with men. You're doing it right now. Why lie
MORE about it?

> > You claimed you weren't pagan, but it's since been proven with your
> > own words that you are.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > And you've claimed that you're not "dffrent" when it's CLEARLY
> > evident that you are!
>
> another lie stevie

Not in the least.

You're a proven liar, sexual deviate and untrustworthy.

All of it proven by your own word.

> > > > > you are guilty of threats and hate speech
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Not even close.
> > >
> > > sure are I posted awhile back some example form just your titles
> >
> > And none of them were "hate speech" as defined by the Civil Rights
> > Act.
>
> sure are

Nope.

> > > > Profanity is the tool of a violent mind, Markie.
> > >
> > > IYO
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > In the opinion of those "pros" you always refer to.
>
> now you are just lying agian

Nope. Not lying, and not lying "agian".

> > > I do assert my free right to use such words as I choose as long I as I
> > > don't violate the rights of others
> >
> > By re-titling these posts "stvie ####" you violate MY right to not

> > be called a profane word or to have my "intellectual property"
> > defiled...
>
> you have no such right

Sure I do.

> > > No I am disgusted by your manner your hypocrazy, your stupidity
> >
> > Then you're focusing on the wrong things. You should be disgusted
> > by the fact that you are a decietful liar who can't post a word without
> > errors being laid bare.
>
> more lies from stevie

Nope.

> > > > Then stop doing it.
> > >
> > > more off tager Bull#####
> >
> > What a "tager"...?!?!
> >
> > > > > > > > > > been doing it for years
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > cuting stvie distoring context
> > > > > > > cuting speling cop
> > > > >
> > > cutng spelling cop
> >
> cuting spelling cop

It's "CUTTING", Markie. And at the beginning of a sentence, if
that's what that was, the "C" should be capitalized.

And some punctuation at the end would be appropriate, too.

> > > > A M E R I C A N law allowed for alterations to the treaty as did
> > > > caveats IN the treaty that gave administrations some leeway.
> > >
> > > Indeed
> > >
> > > but the treaty lang made the ADA case much harder
> >
> > No it didn't.
>
> sure did

Sure it did...that was the whole urpose of the ADA...to provide a
"one stop shopping" law that, in essence, said not matter what may be
written in any other law, the disabled have certain rights.

> > If anything, the ADA made it EASIER to make your argument viable.
>
> Stvie you have lost it
>
> ADA made the case possible, the treaty made the case harder
>
> what your last stament has to do with the matter I don't know

You "don't know" because you have a hard time comprehending
English and paying attention to the flow of the conversation.

> > > > > I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture
> > > > >
> > > > > Then obviously not enough dedication.
> > > >
> > > > if you like
> > >
> > Nope...If YOU like...Obviously you don't have the heart for it.
>
> If it is what I like well I did not care to spend the money or time at
> what I was sure would be won otherwise

Not likely. Not with blind and deaf people passing Element 1
within the liberal guidelines that the FCC had already established.

Makes it pretty hard to establish a need for a change of federal
rules when one can point to people with the same disabilites you
suggest need the help who have already passed the exam you claim they
can't pass WITHOUT the help.....

> indeed it apears that My discussing the possible case, may have
> influneced NCI to include the ADA case arguement in there threat to the
> FCC one they seem to caved on
>
> So It seems other used the case as another wedge

Uh huh.....

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

> > > > > you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
> > > > > done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure I do.
> > > >
> > > then you must be willfully lying when you make these claims
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> sure do since you lie about what was said

I've quoted what YOU have said, Markie.

Are you now claiming that YOU were lying?

> > > > > that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
> > > > > often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
> > > > > YOUR making
> > > > >
> > > > > No lie made here.
> > > > >
> > > > > You made threats of lawsuits under ADA.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > > Yep.
> >
> > nope

Yep.

> > > I discussed the posiblity
> > >
> > > You said your "laywr" was preparing your brief".
> >
> > no never said said anything about "laywr"

Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh....

> > > I discussed the pros and cons
> > > >
> > > > I decided against it
> > >
> > > One of the very few wise things you ever did...or should I say
> > > DIDN'T do...?!?!
> > >
> > > > you lie whan you make the staement "You made threats of lawsuits under
> > > > ADA."
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes have have lied and contiue to do so

I KNOW you have lied and continue to do so.

Why won't you stop it? It does NOTHING to help your point!

> > > > > > > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > > > > > > it you have got anything worth talking
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money
> > > > >
> > > > > The cost would be yours twice over, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > prehaps which does not alter the factual statement I just made
> > > >

> > > going to court is such a crap shoot
> >
> > In YOUR case it would be...
>
> in all cases it is

Nope.

> > > > Your initial filing against me, then your defense expenses for the
> > > > countersuit.
> > >
> > > nope no doubling there I'd be handle one level on contingincy youd be
> > > paying out of pocket the whole way mostlikely, or at least in your
> > > defense
> >
> > First of all, no lawyer is going to take a "he said/she said" case
> > "on contingency" unless it's against Wal-Mart, one of the Big Three in
> > Detroit, etc. In those cases they at least hope to win "shut up" money
> > and probably will.
>
> again you are misinformed

Nope.

You forget what "contingency" means...

It means the lawyer get's paid CONTINGENT upon winning ang getting
a settlement.

NO lawyer, unless he's "family", is going to take that he said/she
said case, Markie...

> > Secondly, as I told you before, I have the resources to overcome
> > any legal case YOU could hopre to mount from Michigan. At the most,
> > I'd have to pony-up filing fees...No big deal...
> >
> > > > > > that applies to you or anyone for that matter
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep...And they can countersue too.
> > > >
> > > yea never said otherwise
> >
> > But you, like Toiddie, throw "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE" around as if in
> > doing so you are the only one who can use legal resourse.
>
> no another lie on your part, or is it delusions again

Nope.

No delusions.

> > As I have clearly demonstrated here, however, you'll quickly find
> > out that you are NOT the only person who can bring a suit.

>
> more threats

Nope. A promise. "Sue" me and see what happens.

> > > It is easy to sue in America
> >
> > Finally you get the idea...
>
> no it has taken you several years to get that

I have no doubt that it takes you "several years" to get a lot,
Markie.

> > > not so easy to collect
> >
> > Bravo.
>
> which is why sueing you is not worth while

It's not worth "suing" me because the results to you would be
catastrophic financially.

> > > but you are of course bashing the disabled
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure are

Nope.

> > Serbo-Croation is a difficult language. I tried. Gave up.
>
> not really Russian now that is one is bad

Obviously English is pretty hard, too, judging by that last
sentence of yours...

> > And I can't differentiate the characters in Braille adequately to
> > use it.
>
> you just didn't want to bad enough

Nope. Didn't need to.

> > No bashing occured.
>
> sure did

Nope.

> > > > > with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?
> > > >
> > > > What "clear lack of human compassion"...?!?!
> > >

> > > your clear lack
> >
> > Clear lack of what?
>
> human compassion

Where do you get that?

Just because I don't buy your self-victimizing routine?

> > > > You mean not being a soft touch for every self-appreciating,
> > > > self-victimizing jerk that tries to take advantge of others compassion,
> > > > Markie?
> > >
> > > Nope I mean your mean sprited obcessive bulling
> >
> cutting more spelling cop

Then let me help you again:

"spirited"

"obsessive"

"bullying"

And there was none of it.

> > > > If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
> > > > to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again.
> > >
> > > more lies this time about the number of hospital you could affect
> >
> > It's got nothing to do with me.
>
> then you lied when you typed " If I were that, every hospital in every
> community would be filed to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever
> seeing an empty bed again."
>
> You typed the I not me

It's got to do with Nurses being soft touches for system abusers
such as yourself.

> > It's got to do with Nurses not being a "soft touch" as I stated
> > above.
>
> no you stated something else

Nope. I meant what I said. Anything else is YOU putting words in
other people's mouths.

> > And trust me when I say that every ER I ahve worked in, East,
> > West, North and South has it's share of "frequent flyers"...system

> > abusers just like you, Markie.
>
> more libel

Nope.

Fact.

> > > > > was it another power play?
> > > >
> > > > By saying "another" powert play, you're suggesting an ORIGINAL
> > > > "power play".
> > >
> > > yea
> >
> > And it was...?!?!?
> >
> > > > What would have been the first.
> > >
> > > not sure what power play was the first you go on about so many
> >
> > You've not named one so far.
>
> sure have
>
> Your actions as VE in denieing a properly issued code waiver for one

I am under NO responsibility to sign an application if I feel that
the applicaiton would represent the submission of a falsified
application.

> your efforts to control the posting of Todd, BB, Len and Me

Oh make no doubts, Markie...I don't "control" them...

I just have fun pointing out the patent lies, deceit and mistruths
you four generate.

And lord knows you four generate a lot!

> > > > > > > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > > > > > > sure...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> > > > > > > that you arre any good at it
> > > > > >
> > > > > cutin spelling cop
> > > >
> > > Still 33 1/3%, however this time you ever mispelled your mispelled
> > > word!
> > >
> > > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > > > >

> > > > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
> > > > >
> > > > > No I didn't.
> > > >
> > > > sure did
> > >
> > Sheesh.
> >
> > Where, Markie. WHERE did I say "I am trying to intimidate you",
> > Markie?
>
> never said you stated that I siad you were doing it

Sure you said I said it, Markie!

QUOTE:

> > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.

> liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to

UNQUOTE

To "admit" to anything, I'd have to say or write it.

> > > > Quote the line where you alledge I say "I am trying to intimidate
> > > > you", Markie...
> > > >
> > > > no claim of such a an exact was ever made
> > >
> > Oh, but it was!
>
> nope

Sure it was!

> > QUOTE
> >
> > > > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
> > >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > So...where's this alleged admission, Markie?
>
> in the post I was repsoning to as stated

Nope.

> > No quote = Markie Lying.
>
> nope

Absolutely.

No quote means you were making it up all along.

> > > > > > > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But you HAVE left us laughing!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > comenting on your words
> > > > >
> > > > > "Commenting"
> > > > >
> > > > > > Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself
> > > > >
> > > > > "heard"
> > > > >
> > > > > I am not "talking to (my)self"...
> > > > >
> > > > > I am talking ABOUT you.
> > >
> > Still.
>
> now you are talking to yourself
>
> look at the lines

It's not "talking to (my)self", Markie.

It was pointing out that you're STILL a laughing stock!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1158. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 15:23:39 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1123687419.431884.202990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115509

Since Fred has sold his interest in "W5YI Group" VEC, how is it that he would have occasion comment for NCVEC?

# 1159. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 15:26:54 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <558ea$42fa1cbd$4e0b1da$14994@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 115510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:q4cKe.3186$RZ2.2923@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> "John Smith",
>
> Have you ever listened to a K1MAN 2 hour "bulletin"???? His bad mouthing
> of his fellow hams, the FCC, the ARRL and "opinions" about his so called
> service to ham radio is nothing but a self aggrandizing pat on his own
> back.
>
> He would plop down right on top of on going communications, then send out
> "felony affidavits" to those that told him to get bent. Fully indicating
> he had indeed been listening before the broadcast began. He should have
> sent himself one of those cheaply copied useless pieces of paper.
>
> Mr. Baxter basically has made a total laughing stock of himself to any ham
> that has the ability to reason and think. Obviously Toad can't do either.
>
> That's my opinion and I'm sticking to it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I agree.
Ace - WH2T

# 1160. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 15:40:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.15.40.43.904791@gmail.com>
Source record: 115513

Alun:

I don't think "they" really see that much of a real need for a code test for extras as much as they realize the covers have been pulled and code will fall. And, they still want to fight and hang on to any little bit of "good old buddy club" they might be able to maintain.

It is not surprising to find people hanging on to their self-serving interests as a dog to a bone. You certainly get a good picture of the fabric of individuals characters as this all plays out... trouble is, in this "dumbed down society" you wonder how many can even realize the importance of peoples motivations.

Those who have sold their soul to the devil now go on grasping at straws, still convinced they have people fooled into thinking they are discussing real reason on why code is so necessary--and "they" are so intelligent no one can see their self-serving motives, they are just little selfish individuals wanting to have a little private hobby at the expense of the whole of society, gee, that is unique--isn't it?

The lies are the elephant in the china closet, and many are blind to its' existence... many are so old they realize they are on their way out, and what is their dignity compared to being able to "keep a private beach" on the radio bands.

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 11:37:40 +0000, Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1123648804.590620.207760
> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Alun, it's through the Search buttons on the Home page. But, you
>> can go direct via:
>>
>> http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs
>>
>> That gets you to the ECFS main page. On the left of that you will
>> see several links which will supply you with the data page where
>> you must fill in the required items...when that is done you have a
>> choice of uploading a prepared file (WordPerfect, MS Word, or PDF)
>> or to make your comment on-line (watch line length, no over-run or
>> it will look funny). I use WordPerfect and convert it to PDF with
>> Acrobat 4 (full version) and upload that.
>>
>> If you want a copy of FCC 05-143, the document spelling out what

>> the NPRM is, it is the very first document in the docket, entered
>> on 15 July 2005.
>>
>> You will get a data-begin page with every choice of links from the
>> main ECFS page. To see all of the Docket entries, just enter
>> 05-235 in the upper left corner box and press Return/Enter. That
>> yields a list of EVERY entry under that docket. You can get to
>> an individual day date entry by filling in the numeric date fields
>> in the middle of the data-begin page...or an individual's entry
>> if you know the exact spelling of their name used on their entry.
>>
>> had fun
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>
>
> I have now entered my comment in favour of the NPRM. Of the last 50
> comments, 24 are in favour, 17 against, and 9 want to keep a code test for
> the Extra only. I suppose that does mean that a slim majority (of the last
> 50) want to keep a code test for the Extra but not the General, but 48%
> want the code test eliminated. Unfortunately I don't have time to read all
> 1,319 comments!

# 1161. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 15:53:31 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <mj6kf194hkq4murb8bonn76jbv22jag6n9@4ax.com>
Source record: 115512

On 9 Aug 2005 20:35:08 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>John, Extra Bruce/WA8ULX is somehow simultaneously a brilliant test
>taker and a functional illiterate. The comments posted here earlier
>look like a page out of his playbook. I'm sure he's not alone in his
>Extraness and stupidity, and willingness to sabotage the U.S. amateur
>radio service. $0.02. bb

At my company, there was a maintenance supervisor who was as stubborn and illiterate as Bruce. You either did things his way, or he would write you up for insubordination. Often times, his way would involve unsafe acts that violated safety practices and OSHA regulations. For whatever reason, he didn't think such rules applied to the people working under him. (Fortunately, he was put in his place for this before someone got hurt, and started abiding by safety procedures.)

The best part about this guy was the shift reports that he'd write. These were e-mailed to an intranet list server, and many people, including company executives, received them. The reports were full of spelling errors, terrible grammar, and looked like they were written by a second grader. Yet, no one cared or did anything about this because the man had been with the company for so long. It was more of a joke than anything else.

Even though the shift reports were internal, I had often wondered what would have happened if a customer would have seen some of these reports. Would they still shrug it off easily if they knew such an ignoramus was costing them money? Would they think it was such a big joke when they started losing orders? It's the same with Bruce. Do the newcomers to amateur radio see Bruce's rants, and think, "If this is what amateur radio has among its ranks, I want no part of it." Most of us ignore Bruce, or see him as a joke. However, his incomprehensible ramblings and insults against "CB Plussers" brand him as a hypocrite and a disgrace far beyond the people he derides, and that certainly is no joke.

# 1162. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 16:01:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123686296.448102.240650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115511

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > > > > > it to you.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > > > > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > > > > > > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > > > > > > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > > > > > > > > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > > > > > > > > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > > > > > > > > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > > > > > > > > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > > > > > > > > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > > > > > > > > band.<<<
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > > > > > > > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> > > > > > > > > follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> > > > > > > > > the history
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.

> > > >
> > > > Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something
> > > > "wrong" when he indeed didn't.
> > >
> > > Poor amateur practice.
> >
> > Where?
>
> being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
> practice

Show where Dave commited a crime...Under US or Tanzanian law,
Markie.

> cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
> you know

They smell because I have your nose down in them rubbing away...

Here they are again:

An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur

you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
licensure?

For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY

domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of

his/her license?

Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

> > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> >
> > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
>
> nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you

Nope.

Brain alleges Dave did something wrong.

There is absolutely NO evidence of any such lawbreaking.

Avoidng the questions is an act of cowardice.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1163. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 16:54:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.16.54.32.105915@gmail.com>
Source record: 115517

Uncle Ted:

Your point is well taken, and I do believe a variety of "tools" have been used to discourage new membership, and in subversive ways. Strange it has worked so well for so long. But in the end, when the pathetic end of all this is examined, it is hard not to see what has been occurring.

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 11:53:31 -0400, Uncle Ted wrote:

> On 9 Aug 2005 20:35:08 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
>>John, Extra Bruce/WA8ULX is somehow simultaneously a brilliant test
>>taker and a functional illiterate. The comments posted here earlier
>>look like a page out of his playbook. I'm sure he's not alone in his
>>Extraness and stupidity, and willingness to sabotage the U.S. amateur
>>radio service. $0.02. bb
>
> At my company, there was a maintenance supervisor who was as stubborn
> and illiterate as Bruce. You either did things his way, or he would
> write you up for insubordination. Often times, his way would involve
> unsafe acts that violated safety practices and OSHA regulations. For
> whatever reason, he didn't think such rules applied to the people
> working under him. (Fortunately, he was put in his place for this
> before someone got hurt, and started abiding by safety procedures.)
>
> The best part about this guy was the shift reports that he'd write.
> These were e-mailed to an intranet list server, and many people,
> including company executives, received them. The reports were full of
> spelling errors, terrible grammar, and looked like they were written
> by a second grader. Yet, no one cared or did anything about this
> because the man had been with the company for so long. It was more of
> a joke than anything else.
>
> Even though the shift reports were internal, I had often wondered what
> would have happened if a customer would have seen some of these
> reports. Would they still shrug it off easily if they knew such an
> ignoramus was costing them money? Would they think it was such a big
> joke when they started losing orders? It's the same with Bruce. Do the
> newcomers to amateur radio see Bruce's rants, and think, "If this is
> what amateur radio has among its ranks, I want no part of it." Most of
> us ignore Bruce, or see him as a joke. However, his incomprehensible
> ramblings and insults against "CB Plussers" brand him as a hypocrite

> and a disgrace far beyond the people he derides, and that certainly is
> no joke.

# 1164. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 16:56:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123693004.917139.283820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115514

John Smith wrote:
> We need to scale back NASA and any space plans, other then the pursuit of
> maintaining military superiority in space, if needed and focusing on
> developing a fuel source which is not harming the planet and threatening
> to bring us to our knees from dwindling supplies.

Why can't we have both?

And what constitutes military superiority in space?

> A scientific project on the scale of NASA and designed to develop a new
> fuel, or new fuels, would be in our best interests...

What's needed is a long-term path to energy independence that's not run
by
a large bureaucratic organization, nor that is politically beholden to
so many groups.

Which pretty much leaves government out of the picture.

--

The problem is bigger than fuel - it's all about how Americans live and
what they
expect life to be like. Also their isolation from cause-and-effect.

For example, it's easy to say the solution is to require better gas
mileage from
new cars. Right now the price of gasoline focuses attention on
gas-guzzling SUVs
and the like.

But if the price of gasoline drops to, say, under $2/gallon, too many
people
forget all about the problem, and buy themselves a Hummer.

Gasoline may seem expensive today, as the price nears $3/gal in some
places. But when you
adjust for inflation, the price isn't that high, compared to, say, the
late 1970s.

The problems go way beyond gasoline. The big question is whether
Americans will change
the way they live in order to achieve energy independence. From what
I've seen in the
past 20-25 years, the answer is pretty much "no". Or rather, "HELL NO!"

What's more, the solutions are complex. A 20 mpg minivan isn't the most efficient vehicle in the world - unless you have, say, six people aboard, who would otherwise be in separate vehicles. One 20 mpg van with six passengers is more energy-efficient than six 100 mpg supereconoboxes.

But will most people carpool? Will they pay for public transit, wind farms, and higher-efficiency appliances? Will they live in walkable towns and cities rather than sprawling into suburbia where every trip requires a car? How much are Americans willing to reduce their consumption of energy to balance the equation?

That's the real challenge. Much tougher problems than space flight, because if the solutions can't survive in the real-world marketplace, they'll disappear.

25 years ago I bought a new car that got 40 mpg city, 50 mpg highway, and met all the pollution and safety regs. The descendants of such cars still exist today. But how many are sold?

There *are* new processes out there, like TDP. Might be snake oil, might be the real thing. How do we separate the wheat from the chaff, and get the good systems working?

> Priorities need to be examined here...

Agreed. But do you think the current administration will deal with it in any way that will
result in energy self-sufficiency? Heck, Shrub thinks "intelligent design" (which is just "creationism in a cheap tuxedo") is real science - but that global warming isn't.

How much are *you* willing to change, spend, and give up for energy independence?

## 1165. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 17:03:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123693437.281821.70830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115515

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > yes of course it is
> > >
> > > Of course it's not.
> >
> > "It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > does the rest."
> >
> > your words
> >
> > and they are a confession to harrasment
> No, they are not.

yes they are

>
> They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> him.

nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective

>
> You're an idiot and you keep acting like a fool.

you are a liar a hypocrite, bully, stalker,Blackmailer and bigot

At least I am telling the truth when I say something like that

>
> That I respond in such a way as to show you both is NOT
> "harrassment".

It is harrassment

>
> If you don't like what you see, Markie, correct your idiotic and
> foolish behaviour.

you mean conform to your standards or else you will continue your
harrassment, that is Blackmail plain and simple

>
> > > > > > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you

> > > > > > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's an answer?
> > > > >
> > > > > yes it is
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > cuting stevie rants
> > >
> > >
> > more cuting of ranting and raving

still ranting and raving

> > > What threats?
>
> > you threats
> > One Shot one kill...
>
> Wasn't a threat seven years ago.

It was and remains a threat

>
> It's not a threat today, no matter how many times you repeat it out

It was and remains a threat

>
> of context.
>
> > your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)
>
> Why does it concern you that you might be brought to the attention

asked and answered

>
> of mental health case workers or law enforcement if you're "OK",
> Markie?

asked and answered

>
> > It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying
>

back to cuting your remarks when you go on your sexaul rants

>
> > but that of course has nothing to do with wether it is a threat on your
> > part

>
> It's not a threat.

it is a threat

>
> > > > your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago
> > >
> > > I didn't threaten yoy with exposing your deviancy, Mark. Pardon
> > > the choice of words, but you "exposed" yourslf all on your own.
> >
> > liar Steve
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > you emailed me your proof and threatened to expose me if I did not stop
> > posting in the newsgroup
> >
> > then you revealed it and found to your shock I never denied it
>
> It's no "shock" on my part that you're a deviant, Markie.

evading the issue again

>
> And still no "proof" of ANY wrongdoing, Markie.

all the proof I need

indeed your worngdoing is proven by the very post I am responding to
NOW

You prove therin that you are a Blackmailer

>
> > > > why do you need everything repeated and again and agian
> > >
> > > Why can't you type the same word the same way in one sentence?
> >
> > becuase
>
>
> "becuase".....BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!

go ahead cry about it

it just shows you can't or won't face facts

>
> > > And I keep hoping that sooner or later you'll come up with a
> > > viable reason for your unviable assertions.

> >
> > liar what you realy want is for me to go away again
>
> No...that would be more like winning the lottery.

aah yes a Confession to your real goals

>
> > > So far, you haven't done it, so expect to keep getting that same
> > > question asked until you pony-up something that sounds remotely
> > > life-like.
> >
> > not into horse's stevie
>
> But you're SOMETHING like a horse's, Markie...

nope

>
> > > > > What 'outing (my) demands'...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > You ARE gay, Markie. You stated so yourself in this forum.
> > > >
> > > > but not until after you outed me, you preceeded that action by
> > > > threatening to do so unless I complied with your demands
> > >
> > > Markie...I DIDN'T OUT YOU! Whoever you THINK was under your saddle
> > > about your deviancy, it wasn't me...You did that
> > > allllllllllllllllllllll by your self!
> >
> > lying stevie
> >
> > nothing but lies on your part
> >
> > You did the stalking looking for something you found it you tired
> > blackmail with it and I did not go along then you did it you outed me
>
> Uh huh...
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

admiision, not that your efforts at blackmail need any more proof

>
> > > > that your blackmail was unsucessfull has no bearing on whether or not
> > > > your prefromed it
> > >
> > > I didn't blackmail you or attempt to blackmail you, ergo it's
> > > irrelevent WHAT was "successful" or not.
> >
> > lying agian
>

> I'm not lying and I'm not an agian, whatever that is...

you are lying again

>
> > > > > > It is all blackmail
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What blackmail?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In order to "prove" blackmail, you have to prove that I stood to
> > > > > > gain from some act or threat of violence against you.
> > > > >
> > > > > no I don't, however in this case you did stand to gain you demanded I
> > > > > stop posting in RRAP obviously you valued that
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep
>
> Nope.

yes you have admitted to it in this very post "No...that would be more
like winning the lottery."

that would would view as such is proof that you would receive something
you value, therefore I have proven that I can prove you gain something
of value to you, by suceeding

>
> > > And still no proof, Mark.
> >
> > I have the proof and carefully in a file
>
cuting Stevie sexaul libel of His Holiness the pope

> > > > > No such "blackmail" was caused since no such threat was made nor
> > > > > did any potential gain exist.
> > > > >
> > > > > wrong you made the threat and stood to gain
> > >
> > > Nope. The ONLY person here who stands to gain anything by you not
> > > posting here is YOU, Mark. Because as long as you post here, you'll be
> > > known as the illiterate lying gay pagan draftee...
> >
> > another lie
> >
> > You want me out of here,
> >
> > very badly
> >
> > I gain nothing by leaving, not even the end of your harassment
>

> You gain everything...including the self-delusion that you're
> right, that you're an "OK" person the way you are, that it's OK to lie,
> and WHAT harrassment...???

I gain nothing by leting you take away My freedom

and it is your harrasment admitted to By you,

giving into Blackmail is always losing

>
> > > > > > > > > your actions quailify
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > sure do
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We will now await your presentation of credentials in
> > > > > > jurisprudence.
> > >
> > > Guess you forgot about "cuting" that one....
> >
> > nope
> >
> > but it isn't my credentcail that are important
>
> Sure it is.

Not at all

My lawyers are

making yet another lie on your part

>
> > > > > > > > Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> > > > > > > > taken from in court.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > it is in context Killing was never the subject
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I never suggested to kill you.
> > > > >
> > > > > liar
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes you have
> >
> > you sent the threat out in the gruops more threats in the NG and more
> > still by email
>

> And you're still lying.

not about being threatened or blackmailed or harrass or defending
myself or..

>
> Why do you lie in public when it's already known that you're an
> admtted liar, Markie?

not lying about anything etc biographical detail that a stalker like
you could use to harm me

Indeed I have a mailing from the local school system set to everyone in
the district on interenet safety encouraging adults to teach children
to conceal their Identity in various ways, including out right lying if
being threatened

>
> How do you think all those admissions of lying are going to go
> over in court...!?!

they would prove inconveinant of course, but not fatal, your lies that
"One Shot one kill even in Illiopolis" is not a statement that a
reasonable person could consider threatening would be harder for you to
defend.

as would your various efforts at blackmail

>
> > someone, possibly you called the Cops In illiopolas with some cock and
> > bull story
>
> If it was a cock and bull story, it wasn't about you.

but it mentoned me by name yea right

>
> > you have boasted of finding a picture of my home in those days here
> > recently
>
> YOU posted it, Markie. It was on your GeoCities site, along with
> your picture...

again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
and other data for it with no legitimate purpose
>
> > > > it is a plain and clear threat
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...
> >
> > no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out
>
> Let's me in, Markie, and YOU out.

your out I am in the FBI is in, the local prosecuter is in, as the
shiref

>
> > > > > That's your rampant paranoia.
> > > >
> > > > one shot one kill is a threat
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...
> >
> > no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out
> >
> > you are the delsuional paraniod
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> I'm not the one who thinks that someone is stalking him, trying to
> kill him over flaming in a newsgroup, and who thinks sexual and
> societal devaiance is OK.

never said you were trying to kill me only that you were threatening
to, you are stalking me and your are showing a preverts interest in my
sexuality

>
> > any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
> > is paranois and delusional
>
> No...LYING about Amateur Radio is an attack on licensed Amateurs.

no one has lied about amteur radio, they have expressed different views
than you agre with

deference in point of view is not falsehood

expressing disent is the Hallmark of America

>
> > it is also a sign of other mental problems
>
> Here we go with Dr Markie's diagnosis again...

never claimed to be a dr

>
> > > > after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered
> > >
> > > Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?
> >
> > not even accusing you of that, but of threatening too can't you keep
> > anything straight?
>

> Sure I can.

then do so

>
> You've allegeded I threatened to kill (murder) you.

and you have threatened to kill me

>
> And yes, you've accused me of it.

no I have accsued you of trying I have accused you of threatening to
murder me

>
> > > > > > > > > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > sure is
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > How?
> > > > > >
> > > > > by being one
> > > >
> > > "by being one" what?
> >
> > by being a threat
>
> How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> something wrong?

By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
him

The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.

If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
troublesome for him

If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
troublesome for me

>
> > > Re-read what you wrote, in response to what >>I<< wrote, Mark...
> > >
> > > It doesn't make sense.
> >
> > sure does
>
> To YOU, perhaps...But English is my native tongue.

then USE english
>
> > > > > Why do you continue to make and remake this assertion in the face
> > > > > of evidence to the contrary?
> > > > >
> > > > > what eveidence?
> > > >
> > > > E X A C T L Y ! ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > N O E V I D E N C E ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > right you have presented no eveidence that you are not making threats
> >
> > I have posted various bit of evidence
>
> You've posted nothing but your paranoid delusions.

Nope You psot all that I need

you have today admitted to all the elements of Blackmail

>
> > therefore you are lying when you say"Why do you continue to make and
> > remake this assertion in the face of evidence to the contrary?",
> > becuase you have present no evidence to contrary
>
> Upside down as usual, Mark!

that must be it you are siting on your head, well do let your brain out
for some air....soon

>
> Nice try, though!
>
> > > >>YOU<< have >>NO EVIDENCE<< of ANY threat!
> > >
> > I have your admission of sending the first threat, and then ore besides
>
> You have NO "admission".

I certainly do

>
> > what more do I need beyond an admission?
>
> Facts, Boyo, because you have no admission of ANYthing...

I have you admitting to typing the words "One Shot One Kill..." I have
you admiting to serching out pictures of Me, my former Home, I have you
admittin gthat you are trying to compel me to leave the Newsgroups

I have plenty

you are lucky no one takes the Usenet that seriously

>
> > > > > > cuting another lies
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > > > > > > > > nothing to do your making them
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I didn't make any, Markie.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > One shot on kill .. is a threat
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > It MEANS, as it meant in the ORIGINAL CONTEXT, was that my
> > > > > > comments "squarely hit" what I "aim" for.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Killing is killing
> > > >
> > > Not int he context of the comment I made, Mark. It meant then, as
> > > it does now, taht I "hit" what I aim at...In the FULL CONTEXT of the
> > > statement I made in response to YOUR comments...
> >
> > Nothing in the context had anything to do with killing, the threat was
> > out of the blue. The is never a reason to threaten to kill anyone over
> > the ARS
>
> You continue this unhealthy rant about threats, Mark.

it is quite healy Ignoring threats is what is unhealthy

>
> No threat was made.

liar

>
> > Indeed what you intended isn't relavant.
>
> Sure it is. Ask a lawyer.

I have

any statement that a reasonable person may view as a threat is a
threat, regradless of the intent of the speaker

try talking about hijacking a Plane in front of law enforement and then
try that you did not mean it, if you are a fool that is

>
> > The standard is would a
> > reasonable person think they were being threatened
>
> And as you said..."that leaves you out"....

You get can't your pronouns right Stevie

learn English

>
> > > > it was and remains a threat
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure is
>
> More evidence of self-delusional acts, Markie...

indeed You are providing evidence of your delsuions, mostly your
delsuions of Granduer

>
> > > Why do you continue to "force" this lie when it's apparent you're
> > > not telling the truth, Mark?
> >
> > becuase I am telling the truth
>
> No, you're not.

Sure am

>
> > The only reason you don't see it is that you are pretty sick
>
> No, actually I am in very good health.

Very sick

>
> > > > > > you are lying in saying otherwise
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are lying in tryng to make it anything more than it was.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely.
> > >
> > > not at all
> > >
> > > if it were nothing you would have appologized for the misunderstanding
> >
> > You might try that now, but I doubt it
>
> You're the one with the misunderstanding, Mark.

nope

>
> Why should >>I<< apologize for YOUR misunderstanding?

you should apologize for making a statement that was seen as a threat,
and that any reasonable person might conclude is a threat

>
> > > > if you were manere when it was brought you would have adknowledged the
> > > > words to have been illchoosen or something like that
> > >
> > > If I was "marere"...?!?!
> > >
> > > As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
> > > response YOUR
> >
> > unfinished thought here?
>
> Nope. Computer hiccup. Oh well.

an unfinshed thought, meaning you are lying again

>
> But here it is in it's entirety:
>
> "As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
> response YOUR words might elicit".

what response.

No reasonable person would expect to exlicit a death threat from a
debate on Morse Code.

and yet that is exactly what you did

>
> > My response was to put you off guard with a simple and harmless lie to
> > deflect you from your threat, based on your nestfew posts it diverted
> > you for several days, till I returned home and could take other actions
>
> Again with this story about "putting me off" and "other actions".

it seemed to work you hardly posted for a bit and I got home to take
other precations, not going to elaborate on what security measures I
took of course

>
> Fiction, Markie...Nothing but fiction...

FACT nothing but FACT

>
> > > > instead when I did not react as you wish you added various threats of
> > > > legal action, repated the thret in various manner and generaly behaved
> > > > in a threatening manner
> > >
> > > > > that it was idle matters not
> > > > >
> > > > > It wasn't a threat..."idle" or otherwise.

> > > >
> > > > sure was
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...
> >
> > to any reasonable person
>
> But you're not that.

I certainly am

>
> > > > nothing is Stevies fault
> > >
> > > It's only "Stevies fault" when Stevie does it wrong.
> >
> > but Stevie never admts to making mistake so accordoing to Stevie
> > nothing is Stevie fault
>
> You keep saying that but it's not true.

it sure is

>
> I keep suggesting you ask around but you refuse to do it.

I have. no response in your favor.

>
> > > So far you've not presented any "evidence" to the contrary except
> > > your childish sandlot "ya-HUH!" arguments.
> >
> > I have your admissions
> >
> > I guess a confession to all the elements of the crime isn't good enough
> > for you
>
> No admissions.

I have your admissions
>
> No confessions.

and confessions

>
> No crime...at least one commited by me.

Blackail, stalking, Making threats
>
> > > > apeartnly it seems an idle one
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...

> >
> > to any reasonable person
>
> Then that leaves you out.

not at all

>
> > > > > > Toddie violated the terms of his TOS. He refused to stop. He got
> > > > > > what he asked for.
> > > > >
> > > > > but you threatened to do , and apealently did it
> > > >
> > > > "apealently"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > I told him I wouldn't tolerate his violent, profane and
> > > > threatening behaviour towards me.
> > >
> > and thereby threatened him
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > He thought rules didn't apply to him.
> >
> > you don't think they apply to you
>
> Sure they do.

then follow them
>
> You just haven't proven where I've "violated" some "rules".

i sure have
>
> > > He found out different.
> >
> > really?
>
> Yep.
>
> > you were claiming that you had nothing to do with what happened to todd
> > with his ISp awhile back now you admit it?
>
> What happened to Todd was a direct result of Todd's violation of
> his TOS with Consolidated Communications. Todd had it in his control
> to NOT violate them.

that is one fo the 2 stories you tell on that issue

>
> > > > have a reason to make a threat doesn't mean you are not threatening him
> > > > >
> > > > you realy don't know english do you
> > > >
> > cuting speling cop ####

cuting your spelling cop shit

> > > > > > you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
> > > > > > actions
> > > > > >
> > > > > Sure I do.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope. Toiddie is responsible for his own actions...And he had to
> > > > > pay a price for them.
> > > > >
> > > > yep as expected and predicted
> > > >
> > > I am sure there was a point there.
> > >
> > indeed and you made it for me thank you
>
> What point?

that you would not take responsiblity for your role that you would
blame Tood and deny you played any parts

> > > Care to make it?
> >
> > I can't you did the job for me
>
> What point?

asked and answered

>
> > > > > If YOU think that I have made all of these threats against you and
> > > > > am the "stalker" you accuse me of, please, file a civil or criminal
> > > > > charge against me, Markie..
> > > > >
> > > > I have sought to do so, and there is realy very little I can do short
> > > > of traveling to Tenn. and doing so that is one of the problems with the
> > > > internet
> > > >
> > > But...but...but...MARK!
> > > >
> > > All this past week you were telling us what great financial shape
> > > you're allegedly in...two cars..house...investments...allegedly turned
> > > down a $240K/yr job...
> > >

> > good shape, yes, your point?
>
> The point is you're lying about THIS, too...

nope

i am good shape I have what I need and most of what I want, i certainly was not interested in working in Iraq (the going rate is 20k/month or 240k/year)
>
> You claim you can't afford to come to Tennessee.

Never made that claim

I claim It isn't WORTH coming to Tennessee

>
> > > Guess that's yet ANOTHER lie we've caught you in.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

anothe case of you making up a story

Try the Fiction publishers

>
> > you really don't read do you.
>
cuting spelling cop

> > I have to travel to tennesse at some point to deal with you, spend a
> > lot of money that you are not worth , to obtain a judgement that you
> > would not likely be able to pay
> >
> > I am in good shape because I choose my fights carefully
>
cuting Stevie Bluster

> > Unlike you I don't go in full bore without thinking things through
>
> Sure you do.
>
> You're making ludicrous charges in a public forum EVERY day.

not at all

>
> You've admitted to being a prolific liar and that's been archived.

nope I Have admited to lying about my bio my name for reasons of self protection

Prolific liar is never something I have admitted too

>
> Seems pretty "full bore" to me...

when you make up stuff like you do Stevie I am sure it looks like a
bigger deal than it is

But then I deal in the REAL world

>
> > > > > It will be quite satisfying to have you served with the countersuit
> > > > > and then see who's side holds up better in court.
> > > > >
> > > > > more threats
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > A promise. You file any "charges" or "suit" against me, and your
> > > ears will whistle for a week with the paper landing in your lap from
> > > summons servers!
> > >
> > that is certainly a lie my ears would not wistle at all
> >
> Sure they would.

nope

>
> > at one point you were going to make a phone call and have me arrested
> > like that
> >
> Nope. Have you INVESTIGATED. There IS a difference.

That isn't How I recall it, but In fairness maybe you are right. How
did that come off? the Investagtion you promised. Never got near enough
to me to notice.

>
> > You realy don't know much about how the world works
> >
> Seems you're the one with the perception deficits, Markie.

I miss a view things sure everyone does, but I, at least, have a
conection to the real world. You lack any contact with it, you exist
within and never seem to see it

>
> > > > > > Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure it is.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And I've put my "hand out" with apologies or corrections where
> > > > > > appropriate.

> > > >
> > > > still haven't adknowledged your mistakes about the Brothers Grim
> > > >
> > > It's "Grimm", Markie.
> >
> > and still stevie you fail to fess up
>
> On what?

the brothers grimm

>
> > > > > Ask around.
> > > > >
> > > > No one answers yes you have
> > > >
> > > You've not asked the question. And I bet most everyone is
> > > ignoring these posts...so ask them directly.
> >
> > not most everyone other coment on them,
> >
> > Again your claim you make it
>
> Nope.
>
> YOU claimed I never apologize or admit mistakes.

and you never do

>
> I've given you references to verify.

no you have not

>
> You refuse to do it.

have asked nobody has spoken up

>
> > lazy bum
>
> Coward.

prehaps, but I prefer that to being a brave bully that threatens, and
blackmails people

>
> > > > > There are NO threats.
> > > > >
> > > > sure are
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> >

> > yep
> >
> > you have admtted to making them, just denieng that they are threats
>
> I can't "admit to making them" and then deny them, Markie.

you Sure Can and do so often

>
> I've stated all along, and correctly so, that I never made a
> threat.

and that statement is lie
>
> > > > not going to retype them and retype em
> > >
> > > You can retype the Magna Carta and it won't prove anything.
> >
> > you even blew that one Stevie it would indeed prove something but of
> > course Magna Carta has nothing to do with this matter so again you
> > evade the issue
>
> Nope.

yep It would prove that I had done so, but of course that would have
nothing to do with the issue at hand

>
> > > You'll still have no threats.
> >
> > I have your admissions
>
> I didn't admit to any wrongdoing, Markie.

you have admitted to all the element of the wrong doings you have been
accused of

>
> You have nothing.

I have your admission and you repeating and continuing your efforts at
black mail

>
> > > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to come to
> > > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > the one you typed and admitted to typing
>
> I never typed a threat.

and you just lied again

>
> Why do you keep lying about this? (And then snipping my questions
> about WHY you lie about it...?!?!)

You are lying you continue to lie.

You made threats

you have tried and continue to try to balcmail me in various ways

I can't answer a question about why I and doing that I am not doing

Why do you conitue to lie?

>
> > > > > > > > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > What evasion?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > your evasion
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > WHAT evasion...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > your eveasion
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What "eveasion"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > yours
> > >
> > > WHAT EVASION...?!?!?
> >
> > yours
>
> Still no evasion, Markie...

sure is

>
> Just your tit-for-tat accusations that are patently false.
>
> > > > > > I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
> > > > > > repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You've retyped nothing except "your threats" and "your evasion",
> > > > > > Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > lying agian
> > >
> > > I am not lying and I am not an agian.
> >
> > You are lying, and I never said you are an "again"
>

> Re-read the sentence above, IdiotBoy.

I did and it is still true

You are lying, and I never said you are an "again"

>
> > so 2 lies one sentence
>
> Nope...but we have YOU looking stupid over one of YOUR typos
> again!
>
> > > > > You've not SUBSTANTIATED either...
> > > >
> > > > you have admited to typing "One shot on kill" that does it right there,
> > > > the rest just piles on
> > >
> > > That wasn't a threat.
> >
> > sure was
>
> Why does Mark Morgan continue to lie?

why Does Stevie continue to lie?

>
> > > Wasn't then...isn't now...won't be 100 years from now...
> >
> > will always be a threat
>
> Only to a paranoid delusional mind, Markie...

To Me and to any reasonable person.

>
> > > > > I love being called "stupid" in a sentence that is so fractured
> > > > > that it takes several re-readings to figure out that I was "insulted".
> > > >
> > > > then where is your thank you
> > >
> > > You flushed it after your last bowel movement, Markie.
> >
> > more #### form you stevie

more speling cop cut more stevie forgery

> > > > your make threats
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > yours
> >
> > admitted to and documented more than once

>
> I've not admitted to ANY threats. Not a one.

yes you have

>
> > > > by the way It is infact ilegal for you to came HERE since you have been
> > > > informed your are unwelcome
> > >
> > > It would be illegal for me to knock on your front door or violate
> > > your privacy.
> >
> > it is ilegal for you to enter my land
>
> That's what I said, IdiotBoy.

not what you said and go on to repeat

>
> Now where's YOUR basis for stating that it would be illegal for me
> to travel to Michigan...the UP or otherwise...?!?!

I said HERE I did not say Michigan, or the UP or New jersy I said HERE

>
> > > It would NOT be illegal for me to come to Michigan...ANYwhere in
> > > Michigan.
> >
> > wrong It is ilegal for you to come to my Land
>
> As I said above...

and I did too

>
> > and would allow me to use deadly force if I choose
>
> Now THERE'S a THREAT ! ! ! ! ! !

Nope it is not

It is a fact That I may use deadly force in defense of myself or my
property

>
> Better read the law on what constitutes the VALID use of deadly
> force, Markie.

I have.

>
> Hint: Cutting across your front yard isn't it....

Violating posted no trespassing signs is

esp when you personaly have been wraned to stay away

>
> > > Nor would it be illegal for me to speak with local law enforcement
> > > or mental health case workers about you, being careful to express my
> > > concerns as just that...concerns.
> >
> > HMM seem you were threatening me if I contacted Tennesse about my
> > concerns
>
> Nope.
>
> I just said if YOU file a suit, be prepared for the result.

nope another lie

you must not bother keeping track of your lies

you said it was criminal to contact Childrens services about my
concerns that you are mentaly Likely mentaly abusing your kid

of course you were wrong about that

but that was your threat
>
> > those laws do work both ways
>
> As I have been telling YOU, IdiotBoy.

then act like like it

>
> > > > > > > > > threatening action with various parties
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What threats?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > asked and answered
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Avoided and evaded by you.
> > > > >
> > > > asked and answered
> > >
> > > Avoided and evaded by you.
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Escaped and evaded.

there is a lie

Hardly escaped as long as you are around

>
> > > > > > > > > > stop harassing people
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > I'm not harrassing people.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > liar
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
> > > > > > the Air, by what I hear from other hams
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then you've proven that you're "hearing voices", Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > > >
> > > > > I have hear Hams call you a Papa Oscar serria on the air
> > > >
> > > > "heard"
> > > >
> > > > "Sierra"
> > > >
> > > > I am sure you hear a LOT of voices doing that, Markie...
> > >
> > Only during a good opening to tennesse
> >
> > is it so hard to admit there are other hams that don't like you?
>
> I am sure there are.

well progress

>
> But unless YOU get on the air and say something, I doubt you'll
> hear them...

but i have

>
> > > All part of your delusional mindset, Markie.
> >
> > nope it is part of yours I fear
>
> You have good reason to fear, Markie.

not realy

>
> You're sick and need help.

well I could use for Law enforcement to do there jobs about people that
make threats and resort to stupid blackmail like yourself

>
> > > No...you've CLAIMED that you have tried...
> >
> > that too of course
> >
> > but I have your repeated confessions that is about all I really need
>
> You keep claiming THAT too, but you have no confession from me on
> ANYthing.

sure do

>
> > > All you do is make sandlot taunts.
> > >
> > > None of them effective or accurate.
> >
> > confessions are quite effective
>
> They would be if you had one.

and I do, plenty of them

>
> > > > > > > > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you don't have any right to threaten people
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I haven't threatened anyone.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > lying again
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes One shot one kill is a threat
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure is
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > A prosecuter won't touch your "claim" based upon the original
> > > context and in response to your own words, Markie.
> >
> > there is no context in which it isn't a threat
>
> Sure there is...The original context in which it was stated and
> the comments to which it was responding...YOUR comments by the way...

another lie

taking about Killing in a deabte is not contextualy appropreate
behavoir

>
> > > > > > I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope, nope and nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > yep yep and yep
> > >
> > > Nope, nope and nope.
> >
> > sure have and I have posted and reported
>
> Nope, nope and nope.
>
> > > And no matter how many times you wish to carry that one out, it
> > > still won't be true.
> >
> > Huh?
>
cuting your mocking of yourself making no sense

> > It is ture that you have threatened me, both publicaly and in private
> > email
>
> No, it's not.

sure is

>
> > it is ture that you have harrased me publicaly and privately
>
> Nope.

fraid so

>
> > You have threatened Todd Her I have seen that.
>
> Why are you calling Todd a "Her"...?!?! And I didn't threaten
> Todd.

sure have

>
> > You ahve harrassed Todd I have seen that myself
>
> Gee, Markie...got "have" spelled wrong...'magine that.
>
> And you're deluding yourself again.
>

> > You have admitng to conducting what Len discribes as harrassing IMing
> > of him on AOL
>
> Lennie's another liar. Documented.

No Len disagrees with you, that is all you have documented

but you confirmed the essentails of his story
>
> He lied then, he's lied since.
>
> > You harrass and Threaten BB in this forum
>
> S T I L L more mistruths, Markie!

nope simple facts

>
> When will it stop?

never started

>
> > > > > > when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
> > > > > > start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
> > > > > > lets you out
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Any discussion group wherein YOU profess to be an "inteligent"
> > > > > > (intelligent) man is a conversation mispent.
> > > > >
> > > > > more evasion
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > You accused me of not being "inteligent". I corrected your error
> > > > and answerd the claim.
> > >
> > > not at all I questioned it I still do, since you do not ACT like an
> > > inteligent human being
> >
> > You're reading your own stuff, Markie.

I am indeed of course, but you are still evading

>
> > let alone an adult one
> >
> > You're reading your own stuff, Markie.
> >
> > > you also did not address the point
> >
> > Several times over, but that hasn't stopped you!

not even once

>
> > > No evasion.
> >
> > Not addressing the point is an evasion stevie
>
> Then you're guiltyn of THAT "rule" over and over...

I am guility of not letting you move off the point

>
> > > > > > > >the 1st amendment does cover that
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, it does not.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > QUOTE:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > > > > > > > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> > > > > > > > speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> > > > > > > > assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > UNQUOTE:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What IS there:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > so what?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The assertions YOU cut from the quote were NOT covered by a single
> > > > > > > word of the First Amendment.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Let's put this BACK into context since you snipped all of that
> > > > > > > out...
> > > > > > cuting out your evasive waste of bandwidth
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now, I'd appreciate it if you'd acknowldge your "respoinblty" to
> > > > > > TAKE "respoinblty" for your mistake.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no mistake
> > > > >
> > > > A big mistake...on your part. The one wherein you think you can
> > > > keep blustering your way through your inappropriate claims.
> > >
> > not at all
>
> Sure.
>
> > > > you keep trying to divert the issue
> > > >
> > > No I don't.
> > >

> > sure do
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > you have NO right to threaten people
> > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it
>
> No...I said I don't threaten people.

You Have SAID you Don't, but you do threaten them

>
> > > > you have NO right to harrass people
> > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it
>
> No...I said I don't harrass people.

You Have SAID you Don't, but you do harass them
>
> > > > you have NO right to stalk people
> > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it
>
> No...I said I don't stalk people.

You Have SAID you Don't, but you do stalk them
>
> > > > The first amendent does not give the right to do ilgeal things
> > >
> > > You again express your obvious misunderstanding of what is said in
> > > the First Amendment to the Constitution, Markie.
> >
> > I understand it clearly your mind is fogged on that subject and many
> > others
>
> Your mind is the fogged one, IdiotBoy.
>
> You're the one who said the First Amendment said things it didn't
> say.

no you say I said the 1st says thing I never said it said

>
> > > > nor does any other part of the Constitution

> > >
> > > And there is nothing in ANY part of the Constitution that is
> > > "violated" by our exchanges here, Mark....
> > >
> > never said that it was
>
> Sure you did!

nope

>
> > What I said is that constitution does not give the right to threaten or
> > harrass people
>
> You said the First Amendment said that. You were wrong. I
> re-posted the same thing three times over proving you were wrong.

no I said the 1st did not give the power to threaten or harrass people
I never made a stement about the text

and Indeed you ahve proven that nothing in that text gives you the
power to threaten or harass people

>
> Are you going to admit your error, or do we just throw this one on
> the pile with the rest?

your error in making up a stament close but not what I said

You conitue to claim you have the right under the first amdendment to
threaten or harrass the 1st gives you no such right

>
> > > > > > a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The fact remains that the First Amendment does NOT say what you
> > > > > > alleged it to say.
> > > > > >
> > > > > i was simply using your quoting of the text
> > > >
> > > You simply did not.
> > >
> > sure did
>
> You're NOW lying about the content of the First Amendment.

only if you misquoted it

>
> Is there ANY topic that you won't lie about, Markie...?!?!

plenty of them like the 1st

but why do you lie about the 1st

>
> > > You tried to take ONE word from it and apply it contrary to it's
> > > use in THAT Amendement.
> >
> > nope not at all
>
> Yep. Absolutely.

nope

>
> > > You failed.
> >
> > Not realy but then I wasn't doing what said I was doing and faling at
> > it, this rambling on and on is part of your SOP here, wander all over
> > the place
>
> Nope...YOU are the one making FALSE references to the First
> Amendment of the Constitution. I proved you wrong. Are you going to
> admit your error?

The first Give you no right to threaten or harrass folk it is and
remains a fact

no error

>
> > > > > > that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
> > > > > > have a legs to stand on
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "ois ilegal"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What were you trying to say?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What "hate speech"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > your bashing of people for being gay or pagan or just different
> > > >
> > > > I don't "bash" you, Mark, and certainly NOT for any of those
> > > > reasons.
> > >
> > > lying again Stevie
> >
> > Nope.

yep

>
> > > You keep getting your nose tweeked because you keep getting caught
> > > lying.
> > >
> > > And in fact you've LIED about all of those things.
> >

> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
> > > You claimed you WEREN'T gay, but it's since been proven with your
> > > own words that you are.
> >
> > well i ambisexual you are not up on the facts
>
> Sure I am.

nope

>
> You're having sex with men. You're doing it right now. Why lie
> MORE about it?

I am certianly not having sex with any man right now. I currently have
no male partner, I have had them in the past and may well in the
future, but that in and of itself merely makes me not heterosexual, the
remaining possiblities are Homesexual or Bisexaul. I am Bisexaul

>
> > > You claimed you weren't pagan, but it's since been proven with your
> > > own words that you are.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

another on you part

>
> > > And you've claimed that you're not "dffrent" when it's CLEARLY
> > > evident that you are!
> >
> > another lie stevie
>
> Not in the least.

I am certainly not "dffent"

>
enoug on sex for one post

> All of it proven by your own word.
>
> > > > > > you are guilty of threats and hate speech
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. Not even close.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure are I posted awhile back some example form just your titles
> > > >

> > > And none of them were "hate speech" as defined by the Civil Rights
> > > Act.
> >
> > sure are
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > > Profanity is the tool of a violent mind, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > IYO
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > In the opinion of those "pros" you always refer to.
> >
> > now you are just lying agian
>
> Nope. Not lying, and not lying "agian".

yes lying and yes lying agian

>
> > > > > I do assert my free right to use such words as I choose as long I as I
> > > > > don't violate the rights of others
> > > >
> > > > By re-titling these posts "stvie ####" you violate MY right to not
> > > > be called a profane word or to have my "intellectual property"
> > > > defiled...
> >
> > you have no such right
>
> Sure I do.

from what source

you have no right not to be addresed profanely

nor has your intelectual property been defiled I can title my posts as
I choose

>
> > > > > No I am disgusted by your manner your hypocrazy, your stupidity
> > > > >
> > > > > Then you're focusing on the wrong things. You should be disgusted
> > > > > by the fact that you are a decietful liar who can't post a word without
> > > > > errors being laid bare.
> >
> > more lies from stevie
>
> Nope.

I can cetain post a word with error being laid bare

I am disgusted by your manner, your hypocrazy, your stupidity, your
arogance at trying to dictate what I may focus on

>
> > > > > Then stop doing it.
> > > >
> > > > more off tager Bull####
> > >
> > > What a "tager"...?!?!
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > been doing it for years
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > cuting stvie distoring context
> > > > > > > cuting speling cop
> > > > > >
> > > > cutng spelling cop
> > >
> > cuting spelling cop
>
cuting spelling cop

> > > > > A M E R I C A N law allowed for alterations to the treaty as did
> > > > > caveats IN the treaty that gave administrations some leeway.
> > > > >
> > > > Indeed
> > > > >
> > > > but the treaty lang made the ADA case much harder
> > >
> > > No it didn't.
> >
> > sure did
>
> Sure it did...that was the whole urpose of the ADA...to provide a
> "one stop shopping" law that, in essence, said not matter what may be
> written in any other law, the disabled have certain rights.

you don't understand

the ADA is a LAW

the ITU treaty is the equal of the Constitution. the Provisions of the
ITU treaty or any other treaty for that matter (once the president has
signed and the Senate has advised and consented) outway the effect of
any law. That being said the fact the US could legaly dance around the
wording of s25.2 made the case still fightable

>
> > > If anything, the ADA made it EASIER to make your argument viable.
> >
> > Stvie you have lost it
> >
> > ADA made the case possible, the treaty made the case harder
> >

> > what your last stament has to do with the matter I don't know
>
> You "don't know" because you have a hard time comprehending
> English and paying attention to the flow of the conversation.

no I understand it fine

you don't know about the differences between the law of the land and
the provisions of the constitution, and the provisions of treaties

>
> > > > > > I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then obviously not enough dedication.
> > > > >
> > > > > if you like
> > >
> > > Nope...If YOU like...Obviously you don't have the heart for it.
> >
> > If it is what I like well I did not care to spend the money or time at
> > what I was sure would be won otherwise
>
> Not likely. Not with blind and deaf people passing Element 1
> within the liberal guidelines that the FCC had already established.

well the problem is YOUR english

We have won the issue without going to court on the ADA issue

But today absent the treaty and ADA chalenge would have been very
likely to suceed, the blind and deaf are far from the only people
disabled in the country

>
> Makes it pretty hard to establish a need for a change of federal
> rules when one can point to people with the same disabilites you
> suggest need the help who have already passed the exam you claim they
> can't pass WITHOUT the help.....

a lie of course

I am disabled and am not disabled in the same way as the blind and deaf

>
> > indeed it apears that My discussing the possible case, may have
> > influneced NCI to include the ADA case arguement in there threat to the
> > FCC one they seem to caved on
> >
> > So It seems other used the case as another wedge
>
> Uh huh.....
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

>
> > > > > > you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
> > > > > > done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure I do.
> > > > >
> > > > > then you must be willfully lying when you make these claims
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure do since you lie about what was said
>
> I've quoted what YOU have said, Markie.

nope

>
> Are you now claiming that YOU were lying?

nope

>
> > > > > > that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
> > > > > > often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
> > > > > > YOUR making
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No lie made here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You made threats of lawsuits under ADA.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > Yep.
> > >
> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
> > > > > I discussed the posiblity
> > > >
> > > > You said your "laywr" was preparing your brief".
> > >
> > no never said said anything about "laywr"
>
> Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh....
>
> > > > > I discussed the pros and cons
> > > > >
> > > > > I decided against it
> > > >
> > > > One of the very few wise things you ever did...or should I say

> > > DIDN'T do...?!?!
> > >
> > > > you lie whan you make the staement "You made threats of lawsuits under
> > > > ADA."
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes have have lied and contiue to do so
>
> I KNOW you have lied and continue to do so.

I KNOW you have lied stevie and continue to do so.
>
> Why won't you stop it? It does NOTHING to help your point!

stop what? pointing out your lies

>
> > > > > > > > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > > > > > > > it you have got anything worth talking
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The cost would be yours twice over, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > prehaps which does not alter the factual statement I just made
> > > >
> > > > going to court is such a crap shoot
> > >
> > > In YOUR case it would be...
> >
> > in all cases it is
>
> Nope.
yep

>
> > > > > Your initial filing against me, then your defense expenses for the
> > > > > countersuit.
> > > >
> > > > nope no doubling there I'd be handle one level on contingincy youd be
> > > > paying out of pocket the whole way mostlikely, or at least in your
> > > > defense
> > >
> > > First of all, no lawyer is going to take a "he said/she said" case
> > > "on contingency" unless it's against Wal-Mart, one of the Big Three in
> > > Detroit, etc. In those cases they at least hope to win "shut up" money
> > > and probably will.
> >

> > again you are misinformed
>
> Nope.
>
> You forget what "contingency" means...
>
> It means the lawyer get's paid CONTINGENT upon winning ang getting
> a settlement.
>
> NO lawyer, unless he's "family", is going to take that he said/she
> said case, Markie...

of course it isn't a he said/she said case

it is a clear case of reading your words

posted by you

>
> > > Secondly, as I told you before, I have the resources to overcome
> > > any legal case YOU could hopre to mount from Michigan. At the most,
> > > I'd have to pony-up filing fees...No big deal...
> > >
> > > > > > > > that applies to you or anyone for that matter
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yep...And they can countersue too.
> > > > >
> > > > > yea never said otherwise
> > >
> > > But you, like Toiddie, throw "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE" around as if in
> > > doing so you are the only one who can use legal resourse.
> >
> > no another lie on your part, or is it delusions again
>
> Nope.

sure is

>
> No delusions.

yep

>
> > > As I have clearly demonstrated here, however, you'll quickly find
> > > out that you are NOT the only person who can bring a suit.
> >
> > more threats
>
> Nope. A promise. "Sue" me and see what happens.

now taunting like a little boy

grow up please

>
> > > > It is easy to sue in America
> > > >
> > > Finally you get the idea...
> > >
> > no it has taken you several years to get that
>
cuting bluster

>
> > > > not so easy to collect
> > > >
> > > Bravo.
> > >
> > which is why sueing you is not worth while
>
more bluster

>
> > > > but you are of course bashing the disabled
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure are
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > Serbo-Croation is a difficult language. I tried. Gave up.
> > >
> > not really Russian now that is one is bad
>
> Obviously English is pretty hard, too, judging by that last
> sentence of yours...

but you are no judge

>
> > > And I can't differentiate the characters in Braille adequately to
> > > use it.
> > >
> > you just didn't want to bad enough
>
> Nope. Didn't need to.

did not want to bad enough

>
> > > No bashing occured.
> > >

> > sure did
>
> Nope.

yep

making fun of the disabled is bashing them

>
> > > > > > with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What "clear lack of human compassion"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > your clear lack
> > > >
> > > > Clear lack of what?
> > >
> > > human compassion
> >
> > Where do you get that?

from your words, and attitudes

>
> Just because I don't buy your self-victimizing routine?

nope from your manner, and your langauge, your threatening behavoir
>
> > > > > > You mean not being a soft touch for every self-appreciating,
> > > > > > self-victimizing jerk that tries to take advantge of others compassion,
> > > > > > Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope I mean your mean sprited obcessive bulling
> > > >
> > > > cutting more spelling cop
cuting the spelling cop again

> > > > > > If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
> > > > > > to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more lies this time about the number of hospital you could affect
> > > > >
> > > > > It's got nothing to do with me.
> > > >
> > > > then you lied when you typed " If I were that, every hospital in every
> > > > community would be filed to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever
> > > > seeing an empty bed again."
> > > >
> > > > You typed the I not me
> >
> > It's got to do with Nurses being soft touches for system abusers
> > such as yourself.

but that isn't what you typed it is what you changed your story after
being caught

>
> > > It's got to do with Nurses not being a "soft touch" as I stated
> > > above.
> >
> > no you stated something else
>
> Nope. I meant what I said. Anything else is YOU putting words in
> other people's mouths.

"If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again."

your words making the absurd claim that your action can fill or empty
all the ospital beds in the US or is it the World

>
> > > And trust me when I say that every ER I ahve worked in, East,
> > > West, North and South has it's share of "frequent flyers"...system
> > > abusers just like you, Markie.
> >
> > more libel
>
> Nope.
>
> Fact.

libel

>
> > > > > > was it another power play?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > By saying "another" powert play, you're suggesting an ORIGINAL
> > > > > > "power play".
> > > > >
> > > > > yea
> > > >
> > > And it was...?!?!?
> > >
> > > > > > What would have been the first.
> > > > >
> > > > > not sure what power play was the first you go on about so many
> > >
> > > You've not named one so far.
> >
> > sure have
> >
> > Your actions as VE in denieing a properly issued code waiver for one
>
> I am under NO responsibility to sign an application if I feel that
> the applicaiton would represent the submission of a falsified

> application.

you are respoinble for obeying the rules you failed to do so with any
cause

>
> > your efforts to control the posting of Todd, BB, Len and Me
>
> Oh make no doubts, Markie...I don't "control" them...

Never claimed you did contol them just that you try

ctuing divertion

> > > > > > > > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > > > > > > > sure...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> > > > > > > > > that you arre any good at it
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > cutin spelling cop
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Still 33 1/3%, however this time you ever mispelled your mispelled
> > > > > > word!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
> > > > > >
> > > > > No I didn't.
> > > > >
> > > > sure did
> > > >
> > > Sheesh.
> > >
> > > Where, Markie. WHERE did I say "I am trying to intimidate you",
> > > Markie?
> >
> > never said you stated that I siad you were doing it
>
> Sure you said I said it, Markie!

no i did not

>
> QUOTE:
>
> > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
>
> > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
>
> UNQUOTE

ok no statement there that I said you said "I am trying to intimidate
you"

so you are lying again

>
> To "admit" to anything, I'd have to say or write it.

no you could also admit to preform the elements of the act, which you
have done
cuting stevie repeathimself

> > > No quote = Markie Lying.
> >
> > nope
>
> Absolutely.
>
> No quote means you were making it up all along.

No you ahve admitted to all the elements of Intimadation
as well as all elements of Blackmail

>
> > > > > > > > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > But you HAVE left us laughing!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > comenting on your words
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Commenting"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "heard"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am not "talking to (my)self"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am talking ABOUT you.
> > >
> > > Still.
> >
> > now you are talking to yourself
> >
> > look at the lines
>
> It's not "talking to (my)self", Markie.

it is talking to yourself
>
> It was pointing out that you're STILL a laughing stock!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1166. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 17:04:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123693482.478971.131640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115516

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > yes of course it is
> > >
> > > Of course it's not.
> >
> > "It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > does the rest."
> >
> > your words
> >
> > and they are a confession to harrasment
> No, they are not.

yes they are

>
> They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> him.

nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective

>
> You're an idiot and you keep acting like a fool.

you are a liar a hypocrite, bully, stalker,Blackmailer and bigot

At least I am telling the truth when I say something like that

>
> That I respond in such a way as to show you both is NOT
> "harrassment".

It is harrassment

>
> If you don't like what you see, Markie, correct your idiotic and
> foolish behaviour.

you mean conform to your standards or else you will continue your
harrassment, that is Blackmail plain and simple

>
> > > > > > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you

> > > > > > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's an answer?
> > > > >
> > > > > yes it is
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > cuting stevie rants
> > >
> > >
> > more cuting of ranting and raving

still ranting and raving

> > > What threats?
>
> > you threats
> > One Shot one kill...
>
> Wasn't a threat seven years ago.

It was and remains a threat

>
> It's not a threat today, no matter how many times you repeat it out

It was and remains a threat

>
> of context.
>
> > your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)
>
> Why does it concern you that you might be brought to the attention

asked and answered

>
> of mental health case workers or law enforcement if you're "OK",
> Markie?

asked and answered

>
> > It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying
>

back to cuting your remarks when you go on your sexaul rants

>
> > but that of course has nothing to do with wether it is a threat on your
> > part

>
> It's not a threat.

it is a threat

>
> > > > your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago
> > >
> > > I didn't threaten yoy with exposing your deviancy, Mark. Pardon
> > > the choice of words, but you "exposed" yourslf all on your own.
> >
> > liar Steve
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > you emailed me your proof and threatened to expose me if I did not stop
> > posting in the newsgroup
> >
> > then you revealed it and found to your shock I never denied it
>
> It's no "shock" on my part that you're a deviant, Markie.

evading the issue again

>
> And still no "proof" of ANY wrongdoing, Markie.

all the proof I need

indeed your worngdoing is proven by the very post I am responding to
NOW

You prove therin that you are a Blackmailer

>
> > > > why do you need everything repeated and again and agian
> > >
> > > Why can't you type the same word the same way in one sentence?
> >
> > becuase
>
>
> "becuase".....BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!

go ahead cry about it

it just shows you can't or won't face facts

>
> > > And I keep hoping that sooner or later you'll come up with a
> > > viable reason for your unviable assertions.

> >
> > liar what you realy want is for me to go away again
>
> No...that would be more like winning the lottery.

aah yes a Confession to your real goals

>
> > > So far, you haven't done it, so expect to keep getting that same
> > > question asked until you pony-up something that sounds remotely
> > > life-like.
> >
> > not into horse's stevie
>
> But you're SOMETHING like a horse's, Markie...

nope

>
> > > > > What 'outing (my) demands'...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > You ARE gay, Markie. You stated so yourself in this forum.
> > > >
> > > > but not until after you outed me, you preceeded that action by
> > > > threatening to do so unless I complied with your demands
> > >
> > > Markie...I DIDN'T OUT YOU! Whoever you THINK was under your saddle
> > > about your deviancy, it wasn't me...You did that
> > > allllllllllllllllllllll by your self!
> >
> > lying stevie
> >
> > nothing but lies on your part
> >
> > You did the stalking looking for something you found it you tired
> > blackmail with it and I did not go along then you did it you outed me
>
> Uh huh...
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

admiision, not that your efforts at blackmail need any more proof

>
> > > > that your blackmail was unsucessfull has no bearing on whether or not
> > > > your prefromed it
> > >
> > > I didn't blackmail you or attempt to blackmail you, ergo it's
> > > irrelevent WHAT was "successful" or not.
> >
> > lying agian
>

> I'm not lying and I'm not an agian, whatever that is...

you are lying again

>
> > > > > > It is all blackmail
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What blackmail?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In order to "prove" blackmail, you have to prove that I stood to
> > > > > > gain from some act or threat of violence against you.
> > > > >
> > > > > no I don't, however in this case you did stand to gain you demanded I
> > > > > stop posting in RRAP obviously you valued that
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep
>
> Nope.

yes you have admitted to it in this very post "No...that would be more
like winning the lottery."

that would would view as such is proof that you would receive something
you value, therefore I have proven that I can prove you gain something
of value to you, by suceeding

>
> > > And still no proof, Mark.
> >
> > I have the proof and carefully in a file
>
cuting Stevie sexaul libel of His Holiness the pope

> > > > > No such "blackmail" was caused since no such threat was made nor
> > > > > did any potential gain exist.
> > > > >
> > > > > wrong you made the threat and stood to gain
> > >
> > > Nope. The ONLY person here who stands to gain anything by you not
> > > posting here is YOU, Mark. Because as long as you post here, you'll be
> > > known as the illiterate lying gay pagan draftee...
> >
> > another lie
> >
> > You want me out of here,
> >
> > very badly
> >
> > I gain nothing by leaving, not even the end of your harassment
>

> You gain everything...including the self-delusion that you're
> right, that you're an "OK" person the way you are, that it's OK to lie,
> and WHAT harrassment...???

I gain nothing by leting you take away My freedom

and it is your harrasment admitted to By you,

giving into Blackmail is always losing

>
> > > > > > > > > your actions quailify
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Not in an AMERICAN court of law they wouldn't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > sure do
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We will now await your presentation of credentials in
> > > > > > jurisprudence.
> > >
> > > Guess you forgot about "cuting" that one....
> >
> > nope
> >
> > but it isn't my credentcail that are important
>
> Sure it is.

Not at all

My lawyers are

making yet another lie on your part

>
> > > > > > > > Put it back in context, Markie, because that's where it would be
> > > > > > > > taken from in court.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > it is in context Killing was never the subject
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I never suggested to kill you.
> > > > >
> > > > > liar
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes you have
> >
> > you sent the threat out in the gruops more threats in the NG and more
> > still by email
>

> And you're still lying.

not about being threatened or blackmailed or harrass or defending
myself or..

>
> Why do you lie in public when it's already known that you're an
> admtted liar, Markie?

not lying about anything etc biographical detail that a stalker like
you could use to harm me

Indeed I have a mailing from the local school system set to everyone in
the district on interenet safety encouraging adults to teach children
to conceal their Identity in various ways, including out right lying if
being threatened

>
> How do you think all those admissions of lying are going to go
> over in court...!?!

they would prove inconveinant of course, but not fatal, your lies that
"One Shot one kill even in Illiopolis" is not a statement that a
reasonable person could consider threatening would be harder for you to
defend.

as would your various efforts at blackmail

>
> > someone, possibly you called the Cops In illiopolas with some cock and
> > bull story
>
> If it was a cock and bull story, it wasn't about you.

but it mentoned me by name yea right

>
> > you have boasted of finding a picture of my home in those days here
> > recently
>
> YOU posted it, Markie. It was on your GeoCities site, along with
> your picture...

again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
and other data for it with no legitimate purpose
>
> > > > it is a plain and clear threat
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...
> >
> > no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out
>
> Let's me in, Markie, and YOU out.

your out I am in the FBI is in, the local prosecuter is in, as the
shiref

>
> > > > > That's your rampant paranoia.
> > > >
> > > > one shot one kill is a threat
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...
> >
> > no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out
> >
> > you are the delsuional paraniod
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> I'm not the one who thinks that someone is stalking him, trying to
> kill him over flaming in a newsgroup, and who thinks sexual and
> societal devaiance is OK.

never said you were trying to kill me only that you were threatening
to, you are stalking me and your are showing a preverts interest in my
sexuality

>
> > any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
> > is paranois and delusional
>
> No...LYING about Amateur Radio is an attack on licensed Amateurs.

no one has lied about amteur radio, they have expressed different views
than you agre with

deference in point of view is not falsehood

expressing disent is the Hallmark of America

>
> > it is also a sign of other mental problems
>
> Here we go with Dr Markie's diagnosis again...

never claimed to be a dr

>
> > > > after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered
> > >
> > > Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?
> >
> > not even accusing you of that, but of threatening too can't you keep
> > anything straight?
>

> Sure I can.

then do so

>
> You've allegeded I threatened to kill (murder) you.

and you have threatened to kill me

>
> And yes, you've accused me of it.

no I have accsued you of trying I have accused you of threatening to
murder me

>
> > > > > > > > > Threating to speak to Todds folks is a threat
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > sure is
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > How?
> > > > > >
> > > > > by being one
> > > >
> > > "by being one" what?
> >
> > by being a threat
>
> How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> something wrong?

By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
him

The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.

If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
troublesome for him

If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
troublesome for me

>
> > > Re-read what you wrote, in response to what >>I<< wrote, Mark...
> > >
> > > It doesn't make sense.
> >
> > sure does
>
> To YOU, perhaps...But English is my native tongue.

then USE english
>
> > > > > Why do you continue to make and remake this assertion in the face
> > > > > of evidence to the contrary?
> > > > >
> > > > > what eveidence?
> > > >
> > > > E X A C T L Y ! ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > N O E V I D E N C E ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > right you have presented no eveidence that you are not making threats
> >
> > I have posted various bit of evidence
>
> You've posted nothing but your paranoid delusions.

Nope You psot all that I need

you have today admitted to all the elements of Blackmail

>
> > therefore you are lying when you say"Why do you continue to make and
> > remake this assertion in the face of evidence to the contrary?",
> > becuase you have present no evidence to contrary
>
> Upside down as usual, Mark!

that must be it you are siting on your head, well do let your brain out
for some air....soon

>
> Nice try, though!
>
> > > >>YOU<< have >>NO EVIDENCE<< of ANY threat!
> > >
> > I have your admission of sending the first threat, and then ore besides
>
> You have NO "admission".

I certainly do

>
> > what more do I need beyond an admission?
>
> Facts, Boyo, because you have no admission of ANYthing...

I have you admitting to typing the words "One Shot One Kill..." I have
you admiting to serching out pictures of Me, my former Home, I have you
admittin gthat you are trying to compel me to leave the Newsgroups

I have plenty

you are lucky no one takes the Usenet that seriously

>
> > > > > > cuting another lies
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Wether od not you ever had any intention on caring out your threats has
> > > > > > > > > nothing to do your making them
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I didn't make any, Markie.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > One shot on kill .. is a threat
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > It MEANS, as it meant in the ORIGINAL CONTEXT, was that my
> > > > > > comments "squarely hit" what I "aim" for.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Killing is killing
> > > >
> > > Not int he context of the comment I made, Mark. It meant then, as
> > > it does now, taht I "hit" what I aim at...In the FULL CONTEXT of the
> > > statement I made in response to YOUR comments...
> >
> > Nothing in the context had anything to do with killing, the threat was
> > out of the blue. The is never a reason to threaten to kill anyone over
> > the ARS
>
> You continue this unhealthy rant about threats, Mark.

it is quite healy Ignoring threats is what is unhealthy

>
> No threat was made.

liar

>
> > Indeed what you intended isn't relavant.
>
> Sure it is. Ask a lawyer.

I have

any statement that a reasonable person may view as a threat is a
threat, regradless of the intent of the speaker

try talking about hijacking a Plane in front of law enforement and then
try that you did not mean it, if you are a fool that is

>
> > The standard is would a
> > reasonable person think they were being threatened
>
> And as you said..."that leaves you out"....

You get can't your pronouns right Stevie

learn English

>
> > > > it was and remains a threat
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure is
>
> More evidence of self-delusional acts, Markie...

indeed You are providing evidence of your delsuions, mostly your
delsuions of Granduer

>
> > > Why do you continue to "force" this lie when it's apparent you're
> > > not telling the truth, Mark?
> >
> > becuase I am telling the truth
>
> No, you're not.

Sure am

>
> > The only reason you don't see it is that you are pretty sick
>
> No, actually I am in very good health.

Very sick

>
> > > > > > you are lying in saying otherwise
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are lying in tryng to make it anything more than it was.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely.
> > >
> > > not at all
> > >
> > > if it were nothing you would have appologized for the misunderstanding
> >
> > You might try that now, but I doubt it
>
> You're the one with the misunderstanding, Mark.

nope

>
> Why should >>I<< apologize for YOUR misunderstanding?

you should apologize for making a statement that was seen as a threat,
and that any reasonable person might conclude is a threat

>
> > > > if you were manere when it was brought you would have adknowledged the
> > > > words to have been illchoosen or something like that
> > >
> > > If I was "marere"...?!?!
> > >
> > > As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
> > > response YOUR
> >
> > unfinished thought here?
>
> Nope. Computer hiccup. Oh well.

an unfinshed thought, meaning you are lying again

>
> But here it is in it's entirety:
>
> "As for "illchoosen", perhaps YOU should have thought about the
> response YOUR words might elicit".

what response.

No reasonable person would expect to exlicit a death threat from a
debate on Morse Code.

and yet that is exactly what you did

>
> > My response was to put you off guard with a simple and harmless lie to
> > deflect you from your threat, based on your nestfew posts it diverted
> > you for several days, till I returned home and could take other actions
>
> Again with this story about "putting me off" and "other actions".

it seemed to work you hardly posted for a bit and I got home to take
other precations, not going to elaborate on what security measures I
took of course

>
> Fiction, Markie...Nothing but fiction...

FACT nothing but FACT

>
> > > > instead when I did not react as you wish you added various threats of
> > > > legal action, repated the thret in various manner and generaly behaved
> > > > in a threatening manner
> > >
> > > > > that it was idle matters not
> > > > >
> > > > > It wasn't a threat..."idle" or otherwise.

> > > >
> > > > sure was
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid persoanlity, perhaps...
> >
> > to any reasonable person
>
> But you're not that.

I certainly am

>
> > > > nothing is Stevies fault
> > >
> > > It's only "Stevies fault" when Stevie does it wrong.
> >
> > but Stevie never admts to making mistake so accordoing to Stevie
> > nothing is Stevie fault
>
> You keep saying that but it's not true.

it sure is

>
> I keep suggesting you ask around but you refuse to do it.

I have. no response in your favor.

>
> > > So far you've not presented any "evidence" to the contrary except
> > > your childish sandlot "ya-HUH!" arguments.
> >
> > I have your admissions
> >
> > I guess a confession to all the elements of the crime isn't good enough
> > for you
>
> No admissions.

I have your admissions
>
> No confessions.

and confessions

>
> No crime...at least one commited by me.

Blackail, stalking, Making threats
>
> > > > apeartnly it seems an idle one
> > >
> > > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...

> >
> > to any reasonable person
>
> Then that leaves you out.

not at all

>
> > > > > > Toddie violated the terms of his TOS. He refused to stop. He got
> > > > > > what he asked for.
> > > > >
> > > > > but you threatened to do , and apealently did it
> > > >
> > > > "apealently"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > I told him I wouldn't tolerate his violent, profane and
> > > > threatening behaviour towards me.
> > >
> > and thereby threatened him
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > He thought rules didn't apply to him.
> >
> > you don't think they apply to you
>
> Sure they do.

then follow them
>
> You just haven't proven where I've "violated" some "rules".

i sure have
>
> > > He found out different.
> >
> > really?
>
> Yep.
>
> > you were claiming that you had nothing to do with what happened to todd
> > with his ISp awhile back now you admit it?
>
> What happened to Todd was a direct result of Todd's violation of
> his TOS with Consolidated Communications. Todd had it in his control
> to NOT violate them.

that is one fo the 2 stories you tell on that issue

>
> > > > have a reason to make a threat doesn't mean you are not threatening him
> > > > >
> > > > you realy don't know english do you
> > > >
> > cuting speling cop ####

cuting your spelling cop shit

> > > > > > you are a bully and so juvi you can't even take responiblity for YOUR
> > > > > > actions
> > > > > >
> > > > > Sure I do.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you will now proceed to blame Todd for these threats
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope. Toiddie is responsible for his own actions...And he had to
> > > > > pay a price for them.
> > > > >
> > > > yep as expected and predicted
> > > >
> > > I am sure there was a point there.
> > >
> > indeed and you made it for me thank you
>
> What point?

that you would not take responsiblity for your role that you would
blame Tood and deny you played any parts

> > > Care to make it?
> >
> > I can't you did the job for me
>
> What point?

asked and answered

>
> > > > > If YOU think that I have made all of these threats against you and
> > > > > am the "stalker" you accuse me of, please, file a civil or criminal
> > > > > charge against me, Markie..
> > > > >
> > > > I have sought to do so, and there is realy very little I can do short
> > > > of traveling to Tenn. and doing so that is one of the problems with the
> > > > internet
> > > >
> > > But...but...but...MARK!
> > > >
> > > All this past week you were telling us what great financial shape
> > > you're allegedly in...two cars..house...investments...allegedly turned
> > > down a $240K/yr job...
> > >

> > good shape, yes, your point?
>
> The point is you're lying about THIS, too...

nope

i am good shape I have what I need and most of what I want, i certainly was not interested in working in Iraq (the going rate is 20k/month or 240k/year)
>
> You claim you can't afford to come to Tennessee.

Never made that claim

I claim It isn't WORTH coming to Tennessee

>
> > > Guess that's yet ANOTHER lie we've caught you in.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

anothe case of you making up a story

Try the Fiction publishers

>
> > you really don't read do you.
>
cuting spelling cop

> > I have to travel to tennesse at some point to deal with you, spend a
> > lot of money that you are not worth , to obtain a judgement that you
> > would not likely be able to pay
> >
> > I am in good shape because I choose my fights carefully
>
cuting Stevie Bluster

> > Unlike you I don't go in full bore without thinking things through
>
> Sure you do.
>
> You're making ludicrous charges in a public forum EVERY day.

not at all

>
> You've admitted to being a prolific liar and that's been archived.

nope I Have admited to lying about my bio my name for reasons of self protection

Prolific liar is never something I have admitted too

>
> Seems pretty "full bore" to me...

when you make up stuff like you do Stevie I am sure it looks like a
bigger deal than it is

But then I deal in the REAL world

>
> > > > > It will be quite satisfying to have you served with the countersuit
> > > > > and then see who's side holds up better in court.
> > > > >
> > > > > more threats
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > A promise. You file any "charges" or "suit" against me, and your
> > > ears will whistle for a week with the paper landing in your lap from
> > > summons servers!
> > >
> > that is certainly a lie my ears would not wistle at all
> >
> Sure they would.

nope

>
> > at one point you were going to make a phone call and have me arrested
> > like that
> >
> Nope. Have you INVESTIGATED. There IS a difference.

That isn't How I recall it, but In fairness maybe you are right. How
did that come off? the Investagtion you promised. Never got near enough
to me to notice.

>
> > You realy don't know much about how the world works
> >
> Seems you're the one with the perception deficits, Markie.

I miss a view things sure everyone does, but I, at least, have a
conection to the real world. You lack any contact with it, you exist
within and never seem to see it

>
> > > > > > Nothing you do is YOUR responiblity
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure it is.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And I've put my "hand out" with apologies or corrections where
> > > > > > appropriate.

> > > >
> > > > still haven't adknowledged your mistakes about the Brothers Grim
> > > >
> > > It's "Grimm", Markie.
> >
> > and still stevie you fail to fess up
>
> On what?

the brothers grimm

>
> > > > > Ask around.
> > > > >
> > > > No one answers yes you have
> > > >
> > > You've not asked the question. And I bet most everyone is
> > > ignoring these posts...so ask them directly.
> >
> > not most everyone other coment on them,
> >
> > Again your claim you make it
>
> Nope.
>
> YOU claimed I never apologize or admit mistakes.

and you never do

>
> I've given you references to verify.

no you have not

>
> You refuse to do it.

have asked nobody has spoken up

>
> > lazy bum
>
> Coward.

prehaps, but I prefer that to being a brave bully that threatens, and
blackmails people

>
> > > > > There are NO threats.
> > > > >
> > > > sure are
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> >

> > yep
> >
> > you have admtted to making them, just denieng that they are threats
>
> I can't "admit to making them" and then deny them, Markie.

you Sure Can and do so often

>
> I've stated all along, and correctly so, that I never made a
> threat.

and that statement is lie
>
> > > > not going to retype them and retype em
> > >
> > > You can retype the Magna Carta and it won't prove anything.
> >
> > you even blew that one Stevie it would indeed prove something but of
> > course Magna Carta has nothing to do with this matter so again you
> > evade the issue
>
> Nope.

yep It would prove that I had done so, but of course that would have
nothing to do with the issue at hand

>
> > > You'll still have no threats.
> >
> > I have your admissions
>
> I didn't admit to any wrongdoing, Markie.

you have admitted to all the element of the wrong doings you have been
accused of

>
> You have nothing.

I have your admission and you repeating and continuing your efforts at
black mail

>
> > > > threats to call a prosecuter for my lying online, threats to come to
> > > > the UP where I live, threats against Todd, and Len and Brain
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > the one you typed and admitted to typing
>
> I never typed a threat.

and you just lied again

>
> Why do you keep lying about this? (And then snipping my questions
> about WHY you lie about it...?!?!)

You are lying you continue to lie.

You made threats

you have tried and continue to try to balcmail me in various ways

I can't answer a question about why I and doing that I am not doing

Why do you conitue to lie?

>
> > > > > > > > > > cuting stevie evasion
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > What evasion?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > your evasion
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > WHAT evasion...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > your eveasion
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What "eveasion"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > yours
> > >
> > > WHAT EVASION...?!?!?
> >
> > yours
>
> Still no evasion, Markie...

sure is

>
> Just your tit-for-tat accusations that are patently false.
>
> > > > > > I have typed it often typed a few examples in this post and will not
> > > > > > repeat just becuase Stevie the BULLY demands I do so
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You've retyped nothing except "your threats" and "your evasion",
> > > > > > Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > lying agian
> > >
> > > I am not lying and I am not an agian.
> >
> > You are lying, and I never said you are an "again"
>

> Re-read the sentence above, IdiotBoy.

I did and it is still true

You are lying, and I never said you are an "again"

>
> > so 2 lies one sentence
>
> Nope...but we have YOU looking stupid over one of YOUR typos
> again!
>
> > > > > You've not SUBSTANTIATED either...
> > > >
> > > > you have admited to typing "One shot on kill" that does it right there,
> > > > the rest just piles on
> > >
> > > That wasn't a threat.
> >
> > sure was
>
> Why does Mark Morgan continue to lie?

why Does Stevie continue to lie?

>
> > > Wasn't then...isn't now...won't be 100 years from now...
> >
> > will always be a threat
>
> Only to a paranoid delusional mind, Markie...

To Me and to any reasonable person.

>
> > > > > I love being called "stupid" in a sentence that is so fractured
> > > > > that it takes several re-readings to figure out that I was "insulted".
> > > >
> > > > then where is your thank you
> > >
> > > You flushed it after your last bowel movement, Markie.
> >
> > more #### form you stevie

more speling cop cut more stevie forgery

> > > > your make threats
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > yours
> >
> > admitted to and documented more than once

>
> I've not admitted to ANY threats. Not a one.

yes you have

>
> > > > by the way It is infact ilegal for you to came HERE since you have been
> > > > informed your are unwelcome
> > >
> > > It would be illegal for me to knock on your front door or violate
> > > your privacy.
> >
> > it is ilegal for you to enter my land
>
> That's what I said, IdiotBoy.

not what you said and go on to repeat

>
> Now where's YOUR basis for stating that it would be illegal for me
> to travel to Michigan...the UP or otherwise...?!?!

I said HERE I did not say Michigan, or the UP or New jersy I said HERE

>
> > > It would NOT be illegal for me to come to Michigan...ANYwhere in
> > > Michigan.
> >
> > wrong It is ilegal for you to come to my Land
>
> As I said above...

and I did too

>
> > and would allow me to use deadly force if I choose
>
> Now THERE'S a THREAT ! ! ! ! ! !

Nope it is not

It is a fact That I may use deadly force in defense of myself or my
property

>
> Better read the law on what constitutes the VALID use of deadly
> force, Markie.

I have.

>
> Hint: Cutting across your front yard isn't it....

Violating posted no trespassing signs is

esp when you personaly have been wraned to stay away

>
> > > Nor would it be illegal for me to speak with local law enforcement
> > > or mental health case workers about you, being careful to express my
> > > concerns as just that...concerns.
> >
> > HMM seem you were threatening me if I contacted Tennesse about my
> > concerns
>
> Nope.
>
> I just said if YOU file a suit, be prepared for the result.

nope another lie

you must not bother keeping track of your lies

you said it was criminal to contact Childrens services about my
concerns that you are mentaly Likely mentaly abusing your kid

of course you were wrong about that

but that was your threat
>
> > those laws do work both ways
>
> As I have been telling YOU, IdiotBoy.

then act like like it

>
> > > > > > > > > threatening action with various parties
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What threats?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > asked and answered
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Avoided and evaded by you.
> > > > >
> > > > asked and answered
> > >
> > > Avoided and evaded by you.
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Escaped and evaded.

there is a lie

Hardly escaped as long as you are around

>
> > > > > > > > > > stop harassing people
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > I'm not harrassing people.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > liar
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > you harrass everyone here that you disgaree with, You harrass folks on
> > > > > > the Air, by what I hear from other hams
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then you've proven that you're "hearing voices", Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > > >
> > > > > I have hear Hams call you a Papa Oscar serria on the air
> > > >
> > > > "heard"
> > > >
> > > > "Sierra"
> > > >
> > > > I am sure you hear a LOT of voices doing that, Markie...
> > >
> > Only during a good opening to tennesse
> >
> > is it so hard to admit there are other hams that don't like you?
>
> I am sure there are.

well progress

>
> But unless YOU get on the air and say something, I doubt you'll
> hear them...

but i have

>
> > > All part of your delusional mindset, Markie.
> >
> > nope it is part of yours I fear
>
> You have good reason to fear, Markie.

not realy

>
> You're sick and need help.

well I could use for Law enforcement to do there jobs about people that
make threats and resort to stupid blackmail like yourself

>
> > > No...you've CLAIMED that you have tried...
> >
> > that too of course
> >
> > but I have your repeated confessions that is about all I really need
>
> You keep claiming THAT too, but you have no confession from me on
> ANYthing.

sure do

>
> > > All you do is make sandlot taunts.
> > >
> > > None of them effective or accurate.
> >
> > confessions are quite effective
>
> They would be if you had one.

and I do, plenty of them

>
> > > > > > > > > "First Amendment", Markie...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you don't have any right to threaten people
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I haven't threatened anyone.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > lying again
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes One shot one kill is a threat
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure is
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > A prosecuter won't touch your "claim" based upon the original
> > > context and in response to your own words, Markie.
> >
> > there is no context in which it isn't a threat
>
> Sure there is...The original context in which it was stated and
> the comments to which it was responding...YOUR comments by the way...

another lie

taking about Killing in a deabte is not contextualy appropreate
behavoir

>
> > > > > > I have seen you threaten Todd and BB and you have threatened me
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope, nope and nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > yep yep and yep
> > >
> > > Nope, nope and nope.
> >
> > sure have and I have posted and reported
>
> Nope, nope and nope.
>
> > > And no matter how many times you wish to carry that one out, it
> > > still won't be true.
> >
> > Huh?
>
cuting your mocking of yourself making no sense

> > It is ture that you have threatened me, both publicaly and in private
> > email
>
> No, it's not.

sure is

>
> > it is ture that you have harrased me publicaly and privately
>
> Nope.

fraid so

>
> > You have threatened Todd Her I have seen that.
>
> Why are you calling Todd a "Her"...?!?! And I didn't threaten
> Todd.

sure have

>
> > You ahve harrassed Todd I have seen that myself
>
> Gee, Markie...got "have" spelled wrong...'magine that.
>
> And you're deluding yourself again.
>

> > You have admitng to conducting what Len discribes as harrassing IMing
> > of him on AOL
>
> Lennie's another liar. Documented.

No Len disagrees with you, that is all you have documented

but you confirmed the essentails of his story
>
> He lied then, he's lied since.
>
> > You harrass and Threaten BB in this forum
>
> S T I L L more mistruths, Markie!

nope simple facts

>
> When will it stop?

never started

>
> > > > > > when 4 people tell you are doing something an inteligent man would
> > > > > > start to wonder if there wasn't something to it, but of course that
> > > > > > lets you out
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Any discussion group wherein YOU profess to be an "inteligent"
> > > > > > (intelligent) man is a conversation mispent.
> > > > >
> > > > > more evasion
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > You accused me of not being "inteligent". I corrected your error
> > > > and answerd the claim.
> > >
> > > not at all I questioned it I still do, since you do not ACT like an
> > > inteligent human being
> >
> > You're reading your own stuff, Markie.

I am indeed of course, but you are still evading

>
> > let alone an adult one
>
> You're reading your own stuff, Markie.
>
> > you also did not address the point
>
> Several times over, but that hasn't stopped you!

not even once

>
> > > No evasion.
> >
> > Not addressing the point is an evasion stevie
>
> Then you're guiltyn of THAT "rule" over and over...

I am guility of not letting you move off the point

>
> > > > > > > >the 1st amendment does cover that
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, it does not.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > QUOTE:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > > > > > > > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> > > > > > > > speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> > > > > > > > assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > UNQUOTE:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What IS there:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > so what?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The assertions YOU cut from the quote were NOT covered by a single
> > > > > > > word of the First Amendment.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Let's put this BACK into context since you snipped all of that
> > > > > > > out...
> > > > > > cuting out your evasive waste of bandwidth
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now, I'd appreciate it if you'd acknowldge your "respoinblty" to
> > > > > > TAKE "respoinblty" for your mistake.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no mistake
> > > > >
> > > > A big mistake...on your part. The one wherein you think you can
> > > > keep blustering your way through your inappropriate claims.
> > >
> > not at all
>
> Sure.
>
> > > > you keep trying to divert the issue
> > > >
> > > No I don't.
> > >

> > sure do
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > you have NO right to threaten people
> > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it
>
> No...I said I don't threaten people.

You Have SAID you Don't, but you do threaten them

>
> > > > you have NO right to harrass people
> > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it
>
> No...I said I don't harrass people.

You Have SAID you Don't, but you do harass them
>
> > > > you have NO right to stalk people
> > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > agreed you don't have the right so stop doing it
>
> No...I said I don't stalk people.

You Have SAID you Don't, but you do stalk them
>
> > > > The first amendent does not give the right to do ilgeal things
> > >
> > > You again express your obvious misunderstanding of what is said in
> > > the First Amendment to the Constitution, Markie.
> >
> > I understand it clearly your mind is fogged on that subject and many
> > others
>
> Your mind is the fogged one, IdiotBoy.
>
> You're the one who said the First Amendment said things it didn't
> say.

no you say I said the 1st says thing I never said it said

>
> > > > nor does any other part of the Constitution

> > >
> > > And there is nothing in ANY part of the Constitution that is
> > > "violated" by our exchanges here, Mark....
> > >
> > never said that it was
>
> Sure you did!

nope

>
> > What I said is that constitution does not give the right to threaten or
> > harrass people
>
> You said the First Amendment said that. You were wrong. I
> re-posted the same thing three times over proving you were wrong.

no I said the 1st did not give the power to threaten or harrass people
I never made a stement about the text

and Indeed you ahve proven that nothing in that text gives you the
power to threaten or harass people

>
> Are you going to admit your error, or do we just throw this one on
> the pile with the rest?

your error in making up a stament close but not what I said

You conitue to claim you have the right under the first amdendment to
threaten or harrass the 1st gives you no such right

>
> > > > > > a key word in the ruling of the court is peaceably
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The fact remains that the First Amendment does NOT say what you
> > > > > > alleged it to say.
> > > > > >
> > > > > i was simply using your quoting of the text
> > > >
> > > You simply did not.
> > >
> > sure did
>
> You're NOW lying about the content of the First Amendment.

only if you misquoted it

>
> Is there ANY topic that you won't lie about, Markie...?!?!

plenty of them like the 1st

but why do you lie about the 1st

>
> > > You tried to take ONE word from it and apply it contrary to it's
> > > use in THAT Amendement.
> >
> > nope not at all
>
> Yep. Absolutely.

nope

>
> > > You failed.
> >
> > Not realy but then I wasn't doing what said I was doing and faling at
> > it, this rambling on and on is part of your SOP here, wander all over
> > the place
>
> Nope...YOU are the one making FALSE references to the First
> Amendment of the Constitution. I proved you wrong. Are you going to
> admit your error?

The first Give you no right to threaten or harrass folk it is and
remains a fact

no error

>
> > > > > > that is why threatening people ois ilegal that it why the hate speech
> > > > > > have a legs to stand on
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "ois ilegal"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What were you trying to say?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What "hate speech"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > your bashing of people for being gay or pagan or just different
> > > >
> > > I don't "bash" you, Mark, and certainly NOT for any of those
> > > reasons.
> >
> > lying again Stevie
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > You keep getting your nose tweeked because you keep getting caught
> > > lying.
> > >
> > > And in fact you've LIED about all of those things.
> >

> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
> > > You claimed you WEREN'T gay, but it's since been proven with your
> > > own words that you are.
> >
> > well i ambisexual you are not up on the facts
>
> Sure I am.

nope

>
> You're having sex with men. You're doing it right now. Why lie
> MORE about it?

I am certianly not having sex with any man right now. I currently have
no male partner, I have had them in the past and may well in the
future, but that in and of itself merely makes me not heterosexual, the
remaining possiblities are Homesexual or Bisexaul. I am Bisexaul

>
> > > You claimed you weren't pagan, but it's since been proven with your
> > > own words that you are.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

another on you part

>
> > > And you've claimed that you're not "dffrent" when it's CLEARLY
> > > evident that you are!
> >
> > another lie stevie
>
> Not in the least.

I am certainly not "dffent"

>
enoug on sex for one post

> All of it proven by your own word.
>
> > > > > > you are guilty of threats and hate speech
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. Not even close.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure are I posted awhile back some example form just your titles
> > > >

> > > And none of them were "hate speech" as defined by the Civil Rights
> > > Act.
> >
> > sure are
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > > Profanity is the tool of a violent mind, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > IYO
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > In the opinion of those "pros" you always refer to.
> >
> > now you are just lying agian
>
> Nope. Not lying, and not lying "agian".

yes lying and yes lying agian

>
> > > > > I do assert my free right to use such words as I choose as long I as I
> > > > > don't violate the rights of others
> > > >
> > > > By re-titling these posts "stvie ####" you violate MY right to not
> > > > be called a profane word or to have my "intellectual property"
> > > > defiled...
> >
> > you have no such right
>
> Sure I do.

from what source

you have no right not to be addresed profanely

nor has your intelectual property been defiled I can title my posts as
I choose

>
> > > > > No I am disgusted by your manner your hypocrazy, your stupidity
> > > >
> > > > Then you're focusing on the wrong things. You should be disgusted
> > > > by the fact that you are a decietful liar who can't post a word without
> > > > errors being laid bare.
> >
> > more lies from stevie
>
> Nope.

I can cetain post a word with error being laid bare

I am disgusted by your manner, your hypocrazy, your stupidity, your
arogance at trying to dictate what I may focus on

>
> > > > > Then stop doing it.
> > > >
> > > > more off tager Bull####
> > >
> > > What a "tager"...?!?!
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > been doing it for years
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > cuting stvie distoring context
> > > > > > > > cuting speling cop
> > > > > >
> > > > cutng spelling cop
> > >
> > cuting spelling cop
>
cuting spelling cop

> > > > > A M E R I C A N law allowed for alterations to the treaty as did
> > > > > caveats IN the treaty that gave administrations some leeway.
> > > > >
> > > > Indeed
> > > > >
> > > > but the treaty lang made the ADA case much harder
> > >
> > > No it didn't.
> >
> > sure did
>
> Sure it did...that was the whole urpose of the ADA...to provide a
> "one stop shopping" law that, in essence, said not matter what may be
> written in any other law, the disabled have certain rights.

you don't understand

the ADA is a LAW

the ITU treaty is the equal of the Constitution. the Provisions of the
ITU treaty or any other treaty for that matter (once the president has
signed and the Senate has advised and consented) outway the effect of
any law. That being said the fact the US could legaly dance around the
wording of s25.2 made the case still fightable

>
> > > If anything, the ADA made it EASIER to make your argument viable.
> >
> > Stvie you have lost it
> >
> > ADA made the case possible, the treaty made the case harder
> >

> > what your last stament has to do with the matter I don't know
>
> You "don't know" because you have a hard time comprehending
> English and paying attention to the flow of the conversation.

no I understand it fine

you don't know about the differences between the law of the land and
the provisions of the constitution, and the provisions of treaties

>
> > > > > > I also said It could be VERY expensive and more than I cared to venture
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then obviously not enough dedication.
> > > > >
> > > > > if you like
> > >
> > > Nope...If YOU like...Obviously you don't have the heart for it.
> >
> > If it is what I like well I did not care to spend the money or time at
> > what I was sure would be won otherwise
>
> Not likely. Not with blind and deaf people passing Element 1
> within the liberal guidelines that the FCC had already established.

well the problem is YOUR english

We have won the issue without going to court on the ADA issue

But today absent the treaty and ADA chalenge would have been very
likely to suceed, the blind and deaf are far from the only people
disabled in the country

>
> Makes it pretty hard to establish a need for a change of federal
> rules when one can point to people with the same disabilites you
> suggest need the help who have already passed the exam you claim they
> can't pass WITHOUT the help.....

a lie of course

I am disabled and am not disabled in the same way as the blind and deaf

>
> > indeed it apears that My discussing the possible case, may have
> > influneced NCI to include the ADA case arguement in there threat to the
> > FCC one they seem to caved on
> >
> > So It seems other used the case as another wedge
>
> Uh huh.....
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

>
> > > > > > you don't understand the difference between saying something could be
> > > > > > done and it will be done , or that it will be attepted
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure I do.
> > > >
> > > > then you must be willfully lying when you make these claims
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure do since you lie about what was said
>
> I've quoted what YOU have said, Markie.

nope

>
> Are you now claiming that YOU were lying?

nope

>
> > > > > > that you confuse tense and moods is part of the process where you so
> > > > > > often distrot and twist a true stament someone has made, into lie of
> > > > > > YOUR making
> > > > >
> > > > > No lie made here.
> > > >
> > > > You made threats of lawsuits under ADA.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > > Yep.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
> > > > I discussed the posiblity
> > >
> > > You said your "laywr" was preparing your brief".
> >
> > no never said said anything about "laywr"
>
> Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh....
>
> > > > I discussed the pros and cons
> > > >
> > > > I decided against it
> > >
> > > One of the very few wise things you ever did...or should I say

> > > DIDN'T do...?!?!
> > >
> > > > you lie whan you make the staement "You made threats of lawsuits under
> > > > ADA."
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes have have lied and contiue to do so
>
> I KNOW you have lied and continue to do so.

I KNOW you have lied stevie and continue to do so.
>
> Why won't you stop it? It does NOTHING to help your point!

stop what? pointing out your lies

>
> > > > > > > > I have pointed that you are vulernable to one, never threatened to do
> > > > > > > > it you have got anything worth talking
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I am not "vunerable" to any suit brought by you!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > sure are If Just filed it id would cost a fair amount of money
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The cost would be yours twice over, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > prehaps which does not alter the factual statement I just made
> > > >
> > > > going to court is such a crap shoot
> > >
> > > In YOUR case it would be...
> >
> > in all cases it is
>
> Nope.
yep

>
> > > > > Your initial filing against me, then your defense expenses for the
> > > > > countersuit.
> > > >
> > > > nope no doubling there I'd be handle one level on contingincy youd be
> > > > paying out of pocket the whole way mostlikely, or at least in your
> > > > defense
> > >
> > > First of all, no lawyer is going to take a "he said/she said" case
> > > "on contingency" unless it's against Wal-Mart, one of the Big Three in
> > > Detroit, etc. In those cases they at least hope to win "shut up" money
> > > and probably will.
> >

> > again you are misinformed
>
> Nope.
>
> You forget what "contingency" means...
>
> It means the lawyer get's paid CONTINGENT upon winning ang getting
> a settlement.
>
> NO lawyer, unless he's "family", is going to take that he said/she
> said case, Markie...

of course it isn't a he said/she said case

it is a clear case of reading your words

posted by you

>
> > > Secondly, as I told you before, I have the resources to overcome
> > > any legal case YOU could hopre to mount from Michigan. At the most,
> > > I'd have to pony-up filing fees...No big deal...
> > >
> > > > > > > > that applies to you or anyone for that matter
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yep...And they can countersue too.
> > > > >
> > > > > yea never said otherwise
> > >
> > > But you, like Toiddie, throw "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE" around as if in
> > > doing so you are the only one who can use legal resourse.
> >
> > no another lie on your part, or is it delusions again
>
> Nope.

sure is

>
> No delusions.

yep

>
> > > As I have clearly demonstrated here, however, you'll quickly find
> > > out that you are NOT the only person who can bring a suit.
> >
> > more threats
>
> Nope. A promise. "Sue" me and see what happens.

now taunting like a little boy

grow up please

>
> > > > It is easy to sue in America
> > > >
> > > Finally you get the idea...
> > >
> > no it has taken you several years to get that
>
cuting bluster

>
> > > > not so easy to collect
> > > >
> > > Bravo.
> > >
> > which is why sueing you is not worth while
>
more bluster

>
> > > > but you are of course bashing the disabled
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure are
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > Serbo-Croation is a difficult language. I tried. Gave up.
> > >
> > not really Russian now that is one is bad
>
> Obviously English is pretty hard, too, judging by that last
> sentence of yours...

but you are no judge

>
> > > And I can't differentiate the characters in Braille adequately to
> > > use it.
> > >
> > you just didn't want to bad enough
>
> Nope. Didn't need to.

did not want to bad enough

>
> > > No bashing occured.
> > >

> > sure did
>
> Nope.

yep

making fun of the disabled is bashing them

>
> > > > > > with your clear lack of human compassion why did you become a nurse?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What "clear lack of human compassion"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > your clear lack
> > > >
> > > > Clear lack of what?
> > >
> > > human compassion
> >
> > Where do you get that?

from your words, and attitudes

>
> Just because I don't buy your self-victimizing routine?

nope from your manner, and your langauge, your threatening behavoir
>
> > > > > > You mean not being a soft touch for every self-appreciating,
> > > > > > self-victimizing jerk that tries to take advantge of others compassion,
> > > > > > Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope I mean your mean sprited obcessive bulling
> > > >
> > > > cutting more spelling cop
cuting the spelling cop again

> > > > > > If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
> > > > > > to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more lies this time about the number of hospital you could affect
> > > > >
> > > > > It's got nothing to do with me.
> > > >
> > > > then you lied when you typed " If I were that, every hospital in every
> > > > community would be filed to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever
> > > > seeing an empty bed again."
> > > >
> > > > You typed the I not me
> >
> > It's got to do with Nurses being soft touches for system abusers
> > such as yourself.

but that isn't what you typed it is what you changed your story after
being caught

>
> > > It's got to do with Nurses not being a "soft touch" as I stated
> > > above.
> >
> > no you stated something else
>
> Nope. I meant what I said. Anything else is YOU putting words in
> other people's mouths.

"If I were that, every hospital in every community would be filed
to the rafters 24/7 with no hope for ever seeing an empty bed again."

your words making the absurd claim that your action can fill or empty
all the ospital beds in the US or is it the World

>
> > > And trust me when I say that every ER I ahve worked in, East,
> > > West, North and South has it's share of "frequent flyers"...system
> > > abusers just like you, Markie.
> >
> > more libel
>
> Nope.
>
> Fact.

libel

>
> > > > > > was it another power play?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > By saying "another" powert play, you're suggesting an ORIGINAL
> > > > > > "power play".
> > > > >
> > > > > yea
> > > >
> > > And it was...?!?!?
> > >
> > > > > > What would have been the first.
> > > > >
> > > > > not sure what power play was the first you go on about so many
> > > >
> > > You've not named one so far.
> >
> > sure have
> >
> > Your actions as VE in denieing a properly issued code waiver for one
>
> I am under NO responsibility to sign an application if I feel that
> the applicaiton would represent the submission of a falsified

> application.

you are respoinble for obeying the rules you failed to do so with any
cause

>
> > your efforts to control the posting of Todd, BB, Len and Me
>
> Oh make no doubts, Markie...I don't "control" them...

Never claimed you did contol them just that you try

ctuing divertion

> > > > > > > > > And people like you never "intimadate" anyone. Humor them,
> > > > > > > > > sure...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > not trying to intimadate I would not horn on your territory steve, not
> > > > > > > > > that you arre any good at it
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > cutin spelling cop
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Still 33 1/3%, however this time you ever mispelled your mispelled
> > > > > > > word!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No I didn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure did
> > > >
> > > > Sheesh.
> > > >
> > > > Where, Markie. WHERE did I say "I am trying to intimidate you",
> > > > Markie?
> > >
> > > never said you stated that I siad you were doing it
> >
> > Sure you said I said it, Markie!

no i did not

>
> QUOTE:
>
> > > I'm not trying to intimidate anyone, Markie.
>
> > liar you admited to it in the post I am responding to
>
> UNQUOTE

ok no statement there that I said you said "I am trying to intimidate
you"

so you are lying again

>
> To "admit" to anything, I'd have to say or write it.

no you could also admit to preform the elements of the act, which you
have done
cuting stevie repeathimself

> > > No quote = Markie Lying.
> >
> > nope
>
> Absolutely.
>
> No quote means you were making it up all along.

No you ahve admitted to all the elements of Intimadation
as well as all elements of Blackmail

>
> > > > > > > > > You've rendered more than your fair share of laughs here!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > But you HAVE left us laughing!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > comenting on your words
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Commenting"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Haven't you haerd about what it means when you talk to yourself
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "heard"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am not "talking to (my)self"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am talking ABOUT you.
> > >
> > > Still.
> >
> > now you are talking to yourself
> >
> > look at the lines
>
> It's not "talking to (my)self", Markie.

it is talking to yourself
>
> It was pointing out that you're STILL a laughing stock!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1167. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 17:10:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.17.10.04.421649@gmail.com>
Source record: 115518

N2EY:

Well, if you know a way to force some private corp or corps into starting now, go for it, I don't--but we can, as american citizens, fund development of alternative energy sources and hire employees to do it for us.

"Military superiority?" Simple, that is the ability to win any conflict another nation or nations may engage us in--or, if that fails, to totally destroy their country so that if there are any survivors here, we may at least begin to try to put things together again with out a evil foreign powers control.

Perhaps you have an alternative energy source you are working on in your garage, if so, step forward man, all I see are a bunch of kooks with "free energy devices", and while a free energy device may indeed be possible, all I have seen are scams!

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 09:56:44 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> We need to scale back NASA and any space plans, other then the pursuit of
>> maintaining military superiority in space, if needed and focusing on
>> developing a fuel source which is not harming the planet and threatening
>> to bring us to our knees from dwindling supplies.
>
> Why can't we have both?
>
> And what constitutes military superiority in space?
>
>> A scientific project on the scale of NASA and designed to develop a new
>> fuel, or new fuels, would be in our best interests...
>
> What's needed is a long-term path to energy independence that's not run
> by
> a large bureaucratic organization, nor that is politically beholden to
> so many groups.
>
> Which pretty much leaves government out of the picture.
>
> --
>
> The problem is bigger than fuel - it's all about how Americans live and
> what they

> expect life to be like. Also their isolation from cause-and-effect.
>
> For example, it's easy to say the solution is to require better gas
> mileage from
> new cars. Right now the price of gasoline focuses attention on
> gas-guzzling SUVs
> and the like.
>
> But if the price of gasoline drops to, say, under $2/gallon, too many
> people
> forget all about the problem, and buy themselves a Hummer.
>
> Gasoline may seem expensive today, as the price nears $3/gal in some
> places. But when you
> adjust for inflation, the price isn't that high, compared to, say, the
> late 1970s.
>
> The problems go way beyond gasoline. The big question is whether
> Americans will change
> the way they live in order to achieve energy independence. From what
> I've seen in the
> past 20-25 years, the answer is pretty much "no". Or rather, "HELL NO!"
>
> What's more, the solutions are complex. A 20 mpg minivan isn't the most
> efficient vehicle in the world - unless you have, say, six people
> aboard, who would otherwise be in separate vehicles. One 20 mpg van
> with six passengers is more energy-efficient than six 100 mpg
> supereconoboxes.
>
> But will most people carpool? Will they pay for public transit, wind
> farms, and higher-efficiency appliances? Will they live in walkable
> towns and cities rather than sprawling into suburbia where every trip
> requires a car? How much are Americans willing to reduce their
> consumption of energy to balance the equation?
>
> That's the real challenge. Much tougher problems than space flight,
> because if the solutions can't survive in the real-world marketplace,
> they'll disappear.
>
> 25 years ago I bought a new car that got 40 mpg city, 50 mpg highway,
> and met all the pollution and safety regs. The descendants of such cars
> still exist today. But how many are sold?
>
> There *are* new processes out there, like TDP. Might be snake oil,
> might be the real thing. How do we separate the wheat from the chaff,
> and get the good systems working?
>
>> Priorities need to be examined here...
>
> Agreed. But do you think the current administration will deal with it

> in any way that will
> result in energy self-sufficiency? Heck, Shrub thinks "intelligent
> design" (which is just "creationism in a cheap tuxedo") is real science
> - but that global warming isn't.
>
> How much are *you* willing to change, spend, and give up for energy
> independence?

## 1168. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 18:46:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123699595.400920.4120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115519

hungery usenet today
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
> > practice
>
> Show where Dave commited a crime...Under US or Tanzanian law,
> Markie.

Knowingly assiting other is comitting a crime is a crime in the USA,
Tanzania well I don't know but since it is like the USA a former
English colony Very likely there too
>
> > cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
> > you know
>
cuting again they smell becuase they have nothingt o do with the issue
at hand

> > > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> > >
> > > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> >
> > nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you
>
> Nope.

sure are

>
> Brain alleges Dave did something wrong.

He does so allege

>
> There is absolutely NO evidence of any such lawbreaking.

Yes there is.

Dave does not dispute Brain describtion of the facts, and brian
describes a crime

>
> Avoidng the questions is an act of cowardice.

No avoidance the questions were asked and answered, they merely had and
contiue to have no meaning in context

Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1169. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 18:51:56 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <1UJ9EZ9U38574.8693981481@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115520

In article
<1123643756.774385.213980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > >>b.b. wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>and I don't know Father
> > >>>>Hams.
> > >>>
> > >>>Father Hams? I'm sure you know plenty of them, but it
was French Hams
> > >>>that I referred to.
> > >>
> > >>It isn't what you wrote.
> > >>
> > >>
> > > It's what you did.
> > >
> > Nope. I've "done" no French hams.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> They "did" you. And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.

Looks like Marky full of Capt. Morgan isn't the only bisexual
here. Deviant Brian is one as well.

# 1170. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 19:28:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rzsKe.3716$Wi6.2074@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115521

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> But will most people carpool? Will they pay for public transit, wind
> farms, and higher-efficiency appliances? Will they live in walkable
> towns and cities rather than sprawling into suburbia where every trip
> requires a car? How much are Americans willing to reduce their
> consumption of energy to balance the equation?
>

I just love you east-coast liberals with your "feel-good conservation village" notions. Such societies exist (in Europe primarily) --- if you want to live in one, move there.

Personally, I prefer my fuel-inefficient 6.0L 32-valve turbocharged engine to your "50mpg highway" wimp-mobile. Since I'm willing to pay the price to run it, and enjoy the freedom it gives me, your "walkable towns" have zero appeal to me. Sooner or later, of course, the democrats will again ascend to power and attemp to social-engineer such crapola into the law of the land, rather than inconvenience a few reindeer with drilling rigs in the neighborhood.

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1171. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 19:41:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.19.41.08.196973@gmail.com>
Source record: 115523

N2EY:

Most of these guys will soon be checking into motorized wheelchairs, if
they aren't already driving one... those walkers get tiring yanno!

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 09:56:44 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> We need to scale back NASA and any space plans, other then the pursuit of
>> maintaining military superiority in space, if needed and focusing on
>> developing a fuel source which is not harming the planet and threatening
>> to bring us to our knees from dwindling supplies.
>
> Why can't we have both?
>
> And what constitutes military superiority in space?
>
>> A scientific project on the scale of NASA and designed to develop a new
>> fuel, or new fuels, would be in our best interests...
>
> What's needed is a long-term path to energy independence that's not run
> by
> a large bureaucratic organization, nor that is politically beholden to
> so many groups.
>
> Which pretty much leaves government out of the picture.
>
> --
>
> The problem is bigger than fuel - it's all about how Americans live and
> what they
> expect life to be like. Also their isolation from cause-and-effect.
>
> For example, it's easy to say the solution is to require better gas
> mileage from
> new cars. Right now the price of gasoline focuses attention on
> gas-guzzling SUVs
> and the like.
>
> But if the price of gasoline drops to, say, under $2/gallon, too many
> people
> forget all about the problem, and buy themselves a Hummer.
>

> Gasoline may seem expensive today, as the price nears $3/gal in some
> places. But when you
> adjust for inflation, the price isn't that high, compared to, say, the
> late 1970s.
>
> The problems go way beyond gasoline. The big question is whether
> Americans will change
> the way they live in order to achieve energy independence. From what
> I've seen in the
> past 20-25 years, the answer is pretty much "no". Or rather, "HELL NO!"
>
> What's more, the solutions are complex. A 20 mpg minivan isn't the most
> efficient vehicle in the world - unless you have, say, six people
> aboard, who would otherwise be in separate vehicles. One 20 mpg van
> with six passengers is more energy-efficient than six 100 mpg
> supereconoboxes.
>
> But will most people carpool? Will they pay for public transit, wind
> farms, and higher-efficiency appliances? Will they live in walkable
> towns and cities rather than sprawling into suburbia where every trip
> requires a car? How much are Americans willing to reduce their
> consumption of energy to balance the equation?
>
> That's the real challenge. Much tougher problems than space flight,
> because if the solutions can't survive in the real-world marketplace,
> they'll disappear.
>
> 25 years ago I bought a new car that got 40 mpg city, 50 mpg highway,
> and met all the pollution and safety regs. The descendants of such cars
> still exist today. But how many are sold?
>
> There *are* new processes out there, like TDP. Might be snake oil,
> might be the real thing. How do we separate the wheat from the chaff,
> and get the good systems working?
>
>> Priorities need to be examined here...
>
> Agreed. But do you think the current administration will deal with it
> in any way that will
> result in energy self-sufficiency? Heck, Shrub thinks "intelligent
> design" (which is just "creationism in a cheap tuxedo") is real science
> - but that global warming isn't.
>
> How much are *you* willing to change, spend, and give up for energy
> independence?

## 1172. Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 19:45:47 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1tkhc6@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1tkhc6@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 115529

Looks like Baxter may be in even deeper doo doo. Word is he's being investigated by the Maine and Illinois Boards of Professional Regulation. Seems they got word that Baxter lost his last job cuz he "allegedly" threatened his last boss while he was on the job as a professional engineer, and that's a no no.

What with that $21,000 FCC fine and trouble from two professional boards, what else could go wrong for the broadcasting Bozo?

Oops-- did I forget to mention the IRS?

Til next time,

-Johnny Johnston

PS: Toad, you are a LOSER!

--
John Johnston

# 1173. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 20:10:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <a58ff872755e69ba37445d4cd12b4536@dizum.com>
Source record: 115522

In article <pan.2005.08.10.17.10.04.421649@gmail.com>
John Smith <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> N2EY:
>
> Well, if you know a way to force some private corp or corps
into starting
> now, go for it, I don't--but we can, as american citizens, fund
> development of alternative energy sources and hire employees
to do it for
> us.

Hydrogen fuel cells, bountiful, renewable resource.

The shuttle program does need to be phased out,though, it is mid-
70's and 80's technology. A much better system has to be
developed.

> Perhaps you have an alternative energy source you are working
on in your
> garage, if so, step forward man, all I see are a bunch of
kooks with "free
> energy devices", and while a free energy device may indeed be
possible,
> all I have seen are scams!

lol!

# 1174. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 20:49:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <HLtKe.4186$j21.243@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 115525

John,

Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695 kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at $24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL

For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there can be considerable radiation.

The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators. If done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.

If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the background noise some 10 dB. Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a 10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite unacceptable. Period.

I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just Morse) to as close to zero as possible.

My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally, they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a watered-down apprenticeship, right?

I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements encouraging "intelligent design".

Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance). Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4 resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".

Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur radio.

Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones. We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.

Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and chase some folks out of this country.

I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it has something more than just Morse behind it.

Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty, Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.10.05.19.12.628979@gmail.com...
> Len:
>
> I must admit, I am not aware of any of that, attempts to use HF on
> power lines, or even VHF... but I have not kept up at all... that doesn't
> sound wacky, it sounds impossible to me...
>
> However, I would't even attempt to get a 1.750Mhz signal down power
> wiring, the capacitance between windings, shielding in all those xfrms
> along the way, underground power lines, ground shielding in between
> windings, wiring wound around in conduit boxes, etc, etc...
>
> My first degree was in EE. From what I remember, take a damn idiot to
> expect those freqs to go any distance at all--the capacitive loading is
> going to start looking like a direct short to ground I would expect!
> Especially at 80Mhz! And that, even if the modem puts out a 1KW output!
> There are some remote 60Hz users out there. The inductance of that wiring
> is going to look staggering to multi-Mhz signals, I would think--no one is
> going to be able to control the impedance of that feedline. Really, I
> would have to see it to believe it, will keep my eyes open, now you have
> me interested.
>
> Now, 300Hz to vlf is great, and there would be tolerable line
> attenuation due to impedance from line inductance, the resistance of the

> wire would then become one of largest losses, if not the largest. In
> special cases, where line length ended up being a resonate or
> near-resonate length, might even have a signal in need of attenuation at
> the ISP. I have no idea what-so-ever of how "long wire antennas" of that
> magnitude behave like... and as a transmission line! Krist, I am worried
> about how much signal I am getting though 250+ feet of aging coax!
>
> John
>
>

## 1175. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 20:55:48 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050810205548.7B088171C3@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <870131437833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" <l...@no.spam> wrote:

> he was. he has multipel personality disorder.

Well, Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllloyd how many sockpuppets do
you have? Like "Christy Davies" for instance.

> havent you
> noticed how the other personality talks about him like he
> isnt roger?

Sad little Lloydie the shiteater sees Roger everywhere.
Get a dictionary, Lloydie.

> it is realy strange, man. he probaly has 3 or
> 4 personalitys living in his head.

If he does, it beats you and Saggytits having nobody living in
your empty malformed skulls.

# 1176. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:04:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.21.04.28.259871@gmail.com>
Source record: 115527

Jim:

I don't think I have ever made a statement or post to "reduce testing" or even make it one question easier...

You are attempting to sneak in an argument of your own design and form a false to what is being argued. Most, if not all, this is about is dumping morse, an un-needed, under-used, ancient form of communication that a very good portion of amateurs never use...

If they have some way of using ~1.72 - 80Mhz for BPL, go for it, at the most they will only interfere with an insignificant number of hobby users... business/corporate america can adapt to other freqs, indeed, the boost on the whole to industry by updating the net will out weigh any negative effects. Military can use satellites...

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:49:43 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

> John,
>
> Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals
> held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a
> neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL
> runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695
> kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't
> going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the
> cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL
> are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at
> $24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet
> connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL
>
> For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM
> broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there
> can be considerable radiation.
>
> The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any
> greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators. If
> done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.
>
> If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL
> proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the
> background noise some 10 dB. Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a
> 10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite
> unacceptable. Period.

>
> I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just
> Morse) to as close to zero as possible.
>
> My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally,
> they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year
> apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates
> degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a
> watered-down apprenticeship, right?
>
> I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system
> to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements
> encouraging "intelligent design".
>
> Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it
> includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that
> they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were
> sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance).
> Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye
> conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4
> resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".
>
> Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem
> with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the
> theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the
> country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur
> radio.
>
> Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband
> penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones.
> We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.
>
> Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning
> universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks
> the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset
> to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and
> chase some folks out of this country.
>
> I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it
> has something more than just Morse behind it.
>
> Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside
> the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty,
> Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but
> nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because
> the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.
>
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

>
>
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.10.05.19.12.628979@gmail.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> I must admit, I am not aware of any of that, attempts to use HF on
>> power lines, or even VHF... but I have not kept up at all... that doesn't
>> sound wacky, it sounds impossible to me...
>>
>> However, I would't even attempt to get a 1.750Mhz signal down power
>> wiring, the capacitance between windings, shielding in all those xfrms
>> along the way, underground power lines, ground shielding in between
>> windings, wiring wound around in conduit boxes, etc, etc...
>>
>> My first degree was in EE. From what I remember, take a damn idiot to
>> expect those freqs to go any distance at all--the capacitive loading is
>> going to start looking like a direct short to ground I would expect!
>> Especially at 80Mhz! And that, even if the modem puts out a 1KW output!
>> There are some remote 60Hz users out there. The inductance of that wiring
>> is going to look staggering to multi-Mhz signals, I would think--no one is
>> going to be able to control the impedance of that feedline. Really, I
>> would have to see it to believe it, will keep my eyes open, now you have
>> me interested.
>>
>> Now, 300Hz to vlf is great, and there would be tolerable line
>> attenuation due to impedance from line inductance, the resistance of the
>> wire would then become one of largest losses, if not the largest. In
>> special cases, where line length ended up being a resonate or
>> near-resonate length, might even have a signal in need of attenuation at
>> the ISP. I have no idea what-so-ever of how "long wire antennas" of that
>> magnitude behave like... and as a transmission line! Krist, I am worried
>> about how much signal I am getting though 250+ feet of aging coax!
>>
>> John
>>
>>

# 1177. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:08:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123708082.101302.23690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115528

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!

As of midnight EDT on 9 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1316

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 53

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 362 28.66%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 730 57.80%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 171 13.54%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, and one foreign citizen submission.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 9 Aug 05 that Total would be 1263.

A growing number of keep-code-test-for-Extra entries prompted
a review of all entries up to 9 Aug 05 and revision of the
previous postings of Against and Indeterminate numbers.
This was exacerbated by 14 entries made into the various day
listings by the FCC after midnight of the days given. Total
entry numbers are correct to the FCC-given totals up to and
including 9 Aug 05. Having read each and every entry made
so far, new entries made in previous day's listings can be
spotted without fail and tallied groups corrected as need be.

9 August 2005 is the 15th day of submitted Comments. A notice
about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 15 days of Comments
will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and

Order. Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made, like it or not.

dit dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1178. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:09:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.21.09.21.112396@gmail.com>
Source record: 115530

commander:

Why two-thirds of the oceans are composed of hydrogen, and the oceans themselves cover two-thirds of the planets surface... course it takes more energy to get the hydrogen out of the sea water than you get back when you burn/use the hydrogen--but, if we can develop a new generation energy source so we have cheap and abundant energy to extract the hydrogen from sea water!!! However, at that time watch, some A$$HOLES will step forward and ask the question, "Why not just use the cheap and abundant source of newly developed energy directly, and not be wasteful in converting sea water to hydrogen and oxygen--there are always trouble makers yanno...

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 14:29:18 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> Nomen Nescio wrote:
>>
>>
>> Hydrogen fuel cells, bountiful, renewable resource.
>
> So what non-poluting source are you going to generate all this hydrogen
> from?

# 1179. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:09:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123708175.110049.200430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115531

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Wed 10 Aug 2005 11:37

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1123648804.590620.207760
>@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>I have now entered my comment in favour of the NPRM.

Way to go, Alun! And to Hans Brakob who appeared in the first 22
Comments I checked out for 10 Aug 05!

Everyone ought to make themselves heard to their government,
an essence of our democratic process rights in the USA.

> Of the last 50
>comments, 24 are in favour, 17 against, and 9 want to keep a code test for
>the Extra only. I suppose that does mean that a slim majority (of the last
>50) want to keep a code test for the Extra but not the General, but 48%
>want the code test eliminated. Unfortunately I don't have time to read all
>1,319 comments!

No? :-) I have the time...and I've MADE the time. Interesting
to watch the individuals instead of their opinions being filtered
(and usually 'modified') through some other organization.

Larry Klose looked at all 2300+ Comments on the 98-143 NPRM and
know I have a good idea of how he felt slogging through some
of the truly weird (and sometimes imaginative) writings of a
few folks. [see "LeRoy Klose III" exhibits of 25, 26 Jan 99
under Docket 98-143 on the ECFS...nice statistics work on a
lot more complex NPRM than 05-143 for Docket 05-235]

I am posting the "scorecard" as of midnight 9 Aug 05 today.
The number of Comments/individuals FOR the NPRM are 57%, a
majority. Even if those unambiguous FOR Comments are
percentaged against the total number of Comments to date,
they would still be in the majority.

for yes
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1180. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:12:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123708321.954212.35830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115532

From: John Smith on Tues 9 Aug 2005 22:19

>Len:
>
>I must admit, I am not aware of any of that, attempts to use HF on
>power lines, or even VHF... but I have not kept up at all... that doesn't
>sound wacky, it sounds impossible to me...
>
>However, I would't even attempt to get a 1.750Mhz signal down power
>wiring, the capacitance between windings, shielding in all those xfrms
>along the way, underground power lines, ground shielding in between
>windings, wiring wound around in conduit boxes, etc, etc...

I can understand all of that. However, the nature of
transmission lines is that they appear (to source and
termination) as extremely-long, quite-low-cutoff-rate
L-C lowpass filters. If the source output impedance and
the termination input impedance are the same as the
transmission lines' characteristic impedance, maximum
power flow happens.

The MAJOR problem with ANY transmission line environment is
DISCONTINUITIES...anything along the line path that upsets
the characteristic impedance, usually a physical size thing
but can be a change in conductor material, addition of a
dielectric in the Transverse ElectroMagnetic (TEM) field,
and similar. ANY discontinuity will produce a reflection
to the wavefront and increases the VSWR (Voltage Standing
Wave Ratio).

Note: I use "VSWR" where most hams use "SWR." That's
industry practice and relates to the method of measurement,
by voltage instead of some means of measuring power (for
direct SWR). All ham-used "SWR" meters really measure
RF voltage in/out, thus they measure VSWR, but indicators
are automatically converting the VSWR to "SWR" for some
odd kind of apparent tradition.

>My first degree was in EE. From what I remember, take a damn idiot to
>expect those freqs to go any distance at all--the capacitive loading is
>going to start looking like a direct short to ground I would expect!
>Especially at 80Mhz! And that, even if the modem puts out a 1KW output!
>There are some remote 60Hz users out there. The inductance of that wiring
>is going to look staggering to multi-Mhz signals, I would think--no one is
>going to be able to control the impedance of that feedline. Really, I
>would have to see it to believe it, will keep my eyes open, now you have

>me interested.

Well, I "cheated" in that I took two semesters (at night)
of microwave theory and techniques because that was what
I was working in at the time. Taught by an EE with teaching
credentials, himself a day worker in microwave engineering
at Hughes Aircraft, Culver City, CA. Gave me terrific
insight to the behavior of transmission lines, matching, etc.
Afterwards I found a McGraw-Hill Schaum's Outline Series on
transmission lines by Robert A Chipman (professor of EE at
University of Toledo) with many fascinating examples and
solved problems on everything from electric power transmission
at 60 Hz to waveguide power transmission at GigaHertzes.
[out of print now but the 230+ pages of 8 1/2 x 11 inch soft-
bound cost a mere $4.95 in the early 1970s] Made good use of
that Chipman text in later formal EE class work...saved
my mind from having to "re-invent the wheel" (as most beginners
do) in order to get the beloved academic *credits*. :-(

Most residential areas in the USA have above-ground electric
power distribution. With those, it is relatively easy to just
look and estimate the conductor size, their spacing, and get
a rough idea of the characteristic impedance of two-wire open
wire transmission lines. Then look along the 4 KV distribution
route and see where the spacings change, the connections to
step-down transformers occur (and at what intervals), the
cross-overs and half-loop jumpers as the line has to turn
corners, splices, whatever. Usually there will be places
where the line spacings deliberately come closer or spread
for whatever mechanical reasons. All are discontinuities.

At RF, most pole transformers present a high impedance to the
4 KV distribution line. Those can be thought of as "parallel
bridgings" (as in video signal distribution) or like the
common "capacitance tap" of older TV cable coax distribution.
They don't affect the main transmission line much at all.
From what I understand of BPL practice, the broadband
service couplers go around the pole transformer and go directly
to the subscriber drop with appropriate HV protection, etc.
How they do that is irrelevant...like the "capacity tap" of
TV cable subscriber drop pick-off, it won't affect the main
distribution route. ALL THE OTHER DISCONTINUITIES WILL affect
the "characteristic impedance" of this BPL transmission line.

Where discontinuities exist physically, there WILL BE RADIATION
of the BPL signal sidebands. That will happen on every single
RF transmission line ever built/installed/debugged/whatever.

>Now, 300Hz to vlf is great, and there would be tolerable line
>attenuation due to impedance from line inductance, the resistance of the
>wire would then become one of largest losses, if not the largest. In
>special cases, where line length ended up being a resonate or

>near-resonate length, might even have a signal in need of attenuation at
>the ISP. I have no idea what-so-ever of how "long wire antennas" of that
>magnitude behave like... and as a transmission line! Krist, I am worried
>about how much signal I am getting though 250+ feet of aging coax!

Whether coaxial cable or open-wire line, ALL transmission lines
have attenuation increasing with increasing frequency. For new
cable the "db per 100 foot" column can be consulted for what it
was when new in your 250-foot case. Attenuation will increase
somewhat with aging but - for coax - that is due mostly to the
dielectric polymer material doing some weird polymerization
depending on its original quality and composition. Big difference
in polyethylenes, very little difference with tetrafluoroethylenes
(Teflon). Open-wire lines have the least problems with aging,
that due mostly to conductor surface oxidation (plating with
another, non-oxidizing metal helps that) and accumulation of
airborne dirt and crap (which can be cleaned off). Problem with
open-wire line is the characteristic impedance is so much higher
than coax and thus the RF voltages are proportionally higher.

For ANY transmission line ya gotta treat the line as essentially
broadband media (but with a slow rate of attenuation at higher
frequencies). When the line's characteristic impedance is
matched at both ends, everyone is happy. When it ain't, ya get
delivered power LOSS with the difference between input and
output power being either radiated or absorbed (in something).
Mostly that is excess RADIATION...which CAN be measured.

With an ideal transmission line (and ideal matching front/back)
there isn't any radiation. Power loss is confined to the loss
within the line itself (also measureable). The minute you put
a discontinuity in that line, there WILL BE RADIATION.

low swr
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1181. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:13:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <F5uKe.4189$j21.3394@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 115533

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.10.21.04.28.259871@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> I don't think I have ever made a statement or post to "reduce testing" or
> even make it one question easier...
>
> You are attempting to sneak in an argument of your own design and form a
> false to what is being argued. Most, if not all, this is about is dumping
> morse, an un-needed, under-used, ancient form of communication that a very
> good portion of amateurs never use...
>
> If they have some way of using ~1.72 - 80Mhz for BPL, go for it, at the
> most they will only interfere with an insignificant number of hobby
> users... business/corporate america can adapt to other freqs, indeed, the
> boost on the whole to industry by updating the net will out weigh any
> negative effects. Military can use satellites...
>
> John
>

John, 60 meters is being tried for amateur use on a secondary basis - and will likely experience some interference for the primary users.

As Len mentioned, there still are a lot of services using HF. The cellphone and Internet set are likely not aware of them. Users like low-band VHF used for long-haul trucking (somewhere around 40 MHz; I'm not exactly sure). Also, Channel 2 television runs from 54 to 60 MHz. Channel 3 television runs from 60 to 66 MHz. That still falls below 80 MHz and can be interfered with. Not likely in the primary service area, but get near the fringe and forget the picture with BPL. Of course, BPL will likely try and run in the cities where the cost is lower. Of course, many folks are on cable and/or satellite, so that won't bother most.

One needs to look at the whole picture. There *are* services other than amateur radio in the HF spectrum. I hope you don't think that amateur radio has 50% or more of the HF spectrum ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 1182. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:27:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123709279.471988.151520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115534

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > The shuttle was supposed to take cargo to Geostationary orbit instaed
> > > > > > > > NASA settled for LEO
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And who says it can't?
> > > > >
> > > > NASA
> > >
> > Where?
> >
> in every PR about the shuttles current capicites
>
> >
> > > > > The Shuttle does (and today proved) that is can and does operate
> > > > > in the manner designed for...
> > > >
> > > nope not even close it misses the mark by a mere 20,000 miles or there
> > > abouts
> > >
> > When/where has there been a geostationary mission that required
> > the Shuttle?
> >
> In the 70/s when the project was funded
>
> BTW you are changing your story in the middle of post now
>
> a few lines ago you were dening that the shuttle could not get to GEO
> orbit now you are impling it was never suposed to

Then you're reading what you want into this.

As usual, facts are of little concern to you.

> > > > And unless I missed something, this mission flew some part of
> > > > every profile that the Shuttle was envisoned doing.
> > >
> > only the first 200 miles of the 23,000 some part indeed
> >
> > That was the ONLY mission for the Shuttle, Markie?
> >
> never said it was

YOU said the Shuttle is an "utter" failre. That means nearly
complete in current American use of the term.

Facts are that it's anything but.

> > > > > > That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
> > > > > > commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?
> > > > >
> > > > > as normal off target and not related
> > > >
> > > > Sure it's "on target" and absolutely related.
> > >
> > > Not really and it is unrelated
> >
> > Absolutely ontarget and absolutely related.
>
> nope nothing about the loss of life is related to why the shuttle is a
> failure

So people dying is a success...?!?!

> > There has hardly been a news item on the Internet in the last 6
> > months mentioning the Shuttle that didn't mention the COlumbia and
> > Challenger disasters.
>
> so?

You you're not paying attention again.

> > I'll take those as more-than-adequate evidence that the comments
> > were germane.
>
> then you are in error of course

Of course NOT.

> > > that Men died is bad of course but that men died isn't the reason the
> > > shuttle is a failure, although it was supposed ot bring the men back
> > > alive
> >
> > I am sure you meant men AND women.
>
> No I meant men in one of the senses in which english uses the word

Then you grossly insulted the women who died on those missions
too.

Scumbag.

(SNIP)

> > The Shuttle's flown 113 missions as of yesterday.
>
> so?
>

> >
> > This is HARDLY failure.
>
> sure is
>
> None of them to the orbits promised.

Every Shuttle launched with the exception the last Challenger
mission made it to the orbit promised.

> No shuttle has been turned around in the time promised

Sure they have. Several.

> No GEO sat captured and repaired

None scheduled.

> No more polar launchs at all

Was one necessary? Was one scheduled?

> No Cheap launchs

No launch is cheap.

> The shuttle does NOT do what it is supposed to

Sure it does.

> Does not mean it is Useless, merely unsucessfull at it
> designed/promised mission

It does far more than it was inteded. That i may have not met
some of it's INITIAL parameters does NOT make it an "utter failure".

> I am gald that we have found mission for the multibillion dollar
> shuttle, ifwe had not it would be a failure at it original mission, but
> an absolute disaster

You've not proven your assertion of "utter failure" yet.

"Absolute disaster" is far and beyond that.

> > > > People have died on Shuttle missions. That is a fact. The fact
> > > > that people HAVE died on Shuttle missions IS a popular argument for the
> > > > program's termination by those who think that "space travel" ought to
> > > > be like flying on the Starship Enterprise of TV fame.
> > >
> > > so what?
> > >
> > > again off target and ilrelavant
> >
> > What's "ilrelavant"...?!?!
> >
> > Of course it's RELEVANT, Markie. TV fantasy shapes people's

> > ideations of what REAL life should be.
>
> nope it isn't

Sure it does!

> cuting more off topic crap

The "crap" is you cutting out those things you don't want to deal
with, especially when it provides a relevent example of facts:

QUOTE

For one example...You'd not beleive the number of people (some of
them very educated persons) who come to my ER thinking they are going
to be in-and-out in an hour. Why? Becasue that's the length of time
an episode of "E.R." takes to fix things...

UNQUOTE

> > > > > > E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> > > > > > be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
> > > > > > in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > wrong again Stevie, your premise that learning from something means the
> > > > > > something was a sucess
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh?
> > > > >
> > > > > It seems to be an adequate-enough justification for every scientist
> > > > > since the dawn of time. What's your rationale for stating otherwise?
> > > >
> > > > failure to meet it goals
> > >
> > "A" goal out of dozens...?!?!
>
> Orbit, turn around time, cost, realiablity,

It's met almost all of those, Markie.

As for cost...Name me a major development program that DOESN'T go
overbudget...?!?!

> > BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > !
> >
> > You have some very unique perspective out of what determines
> > "failure", Markie...
>
> nope you simply don't face facts

Sure I do.

You've just not provided any so far.

> > Of course you've made a life-long habit out of manufacturing
> > failure in order to have excuses for non-success, haven't you?
>
> more stevie lies

More Markie WISHING he wasn't so transparent.

> > > that we have learned something from thsi failure is a good thing but it
> > > is still a failure
> > >
> > > and you realy don't undersatnd science stavie you just don't
> >
> cuting speling cop'

Allow me to put it back since you obviously didn't fix it the
first time.

"really" "understand" "Stevie" "cutting" "spelling"

A capital leter begins the sentence and a period or question mark
typically ends it.

> > Sure I understand it.
> >
> > And I understand you.
> >
> > You think you've found ONE issue that you can dig into and hold on
> > to, yet it's fleeting already. That the Shuttle program has had
> > "failures" is evident, but the Shuttle program overall is NOT a
> > failure.
>
> how about 5

None.

> > > > > Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
> > > > > regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> > > > > learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
> > > >
> > > > I bet not.
> > >
> > > you still owe me 500$ from your last bet
> >
> > "$500"
>
> yep

Nope. You've yet to prove a thing.

> > Nope. Not even close, Markie. You actually have to have some
> > "proof" in order to get that...
>
> you bet that an english of my chioce would flunk my sentence and give

> you an "A" you lost

Not of YOUR choice, Markie.

So let's go through THAT sentence and see WHY you are NOT entitled
to $500:

Sentence not started with a capital letter.

"english" not capitalized.

"chioce"

And every post you've made SINCE then has been just as terrible.

> > You're a looooooooooooooooooooooooong way away from that.
> >
> > > > We've learned more about orbital dynamics and extra vehicular
> > > > working than we would have in a million "deep tank" simulations.
> > >
> > > which has nothing to do with anything under discusion
> >
> > ?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?
> >
> > Sure it is!
> >
> > YOU claim the Shuttle is a failure based upon having never gone to
> > geostationary orbit.
>
> and failing to prefroms it designed mission

It's performed all except one mission. Challenger never made
orbit. Columbia's mission was completed and it was coming home.

> which has nothing to do with simulations

Your lack of English comprehension is apparent again.

> > I say you're bonkers because the Shuttle has MORE than fulfilled
> > it's rolls in supporting scientific missions, satellite repair, and
> > supporting both the MIR and ISS programs.
>
> nope it has not repaired a single satelite of the the type promised,
> (only the hubble in leo orbit

Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! Better ask the Indians about that.

> It has not provided chaep access to space

But it's provided access where access wouldn't have been had,
including the flying of various educational experiements

> It has manged to find usefull propose in lessor roles

Funny how your miniscule mind tries to minimize everything that
goes through it.

> > > > > > > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > > > > > > > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > > > > > > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > > > > > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> > > > > > > > preforming the missions promised
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > the Shuttle can't fufill the mission it was designed for
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It can't fulfill what it's already surpassed, Mark?
> > > >
> > > > It can't deviler what it promised
> >
> > Sure it has "deviler(ed)"...And more...
>
> "What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the predicted
> failure rate of about 1 in 100."
>
> form the original post Jim words

Jim's opinion.

Both NASA assessments and assessments of the scientific community
in general that have lauded the Shuttle say otherwise.

> the Shuttle does and can't do these things

Make up your mind, IdiotBoy.

> > > BTW Id call the last mission f Chalenger and Colombia failures
> >
> > I didn't say there weren't failure IN the program.
>
> you said all mission acheieved thier goals or in your exact words "The
> "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission."

The SHUTTLE itself has never failed.

The falures were directly related to the external tanks/boosters.

> > I said the Shuttle PROGRAM is not a failure.
>
> not according to you

There's that English comprehension problem again, Markie.

> > And as I have CORRECTLY pointed out before, the catastrophies were
> > both due to problems with the external fuel tank/boosters.
>
> which are part and parcel of the shuttle program

But the SHUTTLES were not the problem.

And even with the fuel tank/booster issues, the overall program is
STILL a success.

> > > > > the Shuttle has falied utterly in being able to try it designed for
> > > > > mission
> > > > >
> > > > Oh?
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> > You're making assertions that reknowned scientists say otherwise,
> > Markie.
>
> such as?

Such as "...the shuttle is an utter failure..."

> > > > "utterly"...?!?!
> > >
> > > only come 20,000 out of 23,000 miles short
> > >
> > > I call that utterly
> >
> > I call your assertion utterly rediculous.
>
> of course you do
>
> but then you lie about anything you find in your way

It's not "in my way".

You assertion is utterly rediculous.

> > > > I take the word "utterly" to mean a nearly complete failure of all
> > > > designed mission parameters.
> > >
> > > well a major mission was satelite repair, geo sat repair, It has never
> > > manged that
> >
> > Uh...Hubble's not geostationary, but YOU call that a failue?
>
> I call Hubble a cluster ####, and I am amazed that any science has
> surrvivied the stupidity involed in Hubble, but the Shuttle was the
> subject not Hubble and the less said about a couple of recent Mars
> missions the better

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

Of COURSE you would call it a "cluster ####"

Because your profanity in place of reasonable argument is the sign
of a weak and feeble mind.

And again the Hubble is anything BUT the "cluster ####" you call
it!

> > And what fo the multiple launches FROM Shuttls and satellites
> > recovered from and returned to orbit by the shuttle?
>
> what about them?

They prove you wrong.

> > > it has never manged to reach teh desred orbit of its planers
> >
> > Still focusing on ONE parameter, Markie...Still misses your mark
> > of "utter"
>
> I mentioned the others as has Jim

And STILL misses your "utter failure" assertion by a
looooooooooooooooong way.

> > > > The Challenger and Columbia disaters notwithstanding, I'd say YOUR
> > > > suggestion is unsubstantiated at many, MANY levels.
> > >
> > > No It is on the record from NASA, they defined the mission mid ay
> > > though building the shuttles to a new and lessor mission set
> >
> > Soooooooooooo! Even before it flew the mission had ALREADY been
> > re-taasked, so BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION the Shuttle's flying now were NOT
> > designed for geostationary flight.
>
> right they could not deliver the promised and paid for vechile, so they
> presented this fraud and pluged intot the shuttle program

Now it's a "fraud"........

There's never any ending to your victim role, is there...?!?!

> > > The Shuttle has always failed to met it original mission parameters
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > It's met and exceeded almost every one.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > A looooooooooooooooong way from YOUR assertion of "utter" failure.
> >
> > > > > The Shuttle is the best real world example of Dumbing down expectations
> > > > >
> > > > Sure glad we have you to counter lots of REAL scientists who have
> > > > said otherwise, Mark!
> > > >
> > > not really
> > > >
> > > and it is the engineers that say so
> > >
> > Which engineers?
> >
> > Names? URL's to discussions on the issue please?
>
> as soon as you fulfill your debits on reffernces I'll think about it

Ahhhhhhhhhhh....One of those "evasions" you say you don't do...

What did I expect from a known pathological liar!

You can't even back up THIS rant, and

> > > > > > Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
> > > > > > to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
> > > > > > on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
> > > > > > deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > that it has some use is of course true
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
> > > > > > is even more true.
> > > > > >
> > > > > milstone yea it is that
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then
> > > > > > what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> > > > > > "re-engineered" for better performance?
> > > > > >
> > > > > that reengineering is not even planned for the shuttle shows it failure
> > > > >
> > > > What do you mean that there's no re-engineering planned?
> > > >
> > > They just got done with 2 years of it...
> > >
> > not really and nothing on the board to suceed the shuttle in five years
> > >
> > they have tried to cover the failures and cobbled together nature of
> > the beast
> >
> > What's getting "cobbled together" here is your story. You're

> > trying to design it as you're laying bricks...
>
> not at all

Absolutely.

> > > > > > Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still
> > > > > > using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > it is the plain and simple truth
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope. What it is is a bit of failed argument on your part.
> > > >
> > > No just a case of you eading Press releases rather than looking at the
> > > facts, but nothing new there
> > >
> > Reading press releases ARE part of the facts...
>
> again with your Faith in PR's

Nope.

Those press releases along with about a ton of documentation from
Scientific American, AW&ST, "Air and Space" magazine, etc.

Are you suggestiong that ALL "PR" on the Shuttle is fabricated and
controlled by NASA...?!?!

> > > > You need to be reading something other than "Pagan Monthly", Mark.
> > > >
> > > more bashing
> > >
> more evasion

Nope.

> > > > > > > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > > > > > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > > > > > > crew.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > > > > > > > refusual to listen to anybody else
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What, Mark?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> > > > > > proven itself 100% error free?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> > > > > > Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> > > > > > leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read

> > > > > > that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design,
> > > > > > engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor
> > > > > > vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in
> > > > > > what...1992?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > > > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > > > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > > > > > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > > > > > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > > > > > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > > > > > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > > > > > > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat
> > > > > > > sheild
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What "fibbing", Mark?
> > > > >
> > > > > Jim said the Chalenger was destroyed by a heat shiled related problem
> > > >
> > > > No he didn't.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > cutinng more of stevie out of context rating
> > >
> > Putting what I said back in so Markie can face his foolishness one
> > more time:
>
> cuting stvie playing yet again with the facts

"Cutting" "Stevie"

Facts are facts.

WHY ARE YOU AVOIDING ACKNOWLEDGING YOUR ERROR OF FACTS?

> cutinng more of stevie out of context rating

Putting what I said back in so Markie can face his foolishness one

more time:

ONCE MORE (AGAIN) (FOR THE THIRD TIME) FOR MARK'S EDIFICATION:

> > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one.

END QUOTE.

Now...WHERE did Jim say Challenger's probelm was a heat shield
issue?

(Now patiently awating Mark to acknowledge he mis-read Jim's post
where he DID say that COLUMBIA'S problem was due to exposing the heat
shield to a foreign object strike)

END QUOTE

> > > > > > And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> > > > > > compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> > > > > > to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> > > > > > different outcome.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > > > > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > > > > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > > > > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > > > > > > lessons learned from its problems...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?
> > > > > >
> > > > > experence sure I got it I have folowed the Space program as far back as
> > > > > I can remember
> > > > >
> > > > I remember it back to BEFORE the first Mercury shots went up. I
> > > > got to follow each Mercury, Gemini and Apollo misison, INCLUDING
> > > > Apollo-Soyuz. That includes the Skylab missions.
> > >
> > so?
> > >
> > you are older than I we all knew that
> >
> Yep.
> >
> I am also more well versed in the goals and milestones of the
> progam, obviously, not that it took much to do.
>
> nope
>
> you asserted that the Shuttle is cappble...(SNIP)

Why would the Space Shuttle wear a cap?

> (UNSNIP)...of Geo Orbit flight ay one
> point in this thread then chnaged your story, proving you know very
> little of the history of the program

I know more of it than you, Markie, as is obvious from the
foregoing.

> > > > > We started in 60 with the Goal of getting to the moon and we did then
> > > > > we started to build a space born truck that was supposed to reach GEO
> > > > > stationary orbit and be able to turn around in 2 weeks
> > > > >
> > > > > we settled for LEO and months of turnaround
> > > >
> > > > We haven't "settled" for anything yet.
> > >
> > > we sure did
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure did
>
> the shuttle can only reach LEO we settled for that
>
> Private hobbiests [hobbyists] (abet[albeit] really well off ones) can do reuseableflight
> vechiles beter than NASA and its billions

Oh?

WHICH "hobbyists" have managed to put a multi-ton, multipassenger
spacecraft into Earth orbit, up to two weeks at a time?

Please include the answer to THIS question along with your
acknowledgement of your error vis-a-vis your claim of Jim's "fib".

> Our space program is in sad shape, i really wish it wasn't, but them is
> the facts

Out space program will only "suffer" if it's not allowed to grow
and mature.

Lying about it's accomplishments won't help it, so please stop.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1183. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:29:18 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <EPSdnayNdaqc_mffRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115524

Nomen Nescio wrote:
>
>
> Hydrogen fuel cells, bountiful, renewable resource.

So what non-poluting source are you going to generate all this hydrogen
from?

# 1184. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:39:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.21.39.03.452933@gmail.com>
Source record: 115539

Jim:

I don't think this country runs on HF, some others might... if so, our BPL interference will not be a bother to them...

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 21:13:09 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.10.21.04.28.259871@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> I don't think I have ever made a statement or post to "reduce testing" or
>> even make it one question easier...
>>
>> You are attempting to sneak in an argument of your own design and form a
>> false to what is being argued. Most, if not all, this is about is dumping
>> morse, an un-needed, under-used, ancient form of communication that a very
>> good portion of amateurs never use...
>>
>> If they have some way of using ~1.72 - 80Mhz for BPL, go for it, at the
>> most they will only interfere with an insignificant number of hobby
>> users... business/corporate america can adapt to other freqs, indeed, the
>> boost on the whole to industry by updating the net will out weigh any
>> negative effects. Military can use satellites...
>>
>> John
>>
> 
> John, 60 meters is being tried for amateur use on a secondary basis - and
> will likely experience some interference for the primary users.
> 
> As Len mentioned, there still are a lot of services using HF. The cellphone
> and Internet set are likely not aware of them. Users like low-band VHF used
> for long-haul trucking (somewhere around 40 MHz; I'm not exactly sure).
> Also, Channel 2 television runs from 54 to 60 MHz. Channel 3 television
> runs from 60 to 66 MHz. That still falls below 80 MHz and can be interfered
> with. Not likely in the primary service area, but get near the fringe and
> forget the picture with BPL. Of course, BPL will likely try and run in the
> cities where the cost is lower. Of course, many folks are on cable and/or
> satellite, so that won't bother most.
> 
> One needs to look at the whole picture. There *are* services other than

> amateur radio in the HF spectrum. I hope you don't think that amateur radio
> has 50% or more of the HF spectrum ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

# 1185. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:40:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123710021.158655.102830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115535

an_old_friend wrote:
> hungery usenet today
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
> > > practice
> >
> > Show where Dave commited a crime...Under US or Tanzanian law,
> > Markie.
>
> Knowingly assiting other is comitting a crime is a crime in the USA,
> Tanzania well I don't know but since it is like the USA a former
> English colony Very likely there too

Markie...I said SHOW WHERE DAVE COMMITED A CRIME.

I asked that in the questions I posed.

> > > cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
> > > you know
> >
> cuting again they smell becuase they have nothingt o do with the issue
> at hand

SURE THEY DO!

They are DIRECTLY related to the issue, LiarBoy!

REQUOTE

An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur

you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
licensure?

For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY

domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of

his/her license?

Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

UNQUOTE

> > > > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> > >
> > > nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure are

Not even close.

> > Brain alleges Dave did something wrong.
>
> He does so allege

And his allegations do not meet the test of validity.

> > There is absolutely NO evidence of any such lawbreaking.
>
> Yes there is.

Nope.
>
> Dave does not dispute Brain describtion of the facts, and brian
> describes a crime

And again, I ask, was Dave obligated to know the allowable
allocations of the French stations? Was he obligated to know the
allocations of ANY foreign operator...?!?!

Here's a hint for you, Markie:

The ONLY time a US licensed Ham was required to know ANYthing about
a foreign operator's permissible activities is if they are (1) On the
list of "No Contact" nations, and (2) If they hold Third Party Traffic
agreements with the United States.

Period.

> > Avoidng the questions is an act of cowardice.
>
> No avoidance the questions were asked and answered, they merely had and
> contiue to have no meaning in context.

No...YOU have "answered" them.

Brain has not.

And according to YOUR answers, no "crime" was committed.

> Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud

Nope. Direct questions relative to the topic at hand.

Now...Let's see if Brain has the testosterone to answer them.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1186. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 21:52:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.21.52.03.873025@gmail.com>
Source record: 115540

Len:

I think the amateurs who attempt to "control freak" the bands for their personal use and club have always been successful, I mean, isn't it obvious, look at the state of amateur radio.

I am thinking the FCC is giving a "thumbs down" to these "good ole boys" activities... we will see...

However, their squealing like stuck hams (pun intended) was expected, was it not?

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 14:09:35 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Wed 10 Aug 2005 11:37
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1123648804.590620.207760
>>@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>I have now entered my comment in favour of the NPRM.
>
> Way to go, Alun! And to Hans Brakob who appeared in the first 22
> Comments I checked out for 10 Aug 05!
>
> Everyone ought to make themselves heard to their government,
> an essence of our democratic process rights in the USA.
>
>> Of the last 50
>>comments, 24 are in favour, 17 against, and 9 want to keep a code test for
>>the Extra only. I suppose that does mean that a slim majority (of the last
>>50) want to keep a code test for the Extra but not the General, but 48%
>>want the code test eliminated. Unfortunately I don't have time to read all
>>1,319 comments!
>
> No? :-) I have the time...and I've MADE the time. Interesting
> to watch the individuals instead of their opinions being filtered
> (and usually 'modified') through some other organization.
>
> Larry Klose looked at all 2300+ Comments on the 98-143 NPRM and
> know I have a good idea of how he felt slogging through some
> of the truly weird (and sometimes imaginative) writings of a
> few folks. [see "LeRoy Klose III" exhibits of 25, 26 Jan 99
> under Docket 98-143 on the ECFS...nice statistics work on a

> lot more complex NPRM than 05-143 for Docket 05-235]
>
> I am posting the "scorecard" as of midnight 9 Aug 05 today.
> The number of Comments/individuals FOR the NPRM are 57%, a
> majority. Even if those unambiguous FOR Comments are
> percentaged against the total number of Comments to date,
> they would still be in the majority.
>
> for yes
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1187. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 22:00:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123711237.431224.92840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115536

It seems to me that your one of those amateur operators who doesn't like K1MAN; reason, you don't have a FUCKING LIFE. Your one of those pathetic licensed CB operators who can get it through their fucking head that IT'S A HOBBY. But no, instead you harass a person because simply put, you don't like what that person says or does, your fucking life circle around ruining this person's life. But hey after he's gone you can go out back and blow your fucking brains out. Because, once he is gone you will no longer have anything to live for because you're the one who is a LOSER.

Todd N9OGL

# 1188. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 22:11:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.22.11.20.734122@gmail.com>
Source record: 115541

N9OGL:

While I would have chosen different words to have expressed the situation
you did, none-the-less, I believe you managed near 100% accuracy in
describing the situation!

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 15:00:37 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> It seems to me that your one of those amateur operators who doesn't
> like K1MAN; reason, you don't have a FUCKING LIFE. Your one of those
> pathetic licensed CB operators who can get it through their fucking
> head that IT'S A HOBBY. But no, instead you harass a person because
> simply put, you don't like what that person says or does, your fucking
> life circle around ruining this person's life. But hey after he's
> gone you can go out back and blow your fucking brains out. Because,
> once he is gone you will no longer have anything to live for because
> you're the one who is a LOSER.
>
> Todd N9OGL

## 1189. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 22:29:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123712956.949419.59500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115537

Jim Hampton wrote:

> Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals
> held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a
> neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL
> runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695
> kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't
> going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the
> cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL
> are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at
> $24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet
> connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL

Some folks say BPL should be subsidized for a time to "stimulate
competition".

> For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM
> broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there
> can be considerable radiation.

It should be remembered that there are a number of different BPL
technologies being pushed. There's no one standard. Compare that to
competing systems!

> The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any
> greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators.

IIRC, that's what FCC allowed.

The problem is that the current standards were meant for point-source
individual radiators, like a computer monitor. IOW devices, not
systems.

One of the big problems with BPL is that you can't get far enough away
from it. If my neighbor has a noisy computer monitor, it cannot get any
closer to my ham radio stuff than the property line.

But if even one of my neighbors has BPL, and we're fed off the same
power-company transformer, all of *my* house and service wiring becomes
a BPL radiator, whether I'm a BPL user or not.

> If
> done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.

That depends on how effective the power lines are as antennas. A noisy
point source device like a computer monitor is not a very good antenna,
and it's normally inside a building, with various things around it that
provide some shielding/attenuation. Aerial power lines are up where

they can do a good job of radiating!

IMHO, one of the big reasons BPL is so new, with no previously-existing regulations addressing it, is that it used to be that nobody would dream of even proposing a system using HF on power lines, because they *knew* FCC would shoot them down big time.

> If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL
> proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the
> background noise some 10 dB.

Allegedly. According to their models.

> Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a
> 10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite
> unacceptable. Period.

To us radio types, yes. But to an administration looking for a silver bullet, HF radio is a legacy-mode of communications, as opposed to "the internets"...

> I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just
> Morse) to as close to zero as possible.

Yup. One of the good things about 05-235 is that FCC turned down all such proposals. No free upgrades. No easier entry level license.

Not this time, anyway.

Did you read the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" paper that led to the second NCVEC proposal? Pretty scary.

> My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally,
> they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year
> apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates
> degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a
> watered-down apprenticeship, right?

Indeed.

> I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system
> to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements
> encouraging "intelligent design".

"Creationism in a cheap tuxedo"...

Next thing ya know they'll be burning copies of Inherit The Wind.

> Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it
> includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that
> they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were
> sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance).
> Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye
> conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4
> resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".

Good heavens..

> Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem
> with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the
> theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the
> country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur
> radio.

Because it's 'too hard'

You watch - when the Morse Code test is gone, there will be a flurry of
upgrades and some new licenses, but it won't last. Then there will be
renewed efforts to reduce the written tests still more. And they will
use the same
arguments that were used against the Morse Code tests.

> Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband
> penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones.
> We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.

There's a bunch of reasons for that:

1) Lots of old infrastructure
2) Low population density
3) Lack of exercise, unhealthy lifestyles, lack of access to routine
medical care (how many people use the ER as their family doctor?)
4) Poverty, ignorance, lack of community
5) Diverse population
6) Misplaced priorities
>
> Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning
> universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks
> the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset
> to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and
> chase some folks out of this country.

I've already been told here that I should leave, rather than even
suggest that
energy independence might require some hard choices....

> I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it
> has something more than just Morse behind it.

BINGO!

For about 30 years I've watched the standards erode, a little at a
time. At each step I was told it was "no big deal", I was an "old head"
and had to "accept change". I was told it was unreasonable to expect
people to
learn stuff like Morse Code or most of what was on the writtens.

Yet the growth in amateur radio was greater under the old standards.
Look how
US ham radio grew in the 1970s, then the 1980s, and finally the 1990s.

> Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside
> the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty,
> Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but
> nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because
> the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.

I think a big part of that is due to the export of good jobs, like manufacturing, out of the USA. Each step is sold to us as "no big deal", but the overall effect is staggering.

Remember Ross Perot and the "giant sucking sound" over NAFTA? Now we have CAFTA!

I tried to buy a new power drill today. Just a plain 3/8' chuck VSR drill with a cord. Try to find one that's not made in China!

Check this out:

http://tinyurl.com/c9txx

You see the leading edge of it because you're in Rochester, a city that was manufacturing- and technology-heavy. Kodak, Xerox, etc. Plus educational institutions to feed those industries.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 1190. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 22:40:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123713634.905756.225610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115538

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > The shuttle was supposed to take cargo to Geostationary orbit instaed
> > > > > > NASA settled for LEO
> > > > >
> > > > > And who says it can't?
> > > >
> > > > NASA
> > >
> > > Where?
> >
> > in every PR about the shuttles current capicites
> >
> > >
> > > > > The Shuttle does (and today proved) that is can and does operate
> > > > > in the manner designed for...
> > > >
> > > > nope not even close it misses the mark by a mere 20,000 miles or there
> > > > abouts
> > >
> > > When/where has there been a geostationary mission that required
> > > the Shuttle?
> >
> > In the 70/s when the project was funded
> >
> > BTW you are changing your story in the middle of post now
> >
> > a few lines ago you were dening that the shuttle could not get to GEO
> > orbit now you are impling it was never suposed to
>
break
> Then you're reading what you want into this.

nope I am reading what you have written

>
> As usual, facts are of little concern to you.
>
> > > > > And unless I missed something, this mission flew some part of
> > > > > every profile that the Shuttle was envisoned doing.
> > > >

> > > > only the first 200 miles of the 23,000 some part indeed
> > >
> > > That was the ONLY mission for the Shuttle, Markie?
> >
> > never said it was
>
> YOU said the Shuttle is an "utter" failre. That means nearly
> complete in current American use of the term.

and so it is

>
> Facts are that it's anything but.

no they are not

the Shuttle can't deliever on it promises

either for orbit, reliableity turn arround time or cost

>
> > > > > > > That people have been killed flying it? So what? People die on
> > > > > > > commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a failure?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > as normal off target and not related
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure it's "on target" and absolutely related.
> > > >
> > > > Not really and it is unrelated
> > >
> > > Absolutely ontarget and absolutely related.
> >
> > nope nothing about the loss of life is related to why the shuttle is a
> > failure
>
> So people dying is a success...?!?!

nope only you would suggest that

merely that their deaths while obviously regreatable are related to the
standards being used to call the shuttle a failure

>
> > > There has hardly been a news item on the Internet in the last 6
> > > months mentioning the Shuttle that didn't mention the COlumbia and
> > > Challenger disasters.
> >
> > so?
>
> You you're not paying attention again.

Yes I have been just got little to do with the matter

>
> > > I'll take those as more-than-adequate evidence that the comments
> > > were germane.
> >
> > then you are in error of course
>
> Of course NOT.

of course so

The loss of those shuttles which certainly doesn't help one make a case
that the shuttle is a sucess has little else to do with wether the
shuttle can, when working properly do its designed mission

>
> > > > that Men died is bad of course but that men died isn't the reason the
> > > > shuttle is a failure, although it was supposed ot bring the men back
> > > > alive
> > >
> > > I am sure you meant men AND women.
> >
> > No I meant men in one of the senses in which english uses the word
>
> Then you grossly insulted the women who died on those missions
> too.
>
> Scumbag.
>
> (SNIP)
>
> > > The Shuttle's flown 113 missions as of yesterday.
> >
> > so?
> >
> > >
> > > This is HARDLY failure.
> >
> > sure is
> >
> > None of them to the orbits promised.
>
> Every Shuttle launched with the exception the last Challenger
> mission made it to the orbit promised.

not the orbit promised in the design specs

>
> > No shuttle has been turned around in the time promised
>
> Sure they have. Several.

No shuttel has ever been turned arround in 2 weeks
the record is I believe about a month

>
> > No GEO sat captured and repaired
>
> None scheduled.

But many were promised

>
> > No more polar launchs at all
>
> Was one necessary? Was one scheduled?

they were part of the original specs

>
> > No Cheap launchs
>
> No launch is cheap.

you agree finaly facing a fact

>
> > The shuttle does NOT do what it is supposed to
>
> Sure it does.

nope

>
> > Does not mean it is Useless, merely unsucessfull at it
> > designed/promised mission
>
> It does far more than it was inteded. That i may have not met
> some of it's INITIAL parameters does NOT make it an "utter failure".

It mets none of of design parameters

>
> > I am gald that we have found mission for the multibillion dollar
> > shuttle, ifwe had not it would be a failure at it original mission, but
> > an absolute disaster
>
> You've not proven your assertion of "utter failure" yet.

already have

>
> "Absolute disaster" is far and beyond that.

and never claimed it was an absolute disaster

>
> > > > > People have died on Shuttle missions. That is a fact. The fact
> > > > > that people HAVE died on Shuttle missions IS a popular argument for the
> > > > > program's termination by those who think that "space travel" ought to
> > > > > be like flying on the Starship Enterprise of TV fame.

> > > >
> > > > so what?
> > > >
> > > > again off target and ilrelavant
> > >
> > > What's "ilrelavant"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Of course it's RELEVANT, Markie. TV fantasy shapes people's
> > > ideations of what REAL life should be.
> >
> > nope it isn't
>
> Sure it does!

but it isn't relavant

>
> > cuting more off topic crap
>
> The "crap" is you cutting out those things you don't want to deal
> with, especially when it provides a relevent example of facts:

none relavant facts was presented

nothing to do with the shuttle at all

>
> QUOTE
>
> For one example...You'd not beleive the number of people (some of
> them very educated persons) who come to my ER thinking they are going
> to be in-and-out in an hour. Why? Becasue that's the length of time
> an episode of "E.R." takes to fix things...
>
> UNQUOTE

and stevie is lying when he claims that the above has anything to do
with the Shuttle program

>
> > > > > > > E V E R Y future manned space mission, near or deep space, will
> > > > > > > be predicated upon missions learned from the Space Shuttle era. That,
> > > > > > > in-and-of iteslf makes the Shuttle Program a success.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > wrong again Stevie, your premise that learning from something means the
> > > > > > > something was a sucess
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It seems to be an adequate-enough justification for every scientist
> > > > > > since the dawn of time. What's your rationale for stating otherwise?
> > > > >

> > > > failure to meet it goals
> > >
> > > "A" goal out of dozens...?!?!
> >
> > Orbit, turn around time, cost, realiablity,
>
> It's met almost all of those, Markie.

met none of them

Never met orbit

never been reliable

never met planed turn over time

was always over budjet

>
> As for cost...Name me a major development program that DOESN'T go
> overbudget...?!?!

if that was the shuttles only failure I gladly forgive it that

>
> > > BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > > !
> > >
> > > You have some very unique perspective out of what determines
> > > "failure", Markie...
> >
> > nope you simply don't face facts
>
> Sure I do.

then start at any time

>
> You've just not provided any so far.

sure have

>
> > > Of course you've made a life-long habit out of manufacturing
> > > failure in order to have excuses for non-success, haven't you?
> >
> > more stevie lies
>
> More Markie WISHING he wasn't so transparent.

nope

>
> > > > that we have learned something from thsi failure is a good thing but it

> > > > is still a failure
> > > >
> > > > and you realy don't undersatnd science stavie you just don't
> > >
> > cuting speling cop'

cuting speling cop

> > > Sure I understand it.
> > >
> > > And I understand you.
> > >
> > > You think you've found ONE issue that you can dig into and hold on
> > > to, yet it's fleeting already. That the Shuttle program has had
> > > "failures" is evident, but the Shuttle program overall is NOT a
> > > failure.
> > >
> > how about 5
>
> None.

yep orbit, turn around, relaiablity, safety and cost, all missed

>
> > > > > > Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
> > > > > > regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> > > > > > learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
> > > > >
> > > > > I bet not.
> > > >
> > > > you still owe me 500$ from your last bet
> > >
> > > "$500"
> >
> > yep
>
> Nope. You've yet to prove a thing.
>

already done you just refuse to pay up

> > > Nope. Not even close, Markie. You actually have to have some
> > > "proof" in order to get that...
> >
> > you bet that an english of my chioce would flunk my sentence and give
> > you an "A" you lost
>
> Not of YOUR choice, Markie.

that was your bet

cuting stevie evading his wleched bet

> > > You're a looooooooooooooooooooooong way away from that.
> > >
> > > > > We've learned more about orbital dynamics and extra vehicular
> > > > > working than we would have in a million "deep tank" simulations.
> > > > >
> > > > which has nothing to do with anything under discusion
> > >
> > > ?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?
> > >
> > > Sure it is!
> > >
> > > YOU claim the Shuttle is a failure based upon having never gone to
> > > geostationary orbit.
> >
> > and failing to prefroms it designed mission
>
> It's performed all except one mission. Challenger never made
> orbit. Columbia's mission was completed and it was coming home.

well crew death is kida serious don't you think. I was being generous
but leaving out the NASA negliance with humna life but since you insist

>
> > which has nothing to do with simulations
>
> Your lack of English comprehension is apparent again.

nope

>
> > > I say you're bonkers because the Shuttle has MORE than fulfilled
> > > it's rolls in supporting scientific missions, satellite repair, and
> > > supporting both the MIR and ISS programs.
> >
> > nope it has not repaired a single satelite of the the type promised,
> > (only the hubble in leo orbit
>
> Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! Better ask the Indians about that.
>
> > It has not provided chaep access to space
>
> But it's provided access where access wouldn't have been had,
> including the flying of various educational experiements

so that wasn't the mission, yea somebody did agood job of covering up
for the failure of the shuttle to prefom as planned, and I am glad they
did

>
> > It has manged to find usefull propose in lessor roles
>
> Funny how your miniscule mind tries to minimize everything that
> goes through it.

funny how you ignore facts

>
> > > > > > > > > What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > > > > > > > > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > > > > > > > > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the
> > > > > > > > > predicted failure rate of about 1 in 100.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yep the shuttle is and has been from its first launch a failure at
> > > > > > > > preforming the missions promised
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > the Shuttle can't fufill the mission it was designed for
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It can't fulfill what it's already surpassed, Mark?
> > > > >
> > > > > It can't deviler what it promised
> > > >
> > > > Sure it has "deviler(ed)"...And more...
> > >
> > "What wasn't promoted nearly so heavily was its planned role as a Cold
> > War DoD resource, for doing things like snatching Soviet satellites
> > from polar orbit, and setting up SDI platforms. Nor the predicted
> > failure rate of about 1 in 100."
> >
> > form the original post Jim words
>
> Jim's opinion.

and the NASA PR

>
> Both NASA assessments and assessments of the scientific community
> in general that have lauded the Shuttle say otherwise.

NASA lied

>
> > the Shuttle does and can't do these things
>
> Make up your mind, IdiotBoy.
>
> > > > BTW Id call the last mission f Chalenger and Colombia failures
> > >
> > > I didn't say there weren't failure IN the program.
> >
> > you said all mission acheieved thier goals or in your exact words "The
> > "shuttle" has never failed in performing it's mission."
>
> The SHUTTLE itself has never failed.

yep I call blowing up a failure, I call burning up a failure

>
> The falures were directly related to the external tanks/boosters.

which are part of the shuttle see how far it gets without em

>
> > > I said the Shuttle PROGRAM is not a failure.
> >
> > not according to you
>
> There's that English comprehension problem again, Markie.
>
> > > And as I have CORRECTLY pointed out before, the catastrophies were
> > > both due to problems with the external fuel tank/boosters.
> >
> > which are part and parcel of the shuttle program
>
> But the SHUTTLES were not the problem.

"I said the Shuttle PROGRAM is not a failure."

your words

the shuttle program inludes the Bosster and feuls tank, their failures
are failure of the shuttle program

you don't get it both ways

>
> And even with the fuel tank/booster issues, the overall program is
> STILL a success.

not according to the design specs

>
> > > > > > the Shuttle has falied utterly in being able to try it designed for
> > > > > > mission
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh?
> > > > >
> > > > > yep
> > > >
> > > You're making assertions that reknowned scientists say otherwise,
> > > Markie.
> >
> > such as?
>
> Such as "...the shuttle is an utter failure..."

find an engineer at Morten (or any NASA) that says the shuttle's
preform accroding to the design

>
> > > > > "utterly"...?!?!
> > > > >

> > > > only come 20,000 out of 23,000 miles short
> > > >
> > > > I call that utterly
> > >
> > > I call your assertion utterly rediculous.
> >
> > of course you do
> >
> > but then you lie about anything you find in your way
>
> It's not "in my way".
>
> You assertion is utterly rediculous.

not at all

LEO orbit is LONG way from GEO Orbit

>
> > > > > I take the word "utterly" to mean a nearly complete failure of all
> > > > > designed mission parameters.
> > > >
> > > > well a major mission was satelite repair, geo sat repair, It has never
> > > > manged that
> > >
> > > Uh...Hubble's not geostationary, but YOU call that a failue?
> >
> > I call Hubble a cluster ####, and I am amazed that any science has
> > surrvivied the stupidity involed in Hubble, but the Shuttle was the
> > subject not Hubble and the less said about a couple of recent Mars
> > missions the better
>
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> Of COURSE you would call it a "cluster ####"

becuase hubble is a cluster fuck, and general fuck up, that is being
polite

>
> Because your profanity in place of reasonable argument is the sign
> of a weak and feeble mind.

IYO

BUt I know a fuck up when I see one

>
> And again the Hubble is anything BUT the "cluster ####" you call
> it!

Sure is amazing it survied NASA

>
> > > And what fo the multiple launches FROM Shuttls and satellites
> > > recovered from and returned to orbit by the shuttle?
> >
> > what about them?
>
> They prove you wrong.

NO GEO orbit work therefore failure to meet spec

>
> > > > it has never manged to reach teh desred orbit of its planers
> > >
> > > Still focusing on ONE parameter, Markie...Still misses your mark
> > > of "utter"
> >
> > I mentioned the others as has Jim
>
> And STILL misses your "utter failure" assertion by a
> looooooooooooooong way.

not really

>
> > > > > The Challenger and Columbia disaters notwithstanding, I'd say YOUR
> > > > > suggestion is unsubstantiated at many, MANY levels.
> > > >
> > > > No It is on the record from NASA, they defined the mission mid ay
> > > > though building the shuttles to a new and lessor mission set
> > >
> > > Sooooooooooo! Even before it flew the mission had ALREADY been
> > > re-taasked, so BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION the Shuttle's flying now were NOT
> > > designed for geostationary flight.
> >
> > right they could not deliver the promised and paid for vechile, so they
> > presented this fraud and pluged intot the shuttle program
>
> Now it's a "fraud".....

Yes it was a fraud preptrated by NASA on the American Tax payers

>
> There's never any ending to your victim role, is there...?!?!

you are part of the victum yourself

>
> > > > The Shuttle has always failed to met it original mission parameters
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > It's met and exceeded almost every one.

> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
> > > A looooooooooooooooong way from YOUR assertion of "utter" failure.
> > >
> > > > > > The Shuttle is the best real world example of Dumbing down expectations
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure glad we have you to counter lots of REAL scientists who have
> > > > > > said otherwise, Mark!
> > > > >
> > > > > not really
> > > > >
> > > > > and it is the engineers that say so
> > > >
> > > > Which engineers?
> > > >
> > > > Names? URL's to discussions on the issue please?
> > >
> > as soon as you fulfill your debits on reffernces I'll think about it
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhh....One of those "evasions" you say you don't do...

Nope just using your standards

Fair is fair

>
> What did I expect from a known pathological liar!

more lies from stevie

>
> You can't even back up THIS rant, and

already have

and what...?

>
> > > > > > > Of the two catastrophic failures of Shuttle missions, one was due
> > > > > > > to the boosters carrying it, and the other was due to damage inflicted
> > > > > > > on the orbiter by its', ahem...booster. We can "implicate" NASA safety
> > > > > > > deficits as a morbidly contributing factor.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > that it has some use is of course true
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That is was and continues to be a scientific milestone of our age
> > > > > > > is even more true.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > milstone yea it is that
> > > > > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That it's obviously in need of re-engineering is true too, but then
> > > > > > > > what machine made by man was ever cast in one form then NOT
> > > > > > > > "re-engineered" for better performance?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > that reengineering is not even planned for the shuttle shows it failure
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What do you mean that there's no re-engineering planned?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > They just got done with 2 years of it...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not really and nothing on the board to suceed the shuttle in five years
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > they have tried to cover the failures and cobbled together nature of
> > > > > > > the beast
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's getting "cobbled together" here is your story. You're
> > > > > > trying to design it as you're laying bricks...
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> >
> > Absolutely.

the thing is colection bad design and bailing wire

>
> > > > > > > > Can you imagine where the "Internet" would be if we were all still
> > > > > > > > using Commodore 64's and TRS-80's...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > To call the Shuttle program a "failure" is ludicrous.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > it is the plain and simple truth
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope. What it is is a bit of failed argument on your part.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No just a case of you eading Press releases rather than looking at the
> > > > > > > facts, but nothing new there
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Reading press releases ARE part of the facts...
> > > >
> > > > again with your Faith in PR's
> >
> > Nope.

yep

>
> Those press releases along with about a ton of documentation from
> Scientific American, AW&ST, "Air and Space" magazine, etc.

and which of them talks about the shuttle that was planed and promised

>
> Are you suggestiong that ALL "PR" on the Shuttle is fabricated and
> controlled by NASA...?!?!

Most of it

after all any one wanting something done for them by NASA better toe
the line

>
> > > > > You need to be reading something other than "Pagan Monthly", Mark.
> > > > >
> > > > > more bashing
> > > >
> > more evasion
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > > > > > > > > Most of all, the amazingly complex technology of the Space Shuttle
> > > > > > > > > > hasn't been adequate to prevent two complete losses of vehicle and
> > > > > > > > > > crew.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > amazing complex I slikely part of the reason they were lost and NASA
> > > > > > > > > > refusual to listen to anybody else
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What, Mark?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > As for Jim's comments, I ask WHAT transportation technology has
> > > > > > > > > proven itself 100% error free?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I just watched a special on Discovery Channel about a Canadian
> > > > > > > > > Airbus that had to deadstick into the Azores because there was a fuel
> > > > > > > > > leak and the crew absolutely refused to believe the technology (read
> > > > > > > > > that "the gauges") that were telling them they were losing fuel.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And there are countless "recalls" of motor vehicles due to design,
> > > > > > > > > engineering and manufacturing errors. They've been building motor
> > > > > > > > > vehicles for over a century now...the last Shuttle as finished in
> > > > > > > > > what...1992?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Now some may scoff at these words from a non-rocket-scientist. But it
> > > > > > > > > > doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand what went wrong in the
> > > > > > > > > > Challenger disaster, nor in the Columbia one. It doesn't take a Von
> > > > > > > > > > Braun to see that if your mission-vital systems like the reentry heat
> > > > > > > > > > shield are exposed to being hit at hypersonic speeds by anything from a
> > > > > > > > > > bird to ice to foam, there's a good chance of damage on the way up that
> > > > > > > > > > will result in big trouble on the way down.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > now you are fibbing jim Challenger blew up becuase NASA decided that PR
> > > > > > > > > was more important than safety, the problem was Oring, not the heat

> > > > > > > > sheild
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What "fibbing", Mark?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Jim said the Chalenger was destroyed by a heat shiled related problem
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No he didn't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > cutinng more of stevie out of context rating
> > >
> > > Putting what I said back in so Markie can face his foolishness one
> > > more time:
> >
> > cuting stvie playing yet again with the facts
>
cuting spelling cop

> WHY ARE YOU AVOIDING ACKNOWLEDGING YOUR ERROR OF FACTS?

no erro of facts was made

>
> > cutinng more of stevie out of context rating
cuting it again

> > > > > > > > And there was no ONE fault in the Challenger tragedy...it was a
> > > > > > > > compilation of errors that resulted in the mishap. Any positive effort
> > > > > > > > to mitigate any of the contributing factors may well have resulted in a
> > > > > > > > different outcome.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > None of this is meant to belittle the accomplishments of NASA or the
> > > > > > > > > bravery of the Space Shuttle crews. It does seem odd, though, that such
> > > > > > > > > bravery should even be needed after 30 years and billions of dollars
> > > > > > > > > spent on the Space Shuttle program.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Perhaps the most important legacy of the Space Shuttle will be the
> > > > > > > > > lessons learned from its problems...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > not by NASA, the poor folks have lost thier way it is sad realy
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And you base this opinion upon what credentials or experience?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > experence sure I got it I have folowed the Space program as far back as
> > > > > > > I can remember
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I remember it back to BEFORE the first Mercury shots went up. I
> > > > > > got to follow each Mercury, Gemini and Apollo misison, INCLUDING
> > > > > > Apollo-Soyuz. That includes the Skylab missions.

> > > >
> > > > so?
> > > >
> > > > you are older than I we all knew that
> > >
> > > Yep.
> > >
> > > I am also more well versed in the goals and milestones of the
> > > progam, obviously, not that it took much to do.
> >
> > nope
> >
> > you asserted that the Shuttle is cappble...(SNIP)
>
> Why would the Space Shuttle wear a cap?
>
> > (UNSNIP)...of Geo Orbit flight ay one
> > point in this thread then chnaged your story, proving you know very
> > little of the history of the program
>
> I know more of it than you, Markie, as is obvious from the
> foregoing.

nope

you don't know what the NASA promised when they sold the shuttle you
did ntknow the shuttle could do in point of fact

>
> > > > > > We started in 60 with the Goal of getting to the moon and we did then
> > > > > > we started to build a space born truck that was supposed to reach GEO
> > > > > > stationary orbit and be able to turn around in 2 weeks
> > > > > >
> > > > > > we settled for LEO and months of turnaround
> > > > >
> > > > > We haven't "settled" for anything yet.
> > > >
> > > > we sure did
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure did
> >
> > the shuttle can only reach LEO we settled for that
> >
> > Private hobbiests [hobbyists] (abet[albeit] really well off ones) can do
reuseableflight
> > vechiles beter than NASA and its billions
>
> Oh?
>

> WHICH "hobbyists" have managed to put a multi-ton, multipassenger
> spacecraft into Earth orbit, up to two weeks at a time?

never said they could yet, but they can turn around a spacecraft much
faster and much cheaper than NASA can

>
> Please include the answer to THIS question along with your
> acknowledgement of your error vis-a-vis your claim of Jim's "fib".

strawman again red herrings
>
> > Our space program is in sad shape, i really wish it wasn't, but them is
> > the facts
>
> Out space program will only "suffer" if it's not allowed to grow
> and mature.

"Out Space program"

what needs to happen at NASA is a good house cleaning. The space
program is not being allowed to grow right now. It needs goals it can't
refine, it needs real money. It needs some vision a bit of leadership

I don't see much of this in the near future, some maybe, but not a lot

we are stuck with the ISS and its bills, paying the shuttles bills tile
we finsish the ISS and then developing something new, hopefully with a
purpose in mind

the Euros developed their rockets having a goal and made it the
chinesse are doing the same

we are still fucking around with old tech that does not do the jobs we
need done

>
> Lying about it's accomplishments won't help it, so please stop.

I adknowledge what it has done, it just is not what it was supposed to
do

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1191. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 22:45:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123713942.497865.216650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115542

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hungery usenet today
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
> > > > practice
> > > >
> > > Show where Dave commited a crime...Under US or Tanzanian law,
> > > Markie.
> > >
> > Knowingly assiting other is comitting a crime is a crime in the USA,
> > Tanzania well I don't know but since it is like the USA a former
> > English colony Very likely there too
> >
> Markie...I said SHOW WHERE DAVE COMMITED A CRIME.

done that in the 5th line up

>
> I asked that in the questions I posed.

already done that

>
> > > > cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
> > > > you know
> > > >
> > cuting again they smell becuase they have nothingt o do with the issue
> > at hand
> >

> > > > > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> > > > >
> > > > nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure are
> >
> Not even close.

sure are

Yu are certianly a bully, no besides you can realy doubt that

>
> > > Brain alleges Dave did something wrong.
> >
> > He does so allege
>
> And his allegations do not meet the test of validity.

sure seem to

>
> > > There is absolutely NO evidence of any such lawbreaking.
> >
> > Yes there is.
>
> Nope.

sure seems to be

> >
> > Dave does not dispute Brain describtion of the facts, and brian
> > describes a crime
>
> And again, I ask, was Dave obligated to know the allowable
> allocations of the French stations? Was he obligated to know the
> allocations of ANY foreign operator...?!?!

and that is not the question, no one said that he was required to know
merely that he DID know, and once he knew then he became responible for
helping them break the rules

cuting stevie unrelated nonsense

> > > Avoidng the questions is an act of cowardice.
> >
> > No avoidance the questions were asked and answered, they merely had and
> > contiue to have no meaning in context.
>
> No...YOU have "answered" them.
>
> Brain has not.

Legaly he has

By giving impleied assent to my answers, by reamining silent

>
> And according to YOUR answers, no "crime" was committed.

Yes there was that of aiding and abeting the criminal acts of others

>
> > Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud
>

> Nope. Direct questions relative to the topic at hand.
>
> Now...Let's see if Brain has the testosterone to answer them.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1192. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 22:51:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123714259.920385.149980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115543

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > robert casey wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Recently there have been some claims about "what the
> > > > > majority wants" in regards to FCC NPRMs.
> > > >
> > > > THe FCC doesn't make rules based on how polling comes out.

> > > I was speaking about comments, not polls. But you're right
> > > either way, in that the FCC can ignore the majority
> > > if it wants to.

> > The FCC is legaly bound to ignore the majority in what it sees as the
> > public interest

> "...legaly (legally) bound to ignore..."
>
> I don't think so.

In this case, the truth is in the middle. FCC is not required to follow
majority opinion, but neither are they supposed to ignore it.

The main point is that the majority opinion on 98-143 wanted more code
testing than 5 wpm. But that opinion was ignored by FCC. The majoriy
wanted 5 wpm for General but *not* for all code-tested licenses.

KC8EPO's analysis proves it.

> > > > One very
> > > > good comment can trump many "me toos".
> > >
> > > Or one comment that FCC just happens to agree with.
> > >
> > > Look at the BPL situation....

> > > > Besides, the FCC isn't
> > > > in the business of handing out gold stars. If a requirement
> > > > serves no regulatory purpose, the FCC doesn't want to bother
> > > > with it.
> > >
> > > Or if FCC doesn't want to be bothered in the first place...
> >
> > Indeed the FCC doesn't want to be bothered with much from the ARS, we
> > should count ourselves lucky to get any enforcement action

>
> Us or anyone else. However the FCC has demonstrated significant
> enforcement actions over All services in recent years. Refer to the
> FCC's NOV/NOUO archives.

Sure.

> > > However, none of that is really what I was driving at.
> > >
> > > My point is simply that the majority of comments on code testing
> > > (57%) on 98-143 were in favor of at least two code test speeds,
> > > including at least 12 wpm for Advanced and Extra. That fact is
> > > proved by KC8EPO's published results, right here on rrap back
> > > in March of 1999. (WA6VSE/WK3C posted them).
> >
> > so?
>
> > > FCC ignored the majority opinion back then and reduced code
> > > testing to 5 wpm. The majority opinion was *not* acted upon
> > > by FCC.
> >
> > and No one ever promised or sugessted it would be
>
> Sure it was.
>
> It was called the Constitution of the Untied States.

That's not what the Constitution promises.

What the Constitution does is to set the structure of our govt. and
limit its power. What that means in specific cases is what keeps the
Supremes busy.

Note that the Constitution is particularly concerned with protecting
the rights of the individual and the minority against those of the mob
and the majority. That's why we have trial-by-jury instead of
trial-by-public-opinion-poll.

And it takes a 2/3 vote to amend the constitution, not just a majority.
The Equal Rights Amendment (ERA - remember that?) failed to be enacted
because of the lack of a 2/3 majority, even after a time extension.
>
> That concept has been lost in the caucophony of
> least-common-denominatior bar-lowerings.

It's called checks and balances. The wishes of the majority are
balanced against the rights of the individual.
>
> > the FCC has a DUTY to the PUBLIC interest first and only then to the
> > interests of the ARS and finaly to the WISHES of the ARS
>
> "The "wishes" you refer to are by citizens of the United States

> who told the government what they wanted.
>
> The government ignored them.

Yep, they did.

Actually, the only time the govt. is required to submit to the will of
the majority of the people is in certain elections and referenda. (In a
presidential election, the candidate with the majority of votes can
still lose - it's already happened).
>
> > > Whether FCC did the best thing or not is a matter of opinion.
> > > But the plain simple fact is that the majority was *not*
> > > anti-code-test.
> >
> > so
>
> So the wishes of the citizens were ignored.

Yup.

> The Consitution was violated.

Nope. There's no requirement for FCC to do what the majority of
commenters want.

> > > Now of course if the majority of comments on 05-235 are in
> > > favor of no more code testing, FCC will most certainly say
> > > they are simply doing what the majority wants.
> >
> > Not likely
>
> Absolutely. Watch.

Of course!

> > The FCC will simply issue it's report and order
>
> And in that R&O they will say "...the majority of respondents..."

Because FCC has to include verbiage justifying its actions.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1193. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 22:58:08 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <42FA866D.90808@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115544

b.b. wrote:
> John wrote:
>
>
>
>>I looked at a large sample of the comments filed and they are an
>>embarassment to the Amateur Radio Service. It appears that a simple
>>literacy test is a more urgent need than a 5 wpm code test. A first
>>course in English grammar and basic writing wouldn't hurt either.
>>I prefer not to comment on the logic (or lack thereof) displayed in all
>>too many of the comments. However I did note quite a number of comments
>>whose authors were clearly unaware of the last 15 years worth of
>>regulatory changes or had no idea of what modes were allowed where.
>>John
>
>
> John, Extra Bruce/WA8ULX is somehow simultaneously a brilliant test
> taker and a functional illiterate. The comments posted here earlier
> look like a page out of his playbook. I'm sure he's not alone in his
> Extraness and stupidity, and willingness to sabotage the U.S. amateur
> radio service. $0.02. bb
>
I was very careful NOT to say to which side of the argument I was referring
to since it clearly refers to both. So the first reply immediately attacks
a poster from one side - I guess it is no more than I expected.

Whether or not you agree with the comments I filed, I hope you agree
that at least they are in English.
73
John K4BNC

## 1194. Reality check!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 23:03:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.10.23.03.58.981955@gmail.com>
Source record: 115545

To all:

The DOT (department of transportation), DMV (department of motor vehicles), etc. DO NOT require me to have a "Mechanics License" to work on the brakes of my car--yet, if I work on the brakes, don't do it correctly, I might kill someone. If I don't "work on" my radio correctly--no one is going to die...

I can work on the transmission of my car, under the same risks... and CW is a LOT LESS important...

Just because amateurs exist in an "echo chamber", within a "good ole boys club" and damn lies have gained a "truth" of their own is no reason for anyone but fools to fall for these lame arguments!

John

## 1195. Re: Reality check!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 23:49:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123717772.929487.101820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115546

John Smith wrote:

> The DOT (department of transportation), DMV (department of motor
> vehicles), etc. DO NOT require me to have a "Mechanics License"
> to work on
> the brakes of my car--yet, if I work on the brakes, don't do it > correctly,
> I might kill someone.

And that someone might be *you*.

> If I don't "work on" my radio correctly--no one is
> going to die...

Not necessarily true.

If you work on your car's brakes and do it wrong, the defect is usually
obvious: the car won't stop.

If you work on a radio and do it wrong, the defect may not be obvious
at all. For example, suppose the radio puts out a strong signal on an
aircraft frequency - all sorts of bad things could happen, but you
wouldn't know it until too late.

> I can work on the transmission of my car, under the same
> risks...

But if you mess up, the car just won't go.

--

You seem to be arguing to get rid of the *written* test.

> and CW is a LOT LESS important...

Do you ever actually listen to the HF ham bands? Down at the low end,
where the hams using Morse Code usually operate?

Morse Code is an operating skill. It's like knowing how to drive at
night or in bad weather. Or with a manual transmission.

> Just because amateurs exist in an "echo chamber", within
> a "good ole boys
> club" and damn lies have gained a "truth" of their own is no
> reason for
> anyone but fools to fall for these lame arguments!

I see. You assume you are right, rather than proving any points.

Typical.

## 1196. Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 23:52:57 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1tky06@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1tky06@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 115474

Coming from a fag who lives in his parents basement who couldn't even figure out how to file a LPFM application properly and a CBer who couldn't figure out how to pass an FCC test if he tried, I figured you two would be on the "I want to blow Glenn Baxter" bus. Keep crying retards!

Toad and John Smith, you're both LOSERS

--
John Johnston

# 1197. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-10 23:59:14 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1tky07@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1tky07@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 115473

John Smith, CBer blathered:

The majority of people who communicate use the internet (well, phones
and cell phones too.)

drivel snipped-----flushed!

Sorry Mr. CBer,

If BPL emissions interfere with amateur radio signals BPL will be in
violation of FCC regulations. Hams are all for improved technology, we
are not in favor of FLAWED technology. Cable and Satellite technology
already runs rings around anything BPL could dream of. The future is in
Wi-Fi Johnny Cornhole. Get used to it.

--
John Johnston

# 1198. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:03:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zBwKe.3799$Wi6.1790@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115547

John Smith wrote:
> Jim:
>
> I don't think I have ever made a statement or post to "reduce testing" or
> even make it one question easier...
>
> You are attempting to sneak in an argument of your own design and form a
> false to what is being argued. Most, if not all, this is about is dumping
> morse, an un-needed, under-used, ancient form of communication that a very
> good portion of amateurs never use...
>
> If they have some way of using ~1.72 - 80Mhz for BPL, go for it, at the
> most they will only interfere with an insignificant number of hobby
> users... business/corporate america can adapt to other freqs, indeed, the
> boost on the whole to industry by updating the net will out weigh any
> negative effects. Military can use satellites...
>
> John

Wow! That car was really moving fast. Wonder what kind it was.
Ohhhhh, it's a *Dodge*.

Dave K8MN

> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:49:43 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>
>>John,
>>
>>Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals
>>held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a
>>neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL
>>runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695
>>kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't
>>going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the
>>cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL
>>are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at
>>$24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet
>>connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL
>>
>>For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM
>>broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there
>>can be considerable radiation.
>>
>>The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any

>>greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators. If
>>done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.
>>
>>If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL
>>proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the
>>background noise some 10 dB. Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a
>>10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite
>>unacceptable. Period.
>>
>>I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just
>>Morse) to as close to zero as possible.
>>
>>My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally,
>>they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year
>>apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates
>>degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a
>>watered-down apprenticeship, right?
>>
>>I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system
>>to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements
>>encouraging "intelligent design".
>>
>>Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it
>>includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that
>>they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were
>>sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance).
>>Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye
>>conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4
>>resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".
>>
>>Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem
>>with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the
>>theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the
>>country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur
>>radio.
>>
>>Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband
>>penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones.
>>We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.
>>
>>Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning
>>universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks
>>the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset
>>to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and
>>chase some folks out of this country.
>>
>>I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it
>>has something more than just Morse behind it.
>>
>>Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside

>>the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty,
>>Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but
>>nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because
>>the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.
>>
>>
>>Best regards from Rochester, NY
>>Jim AA2QA

# 1199. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:08:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.00.08.01.453386@gmail.com>
Source record: 115548

Dave:

The Doc should have warned you, mixing alcohol with your meds can have
that effect... when the chemicals and alcohol have worn off, things
should return to normal, hopefully...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 00:03:43 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Jim:
>>
>> I don't think I have ever made a statement or post to "reduce testing" or
>> even make it one question easier...
>>
>> You are attempting to sneak in an argument of your own design and form a
>> false to what is being argued. Most, if not all, this is about is dumping
>> morse, an un-needed, under-used, ancient form of communication that a very
>> good portion of amateurs never use...
>>
>> If they have some way of using ~1.72 - 80Mhz for BPL, go for it, at the
>> most they will only interfere with an insignificant number of hobby
>> users... business/corporate america can adapt to other freqs, indeed, the
>> boost on the whole to industry by updating the net will out weigh any
>> negative effects. Military can use satellites...
>>
>> John
>
> Wow! That car was really moving fast. Wonder what kind it was.
> Ohhhhh, it's a *Dodge*.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:49:43 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John,
>>>
>>>Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals
>>>held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a
>>>neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL
>>>runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695
>>>kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't
>>>going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the

>>>cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL
>>>are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at
>>>$24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet
>>>connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL
>>>
>>>For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM
>>>broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there
>>>can be considerable radiation.
>>>
>>>The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any
>>>greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators. If
>>>done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.
>>>
>>>If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL
>>>proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the
>>>background noise some 10 dB. Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a
>>>10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite
>>>unacceptable. Period.
>>>
>>>I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just
>>>Morse) to as close to zero as possible.
>>>
>>>My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally,
>>>they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year
>>>apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates
>>>degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a
>>>watered-down apprenticeship, right?
>>>
>>>I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system
>>>to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements
>>>encouraging "intelligent design".
>>>
>>>Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it
>>>includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that
>>>they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were
>>>sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance).
>>>Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye
>>>conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4
>>>resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".
>>>
>>>Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem
>>>with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the
>>>theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the
>>>country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur
>>>radio.
>>>
>>>Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband
>>>penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones.
>>>We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.
>>>

>>>Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning
>>>universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks
>>>the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset
>>>to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and
>>>chase some folks out of this country.
>>>
>>>I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it
>>>has something more than just Morse behind it.
>>>
>>>Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside
>>>the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty,
>>>Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but
>>>nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because
>>>the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.
>>>
>>>
>>>Best regards from Rochester, NY
>>>Jim AA2QA

## 1200. Re: Reality check!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:25:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.00.24.59.252822@gmail.com>
Source record: 115549

N2EY:

Ok, I will accept your argument, CW is a skill, and is not necessary to
the amateur hobby, testing should be dropped without question.

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 16:49:32 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> The DOT (department of transportation), DMV (department of motor
>> vehicles), etc. DO NOT require me to have a "Mechanics License"
>> to work on
>> the brakes of my car--yet, if I work on the brakes, don't do it > correctly,
>> I might kill someone.
>
> And that someone might be *you*.
>
>> If I don't "work on" my radio correctly--no one is
>> going to die...
>
> Not necessarily true.
>
> If you work on your car's brakes and do it wrong, the defect is usually
> obvious: the car won't stop.
>
> If you work on a radio and do it wrong, the defect may not be obvious
> at all. For example, suppose the radio puts out a strong signal on an
> aircraft frequency - all sorts of bad things could happen, but you
> wouldn't know it until too late.
>
>> I can work on the transmission of my car, under the same
>> risks...
>
> But if you mess up, the car just won't go.
>
> --
>
> You seem to be arguing to get rid of the *written* test.
>
>
>> and CW is a LOT LESS important...
>
> Do you ever actually listen to the HF ham bands? Down at the low end,

> where the hams using Morse Code usually operate?
>
> Morse Code is an operating skill. It's like knowing how to drive at
> night or in bad weather. Or with a manual transmission.
>
>> Just because amateurs exist in an "echo chamber", within
>> a "good ole boys
>> club" and damn lies have gained a "truth" of their own is no
>> reason for
>> anyone but fools to fall for these lame arguments!
>
> I see. You assume you are right, rather than proving any points.
>
> Typical.

# 1201. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:46:57 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <870145097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 115450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 20:11:26 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten> wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
> > he probaly has 3 or
> > 4 personalitys living in his head.
>
> Among other things you don't even want to know about.

he was ok before he went on a airplane xmas party with the pple of
his ham club from w va. it was a shame what it did to his mental
equilabrum after the photos showed up on the web. i wish he would get
help.

http://www.webspawner.com/users/wowowowowowo/nice.jpg

## 1202. Re: Reality check!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:50:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123721432.444143.104670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115451

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Ok, I will accept your argument, CW is a skill, and is not necessary to
> the amateur hobby, testing should be dropped without question.

That's not what I wrote at all.

Troll on - but without me.

# 1203. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:51:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Fc-dnbpwCrKIPGffRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 115452

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:KmnKe.3626$Wi6.2053@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> One might draw the conclusion that this group had
>> a predetermined interest in the subject and had make
>> decisions on what to say.
>
> One might, or then again, one might not.
>
> Since the Docket responds to 18 different petitions and runs to 30 pages
> in length with over 200 footnote references, one might take the time to
> thoughtfully review the FCC discussion in an unhurried (but timely)
> fashion.
>
> Having done that, my comments have been submitted to FCC.
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
>

It does indeed require a slow and thoughtful reading to digest the whole thing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1204. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:52:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qNSdnYYRJdLRPGffRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115453

John Smith wrote:
> commander:
>
> Why two-thirds of the oceans are composed of hydrogen, and the oceans
> themselves cover two-thirds of the planets surface... course it takes more
> energy to get the hydrogen out of the sea water than you get back when you
> burn/use hydrogen--but, if we can develop a new generation energy
> source so we have cheap and abundant energy to extract the hydrogen from

What are the byproducts?

- Mike -

# 1205. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits talks out of his ignorant fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 00:59:35 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <dde7ro$mot$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Whassa matter, Wogie? Yer first anon remailer not work fast enough fer ya?
Izzat why you posted the same message twice?

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:eda7e966444ddc0c3648536f21fddf04@mixmaster.it...
In article <wogers.hairy.head.covering@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whines and talks out of
his fat ass:
>
> On Tue, 9 Aug 2005 22:26:52 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger
Swaggart wrote:

Hey Saggytits, how's El Sobrante, California these days?

> : To make it appear to sound like something it wasn't intended
to
> : do.
> :
> : He quotes 1 Corinthians 11:14, but fails to mention (as usual
> : his sloppy, biased "research" is like him, flawed) that
Chapter
>
> Here's a fuller quo (more like "here's more Saggytits Lee
bullshit")

That's the same verse I was referencing, DUMBASS. Learn to read,
kook.
All one has to do is push your buttons and you are so easy.

> That's not hard to understand at all

It must be, since you took the verse that follows out of
context. And no matter haow many times you say it, I'm still not
Roger, Saggytits the NostraDUMBASS! Now go take text out of
context like a good little Nazi, fake Xtian. The chapter is
still discussing what is worn (or not worn) during worship, not
at any other time.

> Also, the original (More lies flushed)

{original text restored, not some made up bullshit Saggytits
likes to do, as he did with his UN "International Radio
license".)

but fails to mention (as usual his sloppy, biased "research" is
like him, flawed) that Chapter 11 deals with the Covering for

the head at worship. They have several verses discussing what should not be worn during worship services, probably referencing those rags they wear on their head in the desert (like they do today). His taking the verse out of context shows how stupid he really is and like all fake xtains, wants to use it for something it was not originally intended. The Hebrews, like other Semitic people, regarded LONG HAIR on HEAD and chin as a distinctive MARK OF MANLINESS. Absalom's beauty was attributed to the luxurious growth of his hair (2 Samuel 14:25, 26). This symbol of pride and beauty was shaven as a token of mourning. Non cutting of the hair was part of the vow of the Nazarites (Judges 16:17)Black hair was especially prized (Cant. 5:11). The long hair of men, especially in New Testament times was gererally exceeded in length only by that of women. So looks like they did indeed have long hair, but not in the case of the Egyptians or the Romans.
(Source: Holy Bible, King James version)
{end restore}

> So it is likely

Prove it, kook boy. It's even more likely that Jesus, his leader had long hair, since he was born in Bethlehem. And following the Hebrew tradition of long hair and beard as a mark of manliness. (Unlike you, which you really should go get the rest of your sex change operation so you can be a true woman)

But you never back up what you say, do you, Saggy?

> projection snipped)
> We, on the other hand, know that it only smells that way.

Projecting again, Saggytits, since you never bathe? How would *you* know how another man's hair smells like? Some sort of freudian slip, or are you like Marky, Brian, bisexual? Sounds like you are jealous since your hairline (guffaw! what hairline?) is almost at the back of your scrawny neck.

>
> (Image restored after Woger had it removed. Not Woger, eh?)

Wrong again, Saggytits. But you do stay consistent in being wrong.

## 1206. Re: Reality check!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 01:01:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SrxKe.4199$RS.3834@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115455

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Morse Code is an operating skill.
>

I agree, and an enjoyable one.

>
> It's like knowing how to drive at night or in bad weather.
>

No, it's like knowing how to drive a team of oxen.

>
> Or with a manual transmission.
>

Yeah, might come in handy when the only available automobile is a Model T
Ford --- oh, never mind, even the Model T didn't have a manual transmission.

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
Keep the code, dump the code test.

# 1207. Re: What a surprise! Woger continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 01:11:04 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <dde8j8$psr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 10 Aug 2005 13:09:16 -0000, <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:
[nothing important]

http://img358.imageshack.us/img358/5381/ab8mq08036bc.jpg

# 1208. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 01:32:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123723966.877172.99890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115457

My own comments

To the persons reading comments for the FCC greetings,
With regard to main body of the NPRM I am a favor of dropping all code tests at once, however there are a few points concerning related matters I wish to discuss and respect insist that FCC made something on these points clear when the R&O is issued.

The privileges of the entry class, Technician, after this action are frankly unclear to me. As perhaps one of the few members of that class making comments it is a subject near and dear to my heart. It is also a question vital to the future of the ARS, and thus in some degree the safety and future of the USA.

I believe the privileges should be what was known as Before the 1998 NPRM as Tech plus, this si because I have frankly observed that many new Techs tend to get struck on FM repeaters, a fine mode but hard to break out of, and being hard to break out tends to depress the chances that they will, ( I refer the FCC to prices on allmode rigs with VHF frequencies compared to FM rigs) In addition to issues of cost with the propagation of VHF there are many times that there is no one out there that a novice operator can find and work. From personal experience, I can tell you that this is a hard thing to do, and thus tends to imped the progress of the Techs and separate them from the rest of the ARS. This separation is not god for the ARS or it abilities to serve in the public interest .

# 1209. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 01:49:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o8yKe.4217$RS.2647@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115458

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> So the wishes of the citizens were ignored.
>
> The Consitution(sic) was violated.
>

OK folks, you read it right here!

FCC Docket 98-143 is unconstitutional!

The FCC proceeding has been struck down by the County Court of Franklin County Tennessee, the Honorable Judge Steven J Robeson presiding. Hope the VEC's didn't throw away their 13 and 20WPM testing materials.

Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1210. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 01:54:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.01.54.44.139789@gmail.com>
Source record: 115465

Mike:

Last time I took a chemistry class, when you burn hydrogen in the presence
of oxygen you get water, and that is all...

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:52:28 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> commander:
>>
>> Why two-thirds of the oceans are composed of hydrogen, and the oceans
>> themselves cover two-thirds of the planets surface... course it takes more
>> energy to get the hydrogen out of the sea water than you get back when you
>> burn/use hydrogen--but, if we can develop a new generation energy
>> source so we have cheap and abundant energy to extract the hydrogen from
>
> What are the byproducts?
>
> - Mike -

# 1211. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 01:56:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.01.56.39.443101@gmail.com>
Source record: 115466

... interesting, now county courts are going to start ruling of federal
regulations?

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 01:49:08 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> So the wishes of the citizens were ignored.
>>
>> The Consitution(sic) was violated.
>>
>
> OK folks, you read it right here!
>
> FCC Docket 98-143 is unconstitutional!
>
> The FCC proceeding has been struck down by the County Court of Franklin County
> Tennessee, the Honorable Judge Steven J Robeson presiding. Hope the VEC's
> didn't throw away their 13 and 20WPM testing materials.
>
> Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1212. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 01:58:06 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <ddebbg$irr$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 115459

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123711237.431224.92840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> Your one of those pathetic licensed CB operators who
> can get it through their fucking head that IT'S A HOBBY.

Yup, it's a hobby, and K1MAN is trying to turn it into a lucrative career for himself.

> But hey after he's gone you can go out back and blow your fucking brains out.

No, we'll just hang out here and let you, Brian Burke, and Mark Morgan entertain us with your broken-english foul-mouthed tirades.

DNE

# 1213. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:28:03 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123727283.575519.35090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115460

After years and years and years of tolerance, Jim/N2EY was finally
cornered and he rendered the opinion that Bruce was an embarassment to
the ARS.

You go Jim!

John Smith wrote:
> Uncle Ted:
>
> Your point is well taken, and I do believe a variety of "tools" have been
> used to discourage new membership, and in subversive ways. Strange it has
> worked so well for so long. But in the end, when the pathetic end of all
> this is examined, it is hard not to see what has been occurring.
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 11:53:31 -0400, Uncle Ted wrote:
>
> > On 9 Aug 2005 20:35:08 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>John, Extra Bruce/WA8ULX is somehow simultaneously a brilliant test
> > >>taker and a functional illiterate. The comments posted here earlier
> > >>look like a page out of his playbook. I'm sure he's not alone in his
> > >>Extraness and stupidity, and willingness to sabotage the U.S. amateur
> > >>radio service. $0.02. bb
> > >
> > > At my company, there was a maintenance supervisor who was as stubborn
> > > and illiterate as Bruce. You either did things his way, or he would
> > > write you up for insubordination. Often times, his way would involve
> > > unsafe acts that violated safety practices and OSHA regulations. For
> > > whatever reason, he didn't think such rules applied to the people
> > > working under him. (Fortunately, he was put in his place for this
> > > before someone got hurt, and started abiding by safety procedures.)
> > >
> > > The best part about this guy was the shift reports that he'd write.
> > > These were e-mailed to an intranet list server, and many people,
> > > including company executives, received them. The reports were full of
> > > spelling errors, terrible grammar, and looked like they were written
> > > by a second grader. Yet, no one cared or did anything about this
> > > because the man had been with the company for so long. It was more of
> > > a joke than anything else.
> > >
> > > Even though the shift reports were internal, I had often wondered what
> > > would have happened if a customer would have seen some of these

> > reports. Would they still shrug it off easily if they knew such an
> > ignoramus was costing them money? Would they think it was such a big
> > joke when they started losing orders? It's the same with Bruce. Do the
> > newcomers to amateur radio see Bruce's rants, and think, "If this is
> > what amateur radio has among its ranks, I want no part of it." Most of
> > us ignore Bruce, or see him as a joke. However, his incomprehensible
> > ramblings and insults against "CB Plussers" brand him as a hypocrite
> > and a disgrace far beyond the people he derides, and that certainly is
> > no joke.

# 1214. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:29:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4KyKe.3853$Wi6.1428@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115461

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> The Doc should have warned you, mixing alcohol with your meds can have
> that effect... when the chemicals and alcohol have worn off, things
> should return to normal, hopefully...
>
> John

What effect would that be, "John"--the ability to see you dodging Jim's
points?

Dave K8MN

> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 00:03:43 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Jim:
>>>
>>>I don't think I have ever made a statement or post to "reduce testing" or
>>>even make it one question easier...
>>>
>>>You are attempting to sneak in an argument of your own design and form a
>>>false to what is being argued. Most, if not all, this is about is dumping
>>>morse, an un-needed, under-used, ancient form of communication that a very
>>>good portion of amateurs never use...
>>>
>>>If they have some way of using ~1.72 - 80Mhz for BPL, go for it, at the
>>>most they will only interfere with an insignificant number of hobby
>>>users... business/corporate america can adapt to other freqs, indeed, the
>>>boost on the whole to industry by updating the net will out weigh any
>>>negative effects. Military can use satellites...
>>>
>>>John
>>
>>Wow! That car was really moving fast. Wonder what kind it was.
>>Ohhhhh, it's a *Dodge*.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>>>On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:49:43 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>>John,
>>>>
>>>>Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals
>>>>held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a
>>>>neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL
>>>>runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695
>>>>kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't
>>>>going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the
>>>>cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL
>>>>are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at
>>>>$24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet
>>>>connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL
>>>>
>>>>For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM
>>>>broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there
>>>>can be considerable radiation.
>>>>
>>>>The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any
>>>>greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators. If
>>>>done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.
>>>>
>>>>If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL
>>>>proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the
>>>>background noise some 10 dB. Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a
>>>>10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite
>>>>unacceptable. Period.
>>>>
>>>>I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just
>>>>Morse) to as close to zero as possible.
>>>>
>>>>My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally,
>>>>they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year
>>>>apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates
>>>>degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a
>>>>watered-down apprenticeship, right?
>>>>
>>>>I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system
>>>>to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements
>>>>encouraging "intelligent design".
>>>>
>>>>Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it
>>>>includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that
>>>>they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were
>>>>sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance).
>>>>Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye
>>>>conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4
>>>>resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".
>>>>

>>>>Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem
>>>>with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the
>>>>theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the
>>>>country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur
>>>>radio.
>>>>
>>>>Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband
>>>>penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones.
>>>>We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.
>>>>
>>>>Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning
>>>>universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks
>>>>the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset
>>>>to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and
>>>>chase some folks out of this country.
>>>>
>>>>I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it
>>>>has something more than just Morse behind it.
>>>>
>>>>Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside
>>>>the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty,
>>>>Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but
>>>>nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because
>>>>the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Best regards from Rochester, NY
>>>>Jim AA2QA
>
>

# 1215. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:34:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4PyKe.3856$Wi6.3137@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115462

an_old_friend wrote:
> My own comments
>
> To the persons reading comments for the FCC greetings,
> With regard to main body of the NPRM I am a favor of dropping all code
> tests at once, however there are a few points concerning related
> matters I wish to discuss and respect insist that FCC made something on
> these points clear when the R&O is issued.
>
> The privileges of the entry class, Technician, after this action are
> frankly unclear to me. As perhaps one of the few members of that class
> making comments it is a subject near and dear to my heart. It is also
> a question vital to the future of the ARS, and thus in some degree the
> safety and future of the USA.
>
> I believe the privileges should be what was known as Before the 1998
> NPRM as Tech plus, this si because I have frankly observed that many
> new Techs tend to get struck on FM repeaters, a fine mode but hard to
> break out of, and being hard to break out tends to depress the chances
> that they will, ( I refer the FCC to prices on allmode rigs with VHF
> frequencies compared to FM rigs) In addition to issues of cost with the
> propagation of VHF there are many times that there is no one out there
> that a novice operator can find and work. From personal experience, I
> can tell you that this is a hard thing to do, and thus tends to imped
> the progress of the Techs and separate them from the rest of the ARS.
> This separation is not god for the ARS or it abilities to serve in the
> public interest .

Where's that pool of typists when you really need it?

Dave K8MN

# 1216. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:37:36 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123727856.367613.294410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115463

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > Well, well, well.....................
> > >
> > > Well, well, well, indeed!
> > >
> > > For the first time in my memory Steve makes a comment to FCC on a new NPRM, then
> > > pounds his chest in pride and prematurely chides others for not following his
> > > lead.
> > >
> > > Well, well, well!
>
> Hans hasn't been paying attention.
>
> > He's an idiot.
>
> Oh, gee, Brain, after all we've meant to each other, you want to
> go and be "that way"...

Whatever "way" I might wish to be, changes not the fact that you're an
idiot.

> Of course pathological liars such as yourself have a need to hide
> behind others...And you DO have a lot to hide...

Looks like a clear case of projection.

> As for the comments...It's not as if there hasn't been a seven
> year plus history of this NG IRT the Code test, Hans...One might draw
> the conclusion that this group had a predetermined interest in the
> subject and had make decisions on what to say.

"had make???" Heil would stumble all over that one.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yes, yes. "Groupthink." Hi!

# 1217. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:40:41 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123728041.225988.286600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115464

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > My own comments
> >
> > To the persons reading comments for the FCC greetings,
> > With regard to main body of the NPRM I am a favor of dropping all code
> > tests at once, however there are a few points concerning related
> > matters I wish to discuss and respect insist that FCC made something on
> > these points clear when the R&O is issued.
> >
> > The privileges of the entry class, Technician, after this action are
> > frankly unclear to me. As perhaps one of the few members of that class
> > making comments it is a subject near and dear to my heart. It is also
> > a question vital to the future of the ARS, and thus in some degree the
> > safety and future of the USA.
> >
> > I believe the privileges should be what was known as Before the 1998
> > NPRM as Tech plus, this si because I have frankly observed that many
> > new Techs tend to get struck on FM repeaters, a fine mode but hard to
> > break out of, and being hard to break out tends to depress the chances
> > that they will, ( I refer the FCC to prices on allmode rigs with VHF
> > frequencies compared to FM rigs) In addition to issues of cost with the
> > propagation of VHF there are many times that there is no one out there
> > that a novice operator can find and work. From personal experience, I
> > can tell you that this is a hard thing to do, and thus tends to imped
> > the progress of the Techs and separate them from the rest of the ARS.
> > This separation is not god for the ARS or it abilities to serve in the
> > public interest .
>
> Where's that pool of typists when you really need it?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, are you volunteering for 702 duty?

## 1218. Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:47:09 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123728429.388962.180820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115467

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > > > > it to you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > > > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > > > > > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > > > > > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > > > > > > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > > > > > > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > > > > > > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > > > > > > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > > > > > > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > > > > > > band.<<<
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > > > > > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> > > > > > follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> > > > > > the history
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.
> > > > >

> > > Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something
> > > "wrong" when he indeed didn't.
> >
> > Poor amateur practice.
>
> Where?
>
> These questions will help YOU understand why Dave was not at fault
> or even in violation of ANY regulation (assuming your wifey let's you
> have enough testosterone to be a man long enough to answer them...)
>
> QUOTE:
>
> An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
>
> Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
>
>
> you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> licensure?
>
> For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
>
> domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
>
> his/her license?
>
> Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> > > Brain has zero facts here, and zero justification in any article
> > > of radio regulation to back him up...He's running his mouth off on this
> > > issue for the sole purpose of hiding his own failings as a "DXer".
> >
> > Riley says that poor amateur practice is enforceable. Luckily, David
> > Heil was out of the country where Riley's opinions don't apply. David
> > was operating in a "lesser" developed country where infractions are
> > unlikely to be dealt with.
> >
> > > > nah will not happen stevie don't need to follow rules at least not in
> > > > stevies mind
> > >
> > > Hey Markie...I got something here that neither you or Brain
> > > have...
> > >
> > > The facts.

> >
> > As you see them. Hi!
>
> Nope.
>
> As they are.
>
> QUOTE
>
> An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
>
> Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
>
> you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> licensure?
>
> For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
>
> domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
>
> his/her license?
>
> Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
>
> Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It is David's poor amateur operating practices that are under scrutiny
here.

Best of Luck

# 1219. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:52:36 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123728756.014488.263750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115468

Anonymous wrote:
> In article <1123625153.698426.99010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
> > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he
> realizes that
> > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you
> think?
>
> Besides the fact you are a friggin' idiot, every individual
> operator is responsible for the proper operation of their
> station, be it in the United States or not.

Now Steve claims to know all amatuer law worldwide.

Yet a moment ago he couldn't tell us the law in the country where the
poor amateur practice occurred. Hi!

# 1220. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:53:57 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123728837.713957.206220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115469

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> > > meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> > > believe that some French hams did me.
> > >
> > > > And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
> > >
> > > You really need to take a course in writing.
> >
> > You merely wish to misunderstand. Same old Dave.
>
> I read both sides.

With jaundiced eyes.

# 1221. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 02:58:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.02.58.17.926533@gmail.com>
Source record: 115470

Dave:

"Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at issue.

Some "crystal-ball papers?" I have never seen "futurists" as being any more accurate then Sylvia Browne, and I am just about as likely to consult with their forecasts (people with the futurist papers) as I am Sylvia Browne, history is created by participating, not reading what others will think it is, or forecast it as being.

On CW being dropped? Well, the FCC is asking for logical, reasonable, meaningful, coherent, comments on that subject right now--anyone can read the comments and decide for themselves. Hopefully, the final decision is made without someone hiding in the woodpile with vested interests... and purely on the basis of what is good for american citizens, the hobby of amateur radio and society as a whole... I think someone has woken up to that fact that there is a stagnant air about it now, and it is becoming of such insignificant numbers that the level of regulation it now has is becoming difficult to justify.

On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with reality...

On amateurs? I think a noticeably number of existing amateurs will be SK before this year is out, more next year, even more the following year, I think that is a graph which points towards the bottom, and will drag the number of general and extra licenses in an almost 1:1 ratio.

On what he thinks of me? He can hold any opinion he likes, indeed, if I understand my forefathers meanings and intent, I am supposed to uphold that right of his (and all other americans, even including myself) even if it costs my life and those of my family, neighbors and friends--so why would I then, understanding these principles put forth by those men, move even my little finger to halt him in his pursuits of happiness?

Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance which I am failing to see here?

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 02:29:20 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> The Doc should have warned you, mixing alcohol with your meds can have
>> that effect... when the chemicals and alcohol have worn off, things
>> should return to normal, hopefully...
>>
>> John
>
> What effect would that be, "John"--the ability to see you dodging Jim's
> points?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 00:03:43 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Jim:
>>>>
>>>>I don't think I have ever made a statement or post to "reduce testing" or
>>>>even make it one question easier...
>>>>
>>>>You are attempting to sneak in an argument of your own design and form a
>>>>false to what is being argued. Most, if not all, this is about is dumping
>>>>morse, an un-needed, under-used, ancient form of communication that a very
>>>>good portion of amateurs never use...
>>>>
>>>>If they have some way of using ~1.72 - 80Mhz for BPL, go for it, at the
>>>>most they will only interfere with an insignificant number of hobby
>>>>users... business/corporate america can adapt to other freqs, indeed, the
>>>>boost on the whole to industry by updating the net will out weigh any
>>>>negative effects. Military can use satellites...
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>>Wow! That car was really moving fast. Wonder what kind it was.
>>>Ohhhhh, it's a *Dodge*.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:49:43 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John,

>>>>>
>>>>>Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals
>>>>>held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a
>>>>>neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL
>>>>>runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695
>>>>>kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't
>>>>>going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the
>>>>>cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL
>>>>>are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at
>>>>>$24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet
>>>>>connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL
>>>>>
>>>>>For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM
>>>>>broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there
>>>>>can be considerable radiation.
>>>>>
>>>>>The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any
>>>>>greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators. If
>>>>>done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.
>>>>>
>>>>>If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL
>>>>>proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the
>>>>>background noise some 10 dB. Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a
>>>>>10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite
>>>>>unacceptable. Period.
>>>>>
>>>>>I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just
>>>>>Morse) to as close to zero as possible.
>>>>>
>>>>>My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally,
>>>>>they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year
>>>>>apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates
>>>>>degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a
>>>>>watered-down apprenticeship, right?
>>>>>
>>>>>I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system
>>>>>to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements
>>>>>encouraging "intelligent design".
>>>>>
>>>>>Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it
>>>>>includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that
>>>>>they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were
>>>>>sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance).
>>>>>Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye
>>>>>conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4
>>>>>resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".
>>>>>
>>>>>Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem
>>>>>with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the
>>>>>theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the

>>>>>country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur
>>>>>radio.
>>>>>
>>>>>Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband
>>>>>penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones.
>>>>>We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.
>>>>>
>>>>>Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning
>>>>>universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks
>>>>>the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset
>>>>>to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and
>>>>>chase some folks out of this country.
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it
>>>>>has something more than just Morse behind it.
>>>>>
>>>>>Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside
>>>>>the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty,
>>>>>Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but
>>>>>nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because
>>>>>the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Best regards from Rochester, NY
>>>>>Jim AA2QA
>>
>>

# 1222. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:01:41 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123729198.419417.257920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115471

an_old_friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > > I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> > > > > > imagination.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > > >
> > > > > I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
> > > > > submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM
> > > > >
> > > > It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
> > > > Bruce/WA8ULX.
> > > >
> > > > That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
> > > > volumes.
> > >
> > > It is called "willing suspenion of Disbelief" stevie so wants to
> > > believe that is the general sort of person that opposes code testing
> > > and so he jumps at it
> > >
> > > It is sad realy but the FCC will see right though it
> >
> > Most of these not-so old-timers have lost it.
> >
> > Just like Dave can't understand that "OSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS" just might be
> > a distress call. I pity the units that he supposedly served.
>
> wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
> ...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
> sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio

They walk down the hall to the vending machine and by a bag of chips.

> Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
> send ...---...--- and hope for the best at interavals as long that
> battery would still make sparks but Dave and prehaps a good many other
> MMM would not help me

Dave is special, but not unique.

## 1223. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:07:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DhzKe.4192$WD.3886@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115472

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>
> ... interesting, now county courts are going to start ruling of federal
> regulations?
>

Seems that way. Judge Steven J. Robeson (aka "K4YZ/K4CAP") has ruled FCC Docket 98-143 was crafted in violation of the Consitution (sic). You could look it up on Google!

Beep Beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 1224. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:15:19 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1123730119.776014.170920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Are you asking now?

Yes, I'm asking (again).

# 1225. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:19:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7tzKe.3874$Wi6.2651@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115476

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>My own comments
>>>
>>>To the persons reading comments for the FCC greetings,
>>> With regard to main body of the NPRM I am a favor of dropping all code
>>>tests at once, however there are a few points concerning related
>>>matters I wish to discuss and respect insist that FCC made something on
>>>these points clear when the R&O is issued.
>>>
>>> The privileges of the entry class, Technician, after this action are
>>>frankly unclear to me. As perhaps one of the few members of that class
>>>making comments it is a subject near and dear to my heart. It is also
>>>a question vital to the future of the ARS, and thus in some degree the
>>>safety and future of the USA.
>>>
>>> I believe the privileges should be what was known as Before the 1998
>>>NPRM as Tech plus, this si because I have frankly observed that many
>>>new Techs tend to get struck on FM repeaters, a fine mode but hard to
>>>break out of, and being hard to break out tends to depress the chances
>>>that they will, ( I refer the FCC to prices on allmode rigs with VHF
>>>frequencies compared to FM rigs) In addition to issues of cost with the
>>>propagation of VHF there are many times that there is no one out there
>>>that a novice operator can find and work. From personal experience, I
>>>can tell you that this is a hard thing to do, and thus tends to imped
>>>the progress of the Techs and separate them from the rest of the ARS.
>>>This separation is not god for the ARS or it abilities to serve in the
>>>public interest .
>>
>>Where's that pool of typists when you really need it?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Dave, are you volunteering for 702 duty?

To assist Mark? No way. He had a pool of trained typists at his beck
and call and has turned down a job paying nearly a quarter million per
year. I'd have thought that he'd have run his comments through his staff.

Dave K8MN

# 1226. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:29:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wCzKe.4306$RS.472@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115478

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
> issue.

Yeah, that sneaky Jim--always working a ploy.

> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind
> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
> reality...

A bunch of aging hams who are federally licensed trumps Part 15 users
each and every time. Some of those supposed rational, grinning men have
had to yank their BPL systems down because of interference.

> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
> which I am failing to see here?

"Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some
signals held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say
in a neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates?"

There you go.

Dave K8MN

# 1227. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:31:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5EzKe.4307$RS.2449@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115479

b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
>>>>>>>imagination.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>73 from Rochester, NY
>>>>>>>Jim AA2QA
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
>>>>>>submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM
>>>>>
>>>>>It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
>>>>>Bruce/WA8ULX.
>>>>>
>>>>>That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
>>>>>volumes.
>>>>
>>>>It is called "willing suspenion of Disbelief" stevie so wants to
>>>>believe that is the general sort of person that opposes code testing
>>>>and so he jumps at it
>>>>
>>>>It is sad realy but the FCC will see right though it
>>>
>>>Most of these not-so old-timers have lost it.
>>>
>>>Just like Dave can't understand that "OSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS" just might be
>>>a distress call. I pity the units that he supposedly served.
>>
>>wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
>>...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
>>sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio
>

>
> They walk down the hall to the vending machine and by a bag of chips.
>
>
>>Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
>>send ...---...--- and hope for the best...

Those who heard this transmission would no doubt think that things were so so.

Dave K8MN

# 1228. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:31:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <xEzKe.3807$cg.574@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 115480

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.11.02.58.17.926533@gmail.com...
> Dave:
>
> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
> issue.
>
> Some "crystal-ball papers?" I have never seen "futurists" as being any
> more accurate then Sylvia Browne, and I am just about as likely to consult
> with their forecasts (people with the futurist papers) as I am Sylvia
> Browne, history is created by participating, not reading what others will
> think it is, or forecast it as being.
>
> On CW being dropped? Well, the FCC is asking for logical, reasonable,
> meaningful, coherent, comments on that subject right now--anyone can read
> the comments and decide for themselves. Hopefully, the final decision is
> made without someone hiding in the woodpile with vested interests... and
> purely on the basis of what is good for american citizens, the hobby of
> amateur radio and society as a whole... I think someone has woken up to
> that fact that there is a stagnant air about it now, and it is becoming
> of such insignificant numbers that the level of regulation it now has is
> becoming difficult to justify.
>
> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind
> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
> reality...
>
> On amateurs? I think a noticeably number of existing amateurs will be SK
> before this year is out, more next year, even more the following year, I
> think that is a graph which points towards the bottom, and will drag the
> number of general and extra licenses in an almost 1:1 ratio.
>
> On what he thinks of me? He can hold any opinion he likes, indeed, if I
> understand my forefathers meanings and intent, I am supposed to uphold
> that right of his (and all other americans, even including myself) even if
> it costs my life and those of my family, neighbors and friends--so why
> would I then, understanding these principles put forth by those men, move

> even my little finger to halt him in his pursuits of happiness?
>
> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
> which I am failing to see here?
>
> John
>

John,

If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL. Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.

I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was incorrect, just that much was omitted.

A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting worse.

You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can affect).

It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).

With all due regards,
Jim AA2QA

# 1229. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:42:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.03.42.11.338793@gmail.com>
Source record: 115488

... obviously a joke I am barely aware of ...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 03:07:15 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> ... interesting, now county courts are going to start ruling of federal
>> regulations?
>>
>
> Seems that way. Judge Steven J. Robeson (aka "K4YZ/K4CAP") has ruled FCC Docket
> 98-143 was crafted in violation of the Consitution (sic). You could look it up
> on Google!
>
> Beep Beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1230. Re: Reality check!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 03:49:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.03.49.25.60315@gmail.com>
Source record: 115483

N2EY:

Well, fire up your crystal-ball and plug-in the CD where I have used an
old and cracked brake line--and right when I am breaking for a poor old
ham at the red light, it fails and I end up guilty of negligence and in a
court rooms... then put down that fictional novel and realize CW just
isn't a big deal, except to ancient hams... time to judge it on its merits
to the hobby, and making it available so that we can have some fresh
personalities to chat with... CW is starting to look like a dodo bird, I
expect in the next decade we will still be seeing it--only in museums...

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 16:49:32 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> The DOT (department of transportation), DMV (department of motor
>> vehicles), etc. DO NOT require me to have a "Mechanics License"
>> to work on
>> the brakes of my car--yet, if I work on the brakes, don't do it > correctly,
>> I might kill someone.
>
> And that someone might be *you*.
>
>> If I don't "work on" my radio correctly--no one is
>> going to die...
>
> Not necessarily true.
>
> If you work on your car's brakes and do it wrong, the defect is usually
> obvious: the car won't stop.
>
> If you work on a radio and do it wrong, the defect may not be obvious
> at all. For example, suppose the radio puts out a strong signal on an
> aircraft frequency - all sorts of bad things could happen, but you
> wouldn't know it until too late.
>
>> I can work on the transmission of my car, under the same
>> risks...
>
> But if you mess up, the car just won't go.
>
> --
>

> You seem to be arguing to get rid of the *written* test.
>
>
>> and CW is a LOT LESS important...
>
> Do you ever actually listen to the HF ham bands? Down at the low end,
> where the hams using Morse Code usually operate?
>
> Morse Code is an operating skill. It's like knowing how to drive at
> night or in bad weather. Or with a manual transmission.
>
>> Just because amateurs exist in an "echo chamber", within
>> a "good ole boys
>> club" and damn lies have gained a "truth" of their own is no
>> reason for
>> anyone but fools to fall for these lame arguments!
>
> I see. You assume you are right, rather than proving any points.
>
> Typical.

# 1231. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 04:26:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <6pCdncsKQ4EmWWffRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115477

N9OGL wrote:
> It seems to me that your one of those amateur operators who doesn't
> like K1MAN; reason, you don't have a FUCKING LIFE.

That's the difference between you and most of the rest of us toddieboy.
You have a F***ing life, while we have a real life.

You really are an idiot.

# 1232. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 04:28:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123734522.049471.203380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115484

First off I'm not a "fag" nor do I live in my parent's basment. Next asshole, and I know it will be hard for you to understand with what little to no brains you have, it was my LPTV applications that were dismissed because they were not filed during the filing window. The problem is I had a waiver with all my applications and there is no filing windows for waivers. Waivers can be filed at anytime and the courts have stated repeativly that the FCC MUST CONSIDER WAIVERS. So believe what you and bitches want, the FCC are a bunch of lying assholes. They go to court claiming all a person has to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver, but those SCUMBAGS AT THE FCC don't consider waivers and as I've stated a BUNCH OF LYING ASSHOLES!!!!!

Todd N9OGL

# 1233. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 04:31:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123734672.833206.291240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115485

>That's the difference between you and most of the rest of us toddieboy.
>You have a F***ing life, while we have a real life.

SURE YOU DO!!!! keep you imagination to yourself.

# 1234. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 04:34:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <6pCdncoKQ4EgW2ffRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115481

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> Last time I took a chemistry class, when you burn hydrogen in the presence
> of oxygen you get water, and that is all...

And if we should ever get hydrogen powered cars, watch the tree huggers
complain about all the water on the streets.

# 1235. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 04:37:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <AdKdnaFN1oPeWmffRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

k0hb@earthlink.net wrote:
>>Are you asking now?
>
>
> Yes, I'm asking (again).
>

I just purchased a new Morse training tape. It was blank.

# 1236. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 04:56:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.04.56.43.779519@gmail.com>
Source record: 115489

Jim:

Here is what I think:

1) NO standards have been established.
2) BPL is in a testing phase, we will NOT know anything until this is completed by independent testing laboratories--NOT HAMS--NOT THOSE HOLDING THE LICENSES, PATENTS, MANUFACTURING RIGHTS, ETC, ETC....
3) Amateurs are over-reacting BEFORE data has been had.
4) All will be decided on its merits.
5) Amateur hobbyists may have to tolerate some interference for the benefit of tens or hundreds of millions.
6) I think they would be idiots to tell us exactly what they were working on and details of the methods, freqs and hardware... if they do, why not just give the technology away?

Sorry, that is just how it all looks to me...

Frankly, as I have stated, when BPL hits mhz instead of khz--I think it is unworkable--but I AM NOT even knowledgeable enough to know for sure, I think there are guys there working with BPL which will figure it out just fine without us hobbyists interfering...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 03:31:41 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.11.02.58.17.926533@gmail.com...
>> Dave:
>>
>> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
>> issue.
>>
>> Some "crystal-ball papers?" I have never seen "futurists" as being any
>> more accurate then Sylvia Browne, and I am just about as likely to consult
>> with their forecasts (people with the futurist papers) as I am Sylvia
>> Browne, history is created by participating, not reading what others will
>> think it is, or forecast it as being.
>>
>> On CW being dropped? Well, the FCC is asking for logical, reasonable,
>> meaningful, coherent, comments on that subject right now--anyone can read
>> the comments and decide for themselves. Hopefully, the final decision is
>> made without someone hiding in the woodpile with vested interests... and
>> purely on the basis of what is good for american citizens, the hobby of

>> amateur radio and society as a whole... I think someone has woken up to
>> that fact that there is a stagnant air about it now, and it is becoming
>> of such insignificant numbers that the level of regulation it now has is
>> becoming difficult to justify.
>>
>> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
>> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
>> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
>> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
>> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
>> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
>> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind
>> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
>> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
>> reality...
>>
>> On amateurs? I think a noticeably number of existing amateurs will be SK
>> before this year is out, more next year, even more the following year, I
>> think that is a graph which points towards the bottom, and will drag the
>> number of general and extra licenses in an almost 1:1 ratio.
>>
>> On what he thinks of me? He can hold any opinion he likes, indeed, if I
>> understand my forefathers meanings and intent, I am supposed to uphold
>> that right of his (and all other americans, even including myself) even if
>> it costs my life and those of my family, neighbors and friends--so why
>> would I then, understanding these principles put forth by those men, move
>> even my little finger to halt him in his pursuits of happiness?
>>
>> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
>> which I am failing to see here?
>>
>> John
>>
>
> John,
>
> If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
> links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
> (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
> (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
> work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
> Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.
>
> I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the
> tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how
> advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was
> incorrect, just that much was omitted.
>
> A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
> worse.

>
> You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
> demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
> that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
> it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned
> channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
> affect).
>
> It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
> in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
> that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
> BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).
>
>
> With all due regards,
> Jim AA2QA

# 1237. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 05:04:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.05.04.09.203708@gmail.com>
Source record: 115486

Jim:

300,000 with a 10:1 data compaction is already at 3,000,000... due to the fact we KNOW NOTHING of the data compaction methods they are using (most likely trade secrets) we can't even guess what they are capable of... I'd venture 10megs or more...

You guys seem to think in terms of brass keys...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 03:29:32 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
>> issue.
>
> Yeah, that sneaky Jim--always working a ploy.
>
>> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
>> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
>> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
>> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
>> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
>> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
>> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind
>> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
>> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
>> reality...
>
> A bunch of aging hams who are federally licensed trumps Part 15 users
> each and every time. Some of those supposed rational, grinning men have
> had to yank their BPL systems down because of interference.
>
>> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
>> which I am failing to see here?
>
> "Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some
> signals held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say
> in a neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates?"
>
> There you go.
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1238. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 06:07:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <VZKdnZ2dnZ32We75nZ2dnR9BZ9-dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115487

N9OGL wrote:
Waivers can be filed at anytime and the
> courts have stated repeativly that the FCC MUST CONSIDER WAIVERS.

So they considered your waivers and turned you down, just like they
should have done.

## 1239. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 12:07:05 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123762025.542818.61720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

k0hb@earthlink.net wrote:
> > Are you asking now?
>
> Yes, I'm asking (again).

OK, fair enough.

I've got Billy. We'll make the exchange at Checkpoint Charlie in 72 hours. And no tricks. There will be observers in Blue helmuts, and a Tennessee registered Cessna buzzing the checkpoint.

bb

# 1240. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 12:25:30 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddfg3q$uo2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115491

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> Last time I took a chemistry class, when you burn hydrogen in the presence
> of oxygen you get water, and that is all...
>
> John

What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?

Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.

Interestingly enough, a gallon of gasoline contains more hydrogen than
a gallon of liquid H2 - it's a great way to store hydrogen.

But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)

So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.

Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
first place.

> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:52:28 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>commander:
>>>
>>>Why two-thirds of the oceans are composed of hydrogen, and the oceans
>>>themselves cover two-thirds of the planets surface... course it takes more
>>>energy to get the hydrogen out of the sea water than you get back when you
>>>burn/use hydrogen--but, if we can develop a new generation energy
>>>source so we have cheap and abundant energy to extract the hydrogen from
>>
>>What are the byproducts?

- mike KB3EIA -

# 1241. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 12:28:16 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddfg90$uo2$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115492

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Mike:
>>
>> Last time I took a chemistry class, when you burn hydrogen in the
>> presence
>> of oxygen you get water, and that is all...
>
>
> And if we should ever get hydrogen powered cars, watch the tree huggers
> complain about all the water on the streets.

And I wan't talking about the byproducts of burning Hydrogen. I was talking about the byproducts of producing hydrogen. Specifically making H2 from seawater.....

You don't need to be a treehugger to have a problem with that one.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1242. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 12:49:35 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddfhgv$19ge$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115493

Jim Hampton wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.11.02.58.17.926533@gmail.com...
>
>>Dave:
>>
>>"Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
>>issue.
>>
>>Some "crystal-ball papers?" I have never seen "futurists" as being any
>>more accurate then Sylvia Browne, and I am just about as likely to consult
>>with their forecasts (people with the futurist papers) as I am Sylvia
>>Browne, history is created by participating, not reading what others will
>>think it is, or forecast it as being.
>>
>>On CW being dropped? Well, the FCC is asking for logical, reasonable,
>>meaningful, coherent, comments on that subject right now--anyone can read
>>the comments and decide for themselves. Hopefully, the final decision is
>>made without someone hiding in the woodpile with vested interests... and
>>purely on the basis of what is good for american citizens, the hobby of
>>amateur radio and society as a whole... I think someone has woken up to
>>that fact that there is a stagnant air about it now, and it is becoming
>>of such insignificant numbers that the level of regulation it now has is
>>becoming difficult to justify.
>>
>>On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
>>technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
>>one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
>>data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
>>yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
>>multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
>>know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind
>>their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
>>buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
>>reality...
>>
>>On amateurs? I think a noticeably number of existing amateurs will be SK
>>before this year is out, more next year, even more the following year, I
>>think that is a graph which points towards the bottom, and will drag the
>>number of general and extra licenses in an almost 1:1 ratio.
>>
>>On what he thinks of me? He can hold any opinion he likes, indeed, if I
>>understand my forefathers meanings and intent, I am supposed to uphold

>>that right of his (and all other americans, even including myself) even if
>>it costs my life and those of my family, neighbors and friends--so why
>>would I then, understanding these principles put forth by those men, move
>>even my little finger to halt him in his pursuits of happiness?
>>
>>Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
>>which I am failing to see here?
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> John,
>
> If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
> links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
> (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
> (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
> work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
> Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.
>
> I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the
> tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how
> advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was
> incorrect, just that much was omitted.
>
> A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
> worse.
>
> You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
> demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
> that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
> it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned
> channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
> affect).

BPL is proven to interfere with amateur radio services. Proof is
available to anyone who seeks it. The idea that it doesn't is a
political one, such as faith based science ("Toto, I think we lost
Kansas!") global warming (If the world isn't warming up, fine! I'll
accept that. Now tell me *how* the atmosphere is coping with the
greenhouse gas load) and other bafflegab. Give me scientific reasons,
not accuse me of being a liberal or something because I don't agree with
your bad politically motivated science.

> It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
> in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
> that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
> BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).

Well, yeah Jim! Lets see we have an "anony- mousie" who is pretty good at spouting off. Lot's of opinions, and ridicule to all who might disagree with (him?). Sounds like a major troll to me.

I wonder why Len doesn't have any trouble with this anony-mousie? Seems all the others arouse his ire..... ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1243. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 12:56:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123764973.387915.31610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115494

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Facts are that it's anything but.
>
> no they are not
>
> the Shuttle can't deliever on it promises
>
> either for orbit, reliableity turn arround time or cost

Facts are that the Shuttle remains a viable on-orbit delivery
system.

Period.

People with REAL credentals say so.

Huge Snip

> > > > > > > Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
> > > > > > > regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> > > > > > > learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I bet not.
> > > > >
> > > > > you still owe me 500$ from your last bet
> > > >
> > > > "$500"
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> > Nope. You've yet to prove a thing.
> >
>
> already done you just refuse to pay up

Proved WHAT...?!?!

That your fractured, broken DRECK is acceptable English
composition...?!?!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

NO ONE IN THIS FORUM, WHETEHR THEY "LIKE" ME OR NOT WOULD AGREE
THAT WAHT >>>YOU<<< "WRITE" IS ACCEPTABLE ENGLSIH COMPOSITION! ! ! ! !
! !
>
> > > > Nope. Not even close, Markie. You actually have to have some
> > > > "proof" in order to get that...
> > >
> > > you bet that an english of my chioce would flunk my sentence and give
> > > you an "A" you lost
> >
> > Not of YOUR choice, Markie.
>
> that was your bet
>
> cuting stevie evading his wleched bet

Hardly!

And where's this "expert" of yours..?!?!

We're supposed to take this on your "say so"..?!?!

A pathologiocal LIAR...?!?!

"Proof" is the statement of a CERTIFIED English teacher who
actually SAMPLES what you "write" in this forum and then attests to it
IN WRITING, LiarBoy!

I snipped the rest...Mark's lost what little bit of credibility he
had...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1244. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:02:52 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddfi9r$ne0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115495

Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Dave:
>>
>> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
>> issue.
>
>
> Yeah, that sneaky Jim--always working a ploy.
>
>> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
>> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
>> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
>> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
>> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
>> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
>> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin
>> behind
>> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
>> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
>> reality...
>
>
> A bunch of aging hams who are federally licensed trumps Part 15 users
> each and every time. Some of those supposed rational, grinning men have
> had to yank their BPL systems down because of interference.
>
>> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
>> which I am failing to see here?
>
>
> "Since you purport to have a ee degree,

Right. And I'm a pie-eyed greepus!

you might explain how some
> signals held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say
> in a neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates?"
>
> There you go.

Easy, Dave! Just plug a really fast modem into it!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1245. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:05:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123765509.929452.161130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115496

N9OGL wrote:
> It seems to me that your one of those amateur operators who doesn't
> like K1MAN; reason, you don't have a ####ING LIFE.

Ironic...Coming from a lifeless twit living in mommy and daddy's
basement, bombarding newsgroups with "inventions" and playing junior
disc jockey on the Amateur Bands.

And by the way...I didn't see where "Johnny Johnson" signed an
Amateur callsign to his name or tagline.

> Your one of those pathetic licensed CB operators...(SNIP)

CB doesn't require a license anymore, Toiddie.

> (UNSNIP)...who can get it through their ####ing head that IT'S A HOBBY.

No it's not.

It's a federally regulated radio service that many participate in
AS a hobby.

There are NO radio services in the United States chartered as a
"hobby radio service".

> But no, instead you harass a person because
> simply put, you don't like what that person says or does, your ####ing
> life circle around ruining this person's life.

Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.

> But hey after he's
> gone you can go out back and blow your ####ing brains out. Because,
> once he is gone you will no longer have anything to live for because
> you're the one who is a LOSER.

So sayeth the basement dweller.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1246. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:06:06 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050811130606.2FC23170A2@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115497
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <-pCdnaCarPIw_GTfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Butt Cornholer of little boys <u...@this.ten> wrote:

> Among other things you don't even want to know about.

Oh, do tell us how you have your kid's sperm on yours, fake
pilot license BOY!

Poor Commander Butt Cornholer of little boys has that obsolete
Advanced, too fucking stupid and lazy to upgrade.

# 1247. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:11:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123765918.976687.252380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115498

N9OGL wrote:
> First off I'm not a "fag" nor do I live in my parent's basment.

I wouldn't use the word "fag"...That is to gay people like the "N"
word is to African-Americans. However you are gay AND living at home
with mommy and daddy at the age of 37.

> Next
> ###hole, and I know it will be hard for you to understand with what
> little to no brains you have, it was my LPTV applications that were
> dismissed because they were not filed during the filing window. The
> problem is I had a waiver with all my applications and there is no
> filing windows for waivers. Waivers can be filed at anytime and the
> courts have stated repeativly that the FCC MUST CONSIDER WAIVERS.

And I am sure they did. Rumour has it that they don't get too
many applications written in crayon with "GIMME MY ####ING LICENSE YOU
MOTHER#####ING ###HOLES".

That they denied your license was in the best public interest on
soooooo many levels...

> So believe what you and bitches want, the FCC are a bunch of lying
> ###holes. They go to court claiming all a person has to do is apply for
> a license and ask for a waiver, but those SCUMBAGS AT THE FCC don't
> consider waivers and as I've stated a BUNCH OF LYING ###HOLES!!!!!

And again, I bet they DID consider your license AND waiver, and
then came to the conclusion that all of us here have...That you're a
ranting, irresponsible, immature little punk who was NOT to be trusted
with the public interest.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1248. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:13:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123766016.759528.127550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115499

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Facts are that it's anything but.
> >
> > no they are not
> >
> > the Shuttle can't deliever on it promises
> >
> > either for orbit, reliableity turn arround time or cost
>
> Facts are that the Shuttle remains a viable on-orbit delivery
> system.

that can't pull off a full mission without being grounded

>
> Period.
>
> People with REAL credentals say so.

People with real credentals say the economy is doing great, doesn't
make it so

>
> Huge Snip
>
> > > > > > > > > Yes we have learned a great deal from the Shuttle, which we would
> > > > > > > > > regrardless of wether it was a sucess or failure, Indeed we Likely will
> > > > > > > > > learn more from it being a failure than we would have from a success
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I bet not.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you still owe me 500$ from your last bet
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "$500"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yep
> > > > >
> > > > Nope. You've yet to prove a thing.
> > > >
> > >
> > > already done you just refuse to pay up
> >

> Proved WHAT...?!?!
>
> That your fractured, broken DRECK is acceptable English
> composition...?!?!

that you made a bet and then cheated on it

>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> NO ONE IN THIS FORUM, WHETEHR THEY "LIKE" ME OR NOT WOULD AGREE
> THAT WAHT >>>YOU<<< "WRITE" IS ACCEPTABLE ENGLSIH COMPOSITION! ! ! ! !
> ! !

the bet was on an given sentense

and you are of course cheating, again

> >
> > > > > Nope. Not even close, Markie. You actually have to have some
> > > > > "proof" in order to get that...
> > > >
> > > > you bet that an english of my chioce would flunk my sentence and give
> > > > you an "A" you lost
> > >
> > > Not of YOUR choice, Markie.
> >
> > that was your bet
> >
> > cuting stevie evading his wleched bet
>
> Hardly!
>
> And where's this "expert" of yours..?!?!

William R Morgan license teacher

I agreed he wasn't exactly an unbaised choice

>
> We're supposed to take this on your "say so"..?!?!
>
> A pathologiocal LIAR...?!?!
>
> "Proof" is the statement of a CERTIFIED English teacher who
> actually SAMPLES what you "write" in this forum and then attests to it
> IN WRITING, LiarBoy!

done and ready where is the money

> 
> I snipped the rest...Mark's lost what little bit of credibility he
> had...
> 
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1249. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:16:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123766198.689091.129070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115600

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > First off I'm not a "fag" nor do I live in my parent's basment.
>
> I wouldn't use the word "fag"...That is to gay people like the "N"
> word is to African-Americans. However you are gay AND living at home
> with mommy and daddy at the age of 37.

and you are comiting Libel

>
> > Next
> > ###hole, and I know it will be hard for you to understand with what
> > little to no brains you have, it was my LPTV applications that were
> > dismissed because they were not filed during the filing window. The
> > problem is I had a waiver with all my applications and there is no
> > filing windows for waivers. Waivers can be filed at anytime and the
> > courts have stated repeativly that the FCC MUST CONSIDER WAIVERS.
>
> And I am sure they did. Rumour has it that they don't get too
> many applications written in crayon with "GIMME MY ####ING LICENSE YOU
> MOTHER####ING ###HOLES".
>
> That they denied your license was in the best public interest on
> soooooo many levels...
>
> > So believe what you and bitches want, the FCC are a bunch of lying
> > ###holes. They go to court claiming all a person has to do is apply for
> > a license and ask for a waiver, but those SCUMBAGS AT THE FCC don't
> > consider waivers and as I've stated a BUNCH OF LYING ###HOLES!!!!!
>
> And again, I bet they DID consider your license AND waiver, and
> then came to the conclusion that all of us here have...That you're a
> ranting, irresponsible, immature little punk who was NOT to be trusted
> with the public interest
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1250. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:18:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123766289.224346.85940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115601

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hungery usenet today
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
> > > > > practice
> > > >
> > > > Show where Dave commited a crime...Under US or Tanzanian law,
> > > > Markie.
> > >
> > > Knowingly assiting other is comitting a crime is a crime in the USA,
> > > Tanzania well I don't know but since it is like the USA a former
> > > English colony Very likely there too
> >
> > Markie...I said SHOW WHERE DAVE COMMITED A CRIME.
>
> done that in the 5th line up

No, you did not.

> > I asked that in the questions I posed.
>
> already done that

Still no.

> > > > > cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
> > > > > you know
> > > >
> > > cuting again they smell becuase they have nothingt o do with the issue
> > > at hand
> >
>
> > > > > > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> > > > >
> > > > > nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > sure are

> >
> > Not even close.
>
> sure are
>
> Yu are certianly a bully, no besides you can realy doubt that

Nope.

And still wondering what laws Dave broke, Markie, INCLUDING "good
Amateur practice".

YOU yorself stated it was impossible to know all the rules for
foreign Amateurs.

> > > > Brain alleges Dave did something wrong.
> > >
> > > He does so allege
> >
> > And his allegations do not meet the test of validity.
>
> sure seem to

And I asked WHERE in ANY law do they meet that validity?

> > > > There is absolutely NO evidence of any such lawbreaking.
> > >
> > > Yes there is.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure seems to be

Nope.

Where?

Cite ONE line of US or Tanzanian law (which he was operating under
at the time) that even REMOTELY suggests it was HIS responsibility to
know the OTHER operators band limits...

> > > Dave does not dispute Brain describtion of the facts, and brian
> > > describes a crime
> >
> > And again, I ask, was Dave obligated to know the allowable
> > allocations of the French stations? Was he obligated to know the
> > allocations of ANY foreign operator...?!?!
>
> and that is not the question, no one said that he was required to know
> merely that he DID know, and once he knew then he became responible for
> helping them break the rules
>
> cuting stevie unrelated nonsense

It's only "unrelated" because Mark Morgan is a coward.

> > > > Avoidng the questions is an act of cowardice.
> > >
> > > No avoidance the questions were asked and answered, they merely had and
> > > contiue to have no meaning in context.
> >
> > No...YOU have "answered" them.
> >
> > Brain has not.
>
> Legaly he has

No, he has not.

> By giving impleied assent to my answers, by reamining silent

Uh huh....riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > And according to YOUR answers, no "crime" was committed.
>
> Yes there was that of aiding and abeting the criminal acts of others

Where?

Where in US or Tanzanian law was a crime commited?

> > > Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud
> >
> > Nope. Direct questions relative to the topic at hand.
> >
> > Now...Let's see if Brain has the testosterone to answer them.

Still waiitng.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1251. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:20:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123766437.637805.110740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115602

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > QUOTE
> >
> > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> >
> > Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> >
> > you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> > licensure?
> >
> > For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> >
> > domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> >
> > his/her license?
> >
> > Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> > knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> >
> > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> It is David's poor amateur operating practices that are under scrutiny
> here.

OK.

SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.

Start by answering the above questions as a test of whether or not
Dave's actions met the test of culpability.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1252. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:22:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123766553.486289.123610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115603

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > > First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> > > > > meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> > > > > believe that some French hams did me.
> > > > >
> > > > > > And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
> > > > >
> > > > > You really need to take a course in writing.
> > > >
> > > > You merely wish to misunderstand. Same old Dave.
> > >
> > > I read both sides.
> >
> With jaundiced eyes.

Nope.

With an open mind.

YOU show US where the alleged violation of law on Dave's part
occured, or where this alleged "poor amateur practice" was established.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1253. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:23:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123766609.166471.17550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115604

```
.
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hungery usenet today
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
> > > > > > practice
> > > > > >
> > > > > Show where Dave commited a crime...Under US or Tanzanian law,
> > > > > Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > Knowingly assiting other is comitting a crime is a crime in the USA,
> > > > Tanzania well I don't know but since it is like the USA a former
> > > > English colony Very likely there too
> > > >
> > > Markie...I said SHOW WHERE DAVE COMMITED A CRIME.
> > >
> > done that in the 5th line up
> >
> No, you did not.
>
> > > I asked that in the questions I posed.
> > >
> > already done that
> >
> Still no.
>
> > > > > > cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
> > > > > > you know
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting again they smell becuase they have nothingt o do with the issue
> > > > > at hand
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > > > > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > sure are
> > >
> > > Not even close.
> >
> > sure are
> >
> > Yu are certianly a bully, no besides you can realy doubt that
>
> Nope.
>
> And still wondering what laws Dave broke, Markie, INCLUDING "good
> Amateur practice".
>
> YOU yorself stated it was impossible to know all the rules for
> foreign Amateurs.
>
> > > > > Brain alleges Dave did something wrong.
> > > >
> > > > He does so allege
> > >
> > > And his allegations do not meet the test of validity.
> >
> > sure seem to
>
> And I asked WHERE in ANY law do they meet that validity?
>
> > > > > There is absolutely NO evidence of any such lawbreaking.
> > > >
> > > > Yes there is.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure seems to be
>
> Nope.
>
> Where?
>
> Cite ONE line of US or Tanzanian law (which he was operating under
> at the time) that even REMOTELY suggests it was HIS responsibility to
> know the OTHER operators band limits...
>
> > > > Dave does not dispute Brain describtion of the facts, and brian
> > > > describes a crime
> > >
> > > And again, I ask, was Dave obligated to know the allowable
> > > allocations of the French stations? Was he obligated to know the
> > > allocations of ANY foreign operator...?!?!

> >
> > and that is not the question, no one said that he was required to know
> > merely that he DID know, and once he knew then he became responible for
> > helping them break the rules
> >
> > cuting stevie unrelated nonsense
>
> It's only "unrelated" because Mark Morgan is a coward.
>
> > > > > Avoidng the questions is an act of cowardice.
> > > >
> > > > No avoidance the questions were asked and answered, they merely had and
> > > > contiue to have no meaning in context.
> > >
> > > No...YOU have "answered" them.
> > >
> > > Brain has not.
> >
> > Legaly he has
>
> No, he has not.
>
> > By giving impleied assent to my answers, by reamining silent
>
> Uh huh....riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> > > And according to YOUR answers, no "crime" was committed.
> >
> > Yes there was that of aiding and abeting the criminal acts of others
>
> Where?
>
> Where in US or Tanzanian law was a crime commited?
>
> > > > Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud
> > >
> > > Nope. Direct questions relative to the topic at hand.
> > >
> > > Now...Let's see if Brain has the testosterone to answer them.
>
> Still waiitng.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1254. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:45:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123767919.808236.4450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115605

>So they considered your waivers and turned you down, just like they
>should have done.

no they didn't even look at it.

# 1255. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:52:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123768365.338295.139180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115606

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
cut
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > It is David's poor amateur operating practices that are under scrutiny
> > here.
>
> OK.
>
> SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.

done
>
> Start by answering the above questions as a test of whether or not
> Dave's actions met the test of culpability.

Dave does not dispute that he Knew they were out of band , that he knew
and choose with disregrard for the law to act is the test of his
culpability.

Your questions do not relate to his culpbility

No one has argued that he was required to know band limits ofr other
hams, merely that he DID know by what ever means, and for whatever
reason

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1256. Mark Morgan: Enter Profanity Of Choice Here And Tell Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:54:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123768465.862502.136750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115607

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > yes of course it is
> > > > > >
> > > > > Of course it's not.
> > > >
> > > "It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > > does the rest."
> > >
> > > your words
> > >
> > > and they are a confession to harrasment
> > No, they are not.
> >
> yes they are

Nope.

> > They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> > in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> > him.
> >
> nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective

Nope.

> > You're an idiot and you keep acting like a fool.
> >
> you are a liar a hypocrite, bully, stalker,Blackmailer and bigot
> >
> At least I am telling the truth when I say something like that

No you're not.

You're STILL a liar under ANY circumstances, and you WILL be a liar
until you both acknowledge you past behaviour then establish a pattern
that demonstrates you no longer chronically lie.

> > That I respond in such a way as to show you both is NOT
> > "harrassment".
> >

> It is harrassment

Nope.

> > If you don't like what you see, Markie, correct your idiotic and
> > foolish behaviour.
>
> you mean conform to your standards or else you will continue your
> harrassment, that is Blackmail plain and simple

Nope. Stop lying. It really is THAT simple.

Getting help for your English comprehension and grammar would
help.

> > > > > > > > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> > > > > > > > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That's an answer?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yes it is
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > cuting stevie rants
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > more cuting of ranting and raving
> > > > >
> > > > still ranting and raving

It's only "ranting and raving" because YOU don't want to face it.

> > > > What threats?
> > >
> > > you threats
> > > One Shot one kill...
> > >
> > Wasn't a threat seven years ago.
> >
> It was and remains a threat

There's "ranting and raving".

> > It's not a threat today, no matter how many times you repeat it out
> >
> It was and remains a threat

More ranting and raving.

> > of context.
> > >
> > > your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)

> >
> > Why does it concern you that you might be brought to the attention
>
> asked and answered

why did you break one sentence into two and answer it twice?

> > of mental health case workers or law enforcement if you're "OK",
> > Markie?
>
> asked and answered

Avoided and evaded.

> > > It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying
> >
>
> back to cuting your remarks when you go on your sexaul rants

No "sexaul" rants.

Only your cowardice and evasion.

> > > but that of course has nothing to do with wether it is a threat on your
> > > part
> >
> > It's not a threat.
>
> it is a threat

More unfounded ranting and raving.

> > > > > your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago
> > > > >
> > > > I didn't threaten yoy with exposing your deviancy, Mark. Pardon
> > > > the choice of words, but you "exposed" yourslf all on your own.
> > > >
> > > liar Steve
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

More unfounded ranting and raving.

> > > you emailed me your proof and threatened to expose me if I did not stop
> > > posting in the newsgroup
> > >
> > > then you revealed it and found to your shock I never denied it
> >
> > It's no "shock" on my part that you're a deviant, Markie.
>
> evading the issue again

Nope. I answered the item directly.

> > And still no "proof" of ANY wrongdoing, Markie.
>
> all the proof I need
>
> indeed your worngdoing is proven by the very post I am responding to
> NOW
>
> You prove therin that you are a Blackmailer

No, there's not.

There IS proof that you need to be evaluated by an appropriate
mental health case worker, though.

> > > > > why do you need everything repeated and again and agian
> > > > >
> > > > > Why can't you type the same word the same way in one sentence?
> > > >
> > > > becuase
> > >
> > >
> > > "becuase".....BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!
>
> go ahead cry about it
>
> it just shows you can't or won't face facts

What crying?

Huge Snip

> > > > I didn't blackmail you or attempt to blackmail you, ergo it's
> > > > irrelevent WHAT was "successful" or not.
> > > >
> > > > lying agian
> > >
> > > I'm not lying and I'm not an agian, whatever that is...
>
> you are lying again

I'm not lying "again" because I didn't lie in the first place.

> > > I have the proof and carefully in a file
> > >
> > cuting Stevie sexaul libel of His Holiness the pope

Now you're a Catholic...A Pagan Catholic...THAT'S got to hurt.

Huge Snip of Markie rants.

> > > you have boasted of finding a picture of my home in those days here
> > > recently
> > >

> > YOU posted it, Markie. It was on your GeoCities site, along with
> > your picture...
>
> again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
> and other data for it with no legitimate purpose

Who needs a "legitimate purpose", Markie..?!?!

YOU were the one who tagged it to YOUR newsgroup posts!

If you didn't want anyone to see it, why did YOU post it...?!?!

> > > > > it is a plain and clear threat
> > > > >
> > > > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...
> > > >
> > > no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out
> > >
> > Let's me in, Markie, and YOU out.
>
> your out I am in the FBI is in, the local prosecuter is in, as the
> shiref

Well goodie goodie for the "shiref". (Sheriff)

Lotsa Markie self-grandizing stuff there!

More snippage.

Same Markie tit-for-tat ignorance of reality anyway....

> > I'm not the one who thinks that someone is stalking him, trying to
> > kill him over flaming in a newsgroup, and who thinks sexual and
> > societal devaiance is OK.
>
> never said you were trying to kill me only that you were threatening
> to, you are stalking me and your are showing a preverts interest in my
> sexuality

Sure you said I was trying to kill you! Even put it in your
signature line for months as if it were some "apology" for your other
misconduct!

> > > any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
> > > is paranois and delusional
> > >
> > No...LYING about Amateur Radio is an attack on licensed Amateurs.
>
> no one has lied about amteur radio, they have expressed different views
> than you agre with

No...Brian has lied. Repeatedly.

Lennie has lied. Repeatedly.

YOU have lied...REPEATEDLY!

> deference in point of view is not falsehood

"Deference" is to yield to the opinions or judgements of others,
Markie.

> expressing disent is the Hallmark of America

No...Hallmark makes gift cards and curios.

> > > it is also a sign of other mental problems
> >
> > Here we go with Dr Markie's diagnosis again...
>
> never claimed to be a dr

But you DO render diagnosis here. As has Brain and Lennie.

I've stated that all of you have abnormal behaviour and need help,
but that's not a diagnosis, just an observation.

> > > > > after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered
> > > > >
> > > > Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?
> > >
> > > not even accusing you of that, but of threatening too can't you keep
> > > anything straight?
> >
> > Sure I can.
>
> then do so

But I do!

> > You've allegeded I threatened to kill (murder) you.
>
> and you have threatened to kill me

No, I've not.

That's paranoid delusional behavour.

> > And yes, you've accused me of it.
>
> no I have accsued you of trying I have accused you of threatening to
> murder me

No, you've directly accused me of trying.

Said so in your rants about some alleged phone call to the
Illiopolis PD to "track you down".

> > How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> > something wrong?
>

> By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
> him

But I don't have to "LIE" to his parents, Markie.

Todd >>IS<< a foul mouthed little punk who's on-line bahaviour got
his only access to broadband ISP service pulled.

Todd DOES violate Part 97 rules and regulations by BROADCASTING
from thier residence, and that could implicate them in a federal crime.

> The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
> half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.

But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".

> If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
> troublesome for him

Again...I wouldn't have to lie to them! All I have to do is show
them copies of HIS on-line behaviour!

> If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
> troublesome for me

But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".

You just don't get it, do you?

People like you and Todd are your OWN worst enemies!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1257. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 13:58:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123768716.528767.303260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115608

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hungery usenet today
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > being an assecory in the comission of a crime is at least poor amteur
> > > > > > practice
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Show where Dave commited a crime...Under US or Tanzanian law,
> > > > > > Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > Knowingly assiting other is comitting a crime is a crime in the USA,
> > > > > Tanzania well I don't know but since it is like the USA a former
> > > > > English colony Very likely there too
> > > >
> > > > Markie...I said SHOW WHERE DAVE COMMITED A CRIME.
> > >
> > > done that in the 5th line up
> >
> > No, you did not.
> >
> > > > I asked that in the questions I posed.
> > >
> > > already done that
> >
> > Still no.
> >
> > > > > > cuting of stevie red herring questions they are realy begining to smell
> > > > > > you know
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting again they smell becuase they have nothingt o do with the issue
> > > > > at hand
> > > >
> > >
> > > > > > > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > nope but my charges of your bulling are being proved by you
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.

> > > >
> > > > sure are
> > >
> > > Not even close.
> >
> > sure are
> >
> > Yu are certianly a bully, no besides you can realy doubt that
>
> Nope.
>
> And still wondering what laws Dave broke, Markie, INCLUDING "good
> Amateur practice".
>
> YOU yorself stated it was impossible to know all the rules for
> foreign Amateurs.

which is beside the point

>
> > > > > Brain alleges Dave did something wrong.
> > > >
> > > > He does so allege
> > >
> > > And his allegations do not meet the test of validity.
> >
> > sure seem to
>
> And I asked WHERE in ANY law do they meet that validity?

the laws govening accessories
>
> > > > > There is absolutely NO evidence of any such lawbreaking.
> > > >
> > > > Yes there is.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure seems to be
>
> Nope.
>
> Where?
>
> Cite ONE line of US or Tanzanian law (which he was operating under
> at the time) that even REMOTELY suggests it was HIS responsibility to
> know the OTHER operators band limits...

red herring

He knew in the case cited what the limits were, he choose to assit them
in violating them

No claim has been made that it was his responsility to know, merely
that he know
>
> > > > Dave does not dispute Brain describtion of the facts, and brian
> > > > describes a crime
> > >
> > > And again, I ask, was Dave obligated to know the allowable
> > > allocations of the French stations? Was he obligated to know the
> > > allocations of ANY foreign operator...?!?!
> >
> > and that is not the question, no one said that he was required to know
> > merely that he DID know, and once he knew then he became responible for
> > helping them break the rules
> >
> > cuting stevie unrelated nonsense
>
> It's only "unrelated" because Mark Morgan is a coward.

it is unrelated becuase it deals with red herrig issues

>
> > > > > Avoidng the questions is an act of cowardice.
> > > > >
> > > > > No avoidance the questions were asked and answered, they merely had and
> > > > > contiue to have no meaning in context.
> > > >
> > > > No...YOU have "answered" them.
> > > >
> > > > Brain has not.
> > >
> > > Legaly he has
>
> No, he has not.
>
> > By giving impleied assent to my answers, by reamining silent
>
> Uh huh....riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

yes he has, silence is consent, that is a basic premise of English
Comon and part of the Basis of all american juirprunce

>
> > > And according to YOUR answers, no "crime" was committed.
> >
> > Yes there was that of aiding and abeting the criminal acts of others
>
> Where?
>
> Where in US or Tanzanian law was a crime commited?

aiding and abeting a criminal offense is criminal

>
> > > > Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud
> > >
> > > Nope. Direct questions relative to the topic at hand.
> > >
> > > Now...Let's see if Brain has the testosterone to answer them.
>
> Still waiitng.

obviously Brain has decided that you "questions" are the crap that they
are
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1258. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 14:00:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123768812.904227.131930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115609

K4YZ wrote:
> .

such an insitefull post stevie

and with the that title

gee you don't even know the meaing of "period"

Hint it isn't question at all

# 1259. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 14:01:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123768869.045064.69660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115610

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > > First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> > > > > meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> > > > > believe that some French hams did me.
> > > > >
> > > > > > And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
> > > > >
> > > > > You really need to take a course in writing.
> > > >
> > > > You merely wish to misunderstand. Same old Dave.
> > >
> > > I read both sides.
> >
> > With jaundiced eyes.
>
> Nope.
>
> With an open mind.

first you need to prove you have a mind

then you might do something that suggests it is open
>
> YOU show US where the alleged violation of law on Dave's part
> occured, or where this alleged "poor amateur practice" was established.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1260. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 14:29:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123770560.696778.191050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115611

> I wouldn't use the word "fag"...That is to gay people like the "N"
>word is to African-Americans. However you are gay AND living at home
>with mommy and daddy at the age of 37.

Stebie, my girlfriend would disagree with you there.

>And I am sure they did. Rumour has it that they don't get too
>many applications written in crayon with "GIMME MY ####ING LICENSE YOU
>MOTHER#####ING ###HOLES".

Don't believe everything you hear, because they weren't written in
crayon, nor was that statement on the application.

> That they denied your license was in the best public interest on
>soooooo many levels.

Well stebie, I know for a fact that you butt buddies (FCC) didn't even
look at my application. try again

> And again, I bet they DID consider your license AND waiver, and
>then came to the conclusion that all of us here have...That you're a
>ranting, irresponsible, immature little punk who was NOT to be trusted
>with the public interest.

Which raises the question how you got a ham license? a picece of
pondscum low life who sits on a newsgroup spreading his lies, harassing
people and threatening them

Todd N9OGL

## 1261. Stevie poven worng ...again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 14:40:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123771217.418123.281680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115612

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > yes of course it is
> > > > > >
> > > > > Of course it's not.
> > > > >
> > > > "It's far more effective to rub an idiots nose in their foolishness
> > > > than it is to commit an act of violence against them. Peer pressure
> > > > does the rest."
> > > > >
> > > > your words
> > > > >
> > > > and they are a confession to harrasment
> > > No, they are not.
> > >
> > yes they are
> >
> Nope.

sure is
>
> > > They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> > > in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> > > him.
> > >
> > nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective
> >
> Nope.

Yes I have you insulting and harrasing means of operating on the USENET
have resulted in more not less of the mataerail you find objectionable

To achieve result directly opposed to the stated goal, is proof of
failure
>
> > > You're an idiot and you keep acting like a fool.
> > >
> > you are a liar a hypocrite, bully, stalker,Blackmailer and bigot
> > >
> > At least I am telling the truth when I say something like that
> >

> No you're not.

sure am

you are a Liar, Hypocrite Bully Stalker, Blackmailer and bigot, to
which can be added fraud

>
> You're STILL a liar under ANY circumstances,<cut>
nope

<cut> and you WILL be a liar
> until you both acknowledge you past behaviour<cut>

done

<cut> then establish a pattern
> that demonstrates you no longer chronically lie.

I will not aid the bullies and bigots of the world

>
> > > That I respond in such a way as to show you both is NOT
> > > "harrassment".
> >
> > It is harrassment
>
> Nope.

sure is

>
> > > If you don't like what you see, Markie, correct your idiotic and
> > > foolish behaviour.
> >
> > you mean conform to your standards or else you will continue your
> > harrassment, that is Blackmail plain and simple
>
> Nope. Stop lying. It really is THAT simple.

not lying

what is really that simple is the fact you view everything that
disagrees with your preconceived notions a lie

>
> Getting help for your English comprehension and grammar would
> help.

nothing I can do will change the basic fact, that being the facts you
do not accept there is any other view than your own

you mean conform to your standards or else you will continue your
harrassment, that is Blackmail plain and simple

>
> > > > > > > > > And you continue to avoid answering my question..."What makes you
> > > > > > > > > > think that I'd hazard my freedom for a putz like you...?!?!"
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > and I have answered it becuase you are nuts
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That's an answer?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yes it is
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > cuting stevie rants
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > more cuting of ranting and raving
> >
> > still ranting and raving
>
> It's only "ranting and raving" because YOU don't want to face it.

I have faced the facts you are a nut job

a loon

>
> > > > > > What threats?
> > >
> > > > you threats
> > > > One Shot one kill...
> > >
> > > Wasn't a threat seven years ago.
> >
> > It was and remains a threat
>
> There's "ranting and raving".

nope simple truth

your words, your threat, your respoiblity
>
> > > It's not a threat today, no matter how many times you repeat it out
> >
> > It was and remains a threat
>
> More ranting and raving.

nope simple truth

your words, your threat, your respoiblity
>
> > > of context.
> > >
> > > > your threats to contact UP officals and (do something)

> > >
> > > Why does it concern you that you might be brought to the attention
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> why did you break one sentence into two and answer it twice?

because I choose too

I need NO further reason

>
> > > of mental health case workers or law enforcement if you're "OK",
> > > Markie?
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Avoided and evaded.

nope answerd and not repeting the answer

indeed the Answer is in the next line from 2 cycles ago but here it is
again"It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying"

>
> > > > It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying
> > >
> >
> > back to cuting your remarks when you go on your sexaul rants
>
> No "sexaul" rants.

yep sexual rant

>
> Only your cowardice and evasion.

I am not going to play you little games about sexual orientation
Stevie. My sex life is my own busness not yours

>
> > > > but that of course has nothing to do with wether it is a threat on your
> > > > part
> > >
> > > It's not a threat.
> >
> > it is a threat
>
> More unfounded ranting and raving.

nope simple truth

your words, your threat, your respoiblity

>
> > > > > > your threat to expose me as Gay in the newsgrup those many years ago
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I didn't threaten yoy with exposing your deviancy, Mark. Pardon
> > > > > > the choice of words, but you "exposed" yourslf all on your own.
> > > > > >
> > > > > liar Steve
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > yep
>
> More unfounded ranting and raving.

nope simple truth

your words, your threat, your respoiblity
>
> > > > > you emailed me your proof and threatened to expose me if I did not stop
> > > > > posting in the newsgroup
> > > > >
> > > > > then you revealed it and found to your shock I never denied it
> > > >
> > > It's no "shock" on my part that you're a deviant, Markie.
> > >
> > evading the issue again
>
> Nope. I answered the item directly.

nope you evaded it

you threatened
I called
you posted
I never deined and you expressed shock and outrage

>
> > > And still no "proof" of ANY wrongdoing, Markie.
> > >
> > all the proof I need
> >
> > indeed your worngdoing is proven by the very post I am responding to
> > NOW
> >
> > You prove therin that you are a Blackmailer
>
> No, there's not.

sure is

you threaten to do something unpleasent if I don't do as you demand
that is blackmail

cuting setvie imporper medical statement

> > > > > > why do you need everything repeated and again and agian
> > > > >
> > > > > Why can't you type the same word the same way in one sentence?
> > > >
> > > > becuase
> > >
> > >
> > > "becuase".....BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!
> >
> > go ahead cry about it
> >
> > it just shows you can't or won't face facts
>
> What crying?

your crying

>
> Huge Snip
>
> > > > > I didn't blackmail you or attempt to blackmail you, ergo it's
> > > > > irrelevent WHAT was "successful" or not.
> > > >
> > > > lying agian
> > >
> > > I'm not lying and I'm not an agian, whatever that is...
> >
> > you are lying again
>
> I'm not lying "again" because I didn't lie in the first place.

you have been lying for years

>
> > > > I have the proof and carefully in a file
> > >
> > cuting Stevie sexaul libel of His Holiness the pope
>
> Now you're a Catholic...A Pagan Catholic...THAT'S got to hurt.

another Stevie lie

I do respect the Popes faith, and feel it deserves being treated with
respect

>
> Huge Snip of Markie rants.
>
> > > > you have boasted of finding a picture of my home in those days here
> > > > recently
> > >
> > > YOU posted it, Markie. It was on your GeoCities site, along with
> > > your picture...

> >
> > again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
> > and other data for it with no legitimate purpose
>
> Who needs a "legitimate purpose", Markie..?!?!

obviously you don't deny lacking a legit purpose so you admit stalking

>
> YOU were the one who tagged it to YOUR newsgroup posts!

nope

>
> If you didn't want anyone to see it, why did YOU post it...?!?!

i did not

>
> > > > > > it is a plain and clear threat
> > > > > >
> > > > > > To a delusional paranoid personality, perhaps...
> > > > > >
> > > > > no to any reasonable person, which of course lets you out
> > > >
> > > Let's me in, Markie, and YOU out.
> >
> > your out I am in the FBI is in, the local prosecuter is in, as the
> > shiref
>
> Well goodie goodie for the "shiref". (Sheriff)
>
> Lotsa Markie self-grandizing stuff there!
>
> More snippage.
>
> Same Markie tit-for-tat ignorance of reality anyway....
>
> > > I'm not the one who thinks that someone is stalking him, trying to
> > > kill him over flaming in a newsgroup, and who thinks sexual and
> > > societal devaiance is OK.
> >
> > never said you were trying to kill me only that you were threatening
> > to, you are stalking me and your are showing a preverts interest in my
> > sexuality
>
> Sure you said I was trying to kill you! Even put it in your
> signature line for months as if it were some "apology" for your other
> misconduct!

nope never said it said you were threatening to

>
> > > > any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
> > > > is paranois and delusional
> > > >
> > > No...LYING about Amateur Radio is an attack on licensed Amateurs.
> > >
> > no one has lied about amteur radio, they have expressed different views
> > than you agre with
>
> No...Brian has lied. Repeatedly.

name one and prove it, with real evidence

>
> Lennie has lied. Repeatedly.

nope

>
> YOU have lied...REPEATEDLY!

at times yes

never denied it

>
> > deference in point of view is not falsehood
>
cuting stevie paying speling cop again

> > expressing disent is the Hallmark of America
>
> No...Hallmark makes gift cards and curios.
>
> > > > it is also a sign of other mental problems
> > > >
> > > Here we go with Dr Markie's diagnosis again...
> > >
> > never claimed to be a dr
>
> But you DO render diagnosis here. As has Brain and Lennie.

yes as do you

I have the same previledge as YOU

But you Falsely claim you have the Degree and License to back it up

cuting more lies by stevie

> > > > > > after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered
> > > > > >
> > > > > Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?
> > > > >
> > > > not even accusing you of that, but of threatening too can't you keep

> > > > anything straight?
> > >
> > > Sure I can.
> >
> > then do so
>
> But I do!

nope

quote

> after all Morse code is not a person, only people can be murdered

Then why are you accusing me of trying to murder you?

unquote

clearly shows that you are keeping your lies straight

>
> > > You've allegeded I threatened to kill (murder) you.
> >
> > and you have threatened to kill me
>
> No, I've not.
sure have

simple truth

your words, your threat, your respoiblity
>
> That's paranoid delusional behavour.
>
> > > And yes, you've accused me of it.
> >
> > no I have accsued you of trying I have accused you of threatening to
> > murder me
>
> No, you've directly accused me of trying.

nope

more distortion

>
> Said so in your rants about some alleged phone call to the
> Illiopolis PD to "track you down".

nope

said someone seemed to a trying, or trying to make me think your were
trying

I also said is was most likely the poster known as MD or Mad Dog, but
that I did not exclude you as a possiblity

>
> > > How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> > > something wrong?
> >
> > By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
> > him
>
> But I don't have to "LIE" to his parents, Markie.

you sure do

you have to lie all the time on here

no evidence that are cappable of telling the truth or even of knowing
what it is

cuting your libel of someone else

> > The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
> > half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.
>
> But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".

I said "Lies, half truths and distortions", and none of them concern
any possible matter of local ordenance of Houghton county.

It is not a crime to lie to bullies and bigots like you

>
> > If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
> > troublesome for him
>
> Again...I wouldn't have to lie to them! All I have to do is show
> them copies of HIS on-line behaviour!

you don't know the truth

as is eveidenced by tyour statement that you are going to "show them"
over a phone line

>
> > If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
> > troublesome for me
>
> But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".

more threats stevie

geting old and tiresome

>
> You just don't get it, do you?

no you don't get it

You are a betrayer of the nation you swore to serve.

You are a loathsome foul minded creep
>
> People like you and Todd are your OWN worst enemies!

another lie stevie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1262. a question for steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 14:48:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123771697.903883.25940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115613

Steve there something I have missed in your threats to call Houghton county

Just what crime are you aleging I am comiting, that falls within their perview?

Being Bisexual is not a crime in Michigan.

Being pagan is not a crime in Michigan

Lying when not under oath is not a crime in Michigan

Believing that honesty is not always a good idea is not crime in Michigan

# 1263. Re: An Answer For Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 15:27:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123774059.571586.212430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115615

an old friend wrote:
> Steve there something I have missed in your threats to call Houghton
> county
>
> Just what crime are you aleging I am comiting, that falls within their
> perview?

I didn't say you've commited a crime, Markie.

> Being Bisexual is not a crime in Michigan.

Nope.

> Being pagan is not a crime in Michigan

Nope.

> Lying when not under oath is not a crime in Michigan

In some cases it is. Misrepresenting yourself to be a
Commissioned Officer of the United States other than for legitimate
cinematic or other valid theatrical purposes, under oath or otherwise,
is illegal.

> Believing that honesty is not always a good idea is not crime in
> Michigan

Nope. But it's stupid praactice. Nothing good ever comes of it.

It's your paranoid delusional conduct that is manifest in some
aspects of the items you cited.

You're not stable. You present a danger to society and yourself.

Rational people do not promote overt mistruthfulness.

Rational people do not make unfounded allegations of stalking or
blackmailing.

Rational people do not overtly suggest that teaching children to
lie and deceive is acceptable societal behaviour.

Clear enough?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1264. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 15:27:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Q7KKe.4332$WD.4039@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115614

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
>

Here's the short answer.

Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds of the regulations of his country.

The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations within the bounds of the regulations of their country.

Their is no reciprocal responsibility.

Next case, please.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1265. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 15:34:33 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <HR8PMRXC38575.7323263889@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115616

In article <EPSdnayNdaqc_mffRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Buzz corey <u...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> >
> >
> > Hydrogen fuel cells, bountiful, renewable resource.
>
> So what non-poluting source are you going to generate all this
hydrogen
> from?

You really are an ignorant fuckwit, aren't you, fake pilot's
licence boy?

Hydrogen can be produced using a variety of energy sources
including nuclear, fossil resources such as coal, natural gas,
and petroleum, and renewable resources such as biomass,
sunlight, wind, hydropower, and geothermal. Researchers are
developing a wide range of technologies for producing and
delivering hydrogen economically and in an environmentally
friendly way. The DOE is funding activities in the following
areas:

Solar Electrochemical Water Splitting (Photoelectrochemical)-
Photoelectrochemical hydrogen production systems integrate a
semiconducting material and a water electrolyzer into a single
monolithic device, to produce hydrogen directly from water,
using light as the only energy input. Simple in concept, the
challenge is to find a material that can drive this one-step
process. Two basic criteria must be met-the light harvesting
system must have suitable energetics to drive the electrolysis
reaction and the system must be stable and durable in an
aqueous/electrolyte environment. Materials and components now in
development build on the multijunction cell technology developed
by the photovoltaic industry over the last 25 years. A variety
of surface treatments are also being evaluated to address
energetics requirements and corrosion. New catalysts for the
water-splitting reactions are being designed. Research is
underway to identify efficient, low cost materials and systems
that are durable and stable in an aqueous environment.

Solar and Nuclear Thermochemical Water Splitting -
Concentrated solar energy can be used to generate temperatures
of several hundred to over 2,000 degrees Celsius at which

thermochemical reaction cycles can be used to produce hydrogen from water (nuclear energy can also supply the needed high-temperature heat). These multi-step thermochemical cycles offer potentially attractive paths for generating hydrogen. Current R&D efforts are focused on understanding the kinetics and mechanisms of high-temperature chemical reactions and optimizing solar thermal reactor design concepts. Increased understanding of the underlying chemical mechanisms and advancements in technology could eventually lead to practical direct, high-temperature water-splitting processes.

Solar Biological using Microorganisms-
Certain photosynthetic microbes—green algae and cyanobacteria—produce hydrogen from water in their metabolic activities using light energy. In these photobiological systems, arrays of light-capturing antenna chlorophyll (Chl) molecules absorb sunlight, electron transport components convert light into chemical energy, and enzymes catalyze the dissociation of water to generate hydrogen and oxygen. Photobiological technology holds great promise, but because oxygen is produced along with the hydrogen, the technology must overcome the limitation of oxygen sensitivity in enzyme systems. In addition, the hydrogen production rate from photosynthetic microorganisms is currently too low for commercial viability. Furthermore, under bright sunlight, Chl antenna absorb much more light than can be utilized, resulting in the loss of up to 80% of the absorbed light. Researchers are addressing these issues by screening for naturally occurring organisms that are more tolerant of oxygen, creating new genetic forms of organisms that can sustain hydrogen production in the presence of oxygen, and increasing the light absorption and conversion efficiency of the microorganism. Long-term R&D efforts will be required to fully understand and develop photobiological hydrogen production technologies.

Small Scale Natural Gas and Bio-Derived Liquid Reformers-
Most of the hydrogen used in the U.S. today is produced by steam reforming of natural gas in large centralized reformers. A network of small scale distributed production facilities may offer a viable approach for introducing hydrogen as an energy carrier. Distributed production requires less capital investment for the smaller volume of hydrogen needed initially and does not require a substantial hydrogen transport and delivery infrastructure. One option now under investigation is the use of small-scale (i.e., 100 - 1,500 kg/day or 20 to 300 cars per day) distributed reformers that can produce hydrogen from natural gas or biomass-derived liquid fuels at the point of use, i.e., refueling stations and stationary power facilities. Bio-derived liquids include ethanol, sugar alcohols, and "bio-oil". Bio-oils are produced from biomass resources—agricultural residues,

consumer wastes, or biomass specifically grown for energy uses via fermentation, gasification, or pyrolysis conversion processes. The reforming technology used to convert bio-derived liquids to hydrogen builds on the commercial processes used to reform natural gas today. Key research issues are to increase the efficiency and decrease the cost of hydrogen production. In particular, research is needed for better heat integration, durable and active reforming catalysts, efficient purification technology, efficient and low cost single-stage water-gas shift reactor technology, and other unit operation integration.

Electrolyzers-
Electrolyzers are another approach for producing hydrogen either at large central facilities or distributed at the point of use. An electrolyzer uses electricity to separate or split water into its components hydrogen and oxygen. Today, two types of electrolyzers are used for the commercial production of high-purity hydrogen alkaline and proton exchange membrane (PEM). But these approaches cannot currently compete, on an economic basis, with hydrogen produced by natural gas steam reforming. Reduced capital cost and increased system efficiency of electrolyzer systems will be required to be commercially viable. Advanced electrolyzers designed to operate at high pressure and at high temperature could make these systems more cost-effective by eliminating the need for a compressor and reducing the electricity required to drive the electrolysis process. Integrated co-production of electricity and hydrogen from wind turbines and other renewable resources also offers some promising opportunities for improving the efficiency and economics of renewable hydrogen production using electrolysis.

Biomass and Hydrocarbon Gas Separation Technologies-
Thermochemical conversion processes produce hydrogen from hydrocarbon and biomass-derived gases. There is a need to separate the hydrogen from other gases and purify the hydrogen as part of these thermochemical conversion processes. Advanced separation technologies are being researched to reduce cost and to increase efficiency. Significant cost reductions can be achieved by combining separation and chemical reaction processes thereby eliminating process steps and their associated capital and operating costs. Research efforts are being conducted to develop more durable separation materials with reduced system capital cost.

(Source:
http://ww
w.eere.en
ergy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/production/technology_areas.html)

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header is unverified.

# 1266. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 15:51:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.15.51.40.177721@gmail.com>
Source record: 115617

Michael:

No michael, you are just a character assassin without a victim...

You are just a guy telling us you got all the facts, don't collect any
data--just listen to you--yep, love that "scientific method" of yours...
you sitting in a group of girly-men and they are hanging on your every
word... hope nothing knocks you out of your element, you will look as lost
as here...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 09:02:52 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Dave:
>>>
>>> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
>>> issue.
>>
>>
>> Yeah, that sneaky Jim--always working a ploy.
>>
>>> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
>>> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
>>> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
>>> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
>>> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
>>> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
>>> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin
>>> behind
>>> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
>>> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
>>> reality...
>>
>>
>> A bunch of aging hams who are federally licensed trumps Part 15 users
>> each and every time. Some of those supposed rational, grinning men have
>> had to yank their BPL systems down because of interference.
>>
>>> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance

>>> which I am failing to see here?
>>
>>
>> "Since you purport to have a ee degree,
>
> Right. And I'm a pie-eyed greepus!
>
>
> you might explain how some
>> signals held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say
>> in a neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates?"
>>
>> There you go.
>
> Easy, Dave! Just plug a really fast modem into it!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1267. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 15:57:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.15.57.53.109287@gmail.com>
Source record: 115618

Michael:

I figured out the problem, you don't have a news reader which threads
posts, or you are NOT using it correctly.

Don't pose my EXACT same arguments back to me, YOU LOOK LIKE AN IDIOT WHEN
YOU DO!

Else, you haste for character assassination has drive you over the edge.
Get a clue man--you are on the verge of looking like some insane,
blathering nut case!

Give us a break!

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 08:25:30 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> Last time I took a chemistry class, when you burn hydrogen in the presence
>> of oxygen you get water, and that is all...
>>
>> John
>
>
> What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>
> Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
> Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
> energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.
>
> Interestingly enough, a gallon of gasoline contains more hydrogen than
> a gallon of liquid H2 - it's a great way to store hydrogen.
>
> But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
> would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
> would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
> water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)
>
> So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
> power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
>
> Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
> as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the

> first place.
>
>
>> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:52:28 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>commander:
>>>>
>>>>Why two-thirds of the oceans are composed of hydrogen, and the oceans
>>>>themselves cover two-thirds of the planets surface... course it takes more
>>>>energy to get the hydrogen out of the sea water than you get back when you
>>>>burn/use hydrogen--but, if we can develop a new generation energy
>>>>source so we have cheap and abundant energy to extract the hydrogen from
>>>
>>>What are the byproducts?
>
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

# 1268. Re: Stevie poven worng ...again Hey Markie...What's "worng"...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 16:10:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123776620.343145.30160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115619

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> > > > in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> > > > him.
> > >
> > > nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Yes I have you insulting and harrasing means of operating on the USENET
> have resulted in more not less of the mataerail you find objectionable
>
> To achieve result directly opposed to the stated goal, is proof of
> failure

What "stated goal"...?!?!

What "failure"...?!?!

> > > > You're an idiot and you keep acting like a fool.
> > >
> > > you are a liar a hypocrite, bully, stalker,Blackmailer and bigot
> > >
> > > At least I am telling the truth when I say something like that
> >
> > No you're not.
>
> sure am
>
> you are a Liar, Hypocrite Bully Stalker, Blackmailer and bigot, to
> which can be added fraud

Nope, Nope, Nope, Nope, Nope and added Nope.

> > You're STILL a liar under ANY circumstances,<cut>
> nope

Yes, you are.

By your own words, Markie.

> <cut> and you WILL be a liar
> > until you both acknowledge you past behaviour<cut>
>
> done

>
> <cut> then establish a pattern
> > that demonstrates you no longer chronically lie.
>
> I will not aid the bullies and bigots of the world

But you ARE a bully and you ARE a bigot!

That you keep making false accusations ie:
bully/stalker/blackmailer is MY proof that YOU are the bully...

You keep making FALSE accusations based upon YOUR inadequate
comprehension of the language you are trying to use.

Huge Snip

> > There's "ranting and raving".
>
> nope simple truth
>
> your words, your threat, your respoiblity

No threat.

And what's "respoiblity"...?!?!

More snip

> > why did you break one sentence into two and answer it twice?
>
> because I choose too
>
> I need NO further reason

Other than making you look silly, I guess it's just fine!

> > > > of mental health case workers or law enforcement if you're "OK",
> > > > Markie?
> > >
> > > asked and answered
> >
> > Avoided and evaded.
>
> nope answerd and not repeting the answer
>
> indeed the Answer is in the next line from 2 cycles ago but here it is
> again"It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying"

Being "anoying" is the least of your problems, Markie.

> > > > > It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying
> > > >
> > >
> > > back to cuting your remarks when you go on your sexaul rants

> >
> > No "sexaul" rants.
>
> yep sexual rant

Nope. A gross misrepresentation of the truth on your part.

Again.

> > Only your cowardice and evasion.
>
> I am not going to play you little games about sexual orientation
> Stevie. My sex life is my own busness not yours

The game is yours, Markie.

More Snippage.

> cuting setvie imporper medical statement

You wouldn't know PROPER medical statements if you were hit in the
head with a Mosby Medical Dictionary, Markie.

> > > > I'm not lying and I'm not an agian, whatever that is...
> > >
> > > you are lying again
> >
> > I'm not lying "again" because I didn't lie in the first place.
>
> you have been lying for years

Nope.

> > > > > I have the proof and carefully in a file
> > > >
> > > cuting Stevie sexaul libel of His Holiness the pope
> >
> > Now you're a Catholic...A Pagan Catholic...THAT'S got to hurt.
>
> another Stevie lie
>
> I do respect the Popes faith, and feel it deserves being treated with
> respect

I doubt you respect anything, Markie.

A person who admits to lying and deviancy in public has serious
respect issues, starting with THEMSELVES!

> > > again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
> > > and other data for it with no legitimate purpose
> >
> > Who needs a "legitimate purpose", Markie..?!?!
>

> obviously you don't deny lacking a legit purpose so you admit stalking
>
> >
> > YOU were the one who tagged it to YOUR newsgroup posts!
>
> nope

Then how do you propose I found it, Markie?

> > If you didn't want anyone to see it, why did YOU post it...?!?!
>
> i did not

Yet another lie.

Geeze, Morgan...Why do you do this to yourself?

> > > never said you were trying to kill me only that you were threatening
> > > to, you are stalking me and your are showing a preverts interest in my
> > > sexuality
> >
> > Sure you said I was trying to kill you! Even put it in your
> > signature line for months as if it were some "apology" for your other
> > misconduct!
>
> nope never said it said you were threatening to

Sure you did.

> > > > > any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
> > > > > is paranois and delusional
> > > >
> > > > No...LYING about Amateur Radio is an attack on licensed Amateurs.
> > >
> > > no one has lied about amteur radio, they have expressed different views
> > > than you agre with
> >
> > No...Brian has lied. Repeatedly.
>
> name one and prove it, with real evidence

Sure.

Brain has insisted that "unlicensed communications devices play a
major role in emergency communications planning".

Are they USED..?!?! Of course. We even use them in CAP.

Are they a part of PLANNING or play a "MAJOR" role?

Absolutely not.

You may refer to any of Brain's evasions on the subject.

We can even refer to his "ARES overblown" comments, his assertions that ARES cannot respond to major incidents due to over-extension of member's obligations, or his assertions about the validity of his Somalia HF operations.

> > Lennie has lied. Repeatedly.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > YOU have lied...REPEATEDLY!
>
> at times yes
>
> never denied it

Well there you have it.

Now...

Having been ACCUSED of being a liar...

Having been PROVEN a liar...

And having been ADMITTED a liar...

Just how do you expect ANYone to have even the least little bit of respect or acceptance of ANY of your posts, even where the content IS reasonably valid...?!?!

> > > deference in point of view is not falsehood
> >
> cuting stevie paying speling cop again

"Deference" is yielding to the opinion or judgement of another.

That wasn't about "spelling" cop, Markie.

It was about how stupid YOUR comment looked with that word (even though it was an unintentional application of a REAL word) in it.

I see you STILL didn't get the point.

> > > > Here we go with Dr Markie's diagnosis again...
> > >
> > > never claimed to be a dr
> >
> > But you DO render diagnosis here. As has Brain and Lennie.
>
> yes as do you

Nope. I've said you exhibit abnormal BEHAVIOUR that fits certain patterns.

YOU, on the otherhand, have specifically expressed a diagnosis, as has Brain.

> I have the same previledge as YOU

No, you don't...On several levels...

> But you Falsely claim you have the Degree and License to back it up

I never said I ahve the degree, albeit I DO have the license.

More bandwidth snippage of usual Markie tit-for-tat.

> > Said so in your rants about some alleged phone call to the
> > Illiopolis PD to "track you down".
>
> nope
>
> said someone seemed to a trying, or trying to make me think your were
> trying
>
> I also said is was most likely the poster known as MD or Mad Dog, but
> that I did not exclude you as a possiblity

You're refering to Mike Deigan.

He was never known by anyone as "Mad Dog".

> > > > How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> > > > something wrong?
> > >
> > > By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
> > > him
> > >
> > But I don't have to "LIE" to his parents, Markie.
>
> you sure do

Nope. Just show them copies of his public postings.

> you have to lie all the time on here

Nope. All I have to do is re-cite YOUR words and those of the
other Mistruthful One's.

> no evidence that are cappable of telling the truth or even of knowing
> what it is
>
> cuting your libel of someone else

No libel was posted by me, ergo you've lied again.

> > > The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
> > > half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.
> >
> > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
>

> I said "Lies, half truths and distortions", and none of them concern
> any possible matter of local ordenance of Houghton county.
>
> It is not a crime to lie to bullies and bigots like you

But it is a matter of public trust to make them aware that a
potentially unstable person in sin thier midst.

And you do meet that criteria.

I don't have to make an accusation. Just express my concerns.

You've already acknowledged that you have been in the mental
health system and your conduct here manifests certain personality
dysfunctions.

You bear watching.

> > > If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
> > > troublesome for him
> >
> > Again...I wouldn't have to lie to them! All I have to do is show
> > them copies of HIS on-line behaviour!
>
> you don't know the truth

Sure I do.

> as is eveidenced by tyour statement that you are going to "show them"
> over a phone line

I didn't say I WAS going to show anyone anything...

> > > If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
> > > troublesome for me
> >
> > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
>
> more threats stevie

Nope.

> geting old and tiresome

Yes, you are.

Anything happening here is a direct result of your own silliness,
Markie.

> > You just don't get it, do you?
>
> no you don't get it
>

> You are a betrayer of the nation you swore to serve.

Nope.

And no where in my USMC oath did I say that by swearing to uphold
the Constitution of the United States that I relinquished my OWN rights
to express my own opinions, nor to allow lying, deceitful worms to go
on lying and deceiving uncontested.

> You are a loathsome foul minded creep

Nope. You just think so becasue I won't leave you to lie
uncontested.

> > People like you and Todd are your OWN worst enemies!
>
> another lie stevie

Nope.

Proven.

Archived.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1269. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 16:12:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123776759.296309.225630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115620

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
> > links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
> > (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
> > (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
> > work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
> > Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.

He and the ARRL staff have produced detailed reports based on
observations and
measurements as well as simulations and models.

He's also gone around the country banging the drum about BPL. W3RV got
him to come to Philly and do his presentation here, to a packed house.
I had the pleasure of metting Ed and seeing the presentation.

BPL has one and only one selling point: If you have BPL service, you
can plug your computer into any power outlet in your house - or your
neighbor's house, if it's served - and get a highspeed connection. It's
a 'last mile' delivery system, nothing more.

> > I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the
> > tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how
> > advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was
> > incorrect, just that much was omitted.

He's also busy as all get-out. Plus too many folks assume that since he
works at Hq., that he must march lockstep with ARRL policy. That's not
the case at all,
but after a while it's clear that some folks are immune to certain
facts.

> > A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
> > worse.

Naw, the bickering has always been here. You can find posts five and
more years
old - from both sides - that look the same as today's. WA6VSE used to
among the worst - then he mellowed and became quite well mannered even
if you disagree with him totally. I think the change was due to his
upgrading to Extra and getting a vanity call....;-)

> > You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
> > demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
> > that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
> > it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned

> > channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
> > affect).
>
> BPL is proven to interfere with amateur radio services. Proof is
> available to anyone who seeks it. The idea that it doesn't is a
> political one, such as faith based science ("Toto, I think we lost
> Kansas!") global warming (If the world isn't warming up, fine! I'll
> accept that. Now tell me *how* the atmosphere is coping with the
> greenhouse gas load) and other bafflegab. Give me scientific reasons,
> not accuse me of being a liberal or something because I don't agree with
> your bad politically motivated science.

Shall I tell the lightbulb joke again?

> > It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
> > in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
> > that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
> > BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).

Better yet, there's the technique of tossing in stuff that's provably
wrong. Like
misquotes of what people wrote, errors of fact, etc.

> Well, yeah Jim! Lets see we have an "anony- mousie" who is pretty good
> at spouting off. Lot's of opinions, and ridicule to all who might
> disagree with (him?). Sounds like a major troll to me.

Please don't feed the trolls.

> I wonder why Len doesn't have any trouble with this anony-mousie? Seems
> all the others arouse his ire..... ;^)

Because he's against the code test, against the ARRL, against
conventional ham radio, and because he *never* disagrees with Len.

All anyone has to do is disagree with Len, and they get the treatment.
Worse,
if the actually prove him wrong about something (like whether it's
legal to
operate with an expired-but-in-the-grace-period license) he really goes
ballistic. So predictable it's not worth bothering about.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1270. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 16:13:50 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050811161350.712F117269@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115621

In article
<1123728756.014488.263750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> Anonymous wrote:
> > In article
<1123625153.698426.99...@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> > "b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he
> > realizes that
> > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you
> > think?
> >
> > Besides the fact you are a friggin' idiot, every individual
> > operator is responsible for the proper operation of their
> > station, be it in the United States or not.
>
> Now Steve

Wrong guess, "Stagger Lee".

> claims to know all amatuer law worldwide.

What's "amatuer" law?????

Most "amateurs" know that they are responsible for proper
operation of their station.

> Yet a moment ago he couldn't tell us the law in the country
where the
> poor amateur practice occurred. Hi!

It's all in the FCC rules, dumbass.

## 1271. Where is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 16:20:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123777257.925582.275050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115622

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Steve there something I have missed in your threats to call Houghton
> > county
> >
> > Just what crime are you aleging I am comiting, that falls within their
> > perview?
>
> I didn't say you've commited a crime, Markie.

you sure did

that is the only reason you could have for talking to the shirref or
prosetuer

>
> > Being Bisexual is not a crime in Michigan.
>
> Nope.
>
> > Being pagan is not a crime in Michigan
>
> Nope.
>
> > Lying when not under oath is not a crime in Michigan
>
> In some cases it is. Misrepresenting yourself to be a
> Commissioned Officer of the United States other than for legitimate
> cinematic or other valid theatrical purposes, under oath or otherwise,
> is illegal.

but not a matter for the State of Michigan

>
> > Believing that honesty is not always a good idea is not crime in
> > Michigan
>
> Nope. But it's stupid praactice. Nothing good ever comes of it.
>
> It's your paranoid delusional conduct that is manifest in some
> aspects of the items you cited.

not at all

>
> You're not stable. You present a danger to society and yourself.

you are the danger

you threaten people for no reason at all

>
> Rational people do not promote overt mistruthfulness.

then why do you?

>
> Rational people do not make unfounded allegations of stalking or
> blackmailing.

Not unfounded

>
> Rational people do not overtly suggest that teaching children to
> lie and deceive is acceptable societal behaviour.

The school system is not rational? gee you have nevr attended one of
their presentaion on keeping safe online

>
> Clear enough?

maeing you are lying of course you are lcrea enough
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1272. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 16:37:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123778278.948933.267870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115623

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?

Mostly salt.

> Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
> Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
> energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.

Which means it is compressed and your fuel tank becomes a highpressure
canister. Not only is the stuff flammable, like gasoline, but it's
under high
pressure.

Two ways to go boom.

> But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
> would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
> would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
> water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)
>
> So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
> power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
>
> Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
> as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
> first place.

Maybe. There are all sorts of possible technologies to extract,
transport and store hydrogen. For example, there's work being done to
store the gas in metal hydrides. It could be extracted by using
electricity made photovoltaically. Etc.

The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
competitive. How much will a hydrogen car cost? How much will they cost
to drive per mile? What are the maintenance costs?

The big problem is that there's probably no single magic long-term
solution. Rather there are a bunch of small solutions that add up.

Here's two favorites of mine:

Imagine a tall (couple of hundred feet) hollow tower, in the desert. A
vertical pipe, as it were, with holes around the bottom.

Around its base is a large circular greenhouse whose roof slants toward
the tower.

When the sun is out, the air under the greenhouse roof is heated, and rises. This creates an artificial wind towards the tower. The warmed air goes up the tower, which contains a wind-driven generator. Works whether or not there is a breeze. The generator and its impeller are near ground level. Etc.

also

There's a process called TDP (Thermal Depolymerization Process) that can supposedly break down various types of waste into fuel oil, gas and other usable products. For example, there's a pilot plant here in Philadelphia that takes sewage sludge (ugh) and breaks it down into a type of fuel oil, methane gas, water, and some other things that are usable as fertilizer. The result is also
sterilized.

Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a turkey-processing plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.

The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas, steel, fiberglass and carbon black.

The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.

Of course the above pilot plants produce fuel at the rate of a few hundred barrels a day.

It's doubtful that either of the above will solve all our energy problems. It's also unclear as to whether they are economically feasible on a large scale.

But if they are doable, they can sure help. In the case of TDP, a big part of
the waste-disposal problem can be dealt with.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 1273. Re: Stevie poven worng ...again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 16:51:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123779115.458102.49710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115624

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> > > > > > in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> > > > > > him.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Yes I have you insulting and harrasing means of operating on the USENET
> > > have resulted in more not less of the mataerail you find objectionable
> > >
> > > To achieve result directly opposed to the stated goal, is proof of
> > > failure
> >
> > What "stated goal"...?!?!

your stated goal of forcing to seek "help" to stop me form posting as I
choose

>
> What "failure"...?!?!

complete failure

>
> > > > > > You're an idiot and you keep acting like a fool.
> > > > >
> > > > > you are a liar a hypocrite, bully, stalker,Blackmailer and bigot
> > > > >
> > > > > At least I am telling the truth when I say something like that
> > > >
> > > > No you're not.
> > >
> > > sure am
> > >
> > > you are a Liar, Hypocrite Bully Stalker, Blackmailer and bigot, to
> > > which can be added fraud
> >
> > Nope, Nope, Nope, Nope, Nope and added Nope.

just more lies stevie

>
> > > You're STILL a liar under ANY circumstances,<cut>
> > nope
>
> Yes, you are.

not at all

>
> By your own words, Markie.

a bigger lie stevie

>
> > <cut> and you WILL be a liar
> > > until you both acknowledge you past behaviour<cut>
> >
> > done
> >
> > <cut> then establish a pattern
> > > that demonstrates you no longer chronically lie.
> >
> > I will not aid the bullies and bigots of the world
>
> But you ARE a bully and you ARE a bigot!

not bulling anybody and no bigot here

>
> That you keep making false accusations ie:
> bully/stalker/blackmailer is MY proof that YOU are the bully...

it is simple truth

you are a Liar, Hypocrite Bully Stalker, Blackmailer and bigot, to
which can be added fraud

>
> You keep making FALSE accusations based upon YOUR inadequate
> comprehension of the language you are trying to use.

No false accusations

>
> Huge Snip
>
> > > There's "ranting and raving".
> >
> > nope simple truth
> >
> > your words, your threat, your respoiblity
cuting speling cop

> More snip
>

> > > why did you break one sentence into two and answer it twice?
> >
> > because I choose too
> >
> > I need NO further reason
>
> Other than making you look silly, I guess it's just fine!

and you you with your obsessive control freak mentality felt you had
the right to contol my posting

>
> > > > > of mental health case workers or law enforcement if you're "OK",
> > > > > Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > > asked and answered
> > > >
> > > > Avoided and evaded.
> > >
> > nope answerd and not repeting the answer
> >
> > indeed the Answer is in the next line from 2 cycles ago but here it is
> > again"It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying"
>
> Being "anoying" is the least of your problems, Markie.

being anoyed by any action you can take by calling the Cops or the
Cooper Country mental health ( the local agency having asked for tax
support so I know) is all you can mange

if you think otherwise please call, want me to look up the Numbers for
you? I'd rather you dropped this harrasment but since you will not I'd
rather you just got it over with, but i am tired of these threats from
you.

>
> > > > > > It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > back to cuting your remarks when you go on your sexaul rants
> > > >
> > > > No "sexaul" rants.
> > >
> > > yep sexual rant
>
> Nope. A gross misrepresentation of the truth on your part.

not at all you keep throwing sex into everything
>
> Again.
>
> > > Only your cowardice and evasion.

> >
> > I am not going to play you little games about sexual orientation
> > Stevie. My sex life is my own busness not yours
>
> The game is yours, Markie.

nope you are the gamster. or is it gangster? nah your just gangster
wanna be

>
> More Snippage.
>
> > cuting setvie imporper medical statement
>
> You wouldn't know PROPER medical statements if you were hit in the
> head with a Mosby Medical Dictionary, Markie.

More threats? or just more evasion? or just another lie

>
> > > > > I'm not lying and I'm not an agian, whatever that is...
> > > > >
> > > > > you are lying again
> > > >
> > > I'm not lying "again" because I didn't lie in the first place.
> >
> > you have been lying for years
>
> Nope.

sure have been

>
> > > > > > I have the proof and carefully in a file
> > > > > >
> > > > > cuting Stevie sexaul libel of His Holiness the pope
> > > >
> > > Now you're a Catholic...A Pagan Catholic...THAT'S got to hurt.
> >
> > another Stevie lie
> >
> > I do respect the Popes faith, and feel it deserves being treated with
> > respect
>
> I doubt you respect anything, Markie.

I know you don't respect the faith of others.

>
cuting sexual rant
>
> > > > again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
> > > > and other data for it with no legitimate purpose

> > >
> > > Who needs a "legitimate purpose", Markie..?!?!
> >
> > obviously you don't deny lacking a legit purpose so you admit stalking
> >
> > >
> > > YOU were the one who tagged it to YOUR newsgroup posts!
> >
> > nope
>
> Then how do you propose I found it, Markie?

with a net search like when you went looking in other newsgruops for
dirt finding out I in other newsgruops, that I was Bisexual

>
> > > If you didn't want anyone to see it, why did YOU post it...?!?!
> >
> > i did not
>
> Yet another lie.

nope

>
> Geeze, Morgan...Why do you do this to yourself?
>
> > > > never said you were trying to kill me only that you were threatening
> > > > to, you are stalking me and your are showing a preverts interest in my
> > > > sexuality
> > >
> > > Sure you said I was trying to kill you! Even put it in your
> > > signature line for months as if it were some "apology" for your other
> > > misconduct!
> >
> > nope never said it said you were threatening to
>
> Sure you did.
>
> > > > > > any questioning of the PR of the ARS is a personal attack on you that
> > > > > > is paranois and delusional
> > > > > >
> > > > > No...LYING about Amateur Radio is an attack on licensed Amateurs.
> > > > >
> > > > no one has lied about amteur radio, they have expressed different views
> > > > than you agre with
> > >
> > > No...Brian has lied. Repeatedly.
> >
> > name one and prove it, with real evidence
>

> Sure.
>
> Brain has insisted that "unlicensed communications devices play a
> major role in emergency communications planning".

absolute truth

Phones are unlicensed and seeking to maintian them is plays major role
in emergency communications planning.

Maintianing Lan links another unlicensed service play a major role in
emergency communications planning
>
> Are they USED..?!?! Of course. We even use them in CAP.

>
> Are they a part of PLANNING or play a "MAJOR" role?
>
> Absolutely not.

Bullshit

Fema uses Phone system and the internet in making their plans

therefore they are part of the planning of emergency comms.
>
> You may refer to any of Brain's evasions on the subject.

I need not

you are simply wrong,

>
> We can even refer to his "ARES overblown" comments,

True statement

<cut>his assertions
> that ARES cannot respond to major incidents due to over-extension of

looks ok to me

> member's obligations, or his assertions about the validity of his
> Somalia HF operations.

nothing proven there

Still waiting for something
>
> > > Lennie has lied. Repeatedly.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

I'd ask where but still waiting for you on Brain
>
> > > YOU have lied...REPEATEDLY!
> >
> > at times yes
> >
> > never denied it
>
> Well there you have it.
>
> Now...
>
> Having been ACCUSED of being a liar...
>
> Having been PROVEN a liar...
>
> And having been ADMITTED a liar...
>
> Just how do you expect ANYone to have even the least little bit of
> respect or acceptance of ANY of your posts, even where the content IS
> reasonably valid...?!?!

becuase I lie only about where you can find me

that simple

A different tack than an Anomy like Smith and yet he is taken seriously
too

>
> > > > deference in point of view is not falsehood
> > >
> > cuting stevie paying speling cop again
recuting spelling cop

>
> > > > > > Here we go with Dr Markie's diagnosis again...
> > > > >
> > > > > never claimed to be a dr
> > > >
> > > But you DO render diagnosis here. As has Brain and Lennie.
> >
> > yes as do you
>
> Nope. I've said you exhibit abnormal BEHAVIOUR that fits certain
> patterns.

and I have said you seem to OCD, meglomanical etc

same as you

>
> YOU, on the otherhand, have specifically expressed a diagnosis, as
> has Brain.

you have as well pathologcal liar

what you can do I can do

>
> > I have the same previledge as YOU
>
> No, you don't...On several levels...

sure do

>
> > But you Falsely claim you have the Degree and License to back it up
>
> I never said I ahve the degree, albeit I DO have the license.

false you don't have an MD license

>
> More bandwidth snippage of usual Markie tit-for-tat.
>
> > > Said so in your rants about some alleged phone call to the
> > > Illiopolis PD to "track you down".
> >
> > nope
> >
> > said someone seemed to a trying, or trying to make me think your were
> > trying
> >
> > I also said is was most likely the poster known as MD or Mad Dog, but
> > that I did not exclude you as a possiblity
>
> You're refering to Mike Deigan.

I'll not argue with you, I don't recall

>
> He was never known by anyone as "Mad Dog".

He posted with the name

and I knew him as Mad Dog

therfore your statement anyone is another lie
>
> > > > > How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> > > > > something wrong?
> > > > >
> > > > > By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
> > > > > him
> > >
> > > But I don't have to "LIE" to his parents, Markie.
> >
> > you sure do
>

> Nope. Just show them copies of his public postings.

on the phone right

>
> > you have to lie all the time on here
>
> Nope. All I have to do is re-cite YOUR words and those of the
> other Mistruthful One's.

no you have to sidtort and lie about their statements
>
> > no evidence that are cappable of telling the truth or even of knowing
> > what it is
> >
> > cuting your libel of someone else
>
> No libel was posted by me, ergo you've lied again.

you sure did

you accused Todd of a federal crime that IS libel

>
> > > > The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
> > > > half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.
> > >
> > > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
> >
> > I said "Lies, half truths and distortions", and none of them concern
> > any possible matter of local ordenance of Houghton county.
> >
> > It is not a crime to lie to bullies and bigots like you
>
> But it is a matter of public trust to make them aware that a
> potentially unstable person in sin thier midst.

more proof of your bigotry

more ranting

> > > > If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
> > > > troublesome for him
> > >
> > > Again...I wouldn't have to lie to them! All I have to do is show
> > > them copies of HIS on-line behaviour!
> >
> > you don't know the truth
>
> Sure I do.

then start typing it

>
> > as is eveidenced by tyour statement that you are going to "show them"
> > over a phone line
>
> I didn't say I WAS going to show anyone anything...

yes you did, "Nope. Just show them copies of his public postings."

another lie
>
> > > > If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
> > > > troublesome for me
> > >
> > > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
> >
> > more threats stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> > geting old and tiresome
>
> Yes, you are.

then ignore me if you are tried of me

>
> Anything happening here is a direct result of your own silliness,
> Markie.

more blaiming others

nothing is stevies doing

>
> > > You just don't get it, do you?
> >
> > no you don't get it
> >
> > You are a betrayer of the nation you swore to serve.
>
> Nope.

sure are

>
> And no where in my USMC oath did I say that by swearing to uphold
> the Constitution of the United States that I relinquished my OWN rights
> to express my own opinions, nor to allow lying, deceitful worms to go
> on lying and deceiving uncontested.

You swore to uphold MY right to life and, LIBERTY, and threaten to try
and take both

>
> > You are a loathsome foul minded creep
>
> Nope. You just think so becasue I won't leave you to lie
> uncontested.

Lie

I think so becuase you are foul minded, and a control freak, a
frustrated one

>
> > > People like you and Todd are your OWN worst enemies!
> >
> > another lie stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> Proven.

nope you are the enemy
>
> Archived.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1274. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 16:53:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123779211.662262.243480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115625

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > It seems to me that your one of those amateur operators who doesn't
> > like K1MAN; reason, you don't have a ####ING LIFE.
>
> Ironic...Coming from a lifeless twit living in mommy and daddy's
> basement, bombarding newsgroups with "inventions" and playing junior
> disc jockey on the Amateur Bands.
>
> And by the way...I didn't see where "Johnny Johnson" signed an
> Amateur callsign to his name or tagline.
>
> > Your one of those pathetic licensed CB operators...(SNIP)
>
> CB doesn't require a license anymore, Toiddie.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...who can get it through their ####ing head that IT'S A HOBBY.
>
> No it's not.
>
> It's a federally regulated radio service that many participate in
> AS a hobby.
>
> There are NO radio services in the United States chartered as a
> "hobby radio service".
>
> > But no, instead you harass a person because
> > simply put, you don't like what that person says or does, your ####ing
> > life circle around ruining this person's life.
>

> Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.

amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
trouble for doing
>
> > But hey after he's
> > gone you can go out back and blow your ####ing brains out. Because,
> > once he is gone you will no longer have anything to live for because
> > you're the one who is a LOSER.
>
> So sayeth the basement dweller.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1275. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 17:29:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123781368.433976.81550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115627

N9OGL wrote:
> > I wouldn't use the word "fag"...That is to gay people like the "N"
> >word is to African-Americans. However you are gay AND living at home
> >with mommy and daddy at the age of 37.
>
> Stebie, my girlfriend would disagree with you there.

She shares you with a guy?

You and Markie DO have a lot in common!Q

> >And I am sure they did. Rumour has it that they don't get too
> >many applications written in crayon with "GIMME MY ####ING LICENSE YOU
> >MOTHER####ING ###HOLES".
>
> Don't believe everything you hear, because they weren't written in
> crayon, nor was that statement on the application.

But I am certain they've had plenty of opportunity to see your
other comments in other forums.

> > That they denied your license was in the best public interest on
> >soooooo many levels.
>
> Well stebie, I know for a fact that you butt buddies (FCC) didn't even
> look at my application. try again

First of all, other than speaking with Phil Kane in this forum, I
don't have ANY kind of "buddies" at the FCC.

Secondly, how do you know they didn't "look" at your application?

In order to have dismissed it as being out of the filing window,
they had to know when it was filed.

Maybe Carnac the Magnificent read it through the envelope?

> > And again, I bet they DID consider your license AND waiver, and
> >then came to the conclusion that all of us here have...That you're a
> >ranting, irresponsible, immature little punk who was NOT to be trusted
> >with the public interest.
>
> Which raises the question how you got a ham license?

It certainly wasn't by calling the FCC names in public forums, nor
was it submitting an application in crayon with "GIMME MY ####ING
LICENSE YOU MOTHER####ING ###HOLES" written on it.

> a picece of
> pondscum low life who sits on a newsgroup spreading his lies, harassing
> people and threatening them

I haven't lied, nor have I harrassed or threatened anyone.

You, on the otherhand, have threatened numerous lawsuits, acutally
threatened violence (Markie would be embarrassed...) used gross
profanity, defamed a deceased child, and otherwise have acted
irrationally and irresponsibly.

Not to mention your "inventions" were some of the silliest and
most idiotic concepts to hit the wire!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1276. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 17:31:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123781501.842989.159770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115628

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > First off I'm not a "fag" nor do I live in my parent's basment.
> >
> > I wouldn't use the word "fag"...That is to gay people like the "N"
> > word is to African-Americans. However you are gay AND living at home
> > with mommy and daddy at the age of 37.
>
> and you are comiting Libel

And you don't know what you're talking about.

Todd's 37.

Todd lives with mommy and daddy.

Todd's gay.

It's not libel if it's true.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1277. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 17:55:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.17.55.00.718662@gmail.com>
Source record: 115630

Jim:

I don't see the problem as "my being a troll", I see at as anyone you can't shout down. chase away and disagrees with you, "is your definition of a troll", well so be it, others may decide for themselves... you all look like the child who "called wolf" all the time--you have now confused everyone and they are unable to tell a real troll from someone who disagrees with you--childish tactics at best...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 03:31:41 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.11.02.58.17.926533@gmail.com...
>> Dave:
>>
>> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
>> issue.
>>
>> Some "crystal-ball papers?" I have never seen "futurists" as being any
>> more accurate then Sylvia Browne, and I am just about as likely to consult
>> with their forecasts (people with the futurist papers) as I am Sylvia
>> Browne, history is created by participating, not reading what others will
>> think it is, or forecast it as being.
>>
>> On CW being dropped? Well, the FCC is asking for logical, reasonable,
>> meaningful, coherent, comments on that subject right now--anyone can read
>> the comments and decide for themselves. Hopefully, the final decision is
>> made without someone hiding in the woodpile with vested interests... and
>> purely on the basis of what is good for american citizens, the hobby of
>> amateur radio and society as a whole... I think someone has woken up to
>> that fact that there is a stagnant air about it now, and it is becoming
>> of such insignificant numbers that the level of regulation it now has is
>> becoming difficult to justify.
>>
>> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
>> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
>> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
>> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
>> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
>> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
>> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind
>> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net

>> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with
>> reality...
>>
>> On amateurs? I think a noticeably number of existing amateurs will be SK
>> before this year is out, more next year, even more the following year, I
>> think that is a graph which points towards the bottom, and will drag the
>> number of general and extra licenses in an almost 1:1 ratio.
>>
>> On what he thinks of me? He can hold any opinion he likes, indeed, if I
>> understand my forefathers meanings and intent, I am supposed to uphold
>> that right of his (and all other americans, even including myself) even if
>> it costs my life and those of my family, neighbors and friends--so why
>> would I then, understanding these principles put forth by those men, move
>> even my little finger to halt him in his pursuits of happiness?
>>
>> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
>> which I am failing to see here?
>>
>> John
>>
>
> John,
>
> If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
> links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
> (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
> (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
> work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
> Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.
>
> I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the
> tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how
> advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was
> incorrect, just that much was omitted.
>
> A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
> worse.
>
> You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
> demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
> that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
> it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned
> channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
> affect).
>
> It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
> in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
> that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
> BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).
>

>
> With all due regards,
> Jim AA2QA

# 1278. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 17:59:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <iYudnRtKvdmmHmbfRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115626

N9OGL wrote:
>>So they considered your waivers and turned you down, just like they
>>should have done.
>
>
> no they didn't even look at it.
>

How do you know?

# 1279. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 17:59:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.17.59.56.673947@gmail.com>
Source record: 115629

N2EY:

From my experience here and on the bands, it is obvious the MAJOR MAJORITY
of hams are vastly behind the times, I think your small group here, and
your "net buddies" are all in agreement, I am also quite sure that hams
fearful of what they don't understand are going around crying "wolf."

You won't find any in the computer industry with these strange and bizarre
views you guys have here...

Course, everyone knows, a "hobby amateur license" makes hams some kinda
"damn authority", unfortunately, one which makes up the truth... who was
that newscaster who got fired for doing that?

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 09:12:39 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
>> > links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
>> > (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
>> > (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
>> > work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
>> > Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.
>
> He and the ARRL staff have produced detailed reports based on
> observations and
> measurements as well as simulations and models.
>
> He's also gone around the country banging the drum about BPL. W3RV got
> him to come to Philly and do his presentation here, to a packed house.
> I had the pleasure of metting Ed and seeing the presentation.
>
> BPL has one and only one selling point: If you have BPL service, you
> can plug your computer into any power outlet in your house - or your
> neighbor's house, if it's served - and get a highspeed connection. It's
> a 'last mile' delivery system, nothing more.
>
>> > I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the
>> > tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how
>> > advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was
>> > incorrect, just that much was omitted.
>
> He's also busy as all get-out. Plus too many folks assume that since he

> works at Hq., that he must march lockstep with ARRL policy. That's not
> the case at all,
> but after a while it's clear that some folks are immune to certain
> facts.
>
>> > A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
>> > worse.
>
> Naw, the bickering has always been here. You can find posts five and
> more years
> old - from both sides - that look the same as today's. WA6VSE used to
> among the worst - then he mellowed and became quite well mannered even
> if you disagree with him totally. I think the change was due to his
> upgrading to Extra and getting a vanity call....;-)
>
>> > You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
>> > demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
>> > that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
>> > it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned
>> > channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
>> > affect).
>>
>> BPL is proven to interfere with amateur radio services. Proof is
>> available to anyone who seeks it. The idea that it doesn't is a
>> political one, such as faith based science ("Toto, I think we lost
>> Kansas!") global warming (If the world isn't warming up, fine! I'll
>> accept that. Now tell me *how* the atmosphere is coping with the
>> greenhouse gas load) and other bafflegab. Give me scientific reasons,
>> not accuse me of being a liberal or something because I don't agree with
>> your bad politically motivated science.
>
> Shall I tell the lightbulb joke again?
>
>> > It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
>> > in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
>> > that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
>> > BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).
>
> Better yet, there's the technique of tossing in stuff that's provably
> wrong. Like
> misquotes of what people wrote, errors of fact, etc.
>
>> Well, yeah Jim! Lets see we have an "anony- mousie" who is pretty good
>> at spouting off. Lot's of opinions, and ridicule to all who might
>> disagree with (him?). Sounds like a major troll to me.
>
> Please don't feed the trolls.
>
>> I wonder why Len doesn't have any trouble with this anony-mousie? Seems
>> all the others arouse his ire..... ;^)

>
> Because he's against the code test, against the ARRL, against
> conventional ham radio, and because he *never* disagrees with Len.
>
> All anyone has to do is disagree with Len, and they get the treatment.
> Worse,
> if the actually prove him wrong about something (like whether it's
> legal to
> operate with an expired-but-in-the-grace-period license) he really goes
> ballistic. So predictable it's not worth bothering about.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1280. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:04:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.18.04.27.711970@gmail.com>
Source record: 115631

Jim:

W1RFI? The arrl hitman against BPL? That guy? Krist, look at his call!
The guy has RFI on the brain, probably thinks alien spacecraft is causing
a lot of interference on the band too! Oh yeah, sounds like a real
unbiased guy to be giving advice alright...

Get real!

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 03:31:41 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.11.02.58.17.926533@gmail.com...
>> Dave:
>>
>> "Jims' points" are mostly a ploy to inject points which are not even at
>> issue.
>>
>> Some "crystal-ball papers?" I have never seen "futurists" as being any
>> more accurate then Sylvia Browne, and I am just about as likely to consult
>> with their forecasts (people with the futurist papers) as I am Sylvia
>> Browne, history is created by participating, not reading what others will
>> think it is, or forecast it as being.
>>
>> On CW being dropped? Well, the FCC is asking for logical, reasonable,
>> meaningful, coherent, comments on that subject right now--anyone can read
>> the comments and decide for themselves. Hopefully, the final decision is
>> made without someone hiding in the woodpile with vested interests... and
>> purely on the basis of what is good for american citizens, the hobby of
>> amateur radio and society as a whole... I think someone has woken up to
>> that fact that there is a stagnant air about it now, and it is becoming
>> of such insignificant numbers that the level of regulation it now has is
>> becoming difficult to justify.
>>
>> On BPL? Well there are a lot of test blocks where that is being
>> technically tested, evaluated and data is being recorded. I don't think
>> one needs futurist papers, Sylvia Browne, or some hams opinion--technical
>> data will make it a reality or not... that final data is not available
>> yet... I don't think a bunch of aging hams are going to block a
>> multi-billion dollar a year industry, if it is technically feasibly, I
>> know some of them think so, but rational men viewing them only grin behind
>> their backs--but, as long as they only pay attention to their "net
>> buddy's" they will not have to suffer the embarrassment of coping with

>> reality...
>>
>> On amateurs? I think a noticeably number of existing amateurs will be SK
>> before this year is out, more next year, even more the following year, I
>> think that is a graph which points towards the bottom, and will drag the
>> number of general and extra licenses in an almost 1:1 ratio.
>>
>> On what he thinks of me? He can hold any opinion he likes, indeed, if I
>> understand my forefathers meanings and intent, I am supposed to uphold
>> that right of his (and all other americans, even including myself) even if
>> it costs my life and those of my family, neighbors and friends--so why
>> would I then, understanding these principles put forth by those men, move
>> even my little finger to halt him in his pursuits of happiness?
>>
>> Or, perhaps there is something of deep meaning and paramount importance
>> which I am failing to see here?
>>
>> John
>>
>
> John,
>
> If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
> links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
> (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
> (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
> work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
> Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.
>
> I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the
> tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how
> advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was
> incorrect, just that much was omitted.
>
> A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
> worse.
>
> You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
> demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
> that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
> it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned
> channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
> affect).
>
> It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
> in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
> that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
> BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).
>
>

> With all due regards,
> Jim AA2QA

# 1281. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:14:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.18.14.56.616692@gmail.com>
Source record: 115632

Jim:

First of all, compaction is MUCH more important than bandwidth, with out data compaction you would shortly be out of bandwidth...

First of all, binary trees is one super method of compacting data... if you understand the simple concept of binary trees, and especially in relationship to data compaction, much of this "I can't believe it!" will quickly disappear. Indeed, your head should become filled with "what if?" and you will start trying to figure out ways to do it yourself, wondering why you didn't do it before!

This will probably lead you to homebrewing a couple of hardware devices and experimenting with a friend... and, a good starting place just happens to be those "junk" discarded "USRobotics Courier Modems" everyone seems to think is a joke... there is better equip but my access to state of the art equip is rather limited for experimenting, but I do hunt electronics surplus dealers with a keen eye... ya never know what might just be in the next box in the corner...

John

On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 20:49:43 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

> John,
>
> Since you purport to have a ee degree, you might explain how some signals
> held below 300 KHz could possibly serve a number of users (say in a
> neighborhood) at 3 megabaud (or higher) rates? My $29.95 per month ADSL
> runs from 4,000 kbits to 7,000 kbits per second. I downloaded I.E. 6 at 695
> kilobytes per second, so it is not a fluke. Will BPL do as well? BPL isn't
> going to be used in the countryside; they want to penetrate cities where the
> cost per user will be cheap. The problem is that cable (Roadrunner) and DSL
> are running $29.95 per month - oh, DSL is now available (high speed) at
> $24.95 per month. Of course, satellite can also supply high-speed Internet
> connections. Perhaps BPL can do it for $10.00 per month? LOL
>
> For what it is worth, Len is correct; the BPL runs from just above the AM
> broadcast band (in the U.S.) to around 80 MHz. Even at, say, 1.8 MHz, there
> can be considerable radiation.
>
> The easiest solution is simply to allow it, but not allow signals any
> greater than those currently permitted for unintentional radiators. If
> done, only a small number of amateurs would likely be affected.
>
> If I recall properly (and anyone is free to correct these numbers), BPL

> proponents had argued that BPL, as originally proposed, would only raise the
> background noise some 10 dB. Ten decibels is, of course, 1 Bell, which is a
> 10 times increase in power (in this case, noise power). That is quite
> unacceptable. Period.
>
> I know that a large number of folks would like to reduce testing (not just
> Morse) to as close to zero as possible.
>
> My former employer discontinued apprenticeships a while back. Originally,
> they were 4 year apprenticeships; later, they became 3 year
> apprenticeships - but the 3 year apprenticeship conferred an associates
> degree upon graduation. So, the 4 year apprenticeship must have been a
> watered-down apprenticeship, right?
>
> I see where one state in this country is now changing its' education system
> to take a strong stand against evolution and make some statements
> encouraging "intelligent design".
>
> Speaking of that associate's degree apprenticeship, they stated that it
> includes a lot of electronic theory. I saw the books. I was surprised that
> they actually mentioned Norton and Thevenin equivalents, but they were
> sorely lacking in much detail. No ac theory (forget complex impedance).
> Simply series and parallel dc circuits. No bridges. No Delta Wye
> conversions. No multiple dc sources either. Perhaps a maximum of 4
> resistors in an extremely simple "circuit".
>
> Whilst you and others seem intent on reducing testing (I have no problem
> with Morse - either for or against), I cannot agree with simplifying the
> theory/operating/law sections of the testing. I see other areas of the
> country which are similarly intent on watering down much other than amateur
> radio.
>
> Why, oh why, are we the number 16 nation in the world in broadband
> penetration (oh, BPL, right?)? We are far from number one with cell phones.
> We are down around number 20 in life expectancy.
>
> Yep, better argue against Darwin. All those liberal left-leaning
> universities must be the problem. Perhaps we can chase away learned folks
> the way Germany did 70 years ago or so. Werner Von Bran sure was an asset
> to our country when he left Germany. Maybe we can return the favor and
> chase some folks out of this country.
>
> I'm beginning to see why some of the hams argue so vehemently. I think it
> has something more than just Morse behind it.
>
> Take a look at what is happening. Read some newspapers (best look outside
> the U.S. for less-biased reporting). Check some numbers (such as poverty,
> Internet penetration, life expectancy). No, we are not in bad shape, but
> nowhere near the top where most folks simply *think* we are. Just because
> the administration says were are doing well doesn't make it so.

>
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.10.05.19.12.628979@gmail.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> I must admit, I am not aware of any of that, attempts to use HF on
>> power lines, or even VHF... but I have not kept up at all... that doesn't
>> sound wacky, it sounds impossible to me...
>>
>> However, I would't even attempt to get a 1.750Mhz signal down power
>> wiring, the capacitance between windings, shielding in all those xfrms
>> along the way, underground power lines, ground shielding in between
>> windings, wiring wound around in conduit boxes, etc, etc...
>>
>> My first degree was in EE. From what I remember, take a damn idiot to
>> expect those freqs to go any distance at all--the capacitive loading is
>> going to start looking like a direct short to ground I would expect!
>> Especially at 80Mhz! And that, even if the modem puts out a 1KW output!
>> There are some remote 60Hz users out there. The inductance of that wiring
>> is going to look staggering to multi-Mhz signals, I would think--no one is
>> going to be able to control the impedance of that feedline. Really, I
>> would have to see it to believe it, will keep my eyes open, now you have
>> me interested.
>>
>> Now, 300Hz to vlf is great, and there would be tolerable line
>> attenuation due to impedance from line inductance, the resistance of the
>> wire would then become one of largest losses, if not the largest. In
>> special cases, where line length ended up being a resonate or
>> near-resonate length, might even have a signal in need of attenuation at
>> the ISP. I have no idea what-so-ever of how "long wire antennas" of that
>> magnitude behave like... and as a transmission line! Krist, I am worried
>> about how much signal I am getting though 250+ feet of aging coax!
>>
>> John
>>
>>

# 1282. Re: Stevie poven worng ...again Hey Markie...What's "Poven"...?!?! What's "Worng"...!?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:20:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123784403.634204.104460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115633

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> > > > > > > > in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> > > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes I have you insulting and harrasing means of operating on the USENET
> > > > > have resulted in more not less of the mataerail you find objectionable
> > > > >
> > > > > To achieve result directly opposed to the stated goal, is proof of
> > > > > failure
> > > >
> > > > What "stated goal"...?!?!
> > >
> > > your stated goal of forcing to seek "help" to stop me form posting as I
> > > choose

It's not about getting you to stop posting.

It's about getting you help for your deviancy and character
issues.

> > What "failure"...?!?!
> >
> > complete failure

What failure?

SNIP

> > That you keep making false accusations ie:
> > bully/stalker/blackmailer is MY proof that YOU are the bully...
> >
> > it is simple truth

Then you're the one commiting the alleged libel, Markie.

> you are a Liar, Hypocrite Bully Stalker, Blackmailer and bigot, to
> which can be added fraud

Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope.

> > You keep making FALSE accusations based upon YOUR inadequate
> > comprehension of the language you are trying to use.
>
> No false accusations

They all are, Markie.

More Snippage

> > > indeed the Answer is in the next line from 2 cycles ago but here it is
> > > again"It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying"
> >
> > Being "anoying" is the least of your problems, Markie.
>
> being anoyed by any action you can take by calling the Cops or the
> Cooper Country mental health ( the local agency having asked for tax
> support so I know) is all you can mange

No, that's not all I can "mange".

And you no doubt "know" since I am willing to bet that since they
took your case on, they've had to significantly increase thier budget.

> if you think otherwise please call, want me to look up the Numbers for
> you? I'd rather you dropped this harrasment but since you will not I'd
> rather you just got it over with, but i am tired of these threats from
> you.

What threats?

> > Nope. A gross misrepresentation of the truth on your part.
>
> not at all you keep throwing sex into everything

Nope. You keep wanting to SEE sex in evrything.

More delusional behaviour.

> > > I am not going to play you little games about sexual orientation
> > > Stevie. My sex life is my own busness not yours
> >
> > The game is yours, Markie.
>
> nope you are the gamster. or is it gangster? nah your just gangster
> wanna be

"Gamester", Mark, and neither is true.

> > You wouldn't know PROPER medical statements if you were hit in the
> > head with a Mosby Medical Dictionary, Markie.
>

> More threats? or just more evasion? or just another lie

Congratulations. You got a capital letter in there along with a
proper question mark. The second "sentence" had proper punctuation,
but no capital. And on the third you just lapsed back into Markism.

> > > > > again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
> > > > > and other data for it with no legitimate purpose
> > > > >
> > > > Who needs a "legitimate purpose", Markie..?!?!
> > >
> > > obviously you don't deny lacking a legit purpose so you admit stalking
> > >
> > > > YOU were the one who tagged it to YOUR newsgroup posts!
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Then how do you propose I found it, Markie?
>
> with a net search like when you went looking in other newsgruops for
> dirt finding out I in other newsgruops, that I was Bisexual

Nope.

Your tag line.

And any revelation as to your deviancy was at your own hand. Not
mine.

> > > > No...Brian has lied. Repeatedly.
> > >
> > > name one and prove it, with real evidence
> >
> > Sure.
> >
> > Brain has insisted that "unlicensed communications devices play a
> > major role in emergency communications planning".
>
> absolute truth
>
> Phones are unlicensed and seeking to maintian them is plays major role
> in emergency communications planning.

Nope.

Cellphones ARE licensed devices.

> Maintianing Lan links another unlicensed service play a major role in
> emergency communications planning

And most LAN's in FEMA facilities are hardline systems to avoid
EMP interruption.

> > Are they USED..?!?! Of course. We even use them in CAP.
>
> > Are they a part of PLANNING or play a "MAJOR" role?
> >
> > Absolutely not.
>
> Bull#####
>
> Fema uses Phone system and the internet in making their plans

But are not a part of major disaster plans.

MOST disaster plans assume the worst and establish the hierarchy
of fall-back communications protocols in case of infrastructure
failure.

The availability of the landlines and Internet access are assumed
a "given" in less-than-disaster" scenarios.

> therefore they are part of the planning of emergency comms.

Markie...enlighten us as to which programs of emergency planning
YOU are a participant?

> > You may refer to any of Brain's evasions on the subject.
>
> I need not

Sure you do.

You accuse me of making this stuff up yet there it is under his
hand in these archives.

> you are simply wrong

You're simply a coward and an idiot.

> > We can even refer to his "ARES overblown" comments,
>
> True statement

Not true.

Numerous governmental and private agencies attest otherwise.

> <cut>his assertions
> > that ARES cannot respond to major incidents due to over-extension of
>
> looks ok to me

Looks OK to you because you're an ill-informed idiot. But no news
there.

> > member's obligations, or his assertions about the validity of his
> > Somalia HF operations.
>

> nothing proven there

Sure there is.

His lack of evidence as to the validity of his operation and the
availabilty of UN resources that specify what constitues authority to
operate a radio station within UN jurisdiction.

> Still waiting for something
> >
> > > > Lennie has lied. Repeatedly.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Yep.
>
> I'd ask where but still waiting for you on Brain

Gave it to you. You think it's not true, but that's because
you're an idiot.

> > > > YOU have lied...REPEATEDLY!
> > >
> > > at times yes
> > >
> > > never denied it
> >
> > Well there you have it.
> >
> > Now...
> >
> > Having been ACCUSED of being a liar...
> >
> > Having been PROVEN a liar...
> >
> > And having been ADMITTED a liar...
> >
> > Just how do you expect ANYone to have even the least little bit of
> > respect or acceptance of ANY of your posts, even where the content IS
> > reasonably valid...?!?!
>
> becuase I lie only about where you can find me

I know where you are.

And that's NOT your only lie....by a long shot.

> that simple
>
> A different tack than an Anomy like Smith and yet he is taken seriously
> too

SO far he's not published anything that isn't (1) understood to be opinion or (2) not been corroborated by other sources. Nor does he use profanity or make assinine assertions easily disproven by third party sources.

> > > > > deference in point of view is not falsehood
> > > cuting stevie paying speling cop again
> recuting spelling cop

Putting it back.

"Deference" is STILL yielding to an other opinion.

You STILL don't get the impact of how stupid you made yourself look..Something that would NOT happen if you'd address your English comprehension issues.

> > YOU, on the otherhand, have specifically expressed a diagnosis, as
> > has Brain.
>
> you have as well pathologcal liar
>
> what you can do I can do

But you ARE a pathological liar, Markie.

We have YOUR word that it's OK to lie ad lib.

> > > I have the same previledge as YOU
> >
> > No, you don't...On several levels...
>
> sure do

Nope. Can start with Amateur Radio licensure, then add Nursing and EMS certifications. Then we can add on all the supplemental certifications such as ACLS, PALS, EMS-C, BCLS-I, etc.

> > > But you Falsely claim you have the Degree and License to back it up
> >
> > I never said I have the degree, albeit I DO have the license.
>
> false you don't have an MD license

I never said I was an MD, although we have YOUR word that you'd willingly lie about being an MD yourself in order to further your personal gains.

> > > I also said is was most likely the poster known as MD or Mad Dog, but
> > > that I did not exclude you as a possiblity
> >
> > You're refering to Mike Deigan.
>
> I'll not argue with you, I don't recall

Then why'd you bring it up in the first place?

You "remember" someone's alleged nickname, but then can't recall
who it is...?!?!

> > He was never known by anyone as "Mad Dog".
>
> He posted with the name
>
> and I knew him as Mad Dog
>
> therfore your statement anyone is another lie

Nope.

> > > > > > How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> > > > > > something wrong?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
> > > > > > him
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I don't have to "LIE" to his parents, Markie.
> > > >
> > > you sure do
> >
> > Nope. Just show them copies of his public postings.
>
> on the phone right

By FAX, if you perfer...or FedEx...or USP...or DHL...

> > > you have to lie all the time on here
> >
> > Nope. All I have to do is re-cite YOUR words and those of the
> > other Mistruthful One's.
>
> no you have to sidtort and lie about their statements

I have to WHAT...?!?!

"sidtort"...?!?!

> > > no evidence that are cappable of telling the truth or even of knowing
> > > what it is
> > >
> > > cuting your libel of someone else
> >
> > No libel was posted by me, ergo you've lied again.
>
> you sure did
>
> you accused Todd of a federal crime that IS libel

Nope.

Todd admitted that he's flying a TEAM HiMAX ultralight in
violation of federal regulations as to the proper configuration of the
Part 103 Aircraft.

His words.

It's not libel if it's true.

> > > > > The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
> > > > > half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > > > > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > > > > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
> > > >
> > > > I said "Lies, half truths and distortions", and none of them concern
> > > > any possible matter of local ordenance of Houghton county.
> > > >
> > > > It is not a crime to lie to bullies and bigots like you
> > >
> > > But it is a matter of public trust to make them aware that a
> > > potentially unstable person in sin thier midst.
> >
> > more proof of your bigotry

You'd better look up the definition of "bigot", Markie...because
you just missed the mark (pardon the pun) by 100 miles.

> more ranting

And "ranting"...

You've been misusing both words all month.

> > > > > If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
> > > > > troublesome for him
> > > > >
> > > > > Again...I wouldn't have to lie to them! All I have to do is show
> > > > > them copies of HIS on-line behaviour!
> > > >
> > > > you don't know the truth
> > >
> > > Sure I do.
> >
> > then start typing it

I have been.

You refuse to accept it.

Not my issue.

> > > as is eveidenced by tyour statement that you are going to "show them"
> > > over a phone line
> > >

> > I didn't say I WAS going to show anyone anything...
>
> yes you did, "Nope. Just show them copies of his public postings."
>
> another lie

Nope. There's more than ONE way to "show" somebody something.

For example I show everyone what an idiot you are just by rubbing
your nose in your idiotic rantings herein...I don't have to actually
show up on thier doorstep to do it...

> > > > > If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
> > > > > troublesome for me
> > > > >
> > > > > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > > > > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > > > > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
> > > >
> > > > more threats stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > geting old and tiresome
> > >
> > > Yes, you are.
> >
> > then ignore me if you are tried of me

But you're the road-kill skunk of RRAP...Stinks no matter what
you do to hide it...

> > Anything happening here is a direct result of your own silliness,
> > Markie.
>
> more blaiming others

"blaming"

Nope. Just the one responsible.

> nothing is stevies doing

I'm not the one lying here, Markie.

> > > > You just don't get it, do you?
> > > >
> > > > no you don't get it
> > > >
> > > > You are a betrayer of the nation you swore to serve.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >

> sure are

Nope.

> > And no where in my USMC oath did I say that by swearing to uphold
> > the Constitution of the United States that I relinquished my OWN rights
> > to express my own opinions, nor to allow lying, deceitful worms to go
> > on lying and deceiving uncontested.
>
> You swore to uphold MY right to life and, LIBERTY, and threaten to try
> and take both

Again you are LYING.

Overtly.

> > > You are a loathsome foul minded creep
> >
> > Nope. You just think so becasue I won't leave you to lie
> > uncontested.
>
> Lie

Truth.

> I think so becuase you are foul minded, and a control freak, a
> frustrated one

Well...at least you got a capital at the beginning of THAT rant,
Markie. Structure and punctuation were incorrect, as usual.

> > > > People like you and Todd are your OWN worst enemies!
> > >
> > > another lie stevie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Proven.
>
> nope you are the enemy

Nope.

If I were your "enemy", there'd be no discussions.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1283. Re: Where is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:26:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123784813.513499.15460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115634

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Steve there something I have missed in your threats to call Houghton
> > > county
> > >
> > > Just what crime are you aleging I am comiting, that falls within their
> > > perview?
> >
> > I didn't say you've commited a crime, Markie.
>
> you sure did
>
> that is the only reason you could have for talking to the shirref or
> prosetuer

"shirref"

"prosetuer"

Wrong again, Markie.

> > > Being Bisexual is not a crime in Michigan.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > Being pagan is not a crime in Michigan
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > Lying when not under oath is not a crime in Michigan
> >
> > In some cases it is. Misrepresenting yourself to be a
> > Commissioned Officer of the United States other than for legitimate
> > cinematic or other valid theatrical purposes, under oath or otherwise,
> > is illegal.
>
> but not a matter for the State of Michigan

Not this time...Not unless you try to perpetuate the lie from
there too, in which case you did it again. So be casreful what you lie
about and where.

> > > Believing that honesty is not always a good idea is not crime in
> > > Michigan
> >

> > Nope. But it's stupid praactice. Nothing good ever comes of it.
> >
> > It's your paranoid delusional conduct that is manifest in some
> > aspects of the items you cited.
>
> not at all

That's part of a paranoid delusional presentation.

Denial.

> > You're not stable. You present a danger to society and yourself.
>
> you are the danger

Nope.

> you threaten people for no reason at all

Nope.

> > Rational people do not promote overt mistruthfulness.
>
> then why do you?

I don't.

> > Rational people do not make unfounded allegations of stalking or
> > blackmailing.
>
> Not unfounded

U N F O U N D E D.

Look it up in Websters.

> > Rational people do not overtly suggest that teaching children to
> > lie and deceive is acceptable societal behaviour.
>
> The school system is not rational? gee you have nevr attended one of
> their presentaion on keeping safe online

Lying is not acceptable, period.

There are alternatives...such as just NOT telling someone who or
where you are in the first place.

> > Clear enough?
>
> maeing you are lying of course you are lcrea enough

"maeing" "lcrea"

Nope. You asked me a question. I answered it directly.

Whether or not you like or agree with the answers is irrelevent.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1284. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:28:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.18.27.59.795825@gmail.com>
Source record: 115635

N2EY placed fingers to the keyboard and typed, " I think the change was due to his upgrading to Extra and getting a vanity call....;-)"

To which John Smith thought, "Sometimes you slay the monster, sometimes the monster slays you..."

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 09:12:39 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> I think the change was due to his
> upgrading to Extra and getting a vanity call....;-)

# 1285. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:37:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123785477.776679.236650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115636

it is libel YOU FUCKING COCKSUCKING BITCH WHORE MOTHER FUCKER I AM NOT GAY YOU FUCKING REDARDED MOTHBER FUCKER, WHY DON'T YOU JUST EAT SHIT AND FUCKING DIE!!!!!!!!!! YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE COCKSUCKING MOTHERFUCKING BITCH!!!!!!!!!!!!

## 1286. Stevie Put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:46:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123786009.066030.136070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115637

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Steve there something I have missed in your threats to call Houghton
> > > > county
> > > >
> > > > Just what crime are you aleging I am comiting, that falls within their
> > > > perview?
> > >
> > > I didn't say you've commited a crime, Markie.
> >
> > you sure did
> >
> > that is the only reason you could have for talking to the shirref or
> > prosetuer
>
put up or shuut up

> > > > Being Bisexual is not a crime in Michigan.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > Being pagan is not a crime in Michigan
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > Lying when not under oath is not a crime in Michigan
> > >
> > > In some cases it is. Misrepresenting yourself to be a
> > > Commissioned Officer of the United States other than for legitimate
> > > cinematic or other valid theatrical purposes, under oath or otherwise,
> > > is illegal.
> >
> > but not a matter for the State of Michigan
>
> Not this time...Not unless you try to perpetuate the lie from
> there too, in which case you did it again. So be casreful what you lie
> about and where.

put up or shut up

It is and was a FEDERAL Matter

>
> > > > Believing that honesty is not always a good idea is not crime in
> > > > Michigan
> > >
> > > Nope. But it's stupid praactice. Nothing good ever comes of it.
> > >
> > > It's your paranoid delusional conduct that is manifest in some
> > > aspects of the items you cited.
> >
> > not at all
>
> That's part of a paranoid delusional presentation.
>
> Denial.

PUT up or shut up

>
> > > You're not stable. You present a danger to society and yourself.
> >
> > you are the danger
>
> Nope.

Put up or shut up

>
> > you threaten people for no reason at all
>
> Nope.
>
> > > Rational people do not promote overt mistruthfulness.
> >
> > then why do you?
>
> I don't.

you do

>
> > > Rational people do not make unfounded allegations of stalking or
> > > blackmailing.
> >
> > Not unfounded
>
> U N F O U N D E D.

sure is wellfounded

>
> Look it up in Websters.
>
> > > Rational people do not overtly suggest that teaching children to
> > > lie and deceive is acceptable societal behaviour.
> >

> > The school system is not rational? gee you have nevr attended one of
> > their presentaion on keeping safe online
>
> Lying is not acceptable, period.

then put up or shut up

>
> There are alternatives...such as just NOT telling someone who or
> where you are in the first place.
>
> > > Clear enough?
> >
> > maeing you are lying of course you are lcrea enough
>
> "maeing" "lcrea"
>
> Nope. You asked me a question. I answered it directly.
>
> Whether or not you like or agree with the answers is irrelevent.

back your lies ro shut up about them
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1287. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 18:51:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.18.50.59.226618@gmail.com>
Source record: 115638

N2EY:

As far as the danger of hydrogen, it is safer than gasoline, in an accident--hydrogen being lighter than air heads for the far upper atmosphere, gasoline lays around you burning (really bad if you are trapped in the vehicle.)

In a hydrogen explosion more energy is directed upwards, in the direction of the hydrogen itself, with gasoline the energy is expended outwards towards people and property... hydrogens danger from a "spill" quickly disappears, gasoline/diesel stays there a long time...

Gasoline/diesel is toxic and is devastating to the environment, hydrogen is almost benign (but large scale use would have to evolve to truly know the real consequences.)

Danger is not a good reason to skip hydrogens use, propane is much more dangerous than hydrogen and used inside buildings on forklifts and other industrial equipment (even some city buses (and natural gas too) and other vehicles.)

Hydrogen is a scam at this point in time, I think it always will be, when you finally have enough energy to remove hydrogen from sea water--why bother, the energy can already be used! But, if some alchemist discovers a way to remove it from sea water with little or no energy, GREAT!

But, even hybrid vehicles are mostly a scam at this point, you are wearing out two different systems, maintaining them, with all the related use of energy to do so. And, this ignores the the manufacturing expenditure of energy which occurs in making the extra electrical components for the vehicle. It is mainly a "feels good campaign" used by politicians to soothe the people, and manufacturing for "gov't pork money." It looks to me a lot like putting props on jet aircraft...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 09:37:59 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>> What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>
> Mostly salt.
>
>> Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
>> Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of

>> energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.
>
> Which means it is compressed and your fuel tank becomes a highpressure
> canister. Not only is the stuff flammable, like gasoline, but it's
> under high
> pressure.
>
> Two ways to go boom.
>
>> But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
>> would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
>> would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
>> water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)
>>
>> So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
>> power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
>>
>> Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
>> as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
>> first place.
>
> Maybe. There are all sorts of possible technologies to extract,
> transport and store hydrogen. For example, there's work being done to
> store the gas in metal hydrides. It could be extracted by using
> electricity made photovoltaically. Etc.
>
> The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
> competitive. How much will a hydrogen car cost? How much will they cost
> to drive per mile? What are the maintenance costs?
>
> The big problem is that there's probably no single magic long-term
> solution. Rather there are a bunch of small solutions that add up.
>
> Here's two favorites of mine:
>
> Imagine a tall (couple of hundred feet) hollow tower, in the desert. A
> vertical pipe, as it were, with holes around the bottom.
>
> Around its base is a large circular greenhouse whose roof slants toward
> the tower.
>
> When the sun is out, the air under the greenhouse roof is heated, and
> rises. This creates an artificial wind towards the tower. The warmed
> air goes up the tower, which contains a wind-driven generator. Works
> whether or not there is a breeze. The generator and its impeller are
> near ground level. Etc.
>
> also
>
> There's a process called TDP (Thermal Depolymerization Process) that

> can supposedly break down various types of waste into fuel oil, gas and
> other usable products. For example, there's a pilot plant here in
> Philadelphia that takes sewage sludge (ugh) and breaks it down into a
> type of fuel oil, methane gas, water, and some other things that are
> usable as fertilizer. The result is also
> sterilized.
>
> Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a turkey-processing
> plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
>
> The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
> chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
> steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
>
> The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
>
> Of course the above pilot plants produce fuel at the rate of a few
> hundred barrels a day.
>
> It's doubtful that either of the above will solve all our energy
> problems. It's also unclear as to whether they are economically
> feasible on a large scale.
>
> But if they are doable, they can sure help. In the case of TDP, a big
> part of
> the waste-disposal problem can be dealt with.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1288. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:01:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123786909.492835.14040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115639

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!

As of midnight EDT on 10 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1367

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 56

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 376 28.68%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 758 57.82%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 177 13.50%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, and one foreign citizen
submission.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 10 Aug 05 that sub-total would be 1311.

10 August 2005 is the 16th day of submitted Comments. A notice
about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 15 days of Comments
will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and
Order. Note: The Federal Register 2005 Contents page on the GPO
website has NOT had any entry for 9 Aug 05 or later as of 3 PM EDT
on 11 Aug 05.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

dit nah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1289. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:04:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.19.04.55.354409@gmail.com>
Source record: 115640

... sorry, the post above is mis-placed, I hope he is able to find it!
<grin>

... don't fret, I do that all the time--make mistakes, no reason to point
it out, I already am aware of it and working on the problem--problem is,
alzheimers is on the way and most likely defeat the efforts of a lifetime.
<frown>

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 11:51:01 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> N2EY:
>
> As far as the danger of hydrogen, it is safer than gasoline, in an
> accident--hydrogen being lighter than air heads for the far upper
> atmosphere, gasoline lays around you burning (really bad if you are
> trapped in the vehicle.)
>
> In a hydrogen explosion more energy is directed upwards, in the direction
> of the hydrogen itself, with gasoline the energy is expended outwards
> towards people and property... hydrogens danger from a "spill" quickly
> disappears, gasoline/diesel stays there a long time...
>
> Gasoline/diesel is toxic and is devastating to the environment, hydrogen
> is almost benign (but large scale use would have to evolve to truly know
> the real consequences.)
>
> Danger is not a good reason to skip hydrogens use, propane is much more
> dangerous than hydrogen and used inside buildings on forklifts and other
> industrial equipment (even some city buses (and natural gas too) and other
> vehicles.)
>
> Hydrogen is a scam at this point in time, I think it always will be, when
> you finally have enough energy to remove hydrogen from sea water--why
> bother, the energy can already be used! But, if some alchemist discovers
> a way to remove it from sea water with little or no energy, GREAT!
>
> But, even hybrid vehicles are mostly a scam at this point, you are wearing
> out two different systems, maintaining them, with all the related use of
> energy to do so. And, this ignores the the manufacturing expenditure of
> energy which occurs in making the extra electrical components for the
> vehicle. It is mainly a "feels good campaign" used by politicians to
> soothe the people, and manufacturing for "gov't pork money." It looks to
> me a lot like putting props on jet aircraft...

>
> John
>
> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 09:37:59 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>> What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>>
>> Mostly salt.
>>
>>> Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
>>> Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
>>> energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.
>>
>> Which means it is compressed and your fuel tank becomes a highpressure
>> canister. Not only is the stuff flammable, like gasoline, but it's
>> under high
>> pressure.
>>
>> Two ways to go boom.
>>
>>> But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
>>> would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
>>> would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
>>> water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)
>>>
>>> So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
>>> power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
>>>
>>> Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
>>> as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
>>> first place.
>>
>> Maybe. There are all sorts of possible technologies to extract,
>> transport and store hydrogen. For example, there's work being done to
>> store the gas in metal hydrides. It could be extracted by using
>> electricity made photovoltaically. Etc.
>>
>> The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
>> competitive. How much will a hydrogen car cost? How much will they cost
>> to drive per mile? What are the maintenance costs?
>>
>> The big problem is that there's probably no single magic long-term
>> solution. Rather there are a bunch of small solutions that add up.
>>
>> Here's two favorites of mine:
>>
>> Imagine a tall (couple of hundred feet) hollow tower, in the desert. A

>> vertical pipe, as it were, with holes around the bottom.
>>
>> Around its base is a large circular greenhouse whose roof slants toward
>> the tower.
>>
>> When the sun is out, the air under the greenhouse roof is heated, and
>> rises. This creates an artificial wind towards the tower. The warmed
>> air goes up the tower, which contains a wind-driven generator. Works
>> whether or not there is a breeze. The generator and its impeller are
>> near ground level. Etc.
>>
>> also
>>
>> There's a process called TDP (Thermal Depolymerization Process) that
>> can supposedly break down various types of waste into fuel oil, gas and
>> other usable products. For example, there's a pilot plant here in
>> Philadelphia that takes sewage sludge (ugh) and breaks it down into a
>> type of fuel oil, methane gas, water, and some other things that are
>> usable as fertilizer. The result is also
>> sterilized.
>>
>> Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a turkey-processing
>> plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
>>
>> The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
>> chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
>> steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
>>
>> The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
>>
>> Of course the above pilot plants produce fuel at the rate of a few
>> hundred barrels a day.
>>
>> It's doubtful that either of the above will solve all our energy
>> problems. It's also unclear as to whether they are economically
>> feasible on a large scale.
>>
>> But if they are doable, they can sure help. In the case of TDP, a big
>> part of
>> the waste-disposal problem can be dealt with.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 1290. Stevie Put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:07:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123787229.859187.231250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115641

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > They are proof that it's far more effective to rub an idiots nose
> > > > > > > > > in his own foolishness than it is to commit an act of violence against
> > > > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > nope I have proven that your "method" is completely ineffective
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes I have you insulting and harrasing means of operating on the USENET
> > > > > have resulted in more not less of the mataerail you find objectionable
> > > >
> > > > To achieve result directly opposed to the stated goal, is proof of
> > > > failure
> > >
> > > What "stated goal"...?!?!
> >
> > your stated goal of forcing to seek "help" to stop me form posting as I
> > choose
>
break
> It's not about getting you to stop posting.

nope you are lying

>
> It's about getting you help for your deviancy and character
> issues.

then report me if I am such a danger to myself and others

Put up or shut up

>
> > > What "failure"...?!?!
> >
> > complete failure
>
> What failure?
>

You ahve failied to get me to do what you want

> SNIP
>
> > > That you keep making false accusations ie:
> > > bully/stalker/blackmailer is MY proof that YOU are the bully...
> > >
> > it is simple truth
>
> Then you're the one commiting the alleged libel, Markie.
>
> > you are a Liar, Hypocrite Bully Stalker, Blackmailer and bigot, to
> > which can be added fraud
>
> Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope. Nope.
>
> > > You keep making FALSE accusations based upon YOUR inadequate
> > > comprehension of the language you are trying to use.
> > >
> > No false accusations
>
> They all are, Markie.

not a one

put up or shut up

>
> More Snippage
>
> > > > indeed the Answer is in the next line from 2 cycles ago but here it is
> > > > again"It does not realy concern me other than it could prove anoying"
> > > >
> > > Being "anoying" is the least of your problems, Markie.
> > >
> > being anoyed by any action you can take by calling the Cops or the
> > Cooper Country mental health ( the local agency having asked for tax
> > support so I know) is all you can mange
>
> No, that's not all I can "mange".

sure is and I doubt you can manage even to anoy me

>
> And you no doubt "know" since I am willing to bet that since they
> took your case on, they've had to significantly increase thier budget.

put up or shut up

BTW there budject is down

>
> > if you think otherwise please call, want me to look up the Numbers for
> > you? I'd rather you dropped this harrasment but since you will not I'd

> > rather you just got it over with, but i am tired of these threats from
> > you.
>
> What threats?

your threats

call em report me if you think I am danger to myself or others

Put up or shut up

>
> > > Nope. A gross misrepresentation of the truth on your part.
> >
> > not at all you keep throwing sex into everything
>
> Nope. You keep wanting to SEE sex in evrything.

nope

but if that is your proove go ahead an call

put up or shut up

>
> More delusional behaviour.

but if that is your proove go ahead an call

put up or shut up
>
> > > > I am not going to play you little games about sexual orientation
> > > > Stevie. My sex life is my own busness not yours
> > >
> > > The game is yours, Markie.
> >
> > nope you are the gamster. or is it gangster? nah your just gangster
> > wanna be
>
> "Gamester", Mark, and neither is true.

put up or shut up
>
> > > You wouldn't know PROPER medical statements if you were hit in the
> > > head with a Mosby Medical Dictionary, Markie.
> >
> > More threats? or just more evasion? or just another lie
>
> Congratulations. You got a capital letter in there along with a
> proper question mark. The second "sentence" had proper punctuation,
> but no capital. And on the third you just lapsed back into Markism.

put up or shut up

call the mental health authorities, if you dare

>
> > > > > > again you are admitting to stalking me since you went looking for it
> > > > > > and other data for it with no legitimate purpose
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Who needs a "legitimate purpose", Markie..?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > obviously you don't deny lacking a legit purpose so you admit stalking
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > YOU were the one who tagged it to YOUR newsgroup posts!
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > Then how do you propose I found it, Markie?
> >
> > with a net search like when you went looking in other newsgruops for
> > dirt finding out I in other newsgruops, that I was Bisexual

put up or shut up

>
> > > > > > No...Brian has lied. Repeatedly.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > name one and prove it, with real evidence
> > > >
> > > Sure.
> > >
> > > Brain has insisted that "unlicensed communications devices play a
> > > major role in emergency communications planning".
> >
> > absolute truth
> >
> > Phones are unlicensed and seeking to maintian them is plays major role
> > in emergency communications planning.
>
> Nope.
>
> Cellphones ARE licensed devices.

never said cell phones

>
> > Maintianing Lan links another unlicensed service play a major role in
> > emergency communications planning
>
> And most LAN's in FEMA facilities are hardline systems to avoid
> EMP interruption.

yep

>
> > > Are they USED..?!?! Of course. We even use them in CAP.
> >
> > > Are they a part of PLANNING or play a "MAJOR" role?
> > >
> > > Absolutely not.
> >
> > Bull####
> >
> > Fema uses Phone system and the internet in making their plans
>
> But are not a part of major disaster plans.

sure are

>
> MOST disaster plans assume the worst and establish the hierarchy
> of fall-back communications protocols in case of infrastructure
> failure.

puting the phones and lan in the plan

>
> The availability of the landlines and Internet access are assumed
> a "given" in less-than-disaster" scenarios.

so?

>
> > therefore they are part of the planning of emergency comms.
>
> Markie...enlighten us as to which programs of emergency planning
> YOU are a participant?

ARES

>
> > > You may refer to any of Brain's evasions on the subject.
> >
> > I need not
>
> Sure you do.
>
> You accuse me of making this stuff up yet there it is under his
> hand in these archives.

you have said nothing new

>
> > you are simply wrong
>
> You're simply a coward and an idiot.

you are the coward
>

> > > We can even refer to his "ARES overblown" comments,
> >
> > True statement
>
> Not true.

sure is

>
> Numerous governmental and private agencies attest otherwise.

never seen such a statement

>
> > <cut>his assertions
> > > that ARES cannot respond to major incidents due to over-extension of
> >
> > looks ok to me
>
> Looks OK to you because you're an ill-informed idiot. But no news
> there.

then drop your dime

>
> > > member's obligations, or his assertions about the validity of his
> > > Somalia HF operations.
> >
> > nothing proven there
>
> Sure there is.
>
> His lack of evidence as to the validity of his operation and the
> availabilty of UN resources that specify what constitues authority to
> operate a radio station within UN jurisdiction.

that isn't proof

>
> > Still waiting for something
> > >
> > > > > Lennie has lied. Repeatedly.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > Yep.
> > >
> > > I'd ask where but still waiting for you on Brain
>
> Gave it to you. You think it's not true, but that's because
> you're an idiot.

nope becuase you are a lying bully

>
> > > > > YOU have lied...REPEATEDLY!
> > > >
> > > > at times yes
> > > >
> > > > never denied it
> > >
> > > Well there you have it.
> > >
> > > Now...
> > >
> > > Having been ACCUSED of being a liar...
> > >
> > > Having been PROVEN a liar...
> > >
> > > And having been ADMITTED a liar...
> > >
> > > Just how do you expect ANYone to have even the least little bit of
> > > respect or acceptance of ANY of your posts, even where the content IS
> > > reasonably valid...?!?!
> >
> > becuase I lie only about where you can find me
>
> I know where you are.

given your history another threat

if you are not lying and truely feel I am a danger to myself and others
then call Mental Health

put up or shut up

>
> And that's NOT your only lie....by a long shot.
>
> > that simple
> >
> > A different tack than an Anomy like Smith and yet he is taken seriously
> > too
>
> SO far he's not published anything that isn't (1) understood to be
> opinion or (2) not been corroborated by other sources. Nor does he use
> profanity or make assinine assertions easily disproven by third party
> sources.

neither has Brain or lenn or myself

>
> > > > > > deference in point of view is not falsehood
> > > > > cuting stevie paying speling cop again
> > recuting spelling cop
>

>
> > > YOU, on the otherhand, have specifically expressed a diagnosis, as
> > > has Brain.
> >
> > you have as well pathologcal liar
> >
> > what you can do I can do
>
> But you ARE a pathological liar, Markie.

then call the mental health people

If I am truly the danger you claim then you are neglecting you public
duty

put up or shut up

>
> We have YOUR word that it's OK to lie ad lib.
>
> > > > I have the same previledge as YOU
> > >
> > > No, you don't...On several levels...
> >
> > sure do
>
> Nope. Can start with Amateur Radio licensure, then add Nursing
> and EMS certifications. Then we can add on all the supplemental
> certifications such as ACLS, PALS, EMS-C, BCLS-I, etc.

No MD or MSSW that is what count

By I have a ham license too, nothing in it pretains you make medical
judgements

as to class of licesne wait a few months

>
> > > > But you Falsely claim you have the Degree and License to back it up
> > >
> > > I never said I have the degree, albeit I DO have the license.
> >
> > false you don't have an MD license
>
> I never said I was an MD, although we have YOUR word that you'd
> willingly lie about being an MD yourself in order to further your
> personal gains.

Not my perosnal gains but to get you help I certainly would

put up or shut up
>
> > > > I also said is was most likely the poster known as MD or Mad Dog, but
> > > > that I did not exclude you as a possiblity

> > >
> > > You're refering to Mike Deigan.
> >
> > I'll not argue with you, I don't recall
>
> Then why'd you bring it up in the first place?

becuase I choose to

>
> You "remember" someone's alleged nickname, but then can't recall
> who it is...?!?!

No I don't recall his name, and I have granted that you may well be
right, and that I have no intention of argueing with you about what his
name was

and still you bitch and moan

>
> > > He was never known by anyone as "Mad Dog".
> >
> > He posted with the name
> >
> > and I knew him as Mad Dog
> >
> > therfore your statement anyone is another lie
>
> Nope.

yep

I am someone therefore your statement inclduing anyone is a lis

>
> > > > > > > How is talking to Todd's parents a threat unless he's doing
> > > > > > > something wrong?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > By leing to his perents you certainly might be able to make trouble for
> > > > > > > him
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But I don't have to "LIE" to his parents, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > you sure do
> > >
> > > Nope. Just show them copies of his public postings.
> >
> > on the phone right
>
> By FAX, if you perfer...or FedEx...or USP...or DHL...

I prefer yuo stoping thretning him at all

>
> > > > you have to lie all the time on here
> > > >
> > > Nope. All I have to do is re-cite YOUR words and those of the
> > > other Mistruthful One's.
> > >
> > no you have to sidtort and lie about their statements
>
> I have to WHAT...?!?!
>
> "sidtort"...?!?!
>
> > > > no evidence that are cappable of telling the truth or even of knowing
> > > > what it is
> > > > >
> > > > cuting your libel of someone else
> > > >
> > > No libel was posted by me, ergo you've lied again.
> > >
> > you sure did
> > >
> > you accused Todd of a federal crime that IS libel
>
> Nope.

you acused Todd of federal crime

>
> Todd admitted that he's flying a TEAM HiMAX ultralight in
> violation of federal regulations as to the proper configuration of the
> Part 103 Aircraft.

then report him to FAA, don't blackmail him here

>
> His words.
>
> It's not libel if it's true.

Yes it can be

but again report him don't blackmail him

>
> > > > > > The same reason I am not pleased at the idea of you telling your lies,
> > > > > > half truths and distrtions, here in houghton county.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > > > > > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > > > > > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
> > > > >
> > > > > I said "Lies, half truths and distortions", and none of them concern
> > > > > any possible matter of local ordenance of Houghton county.

> > > >
> > > > It is not a crime to lie to bullies and bigots like you
> > > >
> > > > But it is a matter of public trust to make them aware that a
> > > > potentially unstable person in sin thier midst.
> > >
> > > more proof of your bigotry
> >
> > You'd better look up the definition of "bigot", Markie...because
> > you just missed the mark (pardon the pun) by 100 miles.

put up or shut up

make the call or drop it

you are a bigot since you believe anyone thinking defferently than you
is mentaly ill

>
> > more ranting
> >
> > And "ranting"...
> >
> > You've been misusing both words all month.
> >
> > > > > > > If you lie to Todd perants convincingly enough I am sure it could be
> > > > > > > troublesome for him
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Again...I wouldn't have to lie to them! All I have to do is show
> > > > > > > them copies of HIS on-line behaviour!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you don't know the truth
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure I do.
> > > >
> > > > then start typing it
> > >
> > > I have been.

where?

>
> > You refuse to accept it.
> >
> > Not my issue.
> >
> > > > > > as is eveidenced by tyour statement that you are going to "show them"
> > > > > > over a phone line
> > > > >
> > > > > I didn't say I WAS going to show anyone anything...
> > > >
> > > > yes you did, "Nope. Just show them copies of his public postings."

> >
> > another lie
>
> Nope. There's more than ONE way to "show" somebody something.

you can't "show" somebody something without "showing" it to them in
some fashion

> > > > > > If you lie to the officals here convincingly enough it could
> > > > > > troublesome for me
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I wouldn't have to lie, Markie! That's the beauty of the
> > > > > > whole thing! All I have to do is show them YOUR posts and YOUR
> > > > > > admisisons of lying, deceit and deviancy to "make a case".
> > > > >
> > > > > more threats stevie
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > geting old and tiresome
> > > >
> > > > Yes, you are.
> > >
> > > then ignore me if you are tried of me
> >
> > But you're the road-kill skunk of RRAP...Stinks no matter what
> > you do to hide it...
> >
> > > > Anything happening here is a direct result of your own silliness,
> > > > Markie.
> > >
> > > more blaiming others
> >
> > "blaming"
> >
> > Nope. Just the one responsible.

put up or shut up. either I am a danger and can be locked up or not, in
the latter case you have been lying in claiming I am, in the former you
are endangering the public by not acting.

>
> > nothing is stevies doing
> >
> > I'm not the one lying here, Markie.
> >
> > > > > > You just don't get it, do you?
> > > > >
> > > > > no you don't get it
> > > > >
> > > > > You are a betrayer of the nation you swore to serve.

> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure are
>
> Nope.
>
> > > And no where in my USMC oath did I say that by swearing to uphold
> > > the Constitution of the United States that I relinquished my OWN rights
> > > to express my own opinions, nor to allow lying, deceitful worms to go
> > > on lying and deceiving uncontested.
> >
> > You swore to uphold MY right to life and, LIBERTY, and threaten to try
> > and take both
>
> Again you are LYING.
>
> Overtly.

simple turth

>
> > > > You are a loathsome foul minded creep
> > >
> > > Nope. You just think so becasue I won't leave you to lie
> > > uncontested.
> >
> > Lie
>
> Truth.
>
> > I think so becuase you are foul minded, and a control freak, a
> > frustrated one
>
> Well...at least you got a capital at the beginning of THAT rant,
> Markie. Structure and punctuation were incorrect, as usual.
>
> > > > > People like you and Todd are your OWN worst enemies!
> > > >
> > > > another lie stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Proven.
> >
> > nope you are the enemy
>
> Nope.
>
> If I were your "enemy", there'd be no discussions.

more threats

put up or shut up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1291. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:10:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123787406.234393.85780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115643

>THE FUCKING BASTARD STEVE SAID
>She shares you with a guy?

NO YOU FUCKING COCKING BITCHWHORE BECAUSE YOU FUCKING BASTARD CAN'T GET IT THROUG YOUR FUCKING RETARDED HEAD THAT I'M NOT FUCKING GAY.

> But I am certain they've had plenty of opportunity to see your
>other comments in other forums.

So, if there were here I would tell them exacally what I think of those lying Cocksucking assholes known as the FCC!!!

>First of all, other than speaking with Phil Kane in this forum, I
>don't have ANY kind of "buddies" at the FCC.
> Secondly, how do you know they didn't "look" at your application?

>In order to have dismissed it as being out of the filing window,
>they had to know when it was filed.
>Maybe Carnac the Magnificent read it through the envelope?

A waiver must either granted or denied, if it is denied the FCC must in writing explain why it was denied. All facts and finding must be shown so the applicant if he waiver is denied can file in the US Court of Appeal. there is a BIG difference between a dissmal and a denial. When I file the application in the mail on one day and three day's later it returned well, you get the hint. The MASS MEDIA section of the FCC has had a long history of not looking at waivers. for example between 1978 to 2000 there was a ban on low power FM however the FCC repeatly stated that a person can apply for a license and ask for a waiver. In the 22 years of the ban only 2 waivers were ever consider for low power FM, and that was after the US Court of Appeal told the FCC to consider them.

> It certainly wasn't by calling the FCC names in public forums, nor
>was it submitting an application in crayon with "GIMME MY ####ING
>LICENSE YOU MOTHER####ING ###HOLES" written on it.

First off dickhead I didn't put that on the application secondly I became very opinionated about the FCC LONG AFTER I HAD APPLIED FOR A LOW POWER TV LICENSE.

# 1292. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:10:14 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddg7qm$1518$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115642

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>
>
> Mostly salt.

And chlorine.

>
>>Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
>>Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
>>energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.
>
>
> Which means it is compressed and your fuel tank becomes a highpressure
> canister. Not only is the stuff flammable, like gasoline, but it's
> under high
> pressure.
>
> Two ways to go boom.
>
>
>>But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
>>would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
>>would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
>>water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)
>>
>>So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
>>power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
>>
>>Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
>>as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
>>first place.
>
>
> Maybe. There are all sorts of possible technologies to extract,
> transport and store hydrogen. For example, there's work being done to
> store the gas in metal hydrides. It could be extracted by using
> electricity made photovoltaically. Etc.

The infrastructure would be interesting. The best looking way for
hydride storage that I've heard of so far, is the replacement tank

method. Interesting, and I suspect that teh real quick stop for fuel
would become a thing of the past.

> The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
> competitive. How much will a hydrogen car cost? How much will they cost
> to drive per mile? What are the maintenance costs?

Maintenance would *probably* be improved. I suspect that engines would
last longer, and be a lot cleaner to be around.

> The big problem is that there's probably no single magic long-term
> solution. Rather there are a bunch of small solutions that add up.
>
> Here's two favorites of mine:
>
> Imagine a tall (couple of hundred feet) hollow tower, in the desert. A
> vertical pipe, as it were, with holes around the bottom.
>
> Around its base is a large circular greenhouse whose roof slants toward
> the tower.
>
> When the sun is out, the air under the greenhouse roof is heated, and
> rises. This creates an artificial wind towards the tower. The warmed
> air goes up the tower, which contains a wind-driven generator. Works
> whether or not there is a breeze. The generator and its impeller are
> near ground level. Etc.

Good process, and an old one. A lot of places in the middle east use
those type of towers (usually lower) to bring cooling air into the house.

> also
>
> There's a process called TDP (Thermal Depolymerization Process) that
> can supposedly break down various types of waste into fuel oil, gas and
> other usable products. For example, there's a pilot plant here in
> Philadelphia that takes sewage sludge (ugh) and breaks it down into a
> type of fuel oil, methane gas, water, and some other things that are
> usable as fertilizer. The result is also
> sterilized.

If nothing else, it gets rid of the stuff!

> Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a turkey-processing
> plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
>
> The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
> chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
> steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
>
> The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.

hmm, not too bad...

> Of course the above pilot plants produce fuel at the rate of a few
> hundred barrels a day.
>
> It's doubtful that either of the above will solve all our energy
> problems. It's also unclear as to whether they are economically
> feasible on a large scale.
>
> But if they are doable, they can sure help. In the case of TDP, a big
> part of the waste-disposal problem can be dealt with.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1293. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:11:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123787459.987211.152160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115644

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > First off I'm not a "fag" nor do I live in my parent's basment.
> > >
> > > I wouldn't use the word "fag"...That is to gay people like the "N"
> > > word is to African-Americans. However you are gay AND living at home
> > > with mommy and daddy at the age of 37.
> >
> > and you are comiting Libel
>
> And you don't know what you're talking about.
>
> Todd's 37.

may well be
>
> Todd lives with mommy and daddy.
>
> Todd's gay.

no he isn't I know better

I gentlely remind you that Taylorville isn't far from Illiopolis
>
> It's not libel if it's true.

but it isn't true
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1294. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:13:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123787631.370308.134340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115645

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> >
>
> Here's the short answer.
>
> Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> of the regulations of his country.

which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the band

Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them

(that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
like that)

>
> The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
>
> Their is no reciprocal responsibility.

no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
int he comission of a crime

>
> Next case, please.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1295. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:40:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123789211.465928.92720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115646

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud
> > > > >
> > > > Nope. Direct questions relative to the topic at hand.
> > > > >
> > > > Now...Let's see if Brain has the testosterone to answer them.
> > >
> > Still waiitng.
>
> obviously Brain has decided that you "questions" are the crap that they
> are

Nope.

Brian P Burke evades answering the questions because the greater
truth of thier answers lays bare the fallicy of his claims against
Dave.

YOU answered the questions and the answers were as they should
be...However YOU were not the one making the claim.

Ergo YOUR previous suggestion that Brain's silence on your
comments were tacit approval or agreement with them means that he has
acknowledged that he doesn't have a leg to stand on...

As everyone else except you and he seems to know.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1296. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:46:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123789581.115143.270670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115648

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> > >
> >
> > Here's the short answer.
> >
> > Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> > of the regulations of his country.
>
> which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the band

SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~

FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
ANYone...?!?!

> Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them

WHO SAID...?!?!

Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
isn't...?!?!

> (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> like that)

There's nothing to bend.

There's either a rule or there isn't.

And in this case, THERE ISN'T.

> > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> >
> > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
>
> no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> int he comission of a crime

What crime?

WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?

Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1297. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:46:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123789584.859084.52690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115647

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > > Throwing out red herrings like this is fraud
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. Direct questions relative to the topic at hand.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now...Let's see if Brain has the testosterone to answer them.
> > > >
> > > Still waiitng.
> >
> > obviously Brain has decided that you "questions" are the crap that they
> > are
>
> Nope.
>
> Brian P Burke evades answering the questions because the greater
> truth of thier answers lays bare the fallicy of his claims against
> Dave.

an obvious lie
>
> YOU answered the questions and the answers were as they should
> be...However YOU were not the one making the claim

and yet you kept baggering me to answer them again and again

>
> Ergo YOUR previous suggestion that Brain's silence on your
> comments were tacit approval or agreement with them means that he has
> acknowledged that he doesn't have a leg to stand on...

since I can agree with your postion without affect the Case against
Dave obviously your asserrtion is false

your "question" are remain a load of shit with no real conection to the
matter at hand

>
> As everyone else except you and he seems to know.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1298. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:52:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123789935.055152.308120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115650

N9OGL wrote:
> it is libel YOU ####ING #####SUCKING ##### WHORE MOTHER ####ER I AM NOT
> GAY YOU ####ING REDARDED MOTHBER ####ER, WHY DON'T YOU JUST EAT ####
> AND #####ING DIE!!!!!!!!!! YOU ####ING ###HOLE ####SUCKING
> MOTHER#####ING #####!!!!!!!!!!!!

Well, well, well!

Up nicely from your last of 12.9%, Toiddie, but still not quite a
full 33%

On the bottle again, eh? Or just playing badmouth while mommy and
daddy have left you unsupervised one more..?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1299. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:52:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123789952.349821.268000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115649

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > >
> > > > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Here's the short answer.
> > >
> > > Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> > > of the regulations of his country.
> >
> > which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the band
>

red herring

> SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
>
> FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> ANYone...?!?!

since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.

I have already agreed that he was not required to know, but once he
knows he is responible for his actions with that knowledge

>
> > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
>
> WHO SAID...?!?!

Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
>
> Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> isn't...?!?!

never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
one not suposed to be there

>
> > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things

> > like that)
>
> There's nothing to bend.

sure are

ask Reily

>
> There's either a rule or there isn't.
>
> And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
>
> > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > >
> > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> >
> > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > int he comission of a crime
>
> What crime?

the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band

>
> WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?

in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use

>
> Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.

already done so

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1300. Stevie Put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:53:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123789993.490223.128290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115651

BTW put up or shut up on my mental health
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > >
> > > > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Here's the short answer.
> > >
> > > Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> > > of the regulations of his country.
> >
> > which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the band
>

red herring

> SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
>
> FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> ANYone...?!?!

since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.

I have already agreed that he was not required to know, but once he
knows he is responible for his actions with that knowledge

>
> > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
>
> WHO SAID...?!?!

Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
>
> Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> isn't...?!?!

never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
one not suposed to be there

>
> > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of

> > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > like that)
>
> There's nothing to bend.

sure are

ask Reily

>
> There's either a rule or there isn't.
>
> And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
>
> > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > >
> > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> >
> > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > int he comission of a crime
>
> What crime?

the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band

>
> WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?

in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use

>
> Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.

already done so

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1301. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:54:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123790090.130801.272420@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115653

K4YZ wrote:
cut
>
> On the bottle again, eh? Or just playing badmouth while mommy and
> daddy have left you unsupervised one more..?!?!

more libel
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1302. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:55:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123790135.352683.215180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115652

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
>
> amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
> trouble for doing

Oh?

You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
Markie?

THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...

Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
on ANY Amateur allocation.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1303. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 19:59:51 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddgann$16iu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115654

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>>>If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
>>>links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
>>>(apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
>>>(perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
>>>work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
>>>Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.
>
>
> He and the ARRL staff have produced detailed reports based on
> observations and
> measurements as well as simulations and models.
>
> He's also gone around the country banging the drum about BPL. W3RV got
> him to come to Philly and do his presentation here, to a packed house.
> I had the pleasure of metting Ed and seeing the presentation.
>
> BPL has one and only one selling point: If you have BPL service, you
> can plug your computer into any power outlet in your house - or your
> neighbor's house, if it's served - and get a highspeed connection. It's
> a 'last mile' delivery system, nothing more.

The plug into the outlet thing is kind of a day late and a dollar short
IMO. My desktop computers are plugged into the walls, but all of the
family laptops are wireless. So it might be a hard sell to tell someone
that they will just have to plug into the wall socket to get their
internet, when they now don't have to connect to anything!

>>>I have not seen W1RFI post in quite some time. I suspect he is tired of the
>>>tirades of some uninformed individuals as well as promises of some as to how
>>>advantageous certain unlicensed bands are. Not that information was
>>>incorrect, just that much was omitted.
>
>
> He's also busy as all get-out. Plus too many folks assume that since he
> works at Hq., that he must march lockstep with ARRL policy. That's not
> the case at all,
> but after a while it's clear that some folks are immune to certain
> facts.

Much easier to argue that way... ;^)

>>>A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
>>>worse.
>
>
> Naw, the bickering has always been here. You can find posts five and
> more years
> old - from both sides - that look the same as today's.

The bickering is similar, but the fringe element is certainly worse.

> WA6VSE used to
> among the worst - then he mellowed and became quite well mannered even
> if you disagree with him totally. I think the change was due to his
> upgrading to Extra and getting a vanity call....;-)
>
>
>>>You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
>>>demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
>>>that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
>>>it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned
>>>channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
>>>affect).
>>
>> BPL is proven to interfere with amateur radio services. Proof is
>>available to anyone who seeks it. The idea that it doesn't is a
>>political one, such as faith based science ("Toto, I think we lost
>>Kansas!") global warming (If the world isn't warming up, fine! I'll
>>accept that. Now tell me *how* the atmosphere is coping with the
>>greenhouse gas load) and other bafflegab. Give me scientific reasons,
>>not accuse me of being a liberal or something because I don't agree with
>>your bad politically motivated science.
>
>
> Shall I tell the lightbulb joke again?

Does the lightbulb become "empowered"?

>>>It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
>>>in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
>>>that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
>>>BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).
>
>
> Better yet, there's the technique of tossing in stuff that's provably
> wrong.

Gets people to comment, for sure. Proven trolling technique.

> Like misquotes of what people wrote, errors of fact, etc.

I seem to recall some of that.

>
>>Well, yeah Jim! Lets see we have an "anony- mousie" who is pretty good
>>at spouting off. Lot's of opinions, and ridicule to all who might
>>disagree with (him?). Sounds like a major troll to me.
>
>
> Please don't feed the trolls.

We all have to tell each other that once in a while....

>
>>I wonder why Len doesn't have any trouble with this anony-mousie? Seems
>>all the others arouse his ire..... ;^)
>
>
> Because he's against the code test, against the ARRL, against
> conventional ham radio, and because he *never* disagrees with Len.

But Len doesn't like anonymous posters!

> All anyone has to do is disagree with Len, and they get the treatment.
> Worse,
> if the actually prove him wrong about something (like whether it's
> legal to operate with an expired-but-in-the-grace-period license) he really goes
> ballistic. So predictable it's not worth bothering about.

Which I mostly don't.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1304. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:02:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123790574.461281.129640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115657

an_old_friend wrote:
> BTW put up or shut up on my mental health
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Here's the short answer.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> > > > > of the regulations of his country.
> > > >
> > > which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the band
> > >
> >
> red herring

Mark Morganese for "If I answer this, I'll show what an idiot I
am...)

> > SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> > PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
> >
> > FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> > ANYone...?!?!
> >
> since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.

AT THE TIME, did he know?

From what >>I<< have read, he didn't know until AFTER THE FACT.

> I have already agreed that he was not required to know, but once he
> knows he is responible for his actions with that knowledge

And it still does not answer the question, WHAT REGULATION
PROHIBITS HIM FROM TRANSMITTING ON A BAND >>HE<< IS ALLOWED TO OPERATE
ON???

> > > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
> > >
> > WHO SAID...?!?!

>
> Brain said, and Dave does not deny it

Brain doesn't deny allegations that you're gay, either. So what?

> > Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> > that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> > isn't...?!?!
>
> never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
> one not suposed to be there

Who said?

QUOTE THE REGULATION.

> > > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > > like that)
> >
> > There's nothing to bend.
>
> sure are
>
> ask Reily

He was operating with a Tanzanian license, Markie. 5H3US.

> > There's either a rule or there isn't.
> >
> > And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
> >
> > > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> > > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > > >
> > > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> > >
> > > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > > int he comission of a crime
> >
> > What crime?
>
> the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band

"outeratingunlicense"...?!?!

What the heck was that...?!?!?!

> > WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?
>
> in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use
>
> >

> > Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.
>
> already done so

No, you've not. You've only said "Because I say so".

CITE A SPECIFIC RULE.

Steve, K4YZ

PS: You're the one in the "Put Up Or Shut Up" seat, Markie.

# 1305. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:04:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123790658.580871.9620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115655

Dave Heil wrote:

> To assist Mark? No way. He had a pool of trained typists at his beck
> and call and has turned down a job paying nearly a quarter million per
> year. I'd have thought that he'd have run his comments through his staff.

thinking not realy your strong suit

No staff I hire out of a pool when i choose to pay for the temps, I
choose not to pay for the temps
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1306. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:06:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123790763.362630.200180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115658

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Jim Hampton wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I sure hope you are kidding. If this is real ..... well, it staggers the
> >>>>>>>imagination.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>73 from Rochester, NY
> >>>>>>>Jim AA2QA
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>I doubt it but then I suspect that He or Dave of another ProCoder
> >>>>>>submitted that coment, simliar stuff happen in the last round's NPRM
> >>>>>
> >>>>>It looks like the work of a disgruntled PCTA Extra, such as
> >>>>>Bruce/WA8ULX.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>That Steve would be sucked in by it's idiotic simplicity speaks
> >>>>>volumes.
> >>>>
> >>>>It is called "willing suspenion of Disbelief" stevie so wants to
> >>>>believe that is the general sort of person that opposes code testing
> >>>>and so he jumps at it
> >>>>
> >>>>It is sad realy but the FCC will see right though it
> >>>
> >>>Most of these not-so old-timers have lost it.
> >>>
> >>>Just like Dave can't understand that "OSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS" just might be
> >>>a distress call. I pity the units that he supposedly served.
> >>
> >>wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
> >>...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
> >>sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio

> >
> >
> > They walk down the hall to the vending machine and by a bag of chips.
> >
> >
> >>Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
> >>send ...---...--- and hope for the best...
>
> Those who heard this transmission would no doubt think that things were
> so so.

indeed proving the devotion of the Procoders to the aiding of others

>
> Dave K8MN

# 1307. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:07:38 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddgb69$1ano$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115660

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>
>
> Mostly salt.

Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be an immense problem.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 1308. stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:23:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123791821.218169.87970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115662

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > BTW put up or shut up on my mental health
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Here's the short answer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> > > > > > of the regulations of his country.
> > > > >
> > > > > which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the band
> > > >
> > >
> > > red herring
> >
> Mark Morganese for "If I answer this, I'll show what an idiot I
> am...)
>
> > > SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> > > PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
> > >
> > > FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> > > ANYone...?!?!
> >
> > since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.
> >
> AT THE TIME, did he know?

that is may understanding
>
> From what >>I<< have read, he didn't know until AFTER THE FACT.

>From what I have read he did and furthermore siad hed do again if it
came up
>
> > I have already agreed that he was not required to know, but once he
> > knows he is responible for his actions with that knowledge
> >

> And it still does not answer the question, WHAT REGULATION
> PROHIBITS HIM FROM TRANSMITTING ON A BAND >>HE<< IS ALLOWED TO OPERATE
> ON???
asked and answered

>
> > > > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
> > > >
> > > WHO SAID...?!?!
> > >
> > Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
> >
cuting stevie eforts to bring sex into another thread

>
> > > Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> > > that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> > > isn't...?!?!
> > >
> > never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
> > one not suposed to be there
> >
> Who said?
> >
> QUOTE THE REGULATION.

asked and answered

>
> > > > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > > > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > > > like that)
> > > >
> > > There's nothing to bend.
> > >
> > sure are
> > >
> > ask Reily
> >
> He was operating with a Tanzanian license, Markie. 5H3US.

granted on the Basis of the US one
>
> > > There's either a rule or there isn't.
> > > >
> > > And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
> > > >
> > > > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> > > > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> > > > >

> > > > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > > > int he comission of a crime
> > >
> > > What crime?
> >
> > the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band
>
> "outeratingunlicense"...?!?!
>
> What the heck was that...?!?!?!
>
> > > WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?
> >
> > in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use
> >
> > > >
> > > Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.
> >
> > already done so
>
> No, you've not. You've only said "Because I say so".
>
> CITE A SPECIFIC RULE.

already has been done, several times

>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PS: You're the one in the "Put Up Or Shut Up" seat, Markie.

## 1309. stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:24:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123791844.841523.239000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115663

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > BTW put up or shut up on my mental health
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Here's the short answer.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> > > > > > > of the regulations of his country.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the band
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > red herring
> > >
> > Mark Morganese for "If I answer this, I'll show what an idiot I
> > am...)

nope more of cuting away the Bullshit
>
> > > SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> > > PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
> > >
> > > FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> > > ANYone...?!?!
> > >
> > since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.
> >
> AT THE TIME, did he know?

that is may understanding
>
> From what >>I<< have read, he didn't know until AFTER THE FACT.

>From what I have read he did and furthermore siad hed do again if it
came up
>
> > I have already agreed that he was not required to know, but once he

> > knows he is responible for his actions with that knowledge
>
> And it still does not answer the question, WHAT REGULATION
> PROHIBITS HIM FROM TRANSMITTING ON A BAND >>HE<< IS ALLOWED TO OPERATE
> ON???
asked and answered

>
> > > > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
> > >
> > > WHO SAID...?!?!
> >
> > Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
>
cuting stevie eforts to bring sex into another thread

>
> > > Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> > > that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> > > isn't...?!?!
> >
> > never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
> > one not suposed to be there
>
> Who said?
>
> QUOTE THE REGULATION.

asked and answered

>
> > > > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > > > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > > > like that)
> > >
> > > There's nothing to bend.
> >
> > sure are
> >
> > ask Reily
>
> He was operating with a Tanzanian license, Markie. 5H3US.

granted on the Basis of the US one
>
> > > There's either a rule or there isn't.
> > >
> > > And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
> > >
> > > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> > > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > > > >

> > > > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> > > >
> > > > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > > > int he comission of a crime
> > >
> > > What crime?
> >
> > the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band
>
> "outeratingunlicense"...?!?!
>
> What the heck was that...?!?!?!
>
> > > WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?
> >
> > in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use
> >
> > > >
> > > Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.
> >
> > already done so
>
> No, you've not. You've only said "Because I say so".
>
> CITE A SPECIFIC RULE.

already has been done, several times

>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PS: You're the one in the "Put Up Or Shut Up" seat, Markie.

## 1310. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:26:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123791983.821508.271530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115665

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > BTW put up or shut up on my mental health
cuttng to the point

> PS: You're the one in the "Put Up Or Shut Up" seat, Markie.

you are the one making claims call the mental health dept of Michigan
or shut up

# 1311. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:27:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123792041.145119.326000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115664

>I guess they don't accept applications filed out in crayon, full of
>mispelled words and incomplete sentences.

Well your not a ham because surely they wouldn't give a license to an
asshole.

# 1312. Re: Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:29:47 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <MJAL3Z1F38575.687349537@anonymous>
Source record: 115666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <870145097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" THE 31 YEAR OLD VIRGIN <lloyd@no.spam> fucks up again:
>
> he was ok

Poor Lardass, always gets it wrong every time.

Hey Lardass, how do you feel about your life coming out in a
film this month - "The 40-Year-Old VIRGIN"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..And, did you find a new menial labor
job yet, since that's all you are qualified to do.

Think of Laura, Lardass...BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1313. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:42:34 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050811204234.2DAB1170A5@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115670

In article
<1123785477.776679.236650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> it is libel YOU FUCKING COCKSUCKING BITCH WHORE MOTHER FUCKER
I AM NOT
> GAY YOU FUCKING REDARDED MOTHBER FUCKER, WHY DON'T YOU JUST
EAT SHIT
> AND FUCKING DIE!!!!!!!!!! YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE COCKSUCKING
> MOTHERFUCKING BITCH!!!!!!!!!!!!

My, Toadie the basement BOY, that's quite a FAG-FIT you had
there. You make Elton John seem calm and quiet and on Valium.

## 1314. Re: A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:43:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JLOKe.4140$RZ2.4085@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115668

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123640795.559202.155740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Because it applies to what is happening in Ham Radio today. If you don't
>> like it, you know what you can do. Oh and please use a spell checker,
>> your as hard to comprehend as Toad.
>
> old news and old muck raking
>
> Makes me convinced that Baxter can't be that bad if all you folks can
> degde up is recycled complaints
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123553734.541308.46920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > why you carring this Jihad here?
>> >
>> > Never saw K1MAN here
>> >
>> > you are posting about events that happened more the 15 years agao
>> > meaning about halfway through his previous license
>> >
>> > anything Crimenal is long past a statue of limitations very likely
>> >
>> > and the worst the seem to have done is be unpleasent, prehaps extermely
>> > unplaesent, if that were gorund not to renew a Licesne you, stevie,
>> > Dave would be in real trouble
>> >
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> Dear Mr. Baxter,
>> >>
>> >> References to emergency work you allegedly performed 15 years ago are
>> >> irrelevant to the present complaint # E05 003, now before the Maine
>> >> Board
>> >> of
>> >> Professional Engineers.
>> >
>
```

Don't have a clue as to how the process works do you? Perhaps you should educate yourself before you make any more comments.

Dan/W4NTI

# 1315. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:44:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <WMOKe.4141$RZ2.1059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Check is in the mail.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.10.00.57.34.393899@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> I will be holding sales of my "Save K1MAN!" (on the front) and "Glen
> Baxter is a GOD!" (on the back) T-Shirts on as close a freq to 3.840 as I
> can find vacant... drop by when I am taking orders, you may wish to
> purchase one! <grin>
>
> I think everyone just wants to see arrl/W1AW cease and desist, or toe
> the line... and glen is a good vehicle to bring that debate to a head...
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 10 Aug 2005 00:43:02 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> "John Smith",
>>
>> Have you ever listened to a K1MAN 2 hour "bulletin"???? His bad mouthing
>> of
>> his fellow hams, the FCC, the ARRL and "opinions" about his so called
>> service to ham radio is nothing but a self aggrandizing pat on his own
>> back.
>>
>> He would plop down right on top of on going communications, then send
>> out
>> "felony affidavits" to those that told him to get bent. Fully indicating
>> he
>> had indeed been listening before the broadcast began. He should have
>> sent
>> himself one of those cheaply copied useless pieces of paper.
>>
>> Mr. Baxter basically has made a total laughing stock of himself to any
>> ham
>> that has the ability to reason and think. Obviously Toad can't do
>> either.
>>
>> That's my opinion and I'm sticking to it.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI

>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.09.19.05.14.835780@gmail.com...
>>> K4YZ:
>>>
>>> Well there ya go, ya figured it out!
>>>
>>> K1MAN (the licensee) does NOT make any money! Glen Baxter (the man)
>>> does!
>>>
>>> Same thing, good argument you posed, the FCC shouldn't hassle baxter
>>> anymore then they do arrl/W1AW.
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Tue, 09 Aug 2005 11:45:46 -0700, K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>>> > Nope.
>>>>> > The ARRL does not refer listeners to it's website for it's paid
>>>>> >services.
>>>>> >K1MAN does.
>>>>> > It really is THAT simple.
>>>>>
>>>>> The rules state and an amateur station cannot make money direct or
>>>>> indirect. when a shortwave listener hears W1AW their going to go to
>>>>> the
>>>>> internet and go to yahoo or google and look it up which leads them
>>>>> directly to the ARRL site. that's called INDIRECT as to K1MAN's
>>>>> DIRECT.
>>>>
>>>> W1AW does NOT "make money", direct or indirect.
>>>>
>>>> The American Radio Relay League does, which in turn funds W1AW.
>>>>
>>>> But then you could also say that my employer "funds" K4YZ in the
>>>> same way.
>>>>
>>>>> >You're the one trying to "...put more into the rules then (sic)
>>>>> >what is here."
>>>>>
>>>>> no I'm not, I have been stating there is no rule and regulation nor
>>>>> final order from the FCC that states an Information bulletin can not
>>>>> be
>>>>> opinionated. If the FCC didn't want Information bulletins to
>>>>> opinionated then they would of stated in the rules, they have that
>>>>> power.
>>>>
>>>> So far, no one has abused the rules in such a way as to argue what

>>>> "information" means. The FCC has pretty much assumed (erroneously,
>>>> obviusly...) that the average person knew the difference.
>>>>
>>>> "Opinion" is not "information"...it's opinion. And offering your
>>>> opinion in a one-way transmission is broadcasting.
>>>>
>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>

# 1316. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:45:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <WNOKe.4142$RZ2.1536@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123639546.165757.258100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123541024.894177.156750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > >Redneck Dan said
>> >>You know something? I believe your right.
>> >
>> > and I believe the two of you are morons
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> >
>>
>> Hey Todd, if you ain't careful your going to find out what a redneck
>> thinks
>> of you.
>
> I thought threat were just stevie stock and trade
>
>>
>> Put that in your corn cob pipe and stick it where its dark.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

I didn't threaten him. I warned him.

Dan/W4NTI

# 1317. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:46:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <GOOKe.4143$RZ2.1349@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123639418.876749.6340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123541024.894177.156750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > >Redneck Dan said
>> >>You know something? I believe your right.
>> >
>> > and I believe the two of you are morons
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> >
>>
>> Hey Todd, if you ain't careful your going to find out what a redneck
>> thinks
>> of you.
>>
>> Put that in your corn cob pipe and stick it where its dark.
>
> and here we thought that Threats were just Stevie stock and trade
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

As already stated. I didn't threaten him, I warned him.

Dan/W4NTI

# 1318. Re: A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:48:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123793323.402599.284700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115672

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123640795.559202.155740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut
>
> Don't have a clue as to how the process works do you? Perhaps you should
> educate yourself before you make any more comments.

I know eaxactly how this osrt thing of thing works

You go around digging up old charges and you try to bundle them togther
and make them fly again

SOP for the intolerant, and the Bully
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 1319. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:50:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.20.50.01.248130@gmail.com>
Source record: 115675

Michael:

Chlorine and sodium a BIG problem? The temperatures involved in
separating the base elements, sodium and chlorine, from sea water are not
found during the extraction of hydrogen and oxygen. Indeed, you would
have to take the salt byproduct (sodium chloride) and melt it using
carbon-graphite electrodes and ending up with chlorine gas and the sodium
metal.

What, now we must suffer your pseudo-science in chemistry? Having you
generate further false "facts?" And, forcing me into the part of a
"troll" as I attempt to correct falsehoods being perpetrated on those
challenged in the knowledge of chemistry?

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 16:07:38 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>>
>>
>> Mostly salt.
>
> Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While
> we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would
> be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be
> an immense problem.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1320. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 20:56:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123793767.317418.193180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123639418.876749.6340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1123541024.894177.156750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > >Redneck Dan said
> >> >>You know something? I believe your right.
> >> >
> >> > and I believe the two of you are morons
> >> >
> >> > Todd N9OGL
> >> >
> >>
> >> Hey Todd, if you ain't careful your going to find out what a redneck
> >> thinks
> >> of you.
> >>
> >> Put that in your corn cob pipe and stick it where its dark.
> >
> > and here we thought that Threats were just Stevie stock and trade
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> As already stated. I didn't threaten him, I warned him.

Other may view defferently of course, and you found it neccasary to
send 2 preplies saying almost the the same thing
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 1321. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:05:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <TZydnTps3_dIM2bfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115656

N9OGL wrote:
> it is libel YOU FUCKING COCKSUCKING BITCH WHORE MOTHER FUCKER I AM NOT
> GAY YOU FUCKING REDARDED MOTHBER FUCKER, WHY DON'T YOU JUST EAT SHIT
> AND FUCKING DIE!!!!!!!!!! YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE COCKSUCKING
> MOTHERFUCKING BITCH!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

And the toad once again displays his level of intellegence.

# 1322. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:08:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <TZydnTVs3_c1MmbfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115659

John Smith wrote:

>
> But, even hybrid vehicles are mostly a scam at this point, you are wearing
> out two different systems, maintaining them, with all the related use of
> energy to do so. And, this ignores the the manufacturing expenditure of
> energy which occurs in making the extra electrical components for the
> vehicle.

And now in the case of a crash of a hybrid, the rescue workers not only
have to worry about gasoline fire, but the possibably of being electrocuted.

# 1323. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:09:22 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <HSO40F3638575.714837963@anonymous>
Source record: 115676
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <dde8j8$psr$0@pita.alt.net>
Saggytits Lee so weak of mind he uses the Bible as a crutch
<SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined:

I see you are revealing your Altopia use again, Brian.

> [nothing important]

You like *your* picture, Saggytits?

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

--
Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee: Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
Moron
You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1324. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:10:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <TZydnTRs3_e9LWbfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115661

N9OGL wrote:

>
> A waiver must either granted or denied, if it is denied the FCC must in
> writing explain why it was denied. All facts and finding must be shown
> so the applicant if he waiver is denied can file in the US Court of
> Appeal. there is a BIG difference between a dissmal and a denial. When
> I file the application in the mail on one day and three day's later it
> returned well, you get the hint.

I guess they don't accept applications filed out in crayon, full of
mispelled words and incomplete sentences.

# 1325. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:22:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.21.22.19.592308@gmail.com>
Source record: 115677

... on a side note, our blood (human blood, if everyone here is human!)
contains roughly the same proportion of salt per given volume as sea water ...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 13:50:03 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> Michael:
>
> Chlorine and sodium a BIG problem? The temperatures involved in
> separating the base elements, sodium and chlorine, from sea water are not
> found during the extraction of hydrogen and oxygen. Indeed, you would
> have to take the salt byproduct (sodium chloride) and melt it using
> carbon-graphite electrodes and ending up with chlorine gas and the sodium
> metal.
>
> What, now we must suffer your pseudo-science in chemistry? Having you
> generate further false "facts?" And, forcing me into the part of a
> "troll" as I attempt to correct falsehoods being perpetrated on those
> challenged in the knowledge of chemistry?
>
> John
>
> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 16:07:38 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>>>
>>>
>>> Mostly salt.
>>
>> Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While
>> we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would
>> be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be
>> an immense problem.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1326. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:30:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123795804.908160.220670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115678

John Smith wrote:
> ... on a side note, our blood (human blood, if everyone here is human!)
> contains roughly the same proportion of salt per given volume as sea water ...

John I think you are blowing this one, not a biologist but as I recal
from dim memeroies of a class I was bored to nearly to tears by we are
a salty (baring over eating on salt) as the oceans were somewhere about
the Cambrian (gelolgical people think in such timelines about 650M if
memeroy serves) and the ocean is slwoly getting slatier over time (as
an average gets saltier in age ages and less salt in between but the
trend line is up
>
> John
>
> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 13:50:03 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
> > Michael:
> >
> > Chlorine and sodium a BIG problem? The temperatures involved in
> > separating the base elements, sodium and chlorine, from sea water are not
> > found during the extraction of hydrogen and oxygen. Indeed, you would
> > have to take the salt byproduct (sodium chloride) and melt it using
> > carbon-graphite electrodes and ending up with chlorine gas and the sodium
> > metal.
> >
> > What, now we must suffer your pseudo-science in chemistry? Having you
> > generate further false "facts?" And, forcing me into the part of a
> > "troll" as I attempt to correct falsehoods being perpetrated on those
> > challenged in the knowledge of chemistry?
> >
> > John
> >
> > On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 16:07:38 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Mostly salt.
> >>
> >> Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While

> >> we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would
> >> be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be
> >> an immense problem.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1327. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:37:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.21.37.43.396946@gmail.com>
Source record: 115679

AOF:

Really? Well the "evolution line" they got going in the schools (to
generate a basis for removing our "inalienable rights granted by our
creator") states this as proof we evolved from the sea--now you are here
to take on that argument huh? Well, BULLY! for you! Time someone did...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 14:30:04 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... on a side note, our blood (human blood, if everyone here is human!)
>> contains roughly the same proportion of salt per given volume as sea water ...
>
> John I think you are blowing this one, not a biologist but as I recal
> from dim memeroies of a class I was bored to nearly to tears by we are
> a salty (baring over eating on salt) as the oceans were somewhere about
> the Cambrian (gelolgical people think in such timelines about 650M if
> memeroy serves) and the ocean is slwoly getting slatier over time (as
> an average gets saltier in age ages and less salt in between but the
> trend line is up
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 13:50:03 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>> > Michael:
>> >
>> > Chlorine and sodium a BIG problem? The temperatures involved in
>> > separating the base elements, sodium and chlorine, from sea water are not
>> > found during the extraction of hydrogen and oxygen. Indeed, you would
>> > have to take the salt byproduct (sodium chloride) and melt it using
>> > carbon-graphite electrodes and ending up with chlorine gas and the sodium
>> > metal.
>> >
>> > What, now we must suffer your pseudo-science in chemistry? Having you
>> > generate further false "facts?" And, forcing me into the part of a
>> > "troll" as I attempt to correct falsehoods being perpetrated on those
>> > challenged in the knowledge of chemistry?
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>> > On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 16:07:38 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

>> >
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Mostly salt.
>> >>
>> >> Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While
>> >> we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would
>> >> be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be
>> >> an immense problem.
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1328. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:45:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.21.45.10.495918@gmail.com>
Source record: 115680

AOF:

Never mind, someone got a head start on you:

http://www.answersingenesis.org/creation/v19/i2/blood.asp

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 14:30:04 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... on a side note, our blood (human blood, if everyone here is human!)
>> contains roughly the same proportion of salt per given volume as sea water ...
>
> John I think you are blowing this one, not a biologist but as I recal
> from dim memeroies of a class I was bored to nearly to tears by we are
> a salty (baring over eating on salt) as the oceans were somewhere about
> the Cambrian (gelolgical people think in such timelines about 650M if
> memeroy serves) and the ocean is slwoly getting slatier over time (as
> an average gets saltier in age ages and less salt in between but the
> trend line is up
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 13:50:03 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>> > Michael:
>> >
>> > Chlorine and sodium a BIG problem? The temperatures involved in
>> > separating the base elements, sodium and chlorine, from sea water are not
>> > found during the extraction of hydrogen and oxygen. Indeed, you would
>> > have to take the salt byproduct (sodium chloride) and melt it using
>> > carbon-graphite electrodes and ending up with chlorine gas and the sodium
>> > metal.
>> >
>> > What, now we must suffer your pseudo-science in chemistry? Having you
>> > generate further false "facts?" And, forcing me into the part of a
>> > "troll" as I attempt to correct falsehoods being perpetrated on those
>> > challenged in the knowledge of chemistry?
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>> > On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 16:07:38 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>> >>
>> >>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Mostly salt.
>> >>
>> >> Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While
>> >> we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would
>> >> be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be
>> >> an immense problem.
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1329. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:54:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.21.54.40.530226@gmail.com>
Source record: 115681

AOF:

Hmmm, challenges to "false knowledge" from yesterday and new knowledge
sometimes come from unexpected sources, I stand corrected... you will
have me paying a bit more attention to your words and much less to your
spelling and imperfect grammar from this point forth!

Thank you, I had honestly picked that "knowledge" up and had helped
perpetrate that myth for years! I am guilty of the crime! I will now
spend the rest of my life fighting that falsehood in an attempt to repent...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 14:30:04 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... on a side note, our blood (human blood, if everyone here is human!)
>> contains roughly the same proportion of salt per given volume as sea water ...
>
> John I think you are blowing this one, not a biologist but as I recal
> from dim memeroies of a class I was bored to nearly to tears by we are
> a salty (baring over eating on salt) as the oceans were somewhere about
> the Cambrian (gelolgical people think in such timelines about 650M if
> memeroy serves) and the ocean is slwoly getting slatier over time (as
> an average gets saltier in age ages and less salt in between but the
> trend line is up
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 13:50:03 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>> > Michael:
>> >
>> > Chlorine and sodium a BIG problem? The temperatures involved in
>> > separating the base elements, sodium and chlorine, from sea water are not
>> > found during the extraction of hydrogen and oxygen. Indeed, you would
>> > have to take the salt byproduct (sodium chloride) and melt it using
>> > carbon-graphite electrodes and ending up with chlorine gas and the sodium
>> > metal.
>> >
>> > What, now we must suffer your pseudo-science in chemistry? Having you
>> > generate further false "facts?" And, forcing me into the part of a
>> > "troll" as I attempt to correct falsehoods being perpetrated on those
>> > challenged in the knowledge of chemistry?
>> >

>> > John
>> >
>> > On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 16:07:38 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Mostly salt.
>> >>
>> >> Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While
>> >> we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would
>> >> be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be
>> >> an immense problem.
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1330. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 21:57:03 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <5uudnU3NwqNhJ2bfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115674

N9OGL wrote:
>>I guess they don't accept applications filed out in crayon, full of
>>mispelled words and incomplete sentences.
>
>
> Well your not a ham because surely they wouldn't give a license to an
> asshole.
>

The fact that they gave you one certainly disproves that theory.

# 1331. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 22:02:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123796670.856655.227810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115682

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Really? Well the "evolution line" they got going in the schools (to
> generate a basis for removing our "inalienable rights granted by our
> creator") states this as proof we evolved from the sea--now you are here
> to take on that argument huh? Well, BULLY! for you! Time someone did...

Yea always been more evolved than some hanging arround here.

but then I never realy saw the conflict between eveolution and even the
Bible, In the bible they just step WAAAYYYY back and gives a big
picture,

Faith is faith, sicence is science
>
> John
>
> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 14:30:04 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> ... on a side note, our blood (human blood, if everyone here is human!)
> >> contains roughly the same proportion of salt per given volume as sea water ...
> >
> > John I think you are blowing this one, not a biologist but as I recal
> > from dim memeroies of a class I was bored to nearly to tears by we are
> > a salty (baring over eating on salt) as the oceans were somewhere about
> > the Cambrian (gelolgical people think in such timelines about 650M if
> > memeroy serves) and the ocean is slwoly getting slatier over time (as
> > an average gets saltier in age ages and less salt in between but the
> > trend line is up
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >> On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 13:50:03 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >> > Michael:
> >> >
> >> > Chlorine and sodium a BIG problem? The temperatures involved in
> >> > separating the base elements, sodium and chlorine, from sea water are not
> >> > found during the extraction of hydrogen and oxygen. Indeed, you would
> >> > have to take the salt byproduct (sodium chloride) and melt it using
> >> > carbon-graphite electrodes and ending up with chlorine gas and the sodium
> >> > metal.

> >> >
> >> > What, now we must suffer your pseudo-science in chemistry? Having you
> >> > generate further false "facts?" And, forcing me into the part of a
> >> > "troll" as I attempt to correct falsehoods being perpetrated on those
> >> > challenged in the knowledge of chemistry?
> >> >
> >> > John
> >> >
> >> > On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 16:07:38 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Mostly salt.
> >> >>
> >> >> Hey Jim, I forgot to mention Sodium hydroxide as well as Chlorine. While
> >> >> we often purposefully generate Cl via hydrolysis, the amounts that would
> >> >> be generated by hydrogen fuel production on a national scale would be
> >> >> an immense problem.
> >> >>
> >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 1332. Apalled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 22:05:17 UTC
From: jhhtexas@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123797917.256370.326990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115683

As a ham operator for over 50 years, I am apalled at the lack of
civility in this newsgroup. It's a shame there can't be serious
discussions of radio.amateur.policy without resorting to the "lowest
common denominator" rhetoric.

Jim
W4MQY
formerly KP4VL (licensed 1953)

# 1333. Re: What a surprise! Woger runs even further from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 22:09:28 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.has.no.self.control@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 115684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 11 Aug 2005 21:09:22 -0000, Anonymous <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:
[nothing important]

Ezekiel's photo is now here:
http://img353.imageshack.us/img353/9137/woger7pf.jpg

# 1334. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 22:18:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ltGdnZnC1P5oU2bfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 115685

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.11.04.56.43.779519@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> Here is what I think:
>
> 1) NO standards have been established.
> 2) BPL is in a testing phase, we will NOT know anything until this is
> completed by independent testing laboratories--NOT HAMS--NOT THOSE
> HOLDING THE LICENSES, PATENTS, MANUFACTURING RIGHTS, ETC, ETC....
> 3) Amateurs are over-reacting BEFORE data has been had.
> 4) All will be decided on its merits.
> 5) Amateur hobbyists may have to tolerate some interference for the
> benefit of tens or hundreds of millions.
> 6) I think they would be idiots to tell us exactly what they were working
> on and details of the methods, freqs and hardware... if they do, why not
> just give the technology away?
>

BPL will benefit no one. In the markets large enough for it to have a chance of flying, consumers already have a choice among several competing technologies (phone, DSL, cable, WI-FI, and satellite), some of which are better than BPL.

To compete, it will have to be as cheap as phone and as fast as cable. Won't happen. To cover operating costs, it will cost in the same range per month as DSL or cable with NO advantages and several disadvantages to the actual users.

See it isn't just throwing the signal on the power line and then having a special modem at the consumer end. Substantial investments in hardware are required. There has to be a signal booster every mile or so OR the signal has to run via cable almost up to the consumer and then be shifted to the power line. In addition, every transformer has to have a bypass installed for the broadband signal.

While we need to keep alert to the problem potential in BPL, I'm not too excited about it as there are independent industry analysts showing that it will be a loser due to financial considerations even if the system is mature and fully "loaded" to achieve the lowest possible price.

Besides the speed at which Dad will drop BPL when Junior interferes with his ballgame on TV or radio would make your head spin.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1335. Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 22:37:58 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ddgk05$s5p$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 115686

UK Police pull the plug on English Radio Ham just hours after a QSO on 20m
with a fellow Ham in VK talking about the London 21st July Terror Attacks:

http://tinyurl.com/ch8sh

--
73deG1LVN
<----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm

# 1336. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 22:43:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ndCdnYXcO5k8SWbfRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 115687

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:ddgann$16iu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>

{snip}

>> BPL has one and only one selling point: If you have BPL service, you
>> can plug your computer into any power outlet in your house - or your
>> neighbor's house, if it's served - and get a highspeed connection. It's
>> a 'last mile' delivery system, nothing more.
>
> The plug into the outlet thing is kind of a day late and a dollar short
> IMO. My desktop computers are plugged into the walls, but all of the
> family laptops are wireless. So it might be a hard sell to tell someone
> that they will just have to plug into the wall socket to get their
> internet, when they now don't have to connect to anything!
>

I hadn't really considered that before but I can see where the wireless home
network is going to diminish the value of "plugging into any electrical
outlet" sales pitch. Many of my friends, as well as myself, have wireless
networks for the laptops and desktops. BPL is going to lose a lot of ground
here as by the time they get deployed, if they get deployed, will be a late
entry into that game.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1337. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 23:06:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vRQKe.4179$Wi6.2462@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115688

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:ddgann$16iu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

>
> The plug into the outlet thing is kind of a day late and a dollar short IMO.
> My desktop computers are plugged into the walls, but all of the family laptops
> are wireless. So it might be a hard sell to tell someone that they will just
> have to plug into the wall socket to get their internet, when they now don't
> have to connect to anything!
>

Your laptops would likely continue wireless via your home network. But just
like now, somewhere you'd need to "plug in" to connect to your ISP.

# 1338. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 23:16:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123802178.923710.74480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115689

From: John Smith on Thurs 11 Aug 2005 11:04

>Jim:
>
>W1RFI? The arrl hitman against BPL? That guy? Krist, look at his call!
>The guy has RFI on the brain, probably thinks alien spacecraft is causing
>a lot of interference on the band too! Oh yeah, sounds like a real
>unbiased guy to be giving advice alright...
>
>Get real!

Another history lesson, John. Ed Hare (W1RFI) is a lead spokesperson for the ARRL on radio interference matters affecting radio amateurs. He got enthused about that job (he gets paid for what he does) enough that he got a vanity call to reflect his work.

In truth, Ed Hare doesn't have much experience in metrology anywhere else but at the ARRL "laboratory." He does possess enough smarts to analyze data and find sources of information from those WITH experience in metrology. FOR the ARRL he appears to be doing a good job.

However, the ARRL is not the be-all and end-all of any BPL problems' information. ARRL has actually hired a commercial firm to do RFI measurements at one Market Test location. ARRL website used to have a link to download that report, may still be there (haven't looked myself). The ARRL has (or had at any rate) several links to other sites which DO have quantitative data on RFI problems.

A REAL source of information on BPL is in the Comments to the FCC from 2003 to 2004 on the FCC's NOI (Notice of Inquiry) into "industry suggestions on measurement methods of RFI in the field." That alone touched off a tirade, a flood of angst by radio amateurs against BPL's very existance...without a whole lot of "suggestions on measurement methods."

Lost to the majority of Commenters is the FACT that the FCC COULD NOT FORBID the existance of BPL. All the FCC could do is to determine if RFI exceeded a regulatory-set power level and regulate the service-provider aspect of BPL providers. The FCC has since done that and is refining some of its regulations. The Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) is handling Access BPL.

>On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 03:31:41 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>> If you have been around this group for over a year, you would have seen
>> links to some of W1RFI's work. There are different BPL standards
>> (apparently) now. A link had been posted in this group quite some time ago
>> (perhaps a year?) which allowed folks to view the video of some of W1RFI's
>> work. What he found was a tremendous amount of interference caused by BPL.
>> Interference that did not stop at the ends of the amateur bands.

Slight correction, Jim. Ed Hare didn't find out all this
"tremendous
amount of interference" all by himself. OTHERS found it and
reported
it. Government agencies have made quantitative measurements to a
high metrology accuracy and documented that...such is publicly
available. Ed Hare pointed to the sources of information. The
ARRL itself did very little but publicize the matter. Certain
localities (a club organization in Iowa) have done far more in
their own area in terms of effort and maintaining high metrology
standards as well as reporting it.

"Tremendous amount" is a very subjective statement. Subjective
statements aren't good for regulation law. The law should state
some exact limit levels on that interference, including the
general method (peak v. average, measurement bandwidth, comparison
against known physical standards, etc). Some of those exact limit
levels will be argued and they may be arbitrary...but they will be
far more correct that using the subjective "tremendous amount."

>> A lot of good folks have left this group as the bickering keeps getting
>> worse.

True, but irrelevant to the subject thread... :-)

>> You continue to miss the fact that at least some of the BPL that was
>> demonstrated *does* cause harmful interference. You suggest that the fact
>> that it interferes with amateur radio means nothing whilst you neglect that
>> it *does* interfere with other licensed services (I believe I mentioned
>> channel 2 and 3 television, but there are many more services that it can
>> affect).

Those radio services (including broadcasting) have been noted
and explained by industry/business groups involved in those HF
and low-VHF services on the FCC NOI. It isn't the job of the
ARRL to safeguard anything but the wishes of its membership.

>> It is posts such as yours that seem more intent upon trolling than engaging
>> in meaningful discussion that tend to upset some folks. By trolling I mean
>> that you post with a leading subject line and ignore a lot of facts (as if
>> BPL couldn't cause a problem with other services).

"Trolling" seems to be the essence of many folks' participation
in here...including some of your own postings! :-)

Insofar as "some folks" are concerned, it's NOT everyone's job (or goal in life) to placate them, to commisserate, to capitulate to their mighty opinions. [especially true about the PCTA extras in here] If you don't like controversy, newsgroups are NOT for you!

On the same token, postings should have some semblance of civility which is often thrown aside by some. John posts provocatively but he is also civil (as much as possible) to his 'opponents,' most of whom have NOT bothered with much civility in denigrating him. I give John credit for talking back to these other anony-mousies (and identifiables) who have increased the intolerable noise level in this newsgroup.

bpl rfi
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1339. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 23:18:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.11.23.18.38.878069@gmail.com>
Source record: 115694

Dee:

I say, if it is technically possible, we WILL have it, if not, we WILL NOT have it--I hear "authority hams" on the bands--I avoid them--what they say just doesn't matter... if you really want to look into that crystal ball, look much harder--radio and tv WILL BE over the internet, so will your landline phone... no one is going to have to worry about interference to the bands now existing, even now, many radio stations simulcast and can be heard on your computer, I listen to east coast am stations all the time, if I had a faster connection, I'd watch some internet tv... SDP is a free program which does internet radio quite nicely, audio is superb...

BPL will be in testing for years, somewhere along the way the guy with the right idea will show up and/or the technology will advance, the rest will be history...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 18:18:51 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.11.04.56.43.779519@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Here is what I think:
>>
>> 1) NO standards have been established.
>> 2) BPL is in a testing phase, we will NOT know anything until this is
>> completed by independent testing laboratories--NOT HAMS--NOT THOSE
>> HOLDING THE LICENSES, PATENTS, MANUFACTURING RIGHTS, ETC, ETC....
>> 3) Amateurs are over-reacting BEFORE data has been had.
>> 4) All will be decided on its merits.
>> 5) Amateur hobbyists may have to tolerate some interference for the
>> benefit of tens or hundreds of millions.
>> 6) I think they would be idiots to tell us exactly what they were working
>> on and details of the methods, freqs and hardware... if they do, why not
>> just give the technology away?
>>
>
> BPL will benefit no one. In the markets large enough for it to have a
> chance of flying, consumers already have a choice among several competing
> technologies (phone, DSL, cable, WI-FI, and satellite), some of which are
> better than BPL.
>
> To compete, it will have to be as cheap as phone and as fast as cable.

> Won't happen. To cover operating costs, it will cost in the same range per
> month as DSL or cable with NO advantages and several disadvantages to the
> actual users.
>
> See it isn't just throwing the signal on the power line and then having a
> special modem at the consumer end. Substantial investments in hardware are
> required. There has to be a signal booster every mile or so OR the signal
> has to run via cable almost up to the consumer and then be shifted to the
> power line. In addition, every transformer has to have a bypass installed
> for the broadband signal.
>
> While we need to keep alert to the problem potential in BPL, I'm not too
> excited about it as there are independent industry analysts showing that it
> will be a loser due to financial considerations even if the system is mature
> and fully "loaded" to achieve the lowest possible price.
>
> Besides the speed at which Dad will drop BPL when Junior interferes with his
> ballgame on TV or radio would make your head spin.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1340. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 23:22:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123802535.581391.275370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115690

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?

> > Mostly salt.
>
>And chlorine.

As you mentioned in another post, sodium hydroxide.

However I don't see that as a big problem. Either the electrolysis
process can be made to not split the NaCl, or it can be recombined and
the energy recovered.

The main byproduct problem I see is that you'll have lots of salt.

> >>Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
> >>Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
> >>energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.
> >
> >
> > Which means it is compressed and your fuel tank becomes a highpressure
> > canister. Not only is the stuff flammable, like gasoline, but it's
> > under high
> > pressure.
> >
> > Two ways to go boom.
> >
> >
> >>But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
> >>would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
> >>would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
> >>water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)
> >>
> >>So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
> >>power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
> >>
> >>Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
> >>as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
> >>first place.
> >
> >
> > Maybe. There are all sorts of possible technologies to extract,

> > transport and store hydrogen. For example, there's work being done to
> > store the gas in metal hydrides. It could be extracted by using
> > electricity made photovoltaically. Etc.
>
> The infrastructure would be interesting. The best looking way for
> hydride storage that I've heard of so far, is the replacement tank
> method. Interesting, and I suspect that teh real quick stop for fuel
> would become a thing of the past.

Not with quick-connecting pipes. Leave it to the MEs.

> > The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
> > competitive. How much will a hydrogen car cost? How much will they cost
> > to drive per mile? What are the maintenance costs?
>
> Maintenance would *probably* be improved. I suspect that
> engines would
> last longer, and be a lot cleaner to be around.

Agreed!

But the cost competitiveness problem still exists.

> > The big problem is that there's probably no single magic long-> > term
> > solution. Rather there are a bunch of small solutions that > > add up.
> >
> > Here's two favorites of mine:
> >
> > Imagine a tall (couple of hundred feet) hollow tower, in the desert. A
> > vertical pipe, as it were, with holes around the bottom.
> >
> > Around its base is a large circular greenhouse whose roof slants toward
> > the tower.
> >
> > When the sun is out, the air under the greenhouse roof is heated, and
> > rises. This creates an artificial wind towards the tower. The warmed
> > air goes up the tower, which contains a wind-driven generator. Works
> > whether or not there is a breeze. The generator and its impeller are
> > near ground level. Etc.
>
> Good process, and an old one. A lot of places in the middle east use
> those type of towers (usually lower) to bring cooling air into the house.

The process also works for a limited amount of time after the sun goes
down. The warm ground heats the air above it.

The ground under the greenhouse roof can be farmed, if there's water
available for irrigation.

> > also
> >
> > There's a process called TDP (Thermal Depolymerization Process) that

> > can supposedly break down various types of waste into fuel oil, gas and
> > other usable products. For example, there's a pilot plant here in
> > Philadelphia that takes sewage sludge (ugh) and breaks it down into a
> > type of fuel oil, methane gas, water, and some other things that are
> > usable as fertilizer. The result is also
> > sterilized.

> If nothing else, it gets rid of the stuff!

Which is a major problem today. Two birds, etc.

> > Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a turkey-processing
> > plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
> >
> > The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
> > chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
> > steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
> >
> > The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
>
> hmm, not too bad...

*IF* it really does what is claimed. That's the problem with a
lot of new technologies:

If they're for-real, the developers are very secretive about the actual
process because they're afraid it will be stolen.

If they're a scam, the developers are very secretive about the actual
process because they're afraid it will be discovered to be a fake.
>
> > Of course the above pilot plants produce fuel at the rate of a few
> > hundred barrels a day.
> >
> > It's doubtful that either of the above will solve all our energy
> > problems. It's also unclear as to whether they are economically
> > feasible on a large scale.
> >
> > But if they are doable, they can sure help. In the case of TDP, a big
> > part of the waste-disposal problem can be dealt with.
>
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1341. Re: What a surprise! Woger runs even further from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 23:37:57 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <ddgnel$ims$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Is that Roger's photo?
MUAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !!!!!!!!!

No wonder the only date he's had in the last five years was voted "Miss Crustacean".

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message news:woger.has.no.self.control@houston-hunks.com...
On 11 Aug 2005 21:09:22 -0000, Anonymous <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:
[nothing important]

Ezekiel's photo is now here:
http://img353.imageshack.us/img353/9137/woger7pf.jpg

## 1342. Re: Apalled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 23:43:56 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ddgnrs$7hc$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 115692

<jhhtexas@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1123797917.256370.326990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> formerly KP4VL (licensed 1953)

and showing it

--
73deG1LVN
<----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm

## 1343. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-11 23:54:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vyRKe.4485$WD.1959@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115693

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote >

>
>Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't
> allowed to talk to them
>

I see no such rule in Part 97. But of course Part 97 does not apply in
Tanzania. Do you have a copy of the Amateur Radio service rules effective
during the time that Dave was licensed there. If not, your case is pure heresy.

Case dismissed.

Next case please.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1344. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:18:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CLWdnZbLEpRGd2bfRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 115695

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vRQKe.4179$Wi6.2462@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:ddgann$16iu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>
>> The plug into the outlet thing is kind of a day late and a dollar short
>> IMO. My desktop computers are plugged into the walls, but all of the
>> family laptops are wireless. So it might be a hard sell to tell someone
>> that they will just have to plug into the wall socket to get their
>> internet, when they now don't have to connect to anything!
>>
>
> Your laptops would likely continue wireless via your home network. But
> just like now, somewhere you'd need to "plug in" to connect to your ISP.
>

But once you have a wireless router, then it doesn't usually matter where in the house you have it as none of the computers need to be connected directly to it. Thus the "plug it into any power outlet" for the ISP becomes moot, i.e. it has no extra sales value over a cable, DSL, etc connection.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1345. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:21:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RZGdnScFEq4edmbfRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 115696

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.11.23.18.38.878069@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> I say, if it is technically possible, we WILL have it, if not, we WILL NOT
> have it--I hear "authority hams" on the bands--I avoid them--what they say
> just doesn't matter... if you really want to look into that crystal ball,

If it is economically viable, it will happen. If it is not, it won't.
Technology is seldom the driving force as to whether or not something is
implemented.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1346. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:30:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e4SKe.4202$Wi6.3907@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115697

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> Thus the "plug it into any power outlet" for the ISP becomes moot, i.e. it has
> no extra sales value over a cable, DSL, etc connection.

I think the "plug it into any power outlet" notion is a marketing play to the idea of not needing another phone line, coax, fiber, or other "pipe" out to the world.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1347. Re: Apalled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:30:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.00.30.46.265504@gmail.com>
Source record: 115698

Jim:

Correct, worse, it is catching, hang around, you will be tempted!

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 15:05:17 -0700, jhhtexas wrote:

> As a ham operator for over 50 years, I am apalled at the lack of
> civility in this newsgroup. It's a shame there can't be serious
> discussions of radio.amateur.policy without resorting to the "lowest
> common denominator" rhetoric.
>
> Jim
> W4MQY
> formerly KP4VL (licensed 1953)

# 1348. Re: Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:42:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123807359.293776.284980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115699

```
G1LVN (for it is he) wrote:
> UK Police pull the plug on English Radio Ham just hours after a QSO on 20m
> with a fellow Ham in VK talking about the London 21st July Terror Attacks:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/ch8sh

Charming, and people dare claim allowing people like me will even be
noticed on HF
>
>
> --
> 73deG1LVN
> <----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
> racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
> www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm
```

# 1349. Re: Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:43:48 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ddgrc3$fse$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 115700

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123807359.293776.284980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
arrrghhhhhh......
...at last we meet my old mucker...

--
73deG1LVN
<----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm

# 1350. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:53:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZpSKe.4533$WD.2978@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115701

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> 300,000 with a 10:1 data compaction is already at 3,000,000... due to the
> fact we KNOW NOTHING of the data compaction methods they are using (most
> likely trade secrets) we can't even guess what they are capable of... I'd
> venture 10megs or more...
>
> You guys seem to think in terms of brass keys...

You think way too small, John (if you've even thought about this at all).

A single subscriber just might (doubtful, but maybe) be able to be served via an under-300KHz pipe at xDSL-like speed (which is decidedly slow by comparison to other "broadband" delivery options available).

But we're talking about scores of subscribers (maybe hundreds in a high density housing area) sharing that power-line "trunk". Every BPL scheme that I've heard about extends from around 2MHz up into the low VHF range at 60MHz or higher.

Take the time to examine the technology before you embarass yourself more.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

https://www6.adc.com/ecom/hier?EXPAND=Y&NODE=OND27297

# 1351. Re: Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 00:54:38 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ddgs0e$ikd$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 115702

"G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ddgrc3$fse$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123807359.293776.284980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
> arrrghhhhhh......
> ...at last we meet my old mucker...

Hughes Network Systems !

--
73deG1LVN
<----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm

# 1352. Re: Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 01:14:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123809290.328932.39120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115704

G1LVN (for it is he) wrote:
> "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ddgrc3$fse$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> >
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1123807359.293776.284980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> > arrrghhhhhh......
> > ...at last we meet my old mucker...
>
> Hughes Network Systems !

well they are one of the few (when I got here only) Braodband providers
avalble out here with the Bears
>
>
> --
> 73deG1LVN
> <----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
> racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
> www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm

## 1353. Re: Apalled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 01:15:13 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4ImdnZ2dnZ31Qp3-nZ2dnY9lZt-dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 115703

Well Jim ...welcome to the group ..... you can read and delete and respond without the "common denominator". There are those whose posts I just ignore and those who I routinely read. The bum society is here ...there and everywhere ....

Take care and 73 .... KI3R Tom in Belle Vernon Pa.
<jhhtexas@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1123797917.256370.326990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> As a ham operator for over 50 years, I am apalled at the lack of
> civility in this newsgroup. It's a shame there can't be serious
> discussions of radio.amateur.policy without resorting to the "lowest
> common denominator" rhetoric.
>
> Jim
> W4MQY
> formerly KP4VL (licensed 1953)
>

# 1354. Re: Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 01:19:29 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ddgtf1$kkn$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 115705

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123809290.328932.39120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> avalble out here with the Bears
>>
How you doing? Well I hope?

--
73deG1LVN
<----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm

# 1355. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 01:48:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.01.47.57.850370@gmail.com>
Source record: 115706

K0HB:

I just love you guys, now you have me claiming that I know how it is going to all work and am a "hardware authority."

Only homebrew here is linears, antennas, swr meters, and various other "little projects" (and yes, I know, my SWR meter actually measures the proper loading of the transmitter and NOT real SWR--but hey, I think they keep my finals running a LOT cooler--kewler too.) Line radiation, take off angle (because of mismatch), and increased losses don't give me nightmares anymore.

NOPE not me, I am a software engineer (I like the title "White Hacker" better, coder has a "ring" to it too.)

Read my past posts, I say the hardware/software guys working on BPL have some ideas to check out, they are doing that now. If it is feasible it will come to use, if not, it too will go the way of the dodo bird. I am saying any number of "authority hobbyists" which don't know a fifo, lilo, circular queue, linked list, doubly-linked list or binary tree from a banana tree don't count. And, most likely, don't even have the beginning of knowledge to make a statement on its' feasibility.

On RFI, they are collecting data, re-thinking, re-engineering and re-working algorithms--after "real world data" I would imagine testing will move indoors and be done in software--mostly... I will take a look at the data--when it has been explored throughly (well, I might not, not that interested really--if there is noise I will know it.)

But, your argument seems to me that you are more qualified and knowledgeable and should be telling them not to even bother, go tell them, see what they say... I am telling you, I know better than to do that!

You feel somehow a group of idiots got in charge of BPL and no one is noticing, I think you are wrong. If my past experience is any indication, they are the very best designers, R&D people, engineers, mathematicians, etc. which have the confidence of the industry, that it should at least be investigated.

But, what do I know? Maybe they got three mexican laborers--one with a wire, one with a calculator and one with an old TV they are going to use for parts. Hey, ya never know!

Too bad they didn't consult with arrl and you--would have prevented them from looking like the fools you claim and expending uncounted bucks! Yanno, someone save them that kind of money, they might consider making you their CEO! Maybe it isn't too late!

I am saying, data compression will be the real key in the end, no
matter what the medium of transmission... and I do know that! It will be
the guy which knows the difference between a binary tree and a banana
tree...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 00:53:13 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>> 300,000 with a 10:1 data compaction is already at 3,000,000... due to the
>> fact we KNOW NOTHING of the data compaction methods they are using (most
>> likely trade secrets) we can't even guess what they are capable of... I'd
>> venture 10megs or more...
>>
>> You guys seem to think in terms of brass keys...
>
> You think way too small, John (if you've even thought about this at all).
>
> A single subscriber just might (doubtful, but maybe) be able to be served via an
> under-300KHz pipe at xDSL-like speed (which is decidedly slow by comparison to
> other "broadband" delivery options available).
>
> But we're talking about scores of subscribers (maybe hundreds in a high density
> housing area) sharing that power-line "trunk". Every BPL scheme that I've heard
> about extends from around 2MHz up into the low VHF range at 60MHz or higher.
>
> Take the time to examine the technology before you embarass yourself more.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
>
> https://www6.adc.com/ecom/hier?EXPAND=Y&NODE=OND27297

## 1356. Re: Apalled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 02:25:36 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <ujvnf11ir0hb0upkhl7sb092e7s44ge5sl@4ax.com>
Source record: 115708

On 11 Aug 2005 15:05:17 -0700, jhhtexas@ieee.org wrote:

>As a ham operator for over 50 years, I am apalled at the lack of
>civility in this newsgroup. It's a shame there can't be serious
>discussions of radio.amateur.policy without resorting to the "lowest
>common denominator" rhetoric.
>
>Jim
>W4MQY
>formerly KP4VL (licensed 1953)

I know what you mean. You'd think this newsgroup would have become
more civil after Dick Caroll kicked the bucket, but that just wasn't
the case.

# 1357. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 02:28:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nPTKe.4243$Wi6.2486@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115707

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> Read my past posts, I say the hardware/software guys working on BPL have
> some ideas to check out, they are doing that now. If it is feasible it
> will come to use, if not, it too will go the way of the dodo bird. I am
> saying any number of "authority hobbyists" which don't know a fifo, lilo,
> circular queue, linked list, doubly-linked list or binary tree from a
> banana tree don't count. And, most likely, don't even have the beginning
> of knowledge to make a statement on its' feasibility.

Wasn't arguing it's feasibility --- it's proven to work.

I was challenging your expressed notion that the frequency of operation could likely be held under 300KHz.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Product Development Manager http://www.adc.com

# 1358. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 02:55:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.02.55.15.827140@gmail.com>
Source record: 115709

Dee:

Let me appeal to your feminine side, that side where "religious zealot-ism" is absent.

My grandfather claimed the first phone companies used peoples' barbed wire fences, metal buildings, abandoned wiring, railroad tracks, etc. for the very first phone lines. I wasn't born back then, and have just taken his word for that, until someone points out is false, I like dreaming about it. It is darn near as good a story, to me, as a romantic novel is to heart sick teenager!

Now, what these old amateurs see as the "frankenstein monster", I see as hope. I am wondering if we are not seeing history repeat itself and people in the future may reflect back on the first crude methods we implemented in BPL? And, what will BPL become when we are able to stop using the "fences and railroad tracks?"

And, surely, you realize someone able to hold a "third-person point of view" here would see all the players here, the self-serving, the petty, the liars, the special interests, the con-artists, those of a "good ole boys mentality", etc.

Gawd, haven't you ever had to sit though such a boring play that it almost brought you to tears. Look at the opportunity here, free entertainment, raw emotion, evil doers, the protagonists and the antagonists... you could never purchase a ticket to such a show. And, it is real, it is/"will be" history.

Enjoy the entertainment and keep in the mind, whatever the outcome, most probably, we ALL win... this is not the end of the world...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 20:21:22 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.11.23.18.38.878069@gmail.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> I say, if it is technically possible, we WILL have it, if not, we WILL NOT
>> have it--I hear "authority hams" on the bands--I avoid them--what they say
>> just doesn't matter... if you really want to look into that crystal ball,
>
> If it is economically viable, it will happen. If it is not, it won't.
> Technology is seldom the driving force as to whether or not something is

> implemented.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1359. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 03:16:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.03.16.51.155877@gmail.com>
Source record: 115710

K0HB:

I see BPL as spokes on a wheel, no matter what degree they are measured
in the circle, they all return to the central hub, the internet. How many
users a "spoke" can accommodate, that is what we are finding out and the
evil doers attempting to halt. You are just attempting to play the end
game, there are many moves in between.
We can't even argue that point before the real data is ALL in...

If those who jumped the bandwagon, over-reacted, already attempted to try
BPL as if it where on trial, and ended up looking self-serving are
correct, well, won't they crow as roosters that "WE WERE RIGHT!"

But, if they are wrong, a way is found, let us see if they can behave as
gentlemen and accept the criticism...

Frankly, I think you are all wet on what to get worried and upset about...
I am much more concerned how such a system could be used to spy on the
citizens of this country--and I am voicing that in other forums... a
hobby I can abandon--if ABSOLUTELY necessary.

My freedom I cannot, and my attempting to maintain that/those freedom(s)
and oppose counter forces could easily kill me...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 02:28:35 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Read my past posts, I say the hardware/software guys working on BPL have
>> some ideas to check out, they are doing that now. If it is feasible it
>> will come to use, if not, it too will go the way of the dodo bird. I am
>> saying any number of "authority hobbyists" which don't know a fifo, lilo,
>> circular queue, linked list, doubly-linked list or binary tree from a
>> banana tree don't count. And, most likely, don't even have the beginning
>> of knowledge to make a statement on its' feasibility.
>>
>
> Wasn't arguing it's feasibility --- it's proven to work.
>
> I was challenging your expressed notion that the frequency of operation could
> likely be held under 300KHz.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

> Product Development Manager http://www.adc.com

## 1360. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 03:54:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rrmdnb6rQIfxgGHfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115711

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>
>
>>>Mostly salt.
>>
>>And chlorine.
>
>
> As you mentioned in another post, sodium hydroxide.
>
> However I don't see that as a big problem. Either the electrolysis
> process can be made to not split the NaCl, or it can be recombined and
> the energy recovered.
>
> The main byproduct problem I see is that you'll have lots of salt.
>
>
>>>>Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
>>>>Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
>>>>energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.
>>>
>>>
>>>Which means it is compressed and your fuel tank becomes a highpressure
>>>canister. Not only is the stuff flammable, like gasoline, but it's
>>>under high
>>>pressure.
>>>
>>>Two ways to go boom.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
>>>>would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
>>>>would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
>>>>water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)

>>>>
>>>>So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
>>>>power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
>>>>
>>>>Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
>>>>as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
>>>>first place.
>>>
>>>
>>>Maybe. There are all sorts of possible technologies to extract,
>>>transport and store hydrogen. For example, there's work being done to
>>>store the gas in metal hydrides. It could be extracted by using
>>>electricity made photovoltaically. Etc.
>>
>>The infrastructure would be interesting. The best looking way for
>>hydride storage that I've heard of so far, is the replacement tank
>>method. Interesting, and I suspect that teh real quick stop for fuel
>>would become a thing of the past.
>
>
> Not with quick-connecting pipes. Leave it to the MEs.

I wonder if there will be self-tank exchange stations? 8^)

No doubt it can be done.

>>The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
>>>competitive. How much will a hydrogen car cost? How much will they cost
>>>to drive per mile? What are the maintenance costs?
>>
>>Maintenance would *probably* be improved. I suspect that
>>engines would
>>last longer, and be a lot cleaner to be around.
>
>
> Agreed!
>
> But the cost competitiveness problem still exists.
>
>>>The big problem is that there's probably no single magic long-> > term
>>>solution. Rather there are a bunch of small solutions that > > add up.
>>>
>>>Here's two favorites of mine:
>>>
>>>Imagine a tall (couple of hundred feet) hollow tower, in the desert. A
>>>vertical pipe, as it were, with holes around the bottom.
>>>
>>>Around its base is a large circular greenhouse whose roof slants toward
>>>the tower.
>>>
>>>When the sun is out, the air under the greenhouse roof is heated, and

>>>rises. This creates an artificial wind towards the tower. The warmed
>>>air goes up the tower, which contains a wind-driven generator. Works
>>>whether or not there is a breeze. The generator and its impeller are
>>>near ground level. Etc.
>>
>> Good process, and an old one. A lot of places in the middle east use
>>those type of towers (usually lower) to bring cooling air into the house.
>
>
> The process also works for a limited amount of time after the sun goes
> down. The warm ground heats the air above it.
>
> The ground under the greenhouse roof can be farmed, if there's water
> available for irrigation.
>
>
>>>also
>>>
>>>There's a process called TDP (Thermal Depolymerization Process) that
>>>can supposedly break down various types of waste into fuel oil, gas and
>>>other usable products. For example, there's a pilot plant here in
>>>Philadelphia that takes sewage sludge (ugh) and breaks it down into a
>>>type of fuel oil, methane gas, water, and some other things that are
>>>usable as fertilizer. The result is also
>>>sterilized.
>
>
>> If nothing else, it gets rid of the stuff!
>
>
> Which is a major problem today. Two birds, etc.
>
>
>>>Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a turkey-processing
>>>plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
>>>
>>>The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
>>>chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
>>>steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
>>>
>>>The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
>>
>> hmm, not too bad...
>
>
> *IF* it really does what is claimed. That's the problem with a
> lot of new technologies:

One of the biggest problems I see for many of these technologies is that
they often don't have the scalability needed to provide fuel for many

vehicles, let alone fuel the countries needs. If this plant went into serious production, it might run out of feedstock pretty quickly.

I think that until the next big fuel comes along, we are going to enter an age of "niche" fuel production.

That is okay, as long as we don't get involved in feedstock that might otherwise be food, ie corn/ethanol production. There are possible ethical considerations that will crop up in that case.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1361. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 03:57:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VoWdnc5PCvylg2HfRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115712

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty!
>>>>For one thing,
>>>>ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
>>>
>>>mentioned
>>
>> Yep.
>
>
> Did anyone besides me actually read the articles I linked?
>
>
>>>>The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing"
>>>> in space travel
>>>>- as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of
>>>>getting to orbit,
>>>>reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were
>>>>told of turnaround
>>>>times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to
>>>>20 million dollars
>>>>total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30
>>>>years after the program began.
>>>
>>>yea the shutle was and is a failure
>>
>> Based upon WHAT data, Mark?
>
>
> It's a fact that the Space Shuttle program has not reached *some*
> of the goals set for it. OTOH it has reached and exceeded some
> of the goals, too.
>
> The Space Shuttle program is neither a complete success nor a total
> failure. It's done many great things, but not everything
> that was expected.
>
> But that's not the point I was making.

>
>>That people have been killed flying it? So what?
>
>
> No Americans died flying the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo missions. The
> Apollo 1 fire that killed astronauts Grissom, Chaffee and White
> happened during a ground training/checkout session.
>
>
>>People die on
>>commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a
>>failure?
>
>
> There's a big difference.
>
> The chances of dying in a commercial airliner accident are extremely
> small. The failure rate of commercial airline flights (where "failure"
> equals "people died") is extremely small. In fact if you drive to the
> airport, fly around the world on First World commercial airliners
> (returning to your point of origin), and drive home, the most dangerous
> part of the trip is the drive to and from the airport, statistically
> speaking.

One of the statistics that is trotted out when speaking of airline
safety is passenger miles. I suspect the shuttle would fare *very* well
if we applied passenger miles to it! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1362. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 04:05:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7NydnZ2dnZ3MX6CXnZ2dnW2CYd-dnZ2dRVn-zZ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115713

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:ddgann$16iu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
>>The plug into the outlet thing is kind of a day late and a dollar short IMO.
>>My desktop computers are plugged into the walls, but all of the family laptops
>>are wireless. So it might be a hard sell to tell someone that they will just
>>have to plug into the wall socket to get their internet, when they now don't
>>have to connect to anything!
>>
>
>
> Your laptops would likely continue wireless via your home network. But just
> like now, somewhere you'd need to "plug in" to connect to your ISP.

Correct, they would have to plug in somewhere. But it sure takes the
wind out of that "advantage" of BPL. Right now, I plug into my cable.
The only selling point is that they could run into your house without
having to physically come into your house. Big deal. Gimme the fiber!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1363. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 04:06:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.04.06.28.824341@gmail.com>
Source record: 115715

Michael:

Don't you think chinas' appetite for fuel will eventually (soon?) consume
the elephants share, we can probably scale back and ride bicycles so there
is enough fuel for trucks to bring us food and toilet paper--but, maybe
not...

John

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 23:54:19 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>What are the byproducts of converting seawater to hydrogen and oxygen?
>>
>>
>>>>Mostly salt.
>>>
>>>And chlorine.
>>
>>
>> As you mentioned in another post, sodium hydroxide.
>>
>> However I don't see that as a big problem. Either the electrolysis
>> process can be made to not split the NaCl, or it can be recombined and
>> the energy recovered.
>>
>> The main byproduct problem I see is that you'll have lots of salt.
>>
>>
>>>>>Hydrogen is seen as some sort of saving angel in the energy issue.
>>>>>Producing the hydrogen is a bit of a problem though. It takes a lot of
>>>>>energy to produce it. It has a pretty low volumetric energy density.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Which means it is compressed and your fuel tank becomes a highpressure
>>>>canister. Not only is the stuff flammable, like gasoline, but it's
>>>>under high

>>>>pressure.
>>>>
>>>>Two ways to go boom.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>But to the problem at hand, a somewhat practical method of producing H2
>>>>>would be to electrolyze it, using Nuc power. The electrolysis plant
>>>>>would probably be set up near the ocean (let's not even talk of fresh
>>>>>water production - just ask the folks on the left coast about fresh water)
>>>>>
>>>>>So now we have an extraction plant that is powered by an unpopular
>>>>>power source, and has one big nasty polluting byproduct.
>>>>>
>>>>>Or we can use the other methods of generating H2. Of course, they cause
>>>>>as much pollution producing the fuel as if we just used the fuel in the
>>>>>first place.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Maybe. There are all sorts of possible technologies to extract,
>>>>transport and store hydrogen. For example, there's work being done to
>>>>store the gas in metal hydrides. It could be extracted by using
>>>>electricity made photovoltaically. Etc.
>>>
>>>The infrastructure would be interesting. The best looking way for
>>>hydride storage that I've heard of so far, is the replacement tank
>>>method. Interesting, and I suspect that teh real quick stop for fuel
>>>would become a thing of the past.
>>
>>
>> Not with quick-connecting pipes. Leave it to the MEs.
>
> I wonder if there will be self-tank exchange stations? 8^)
>
> No doubt it can be done.
>
>>>The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
>>>>competitive. How much will a hydrogen car cost? How much will they cost
>>>>to drive per mile? What are the maintenance costs?
>>>
>>>Maintenance would *probably* be improved. I suspect that
>>>engines would
>>>last longer, and be a lot cleaner to be around.
>>
>>
>> Agreed!
>>
>> But the cost competitiveness problem still exists.
>>
>>>>The big problem is that there's probably no single magic long-> > term

>>>>solution. Rather there are a bunch of small solutions that > > add up.
>>>>
>>>>Here's two favorites of mine:
>>>>
>>>>Imagine a tall (couple of hundred feet) hollow tower, in the desert. A
>>>>vertical pipe, as it were, with holes around the bottom.
>>>>
>>>>Around its base is a large circular greenhouse whose roof slants toward
>>>>the tower.
>>>>
>>>>When the sun is out, the air under the greenhouse roof is heated, and
>>>>rises. This creates an artificial wind towards the tower. The warmed
>>>>air goes up the tower, which contains a wind-driven generator. Works
>>>>whether or not there is a breeze. The generator and its impeller are
>>>>near ground level. Etc.
>>>
>>> Good process, and an old one. A lot of places in the middle east use
>>>those type of towers (usually lower) to bring cooling air into the house.
>>
>>
>> The process also works for a limited amount of time after the sun goes
>> down. The warm ground heats the air above it.
>>
>> The ground under the greenhouse roof can be farmed, if there's water
>> available for irrigation.
>>
>>
>>>>also
>>>>
>>>>There's a process called TDP (Thermal Depolymerization Process) that
>>>>can supposedly break down various types of waste into fuel oil, gas and
>>>>other usable products. For example, there's a pilot plant here in
>>>>Philadelphia that takes sewage sludge (ugh) and breaks it down into a
>>>>type of fuel oil, methane gas, water, and some other things that are
>>>>usable as fertilizer. The result is also
>>>>sterilized.
>>
>>
>>> If nothing else, it gets rid of the stuff!
>>
>>
>> Which is a major problem today. Two birds, etc.
>>
>>
>>>>Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a turkey-processing
>>>>plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
>>>>
>>>>The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
>>>>chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
>>>>steel, fiberglass and carbon black.

>>>>
>>>>The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
>>>
>>> hmm, not too bad...
>>
>>
>> *IF* it really does what is claimed. That's the problem with a
>> lot of new technologies:
>
> One of the biggest problems I see for many of these technologies is that
> they often don't have the scalability needed to provide fuel for many
> vehicles, let alone fuel the countries needs. If this plant went into
> serious production, it might run out of feedstock pretty quickly.
>
> I think that until the next big fuel comes along, we are going to enter
> an age of "niche" fuel production.
>
> That is okay, as long as we don't get involved in feedstock that might
> otherwise be food, ie corn/ethanol production. There are possible
> ethical considerations that will crop up in that case.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1364. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 04:07:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7NydnZ2dnZ3PX6CXnZ2dnei9Yd-dnZ2dRVn-zZ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115714

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:vRQKe.4179$Wi6.2462@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:ddgann$16iu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>
>>>The plug into the outlet thing is kind of a day late and a dollar short
>>>IMO. My desktop computers are plugged into the walls, but all of the
>>>family laptops are wireless. So it might be a hard sell to tell someone
>>>that they will just have to plug into the wall socket to get their
>>>internet, when they now don't have to connect to anything!
>>>
>>
>>Your laptops would likely continue wireless via your home network. But
>>just like now, somewhere you'd need to "plug in" to connect to your ISP.
>>
>
>
> But once you have a wireless router, then it doesn't usually matter where in
> the house you have it as none of the computers need to be connected directly
> to it. Thus the "plug it into any power outlet" for the ISP becomes moot,
> i.e. it has no extra sales value over a cable, DSL, etc connection.

Bingo! All it means is that you plug your router into the wall, and get
your net from there. I for one would prefer to get mine from a source
that doesn't have high voltage on it also!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1365. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 04:38:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gJVKe.4279$Wi6.2365@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115716

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

> Big deal. Gimme the fiber!

Don't hold your breath!

FTTP and FTTC ("Fiber to the [business] Premise" and "Fiber to the Curb") are both burgeoning because of the "bundling of users" which is possible, but nobody seems to able to make a viable business case for FTTH ("Fiber To The Home"), primarily because there is no residental "killer app" out there which demands the bandwidth of a PON.

No market demand = no telco is motivated to make the capitol infrastructure investment.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1366. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 04:50:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4_WdnVTaK60Wt2HfRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115717

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>Big deal. Gimme the fiber!
>
>
> Don't hold your breath!
>
> FTTP and FTTC ("Fiber to the [business] Premise" and "Fiber to the Curb") are
> both burgeoning because of the "bundling of users" which is possible, but nobody
> seems to able to make a viable business case for FTTH ("Fiber To The Home"),
> primarily because there is no residental "killer app" out there which demands
> the bandwidth of a PON.

hmmm, must not be enough people out there with my problem. The more BW I get, the more my kid hogs up. I have the ultimate solution though. When he gets to using too much of my BW, I reach over and unplug him from the router for a while. And no, he is not amused! 8^) What I would prefer though, is some sort of Ethernet throttle.

> No market demand = no telco is motivated to make the capitol infrastructure
> investment.

- Mike KB3EIA

# 1367. Re: Reality check!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 04:56:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OrednTRMLMiEsWHfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 115718

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>N2EY:
>>
>>Ok, I will accept your argument, CW is a skill, and is not necessary to
>>the amateur hobby, testing should be dropped without question.
>
>
> That's not what I wrote at all.
>
> Troll on - but without me.
>

'bought time!

8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1368. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 05:11:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.05.11.45.319116@gmail.com>
Source record: 115719

Mike:

Look into NetLimiter, you can run it on any computer you wish to limit
upload/download speeds on, can also run it on a computer which is serving
as a router--it will do what you want I believe...

Google netlimiter and read about it.

What these devices are is "bandwidth throttles" or "shapers", google with
those terms and it will give you quite a bit to look at.

Linux has many methods, windows is a bit limited, I ended up using
netlimiter for home use...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 00:50:18 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Big deal. Gimme the fiber!
>>
>>
>> Don't hold your breath!
>>
>> FTTP and FTTC ("Fiber to the [business] Premise" and "Fiber to the Curb") are
>> both burgeoning because of the "bundling of users" which is possible, but nobody
>> seems to able to make a viable business case for FTTH ("Fiber To The Home"),
>> primarily because there is no residental "killer app" out there which demands
>> the bandwidth of a PON.
>
> hmmm, must not be enough people out there with my problem. The more BW I
> get, the more my kid hogs up. I have the ultimate solution though. When
> he gets to using too much of my BW, I reach over and unplug him from the
> router for a while. And no, he is not amused! 8^) What I would prefer
> though, is some sort of Ethernet throttle.
>
>> No market demand = no telco is motivated to make the capitol infrastructure
>> investment.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA

## 1369. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 05:11:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vcWKe.4290$Wi6.2847@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115720

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> hmmm, must not be enough people out there with my problem. The more BW I get,
> the more my kid hogs up.

The vendors are waiting in the wings (Alcatel, ADC, Cisco, etc.) with equipment,
and they'd like nothing better than to provision a SONET OC3 (155.52MBPS)
termination to your home router! Now all you need to do is convince your
service provider to drag the glass under your street.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1370. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 05:22:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DmWKe.4293$Wi6.514@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115721

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote

> Now all you need to do is convince your service provider to drag the glass
> under your street.

OBTW, I forgot to mention that the current going-rate for a base OC3 circuit (glass lit at the provider end, but customer provides the premise termination electronics) starts around $20K/mo. But hey, it'll haul about 100 T1 circuits for your kids online gaming needs.

73, de Hans, K0HB

## 1371. Re: Apalled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:01:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123840892.338061.116860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115722

jhhtexas@ieee.org wrote:
> As a ham operator for over 50 years, I am apalled at the lack of
> civility in this newsgroup. It's a shame there can't be serious
> discussions of radio.amateur.policy without resorting to the "lowest
> common denominator" rhetoric.

Hello Jim.

Nice of you to jump in and start off your first comments to the
group about "lowest common denominator" rather than initiating one of
those "serious discussions or radio.amateur.policy" that you were
suggesting.

Pick a topic...let it fly.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1372. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:07:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123841244.768384.226170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115723

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Not with quick-connecting pipes. Leave it to the MEs.
>
> I wonder if there will be self-tank exchange stations? 8^)
>
> No doubt it can be done.

Self-closing snap-on connector for the hydrogen supply. Similar to what
is used for compressed air.

Very doable.
>
> >>The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
> >>>competitive. > >>>Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a
turkey-processing
> >>>plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
> >>>
> >>>The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
> >>>chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
> >>>steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
> >>>
> >>>The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
> >>
> >> hmm, not too bad...
> >
> >
> > *IF* it really does what is claimed. That's the problem with a
> > lot of new technologies:
>
> One of the biggest problems I see for many of these
> technologies is that
> they often don't have the scalability needed to provide fuel
> for many
> vehicles, let alone fuel the countries needs. If this plant
> went into
> serious production, it might run out of feedstock pretty
> quickly.

That's where so many people miss the point, Mike.

There's probably no single technology that will solve the
"energy crisis". Too many people want a single magic

silver bullet solution that will solve it all at once.
Extremely unlikely.

As you say, even if TDP works and is
economically competitive, the limiting factor may be
lack of raw material (imagine - not enough trash/waste
to feed the plants!)

The solution is almost certain to be a collection of
good ideas and new technologies. TDP may be one piece,
hydrogen another piece, geothermal, solar, wind, etc.

Then there's conservation and increased efficiency - a
penny saved really *is* a penny earned. For example, one
of the biggest users of electricity in most homes is the
refrigerator. Some new models use much less electricity
per year than their earlier counterparts of the same size
and features - to the point that replacing a 15-20 year old
fridge with a new one may pay for itself in energy savings
even if the old one was working fine.

It's possible to build air conditioners of very high efficiency,
but they cost more. However, using them means we don't have to build
new power plants and new power lines, because the electrical system
will have less peak load. (The peak load typically comes on an August
afternoon - from all the AC units).

Too many folks want one solution to solve 100% of the problem, without
requiring them to change anything, be responsible, or worst of all have
to think.

A more realistic and mature approach is to find a number of
solutions, each of which solves a piece of the problem.

Say you find 10 solutions, each of which solves 10% of the
problem. There you are.

"We can't solve problems by using the same kind of thinking we used
when we created them" (*)
>
>I think that until the next big fuel comes along, we
>are going to enter an age of "niche" fuel production.
>
>That is okay, as long as we don't get involved in feedstock that > might
> otherwise be food, ie corn/ethanol production. There are
> possible
> ethical considerations that will crop up in that case.
>
All of engineering involves ethical considerations. Heck, all
of *life* involves ethical considerations!

Is it ethical to import a large percentage of something - anything -
needed to keep a country's economy and way of life going? Particularly

when such importation requires dealing with,
and empowering, people whose values are very different from your
own?

--

Now someone will probably ask what any of this has to do with amateur radio policy. The answer is that we see the same sort of oversimplification of problems. We are told that the solution to all of amateur radio's problems is to get rid of the Morse Code test. When that fails to bring about a New Golden Age, then what?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1373. Get Your Facts Straight Mark, NO VIOLATION OCCURED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:16:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123841800.412452.236840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115724

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> > > > PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
> > > >
> > > > FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> > > > ANYone...?!?!
> > >
> > > since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.
> >
> > AT THE TIME, did he know?
>
> that is may understanding

That doesn't cut it.

> > From what >>I<< have read, he didn't know until AFTER THE FACT.
>
> >From what I have read he did and furthermore siad hed do again if it
> came up
> >
> > > I have already agreed that he was not required to know, but once he
> > > knows he is responible for his actions with that knowledge
> >
> > And it still does not answer the question, WHAT REGULATION
> > PROHIBITS HIM FROM TRANSMITTING ON A BAND >>HE<< IS ALLOWED TO OPERATE
> > ON???
>
> asked and answered

Yes, you did.

And since you answered teh question in the "affirmative" (that is
he was not REQUIRED to know), YOU are the one flailing deceased beasts
of burden.

> > > > > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
> > > >
> > > > WHO SAID...?!?!
> > >
> > > Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
> >
> > cuting stevie eforts to bring sex into another thread

Here we have Mark Morgan on yet another diversion from the issues
with a ludicrous rant.

> > > > Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> > > > that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> > > > isn't...?!?!
> > >
> > > never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
> > > one not suposed to be there
> >
> > Who said?
> >
> > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
>
> asked and answered

Another Morgan lie.

You have NOT answered the question.

QUOTE THE REGULATION.

Otherwise you're just saying "cuz I sed so".

> > > > > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > > > > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > > > > like that)
> > > > >
> > > > There's nothing to bend.
> > >
> > > sure are
> > >
> > > ask Reily
> >
> > He was operating with a Tanzanian license, Markie. 5H3US.
>
> granted on the Basis of the US one

Doesn't matter if it was granted based upon which side of his head
he parts his hair on, Markie!

And in EITHER case (US >>OR<< Tanzanian), NO VIOLATION OCCURED!

> > > > There's either a rule or there isn't.
> > > >
> > > > And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
> > > >
> > > > > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own<
stations
> > > > > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> > > > >
> > > > > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther

> > > > > int he comission of a crime
> > > > >
> > > > > What crime?
> > > >
> > > > the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band
> > >
> > > "outeratingunlicense"...?!?!
> > >
> > > What the heck was that...?!?!?!
> > >
> > > > > WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?
> > > >
> > > > in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.
> > > >
> > > > already done so
> > >
> > > No, you've not. You've only said "Because I say so".
> > >
> > > CITE A SPECIFIC RULE.
> >
> already has been done, several times

No, it's not.

Not even once.

A SPECIFIC rule would START OFF with the specific paragraph
number, then quote the rule in it's entirety:

IE: " 97.3(a)(4)Amateur service. A radiocommunication service for
the purpose of
self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried
out by amateurs, that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio
technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest."

THAT, Markie, is a SPECIFIC RULE

YOU have NOT done that.

# 1374. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:22:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123842139.840141.274810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115725

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> >
> > On the bottle again, eh? Or just playing badmouth while mommy and
> > daddy have left you unsupervised one more..?!?!
>
> more libel

Not if it's true...And by all counts of what you "cut", it
certainly appears so.....

Steve, K4YZ

## 1375. Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:24:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gtqof11frqph1hut89fuu6bso98vo9r2gg@4ax.com>
Source record: 115726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:

- CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
organizations.

- CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";

- CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
trying to get his equipment working!).

Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
best efforts to deny it.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1376. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:28:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123842484.377692.44840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115727

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > But will most people carpool? Will they pay for public transit, wind
> > farms, and higher-efficiency appliances? Will they live in walkable
> > towns and cities rather than sprawling into suburbia where every trip
> > requires a car? How much are Americans willing to reduce their
> > consumption of energy to balance the equation?
> >
>
> I just love you east-coast liberals with your "feel-good
> conservation village" notions.

Minnesota is one of the most "liberal" places in the nation,
Hans.

> Such societies exist (in Europe primarily) --- if you want to
> live in one, move there.

I see. You get to determine what America should be like,
not me. Why is that?

> Personally, I prefer my fuel-inefficient 6.0L 32-valve
> turbocharged engine to your "50mpg highway" wimp-mobile.

"Any....fool can make things bigger, more complex, and more
violent. It takes a touch of genius - and a lot of courage -
to move in the opposite direction". (*)

"Wimp-mobile", huh? Is your masculinity and maturity measured
in horsepower, cubic inches displaced, and foot-pounds, Hans?

Mine isn't.

> Since I'm willing to pay the price to run it,
> and enjoy the freedom it gives me, your "walkable towns" have
> zero appeal to me.

*You* don't pay all of the price, Hans. All of us do. We pay it in
pollution, we pay it in the trade deficit, and we pay it in
having to deal with the folks who sell us the oil, and what they
do with the oil money.

Most of all, we pay for it in being dependent. Freedom? How much
freedom exists when a nation's economy is at the mercy of imports?

Nobody is saying that *you* would be forced to live in a "walkable
town".

Sometime back you bemoaned the lack of the community that you
used to see in the radio store/club meeting/etc.

I submit to you that the lack of community problem isn't limited to
amateur radio, but has become a part of American life, and is driven in
part by the detachment of people from the places they live, work, shop,
etc. And that detachment is driven in part by overdependence on
automotive transportation as opposed to walking, running, biking, etc.

> Sooner or later, of course, the democrats will again ascend to > power and attemp
> to social-engineer such crapola into the law of the land,
> rather than
> inconvenience a few reindeer with drilling rigs in the
> neighborhood.

Alaska doesn't have enough oil to end imports, Hans.

It would be interesting to see your reaction if they wanted to drill
for oil under one of your favorite Minnesota lakes. Or build a nuke
plant on one, using the lake water for cooling.
Or something similar.

I seem to recall a quote from Vonnegut about "they were too damn cheap"
or some such. Cheapness involves more than not spending money.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(*) - attributed to Albert Einstein

# 1377. Re: N9OGL to comment on K1MAN RENEWAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:31:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123842692.430755.153980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> > As already stated. I didn't threaten him, I warned him.
>
> Other may view defferently of course, and you found it neccasary to
> send 2 preplies saying almost the the same thing.

Well gee willikers, Mr Wizard, YOU did the exact same thing in another thread just last night. (At 2:23 and 2:24 11 August 2005 under "An Even BETTER Question for Brain...")

Any reason for THAT...?!?!

Or just exercising your Hypocrite option?

Steve, K4YZ

## 1378. Mark: Be Careful of What You Ask For

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 10:47:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123843624.441391.4780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115729

Gonna cut a Markie Post down to it's bare elements and see what we
get.

an_old_friend wrote:

> break

> nope you are lying

> then report me if I am such a danger to myself and others

> Put up or shut up

> You ahve failied to get me to do what you want

> not a one

> put up or shut up

> sure is and I doubt you can manage even to anoy me

> put up or shut up

> BTW there budject is down

(No doubt a reason for your "return" and in the state of mind
you're obviously in...)

> your threats

> call em report me if you think I am danger to myself or others

> Put up or shut up

> nope

> put up or shut up

> but if that is your proove go ahead an call

> put up or shut up

> put up or shut up

> call the mental health authorities, if you dare

> put up or shut up

> never said cell phones

> yep

> sure are

> puting the phones and lan in the plan

> so?

> ARES

> you have said nothing new

> you are the coward

> sure is

> never seen such a statement

> then drop your dime

> that isn't proof

> nope becuase you are a lying bully

> given your history another threat

> if you are not lying and truely feel I am a danger to myself and others
> then call Mental Health

> put up or shut up

> neither has Brain or lenn or myself

> then call the mental health people

> If I am truly the danger you claim then you are neglecting you public
duty

> put up or shut up

> No MD or MSSW that is what count

> By I have a ham license too, nothing in it pretains you make medical
> judgements

> as to class of licesne wait a few months

> Not my perosnal gains but to get you help I certainly would

> put up or shut up

> becuase I choose to

> No I don't recall his name, and I have granted that you may well be
> right, and that I have no intention of argueing with you about what his
> name was

> and still you bitch and moan

> yep

> I am someone therefore your statement inclduing anyone is a lis

> I prefer yuo stoping thretning him at all

> you acused Todd of federal crime

(BTW: Todd dropped that dime on himself, MArkie...Though he was
being "big stuff" bragging on his ultralight...exposed yet ANOTHER
federal crime he was perpetrating...)

> then report him to FAA, don't blackmail him here

> but again report him don't blackmail him

> put up or shut up

> make the call or drop it

> you are a bigot since you believe anyone thinking defferently than you
> is mentaly ill

> where?

> you can't "show" somebody something without "showing" it to them in
> some fashion

> put up or shut up. either I am a danger and can be locked up or not, in
> the latter case you have been lying in claiming I am, in the former you
> are endangering the public by not acting.

> simple turth

> more threats

> put up or shut up

Uh huh.

A cry for help. A demand for mental health services intervention.
Obvious behaviour that inidcates his self-proclaimed "anger issues"
are NOT as "dealt with" as he'd suggest.

A sick "man".

Steve, K4YZ

# 1379. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 11:01:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123842295.313889.151080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115730

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
> >
> > amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
> > trouble for doing
>
> Oh?
>
> You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
> Markie?
>
> THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...
>
> Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
> on ANY Amateur allocation.

Hey Markie...

Any reason you left THIS post unmolested...?!?!

Any reason you can't explain to me the rationale for your last set
of answers? You've made others since.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1380. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 11:59:11 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddi2uf$1aeq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>
>
> - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> organizations.
>
> - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>
> - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> trying to get his equipment working!).
>
>
> Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> best efforts to deny it.

You talkin' to ME?

Dear friend, I *have* 2 Citizen Band Rigs. And I use them extensively
for travel. I am fully aware of the conditions on that band.

Your Jeramiad is just that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

.....and a lond, long, time ago KBM-8780

# 1381. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 12:24:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123849479.817797.48270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> >
> >
> > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> > organizations.

As an intercom in a very short range area. Nothing more. It
never was.

> > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";

That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.

The ONE rule you'd think that everyone would adhere to is "Channel
9 is for EMERGENCIES only...It's as bad as the rest of them.

> > - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> > found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> > shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> > trying to get his equipment working!).

Uh huh. Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> > have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> > better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> > the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> > important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> > asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> > why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> > communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> > best efforts to deny it.
>
> You talkin' to ME?

He's not talking to anyone with an IQ over 46...Because even THEY
know what a troll that was, Mike!

> Dear friend, I *have* 2 Citizen Band Rigs. And I use them extensively
> for travel. I am fully aware of the conditions on that band.
>
> Your Jeramiad is just that.
>
> .....and a lond, long, time ago KBM-8780

KEQ1570 and KBIW1619. CB radio was how I got introduced to
Amateur Radio via the station of WA8FMT (SK) in Streetsboro, Ohio. I
use it occassionally for road trips too...Or to just stay awake!

Dad had one of the "19Q" calls, I believe, and that was changed to
KHG8459. He and a friend co-owned the "Sunoco" station at the
intersection of 82 (Aurora Road) and Som Center Road in Solon, OH. (I
ahven't been tehre in a while, but I believe there's a modern Sunoco
there now...) He had one of the Lafayette HE15's, and I remember the
mic was bitter than my hand by almost twice!

Memories, huh...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1382. Re: What a surprise! Binary licks Saggytits' fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 12:27:24 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <0b04494d29fc8b9b74d7e78272917ed4@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 115734
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddgnel$ims$0@pita.alt.net>
"Binary" aka LARDASS Lloydie the 31 year old virgin
<GrannyGal@no> felches:
>
>
> Is that (IKYABWAI)

Hey Lardass, shall I post your picture that you posted with you
picking your nose on camera, pig face?
You are lending credence to the theory that mental retardation
is contagious, Saggytits, just look at "Binary" here.

# 1383. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 12:37:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123850231.750825.325870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115733

an_old_friend wrote:
> My own comments
>
> To the persons reading comments for the FCC greetings,

> With regard to main body of the NPRM I am a favor of dropping all code
> tests at once, however there are a few points concerning related
> matters I wish to discuss and respect insist that FCC made something on
> these points clear when the R&O is issued.

Started off strong, Mark. But then your mouth over ran common
sense and you started rambling again.

E N G L I S H C O M P O S I T I O N

> The privileges of the entry class, Technician, after this action are
> frankly unclear to me.

I guess you missed the part where the FCC said it was dismissing
all those other petitions and was focusing on the Code issue at the
moment.

> As perhaps one of the few members of that class
> making comments it is a subject near and dear to my heart.

Also guess you haven't been paying attention to some of the
demographics of the respondents. You are far and away from being
"...one of the few...", Mark...

> It is also
> a question vital to the future of the ARS, and thus in some degree the
> safety and future of the USA.

Let's see if Lennie ahs the same Field Day with THAT as he does
anytime any of the REST of us suggest even the remotest degree of
importance or relevance of the Amateur Radio Service...

> I believe the privileges should be what was known as Before the 1998
> NPRM as Tech plus, this si because I have frankly observed that many
> new Techs tend to get struck on FM repeaters, a fine mode but hard to
> break out of, and being hard to break out tends to depress the chances
> that they will, ( I refer the FCC to prices on allmode rigs with VHF
> frequencies compared to FM rigs) In addition to issues of cost with the
> propagation of VHF there are many times that there is no one out there
> that a novice operator can find and work.

Congratulations.

104 words before you managed to get a period in there.

And the FCC could care less about the price of radios.

> From personal experience...(SNIP)

Goat sacrifice counts here?

> I can tell you that this is a hard thing to do, and thus tends to imped
> the progress of the Techs and separate them from the rest of the ARS.

The only thing that impedes ANY licensee from operating on ANY
band or mode is just DOING it. I know several NCT's who rarely touch a
2 meter rig.

> This separation is not god for the ARS or it abilities to serve in the
> public interest .

Signing this comment with an Amateur Radio callsign will be even
WORSE for the Amateur Service.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1384. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 13:00:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123851605.698930.115520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>
>
> - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> organizations.
>
> - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>
> - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> trying to get his equipment working!).
>
>
> Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> best efforts to deny it.

All together now: "Troll, troll, troll yer boat . . . "

. . . pffffft . . take it back to 19.

KLK1937

# 1385. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 13:12:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123852364.863381.79410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115736

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:

> > >>Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
> > >>send ...---...--- and hope for the best...
> > >
> > Those who heard this transmission would no doubt think that things were
> > so so.
>
> indeed proving the devotion of the Procoders to the aiding of others

Whoa right there, Mark......

(1) Dave was, again, correct. What you typed was "SOSO"

(2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
for anything?

(3) As for "procoders" aiding others, I have "elmered" and/or
proctored tests for dozens of folks and helped who-knows-how-many folks
ON the radio...regardless of thier class of license.

You've "helped"...how many...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 1386. Re: Apalled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 14:07:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <y22Le.4392$Wi6.618@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115737

<jhhtexas@ieee.org> wrote

> As a ham operator for over 50 years, I am apalled at the lack of
> civility in this newsgroup. It's a shame there can't be serious
> discussions of radio.amateur.policy without resorting to the "lowest
> common denominator" rhetoric.

Sorry, Jim, but you seem to be lost. Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy is two doors down the hall on your right. This room is reserved for the "Steve and His Old Friend Shitstorm".

73, de Hans, K0HB

## 1387. Mark: Be Careful Of What You Ask For

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 14:09:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123855785.419556.71910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115738

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Steve there something I have missed in your threats to call Houghton
> > > > > county
> > > > >
> > > > > Just what crime are you aleging I am comiting, that falls within their
> > > > > perview?
> > > >
> > > > I didn't say you've commited a crime, Markie.
> > >
> > > you sure did
> > >
> > > that is the only reason you could have for talking to the shirref or
> > > prosetuer
> >
> put up or shuut up

Put up or "shuut" up what?

>>I<< said that I did NOT say you've commited a crime, Markie.

> > > > > Being Bisexual is not a crime in Michigan.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Being pagan is not a crime in Michigan
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Lying when not under oath is not a crime in Michigan
> > > > >
> > > > > In some cases it is. Misrepresenting yourself to be a
> > > > > Commissioned Officer of the United States other than for legitimate
> > > > > cinematic or other valid theatrical purposes, under oath or otherwise,
> > > > > is illegal.
> > >
> > > but not a matter for the State of Michigan
> >
> > Not this time...Not unless you try to perpetuate the lie from
> > there too, in which case you did it again. So be casreful what you lie
> > about and where.
>

> put up or shut up
>
> It is and was a FEDERAL Matter

FINALLY! An admission that you WERE lying about your Armed Forces
"serivces"...Well...isn't THIS a Red Banner day...?!?!

I am glad to see you say it "is" a federal matter.

> > > > > Believing that honesty is not always a good idea is not crime in
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope. But it's stupid praactice. Nothing good ever comes of it.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's your paranoid delusional conduct that is manifest in some
> > > > > aspects of the items you cited.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > >
> > > That's part of a paranoid delusional presentation.
> > >
> > > Denial.
> >
> > PUT up or shut up

Anger, Markie...Those anger issues are boiling to the top...

> > > > You're not stable. You present a danger to society and yourself.
> > > >
> > > > you are the danger
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > Put up or shut up

Put up what?

I just put up 2 dozen home canned tomatoes. First time I tied it.
You should too...Lotsa fun...

> > > you threaten people for no reason at all
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > > Rational people do not promote overt mistruthfulness.
> > > > >
> > > > > then why do you?
> > > >
> > > > I don't.
> > >
> > > you do

Nope.

You CLAIM threats are made.

None are.

That's where the suggestion of paranoid/delusional behaviour comes
from.

> > > > Rational people do not make unfounded allegations of stalking or
> > > > blackmailing.
> > >
> > > Not unfounded
> >
> > U N F O U N D E D.
>
> sure is wellfounded

Nope.

Not a word of it.

Just paranoid delusional rantings on your part.

> > Look it up in Websters.
> >
> > > > Rational people do not overtly suggest that teaching children to
> > > > lie and deceive is acceptable societal behaviour.
> > >
> > > The school system is not rational? gee you have nevr attended one of
> > > their presentaion on keeping safe online
> >
> > Lying is not acceptable, period.
>
> then put up or shut up

Put up what? Are you TRYING to elicit a threat?

That's all you did before, you know...You tried to use language
that would elicit a threat and at best you were able to cobble some
words out of a response that you thought sounded "threatening"...But
only because you were LOOKING for something threatening.

Now that this is years old and you STILL don't have the desired
result, you're getting more angry by the minute.

Your demands to "put up or shut up" and seemingly backsliding on
what has been a slowly improving grammar state is indicative of it.

> > There are alternatives...such as just NOT telling someone who or
> > where you are in the first place.
> >
> > > > Clear enough?
> > >
> > > maeing you are lying of course you are lcrea enough
> >
> > "maeing" "lcrea"

> >
> > Nope. You asked me a question. I answered it directly.
> >
> > Whether or not you like or agree with the answers is irrelevent.
>
> back your lies ro shut up about them

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Markie...Shant get angry!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1388. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 14:37:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4v2Le.4434$Wi6.1243@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115739

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> It would be interesting to see your reaction if they
> wanted to drill for oil under one of your favorite
> Minnesota lakes. Or build a nuke plant on one,
> using the lake water for cooling. Or something
> similar.

We have 15,121 lakes in Minnesota (don't believe the "10,000 Lakes" motto on my license plates). Who'd miss a few?

> I submit to you that the lack of community problem
> isn't limited to amateur radio, but has become a part
> of American life, and is driven in part by the detachment
> of people from the places they live, work, shop,
> etc. And that detachment is driven in part by
> overdependence on automotive transportation
> as opposed to walking, running, biking, etc.

Your east-coast roots are showing. Take a view of Minnesota from 30,000 feet and measure the distance across the wheat fields, forests, and lakes....

> *You* don't pay all of the price, Hans.

Please pass your account information to my banker so that your monthly share can be automatically paid.

"My doctor says that I have a malformed public-duty gland and a natural deficiency in moral fibre, and that I am therefore excused from saving Universes." (*)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

(*) Attributed to Ford Prefect

I seem to recall a quote from Vonnegut about "they were too damn cheap" or some such. Cheapness involves more than not spending money.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(*) - attributed to Albert Einstein

## 1389. K1man-loser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:31:08 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1to6oa@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1to6oa@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 115788

Found what looks like a Blog about Fat ******* up in Maine. Unlike N9OGL, this dude can spell and he doesn't lace his info with language he picked up at the gay bath house.

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/

--
John Johnston

## 1390. Re: One more opinion of mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:49:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ay3Le.4465$Wi6.988@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115740

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> I hang glide. I can attest that the number of qualified hang
> glider pilots is less than a fifth of the numbers of licensed
> Amateurs...active pilots less than that....And NO licensure, let alone
> Morse Code test is required!

The analogy does not take into account that the Amateur Radio hobby occupies a significantly valuable public resource to the exclusion of other potential beneficial uses. If/when the public (through its appointed regulators) no longer sees value in our occupancy, we will be toast.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 1391. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:50:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123861827.047886.92910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115741

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Steve there something I have missed in your threats to call Houghton
> > > > > > county
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Just what crime are you aleging I am comiting, that falls within their
> > > > > > perview?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I didn't say you've commited a crime, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > you sure did
> > > > >
> > > > that is the only reason you could have for talking to the shirref or
> > > > prosetuer
> > > >
> > put up or shuut up
>
> Put up or "shuut" up what?
>
> >>I<< said that I did NOT say you've commited a crime, Markie.

then you have been LYING for years

In aclaiming I have

Put up or shut up
>
> > > > > > Being Bisexual is not a crime in Michigan.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Being pagan is not a crime in Michigan
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Lying when not under oath is not a crime in Michigan
> > > > > >
> > > > > In some cases it is. Misrepresenting yourself to be a
> > > > > Commissioned Officer of the United States other than for legitimate
> > > > > cinematic or other valid theatrical purposes, under oath or otherwise,
> > > > > is illegal.

> > > >
> > > > but not a matter for the State of Michigan
> > >
> > > Not this time...Not unless you try to perpetuate the lie from
> > > there too, in which case you did it again. So be casreful what you lie
> > > about and where.
> >
> > put up or shut up
> >
> > It is and was a FEDERAL Matter
>
> FINALLY! An admission that you WERE lying about your Armed Forces
> "serivces"...Well...isn't THIS a Red Banner day...?!?!
>
> I am glad to see you say it "is" a federal matter.
>
> > > > > > Believing that honesty is not always a good idea is not crime in
> > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope. But it's stupid praactice. Nothing good ever comes of it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > It's your paranoid delusional conduct that is manifest in some
> > > > > aspects of the items you cited.
> > > > >
> > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > That's part of a paranoid delusional presentation.
> > > >
> > > Denial.
> > >
> > PUT up or shut up
>
> Anger, Markie...Those anger issues are boiling to the top...
>
> > > > > You're not stable. You present a danger to society and yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > you are the danger
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > Put up or shut up
>
> Put up what?
>
> I just put up 2 dozen home canned tomatoes. First time I tied it.
> You should too...Lotsa fun...
>
> > > > you threaten people for no reason at all
> > > >
> > > Nope.

> > >
> > > > > Rational people do not promote overt mistruthfulness.
> > > > >
> > > > > then why do you?
> > > >
> > > > I don't.
> > >
> > > you do
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > You CLAIM threats are made.
> >
> > None are.
> >
> > That's where the suggestion of paranoid/delusional behaviour comes
> > from.
> >
> > > > > > > Rational people do not make unfounded allegations of stalking or
> > > > > > > blackmailing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not unfounded
> > > > >
> > > > > U N F O U N D E D.
> > > >
> > > > sure is wellfounded
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Not a word of it.
> > >
> > > Just paranoid delusional rantings on your part.
> > >
> > > > > Look it up in Websters.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > Rational people do not overtly suggest that teaching children to
> > > > > > > > lie and deceive is acceptable societal behaviour.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The school system is not rational? gee you have nevr attended one of
> > > > > > > their presentaion on keeping safe online
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Lying is not acceptable, period.
> > > > >
> > > > > then put up or shut up
> > > >
> > > > Put up what? Are you TRYING to elicit a threat?
> > >
> > > That's all you did before, you know...You tried to use language
> > > that would elicit a threat and at best you were able to cobble some
> > > words out of a response that you thought sounded "threatening"...But

> only because you were LOOKING for something threatening.
>
> Now that this is years old and you STILL don't have the desired
> result, you're getting more angry by the minute.
>
> Your demands to "put up or shut up" and seemingly backsliding on
> what has been a slowly improving grammar state is indicative of it.
>
> > > There are alternatives...such as just NOT telling someone who or
> > > where you are in the first place.
> > >
> > > > > Clear enough?
> > > >
> > > > maeing you are lying of course you are lcrea enough
> > >
> > > "maeing" "lcrea"
> > >
> > > Nope. You asked me a question. I answered it directly.
> > >
> > > Whether or not you like or agree with the answers is irrelevent.
> >
> > back your lies ro shut up about them
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Markie...Shant get angry!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1392. Re: One more opinion of mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:50:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <az3Le.4466$Wi6.2934@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115742

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> I hang glide. I can attest that the number of qualified hang
> glider pilots is less than a fifth of the numbers of licensed
> Amateurs...active pilots less than that....And NO licensure, let alone
> Morse Code test is required!

The analogy does not take into account that the Amateur Radio hobby occupies a significantly valuable public resource to the exclusion of other potential beneficial uses. If/when the public (through its appointed regulators) no longer sees value in our occupancy, we will be toast.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 1393. Stevie Put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:54:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123862079.413943.247030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115743

K4YZ wrote:
> Gonna cut a Markie Post down to it's bare elements and see what we
> get.
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > break
>
> > nope you are lying
>
> > then report me if I am such a danger to myself and others
>
> > Put up or shut up
>
> > You ahve failied to get me to do what you want
>
> > not a one
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > sure is and I doubt you can manage even to anoy me
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > BTW there budject is down
>
> (No doubt a reason for your "return" and in the state of mind
> you're obviously in...)

make the call or admit you are lying

put up or shut up

>
> > your threats
>
> > call em report me if you think I am danger to myself or others
>
> > Put up or shut up
>
> > nope
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > but if that is your proove go ahead an call

>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > call the mental health authorities, if you dare
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > never said cell phones
>
> > yep
>
> > sure are
>
> > puting the phones and lan in the plan
>
> > so?
>
> > ARES
>
> > you have said nothing new
>
> > you are the coward
>
> > sure is
>
> > never seen such a statement
>
> > then drop your dime
>
> > that isn't proof
>
> > nope becuase you are a lying bully
>
> > given your history another threat
>
> > if you are not lying and truely feel I am a danger to myself and others
> > then call Mental Health
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > neither has Brain or lenn or myself
>
> > then call the mental health people
>
> > If I am truly the danger you claim then you are neglecting you public
> duty
>
> > put up or shut up

>
> > No MD or MSSW that is what count
>
> > By I have a ham license too, nothing in it pretains you make medical
> > judgements
>
> > as to class of licesne wait a few months
>
> > Not my perosnal gains but to get you help I certainly would
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > becuase I choose to
>
> > No I don't recall his name, and I have granted that you may well be
> > right, and that I have no intention of argueing with you about what his
> > name was
>
> > and still you bitch and moan
>
> > yep
>
> > I am someone therefore your statement inclduing anyone is a lis
>
> > I prefer yuo stoping thretning him at all
>
> > you acused Todd of federal crime
>
> (BTW: Todd dropped that dime on himself, MArkie...Though he was
> being "big stuff" bragging on his ultralight...exposed yet ANOTHER
> federal crime he was perpetrating...)
>
> > then report him to FAA, don't blackmail him here
>
> > but again report him don't blackmail him
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> > make the call or drop it
>
> > you are a bigot since you believe anyone thinking defferently than you
> > is mentaly ill
>
> > where?
>
> > you can't "show" somebody something without "showing" it to them in
> > some fashion
>
> > put up or shut up. either I am a danger and can be locked up or not, in
> > the latter case you have been lying in claiming I am, in the former you

> > are endangering the public by not acting.
>
> > simple turth
>
> > more threats
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> Uh huh.
>

break

> A cry for help. A demand for mental health services intervention.
> Obvious behaviour that inidcates his self-proclaimed "anger issues"
> are NOT as "dealt with" as he'd suggest.

Calling your sick twisted bluff

Put up or shut up

you know you are lying about my mental state

I am tired of your sick bluff

Make the call you coward
>
> A sick "man".

you certainly are

sick you of threats and inuendo
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1394. Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:56:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123862176.230961.6890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115744

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > >>Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
> > > >>send ...---...--- and hope for the best...
> > >
> > > Those who heard this transmission would no doubt think that things were
> > > so so.
> >
> > indeed proving the devotion of the Procoders to the aiding of others
>
> Whoa right there, Mark......
>
> (1) Dave was, again, correct. What you typed was "SOSO"

break

>
> (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> for anything?

your bluff is called

carry out your threats or drop em

make the call to mental or shreff or FBI,

call the marines if you like

put up or shut up
>
> (3) As for "procoders" aiding others, I have "elmered" and/or
> proctored tests for dozens of folks and helped who-knows-how-many folks
> ON the radio...regardless of thier class of license.
>
> You've "helped"...how many...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1395. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:57:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.15.57.54.642277@gmail.com>
Source record: 115745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank:

You are a darn troll for noticing that!

Keep your eyes closed next time.

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 03:24:25 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>
>
> - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> organizations.
>
> - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>
> - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> trying to get his equipment working!).
>
>
> Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> best efforts to deny it.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----

> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1396. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 15:59:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123862391.377208.265120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115746

K4YZ wrote:

> (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> for anything?

Good question, Steve!

Why do you?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1397. Re: Get Your Facts Straight Steve, VIOLATION OCCURED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:00:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123862439.763045.160890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115747

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> > > > > > PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
> > > > > >
> > > > > > FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> > > > > > ANYone...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.
> > > >
> > > AT THE TIME, did he know?
> > >
> > that is may understanding
> >
> That doesn't cut it.

I accept Daves silence on that subject
>
> > > From what >>I<< have read, he didn't know until AFTER THE FACT.
> > >
> > >From what I have read he did and furthermore siad hed do again if it
> > came up
> > >
> > > > I have already agreed that he was not required to know, but once he
> > > > knows he is responible for his actions with that knowledge
> > >
> > > And it still does not answer the question, WHAT REGULATION
> > > PROHIBITS HIM FROM TRANSMITTING ON A BAND >>HE<< IS ALLOWED TO OPERATE
> > > ON???
> > >
> > asked and answered
> >
> Yes, you did.
>
> And since you answered teh question in the "affirmative" (that is
> he was not REQUIRED to know), YOU are the one flailing deceased beasts
> of burden.

asked and answeeed

>
> > > > > > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
> > > > > >

> > > > > WHO SAID...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
> > >
> > cuting stevie eforts to bring sex into another thread
>
> Here we have Mark Morgan on yet another diversion from the issues
> with a ludicrous rant.

no it yours

>
> > > > > > Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> > > > > > that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> > > > > > isn't...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
> > > > > one not suposed to be there
> > > >
> > > Who said?
> > >
> > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Another Morgan lie.
>
> You have NOT answered the question.

sure have

>
> QUOTE THE REGULATION.
>
> Otherwise you're just saying "cuz I sed so".

Because the LAW says so

>
> > > > > > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > > > > > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > > > > > like that)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There's nothing to bend.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure are
> > > > >
> > > > > ask Reily
> > > >
> > > He was operating with a Tanzanian license, Markie. 5H3US.
> >
> > granted on the Basis of the US one

>
> Doesn't matter if it was granted based upon which side of his head
> he parts his hair on, Markie!
>
> And in EITHER case (US >>OR<< Tanzanian), NO VIOLATION OCCURED!

sure did

English comon law is clear on such matter

>
> > > > > There's either a rule or there isn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own<
stations
> > > > > > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > > > > > int he comission of a crime
> > > > > >
> > > > > What crime?
> > > > >
> > > > the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band
> > > >
> > > "outeratingunlicense"...?!?!
> > >
> > > What the heck was that...?!?!?!
> > >
> > > > WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?
> > > >
> > > > in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.
> > > >
> > > already done so
> > >
> > > No, you've not. You've only said "Because I say so".
> > >
> > > CITE A SPECIFIC RULE.
> >
> > already has been done, several times
>
> No, it's not.

sure is

you just will not face the answer

>
> Not even once.
>
> A SPECIFIC rule would START OFF with the specific paragraph
> number, then quote the rule in it's entirety:
>
> IE: " 97.3(a)(4)Amateur service. A radiocommunication service for
> the purpose of
> self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried
> out by amateurs, that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio
> technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
>
> THAT, Markie, is a SPECIFIC RULE

Becuase it is Comon not part 97

we are tlaking Criminal LAW not the fcc's (or Tazainian counterpart)
civil law

>
> YOU have NOT done that.

becuase it is not relavant

More governs Radio than just the regs of the FCC, the law also does

# 1398. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:02:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123862568.463930.25970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115748

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> > despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> > disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> > would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> > for anything?
>
> Good question, Steve!
>
> Why do you?

becuase he is a Coward and a Bully
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1399. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:02:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.16.02.53.104910@gmail.com>
Source record: 115762

N2EY:

If EVERYONE where to change the light-bulbs in their homes with the new
LED bulbs, that single action would make one heck of a difference in the
usage of power!

If all business did this too, would probably postpone the whole energy
crisis for a little bit longer...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 03:07:24 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>> > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Not with quick-connecting pipes. Leave it to the MEs.
>>
>> I wonder if there will be self-tank exchange stations? 8^)
>>
>> No doubt it can be done.
>
> Self-closing snap-on connector for the hydrogen supply. Similar to what
> is used for compressed air.
>
> Very doable.
>>
>> >>The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
>> >>>competitive. > >>>Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a
turkey-processing
>> >>>plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
>> >>>
>> >>>The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
>> >>>chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
>> >>>steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
>> >>>
>> >>>The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
>> >>
>> >> hmm, not too bad...
>> >
>> >
>> > *IF* it really does what is claimed. That's the problem with a
>> > lot of new technologies:
>>
>> One of the biggest problems I see for many of these

>> technologies is that
>> they often don't have the scalability needed to provide fuel
>> for many
>> vehicles, let alone fuel the countries needs. If this plant
>> went into
>> serious production, it might run out of feedstock pretty
>> quickly.
>
> That's where so many people miss the point, Mike.
>
> There's probably no single technology that will solve the
> "energy crisis". Too many people want a single magic
> silver bullet solution that will solve it all at once.
> Extremely unlikely.
>
> As you say, even if TDP works and is
> economically competitive, the limiting factor may be
> lack of raw material (imagine - not enough trash/waste
> to feed the plants!)
>
> The solution is almost certain to be a collection of
> good ideas and new technologies. TDP may be one piece,
> hydrogen another piece, geothermal, solar, wind, etc.
>
> Then there's conservation and increased efficiency - a
> penny saved really *is* a penny earned. For example, one
> of the biggest users of electricity in most homes is the
> refrigerator. Some new models use much less electricity
> per year than their earlier counterparts of the same size
> and features - to the point that replacing a 15-20 year old
> fridge with a new one may pay for itself in energy savings
> even if the old one was working fine.
>
> It's possible to build air conditioners of very high efficiency,
> but they cost more. However, using them means we don't have to build
> new power plants and new power lines, because the electrical system
> will have less peak load. (The peak load typically comes on an August
> afternoon - from all the AC units).
>
> Too many folks want one solution to solve 100% of the problem, without
> requiring them to change anything, be responsible, or worst of all have
> to think.
>
> A more realistic and mature approach is to find a number of
> solutions, each of which solves a piece of the problem.
>
> Say you find 10 solutions, each of which solves 10% of the
> problem. There you are.
>
> "We can't solve problems by using the same kind of thinking we used

> when we created them" (*)
>>
>>I think that until the next big fuel comes along, we
>>are going to enter an age of "niche" fuel production.
>>
>>That is okay, as long as we don't get involved in feedstock that > might
>> otherwise be food, ie corn/ethanol production. There are
>> possible
>> ethical considerations that will crop up in that case.
>>
> All of engineering involves ethical considerations. Heck, all
> of *life* involves ethical considerations!
>
> Is it ethical to import a large percentage of something - anything -
> needed to keep a country's economy and way of life going? Particularly
> when such importation requires dealing with,
> and empowering, people whose values are very different from your
> own?
>
> --
>
> Now someone will probably ask what any of this has to do with amateur
> radio policy. The answer is that we see the same sort of
> oversimplification of problems. We are told that the solution to all of
> amateur radio's problems is to get rid of the Morse Code test. When
> that fails to bring about a New Golden Age, then what?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1400. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:05:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123862718.826550.189080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115749

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > >
> > > On the bottle again, eh? Or just playing badmouth while mommy and
> > > daddy have left you unsupervised one more..?!?!
> > >
> > more libel
>
> Not if it's true...And by all counts of what you "cut", it
> certainly appears so.....

not at all

no eveidence it is true
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1401. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:09:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123862993.488135.78870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115750

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
> > >
> > > amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
> > > trouble for doing
> >
> > Oh?
> >
> > You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
> > Markie?
> >
> > THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...
> >
> > Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
> > on ANY Amateur allocation.
>
> Hey Markie...
>
> Any reason you left THIS post unmolested...?!?!

of course

I missed it in all you shit

but it of course is mostly bullshit

since the issue is not broadcasting but you claiming the right to
contact other other and "inform them" they are comiting a federal
crime, that landed Baxtae in hot water

and you are claiming the right to contact people tell them they are
comitting a crime, and claiming the right to contact people PERenat and
tell them they are comiting a federal crime by allowing thier sons to
transmit

and you deny that you are threatening Todd, you lying sack of shit

>
> Any reason you can't explain to me the rationale for your last set
> of answers? You've made others since.

just missed it that is Usenet for you

as I said before it is NOT rational for you to expect I am going to see
your post in the order you make them, and here in this thread you made
so many so close together I simply missed one

so sorry
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1402. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:10:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123863053.030293.12350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115751

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> o
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > The 'phone companies have cleaned up their act so much that most lines
> > will not
> > only support 56k dialup, they'll also support DSL. Such improvements
> > are almost
> > invisible to the unsuspecting public. They happen over periods of
> > years.
>
> I'm using one of those lines here. A few years ago it was originally a
> DSL line Ma Bell set up as a second line for Eric. Then he bailed away
> from his DSL service and moved to Comcast thus I inherited Ma Bell's
> former DSL line for my dialup connection. As dailup connections go the
> thing screams. His cable TV connection is only 2-3 times faster than my
> dialup connection but no more than that. He's paying something like
> $50/month for his cable connection and I'm only paying $15/month. AND
> my dialup connection is more reliable.

There ya go!

The big draw of DSL, besides the speed, is that you can use the voice
'phone and the DSL at the same time over a single pair. The monthly
cost of two lines is often comparable to the cost of one line plus DSL.

> > > As much as the S/N allows! See above.
> > >
> > > Of course! As a practical matter though, we have to assume amateur
> > > radio conditions. A real limitation there.
> >
> > Which is why you'll not see the pundits doing any of what they talk
> > about.
>
> Gotta love it. And they're *everywhere*.

Yup.
>
> Hot air is free. Brains, innovation and bench time are not free. End
> of.

What matters is what gets built and used.
>
> Like all the bafflegab and excitement about DSP, SDR's, etc. Poke

> around under the hood of any of the modern top-end ham xcvrs and you'll
> find that their hot performance is directly dependent on their cascaded
> xtal filters. Jumper the filters and put it all on their "firmware".
> HA! As if. Xtal filters being at least seventy-year-old technology and
> all that.

Xtal filters in ham receivers and noise blankers can be traced to the
same guy: Jim Lamb, at ARRL Hq. Mid 1930s.

> > > One of the things that I wonder about with the need for huge s/n
> > > handling ratios is that we obviously want a quiet reciever, with a
> > > impressive noise floor. This means all of the "oomph" must be on the
> > > strong signal handling side. We need an exquisitely sensitive and quiet
> > > reciever, with exceptional strong signal handling capacity.
> >
> > Not really.
> >
> > In most situations, HF radio reception is limited by the noise picked
> > up by the antenna,
> > not internal receiver noise. Been that way since at least the 1930s.
>
> Exactly.
>
> > It
> > does no good to
> > have an HF receiver with, say, .05 uV for 10 dB S/N sensitivity if the
> > antenna picks up .5 uV of noise in the same bandwidth.
>
> Eeeek! Yo, An HF RX with 0.05 µV sensitivity?! Not many of those
> around!

Because there's no point to building one!

Somebody put an HBR-16 through its paces and it bettered 0.5 uV
sensitivity
on 80/40/20. That's not .05 uV but the point is the same: There's a
limit to how much sensitivity is usable on HF, with typical HF
antennas.

As you go up in frequency, that situation changes.

> > You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
> > your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
> >
> > 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
> > you intend to use.
> >
> > 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
> > noise roaring away.
> >
> > 3) Disconnect the antenna.
> >

> > If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
> > sensitivity you can use in that application.
>
> I've done that and gotten some weird results. I get non-weird results
> by A-B testing between the antenna and a dummy load instead. Impedance
> matching issue.

That's the more-correct way to do it, but the point is the same: If the noise coming in from the antenna exceeds the noise generated by the rx, you've already got all the sensitivity you can use with that setup.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 1403. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:12:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123863121.962738.269650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115752

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

>
> Now someone will probably ask what any of this has to do with amateur
> radio policy. The answer is that we see the same sort of
> oversimplification of problems. We are told that the solution to all of
> amateur radio's problems is to get rid of the Morse Code test. When
> that fails to bring about a New Golden Age, then what?

now you are just lying jim
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1404. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:24:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123863870.933510.179630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> > > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> > >
> > >
> > > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> > > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> > > organizations.
>
> As an intercom in a very short range area. Nothing more. It
> never was.
>
break
> > > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> > > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> > > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>
> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.

you know it is truely amazing the lies you tell Stevie

I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
when it is there it is REALLY there)

only experenced any real porblem in/near some of the large cities where
due to the silliness of allocating that small amount of specturm to so
many people problem are bound to occour

>
> The ONE rule you'd think that everyone would adhere to is "Channel
> 9 is for EMERGENCIES only...It's as bad as the rest of them.

or as good rarely hear anything on 9

>
> > > - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> > > found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> > > shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> > > trying to get his equipment working!).
>
> Uh huh. Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

>
> > > Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> > > have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> > > better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> > > the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> > > important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> > > asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> > > why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> > > communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> > > best efforts to deny it.
> >
> > You talkin' to ME?
>
> He's not talking to anyone with an IQ over 46...Because even THEY
> know what a troll that was, Mike!
>
> > Dear friend, I *have* 2 Citizen Band Rigs. And I use them extensively
> > for travel. I am fully aware of the conditions on that band.
> >
> > Your Jeramiad is just that.
> >
> > .....and a lond, long, time ago KBM-8780
>
> KEQ1570 and KBIW1619. CB radio was how I got introduced to
> Amateur Radio via the station of WA8FMT (SK) in Streetsboro, Ohio. I
> use it occassionally for road trips too...Or to just stay awake!
>
> Dad had one of the "19Q" calls, I believe, and that was changed to
> KHG8459. He and a friend co-owned the "Sunoco" station at the
> intersection of 82 (Aurora Road) and Som Center Road in Solon, OH. (I
> ahven't been tehre in a while, but I believe there's a modern Sunoco
> there now...) He had one of the Lafayette HE15's, and I remember the
> mic was bitter than my hand by almost twice!
>
> Memories, huh...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1405. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:26:14 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddiij7$1b4e$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115754

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>Not with quick-connecting pipes. Leave it to the MEs.
>>
>> I wonder if there will be self-tank exchange stations? 8^)
>>
>> No doubt it can be done.
>
>
> Self-closing snap-on connector for the hydrogen supply. Similar to what
> is used for compressed air.
>
> Very doable.

I wonder if we will have to go back to having actual people dispensing
fuel, that is changing tanks. Otherwise we'll have to have a standard
tank and undercarriage shape - unless of course we opt for some goofy on
top of the car solution. And in that case

>>>>The big question is whether such processes can be made economically
>>>>
>>>>>competitive. > >>>Another plant in Carthage, MO, takes the waste from a
turkey-processing
>>>>>plant and extracts oil, gas and some other products from it.
>>>>>
>>>>>The company claims that many other feedstocks can be used. Old tires, a
>>>>>chronic disposal problem, can allegedly be broken down into oil, gas,
>>>>>steel, fiberglass and carbon black.
>>>>>
>>>>>The process supposedly uses 15% of the product to run itself.
>>>>
>>>> hmm, not too bad...
>>>
>>>
>>>*IF* it really does what is claimed. That's the problem with a
>>>lot of new technologies:
>>

>>One of the biggest problems I see for many of these
>>technologies is that
>>they often don't have the scalability needed to provide fuel
>>for many
>>vehicles, let alone fuel the countries needs. If this plant
>>went into
>>serious production, it might run out of feedstock pretty
>>quickly.
>
>
> That's where so many people miss the point, Mike.

Kind og like the guy who runs used oil from french fryers in his
biodeisel car. Says he spends 5 dollars per week for fuel. But that is a
one person solution.

I wonder what that smells like? Fries anyone?

> There's probably no single technology that will solve the
> "energy crisis". Too many people want a single magic
> silver bullet solution that will solve it all at once.
> Extremely unlikely.
>
> As you say, even if TDP works and is
> economically competitive, the limiting factor may be
> lack of raw material (imagine - not enough trash/waste
> to feed the plants!)

It is a recyclers dream! And pretty satisfying to actually make garbage
worth something.

> The solution is almost certain to be a collection of
> good ideas and new technologies. TDP may be one piece,
> hydrogen another piece, geothermal, solar, wind, etc.
>
> Then there's conservation and increased efficiency - a
> penny saved really *is* a penny earned. For example, one
> of the biggest users of electricity in most homes is the
> refrigerator. Some new models use much less electricity
> per year than their earlier counterparts of the same size
> and features - to the point that replacing a 15-20 year old
> fridge with a new one may pay for itself in energy savings
> even if the old one was working fine.
>
> It's possible to build air conditioners of very high efficiency,
> but they cost more. However, using them means we don't have to build
> new power plants and new power lines, because the electrical system
> will have less peak load. (The peak load typically comes on an August
> afternoon - from all the AC units).
>
> Too many folks want one solution to solve 100% of the problem, without
> requiring them to change anything, be responsible, or worst of all have

> to think.
>
> A more realistic and mature approach is to find a number of
> solutions, each of which solves a piece of the problem.
>
> Say you find 10 solutions, each of which solves 10% of the
> problem. There you are.
>
> "We can't solve problems by using the same kind of thinking we used
> when we created them" (*)

I like that...

>>I think that until the next big fuel comes along, we
>>are going to enter an age of "niche" fuel production.
>>
>>That is okay, as long as we don't get involved in feedstock that > might
>>otherwise be food, ie corn/ethanol production. There are
>>possible
>>ethical considerations that will crop up in that case.
>>
>
> All of engineering involves ethical considerations. Heck, all
> of *life* involves ethical considerations!

I think this one is a real doozy though. Imagine in times of strife
having to make the decision between fuel and people starving because you
need the food for fuel.

> Is it ethical to import a large percentage of something - anything -
> needed to keep a country's economy and way of life going? Particularly
> when such importation requires dealing with,
> and empowering, people whose values are very different from your
> own?

I don't have anything against importing oil, save that it can introduce
vulnerability. But using it up is not a problem at all. Hopefully we'll
have replacemnt sources ready when that happens.

>
> Now someone will probably ask what any of this has to do with amateur
> radio policy. The answer is that we see the same sort of
> oversimplification of problems. We are told that the solution to all of
> amateur radio's problems is to get rid of the Morse Code test. When
> that fails to bring about a New Golden Age, then what?

The usual suspects will be trotted out:

1. Morse code lovers
2. Old Hams
3. Those Oh-so-difficult tests

And when all else fails.....

Liberals

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 1406. Stevie, Coward and Bully, put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:40:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123864846.078172.121450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115755

Stevie for years now, I am the rest of the Newsgrups has endured your abuse, your threats and your lies

You ahve accused me and crime and threatened with Murder arest and comitment to a mental inistitution, claiming the porfessional credentcial to make the judgement

I am not seeking to elecit yet another threat. I seeking your action.

Put up or shut up, My preference is of course that you shut up your phycobabble, and you lies but it is your choice

you have stated that I am a danger to myself and others, If that were a true statement I should locked up by the authorities, you know that. You also obviously Know it is not true, that you are lying when you say it is true

I am calling your bluff

Coward
Bully

those are the names properly aplied to you

put up or shut up

# 1407. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:50:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.16.50.23.591036@gmail.com>
Source record: 115759

N2EY:

That doesn't make any sense, you text. I am suspecting you mean the total
cost of DSL is roughly equivalent to phone + dialup?

I am not being picky, I knew what you meant right off, just to clarify for
those few which might not...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 09:10:53 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> o
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> > The 'phone companies have cleaned up their act so much that most lines
>> > will not
>> > only support 56k dialup, they'll also support DSL. Such improvements
>> > are almost
>> > invisible to the unsuspecting public. They happen over periods of
>> > years.
>>
>> I'm using one of those lines here. A few years ago it was originally a
>> DSL line Ma Bell set up as a second line for Eric. Then he bailed away
>> from his DSL service and moved to Comcast thus I inherited Ma Bell's
>> former DSL line for my dialup connection. As dailup connections go the
>> thing screams. His cable TV connection is only 2-3 times faster than my
>> dialup connection but no more than that. He's paying something like
>> $50/month for his cable connection and I'm only paying $15/month. AND
>> my dialup connection is more reliable.
>
> There ya go!
>
> The big draw of DSL, besides the speed, is that you can use the voice
> 'phone and the DSL at the same time over a single pair. The monthly
> cost of two lines is often comparable to the cost of one line plus DSL.
>
>> > > As much as the S/N allows! See above.
>> > >
>> > > Of course! As a practical matter though, we have to assume amateur
>> > > radio conditions. A real limitation there.
>> >
>> > Which is why you'll not see the pundits doing any of what they talk

>> > about.
>>
>> Gotta love it. And they're *everywhere*.
>
> Yup.
>>
>> Hot air is free. Brains, innovation and bench time are not free. End
>> of.
>
> What matters is what gets built and used.
>>
>> Like all the bafflegab and excitement about DSP, SDR's, etc. Poke
>> around under the hood of any of the modern top-end ham xcvrs and you'll
>> find that their hot performance is directly dependent on their cascaded
>> xtal filters. Jumper the filters and put it all on their "firmware".
>> HA! As if. Xtal filters being at least seventy-year-old technology and
>> all that.
>
> Xtal filters in ham receivers and noise blankers can be traced to the
> same guy: Jim Lamb, at ARRL Hq. Mid 1930s.
>
>> > > One of the things that I wonder about with the need for huge s/n
>> > > handling ratios is that we obviously want a quiet reciever, with a
>> > > impressive noise floor. This means all of the "oomph" must be on the
>> > > strong signal handling side. We need an exquisitely sensitive and quiet
>> > > reciever, with exceptional strong signal handling capacity.
>> >
>> > Not really.
>> >
>> > In most situations, HF radio reception is limited by the noise picked
>> > up by the antenna,
>> > not internal receiver noise. Been that way since at least the 1930s.
>>
>> Exactly.
>>
>> > It
>> > does no good to
>> > have an HF receiver with, say, .05 uV for 10 dB S/N sensitivity if the
>> > antenna picks up .5 uV of noise in the same bandwidth.
>>
>> Eeeek! Yo, An HF RX with 0.05 ï¿½V sensitivity?! Not many of those
>> around!
>
> Because there's no point to building one!
>
> Somebody put an HBR-16 through its paces and it bettered 0.5 uV
> sensitivity
> on 80/40/20. That's not .05 uV but the point is the same: There's a
> limit to how much sensitivity is usable on HF, with typical HF
> antennas.

>
> As you go up in frequency, that situation changes.
>
>> > You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
>> > your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
>> >
>> > 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
>> > you intend to use.
>> >
>> > 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
>> > noise roaring away.
>> >
>> > 3) Disconnect the antenna.
>> >
>> > If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
>> > sensitivity you can use in that application.
>>
>> I've done that and gotten some weird results. I get non-weird results
>> by A-B testing between the antenna and a dummy load instead. Impedance
>> matching issue.
>
> That's the more-correct way to do it, but the point is the same: If the
> noise coming in from the antenna exceeds the noise generated by the rx,
> you've already got all the sensitivity you can use with that setup.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1408. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 16:59:19 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddikh7$1b54$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115756

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>>But will most people carpool? Will they pay for public transit, wind
>>>farms, and higher-efficiency appliances? Will they live in walkable
>>>towns and cities rather than sprawling into suburbia where every trip
>>>requires a car? How much are Americans willing to reduce their
>>>consumption of energy to balance the equation?
>>>
>>
>>I just love you east-coast liberals with your "feel-good
>>conservation village" notions.
>
>
> Minnesota is one of the most "liberal" places in the nation,
> Hans.

I'm not so sure that Hans' post wasn't tounge in cheek, Jim.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1409. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 17:00:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.17.00.50.975684@gmail.com>
Source record: 115761

AOF:

Don't get yer panties in a bunch, I only want to be helpful...

Why can't you see the posts in the order they are posted? Are you forced
to read the newsgroup off of a webpage? (I hate those damn pages, if they
are going to do them--they could at least do them correctly!)

Are you using webtv? I can't keep everyone organized as to what
software/hardware they are using, etc. (could be alzheimers <frown>)

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 09:09:53 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > > > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
>> > >
>> > > amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
>> > > trouble for doing
>> >
>> > Oh?
>> >
>> > You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
>> > Markie?
>> >
>> > THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...
>> >
>> > Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
>> > on ANY Amateur allocation.
>>
>> Hey Markie...
>>
>> Any reason you left THIS post unmolested...?!?!
>
> of course
>
> I missed it in all you shit
>
> but it of course is mostly bullshit
>

> since the issue is not broadcasting but you claiming the right to
> contact other other and "inform them" they are comiting a federal
> crime, that landed Baxtae in hot water
>
> and you are claiming the right to contact people tell them they are
> comitting a crime, and claiming the right to contact people PERenat and
> tell them they are comiting a federal crime by allowing thier sons to
> transmit
>
> and you deny that you are threatening Todd, you lying sack of shit
>
>>
>> Any reason you can't explain to me the rationale for your last set
>> of answers? You've made others since.
>
> just missed it that is Usenet for you
>
> as I said before it is NOT rational for you to expect I am going to see
> your post in the order you make them, and here in this thread you made
> so many so close together I simply missed one
>
> so sorry
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

# 1410. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 17:03:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddikp5$1akk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115757

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:

>>Sooner or later, of course, the democrats will again ascend to > power and attemp
>>to social-engineer such crapola into the law of the land,
>>rather than
>>inconvenience a few reindeer with drilling rigs in the
>>neighborhood.
>
>
> Alaska doesn't have enough oil to end imports, Hans.
>
> It would be interesting to see your reaction if they wanted to drill
> for oil under one of your favorite Minnesota lakes. Or build a nuke
> plant on one, using the lake water for cooling.
> Or something similar.

And if by some chance Hans was serious, I am sure he would gladly
sacrifice every drop of water and fish in his favorite lake if that was
what it took to keep driving his manmobile!

(I think I'll duck now) ;^)

I'm still pretty darn sure he was pulling your leg though.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1411. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 17:08:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddil2h$1akk$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 115758

John Smith wrote:

> Mike:
>
> Look into NetLimiter, you can run it on any computer you wish to limit
> upload/download speeds on, can also run it on a computer which is serving
> as a router--it will do what you want I believe...

Thanks a lot, John. That might help restore family harmony! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1412. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 17:39:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.17.38.55.989139@gmail.com>
Source record: 115760

Michael:

No problem. Everyone sharing a ISP with a teenager needs this survival
kit! The 28 day free evaluation is great!

For Linux rshaper (free of course) is great, just download, build, insert
the module "rshaper.o" into the kernel and use the "rshaperctl" app to
set, for example:
insmod rshaper.o
rshaperctl 192.168.X.XXX 5000 1
(above will limit upload/download to 5K limit--change 5000 to any value
needed--the 1 is the time factor on queuing, set as needed)

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 13:08:33 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Mike:
>>
>> Look into NetLimiter, you can run it on any computer you wish to limit
>> upload/download speeds on, can also run it on a computer which is serving
>> as a router--it will do what you want I believe...
>
> Thanks a lot, John. That might help restore family harmony! 8^)
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 1413. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 17:52:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050812135251.598$Bb_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 115763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
>
Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with 11
meters, Steve?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1414. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 18:07:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123870025.163879.59800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115764

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Don't get yer panties in a bunch, I only want to be helpful...
>
> Why can't you see the posts in the order they are posted? Are you forced

well mostly becuase if you post as much as stevie you can lose one or
two thinking you have answered all his shit

> to read the newsgroup off of a webpage? (I hate those damn pages, if they
> are going to do them--they could at least do them correctly!)

I use google these days free and easy enough
>
> Are you using webtv? I can't keep everyone organized as to what
> software/hardware they are using, etc. (could be alzheimers <frown>)
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 09:09:53 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
> >> > >
> >> > > amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
> >> > > trouble for doing
> >> >
> >> > Oh?
> >> >
> >> > You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
> >> > Markie?
> >> >
> >> > THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...
> >> >
> >> > Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
> >> > on ANY Amateur allocation.
> >>
> >> Hey Markie...
> >>

> >> Any reason you left THIS post unmolested...?!?!
> >
> > of course
> >
> > I missed it in all you shit
> >
> > but it of course is mostly bullshit
> >
> > since the issue is not broadcasting but you claiming the right to
> > contact other other and "inform them" they are comiting a federal
> > crime, that landed Baxtae in hot water
> >
> > and you are claiming the right to contact people tell them they are
> > comitting a crime, and claiming the right to contact people PERenat and
> > tell them they are comiting a federal crime by allowing thier sons to
> > transmit
> >
> > and you deny that you are threatening Todd, you lying sack of shit
> >
> >>
> >> Any reason you can't explain to me the rationale for your last set
> >> of answers? You've made others since.
> >
> > just missed it that is Usenet for you
> >
> > as I said before it is NOT rational for you to expect I am going to see
> > your post in the order you make them, and here in this thread you made
> > so many so close together I simply missed one
> >
> > so sorry
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ

## 1415. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 18:07:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123870074.758726.160570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > >
> > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with 11
> > meters, Steve?

stevie is a Mutlitasking flamer

one the secert abilities of the MMM's
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1416. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 18:36:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w_5Le.4506$Wi6.1736@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115766

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1123862391.377208.265120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
>> despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
>> disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
>> would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
>> for anything?
>
> Good question, Steve!
>
> Why do you?

Good answer, Jim!

"Way back when" the Saturday Evening Post (or maybe Colliers) had a periodic reader-contribution column called "The Perfect Squelch". With this, you'd have won the $50.00 prize offered.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1417. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 18:41:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123872091.181317.216170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115767

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of midnight EDT on 11 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1414

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 62

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 386 28.55%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 783 57.91%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 183 13.54%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, and one foreign citizen
submission. It should be noted that at least two
individuals (one for, the other against the NPRM) have
been spotted making multiple entries over following
days; all such multiple entries are considered
duplicates and relegated to Indeterminate sub-total
regardless of their opinion position.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 11 Aug 05 that would be 1352.

11 August 2005 is the 17th day of submitted Comments. A notice
about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 17 days of Comments
will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and
Order. Note: The Federal Register 2005 Contents page on the GPO
website has NOT had any entry for 9 Aug 05 or later as of Noon,
EDT, 12 Aug 05.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

dah nit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1418. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 18:43:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123872233.279321.223290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115768

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1123862391.377208.265120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> >> despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> >> disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> >> would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> >> for anything?
> >
> > Good question, Steve!
> >
> > Why do you?
>
> Good answer, Jim!
>
> "Way back when" the Saturday Evening Post (or maybe Colliers) had a periodic
> reader-contribution column called "The Perfect Squelch". With this, you'd have
> won the $50.00 prize offered.

if it works and shut's stevie rants down I'll pay you the 50$ less 5$
Shipping and handling of course

any one what to bet on wether it will squech Stevie?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1419. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 18:44:27 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <g66Le.320$Ji.165@lakeread02>
Source record: 115769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

just FYI: I am not a CB Hater, I just don't think the "service" has a professional backing required to be of use with the major national emergency services. I base this on personal and direct experience with said radio service and most of the ilk that congregate there...

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>
>
> - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> organizations.

well then, yours is a rare exception

>
> - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";

where was this? I can assure you that such wouldn't be the case here in Phoenix or any of the other major urban areas.

>
> - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> trying to get his equipment working!).

then you didn't look hard enough. btw, the quantity of radio sets still has no bearing on the argument over what (or who) can provide better service.

>
>
> Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> best efforts to deny it.

you assume much and you provide very few facts.

please try again... only this time, back things up with some sourcable
materials if you please?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

# 1420. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 18:47:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123872445.075258.320650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115770

From: "K0HB" on Fri 12 Aug 2005 05:11

>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>> hmmm, must not be enough people out there with my problem. The more BW I get,
>> the more my kid hogs up.
>
>The vendors are waiting in the wings (Alcatel, ADC, Cisco, etc.) with equipment,
>and they'd like nothing better than to provision a SONET OC3 (155.52MBPS)
>termination to your home router! Now all you need to do is convince your
>service provider to drag the glass under your street.

...or drag it over his street, depending on the local municipal
code on above-ground or underground utilities. :-)

In this neighborhood, Comcast brings in broadband fiber to the
end of this street, converts the optical digital to analog
digital AND analog-analog, sends that along on two coax cables
on the utility poles for very local distribution. No problem,
been in there for three years and a bit more. Digital TV (the
basic routing) has plenty space for broadband downlink of high
speed data to serve several thousand potential data subscribers
in this neighborhood. Uplink data is a tad slower rate but
that may be for compatibility with the older analog system
still here, still providing some profitability.

But, in line with Miccolis' contention that EVERYTHING is
related to amateur radio (ergo, every permissible subject is
desired in his personal chat blog), Coslo's parental problem
is indicative of something else -

There IS a tremendous competition for activities of personal
entertainment against morsemanship contacts with faraway lands,
has been for years and years. Even with the POTS (Plain Old
Telephone System), the Internet provides a near-immediate
contact with MOST of the world WITHOUT the vagaries of the
ionospheric layers. Even staying within national boundaries,
complex interactive role-playing games are a rapidly-expanding
activity, popular with many age groups, "high-speed" or POTS
connection access. [instead, there is limited role-playing
of devout morsemen busy in their mental dungeons, trying to
slay the dragons of change in HF radio in here...:-) ]

The combination of encroaching middle-age angst and irritation
that "my kids don't appreciate MY activities" complaints should
be an indicative symptom that the old ways are NOT as glorious

or noble or fantastically whatever to younger generations.
[it's a very old complaint, repeated every generation for
countless generations since time began] [unfortunately, the
complainants all think they 'just discovered it' and bridle
at the remarks of others who've seen the same complaints voiced
by previous generations...;-) ]

Now, I view the technical aspects of Access BPL as being the
equivalent of fairly high-speed broadband data sent over wire
in a DIFFERENT method than other wired broadband data service
providers. A different METHOD to yield equal results to
subscribers. The difference to everyone else is that Access
BPL has EMI/RFI up the ying-yang compared to other methods.
Enough that everyone in the immediate vicinity of Access BPL
can kiss their HF-VHF receiver sensitivities bye-bye...they
would be swimming in a terrible gumbo of QRM that leaves a
terrible aftertaste.

gum bow
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1421. What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 19:34:33 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1123875273.411151.190000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115771
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

(Nothing whatsoever to do with Mrs.Nugatory and
her infantile obsessive habits, that's for sure!)

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

# 1422. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 19:40:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.19.40.06.528943@gmail.com>
Source record: 115772
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

PM:

Most of that is fictional... lots of "could have", "should have", "would have" which it suggests though...

Computer related hardware/software is where all of the engineers are coming from today.

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 12:34:33 -0700, Polymath wrote:

> What is Ham Radio?
>
> (Nothing whatsoever to do with Mrs.Nugatory and
> her infantile obsessive habits, that's for sure!)
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>

> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years
> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

# 1423. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 19:45:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123875926.397096.153330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115773

sorry I missed this one in your braodsides of shit
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
cuting to the point
> I guess you missed the part where the FCC said it was dismissing
> all those other petitions and was focusing on the Code issue at the
> moment.

No I read it clearly and was of course addressing the cleaning up of
details when the code test is riped out the of the regs

but then you are not bothhered by things like facts

>
> > As perhaps one of the few members of that class
> > making comments it is a subject near and dear to my heart.
>
> Also guess you haven't been paying attention to some of the
> demographics of the respondents. You are far and away from being
> "...one of the few...", Mark...

without runing all of them I saw few techs mostly generals and extras
(more than a thousand)
no I can't tell
>
> > It is also
> > a question vital to the future of the ARS, and thus in some degree the
> > safety and future of the USA.
>
> Let's see if Lennie ahs the same Field Day with THAT as he does
> anytime any of the REST of us suggest even the remotest degree of
> importance or relevance of the Amateur Radio Service...

Auf Anglish Bitte?

>
> > I believe the privileges should be what was known as Before the 1998
> > NPRM as Tech plus, this si because I have frankly observed that many
> > new Techs tend to get struck on FM repeaters, a fine mode but hard to
> > break out of, and being hard to break out tends to depress the chances
> > that they will, ( I refer the FCC to prices on allmode rigs with VHF
> > frequencies compared to FM rigs) In addition to issues of cost with the
> > propagation of VHF there are many times that there is no one out there
> > that a novice operator can find and work.
cuting pointless remarks

> > From personal experience...(SNIP)
>
> Goat sacrifice counts here?

I'll leave your libelous and defamitory statement for all to see again

as "proof" of your respect for the faiths of others

>
> > I can tell you that this is a hard thing to do, and thus tends to imped
> > the progress of the Techs and separate them from the rest of the ARS.
cuting drivel

> > This separation is not god for the ARS or it abilities to serve in the
> > public interest .
ctuing more gratouious insults

# 1424. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:08:30 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1123877310.237542.294580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115775
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you feel that it is fictional, then it is almost
certain that you are one of the latter-day recruits
whose style is that of CB Radio, the very type that
I warn against.

Perhaps the horse has already bolted?

John Smith wrote:
> PM:
>
> Most of that is fictional... lots of "could have", "should have", "would
> have" which it suggests though...
>
> Computer related hardware/software is where all of the engineers are
> coming from today.
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 12:34:33 -0700, Polymath wrote:
>
> > What is Ham Radio?
> >
> > (Nothing whatsoever to do with Mrs.Nugatory and
> > her infantile obsessive habits, that's for sure!)
> >
> > Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> > are interested in the science of radio wave
> > propagation and who are also interested in the
> > way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> > tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> > are born naturals.
> >
> > Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> > fascination with all things technical and gives
> > an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> > knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
> >
> > This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> > with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> > gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
> >
> > Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> > they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> > else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,

> > the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> > users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> > are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> > are qualified to design, build and then
> > operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> > with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> > equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> > to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
> >
> > The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> > relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> > his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> > of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> > generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> > the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> > motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> > development grows with the years
> > and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> > of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> > be learnt and applied.
> >
> > The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> > with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> > the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> > competitions and fox-hunts.
> >
> > -----OOOOO----
> >
> > However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> > desirable thing to have that there are large
> > numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> > as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> > of the kind! Usually such people are a
> > variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> > radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> > repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> > and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> > their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> > they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> > they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> > and their licences prevent the use of
> > self-designed-and-built equipment.
> >
> > These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> > with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> > in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
> >
> > No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

# 1425. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:09:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.20.09.07.14817@gmail.com>
Source record: 115774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ:

... like chicken band 38-39 LSB where there are many hams?

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 05:24:39 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
>> > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>> >
>> >
>> > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
>> > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
>> > organizations.
>
> As an intercom in a very short range area. Nothing more. It
> never was.
>
>> > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
>> > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
>> > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>
> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
>
> The ONE rule you'd think that everyone would adhere to is "Channel
> 9 is for EMERGENCIES only...It's as bad as the rest of them.
>
>> > - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
>> > found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
>> > shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
>> > trying to get his equipment working!).
>
> Uh huh. Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
>> > Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
>> > have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
>> > better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
>> > the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
>> > important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat

>> > asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
>> > why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
>> > communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
>> > best efforts to deny it.
>>
>> You talkin' to ME?
>
> He's not talking to anyone with an IQ over 46...Because even THEY
> know what a troll that was, Mike!
>
>> Dear friend, I *have* 2 Citizen Band Rigs. And I use them extensively
>> for travel. I am fully aware of the conditions on that band.
>>
>> Your Jeramiad is just that.
>>
>> .....and a lond, long, time ago KBM-8780
>
> KEQ1570 and KBIW1619. CB radio was how I got introduced to
> Amateur Radio via the station of WA8FMT (SK) in Streetsboro, Ohio. I
> use it occassionally for road trips too...Or to just stay awake!
>
> Dad had one of the "19Q" calls, I believe, and that was changed to
> KHG8459. He and a friend co-owned the "Sunoco" station at the
> intersection of 82 (Aurora Road) and Som Center Road in Solon, OH. (I
> ahven't been tehre in a while, but I believe there's a modern Sunoco
> there now...) He had one of the Lafayette HE15's, and I remember the
> mic was bitter than my hand by almost twice!
>
> Memories, huh...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1426. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:13:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pp7Le.5354$RS.4714@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115776

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
> your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
>
> 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
> you intend to use.
>
> 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
> noise roaring away.
>
> 3) Disconnect the antenna.
>
> If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
> sensitivity you can use in that application.

You're joking, of course. You can't even get an >approximate< measure of your receiver sensitivity/noise floor from that test. Suppose, for example, that an active electrical storm is brewing and you're tuned to a 40M QRG. All but the most stone-deaf receivers will give you some room-filling background noise which will disappear when the antenna is removed.

Beep beep
73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1427. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:17:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123877854.406419.43270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115777
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> If you feel that it is fictional, then it is almost
> certain that you are one of the latter-day recruits
> whose style is that of CB Radio, the very type that
> I warn against.

It is fictional as is your charge

>
> Perhaps the horse has already bolted?
>

# 1428. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:27:42 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1123878462.933154.271100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115778
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Perhaps even "KB9RQZ" is a CB call sign?

an_old_friend wrote:
> Polymath wrote:
> > If you feel that it is fictional, then it is almost
> > certain that you are one of the latter-day recruits
> > whose style is that of CB Radio, the very type that
> > I warn against.
>
> It is fictional as is your charge
>
>
> >
> > Perhaps the horse has already bolted?
> >

# 1429. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:34:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fJ7Le.4521$Wi6.764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115779

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Is it ethical to import a large percentage of something - anything -
> needed to keep a country's economy and way of life going? Particularly
> when such importation requires dealing with, and empowering, people
> whose values are very different from your own?
>

My state grows no oranges, and must import them from Florida, home of the hanging chad and other values not compatible with "Minnesota nice". Is it ethical to drink orange juice in Minnesota?

Or maybe ethics hasn't a damned thing to do with it. I like citrus products, and I'll buy from whoever sells them at a price I'm willing to pay. Meanwhile we grow some damned good corn, wheat, and soybeans here on the prairie. We'll be happy to sell it to whoever meets the going price, regardless if their "values are very different" from ours.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1430. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:36:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123879014.595584.171910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115780
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> Perhaps even "KB9RQZ" is a CB call sign?

nope no call signs in CB, at least not in the US

you are just writing bafflegab to use an expression of one of MY fav
Birtish chacters, the Doctor, of course

>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Polymath wrote:
> > > If you feel that it is fictional, then it is almost
> > > certain that you are one of the latter-day recruits
> > > whose style is that of CB Radio, the very type that
> > > I warn against.
> >
> > It is fictional as is your charge
> >
> >
> > >
> > > Perhaps the horse has already bolted?
> > >

# 1431. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:43:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.20.43.34.514057@gmail.com>
Source record: 115813
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

PM:

No, new technology came, amateur radio was too slow to adapt, it began the
way of the dodo and has progressed along that course beginning in the late
60's leading right up to today, and continuing...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 13:08:30 -0700, Polymath wrote:

> If you feel that it is fictional, then it is almost
> certain that you are one of the latter-day recruits
> whose style is that of CB Radio, the very type that
> I warn against.
>
> Perhaps the horse has already bolted?
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> PM:
>>
>> Most of that is fictional... lots of "could have", "should have", "would
>> have" which it suggests though...
>>
>> Computer related hardware/software is where all of the engineers are
>> coming from today.
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 12:34:33 -0700, Polymath wrote:
>>
>> > What is Ham Radio?
>> >
>> > (Nothing whatsoever to do with Mrs.Nugatory and
>> > her infantile obsessive habits, that's for sure!)
>> >
>> > Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
>> > are interested in the science of radio wave
>> > propagation and who are also interested in the
>> > way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
>> > tradition of providing a source of engineers who
>> > are born naturals.
>> >
>> > Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
>> > fascination with all things technical and gives

>> > an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
>> > knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>> >
>> > This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
>> > with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
>> > gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>> >
>> > Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
>> > they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
>> > else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
>> > the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
>> > users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
>> > are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
>> > are qualified to design, build and then
>> > operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
>> > with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
>> > equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
>> > to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>> >
>> > The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
>> > relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
>> > his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
>> > of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
>> > generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
>> > the latter that communication with like-minded technically
>> > motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
>> > development grows with the years
>> > and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
>> > of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
>> > be learnt and applied.
>> >
>> > The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
>> > with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
>> > the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
>> > competitions and fox-hunts.
>> >
>> > -----OOOOO----
>> >
>> > However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
>> > desirable thing to have that there are large
>> > numbers of people who wish to be thought of
>> > as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
>> > of the kind! Usually such people are a
>> > variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
>> > radios off the shelf and send them back to be
>> > repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
>> > and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
>> > their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
>> > they are free with rather silly personal insults;
>> > they have not satisfied any technical qualification

>> > and their licences prevent the use of
>> > self-designed-and-built equipment.
>> >
>> > These CB types engage in the competitive activities
>> > with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
>> > in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>> >
>> > No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

# 1432. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:45:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.20.45.23.533485@gmail.com>
Source record: 115811
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AOF:

Who? Doctor Who? :|

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 13:36:54 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Polymath wrote:
>> Perhaps even "KB9RQZ" is a CB call sign?
>
> nope no call signs in CB, at least not in the US
>
> you are just writing bafflegab to use an expression of one of MY fav
> Birtish chacters, the Doctor, of course
>
>
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > Polymath wrote:
>> > > If you feel that it is fictional, then it is almost
>> > > certain that you are one of the latter-day recruits
>> > > whose style is that of CB Radio, the very type that
>> > > I warn against.
>> >
>> > It is fictional as is your charge
>> >
>> >
>> > >
>> > > Perhaps the horse has already bolted?
>> > >

# 1433. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:47:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.20.47.07.238153@gmail.com>
Source record: 115808

K0HB:

Suppose the aliens are running their evil "Confabulator?"

How would a guy ever know then?

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:13:09 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>> You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
>> your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
>>
>> 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
>> you intend to use.
>>
>> 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
>> noise roaring away.
>>
>> 3) Disconnect the antenna.
>>
>> If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
>> sensitivity you can use in that application.
>
> You're joking, of course. You can't even get an >approximate< measure of your
> receiver sensitivity/noise floor from that test. Suppose, for example, that an
> active electrical storm is brewing and you're tuned to a 40M QRG. All but the
> most stone-deaf receivers will give you some room-filling background noise which
> will disappear when the antenna is removed.
>
> Beep beep
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1434. Re: The majority--well, ok, if that is the way you want it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:50:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nY7Le.5365$RS.3761@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115782

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> In this neighborhood, Comcast brings in broadband fiber to the
> end of this street, converts the optical digital to analog
> digital AND analog-analog, sends that along on two coax cables
> on the utility poles for very local distribution.
>

Classic FTTC (Fiber To The Curb) application using SONET OC3. Very cost effective service delivery model because one (very expensive) optical/electrical/optical equipment pedestal serves several dozen customers with oodles of bandwidth for each.

The cost/revenue model falls down the toilet when you serve a single residence with an OC3 (or even OC1) pipe. Granted this is waaaaay more bandwidth than any single residence will ever use, so some telcos have field-trialed PON FTTH rather than SONET (less electronics investment), but only the equipment vendors are enthused.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1435. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:52:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.20.52.24.956001@gmail.com>
Source record: 115807

... it is the "Control Freak Mentality." Once an individual adopts it,
seems to become a way of life, as addictive as heroin too, it seems...

Manipulation of sovereign nations seems to be in vogue these days... if
their ideas are just different, no problem. If they plan on
enslaving, depriving citizens of freedom, "poverty-izing" people, killing
people, BIG PROBLEM!

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:34:19 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> Is it ethical to import a large percentage of something - anything -
>> needed to keep a country's economy and way of life going? Particularly
>> when such importation requires dealing with, and empowering, people
>> whose values are very different from your own?
>>
>
> My state grows no oranges, and must import them from Florida, home of the
> hanging chad and other values not compatible with "Minnesota nice". Is it
> ethical to drink orange juice in Minnesota?
>
> Or maybe ethics hasn't a damned thing to do with it. I like citrus products,
> and I'll buy from whoever sells them at a price I'm willing to pay. Meanwhile
> we grow some damned good corn, wheat, and soybeans here on the prairie. We'll
> be happy to sell it to whoever meets the going price, regardless if their
> "values are very different" from ours.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

## 1436. Hey Toad, this is for you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:53:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <P_7Le.7203$ns.7182@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

We have enjoyed the redneck jokes for years. It's time to take a reflective look at the core beliefs of a culture that values home, family, country and God. If I had to stand before a dozen terrorists who threaten my life, I'd choose a half dozen or so rednecks to back me up. Tire irons, squirrel guns and grit -- that's what rednecks are made of. I hope I am one of those. If you feel the same, pass this on to your redneck friends. Ya'll know who ya' are...

You might be a redneck if: It never occurred to you to be offended by the phrase, "One nation, under God."

You might be a redneck if: You've never protested about seeing the 10 Commandments posted in public places.

You might be a redneck if: You still say "Christmas" in! stead of "Winter Festival."

You might be a redneck if: You bow your head when someone prays.

You might be a redneck if: You stand and place your hand over your heart when they play the National Anthem.

You might be a redneck if: You treat Viet Nam vets with great respect, and always have.

You might be a redneck if: You've never burned an American flag.

You might be a redneck if: You know what you believe and you aren't afraid to say so, no matter who is listening.

You might be a redneck if: You respect your elders and expect your kids to do the same.

You might be a redneck if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.

God Bless the USA!

# 1437. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits runs even further from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:56:37 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <df340459b095d7585704ba6247ab3836@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 115784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <woger.has.no.self.control@houston-hunks.com>

While Saggytits Lee has to usethe book of fairy tales the Bible
as a crutch.....

Saggytits Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> continues to lie and
whine:

> [nothing important]

You like your picture being posted, Saggytits?

Saggytits Lee's full face headshot:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

Saggytits Lee fucks up again and thinks Roger Wiseman has "long
hair" in
<st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"Long hair like yours"

Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
Moron
You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

# 1438. Re: A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 20:58:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <e48Le.7204$ns.3445@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115785

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123793323.402599.284700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123640795.559202.155740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> cut
>>
>> Don't have a clue as to how the process works do you? Perhaps you
>> should
>> educate yourself before you make any more comments.
>
> I know eaxactly how this osrt thing of thing works
>
> You go around digging up old charges and you try to bundle them togther
> and make them fly again
>
> SOP for the intolerant, and the Bully
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

I didn't go around digging a thing. I simply reposted it from a E-mail I
received, and with the writters permission.

Maybe you should read it through before inserting foot in mouth next time.
And if your interested the charges are what the FCC says also.

<plonk>

Dan/W4NTI

# 1439. Re: Hey Toad, this is for you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:01:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.21.01.37.378061@gmail.com>
Source record: 115806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan:

Not a redneck, here, by birth, design or circumstance--however, parts of
my spirit resonate with that "redneck philosophy"--guess some will now
accuse me of being a redneck wannabe...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:53:03 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> We have enjoyed the redneck jokes for years. It's time to take a reflective
> look at the core beliefs of a culture that values home, family, country and
> God. If I had to stand before a dozen terrorists who threaten my life, I'd
> choose a half dozen or so rednecks to back me up. Tire irons, squirrel guns
> and grit -- that's what rednecks are made of. I hope I am one of those. If
> you feel the same, pass this on to your redneck friends. Ya'll know who ya'
> are...
>
> You might be a redneck if: It never occurred to you to be offended by the
> phrase, "One nation, under God."
>
> You might be a redneck if: You've never protested about seeing the
> 10 Commandments posted in public places.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You still say "Christmas" in! stead of "Winter
> Festival."
>
> You might be a redneck if: You bow your head when someone prays.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You stand and place your hand over your heart
> when they play the National Anthem.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You treat Viet Nam vets with great respect, and
> always have.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You've never burned an American flag.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You know what you believe and you aren't afraid
> to say so, no matter who is listening.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You respect your elders and expect your kids to
> do the same.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.

>
>
> God Bless the USA!

# 1440. Re: Hey Toad, this is for you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:09:10 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <4e3a3$42fd0fef$4e0db92$2284@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 115786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message

Snipped
> You might be a redneck if: It never occurred to you to be offended by the
> phrase, "One nation, under God."
>
> You might be a redneck if: You've never protested about seeing the
> 10 Commandments posted in public places.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You still say "Christmas" in! stead of "Winter
> Festival."
>
> You might be a redneck if: You bow your head when someone prays.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You stand and place your hand over your heart
> when they play the National Anthem.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You treat Viet Nam vets with great respect, and
> always have.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You've never burned an American flag.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You know what you believe and you aren't afraid
> to say so, no matter who is listening.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You respect your elders and expect your kids to
> do the same.
>
> You might be a redneck if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.
>
>
> God Bless the USA!
>

May God bless our military veterans and our brave service men and women.
Amen.
Ace - WH2T

## 1441. more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:09:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123880976.769579.136460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

cuting all materail relavant to radio or law or even the people in the
NG

Nothing left

## 1442. Re: A reply to Blapster (K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:12:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123881172.241263.129300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115790

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123793323.402599.284700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1123640795.559202.155740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > cut
> >>
> >> Don't have a clue as to how the process works do you? Perhaps you
> >> should
> >> educate yourself before you make any more comments.
> >
> > I know eaxactly how this osrt thing of thing works
> >
> > You go around digging up old charges and you try to bundle them togther
> > and make them fly again
> >
> > SOP for the intolerant, and the Bully
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> I didn't go around digging a thing. I simply reposted it from a E-mail I
> received, and with the writters permission.

you is singluar and pural in English so of course you did as I said
that it took or more of you to dig up and report this trash make you
look even worse

>
> Maybe you should read it through before inserting foot in mouth next time.
> And if your interested the charges are what the FCC says also.

I read it in full to see if it had any merit to a discussion of Radio

That Baxter is likely not the nicest man on the planet is not in
dispute

It seem rather like the MJ case proving conclusively that MJ was/is
weird, but that isn't proof of a crime

>
> <plonk>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 1443. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:14:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123881242.858018.53310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> >
> >
> > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> > organizations.
> >
> > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
> >
> > - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> > found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> > shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> > trying to get his equipment working!).
> >
> >
> > Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> > have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> > better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> > the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> > important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> > asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> > why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> > communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> > best efforts to deny it.
>
>
> And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
> the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
> isn't. Swallow that pill.

Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
here a few days ago

# 1444. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:14:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com>
Source record: 115812
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

PM:

Let me give a summary of the "real world."

If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.

In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....

By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
project would most likely be computer related.

Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 13:27:42 -0700, Polymath wrote:

> Perhaps even "KB9RQZ" is a CB call sign?
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Polymath wrote:
>> > If you feel that it is fictional, then it is almost
>> > certain that you are one of the latter-day recruits
>> > whose style is that of CB Radio, the very type that
>> > I warn against.
>>
>> It is fictional as is your charge
>>
>>
>> >
>> > Perhaps the horse has already bolted?
>> >

# 1445. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:18:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.21.18.28.126485@gmail.com>
Source record: 115810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AOF:

There are liars, and there are "DAMN LIARS", you have chosen to pick a
fight with the latter...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 14:14:02 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
>> > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>> >
>> >
>> > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
>> > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
>> > organizations.
>> >
>> > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
>> > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
>> > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>> >
>> > - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
>> > found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
>> > shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
>> > trying to get his equipment working!).
>> >
>> >
>> > Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
>> > have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
>> > better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
>> > the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
>> > important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
>> > asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
>> > why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
>> > communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
>> > best efforts to deny it.
>>
>>
>> And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
>> the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
>> isn't. Swallow that pill.

>
> Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
> here a few days ago

# 1446. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:19:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Un8Le.4687$RZ2.198@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115791

Is this one of your "opinions" that you claim you have a right to broadcast?

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123711237.431224.92840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It seems to me that your one of those amateur operators who doesn't
> like K1MAN; reason, you don't have a FUCKING LIFE. Your one of those
> pathetic licensed CB operators who can get it through their fucking
> head that IT'S A HOBBY. But no, instead you harass a person because
> simply put, you don't like what that person says or does, your fucking
> life circle around ruining this person's life. But hey after he's
> gone you can go out back and blow your fucking brains out. Because,
> once he is gone you will no longer have anything to live for because
> you're the one who is a LOSER.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

# 1447. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:22:02 UTC
From: Chuck Olson <chuckolson01@REMOVETHIScomcast.net>
Message-ID: <FZWdnSZ-9Lsnj2DfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 115792
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.12.19.40.06.528943@gmail.com...
> PM:
>
> Most of that is fictional... lots of "could have", "should have", "would
> have" which it suggests though...
>
> Computer related hardware/software is where all of the engineers are
> coming from today.
>
> John

I guess you never put together a crystal set or a 1-tube radio, or designed your own VFO-controlled transmitter. I did, and from those days in 7th grade, I knew what I was going to do the rest of my life. By sophomore year in high school I learned to send and receive Morse Code at 15 WPM so I could be sure to meet the 13WPM requirement for my Ham license. At 21, my Engineering education was interrupted by the draft, and I joined the Navy to become an Electronics Technician and service radar and communications equipment for 4 years. Resuming my education, I became an Electrical Engineer and worked in industry for the next 38 years, continuously learning new things as they became current technology. I was never laid off or a victim of "reduction in force" through that entire career - - because I was a "natural" and kept my skills up to meet the needs of my employer. Ham radio is an excellent start for anyone who has a curiosity and fascination about electronics, be it represented by radio communications or computers or industrial control technology.

I was inspired by Polymath's description of the good effects of ham radio on its devotees. He should be congratulated on his explanation - - a very readable and true to life presentation.

73, Chuck W6PKP

# 1448. Re: RRAP Regulars A No-Show for WT05-235 Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:25:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0t8Le.4688$RZ2.1441@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115793

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:KmnKe.3626$Wi6.2053@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> One might draw the conclusion that this group had
>> a predetermined interest in the subject and had make
>> decisions on what to say.
>
> One might, or then again, one might not.
>
> Since the Docket responds to 18 different petitions and runs to 30 pages
> in length with over 200 footnote references, one might take the time to
> thoughtfully review the FCC discussion in an unhurried (but timely)
> fashion.
>
> Having done that, my comments have been submitted to FCC.
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
Hans,

I made my comments short and sweet. Basically asked them to leave a little room for CW. The handwriting is on the wall my friend.

Dan/W4NTI

# 1449. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:25:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050812172524.642$6Q@newsreader.com>
Source record: 115794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten> wrote:
>CB isn't. Swallow that pill.
>
Hi JJ.

What's your call? I'll make a funny qsl card with it.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1450. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:30:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123882246.792703.322910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115795

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Is this one of your "opinions" that you claim you have a right to broadcast?

nope that he has the Right to transmit and informational bullitin same
as you do
>
> Dan/W4NTI
pruning

# 1451. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:32:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nz8Le.4690$RZ2.2780@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115796

And tell me Lennie, where did my comments come in? I don't see my
particular catagory at all. Or, is this just another line of BS from you?

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1123641875.027221.92420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Championships!
>
> As of midnight EDT on 8 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
> Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:
>
> Total 1215
>
> Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 50
>
> Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 329 28.24%
> Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 685 58.80%
> Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 151 12.96%
>
>
> Notes:
>
> 1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
> or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
> polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
> do with the NPRM, one foreign citizen submission.
>
> 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
> NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.
>
> 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
> the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
>
> 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
> test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
> eliminating the code test for other classes.
>
> Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
> the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
> midnight of 8 Aug 05 that Total would be 1165.
>
> A growing number of keep-code-test-for-Extra entries prompted
> a review of all entries up to 8 Aug 05 and revision of the
> previous postings of Against and Indeterminate numbers.

> This was exacerbated by 14 entries made into the various day
> listings by the FCC after midnight of the days given. Total
> entry numbers are correct to the FCC-given totals up to and
> including 8 Aug 05. Having read each and every entry made
> so far, new entries made in previous day's listings can be
> spotted without fail and tallied groups corrected as need be.
>
> 8 August 2005 is the 14th day of submitted Comments. A notice
> about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
> the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 14 days of Comments
> will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and
> Order. Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being
> made, like it or not.
>
> dit dah
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

## 1452. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:33:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123882435.034857.134780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:
> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663

disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
greatness of the Nation we live in

I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights

## 1453. Markie the bone pirate and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:35:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050812173549.247$gd_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 115798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> i think you may have passed the limits of yours
>
You say that to all the boys, pole smoker.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1454. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:36:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123882612.309239.259730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115799

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> And tell me Lennie, where did my comments come in? I don't see my
> particular catagory at all. Or, is this just another line of BS from you?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Dan wants his own private catagory I guess he is specail, specail what
I will not venture to say but he thinks he is specail, as I expect does
his mommy and Stevie, from what I read

pruning

## 1455. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:38:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123882735.435745.63600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > i think you may have passed the limits of yours
> >
> You say that to all the boys, pole smoker.

not at all

I am very selective

>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1456. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:43:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.21.43.43.454273@gmail.com>
Source record: 115809
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Chuck:

Your text reminds me of some of the "motivational speakers" on TV.

I don't dispute it may well be true, for a past age, just not accurate
under todays technology and the state amateur radio now exists in...

... yesterday just doesn't matter, today is only useful for being able to
plan tomorrow, tomorrow is where it is at!

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 14:22:02 -0700, Chuck Olson wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.12.19.40.06.528943@gmail.com...
>> PM:
>>
>> Most of that is fictional... lots of "could have", "should have", "would
>> have" which it suggests though...
>>
>> Computer related hardware/software is where all of the engineers are
>> coming from today.
>>
>> John
>
> I guess you never put together a crystal set or a 1-tube radio, or designed
> your own VFO-controlled transmitter. I did, and from those days in 7th
> grade, I knew what I was going to do the rest of my life. By sophomore year
> in high school I learned to send and receive Morse Code at 15 WPM so I could
> be sure to meet the 13WPM requirement for my Ham license. At 21, my
> Engineering education was interrupted by the draft, and I joined the Navy to
> become an Electronics Technician and service radar and communications
> equipment for 4 years. Resuming my education, I became an Electrical
> Engineer and worked in industry for the next 38 years, continuously learning
> new things as they became current technology. I was never laid off or a
> victim of "reduction in force" through that entire career - - because I was
> a "natural" and kept my skills up to meet the needs of my employer. Ham
> radio is an excellent start for anyone who has a curiosity and fascination
> about electronics, be it represented by radio communications or computers or
> industrial control technology.
>
> I was inspired by Polymath's description of the good effects of ham radio on
> its devotees. He should be congratulated on his explanation - - a very

> readable and true to life presentation.
>
> 73, Chuck W6PKP

# 1457. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:51:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <46udnY8zpPiMlmDfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>
>
> - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> organizations.
>
> - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>
> - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> trying to get his equipment working!).
>
>
> Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> best efforts to deny it.

And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
isn't. Swallow that pill.

# 1458. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 21:57:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123883875.191242.117040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> >>
> >>And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
> >>the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
> >>isn't. Swallow that pill.
> >
> >
> > Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
> > here a few days ago
> >
>
> I take back my last post concerning you, you really are a complete idiot.

nope I am just better infromed Cmdr Sir maybe you should read your
reports more throughly Cmdr Sir

but in some areas CB is used in inter agency matters

We have been promised that evryone will soon be using the 800Mhz system
of the Michigan Sate police and that the system will be upgraded to
coover the entire area ( arther than just along the state and federal
highways) but somehow when it has come down to PAYING for all this what
you see is a lot of finger pointing, and wring of hands

Maybe in few year we will have in place the pormised system the system
promised to these people here back in 1998 (they swore it would be rady
in case of Y2K) I showed some years after that and still much arguing
over costs and who was going to pay, Homeland Defense does seem to have
played a role in building some of the Twoer needed for the system but
still a whole of NIMBY on the permits

# 1459. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:01:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050812180125.297$Q2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 115804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten> wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>> And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
> >>> the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense.
> >>> CB isn't. Swallow that pill.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire
> >> round here a few days ago
>
> And just which 'fork' is fighting the fire, the salad or meat fork?
>
Careful there, JJ. He will toss your salad.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1460. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:03:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123884207.959670.166830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> > > > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> > > > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> > > > organizations.
> > >
> > As an intercom in a very short range area. Nothing more. It
> > never was.
> >
> break
> > > > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> > > > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> > > > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
> > >
> > That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> >
> you know it is truely amazing the lies you tell Stevie

Now you are TRULY embarrassing yourslef with such a claim, Markie.

> I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
> sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
> when it is there it is REALLY there)

Well aren't you lucky. You must be the one of 10 million CBers to
NOT have had any of these problems.

> only experenced any real porblem in/near some of the large cities where
> due to the silliness of allocating that small amount of specturm to so
> many people problem are bound to occur.

Uh huh...right.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1461. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:06:35 UTC
From: huLLy <g4sdw@aol.com>
Message-ID: <q-KdnYx7OLX8gGDfRVn-iA@giganews.com>
Source record: 115817
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
>
> Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at
> them using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior.
> They lack the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos,
> documents, etc. by transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then,
> they show you a CW key and you are dumb struck, and leave. You
> return to the internet and current technology, never to stray
> again... you begin a web site and consider what position you would
> like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>

Fabulously put.
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

# 1462. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:06:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123884396.266290.261820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > >
> > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with 11
> > meters, Steve?

Is anything I said not accurrate, Steveo?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1463. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:06:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123884409.846904.163990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
> >>>>the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
> >>>>isn't. Swallow that pill.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
> >>>here a few days ago
> >>>
> >>
> >>I take back my last post concerning you, you really are a complete idiot.
> >
> >
> > nope I am just better infromed Cmdr Sir maybe you should read your
> > reports more throughly Cmdr Sir
> >
> > but in some areas CB is used in inter agency matters
> >
> > We have been promised that evryone will soon be using the 800Mhz system
> > of the Michigan Sate police and that the system will be upgraded to
> > coover the entire area ( arther than just along the state and federal
> > highways) but somehow when it has come down to PAYING for all this what
> > you see is a lot of finger pointing, and wring of hands
> >
> > Maybe in few year we will have in place the pormised system the system
> > promised to these people here back in 1998 (they swore it would be rady
> > in case of Y2K) I showed some years after that and still much arguing
> > over costs and who was going to pay, Homeland Defense does seem to have
> > played a role in building some of the Twoer needed for the system but
> > still a whole of NIMBY on the permits
> >
>
> So why don't you contact Federal agencies and local agencies around the
> country and report just how many intergrate cb radio in their emergency
> plans? Also contact NORAD and Homeland Defense. Then tell us who will
> count on cb and who will call on ham radio if needed. You, the toad and

> wogie somehow related?

why don't you

you point was that it is does not happen

you are simply fact chalanged

# 1464. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:08:51 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2c7qf1t3nqpl0togbo2c85od00r696ck5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 115818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 12 Aug 2005 21:54:17 GMT, "Christy D"
<daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>
>On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Lloyd wrote:
>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>
>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>> greatness of the Nation we live in
>>
>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>
>Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
>it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
>certain circumstances.

How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
illegal, let alone a felony?

## 1465. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:10:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123884645.114851.4980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>
> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> greatness of the Nation we live in
>
> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights

He had no right to go over the security fence. There's nothing
"great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1466. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:11:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123884692.829628.247740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > > > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> > > > > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> > > > > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> > > > > organizations.
> > > >
> > > > As an intercom in a very short range area. Nothing more. It
> > > > never was.
> > > >
> > > break
> > > > > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> > > > > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> > > > > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
> > > >
> > > > That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > >
> > > you know it is truely amazing the lies you tell Stevie
> >
> > Now you are TRULY embarrassing yourslef with such a claim, Markie.

not at all

you simply never hear anything like that here int eh UP or in Central
Illinois where I used to live

You may hear some of it round major cities, but I don't hear in in
Milwalkee or Indy or St louis where I travel regularly

I hear some clear problem around Chicago when I am there, sound like
too many radios users not enough spectrum

But you claim that turning on the simplest CB will prove what you
calim is simply a LIE

The condiction vary from place to place

>
> > I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
> > sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
> > when it is there it is REALLY there)
>
> Well aren't you lucky. You must be the one of 10 million CBers to
> NOT have had any of these problems.

maybe luck, maybe being polite to people helps

But your statement is lie
>
> > only experenced any real porblem in/near some of the large cities where
> > due to the silliness of allocating that small amount of specturm to so
> > many people problem are bound to occur.
>
> Uh huh...right.

right and of course

still never heard anyone say audio on CB, why would somebody say that?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1467. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:12:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <299Le.5388$RS.711@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115822
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote

> What is Ham Radio?

This message is a VERY brief attempt to explain the hobby and give some pointers on where to get more information. Almost certainly I won't answer all your questions, so feel free to ask for help. Hams are famous for their eagerness to help newcomers. I should also point out that this explanation assumes you live in the USA. Almost every country has hams (amateur radio operators), but each has their own name for the various classes of license, and the test requirements vary from country to country.

(First I have to explain something you might not have realized. In doing so, I'm going to paraphrase something written by Steve Ford, WB8IMY, in his introduction to a book entitled "The ARRL Operating Manual".)

In case you didn't know, belief in magic is a necessary requirement for all Amateur Radio operators. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to believe in that powerful, intangible force.

All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another. Some night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning aimlessly, not expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be coming through at that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a ghost, there will be a faint call from another stalwart explorer thousands of miles distant. You pounce, establish contact and both of you marvel at the fact that the conversation is taking place at all. A few minutes later, however, the signal vanishes as quickly as it came. Your rational mind will shrug it off as a quirk of propagation, but that little tingle in your gut will tell you otherwise.

If you could travel back in time to, say, 1305 AD, you'd probably be burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human beings could communicate with each other over great distances without a physical connection. No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in magic -- and they'd be right!

As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us have become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as commonplace. We hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon the elemental forces of the universe. But every so often we need to

pause and remind ourselves of what we are really doing. We need to remember the essence of what drew us to our unusual avocation in the first place: the wonderful magic of wireless communications.

So how can YOU become a magician?

First, you need to prepare to obtain a Magicians License. The Federal Communications Commission calls them Amateur Radio Operator Licenses, and they come in 3 "classes".

The Technician class license, is currently the most popular class, providing the "first taste of magic" to most new licensees. There is no Morse code examination for this license, and the written examination is not considered difficult by most applicants. The frequency bands for this license are largely "local" in nature. (You can gain access to some "long distance" bands if you pass a slow (5 words per minute) Morse examination, but this option may soon be history if a recent FCC is adopted.)

The other two classes of license are General and Extra.
These two classes have access to portions of all amateur bands, with progressively more difficult exams, and a requirement requirement to pass a 5 word per minute Morse code exam.

To prepare for the exams you will need some study material and more information about classes, examination points (the exams are given by volunteer Hams in your community), and clubs in your area. A number of organizations will be more than willing to help. One such organization is the American Radio Relay League. They are on the Web at http://www.arrl.org.

Or you can write them at:

ARRL 225 Main Street Newington, CT 06111

or call them at 1-800-32NEWHAM

Ask for their "Prospective Ham Package". It will include more detailed information, a list of classes and clubs in your area, and a list of scheduled examinations in your area. Include your ZIP code in your query so they can send you info tailored for your location.

If you are near a Radio Shack store, go there and ask for a book entitled "Now You're Talking". This book is also available from the ARRL. It contains all the information you need, including study material and sample questions for the examination for the Technician license.

Good luck, and welcome to the "magical" hobby.

Regards,

Hans, K0HB

# 1468. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:12:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123884738.251655.238690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> There are liars, and there are "DAMN LIARS", you have chosen to pick a
> fight with the latter...
>
> John

you refering the "cmdr" or to "Stevie"
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 14:14:02 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> >> > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> >> > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> >> > organizations.
> >> >
> >> > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> >> > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> >> > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
> >> >
> >> > - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> >> > found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> >> > shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> >> > trying to get his equipment working!).
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> >> > have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
> >> > better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> >> > the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> >> > important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> >> > asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> >> > why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> >> > communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> >> > best efforts to deny it.
> >>

> >>
> >> And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
> >> the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
> >> isn't. Swallow that pill.
> >
> > Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
> > here a few days ago

# 1469. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:14:11 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <Qa9Le.28729$_41.13348@fe02.lga>
Source record: 115823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:2c7qf1t3nqpl0togbo2c85od00r696ck5c@4ax.com...
> On 12 Aug 2005 21:54:17 GMT, "Christy D"
> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> Lloyd wrote:
>>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>>
>>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>>> greatness of the Nation we live in
>>>
>>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>>
>>Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
>>it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
>>certain circumstances.
>
> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
> illegal, let alone a felony?
>

Pissing is vandalism and that is a crime.

B.H.

# 1470. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:14:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123884877.384816.77160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Lloyd wrote:
> > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
> >
> > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> > greatness of the Nation we live in
> >
> > I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>
> He had no right to go over the security fence. There's nothing
> "great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.

no security fence shown

I would rather someone danced on Reagun grave than burned a flag so I
would say the morals are imporving
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1471. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:16:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123884994.007261.63610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On 12 Aug 2005 21:54:17 GMT, "Christy D"
> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Lloyd wrote:
> >> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
> >>
> >> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> >> greatness of the Nation we live in
> >>
> >> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
> >
> >Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
> >it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
> >certain circumstances.
>
> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
> illegal, let alone a felony?

agreed esp such a politcal target as these

I voted For Reagun was too young to vote for Nixon, but might have, but
I respect the right of those that dislike him

# 1472. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:16:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.22.16.49.455004@gmail.com>
Source record: 115826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Christy:

I certainly DO NOT hold with the desecration of graves, still, it WAS only Regans' and Nixons', certainly an exception could be made there! Perhaps if they find that kid, they could give him a medal instead?

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 21:54:17 +0000, Christy D wrote:

> On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Lloyd wrote:
>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>
>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>> greatness of the Nation we live in
>>
>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>
> Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
> it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
> certain circumstances.

# 1473. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:17:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123885066.949621.237820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115827
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

a much better peice of writing

KØHB wrote:
> "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote
>
> > What is Ham Radio?
>
> This message is a VERY brief attempt to explain the hobby and give
> some pointers on where to get more information. Almost certainly I won't
> answer all your questions, so feel free to ask for help. Hams are famous
> for their eagerness to help newcomers. I should also point out that
> this explanation assumes you live in the USA. Almost every country
> has hams (amateur radio operators), but each has their own name
> for the various classes of license, and the test requirements vary from
> country to country.
>
> (First I have to explain something you might not have realized. In
> doing so, I'm going to paraphrase something written by Steve Ford,
> WB8IMY, in his introduction to a book entitled "The ARRL Operating
> Manual".)
>
> In case you didn't know, belief in magic is a necessary requirement
> for all Amateur Radio operators. Of course, you won't see a question
> concerning magic or metaphysics on the test you take to obtain your
> license, but in your heart you will come to believe in that powerful,
> intangible force.
>
> All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or
> another. Some night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be
> tuning aimlessly, not expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING
> could be coming through at that hour. But then, rising out of the noise
> like a ghost, there will be a faint call from another stalwart explorer
> thousands of miles distant. You pounce, establish contact and both of
> you marvel at the fact that the conversation is taking place at all. A
> few minutes later, however, the signal vanishes as quickly as it came.
> Your rational mind will shrug it off as a quirk of propagation, but
> that little tingle in your gut will tell you otherwise.
>
> If you could travel back in time to, say, 1305 AD, you'd probably
> be burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human
> beings could communicate with each other over great distances without a
> physical connection. No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in
> magic -- and they'd be right!

>
> As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many
> of us have become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as
> commonplace. We hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon
> the elemental forces of the universe. But every so often we need to
> pause and remind ourselves of what we are really doing. We need to
> remember the essence of what drew us to our unusual avocation in the
> first place: the wonderful magic of wireless communications.
>
> So how can YOU become a magician?
>
> First, you need to prepare to obtain a Magicians License. The Federal
> Communications Commission calls them Amateur Radio Operator Licenses,
> and they come in 3 "classes".
>
> The Technician class license, is currently the most popular class,
> providing the "first taste of magic" to most new licensees. There
> is no Morse code examination for this license, and the written
> examination is not considered difficult by most applicants. The
> frequency bands for this license are largely "local" in nature. (You
> can gain access to some "long distance" bands if you pass a slow
> (5 words per minute) Morse examination, but this option may soon
> be history if a recent FCC is adopted.)
>
> The other two classes of license are General and Extra.
> These two classes have access to portions of all amateur bands, with
> progressively more difficult exams, and a requirement requirement
> to pass a 5 word per minute Morse code exam.
>
> To prepare for the exams you will need some study material and more
> information about classes, examination points (the exams are given by
> volunteer Hams in your community), and clubs in your area. A number of
> organizations will be more than willing to help. One such organization
> is the American Radio Relay League. They are on the Web at
> http://www.arrl.org.
>
> Or you can write them at:
>
> ARRL 225 Main Street Newington, CT 06111
>
> or call them at 1-800-32NEWHAM
>
> Ask for their "Prospective Ham Package". It will include more
> detailed information, a list of classes and clubs in your area, and a
> list of scheduled examinations in your area. Include your ZIP
> code in your query so they can send you info tailored for your
> location.
>
> If you are near a Radio Shack store, go there and ask for a book
> entitled "Now You're Talking". This book is also available from the

> ARRL. It contains all the information you need, including study
> material and sample questions for the examination for the Technician
> license.
>
> Good luck, and welcome to the "magical" hobby.
>
> Regards,
>
> Hans, K0HB

# 1474. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:17:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050812181759.521$8S@newsreader.com>
Source record: 115828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on
> > >> even
> > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > >
> > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with 11
> > meters, Steve?
>
> Is anything I said not accurrate, Steveo?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Dunno, do you listen to 11 meters much?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1475. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:18:30 UTC
From: Trying to be a real ham! <Wanna.Be.A.Real.Ham33333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tv7qf1pdcm38ejb74kl0q4iscv5b55ojmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 115829
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 12 Aug 2005 12:34:33 -0700, "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
wrote:

they are nothing
>of the kind! Usually such people are a
>variation of the CB Radio hobbyist...

Actually it was CB band competition between a friend and I combined with my desire to understand radio that turned me in to a ham. When I was back in school my friend and I started trying to see who could put out the strongest signal. His father bought him an amplifier to get over me. I was not going to ask my parents for an amplifier, and I did not have any money to buy one since I was a full time student. I had heard the phrase "Knowledge is power", so I decided if I could not buy a bigger amp to get over my friend I would have to out smart him with brain power.
I went to the school library and started reading radio handbooks like Bill Orr's handbook. When a radio repair tech at the local ham store realized I truly wanted to learn the science of radio he gave me a copy of the 1983 ARRL handbook for free (it was 1984 at the time). I read that book until it fell apart. Then I bought another ARRL radio book, and then another radio book, and then another radio book. I'm still doing that all these years later. The radios I talk on (a Tempo 2020, Drake 4 B line twins, Yaesu FT-101ee, and a Midland 79-892 40 channel sideband CB) were all someone else's broken door stop. None of them worked when I bought them. I repaired them all, and I made most of my antennas. When I talk on those old radios part of me smiles, because I know the only reason those radios are still working is because I put them back on the air.
Anyway after deciding to ignore all the CB radio folklore I had heard on the CB back in the 1970s and early 1980s, I learned the truth about radio from reading the ARRL handbooks. I quickly became the strongest CB station on the airways, and much of that was with self taught radio know how.
A local ham noticed I was emerging from the CB pack as a potential ham, and he started talking to me about ham radio. I aced the 5 wpm Morse code test three weeks after listening to my first ARRL code tape, and I don't even like Morse code. I aced all my exams, my 13 WPM code test, and now I am an Advanced class ham. When I passed my Advanced written test a bunch old timers circled around the VE examiners desk looking for a mistake on my test, but there was none to be found. I made a perfect score, and out of all my test I only missed one question.
One of the reasons I have not taken the Extra test is because the

old timers spit on the new no code Extra. I'm proud of my Advanced class license, and I would not take kindly to an old timer spitting on me if I had the new no code Extra.
In the past I used older equipment because I could not afford anything else. Now I can afford the best, but I find myself poking around in the old tube types and tube hybrids, because I don't know if I can service the new surface mount technology stuff. If all I could do was talk on the radio that would take all the fun out of it for me.
I think the best compliment I ever received on the ham bands was when an old timer listened to all the things I was building and doing and he said; "You are a true ham". That phrase from an old timer meant more to me than any signal report or any DX contact. I am a true ham, and I started on the CB band back in the 1970s just like most other hams my age. I am not ashamed of my CB heritage. The truth is I had a blast on the CB band back then.

Michael Rawls
KS4HY

# 1476. Mark Morgan Making Up "Laws" As He Goes..Proven A Liar Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:21:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123885282.728022.299470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115831

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> > > > > > > > > PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> > > > > > > > > ANYone...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > AT THE TIME, did he know?
> > > > >
> > > > > that is may understanding
> > >
> > > That doesn't cut it.
> >
> > I accept Daves silence on that subject

"Silence" is not an answer.

> > And since you answered teh question in the "affirmative" (that is
> > he was not REQUIRED to know), YOU are the one flailing deceased beasts
> > of burden.
>
> asked and answeeed

"answeed"...?!?!

That wasn't a question to be answered, but you're still wrong.

> > > > > > > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > WHO SAID...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
> > > > >
> > > > > cuting stevie eforts to bring sex into another thread
> > >
> > > Here we have Mark Morgan on yet another diversion from the issues
> > > with a ludicrous rant.
>
> no it yours

Nope.

> > > > > > Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> > > > > > that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> > > > > > isn't...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
> > > > > > one not suposed to be there
> > > > > >
> > > > > Who said?
> > > > >
> > > > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> > > >
> > > asked and answered
> > >
> > Another Morgan lie.
> >
> > You have NOT answered the question.
> >
> sure have

No, Markie...You haven't.

> > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> >
> > Otherwise you're just saying "cuz I sed so".
> >
> Because the LAW says so

Then CITE the law.

You have F A I L E D to do so!

> > > > > > > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > > > > > > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > > > > > > like that)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > There's nothing to bend.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > sure are
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ask Reily
> > > > >
> > > > > He was operating with a Tanzanian license, Markie. 5H3US.
> > > >
> > > granted on the Basis of the US one
> > >
> > Doesn't matter if it was granted based upon which side of his head
> > he parts his hair on, Markie!
> >
> > And in EITHER case (US >>OR<< Tanzanian), NO VIOLATION OCCURED!
> >
> sure did

>
> English comon law is clear on such matter

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > > > > There's either a rule or there isn't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own<
stations
> > > > > > > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > > > > > > int he comission of a crime
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What crime?
> > > > >
> > > > > the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band
> > > >
> > > > "outeratingunlicense"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > What the heck was that...?!?!?!
> > > >
> > > > > > WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?
> > > > >
> > > > > in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.
> > > > >
> > > > > already done so
> > > >
> > > > No, you've not. You've only said "Because I say so".
> > > >
> > > > CITE A SPECIFIC RULE.
> > >
> > > already has been done, several times
> >
> > No, it's not.
>
> sure is
>

> you just will not face the answer

W H A T A N S W E R ? ? ? ?

You've not provided one.

CITE A PARAGRAPH OF PERTINENT REGULATIONS, MARKIE!

> > Not even once.
> >
> > A SPECIFIC rule would START OFF with the specific paragraph
> > number, then quote the rule in it's entirety:
> >
> > IE: " 97.3(a)(4)Amateur service. A radiocommunication service for
> > the purpose of
> > self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried
> > out by amateurs, that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio
> > technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
> >
> > THAT, Markie, is a SPECIFIC RULE
>
> Becuase it is Comon not part 97
>
> we are tlaking Criminal LAW not the fcc's (or Tazainian counterpart)
> civil law

What "Comon" law?

Hot flash, LiarBoy! If it's not codified in Part 97 or applicable
foreign regulation that the operator is responsible for, IT'S NOT
APPLICABLE

> > YOU have NOT done that.
>
> becuase it is not relavant

PROVING that your assertion about RADIO REGULATIONS is not
"relavant" to the discussion...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !

> More governs Radio than just the regs of the FCC, the law also does

In the United States, the FCC >>>M A K E S<<< the law, ya
blithering idiot! ! ! ! ! ! !

Sheeeesh! I bet Brain, Toad and Lennie sure are glad to have a
genius like you on their "side"... ! ! ! ! ! !

Just when I think it's not possible to look any more stupid than what you do, you manage to go the extra mile, Markie! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

# 1477. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:22:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <038qf15j5vkg9jk8afjgjc7oqhn98btt4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 115832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 11:44:27 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <g66Le.320$Ji.165@lakeread02>:

>just FYI: I am not a CB Hater, I just don't think the "service" has a
>professional backing required to be of use with the major national
>emergency services. I base this on personal and direct experience with said
>radio service and most of the ilk that congregate there...
>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
>> the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>>
>>
>> - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
>> mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
>> organizations.
>
>well then, yours is a rare exception

Well gee, Eric, considering your decades of experience of trotting
around the US and monitoring CB comm during disasters and emergency
situations, you are most certainly qualified to make such a judgement,
so I suppose your opinion -must- be the truth, huh?

>> - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
>> is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
>> or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
>
>where was this?

Don't know how to google "School Fire" and "Pomeroy"? Oh, that's right
you're blind or deaf or something else that you use as an excuse not
to use your brain.....

> I can assure you that such wouldn't be the case here in
>Phoenix or any of the other major urban areas.

You have previously noted your "experiences" in Phoenix. Yet you now
refer to the past as a theoretical possibility. You aren't very
consistent with your lies, Eric.

>> - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
>> found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
>> shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still

>> trying to get his equipment working!).
>
>then you didn't look hard enough.

If ham radio was as prevalent in emergency communications as you and
some other ham claim then I shouldn't have had to look -at all-, let
alone "hard enough". But I most certainly did look, because I wanted
to find out whether these hams were working emergency comm, pushing
papers, washing dishes, or just getting in the way of people actually
doing things. So you may not understand this, Eric, but I was actually
disappointed when I couldn't find any hams. Consider it a compliment.

> btw, the quantity of radio sets still has
>no bearing on the argument over what (or who) can provide better service.

I'm sure that's true under your own biased and narrowly restricted
definition of "better". The fact is that reality is proving you wrong
even as you sit there and try to convince me otherwise.

>> Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
>> have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves. Even
>> better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
>> the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
>> important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
>> asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
>> why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
>> communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
>> best efforts to deny it.
>
>you assume much and you provide very few facts.
>
>please try again... only this time, back things up with some sourcable
>materials if you please?

The facts are available right now -- you just have to observe them
firsthand like I did. But it's clear that no facts are going to stand
in the way of your holy-ham opinions.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1478. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:23:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.22.23.48.908360@gmail.com>
Source record: 115833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian:

If what you say is true, I am appalled to consider the years I may spend
in prison!

I have urinated in my bathroom uncounted times, behind trees, in federal
wilderness areas, in alleys when the only other choice was my vehicle or
my pants, etc...

My gawd, do you think the authorities know?

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 17:14:11 -0500, Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:2c7qf1t3nqpl0togbo2c85od00r696ck5c@4ax.com...
>> On 12 Aug 2005 21:54:17 GMT, "Christy D"
>> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Lloyd wrote:
>>>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>>>
>>>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>>>> greatness of the Nation we live in
>>>>
>>>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>>>
>>>Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
>>>it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
>>>certain circumstances.
>>
>> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
>> illegal, let alone a felony?
>>
>
> Pissing is vandalism and that is a crime.
>
> B.H.

# 1479. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:24:30 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <vk9Le.28730$_41.3819@fe02.lga>
Source record: 115834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.12.22.16.49.455004@gmail.com...
> Christy:
>
> I certainly DO NOT hold with the desecration of graves, still, it WAS only
> Regans' and Nixons', certainly an exception could be made there! Perhaps
> if they find that kid, they could give him a medal instead?
>
> John

Cool John. This liberal freedom of expression is great! I heard your Grand papy was a prick. Can we go lay a warm one on his grave? LOL! Don't get mad. I'm just trying to clarify if it's ok to piss and shit on everyone's grave?

B.H.

# 1480. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:24:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <cd8qf1tbkdad726vsngm4l6kfkdabrfudf@4ax.com>
Source record: 115835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 17:14:11 -0500, "Brian Hill" <jonnysocko@jps.net>
wrote:

>
>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:2c7qf1t3nqpl0togbo2c85od00r696ck5c@4ax.com...
>> On 12 Aug 2005 21:54:17 GMT, "Christy D"
>> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Lloyd wrote:
>>>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>>>
>>>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>>>> greatness of the Nation we live in
>>>>
>>>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>>>
>>>Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
>>>it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
>>>certain circumstances.
>>
>> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
>> illegal, let alone a felony?
>>
>
>Pissing is vandalism and that is a crime.
>
>B.H.
>
>
On grass?

# 1481. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:26:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.22.26.02.370569@gmail.com>
Source record: 115859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ:

Yep, those youngsters are idiot-girly-men, at best!!!

I could have stood outside the fence and hit the gravestone without
problem!

THAT WIMP! <tongue-in-cheek>

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 15:10:45 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Lloyd wrote:
>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>
>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>> greatness of the Nation we live in
>>
>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>
> He had no right to go over the security fence. There's nothing
> "great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1482. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:26:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <tf8qf1lt9dnn29fojecjq450ijlqbvpv9b@4ax.com>
Source record: 115837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 12 Aug 2005 15:10:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Lloyd wrote:
>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>
>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>> greatness of the Nation we live in
>>
>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>
> He had no right to go over the security fence. There's nothing
>"great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
Wiping your ass with the Constitution is desecration, too.

# 1483. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:27:02 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <Tm9Le.28731$_41.12916@fe02.lga>
Source record: 115836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.12.22.23.48.908360@gmail.com...
> Brian:
>
> If what you say is true, I am appalled to consider the years I may spend
> in prison!
>
> I have urinated in my bathroom uncounted times, behind trees, in federal
> wilderness areas, in alleys when the only other choice was my vehicle or
> my pants, etc...
>
> My gawd, do you think the authorities know?
>
> John
>
>

John you frickin idiot. :) LOL!!

B.H.

## 1484. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:36:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123886213.460991.203230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115838

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > SHOW US WHERE DAVE WAS REQUIRED TO KNOW THE AUTHORIZED OPERATING
> > > > > > > > > > PARAMETERS OF THE OTHER STATION!~
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > FCC regulation? Tanzanian? French? Lower Slobovian?
> > > > > > > > > > ANYone...?!?!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > since He DID know it matters not wether he was required to know.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > AT THE TIME, did he know?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > that is may understanding
> > > > >
> > > > That doesn't cut it.
> > >
> > I accept Daves silence on that subject
> >
> "Silence" is not an answer.

Silcence is consent has been a matter of Law since before the first
englishman set foot on these shores

>
> > > And since you answered teh question in the "affirmative" (that is
> > > he was not REQUIRED to know), YOU are the one flailing deceased beasts
> > > of burden.
> > >
> > asked and answeeed
> >
> "answeed"...?!?!
>
> That wasn't a question to be answered, but you're still wrong.
>
> > > > > > > > > Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk to them
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > WHO SAID...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brain said, and Dave does not deny it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > cuting stevie eforts to bring sex into another thread

> > >
> > > Here we have Mark Morgan on yet another diversion from the issues
> > > with a ludicrous rant.
> >
> > no it yours
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > > > > > Where is it stated that Dave cannot radiate a signal on a band
> > > > > > > > that he IS allowed to operate on REGARDLESS if the other fellow
> > > > > > > > isn't...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > never said he was not allowed to radiate, just not comunicate with some
> > > > > > > one not suposed to be there
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Who said?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> > > > >
> > > > asked and answered
> > >
> > > Another Morgan lie.
> > >
> > > You have NOT answered the question.
> >
> > sure have
>
> No, Markie...You haven't.

asked and answered
>
> > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> > >
> > > Otherwise you're just saying "cuz I sed so".
> >
> > Because the LAW says so
>
> Then CITE the law.

English Comon Law
>
> You have F A I L E D to do so!

just did again
>
> > > > > > > > (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling they are of
> > > > > > > > band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a few things
> > > > > > > > like that)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There's nothing to bend.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > sure are
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ask Reily
> > > > >
> > > > > He was operating with a Tanzanian license, Markie. 5H3US.
> > > >
> > > > granted on the Basis of the US one
> > >
> > > Doesn't matter if it was granted based upon which side of his head
> > > he parts his hair on, Markie!
> > >
> > > And in EITHER case (US >>OR<< Tanzanian), NO VIOLATION OCCURED!
> >
> > sure did
> >
> > English comon law is clear on such matter
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> > > > > > > There's either a rule or there isn't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And in this case, THERE ISN'T.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own<
stations
> > > > > > > > > > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting aonther
> > > > > > > > > int he comission of a crime
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What crime?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > the crime of outeratingunlicense or out of band
> > > > >
> > > > > > "outeratingunlicense"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > > What the heck was that...?!?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > WHERE DID DAVE COMMIT A CRIME?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > in aiding and Abet other in comiting the crim eof unlawfull radio use
> > > > > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Please cite appropriate American or Tanzanian regulation as proof.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > already done so
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, you've not. You've only said "Because I say so".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > CITE A SPECIFIC RULE.
> > > > > >
> > > > > already has been done, several times
> > > >
> > > No, it's not.
> >
> > sure is
> >
> > you just will not face the answer
>
> W H A T A N S W E R ? ? ? ?

English comon provides that ading abd beting a crime is itself a crime

>
> You've not provided one.
>
> CITE A PARAGRAPH OF PERTINENT REGULATIONS, MARKIE!

that is a matter of Law not regs

>
> > > Not even once.
> > >
> > > A SPECIFIC rule would START OFF with the specific paragraph
> > > number, then quote the rule in it's entirety:
> > >
> > > IE: " 97.3(a)(4)Amateur service. A radiocommunication service for
> > > the purpose of
> > > self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried
> > > out by amateurs, that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio
> > > technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
> > >
> > > THAT, Markie, is a SPECIFIC RULE
> >
> > Becuase it is Comon not part 97
> >
> > we are tlaking Criminal LAW not the fcc's (or Tazainian counterpart)
> > civil law
>
> What "Comon" law?
>
> Hot flash, LiarBoy! If it's not codified in Part 97 or applicable
> foreign regulation that the operator is responsible for, IT'S NOT

> APPLICABLE

BUZZZZ

wrong answer

English comon law applies in the US and in all former britsh Colonies,
etc India I believe

>
> > > YOU have NOT done that.
> >
> > becuase it is not relavant
>
> PROVING that your assertion about RADIO REGULATIONS is not
> "relavant" to the discussion...?!?!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHA
>
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! !
>
> > More governs Radio than just the regs of the FCC, the law also does
>
> In the United States, the FCC >>>M A K E S<<< the law, ya
> blithering idiot! ! ! ! ! ! !

BUZZZZ

wrong answer

The FCC never makes law

The Congress make LAW
>
> Sheeeesh! I bet Brain, Toad and Lennie sure are glad to have a
> genius like you on their "side"... ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Just when I think it's not possible to look any more stupid than
> what you do, you manage to go the extra mile, Markie! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Put up or shut up
> ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1485. Re: Stevie, Coward and Bully, put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:38:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123886309.056551.68620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115839

still waiting for Stvie to put up or shut up
an_old_friend wrote:
> Stevie for years now, I am the rest of the Newsgrups has endured your
> abuse, your threats and your lies
>
> You ahve accused me and crime and threatened with Murder arest and
> comitment to a mental inistitution, claiming the porfessional
> credentcial to make the judgement
>
> I am not seeking to elecit yet another threat. I seeking your action.
>
> Put up or shut up, My preference is of course that you shut up your
> phycobabble, and you lies but it is your choice
>
> you have stated that I am a danger to myself and others, If that were a
> true statement I should locked up by the authorities, you know that.
> You also obviously Know it is not true, that you are lying when you say
> it is true
>
> I am calling your bluff
>
> Coward
> Bully
>
> those are the names properly aplied to you
>
> put up or shut up

## 1486. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:39:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123886376.327430.137500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > > As already stated. I didn't threaten him, I warned him.
> >
> > Other may view defferently of course, and you found it neccasary to
> > send 2 preplies saying almost the the same thing.
>
> Well gee willikers, Mr Wizard, YOU did the exact same thing in
> another thread just last night. (At 2:23 and 2:24 11 August 2005 under
> "An Even BETTER Question for Brain...")
>
> Any reason for THAT...?!?!
>
> Or just exercising your Hypocrite option?

ptu up or shut up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1487. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:47:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.22.47.27.594403@gmail.com>
Source record: 115842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian:

... as a kid, my grand-dad did much worse, he related some of those stories to me when he would kill a couple of beers on his back porch in the evenings. These girly-men youth of today couldn't even approach his "style."

My favorite story was about when he and a couple of other youngsters didn't like one old guy in their neighborhood (this was when he was about 12 mind you!) They snuck over in the night and tipped over the guys outhouse! Only problem, the old guy had got up during the night, went out to said outhouse, and fell asleep!

Needless to say, the old guy got one rude awakening, and my grandfather, one good "butt tanning!"

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 17:24:30 -0500, Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.12.22.16.49.455004@gmail.com...
>> Christy:
>>
>> I certainly DO NOT hold with the desecration of graves, still, it WAS only
>> Regans' and Nixons', certainly an exception could be made there! Perhaps
>> if they find that kid, they could give him a medal instead?
>>
>> John
>
>
> Cool John. This liberal freedom of expression is great! I heard your Grand
> papy was a prick. Can we go lay a warm one on his grave? LOL! Don't get mad.
> I'm just trying to clarify if it's ok to piss and shit on everyone's grave?
>
> B.H.

# 1488. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:53:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <lfydnW8zDPUFhGDfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>>
>>And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
>>the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
>>isn't. Swallow that pill.
>
>
> Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
> here a few days ago
>

I take back my last post concerning you, you really are a complete idiot.

# 1489. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:55:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.22.55.20.701472@gmail.com>
Source record: 115844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian:

If it would help you to hold a better opinion of me...

... sometimes I did feel guilty. <hopeful-grin>

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 17:27:02 -0500, Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.12.22.23.48.908360@gmail.com...
>> Brian:
>>
>> If what you say is true, I am appalled to consider the years I may spend
>> in prison!
>>
>> I have urinated in my bathroom uncounted times, behind trees, in federal
>> wilderness areas, in alleys when the only other choice was my vehicle or
>> my pants, etc...
>>
>> My gawd, do you think the authorities know?
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
> John you frickin idiot. :) LOL!!
>
> B.H.

# 1490. K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:55:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123887333.254627.295040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115843

or in the more likely verision has been caught in another whopper

Stevie claims it is his public duty to save the NG and the World from
asomeone that he claims is a"danger to themselves and others" and yet
he refuses to spend the effort of making the one phone call it would
take to save the nation from this grave peril.

The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following

1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc

2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"

3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully

4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
headline of this peice is absolutely true

I'll report you time will decide

# 1491. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 22:59:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <lfydnWkzDPW6hmDfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
>>> the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
>>> isn't. Swallow that pill.
>>
>>
>>
>> Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
>> here a few days ago

And just which 'fork' is fighting the fire, the salad or meat fork?
```

# 1492. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:01:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123884298.851504.201950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Christy D wrote:
>Note: The author of this message requested that it not be archived. This >message will be removed from Groups in 6 days (Aug 19, 5:54 pm).

noting that the author of the piece isn't even willing for her remarks
to remain part of the record

> On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Lloyd wrote:
> > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
> >
> > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> > greatness of the Nation we live in
> >
> > I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>
> Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"

one Dancing on a grave is in no way a descration, Pissing on Nixon,
well between the likely political comment it was making I suspect if
fought out it would come under the flag burning though, although it is
more questionable

However neither site was harmed so it is hard to say that a desecration
occoured

> it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
> certain circumstances.

# 1493. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:04:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <ba-dnfP60aL4gWDfRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>>>
>>>>And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
>>>>the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense. CB
>>>>isn't. Swallow that pill.
>>>
>>>
>>>Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire round
>>>here a few days ago
>>>
>>
>>I take back my last post concerning you, you really are a complete idiot.
>
>
> nope I am just better infromed Cmdr Sir maybe you should read your
> reports more throughly Cmdr Sir
>
> but in some areas CB is used in inter agency matters
>
> We have been promised that evryone will soon be using the 800Mhz system
> of the Michigan Sate police and that the system will be upgraded to
> coover the entire area ( arther than just along the state and federal
> highways) but somehow when it has come down to PAYING for all this what
> you see is a lot of finger pointing, and wring of hands
>
> Maybe in few year we will have in place the pormised system the system
> promised to these people here back in 1998 (they swore it would be rady
> in case of Y2K) I showed some years after that and still much arguing
> over costs and who was going to pay, Homeland Defense does seem to have
> played a role in building some of the Twoer needed for the system but
> still a whole of NIMBY on the permits
>

So why don't you contact Federal agencies and local agencies around the
country and report just how many intergrate cb radio in their emergency
plans? Also contact NORAD and Homeland Defense. Then tell us who will
count on cb and who will call on ham radio if needed. You, the toad and
wogie somehow related?

# 1494. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:11:24 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123888284.866548.69320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115846

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > > > > it to you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > > > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > > > > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > > > > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, thanks for answering for David.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So at the time, David Heil was supposed to be a knowledgeable DXer in a
> > > > > > faraway land, and I was supposed to lack knowledge about DXing. That
> > > > > > was the setting for this embarassing exposure of his DXploits. The
> > > > > > fact is that he saw nothing wrong with contacting as many out of band
> > > > > > "amateurs" as he could, and even confirming the contact with a QSL
> > > > > > card. And he'd do it again >>>even if he knew they were out of
> > > > > > band.<<<
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Perhaps he will stop demeaning fellow amateurs when he realizes that
> > > > > > even a World Renowned DXer goofs on occassion. What do you think?
> > > > >
> > > > > As I thought a an exachange based on history gee Guess stevie should
> > > > > follow his own adivice and refrain from jumping in without chacking out
> > > > > the history
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps YOU shou;d be the one not doing the jumping, Markie.
> > > >

> > > Brain is trying to "diss" Dave Heil for allegedly doing something
> > > "wrong" when he indeed didn't.
> >
> > Poor amateur practice.
>
> Where?

Sometimes I can't tell if you like the scenery better or the aroma.
But in any case, I suggest you pull your head out.

# 1495. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:12:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123888347.647507.306240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> >
> > How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
> > illegal, let alone a felony?
> >
>
> Go to your local cemetary, turn over and break a few headstones and find
> out.

Look up word "vandalism"

Look up word "Disrespect"

Learn difference

you are suggesting vandalism, what was done was disrespect

Not pleased with it but it is likely protected speech

## 1496. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:14:15 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123888455.749454.26710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115848

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > QUOTE
> > >
> > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > >
> > > Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> > >
> > > you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> > > licensure?
> > >
> > > For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> > >
> > > domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> > >
> > > his/her license?
> > >
> > > Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> > > knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > That's five posts with the same questions posed to you, Brain.
> > >
> > > Are my assertions of your cowardice correct?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > It is David's poor amateur operating practices that are under scrutiny
> > here.
>
> OK.
>
> SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.

Dave did. It was in his claims to have worked out of band Frenchmen on
6 Meters. Best of Luck.

# 1497. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:15:24 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lbbqf1dg655haosuocfqf8qk0tmtoabbr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 115850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 16:49:18 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
wrote:

>
>>
>> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
>> illegal, let alone a felony?
>>
>
>Go to your local cemetary, turn over and break a few headstones and find
>out.
That is vandalism. Not a thought crime.

# 1498. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:16:09 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123888569.494554.173500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115849

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote >
>
> >
> >Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't
> > allowed to talk to them
> >
>
> I see no such rule in Part 97. But of course Part 97 does not apply in
> Tanzania. Do you have a copy of the Amateur Radio service rules effective
> during the time that Dave was licensed there. If not, your case is pure heresy.
>
> Case dismissed.
>
> Next case please.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

In other words, Hans is condoning poor amateur practice.

## 1499. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:22:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <is6dnSDTVNMGvWDfRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> There are liars, and there are "DAMN LIARS", you have chosen to pick a
> fight with the latter...

The get busy and disprove what I said.

# 1500. Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:23:32 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123889012.876971.247570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115851

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > > First you write something about a priest. You later tell me that you
> > > > > meant French hams and that they were something I did. Now you'd have us
> > > > > believe that some French hams did me.
> > > > >
> > > > > > And you'd sign up for more of it if you could.
> > > > >
> > > > > You really need to take a course in writing.
> > > >
> > > > You merely wish to misunderstand. Same old Dave.
> > >
> > > I read both sides.
> >
> > With jaundiced eyes.
>
> Nope.
>
> With an open mind.

Hi! You so funny, GI.

# 1501. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:28:37 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <CgaLe.44396$vb3.32553@fe07.lga>
Source record: 115852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.12.22.55.20.701472@gmail.com...
> Brian:
>
> If it would help you to hold a better opinion of me...
>
> ... sometimes I did feel guilty. <hopeful-grin>
>
> John
>

Oh I do John. I'm just jerkin your chain! :)

B.H.

# 1502. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:31:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.12.23.31.18.69106@gmail.com>
Source record: 115860
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael:

Thanks for your post. (A real "BLAST-FROM-THE-PAST!")

Back in the 70's, on CB, some of the best radio years of my whole life where there... I miss them... (there was a "rubber duck" under every rock--we still got "mud ducks" though :)

Amateur radio has changed too, the bands are not as interesting... the personalities stagnant and without humor, without fun, without excitement, without youngsters, without harmless pranks, without mystery. Bunch of old guys attempting to play "James Bond", "secret agent" and attempting more structure to communication than the NSA uses! :(

I hope-against-hope the good old days of radio will return, but, I would like it to do so on new technology... some of us which remember the old CB days (days when even, good, hams had cb rigs!--or, we made do with 10 meter equip. <grin>) are on ch. 38-39 LSB (27.385, 27.395) in the central valley of calif, we are a stagnant number, be nice to have the company. Lot of mobiles so they escape the harassment of hams still waging the "old war." If you mention your call, be prepared to take some kidding...

If you ever get an opportunity--come join us! Real CB still lives in isolated pockets!

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 22:18:30 +0000, Trying to be a real ham! wrote:

> On 12 Aug 2005 12:34:33 -0700, "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
> wrote:
>
> they are nothing
>>of the kind! Usually such people are a
>>variation of the CB Radio hobbyist...
>
> Actually it was CB band competition between a friend and I combined
> with my desire to understand radio that turned me in to a ham. When I
> was back in school my friend and I started trying to see who could put
> out the strongest signal. His father bought him an amplifier to get
> over me. I was not going to ask my parents for an amplifier, and I
> did not have any money to buy one since I was a full time student. I
> had heard the phrase "Knowledge is power", so I decided if I could not
> buy a bigger amp to get over my friend I would have to out smart him
> with brain power.
> I went to the school library and started reading radio handbooks

> like Bill Orr's handbook. When a radio repair tech at the local ham
> store realized I truly wanted to learn the science of radio he gave me
> a copy of the 1983 ARRL handbook for free (it was 1984 at the time).
> I read that book until it fell apart. Then I bought another ARRL
> radio book, and then another radio book, and then another radio book.
> I'm still doing that all these years later. The radios I talk on (a
> Tempo 2020, Drake 4 B line twins, Yaesu FT-101ee, and a Midland 79-892
> 40 channel sideband CB) were all someone else's broken door stop. None
> of them worked when I bought them. I repaired them all, and I made
> most of my antennas. When I talk on those old radios part of me
> smiles, because I know the only reason those radios are still working
> is because I put them back on the air.
> Anyway after deciding to ignore all the CB radio folklore I had
> heard on the CB back in the 1970s and early 1980s, I learned the truth
> about radio from reading the ARRL handbooks. I quickly became the
> strongest CB station on the airways, and much of that was with self
> taught radio know how.
> A local ham noticed I was emerging from the CB pack as a potential
> ham, and he started talking to me about ham radio. I aced the 5 wpm
> Morse code test three weeks after listening to my first ARRL code
> tape, and I don't even like Morse code. I aced all my exams, my 13
> WPM code test, and now I am an Advanced class ham. When I passed my
> Advanced written test a bunch old timers circled around the VE
> examiners desk looking for a mistake on my test, but there was none to
> be found. I made a perfect score, and out of all my test I only missed
> one question.
> One of the reasons I have not taken the Extra test is because the
> old timers spit on the new no code Extra. I'm proud of my Advanced
> class license, and I would not take kindly to an old timer spitting on
> me if I had the new no code Extra.
> In the past I used older equipment because I could not afford
> anything else. Now I can afford the best, but I find myself poking
> around in the old tube types and tube hybrids, because I don't know if
> I can service the new surface mount technology stuff. If all I could
> do was talk on the radio that would take all the fun out of it for me.
> I think the best compliment I ever received on the ham bands was
> when an old timer listened to all the things I was building and doing
> and he said; "You are a true ham". That phrase from an old timer
> meant more to me than any signal report or any DX contact. I am a
> true ham, and I started on the CB band back in the 1970s just like
> most other hams my age. I am not ashamed of my CB heritage. The
> truth is I had a blast on the CB band back then.
>
> Michael Rawls
> KS4HY

# 1503. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:35:36 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123889735.996677.122170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115854

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: John Smith on Aug 7, 3:43 pm
> >
> >
> >>Len:
> >>
> >>I await the day when the arrl (ancient retarded religious league) goes the
> >>way of the dodo, or else, is taken over by under 40 year olds!
> >>
> >>We need someone to crack a window and let some fresh air in!
> >
> >
> > Absolutely cannot be done unless "we" become MEMBERS and
> > "work for change from the 'inside'." [repeated warnings
> > from Dee Flint in here]
> >
> > Problem is, the entrenched officials and BoD are RESISTANT
> > to "change from within" as evident from their regular BoD
> > Meeting Minutes given on their websites. They do things
> > THEIR way and expect all to fall-in and pop-to. After all,
> > they "represent all amateurs in the USA" and say so up
> > front.
> >
> > I'll have to check out the QST advertising page on the website,
> > see if they have a new "Publisher's Sworn Statement" on their
> > membership numbers. ARRL have never had more than a quarter
> > of all licensed U.S. radio amateurs and, at the end of 2004,
> > had only about 20 percent of them according to membership
> > numbers they gave.
> >
> > However, in THIS newsgroup, all of the PCTA extras bow down
> > and accept all League words as sacrosanct. After all, Hiram
> > Went To Washington right after the end of WW1 and "saved
> > ham radio" all by hisself. For that all are supposed to
> > forever show gratitude and obediance to the ARRL, even 86
> > years after that "fact"!
> >
> > Something to consider: The present elected President of
> > the ARRL was a former salesman. [see connection? :-) ]
> >
> > ant how
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

>
> You are as involved in the ARRL as you are in amateur radio--not at all.
>
> Dave K8MN

Odd that you say that. Len frequently comments to the FCC when asked.

# 1504. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:36:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HnaLe.4559$Wi6.717@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115853

"b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote

> In other words, Hans is condoning poor
> amateur practice.

Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor amateur practice".

Dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

# 1505. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:40:24 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123890024.184286.315910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115855

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> > despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> > disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> > would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> > for anything?
>
> Good question, Steve!
>
> Why do you?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Now Jim/N2EY has a problem "wasting time" with handicapped people.

## 1506. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:42:39 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <8d39b$42fd33e7$4fc65aa$3059@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 115856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

To "an_old_f---"
I am one of the people in this NG. And Dan's post was relevant to me.
I have never seen you post anything of relevance.
Ace - WH2T

"an_old_fiend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123880976.769579.136460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> cuting all materail relavant to radio or law or even the people in the
> NG
>
>
> Nothing left
>

# 1507. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:43:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <juaLe.4561$Wi6.3978@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115857

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> nope that he has the Right to transmit and informational bullitin same
> as you do
>

Here's a "bullitin" for you!

Frederick H. Kleber (K9VV) reported Baxter's interference to health and welfare traffic handling during the Mexico City earthquake
disaster:

"During the third or fourth day of passing health and welfare traffic during the Mexico City disaster, Mr. Baxter appeared on the primary net frequency and began to disrupt communications. I vividly recall my frustration due to the interference he created by insisting he speak with me, even though it was evident that I was passing traffic. Baxter insisted that his station serve as net control, in spite of being politely informed that his assistance was not needed. Baxter's interference became so disruptive that I ultimately called him on the telephone.

Carlos (XE1HC) and I were speaking in Spanish, and we discussed how to avoid Baxter's interference. Baxter was insistent on gaining control of the frequency and running net operations. In between Baxter's transmissions, Carlos and I managed to communicate, and to change to another traffic frequency. Once we moved to a new frequency, we were able to resume passing traffic. Baxter followed us to our new frequency and interrupted us, just as he had before. Carlos and I were forced to changed frequency several times in order to avoid Baxter's interference. Each time, Baxter would show up shortly thereafter and continue to try to gain control of net operations. Becoming increasingly frustrated with Baxter's interference, I telephoned him again. I attempted to explain to Baxter that he was interfering with a health and welfare operation. He did not listen and he interrupted me frequently. In frustration, I finally hung up and returned to handling health and welfare traffic.

The health and welfare traffic operation lasted approximately a week. During that period, my partners and I (NJ7E, XE1HC, W9GIG and W7MY) were able to pass approximately 7,000 pieces of health and welfare traffic. On numerous occasions over this period, Mr. Baxter operated his radio station in a manner that created interference to an established Mexico City earthquake relief health and welfare net. Mr. Baxter was a constant hindrance to the operation and he later attempted to leverage publicity from the disaster (often inaccurately reported) for his personal gain. In subsequent "press releases," Mr. Baxter attacked the actual participants of that health and welfare net."

## 1508. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:49:18 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <4PGdnZ2dnZ02z_ytnZ2dnVS7YN-dnZ2dRVn-0Z2dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> 
> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
> illegal, let alone a felony?
> 

Go to your local cemetary, turn over and break a few headstones and find
out.

# 1509. Re: K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-12 23:53:56 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1123890836.494934.275560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115858

an old friend wrote:
> or in the more likely verision has been caught in another whopper
>
> Stevie claims it is his public duty to save the NG and the World from
> asomeone that he claims is a"danger to themselves and others" and yet
> he refuses to spend the effort of making the one phone call it would
> take to save the nation from this grave peril.
>
> The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
>
> 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
>
> 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
>
> 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
>
> 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> headline of this peice is absolutely true
>
> I'll report you time will decide

He's nuts. Not necessarily harmless, but definitely nuts. Slashed
tires, bricks through windows, and all that.

# 1510. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 00:28:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123892901.147288.95680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Lloyd wrote:
> > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
> >
> > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> > greatness of the Nation we live in
> >
> > I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>
> He had no right to go over the security fence.

Just because somebody in government erects a "security" fence doesn't
mean it's legally off limits to the public. The government has a long
history of thinking it can do any-damned-thing it wants.

There's nothing
> "great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Probably a militant vegan Ameri-Corpses-type who hasn't been spayed or
neutered. I don't know that he broke any law, but I sure as hell wish
he had fallen off and chipped a front tooth.

~signed~

Just Billy, almost agreeing with Steve this time (WTF was I thinkin???)

... and before Steve starts in the anon hatred stuff, I'm bb.

# 1511. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 00:35:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5fbLe.4588$Wi6.4140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115862

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> K0HB:
>
> Suppose the aliens are running their evil "Confabulator?"
>
> How would a guy ever know then?
>

Hey, ya know what? We could change the name of the "Steve and Mark Shit Fight" to "The Confabulators"!

From a discussion in another venue, I captured this....

"A confabulator often appears to be unable to recognize the erroneous nature or absurdity of his statements even in the face of painfully apparent contradictory evidence. Hence, his replies to questions or statements may appear tangential, circumlocutious, irrelevant, and delusional. Moreover, rather than relinquishing an incorrect belief when confronted with contradictory information, these individuals may make further erroneous extrapolations or partially incorporate some aspects of the contradictory information within the confabulatory schema."

For example, when a 20-year-old individual who had lost control of his auto and suffered a serious head injury was questioned about his accident and injuries, he responded by"attributing his hospitalization to having been in an atomic explosion which occurred when his rocket ship had crashed. He stated that he was still filled with radioactive fluid and pointed to the numerous scars on his body to show where the doctors used needles to remove fluid".

Having read that, doesn't it put Mark and Steve both in a whole new light?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1512. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 00:36:04 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <k2gqf1t212dohtugfgupj1fb58kju013ie@4ax.com>
Source record: 115863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 12 Aug 2005 17:28:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > Lloyd wrote:
>> > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>> >
>> > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>>
>
>Just because somebody in government erects a "security" fence doesn't
>mean it's legally off limits to the public. The government has a long
>history of thinking it can do any-damned-thing it wants.
>

Here, hear.

# 1513. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 00:36:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123893400.974930.299750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115864

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>My own comments
> >>>
> >>>To the persons reading comments for the FCC greetings,
> >>> With regard to main body of the NPRM I am a favor of dropping all code
> >>>tests at once, however there are a few points concerning related
> >>>matters I wish to discuss and respect insist that FCC made something on
> >>>these points clear when the R&O is issued.
> >>>
> >>> The privileges of the entry class, Technician, after this action are
> >>>frankly unclear to me. As perhaps one of the few members of that class
> >>>making comments it is a subject near and dear to my heart. It is also
> >>>a question vital to the future of the ARS, and thus in some degree the
> >>>safety and future of the USA.
> >>>
> >>> I believe the privileges should be what was known as Before the 1998
> >>>NPRM as Tech plus, this si because I have frankly observed that many
> >>>new Techs tend to get struck on FM repeaters, a fine mode but hard to
> >>>break out of, and being hard to break out tends to depress the chances
> >>>that they will, ( I refer the FCC to prices on allmode rigs with VHF
> >>>frequencies compared to FM rigs) In addition to issues of cost with the
> >>>propagation of VHF there are many times that there is no one out there
> >>>that a novice operator can find and work. From personal experience, I
> >>>can tell you that this is a hard thing to do, and thus tends to imped
> >>>the progress of the Techs and separate them from the rest of the ARS.
> >>>This separation is not god for the ARS or it abilities to serve in the
> >>>public interest .
> >>
> >>Where's that pool of typists when you really need it?
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, are you volunteering for 702 duty?
>
> To assist Mark? No way. He had a pool of trained typists at his beck
> and call and has turned down a job paying nearly a quarter million per
> year. I'd have thought that he'd have run his comments through his staff.
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe he'll just assign you to his QSL buro staff.

You can handle the Out-Of-Band QSO's.

Best of Luck.

# 1514. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 00:47:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123894070.370734.150000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115865

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > Is it ethical to import a large percentage of
> > something - anything -
> > needed to keep a country's economy and way of
> > life going? Particularly
> > when such importation requires dealing with,
> > and empowering, people
> > whose values are very different from your own?
>
> My state grows no oranges, and must import them from Florida,
> home of the
> hanging chad and other values not compatible with "Minnesota
> nice". Is it
> ethical to drink orange juice in Minnesota?

That depends.

Are Minnesota and Florida different sovereign countries?

Does Minnesota's economy and way of life rely heavily on
imported Florida orange juice to keep going?

I thought of mentioning California as an alternative source
of citrus beverage, but the reply to that is obvious...

> Or maybe ethics hasn't a damned thing to do with it.

Ethics has everything to do with it.

> I like
> citrus products,
> and I'll buy from whoever sells them at a price I'm willing
> to pay.

So if, say, North Korea was selling citrus products (yes, I know they
don't grow any, but it's the principle of the thing), and the
money was going straight to helping that dictatorship build nuclear
weapons, you'd have no problems dealing with them?

> Meanwhile
> we grow some damned good corn, wheat, and soybeans here on the > prairie. We'll
> be happy to sell it to whoever meets the going price,
> regardless if their
> "values are very different" from ours.

So if Osama BL wants some, and can pay the price, you'll sell to him?

---

Back in the late 1930s and very early 1940s, Japan was aggressively
taking over Manchuria and northern China.
(see "rape of Nanking" et al)

The USA was selling all sorts of stuff to Japan at the time -
electronics, oil, steel, aluminum, etc. They paid good prices
and paid in hard currency.

It became clear over time that at least some of those exports
were supplying the Japanese military expansion. So FDR & Co.
moved to cut off those exports - because of what Japan was
doing with them.

Was that an unethical thing to do?

Or should the USA have continued to sell Japan whatever they
wanted, as much as they wanted, regardless of what was done with it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1515. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 00:57:56 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123894676.117100.205690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115867

From: "an_old_friend" on Fri 12 Aug 2005 14:36

>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> And tell me Lennie, where did my comments come in? I don't see my
>> particular catagory at all. Or, is this just another line of BS from you?
>>
>Dan wants his own private catagory I guess he is specail, specail what
>I will not venture to say but he thinks he is specail, as I expect does
>his mommy and Stevie, from what I read

Anyone can venture an opinion on who is what. :-)

I'm just looking at EACH AND EVERY COMMENT that appears on the ECFS for WT Docket 05-235. As of about 7 PM EDT on 12 Aug 05 there were a total of 1,437 documents under that docket; more will be arriving until midnight and perhaps a few inserted (by the FCC) the next day.

The tallies I make are done as objectively as I can. For the vast majority of Comments that is a fairly easy task since the subject is one of high polarization of opinion in U.S. amateur radio. Sometimes there are oddities such as 5 of those for 12 Aug 05: Two duplicates (one For, the other Against) plus 3 that are NOT even on this NPRM, not on amateur radio at all! :-)

Mark, if someone wants to "challenge" my numbers, they are welcome to dig right in and read EVERY document in WT Docket 05-235, tally them up, and then SHOW the results. They will also have to show the duplicates, made by both the For and Against folks. Or wait for the Ultimate Authority in U.S. amateur radio (the FCC) to do their final Report & Order. Makes no difference to me if someone wants to "challenge" the numbers...some of these southern-fried double-dips will challenge anything just to start a fight. :-)

bla bla
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1516. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:04:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zPSdnVm_GIeJ2mDfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 115871
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
> PM:
>
> Let me give a summary of the "real world."
>
> If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
>
> In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
> and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
> IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
>
> By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
> and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
> project would most likely be computer related.
>
> Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
> using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
> the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
> transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
> you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
> technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
> what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>
> John
>

The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming. Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts" that you seem to despise so.

I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets, etc.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1517. Re: Hey Toad, this is for you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:06:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FvOdnZ2dnZ2sks_AnZ2dnTvaYN-dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 115870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.12.21.01.37.378061@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> Not a redneck, here, by birth, design or circumstance--however, parts of
> my spirit resonate with that "redneck philosophy"--guess some will now
> accuse me of being a redneck wannabe...
>
> John
>

Not at all. It just means that there's a little redneck in all of us.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:53:03 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> We have enjoyed the redneck jokes for years. It's time to take a
>> reflective
>> look at the core beliefs of a culture that values home, family, country
>> and
>> God. If I had to stand before a dozen terrorists who threaten my life,
>> I'd
>> choose a half dozen or so rednecks to back me up. Tire irons, squirrel
>> guns
>> and grit -- that's what rednecks are made of. I hope I am one of those.
>> If
>> you feel the same, pass this on to your redneck friends. Ya'll know who
>> ya'
>> are...
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: It never occurred to you to be offended by the
>> phrase, "One nation, under God."
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You've never protested about seeing the
>> 10 Commandments posted in public places.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You still say "Christmas" in! stead of "Winter
>> Festival."
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You bow your head when someone prays.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You stand and place your hand over your heart
>> when they play the National Anthem.

>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You treat Viet Nam vets with great respect,
>> and
>> always have.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You've never burned an American flag.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You know what you believe and you aren't
>> afraid
>> to say so, no matter who is listening.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You respect your elders and expect your kids
>> to
>> do the same.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.
>>
>>
>> God Bless the USA!
>

# 1518. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:10:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.01.10.44.352914@gmail.com>
Source record: 115869

N2EY:

Isn't it interesting that the feds walk all over states rights?

In california we voted to legalize marijuana (under some condition, i.e. medical use) the feds seem to think we can't. Since we adopted the law all we have are fewer lawyers ripping off marijuana users, fewer marijuana users in jail and less wasted time by law enforcement in peeking over fences attempt to catch them in commission of a "crime" (the crime being growing, smoking--and no, I don't smoke marijuana--but did when I was a teenager for a bit.)

Now the feds are back busting people and wanting to make the california law enforcement help them... insane... if the feds wanna bust 'em, let some other state(s) pay for all of it!

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 17:47:50 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> > Is it ethical to import a large percentage of
>> > something - anything -
>> > needed to keep a country's economy and way of
>> > life going? Particularly
>> > when such importation requires dealing with,
>> > and empowering, people
>> > whose values are very different from your own?
>>
>> My state grows no oranges, and must import them from Florida,
>> home of the
>> hanging chad and other values not compatible with "Minnesota
>> nice". Is it
>> ethical to drink orange juice in Minnesota?
>
> That depends.
>
> Are Minnesota and Florida different sovereign countries?
>
> Does Minnesota's economy and way of life rely heavily on
> imported Florida orange juice to keep going?
>
> I thought of mentioning California as an alternative source
> of citrus beverage, but the reply to that is obvious...

>
>> Or maybe ethics hasn't a damned thing to do with it.
>
> Ethics has everything to do with it.
>
>> I like
>> citrus products,
>> and I'll buy from whoever sells them at a price I'm willing
>> to pay.
>
> So if, say, North Korea was selling citrus products (yes, I know they
> don't grow any, but it's the principle of the thing), and the
> money was going straight to helping that dictatorship build nuclear
> weapons, you'd have no problems dealing with them?
>
>> Meanwhile
>> we grow some damned good corn, wheat, and soybeans here on the > prairie. We'll
>> be happy to sell it to whoever meets the going price,
>> regardless if their
>> "values are very different" from ours.
>
> So if Osama BL wants some, and can pay the price, you'll sell to him?
>
> ---
>
> Back in the late 1930s and very early 1940s, Japan was aggressively
> taking over Manchuria and northern China.
> (see "rape of Nanking" et al)
>
> The USA was selling all sorts of stuff to Japan at the time -
> electronics, oil, steel, aluminum, etc. They paid good prices
> and paid in hard currency.
>
> It became clear over time that at least some of those exports
> were supplying the Japanese military expansion. So FDR & Co.
> moved to cut off those exports - because of what Japan was
> doing with them.
>
> Was that an unethical thing to do?
>
> Or should the USA have continued to sell Japan whatever they
> wanted, as much as they wanted, regardless of what was done with it?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1519. Re: Everything Old Is New Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:14:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123895648.395311.307350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115872

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>What does this have to do with ham radio? Plenty!
> >>>>For one thing,
> >>>>ham radio is mentioned in the second article.
> >>>>mentioned
> >>
> >> Yep.
> >
> >
> > Did anyone besides me actually read the articles I linked?
> >
> >
> >>>>The Space Shuttle was promoted as the "next big thing"
> >>>> in space travel
> >>>>- as a "space truck" that would cut the cost of
> >>>>getting to orbit,
> >>>>reducing the waste of one-time rockets, etc. We were
> >>>>told of turnaround
> >>>>times of a few weeks, and missions costing 10 to
> >>>>20 million dollars
> >>>>total - none of which has ever come to pass, 30
> >>>>years after the program began.
> >>>
> >>>yea the shutle was and is a failure
> >>
> >> Based upon WHAT data, Mark?
> >
> >
> > It's a fact that the Space Shuttle program has not reached *some*
> > of the goals set for it. OTOH it has reached and exceeded some
> > of the goals, too.
> >
> > The Space Shuttle program is neither a complete success nor a total
> > failure. It's done many great things, but not everything
> > that was expected.
> >
> > But that's not the point I was making.
> >
> >>That people have been killed flying it? So what?
> >

> >
> > No Americans died flying the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo missions. The
> > Apollo 1 fire that killed astronauts Grissom, Chaffee and White
> > happened during a ground training/checkout session.
> >
> >
> >>People die on
> >>commecial airliners on a monthly basis. Are airliners a
> >>failure?
> >
> >
> > There's a big difference.
> >
> > The chances of dying in a commercial airliner accident are extremely
> > small. The failure rate of commercial airline flights (where "failure"
> > equals "people died") is extremely small. In fact if you drive to the
> > airport, fly around the world on First World commercial airliners
> > (returning to your point of origin), and drive home, the most dangerous
> > part of the trip is the drive to and from the airport, statistically
> > speaking.
>
> One of the statistics that is trotted out when
> speaking of airline
> safety is passenger miles. I suspect the shuttle
> would fare *very* well
> if we applied passenger miles to it! ;^)
>
Not really.

Let's do the math...

The following are rough numbers. Those willing to do more looking-up
are invited to give more exact numbers, and see how close my
approximations are.

IIRC, there have been 113 Shuttle missions that "went the distance" so
far. Missions last about a week and have about seven astronauts on each
one.

Orbital velocity is about 18,000 miles per hour and a week is 168 hours
long.

So a typical mission is about 3 million miles long. With seven
astronauts aboard, that's 21 million passenger miles per mission.

113 x 21 = about 2.4 billion passenger miles in the entire shuttle
history.

But two missions ended with total loss of the crew - 14 deaths. That's
one death for every 171 million passenger miles. Compare that to the
commercial airliner rate...

Or take a look at another measure: Number of deaths per million aircraft departures. Commercial airlines are well under one per million. The Shuttle is around 1 in 8.

Of course both measures are a bit off the mark. Most commercial airline accidents have some survivors.

Perhaps the most accurate measure would be "what are the chances that a flight will be completed without an accident that results in the death of a passenger? In the case of the Shuttle, the demonstrated odds are 1 in 57. Commercial airliners are a lot better....

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1520. Re: chuck the shuttle, we need an alternative fuel source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:18:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.01.18.49.468125@gmail.com>
Source record: 115873

N2EY:

... I should have mentioned, "I did inhale too." Clinton didn't know what
he was missing... but, a beer is much better!

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 18:10:47 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> N2EY:
>
> Isn't it interesting that the feds walk all over states rights?
>
> In california we voted to legalize marijuana (under some condition, i.e.
> medical use) the feds seem to think we can't. Since we adopted the law
> all we have are fewer lawyers ripping off marijuana users, fewer
> marijuana users in jail and less wasted time by law enforcement in
> peeking over fences attempt to catch them in commission of a "crime" (the
> crime being growing, smoking--and no, I don't smoke marijuana--but did
> when I was a teenager for a bit.)
>
> Now the feds are back busting people and wanting to make the california
> law enforcement help them... insane... if the feds wanna bust 'em, let
> some other state(s) pay for all of it!
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 17:47:50 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>> > Is it ethical to import a large percentage of
>>> > something - anything -
>>> > needed to keep a country's economy and way of
>>> > life going? Particularly
>>> > when such importation requires dealing with,
>>> > and empowering, people
>>> > whose values are very different from your own?
>>>
>>> My state grows no oranges, and must import them from Florida,
>>> home of the
>>> hanging chad and other values not compatible with "Minnesota
>>> nice". Is it
>>> ethical to drink orange juice in Minnesota?

>>
>> That depends.
>>
>> Are Minnesota and Florida different sovereign countries?
>>
>> Does Minnesota's economy and way of life rely heavily on
>> imported Florida orange juice to keep going?
>>
>> I thought of mentioning California as an alternative source
>> of citrus beverage, but the reply to that is obvious...
>>
>>> Or maybe ethics hasn't a damned thing to do with it.
>>
>> Ethics has everything to do with it.
>>
>>> I like
>>> citrus products,
>>> and I'll buy from whoever sells them at a price I'm willing
>>> to pay.
>>
>> So if, say, North Korea was selling citrus products (yes, I know they
>> don't grow any, but it's the principle of the thing), and the
>> money was going straight to helping that dictatorship build nuclear
>> weapons, you'd have no problems dealing with them?
>>
>>> Meanwhile
>>> we grow some damned good corn, wheat, and soybeans here on the > prairie. We'll
>>> be happy to sell it to whoever meets the going price,
>>> regardless if their
>>> "values are very different" from ours.
>>
>> So if Osama BL wants some, and can pay the price, you'll sell to him?
>>
>> ---
>>
>> Back in the late 1930s and very early 1940s, Japan was aggressively
>> taking over Manchuria and northern China.
>> (see "rape of Nanking" et al)
>>
>> The USA was selling all sorts of stuff to Japan at the time -
>> electronics, oil, steel, aluminum, etc. They paid good prices
>> and paid in hard currency.
>>
>> It became clear over time that at least some of those exports
>> were supplying the Japanese military expansion. So FDR & Co.
>> moved to cut off those exports - because of what Japan was
>> doing with them.
>>
>> Was that an unethical thing to do?
>>

>> Or should the USA have continued to sell Japan whatever they
>> wanted, as much as they wanted, regardless of what was done with it?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1521. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:35:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.01.35.34.319448@gmail.com>
Source record: 115874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

commander:

Boy are those guys going to look like fools in any real emergency...

My uncle (mothers side) is a retired rear admiral, he even took Japanese zero hits to his ships when he was a captain, his walls are covered with the pictures, he is 85 now. I have never seen where his judgment is any better than anyone elses' in the family. Indeed, he has been a real jerk most of his life and has had to be "handled" by the family. We in the family worry about the men who suffered under him and having to take his "chit!"

He has always put on his pants one leg at a time. We in the family feel he should only be allowed one vote in any election (yes, even the presidential election for the commander in chief.) He has a high IQ and graduated Annapolis with honors. He did a good job for his employers--the citizens of the united states... he can be thanked for that...

But, he just ain't that special, trust me! But, he is good at fooling other people into thinking so, I think that helped him though his whole career, don't you?

But, in the family, we used to laugh at those people who would "kiss ass" to him because of rank/title.

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 19:10:47 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> an old friend wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> why don't you
>
> Already have twit, with a Naval Rear Admiral from the North American
> Defense Command and a Homeland Defense official. Both confirm that ham
> radio is in their emergency plans, cb is not.
>>
>> you point was that it is does not happen
>
> My point is, amateur radio is in emergency planning, cb isn't.
>
>
>>
>> you are simply fact chalanged

>
> You are simply intellegence 'chalanged'.
>>

# 1522. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:49:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <G42dnZ2dnZ2p_DKynZ2dnWrfYN-dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> To "an_old_f---"
> I am one of the people in this NG. And Dan's post was relevant to me.
> I have never seen you post anything of relevance.
> Ace - WH2T

He is incapable of doing so.

# 1523. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:56:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.01.56.14.675511@gmail.com>
Source record: 115876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

commander:

I should have mentioned, I think you would be a hazard to my uncles heath,
if you were ever to meet him. Probably cause him to fall and break a
hip, him having to try to proceed to get around with your lips firmly
attached to his bottom!

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 18:35:37 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> commander:
>
> Boy are those guys going to look like fools in any real emergency...
>
> My uncle (mothers side) is a retired rear admiral, he even took Japanese
> zero hits to his ships when he was a captain, his walls are covered with
> the pictures, he is 85 now. I have never seen where his judgment is any
> better than anyone elses' in the family. Indeed, he has been a real jerk
> most of his life and has had to be "handled" by the family. We in the
> family worry about the men who suffered under him and having to take his
> "chit!"
>
> He has always put on his pants one leg at a time. We in the family feel
> he should only be allowed one vote in any election (yes, even the
> presidential election for the commander in chief.) He has a high IQ and
> graduated Annapolis with honors. He did a good job for his employers--the
> citizens of the united states... he can be thanked for that...
>
> But, he just ain't that special, trust me! But, he is good at fooling
> other people into thinking so, I think that helped him though his whole
> career, don't you?
>
> But, in the family, we used to laugh at those people who would "kiss ass"
> to him because of rank/title.
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 19:10:47 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>

>>> why don't you
>>
>> Already have twit, with a Naval Rear Admiral from the North American
>> Defense Command and a Homeland Defense official. Both confirm that ham
>> radio is in their emergency plans, cb is not.
>>>
>>> you point was that it is does not happen
>>
>> My point is, amateur radio is in emergency planning, cb isn't.
>>
>>
>>>
>>> you are simply fact chalanged
>>
>> You are simply intellegence 'chalanged'.
>>>

# 1524. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 01:58:12 UTC
From: Frank <ve6cb@nospam.telus.net>
Message-ID: <UscLe.171792$HI.115367@edtnps84>
Source record: 115877
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> I hope-against-hope the good old days of radio will return, but, I would
> like it to do so on new technology... some of us which remember the old
> CB days (days when even, good, hams had cb rigs!--or, we made do with 10
> meter equip. <grin>) are on ch. 38-39 LSB (27.385, 27.395) in the central
> valley of calif, we are a stagnant number, be nice to have the company.
> Lot of mobiles so they escape the harassment of hams still waging the
> "old war." If you mention your call, be prepared to take some kidding...
>
> If you ever get an opportunity--come join us! Real CB still lives in
> isolated pockets!
>
> John

You ever get on 40 m John? Often on Sunday evenings around 7168 +/-.

73,

Frank

PS I thought this was a Brit N.G.

# 1525. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:04:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.02.04.05.301779@gmail.com>
Source record: 115879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

commander:

I know one thing, you are an idiot. I know you even lack the
where-with-all to stop behaving as such.

If I am the only one to notice, so be it, but don't kid yourself, such a
manner, mental state and uncivilized manner such as yours is quite plain
to see.

You live in a world of "high drama." This is usually the sign of one with
an unstable mental state and prone to bizarre exaggerations. I have not
fostered a sheltered life for myself, I have seen many like you before...

Your vain attempts at creating a "larger than life" image for yourself
look like a childs finger-paintings to me...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 19:55:35 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> commander:
>>
>> Boy are those guys going to look like fools in any real emergency...
>>
>> My uncle (mothers side) is a retired rear admiral, he even took Japanese
>> zero hits to his ships when he was a captain, his walls are covered with
>> the pictures, he is 85 now. I have never seen where his judgment is any
>> better than anyone elses' in the family. Indeed, he has been a real jerk
>> most of his life and has had to be "handled" by the family. We in the
>> family worry about the men who suffered under him and having to take his
>> "chit!"
>
> Fortunately the rest of the military brass aren't in your family. You
> cbers just can't handle the fact that amateur radio is considered by
> emergency officials to be far and above cb radio when it comes to
> providing additional emergency comms.

# 1526. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:06:45 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UAcLe.3785$Gx1.677@fe11.lga>
Source record: 115878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten> wrote:
>
>>Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>And ham radio is an intergel part of official emergency plans on both
>>>>>the local and federal levels as well as NORAD and homeland defense.
>>>>>CB isn't. Swallow that pill.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Really that will come as a suprise to the fork fighting that fire
>>>>round here a few days ago
>>
>>And just which 'fork' is fighting the fire, the salad or meat fork?
>>
>
> Careful there, JJ. He will toss your salad.
>
Thats a nasty tought steve hehehe

# 1527. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:10:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <0ZOdnREVL8Np2mDfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:

>
>
> why don't you

Already have twit, with a Naval Rear Admiral from the North American
Defense Command and a Homeland Defense official. Both confirm that ham
radio is in their emergency plans, cb is not.
>
> you point was that it is does not happen

My point is, amateur radio is in emergency planning, cb isn't.

>
> you are simply fact chalanged

You are simply intellegence 'chalanged'.
>

# 1528. Re: K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:18:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123899517.472094.5090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115880

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
cut
>>I'll report you time will decide
>
> He's nuts. Not necessarily harmless, but definitely nuts. Slashed
> tires, bricks through windows, and all that.

that is my assesment as well, but Stvie wants to make threats to report me as mentaly ill let him. he might give me enough rope to hang him out to dry

# 1529. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:19:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.02.19.49.261774@gmail.com>
Source record: 115892
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee:

You know you have won a soft-spot in my heart now, and I always wanna "take-the-gloves-off" when replying to your posts, and I would, except you would take it as an insult and never forgive me...

Yes, there are people like that in the world. Indeed, the top of the bell-curve are those with an IQ of 100-110 (barely intelligent enough not to drool on their work.) If your company/corp is interning them, you really should look for a position elsewhere, but you knew that...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 21:04:16 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
>> PM:
>>
>> Let me give a summary of the "real world."
>>
>> If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
>>
>> In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
>> and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
>> IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
>>
>> By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
>> and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
>> project would most likely be computer related.
>>
>> Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
>> using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
>> the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
>> transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
>> you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
>> technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
>> what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.

> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
> that you seem to despise so.
>
> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
> etc.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1530. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:24:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123899884.028615.239990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > why don't you
>
> Already have twit, with a Naval Rear Admiral from the North American
> Defense Command and a Homeland Defense official. Both confirm that ham
> radio is in their emergency plans, cb is not.
> >
> > you point was that it is does not happen
>
> My point is, amateur radio is in emergency planning, cb isn't.

then your point is blunted since it is used everyday somewhere

>
>
> > >
> > you are simply fact chalanged
>
> You are simply intellegence 'chalanged'.

not at all

certainly not by you
> >

# 1531. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:26:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123899960.343163.57960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115882

KØHB wrote:
> "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > In other words, Hans is condoning poor
> > amateur practice.
>
> Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor amateur practice".

he did days ago guess were not reading
>
> Dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1532. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:27:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123900063.121581.244950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115883

John Smith wrote:
> K0HB:
>
> Suppose the aliens are running their evil "Confabulator?"

you saying Stevie is an Alien?

Does he have a VISA
>
> How would a guy ever know then?

>
> John
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:13:09 +0000, KØHB wrote:
>
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >
> > > You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
> > > your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
> > >
> > > 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
> > > you intend to use.
> > >
> > > 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
> > > noise roaring away.
> > >
> > > 3) Disconnect the antenna.
> > >
> > > If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
> > > sensitivity you can use in that application.
> >
> > You're joking, of course. You can't even get an >approximate< measure of your
> > receiver sensitivity/noise floor from that test. Suppose, for example, that an
> > active electrical storm is brewing and you're tuned to a 40M QRG. All but the
> > most stone-deaf receivers will give you some room-filling background noise which
> > will disappear when the antenna is removed.
> >
> > Beep beep
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 1533. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:30:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal

restored some of my faith in people
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
> > >
> > > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> > > greatness of the Nation we live in
> > >
> > > I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
> >
> > He had no right to go over the security fence.
>
> Just because somebody in government erects a "security" fence doesn't
> mean it's legally off limits to the public. The government has a long
> history of thinking it can do any-damned-thing it wants.

hear hear
>
> There's nothing
> > "great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Probably a militant vegan Ameri-Corpses-type who hasn't been spayed or
> neutered. I don't know that he broke any law, but I sure as hell wish
> he had fallen off and chipped a front tooth.

you Know I can agree that far I would have liked to fall and injure
himself slightly would have been poetic justice/karma
>
> ~signed~
>
> Just Billy, almost agreeing with Steve this time (WTF was I thinkin???)
>
> ... and before Steve starts in the anon hatred stuff, I'm bb.

# 1534. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:31:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.02.31.37.689809@gmail.com>
Source record: 115894
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sneaky Frank:

I often listen to "Bell's Bunch" on 3.840, once in a great while--bore them with comment or two of mine (I make fun of AB and Wayne Greene--but kinda like 'em both. <grin>) I just punched ~7168 into memory of the rig and will take a peek...

I think you can tell, I am an easy mark, if the conversation is provocative, interesting and civilized... maybe... that is hard to find today, yanno, good conversation...

Thank you for the invitation, I am honored to be asked...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 01:58:12 +0000, Frank wrote:

>> I hope-against-hope the good old days of radio will return, but, I would
>> like it to do so on new technology... some of us which remember the old
>> CB days (days when even, good, hams had cb rigs!--or, we made do with 10
>> meter equip. <grin>) are on ch. 38-39 LSB (27.385, 27.395) in the central
>> valley of calif, we are a stagnant number, be nice to have the company.
>> Lot of mobiles so they escape the harassment of hams still waging the
>> "old war." If you mention your call, be prepared to take some kidding...
>>
>> If you ever get an opportunity--come join us! Real CB still lives in
>> isolated pockets!
>>
>> John
>
> You ever get on 40 m John? Often on Sunday evenings around 7168 +/-.
>
> 73,
>
> Frank
>
> PS I thought this was a Brit N.G.

# 1535. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:43:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123901017.935245.42700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115885

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > nope that he has the Right to transmit and informational bullitin same
> > as you do
> >
>

finaly at least something that might be relavant

It would be nice to hear from others about the incident, but at least
this an allegation, no date given though which earthrquake are we
talking about here

If this is another old recylced charge

> Here's a "bullitin" for you!
>
> Frederick H. Kleber (K9VV) reported Baxter's interference to health and welfare
> traffic handling during the Mexico City earthquake
> disaster:
>
> "During the third or fourth day of passing health and welfare traffic during the
> Mexico City disaster, Mr. Baxter appeared on the primary net frequency and began
> to disrupt communications. I vividly recall my frustration due to the
> interference he created by insisting he speak with me, even though it was
> evident that I was passing traffic. Baxter insisted that his station serve as
> net control, in spite of being politely informed that his assistance was not
> needed. Baxter's interference became so disruptive that I ultimately called him
> on the telephone.
>
> Carlos (XE1HC) and I were speaking in Spanish, and we discussed how to avoid
> Baxter's interference. Baxter was insistent on gaining control of the frequency
> and running net operations. In between Baxter's transmissions, Carlos and I
> managed to communicate, and to change to another traffic frequency. Once we
> moved to a new frequency, we were able to resume passing traffic. Baxter
> followed us to our new frequency and interrupted us, just as he had before.
> Carlos and I were forced to changed frequency several times in order to avoid
> Baxter's interference. Each time, Baxter would show up shortly thereafter and
> continue to try to gain control of net operations. Becoming increasingly
> frustrated with Baxter's interference, I telephoned him again. I attempted to
> explain to Baxter that he was interfering with a health and welfare operation.
> He did not listen and he interrupted me frequently. In frustration, I finally
> hung up and returned to handling health and welfare traffic.

>
> The health and welfare traffic operation lasted approximately a week. During
> that period, my partners and I (NJ7E, XE1HC, W9GIG and W7MY) were able to pass
> approximately 7,000 pieces of health and welfare traffic. On numerous occasions
> over this period, Mr. Baxter operated his radio station in a manner that created
> interference to an established Mexico City earthquake relief health and welfare
> net. Mr. Baxter was a constant hindrance to the operation and he later attempted
> to leverage publicity from the disaster (often inaccurately reported) for his
> personal gain. In subsequent "press releases," Mr. Baxter attacked the actual
> participants of that health and welfare net."

# 1536. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 02:55:35 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Rv-dnQLtQffpz2DfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> commander:
>
> Boy are those guys going to look like fools in any real emergency...
>
> My uncle (mothers side) is a retired rear admiral, he even took Japanese
> zero hits to his ships when he was a captain, his walls are covered with
> the pictures, he is 85 now. I have never seen where his judgment is any
> better than anyone elses' in the family. Indeed, he has been a real jerk
> most of his life and has had to be "handled" by the family. We in the
> family worry about the men who suffered under him and having to take his
> "chit!"

Fortunately the rest of the military brass aren't in your family. You cbers just can't handle the fact that amateur radio is considered by emergency officials to be far and above cb radio when it comes to providing additional emergency comms.

# 1537. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 03:02:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.03.02.51.870184@gmail.com>
Source record: 115886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
AOF:

Yep tacky, and if caught, needs a "butt tanning." More than that?

Naaaa...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 19:30:51 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

> great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal
>
> restored some of my faith in people
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > Lloyd wrote:
>> > > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>> > >
>> > > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
>> > > greatness of the Nation we live in
>> > >
>> > > I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>> >
>> > He had no right to go over the security fence.
>>
>> Just because somebody in government erects a "security" fence doesn't
>> mean it's legally off limits to the public. The government has a long
>> history of thinking it can do any-damned-thing it wants.
>
> hear hear
>>
>> There's nothing
>> > "great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Probably a militant vegan Ameri-Corpses-type who hasn't been spayed or
>> neutered. I don't know that he broke any law, but I sure as hell wish
>> he had fallen off and chipped a front tooth.
>
> you Know I can agree that far I would have liked to fall and injure
> himself slightly would have been poetic justice/karma
>>
>> ~signed~
```

>>
>> Just Billy, almost agreeing with Steve this time (WTF was I thinkin???)
>>
>> ... and before Steve starts in the anon hatred stuff, I'm bb.

# 1538. Re: K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 03:13:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.03.13.52.293674@gmail.com>
Source record: 115887

AOF:

Making slurs to anothers' mental state is more a form of making a point,
doing a wake-up call, striking them over the head with a "text 2x4", etc...

Don't take it so personal and you will not be so tempted to drop into
vulgarities... a slightly better tactic, don't you agree?

If I drop into a newsgroup, and see one using vulgarities (yes, I have
done it, and regret it)--I tend to form a negative opinion of them,
sometimes I am unable to reform that opinion--others probably have my
failing... do you see my point?

Don't get so "blinded" you focus on the personality of the individual,
focus on what he is saying, form your attacks to the principal(s), not the
person... now making helpful suggestions on how an individual can improve
his short-comings is a positive venture. <sly-grin>

... just a suggestion, of course you will do as you see fit ...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 19:18:37 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> b.b. wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
> cut
>>>I'll report you time will decide
>>
>> He's nuts. Not necessarily harmless, but definitely nuts. Slashed
>> tires, bricks through windows, and all that.
>
> that is my assesment as well, but Stvie wants to make threats to report
> me as mentaly ill let him. he might give me enough rope to hang him out
> to dry

# 1539. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits runs even further from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 03:17:52 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <ddjon0$o9q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The reality is that Wogie is lying again, as usual. Wogie *has* no photos of
Stagger Lee. On the other hand, the photo that Stagger posted IS Roger.
How many times have you had the photo taken down, Wogie? Two? Three? Four?

There are lots more where that came from.
..
Roger talks tough. He doesn't know the meaning of fear, but then again he
doesn't know the meaning of most words.

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:df340459b095d7585704ba6247ab3836@mixmaster.it...
In article <woger.has.no.self.control@houston-hunks.com>

While Saggytits Lee has to usethe book of fairy tales the Bible
as a crutch.....

Saggytits Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> continues to lie and
whine:

> [nothing important]

You like your picture being posted, Saggytits?

Saggytits Lee's full face headshot:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

Saggytits Lee fucks up again and thinks Roger Wiseman has "long
hair" in
<st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"Long hair like yours"

Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
Moron
You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

# 1540. Re: Hey Toad, this is for you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 03:33:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.03.33.44.992488@gmail.com>
Source record: 115897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee:

Redneck? Hey girl, that is a hickie on your neck! Isn't it? <grin>

Yes, not ashamed to have a deep allegiance to my country, my fellow citizens, my forefathers who shed their blood for my freedom, or the principles this great nation was founded upon. I may disagree and argue vehemently with another, but I would just as soon turn and risk life, limb and property and stand shoulder-to-shoulder to oppose our common enemy...

I am proud to be an American, I am proud of my fellow Americans--I would like to effect changes which could make me proud of my public servants, but they seem to have lost value for having those they serve respect them...

I think most here, most likely down to the last man/woman hold this country and its' citizens in such high reverence as I, and if tested, they would demonstrate it... the Star Spangled Banner still brings a swelling of pride in my chest and a tear to my eye. Watching a classroom stand and pledge allegiance to a flag which represents America, the giants of men which were our forefathers, and all Americans almost drops me to my knees with a physical weakness caused by intense emotion...

You know what I mean girl, I am a redneck...

Warmest regards,
John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 21:06:43 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.01.37.378061@gmail.com...
>> Dan:
>>
>> Not a redneck, here, by birth, design or circumstance--however, parts of
>> my spirit resonate with that "redneck philosophy"--guess some will now
>> accuse me of being a redneck wannabe...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Not at all. It just means that there's a little redneck in all of us.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:53:03 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>>
>>> We have enjoyed the redneck jokes for years. It's time to take a
>>> reflective
>>> look at the core beliefs of a culture that values home, family, country
>>> and
>>> God. If I had to stand before a dozen terrorists who threaten my life,
>>> I'd
>>> choose a half dozen or so rednecks to back me up. Tire irons, squirrel
>>> guns
>>> and grit -- that's what rednecks are made of. I hope I am one of those.
>>> If
>>> you feel the same, pass this on to your redneck friends. Ya'll know who
>>> ya'
>>> are...
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: It never occurred to you to be offended by the
>>> phrase, "One nation, under God."
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You've never protested about seeing the
>>> 10 Commandments posted in public places.
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You still say "Christmas" in! stead of "Winter
>>> Festival."
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You bow your head when someone prays.
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You stand and place your hand over your heart
>>> when they play the National Anthem.
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You treat Viet Nam vets with great respect,
>>> and
>>> always have.
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You've never burned an American flag.
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You know what you believe and you aren't
>>> afraid
>>> to say so, no matter who is listening.
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You respect your elders and expect your kids
>>> to
>>> do the same.
>>>
>>> You might be a redneck if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.
>>>
>>>
>>> God Bless the USA!
>>

# 1541. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 03:45:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.03.45.17.69325@gmail.com>
Source record: 115891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

commander:

Makes me think you aren't such a bad guy after all, excellent post, one of
your best, and one we can agree on!

<yawn>

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 21:30:20 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> commander:
>>
>> I know one thing, you are an idiot. I know you even lack the
>> where-with-all to stop behaving as such.
>>
>> If I am the only one to notice, so be it, but don't kid yourself, such a
>> manner, mental state and uncivilized manner such as yours is quite plain
>> to see.
>>
>> You live in a world of "high drama." This is usually the sign of one with
>> an unstable mental state and prone to bizarre exaggerations. I have not
>> fostered a sheltered life for myself, I have seen many like you before...
>>
>> Your vain attempts at creating a "larger than life" image for yourself
>> look like a childs finger-paintings to me...
>>
>> John
>
>
> Yawn.....................

## 1542. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 03:54:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123905243.229759.90200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > > >
> > > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with 11
> > > meters, Steve?
> >
> > Is anything I said not accurrate, Steveo?

yep

most of it

all of it was at best true in some places
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1543. Re: K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 04:01:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123904696.933933.271440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115893

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Making slurs to anothers' mental state is more a form of making a point,
> doing a wake-up call, striking them over the head with a "text 2x4", etc...

it is libel, and is an afront to the disabled
>
> Don't take it so personal and you will not be so tempted to drop into
> vulgarities... a slightly better tactic, don't you agree?

I call things by there right name, calling shit caviar would not change
the taste

But it is quite personal

I am tired of receiving email of stevie's picture and the phrase "the
thing your ever see"

Tired of the threats and tired of watching him threaten others

I can call his bluff on these matter, so I will, it is a matter of
principle

>
> If I drop into a newsgroup, and see one using vulgarities (yes, I have
> done it, and regret it)--I tend to form a negative opinion of them,
> sometimes I am unable to reform that opinion--others probably have my
> failing... do you see my point?

you know in the long run I choose to judged on who I am, that is person
that would answer stuff like stevie with the word "Bullshit" if that is
how I felt. I 'd address Stevie the same way if were in the same room.

anyone that wants to not like me for that, well that is ok by me,
plenty of people don't like me, but those that do like me like me and
can be trusted generaly
>
> Don't get so "blinded" you focus on the personality of the individual,
> focus on what he is saying, form your attacks to the principal(s), not the
> person... now making helpful suggestions on how an individual can improve
> his short-comings is a positive venture. <sly-grin>

If stevie wants to even get near principlas you might have a point

but stevie has some problem not sure just what since he is an LPN. My
mother in RN and could have her license pulled for claiming someone was
"a danger to themselves or others" without properly reporting it and

BEING able to back it up

you will note that Stevie hasn't responded after trying another threat
to "be carefull what I ask for posts"
>
> ... just a suggestion, of course you will do as you see fit ...

of Course I will but thank you for your concern
>
> John
>

# 1544. Re: K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 04:14:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.04.14.12.740138@gmail.com>
Source record: 115895

AOF:

Trust me friend, with the way I boldly put my mind to text here, my email looks like it speaks to the son of satan... you are not alone...

If you empower anothers' opinion, that is your error... nor do I find that an excuse for someone to drop to as low, or even lower in behavior in their defense (yes, I have been guilty of this error, you are not alone.)

I, myself, may disagree with you, your lifestyle or your views... however, ... no less than the trees or the stars, you have a right to be here... (from a favorite song of mine, and I value its' statement.)

Behave in a manner which others respect, in doing so, you assist me, then I am not so tempted to drop to low tactics... perhaps if we both do it, it will inspire others, ya think?

Warmest regards,
John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 21:01:42 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> Making slurs to anothers' mental state is more a form of making a point,
>> doing a wake-up call, striking them over the head with a "text 2x4", etc...
>
> it is libel, and is an afront to the disabled
>>
>> Don't take it so personal and you will not be so tempted to drop into
>> vulgarities... a slightly better tactic, don't you agree?
>
> I call things by there right name, calling shit caviar would not change
> the taste
>
> But it is quite personal
>
> I am tired of receiving email of stevie's picture and the phrase "the
> thing your ever see"
>
> Tired of the threats and tired of watching him threaten others
>
> I can call his bluff on these matter, so I will, it is a matter of
> principle

>
>>
>> If I drop into a newsgroup, and see one using vulgarities (yes, I have
>> done it, and regret it)--I tend to form a negative opinion of them,
>> sometimes I am unable to reform that opinion--others probably have my
>> failing... do you see my point?
>
> you know in the long run I choose to judged on who I am, that is person
> that would answer stuff like stevie with the word "Bullshit" if that is
> how I felt. I 'd address Stevie the same way if were in the same room.
>
> anyone that wants to not like me for that, well that is ok by me,
> plenty of people don't like me, but those that do like me like me and
> can be trusted generaly
>>
>> Don't get so "blinded" you focus on the personality of the individual,
>> focus on what he is saying, form your attacks to the principal(s), not the
>> person... now making helpful suggestions on how an individual can improve
>> his short-comings is a positive venture. <sly-grin>
>
> If stevie wants to even get near principlas you might have a point
>
> but stevie has some problem not sure just what since he is an LPN. My
> mother in RN and could have her license pulled for claiming someone was
> "a danger to themselves or others" without properly reporting it and
> BEING able to back it up
>
> you will note that Stevie hasn't responded after trying another threat
> to "be carefull what I ask for posts"
>>
>> ... just a suggestion, of course you will do as you see fit ...
>
> of Course I will but thank you for your concern
>>
>> John
>>

# 1545. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 04:30:20 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <D-idncl3SNs69WDfRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 115889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> commander:
>
> I know one thing, you are an idiot. I know you even lack the
> where-with-all to stop behaving as such.
>
> If I am the only one to notice, so be it, but don't kid yourself, such a
> manner, mental state and uncivilized manner such as yours is quite plain
> to see.
>
> You live in a world of "high drama." This is usually the sign of one with
> an unstable mental state and prone to bizarre exaggerations. I have not
> fostered a sheltered life for myself, I have seen many like you before...
>
> Your vain attempts at creating a "larger than life" image for yourself
> look like a childs finger-paintings to me...
>
> John

Yawn.....

# 1546. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 05:11:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1123909883.704384.302750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115896

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
> > You don't need a noise generator, lab full of gear or an EE to know if
> > your receiver is sensitive enough. Just do this simple test:
> >
> > 1) Tune the rx to an unoccupied frequency, using the mode and bandwidth
> > you intend to use.
> >
> > 2) Turn off the AGC and turn up the gain until you hear the background
> > noise roaring away.
> >
> > 3) Disconnect the antenna.
> >
> > If the noise drops way down, or disappears, you have all the
> > sensitivity you can use in that application.
>
> You're joking, of course. You can't even get an >approximate< measure of your
> receiver sensitivity/noise floor from that test. Suppose, for example, that an
> active electrical storm is brewing and you're tuned to a 40M QRG. All but the
> most stone-deaf receivers will give you some room-filling background noise which
> will disappear when the antenna is removed.

Isn't that just a tad on the "Captain Obvious" side Hans?

>
> Beep beep

. . . kerchunk, kerchunk . .

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

# 1547. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 05:26:51 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96B118E18C57fw190a8@198.161.157.145>
Source record: 115898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
news:pan.2005.08.12.22.23.48.908360@gmail.com:

> Brian:
>
> If what you say is true, I am appalled to consider the years I may spend
> in prison!
>
> I have urinated in my bathroom uncounted times, behind trees, in federal
> wilderness areas, in alleys when the only other choice was my vehicle or
> my pants, etc...
>
> My gawd, do you think the authorities know?
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 17:14:11 -0500, Brian Hill wrote:
>
>>
>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> news:2c7qf1t3nqpl0togbo2c85od00r696ck5c@4ax.com...
>>> On 12 Aug 2005 21:54:17 GMT, "Christy D"
>>> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Lloyd wrote:
>>>>> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
>>>>>
>>>>> disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of
>>>>> the greatness of the Nation we live in
>>>>>
>>>>> I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
>>>>
>>>>Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
>>>>it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
>>>>certain circumstances.
>>>
>>> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
>>> illegal, let alone a felony?
>>>

>>
>> Pissing is vandalism and that is a crime.
>>
>> B.H.
>

If they didn't, they do now ;)

--
Panzer

# 1548. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 05:56:20 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <8YfLe.4897$Al5.340@trnddc04>
Source record: 115899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal

It's illegal, and it's called "desecration of a venerated object". Google the phrase. Laws vary from state to state, of course, but my guess is that if you find a state without such a statute, it would be an exception to the rule. I'm betting they all have similar codes.

For another thing, it's malicious mischief that's sure to cause emotional harm to the living relatives; as well as incurring the wrath of the criminal justice system, I'll bet that's actionable in civil court. I also have to wonder if some plots are considered private property according to local statutes. They're paid for, and they're inherited by the descendants of the lot owner in some cases. There's another violation of law. And of course, don't we all know someone who was cited by a cop for public urination? And how about disturbing the peace if someone saw him do it? Those sites are visited by followers of the deceased; seeing someone pissing on the grave of your favorite president is bound to stir up some trouble. (I admit, that one's a bit iffy.) ;)

That's not even mentioning the fact that the putz ran away from the security detail. That ought to tell you something right there. Several somethings, in fact.

# 1549. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 06:01:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.06.01.22.108380@gmail.com>
Source record: 116003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Honus:

Perhaps you missed my earlier post. I acknowledged it was a "no-no."

On a scale of one-to-ten, about a 1.001. A good spanking when they get
the kid, and it is all over.

Now, if he urinated on Kennedys' grave, a night in jail! Maybe two!

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 05:56:20 +0000, Honus wrote:

>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal
>
> It's illegal, and it's called "desecration of a venerated object". Google
> the phrase. Laws vary from state to state, of course, but my guess is that
> if you find a state without such a statute, it would be an exception to the
> rule. I'm betting they all have similar codes.
>
> For another thing, it's malicious mischief that's sure to cause emotional
> harm to the living relatives; as well as incurring the wrath of the criminal
> justice system, I'll bet that's actionable in civil court. I also have to
> wonder if some plots are considered private property according to local
> statutes. They're paid for, and they're inherited by the descendants of the
> lot owner in some cases. There's another violation of law. And of course,
> don't we all know someone who was cited by a cop for public urination? And
> how about disturbing the peace if someone saw him do it? Those sites are
> visited by followers of the deceased; seeing someone pissing on the grave of
> your favorite president is bound to stir up some trouble. (I admit, that
> one's a bit iffy.) ;)
>
> That's not even mentioning the fact that the putz ran away from the security
> detail. That ought to tell you something right there. Several somethings, in
> fact.

# 1550. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 06:03:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.06.03.01.397129@gmail.com>
Source record: 116000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

... and it it was my grave, three nights in jail (only let him out during
the day for school.)

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 23:01:23 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> Honus:
>
> Perhaps you missed my earlier post. I acknowledged it was a "no-no."
>
> On a scale of one-to-ten, about a 1.001. A good spanking when they get
> the kid, and it is all over.
>
> Now, if he urinated on Kennedys' grave, a night in jail! Maybe two!
>
> John
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 05:56:20 +0000, Honus wrote:
>
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal
>>
>> It's illegal, and it's called "desecration of a venerated object". Google
>> the phrase. Laws vary from state to state, of course, but my guess is that
>> if you find a state without such a statute, it would be an exception to the
>> rule. I'm betting they all have similar codes.
>>
>> For another thing, it's malicious mischief that's sure to cause emotional
>> harm to the living relatives; as well as incurring the wrath of the criminal
>> justice system, I'll bet that's actionable in civil court. I also have to
>> wonder if some plots are considered private property according to local
>> statutes. They're paid for, and they're inherited by the descendants of the
>> lot owner in some cases. There's another violation of law. And of course,
>> don't we all know someone who was cited by a cop for public urination? And
>> how about disturbing the peace if someone saw him do it? Those sites are
>> visited by followers of the deceased; seeing someone pissing on the grave of
>> your favorite president is bound to stir up some trouble. (I admit, that
>> one's a bit iffy.) ;)
>>
>> That's not even mentioning the fact that the putz ran away from the security
>> detail. That ought to tell you something right there. Several somethings, in

>> fact.

# 1551. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 06:45:59 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <ddk4t7$cgd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

If, and may I quote you, "it it was my grave..."
No need to worry, John.
It isn't, but could be.
To quote a Mental Midget with dubious credentials...

"This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services"
--
"The funny thing is, you don't know your fat ass from a whole in
the ground, shit-for-brains."
Roger Wiseman, 2004

# 1552. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 06:51:40 UTC
From: Binary <GrannyGal@no>
Message-ID: <ddk57r$dsh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You like *your* picture, Saggytits?

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

Not near as much as you like YOUR picture, Wogie Wimp.

Now bend over like a Good Boy and let Lloyd administer his rectal exam.
You know how that makes you giggle....

# 1553. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 07:06:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.07.06.55.328199@gmail.com>
Source record: 116006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Binary:

Don't look now but, I think some idiot is posing as your and has posted
the quoted the nonsensical text below!

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 01:45:59 -0500, Binary wrote:

> If, and may I quote you, "it it was my grave..."
> No need to worry, John.
> It isn't, but could be.
> To quote a Mental Midget with dubious credentials...
>
> "This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services"

# 1554. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 07:16:55 UTC
From: nobody@anon.lcs.mit.edu
Message-ID: <20050813071655.26001.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 116004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy rec.radio.shortwave

Whoa.

The binary dork head shows up and tries to speak. Did you flunk english
in elementery school?

Did you run out of gay activity to do with your brother.

Dork get a clue and a life.

Take your sisters panites off and try to be a man.

A-Non-E-Mouse

# 1555. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 07:51:03 UTC
From: nobody@anon.lcs.mit.edu
Message-ID: <20050813075103.5928.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 116005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy rec.radio.shortwave

You are one sick puppy.
Do you have anal dreams at night?
Do you fondle melons in the store and pretend they are little boys buts?
You never did say what the binary is about. Does it mean you wonder if
you are a female gay or a male gay?
Binary the binary bisexual?

A-Non-E-Mouse

# 1556. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 08:28:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123921697.561537.108410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
> > >
> > > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> > > greatness of the Nation we live in
> > >
> > > I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
> >
> > He had no right to go over the security fence. There's nothing
> > "great" about raising a generation of scumbags like that kid.
>
> no security fence shown

Not paying attention again, Markie

> I would rather someone danced on Reagun grave than burned a flag so I
> would say the morals are imporving

I'd say neither one is acceptable. The guy in the picture should be
hunted down and incarcerated until circumstances arise that he can
apoligize to the person on whose grave he is defiling.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1557. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 08:36:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123922166.034263.204550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Christy D wrote:
> >Note: The author of this message requested that it not be archived. This >message will be removed from Groups in 6 days (Aug 19, 5:54 pm).
>
> noting that the author of the piece isn't even willing for her remarks
> to remain part of the record
>
> > On 12 Aug 2005 14:33:55 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=45663
> > >
> > > disagreable yes, but likely within his rights and as such proof of the
> > > greatness of the Nation we live in
> > >
> > > I disgree with his tastes but I defend his rights
> >
> > Desecration of graves or historical sites is not only not a "right,"
>
> one Dancing on a grave is in no way a descration

Sure it is.

> Pissing on Nixon,
> well between the likely political comment it was making I suspect if
> fought out it would come under the flag burning though, although it is
> more questionable

It's not questionable at all.

> However neither site was harmed so it is hard to say that a desecration
> occoured

It's not hard at all. There's a picture of some punk dancing on
his grave. Evidence.

> > it is illegal in many jurisdictions. In fact, it is a felony under
> > certain circumstances.

Yup.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1558. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 08:38:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123922304.890318.303240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> > >
> > > How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
> > > illegal, let alone a felony?
> > >
> >
> > Go to your local cemetary, turn over and break a few headstones and find
> > out.
>
> Look up word "vandalism"
>
> Look up word "Disrespect"
>
> Learn difference

YOU learn the difference, LairBoy, when if comes to violating a
grave.

> you are suggesting vandalism, what was done was disrespect

It was desecration of a grave.

> Not pleased with it but it is likely protected speech

"speech"

"desecration"

Look up the words. Learn the difference.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1559. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 08:46:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123922760.350658.109000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> > To "an_old_f---"
> > I am one of the people in this NG. And Dan's post was relevant to me.
> > I have never seen you post anything of relevance.
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> He is incapable of doing so.

Markie's an insufferable creep, just like his buddies Lennie,
Brain and Toiddie. What a bunch, eh?

Markie's been flattering himself as Self Appointed Cutter Of The
Irrelevent lately, no doubt from the latent "Super Moderator" psyche of
his.

Markie is all about "defending free speech", but just like his
other semi-literate buddy, Toiddie McDocherty, overtly works to try and
censor others comments. IE: every post where he put "cuting"...

Each "cut" is censorship, and more evidence that Mark Morgan is a
hypocrite of unlimited bounds.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1560. KB9RQZ Starts Yet Another Flame Thread To Hide His Culpability Behind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 08:52:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123923172.550259.24150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116011

an old friend wrote:
> or in the more likely verision has been caught in another whopper
>
> Stevie claims it is his public duty to save the NG and the World from
> asomeone that he claims is a"danger to themselves and others" and yet
> he refuses to spend the effort of making the one phone call it would
> take to save the nation from this grave peril.

Oh?

Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???

Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???

> The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
>
> 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc

I am sure you think so. I am sure it allows you to sleep a bit
better at night. It's not true, but then truth is not your strong
suit.

> 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"

How can I lie about it? I don't know what a "condiction" is...

> 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully

Nope and nope.

> 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> headline of this peice is absolutely true

It won't be for me to determine.

> I'll report you time will decide

I wonder what that meant in English...???

Steve, K4YZ

# 1561. Re: What a surprise! Woger is terrified of his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 09:48:56 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.wemoves.his.third.photo@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 116012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 22:56:37 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Hairy Woger wrote:
[nothing important]

Ezekiel Wiseman ran from:
http://img353.imageshack.us/img353/513/regow1ke.jpg

# 1562. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 10:23:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123928584.956856.93140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116013

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
> > > > >
> > > > amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
> > > > trouble for doing
> > > >
> > > Oh?
> > >
> > > You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
> > > Markie?
> > >
> > > THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...
> > >
> > > Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
> > > on ANY Amateur allocation.
> > >
> > Hey Markie...
> >
> > Any reason you left THIS post unmolested...?!?!
>
> of course
>
> I missed it in all you ####
>
> but it of course is mostly bull####

It's nothing of the sort.

> since the issue is not broadcasting but you claiming the right to
> contact other other and "inform them" they are comiting a federal
> crime, that landed Baxtae in hot water

Again, you are misinformed.

Read the NAL, Markie.

THAT'S what he's in trouble for.

> and you are claiming the right to contact people tell them they are
> comitting a crime, and claiming the right to contact people PERenat and
> tell them they are comiting a federal crime by allowing thier sons to

> transmit

You're more confused than I thought.

You've got Toiddie's profanities, threats and other ONLINE
misbehaviour confused with Baxter's ON-THE-AIR high jinx, antics which
have now endangered his furture license status, not to mention possible
financial viability.

> and you deny that you are threatening Todd, you lying sack of #####

Markie...Watch those "anger issues", boyo.

> > Any reason you can't explain to me the rationale for your last set
> > of answers? You've made others since.
>
> just missed it that is Usenet for you

No...That was Markie not wanting to answer embarrassing questions.

> as I said before it is NOT rational for you to expect I am going to see
> your post in the order you make them, and here in this thread you made
> so many so close together I simply missed one
>
> so sorry

Yes. You are.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1563. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 10:29:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123928957.905927.41280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
>
> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself
> possibly illegal, let alone a felony?

Good question!

(insert standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)

Several ways:

Trespassing - Whether it's public or private property,
a person's mere presence can be a crime

Improper Behavior - I don't know the legal terms, but in
almost any situation there's an expressed or implied
contract of behavior as a condition of admission. For
example, if you go into a supermarket and rearrange the
shelves against the owner's wishes, you can be prosecuted, even if you
don't damage anything at all.
And that's minor compared to showing disrespect to a gravesite.

Then there are all sorts of special rules about gravesites,
current and former presidents, their families, etc.

It's not protected speech/expression at all. Might even come under the
heading of "hate speech".

Now if a person were to speak about *wanting to* do the things
pictured, that would probably be protected speech. Or if they
constructed a replica of the gravesites and carried on the
way they did, they might be protected.

Just IMO. The guy's a real dummy, if for no other reason than
that he showed his face.

And while I have very little (if any) regard for either of those
former presidents, does that guy think anyone will change their
mind about them because of his antics?

73 de Jim N2EY

# 1564. Markie: Why Do You Avoid Providing a Pertinent Reference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 10:39:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1123929591.159842.194010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116015

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:

> > > I accept Daves silence on that subject
> > >
> > > "Silence" is not an answer.
> >
> > Silcence is consent has been a matter of Law since before the first
> > englishman set foot on these shores

Guess what?

Silence is only silence. It is not a statement of law.

And this is not England, the use of the English language
notwithstanding.

> > > > > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > asked and answered
> > > > >
> > > > > Another Morgan lie.
> > > > >
> > > > > You have NOT answered the question.
> > > >
> > > > sure have
> > >
> > > No, Markie...You haven't.
> >
> > asked and answered

Evaded and ignored. By you.

> > > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> > > > >
> > > > > Otherwise you're just saying "cuz I sed so".
> > > >
> > > > Because the LAW says so
> > >
> > > Then CITE the law.
> >
> > English Comon Law

Hot Flash, Hot Rod...we ain't in England.

(And it's "Common")

You STILL have not provided a germane reference.

> > You have F A I L E D to do so!
>
> just did again

That's right...You F A I L E D to do so!

> > > you just will not face the answer
> >
> > W H A T A N S W E R ? ? ? ?
>
> English comon provides that ading abd beting a crime is itself a crime

But YOU have NOT proven where ANY law that Dave may have been
liable for was broken.

> > You've not provided one.
> >
> > CITE A PARAGRAPH OF PERTINENT REGULATIONS, MARKIE!
>
> that is a matter of Law not regs

The "regs" ARE the law.

Ask Phil Kane.

> > Hot flash, LiarBoy! If it's not codified in Part 97 or applicable
> > foreign regulation that the operator is responsible for, IT'S NOT
> > APPLICABLE
>
> BUZZZZ
>
> wrong answer
>
> English comon law applies in the US and in all former britsh Colonies,
> etc India I believe

BUZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

NO "English comon law" applies in the United States!

O N L Y those laws codified in the CFR's or applicable State laws
ARE laws!!!

And Part 97 IS under Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

"English comon law" is not!

> > > > YOU have NOT done that.
> > >
> > > becuase it is not relavant
> >
> > PROVING that your assertion about RADIO REGULATIONS is not
> > "relavant" to the discussion...?!?!
> >

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
> >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! !
> >
> > > More governs Radio than just the regs of the FCC, the law also does
> >
> > In the United States, the FCC >>>M A K E S<<< the law, ya
> > blithering idiot! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> BUZZZZ
>
> wrong answer
>
> The FCC never makes law
>
> The Congress make LAW

BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Then since hte FCC doesn't "make any law", just go ahead and
ignore Part 97 when you operate your radio station again...

Then you get to explain yourself in front of an FCC
"Administrative LAW Judge"

> > Sheeeesh! I bet Brain, Toad and Lennie sure are glad to have a
> > genius like you on their "side"... ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Just when I think it's not possible to look any more stupid than
> > what you do, you manage to go the extra mile, Markie! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Put up or shut up

It's already up there, Boyo!

A ton of your assinine, ill-concieved and unfounded rantings all
for the rubbing of your nose in! You've outdone yourself this time,
Markie!

So, Markie, PUT UP OR SHUT UP!

Where's the applicable law? Cite it. Volume and paragraph,
please.

Failure to do so is admission of error.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1565. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 10:45:46 UTC
From: Highland Ham <abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <IMedncwqge_FUmDfRVnytg@pipex.net>
Source record: 116016
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now
> they just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in
> programming. Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to
> the shop for upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their
> own hardware upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and
> the "old farts" that you seem to despise so.
==========
From the above comments it is clear that the writer has no idea what's going on in the Linux World ; with its many volunteer developers /testers , many being from the younger generation . Ever been to a Linux or Robotics or Hackers Fest ?

Frank GM0CSZ / KN6WH

## 1566. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 11:03:52 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123931032.880145.69010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AKC does not hate CB radio. It hates keyclowns. Keyclowns are the cause
of every single problem having to do with CB radio.

## 1567. Re: Markie the bone pirate and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 11:07:44 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123931264.876381.323750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

takes a pole smoker to know one. You are just jealous cuz you have not
yet smoked his pole, wristflipper.

## 1568. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 11:08:19 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123931299.297955.151990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mopathetic aint selective, he smokes 'em all.

# 1569. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 11:32:00 UTC
From: Jock <real_classs_a2004@yahooo.fr>
Message-ID: <9fmrf1d6mnnp7dpcb45kmgppggd350n77p@4ax.com>
Source record: 116020
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 14:14:52 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
>and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
>project would most likely be computer related.
>
>Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few.

You don't need the internet for that; practically any
butcher's shop will do. (Jewish and Muslim ones excepted).

73 de Jock.
--

If the Yank religious nuts believe in
intelligent creation, why George W. Bush?

# 1570. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 11:58:51 UTC
From: Frank <ve6cb@nospam.telus.net>
Message-ID: <%flLe.133849$wr.67700@clgrps12>
Source record: 116021
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ok John, most of my ham friends are in Southern CA, and some are mobile, so it is a heck of a struggle to copy them at times on 40 m. It would be nice to receive a good signal up here in Calgary. My antenna is just a low dipole, with 1.5kW, and they all seem to hear me just fine. Guess you mean Art Bell. Have not heard him (or Wayne Green -- is he in CA?) on 75, but have heard his splatter on 40m.

Our sked is kind of tentative, and sometimes nobody shows up. I am usually around from about 7PM Pacific time.

73,

Frank

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:pan.2005.08.13.02.31.37.689809@gmail.com...
> Sneaky Frank:
>
> I often listen to "Bell's Bunch" on 3.840, once in a great while--bore
> them with comment or two of mine (I make fun of AB and Wayne Greene--but
> kinda like 'em both. <grin>) I just punched ~7168 into memory of the rig
> and will take a peek...
>
> I think you can tell, I am an easy mark, if the conversation is
> provocative, interesting and civilized... maybe... that is hard to find
> today, yanno, good conversation...
>
> Thank you for the invitation, I am honored to be asked...
>
> John
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 01:58:12 +0000, Frank wrote:
>
>>> I hope-against-hope the good old days of radio will return, but, I would
>>> like it to do so on new technology... some of us which remember the old
>>> CB days (days when even, good, hams had cb rigs!--or, we made do with 10
>>> meter equip. <grin>) are on ch. 38-39 LSB (27.385, 27.395) in the
>>> central
>>> valley of calif, we are a stagnant number, be nice to have the company.
>>> Lot of mobiles so they escape the harassment of hams still waging the
>>> "old war." If you mention your call, be prepared to take some
>>> kidding...
>>>
>>> If you ever get an opportunity--come join us! Real CB still lives in

>>> isolated pockets!
>>>
>>> John
>>
>> You ever get on 40 m John? Often on Sunday evenings around 7168 +/-.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Frank
>>
>> PS I thought this was a Brit N.G.
>

# 1571. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 11:59:28 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <o2orf1lljalgk37o29a714kaejj0v7qf93@4ax.com>
Source record: 116022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:02:53 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>AOF:
>
>Yep tacky, and if caught, needs a "butt tanning." More than that?
>
>Naaaa...
>
>John
>

Maybe next time he will just piss on you....that should not be too
illegal and maybe only get him a butt tanning. I would just worry that
you might enjoy it.

# 1572. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 12:27:14 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ddkov2$qnf$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 116023

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1123872091.181317.216170
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!
>
> As of midnight EDT on 11 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
> Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:
>
> Total 1414
>
> Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 62
>
> Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 386 28.55%
> Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 783 57.91%
> Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 183 13.54%
>
>
> Notes:
>
> 1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
> or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
> polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
> do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
> scope and purpose of the NPRM, and one foreign citizen
> submission. It should be noted that at least two
> individuals (one for, the other against the NPRM) have
> been spotted making multiple entries over following
> days; all such multiple entries are considered
> duplicates and relegated to Indeterminate sub-total
> regardless of their opinion position.
>
> 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
> NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.
>
> 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
> the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
>
> 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
> test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
> eliminating the code test for other classes.
>
> Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
> the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
> midnight of 11 Aug 05 that would be 1352.

> 
> 11 August 2005 is the 17th day of submitted Comments. A notice
> about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
> the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 17 days of Comments
> will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and
> Order. Note: The Federal Register 2005 Contents page on the GPO
> website has NOT had any entry for 9 Aug 05 or later as of Noon,
> EDT, 12 Aug 05.
> 
> Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
> like it or not.
> 
> dah nit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> 
> 

Just one thing, Lennie. I am a foreign citizen myself. However, I am a US permenent resident and have a US ham licence (not only a US licence, mind you). My comments say nothing about my citizenship. I suggest that it isn't relevant, and it's the country of residence that is important. Of course, I can't vote in elections, but the FCC comment process is specifically open to anyone who cares to comment, even non-hams like you, LOL!

Voiding one comment because the person specifically said they were a foreign citizen doesn't make much sense, as there are in fact others from foreign citizens that simply don't say (at least one, i.e. me, but statistically there must be others).

In fact I suspect that out of 1414 comments it's statistically likely that there are some signed with bona fide US calls that are from foreign citizens who have never lived in the US. You know there is US VE testing in all the countries that have US bases, and it isn't just the military who take the tests, but plenty of locals too. You would have to look up everybody's address to find out who lives outside the US, and information on citizenship of hams simply doesn't exist, so there is no way to verify it.

73 de N3KIP

# 1573. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 12:41:28 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <YTlLe.3320$DV3.327@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 116024

> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 21:04:16 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >
> > The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now
they
> > just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
> > Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop
for
> > upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own
hardware
> > upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old
farts"
> > that you seem to despise so.
> >
> > I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email,
spreadsheets,
> > etc.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

BINGO! As Jim would say. With just a rough guess, I am surrounded by approx. 70 or so people that would know me well enough to be inclined to call for computer help. Of those, probably 50 percent are less than 35. Of those, none are really what I would call "intermediate" users of the computer. Some are above average (average being relative to the overall community of people I am exposed to concerning computer users), but certainly not self-sufficient on computer-ese.

I consider myself a very average computer user. I used to be right there with technology, keeping up, etc. But, it's been about 30 years and I am just wanting to get through each day on mine at work now...LOL At any rate, as you mention above, Dee, I am still their immediate computer consultant for my workgroup on spreadsheets, all the MS Office stuff, email, attaching documents, finding things on their machines, cruising our network, etc. I am happy to do it for them and I don't think of them with the disdain that some seem to. I don't measure one's value by their efficacy on a computer.

I got my husband started in the computer world about 10 years ago. He's way surpassed me now and I just ask him...LOL

Kim W5TIT

## 1574. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 12:51:30 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <lookin@u.org>
Message-ID: <11frrn0mj34s3b4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 116027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

perhaps you should ask Islamists?

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>
> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself possibly
> illegal, let alone a felony?
>

# 1575. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 12:54:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123937644.438657.180860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116025

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> I am a foreign citizen myself. However, I am a US
> permenent resident and have a US ham licence
> (not only a US licence, mind
> you). My comments say nothing about my citizenship.
> I suggest that it isn't
> relevant, and it's the country of residence that is important.

I disagree!

Citizenship and residence *are* relevant/important, Alun. Neither
is a prerequisite for commenting, of course, but they *are*
relevant facts.

> Of course, I can't vote in elections, but the FCC comment
> process is specifically open
> to anyone who cares to comment, even non-hams like you, LOL!

It's interesting to note that nowhere in Len's comments does he mention
that he is not a licensed radio amateur, never has been, nor does he
intend to become one if the rules change. Yet he seeks to have FCC
disregard the comments of those already licensed, as if rules changes
will not affect them.

Most US elections are decided by who gets the most votes. The
comment process isn't like that at all. There's no requirement
that the majority of comments, or commenters, get what they
want. That was clearly demonstrated with 98-143 and again with
BPL.

Anyone with interest is welcome to comment. There's no requirement
of licensure, residency, citizenship, age, etc. In fact a comment
doesn't have to be from an individual - organizations like clubs,
manufacturers, etc., can comment as well.

There's also no universal FCC validation that a comment is actually
from the person who claims to have sent it in.
>
> Voiding one comment because the person specifically
> said they were a
> foreign citizen doesn't make much sense, as there
> are in fact others from
> foreign citizens that simply don't say (at least one,
> i.e. me, but
> statistically there must be others).

There are quite a few FCC licenses issued to noncitizens who are also nonresidents.

I see no reason to invalidate someone's comments because they are not a citizen, or not a licensed amateur.

> In fact I suspect that out of 1414 comments it's statistically > likely that
> there are some signed with bona fide US calls that are from
> foreign
> citizens who have never lived in the US. You know there is US
> VE testing in
> all the countries that have US bases, and it isn't just the
> military who
> take the tests, but plenty of locals too. You would have to
> look up
> everybody's address to find out who lives outside the US, and
> information
> on citizenship of hams simply doesn't exist, so there is no way > to verify it.

Not only that, but some nonresident noncitizen FCC-licensed amateurs use a US mailing address, so even if you did all the checking, a nonresident noncitizen could be missed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1576. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 12:57:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rfGdnZGtvOG0c2DfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116026
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Highland Ham" <abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com> wrote in message
news:lMedncwqge_FUmDfRVnytg@pipex.net...
>> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now
>> they just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in
>> programming. Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers
>> to the shop for upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing
>> their own hardware upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle
>> aged and the "old farts" that you seem to despise so.
> ==========
> From the above comments it is clear that the writer has no idea what's
> going on in the Linux World ; with its many volunteer developers /testers
> , many being from the younger generation . Ever been to a Linux or
> Robotics or Hackers Fest ?
>
> Frank GM0CSZ / KN6WH
>

A. They don't have those fests here

B. Didn't say no one is doing these things just that their heyday has come
and gone.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1577. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 13:29:56 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <cbtrf1179o7nbcbmga4323tbur2naccjna@4ax.com>
Source record: 116028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 13 Aug 2005 01:38:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>

> "speech"
>
> "desecration"
>
Desecration is a thought process that may be verbally expressed or
shown by other non-destructive demonstrative action.

I saw no ''No Trespassing'' nor ''No Step'' signs on that bastard's
big slab.

# 1578. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 13:31:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123939879.549112.214700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116029

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Those who heard this transmission would no doubt think that things were
> so so.
>
> Dave K8MN

That just might match your amateur ethics (so so).

Would you respond to such a signal or not?

# 1579. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 13:37:41 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <mqtrf1d4m9447dkiqau8vaggo52sg0lup1@4ax.com>
Source record: 116030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 12 Aug 2005 21:17:35 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>
>right wind lunacy zapped into the cornfield

Sorry all you Fascistas. Looks like you need to intend to damage
something for ''desecration'' to be a crime:

S 145.22 Cemetery desecration in the second degree.
A person is guilty of cemetery desecration in the second degree when
with intent to damage property of another person, and having no right
to
do so nor any reasonable ground to believe that he has such right, he
damages any real or personal property maintained as a cemetery plot,
grave, burial place or other place of interment of human remains.
Cemetery desecration in the second degree is a class A misdemeanor.

S 145.23 Cemetery desecration in the first degree.
A person is guilty of cemetery desecration in the first degree when
with intent to damage property of another person, and having no right
to
do so nor any reasonable ground to believe that he has such right, he:
(a) damages any real or personal property maintained as a cemetery
plot, grave, burial place or other place of interment of human remains
in an amount exceeding two hundred fifty dollars; or
(b) commits the crime of cemetery desecration in the second degree
as
defined in section 145.22 of this article and has been previously
convicted of the crime of cemetery desecration in the second degree
within the preceding five years.
Cemetery desecration in the first degree is a class E felony.

# 1580. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits runs even further from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 13:43:25 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <G9ODY7QV38577.405150463@anonymous>
Source record: 116031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddjon0$o9q$0@pita.alt.net>
"Binary" aka Lardass Lloydie <GrannyGal@no> whined:
>
> The reality is that Wogie is lying again, as usual.

Hi Lardass! How do you feel everytime the movie bio about *YOU*
comes on the TV - The 40 year old VIRGIN? BWHAHAHAHA
> Wogie *has* no photos of Stagger Lee.

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

> On the other hand, the photo that Stagger posted IS Roger.

No,it isn't.

> How many times have you had the photo taken down, Wogie? Two?
Three? Four?

How many times have you tried to have Roger TOSed, netKKKop?

> There are lots more where that came from.
> ..
> Roger talks tough.

So do you, but you never showed at Dalton hamfest and hid behind
a door when Oris came by your HUD apartment in the ghetto, Porky
Davies.

> He doesn't know the meaning of fear, but then again he
> doesn't know the meaning of most words.

Plagiarizing from Roger again, Lardass Lloydie?

Saggytits Lee's full face headshot:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated by the CIA?"

Saggytits Lee fucks up again and thinks Roger Wiseman has "long
hair" in
<st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"Long hair like yours"

Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
Moron
You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1581. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits is terrified of his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 14:00:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <3cf71ac1d992495175dd08296889752a@dizum.com>
Source record: 116032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <woger.wemoves.his.third.photo@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee Secret Brian P.Burke <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
whined:

> [nothing important]

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
Moron
You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

# 1582. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 14:03:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <45nLe.5358$WD.234@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116033

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

>> You're joking, of course. You can't even get an >approximate< measure of
>> your
>> receiver sensitivity/noise floor from that test. Suppose, for example, that
>> an
>> active electrical storm is brewing and you're tuned to a 40M QRG. All but
>> the
>> most stone-deaf receivers will give you some room-filling background noise
>> which
>> will disappear when the antenna is removed.

> Isn't that just a tad on the "Captain Obvious" side Hans?

Apparently not to Jim.

Chirp chirp
de Hans, K0HB

# 1583. Drunk deviant Mark Capt Morgan makes an ass of himself, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 14:20:47 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050813142047.5EC97170B0@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 116034

In article
<1123787631.370308.134340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> K=D8HB wrote:

Marky, you got Hans' call wrong, dumbass!

> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was
established.
> > >
> >
> > Here's the short answer.
> >
> > Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own<
station within the =
> bounds
> > of the regulations of his country.
>
> which includes not comuncating with those not allowed on the
band

You are stupid, aren't you, Marky? Sieg Heil can't deviate from
his OWN band restrictions, but foreign countries have their own
band restrictions. Heil (or any other licensee) is responsible
for proper operation of their OWN stations. Not anybody else's.

> Once Dave knows they are not allowed he isn't allowed to talk
to them

That would be hard to prove in a courtroom, drunkard.

> (that is the rules, myself Id bend them to point of telling
they are of
> band and if they want to know what frequency they are on, a
few things
> like that)

So what you're saying is that you'd break the rules yourself.

> >
> > The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of
>their own< s=

> tations
> > within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
> >
> > Their is no reciprocal responsibility.
>
> no one said there was, we are talking about aiding and abeting
aonther
> int he comission of a crime

No, illiterate drunken deviant, we aren't.

# 1584. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 14:34:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.14.34.14.342381@gmail.com>
Source record: 116035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Christy:

Are you some nutter on meds too? Are you none a secret agent working for usenet? My gawd, is there not an end to threatening crazies!

Get a life...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 10:19:45 +0000, Christy D wrote:

> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 05:56:20 GMT, Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal
>>
>> It's illegal, and it's called "desecration of a venerated object". Google
>> the phrase. Laws vary from state to state, of course, but my guess is that
>> if you find a state without such a statute, it would be an exception to the
>> rule. I'm betting they all have similar codes.
>
> They do, and in some cases the desecration is a felony.
>
> Unfortunately, many of the posters here "know" so much that isn't
> true. For example, several of them believe that harassment by means
> of Usenet isn't a serious matter. That kind of ignorance is what has
> already led to the arrest and two month incarceration of two former
> posters from the Atlanta area (they now no longer post on Usenet at
> all).
>
> False assumptions about the law can lead to serious personal
> difficulties. Be very, very careful.

# 1585. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 14:35:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.14.35.49.209236@gmail.com>
Source record: 116036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Beer Guzzler:

Another kook which can't see a person as of more worth than a physical object or property. My gawd man, take your medications!

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:59:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:02:53 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>AOF:
>>
>>Yep tacky, and if caught, needs a "butt tanning." More than that?
>>
>>Naaaa...
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> Maybe next time he will just piss on you....that should not be too
> illegal and maybe only get him a butt tanning. I would just worry that
> you might enjoy it.

# 1586. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 14:51:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.14.51.08.513809@gmail.com>
Source record: 115922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N2EY:

Oh no, you are one who thinks an ex-president is more entitled to
rights, protections or laws than any other citizen?

The Egyptians used to think their rulers were Gods, you are one step
closer to that assumption... a very false one... no matter how well they
preserved those mummies--not a one has ever come back to life. Why would
a president be any more important than any other public servant employed
by the people?

I tell you, if they dug up regans' corpse, and were able to reanimate it,
it would have so many peoples lips glued to its bottom--it couldn't even
walk!

What is a scary thing, some of these people think they have the sense to
comment on policy and laws!

Besides, I don't think regan even got wet... making the kid clean the
cement with a toothbrush and bleach would be a fitting punishment...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 03:29:17 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> David wrote:
>>
>> How is treating something with disrespect in and of itself
>> possibly illegal, let alone a felony?
>
> Good question!
>
> (insert standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)
>
> Several ways:
>
> Trespassing - Whether it's public or private property,
> a person's mere presence can be a crime
>
> Improper Behavior - I don't know the legal terms, but in
> almost any situation there's an expressed or implied
> contract of behavior as a condition of admission. For
> example, if you go into a supermarket and rearrange the
> shelves against the owner's wishes, you can be prosecuted, even if you
> don't damage anything at all.
> And that's minor compared to showing disrespect to a gravesite.

>
> Then there are all sorts of special rules about gravesites,
> current and former presidents, their families, etc.
>
> It's not protected speech/expression at all. Might even come under the
> heading of "hate speech".
>
> Now if a person were to speak about *wanting to* do the things
> pictured, that would probably be protected speech. Or if they
> constructed a replica of the gravesites and carried on the
> way they did, they might be protected.
>
> Just IMO. The guy's a real dummy, if for no other reason than
> that he showed his face.
>
> And while I have very little (if any) regard for either of those
> former presidents, does that guy think anyone will change their
> mind about them because of his antics?
>
> 73 de Jim N2EY

# 1587. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 14:57:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.14.57.25.193731@gmail.com>
Source record: 116037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David:

Let's face it, burning a dummy in effigy would have been very fitting (the dummy part especially!) Not only was regan a b-grade actor, he couldn't even act the part of president well enough to fool a large number of people--in many ways it still was a b-grade movie.

Urinating on the grave is not the best method to make the statement that regan was an idiot, and a large segment of americans idiots for letting the man finish his presidency without impeachment.

In California we still are attempting to cope with all the mentally ill he threw out of asylums and onto the streets (looks like some made it here to usenet!) His attacks on the flight controllers union still is having a devastating effect on wage earners... the list goes on and on...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:29:56 +0000, David wrote:

> On 13 Aug 2005 01:38:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>
>> "speech"
>>
>> "desecration"
>>
> Desecration is a thought process that may be verbally expressed or
> shown by other non-destructive demonstrative action.
>
> I saw no ''No Trespassing'' nor ''No Step'' signs on that bastard's
> big slab.

# 1588. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 15:04:20 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ct2sf1lfmjtiisjvgv3dbsnftfli8q31uq@4ax.com>
Source record: 116038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:57:27 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>David:
>
>Let's face it, burning a dummy in effigy would have been very fitting (the
>dummy part especially!) Not only was regan a b-grade actor, he couldn't
>even act the part of president well enough to fool a large number of
>people--in many ways it still was a b-grade movie.
>
>Urinating on the grave is not the best method to make the statement that
>regan was an idiot, and a large segment of americans idiots for letting
>the man finish his presidency without impeachment.
>
>In California we still are attempting to cope with all the mentally ill he
>threw out of asylums and onto the streets (looks like some made it here to
>usenet!) His attacks on the flight controllers union still is having a
>devastating effect on wage earners... the list goes on and on...
>
>John

The urinating was on the grass by Nixon's grave.

Reagan set the wheels in motion to destroy the United States. We
peaked in the '70s.

# 1589. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 15:10:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.15.10.36.888890@gmail.com>
Source record: 116047

Kim:

I am inclined to agree with you, and yes, it is a shame companies are sometimes forced to take inferior employees because they fail to meet the pay scale the most capable demand. There have been moves to go that way in my area of employment--however, this seems to becoming a moot point as design and production slips off shore. With less and less people paying into SSI, or paying less into SSI because of slipping salaries, jobs disappearing--wonder if the oldsters ever sweat losing their benefits? There may not be any by the time we get there or our children, a crime really.

However, we should be careful, or we will look like this self-serving bunch here who thinks themselves very special just because they possess a hobby license and have their picture taken in front of a radio. I shudder to think of how I would look walking around draped in the clothing of false vanity...

Other skills besides computers are very valuable...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 12:41:28 +0000, Kim wrote:

>> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 21:04:16 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now
> they
>> > just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
>> > Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop
> for
>> > upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own
> hardware
>> > upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old
> farts"
>> > that you seem to despise so.
>> >
>> > I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email,
> spreadsheets,
>> > etc.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> BINGO! As Jim would say. With just a rough guess, I am surrounded by

> approx. 70 or so people that would know me well enough to be inclined to
> call for computer help. Of those, probably 50 percent are less than 35. Of
> those, none are really what I would call "intermediate" users of the
> computer. Some are above average (average being relative to the overall
> community of people I am exposed to concerning computer users), but
> certainly not self-sufficient on computer-ese.
>
> I consider myself a very average computer user. I used to be right there
> with technology, keeping up, etc. But, it's been about 30 years and I am
> just wanting to get through each day on mine at work now...LOL At any rate,
> as you mention above, Dee, I am still their immediate computer consultant
> for my workgroup on spreadsheets, all the MS Office stuff, email, attaching
> documents, finding things on their machines, cruising our network, etc. I
> am happy to do it for them and I don't think of them with the disdain that
> some seem to. I don't measure one's value by their efficacy on a computer.
>
> I got my husband started in the computer world about 10 years ago. He's way
> surpassed me now and I just ask him...LOL
>
> Kim W5TIT

# 1590. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 15:48:13 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <tb5sf115jpqi6ho5lg81o3mapn5mu85cjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 116039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

So you do like to be pissed on!

It has nothing to do with the man. It has everything to do with
pissing on anyone's grave. You seem to condone it. You and David are
from the same mould. Maybe you guys could just piss on each other.
I'll bet it would be your first bath in months.

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:35:50 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Beer Guzzler:
>
>Another kook which can't see a person as of more worth than a physical
>object or property. My gawd man, take your medications!
>
>John

>
>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:59:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:02:53 -0700, John Smith
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>AOF:
>>>
>>>Yep tacky, and if caught, needs a "butt tanning." More than that?
>>>
>>>Naaaa...
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>
>>
>> Maybe next time he will just piss on you....that should not be too
>> illegal and maybe only get him a butt tanning. I would just worry that
>> you might enjoy it.

# 1591. Re: K1man-loser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 15:54:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123948493.488672.124460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116040

John Johnston wrote:
> Found what looks like a Blog about Fat ******* up in Maine. Unlike
> N9OGL, this dude can spell and he doesn't lace his info with language
> he picked up at the gay bath house.
>
> http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/
>
>
> --
> John Johnston

Question: What are some of the allegations in the Petition?

Answer: Here are a few of the various allegations:

+ Baxter used Rockwell Collins Marks and Logo without permission.

not an FCC matter

+ Baxter uses Walter Cronkite's Voice ID without authorization.

not an FCC matter

+ Baxter interfered with Health and Welfare traffic.

Is an FCC matter

+ Baxter was fired as an Engineer because he made threats.

Not an FCC matter

+ Baxter uses his radio station to threaten others

An FCC matter

+ Baxter fails to respond to FCC directives.

an FCC matter

+ Baxter threatens other Hams.

on or off the air?? if on the air An FCC matter

+ Baxter wilfully interferes with other peoples communications.

An FCC matter

+ Baxter advertises his business over Ham radio.

Questionable, but an FCC matter

+ Baxter interfered with 80 Boy Scouts during their Jamboree On the Air.

An FCC matter

+ Baxter broadcasts over amateur radio.

Very Qestionable, an FCC matter

+ Baxter uses his radio so as to injure others.

In what way???

+ Baxter has used his station with a pecuniary interest.

you Just repeated this one

+ Baxter has demonstrated a lack of requisite character qualifications.

An FCC Matter, and the US Court of Appeals

Todd N9OGL

# 1592. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:09:41 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2p6sf19g28ompn2geka5rjcs22ms96gof9@4ax.com>
Source record: 116041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 11:48:13 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:

>So you do like to be pissed on!
>
>It has nothing to do with the man. It has everything to do with
>pissing on anyone's grave. You seem to condone it. You and David are
>from the same mould. Maybe you guys could just piss on each other.
>I'll bet it would be your first bath in months.
>
>
It's a dead thing buried in dirt. Lighten up.

## 1593. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:09:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123949388.595241.323710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Christy D wrote:
> the Land of the Loonies <tm> - California - and his
> comments show it. He lives in the land of O.J. Simpson,
> Robert Blake, and of Michael Jackson - a land where it's open
> season on spouses and children.

It's also where Nixon and Reagan came from....

# 1594. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:11:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.16.11.46.233310@gmail.com>
Source record: 116048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Chirsty:

yeah, yeah, and anyone who doesn't agree with your over-reactive,
disciplinarian solutions to the whole of life or recognize the extreme
terrorist threat of urine on a public construction is a mental midget...

Get a real life, be concerned with reality... a shrink may be able to
help you overcome your handicaps...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 16:05:29 +0000, Christy D wrote:

> John speaks from the Land of the Loonies <tm> - California - and his
> comments show it. He lives in the land of O.J. Simpson, Robert Blake,
> and of Michael Jackson - a land where it's open season on spouses and
> children.
>
> Chances are excellent that John has no idea how rational human beings
> behave and legislate. Better stay right where you are, John.
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:34:16 -0700, John Smith <CA Loony> wrote:
>> Christy:
>>
>> Are you some nutter on meds too? Are you none a secret agent working for
>> usenet? My gawd, is there not an end to threatening crazies!
>>
>> Get a life...
>>
>> John

## 1595. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:15:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N0pLe.5376$WD.1153@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> It's also where Nixon and Reagan came from....
>

If memory serves, Reagan was raised in Illinois or Indiana.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 1596. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:17:22 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <m2pLe.4928$Al5.2470@trnddc04>
Source record: 116044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.13.06.01.22.108380@gmail.com...
> Honus:
>
> Perhaps you missed my earlier post. I acknowledged it was a "no-no."

Perhaps you missed the part that shows I was commenting on what
"an_old_friend" said. Here it is:

> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal

He doesn't seem to think it's a no-no. I disagree.

# 1597. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:17:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.16.17.38.36962@gmail.com>
Source record: 116049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel:

yeah, yeah, I have that real failing, not very concerned about urine on my grave after I am done with this world. If I ever make it back here (slim chance) it will be by reincarnation--the way that works--you get a new body... this old body will need to be used to feed the trees and other creatures of this planet--it is the natural way of things... what becomes of this body when my use has expired is just not a concern of mine, you would think most would have better things to worry about.

I guess if you are confined to an institution making paintings, weaving rugs and making bead necklaces your world is so small one can be concerned over such, I can't.

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 11:48:13 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

> So you do like to be pissed on!
>
> It has nothing to do with the man. It has everything to do with
> pissing on anyone's grave. You seem to condone it. You and David are
> from the same mould. Maybe you guys could just piss on each other.
> I'll bet it would be your first bath in months.
>
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:35:50 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Beer Guzzler:
>>
>>Another kook which can't see a person as of more worth than a physical
>>object or property. My gawd man, take your medications!
>>
>>John
>
>
>>
>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:59:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:02:53 -0700, John Smith
>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>AOF:
>>>>

>>>>Yep tacky, and if caught, needs a "butt tanning." More than that?
>>>>
>>>>Naaaa...
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Maybe next time he will just piss on you....that should not be too
>>> illegal and maybe only get him a butt tanning. I would just worry that
>>> you might enjoy it.

# 1598. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:18:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <d97sf1pp6od2o1c9m8nh0lrqharfnbtu40@4ax.com>
Source record: 116045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 16:15:41 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> It's also where Nixon and Reagan came from....
>>
>
>If memory serves, Reagan was raised in Illinois or Indiana.
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
Bob Dornan, Wally George, Joe Pyne, Larry Elder, Michael Weiner,
Limbaugh.

## 1599. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:21:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H6pLe.5379$WD.1755@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote

> Bob Dornan, Wally George, Joe Pyne, Larry Elder, Michael Weiner,
> Limbaugh.

You wanna pee on them too?

## 1600. Put up or shit up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:36:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123950969.347374.203700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115900

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > or in the more likely verision has been caught in another whopper
> >
> > Stevie claims it is his public duty to save the NG and the World from
> > asomeone that he claims is a"danger to themselves and others" and yet
> > he refuses to spend the effort of making the one phone call it would
> > take to save the nation from this grave peril.
>
> Oh?
>
> Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???

last week

>
> Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???

you did

Put up or shut up

>
> > The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
> >
> > 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
>
> I am sure you think so. I am sure it allows you to sleep a bit
> better at night. It's not true, but then truth is not your strong
> suit.

put up or shut up
>
> > 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
>
> How can I lie about it? I don't know what a "condiction" is...

put up or shut up
>
> > 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
>
> Nope and nope.

put up or shut up
>
> > 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> > headline of this peice is absolutely true

>
> It won't be for me to determine.

you have already unless you are lying ..again
>
> > I'll report you time will decide
>
> I wonder what that meant in English...???

put up or shut up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1601. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:38:02 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <HlpLe.3845$Gx1.1551@fe11.lga>
Source record: 115901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> all of it was at best true in some places

???? huh???

## 1602. asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:43:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123951381.710491.205240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115902

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > > I accept Daves silence on that subject
> > >
> > > "Silence" is not an answer.
> >
> > Silcence is consent has been a matter of Law since before the first
> > englishman set foot on these shores
>
> Guess what?

BUZZZ
>
> Silence is only silence. It is not a statement of law.

you just don't know law, another lie on your part
>
> And this is not England, the use of the English language
> notwithstanding.

But all amercian law (except for Lousaina) is desended from English
usages
>
> > > > > > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > asked and answered
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Another Morgan lie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You have NOT answered the question.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure have
> > > >
> > > > No, Markie...You haven't.
> >
> > asked and answered
>
> Evaded and ignored. By you.
>
> > > > > > QUOTE THE REGULATION.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Otherwise you're just saying "cuz I sed so".

> > > >
> > > > Because the LAW says so
> > >
> > > Then CITE the law.
> >
> > English Comon Law
>
> Hot Flash, Hot Rod...we ain't in England.

but we are under English Common Law

>
> (And it's "Common")
>
> You STILL have not provided a germane reference.

done you just refuse to acept it

>
> > > You have F A I L E D to do so!
> >
> > just did again
>
> That's right...You F A I L E D to do so!
>
> > > > you just will not face the answer
> > >
> > > W H A T A N S W E R ? ? ? ?
> >
> > English comon provides that ading abd beting a crime is itself a crime
>
> But YOU have NOT proven where ANY law that Dave may have been
> liable for was broken.

Of curse I Haven't dave already did that, but for your stupiity I will
repaet, aggain

the Other hams broke the law by transmiting out of band

Dave then aided their operatiiion

>
> > > You've not provided one.
> > >
> > > CITE A PARAGRAPH OF PERTINENT REGULATIONS, MARKIE!
> >
> > that is a matter of Law not regs
>
> The "regs" ARE the law.

nope they are inplitaion of law
>
> Ask Phil Kane.

>
> > > Hot flash, LiarBoy! If it's not codified in Part 97 or applicable
> > > foreign regulation that the operator is responsible for, IT'S NOT
> > > APPLICABLE
> >
> > BUZZZZ
> >
> > wrong answer
> >
> > English comon law applies in the US and in all former britsh Colonies,
> > etc India I believe
>
> BUZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
>
> NO "English comon law" applies in the United States!

simply wrong

>
> O N L Y those laws codified in the CFR's or applicable State laws
> ARE laws!!!
>
> And Part 97 IS under Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
>
> "English comon law" is not!

sure is it lies at the basis of law

>
> > > > > YOU have NOT done that.
> > > >
> > > > becuase it is not relavant
> > >
> > > PROVING that your assertion about RADIO REGULATIONS is not
> > > "relavant" to the discussion...?!?!
> > >
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHA
> > >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > > More governs Radio than just the regs of the FCC, the law also does
> > >
> > > In the United States, the FCC >>>M A K E S<<< the law, ya
> > > blithering idiot! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > BUZZZZ
> >
> > wrong answer

> >
> > The FCC never makes law
> >
> > The Congress make LAW
>
> BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Then since hte FCC doesn't "make any law", just go ahead and
> ignore Part 97 when you operate your radio station again...

more stevie stupidity

Regs have to be obeyed or suceefully chalanced as not meeting the terms
of the law they impliment

>
> Then you get to explain yourself in front of an FCC
> "Administrative LAW Judge"
>
> > > Sheeeesh! I bet Brain, Toad and Lennie sure are glad to have a
> > > genius like you on their "side"... ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Just when I think it's not possible to look any more stupid than
> > > what you do, you manage to go the extra mile, Markie! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Put up or shut up
>
> It's already up there, Boyo!

Nope put or shut up

on your claims to be able to prove mental illiness

Coward

Bully

## 1603. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:43:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123951427.230575.165070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

put up or shut up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1604. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:44:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123951440.560531.159920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

put up or shut up

coward
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1605. Re: Stevie, Coward and Bully, put up or shut up, still waiting Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:44:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123951484.560725.209990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115905

an old friend wrote:
> still waiting for Stvie to put up or shut up
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Stevie for years now, I am the rest of the Newsgrups has endured your
> > abuse, your threats and your lies
> >
> > You ahve accused me and crime and threatened with Murder arest and
> > comitment to a mental inistitution, claiming the porfessional
> > credentcial to make the judgement
> >
> > I am not seeking to elecit yet another threat. I seeking your action.
> >
> > Put up or shut up, My preference is of course that you shut up your
> > phycobabble, and you lies but it is your choice
> >
> > you have stated that I am a danger to myself and others, If that were a
> > true statement I should locked up by the authorities, you know that.
> > You also obviously Know it is not true, that you are lying when you say
> > it is true
> >
> > I am calling your bluff
> >
> > Coward
> > Bully
> >
> > those are the names properly aplied to you
> >
> > put up or shut up

# 1606. Re: Mark Morgan Making Up "Laws" As He Goes..Proven A Liar Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:47:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123951662.671775.320920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115906

> In the United States, the FCC >>>M A K E S<<< the law, ya
>blithering idiot! ! ! ! ! ! !

yes and no, the FCC can make laws and the public can comment on those laws through Purposals for rulemaking. A person can also file a purposal for rulemaking and the congress can also make laws which the FCC must enforce.

Todd N9OGL

# 1607. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:48:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123951708.693693.217280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115907

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
> > > > >
> > > > > amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
> > > > > trouble for doing
> > > >
> > > > Oh?
> > > >
> > > > You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
> > > > Markie?
> > > >
> > > > THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...
> > > >
> > > > Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
> > > > on ANY Amateur allocation.
> > >
> > > Hey Markie...
> > >
> > > Any reason you left THIS post unmolested...?!?!
> >
> > of course
> >
> > I missed it in all you ####
> >
> > but it of course is mostly bull####
>
> It's nothing of the sort.

it sure is bullshit
>
> > since the issue is not broadcasting but you claiming the right to
> > contact other other and "inform them" they are comiting a federal
> > crime, that landed Baxtae in hot water
>
> Again, you are misinformed.

nope you are not reading

>
> Read the NAL, Markie.
>
> THAT'S what he's in trouble for.
>
> > and you are claiming the right to contact people tell them they are
> > comitting a crime, and claiming the right to contact people PERenat and
> > tell them they are comiting a federal crime by allowing thier sons to
> > transmit
>
> You're more confused than I thought.
>
> You've got Toiddie's profanities, threats and other ONLINE
> misbehaviour confused with Baxter's ON-THE-AIR high jinx, antics which
> have now endangered his furture license status, not to mention possible
> financial viability.

Liar again

You have threaten to contact Todd perant and tell them their son in
violating federal law

one the thing that has people not happey with Baxter
>
> > and you deny that you are threatening Todd, you lying sack of #####
>
> Markie...Watch those "anger issues", boyo.

why?

if you have any prove of your claims of mental illiness take to the
proper authorites

Put up or shut up

>
> > > Any reason you can't explain to me the rationale for your last set
> > > of answers? You've made others since.
> >
> > just missed it that is Usenet for you
>
> No...That was Markie not wanting to answer embarrassing questions.

no just missed it in you flood of shit

>
> > as I said before it is NOT rational for you to expect I am going to see
> > your post in the order you make them, and here in this thread you made
> > so many so close together I simply missed one
> >
> > so sorry
>
> Yes. You are.

put up or shut up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1608. Re: Glenn A. Baxter K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:48:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123951720.872510.281040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115908

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Glenn Baxter is ruining Glenn Baxter's life. No one else.
> > > > >
> > > > > amusing that you claim that You may freely do what K1MAN is in some
> > > > > trouble for doing
> > > >
> > > > Oh?
> > > >
> > > > You've seen my name on an NAL for numerous violations of Part 97,
> > > > Markie?
> > > >
> > > > THAT'S what K1MAN is in trouble for...
> > > >
> > > > Unless someone bootlegs my call, you'll not hear K4YZ broadcasting
> > > > on ANY Amateur allocation.
> > >
> > > Hey Markie...
> > >
> > > Any reason you left THIS post unmolested...?!?!
> >
> > of course
> >
> > I missed it in all you ####
> >
> > but it of course is mostly bull####
>
> It's nothing of the sort.

it sure is bullshit
>
> > since the issue is not broadcasting but you claiming the right to
> > contact other other and "inform them" they are comiting a federal
> > crime, that landed Baxtae in hot water
>
> Again, you are misinformed.

nope you are not reading

>
> Read the NAL, Markie.
>
> THAT'S what he's in trouble for.
>
> > and you are claiming the right to contact people tell them they are
> > comitting a crime, and claiming the right to contact people PERenat and
> > tell them they are comiting a federal crime by allowing thier sons to
> > transmit
>
> You're more confused than I thought.
>
> You've got Toiddie's profanities, threats and other ONLINE
> misbehaviour confused with Baxter's ON-THE-AIR high jinx, antics which
> have now endangered his furture license status, not to mention possible
> financial viability.

Liar again

You have threaten to contact Todd perant and tell them their son in
violating federal law

one the thing that has people not happey with Baxter
>
> > and you deny that you are threatening Todd, you lying sack of #####
>
> Markie...Watch those "anger issues", boyo.

why?

if you have any prove of your claims of mental illiness take to the
proper authorites

Put up or shut up

>
> > > Any reason you can't explain to me the rationale for your last set
> > > of answers? You've made others since.
> >
> > just missed it that is Usenet for you
>
> No...That was Markie not wanting to answer embarrassing questions.

no just missed it in you flood of shit

>
> > as I said before it is NOT rational for you to expect I am going to see
> > your post in the order you make them, and here in this thread you made
> > so many so close together I simply missed one
> >
> > so sorry
>
> Yes. You are.

put up or shut up

coward
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1609. put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:50:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123951858.485037.206020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115909

go ahead Sevie hide

but put up or shut up

# 1610. Re: Markie: Why Do You Avoid Providing a Pertinent Reference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:52:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123951940.955307.320120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115910

There's the Code of the federal regulation and there's the US Code both
the FCC and those it license must follow.

Todd N9OGL

# 1611. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:52:40 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <239sf1dbangfi8rke6fmteos88csj44r5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 115911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
"fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
he just does not get it.

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 09:17:40 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Bierbarrel:
>
>yeah, yeah, I have that real failing, not very concerned about urine on my
>grave after I am done with this world. If I ever make it back here
>(slim chance) it will be by reincarnation--the way that works--you get a
>new body... this old body will need to be used to feed the trees and
>other creatures of this planet--it is the natural way of things... what
>becomes of this body when my use has expired is just not a concern of
>mine, you would think most would have better things to worry about.
>
>I guess if you are confined to an institution making paintings, weaving
>rugs and making bead necklaces your world is so small one can be concerned
>over such, I can't.
>
>John
>
>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 11:48:13 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>> So you do like to be pissed on!
>>
>> It has nothing to do with the man. It has everything to do with
>> pissing on anyone's grave. You seem to condone it. You and David are
>> from the same mould. Maybe you guys could just piss on each other.
>> I'll bet it would be your first bath in months.
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:35:50 -0700, John Smith
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Beer Guzzler:
>>>
>>>Another kook which can't see a person as of more worth than a physical
>>>object or property. My gawd man, take your medications!

>>>
>>>John
>>
>>
>>>
>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:59:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:02:53 -0700, John Smith
>>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>AOF:
>>>>>
>>>>>Yep tacky, and if caught, needs a "butt tanning." More than that?
>>>>>
>>>>>Naaaa...
>>>>>
>>>>>John
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Maybe next time he will just piss on you....that should not be too
>>>> illegal and maybe only get him a butt tanning. I would just worry that
>>>> you might enjoy it.

# 1612. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:53:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123952013.816744.95390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115912

b.b. wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> > > despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> > > disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> > > would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> > > for anything?
> >
> > Good question, Steve!
> >
> > Why do you?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Now Jim/N2EY has a problem "wasting time" with handicapped people.

No Jim is making a fair point, for once pointing Stevie faults

Ie Since Stevie claims my posts are not worth responding Jim askss why
he does.

That is a fair question and I conmend him for it

Now if Stevie would just have the courage to answer

or follow through

# 1613. day 3 of Stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 16:59:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123952378.218880.225520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115913

in the saga of Stevie the coward
quote

Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???

Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???

unquote

we have him weaseling back and forth like the bug he is

have you or haven't put up or shut up?

When are you going to put or shut up?

The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following

1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc

2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"

3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully

4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
headline of this peice is absolutely true

I list all the possibities and Stevie just goes on with bluff and
bluster

BTW I admit to the Stevie charge of Flaming him here, but if Stevie has
not been lying one or two phones call stop that

we shall see

# 1614. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:00:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123952458.564543.282290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115914

an_old_friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> > > > despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> > > > disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> > > > would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> > > > for anything?
> > >
> > > Good question, Steve!
> > >
> > > Why do you?
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Now Jim/N2EY has a problem "wasting time" with handicapped people.
>
> No Jim is making a fair point, for once pointing Stevie faults
>
> Ie Since Stevie claims my posts are not worth responding Jim askss why
> he does.
>
> That is a fair question and I conmend him for it
>
>
> Now if Stevie would just have the courage to answer
>
> or follow through

Silence is an answer

## 1615. matters of laws and regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:03:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123952613.200495.12900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115916

N9OGL wrote:
> There's the Code of the federal regulation and there's the US Code both
> the FCC and those it license must follow.

right and the status of the 2 is regs are undr laws which are both
under the constitution (the later including Treaties)

Now if Stevie would understand this he get along a lot better
>
> Todd N9OGL

# 1616. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:04:31 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <hv9sf15ffa7unlhidnmi90a3vc2qbka0bs@4ax.com>
Source record: 115915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 12:52:40 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:

>
>You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
>the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
>someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
>"fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
>deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
>understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
>he just does not get it.
>

Nixon said ''fuck you'' to every American. What's wrong with
returning the gesture?

## 1617. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:05:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123952711.592706.116960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jim wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>
> >
> > all of it was at best true in some places
>
>
> ???? huh???
if you are going to cut down to single out of 40 or so don't expect to
understand it

Stevie made charges and claims there were true everywhere

they were not showed in other parts of the post you hacked apart that
this was so

# 1618. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:07:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123952860.816561.215340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel wrote:
> You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
> the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
> someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
> "fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
> deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
> understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
> he just does not get it.
>
>
>
gee been looking forward to KY4Z's SK notice than my next trip through
Tennessee

and yes I think John understands I certainly do David was telling
Reagun and Nixon to "fuck you" he can't do it thier faces anymore

# 1619. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:12:04 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <lbasf1ho529mbsub9aubtchafaujv9kgnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 115919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or grandparents graves if you ever had any.

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:04:31 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 12:52:40 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>
>>
>>You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
>>the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
>>someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
>>"fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
>>deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
>>understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
>>he just does not get it.
>>
>
>Nixon said ''fuck you'' to every American. What's wrong with
>returning the gesture?

# 1620. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:13:36 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1TpLe.3854$Gx1.1192@fe11.lga>
Source record: 115920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

> jim wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>all of it was at best true in some places
>>
>>
>>???? huh???
>
> if you are going to cut down to single out of 40 or so don't expect to
> understand it
>
> Stevie made charges and claims there were true everywhere
>
> they were not showed in other parts of the post you hacked apart that
> this was so
>
there were three lines you posted not 40 and the one i quoted doesn't
make sense. even the last sentence you just posted butchers the english
language. is english your first language? if not then thats the reason.

# 1621. Woger's sig should be sigged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:16:44 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <wogers.sig.cwacks.me.up@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 115921
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 16:00:03 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Hairy Woger wrote:
:
: Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
: "Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
: Moron
: You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
: rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
^^^^^^^^^^^^

Bwa HAHAHAHAHA! The writer of that quote was an ignoramus. You can't
even pick out an intelligent SIG, can you Woger?

---
Definitions of rationalize:

- to pretend that ones desires are caused by impartial reasoning.
www.willdurant.com/glossary.htm

- apologize: defend, explain, clear away, or make excuses for by
reasoning; "rationalize the child's seemingly crazy behavior"; "he
rationalized his lack of success"
---

A "rationalizer" is someone who invents reasons for conclusions he has
already reached, prior to giving the subject any impartial thought.
Therefore, an inability to "rationalize" is a highly desirable trait.

Go back to the drawing board, Woger. Better get a new box of crayons
before you do, though.

====================
"KC8JBO is an idiot in my opinion." -- Stephen K. Gielda, owner of
COTSE, in message <MPG.158a2f2795d3db95989ba5@news.supernews.com>

# 1622. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:18:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.17.18.13.878728@gmail.com>
Source record: 115937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Honus:

Sorry. Using pan in Linux, it threads but when threads get long some lines indicating corresponding posts get a bit confusing, so I do confuse some posts, I will attempt to pay closer attention, thanks for the wake-up call... I stand corrected...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 16:17:22 +0000, Honus wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.13.06.01.22.108380@gmail.com...
>> Honus:
>>
>> Perhaps you missed my earlier post. I acknowledged it was a "no-no."
>
> Perhaps you missed the part that shows I was commenting on what
> "an_old_friend" said. Here it is:
>
>> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal
>
> He doesn't seem to think it's a no-no. I disagree.

# 1623. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:24:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.17.24.42.270449@gmail.com>
Source record: 115923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N2EY:

I have already apologized for both nixon and regan, best I can do. I am only one guy, lately they just never get a capable guy in the running for presidency. With bush seniors son now in office, I often reflect if someone isn't trying to make the bush clan some sort of royal ruling family. Last election it was bush or kerry as the major contenders, neither is really suitable to hold office and serve the public, however, bush was the lesser of two evils...

I am beginning to wonder if the military/industrial complex (and big business/corps filling politicians and judges pockets) who is really running the show, by stacking the deck and having their men run against each other--a real "win-win" situation for them... the future will tell more...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 09:09:48 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Christy D wrote:
>> the Land of the Loonies <tm> - California - and his
>> comments show it. He lives in the land of O.J. Simpson,
>> Robert Blake, and of Michael Jackson - a land where it's open
>> season on spouses and children.
>
> It's also where Nixon and Reagan came from....

# 1624. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:33:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.17.33.41.235922@gmail.com>
Source record: 115924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel:

I have had people get "in my face", "flip me off" and sling vulgarities at me, haven't we all? I can't kid you, it is upsetting at times, when I was younger and more ignorant, I thought physical force might stop it, then I realized that would never work and gave it up. Further down the road, I learned that it is actually nothing to be concerned about, their opinions and actions are not a refection on myself, however, if I grant them control of my actions--I end up looking an idiot. Hey, let behave poorly, then the rest of the world can know them for what they are...

If you ever find someone relieving themselves on my headstone, once I have left here, give 'em a toothbrush, bleach and have 'em scrub it on down--I'll be watching and get a good laugh out of it and the young man will learn an important lesson... I'll enjoy the fact sane men are running the world, I'll appreciate it, trust me...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 12:52:40 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

>
> You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
> the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
> someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
> "fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
> deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
> understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
> he just does not get it.
>
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 09:17:40 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Bierbarrel:
>>
>>yeah, yeah, I have that real failing, not very concerned about urine on my
>>grave after I am done with this world. If I ever make it back here
>>(slim chance) it will be by reincarnation--the way that works--you get a
>>new body... this old body will need to be used to feed the trees and
>>other creatures of this planet--it is the natural way of things... what
>>becomes of this body when my use has expired is just not a concern of
>>mine, you would think most would have better things to worry about.
>>
>>I guess if you are confined to an institution making paintings, weaving

>>rugs and making bead necklaces your world is so small one can be concerned
>>over such, I can't.
>>
>>John
>>
>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 11:48:13 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> So you do like to be pissed on!
>>>
>>> It has nothing to do with the man. It has everything to do with
>>> pissing on anyone's grave. You seem to condone it. You and David are
>>> from the same mould. Maybe you guys could just piss on each other.
>>> I'll bet it would be your first bath in months.
>>>
>>>
>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:35:50 -0700, John Smith
>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Beer Guzzler:
>>>>
>>>>Another kook which can't see a person as of more worth than a physical
>>>>object or property. My gawd man, take your medications!
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 07:59:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:02:53 -0700, John Smith
>>>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>AOF:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yep tacky, and if caught, needs a "butt tanning." More than that?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Naaaa...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>John
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Maybe next time he will just piss on you....that should not be too
>>>>> illegal and maybe only get him a butt tanning. I would just worry that
>>>>> you might enjoy it.

# 1625. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:38:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.17.38.32.747881@gmail.com>
Source record: 115925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David:

It is my opinion calif is in much worse shape because of regan, first we had to suffer him as governor, then when the fools seen the complete mess he had this state in, they went and made him president!!! Go figure!

Arnold started out good, now it is "business as usual." He is turning out to be just another b-grade actor which can't play the part of a real public servant in charge of the state. I think people have just grown used to everything getting worse and don't bother to complain anymore...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:04:31 +0000, David wrote:

> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 12:52:40 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>
>>
>>You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
>>the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
>>someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
>>"fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
>>deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
>>understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
>>he just does not get it.
>>
>
> Nixon said ''fuck you'' to every American. What's wrong with
> returning the gesture?

# 1626. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:40:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.17.40.20.431592@gmail.com>
Source record: 115926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel:

Urinate on any of my familys' graves, I expect they will look up and
think, "I always knew those guys were worthless punks..." But, hey, if
that is what you want...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

> See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
> the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
> grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>
>
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:04:31 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 12:52:40 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
>>>>the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
>>>>someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
>>>>"fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
>>>>deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
>>>>understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
>>>>he just does not get it.
>>>
>>
>>Nixon said ''fuck you'' to every American. What's wrong with
>>returning the gesture?

# 1627. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:41:41 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <e1csf1l1pectdvp745pupmfl6rs43qrtdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 115927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:

>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>
>
How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?

The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.

I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.

# 1628. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:42:44 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <98csf19c1a7g1aqffbotrr7cde57h7fbf2@4ax.com>
Source record: 115928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 10:24:44 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>N2EY:
>
>I have already apologized for both nixon and regan, best I can do. I am
>only one guy, lately they just never get a capable guy in the running for
>presidency. With bush seniors son now in office, I often reflect if
>someone isn't trying to make the bush clan some sort of royal ruling
>family. Last election it was bush or kerry as the major contenders,
>neither is really suitable to hold office and serve the public, however,
>bush was the lesser of two evils...
>
>I am beginning to wonder if the military/industrial complex (and big
>business/corps filling politicians and judges pockets) who is really
>running the show, by stacking the deck and having their men run against
>each other--a real "win-win" situation for them... the future will tell
>more...
>
Skull and Bones

# 1629. Re: matters of laws and regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:53:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123955595.333597.34830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115929

Yeah I failed to mention the treaties that we have to abide by

Todd N9OGL

# 1630. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 17:54:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.17.54.45.630403@gmail.com>
Source record: 115930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David:

Yes, probably... certainly needs to be watched...

However, I think this discussion would now be served best in another thread or forum.

I am back to things amateur related...

thanks for the banter though...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:42:44 +0000, David wrote:

> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 10:24:44 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>N2EY:
>>
>>I have already apologized for both nixon and regan, best I can do. I am
>>only one guy, lately they just never get a capable guy in the running for
>>presidency. With bush seniors son now in office, I often reflect if
>>someone isn't trying to make the bush clan some sort of royal ruling
>>family. Last election it was bush or kerry as the major contenders,
>>neither is really suitable to hold office and serve the public, however,
>>bush was the lesser of two evils...
>>
>>I am beginning to wonder if the military/industrial complex (and big
>>business/corps filling politicians and judges pockets) who is really
>>running the show, by stacking the deck and having their men run against
>>each other--a real "win-win" situation for them... the future will tell
>>more...
>>
> Skull and Bones

## 1631. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:08:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123956482.603066.181170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
jim wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > jim wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>all of it was at best true in some places
> >>
> >>
> >>???? huh???
> >
> > if you are going to cut down to single out of 40 or so don't expect to
> > understand it
> >
> > Stevie made charges and claims there were true everywhere
> >
> > they were not showed in other parts of the post you hacked apart that
> > this was so
> >
> there were three lines you posted not 40 and the one i quoted doesn't
> make sense. even the last sentence you just posted butchers the english
> language. is english your first language? if not then thats the reason.

if you can't read it then don't bother
```

# 1632. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:12:21 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ludsf1hohjfjrktk4kkabtgjaro427mrfs@4ax.com>
Source record: 115932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 10:38:34 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>David:
>
>It is my opinion calif is in much worse shape because of regan, first we
>had to suffer him as governor, then when the fools seen the complete mess
>he had this state in, they went and made him president!!! Go figure!
>
>Arnold started out good, now it is "business as usual." He is turning out
>to be just another b-grade actor which can't play the part of a real
>public servant in charge of the state. I think people have just grown
>used to everything getting worse and don't bother to complain anymore...
>
>John
>
>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:04:31 +0000, David wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 12:52:40 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>You have a total lack of understanding. It's not the person. It's not
>>>>the urine. It's the actual act of doing that intentionally to
>>>>someone's grave. It amounts to you walking up to a person and saying
>>>>"fuck you" as you flip them off. It's also disrespectful to all of the
>>>>deceased's living relatives. I figured that at least you would
>>>>understand. I knew that David did not have a clue and he never will.
>>>>he just does not get it.
>>>
>>
>> Nixon said ''fuck you'' to every American. What's wrong with
>> returning the gesture?
>
Arnold is a Naz who married into the Kennedy Gang. He has no
redeeming values whatsoever.

# 1633. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:16:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123956962.598636.92880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115933

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> > > > > despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> > > > > disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> > > > > would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> > > > > for anything?
> > > >
> > > > Good question, Steve!
> > > >
> > > > Why do you?
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > Now Jim/N2EY has a problem "wasting time" with handicapped people.
> >
> > No Jim is making a fair point, for once pointing Stevie faults
> >
> > Ie Since Stevie claims my posts are not worth responding Jim askss why
> > he does.
> >
> > That is a fair question and I conmend him for it
> >
> >
> > Now if Stevie would just have the courage to answer
> >
> > or follow through
>
> Silence is an answer

I tend to agree, the only problem becomes exactly what does that
answer mean?

In this case it could mean he is lying and thinks it is imperitve that
I be answered (and why he would think becomes an open question)

Or it could mean he is lying when hesays he can't understand

or it could mean...

It would be nice if Stevie had caurage to say what he means instead of
leting his silence answer for him

# 1634. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:18:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1123957083.390924.41520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115934

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Those who heard this transmission would no doubt think that things were
> > so so.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> That just might match your amateur ethics (so so).
>
> Would you respond to such a signal or not?

I would responding asking if they knew what freequncy they were
operating on and wether their govt allowed that band, Id offer to
answer what frequency they were on if they did not know stuff like that

# 1635. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:23:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UUqLe.5900$RS.385@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115935

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> I would responding asking if they knew what freequncy they were
> operating on and wether their govt allowed that band, Id offer to
> answer what frequency they were on if they did not know stuff like that
>

During a radiosport event like CQWWDX or ARRL DX I'm likely to work upwards of 300-400 band-countries in a 48-hour period. Since I have no idea what each country allows band-by-band, I'd need to make 300-400 inquiries. Do you think I'd win the contest?

DAH DIT DIDIDIT DAH de K0HB

# 1636. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:27:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123957637.569453.217520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115936

an old friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > (2) You've already demonstrated that you WON'T help yourself
> > > > > > despite having acknowledged that numerous resources are at your
> > > > > > disposal and within your capabilites to use and implement. So why
> > > > > > would Dave (or anyone else, for that matter..??) waste any time on you
> > > > > > for anything?
> > > > >
> > > > > Good question, Steve!
> > > > >
> > > > > Why do you?
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > >
> > > > Now Jim/N2EY has a problem "wasting time" with handicapped people.
> > >
> > > No Jim is making a fair point, for once pointing Stevie faults
> > >
> > > Ie Since Stevie claims my posts are not worth responding Jim askss why
> > > he does.
> > >
> > > That is a fair question and I conmend him for it
> > >
> > >
> > > Now if Stevie would just have the courage to answer
> > >
> > > or follow through
> >
> > Silence is an answer
>
> I tend to agree, the only problem
> becomes exactly what does that
> answer mean?

It's pretty clear to me what it means.

> In this case it could mean he is lying and thinks it is
> imperitve that I be answered (and why he would think
> becomes an open question)
>

> Or it could mean he is lying when hesays he can't understand
>
> or it could mean...

That he thinks *you* are lying...

Or that he really can't understand...

Or that there's no real point in answering

> It would be nice if Stevie had caurage to say what he means
> instead of leting his silence answer for him

Seems pretty clear that Steve is taking his own advice.

Which I will do, too.

# 1637. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:44:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <FHMWWP9D38577.6140509259@anonymous>
Source record: 115938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <20050813075103.5928.qmail@nym.alias.net>
nob...@anon.lcs.mit.edu (Steve Holsten) wrote:
>
> You are one sick puppy.
> Do you

Hi, Pedophile Holsten. Do you still sodomize your grandson Jason
and molest your half black newborn baby son? Does your fat wife
Shirley stillnot trust you alone with the little bastard,
palsied retarded gimp?

Steve Holsten
611 W 9th St
Kennett, MO 63857
(573)717-7705
(573)717-7706

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 1638. looooooser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 18:51:44 UTC
From: looooser_woger@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1123959104.912559.314100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

anonymous@remailer.hastio.org wrote:
WOGER ALERT: FAGGOT ON LOOSE. SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN

# 1639. Re: day 3 of Stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 19:33:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123961599.694547.164600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115940

an_old_friend wrote:
> in the saga of Stevie the coward
> quote
>
> Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???
>
>
> Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???
>
> unquote
>
> we have him weaseling back and forth like the bug he is
>
> have you or haven't put up or shut up?
>
> When are you going to put or shut up?
>
> The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
>
> 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
>
> 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
>
> 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
>
> 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> headline of this peice is absolutely true
>
> I list all the possibities and Stevie just goes on with bluff and
> bluster
>
>
> BTW I admit to the Stevie charge of Flaming him here, but if Stevie has
> not been lying one or two phones call stop that
>
> we shall see

Steve would be right at home in one of the prison "interview" rooms in
Pakistan. His method is intimidation and fear.

# 1640. Re: Drunk deviant Mark Capt Morgan makes an ass of himself, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 19:47:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123962429.935075.46010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115941

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:

> No, illiterate drunken deviant, we aren't.

Steve, just because someone calls you doesn't mean that you must reply. There is no FCC rule stating that you must reply. After all, Heil would ignore an "OSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS..." signal. So in the end, it is your choice to reply or not.

A person who lacks judgement will reply to any and all callers, even if he knows they are out of band. A wiser person would be more selective in who he replies to. Especially if the callers are out of band, as it was with Dave/K8MN. It is poor amateur practice to reply to out of band Frenchmen calling you on 6 Meters, no matter how vigorously you defend such operations.

# 1641. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 19:55:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123962914.523673.114920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115942

KØHB wrote:
> "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > In other words, Hans is condoning poor
> > amateur practice.
>
> Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor amateur practice".
>
> Dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?

# 1642. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 20:01:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123963289.252473.264410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115943

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > I would responding asking if they knew what freequncy they were
> > operating on and wether their govt allowed that band, Id offer to
> > answer what frequency they were on if they did not know stuff like that
> >
>
> During a radiosport event like CQWWDX or ARRL DX I'm likely to work upwards of
> 300-400 band-countries in a 48-hour period. Since I have no idea what each
> country allows band-by-band, I'd need to make 300-400 inquiries. Do you think
> I'd win the contest?
>
> DAH DIT DIDIDIT DAH de K0HB

Hans, if you heard "O SOS OSO SOS..." transmitted during the CQWW,
would you take a moment to investigate?

# 1643. WOGER ALERT: SHITCAN SHITCAN SHITCAN SHITCAN SHITCAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 20:21:37 UTC
From: looooser_woger@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1123964497.953515.43160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

George Orwell wrote:
<NOTHING OF IMPORTANCE>

LOOOOOSER.

# 1644. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 21:12:28 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <eeosf1l3sh6q1f1d59tmpo9ubfk6u26t17@4ax.com>
Source record: 115945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>
>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>
>>
>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>
>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>
>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.

Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1645. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 21:25:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zztLe.5474$WD.556@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115946

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Nor has the FCC

Of course it has, many times over the years.

> Riley says it's enforceable.

Rily is stone-cold correct.

But you haven't presented any persuasive evidence that 5H1US engaged in poor amateur practice.

Chirp chirp *click*
de Hans, K0HB

# 1646. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 21:26:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.13.21.26.19.114185@gmail.com>
Source record: 115947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Beer Belly:

I have sent complaints to:
abuse@cox.net

Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]
NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255

... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...

... have a nice day ...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>
>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>
>>>
>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>
>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>
>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>
>
> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1647. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 21:28:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jCtLe.5475$WD.4966@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115948

Captain Obvious asked:

> Hans, if you heard "O SOS OSO SOS..." transmitted
> during the CQWW, would you take a moment to investigate?

If it were a possible new mult.

titty tum tum titty de K0HB

## 1648. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 21:38:37 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <xLtLe.5003$Al5.747@trnddc04>
Source record: 115949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.13.17.18.13.878728@gmail.com...
> Honus:
>
> Sorry. Using pan in Linux, it threads but when threads get long some
> lines indicating corresponding posts get a bit confusing, so I do confuse
> some posts, I will attempt to pay closer attention, thanks for the wake-up
> call... I stand corrected...

Pft...it's no sweat. ;)

# 1649. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 22:02:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123970529.915008.69810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116050

KØHB wrote:
> Captain Obvious asked:
>
> > Hans, if you heard "O SOS OSO SOS..." transmitted
> > during the CQWW, would you take a moment to investigate?
>
> If it were a possible new mult.
>
> titty tum tum titty de K0HB

IndeedSuch campassionate ops exist on CW

I would try to answer something that sounded like SOS or If I hread it
on my Shortwave receiever try and someoe that could answer it or
failing that Fire up the HF freqs on My Ft 847 sat rigs and answer it
myself or call for other staions to tune and help

IF I heard a CW Sos or something like Id try and help even as much as I
detest the mode itself

# 1650. Re: day 3 of Stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 22:03:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123970612.488237.11170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116051

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > in the saga of Stevie the coward
> > quote
> >
> > Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???
> >
> >
> > Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???
> >
> > unquote
> >
> > we have him weaseling back and forth like the bug he is
> >
> > have you or haven't put up or shut up?
> >
> > When are you going to put or shut up?
> >
> > The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
> >
> > 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
> >
> > 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
> >
> > 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
> >
> > 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> > headline of this peice is absolutely true
> >
> > I list all the possibities and Stevie just goes on with bluff and
> > bluster
> >
> >
> > BTW I admit to the Stevie charge of Flaming him here, but if Stevie has
> > not been lying one or two phones call stop that
> >
> > we shall see
>
> Steve would be right at home in one of the prison "interview" rooms in
> Pakistan. His method is intimidation and fear.

Indeed he alomst complains Now that he isn't charge of such a room

# 1651. Re: day 3 of Stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 22:08:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123970895.764228.28260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116052

have you noticed that sinc I began calling bluff he has been a LOT
quietier or is that just me

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > in the saga of Stevie the coward
> > quote
> >
> > Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???
> >
> >
> > Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???
> >
> > unquote
> >
> > we have him weaseling back and forth like the bug he is
> >
> > have you or haven't put up or shut up?
> >
> > When are you going to put or shut up?
> >
> > The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
> >
> > 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
> >
> > 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
> >
> > 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
> >
> > 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> > headline of this peice is absolutely true
> >
> > I list all the possibities and Stevie just goes on with bluff and
> > bluster
> >
> >
> > BTW I admit to the Stevie charge of Flaming him here, but if Stevie has
> > not been lying one or two phones call stop that
> >
> > we shall see
>
> Steve would be right at home in one of the prison "interview" rooms in
> Pakistan. His method is intimidation and fear.

# 1652. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 22:08:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123970938.577737.169350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116053

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
> > Nor has the FCC
>
> Of course it has, many times over the years.
>
> > Riley says it's enforceable.
>
> Rily is stone-cold correct.
>
> But you haven't presented any persuasive evidence that 5H1US engaged in poor
> amateur practice.

A confession isn't good enough?
>
> Chirp chirp *click*
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1653. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 22:25:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123971903.997223.21280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116054

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> > > In other words, Hans is condoning poor
> > > amateur practice.
> >
> > Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor amateur practice".
> >
> > Dit dit
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?

Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to

## 1654. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 22:26:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1123972018.967863.162110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > It's also where Nixon and Reagan came from....
> >
>
> If memory serves, Reagan was raised in Illinois or Indiana.

True enough, but he made CA his home. It's where he made his mark in
showbiz and politics.

IIRC, Nixon was a California native.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1655. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 22:54:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DSuLe.5505$WD.703@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116056

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you
> and I have to obey rules that they can't seem to post...

Hey, Mark, I haven't asked (let alone "insist") that you or Brian obey anything
at all. I'm a "live and let live" kind of guy.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1656. Re: Saggytits (Brian P.Burke) Lee makes yet another bonehead statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:09:20 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1123974560.543875.15820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Assholes like you are the reason why I abandoned ham radio years after earning a general class ticket at age 13. If I wanter to associate with foul mouthed jerks like you, which I don't, I'd hang around in cheap bars.

What is it about ham radio today that appears to attract the very lowest end of the food chain? Perhaps it's time that the license requirements were elevated to include a comprehensive knowledge of civilized behavior.

Harry C. (ex K2JEZ)

# 1657. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:09:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <55vLe.4874$Wi6.1916@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116057

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> IndeedSuch campassionate ops exist on CW

Captain Obvious, meet Captain Satire-Immune.

Mark, I spent years and years at sea standing radio watches in view of a clock with the 15-18 minute and 45-48 minute positions outlined in red. I know, first hand, the gut-tightening sound of a real SOS. You may have seen one portrayed in a movie, so go play make-believe.

de Hans, K0HB

# 1658. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:12:46 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <2ba4c3e4c7605dd7fd53efe420385e30@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 116059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddk57r$dsh$0@pita.alt.net>
"Binary" <GrannyGal@no> felched:

> Not near as much

Mongolloyd makes good sentence!

> as you like YOUR picture, Wogie Wimp.

That's not Roger's picture, Lardass Davies.

> Now bend over like a Good Boy and let Lloyd administer his
rectal exam.

Yes, Llardass Lllllllllllllloyd would like to lick somebody's
asshole.

> You know how that makes you giggle....

Not as much as it turns you on by thinking about it, FAGGOT.

--
Stagger Lee who rapes babies:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

## 1659. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:22:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZgvLe.5980$RS.4635@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116060

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> A confession isn't good enough?

Do you have a confession from 5H1US which says "I engaged in poor amateur practices"?

If so, please share it with the rest of us.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1660. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:25:46 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1123975546.034763.16870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116061
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bravo Chuck. Right on!

Harry C.

# 1661. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:29:55 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ad0tf1l1epm41l4shsl3a71nrfgkg8ul16@4ax.com>
Source record: 116062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 05:56:20 GMT, "Honus"
<honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1123900251.352297.115880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> great to see most the folks agreeing he was tacky not criminal
>
>It's illegal, and it's called "desecration of a venerated object". Google
>the phrase. Laws vary from state to state, of course, but my guess is that
>if you find a state without such a statute, it would be an exception to the
>rule. I'm betting they all have similar codes.
>
>For another thing, it's malicious mischief that's sure to cause emotional
>harm to the living relatives;

Fuck that shit -- Reagan caused measureless emotional harm to
the families of the ATCs when he fired tham, thereby declaring a
permanent open season on all American workingmen and all the unions.

>as well as incurring the wrath of the criminal
>justice system, I'll bet that's actionable in civil court. I also have to
>wonder if some plots are considered private property according to local
>statutes. They're paid for, and they're inherited by the descendants of the
>lot owner in some cases. There's another violation of law. And of course,
>don't we all know someone who was cited by a cop for public urination?

Maybe in _your_ trailer park.

> And
>how about disturbing the peace if someone saw him do it? Those sites are
>visited by followers of the deceased; seeing someone pissing on the grave of
>your favorite president is bound to stir up some trouble. (I admit, that
>one's a bit iffy.) ;)
>
>That's not even mentioning the fact that the putz ran away from the security
>detail. That ought to tell you something right there. Several somethings, in
>fact.

Security details are usually hostile to free speech. And free
pissing.

# 1662. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:39:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123976386.794528.322970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116063

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > IndeedSuch campassionate ops exist on CW
>
> Captain Obvious, meet Captain Satire-Immune.
>
> Mark, I spent years and years at sea standing radio watches in view of a clock
> with the 15-18 minute and 45-48 minute positions outlined in red. I know, first
> hand, the gut-tightening sound of a real SOS. You may have seen one portrayed
> in a movie, so go play make-believe.

Obviously time has made you Jaded

Your remark you chase down an SOs if it were a new multiplier shows
your comapassion

I would try to answer something that sounded like SOS or If I hread it
on my Shortwave receiever try and someoe that could answer it or
failing that Fire up the HF freqs on My Ft 847 sat rigs and answer it
myself or call for other staions to tune and help

I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1663. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:40:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123976450.706497.242410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116064

>Just one thing, Lennie.

Are we now down to denigrative dimunutives of everyone?

>I am a foreign citizen myself.

Not a dispute with me. However, an Australian citing Australian
radio regulations, with a clearly-identifiable Australian postal
address, NOT having a U.S. radio license and NOT being affected
by U.S. radio regulations is considered "foreign" in my unofficial
tally of respondents to WT Docket 05-235.

> However, I am a US
>permenent resident and have a US ham licence (not only a US licence, mind
>you). My comments say nothing about my citizenship.

I have no dispute with that. If you have a U.S. radio license
then you are bound to U.S. radio regulations when transmitting
from U.S. territory using that callsign.

>I suggest that it isn't
>relevant, and it's the country of residence that is important. Of course, I
>can't vote in elections, but the FCC comment process is specifically open
>to anyone who cares to comment, even non-hams like you, LOL!

The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Mass Media Radio
(broadcasting) Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a
Commenter is IN Mass Media radio services.

The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Private Land Mobile
Radio Services' Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a
Commenter is IN Private Land Mobile radio services.

The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Aviation Radio Services'
Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a Commenter is IN
Aviation radio services.

The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Maritime Radio Services'
Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a Commenter is IN
Maritime radio services.

The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Personal Communications
Radio Services' Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a
Commenter is IN Personal Communications radio services.

However, in HERE whenever someone's particular personal ox
is gored or merely irritated with a scratch there seems to be
a sounding of the Hue and Cry! with all the outraged and

offended forming a posse to Hang Them High! :-)

>Voiding one comment because the person specifically said they were a
>foreign citizen doesn't make much sense, as there are in fact others from
>foreign citizens that simply don't say (at least one, i.e. me, but
>statistically there must be others).

Tough snit, Alun. I am doing a private unofficial tallying of
opinions on WT Docket 05-235. If my "voiding" of ONE Australian
Commenter's Comment in this tally is offensive, then simply add
in that ONE Comment in the "appropriate" category (of your
choice) after, of course, finding it and reading it to determine
what that Commenter is saying.

>In fact I suspect that out of 1414 comments it's statistically likely that
>there are some signed with bona fide US calls that are from foreign
>citizens who have never lived in the US.

Tough snit, Alun. I am doing a private unofficial tallying of
opinions on WT Docket 05-235. If my "voiding" of ONE Australian
Commenter's Comment in this tally is offensive, then simply add
in that ONE Comment in the "appropriate" category (of your
choice) after, of course, finding it and reading it to determine
what that Commenter is saying.

>You know there is US VE testing in
>all the countries that have US bases, and it isn't just the military who
>take the tests, but plenty of locals too. You would have to look up
>everybody's address to find out who lives outside the US, and information
>on citizenship of hams simply doesn't exist, so there is no way to verify
>it.

Tough snit, Alun. I am doing a private unofficial tallying of
opinions on WT Docket 05-235. If my "voiding" of ONE Australian
Commenter's Comment in this tally is offensive, then simply add
in that ONE Comment in the "appropriate" category (of your
choice) after, of course, finding it and reading it to determine
what that Commenter is saying.

As I wrote on Mark's posting, ANYONE is free to go into the FCC
ECFS and READ EVERY Comment and decide for themselves, do their
own unofficial "poll" of opinions and post that. As of midnight
EDT on 12 August 2005 there were a total of 1437 Comments on WT
Docket 05-235. [that includes the very first document there, the
NPRM of the FCC which, by its own statement, would be in the "For"
category. The entirety of the ECFS contents is "open" to ALL
who have Internet access, foreign or domestic, citizen or alien.

Let me remind you and others of one important thing that the
"clubhouse" mentality hams don't fully understand: Neither the
FCC Commissioners NOR FCC Staff involved with U.S. amateur radio
regulations are required to hold any amateur radio license grant
in order to MAKE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio regulations.

[see Part 1 of Title 47 C.F.R. for a comprehensive set of
regulations on what the FCC is about, how it is organized, what
it is required to do by LAW as described in the Communications
Act of 1934 and as amended in the Telecommunications Act of
1996...those Acts passed by the Congress of the United States]
The First Amendment of the United States Consitution specifically
states that CITIZENS are given the freedom to "speak" to their
government on anything they so wish. As a citizen of the United
States of America that is what I am doing, have done, will
continue to do. That is the RIGHT of ALL United States citizens
on United States LAW.

law now
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1664. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:41:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123976507.581070.43790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116065

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you
> > and I have to obey rules that they can't seem to post...
>
> Hey, Mark, I haven't asked (let alone "insist") that you or Brian obey anything
> at all. I'm a "live and let live" kind of guy.

short memory guess you are getting old

Remebr your part only a couple of weeks ago in the Mark Must Is
Required by LAW to obey and posting various rule bit and claiming they
said such not in the text (at leat not out invisble phosphers)

>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1665. Re: Echos from the past, code test not a hindrance to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:43:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123976590.943895.85090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116066

From: an_old_friend on Aug 12, 7:27 pm

>John Smith wrote:
>> K0HB:
>
>> Suppose the aliens are running their evil "Confabulator?"
>
>you saying Stevie is an Alien?

Steve Alien died a couple of years ago in Los Angeles. NBC-TV
had his TV show featured on weekends back in the '50s.

>Does he have a VISA

Stevie has MASTERCARD! [or is that "Gunnerycard?"] He NEEDS
to be MASTER of everything and everybody.

Maybe Stevie have Green Card? Him green with envy over so
what so many have done? Tsk, tsk.

not him
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1666. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:43:22 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <cc1tf1dr5kqvtk5483r1gs3e98klf0ptur@4ax.com>
Source record: 116067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 16:21:59 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>
>> Bob Dornan, Wally George, Joe Pyne, Larry Elder, Michael Weiner,
>> Limbaugh.
>
>You wanna pee on them too?
>
>

Shit, yeah.

# 1667. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:46:27 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <tg1tf1pf7qp3jg8br2a2br87rrla84sks6@4ax.com>
Source record: 116069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:

>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>
>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>
>>>
>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>
>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>
>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>
>
>Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

And your wide-open rectum woiuld be in jail for battery. I
might get off with a fine, you testosterone-poisoned asshole.

# 1668. Re: Secwet Hairy Woger makes yet another boneheaded statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-13 23:49:40 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <crayola.boy.woger.efs.up@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 116068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 21:41:57 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Hairy Woger wrote:
[nothing important]

Buy a new box of crayons, Woger, and go back to the drawing board.

http://img353.imageshack.us/img353/513/regow1ke.jpg

==========
"KC8JBO is an idiot in my opinion." -- Stephen K. Gielda, owner of
COTSE, in message <MPG.158a2f2795d3db95989ba5@news.supernews.com>

==========
"There you have it: Just start talking about fags, asses, or
cocksucking, and Woger comes stumbling in stepping on his crank, with
both cap guns blazing." -- John Kimball

# 1669. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:03:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CTvLe.5989$RS.1334@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116070

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB

So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.

And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I can't guarantee they won't distress you.

> I don't realy care what you knew some time ago

Likewise.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 1670. Re: day 3 of Stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:04:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123977872.983611.254990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116071

an_old_friend wrote:
> have you noticed that sinc I began calling bluff he has been a LOT
> quietier or is that just me

He only has something to say when he is on the attack.

# 1671. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:07:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123978045.133495.52320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:

> To "an_old_f---"
> I am one of the people in this NG.

Since when?

# 1672. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:07:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1123978056.245699.47860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116072

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of midnight EDT on 12 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1438

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 62

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 390 28.34%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 797 57.92%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 189 13.74%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.
It should be noted that at least two individuals (one for,
the other against the NPRM) have been spotted making
multiple entries over following days; all such multiple
entries are considered duplicates and relegated to
Indeterminate sub-total regardless of their opinion position.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 12 Aug 05 that would be 1376.

12 August 2005 is the 18th day of submitted Comments. A notice
about FCC 05-143 or Docket WT 05-235 has NOT YET BEEN MADE in
the Federal Register so it is unknown if these 17 days of Comments
will be accepted by the Commission for decision on any Report and
Order. Note: The Federal Register 2005 Contents page on the GPO
website has NOT had any entry for 9 Aug 05 or later as of Noon,

EDT, 12 Aug 05.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

yes non
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1673. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:22:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123978931.772992.62760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from

Pomeroy Ohio?

> the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
>
>
> - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> organizations.

It must be just awful.

> - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";

Do tell? Did they use CW?

> - CB radios are just about everywhere while hams are nowhere to be
> found (and yes, I looked. There was only a rumor that a ham had set up
> shop in Tent City (where the firefighters are camped) but was still
> trying to get his equipment working!).

Hey, if it doesn't involve ham radio, it's not a qualifying emergency.

> Now all you CB-hating hams who -still- can't swallow this bitter pill
> have the opportunity to go there and listen for yourselves.

We'll start a new column to tabulate these kinds of non-emergency
emergencies.

Even
> better, you can go there and lend your communication 'expertise' to
> the effort (which is the very activity you claim makes ham radio so
> important -- so what are you waiting for?). Or you can sit on your fat
> asses, stuff your face your nachos, and continue to fabricate reasons
> why it's impossible for CB radio to be a practical mode of emergency
> communication when, in fact, the impossible is occuring despite your
> best efforts to deny it.

If you say that the cell network was overloaded, then just maybe...
----

Frank, I'm no radio snob. I'm glad the situation got handled by the
use of CB or any other means of communications at the citizen's

disposal. Good show!

bb

# 1674. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:26:30 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <ks3tf1984u7q0rts8853uofr8gj17f0c97@4ax.com>
Source record: 116075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

That was not a threat....it was a promise....

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 14:26:22 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Beer Belly:
>
>I have sent complaints to:
>abuse@cox.net
>
>Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]
>NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
>Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
> 68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
>Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
> 68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255
>
>... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
>started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
>is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...
>
>... have a nice day ...
>
>John
>
>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>>
>>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>>
>>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>>
>>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>>

>>
>> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1675. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:29:53 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <q24tf1l11qldq49hme6uieg1519bndi5mh@4ax.com>
Source record: 116076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Tracy Fort
206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
Warner Robins, Ga 31088

that help you jonny?

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 14:26:22 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Beer Belly:
>
>I have sent complaints to:
>abuse@cox.net
>
>Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]
>NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
>Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
> 68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
>Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
> 68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255
>
>... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
>started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
>is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...
>
>... have a nice day ...
>
>John
>
>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>>
>>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>>
>>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.

>>>
>>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>>
>>
>> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1676. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:30:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1123979434.923837.278330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:

> > That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
>
> you know it is truely amazing the lies you tell Stevie
>
> I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
> sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
> when it is there it is REALLY there)
>
> only experenced any real porblem in/near some of the large cities where
> due to the silliness of allocating that small amount of specturm to so
> many people problem are bound to occour

I believe the part where he listens for a whole 10 seconds before he
starts blabbing, probably an amateur protocol he carried over to CB
radio.

# 1677. Re: Hey Toad, this is for you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 00:39:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <LowLe.7604$ns.5148@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John,

Redneck is a state of mind. Welcome.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.12.21.01.37.378061@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> Not a redneck, here, by birth, design or circumstance--however, parts of
> my spirit resonate with that "redneck philosophy"--guess some will now
> accuse me of being a redneck wannabe...
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:53:03 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> We have enjoyed the redneck jokes for years. It's time to take a
>> reflective
>> look at the core beliefs of a culture that values home, family, country
>> and
>> God. If I had to stand before a dozen terrorists who threaten my life,
>> I'd
>> choose a half dozen or so rednecks to back me up. Tire irons, squirrel
>> guns
>> and grit -- that's what rednecks are made of. I hope I am one of those.
>> If
>> you feel the same, pass this on to your redneck friends. Ya'll know who
>> ya'
>> are...
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: It never occurred to you to be offended by the
>> phrase, "One nation, under God."
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You've never protested about seeing the
>> 10 Commandments posted in public places.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You still say "Christmas" in! stead of "Winter
>> Festival."
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You bow your head when someone prays.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You stand and place your hand over your heart

>> when they play the National Anthem.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You treat Viet Nam vets with great respect,
>> and
>> always have.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You've never burned an American flag.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You know what you believe and you aren't
>> afraid
>> to say so, no matter who is listening.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You respect your elders and expect your kids
>> to
>> do the same.
>>
>> You might be a redneck if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.
>>
>>
>> God Bless the USA!
>

# 1678. Saggytits Lee (Brian P. Burke) takes his spank out of context and whines as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 01:48:02 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <9GUPJJ5S38577.9083564815@anonymous>
Source record: 116079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <wogers.sig.cwacks.me.up@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:

(Saggytits' whining like the cunt he is)

Poor child molesting Saggytits, He just proves what Bill Saxton
said is true.

Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
Moron
You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

Poor Saggytits, those words cut him deeply.

Sort of like:

From: Turd Fredericks (mrhankey@clownpenis.fart)addressed
fuckwit Saggytits in
Message-ID: <3aa706af.2684203@news.irvn1.occa.home.com>
"You really are a luser and a fuckwit aren't you? I can't speak
for
everybody (or even somebody else) in alt.2600 but I enjoy
KC8JBO's
posts. They are funny, and the more I see of your posts, the
better I
can understand why he does what he does."

"Alex Wiecek" <awiecek@hotmail.com> wrote in message
<7PG19.236315$WJf1.109074@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>:
"Being partially of German descent, I understand the issue. The
only thing
is that he wasn't really wrong when he typed ueber, yet you
labelled him
as the one who can't spell German"

From: Stephen K. Gielda <steve@cotseNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.152dbbd03a4debec989acc@news.supernews.com>
"There is a big difference between unwilling and unable. Only
these
hordes of people getting sexually harassed by this poster with
the

supernatural ability to will them to read his posts can stop it.
It is
called "subpoena logs and legally pursue the user in the proper
forum",
but we have been through this before.
There is also another option, convince some LE that these posts
are
against the law, we'll work with LE in legal issues. But, of
course you
always have your preferred option, whine in the newsgroups about
it."

--
Saggytits' face or is that his ass:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1679. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 02:02:50 UTC
From: Cricket <nospam@anon>
Message-ID: <ddm8m9$hht$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yes, Wogie, that IS your photo. Many of us saw it on the Yahoo! singles site before you were outed and took it down.
One thing I've always wanted to know. Did you get any takers after posting it? I mean, from women.?
I have a twenty dollar bill that says there were no women out there that were that hard up.

> as you like YOUR picture, Wogie Wimp.

That's not Roger's picture, Lardass Davies.

# 1680. Re: Saggytits Lee (Brian P. Burke) takes his spank out of context and whines as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 02:07:36 UTC
From: Cricket <nospam@anon>
Message-ID: <ddm8v7$im2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How many ISPs have you been booted from now, Wogie? Four? Five?
No wonder you are forced to use anonymous remailers.
You are fast running out of internet providers in your area and it is all
because
of your foul mouth.
Nobody else is to blame.

--
Roger Wiseman is like a Slinky ... Not really good for anything......But he
still brings a smile to your face when you push him down a flight of stairs.

"Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
news:9GUPJJ5S38577.9083564815@anonymous...
In article <wogers.sig.cwacks.me.up@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:

(Saggytits' whining like the cunt he is)

Poor child molesting Saggytits, He just proves what Bill Saxton
said is true.

Message-ID: <20010218201817.44909.qmail@web11401.mail.yahoo.com>
"Yes, YOU Stagger Lee:Idiot-at-Large Village Idiot Perpetual
Moron
You have shown your ignorance, your inability to
rationalize a situation, your inability to show even
the smallest amount of intelligence."

Poor Saggytits, those words cut him deeply.

Sort of like:

From: Turd Fredericks (mrhankey@clownpenis.fart)addressed
fuckwit Saggytits in
Message-ID: <3aa706af.2684203@news.irvn1.occa.home.com>
"You really are a luser and a fuckwit aren't you? I can't speak
for
everybody (or even somebody else) in alt.2600 but I enjoy
KC8JBO's
posts. They are funny, and the more I see of your posts, the
better I
can understand why he does what he does."

"Alex Wiecek" <awiecek@hotmail.com> wrote in message
<7PG19.236315$WJf1.109074@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>:

"Being partially of German descent, I understand the issue. The
only thing
is that he wasn't really wrong when he typed ueber, yet you
labelled him
as the one who can't spell German"

From: Stephen K. Gielda <steve@cotseNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.152dbbd03a4debec989acc@news.supernews.com>
"There is a big difference between unwilling and unable. Only
these
hordes of people getting sexually harassed by this poster with
the
supernatural ability to will them to read his posts can stop it.
It is
called "subpoena logs and legally pursue the user in the proper
forum",
but we have been through this before.
There is also another option, convince some LE that these posts
are
against the law, we'll work with LE in legal issues. But, of
course you
always have your preferred option, whine in the newsgroups about
it."

--
Saggytits' face or is that his ass:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1681. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 02:28:02 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <S_xLe.1553$SR5.284@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 116083
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hhc314@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1123975546.034763.16870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bravo Chuck. Right on!
>
> Harry C.
>

Oh...now *that* has my curiosity up... ;)

Kim W5TIT

# 1682. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 02:34:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.02.34.56.16477@gmail.com>
Source record: 116098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
am sure we will find out...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 20:29:53 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

>
> Tracy Fort
> 206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
> Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>
>
> that help you jonny?
>
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 14:26:22 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Beer Belly:
>>
>>I have sent complaints to:
>>abuse@cox.net
>>
>>Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]
>>NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
>>Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
>> 68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
>>Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
>> 68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255
>>
>>... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
>>started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
>>is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...
>>
>>... have a nice day ...
>>
>>John
>>
>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>>>
>>>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>>>
>>>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>>>
>>>
>>> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>>> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>>> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1683. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 02:43:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.02.43.11.718413@gmail.com>
Source record: 116084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

... yeah, some of those old guys can't even phart in 10 seconds, then they
got to get their mind back together and remember where they were...

... give 'em a break!

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:30:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:

>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
>> > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
>>
>> you know it is truely amazing the lies you tell Stevie
>>
>> I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
>> sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
>> when it is there it is REALLY there)
>>
>> only experenced any real porblem in/near some of the large cities where
>> due to the silliness of allocating that small amount of specturm to so
>> many people problem are bound to occour
>
> I believe the part where he listens for a whole 10 seconds before he
> starts blabbing, probably an amateur protocol he carried over to CB
> radio.

# 1684. Re: looooooser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 02:56:27 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <PDUEF7J238577.9558680556@anonymous>
Source record: 116085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1123959104.912559.314100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
loser_Lardass Lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> anonym...@remailer.hastio.org wrote:
> WO

Hi Lardass! Or is that you Pedo aka Who's Roger's BITCH! Spanked
again, eh?

HTTP-Posting-Host: 216.212.102.240

dialup102-240.birch.net (216.212.102.240)

216.212.0.0 - 216.212.191.255
Birch Telecom, Inc.
2020 Baltimore Avenue
Kansas City, MO
US

SWIP Report Submissions
SWIPReports@birch.com
+1-866-844-4100

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1685. Re: Saggytits (Brian P.Burke) Lee makes yet another bonehead statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 02:58:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Gv-dnXLeIOAGOWPfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 116080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> Assholes like you are the reason why I abandoned ham radio years after
> earning a general class ticket at age 13. If I wanter to associate with
> foul mouthed jerks like you, which I don't, I'd hang around in cheap
> bars.

Look at the pot call the kettle black.

# 1686. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:00:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123988450.994127.54940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116086

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>
> So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.

No you have insulted me in other ways. I certainly have never promised
you that you would only be insulted in the same manner as you insult me
>
> And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
> like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
> can't guarantee they won't distress you.

Well to qualify for satire it does need to be funny

But I will read what I choose wether I have your leave or not.
>
> > I don't realy care what you knew some time ago
>
> Likewise.

more of your cuting context
I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show

you as a pretty unfeeling SoB

And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
are sick as well as unfeeling

>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1687. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:01:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123988464.802175.284330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116087

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>
> So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.

No you have insulted me in other ways. I certainly have never promised
you that you would only be insulted in the same manner as you insult me
>
> And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
> like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
> can't guarantee they won't distress you.

Well to qualify for satire it does need to be funny

But I will read what I choose wether I have your leave or not.
>
> > I don't realy care what you knew some time ago
>
> Likewise.

more of your cuting context
I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show

you as a pretty unfeeling SoB

And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
are sick as well as unfeeling

>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1688. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:05:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.03.05.03.434921@gmail.com>
Source record: 116099
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Chuck:

You ask me if I ever put ham gear together from old radios, tv's and army surplus equip., Yes, gobs... my uncle had a chain of army surplus stores. Most of that equip you just took to the air...

But, born right around 1950, I got in on the tail end of tubes. In the late 60's we were already attempting to run transistors with multi-parallel-push-pull circuits to get higher outputs on low HF. The 70's provided some decent high power transistors, in the 70's seen a lot of hybrid equip (tube/transistor), in the 80's-90's mainly transistors, even multi-KW linears designed around transistors.

Now I awaiting the next generation equip., you see it in commercial and industrial use, but very rarely in amateur shacks.

Now I play with single chip wide band oscillators... buffers, amps and finals in personal experiments, all transistor. The tube, except for greater than 2KW linears/transmitters is pretty much dead... most new homebrew amps I see are using the russian tubes, cheap if you get the right source... but the filament draw on those big amps can heat a shack!

Just look at the number of hams still running the old tube equip. henry 2KW linears, drakes, heathkits, hallicrafters, gonset, johnson, etc.... although a lot of it is still in use, it isn't built anymore... some hams just haven't adapted to building with transistors... don't ask me why...

What does spark-gap transmitters, crystal radios, regenerative, TRF, etc have to do with today? Collectors items? Junk sold at hamfests?

Most high power stuff is custom made mosfet, or commercial adapted to amateur use--if you are into homebrew...

John

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 14:22:02 -0700, Chuck Olson wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.12.19.40.06.528943@gmail.com...
>> PM:
>>
>> Most of that is fictional... lots of "could have", "should have", "would
>> have" which it suggests though...
>>
>> Computer related hardware/software is where all of the engineers are

>> coming from today.
>>
>> John
>
> I guess you never put together a crystal set or a 1-tube radio, or designed
> your own VFO-controlled transmitter. I did, and from those days in 7th
> grade, I knew what I was going to do the rest of my life. By sophomore year
> in high school I learned to send and receive Morse Code at 15 WPM so I could
> be sure to meet the 13WPM requirement for my Ham license. At 21, my
> Engineering education was interrupted by the draft, and I joined the Navy to
> become an Electronics Technician and service radar and communications
> equipment for 4 years. Resuming my education, I became an Electrical
> Engineer and worked in industry for the next 38 years, continuously learning
> new things as they became current technology. I was never laid off or a
> victim of "reduction in force" through that entire career - - because I was
> a "natural" and kept my skills up to meet the needs of my employer. Ham
> radio is an excellent start for anyone who has a curiosity and fascination
> about electronics, be it represented by radio communications or computers or
> industrial control technology.
>
> I was inspired by Polymath's description of the good effects of ham radio on
> its devotees. He should be congratulated on his explanation - - a very
> readable and true to life presentation.
>
> 73, Chuck W6PKP

## 1689. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:14:30 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <qGyLe.122416$gL1.45652@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 116088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1123952711.592706.116960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> jim wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>> >
>> > all of it was at best true in some places
>>
>>
>> ???? huh???
> if you are going to cut down to single out of 40 or so don't expect to
> understand it
>
> Stevie made charges and claims there were true everywhere
>
> they were not showed in other parts of the post you hacked apart that
> this was so
>

Say what????
```

# 1690. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:15:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wHyLe.5573$WD.5479@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116089

an_old_friend wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>>
>>So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.
>
>
> No you have insulted me in other ways. I certainly have never promised
> you that you would only be insulted in the same manner as you insult me
>
>>And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
>>like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
>>can't guarantee they won't distress you.
>
>
> Well to qualify for satire it does need to be funny
>
> But I will read what I choose wether I have your leave or not.
>
>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago
>>
>>Likewise.
>
>
> more of your cuting context
> I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
>
> you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>
>
> And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
> are sick as well as unfeeling

In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
afflicted with perpetual PMS.

Dave K8MN

# 1691. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:18:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123989515.940597.4000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116091

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
cut
> >>Likewise.
> >
> >
> > more of your cuting context
> > I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
> >
> > you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> >
> >
> > And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
> > are sick as well as unfeeling
>
> In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
> afflicted with perpetual PMS.

well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>
> Dave K8MN

## 1692. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:20:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123989608.260806.141100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
>
> >>
> >>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>all of it was at best true in some places
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>???? huh???
> >>
> >>if you are going to cut down to single out of 40 or so don't expect to
> >>understand it
> >>
> >>Stevie made charges and claims there were true everywhere
> >>
> >>they were not showed in other parts of the post you hacked apart that
> >>this was so
> >>
> >
> >
> > Say what????
> >
> >
> It's useless to try and decipher his mindless ramblings.

really? then why do you all spend hours picking them apart?

# 1693. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:25:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FQyLe.4918$Wi6.4801@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116093

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>Likewise.
>>>
>>>
>>>more of your cuting context
>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
>>>
>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>>>
>>>
>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
>>>are sick as well as unfeeling
>>
>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
>
>
> well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well

Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
in morse, is a good subject of a joke.

I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.

I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
subject for a joke.

Dave K8MN

# 1694. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:33:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tYyLe.5581$WD.3984@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116094

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well

Where did I mention a "joke"? Where did I mention "funny"?

I mentioned "satire". Satire isn't often "funny". I was holding Brians SOS question up to ridicule (because it was ridiculous). For that you call me a son-of-a-bitch?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 1695. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:44:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h6zLe.6045$RS.6030@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> Since when?
>

For at least several months.

But who cares anyhow? Seniority on rram is probably not something you'd
necessarily brag about!

Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep beep
beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep

de Hans, K0HB

# 1696. Re: Saggytits (Brian P.Burke) Lee makes yet another bonehead statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 03:45:57 UTC
From: Glen Dayle <8MQ@anon>
Message-ID: <ddmenj$a3j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Hey, Roger. News flash. Stagger Lee is NOT Brian Burke.
BZZZZT
Wrong again, Rectal Ranger.

"Cmdr Buzz corey" <us@this.ten> wrote in message
news:Gv-dnXLeIOAGOWPfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> Assholes like you are the reason why I abandoned ham radio years after
> earning a general class ticket at age 13. If I wanter to associate with
> foul mouthed jerks like you, which I don't, I'd hang around in cheap
> bars.

Look at the pot call the kettle black.

## 1697. where's the Great Lib Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 04:03:37 UTC
From: 0ink-o1nk <cleanshavepse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b81d2$42fec287$d1cc5938$22492@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 116097

Sat night an I can't find em anyplace. I feel incomplete without
my weekly dosage of Lib Net porriage and sugar.

# 1698. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 04:16:35 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <CAzLe.46126$vb3.10603@fe07.lga>
Source record: 115950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.14.02.34.56.16477@gmail.com...
>
> I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
> am sure we will find out...
>
> John
>

You guys are wound way to tight! Take it easy allready. Geezz!! It's only
politics and dead presidents.

B.H.

## 1699. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 04:19:37 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <05CdnRyeJr0-KmPfRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 116090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

U-Know-Who wrote:

>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>all of it was at best true in some places
>>>
>>>
>>>???? huh???
>>
>>if you are going to cut down to single out of 40 or so don't expect to
>>understand it
>>
>>Stevie made charges and claims there were true everywhere
>>
>>they were not showed in other parts of the post you hacked apart that
>>this was so
>>
>
>
> Say what????
>
>
It's useless to try and decipher his mindless ramblings.

# 1700. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 04:32:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.04.32.03.548162@gmail.com>
Source record: 115951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian:

I live for argument and debate. But, I feel threats should be taken very seriously. Never hurts to make them aware, if it is a one-time thing, don't expect much will come of it. But, if it is not, usually the sign of someone needing attention...

... no one jumping out of line, yet ...

John

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 23:16:35 -0500, Brian Hill wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.14.02.34.56.16477@gmail.com...
>>
>> I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>> am sure we will find out...
>>
>> John
>>
>
>
> You guys are wound way to tight! Take it easy allready. Geezz!! It's only
> politics and dead presidents.
>
> B.H.

# 1701. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 04:45:14 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <u%zLe.134475$wr.83628@clgrps12>
Source record: 115952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel wrote:

> You have a total lack of understanding.

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident. please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1702. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 04:45:46 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <_%zLe.134476$wr.45140@clgrps12>
Source record: 115953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel wrote:

> See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
> the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
> grandparents graves if you ever had any.

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident. please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1703. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Tracy Fort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 04:53:19 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <37ALe.134477$wr.30864@clgrps12>
Source record: 115954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel wrote:

> So you do like to be pissed on!
>
> It has nothing to do with the man. It has everything to do with
> pissing on anyone's grave. You seem to condone it. You and David are
> from the same mould. Maybe you guys could just piss on each other.
> I'll bet it would be your first bath in months.

Fort has a fixation on graves, sex and urine. He's one sick puppy. That's why Cuhulin likes him. Woof..

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident. please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!

I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began
to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1704. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Tracy Fort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:10:06 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <rgktf1lsfa2gkb3vk9iqe46a88n76e8di2@4ax.com>
Source record: 115955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The most fun I ever had!

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 04:53:19 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>> So you do like to be pissed on!
>>
>> It has nothing to do with the man. It has everything to do with
>> pissing on anyone's grave. You seem to condone it. You and David are
>> from the same mould. Maybe you guys could just piss on each other.
>> I'll bet it would be your first bath in months.
>
>
>
>Fort has a fixation on graves, sex and urine. He's one sick puppy. That's why
>Cuhulin likes him. Woof..
>
>
>Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who
>happened to be my mother:
>
>
>==============================================
>==============================================
>Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before
>she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't
>complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those
>foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.
>
>love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones
>
>rattllin' bones!
>
>squeek...squeek...
>
>Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder
>is she stunk that bad in life?
>
>She is very fresh but not that fresh!
>
>hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....
>
>Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not

>toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
>will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
>hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the
>plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
>substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
>please feel free to include this post in your sig file!
>
>You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!
>
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
>I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began
>to come alive!
>I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.
>
>Pork and bones....
>
>DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!
>
>M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
>250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
>Robins ANG,
>GA. 31098-2009
>
>beerbarrel@cox.net
>
>http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD
>
>==========
>==========
>
>This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all
>cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his
>attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in
>rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy
>expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers
>and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to
>purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the
>good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.
>

# 1705. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:12:39 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <phktf1de4rrh3thlnm2kb3acad79fvl85e@4ax.com>
Source record: 115956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

By your email address, I thought you might be into programming in
assy. After I read your posts, I realized that you must work at the
Chrysler plant installing lug nuts. No wonder the wheels fell off of
those K-cars.

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 19:34:57 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>am sure we will find out...
>
>John
>
>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 20:29:53 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>>
>> Tracy Fort
>> 206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
>> Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>>
>>
>> that help you jonny?
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 14:26:22 -0700, John Smith
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Beer Belly:
>>>
>>>I have sent complaints to:
>>>abuse@cox.net
>>>
>>>Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]
>>>NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
>>>Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
>>> 68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
>>>Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
>>> 68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255
>>>
>>>... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
>>>started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
>>>is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...
>>>

>>>... have a nice day ...
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>>>>
>>>>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>>>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>>>>
>>>>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>>>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>>>> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>>>> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1706. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:18:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.05.18.48.366057@gmail.com>
Source record: 115957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Beer Belly:

Nice try...

<yawn>

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 01:12:39 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

> By your email address, I thought you might be into programming in
> assy. After I read your posts, I realized that you must work at the
> Chrysler plant installing lug nuts. No wonder the wheels fell off of
> those K-cars.
>
>
> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 19:34:57 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>>am sure we will find out...
>>
>>John
>>
>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 20:29:53 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>> Tracy Fort
>>>> 206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
>>>> Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>>>
>>>
>>>> that help you jonny?
>>>
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 14:26:22 -0700, John Smith
>>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Beer Belly:
>>>>
>>>>I have sent complaints to:
>>>>abuse@cox.net
>>>>
>>>>Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]

>>>>NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
>>>>Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
>>>> 68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
>>>>Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
>>>> 68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255
>>>>
>>>>... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
>>>>started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
>>>>is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...
>>>>
>>>>... have a nice day ...
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>>>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>>>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>>>>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>>>>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>>>>> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>>>>> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1707. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:20:26 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <24ltf1tkorirtodfu3sq1km4mu5hdjtkh5@4ax.com>
Source record: 115958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Guess I was right...as usual.

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 22:18:49 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Beer Belly:
>
>Nice try...
>
><yawn>
>
>John
>
>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 01:12:39 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>> By your email address, I thought you might be into programming in
>> assy. After I read your posts, I realized that you must work at the
>> Chrysler plant installing lug nuts. No wonder the wheels fell off of
>> those K-cars.
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 19:34:57 -0700, John Smith
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>>>am sure we will find out...
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 20:29:53 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Tracy Fort
>>>> 206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
>>>> Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> that help you jonny?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 14:26:22 -0700, John Smith
>>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>

>>>>>Beer Belly:
>>>>>
>>>>>I have sent complaints to:
>>>>>abuse@cox.net
>>>>>
>>>>>Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]
>>>>>NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
>>>>>Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
>>>>> 68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
>>>>>Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
>>>>> 68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255
>>>>>
>>>>>... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
>>>>>started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
>>>>>is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...
>>>>>
>>>>>... have a nice day ...
>>>>>
>>>>>John
>>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>>>>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>>>>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>>>>>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>>>>>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>>>>>> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>>>>>> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1708. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:21:48 UTC
From: Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <c6ltf118vhiuccs6t99g3er2bpkbpi3npc@4ax.com>
Source record: 115959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Of course assy is not that difficult. I guess you could do it.

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 01:20:26 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:

>Guess I was right...as usual.
>
>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 22:18:49 -0700, John Smith
><assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Beer Belly:
>>
>>Nice try...
>>
>><yawn>
>>
>>John
>>
>>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 01:12:39 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> By your email address, I thought you might be into programming in
>>> assy. After I read your posts, I realized that you must work at the
>>> Chrysler plant installing lug nuts. No wonder the wheels fell off of
>>> those K-cars.
>>>
>>>
>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 19:34:57 -0700, John Smith
>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>>>>am sure we will find out...
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 20:29:53 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Tracy Fort
>>>>> 206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
>>>>> Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> that help you jonny?
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 14:26:22 -0700, John Smith
>>>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Beer Belly:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I have sent complaints to:
>>>>>>abuse@cox.net
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Location: United States [City: Warner Robins, Georgia]
>>>>>>NOTE: More information appears to be available at NET-68-99-172-0-1.
>>>>>>Cox Communications Inc. COX-ATLANTA-2 (NET-68-96-0-0-1)
>>>>>> 68.96.0.0 - 68.111.255.255
>>>>>>Cox Communications Inc. AT-RDC-69-99-172-0 (NET-68-99-172-0-1)
>>>>>> 68.99.172.0 - 68.99.175.255
>>>>>>
>>>>>>... along with the time, date and a copy of your post. It is time someone
>>>>>>started keeping an eye on you, when your mouth starts issuing threats it
>>>>>>is probably and indication you have had much trouble before...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>... have a nice day ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>John
>>>>>>
>>>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:12:28 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:41:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 13:12:04 -0400, Bierbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>See! You made my point. As I said before, it's not the person. It's
>>>>>>>>>the act and you condone it. Let's just all piss on your parents or
>>>>>>>>>grandparents graves if you ever had any.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>How did you know I was spontaneously spawned?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The whole point of Homey doing this and publishing the pictures was to
>>>>>>>>make you upset. You have behaved in a magnificent manner.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I pee'd on the Picasso statue in front of the Chicago City Hall once.
>>>>>>>>I had to go, and it seemed as good a spot as any at 4 AM in the snow.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Again, you have not a clue. I'm far from upset. If I caught you
>>>>>>> pissing on one of my relative's graves, the law would be the least of
>>>>>>> your worries. As a matter of fact, you would have no worries.

# 1709. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:31:10 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <yGALe.178183$HI.38220@edtnps84>
Source record: 115960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel wrote:

> Tracy Fort
> 206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
> Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>
>
> that help you jonny?
>
>

--
Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident. please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!

I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began
to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1710. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:34:44 UTC
From: GraveDigger <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <oultf1lu5macd64fkgddf2e1heueuki45m@4ax.com>
Source record: 115961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Sporting a new moniker just for you and mommy .....

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 05:31:10 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>
>> Tracy Fort
>> 206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
>> Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>>
>>
>> that help you jonny?
>>
>>

# 1711. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Tracy Fort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:35:39 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <LKALe.178184$HI.12924@edtnps84>
Source record: 115962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bierbarrel wrote:

> The most fun I ever had!

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident. please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1712. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Tracy Fort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:37:24 UTC
From: GraveDigger <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <54mtf1lemj9o65j1emjctd8jpjev1rgd3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 115963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

um...umm....good!

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 05:35:39 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>Bierbarrel wrote:
>
>> The most fun I ever had!
>
>
>
>
>
>Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who
>happened to be my mother:
>
>
>==========
>==========
>Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before
>she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't
>complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those
>foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.
>
>love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones
>
>rattllin' bones!
>
>squeek...squeek...
>
>Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder
>is she stunk that bad in life?
>
>She is very fresh but not that fresh!
>
>hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....
>
>Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not
>toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
>will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
>hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the
>plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
>substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
>please feel free to include this post in your sig file!
>

>You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!
>
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
>I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began
>to come alive!
>I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.
>
>Pork and bones....
>
>DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!
>
>The most fun I ever had!
>
>M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
>250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
>Robins ANG,
>GA. 31098-2009
>
>beerbarrel@cox.net
>
>http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD
>
>==========
>==========
>
>This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all
>cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his
>attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in
>rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy
>expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers
>and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to
>purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the
>good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.
>

# 1713. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:45:22 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <STALe.178185$HI.145319@edtnps84>
Source record: 115964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

GraveDigger wrote:

> Sporting a new moniker just for you and mommy .....
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 05:31:10 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:
>
>
>>Bierbarrel wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Tracy Fort
>>>206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
>>>Warner Robins, Ga 31088

You should stay off the computer when you're drunk. Remember how you embarrassed yourself the last time? There IS help available for alcoholism. Try it, you just may return to being a human being again.

mike

--
Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not
toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the
plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began
to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all
cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his
attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in
rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy
expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers
and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to
purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the
good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1714. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Tracy Fort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:49:21 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <BXALe.178186$HI.52355@edtnps84>
Source record: 115965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

GraveDigger wrote:

> um...umm....good!

Alcoholism IS treatable. Get help, you loser.

mike

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

um...umm....good!

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident. please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1715. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Tracy Fort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:56:04 UTC
From: GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <56ntf1dlbpjmm0dkppfp0avj3lp80vhkok@4ax.com>
Source record: 115966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for the advice. Too bad Canuckyism has no cure.

Good luck...

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 05:49:21 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>GraveDigger wrote:
>
>> um...umm....good!
>
>
>Alcoholism IS treatable. Get help, you loser.
>
>
>
>
>mike
>
>
>Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who
>happened to be my mother:
>
>
>==========
>==========
>Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before
>she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't
>complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those
>foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.
>
>love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones
>
>rattllin' bones!
>
>squeek...squeek...
>
>Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder
>is she stunk that bad in life?
>
>She is very fresh but not that fresh!
>
>hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....
>
>um...umm....good!

>
>Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not
>toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
>will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
>hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the
>plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
>substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
>please feel free to include this post in your sig file!
>
>You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!
>
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
>I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began
>to come alive!
>I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.
>
>Pork and bones....
>
>DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!
>
>The most fun I ever had!
>
>Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...
>
>M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
>250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
>Robins ANG,
>GA. 31098-2009
>
>beerbarrel@cox.net
>
>http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD
>
>==========
>==========
>
>This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all
>cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his
>attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in
>rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy
>expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers
>and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to
>purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the
>good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.
>

# 1716. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 05:59:07 UTC
From: GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <2bntf1prp14scsv1nljf474grsvr0f3pd1@4ax.com>
Source record: 115967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.

I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 05:45:22 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>GraveDigger wrote:
>
>> Sporting a new moniker just for you and mommy .....
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 05:31:10 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Bierbarrel wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Tracy Fort
>>>>206 Pheasant Ridge Dr
>>>>Warner Robins, Ga 31088
>
>
>You should stay off the computer when you're drunk. Remember how you embarrassed
>yourself the last time? There IS help available for alcoholism. Try it, you just
>may return to being a human being again.
>
>
>mike

# 1717. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 06:08:58 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <_dBLe.178190$HI.112942@edtnps84>
Source record: 115968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

GraveRobber wrote:

> I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
>
>
> I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.

Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

um...umm....good!

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident. please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began

to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics Anonymous to him.

# 1718. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 07:09:01 UTC
From: Glen Dayle <8MQ@anon>
Message-ID: <ddmqkf$1nk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

This was a better than usual try, John.
The Troll had his/her fun, but it is time to move on.
"Flush" (gurgle, gurgle)
Another wanna-be chunk of excrement returns home...

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.14.05.18.48.366057@gmail.com...
Beer Belly:

Nice try...

<yawn>

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 01:12:39 -0400, Bierbarrel wrote:

<Troll mischief snipped>

## 1719. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 07:13:54 UTC
From: Glen Dayle <8MQ@anon>
Message-ID: <ddmqth$2s0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >
> It's useless to try and decipher his mindless ramblings.

really? then why do you all spend hours picking them apart?
.............

As if you are important enough to get an answer....
Take a hike...

## 1720. I Gonna Get You....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 07:50:37 UTC
From: Coward Me <Wogie@shithed.com>
Message-ID: <ddmt2c$b7n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 115971
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Garsh dangit, who am I now? I have been around for years and you guys ain't bullshitting me. As sure as my name is Roger Wiseman, I know you guys are using false names.

Stagger Lee is Brian Burke and we all know where Brian lives. Give me another week or so and I'll stalk Brian and post all of his personal information. And what I don't know, I will make up.

I'll trace your ISP's and post them, too. Then I'll make up some fairy tale about your kids being arrested for heinous crimes, and nobody will be able to disprove them because I hide behind anonymous remailers.

I live alone, have no family, have no future and have lots and lots of time to attack you assclowns.

I'll be back.

# 1721. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 11:29:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <42FF2B36.A086596E@milestones.com>
Source record: 115972

Glen Dayle wrote:

> This was a better than usual try, John.
> The Troll had his/her fun, but it is time to move on.
> "Flush" (gurgle, gurgle)
> Another wanna-be chunk of excrement returns home...

Oh, so you found your map.

LMAO at the 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

# 1722. Re: Saggytits (Brian P.Burke) Lee makes yet another bonehead statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 12:10:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <302cb991b793b659360c689c3615d950@dizum.com>
Source record: 115973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <1123974560.543875.15820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Assholes like you are the reason why I abandoned ham radio
years after
> earning a general class ticket at age 13.

No, from all accounts you were so unwelcome you started all
kinds of interference with your over the legal limit linear
amplifier.

> If I wanter

What's "wanter," you stupid old fuck?

> to associate with
> foul mouthed jerks like you, which I don't, I'd hang around in
cheap
> bars.

Tsk, tsk, you can't even get in a bar, eh? At least not
hetrosexual ones.

> What is it about ham radio today that appears to attract the
very
> lowest end of the food chain? Perhaps it's time that the
license
> requirements were elevated to include a comprehensive
knowledge of
> civilized behavior.
>
> Harry C. (Cunt) (ex K2JEZ)

And *you* still couldn't get yours back, dumbfuck.

# 1723. Re: What a surprise! Saggytits continues to run from his photo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 13:15:22 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050814131522.91F7217130@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddm8m9$hht$0@pita.alt.net>
"Cricket" <nospam@anon> wrote:
>
>
> Yes,

No, Lardass, you guessed wrong again.

But you have been wrong all your life.

# 1724. Re: Drunk deviant Mark Capt Morgan makes an ass of himself, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 13:25:39 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <H53N8HO338578.3928125@anonymous>
Source record: 115976

In article <1123962429.935075.46010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>
> > No, illiterate drunken deviant, we aren't.
>
> Steve, just because someone calls you doesn't mean that you
must reply.
> There is no FCC rule stating that you must reply. After all,
Heil
> would ignore an "OSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS..." signal.

Hey stupid Brian, what's an "OSOS" signal? You wouldn't mean an
"SOS" signal, would you, dumbass?

> So in the end, it is
> your choice to reply or not.
>
> A person who lacks judgement will reply to any and all
callers, even if
> he knows they are out of band. A wiser person would be more
selective
> in who he replies to. Especially if the callers are out of
band, as it
> was with Dave/K8MN. It is poor amateur practice to reply to
out of
> band Frenchmen calling you on 6 Meters, no matter how
vigorously you
> defend such operations.

Hi Brian P(Pussy). Burke! How's tricks on your 70x120 lot in the
Pickerington Meadows?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1725. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 13:25:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124025955.549543.135880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115975

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >Just one thing, Lennie.
>
> Are we now down to denigrative dimunutives of everyone?

Gee, Len, Alun is just following your example. Is that wrong?
>
> >I am a foreign citizen myself.
>
>Not a dispute with me.

Obviously it is.

>However, an Australian citing Australian
>radio regulations, with a clearly-identifiable Australian postal
>address, NOT having a U.S. radio license and NOT being affected
>by U.S. radio regulations is considered "foreign" in my >unofficial
>tally of respondents to WT Docket 05-235.

Why?

Suppose that Australian was considering moving to the US
and getting a US amateur radio license. Or he might want a US license
for some other reason. He would be affected by US regulations.

Except for one claim here more than 5-1/2 years ago, *you*
have not expressed any desire to obtain a US amateur radio
license. So you are no more affected by US regulations than
the Australian.
>
> > However, I am a US permenent resident and have a US ham
> > licence (not only a US licence, mind
> > you). My comments say nothing about my citizenship.
>
>I have no dispute with that. If you have a U.S. radio license
>then you are bound to U.S. radio regulations when transmitting
>from U.S. territory using that callsign.

So would an Australian who is not a citizen.

> >I suggest that it isn't
> >relevant, and it's the country of residence that is important. > >Of course, I
> >can't vote in elections, but the FCC comment process is
> >specifically open
> >to anyone who cares to comment, even non-hams like you, LOL!
>
> The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Mass Media Radio

> (broadcasting) Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a
> Commenter is IN Mass Media radio services.

And regardless of whether a commenter is a citizen or resident
of the USA.

> The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Private Land Mobile
> Radio Services' Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a
> Commenter is IN Private Land Mobile radio services.

And regardless of whether a commenter is a citizen or resident
of the USA.

> The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Aviation Radio Services'
> Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a Commenter is IN
> Aviation radio services.

And regardless of whether a commenter is a citizen or resident
of the USA.

> The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Maritime Radio Services'
> Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a Commenter is IN
> Maritime radio services.

And regardless of whether a commenter is a citizen or resident
of the USA.

> The FCC is "open" to ALL Comments on Personal Communications
> Radio Services' Regulations REGARDLESS of whether or not a
> Commenter is IN Personal Communications radio services.

And regardless of whether a commenter is a citizen or resident
of the USA.

> However, in HERE whenever someone's particular personal ox
> is gored or merely irritated with a scratch there seems to be
> a sounding of the Hue and Cry! with all the outraged and
> offended forming a posse to Hang Them High! :-)

Yep, that's how you behave, Len. All the time.

FCC is open to the comments of all interested parties, regardless of
age, gender, race, creed, ethnic origin, whether a commenter is a
citizen or resident of the USA, whether they are an FCC licensee or
not, etc.

You're not nearly so open to comments.

> >Voiding one comment because the person specifically said they > >were a
> >foreign citizen doesn't make much sense, as there are in fact > >others from
> >foreign citizens that simply don't say (at least one, i.e. me, > >but statistically
there must be others).

Lots of others. They don't count to Len.
>

>Tough snit, Alun. I am doing a private unofficial tallying of
>opinions on WT Docket 05-235.

How is anyone to know if your "tallying" is reliable, Len?

Why should anyone trust your reading of the comments, given
your behavior here all these years?

>If my "voiding" of ONE Australian
>Commenter's Comment in this tally is offensive, then simply add
>in that ONE Comment in the "appropriate" category (of your
>choice) after, of course, finding it and reading it to determine
>what that Commenter is saying.

We don't know what other massaging of the data you've done, though...

> >In fact I suspect that out of 1414 comments it's statistically > >likely that
> >there are some signed with bona fide US calls that are from
> >foreign
> >citizens who have never lived in the US.

It's almost a certainty.

> >You know there is US VE testing in
> >all the countries that have US bases, and it isn't just the
> >military who
> >take the tests, but plenty of locals too. You would have
> >to look up
> >everybody's address to find out who lives outside the US,
> >and information
> >on citizenship of hams simply doesn't exist, so there
> >is no way to verify it.

Bingo.

>As I wrote on Mark's posting, ANYONE is free to go into the FCC
>ECFS and READ EVERY Comment and decide for themselves, do their
>own unofficial "poll" of opinions and post that.

Why should anyone bother? It's been shown that the majority
opinion is not binding on FCC. They do what they decide to
do, and majority opinion is only one small factor.

Why do *you* bother? It's clear what FCC wants to do - been
clear for years.

>As of midnight
>EDT on 12 August 2005 there were a total of 1437 Comments on WT
>Docket 05-235. [that includes the very first document there, the
>NPRM of the FCC which, by its own statement, would be in >the "For"
>category. The entirety of the ECFS contents is "open" to ALL
>who have Internet access, foreign or domestic, citizen or alien.

> Let me remind you and others of one important thing that the
> "clubhouse" mentality hams

Who are they? Name some names and calls, not your usual vague
references and "denigrative dimunutives".

>don't fully understand: Neither the
>FCC Commissioners NOR FCC Staff involved with U.S. amateur radio
>regulations are required to hold any amateur radio license grant
>in order to MAKE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio regulations.
>[see Part 1 of Title 47 C.F.R. for a comprehensive set of
>regulations on what the FCC is about, how it is organized, what
>it is required to do by LAW as described in the Communications
>Act of 1934 and as amended in the Telecommunications Act of
>1996...those Acts passed by the Congress of the United States]

I don't know a single US radio amateur who doesn't know and
understand that fact, Len. What's the big deal?

>The First Amendment of the United States Consitution specifically
>states that CITIZENS are given the freedom to "speak" to their
>government on anything they so wish.

And the FCC extends that right to noncitizens and even nonresident
noncitizens as well. Who are you to rescind it?

>As a citizen of the United
>States of America that is what I am doing, have done, will
>continue to do. That is the RIGHT of ALL United States citizens
>on United States LAW.

well, bully for you, Len! Do you really think all your spamming
of ECFS makes one bit of difference to FCC?

Why shouldn't Alun and the unnamed Aussie have the same ability?

# 1726. Re: put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 13:46:16 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <PTVOV4ZN38578.6571296296@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115977

In article
<1123951858.485037.206020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> go ahead Sevie hide

Wrong guess again, Queer Marky.

> but put up or shut up

Learn English, you fucking moron.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

## 1727. Re: put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 13:52:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124027566.426666.131260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115978

an_old_friend wrote:
> go ahead Sevie hide
>
> but put up or shut up

(1) I don't use anonymous remailers.

(2) Done on the put up.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1728. No so Secwet Fairy Saggytits Brian makes yet another boneheaded statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 13:52:46 UTC
From: Stagger_Lee_is_a_Babyraper <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <DAU07ML538578.6616435185@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115979

In article <crayola.boy.woger.efs.up@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits (Brian P.Burke) Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined:

Poor little cunt Brian.........

> [nothing important]

Poor Saggytits aka Brian P. Burke. The only thing he has is
taking things out of context, lying and making excuses.

Saggy tits Lee, unthinking fuckwit, apologizes and makes excuses
for his lack of rational reasoning ability in Message-ID:
<wogers.sig.cwacks.me.up@houst&#65533;on-hunks.com>:
"an inability to "rationalize" is a highly desirable trait."

Only to mindless fuckwits like you, Saggytits.

Enjoy the RL shit coming your way.
Muwhahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!

Message-ID: <PM000391E0437DDF8D@dhcppc1.home.com>
"Roger has not caused any injury. If you were able
to use a kill filter or simply ignored his posts he would go
away. It's
because every time he posts you are magically compelled to read
it and
respond, that he makes you angry."

--
Stagger Lee, Brian Pussyface Burke:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

# 1729. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:02:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124028155.542763.142420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > > > > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> > > > > > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> > > > > > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> > > > > > organizations.
> > > > >
> > > > As an intercom in a very short range area. Nothing more. It
> > > > never was.
> > > >
> > > break
> > > > > > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> > > > > > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> > > > > > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
> > > > >
> > > > That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > > >
> > > you know it is truely amazing the lies you tell Stevie
> > >
> > Now you are TRULY embarrassing yourslef with such a claim, Markie.
> >
> not at all
>
> you simply never hear anything like that here int eh UP or in Central
> Illinois where I used to live

Then it's probably because dimwit Markie has his 11 meter rig fed
to a 2 meter antenna.

> You may hear some of it round major cities, but I don't hear in in
> Milwalkee or Indy or St louis where I travel regularly

Obviously you don't travel to anyn of them often, otherwise you'd
hear even more...And M I G H T learn how to spell them along the way.

> I hear some clear problem around Chicago when I am there, sound like
> too many radios users not enough spectrum

Sounds like too many people with no radio discipline and nothing
better to do.

> But you claim that turning on the simplest CB will prove what you
> calim is simply a LIE

No, it's not.

> The condiction vary from place to place

What's a "condiction"? How does it vary? Electrically or
mechanically?

> > > I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
> > > sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
> > > when it is there it is REALLY there)
> >
> > Well aren't you lucky. You must be the one of 10 million CBers to
> > NOT have had any of these problems.
>
> maybe luck, maybe being polite to people helps

Maybe because you're one of the uncivil creeps that do those sort
of things and you just consider it normal...

> But your statement is lie

Nope.

I'm listening to a trashmouthed idiot on Ch 16 as I write
this...Claims to be "One Bodacious Bad### in Chi-town...come back..."

I'll let you look it up on Mapquest, Markie, but I am more than a
couple hours drive from Chicago!

> > > only experenced any real porblem in/near some of the large cities where
> > > due to the silliness of allocating that small amount of specturm to so
> > > many people problem are bound to occur.
> >
> > Uh huh...right.
>
> right and of course
>
> still never heard anyone say audio on CB, why would somebody say that?

Poor Markie...Never heard anyone say "audio" on a radio before.
Probably never heard them say "Good Buddy" or "Negatory" either...

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1730. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:07:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124028447.507701.59440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on
> > > >> even
> > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > > >
> > > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with 11
> > > meters, Steve?
> >
> > Is anything I said not accurate, Steveo?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Dunno, do you listen to 11 meters much?

When I get on I-24 between here and Cleveland, TN an hour and a
half each way, 3-4 times a month.

And again I ask if anything I said in the previous post was not
accurate?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1731. DUMBASS LLOYD ALERT: SHITCAN MAN SPAMS LIKE HE DOES ON NIMBUSTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:10:36 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <6K4AX3AV38578.6740277778@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 115982

In article <1123964497.953515.43160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
looooser_woger@yahoo.com aka Lardass Lloyd Davies the 31 year
old VIRGIN wrote:

> <NOTHING OF IMPORTANCE>
>
> Woger, my asshole is LOOOOOSER now that nigger assfuck me.

We know,Davies, now run along your Pedophile mentor Steve
Holsten is calling you.

## 1732. Re: where's the Great Lib Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:11:28 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050814141128.64AE7170E9@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 115983

In article
<b81d2$42fec287$d1cc5938$22492@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"0ink-o1nk" <cleanshave...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Sat night an I can't find em anyplace. I feel incomplete
without
> my weekly dosage of Lib Net porriage and sugar.

You sure don't have a date, eh, LARDASS the 31 year old VIRGIN!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

# 1733. Re: K4YZ, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:21:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050814102148.276$st@newsreader.com>
Source record: 115984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching
> > > > >> on even
> > > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > > > >
> > > > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with
> > > > 11 meters, Steve?
> > > >
> > > Is anything I said not accurate, Steveo?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Dunno, do you listen to 11 meters much?
>
> When I get on I-24 between here and Cleveland, TN an hour and a
> half each way, 3-4 times a month.
>
> And again I ask if anything I said in the previous post was not
> accurate?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Todd and Mark occupy most of your time, as far as I can
see from your cross-posts to rec.radio.cb.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/
```

# 1734. more off topic comments censored by Mr Free Speech, KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:29:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124029756.656610.3280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> cuting all materail relavant to radio or law or even the people in the
> NG

"cutting"

"material"

"relevent"

> Nothing left

Plenty left...Just none of it pertained to YOU.

Instead of "...or even people in the NG", you SHOULD have wrote
"...or nothing that even resembles me".

Restoring what Markie was "cuting" for comment.

W4NTI said:

We have enjoyed the redneck jokes for years. It's time to take a
reflective look at the core beliefs of a culture that values home,
family, country and God. If I had to stand before a dozen terrorists
who threaten my life, I'd choose a half dozen or so rednecks to back me
up. Tire irons, squirrel guns and grit -- that's what rednecks are made
of. I hope I am one of those. If you feel the same, pass this on to
your redneck friends. Ya'll know who ya' are...

A-yup.

You might be a redneck if: It never occurred to you to be offended by
the phrase, "One nation, under God."

I make it a point of saying it just a little bit louder hoping I
induce a stroke in some ACLU twit.

You might be a redneck if: You've never protested about seeing the
10 Commandments posted in public places.

People who protest them are the same one's who usually aren't
anywhere near a church unless it involves a wedding or funeral...All
that "don't lie, don't cheat, don't steal" stuff make's em nervous.

(Probably why pagans don't have any "commandments"...)

You might be a redneck if: You still say "Christmas" in! stead of
"Winter Festival."

Or "KAWANZAA!

You might be a redneck if: You bow your head when someone prays.

Markie said he "cut" everything that didn't pertain to anyone, yet just 3 days ago he was talking about respect for others religion...Guess that was only while it was productive to his rants to do so.

You might be a redneck if: You stand and place your hand over your heart
when they play the National Anthem.

I lock up.

I still can't sing it all the way through as it makes me teary-eyed.

Again, another "problem" I am sure Markie doesn't suffer.

You might be a redneck if: You treat Viet Nam vets with great respect, and always have.

If I see a guy with any kind of "Been There" patch, I'll ask them if they were...I give them my thanks and a hand shake.

You might be a redneck if: You've never burned an American flag.

Hmmmmmmmmmm....Whelp, have to own up to this one...Of course it was a Ceremonial Retirement, and the tattered flags were being "retired" from service. A very solemn event.

You might be a redneck if: You know what you believe and you aren't afraid to say so, no matter who is listening.

Hence no anonymous remailer for me.

You might be a redneck if: You respect your elders and expect your kids to do the same.

Markie can't wait to share body fluids with a REAL "female" so he can raise kids to lie and cheat. Said so himswlf.

You might be a redneck if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.

What's a dollar...?!?!

God Bless the USA!

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOORAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAH!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1735. K4YZ is Not A CB Hater~~~Still Waiting for Steveo's Answer To Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:32:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124029955.063349.195610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > > >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching
> > > > > >> on even
> > > > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with
> > > > > 11 meters, Steve?
> > > > >
> > > > Is anything I said not accurate, Steveo?
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > Dunno, do you listen to 11 meters much?
> > >
> > When I get on I-24 between here and Cleveland, TN an hour and a
> > half each way, 3-4 times a month.
> >
> > And again I ask if anything I said in the previous post was not
> > accurate?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Todd and Mark occupy most of your time, as far as I can
> see from your cross-posts to rec.radio.cb.

Then you'd be mistaken, Sir.

Steve, K4YZ
```

# 1736. Re: day 3 of Markie the Hallucinating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:50:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124031033.263075.254280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115987

an_old_friend wrote:
> in the saga of Markie the Hallucinating
> quote
>
> Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???
>
>
> Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???
>
> unquote
>
> we have him weaseling back and forth like the bug he is

How do you figure that?

> have you or haven't put up or shut up?

I didn't tell you?

> When are you going to put or shut up?

Two days ago.

> The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
>
> 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc

Nope. You're just hoping for company. Sorry to disappoint you.

> 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"

I don't know what a "condiction" is.

> 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully

Might be...but I'm not.

> 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> headline of this peice is absolutely true

What is "turely" mad? Must be a new "condiction".

> I list all the possibities and Stevie just goes on with bluff and
> bluster

Nope.

> BTW I admit to the Stevie charge of Flaming him here, but if Stevie has
> not been lying one or two phones call stop that

Nothing stops in "one or two phones (sic) call", Markie.

But files open and records are made.

> we shall see

I doubt you could see your shadow on a clear summer day, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1737. Re: day 3 of Markie the Hallucination, And Brain the Loser Has A New Party Name To Hide Behind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:53:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124031199.009116.177570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115988

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > have you noticed that sinc I began calling bluff he has been a LOT
> > quietier or is that just me
>
> He only has something to say when he is on the attack.

FYI, fellas...I work weekend nights.

Not even getting the chance to rub either of your noses in your silliness is enough fun to interrupt my schedule.

I see Brain has a new screen name to try and dilute his ramblings with.

Won't help, though.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1738. Re: Markie, Cries "Coward and Bully", Too Late!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 14:58:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124031530.708094.316490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115989

an_old_friend wrote:
> Stevie for years now, I am the rest of the Newsgrups has endured your
> abuse, your threats and your lies

You're the rest of the newsgroup?

I don't think so!

You might THINK you are, but there's lot of other folks here who
might have something to say about it.

> You ahve accused me and crime and threatened with Murder arest and
> comitment to a mental inistitution, claiming the porfessional
> credentcial to make the judgement

Mark, you need to get those "anger issues" under control, slow
down and WRITE IN ENGLISH ! ! ! !

> I am not seeking to elecit yet another threat. I seeking your action.

Too late.

> Put up or shut up, My preference is of course that you shut up your
> phycobabble, and you lies but it is your choice

"phycobabble"...?!?!

More Markisms made on-the-fly...?!?!

> you have stated that I am a danger to myself and others, If that were a
> true statement I should locked up by the authorities, you know that.

As well you may. And my comments may just sit on a desk. But
then they may accumulate.

> You also obviously Know it is not true, that you are lying when you say
> it is true

All true.

> I am calling your bluff

Too late.

> Coward
> Bully
>
> those are the names properly aplied to you

Nope.

> put up or shut up

Too late.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1739. Markie Rambing...Finds People "Insisting" Where None was Made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:04:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124031887.781921.221710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115990

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > In other words, Hans is condoning poor
> > > > amateur practice.
> > >
> > > Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor amateur practice".
> > >
> > > Dit dit
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
>
> Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to

Markie...WHERE in that post did either Hans >>OR<< I "insist" that
you do something?

Hans correctly stated "Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor
amateur practice".

Brainless then states that Riley says "good amateur practice" is
enforceable, which it is. However he STILL has not "made a case" that
demonstrates "poor amateur practice"....

Steve, K4YZ

## 1740. Re: I Gonna Get You....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:11:44 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <2b7ca859e686f01911c78589cea86e5d@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 115992
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddmt2c$b7n$0@pita.alt.net>
"Coward Me" <W...@shithed.com> wrote:
>
> Garsh d (Brian's whining)

Hi Brian! You sure are a whining cunt, no matter which voice (of
the many) you use in your head.

# 1741. Re: K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:13:21 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <V7EJ6U7338578.4676041667@anonymous>
Source record: 115993

In article <1123890836.494934.275560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > or in the more likely verision has been caught in another whopper
> >
> > Stevie claims it is his public duty to save the NG and the World from
> > asomeone that he claims is a"danger to themselves and others" and yet
> > he refuses to spend the effort of making the one phone call it would
> > take to save the nation from this grave peril.
> >
> > The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
> >
> > 1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
> >
> > 2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
> >
> > 3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
> >
> > 4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> > headline of this peice is absolutely true
> >
> > I'll report you time will decide
>
> He's nuts. Not necessarily harmless, but definitely nuts. Slashed
> tires, bricks through windows, and all that.

Poor Brian, projecting again, dumbass Lee?

2512 Spindlehill Dr.
Cincinnati, OH, 45230-1084
(513) 624-7329

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1742. More Markie Mularkie To Muddle Mature Men's Minds.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:13:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124032424.441913.79950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115991

an_old_friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > AOF:
> >
> > Making slurs to anothers' mental state is more a form of making a point,
> > doing a wake-up call, striking them over the head with a "text 2x4", etc...
>
> it is libel, and is an afront to the disabled

But Markie...Depending on which rant you're foisting off on us
today, you're NOT disabled...Or are you?

> > Don't take it so personal and you will not be so tempted to drop into
> > vulgarities... a slightly better tactic, don't you agree?
>
> I call things by there right name, calling #### caviar would not change
> the taste

Most mature adults refer to it as feces.

So that pretty well leaves you out, I guess, Markie.

> But it is quite personal
>
> I am tired of receiving email of stevie's picture and the phrase "the
> thing your ever see"

So who's sending you my picture? Sure isn't me.

You need to take that up with whoever's doing it.

> Tired of the threats and tired of watching him threaten others

What threats?

> I can call his bluff on these matter, so I will, it is a matter of
> principle

What bluff?

> > If I drop into a newsgroup, and see one using vulgarities (yes, I have
> > done it, and regret it)--I tend to form a negative opinion of them,
> > sometimes I am unable to reform that opinion--others probably have my
> > failing... do you see my point?
>
> you know in the long run I choose to judged on who I am, that is person
> that would answer stuff like stevie with the word "Bull####" if that is
> how I felt. I 'd address Stevie the same way if were in the same room.

Not more than once you wouldn't.

> anyone that wants to not like me for that, well that is ok by me,
> plenty of people don't like me, but those that do like me like me and
> can be trusted generaly

People don't much care about your profanities, Markie...It just
further delineates you as the unsupervised child that you are...

It's the lying and the silliness that prevails with your
profanities that gets you laughed at.

> > Don't get so "blinded" you focus on the personality of the individual,
> > focus on what he is saying, form your attacks to the principal(s), not the
> > person... now making helpful suggestions on how an individual can improve
> > his short-comings is a positive venture. <sly-grin>
>
> If stevie wants to even get near principlas you might have a point

Done did that. Have tried for years.

> but stevie has some problem not sure just what since he is an LPN. My
> mother in RN and could have her license pulled for claiming someone was
> "a danger to themselves or others" without properly reporting it and
> BEING able to back it up

I can only shudder to think what series of nightmares occured that
allowed a trained RN to raise an person like you, Markie?

Was it she or daddy that tought you how to lie?

> you will note that Stevie hasn't responded after trying another threat
> to "be carefull what I ask for posts"
> >
> > ... just a suggestion, of course you will do as you see fit ...
>
> of Course I will but thank you for your concern

Too late.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1743. Re: Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:15:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124032538.123672.201580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > > > As already stated. I didn't threaten him, I warned him.
> > >
> > > Other may view defferently of course, and you found it neccasary to
> > > send 2 preplies saying almost the the same thing.
> >
> > Well gee willikers, Mr Wizard, YOU did the exact same thing in
> > another thread just last night. (At 2:23 and 2:24 11 August 2005 under
> > "An Even BETTER Question for Brain...")
> >
> > Any reason for THAT...?!?!
> >
> > Or just exercising your Hypocrite option?
>
> ptu up or shut up

(1) "put"

(2) You didn't answer the question.

(3) Too late.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1744. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:21:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124032919.037277.157840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115995

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Stevie for years now, I am the rest of the Newsgrups has endured your
> > abuse, your threats and your lies
>
> You're the rest of the newsgroup?

I am part of
>
> I don't think so!
>
> You might THINK you are, but there's lot of other folks here who
> might have something to say about it.
>
> > You ahve accused me and crime and threatened with Murder arest and
> > comitment to a mental inistitution, claiming the porfessional
> > credentcial to make the judgement
>
> Mark, you need to get those "anger issues" under control, slow
> down and WRITE IN ENGLISH ! ! ! !
>
> > I am not seeking to elecit yet another threat. I seeking your action.
>
> Too late.

what action?
>
> > Put up or shut up, My preference is of course that you shut up your
> > phycobabble, and you lies but it is your choice
>
> "phycobabble"...?!?!
>
> More Markisms made on-the-fly...?!?!
>
> > you have stated that I am a danger to myself and others, If that were a
> > true statement I should locked up by the authorities, you know that.
>
> As well you may. And my comments may just sit on a desk. But
> then they may accumulate.
>
> > You also obviously Know it is not true, that you are lying when you say
> > it is true
>
> All true.
>

> > I am calling your bluff
>
> Too late.
>
> > Coward
> > Bully
> >
> > those are the names properly aplied to you
>
> Nope.
>
> > put up or shut up
>
> Too late.

more empty words Stvie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1745. Re: more off topic comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:23:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124032995.846860.75370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > cuting all materail relavant to radio or law or even the people in the
> > NG
cuitng off topic remarks
> > Nothing left
>
> Plenty left...Just none of it pertained to YOU.
>
> Instead of "...or even people in the NG", you SHOULD have wrote
> "...or nothing that even resembles me".
>
again nothing remotely on topic

# 1746. Re: day 3 of Setvie coawrdice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:24:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033085.703505.101130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115997

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > have you noticed that sinc I began calling bluff he has been a LOT
> > > quietier or is that just me
> >
> > He only has something to say when he is on the attack.
>
> FYI, fellas...I work weekend nights.

stil waiting for action Stvie
>
> Not even getting the chance to rub either of your noses in your
> silliness is enough fun to interrupt my schedule.
>
> I see Brain has a new screen name to try and dilute his ramblings
> with.
>
> Won't help, though.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1747. K4YZ "brave" Marine Supreme Nurse Betrays the safety of the Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:26:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033207.748476.154530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115998

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > AOF:
> > >
> > > Making slurs to anothers' mental state is more a form of making a point,
> > > doing a wake-up call, striking them over the head with a "text 2x4", etc...
> > >
> > it is libel, and is an afront to the disabled
>
> But Markie...Depending on which rant you're foisting off on us
> today, you're NOT disabled...Or are you?

still waiting for action from Stevie
>
> > > Don't take it so personal and you will not be so tempted to drop into
> > > vulgarities... a slightly better tactic, don't you agree?
> >
> > I call things by there right name, calling ##### caviar would not change
> > the taste
>
> Most mature adults refer to it as feces.
>
> So that pretty well leaves you out, I guess, Markie.

still waiting for action stevie
>
> > But it is quite personal
> >
> > I am tired of receiving email of stevie's picture and the phrase "the
> > thing your ever see"
>
> So who's sending you my picture? Sure isn't me.
>
> You need to take that up with whoever's doing it.
>
> > Tired of the threats and tired of watching him threaten others
>
> What threats?
>
> > I can call his bluff on these matter, so I will, it is a matter of
> > principle
>
> What bluff?
>

> > > If I drop into a newsgroup, and see one using vulgarities (yes, I have
> > > done it, and regret it)--I tend to form a negative opinion of them,
> > > sometimes I am unable to reform that opinion--others probably have my
> > > failing... do you see my point?
> >
> > you know in the long run I choose to judged on who I am, that is person
> > that would answer stuff like stevie with the word "Bull####" if that is
> > how I felt. I 'd address Stevie the same way if were in the same room.
>
> Not more than once you wouldn't.
>
> > anyone that wants to not like me for that, well that is ok by me,
> > plenty of people don't like me, but those that do like me like me and
> > can be trusted generaly
>
> People don't much care about your profanities, Markie...It just
> further delineates you as the unsupervised child that you are...
>
> It's the lying and the silliness that prevails with your
> profanities that gets you laughed at.
>
> > > Don't get so "blinded" you focus on the personality of the individual,
> > > focus on what he is saying, form your attacks to the principal(s), not the
> > > person... now making helpful suggestions on how an individual can improve
> > > his short-comings is a positive venture. <sly-grin>
> >
> > If stevie wants to even get near principlas you might have a point
>
> Done did that. Have tried for years.
>
> > but stevie has some problem not sure just what since he is an LPN. My
> > mother in RN and could have her license pulled for claiming someone was
> > "a danger to themselves or others" without properly reporting it and
> > BEING able to back it up
>
> I can only shudder to think what series of nightmares occured that
> allowed a trained RN to raise an person like you, Markie?
>
> Was it she or daddy that tought you how to lie?
>
> > you will note that Stevie hasn't responded after trying another threat
> > to "be carefull what I ask for posts"
> > >
> > > ... just a suggestion, of course you will do as you see fit ...
> >
> > of Course I will but thank you for your concern
>
> Too late.

still waiting for action Stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1748. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:27:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033276.668715.127900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 115999

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > In other words, Hans is condoning poor
> > > > > amateur practice.
> > > >
> > > > Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor amateur practice".
> > > >
> > > > Dit dit
> > > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
> >
> > Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> > obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> > the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to
>
> Markie...WHERE in that post did either Hans >>OR<< I "insist" that
> you do something?

but you do soo all the time

Never said he or did that post, more Stevie lies

>
> Hans correctly stated "Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor
> amateur practice".
>
> Brainless then states that Riley says "good amateur practice" is
> enforceable, which it is. However he STILL has not "made a case" that
> demonstrates "poor amateur practice"....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1749. Re: K4YZ is A CB Hater, and a liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:29:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033369.974568.217030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > > > >> That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching
> > > > > > >> on even
> > > > > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Aren't you too busy flaming ham radio operators to be bothered with
> > > > > > > 11 meters, Steve?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is anything I said not accurate, Steveo?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > Dunno, do you listen to 11 meters much?
> > > >
> > > When I get on I-24 between here and Cleveland, TN an hour and a
> > > half each way, 3-4 times a month.
> > >
> > > And again I ask if anything I said in the previous post was not
> > > accurate?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Todd and Mark occupy most of your time, as far as I can
> > see from your cross-posts to rec.radio.cb.
>
> Then you'd be mistaken, Sir.

nope he is quite correct Me and Todd (and len and BB of course take up
much of your time
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1750. Re: put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:30:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033415.847401.8890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116102

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > go ahead Sevie hide
> >
> > but put up or shut up
>
> (1) I don't use anonymous remailers.
>
> (2) Done on the put up.

realy? what "action" have you taken?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1751. Re: put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:30:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033426.556328.104520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116101

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > go ahead Sevie hide
> >
> > but put up or shut up
>
> (1) I don't use anonymous remailers.

prove it
>
> (2) Done on the put up.

realy? what "action" have you taken?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1752. Re: Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:31:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033485.867874.317650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > > As already stated. I didn't threaten him, I warned him.
> > > >
> > > > Other may view defferently of course, and you found it neccasary to
> > > > send 2 preplies saying almost the the same thing.
> > >
> > > Well gee willikers, Mr Wizard, YOU did the exact same thing in
> > > another thread just last night. (At 2:23 and 2:24 11 August 2005 under
> > > "An Even BETTER Question for Brain...")
> > >
> > > Any reason for THAT...?!?!
> > >
> > > Or just exercising your Hypocrite option?
> >
> > ptu up or shut up
>
> (1) "put"
>
> (2) You didn't answer the question.

No I did not
>
> (3) Too late.

realy what "action" have you taken
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1753. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:33:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124033600.804210.182460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116104

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>
> Where did I mention a "joke"? Where did I mention "funny"?
>
> I mentioned "satire". Satire isn't often "funny". I was holding Brians SOS
> question up to ridicule (because it was ridiculous). For that you call me a
> son-of-a-bitch?

obviously
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1754. Stevie Claims "action" more like missing in action most likely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:40:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124034037.029636.17080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116105

KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie aleegs that he has made good one of his long standing threats, of course he does not bother to say which threat he has crarried out

But If he called the Cops they would have acted in a few minutes, if they Beleived him

If He call the mental Health people then that would take a bit longer IF they believed him, But by law in Michigan a serious allegeation that a person is a danger to himself or other must be investigated witin 24 hours

We will see, assuming I am still a free man tomarrow at say 1 pm local time that should show Stevie wasn't believed

Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying , again

# 1755. Re: Saggytits Lee (Brian P. Burke) takes his spank out of context and whines as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 15:50:05 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <ed1df70971ccfdbeaa347569268118c8@dizum.com>
Source record: 116106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddm8v7$im2$0@pita.alt.net>
"Cricket" AKA LARDASS <nospam@anon> wrote:
>
>
> How many (Lardass' IKYABWAI)

Poor Lardass, posting on a Saturday night all alone, just so typical of the 31 year old VIRGIN!

Saggytits Lee:
How's GLORB.com working out for you, Brian? Or is this his hideous wife Patti A?

# 1756. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:05:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.16.05.37.744231@gmail.com>
Source record: 116120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

-=jd=-:

Funny you should think in terms of fear, most who do so are usually afraid little children or an kook attempting to intimidate others, or supporting those who do, either just as disgusting.

You mistake my intolerance of bullies and kooks tossing around threats--with fear--I make no such mistake... I simply find threatening mental cases intolerable... that punk intimidates no one...

However, I don't believe that ISP is going to like ignoring him making threats. If those threats are made know to the ISP (by a number of complaints), and they do nothing, they can be sued big-time in the event that kook harms anyone or another property, they DO have an obligation by law.

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:34:52 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:

> On Sat 13 Aug 2005 10:34:57p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> message news:pan.2005.08.14.02.34.56.16477@gmail.com:
>
>>
>> I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>> am sure we will find out...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Highly doubtful an ISP would bother to intercede on a conversation in
> *usenet* where one party promised to respond to another parties inclination
> to urinate on graves. But it is curious to see you running so scared. Keeping
> in tune with the thread, since you are seeking ISP intervention in such an
> urgent fashion, perhaps instead of peeing on someone's grave, you've peed all
> over yourself?
>
> I would imagine that since the folks who would personally take you down as
> hard as they could for urinating on their relative's graves most likely far
> outnumber the folks like yourself who believe urinating on a grave is a
> "healthy" exercise of freedom of speech, good luck with the ISP thing; you'll
> need it.
>
> -=jd=-

## 1757. We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:05:44 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ddnq4o$pbr$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 116107

Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a new issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!

## 1758. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:09:32 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <c5ruf1lasgmdsjdh7e9ku0ioim2u7pggue@4ax.com>
Source record: 116108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:41:09 GMT, "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net>
wrote:

>
>On Sun 14 Aug 2005 01:31:10a, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
>news:yGALe.178183$HI.38220@edtnps84:
>
>Mikey,
>
>Out of curiosity, do you consider urinating on Nixon's grave as "protected
>speech"?
>
>
>-=jd=-
It would be an interesting trial.

# 1759. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:20:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cbKLe.6192$RS.3561@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116109

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> Thanks for the background info...
>
> I have already written dave off as just another "yes man" to the status
> quo... he is a heckler here and his chief method of operation is
> character assassinations...

Oh, damn! His *two* methods here are character assassinations on those with little character and countering their ridiculous assertions. No, his *three* methods here are...

Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!

Dave K8MN

# 1760. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:25:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124036738.693341.18670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116110

Dave Heil wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Len:
> >
> > Thanks for the background info...
> >
> > I have already written dave off as just another "yes man" to the status
> > quo... he is a heckler here and his chief method of operation is
> > character assassinations...
>
> Oh, damn! His *two* methods here are character assassinations on those
> with little character and countering their ridiculous assertions. No,
> his *three* methods here are...
>
> Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!

and No one get the Spanish Inquisition

What we get is the Stevie Inquiisition

with a little helo from you Dave
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1761. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:27:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124036823.718826.152680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116111

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a new
> issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
> attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!

well gee you will have to wait a bit longer People Like Stevie, Dave
and Jim Still think there is life in the issue yet, that is what all
the personal attacks are about

# 1762. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:28:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZiKLe.6195$RS.3276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116112

an_old_friend wrote:

> wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
> ...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
> sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio

The first thing I'd notice is that there were no static dots in front of the first "ayday". I'd thus assume that it was you, actually yelling "adyday".

> Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
> send ...---...--- and hope for the best at interavals as long that
> battery would still make sparks but Dave and prehaps a good many other
> MMM would not help me

Why not admit that you'd have no idea whether anyone was coming with assistance since you couldn't read the response? It might help if you mixed your distress call with information about your estimated position. Then you and your big battery could hope for the best.

Dave K8MN

# 1763. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:28:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.16.28.48.21666@gmail.com>
Source record: 116117

Dave:

Lost with no character/personality to attack, confused?

Focus on what is at discussion, forget who is saying it, may help.

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 16:20:24 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> Thanks for the background info...
>>
>> I have already written dave off as just another "yes man" to the status
>> quo... he is a heckler here and his chief method of operation is
>> character assassinations...
>
> Oh, damn! His *two* methods here are character assassinations on those
> with little character and countering their ridiculous assertions. No,
> his *three* methods here are...
>
> Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1764. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:31:14 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1124037073.810170.271950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116113

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:

> >For that you call me a son-of-a-bitch?
>
> obviously

Speaks volumes!

> > P L O N K < <

# 1765. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:34:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.16.34.36.645574@gmail.com>
Source record: 116116

Alun:

Agreed!

Some suggestions of mine:

1) How to attract large numbers of new general+ licensees.
2) How to set examples of civil conversation, debate, and exchange.
3) Discussion of other amateur policy which can be adjusted to promoted
increased and improved exchanges.
4) Attempting to encourage arrl into reformation so they do represent all
amateurs and gain additional membership.
5) etc.

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 16:05:44 +0000, Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a new
> issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
> attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!

# 1766. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:38:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124037534.757903.276930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116114

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
> > ...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
> > sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio
>
> The first thing I'd notice is that there were no static dots in front of
> the first "ayday". I'd thus assume that it was you, actually yelling
> "adyday".

BUZZZ
well that is you making up facts not in eveidence , another Steviesm

rendered even more ineffective by being very very late

>
> > Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
> > send ...---...--- and hope for the best at interavals as long that
> > battery would still make sparks but Dave and prehaps a good many other
> > MMM would not help me
>
> Why not admit that you'd have no idea whether anyone was coming with
> assistance since you couldn't read the response? It might help if you
> mixed your distress call with information about your estimated position.
> Then you and your big battery could hope for the best.

but as you say I can't use morse code so obviously I can't mix in my
position nor receive, therefore my Only Hope is RDF, but obviously Not
from MMM like you. only folks that Might try and help me are the
NoCoders

which is ofc ourse a poor coment on the users of the Morse Code, and
the proponents of continued use and testing for same

Unlike you If I heard such a signal, (where would such a spark gap
signal end up BTW?) I world try to find the sender some help, or to
have him arested if this was a bad joke, ether way, round here I'd call
the Coasties, if I could not find a ham to help me, and heard such a
signal. I know they have RDF gear. I saw some of it at the open house
this spring
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1767. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:39:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mtKLe.6197$RS.2509@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116115

b.b. wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
>>>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
>>>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
>>>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
>>>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
>>>>it to you.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>>
>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> DXers.

Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.

Dave K8MN

# 1768. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 16:56:15 UTC
From: GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <motuf1tbt87lvb0qngjajdp5irr0i43lct@4ax.com>
Source record: 116118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 16:37:59 GMT, "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net>
wrote:

>On Sun 14 Aug 2005 12:09:32p, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:c5ruf1lasgmdsjdh7e9ku0ioim2u7pggue@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:41:09 GMT, "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>On Sun 14 Aug 2005 01:31:10a, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
>>>news:yGALe.178183$HI.38220@edtnps84:
>>>
>>>Mikey,
>>>
>>>Out of curiosity, do you consider urinating on Nixon's grave as "protected
>>>speech"?
>>>
>>>
>>>-=jd=-
>>
>> It would be an interesting trial.
>>
>
>That's beside the point. I'm curious to see how strongly he considers
>urinating on a grave as "protected speech"; but in the pissing contest
>(pardon the pun) between him and Bierbarrel, does he *not* also consider
>Bierbarrel's trash-talking about his deceased mother as "protected speech"?
>
>-=jd=-

That's a very good point. I think that it's funny that he reposts it
everytime I make a post. I like to read it again and again.

# 1769. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:01:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124037598.921106.247440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:41:09 GMT, "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >On Sun 14 Aug 2005 01:31:10a, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
> >news:yGALe.178183$HI.38220@edtnps84:
> >
> >Mikey,
> >
> >Out of curiosity, do you consider urinating on Nixon's grave as "protected
> >speech"?
> >
> >
> >-=jd=-
> It would be an interesting trial.

Nah the appeals on both side would be the interesting part, the trail
would likely be pretty cut and dried

# 1770. Re: day 3 of Stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:08:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jUKLe.6205$RS.3763@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116121

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>in the saga of Stevie the coward
>> quote
>>
>> Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???
>>
>>
>> Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???
>>
>>unquote
>>
>>we have him weaseling back and forth like the bug he is
>>
>>have you or haven't put up or shut up?
>>
>>When are you going to put or shut up?
>>
>>The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
>>
>>1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
>>
>>2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
>>
>>3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
>>
>>4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
>>headline of this peice is absolutely true
>>
>>I list all the possibities and Stevie just goes on with bluff and
>>bluster
>>
>>
>>BTW I admit to the Stevie charge of Flaming him here, but if Stevie has
>>not been lying one or two phones call stop that
>>
>>we shall see
>
>
> Steve would be right at home in one of the prison "interview" rooms in
> Pakistan. His method is intimidation and fear.

His two methods are intimidation and fear. Damn! His three methods are intimidation, fear and surprise.

Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!

Dave K8MN

# 1771. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:14:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CZKLe.6207$RS.3191@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116122

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Len:
>>>
>>>Thanks for the background info...
>>>
>>>I have already written dave off as just another "yes man" to the status
>>>quo... he is a heckler here and his chief method of operation is
>>>character assassinations...
>>
>>Oh, damn! His *two* methods here are character assassinations on those
>>with little character and countering their ridiculous assertions. No,
>>his *three* methods here are...
>>
>>Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!
>
>
> and No one get the Spanish Inquisition
>
> What we get is the Stevie Inquiisition
>
> with a little helo from you Dave

Well, a little hello to you too, Mark.

Dave K8MN

# 1772. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:16:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <P%KLe.6208$RS.5374@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116123

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Lost with no character/personality to attack, confused?
>
> Focus on what is at discussion, forget who is saying it, may help.
>
> John

"John", sounds like the way MoveOn.org works. Are you affiliated?
Should we ignore the veracity of any commenter too?

Dave K8MN

> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 16:20:24 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Len:
>>>
>>>Thanks for the background info...
>>>
>>>I have already written dave off as just another "yes man" to the status
>>>quo... he is a heckler here and his chief method of operation is
>>>character assassinations...
>>
>>Oh, damn! His *two* methods here are character assassinations on those
>>with little character and countering their ridiculous assertions. No,
>>his *three* methods here are...
>>
>>Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>

# 1773. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:17:15 UTC
From: GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <hnuuf15gt0hj3v5oeil22h0taq4tc59vho@4ax.com>
Source record: 116124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John,
That is where you have a total lack of understanding. There is a big
difference between myself and a bully. I'm trying to bully no one.
Bullys pick on people just to pick on them. I have no intention of
harming anyone. I simply stated that if I caught him pissing on one of
my relative's graves I would take the law into my own hands. I would
do the same to you or anyone else that I caught doing it. Let me
rephrase it for you.

IF I CAUGHT ANYONE PISSING ON A RELATIVE'S GRAVE THEY WOULD NOT HAVE
TO WORRY ABOUT THE POLICE

That is a long way from a threat. Is it simple enough for you? Does
your childish mind understand?

Now, go tighten some more lug nuts and leave decent folk alone wiil
ya?

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 09:05:40 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>-=jd=-:
>
>Funny you should think in terms of fear, most who do so are usually afraid
>little children or an kook attempting to intimidate others, or supporting
>those who do, either just as disgusting.
>
>You mistake my intolerance of bullies and kooks tossing around threats--
>with fear--I make no such mistake... I simply find threatening mental
>cases intolerable... that punk intimidates no one...
>
>However, I don't believe that ISP is going to like ignoring him making
>threats. If those threats are made know to the ISP (by a number of
>complaints), and they do nothing, they can be sued big-time in the event
>that kook harms anyone or another property, they DO have an obligation by
>law.
>
>John
>
>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:34:52 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:
>
>> On Sat 13 Aug 2005 10:34:57p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
>> message news:pan.2005.08.14.02.34.56.16477@gmail.com:
>>
>>>

>>> I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>>> am sure we will find out...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>
>> Highly doubtful an ISP would bother to intercede on a conversation in
>> *usenet* where one party promised to respond to another parties inclination
>> to urinate on graves. But it is curious to see you running so scared. Keeping
>> in tune with the thread, since you are seeking ISP intervention in such an
>> urgent fashion, perhaps instead of peeing on someone's grave, you've peed all
>> over yourself?
>>
>> I would imagine that since the folks who would personally take you down as
>> hard as they could for urinating on their relative's graves most likely far
>> outnumber the folks like yourself who believe urinating on a grave is a
>> "healthy" exercise of freedom of speech, good luck with the ISP thing; you'll
>> need it.
>>
>> -=jd=-

# 1774. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:20:06 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <42FF7D46.ED7E2C8B@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 116129
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
> > PM:
> >
> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
> >
> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
> >
> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
> >
> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
> > project would most likely be computer related.
> >
> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
> >
> > John
> >
>
> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
> that you seem to despise so.
>
> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
> etc.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.

Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students wouldn't understand the question.

My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets, but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet - but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.

If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix trick to show off.

The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards of antennas, propagation, etc.

Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm not that talented.

Dave
VE3HLU

# 1775. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:21:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <f4LLe.6209$RS.1453@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116125

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
>>>...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
>>>sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio
>>
>>The first thing I'd notice is that there were no static dots in front of
>>the first "ayday". I'd thus assume that it was you, actually yelling
>>"adyday".
>
>
> BUZZZ
> well that is you making up facts not in eveidence , another Steviesm

Take a look at your own words above. The fact is very much in evidence.

> rendered even more ineffective by being very very late

Is there a time limit on response times to posts, Colonel?

>
>>>Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
>>>send ...---...--- and hope for the best at interavals as long that
>>>battery would still make sparks but Dave and prehaps a good many other
>>>MMM would not help me
>>
>>Why not admit that you'd have no idea whether anyone was coming with
>>assistance since you couldn't read the response? It might help if you
>>mixed your distress call with information about your estimated position.
>> Then you and your big battery could hope for the best.
>
>
> but as you say I can't use morse code so obviously I can't mix in my
> position nor receive, therefore my Only Hope is RDF, but obviously Not
> from MMM like you. only folks that Might try and help me are the
> NoCoders

Sorry, Mark, I doubt you'll find any help there. You'll just be stuck
with some fellows who ask, "Wonder what that funny noise is"?

> which is ofc ourse a poor coment on the users of the Morse Code, and
> the proponents of continued use and testing for same

I agree, you've made a poor comment.

> Unlike you If I heard such a signal, (where would such a spark gap
> signal end up BTW?) I world try to find the sender some help, or to
> have him arested if this was a bad joke, ether way, round here I'd call
> the Coasties, if I could not find a ham to help me, and heard such a
> signal. I know they have RDF gear. I saw some of it at the open house
> this spring

Roger. Out.

Dave K8MN

## 1776. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:24:45 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <phvuf11j2t1bflj1n6oq9pr5fsob0rhv48@4ax.com>
Source record: 116126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 14 Aug 2005 10:01:01 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:41:09 GMT, "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >On Sun 14 Aug 2005 01:31:10a, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
>> >news:yGALe.178183$HI.38220@edtnps84:
>> >
>> >Mikey,
>> >
>> >Out of curiosity, do you consider urinating on Nixon's grave as "protected
>> >speech"?
>> >
>> >
>> >-=jd=-
>> It would be an interesting trial.
>
>Nah the appeals on both side would be the interesting part, the trail
>would likely be pretty cut and dried
>
The case could certainly be made that Nixon deserved to be pissed-on.
Especially if the judge were Jewish.

# 1777. Billy Beeper Paroled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:30:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124040629.152680.242070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116127

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > have you noticed that sinc I began calling bluff he has been a LOT
> > > quietier or is that just me
> >
> > He only has something to say when he is on the attack.
>
> FYI, fellas...I work weekend nights.

Hi! You must be well thought of where you work. I hope you're at
least getting shift-differential.

> Not even getting the chance to rub either of your noses in your
> silliness is enough fun to interrupt my schedule.

All work and no play?

> I see Brain has a new screen name to try and dilute his ramblings
> with.

"Billy Beeper" has been paroled, and has to live in Hans half-way house
for code junkies.

> Won't help, though.

Nooooo body hides from the Super Sleuth Steven J. Robeson,
K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS.

# 1778. Re: day 3 of Stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:34:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124040862.141648.194590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116128

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >>in the saga of Stevie the coward
> >> quote
> >>
> >> Where did I say it was a "public duty"...???
> >>
> >>
> >> Who said calls have not been/are not being made...???
> >>
> >>unquote
> >>
> >>we have him weaseling back and forth like the bug he is
> >>
> >>have you or haven't put up or shut up?
> >>
> >>When are you going to put or shut up?
> >>
> >>The turth or at least close to it is one or more of the following
> >>
> >>1 Stevie may simply be the one sick and suffering Delusions etc
> >>
> >>2 Stevie may simply be lying about My "condiction"
> >>
> >>3 Stevie may simply be a coward and a Bully
> >>
> >>4 or it is possible that I am turely mad as he says in which the
> >>headline of this peice is absolutely true
> >>
> >>I list all the possibities and Stevie just goes on with bluff and
> >>bluster
> >>
> >>
> >>BTW I admit to the Stevie charge of Flaming him here, but if Stevie has
> >>not been lying one or two phones call stop that
> >>
> >>we shall see
> >
> >
> > Steve would be right at home in one of the prison "interview" rooms in
> > Pakistan. His method is intimidation and fear.
>

> His two methods are intimidation and fear. Damn! His three methods are
> intimidation, fear and surprise.
>
> Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!
>
> Dave K8MN

Branch Water Dave strikes again!

He has the Spanish Inquisition over in Pakistan. Hi!

# 1779. Re: Echos from the past, code a hinderence to a ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:38:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.17.38.34.40135@gmail.com>
Source record: 116130

Dave:

There is no man but Jesus who could be trusted, the rest must all be
controlled by the people they serve...

Ideas, logic, principals, methods etc. must be judged on their merit
alone, and not who presents them. A bad idea presented by bush, congress
or courts is still a bad idea.

A good idea presented by a wino looses no value...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:16:31 +0000,
Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Lost with no character/personality to attack, confused?
>>
>> Focus on what is at discussion, forget who is saying it, may help.
>>
>> John
>
> "John", sounds like the way MoveOn.org works. Are you affiliated?
> Should we ignore the veracity of any commenter too?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 16:20:24 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Len:
>>>>
>>>>Thanks for the background info...
>>>>
>>>>I have already written dave off as just another "yes man" to the status
>>>>quo... he is a heckler here and his chief method of operation is
>>>>character assassinations...
>>>
>>>Oh, damn! His *two* methods here are character assassinations on those
>>>with little character and countering their ridiculous assertions. No,
>>>his *three* methods here are...

>>>
>>>Noooobody expects the Spanish Inquisition!
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>

# 1780. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:39:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ogvuf1danoisg9lcb3flskj4jo78qb0sfc@4ax.com>
Source record: 116131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 14 Aug 2005 07:02:35 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124028155.542763.142420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> I hear some clear problem around Chicago when I am there, sound like
>> too many radios users not enough spectrum
>
> Sounds like too many people with no radio discipline and nothing
>better to do.

Sounds like a lot of newsgroup posters.

>> But you claim that turning on the simplest CB will prove what you
>> calim is simply a LIE
>
> No, it's not.
>
>> The condiction vary from place to place
>
> What's a "condiction"?

It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
communication deficit can understand what he meant.

> How does it vary? Electrically or
>mechanically?

Geographically. Or is there another interpretation of the phrase "from
place to place"?

>> > > I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
>> > > sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
>> > > when it is there it is REALLY there)
>> >
>> > Well aren't you lucky. You must be the one of 10 million CBers to
>> > NOT have had any of these problems.
>>
>> maybe luck, maybe being polite to people helps
>
> Maybe because you're one of the uncivil creeps that do those sort
>of things and you just consider it normal...
>
>> But your statement is lie
>
> Nope.

>
> I'm listening to a trashmouthed idiot on Ch 16 as I write
>this...Claims to be "One Bodacious Bad### in Chi-town...come back..."
>
> I'll let you look it up on Mapquest, Markie, but I am more than a
>couple hours drive from Chicago!

Was the transmission blocking an emergency communication on channel
16? Does your statement hold for all 40 channels? IOW, if you turn to
a different channel (say, for example, channel 9), is it not silent
for more than 10 seconds?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1781. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:44:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.17.44.41.658840@gmail.com>
Source record: 116132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

If what you say is true, no harm has been done, if not, only good can come.

I suppose that is why no one would take one suggestion that someone is "out-of-line" too seriously, it there are more voices added one should investigate... all I did was show what one of their users was using their service for, they may well approve, who knows?

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:17:15 -0400, GraveRobber wrote:

> John,
> That is where you have a total lack of understanding. There is a big
> difference between myself and a bully. I'm trying to bully no one.
> Bullys pick on people just to pick on them. I have no intention of
> harming anyone. I simply stated that if I caught him pissing on one of
> my relative's graves I would take the law into my own hands. I would
> do the same to you or anyone else that I caught doing it. Let me
> rephrase it for you.
>
>
>
> IF I CAUGHT ANYONE PISSING ON A RELATIVE'S GRAVE THEY WOULD NOT HAVE
> TO WORRY ABOUT THE POLICE
>
>
> That is a long way from a threat. Is it simple enough for you? Does
> your childish mind understand?
>
> Now, go tighten some more lug nuts and leave decent folk alone wiil
> ya?
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 09:05:40 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>-=jd=-:
>>
>>Funny you should think in terms of fear, most who do so are usually afraid
>>little children or an kook attempting to intimidate others, or supporting
>>those who do, either just as disgusting.

>>
>>You mistake my intolerance of bullies and kooks tossing around threats--
>>with fear--I make no such mistake... I simply find threatening mental
>>cases intolerable... that punk intimidates no one...
>>
>>However, I don't believe that ISP is going to like ignoring him making
>>threats. If those threats are made know to the ISP (by a number of
>>complaints), and they do nothing, they can be sued big-time in the event
>>that kook harms anyone or another property, they DO have an obligation by
>>law.
>>
>>John
>>
>>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:34:52 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:
>>
>>> On Sat 13 Aug 2005 10:34:57p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> message news:pan.2005.08.14.02.34.56.16477@gmail.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> I forwarded the address to the isp. If there are sufficient complaints, I
>>>> am sure we will find out...
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>
>>> Highly doubtful an ISP would bother to intercede on a conversation in
>>> *usenet* where one party promised to respond to another parties inclination
>>> to urinate on graves. But it is curious to see you running so scared. Keeping
>>> in tune with the thread, since you are seeking ISP intervention in such an
>>> urgent fashion, perhaps instead of peeing on someone's grave, you've peed all
>>> over yourself?
>>>
>>> I would imagine that since the folks who would personally take you down as
>>> hard as they could for urinating on their relative's graves most likely far
>>> outnumber the folks like yourself who believe urinating on a grave is a
>>> "healthy" exercise of freedom of speech, good luck with the ISP thing; you'll
>>> need it.
>>>
>>> -=jd=-

# 1782. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:49:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124041783.200007.184160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116133

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
> afflicted with perpetual PMS.
>
> Dave K8MN

Smug Dave, I don't recall Mark ever working out-of-band Frenchmen on
6M. So far I think he's got you beat as an A1 Op.

# 1783. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:51:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.17.51.15.149083@gmail.com>
Source record: 116134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David:

I must say, nancy leaping up with his dead corpse and packing it all over the nation like some object of worship was one of the most disgusting things I have ever witnessed in a civilized country established "... of the people, by the people and for the people.."

Regan was actually a fool which a bunch of "hollywood worshipers" managed to place in office. With out a doubt, he should never have been president, suffering alzheimers as he did. Looking back on his interactions with the press and his speechs to the public it is now easy to see. I just wrote him off as a "dummy", but was no less confused at how such a poor actor (pun intended) could have ever gained office...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:24:45 +0000, David wrote:

> On 14 Aug 2005 10:01:01 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>David wrote:
>>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:41:09 GMT, "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >On Sun 14 Aug 2005 01:31:10a, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
>>> >news:yGALe.178183$HI.38220@edtnps84:
>>> >
>>> >Mikey,
>>> >
>>> >Out of curiosity, do you consider urinating on Nixon's grave as "protected
>>> >speech"?
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >-=jd=-
>>> It would be an interesting trial.
>>
>>Nah the appeals on both side would be the interesting part, the trail
>>would likely be pretty cut and dried
>>
> The case could certainly be made that Nixon deserved to be pissed-on.
> Especially if the judge were Jewish.

# 1784. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:55:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124042143.959878.52740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116135

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>KØHB wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>Likewise.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>more of your cuting context
> >>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
> >>>
> >>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
> >>>are sick as well as unfeeling
> >>
> >>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
> >>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
> >
> >
> > well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>
> Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
> in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
>
> I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.

I find your receipt of the "A1 Operator Award" laughable. It must have
been a long, long time ago.

# 1785. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 17:57:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124042251.067036.269420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116136

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>
> So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.
>
> And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
> like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
> can't guarantee they won't distress you.

SATIRE = JOKE

> > I don't realy care what you knew some time ago
>
> Likewise.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 1786. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:00:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PKqdnahwX_YiG2LfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116137

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ddnq4o$pbr$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
> Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a
> new
> issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
> attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!

How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders? We're apt to get
a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1787. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:01:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124041496.334919.38930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116138

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > IndeedSuch campassionate ops exist on CW
>
> Captain Obvious, meet Captain Satire-Immune.
>
> Mark, I spent years and years at sea standing radio watches in view of a clock
> with the 15-18 minute and 45-48 minute positions outlined in red. I know, first
> hand, the gut-tightening sound of a real SOS. You may have seen one portrayed
> in a movie, so go play make-believe.
>
> de Hans, K0HB

If you are experienced as you claim, then you would have known how to
answer the question. As it is, you failed, even though the FCC does
not require you to respond to "OSOSOSOS..." or to even operate a radio
at all. Your answer falls into the category, "Poor Amateur Practice."

# 1788. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:02:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124042546.665988.79680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116139

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>
> Where did I mention a "joke"? Where did I mention "funny"?

Satire. Satire is humor.

> I mentioned "satire". Satire isn't often "funny".

You aren't funny. You had no business standing watches listening for
"SOS."

I was holding Brians SOS
> question up to ridicule (because it was ridiculous). For that you call me a
> son-of-a-bitch?
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

What about an SOS is ridiculous?

# 1789. Re: Saggytits (Brian P.Burke) Lee makes yet another bonehead statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:02:42 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <606RDOWW38578.5852083333@anonymous>
Source record: 116141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <ddmenj$a3j$0@pita.alt.net>
"Glen Dayle" <8MQ@anon> wrote:
>
> Hey, Roger.

Hey, Dumbass. You guessed wrong again.

> News flash. Stagger Lee is NOT Brian Burke.

Wrong. Next you'll be saying it's Sieg Heil, since he's notably absent every
time Saggytits posts.

> BZZZZT
> Wrong again, Rectal Ranger.

Hi Nursie Robbersson. Nice to see you have an anal fetish. You must've gotten
it from emptying bedpans like you LPN's usually do.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 1790. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:03:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e72dnZ2dnZ1C6nKMnZ2dnRcaYt-dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 116140

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.14.16.34.36.645574@gmail.com...
> Alun:
>
> Agreed!
>
> Some suggestions of mine:
>
> 1) How to attract large numbers of new general+ licensees.
> 2) How to set examples of civil conversation, debate, and exchange.
> 3) Discussion of other amateur policy which can be adjusted to promoted
> increased and improved exchanges.
> 4) Attempting to encourage arrl into reformation so they do represent all
> amateurs and gain additional membership.
> 5) etc.
>
> John
>
>

Well number 4 is doomed to failure as not all amateurs will join no matter what the enticements are so it is impossible for them to represent all the amateurs. Plus they don't even have 100% agreement on issues among the existing membership so if they try to represent all their members, they are in an impossible position and will end up doing nothing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1791. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:08:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124042918.734137.168190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116142

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
> > ...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
> > sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio
>
> The first thing I'd notice is that there were no static dots in front of
> the first "ayday". I'd thus assume that it was you, actually yelling
> "adyday".

Smug Dave still trying to be funny abaout an SOS/Mayday.

> > Indeed If truely stuck and needing help but with a big batery Id just
> > send ...---...--- and hope for the best at interavals as long that
> > battery would still make sparks but Dave and prehaps a good many other
> > MMM would not help me
>
> Why not admit that you'd have no idea whether anyone was coming with
> assistance since you couldn't read the response? It might help if you
> mixed your distress call with information about your estimated position.
> Then you and your big battery could hope for the best.
>
> Dave K8MN

Not if you were on the receiving end. You'd be on the other end of the
hallway with a bag of potato chips.

# 1792. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:10:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aOLLe.5086$Wi6.4532@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116143

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> SATIRE = JOKE

Your dictionary is farkled. My Funk & Wagnalls says "ridicule, irony, sarcasm",
with nary a reference to "joke" or "humor".

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1793. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:14:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.18.14.22.897917@gmail.com>
Source record: 116149

Dee:

You are a smart girl, in your text you pin-point the problem exactly!

They fail to focus on the issues which ALL amateurs can work out
agreements on. If they run an agenda which only supports a few hams, or
course they are seen as ineffective and a special interest group.

They will either figure that out, or die when the influx of ideas and
demands simple moves them aside...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 14:03:40 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.14.16.34.36.645574@gmail.com...
>> Alun:
>>
>> Agreed!
>>
>> Some suggestions of mine:
>>
>> 1) How to attract large numbers of new general+ licensees.
>> 2) How to set examples of civil conversation, debate, and exchange.
>> 3) Discussion of other amateur policy which can be adjusted to promoted
>> increased and improved exchanges.
>> 4) Attempting to encourage arrl into reformation so they do represent all
>> amateurs and gain additional membership.
>> 5) etc.
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
> Well number 4 is doomed to failure as not all amateurs will join no matter
> what the enticements are so it is impossible for them to represent all the
> amateurs. Plus they don't even have 100% agreement on issues among the
> existing membership so if they try to represent all their members, they are
> in an impossible position and will end up doing nothing.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1794. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:15:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PSLLe.5894$WD.1890@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116144

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> If you are experienced as you claim, then you
> would have known how to answer the question.

I properly answered a stupid patronizing question with a stupid ridicule(ous)
answer.

> Your answer falls into the category, "Poor Amateur Practice."

"Poor Amateur Practice" happens on the amateur bands. My answer falls into the
category, "Good Usenet Practice", that of poking holes in puffed up balloons.

Dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

# 1795. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:16:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.18.16.20.513180@gmail.com>
Source record: 116250

Dee:

Again, you are right on! Your suggestion will fill a real need and help advance the society of amateur radio.

I like you better all the time girl!

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 14:00:17 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ddnq4o$pbr$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
>> Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a
>> new
>> issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
>> attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!
>
> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders? We're apt to get
> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1796. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:22:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124043755.266650.314990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116145

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > SHOW US where that "poor operating practice" was established.
> >
>
> Here's the short answer.
>
> Dave is responsible for proper operation of >his own< station within the bounds
> of the regulations of his country.
>
> The French guys are responsible for the proper operation of >their own< stations
> within the bounds of the regulations of their country.
>
> Their is no reciprocal responsibility.

Hi!

> Next case, please.

Hans, not so fast.

> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

That was a nice little cover-up for your pal, Dave. Now that you've
covered the short answer, I'll be standing by for the long answer.
Remember that rules codified in Part 97 are explicit, but "Poor Amateur
Practice" covers operating practices not codified. Your black/white
dismissal above is dismissed.

Best of Luck and all Kindest Reguardses.

# 1797. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:24:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124043863.928195.58880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Since when?
> >
>
> For at least several months.
>
> But who cares anyhow? Seniority on rram is probably not something you'd
> necessarily brag about!
>
> Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep beep
> beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep
>
> de Hans, K0HB

I'm just curious how Steve will handle this obvious liar?

# 1798. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:28:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.18.28.24.496422@gmail.com>
Source record: 116251
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave:

No one is denying you the right to your religion of "amateur worship", we all need some high power to look up to. But, you must realize you are in a church which has a very small following (mostly other hams, and NOT all of them!)

Fact is, the computer is a TV with a tv card inserted--a stereo system with a high quality audio card, tuner card inserted--a cd music player with cd and proper software--a dvd player with a dvd-cd and proper software--a home security system with the proper card and related software and backup-power supply--and soon to be an amateur rig with proper receiver card and xmitter card (some are already there!)

Fact is, the computer IS amateurs future--like it or not... only reason the future is not here right now, old amateurs can't adapt and die first... and serve as a hindrance to the new minds bringing the future with them...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:20:06 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:

>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
>> > PM:
>> >
>> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
>> >
>> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
>> >
>> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
>> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
>> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
>> >
>> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
>> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
>> > project would most likely be computer related.
>> >
>> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
>> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack

>> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
>> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
>> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
>> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
>> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>>
>> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
>> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
>> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
>> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
>> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
>> that you seem to despise so.
>>
>> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
>> etc.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
> which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.
>
> Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students
> wouldn't understand the question.
>
> My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets,
> but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their
> mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my
> grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet -
> but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me
> llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.
>
> If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative
> software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone
> always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix
> trick to show off.
>
> The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone
> hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards
> of antennas, propagation, etc.
>
> Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail
> is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a
> soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made
> phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do
> not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm
> not that talented.
>

> Dave
> VE3HLU

## 1799. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:47:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KkMLe.5101$Wi6.2399@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> I'm just curious how Steve will handle
> this obvious liar?

Lie? I show rram posts from WH2T going back into the fall of 2004. Surely that qualifies as "several months".

I'm just curious how Brian will handle this obvious fact.

Dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

## 1800. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 18:52:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <npMLe.5103$Wi6.800@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116148

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Remember that rules codified in Part 97 are explicit,
> but "Poor Amateur Practice" covers operating practices
> not codified.

Last time I checked 5H1US (or 5H-anything) are not beholded to FCC/Part 97
rules.

Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Next case please.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1801. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 19:01:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124043299.018245.269580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116252

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> >>>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> >>>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> >>>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> >>>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> >>>>it to you.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> >>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >>
> >>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> > I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> > DXers.
>
> Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.

David, "bb" isn't the one being graded. I'm curious what it takes to
impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"

# 1802. Re: Saggytits Lee (Brian P. Burke) takes his spank out of context and whines as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 19:36:55 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <ed1df70971ccfdbeaa347569268118c8@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 116253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ddm8v7$im2$0@pita.alt.net>
"Cricket" AKA LARDASS <nospam@anon> wrote:
>
>
> How many (Lardass' IKYABWAI)

Poor Lardass, posting on a Saturday night all alone, just so typical of the 31 year old VIRGIN!

Saggytits Lee:
How's GLORB.com working out for you, Brian? Or is this his hideous wife Patti A?

## 1803. Re: put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 19:37:48 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <8d8983c980b206014909969370df5010@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 116254

In article
<1123951858.485037.206020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> go ahead Sevie hide

Wrong guess again, Queer Marky.

> but put up or shut up

Learn English, you fucking moron.

# 1804. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:08:19 UTC
From: Fun Fun Fun <Richard@cranium.us>
Message-ID: <ddo89h$s89$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:c5ruf1lasgmdsjdh7e9ku0ioim2u7pggue@4ax.com...
On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:41:09 GMT, "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net>
wrote:

>
>On Sun 14 Aug 2005 01:31:10a, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
>news:yGALe.178183$HI.38220@edtnps84:
>
>Mikey,
>
>Out of curiosity, do you consider urinating on Nixon's grave as "protected
>speech"?
>
>
>-=jd=-
It would be an interesting trial.

//

Would pissing on Hillary garner one a Medal of Honor?

# 1805. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:12:06 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <mANLe.31820$_41.14917@fe02.lga>
Source record: 116256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Fun Fun Fun" <Richard@cranium.us> wrote in message

> Would pissing on Hillary garner one a Medal of Honor?
>
>

Huh?

## 1806. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:16:22 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <ddo8ql$2lo$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116257
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
> some hams
> just haven't adapted to building with transistors... don't ask me
why...
>
============================

For one thing, with advancing years, eyesight deteriorates.
---
Reg.
```

# 1807. Woger Wemoves Fourth Photo; Wuns Away Scweaming "Noooooooooooooooo!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:27:48 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.wemoves.his.fourth.photo@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 116258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 15:15:22 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Hairy Woger wrote:
[nothing important]

Ezekiel Wiseman ran from:
http://img77.imageshack.us/img77/9325/wimp2tr.jpg

"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

## 1808. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:28:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YPNLe.6268$RS.2529@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116259

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
>>>>>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
>>>>>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
>>>>>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
>>>>>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
>>>>>>it to you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
>>>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>>>>
>>>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
>>>DXers.
>>
>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
>
>
> David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.

It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the

moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon convenience.

> I'm curious what it takes to
> impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"

My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame? You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.

The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you haven't a leg to stand on.

Dave K8MN

## 1809. Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:32:20 UTC
From: Hot Sauce Lamont <hotsauce@cookout.com>
Message-ID: <ddo9rd$s2i$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 116261

John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> -=jd=-:

## 1810. Re: put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:33:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124051611.453435.271040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116260

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article
> <1123951858.485037.206020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > go ahead Sevie hide
>
go ahead Stevie
>
> > but put up or shut up
>
> Learn English, you fucking moron.

put or shut up is fine english

# 1811. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:37:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124051861.150872.316790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116262

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> >>>>>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> >>>>>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> >>>>>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> >>>>>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> >>>>>>it to you.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>>>
> >>>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> >>>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >>>>
> >>>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
> >>>>
> >>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> >>>DXers.
> >>
> >>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
> >
> >
> > David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
>
> It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
> operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
> years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
> hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're

> looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the
> moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> convenience.

Slaped Silly? and more lie on your part

But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
>
> > I'm curious what it takes to
> > impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>
> My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.

whish has what to do the subject
>
> The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
> haven't a leg to stand on.

and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
out of there band

gee sounds like a cofession to me
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1812. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:41:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124052060.550674.106130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116263

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ddnq4o$pbr$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
> > Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a
> > new
> > issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
> > attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!
>
> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders? We're apt to get
> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.

just how do you propose to do that, after all we are likely to be a
mixed bunch
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1813. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:41:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ofydncmRF5fiMWLfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116264

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.14.18.14.22.897917@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> You are a smart girl, in your text you pin-point the problem exactly!
>
> They fail to focus on the issues which ALL amateurs can work out
> agreements on. If they run an agenda which only supports a few hams, or
> course they are seen as ineffective and a special interest group.
>
> They will either figure that out, or die when the influx of ideas and
> demands simple moves them aside...
>
> John
>

My point was that there is no issue on which all amateurs can agree on the same answer/approach/methodology. Those whose point of view is not adopted, even if they are a very small minority, will start hollering about the ARRL serving only a few hams or special interests despite evidence to the contrary.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1814. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:43:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124052214.741305.325490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 07:02:35 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124028155.542763.142420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> I hear some clear problem around Chicago when I am there, sound like
> >> too many radios users not enough spectrum
> > >
> > > Sounds like too many people with no radio discipline and nothing
> > >better to do.
> >
> >
> > Sounds like a lot of newsgroup posters.
> >
> >
> > >> But you claim that turning on the simplest CB will prove what you
> > >> calim is simply a LIE
> > >
> > > No, it's not.
> > >
> > >> The condiction vary from place to place
> > >
> > > What's a "condiction"?
> >
> >
> > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > communication deficit can understand what he meant.

nice to see Stevie called on something like that

>
>
> > How does it vary? Electrically or
> >mechanically?
> >
> >
> > Geographically. Or is there another interpretation of the phrase "from
> > place to place"?

well said
>
>
> >> > > I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
> >> > > sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although

> >> > > when it is there it is REALLY there)
> >> >
> >> > Well aren't you lucky. You must be the one of 10 million CBers to
> >> > NOT have had any of these problems.
> >>
> >> maybe luck, maybe being polite to people helps
> >
> > Maybe because you're one of the uncivil creeps that do those sort
> >of things and you just consider it normal...
> >
> >> But your statement is lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I'm listening to a trashmouthed idiot on Ch 16 as I write
> >this...Claims to be "One Bodacious Bad### in Chi-town...come back..."
> >
> > I'll let you look it up on Mapquest, Markie, but I am more than a
> >couple hours drive from Chicago!
>
>
> Was the transmission blocking an emergency communication on channel
> 16? Does your statement hold for all 40 channels? IOW, if you turn to
> a different channel (say, for example, channel 9), is it not silent
> for more than 10 seconds?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1815. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:46:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XZmdnZGYL_UKMGLfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 116267

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124052060.550674.106130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:ddnq4o$pbr$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
>> > Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a
>> > new
>> > issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to
>> > personal
>> > attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like
>> > 80m!
>>
>> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders? We're apt to
>> get
>> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.
>
> just how do you propose to do that, after all we are likely to be a
> mixed bunch

Which is exactly why such a discussion could be useful.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

# 1816. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:46:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124052400.017975.309030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116266

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>KØHB wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>Likewise.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>more of your cuting context
> >>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
> >>>
> >>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
> >>>are sick as well as unfeeling
> >>
> >>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
> >>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
> >
> >
> > well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>
> Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
> in morse, is a good subject of a joke.

then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that

>
> I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.

Just comenting on your own words

but then you don't respoibility for your actions

>
> I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
> subject for a joke.

and more of your Stevie type lies

>
> Dave K8MN

# 1817. Re: Saggytits (Brian P.Burke) Lee makes yet another bonehead statement!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:52:24 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <49fb860e51342df1d1a26dc82f335d86@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 116268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <ddmenj$a3j$0@pita.alt.net>
"Glen Dayle" <8MQ@anon> wrote:
>
> Hey, Roger.

Hey, Dumbass. You guessed wrong again.

> News flash. Stagger Lee is NOT Brian Burke.

Wrong.

> BZZZZT
> Wrong again, Rectal Ranger.

Hi Nursie Robbersson. Nice to see you have an anal fetish.; You must've gotten
it from emptying bedpans like you LPN's usually do.

# 1818. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:53:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124052783.437664.113210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116270

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124052060.550674.106130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ddnq4o$pbr$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
> >> > Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a
> >> > new
> >> > issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to
> >> > personal
> >> > attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like
> >> > 80m!
> >>
> >> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders? We're apt to
> >> get
> >> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.
> >
> > just how do you propose to do that, after all we are likely to be a
> > mixed bunch
>
> Which is exactly why such a discussion could be useful.

as I thought I implied well enough but guess not, please by all means
try
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1819. Gee Stevie your promised..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:54:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124052868.930430.20800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116269

You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"

You have not porduced something that I can even notice

## 1820. Re: more off topic BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 20:57:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124053035.802681.293560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > I'm just curious how Steve will handle
> > this obvious liar?
>
> Lie? I show rram posts from WH2T going back into the fall of 2004. Surely that
> qualifies as "several months".

but you cut things worng again
> But who cares anyhow? Seniority on rram is probably not something you'd
> necessarily brag about

was the operant line

and Stevie isnist that Seniority is always important, and anyone that
disagrees with Stevie is a liar

>
> I'm just curious how Brian will handle this obvious fact.

I don't think he needs to bother , you just cut the post up wrong
>
> Dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

## 1821. Re: Gee Stevie your promised..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 21:06:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124053589.779655.244580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116272

an_old_friend wrote:

> You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
>
> You have not porduced something that I can even notice

Mark, I've spent a couple of hours reading through some of my old postings to Steven Robeson and Brian Kelly and a few others. What surprises me is how polite I was to these jerks. Now that I'm often rude to them, I think that I've done nothing more than dirty my own hands. They're still the rude jerks that they always were.

# 1822. Re: Stevie Claims "action" more like missing in action most likely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 21:12:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124053926.485176.69890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116273

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie aleegs
> that he has made good one of his long standing threats, of course he
> does not bother to say which threat he has crarried out
>
> But If he called the Cops they would have acted in a few minutes, if
> they Beleived him
>
> If He call the mental Health people then that would take a bit longer
> IF they believed him, But by law in Michigan a serious allegeation that
> a person is a danger to himself or other must be investigated witin 24
> hours
>
> We will see, assuming I am still a free man tomarrow at say 1 pm local
> time that should show Stevie wasn't believed
>
> Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> again

Possibility???

Hi! Good one!!!

# 1823. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 21:15:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124054104.633007.181570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116274

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Silence is an answer

Like not circling a, b, c, or d on a multiple choice exam is an answer.

Hi! The Mind of Miccolus!

## 1824. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 21:25:25 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <9FOLe.1437$Y55.387@trnddc06>
Source record: 116275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Fun Fun Fun" <Richard@cranium.us> wrote in message
news:ddo89h$s89$0@pita.alt.net...

> Would pissing on Hillary garner one a Medal of Honor?

That would depend. Now, or after she's dead?

# 1825. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 21:32:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.21.32.26.670450@gmail.com>
Source record: 116295
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg:

LOL!!!

Good to see you... well, that is how it looks from here... but, I imagine
it might differ even in other states...

You sure it isn't the same across the pond?

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 20:16:22 +0000, Reg Edwards wrote:

>> some hams
>> just haven't adapted to building with transistors... don't ask me
> why...
>>
> ============================
>
> For one thing, with advancing years, eyesight deteriorates.
> ---
> Reg.

# 1826. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 21:48:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.21.48.12.111574@gmail.com>
Source record: 116276

Dee:

I see the issues as, for example:

1) Induce more licensees so the amateur contains a base of more varied and qualified skills.
2) Inspire a greater interest in manufacturers to provide more and updated equipment.
3) Restore a greater importance and public awareness in amateur radio, ideally, everyone IS a ham, or at least knows one.
4) Structure bandwidth to serve the the greatest number with the modes (protocols) they require or are interested in.
5) Inspired experimenting with adapting the new technologies to amateur radio, and not just on a commercial level, but at a "hands on level" which amateurs can participate in.
6) Clear all barriers and have free access to foreign hams, standardize as much as possible so hams can serve as ambassadors to the world.
7) Attempt to interface amateur with the internet in anyway possible so the amateur radio gains a useful status in todays world which keeps it competitive to sustaining its future.
8) etc, etc, etc...

Once true principals and goals are established for the masses radio is meant to serve the course will become clear, those not working in radios best interest can be shown for what they are and weeded out... some do not wish this... first, arrl has to become a platform to work out these goals from EVERYONES input, and if the pool of amateurs is able to be expanded to a necessary degree and become diverse enough to represent all of technology, it just may...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 16:41:34 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.14.18.14.22.897917@gmail.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> You are a smart girl, in your text you pin-point the problem exactly!
>>
>> They fail to focus on the issues which ALL amateurs can work out
>> agreements on. If they run an agenda which only supports a few hams, or
>> course they are seen as ineffective and a special interest group.
>>
>> They will either figure that out, or die when the influx of ideas and

>> demands simple moves them aside...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> My point was that there is no issue on which all amateurs can agree on the
> same answer/approach/methodology. Those whose point of view is not adopted,
> even if they are a very small minority, will start hollering about the ARRL
> serving only a few hams or special interests despite evidence to the
> contrary.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1827. Re: Stevie Claims "action" more like missing in action most likely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:01:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124056874.345594.125450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116277

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie aleegs
> > that he has made good one of his long standing threats, of course he
> > does not bother to say which threat he has crarried out
> >
> > But If he called the Cops they would have acted in a few minutes, if
> > they Beleived him
> >
> > If He call the mental Health people then that would take a bit longer
> > IF they believed him, But by law in Michigan a serious allegeation that
> > a person is a danger to himself or other must be investigated witin 24
> > hours
> >
> > We will see, assuming I am still a free man tomarrow at say 1 pm local
> > time that should show Stevie wasn't believed
> >
> > Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> > again
>
> Possibility???
>
> Hi! Good one!!!

in this case it is possible that Stevie has made some call(s) but since
he is appreantly delusional about his importance it is possible they
simply laughed at him

## 1828. Re: Gee Stevie your promised..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:04:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124057063.349373.326860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116278

an_old_friend wrote:
> You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
>
> You have not porduced something that I can even notice

Remember the old saying about how long it took to construct Rome?

Steve, K4YZ

## 1829. Mark and Brain Flatter Each Other...But Then Who Would Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:08:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124057297.423901.100770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116279

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
> >
> > You have not porduced something that I can even notice
>
> Mark, I've spent a couple of hours reading through some of my old
> postings to Steven Robeson and Brian Kelly and a few others. What
> surprises me is how polite I was to these jerks.

Sure...You're one of the politeist liars and deceivers in the
group, Brain.

Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
department.

> Now that I'm often
> rude to them, I think that I've done nothing more than dirty my own
> hands. They're still the rude jerks that they always were.

Your hands were as dirty as any from the git-go, Brain. "Lava"
won't get THOSE hands clean.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1830. Markie Claims no "action"... more likely he's missing the point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:15:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116280

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie aleegs
> that he has made good one of his long standing threats, of course he
> does not bother to say which threat he has crarried out

No threats, Markie. And it's "alleged", "alleges", "carried"

> But If he called the Cops they would have acted in a few minutes, if
> they Beleived him

"believed"

Not necessarily.

> If He call the mental Health people then that would take a bit longer
> IF they believed him, But by law in Michigan a serious allegeation that
> a person is a danger to himself or other must be investigated witin 24
> hours

And that investigation does not necessarily require proactive
interaction with the subject.

> We will see, assuming I am still a free man tomarrow at say 1 pm local
> time that should show Stevie wasn't believed

"tomorrow"

Again, not necessarily, Markie. Interesting conversations I had.
Enlightening. Some of my expectations (based upon other state's
methodologies) were left wanting, however there were some interesting
revelations.

> Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> again

I can't be lying "again" since I wasn't lying in the first place.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1831. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:20:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9eCdnZK2m-oHXmLfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 116281

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.14.21.48.12.111574@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> I see the issues as, for example:
>
> 1) Induce more licensees so the amateur contains a base of more varied and
> qualified skills.

How?

> 2) Inspire a greater interest in manufacturers to provide more and updated
> equipment.

How?

> 3) Restore a greater importance and public awareness in amateur radio,
> ideally, everyone IS a ham, or at least knows one.

How?

> 4) Structure bandwidth to serve the the greatest number with the modes
> (protocols) they require or are interested in.

How?

> 5) Inspired experimenting with adapting the new technologies to
> amateur radio, and not just on a commercial level, but at a "hands on
> level" which amateurs can participate in.

How?

> 6) Clear all barriers and have free access to foreign hams, standardize as
> much as possible so hams can serve as ambassadors to the world.

How?

> 7) Attempt to interface amateur with the internet in anyway possible so
> the amateur radio gains a useful status in todays world which keeps it
> competitive to sustaining its future.

How?

> 8) etc, etc, etc...
>

These principals are all well and good but propose some specific, concrete
actions.

> Once true principals and goals are established for the masses radio is
> meant to serve the course will become clear, those not working in radios
> best interest can be shown for what they are and weeded out... some do
> not wish this... first, arrl has to become a platform to work out these
> goals from EVERYONES input, and if the pool of amateurs is able to be
> expanded to a necessary degree and become diverse enough to represent all
> of technology, it just may...
>
> John
>

I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different
people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1832. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:35:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.14.22.35.22.216054@gmail.com>
Source record: 116285

Dee:

You expect it to happen all-at-once, I suspect... but, it will not...
since it won't, many will call failure and hope to prevent change...

It will be a series of baby-steps.

That first has already been taken, removal of CW testing... next, find
ways to provide fertile ground for either the ideas I have already
expressed--encourage someone you know to get a ticket--pointing out they
now only have to take a written test, and/or other ideas which benefit
the hobby. Each of us can start in a local amateur group which is local to
us, if nothing else, shame them into getting involved in the real future
of amateur radio!

The single most important thing any amateur can do is focus on the issues,
improvements needed and gaining support of others to overcome the inertia
of the current stagnant status quo. Decide if you wish to be part of the
solution or part of the problem. Have patience and stick to what you know
is right... don't drop down and begin petty battles with those who want
to divert you and wear you out to maintain the status quo... take pride
in having the courage to oppose the "small man attitude" which so many
have seem prone to fall victim to...

... you know girl, "... longest journey begins but with the first step..."

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:20:07 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.14.21.48.12.111574@gmail.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> I see the issues as, for example:
>>
>> 1) Induce more licensees so the amateur contains a base of more varied and
>> qualified skills.
>
> How?
>
>> 2) Inspire a greater interest in manufacturers to provide more and updated
>> equipment.
>
> How?
>

>> 3) Restore a greater importance and public awareness in amateur radio,
>> ideally, everyone IS a ham, or at least knows one.
>
> How?
>
>> 4) Structure bandwidth to serve the the greatest number with the modes
>> (protocols) they require or are interested in.
>
> How?
>
>> 5) Inspired experimenting with adapting the new technologies to
>> amateur radio, and not just on a commercial level, but at a "hands on
>> level" which amateurs can participate in.
>
> How?
>
>> 6) Clear all barriers and have free access to foreign hams, standardize as
>> much as possible so hams can serve as ambassadors to the world.
>
> How?
>
>> 7) Attempt to interface amateur with the internet in anyway possible so
>> the amateur radio gains a useful status in todays world which keeps it
>> competitive to sustaining its future.
>
> How?
>
>> 8) etc, etc, etc...
>>
>
> These principals are all well and good but propose some specific, concrete
> actions.
>
>> Once true principals and goals are established for the masses radio is
>> meant to serve the course will become clear, those not working in radios
>> best interest can be shown for what they are and weeded out... some do
>> not wish this... first, arrl has to become a platform to work out these
>> goals from EVERYONES input, and if the pool of amateurs is able to be
>> expanded to a necessary degree and become diverse enough to represent all
>> of technology, it just may...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different
> people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1833. Yet Another Markie Assertion We Want To See The Facts For...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:43:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124059438.812460.222590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > I'm just curious how Steve will handle
> > > this obvious liar?
> >
> > Lie? I show rram posts from WH2T going back into the fall of 2004. Surely that
> > qualifies as "several months".
>
> but you cut things worng again
> > But who cares anyhow? Seniority on rram is probably not something you'd
> > necessarily brag about
>
> was the operant line

"operative word"

Hans did that.

> and Stevie isnist that Seniority is always important, and anyone that
> disagrees with Stevie is a liar

OK, Markie...WHERE did I say "Seniority is always
important"...?!?!

Cite the post...ANY post, please...?!?!

> > I'm just curious how Brian will handle this obvious fact.
>
> I don't think he needs to bother , you just cut the post up wrong

There's only one person here who is "cuting" the posts up,
Markie...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1834. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 22:52:04 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <9livf1huuklnk607c5gu47ao21j91kf528@4ax.com>
Source record: 116283

On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:58:36 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

> Here, we "know" that in emergencies that we will use cell phones and
>the Internet, and all will be well.

Hmmm, long distance phone service was greatly reduced and no cell
phones were available in east central Alberta for a day. After the
main fiber line to Edmonton was severed. This affected some 100K
residents of the area.

Tony

# 1835. Re: Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:00:37 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <2sivf1psnb01u1a2fee39khemec6ea4vq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 116284

On Thu, 11 Aug 2005 22:37:58 +0000 (UTC), "G1LVN \(for it is he\)"
<gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote:

>UK Police pull the plug on English Radio Ham just hours after a QSO on 20m
>with a fellow Ham in VK talking about the London 21st July Terror Attacks:

"The radio hamï¿½s broadcast equipment has been seized for examination."
And this would be useful how?

And just what were those comments anyhow?

Mind you that story doesn't mention the frequency or the specific
topic such as the July 21st events. Where else did you get that
information from?

Tony

# 1836. Re: Markie Claims no "action"... more likely he's missing the point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:06:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kijvf159fd78lr2qsqd8mq22knkdq6n4v6@4ax.com>
Source record: 116286

On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....

Really? What units and when?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1837. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:32:14 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <s5jvf1567nialjg8tmpnpsmgp6b80h41q5@4ax.com>
Source record: 116294

On 14 Aug 2005 16:05:44 GMT, "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a new
>issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
>attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!

Certain high-profile members of the 80 meter crowd migrated here after
Riley sent a bunch of NALs a few years ago. I have never seen such a
group of people so obsessed with each other.

For some it's pills. For others, it's alcohol. But for this group,
chaos seems to be their drug of choice...

# 1838. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:33:42 UTC
From: ducksoup <binrowetheheretic@3deersjump.us>
Message-ID: <3b5ed$42ffd4c4$d1cc5002$8846@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 116287

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ddnq4o$pbr$2$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net...
> Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a
new
> issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
> attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!

Try getting back on 14.313 for a welcome change.

Ole Sid the Lid has been heard several times on that
freq this week in the afternoon, along with the kooky
indian from Evansville and a few of the old original
gang of Liberty New QRM'ers from years gone by.
They've seemed to have mellowed out a bit but their
still as ornery and ignorant sounding as they were from
years ago. Seems like things never change on ham radio
until they either die off or go into the 'Happy Acres' rest
home, where the closest thing they'll get to a ham radio
is a transistor radio playing rush on the window table and
the closest thing they'll see to a good Metal Ground Plane
is the chromed stainless steel bedpan that Nurse Ratchet
drops by each evening for the "long nights haul...."

## 1839. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:39:26 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <OCQLe.5765$RZ2.2861@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116288

>> Here, we "know" that in emergencies that we will use cell phones and
>>the Internet, and all will be well.
>
>
> Hmmm, long distance phone service was greatly reduced and no cell
> phones were available in east central Alberta for a day. After the
> main fiber line to Edmonton was severed. This affected some 100K
> residents of the area.
>

One cannot predict what services will be dead in a regional
emergency. Any system that relies on lots of infrastructure
is more likely to be impaired. Ham radio, aside from radios,
antennas and portable or mobile power source (say car battery)
usually survives in enough numbers to be useful.

## 1840. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:43:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124063012.332901.163070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116289

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie aleegs
> > that he has made good one of his long standing threats, of course he
> > does not bother to say which threat he has crarried out
>
> No threats, Markie. And it's "alleged", "alleges", "carried"
>
> > But If he called the Cops they would have acted in a few minutes, if
> > they Beleived him
>
> "believed"
>
> Not necessarily.
>
> > If He call the mental Health people then that would take a bit longer
> > IF they believed him, But by law in Michigan a serious allegeation that
> > a person is a danger to himself or other must be investigated witin 24
> > hours
>
> And that investigation does not necessarily require proactive
> interaction with the subject.
>
> > We will see, assuming I am still a free man tomarrow at say 1 pm local
> > time that should show Stevie wasn't believed
>
> "tomorrow"
>
> Again, not necessarily, Markie. Interesting conversations I had.
> Enlightening. Some of my expectations (based upon other state's
> methodologies) were left wanting, however there were some interesting
> revelations.
>
> > Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> > again
>
> I can't be lying "again" since I wasn't lying in the first place.

you have been lying for years
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1841. Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:44:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116290

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
>
>
> Really? What units and when?

That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
answers
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1842. Re: Gee Stevie your promised..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:46:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124063194.106826.133440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116291

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
> >
> > You have not porduced something that I can even notice
>
> Remember the old saying about how long it took to construct Rome?

yeah Stevie I know

But you promised it was all by instant

and indeed IF there was evidence I was In your word "a Danger to myself
or others" they would all but insatnly

Since you are making claims just what have you Allegedly done
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1843. Gee Stevie your promised..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:47:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124063253.253731.307830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116292

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
> > >
> > > You have not porduced something that I can even notice
> >
> > Mark, I've spent a couple of hours reading through some of my old
> > postings to Steven Robeson and Brian Kelly and a few others. What
> > surprises me is how polite I was to these jerks.
>
> Sure...You're one of the politeist liars and deceivers in the
> group, Brain.
>
> Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> department.

then why do you Lie so much?
>
> > Now that I'm often
> > rude to them, I think that I've done nothing more than dirty my own
> > hands. They're still the rude jerks that they always were.
>
> Your hands were as dirty as any from the git-go, Brain. "Lava"
> won't get THOSE hands clean.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1844. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:49:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124063350.999257.229030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > I'm just curious how Steve will handle
> > > > this obvious liar?
> > >
> > > Lie? I show rram posts from WH2T going back into the fall of 2004. Surely that
> > > qualifies as "several months".
> >
> > but you cut things worng again
> > > But who cares anyhow? Seniority on rram is probably not something you'd
> > > necessarily brag about
> >
> > was the operant line
>
> "operative word"
>
> Hans did that.
>
> > and Stevie isnist that Seniority is always important, and anyone that
> > disagrees with Stevie is a liar
>
> OK, Markie...WHERE did I say "Seniority is always
> important"...?!?!

your manner and demeanor and your insitance that your class matters
>
> Cite the post...ANY post, please...?!?!
>
> > > I'm just curious how Brian will handle this obvious fact.
> >
> > I don't think he needs to bother , you just cut the post up wrong
>
> There's only one person here who is "cuting" the posts up,
> Markie...

Yea that is Hans
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1845. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-14 23:56:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <_JydnUNw5ouMR2LfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116296

Tony VE6MVP wrote:
> On Sun, 07 Aug 2005 20:58:36 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>
>> Here, we "know" that in emergencies that we will use cell phones and
>>the Internet, and all will be well.
>
>
> Hmmm, long distance phone service was greatly reduced and no cell
> phones were available in east central Alberta for a day. After the
> main fiber line to Edmonton was severed. This affected some 100K
> residents of the area.

Hi Tony,

You're proving my point. IOW, lots of people "know" things that aren't true. In large scale emergencies, cell phones are usually just ballast. Should I have put a sarcasm alert on that post? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1846. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:03:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <07mvf19pibmoimnlb41n1vm2colt4bdi1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 116297

On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote in <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
>>
>>
>> Really? What units and when?
>
>That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
>answers

I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
(unless he's really Ollie North). I've always been up front about my
service in the USMC:

Plt 2051 out of MCRD San Diego, 1981;
MCCES at MCAGCC (29 Palms), MOS 2841, 1981 to 1983;
3/8 at Camp Geiger (Camp Lejeune's back door), 1983 to 1985;
BLT 3/8, 24th MAU, 1984 (Beirut);
2nd AAV, 'Outhouse' Bay (also part of Camp Lejeune) for several months
in 1985 until discharged.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1847. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:12:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.00.12.25.602839@gmail.com>
Source record: 116298

Ted:

Change is usually non-conformity, and may seem chaotic to those stuck in a rut...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 19:32:14 -0400, Uncle Ted wrote:

> On 14 Aug 2005 16:05:44 GMT, "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Now that the code test is on it's way to join the buggy whip, we need a new
>>issue to argue about. There are a lot of threads here devoted to personal
>>attacks on people I have never heard of. It's getting too much like 80m!
>
> Certain high-profile members of the 80 meter crowd migrated here after
> Riley sent a bunch of NALs a few years ago. I have never seen such a
> group of people so obsessed with each other.
>
> For some it's pills. For others, it's alcohol. But for this group,
> chaos seems to be their drug of choice...

## 1848. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:16:46 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <T9RLe.689$uO2.334@fed1read07>
Source record: 116150
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Seems to me FCC Rules and Regs Part 97 defines it quite well

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

## 1849. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:17:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.00.17.12.522790@gmail.com>
Source record: 116299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

-=jd=-:

Hardly the first time that individual has behaved in that manner, we both know that.

Far as what you are or are not, as you say, a person gives that away with their posts, so quit pointing mine at yourself and trying to defend yourself from them--and if the shoe fits, wear it!

Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the last person I would consider as a mentor...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 23:40:58 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:

> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 12:05:40p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
> in message news:pan.2005.08.14.16.05.37.744231@gmail.com:
>
>> -=jd=-:
>>
>> Funny you should think in terms of fear, most who do so are usually
>> afraid little children or an kook attempting to intimidate others, or
>> supporting those who do, either just as disgusting.
>>
>
> You would be grossly mistaken in this case; I'm just making a casual
> observation. Your public posts in here tend to validate my assessment. If
> you don't like or agree with that, then quite "telling on yourself!"
>
>>
>> You mistake my intolerance of bullies and kooks tossing around threats--
>> with fear--I make no such mistake... I simply find threatening mental
>> cases intolerable... that punk intimidates no one...
>>
>
> If you don't consider frantically grasping at straws by zipping off
> complaint emails to an ISP over something the vast majority of folks would
> consider "offsetting penalties" as "you are intimidated", then you are
> entitled to that opinion. Pardon me while I chuckle at your expense...
>
>>
>> However, I don't believe that ISP is going to like ignoring him making
>> threats. If those threats are made know to the ISP (by a number of
>> complaints), and they do nothing, they can be sued big-time in the event

>> that kook harms anyone or another property, they DO have an obligation
>> by law.
>>
>
> Well, they will probably tell you you should't threaten to go urinate on
> someones grave in a public forum unless you are *absolutely* sure you can
> withstand the full range of potential threats you will reasonably and
> prudently expect to receive as a consequence. In other words, if you
> intimate you would urinate on someone's grave, you shouldn't be surprised
> if a relative wants break you in half for doing so. Your argument is silly
> and most any sane ISP would dismiss it as such after checking the full
> thread.
>
> You are entitled to free speech. Which may be accompanied by a free butt-
> kicking if you can't back-up your <ahem> "free speech". To sing the blues
> about being retaliated against for your own idiotic *USENET* posts is
> freakin' laughable.
>
> And on the extreme off chance that his ISP contacts him, Tracy can freely
> refer them to me for another opinion.
>
> Good-gravy, I crack myself up sometimes!!
>
> -=jd=-

# 1850. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:43:19 UTC
From: GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <d8pvf1hc3ima7daug4boc6khotpqt8f48k@4ax.com>
Source record: 116151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

But you are the first person we consider a dork.....besides David

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:17:13 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>-=jd=-:
>
>Hardly the first time that individual has behaved in that manner, we both
>know that.
>
>Far as what you are or are not, as you say, a person gives that away with
>their posts, so quit pointing mine at yourself and trying to defend
>yourself from them--and if the shoe fits, wear it!
>
>Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the last
>person I would consider as a mentor...
>
>John
>
>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 23:40:58 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:
>
>> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 12:05:40p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>> in message news:pan.2005.08.14.16.05.37.744231@gmail.com:
>>
>>> -=jd=-:
>>>
>>> Funny you should think in terms of fear, most who do so are usually
>>> afraid little children or an kook attempting to intimidate others, or
>>> supporting those who do, either just as disgusting.
>>>
>>
>> You would be grossly mistaken in this case; I'm just making a casual
>> observation. Your public posts in here tend to validate my assessment. If
>> you don't like or agree with that, then quite "telling on yourself!"
>>
>>>
>>> You mistake my intolerance of bullies and kooks tossing around threats--
>>> with fear--I make no such mistake... I simply find threatening mental
>>> cases intolerable... that punk intimidates no one...
>>>
>>
>> If you don't consider frantically grasping at straws by zipping off
>> complaint emails to an ISP over something the vast majority of folks would
>> consider "offsetting penalties" as "you are intimidated", then you are

>> entitled to that opinion. Pardon me while I chuckle at your expense...
>>
>>>
>>> However, I don't believe that ISP is going to like ignoring him making
>>> threats. If those threats are made know to the ISP (by a number of
>>> complaints), and they do nothing, they can be sued big-time in the event
>>> that kook harms anyone or another property, they DO have an obligation
>>> by law.
>>>
>>
>> Well, they will probably tell you you should't threaten to go urinate on
>> someones grave in a public forum unless you are *absolutely* sure you can
>> withstand the full range of potential threats you will reasonably and
>> prudently expect to receive as a consequence. In other words, if you
>> intimate you would urinate on someone's grave, you shouldn't be surprised
>> if a relative wants break you in half for doing so. Your argument is silly
>> and most any sane ISP would dismiss it as such after checking the full
>> thread.
>>
>> You are entitled to free speech. Which may be accompanied by a free butt-
>> kicking if you can't back-up your <ahem> "free speech". To sing the blues
>> about being retaliated against for your own idiotic *USENET* posts is
>> freakin' laughable.
>>
>> And on the extreme off chance that his ISP contacts him, Tracy can freely
>> refer them to me for another opinion.
>>
>> Good-gravy, I crack myself up sometimes!!
>>
>> -=jd=-

# 1851. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:45:33 UTC
From: GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <ecpvf1dafdm7il9ou38hpicgjm12q9m4h5@4ax.com>
Source record: 116152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I think John is running scared.....I wonder why?

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:17:13 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>-=jd=-:
>
>Hardly the first time that individual has behaved in that manner, we both
>know that.
>
>Far as what you are or are not, as you say, a person gives that away with
>their posts, so quit pointing mine at yourself and trying to defend
>yourself from them--and if the shoe fits, wear it!
>
>Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the last
>person I would consider as a mentor...
>
>John
>
>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 23:40:58 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:
>
>> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 12:05:40p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>> in message news:pan.2005.08.14.16.05.37.744231@gmail.com:
>>
>>> -=jd=-:
>>>
>>> Funny you should think in terms of fear, most who do so are usually
>>> afraid little children or an kook attempting to intimidate others, or
>>> supporting those who do, either just as disgusting.
>>>
>>
>> You would be grossly mistaken in this case; I'm just making a casual
>> observation. Your public posts in here tend to validate my assessment. If
>> you don't like or agree with that, then quite "telling on yourself!"
>>
>>>
>>> You mistake my intolerance of bullies and kooks tossing around threats--
>>> with fear--I make no such mistake... I simply find threatening mental
>>> cases intolerable... that punk intimidates no one...
>>>
>>
>> If you don't consider frantically grasping at straws by zipping off
>> complaint emails to an ISP over something the vast majority of folks would
>> consider "offsetting penalties" as "you are intimidated", then you are

>> entitled to that opinion. Pardon me while I chuckle at your expense...
>>
>>>
>>> However, I don't believe that ISP is going to like ignoring him making
>>> threats. If those threats are made know to the ISP (by a number of
>>> complaints), and they do nothing, they can be sued big-time in the event
>>> that kook harms anyone or another property, they DO have an obligation
>>> by law.
>>>
>>
>> Well, they will probably tell you you should't threaten to go urinate on
>> someones grave in a public forum unless you are *absolutely* sure you can
>> withstand the full range of potential threats you will reasonably and
>> prudently expect to receive as a consequence. In other words, if you
>> intimate you would urinate on someone's grave, you shouldn't be surprised
>> if a relative wants break you in half for doing so. Your argument is silly
>> and most any sane ISP would dismiss it as such after checking the full
>> thread.
>>
>> You are entitled to free speech. Which may be accompanied by a free butt-
>> kicking if you can't back-up your <ahem> "free speech". To sing the blues
>> about being retaliated against for your own idiotic *USENET* posts is
>> freakin' laughable.
>>
>> And on the extreme off chance that his ISP contacts him, Tracy can freely
>> refer them to me for another opinion.
>>
>> Good-gravy, I crack myself up sometimes!!
>>
>> -=jd=-

# 1852. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:47:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124066867.131561.128180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116153

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote in <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
> >>
> >>
> >> Really? What units and when?
> >
> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
> >answers
>
>
> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
> (unless he's really Ollie North). I've always been up front about my
> service in the USMC:

He does it so he can all kinds of things and no one can check it
>
> Plt 2051 out of MCRD San Diego, 1981;
> MCCES at MCAGCC (29 Palms), MOS 2841, 1981 to 1983;
> 3/8 at Camp Geiger (Camp Lejeune's back door), 1983 to 1985;
> BLT 3/8, 24th MAU, 1984 (Beirut);
> 2nd AAV, 'Outhouse' Bay (also part of Camp Lejeune) for several months
> in 1985 until discharged.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1853. Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:50:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124067013.232562.87120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116154

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie aleegs
> > that he has made good one of his long standing threats, of course he
> > does not bother to say which threat he has crarried out
>
> No threats, Markie. And it's "alleged", "alleges", "carried"
>
> > But If he called the Cops they would have acted in a few minutes, if
> > they Beleived him
>
> "believed"
>
> Not necessarily.
>
> > If He call the mental Health people then that would take a bit longer
> > IF they believed him, But by law in Michigan a serious allegeation that
> > a person is a danger to himself or other must be investigated witin 24
> > hours
>
> And that investigation does not necessarily require proactive
> interaction with the subject.

But Stevie you claim to have had "Proof" of my crimes and of my metnal
condiction

If you have Proof no investagation is required
>
> > We will see, assuming I am still a free man tomarrow at say 1 pm local
> > time that should show Stevie wasn't believed
>
> "tomorrow"
>
> Again, not necessarily, Markie. Interesting conversations I had.
> Enlightening. Some of my expectations (based upon other state's
> methodologies) were left wanting, however there were some interesting
> revelations.

really?

But I know when I called because I believed from the volume of
screaming next door the cops came in 15 minutes (it is 12 miles to
town)

if you had proof then theyd be here by now
>

> > Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> > again
>
> I can't be lying "again" since I wasn't lying in the first place.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1854. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:52:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.00.52.06.385780@gmail.com>
Source record: 116156
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

... define what? And, how in relation to what we are discussing?

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:16:46 -0700, Caveat Lector wrote:

> Seems to me FCC Rules and Regs Part 97 defines it quite well

# 1855. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:55:15 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <42FFE7F3.CF21EB0C@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 116158
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

(Apologies to the top posting hater community, but I decided to follow John's lead).

Got the wrong guy John.

I've been using most of that stuff for quite a while, and I could point you to a dozen or so hams well over the age of 70 who not only use it; they understand how it works and some even tinker with the hardware and software.

I'm not quite that old yet, but it ain't always that easy to keep up with some of them when they discuss their latest project.

About a quarter of a century ago, I was teaching a system using a synthesized HF sideband transceiver, on a single PCB about 2 or 3 inches square, running from about 7 to 10MHz and digitally controlled either locally or remotely (up to 100 transceivers controlled from a DEC minicomputer) - would love to have slipped one in my shirt pocket, taken it home and added a linear! Funnily enough most of the guys I was working with have decided to become hams within the last 10 or 15 years.

The HF receiver card in one of my PCs gets far more use than my stand-alone transceivers with the DSP etc. the card is much more versatile - more modes, bandwidths etc. etc.. Software defined is the way to go.

But, and it is a fair sized but, I find I can have much more detailed and interesting discussions of this technology with older rather than younger folks. I find (just my experience and maybe the folks I choose to hang out with) that the younger generation tend to be users who know no more about how it works than my granny knew about how her telephone worked. But boy can they use it!

My generation understood it down to the electron level.
My kids understood it down to the IC level.
My grand kids (teenagers - with a few exceptions) know how to use it but don't understand, or really care, how it works.

Can't blame them, it's progress. The systems have become so complex that one pretty much has to specialize and folks with a general knowledge of hardware and software, theory and practice of entire systems are becoming a vanishing breed. That is true of much more than communications technology - the guy at the corner gas station probably can't fix your anti-skid braking system any more than the local pharmacy can sell you the part to fix your TV any more.

Dave
Sitting at his computer listening to an HF net on his software defined radio running on the same processor.

John Smith wrote:

> Dave:
>
> No one is denying you the right to your religion of "amateur worship", we
> all need some high power to look up to. But, you must realize you are in
> a church which has a very small following (mostly other hams, and NOT all
> of them!)
>
> Fact is, the computer is a TV with a tv card inserted--a stereo system
> with a high quality audio card, tuner card inserted--a cd music player
> with cd and proper software--a dvd player with a dvd-cd and proper
> software--a home security system with the proper card and related software
> and backup-power supply--and soon to be an amateur rig with proper
> receiver card and xmitter card (some are already there!)
>
> Fact is, the computer IS amateurs future--like it or not... only reason
> the future is not here right now, old amateurs can't adapt and die
> first... and serve as a hindrance to the new minds bringing the future
> with them...
>
> John
>
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:20:06 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
> >> > PM:
> >> >
> >> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
> >> >
> >> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
> >> >
> >> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
> >> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
> >> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
> >> >
> >> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
> >> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
> >> > project would most likely be computer related.
> >> >
> >> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
> >> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
> >> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
> >> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
> >> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
> >> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
> >> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
> >> >

> >> > John
> >> >
> >>
> >> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
> >> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
> >> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
> >> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
> >> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
> >> that you seem to despise so.
> >>
> >> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
> >> etc.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
> > which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.
> >
> > Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students
> > wouldn't understand the question.
> >
> > My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets,
> > but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their
> > mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my
> > grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet -
> > but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me
> > llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.
> >
> > If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative
> > software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone
> > always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix
> > trick to show off.
> >
> > The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone
> > hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards
> > of antennas, propagation, etc.
> >
> > Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail
> > is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a
> > soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made
> > phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do
> > not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm
> > not that talented.
> >
> > Dave
> > VE3HLU

# 1856. Stevie Still think the NG revolves around him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 00:58:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124067526.262112.230170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116155

KY4Z's words

Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
department.

look at them

Stevie think the rest of us Owe HIM respect despite the Fcat he has
done nothing to earn it

and what he demands is stupid.

he claims Lying is the rudest thing you can do

I can certainly see why he does not think anything of threatening
MURDER

Personaly I think threatening Murder is pretty rude

I think threatening to talk to someone perants about their son's
alleged crimes and aleged sexual orientations

These aren't rude things according to Stevie, and they aren't his fault

# 1857. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:09:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sXRLe.6350$RS.4929@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116157

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>b.b. wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
>>>>>>>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
>>>>>>>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
>>>>>>>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
>>>>>>>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
>>>>>>>>it to you.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
>>>>>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
>>>>>DXers.
>>>>
>>>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.

>>>
>>>
>>>David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
>>
>>It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
>>operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
>>years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
>>hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
>>looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the
>>moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
>>convenience.
>
>
> Slaped Silly?

No, slapped silly.

> and more lie on your part

Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.

> But interesting no denail of the unlying facts

Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.

>>>I'm curious what it takes to
>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>>
>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
>
>
> whish has what to do the subject

"Whish" is the sound of related information going right over your head. Have you any idea what the A-1 Operator club is? Do you believe that it has something to do with usenet postings? Do you believe it implies an ability to control the action of other operators? Read up on it and get back to me, Mark.

>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
>>haven't a leg to stand on.
>

>
> and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
> out of there band

"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe what you like.

> gee sounds like a cofession to me

Izzat how they handle "cofessions" in your pagan flock?

Dave K8MN

# 1858. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:14:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.01.14.58.260004@gmail.com>
Source record: 116159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

-=jd=-:

Do I write you off as another idiot who can't follow the flow of
conversation?

Here, I have simplified it for you, don't count on me doing this again,
get your mother to explain next time:

-=jd=-: You would be grossly mistaken in this case;

me: Hardly the first time that individual has behaved in that manner, we both
know that.

-=jd=-: I'm just making a casual observation. Your public posts in here
tend to validate my assessment.

me: Far as what you are or are not, as you say, a person gives that away with
their posts, so quit pointing mine at yourself and trying to defend
yourself from them--and if the shoe fits, wear it!

-=jd=-: If you don't like or agree with that, then quite
"telling on yourself!"

me: Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the last
person I would consider as a mentor...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 00:57:05 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:

> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 08:45:33p, GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote in message
> news:ecpvf1dafdm7il9ou38hpicgjm12q9m4h5@4ax.com:
>
>> I think John is running scared.....I wonder why?
>>
>
> Scared? It sounds like he's completely gobsmacked! I defy anyone to translate
> the second and thrid paragraphs! LOL!
>
> -=jd=-
>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:17:13 -0700, John Smith
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>-=jd=-:
>>>
>>>Hardly the first time that individual has behaved in that manner, we

>>>both know that.
>>>
>>>Far as what you are or are not, as you say, a person gives that away
>>>with their posts, so quit pointing mine at yourself and trying to defend
>>>yourself from them--and if the shoe fits, wear it!
>>>
>>>Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the last
>>>person I would consider as a mentor...
>>>
>>>John

# 1859. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:23:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x8SLe.5291$Wi6.268@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116160

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>Likewise.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>more of your cuting context
>>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
>>>>
>>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
>>>>are sick as well as unfeeling
>>>>
>>>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
>>>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
>>>
>>>
>>>well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>>
>>Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
>>in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
>
>
> then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that

As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.

>
>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
>
>
> Just comenting on your own words

"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other band.

> but then you don't respoibility for your actions

I don't repoibility?

I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear. I still think you're a twit.

>
>>I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
>>subject for a joke.
>
>
> and more of your Stevie type lies

That isn't a lie, Mark. Victimhood is what you're all about. You can't pass a morse code test and you're a victim. You put together an English sentence and that's someone else's problem and you're a victim because of your handicap. Let's all get together and feel sorry for poor Mark. Let's try to make it easy on him because he just can't do things.

I'm not feeling that generous, Mark. I know too many people with difficulties in their lives who are, at least, trying to do something to better themselves.

Dave K8MN

# 1860. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:34:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.01.34.17.915085@gmail.com>
Source record: 116161
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave:

Interesting, when I have exchanged station pics, I have never seen the new equip, other than my own...

When I exchange equip descriptions, everyone is mentioning drake, henry, heathkit, etc... frankly, last months maybe a year--I gave up paying close attention... in fact, my xmitter pci card is a proto-type which an engineer made a gift of to me when I worked on some software to support it (they will actually market a USB model.) Last time I chatted with him, it was still sitting on his companies "back shelf" waiting for the market to develop... they strongly support dropping CW and expect an influx of new hams which they feel will accept the equipment and make profitable the sales. In the meantime they market to police, fire, hospitals, gov't...

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 20:55:15 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:

> (Apologies to the top posting hater community, but I decided to follow John's lead).
>
> Got the wrong guy John.
>
> I've been using most of that stuff for quite a while, and I could point you to a dozen
> or so hams well over the age of 70 who not only use it; they understand how it works
> and some even tinker with the hardware and software.
>
> I'm not quite that old yet, but it ain't always that easy to keep up with some of them
> when they discuss their latest project.
>
> About a quarter of a century ago, I was teaching a system using a synthesized HF
> sideband transceiver, on a single PCB about 2 or 3 inches square, running from about 7
> to 10MHz and digitally controlled either locally or remotely (up to 100 transceivers
> controlled from a DEC minicomputer) - would love to have slipped one in my shirt
> pocket, taken it home and added a linear! Funnily enough most of the guys I was
> working with have decided to become hams within the last 10 or 15 years.
>
> The HF receiver card in one of my PCs gets far more use than my stand-alone
> transceivers with the DSP etc. the card is much more versatile - more modes,
> bandwidths etc. etc.. Software defined is the way to go.
>
> But, and it is a fair sized but, I find I can have much more detailed and interesting
> discussions of this technology with older rather than younger folks. I find (just my
> experience and maybe the folks I choose to hang out with) that the younger generation
> tend to be users who know no more about how it works than my granny knew about how her

> telephone worked. But boy can they use it!
>
> My generation understood it down to the electron level.
> My kids understood it down to the IC level.
> My grand kids (teenagers - with a few exceptions) know how to use it but don't
> understand, or really care, how it works.
>
> Can't blame them, it's progress. The systems have become so complex that one pretty
> much has to specialize and folks with a general knowledge of hardware and software,
> theory and practice of entire systems are becoming a vanishing breed. That is true of
> much more than communications technology - the guy at the corner gas station probably
> can't fix your anti-skid braking system any more than the local pharmacy can sell you
> the part to fix your TV any more.
>
> Dave
> Sitting at his computer listening to an HF net on his software defined radio running
> on the same processor.
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Dave:
>>
>> No one is denying you the right to your religion of "amateur worship", we
>> all need some high power to look up to. But, you must realize you are in
>> a church which has a very small following (mostly other hams, and NOT all
>> of them!)
>>
>> Fact is, the computer is a TV with a tv card inserted--a stereo system
>> with a high quality audio card, tuner card inserted--a cd music player
>> with cd and proper software--a dvd player with a dvd-cd and proper
>> software--a home security system with the proper card and related software
>> and backup-power supply--and soon to be an amateur rig with proper
>> receiver card and xmitter card (some are already there!)
>>
>> Fact is, the computer IS amateurs future--like it or not... only reason
>> the future is not here right now, old amateurs can't adapt and die
>> first... and serve as a hindrance to the new minds bringing the future
>> with them...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:20:06 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...

>> >> > PM:
>> >> >
>> >> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
>> >> >
>> >> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
>> >> >
>> >> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
>> >> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
>> >> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
>> >> >
>> >> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
>> >> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
>> >> > project would most likely be computer related.
>> >> >
>> >> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
>> >> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
>> >> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
>> >> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
>> >> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
>> >> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
>> >> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>> >> >
>> >> > John
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
>> >> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
>> >> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
>> >> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
>> >> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
>> >> that you seem to despise so.
>> >>
>> >> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
>> >> etc.
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
>> > which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.
>> >
>> > Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students
>> > wouldn't understand the question.
>> >
>> > My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets,
>> > but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their
>> > mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my
>> > grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet -
>> > but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me
>> > llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.
>> >

>> > If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative
>> > software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone
>> > always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix
>> > trick to show off.
>> >
>> > The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone
>> > hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards
>> > of antennas, propagation, etc.
>> >
>> > Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail
>> > is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a
>> > soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made
>> > phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do
>> > not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm
>> > not that talented.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > VE3HLU

# 1861. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:37:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124069836.365219.158390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116162

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> >>>>>>>in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> >>>>>>>though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> >>>>>>>such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> >>>>>>>you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> >>>>>>>it to you.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> >>>>>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> >>>>>>>DXers.
> >>>>

> >>>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
> >>
> >>It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
> >>operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
> >>years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
> >>hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
> >>looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the
> >>moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> >>convenience.
> >
> >
> > Slaped Silly?
>
> No, slapped silly.
>
>
> > and more lie on your part
>
> Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
> me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
> Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
> his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
> have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
> broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.

Then again you admit the charge

Nothing has been to look absurd

you are only making yourself lok more guilty

I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply

>
> > But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
>
> Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
> denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
> being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.

indeed a confession

>
> >>>I'm curious what it takes to
> >>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
> >>
> >>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> >>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> >>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were

> >>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> >>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
> >
> >
> > whish has what to do the subject
which has nothing to do with the subject

>
> >>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
> >>haven't a leg to stand on.
> >
> >
> > and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
> > out of there band
>
> "Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
> your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
> confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
> what you like.

Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW

Based on what you have said and what you refuse to say

>
> > gee sounds like a cofession to me
>
> Izzat how they handle "cofessions" in your pagan flock?

more bashing of others religion

>
> Dave K8MN

# 1862. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:40:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124070015.162307.274210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116163

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>Likewise.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>more of your cuting context
> >>>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
> >>>>>
> >>>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
> >>>>>are sick as well as unfeeling
> >>>>
> >>>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
> >>>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
> >>
> >>Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
> >>in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
> >
> >
> > then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
>
> As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
> idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
> to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so

> feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.

No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
call if it were misent

I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
someone in distresss

>
> >
> >>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> >>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
> >
> >
> > Just comenting on your own words
>
> "Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
> nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
> band.

I am comenting on your words

Indeed all I have is your words

and YOUR words make you look guilty

>
> > but then you don't respoibility for your actions
>
> I don't repoibility?
>
> I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
> I still think you're a twit.

Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law

>
> >
> >>I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
> >>subject for a joke.
> >
> >
> > and more of your Stevie type lies
>
> That isn't a lie, Mark. Victimhood is what you're all about. You can't
> pass a morse code test and you're a victim. You put together an English
> sentence and that's someone else's problem and you're a victim because
> of your handicap. Let's all get together and feel sorry for poor Mark.
> Let's try to make it easy on him because he just can't do things.

More of your lies

>
> I'm not feeling that generous, Mark. I know too many people with

> difficulties in their lives who are, at least, trying to do something to
> better themselves.
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1863. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:48:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.01.48.06.369996@gmail.com>
Source record: 116164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

-=jd=-:

... you are too much ... you need someone on your own wavelength, we are
on different bands...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:35:28 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:

> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 09:14:59p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
> in message news:pan.2005.08.15.01.14.58.260004@gmail.com:
>
>> -=jd=-:
>>
>> Do I write you off as another idiot who can't follow the flow of
>> conversation?
>>
>
> I couldn't say *what* you do in that respect, but I can point out non-
> sensical sentences.
>
>> Here, I have simplified it for you,
>
> No, you haven't. You are still babbling and writing nonsense. I'll point it
> out to you *YET* again, so stand by...
>
>> don't count on me doing this again,
>
> Oh, good-gravy! I hope not!
>
>> get your mother to explain next time:
>>
>
> Oh yeah? Get your little sister to teach you how to string a sentence
> together. Phbbbt!
>
>> -=jd=-: You would be grossly mistaken in this case;
>
> That still stands...
>
>>
>> me: Hardly the first time that individual has behaved in that manner, we
>> both know that.
>>

>
> No problem there...
>
>
>> -=jd=-: I'm just making a casual observation. Your public posts in here
>> tend to validate my assessment.
>>
>> me: Far as what you are or are not, as you say, a person gives that away
>> with their posts, so quit pointing mine at yourself and trying to defend
>> yourself from them--and if the shoe fits, wear it!
>>
>
> There you go! If that's not babbling, I don't know what is! That is utter
> non-sense and gibberish. Those are certainly english words, but you have
> them strung together in a completely incomprehensible manner. That has got
> to be one of the most "flustered" responses that I have ever received. I
> may have to use that in my sig line -- with, or without your permission!
> LOL!
>
>>
>> -=jd=-: If you don't like or agree with that, then quit
>> "telling on yourself!"
>>
>
> Still Stands...
>
>> me: Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the
>> last person I would consider as a mentor...
>>
>
> There you go, babbling *AGAIN*! You injected a reference to a "mentor" out
> of the blue. Further, exactly what is *IT* that you will either do, or not
> do? "Tell on yourself"? LOL!
>
> Tell you what. You are obviously distressed, agitated and/or upset. Perhaps
> after you wake-up tomorrow, you will have calmed down enough to articulate
> your message.
>
> -=jd=-
>
>
>
>
>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 00:57:05 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:
>>
>>> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 08:45:33p, GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote in
>>> message news:ecpvf1dafdm7il9ou38hpicgjm12q9m4h5@4ax.com:

>>>
>>>> I think John is running scared.....I wonder why?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Scared? It sounds like he's completely gobsmacked! I defy anyone to
>>> translate the second and thrid paragraphs! LOL!
>>>
>>> -=jd=-
>>>
>>>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:17:13 -0700, John Smith
>>>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>-=jd=-:
>>>>>
>>>>>Hardly the first time that individual has behaved in that manner, we
>>>>>both know that.
>>>>>
>>>>>Far as what you are or are not, as you say, a person gives that away
>>>>>with their posts, so quit pointing mine at yourself and trying to
>>>>>defend yourself from them--and if the shoe fits, wear it!
>>>>>
>>>>>Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the last
>>>>>person I would consider as a mentor...
>>>>>
>>>>>John
>>
>>

# 1864. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:53:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qatvf1l1h3npd3vch2oagp60gb70fr5o5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 116165

```
On 14 Aug 2005 17:47:47 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote in <1124066867.131561.128180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> wrote in <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Really? What units and when?
>> >
>> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
>> >answers
>>
>>
>> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
>> (unless he's really Ollie North). I've always been up front about my
>> service in the USMC:
>
>He does it so he can all kinds of things and no one can check it

Sounds like another "wannabe warrior". I've met several over the
years. So what else has this guy claimed?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----
```

# 1865. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:55:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116166

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote in <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
> >>
> >>
> >> Really? What units and when?
> >
> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
> >answers
>
>
> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
> (unless he's really Ollie North).

He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
Valor comes to mind.

I've always been up front about my
> service in the USMC:
>
> Plt 2051 out of MCRD San Diego, 1981;
> MCCES at MCAGCC (29 Palms), MOS 2841, 1981 to 1983;
> 3/8 at Camp Geiger (Camp Lejeune's back door), 1983 to 1985;
> BLT 3/8, 24th MAU, 1984 (Beirut);

The flag we flew over the former American Embassy in Mogadishu,
December 1992, was last flown in Beirut.

> 2nd AAV, 'Outhouse' Bay (also part of Camp Lejeune) for several months
> in 1985 until discharged.

You're in Ohio, right?

# 1866. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 01:59:42 UTC
From: GraveRobber <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <4mtvf11qgdavn44cef9h04qjb83kddpj7p@4ax.com>
Source record: 116167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:48:08 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>-=jd=-:
>
>... you are too much ... you need someone on your own wavelength, we are
>on different bands...
>
>John
>

Ain't that the truth! I don't think that anyone in this group except
David is on the same wavelength as you.

# 1867. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:00:30 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <9esvf110mra8p4km8fv7gdgi4181mbuhc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 116171

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:12:26 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Ted:
>
>Change is usually non-conformity, and may seem chaotic to those stuck in a
>rut...
>
>John

I fail to see what all of the current "non-conformists" are trying to
change. It's like they're so obsessed with insults that they've
forgotten what the original debate was about.

# 1868. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:01:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.02.01.18.848751@gmail.com>
Source record: 116168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dork:

<yawn>

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 21:59:42 -0400, GraveRobber wrote:

>
>
>
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:48:08 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>-=jd=-:
>>
>>... you are too much ... you need someone on your own wavelength, we are
>>on different bands...
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
>
> Ain't that the truth! I don't think that anyone in this group except
> David is on the same wavelength as you.

# 1869. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:12:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.02.12.11.345344@gmail.com>
Source record: 116169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dork:

You didn't understand, "Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide that, you are the last
person I would consider as a mentor..."

... try to focus man!

<yawn>

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 02:03:14 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:

> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 09:48:08p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
> in message news:pan.2005.08.15.01.48.06.369996@gmail.com:
>
>> -=jd=-:
>>
>> ... you are too much ... you need someone on your own wavelength, we
>> are on different bands...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Here is your task. Simply translate the following non-sense that *you*
> wrote, and we'll be on the same freq: "Far as what you are or are not, as
> you say, a person gives that away with their posts, so quit pointing mine
> at yourself and trying to defend yourself from them--and if the shoe fits,
> wear it!"
>
> And if you are able to translate it, I have to wonder why you would write
> something so messed-up, that it is difficult to describe just how messed-up
> it is. A sentence/paragraph (who knows?) so horribly disfigured, that any
> message you were trying to convey was immediately and hopelessly lost. And
> then, for you to attempt to *defend* it! Dayuuuum!
>
> A thought just occurred to me. It is quite likely that somewhere a former
> *grade-school* english teacher of yours is spinning in a grave at an
> unspecified, and possibly immeasurable, R.P.M. rate...
>
> Look, I don't care if you miss-spell, or commit other grammatic errors.
> However, your post needs to make sense. Otherwise, we all may as well
> crescent back the inner founding sets of blue smells and DANGED two
> pancakes can cover *YOUR* bath-mat, Shpa-doinkle!!!

>
> I certainly hope you don't understand that last sentence. On second
> thought, if it does, then that may explain some things...
>
> -=jd=-

## 1870. Re: Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:12:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124071944.791787.218480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116170

an_old_friend wrote:

> more empty words Stvie

Mark, shouldn't you be scared that Steve will have you locked up? He said he would. All it takes is a phone call. He's so important in the field of applied psychology, that every law enforcement agency in the USA hangs on his every word. They've even created this giant network of 911-operators to stand-by 24/7, waiting for Steve to call in. Maybe he'll even reveal his seven hostile actions to one of the operators.

# 1871. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:19:18 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <42FFFBA6.83229570@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 116174
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fascinating, I don't know who you talk to but I can only think of a couple of people I know using Drake stuff, and then only as collectors items or though nostalgia. Can't remember the
last time I heard someone mention Henry, except in an inductor value, and one of the few pictures of Heathkit equipment I have seen in a long time was in a magazine on antique equipment a (younger) friend showed me a couple of weeks ago.

I have a real hard time believing that the long overdue dropping of CW will result in much of an influx to HF. If they were interested in HF they would already be here.

I've had a two hour HF swap shop running in the background and I don't think I heard anything that old being offered for sale or looked for except for a couple of antique RCA, Hammarlund and National receivers, but they were in the antiques listing. Plenty of DSP rigs
and digital stuff, a few people looking for specialized digital ICs. It is quite common to hear current generation equipment being sold by very elderly hams due to deteriorating health and/or moving into nursing homes or apartments where they must give up the hobby.

I'm having coffee with an 81 year old tomorrow and he has a DSP HF rig; and a software defined receiver running on his backup PC, which he also uses to watch TV and movies. He usually has at least one PC in pieces as he reconfigures it and has been inside his ICOM and
Kenwood HF rigs with a soldering iron more than once, although as his age creeps up he is reducing those activities somewhat. He will probably want to discuss optimizing the data base for his SDR receiver.

Not every ham over 40 is using a hand key to a crystal controlled 6L6.

Dave

John Smith wrote:

> Dave:
>
> Interesting, when I have exchanged station pics, I have never seen the new
> equip, other than my own...
>
> When I exchange equip descriptions, everyone is mentioning drake, henry,
> heathkit, etc... frankly, last months maybe a year--I gave up paying close
> attention... in fact, my xmitter pci card is a proto-type which an
> engineer made a gift of to me when I worked on some software to support
> it (they will actually market a USB model.) Last time I chatted with him,
> it was still sitting on his companies "back shelf" waiting for the market
> to develop... they strongly support dropping CW and expect an influx of
> new hams which they feel will accept the equipment and make profitable the

> sales. In the meantime they market to police, fire, hospitals, gov't...
>
> John
>
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 20:55:15 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>
> > (Apologies to the top posting hater community, but I decided to follow John's lead).
> >
> > Got the wrong guy John.
> >
> > I've been using most of that stuff for quite a while, and I could point you to a dozen
> > or so hams well over the age of 70 who not only use it; they understand how it works
> > and some even tinker with the hardware and software.
> >
> > I'm not quite that old yet, but it ain't always that easy to keep up with some of them
> > when they discuss their latest project.
> >
> > About a quarter of a century ago, I was teaching a system using a synthesized HF
> > sideband transceiver, on a single PCB about 2 or 3 inches square, running from about 7
> > to 10MHz and digitally controlled either locally or remotely (up to 100 transceivers
> > controlled from a DEC minicomputer) - would love to have slipped one in my shirt
> > pocket, taken it home and added a linear! Funnily enough most of the guys I was
> > working with have decided to become hams within the last 10 or 15 years.
> >
> > The HF receiver card in one of my PCs gets far more use than my stand-alone
> > transceivers with the DSP etc. the card is much more versatile - more modes,
> > bandwidths etc. etc.. Software defined is the way to go.
> >
> > But, and it is a fair sized but, I find I can have much more detailed and interesting
> > discussions of this technology with older rather than younger folks. I find (just my
> > experience and maybe the folks I choose to hang out with) that the younger generation
> > tend to be users who know no more about how it works than my granny knew about how her
> > telephone worked. But boy can they use it!
> >
> > My generation understood it down to the electron level.
> > My kids understood it down to the IC level.
> > My grand kids (teenagers - with a few exceptions) know how to use it but don't
> > understand, or really care, how it works.
> >
> > Can't blame them, it's progress. The systems have become so complex that one pretty
> > much has to specialize and folks with a general knowledge of hardware and software,
> > theory and practice of entire systems are becoming a vanishing breed. That is true of
> > much more than communications technology - the guy at the corner gas station probably
> > can't fix your anti-skid braking system any more than the local pharmacy can sell you
> > the part to fix your TV any more.
> >
> > Dave
> > Sitting at his computer listening to an HF net on his software defined radio running
> > on the same processor.
> >

> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >
> >> Dave:
> >>
> >> No one is denying you the right to your religion of "amateur worship", we
> >> all need some high power to look up to. But, you must realize you are in
> >> a church which has a very small following (mostly other hams, and NOT all
> >> of them!)
> >>
> >> Fact is, the computer is a TV with a tv card inserted--a stereo system
> >> with a high quality audio card, tuner card inserted--a cd music player
> >> with cd and proper software--a dvd player with a dvd-cd and proper
> >> software--a home security system with the proper card and related software
> >> and backup-power supply--and soon to be an amateur rig with proper
> >> receiver card and xmitter card (some are already there!)
> >>
> >> Fact is, the computer IS amateurs future--like it or not... only reason
> >> the future is not here right now, old amateurs can't adapt and die
> >> first... and serve as a hindrance to the new minds bringing the future
> >> with them...
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:20:06 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
> >> >> > PM:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
> >> >> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
> >> >> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
> >> >> >
> >> >> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
> >> >> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
> >> >> > project would most likely be computer related.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
> >> >> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
> >> >> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
> >> >> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and

> >> >> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
> >> >> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
> >> >> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > John
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
> >> >> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
> >> >> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
> >> >> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
> >> >> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
> >> >> that you seem to despise so.
> >> >>
> >> >> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
> >> >> etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
> >> > which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.
> >> >
> >> > Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students
> >> > wouldn't understand the question.
> >> >
> >> > My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets,
> >> > but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their
> >> > mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my
> >> > grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet -
> >> > but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me
> >> > llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.
> >> >
> >> > If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative
> >> > software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone
> >> > always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix
> >> > trick to show off.
> >> >
> >> > The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone
> >> > hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards
> >> > of antennas, propagation, etc.
> >> >
> >> > Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail
> >> > is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a
> >> > soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made
> >> > phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do
> >> > not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm
> >> > not that talented.
> >> >
> >> > Dave
> >> > VE3HLU

# 1872. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:20:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8ktvf1pj7iljm7g1pmj91dbc3pjbtqdf2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 116172

On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> wrote in <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Really? What units and when?
>> >
>> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
>> >answers
>>
>>
>> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
>> (unless he's really Ollie North).
>
>He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
>actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
>expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
>and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
>everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
>Valor comes to mind.

I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS, and even if
there was a typo on his DD-214 he would have known the -correct- MOS
because EVERY Marine knows his or her MOS as well as many of the other
MOS's in the related fields: 0311 = grunt; 2531 = grunt w/radio (aka
"sniper target"); 2511 = pole-jockey; 2811 = wire tech; 2861 = radio
tech (usually some asshole shop chief) 2881 = crypto tech..... well,
you get the idea. I also noticed he claims to have a good conduct
"medal". No such animal -- it's just a ribbon. Yep, you got him pegged
alright, he's a wannabe warrior.

> I've always been up front about my
>> service in the USMC:
>>
>> Plt 2051 out of MCRD San Diego, 1981;
>> MCCES at MCAGCC (29 Palms), MOS 2841, 1981 to 1983;
>> 3/8 at Camp Geiger (Camp Lejeune's back door), 1983 to 1985;
>> BLT 3/8, 24th MAU, 1984 (Beirut);
>
>The flag we flew over the former American Embassy in Mogadishu,
>December 1992, was last flown in Beirut.

Interesting irony happening there.....

>> 2nd AAV, 'Outhouse' Bay (also part of Camp Lejeune) for several months
>> in 1985 until discharged.
>
>You're in Ohio, right?

Nope, Washington (the state, not the city). But I had a girlfriend in
Ohio once. A real knockout!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1873. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:34:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FaTLe.1533$M22.6560909@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 116173

Ted:

Agreed.

John

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:9esvf110mra8p4km8fv7gdgi4181mbuhc1@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:12:26 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Ted:
>>
>>Change is usually non-conformity, and may seem chaotic to those stuck in a
>>rut...
>>
>>John
>
> I fail to see what all of the current "non-conformists" are trying to
> change. It's like they're so obsessed with insults that they've
> forgotten what the original debate was about.
>
>

# 1874. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:35:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QbTLe.1560$I62.6645915@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 116175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-=jd=-:

<yawn>

John

"-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
news:Xns96B2E489ED898a216b130c132d203@216.77.188.18...
> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 10:12:12p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
> in message news:pan.2005.08.15.02.12.11.345344@gmail.com:
>
>> Dork:
>>
>> You didn't understand, "Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide
>> that, you are the last person I would consider as a mentor..."
>>
>> ... try to focus man!
>>
>> <yawn>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> I realize it's embarrassing for you. I also realize that you feel
> compelled
> to dodge the question in order to avoid further embarrassment, but I can
> keep requoting you as long as need be. I'll provide the question again and
> quote your gibberish in all-caps so that there will be no doubt.
>
> Here is your task. Simply translate the following non-sense that *you*
> wrote, and we'll be on the same freq. Again, YOU wrote the following "gem"
> of flustered non-sense:
>
> "FAR AS WHAT YOU ARE OR ARE NOT, AS YOU SAY, A PERSON GIVES THAT AWAY
> WITH THEIR POSTS, SO QUIT POINTING MINE AT YOURSELF AND TRYING TO DEFEND
> YOURSELF FROM THEM--AND IF THE SHOE FITS, WEAR IT!"
>
> Now, translate that into english. There may be a flaw in my request
> because
> I am presuming it *can* be translated. It remains to be seen -- you are
> certainly doing a lame enough job dodging the task of cleaning up your own
> mess. So far, this appears to be an indication of your lack of character
> and personal integrity. It is no wonder that you panicked in fear when
> Bierbarrel advised you what would happen if he caught you urinating on a
```

> grave.
>
> I'll check back tomorrow and see if you were successful.
> Nitey-Night, sunshine!
>
> -=jd=-
> --
> My Current Disposable Email:
> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
>
>

# 1875. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:48:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124074087.010954.65710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116176

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 17:47:47 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote in <1124066867.131561.128180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
cut
> >> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
> >> >answers
> >>
> >>
> >> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
> >> (unless he's really Ollie North). I've always been up front about my
> >> service in the USMC:
> >
> >He does it so he can all kinds of things and no one can check it
>
>
> Sounds like another "wannabe warrior". I've met several over the
> years. So what else has this guy claimed?

Claimed to have been a Marine Sniper in Korea (before he was born)
Calims to be to make medical judgements about wether or not a Dr knows
something about the DR's subject

Claims that his being a LPN in an ER quailifies him to dianosis people
he has never met

claims a LOT of shit
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1876. Re: Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:53:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124074382.372895.10710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116177

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > more empty words Stvie
>
> Mark, shouldn't you be scared that Steve will have you locked up? He
> said he would. All it takes is a phone call. He's so important in the
> field of applied psychology, that every law enforcement agency in the
> USA hangs on his every word. They've even created this giant network
> of 911-operators to stand-by 24/7, waiting for Steve to call in. Maybe
> he'll even reveal his seven hostile actions to one of the operators.

Maybe he reveal One of them, don't ask for mircals after all

The most I expect he can scare up is maybe a visit from a Socail worker

I have had to deal with those before since I take care of my Father who
is slowly dying. a Number of follks have called expressing concern esp
in setting where I have been "outed" as Bi. It is old home week

# 1877. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 02:58:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.02.58.52.34540@gmail.com>
Source record: 116178
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave:

Interesting, I expect the posts on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,
rec.radio.amateur.equipment, rec.radio.amateur.swap to change to
reflect that any day now!

John

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 22:19:18 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:

> Fascinating, I don't know who you talk to but I can only think of a couple of people I
know
> using Drake stuff, and then only as collectors items or though nostalgia. Can't remember
the
> last time I heard someone mention Henry, except in an inductor value, and one of the few
> pictures of Heathkit equipment I have seen in a long time was in a magazine on antique
> equipment a (younger) friend showed me a couple of weeks ago.
>
> I have a real hard time believing that the long overdue dropping of CW will result in
much
> of an influx to HF. If they were interested in HF they would already be here.
>
> I've had a two hour HF swap shop running in the background and I don't think I heard
> anything that old being offered for sale or looked for except for a couple of antique
RCA,
> Hammarlund and National receivers, but they were in the antiques listing. Plenty of DSP
rigs
> and digital stuff, a few people looking for specialized digital ICs. It is quite common
to
> hear current generation equipment being sold by very elderly hams due to deteriorating
> health and/or moving into nursing homes or apartments where they must give up the hobby.
>
> I'm having coffee with an 81 year old tomorrow and he has a DSP HF rig; and a software
> defined receiver running on his backup PC, which he also uses to watch TV and movies. He
> usually has at least one PC in pieces as he reconfigures it and has been inside his ICOM
and
> Kenwood HF rigs with a soldering iron more than once, although as his age creeps up he is
> reducing those activities somewhat. He will probably want to discuss optimizing the data
> base for his SDR receiver.
>
> Not every ham over 40 is using a hand key to a crystal controlled 6L6.
>
> Dave
>

>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Dave:
>>
>> Interesting, when I have exchanged station pics, I have never seen the new
>> equip, other than my own...
>>
>> When I exchange equip descriptions, everyone is mentioning drake, henry,
>> heathkit, etc... frankly, last months maybe a year--I gave up paying close
>> attention... in fact, my xmitter pci card is a proto-type which an
>> engineer made a gift of to me when I worked on some software to support
>> it (they will actually market a USB model.) Last time I chatted with him,
>> it was still sitting on his companies "back shelf" waiting for the market
>> to develop... they strongly support dropping CW and expect an influx of
>> new hams which they feel will accept the equipment and make profitable the
>> sales. In the meantime they market to police, fire, hospitals, gov't...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 20:55:15 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>>
>> > (Apologies to the top posting hater community, but I decided to follow John's lead).
>> >
>> > Got the wrong guy John.
>> >
>> > I've been using most of that stuff for quite a while, and I could point you to a dozen
>> > or so hams well over the age of 70 who not only use it; they understand how it works
>> > and some even tinker with the hardware and software.
>> >
>> > I'm not quite that old yet, but it ain't always that easy to keep up with some of them
>> > when they discuss their latest project.
>> >
>> > About a quarter of a century ago, I was teaching a system using a synthesized HF
>> > sideband transceiver, on a single PCB about 2 or 3 inches square, running from about 7
>> > to 10MHz and digitally controlled either locally or remotely (up to 100 transceivers
>> > controlled from a DEC minicomputer) - would love to have slipped one in my shirt
>> > pocket, taken it home and added a linear! Funnily enough most of the guys I was
>> > working with have decided to become hams within the last 10 or 15 years.
>> >
>> > The HF receiver card in one of my PCs gets far more use than my stand-alone
>> > transceivers with the DSP etc. the card is much more versatile - more modes,
>> > bandwidths etc. etc.. Software defined is the way to go.
>> >
>> > But, and it is a fair sized but, I find I can have much more detailed and interesting
>> > discussions of this technology with older rather than younger folks. I find (just my
>> > experience and maybe the folks I choose to hang out with) that the younger generation
>> > tend to be users who know no more about how it works than my granny knew about how her
>> > telephone worked. But boy can they use it!
>> >

>> > My generation understood it down to the electron level.
>> > My kids understood it down to the IC level.
>> > My grand kids (teenagers - with a few exceptions) know how to use it but don't
>> > understand, or really care, how it works.
>> >
>> > Can't blame them, it's progress. The systems have become so complex that one pretty
>> > much has to specialize and folks with a general knowledge of hardware and software,
>> > theory and practice of entire systems are becoming a vanishing breed. That is true of
>> > much more than communications technology - the guy at the corner gas station probably
>> > can't fix your anti-skid braking system any more than the local pharmacy can sell you
>> > the part to fix your TV any more.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > Sitting at his computer listening to an HF net on his software defined radio running
>> > on the same processor.
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >
>> >> Dave:
>> >>
>> >> No one is denying you the right to your religion of "amateur worship", we
>> >> all need some high power to look up to. But, you must realize you are in
>> >> a church which has a very small following (mostly other hams, and NOT all
>> >> of them!)
>> >>
>> >> Fact is, the computer is a TV with a tv card inserted--a stereo system
>> >> with a high quality audio card, tuner card inserted--a cd music player
>> >> with cd and proper software--a dvd player with a dvd-cd and proper
>> >> software--a home security system with the proper card and related software
>> >> and backup-power supply--and soon to be an amateur rig with proper
>> >> receiver card and xmitter card (some are already there!)
>> >>
>> >> Fact is, the computer IS amateurs future--like it or not... only reason
>> >> the future is not here right now, old amateurs can't adapt and die
>> >> first... and serve as a hindrance to the new minds bringing the future
>> >> with them...
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:20:06 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
>> >> >> > PM:
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
>> >> >> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
>> >> >> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
>> >> >> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
>> >> >> > project would most likely be computer related.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
>> >> >> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
>> >> >> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
>> >> >> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
>> >> >> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
>> >> >> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
>> >> >> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > John
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
>> >> >> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
>> >> >> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
>> >> >> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
>> >> >> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
>> >> >> that you seem to despise so.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
>> >> >> etc.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >
>> >> > Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
>> >> > which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.
>> >> >
>> >> > Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students
>> >> > wouldn't understand the question.
>> >> >
>> >> > My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets,
>> >> > but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their
>> >> > mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my
>> >> > grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet -
>> >> > but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me
>> >> > llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.
>> >> >
>> >> > If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative
>> >> > software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone

>> >> > always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix
>> >> > trick to show off.
>> >> >
>> >> > The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone
>> >> > hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards
>> >> > of antennas, propagation, etc.
>> >> >
>> >> > Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail
>> >> > is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a
>> >> > soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made
>> >> > phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do
>> >> > not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm
>> >> > not that talented.
>> >> >
>> >> > Dave
>> >> > VE3HLU

# 1878. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 03:16:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ENqdnWbDeOtlIZ3eRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116180
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Holford wrote:
> Fascinating, I don't know who you talk to but I can only think of a couple of people I know
> using Drake stuff, and then only as collectors items or though nostalgia. Can't remember the
> last time I heard someone mention Henry, except in an inductor value, and one of the few
> pictures of Heathkit equipment I have seen in a long time was in a magazine on antique
> equipment a (younger) friend showed me a couple of weeks ago.
>
> I have a real hard time believing that the long overdue dropping of CW will result in much
> of an influx to HF. If they were interested in HF they would already be here.
>
> I've had a two hour HF swap shop running in the background and I don't think I heard
> anything that old being offered for sale or looked for except for a couple of antique RCA,
> Hammarlund and National receivers, but they were in the antiques listing. Plenty of DSP rigs
> and digital stuff, a few people looking for specialized digital ICs. It is quite common to
> hear current generation equipment being sold by very elderly hams due to deteriorating
> health and/or moving into nursing homes or apartments where they must give up the hobby.
>
> I'm having coffee with an 81 year old tomorrow and he has a DSP HF rig; and a software
> defined receiver running on his backup PC, which he also uses to watch TV and movies. He
> usually has at least one PC in pieces as he reconfigures it and has been inside his ICOM and
> Kenwood HF rigs with a soldering iron more than once, although as his age creeps up he is
> reducing those activities somewhat. He will probably want to discuss optimizing the data
> base for his SDR receiver.
>
> Not every ham over 40 is using a hand key to a crystal controlled 6L6.

Do people have their 60th birthday, and suddenly become stupid or
something? I had a PSK31 QSO with a 96 y.o. Hams last year. He was
checking out his new laptop. Had a modern rig also. That's just one
case. There are plenty other oldsters I've QSO'ed with who are trourghly
modern.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1879. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 03:17:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <br10g1d06rsv9ijruinqnubd8qonccjolc@4ax.com>
Source record: 116181

On 14 Aug 2005 19:48:07 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote in <1124074087.010954.65710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 14 Aug 2005 17:47:47 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> wrote in <1124066867.131561.128180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>cut
>> >> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
>> >> >answers
>> >>
>> >> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
>> >> (unless he's really Ollie North). I've always been up front about my
>> >> service in the USMC:
>> >
>> >He does it so he can all kinds of things and no one can check it
>>
>> Sounds like another "wannabe warrior". I've met several over the
>> years. So what else has this guy claimed?
>
>Claimed to have been a Marine Sniper in Korea (before he was born)

Nonexistence makes for excellent camouflage.

>Calims to be to make medical judgements about wether or not a Dr knows
>something about the DR's subject

.....hmmmmm, well, I gotta pass on that one because I've ran into a
few quacks myself.

>Claims that his being a LPN in an ER quailifies him to dianosis people
>he has never met

Of course he can -- him and his crystal ball.

>claims a LOT of shit

Apparently. I've read a few more of his posts. It's a wonder he still
posts after publically humiliating himself for several years. But then
again, he doesn't have anything left to lose, does he?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1880. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 03:19:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1c20g1pk7vteccl0cnj6f7kjie53krjv8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 116182

Don't spill the beans about the good conduct medal. It's bait!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1881. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 03:22:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ph20g1td22v74t6afn1ifcac5uu7hsbsaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 116183

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 20:19:37 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<1c20g1pk7vteccl0cnj6f7kjie53krjv8m@4ax.com>:

>Don't spill the beans about the good conduct medal. It's bait!

Whoops..... screwed -that- one up, didn't I?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1882. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 04:14:24 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <2tidnZ2dnZ38GNCanZ2dnXaand6dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 116179
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:

> Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
> using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
> the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
> transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
> you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
> technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
> what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>
> John

Sure looks like this bunch of young folks just didn't leave and return
to the Internet.

http://www.k9ya.org/telegraph/2005/K9YA_1_2005.pdf

# 1883. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 04:15:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iFULe.6441$RS.3600@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116184

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>>>>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
>>>>>>DXers.
>>>>>>
>>>>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
>>>>
>>>>It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
>>>>operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
>>>>years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
>>>>hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
>>>>looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the
>>>>moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
>>>>convenience.
>>>
>>>
>>>Slaped Silly?
>>
>>No, slapped silly.
>>
>>
>>
>>>and more lie on your part
>>
>>Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
>>me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
>>Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
>>his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
>>have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
>>broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.

>
>
> Then again you admit the charge

No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.

> Nothing has been to look absurd

That's incorrect. Brian has been made to look absurd--but you're
gaining on him.

> you are only making yourself lok more guilty

Maybe you can explain how this can possibly be so.

> I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply

Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
at all.

>>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
>>
>>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
>>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
>>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
>
>
> indeed a confession

Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.

>>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
>>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>>>>
>>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>>>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
>>>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
>>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
>>>
>>>
>>>whish has what to do the subject
>
> which has nothing to do with the subject
>
>
>>>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
>>>>haven't a leg to stand on.
>>>
>>>
>>>and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
>>>out of there band
>>

>>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
>>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
>>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
>>what you like.
>
>
> Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW

Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.

> Based on what you have said and what you refuse to say

There you have it. If I say something, I'm guilty of something. If I don't say something, I'm still guilty.

You're a twit.

>>>gee sounds like a cofession to me
>>
>>Izzat how they handle "cofessions" in your pagan flock?
>
> more bashing of others religion

If you want to dance nekkid around some candles and a pentangle, fine. If you'd like to think of it as a religious service, fine. Happy Ramahannukwanzmas.

Dave K8MN

# 1884. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 04:23:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZMULe.6275$WD.5735@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116185

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>cut
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Likewise.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>more of your cuting context
>>>>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
>>>>>>
>>>>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
>>>>>>are sick as well as unfeeling
>>>>>>
>>>>>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
>>>>>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>>>>
>>>>Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent

>>>>in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
>>>
>>>
>>>then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
>>
>>As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
>>idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
>>to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
>>feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.
>
>
> No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
> call if it were misent

Don't try to make the scenario something altogether different. We were
discussing *you* attempting to respond to a morse distress call. Now
you want to shift things around so I'm ignoring a distress call.

> I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
> someone in distresss

From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
of understanding what help was needed.

>
>>>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
>>>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
>>>
>>>
>>>Just comenting on your own words
>>
>>"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
>>nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
>>band.
>
>
> I am comenting on your words
>
> Indeed all I have is your words
>
> and YOUR words make you look guilty

Okay, Mark, I'll play your game. On which particular words of mine are
you commenting?

>>>but then you don't respoibility for your actions
>>
>>I don't repoibility?
>>
>>I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
>>I still think you're a twit.

>
>
> Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law

I helped no others break any law unless you take the very peculiar and
totally incorrect view that by merely showing up on a band, I enticed
someone to break his country's regs. Others have attempted to correct
your mistaken perception, but you've simply ignored them.

>
>>>>I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
>>>>subject for a joke.
>>>
>>>
>>>and more of your Stevie type lies
>>
>>That isn't a lie, Mark. Victimhood is what you're all about. You can't
>>pass a morse code test and you're a victim. You put together an English
>>sentence and that's someone else's problem and you're a victim because
>>of your handicap. Let's all get together and feel sorry for poor Mark.
>> Let's try to make it easy on him because he just can't do things.
>
>
> More of your lies

Your record is stuck, Mark. What lies?

>
>>I'm not feeling that generous, Mark. I know too many people with
>>difficulties in their lives who are, at least, trying to do something to
>>better themselves.

Dave K8MN

# 1885. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 04:30:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.04.30.43.370501@gmail.com>
Source record: 116186

Dave:

I dream about answering a call from a damsel in distress... the other
distress calls, I could most likely, live without... <grin>

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 04:23:21 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>cut
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Likewise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>more of your cuting context
>>>>>>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
>>>>>>>>are sick as well as unfeeling

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
>>>>>>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
>>>>>in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
>>>
>>>As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
>>>idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
>>>to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
>>>feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.
>>
>>
>> No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
>> call if it were misent
>
> Don't try to make the scenario something altogether different. We were
> discussing *you* attempting to respond to a morse distress call. Now
> you want to shift things around so I'm ignoring a distress call.
>
>> I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
>> someone in distresss
>
> From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> of understanding what help was needed.
>
>>
>>>>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
>>>>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Just comenting on your own words
>>>
>>>"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
>>>nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
>>>band.
>>
>>
>> I am comenting on your words
>>
>> Indeed all I have is your words
>>
>> and YOUR words make you look guilty

>
> Okay, Mark, I'll play your game. On which particular words of mine are
> you commenting?
>
>
>>>>but then you don't respoibility for your actions
>>>
>>>I don't repoibility?
>>>
>>>I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
>>>I still think you're a twit.
>>
>>
>> Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law
>
> I helped no others break any law unless you take the very peculiar and
> totally incorrect view that by merely showing up on a band, I enticed
> someone to break his country's regs. Others have attempted to correct
> your mistaken perception, but you've simply ignored them.
>
>>
>>>>>I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
>>>>>subject for a joke.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>and more of your Stevie type lies
>>>
>>>That isn't a lie, Mark. Victimhood is what you're all about. You can't
>>>pass a morse code test and you're a victim. You put together an English
>>>sentence and that's someone else's problem and you're a victim because
>>>of your handicap. Let's all get together and feel sorry for poor Mark.
>>> Let's try to make it easy on him because he just can't do things.
>>
>>
>> More of your lies
>
> Your record is stuck, Mark. What lies?
>
>>
>>>I'm not feeling that generous, Mark. I know too many people with
>>>difficulties in their lives who are, at least, trying to do something to
>>>better themselves.
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1886. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 05:18:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124083128.987347.160770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116187

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>>>>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> >>>>>>>DXers.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
> >>>>
> >>>>It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
> >>>>operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
> >>>>years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
> >>>>hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
> >>>>looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the
> >>>>moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> >>>>convenience.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Slaped Silly?
> >>
> >>No, slapped silly.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>and more lie on your part
> >>
> >>Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
> >>me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
> >>Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
> >>his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
> >>have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I

> >>broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.
> >
> >
> > Then again you admit the charge
>
> No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.

of course there is

The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
band

>
> > Nothing has been to look absurd
>
> That's incorrect. Brian has been made to look absurd--but you're
> gaining on him.

not at all

>
> > you are only making yourself lok more guilty
>
> Maybe you can explain how this can possibly be so.

I doubt it, since you are clearly uninterested.

But in evading the important points over and over again, you make your
self look guilty

>
> > I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply
>
> Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
> at all.

I have been paying attention. and it doesn't apply. since you just keep
ancing around the relavant issues

>
> >>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
> >>
> >>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
> >>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
> >>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
> >
> >
> > indeed a confession
>
> Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.

which is yet another evasion of the issue

Attack rather than deal with the facts

>
>
> >>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
> >>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
> >>>>
> >>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> >>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> >>>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> >>>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> >>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>whish has what to do the subject
> >
> > which has nothing to do with the subject
> >
> >
> >>>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
> >>>>haven't a leg to stand on.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
> >>>out of there band
> >>
> >>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
> >>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
> >>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
> >>what you like.
> >
> >
> > Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW
>
> Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever
> goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.

and you want to believe you are in the wrong by refuseing to answer
basic questions

and you should not mind if you were telling the turth when you said
"Believe
what you like."

But obviously you do care, so why bother with such a lie

you clearly do care or you would not bother
>
> > Based on what you have said and what you refuse to say
>
> There you have it. If I say something, I'm guilty of something. If I
> don't say something, I'm still guilty.

you can try answering the question rather than avoiding it?

That being did you know you were working a Fench ham out of their band?

you have been dancing around this post after post

>
> You're a twit.

you are a coward, afraid to answer and yet still trying to bully
everyone into accepting your claims

>
> >>>gee sounds like a cofession to me
> >>
> >>Izzat how they handle "cofessions" in your pagan flock?
> >
> > more bashing of others religion
>
> If you want to dance nekkid around some candles and a pentangle, fine.
> If you'd like to think of it as a religious service, fine. Happy
> Ramahannukwanzmas.

more mockery

only adds to weight of convincing that you KNOWINGLY worked folks out
of band

you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question

>
> Dave K8MN

# 1887. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 05:51:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124085085.837640.208320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116188

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>cut
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Likewise.
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>more of your cuting context
> >>>>>>>>>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
> >>>>>>>>>>>are sick as well as unfeeling
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
> >>>>>>>>>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
> >>>>

> >>>>Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
> >>>>in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
> >>
> >>As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
> >>idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
> >>to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
> >>feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.
> >
> >
> > No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
> > call if it were misent
>
> Don't try to make the scenario something altogether different. We were
> discussing *you* attempting to respond to a morse distress call. Now
> you want to shift things around so I'm ignoring a distress call.

The subject reactions to distress call yours and mine

>
> > I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
> > someone in distresss
>
> From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> of understanding what help was needed.

more of your pack of lies

You refuse to acept facts

It isn't hard to reconize SoS

Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
data I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
Air to render more assistance than I can

So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.

>
> >
> >>>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> >>>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Just comenting on your own words
> >>
> >>"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
> >>nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
> >>band.
> >
> >
> > I am comenting on your words
> >
> > Indeed all I have is your words
> >
> > and YOUR words make you look guilty
>
> Okay, Mark, I'll play your game. On which particular words of mine are
> you commenting?

the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.

>
>
> >>>but then you don't respoibility for your actions
> >>
> >>I don't repoibility?
> >>
> >>I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
> >>I still think you're a twit.
> >
> >
> > Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law
>
> I helped no others break any law unless you take the very peculiar and
> totally incorrect view that by merely showing up on a band, I enticed
> someone to break his country's regs. Others have attempted to correct
> your mistaken perception, but you've simply ignored them.

becuase you and all of them dance around the central quetion what did
you know and when did you know it

If You only learned afterward they were out of band then Find I agree
you were in the clear. If you knew before or during then it is another
matter

you dance and dance avoiding this question

>
> >
> >>>>I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
> >>>>subject for a joke.
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>and more of your Stevie type lies
> >>
> >>That isn't a lie, Mark. Victimhood is what you're all about. You can't
> >>pass a morse code test and you're a victim. You put together an English
> >>sentence and that's someone else's problem and you're a victim because
> >>of your handicap. Let's all get together and feel sorry for poor Mark.
> >> Let's try to make it easy on him because he just can't do things.
> >
> >
> > More of your lies
>
> Your record is stuck, Mark. What lies?
>
> >
> >>I'm not feeling that generous, Mark. I know too many people with
> >>difficulties in their lives who are, at least, trying to do something to
> >>better themselves.
>
> Dave K8MN

## 1888. Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 07:42:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124091777.322826.287170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116189

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:

> > > > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
> > >
> > > Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> > > obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> > > the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to
> >
> > Markie...WHERE in that post did either Hans >>OR<< I "insist" that
> > you do something?
>
> but you do soo all the time

Oh..I see..."all the time"...

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

> Never said he or did that post, more Stevie lies

No lies.

I quoted you exactly.

If there was a "lie" there, it was in YOUR words, Markie.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1889. Re: Markie Claims no "action"... more likely he's missing the point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 08:08:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124093331.361922.298770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116190

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
> >
> >
> > Really? What units and when?

"Braggard"

"alleged"

Nothing "braggard about being a Marine, Markie.

Frank, E-mail me directly if you care to. I'd be gald to tell you
directly.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1890. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 08:13:43 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Y8YLe.4283$Ji.2584@lakeread02>
Source record: 116191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>>well then, yours is a rare exception
>
>
> Well gee, Eric, considering your decades of experience of trotting
> around the US and monitoring CB comm during disasters and emergency
> situations, you are most certainly qualified to make such a judgement,
> so I suppose your opinion -must- be the truth, huh?

did I mention a length of time for me "Tenure" on that band? nope!
oh yeah, I just love teh way you try to "poke a bear" by inferring that what I am saying isn't the "truth". Fact is, its my experience that CB is, for the most part, chaotic, unprofessional and NOT WANTED by anyone at FEMA, ARC (American Red Cross), EOC's everywhere, DHS (Dept. of Homeland Security) and the like. and my statement still stands: your "story" is a very rare exception to the rule (assuming its even the truth).
>>
>>where was this?
>
>
> Don't know how to google "School Fire" and "Pomeroy"? Oh, that's right
> you're blind or deaf or something else that you use as an excuse not
> to use your brain.....

cheap shot.
whats the matter, can dig up any real facts, so you have to attack me? got any better ones?

>
>
>> I can assure you that such wouldn't be the case here in
>>Phoenix or any of the other major urban areas.
>
>
> You have previously noted your "experiences" in Phoenix. Yet you now
> refer to the past as a theoretical possibility. You aren't very
> consistent with your lies, Eric.
>

lies? hmmmmmmm. guess the truth hurts huh? when you start attacking the messenger for the message (calling him a liar, or by inference, implying that what he has to say "isn't the truth") is an old tactic. its generally a favorite among those of the crowd that belive that only their side knows the truth. you have yet to prove this to anyones satisfaction. please do so

now......

>>
>>then you didn't look hard enough.
>
>
> If ham radio was as prevalent in emergency communications as you and
> some other ham claim then I shouldn't have had to look -at all-, let
> alone "hard enough". But I most certainly did look, because I wanted
> to find out whether these hams were working emergency comm, pushing
> papers, washing dishes, or just getting in the way of people actually
> doing things. So you may not understand this, Eric, but I was actually
> disappointed when I couldn't find any hams. Consider it a compliment.

claims? not claims. try facts (which is something you seem to lack a lot
of).

>
>
>> btw, the quantity of radio sets still has
>>no bearing on the argument over what (or who) can provide better service.
>
>
> I'm sure that's true under your own biased and narrowly restricted
> definition of "better". The fact is that reality is proving you wrong
> even as you sit there and try to convince me otherwise.

its reality (and I really wish you would pay more attention to it). you seem
to reticent to swallow such a bitter pill. in fact, you shout it so
mightily I begin to wonder what your real agenda is for spouting it in such
huge volumes.

>>please try again... only this time, back things up with some sourcable
>>materials if you please?
>
>
> The facts are available right now -- you just have to observe them
> firsthand like I did. But it's clear that no facts are going to stand
> in the way of your holy-ham opinions.

still not backing them up?
you started this argument, you do the legwork.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

# 1891. The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 08:17:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116192

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
> >Valor comes to mind.
>
> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)

What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.

All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
fifth.

Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
his 1950's era MOS's...

> (UNSNIP)...and even if
> there was a typo on his DD-214 he would have known the -correct- MOS
> because EVERY Marine knows his or her MOS as well as many of the other
> MOS's in the related fields: 0311 = grunt; 2531 = grunt w/radio (aka
> "sniper target"); 2511 = pole-jockey; 2811 = wire tech; 2861 = radio
> tech (usually some asshole shop chief) 2881 = crypto tech..... well,
> you get the idea. I also noticed he claims to have a good conduct
> "medal". No such animal -- it's just a ribbon. Yep, you got him pegged
> alright, he's a wannabe warrior.

Oh?

> > I've always been up front about my
> >> service in the USMC:
> >>
> >> Plt 2051 out of MCRD San Diego, 1981;
> >> MCCES at MCAGCC (29 Palms), MOS 2841, 1981 to 1983;
> >> 3/8 at Camp Geiger (Camp Lejeune's back door), 1983 to 1985;
> >> BLT 3/8, 24th MAU, 1984 (Beirut);
> >
> >The flag we flew over the former American Embassy in Mogadishu,
> >December 1992, was last flown in Beirut.
>
> Interesting irony happening there.....
>

> >> 2nd AAV, 'Outhouse' Bay (also part of Camp Lejeune) for several months
> >> in 1985 until discharged.
> >
> >You're in Ohio, right?
>
> Nope, Washington (the state, not the city). But I had a girlfriend in
> Ohio once. A real knockout!

I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 1892. Re: Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 08:21:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124094080.966549.204670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116193

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Stevie for years now, I am the rest of the Newsgrups has endured your
> > > abuse, your threats and your lies
> > >
> > You're the rest of the newsgroup?
> >
> I am part of

That's not what you said originally.

YOU said "I am the rest of the newsgrups..."

> > I don't think so!
> > >
> > You might THINK you are, but there's lot of other folks here who
> > might have something to say about it.
> > >
> > > You ahve accused me and crime and threatened with Murder arest and
> > > comitment to a mental inistitution, claiming the porfessional
> > > credentcial to make the judgement
> > >
> > Mark, you need to get those "anger issues" under control, slow
> > down and WRITE IN ENGLISH ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > I am not seeking to elecit yet another threat. I seeking your action.
> > >
> > Too late.
> >
> what action?

I didn't tell you?

> > > Put up or shut up, My preference is of course that you shut up your
> > > phycobabble, and you lies but it is your choice
> > >
> > "phycobabble"...?!?!
> > >
> > More Markisms made on-the-fly...?!?!

An answer, Markie?

What is "phycobabble"...?!?!

> > > you have stated that I am a danger to myself and others, If that were a
> > > true statement I should locked up by the authorities, you know that.

> >
> > As well you may. And my comments may just sit on a desk. But
> > then they may accumulate.
> >
> > > You also obviously Know it is not true, that you are lying when you say
> > > it is true
> >
> > All true.
> >
> > > I am calling your bluff
> >
> > Too late.
> >
> > > Coward
> > > Bully
> > >
> > > those are the names properly aplied to you
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > put up or shut up
> >
> > Too late.
>
> more empty words Stvie

"Stevie".

And nope.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1893. Mark Morgan Lying to "New Guy" to Get His "Lennie Messaging Points" Stamps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 08:27:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124094421.736723.102070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116194

an_old_friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 14 Aug 2005 17:47:47 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > wrote in <1124066867.131561.128180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
> > > >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> cut
> > > >> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
> > > >> >answers
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
> > > >> (unless he's really Ollie North). I've always been up front about my
> > > >> service in the USMC:
> > > >
> > > >He does it so he can all kinds of things and no one can check it
> > >
> > >
> > > Sounds like another "wannabe warrior". I've met several over the
> > > years. So what else has this guy claimed?
> >
> Claimed to have been a Marine Sniper in Korea (before he was born)
> Calims to be to make medical judgements about wether or not a Dr knows
> something about the DR's subject

Mark, you need to stop lying to this man.

LENNIE is the one who was the rear-area radio-clerk war hero who
has repeatedly, and OVERTLY attempted to "embellish his military
"career" by association with Soldiers who were KIA three years before
he was even inducted.

> Claims that his being a LPN in an ER quailifies him to dianosis people
> he has never met

And why do you make THIS lie?

I have NEVER claimed to "dianosis" people, as an LPN or otherwise.

> claims a LOT of ####

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1894. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 08:31:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mdk0g1di0f12r7c6dot93n1k3rcukj54bc@4ax.com>
Source record: 116195

On 15 Aug 2005 01:17:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
>> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
>> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
>> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
>> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
>> >Valor comes to mind.
>>
>> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)
>
> What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.
>
> All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
>fifth.
>
> Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
>his 1950's era MOS's...

Yep. It's been a long day.

<snip>
> I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
>Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!

Nothing anonymous about me. What the deal about these "seven hostile
actions"?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1895. More Evidence Of Markie's Muddled Mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 09:01:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124093097.593822.239960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116196

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > >>Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
> > >>me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
> > >>Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
> > >>his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
> > >>have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
> > >>broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.
> > >
> > >
> > > Then again you admit the charge
> >
> > No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.
>
> of course there is
>
> The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
> band

"aisted"...?!?!

He didn't "assist" anyone. He was in Tanzania.

> > > Nothing has been to look absurd
> >
> > That's incorrect. Brian has been made to look absurd--but you're
> > gaining on him.
>
> not at all

Absolutely "at all".

The fact that YOU answerd the questions that I posed to brain and
subsequantly AFFIRMED that there was no way Dave could have been
"responsible" in the process says it all.

That you now try to make something out of nothing is all-the-more
hillarious!

> > > you are only making yourself lok more guilty
> >
> > Maybe you can explain how this can possibly be so.
>
> I doubt it, since you are clearly uninterested.

Uh huh...

> But in evading the important points over and over again, you make your
> self look guilty

YOU, LiarBoy, already addressed the "important points" yourself and
in doing so affirmed that no "crime" was committed.

> > > I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply
> >
> > Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
> > at all.
>
> I have been paying attention. and it doesn't apply. since you just keep
> ancing around the relavant issues

"ancing"...?!?!

Yes, Markie, you AND Brain have been "slapped silly" ...With the
facts.

That you keep trying to make an issue of something YOU have (1)
absoluttely no practical experience with and (2) have already yourself
acknowledged as having NOT been a "crime".

> > >>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
> > >>
> > >>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
> > >>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
> > >>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
> > >
> > >
> > > indeed a confession
> >
> > Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.
>
> which is yet another evasion of the issue
>
> Attack rather than deal with the facts

No..he got it right.

You're a twit. That IS a FACT.

> > >>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
> > >>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
> > >>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
> > >>what you like.
> > >
> > > Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW
> >
> > Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever
> > goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.
>
> and you want to believe you are in the wrong by refuseing to answer
> basic questions

He's answered them...overandoverandoverandoverand........

> and you should not mind if you were telling the turth when you said
> "Believe
> what you like."
>
> But obviously you do care, so why bother with such a lie

YOU are the lie, Markie...

> you clearly do care or you would not bother

I am sure that meant something, but what?

> > > Based on what you have said and what you refuse to say
> >
> > There you have it. If I say something, I'm guilty of something. If I
> > don't say something, I'm still guilty.
>
> you can try answering the question rather than avoiding it?
>
> That being did you know you were working a Fench ham out of their band?
>
> you have been dancing around this post after post

No, he's not.

He answered it YEARS ago and now you "show up" after a several year
hiatus, hear Brain banter it about as if it were current events, and
think you're "big money" by doing so.

You just look silly.

> > You're a twit.
>
> you are a coward, afraid to answer and yet still trying to bully
> everyone into accepting your claims

Why is anyone with documented facts a "bully" with you, Morgan?

More of that "victim" role you like to play, no doubt.

> > >>>gee sounds like a cofession to me
> > >>
> > >>Izzat how they handle "cofessions" in your pagan flock?
> > >
> > > more bashing of others religion
> >
> > If you want to dance nekkid around some candles and a pentangle, fine.
> > If you'd like to think of it as a religious service, fine. Happy
> > Ramahannukwanzmas.
>
> more mockery

Nope. Sounds like he got it right.

> only adds to weight of convincing that you KNOWINGLY worked folks out
> of band

And only YOU would think so...

> you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question

You "chose" to avoid accepting that he's right and you're wrong
despite your OWN stated opinions to the contrary a week ago.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1896. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 09:08:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1sm0g11uq14v6r19d6d1akev3mactb5tdd@4ax.com>
Source record: 116197

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:13:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <Y8YLe.4283$Ji.2584@lakeread02>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>>>well then, yours is a rare exception
>>
>>
>> Well gee, Eric, considering your decades of experience of trotting
>> around the US and monitoring CB comm during disasters and emergency
>> situations, you are most certainly qualified to make such a judgement,
>> so I suppose your opinion -must- be the truth, huh?
>
>did I mention a length of time for me "Tenure" on that band? nope!
>oh yeah, I just love teh way you try to "poke a bear" by inferring that what
>I am saying isn't the "truth". Fact is,

LOL!!! Let's see that again......

>......I just love teh way you try to "poke a bear" by inferring that what
>I am saying isn't the "truth". Fact is,

Ok, I'm done laughing.

> its my experience that CB is, for
>the most part, chaotic, unprofessional

True, true.....

> and NOT WANTED by anyone at FEMA,

FEMA recommends keeping a CB radio in your bug-out box.

>ARC (American Red Cross),

They work closely with REACT.

> EOC's everywhere,

Even Virginia? (Careful Eric, I'm setting you up here!)

> DHS (Dept. of Homeland
>Security) and the like. and my statement still stands: your "story" is a
>very rare exception to the rule (assuming its even the truth).

My "story" is no different than my "story" about the Mt. St.Helens
eruption in 1980, my "story" about hurricane Gloria in 1985, my
"stories" about Spokane's Firestorm in 1992 and Icestorm in 1996.
There are many other "stories" of small incidents that generally get

overlooked by the national news. And the reason you don't have those
types of experiences is because you live in Boringville, USA.

>>>
>>>where was this?
>>
>>
>> Don't know how to google "School Fire" and "Pomeroy"? Oh, that's right
>> you're blind or deaf or something else that you use as an excuse not
>> to use your brain.....
>
>cheap shot.
>whats the matter, can dig up any real facts, so you have to attack me? got
>any better ones?

Nope, just prodding with the same old stick. Got any new excuses not
to learn code so I can find a different stick?

>>> I can assure you that such wouldn't be the case here in
>>>Phoenix or any of the other major urban areas.
>>
>>
>> You have previously noted your "experiences" in Phoenix. Yet you now
>> refer to the past as a theoretical possibility. You aren't very
>> consistent with your lies, Eric.
>>
>
>lies? hmmmmmmm. guess the truth hurts huh? when you start attacking the
>messenger for the message (calling him a liar, or by inference, implying
>that what he has to say "isn't the truth") is an old tactic. its generally
>a favorite among those of the crowd that belive that only their side knows
>the truth. you have yet to prove this to anyones satisfaction. please do so
>now......

The fact remains: You have described your "experience" with some fires
in your area, claiming that CB was so chaotic it was useless. Then
after I described an exact opposite reality you asserted that "such
wouldn't be the case here in Phoenix". So make up your mind, Eric; are
you speaking from experience or just your speculative opinion?

>>>then you didn't look hard enough.
>>
>>
>> If ham radio was as prevalent in emergency communications as you and
>> some other ham claim then I shouldn't have had to look -at all-, let
>> alone "hard enough". But I most certainly did look, because I wanted
>> to find out whether these hams were working emergency comm, pushing
>> papers, washing dishes, or just getting in the way of people actually
>> doing things. So you may not understand this, Eric, but I was actually
>> disappointed when I couldn't find any hams. Consider it a compliment.
>
>claims? not claims. try facts (which is something you seem to lack a lot

>of).

I haven't seen any "facts" to support the claim ham radio is any more
important to emergency communications than CB radio. On the contrary,
I provided my observations during an emergency situation that is
-still- occuring. Instead of finding out how things work in the -real-
world you sit there and speculate about how such things can't happen.
Just how disabled are you, Eric?

>>> btw, the quantity of radio sets still has
>>>no bearing on the argument over what (or who) can provide better service.
>>
>>
>> I'm sure that's true under your own biased and narrowly restricted
>> definition of "better". The fact is that reality is proving you wrong
>> even as you sit there and try to convince me otherwise.
>
>its reality (and I really wish you would pay more attention to it). you seem
>to reticent to swallow such a bitter pill. in fact, you shout it so
>mightily I begin to wonder what your real agenda is for spouting it in such
>huge volumes.

You want reality? Go visit Pomeroy.

>>>please try again... only this time, back things up with some sourcable
>>>materials if you please?
>>
>>
>> The facts are available right now -- you just have to observe them
>> firsthand like I did. But it's clear that no facts are going to stand
>> in the way of your holy-ham opinions.
>
>still not backing them up?
>you started this argument, you do the legwork.

I already did.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1897. IEEE C95.1-1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 09:14:22 UTC
From: ralph <ralph@rome.com>
Message-ID: <1124097262.625280.229330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116198

Hi all,

I will like assistance in understanding some of the number in C95.1,
especially on MPE.

In most cases for the controlled environment, the averaging timing is 6
minutes. So does it meant that we can only expose ourselves for 6
minutes?

Thanks.

# 1898. Re: Markie Claims no "action"... more likely he's missing the point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 09:20:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <b6n0g1d8gdpkvfj4jsnec2cb4i3hvsub54@4ax.com>
Source record: 116199

On 15 Aug 2005 01:08:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124093331.361922.298770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
>>
>>
>> Really? What units and when?
>
> "Braggard"
>
> "alleged"
>
> Nothing "braggard about being a Marine, Markie.
>
> Frank, E-mail me directly if you care to. I'd be gald to tell you
>directly.

Either you were a Marine or you weren't. Why the secrecy? Did you
serve under Colonel Vinson at Ft. Gordon or something?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1899. Serving and Talking About Serving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 09:37:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124098651.800003.290930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116200

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote in <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
> >>
> >>
> >> Really? What units and when?
> >
> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
> >answers
>
>
> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
> (unless he's really Ollie North). I've always been up front about my
> service in the USMC:

For two reasons, Frank...

First and foremost is because we have another "regular" in the group who goes 180 the opposite direction...He >>IS<< every VFW's nightmare...the guy who "was there" when it's clearly obvious he wasn't. He's tried on several occassions to pass off as some sort of "accomplishment" that he was attached to a rear area unit in Japan that had several KIA's in Korea years before he was even inducted.

I served...I very well may have supported you at one time or another, but I was mostly a Left Coast/WESTPAC Marine at MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro. But I don't care to even appear to be in the same "...back when I was..." mode as he.

Secondly I don't see that service as germane to the discussion...I did let myself get caught up in one of Lennie's tirades and Brain won't let go of the fact that I won't discuss it any further.

As for folks who "claim" to be something they weren't, the guy you're buddying up to, Mark Morgan is a piece of work. Since you seem to have the same disdain for "wannabe warriors" as I, may I suggest that you Google some of his stuff from 1998/99. Look under "konstans"

or "KB9RQZ" in that time frame.

Markie claimed to be a Colonel in the US Army "Chemical Corps". Allegedly he thought this would "shut me up" since he didn't like having his OWN opinions disproven. He further went on to tell us that he was "drafted", even though he would have only been seven years old when the last US conscriptee was being DIScharged...When I busted him on that, he later backed off and said he was "only" a "lieutenant".

The story got even MORE hillarious when he reveraled that he is gay/bisexual, and that his "CO" refused to release him from active duty due to the critical nature of his MOS.

Now his story is even more convoluted with some obscure claim of having been an enlisted man, but we're not even sure enlisted in "what". Of course his ever widening stories of "threats", "bullying", etc etc are RRAP classics.

So...before you bestow "wannabe warrior" on me, please take a moment and consider from whom you're getting your "intel" from. "Credible" is not an adjective I'd apply to Mark C. Morgan.

Semper Fi

Steve, K4YZ

# 1900. A Bit Of News Markie Is Not Going To Like To See.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 11:04:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124103885.110601.179980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116201

an_old_friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:

> > > What's a "condiction"?
> > >
> > > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > > communication deficit can understand what he meant.
> >
> > nice to see Stevie called on something like that

Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!

But perhaps if "Frank" had been paying attention, by riding you about your horrific abuse of the King's English, you've enjoyed a 62% IMPROVEMENT over your typo rate of only a month ago.

I know...It sucks to think I actually forced you to do something CONSTRUCTIVE, huh...?!?! Even worse to have to admit that I was successful, eh?

You can thank me later.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1901. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 11:08:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ndr0g1lo38c7jpt0sltmlls0ma8j5o4e8i@4ax.com>
Source record: 116202

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:31:42 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<mdk0g1di0f12r7c6dot93n1k3rcukj54bc@4ax.com>:

>On 15 Aug 2005 01:17:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>>> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
>>> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
>>> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
>>> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
>>> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
>>> >Valor comes to mind.
>>>
>>> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)
>>
>> What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.
>>
>> All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
>>fifth.
>>
>> Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
>>his 1950's era MOS's...
>
>
>Yep. It's been a long day.

I now see the source of my confusion -- those posts would have been a
lot easier to read (i.e, figure out who said what) if you had learned
a little earlier about the standard newsgroup quoting protocol (using
an arrow, >, at the beginning of a quoted line).

Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)
tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine. Hey, I do it myself.
But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude. It's not
your fault, it's not their fault. Wanna blame somebody? Blame the

government -- they tell the military to recruit kids, culture-shock them into hard-core killing machines, then when their service is completed dump them back into the civilian population without any sort of de-programming or re-integration phase. It's not so suprising that there are a disproportionate number of homeless people that are vets when you realize that most of them simply never adjusted to civilian life.....

Anyway, I'm done ranting. Looks like you have been in a flame war with this guy for over seven years..... do you actually enjoy it? Do you think you are winning? Or haven't you ever heard of a killfile?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1902. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 11:14:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050815071413.081$pR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 116203

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
What's "anonie" about Frank Gilliland?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1903. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 12:41:36 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <43008D80.C36DEAD3@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 116204
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's been fun, gotta go catch an airplane - one of those new ones with no propellor.

Dave

John Smith wrote:

> Dave:
>
> Interesting, I expect the posts on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,
> rec.radio.amateur.equipment, rec.radio.amateur.swap to change to
> reflect that any day now!
>
> John
>
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 22:19:18 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>
> > Fascinating, I don't know who you talk to but I can only think of a couple of people I know
> > using Drake stuff, and then only as collectors items or though nostalgia. Can't remember the
> > last time I heard someone mention Henry, except in an inductor value, and one of the few
> > pictures of Heathkit equipment I have seen in a long time was in a magazine on antique
> > equipment a (younger) friend showed me a couple of weeks ago.
> >
> > I have a real hard time believing that the long overdue dropping of CW will result in much
> > of an influx to HF. If they were interested in HF they would already be here.
> >
> > I've had a two hour HF swap shop running in the background and I don't think I heard
> > anything that old being offered for sale or looked for except for a couple of antique RCA,
> > Hammarlund and National receivers, but they were in the antiques listing. Plenty of DSP rigs
> > and digital stuff, a few people looking for specialized digital ICs. It is quite common to
> > hear current generation equipment being sold by very elderly hams due to deteriorating
> > health and/or moving into nursing homes or apartments where they must give up the hobby.
> >
> > I'm having coffee with an 81 year old tomorrow and he has a DSP HF rig; and a software
> > defined receiver running on his backup PC, which he also uses to watch TV and movies. He
> > usually has at least one PC in pieces as he reconfigures it and has been inside his

ICOM and
> > Kenwood HF rigs with a soldering iron more than once, although as his age creeps up he
is
> > reducing those activities somewhat. He will probably want to discuss optimizing the
data
> > base for his SDR receiver.
> >
> > Not every ham over 40 is using a hand key to a crystal controlled 6L6.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >
>> Dave:
>>
>> Interesting, when I have exchanged station pics, I have never seen the new
>> equip, other than my own...
>>
>> When I exchange equip descriptions, everyone is mentioning drake, henry,
>> heathkit, etc... frankly, last months maybe a year--I gave up paying close
>> attention... in fact, my xmitter pci card is a proto-type which an
>> engineer made a gift of to me when I worked on some software to support
>> it (they will actually market a USB model.) Last time I chatted with him,
>> it was still sitting on his companies "back shelf" waiting for the market
>> to develop... they strongly support dropping CW and expect an influx of
>> new hams which they feel will accept the equipment and make profitable the
>> sales. In the meantime they market to police, fire, hospitals, gov't...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 20:55:15 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>>
>> > (Apologies to the top posting hater community, but I decided to follow John's lead).
>> >
>> > Got the wrong guy John.
>> >
>> > I've been using most of that stuff for quite a while, and I could point you to a
dozen
>> > or so hams well over the age of 70 who not only use it; they understand how it works
>> > and some even tinker with the hardware and software.
>> >
>> > I'm not quite that old yet, but it ain't always that easy to keep up with some of
them
>> > when they discuss their latest project.
>> >
>> > About a quarter of a century ago, I was teaching a system using a synthesized HF
>> > sideband transceiver, on a single PCB about 2 or 3 inches square, running from about
7
>> > to 10MHz and digitally controlled either locally or remotely (up to 100 transceivers
>> > controlled from a DEC minicomputer) - would love to have slipped one in my shirt

> >> > pocket, taken it home and added a linear! Funnily enough most of the guys I was
> >> > working with have decided to become hams within the last 10 or 15 years.
> >> >
> >> > The HF receiver card in one of my PCs gets far more use than my stand-alone
> >> > transceivers with the DSP etc. the card is much more versatile - more modes,
> >> > bandwidths etc. etc.. Software defined is the way to go.
> >> >
> >> > But, and it is a fair sized but, I find I can have much more detailed and
interesting
> >> > discussions of this technology with older rather than younger folks. I find (just my
> >> > experience and maybe the folks I choose to hang out with) that the younger
generation
> >> > tend to be users who know no more about how it works than my granny knew about how
her
> >> > telephone worked. But boy can they use it!
> >> >
> >> > My generation understood it down to the electron level.
> >> > My kids understood it down to the IC level.
> >> > My grand kids (teenagers - with a few exceptions) know how to use it but don't
> >> > understand, or really care, how it works.
> >> >
> >> > Can't blame them, it's progress. The systems have become so complex that one pretty
> >> > much has to specialize and folks with a general knowledge of hardware and software,
> >> > theory and practice of entire systems are becoming a vanishing breed. That is true
of
> >> > much more than communications technology - the guy at the corner gas station
probably
> >> > can't fix your anti-skid braking system any more than the local pharmacy can sell
you
> >> > the part to fix your TV any more.
> >> >
> >> > Dave
> >> > Sitting at his computer listening to an HF net on his software defined radio running
> >> > on the same processor.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Dave:
> >> >>
> >> >> No one is denying you the right to your religion of "amateur worship", we
> >> >> all need some high power to look up to. But, you must realize you are in
> >> >> a church which has a very small following (mostly other hams, and NOT all
> >> >> of them!)
> >> >>
> >> >> Fact is, the computer is a TV with a tv card inserted--a stereo system
> >> >> with a high quality audio card, tuner card inserted--a cd music player
> >> >> with cd and proper software--a dvd player with a dvd-cd and proper
> >> >> software--a home security system with the proper card and related software
> >> >> and backup-power supply--and soon to be an amateur rig with proper

> >> >> receiver card and xmitter card (some are already there!)
> >> >>
> >> >> Fact is, the computer IS amateurs future--like it or not... only reason
> >> >> the future is not here right now, old amateurs can't adapt and die
> >> >> first... and serve as a hindrance to the new minds bringing the future
> >> >> with them...
> >> >>
> >> >> John
> >> >>
> >> >> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:20:06 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
> >> >> >> > PM:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
> >> >> >> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
> >> >> >> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
> >> >> >> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
> >> >> >> > project would most likely be computer related.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
> >> >> >> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
> >> >> >> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
> >> >> >> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
> >> >> >> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current
> >> >> >> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
> >> >> >> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > John
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
> >> >> >> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
> >> >> >> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
> >> >> >> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
> >> >> >> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
> >> >> >> that you seem to despise so.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,

> >> >> >> etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
> >> >> > which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students
> >> >> > wouldn't understand the question.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets,
> >> >> > but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their
> >> >> > mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my
> >> >> > grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet -
> >> >> > but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me
> >> >> > llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative
> >> >> > software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone
> >> >> > always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix
> >> >> > trick to show off.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone
> >> >> > hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards
> >> >> > of antennas, propagation, etc.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail
> >> >> > is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a
> >> >> > soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made
> >> >> > phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do
> >> >> > not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm
> >> >> > not that talented.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dave
> >> >> > VE3HLU

# 1904. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 13:45:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124113510.925726.303180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116205

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> What's "anonie" about Frank Gilliland?

Just curious that the only "Frank Gilliland" I can find in FCC
files had his license expire over 2 years ago.

Unless it's a middle name or someone forgot to drop a new 610
(605)?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1905. Markie Now Harrassing People Who Don't Even Post Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 13:51:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124113874.815889.180250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116206

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)

Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.

And Markie...

...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...

Neither of which is true.

More snipo of stuff boyo just made up to make himself feel
better....

> Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> again

In order to have been "lying again" there would have had to have
been a first time.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1906. Re: The Markie and Brain Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 14:02:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124114573.689083.261850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116207

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:31:42 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
> <mdk0g1di0f12r7c6dot93n1k3rcukj54bc@4ax.com>:
>
> >On 15 Aug 2005 01:17:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> ><1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >>
> >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >>> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >>> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
> >>> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
> >>> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
> >>> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
> >>> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
> >>> >Valor comes to mind.
> >>>
> >>> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)
> >>
> >> What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.
> >>
> >> All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
> >>fifth.
> >>
> >> Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
> >>his 1950's era MOS's...
> >>
> >
> >Yep. It's been a long day.
>
>
> I now see the source of my confusion -- those posts would have been a
> lot easier to read (i.e, figure out who said what) if you had learned
> a little earlier about the standard newsgroup quoting protocol (using
> an arrow, >, at the beginning of a quoted line).

Thanks for stopping by almost eight years later and letting me
know, Frank.

> Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)
> tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine.

I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT at the point of being "braggard" about it.

> Hey, I do it myself.

We did earn it.

> But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
> reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
> being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
> throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude.

Again...I don't see myself having "crammed" anything down anyone's throats, Frank...No more than their lies and deceit have been shoved down mine.

> It's not
> your fault, it's not their fault. Wanna blame somebody? Blame the
> government -- they tell the military to recruit kids, culture-shock
> them into hard-core killing machines, then when their service is
> completed dump them back into the civilian population without any sort
> of de-programming or re-integration phase. It's not so suprising that
> there are a disproportionate number of homeless people that are vets
> when you realize that most of them simply never adjusted to civilian
> life.....
>
> Anyway, I'm done ranting. Looks like you have been in a flame war with
> this guy for over seven years..... do you actually enjoy it? Do you
> think you are winning? Or haven't you ever heard of a killfile?

Thankfully it's not been a contiguous seven years. Markie was gone for the longest time. That pretty much left us with Lennie and Brain. I see my exchanges with them as being somewhat cathartic.

So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the ticket lapse?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1907. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 14:05:40 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <ddq7fk02iq6@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116209

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:20:07 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

| How?

| How?

| How?

| How?

| How?

| How?

| How?

Remind me not to hire you in a job that requires figuring things out.

| These principals are all well and good but propose some specific, concrete
| actions.

Don't expect the directions, and the means to get there, to always come from the same person. First decide where you are going. That in itself may be a long complex decision process. Then decide how to get there. If you find you can't get there, then go back and decide on another place to go.

| I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different
| people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.

And why not hear them all out?

--
---
| Phil Howard KA9WGN | http://linuxhomepage.com/ http://ham.org/ |
| (first name) at ipal.net | http://phil.ipal.org/ http://ka9wgn.ham.org/ |
---

## 1908. Re-Orienting Markie To The English Language

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 14:22:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124115735.353445.139020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116208

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z's words

No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...

> Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> department.
>
> look at them

Must have taken you the better part of an hour to copy that
without typo. Tiring, eh?

> Stevie think the rest of us Owe HIM respect despite the Fcat he has
> done nothing to earn it

OK...what's an "fcat"...?!?!

And I'd be interested on where you think I said anyone "owed" me
anything...?!?!

I said I think lying is one of the rudeist things you can do.

Only you would think differently.

> and what he demands is stupid.

I didn't demand anything.

There's not one word of "demand" there, Markie!

> he claims Lying is the rudest thing you can do

No, I did not.

I said it's "one of the rudeist..."

That leaves a whole lot.

> I can certainly see why he does not think anything of threatening
> MURDER

I don't think about it because I've not done it. I have no reason
to do it. You continue to flatter yourself that you think someone
would hazard their freedom to physically harm you.

> Personaly I think threatening Murder is pretty rude

It is rude. Who did that?

> I think threatening to talk to someone perants about their son's
> alleged crimes and aleged sexual orientations

"perants" "aleged"

Nothing "aleged" about Todd's orientations. He's just not reached
that "comfortable space" where he can admit it. I am sure all the
"aunties" in his former web page would have a stroke to know that Todd
would rather pack fudge than court a lady...or even worse that he may
be courting a lady to hide the other...

> These aren't rude things according to Stevie, and they aren't his fault

You have another issue...One with "finding fault", Markie...

Many, many issues.

Little if any resolution.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1909. Re: Gee Stevie your promised..<<< More Adulteration of the King's English by KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 14:26:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124116004.434606.158260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116210

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
> > >
> > > You have not porduced something that I can even notice
> >
> > Remember the old saying about how long it took to construct Rome?
>
> yeah Stevie I know
>
> But you promised it was all by instant

No I didn't. Never said anything of the kind.

Quote a post, please.

> and indeed IF there was evidence I was In your word "a Danger to myself
> or others" they would all but insatnly

"instantly"

All but "insatnly"...WHAT...?!?!

A bit too confused to finish your sentences, Markie?

> Since you are making claims just what have you Allegedly done

Nothing "alleged" about it.

But not for discussion here.

If anything's to be done, you'll get first-personal experience.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1910. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 15:37:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124120276.907859.178850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116211

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > Don't try to make the scenario something altogether different. We were
> > discussing *you* attempting to respond to a morse distress call. Now
> > you want to shift things around so I'm ignoring a distress call.
>
> The subject reactions to distress call yours and mine

He just doesn't get it, Dave.

Or is it that he's just been hearing those little voices for so
long he COULDN'T hear the distress call?

> > > I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
> > > someone in distresss
> >
> > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > of understanding what help was needed.
>
> more of your pack of lies

Nope.

From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.

YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.

Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
CAN learn Morse?

> You refuse to acept facts

You refuse to use a spellchecker.

> It isn't hard to reconize SoS

It is if you don't know Morse Code.

> Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> data...(SNIP)

If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
send it?

> I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> Air to render more assistance than I can

And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...

> So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.

He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
and meaningful manner.

> > >>>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> > >>>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Just comenting on your own words
> > >>
> > >>"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
> > >>nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
> > >>band.
> > >
> > >
> > > I am comenting on your words
> > >
> > > Indeed all I have is your words
> > >
> > > and YOUR words make you look guilty
> >
> > Okay, Mark, I'll play your game. On which particular words of mine are
> > you commenting?
>
> the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.

Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!

It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.

> > >>>but then you don't respoibility for your actions
> > >>
> > >>I don't repoibility?
> > >>
> > >>I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.

> > >>I still think you're a twit.
> > >
> > >
> > > Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law
> >
> > I helped no others break any law unless you take the very peculiar and
> > totally incorrect view that by merely showing up on a band, I enticed
> > someone to break his country's regs. Others have attempted to correct
> > your mistaken perception, but you've simply ignored them.
>
> becuase you and all of them dance around the central quetion what did
> you know and when did you know it

There's not dancing.

Just you trying to shift the dance floor while the music's still
playing.

> If You only learned afterward they were out of band then Find I agree
> you were in the clear. If you knew before or during then it is another
> matter
>
> you dance and dance avoiding this question

In either case, he's "in the clear".

Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
THIER administration's requiremments.

None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
International law.

Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1911. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 15:38:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.15.38.21.469474@gmail.com>
Source record: 116215
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt:

Get a decent newsreader, refer to the text at the bottom of my post you question, that is all there is...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 09:02:37 +0100, Walt Davidson wrote:

> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 17:52:07 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>>... define what? And, how in relation to what we are discussing?
>
> To what are you referring? There is no quote preceding your remark,
> so it is completely out of context.
>
> 73 de G3NYY

# 1912. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 15:39:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124120391.290809.69430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116212

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> >
> > So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.
> >
> > And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
> > like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
> > can't guarantee they won't distress you.
>
> SATIRE = JOKE

Perhaps you should invest in a Dictionary and figure out what a
"joke" is and what "satire" is, Brain...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1913. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 15:40:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.15.40.33.31654@gmail.com>
Source record: 116216
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave:

Just be careful the rest of the plane isn't imaginary and you are actually
leaping off a balcony in that dream...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 08:41:36 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:

> It's been fun, gotta go catch an airplane - one of those new ones with no propellor.
>
> Dave
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Dave:
>>
>> Interesting, I expect the posts on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,
>> rec.radio.amateur.equipment, rec.radio.amateur.swap to change to
>> reflect that any day now!
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 22:19:18 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>>
>> > Fascinating, I don't know who you talk to but I can only think of a couple of people I know
>> > using Drake stuff, and then only as collectors items or though nostalgia. Can't remember the
>> > last time I heard someone mention Henry, except in an inductor value, and one of the few
>> > pictures of Heathkit equipment I have seen in a long time was in a magazine on antique
>> > equipment a (younger) friend showed me a couple of weeks ago.
>> >
>> > I have a real hard time believing that the long overdue dropping of CW will result in much
>> > of an influx to HF. If they were interested in HF they would already be here.
>> >
>> > I've had a two hour HF swap shop running in the background and I don't think I heard
>> > anything that old being offered for sale or looked for except for a couple of antique RCA,
>> > Hammarlund and National receivers, but they were in the antiques listing. Plenty of DSP rigs
>> > and digital stuff, a few people looking for specialized digital ICs. It is quite

common to
>> > hear current generation equipment being sold by very elderly hams due to deteriorating
>> > health and/or moving into nursing homes or apartments where they must give up the
hobby.
>> >
>> > I'm having coffee with an 81 year old tomorrow and he has a DSP HF rig; and a software
>> > defined receiver running on his backup PC, which he also uses to watch TV and movies.
He
>> > usually has at least one PC in pieces as he reconfigures it and has been inside his
ICOM and
>> > Kenwood HF rigs with a soldering iron more than once, although as his age creeps up he
is
>> > reducing those activities somewhat. He will probably want to discuss optimizing the
data
>> > base for his SDR receiver.
>> >
>> > Not every ham over 40 is using a hand key to a crystal controlled 6L6.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >
>> >> Dave:
>> >>
>> >> Interesting, when I have exchanged station pics, I have never seen the new
>> >> equip, other than my own...
>> >>
>> >> When I exchange equip descriptions, everyone is mentioning drake, henry,
>> >> heathkit, etc... frankly, last months maybe a year--I gave up paying close
>> >> attention... in fact, my xmitter pci card is a proto-type which an
>> >> engineer made a gift of to me when I worked on some software to support
>> >> it (they will actually market a USB model.) Last time I chatted with him,
>> >> it was still sitting on his companies "back shelf" waiting for the market
>> >> to develop... they strongly support dropping CW and expect an influx of
>> >> new hams which they feel will accept the equipment and make profitable the
>> >> sales. In the meantime they market to police, fire, hospitals, gov't...
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 20:55:15 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > (Apologies to the top posting hater community, but I decided to follow John's
lead).
>> >> >
>> >> > Got the wrong guy John.
>> >> >
>> >> > I've been using most of that stuff for quite a while, and I could point you to a
dozen
>> >> > or so hams well over the age of 70 who not only use it; they understand how it
works

>> >> > and some even tinker with the hardware and software.
>> >> >
>> >> > I'm not quite that old yet, but it ain't always that easy to keep up with some of
them
>> >> > when they discuss their latest project.
>> >> >
>> >> > About a quarter of a century ago, I was teaching a system using a synthesized HF
>> >> > sideband transceiver, on a single PCB about 2 or 3 inches square, running from
about 7
>> >> > to 10MHz and digitally controlled either locally or remotely (up to 100
transceivers
>> >> > controlled from a DEC minicomputer) - would love to have slipped one in my shirt
>> >> > pocket, taken it home and added a linear! Funnily enough most of the guys I was
>> >> > working with have decided to become hams within the last 10 or 15 years.
>> >> >
>> >> > The HF receiver card in one of my PCs gets far more use than my stand-alone
>> >> > transceivers with the DSP etc. the card is much more versatile - more modes,
>> >> > bandwidths etc. etc.. Software defined is the way to go.
>> >> >
>> >> > But, and it is a fair sized but, I find I can have much more detailed and
interesting
>> >> > discussions of this technology with older rather than younger folks. I find (just
my
>> >> > experience and maybe the folks I choose to hang out with) that the younger
generation
>> >> > tend to be users who know no more about how it works than my granny knew about how
her
>> >> > telephone worked. But boy can they use it!
>> >> >
>> >> > My generation understood it down to the electron level.
>> >> > My kids understood it down to the IC level.
>> >> > My grand kids (teenagers - with a few exceptions) know how to use it but don't
>> >> > understand, or really care, how it works.
>> >> >
>> >> > Can't blame them, it's progress. The systems have become so complex that one pretty
>> >> > much has to specialize and folks with a general knowledge of hardware and software,
>> >> > theory and practice of entire systems are becoming a vanishing breed. That is true
of
>> >> > much more than communications technology - the guy at the corner gas station
probably
>> >> > can't fix your anti-skid braking system any more than the local pharmacy can sell
you
>> >> > the part to fix your TV any more.
>> >> >
>> >> > Dave
>> >> > Sitting at his computer listening to an HF net on his software defined radio
running
>> >> > on the same processor.
>> >> >
>> >> >

>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> Dave:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> No one is denying you the right to your religion of "amateur worship", we
>> >> >> all need some high power to look up to. But, you must realize you are in
>> >> >> a church which has a very small following (mostly other hams, and NOT all
>> >> >> of them!)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Fact is, the computer is a TV with a tv card inserted--a stereo system
>> >> >> with a high quality audio card, tuner card inserted--a cd music player
>> >> >> with cd and proper software--a dvd player with a dvd-cd and proper
>> >> >> software--a home security system with the proper card and related software
>> >> >> and backup-power supply--and soon to be an amateur rig with proper
>> >> >> receiver card and xmitter card (some are already there!)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Fact is, the computer IS amateurs future--like it or not... only reason
>> >> >> the future is not here right now, old amateurs can't adapt and die
>> >> >> first... and serve as a hindrance to the new minds bringing the future
>> >> >> with them...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> John
>> >> >>
>> >> >> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 13:20:06 -0400, Dave Holford wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> >
>> >> >>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >>> >
>> >> >>> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >>> >> news:pan.2005.08.12.21.14.50.207296@gmail.com...
>> >> >>> >> > PM:
>> >> >>> >> >
>> >> >>> >> > Let me give a summary of the "real world."
>> >> >>> >> >
>> >> >>> >> > If you were a child today, you would grow up with the computer.
>> >> >>> >> >
>> >> >>> >> > In elementary school your first "pen pal" would be in a foreign country
>> >> >>> >> > and you would communicate with them via the internet. You would learn to
>> >> >>> >> > IM, IRC, EMAIL, MSN CHAT, YAHOO, WEB CAM, etc....
>> >> >>> >> >
>> >> >>> >> > By high school you would be picking up a computer script and/or language
>> >> >>> >> > and at least have a basic knowledge of programming. Your first hardware
>> >> >>> >> > project would most likely be computer related.
>> >> >>> >> >
>> >> >>> >> > Somewhere along this line, you bump into a ham or a few. You look at them
>> >> >>> >> > using their equipment, it is apparent the internet is superior. They lack
>> >> >>> >> > the ability to exchange pics, apps, music, videos, documents, etc. by
>> >> >>> >> > transmissions taking seconds or minutes. Then, they show you a CW key and
>> >> >>> >> > you are dumb struck, and leave. You return to the internet and current

>> >> >> >> > technology, never to stray again... you begin a web site and consider
>> >> >> >> > what position you would like in the computer field, when you grow up...
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > John
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> The days of kids being computer gurus have already come and gone. Now they
>> >> >> >> just play video games and chat. Very few get interested in programming.
>> >> >> >> Very few do a hardware project. They take their computers to the shop for
>> >> >> >> upgrades. They only people that I have observed doing their own hardware
>> >> >> >> upgrades, rebuilding computers, etc are the middle aged and the "old farts"
>> >> >> >> that you seem to despise so.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I spend countless hours teaching our interns how to use email, spreadsheets,
>> >> >> >> etc.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Absolutely. 25 or 30 years ago it was true. Then I could ask an exam question
>> >> >> > which required writing an ISR in assembly, or even object.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Now, forget it! Such questions would never be allowed because the students
>> >> >> > wouldn't understand the question.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > My grandkids live for the computer. the blackberry, cellphone and their gadgets,
>> >> >> > but have no idea what goes on behind the screen, despite the fact that their
>> >> >> > mother is a specialist in advanced secure systems. The kid who used to cut my
>> >> >> > grass thinks he is a programmer because he can copy a script from the internet -
>> >> >> > but say things like "object", "hex", "bus" and this teenage expert looks at me
>> >> >> > llike I have one eye in the middle of my forehead.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > If I want to talk to people who build hardware, write efficient imaginative
>> >> >> > software, and can actually do hex math I go to the QCWA breakfast; where someone
>> >> >> > always has a new piece of homebrew microwave hardware or some neat little Unix
>> >> >> > trick to show off.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The last QCWA convention I attended had fascinating discussions on cell phone
>> >> >> > hacking, unix programming, software defined radio along with the old standards
>> >> >> > of antennas, propagation, etc.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Yes, I'm an old fart who can hand key 25wpm (but doesn't anymmore because e-mail
>> >> >> > is easier, not faster), use the net, write assembly programs and even use a
>> >> >> > soldering iron. I even have some idea how the telephone system works and made
>> >> >> > phone calls from my HT before the cell phone was invented. And I certainly do
>> >> >> > not rank myself anywhere near the experimenters and explorers in Ham Radio; I'm
>> >> >> > not that talented.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Dave
>> >> >> > VE3HLU

# 1914. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 15:44:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050815114434.123$GZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 116214

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> > > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > What's "anonie" about Frank Gilliland?
>
> Just curious that the only "Frank Gilliland" I can find in FCC
> files had his license expire over 2 years ago.
>
> Unless it's a middle name or someone forgot to drop a new 610
> (605)?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Who said Frank is in the FCC database? As far as I know he's not a ham
radio operator. That doesn't make him "anonie", does it?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1915. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 15:44:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124120687.047400.77480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116213

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
> > > ...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
> > > sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio
> >
> > The first thing I'd notice is that there were no static dots in front of
> > the first "ayday". I'd thus assume that it was you, actually yelling
> > "adyday".
>
> Smug Dave still trying to be funny abaout an SOS/Mayday.

But Brian...YOU are the one who's lambasted others in this forum
about not having a proper sense of humor.

Was that previous assertion false, or are you just changing your
rant to match the situation at present?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1916. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 15:59:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124121543.061828.35320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116217

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
> >
> > As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
> > idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
> > to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
> > feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.
>
> No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
> call if it were misent

If it were "misent", how would one know it were a distress
call...?!?!

> I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
> someone in distresss

It's not about you trying to help...It's about you whirling around
in your chair, ensnared in recording tape, trying to run and re-run the
tape to figure out what was being said, now hours ago...

> > >>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> > >>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
> > >
> > >
> > > Just comenting on your own words
> >
> > "Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
> > nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
> > band.
>
> I am comenting on your words
>
> Indeed all I have is your words
>
> and YOUR words make you look guilty

They make him look nothing of the sort.

But YOU trying to MAKE him "look guilty" where no guilt exists is
hillarious!

> > > but then you don't respoibility for your actions
> >
> > I don't repoibility?
> >
> > I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
> > I still think you're a twit.
>
> Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law

He didn't help anyone break any law...At least no law YOU have
been able to produce. And we've asked you over and over with ample
opportunity to respond to cite such a law.

You haven't.

You can't.

It doesn't exist.

> > >>I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
> > >>subject for a joke.
> > >
> > >
> > > and more of your Stevie type lies
> >
> > That isn't a lie, Mark. Victimhood is what you're all about. You can't
> > pass a morse code test and you're a victim. You put together an English
> > sentence and that's someone else's problem and you're a victim because
> > of your handicap. Let's all get together and feel sorry for poor Mark.
> > Let's try to make it easy on him because he just can't do things.
>
> More of your lies

Nope.

Dead square head-on-the-nail.

Look for a package in the mail, Markie.

I'll send you some Excederin.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1917. Re: IEEE C95.1-1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 16:16:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ed3Me.6495$WD.1408@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116218

ralph wrote:

> In most cases for the controlled environment, the averaging timing is 6
> minutes. So does it meant that we can only expose ourselves for 6
> minutes?

It is probably best if you don't expose yourself at all.

Dave K8MN

# 1918. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 16:53:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116219

Dee Flint wrote:

> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders? We're apt to get
> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.
>
There's no one best way for everyone, but here's one idea:

Demos. Show folks how it's done, rather than talking. This can be
a display/talk/station setup at a hamfest, a club meeting talk, a
website, or a magazine article, just for starters. Then there's Field
Day, a whole weekend of demos.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 1919. Re: Gee Stevie your promised..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:03:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124125384.666159.259470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116220

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
> > > >
> > > > You have not porduced something that I can even notice
> > > >
> > > Remember the old saying about how long it took to construct Rome?
> > >
> > yeah Stevie I know
> > >
> > But you promised it was all by instant
> >
> No I didn't. Never said anything of the kind.

lies

>
> Quote a post, please.
>
> > and indeed IF there was evidence I was In your word "a Danger to myself
> > or others" they would all but insatnly
spelingcopcut
>
> > Since you are making claims just what have you Allegedly done
>
> Nothing "alleged" about it.

sure is alleged

>
> But not for discussion here.
>
> If anything's to be done, you'll get first-personal experience.

If anything is is done, meaning Stavie liesagain
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1920. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:05:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124125515.153892.265180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116221

>Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>That is my point Mike. Just because it is old....does not make it useless.
>I believe in the KISS method. And CW, in emergencies, is the easiest and
>simplest thing to get running.
>
>No modems, no regulated power supplies, no computers. Just the basic stuff.
>
>I was asked once by my Battalion commander while in the field in Germany.
>( I was talking to the Feldburg 2m ham repeater while standing on top of the
>Command track). He asked how I could communicate with Frankfurt, and all of
>his radios could not......I said...."Well Sir, it takes two things to
>communicate.....an operator on both ends"
>
>He ordered me to take my H/T every time we went to the field from then on.

Tsk, tsk, highly UNlikely story for the 1972 times.

You'll have to be more clear on that location. "Feldburg" could
be 'Feldberg' or even 'Frieberg' or 'Freiberg.' Was that in
Bavaria or elsewhere? In 1980s, Feldberg-Schwarzwald was a
troposcatter station from the north-central part of West
Germany with relay to Italy; before that it was part of the LOS
microwave multi-channel relay system.

Frankfurt was, may still be, USAEUR-Germany Hq and would be
roughly 150 miles north of Feldberg-Schwarzwald (I don't have a
good map of the FRG at the moment). Bavaria borders Austria
and Switzerland as well as part of East Germany; in 1972 the
German unification was still distant in time. It is HIGHLY
DOUBTFUL that there would be: 1. Ham HTs good enough or
inexpensive enough for a GI to get (even with NCO and overseas
pay) in 1972; 2. Permission to USE one IN THE FIELD, certainly
on a "command track" (field Hq vehicle) that close to
unfriendly territory.

"Command tracks" (field Hq-Commo vehicles), presumably the
kind with all tracks and not wheels, but the name applies
to the fitted Bradleys of the 80s and 90s...all had lots
of radios...and antennas, that making them easier for
"other-side" recon units to spot. In 1972 the radios
normally in such field Hq-Commo vehicles could hack
up to 300 miles on NVIS cloud-burner bounce; the technique

was already known then (Rommel's Afrika Corps knew about it in 1942 and that is documented). I can't believe an armor unit has been rendered as radio-SILENT as you say, not even with 1972-era radios in the U.S. Army.

Sorry, but use of REPEATER techniques, particularly UN-manned repeaters, was pioneered by the U.S. Army in Italy in late 1943, then used in France and Germany 1944-1945, starting with the SCR-300, the VHF FM Voice manpack called the "Walkie-Talkie." There's a specific repeater connector on the front panel of every BC-1000 ever made just for that purpose...designed-in by Signal Corps request when the contract was signed with Motorola. With REPEATERS, those can very easily operate WOTHOUT operators "operating" things (taking any active part in the repeating process of the radios). Repeaters are DESIGNED to operate unattended.

1972 was THIRTY THREE years ago. By all appearances you've done considerable "editing" of the ACTUAL FACTS surrounding your field commo adventure. MANUFACTURING the story is more likely.

nah non
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1921. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:06:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124125586.946662.285430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116222

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z's words
>
> No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...

sure are

you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z
>
> > Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> > do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> > department.
> >
> > look at them
>
> Must have taken you the better part of an hour to copy that
> without typo. Tiring, eh?

nope cut and paste is realy easy

>
> > Stevie think the rest of us Owe HIM respect despite the Fcat he has
> > done nothing to earn it
>
> OK...what's an "fcat"...?!?!

>
> And I'd be interested on where you think I said anyone "owed" me
> anything...?!?!

you do all the time in almost every post use word to that effect

>
> I said I think lying is one of the rudeist things you can do.
>
> Only you would think differently.
>
> > and what he demands is stupid.
>
> I didn't demand anything.
>
> There's not one word of "demand" there, Markie!
>
> > he claims Lying is the rudest thing you can do
>

> No, I did not.
>
> I said it's "one of the rudeist..."
>
> That leaves a whole lot.
>
> > I can certainly see why he does not think anything of threatening
> > MURDER
>
> I don't think about it because I've not done it. I have no reason
> to do it. You continue to flatter yourself that you think someone
> would hazard their freedom to physically harm you.

more lies stevie
>
> > Personaly I think threatening Murder is pretty rude
>
> It is rude. Who did that?

you did
>
> > I think threatening to talk to someone perants about their son's
> > alleged crimes and aleged sexual orientations
>
> "perants" "aleged"
>
> Nothing "aleged" about Todd's orientations. He's just not reached
> that "comfortable space" where he can admit it. I am sure all the
> "aunties" in his former web page would have a stroke to know that Todd
> would rather pack fudge than court a lady...or even worse that he may
> be courting a lady to hide the other...

which is of course Todd busness if true not yours and mine, but you
avoind the issue of your threats agian

>
> > These aren't rude things according to Stevie, and they aren't his fault
>
> You have another issue...One with "finding fault", Markie...

you claim nothing you do it your fault they all have it coming

>
> Many, many issues.
>
> Little if any resolution.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1922. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:07:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124125660.503391.234360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116223

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > > > What's a "condiction"?
> > >
> > > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > > communication deficit can understand what he meant.
> >
> > nice to see Stevie called on something like that
>
> Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!

more lies and with out a bit of proof

>
> But perhaps if "Frank" had been paying attention, by riding you
> about your horrific abuse of the King's English, you've enjoyed a 62%
> IMPROVEMENT over your typo rate of only a month ago.

so what?

>
> I know...It sucks to think I actually forced you to do something
> CONSTRUCTIVE, huh...?!?! Even worse to have to admit that I was
> successful, eh?

You forced nothing

>
> You can thank me later.

nope
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1923. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:09:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124125755.396085.261980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116224

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > KØHB wrote:
>
> > > > > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
> > > > >
> > > > > Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> > > > > obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> > > > > the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to
> > > >
> > > > Markie...WHERE in that post did either Hans >>OR<< I "insist" that
> > > > you do something?
> > >
> > but you do soo all the time
>
> Oh..I see..."all the time"...

sure do
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
>
> > Never said he or did that post, more Stevie lies
>
> No lies.
>
> I quoted you exactly.

nope
>
> If there was a "lie" there, it was in YOUR words, Markie.
>
> Again.
the lie is your claim that a the given posted contained a statement you
just can't help making shit up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1924. Re: Stevie put up or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:10:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124125849.731832.221660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116225

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Stevie for years now, I am the rest of the Newsgrups has endured your
> > > > abuse, your threats and your lies
> > >
> > > You're the rest of the newsgroup?
> >
> > I am part of
>
> That's not what you said originally.
>
> YOU said "I am the rest of the newsgrups..."

so typo

get over it
>
> > > I don't think so!
> > >
> > > You might THINK you are, but there's lot of other folks here who
> > > might have something to say about it.
> > >
> > > > You ahve accused me and crime and threatened with Murder arest and
> > > > comitment to a mental inistitution, claiming the porfessional
> > > > credentcial to make the judgement
> > >
> > > Mark, you need to get those "anger issues" under control, slow
> > > down and WRITE IN ENGLISH ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > > I am not seeking to elecit yet another threat. I seeking your action.
> > >
> > > Too late.
> >
> > what action?
>
> I didn't tell you?

nope you did not

>
> > > > Put up or shut up, My preference is of course that you shut up your
> > > > phycobabble, and you lies but it is your choice
> > >

> > > "phycobabble"...?!?!
> > >
> > > More Markisms made on-the-fly...?!?!
>
> An answer, Markie?

a demand?

>
> What is "phycobabble"...?!?!
>
> > > > you have stated that I am a danger to myself and others, If that were a
> > > > true statement I should locked up by the authorities, you know that.
> > >
> > > As well you may. And my comments may just sit on a desk. But
> > > then they may accumulate.
> > >
> > > > You also obviously Know it is not true, that you are lying when you say
> > > > it is true
> > >
> > > All true.
> > >
> > > > I am calling your bluff
> > >
> > > Too late.
> > >
> > > > Coward
> > > > Bully
> > > >
> > > > those are the names properly aplied to you
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > put up or shut up
> > >
> > > Too late.
> >
> > more empty words Stvie
>
> "Stevie".
>
> And nope.

yep
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1925. stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:14:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124126045.869338.45050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116227

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)
>
> Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.
>
> And Markie...
>
> ...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...
>
> Neither of which is true.

both are true

>
> More snipo of stuff boyo just made up to make himself feel
> better....
>
> > Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> > again
>
> In order to have been "lying again" there would have had to have
> been a first time.

and you qualify more than qualify
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1926. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:14:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124126062.833097.130950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116226

On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
<1124070944.647708.19...@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>?:

>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com>
>>> wrote in <1124063060.788774.154...@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>?:
>
>>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> >> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>> >> <1124057728.819861.136...@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>?:
>
>>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
>
>>> >> Really? What units and when?
>
>>> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
>>> >answers
>
>>> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
>>> (unless he's really Ollie North).
>
>>He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
>>actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
>>expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
>>and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
>>everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
>>Valor comes to mind.
>
>I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS, and even if
>there was a typo on his DD-214 he would have known the -correct- MOS
>because EVERY Marine knows his or her MOS as well as many of the other
>MOS's in the related fields: 0311 = grunt; 2531 = grunt w/radio (aka
>"sniper target"); 2511 = pole-jockey; 2811 = wire tech; 2861 = radio
>tech (usually some asshole shop chief) 2881 = crypto tech..... well,
>you get the idea. I also noticed he claims to have a good conduct
>"medal". No such animal -- it's just a ribbon. Yep, you got him pegged
>alright, he's a wannabe warrior.

Errrr, Frank, the "281.6 MOS" was an ARMY MOS and existed from
1954 to some time after 1956...before that it was "1419" under
an older ARMY numeric designation. Stebie NEBBER had one like
that. I had both of them and the "281.6" is on MY DD214. :-)

If you want to see where that 281.6 MOS was used, download:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf

As you were...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA16408336 (8 years)

## 1927. Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:16:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124126191.351253.314520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116228

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:31:42 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> > <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
> > <mdk0g1di0f12r7c6dot93n1k3rcukj54bc@4ax.com>:
> >
> > >On 15 Aug 2005 01:17:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > ><1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > >>
> > >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >>> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > >>> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > >>>
> > >>> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
> > >>> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
> > >>> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
> > >>> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
> > >>> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
> > >>> >Valor comes to mind.
> > >>>
> > >>> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)
> > >>
> > >> What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.
> > >>
> > >> All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
> > >>fifth.
> > >>
> > >> Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
> > >>his 1950's era MOS's...
> > >
> > >
> > >Yep. It's been a long day.
> >
> >
> > I now see the source of my confusion -- those posts would have been a
> > lot easier to read (i.e, figure out who said what) if you had learned
> > a little earlier about the standard newsgroup quoting protocol (using
> > an arrow, >, at the beginning of a quoted line).
>
> Thanks for stopping by almost eight years later and letting me
> know, Frank.
>
> > Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)

> > tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine.
>
> I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
> keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
> don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
> at the point of being "braggard" about it.

really ?

more whoopers

>
> > Hey, I do it myself.
>
> We did earn it.

earn what?

>
> > But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
> > reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
> > being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
> > throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude.
>
> Again...I don't see myself having "crammed" anything down anyone's
> throats, Frank...No more than their lies and deceit have been shoved
> down mine.

you make threats and more threats and lies and ....
>
> > It's not
> > your fault, it's not their fault. Wanna blame somebody? Blame the
> > government -- they tell the military to recruit kids, culture-shock
> > them into hard-core killing machines, then when their service is
> > completed dump them back into the civilian population without any sort
> > of de-programming or re-integration phase. It's not so suprising that
> > there are a disproportionate number of homeless people that are vets
> > when you realize that most of them simply never adjusted to civilian
> > life.....
> >
> > Anyway, I'm done ranting. Looks like you have been in a flame war with
> > this guy for over seven years..... do you actually enjoy it? Do you
> > think you are winning? Or haven't you ever heard of a killfile?
>
> Thankfully it's not been a contiguous seven years. Markie was
> gone for the longest time. That pretty much left us with Lennie and
> Brain. I see my exchanges with them as being somewhat cathartic.

and yet even being gone you continued to falme me from time to time
>
> So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the
> ticket lapse?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1928. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:23:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124126610.700804.247470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116229

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > Don't try to make the scenario something altogether different. We were
> > > discussing *you* attempting to respond to a morse distress call. Now
> > > you want to shift things around so I'm ignoring a distress call.
> >
> > The subject reactions to distress call yours and mine
>
> He just doesn't get it, Dave.
>
> Or is it that he's just been hearing those little voices for so
> long he COULDN'T hear the distress call?
>
> > > > I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
> > > > someone in distresss
> > >
> > > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > > of understanding what help was needed.
> >
> > more of your pack of lies
>
> Nope.
>
> From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
>
> YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.

Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear

>
> Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> CAN learn Morse?

nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse

Even Jim adknowledges that

>
> > You refuse to acept facts
>
> You refuse to use a spellchecker.

yes I do
>
> > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
>
> It is if you don't know Morse Code.

a few letter sure can't keep the whole set in my mind though

>
> > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > data...(SNIP)
>
> If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
> send it?

By using the computer to do so

I have done so many times

Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log

>
> > I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > Air to render more assistance than I can
>
> And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
> hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
> knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
> the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
> tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...

wel you yea sure it would take me longer, never diened that and yea if
the ship is sinking fast I may not be able to help, butAt least I tried

>
> > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
>
> He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
> not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
> and meaningful manner.

dpends on how well the morse was send if the operator was good I can
turn it around in acouple of minutes if it is bad then maybe an hour

But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC

to copy

>
> > > >>>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> > > >>>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>>Just comenting on your own words
> > > >>
> > > >>"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
> > > >>nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
> > > >>band.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I am comenting on your words
> > > >
> > > > Indeed all I have is your words
> > > >
> > > > and YOUR words make you look guilty
> > >
> > > Okay, Mark, I'll play your game. On which particular words of mine are
> > > you commenting?
> >
> > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
>
> Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
>
> It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.

it was never the point
>
> > > >>>but then you don't respoibility for your actions
> > > >>
> > > >>I don't repoibility?
> > > >>
> > > >>I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
> > > >>I still think you're a twit.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law
> > >
> > > I helped no others break any law unless you take the very peculiar and
> > > totally incorrect view that by merely showing up on a band, I enticed
> > > someone to break his country's regs. Others have attempted to correct
> > > your mistaken perception, but you've simply ignored them.
> >
> > becuase you and all of them dance around the central quetion what did
> > you know and when did you know it
>

> There's not dancing.
>
> Just you trying to shift the dance floor while the music's still
> playing.

not that is your gig
>
> > If You only learned afterward they were out of band then Find I agree
> > you were in the clear. If you knew before or during then it is another
> > matter
> >
> > you dance and dance avoiding this question
>
> In either case, he's "in the clear".
>
> Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> THIER administration's requiremments.

>
> None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> International law.

more evasion
>
> Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.

the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1929. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:27:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124126870.337648.129470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116230

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > > then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
> > >
> > > As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
> > > idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
> > > to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
> > > feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.
> >
> > No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
> > call if it were misent
> >
> If it were "misent", how would one know it were a distress
> call...?!?!

by trying to find out
>
> > I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
> > someone in distresss
> >
> It's not about you trying to help...It's about you whirling around
> in your chair, ensnared in recording tape, trying to run and re-run the
> tape to figure out what was being said, now hours ago...

Bullshit No one use tape certainly not for anything of import, My pc
would record digitaly

Even Jim admits you can work CW with by PC, maybe not as well but not
as well beats nothing to distress call

>
> > > >>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> > > >>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Just comenting on your own words
> > >
> > > "Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
> > > nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
> > > band.
> >

> > I am comenting on your words
> >
> > Indeed all I have is your words
> >
> > and YOUR words make you look guilty
>
> They make him look nothing of the sort.

they sure do
>
> But YOU trying to MAKE him "look guilty" where no guilt exists is
> hillarious!

I know you laugh anyone that thinks.

>
> > > > but then you don't respoibility for your actions
> > >
> > > I don't repoibility?
> > >
> > > I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
> > > I still think you're a twit.
> > >
> > Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law
>
> He didn't help anyone break any law...At least no law YOU have
> been able to produce. And we've asked you over and over with ample
> opportunity to respond to cite such a law.

he cites the law they broke

operating out of band

asuming he happens to have known up front, the question he is dodging
does the rest

>
> You haven't.
>
> You can't.
>
> It doesn't exist.

alreeady done
>
> > > >>I would also find your lifetime of professional victimhood a good
> > > >>subject for a joke.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > and more of your Stevie type lies
> > >
> > > That isn't a lie, Mark. Victimhood is what you're all about. You can't
> > > pass a morse code test and you're a victim. You put together an English

> > > sentence and that's someone else's problem and you're a victim because
> > > of your handicap. Let's all get together and feel sorry for poor Mark.
> > > Let's try to make it easy on him because he just can't do things.
> >
> > More of your lies
>
> Nope.
>
> Dead square head-on-the-nail.
>
> Look for a package in the mail, Markie.

if true except it back from the Postal inspector
>
> I'll send you some Excederin.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1930. day 4 of Stevie promised action

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 17:31:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124127079.396942.121160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116231

well It is after 1 pm well over 24 hours since stevies promise of
action

his promise that it, it would do more than anoy me.

Nothing.

Not even a call from someone asking about geting some cock and bull
story

from stevie alleged proof and promises nothing

Guess it is like you 7 hostile actions

## 1931. Re: stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 18:20:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <K15Me.6887$RS.1416@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116232

Mark's subject line provides amusing irony:

"stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted"

Dave K8MN

## 1932. Re: Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 18:22:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x35Me.6888$RS.1907@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116233

an_old_friend wrote:

> really ?

> more whoopers

The cranes or the cough?

Dave K8MN

## 1933. Re: stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 18:42:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124131377.578538.211180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116234

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mark's subject line provides amusing irony:
>
> "stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted"

when you going to fullfill YOUR Promise Dave?
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1934. Re: Attn N7ZZT, KB3EIA, and other CB haters:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 18:49:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124131790.070221.126720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > > > > > I just got back from the Pomeroy area helping a friend evacuate from
> > > > > > > the path of the School Fire. While there I made a few observations:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > - CB radio is being used -extensively- for emergency communications,
> > > > > > > mostly by civilians but to some extent by firefighters and support
> > > > > > > organizations.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As an intercom in a very short range area. Nothing more. It
> > > > > > never was.
> > > > > >
> > > > > break
> > > > > > > - CB radio traffic is NOT chaotic -- operators are well behaved, there
> > > > > > > is very little channel bleedover, and no idiots jamming the channels
> > > > > > > or yelling "A-U-D-I-O";
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That one on it's own merits is false, proven by switching on even
> > > > > > the simplist CB and listening for 10 seconds.
> > > > >
> > > > > you know it is truely amazing the lies you tell Stevie
> > > >
> > > > Now you are TRULY embarrassing yourslef with such a claim, Markie.
> > >
> > > not at all
> > >
break
> > you simply never hear anything like that here int eh UP or in Central
> > Illinois where I used to live
>
> Then it's probably because dimwit Markie has his 11 meter rig fed
> to a 2 meter antenna.

wrong answer
>
> > You may hear some of it round major cities, but I don't hear in in
> > Milwalkee or Indy or St louis where I travel regularly

>
> Obviously you don't travel to anyn of them often, otherwise you'd
> hear even more...And M I G H T learn how to spell them along the way.

All depends on you difenation of often, but I did say regularly I
alamost always hit Stlouis a certain times of years same for Indy

>
> > I hear some clear problem around Chicago when I am there, sound like
> > too many radios users not enough spectrum
>
> Sounds like too many people with no radio discipline and nothing
> better to do.

prehaps, but still nothing like what you are talking about

>
> > But you claim that turning on the simplest CB will prove what you
> > calim is simply a LIE
>
> No, it's not.

sure is. I have proven it yet again

>
> > The condiction vary from place to place
>
> What's a "condiction"? How does it vary? Electrically or
> mechanically?
>
> > > > I have never heard anyone yelling audio, never experence jamming of any
> > > > sort, and only rarely seen bleed over into other channels,(although
> > > > when it is there it is REALLY there)
> > >
> > > Well aren't you lucky. You must be the one of 10 million CBers to
> > > NOT have had any of these problems.
> >
> > maybe luck, maybe being polite to people helps
>
> Maybe because you're one of the uncivil creeps that do those sort
> of things and you just consider it normal...
>
> > But your statement is lie
>
> Nope.
>
> I'm listening to a trashmouthed idiot on Ch 16 as I write
> this...Claims to be "One Bodacious Bad### in Chi-town...come back..."
>
> I'll let you look it up on Mapquest, Markie, but I am more than a
> couple hours drive from Chicago!
>

> > > > only experenced any real porblem in/near some of the large cities where
> > > > due to the silliness of allocating that small amount of specturm to so
> > > > many people problem are bound to occur.
> > >
> > > Uh huh...right.
> >
> > right and of course
> >
> > still never heard anyone say audio on CB, why would somebody say that?
>
> Poor Markie...Never heard anyone say "audio" on a radio before.
> Probably never heard them say "Good Buddy" or "Negatory" either...

Never heard anyone say "Audio", heard Good buddy and negatory though

So what?

Do you have your panties in a wad over "Good Buddy" and "nagaory"?

grow up
>
> Sheeesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1935. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 18:52:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.18.52.20.93520@gmail.com>
Source record: 116236
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt:

When you recover the where-with-all to keep up, and a newsreader which is
not prompting you to ask, "What is going on here?"--get back to me...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 19:50:38 +0100, Walt Davidson wrote:

> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 08:38:23 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Walt:
>>
>>Get a decent newsreader, refer to the text at the bottom of my post you
>>question, that is all there is...
>
> John:
>
> My newsreader is RFC-compliant. It only looks for quotes that
> *precede* any new text.
>
> Anything that is quoted at the end of the message, trailing like a
> long gray beard, is ignored.
>
> HTH.

# 1936. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 19:05:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.19.05.52.306634@gmail.com>
Source record: 116237
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt:

<yawn>

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 20:04:32 +0100, Walt Davidson wrote:

> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 11:52:21 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Walt:
>>
>>When you recover the where-with-all to keep up, and a newsreader which is
>>not prompting you to ask, "What is going on here?"--get back to me...
>>
>>John
>
> Kill-filed for persistent, ignorant top-posting.
>
> Goodbye.

# 1937. Re: IEEE C95.1-1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 19:42:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124134938.358047.326110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116238

ralph wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> I will like assistance in understanding some of the number in C95.1,
> especially on MPE.
>
> In most cases for the controlled environment, the averaging timing is 6
> minutes. So does it meant that we can only expose ourselves for 6
> minutes?

No in simple terms

It is a reminder that RF exposure is not guaraunteed safe (various
folks are argueing about the what all the data means

Learn it for the test

for real ham radio well put your antenans away from with nice long deed
lines and place things like metal roofs between and the antenna in
mobile stations

If you are asking about pro engineers find an Engineer that can think
and ask for advice, but still learn it for the test
>
> Thanks.

# 1938. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 19:45:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124135131.758207.13980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116239

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of 12 Aug 05 (corrected on 15 Aug 05), WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1455

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 62

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 391 28.07%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 811 58.04%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 191 13.71%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 12 Aug 05 that would be 1393.

Note: This post revises my similar posting on 13 Aug 05 due
to the FCC adding 18 additional documents in the ECFS for
12 Aug 05 by 15 Aug 05. That is not uncommon in all dockets
and other issues open for comment.

As of about 2:30 PM EDT on 15 Aug 05, there were 129 entries
for 15 Aug 05 and those will be expected in increase up to
midnight with, possibly, additional entries for 15 Aug 05
appearing on the ECFS the next day. The scorecard will be
updated to reflect those numbers.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

yes non
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1939. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 19:58:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <krs1g15dr2vd6ob3rk8lv50flu2mkneukv@4ax.com>
Source record: 116240

On 15 Aug 2005 15:44:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050815114434.123$GZ@newsreader.com>:

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> > > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
>> > > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > >
>> > What's "anonie" about Frank Gilliland?
>>
>> Just curious that the only "Frank Gilliland" I can find in FCC
>> files had his license expire over 2 years ago.
>>
>> Unless it's a middle name or someone forgot to drop a new 610
>> (605)?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>Who said Frank is in the FCC database? As far as I know he's not a ham
>radio operator. That doesn't make him "anonie", does it?

Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1940. Re: stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 20:23:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%Q6Me.6588$WD.5521@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116241

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Mark's subject line provides amusing irony:
>>
>>"stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted"
>
>
> when you going to fullfill YOUR Promise Dave?

Mark, even when your written English approaches coherency, I have little idea what you're talking about. What promise have I made you?

Dave K8MN

# 1941. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 20:27:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8V6Me.6589$WD.2774@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116242

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 15 Aug 2005 15:44:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050815114434.123$GZ@newsreader.com>:
>
>
>>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
>>>>Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
>>>>
>>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>What's "anonie" about Frank Gilliland?
>>>
>>> Just curious that the only "Frank Gilliland" I can find in FCC
>>>files had his license expire over 2 years ago.
>>>
>>> Unless it's a middle name or someone forgot to drop a new 610
>>>(605)?
>>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>
>>Who said Frank is in the FCC database? As far as I know he's not a ham
>>radio operator. That doesn't make him "anonie", does it?
>
>
>
> Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.

I think you have it wrong, Frank. I believe the typical ham attitude
is: If you aren't a ham and persist in posting material about the
wonders of CB radio in an amateur radio group, *then* you're nobody.

Dave K8MN

## 1942. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 20:50:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ie7Me.7097$RS.1493@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116243

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
>>>>>>>>>DXers.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
>>>>>>operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
>>>>>>years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
>>>>>>hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
>>>>>>looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the
>>>>>>moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
>>>>>>convenience.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Slaped Silly?
>>>>
>>>>No, slapped silly.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>and more lie on your part
>>>>
>>>>Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
>>>>me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
>>>>Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
>>>>his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
>>>>have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
>>>>broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.
>>>
>>>
>>>Then again you admit the charge
>>
>>No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.
>
>
> of course there is

Oh, I get it. Brian Burke says something; you act like little Sir
Incoherent Echo and there it is--a charge. To be brief, Brian is a twit
and you are a twit apprentice.

> The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
> band

You're several years short on information. Go to Google. Look up what
has already been written. Come back when you have some facts.

>
>>>Nothing has been to look absurd
>>
>>That's incorrect. Brian has been made to look absurd--but you're
>>gaining on him.
>
>
> not at all

Yes, Mark, every bit of all.

>
>>>you are only making yourself lok more guilty
>>
>>Maybe you can explain how this can possibly be so.
>
>
> I doubt it, since you are clearly uninterested.

You have a point there. I am not very interested. I am, however, very
interesting.

> But in evading the important points over and over again, you make your
> self look guilty

There aren't any important points, Mark.

>
>>>I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply
>>
>>Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
>>at all.
>
>
> I have been paying attention. and it doesn't apply. since you just keep
> ancing around the relavant issues

I'm not "ancing" and there are no relevant issues.

>
>>>>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
>>>>
>>>>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
>>>>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
>>>>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
>>>
>>>
>>>indeed a confession
>>
>>Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.
>
>
> which is yet another evasion of the issue

Which issue, Mark--the one Brian Burke periodically tries
(unsuccessfully) to make stick or the one about Universal Pictures?

> Attack rather than deal with the facts

You have it screwed up, Colonel. Brian is attacking. You are
assisting. Both of you are very short on facts.

>
>>
>>>>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
>>>>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>>>>>>
>>>>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>>>>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>>>>>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
>>>>>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
>>>>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>whish has what to do the subject
>>>
>>>which has nothing to do with the subject
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
>>>>>haven't a leg to stand on.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
>>>>>out of there band
>>>>
>>>>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
>>>>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
>>>>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
>>>>what you like.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW
>>
>>Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever
>>goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.
>
>
> and you want to believe you are in the wrong by refuseing to answer
> basic questions

I want to believe I am in the wrong? How absurd!

> and you should not mind if you were telling the turth when you said
> "Believe
> what you like."

It is pointless to attempt correcting a twit.

> But obviously you do care, so why bother with such a lie

See, you've done it again. You write "such a lie" when there is no
evidence of a lie.

> you clearly do care or you would not bother

I have news for you, Mark. I don't care and I'm just about done with
bothering.

>>>Based on what you have said and what you refuse to say
>>
>>There you have it. If I say something, I'm guilty of something. If I
>>don't say something, I'm still guilty.
>
>
> you can try answering the question rather than avoiding it?

I could, but I'll let you do some work and Google up the material
instead. The research should keep you busy for a week or more.

> That being did you know you were working a Fench ham out of their band?

What you should be asking is: When I was running a pileup *in* my
allocated band segment, was I ever, in any way responsible for the
operations of any French radio amateurs?

> you have been dancing around this post after post

So you say--but you're a twit.

>
>
>>You're a twit.
>
>
> you are a coward, afraid to answer and yet still trying to bully
> everyone into accepting your claims

Brian made claims regarding me. Charge him with cowardice, being afraid
and attempting to bully. I've had about enough of your silliness. If
you said any of the things which you've just written in a face-to-face
encounter with me, you'd have a sore nose and be sitting on the floor,
shrieking that I'd attacked an innocent handicapped individual.

>>>>>gee sounds like a cofession to me
>>>>
>>>>Izzat how they handle "cofessions" in your pagan flock?
>>>
>>>more bashing of others religion
>>
>>If you want to dance nekkid around some candles and a pentangle, fine.
>>If you'd like to think of it as a religious service, fine. Happy
>>Ramahannukwanzmas.
>
>
> more mockery

Yes, it is. You're one messed up doofus, Colonel.

> only adds to weight of convincing that you KNOWINGLY worked folks out
> of band

That's interesting. You're a pagan, bisexual S&M freak who has
repeatedly told whoppers about his military service. I laugh at you and
that supposedly confirms some idiocy spouted by Brian Burke. You're no
mental giant, Mark.

> you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question

Central question? It is no more central to my life than you are, Colonel.

Dave K8MN

## 1943. "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 20:55:01 UTC
From: Concerned citizen <cc@lar.ge>
Message-ID: <430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 116244
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

```
Dear Bill Clinton,

Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
America? You like watching innocent americans die?

Concerned Citizen
```

# 1944. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 20:58:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Il7Me.6597$WD.4654@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116245

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>cut
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Likewise.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>more of your cuting context
>>>>>>>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
>>>>>>>>>are sick as well as unfeeling
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
>>>>>>>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
>>>>>>in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
>>>>
>>>>As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
>>>>idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
>>>>to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
>>>>feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.
>>>
>>>
>>>No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
>>>call if it were misent
>>
>>Don't try to make the scenario something altogether different. We were
>>discussing *you* attempting to respond to a morse distress call. Now
>>you want to shift things around so I'm ignoring a distress call.
>
>
> The subject reactions to distress call yours and mine

What?

>
>>>I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
>>>someone in distresss
>>
>> From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
>>be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
>>of understanding what help was needed.
>
>
> more of your pack of lies

What lies, Mark?

> You refuse to acept facts

Which facts, Mark?

> It isn't hard to reconize SoS

Not for me, it isn't.

> Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> data I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> Air to render more assistance than I can

That's one of the most stupid things I've ever read.

> So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.

Sure, Mark. "OSOSOSO" and "ayday" to you.

>>>>>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
>>>>>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Just comenting on your own words
>>>>
>>>>"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
>>>>nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
>>>>band.
>>>
>>>
>>>I am comenting on your words
>>>
>>>Indeed all I have is your words
>>>
>>>and YOUR words make you look guilty
>>
>>Okay, Mark, I'll play your game. On which particular words of mine are
>>you commenting?
>
>
> the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.

You said that you were commenting on my words. When I ask which words,
you tell me that they are the words I haven't written. That's not logical.

>>
>>>>>but then you don't respoibility for your actions
>>>>
>>>>I don't repoibility?
>>>>

>>>>I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
>>>>I still think you're a twit.
>>>
>>>
>>>Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law
>>
>>I helped no others break any law unless you take the very peculiar and
>>totally incorrect view that by merely showing up on a band, I enticed
>>someone to break his country's regs. Others have attempted to correct
>>your mistaken perception, but you've simply ignored them.
>
>
> becuase you and all of them dance around the central quetion what did
> you know and when did you know it

I think you should arrange some sort of Senate Inquiry and we can all
get to the bottom of this.

> If You only learned afterward they were out of band then Find I agree
> you were in the clear. If you knew before or during then it is another
> matter

Perhaps you didn't understand what I wrote earlier. I don't care what
you believe. Others have attempted to correct your erroneous thinking.
Their attempts were in vain.

> you dance and dance avoiding this question

Now you have me dancing. Earlier, I was guilty because of silence.
You're a twit.

Dave K8MN

# 1945. Saggytits Wuns Away Scweaming "Nooooooooooooooooo!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 21:00:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <587f6da36310cb2cbc8e8338b15a7ebb@dizum.com>
Source record: 116247
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <woger.wemoves.his.fourth.photo@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined and cried:

> [nothing important]

Who ever is getting those pics pulled, keep it up, you are making Saggytits cry!

Saggytits Lee aka Brian Pussy Burke:
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg

Cue up: <Godley & Creme's "Cry"> (It's Saggytits' theme song.)

# 1946. Re: Dancing on Reagan's grave, pissing on Nixon's grave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 21:06:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.21.06.03.545879@gmail.com>
Source record: 116248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

jd;

<double-yawn>

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 21:02:12 +0000, -=jd=- wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote, in an uncontrolled fit of
> exasperation:
>>> "FAR AS WHAT YOU ARE OR ARE NOT, AS YOU SAY, A PERSON GIVES THAT AWAY
>>> WITH THEIR POSTS, SO QUIT POINTING MINE AT YOURSELF AND TRYING TO
>>> DEFEND YOURSELF FROM THEM--AND IF THE SHOE FITS, WEAR IT!"
>
>
> Good strategy, the "yawning" bit. That will surely make your above
> "gobsmacked" perfomance less noticeable. Sheesh...
>
> -=jd=-
> --
>
>
> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 10:35:31p, "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
> wrote in message news:QbTLe.1560$I62.6645915@news.sisna.com:
>
>> -=jd=-:
>>
>> <yawn>
>>
>> John
>>
>> "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns96B2E489ED898a216b130c132d203@216.77.188.18...
>>> On Sun 14 Aug 2005 10:12:12p, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
>>> wrote in message news:pan.2005.08.15.02.12.11.345344@gmail.com:
>>>
>>>> Dork:
>>>>
>>>> You didn't understand, "Far as what I will do or not do, I will decide
>>>> that, you are the last person I would consider as a mentor..."
>>>>
>>>> ... try to focus man!
>>>>
>>>> <yawn>

>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>
>>> I realize it's embarrassing for you. I also realize that you feel
>>> compelled
>>> to dodge the question in order to avoid further embarrassment, but I
>>> can keep requoting you as long as need be. I'll provide the question
>>> again and quote your gibberish in all-caps so that there will be no
>>> doubt.
>>>
>>> Here is your task. Simply translate the following non-sense that *you*
>>> wrote, and we'll be on the same freq. Again, YOU wrote the following
>>> "gem" of flustered non-sense:
>>>
>>> "FAR AS WHAT YOU ARE OR ARE NOT, AS YOU SAY, A PERSON GIVES THAT AWAY
>>> WITH THEIR POSTS, SO QUIT POINTING MINE AT YOURSELF AND TRYING TO
>>> DEFEND YOURSELF FROM THEM--AND IF THE SHOE FITS, WEAR IT!"
>>>
>>> Now, translate that into english. There may be a flaw in my request
>>> because
>>> I am presuming it *can* be translated. It remains to be seen -- you are
>>> certainly doing a lame enough job dodging the task of cleaning up your
>>> own mess. So far, this appears to be an indication of your lack of
>>> character and personal integrity. It is no wonder that you panicked in
>>> fear when Bierbarrel advised you what would happen if he caught you
>>> urinating on a grave.
>>>
>>> I'll check back tomorrow and see if you were successful.
>>> Nitey-Night, sunshine!
>>>
>>> -=jd=-
>>> --
>>> My Current Disposable Email:
>>> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
>>> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)

# 1947. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 21:08:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.21.08.04.479425@gmail.com>
Source record: 116249
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

```
... only question is, "Why isn't clinton in prison for murder and
treason?"

... end of story ...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 15:55:01 -0500, Concerned citizen wrote:

> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen
```

# 1948. camd buzz/jj/corey Joined An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 21:16:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050815171615.748$vM_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 116350

Cmdr Buzz Corey <us@this.ten> wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> >
> > Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.
>
> And being a cber makes you even less than that.
>
You're a CB'er too, call-less camd buzz/jj/corey, or whatever you call
yourself this month.

According to Steve, K4YZ, you're an "anonie" too.

What's your call, camd buzz/jj/corey?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1949. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 21:18:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050815171803.561$pg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 116351

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 15 Aug 2005 15:44:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050815114434.123$GZ@newsreader.com>:
>
> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> > > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain
> >> > > and Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > >
> >> > What's "anonie" about Frank Gilliland?
> >>
> >> Just curious that the only "Frank Gilliland" I can find in FCC
> >> files had his license expire over 2 years ago.
> >>
> >> Unless it's a middle name or someone forgot to drop a new 610
> >> (605)?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >Who said Frank is in the FCC database? As far as I know he's not a ham
> >radio operator. That doesn't make him "anonie", does it?
>
> Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.
>
Thankfully they're not all like that, or convicted child abusing felons
like N8.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 1950. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 21:30:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0g12g1lkraagrlvsr8ei9ivobk3u8lpgol@4ax.com>
Source record: 116352

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 20:27:48 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <8V6Me.6589$WD.2774@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
<snip>
>> Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.
>
>I think you have it wrong, Frank.

Oh really.....

> I believe the typical ham attitude
>is: If you aren't a ham and persist in posting material about the
>wonders of CB radio in an amateur radio group, *then* you're nobody.

That's one of the difference between holy-hammies and CBers; the
holy-hammies begin their statements with "I believe....." while the
CBers start with "The facts are.....".

And the facts are that his discussion began in -this- newsgroup with
the thread "Emergency communications", where some CB-hating hams
crossposted their highly subjective and speculative opinions to rrcb.
I'm just here to set the record straight. If you don't want CBers in
this newsgroup then quit crossposting your anti-CB garbage.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1951. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 21:38:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ug22g1tghmu5pd3oukklkro2rv88r7u7kt@4ax.com>
Source record: 116354

On 15 Aug 2005 21:18:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050815171803.561$pg@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
<snip>
>> Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.
>>
>Thankfully they're not all like that, or convicted child abusing felons
>like N8.

True story. I know quite a few -decent- hams and I actually encourage
people to get their license if they show the interest. It's the few
hams with a holier-than-thou attitude that makes amateur radio so
repulsive to the rest of us.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1952. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:03:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <oaadnaEdm6b5n5zeRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 116246

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
> Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.

And being a cber makes you even less than that.

# 1953. Re: What Is Ham Radio - A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:04:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <h-qdneH-rvULjJzeRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116355
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ENqdnWbDeOtllZ3eRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
> Dave Holford wrote:
>> Fascinating, I don't know who you talk to but I can only think of a
>> couple of people I know
>> using Drake stuff, and then only as collectors items or though nostalgia.
>> Can't remember the
>> last time I heard someone mention Henry, except in an inductor value, and
>> one of the few
>> pictures of Heathkit equipment I have seen in a long time was in a
>> magazine on antique
>> equipment a (younger) friend showed me a couple of weeks ago.
>>
>> I have a real hard time believing that the long overdue dropping of CW
>> will result in much
>> of an influx to HF. If they were interested in HF they would already be
>> here.
>>
>> I've had a two hour HF swap shop running in the background and I don't
>> think I heard
>> anything that old being offered for sale or looked for except for a
>> couple of antique RCA,
>> Hammarlund and National receivers, but they were in the antiques listing.
>> Plenty of DSP rigs
>> and digital stuff, a few people looking for specialized digital ICs. It
>> is quite common to
>> hear current generation equipment being sold by very elderly hams due to
>> deteriorating
>> health and/or moving into nursing homes or apartments where they must
>> give up the hobby.
>>
>> I'm having coffee with an 81 year old tomorrow and he has a DSP HF rig;
>> and a software
>> defined receiver running on his backup PC, which he also uses to watch TV
>> and movies. He
>> usually has at least one PC in pieces as he reconfigures it and has been
>> inside his ICOM and
>> Kenwood HF rigs with a soldering iron more than once, although as his age
>> creeps up he is
>> reducing those activities somewhat. He will probably want to discuss
>> optimizing the data
>> base for his SDR receiver.
>>

>> Not every ham over 40 is using a hand key to a crystal controlled 6L6.
>
> Do people have their 60th birthday, and suddenly become stupid or
> something? I had a PSK31 QSO with a 96 y.o. Hams last year. He was
> checking out his new laptop. Had a modern rig also. That's just one case.
> There are plenty other oldsters I've QSO'ed with who are trourghly modern.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Absolutely. It's often because they are the ones that have both the time
and money to pursue new interests.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1954. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:07:43 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pm8Me.18786$EX.14228@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 116356
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen

I ask the same of GWB. Why did he sit there in a class room while Americans
lives were on the line. A real leader would have taken charge.

# 1955. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:16:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <07udne6rYLPViZzeRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116357

<phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
news:ddq7fk02iq6@news2.newsguy.com...
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:20:07 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> Remind me not to hire you in a job that requires figuring things out.
>

I was poking fun at the person who says we must do such and so without
offering any solutions of his own. He's trying to throw it "over the fence"
to some one else to solve. When I identify problems, I come to the table
with several possible solutions to debate and welcome input from all
participants. But I do not particularly care for the approach of some one
saying "its a problem but YOU do the work."

>
> | These principals are all well and good but propose some specific,
> concrete
> | actions.
>
> Don't expect the directions, and the means to get there, to always come
> from
> the same person. First decide where you are going. That in itself may be
> a
> long complex decision process. Then decide how to get there. If you find
> you can't get there, then go back and decide on another place to go.
>

I do not have any such expectations. He has identified problems that not
everyone agrees are problems and is "dumping" them in the laps of everyone
else to solve.

>
> | I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different
> | people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.
>
> And why not hear them all out?
>

No problem with hearing them out but when you have ten different and quite possibly opposing expectations, needs, and wants there is no way that everyone is going to be satisfied with the results. This is demonstrated on an almost daily basis.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1956. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:17:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.22.17.25.584992@gmail.com>
Source record: 116358
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

1) Because he had appointed capable people to act in an emergency in his immediate absence.

2) Because it was good to take time and show the enemy that all they managed was a slight annoyance.

3) Because he was right in the middle of things.

4) Because in the back of his mind, he was planning an appropiate response and he could plan there are well as anywhere else.

5) Because he wanted people like you to wonder about it the rest of their lives, and keep asking...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005
22:07:43 +0000, FDR wrote:

>
> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>
>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>
>>
>> Concerned Citizen
>
> I ask the same of GWB. Why did he sit there in a class room while Americans
> lives were on the line. A real leader would have taken charge.

# 1957. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:25:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.22.25.32.550652@gmail.com>
Source record: 116359

Dee:

I don't think you have to explain. It is plain that those against change are into the ploy of attack the person advancing the change, list everything wrong, submit NO ideas for change, ignore, play dumb, hope it all goes away, keep it a close door group, etc, etc...

You really don't have to take time to explain, we can work withing that framework...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 18:16:25 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> <phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
> news:ddq7fk02iq6@news2.newsguy.com...
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:20:07 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> Remind me not to hire you in a job that requires figuring things out.
>>
>
> I was poking fun at the person who says we must do such and so without
> offering any solutions of his own. He's trying to throw it "over the fence"
> to some one else to solve. When I identify problems, I come to the table
> with several possible solutions to debate and welcome input from all
> participants. But I do not particularly care for the approach of some one
> saying "its a problem but YOU do the work."
>
>>
>> | These principals are all well and good but propose some specific,

>> concrete
>> | actions.
>>
>> Don't expect the directions, and the means to get there, to always come
>> from
>> the same person. First decide where you are going. That in itself may be
>> a
>> long complex decision process. Then decide how to get there. If you find
>> you can't get there, then go back and decide on another place to go.
>>
>
> I do not have any such expectations. He has identified problems that not
> everyone agrees are problems and is "dumping" them in the laps of everyone
> else to solve.
>
>>
>> | I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different
>> | people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.
>>
>> And why not hear them all out?
>>
>
> No problem with hearing them out but when you have ten different and quite
> possibly opposing expectations, needs, and wants there is no way that
> everyone is going to be satisfied with the results. This is demonstrated on
> an almost daily basis.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1958. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:27:04 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <1E66X5G238580.2687962963@reece.net.au>
Source record: 116360

More cops and robber, I am a secret agent, I spy, save the titanic.

Grow up boys.

# 1959. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:33:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124145226.983057.171550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116361

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > SATIRE = JOKE
>
> Your dictionary is farkled. My Funk & Wagnalls says "ridicule, irony, sarcasm",
> with nary a reference to "joke" or "humor".
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Your little joke wasn't funny.

# 1960. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:34:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mLqdnUsoUZAShZzeRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116362

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders? We're apt to
>> get
>> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.
>>
> There's no one best way for everyone, but here's one idea:
>
> Demos. Show folks how it's done, rather than talking. This can be
> a display/talk/station setup at a hamfest, a club meeting talk, a
> website, or a magazine article, just for starters. Then there's Field
> Day, a whole weekend of demos.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

The demo/display/talk at a hamfest is a good idea as this has a chance of getting those hams who don't belong to clubs. As far as websites go, there are already so many (some are very good) that I think additional websites may get lost in the crowd so to speak. Our club already has a presentation at almost every meeting so at least our club is already covered here.

I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not involved with any groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over the air could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in a smooth transition.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1961. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:35:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124145329.476747.210680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116363

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> > >
> > > So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.
> > >
> > > And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
> > > like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
> > > can't guarantee they won't distress you.
> >
> > SATIRE = JOKE
>
> Perhaps you should invest in a Dictionary and figure out what a
> "joke" is and what "satire" is, Brain...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Perhaps you should read "Stolen Valor" and find out what happens to
people who make up war stories.

# 1962. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:38:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124145494.108043.160230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116364

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > If you are experienced as you claim, then you
> > would have known how to answer the question.
>
> I properly answered a stupid patronizing question with a stupid ridicule(ous)
> answer.

That's too bad.

> > Your answer falls into the category, "Poor Amateur Practice."
>
> "Poor Amateur Practice" happens on the amateur bands. My answer falls into the
> category, "Good Usenet Practice", that of poking holes in puffed up balloons.

Revisionist history of the circumstances.

# 1963. Re: camd buzz/jj/corey Joined An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:40:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <us@this.ten>
Message-ID: <QcCdnYPJ4KyLlpzeRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 116353

Steveo wrote:
>
> You're a CB'er too, call-less camd buzz/jj/corey, or whatever you call
> yourself this month.

Hate to dissappoint you steveo, but I have never operated a cb radio or
any other radio on the cb band.

# 1964. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:41:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124145687.157212.55570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116365

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > wonder what they do with transmision "ayday...ayday
> > > > ...ayday...b9wdy...lling...ayday" the dots being static, something liek
> > > > sorry ole boy we migh help you when you fix your radio
> > >
> > > The first thing I'd notice is that there were no static dots in front of
> > > the first "ayday". I'd thus assume that it was you, actually yelling
> > > "adyday".
> >
> > Smug Dave still trying to be funny abaout an SOS/Mayday.
>
> But Brian...YOU are the one who's lambasted others in this forum
> about not having a proper sense of humor.
>
> Was that previous assertion false, or are you just changing your
> rant to match the situation at present?

Hello DeShawn.

# 1965. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:44:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.22.44.40.232018@gmail.com>
Source record: 116378

... perhaps we should focus on amateur radio and forget pseudo-military,
spys, secret agents, morse freaks planning on saving the titanic,
boring-ancient stories, etc, etc...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 15:35:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>> > >
>> > > > show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
>> > >
>> > > So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.
>> > >
>> > > And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
>> > > like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
>> > > can't guarantee they won't distress you.
>> >
>> > SATIRE = JOKE
>>
>> Perhaps you should invest in a Dictionary and figure out what a
>> "joke" is and what "satire" is, Brain...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Perhaps you should read "Stolen Valor" and find out what happens to
> people who make up war stories.

# 1966. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 22:50:11 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <lookin@u.org>
Message-ID: <11g274iregdp55e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 116366
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=19129

Then-Attorney General John Ashcroft testified at the 9/11 Commission's grandstanding hearings that one of its own commissioners, former high-ranking Clinton Justice Department appointee Jamie S. Gorelick, had been the prime architect of one of the problems for which the commissioners regularly denounced the Bush administration: the wall between intelligence agencies. Her infamous 1995 "wall" memo produced much of the harmful lack of intelligence coordination that the Commission then used to criticize the Bush administration.

...the intelligence wall Deputy Attorney General Gorelick put in place smothered ongoing investigations into Chinese contributions to Bill Clinton' s presidential campaigns. Specifically the Department of Defense and the CIA were prohibited from exchanging relevant information with the FBI.
...
Not all were happy at the time with Gorelick's action. Gutsy New York City-based U.S. Attorney Mary Jo White was appalled by the Gorelick directive, sending two of her own memoranda back to Janet Reno and Gorelick protesting, "The most effective way to combat terrorism is with as few labels and walls as possible so that wherever permissible, the right and left hands are communicating." Her recommendations were ignored. According to the New York Post, White was so incensed by their actions that she wrote a second, scathing memorandum warning that the "wall" hindered law enforcement efforts to combat terrorism. "It will cost lives," she reportedly warned. This second memo is still kept secret.
...
This inaction seemed to fall into line with the Clinton administration's general disregard for terrorism. Although the discredited former National Security Council staffer Richard Clarke presented President Clinton as an anti-terrorism warrior, former intelligence officer Ralph Peters tells a much different story. "Admitting that [terrorist] threats were real.threatened to destroy the belief system the Clintonites had carried into office," Peters detailed. In regards to the entire terrorist network, methodology, and ideology, the Clintons were "a textbook case of denial." It was bad enough, as the "Able Danger" reports indicate, that the Clintons were willfully ignorant of the threat but their criminal negligence was compounded by a sleazy attempt to pass the buck on the Bush administration. Bill Clinton never made any serious retaliation for any of these provocations, nor the 1993 World Trade Center bombing, emboldening these terrorists, assuring through his "intelligence wall" that 9/11 terrorists could not be properly identified and apprehended, and passing the blame for the inevitable outcome of his policies to the nascent Bush administration.

If there was, in fact, covert direction from the top of the Commission to key members of its staff to cloak any link between Saddam and the September 11 attacks, to obfuscate evidence tying the Iraqi regime to al-Qaeda and Mohammed Atta, and to paint the most positive possible picture of the Clintons as implacable terror-warriors, then "Able Danger" had to be ignored and covered up. It fits the pattern of revisionist historical interpretations that seems to be the only authentic legacy from the Clinton years.

By acknowledging the Iraq/al-Qaeda ties, not only to terrorism in general but to the September 11 attack, the war becomes completely justifiable as exactly what the Bush administration claimed it was: a defensive, if preemptive, war to protect the United States from a regime with cordial ties to anti-American terrorists. This outcome is so repugnant to the hard Left that it will justify even the most extraordinary suppression of evidence or promulgation of an outright lie in order to achieve its ends.

This is a critically important story that demands public attention. It will not be seriously investigated by many reporters, because the mainstream ( read: leftist ) media is not interested in exposing how its favorite president in decades enabled terrorists to pull off the worse act of domestic terrorism in U.S. history.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=19129

"Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen

# 1967. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:01:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.23.01.41.219042@gmail.com>
Source record: 116377
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

```
... it was tough enough for this nation to survive clinton (and that isn't
even considering the dry cleaning bill! <grin>)

... worse, his wife wants to take a shot at us! <frown>

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 17:50:11 -0500, SeeingEyeDog wrote:

> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=19129
>
> Then-Attorney General John Ashcroft testified at the 9/11 Commission's
> grandstanding hearings that one of its own commissioners, former
> high-ranking Clinton Justice Department appointee Jamie S. Gorelick, had
> been the prime architect of one of the problems for which the commissioners
> regularly denounced the Bush administration: the wall between intelligence
> agencies. Her infamous 1995 "wall" memo produced much of the harmful lack of
> intelligence coordination that the Commission then used to criticize the
> Bush administration.
>
> ...the intelligence wall Deputy Attorney General Gorelick put in place
> smothered ongoing investigations into Chinese contributions to Bill Clinton'
> s presidential campaigns. Specifically the Department of Defense and the CIA
> were prohibited from exchanging relevant information with the FBI.
> ...
> Not all were happy at the time with Gorelick's action. Gutsy New York
> City-based U.S. Attorney Mary Jo White was appalled by the Gorelick
> directive, sending two of her own memoranda back to Janet Reno and Gorelick
> protesting, "The most effective way to combat terrorism is with as few
> labels and walls as possible so that wherever permissible, the right and
> left hands are communicating." Her recommendations were ignored. According
> to the New York Post, White was so incensed by their actions that she wrote
> a second, scathing memorandum warning that the "wall" hindered law
> enforcement efforts to combat terrorism. "It will cost lives," she
> reportedly warned. This second memo is still kept secret.
> ...
> This inaction seemed to fall into line with the Clinton administration's
> general disregard for terrorism. Although the discredited former National
> Security Council staffer Richard Clarke presented President Clinton as an
> anti-terrorism warrior, former intelligence officer Ralph Peters tells a
> much different story. "Admitting that [terrorist] threats were
> real.threatened to destroy the belief system the Clintonites had carried
> into office," Peters detailed. In regards to the entire terrorist network,
> methodology, and ideology, the Clintons were "a textbook case of denial." It
```

> was bad enough, as the "Able Danger" reports indicate, that the Clintons
> were willfully ignorant of the threat but their criminal negligence was
> compounded by a sleazy attempt to pass the buck on the Bush administration.
> Bill Clinton never made any serious retaliation for any of these
> provocations, nor the 1993 World Trade Center bombing, emboldening these
> terrorists, assuring through his "intelligence wall" that 9/11 terrorists
> could not be properly identified and apprehended, and passing the blame for
> the inevitable outcome of his policies to the nascent Bush administration.
>
> If there was, in fact, covert direction from the top of the Commission to
> key members of its staff to cloak any link between Saddam and the September
> 11 attacks, to obfuscate evidence tying the Iraqi regime to al-Qaeda and
> Mohammed Atta, and to paint the most positive possible picture of the
> Clintons as implacable terror-warriors, then "Able Danger" had to be ignored
> and covered up. It fits the pattern of revisionist historical
> interpretations that seems to be the only authentic legacy from the Clinton
> years.
>
> By acknowledging the Iraq/al-Qaeda ties, not only to terrorism in general
> but to the September 11 attack, the war becomes completely justifiable as
> exactly what the Bush administration claimed it was: a defensive, if
> preemptive, war to protect the United States from a regime with cordial ties
> to anti-American terrorists. This outcome is so repugnant to the hard Left
> that it will justify even the most extraordinary suppression of evidence or
> promulgation of an outright lie in order to achieve its ends.
>
> This is a critically important story that demands public attention. It will
> not be seriously investigated by many reporters, because the mainstream (
> read: leftist ) media is not interested in exposing how its favorite
> president in decades enabled terrorists to pull off the worse act of
> domestic terrorism in U.S. history.
>
> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=19129
>
> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>
>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>
>>
>> Concerned Citizen

# 1968. Re: Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:03:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <iq62g1h9c3q1asikgfpppigk31v9468ok7@4ax.com>
Source record: 116367

On 15 Aug 2005 10:16:31 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote in <1124126191.351253.314520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

Replying to both posts:

<snip>
>> > Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)
>> > tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine.
>>
>> I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
>> keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
>> don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
>> at the point of being "braggard" about it.
>
>really ?
>
>more whoopers

I googled K4YZ for "usmc" or "marines"..... there are 111 posts
-prior- to Jan 1, 2002.

>>
>> > Hey, I do it myself.
>>
>> We did earn it.
>
>earn what?

Bragging rights.

>> > But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
>> > reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
>> > being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
>> > throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude.
>>
>> Again...I don't see myself having "crammed" anything down anyone's
>> throats, Frank...No more than their lies and deceit have been shoved
>> down mine.

Oh, well, that makes it ok..... I guess.

>you make threats and more threats and lies and ....
>>
>> > It's not
>> > your fault, it's not their fault. Wanna blame somebody? Blame the
>> > government -- they tell the military to recruit kids, culture-shock
>> > them into hard-core killing machines, then when their service is

>> > completed dump them back into the civilian population without any sort
>> > of de-programming or re-integration phase. It's not so suprising that
>> > there are a disproportionate number of homeless people that are vets
>> > when you realize that most of them simply never adjusted to civilian
>> > life.....
>> >
>> > Anyway, I'm done ranting. Looks like you have been in a flame war with
>> > this guy for over seven years..... do you actually enjoy it? Do you
>> > think you are winning? Or haven't you ever heard of a killfile?
>>
>> Thankfully it's not been a contiguous seven years. Markie was
>> gone for the longest time. That pretty much left us with Lennie and
>> Brain. I see my exchanges with them as being somewhat cathartic.

I've heard that excuse before. Even used it myself on occasion.

>> So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the
>> ticket lapse?

Never been a ham. If you really want to know more about me just browse
the archives -- you might find it "somewhat cathartic".

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1969. Re: stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:04:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124147075.158895.231940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116368

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>Mark's subject line provides amusing irony:
> >>
> >>"stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted"
> >
> >
> > when you going to fullfill YOUR Promise Dave?
>
> Mark, even when your written English approaches coherency, I have little
> idea what you're talking about. What promise have I made you?

don't even bother to ememebr your word

You were going to answer the questions pput you in another thread
>
> Dave K8MN

## 1970. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:07:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124147244.826087.190760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116369

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>b.b. wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> >>>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >>>>
> >>>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
> >>>>
> >>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> >>>DXers.
> >>
> >>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
> >
> > David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
>
> It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
> operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
> years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
> hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
> looking silly on the non-issue now.

I'd rather not be in your shoes.

Your single supporter at the
> moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> convenience.

No supporters are needed, none solicited. That you take Steve's tact
and attempt to discredit Mark based upon his lifestyle says even more
about you than your perverse perception of proper amateur radio
operations.

> > I'm curious what it takes to
> > impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>
> My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid

> fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?

I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
QSO on 10M when you showed up.

> You were worked.

Indeed, you were worked.

> I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> treated courteously.

No doubt the out of band Frenchmen were treated courteously as well.

> If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.

Ditto the out of band Frenchmen.

> The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
> haven't a leg to stand on.
>
> Dave K8MN

Hi! It's "Hot-ham-and-cheese" and my legs work just fine. Thanks.

# 1971. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:08:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124147307.119112.128000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116370

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>cut
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Likewise.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>more of your cuting context
> >>>>>>>>>I don't realy care what you knew some time ago, your remarks TODAY show
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>you as a pretty unfeeling SoB

> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>And so that you think SOS signals are a subject for jokes , then you
> >>>>>>>>>are sick as well as unfeeling
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>In addition to coming across as a complete twit, Mark, you act like one
> >>>>>>>>afflicted with perpetual PMS.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>well if think that SOS is good subject for a joke well
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Oh no, Mark, I think that the very idea of you responding to an SOS sent
> >>>>>>in morse, is a good subject of a joke.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>then you are of course a sick bastard but we knew that
> >>>>
> >>>>As I understand it, you believe me to be sick for laughing at the very
> >>>>idea of someone even thinking of using a mode he claims not to be able
> >>>>to use. I find it more than a little amusing. It is your fantasy so
> >>>>feel free to modify it. I like the guy shouting "Ayday" too.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No stupid I think you are sick for being willing to ignore a distress
> >>>call if it were misent
> >>
> >>Don't try to make the scenario something altogether different. We were
> >>discussing *you* attempting to respond to a morse distress call. Now
> >>you want to shift things around so I'm ignoring a distress call.
> >
> >
> > The subject reactions to distress call yours and mine
>
> What?
>
> >
> >>>I think you are sick for laughing at the Fact I would try to help
> >>>someone in distresss
> >>
> >> From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> >>be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> >>of understanding what help was needed.
> >
> >
> > more of your pack of lies
>
> What lies, Mark?

your lies
>

> > You refuse to acept facts
>
> Which facts, Mark?

any facts would dp for a start
>
> > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
>
> Not for me, it isn't.

nor for me
>
> > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > data I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > Air to render more assistance than I can
>
> That's one of the most stupid things I've ever read.

Trying to help people is stupid

You are sick, but we knew that
>
> > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
>
> Sure, Mark. "OSOSOSO" and "ayday" to you.

more jokes about distress

very sick
>
> >>>>>>I think your expounding at length on my HF operations from any DX spot
> >>>>>>on the earth would make a good subject for a joke.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Just comenting on your own words
> >>>>
> >>>>"Commenting", Mark. You aren't commenting on my words. You know
> >>>>nothing of DXing and precious little of my operations on 6m or any other
> >>>>band.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I am comenting on your words
> >>>
> >>>Indeed all I have is your words

> >>>
> >>>and YOUR words make you look guilty
> >>
> >>Okay, Mark, I'll play your game. On which particular words of mine are
> >>you commenting?
> >
> >
> > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
>
> You said that you were commenting on my words. When I ask which words,
> you tell me that they are the words I haven't written. That's not logical.

more evasion

more refuseaul to answer the question put to you

Did you know when you were making the contact they were out of band

stop trying to duck the question

>
> >>
> >>>>>but then you don't respoibility for your actions
> >>>>
> >>>>I don't repoibility?
> >>>>
> >>>>I take full responsibility for my actions. My conscience is clear.
> >>>>I still think you're a twit.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Indeed I am sure you feel no guilt at helping other break the law
> >>
> >>I helped no others break any law unless you take the very peculiar and
> >>totally incorrect view that by merely showing up on a band, I enticed
> >>someone to break his country's regs. Others have attempted to correct
> >>your mistaken perception, but you've simply ignored them.
> >
> >
> > becuase you and all of them dance around the central quetion what did
> > you know and when did you know it
>
> I think you should arrange some sort of Senate Inquiry and we can all
> get to the bottom of this.

then of course you are either lying or realy stupid or both

>
> > If You only learned afterward they were out of band then Find I agree
> > you were in the clear. If you knew before or during then it is another
> > matter

>
> Perhaps you didn't understand what I wrote earlier. I don't care what
> you believe. Others have attempted to correct your erroneous thinking.
> Their attempts were in vain.
>
> > you dance and dance avoiding this question
>
> Now you have me dancing. Earlier, I was guilty because of silence.
> You're a twit.

silence on the issue dancing all around it

Answer the question asked
>
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1972. Re: More Evidence Of Steve's inability to handle a fact.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:12:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124147521.088040.16310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116372

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > >>Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
> > > >>me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
> > > >>Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
> > > >>his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
> > > >>have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
> > > >>broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Then again you admit the charge
> > >
> > > No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.
> >
> > of course there is
> >
> > The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
> > band
>
> "aisted"...?!?!
>
> He didn't "assist" anyone. He was in Tanzania.

David completed a circuit that was illegal.

But it's not that he unwittingly completed an illegal circuit, but that
he would knowingly do so again.

# 1973. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:12:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124147537.046070.16190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116371

All you EXTRA MORSEMEN ought to be PROUD of a fellow EXTRA, N9KKY, David G. Brink, now listed under WT Docket 05-235 in the ECFS as received on 8 August 2005 and added by the FCC on 15 August 2005. A one-page scrawled hand-printed and very shaky written name signature dated (by the sender) as 31 July 2005, a Sunday. No doubt that Sunday featured some slightly excessive imbibing prior to scrawling this magnificent missive.

The sender is age 46 with address given as Mount Prospect, IL, Cook County (Chicago is in Cook County). Not exactly near Indian Territories...perhaps he just got his reservations cancelled and is feeling angry? :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk...all you ARRL-fearing, morse-loving EXTRAS ought to feel sooooo PROUD to have one of your BRETHERN demonstrate their innate "superiority" in communicating their thoughts! Not to worry. The rest of you EXTRA morsemen can go right on making Oh So Much FUN out of all those "not like your noble-nesses" and picking on all with disabilities.

dis dat
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1974. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:14:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124147652.492226.302360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116373

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> >>>>>>>DXers.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
> >>>>>>operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
> >>>>>>years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
> >>>>>>hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
> >>>>>>looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the
> >>>>>>moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> >>>>>>convenience.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Slaped Silly?
> >>>>
> >>>>No, slapped silly.
> >>>>
> >>>>

> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>and more lie on your part
> >>>>
> >>>>Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
> >>>>me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
> >>>>Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
> >>>>his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
> >>>>have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
> >>>>broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Then again you admit the charge
> >>
> >>No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.
> >
> >
> > of course there is
>
> Oh, I get it. Brian Burke says something; you act like little Sir
> Incoherent Echo and there it is--a charge. To be brief, Brian is a twit
> and you are a twit apprentice.
>
> > The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
> > band
>
> You're several years short on information. Go to Google. Look up what
> has already been written. Come back when you have some facts.

In other words you refuse to answer

meaning you are mostly likely guilty

BTW you have more than 2000 posts in google, inclduing some sexualy
sugeestive flirting with a married women not you wife it seems, just
which of your many post are you reffering

I went looking can find you answering the question

You could have cleared this up with the Word Yes or NO but you refuse

Indeed you choose to type far more words than required evading the
answer
>
> >
> >>>Nothing has been to look absurd
> >>
> >>That's incorrect. Brian has been made to look absurd--but you're
> >>gaining on him.
> >
> >
> > not at all

>
> Yes, Mark, every bit of all.
>
> >
> >>>you are only making yourself lok more guilty
> >>
> >>Maybe you can explain how this can possibly be so.
> >
> >
> > I doubt it, since you are clearly uninterested.
>
> You have a point there. I am not very interested. I am, however, very
> interesting.

nope you are not very interting your post in the personals were more
intereting

>
> > But in evading the important points over and over again, you make your
> > self look guilty
>
> There aren't any important points, Mark.

just the one you refuse to answer

>
> >
> >>>I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply
> >>
> >>Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
> >>at all.
> >
> >
> > I have been paying attention. and it doesn't apply. since you just keep
> > ancing around the relavant issues
>
> I'm not "ancing" and there are no relevant issues.

sure are
>
> >
> >>>>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
> >>>>
> >>>>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
> >>>>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
> >>>>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>indeed a confession
> >>
> >>Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.
> >

> >
> > which is yet another evasion of the issue
>
> Which issue, Mark--the one Brian Burke periodically tries
> (unsuccessfully) to make stick or the one about Universal Pictures?

the issue that you refuse to answer
>
> > Attack rather than deal with the facts
>
> You have it screwed up, Colonel. Brian is attacking. You are
> assisting. Both of you are very short on facts.

and you could answer it with a smple yes or no but you refuse
>
> >
> >>
> >>>>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
> >>>>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> >>>>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> >>>>>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> >>>>>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> >>>>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>whish has what to do the subject
> >>>
> >>>which has nothing to do with the subject
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
> >>>>>>haven't a leg to stand on.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
> >>>>>out of there band
> >>>>
> >>>>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
> >>>>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
> >>>>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
> >>>>what you like.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW
> >>
> >>Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever
> >>goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.
> >

> >
> > and you want to believe you are in the wrong by refuseing to answer
> > basic questions
>
> I want to believe I am in the wrong? How absurd!
>
> > and you should not mind if you were telling the turth when you said
> > "Believe
> > what you like."
>
> It is pointless to attempt correcting a twit.
>
> > But obviously you do care, so why bother with such a lie
>
> See, you've done it again. You write "such a lie" when there is no
> evidence of a lie.
>
> > you clearly do care or you would not bother
>
> I have news for you, Mark. I don't care and I'm just about done with
> bothering.
>
> >>>Based on what you have said and what you refuse to say
> >>
> >>There you have it. If I say something, I'm guilty of something. If I
> >>don't say something, I'm still guilty.
> >
> >
> > you can try answering the question rather than avoiding it?
>
> I could, but I'll let you do some work and Google up the material
> instead. The research should keep you busy for a week or more.
>
> > That being did you know you were working a Fench ham out of their band?
>
> What you should be asking is: When I was running a pileup *in* my
> allocated band segment, was I ever, in any way responsible for the
> operations of any French radio amateurs?
>
> > you have been dancing around this post after post
>
> So you say--but you're a twit.
>
> >
> >
> >>You're a twit.
> >
> >
> > you are a coward, afraid to answer and yet still trying to bully
> > everyone into accepting your claims

>
> Brian made claims regarding me. Charge him with cowardice, being afraid
> and attempting to bully. I've had about enough of your silliness. If
> you said any of the things which you've just written in a face-to-face
> encounter with me, you'd have a sore nose and be sitting on the floor,
> shrieking that I'd attacked an innocent handicapped individual.
>
> >>>>>gee sounds like a cofession to me
> >>>>
> >>>>Izzat how they handle "cofessions" in your pagan flock?
> >>>
> >>>more bashing of others religion
> >>
> >>If you want to dance nekkid around some candles and a pentangle, fine.
> >>If you'd like to think of it as a religious service, fine. Happy
> >>Ramahannukwanzmas.
> >
> >
> > more mockery
>
> Yes, it is. You're one messed up doofus, Colonel.
>
> > only adds to weight of convincing that you KNOWINGLY worked folks out
> > of band
>
> That's interesting. You're a pagan, bisexual S&M freak who has
> repeatedly told whoppers about his military service. I laugh at you and
> that supposedly confirms some idiocy spouted by Brian Burke. You're no
> mental giant, Mark.
>
> > you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question
>
> Central question? It is no more central to my life than you are, Colonel.

more evasion and obfucation
>
> Dave K8MN

## 1975. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:15:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124147753.202001.65990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116374

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Remember that rules codified in Part 97 are explicit,
> > but "Poor Amateur Practice" covers operating practices
> > not codified.
>
> Last time I checked 5H1US (or 5H-anything) are not beholded to FCC/Part 97
> rules.

It would appear that he is not beholded to any particular set of rules.

## 1976. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:18:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124147916.370507.100880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116375

Dave Heil wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Dave:
> >
> > When you find a few others you also have trouble communicating with,
> > realize the problem is you, NOT everyone else--take a course in reading
> > comprehension!
>
> In r.r.a.p., there are a number of folks with limited abilities to
> convey their thoughts.

And there are some that play at being dumb, especially when found to
have made contacts with out of band French hams on 6M.

# 1977. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:22:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124148161.062228.271670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116376

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > Dave:
> > >
> > > When you find a few others you also have trouble communicating with,
> > > realize the problem is you, NOT everyone else--take a course in reading
> > > comprehension!
> >
> > In r.r.a.p., there are a number of folks with limited abilities to
> > convey their thoughts.
>
> And there are some that play at being dumb, especially when found to
> have made contacts with out of band French hams on 6M.

Brain for my own info. are you claiming in that Dave Knew when he made
the contacts that they were out of band, or has he never answered that
question at all

# 1978. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:45:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

Concerned citizen wrote:
> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen

It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
invasion and the Iraq invasion.

One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
interest.

One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
destruction.

One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
overruns.

One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.

One invasion of no national interest was applauded by the the left, the
other of vital interest.... is condemned.

# 1979. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:46:02 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_O9Me.20084$EX.12270@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 116381
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.15.22.17.25.584992@gmail.com...
> 1) Because he had appointed capable people to act in an emergency in his
> immediate absence.

But only he had the power to ok the taking down of the planes.

>
> 2) Because it was good to take time and show the enemy that all they
> managed was a slight annoyance.

Don't you wish those 9/11 families would see it your way?

>
> 3) Because he was right in the middle of things.

See Dick Run, See Jane run, see Dick and Jane run.

>
> 4) Because in the back of his mind, he was planning an appropiate response
> and he could plan there are well as anywhere else.

"Should I have steak or beef for dinner?"

>
> 5) Because he wanted people like you to wonder about it the rest of their
> lives, and keep asking...

Ahh, it doesn't take up any of my time.

>
> John
>
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005
> 22:07:43 +0000, FDR wrote:
>
>>
>> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
>> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>>
>>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>>
>>>

>>> Concerned Citizen
>>
>> I ask the same of GWB. Why did he sit there in a class room while
>> Americans
>> lives were on the line. A real leader would have taken charge.
>

# 1980. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:46:09 UTC
From: pollie <Lame@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <ddr9dv$nq1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116380
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.15.21.08.04.479425@gmail.com...

... only question is, "Why isn't clinton in prison for murder and
treason?"

... end of story ...

John

The Terrorists did the killing. Not Clinton. Nor did the poster state
Clinton did.
How many times was the United States attacked under Clinton's watch? 8? 9?
Who was President the FIRST time the World Trade Center was bombed?
Read it again.
...end of story...

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 15:55:01 -0500, Concerned citizen wrote:

> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen

# 1981. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:47:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124149669.761114.97010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116382

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders?
> >> We're apt to get
> >> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.
> >>
> > There's no one best way for everyone, but here's one idea:
> >
> > Demos. Show folks how it's done, rather than talking. This
> > can be
> > a display/talk/station setup at a hamfest, a club meeting
> > talk, a
> > website, or a magazine article, just for starters. Then
> > there's Field Day, a whole weekend of demos.

> The demo/display/talk at a hamfest is a good idea as this
> has a chance of
> getting those hams who don't belong to clubs.

One thing that generates interest is to see stuff
actually in use. The AM folks in these parts have
gotten into the idea of setting up a demo station
at big hamfests like Gaithersburg. They use classic
hollow-state gear, and show what both the gear and
the mode are like. Usually it's a group effort, so
the station is always on the air and nobody has
to bring a complete setup.

Another venue is specialized events like air shows.
Some military-radio collectors set up a demo and
display (with permission of course) and show the
radio gear in action.

> As far as
> websites go, there
> are already so many (some are very good) that I think
> additional websites
> may get lost in the crowd so to speak.

I think there's always room for more *good* online info.
The big challenge is making it easy to find.

> Our club already has a presentation
> at almost every meeting so at least our club is already covered > here.
>
> I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not
> involved with any
> groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over > the air
> could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in > a smooth transition.

That's a classic problem. But if the advice is offered the right
way, most folks will take it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1982. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:49:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GS9Me.6675$WD.5792@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116383

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 20:27:48 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <8V6Me.6589$WD.2774@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
>>>Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.
>>
>>I think you have it wrong, Frank.
>
>
>
> Oh really.....

Yep, really.

>
>
>> I believe the typical ham attitude
>>is: If you aren't a ham and persist in posting material about the
>>wonders of CB radio in an amateur radio group, *then* you're nobody.
>
>
>
> That's one of the difference between holy-hammies and CBers; the
> holy-hammies begin their statements with "I believe....." while the
> CBers start with "The facts are.....".

You're right. I've heard 'em do it--whether they have facts or not.

> And the facts are that his discussion began in -this- newsgroup with
> the thread "Emergency communications", where some CB-hating hams
> crossposted their highly subjective and speculative opinions to rrcb.
> I'm just here to set the record straight. If you don't want CBers in
> this newsgroup then quit crossposting your anti-CB garbage.

That's funny. I checked to see if your post had gone to other groups
before I responded. This was the only newsgroup indicated. There goes
your perfectly good rant.

Dave K8MN

# 1983. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:53:00 UTC
From: pollie <Lame@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <ddr9qp$pid$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116384
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"FDR" <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Pm8Me.18786$EX.14228@twister.nyroc.rr.com...

"Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen

I ask the same of GWB. Why did he sit there in a class room while Americans
lives were on the line. A real leader would have taken charge.

...
Well, that leaves Clinton out in the cold...

# 1984. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-15 23:53:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JV9Me.7026$Je.5655@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116385

Lennie,

Scroll down dipweed.

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124125515.153892.265180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>
>
>>That is my point Mike. Just because it is old....does not make it
>>useless.
>>I believe in the KISS method. And CW, in emergencies, is the easiest and
>>simplest thing to get running.
>>
>>No modems, no regulated power supplies, no computers. Just the basic
>>stuff.
>>
>>I was asked once by my Battalion commander while in the field in Germany.
>>( I was talking to the Feldburg 2m ham repeater while standing on top of
>>the
>>Command track). He asked how I could communicate with Frankfurt, and all
>>of
>>his radios could not......I said...."Well Sir, it takes two things to
>>communicate.....an operator on both ends"
>>
>>He ordered me to take my H/T every time we went to the field from then on.
>
> Tsk, tsk, highly UNlikely story for the 1972 times.
>

Unlikely you say? How is that ? Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in your
distorted mind?

> You'll have to be more clear on that location. "Feldburg" could
> be 'Feldberg' or even 'Frieberg' or 'Freiberg.' Was that in
> Bavaria or elsewhere?
>

The Feldburg Amateur Radio Repeater, located in the Frankfurt area. Our
normal field site was towards the Fulda Gap area.
>

>In 1980s, Feldberg-Schwarzwald was a
> troposcatter station from the north-central part of West
> Germany with relay to Italy; before that it was part of the LOS
> microwave multi-channel relay system.
>

This is the place, I remember the microwave site up there in the 70s.
Great place to play with my two meter Handi.

I could care less about the 1980s in Germany. You know full well I was
discussing the 70s time frame.

> Frankfurt was, may still be, USAEUR-Germany Hq and would be
> roughly 150 miles north of Feldberg-Schwarzwald (I don't have a
> good map of the FRG at the moment). Bavaria borders Austria
> and Switzerland as well as part of East Germany; in 1972 the
> German unification was still distant in time. It is HIGHLY
> DOUBTFUL that there would be: 1. Ham HTs good enough or
> inexpensive enough for a GI to get (even with NCO and overseas
> pay) in 1972;
>
A Handi Talky made by Standard Communications was available, its
nomenclature was SRC-146A. It ran about 2 watts output using 10 Nickle
Cadium 1.35 volt cells. All this into either a telescoping whip or a rubber
duckie. You could, of course, also use standard AA cell alkaline if you
wanted to. It had five (5) crystal controlled channels, for a total of 10
crystals required.

The German repeaters ALL operated with a "whistle up" tone access. Just
whistle it up and start to talk. Of course a Amateur Radio license was
required. And a German call. Mine was DA2LJ.
>

2. Permission to USE one IN THE FIELD, certainly
> on a "command track" (field Hq vehicle) that close to
> unfriendly territory.
>

Not a problem at all. I showed my CO the German License, my US License,
and my MARS license. And after he seen it in action, he told me to KEEP
bringing it.

Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.

> "Command tracks" (field Hq-Commo vehicles), presumably the
> kind with all tracks and not wheels, but the name applies
>

I forget the nomenclature of the "Command Track" but it was basically a
double decker M-113 carrier.

It was big enough for lots of radios and several troops.

Being the ranking NCO I was also the track commander. Giving me the
"pleasure" to ride with my helmeted and usually masked head out of the hatch
to guide the driver.
>

> to the fitted Bradleys of the 80s and 90s...all had lots
> of radios...and antennas, that making them easier for
> "other-side" recon units to spot. In 1972 the radios
> normally in such field Hq-Commo vehicles could hack
> up to 300 miles on NVIS cloud-burner bounce; the technique
> was already known then (Rommel's Afrika Corps knew about
> it in 1942 and that is documented). I can't believe an
> armor unit has been rendered as radio-SILENT as you say,
> not even with 1972-era radios in the U.S. Army.
>
There it is guys, Lennie showing not his ignorance, but his stupidity. He
sits there in front of his keys and decides everything based on incorrect
assessments. What a world class dumbass.

Do you remember the Vietnam War Lennie? The US Army in Europe was at the
bottom of the list on new goodies. You can figure that out, right? Now
let me address your ignorance further;

USAEUR was assigned blocks of frequencies by the German government. Some
not in the best spots. A local commo officer then determined which ones to
use at what time and on what day/night. Normally these comm officers had no
knowledge of things like "skip", NVIS, or groundwave. Not to mention
broadcast QRM (Short Wave). So it was a shot in the dark if any frequency
chosen would actually work for the distance, time, day/night that it was to
be used.

For some reason the comsec officers liked to put us in the 2 Mhz range at
night, and the 7 as an alternate. 2 would work, but for the way to short
whips. And no we never bother to deploy the GRA-50s.....you DO know what
they are don't you?

The 7mhz was eat up with broadcast QRM, so forget it. And the 2 never got
out of the woods.

The reality of it was this; Using 100 watts output on RTTY (RATT in Army
Speak) into a 15' metal whip and sitting in the GAP you were damn lucky to
make commo with your units, especially at night. Sometimes you got lucky.
Not like today I am sure.

The most reliable commo was usually on the 40 mhz range FM VRC-12 units.
Range was extended by deploying "radio relay teams" to hilltops to act as a
manual repeater.

RATT Short Wave Commo was spotty to say the least.

Believe it or not...I was there...you were NOT.
>

> Sorry, but use of REPEATER techniques, particularly UN-
> manned repeaters, was pioneered by the U.S. Army in Italy
> in late 1943, then used in France and Germany 1944-1945,
> starting with the SCR-300, the VHF FM Voice manpack called
> the "Walkie-Talkie." There's a specific repeater
> connector on the front panel of every BC-1000 ever made
> just for that purpose...designed-in by Signal Corps
> request when the contract was signed with Motorola. With
> REPEATERS, those can very easily operate WOTHOUT operators
> "operating" things (taking any active part in the repeating
> process of the radios). Repeaters are DESIGNED to operate
> unattended.
>

None of which was available to any of the ARMY units I was with in Germany.
And what you said has NOTHING to do with what I said. AMATEUR RADIO
REPEATER OF FELDBURG. At least TRY to stay on topic Loser.

They were as follows; 23rd Engineer Battalion, Hanau FRG (Federal Republic
of Germany)

1st Squadron, 11th Armored Cavalery Regiment, Fulda, FRG.

143rd Signal Battalion, Frankfurt, FRG.
>

> 1972 was THIRTY THREE years ago. By all appearances you've
> done considerable "editing" of the ACTUAL FACTS surrounding
> your field commo adventure. MANUFACTURING the story is more
> likely.
>
> nah non
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

And it is obvious to anyone that bothers to check what I say that you are
the liar and not I.

Dan/W4NTI

Air Force Specialty Code: 29350
(Ground Radio Operator)
1964 to 1968

US Army Military Occupational Specialty: 05C40 (RATT Team Chief/CW
Qualified)
Secondary MOS: 31V20 (Tactical Systems Oper/Mech
1971 to 1979

# 1985. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:02:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.00.02.29.375868@gmail.com>
Source record: 116309
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

FDR:

You asked why, now you want to tell me you are unhappy? Well, guess what?
The people in hell want ice water too...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 23:46:02 +0000, FDR wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.15.22.17.25.584992@gmail.com...
>> 1) Because he had appointed capable people to act in an emergency in his
>> immediate absence.
>
> But only he had the power to ok the taking down of the planes.
>
>>
>> 2) Because it was good to take time and show the enemy that all they
>> managed was a slight annoyance.
>
> Don't you wish those 9/11 families would see it your way?
>
>>
>> 3) Because he was right in the middle of things.
>
> See Dick Run, See Jane run, see Dick and Jane run.
>
>>
>> 4) Because in the back of his mind, he was planning an appropiate response
>> and he could plan there are well as anywhere else.
>
> "Should I have steak or beef for dinner?"
>
>>
>> 5) Because he wanted people like you to wonder about it the rest of their
>> lives, and keep asking...
>
> Ahh, it doesn't take up any of my time.
>
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005

>> 22:07:43 +0000, FDR wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
>>> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>>>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>>>
>>>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>>>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Concerned Citizen
>>>
>>> I ask the same of GWB. Why did he sit there in a class room while
>>> Americans
>>> lives were on the line. A real leader would have taken charge.
>>

# 1986. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:03:18 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a3aMe.20311$EX.12385@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 116386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Concerned citizen wrote:
>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>
>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>
>>
>> Concerned Citizen
>
> It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
> invasion and the Iraq invasion.
>
> One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
> interest.

One had no oil and the other oil. I see where you are going.

>
> One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
> destruction.

And those rumors of genocide were found to be true. The mass graves confirm
that.

>
> One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
> the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
> overruns.
>
> One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
> states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
>
> One invasion of no national interest was applauded by the the left, the
> other of vital interest.... is condemned.

The one with no vital interest had wide support across many countries. The
one with the vital interest (oil) had a couple of countries (of meaning)
supporting it.

# 1987. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:06:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.00.06.00.632633@gmail.com>
Source record: 116396
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Pollie:

Huh???

I am speaking of Vince Foster and the six others close to clinton, only common denominator, they were all involved in clintons' financial affairs... google it...

But, you are correct, his policies should be examined to see if he committed any criminal negligence while he was in office which is tied to 911...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 18:46:09 -0500, pollie wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.15.21.08.04.479425@gmail.com...
> 
> ... only question is, "Why isn't clinton in prison for murder and
> treason?"
> 
> ... end of story ...
> 
> 
> John
> 
> 
> The Terrorists did the killing. Not Clinton. Nor did the poster state
> Clinton did.
> How many times was the United States attacked under Clinton's watch? 8? 9?
> Who was President the FIRST time the World Trade Center was bombed?
> Read it again.
> ...end of story...
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 15:55:01 -0500, Concerned citizen wrote:

>
>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>
>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>
>>
>> Concerned Citizen

# 1988. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:08:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.00.08.03.990192@gmail.com>
Source record: 116390

N2EY:

Gee, and we wonder why kids raised with computers and state-of-the-art
communications are not turned on...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 16:47:49 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >> How about the best way to "elmer" the flood of upgraders?
>> >> We're apt to get
>> >> a bunch all at once before it levels out once again.
>> >>
>> > There's no one best way for everyone, but here's one idea:
>> >
>> > Demos. Show folks how it's done, rather than talking. This
>> > can be
>> > a display/talk/station setup at a hamfest, a club meeting
>> > talk, a
>> > website, or a magazine article, just for starters. Then
>> > there's Field Day, a whole weekend of demos.
>
>> The demo/display/talk at a hamfest is a good idea as this
>> has a chance of
>> getting those hams who don't belong to clubs.
>
> One thing that generates interest is to see stuff
> actually in use. The AM folks in these parts have
> gotten into the idea of setting up a demo station
> at big hamfests like Gaithersburg. They use classic
> hollow-state gear, and show what both the gear and
> the mode are like. Usually it's a group effort, so
> the station is always on the air and nobody has
> to bring a complete setup.
>
> Another venue is specialized events like air shows.
> Some military-radio collectors set up a demo and
> display (with permission of course) and show the
> radio gear in action.

>
>> As far as
>> websites go, there
>> are already so many (some are very good) that I think
>> additional websites
>> may get lost in the crowd so to speak.
>
> I think there's always room for more *good* online info.
> The big challenge is making it easy to find.
>
>> Our club already has a presentation
>> at almost every meeting so at least our club is already covered > here.
>>
>> I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not
>> involved with any
>> groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over > the air
>> could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in > a smooth transition.
>
> That's a classic problem. But if the advice is offered the right
> way, most folks will take it.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1989. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:17:32 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <h4c2g1hdlmuedur2jcrnqlu7m9udo9qfd2@4ax.com>
Source record: 116387
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

On 15 Aug 2005 15:55:01 -0500, Concerned citizen <cc@lar.ge> wrote:

>
>Dear Bill Clinton,
>
>Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
>Concerned Citizen

http://emperors-clothes.com/articles/jared/sudan.html#w

# 1990. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:23:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124151797.012913.117650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116388

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>b.b. wrote:
>> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>b.b. wrote:

>> >>>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
>> >>>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
>> >>>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?

[tsk, tsk, davie no answer da question again...calls names...]

>> >>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
>> >>>DXers.
>
>> >>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
>
>> > David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
>
>> It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
>> operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
>> years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
>> hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
>> looking silly on the non-issue now.
>
>I'd rather not be in your shoes.

They are probably way too big and Desenex wouldn't make them
safer...

>>Your single supporter at the
>> moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
>> convenience.
>
>No supporters are needed, none solicited.

davie needs no supporter. A Truss, for sure, but no athletic
supporter. Or maybe an operation is needed due to the hernia
caused by lifting all those heavy insults onto the newsgroup.

> That you take Steve's tact
>and attempt to discredit Mark based upon his lifestyle says even more
>about you than your perverse perception of proper amateur radio

>operations.

Brian, I disagree. davie was doing nastygrams BEFORE Stebie da
wundermurine showed up in here.

>> > I'm curious what it takes to
>> > impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>
>> My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>> fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>
>I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
>QSO on 10M when you showed up.
>
>> You were worked.
>
>Indeed, you were worked.

It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
Brian...all for just one contact. :-)

>> I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
>> treated courteously.
>
>No doubt the out of band Frenchmen were treated courteously as well.

Pity that "courtesy" doesn't manifest itself in OFF-air
behavior...

Of course, one must take into account davie's perception of
"courtesy"...being nice to folks who agree with him... :-)

But, the question remains: If davie has "no memory of those
QSOs," how can he state positively that he had FOUR with you?
Little inconsistences like that paint him dubious...a good
color for him but vague and shifting when the light hits it.

>> If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
>> enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
>
>Ditto the out of band Frenchmen.

Maybe he got franking privileges being a Botswanna-bee in
the Embassy?

>> The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
>> haven't a leg to stand on.
>
>Hi! It's "Hot-ham-and-cheese" and my legs work just fine. Thanks.

Tsk, tsk. "The plain and simple fact" is that davie just can't
Play Well With Others who are below the sight-line of his
imperial nose. Now, if he wore another monocle with that black
geheime volkspolizei uniform (rented from Eastern Costume Co.,

NYC), he might see his way clear...

davie is like the subject of the ancient Chinese proverb by Confusion: "Man with one-track mind often have train of thought de-railed."

Let's all cheer up davie. We can pool our spare change and buy him a can of cashew nuts imported from Guinea-Bisseau!

nut can
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1991. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:25:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124151900.853997.14900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116389

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

cut
> I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not involved with any
> groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over the air
> could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in a smooth
> transition.

A smooth transition? I don't know who you think is expecting that,
certainly not any Techs I know, they are expecting anything but smooth.
most are expecting to be insulted and put down , and then told how to
operate

I am marginaly involved in the local club the only tech class they see
on a regular basis, and it is clear by how certain folks suddenly stop
talking whne I wander near (with sharper ears than most, I had to get
something to compendsate for my lousy writing) it is clear the biggest
barriar to a "smooth" transition is most likely the folks already on HF

Some techs are cluessless and need hand holding (as do some extras,
general etc) most that have stuck arround a bit are pretty
resuourcefull and fairly pataint
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1992. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:55:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <teWdnRJEatzxpJzeRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116391

Dee Flint wrote:
> <phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
> news:ddq7fk02iq6@news2.newsguy.com...
>
>>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:20:07 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>| How?
>>
>>| How?
>>
>>| How?
>>
>>| How?
>>
>>| How?
>>
>>| How?
>>
>>| How?
>>
>>Remind me not to hire you in a job that requires figuring things out.
>>
>
>
> I was poking fun at the person who says we must do such and so without
> offering any solutions of his own. He's trying to throw it "over the fence"
> to some one else to solve. When I identify problems, I come to the table
> with several possible solutions to debate and welcome input from all
> participants. But I do not particularly care for the approach of some one
> saying "its a problem but YOU do the work."
>
>
>>| These principals are all well and good but propose some specific,
>>concrete
>>| actions.
>>
>>Don't expect the directions, and the means to get there, to always come
>>from
>>the same person. First decide where you are going. That in itself may be
>>a
>>long complex decision process. Then decide how to get there. If you find
>>you can't get there, then go back and decide on another place to go.
>>

>
>
> I do not have any such expectations. He has identified problems that not
> everyone agrees are problems and is "dumping" them in the laps of everyone
> else to solve.

Talk is quite inexpensive. To point out what the problem is, and with
no solutions - or offers to enact solutions is great work if you can get it.

I worked with a guy once who used to say "My position in life is to
point out the shortcomings of others." And he was serious.

>
>>| I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different
>>| people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.
>>
>>And why not hear them all out?
>>
>
>
> No problem with hearing them out but when you have ten different and quite
> possibly opposing expectations, needs, and wants there is no way that
> everyone is going to be satisfied with the results. This is demonstrated on
> an almost daily basis.

Yup. When I was the prez of the youth hockey league, there were several
times when I was pulled aside by both sets of parents in disputes with
threats to sue if my decision didn't go their way.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1993. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 00:57:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.00.57.31.701759@gmail.com>
Source record: 116397

AOF:

You know, you have a point there. To tell you the truth, the full impact
just brought me awake alike a slap in the face!

Those ancient HF's with their fondness for mimicking pseudo-military
communications, dreaming of saving-the-world-with-a-brass-key and
using army protocols are going to wage a war like
all-hell-has-broke-loose. And, while providing some entertainment, is
likely to result in complete chaos!

May take a decade just for the flack to clear the air...

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 17:25:00 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> cut
>> I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not involved with any
>> groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over the air
>> could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in a smooth
>> transition.
>
> A smooth transition? I don't know who you think is expecting that,
> certainly not any Techs I know, they are expecting anything but smooth.
> most are expecting to be insulted and put down , and then told how to
> operate
>
> I am marginaly involved in the local club the only tech class they see
> on a regular basis, and it is clear by how certain folks suddenly stop
> talking whne I wander near (with sharper ears than most, I had to get
> something to compendsate for my lousy writing) it is clear the biggest
> barriar to a "smooth" transition is most likely the folks already on HF
>
> Some techs are cluessless and need hand holding (as do some extras,
> general etc) most that have stuck arround a bit are pretty
> resuourcefull and fairly pataint
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1994. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 01:01:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hMOdnZJoUaBRp5zeRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116392

phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:20:07 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> | How?
>
> Remind me not to hire you in a job that requires figuring things out.
>
>
> | These principals are all well and good but propose some specific, concrete
> | actions.
>
> Don't expect the directions, and the means to get there, to always come from
> the same person.

That is correct. The ability to point out a problem is in no way related
to the ability to do something about it.

> First decide where you are going. That in itself may be a
> long complex decision process. Then decide how to get there. If you find
> you can't get there, then go back and decide on another place to go.
>
>
> | I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different
> | people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.
>
> And why not hear them all out?

I don't know about you, but when I deal with people who consistently
point out problems, and don't offer any solutions, I end up listening to
the people who point out problems, and then DO something about them.
It's a lot easier. Not to mention it works.

The all problems no solutions people sometimes seem just like people who want to grouse.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1995. Re: IEEE C95.1-1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 01:11:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <w4OdnSEJXIDJoJzeRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116393

an_old_friend wrote:
> ralph wrote:
>
>>Hi all,
>>
>>I will like assistance in understanding some of the number in C95.1,
>>especially on MPE.
>>
>>In most cases for the controlled environment, the averaging timing is 6
>>minutes. So does it meant that we can only expose ourselves for 6
>>minutes?
>
>
> No in simple terms
>
> It is a reminder that RF exposure is not guaraunteed safe (various
> folks are argueing about the what all the data means

I always wondered if excessive RF exposure was what made so many Hams
so cranky? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 1996. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 01:17:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.01.17.50.665165@gmail.com>
Source record: 116395

Mike:

Then, where some have pointed out CW is a problem, and then they form NCI
and go right on and change it much gain your respect and support, mine too!

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 21:01:01 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 18:20:07 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> | How?
>>
>> Remind me not to hire you in a job that requires figuring things out.
>>
>>
>> | These principals are all well and good but propose some specific, concrete
>> | actions.
>>
>> Don't expect the directions, and the means to get there, to always come from
>> the same person.
>
> That is correct. The ability to point out a problem is in no way related
> to the ability to do something about it.
>
>
>> First decide where you are going. That in itself may be a
>> long complex decision process. Then decide how to get there. If you find
>> you can't get there, then go back and decide on another place to go.
>>
>>
>> | I seriously doubt if the course will be all that clear. Ten different

>> | people are going to have ten different needs and ideas.
>>
>> And why not hear them all out?
>
> I don't know about you, but when I deal with people who consistently
> point out problems, and don't offer any solutions, I end up listening to
> the people who point out problems, and then DO something about them.
> It's a lot easier. Not to mention it works.
>
> The all problems no solutions people sometimes seem just like people
> who want to grouse.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 1997. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 01:20:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124155236.426241.317100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Concerned citizen wrote:
> > Dear Bill Clinton,
> >
> > Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> > America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> >
> >
> > Concerned Citizen
>
> It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
> invasion and the Iraq invasion.
>
> One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
> interest.
>
> One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
> destruction.
>
> One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
> the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
> overruns.
>
> One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
> states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.

A coment about the land fromerly making the nation of Yugoslavia

2 of the nations Serbia and Montenegro had been independant state when
jioned into Yugoslavia, Croatia, had been egeaged in effort to achieve
its fredom from the KaKa as the Hapsburg empire was known when
Yugoslavia, was formed Macedonain had been part of the Turskish empire
til it was thrown into the mix So Yugoslavie born aprox 1919 of peices
of 4 prewar nations died in the 1980's by spining it various parts,
back apart again
>
> One invasion of no national interest was applauded by the the left, the
> other of vital interest.... is condemned.

# 1998. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:03:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1KqdnfYT7arh1JzeRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 116398

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.01.17.50.665165@gmail.com...
> Mike:
>
> Then, where some have pointed out CW is a problem, and then they form NCI
> and go right on and change it much gain your respect and support, mine
> too!
>
> John
>
>

That is exactly what I am talking about. They did something besides just talk. Although I did not and do not agree with their particular goal nor do I support their goal, they have my respect for actually working on their issue.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1999. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:07:06 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eTbMe.21927$EX.6384@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 116399
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.00.06.00.632633@gmail.com...
> Pollie:
>
> Huh???
>
> I am speaking of Vince Foster and the six others close to clinton, only
> common denominator, they were all involved in clintons' financial
> affairs... google it...
>
> But, you are correct, his policies should be examined to see if he
> committed any criminal negligence while he was in office which is tied to
> 911...

Why don't you all just hire another special prosecutor again?

>
> John
>
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 18:46:09 -0500, pollie wrote:
>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.15.21.08.04.479425@gmail.com...
>>
>> ... only question is, "Why isn't clinton in prison for murder and
>> treason?"
>>
>> ... end of story ...
>>
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>> The Terrorists did the killing. Not Clinton. Nor did the poster state
>> Clinton did.
>> How many times was the United States attacked under Clinton's watch? 8?
>> 9?
>> Who was President the FIRST time the World Trade Center was bombed?
>> Read it again.
>> ...end of story...
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 15:55:01 -0500, Concerned citizen wrote:
>>
>>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>>
>>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>>
>>>
>>> Concerned Citizen
>

## 2000. K1man Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:08:12 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1tu7cu@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1tu7cu@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 116306

Don't know where the dude gets his info, but there's a ton of
documentation here...

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/

--
John Johnston

# 2001. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:13:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.02.13.03.922614@gmail.com>
Source record: 116300

Dee:

Yes. I agree, and now we must do our part and bring the word that there will not be a element 1. And, help those with an interest in radio to the amateur bands. But, this all depends on no code, so there is a lag while we wait.

It doesn't take much to do a lot, every amateur bring one new licensee in, we double the numbers, two we triple, etc.

Frankly, it is quite exciting! But, it would probably be too optimistic to expect everyone to participate, some may be "sour grapes" and drag their feet, leaving some to do more...

The club here is dominated by "save the code" types, I am not popular there at all and some just avoid me. Hopefully, this will change... probably not, my hide is thick. <grin>

John

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 22:03:19 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.16.01.17.50.665165@gmail.com...
>> Mike:
>>
>> Then, where some have pointed out CW is a problem, and then they form NCI
>> and go right on and change it much gain your respect and support, mine
>> too!
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
> That is exactly what I am talking about. They did something besides just
> talk. Although I did not and do not agree with their particular goal nor do
> I support their goal, they have my respect for actually working on their
> issue.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2002. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:26:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.02.26.05.147045@gmail.com>
Source record: 116302
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

FDR:

Don't think that will happen!

No, america just takes a black eye, holds its' head high and proceeds,
hopefully not to make the same mistake twice--about the best which can be
done... evil often wins, unless good is awfully careful...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 02:07:06 +0000, FDR wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.16.00.06.00.632633@gmail.com...
>> Pollie:
>>
>> Huh???
>>
>> I am speaking of Vince Foster and the six others close to clinton, only
>> common denominator, they were all involved in clintons' financial
>> affairs... google it...
>>
>> But, you are correct, his policies should be examined to see if he
>> committed any criminal negligence while he was in office which is tied to
>> 911...
>
> Why don't you all just hire another special prosecutor again?
>
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 18:46:09 -0500, pollie wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:pan.2005.08.15.21.08.04.479425@gmail.com...
>>>
>>> ... only question is, "Why isn't clinton in prison for murder and
>>> treason?"
>>>
>>> ... end of story ...
>>>
>>>

>>> John
>>>
>>>
>>> The Terrorists did the killing. Not Clinton. Nor did the poster state
>>> Clinton did.
>>> How many times was the United States attacked under Clinton's watch? 8?
>>> 9?
>>> Who was President the FIRST time the World Trade Center was bombed?
>>> Read it again.
>>> ...end of story...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 15:55:01 -0500, Concerned citizen wrote:
>>>
>>>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>>>
>>>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>>>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Concerned Citizen
>>

# 2003. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:40:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umcMe.4615$j21.2995@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 116301
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen

I love it. The Repooblicans screw up royally and keep spinning into "blame the Democrats".

ROTFLMAO

How's the price of gas?
Hear a big flushing sound as jobs disappear?
Ever wonder why 9 of the 13 hijackers were Saudi's and yet Bin Laden's relatives were allowed a flight out after 9-11 when the air space was shut down?
Ever think how much Bush might have invested in common with the Saudi's?

OMG, this is *way* too much.

Don't you love the unbalanced budget? Much better than a balanced budget with Clinton. What Clinton did in the Oval Office was nothing compared to G. W. B. and company.

Trust me; history is not going to be kind to Bush. Assuming our descendents are still here, of course.

ZBM-2

Jim AA2QA

# 2004. Re: IEEE C95.1-1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:47:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124160430.552525.70800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116303

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > ralph wrote:
> >
> >>Hi all,
> >>
> >>I will like assistance in understanding some of the number in C95.1,
> >>especially on MPE.
> >>
> >>In most cases for the controlled environment, the averaging timing is 6
> >>minutes. So does it meant that we can only expose ourselves for 6
> >>minutes?
> >
> >
> > No in simple terms
> >
> > It is a reminder that RF exposure is not guaraunteed safe (various
> > folks are argueing about the what all the data means
>
> I always wondered if excessive RF exposure was what made so many Hams
> so cranky? ;^)

I have as well, esp with older hams being more cranky by and large, and
older rigs hand builts rigs likely to be less sheilded than our newer
rigs
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 2005. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:47:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.02.47.31.228345@gmail.com>
Source record: 116304
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Jim:

You are most likely right about bush. I big money, corporate superpowers and those otherwise keeping pockets full are the real bosses. They play us for dummies.

What in your personal life got better under clinton? What in your personal life got better under bush?

Do you really think I hold hope in any man they stick in there? It is the people who have to stand up to the plate and control their employees. Would help by grabbing a couple, investigating what is obvious and toss them into prison if they are committing crimes against american citizens. I think many are thinking on how to do this, frankly, I feel kind of powerless.

About the best I can do is acknowledge there is something rotten going on... and a general knowledge that "something-ain't-right" just isn't good enough... be a help if we could just make the news start doing their job again... telling the truth...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 02:40:26 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>
>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>
>>
>> Concerned Citizen
>
>
> I love it. The Repooblicans screw up royally and keep spinning into "blame
> the Democrats".
>
> ROTFLMAO
>
> How's the price of gas?
> Hear a big flushing sound as jobs disappear?

> Ever wonder why 9 of the 13 hijackers were Saudi's and yet Bin Laden's
> relatives were allowed a flight out after 9-11 when the air space was shut
> down?
> Ever think how much Bush might have invested in common with the Saudi's?
>
> OMG, this is *way* too much.
>
> Don't you love the unbalanced budget? Much better than a balanced budget
> with Clinton. What Clinton did in the Oval Office was nothing compared to
> G. W. B. and company.
>
> Trust me; history is not going to be kind to Bush. Assuming our descendents
> are still here, of course.
>
>
> ZBM-2
>
> Jim AA2QA

## 2006. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 02:53:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124160780.685023.51800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116305

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.16.01.17.50.665165@gmail.com...
> > Mike:
> >
> > Then, where some have pointed out CW is a problem, and then they form NCI
> > and go right on and change it much gain your respect and support, mine
> > too!
> >
> > John
> >
> >
>
> That is exactly what I am talking about. They did something besides just
> talk. Although I did not and do not agree with their particular goal nor do
> I support their goal, they have my respect for actually working on their
> issue.

As One of them over the years I thank you for your gracious words. They
have been rare from the "Other Side"

I point out the the Nocoder took the Treaty and FCC and won now we just
have to deal with HF, forgive me if it this flip but I think HF will
pose an easier task.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2007. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 03:30:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124163018.433825.99390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116307

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:53 pm

>Lennie,
>
>Scroll down dipweed.

Now, now, you are NOT Playing Well With Others, lil Dannie. :-)

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> >Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>>That is my point Mike. Just because it is old....does not make it useless.
>>>I believe in the KISS method. And CW, in emergencies, is the easiest and
>>>simplest thing to get running.
>
>>>No modems, no regulated power supplies, no computers. Just the basic stuff.
>
>>>I was asked once by my Battalion commander while in the field in Germany.
>>>( I was talking to the Feldburg 2m ham repeater while standing on top of the
>>>Command track). He asked how I could communicate with Frankfurt, and all of
>>>his radios could not......I said...."Well Sir, it takes two things to
>>>communicate.....an operator on both ends"
>
>>>He ordered me to take my H/T every time we went to the field from then on.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, highly UNlikely story for the 1972 times.
>
>Unlikely you say? How is that ?

Playing with ham radios while on field maneauvers of a U.S. Army
armor unit? For "Army business?" HIGHLY UNLIKELY!!!

> Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
>have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in your
>distorted mind?

Take a trank, Dannie Disabled, settle down.

I realize that YOU think ham radio is super-secret stuff which
can't be revealed to the outside world, but that is NOT so.
But, you are totally confusing ARMY communications with your
ham hobby activies and that is NOT good for the U.S. Army.

>> You'll have to be more clear on that location. "Feldburg" could
>> be 'Feldberg' or even 'Frieberg' or 'Freiberg.' Was that in
>> Bavaria or elsewhere?
>
>The Feldburg Amateur Radio Repeater, located in the Frankfurt area. Our
>normal field site was towards the Fulda Gap area.

To your credit you got a bit closer geographically. Just try to
remember that not ALL who read in here have traveled in Germany.

The "berg" in a German location name is generally referring to a
a mountain (or large hill) while the "burg" in a German location
name denotes a town or city (e.g., "Hamburg").

>> In 1980s, Feldberg-Schwarzwald was a
>> troposcatter station from the north-central part of West
>> Germany with relay to Italy; before that it was part of the LOS
>> microwave multi-channel relay system.
>
>This is the place, I remember the microwave site up there in the 70s.
>Great place to play with my two meter Handi.

With absolute freedom of hobby enjoyment from your battalion's
signal officer, no doubt...no interference checking or even
M.I. checking to see if you were communicating something you
shouldn't have to the "other side?"

>I could care less about the 1980s in Germany. You know full well I was
>discussing the 70s time frame.

Tsk, I wasn't shifting time (Miccolis does that). There has been
considerable Signal activity in Germany ever since the Occupation
and I've seen several eras' plans of USAEUR communications from
1945 on through Ace High to the reunification of Germany.

>> Frankfurt was, may still be, USAEUR-Germany Hq and would be
>> roughly 150 miles north of Feldberg-Schwarzwald (I don't have a
>> good map of the FRG at the moment). Bavaria borders Austria
>> and Switzerland as well as part of East Germany; in 1972 the
>> German unification was still distant in time. It is HIGHLY
>> DOUBTFUL that there would be: 1. Ham HTs good enough or
>> inexpensive enough for a GI to get (even with NCO and overseas
>> pay) in 1972;
>
>A Handi Talky made by Standard Communications was available, its
>nomenclature was SRC-146A.

That must have been its CIVILIAN model designation. The "SCR"
nomenclature ceased about 1945 along with the "BC" specific
item nomenclature. No doubt you will say "the Army bought it
for you?" :-)

>The German repeaters ALL operated with a "whistle up" tone access. Just
>whistle it up and start to talk. Of course a Amateur Radio license was

>required. And a German call. Mine was DA2LJ.

Did you communicate Army information over a German CIVILIAN
amateur radio repeater? [M.I. wants to know...]

>> on a "command track" (field Hq vehicle) that close to
>> unfriendly territory.
>
>Not a problem at all. I showed my CO the German License, my US License,
>and my MARS license. And after he seen it in action, he told me to KEEP
>bringing it.

Right...and your "CO" never checked up on that, ever? ASA
didn't check up on you? [ASA was still in existance in 1972]

>Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
>BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I was NEVER in Bavaria in the 1970s. But, I was
IN the U.S. Army in the 1950s and as a civilian engineer working
for civilian contractors engaged in Department of Defense contract
work from the late 1950s on through to 1989. Dannie Disabled,
I've had a bit MORE investigation by the U.S. government on
SECURITY matters (including background checks for Top Secret
and "Q") for the simple reason that I've been around longer than
you have. Don't try this Tuff Guy bullshit with me.

>> "Command tracks" (field Hq-Commo vehicles), presumably the
>> kind with all tracks and not wheels, but the name applies
>
>I forget the nomenclature of the "Command Track" but it was basically a
>double decker M-113 carrier.
>
>It was big enough for lots of radios and several troops.
>
>Being the ranking NCO I was also the track commander. Giving me the
>"pleasure" to ride with my helmeted and usually masked head out of the hatch
>to guide the driver.

Wow, real Tuff Guy stuff. I'll bet you tell some dandy "field
action stories" down at the Legion hall.

>There it is guys, Lennie showing not his ignorance, but his stupidity. He
>sits there in front of his keys and decides everything based on incorrect
>assessments. What a world class dumbass.

Score another "doesn't play well with others" on Dannie Disabled's
record jacket.

>Do you remember the Vietnam War Lennie? The US Army in Europe was at the
>bottom of the list on new goodies. You can figure that out, right? Now
>let me address your ignorance further;

I remember ALL the wars the United States had since 1941. I was alive all that time. After 1960 my military service obligation was over when I received my Honorable Discharge from the United States Army. Good and bad...my employer could now send me on field engineer assignments, unworried that I would be suddenly mobilized.

>USAEUR was assigned blocks of frequencies by the German government. Some
>not in the best spots. A local commo officer then determined which ones to
>use at what time and on what day/night. Normally these comm officers had no
>knowledge of things like "skip", NVIS, or groundwave. Not to mention
>broadcast QRM (Short Wave). So it was a shot in the dark if any frequency
>chosen would actually work for the distance, time, day/night that it was to
>be used.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You should have reported all that to your Armor unit CO and had that investigated!!! [but, with your ham licenses, you "knew more" than any damn commissioned ossifers did, dint ya?]

>For some reason the comsec officers liked to put us in the 2 Mhz range at
>night, and the 7 as an alternate. 2 would work, but for the way to short
>whips. And no we never bother to deploy the GRA-50s.....you DO know what
>they are don't you?

I can look them up. :-) NOT being IN the Army in the '70s, I didn't know one HAD TO KNOW EVERYTHING and keep it in mind forever! :-)

>The 7mhz was eat up with broadcast QRM, so forget it. And the 2 never got
>out of the woods.

You claim that "all you had" was leftovers for equipment in the 1970s. Tsk, tsk, "leftovers" from the 1960s...BEFORE the U.S. FULL involvement in Vietnam were THERE, even in the USAEUR [military speak for U.S. Army, EURope, for you civilian readers]. You did "NOT" have the "three-band system" on high-HF, low-VHF for overlapping commo bandspace for Armor, Artillery, Infantry? I'm surprised. That went into effect in the mid-1950s and hung around for over a decade, especially in vehicular comms of all units.

>The reality of it was this; Using 100 watts output on RTTY (RATT in Army
>Speak) into a 15' metal whip and sitting in the GAP you were damn lucky to
>make commo with your units, especially at night. Sometimes you got lucky.
>Not like today I am sure.

"Today" is NOT 33 years ago, Dannie Disabled.

>The most reliable commo was usually on the 40 mhz range FM VRC-12 units.
>Range was extended by deploying "radio relay teams" to hilltops to act as a
>manual repeater.

Dannie boy, VHF FM was the STANDARD communications radio type during WW2 in Yurp for vehicles of all kinds and learned well by

the Army so as to continue using it.

>RATT Short Wave Commo was spotty to say the least.

In YOUR unit, apparently. :-)

Was YOUR unit so 'special that you "needed" comms direct with SHAEF Hq? :-)

"Short Wave Commo" was a STANDARD operation 24/7 for the Army (and all other branches) Defense Communications System. The Army part was called "Starcom" before that, and "ACAN" before that. Yes, and I'm familiar with other branches, even the USAF "Aircomnet" and other alphabet soup. The TTY message relay center designators didn't change not even into the 70s, not the ones at Primasens (RUFP), Kaiserslautern (RUFN), Seckenheim (RUFW), Vainingen (RUFO), Bonn (RUFK), or at Frankfurt (RUFY). The DCS went all around the world, established so during WW2 and continuing on until the 80s.

Pirmasens (RUFP) would monthly go through Asmara, Eirtrea (RUQP) to Manila (RUMP) (hi, hi) and then to Tokyo (RUAP). I thought it most interesting to see the TTY test traffic when that was done...had a hometown friend at RUFN but we could NOT use the circuits for personal communications. Must have been lots different in the Army that followed my time, what with shack-onna-belt in a shack-onna-track for fun and games in the field.

>Believe it or not...I was there...you were NOT.

Oooooo! More Tuff Tawk! Tsk, Dannie, I was IN ACAN...but at a big communications station, not sitting in some tracked vehicle playing sojer in da woods. I was NOT IN Bavaria but love their cream pies, am indifferent to cuckcoo clocks but find lots of cuckoos in here. :-)

Did you think Tokyo, Japan, was some kind of rear-area FAR from any live-fire conflict? Jimmie Noserve thinks so. Stebie da wundermurine thinks so. Tsk, tsk, I was >>IN<< big-time HF communications that was running 24/7 comms on Army business, not playing with any shack-onna-belt HTs in any shack-onna-track. HF, Dannie Disabled, we only used VHF and UHF and microwaves for radio relay.

>> Sorry, but use of REPEATER techniques, particularly UN-
>> manned repeaters, was pioneered by the U.S. Army in Italy
>> in late 1943, then used in France and Germany 1944-1945,
>> starting with the SCR-300, the VHF FM Voice manpack called
>> the "Walkie-Talkie." There's a specific repeater
>> connector on the front panel of every BC-1000 ever made
>> just for that purpose...designed-in by Signal Corps
>> request when the contract was signed with Motorola. With

>> REPEATERS, those can very easily operate WOTHOUT operators
>> "operating" things (taking any active part in the repeating
>> process of the radios). Repeaters are DESIGNED to operate
>> unattended.
>
>None of which was available to any of the ARMY units I was with in Germany.

As if. :-) Tsk, tsk, tsk...the "three-band Armor-Artillery-
Infantry" radios were being issued in 1954 through 1958. The
grunt versions were the AN/PRC-8, -9, -10s and there were VRCs
and GRCs that fit the same bands. The little PRC-6 was ready
and used during the active Korean War ('50 to '53) but...
SOMEHOW...it "NEVER" got to the USAEUR by 1970?!? Incredible.
You should have notified your congresscritter immediately!
DEMAND and INVESTIGATION!!!

All the "PRCs" I mentioned had peripheral kits designed just FOR
unattended REPEATER operation! Amazing but true! Was in their
TMs and everything. For most of the "VRCs" and "GRCs" the same.
Just put them in place and leave 'em until the batteries run
down or the generator fuel runs out or "man" them as local
commanders say.

>And what you said has NOTHING to do with what I said. AMATEUR RADIO
>REPEATER OF FELDBURG. At least TRY to stay on topic Loser.

Riiiiight, tuff guy, AMATEUR RADIO used to direct Army Armor
units in the field? Suuuuure. :-)

>They were as follows; 23rd Engineer Battalion, Hanau FRG (Federal Republic
>of Germany)
>
>1st Squadron, 11th Armored Cavalery Regiment, Fulda, FRG.
>
>143rd Signal Battalion, Frankfurt, FRG.

FRG you, Dannie. Do we all have to LOOK THOSE UNITS UP in
something, Dannie? If we have to do that, why not give us a
hint of the U.S. Army DIRECTIVES that explain the use of
AMATEUR Radio Repeater procedures for Armor-Artillery-Infantry
units in the field of the 1970s?

>> 1972 was THIRTY THREE years ago. By all appearances you've
>> done considerable "editing" of the ACTUAL FACTS surrounding
>> your field commo adventure. MANUFACTURING the story is more
>> likely.
>
>And it is obvious to anyone that bothers to check what I say that you are
>the liar and not I.

Look in any calendar or gazeteer of astro-navigation data,
1972 WAS THIRTY THREE YEARS AGO!!! No "lie," Danny Disabled. :-)

Let's see...1972 to 1973 is one year...1972 to 1974 is two years, 1972 to 1975 is three years, 1972 to 1976 is four years, 1972 to 1977 is five years, <switch hands>, 1972 to 1978 is six years, 1972 to 1979 is seven years, 1972 to 1980 is eight years, 1972 to 1981 is nine years, 1972 to 1982 is ten years. Well, you get the picture, right? I didn't want to embarrass you by making you count on your toes for a "twenty." You would have to borrow someone else's hands and feet for the 13 more...

toe now
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2008. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 03:58:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124164709.583278.255190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116308

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of midnight, EDT, 15 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1595

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 70

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 431 28.26%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 879 57.64%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 215 14.10%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 15 Aug 05 that would be 1525.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

may bee
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2009. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 09:32:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ekc3g1dh9qnol5t9ml2eg0jbrvfoj9amrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 116310

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 23:49:58 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <GS9Me.6675$WD.5792@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 20:27:48 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> in <8V6Me.6589$WD.2774@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>>>Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.
>>>
>>>I think you have it wrong, Frank.
>>
>>
>>
>> Oh really.....
>
>Yep, really.
>
>>
>>
>>> I believe the typical ham attitude
>>>is: If you aren't a ham and persist in posting material about the
>>>wonders of CB radio in an amateur radio group, *then* you're nobody.
>>
>>
>>
>> That's one of the difference between holy-hammies and CBers; the
>> holy-hammies begin their statements with "I believe....." while the
>> CBers start with "The facts are.....".
>
>You're right. I've heard 'em do it--whether they have facts or not.
>
>> And the facts are that his discussion began in -this- newsgroup with
>> the thread "Emergency communications", where some CB-hating hams
>> crossposted their highly subjective and speculative opinions to rrcb.
>> I'm just here to set the record straight. If you don't want CBers in
>> this newsgroup then quit crossposting your anti-CB garbage.
>
>That's funny. I checked to see if your post had gone to other groups

>before I responded. This was the only newsgroup indicated. There goes
>your perfectly good rant.

You proved my point nicely. Thanks!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2010. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 11:56:10 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uvkMe.24020$EX.9473@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 116311
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.00.02.29.375868@gmail.com...
> FDR:
>
> You asked why, now you want to tell me you are unhappy? Well, guess what?
> The people in hell want ice water too...

I'll go find my thermos.

>
> John
>
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 23:46:02 +0000, FDR wrote:
>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.15.22.17.25.584992@gmail.com...
>>> 1) Because he had appointed capable people to act in an emergency in his
>>> immediate absence.
>>
>> But only he had the power to ok the taking down of the planes.
>>
>>>
>>> 2) Because it was good to take time and show the enemy that all they
>>> managed was a slight annoyance.
>>
>> Don't you wish those 9/11 families would see it your way?
>>
>>>
>>> 3) Because he was right in the middle of things.
>>
>> See Dick Run, See Jane run, see Dick and Jane run.
>>
>>>
>>> 4) Because in the back of his mind, he was planning an appropiate
>>> response
>>> and he could plan there are well as anywhere else.
>>
>> "Should I have steak or beef for dinner?"
>>
>>>
>>> 5) Because he wanted people like you to wonder about it the rest of
>>> their

>>> lives, and keep asking...
>>
>> Ahh, it doesn't take up any of my time.
>>
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005
>>> 22:07:43 +0000, FDR wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
>>>> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>>>>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>>>>
>>>>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You
>>>>> hate
>>>>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Concerned Citizen
>>>>
>>>> I ask the same of GWB. Why did he sit there in a class room while
>>>> Americans
>>>> lives were on the line. A real leader would have taken charge.
>>>
>

## 2011. day 5 Stevie Action is missing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 13:58:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124200705.155420.15530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116312

well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"

total results to date, nothing

It sure looks like Stevie promise of action was somekind of lie

Did he even call anyone? maybe

Did any one take him seriously? looks like that is a NO

# 2012. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 14:14:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124201693.335236.308780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> > Dear Bill Clinton,
> >
> > Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> > America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> >
> >
> > Concerned Citizen
>
>
> I love it. The Repooblicans screw up royally and keep spinning into "blame
> the Democrats".
>
> ROTFLMAO
>
> How's the price of gas?

that is a result of 8 or so years back

> Hear a big flushing sound as jobs disappear?

been hearing it a long time

> Ever wonder why 9 of the 13 hijackers were Saudi's and yet Bin Laden's
> relatives were allowed a flight out after 9-11 when the air space was shut
> down?

that Happened? not according to other
OTOH it likely should have, or do you hold UBL's family responible for
UBL's actions

You should remmeber that his family and UBL at one time worked for the
US, against the Soviets in Afganistan
> Ever think how much Bush might have invested in common with the Saudi's?
>
> OMG, this is *way* too much.
>
> Don't you love the unbalanced budget? Much better than a balanced budget
> with Clinton. What Clinton did in the Oval Office was nothing compared to
> G. W. B. and company.

I don't think the GW hired a 95k a year hooker
>

> Trust me; history is not going to be kind to Bush. Assuming our descendents
> are still here, of course.
>
>
> ZBM-2
>
> Jim AA2QA

# 2013. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 14:23:34 UTC
From: Osric <osric@nospambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <ddsst5$un$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116314
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Concerned citizen <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>

Nothing like presidential consistency. George Bush has racked up at least
1800, and thats only the deaths that occurred inside Iraq. And anyway Bush
was too busy playing golf to heed the warnings arising out of the foiled
plot to bomb LA to abandon his extended leave and tackle the problem prior
to 9/11. But then why would he 9/11 provided him with the perfect pretext to
attack Iraq.
--
Osric

THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

# 2014. day 5 Markie anxious to get back into therapy for his illness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 15:25:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124205957.704366.161720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116315

an_old_friend wrote:
> well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"
>
> total results to date, nothing
>
> It sure looks like Stevie promise of action was somekind of lie
>
> Did he even call anyone? maybe

No maybe.

> Did any one take him seriously? looks like that is a NO

Looks like they are taking thier time. Notice anyone looking at
you in public? Cars driving by slowly?

Steve, K4YZ

## 2015. Re: Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 15:32:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124206356.989348.313610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116316

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)
> > > tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine.
> >
> > I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
> > keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
> > don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
> > at the point of being "braggard" about it.
>
> really ?
>
> more whoopers

"whoopers".

That was "whoppers", Markie, and no, it was true, whether you like
it or not.

> > > Hey, I do it myself.
> >
> > We did earn it.
>
> earn what?

Since the comments were not (a) directed towards you and (b)
obviously not understood by you, (c) trying to explain them to you
would be a waste of time.

I am sure Frank understood and he as the intended addressee.

> > > But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
> > > reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
> > > being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
> > > throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude.
> >
> > Again...I don't see myself having "crammed" anything down anyone's
> > throats, Frank...No more than their lies and deceit have been shoved
> > down mine.
>
> you make threats and more threats and lies and ....

Nope. Nope. Nope.

> > Thankfully it's not been a contiguous seven years. Markie was
> > gone for the longest time. That pretty much left us with Lennie and

> > Brain. I see my exchanges with them as being somewhat cathartic.
>
> and yet even being gone you continued to falme me from time to time

"falme".

Nope. It's not "falming" if it's true.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2016. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 15:45:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.15.45.33.844692@gmail.com>
Source record: 116326
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

```
FDR:

LOL! Just be careful you are I don't end up thirsty for a glass full!

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 11:56:10 +0000, FDR wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.16.00.02.29.375868@gmail.com...
>> FDR:
>>
>> You asked why, now you want to tell me you are unhappy? Well, guess what?
>> The people in hell want ice water too...
>
> I'll go find my thermos.
>
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 23:46:02 +0000, FDR wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:pan.2005.08.15.22.17.25.584992@gmail.com...
>>>> 1) Because he had appointed capable people to act in an emergency in his
>>>> immediate absence.
>>>
>>> But only he had the power to ok the taking down of the planes.
>>>
>>>>
>>>> 2) Because it was good to take time and show the enemy that all they
>>>> managed was a slight annoyance.
>>>
>>> Don't you wish those 9/11 families would see it your way?
>>>
>>>>
>>>> 3) Because he was right in the middle of things.
>>>
>>> See Dick Run, See Jane run, see Dick and Jane run.
>>>
>>>>
>>>> 4) Because in the back of his mind, he was planning an appropiate
```

>>>> response
>>>> and he could plan there are well as anywhere else.
>>>
>>> "Should I have steak or beef for dinner?"
>>>
>>>>
>>>> 5) Because he wanted people like you to wonder about it the rest of
>>>> their
>>>> lives, and keep asking...
>>>
>>> Ahh, it doesn't take up any of my time.
>>>
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005
>>>> 22:07:43 +0000, FDR wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
>>>>> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>>>>>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You
>>>>>> hate
>>>>>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Concerned Citizen
>>>>>
>>>>> I ask the same of GWB. Why did he sit there in a class room while
>>>>> Americans
>>>>> lives were on the line. A real leader would have taken charge.
>>>>
>>

# 2017. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 15:47:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.15.47.54.15237@gmail.com>
Source record: 116327
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

```
Osric:

... and the japanese attacking perl harbor gave us the perfect excuse to
join in WWII ... of course, that was a good idea!

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 14:23:34 +0000, Osric wrote:

>
> Concerned citizen <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
> news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>> Dear Bill Clinton,
>>
>> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>>
>
> Nothing like presidential consistency. George Bush has racked up at least
> 1800, and thats only the deaths that occurred inside Iraq. And anyway Bush
> was too busy playing golf to heed the warnings arising out of the foiled
> plot to bomb LA to abandon his extended leave and tackle the problem prior
> to 9/11. But then why would he 9/11 provided him with the perfect pretext to
> attack Iraq.
> --
> Osric
>
>
> THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
> RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET
```

# 2018. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 15:48:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124207337.001805.217980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116317

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 15 Aug 2005 15:44:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050815114434.123$GZ@newsreader.com>:
>
> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> > > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> >> > > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > >
> >> > What's "anonie" about Frank Gilliland?
> >>
> >> Just curious that the only "Frank Gilliland" I can find in FCC
> >> files had his license expire over 2 years ago.
> >>
> >> Unless it's a middle name or someone forgot to drop a new 610
> >> (605)?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >Who said Frank is in the FCC database? As far as I know he's not a ham
> >radio operator. That doesn't make him "anonie", does it?
>
> Typical hammie attitude -- if you're not a ham you're nobody.=----

Frank, who said that?

Here's how I see things about newsgroups...It's one thing to go to
a newsgroup about which you have little knowledge or background and say
"I am not a widget fan, but would like to know more about widgets".
Unfortunately THIS newsgroup has attracted more than it's fair share of
non-participants who are here to do nothing but incite discord.

I think that when someone posts in an Amateur Radio newsgroup
about Amateur Radio, it's only natural to kow what that persons
background in it it...Just the same if I was commenting in a Widget
newsgroup.

I'm not a "hammie", nor did I call ANYONE a "nobody", least of all
you.

Semper Fi

Steve, K4YZ

## 2019. Markie: Enter Profanity Of Choice Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 15:57:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124207826.990717.205960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116318

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z's words
> >
> > No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...
>
> sure are
>
> you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z

Who's "Nick"...?!?!

KY4Z belongs to a guy in Kentucky named James.

Why are you lying?

> > > Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> > > do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> > > department.
> > >
> > > look at them
> >
> > Must have taken you the better part of an hour to copy that
> > without typo. Tiring, eh?
>
> nope cut and paste is realy easy

"really"

> > > Stevie think the rest of us Owe HIM respect despite the Fcat he has
> > > done nothing to earn it
> >
> > OK...what's an "fcat"...?!?!

No answer, eh?

> > And I'd be interested on where you think I said anyone "owed" me
> > anything...?!?!
>
> you do all the time in almost every post use word to that effect

"word to that effect".

OK...Substantiate that claim.

> > I said I think lying is one of the rudeist things you can do.
> >

> > Only you would think differently.

No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.

> > > and what he demands is stupid.
> >
> > I didn't demand anything.
> >
> > There's not one word of "demand" there, Markie!

No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.

> > > he claims Lying is the rudest thing you can do
> >
> > No, I did not.
> >
> > I said it's "one of the rudeist..."
> >
> > That leaves a whole lot.

No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.

> > > I can certainly see why he does not think anything of threatening
> > > MURDER
> >
> > I don't think about it because I've not done it. I have no reason
> > to do it. You continue to flatter yourself that you think someone
> > would hazard their freedom to physically harm you.
>
> more lies stevie

Nope.

Facts.

> > > Personaly I think threatening Murder is pretty rude
> >
> > It is rude. Who did that?
>
> you did

No, I didn't.

> > > I think threatening to talk to someone perants about their son's
> > > alleged crimes and aleged sexual orientations
> >
> > "perants" "aleged"
> >
> > Nothing "aleged" about Todd's orientations. He's just not reached
> > that "comfortable space" where he can admit it. I am sure all the
> > "aunties" in his former web page would have a stroke to know that Todd
> > would rather pack fudge than court a lady...or even worse that he may
> > be courting a lady to hide the other...
>

> which is of course Todd busness if true not yours and mine, but you
> avoind the issue of your threats agian

"business" "avoid" "again".

What threats?

> > > These aren't rude things according to Stevie, and they aren't his fault
> >
> > You have another issue...One with "finding fault", Markie...
>
> you claim nothing you do it your fault they all have it coming

I've said nothing of the sort.

> > Many, many issues.
> >
> > Little if any resolution.

Still not resolved...Just more MarkieIssues.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2020. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 15:58:11 UTC
From: Ivan the Great <zeesteezz@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <7f238$43020d20$d1cc7b3f$2448@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 116319
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> Dear Bill Clinton,
>
> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance?
> You hate
> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>
>
> Concerned Citizen

You are a moron who dosen't know his ass from a hole in the ground.
Clinton had nothing to do with UBL. Rather, George HW Bush was
up to his neck in Saudi Oil Money with Usama Bin Laden thru the 70's
and 80's. Read about it here: http://www.bushwatch.net/bushmoney.htm

# 2021. Re: stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted <<<Ya Gotta Love Idiots Who Claim Others Are Stupid While Mispelling The Accusation!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:03:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124206626.787968.324700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116321

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)
> > >
> > Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.
> > >
> > And Markie...
> > >
> > ...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...
> > >
> > Neither of which is true.
> >
> both are true

Nope

> > More snipo of stuff boyo just made up to make himself feel
> > better....
> > >
> > > Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> > > again
> > >
> > In order to have been "lying again" there would have had to have
> > been a first time.
> >
> and you qualify more than qualify

And you still can't put even a simple sentence together correctly.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2022. Markie: Enter Profanity of Choice Here And Show Us What Your're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:03:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124208236.775524.189840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116320

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> > > > > obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> > > > > the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to
> > > > >
> > > > Markie...WHERE in that post did either Hans >>OR<< I "insist" that
> > > > you do something?
> > > >
> > > but you do soo all the time
> > >
> > Oh..I see..."all the time"...
> >
> sure do

Nope.

You didn't even provide ONE example.

> > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
> > >
> > > Never said he or did that post, more Stevie lies
> > >
> > No lies.
> > >
> > I quoted you exactly.
> >
> nope

Yep.

Anyone who cares to see can go back a post annd see.

And they will see Mark Morgan is lying AGAIN!

> > If there was a "lie" there, it was in YOUR words, Markie.
> > >
> > Again.

>
> the lie is your claim that a the given posted contained a statement you
> just can't help making ##### up

If there's any #### being made up, it's coming from you, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2023. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:08:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124208515.703186.254040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116322

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > show you as a pretty unfeeling SoB
> > > >
> > > > So far I haven't insulted you with profane names like SoB.
> > > >
> > > > And if your unfortunate medical condition prevents you from recognizing satire
> > > > like my "mult" remarks, perhaps it would be best if you didn't read my posts. I
> > > > can't guarantee they won't distress you.
> > >
> > > SATIRE = JOKE
> >
> > Perhaps you should invest in a Dictionary and figure out what a
> > "joke" is and what "satire" is, Brain...
>
> Perhaps you should read "Stolen Valor" and find out what happens to
> people who make up war stories.

I've not told any "war stories" to be stolen, Brain.

Unlkike your "N0IMD/T5 tales..........

Steve, K4YZ

# 2024. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:22:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124209373.449114.126160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116323

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > > > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > > > of understanding what help was needed.
> > >
> > > more of your pack of lies
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
> >
> > YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.
>
> Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear

Ear...fingers...flashing lights.

You're incapable. Period.

> > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > CAN learn Morse?
>
> nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
>
> Even Jim adknowledges that

Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...

> > > You refuse to acept facts
> >
> > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
>
> yes I do

That makes you a voluntary idiot.

> > > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
> >
> > It is if you don't know Morse Code.
>
> a few letter sure can't keep the whole set in my mind though

Perhaps the lack of "mind" with which to store the 26 letters and
10 numbers?

> > > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > > data...(SNIP)
> >
> > If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
> > send it?
>
> By using the computer to do so

How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
distress signal?

> I have done so many times

I bet you have.

> Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log

Not in my log it isn't.

> > > I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > > Air to render more assistance than I can
> >
> > And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
> > hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
> > knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
> > the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
> > tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...
>
> wel you yea sure it would take me longer, never diened that and yea if
> the ship is sinking fast I may not be able to help, butAt least I tried

"well" "denied" "yeah"

"Gee too bad the ship sank and I COULD have saved them".

> > > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
> >
> > He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
> > not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
> > and meaningful manner.
>
> dpends on how well the morse was send if the operator was good I can
> turn it around in acouple of minutes if it is bad then maybe an hour

"depends" "sent"

It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
do it.

> But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> to copy

How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
message was sent...?!?!

> > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> >
> > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
> >
> > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
>
> it was never the point

Sure it was.

Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and
WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
them.

It's the WHOLE point!

> > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> >
> > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> >
> > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > THIER administration's requiremments.
> >
> > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > International law.
>
> more evasion

It's not "evasion".

It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.

A question even YOU said was moot!

> > Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.
>
> the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets

There's no "dancing".

YOU have acknowledged that Dave wasn't required to know the French stations limits.

YOU have acknowledged that no one could be EXPECTED to know other stations limits...

Yet YOU keep trying tio insist that it somehow applies differently in this case...

Which just makes YOU look (as if it were possible) even MORE idiotitc.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2025. Re: day 5 Stevie action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:45:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124210709.115043.323170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116324

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"
> >
> > total results to date, nothing
> >
> > It sure looks like Stevie promise of action was somekind of lie
> >
> > Did he even call anyone? maybe
>
> No maybe.
>
> > Did any one take him seriously? looks like that is a NO
>
> Looks like they are taking thier time. Notice anyone looking at
> you in public? Cars driving by slowly?

taking thier time ya sure

No one looking at me public more than normal

and anyone driving down my road had better be driving slowly if they
value their kidneys

It sure looks like no one took you seriously
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2026. Re: day 5 Stevie action is pending in MI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:48:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124210883.992596.98470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116325

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"

> It sure looks like no one took you seriously

Keep telling yourself that over and over and over and.....

Steve, K4YZ

## 2027. Stevie Still think the NG revolves around him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:52:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124211157.312721.179320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116328

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KY4Z's words
> > >
> > > No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...
> >
> > sure are
> >
> > you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z
>
> Who's "Nick"...?!?!

Learn english
>
> KY4Z belongs to a guy in Kentucky named James.

realy
>
> Why are you lying?

Not lying at all

was inseltuig our obvious interests but you are too dumb to understand
>
> > > > Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> > > > do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> > > > department.
> > > >
> > > > look at them
> > >
> > > Must have taken you the better part of an hour to copy that
> > > without typo. Tiring, eh?
> >
> > nope cut and paste is realy easy
>
> "really"
>
> > > > Stevie think the rest of us Owe HIM respect despite the Fcat he has
> > > > done nothing to earn it
> > >
> > > OK...what's an "fcat"...?!?!
>
> No answer, eh?

>
> > > And I'd be interested on where you think I said anyone "owed" me
> > > anything...?!?!
> >
> > you do all the time in almost every post use word to that effect
>
> "word to that effect".
>
> OK...Substantiate that claim.

already done so

>
> > > I said I think lying is one of the rudeist things you can do.
> > >
> > > Only you would think differently.
>
> No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.

An answer requires a question

>
> > > > and what he demands is stupid.
> > >
> > > I didn't demand anything.
> > >
> > > There's not one word of "demand" there, Markie!
>
> No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.

no answer since there was no question

>
> > > > he claims Lying is the rudest thing you can do
> > >
> > > No, I did not.
> > >
> > > I said it's "one of the rudeist..."
> > >
> > > That leaves a whole lot.
>
> No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.
>
> > > > I can certainly see why he does not think anything of threatening
> > > > MURDER
> > >
> > > I don't think about it because I've not done it. I have no reason
> > > to do it. You continue to flatter yourself that you think someone
> > > would hazard their freedom to physically harm you.
> >
> > more lies stevie
>
> Nope.

You are a Liar.

You threatned to kill me.

Your threat was idle, and shows you to be a bully

that you were dumb enough to risk your freedom by making that threat is
not flattering

>
> Facts.

InDeed but none on your side

>
> > > > Personaly I think threatening Murder is pretty rude
> > > >
> > > It is rude. Who did that?
> > >
> > you did
> >
> No, I didn't.

you sure did

>
> > > > I think threatening to talk to someone perants about their son's
> > > > alleged crimes and aleged sexual orientations
> > > >
> > > "perants" "aleged"
> > > >
> > > Nothing "aleged" about Todd's orientations. He's just not reached
> > > that "comfortable space" where he can admit it. I am sure all the
> > > "aunties" in his former web page would have a stroke to know that Todd
> > > would rather pack fudge than court a lady...or even worse that he may
> > > be courting a lady to hide the other...
> > >
> > which is of course Todd busness if true not yours and mine, but you
> > avoind the issue of your threats agian
> >
> "business" "avoid" "again".
> >
> What threats?

your threat to contact Todd's perants as described above and by you in
mnay posts

Don't you even bother remmebering your misdeeds?

I guess not since that way you can look at yourself in the mirror

>
> > > > These aren't rude things according to Stevie, and they aren't his fault
> > > >
> > > You have another issue...One with "finding fault", Markie...

> >
> > you claim nothing you do it your fault they all have it coming
>
> I've said nothing of the sort.

you have claimed it often

when told about your responiblities for you actions angainst Todd you
blamed Todd said it was all his fault

>
> > > Many, many issues.
> > >
> > > Little if any resolution.
>
> Still not resolved...Just more MarkieIssues.

put up or shut up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2028. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:57:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124211472.970287.45170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116329

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> > > > > > obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> > > > > > the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to
> > > > > >
> > > > > Markie...WHERE in that post did either Hans >>OR<< I "insist" that
> > > > > you do something?
> > > > >
> > > > but you do soo all the time
> > > >
> > > Oh..I see..."all the time"...
> > >
> > sure do
> >
> Nope.
>
> You didn't even provide ONE example.

no you did it for for me but you quote you

Markie: Enter Profanity of Choice Here And Show Us What Your're Made
Of

there is a Demand of your, You make demands in you titles of post
everyday

that is close enough to all the time for me

> > > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
> > >
> > > > Never said he or did that post, more Stevie lies
> > >
> > > No lies.
> > >
> > > I quoted you exactly.
> >

> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
> Anyone who cares to see can go back a post annd see.

Lying again and agian
>
> And they will see Mark Morgan is lying AGAIN!
>
> > > If there was a "lie" there, it was in YOUR words, Markie.
> > >
> > > Again.
> >
> > the lie is your claim that a the given posted contained a statement you
> > just can't help making #### up
>
> If there's any #### being made up, it's coming from you, Markie.

not at all

you simply can't keep from embelling a statement
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2029. Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 16:58:38 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2XoMe.256937$_o.128088@attbi_s71>
Source record: 116330

What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in Region 4? Are there any 1x2 or 2x1 callsigns starting with W/N/K left, or is the FCC reverting to AB#CD?

## 2030. Ya Gotta Love Idiots like Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 17:02:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124211299.008702.99070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116331

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.
> > >
> > > And Markie...
> > >
> > > ...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...
> > >
> > > Neither of which is true.
> >
> > both are true
>
> Nope

yep

braggard and bully alleged Marine

or are you Now Dening being a marine?

coming clean about that are you?

>
> > > More snipo of stuff boyo just made up to make himself feel
> > > better....
> > >
> > > > Of course the most likely possiblity is that stevie is just lying ,
> > > > again
> > >
> > > In order to have been "lying again" there would have had to have
> > > been a first time.
> >
> > and you qualify more than qualify
>
> And you still can't put even a simple sentence together correctly.

so?

you have lied in the past and you lie in the present
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2031. Re: day 5 Stevie action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 17:05:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.17.05.04.662969@gmail.com>
Source record: 116332

AOF:

Look at your past posts, and those exchanging with you. All you are doing is defending your self against an attack or forced into a counterattack on someone attacking you. You have been effectively side-lined, removed from the real game of constructive comments, ideas and exchanges on amateur policy and future. If that isn't a plan to stop progress, it still effectively functions as such and by past example here, it does seem quite effective.

Argue personalities or argue ideas, facts, regs, rules and future, the choice is yours. Arguing personalities is ridiculous, and unproductive.

A course one has been following does not need to be continued nor followed to a failed end. Change tactics and you change the outcome.

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 09:45:09 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"
>> >
>> > total results to date, nothing
>> >
>> > It sure looks like Stevie promise of action was somekind of lie
>> >
>> > Did he even call anyone? maybe
>>
>> No maybe.
>>
>> > Did any one take him seriously? looks like that is a NO
>>
>> Looks like they are taking thier time. Notice anyone looking at
>> you in public? Cars driving by slowly?
>
> taking thier time ya sure
>
> No one looking at me public more than normal
>
> and anyone driving down my road had better be driving slowly if they
> value their kidneys
>
> It sure looks like no one took you seriously

>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

# 2032. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 17:22:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116333

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > > > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > > > > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > > > > of understanding what help was needed.
> > > >
> > > > more of your pack of lies
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
> > >
> > > YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.
> >
> > Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear
> >
> Ear...fingers...flashing lights.
>
> You're incapable. Period.

without using my PC No i can't

But I never have my radios without having at least one of my pc's, So I
can Alawys do morse Code if I need to
>
> > > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > > CAN learn Morse?
> >
> > nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
> >
> > Even Jim adknowledges that
> >
break
> Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...

Lie

I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am allowed to use for e
a Code test

I have logged long ragcrews in Cw I was doing it to help other hams
pass there tests and so rather than typing at each other In Mirc or

other means we chatted over the air me all PC for encoding and decoding
him by ear and keyer toreply.

And anyone that can follow my typing converted to Morse can pass a test
at the same speed

>
> > > > You refuse to acept facts
> > >
> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> >
> > yes I do
>
> That makes you a voluntary idiot.

no it makes me at worst stuborn

You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?

You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will

>
> > > > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
> > >
> > > It is if you don't know Morse Code.
> >
> > a few letter sure can't keep the whole set in my mind though
>
> Perhaps the lack of "mind" with which to store the 26 letters and
> 10 numbers?

it is a problem a type of mental capcity yes indeed. never deiend that,
that you insit on realting it to other matter I have deined when proper

>
> > > > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > > > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > > > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > > > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > > > data...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
> > > send it?
> >
> > By using the computer to do so
>
> How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
> distress signal?

if I here SOS or anything like it ....DUH

Now agreed I am not as likely to hear the signal, indeed even if you or
Dave were at my rig it would harder to read the CW signal since I don't

bother with specail Filters for CW etc. I would be looking through the
band in SSB sized slices et, so I am more likely to miss it, but IF I
hear it I will respond

>
> > I have done so many times
>
> I bet you have.

thank you

>
> > Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log
>
> Not in my log it isn't.

maybe, maybe not, It would be a Club Call of course not KB9RQZ

>
> > > > I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > > > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > > > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > > > Air to render more assistance than I can
> > >
> > > And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
> > > hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
> > > knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
> > > the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
> > > tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...
> >
> > wel you yea sure it would take me longer, never diened that and yea if
> > the ship is sinking fast I may not be able to help, butAt least I tried
>
> "well" "denied" "yeah"
>
> "Gee too bad the ship sank and I COULD have saved them".

No

GEE to bad they could not have lasted a bit longer at least I tired

>
> > > > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > > > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > > > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > > > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
> > >
> > > He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
> > > not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
> > > and meaningful manner.
> >
> > dpends on how well the morse was send if the operator was good I can
> > turn it around in acouple of minutes if it is bad then maybe an hour

>
> "depends" "sent"
>
> It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
> do it.

Liar if the morse is badly sent it matters, if the speed ins't even it
matters. I can do it Me and MY pc that is, The mere fact i got a
somewhat defective modem unit in my brain for such things doesn't mean
I can't be fitted with an external modem that will work

I know my limits, and I prepare for them. I considered long and hard
the Claim of the Procoders about distress, and did something about it.
I aquired the tools to deal with the issue, maybe I (and my Pc) are not
as Good as you or Dave, maybe we are, but we are better than Many
stations that passed the Code test and forgot code the next day,
meaning I am good enough for the current bands

The Last a point that the ITU and the FCC agree is correct
>
> > But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> > and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> > to copy
>
> How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
> you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
> message was sent...?!?!

I can send a message quote "to station apearing to sending SOS on this
Frequency DE KB9RQZ please repeat now nature of you emergency, please
be adivised that Sending slowy and evenly is required for this station
to receive decode and attempt to assit you over"

Indeed since the program I have has memories for sending caned messages
that one I can send by hitting key <f1>

>
> > > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> > >
> > > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
> > >
> > > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
> >
> > it was never the point
>
> Sure it was.
>
> Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and
> WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
> them.

>
> It's the WHOLE point!

it never was the point

The point was DID he know not wether he was required to
>
> > > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> > >
> > > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> > >
> > > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > > THIER administration's requiremments.
> > >
> > > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > > International law.
> >
> > more evasion
>
> It's not "evasion".
>
> It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.
>
> A question even YOU said was moot!

it is evasion
since it has nothing to do with wether the operation was legal or not

>
> > > Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.
> >
> > the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets
>
> There's no "dancing".
>
> YOU have acknowledged that Dave wasn't required to know the French
> stations limits.
>
> YOU have acknowledged that no one could be EXPECTED to know other
> stations limits...

Nope never said that

Said wasn't required

nothing about expected

more of your embellishing my words again
>
> Yet YOU keep trying tio insist that it somehow applies differently
> in this case...

Not at all

>
> Which just makes YOU look (as if it were possible) even MORE
> idiotitc.

no just shows you and dave are not answering the question put

If Dave knew (by what ever means) they were out of band when he made
the contacts then he was wrong to do it

If dave only learned later they were out of band then he gets a ONE
time pass.

So until/unless Dave wants to answer questions about what did he know,
and when did he know it all of this is evasion
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2033. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 17:22:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124212933.807184.308400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116334

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > > > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > > > > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > > > > of understanding what help was needed.
> > > >
> > > > more of your pack of lies
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
> > >
> > > YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.
> >
> > Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear
> >
> Ear...fingers...flashing lights.
>
> You're incapable. Period.

without using my PC No i can't

But I never have my radios without having at least one of my pc's, So I
can Alawys do morse Code if I need to
>
> > > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > > CAN learn Morse?
> >
> > nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
> >
> > Even Jim adknowledges that
> >
break
> Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...

Lie

I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am allowed to use for e
a Code test

I have logged long ragcrews in Cw I was doing it to help other hams
pass there tests and so rather than typing at each other In Mirc or

other means we chatted over the air me all PC for encoding and decoding
him by ear and keyer toreply.

And anyone that can follow my typing converted to Morse can pass a test
at the same speed

>
> > > > You refuse to acept facts
> > >
> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> >
> > yes I do
>
> That makes you a voluntary idiot.

no it makes me at worst stuborn

You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?

You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will

>
> > > > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
> > >
> > > It is if you don't know Morse Code.
> >
> > a few letter sure can't keep the whole set in my mind though
>
> Perhaps the lack of "mind" with which to store the 26 letters and
> 10 numbers?

it is a problem a type of mental capcity yes indeed. never deiend that,
that you insit on realting it to other matter I have deined when proper

>
> > > > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > > > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > > > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > > > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > > > data...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
> > > send it?
> >
> > By using the computer to do so
>
> How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
> distress signal?

if I here SOS or anything like it ....DUH

Now agreed I am not as likely to hear the signal, indeed even if you or
Dave were at my rig it would harder to read the CW signal since I don't

bother with specail Filters for CW etc. I would be looking through the
band in SSB sized slices et, so I am more likely to miss it, but IF I
hear it I will respond

>
> > I have done so many times
>
> I bet you have.

thank you

>
> > Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log
>
> Not in my log it isn't.

maybe, maybe not, It would be a Club Call of course not KB9RQZ

>
> > > > I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > > > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > > > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > > > Air to render more assistance than I can
> > >
> > > And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
> > > hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
> > > knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
> > > the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
> > > tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...
> >
> > wel you yea sure it would take me longer, never diened that and yea if
> > the ship is sinking fast I may not be able to help, butAt least I tried
>
> "well" "denied" "yeah"
>
> "Gee too bad the ship sank and I COULD have saved them".

No

GEE to bad they could not have lasted a bit longer at least I tired

>
> > > > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > > > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > > > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > > > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
> > >
> > > He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
> > > not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
> > > and meaningful manner.
> >
> > dpends on how well the morse was send if the operator was good I can
> > turn it around in acouple of minutes if it is bad then maybe an hour

>
> "depends" "sent"
>
> It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
> do it.

Liar if the morse is badly sent it matters, if the speed ins't even it
matters. I can do it Me and MY pc that is, The mere fact i got a
somewhat defective modem unit in my brain for such things doesn't mean
I can't be fitted with an external modem that will work

I know my limits, and I prepare for them. I considered long and hard
the Claim of the Procoders about distress, and did something about it.
I aquired the tools to deal with the issue, maybe I (and my Pc) are not
as Good as you or Dave, maybe we are, but we are better than Many
stations that passed the Code test and forgot code the next day,
meaning I am good enough for the current bands

The Last a point that the ITU and the FCC agree is correct
>
> > But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> > and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> > to copy
>
> How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
> you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
> message was sent...?!?!

I can send a message quote "to station apearing to sending SOS on this
Frequency DE KB9RQZ please repeat now nature of you emergency, please
be adivised that Sending slowy and evenly is required for this station
to receive decode and attempt to assit you over"

Indeed since the program I have has memories for sending caned messages
that one I can send by hitting key <f1>

>
> > > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> > >
> > > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
> > >
> > > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
> >
> > it was never the point
>
> Sure it was.
>
> Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and
> WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
> them.

>
> It's the WHOLE point!

it never was the point

The point was DID he know not wether he was required to
>
> > > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> > >
> > > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> > >
> > > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > > THIER administration's requiremments.
> > >
> > > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > > International law.
> >
> > more evasion
>
> It's not "evasion".
>
> It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.
>
> A question even YOU said was moot!

it is evasion
since it has nothing to do with wether the operation was legal or not

>
> > > Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.
> >
> > the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets
>
> There's no "dancing".
>
> YOU have acknowledged that Dave wasn't required to know the French
> stations limits.
>
> YOU have acknowledged that no one could be EXPECTED to know other
> stations limits...

Nope never said that

Said wasn't required

nothing about expected

more of your embellishing my words again
>
> Yet YOU keep trying tio insist that it somehow applies differently
> in this case...

Not at all

>
> Which just makes YOU look (as if it were possible) even MORE
> idiotitc.

no just shows you and dave are not answering the question put

If Dave knew (by what ever means) they were out of band when he made
the contacts then he was wrong to do it

If dave only learned later they were out of band then he gets a ONE
time pass.

So until/unless Dave wants to answer questions about what did he know,
and when did he know it all of this is evasion
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2034. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 17:26:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124213178.349119.146630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116335

DesignGuy wrote:
> What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in Region
> 4?

The last sequentially issued Group A callsign (as of 12:23 CDT 16
Aug, when I post this...) was AI4LN, and that was on 01 August.

> Are there any 1x2 or 2x1 callsigns starting with W/N/K left, or is the
> FCC reverting to AB#CD?

They haven't recycled any callsigns for sequential use since 1978
when the sequential program began. They do, of course, re-issue calls
when they are available, for Vanity issue.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2035. Re: day 5 Stevie action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 17:33:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124213637.483319.237030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116336

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Look at your past posts, and those exchanging with you. All you are doing
> is defending your self against an attack or forced into a counterattack
> on someone attacking you. You have been effectively side-lined, removed
> from the real game of constructive comments, ideas and exchanges on
> amateur policy and future. If that isn't a plan to stop progress, it
> still effectively functions as such and by past example here, it does seem
> quite effective.

Not realy I am partciating in threads with Dee about the future

The thread with Dave and DX while rather acrimonious is on target as
the ARS, being over what Is and isn't Good Ham practice

The thread could be better of course but.. i can only work with what I
am given

Part of the thread with Dave deals with wether or not Morse Code
knowledge is in fact required to use Morse Code for an effective
purpose

T spend more time on Better threads.... I need better threads to coment
on, The quaility lately is pretty poor

So not realy sidelined

>
> Argue personalities or argue ideas, facts, regs, rules and future, the
> choice is yours. Arguing personalities is ridiculous, and unproductive.

But Pusing back at Stevie Bluffing has resulted in fewer posts from
him, and less of the realy bad rethoric from him

He has Stopped relently attcking some others, Poster that I find to be
more efective than myself tand that I by and large agree with

Given my typing problems if I aid other that I agree with in expresing
themsleves that is fine by me

>
> A course one has been following does not need to be continued nor followed
> to a failed end. Change tactics and you change the outcome.

I have changed Tactics and the outcome is improved, alas the results
could be better, but in time hopefullY I will find yet better tactics

But yeilding to the threats of petty bully is never a good idea

No prefect Solution yet But I keep trying
>
> John
>

# 2036. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 17:53:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124214819.269278.188120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116337

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > > > > > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > > > > > of understanding what help was needed.
> > > > >
> > > > > more of your pack of lies
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
> > > >
> > > > YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.
> > >
> > > Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear
> > >
> > > Ear...fingers...flashing lights.
> > >
> > > You're incapable. Period.
> >
> > without using my PC No i can't

My point made. That's what I said in the first place.

> But I never have my radios without having at least one of my pc's, So I
> can Alawys do morse Code if I need to

You carry a PC on your belt? In your car? Always?

> > > > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > > > CAN learn Morse?
> > >
> > > nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
> > >
> > > Even Jim adknowledges that
> >
> > break
> > > Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...
> >
> > Lie

Nope. Truth.

> I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am allowed to use for e
> a Code test

You can't do it. Won't do it.

> I have logged long ragcrews in Cw I was doing it to help other hams
> pass there tests and so rather than typing at each other In Mirc or
> other means we chatted over the air me all PC for encoding and decoding
> him by ear and keyer toreply.

No...YOU didn't log "long ragchews"...Your PC did.

> And anyone that can follow my typing converted to Morse can pass a test
> at the same speed

Except you.

> > > > > You refuse to acept facts
> > > >
> > > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> > >
> > > yes I do
> >
> > That makes you a voluntary idiot.
>
> no it makes me at worst stuborn

No.

It makes you a voluntary idiot.

> You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?

Nope. I want to be able to read your thoughts without having to
interpret individual words.

> You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will

Not bullying, but you've already DONE it, Markie!

As I stated in another thread...

> > > > > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
> > > > >
> > > > > It is if you don't know Morse Code.
> > > >
> > > > a few letter sure can't keep the whole set in my mind though
> > >
> > > Perhaps the lack of "mind" with which to store the 26 letters and
> > > 10 numbers?
>
> it is a problem a type of mental capcity yes indeed. never deiend that,
> that you insit on realting it to other matter I have deined when proper

"capacity" "denied" "insist"

You claim an IQ of 248 and more-than-adequate financial and
material resources, but can't seem to fix simple problems without being
taken by the hand and guided step-by-step.

> > > > > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > > > > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > > > > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > > > > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > > > > data...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > > If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
> > > > > send it?
> > > >
> > > > By using the computer to do so
> > >
> > How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
> > distress signal?
>
> if I here SOS or anything like it ....DUH

"hear"

You're unlikely to recognize it under ANY circumstances.

> Now agreed I am not as likely to hear the signal, indeed even if you or
> Dave were at my rig it would harder to read the CW signal since I don't
> bother with specail Filters for CW etc. I would be looking through the
> band in SSB sized slices et, so I am more likely to miss it, but IF I
> hear it I will respond

"special"

No, Markie...If you're listening in "SSB sized slices", you are
MORE likely to hear a CW distress signal.

> > > I have done so many times
> > >
> > > I bet you have.
>
> thank you

That wasan't a gratuity, Markie.

> > > Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log
> > >
> > > Not in my log it isn't.
>
> maybe, maybe not, It would be a Club Call of course not KB9RQZ

And I still doubt I have ever worked ANY station wherein YOU were
working the CW station, computer or otherwise.

> > > > > I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > > > > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > > > > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > > > > Air to render more assistance than I can
> > > > >
> > > > > And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
> > > > > hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
> > > > > knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
> > > > > the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
> > > > > tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...
> > > >
> > > > wel you yea sure it would take me longer, never diened that and yea if
> > > > the ship is sinking fast I may not be able to help, butAt least I tried
> > >
> > > "well" "denied" "yeah"
> > >
> > > "Gee too bad the ship sank and I COULD have saved them".
> >
> > No
> >
> > GEE to bad they could not have lasted a bit longer at least I tired

"tried"

But not very hard.

> > > > > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > > > > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > > > > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > > > > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
> > > > >
> > > > > He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
> > > > > not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
> > > > > and meaningful manner.
> > > >
> > > > dpends on how well the morse was send if the operator was good I can
> > > > turn it around in acouple of minutes if it is bad then maybe an hour
> > >
> > > "depends" "sent"
> > >
> > > It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
> > > do it.
> >
> > Liar

Nope. I could send it at 3 WPM and you'd still screw it up.

> if the morse is badly sent it matters, if the speed ins't even it
> matters. I can do it Me and MY pc that is, The mere fact i got a
> somewhat defective modem unit in my brain for such things doesn't mean
> I can't be fitted with an external modem that will work

Oh?

We keep trying to get you to use an "external modem" in the form
of a spell checker and you cna't seem to master that. What's to make us
believe you'd be any more functional using a PC for Morse Code
purposes?

> I know my limits...(SNIP)

Obviously not.

> (UNSNIP)...and I prepare for them.

Again, obviously not.

> (UNSNIP)...I considered long and hard
> the Claim of the Procoders about distress, and did something about it.
> I aquired the tools to deal with the issue, maybe I (and my Pc) are not
> as Good as you or Dave, maybe we are, but we are better than Many
> stations that passed the Code test and forgot code the next day,
> meaning I am good enough for the current bands

Are you?

> The Last a point that the ITU and the FCC agree is correct

I wish I knew what that was supposed to mean.

> > > But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> > > and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> > > to copy
> >
> > How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
> > you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
> > message was sent...?!?!
>
> I can send a message quote "to station apearing to sending SOS on this
> Frequency DE KB9RQZ please repeat now nature of you emergency, please
> be adivised that Sending slowy and evenly is required for this station
> to receive decode and attempt to assit you over"

In which case you would have violated on of the principle
guidelines for aiding stations in distress....DO NOT TRANSMIT unless
you are immdeiately able to assist.

> Indeed since the program I have has memories for sending caned messages
> that one I can send by hitting key <f1>

"canned"

Unless, of course, you meant you strike the mesaages with a stick
before you send them...?!?!

> > > > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> > > >
> > > > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!

> > > >
> > > > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
> > > >
> > > it was never the point
> >
> > Sure it was.
> >
> > Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and
> > WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
> > them.
> >
> > It's the WHOLE point!
>
> it never was the point
>
> The point was DID he know not wether he was required to

If he was NOT required to know there was STILL no violation since
his licensing authority didn't deem it important enough to address in
the first place THEY didn't deem it a violation!

> > > > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> > > > >
> > > > > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > > > > THIER administration's requiremments.
> > > > >
> > > > > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > > > > International law.
> > > >
> > > more evasion
> > >
> > It's not "evasion".
> >
> > It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.
> >
> > A question even YOU said was moot!
>
> it is evasion
> since it has nothing to do with wether the operation was legal or not

It wasn't illegal, knucklehead! That's the WHOLE point! There
was NO standing regulation that addressed it in the first place!

> > > > > Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.
> > > >
> > > > the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets
> > >
> > There's no "dancing".
> >

> > YOU have acknowledged that Dave wasn't required to know the French
> > stations limits.
> >
> > YOU have acknowledged that no one could be EXPECTED to know other
> > stations limits...
>
> Nope never said that

Sure you did.

> Said wasn't required

Sure you did.

> nothing about expected

Sure you did.

> more of your embellishing my words again

Nope.

Here's YOUR words quoted VERBATIM.

QUOTE:

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> - Find messages by this
author
Date: 9 Aug 2005 10:02:17 -0700
Local: Tues, Aug 9 2005 11:02 am
Subject: Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > it to you.

> > > Dave K8MN

> > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

> An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?

he never said any such thing

> Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> licensure?

of course not

> For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> his/her license?

of course not

> Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!

of course not

> Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...

But if the hams is ggod and expeenced he is likely to have a pretty
good idea

UNQUOTE

> > Yet YOU keep trying tio insist that it somehow applies differently
> > in this case...
>
> Not at all

Sure.

The above quote proves it exactly.

> > Which just makes YOU look (as if it were possible) even MORE
> > idiotitc.
>
> no just shows you and dave are not answering the question put

Complete the sentence, Markie.

> If Dave knew (by what ever means) they were out of band when he made
> the contacts then he was wrong to do it

You STILL have NOT provided ONE LINE OF APPLICABLE LAW OR
REGULATION to substantiate this claim, Markie.
>
> If dave only learned later they were out of band then he gets a ONE
> time pass.
>
> So until/unless Dave wants to answer questions about what did he know,
> and when did he know it all of this is evasion

> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

## 2037. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:06:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124215572.968031.117990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116338

an_old_friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > AOF:
> >
> > Look at your past posts, and those exchanging with you. All you are doing
> > is defending your self against an attack or forced into a counterattack
> > on someone attacking you. You have been effectively side-lined, removed
> > from the real game of constructive comments, ideas and exchanges on
> > amateur policy and future. If that isn't a plan to stop progress, it
> > still effectively functions as such and by past example here, it does seem
> > quite effective.
>
> Not realy I am partciating in threads with Dee about the future
>
> The thread with Dave and DX while rather acrimonious is on target as
> the ARS, being over what Is and isn't Good Ham practice

A subject of which you have no obvious experience in.

> The thread could be better of course but.. i can only work with what I
> am given

And there it is...

"...i can only work with what I am given..."

GimmeGimmeGimme.....

Uh huh...Nothing new there...

Steve, K4YZ

## 2038. Re: Ya Gotta Love Idiots like Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:11:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124215911.268432.39210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116339

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.
> > > > >
> > > > And Markie...
> > > > >
> > > > ...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...
> > > > >
> > > > Neither of which is true.
> > > >
> > > both are true
> > >
> > Nope
> >
> yep
>
> braggard and bully alleged Marine

Not a braggard, not a bully and there's nothing "alleged" about
having been in the Marines.

> or are you Now Dening being a marine?

Funny...You capitalize "dening" (denying) but diminutive Marine.

> coming clean about that are you?

Coming "clean" about what?

> > > and you qualify more than qualify
> > >
> > And you still can't put even a simple sentence together correctly.
> >
> so?

It makes your attempts to call others "idiots"
foolish...Especially when you put it in the subject line where EVERYONE
can see it without having to open the item to read it!

> you have lied in the past and you lie in the present

Nope and nope.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2039. Re: day 5 Stevie action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:15:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.18.15.02.723492@gmail.com>
Source record: 116340

AOF:

Defending the truth is noble, but obvious.

Possibly many have not seen a code reader/sender utilizing a computer. I
think it becomes apparent that two stations using code readers/senders at
rates 60-70-80+ wpm are out of the league of those copying by ear...
sending morse from a pre-existing text file, speeds of hundreds of wpm are
easily accomplished...

Only those who have never been exposed to such equipment will argue
counter...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 10:33:57 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> Look at your past posts, and those exchanging with you. All you are doing
>> is defending your self against an attack or forced into a counterattack
>> on someone attacking you. You have been effectively side-lined, removed
>> from the real game of constructive comments, ideas and exchanges on
>> amateur policy and future. If that isn't a plan to stop progress, it
>> still effectively functions as such and by past example here, it does seem
>> quite effective.
>
> Not realy I am partciating in threads with Dee about the future
>
> The thread with Dave and DX while rather acrimonious is on target as
> the ARS, being over what Is and isn't Good Ham practice
>
> The thread could be better of course but.. i can only work with what I
> am given
>
> Part of the thread with Dave deals with wether or not Morse Code
> knowledge is in fact required to use Morse Code for an effective
> purpose
>
> T spend more time on Better threads.... I need better threads to coment
> on, The quaility lately is pretty poor
>
> So not realy sidelined
>

>>
>> Argue personalities or argue ideas, facts, regs, rules and future, the
>> choice is yours. Arguing personalities is ridiculous, and unproductive.
>
> But Pusing back at Stevie Bluffing has resulted in fewer posts from
> him, and less of the realy bad rethoric from him
>
> He has Stopped relently attcking some others, Poster that I find to be
> more efective than myself tand that I by and large agree with
>
> Given my typing problems if I aid other that I agree with in expresing
> themsleves that is fine by me
>
>>
>> A course one has been following does not need to be continued nor followed
>> to a failed end. Change tactics and you change the outcome.
>
> I have changed Tactics and the outcome is improved, alas the results
> could be better, but in time hopefullY I will find yet better tactics
>
> But yeilding to the threats of petty bully is never a good idea
>
> No prefect Solution yet But I keep trying
>>
>> John
>>

## 2040. Stevies Action MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:24:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124216661.437583.143650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116341

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > AOF:
> > >
> > > Look at your past posts, and those exchanging with you. All you are doing
> > > is defending your self against an attack or forced into a counterattack
> > > on someone attacking you. You have been effectively side-lined, removed
> > > from the real game of constructive comments, ideas and exchanges on
> > > amateur policy and future. If that isn't a plan to stop progress, it
> > > still effectively functions as such and by past example here, it does seem
> > > quite effective.
> >
> > Not realy I am partciating in threads with Dee about the future
> >
> > The thread with Dave and DX while rather acrimonious is on target as
> > the ARS, being over what Is and isn't Good Ham practice
>
> A subject of which you have no obvious experience in.

Gee I have received no NAL from the FCC, that meats so standard of
Proof in other areas

>
> > The thread could be better of course but.. i can only work with what I
> > am given
>
> And there it is...
>
> "...i can only work with what I am given..."

Indeed with 2 people bent on avoiding answering a simple question I
can't do much
>
> GimmeGimmeGimme.....
>
> Uh huh...Nothing new there...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2041. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:48:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124218107.803680.207600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116343

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > > > > > > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > > > > > > of understanding what help was needed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > more of your pack of lies
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
> > > > > >
> > > > > YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.
> > > > >
> > > > Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear
> > > >
> > > Ear...fingers...flashing lights.
> > > >
> > > You're incapable. Period.
> > >
> > without using my PC No i can't
> >
> My point made. That's what I said in the first place.

No It is not what you said

You said I could not responf to Morse Code distres Signal

I can

>
> > But I never have my radios without having at least one of my pc's, So I
> > can Alawys do morse Code if I need to
> >
> You carry a PC on your belt? In your car? Always?

In my car always, Not a PC on my Belt but my wireless PDA and I am
never far from my car.

>
> > > > > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > > > > CAN learn Morse?
> > > > >
> > > > > nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
> > > > >
> > > > > Even Jim adknowledges that
> > > >
> > > break
> > > > Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...
> > >
> > > Lie
> >
> Nope. Truth.

I can't learn it Tried for years, under the assitance of Experts, the
same same ones that you flame me for ever having seen

>
> > I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am allowed to use for e
> > a Code test
>
> You can't do it. Won't do it.

I can do and Have done it

Face facts

Manual Morse Code just isn't that specail for modern PCs

>
> > I have logged long ragcrews in Cw I was doing it to help other hams
> > pass there tests and so rather than typing at each other In Mirc or
> > other means we chatted over the air me all PC for encoding and decoding
> > him by ear and keyer toreply.
>
> No...YOU didn't log "long ragchews"...Your PC did.

if you prefer

>
> > And anyone that can follow my typing converted to Morse can pass a test
> > at the same speed
>
> Except you.

What are you saying?

If I could follow my typed morse at any speed of Course I could pass a
test at the same speed. So my statement is prefectly accurate

Indeed I could also pass Morse Code test at any speed with my trusty pc
at my side

>
> > > > > > You refuse to acept facts
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes I do
> > > >
> > > > That makes you a voluntary idiot.
> > >
> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
>
> No.
yes

>
> It makes you a voluntary idiot.

nope

>
> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
>
> Nope. I want to be able to read your thoughts without having to
> interpret individual words.

I don't want you reading my thoughts at all.

But I want you to work to read my stuff.

To make you think, if that is possible for you

You want it given to you,

Go for it yourself you lazy bum

You want my words then work for it, don't ask for it to be Given to you

>
> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
>
> Not bullying, but you've already DONE it, Markie!

Nope
>
> As I stated in another thread...

as you lied in another thread

>
> > > > > > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It is if you don't know Morse Code.
> > > > >
> > > > > a few letter sure can't keep the whole set in my mind though
> > > >

> > > Perhaps the lack of "mind" with which to store the 26 letters and
> > > 10 numbers?
> >
> > it is a problem a type of mental capcity yes indeed. never deiend that,
> > that you insit on realting it to other matter I have deined when proper
>
> "capacity" "denied" "insist"
>
> You claim an IQ of 248 and more-than-adequate financial and
> material resources, but can't seem to fix simple problems without being
> taken by the hand and guided step-by-step.

you claim to undersatnd emdcine without knowing that Leraning Disorders
are not simple problems

Intellegnce and Dyslexia are not incompatble. If you were educated you
would know that

>
> > > > > > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > > > > > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > > > > > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > > > > > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > > > > > data...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > > If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
> > > > > send it?
> > > >
> > > > By using the computer to do so
> > >
> > > How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
> > > distress signal?
> >
> > if I here SOS or anything like it ....DUH
>
> "hear"
>
> You're unlikely to recognize it under ANY circumstances.

Likely? Unlikely who know?

If the Ship is out on Superior. I may well hear it and reconize it.

indeed how likely is it today athat A ship would Use Morse at all in
distress?

>
> > Now agreed I am not as likely to hear the signal, indeed even if you or
> > Dave were at my rig it would harder to read the CW signal since I don't
> > bother with specail Filters for CW etc. I would be looking through the
> > band in SSB sized slices et, so I am more likely to miss it, but IF I
> > hear it I will respond

>
> "special"
>
> No, Markie...If you're listening in "SSB sized slices", you are
> MORE likely to hear a CW distress signal.

Really if you say so, that isn't what ohers are saying but..

>
> > > > I have done so many times
> > >
> > > I bet you have.
> >
> > thank you
>
> That wasan't a gratuity, Markie.

but it should have been, and I was showing more manners than you

>
> > > > Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log
> > >
> > > Not in my log it isn't.
> >
> > maybe, maybe not, It would be a Club Call of course not KB9RQZ
>
> And I still doubt I have ever worked ANY station wherein YOU were
> working the CW station, computer or otherwise.

and you may be right, but you don't know, and for that matter nethier
do I

>
> > > > > > I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > > > > > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > > > > > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > > > > > Air to render more assistance than I can
> > > > >
> > > > > And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
> > > > > hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
> > > > > knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
> > > > > the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
> > > > > tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...
> > > >
> > > > wel you yea sure it would take me longer, never diened that and yea if
> > > > the ship is sinking fast I may not be able to help, butAt least I tried
> > >
> > > "well" "denied" "yeah"
> > >
> > > "Gee too bad the ship sank and I COULD have saved them".
> >
> > No

> >
> > GEE to bad they could not have lasted a bit longer at least I tired
>
> "tried"
>
> But not very hard.

Really?

More lies on Stevies part.

With the ARS luddite mentality on Morse Code, it takes a lot to
assemble and esp test a station using Computer Morse.

and Should that Unlikely occasion arise I will do what I can
>
> > > > > > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > > > > > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > > > > > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > > > > > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
> > > > > > not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
> > > > > > and meaningful manner.
> > > > >
> > > > > dpends on how well the morse was send if the operator was good I can
> > > > > turn it around in acouple of minutes if it is bad then maybe an hour
> > > >
> > > > "depends" "sent"
> > > >
> > > > It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
> > > > do it.
> > >
> > > Liar
> >
> > Nope. I could send it at 3 WPM and you'd still screw it up.

Nope but 5 wpm (a preset speed in the program would be better)

If I saw your call though I stop the operation at once

>
> > if the morse is badly sent it matters, if the speed ins't even it
> > matters. I can do it Me and MY pc that is, The mere fact i got a
> > somewhat defective modem unit in my brain for such things doesn't mean
> > I can't be fitted with an external modem that will work
> >
> > Oh?

yep

>
> We keep trying to get you to use an "external modem" in the form

> of a spell checker and you cna't seem to master that. What's to make us
> believe you'd be any more functional using a PC for Morse Code
> purposes?

Well You know I will put out effort to save a life, I will not to
please a bully

>
> > I know my limits...(SNIP)
>
> Obviously not.

sure do

Knowing one limits pushing them where desirable is part of living

>
> > (UNSNIP)...and I prepare for them.
>
> Again, obviously not.

sure do

just not in a Stevie approved manner

>
> > (UNSNIP)...I considered long and hard
> > the Claim of the Procoders about distress, and did something about it.
> > I aquired the tools to deal with the issue, maybe I (and my Pc) are not
> > as Good as you or Dave, maybe we are, but we are better than Many
> > stations that passed the Code test and forgot code the next day,
> > meaning I am good enough for the current bands
>
> Are you?

sure are

More fit than any of the Code users that boast of lacking a Mike
altogether

>
> > The Last a point that the ITU and the FCC agree is correct
>
> I wish I knew what that was supposed to mean.

Then of course you are stupid

It means that the ITU and FCC agree there is no need for manual code
testing or manaul code use, while both reamin premitted

>
> > > > But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> > > > and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> > > > to copy
> > >

> > > How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
> > > you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
> > > message was sent...?!?!
> >
> > I can send a message quote "to station apearing to sending SOS on this
> > Frequency DE KB9RQZ please repeat now nature of you emergency, please
> > be adivised that Sending slowy and evenly is required for this station
> > to receive decode and attempt to assit you over"
>
> In which case you would have violated on of the principle
> guidelines for aiding stations in distress....DO NOT TRANSMIT unless
> you are immdeiately able to assist.

nothing imporper about the message

I am prepared at once to assisit

>
> > Indeed since the program I have has memories for sending caned messages
> > that one I can send by hitting key <f1>
>
> "canned"
>
> Unless, of course, you meant you strike the mesaages with a stick
> before you send them...?!?!

to say something is "canned" meaning prepared is a clearly understood
by any one using thier brain

>
> > > > > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > > > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > > > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
> > > > >
> > > > > it was never the point
> > > >
> > > > Sure it was.
> > > >
> > > > Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and
> > > > WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
> > > > them.
> > > >
> > > > It's the WHOLE point!
> > >
> > > it never was the point
> > >
> > > The point was DID he know not wether he was required to
> >

> If he was NOT required to know there was STILL no violation since
> his licensing authority didn't deem it important enough to address in
> the first place THEY didn't deem it a violation!

wrong simply wrong
If he knew they were out of band he was wrong
that he was not required to know does not that fact

>
> > > > > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > > > > > THIER administration's requiremments.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > > > > > International law.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more evasion
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not "evasion".
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.
> > > > >
> > > > > A question even YOU said was moot!
> > >
> > > it is evasion
> > > since it has nothing to do with wether the operation was legal or not
> >
> > It wasn't illegal, knucklehead! That's the WHOLE point! There
> > was NO standing regulation that addressed it in the first place!

indeed there is but it is matter of Law, you may not knowingly aid
another in the comission of a crime

>
> > > > > > Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets
> > > > >
> > > > > There's no "dancing".
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU have acknowledged that Dave wasn't required to know the French
> > > > > stations limits.
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU have acknowledged that no one could be EXPECTED to know other
> > > > > stations limits...
> > > >
> > > > Nope never said that
> >

> Sure you did.

No I did not

you are lying again

>
> > Said wasn't required
>
> Sure you did.

Yes which is not the same as saying no one could be expected

>
> > nothing about expected
>
> Sure you did.

where?

>
> > more of your embellishing my words again
>
> Nope.

sure thing

>
> Here's YOUR words quoted VERBATIM.

yep and nothing in there about expectations

not a word
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 9 Aug 2005 10:02:17 -0700
> Local: Tues, Aug 9 2005 11:02 am
> Subject: Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it

> > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > it to you.
>
> > > > Dave K8MN
>
> > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
> > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
>
> he never said any such thing
>
> > Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> > you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> > licensure?
>
> of course not
>
> > For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> > domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> > his/her license?
>
> of course not
>
> > Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> > knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
>
> of course not
>
> > Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...
>
> But if the hams is ggod and expeenced he is likely to have a pretty
> good idea
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> > > Yet YOU keep trying tio insist that it somehow applies differently
> > > in this case...
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Sure.
>
> The above quote proves it exactly.
>
> > > Which just makes YOU look (as if it were possible) even MORE

> > > idiotitc.
> >
> > no just shows you and dave are not answering the question put
>
> Complete the sentence, Markie.
>
> > If Dave knew (by what ever means) they were out of band when he made
> > the contacts then he was wrong to do it
>
> You STILL have NOT provided ONE LINE OF APPLICABLE LAW OR
> REGULATION to substantiate this claim, Markie.
> >
> > If dave only learned later they were out of band then he gets a ONE
> > time pass.
> >
> > So until/unless Dave wants to answer questions about what did he know,
> > and when did he know it all of this is evasion
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

# 2042. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:48:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124218123.996907.162770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116342

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > From you own tale of your experience with trying to learn morse, you'd
> > > > > > > be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an emergency and 2) incapable
> > > > > > > of understanding what help was needed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > more of your pack of lies
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > From the archived words of Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
> > > > > >
> > > > > YOU said you COULDN'T DO IT.
> > > > >
> > > > Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear
> > > >
> > > Ear...fingers...flashing lights.
> > > >
> > > You're incapable. Period.
> > >
> > without using my PC No i can't
> >
> My point made. That's what I said in the first place.

No It is not what you said

You said I could not responf to Morse Code distres Signal

I can

>
> > But I never have my radios without having at least one of my pc's, So I
> > can Alawys do morse Code if I need to
> >
> You carry a PC on your belt? In your car? Always?

In my car always, Not a PC on my Belt but my wireless PDA and I am
never far from my car.

>
> > > > > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > > > > CAN learn Morse?
> > > > >
> > > > > nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
> > > > >
> > > > > Even Jim adknowledges that
> > > >
> > > break
> > > > Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...
> > >
> > > Lie
>
> Nope. Truth.

I can't learn it Tried for years, under the assitance of Experts, the
same same ones that you flame me for ever having seen

>
> > I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am allowed to use for e
> > a Code test
>
> You can't do it. Won't do it.

I can do and Have done it

Face facts

Manual Morse Code just isn't that specail for modern PCs

>
> > I have logged long ragcrews in Cw I was doing it to help other hams
> > pass there tests and so rather than typing at each other In Mirc or
> > other means we chatted over the air me all PC for encoding and decoding
> > him by ear and keyer toreply.
>
> No...YOU didn't log "long ragchews"...Your PC did.

if you prefer

>
> > And anyone that can follow my typing converted to Morse can pass a test
> > at the same speed
>
> Except you.

What are you saying?

If I could follow my typed morse at any speed of Course I could pass a
test at the same speed. So my statement is prefectly accurate

Indeed I could also pass Morse Code test at any speed with my trusty pc
at my side

>
> > > > > > You refuse to acept facts
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes I do
> > > >
> > > > That makes you a voluntary idiot.
> > >
> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
>
> No.
yes

>
> It makes you a voluntary idiot.

nope

>
> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
>
> Nope. I want to be able to read your thoughts without having to
> interpret individual words.

I don't want you reading my thoughts at all.

But I want you to work to read my stuff.

To make you think, if that is possible for you

You want it given to you,

Go for it yourself you lazy bum

You want my words then work for it, don't ask for it to be Given to you

>
> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
>
> Not bullying, but you've already DONE it, Markie!

Nope
>
> As I stated in another thread...

as you lied in another thread

>
> > > > > > > It isn't hard to reconize SoS
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > It is if you don't know Morse Code.
> > > > >
> > > > > a few letter sure can't keep the whole set in my mind though
> > > >

> > > Perhaps the lack of "mind" with which to store the 26 letters and
> > > 10 numbers?
> >
> > it is a problem a type of mental capcity yes indeed. never deiend that,
> > that you insit on realting it to other matter I have deined when proper
>
> "capacity" "denied" "insist"
>
> You claim an IQ of 248 and more-than-adequate financial and
> material resources, but can't seem to fix simple problems without being
> taken by the hand and guided step-by-step.

you claim to undersatnd emdcine without knowing that Leraning Disorders
are not simple problems

Intellegnce and Dyslexia are not incompatble. If you were educated you
would know that

>
> > > > > > Once reconized the action needed is clear, For me of course the
> > > > > > procedure is different I would record the signal for play back later.
> > > > > > Then I try ruing it though a computer decode, which should recover much
> > > > > > of the signal. or if not I send using the PC a sginal reequesting more
> > > > > > data...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > > If you can't copy code without the computer, how are you going to
> > > > > send it?
> > > >
> > > > By using the computer to do so
> > >
> > > How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
> > > distress signal?
> >
> > if I here SOS or anything like it ....DUH
>
> "hear"
>
> You're unlikely to recognize it under ANY circumstances.

Likely? Unlikely who know?

If the Ship is out on Superior. I may well hear it and reconize it.

indeed how likely is it today athat A ship would Use Morse at all in
distress?

>
> > Now agreed I am not as likely to hear the signal, indeed even if you or
> > Dave were at my rig it would harder to read the CW signal since I don't
> > bother with specail Filters for CW etc. I would be looking through the
> > band in SSB sized slices et, so I am more likely to miss it, but IF I
> > hear it I will respond

>
> "special"
>
> No, Markie...If you're listening in "SSB sized slices", you are
> MORE likely to hear a CW distress signal.

Really if you say so, that isn't what ohers are saying but..

>
> > > > I have done so many times
> > >
> > > I bet you have.
> >
> > thank you
>
> That wasan't a gratuity, Markie.

but it should have been, and I was showing more manners than you

>
> > > > Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log
> > >
> > > Not in my log it isn't.
> >
> > maybe, maybe not, It would be a Club Call of course not KB9RQZ
>
> And I still doubt I have ever worked ANY station wherein YOU were
> working the CW station, computer or otherwise.

and you may be right, but you don't know, and for that matter nethier
do I

>
> > > > > > I run it through until I have or find I can't read it with a
> > > > > > machine. If i can't read it then I start calling people who can read
> > > > > > the signal play it for them let them decode it and/or get them on the
> > > > > > Air to render more assistance than I can
> > > > >
> > > > > And by the time this has all transpired and you've spent a couple
> > > > > hours banging out your dreck in RRAP due to your frustrations at not
> > > > > knowing if it was a distress signal or Dave and I talking about you,
> > > > > the ship has gone down with loss of life. Too bad, too...There was a
> > > > > tanker only 10 miles away that could have diverted and assisted...
> > > >
> > > > wel you yea sure it would take me longer, never diened that and yea if
> > > > the ship is sinking fast I may not be able to help, butAt least I tried
> > >
> > > "well" "denied" "yeah"
> > >
> > > "Gee too bad the ship sank and I COULD have saved them".
> >
> > No

> >
> > GEE to bad they could not have lasted a bit longer at least I tired
>
> "tried"
>
> But not very hard.

Really?

More lies on Stevies part.

With the ARS luddite mentality on Morse Code, it takes a lot to
assemble and esp test a station using Computer Morse.

and Should that Unlikely occasion arise I will do what I can
>
> > > > > > So your satement "From you own tale of your experience with trying to
> > > > > > learn morse, you'd be 1) incapable of recognizing that it was an
> > > > > > emergency and 2) incapable of understanding what help was needed.", Is
> > > > > > a bald faced lie,and if you had any brains you'd know that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He does have the brains. He very aptly pointed out that you have
> > > > > > not learned Morse Code and as a consequence can not respond in a prompt
> > > > > > and meaningful manner.
> > > > >
> > > > > dpends on how well the morse was send if the operator was good I can
> > > > > turn it around in acouple of minutes if it is bad then maybe an hour
> > > >
> > > > "depends" "sent"
> > > >
> > > > It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
> > > > do it.
> > >
> > > Liar
> >
> > Nope. I could send it at 3 WPM and you'd still screw it up.

Nope but 5 wpm (a preset speed in the program would be better)

If I saw your call though I stop the operation at once

>
> > if the morse is badly sent it matters, if the speed ins't even it
> > matters. I can do it Me and MY pc that is, The mere fact i got a
> > somewhat defective modem unit in my brain for such things doesn't mean
> > I can't be fitted with an external modem that will work
> >
> > Oh?

yep

>
> We keep trying to get you to use an "external modem" in the form

> of a spell checker and you cna't seem to master that. What's to make us
> believe you'd be any more functional using a PC for Morse Code
> purposes?

Well You know I will put out effort to save a life, I will not to
please a bully

>
> > I know my limits...(SNIP)
>
> Obviously not.

sure do

Knowing one limits pushing them where desirable is part of living

>
> > (UNSNIP)...and I prepare for them.
>
> Again, obviously not.

sure do

just not in a Stevie approved manner

>
> > (UNSNIP)...I considered long and hard
> > the Claim of the Procoders about distress, and did something about it.
> > I aquired the tools to deal with the issue, maybe I (and my Pc) are not
> > as Good as you or Dave, maybe we are, but we are better than Many
> > stations that passed the Code test and forgot code the next day,
> > meaning I am good enough for the current bands
>
> Are you?

sure are

More fit than any of the Code users that boast of lacking a Mike
altogether

>
> > The Last a point that the ITU and the FCC agree is correct
>
> I wish I knew what that was supposed to mean.

Then of course you are stupid

It means that the ITU and FCC agree there is no need for manual code
testing or manaul code use, while both reamin premitted

>
> > > > But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> > > > and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> > > > to copy
> > >

> > > How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
> > > you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
> > > message was sent...?!?!
> >
> > I can send a message quote "to station apearing to sending SOS on this
> > Frequency DE KB9RQZ please repeat now nature of you emergency, please
> > be adivised that Sending slowy and evenly is required for this station
> > to receive decode and attempt to assit you over"
>
> In which case you would have violated on of the principle
> guidelines for aiding stations in distress....DO NOT TRANSMIT unless
> you are immdeiately able to assist.

nothing imporper about the message

I am prepared at once to assisit

>
> > Indeed since the program I have has memories for sending caned messages
> > that one I can send by hitting key <f1>
>
> "canned"
>
> Unless, of course, you meant you strike the mesaages with a stick
> before you send them...?!?!

to say something is "canned" meaning prepared is a clearly understood
by any one using thier brain

>
> > > > > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > > > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > > > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
> > > > >
> > > > > it was never the point
> > > >
> > > > Sure it was.
> > > >
> > > > Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and
> > > > WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
> > > > them.
> > > >
> > > > It's the WHOLE point!
> > >
> > > it never was the point
> > >
> > > The point was DID he know not wether he was required to
> >

> If he was NOT required to know there was STILL no violation since
> his licensing authority didn't deem it important enough to address in
> the first place THEY didn't deem it a violation!

wrong simply wrong
If he knew they were out of band he was wrong
that he was not required to know does not that fact

>
> > > > > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > > > > > THIER administration's requiremments.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > > > > > International law.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more evasion
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not "evasion".
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.
> > > > >
> > > > > A question even YOU said was moot!
> > >
> > > it is evasion
> > > since it has nothing to do with wether the operation was legal or not
> >
> > It wasn't illegal, knucklehead! That's the WHOLE point! There
> > was NO standing regulation that addressed it in the first place!

indeed there is but it is matter of Law, you may not knowingly aid
another in the comission of a crime

>
> > > > > > Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets
> > > > >
> > > > > There's no "dancing".
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU have acknowledged that Dave wasn't required to know the French
> > > > > stations limits.
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU have acknowledged that no one could be EXPECTED to know other
> > > > > stations limits...
> > >
> > > Nope never said that
> >

> Sure you did.

No I did not

you are lying again

>
> > Said wasn't required
>
> Sure you did.

Yes which is not the same as saying no one could be expected

>
> > nothing about expected
>
> Sure you did.

where?

>
> > more of your embellishing my words again
>
> Nope.

sure thing

>
> Here's YOUR words quoted VERBATIM.

yep and nothing in there about expectations

not a word

why do you lie so badly?
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 9 Aug 2005 10:02:17 -0700
> Local: Tues, Aug 9 2005 11:02 am
> Subject: Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>

> > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > it to you.
>
> > > > Dave K8MN
>
> > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
> > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
>
> he never said any such thing
>
> > Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> > you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> > licensure?
>
> of course not
>
> > For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> > domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> > his/her license?
>
> of course not
>
> > Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> > knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
>
> of course not
>
> > Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...
>
> But if the hams is ggod and expeenced he is likely to have a pretty
> good idea
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> > > Yet YOU keep trying tio insist that it somehow applies differently
> > > in this case...
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Sure.
>
> The above quote proves it exactly.

> 
> > > Which just makes YOU look (as if it were possible) even MORE
> > > idiotitc.
> > 
> > no just shows you and dave are not answering the question put
> 
> Complete the sentence, Markie.
> 
> > If Dave knew (by what ever means) they were out of band when he made
> > the contacts then he was wrong to do it
> 
> You STILL have NOT provided ONE LINE OF APPLICABLE LAW OR
> REGULATION to substantiate this claim, Markie.
> > 
> > If dave only learned later they were out of band then he gets a ONE
> > time pass.
> > 
> > So until/unless Dave wants to answer questions about what did he know,
> > and when did he know it all of this is evasion
> > > 
> > > Steve, K4YZ

# 2043. Re: Ya Gotta Love Idiots like Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:51:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124218311.953996.99130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116344

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And Markie...
> > > > > >
> > > > > ...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Neither of which is true.
> > > > >
> > > > both are true
> > > >
> > > Nope
> > >
> > yep
> > >
> > braggard and bully alleged Marine
>
> Not a braggard, not a bully and there's nothing "alleged" about
> having been in the Marines.

yes a Braggard and a bully and it is alleged about being a marine, you
have not proven it ever

not that you can prove it here ever

>
> > or are you Now Dening being a marine?
>
> Funny...You capitalize "dening" (denying) but diminutive Marine.

so?

>
> > coming clean about that are you?
>
> Coming "clean" about what?
>
> > > > and you qualify more than qualify
> > >

> > > And you still can't put even a simple sentence together correctly.
> >
> > so?
>
> It makes your attempts to call others "idiots"
> foolish...Especially when you put it in the subject line where EVERYONE
> can see it without having to open the item to read it!

not at all
>
> > you have lied in the past and you lie in the present
>
> Nope and nope.

sure do and continue to

Again Jim asks and other as well

If I am so worthless why do you bother to hang on ervery word I type?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2044. Re: day 5 Stevie action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:53:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124218380.308290.124790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116345

just doing my best to expose someone to such a concept

besides I like feeling noble
John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Defending the truth is noble, but obvious.
>
> Possibly many have not seen a code reader/sender utilizing a computer. I
> think it becomes apparent that two stations using code readers/senders at
> rates 60-70-80+ wpm are out of the league of those copying by ear...
> sending morse from a pre-existing text file, speeds of hundreds of wpm are
> easily accomplished...
>
> Only those who have never been exposed to such equipment will argue
> counter...
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 10:33:57 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> AOF:
> >>
> >> Look at your past posts, and those exchanging with you. All you are doing
> >> is defending your self against an attack or forced into a counterattack
> >> on someone attacking you. You have been effectively side-lined, removed
> >> from the real game of constructive comments, ideas and exchanges on
> >> amateur policy and future. If that isn't a plan to stop progress, it
> >> still effectively functions as such and by past example here, it does seem
> >> quite effective.
> >
> > Not realy I am partciating in threads with Dee about the future
> >
> > The thread with Dave and DX while rather acrimonious is on target as
> > the ARS, being over what Is and isn't Good Ham practice
> >
> > The thread could be better of course but.. i can only work with what I
> > am given
> >
> > Part of the thread with Dave deals with wether or not Morse Code
> > knowledge is in fact required to use Morse Code for an effective
> > purpose

> >
> > T spend more time on Better threads.... I need better threads to coment
> > on, The quaility lately is pretty poor
> >
> > So not realy sidelined
> >
> >>
> >> Argue personalities or argue ideas, facts, regs, rules and future, the
> >> choice is yours. Arguing personalities is ridiculous, and unproductive.
> >
> > But Pusing back at Stevie Bluffing has resulted in fewer posts from
> > him, and less of the realy bad rethoric from him
> >
> > He has Stopped relently attcking some others, Poster that I find to be
> > more efective than myself tand that I by and large agree with
> >
> > Given my typing problems if I aid other that I agree with in expresing
> > themsleves that is fine by me
> >
> >>
> >> A course one has been following does not need to be continued nor followed
> >> to a failed end. Change tactics and you change the outcome.
> >
> > I have changed Tactics and the outcome is improved, alas the results
> > could be better, but in time hopefullY I will find yet better tactics
> >
> > But yeilding to the threats of petty bully is never a good idea
> >
> > No prefect Solution yet But I keep trying
> >>
> >> John
> >>

# 2045. anything on this Re: Cops arrest UK ham for "Incitement to Racial Hatred" on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 18:55:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124218521.412120.119470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116346

anything new on this story

G1LVN (for it is he) wrote:
> UK Police pull the plug on English Radio Ham just hours after a QSO on 20m
> with a fellow Ham in VK talking about the London 21st July Terror Attacks:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/ch8sh
>
>
> --
> 73deG1LVN
> <----- Keeping tabs on incitement to
> racial hatred on 20m --------> with:
> www.g1lvn.org.uk/20mMonitor.htm

# 2046. stevie too stupid to ntice he being insulted <<<Ya Gotta Love Idiots Who Claim Others Are Stupid While Mispelling The Accusation!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 19:05:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124219108.788225.36630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116347

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And Markie...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Neither of which is true.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > both are true
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope
> > > >
> > > > yep
> > > >
> > > > braggard and bully alleged Marine
> > >
> > > Not a braggard, not a bully and there's nothing "alleged" about
> > > having been in the Marines.
> >
> > yes a Braggard and a bully and it is alleged about being a marine, you
> > have not proven it ever
> >
> > not that you can prove it here ever

Sure I can.

I've provided more than one credible third party that can
corroborate my previous duty status.

As for "bragard and bully", you're tilting at windmills, Markie!

> > > or are you Now Dening being a marine?
> > >
> > > Funny...You capitalize "dening" (denying) but diminutive Marine.
> >
```

> so?

My assertion of your ineptness proven by YOUR hand.

> > > coming clean about that are you?
> >
> > Coming "clean" about what?

No answer?

> > > > > and you qualify more than qualify
> > > > >
> > > > > And you still can't put even a simple sentence together correctly.
> > > >
> > > > so?
> > >
> > > It makes your attempts to call others "idiots"
> > > foolish...Especially when you put it in the subject line where EVERYONE
> > > can see it without having to open the item to read it!
> >
> > not at all

Sure it does.

> > > you have lied in the past and you lie in the present
> > >
> > > Nope and nope.
> >
> > sure do and continue to
> >
> > Again Jim asks and other as well
> >
> > If I am so worthless why do you bother to hang on ervery word I type?

I don't. But worthless or not, liars deserve to be kept at bay
for what they are. I've done that rather effectively.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2047. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 19:19:47 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ddte8j$19m4$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 116348

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> Then, where some have pointed out CW is a problem, and then they form NCI
> and go right on and change it much gain your respect and support, mine too!

I certainly respect Bill. I argue with him sometimes, but We've always
kept it civil - tho' I don't think he likes my "predictions" very much! 8^)

I even respect Carl, even if he cahnged his mind about some pretty
important things. I would offer some advice to him tho': Never say never...

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 2048. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 19:55:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124222142.626476.96150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116349

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:

> > > > > > Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear
> > > > >
> > > > > Ear...fingers...flashing lights.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're incapable. Period.
> > > >
> > > without using my PC No i can't
> > >
> > My point made. That's what I said in the first place.
>
> No It is not what you said
>
> You said I could not responf to Morse Code distres Signal
>
> I can

No...YOU can't.

Your computer MAY, but only if YOU can recognize the distress in
the first place.

As I said...POINT MADE!

> > > But I never have my radios without having at least one of my pc's, So I
> > > can Alawys do morse Code if I need to
> > >
> > You carry a PC on your belt? In your car? Always?
>
> In my car always, Not a PC on my Belt but my wireless PDA and I am
> never far from my car.

You're never far from caring hands that can heal you of your
illnesses, either, Mark, but it seems you won't use those effectively
either!

> > > > > > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > > > > > CAN learn Morse?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Even Jim adknowledges that
> > > > >

> > > break
> > > > Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...
> > >
> > > Lie
> >
> > Nope. Truth.
>
> I can't learn it Tried for years, under the assitance of Experts, the
> same same ones that you flame me for ever having seen

You WON'T do it.

> > > I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am allowed to use for e
> > > a Code test
> >
> > You can't do it. Won't do it.
>
> I can do and Have done it
>
> Face facts

But WAIT! Just a handful of lines up you said YOU CAN'T...! ! !

> Manual Morse Code just isn't that specail for modern PCs

It takes up a lot less memory than a spellchecker. Old TRS-80's
could do it with the software held on cassete tapes!

> > > I have logged long ragcrews in Cw I was doing it to help other hams
> > > pass there tests and so rather than typing at each other In Mirc or
> > > other means we chatted over the air me all PC for encoding and decoding
> > > him by ear and keyer toreply.
> >
> > No...YOU didn't log "long ragchews"...Your PC did.
>
> if you prefer

It's not what >>I<< prefer...

It's about you misepresenting the truth again.

> > > And anyone that can follow my typing converted to Morse can pass a test
> > > at the same speed
> >
> > Except you.
>
> What are you saying?
>
> If I could follow my typed morse at any speed of Course I could pass a
> test at the same speed. So my statement is prefectly accurate

No, it's not.

YOU can't pass a Morse Code exam at ANY speed...You said that in
THIS VERY POST!

> Indeed I could also pass Morse Code test at any speed with my trusty pc
> at my side

No...YOU could not pass a Morse Code test.

> > > > > > > You refuse to acept facts
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yes I do
> > > > >
> > > > > That makes you a voluntary idiot.
> > >
> > > no it makes me at worst stuborn
> >
> > No.
> yes

Nope.

> > It makes you a voluntary idiot.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
> >
> > Nope. I want to be able to read your thoughts without having to
> > interpret individual words.
>
> I don't want you reading my thoughts at all.

Then why bomb the NG with ANY of it, Markie..?!?!

> But I want you to work to read my stuff.

Then we've proven who the bully is here, Markie!

Thanks!

> To make you think, if that is possible for you

Sure it is..

As a matter of fact I have pushed you to improve your spelling.

It's worked.

> You want it given to you

Nope. I want it in the same English that everyone else uses here.

> Go for it yourself you lazy bum

Not lazy.

> You want my words then work for it, don't ask for it to be Given to you

You don't want to be called an idiot, a fool, or illiterate.

Yet you do those things that substantiate my claims.

Willing or not, I've pushed you to improve yourself. But you have
a long way to go.

> > > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
> >
> > Not bullying, but you've already DONE it, Markie!
>
> Nope

Yep!

> > As I stated in another thread...
>
> as you lied in another thread

No, I haven't.

But anyone who's been following any of this can attest to the
improvement in your spelling over the last several weeks.

> > You claim an IQ of 248 and more-than-adequate financial and
> > material resources, but can't seem to fix simple problems without being
> > taken by the hand and guided step-by-step.
>
> you claim to undersatnd emdcine without knowing that Leraning Disorders
> are not simple problems

"understand" "medicine" "learning"

I know they're not. But they are also fixable.

That I've pushed you into doing it here already is proof of it.

That you deny it in the face of several weeks of evidence to the
contrary proves me right.

> Intellegnce and Dyslexia are not incompatble. If you were educated you
> would know that

"Intelligence"

I am educated. "Informed", too.

Neither lead me to believe you're as intelligent as you claim.

> > > > How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
> > > > distress signal?
> > >
> > > if I here SOS or anything like it ....DUH

> >
> > "hear"
> >
> > You're unlikely to recognize it under ANY circumstances.
>
> Likely? Unlikely who know?

"I know"

> If the Ship is out on Superior. I may well hear it and reconize it.

It could be in your back yard and you'd not know.

> indeed how likely is it today athat A ship would Use Morse at all in
> distress?

Does it matter? You'd not be able to process it in any case.

> > > Now agreed I am not as likely to hear the signal, indeed even if you or
> > > Dave were at my rig it would harder to read the CW signal since I don't
> > > bother with specail Filters for CW etc. I would be looking through the
> > > band in SSB sized slices et, so I am more likely to miss it, but IF I
> > > hear it I will respond
> > >
> > "special"
> >
> > No, Markie...If you're listening in "SSB sized slices", you are
> > MORE likely to hear a CW distress signal.
>
> Really if you say so, that isn't what ohers are saying but..

"others"

Yes, I say so. Your likelyhood of initially hearing a distress
signal using a modern Amateur transceiver is far greater if you're
listening without filters.

Put your hands up to your eyes with the lateral aspect of the palm
by the outer orbits and the medial aspects at 180d to the canthus of
the eyes. You have almost complete field of vision.

Now rotate your hands to 90d from the axis of the canthus of the
eyes. Your field of vision is now markedly reduced.

The same happens with the use of filters in an SSB/CW radio.

> > > > > I have done so many times
> > > > >
> > > > > I bet you have.
> > > >
> > > > thank you
> > >
> > That wasan't a gratuity, Markie.
>

> but it should have been, and I was showing more manners than you

No, you weren't.

You were "assuming".

> > > > > Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log
> > > > >
> > > > > Not in my log it isn't.
> > > >
> > > > maybe, maybe not, It would be a Club Call of course not KB9RQZ
> > >
> > And I still doubt I have ever worked ANY station wherein YOU were
> > working the CW station, computer or otherwise.
>
> and you may be right, but you don't know, and for that matter nethier
> do I

I AM right and yes I DO know.

> > > GEE to bad they could not have lasted a bit longer at least I tired
> > >
> > "tried"
> >
> > But not very hard.
>
> Really?
>
> More lies on Stevies part.

Nope. Evidence on Markie's part proves...

> With the ARS luddite mentality on Morse Code, it takes a lot to
> assemble and esp test a station using Computer Morse.

It doesn't take 5 minutes.

Plug the speaker into the sound card, turn the computer on and
load the software.

In any case it takes more time than it takes me to just copy the
signal and call the Coast Gurad or AFRCC.

> and Should that Unlikely occasion arise I will do what I can

Which will be too little too late.

> > > > It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
> > > > > do it.
> > > >
> > > > Liar
> > >
> > Nope. I could send it at 3 WPM and you'd still screw it up.
>

> Nope but 5 wpm (a preset speed in the program would be better)

My ears don't need a "preset".

> If I saw your call though I stop the operation at once

Scardiy-Cat!

> > We keep trying to get you to use an "external modem" in the form
> > of a spell checker and you cna't seem to master that. What's to make us
> > believe you'd be any more functional using a PC for Morse Code
> > purposes?
>
> Well You know I will put out effort to save a life, I will not to
> please a bully

If you managed to save a life, you would please a lot of people.

And if a bully shows up here, I am sure s/he'd be pleased too.

> > > I know my limits...(SNIP)
> >
> > Obviously not.
>
> sure do

Obviously not.

> Knowing one limits pushing them where desirable is part of living
>
> >
> > > (UNSNIP)...and I prepare for them.
> >
> > Again, obviously not.
>
> sure do

Obviously not.

> just not in a Stevie approved manner

That's a list somewhere?

> > > (UNSNIP)...I considered long and hard
> > > the Claim of the Procoders about distress, and did something about it.
> > > I aquired the tools to deal with the issue, maybe I (and my Pc) are not
> > > as Good as you or Dave, maybe we are, but we are better than Many
> > > stations that passed the Code test and forgot code the next day,
> > > meaning I am good enough for the current bands
> >
> > Are you?
>
> sure are
>

> More fit than any of the Code users that boast of lacking a Mike
> altogether

Lacking a Mike?

I have a John, Paul, George and Ringo here...do they count?

> > > The Last a point that the ITU and the FCC agree is correct
> >
> > I wish I knew what that was supposed to mean.
>
> Then of course you are stupid

No...I just can't figure out what that sentence was supposed to
say!

> It means that the ITU and FCC agree there is no need for manual code
> testing or manaul code use, while both reamin premitted

"permitted"

So far the FCC hasn't made that official.

> > > > > But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> > > > > and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> > > > > to copy
> > > >
> > > > How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
> > > > you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
> > > > message was sent...?!?!
> > >
> > > I can send a message quote "to station apearing to sending SOS on this
> > > Frequency DE KB9RQZ please repeat now nature of you emergency, please
> > > be adivised that Sending slowy and evenly is required for this station
> > > to receive decode and attempt to assit you over"
> >
> > In which case you would have violated on of the principle
> > guidelines for aiding stations in distress....DO NOT TRANSMIT unless
> > you are immdeiately able to assist.
>
> nothing imporper about the message

Sure it is.

You have encumbered the distressed operator with having to
accomodate YOUR inadequcies when he COULD be talking to someone who
could help him.

> I am prepared at once to assisit

"assist"

Not unless the message was by voice.

> > > Indeed since the program I have has memories for sending caned messages
> > > that one I can send by hitting key <f1>
> >
> > "canned"
> >
> > Unless, of course, you meant you strike the mesaages with a stick
> > before you send them...?!?!
>
> to say something is "canned" meaning prepared is a clearly understood
> by any one using thier brain

But that's NOT what I was addressing.

I WAS addressing your improper use of the word "caned". To be
"caned" is to be punished by being struck across the back or buttocks
with a cane.

> > > > > > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > > > > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > > > > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > it was never the point
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure it was.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and
> > > > > > WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
> > > > > > them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's the WHOLE point!
> > > > >
> > > > > it never was the point
> > > > >
> > > > > The point was DID he know not wether he was required to
> > >
> > > If he was NOT required to know there was STILL no violation since
> > > his licensing authority didn't deem it important enough to address in
> > > the first place THEY didn't deem it a violation!
> >
> > wrong simply wrong

Nope. Simply nope.

> If he knew they were out of band he was wrong

Where is the law or regulation that established his error?

> that he was not required to know does not that fact

Where is the law or regualtion that establishes his error?

> > > > > > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > > > > > > THIER administration's requiremments.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > > > > > > International law.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > more evasion
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's not "evasion".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > A question even YOU said was moot!
> > > > >
> > > > > it is evasion
> > > > > since it has nothing to do with wether the operation was legal or not
> > >
> > > It wasn't illegal, knucklehead! That's the WHOLE point! There
> > > was NO standing regulation that addressed it in the first place!
> >
> > indeed there is but it is matter of Law, you may not knowingly aid
> > another in the comission of a crime

WHAT CRIME?

No "crime" was perpetrated.

Dave had NO requirement to know if the French operators were "in
band" or not.

Even if they WERE "out of band", the point STILL remains that Dave
was NOT out of band.

There is NO international regulation that establishes even a HINT
of culpability for communication with stations that MAY be operating
beyond the scope of thier license!

Not a one!

> > > > > > Which means YOU haven't got a leg to stand on.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > the longer you 2 dance around missing the point the better it gets
> > > > >
> > > > > There's no "dancing".
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU have acknowledged that Dave wasn't required to know the French
> > > > > stations limits.
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU have acknowledged that no one could be EXPECTED to know other

> > > > stations limits...
> > >
> > > Nope never said that
> >
> > Sure you did.
>
> No I did not
>
> you are lying again

Nope.

> > > Said wasn't required
> >
> > Sure you did.
>
> Yes which is not the same as saying no one could be expected

NOW LOOK WHO'S DANCING!

> > > nothing about expected
> >
> > Sure you did.
>
> where?
>
> >
> > > more of your embellishing my words again
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure thing

Nope.

> > Here's YOUR words quoted VERBATIM.
>
> yep and nothing in there about expectations
>
> not a word
>
> why do you lie so badly?

No lie.

The ENTIRE quote below is about what you could expect a licensee
to know or be responsible for.

> > QUOTE:
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> - Find messages by this
> > author

> > Date: 9 Aug 2005 10:02:17 -0700
> > Local: Tues, Aug 9 2005 11:02 am
> > Subject: Re: An Even BETTER Question for Brain...
> > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > original | Report Abuse
> >
> > - Hide quoted text -
> > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Yes, Mark. I told "bb" that I've never seen a branch deep enough to swim
> > > > > in (follow along here) and he said that I probably "layed down" in it
> > > > > though there was no indication of any probability that I would do any
> > > > > such thing. I asked him what my motivation would be for doing so. If
> > > > > you need further help on this stuff, I'll be happy to attempt to explain
> > > > > it to you.
> > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > > A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> > > > of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> > >
> > > An ever better yet question (and one that's already been addressed
> > > to but unanswered BY Brain...) is where was Dave ever responsible for
> > > knowing what the allowed band limits by ANY foreign Amateur were?
> > >
> > he never said any such thing
> > >
> > > Can YOU swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY foreign Amateur
> > > you ever "worked" was operating within his/her allowed scope of
> > > licensure?
> > >
> > of course not
> > >
> > > For that matter can you swear, with ABSOLUTE certainty, that EVERY
> > > domestic station you've ever "worked" was operating within the scope of
> > > his/her license?
> > >
> > of course not
> > >
> > > Can YOU show where in Part 97 it requires an FCC licensee to be
> > > knowledgable of OTHER administrations licensing criteria...?!?!
> > >
> > of course not
> > >
> > > Just wondering, since YOU keep making an issue of it...
> > >

> > But if the hams is ggod and expeenced he is likely to have a pretty
> > good idea
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > > > Yet YOU keep trying tio insist that it somehow applies differently
> > > > in this case...
> > >
> > > Not at all
> >
> > Sure.
> >
> > The above quote proves it exactly.
> >
> > > > Which just makes YOU look (as if it were possible) even MORE
> > > > idiotitc.
> > >
> > > no just shows you and dave are not answering the question put
> >
> > Complete the sentence, Markie.
> >
> > > > If Dave knew (by what ever means) they were out of band when he made
> > > > the contacts then he was wrong to do it
> >
> > You STILL have NOT provided ONE LINE OF APPLICABLE LAW OR
> > REGULATION to substantiate this claim, Markie.
> > >
> > > If dave only learned later they were out of band then he gets a ONE
> > > time pass.
> > >
> > > So until/unless Dave wants to answer questions about what did he know,
> > > and when did he know it all of this is evasion

Still no evasion.

What we DO have is Mark C Morgan caught in his own whirlpool of
silliness.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2049. Re: day 5 Stevie action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 20:01:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.20.01.13.832786@gmail.com>
Source record: 116401

```
AOF:

You are too much... <grin>

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 11:53:00 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

> just doing my best to expose someone to such a concept
>
> besides I like feeling noble
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> Defending the truth is noble, but obvious.
>>
>> Possibly many have not seen a code reader/sender utilizing a computer. I
>> think it becomes apparent that two stations using code readers/senders at
>> rates 60-70-80+ wpm are out of the league of those copying by ear...
>> sending morse from a pre-existing text file, speeds of hundreds of wpm are
>> easily accomplished...
>>
>> Only those who have never been exposed to such equipment will argue
>> counter...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 10:33:57 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> AOF:
>> >>
>> >> Look at your past posts, and those exchanging with you. All you are doing
>> >> is defending your self against an attack or forced into a counterattack
>> >> on someone attacking you. You have been effectively side-lined, removed
>> >> from the real game of constructive comments, ideas and exchanges on
>> >> amateur policy and future. If that isn't a plan to stop progress, it
>> >> still effectively functions as such and by past example here, it does seem
>> >> quite effective.
>> >
>> > Not realy I am partciating in threads with Dee about the future
>> >
>> > The thread with Dave and DX while rather acrimonious is on target as
>> > the ARS, being over what Is and isn't Good Ham practice
```

>> >
>> > The thread could be better of course but.. i can only work with what I
>> > am given
>> >
>> > Part of the thread with Dave deals with wether or not Morse Code
>> > knowledge is in fact required to use Morse Code for an effective
>> > purpose
>> >
>> > T spend more time on Better threads.... I need better threads to coment
>> > on, The quaility lately is pretty poor
>> >
>> > So not realy sidelined
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Argue personalities or argue ideas, facts, regs, rules and future, the
>> >> choice is yours. Arguing personalities is ridiculous, and unproductive.
>> >
>> > But Pusing back at Stevie Bluffing has resulted in fewer posts from
>> > him, and less of the realy bad rethoric from him
>> >
>> > He has Stopped relently attcking some others, Poster that I find to be
>> > more efective than myself tand that I by and large agree with
>> >
>> > Given my typing problems if I aid other that I agree with in expresing
>> > themsleves that is fine by me
>> >
>> >>
>> >> A course one has been following does not need to be continued nor followed
>> >> to a failed end. Change tactics and you change the outcome.
>> >
>> > I have changed Tactics and the outcome is improved, alas the results
>> > could be better, but in time hopefullY I will find yet better tactics
>> >
>> > But yeilding to the threats of petty bully is never a good idea
>> >
>> > No prefect Solution yet But I keep trying
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >>

# 2050. Re: Ya Gotta Love Idiots like Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 20:03:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.20.03.28.1637@gmail.com>
Source record: 116400

```
AOF:

Let me explain it in this context, "Having a wino tell you the truth is
much preferred to a marine telling you a line of BS."

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 11:51:51 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > > KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine and Nursie...(SNIP)
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Odd...I've never seen KY4Z post here.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > And Markie...
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > ...it's "braggard" and "alleged"...
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Neither of which is true.
>> > > > >
>> > > > both are true
>> > > >
>> > > Nope
>> > >
>> > yep
>> >
>> > braggard and bully alleged Marine
>>
>> Not a braggard, not a bully and there's nothing "alleged" about
>> having been in the Marines.
>
> yes a Braggard and a bully and it is alleged about being a marine, you
> have not proven it ever
>
> not that you can prove it here ever
>
>>
>> > or are you Now Dening being a marine?
>>
```

>> Funny...You capitalize "dening" (denying) but diminutive Marine.
>
> so?
>
>>
>> > coming clean about that are you?
>>
>> Coming "clean" about what?
>>
>> > > > and you qualify more than qualify
>> > >
>> > > And you still can't put even a simple sentence together correctly.
>> >
>> > so?
>>
>> It makes your attempts to call others "idiots"
>> foolish...Especially when you put it in the subject line where EVERYONE
>> can see it without having to open the item to read it!
>
> not at all
>>
>> > you have lied in the past and you lie in the present
>>
>> Nope and nope.
>
> sure do and continue to
>
> Again Jim asks and other as well
>
> If I am so worthless why do you bother to hang on ervery word I type?
>
>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

# 2051. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 20:55:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2psMe.8455$ns.7991@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116402

Responding once again the IGNORANCE of Lennie the loser........

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124163018.433825.99390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:53 pm
>
>>Lennie,
>>
>>Scroll down dipweed.
>
> Now, now, you are NOT Playing Well With Others, lil Dannie. :-)
>
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>> >Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>>That is my point Mike. Just because it is old....does not make it
>>>>useless.
>>>>I believe in the KISS method. And CW, in emergencies, is the easiest
>>>>and
>>>>simplest thing to get running.
>>
>>>>No modems, no regulated power supplies, no computers. Just the basic
>>>>stuff.
>>
>>>>I was asked once by my Battalion commander while in the field in
>>>>Germany.
>>>>( I was talking to the Feldburg 2m ham repeater while standing on top of
>>>>the
>>>>Command track). He asked how I could communicate with Frankfurt, and
>>>>all of
>>>>his radios could not......I said...."Well Sir, it takes two things to
>>>>communicate.....an operator on both ends"
>>
>>>>He ordered me to take my H/T every time we went to the field from then
>>>>on.
>>
>>> Tsk, tsk, highly UNlikely story for the 1972 times.
>>
>>Unlikely you say? How is that ?
>

> Playing with ham radios while on field maneauvers of a U.S. Army
> armor unit? For "Army business?" HIGHLY UNLIKELY!!!
>

The Commanding Officer at that time didn't have a problem with it. In fact he enjoyed watching me break out the leg key and work some ham stations all around the world. This during the times when we had NO HF COMMO, which as I stated before was quite regular. I used the GRC-106A setup in the Command Track. He liked it so much he eventually got his own license.

>> Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
>>have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in
>>your
>>distorted mind?
>
> Take a trank, Dannie Disabled, settle down.
>
> I realize that YOU think ham radio is super-secret stuff which
> can't be revealed to the outside world, but that is NOT so.
> But, you are totally confusing ARMY communications with your
> ham hobby activies and that is NOT good for the U.S. Army.
>

I think you are in need of the "trank" Lennie, I have NEVER said, or thought, that Ham radio is "super-secret" stuff. I have no idea where that comes from.

>>> You'll have to be more clear on that location. "Feldburg" could
>>> be 'Feldberg' or even 'Frieberg' or 'Freiberg.' Was that in
>>> Bavaria or elsewhere?
>>
>>The Feldburg Amateur Radio Repeater, located in the Frankfurt area. Our
>>normal field site was towards the Fulda Gap area.
>
> To your credit you got a bit closer geographically. Just try to
> remember that not ALL who read in here have traveled in Germany.
>
> The "berg" in a German location name is generally referring to a
> a mountain (or large hill) while the "burg" in a German location
> name denotes a town or city (e.g., "Hamburg").
>
>
>>> In 1980s, Feldberg-Schwarzwald was a
>>> troposcatter station from the north-central part of West
>>> Germany with relay to Italy; before that it was part of the LOS
>>> microwave multi-channel relay system.
>>
>>This is the place, I remember the microwave site up there in the 70s.
>>Great place to play with my two meter Handi.
>
> With absolute freedom of hobby enjoyment from your battalion's

> signal officer, no doubt...no interference checking or even
> M.I. checking to see if you were communicating something you
> shouldn't have to the "other side?"
>

The Battalion Commander had nothing to do with my trip up the mountain. I
went with a bunch of German and American Hams. Of course our ID's were
checked. And NONE of us were allowed access to the actual microwave site.
But that was not our plan. We had in mind to operate on VHF from there.
Which we did with great success.

>>I could care less about the 1980s in Germany. You know full well I was
>>discussing the 70s time frame.
>
> Tsk, I wasn't shifting time (Miccolis does that). There has been
> considerable Signal activity in Germany ever since the Occupation
> and I've seen several eras' plans of USAEUR communications from
> 1945 on through Ace High to the reunification of Germany.
>
>>> Frankfurt was, may still be, USAEUR-Germany Hq and would be
>>> roughly 150 miles north of Feldberg-Schwarzwald (I don't have a
>>> good map of the FRG at the moment). Bavaria borders Austria
>>> and Switzerland as well as part of East Germany; in 1972 the
>>> German unification was still distant in time. It is HIGHLY
>>> DOUBTFUL that there would be: 1. Ham HTs good enough or
>>> inexpensive enough for a GI to get (even with NCO and overseas
>>> pay) in 1972;
>>
>>A Handi Talky made by Standard Communications was available, its
>>nomenclature was SRC-146A.
>
> That must have been its CIVILIAN model designation. The "SCR"
> nomenclature ceased about 1945 along with the "BC" specific
> item nomenclature. No doubt you will say "the Army bought it
> for you?" :-)
>

Of course it was a CIVILIAN model designation. I never said it was a
military radio.
As usual, you just assumed. You do that a lot Lennie.

>>The German repeaters ALL operated with a "whistle up" tone access. Just
>>whistle it up and start to talk. Of course a Amateur Radio license was
>>required. And a German call. Mine was DA2LJ.
>
> Did you communicate Army information over a German CIVILIAN
> amateur radio repeater? [M.I. wants to know...]
>
There you are showing your IGNORANCE AGAIN LENNIE. Why would I communicate
Military information on a Amateur Radio frequency.

>
>>> on a "command track" (field Hq vehicle) that close to
>>> unfriendly territory.
>>
>>Not a problem at all. I showed my CO the German License, my US License,
>>and my MARS license. And after he seen it in action, he told me to KEEP
>>bringing it.
>
> Right...and your "CO" never checked up on that, ever? ASA
> didn't check up on you? [ASA was still in existance in 1972]
>

No reason to Lennie. I was perfectly legal by both German and US Military
rules and regulations.

>>Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
>>BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I was NEVER in Bavaria in the 1970s. But, I was
> IN the U.S. Army in the 1950s and as a civilian engineer working
> for civilian contractors engaged in Department of Defense contract
> work from the late 1950s on through to 1989. Dannie Disabled,
> I've had a bit MORE investigation by the U.S. government on
> SECURITY matters (including background checks for Top Secret
> and "Q") for the simple reason that I've been around longer than
> you have. Don't try this Tuff Guy bullshit with me.
>

Starting to get defensive eh Loser?

>>> "Command tracks" (field Hq-Commo vehicles), presumably the
>>> kind with all tracks and not wheels, but the name applies
>>
>>I forget the nomenclature of the "Command Track" but it was basically a
>>double decker M-113 carrier.
>>
>>It was big enough for lots of radios and several troops.
>>
>>Being the ranking NCO I was also the track commander. Giving me the
>>"pleasure" to ride with my helmeted and usually masked head out of the
>>hatch
>>to guide the driver.
>
> Wow, real Tuff Guy stuff. I'll bet you tell some dandy "field
> action stories" down at the Legion hall.
>
Well I guess from your point of view, a commando of the commo room, you
would think that was a war story. We call your type a REMF.

>
>>There it is guys, Lennie showing not his ignorance, but his stupidity.
>>He
>>sits there in front of his keys and decides everything based on incorrect

>>assessments. What a world class dumbass.
>
> Score another "doesn't play well with others" on Dannie Disabled's
> record jacket.
>
>>Do you remember the Vietnam War Lennie? The US Army in Europe was at the
>>bottom of the list on new goodies. You can figure that out, right? Now
>>let me address your ignorance further;
>
> I remember ALL the wars the United States had since 1941. I was
> alive all that time. After 1960 my military service obligation
> was over when I received my Honorable Discharge from the United
> States Army. Good and bad...my employer could now send me on
> field engineer assignments, unworried that I would be suddenly
> mobilized.
>
>>USAEUR was assigned blocks of frequencies by the German government. Some
>>not in the best spots. A local commo officer then determined which ones
>>to
>>use at what time and on what day/night. Normally these comm officers had
>>no
>>knowledge of things like "skip", NVIS, or groundwave. Not to mention
>>broadcast QRM (Short Wave). So it was a shot in the dark if any
>>frequency
>>chosen would actually work for the distance, time, day/night that it was
>>to
>>be used.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You should have reported all that to your Armor unit
> CO and had that investigated!!! [but, with your ham licenses, you
> "knew more" than any damn commissioned ossifers did, dint ya?]
>
Not even you believe you said that.

>>For some reason the comsec officers liked to put us in the 2 Mhz range at
>>night, and the 7 as an alternate. 2 would work, but for the way to short
>>whips. And no we never bother to deploy the GRA-50s.....you DO know what
>>they are don't you?
>
> I can look them up. :-) NOT being IN the Army in the '70s, I
> didn't know one HAD TO KNOW EVERYTHING and keep it in mind
> forever! :-)
>
Oh really? Sure isn't the impression you leave in here about yourself.

>>The 7mhz was eat up with broadcast QRM, so forget it. And the 2 never got
>>out of the woods.
>
> You claim that "all you had" was leftovers for equipment in the
> 1970s. Tsk, tsk, "leftovers" from the 1960s...BEFORE the
> U.S. FULL involvement in Vietnam were THERE, even in the USAEUR

> [military speak for U.S. Army, EURope, for you civilian readers].
> You did "NOT" have the "three-band system" on high-HF, low-VHF
> for overlapping commo bandspace for Armor, Artillery, Infantry?
> I'm surprised. That went into effect in the mid-1950s and hung
> around for over a decade, especially in vehicular comms of
> all units.
>

We were field units Lennie. We didn't have air conditioned vans and sit in
parking lots in Heilderburg. All we had available was HF SSB/AM/CW/RATT,
VHF FM Low Band.

>>The reality of it was this; Using 100 watts output on RTTY (RATT in Army
>>Speak) into a 15' metal whip and sitting in the GAP you were damn lucky to
>>make commo with your units, especially at night. Sometimes you got
>>lucky.
>>Not like today I am sure.
>
> "Today" is NOT 33 years ago, Dannie Disabled.
>

No kidding, again you can't seem to follow along Lennie. We are talking
about the ARMY in the 70s and your total ignorance of commo systems in use
and everything about Ham Radio. Next.

>>The most reliable commo was usually on the 40 mhz range FM VRC-12 units.
>>Range was extended by deploying "radio relay teams" to hilltops to act as
>>a
>>manual repeater.
>
> Dannie boy, VHF FM was the STANDARD communications radio type
> during WW2 in Yurp for vehicles of all kinds and learned well by
> the Army so as to continue using it.
>

So? And guess what, its still in use.

>>RATT Short Wave Commo was spotty to say the least.
>
> In YOUR unit, apparently. :-)
>
> Was YOUR unit so 'special that you "needed" comms direct with
> SHAEF Hq? :-)
>

Had no need whatsoever to communicate with Belgium. We were a field unit.
We just followed instructions and did the job.

> "Short Wave Commo" was a STANDARD operation 24/7 for the Army
> (and all other branches) Defense Communications System. The
> Army part was called "Starcom" before that, and "ACAN" before
> that. Yes, and I'm familiar with other branches, even the
> USAF "Aircomnet" and other alphabet soup. The TTY message

> relay center designators didn't change not even into the 70s,
> not the ones at Primasens (RUFP), Kaiserslautern (RUFN),
> Seckenheim (RUFW), Vainingen (RUFO), Bonn (RUFK), or at
> Frankfurt (RUFY). The DCS went all around the world,
> established so during WW2 and continuing on until the 80s.
>
> Pirmasens (RUFP) would monthly go through Asmara, Eirtrea
> (RUQP) to Manila (RUMP) (hi, hi) and then to Tokyo (RUAP).
> I thought it most interesting to see the TTY test traffic
> when that was done...had a hometown friend at RUFN but we
> could NOT use the circuits for personal communications.
> Must have been lots different in the Army that followed my
> time, what with shack-onna-belt in a shack-onna-track for
> fun and games in the field.
>
>>Believe it or not...I was there...you were NOT.
>
> Oooooo! More Tuff Tawk! Tsk, Dannie, I was IN ACAN...but at
> a big communications station, not sitting in some tracked
> vehicle playing sojer in da woods. I was NOT IN Bavaria
> but love their cream pies, am indifferent to cuckcoo clocks
> but find lots of cuckoos in here. :-)
>
In other words you don't know a damn thing about what I am talking about.
Why don't you just shut up and stick with what you know and quite trying to
act like some know it all Lennie? Your not impressing a dang soul. Least
of all not me. I could care less about Tokyo, etc. WE are TALKING about
GERMANY in the 70s.

> Did you think Tokyo, Japan, was some kind of rear-area FAR
> from any live-fire conflict? Jimmie Noserve thinks so.
> Stebie da wundermurine thinks so. Tsk, tsk, I was >>IN<<
> big-time HF communications that was running 24/7 comms on
> Army business, not playing with any shack-onna-belt HTs in
> any shack-onna-track. HF, Dannie Disabled, we only used VHF
> and UHF and microwaves for radio relay.
>
>>> Sorry, but use of REPEATER techniques, particularly UN-
>>> manned repeaters, was pioneered by the U.S. Army in Italy
>>> in late 1943, then used in France and Germany 1944-1945,
>>> starting with the SCR-300, the VHF FM Voice manpack called
>>> the "Walkie-Talkie." There's a specific repeater
>>> connector on the front panel of every BC-1000 ever made
>>> just for that purpose...designed-in by Signal Corps
>>> request when the contract was signed with Motorola. With
>>> REPEATERS, those can very easily operate WOTHOUT operators
>>> "operating" things (taking any active part in the repeating
>>> process of the radios). Repeaters are DESIGNED to operate
>>> unattended.
>>

>>None of which was available to any of the ARMY units I was with in
>>Germany.
>
> As if. :-) Tsk, tsk, tsk...the "three-band Armor-Artillery-
> Infantry" radios were being issued in 1954 through 1958. The
> grunt versions were the AN/PRC-8, -9, -10s and there were VRCs
> and GRCs that fit the same bands. The little PRC-6 was ready
> and used during the active Korean War ('50 to '53) but...
> SOMEHOW...it "NEVER" got to the USAEUR by 1970?!? Incredible.
> You should have notified your congresscritter immediately!
> DEMAND and INVESTIGATION!!!
>
> All the "PRCs" I mentioned had peripheral kits designed just FOR
> unattended REPEATER operation! Amazing but true! Was in their
> TMs and everything. For most of the "VRCs" and "GRCs" the same.
> Just put them in place and leave 'em until the batteries run
> down or the generator fuel runs out or "man" them as local
> commanders say.
>
>>And what you said has NOTHING to do with what I said. AMATEUR RADIO
>>REPEATER OF FELDBURG. At least TRY to stay on topic Loser.
>
> Riiiiight, tuff guy, AMATEUR RADIO used to direct Army Armor
> units in the field? Suuuuure. :-)
>
Where do you get this crap from Lennie? Have you had the screw tightned on
your head yet ?

>>They were as follows; 23rd Engineer Battalion, Hanau FRG (Federal
>>Republic
>>of Germany)
>>
>>1st Squadron, 11th Armored Cavalery Regiment, Fulda, FRG.
>>
>>143rd Signal Battalion, Frankfurt, FRG.
>
> FRG you, Dannie. Do we all have to LOOK THOSE UNITS UP in
> something, Dannie? If we have to do that, why not give us a
> hint of the U.S. Army DIRECTIVES that explain the use of
> AMATEUR Radio Repeater procedures for Armor-Artillery-Infantry
> units in the field of the 1970s?
>
There is NO SUCH THING MORON. Tighten that screw a bit more, you need it.

>>> 1972 was THIRTY THREE years ago. By all appearances you've
>>> done considerable "editing" of the ACTUAL FACTS surrounding
>>> your field commo adventure. MANUFACTURING the story is more
>>> likely.
>>
>>And it is obvious to anyone that bothers to check what I say that you are
>>the liar and not I.

<plonk>

I would like to apologize to all those, except Lennie, reading this tripe.
I am obviously dealing with someone (Len Anderson) that is not quite right
in the head, and possible mentally ill. His ability to reason is suspect
also.

I see no reason in continuing this debate with someone that is so incumbered
by his lack of knowledge and common sense.

Dan/W4NTI

## 2052. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:01:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FusMe.7359$WD.4933@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116403

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
>>>>>>>>>>>>DXers.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
>>>>>>>>operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
>>>>>>>>years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
>>>>>>>>hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
>>>>>>>>looking silly on the non-issue now. Your single supporter at the

>>>>>>>>moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
>>>>>>>>convenience.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Slaped Silly?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No, slapped silly.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>and more lie on your part
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
>>>>>>me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
>>>>>>Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
>>>>>>his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
>>>>>>have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
>>>>>>broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Then again you admit the charge
>>>>
>>>>No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.
>>>
>>>
>>>of course there is
>>
>>Oh, I get it. Brian Burke says something; you act like little Sir
>>Incoherent Echo and there it is--a charge. To be brief, Brian is a twit
>>and you are a twit apprentice.
>>
>>
>>>The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
>>>band
>>
>>You're several years short on information. Go to Google. Look up what
>>has already been written. Come back when you have some facts.
>
>
> In other words you refuse to answer

Other words? I haven't written any other words. Look up the page. I
asked you a direct question: "What lie has been told by me"? You still
haven't answered. To quote you: "In other words you refuse to answer".

> meaning you are mostly likely guilty

I'll use your own logic here. Your refusal to answer my direct question
means you are most likely guilty of something.

> BTW you have more than 2000 posts in google, inclduing some sexualy
> sugeestive flirting with a married women not you wife it seems, just
> which of your many post are you reffering

That'd be most interesting, Mark. Who flirts in a newsgroup? Can you provide a link to my "sugeestive" flirting with "a married women"? Would that be one married woman, a group of married women or a married woman with multiple personalities?

> I went looking can find you answering the question
>
> You could have cleared this up with the Word Yes or NO but you refuse
>
> Indeed you choose to type far more words than required evading the
> answer

Right. I was guilty, according to you, by my silence and am guilty by responding. Then again, you appear to be a nitwit.

>>>>>you are only making yourself lok more guilty
>>>>
>>>>Maybe you can explain how this can possibly be so.
>>>
>>>
>>>I doubt it, since you are clearly uninterested.
>>
>>You have a point there. I am not very interested. I am, however, very
>>interesting.
>
>
> nope you are not very interting your post in the personals were more
> intereting

Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or "intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.

>
>>>But in evading the important points over and over again, you make your
>>>self look guilty
>>
>>There aren't any important points, Mark.
>
>
> just the one you refuse to answer

I've told you. Others have told you. Brian is Don Quixote in this latest quest for something or other. You are at best, one end of his horse.

>>>>>I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply
>>>>
>>>>Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
>>>>at all.
>>>
>>>

>>>I have been paying attention. and it doesn't apply. since you just keep
>>>ancing around the relavant issues
>>
>>I'm not "ancing" and there are no relevant issues.
>
>
> sure are

Sure aren't.

>>>>>>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
>>>>>>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
>>>>>>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>indeed a confession
>>>>
>>>>Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.
>>>
>>>
>>>which is yet another evasion of the issue
>>
>>Which issue, Mark--the one Brian Burke periodically tries
>>(unsuccessfully) to make stick or the one about Universal Pictures?
>
>
> the issue that you refuse to answer
>
>>>Attack rather than deal with the facts
>>
>>You have it screwed up, Colonel. Brian is attacking. You are
>>assisting. Both of you are very short on facts.
>
>
> and you could answer it with a smple yes or no but you refuse

By George, I think you've got it, Colonel. I might consider responding
to some of your questions just about the time you start setting the
record straight on your Colonel/Lieutenant/draftee record.

>>>>>>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
>>>>>>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>>>>>>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>>>>>>>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
>>>>>>>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
>>>>>>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>whish has what to do the subject
>>>>>
>>>>>which has nothing to do with the subject
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
>>>>>>>>>>haven't a leg to stand on.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
>>>>>>>>out of there band
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
>>>>>>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
>>>>>>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
>>>>>>what you like.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW
>>>>
>>>>Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever
>>>>goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.
>>>
>>>
>>>and you want to believe you are in the wrong by refuseing to answer
>>>basic questions
>>
>>I want to believe I am in the wrong? How absurd!

What's the matter, Mark? No response?

>>
>>Yes, it is. You're one messed up doofus, Colonel.
>>
>>
>>>only adds to weight of convincing that you KNOWINGLY worked folks out
>>>of band
>>
>>That's interesting. You're a pagan, bisexual S&M freak who has
>>repeatedly told whoppers about his military service. I laugh at you and
>>that supposedly confirms some idiocy spouted by Brian Burke. You're no
>>mental giant, Mark.
>>
>>
>>>you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question
>>
>>Central question? It is no more central to my life than you are, Colonel.
>

>
> more evasion and obfucation

Then quit "obfucating".

Dave K8MN

# 2053. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:08:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UAsMe.7361$WD.3452@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116404

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dave:
>>>
>>>When you find a few others you also have trouble communicating with,
>>>realize the problem is you, NOT everyone else--take a course in reading
>>>comprehension!
>>
>>In r.r.a.p., there are a number of folks with limited abilities to
>>convey their thoughts.
>
>
> And there are some that play at being dumb, especially when found to
> have made contacts with out of band French hams on 6M.

"When found to have"--I love it, Brian. I am the person responsible for
providing information on where the French ops operated. I have to
remember that "when found to have" line. *chuckle*

Dave K8MN

# 2054. Re: stevie #### <<< Markie, Please Try Something Truthful or Imaginative Next Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:27:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124227665.240756.100470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116405

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> > > > > > > > obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> > > > > > > > the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Markie...WHERE in that post did either Hans >>OR<< I "insist" that
> > > > > > > you do something?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > but you do soo all the time
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh..I see..."all the time"...
> > > >
> > > > sure do
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > You didn't even provide ONE example.
>
> no you did it for for me but you quote you
>
> Markie: Enter Profanity of Choice Here And Show Us What Your're Made
> Of

That wasn't a demand.

But you DID enter #### and fulfilled the request.

It's one of your FEW truthful moments.

> there is a Demand of your, You make demands in you titles of post
> everyday
>
> that is close enough to all the time for me

Then you've set some pretty low standards for yourself.

> > > > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
> > > >
> > > > > Never said he or did that post, more Stevie lies
> > > >
> > > > > No lies.
> > > >
> > > > > I quoted you exactly.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Yep.
> >
> > Anyone who cares to see can go back a post and see.
>
> Lying again and agian

Niether again or agian.

> > And they will see Mark Morgan is lying AGAIN!
> >
> > > > If there was a "lie" there, it was in YOUR words, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > > Again.
> > >
> > > the lie is your claim that a the given posted contained a statement you
> > > just can't help making #### up
> >
> > If there's any #### being made up, it's coming from you, Markie.
>
> not at all

Sure there is.

It started off with "I am a Colonel" and has recently manifested
itself in your claims of owning businesses and turning down $248K/yr
jobs.

> you simply can't keep from embelling a statement

"embellishing"

That wasn't me...that was you.

And I provided a verbatim quote of yours that proved it.

Care for some salt and pepper with that crow?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2055. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:29:14 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <JUsMe.10277$0E5.7477@fe05.lga>
Source record: 116406
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Osric are you politically and religiously frustrated?

B.H.

# 2056. Re: Ya Gotta Love Everyone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:45:20 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 116407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

if you call youreslves Christians you are supposed to love your felow man and not behave the way you two and other pple are doing. it is the way of Satan to call names and preach hate but it is the way of Jesus to give respect to all. you are acting like a bunch of muslims who are taugt hate from the time they are kids in their madrassahs. that is why tony blair wants to take all of madrassahs over in the UK and why the US should do it here to. so dont preach hate like the terorist muslims do. it makes you look realy ignorant.

God bless.

# 2057. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:48:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124228915.592006.34420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116408

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > Nope I said I can't when restricted to just the use of my ear
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ear...fingers...flashing lights.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're incapable. Period.
> > > > > >
> > > > > without using my PC No i can't
> > > >
> > > My point made. That's what I said in the first place.
> > >
> > No It is not what you said
> >
> > You said I could not responf to Morse Code distres Signal
> >
> > I can
>
> No...YOU can't.

Yes I can
>
> Your computer MAY, but only if YOU can recognize the distress in
> the first place.

The Computer can't do that without me, and I can recnize SOS it isn't
hard

>
> As I said...POINT MADE!

Not at all

>
> > > > But I never have my radios without having at least one of my pc's, So I
> > > > can Alawys do morse Code if I need to
> > >
> > > You carry a PC on your belt? In your car? Always?
> >
> > In my car always, Not a PC on my Belt but my wireless PDA and I am
> > never far from my car.
>
> You're never far from caring hands that can heal you of your

> illnesses, either, Mark, but it seems you won't use those effectively
> either!

Yes I am There is No cure for dyslexia, quoting Tom Cruise again will
not help you

>
> > > > > > > Unless, of course, you're now changing your story and claiming you
> > > > > > > CAN learn Morse?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nope No need to learn Morse in order to use morse
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Even Jim adknowledges that
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > break
> > > > > > > Regardless of the mehtodology, YOU won't learn...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Lie
> > > >
> > > Nope. Truth.
> >
> > I can't learn it Tried for years, under the assitance of Experts, the
> > same same ones that you flame me for ever having seen
>
> You WON'T do it.

No I can't, 5 years pretty well proved that
>
> > > > I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am allowed to use for e
> > > > a Code test
> > >
> > > You can't do it. Won't do it.
> >
> > I can do and Have done it
> >
> > Face facts
>
> But WAIT! Just a handful of lines up you said YOU CAN'T...! ! !

more of Stevie liying about what was said

lets try again "I already have just not by a methodlogy that I am
allowed to use for e
a Code test"

I can't by any Stevie approved method, or any approved of by the VEC's

You keep trying to cut a piece here and a piece there

>
> > Manual Morse Code just isn't that specail for modern PCs
>
> It takes up a lot less memory than a spellchecker. Old TRS-80's

> could do it with the software held on cassete tapes!

Indeed then you agree finaly

>
> > > > I have logged long ragcrews in Cw I was doing it to help other hams
> > > > pass there tests and so rather than typing at each other In Mirc or
> > > > other means we chatted over the air me all PC for encoding and decoding
> > > > him by ear and keyer toreply.
> > >
> > > No...YOU didn't log "long ragchews"...Your PC did.
> >
> > if you prefer
>
> It's not what >>I<< prefer...

Stevie you can't even accept agreement with any manners

>
> It's about you misepresenting the truth again.

Not at all

It simply about your trying to slice and dice words

I say when I make my PC do something that I have doin git I am setting
things in motion

It is simply a defferent point of view

You are completely intolerant to any point of view but your own

>
> > > > And anyone that can follow my typing converted to Morse can pass a test
> > > > at the same speed
> > >
> > > Except you.
> >
> > What are you saying?
> >
> > If I could follow my typed morse at any speed of Course I could pass a
> > test at the same speed. So my statement is prefectly accurate
>
> No, it's not.

sure is

>
> YOU can't pass a Morse Code exam at ANY speed...You said that in
> THIS VERY POST!

which has nothing to do with my statement

You are lyig in saying it does

>
> > Indeed I could also pass Morse Code test at any speed with my trusty pc
> > at my side
>
> No...YOU could not pass a Morse Code test.

Yes I could with my trusty Pc at my side
>
> > > > > > > > You refuse to acept facts
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > yes I do
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That makes you a voluntary idiot.
> > > > >
> > > > > no it makes me at worst stuborn
> > > >
> > > No.
> > yes
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > It makes you a voluntary idiot.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

Nope

>
> > > > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
> > >
> > > Nope. I want to be able to read your thoughts without having to
> > > interpret individual words.
> >
> > I don't want you reading my thoughts at all.
>
> Then why bomb the NG with ANY of it, Markie..?!?!

Not bombingnaything or anybody

>
> > But I want you to work to read my stuff.
>
> Then we've proven who the bully is here, Markie!

Not at all

You are free to ignore everything I write, Indeed I would prefer that

>
> Thanks!
>
> > To make you think, if that is possible for you
>
> Sure it is..

then free to start thinking anytime

>
> As a matter of fact I have pushed you to improve your spelling.
>
> It's worked.

nope

the Weather here has changed and I no longer need to take certain
allergy meds that is all

>
> > You want it given to you
>
> Nope. I want it in the same English that everyone else uses here.

too bad you don't get it

>
> > Go for it yourself you lazy bum
>
> Not lazy.

sure are you admited being lazy

to lazy to work out what I mean

>
> > You want my words then work for it, don't ask for it to be Given to you
>
> You don't want to be called an idiot, a fool, or illiterate.

Not esp but I can take it

>
> Yet you do those things that substantiate my claims.

not realy,

and again you choose to make them again and again and agian

You decsion not mine

>
> Willing or not, I've pushed you to improve yourself. But you have
> a long way to go.

Nope

just passed the allergy season

>
> > > > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
> > > >
> > > Not bullying, but you've already DONE it, Markie!
> > >
> > Nope
> >
> Yep!

nope

>
> > > As I stated in another thread...
> > >
> > as you lied in another thread
> >
> No, I haven't.

yes you have

>
> But anyone who's been following any of this can attest to the
> improvement in your spelling over the last several weeks.

which is simply a result of not needing to take my heavier allergy meds

>
> > > You claim an IQ of 248 and more-than-adequate financial and
> > > material resources, but can't seem to fix simple problems without being
> > > taken by the hand and guided step-by-step.
> > >
> > you claim to undersatnd emdcine without knowing that Leraning Disorders
> > are not simple problems
> >
> "understand" "medicine" "learning"
> >
> I know they're not. But they are also fixable.

no they are not

Stop getting medical advice from Tom Cruise

>
> That I've pushed you into doing it here already is proof of it.
> >
> That you deny it in the face of several weeks of evidence to the
> contrary proves me right.

nope

>
> > Intellegnce and Dyslexia are not incompatble. If you were educated you
> > would know that

>
> "Intelligence"
>
> I am educated. "Informed", too.

neither seem to be true

>
> Neither lead me to believe you're as intelligent as you claim.
>
> > > > > How do you know to record one signal over another that MIGHT be a
> > > > > distress signal?
> > > > >
> > > > > if I here SOS or anything like it ....DUH
> > > >
> > > > "hear"
> > > >
> > > > You're unlikely to recognize it under ANY circumstances.
> > >
> > > Likely? Unlikely who know?
> >
> > "I know"

really Ms Stevie now what is your 900 number

>
> > If the Ship is out on Superior. I may well hear it and reconize it.
> >
> > It could be in your back yard and you'd not know.

no ship would be sinking in my back yard

>
> > indeed how likely is it today athat A ship would Use Morse at all in
> > distress?
> >
> > Does it matter? You'd not be able to process it in any case.

I certainly can

a simple fact you can't accept because it unsettles your bigotry

>
> > > > Now agreed I am not as likely to hear the signal, indeed even if you or
> > > > Dave were at my rig it would harder to read the CW signal since I don't
> > > > bother with specail Filters for CW etc. I would be looking through the
> > > > band in SSB sized slices et, so I am more likely to miss it, but IF I
> > > > hear it I will respond
> > > >
> > > > "special"
> > > >
> > > > No, Markie...If you're listening in "SSB sized slices", you are
> > > > MORE likely to hear a CW distress signal.

> >
> > Really if you say so, that isn't what ohers are saying but..
>
> "others"
>
> Yes, I say so. Your likelyhood of initially hearing a distress
> signal using a modern Amateur transceiver is far greater if you're
> listening without filters.

other have said differently not that I realy care I am only listening
with My SSBfilterset

can't even agree with you and have you be polite about it

cuting a mindless rant

> > > > > > I have done so many times
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I bet you have.
> > > > >
> > > > > thank you
> > > >
> > > That wasan't a gratuity, Markie.
> >
> > but it should have been, and I was showing more manners than you
>
> No, you weren't.

Sure was

>
> You were "assuming".

not at all

I knew what you meant I choose to respond in a manner more polite than
you

>
> > > > > > Indeed a FD station where I was doing so may even be in Your log
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not in my log it isn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > maybe, maybe not, It would be a Club Call of course not KB9RQZ
> > > >
> > > And I still doubt I have ever worked ANY station wherein YOU were
> > > working the CW station, computer or otherwise.
> >
> > and you may be right, but you don't know, and for that matter nethier
> > do I
>
> I AM right and yes I DO know.

another lie you don't know and have no way of knowing

>
> > > > GEE to bad they could not have lasted a bit longer at least I tired
> > > >
> > > "tried"
> > > >
> > > But not very hard.
> > >
> > Really?
> > >
> > More lies on Stevies part.
> >
> Nope. Evidence on Markie's part proves...

proves?

You claim to know how hard I have worked on morse

You have never even MET me.

You arrogant ass, you don't know enough to judge me

You also don't seem to know the meaning of the word proof

>
> > With the ARS luddite mentality on Morse Code, it takes a lot to
> > assemble and esp test a station using Computer Morse.
> >
> It doesn't take 5 minutes.

>
> Plug the speaker into the sound card, turn the computer on and
> load the software.

>
> In any case it takes more time than it takes me to just copy the
> signal and call the Coast Gurad or AFRCC.

No the PC is already on migth tke me an extra 10 to 20 seconds to get
it ready to call the CG

a few seconds or even a minute or 2 will not matter

>
> > and Should that Unlikely occasion arise I will do what I can
> >
> Which will be too little too late.

maybe it will, maybe not, but should it happen I will do my best, which
is all anyone can do

>
> > > > > It won't matter HOW fast it's sent...If you can't do it, you can't
> > > > > do it.
> > > > >

> > > > Liar
> > >
> > > Nope. I could send it at 3 WPM and you'd still screw it up.
> >
> > Nope but 5 wpm (a preset speed in the program would be better)
>
> My ears don't need a "preset".

so

>
> > If I saw your call though I stop the operation at once
>
> Scardiy-Cat!

yes I am afraid in the event I ran into to your call youd try and
provoke in sending the word "Shit"

>
> > > We keep trying to get you to use an "external modem" in the form
> > > of a spell checker and you cna't seem to master that. What's to make us
> > > believe you'd be any more functional using a PC for Morse Code
> > > purposes?
> >
> > Well You know I will put out effort to save a life, I will not to
> > please a bully
>
> If you managed to save a life, you would please a lot of people.

Indeed I would which is why I have spent some time and effort on
preparing for such an unlikely chance

It was interesting in and of itself working with a freind using a
straight key and his ears with me and my PC I found the practice tuing
and dealing with such a signal quite satifing

Now there were interesting problems along the way so If I had not
practiced ahead of tim I would indeed have real trouble just steping up
and doing it

>
> And if a bully shows up here, I am sure s/he'd be pleased too.

>
> > > > I know my limits...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > Obviously not.
> >
> > sure do
>
> Obviously not.

I certainly do.

You simply do not know what I can

You know the expression about walking a mile in someones shoes.

you have not walked in mine
>
> > Knowing one limits pushing them where desirable is part of living
> >
> > >
> > > > (UNSNIP)...and I prepare for them.
> > >
> > > Again, obviously not.
> >
> > sure do
>
> Obviously not.

Obviously I do

just not as you wish me to

>
> > just not in a Stevie approved manner
>
> That's a list somewhere?

Is there?

I thought you just made it up as you went along

>
> > > > (UNSNIP)...I considered long and hard
> > > > the Claim of the Procoders about distress, and did something about it.
> > > > I aquired the tools to deal with the issue, maybe I (and my Pc) are not
> > > > as Good as you or Dave, maybe we are, but we are better than Many
> > > > stations that passed the Code test and forgot code the next day,
> > > > meaning I am good enough for the current bands
> > >
> > > Are you?
> >
> > sure are
> >
> > More fit than any of the Code users that boast of lacking a Mike
> > altogether
>
> Lacking a Mike?

yes lacking a Mike

>
> I have a John, Paul, George and Ringo here...do they count?

nope

>
> > > > The Last a point that the ITU and the FCC agree is correct
> > > >
> > > I wish I knew what that was supposed to mean.
> > >
> > Then of course you are stupid
>
> No...I just can't figure out what that sentence was supposed to
> say!

then you are stupid

>
> > It means that the ITU and FCC agree there is no need for manual code
> > testing or manaul code use, while both reamin premitted
>
> "permitted"
>
> So far the FCC hasn't made that official.

yes they have

Have you missed the NPRM?

after all you comented on it

>
> > > > > > But I could respond in seconds tell them someone was trying to decode
> > > > > > and tell em things to do in sending there signal that would help my PC
> > > > > > to copy
> > > > > >
> > > > > How can you send a message in response TO a message and tell them
> > > > > you're trying to "decode" it when you don't even know why the original
> > > > > message was sent...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > I can send a message quote "to station apearing to sending SOS on this
> > > > Frequency DE KB9RQZ please repeat now nature of you emergency, please
> > > > be adivised that Sending slowy and evenly is required for this station
> > > > to receive decode and attempt to assit you over"
> > > >
> > > In which case you would have violated on of the principle
> > > guidelines for aiding stations in distress....DO NOT TRANSMIT unless
> > > you are immdeiately able to assist.
> > >
> > nothing imporper about the message
>
> Sure it is.

what?

>
> You have encumbered the distressed operator with having to
> accomodate YOUR inadequcies when he COULD be talking to someone who
> could help him.

no I have not,

Since it would take me a few second to fire up the program I would know
if someone else were on the air most likely (but not for certain of
course)

Nothing improper about asking him for his position etc. after all If
you came in just on part of his message he would have to repeat himself
for you

and you would have stand by and not assist him
>
> > I am prepared at once to assisit
>
> "assist"
>
> Not unless the message was by voice.

I can read faster than I can speak if there is any delay it is amtter
of less than a second once contact is established

>
> > > > Indeed since the program I have has memories for sending caned messages
> > > > that one I can send by hitting key <f1>
> > >
> > > "canned"
> > >
> > > Unless, of course, you meant you strike the mesaages with a stick
> > > before you send them...?!?!
> >
> > to say something is "canned" meaning prepared is a clearly understood
> > by any one using thier brain
>
> But that's NOT what I was addressing.

cuting your crudeness

if that wasn't what you were addressing then you were just being rude
again

>
> > > > > > > > the word you refuse to say, the answer to the question of when you
> > > > > > > > worked those out of band hams did you know they were out of band or
> > > > > > > > not. Not were you responible to know or any other evasion.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why, Mark, is that an "evasion"...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It remains the point. He wasn't required to know.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > it was never the point
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure it was.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Was he required to know the OTHER station's operating limits, and

> > > > > WAS he, by his Tanzanian license, restricted from communicating with
> > > > > them.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's the WHOLE point!
> > > >
> > > > it never was the point
> > > >
> > > > The point was DID he know not wether he was required to
> > >
> > > If he was NOT required to know there was STILL no violation since
> > > his licensing authority didn't deem it important enough to address in
> > > the first place THEY didn't deem it a violation!
> >
> > wrong simply wrong
>
> Nope. Simply nope.
>
> > If he knew they were out of band he was wrong
>
> Where is the law or regulation that established his error?

asked and answered

>
> > that he was not required to know does not that fact
>
> Where is the law or regualtion that establishes his error?

asked and answered

>
> > > > > > > > you dance and dance avoiding this question
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In either case, he's "in the clear".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave had NO OBLIGATION to know who was in or out of the bands per
> > > > > > > THIER administration's requiremments.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > None under US law...None under Tanzanian law...None under
> > > > > > > International law.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more evasion
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not "evasion".
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a matter or complying with laws and regulations.
> > > > >
> > > > > A question even YOU said was moot!
> > > >
> > > > it is evasion
> > > > since it has nothing to do with wether the operation was legal or not

> > >
> > > It wasn't illegal, knucklehead! That's the WHOLE point! There
> > > was NO standing regulation that addressed it in the first place!
> > >
> > indeed there is but it is matter of Law, you may not knowingly aid
> > another in the comission of a crime
> >
> WHAT CRIME?

asked and answered

cuting out the rest of you mindless drivel

# 2058. Re: Ya Gotta Love Everyone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:50:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124229040.258971.148540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116409

Lloyd wrote:
> if you call youreslves Christians you are supposed to love your felow
> man and not behave the way you two and other pple are doing. it is
> the way of Satan to call names and preach hate but it is the way of
> Jesus to give respect to all. you are acting like a bunch of muslims
> who are taugt hate from the time they are kids in their madrassahs.
> that is why tony blair wants to take all of madrassahs over in the UK
> and why the US should do it here to. so dont preach hate like the
> terorist muslims do. it makes you look realy ignorant.

well Loyd I am not a christain never claimed to be

Steve is evasive on the subject

Don't recall John mentioning one way or the other
>
> God bless.

## 2059. Markie Insists Others Make Demands Then Does It Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:51:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124229073.265347.156410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116410

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > > The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
> > > band
> > >
> > You're several years short on information. Go to Google. Look up what
> > has already been written. Come back when you have some facts.
>
> In other words you refuse to answer

No.

Meaning that he's not going to "haul your freight" for you,
Markie.

> meaning you are mostly likely guilty

Guilty of what?

Neither you or Brainless have substantiated so much as a parking
ticket let alone violations of ANY radio law, foreign, domestic or
international.

> BTW you have more than 2000 posts in google, inclduing some sexualy
> sugeestive flirting with a married women not you wife it seems, just
> which of your many post are you reffering

Yeeeeeeeeeeha!

OK, Markie! SHOW EVEN ONE SUGGESTIVE FLIRTING POST ATTRIBUTABLE
TO DAVE HEIL!

> I went looking can find you answering the question

Then do it LiarBoy!

> You could have cleared this up with the Word Yes or NO but you refuse

There's LOT'S of things YOU could have cleared but haven't.

> Indeed you choose to type far more words than required evading the
> answer

What words?

> > > But in evading the important points over and over again, you make your
> > > self look guilty
> > >

> > There aren't any important points, Mark.
>
> just the one you refuse to answer

He hasn't refused to answer anything.

YOU, on the otherhand, have refused to accept the truth even
though you have acknowledged that Dave had no obligation under any law
to know the operqating limits of foreign stations.

> > >>>I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply
> > >>
> > >>Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
> > >>at all.
> > >
> > >
> > > I have been paying attention. and it doesn't apply. since you just keep
> > > ancing around the relavant issues
> >
> > I'm not "ancing" and there are no relevant issues.
>
> sure are

The only "relevent" issue is that Mark Morgan is lying pathetically
about issues for which he has absolutely no expereicen or knowledge
other than the equally unfounded rantings of another newsgroup poster.

> > >>>>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
> > >>>>
> > >>>>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
> > >>>>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
> > >>>>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>indeed a confession
> > >>
> > >>Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.
> > >
> > >
> > > which is yet another evasion of the issue
> >
> > Which issue, Mark--the one Brian Burke periodically tries
> > (unsuccessfully) to make stick or the one about Universal Pictures?
>
> the issue that you refuse to answer

That was insightful!

> > > Attack rather than deal with the facts
> >
> > You have it screwed up, Colonel. Brian is attacking. You are
> > assisting. Both of you are very short on facts.

>
> and you could answer it with a smple yes or no but you refuse

He's done it over and over.

You refuse to accept the answers even though you have no practical
expeience of your own from which to draw an informed opinion.

> > That's interesting. You're a pagan, bisexual S&M freak who has
> > repeatedly told whoppers about his military service. I laugh at you and
> > that supposedly confirms some idiocy spouted by Brian Burke. You're no
> > mental giant, Mark.
> >
> > > you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question
> >
> > Central question? It is no more central to my life than you are, Colonel.
>
> more evasion and obfucation

"obfuscation"...

Where?

Steve, K4YZ

## 2060. Ya Gotta Love Idiots like Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:56:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124229389.001128.111270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116413

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
cut

> > >
> > > Not a braggard, not a bully and there's nothing "alleged" about
> > > having been in the Marines.
> >
> > yes a Braggard and a bully and it is alleged about being a marine, you
> > have not proven it ever
> >
> > not that you can prove it here ever
>
> Sure I can.
>
> I've provided more than one credible third party that can
> corroborate my previous duty status.

which is not the same a proving it yourself

indeed one the thing you can't prove is that the author I am responding
to is Steven J Robeson call sign K4YZ

indeed from some of your postings I wonder if this isn't the daughter
of K4YZ using daddies Pc to cause trouble

>
> As for "bragard and bully", you're tilting at windmills, Markie!

if so I am in Good company
>
> > > > or are you Now Dening being a marine?
> > >
> > > Funny...You capitalize "dening" (denying) but diminutive Marine.
> >
> > so?
>
> My assertion of your ineptness proven by YOUR hand.

your assertion that I am prone to such typos was granted years ago

and not disputed since

>
> > > > coming clean about that are you?
> > >
> > > Coming "clean" about what?
>
> No answer?

indeed you gave no answer

>
> > > > > > and you qualify more than qualify
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And you still can't put even a simple sentence together correctly.
> > > > >
> > > > > so?
> > >
> > > It makes your attempts to call others "idiots"
> > > foolish...Especially when you put it in the subject line where EVERYONE
> > > can see it without having to open the item to read it!
> >
> > not at all
>
> Sure it does.

not realy

>
> > > > you have lied in the past and you lie in the present
> > >
> > > Nope and nope.
> >
> > sure do and continue to
> >
> > Again Jim asks and other as well
> >
> > If I am so worthless why do you bother to hang on ervery word I type?
>
> I don't. But worthless or not, liars deserve to be kept at bay
> for what they are. I've done that rather effectively.

Liar you hang n every word

as to kept at bay well you dictionary is not mine

I post what I want when I want
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2061. Re: Ya Gotta Love Everyone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:57:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.21.57.28.36603@gmail.com>
Source record: 116412

AOF:

True. Discussion of religion would best be left to another usenet thread
with christian, religion, etc in its name. Maybe
rec.radio.amateur.religious.views ???

Allowing one to be side-tracked onto religious subjects not relating to
amateur policy would be another BIG mistake...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 14:50:40 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> if you call youreslves Christians you are supposed to love your felow
>> man and not behave the way you two and other pple are doing. it is
>> the way of Satan to call names and preach hate but it is the way of
>> Jesus to give respect to all. you are acting like a bunch of muslims
>> who are taugt hate from the time they are kids in their madrassahs.
>> that is why tony blair wants to take all of madrassahs over in the UK
>> and why the US should do it here to. so dont preach hate like the
>> terorist muslims do. it makes you look realy ignorant.
>
> well Loyd I am not a christain never claimed to be
>
> Steve is evasive on the subject
>
> Don't recall John mentioning one way or the other
>>
>> God bless.

## 2062. Re: day 5 Stevie action is pending in MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 21:57:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124229454.102570.37330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116411

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"
> >
> > It sure looks like no one took you seriously
> >
> Keep telling yourself that over and over and over and.....

I can just report the facts

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2063. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:10:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124230215.235596.157930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116414

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

cuting
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Then again you admit the charge
> >>>>
> >>>>No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>of course there is
> >>
> >>Oh, I get it. Brian Burke says something; you act like little Sir
> >>Incoherent Echo and there it is--a charge. To be brief, Brian is a twit
> >>and you are a twit apprentice.
> >>
> >>
> >>>The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
> >>>band
> >>
> >>You're several years short on information. Go to Google. Look up what
> >>has already been written. Come back when you have some facts.
> >
> >
> > In other words you refuse to answer
>
> Other words? I haven't written any other words. Look up the page. I
> asked you a direct question: "What lie has been told by me"? You still
> haven't answered. To quote you: "In other words you refuse to answer".

the lie that No law has been broken
amoug others

>
> > meaning you are mostly likely guilty
>
> I'll use your own logic here. Your refusal to answer my direct question
> means you are most likely guilty of something.

if you like but your actions are the one under question

that you choose to evade and divert is not my fault

>
> > BTW you have more than 2000 posts in google, inclduing some sexualy

> > sugeestive flirting with a married women not you wife it seems, just
> > which of your many post are you reffering
>
> That'd be most interesting, Mark. Who flirts in a newsgroup? Can you
> provide a link to my "sugeestive" flirting with "a married women"?
> Would that be one married woman, a group of married women or a married
> woman with multiple personalities?

still avoiding the issue

>
> > I went looking can find you answering the question
> >
> > You could have cleared this up with the Word Yes or NO but you refuse
> >
> > Indeed you choose to type far more words than required evading the
> > answer
>
> Right. I was guilty, according to you, by my silence and am guilty by
> responding. Then again, you appear to be a nitwit.

you are guilty as charged

and a liar again

you look guilty by avoidng the question put to you in your "response"

>
> >>>>>you are only making yourself lok more guilty
> >>>>
> >>>>Maybe you can explain how this can possibly be so.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I doubt it, since you are clearly uninterested.
> >>
> >>You have a point there. I am not very interested. I am, however, very
> >>interesting.
> >
> >
> > nope you are not very interting your post in the personals were more
> > intereting
>
> Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
> "intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9

that is you flinting with a striper

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/3b25003c9eeda0e6/899dc0ae8aff5808?lnk=st&q=&rnum=218#899dc0ae8aff5808

there are fliting with married Bisexaul female

just a few out your google search

nothing about you manking clear your answer

>
> >
> >>>But in evading the important points over and over again, you make your
> >>>self look guilty
> >>
> >>There aren't any important points, Mark.
> >
> >
> > just the one you refuse to answer
>
> I've told you. Others have told you. Brian is Don Quixote in this
> latest quest for something or other. You are at best, one end of his horse.
>
> >>>>>I do undersatnd slapped silly it just doesn't apply
> >>>>
> >>>>Then you haven't been paying attention or you do not understand the term
> >>>>at all.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I have been paying attention. and it doesn't apply. since you just keep
> >>>ancing around the relavant issues
> >>
> >>I'm not "ancing" and there are no relevant issues.
> >
> >
> > sure are
>
> Sure aren't.
>
> >>>>>>>But interesting no denail of the unlying facts
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Denail? Unlying? I haven't denied being an attorney. I've never
> >>>>>>denied being part of the New World Order. At no time have I denied
> >>>>>>being offered a contract by Universal Pictures.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>indeed a confession
> >>>>
> >>>>Let me reiterate, Mark: You're a twit.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>which is yet another evasion of the issue
> >>
> >>Which issue, Mark--the one Brian Burke periodically tries
> >>(unsuccessfully) to make stick or the one about Universal Pictures?
> >

> >
> > the issue that you refuse to answer
> >
> >>>Attack rather than deal with the facts
> >>
> >>You have it screwed up, Colonel. Brian is attacking. You are
> >>assisting. Both of you are very short on facts.
> >
> >
> > and you could answer it with a smple yes or no but you refuse
>
> By George, I think you've got it, Colonel. I might consider responding
> to some of your questions just about the time you start setting the
> record straight on your Colonel/Lieutenant/draftee record.

Aready did that about 7 years ago

>
> >>>>>>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
> >>>>>>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> >>>>>>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> >>>>>>>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> >>>>>>>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> >>>>>>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>whish has what to do the subject
> >>>>>
> >>>>>which has nothing to do with the subject
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
> >>>>>>>>>>haven't a leg to stand on.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
> >>>>>>>>>out of there band
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
> >>>>>>>>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
> >>>>>>>>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
> >>>>>>>>what you like.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever

> >>>>goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>and you want to believe you are in the wrong by refuseing to answer
> >>>basic questions
> >>
> >>I want to believe I am in the wrong? How absurd!
>
> What's the matter, Mark? No response?

none needed

>
> >>
> >>Yes, it is. You're one messed up doofus, Colonel.
> >>
> >>
> >>>only adds to weight of convincing that you KNOWINGLY worked folks out
> >>>of band
> >>
> >>That's interesting. You're a pagan, bisexual S&M freak who has
> >>repeatedly told whoppers about his military service. I laugh at you and
> >>that supposedly confirms some idiocy spouted by Brian Burke. You're no
> >>mental giant, Mark.
> >>
> >>
> >>>you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question
> >>
> >>Central question? It is no more central to my life than you are, Colonel.
> >
> >
> > more evasion and obfucation
>
> Then quit "obfucating".

about what?

>
> Dave K8MN

# 2064. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:17:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124230654.475036.151860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

FDR wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Concerned citizen wrote:
> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
> >>
> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> >>
> >>
> >> Concerned Citizen
> >
> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
> >
> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
> > interest.
>
> One had no oil and the other oil. I see where you are going.

Oil is of no interest to you?

> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
> > destruction.
>
> And those rumors of genocide were found to be true. The mass graves confirm
> that.

Mass graves were found in Iraq.

> > One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
> > the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
> > overruns.
> >
> > One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
> > states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
> >
> > One invasion of no national interest was applauded by the the left, the
> > other of vital interest.... is condemned.
>
> The one with no vital interest had wide support across many countries.

"Many countries" should have invaded. As it was, the Russians got in
the game late by taking an airfield out from under our noses. Gen
Clark wanted to start WWIII over it.

The
> one with the vital interest (oil) had a couple of countries (of meaning)
> supporting it.

The USA will know who to support in the future.

# 2065. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:17:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <teqdnZrgaNC1-5_eRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 116415

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124151900.853997.14900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> cut
>> I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not involved with
>> any
>> groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over the air
>> could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in a smooth
>> transition.
>
> A smooth transition? I don't know who you think is expecting that,
> certainly not any Techs I know, they are expecting anything but smooth.
> most are expecting to be insulted and put down , and then told how to
> operate
>

This is a problem that needs to be addressed. Those that come in with this type of attitude and expectation are at great risk of creating a self-fulfilling prophecy.

> I am marginaly involved in the local club the only tech class they see
> on a regular basis, and it is clear by how certain folks suddenly stop
> talking whne I wander near (with sharper ears than most, I had to get
> something to compendsate for my lousy writing) it is clear the biggest
> barriar to a "smooth" transition is most likely the folks already on HF
>

Your club has a problem in that case. However we do not have that problem in the club to which I belong. It is approximately half Technicians. Two of our club officers are Technicians. Our club satellite guru was a Technician until quite recently as was our club VHF guru. They all have enthusiasm for the club and ham radio and there is mutual respect. The mutual respect is the key to it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2066. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:19:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124230755.094513.204600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Concerned citizen wrote:
> > > Dear Bill Clinton,
> > >
> > > Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> > > America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> > >
> > >
> > > Concerned Citizen
> >
> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
> >
> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
> > interest.
> >
> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
> > destruction.
> >
> > One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
> > the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
> > overruns.
> >
> > One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
> > states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
>
> A coment about the land fromerly making the nation of Yugoslavia
>
> 2 of the nations Serbia and Montenegro had been independant state when
> jioned into Yugoslavia, Croatia, had been egeaged in effort to achieve
> its fredom from the KaKa as the Hapsburg empire was known when
> Yugoslavia, was formed Macedonain had been part of the Turskish empire
> til it was thrown into the mix So Yugoslavie born aprox 1919 of peices
> of 4 prewar nations died in the 1980's by spining it various parts,
> back apart again

What of Iraq? Should it fragment?

# 2067. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:24:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nIudnWMi8_47-p_eRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116418

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.02.13.03.922614@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> Yes. I agree, and now we must do our part and bring the word that there
> will not be a element 1. And, help those with an interest in radio to the
> amateur bands. But, this all depends on no code, so there is a lag while
> we wait.
>

I fear you are in for a big disappointment. Dropping the code was never the key.

> It doesn't take much to do a lot, every amateur bring one new licensee in,
> we double the numbers, two we triple, etc.
>

I've been beating the drum for years. I've hung my awards in my office. No nibbles.

> Frankly, it is quite exciting! But, it would probably be too optimistic
> to expect everyone to participate, some may be "sour grapes" and drag
> their feet, leaving some to do more...
>
> The club here is dominated by "save the code" types, I am not popular
> there at all and some just avoid me. Hopefully, this will change...
> probably not, my hide is thick. <grin>
>

Find a different club. Our club has two "missions" if you will. One is supporting public service events and the other is running amateur radio classes. We are already scheduling classes for the people who will want to ugrade to General under the new system. We figure by the time we get the word around about the changing requirements and the class, arrange a location, have the class set up, and actually go through the class, it should dovetail fairly well with the arrival of the new licensing requirements. The class is likely to start in January.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

# 2068. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:28:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124231316.528455.180180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116419

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > > > Dave:
> > > >
> > > > When you find a few others you also have trouble communicating with,
> > > > realize the problem is you, NOT everyone else--take a course in reading
> > > > comprehension!
> > >
> > > In r.r.a.p., there are a number of folks with limited abilities to
> > > convey their thoughts.
> >
> > And there are some that play at being dumb, especially when found to
> > have made contacts with out of band French hams on 6M.
>
> Brain for my own info. are you claiming in that Dave Knew when he made
> the contacts that they were out of band, or has he never answered that
> question at all

I believe that David had no knowledge of the shenanigans that he was participating in at the time.

However, he has indicated that it would have made absolutely no difference to him. He would do so again with full knowledge that the other operators were out of band.

He's free to change his story or "set the record straight" at any time.

# 2069. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:32:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lb-dnSxXX6479J_eRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 116420

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124160780.685023.51800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.16.01.17.50.665165@gmail.com...
>> > Mike:
>> >
>> > Then, where some have pointed out CW is a problem, and then they form
>> > NCI
>> > and go right on and change it much gain your respect and support, mine
>> > too!
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>> >
>>
>> That is exactly what I am talking about. They did something besides just
>> talk. Although I did not and do not agree with their particular goal nor
>> do
>> I support their goal, they have my respect for actually working on their
>> issue.
>
> As One of them over the years I thank you for your gracious words. They
> have been rare from the "Other Side"
>
> I point out the the Nocoder took the Treaty and FCC and won now we just
> have to deal with HF, forgive me if it this flip but I think HF will
> pose an easier task.

Don't get too carried away. While I admired the fact that they acted instead
of talked, I abhored the NCI tactics. As far as I am concerned, they put
down the no coders worse than any pro-code advocate ever did by pushing the
idea that it was too hard for average people to learn. They also touted how
much less power modes like PSK took but omitted including the power
requirements for the necessary computer and monitor, which more than wipes
out any power consumption advantage.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2070. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:34:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124231690.628176.133700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Concerned citizen wrote:
> > > > Dear Bill Clinton,
> > > >
> > > > Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> > > > America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Concerned Citizen
> > >
> > > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
> > > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
> > >
> > > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
> > > interest.
> > >
> > > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
> > > destruction.
> > >
> > > One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
> > > the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
> > > overruns.
> > >
> > > One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
> > > states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
> >
> > A coment about the land fromerly making the nation of Yugoslavia
> >
> > 2 of the nations Serbia and Montenegro had been independant state when
> > jioned into Yugoslavia, Croatia, had been egeaged in effort to achieve
> > its fredom from the KaKa as the Hapsburg empire was known when
> > Yugoslavia, was formed Macedonain had been part of the Turskish empire
> > til it was thrown into the mix So Yugoslavie born aprox 1919 of peices
> > of 4 prewar nations died in the 1980's by spining it various parts,
> > back apart again
>
> What of Iraq? Should it fragment?

IMO Yes Iraq should break into 2 if not three states

I know why the US opposes it since of those states the Kurdish nroth
would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds in

turkey and Iran in the thier new state and that is a Can of worms that
the US is afraid to allow opened

## 2071. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:37:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124231841.195615.290250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116422

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > Brain for my own info. are you claiming in that Dave Knew when he made
> > the contacts that they were out of band, or has he never answered that
> > question at all
>
> I believe that David had no knowledge of the shenanigans that he was
> participating in at the time.
>
> However, he has indicated that it would have made absolutely no
> difference to him. He would do so again with full knowledge that the
> other operators were out of band.

ok The he has not comitted crime merely advocates such,and therefore
supoosrt poor Ham practice etc

>
> He's free to change his story or "set the record straight" at any time.

Indeed I have given him plenty of chances to

I need to revise slightly my position since he hasn't knowingly acted
but what do so

# 2072. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:40:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124232043.480366.163240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116423

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124151900.853997.14900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > cut
> >> I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not involved with
> >> any
> >> groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over the air
> >> could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in a smooth
> >> transition.
> >
> > A smooth transition? I don't know who you think is expecting that,
> > certainly not any Techs I know, they are expecting anything but smooth.
> > most are expecting to be insulted and put down , and then told how to
> > operate
> >
>
> This is a problem that needs to be addressed. Those that come in with this
> type of attitude and expectation are at great risk of creating a
> self-fulfilling prophecy.

and also with a fair chance of not being blind sided as well, there is
no free launch as AMSAT would say

>
> > I am marginaly involved in the local club the only tech class they see
> > on a regular basis, and it is clear by how certain folks suddenly stop
> > talking whne I wander near (with sharper ears than most, I had to get
> > something to compendsate for my lousy writing) it is clear the biggest
> > barriar to a "smooth" transition is most likely the folks already on HF
> >
>
> Your club has a problem in that case. However we do not have that problem
> in the club to which I belong. It is approximately half Technicians. Two
> of our club officers are Technicians. Our club satellite guru was a
> Technician until quite recently as was our club VHF guru. They all have
> enthusiasm for the club and ham radio and there is mutual respect. The
> mutual respect is the key to it.

Indeed it does, as did the club I belonged in Springfield IL and
several other clubs I have vistied over the years

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2073. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:41:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124232089.604437.61100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116424

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>b.b. wrote:
> >> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>>>b.b. wrote:
>
> >> >>>>>A better question would be to ask what your motivation was to work out
> >> >>>>>of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >> >
> >> >>>>Why would that be a better question, little red-hatted monkey?
>
> [tsk, tsk, davie no answer da question again...calls names...]

He doesn't appear to be a particularly pleasant person.

> >> >>>I'm studying the successful communication techniques of world-class
> >> >>>DXers.
> >> >
> >> >>Well, "bb", it is obvious that you won't achieve a passing mark.
> >> >
> >> > David, "bb" isn't the one being graded.
> >
> >> It looks to me like you are incorrect. You attempt to impugn my DX
> >> operations (for the third or fourth time over the course of several
> >> years) has seen Brian Burke and his various alter egos slapped silly
> >> hereabouts. You've looked silly on the non-issue before and you're
> >> looking silly on the non-issue now.
> >
> >I'd rather not be in your shoes.
>
> They are probably way too big and Desenex wouldn't make them
> safer...
>
> >>Your single supporter at the
> >> moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> >> convenience.
> >
> >No supporters are needed, none solicited.
>
> davie needs no supporter. A Truss, for sure, but no athletic
> supporter. Or maybe an operation is needed due to the hernia

> caused by lifting all those heavy insults onto the newsgroup.

I think a nit could have lifted his insults. Perhaps even a witty nit.

> > That you take Steve's tact
> >and attempt to discredit Mark based upon his lifestyle says even more
> >about you than your perverse perception of proper amateur radio
> >operations.
>
> Brian, I disagree. davie was doing nastygrams BEFORE Stebie da
> wundermurine showed up in here.

Probably. But he was hugely ignorable back then.

> >> > I'm curious what it takes to
> >> > impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
> >
> >> My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> >> fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> >
> >I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
> >QSO on 10M when you showed up.
> >
> >> You were worked.
> >
> >Indeed, you were worked.
>
> It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
> Brian...all for just one contact. :-)

Probably from the comfort of his office chair.

> >> I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> >> treated courteously.
> >
> >No doubt the out of band Frenchmen were treated courteously as well.
>
> Pity that "courtesy" doesn't manifest itself in OFF-air
> behavior...

A double-faced ham sammich.

> Of course, one must take into account davie's perception of
> "courtesy"...being nice to folks who agree with him... :-)

Very typical PCTA behavio[u]r.

> But, the question remains: If davie has "no memory of those
> QSOs," how can he state positively that he had FOUR with you?
> Little inconsistences like that paint him dubious...a good
> color for him but vague and shifting when the light hits it.

I'm sure he kept a log, probably a digital log (from the comfort of his
office chair).

> >> If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> >> enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
> >
> >Ditto the out of band Frenchmen.
>
> Maybe he got franking privileges being a Botswanna-bee in
> the Embassy?

Probably all kinds of privs working in the Embassy.

> >> The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
> >> haven't a leg to stand on.
> >
> >Hi! It's "Hot-ham-and-cheese" and my legs work just fine. Thanks.
>
> Tsk, tsk. "The plain and simple fact" is that davie just can't
> Play Well With Others who are below the sight-line of his
> imperial nose. Now, if he wore another monocle with that black
> geheime volkspolizei uniform (rented from Eastern Costume Co.,
> NYC), he might see his way clear...
>
> davie is like the subject of the ancient Chinese proverb by
> Confusion: "Man with one-track mind often have train of
> thought de-railed."
>
> Let's all cheer up davie. We can pool our spare change and
> buy him a can of cashew nuts imported from Guinea-Bisseau!
>
> nut can
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He's probably in a severe funk from not being the object of "CQ rare
DX."

# 2074. Re: Steve makes demands, again and again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:47:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124232478.678279.82050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116425

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "b.b." <WillyWeeper@juno.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > In other words, Hans is condoning poor
> > > > amateur practice.
> > >
> > > Willy Weeper hasn't made a case for "poor amateur practice".
> > >
> > > Dit dit
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Nor has the FCC, but Riley says it's enforceable. Is Riley wrong, too?
>
> Have you noticed that Hans and Stevie both Insist you and I have to
> obey rules that they can't seem to post, but Dave (who isn't even deing
> the charges being made agianst him)doesn't have to

It's the way of the wizened oldhams. Deny, deny, deny, and make
counter-accusations.

# 2075. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:50:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.22.50.14.887728@gmail.com>
Source record: 116426

AOF:

As I have been pointing out to you, with my last few posts, taking newbies under your wing and showing them there is nothing to fear. The gov't has granted them their license, certainly no one here is able to question the validity, and those who stoop so low are to be pitied... but, it is only those who can demonstrate this ability now, who will be able to help them then...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 15:40:43 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124151900.853997.14900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1124124817.650438.6550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > cut
>> >> I'm really thinking in terms of the many hams who are not involved with
>> >> any
>> >> groups. That could be a large percentage. "Elmering" them over the air
>> >> could be miscontrued yet they too will need help to succeed in a smooth
>> >> transition.
>> >
>> > A smooth transition? I don't know who you think is expecting that,
>> > certainly not any Techs I know, they are expecting anything but smooth.
>> > most are expecting to be insulted and put down , and then told how to
>> > operate
>> >
>>
>> This is a problem that needs to be addressed. Those that come in with this
>> type of attitude and expectation are at great risk of creating a
>> self-fulfilling prophecy.
>
> and also with a fair chance of not being blind sided as well, there is
> no free launch as AMSAT would say
>
>>
>> > I am marginaly involved in the local club the only tech class they see
>> > on a regular basis, and it is clear by how certain folks suddenly stop

>> > talking whne I wander near (with sharper ears than most, I had to get
>> > something to compendsate for my lousy writing) it is clear the biggest
>> > barriar to a "smooth" transition is most likely the folks already on HF
>> >
>>
>> Your club has a problem in that case. However we do not have that problem
>> in the club to which I belong. It is approximately half Technicians. Two
>> of our club officers are Technicians. Our club satellite guru was a
>> Technician until quite recently as was our club VHF guru. They all have
>> enthusiasm for the club and ham radio and there is mutual respect. The
>> mutual respect is the key to it.
>
> Indeed it does, as did the club I belonged in Springfield IL and
> several other clubs I have vistied over the years
>
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2076. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:51:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124232716.427607.54040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116427

Dave Heil wrote:

> "When found to have"--I love it, Brian. I am the person responsible for
> providing information on where the French ops operated. I have to
> remember that "when found to have" line. *chuckle*
>
> Dave K8MN

I love it, too. And you have to ask why I post it so much.

Chuckle, chuckle, Hi, hi!

# 2077. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 22:56:14 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <ddtque422jc@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116437

On 16 Aug 2005 10:26:18 -0700 K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
|
| DesignGuy wrote:
|> What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in Region
|> 4?
|
| The last sequentially issued Group A callsign (as of 12:23 CDT 16
| Aug, when I post this...) was AI4LN, and that was on 01 August.

Looks like they are getting near the end of AA4AA-AL4ZZ. Will they go
with KA4AA-KZ4ZZ and NA4AA-NZ4ZZ and WA4AA-WZ4ZZ next?

|> Are there any 1x2 or 2x1 callsigns starting with W/N/K left, or is the
|> FCC reverting to AB#CD?
|
| They haven't recycled any callsigns for sequential use since 1978
| when the sequential program began. They do, of course, re-issue calls
| when they are available, for Vanity issue.

IOW, don't put much hope, especially in 4, of getting a cool call from
the sequential draw, when you upgrade. Just keep your old call and try
to see what you can get through the vanity program. Or just keep your
old call so everyone knows you.

--
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
| Phil Howard KA9WGN | http://linuxhomepage.com/ http://ham.org/ |
| (first name) at ipal.net | http://phil.ipal.org/ http://ka9wgn.ham.org/ |
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

# 2078. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:01:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124232897.104944.92980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116428

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> cut
> > > Brain for my own info. are you claiming in that Dave Knew when he made
> > > the contacts that they were out of band, or has he never answered that
> > > question at all
> >
> > I believe that David had no knowledge of the shenanigans that he was
> > participating in at the time.
> >
> > However, he has indicated that it would have made absolutely no
> > difference to him. He would do so again with full knowledge that the
> > other operators were out of band.
>
> ok The he has not comitted crime merely advocates such,and therefore
> supoosrt poor Ham practice etc
>
> >
> > He's free to change his story or "set the record straight" at any time.
>
> Indeed I have given him plenty of chances to
>
> I need to revise slightly my position since he hasn't knowingly acted
> but what do so

No problem. I've never needed any outside support WRT my opinion that
Heil supports poor amatuer practice.

## 2079. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:01:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mfuMe.6221$Wi6.3041@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116430

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>

>>>>>The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
>>>>>band
>>>>
>>>>You're several years short on information. Go to Google. Look up what
>>>>has already been written. Come back when you have some facts.
>>>
>>>
>>>In other words you refuse to answer
>>
>>Other words? I haven't written any other words. Look up the page. I
>>asked you a direct question: "What lie has been told by me"? You still
>>haven't answered. To quote you: "In other words you refuse to answer".
>
>
> the lie that No law has been broken
> amoug others

It isn't a lie, Mark. I've told you. Others have told you. I'm going
to suggest to you the same thing I've often suggested to Brian Burke.
Take it up with the French PTT. They control the acts of French radio
amateurs who break their rules. They'll, of course, want to know which
French hams and on what dates and at what times and on which
frequencies. You'll be able to provide them almost nothing. They'll
figure that you're a goofball. They'll be right.

>
>>>meaning you are mostly likely guilty
>>
>>I'll use your own logic here. Your refusal to answer my direct question
>>means you are most likely guilty of something.
>
>
> if you like but your actions are the one under question

Whooptie-doo! You and Brian Burke are questioning my actions.
I'm questioning your actions. Get the picture?

> that you choose to evade and divert is not my fault

...and that you, with a claimed IQ of 248, are a dim bulb, is not my fault. You probably shouldn't have hitched your wagon to Brian Burke.

>
>>>BTW you have more than 2000 posts in google, inclduing some sexualy
>>>sugeestive flirting with a married women not you wife it seems, just
>>>which of your many post are you reffering
>>
>>That'd be most interesting, Mark. Who flirts in a newsgroup? Can you
>>provide a link to my "sugeestive" flirting with "a married women"?
>>Would that be one married woman, a group of married women or a married
>>woman with multiple personalities?
>
>
> still avoiding the issue

How so? I just asked you to provide links to what you stated.

>
>>>I went looking can find you answering the question
>>>
>>>You could have cleared this up with the Word Yes or NO but you refuse
>>>
>>>Indeed you choose to type far more words than required evading the
>>>answer
>>
>>Right. I was guilty, according to you, by my silence and am guilty by
>>responding. Then again, you appear to be a nitwit.
>
>
> you are guilty as charged

...and your head is a mushmelon.

> and a liar again

Again? You haven't been able to establish *one* lie, much less prove another.

> you look guilty by avoidng the question put to you in your "response"

You look guilty in your claims of military rank and draftee status when you would have entered the military after the end of the draft. That certainly makes your questioning of Steve's military service look as goofy as Windy Anderson's knowing what W4NTI did and didn't do in the military. You must be *guilty* of something.

>>>nope you are not very interting your post in the personals were more
>>>intereting
>>
>>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
>>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
>
>

> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
>
> that is you flinting with a striper

Not only is your writing hosed up, your reading isn't any better. I suppose you mean the one which goes:

quote
WV Female Feb 28 2004, 10:55 pm show options
Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
From: WV_Fem...@webtv.net (WV Female) - Find messages by this author
Date: Sat, 28 Feb 2004 22:43:02 -0500 (EST)
Local: Sat, Feb 28 2004 10:43 pm
Subject: i got a job stripping

i ride them poles like theres no tomorrow...lol... ok.. ok.. im made it all up.. sounded good tho.. lol..

unquote.

alt.west-virginia is not a personals newsgroup, Colonel. It is a newsgroup dealing with the state of West Virginia. Perhaps you didn't notice the line, "im made it all up.. sounded good tho..lol.."

My response (which you called "flirting with a married women"):
quote
Linda,

Have you considered easing up on the caffeine?

Where've you been?

Dave near Cameron
unquote

There's not a flirtatious word from me.

> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/3b25003c9eeda0e6/899dc0ae8aff5808?lnk=st&q=&rnum=218#899dc0ae8aff5808
>
> there are fliting with married Bisexaul female

That's incorrect, Bozo. An ad appeared in the alt.west-virginia newsgroup. It read:

quote
Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
From: RealWvCou...@webtv.net (Wv Couple) - Find messages by this author
Date: Wed, 28 Jan 2004 20:09:25 -0500 (EST)
Local: Thurs, Jan 29 2004 1:09 am
Subject: wv curious couple

curious wv couple 18 bi fem. 30 straight male. new to idea. email us
unquote

To which, WV Female (not a bisexual woman) replied:

quote
Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
From: WV_Fem...@webtv.net (WV Female) - Find messages by this author
Date: Thu, 29 Jan 2004 00:00:24 -0500 (EST)
Local: Thurs, Jan 29 2004 5:00 am
Subject: Re: wv curious couple

mmm.. the thought of being with 2 or more men at the same time... oh
happy days.....lol
unquote

Now we come to my response:

quote
Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Thu, 29 Jan 2004 19:16:56 GMT
Local: Thurs, Jan 29 2004 7:16 pm
Subject: Re: wv curious couple

WV Female wrote:

> mmm.. the thought of being with 2 or more men at the same time... oh
> happy days.....lol

You've got it wrong, Linda. They want another woman to join 'em.
It seems that one woman isn't enough for the banty rooster and that she
is confused about which she prefers.

Dave in Cameron
unquote.

No flirt there from me. No personals newsgroup. We're left with you
looking like a prize boob. That's been par for the course.
>
> just a few out your google search

Yeah, and you got them totally wrong. Go figure.

> nothing about you manking clear your answer

I've never "manked" my answer.

>>>>>Attack rather than deal with the facts
>>>>
>>>>You have it screwed up, Colonel. Brian is attacking. You are
>>>>assisting. Both of you are very short on facts.
>>>
>>>
>>>and you could answer it with a smple yes or no but you refuse
>>
>>By George, I think you've got it, Colonel. I might consider responding
>>to some of your questions just about the time you start setting the

>>record straight on your Colonel/Lieutenant/draftee record.
>
>
> Aready did that about 7 years ago

Actually, Mark, you lied about it then changed your story after it
became clear that the original tale wouldn't wash.

>
>>>>>>>>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
>>>>>>>>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>>>>>>>>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>>>>>>>>>>You were worked. I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
>>>>>>>>>>treated courteously. If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
>>>>>>>>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>whish has what to do the subject
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>which has nothing to do with the subject
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>The plain and simple fact is, Brian, "bb", "hothead" or whatever, you
>>>>>>>>>>haven't a leg to stand on.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>and yet you don't deny workingcontacts with folks that you knew were
>>>>>>>>>out of there band
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>"Their" band, "Colonel" Morgan. Let's see now...you have no facts at
>>>>>>>>your disposal, no understanding of regs and a belief that silence is
>>>>>>>>confirmation of charges brought by someone who wasn't present. Believe
>>>>>>>>what you like.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Ok then I believe that you have knowingly broken the LAW
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yep, you believe that. You're also a pagan. You may believe whatever
>>>>>>goofy thing you'd like to believe. Your believing doesn't make it true.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>and you want to believe you are in the wrong by refuseing to answer
>>>>>basic questions
>>>>
>>>>I want to believe I am in the wrong? How absurd!
>>

>>What's the matter, Mark? No response?
>
>
> none needed

If you meant what you wrote, you'd be a fool.

>
>>>>Yes, it is. You're one messed up doofus, Colonel.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>only adds to weight of convincing that you KNOWINGLY worked folks out
>>>>>of band
>>>>
>>>>That's interesting. You're a pagan, bisexual S&M freak who has
>>>>repeatedly told whoppers about his military service. I laugh at you and
>>>>that supposedly confirms some idiocy spouted by Brian Burke. You're no
>>>>mental giant, Mark.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>you chose to say anything to avoid answering the central question
>>>>
>>>>Central question? It is no more central to my life than you are, Colonel.
>>>
>>>
>>>more evasion and obfucation
>>
>>Then quit "obfucating".
>
>
> about what?

You must be the fellow in my joke:

A fellow driving through Cameron was stopped by local police. The
officer approached the car and asked, "You have any ID"?

The man in the car replied, "Bout what"?

Dave "not in any personals newsgroups" K8MN

# 2080. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:01:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.23.01.48.115755@gmail.com>
Source record: 116429

Dee suggested, "Find a different club."

To which John thought, "How would I be of any use, if all I did was hang with those who agreed with me?"

The junior college here will offer a summer course which will count .5 unit towards an AA degree (in an appropriate field), as part of the final they will be given a general test, final grade will depend on passing that test. Hopefully, we will turn out tens of new generals per year... class has cleared most hurdles, fine points still open to being worked out, and will be instituted summer following the discontinuance of CW testing.

Let's prove those saying dropping CW will make no difference BIG liars!

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 18:24:07 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

> Find a different club.

## 2081. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:05:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BiuMe.6223$Wi6.5632@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

an_old_friend wrote:

> ...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...

Brilliant!

Dave K8MN

## 2082. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:08:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124233700.895880.138450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116433

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > cut
> > > > Brain for my own info. are you claiming in that Dave Knew when he made
> > > > the contacts that they were out of band, or has he never answered that
> > > > question at all
> > >
> > > I believe that David had no knowledge of the shenanigans that he was
> > > participating in at the time.
> > >
> > > However, he has indicated that it would have made absolutely no
> > > difference to him. He would do so again with full knowledge that the
> > > other operators were out of band.
> >
> > ok The he has not comitted crime merely advocates such,and therefore
> > supoosrt poor Ham practice etc
> >
> > >
> > > He's free to change his story or "set the record straight" at any time.
> >
> > Indeed I have given him plenty of chances to
> >
> > I need to revise slightly my position since he hasn't knowingly acted
> > but what do so
>
> No problem. I've never needed any outside support WRT my opinion that
> Heil supports poor amatuer practice.

Agreed he supports and Promotes poor Ham practice, which is just abit
defferent than I was believeing

# 2083. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:09:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.23.09.35.59406@gmail.com>
Source record: 116434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

... since the boundaries of Iraq were created by the british taking a map
and deciding how they would like the area divide... maybe, the Iraq gov't
(once established, stabilized and functioning) should raise that question
and decide themselves ...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 15:19:15 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:

>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Concerned citizen wrote:
>> > > Dear Bill Clinton,
>> > >
>> > > Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> > > America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Concerned Citizen
>> >
>> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
>> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
>> >
>> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
>> > interest.
>> >
>> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
>> > destruction.
>> >
>> > One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
>> > the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
>> > overruns.
>> >
>> > One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
>> > states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
>>
>> A coment about the land fromerly making the nation of Yugoslavia
>>
>> 2 of the nations Serbia and Montenegro had been independant state when
>> jioned into Yugoslavia, Croatia, had been egeaged in effort to achieve
>> its fredom from the KaKa as the Hapsburg empire was known when
>> Yugoslavia, was formed Macedonain had been part of the Turskish empire
>> til it was thrown into the mix So Yugoslavie born aprox 1919 of peices

>> of 4 prewar nations died in the 1980's by spining it various parts,
>> back apart again
>
> What of Iraq? Should it fragment?

# 2084. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:09:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124233778.445764.248160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116432

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of August 15, 2005:

Novice - 27,910 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,419]
Technician - 270,309 (40.7%) [increase of 64,915)
Technician Plus - 47,392 (7.1%) [decrease of 81,648]
General - 136,083 (20.5%) [increase of 23,406]
Advanced - 75,435 (11.4%) [decrease of 24,347]
Extra - 106,964 (16.1%) [increase of 28,214]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,701 (47.8%) [decrease of 16,553]

Total all classes - 664,093 (decrease of 10,699)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2085. Re: Stevie put or shut up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:10:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124233834.271938.212770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116435

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 14 Aug 2005 16:44:20 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote in <1124063060.788774.154410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> >> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >>
> >> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
> >> >>
> >> >> Really? What units and when?
> >> >
> >> >That is a question we have been asking fro YEARS somehow Stevie never
> >> >answers
> >>
> >> I don't know why anyone would keep their military service a secret
> >> (unless he's really Ollie North).
> >
> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
> >Valor comes to mind.
>
> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS, and even if
> there was a typo on his DD-214 he would have known the -correct- MOS
> because EVERY Marine knows his or her MOS as well as many of the other
> MOS's in the related fields: 0311 = grunt; 2531 = grunt w/radio (aka
> "sniper target"); 2511 = pole-jockey; 2811 = wire tech; 2861 = radio
> tech (usually some asshole shop chief) 2881 = crypto tech..... well,
> you get the idea.

25170, later changed to W1X, with a war planner prefix at the end.

I also noticed he claims to have a good conduct
> "medal". No such animal -- it's just a ribbon. Yep, you got him pegged
> alright, he's a wannabe warrior.

Maybe, maybe not. But he sure as hell won't expand on his original
slip-up.

No siree.

I'm just interested in clearing his good name. Hi!

And he's got a box full of medals. But they're his "collection," not
actually awarded to him.

> > I've always been up front about my
> >> service in the USMC:
> >>
> >> Plt 2051 out of MCRD San Diego, 1981;
> >> MCCES at MCAGCC (29 Palms), MOS 2841, 1981 to 1983;
> >> 3/8 at Camp Geiger (Camp Lejeune's back door), 1983 to 1985;
> >> BLT 3/8, 24th MAU, 1984 (Beirut);
> > >
> >The flag we flew over the former American Embassy in Mogadishu,
> >December 1992, was last flown in Beirut.
>
> Interesting irony happening there.....

Oh, no. Irony. That's not funny.

> >> 2nd AAV, 'Outhouse' Bay (also part of Camp Lejeune) for several months
> >> in 1985 until discharged.
> > >
> >You're in Ohio, right?
>
> Nope, Washington (the state, not the city). But I had a girlfriend in
> Ohio once. A real knockout!

I saw in a previous post where you mentioned "Pomeroy." There is a
Pomeroy in Ohio, the only one that I've ever encountered. And I happen
to have a field person with the last name of "Gilliland," the only one
of those I've ever encountered. Fair enough, Washington. Near Whidby?

# 2086. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:12:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.23.12.32.64491@gmail.com>
Source record: 116436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

Isn't it a bit early to say this is not WW III? Who's crystal-ball is
saying this is not just the beginning?

Israel being driven to a nuclear conflict with the rest of the middle east
could carry us in any direction...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 15:17:34 -0700,
hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:

>
> FDR wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Concerned citizen wrote:
>> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
>> >>
>> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Concerned Citizen
>> >
>> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
>> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
>> >
>> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
>> > interest.
>>
>> One had no oil and the other oil. I see where you are going.
>
> Oil is of no interest to you?
>
>> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
>> > destruction.
>>
>> And those rumors of genocide were found to be true. The mass graves confirm
>> that.
>
> Mass graves were found in Iraq.
>
>> > One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
>> > the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost

>> > overruns.
>> >
>> > One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
>> > states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
>> >
>> > One invasion of no national interest was applauded by the the left, the
>> > other of vital interest.... is condemned.
>>
>> The one with no vital interest had wide support across many countries.
>
> "Many countries" should have invaded. As it was, the Russians got in
> the game late by taking an airfield out from under our noses. Gen
> Clark wanted to start WWIII over it.
>
> The
>> one with the vital interest (oil) had a couple of countries (of meaning)
>> supporting it.
>
> The USA will know who to support in the future.

# 2087. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:15:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124234144.925036.59730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116438

K4YZ wrote:
>
> I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

A person posting with th[i]er name is anonymous?

Is that anything like being a jerk for >>>not<<< giving someone grief?

You can QRZed "Gilliland" and find Frank on there. But you'll have to
do the "leg" work yourself.

# 2088. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:21:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124234463.526773.15750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116439

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:31:42 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
> <mdk0g1di0f12r7c6dot93n1k3rcukj54bc@4ax.com>:
>
> >On 15 Aug 2005 01:17:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> ><1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >>
> >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >>> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >>> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
> >>> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
> >>> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
> >>> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
> >>> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
> >>> >Valor comes to mind.
> >>>
> >>> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)
> >>
> >> What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.
> >>
> >> All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
> >>fifth.
> >>
> >> Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
> >>his 1950's era MOS's...
> >>
> >>
> >Yep. It's been a long day.
>
>
> I now see the source of my confusion -- those posts would have been a
> lot easier to read (i.e, figure out who said what) if you had learned
> a little earlier about the standard newsgroup quoting protocol (using
> an arrow, >, at the beginning of a quoted line).
>
> Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)
> tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine. Hey, I do it myself.
> But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
> reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-

> being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
> throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude. It's not
> your fault, it's not their fault. Wanna blame somebody? Blame the
> government -- they tell the military to recruit kids, culture-shock
> them into hard-core killing machines, then when their service is
> completed dump them back into the civilian population without any sort
> of de-programming or re-integration phase.

But Steve claims he's had therapy in conjunction with his early release
from active duty...

It's not so suprising that
> there are a disproportionate number of homeless people that are vets
> when you realize that most of them simply never adjusted to civilian
> life.....
>
> Anyway, I'm done ranting. Looks like you have been in a flame war with
> this guy for over seven years..... do you actually enjoy it? Do you
> think you are winning? Or haven't you ever heard of a killfile?

Steve has been in flame wars with multitudes of amateurs and one
professional. Most have grown tired of the harrassment, and have left
the group. It is his reason for being.

# 2089. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:21:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.16.23.21.56.906761@gmail.com>
Source record: 116440

N2EY:

You should add another disclaimer to those figures, for example:

"These figures do not include expired hams!"

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 16:09:38 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of August 15, 2005:
>
> Novice - 27,910 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,419]
> Technician - 270,309 (40.7%) [increase of 64,915)
> Technician Plus - 47,392 (7.1%) [decrease of 81,648]
> General - 136,083 (20.5%) [increase of 23,406]
> Advanced - 75,435 (11.4%) [decrease of 24,347]
> Extra - 106,964 (16.1%) [increase of 28,214]
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,701 (47.8%) [decrease of 16,553]
>
> Total all classes - 664,093 (decrease of 10,699)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses
> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>
> They also do not include club, military, RACES

> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued.
>
> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> since the Technician class includes a significant
> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> Technician.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2090. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:25:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124234722.777062.64710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116441

K4YZ wrote:
>
> I've not told any "war stories" to be stolen, Brain.
>

So what about those seven hostile actions?

# 2091. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:28:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124234909.995632.149160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116443

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> As I have been pointing out to you, with my last few posts, taking newbies
> under your wing and showing them there is nothing to fear. The gov't has
> granted them their license, certainly no one here is able to question the
> validity, and those who stoop so low are to be pitied... but, it is only
> those who can demonstrate this ability now, who will be able to help them
> then...

Indeed we techs have been taking care of our own quite awhile now

Not as other might like prehaps but I was taught my starting stuff by a Tech plus (now extra class friend) and I have my feldglings as well, some of whom since they had the talent for manual Morse 5 in fact some in the Fm repater zone some into other things too

these days been working with guy localy he is a bit slow (not stupid or anything just takes quite awhile to muddle through) and therefore been brushed aside by many trys my pataince at times. We met atthe club meeting after the NPRM day both having shown up to show off a shiny new radio, exactly the Same Model shiny new radio, the Icom 910 H with 23 cm module, he was having touble installing it I helped showing off the insides and such we chatt most days indeed thanks to him I remeber to turn my radio on more, but I also hear hecklers when he come the repteater to get my attenion they don't give their calls, but they are not nice. We then change freqs up to 1.2 gig simplex

I have encouaged several new licensees myself and most of thm have found niches in Ham radio to suit them a couple are even realy into CW as an interesting karmic turn
>
> John

But I am not expecting a smooth transition, I wish I were
>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 15:40:43 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>

## 2092. NPR "Morning Addition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:28:52 UTC
From: rwitmer@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <1124234932.832251.27830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116442

I heard this on the local NPR radio station this morning. They made BPL sound rosy. They did mention that the ham radio guys were against it but came up with some "notching" solution that would take care of ham radio guys concerns.

You can listen to the stream at:
http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4801446

If would be great if a qualified ham could respond to their article. They usually take listener comment and broadcast those comments the next day or so.

# 2093. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:30:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124235037.403388.268060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>
> > ...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...
>
> Brilliant!

why thank you

>
> Dave K8MN

# 2094. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:33:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124235228.158088.37730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116445

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> All you EXTRA MORSEMEN ought to be PROUD of a fellow EXTRA, N9KKY,
> David G. Brink, now listed under WT Docket 05-235 in the ECFS as
> received on 8 August 2005 and added by the FCC on 15 August 2005.
> A one-page scrawled hand-printed and very shaky written name
> signature dated (by the sender) as 31 July 2005, a Sunday. No
> doubt that Sunday featured some slightly excessive imbibing prior
> to scrawling this magnificent missive.
>
> The sender is age 46 with address given as Mount Prospect, IL,
> Cook County (Chicago is in Cook County). Not exactly near Indian
> Territories...perhaps he just got his reservations cancelled and
> is feeling angry? :-)
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...all you ARRL-fearing, morse-loving EXTRAS ought
> to feel sooooo PROUD to have one of your BRETHERN demonstrate
> their innate "superiority" in communicating their thoughts!
> Not to worry. The rest of you EXTRA morsemen can go right on
> making Oh So Much FUN out of all those "not like your noble-
> nesses" and picking on all with disabilities.
>
> dis dat
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two problems with that hypothesis:

a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and

b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.

# 2095. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:33:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124235239.938140.29860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

John Smith wrote:
> Isn't it a bit early to say this is not WW III? Who's crystal-ball is
> saying this is not just the beginning?

Personal I agree with Bill Oriely in saying we fighting WW 3 right now

9/11 was merely our newest pearl harbour, and at least Japan had the
honnor to attack a military target, with military weapons
>
> Israel being driven to a nuclear conflict with the rest of the middle east
> could carry us in any direction...
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 15:17:34 -0700,
> hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:
>
pruning

# 2096. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:36:16 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1124235376.372689.211660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

He Tried..

# 2097. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:36:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124235381.546565.33930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116448

k0hb@earthlink.net wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
>
> > >For that you call me a son-of-a-bitch?
> >
> > obviously
>
> Speaks volumes!
>
> > > P L O N K < <

Hi, hi!

There's no problem with Hans calling others "DumpHuck."

# 2098. Re: NPR "Morning Addition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:40:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124235646.667615.150790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116449

rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
> I heard this on the local NPR radio station this morning.

Are you sure it was "Morning Edition" or was it "Few Things
Considered?"

They made
> BPL sound rosy. They did mention that the ham radio guys were against
> it but came up with some "notching" solution that would take care of
> ham radio guys concerns.

I've got seven radios. Please have them send me seven notch filters.

> You can listen to the stream at:
> http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4801446
>
> If would be great if a qualified ham could respond to their article.
> They usually take listener comment and broadcast those comments the
> next day or so.

That would be Ed Hare/ARRL.

# 2099. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:41:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124235717.450511.219120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116500

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
cut
> > dis dat
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
> disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
> problems with that hypothesis:
>
> a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
> century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and

and right Now I can't see how NCTA can be disgruntled the only
bothering me now is chopping at the bit wiating for it to be over

Even my non Ham freinds have noticed the improvement in my often
somewhat dower expression
and dared asked me to explain

that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
stuff like that
>
> b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.

OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks

# 2100. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:45:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124235916.279740.142370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116501

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> k0hb@earthlink.net wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> >
> > > >For that you call me a son-of-a-bitch?
> > >
> > > obviously
> >
> > Speaks volumes!
> >
> > > > P L O N K < <
>
> Hi, hi!
>
> There's no problem with Hans calling others "DumpHuck."

Indeed what makes folks they get to choose the insults thrown at them

# 2101. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-16 23:53:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124236425.790358.191880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

John Smith wrote:
> Isn't it a bit early to say this is not WW III? Who's crystal-ball is
> saying this is not just the beginning?

Yours?

> Israel being driven to a nuclear conflict with the rest of the middle east
> could carry us in any direction...
>
> John

I recall Hillary desiring a free Palistinian State and the violence
escalating (and Al Greenspan wanting to put the brakes on the economy).

I don't see her desiring a Free Shiite State, a Free Kurdish State, or
a Free Insurgent State.

# 2102. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 00:04:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.00.04.24.604363@gmail.com>
Source record: 116503

AOF taps his desk and poses the question, to the class, "Indeed what
makes folks they get to choose the insults thrown at them[?]"

To which John Smith gives much consideration, raises his hand and speaks,
"It is caused by flashbacks to old LSD trips!"

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 16:45:16 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

> Indeed what makes folks they get to choose the insults thrown at them

# 2103. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 00:15:59 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3lvMe.25458$EX.13514@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 116504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124230654.475036.151860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> FDR wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Concerned citizen wrote:
>> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
>> >>
>> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You
>> >> hate
>> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Concerned Citizen
>> >
>> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
>> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
>> >
>> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
>> > interest.
>>
>> One had no oil and the other oil. I see where you are going.
>
> Oil is of no interest to you?
>
>> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
>> > destruction.
>>
>> And those rumors of genocide were found to be true. The mass graves
>> confirm
>> that.
>
> Mass graves were found in Iraq.

The OP didn's say there weren't.

>
>> > One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
>> > the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
>> > overruns.
>> >
>> > One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
>> > states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
```

>> >
>> > One invasion of no national interest was applauded by the the left, the
>> > other of vital interest.... is condemned.
>>
>> The one with no vital interest had wide support across many countries.
>
> "Many countries" should have invaded. As it was, the Russians got in
> the game late by taking an airfield out from under our noses. Gen
> Clark wanted to start WWIII over it.
>
> The
>> one with the vital interest (oil) had a couple of countries (of meaning)
>> supporting it.
>
> The USA will know who to support in the future.
>

# 2104. Re: Saggytits Wuns Away Scweaming "Noooooooooooooooo!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 00:36:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <09887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 116505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

rogers photo is all over http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/forum.php?board=10
and he cant delete it because it is where he has been shit canned to.
that is why he is over here becaues no one over there puts up with his
stuff any more. hahahahahahahahaha! roger loses big time. cry roger
cry you green man.

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 23:00:06 +0200 (CEST), Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com> wrote:
> In article <woger.wemoves.his.fourth.photo@houston-hunks.com>
> Saggytits Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined and cried:
>
> > [nothing important]
>
> Who ever is getting those pics pulled, keep it up, you are making Saggytits cry!
>
> Saggytits Lee aka Brian Pussy Burke:
> http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/stagger_lee.jpg
>
> Cue up: <Godley & Creme's "Cry"> (It's Saggytits' theme song.)
>

## 2105. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 00:45:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hMvMe.7459$WD.1808@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...
>>
>>Brilliant!
>
>
> why thank you

...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.

Dave K8MN

## 2106. Ways to spread the word on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 00:50:23 UTC
From: nobody@anon.lcs.mit.edu
Message-ID: <20050817005023.3265.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 116507

. Grab a cheapo CB rig from a used store for $5-20 and get on the air directly to spread the word (don't be tempted to use illegal 10 meter equipment on 11 meters!)

.Run out flyers on your printer and hang them onboard college campuses, laundrymats, truck stops, libraries, gyms, ask quick stop stores to post them, email non-amateur friends, etc.

.Point out the URL's to fcc pages for them to comment on these issues.

.Tell co-workers, post a flyer at work, offer to assisted them with study material.

.Think up ways you think will be effective and share them with others.

.etc...

A-Non-Emoose

# 2107. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 00:52:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sq6dnTlqBazxF5_eRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 116508

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:2XoMe.256937$_o.128088@attbi_s71...
> What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in
> Region
> 4? Are there any 1x2 or 2x1 callsigns starting with W/N/K left, or is the
> FCC reverting to AB#CD?
>

There are no 1x2 or 2x1 available for sequential issue in any of the
continental call sign sections. There are likely some available through the
vanity call sign system however. If you ask for a new sequential Extra
call, you will get a 2x2 beginning with A.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2108. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 00:59:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K9idna-JBrOsEZ_eRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 116509

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > Dave Heil wrote:

[snip]

>> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
>> >
>> > yes I do
>>
>> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
>
> no it makes me at worst stuborn
>
> You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
>
> You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
>

But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
important than your message? In every communications class that I have ever
been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to communicate
that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to read
or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not theirs.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2109. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:03:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7KadnTTFYqiWEJ_eRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 116510

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1124213178.349119.146630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> DesignGuy wrote:
>> What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in
>> Region
>> 4?
>
> The last sequentially issued Group A callsign (as of 12:23 CDT 16
> Aug, when I post this...) was AI4LN, and that was on 01 August.
>

I didn't realize any call area was that far into the A's. They've only got the rest of the AI's, the AJ's, AK's, and AL's to go. It's a good thing a lot of people who upgraded kept their old calls or they would already be out. Would you happen to know how fast their going through the sequential issue?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2110. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:08:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_7KdncGT8e-CE5_eRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 116511

<phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
news:ddtque422jc@news4.newsguy.com...
> On 16 Aug 2005 10:26:18 -0700 K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> |
> | DesignGuy wrote:
> |> What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in
> Region
> |> 4?
> |
> | The last sequentially issued Group A callsign (as of 12:23 CDT 16
> | Aug, when I post this...) was AI4LN, and that was on 01 August.
>
> Looks like they are getting near the end of AA4AA-AL4ZZ. Will they go
> with KA4AA-KZ4ZZ and NA4AA-NZ4ZZ and WA4AA-WZ4ZZ next?
>
>

I believe so. It has been the pattern that when they run out of the highest block that the license class is eligible for that they just drop down into the next category. Extras would certainly be eligible for 2x2s beginning with W, K, or N. The W 2x2 block is gone for sequential issue but I think there are some still left in the K 2x2 block. I would imagine that they will pick up at whatever point they are in that sequence. Of course there are Ws and Ks available in the vanity program and I know some Extras who opted for those as vanity calls they didn't like the A's or simply because a "cool" call was available that they liked.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2111. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:18:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124241538.721616.171420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116512

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> >> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> >> >
> >> > yes I do
> >>
> >> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
> >
> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
> >
> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
> >
> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
> >
>
One becuase it is made as a demand

Two, becuase in sending a message to someone that does not want to recieve it it takes special action. Have you not heard that the man that will not listen to the shout may strain to hear the wisper. Other are efectively shoutin gth message, in making Stevie and other strain for it you also make em think about it, It is simply one more tool in the aresenal

Three, It take alot of time and effort

Four in going and On about the message they help to reach the real audience which is the lurker gruop out there

In the NoCode movements various people are taking various parts My message gets out there well enough to suit me, but every voice need not reach all the listeners

Five producing prefectly correct spelling (I went to trouble for awhile some years back) will not stop the flaming from Stevie and Dave, and I prefer the flavour of these flames to the others I have seen

BTW I am totaly convinced that Stevie understand better than 95%, his complaints of Giberish are too well placed against the center of my arguements to beleive otherwise, that with the fact that the degree to which folks seem to have problems with my depends directly on the degree to which they oppose my ideas also leads me to conclusion I am comunicating quite well indeed

Any questions? You are being polite so I will try and answer you

> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
> important than your message? In every communications class that I have ever
> been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
> important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to communicate
> that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
> understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to read
> or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not theirs.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2112. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:26:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.01.26.45.956890@gmail.com>
Source record: 116513

AOF:

The people who are asking you about a spell checker probably got their
software (windows) with the computer. Or, they have purchased expensive
microsoft office, works, etc and it gave them the ability to incorporate
their spell checker directly into outlook express.

You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the expensive
software just to gain spell check.

Am I close to correct?

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 18:18:58 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
>> >> >
>> >> > yes I do
>> >>
>> >> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
>> >
>> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
>> >
>> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
>> >
>> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
>> >
>>
> One becuase it is made as a demand
>
> Two, becuase in sending a message to someone that does not want to
> recieve it it takes special action. Have you not heard that the man

> that will not listen to the shout may strain to hear the wisper. Other
> are efectively shoutin gth message, in making Stevie and other strain
> for it you also make em think about it, It is simply one more tool in
> the aresenal
>
> Three, It take alot of time and effort
>
> Four in going and On about the message they help to reach the real
> audience which is the lurker gruop out there
>
> In the NoCode movements various people are taking various parts My
> message gets out there well enough to suit me, but every voice need not
> reach all the listeners
>
> Five producing prefectly correct spelling (I went to trouble for awhile
> some years back) will not stop the flaming from Stevie and Dave, and I
> prefer the flavour of these flames to the others I have seen
>
> BTW I am totaly convinced that Stevie understand better than 95%, his
> complaints of Giberish are too well placed against the center of my
> arguements to beleive otherwise, that with the fact that the degree to
> which folks seem to have problems with my depends directly on the
> degree to which they oppose my ideas also leads me to conclusion I am
> comunicating quite well indeed
>
> Any questions? You are being polite so I will try and answer you
>
>> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
>> important than your message? In every communications class that I have ever
>> been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
>> important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to communicate
>> that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
>> understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to read
>> or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not theirs.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2113. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:28:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XeednZ2dnZ3SFib9nZ2dnUEPn96dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 116514

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124228915.592006.34420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>>

[snip]

>
> No I can't, 5 years pretty well proved that

Well I believe it was Alun who, for 15 years, "proved" he couldn't learn code. Then he found the right teachers. Part of the problem is that many people do NOT really know how to teach the code even though they may be proficient at using it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2114. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:34:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GPqdnfbjc7XCCZ_eRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116515

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.23.01.48.115755@gmail.com...
> Dee suggested, "Find a different club."
>
> To which John thought, "How would I be of any use, if all I did was hang
> with those who agreed with me?"
>

I did not say the club agrees with me. Many members don't. But we still
treat each other with respect and work together.

> The junior college here will offer a summer course which will count .5
> unit towards an AA degree (in an appropriate field), as part of the final
> they will be given a general test, final grade will depend on passing that
> test. Hopefully, we will turn out tens of new generals per year... class
> has cleared most hurdles, fine points still open to being worked out, and
> will be instituted summer following the discontinuance of CW testing.
>
> Let's prove those saying dropping CW will make no difference BIG liars!
>
> John
>

Statistics over the next 5 to 10 years will tell the story one way or
another.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 2115. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:37:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Jr6dnSMuEP-eCJ_eRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116516

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.23.21.56.906761@gmail.com...
> N2EY:
>
> You should add another disclaimer to those figures, for example:
>
> "These figures do not include expired hams!"
>
> John

Actually it is most likely that they do include some expired hams. Unless a family member has thought to send the FCC proper notice that the ham has died, he will be carried on the current license list until his license expires due to non-renewal.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 16:09:38 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
>> the total number of active licenses that
>> class contains:
>>
>> As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
>> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
>> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
>> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
>> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
>> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> As of August 15, 2005:
>>
>> Novice - 27,910 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,419]
>> Technician - 270,309 (40.7%) [increase of 64,915)
>> Technician Plus - 47,392 (7.1%) [decrease of 81,648]
>> General - 136,083 (20.5%) [increase of 23,406]

>> Advanced - 75,435 (11.4%) [decrease of 24,347]
>> Extra - 106,964 (16.1%) [increase of 28,214]
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,701 (47.8%) [decrease of 16,553]
>>
>> Total all classes - 664,093 (decrease of 10,699)
>>
>> Note that these totals do not include licenses
>> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>>
>> They also do not include club, military, RACES
>> or other station-only licenses.
>>
>> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
>> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
>> are no longer issued.
>>
>> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
>> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
>> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
>> since the Technician class includes a significant
>> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
>> Technician.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

# 2116. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:40:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.01.40.01.545492@gmail.com>
Source record: 116517

This, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the expensive software just to gain spell check."

should have been, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have [NOT] purchased the expensive software just to gain spell check."

... but then, you most likely guess that, and demonstrated a clear ability to read through typos ...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 18:26:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the expensive
> software just to gain spell check.

# 2117. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:47:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <P42dnXVaC-rXCp_eRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116518

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124241538.721616.171420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
>> >> >
>> >> > yes I do
>> >>
>> >> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
>> >
>> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
>> >
>> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
>> >
>> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
>> >
>>
> One becuase it is made as a demand
>
> Two, becuase in sending a message to someone that does not want to
> recieve it it takes special action. Have you not heard that the man
> that will not listen to the shout may strain to hear the wisper. Other
> are efectively shoutin gth message, in making Stevie and other strain
> for it you also make em think about it, It is simply one more tool in
> the aresenal
>
> Three, It take alot of time and effort
>
> Four in going and On about the message they help to reach the real
> audience which is the lurker gruop out there
>
> In the NoCode movements various people are taking various parts My
> message gets out there well enough to suit me, but every voice need not
```

> reach all the listeners
>
> Five producing prefectly correct spelling (I went to trouble for awhile
> some years back) will not stop the flaming from Stevie and Dave, and I
> prefer the flavour of these flames to the others I have seen
>
> BTW I am totaly convinced that Stevie understand better than 95%, his
> complaints of Giberish are too well placed against the center of my
> arguements to beleive otherwise, that with the fact that the degree to
> which folks seem to have problems with my depends directly on the
> degree to which they oppose my ideas also leads me to conclusion I am
> comunicating quite well indeed
>
> Any questions? You are being polite so I will try and answer you
>
>> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
>> important than your message? In every communications class that I have
>> ever
>> been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
>> important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to communicate
>> that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
>> understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to
>> read
>> or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not
>> theirs.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned on at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes (and related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take time to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible. I want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write anything?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2118. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:48:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_pWdnUaImOwNCp_eRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116519

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.17.01.26.45.956890@gmail.com...
> AOF:
>
> The people who are asking you about a spell checker probably got their
> software (windows) with the computer. Or, they have purchased expensive
> microsoft office, works, etc and it gave them the ability to incorporate
> their spell checker directly into outlook express.
>
> You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the expensive
> software just to gain spell check.
>

Outlook Express comes with a spell checker without having to purchase
additional software.

> Am I close to correct?
>
> John
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2119. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:52:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8uydnVhmbfP5BZ_eRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116520

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.17.01.40.01.545492@gmail.com...
>
> This, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the
> expensive
> software just to gain spell check."
>
> should have been, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have [NOT]
> purchased the expensive software just to gain spell check."
>
> ... but then, you most likely guess that, and demonstrated a clear ability
> to read through typos ...
>
> John
>

As I said, Outlook Express comes with a spell checker build in with no need
to purchase additional software.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 2120. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 01:59:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124243992.498099.265200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116521

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1124213178.349119.146630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > DesignGuy wrote:
> >> What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in
> >> Region
> >> 4?
> >
> > The last sequentially issued Group A callsign (as of 12:23 CDT 16
> > Aug, when I post this...) was AI4LN, and that was on 01 August.
> >
>
> I didn't realize any call area was that far into the A's. They've only got
> the rest of the AI's, the AJ's, AK's, and AL's to go.

Not even that, Dee...Remember, "AL" is reserved for Alaska,
regardless of the number that follows it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2121. Re: We need an issue to argue about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:11:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.02.11.18.28743@gmail.com>
Source record: 116522

Dee:

Yes, let us hope the future gets brighter... and if it doesn't, we take it upon ourselves to enact further changes so it may.

There are many, frequent and accelerated changes which lie ahead which amateur radio already has a late start on--it is already a game of catch-up...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 21:34:54 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.16.23.01.48.115755@gmail.com...
>> Dee suggested, "Find a different club."
>>
>> To which John thought, "How would I be of any use, if all I did was hang
>> with those who agreed with me?"
>>
>
> I did not say the club agrees with me. Many members don't. But we still
> treat each other with respect and work together.
>
>> The junior college here will offer a summer course which will count .5
>> unit towards an AA degree (in an appropriate field), as part of the final
>> they will be given a general test, final grade will depend on passing that
>> test. Hopefully, we will turn out tens of new generals per year... class
>> has cleared most hurdles, fine points still open to being worked out, and
>> will be instituted summer following the discontinuance of CW testing.
>>
>> Let's prove those saying dropping CW will make no difference BIG liars!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Statistics over the next 5 to 10 years will tell the story one way or
> another.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2122. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:32:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.02.32.15.343102@gmail.com>
Source record: 116523

Dee:

On my "Windows XP Pro" w/sp2, had to add MS Word (MS Office) to get spell check. Find it hard to believe bill started giving anything away, well, anything worthwhile...

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 21:52:04 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.17.01.40.01.545492@gmail.com...
>>
>> This, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the
>> expensive
>> software just to gain spell check."
>>
>> should have been, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have [NOT]
>> purchased the expensive software just to gain spell check."
>>
>> ... but then, you most likely guess that, and demonstrated a clear ability
>> to read through typos ...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> As I said, Outlook Express comes with a spell checker build in with no need
> to purchase additional software.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2123. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:37:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.02.37.26.974565@gmail.com>
Source record: 116524

Dee:

If I took the time to read and carefully check usenet posts, think of the intricate detail I would pay attention to with important things in my life, and how little time I would soon have... a usenet post is a casual exchange medium, not a doctors thesis written in perfect script with a quill pen on the finest parchment!

You letting them fool you into thinking these posts are archived into gov't archives for posterity? Guarded my secret agent types with brass keys ready to sound the SOS to the CIA?

... naaaa ....

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 21:47:18 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124241538.721616.171420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> >> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > yes I do
>>> >>
>>> >> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
>>> >
>>> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
>>> >
>>> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
>>> >
>>> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
>>> >

>>>
>> One becuase it is made as a demand
>>
>> Two, becuase in sending a message to someone that does not want to
>> recieve it it takes special action. Have you not heard that the man
>> that will not listen to the shout may strain to hear the wisper. Other
>> are efectively shoutin gth message, in making Stevie and other strain
>> for it you also make em think about it, It is simply one more tool in
>> the aresenal
>>
>> Three, It take alot of time and effort
>>
>> Four in going and On about the message they help to reach the real
>> audience which is the lurker gruop out there
>>
>> In the NoCode movements various people are taking various parts My
>> message gets out there well enough to suit me, but every voice need not
>> reach all the listeners
>>
>> Five producing prefectly correct spelling (I went to trouble for awhile
>> some years back) will not stop the flaming from Stevie and Dave, and I
>> prefer the flavour of these flames to the others I have seen
>>
>> BTW I am totaly convinced that Stevie understand better than 95%, his
>> complaints of Giberish are too well placed against the center of my
>> arguements to beleive otherwise, that with the fact that the degree to
>> which folks seem to have problems with my depends directly on the
>> degree to which they oppose my ideas also leads me to conclusion I am
>> comunicating quite well indeed
>>
>> Any questions? You are being polite so I will try and answer you
>>
>>> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
>>> important than your message? In every communications class that I have
>>> ever
>>> been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
>>> important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to communicate
>>> that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
>>> understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to
>>> read
>>> or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not
>>> theirs.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned on
> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes (and
> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take time

> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible. I
> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> anything?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2124. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:49:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124246981.400857.296970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116525

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> The people who are asking you about a spell checker probably got their
> software (windows) with the computer. Or, they have purchased expensive
> microsoft office, works, etc and it gave them the ability to incorporate
> their spell checker directly into outlook express.
>
> You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the expensive
> software just to gain spell check.
>
> Am I close to correct?

Pretty close

My ISP does not provide a Usenet server and the software that could
spell check a usenet post (in my poscession) would require me to spend
money to aquire access to such a server. Google which I am using is
free but does not provide such a spell checker so you are very close
indeed

>
> John
>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 18:18:58 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >> [snip]
> >>
> >> >> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > yes I do
> >> >>
> >> >> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
> >> >

> >> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
> >> >
> >> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
> >> >
> >> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
> >> >
> >>
> > One becuase it is made as a demand
> >
> > Two, becuase in sending a message to someone that does not want to
> > recieve it it takes special action. Have you not heard that the man
> > that will not listen to the shout may strain to hear the wisper. Other
> > are efectively shoutin gth message, in making Stevie and other strain
> > for it you also make em think about it, It is simply one more tool in
> > the aresenal
> >
> > Three, It take alot of time and effort
> >
> > Four in going and On about the message they help to reach the real
> > audience which is the lurker gruop out there
> >
> > In the NoCode movements various people are taking various parts My
> > message gets out there well enough to suit me, but every voice need not
> > reach all the listeners
> >
> > Five producing prefectly correct spelling (I went to trouble for awhile
> > some years back) will not stop the flaming from Stevie and Dave, and I
> > prefer the flavour of these flames to the others I have seen
> >
> > BTW I am totaly convinced that Stevie understand better than 95%, his
> > complaints of Giberish are too well placed against the center of my
> > arguements to beleive otherwise, that with the fact that the degree to
> > which folks seem to have problems with my depends directly on the
> > degree to which they oppose my ideas also leads me to conclusion I am
> > comunicating quite well indeed
> >
> > Any questions? You are being polite so I will try and answer you
> >
> >> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
> >> important than your message? In every communications class that I have ever
> >> been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
> >> important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to communicate
> >> that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
> >> understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to read
> >> or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not theirs.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2125. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:51:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124247117.783122.12190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116526

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124228915.592006.34420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > No I can't, 5 years pretty well proved that
>
> Well I believe it was Alun who, for 15 years, "proved" he couldn't learn
> code. Then he found the right teachers. Part of the problem is that many
> people do NOT really know how to teach the code even though they may be
> proficient at using it.

Ms Flint, I spent 5 years in my teens working with a Specail ed teacher
and Ham radio operator, the teacher specaily was LD's such my Dyslexia
and Dyslexiod Aphasia.

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2126. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:54:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124247243.807999.103700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116527

John My mind sorted through to your meaning without even noticing your
mistake

This ability is BTW one the things that make my condiction realy rough
some times my mind, It is also is the part of the package that puts
food on the table

John Smith wrote:
> This, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the expensive
> software just to gain spell check."
>
> should have been, "You, apparently, do not have that ability or have [NOT]
> purchased the expensive software just to gain spell check."
>
> ... but then, you most likely guess that, and demonstrated a clear ability
> to read through typos ...
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 18:26:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
> > You, apparently, do not have that ability or have purchased the expensive
> > software just to gain spell check.

## 2127. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:55:55 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <%GxMe.8021$Je.1700@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116528

> Or just keep your
> old call so everyone knows you.
>

That's what I did. An extra with a "novice" callsign I've heard people say.... Got it in 1976, and I think that it was a recycled call. Someone in upstate NY had it before I got it.

# 2128. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:56:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124247397.745807.294370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116529

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124241538.721616.171420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >> [snip]
> >>
> >> >> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > yes I do
> >> >>
> >> >> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
> >> >
> >> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
> >> >
> >> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
> >> >
> >> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
> >> >
> >>
> > One becuase it is made as a demand
> >
> > Two, becuase in sending a message to someone that does not want to
> > recieve it it takes special action. Have you not heard that the man
> > that will not listen to the shout may strain to hear the wisper. Other
> > are efectively shoutin gth message, in making Stevie and other strain
> > for it you also make em think about it, It is simply one more tool in
> > the aresenal
> >
> > Three, It take alot of time and effort
> >
> > Four in going and On about the message they help to reach the real
> > audience which is the lurker gruop out there
> >
> > In the NoCode movements various people are taking various parts My
```

> > message gets out there well enough to suit me, but every voice need not
> > reach all the listeners
> >
> > Five producing prefectly correct spelling (I went to trouble for awhile
> > some years back) will not stop the flaming from Stevie and Dave, and I
> > prefer the flavour of these flames to the others I have seen
> >
> > BTW I am totaly convinced that Stevie understand better than 95%, his
> > complaints of Giberish are too well placed against the center of my
> > arguements to beleive otherwise, that with the fact that the degree to
> > which folks seem to have problems with my depends directly on the
> > degree to which they oppose my ideas also leads me to conclusion I am
> > comunicating quite well indeed
> >
> > Any questions? You are being polite so I will try and answer you
> >
> >> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
> >> important than your message? In every communications class that I have
> >> ever
> >> been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
> >> important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to communicate
> >> that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
> >> understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to
> >> read
> >> or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not
> >> theirs.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
break
> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned on
> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes (and
> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take time
> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible. I
> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> anything?

You are of course free to do so

I want people to process what I write not merely read it, and judging
by Stevies behvoir I do a pretty good, which is likely why he goes into
such a frenzy since I am getting through to him, and others like him

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2129. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 02:58:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124247535.500479.28730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116530

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
Break
> If I took the time to read and carefully check usenet posts, think of the
> intricate detail I would pay attention to with important things in my
> life, and how little time I would soon have... a usenet post is a casual
> exchange medium, not a doctors thesis written in perfect script with a
> quill pen on the finest parchment!
>
> You letting them fool you into thinking these posts are archived into
> gov't archives for posterity? Guarded my secret agent types with brass
> keys ready to sound the SOS to the CIA?

Thank you John on many levels I could never have said that better
myself
>
> ... naaaa ....
>
> John
>

# 2130. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 03:11:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.03.11.25.874557@gmail.com>
Source record: 116531

AOF:

I will share something important with you, a secret I am rather ashamed of. In latter elementary school and most of high school, because of my height and speed, I was rather a bully.

I fear I made some other kids lives a "bit of hell" rather than just taking my insignificant (and rightly so) place in the scheme of things and attempting to make my teachers and parents proud of my caliber, I let them down--worse, and even worse--myself.

The good news is I learned better... I took what steps I could to apologize and set things right, after I had gained the common sense to see it for the insanity it was...

The bad news, I still think about it and feel sad... I suppose it will be like that to my grave, perhaps a lot longer...

If the lesson I learned is of value to anyone else, I summarize it here, "When you strike another person, the blow really lands upon you. You just don't see that it does you the damage, others do..."

I still would not back down from a fight, but the other clearly has to ask for it.

John

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 19:51:57 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124228915.592006.34420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >
>> > No I can't, 5 years pretty well proved that
>>
>> Well I believe it was Alun who, for 15 years, "proved" he couldn't learn
>> code. Then he found the right teachers. Part of the problem is that many

>> people do NOT really know how to teach the code even though they may be
>> proficient at using it.
>
> Ms Flint, I spent 5 years in my teens working with a Specail ed teacher
> and Ham radio operator, the teacher specaily was LD's such my Dyslexia
> and Dyslexiod Aphasia.
>
>
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2131. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 03:52:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GvyMe.6447$Wi6.4845@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116532

an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:

>>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
>>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.

> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9

> that is you flinting with a striper

I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*

Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?

Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?

Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major error on this matter?

Dave K8MN

## 2132. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 04:10:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sMyMe.6455$Wi6.4064@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116533

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>
>
>>>>I'm curious what it takes to
>>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
>>
>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>>
>>I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
>>QSO on 10M when you showed up.
>>
>>
>>>You were worked.
>>
>>Indeed, you were worked.
>
>
> It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
> Brian...all for just one contact. :-)

10/25/92 1916Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
02/15/93 1552Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
03/07/93 1541Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
12/12/93 1425Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB

It would appear that Brian worked four times harder than he needed to
work A22MN on the same band and mode, lennie. You don't appear in my
logs at all as "Leonard H. Anderson".

> Pity that "courtesy" doesn't manifest itself in OFF-air
> behavior...

I'll never have to worry about your amateur radio "on-air" behavior.

> Of course, one must take into account davie's perception of
> "courtesy"...being nice to folks who agree with him... :-)

Why, Leonard, that sounds exactly like your behavior here.

> But, the question remains: If davie has "no memory of those
> QSOs," how can he state positively that he had FOUR with you?
> Little inconsistences like that paint him dubious...a good
> color for him but vague and shifting when the light hits it.

I guess the marvels of technology are something which you only write of.

>>>If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
>>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
>>
>>Ditto the out of band Frenchmen.

WA8JOC sent no one an out of band Frenchman.

> davie is like the subject of the ancient Chinese proverb by
> Confusion: "Man with one-track mind often have train of
> thought de-railed."

...and your's is: "No tickee; no workee".

Dave K8MN

# 2133. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 04:31:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124253067.133797.320040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116534

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of 8:00 PM, EDT, 16 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1598

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 70

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 432 28.27%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 880 57.59%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 216 14.14%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 15 Aug 05 that would be 1528.

As of 8:00 PM EDT on 16 August 2005, there were no entries
into the ECFS indicated as received on the 16th of August.
Three additional documents were entered for 15 Aug 05 by
the FCC.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

but not
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2134. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 04:35:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124253356.988616.101320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116535

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 16 Aug 2005 16:33

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> All you EXTRA MORSEMEN ought to be PROUD of a fellow EXTRA, N9KKY,
>> David G. Brink, now listed under WT Docket 05-235 in the ECFS as
>> received on 8 August 2005 and added by the FCC on 15 August 2005.
>> A one-page scrawled hand-printed and very shaky written name
>> signature dated (by the sender) as 31 July 2005, a Sunday. No
>> doubt that Sunday featured some slightly excessive imbibing prior
>> to scrawling this magnificent missive.
>
>Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
>disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
>problems with that hypothesis:
>
>a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
>century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
>
>b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.

Heh heh heh...I agree on both counts!

Quod erat demonstrandum.

end nul
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2135. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 04:38:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124253489.199858.248810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116536

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Tues 16 Aug 2005 20:55

>Responding once again the IGNORANCE of Lennie the loser.....

Tsk, sill not playing well with others, lil Dannie...

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:53 pm
>>
>>>Lennie,
>>>
>>>Scroll down dipweed.
>>
>> Now, now, you are NOT Playing Well With Others, lil Dannie. :-)

Poor Dannie Disabled, has to insult everyone disagreeing with
him. Tsk, tsk.

>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>> >Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>> Playing with ham radios while on field maneauvers of a U.S. Army
>> armor unit? For "Army business?" HIGHLY UNLIKELY!!!
>>
>The Commanding Officer at that time didn't have a problem with it. In fact
>he enjoyed watching me break out the leg key and work some ham stations all
>around the world. This during the times when we had NO HF COMMO, which as I
>stated before was quite regular. I used the GRC-106A setup in the Command
>Track. He liked it so much he eventually got his own license.

Well, anyone IN the military has to obey their superior
officers or face disciplinary action...at worst being in a
courts martial under the UCMJ (Uniform Code of Military
Justice...applies to ALL branches).

If your CO said it was okay, then you had to accept that. There
is NOTHING specific in the UCMJ or ARs or SRs (Army Regulations,
Special Regulations) that covers "amateur radio ops" while on
duty in an armor unit field exercise. However, there's a heap
of very pertinent ARs and SRs, plus directives, plus COMSEC
specific directives (COMSEC = COMmunications SECurity, a generic
term that came in later than 1972 but existed under other names
before then) that say one has to do Army business on Army time
and communications ABOUT Army business over unsecure civilian,

especially foreign, communications facilities can be taken as
a violation (see Treasonous acts under UCMJ) of national security.

But, something ELSE operative here. Note: Your CO gave you
permission to operate. On another thread in here, some time
ago, Brian Burke was given permission by HIS CO to operate
amateur radio from a foreign land. Now all the JAG-wannabes
in here (PCTAs all) jumped all over Brian's case on that,
citing absolutely NOTHING germane, one (a "seven-hostile-action"
hero) even implying that the UCMJ didn't apply, only the FCC
regulations applied (they don't but the shell-shocked murine
didn't understand that).

Under the terms of the Kangaroo Kourt of the PCTAs:

If Brian Burke is guilty of improper amateur radio operation,
then Dan Jeswald is EQUALLY GUILTY of doing so from Germany.

If Dan Jeswald is not guilty, then Brian Burke is not guilty.

It's the SAME SORT of "OFFENSE" as codified by the PCTA.

>>> Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
>>>have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in
>>>your
>>>distorted mind?
>>
>> Take a trank, Dannie Disabled, settle down.
>>
>> I realize that YOU think ham radio is super-secret stuff which
>> can't be revealed to the outside world, but that is NOT so.
>> But, you are totally confusing ARMY communications with your
>> ham hobby activies and that is NOT good for the U.S. Army.
>>
>
>I think you are in need of the "trank" Lennie, I have NEVER said, or
>thought, that Ham radio is "super-secret" stuff. I have no idea where that
>comes from.

It comes from YOU, Dannie. That first quote above (marked with
three right-arrows). You say that I "have no knowledge about
amateur radio other than what I conjure up in my distorted
mind."

Amateur radio knowledge, practice, communications are all very,
very PUBLIC knowledge. Magazines and books about it exist all
over the world. NOTHING of it is secret (with possible exception
of certain rooms in Newington). Tsk, tsk, even I was a staffer
on Ham Radio magazine without having an amateur radio license.
I know several licensed radio amateurs, one of which was the
Best Man at my wedding, have communicated with others over
amateur radios (as "third party" activity), sat in on a few
ham club meetings. NO national security laws apply to amateur

radio, no radio amateur is "sworn to silence" about any amateur
radio activity.

>>>A Handi Talky made by Standard Communications was available, its
>>>nomenclature was SRC-146A.
>>
>> That must have been its CIVILIAN model designation. The "SCR"
>> nomenclature ceased about 1945 along with the "BC" specific
>> item nomenclature. No doubt you will say "the Army bought it
>> for you?" :-)
>
>Of course it was a CIVILIAN model designation. I never said it was a
>military radio.
>As usual, you just assumed. You do that a lot Lennie.

No, I didn't "assume" anything. I simply pointed out a very old
FACT of U.S. military radio nomenclature. Why do you wish to
argue such old facts?

Was this ham HT purchased by yourself or was it given to you
by the U.S. Army?

>>>The German repeaters ALL operated with a "whistle up" tone access. Just
>>>whistle it up and start to talk. Of course a Amateur Radio license was
>>>required. And a German call. Mine was DA2LJ.
>>
>> Did you communicate Army information over a German CIVILIAN
>> amateur radio repeater? [M.I. wants to know...]
>>
>There you are showing your IGNORANCE AGAIN LENNIE. Why would I communicate
>Military information on a Amateur Radio frequency.

I don't know "why," Dannie...that's what MILITARY INTELLIGENCE
("M.I.") might want to know in interests of U.S. national
security. You certainly had OPPORTUNITY. You now display
considerable emotional volatility. I don't know your political
mindset...other than what appears in here as a staunch
conservative.

All of the above can be a COVER. :-)

>>>Not a problem at all. I showed my CO the German License, my US License,
>>>and my MARS license. And after he seen it in action, he told me to KEEP
>>>bringing it.
>>
>> Right...and your "CO" never checked up on that, ever? ASA
>> didn't check up on you? [ASA was still in existance in 1972]
>
>No reason to Lennie. I was perfectly legal by both German and US Military
>rules and regulations.

Colonel Rudolph Abel, KGB, was living in NYC, being "perfectly legal
to outward appearances," posing as an artist who had "ham radio for

a hobby." Only thing was that he was NOT "perfectly legal" after
an investigation. He was arrested, tried, convicted...later
exchanged
for U-2 spy plane pilot Francis Gary Powers.

>>>Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
>>>BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I was NEVER in Bavaria in the 1970s. But, I was
>> IN the U.S. Army in the 1950s and as a civilian engineer working
>> for civilian contractors engaged in Department of Defense contract
>> work from the late 1950s on through to 1989. Dannie Disabled,
>> I've had a bit MORE investigation by the U.S. government on
>> SECURITY matters (including background checks for Top Secret
>> and "Q") for the simple reason that I've been around longer than
>> you have. Don't try this Tuff Guy bullshit with me.
>>
>Starting to get defensive eh Loser?

No, just reminding you that I've DONE military communications
at a communications station...BEFORE you did...and with LOTS
MORE radio equipment. The ACAN-STARCOM-DCS worked HF around
the world without any big problem in "frequency assignments."

>> Wow, real Tuff Guy stuff. I'll bet you tell some dandy "field
>> action stories" down at the Legion hall.
>>
>Well I guess from your point of view, a commando of the commo room, you
>would think that was a war story. We call your type a REMF.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. "We" call your type just a MF. :-)

You forget one thing. ALL soldiers in the U.S. Army are trained
to, and expected to, "close with and destroy the enemy." Signal
Corps doesn't have fancy Tonka Toy tracked vehicles, but we were
expected to fight on foot if needs be.

The Defense Communications System never had any problem with
HF allocations...it ran 24/7 until all the HF message routes
were replaced by other, faster message routes. That was in
the 1970s to the 1980s...a transition time for all the U.S.
military getting the start to the DSN (Digital Switched Network),
the government's own Internet (as some call it).

>
>>There it is guys, Lennie showing not his ignorance, but his stupidity.
>>He
>>sits there in front of his keys and decides everything based on incorrect
>>assessments. What a world class dumbass.
>
> Score another "doesn't play well with others" on Dannie Disabled's
> record jacket.

>
>>Do you remember the Vietnam War Lennie? The US Army in Europe was at the
>>bottom of the list on new goodies. You can figure that out, right? Now
>>let me address your ignorance further;
>
> I remember ALL the wars the United States had since 1941. I was
> alive all that time. After 1960 my military service obligation
> was over when I received my Honorable Discharge from the United
> States Army. Good and bad...my employer could now send me on
> field engineer assignments, unworried that I would be suddenly
> mobilized.

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You should have reported all that to your Armor unit
>> CO and had that investigated!!! [but, with your ham licenses, you
>> "knew more" than any damn commissioned ossifers did, dint ya?]
>>
>Not even you believe you said that.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you just said your CO got his own ham ticket
after watching you play with your KY-116 on your knee... :-)

>We were field units Lennie. We didn't have air conditioned vans and sit in
>parking lots in Heilderburg. All we had available was HF SSB/AM/CW/RATT,
>VHF FM Low Band.

heh heh heh...I'm familiar with Field Radio, Danny Disabled.
In my time, my battalion also was the keeper of two dozen
AN/GRC-26s ("a shack in the back" in a hut on a deuce and a
half)...HF, with the ONLY "air conditioning" in the hut being
simple fans for "cooling" and the radios' heat for "heating."

>No kidding, again you can't seem to follow along Lennie. We are talking
>about the ARMY in the 70s and your total ignorance of commo systems in use
>and everything about Ham Radio. Next.

Tsk. Take that trank, Dannie, you are getting all flustered.

"Total ignorance of commo systems in use?" :-)

Now, now, don't tell me you "worked" the entire DCS of USAEUR
out of your "shack onna track" and "knew it all." :-)

Sweetums, after being IN ACAN-STARCOM-DCS nearly 20 years
BEFORE you, I KNEW much more than any ordinary Field Radio
MOS out in some boonies. Not only that, I've kept up with
it from the 70s onward, have the public documentation, and
know what is used TODAY, not 33 years ago.

>>>The most reliable commo was usually on the 40 mhz range FM VRC-12 units.

[went OBSOLETE a long time ago...]

So did the AN/GRC-106A which went operational about 1969, being
replaced by the IHFR (Improved High Frequency Radio) AN/PRC-104

and its GRC, VRC variants using the same R/T in the period 1986
to 1990. There's still about 1700 or so GRC-106s at Tobeyhanna,
shipped out/exchanged for various old military/government uses
now and then.

>> Was YOUR unit so 'special that you "needed" comms direct with
>> SHAEF Hq? :-)
>
>Had no need whatsoever to communicate with Belgium. We were a field unit.
>We just followed instructions and did the job.

...using Ham Radio. Yeah, some "job."

>In other words you don't know a damn thing about what I am talking about.
>Why don't you just shut up and stick with what you know and quite trying to
>act like some know it all Lennie?

I don't know it all. I DO know more about the world's
communications
means than you do. <shrug>

>of all not me. I could care less about Tokyo, etc. WE are TALKING about
>GERMANY in the 70s.

"We?" You mean YOU. I could care less about Germany of the 1970s.

Well, maybe a little of the Berlin scene of now...like how has
the area around the Ku-damm changed? :-)

>Where do you get this crap from Lennie? Have you had the screw tightned on
>your head yet ?

Tsk, tsk...more "civil discurse" from Dannie Disabled...

>There is NO SUCH THING MORON. Tighten that screw a bit more, you need it.

Well, there ya go, making them civil discurses again...tsk.

>I would like to apologize to all those, except Lennie, reading this tripe.
>I am obviously dealing with someone (Len Anderson) that is not quite right
>in the head, and possible mentally ill. His ability to reason is suspect
>also.

Poor Dannie Disabled. Like Stebie da wundermurine, he calls all
who disagree with him "not right in the head." Not a good grasp
of reality, that.

>I see no reason in continuing this debate with someone that is so incumbered
>by his lack of knowledge and common sense.

Poor Dannie didn't get the reply he wanted. Boo hoo.

Prolly didn't get the Hero medals from defending the Fulda Gap
from onslaughts of Soviet armor, fighting heroically with his
ham HT saving the battalion (and his CO). [it's beginning to

sound like Dannie's prose is looking more like a very bad carbon copy of a Tom Clancy novel first draft...]

tom cop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2136. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 04:45:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124253912.308224.53170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116537

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 16 Aug 2005 15:41

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>b.b. wrote:
>> >> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>>>b.b. wrote:
>

>> >>Your single supporter at the
>> >> moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
>> >> convenience.
>> >
>> >No supporters are needed, none solicited.
>>
>> davie needs no supporter. A Truss, for sure, but no athletic
>> supporter. Or maybe an operation is needed due to the hernia
>> caused by lifting all those heavy insults onto the newsgroup.
>
>I think a nit could have lifted his insults. Perhaps even a witty nit.

Maybe he should try for protection under the Wit Protection
Program...say his name was "Ness." :-)

>> > That you take Steve's tact
>> >and attempt to discredit Mark based upon his lifestyle says even more
>> >about you than your perverse perception of proper amateur radio
>> >operations.
>>
>> Brian, I disagree. davie was doing nastygrams BEFORE Stebie da
>> wundermurine showed up in here.
>
>Probably. But he was hugely ignorable back then.

Not that ignorable. He was in-your-face a lot.

Maybe you meant just "ignoble?"

>> >> My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>> >> fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>> >
>> >I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
>> >QSO on 10M when you showed up.

>> >
>> >> You were worked.
>> >
>> >Indeed, you were worked.
>>
>> It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
>> Brian...all for just one contact. :-)
>
>Probably from the comfort of his office chair.

...and in the comfort of all-paid-for lodging, courtesy of the
U.S. gubmint.

>> >> I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
>> >> treated courteously.
>> >
>> >No doubt the out of band Frenchmen were treated courteously as well.
>>
>> Pity that "courtesy" doesn't manifest itself in OFF-air
>> behavior...
>
>A double-faced ham sammich.

Sliced very thin...as thin as his skin...

>> Of course, one must take into account davie's perception of
>> "courtesy"...being nice to folks who agree with him... :-)
>
>Very typical PCTA behavio[u]r.

Well, dishonorable judge Heil should have been all over Jeswald
on his Fulda Gap Heroes posting about Dan using his shack-onna-
belt while standing on top of his shack-onna-track in Germany.
He ain't said a word. Maybe he has a "protection contract" to
defend Somalia?

>He's probably in a severe funk from not being the object of "CQ rare
>DX."

Sic transit gloria mundi. ["so goes the glory of the world"]

fly pap
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2137. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 05:01:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124254867.436726.89000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116538

From: Dave Heil on Tues 16 Aug 2005 21:10

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>> davie is like the subject of the ancient Chinese proverb by
>> Confusion: "Man with one-track mind often have train of
>> thought de-railed."
>
>...and your's is: "No tickee; no workee".

WRONNNNNG, Confusion-wannabe.

I have COMMERCIAL ticket ("teckee"), first acquired 1956.

I have WORKED ("workee") IN radio since 1952.

<yawn...so difficult to get intellects in the newsgroup
these days...no matter how many times I repeat it some
still can't get it right...>

Why is Davie MAKING FUN of Asian minorities with bad
vaudevilian stereotypical speech?

tic yes
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2138. Mark Morgan Still Perpetuating Lies About People Who Aren't Even Participants in the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 05:22:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124256120.468928.62640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116539

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > KY4Z's words
> > > >
> > > > No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...
> > >
> > > sure are
> > >
> > > you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z
> >
> > Who's "Nick"...?!?!
>
> Learn english

Learn it yourself. It's "English".

Now...who's Nick, and WHY are you LYING about KY4Z?

> > KY4Z belongs to a guy in Kentucky named James.
>
> realy

"Really".

> > Why are you lying?
>
> Not lying at all

Sure you are.

You stated that those comments were made by "KY4Z".

That was obviously false.

It could have been written off as a simple typo except that you
tried to perpetuate it, ergo it went from being a mistake to being a
lie.

> was inseltuig our obvious interests but you are too dumb to understand

It was WHAT...?!?!

"inseltuig"...?!?!

That's not even REMOTELY close to a recognizable English word.

> > > > > Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> > > > > do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> > > > > department.
> > > > >
> > > > > look at them
> > > >
> > > > Must have taken you the better part of an hour to copy that
> > > > without typo. Tiring, eh?
> > >
> > > nope cut and paste is realy easy
> >
> > "really"
> >
> > > > > Stevie think the rest of us Owe HIM respect despite the Fcat he has
> > > > > done nothing to earn it
> > > >
> > > > OK...what's an "fcat"...?!?!
> >
> > No answer, eh?
> >
> > > > And I'd be interested on where you think I said anyone "owed" me
> > > > anything...?!?!
> > >
> > > you do all the time in almost every post use word to that effect
> >
> > "word to that effect".
> >
> > OK...Substantiate that claim.
>
> already done so

No...You've not.

You've perpetuated a lie. No more...No less.

> > > > I said I think lying is one of the rudeist things you can do.
> > > >
> > > > Only you would think differently.
> >
> > No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.
>
> An answer requires a question

It hasn't in your past posts. You frequently comment where no
question was asked.

> > > > > and what he demands is stupid.
> > > >
> > > > I didn't demand anything.
> > > >
> > > > There's not one word of "demand" there, Markie!

> >
> > No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.
>
> no answer since there was no question

No response since you've been proven a liar again.

> > > > > he claims Lying is the rudest thing you can do
> > > > >
> > > > > No, I did not.
> > > > >
> > > > > I said it's "one of the rudeist..."
> > > > >
> > > > > That leaves a whole lot.
> > >
> > > No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.
> > >
> > > > > > > > I can certainly see why he does not think anything of threatening
> > > > > > > > MURDER
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't think about it because I've not done it. I have no reason
> > > > > > to do it. You continue to flatter yourself that you think someone
> > > > > > would hazard their freedom to physically harm you.
> > > >
> > > > more lies stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > You are a Liar.

Nope.

> You threatned to kill me.

I didn't "threatned" to to anything of the like.

YOU are PARANOID.

> Your threat was idle, and shows you to be a bully

No threat to be "idle". And If I were the bully you insist I am,
you'd be typing with one hand.

> that you were dumb enough to risk your freedom by making that threat is
> not flattering

I didn't make a threat.

And now you flatter me by trying to mimic my comments about you.

> > Facts.
> >
> > InDeed but none on your side

All on my side.

(and it's "indeed")

> > > > > Personaly I think threatening Murder is pretty rude
> > > > >
> > > > > It is rude. Who did that?
> > > >
> > > > you did
> > >
> > > No, I didn't.
> >
> > you sure did

Nope.

Not even close.

Just your paranoia.

> > > > > I think threatening to talk to someone perants about their son's
> > > > > alleged crimes and aleged sexual orientations
> > > > >
> > > > > "perants" "aleged"
> > > > >
> > > > > Nothing "aleged" about Todd's orientations. He's just not reached
> > > > > that "comfortable space" where he can admit it. I am sure all the
> > > > > "aunties" in his former web page would have a stroke to know that Todd
> > > > > would rather pack fudge than court a lady...or even worse that he may
> > > > > be courting a lady to hide the other...
> > > >
> > > > which is of course Todd busness if true not yours and mine, but you
> > > > avoind the issue of your threats agian
> > >
> > > "business" "avoid" "again".
> > >
> > > What threats?
> >
> > your threat to contact Todd's perants as described above and by you in
> > mnay posts

"parents" "many"

No threat was made.

> Don't you even bother remmebering your misdeeds?

"remembering"

What's to remember?

> I guess not since that way you can look at yourself in the mirror

It's far better than looking at YOU in a mirror!

> > > > > These aren't rude things according to Stevie, and they aren't his fault
> > > >
> > > > You have another issue...One with "finding fault", Markie...
> > >
> > > you claim nothing you do it your fault they all have it coming
> >
> > I've said nothing of the sort.
>
> you have claimed it often

Then cite the quote.

I've never said anything of the like.

> when told about your responiblities for you actions angainst Todd you
> blamed Todd said it was all his fault

"responsibilities" "your" "against"

What happened to Todd WAS Todd's fault.

I did not seek Todd out and drag him into this forum. he showed
up all on his own.

I didn't ask him to "confess" to his numerous violations of both
state and federal law. He expressed his previous violations of those
laws all on his own.

I didn't ask Todd to use the lind of childish, profane language
that he did, nor to insult the memory of my daughter.

I didn't drive to Illinois and twist his arm and force him to
violate the terms of his TOS with Consolidated.

He did it on his own.

> > > > Many, many issues.
> > > >
> > > > Little if any resolution.
> >
> > Still not resolved...Just more MarkieIssues.
>
> put up or shut up

=)

Steve, K4YZ

## 2139. Lennie's Lame Lamentations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 05:32:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124256728.658650.223620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116540

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Tues 16 Aug 2005 21:10
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>
> >> davie is like the subject of the ancient Chinese proverb by
> >> Confusion: "Man with one-track mind often have train of
> >> thought de-railed."
> >
> >...and your's is: "No tickee; no workee".
>
> WRONNNNNG, Confusion-wannabe.
>
> I have COMMERCIAL ticket ("teckee"), first acquired 1956.

But no Amateur ticket ever.

> I have WORKED ("workee") IN radio since 1952.

But you've not WORKED an Amateur Radio station as a control
operator...

> <yawn...so difficult to get intellects in the newsgroup
> these days...no matter how many times I repeat it some
> still can't get it right...>

Perhaps if YOU got it right and in the proper relevence, you might
be on the same sheet of music.

Until then, we're Top 40 and you're a funeral dirge.

> Why is Davie MAKING FUN of Asian minorities with bad
> vaudevilian stereotypical speech?

Perhaps for the same reason YOU have done it in the past...?!?!

Hypocritical putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2140. Re: Any 1x2's or 2x1's left in Region 4?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 10:23:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QKCdnb7j_YCrjZ7eRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116541

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1124243992.498099.265200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124213178.349119.146630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > DesignGuy wrote:
>> >> What callsigns are new Amatuer Extra's getting assigned these days in
>> >> Region
>> >> 4?
>> >
>> > The last sequentially issued Group A callsign (as of 12:23 CDT 16
>> > Aug, when I post this...) was AI4LN, and that was on 01 August.
>> >
>>
>> I didn't realize any call area was that far into the A's. They've only
>> got
>> the rest of the AI's, the AJ's, AK's, and AL's to go.
>
> Not even that, Dee...Remember, "AL" is reserved for Alaska,
> regardless of the number that follows it.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Thanks. Forgot about that momentarily.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

# 2141. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 10:25:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NumdnVB71e0rjZ7eRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116542

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.17.02.37.26.974565@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> If I took the time to read and carefully check usenet posts, think of the
> intricate detail I would pay attention to with important things in my
> life, and how little time I would soon have... a usenet post is a casual
> exchange medium, not a doctors thesis written in perfect script with a
> quill pen on the finest parchment!
>
> You letting them fool you into thinking these posts are archived into
> gov't archives for posterity? Guarded my secret agent types with brass
> keys ready to sound the SOS to the CIA?
>
> ... naaaa ....
>
> John
>

Nope but it isn't worth my time to compose if people aren't going to bother
reading it. If I'm not going to take the time to do it reasonably well, I'm
not going to bother at all.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 21:47:18 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124241538.721616.171420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1124212927.102092.31520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>> >
>>>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>>>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> >> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>> [snip]
>>>>
>>>> >> > > You refuse to use a spellchecker.
>>>> >> >

>>>> >> > yes I do
>>>> >>
>>>> >> That makes you a voluntary idiot.
>>>> >
>>>> > no it makes me at worst stuborn
>>>> >
>>>> > You want me to spend time and energy on what you want?
>>>> >
>>>> > You are a fool if you think you can bully me into doing your will
>>>> >
>>>>
>>> One becuase it is made as a demand
>>>
>>> Two, becuase in sending a message to someone that does not want to
>>> recieve it it takes special action. Have you not heard that the man
>>> that will not listen to the shout may strain to hear the wisper. Other
>>> are efectively shoutin gth message, in making Stevie and other strain
>>> for it you also make em think about it, It is simply one more tool in
>>> the aresenal
>>>
>>> Three, It take alot of time and effort
>>>
>>> Four in going and On about the message they help to reach the real
>>> audience which is the lurker gruop out there
>>>
>>> In the NoCode movements various people are taking various parts My
>>> message gets out there well enough to suit me, but every voice need not
>>> reach all the listeners
>>>
>>> Five producing prefectly correct spelling (I went to trouble for awhile
>>> some years back) will not stop the flaming from Stevie and Dave, and I
>>> prefer the flavour of these flames to the others I have seen
>>>
>>> BTW I am totaly convinced that Stevie understand better than 95%, his
>>> complaints of Giberish are too well placed against the center of my
>>> arguements to beleive otherwise, that with the fact that the degree to
>>> which folks seem to have problems with my depends directly on the
>>> degree to which they oppose my ideas also leads me to conclusion I am
>>> comunicating quite well indeed
>>>
>>> Any questions? You are being polite so I will try and answer you
>>>
>>>> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
>>>> important than your message? In every communications class that I have
>>>> ever
>>>> been involved in, the instructors taught that it is the message that is
>>>> important. It is the responsibility of the person wanting to
>>>> communicate
>>>> that message to be sure it is sent in a manner that the audience can
>>>> understand. If your audience tunes out because of the extra effort to

>>>> read
>>>> or listen to it because of avoidable problems, it is your fault not
>>>> theirs.
>>>>
>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
>> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned
>> on
>> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
>> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes
>> (and
>> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take
>> time
>> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible.
>> I
>> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
>> anything?
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

# 2142. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 10:27:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <35adnZ2dnZ0WqGX1nZ2dnbiMnt6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 116543

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124247117.783122.12190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124228915.592006.34420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>
>> >
>> [snip]
>> >
>> >
>> > No I can't, 5 years pretty well proved that
>>
>> Well I believe it was Alun who, for 15 years, "proved" he couldn't learn
>> code. Then he found the right teachers. Part of the problem is that
>> many
>> people do NOT really know how to teach the code even though they may be
>> proficient at using it.
>
> Ms Flint, I spent 5 years in my teens working with a Specail ed teacher
> and Ham radio operator, the teacher specaily was LD's such my Dyslexia
> and Dyslexiod Aphasia.
>
>

Which is great but doesn't mean he/she had the correct training in teaching
code. It is a bit different than teaching other subjects.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

# 2143. The ShitCan Man Can Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 12:24:40 UTC
From: Willy Wonka <wonka.w@no.spam>
Message-ID: <990287017833.242358F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 116544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.flame

THE SHITCAN MAN
With apologies to "Willy Wonka and the Chocolate Factory"
- as recorded by Sammy Davis Jr. with the Mike Curb Congregation

Who can take a Wiseman, sprinkle him with Joo
Cover him with shame and a Yiddish name or two
The ShitCan Man, oh the ShitCan Man can do
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he mixes it with truth and makes the world take note

Who can take a gay lame, wrap it in a sigh
Soak it in the sun and make a groovy Wiseman pie
The ShitCan Man, the ShitCan Man can
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he mixes it with truth and makes the world take note

The ShitCan Man makes every post he moves go away to shit can limbo
Now you talk about your childhood wishes, Woger's living with the bitches

Oh, who can take NIM Busters, dip it in a dream
Separate the trash and collect up all the cream
The ShitCan Man, oh the ShitCan Man can
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he tends to it with care and makes the board look good

The ShitCan Man makes every post he moves go away to shit can limbo
Talk about your childhood wishes, Woger's living with the bitches

Yeah, yeah, yeah
Who can take NIM Busters, dip it in a dream
Separate the trash and collect up all the cream
The ShitCan Man, the ShitCan Man can
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he tends to it with care and makes the board look good
Yes, the ShitCan Man can 'cause he tends to it with care and makes the board look good
a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man
ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man
ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man

# 2144. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 13:09:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124284153.550804.145130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116545

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1124241538.721616.171420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> > effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned on
> > at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> > elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes (and
> > related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take time
> > to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible. I
> > want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> > anything?
>
> You are of course free to do so
>
> I want people to process what I write not merely read it, and judging
> by Stevies behvoir I do a pretty good, which is likely why he goes into
> such a frenzy since I am getting through to him, and others like him

What you are "getting through to (me)" is this:

(1) That you're a pathological liar that can't tell the truth
since it will disrupt your rants in this newsgroup. What a petty
excuse for not telling the truth.

(2) You exhibit significant paranoid behaviour. Your constant
rants of "stalking", "bully" and "attempting to kill me" are classic.
Wrap this up in your "I am a victim" personna and you have some pretty
lame stories.

(3) You probably are a fairly intelligent person, but you INSIST
on LOOKING like an idiot. Your excuses as to why you can't manage to
spell check your posts are transparent, baseless and are in direct
opposition to OTHER posts you've made about what great resources you
have at your disposal. Again...More stories that your OWN words
unravel.

Your spelling HAS been getting better...Now if we can just funnel
it into more coherent sentences based on some truth, you'll be well on
your way to getting better as a human being.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2145. Stevie still thinks the NG prehaps the world revolves around him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:04:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124287484.739030.168840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116546

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > KY4Z's words
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > sure are
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z
> > > > >
> > > > > Who's "Nick"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Learn english
> > >
> > > Learn it yourself. It's "English".

learn engish
>
> Now...who's Nick, and WHY are you LYING about KY4Z?

not lying making a play on letters

>
> > > KY4Z belongs to a guy in Kentucky named James.
> > >
> > > realy
> >
> > "Really".
> >
> > > > Why are you lying?
> > >
> > > Not lying at all
> >
> > Sure you are.

nope

>
> You stated that those comments were made by "KY4Z".
>
> That was obviously false.

It was a joke

you did not get it of course

>
> It could have been written off as a simple typo except that you
> tried to perpetuate it, ergo it went from being a mistake to being a
> lie.

nope

You claimred BTW it was ok to play games with my Call Sign so I decided
to return the favour
>
> > was inseltuig our obvious interests but you are too dumb to understand
>
> It was WHAT...?!?!
>
> "inseltuig"...?!?!
>
> That's not even REMOTELY close to a recognizable English word.
>
> > > > > > Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> > > > > > do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> > > > > > department.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > look at them
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Must have taken you the better part of an hour to copy that
> > > > > > without typo. Tiring, eh?
> > > > > >
> > > > > nope cut and paste is realy easy
> > > >
> > > "really"
> > > >
> > > > > > Stevie think the rest of us Owe HIM respect despite the Fcat he has
> > > > > > done nothing to earn it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > OK...what's an "fcat"...?!?!
> > >
> > No answer, eh?
> > >
> > > > > And I'd be interested on where you think I said anyone "owed" me
> > > > > anything...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > you do all the time in almost every post use word to that effect
> > >
> > "word to that effect".
> > >
> > OK...Substantiate that claim.
> >
> > already done so

>
> No...You've not.
>
> You've perpetuated a lie. No more...No less.

not at all

>
> > > > > I said I think lying is one of the rudeist things you can do.
> > > > >
> > > > > Only you would think differently.
> > >
> > > No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.
> >
> > An answer requires a question
>
> It hasn't in your past posts. You frequently comment where no
> question was asked.

it hasn't what in my past posts?

I coment where I please

but I only answer questions

>
> > > > > > and what he demands is stupid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I didn't demand anything.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There's not one word of "demand" there, Markie!
> > >
> > > No answer. Your "silence" verifies my assertion...Your rules.
> >
> > no answer since there was no question
>
> No response since you've been proven a liar again.

nope

pruning

you certainly are

>
> > You threatned to kill me.
>
> I didn't "threatned" to to anything of the like.

you certainly going on and on about being a marine sniper and then "one
Shot One kill ..."

You have the nerve to call me a liar at times for mere typos so you
made the threat wether you menat it or not

and No meaning it just makes you a bully

>
> YOU are PARANOID.

you know you are a bully and coward

You blew it by making the threat and are still trying to cover it 7
years later

>
> > Your threat was idle, and shows you to be a bully
>
> No threat to be "idle". And If I were the bully you insist I am,
> you'd be typing with one hand.

more threats

>
> > that you were dumb enough to risk your freedom by making that threat is
> > not flattering
>
> I didn't make a threat.

sure did

>
> And now you flatter me by trying to mimic my comments about you.

not flatter trying to get though that skull of yours

>
> > > Facts.
> >
> > InDeed but none on your side
>
> All on my side.

nope

You are coward a bully
you threaten folks
you stalk folks
you lie constantly
>
> (and it's "indeed")
pruning
> > > > > > I think threatening to talk to someone perants about their son's
> > > > > > alleged crimes and aleged sexual orientations
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "perants" "aleged"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nothing "aleged" about Todd's orientations. He's just not reached
> > > > > > that "comfortable space" where he can admit it. I am sure all the
> > > > > > "aunties" in his former web page would have a stroke to know that Todd

> > > > > would rather pack fudge than court a lady...or even worse that he may
> > > > > be courting a lady to hide the other...
> > > > >
> > > > > which is of course Todd busness if true not yours and mine, but you
> > > > > avoind the issue of your threats agian
> > > >
> > > > "business" "avoid" "again".
> > > >
> > > > What threats?
> > >
> > > your threat to contact Todd's perants as described above and by you in
> > > mnay posts
> >
> > "parents" "many"
> >
> > No threat was made.

sure was and repeated over and over

>
> > Don't you even bother remmebering your misdeeds?
>
> "remembering"
>
> What's to remember?

lot boyo

>
> > I guess not since that way you can look at yourself in the mirror
>
> It's far better than looking at YOU in a mirror!

more evasion

>
> > > > > > These aren't rude things according to Stevie, and they aren't his fault
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You have another issue...One with "finding fault", Markie...
> > > > >
> > > > > you claim nothing you do it your fault they all have it coming
> > > >
> > > > I've said nothing of the sort.
> > >
> > > you have claimed it often
> >
> > Then cite the quote.
there one three of your lines down

>
> I've never said anything of the like.

no you just carry that way
>
> > when told about your responiblities for you actions angainst Todd you
> > blamed Todd said it was all his fault
>
> "responsibilities" "your" "against"
>
> What happened to Todd WAS Todd's fault.

there it is nothing is stevie responiblity

>
> I did not seek Todd out and drag him into this forum. he showed
> up all on his own.

and you choose to harras him

>
> I didn't ask him to "confess" to his numerous violations of both
> state and federal law. He expressed his previous violations of those
> laws all on his own.

no one empowered you as cop

no one made you take what ever action you took

but nothing is Stevie's fault
>
> I didn't ask Todd to use the lind of childish, profane language
> that he did, nor to insult the memory of my daughter.

I am sure you did ask for it by your actions

>
> I didn't drive to Illinois and twist his arm and force him to
> violate the terms of his TOS with Consolidated.

and nobody forced you to be a busybody

You choose to do that, and you deny any responiblity for doing it

>
> He did it on his own.
>
> > > > > Many, many issues.
> > > > >
> > > > > Little if any resolution.
> > >
> > > Still not resolved...Just more MarkieIssues.
> >
> > put up or shut up
>
> =)

still waiting
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2146. For Stevie it is allabout the license, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:06:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124287613.153000.58860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116547

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Tues 16 Aug 2005 21:10
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
> > >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >> davie is like the subject of the ancient Chinese proverb by
> > >> Confusion: "Man with one-track mind often have train of
> > >> thought de-railed."
> > >
> > >...and your's is: "No tickee; no workee".
> >
> > WRONNNNNG, Confusion-wannabe.
> >
> > I have COMMERCIAL ticket ("teckee"), first acquired 1956.
>
> But no Amateur ticket ever.
so?

>
> > I have WORKED ("workee") IN radio since 1952.
>
> But you've not WORKED an Amateur Radio station as a control
> operator...
so?

>
> > <yawn...so difficult to get intellects in the newsgroup
> > these days...no matter how many times I repeat it some
> > still can't get it right...>
>
> Perhaps if YOU got it right and in the proper relevence, you might
> be on the same sheet of music.
>
> Until then, we're Top 40 and you're a funeral dirge.
>
> > Why is Davie MAKING FUN of Asian minorities with bad
> > vaudevilian stereotypical speech?
>

> Perhaps for the same reason YOU have done it in the past...?!?!
>
> Hypocritical putz.

back to gentials again

you a Homo stevie?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2147. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:11:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124287917.423643.119200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116548

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124247117.783122.12190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1124228915.592006.34420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > [snip]
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > No I can't, 5 years pretty well proved that
> >>
> >> Well I believe it was Alun who, for 15 years, "proved" he couldn't learn
> >> code. Then he found the right teachers. Part of the problem is that
> >> many
> >> people do NOT really know how to teach the code even though they may be
> >> proficient at using it.
> >
> > Ms Flint, I spent 5 years in my teens working with a Specail ed teacher
> > and Ham radio operator, the teacher specaily was LD's such my Dyslexia
> > and Dyslexiod Aphasia.
> >
> >
>
break

> Which is great but doesn't mean he/she had the correct training in teaching
> code. It is a bit different than teaching other subjects.

You know MS Flint claiming that Morse Code is so different that specail
in Education In LD educatio who are ham themselves can't teach it
right, is pretty bad

You are starting to sound like Stevie, "he didn't work hard enough"
didn't have the right teacher etc

what was the point?

If effort was the point I put it in

If code was that manifextly import why does the ITU and the FCC disgree with you

Even if you happen to be right why should I spend more time on the off chance you are right

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2148. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:13:09 UTC
From: Osric <osric@nospambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <ddvgll$egb$2@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116550
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.15.47.54.15237@gmail.com...
> Osric:
>
> ... and the japanese attacking perl harbor gave us the perfect excuse to
> join in WWII ... of course, that was a good idea!

Pearl Harbour was an act of aggression by a sovereign state, 9/11 was an act
of terrorism, defeating terrorism requires a different approach to defeating
a sovereign state, which is why the British didn't invade Eire because of
the IRA, and goes some way to showing how co-operation and pragmatism led to
the disarmament of the IRA.

Which also incidentally shows what a wanker Tony Blair is as he knows very
well the current approach to Islamic terrorism is not the way to end
terrorist activity.
--
Osric

THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

>
> John
>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 14:23:34 +0000, Osric wrote:
>
> >
> > Concerned citizen <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
> > news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
> >>
> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> >>
> >
> > Nothing like presidential consistency. George Bush has racked up at
least
> > 1800, and thats only the deaths that occurred inside Iraq. And anyway
Bush
> > was too busy playing golf to heed the warnings arising out of the foiled
> > plot to bomb LA to abandon his extended leave and tackle the problem
prior
> > to 9/11. But then why would he 9/11 provided him with the perfect

pretext to
> > attack Iraq.
> > --
> > Osric
> >
> >
> > THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
> > RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET
>

# 2149. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:13:10 UTC
From: Osric <osric@nospambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <ddvglm$egb$3@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116549
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote in message
news:JUsMe.10277$0E5.7477@fe05.lga...
> Osric are you politically and religiously frustrated?

Everybody should be politically frustrated as it provides democratic
dynamism, better than being politically apathetic. As for the religious
component I am particularly comfortable in that department.

Don't see how your comments follow but thats a matter for you.
--
Osric

THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

# 2150. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:15:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124288145.102026.65480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116551

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1124241538.721616.171420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> > > effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned on
> > > at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> > > elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes (and
> > > related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take time
> > > to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible. I
> > > want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> > > anything?
> >
> > You are of course free to do so
> >
> > I want people to process what I write not merely read it, and judging
> > by Stevies behvoir I do a pretty good, which is likely why he goes into
> > such a frenzy since I am getting through to him, and others like him
>
> What you are "getting through to (me)" is this:
>
> (1) That you're a pathological liar that can't tell the truth
> since it will disrupt your rants in this newsgroup. What a petty
> excuse for not telling the truth.

Nope it doesn't dispurt my message at all

more medical judgements made impoperly

>
> (2) You exhibit significant paranoid behaviour. Your constant
> rants of "stalking", "bully" and "attempting to kill me" are classic.
> Wrap this up in your "I am a victim" personna and you have some pretty
> lame stories.

get it right

Threatening to kill

you can't even tell your lies straight

>
> (3) You probably are a fairly intelligent person, but you INSIST
> on LOOKING like an idiot. Your excuses as to why you can't manage to

> spell check your posts are transparent, baseless and are in direct
> opposition to OTHER posts you've made about what great resources you
> have at your disposal. Again...More stories that your OWN words
> unravel.

I don't waste resources which Is why I have suffiecent resources

but here they would be wasted

Even in a post with nothing spelled wrong my post to the FCC you can't
manage to say anything on point
>
> Your spelling HAS been getting better...Now if we can just funnel
> it into more coherent sentences based on some truth, you'll be well on
> your way to getting better as a human being.

you are the liar
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2151. day 6 Stevie Action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:20:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124288408.638088.316260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116552

Still waiting for the results of KY4Z's "Action"

The best response so far is Stevie sugeesting that people are going to look at me funny in public and drive slowly by the house

Stevie, Stevie, grow up and leave behind these stupid mind games

It would be nice for you point out your alleged action I would not want to miss it

## 2152. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:35:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124289306.793186.43020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116553

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
> >>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
>
>
> > http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76
/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
>
> > that is you flinting with a striper
>
> I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*

being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
>
> Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
> anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
> there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?

no

as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
vien (online flirting)
but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
Tanzania

>
> Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
> habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?

no errors at all

certainly not with anything you have said

>
> Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
> error on this matter?

no error

they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
that I bothered to read all of them

But nothing as promised on Tanzania
>
> Dave K8MN

## 2153. Dave Claims Liberty net nitwits good model for Ham beahvoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:40:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124289639.334252.326480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116554

Dave Heil wrote:
cuting
>It isn't a lie, Mark. I've told you. Others have told you. I'm going
>to suggest to you the same thing I've often suggested to Brian Burke.
>Take it up with the French PTT. They control the acts of French radio
>amateurs who break their rules. They'll, of course, want to know which
>French hams and on what dates and at what times and on which
>frequencies. You'll be able to provide them almost nothing. They'll
>figure that you're a goofball. They'll be right

That the FCC ignored the folks on 80M for years made them OK

but there you have it Dave promote good Ham practice as being anything
you can get away with

pruning

## 2154. Markie Mularkie Made Manifest and Manyfold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:45:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124289916.250329.131770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116555

an_old_friend wrote:
> Still waiting for the results of KY4Z's "Action"

KY4Z didn't promise any "action".

As a matter of fact, KY4Z has not posted to this group at any time
I am aware of.

That's four times you've misrepresented facts, Markie.

Why are you lying?

> The best response so far is Stevie sugeesting that people are going to
> look at me funny in public and drive slowly by the house

No, that's not "the best".

> Stevie, Stevie, grow up and leave behind these stupid mind games

Markie, Markie, stop being a pathetic liar and deceiver.

> It would be nice for you point out your alleged action I would not want
> to miss it

Just look around, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2155. Mark Morgan Aggressively Deceiving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:54:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124290471.685649.326950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116556

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > >>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
> > >>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
> > >
> > >
> > > http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e
76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
> > >
> > > that is you flinting with a striper
> > >
> > I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*
> >
> being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
> with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
> adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations

"committed" "adultery" "amongst" "obfuscations"

He did nothing of the sort, Markie.

His copy of those posts VERBATIM proves it.

> > Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
> > anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
> > there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
> >
> no

Sure there is.

Anc considering what else you've admitted putting in your mouth,
some well-seasoned crow should be a breeze.

> as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
> vien (online flirting)

"vien"...?!?! You meant "vein", perhaps?

W H E R E was the flirting, Markie?

Where was the innuendo? Where were the suggestions?

> but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
> Tanzania

He's done that ...over and over and over...

Seems we know who the BULLY is here now!

Provided with FACTS over and over, you continue to try and badger
and batter Dave Heil, a man of both persoanl and professional character
that you could never HOPE to achieve, in this life or the next two.

> > Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
> > habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?
>
> no errors at all
>
> certainly not with anything you have said

A B S O L U T E L Y with E V E R Y T H I N G he's said.

> > Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
> > error on this matter?
>
> no error

Absolute error.

> they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
> that I bothered to read all of them

Oh...You acknowledge that you didn't bother to read them, yet
claim you are making "no error" as it pertains to any statement of
Daves.

Idiot.

> But nothing as promised on Tanzania

Nothing that you haven't already been provided or yourself
acknowledged, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2156. Stevie action is MIA for 6 days running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 14:56:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124290563.220381.224840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116557

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Still waiting for the results of KY4Z's "Action"
>
> KY4Z didn't promise any "action".

Stevie admits to lying then?
>
> As a matter of fact, KY4Z has not posted to this group at any time
> I am aware of.

then why are you jumping up and down, if you ae not the person under
disucsion then you lack standing to complain
>
> That's four times you've misrepresented facts, Markie.

No misrepresentation
>
> Why are you lying?

not lying at all

Making a joke

after all you though it funny or something to to call me KB9EQZ

then refuse to apologize for it properly

>
> > The best response so far is Stevie sugeesting that people are going to
> > look at me funny in public and drive slowly by the house
>
> No, that's not "the best".

sure is

>
> > Stevie, Stevie, grow up and leave behind these stupid mind games
>
> Markie, Markie, stop being a pathetic liar and deceiver.
not lying

you promised action

you did not deliver

becuase you can't
>

> > It would be nice for you point out your alleged action I would not want
> > to miss it
>
> Just look around, Markie.

I look at my surending fairly closely they are lovely

but still no sign of your Action

just of your stupid mind games KY4Z
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2157. Stevie jions Dave in Supporting "anything you can get away with" as good ham practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:05:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124291107.569934.181070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116558

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
> > > >>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab2196
1e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
> > > >
> > > > that is you flinting with a striper
> > > >
> > > I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*
> > >
> > being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
> > with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
> > adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
>
> "committed" "adultery" "amongst" "obfuscations"
>
> He did nothing of the sort, Markie.
>
> His copy of those posts VERBATIM proves it.

no they prove what he was doing fliting with another mans wife
>
> > > Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
> > > anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
> > > there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
> > >
> > no
>
> Sure there is.

he asked for it I delivered

cuting more Stevie rambling

> > but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
> > Tanzania
>

> He's done that ...over and over and over...

none found by the search
>
> Seems we know who the BULLY is here now!

Yes you are Stevie

Indeed Dave and Stevie both lazy folks that want other to do THIER work

>
> Provided with FACTS over and over, you continue to try and badger
> and batter Dave Heil, a man of both persoanl and professional character
> that you could never HOPE to achieve, in this life or the next two.

No facts provided by Dave

just Dave advocating that anything he can get away with is good
practice

I hold to a higher standard which isn't hard

>
> > > Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
> > > habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?
> >
> > no errors at all
> >
> > certainly not with anything you have said
>
> A B S O L U T E L Y with E V E R Y T H I N G he's said.

nope then prove it

>
> > > Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
> > > error on this matter?
> >
> > no error
>
> Absolute error.

nope No error I post a sample of his flirting a small sample

>
> > they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
> > that I bothered to read all of them
>
> Oh...You acknowledge that you didn't bother to read them, yet
> claim you are making "no error" as it pertains to any statement of
> Daves.
More stevie distortion

I did not bother to read a lot of the post to Ms West virgina
but nO i did not try and read the text of all 2000 plus post looking
for a few scraps

>
> Idiot.
>
> > But nothing as promised on Tanzania
>
> Nothing that you haven't already been provided or yourself
> acknowledged, Markie.

Indeed nothing which mean I have to accept Brain's account as correct

Dave is gulty of Poor Ham practice and of Promoting Same

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2158. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:07:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124291276.442451.58500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116559

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > What you are "getting through to (me)" is this:
> >
> > (1) That you're a pathological liar that can't tell the truth
> > since it will disrupt your rants in this newsgroup. What a petty
> > excuse for not telling the truth.
>
> Nope it doesn't dispurt my message at all

"dispurt"

Sure it does.

Telling lies that are so transparent ALWAYS disrupt the flow of
information.

> more medical judgements made impoperly

No medical judegements made here, Markie.

> > (2) You exhibit significant paranoid behaviour. Your constant
> > rants of "stalking", "bully" and "attempting to kill me" are classic.
> > Wrap this up in your "I am a victim" personna and you have some pretty
> > lame stories.
>
> get it right
>
> Threatening to kill
>
> you can't even tell your lies straight

Regardless of how you try and twist it, Markie, it's still
paranoia.

> > (3) You probably are a fairly intelligent person, but you INSIST
> > on LOOKING like an idiot. Your excuses as to why you can't manage to
> > spell check your posts are transparent, baseless and are in direct
> > opposition to OTHER posts you've made about what great resources you
> > have at your disposal. Again...More stories that your OWN words
> > unravel.
>
> I don't waste resources which Is why I have suffiecent resources

Obvioulsy you do NOT have "suffiecent resources".

Much of what you need is either freeware or very inexpensive
software.

You claim to be some sort of "professional" with "pool of typists"
at your disposal, but then you claim you can't afford less than $50
worth of software.

The lie is transparent.

> but here they would be wasted

No they wouldn't.

> Even in a post with nothing spelled wrong my post to the FCC you can't
> manage to say anything on point

The problem is you didn't! Your post was rife with spelling
errors!

The third paragraph was full of typos, and the grammatical
structure looked as though it was fired from a shotgun.

And WHAT were you trying to say about privileges before 1998?

It looked idiotic!

> > Your spelling HAS been getting better...Now if we can just funnel
> > it into more coherent sentences based on some truth, you'll be well on
> > your way to getting better as a human being.
>
> you are the liar

I am...?!?!

Your spelling's not getting any better?

And like it or not, until you learn how to USE the English
language like the rest of us, you'll still look like a pathetic idiot
trying to string words together with Crazy Glue.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2159. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:17:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.15.17.53.820299@gmail.com>
Source record: 116560
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Osric:

When we fought the british to secure america for the citizens here, we
became the original terrorists.

The british would march out on to the field to battle for honor and glory,
we would hide in the bushes and pick them off like vermin. Surprise
attacks were our specialty.

We should be darn prepared to go toe-to-toe with the tactics the
terrorists throw at us now. We get need a while to get sick and tired of
their tactics, then move to crush them for being the uncivilized dangerous
animals they are...

Nothing is guaranteed in this world, except their are brave hearts and
cowards, this is just time to separate those two...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 14:13:09 +0000, Osric wrote:

>
> John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.16.15.47.54.15237@gmail.com...
>> Osric:
>>
>> ... and the japanese attacking perl harbor gave us the perfect excuse to
>> join in WWII ... of course, that was a good idea!
>
> Pearl Harbour was an act of aggression by a sovereign state, 9/11 was an act
> of terrorism, defeating terrorism requires a different approach to defeating
> a sovereign state, which is why the British didn't invade Eire because of
> the IRA, and goes some way to showing how co-operation and pragmatism led to
> the disarmament of the IRA.
>
> Which also incidentally shows what a wanker Tony Blair is as he knows very
> well the current approach to Islamic terrorism is not the way to end
> terrorist activity.
> --
> Osric
>
>
> THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
> RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

>
>
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 14:23:34 +0000, Osric wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Concerned citizen <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
>> > news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
>> >>
>> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>> >>
>> >
>> > Nothing like presidential consistency. George Bush has racked up at
> least
>> > 1800, and thats only the deaths that occurred inside Iraq. And anyway
> Bush
>> > was too busy playing golf to heed the warnings arising out of the foiled
>> > plot to bomb LA to abandon his extended leave and tackle the problem
> prior
>> > to 9/11. But then why would he 9/11 provided him with the perfect
> pretext to
>> > attack Iraq.
>> > --
>> > Osric
>> >
>> >
>> > THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
>> > RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET
>>

# 2160. More Mark Morgan Lies And Deceit..But He's Good For Laughs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:32:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124292777.157445.82220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116561

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
> > > with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
> > > adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
> >
> > "committed" "adultery" "amongst" "obfuscations"
> >
> > He did nothing of the sort, Markie.
> >
> > His copy of those posts VERBATIM proves it.
>
> no they prove what he was doing fliting with another mans wife

"flirting"

No, they don't.

> > > > Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
> > > > anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
> > > > there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
> > >
> > > no
> >
> > Sure there is.
>
> he asked for it I delivered

You delivered nothing but more evidence of your foolishness

> cuting more Stevie rambling

"Cutting".

There was no rambling.

QUOTE:

> as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
> vien (online flirting)

"vien"...?!?! You meant "vein", perhaps?

W H E R E was the flirting, Markie?

Where was the innuendo? Where were the suggestions?

UNQUOTE

Markie, Y O U are the one who alleged that Dave was doing something
that violated either religious doctrine or his marital vows.

You've been asked to substantiate your claims.

Were these claims from the same "vein" as your "I am a Colonel in
the Army Chemical Corps"...???

> > > but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
> > > Tanzania
> >
> > He's done that ...over and over and over...
>
> none found by the search

Sure there were. He's re-told them 1000 times over.

Seems you want him to "carry your freight", LiarBoy.

> > Seems we know who the BULLY is here now!
>
> Yes you are Stevie

Nope.

> Indeed Dave and Stevie both lazy folks that want other to do THIER work

The "WORK" here, Markie, is for YOU to prove YOUR assertions that
Dave acted improperly as control operator of an Amateur Radio station.

YOU made that assertion. Now it's YOUR "work" to prove it.

And you may add to that "proving" where Dave allegedly commited
the aforementioned "flirting" with "another mans wife".

> > Provided with FACTS over and over, you continue to try and badger
> > and batter Dave Heil, a man of both persoanl and professional character
> > that you could never HOPE to achieve, in this life or the next two.
>
> No facts provided by Dave

Sure he has.

> just Dave advocating that anything he can get away with is good
> practice

Let's see...Dave's name appears over and ovr again in Amateur
Radio periodicals over a 12-15 year period...I don't see KB9RQZ in a
one!

> I hold to a higher standard which isn't hard

YOU hold nothing except your own opinions in high regard,
Markie...

And by your own admission you are a prolific liar. Not to be
trusted to be truthful in ANY event.

> > > > Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
> > > > habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?
> > >
> > > no errors at all
> > >
> > > certainly not with anything you have said
> >
> > A B S O L U T E L Y with E V E R Y T H I N G he's said.
>
> nope then prove it

He's already done it...YOU have already done it.

And he completely re-quoted the alt.wv posts without reservation.

No flirting. Period.

> > > > Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
> > > > error on this matter?
> > >
> > > no error
> >
> > Absolute error.
>
> nope No error I post a sample of his flirting a small sample

There was no flirting.

Period.

> > > they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
> > > that I bothered to read all of them
> >
> > Oh...You acknowledge that you didn't bother to read them, yet
> > claim you are making "no error" as it pertains to any statement of
> > Daves.
>
> More stevie distortion

Nope.

Here's your words AGAIN: "they are your posts and quite a number
of them from what I found not that I bothered to read all of them"

"...not that I bothered to raad all of them"

So...Whatever "opinion" you made was based on incomplete
information.

> I did not bother to read a lot of the post to Ms West virgina
> but nO i did not try and read the text of all 2000 plus post looking
> for a few scraps

And "scraps" would be all you could piece together. I could take
enough "scraps" from hacked-together comments you have made to prove

you an al-Queda member.

The WHOLE truth is what counts.

> > Idiot.
> >
> > > But nothing as promised on Tanzania
> >
> > Nothing that you haven't already been provided or yourself
> > acknowledged, Markie.
>
> Indeed nothing which mean I have to accept Brain's account as correct

How could his account be correct?

(1) Was he there?

(2) Was he party to the QSO's made?

(3) Does he hold licensure in France or Tanzania?

(4) Does Brain hold a degree in radiocommunication law, domestic
OR international?

> Dave is gulty of Poor Ham practice and of Promoting Same

Prove it.

From what legal source do you set this milestone?

From what PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in radio communication to YOU draw
an informed opinion?

From what DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE do you glean these insights? From
Brain's posts? Brain's almost as big a liar as you are, Markie, and
his "DX" saavy is even worse.

Brain overtly operated an Amateur Radio station WITHOUT LICENSURE
from a UN controlled zone. And you're going to take his "word" over
that of an internationally reknowned DXer and DXpeditionist like Dave
Heil...?!?!

Brain Burke is your "higher standard"...?!?!

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAH
A
! ! !

ROTMFFLMMFAOOAOAOA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !! !

Steve, K4YZ

## 2161. Markie Mularkie Cut To The Quick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:37:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124293020.785777.131780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116562

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > KY4Z's words
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...
> > > > >
> > > > > sure are
> > > > >
> > > > > you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z
> > > >
> > > > Who's "Nick"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Learn english
> >
> > Learn it yourself. It's "English".
>
> learn engish
```

"learn engish"

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !

So you've STILL not told us who Nick is and why you keep lying
about comments being made by "KY4Z".

Steve, K4YZ

## 2162. Stevie continues to support Poor operating practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:42:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124293320.899202.156150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116563

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
> > > > with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
> > > > adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
> > >
> > > "committed" "adultery" "amongst" "obfuscations"
> > >
> > > He did nothing of the sort, Markie.
> > >
> > > His copy of those posts VERBATIM proves it.
> >
> > no they prove what he was doing fliting with another mans wife
>
> "flirting"
>
> No, they don't.

sure do

flirting around with Bisexual Female,

interesting that he finds it ok for a Female to be Bisexual although
not terribly relavant
>
> > > > > Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
> > > > > anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
> > > > > there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
> > > >
> > > > no
> > >
> > > Sure there is.
> >
> > he asked for it I delivered
>
> You delivered nothing but more evidence of your foolishness

I delivered what I promised

unlike your promises of action
>
> > cuting more Stevie rambling
>
> "Cutting".

>
> There was no rambling.
>
sure was

# 2163. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:49:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124293770.410244.181550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116564

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > What you are "getting through to (me)" is this:
> > >
> > > (1) That you're a pathological liar that can't tell the truth
> > > since it will disrupt your rants in this newsgroup. What a petty
> > > excuse for not telling the truth.
> >
> > Nope it doesn't dispurt my message at all
>
> "dispurt"
>
> Sure it does.
>
> Telling lies that are so transparent ALWAYS disrupt the flow of
> information.

then why do you lie so badly

>
and yet dispite this your message of hate come through, and my message
of hope for the future even if a dim hope come through

> > more medical judgements made impoperly
>
> No medical judegements made here, Markie.

sure was gues you forgot this "That you're a pathological liar" that is
a medical judgement

more stevie lies

>
> > > (2) You exhibit significant paranoid behaviour. Your constant
> > > rants of "stalking", "bully" and "attempting to kill me" are classic.
> > > Wrap this up in your "I am a victim" personna and you have some pretty
> > > lame stories.
> >
> > get it right
> >
> > Threatening to kill
> >
> > you can't even tell your lies straight
>

> Regardless of how you try and twist it, Markie, it's still
> paranoia.

Not at all

Making a threat is making a threat stevie

>
> > > (3) You probably are a fairly intelligent person, but you INSIST
> > > on LOOKING like an idiot. Your excuses as to why you can't manage to
> > > spell check your posts are transparent, baseless and are in direct
> > > opposition to OTHER posts you've made about what great resources you
> > > have at your disposal. Again...More stories that your OWN words
> > > unravel.
> >
> > I don't waste resources which Is why I have suffiecent resources
>
> Obvioulsy you do NOT have "suffiecent resources".

more lies

>
> Much of what you need is either freeware or very inexpensive
> software.

no free ware is going to interface with google this way

and as I said it would be waste of time and effort

>
> You claim to be some sort of "professional" with "pool of typists"
> at your disposal, but then you claim you can't afford less than $50
> worth of software.

more lies Stevie

I hire typists for my professional work (I bill those hours to the
clientas per contract)

I never claimed I can't afford the software that just it would be waste
of time and money

You can't tell the truth about much of anything

>
> The lie is transparent.

your lie is indeed if someonethrows some light on it

>
> > but here they would be wasted
>
> No they wouldn't.

sure would
>
> > Even in a post with nothing spelled wrong my post to the FCC you can't
> > manage to say anything on point
>
> The problem is you didn't! Your post was rife with spelling
> errors!

Not a one or if it was then having used spelling check software on it
first before cuting and pasting ti the NG your claim that spelling
check software would help was a lie

>
> The third paragraph was full of typos, and the grammatical
> structure looked as though it was fired from a shotgun.

not a mispelled word
and there you go proving the effort would be wasted on the NG

cut wasted BW
> > > Your spelling HAS been getting better...Now if we can just funnel
> > > it into more coherent sentences based on some truth, you'll be well on
> > > your way to getting better as a human being.
> >
> > you are the liar
>
> I am...?!?!
>
> Your spelling's not getting any better?
nope

nor any worse
it come and goes with the weather and the seasons and how good or bad a
day I am having

to string words together with Crazy Glue.
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2164. Stevie Still think the NG revolves around him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:51:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124293915.817098.227580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116566

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > KY4Z's words
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > sure are
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who's "Nick"...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Learn english
> > > > > >
> > > > > Learn it yourself. It's "English".
> > > >
> > > learn engish
> > >
> > "learn engish"
> >
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! !
>
> So you've STILL not told us who Nick is and why you keep lying
> about comments being made by "KY4Z".

Learn English

learn to read

"not lying making a play on letters"

I am not lying I am as I have said severl times now making a joke

a joke of a type you feel no need to apologize for when you do it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2165. Stevie Still think the NG revolves around him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:52:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124293946.781363.188530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116565

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > KY4Z's words
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No...these are NOT KY4Z's words...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > sure are
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you just don't like you new Nick KY4Z
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who's "Nick"...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Learn english
> > > > > >
> > > > > Learn it yourself. It's "English".
> > > >
> > > learn engish
> > >
> > "learn engish"
> >
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! !
>
> So you've STILL not told us who Nick is and why you keep lying
> about comments being made by "KY4Z".

Learn English

learn to read

"not lying making a play on letters"

I am not lying I am as I have said severl times now making a joke

a joke of a type you feel no need to apologize for when you do it

Still waiting for your action

Put up or shut up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2166. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:55:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124294152.439128.12200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

I must take issue with you

when we fought the Brits we did not become the origanl terroists There
were terroist long before us In History, Gengis Khan for example the
Jewish revolts including the "Great Revolt" of ad 70 and Bar Kochba
revolt of ad 135

John Smith wrote:
> Osric:
>
> When we fought the british to secure america for the citizens here, we
> became the original terrorists.
>
> The british would march out on to the field to battle for honor and glory,
> we would hide in the bushes and pick them off like vermin. Surprise
> attacks were our specialty.
>
> We should be darn prepared to go toe-to-toe with the tactics the
> terrorists throw at us now. We get need a while to get sick and tired of
> their tactics, then move to crush them for being the uncivilized dangerous
> animals they are...
>
> Nothing is guaranteed in this world, except their are brave hearts and
> cowards, this is just time to separate those two...
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 14:13:09 +0000, Osric wrote:
>
> >

## 2167. here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 15:58:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124294292.306227.299270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116568

Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just obey the letter?

Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think this is right and the rules are wrong

Are we self policing or not?

Should we be self policing?

# 2168. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:05:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <l0o6g15oogssf917r0gguphvisatos5t9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 116569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

On 17 Aug 2005 08:55:52 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I must take issue with you
>
>when we fought the Brits we did not become the origanl terroists There
>were terroist long before us In History, Gengis Khan for example the
>Jewish revolts including the "Great Revolt" of ad 70 and Bar Kochba
>revolt of ad 135
>
>John Smith wrote:
>> Osric:
>>
>> When we fought the british to secure america for the citizens here, we
>> became the original terrorists.
>>
>> The british would march out on to the field to battle for honor and glory,
>> we would hide in the bushes and pick them off like vermin. Surprise
>> attacks were our specialty.
>>
>> We should be darn prepared to go toe-to-toe with the tactics the
>> terrorists throw at us now. We get need a while to get sick and tired of
>> their tactics, then move to crush them for being the uncivilized dangerous
>> animals they are...
>>
>> Nothing is guaranteed in this world, except their are brave hearts and
>> cowards, this is just time to separate those two...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 14:13:09 +0000, Osric wrote:
>>
>> >
>
The term ''terrorist'' is relative. The Colonists did not invent the
ambush.

# 2169. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:06:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.16.06.51.591157@gmail.com>
Source record: 116575

AOF:

The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress can be introduced.

In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in the way they would them implemented... a vast influx of new people may be able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were able to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell... after decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 08:58:12 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

> Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just
> obey the letter?
>
> Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think
> this is right and the rules are wrong
>
> Are we self policing or not?
>
> Should we be self policing?

# 2170. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:21:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.16.21.51.69109@gmail.com>
Source record: 116576

AOF:

You know, there is an experiment which was done in the pursuit of studying animal behavior and psychology: (and lets' face it, humans are animals)

A frog was tossed into a pan of water which was dis-comfortably warm--he jumped out immediately to seek a cooler spot.

A frog was tossed into a pan of water which as dangerously warm--same occurred, frog left for cooler areas.

Now, a frog is placed into a pan of nice cool water to its' liking, and the water ever so slowly warmed. Even when the temperature climbs to the point where the frog expires, rolls over, and becomes SK (no more croaks ever!) it remains within the pan of water. All of the biological safeguards which uncounted eons of time had given that frog to escape danger have been defeated, and all because it happened so gradually...

So is the saga of amateur radio... and it has taken decades... now comes the wake-up call.

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 08:58:12 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

> Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just
> obey the letter?
>
> Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think
> this is right and the rules are wrong
>
> Are we self policing or not?
>
> Should we be self policing?

# 2171. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:25:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124295912.677897.80890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116570

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> Nope but it isn't worth my time to compose if people aren't going to bother
> reading it. If I'm not going to take the time to do it reasonably well, I'm
> not going to bother at all.
>
> >> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> >> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned
> >> on
> >> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> >> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes
> >> (and
> >> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take
> >> time
> >> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible.
> >> I
> >> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> >> anything?

I agree 100% with Dee's ideas expressed above. If something is worth
writing, it's worth writing clearly.

This doesn't mean we're all Shakespeares. It does mean we can at least
do what we can to use correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and
capitalization.

--

An analogy:

Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.

Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
over-deviating,
just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely
impossible to understand'.

Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
mike which is the problem.

But the newcomer refuses to replace the microphone. Says it's too much
trouble, costs too much money, and a new mike wouldn't be as easy to

use as the old one. Plus he doesn't think his audio is all that bad in the first place.

Newcomer finally says that if the group can't understand him, it's *their* problem, not his, and he shouldn't be expected to spend money, time and effort to get a new microphone for his rig.

How should the group respond?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2172. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:28:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124296088.443506.168420@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116571

an_old_friend wrote:

> Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just
> obey the letter?

Where does it suggest in FCC rules that you are allowed to deviate
from the letter, Mark?

And who's going to be the arbitrator of what is "rule" and what is
"intent"?

Part 97 of the FCC Rules and Regulations are set up to do both,
but on a limited basis.

The "Basis and Purpose" of the ARS as defined in 97.1 establishes
"intent" for the deployment of the Amateur Radio service. But in that
"intent" does not set any "rules" for operating.

The remainder of Part 97, for the most part, makes specific do's
and don'ts, ie: operating bands, power limits, identification, etc.

The Constitution of the United States is a document drawn up in
such a way as to be intentionally susceptible to "interpretation" and
change as current needs dictate.

RULES are just that...rules. They usually specify certain things
you MUST do, things you MAY do and certain things you must NOT do.

Although not always successful, they are written so as to be as
unambiguous as possible.

> Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think
> this is right and the rules are wrong

That's what the rule making process is all about, and people
already use it every day. As for "hiding", what are you talking about?
You either comply with what is written or you don't.

> Are we self policing or not?

To the degree allowed by law. Then the law steps in.

> Should we be self policing?

What's your alternative?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2173. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:32:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124296343.094961.76590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116572

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> > Nope but it isn't worth my time to compose if people aren't going to bother
> > reading it. If I'm not going to take the time to do it reasonably well, I'm
> > not going to bother at all.
> >
> > >> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> > >> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned
> > >> on
> > >> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> > >> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes
> > >> (and
> > >> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take
> > >> time
> > >> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible.
> > >> I
> > >> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> > >> anything?
>
> I agree 100% with Dee's ideas expressed above. If something is worth
> writing, it's worth writing clearly.

When are you going to start writng clearly yourself?

>
> This doesn't mean we're all Shakespeares. It does mean we can at least
> do what we can to use correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and
> capitalization.

Ok

>
> --
>
> An analogy:
>
> Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
> Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
> clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
>
> Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
> Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
> over-deviating,
> just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely

> impossible to understand'.
>
> Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
> being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
> which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
> mike which is the problem.
>
> But the newcomer refuses to replace the microphone. Says it's too much
> trouble, costs too much money, and a new mike wouldn't be as easy to
> use as the old one. Plus he doesn't think his audio is all that bad in
> the first place.
>
> Newcomer finally says that if the group can't understand him, it's
> *their* problem, not his, and he shouldn't be expected to spend money,
> time and effort to get a new microphone for his rig.
>
> How should the group respond?

One you you try analogy that is valid

you could also be man enough to say what you mean

For example to addmto your analogy

It is only those that disgree with the newcomers views that find him so
impossible to understand

It it also truns out not to be his mike but his voice that has problem

and of course tell get off the air till you can fix your voice

and of course Ham operators are so accepting

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2174. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:33:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.16.33.48.837527@gmail.com>
Source record: 116577

N2EY:

I think I am in agreement with you, if a man is doing the best he can to express ideas he finds important, somehow I do feel an obligation to see if I can't understand the idea(s), but not necessarily agree with that/those idea(s)...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 09:25:12 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> 
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> Nope but it isn't worth my time to compose if people aren't going to bother
>> reading it. If I'm not going to take the time to do it reasonably well, I'm
>> not going to bother at all.
>>
>> >> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
>> >> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned
>> >> on
>> >> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
>> >> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes
>> >> (and
>> >> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take
>> >> time
>> >> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible.
>> >> I
>> >> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
>> >> anything?
>
> I agree 100% with Dee's ideas expressed above. If something is worth
> writing, it's worth writing clearly.
>
> This doesn't mean we're all Shakespeares. It does mean we can at least
> do what we can to use correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and
> capitalization.
>
> --
>
> An analogy:
>
> Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
> Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
> clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.

>
> Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
> Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
> over-deviating,
> just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely
> impossible to understand'.
>
> Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
> being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
> which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
> mike which is the problem.
>
> But the newcomer refuses to replace the microphone. Says it's too much
> trouble, costs too much money, and a new mike wouldn't be as easy to
> use as the old one. Plus he doesn't think his audio is all that bad in
> the first place.
>
> Newcomer finally says that if the group can't understand him, it's
> *their* problem, not his, and he shouldn't be expected to spend money,
> time and effort to get a new microphone for his rig.
>
> How should the group respond?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2175. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:34:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124296466.473787.232810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116573

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just
> > obey the letter?
>
> Where does it suggest in FCC rules that you are allowed to deviate
> from the letter, Mark?

Excellent question
>
> And who's going to be the arbitrator of what is "rule" and what is
> "intent"?

Excellent question
>
> Part 97 of the FCC Rules and Regulations are set up to do both,
> but on a limited basis.
>
> The "Basis and Purpose" of the ARS as defined in 97.1 establishes
> "intent" for the deployment of the Amateur Radio service. But in that
> "intent" does not set any "rules" for operating.
>
> The remainder of Part 97, for the most part, makes specific do's
> and don'ts, ie: operating bands, power limits, identification, etc.
>
> The Constitution of the United States is a document drawn up in
> such a way as to be intentionally susceptible to "interpretation" and
> change as current needs dictate.
>
> RULES are just that...rules. They usually specify certain things
> you MUST do, things you MAY do and certain things you must NOT do.
>
> Although not always successful, they are written so as to be as
> unambiguous as possible.
>
> > Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think
> > this is right and the rules are wrong
>
> That's what the rule making process is all about, and people
> already use it every day. As for "hiding", what are you talking about?
> You either comply with what is written or you don't.

Trifle touchy arent we
>

> > Are we self policing or not?
>
> To the degree allowed by law. Then the law steps in.
>
> > Should we be self policing?
>
> What's your alternative?

Excellent question
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2176. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:36:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124296579.208704.37470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116574

an_old_friend wrote:
> Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just
> obey the letter?

IMHO, hams should respect the intent of the rules as well as the exact letter of the rules.

The trouble is that different hams have different ideas as to what the intent really is.

> Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think
> this is right and the rules are wrong

If someone thinks a rule can be improved, they should say so. However, they should understand that simply because they think a rule isn't the way it should be doesn't make it 'wrong'.

I don't see how someone can "hide behind the rules".

> Are we self policing or not?

> Should we be self policing?

A lot depends on what is meant by "self-policing".

IMHO, hams themselves can do the following:

- Inform fellow hams of observed or suspected violations ("Did you know your signal is so wide it's bringing up the repeater on the adjacent frequency?"

- Set a good example in rules compliance

- Inform FCC of uncorrected violations

- Have nothing to do with those who commit repeated and/or serious violations.

But enforcement by FCC is still needed. That doesn't mean FCC is expected to do everything, however.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2177. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 16:55:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116578

rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
> I heard this on the local NPR radio station this morning. They made
> BPL sound rosy. They did mention that the ham radio guys were against
> it but came up with some "notching" solution that would take care of
> ham radio guys concerns.

The "notching" solution is simple: Their BPL system does not use
frequencies that are also ham bands. Whether it works or not is an open
question.
>
> You can listen to the stream at:
> http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4801446

I did - interesting piece. What they neglect to mention is that:

- BPL is a "last mile" delivery method, not a complete system. Still
needs a 'head end'

- BPL bandwidth is shared between users on the same line, so as your
neighbors sign up and use the system, your performance degrades.

- There are several BPL technologies out there, not just the one they
profiled.

- There are other technologies (like Wi-Fi) which can do the same job
without all the fuss and bother.

- The big danger of BPL is that it turns the whole idea of spectrum
protection and allocation upside-down, and sets a bad precedent.

I wonder how rosy a solution they would think it was if BPL interfered
with FM broadcasting, reducing the utility and availability of that
mode of communications?

> If would be great if a qualified ham could respond to their article.
> They usually take listener comment and broadcast those comments the
> next day or so.

A qualified ham was part of the article. The rest of us should comment,
too.

---

One thing the piece proved was that the media, and particularly
National Public Radio, are not all a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals'.
BPL is a
poster technology for the Bush Administration, who thinks BPL can do no

wrong. The best BPL analogies I've seen describe BPL as unnecessary spectrum pollution, and you'd think a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals' would be against anything that pollutes half as bad as BPL has been shown to do. The article also accepts without question the idea that fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.

Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original poster actually listen to it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2178. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:06:35 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <ddvqqr01goj@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116582

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 09:33:50 -0700 John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

| I think I am in agreement with you, if a man is doing the best he can to
| express ideas he finds important, somehow I do feel an obligation to see
| if I can't understand the idea(s), but not necessarily agree with
| that/those idea(s)...

I would also agree. Being open minded does not necesarily mean becoming
closed minded as soon as any first idea comes along.

--
---
| Phil Howard KA9WGN | http://linuxhomepage.com/ http://ham.org/ |
| (first name) at ipal.net | http://phil.ipal.org/ http://ka9wgn.ham.org/ |
---

# 2179. Re: The ShitCan Man Can Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:11:08 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <WB4UWES838581.7993981481@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 116579

In article <990287017833.242358F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Willy Wonka" <wonka.w@no.spam> wrote:
>
> THE SHI

Contestant Davies,

That has to be the worst song parody ever written. You have proved that in addition to your other numerous shortcomings, you are also bereft of talent. Your "songwriting skills" are as poor as your employability skills. You cannot write above a first grade level, and your "attempt" to integrate subjects you are familiar with onto a song is like all your efforts, you fail miserably. You should consider taking a "creative writing" or "poetry" class, since you obviously cannot do either one. Roger's song parodies are much superior than your efforts, but then everybody's efforts are superior than yours.
Just like you cannot go without making a homosexual reference in ever post. This is an obvious freudian slip on your part, since apparently, you want to announce to the world that the reason you are a 31 year old virgin is that you are a homosexual. You were too lazy and stupid to construct a decent parody with a decent presentation, similarly, you are too stupid and lazy to put forth the time and effort to learn morse code or the theory for a higher class amateur radio license. Whichever "college" or "school" you "claim" to have "attended" should be immediately contacted by you and asked for a full refund, since you obviously did not learn a thing. Your delivery in that falsetto voice, which by the way, sounded like Tiny Tim gargling razor blades, prove that people like you who are so gullible that they think they can enter a contest like this should be locked away for the public safety. "American Idol?"...you are more apt to be the winner of "American Assclown."
NEXT!

Simon Cowell

## 2180. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:14:57 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <ddvrah11goj@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116584

On 17 Aug 2005 09:36:19 -0700 N2EY@aol.com wrote:

| I don't see how someone can "hide behind the rules".

How about someone operating in such a way that they are in literal
compliance with the rule, but many others believe they are violating the
intent. Sorry, I can't give an example of such a situation; maybe one can
be found from other people's experience.

--
---
| Phil Howard KA9WGN | http://linuxhomepage.com/ http://ham.org/ |
| (first name) at ipal.net | http://phil.ipal.org/ http://ka9wgn.ham.org/ |
---

## 2181. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:15:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124298930.797148.257760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116580

John I have had to sit in class and watch that one on film, I dropped the class the next day

# 2182. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:23:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.17.23.32.985042@gmail.com>
Source record: 116581

AOF:

Well, the experiment is continuing. Such an experiment began with the "Radio Act of 1912" when the first amateur license was issued, it continues to this day and beyond... a review of the data gained after close to 100 years should show us what has been done right, and what is in need of change...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 10:15:30 -0700, an old friend wrote:

> John I have had to sit in class and watch that one on film, I dropped
> the class the next day

# 2183. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:30:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.17.30.53.582700@gmail.com>
Source record: 116583

AOF:

... make note, I do see your point.

The poor frog gave its' all to teach us an important lesson--and so few have ever paid attention... waste of a damn good frog if you ask me!

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 10:15:30 -0700, an old friend wrote:

> John I have had to sit in class and watch that one on film, I dropped
> the class the next day

## 2184. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:36:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MAKMe.8329$RS.6662@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116585

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
>>>>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
>>
>>
>>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76/
3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
>>
>>>that is you flinting with a striper
>>
>>I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*
>
>
> being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
> with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
> adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations

Get it straight, Mark. Don't lie or distort. The woman in question is
*not* a stripper or even a striper. There were no words of mine of a
flirtatious nature. I provided verbatim quotes. There was no
"personals newsgroup". To sum up: You look like an incredible fool or
a baldfaced liar.

>>Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
>>anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
>>there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
>
>
> no

Oh yes it is, Colonel. You've fabricated and made accusations made upon
those fabrications. The man who admits that he lies when it suits him,
has lied again.

> as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
> vien (online flirting)
> but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
> Tanzania

You couldn't correctly identify them if they smacked you in the chops. All the material relating to your silly "charge" concerning my operations from Tanzania are there. There is no material on flirting. By the way, newsgroup postings are *not* online. It would appear that you owe an apology, bucko.

>>Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
>>habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?

> no errors at all

No errors? You have no facts at your disposal. Even after reading Brian Burke's recent postings on the subject, you've not acknowledged your own false charges. You can be seen as either a maladroit or as malicious.

> certainly not with anything you have said

>
>
>
>>Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
>>error on this matter?
>
>
> no error

None? How about that 1) I did no flirting, 2) that there was no post to a personals newsgroup? Those don't strike you as errors?

> they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
> that I bothered to read all of them

Doesn't it bother you that you misrepresented them? that there are is no flirting by me? that there is no personals newsgroup? You're dishonest.

> But nothing as promised on Tanzania

...not because the material isn't there, but because you're incapable of using a search engine.

Dave K8MN

# 2185. Re: Dave Claims Liberty net nitwits good model for Ham beahvoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:39:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VCKMe.8332$RS.7499@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116586

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> cuting
>
>>It isn't a lie, Mark. I've told you. Others have told you. I'm going
>>to suggest to you the same thing I've often suggested to Brian Burke.
>>Take it up with the French PTT. They control the acts of French radio
>>amateurs who break their rules. They'll, of course, want to know which
>>French hams and on what dates and at what times and on which
>>frequencies. You'll be able to provide them almost nothing. They'll
>>figure that you're a goofball. They'll be right
>
>
> That the FCC ignored the folks on 80M for years made them OK
>
> but there you have it Dave promote good Ham practice as being anything
> you can get away with
>
> pruning
>

I think Steve might be on to something, Colonel. Your subject line is
an outright and deliberate falsehood. Your mental state is in question.

Dave K8MN

# 2186. Re: Stevie jions Dave in Supporting "anything you can get away with" as good ham practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:45:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lJKMe.8334$RS.4244@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116587

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>>>being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
>>>with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
>>>adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
>>
>> "committed" "adultery" "amongst" "obfuscations"
>>
>> He did nothing of the sort, Markie.
>>
>> His copy of those posts VERBATIM proves it.
>
>
> no they prove what he was doing fliting with another mans wife

Except for the facts that the material didn't come from a personals
newsgroup (as you claimed), did not reveal me flirting (as you claimed)
and that the woman you quoted isn't married (as you claim). We could
add that the exchange you claim I had with a "bisexaul" female didn't
take place at all. I guess that about sums it up.

>>>>Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
>>>>anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
>>>>there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
>>>
>>>no
>>
>> Sure there is.
>
>
> he asked for it I delivered

You certainly did. You delivered false information and here you are
attempting to serve it up as the truth, despite being confronted with
quotes.

> cuting more Stevie rambling
>
>
>>>but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
>>>Tanzania
>>
>> He's done that ...over and over and over...
>
>
> none found by the search

The search engine is only as smart as the individual using it.

Dave K8MN

# 2187. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:53:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.17.53.22.35985@gmail.com>
Source record: 116588

Phil:

At the core of the "Radio Act of 1912", and grossly paraphrased here by me, seems the statement, "Here you guys sign up and get registered, then take this range of freqs and see what you can do with them. See if you can come up with ideas which advance the use of radio and we can use in the benefit of america and its' citizens."

Somehow, along the way, things got bogged down and an abundance of people came to the hobby who wanted a set of rules which they could religiously worship and practice and invoke for disciplinary actions to be taken on others not holding a religious reverence for such, this has been detrimental to the original purpose and goals...

This now lays at the extreme end where you must be careful what experiments you undertake, how you undertake them and why you can't undertake them... in someways there are "guards" on the bands as exist in prisons, and you are "allowed out in the yard" if you obey all the rules... strange for a hobby first created as a means to try new ideas which could possibly lead somewhere...

BPL is perhaps a very good example, where arrl and other "status quo" forces banded together and ended up having the effect of saying, "We already know that won't work! Don't attempt any experiments, don't do any testing, don't gather any data, don't lay any plans. Don't plan on being able to change and redesign hardware/software to attempt to make it work! Cease and desist immediately, we so command you!"

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 17:14:57 +0000, phil-news-nospam wrote:

> On 17 Aug 2005 09:36:19 -0700 N2EY@aol.com wrote:
>
> | I don't see how someone can "hide behind the rules".
>
> How about someone operating in such a way that they are in literal
> compliance with the rule, but many others believe they are violating the
> intent. Sorry, I can't give an example of such a situation; maybe one can
> be found from other people's experience.

# 2188. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 17:57:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.17.57.29.463773@gmail.com>
Source record: 116589

google-ing with the search terms - "dave heil" tanzania - return 46
results, seems 46 of 'em are online...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 17:36:44 +0000,
Dave Heil wrote:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
>>>>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
>>>
>>>
>>>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76
/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
>>>
>>>>that is you flinting with a striper
>>>
>>>I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*
>>
>>
>> being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
>> with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
>> adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
>
> Get it straight, Mark. Don't lie or distort. The woman in question is
> *not* a stripper or even a striper. There were no words of mine of a
> flirtatious nature. I provided verbatim quotes. There was no
> "personals newsgroup". To sum up: You look like an incredible fool or
> a baldfaced liar.
>
>>>Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
>>>anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
>>>there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
>>
>>
>> no
>
> Oh yes it is, Colonel. You've fabricated and made accusations made upon

> those fabrications. The man who admits that he lies when it suits him,
> has lied again.
>
>> as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
>> vien (online flirting)
>> but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
>> Tanzania
>
> You couldn't correctly identify them if they smacked you in the chops.
> All the material relating to your silly "charge" concerning my
> operations from Tanzania are there. There is no material on flirting.
> By the way, newsgroup postings are *not* online. It would appear that
> you owe an apology, bucko.
>
>>>Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
>>>habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?
>
>> no errors at all
>
> No errors? You have no facts at your disposal. Even after reading
> Brian Burke's recent postings on the subject, you've not acknowledged
> your own false charges. You can be seen as either a maladroit or as
> malicious.
>
>> certainly not with anything you have said
>
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>>>Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
>>>error on this matter?
>>
>>
>> no error
>
> None? How about that 1) I did no flirting, 2) that there was no post to
> a personals newsgroup? Those don't strike you as errors?
>
>> they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
>> that I bothered to read all of them
>
> Doesn't it bother you that you misrepresented them? that there are is
> no flirting by me? that there is no personals newsgroup? You're dishonest.
>
>> But nothing as promised on Tanzania
>
> ...not because the material isn't there, but because you're incapable of
> using a search engine.

>
>
> Dave K8MN

## 2189. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 18:11:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124302288.609959.99230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116590

an old friend wrote:

> Excellent question

> Excellent question

> Trifle touchy arent we

> Excellent question

Well that was a meaningful exchange.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2190. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 18:20:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1eLMe.7682$WD.2130@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116591

John Smith wrote:
> google-ing with the search terms - "dave heil" tanzania - return 46
> results, seems 46 of 'em are online...

Entering dave heil (without the quotes) and tanzania returns 3470 hits
on the Google web search. The same brings 150 hits using Google Groups.
If k8mn and french are entered, you'd get 86 hits. If you try 5h3us and
french, you'll come up with 40 hits unless, of course, you are Colonel
Mark Morgan. In that case, you'll find nothing.

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 17:36:44 +0000,
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
>>>>>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e7
6/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
>>>>
>>>>that is you flinting with a striper
>>>>
>>>>I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*
>>>
>>>
>>>being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
>>>with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
>>>adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
>>
>>Get it straight, Mark. Don't lie or distort. The woman in question is

>>*not* a stripper or even a striper. There were no words of mine of a
>>flirtatious nature. I provided verbatim quotes. There was no
>>"personals newsgroup". To sum up: You look like an incredible fool or
>>a baldfaced liar.
>>
>>
>>>>Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
>>>>anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
>>>>there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
>>>
>>>
>>>no
>>
>>Oh yes it is, Colonel. You've fabricated and made accusations made upon
>>those fabrications. The man who admits that he lies when it suits him,
>>has lied again.
>>
>>
>>>as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
>>>vien (online flirting)
>>>but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
>>>Tanzania
>>
>>You couldn't correctly identify them if they smacked you in the chops.
>>All the material relating to your silly "charge" concerning my
>>operations from Tanzania are there. There is no material on flirting.
>>By the way, newsgroup postings are *not* online. It would appear that
>>you owe an apology, bucko.
>>
>>
>>>>Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
>>>>habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?
>>
>>>no errors at all
>>
>>No errors? You have no facts at your disposal. Even after reading
>>Brian Burke's recent postings on the subject, you've not acknowledged
>>your own false charges. You can be seen as either a maladroit or as
>>malicious.
>>
>>
>>>certainly not with anything you have said
>>
>>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
>>>>error on this matter?
>>>

>>>
>>>no error
>>
>>None? How about that 1) I did no flirting, 2) that there was no post to
>>a personals newsgroup? Those don't strike you as errors?
>>
>>
>>>they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
>>>that I bothered to read all of them
>>
>>Doesn't it bother you that you misrepresented them? that there are is
>>no flirting by me? that there is no personals newsgroup? You're dishonest.
>>
>>
>>>But nothing as promised on Tanzania
>>
>>...not because the material isn't there, but because you're incapable of
>>using a search engine.
>>
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>

# 2191. Markie Morgan Continues His Self Professed Pattern Of Deceit and Lying.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 18:23:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124302994.537554.229700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116592

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
> > > > > with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
> > > > > adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
> > > > >
> > > > > "committed" "adultery" "amongst" "obfuscations"
> > > > >
> > > > He did nothing of the sort, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > His copy of those posts VERBATIM proves it.
> > > >
> > > no they prove what he was doing fliting with another mans wife
> > >
> > "flirting"
> > >
> > No, they don't.
> >
> sure do

Where?

> flirting around with Bisexual Female

You still have yet to prove ONE SINGLE LINE of FLIRTING, Markie!

> interesting that he finds it ok for a Female to be Bisexual although
> not terribly relavant

Where did he say THAT...?!?!

> > > > > > Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
> > > > > > anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
> > > > > > there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no
> > > > >
> > > > Sure there is.
> > > >
> > > he asked for it I delivered
> > >
> > You delivered nothing but more evidence of your foolishness
> >

> I delivered what I promised

What you've "delivered" is lies and deceit.

> unlike your promises of action

=) Be pateint...You'll be a patient soon.

> > > cuting more Stevie rambling
> >
> > "Cutting".
> >
> > There was no rambling.
> >
> sure was

The only "rambling" is YOU trying to pass yourself off as knowing
something about operating on HF and operating from foreign locales.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2192. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 18:37:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.18.36.58.818558@gmail.com>
Source record: 116593

Dave:

If I enter "a" as a google search term, there is a good change google will not return all the results, as there will be close to eight billion, most likely!

However, in my search the intent was ONLY to return relevant pages to then search by "hand." Eight billion would take too long, but I am sure those 46 pages would be there... if you have the time, go for it!

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:20:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> google-ing with the search terms - "dave heil" tanzania - return 46
>> results, seems 46 of 'em are online...
>
> Entering dave heil (without the quotes) and tanzania returns 3470 hits
> on the Google web search. The same brings 150 hits using Google Groups.
> If k8mn and french are entered, you'd get 86 hits. If you try 5h3us and
> french, you'll come up with 40 hits unless, of course, you are Colonel
> Mark Morgan. In that case, you'll find nothing.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>>
>> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 17:36:44 +0000,
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
>>>>>>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e
76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
>>>>>
>>>>>>that is you flinting with a striper
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
>>>>with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
>>>>adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
>>>
>>>Get it straight, Mark. Don't lie or distort. The woman in question is
>>>*not* a stripper or even a striper. There were no words of mine of a
>>>flirtatious nature. I provided verbatim quotes. There was no
>>>"personals newsgroup". To sum up: You look like an incredible fool or
>>>a baldfaced liar.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
>>>>>anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
>>>>>there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>no
>>>
>>>Oh yes it is, Colonel. You've fabricated and made accusations made upon
>>>those fabrications. The man who admits that he lies when it suits him,
>>>has lied again.
>>>
>>>
>>>>as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
>>>>vien (online flirting)
>>>>but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
>>>>Tanzania
>>>
>>>You couldn't correctly identify them if they smacked you in the chops.
>>>All the material relating to your silly "charge" concerning my
>>>operations from Tanzania are there. There is no material on flirting.
>>>By the way, newsgroup postings are *not* online. It would appear that
>>>you owe an apology, bucko.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
>>>>>habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?
>>>
>>>>no errors at all
>>>
>>>No errors? You have no facts at your disposal. Even after reading
>>>Brian Burke's recent postings on the subject, you've not acknowledged

>>>your own false charges. You can be seen as either a maladroit or as
>>>malicious.
>>>
>>>
>>>>certainly not with anything you have said
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
>>>>>error on this matter?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>no error
>>>
>>>None? How about that 1) I did no flirting, 2) that there was no post to
>>>a personals newsgroup? Those don't strike you as errors?
>>>
>>>
>>>>they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
>>>>that I bothered to read all of them
>>>
>>>Doesn't it bother you that you misrepresented them? that there are is
>>>no flirting by me? that there is no personals newsgroup? You're dishonest.
>>>
>>>
>>>>But nothing as promised on Tanzania
>>>
>>>...not because the material isn't there, but because you're incapable of
>>>using a search engine.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>

## 2193. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 19:19:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <P4MMe.8372$RS.2180@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116594

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> If I enter "a" as a google search term, there is a good change google will
> not return all the results, as there will be close to eight billion, most
> likely!

I don't know what this has to do with the matter at hand.

> However, in my search the intent was ONLY to return relevant pages to then
> search by "hand." Eight billion would take too long, but I am sure those
> 46 pages would be there... if you have the time, go for it!

Just why would I want to do that, "John"? Those items mentioned by me
were *directly* relevant.

Dave K8MN

> John
>
> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:20:45 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>google-ing with the search terms - "dave heil" tanzania - return 46
>>>results, seems 46 of 'em are online...
>>
>>Entering dave heil (without the quotes) and tanzania returns 3470 hits
>>on the Google web search. The same brings 150 hits using Google Groups.
>>If k8mn and french are entered, you'd get 86 hits. If you try 5h3us and
>>french, you'll come up with 40 hits unless, of course, you are Colonel
>>Mark Morgan. In that case, you'll find nothing.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>>>John
>>>
>>> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 17:36:44 +0000,
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Oh, I see your mistake. You're correct; I am not "interting" or
>>>>>>>>"intereting". I have no posts in any personals, Mark. Get real.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961
e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=188#3a4fefe5705925c9
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>that is you flinting with a striper
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'm ashamed of all the time I wasted flinting with a striper. *Guffaw*
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>being happily married etc you should be ashamed of wasting any time
>>>>>with a stripper, as an Anglican you have in the religous sense comitted
>>>>>adulteryin and amougst you gay bashing and obfucations
>>>>
>>>>Get it straight, Mark. Don't lie or distort. The woman in question is
>>>>*not* a stripper or even a striper. There were no words of mine of a
>>>>flirtatious nature. I provided verbatim quotes. There was no
>>>>"personals newsgroup". To sum up: You look like an incredible fool or
>>>>a baldfaced liar.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mark, isn't a big, steaming plate of crow waiting for you? Is there
>>>>>anything you'd like to clarify? Anything you'd like to mention? Are
>>>>>there any errors of fact for which you feel it is necessary to own up?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>no
>>>>
>>>>Oh yes it is, Colonel. You've fabricated and made accusations made upon
>>>>those fabrications. The man who admits that he lies when it suits him,
>>>>has lied again.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>as you requested I googled you posts I found those and more in the same
>>>>>vien (online flirting)

>>>>>but no posts that could identified as giving your side of the story on
>>>>>Tanzania
>>>>
>>>>You couldn't correctly identify them if they smacked you in the chops.
>>>>All the material relating to your silly "charge" concerning my
>>>>operations from Tanzania are there. There is no material on flirting.
>>>>By the way, newsgroup postings are *not* online. It would appear that
>>>>you owe an apology, bucko.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>Why, if you'd make *these* errors, your understanding of my operating
>>>>>>habits while in Tanzania could also be in error, couldn't they?
>>>>
>>>>>no errors at all
>>>>
>>>>No errors? You have no facts at your disposal. Even after reading
>>>>Brian Burke's recent postings on the subject, you've not acknowledged
>>>>your own false charges. You can be seen as either a maladroit or as
>>>>malicious.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>certainly not with anything you have said
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Is there anything at all you'd like to say in reference to your major
>>>>>>error on this matter?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>no error
>>>>
>>>>None? How about that 1) I did no flirting, 2) that there was no post to
>>>>a personals newsgroup? Those don't strike you as errors?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>they are your posts and quite a number of them from what I found not
>>>>>that I bothered to read all of them
>>>>
>>>>Doesn't it bother you that you misrepresented them? that there are is
>>>>no flirting by me? that there is no personals newsgroup? You're dishonest.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>But nothing as promised on Tanzania
>>>>
>>>>...not because the material isn't there, but because you're incapable of

>>>>using a search engine.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>

# 2194. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 19:36:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124307416.969768.296310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116595

an_old_friend wrote:
> Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just
> obey the letter?
>
> Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think
> this is right and the rules are wrong
>
> Are we self policing or not?
>
> Should we be self policing?

The problem I have with some of the rules is that they are too vague.

Todd N9OGL

# 2195. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 19:37:13 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <IIMMe.11780$0E5.8818@fe05.lga>
Source record: 116596
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"Osric" <osric@nospambtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:ddvglm$egb$3@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>
> Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote in message
> news:JUsMe.10277$0E5.7477@fe05.lga...
>> Osric are you politically and religiously frustrated?
>
> Everybody should be politically frustrated as it provides democratic
> dynamism, better than being politically apathetic. As for the religious
> component I am particularly comfortable in that department.
>
> Don't see how your comments follow but thats a matter for you.
> --
> Osric
>
>
> THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
> RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET
>
>

I just never noticed your cross posting here rec.radio.shortwave before and
did a google on your sig and noticed you have a vast history of politically
oriented activity.

B.H.

# 2196. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 19:53:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.19.53.37.991352@gmail.com>
Source record: 116597

N9OGL keyed in his worry of, "The problem I have with some of the rules is that they are too vague."

John thought, "Well, that beats those who don't like the rules because they don't demand what the person in questions believes "should be." Or, wants rules instituted which are conductive to that persons wants and personal desires."

John further hoped this was no ones intent here, control and establishing rules for personal likes/dis-likes of that person and/or his group of "good ole buddies"--but being of a naturally suspicious nature, John kept one eye open...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 12:36:57 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> The problem I have with some of the rules is that they are too vague.

# 2197. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 20:33:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <laNMe.7701$WD.7262@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116598

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Your little joke wasn't funny.

It wasn't a joke, and it wasn't meant to be funny.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 2198. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 20:49:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mpNMe.6652$Wi6.4266@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116599

<phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote

> maybe one can
> be found from other people's experience.

K1MAN?

# 2199. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:09:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <8AOMe.4426$cg.645@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 116600
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.16.02.47.31.228345@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> You are most likely right about bush. I big money, corporate superpowers
> and those otherwise keeping pockets full are the real bosses. They play
> us for dummies.
>
> What in your personal life got better under clinton? What in your
> personal life got better under bush?
>
> Do you really think I hold hope in any man they stick in there? It is the
> people who have to stand up to the plate and control their employees.
> Would help by grabbing a couple, investigating what is obvious and toss
> them into prison if they are committing crimes against american citizens.
> I think many are thinking on how to do this, frankly, I feel kind of
> powerless.
>
> About the best I can do is acknowledge there is something rotten going
> on... and a general knowledge that "something-ain't-right" just isn't
> good enough... be a help if we could just make the news start doing their
> job again... telling the truth...
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 02:40:26 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> >
> > "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message
> > news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
> >>
> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> >>
> >>
> >> Concerned Citizen
> >
> >
> > I love it. The Repooblicans screw up royally and keep spinning into
"blame
> > the Democrats".

> >
> > ROTFLMAO
> >
> > How's the price of gas?
> > Hear a big flushing sound as jobs disappear?
> > Ever wonder why 9 of the 13 hijackers were Saudi's and yet Bin Laden's
> > relatives were allowed a flight out after 9-11 when the air space was
shut
> > down?
> > Ever think how much Bush might have invested in common with the Saudi's?
> >
> > OMG, this is *way* too much.
> >
> > Don't you love the unbalanced budget? Much better than a balanced
budget
> > with Clinton. What Clinton did in the Oval Office was nothing compared
to
> > G. W. B. and company.
> >
> > Trust me; history is not going to be kind to Bush. Assuming our
descendents
> > are still here, of course.
> >
> >
> > ZBM-2
> >
> > Jim AA2QA

Hello, John

Unfortunately it is most likely that anyone who told the whole truth would loose support from his or her party.

I've been working temporarily at a sheet metal shop as my pension alone doesn't really cut it. I see machines with no guards. One gal had her finger crushed yesterday in a die stop. Not a pleasant thought.

Fortunately, I've had 3 calls from different companies. I start Monday at a new permanent job paying over 3 times what I am making now. Not too bad. I liked what I saw at that place; they truly seem to care. They also don't pay sick time until you are out for 8 days. That is an excellent idea which does protect the employee from disaster whilst protecting the employer from the idiots that like to call in sick on Friday and/or Monday ;)

I have little use for those type folks (folks that want to get paid but don't want to work).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2200. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:10:56 UTC
From: Osric <osric@nospambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <de0clg$q7d$2@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116601
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.17.15.17.53.820299@gmail.com...
> Osric:
>
> When we fought the british to secure america for the citizens here, we
> became the original terrorists.
>
> The british would march out on to the field to battle for honor and glory,
> we would hide in the bushes and pick them off like vermin. Surprise
> attacks were our specialty.
>
> We should be darn prepared to go toe-to-toe with the tactics the
> terrorists throw at us now. We get need a while to get sick and tired of
> their tactics, then move to crush them for being the uncivilized dangerous
> animals they are...
>
> Nothing is guaranteed in this world, except their are brave hearts and
> cowards, this is just time to separate those two...
>
> John

Fine rhetoric, simplistic nonsense. Try looking at the tac-tics of succesful
anti-terrorist campaigns like Northern Ireland where the more the British
Army sunk to the levels of the terrorists the worse things got, until they
woke up and smelt the coffee. It was British repression in North America
that turned a tax revolt into a successful war of independence.
--
Osric

THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

# 2201. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:13:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X7mdnaS2jpI3K57eRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116602

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.17.16.06.51.591157@gmail.com...
> AOF:
>
> The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
> can be introduced.
>
> In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this
> process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in the
> way they would them implemented... a vast influx of new people may be
> able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were able
> to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell... after
> decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.
>
> John
>
>

It will take an organized group to do this though. People have two choices. One is to join the ARRL and change it to pursue the policies near and dear to their own hearts. The second choice is to form a new group that is large enough and organized enough to lobby for what is near and dear to their own hearts. Just saying the ARRL should change won't do it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2202. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:19:49 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8td7g1lbeqfbff97v46ae1n1nvb07e9h4b@4ax.com>
Source record: 116603
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 22:10:56 +0000 (UTC), "Osric"
<osric@nospambtinternet.com> wrote:

>
>
>Jo
>
>Fine rhetoric, simplistic nonsense. Try looking at the tac-tics of succesful
>anti-terrorist campaigns like Northern Ireland where the more the British
>Army sunk to the levels of the terrorists the worse things got, until they
>woke up and smelt the coffee. It was British repression in North America
>that turned a tax revolt into a successful war of independence.

Wasn't really a ''tax revolt''. It was a rising up of brave and very
smart humans against flaming assholes.

# 2203. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:19:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <bKOMe.4427$cg.1982@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 116604

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
> > I heard this on the local NPR radio station this morning. They made
> > BPL sound rosy. They did mention that the ham radio guys were against
> > it but came up with some "notching" solution that would take care of
> > ham radio guys concerns.
>
> The "notching" solution is simple: Their BPL system does not use
> frequencies that are also ham bands. Whether it works or not is an open
> question.
> >
> > You can listen to the stream at:
> > http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4801446
>
> I did - interesting piece. What they neglect to mention is that:
>
> - BPL is a "last mile" delivery method, not a complete system. Still
> needs a 'head end'
>
> - BPL bandwidth is shared between users on the same line, so as your
> neighbors sign up and use the system, your performance degrades.
>
> - There are several BPL technologies out there, not just the one they
> profiled.
>
> - There are other technologies (like Wi-Fi) which can do the same job
> without all the fuss and bother.
>
> - The big danger of BPL is that it turns the whole idea of spectrum
> protection and allocation upside-down, and sets a bad precedent.
>
> I wonder how rosy a solution they would think it was if BPL interfered
> with FM broadcasting, reducing the utility and availability of that
> mode of communications?
>
> > If would be great if a qualified ham could respond to their article.
> > They usually take listener comment and broadcast those comments the
> > next day or so.
>
> A qualified ham was part of the article. The rest of us should comment,
> too.
>

> ---
>
> One thing the piece proved was that the media, and particularly
> National Public Radio, are not all a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals'.
> BPL is a
> poster technology for the Bush Administration, who thinks BPL can do no
> wrong. The best BPL analogies I've seen describe BPL as unnecessary
> spectrum pollution, and you'd think a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals'
> would be against anything that pollutes half as bad as BPL has been
> shown to do. The article also accepts without question the idea that
> fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
> communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
>
> Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original poster
> actually listen to it?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Yes, I listened to the link provided. It has possibilities - good possibilities - but we need to see a demonstration that showes little or no interference.

Ed Hare demonstrated a *ton* of interference. Yep, they put the blame on amateur radio operators for complaining, but fail to realize that commercial television (channels 2 and 3 in the U.S.) as well as other users fall into the spectrum used by BPL.

I think most folks would put up with a *very* small amount of interference, but what Ed Hare turned up was anything but small.

The speed sounds interesting, but I'm running between 4 and 7 megabaud currently on DSL ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2204. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:23:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y5udnXQ_msybJJ7eRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 116605

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1124296343.094961.76590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:

[snip]

> It it also truns out not to be his mike but his voice that has problem
>
> and of course tell get off the air till you can fix your voice
>
> and of course Ham operators are so accepting
>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Actually we have a ham right here in our area who does have a voice problem due to cancer, throat surgery, and artificial voice box. He goes the extra steps to make sure that his mike characteristics are the best possible so as to minimize adding distortion. He makes sure his deviation and modulation are set so as to minimize any additional distortion. The result is that he is perfectly understandable even though his voice is now harsh and grating with some distortion from his medical condition. We can all tell that he has his radio & mike as perfectly adjusted as it is possible to be. Naturally we talk to him. So the analogy still holds up since his mike and radio adjustments would be similar to using a spell checker/grammar checker in the written word.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2205. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:27:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.22.27.05.342461@gmail.com>
Source record: 116606

Dee:

You are, as quite often happens, correct...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:13:57 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.17.16.06.51.591157@gmail.com...
>> AOF:
>>
>> The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
>> can be introduced.
>>
>> In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this
>> process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in the
>> way they would them implemented... a vast influx of new people may be
>> able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were able
>> to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell... after
>> decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
> It will take an organized group to do this though. People have two choices.
> One is to join the ARRL and change it to pursue the policies near and dear
> to their own hearts. The second choice is to form a new group that is large
> enough and organized enough to lobby for what is near and dear to their own
> hearts. Just saying the ARRL should change won't do it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2206. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:28:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ubadneSb0fCpJ57eRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116607

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:

[snip]

> The article also accepts without question the idea that
> fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
> communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
>

Actually this would be more of a liberal idea. It surprises me that a
Republican administration would buy into this.

> Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original poster
> actually listen to it?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2207. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:34:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.22.34.32.29921@gmail.com>
Source record: 116608
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Osric:

I have no need than to look further then my american forefathers...

They provide warning, not only in the constitution itself, but in further writings they left as their legacy. Warning that future times would come which demanded free men would have to be willing to sacrifice life, limb and property and be forever vigilant and searching for those which are a threat to freedom, liberty and rights granted them by a Creator--which no man has a right to challenge or deny another...

... we have such an enemy today. It is a shame you see that enemy as "rhetoric, simplistic nonsense", that often might prove fatal.

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 22:10:56 +0000, Osric wrote:

>
> John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.17.15.17.53.820299@gmail.com...
>> Osric:
>>
>> When we fought the british to secure america for the citizens here, we
>> became the original terrorists.
>>
>> The british would march out on to the field to battle for honor and glory,
>> we would hide in the bushes and pick them off like vermin. Surprise
>> attacks were our specialty.
>>
>> We should be darn prepared to go toe-to-toe with the tactics the
>> terrorists throw at us now. We get need a while to get sick and tired of
>> their tactics, then move to crush them for being the uncivilized dangerous
>> animals they are...
>>
>> Nothing is guaranteed in this world, except their are brave hearts and
>> cowards, this is just time to separate those two...
>>
>> John
>
> Fine rhetoric, simplistic nonsense. Try looking at the tac-tics of succesful
> anti-terrorist campaigns like Northern Ireland where the more the British
> Army sunk to the levels of the terrorists the worse things got, until they
> woke up and smelt the coffee. It was British repression in North America

> that turned a tax revolt into a successful war of independence.
> --
> Osric
>
>
> THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
> RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

# 2208. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:46:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dOydnfp9oKn1I57eRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116609
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:8AOMe.4426$cg.645@news02.roc.ny...

[snip]

> Fortunately, I've had 3 calls from different companies. I start Monday at
> a
> new permanent job paying over 3 times what I am making now. Not too bad.
> I
> liked what I saw at that place; they truly seem to care. They also don't
> pay sick time until you are out for 8 days.

I think it is a rotten idea. People will drag themselves to work when they are sick and spread it around. This results in even more people being sick and reduced productivity.

As a result of the past year, I am very sensitive to the issue of people coming around me when they are ill. You see I caught pneumonia in April 2004. Pneumonia was going around the area at the time. I may have caught it at work, from family (who may have caught it at work or school) or other public places. That dragged my resistance down and I ended up with a blood infection. The blood infection resulted in a hole being eaten in my heart valve. I had to have two surgeries, one of which resulted in another infection. I ended up spending 12 weeks on intravenous antibiotics altogether and lost over 6 months from work. When someone comes to work ill, I stay as far away as possible. With a replacement heart valve, I don't dare take any extra risks of infection.

> That is an excellent idea which
> does protect the employee from disaster whilst protecting the employer
> from
> the idiots that like to call in sick on Friday and/or Monday ;)
>

I've worked for employers who had the no sick leave approach and people still skipped days for recreational activities by calling in sick.

> I have little use for those type folks (folks that want to get paid but
> don't want to work).
>

I agree wholeheartedly with this but don't use the no sick leave to stop it. It's too hard on the rest of us. These people can be detected by behaviour patterns.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2209. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:47:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <p8PMe.8730$Je.3719@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116610

Lots of garbage deleted.....

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124253489.199858.248810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> But, something ELSE operative here. Note: Your CO gave you
> permission to operate. On another thread in here, some time
> ago, Brian Burke was given permission by HIS CO to operate
> amateur radio from a foreign land. Now all the JAG-wannabes
> in here (PCTAs all) jumped all over Brian's case on that,
> citing absolutely NOTHING germane, one (a "seven-hostile-action"
> hero) even implying that the UCMJ didn't apply, only the FCC
> regulations applied (they don't but the shell-shocked murine
> didn't understand that).
>
> Under the terms of the Kangaroo Kourt of the PCTAs:
>
> If Brian Burke is guilty of improper amateur radio operation,
> then Dan Jeswald is EQUALLY GUILTY of doing so from Germany.
>
> If Dan Jeswald is not guilty, then Brian Burke is not guilty.
>
> It's the SAME SORT of "OFFENSE" as codified by the PCTA.
>
Offense? By operating under my legal German callsign of DA2LJ, based on my
just as legal US Callsign, both based on the "Status of Forces" agreement
in place at the time does NOT constitute ANY SORT OF OFFENSE.

Under my German Amateur Radio License I had FULL Privlidges any German
National had. That included VHF on 2m FM withing the confines of the
Federal Republic of Germany.

>>Of course it was a CIVILIAN model designation. I never said it was a
>>military radio.
>>As usual, you just assumed. You do that a lot Lennie.
>
> No, I didn't "assume" anything. I simply pointed out a very old
> FACT of U.S. military radio nomenclature. Why do you wish to
> argue such old facts?
>
> Was this ham HT purchased by yourself or was it given to you
> by the U.S. Army?

It was a CIVILIAN RADIO LENNIE, CIVILIAN IS NOT MILITARY, GOT IT
NOW????????

And No the U.S. Army didn't GIVE it to me. It NEVER BELONGED TO the U.S. Army......GOT THAT NOW LENNIE????

>

>>> Did you communicate Army information over a German CIVILIAN
>>> amateur radio repeater? [M.I. wants to know...]
>>>
>>There you are showing your IGNORANCE AGAIN LENNIE. Why would I
>>communicate
>>Military information on a Amateur Radio frequency.
>
> I don't know "why," Dannie...that's what MILITARY INTELLIGENCE
> ("M.I.") might want to know in interests of U.S. national
> security. You certainly had OPPORTUNITY. You now display
> considerable emotional volatility. I don't know your political
> mindset...other than what appears in here as a staunch
> conservative.
>
> All of the above can be a COVER. :-)
>
Cover for what dipshit? Having a Amateur Radio QSO from a field location while in the U.S. Army in Germany?

Tell ya what......if you think I did such a comsec violation, call up the flippin FBI and report me. Do it you piece of crap.

>>>>Not a problem at all. I showed my CO the German License, my US
>>>>License,
>>>>and my MARS license. And after he seen it in action, he told me to KEEP
>>>>bringing it.
>>>
>>> Right...and your "CO" never checked up on that, ever? ASA
>>> didn't check up on you? [ASA was still in existance in 1972]
>>
>>No reason to Lennie. I was perfectly legal by both German and US Military
>>rules and regulations.
>
> Colonel Rudolph Abel, KGB, was living in NYC, being "perfectly legal
> to outward appearances," posing as an artist who had "ham radio for
> a hobby." Only thing was that he was NOT "perfectly legal" after
> an investigation. He was arrested, tried, convicted...later
> exchanged
> for U-2 spy plane pilot Francis Gary Powers.
>
So call the FBI blowhard.

<plonk>

Nothing else worth commenting on. Think I'll go find something useful to do, like enjoy my ham radio. Sure beats talking to this moron.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2210. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:51:45 UTC
From: Osric <osric@nospambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <de0f20$34v$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116611
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

```
Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote in message
news:IlMMe.11780$0E5.8818@fe05.lga...
>
> "Osric" <osric@nospambtinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:ddvglm$egb$3@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> >
> > Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote in message
> > news:JUsMe.10277$0E5.7477@fe05.lga...
> >> Osric are you politically and religiously frustrated?
> >
> > Everybody should be politically frustrated as it provides democratic
> > dynamism, better than being politically apathetic. As for the religious
> > component I am particularly comfortable in that department.
> >
> > Don't see how your comments follow but thats a matter for you.
> > --
> > Osric
> >
> >
> > THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
> > RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET
> >
> >
>
> I just never noticed your cross posting here rec.radio.shortwave before
and
> did a google on your sig and noticed you have a vast history of
politically
> oriented activity.

Oh I see, fair enough. We do get crossposted all over the place, I only
actually contribute to talk.politic.mideast directly but the amount of
crossposting makes trimming prohibitive and make the amount of contributions
on google seem enormous, but it does lead to some humerous responses from
people in places like soc.culture.homebrew. All part of the internet's rich
tapestry and who knows I might pick up something about short wave radio in
the process.

What on earth made "Concerned Citizen" think this thread would be relevant
to RRS is beyond me but there you go. Hopefully when this thread dies your
radio discussion group can go back to peacefully discussing bouncing radio
waves off clouds all the way to Rio de Janeiro free from the unruly masses
discussing butchering each other!
```

--
Osric

THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

# 2211. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:52:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124319153.107603.156330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116612

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of midnight, EDT, 16 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1612

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 72

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 443 28.14%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 908 57.69%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 223 14.17%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 16 Aug 05 that would be 1574.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

bet off
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2212. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 22:55:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124319333.737697.301810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116613

From: John Smith on Wed 17 Aug 2005 09:06

>AOF:
>
>The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
>can be introduced.

Er, John, the FCC is the >>ONLY<< avenue to travel. :-)

>In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this
>process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in the
>way they would them implemented...

Before about 1993 (give or take), the only effective
organization for anything before the government was the one
with a lobbyist or legal representative IN the DC area. The
ARRL has TWO, a legal firm and a lobbying firm (its on their
federal tax forms filed with the government...public documents,
not privileged).

The GPO printed up the Federal Register in the late night
before and in the wee small hours of the morning of the day
a Register was released. Wasn't like a magazine printed one
to two months before its issue date. Postal service was only
as swift as anyone could afford: USPS, FedEx, UPS all charged
more for overnight delivery...but otherwise were the same
speed for regular surface mail - at least three days. If you
didn't have anyone able to get into the FCC Reading Room then
you waited days and days to get news or information.

After the Internet went public in 1991, the U.S. government
jumped onto the Internet (with the help of leadership for that
from Algore) with all four paws. Suddenly the government AND
the military was all over the 'Net. What we got (in what seems
like "overnight") was something we did NOT have before: Instant
access to OUR government from our homes and businesses with none
of the (formerly) necessary middleman to go through like a
membership organization or special interest group. NO waiting
for days and days to get a response by mail, NO need to rack
up expensive minutes waiting for some long-distance telephonic
answers, NO possible distortion of news through anyone. The
information was now THERE...from the agency/office that created
the news! Remarkable stuff!

In one way, it was like the maritime world of 1900. Ships at
sea just did NOT have any way to communicate over the horizon

quickly before radio. Suddenly they GOT a means to do that
and it was ten kinds of wonderful, even if the average rate
was 10 words per minute (faster than signal flags and semaphores
in optical sighting to the horizon). In the same way, we
citizens (in many lands) can communicate DIRECTLY with OUR
governments. No "horizon blockage" from middlemen groups that
acted as quasi-governmental "representatives" (which weren't
government and thus without any security that they were honest).

> a vast influx of new people may be
>able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were able
>to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell... after
>decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.

What MUST be done is to de-brainwash, de-toxify all that
conditioned thinking put there by special interest groups.
To those NOT of the congregation of the Church of St. Hiram,
we can see the fantastic propaganda opportunity of having a
combination PUBLISHING HOUSE and a membership organization.
It's a guaranteed built-in brainwashing attachment to have
almost COMPLETE control of that publishing output. The ARRL
has been doing publishing - with complete CONTROL of ALL
contents - since the end of World War One, roughly 85 years
or more than four generations and longer than most folks'
lifespan. With such a long-running TOTALLY CONTROLLED
information source, the League has established themselves
as a virtual monopoly on "what is good for amateurism."
[the League knows what is best for you...etc., etc., etc]

With such a fantastic environment for conditioned thinking,
it is NOT remarkable that lots of folks Believe in all that
the ARRL dictates. They became a virtual Big Brother for
U.S. amateur radio, a personification of Orwell's "1984"
novel of the 1920s. It's not a "conspiracy theory." It is
out in the open and has been for years. Before the Internet
went public in 1991 the ARRL was *the* interface for the
radio amateur and his/her government radio regulating agency.

Times have changed remarkably. First of all, the REST of
the radio world wasn't looking to any ARRL to advance the
state of the art of radio communication...they simply went
ahead and DID it. The REST OF THE RADIO WORLD pioneered
the "shortwaves", SSB radio, TTY-RTTY-RATT, FM voice, TV
and facsimile, microwave and orbiting satellite radio
relay, moon bounce...and international networks as public
access communications providers before the amateur "NTS"
became successful. The maritime world now uses SSB voice
and TOR data on open water...and VHF voice on inland,
in-shore communications...the "sparkies" are a memory.
Police departments were testing FM voice radios before
we got into WW2 and set the pattern for ALL public safety

agencies' mobile radio communications; they just didn't bother with any morsemanship (except in certain reported midwestern states) for 24/7 emergency communications (that happen every day across the country). The brainwashing that "CW is necessary for emergency comms" is TOTAL MORSE MYTH a la conditioned thinking in this new millennium; the Titanic tragedy happened 93 years ago, two years before the ARRL was ever formed (originally as a private group to hack telegraphic providers by doing their own "message relay" - from ARRL's own history).

The history of the REST of the radio world has been made and is documented fact for anyone who bothers to look... there's an enormous amount of it. The ARRL, if it bothers to mention any of it at all, will gloss over that and imply that "amateurs pioneered it all" which is patently untrue. But, the myths still exist in many minds who accept the conditioned thinking wash-and-scrub as "fact" because anything else is Against what they WANT to Believe.

Some want the amateur radio hobby to be something noble, glorious, and IMPORTANT...perhaps with TITLES so that they can be "better" than others. They are wannabe SERVICEMEN in some imaginary uniform "serving their country" by doing a radio hobby! [they are either on a round-the-world ego trip or need to rationalize all the money and time spent on the hobby] THAT sort of thinking can't be over-come by logic or normal reasoning...without having the medical psychiatric smarts to restore sanity. Ain't enough gray-cell soap in the world to make them come clean in a short time. Gonna take a lonnnnng period of scrubbing.

boo ego
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2213. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:01:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124319692.670381.193930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116614

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Wed 17 Aug 2005 09:06
>
> >AOF:
> >
> >The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
> >can be introduced.
>
> Er, John, the FCC is the >>ONLY<< avenue to travel.

Poor Lennie.

Can't stand it that there ARE other avenues "where ideas for
change, restructuring and progress" may be "introduced".

Means he can't remind us of how impotent he is.

Snipped 107 lines of ranting about the discussion procees and
Lennie's trademark swipes and insults at Amateur Radio.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2214. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:11:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124320313.302731.198900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116615

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > What they neglect to mention is that:
> >
> > - BPL is a "last mile" delivery method, not a complete system. Still
> > needs a 'head end'
> >
> > - BPL bandwidth is shared between users on the same line, so as your
> > neighbors sign up and use the system, your performance degrades.
> >
> > - There are several BPL technologies out there, not just the one they
> > profiled.
> >
> > - There are other technologies (like Wi-Fi) which can do the same job
> > without all the fuss and bother.
> >
> > - The big danger of BPL is that it turns the whole idea of spectrum
> > protection and allocation upside-down, and sets a bad precedent.
> >
> > I wonder how rosy a solution they would think it was if BPL interfered
> > with FM broadcasting, reducing the utility and availability of that
> > mode of communications?
> >
> > > If would be great if a qualified ham could respond to their article.
> > > They usually take listener comment and broadcast those comments the
> > > next day or so.
> >
> > A qualified ham was part of the article. The rest of us should comment,
> > too.
> >
> > ---
> >
> > One thing the piece proved was that the media, and particularly
> > National Public Radio, are not all a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals'.
> > BPL is a
> > poster technology for the Bush Administration, who thinks BPL can do no
> > wrong. The best BPL analogies I've seen describe BPL as unnecessary
> > spectrum pollution, and you'd think a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals'
> > would be against anything that pollutes half as bad as BPL has been
> > shown to do. The article also accepts without question the idea that
> > fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
> > communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.

> >
> > Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original poster
> > actually listen to it?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Hello, Jim
>
> Yes, I listened to the link provided.
> It has possibilities - good
> possibilities - but we need to
> see a demonstration that showes little or no
> interference.

I disagree!

Power lines were never meant to carry HF communication signals.
They're lossy at HF because they radiate! The whole concept
is deeply flawed. By allowing BPL systems, FCC is setting
a very bad precedent by saying it's OK to pollute the electro
magnetic spectrum with noise, even if there are viable
alternatives to the noise-producing technology.

All the notching does is to promise that particular system won't
pollute the ham bands with noise. Maybe. What about harmonics and
other crud?

Some might say that FCC cannot ban BPL as such, but that's
simply a semantic runaround. All FCC needs to do is to set
very low radiated energy standards for BPL and other non-point-source
systems, and the problem is solved. But FCC refused to
see the difference between, say, a computer monitor that is
a point source, and a BPL system that involves miles of wire.

> Ed Hare demonstrated a *ton* of interference.

Ed and others. Carl, WK3C, did some measurements and
observations of the Emmaus system as well - to name
just one other.

> Yep, they put the blame on
> amateur radio operators for complaining,

That's like blaming the fishermen for
complaining that the sewage plant is
killing off the fish because the sewage
isn't treated right.

> but fail to realize that commercial
> television (channels 2 and 3 in the U.S.)
> as well as other users fall into
> the spectrum used by BPL.

Heck, the second harmonic of 44-54 MHz
falls right in the FM band. I wonder
what they'd say if NPR stations were rendered
inaudible because of BPL?
>
> I think most folks would put up with a *very* small amount of
> interference,
> but what Ed Hare turned up was anything but small.

Why should licensed radio services have to put up
with *any* unnecessary interference?

Is there no other way to deliver broadband internet
access?

> The speed sounds interesting, but I'm running between 4 and 7
> megabaud currently on DSL ;)

And that doesn't drop if your neighbor is doing big downloads.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2215. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:16:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.23.16.01.998861@gmail.com>
Source record: 116616
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Jim:

Well, I think we really need to think about dropping the workday to 4 hours (or 2-1/2 eight hour days), and demanding everyone in america have a job and giving them opportunity to have one. As machines take over there will not be work for everyone, obviously we cannot have people living in poverty, staving, etc. The time to do this is NOW... the computer has already made one heck of a dent in employment!

Probably the largest group of wage earners in america are attempting to glean a living from minimum wage or close, I think I would starve at minimum wage, I can't even fathom that! It is beginning to show in the city I am living in--in many ways it is taking on a "third world flavor." With every city/county ballot there are increased taxes for water/sewer/roads/public buildings/public benefits for the poor, etc... I am afraid I will drive into a pothole one day and never be seen again...

We have been distracted and torn apart by racial, political and just trying to stereotype people. Time to focus on the fact all american citizens have to eat, have housing, medical, secure old age, etc, etc... else, one day they get dangerous and one day come to steal ours.

It is crazy in calif, vast numbers of foreign people come it to take jobs and vast ghettos of unemployed, apparently unwanted americans just sitting there and finally falling to drugs and crime to survive--then end up in our prisons surviving! A women in my neighborhood writes a column for the paper here, she says there is not enough room to list all the crime in the newspaper--it just doesn't get published...

Still we have to move towards real progress, right now we are just treading water and "sweeping the dust under the rug", a day of reckoning will come, indeed, I see it as already being here, just still ignored.

Multi-million dollar housing erupts around me; yet, when I take a weekend bike ride I pass people with children living under bridges!

I have older friends once active and engaged in important industries now working at or near minimum wage. Sometimes they are forced to decide if it will be clothing, food or medicine that month. I am putting two sons through college (barely!!), I am so strapped I can't help anyone! I just wonder where my kids will work once they get a degree--McDonalds? My friends are all getting older with a lot less "clout" in industry... my oldest son is running his own business and has climbed into the black with profits--I want to quit and go to work for him! <grin>

There is a tremendous void between the very rich and very poor, a chasm
which widens daily. I "dress down" in some parts of the city
here, not wanting to look like a "wallet walking down the street!"
Already, me--myself, I am not so anxious to ask for a raise--those with
degrees more current than mine are knocking at the doors of industry--with
a hungry look... they will take my job at maybe 1/3 or 1/4 of my
salary--how secure should I feel? Probably if I didn't have seniority and
friends close I might be gone! Many under me are jealous, and I don't
trust in their loyalty or high moral standards, and they are younger...
luckily I have an excellent work relationship with my boss, but I don't
think her that secure in the corp., she shares many of my same worries.

I think other "old guys" like me may feel this pressure? I am there in
spirit with you brother, you are NOT alone!

I am not a "defeatist" in my attitude, but darn man, time to do something!

(knock-on-wood)

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 22:09:08 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.16.02.47.31.228345@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> You are most likely right about bush. I big money, corporate superpowers
>> and those otherwise keeping pockets full are the real bosses. They play
>> us for dummies.
>>
>> What in your personal life got better under clinton? What in your
>> personal life got better under bush?
>>
>> Do you really think I hold hope in any man they stick in there? It is the
>> people who have to stand up to the plate and control their employees.
>> Would help by grabbing a couple, investigating what is obvious and toss
>> them into prison if they are committing crimes against american citizens.
>> I think many are thinking on how to do this, frankly, I feel kind of
>> powerless.
>>
>> About the best I can do is acknowledge there is something rotten going
>> on... and a general knowledge that "something-ain't-right" just isn't
>> good enough... be a help if we could just make the news start doing their
>> job again... telling the truth...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 02:40:26 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > "Concerned citizen" <cc@lar.ge> wrote in message

>> > news:430100b1$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
>> >>
>> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You hate
>> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Concerned Citizen
>> >
>> >
>> > I love it. The Repooblicans screw up royally and keep spinning into
> "blame
>> > the Democrats".
>> >
>> > ROTFLMAO
>> >
>> > How's the price of gas?
>> > Hear a big flushing sound as jobs disappear?
>> > Ever wonder why 9 of the 13 hijackers were Saudi's and yet Bin Laden's
>> > relatives were allowed a flight out after 9-11 when the air space was
> shut
>> > down?
>> > Ever think how much Bush might have invested in common with the Saudi's?
>> >
>> > OMG, this is *way* too much.
>> >
>> > Don't you love the unbalanced budget? Much better than a balanced
> budget
>> > with Clinton. What Clinton did in the Oval Office was nothing compared
> to
>> > G. W. B. and company.
>> >
>> > Trust me; history is not going to be kind to Bush. Assuming our
> descendents
>> > are still here, of course.
>> >
>> >
>> > ZBM-2
>> >
>> > Jim AA2QA
>
>
> Hello, John
>
>
> Unfortunately it is most likely that anyone who told the whole truth would
> loose support from his or her party.
>
> I've been working temporarily at a sheet metal shop as my pension alone
> doesn't really cut it. I see machines with no guards. One gal had her

> finger crushed yesterday in a die stop. Not a pleasant thought.
>
> Fortunately, I've had 3 calls from different companies. I start Monday at a
> new permanent job paying over 3 times what I am making now. Not too bad. I
> liked what I saw at that place; they truly seem to care. They also don't
> pay sick time until you are out for 8 days. That is an excellent idea which
> does protect the employee from disaster whilst protecting the employer from
> the idiots that like to call in sick on Friday and/or Monday ;)
>
> I have little use for those type folks (folks that want to get paid but
> don't want to work).
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

# 2216. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:21:20 UTC
From: Osric <osric@nospambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <de0gpf$7pe$3@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116617
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

David <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:8td7g1lbeqfbff97v46ae1n1nvb07e9h4b@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 22:10:56 +0000 (UTC), "Osric"
> <osric@nospambtinternet.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Jo
> >
> >Fine rhetoric, simplistic nonsense. Try looking at the tac-tics of
succesful
> >anti-terrorist campaigns like Northern Ireland where the more the British
> >Army sunk to the levels of the terrorists the worse things got, until
they
> >woke up and smelt the coffee. It was British repression in North America
> >that turned a tax revolt into a successful war of independence.
>
> Wasn't really a ''tax revolt''. It was a rising up of brave and very
> smart humans against flaming assholes.

And who wouldn't rise up against a flaming assehole. Teach you to light your
farts won't it.
--
Osric

THE BORDERS OF MY COUNTRY
RUN AROUND THE SOLES OF MY FEET

# 2217. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:25:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <SHPMe.4432$cg.2240@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 116618

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ubadneSb0fCpJ57eRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> > The article also accepts without question the idea that
> > fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
> > communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
> >
>
> Actually this would be more of a liberal idea. It surprises me that a
> Republican administration would buy into this.
>
> > Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original poster
> > actually listen to it?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Hello, Dee

Liberal? Pushing for more money for power companies? Please forgive my
ignorance, but if I follow the money trail, it leads to big business
(monopolies, at that).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2218. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:29:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <rLPMe.4433$cg.3320@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 116619

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124320313.302731.198900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > What they neglect to mention is that:
> > >
> > > - BPL is a "last mile" delivery method, not a complete system. Still
> > > needs a 'head end'
> > >
> > > - BPL bandwidth is shared between users on the same line, so as your
> > > neighbors sign up and use the system, your performance degrades.
> > >
> > > - There are several BPL technologies out there, not just the one they
> > > profiled.
> > >
> > > - There are other technologies (like Wi-Fi) which can do the same job
> > > without all the fuss and bother.
> > >
> > > - The big danger of BPL is that it turns the whole idea of spectrum
> > > protection and allocation upside-down, and sets a bad precedent.
> > >
> > > I wonder how rosy a solution they would think it was if BPL interfered
> > > with FM broadcasting, reducing the utility and availability of that
> > > mode of communications?
> > >
> > > > If would be great if a qualified ham could respond to their article.
> > > > They usually take listener comment and broadcast those comments the
> > > > next day or so.
> > >
> > > A qualified ham was part of the article. The rest of us should
comment,
> > > too.
> > >
> > > ---
> > >
> > > One thing the piece proved was that the media, and particularly
> > > National Public Radio, are not all a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals'.
> > > BPL is a
> > > poster technology for the Bush Administration, who thinks BPL can do
no
> > > wrong. The best BPL analogies I've seen describe BPL as unnecessary
> > > spectrum pollution, and you'd think a bunch of 'tree-hugging liberals'
```

> > > would be against anything that pollutes half as bad as BPL has been
> > > shown to do. The article also accepts without question the idea that
> > > fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
> > > communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
> > >
> > > Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original
poster
> > > actually listen to it?
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> >
> > Hello, Jim
> >
> > Yes, I listened to the link provided.
> > It has possibilities - good
> > possibilities - but we need to
> > see a demonstration that showes little or no
> > interference.
>
> I disagree!
>
> Power lines were never meant to carry HF communication signals.
> They're lossy at HF because they radiate! The whole concept
> is deeply flawed. By allowing BPL systems, FCC is setting
> a very bad precedent by saying it's OK to pollute the electro
> magnetic spectrum with noise, even if there are viable
> alternatives to the noise-producing technology.
>
> All the notching does is to promise that particular system won't
> pollute the ham bands with noise. Maybe. What about harmonics and
> other crud?
>
> Some might say that FCC cannot ban BPL as such, but that's
> simply a semantic runaround. All FCC needs to do is to set
> very low radiated energy standards for BPL and other non-point-source
> systems, and the problem is solved. But FCC refused to
> see the difference between, say, a computer monitor that is
> a point source, and a BPL system that involves miles of wire.
>
> > Ed Hare demonstrated a *ton* of interference.
>
> Ed and others. Carl, WK3C, did some measurements and
> observations of the Emmaus system as well - to name
> just one other.
>
> > Yep, they put the blame on
> > amateur radio operators for complaining,
>
> That's like blaming the fishermen for

> complaining that the sewage plant is
> killing off the fish because the sewage
> isn't treated right.
>
> > but fail to realize that commercial
> > television (channels 2 and 3 in the U.S.)
> > as well as other users fall into
> > the spectrum used by BPL.
>
> Heck, the second harmonic of 44-54 MHz
> falls right in the FM band. I wonder
> what they'd say if NPR stations were rendered
> inaudible because of BPL?
> >
> > I think most folks would put up with a *very* small amount of
> > interference,
> > but what Ed Hare turned up was anything but small.
>
> Why should licensed radio services have to put up
> with *any* unnecessary interference?
>
> Is there no other way to deliver broadband internet
> access?
>
> > The speed sounds interesting, but I'm running between 4 and 7
> > megabaud currently on DSL ;)
>
> And that doesn't drop if your neighbor is doing big downloads.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Well, by limited interference, I am suggesting that BPL be limited as any other unintentional radiator. I do hear your point and it is well taken. We do *not* need "only" a 10 dB increase in noise in general LOL

Also, as we are well aware, no filter is perfect, whether a notch filter or a bandpass filter or any other filter. Also, filters introduce distortion into the signal. So, it remains to be seen if the power companies can come up with a BPL with very limited impact on licensed services. I do have my doubts, but am only suggesting that *if* they can prove a system can produce very low noise in the airwaves, then it might be worth a try. That is a *big* if.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2219. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:32:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124321525.242087.256720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116620

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed, Aug 17 2005 3:47 pm

>Lots of garbage deleted.....

Tsk, tsk, tsk... :-)

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> But, something ELSE operative here. Note: Your CO gave you
>> permission to operate. On another thread in here, some time
>> ago, Brian Burke was given permission by HIS CO to operate
>> amateur radio from a foreign land. Now all the JAG-wannabes
>> in here (PCTAs all) jumped all over Brian's case on that,
>> citing absolutely NOTHING germane, one (a "seven-hostile-action"
>> hero) even implying that the UCMJ didn't apply, only the FCC
>> regulations applied (they don't but the shell-shocked murine
>> didn't understand that).
>
>> Under the terms of the Kangaroo Kourt of the PCTAs:
>
>> If Brian Burke is guilty of improper amateur radio operation,
>> then Dan Jeswald is EQUALLY GUILTY of doing so from Germany.
>
>> If Dan Jeswald is not guilty, then Brian Burke is not guilty.
>
>> It's the SAME SORT of "OFFENSE" as codified by the PCTA.
>
>Offense? By operating under my legal German callsign of DA2LJ, based on my
>just as legal US Callsign, both based on the "Status of Forces" agreement
>in place at the time does NOT constitute ANY SORT OF OFFENSE.

Dannie boy, you didn't see the big brouhaha in here on Brian
making a single comment about doing amateur ops from Somalia
and ALL the resident PCTAs in here jumping on his case? All
of those PCTA extras were ready to give Brian a necktie party
for that "offense" which all of them ruled on...

>Under my German Amateur Radio License I had FULL Privlidges any German
>National had. That included VHF on 2m FM withing the confines of the
>Federal Republic of Germany.

So...how do you relate that to the UCMJ that required you to
abide by Army regulations? Remember that you described using
your amateur HT "while standing on a command track." That
indicates you were in the field, on a field exercise for the
United States Army. United States of America. NOT the

Federal Republic of Germany ("west Germany" in 1972).

To whom did you owe the greater loyalty to? Ham radio? The
FRG? Or to the United States of America?

>> Was this ham HT purchased by yourself or was it given to you
>> by the U.S. Army?
>
>It was a CIVILIAN RADIO LENNIE, CIVILIAN IS NOT MILITARY, GOT IT
>NOW????????
>
>And No the U.S. Army didn't GIVE it to me. It NEVER BELONGED TO the U.S.
>Army......GOT THAT NOW LENNIE????

Tsk, tsk...you are getting all upset. Relax. All that is
in question is suspicion of Treason against the United States
of America...it's not like the more important issue of the
retention of the morse code test for amateurs, is it?

>> I don't know "why," Dannie...that's what MILITARY INTELLIGENCE
>> ("M.I.") might want to know in interests of U.S. national
>> security. You certainly had OPPORTUNITY. You now display
>> considerable emotional volatility. I don't know your political
>> mindset...other than what appears in here as a staunch
>> conservative.
>
>> All of the above can be a COVER. :-)
>
>Cover for what dipshit? Having a Amateur Radio QSO from a field location
>while in the U.S. Army in Germany?

M.I. would ask that sort of question, not me.

>Tell ya what......if you think I did such a comsec violation, call up the
>flippin FBI and report me. Do it you piece of crap.

Tsk, tsk, you are getting all UPSET again. Relax.

I might have called the FBI, might not. Actually, I'd call the
Military Intelligence folks first, then maybe U.S. Army JAG.
Notifying the FBI first would be the proper sequence, though,
since my Honorable Army service was over in mid-1960...I'm a
civilian, a citizen of the United States of America.

>>>No reason to Lennie. I was perfectly legal by both German and US Military
>>>rules and regulations.
>
>> Colonel Rudolph Abel, KGB, was living in NYC, being "perfectly legal
>> to outward appearances," posing as an artist who had "ham radio for
>> a hobby." Only thing was that he was NOT "perfectly legal" after
>> an investigation. He was arrested, tried, convicted...later exchanged
>> for U-2 spy plane pilot Francis Gary Powers.
>

>So call the FBI blowhard.

The FBI already knows about Rudolph Abel...it's taught at the
FBI Academy in Quantico, VA.

>Nothing else worth commenting on. Think I'll go find something useful to
>do, like enjoy my ham radio. Sure beats talking to this moron.

Enjoy your ham radio...but avoid mentioning anything that
might violate the security of the United States of America.

Oh, and destroy any one-time pads you may have lying around.
Such an item is immediately spotted by investigators. Try
not to look too nervous when they come to your door.

FBI CIA
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2220. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:46:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.17.23.46.06.362848@gmail.com>
Source record: 116621
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Dee:

We do have sick leave, one day a month (with a doctors note your boss/super can approve more), you can accumulate up to 12 and no more, it is generally expected you will take them, many use them to "tack on" another week to their vacation.

Rather than "abuse" it is generally viewed as a "perk" or "benefit", it is not the same at your work? I have talked to state and federal workers here, it is generally the same for them... perhaps that is only california?

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:46:58 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:8AOMe.4426$cg.645@news02.roc.ny...
>
> [snip]
>
>>
>> Fortunately, I've had 3 calls from different companies. I start Monday at
>> a
>> new permanent job paying over 3 times what I am making now. Not too bad.
>> I
>> liked what I saw at that place; they truly seem to care. They also don't
>> pay sick time until you are out for 8 days.
>
> I think it is a rotten idea. People will drag themselves to work when they
> are sick and spread it around. This results in even more people being sick
> and reduced productivity.
>
> As a result of the past year, I am very sensitive to the issue of people
> coming around me when they are ill. You see I caught pneumonia in April
> 2004. Pneumonia was going around the area at the time. I may have caught
> it at work, from family (who may have caught it at work or school) or other
> public places. That dragged my resistance down and I ended up with a blood
> infection. The blood infection resulted in a hole being eaten in my heart
> valve. I had to have two surgeries, one of which resulted in another
> infection. I ended up spending 12 weeks on intravenous antibiotics
> altogether and lost over 6 months from work. When someone comes to work
> ill, I stay as far away as possible. With a replacement heart valve, I
> don't dare take any extra risks of infection.

>
>> That is an excellent idea which
>> does protect the employee from disaster whilst protecting the employer
>> from
>> the idiots that like to call in sick on Friday and/or Monday ;)
>>
>
> I've worked for employers who had the no sick leave approach and people
> still skipped days for recreational activities by calling in sick.
>
>> I have little use for those type folks (folks that want to get paid but
>> don't want to work).
>>
>
> I agree wholeheartedly with this but don't use the no sick leave to stop it.
> It's too hard on the rest of us. These people can be detected by behaviour
> patterns.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2221. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:47:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <z0QMe.7753$WD.6124@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116622

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
> important than your message?

In defense of Mark......

On several ocassions here he has noted that his interface to rrap is via Google.
Google doesn't provide a spell checking service. Get out of his case already!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 2222. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:47:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124322470.088861.279200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

```
FDR wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124230654.475036.151860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > FDR wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Concerned citizen wrote:
> >> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
> >> >>
> >> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You
> >> >> hate
> >> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Concerned Citizen
> >> >
> >> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
> >> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
> >> >
> >> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had vital
> >> > interest.
> >>
> >> One had no oil and the other oil. I see where you are going.
> >
> > Oil is of no interest to you?
> >
> >> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
> >> > destruction.
> >>
> >> And those rumors of genocide were found to be true. The mass graves
> >> confirm
> >> that.
> >
> > Mass graves were found in Iraq.
>
> The OP didn's say there weren't.

So what's the problem? Quitesimilar scenarios; one is blessed, the
other is not. Why not?

> >> > One had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost overruns,
> >> > the other had Haliburton as a no-bid contractor with lots of cost
> >> > overruns.
```

> >> >
> >> > One allowed the country to fragment into four or more independant
> >> > states, the other is trying to hold together several diverse states.
> >> >
> >> > One invasion of no national interest was applauded by the the left, the
> >> > other of vital interest.... is condemned.
> >>
> >> The one with no vital interest had wide support across many countries.
> >
> > "Many countries" should have invaded. As it was, the Russians got in
> > the game late by taking an airfield out from under our noses. Gen
> > Clark wanted to start WWIII over it.
> >
> > The
> >> one with the vital interest (oil) had a couple of countries (of meaning)
> >> supporting it.
> >
> > The USA will know who to support in the future.
> >

# 2223. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:50:21 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <de0ift112oc@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116630

On 17 Aug 2005 09:55:16 -0700 N2EY@aol.com wrote:

| The "notching" solution is simple: Their BPL system does not use
| frequencies that are also ham bands. Whether it works or not is an open
| question.

What about MARS and SWL frequencies?

| - BPL is a "last mile" delivery method, not a complete system. Still
| needs a 'head end'

I've seen pictures of these units on primary (12 kV) lines, so by
"last mile" this must mean more than just the drop into the home.

| - BPL bandwidth is shared between users on the same line, so as your
| neighbors sign up and use the system, your performance degrades.

On what line? The primary (12 kV) or the secondary (120/240 V)?

| - There are other technologies (like Wi-Fi) which can do the same job
| without all the fuss and bother.

These are on 12cm and 5cm from what I have heard.

| - The big danger of BPL is that it turns the whole idea of spectrum
| protection and allocation upside-down, and sets a bad precedent.

It can also be susceptible to ham transmissions, which will unfairly blame
the ham radio operator as the cause of networking failures.

--
---
| Phil Howard KA9WGN | http://linuxhomepage.com/ http://ham.org/ |
| (first name) at ipal.net | http://phil.ipal.org/ http://ka9wgn.ham.org/ |
---

# 2224. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:57:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124323070.620896.197180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

John Smith wrote:
> ... since the boundaries of Iraq were created by the british taking a map
> and deciding how they would like the area divide... maybe, the Iraq gov't
> (once established, stabilized and functioning) should raise that question
> and decide themselves ...
>
> John

Is that how it was done in the former Yugoslavia?

Since the Clinton model of modern warfare and nation building is
perfect, shouldn't we follow it?

And lessee...

The 13 Colonies had independence in 1776. The 13 Colonies ratify a
Constitution in 1787 (1787 - 1776 = 11 years). Why must there be a
constitutional government in Iraq this week? Shouldn't Irag be allowed
the necessary amount of time to get it right?

# 2225. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-17 23:59:08 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <de0j0c212oc@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116633

```
On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:28:56 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
|
| <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
| news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
|> rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
|
| [snip]
|
|> The article also accepts without question the idea that
|> fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
|> communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
|>
|
| Actually this would be more of a liberal idea. It surprises me that a
| Republican administration would buy into this.

Bush has many friends who are energy company executives, board members,
and investors. He's doing his friends a favor by supporting their bad
ideas, even though in the long term, BPL is doomed to flop because it
simply cannot keep up with the coming fiber technology, or even match
what some DSL and cable/coaxial deployments are already doing.

BPL is a _waste_ of power company investment dollars, which will be
diverted away from crucially needed infrastructure updates to become
capable of handling new energy needs of the future, and to be secure
against terrorist attacks. BPL actually puts the nation at more risk
than it has now.

If power companies want to play "me too" in the information services
game, then what they should do is just trump everyone else by rolling
out fiber now in the right-of-ways they already have. They could kill
the rest of the market by deploying a gigabit fiber infrastructure.
Even Verizon's fiber offering wouldn't be close.

--
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
| Phil Howard KA9WGN | http://linuxhomepage.com/ http://ham.org/ |
| (first name) at ipal.net | http://phil.ipal.org/ http://ka9wgn.ham.org/ |
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

# 2226. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:01:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124323290.591209.13060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...
> >>
> >>Brilliant!
> >
> >
> > why thank you
>
> ...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.
>
> Dave K8MN

Why don't you give your regards in person and make a DXpedition out of
it?

I'm sure they'd welcome a DXer such as yourself.

# 2227. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:03:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124323402.756687.69600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116627

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Your little joke wasn't funny.
>
> It wasn't a joke, and it wasn't meant to be funny.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

And so it wasn't.

You might want to take emcomms a little more seriously.

# 2228. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:03:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.00.03.35.369021@gmail.com>
Source record: 116626

I could be wrong, but if you are running windows, if in "Internet
Explorer", in the "address window" (NOT a search window) you enter
"news.excelonline.com" (WITHOUT the quotes)--windows will popup outlook
and you can join this FREE news server, it allows you to post to
newsgroups also... beats google groups or following threads on webpages...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 23:47:43 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
>> important than your message?
>
> In defense of Mark......
>
> On several ocassions here he has noted that his interface to rrap is via Google.
> Google doesn't provide a spell checking service. Get out of his case already!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 2229. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:04:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZfQMe.7762$WD.7353@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116628

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> If something is worth
> writing, it's worth writing clearly.

Aoccdrnig to rscheearch at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it deosn't mttaer in waht oredr the ltteers in a wrod are, the olny iprmoetnt tihng is taht the frist and lsat ltteer be at the rghit pclae. The rset can be a toatl mses and you can sitll raed it wouthit a porbelm. Tihs is bcuseae the huamn mnid deos not raed ervey lteter by istlef, but the wrod as a wlohe.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2230. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:08:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124323693.836497.326040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116629

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> cut
> > > dis dat
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
> > disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
> > problems with that hypothesis:
> >
> > a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
> > century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
>
> and right Now I can't see how NCTA can be disgruntled the only
> bothering me now is chopping at the bit wiating for it to be over
>
> Even my non Ham freinds have noticed the improvement in my often
> somewhat dower expression
> and dared asked me to explain
>
> that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
> stuff like that

Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
mouth might be mistaken as gloating.

> > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
>
> OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks

They'll form support groups to try to cope.

# 2231. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:15:49 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <VqQMe.8596$ns.7960@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116631

roillng on the folor luaghnig my ass off

Ceehrs,
Blil, KNU2K

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ZfQMe.7762$WD.7353@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> If something is worth
>> writing, it's worth writing clearly.
>
> Aoccdrnig to rscheearch at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it deosn't mttaer in waht
> oredr the ltteers in a wrod are, the olny iprmoetnt tihng is taht the
> frist and lsat ltteer be at the rghit pclae. The rset can be a toatl mses
> and you can sitll raed it wouthit a porbelm. Tihs is bcuseae the huamn
> mnid deos not raed ervey lteter by istlef, but the wrod as a wlohe.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>

# 2232. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:19:01 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <VtQMe.4438$cg.1542@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 116632
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:dOydnfp9oKn1I57eRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:8AOMe.4426$cg.645@news02.roc.ny...
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > Fortunately, I've had 3 calls from different companies. I start Monday
at
> > a
> > new permanent job paying over 3 times what I am making now. Not too
bad.
> > I
> > liked what I saw at that place; they truly seem to care. They also
don't
> > pay sick time until you are out for 8 days.
>
> I think it is a rotten idea. People will drag themselves to work when
they
> are sick and spread it around. This results in even more people being
sick
> and reduced productivity.
>
> As a result of the past year, I am very sensitive to the issue of people
> coming around me when they are ill. You see I caught pneumonia in April
> 2004. Pneumonia was going around the area at the time. I may have caught
> it at work, from family (who may have caught it at work or school) or
other
> public places. That dragged my resistance down and I ended up with a
blood
> infection. The blood infection resulted in a hole being eaten in my heart
> valve. I had to have two surgeries, one of which resulted in another
> infection. I ended up spending 12 weeks on intravenous antibiotics
> altogether and lost over 6 months from work. When someone comes to work
> ill, I stay as far away as possible. With a replacement heart valve, I
> don't dare take any extra risks of infection.
>
> > That is an excellent idea which
> > does protect the employee from disaster whilst protecting the employer
> > from
```

> > the idiots that like to call in sick on Friday and/or Monday ;)
> >
>
> I've worked for employers who had the no sick leave approach and people
> still skipped days for recreational activities by calling in sick.
>
> > I have little use for those type folks (folks that want to get paid but
> > don't want to work).
> >
>
> I agree wholeheartedly with this but don't use the no sick leave to stop
it.
> It's too hard on the rest of us. These people can be detected by
behaviour
> patterns.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Dee, you do make a good point. Seriously. However, at Kodak, I heard from a reliable source that one gal (a member of a minority group) had used up her short term diability (26 weeks). Mostly sick on Fridays and Mondays. Amazingly, they "recharged" her sick leave and she wasn't let go. The rule was that once you exhausted your sick leave (short term disability), you were terminated.

Meanwhile, a supervisor in our group had a husband who had open heart surgery. He was also an employee at the big yellow box. They wouldn't even give him time to consider how to take his pension. He was gone.

I don't know the proper answer, but at the place I'm going, they don't pay for the 1st week (at any one time). Then they pay 60% of your check.

This may put a hurt on honest folks, but it does protect one if you are hurt in an accident and does protect the company from folks that just want to have extra "vacation".

I can see your point about folks who are sick that come to work anyways, but how does one balance the whole thing? My guess is not pay for the first two days and then pay at 60%. That might be one answer.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2233. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:21:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7wQMe.4816$j21.2244@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 116634

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124294292.306227.299270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Should Hams in their operator respect the intent of the rules or just
> obey the letter?
>
> Should hams Hide behind the rules or stand up and say you know I think
> this is right and the rules are wrong
>
> Are we self policing or not?
>
> Should we be self policing?
>

It is all mind over matter.

If you don't mind, it doesn't matter.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - I'll still hammer the ssb station that tries to qrm me. I switch to
cw. :)))

pps- assuming the other station can copy at a reasonable rate. 20 or more.

# 2234. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:29:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124324959.926611.184610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116635

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 16 Aug 2005 16:33
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> All you EXTRA MORSEMEN ought to be PROUD of a fellow EXTRA, N9KKY,
> >> David G. Brink, now listed under WT Docket 05-235 in the ECFS as
> >> received on 8 August 2005 and added by the FCC on 15 August 2005.
> >> A one-page scrawled hand-printed and very shaky written name
> >> signature dated (by the sender) as 31 July 2005, a Sunday. No
> >> doubt that Sunday featured some slightly excessive imbibing prior
> >> to scrawling this magnificent missive.
> >
> >Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
> >disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
> >problems with that hypothesis:
> >
> >a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
> >century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
> >
> >b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
>
> Heh heh heh...I agree on both counts!
>
> Quod erat demonstrandum.
>
> end nul
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Eternal Truth: CW gets through when everything else will.

# 2235. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:37:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124325461.739267.58240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116636

an old friend wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >
> > > Nope but it isn't worth my time to compose if people aren't going to bother
> > > reading it. If I'm not going to take the time to do it reasonably well, I'm
> > > not going to bother at all.
> > >
> > > >> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> > > >> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned
> > > >> on
> > > >> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> > > >> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes
> > > >> (and
> > > >> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take
> > > >> time
> > > >> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible.
> > > >> I
> > > >> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> > > >> anything?
> > >
> > I agree 100% with Dee's ideas expressed above. If something is worth
> > writing, it's worth writing clearly.
>
> When are you going to start writng clearly yourself?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !
! !

You're such a card, Markie! Always the comedian!

> > This doesn't mean we're all Shakespeares. It does mean we can at least
> > do what we can to use correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and
> > capitalization.
>
> Ok

He says "ok", but my money's on "But I won't do a darned thing
about it".

> > An analogy:
> >
> > Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
> > Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
> > clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.

> >
> > Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
> > Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
> > over-deviating,
> > just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely
> > impossible to understand'.
> >
> > Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
> > being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
> > which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
> > mike which is the problem.
> >
> > But the newcomer refuses to replace the microphone. Says it's too much
> > trouble, costs too much money, and a new mike wouldn't be as easy to
> > use as the old one. Plus he doesn't think his audio is all that bad in
> > the first place.
> >
> > Newcomer finally says that if the group can't understand him, it's
> > *their* problem, not his, and he shouldn't be expected to spend money,
> > time and effort to get a new microphone for his rig.
> >
> > How should the group respond?
>
> One you you try analogy that is valid

It's absolutely valid.

> you could also be man enough to say what you mean

Seem's pretty straight forward to me.

> For example to addmto your analogy

"addmto"...?!?!

That's not even close to being a "word".

> It is only those that disgree with the newcomers views that find him so
> impossible to understand

I don't always agree with Jim and it made perfect sence to me.

> It it also truns out not to be his mike but his voice that has problem

"turns"

> and of course tell get off the air till you can fix your voice
>
> and of course Ham operators are so accepting

Sure they are...Unless you're blatantly lying or deceiving.

Like you, Lennie and Brainless.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2236. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:38:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.00.38.17.767948@gmail.com>
Source record: 116637

K0HB:

Thanks, I learned something I didn't know, worked well for me!

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 00:04:09 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> If something is worth
>> writing, it's worth writing clearly.
>
> Aoccdrnig to rscheearch at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it deosn't mttaer in waht oredr
> the ltteers in a wrod are, the olny iprmoetnt tihng is taht the frist and lsat
> ltteer be at the rghit pclae. The rset can be a toatl mses and you can sitll
> raed it wouthit a porbelm. Tihs is bcuseae the huamn mnid deos not raed ervey
> lteter by istlef, but the wrod as a wlohe.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2237. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:44:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124325866.752226.96020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116638

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Nope but it isn't worth my time to compose if people aren't going to bother
> > > > reading it. If I'm not going to take the time to do it reasonably well, I'm
> > > > not going to bother at all.
> > > >
> > > > >> Well it is your choice but frankly I skip any message that takes extra
> > > > >> effort to decipher. I keep my spell checker and grammar checker turned
> > > > >> on
> > > > >> at all times despite the fact that I received straight A's throughout
> > > > >> elementary school, high school, and college in all the English classes
> > > > >> (and
> > > > >> related classes) that I took. Even with these aids turned on, I take
> > > > >> time
> > > > >> to look through my message and make sure that it is as clear as possible.
> > > > >> I
> > > > >> want people to read what I've written. Otherwise why bother to write
> > > > >> anything?
> > > >
> > > > I agree 100% with Dee's ideas expressed above. If something is worth
> > > > writing, it's worth writing clearly.
> > >
> > > When are you going to start writng clearly yourself?
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !
> > ! !
> >
> > You're such a card, Markie! Always the comedian!

Not realy but you can tell yourself that lie

Jim wanders on and on to the point no one is quite sure what his point
is

>
> > > This doesn't mean we're all Shakespeares. It does mean we can at least
> > > do what we can to use correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and
> > > capitalization.
> > >
> > > Ok
> >

> He says "ok", but my money's on "But I won't do a darned thing
> about it".

which is of course the same thing

>
> > > An analogy:
> > >
> > > Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
> > > Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
> > > clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
> > >
> > > Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
> > > Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
> > > over-deviating,
> > > just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely
> > > impossible to understand'.
> > >
> > > Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
> > > being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
> > > which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
> > > mike which is the problem.
> > >
> > > But the newcomer refuses to replace the microphone. Says it's too much
> > > trouble, costs too much money, and a new mike wouldn't be as easy to
> > > use as the old one. Plus he doesn't think his audio is all that bad in
> > > the first place.
> > >
> > > Newcomer finally says that if the group can't understand him, it's
> > > *their* problem, not his, and he shouldn't be expected to spend money,
> > > time and effort to get a new microphone for his rig.
> > >
> > > How should the group respond?
> >
> > One you you try analogy that is valid
>
> It's absolutely valid.

nope it isn't

>
> > you could also be man enough to say what you mean
>
> Seem's pretty straight forward to me.
>
> > For example to addmto your analogy
>
> "addmto"...?!?!
>
> That's not even close to being a "word".
>

> > It is only those that disgree with the newcomers views that find him so
> > impossible to understand
>
> I don't always agree with Jim and it made perfect sence to me.
>
> > It it also truns out not to be his mike but his voice that has problem
>
> "turns"
>
> > and of course tell get off the air till you can fix your voice
> >
> > and of course Ham operators are so accepting
>
> Sure they are...Unless you're blatantly lying or deceiving.

Not lying or decieving you are lying and decieving in claiming to know
the medcial state of a person you have never met

You know this since you are an LPN

>
> Like you, Lennie and Brainless.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2238. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:45:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.00.45.00.744976@gmail.com>
Source record: 116639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

```
... well, I am not sure that "former Yugoslavia" is as democratic as Iraq
is going to be... next, isn't the rest of your post exactly what I said,
I did not impose a time constraint...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 16:57:50 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:

> former Yugoslavia
```

## 2239. Re:Marke still think the NG cant see his typos thinks he better than rest of NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:46:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124326003.469255.55050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116640

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > learn engish
> >
> > "learn engish"
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! !
> >
> > So you've STILL not told us who Nick is and why you keep lying
> > about comments being made by "KY4Z".
>
> Learn English

I already have.

> learn to read

I can. More competently and with greater comprehension than you,
no doubt.

> "not lying making a play on letters"
>
> I am not lying I am as I have said severl times now making a joke

It was a joke the first time. (Not really...It was you screwing
up again, but for the sake of argument we'll LET you call it a
"joke"...Even though we know it isn't...)

> a joke of a type you feel no need to apologize for when you do it

You mean like when I typed "KB9EQZ" instead of "RQZ"...?!?!?

Unlike you, I immediately acknowledged my typo.

Since it WASN'T your callsign I wrote and no one presently holds
KB9EQZ, there's no one to "apologize" to.

The FIRST time was a "joke"...(yeah...sure...uh huh...more like
your billionth typo)

When it was pointed out to you and you perpetuated the error
despite having had it pointed out to you, it became a lie.

More than your billionth.
```

Steve, K4YZ

# 2240. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 00:48:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.00.48.57.65347@gmail.com>
Source record: 116641

... I don't think that is anything more than a myth you are beginning,
perhaps you picked up that myth from some other place?

Fiber lines are owned by a specific entity, power lines can be leased for
such use by anyone... the costs are going to be very much different
between the two...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005
23:59:08 +0000, phil-news-nospam wrote:

> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:28:56 -0400 Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
> |
> | <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> | news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> |> rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
> |
> | [snip]
> |
> |> The article also accepts without question the idea that
> |> fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
> |> communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
> |>
> |
> | Actually this would be more of a liberal idea. It surprises me that a
> | Republican administration would buy into this.
>
> Bush has many friends who are energy company executives, board members,
> and investors. He's doing his friends a favor by supporting their bad
> ideas, even though in the long term, BPL is doomed to flop because it
> simply cannot keep up with the coming fiber technology, or even match
> what some DSL and cable/coaxial deployments are already doing.
>
> BPL is a _waste_ of power company investment dollars, which will be
> diverted away from crucially needed infrastructure updates to become
> capable of handling new energy needs of the future, and to be secure
> against terrorist attacks. BPL actually puts the nation at more risk
> than it has now.
>
> If power companies want to play "me too" in the information services
> game, then what they should do is just trump everyone else by rolling
> out fiber now in the right-of-ways they already have. They could kill
> the rest of the market by deploying a gigabit fiber infrastructure.

> Even Verizon's fiber offering wouldn't be close.

## 2241. free news server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:05:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.01.05.25.608108@gmail.com>
Source record: 116649

If you are running windows, and need to use a free news server with outlook...

1) Open Internet Explorer

2) Enter: news://excelonline.com into the ADDRESS bar and hit enter

3) Outlook express should open up and begin a dialog to get you signed up

... even if you use another news server you can use this one to create another identity.

... if you want to examine other free news servers, use "maxbaud" and "news servers" in google, make sure you pick one which allows posting, otherwise you will only be able to read posts...

John

# 2242. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:05:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124327149.930136.201080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116642

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>>>I'm curious what it takes to
> >>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
> >>
> >>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> >>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> >>
> >>I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
> >>QSO on 10M when you showed up.
> >>
> >>>You were worked.
> >>
> >>Indeed, you were worked.
> >
> > It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
> > Brian...all for just one contact. :-)
>
> 10/25/92 1916Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
> 02/15/93 1552Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
> 03/07/93 1541Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
> 12/12/93 1425Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
>
> It would appear that Brian worked four times harder than he needed to
> work A22MN on the same band and mode, lennie.

Hmmmm? I worked you from Guam, recall the "tailending KH2D remark
above???". I was in Illinois from Jan 92 onward, except when deployed
to Somalia. Fix your logs with a script of "-2" in the year column.
Best of Luck.

snip

> >>>If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> >>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.
> >>
> >>Ditto the out of band Frenchmen.

>
> WA8JOC sent no one an out of band Frenchman.

Hi! You're notorious for your confusions. I just don't know how you
ever made it in the DX world. Pffft!

# 2243. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:07:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124327250.254853.78980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116643

well stveie hacks a post apart
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > Excellent question
>
> > Excellent question
>
> > Trifle touchy arent we
>
> > Excellent question
>
> Well that was a meaningful exchange.

Gee even when a guy agrees with you, you flame him
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2244. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:09:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124327388.173046.294890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116644

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Tues 16 Aug 2005 21:10
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>
> >> davie is like the subject of the ancient Chinese proverb by
> >> Confusion: "Man with one-track mind often have train of
> >> thought de-railed."
> >
> >...and your's is: "No tickee; no workee".
>
> WRONNNNNG, Confusion-wannabe.

Indeed, "Confusion" is an apt moniker if you drop the "-wannabe" part.
I just had to correct the great DXer's A22MN logs. Typical government
employee.

## 2245. Stevie Still think the NG revolves around him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:12:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124327550.760161.267790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116645

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > learn engish
> > >
> > > "learn engish"
> > >
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > So you've STILL not told us who Nick is and why you keep lying
> > > about comments being made by "KY4Z".
> >
> > Learn English
>
> I already have.
>
> > learn to read
>
> I can. More competently and with greater comprehension than you,
> no doubt.

Then why don't you bother reading
>
> > "not lying making a play on letters"
> >
> > I am not lying I am as I have said severl times now making a joke
>
> It was a joke the first time. (Not really...It was you screwing
> up again, but for the sake of argument we'll LET you call it a
> "joke"...Even though we know it isn't...)

No I have been considering calling you KY4Z for some time Indeed I
intend in future to only refer to your call as KY4Z
>
> > a joke of a type you feel no need to apologize for when you do it
>
> You mean like when I typed "KB9EQZ" instead of "RQZ"...?!?!?

and still refuse to apologize for
```

>
> Unlike you, I immediately acknowledged my typo.

Lie

>
> Since it WASN'T your callsign I wrote and no one presently holds
> KB9EQZ, there's no one to "apologize" to.

and if the Real KY4Z shows up I'll aplogize to HIM

>
> The FIRST time was a "joke"...(yeah...sure...uh huh...more like
> your billionth typo)

more ranting
>
> When it was pointed out to you and you perpetuated the error
> despite having had it pointed out to you, it became a lie.

Nope It was a joke and afunny one

I assume no one in the US would use a KY call sign I certainly hope
there isn't a KY4ASS around
>
> More than your billionth.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2246. Re: Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:19:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124327968.333209.122420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116646

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:31:42 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> > > <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
> > > <mdk0g1di0f12r7c6dot93n1k3rcukj54bc@4ax.com>:
> > >
> > > >On 15 Aug 2005 01:17:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > ><1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > >>> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > > >>> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > > >>
> > > >>> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
> > > >>> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
> > > >>> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
> > > >>> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
> > > >>> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
> > > >>> >Valor comes to mind.
> > > >>>
> > > >>> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)
> > > >>
> > > >> What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.
> > > >>
> > > >> All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
> > > >>fifth.
> > > >>
> > > >> Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
> > > >>his 1950's era MOS's...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Yep. It's been a long day.
> > >
> > >
> > > I now see the source of my confusion -- those posts would have been a
> > > lot easier to read (i.e, figure out who said what) if you had learned
> > > a little earlier about the standard newsgroup quoting protocol (using
> > > an arrow, >, at the beginning of a quoted line).
> >
> > Thanks for stopping by almost eight years later and letting me
> > know, Frank.
> >

> > > Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)
> > > tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine.
> >
> > I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
> > keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
> > don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
> > at the point of being "braggard" about it.
>
> really ?
>
> more whoopers

Alla time.

> > > Hey, I do it myself.
> >
> > We did earn it.
>
> earn what?

Longevity award?

> > > But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
> > > reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
> > > being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
> > > throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude.
> >
> > Again...I don't see myself having "crammed" anything down anyone's
> > throats, Frank...No more than their lies and deceit have been shoved
> > down mine.
>
> you make threats and more threats and lies and ....

...Crams his lies down our throats.

> > > It's not
> > > your fault, it's not their fault. Wanna blame somebody? Blame the
> > > government -- they tell the military to recruit kids, culture-shock
> > > them into hard-core killing machines, then when their service is
> > > completed dump them back into the civilian population without any sort
> > > of de-programming or re-integration phase. It's not so suprising that
> > > there are a disproportionate number of homeless people that are vets
> > > when you realize that most of them simply never adjusted to civilian
> > > life.....
> > >
> > > Anyway, I'm done ranting. Looks like you have been in a flame war with
> > > this guy for over seven years..... do you actually enjoy it? Do you
> > > think you are winning? Or haven't you ever heard of a killfile?
> >
> > Thankfully it's not been a contiguous seven years. Markie was
> > gone for the longest time. That pretty much left us with Lennie and
> > Brain. I see my exchanges with them as being somewhat cathartic.

>
> and yet even being gone you continued to falme me from time to time

There have been others. Many others. Most let Steve run them off. I
don't.

> > So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the
> > ticket lapse?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
status.

# 2247. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:26:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124328368.454145.50240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116647

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> A person posting with th[i]er name is anonymous?
>
> Is that anything like being a jerk for >>>not<<< giving someone grief?
>
> You can QRZed "Gilliland" and find Frank on there. But you'll have to
> do the "leg" work yourself.

And so Steve does his own "leg" work, is offered two "Frank Gilliland"
choices, and chooses the wrong one! Hi! Gotta love the odds.

# 2248. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:30:24 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QwRMe.27837$EX.11329@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 116648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124322470.088861.279200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> FDR wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124230654.475036.151860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > FDR wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Concerned citizen wrote:
>> >> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You
>> >> >> hate
>> >> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Concerned Citizen
>> >> >
>> >> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
>> >> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
>> >> >
>> >> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had
>> >> > vital
>> >> > interest.
>> >>
>> >> One had no oil and the other oil. I see where you are going.
>> >
>> > Oil is of no interest to you?
>> >
>> >> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
>> >> > destruction.
>> >>
>> >> And those rumors of genocide were found to be true. The mass graves
>> >> confirm
>> >> that.
>> >
>> > Mass graves were found in Iraq.
>>
>> The OP didn's say there weren't.
>
> So what's the problem? Quitesimilar scenarios; one is blessed, the
```

> other is not. Why not?

Not the point. He made similarites between geonocide in Bosnia and WMD in Iraq. The former was true, the latter was false.

As to your point, Bush did not say we were going in to stop the genocide in Iraq. If he truly cared about such a topic he would have made it a priority when he got into office. He didn't. So the Bush apologists have to defend the war some way by saying how were stopping some genocidial maniac. Though he was one, it was only a reason given since the real reasons we went there have turned out to be false.

# 2249. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:46:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SqCdnetxG8Xnd57eRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116452

```
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:SHPMe.4432$cg.2240@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:ubadneSb0fCpJ57eRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> > The article also accepts without question the idea that
>> > fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
>> > communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
>> >
>>
>> Actually this would be more of a liberal idea. It surprises me that a
>> Republican administration would buy into this.
>>
>> > Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original poster
>> > actually listen to it?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dee
>
> Liberal? Pushing for more money for power companies? Please forgive my
> ignorance, but if I follow the money trail, it leads to big business
> (monopolies, at that).
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Liberal because it is being pushed as every having a "right" to broadband.
Yes follow the money and it leads to as many liberal business men as it does
```

conservative ones.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2250. Re: Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:53:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3cq7g1t525r2oipbkj6u2256s07miqreef@4ax.com>
Source record: 116450

On 17 Aug 2005 18:19:28 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1124327968.333209.122420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> > So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the
>> > ticket lapse?
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
>status.

Try "I am not a ham"..... N7VCF lives on the soggy side of the state.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2251. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:54:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SqCdnepxG8Xnd57eRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116451
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.17.23.46.06.362848@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> We do have sick leave, one day a month (with a doctors note your
> boss/super can approve more), you can accumulate up to 12 and no more, it
> is generally expected you will take them, many use them to "tack on"
> another week to their vacation.
>
> Rather than "abuse" it is generally viewed as a "perk" or "benefit", it is
> not the same at your work? I have talked to state and federal workers
> here, it is generally the same for them... perhaps that is only
> california?
>
> John
>
> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:46:58 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>

Well I don't know about California and I don't know about state or federal workers or even other businesses in my area. All I can address is my job. Basically I get 10 per year (no doctor's note required however). Then normally one switches over to short term disability as it is assumed if you're sick more than 10 days, it's something more serious. But It is somewhat flexible. If I'm unfortunate to be down several times for several days due to unrelated illnesses, it can exceed 10 days (say 4 separate bouts of whatever that last 3 days each). However it is to be used only for sickness and is not accumulative. Using it as "vacation" would be grounds for dismissal.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2252. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 01:59:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <kbqdnc-qvdkWdp7eRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 116453

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:z0QMe.7753$WD.6124@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
>> important than your message?
>
> In defense of Mark.....
>
> On several ocassions here he has noted that his interface to rrap is via
> Google. Google doesn't provide a spell checking service. Get out of his
> case already!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Normally I would have not commented except for the fact that he has also made the point several times that he is deliberately choosing not to in order to spite people. Even with Google, one could compose in a word processor and then cut and paste. Or a person could at least read through it a couple of times before hitting send.

However, it doesn't particularly bother me as I exercise my option not to read the garbled ones. If he wishes to waste his time writing stuff that people won't read, that's his prerogative.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2253. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 02:02:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N-mdnSjPZLqwcZ7eRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116455

<phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
news:de0ift112oc@news1.newsguy.com...
> On 17 Aug 2005 09:55:16 -0700 N2EY@aol.com wrote:
>
> | The "notching" solution is simple: Their BPL system does not use
> | frequencies that are also ham bands. Whether it works or not is an open
> | question.
>
> What about MARS and SWL frequencies?
>
>
> | - BPL is a "last mile" delivery method, not a complete system. Still
> | needs a 'head end'
>
> I've seen pictures of these units on primary (12 kV) lines, so by
> "last mile" this must mean more than just the drop into the home.
>
>
> | - BPL bandwidth is shared between users on the same line, so as your
> | neighbors sign up and use the system, your performance degrades.
>
> On what line? The primary (12 kV) or the secondary (120/240 V)?
>
>
> | - There are other technologies (like Wi-Fi) which can do the same job
> | without all the fuss and bother.
>
> These are on 12cm and 5cm from what I have heard.
>
>
> | - The big danger of BPL is that it turns the whole idea of spectrum
> | protection and allocation upside-down, and sets a bad precedent.
>
> It can also be susceptible to ham transmissions, which will unfairly blame
> the ham radio operator as the cause of networking failures.

It will also be susceptible to interference from natural sources such as
lightning and other manmade sources such as occur with many electrical
devices. It would be quite easy to have a case where the computer power
supply, computer monitor, television, fluorescent lights, etc could cause a
degradation of the service.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2254. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 02:02:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.02.02.26.700045@gmail.com>
Source record: 116454
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

Dee:

WOW! dismissal!

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 21:54:58 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.17.23.46.06.362848@gmail.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> We do have sick leave, one day a month (with a doctors note your
>> boss/super can approve more), you can accumulate up to 12 and no more, it
>> is generally expected you will take them, many use them to "tack on"
>> another week to their vacation.
>>
>> Rather than "abuse" it is generally viewed as a "perk" or "benefit", it is
>> not the same at your work? I have talked to state and federal workers
>> here, it is generally the same for them... perhaps that is only
>> california?
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:46:58 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>
> Well I don't know about California and I don't know about state or federal
> workers or even other businesses in my area. All I can address is my job.
> Basically I get 10 per year (no doctor's note required however). Then
> normally one switches over to short term disability as it is assumed if
> you're sick more than 10 days, it's something more serious. But It is
> somewhat flexible. If I'm unfortunate to be down several times for several
> days due to unrelated illnesses, it can exceed 10 days (say 4 separate bouts
> of whatever that last 3 days each). However it is to be used only for
> sickness and is not accumulative. Using it as "vacation" would be grounds
> for dismissal.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2255. Re: Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 02:04:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.02.04.02.228524@gmail.com>
Source record: 116456

Hmmmm:

Webbed feet then? A mud duck? <CB'er-type-grin>

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 18:53:17 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On 17 Aug 2005 18:19:28 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1124327968.333209.122420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>> > So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the
>>> > ticket lapse?
>>> >
>>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
>>status.
>
>
> Try "I am not a ham"..... N7VCF lives on the soggy side of the state.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2256. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 02:05:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124330759.877974.247850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116457

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:SHPMe.4432$cg.2240@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:ubadneSb0fCpJ57eRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
> >>
> >> [snip]
> >>
> >> > The article also accepts without question the idea that
> >> > fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and > >> > their
> >> > communities - another Bush Administration bit
> >> > of rightthink.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Actually this would be more of a liberal idea.
> >> It surprises me that a
> >> Republican administration would buy into this.

Doesn't surprise me at all.

> >> > Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the
> >> > original poster actually listen to it?

> > Hello, Dee
> >
> > Liberal? Pushing for more money for power companies? Please > > forgive my
> > ignorance, but if I follow the money trail, it leads to big
> > business (monopolies, at that).

Exactly.
>
> Liberal because it is being pushed as every having a "right" to > broadband.

I don't hear that in the article at all. What *is* mentioned is
the idea that the town needs it for their economy.

> Yes follow the money and it leads to as many liberal business
> men as it does conservative ones.

Sorry, Dee, I don't see that at all.

BPL is basically bad science and bad engineering,
pushed by the promise of being a quick "high-tech"
fix. Fits right in with the current administration's
attitude towards science and technology.

The pollution angle alone shows it to be a bad idea.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2257. Re: Saggytits Wuns Away Scweaming "Noooooooooooooooo!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 02:10:05 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <c0ea47154a5b4ce583b0df411b7d16d8@dizum.com>
Source record: 116459
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame

In article <09887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:
>
> rogers photo is a (More of Lardass' lies.)

Poor Lardass, do you flinch every time the new movie "The 40-Year Old VIRGIN" is mentioned?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA....The star just recently said on national TV that YOU were one of the sad cases they used for the lead character. Tell us why Laura dumped you, Lardass. Tell us why you answer yourself on Nimbusters under two dozen different names. Roger's posts don't show up in green, stupid. The only reason any posts show up in green is that the moron admin goes in there and changes posts that he thinks Roger makes. Thing is, he's just as stupid as you are and frequently tags posts Roger didn't make at all, just like you do when you spam the board as the Shit Can man.

Lardass Lloyd Austin Davies, loses and loses and loses.....

# 2258. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 02:10:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TcSdnb55vfqOc57eRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 116458
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:VtQMe.4438$cg.1542@news02.roc.ny...
>

[snip]

>>
>>
>
> Dee, you do make a good point. Seriously. However, at Kodak, I heard
> from
> a reliable source that one gal (a member of a minority group) had used up
> her short term diability (26 weeks). Mostly sick on Fridays and Mondays.
> Amazingly, they "recharged" her sick leave and she wasn't let go. The
> rule
> was that once you exhausted your sick leave (short term disability), you
> were terminated.
>

She must have known where the skeletons were buried. At our company, they'd
have picked up the pattern real fast and she'd have been out before she got
to 26. The industry is too tight and competitive to carry dead wood like
this.

We get long term disability for a year after the short term so at least I
was in good shape then. Once you go on the long term though, you are not
guaranteed the same position when you return. It may be quite a different
position.

> Meanwhile, a supervisor in our group had a husband who had open heart
> surgery. He was also an employee at the big yellow box. They wouldn't
> even
> give him time to consider how to take his pension. He was gone.
>

That is just plain wrong but don't know what can be done.

> I don't know the proper answer, but at the place I'm going, they don't pay
> for the 1st week (at any one time). Then they pay 60% of your check.
>
> This may put a hurt on honest folks, but it does protect one if you are
> hurt
> in an accident and does protect the company from folks that just want to
> have extra "vacation".

>
> I can see your point about folks who are sick that come to work anyways,
> but
> how does one balance the whole thing? My guess is not pay for the first
> two
> days and then pay at 60%. That might be one answer.
>
>

Well I don't know the answer either but where I am, no one takes any unnecessary sick leave because you rise to the top of the list at layoff time.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2259. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 02:29:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.02.29.40.702985@gmail.com>
Source record: 116460

Dee:

I like it when the girl plays hard to get, then acquiesces in the end,
been movies made about that yanno!!! <tongue-in-cheek>

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 21:59:32 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:z0QMe.7753$WD.6124@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>>
>>> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
>>> important than your message?
>>
>> In defense of Mark......
>>
>> On several ocassions here he has noted that his interface to rrap is via
>> Google. Google doesn't provide a spell checking service. Get out of his
>> case already!
>>
>> Beep beep
>> de Hans, K0HB
>
> Normally I would have not commented except for the fact that he has also
> made the point several times that he is deliberately choosing not to in
> order to spite people. Even with Google, one could compose in a word
> processor and then cut and paste. Or a person could at least read through
> it a couple of times before hitting send.
>
> However, it doesn't particularly bother me as I exercise my option not to
> read the garbled ones. If he wishes to waste his time writing stuff that
> people won't read, that's his prerogative.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2260. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:03:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124334231.507368.58300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116461

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:z0QMe.7753$WD.6124@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >>
> >> But why be so stubborn about using a spell checker? Is your ego more
> >> important than your message?
> >
> > In defense of Mark......
> >
> > On several ocassions here he has noted that his interface to rrap is via
> > Google. Google doesn't provide a spell checking service. Get out of his
> > case already!

Ty Hans (trying to save Bandwidth by checking you both at once

> >
> > Beep beep
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> Normally I would have not commented except for the fact that he has also
> made the point several times that he is deliberately choosing not to in
> order to spite people. Even with Google, one could compose in a word
> processor and then cut and paste. Or a person could at least read through
> it a couple of times before hitting send.

I could double or triple the time involved

and again iif you aren't interested in reading posts feel free to
ignore them

You might not be my target audeince

>
> However, it doesn't particularly bother me as I exercise my option not to
> read the garbled ones. If he wishes to waste his time writing stuff that
> people won't read, that's his prerogative.

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2261. Re: free news server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:06:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124334363.290659.84970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116462

John Smith wrote:
> If you are running windows, and need to use a free news server with
> outlook...
>
> 1) Open Internet Explorer

Well I use free agent but I'll check it out over the next or so
>
> 2) Enter: news://excelonline.com into the ADDRESS bar and hit enter
>
> 3) Outlook express should open up and begin a dialog to get you signed up
>
> ... even if you use another news server you can use this one to create
> another identity.
>
> ... if you want to examine other free news servers, use "maxbaud" and
> "news servers" in google, make sure you pick one which allows posting,
> otherwise you will only be able to read posts...

I have had problem with a psiting place and one that I am using for
other stuff
>
>
> John

# 2262. Re: free news server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:08:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.03.08.46.25778@gmail.com>
Source record: 116471

AOF:

Was just hoping it would be of use to you, any others too...

John

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 20:06:03 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

# 2263. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:27:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124335669.743620.198040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116463

From: "Dee Flint" on Wed 17 Aug 2005 18:13

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message

>> In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this
>> process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in the
>> way they would them implemented... a vast influx of new people may be
>> able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were able
>> to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell... after
>> decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.
>
>It will take an organized group to do this though. People have two choices.
>One is to join the ARRL and change it to pursue the policies near and dear
>to their own hearts. The second choice is to form a new group that is large
>enough and organized enough to lobby for what is near and dear to their own
>hearts. Just saying the ARRL should change won't do it.

It "won't do it?!?" Oh, my, but you've been saying it WOULD!

Okay, the ARRL has been in existance since 1914 and they've
been a PUBLISHING BUSINESS as well since the mid-1920s. So,
the ARRL has had a bit over 80 years to publish its little
maxims (pun not quite intended) for all "good" hams to follow
for four generations now.

Note that INCOME from the publishing business supports more of
the ARRL activities than any amount of "membership dues" can
do. A few years ago the ARRL declared on their federal income
tax forms that their total income was $12.5 MILLION that year.
Note also that legal representation is NOT inexpensive...Chris
Imlay's law firm going to do all its ARRL legal work pro bono?
[inconceivable in American law practice! :-) ]

Do the math. The League would have to get EVERY licensed U.S.
radio amateur to join up at the current dues rates in order to
come CLOSE to that sort of income. All those ARRL Life Members
paid a one-shot fee and are no longer required to pay anything
more so their dues won't show up on the annual League budget
now.

Can ANY *new* amateur radio membership organization come close
to the ARRL's penetration of U.S. ham radio? Not at the grass-
roots level, not after the League has had an existance of four
generations just as a publishing business (91 years as an
incorporated membership entity). This *new* membership
organization MUST have some significant monetary support at

the START in order to survive. That's very, very difficult today. There have been several tries in the past three decades (give or take) and none have been successful in coming close to the League establishment.

Can one join the League and "effect change from within" (that's your repeated mantra stated several times before) ? I doubt that from the simple reason of reading all those ARRL BoD minutes, seeing all those same names showing up for so many years. The public does NOT see EVERYTHING going on in Newington nor at those aperiodic gatherings of wheeler-dealer junkets at various cities. What we do see are nice, formal gatherings of Good Old Boys discussing very, very little new that will affect a majority of American radio amateurs (remember that the ARRL says it "represents all amateurs"...ahem, koff koff).

ARRL membership figures show that only one out of five U.S. radio amateurs are members. 20%. They've almost had 25% at one point in their 91 years. The League keeps asking for more money...such as the "Spectrum Defense Fund." Ahem, it didn't get a whole BAND at 60 meters, did it? Guess they "didn't SPEND enough" lobbying for one? The IARU managed to press home a sort-of future solution for 40 meters that has been lingering since WARC-79 (that's now shown up in the Federal Register as the big WRC-03 Omnibus action that is an Order). The League keeps supporting all those olde-tymers of their core membership...the Lifers, the Belivers...and ignores the 48% of all U.S. amateur licensees who are Technician class.

Of course, you will trumpet "join the League, start changing from the 'inside'"...which means PAYING to get in...which means all joiners will be on Address Lists they can sell for more INCOME. Lovely legal scam-equiivalent. <shrug>

No problem, Dee. You aren't reading this anyway. Others do. Others have already known about it for years. That may be one reason the membership doesn't reflect a greater percentage of the total licensees...

now pay
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2264. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:29:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124335751.875660.122430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116464

From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> on Wed, Aug 17 2005 4:01 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: John Smith on Wed 17 Aug 2005 09:06

>> >The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
>> >can be introduced.
>
>> Er, John, the FCC is the >>ONLY<< avenue to travel.
>
> Poor Lennie.

I'm not "poor," little Stebie, rather reasonably well-off,
NO liens at all, wife and I just bought a new car, we both
have income other than Social Security. We own two houses,
one in Los Angeles, the other in Kitsap County, WA...no
mortgages on either.

> Can't stand it that there ARE other avenues "where ideas for
>change, restructuring and progress" may be "introduced".

Incorrect. There are MANY avenues for change, restructuring
and progress. For civilian radio there is ONLY ONE and that
ONE is the Federal Communications Commission for U.S.
citizens.

In recent amateur radio history, FCC 99-412 was the Report
and Order that ordered the latest "Restructuring" of U.S.
amateur radio regulations. That was commented on by over
2300 documents from citizens under WT Docket 98-143. More
recent were the EIGHTEEN Petitions for Rulemaking, some of
which were DENIED in FCC 05-143 and the International Morse
Code test element 1 elimination being THE singular topic of
WT Docket 05-235. As of midnight EDT 16 August 2005 there
were no less than 1646 documents filed in WT Docket 05-235.

Amateur radio regulations were NOT a topic of discussions
at a neighborhood meeting of our City Councilwoman two
weeks ago at the All-Saints Church parish hall. They
won't be at Congressman Brad Sherman's Town Meeting next
week in Northridge, CA. Do you think amateur radio regs
SHOULD be discussed there? If so, state WHY.

> Means he can't remind us of how impotent he is.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Not to worry, LITTLE Stebie, in a real discussion of real issues affecting the community, I can "get it up" (so to speak) on DISCUSSIONS with relevant facts and figures to support my views. I'm used to it, can do it effectively.

One thing I do NOT do is - like your sexual innuendo misdirection - try to divert the discussion into some mean-spirited self-frustrated ATTACK on other personalities. While that serves to temporarily HIDE YOU on your lack of facts and logic on the SUBJECT under discussion, it is by no means any sort of positive attribute for yourself. It is rather a negative attribute that shows how shallow and ignorant you are with all that emotional instability of hatred manifesting itself at every opportunity.

> Putz.

Giving it back to you in your own style - 4 Q :-)

own imp
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2265. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:31:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124335868.272998.310160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116465

From: "Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> on Wed, Aug 17 2005 4:29 pm

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message

>> Power lines were never meant to carry HF communication signals.

No kidding?!? From whom did you pick up that factoid? :-)

>> They're lossy at HF because they radiate! The whole concept
>> is deeply flawed. By allowing BPL systems, FCC is setting
>> a very bad precedent by saying it's OK to pollute the electro
>> magnetic spectrum with noise, even if there are viable
>> alternatives to the noise-producing technology.

A couple of points here: First, the FCC does NOT "allow"
Access BPL existance. Access BPL systems are (note
carefully) UNINTENTIONAL Radiators.

Secondly, the FCC has never ever established any "radio
service" about or for any Broadband Over Power Lines
concept. BPL is a WIRED system; i.e., NOT an intentional
radiator of RF.

Thirdly, the FCC DOES CONTROL RADIATED RF LEVELS AND TO
ESTABLISHED SPECIFICATIONS NOW IN TITLE 47 C.F.R. That
radiation level HAS been quantified and put into an Order
that did appear both in the Federal Register and at the
FCC website under the Office of Engineering Technology
link. It wasn't under the Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau page nor the Amateur radio page under that (there
hasn't been any new link on the amateur page there since
2002).

The NOI (Notice Of Inquiry) of the FCC that caused this
recent flap and furor was NOT about the existance of BPL
as any service...IT WAS ABOUT MEASUREMENT METHODS TO
DETERMINE ACCEPTIBLE WAYS TO MEASURE THE RADIATION.

The OET knew damn well that BPL would radiate. But, they
could NOT LEGALLY STOP BPL from existing. All they could
do is establish a legally-acceptible MEANS OF MEASURING
THAT EXPECTED RADIATION.

>Well, by limited interference, I am suggesting that BPL be limited as any
>other unintentional radiator.

It IS. One has to scrounge around the FCC webiste a bit to
find it, but it IS there.

> I do hear your point and it is well taken.
>We do *not* need "only" a 10 dB increase in noise in general LOL

Nobody does, but it has happened. Listen to the "ISM" bands
and the DSSS and stuff there does raise the noise floor.
However, the occupancy of those ISM bands is nearly ALL that
mode and those users coexist peacefully.

>Also, as we are well aware, no filter is perfect, whether a notch filter or
>a bandpass filter or any other filter. Also, filters introduce distortion
>into the signal.

Irrelevant. Those "notch filters" can't erase MOST of the
frequencies on HF. The "licensed users" and the UNLICENSED
listeners are spread over most of the HF spectrum.

> So, it remains to be seen if the power companies can come
>up with a BPL with very limited impact on licensed services. I do have my
>doubts, but am only suggesting that *if* they can prove a system can produce
>very low noise in the airwaves, then it might be worth a try. That is a
>*big* if.

Many, many things ARE possible. The last 109 years of the total
existance of radio have shown that.

However, TRANSMISSION LINES of signals are technology that goes
back BEFORE the "birth" of radio in 1896. Lee de Forrest, the
inventor of the three-element vacuum tube, was studying
transmission lines academically before his "audion" experiments.
As far as our present-day technology knows (and that is
considerable), transmission lines with lots of discontinuities
will radiate; the TEM field won't be nicely contained. Given
that the ordinary 60 Hz power distribution lines are chock full
of discontinuities and changes in conductor size and spacing
(thus a change in characteristic impedance where that step is a
discontinuity), those power transmission lines WILL RADIATE RF.
That is inevitable.

IF and ONLY IF the electric power distribution system was
designed and REBUILT to known transmission line standards at
HF-VHF could such a wired BPL system be tried out for minimum
interference.

was not
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2266. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:35:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124336119.504585.206180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116466

From: "K0HB" on Wed 17 Aug 2005 20:33

><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> Your little joke wasn't funny.
>
>It wasn't a joke, and it wasn't meant to be funny.

Congratulations! You've both failed and succeeded, all
at the same time, Hans! :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2267. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:38:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124336309.060295.307560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116467

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.17.16.06.51.591157@gmail.com...
> > AOF:
> >
> > The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
> > can be introduced.
> >
> > In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this
> > process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in the
> > way they would them implemented... a vast influx of new people may be
> > able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were able
> > to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell... after
> > decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.
> >
> > John
> >
> >
>
> It will take an organized group to do this though. People have two choices.
> One is to join the ARRL and change it to pursue the policies near and dear
> to their own hearts. The second choice is to form a new group that is large
> enough and organized enough to lobby for what is near and dear to their own
> hearts. Just saying the ARRL should change won't do it.

Well We NoCoders did exactly that and NCI was never all that large

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2268. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:44:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6uTMe.7818$WD.1055@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116468

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> An analogy:
>
> Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
> Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
> clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
>
> Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
> Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
> over-deviating,
> just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely
> impossible to understand'.
>
> Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
> being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
> which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
> mike which is the problem.

Your analogy is broken, Jim.

The newcomers microphone is analogous to Marks computer keyboard, which works
just fine.

As you well know (unless you just fell off the turnip truck) the reason for
Marks difficult-(sometimes impossible)-to-read posts is a medical condition.
Give your newcomer a medical problem (speech impediment?) and rewrite the story.
Then we'll answer your question "How should the group respond?"

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2269. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:48:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6yTMe.6774$Wi6.2948@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...
>>>>
>>>>Brilliant!
>>>
>>>
>>>why thank you
>>
>>...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Why don't you give your regards in person and make a DXpedition out of
> it?
>
> I'm sure they'd welcome a DXer such as yourself.

That's a very interesting idea. Is the krud homeland in the
neighborhood of Pickerington or is it nearer to Reynoldsburg?

Dave K8MN

# 2270. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 03:58:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fHTMe.6782$Wi6.794@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116470

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>> I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
>>>Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
>>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>A person posting with th[i]er name is anonymous?
>>
>>Is that anything like being a jerk for >>>not<<< giving someone grief?
>>
>>You can QRZed "Gilliland" and find Frank on there. But you'll have to
>>do the "leg" work yourself.
>
>
> And so Steve does his own "leg" work, is offered two "Frank Gilliland"
> choices, and chooses the wrong one! Hi! Gotta love the odds.

After Frank's post, I suppose you're feeling like a complete fool.

Dave K8MN

# 2271. Re: Greasy Haired Wiseman is "Just a Reggae Performer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 07:32:55 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de1dh2$8k1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:870903917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 23:15:42 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Woger
Rodent> wrote:

> And Lloydie sure hates that fact being out in the open, given the
Anti-Jewish
> statements he makes.

Woger is just having problems with his own Jewish heritage, as <snip>

Roger, poor Roger.
He doesn't recall the day, but his Bar Fly mom said that when she brought
Baby Roger home to his daddy that his dad said, just before leaving, "Don't
bring that ugly thing in here. I told you I wanted a puppy."

<sound of a door slamming>

# 2272. Re: Saggytits Wuns Away Scweaming "Noooooooooooooooo!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 07:49:18 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de1efp$cl6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame

Gee, Woger. Stop and think, if you can. The movie you refer to is a work of fiction. Fiction.
Obviously you, in your perverted mind, cannot determine fact from fiction, but it is only a movie, Wog.
It is NOT a documentary.
Perhaps you are in agreement with Tom Cruise that everybody takes drugs.
Your disjointed statements seem to be in keeping with Mental Misfits...

And the posts in green? Those are YOURS, twit boy. Each and every one. You really have no clue, do you, why you are so disliked?

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message news:c0ea47154a5b4ce583b0df411b7d16d8@dizum.com...
In article <09887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:
>
> rogers photo is a (More of Lardass' lies.)

Poor Lardass, do you flinch every time the new movie "The 40-Year Old VIRGIN"
is mentioned?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA....The star just recently said on national TV that YOU were
one of the sad cases they used for the lead character. Tell us why Laura dumped
you, Lardass. Tell us why you answer yourself on Nimbusters under two dozen different names. Roger's posts don't show up in green, stupid. The only reason
any posts show up in green is that the moron admin goes in there and changes posts that he thinks Roger makes. Thing is, he's just as stupid as you are and
frequently tags posts Roger didn't make at all, just like you do when you spam
the board as the Shit Can man.

Lardass Lloyd Austin Davies, loses and loses and loses.....

# 2273. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 08:01:33 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de1f6p$fgg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116474

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.18.02.29.40.702985@gmail.com...
Dee:

I like it when the girl plays hard to get, then acquiesces in the end,
been movies made about that yanno!!! <tongue-in-cheek>

John

//////////////////////////////////////////
On Yeah...kinda like John's past "accomplishments".
The Heroine in question jots down his phone number, says she will call
him...
then tosses John's TX number in the nearest dumpster.

The prettier they are, the greater the rejection, eh, John?

# 2274. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 09:01:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124355697.402861.14260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116475

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > An analogy:
> > Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO
> > on VHF or UHF FM.
> > Although they use different rigs, all have signals
> > with "good audio" -
> > clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
> >
> > Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has
> > really poor audio.
> > Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak,
> > off-frequency or
> > over-deviating,
> > just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful
> > listen' to 'completely
> > impossible to understand'.
> >
> > Fortunately it is discovered that the problem
> > lies in the microphone
> > being used by the newcomer. It's the original
> > that came with the rig,
> > which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with
> > the rig itself, it's the
> > mike which is the problem.
>
> Your analogy is broken, Jim.

Not at all, Hans.

You snipped off the rest of the analogy, in which
it is revealed that although there is a way to
fix or at least improve the situation, the
newcomer refuses to do anyhting about it.

That's the central issue: the *refusal* to use
an available tool to fix or at least improve
a problem.
>
> The newcomers microphone is analogous to Marks
> computer keyboard, which works just fine.
>
> As you well know (unless you just fell off
> the turnip truck) the reason for
> Marks difficult-(sometimes impossible)-to-read

> posts is a medical condition.

Allegedly.

Mark has claimed a lot of things in the past that simply did not add up. Like claims of a 248 IQ...

Perhaps his condition is real, perhaps it isn't. That's not the issue at all - the issue is what he does about it.

He says the use of a spellchecker would slow him down too much and that we're not worth the effort.

> Give your newcomer a medical problem
> (speech impediment?) and rewrite the story.

How about a person with a speech problem who refuses to go to speech therapy, even though the cost is low and improvement is clearly possible?

> Then we'll answer your question
> "How should the group respond?"

Who is "we"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2275. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 09:29:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124357355.948212.55930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116476

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > Dee Flint wrote:

> > > > I agree 100% with Dee's ideas expressed above. If something is worth
> > > > writing, it's worth writing clearly.
> > >
> > > When are you going to start writng clearly yourself?
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !
> > ! !
> >
> > You're such a card, Markie! Always the comedian!
>
> Not realy but you can tell yourself that lie

Yes, REALLY!

It's no lie. You write like a 3rd grader then have the temerity
to redress others on "writing clearly"....!

> Jim wanders on and on to the point no one is quite sure what his point
> is

I have absolutely NO problem following what Jim writes...Nor do I
have a problem following Hans, Dee, Kim, Lennie, Brain, John, Cecil,
nor almost anyone else here.

Toiddie can be a bit challenging. Every once in a while he
becomes lucid, then slides right back into his profane rants.

You, on the otherhand, not only intersperse a small share of
profanity, but your spelling sucks and more often than not your
"sentences" are fractured and open-ended.

> > > > This doesn't mean we're all Shakespeares. It does mean we can at least
> > > > do what we can to use correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and
> > > > capitalization.
> > >
> > > Ok
> >
> > He says "ok", but my money's on "But I won't do a darned thing
> > about it".
>
```

> which is of course the same thing

For once we agree, but not for the same reasons......

> > > > An analogy:
> > > >
> > > > Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
> > > > Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
> > > > clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
> > > >
> > > > Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
> > > > Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
> > > > over-deviating,
> > > > just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely
> > > > impossible to understand'.
> > > >
> > > > Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
> > > > being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
> > > > which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
> > > > mike which is the problem.
> > > >
> > > > But the newcomer refuses to replace the microphone. Says it's too much
> > > > trouble, costs too much money, and a new mike wouldn't be as easy to
> > > > use as the old one. Plus he doesn't think his audio is all that bad in
> > > > the first place.
> > > >
> > > > Newcomer finally says that if the group can't understand him, it's
> > > > *their* problem, not his, and he shouldn't be expected to spend money,
> > > > time and effort to get a new microphone for his rig.
> > > >
> > > > How should the group respond?
> > >
> > > One you you try analogy that is valid
> >
> > It's absolutely valid.
>
> nope it isn't

Sure it is.

I am sure that YOU would like to think otherwise, most likely
because you no dobut sound just as bad in person as you do on a
keyboard and Jim's tale hit's close-to-home.

> > > you could also be man enough to say what you mean
> >
> > Seem's pretty straight forward to me.
> >
> > > For example to addmto your analogy
> >
> > "addmto"...?!?!
> >

> > That's not even close to being a "word".
> >
> > > It is only those that disgree with the newcomers views that find him so
> > > impossible to understand
> >
> > I don't always agree with Jim and it made perfect sence to me.
> >
> > > It it also truns out not to be his mike but his voice that has problem
> >
> > "turns"
> >
> > > and of course tell get off the air till you can fix your voice
> > >
> > > and of course Ham operators are so accepting
> >
> > Sure they are...Unless you're blatantly lying or deceiving.
>
> Not lying or decieving...(SNIP)

Sure you are. You've already admitted it. Why stop now?

> (UNSNIP)...you are lying and decieving in claiming to know
> the medcial state of a person you have never met

Nope.

> You know this since you are an LPN

Nope.

I know it from YOUR words. Unless you've been (dare I say it?)
lying?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2276. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 09:32:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124357548.762015.144540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116477

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> > > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > A person posting with th[i]er name is anonymous?
> >
> > Is that anything like being a jerk for >>>not<<< giving someone grief?
> >
> > You can QRZed "Gilliland" and find Frank on there. But you'll have to
> > do the "leg" work yourself.
>
> And so Steve does his own "leg" work, is offered two "Frank Gilliland"
> choices, and chooses the wrong one! Hi! Gotta love the odds.

Only 50/50. Not bad percentage.

Of course my percentages soar when scoring my hits against your
stories and fantasies, Brain.

Ya can't win 'em all!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2277. Re: Greasy Haired Wiseman is "Just a Reggae Performer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 09:35:57 UTC
From: sendplease@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1124357757.247953.241330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm not a ham, nor do I use a cb.
Now, right now, is when I'm deciding not to get involved with radio at
all. If this is the "community" my friend keeps talking about, well,
I'm just going to stick to computers.

## 2278. Brain Sets the Odds, Loses Own Percentage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 09:39:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124357991.177226.41090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116479

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:

> > > I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
> > > keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
> > > don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
> > > at the point of being "braggard" about it.
> >
> > really ?
> >
> > more whoopers
>
> Alla time.

Such as?

True, I won't discuss my seervice in the Corps, however they pale
against such heroics as illegally operating from Somalia and such
outrageous stories as the ones you've cooked up vis-a-vis ARES, MARS
(mostly trying to diss me on it), emergtency comms planning, etc, etc
etc.
>
> > > > Hey, I do it myself.
> > >
> > > We did earn it.
> >
> > earn what?
>
> Longevity award?

Nope. The right to be addressed as "Marine".

> > > > But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
> > > > reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
> > > > being one of them. When you cram your military service down their
> > > > throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude.
> > >
> > > Again...I don't see myself having "crammed" anything down anyone's
> > > throats, Frank...No more than their lies and deceit have been shoved
> > > down mine.
> >
> > you make threats and more threats and lies and ....
>
> ...Crams his lies down our throats.

What lies, Brain?

You keep making these insinuations about all of these "lies" (the infamous '29') but you never detail them or substantiate them.

> > > Thankfully it's not been a contiguous seven years. Markie was
> > > gone for the longest time. That pretty much left us with Lennie and
> > > Brain. I see my exchanges with them as being somewhat cathartic.
> >
> > and yet even being gone you continued to falme me from time to time
>
> There have been others. Many others. Most let Steve run them off. I
> don't.

Nope...pretty much just the four of you...We can add Vippy in there, but he comes and goes of his own volition.

> > > So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the
> > > ticket lapse?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
> status.

Seems you're no better at getting it right than I was, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2279. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 10:01:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124359304.901963.230850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116480

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> on Wed, Aug 17 2005 4:01 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: John Smith on Wed 17 Aug 2005 09:06
> >
> >
> >> >The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
> >> >can be introduced.
> >>
> >> Er, John, the FCC is the >>ONLY<< avenue to travel.
> >>
> > Poor Lennie.
>
> I'm not "poor," little Stebie, rather reasonably well-off,
> NO liens at all, wife and I just bought a new car, we both
> have income other than Social Security. We own two houses,
> one in Los Angeles, the other in Kitsap County, WA...no
> mortgages on either.

Sure you are.

Oh, I am sure you have the material things you claim, but that's
ALL you have.

You're a proven liar, a miscreant who's word is worthless.

So congratulations on your wise financial investments. Because
your "word" wouldn't buy you a cup of coffee in a free soup kitchen.

> > Can't stand it that there ARE other avenues "where ideas for
> >change, restructuring and progress" may be "introduced".
>
> Incorrect. There are MANY avenues for change, restructuring
> and progress. For civilian radio there is ONLY ONE and that
> ONE is the Federal Communications Commission for U.S.
> citizens.

Your "incorrect" is untrue.

That there are discussions in THIS forum for those very issues
disproves your assertion.

SNIP

> Amateur radio regulations were NOT a topic of discussions
> at a neighborhood meeting of our City Councilwoman two

> weeks ago at the All-Saints Church parish hall.

Why shoud they be? Why COULDN'T they be?

But does that make "untrue" my claim that there ARE other avenues
for change of Amateur regulations?

That it eventually winds up in the FCC's lap is a certainty, but
is it your contention that the FCC's NPRM/R&O is the ONLY forum for
those changes?

> They won't be at Congressman Brad Sherman's Town Meeting next
> week in Northridge, CA. Do you think amateur radio regs
> SHOULD be discussed there? If so, state WHY.

Why not?

Is Congressman Sherman NOT elected for the purpose of representing
your issues and concerns to the government? Is there some list of
topics somewhere that says you can't discuss federal regulations with
your elected representitive in a public forum?

> > Means he can't remind us of how impotent he is.
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Not to worry, LITTLE Stebie, in a real discussion of real
> issues affecting the community, I can "get it up" (so to
> speak) on DISCUSSIONS with relevant facts and figures to
> support my views. I'm used to it, can do it effectively.

Some of the worlds most destructive powers got to be that way with
the spoken word, not a gun.

That you can "baffle 'em with BS" doesn't make it true...It just
means you baffle well.

> One thing I do NOT do is - like your sexual innuendo
> misdirection - try to divert the discussion into some
> mean-spirited self-frustrated ATTACK on other personalities.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
!

THAT'S ONE OF YOUR MOST OUTRAGEOUS, ABSOLUTLEY IDIOTIC AND
TRANSPARENT LIES >>>E V E R<<< LENNIE ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
! ! ! ! ! ! !

> While that serves to temporarily HIDE YOU on your lack of
> facts and logic on the SUBJECT under discussion, it is by

> no means any sort of positive attribute for yourself.

READ WHAT YOU JUST WROTE THEN TRY TO LIVE IT, LENNIE ! ! ! ! ! !

> It is
> rather a negative attribute that shows how shallow and
> ignorant you are with all that emotional instability of
> hatred manifesting itself at every opportunity.

Well, THERE YOU GO! Ya just diagnosed yourself!

> > Putz.
>
> Giving it back to you in your own style - 4 Q

Hardly!

Putz!

> LenIjustMadeAfoolOutOfmyselfInPublicAgainAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

# 2280. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 10:21:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <drydnZG8Tea9_JneRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116481

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ZfQMe.7762$WD.7353@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> If something is worth
>> writing, it's worth writing clearly.
>
> Aoccdrnig to rscheearch at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it deosn't mttaer in waht
> oredr the ltteers in a wrod are, the olny iprmoetnt tihng is taht the
> frist and lsat ltteer be at the rghit pclae. The rset can be a toatl mses
> and you can sitll raed it wouthit a porbelm. Tihs is bcuseae the huamn
> mnid deos not raed ervey lteter by istlef, but the wrod as a wlohe.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Doesn't apply. The case at hand doesn't meet the stated criteria of first and last letters in the right place and doesn't meet the criteria of containing the right letters.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2281. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 10:26:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pO2dnal-i_HX_5neRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 116482

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124336309.060295.307560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.17.16.06.51.591157@gmail.com...
>> > AOF:
>> >
>> > The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and
>> > progress
>> > can be introduced.
>> >
>> > In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this
>> > process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in
>> > the
>> > way they would them implemented... a vast influx of new people may be
>> > able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were
>> > able
>> > to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell...
>> > after
>> > decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>> >
>>
>> It will take an organized group to do this though. People have two
>> choices.
>> One is to join the ARRL and change it to pursue the policies near and
>> dear
>> to their own hearts. The second choice is to form a new group that is
>> large
>> enough and organized enough to lobby for what is near and dear to their
>> own
>> hearts. Just saying the ARRL should change won't do it.
>
> Well We NoCoders did exactly that and NCI was never all that large
>

It was large enough and organized enough for its issue. And being a single
issue organization, the membership was in agreement about its issue.

Dee D. Flint,
```

# 2282. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 10:28:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <94udneoE05pl_5neRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116483

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:6uTMe.7818$WD.1055@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>> An analogy:
>>
>> Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO on VHF or UHF FM.
>> Although they use different rigs, all have signals with "good audio" -
>> clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
>>
>> Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has really poor audio.
>> Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak, off-frequency or
>> over-deviating,
>> just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful listen' to 'completely
>> impossible to understand'.
>>
>> Fortunately it is discovered that the problem lies in the microphone
>> being used by the newcomer. It's the original that came with the rig,
>> which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with the rig itself, it's the
>> mike which is the problem.
>
> Your analogy is broken, Jim.
>
> The newcomers microphone is analogous to Marks computer keyboard, which
> works just fine.
>
> As you well know (unless you just fell off the turnip truck) the reason
> for Marks difficult-(sometimes impossible)-to-read posts is a medical
> condition. Give your newcomer a medical problem (speech impediment?) and
> rewrite the story. Then we'll answer your question "How should the group
> respond?"
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

We have exactly that case locally. And I've already described how the group responds and how the individual deals with the problem. It's a two way street. The individual is responsible for taking the steps necessary to minimize the problem and the group accepts that.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2283. Sing a song of Woger, NIM Buster's favorite Joo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 12:28:29 UTC
From: Willy Wonka <wonka.w@no.spam>
Message-ID: <802187017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 116484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 04:10:05 +0200 (CEST), Nomen Nescio <Secwet Hairy Woger> wrote:

> any posts show up in green is that the moron admin goes in there and changes
> posts that he thinks Roger makes. Thing is, he's just as stupid as you are and
> frequently tags posts Roger didn't make at all, just like you do when you spam
> the board as the Shit Can man.

THE SHITCAN MAN
With apologies to "Willy Wonka and the Chocolate Factory"
- as recorded by Sammy Davis Jr. with the Mike Curb Congregation

Who can take a Wiseman, sprinkle him with Joo
Cover him with shame and a Yiddish name or two
The ShitCan Man, oh the ShitCan Man can do
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he mixes it with truth and makes the world take note

Who can take a gay lame, wrap it in a sigh
Soak it in the sun and make a groovy Wiseman pie
The ShitCan Man, the ShitCan Man can
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he mixes it with truth and makes the world take note

The ShitCan Man makes every post he moves go away to shit can limbo
Now you talk about your childhood wishes, Woger's living with the bitches

Oh, who can take NIM Busters, dip it in a dream
Separate the trash and collect up all the cream
The ShitCan Man, oh the ShitCan Man can
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he tends to it with care and makes the board look good

The ShitCan Man makes every post he moves go away to shit can limbo
Talk about your childhood wishes, Woger's living with the bitches

Yeah, yeah, yeah
Who can take NIM Busters, dip it in a dream
Separate the trash and collect up all the cream
The ShitCan Man, the ShitCan Man can
The ShitCan Man can 'cause he tends to it with care and makes the board look good
Yes, the ShitCan Man can 'cause he tends to it with care and makes the board look good
a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man
ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man
ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man, a-ShitCan Man

# 2284. Digital the sad little bald man & 31 year old virgin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 12:52:42 UTC
From: Anonymous-Remailer@See.Comment.Header
Message-ID: <G4BWAWA838582.3699305556@anonymous>
Source record: 116485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <de1dh2$8k1$0@pita.alt.net>
"Digital" <Wogie@anon.uz> wrote:
>
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:870903917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 23:15:42 +0200, Fritz Wuehler <Secwet Woger
> Rodent> wrote:
>
> > And Lloydie sure hates that fact being out in the open, given the
> Anti-Jewish
> > statements he makes.
>
> Woger is just having problems with his own Jewish heritage, as <snip>
>
> Roger, poor Roger.

Lardass, poor Lardass aka "Digital". He cringes every time the "40 Year Old Virgin" movie is mentioned, especially when he knows they used him as an example of one of these kinds of people. The kind that play with Daleks and never get lucky.

> He doesn't recall the day, but his Bar Fly mom said that when she brought

Why would he, his mom weasn't a bar fly, nor did his daddy really want a puppy. Sounds like you are jealous because Roger was wanted unlike you. However, "Digital"'s Mommy wasn't sure which "John" (and that isn't his first name) fertilized her egg for that $2........

> <sound of a door slamming>

"Digital" has familiarity with hearing doors slamming, especially right after he was kicked out of his rented duplex (he stayed in the basement) and when he tried to beg to come back home.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 2285. a small group of psychopaths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 13:02:54 UTC
From: Leigh Orf <orf@bork.org>
Message-ID: <de20tu$77d$1@chessie.cirr.com>
Source record: 116486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.policy sendplease@gmail.com wrote:
> I'm not a ham, nor do I use a cb.
> Now, right now, is when I'm deciding not to get involved with radio at
> all. If this is the "community" my friend keeps talking about, well,
> I'm just going to stick to computers.

Most of what currently fills this newsgroup up is the work of a small group of psychopaths who for some strange reason enjoy insulting one another in a public forum (I suppose that way they have an audience as opposed to taking it to email). They do not accurately represent the 'average ham' in any way, shape, or form. While you will find a few nuts on the bands (e.g., jammers who do not identify themselves) the vast majority are friendly folks who love radio, comply with Part 97, and love a good ragchew.

I highly recommend you ignore the childish ad-hominem attacks in these groups. Most newsreaders have filtering capabilities. Use them.

Leigh Orf KG4ULP

--
orf@sdf.lonestar.org
SDF Public Access UNIX System - http://sdf.lonestar.org

# 2286. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 13:22:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PY%Me.7938$WD.3925@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116487

"Digital" <Wogie@anon.uz> wrote

>
> The prettier they are, the greater the rejection, eh, John?
>

No matter how pretty they are, some other guy is sick of their crap.

# 2287. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 13:37:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3a0Ne.7946$WD.2883@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116488

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> That's the central issue: the *refusal* to use
> an available tool to fix or at least improve
> a problem.

Aha! The "central issue"! He refuses to do what *you* think he ought to do.
Sunnuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

## 2288. FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 13:42:00 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Ie0Ne.37133$084.32934@attbi_s22>
Source record: 116489

I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I see that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised it was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was issued instead of Amateur Extra.

Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if it gets "fixed" in the database?

# 2289. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:10:21 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <de24sd03hq@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116491

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 13:42:00 GMT DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote:

| I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
| paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I see
| that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised it
| was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
| issued instead of Amateur Extra.
|
| Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if it
| gets "fixed" in the database?

It's not likely to get fixed by itself. It could be an error at either the
FCC or the VEC. Call both.

--
---
| Phil Howard KA9WGN | http://linuxhomepage.com/ http://ham.org/ |
| (first name) at ipal.net | http://phil.ipal.org/ http://ka9wgn.ham.org/ |
---

# 2290. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:49:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.14.49.27.479890@gmail.com>
Source record: 116752

Digital:

In the real world, it is a higher power which runs the show, I am but one actor on this great stage. I just follow anothers' script, fortunately, I am given control over myself.

I can choose to view this as a great challenge and do my best to meet ever changing courses in the flow of the great stream of life, or give up--I march ahead.

The world is for the living, but I allow myself to grieve for those no longer with us... and it ain't over till I say it's over.

Nice try...

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 03:01:33 -0500, Digital wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.18.02.29.40.702985@gmail.com...
> Dee:
> 
> I like it when the girl plays hard to get, then acquiesces in the end,
> been movies made about that yanno!!! <tongue-in-cheek>
> 
> John
> 
> ////////////////////////////////////////////
> On Yeah...kinda like John's past "accomplishments".
> The Heroine in question jots down his phone number, says she will call
> him...
> then tosses John's TX number in the nearest dumpster.
> 
> The prettier they are, the greater the rejection, eh, John?

# 2291. Re: Saggytits Wuns Away Scweaming "Noooooooooooooooo!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:50:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <c52bf406e0dba98112c996c60638c21a@dizum.com>
Source record: 116493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame

In article <de1efp$cl6$0@pita.alt.net>
"Digital" <Widdle_Lardass@anon.uz> whined and whined and whined and whined:
>
> Gee, Woger.

Gee, Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllloyd, aka Widdle Lardass, you should have your lisp from cocksucking looked at by a plastic surgeon. Among other things, like your pig like face and 290 pound body on a 5 foot six inch frame.

> Stop and think, if you can. The movie you refer to is a work of
> fiction. Fiction.

Based on interviews of the lead actor of the movie, (trhe star) in which he said the writers based the virgin on real ones, like you Lardass! You know guys like you who are 31 or so and have never gotten laid. Looks like you don't know what the fuck you are babbling about, again.

And we all know the only reason you are a 31 year old virgin is because you are a fucking queer.

> And the posts in green? (Lardass' whines flushed)

Nope, wrong again, Davies. But you make your life out of being wrong, don't you?

# 2292. day 6 Stevie action is still MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:50:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124376617.009451.165230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116492

KY4Z that mighty Murine has promised action that would do than anoy me.
He promised that days

what has he deliveried.

Nada.

of course This is hardly Stevie's fault, nothing is Stevie fault
accoding to him, but in this case he realy does have an excuse, the
powers that be don't take Usenet very seriously, as I could not get
much action out of Stevie death threats, Stevie has failed to achhieve
his results.

I did think he manage to spin together enough Bullshit to cause a some
socail worker drop by, it isn't too hard to get such action, but it
seems that stevie mentioned the word "gay", or made a reffernece to my
religion, or something that got him written off as a crank

# 2293. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:51:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.14.51.17.807896@gmail.com>
Source record: 116753

K0HB:

True, how very true... however, you neglected to mention those times when
some other girl is "sick of our crap!" <grin>

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 13:22:55 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "Digital" <Wogie@anon.uz> wrote
>
>>
>> The prettier they are, the greater the rejection, eh, John?
>>
>
> No matter how pretty they are, some other guy is sick of their crap.

# 2294. Re: Greasy Haired Wiseman is "Just a Reggae Performer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:54:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.14.54.15.139352@gmail.com>
Source record: 116755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Sendplease:

I would be interested of where you hang out on your computer.

In IRC, IM, chat/discussion groups on the computer, etc. I see little difference, sure you are still in the land of the living, what you speak of is more likely to exist in heaven...

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 02:35:57 -0700, sendplease wrote:

> I'm not a ham, nor do I use a cb.
> Now, right now, is when I'm deciding not to get involved with radio at
> all. If this is the "community" my friend keeps talking about, well,
> I'm just going to stick to computers.

# 2295. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:56:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124376986.094838.67470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116494

Jim your posts could be made A Lot easier to read, by simply geting to
your point in staed of going and and on about event starting in mnay
case before i was born, and that you have related a number of times

Why don't you post more clearly?

simply becuase it does not suit YOUR style and wishes
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > > An analogy:
> > > Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO
> > > on VHF or UHF FM.
> > > Although they use different rigs, all have signals
> > > with "good audio" -
> > > clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
> > >
> > > Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has
> > > really poor audio.
> > > Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak,
> > > off-frequency or
> > > over-deviating,
> > > just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful
> > > listen' to 'completely
> > > impossible to understand'.
> > >
> > > Fortunately it is discovered that the problem
> > > lies in the microphone
> > > being used by the newcomer. It's the original
> > > that came with the rig,
> > > which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with
> > > the rig itself, it's the
> > > mike which is the problem.
> >
> > Your analogy is broken, Jim.
>
> Not at all, Hans.
>
> You snipped off the rest of the analogy, in which
> it is revealed that although there is a way to
> fix or at least improve the situation, the
> newcomer refuses to do anyhting about it.
>
> That's the central issue: the *refusal* to use
> an available tool to fix or at least improve

> a problem.
> >
> > The newcomers microphone is analogous to Marks
> > computer keyboard, which works just fine.
> >
> > As you well know (unless you just fell off
> > the turnip truck) the reason for
> > Marks difficult-(sometimes impossible)-to-read
> > posts is a medical condition.
>
> Allegedly.
>
> Mark has claimed a lot of things in the past that
> simply did not add up. Like claims of a 248 IQ...

proving you don't know much about medical matters
>
> Perhaps his condition is real, perhaps it isn't.
> That's not the issue at all - the issue is what
> he does about it.
>
> He says the use of a spellchecker would slow him
> down too much and that we're not worth the effort.

it would at least doueblt the amount of Time and the medium is not
worth the effort. If you want to take saying that Medium is not worth
the effort personaly you can of course

I am not convinced the medium is worth the time I am spending Now let
alone more

>
>
> > Give your newcomer a medical problem
> > (speech impediment?) and rewrite the story.
>
> How about a person with a speech problem who
> refuses to go to speech therapy, even though
> the cost is low and improvement is clearly
> possible?

and Who are you know what is possible. Stevie at least has some
exposure to medical matter although he clearly is off target
>
> > Then we'll answer your question
> > "How should the group respond?"
>
> Who is "we"?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2296. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:56:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124377002.487165.254970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116495

Jim your posts could be made A Lot easier to read, by simply geting to
your point in staed of going and and on about event starting in mnay
case before i was born, and that you have related a number of times

Why don't you post more clearly?

simply becuase it does not suit YOUR style and wishes
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > > An analogy:
> > > Suppose there are a group of hams who regularly QSO
> > > on VHF or UHF FM.
> > > Although they use different rigs, all have signals
> > > with "good audio" -
> > > clean, crisp, clear, easy to listen to and understand.
> > >
> > > Then a newcomer shows up, with a signal that has
> > > really poor audio.
> > > Muffled, distorted, very unclear. Not weak,
> > > off-frequency or
> > > over-deviating,
> > > just not clear. Varies from 'requires a careful
> > > listen' to 'completely
> > > impossible to understand'.
> > >
> > > Fortunately it is discovered that the problem
> > > lies in the microphone
> > > being used by the newcomer. It's the original
> > > that came with the rig,
> > > which is no longer made. Nothing wrong with
> > > the rig itself, it's the
> > > mike which is the problem.
> >
> > Your analogy is broken, Jim.
>
> Not at all, Hans.
>
> You snipped off the rest of the analogy, in which
> it is revealed that although there is a way to
> fix or at least improve the situation, the
> newcomer refuses to do anyhting about it.
>
> That's the central issue: the *refusal* to use
> an available tool to fix or at least improve

> a problem.
> >
> > The newcomers microphone is analogous to Marks
> > computer keyboard, which works just fine.
> >
> > As you well know (unless you just fell off
> > the turnip truck) the reason for
> > Marks difficult-(sometimes impossible)-to-read
> > posts is a medical condition.
>
> Allegedly.
>
> Mark has claimed a lot of things in the past that
> simply did not add up. Like claims of a 248 IQ...

proving you don't know much about medical matters
>
> Perhaps his condition is real, perhaps it isn't.
> That's not the issue at all - the issue is what
> he does about it.
>
> He says the use of a spellchecker would slow him
> down too much and that we're not worth the effort.

it would at least doueblt the amount of Time and the medium is not
worth the effort. If you want to take saying that Medium is not worth
the effort personaly you can of course

I am not convinced the medium is worth the time I am spending Now let
alone more

>
>
> > Give your newcomer a medical problem
> > (speech impediment?) and rewrite the story.
>
> How about a person with a speech problem who
> refuses to go to speech therapy, even though
> the cost is low and improvement is clearly
> possible?

and Who are you know what is possible. Stevie at least has some
exposure to medical matter although he clearly is off target
>
> > Then we'll answer your question
> > "How should the group respond?"
>
> Who is "we"?

who is we indeed?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2297. Re: free news server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 14:58:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124377111.157360.268560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116496

thanks they are hard to find these days

and a guy needs more than one these days since some folks will go
stalking though the usenet looking for a guy

# 2298. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 15:06:01 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrndg98ll.jfm.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 116497

In article <1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> The "notching" solution is simple: Their BPL system does not use
> frequencies that are also ham bands. Whether it works or not is an open
> question.

But how long will that last? Here in Israel we call it the "boiled lobster"
effect. A live lobster placed in a pot of cold water is happy. As the
water gets hotter it falls asleep. It never realizes it's being cooked.

This what will happen with BPL. By the time you realize that it's taken
over the ham bands, it will be too late. That's why I'm boycotting
Google, they with two other companies invested $100,000,000 in BPL.

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (077)-424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Support the growing boycott of Google by radio users and hobbyists.
It's starting to work, Yahoo has surpassed Google.

# 2299. ahem it seems to be 7day Re: day 6 Stevie action is still MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 15:13:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124377997.560844.69370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116498

oops

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z that mighty Murine has promised action that would do than anoy me.
> He promised that days
>
> what has he deliveried.
>
> Nada.
>
> of course This is hardly Stevie's fault, nothing is Stevie fault
> accoding to him, but in this case he realy does have an excuse, the
> powers that be don't take Usenet very seriously, as I could not get
> much action out of Stevie death threats, Stevie has failed to achhieve
> his results.
>
> I did think he manage to spin together enough Bullshit to cause a some
> socail worker drop by, it isn't too hard to get such action, but it
> seems that stevie mentioned the word "gay", or made a reffernece to my
> religion, or something that got him written off as a crank

# 2300. Re: day 6 Stevie action is still MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 15:14:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.15.14.04.741793@gmail.com>
Source record: 116754

AOF:

We all take usenet seriously, great forum, it is some of the posters here
taking themselves far too seriously which is the real problem...

Funny thing, some once given a computer and keyboard begin to think the
word of God flows though their fingers, into the keyboard, onto the
screen and usenet.

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 07:50:17 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

> KY4Z that mighty Murine has promised action that would do than anoy me.
> He promised that days
>
> what has he deliveried.
>
> Nada.
>
> of course This is hardly Stevie's fault, nothing is Stevie fault
> accoding to him, but in this case he realy does have an excuse, the
> powers that be don't take Usenet very seriously, as I could not get
> much action out of Stevie death threats, Stevie has failed to achhieve
> his results.
>
> I did think he manage to spin together enough Bullshit to cause a some
> socail worker drop by, it isn't too hard to get such action, but it
> seems that stevie mentioned the word "gay", or made a reffernece to my
> religion, or something that got him written off as a crank

# 2301. Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 15:25:47 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1124378747.772233.235910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116499

"This Just In . . "

Longtime RRAP lurker and NCI honcho grande Carl Anderson WK3C is seriously beavering away on his campaign to get himself elected Director and member of the Board of Directors of the ARRL representing the Mid-Atlantic Division.

"Read all about it": http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/

w3rv

# 2302. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 15:33:03 UTC
From: rwitmer@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <1124379183.456472.283250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116750

A good source of information about BPL is Anthony Good's FAQ website
at:

http://www.qrpis.org/~k3ng/bpl.html

# 2303. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 15:33:55 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <DT1Ne.7544$F_7.527@fe06.lga>
Source record: 116751
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.arabic,soc.culture.iraq,soc.culture.usa,talk.politics.mideast

"Osric" <osric@nospambtinternet.com> wrote in message

> What on earth made "Concerned Citizen" think this thread would be relevant
> to RRS is beyond me but there you go. Hopefully when this thread dies your
> radio discussion group can go back to peacefully discussing bouncing radio
> waves off clouds all the way to Rio de Janeiro free from the unruly masses
> discussing butchering each other!
> --
> Osric

It's half politics here anyway and far from peaceful. I just never noticed
you here before and got curious.

B.H.

## 2304. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 16:01:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124377798.280433.137220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116756

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124336309.060295.307560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
cuting
> > Well We NoCoders did exactly that and NCI was never all that large
> >
>
> It was large enough and organized enough for its issue. And being a single
> issue organization, the membership was in agreement about its issue.

membership in the sates was a few thousand(Bill, or Carl any numbers)
was more or less organized here on RRAP, much smaller than the ARRL

Indeed NCI makes a good case that any wel organized group with a clear
and convincing idea can effect change, and with far smaller numbers
than ARRL
>
> Dee D. Flint,

# 2305. Re: Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 17:00:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124384453.603290.140460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116757

There is NO "Carl Anderson" running for the ARRL BoD. :-)

There is NO "Carl Andeson" as "NCI honcho grande," senior.

Try CARL STEVENSON, and try to be regular with your
Alzheimer's medications, okay?

med ned
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2306. Re: Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 18:32:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124389965.723557.89990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116758

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> "This Just In . . "
>
> Longtime RRAP lurker and NCI honcho grande Carl Anderson WK3C is
> seriously beavering away on his campaign to get himself elected
> Director and member of the Board of Directors of the ARRL representing
> the Mid-Atlantic Division.
>
> "Read all about it": http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/

noting Len has already coreected the Name

well way to go Carl and Good luck

Do let us know if you are sucessfull
>
> w3rv

# 2307. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 18:52:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124391119.936926.245060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116759

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of midnight, EDT, 17 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1677

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 75

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 448 27.97%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 926 57.80%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 228 14.23%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 17 Aug 05 that would be 1602.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

not yes
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2308. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 18:54:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124391275.576785.57720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116760

From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 18 Aug 2005 03:01

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> on Wed, Aug 17 2005 4:01 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: John Smith on Wed 17 Aug 2005 09:06
>
>> >> >The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and progress
>> >> >can be introduced.
>> >
>> >> Er, John, the FCC is the >>ONLY<< avenue to travel.
>> >
>> > Poor Lennie.
>>
>> I'm not "poor," little Stebie, rather reasonably well-off,
>> NO liens at all, wife and I just bought a new car, we both
>> have income other than Social Security. We own two houses,
>> one in Los Angeles, the other in Kitsap County, WA...no
>> mortgages on either.
>
> Sure you are.

Agreement is always agreeable... :-)

> Oh, I am sure you have the material things you claim, but that's
>ALL you have.

Considerably MORE, but then, as usual, you are turning this
thread into your own Personal Attack forum, away from the
subject.

> You're a proven liar, a miscreant who's word is worthless.

It's quite obvious to all that your sentence quoted above, taken
in context, shows your personal hatred of any opponent.

> So congratulations on your wise financial investments. Because
>your "word" wouldn't buy you a cup of coffee in a free soup kitchen.

More of your personal hatred coming to a boil.

Get some ashes and sackcloth to complete the picture, Job.

>> > Can't stand it that there ARE other avenues "where ideas for
>> >change, restructuring and progress" may be "introduced".
>>
>> Incorrect. There are MANY avenues for change, restructuring

>> and progress. For civilian radio there is ONLY ONE and that
>> ONE is the Federal Communications Commission for U.S.
>> citizens.
>
> Your "incorrect" is untrue.

The Communications Act of 1934 as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 is LAW and establishes the Federal Communications Commission as the ONLY civil radio regulating agency in the United States of America. What I wrote is TRUE.

> That there are discussions in THIS forum for those very issues
>disproves your assertion.

NOTHING in this forum carries any weight of LAW. Try to keep a sense of reality however unstable that seems to YOU.

The LAW of the United States of America is established. It is ALSO law that the same Constitution that established the laws of this nation carries with it the mechanism for changing those laws at the will of the people of the United States of America.

> But does that make "untrue" my claim that there ARE other avenues
>for change of Amateur regulations?

The ONLY OTHER "avenues for change" are revolt, insurrection, and an overthrow of the government of the United States of America. Are you advocating such revolt, insurrection, and overthrow of the government of the United States of America?

> That it eventually winds up in the FCC's lap is a certainty, but
>is it your contention that the FCC's NPRM/R&O is the ONLY forum for
>those changes?

In regards to a change of regulations as ordered by the Federal Communications Commission under a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking of that very same Federal Communications Commission, YES.

If you would take the time to read Parts 0 and 1 of Title 47, Code of Federal Regulations, you would understand the organization and the methods of change of regulations that makes it possible and lawful to effect a change in those same regulations.

>> They won't be at Congressman Brad Sherman's Town Meeting next
>> week in Northridge, CA. Do you think amateur radio regs
>> SHOULD be discussed there? If so, state WHY.
>
> Why not?

You did not state any "why."

> Is Congressman Sherman NOT elected for the purpose of representing
>your issues and concerns to the government? Is there some list of
>topics somewhere that says you can't discuss federal regulations with
>your elected representitive in a public forum?

Sigh...one has to repeat very basic Civics to those who only
wish to argue...

Hello? The Communications Act of 1934 was passed by the Congress
of the United States of America. That Congress is composed of
two "houses," the Senate and the House of Representatives. A
Congressman is a member of the House of Representatives. That
Communications Act of 1934 (and as amended by the
Telecommunications Act of 1996) made the Federal Communications
Commission an independent federal agency with the capability
to establish rules and regulations pertaining to all civil
radio and certain other communications means...and to have them
enforced by the federal government. The Congress of the United
States does NOT NORMALLY micro-manage the duties it granted to
the Federal Communications Commission by the Laws it passed to
create that Commission and that Commission's sphere of authority.

Try, TRY to understand that NPRM 05-143 and WT Docket 05-235
is NOT a concern for the general public nor is it a topic for
banner headlines in major newspapers. Yes, it could be, but
then amateur radio is NOT a major public topic for citizens
such as the "deregulation" of the telephone infrastructure
that began about four decades ago.

TRY to understand that a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking put
forth by the Federal Communications Commission is FOR such
rulemaking as established by the same Federal Communications
Commission. The mechanism of such changes has been established
by law of the United States of America through the Congress of
the United States. Congress has, through a law, granted the
Federal Communications Commission certain powers of regulation
of civil radio services in the United States. Congress did
NOT grant any such powers to the ARRL or any other private
organization nor did the Telecommunications Act of 1996 grant
any powers to Internet discussion groups to change any Law.

The Federal Communications Commission has created, by
regulation, the mechanism by which the citizens of the
United States of America may discuss, seek changes to,
amend, or propose regulations established by the Federal
Communications Commission. That same Commission also
has established the report of any Report and Order which
formally establishes any change in its own regulations.

>> > Means he can't remind us of how impotent he is.
>>
>> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>

>> Not to worry, LITTLE Stebie, in a real discussion of real
>> issues affecting the community, I can "get it up" (so to
>> speak) on DISCUSSIONS with relevant facts and figures to
>> support my views. I'm used to it, can do it effectively.
>
> Some of the worlds most destructive powers got to be that way with
>the spoken word, not a gun.

Tsk, little Stebie trying to sound like Tom Paine and winding
up a Paine in the ass...

> That you can "baffle 'em with BS" doesn't make it true...It just
>means you baffle well.

I understand the LAW of the United States of America enough
to work within it, using those means lawfully available to
me to support or seek changes to laws of the United States
of America. That is NOT any sort of "bafflement" and
certainly no "lie." The basics of that are guaranteed by
the Constitution of the United States of America and
maintained by the Congress of the United States, overseen
by the Supreme Court of the United States.

>> One thing I do NOT do is - like your sexual innuendo
>> misdirection - try to divert the discussion into some
>> mean-spirited self-frustrated ATTACK on other personalities.

> THAT'S ONE OF YOUR MOST OUTRAGEOUS, ABSOLUTLEY IDIOTIC AND
>TRANSPARENT LIES >>>E V E R<<< LENNIE ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>! ! ! ! ! ! !

This thread began on "issues" of concern over the policies
in regard to amateur radio. As usual, little Stebie has
turned it into his own "battleground" wherein he is only
concerned with his hatred and frustrations against his
"opponents" on anything.

>> While that serves to temporarily HIDE YOU on your lack of
>> facts and logic on the SUBJECT under discussion, it is by
>> no means any sort of positive attribute for yourself.
>
> READ WHAT YOU JUST WROTE THEN TRY TO LIVE IT, LENNIE ! ! ! ! ! !

You have been reminded of the structure of laws of the
United States of America. That may be reviewed by yourself
from documents established by the government of the United
States of America.

The common problem with the egocentric opinions of some,
such as evident of yourself, is that they cannot abide in
ANY opinion contrary to their own personal fanciful
interpretation. They, like yourself, become frustrated,
angry, and seek to denigrate, humiliate, and insult the

persons who do not agree with them. That is NOT debate, NOT discussion, NOT even any civil argument. It is simply the puerile schoolyard-bully tactics of the sociopath, the maladjusted, those frustrated by everyday life who take out their aggressions on others for purely personal reasons.

It is clearly evident to any reader of this newsgroup that YOU exist solely to heap abuse on all those you hate, all those who do not agree with you. The SUBJECT is just something you use as a springboard to begin more personal attacks on others. You cannot discuss anything civilly without launching into yet-another-attack on the persons who do not agree with you.

you hat
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2309. Mork Morgan Continues to Intentionally Deceive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:04:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124391867.885665.78030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116761

an_old_friend wrote:

> KY4Z...(SNIP)

More evidence that Mork Morgan cintinues to lie and deceive. He's now stated that he knowingly inteds to misrepresent comments to a person who does not even participate in this forum.

What more be said?

Steve, K4YZ

## 2310. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:12:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124392373.540835.94160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116762

phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
> On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 13:42:00 GMT DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
> | I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> | paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I see
> | that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised it
> | was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> | issued instead of Amateur Extra.
> |
> | Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if it
> | gets "fixed" in the database?
>
> It's not likely to get fixed by itself. It could be an error at either the
> FCC or the VEC. Call both.

I'd call the VEC first since they are the ones who filed the
paperwork.

In the mean time, I assume they issued you a CSCE (Certificate of
Successful Completion of Examination) for all applicable elements. You
didn't mention the 5WPM code test but I assume when you said "all
exams" you meant that too.

If you didn't do the Morse part, Technician is all you will get
for now unless you pass Element 1 within 365 days of the CSCE date, or
unless the FCC rules on 05-235 to allow you to operate without it since
you passed the writtens.

As long as you have the CSCE showing successful completetion of
ALL elements for Extra, and the FCC has issued you a callsign, you can
legally operate with your Extra privileges using the Extra
"identifier", for example "KZ4ZZZ / AE" in Morse or teleprinter, and
"KZ4ZZZ Stroke Alpha Echo" on voice.

Congratulations!

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 2311. Re: Greasy Haired Wiseman is "Just a Reggae Performer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:24:20 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050818192420.64095170D8@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 116763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1124357757.247953.241330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
sendple...@gmail.com wrote:
>
> I'm not a ham, nor do I use a cb.
> Now, right now, is when I'm deciding not to get involved with radio at
> all. If this is the "community" my friend keeps talking about, well,
> I'm just going to stick to computers.

You just do that.

# 2312. Re: Greasy Haired Wiseman is "Just a Reggae Performer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:30:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.19.30.47.213297@gmail.com>
Source record: 116764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Anon:

Excellent recommendation to give the man, we will miss him, but we will manage to get over it... <tongue-in-cheek>

Loosing the ones which have the mindset "the world revolves around me and my likes/dislikes" is always really tough! <straight-faced-looked>

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 21:24:20
+0200, Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:

> In article <1124357757.247953.241330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> sendple...@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> I'm not a ham, nor do I use a cb.
>> Now, right now, is when I'm deciding not to get involved with radio at
>> all. If this is the "community" my friend keeps talking about, well,
>> I'm just going to stick to computers.
>
> You just do that.

## 2313. Stevie action still MIA day 6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:33:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124393603.869361.32710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116765

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > KY4Z...(SNIP)
>
> More evidence that Mork Morgan cintinues to lie and deceive. He's
> now stated that he knowingly inteds to misrepresent comments to a
> person who does not even participate in this forum.

Stevie I said I would addreess you correctly whan and if you agreed to
do that same

You don't like you know what to do

Own up to your mistakes and apologize for that

as I said before If KY4Z shows and askes I'll aplogize to him not to
you

meanwhile back the the Subject Stveie KY4Z

KY4Z that mighty Murine has promised action that would do than anoy me.

He promised that days

what has he deliveried.

Nada.

of course This is hardly Stevie's fault, nothing is Stevie fault
accoding to him, but in this case he realy does have an excuse, the
powers that be don't take Usenet very seriously, as I could not get
much action out of Stevie death threats, Stevie has failed to achhieve
his results.

I did think he manage to spin together enough Bullshit to cause a some
socail worker drop by, it isn't too hard to get such action, but it
seems that stevie mentioned the word "gay", or made a reffernece to my
religion, or something that got him written off as a crank

# 2314. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:36:17 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Rq5Ne.259840$x96.62306@attbi_s72>
Source record: 116766

<phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
news:de24sd03hq@news3.newsguy.com...
> On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 13:42:00 GMT DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
> | I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> | paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I
see
> | that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
it
> | was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> | issued instead of Amateur Extra.
> |
> | Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if
it
> | gets "fixed" in the database?
>
> It's not likely to get fixed by itself. It could be an error at either
the
> FCC or the VEC. Call both.
>

Thanks... I called the FCC and the paperwork was filed electronically. The
personal I spoke with at the FCC did mention a "modification" that she
though had to be filed after the original Tech application was submitted.
I'm not sure if this is the case, but I'm in process of contacting the VEC.

# 2315. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:40:46 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2v5Ne.262556$_o.243963@attbi_s71>
Source record: 116767

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1124392373.540835.94160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> I'd call the VEC first since they are the ones who filed the
> paperwork.
>
> In the mean time, I assume they issued you a CSCE (Certificate of
> Successful Completion of Examination) for all applicable elements. You
> didn't mention the 5WPM code test but I assume when you said "all
> exams" you meant that too.
>
> If you didn't do the Morse part, Technician is all you will get
> for now unless you pass Element 1 within 365 days of the CSCE date, or
> unless the FCC rules on 05-235 to allow you to operate without it since
> you passed the writtens.
>
> As long as you have the CSCE showing successful completetion of
> ALL elements for Extra, and the FCC has issued you a callsign, you can
> legally operate with your Extra privileges using the Extra
> "identifier", for example "KZ4ZZZ / AE" in Morse or teleprinter, and
> "KZ4ZZZ Stroke Alpha Echo" on voice.

Yes, I passed elements 1, 2, 3 and 4 same day. Was hoping for a shorter
callsign since I'd like to do some QRP/CW work as my Morse skills progress
beyond 5wpm. Perhaps a new call will be issued with the license "upgrade",
or maybe I'll have to go the vanity call route. Remains to be seen. I knew I
could use the AE privileges with a Tech license (it was a question on the
exam) with a CSCE and proper ID... now I just need a transmitter.... heh.

> Congratulations!

Many thanks :)

# 2316. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 19:59:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124395182.159925.286970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116768

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > cut
> > > > dis dat
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > > Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
> > > disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
> > > problems with that hypothesis:
> > >
sorry about mssing this one earlier

> > > a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
> > > century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
> >
> > and right Now I can't see how NCTA can be disgruntled the only
> > bothering me now is chopping at the bit wiating for it to be over
> >
> > Even my non Ham freinds have noticed the improvement in my often
> > somewhat dower expression
> > and dared asked me to explain
> >
> > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
> > stuff like that
>
> Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
> mouth might be mistaken as gloating.

way too late but I realy don't care, even at the club meeting with a
fair number of code supporter there were gracious enough to ask me what
I thought of NPRM, after first sugest ing everyone brace themsleves for
my response which a loud "YES, Bout damn time!!"
>
> > > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
> >
> > OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks
>
> They'll form support groups to try to cope.

I hope so. think we should start a fund to help out?

## 2317. Re: Greasy Haired Wiseman is "Just a Reggae Performer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 20:07:45 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <770fc52e0df960f9f44a017c50c833d8@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 116769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1124357757.247953.241330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
sendple...@gmail.com wrote:
>
> I'm not a ham, nor do I use a cb.
> Now, right now, is when I'm deciding not to get involved with radio at
> all. If this is the "community" my friend keeps talking about, well,
> I'm just going to stick to computers.

You just do that.

# 2318. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 21:28:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124400522.605256.127340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116770

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > That's the central issue: the *refusal* to use
> > an available tool to fix or at least improve
> > a problem.

> Aha! The "central issue"! He refuses to do what *you* think he ought to do.

He refuses to do something that would greatly help others understand
him.

He also says it's *their* problem, not his.

He's told me what *I* should do, too.

> Sunnuvagun!

I've not said Mark is lazy, or a liar, or a bad person, etc.

My response is simply to not read much of what he writes.

Sonofagun!

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2319. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 21:33:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124400799.851195.18120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116771

DesignGuy wrote:
> <phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
> news:de24sd03hq@news3.newsguy.com...
> > On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 13:42:00 GMT DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >
> > | I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> > | paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I
> see
> > | that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
> it
> > | was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> > | issued instead of Amateur Extra.
> > |
> > | Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if
> it
> > | gets "fixed" in the database?
> >
> > It's not likely to get fixed by itself. It could be an error at either
> the
> > FCC or the VEC. Call both.
> >
>
> Thanks... I called the FCC and the paperwork was filed electronically. The
> personal I spoke with at the FCC did mention a "modification" that she
> though had to be filed after the original Tech application was submitted.
> I'm not sure if this is the case, but I'm in process of contacting the VEC.

I think that what may have happened is that since you're a totally new
licensee, they may have issued the Tech as the first action, then input
the
Extra as an upgrade (which FCC calls a modification). In that case,
the licenses could arrive days or even weeks apart.

Welcome to ham radio!

--

This raises a question about "new licenses" numbers. If in Design Guy's
case,
FCC first issued a Tech and then modified it to Extra, even though he
passed
all four elements at one go, the numbers may show his new license as
Tech
rather than Extra.

I wonder how many others who went straight to General or Extra have
been classified that way.

73 es GL de Jim, N2EY

## 2320. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 21:45:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124401530.680632.302970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116772

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124336309.060295.307560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:pan.2005.08.17.16.06.51.591157@gmail.com...
> >> > AOF:
> >> >
> >> > The fcc has an avenue where ideas for change, restructuring and
> >> > progress
> >> > can be introduced.
> >> >
> >> > In the past, the ARRL seems to quickly leap to the forefront of this
> >> > process, claim they represent all amateurs and lobby for the issues in
> >> > the
> >> > way they would them implemented... a vast influx of new people may be
> >> > able to knock that strangle hold which a few at the bottle-neck were
> >> > able
> >> > to achieve--loose... change appears on the way, time will tell...
> >> > after
> >> > decades of decline and stagnation, cures are not to had over-night.
> >> >
> >> > John
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> It will take an organized group to do this though. People have two
> >> choices.
> >> One is to join the ARRL and change it to pursue the policies near and
> >> dear
> >> to their own hearts. The second choice is to form a new group that is
> >> large
> >> enough and organized enough to lobby for what is near and dear to their
> >> own
> >> hearts. Just saying the ARRL should change won't do it.
> >
> > Well We NoCoders did exactly that and NCI was never all that large
> >
>
> It was large enough and organized enough for its issue. And being a single
> issue organization, the membership was in agreement about its issue.

Heck, agreement was/is a condition of membership!

It's interesting that NCI was and is so secretive about its numbers. Last
I heard, it amounted to less than 7000 members worldwide.

--

I think that crediting NCI for the code test reduction/elimination is
a bit like crediting the rooster for the dawn. Look at the history:

1975: FCC first proposes a nocodetest ham license in USA.

1983: FCC again proposes nocodetest ham license in USA.

Early 1980s: FCC "waives" (eliminates) code sending test, allows multiple
choice and fill-in-the-blank code tests as well as 1-minute-solid-copy
test.

1990: FCC creates medical waivers at White House
request, to do a favor for a foreign head of state.

1991: FCC creates a nocodetest ham license in USA by simply
eliminating code test from Technician.

1996: NCI formed

2000: FCC reduces code testing to 5 wpm for all classes requiring
a code test despite majority of comments supporting 2 or 3
code test speeds. States that treaty requirement is only
reason 5 wpm was kept. Also reduces written testing.

2003: WRC-2003 eliminates treaty requirement for code test.

2005: FCC proposes complete elimination of code testing, as proposed
by several petitions.

The trend to nocodetest, and to less testing overall, was clear long
before NCI appeared on the scene.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2321. HF modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:00:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.22.00.18.526491@gmail.com>
Source record: 116773

Here is a page on ~20 year old 56k modem technology, if anyone is interested--the subject has come up here before...

http://www.wa4dsy.net/rfmodem.html

John

## 2322. Re: a small group of psychopaths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:06:08 UTC
From: clfe <postmaster@clfurent.com>
Message-ID: <yA0Ne.30290$Tf5.7299@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 116490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leigh Orf" <orf@bork.org> wrote in message
news:de20tu$77d$1@chessie.cirr.com...
> In rec.radio.amateur.policy sendplease@gmail.com wrote:
>> I'm not a ham, nor do I use a cb.
>> Now, right now, is when I'm deciding not to get involved with radio at
>> all. If this is the "community" my friend keeps talking about, well,
>> I'm just going to stick to computers.
>
> Most of what currently fills this newsgroup up is the work of a small
> group of psychopaths who for some strange reason enjoy insulting one
> another in a public forum (I suppose that way they have an audience as
> opposed to taking it to email). They do not accurately represent the
> 'average ham' in any way, shape, or form. While you will find a few
> nuts on the bands (e.g., jammers who do not identify themselves) the
> vast majority are friendly folks who love radio, comply with Part 97,
> and love a good ragchew.
>
> I highly recommend you ignore the childish ad-hominem attacks in these
> groups. Most newsreaders have filtering capabilities. Use them.
>
> Leigh Orf KG4ULP
>
> --
> orf@sdf.lonestar.org
> SDF Public Access UNIX System - http://sdf.lonestar.org

They don't accurately represent the CBers either. I use both Ham and CB. One thing is for sure, No one is being attracted to either hobby by those actions. Pretty soon, people may also start turning away from the news groups as well - due to it. I know one ISP who refused to carry them - partially for just that reason. It is a shame.

Just me

# 2323. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:06:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124402804.807083.13390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116774

phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
> On 17 Aug 2005 09:55:16 -0700 N2EY@aol.com wrote:
>
> | The "notching" solution is simple: Their BPL system does not use
> | frequencies that are also ham bands. Whether it works or not is an open
> | question.
>
> What about MARS and SWL frequencies?

That's unclear. If covered, the result is more notch than coverage.

> | - BPL is a "last mile" delivery method, not a complete system. Still
> | needs a 'head end'
>
> I've seen pictures of these units on primary (12 kV) lines, so by
> "last mile" this must mean more than just the drop into the home.

What is typically done is that fiber or other highcapacity
communications is brought to a point (the injector) near a bunch of
customers. Then the signals are converted to the frequencies used by
the BPL system and put on the medium-voltage distribution line. (Note
that a distribution line and a transmission line are not the same thing
to powerco people).

At each stepdown (service) transformer, there's a coupler to take the
signals around it, because such transformers are very lossy at BPL
frequencies. They're
intentionally designed that way to keep noise and surges off the
service drops.

Which means that the couplers will bring HF noise and such into
customer's houses.

The distance from the injector to the customers served is typically
measured in hundreds or thousands of feet, not miles. The MV
distribution lines are not used forlong- or even medium-distance BPL
transmission - too lossy.

> | - BPL bandwidth is shared between users on the same line, so as your
> | neighbors sign up and use the system, your performance degrades.
>
> On what line? The primary (12 kV) or the secondary (120/240 V)?

Both. Let's say you have an injector site that feeds a few thousand
feet of MV line, and there are a dozen or so transformers on that

line, each with its own coupler, and customers.

The available bandwidth is shared by all the customers on that
injector.
If there's (say) 5 mbd available from that injector and only one
customer
is active, s/he gets all 5 mbd - 100 times the speed of dialup! But if
there are 20 customers active, they all have to share, and may get only
250 kbd each. Which is only 5x the speed of dialup!

(Numbers are only for the purpose of illustration)

It's like the situation experienced by people with a DSL or cable modem
connection and multiple computers in the house all online at the same
time,
except that you have to share with the whole neighborhood, not just
Junior
upstairs gaming.

> | - There are other technologies (like Wi-Fi) which can do the same job
> | without all the fuss and bother.
>
> These are on 12cm and 5cm from what I have heard.

Yup.
>
> | - The big danger of BPL is that it turns the whole idea of spectrum
> | protection and allocation upside-down, and sets a bad precedent.
>
> It can also be susceptible to ham transmissions, which will unfairly blame
> the ham radio operator as the cause of networking failures.

Once word of that gets around, hams may be blamed even if they're *not*
the cause!

Ultimately the rise and fall of BPL will depend on whether it can
compete
in the marketplace with DSL, cable, and other methods. Hopefully it
cannot.

While hams, ARRL, IEEE and others were not able to completely stop BPL,
neither were BPL proponents able to get the rules changes they wanted,
either. And actions by groups like ARRL spread the word of the BPL
threat early on, rather than waiting until the systems gained a
foothold.

There have been several instances where test BPL systems were shut down
as being impractical. Some municipalities that were looking at BPL
eventually said "No thanks" due to the issues raised.

Meanwhile, the competing broadband solutions become more available
and more affordable.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2324. Re: Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:07:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124402824.542652.225530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116775

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> "This Just In . . "
>
> Longtime RRAP lurker and NCI honcho grande Carl Anderson WK3C is
> seriously beavering away on his campaign to get himself elected
> Director and member of the Board of Directors of the ARRL representing
> the Mid-Atlantic Division.
>
> "Read all about it": http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>
> w3rv

"lurker???"

"honcho grande????"

"beavering?????"

Such kind words you choose to describe Carl.

# 2325. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:17:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5O7Ne.8793$ns.3240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116776

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124321525.242087.256720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed, Aug 17 2005 3:47 pm
>
>>Lots of garbage deleted.........
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk... :-)
>
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>>> But, something ELSE operative here. Note: Your CO gave you
>>> permission to operate. On another thread in here, some time
>>> ago, Brian Burke was given permission by HIS CO to operate
>>> amateur radio from a foreign land. Now all the JAG-wannabes
>>> in here (PCTAs all) jumped all over Brian's case on that,
>>> citing absolutely NOTHING germane, one (a "seven-hostile-action"
>>> hero) even implying that the UCMJ didn't apply, only the FCC
>>> regulations applied (they don't but the shell-shocked murine
>>> didn't understand that).
>>
>>> Under the terms of the Kangaroo Kourt of the PCTAs:
>>
>>> If Brian Burke is guilty of improper amateur radio operation,
>>> then Dan Jeswald is EQUALLY GUILTY of doing so from Germany.
>>
>>> If Dan Jeswald is not guilty, then Brian Burke is not guilty.
>>
>>> It's the SAME SORT of "OFFENSE" as codified by the PCTA.
>>
>>Offense? By operating under my legal German callsign of DA2LJ, based on
>>my
>>just as legal US Callsign, both based on the "Status of Forces" agreement
>>in place at the time does NOT constitute ANY SORT OF OFFENSE.
>
> Dannie boy, you didn't see the big brouhaha in here on Brian
> making a single comment about doing amateur ops from Somalia
> and ALL the resident PCTAs in here jumping on his case? All
> of those PCTA extras were ready to give Brian a necktie party
> for that "offense" which all of them ruled on...
>

Oh yeah, now I know what your talking about. Well Lennie operation out of a
foreign country does require that countries approval. I had German

approval. So what is your problem?

>>Under my German Amateur Radio License I had FULL Privlidges any German
>>National had. That included VHF on 2m FM withing the confines of the
>>Federal Republic of Germany.
>
> So...how do you relate that to the UCMJ that required you to
> abide by Army regulations? Remember that you described using
> your amateur HT "while standing on a command track." That
> indicates you were in the field, on a field exercise for the
> United States Army. United States of America. NOT the
> Federal Republic of Germany ("west Germany" in 1972).
>

Operating a amateur radio does not come under the Uniformed Code of Military
Justice Lennie, it is controlled by the Gernam Post Office to be exact,
under the Status of Forces agreement the US had with Germany at that time.

You are spinning off on a dead end tanget Lennie.

> To whom did you owe the greater loyalty to? Ham radio? The
> FRG? Or to the United States of America?
>

My loyalties have NOTHING WHATSOEVER to do with operating my ham radio
anywhere or anytime.

Again Lennie, its a a dead end and I'm not falling for it.

>
>>> Was this ham HT purchased by yourself or was it given to you
>>> by the U.S. Army?
>>
>>It was a CIVILIAN RADIO LENNIE, CIVILIAN IS NOT MILITARY, GOT IT
>>NOW????????
>>
>>And No the U.S. Army didn't GIVE it to me. It NEVER BELONGED TO the U.S.
>>Army......GOT THAT NOW LENNIE????
>
> Tsk, tsk...you are getting all upset. Relax. All that is
> in question is suspicion of Treason against the United States
> of America...it's not like the more important issue of the
> retention of the morse code test for amateurs, is it?
>
Damn....he FINALLY got around to bring Morse Code testing into the
arguement. You are like a open book Lennie.

>
>>> I don't know "why," Dannie...that's what MILITARY INTELLIGENCE
>>> ("M.I.") might want to know in interests of U.S. national
>>> security. You certainly had OPPORTUNITY. You now display
>>> considerable emotional volatility. I don't know your political
>>> mindset...other than what appears in here as a staunch

>>> conservative.
>>
>>> All of the above can be a COVER. :-)
>>
>>Cover for what dipshit? Having a Amateur Radio QSO from a field location
>>while in the U.S. Army in Germany?
>
> M.I. would ask that sort of question, not me.
>
>>Tell ya what......if you think I did such a comsec violation, call up the
>>flippin FBI and report me. Do it you piece of crap.
>
> Tsk, tsk, you are getting all UPSET again. Relax.
>
> I might have called the FBI, might not. Actually, I'd call the
> Military Intelligence folks first, then maybe U.S. Army JAG.
> Notifying the FBI first would be the proper sequence, though,
> since my Honorable Army service was over in mid-1960...I'm a
> civilian, a citizen of the United States of America.
>
>
>>>>No reason to Lennie. I was perfectly legal by both German and US
>>>>Military
>>>>rules and regulations.
>>
>>> Colonel Rudolph Abel, KGB, was living in NYC, being "perfectly legal
>>> to outward appearances," posing as an artist who had "ham radio for
>>> a hobby." Only thing was that he was NOT "perfectly legal" after
>>> an investigation. He was arrested, tried, convicted...later exchanged
>>> for U-2 spy plane pilot Francis Gary Powers.
>>
>>So call the FBI blowhard.
>
> The FBI already knows about Rudolph Abel...it's taught at the
> FBI Academy in Quantico, VA.
>
>>Nothing else worth commenting on. Think I'll go find something useful to
>>do, like enjoy my ham radio. Sure beats talking to this moron.
>
> Enjoy your ham radio...but avoid mentioning anything that
> might violate the security of the United States of America.
>
> Oh, and destroy any one-time pads you may have lying around.
> Such an item is immediately spotted by investigators. Try
> not to look too nervous when they come to your door.
>
>
> FBI CIA
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hey Lennie the loser....I'm shaking in my boots. All you were was a broom pushing tube puller. That once in a while pushed a button or two.

Take your best shot idiot.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2326. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:19:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124403566.238985.56210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

```
FDR wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124322470.088861.279200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > FDR wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1124230654.475036.151860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > FDR wrote:
> >> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1124149514.700784.120540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > Concerned citizen wrote:
> >> >> >> Dear Bill Clinton,
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Why didn't you round up the terrorists when you had a chance? You
> >> >> >> hate
> >> >> >> America? You like watching innocent americans die?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Concerned Citizen
> >> >> >
> >> >> > It's interesting to note the parallels in the former Yugoslavia
> >> >> > invasion and the Iraq invasion.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > One had absolutely no national interest to the USA, the other had
> >> >> > vital
> >> >> > interest.
> >> >>
> >> >> One had no oil and the other oil. I see where you are going.
> >> >
> >> > Oil is of no interest to you?
> >> >
> >> >> > One had rumors of genocide, the other had rumors of weapons of mass
> >> >> > destruction.
> >> >>
> >> >> And those rumors of genocide were found to be true. The mass graves
> >> >> confirm
> >> >> that.
> >> >
> >> > Mass graves were found in Iraq.
> >>
> >> The OP didn's say there weren't.
> >
```

> > So what's the problem? Quitesimilar scenarios; one is blessed, the
> > other is not. Why not?
>
> Not the point. He made similarites between geonocide in Bosnia and WMD in
> Iraq. The former was true, the latter was false.

Is the point. The former is true in both cases. If it was good enough
for one invasion, it's good enough for the other.

> As to your point, Bush did not say we were going in to stop the genocide in
> Iraq. If he truly cared about such a topic he would have made it a priority
> when he got into office. He didn't. So the Bush apologists have to defend
> the war some way by saying how were stopping some genocidial maniac. Though
> he was one, it was only a reason given since the real reasons we went there
> have turned out to be false.

Wonder if Bush ever went golfing with Bob Taft?

# 2327. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:21:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124403682.949894.262730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...
> >>>>
> >>>>Brilliant!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>why thank you
> >>
> >>...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > Why don't you give your regards in person and make a DXpedition out of
> > it?
> >
> > I'm sure they'd welcome a DXer such as yourself.
>
> That's a very interesting idea. Is the krud homeland in the
> neighborhood of Pickerington or is it nearer to Reynoldsburg?
>
> Dave K8MN

How smug of you to ridicule a whole ethnic group in an attempt to
bother me.

# 2328. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:22:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124403730.863008.320500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116779

John Smith wrote:
> Phil:
>
> At the core of the "Radio Act of 1912", and grossly paraphrased here by
> me, seems the statement, "Here you guys sign up and get registered, then
> take this range of freqs and see what you can do with them. See if you can
> come up with ideas which advance the use of radio and we can use in the
> benefit of america and its' citizens."

Except for "Here you guys sign up and get registered", that's not what
it was about at all.

What the 1912 act did was to organize what had been a haphazard system.
While the Titanic disaster gave them the impetus to act, the
legislation had been
developed and discussed for years before.

Amateurs (meaning stations that were not commercial, government or
maritime) were pushed to 200 meters and beyond, because those
wavelengths were
considered to be relatively useless by the professionals. Licenses were
made
mandatory to keep tabs on all transmitting stations.

But the "200 Meters And Down" spectrum was not limited to amateurs. Any
radio service could use it - all they needed was a station license. Few
except amateurs even tried.

Amateurs did not have free reign, either. Back then a station's
wavelength
was specified on the station license. If a ham wanted to try, say, 110
meters,
s/he needed a license specifying 110 meters.

> Somehow, along the way, things got bogged down and an abundance of people
> came to the hobby who wanted a set of rules which they could religiously
> worship and practice and invoke for disciplinary actions to be taken on
> others not holding a religious reverence for such, this has been
> detrimental to the original purpose and goals...

That's just nonsense.

What happened was that the regulations evolved over time, driven by a
number of forces.

> This now lays at the extreme end where you must be careful what
> experiments you undertake, how you undertake them and why you can't

> undertake them...

How?

What experiments are you kept from undertaking, and by whom?

> in someways there are "guards" on the bands as exist in
> prisons, and you are "allowed out in the yard" if you obey all the
> rules...

FCC makes the rules. Are you advocating ignoring those rules?

> strange for a hobby first created as a means to try new ideas
> which could possibly lead somewhere...

So what's your proposal?

> BPL is perhaps a very good example, where arrl and other "status quo"
> forces banded together and ended up having the effect of saying, "We
> already know that won't work! Don't attempt any experiments, don't do any
> testing, don't gather any data, don't lay any plans. Don't plan on being
> able to change and redesign hardware/software to attempt to make it
> work! Cease and desist immediately, we so command you!"

The interference provided by BPL systems has been observed and
demonstrated.
It's a fact. One doesn't have to be a radio genius to see that power
lines
with HF on them will radiate like mad and interfere with licensed radio
stations.

Would you rather that nobody opposed BPL?

# 2329. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:23:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124403835.797411.281990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

John Smith wrote:
> ... well, I am not sure that "former Yugoslavia" is as democratic as Iraq
> is going to be...

Hi! I don't think Iraq is going to be as democratic as we think it
might be.

> next, isn't the rest of your post exactly what I said,
> I did not impose a time constraint...
>
> John

Sorry, I meant to reinforce your comment with my remark on the timeline.

# 2330. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:28:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124404113.270781.150930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116781

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > I've not told any "war stories" to be stolen, Brain.
> >
>
> So what about those seven hostile actions?

"Wha Aye Say, Aye Say Boy, What about those seven hostile actions?"

# 2331. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:32:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124404331.714873.116440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116782

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> DesignGuy wrote:
> > <phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
> > news:de24sd03hq@news3.newsguy.com...
> > > On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 13:42:00 GMT DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > | I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> > > | paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I
> > see
> > > | that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
> > it
> > > | was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> > > | issued instead of Amateur Extra.
> > > |
> > > | Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if
> > it
> > > | gets "fixed" in the database?
> > >
> > > It's not likely to get fixed by itself. It could be an error at either
> > the
> > > FCC or the VEC. Call both.
> > >
> >
> > Thanks... I called the FCC and the paperwork was filed electronically. The
> > personal I spoke with at the FCC did mention a "modification" that she
> > though had to be filed after the original Tech application was submitted.
> > I'm not sure if this is the case, but I'm in process of contacting the VEC.
>
> I think that what may have happened is that since you're a totally new
> licensee, they may have issued the Tech as the first action, then input
> the
> Extra as an upgrade (which FCC calls a modification). In that case,
> the licenses could arrive days or even weeks apart.
>
> Welcome to ham radio!
>
> --
>
> This raises a question about "new licenses" numbers. If in Design Guy's
> case,
> FCC first issued a Tech and then modified it to Extra, even though he
> passed
> all four elements at one go, the numbers may show his new license as
> Tech

> rather than Extra.

for prehaps a few days, affecting a few number here and there

Your point if you please?
>
> I wonder how many others who went straight to General or Extra have
> been classified that way.
>
> 73 es GL de Jim, N2EY

# 2332. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:33:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124404409.300433.113830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116783

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > dis dat
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > > Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
> > > > disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
> > > > problems with that hypothesis:
> > > >
> > sorry about mssing this one earlier

You gotta look at both sides if you want to be known as being fair and
balanced.

> > > > a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
> > > > century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
> > >
> > > and right Now I can't see how NCTA can be disgruntled the only
> > > bothering me now is chopping at the bit wiating for it to be over
> > >
> > > Even my non Ham freinds have noticed the improvement in my often
> > > somewhat dower expression
> > > and dared asked me to explain
> > >
> > > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
> > > stuff like that
> >
> > Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
> > mouth might be mistaken as gloating.
>
> way too late but I realy don't care, even at the club meeting with a
> fair number of code supporter there were gracious enough to ask me what
> I thought of NPRM, after first sugest ing everyone brace themsleves for
> my response which a loud "YES, Bout damn time!!"

Was it tough getting the tar and feathers off? ;^)

> > > > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
> > >
> > > OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks
> >

> > They'll form support groups to try to cope.
>
> I hope so. think we should start a fund to help out?

Compassionate No-Codism? I'm good with that.

## 2333. Re: Brain Sets the Odds, Loses Own Percentage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:39:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124404751.377761.24610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116784

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > > > I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
> > > > keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
> > > > don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
> > > > at the point of being "braggard" about it.
> > >
> > > really ?
> > >
> > > more whoopers
> >
> > Alla time.
>
> Such as?
>
> True, I won't discuss my seervice in the Corps,

Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.

>
> Nope. The right to be addressed as "Marine".

OK "Marine."

> > Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
> > status.
>
> Seems you're no better at getting it right than I was, Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Doh!

I guess the little red-hatted monkey in me should have voted on that
one. ;^)

# 2334. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:41:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124404892.907280.170180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116785

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>> I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> >>>Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> >>>
> >>>Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >>A person posting with th[i]er name is anonymous?
> >>
> >>Is that anything like being a jerk for >>>not<<< giving someone grief?
> >>
> >>You can QRZed "Gilliland" and find Frank on there. But you'll have to
> >>do the "leg" work yourself.
> >
> >
> > And so Steve does his own "leg" work, is offered two "Frank Gilliland"
> > choices, and chooses the wrong one! Hi! Gotta love the odds.
>
> After Frank's post, I suppose you're feeling like a complete fool.
>
> Dave K8MN

Pretty much.

# 2335. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:44:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124405046.085887.56560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116786

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> > > > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > A person posting with th[i]er name is anonymous?
> > >
> > > Is that anything like being a jerk for >>>not<<< giving someone grief?
> > >
> > > You can QRZed "Gilliland" and find Frank on there. But you'll have to
> > > do the "leg" work yourself.
> >
> > And so Steve does his own "leg" work, is offered two "Frank Gilliland"
> > choices, and chooses the wrong one! Hi! Gotta love the odds.
>
> Only 50/50. Not bad percentage.

The percentages weren't that good. This ham, that ham, and not a ham.
I'll let you attempt the math.

> Of course my percentages soar when scoring my hits against your
> stories and fantasies, Brain.

Hi! You still livin' in a fantasy world.

> Ya can't win 'em all!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Indeed. I'm feeling kindof stoopid about now.

# 2336. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:49:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <baidneBDHavsjZjeRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116787

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:Ie0Ne.37133$084.32934@attbi_s22...
>I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I see
> that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
> it
> was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> issued instead of Amateur Extra.
>
> Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if it
> gets "fixed" in the database?

Did you also pass the code? If not, all that they will issue is a
Technician no matter how many writtens you've passed. If you did pass the
code, you should contact the VE team that administered the test and quite
possibly the VEC as someone did not enter it correctly. You should contact
them immediately. The FCC has no way to correct the situation until the VEC
submits the proper entry.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2337. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 22:57:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KJWdnQO6JvbDj5jeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 116788

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:2v5Ne.262556$_o.243963@attbi_s71...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1124392373.540835.94160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> I'd call the VEC first since they are the ones who filed the
>> paperwork.
>>
>> In the mean time, I assume they issued you a CSCE (Certificate of
>> Successful Completion of Examination) for all applicable elements. You
>> didn't mention the 5WPM code test but I assume when you said "all
>> exams" you meant that too.
>>
>> If you didn't do the Morse part, Technician is all you will get
>> for now unless you pass Element 1 within 365 days of the CSCE date, or
>> unless the FCC rules on 05-235 to allow you to operate without it since
>> you passed the writtens.
>>
>> As long as you have the CSCE showing successful completetion of
>> ALL elements for Extra, and the FCC has issued you a callsign, you can
>> legally operate with your Extra privileges using the Extra
>> "identifier", for example "KZ4ZZZ / AE" in Morse or teleprinter, and
>> "KZ4ZZZ Stroke Alpha Echo" on voice.
>
> Yes, I passed elements 1, 2, 3 and 4 same day. Was hoping for a shorter
> callsign since I'd like to do some QRP/CW work as my Morse skills progress
> beyond 5wpm. Perhaps a new call will be issued with the license "upgrade",
> or maybe I'll have to go the vanity call route. Remains to be seen. I knew
> I
> could use the AE privileges with a Tech license (it was a question on the
> exam) with a CSCE and proper ID... now I just need a transmitter.... heh.
>
>> Congratulations!
>
> Many thanks :)

Once they have issued the call sign, they won't change it as part of
correcting the database. You don't have to go the vanity route though.
Once the database is correct for license class, you can get a new Extra
class sequential callsign simply by submitting a request for a sequentially
issued call. However, it won't be much shorter than what you have now as
all that is available this way are the 2x2 calls beginning with A. If you
want a short call, you'll have to search for ones no longer used and submit

a vanity application.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2338. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 23:00:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <P5WdnZ2dnZ2ackeCnZ2dnYiOmN6dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 116789

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124400799.851195.18120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> DesignGuy wrote:
>> <phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
>> news:de24sd03hq@news3.newsguy.com...
>> > On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 13:42:00 GMT DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> > | I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
>> > | paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database,
>> > I
>> see
>> > | that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm
>> > surprised
>> it
>> > | was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call
>> > was
>> > | issued instead of Amateur Extra.
>> > |
>> > | Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see
>> > if
>> it
>> > | gets "fixed" in the database?
>> >
>> > It's not likely to get fixed by itself. It could be an error at either
>> the
>> > FCC or the VEC. Call both.
>> >
>>
>> Thanks... I called the FCC and the paperwork was filed electronically.
>> The
>> personal I spoke with at the FCC did mention a "modification" that she
>> though had to be filed after the original Tech application was submitted.
>> I'm not sure if this is the case, but I'm in process of contacting the
>> VEC.
>
> I think that what may have happened is that since you're a totally new
> licensee, they may have issued the Tech as the first action, then input
> the
> Extra as an upgrade (which FCC calls a modification). In that case,
> the licenses could arrive days or even weeks apart.
>
> Welcome to ham radio!
>
```

> --
>
> This raises a question about "new licenses" numbers. If in Design Guy's
> case,
> FCC first issued a Tech and then modified it to Extra, even though he
> passed
> all four elements at one go, the numbers may show his new license as
> Tech
> rather than Extra.
>
> I wonder how many others who went straight to General or Extra have
> been classified that way.
>
> 73 es GL de Jim, N2EY

It's not that common an error. I only know one person to whom that has happened. Normally they will issue a license at the highest level earned and issue the appropriate call sign. i.e. if you test all the way from nothing to Extra at one session, they issue an Extra class license from the get go.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2339. Re: Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 23:02:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124402999.436556.242270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116790

an_old_friend wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > "This Just In . . "
> >
> > Longtime RRAP lurker and NCI honcho grande Carl Anderson WK3C is
> > seriously beavering away on his campaign to get himself elected
> > Director and member of the Board of Directors of the ARRL representing
> > the Mid-Atlantic Division.
> >
> > "Read all about it": http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>
> noting Len has already coreected the Name
>
> well way to go Carl and Good luck
>
> Do let us know if you are sucessfull

A new direction for amateur radio? I certainly hope so.

# 2340. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 23:06:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.23.06.02.598191@gmail.com>
Source record: 116792

N2EY:

I don't imagine any can argue amateur radio has "evolved", sometimes
faster, sometimes slower. Now is just another step in that evolution...

Amateur history can be read on the web, or a book from amazon, your local
library, etc, a few authors give a few different viewpoints also...

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 15:22:10 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Phil:
>>
>> At the core of the "Radio Act of 1912", and grossly paraphrased here by
>> me, seems the statement, "Here you guys sign up and get registered, then
>> take this range of freqs and see what you can do with them. See if you can
>> come up with ideas which advance the use of radio and we can use in the
>> benefit of america and its' citizens."
>
> Except for "Here you guys sign up and get registered", that's not what
> it was about at all.
>
> What the 1912 act did was to organize what had been a haphazard system.
> While the Titanic disaster gave them the impetus to act, the
> legislation had been
> developed and discussed for years before.
>
> Amateurs (meaning stations that were not commercial, government or
> maritime) were pushed to 200 meters and beyond, because those
> wavelengths were
> considered to be relatively useless by the professionals. Licenses were
> made
> mandatory to keep tabs on all transmitting stations.
>
> But the "200 Meters And Down" spectrum was not limited to amateurs. Any
> radio service could use it - all they needed was a station license. Few
> except amateurs even tried.
>
> Amateurs did not have free reign, either. Back then a station's
> wavelength
> was specified on the station license. If a ham wanted to try, say, 110
> meters,
> s/he needed a license specifying 110 meters.
>

>> Somehow, along the way, things got bogged down and an abundance of people
>> came to the hobby who wanted a set of rules which they could religiously
>> worship and practice and invoke for disciplinary actions to be taken on
>> others not holding a religious reverence for such, this has been
>> detrimental to the original purpose and goals...
>
> That's just nonsense.
>
> What happened was that the regulations evolved over time, driven by a
> number of forces.
>
>> This now lays at the extreme end where you must be careful what
>> experiments you undertake, how you undertake them and why you can't
>> undertake them...
>
> How?
>
> What experiments are you kept from undertaking, and by whom?
>
>> in someways there are "guards" on the bands as exist in
>> prisons, and you are "allowed out in the yard" if you obey all the
>> rules...
>
> FCC makes the rules. Are you advocating ignoring those rules?
>
>> strange for a hobby first created as a means to try new ideas
>> which could possibly lead somewhere...
>
> So what's your proposal?
>
>> BPL is perhaps a very good example, where arrl and other "status quo"
>> forces banded together and ended up having the effect of saying, "We
>> already know that won't work! Don't attempt any experiments, don't do any
>> testing, don't gather any data, don't lay any plans. Don't plan on being
>> able to change and redesign hardware/software to attempt to make it
>> work! Cease and desist immediately, we so command you!"
>
> The interference provided by BPL systems has been observed and
> demonstrated.
> It's a fact. One doesn't have to be a radio genius to see that power
> lines
> with HF on them will radiate like mad and interfere with licensed radio
> stations.
>
> Would you rather that nobody opposed BPL?

# 2341. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 23:11:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.18.23.11.33.456131@gmail.com>
Source record: 116793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

HH&E typed, "Hi! I don't think Iraq is going to be as democratic as we think it might be."

I consulted my crystal-ball, it just said, "... stay tuned ..."

I drug out the tea leaves, brewed a cup, examined the leavings, the cryptic message, "$ OIL!" seemed to be represented by the leaves--strange...

So I emailed Sylvia Browne, maybe she can shed some light on this mystery...

John

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 15:23:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:

> Hi! I don't think Iraq is going to be as democratic as we think it
> might be.

# 2342. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-18 23:29:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nR8Ne.8241$WD.1256@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:

>>>>>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...

>>>>>>Brilliant!

>>>>>why thank you

>>>>...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.

>>>Why don't you give your regards in person and make a DXpedition out of
>>>it?
>>>
>>>I'm sure they'd welcome a DXer such as yourself.
>>
>>That's a very interesting idea. Is the krud homeland in the
>>neighborhood of Pickerington or is it nearer to Reynoldsburg?

> How smug of you to ridicule a whole ethnic group in an attempt to
> bother me.

To which ethnic group do the kruds belong, Mr. Cheese?

Dave K8MN

# 2343. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 00:50:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ilftzt1.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 116796

On 17 Aug 2005 12:36:57 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

>The problem I have with some of the rules is that they are too vague.

Gee...I don't have any problem reading the rules (and interpreting
them correctly) and thus knowing what is allowed and not allowed.

The problem isn't in the rules....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

# 2344. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 00:57:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ilfubg2.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 116799

On 17 Aug 2005 17:14:57 GMT, phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:

>How about someone operating in such a way that they are in literal
>compliance with the rule, but many others believe they are violating the
>intent.

They can believe what they want, including that the moon is made of
green cheese, but if the operator is in compliance with the rules
there is no violation.

Mere holding of a ham license (or in specific cases, not holding a
ham license) does not make one an expert in interpretation of the
rules. I love to take on such "barracks lawyers"...like shooting
fish in a barrel.

Case closed. Next case.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
(A REAL communications lawyer)

# 2345. Sing a song of Lardass, the 31 year old VIRGIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:00:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <0fd75bf7185032e549f21c596f961612@dizum.com>
Source record: 116794
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame

In article <802187017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Hi Lardass" <secwetwanking_lloydie@no.spam> whined:
>
> On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 04:10:05 +0200 (CEST), Nomen Nescio <wrong guess,
lardass> wrote:
>
> > any posts show up in green is that the moron admin goes in there and changes
> > posts that he thinks Roger makes. Thing is, he's just as stupid as you are
and
> > frequently tags posts Roger didn't make at all, just like you do when you
spam
> > the board as the Shit Can man.
>
> THE SH <lame effort flushed>

LLOYD'S A VIRGIN

(Madonna/Billy Steinberg and Tom Kelly/Les Paul)

LLoyd made it through 12th grade
Somehow he made it through
Never had a forum for his views
Until he found radio newsgroups

Lloyd is the the freak of the week
Everybody avoids that fatassed geek
But everyday's a brand new day
Yeah, it makes him feel
Shiny and new

Lloyd's a virgin
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

"I'm gonna post about you, boy
My Bible's on my side"
Lloyd's been bashed around
Because his stupidity is hard to hide

He's bald, obese and round
A weeble-wobble that won't fall down
Oh, when he went to the retreat
Yeah, he stepped on his own feet
What a fucking fool

Lloyd's a virgin <GAY!>
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

Oooh, oooh, oooh

He's bald, obese and round
He'll just follow you around
Because you make him feel
Yeah, you make him feel
He's got something to do

Lloyd's a virgin
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

Lloyd's a virgin, ooh, ooh
He'd rather slurp nutsack goo
Lloyd's a virgin
What's a loser like him to do

Oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh
Ooh, Lllllloyd
Can't you hire a whore
For the very first time?

# 2346. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:06:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ilfuqa3.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 116798

On 18 Aug 2005 03:01:44 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

> Is Congressman Sherman NOT elected for the purpose of representing
>your issues and concerns to the government? Is there some list of
>topics somewhere that says you can't discuss federal regulations with
>your elected representitive in a public forum?

Such discussion is futile unless the parties involved are educated
in the specifics of the field under discussion. I and a lot of my
counterparts have had to educate more than one elected/appointed
representative (local, state, Federal) about what professional and
proper radio regulation is all about to avoid them embarrasing
themselves in public.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

# 2347. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:14:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ilfv4n4.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 116797

On 17 Aug 2005 09:55:16 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Thanks for posting the link. Anybody besides me and the original poster
>actually listen to it?

It was on Morning Edition because we heard it when we were driving
my wife to work.

It made me (a knowlegeable ham and engineer) and my wife (a non-ham
but technically educated) sick.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

# 2348. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:23:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124414637.427163.44700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116795

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I wonder why it is that all of the "supporters" that Brain and
> > > > > Markie can manage are "anonies"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > A person posting with th[i]er name is anonymous?
> > > >
> > > > Is that anything like being a jerk for >>>not<<< giving someone grief?
> > > >
> > > > You can QRZed "Gilliland" and find Frank on there. But you'll have to
> > > > do the "leg" work yourself.
> > >
> > > And so Steve does his own "leg" work, is offered two "Frank Gilliland"
> > > choices, and chooses the wrong one! Hi! Gotta love the odds.
> >
> > Only 50/50. Not bad percentage.
>
> The percentages weren't that good. This ham, that ham, and not a ham.
> I'll let you attempt the math.
>
> > Of course my percentages soar when scoring my hits against your
> > stories and fantasies, Brain.
>
> Hi! You still livin' in a fantasy world.
>
> > Ya can't win 'em all!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Indeed. I'm feeling kindof stoopid about now.

Why feel stupid, Frank, Ham or Not is NOT an "Anonymous Peanut
Gallery" he is a person like everyone else

Unless Len Anderson has been redifend as "Anonymous Peanut Gallery"
which of course Stvie KY4Z is liable to try and do at any moment

# 2349. Re: Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:29:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1124414945.712130.7770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116650

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> There is NO "Carl Anderson" running for the ARRL BoD. :-)
>
> There is NO "Carl Andeson" as "NCI honcho grande," senior.
>
> Try CARL STEVENSON, and try to be regular with your
> Alzheimer's medications, okay?

Nah, it's only Halfheimers again Sweets. My customers dumped too much design/build work on me for geezers like thee and me to buy into so "things happen". Heh.

Tell me Sweets what do you think of Carl's candidacy?

> med ned
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

w3rv

# 2350. Re: Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:38:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124415499.120041.138530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116651

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > There is NO "Carl Anderson" running for the ARRL BoD. :-)
> >
> > There is NO "Carl Andeson" as "NCI honcho grande," senior.
> >
> > Try CARL STEVENSON, and try to be regular with your
> > Alzheimer's medications, okay?
>
> Nah, it's only Halfheimers again Sweets. My customers dumped too much
> design/build work on me for geezers like thee and me to buy into so
> "things happen". Heh.
>
well IF sucessful then it might breath some life in the halls up in
Conn, but Not sure that Doing something about ARRL might be beyond
Carl's Powers

> > med ned
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> w3rv

# 2351. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:38:52 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <MKaNe.260560$x96.166155@attbi_s72>
Source record: 116652

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:baidneBDHavsjZjeRVn-rQ@comcast.com...
>
> "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:Ie0Ne.37133$084.32934@attbi_s22...
> >I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> > paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I
see
> > that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
> > it
> > was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> > issued instead of Amateur Extra.
> >
> > Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if
it
> > gets "fixed" in the database?
>
> Did you also pass the code? If not, all that they will issue is a
> Technician no matter how many writtens you've passed. If you did pass the
> code, you should contact the VE team that administered the test and quite
> possibly the VEC as someone did not enter it correctly. You should
contact
> them immediately. The FCC has no way to correct the situation until the
VEC
> submits the proper entry.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Yes, I passed the code and all written exams (elements 1, 2, 3 and 4). I did
contact the VEC and he's on the case :)

I'll post any follow-up info I receive back under this thread.

# 2352. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:45:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124415940.909623.170890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116653

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 16 Aug 2005 15:41>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >>b.b. wrote:
> >> >> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >>>>b.b. wrote:
>
> >> >>Your single supporter at the
> >> >> moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> >> >> convenience.
> >> >
> >> >No supporters are needed, none solicited.
> >>
> >> davie needs no supporter. A Truss, for sure, but no athletic
> >> supporter. Or maybe an operation is needed due to the hernia
> >> caused by lifting all those heavy insults onto the newsgroup.
> >
> >I think a nit could have lifted his insults. Perhaps even a witty nit.
>
> Maybe he should try for protection under the Wit Protection
> Program...say his name was "Ness." :-)

Good guess.

> >> > That you take Steve's tact
> >> >and attempt to discredit Mark based upon his lifestyle says even more
> >> >about you than your perverse perception of proper amateur radio
> >> >operations.
> >>
> >> Brian, I disagree. davie was doing nastygrams BEFORE Stebie da
> >> wundermurine showed up in here.
> >
> >Probably. But he was hugely ignorable back then.
>
> Not that ignorable. He was in-your-face a lot.

He's got a stack of logs to protect.

> Maybe you meant just "ignoble?"

I do not revere Heil.

> >> >> My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> >> >> fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> >> >
> >> >I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
> >> >QSO on 10M when you showed up.
> >> >
> >> >> You were worked.
> >> >
> >> >Indeed, you were worked.
> >>
> >> It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
> >> Brian...all for just one contact. :-)
> >
> >Probably from the comfort of his office chair.
>
> ...and in the comfort of all-paid-for lodging, courtesy of the
> U.S. gubmint.

Likely.

> >> >> I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> >> >> treated courteously.
> >> >
> >> >No doubt the out of band Frenchmen were treated courteously as well.
> >>
> >> Pity that "courtesy" doesn't manifest itself in OFF-air
> >> behavior...
> >
> >A double-faced ham sammich.
>
> Sliced very thin...as thin as his skin...

...very, very thin.

> >> Of course, one must take into account davie's perception of
> >> "courtesy"...being nice to folks who agree with him... :-)
> >
> >Very typical PCTA behavio[u]r.
>
> Well, dishonorable judge Heil should have been all over Jeswald
> on his Fulda Gap Heroes posting about Dan using his shack-onna-
> belt while standing on top of his shack-onna-track in Germany.
> He ain't said a word. Maybe he has a "protection contract" to
> defend Somalia?

Shack-onna-belt OK if you the "right" kind of ham. Beep beep.

> >He's probably in a severe funk from not being the object of "CQ rare
> >DX."
>
> Sic transit gloria mundi. ["so goes the glory of the world"]
>
> fly pap

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He wants to activate "Krud?" He'il make fun of a whole ethnic group to poke at me.

# 2353. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:55:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124416518.888740.138380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...
>
> >>>>>>Brilliant!
>
> >>>>>why thank you
>
> >>>>...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.
>
> >>>Why don't you give your regards in person and make a DXpedition out of
> >>>it?
> >>>
> >>>I'm sure they'd welcome a DXer such as yourself.
> >>
> >>That's a very interesting idea. Is the krud homeland in the
> >>neighborhood of Pickerington or is it nearer to Reynoldsburg?
>
> > How smug of you to ridicule a whole ethnic group in an attempt to
> > bother me.
>
> To which ethnic group do the kruds belong, Mr. Cheese?
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe you'll find the "Kruds" in the ARRL DXCC Countries List, Mr DXer.
Or maybe you won't. In any case, it doesn't excuse your ridiculing an

entire ethnic group in an attempt to bother me. You one helluva ambasador in the amateur community, Mr. A1 Op!

# 2354. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 01:57:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124416658.596221.238180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

John Smith wrote:
> HH&E typed, "Hi! I don't think Iraq is going to be as democratic as we think it
> might be."
>
> I consulted my crystal-ball, it just said, "... stay tuned ..."
>
> I drug out the tea leaves, brewed a cup, examined the leavings, the
> cryptic message, "$ OIL!" seemed to be represented by the leaves--strange...
>
> So I emailed Sylvia Browne, maybe she can shed some light on this mystery...
>
> John

Believe the tea leaves. Genocide and WMD are nice diversions.

# 2355. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:09:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <w6KdnZ2dnZ0CRDCvnZ2dnd6imN6dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116656

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>rwitmer@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>The article also accepts without question the idea that
>>fast internet access is a necessity for all Americans and their
>>communities - another Bush Administration bit of rightthink.
>>
>
>
> Actually this would be more of a liberal idea. It surprises me that a
> Republican administration would buy into this.

There is a lot of money to be made - even if it doesn't work very well.
Democrats have *not* cornered the market on bad ideas! 8^)

That is why I call it "faith based engineering". It *sounds* like a
great idea to use all those electrical lines to run the signals.

Reminds me of when I was a little kid, and though that we could fill
the cars gas tank by driving in reverse..... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 2356. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:10:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124417447.821539.93600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116657

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> on Wed, Aug 17 2005 4:29 pm
>
> ><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> > <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>
> >> Power lines were never meant to carry HF communication signals.
>
> No kidding?!? From whom did you pick up that factoid? :-)

Y'know, neither were bed springs and light bulbs, but hams seem to
revel in the brilliance of it.

> >> They're lossy at HF because they radiate! The whole concept
> >> is deeply flawed. By allowing BPL systems, FCC is setting
> >> a very bad precedent by saying it's OK to pollute the electro
> >> magnetic spectrum with noise, even if there are viable
> >> alternatives to the noise-producing technology.
>
> A couple of points here: First, the FCC does NOT "allow"
> Access BPL existance. Access BPL systems are (note
> carefully) UNINTENTIONAL Radiators.
>
> Secondly, the FCC has never ever established any "radio
> service" about or for any Broadband Over Power Lines
> concept. BPL is a WIRED system; i.e., NOT an intentional
> radiator of RF.
>
> Thirdly, the FCC DOES CONTROL RADIATED RF LEVELS AND TO
> ESTABLISHED SPECIFICATIONS NOW IN TITLE 47 C.F.R. That
> radiation level HAS been quantified and put into an Order
> that did appear both in the Federal Register and at the
> FCC website under the Office of Engineering Technology
> link. It wasn't under the Wireless Telecommunications
> Bureau page nor the Amateur radio page under that (there
> hasn't been any new link on the amateur page there since
> 2002).
>
> The NOI (Notice Of Inquiry) of the FCC that caused this
> recent flap and furor was NOT about the existance of BPL
> as any service...IT WAS ABOUT MEASUREMENT METHODS TO
> DETERMINE ACCEPTIBLE WAYS TO MEASURE THE RADIATION.

...to measure the unintentional radiation.

> The OET knew damn well that BPL would radiate. But, they
> could NOT LEGALLY STOP BPL from existing. All they could
> do is establish a legally-acceptible MEANS OF MEASURING
> THAT EXPECTED RADIATION.
>
> >Well, by limited interference, I am suggesting that BPL be limited as any
> >other unintentional radiator.
>
> It IS. One has to scrounge around the FCC webiste a bit to
> find it, but it IS there.

Oooh. Jim gotsta do his own legwork.

> > I do hear your point and it is well taken.
> >We do *not* need "only" a 10 dB increase in noise in general LOL
>
> Nobody does, but it has happened. Listen to the "ISM" bands
> and the DSSS and stuff there does raise the noise floor.
> However, the occupancy of those ISM bands is nearly ALL that
> mode and those users coexist peacefully.
>
> >Also, as we are well aware, no filter is perfect, whether a notch filter or
> >a bandpass filter or any other filter. Also, filters introduce distortion
> >into the signal.
>
> Irrelevant. Those "notch filters" can't erase MOST of the
> frequencies on HF. The "licensed users" and the UNLICENSED
> listeners are spread over most of the HF spectrum.

Stopit with the facts!

> > So, it remains to be seen if the power companies can come
> >up with a BPL with very limited impact on licensed services. I do have my
> >doubts, but am only suggesting that *if* they can prove a system can produce
> >very low noise in the airwaves, then it might be worth a try. That is a
> >*big* if.
>
> Many, many things ARE possible. The last 109 years of the total
> existance of radio have shown that.
>
> However, TRANSMISSION LINES of signals are technology that goes
> back BEFORE the "birth" of radio in 1896. Lee de Forrest, the
> inventor of the three-element vacuum tube, was studying
> transmission lines academically before his "audion" experiments.
> As far as our present-day technology knows (and that is
> considerable), transmission lines with lots of discontinuities
> will radiate; the TEM field won't be nicely contained. Given
> that the ordinary 60 Hz power distribution lines are chock full
> of discontinuities and changes in conductor size and spacing
> (thus a change in characteristic impedance where that step is a
> discontinuity), those power transmission lines WILL RADIATE RF.

> That is inevitable.
>
> IF and ONLY IF the electric power distribution system was
> designed and REBUILT to known transmission line standards at
> HF-VHF could such a wired BPL system be tried out for minimum
> interference.
>
> was not
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Never happen, GI.

# 2357. Re: Carl WK3C Runs for ARRL Directorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:17:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GibNe.7117$Wi6.1510@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116658

an_old_friend wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>There is NO "Carl Anderson" running for the ARRL BoD. :-)
>>>
>>> There is NO "Carl Andeson" as "NCI honcho grande," senior.
>>>
>>> Try CARL STEVENSON, and try to be regular with your
>>> Alzheimer's medications, okay?
>>
>>Nah, it's only Halfheimers again Sweets. My customers dumped too much
>>design/build work on me for geezers like thee and me to buy into so
>>"things happen". Heh.
>>
>
> well IF sucessful then it might breath some life in the halls up in
> Conn, but Not sure that Doing something about ARRL might be beyond
> Carl's Powers

Getting nominated is easy. Getting elected isn't so easy, especially
for Carl.

Dave K8MN

# 2358. Re: A Bit Of News Markie Is Not Going To Like To See.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:19:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124417944.543985.67310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116659

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > > > What's a "condiction"?
> > >
> > > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > > communication deficit can understand what he meant.
> >
> > nice to see Stevie called on something like that
>
> Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!
>
> But perhaps if "Frank" had been paying attention, by riding you
> about your horrific abuse of the King's English,

King's English? What dat?

# 2359. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:20:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TlbNe.7119$Wi6.1741@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows kruds...
>>
>>>>>>>>Brilliant!
>>
>>>>>>>why thank you
>>
>>>>>>...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.
>>
>>>>>Why don't you give your regards in person and make a DXpedition out of
>>>>>it?
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm sure they'd welcome a DXer such as yourself.
>>>>
>>>>That's a very interesting idea. Is the krud homeland in the
>>>>neighborhood of Pickerington or is it nearer to Reynoldsburg?
>>
>>>How smug of you to ridicule a whole ethnic group in an attempt to

>>>bother me.
>>
>>To which ethnic group do the kruds belong, Mr. Cheese?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Maybe you'll find the "Kruds" in the ARRL DXCC Countries List, Mr DXer.
> Or maybe you won't. In any case, it doesn't excuse your ridiculing an
> entire ethnic group in an attempt to bother me. You one helluva
> ambasador in the amateur community, Mr. A1 Op!

I submit that there is no ethnic group called "kruds", despite Colonel Morgan's best efforts to introduce them. I find your indignity over a non-existent ethnic group to be very amusing.

How does one insult a group of non-existent people?

Dave K8MN

# 2360. Re: Mark and Brain Flatter Each Other...But Then Who Would Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:32:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124418766.950912.202280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116661

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
> > >
> > > You have not porduced something that I can even notice
> >
> > Mark, I've spent a couple of hours reading through some of my old
> > postings to Steven Robeson and Brian Kelly and a few others. What
> > surprises me is how polite I was to these jerks.
>
> Sure...You're one of the politeist liars and deceivers in the
> group, Brain.

Steve, you're only partially correct. I can disagree without being
disagreeable. You, on the other hand...

> Actually, I consider lying to be one of the RUDEIST things you can
> do, and BrainyBoy, you've got a long list of credits in that
> department.

Odd, I tallied 29 new lies of yours in 10 days. That's got to be some
kind of record.

> > Now that I'm often
> > rude to them, I think that I've done nothing more than dirty my own
> > hands. They're still the rude jerks that they always were.
>
> Your hands were as dirty as any from the git-go, Brain. "Lava"
> won't get THOSE hands clean.

My hands are clean. My nails are clean. And you're still a jerk.

# 2361. Re: Gee Stevie your promised..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:34:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124418869.772641.3740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116662

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > You promissed action thta would "do more than anoy me"
> > >
> > > You have not porduced something that I can even notice
> >
> > Remember the old saying about how long it took to construct Rome?
>
> yeah Stevie I know
>
> But you promised it was all by instant
>
> and indeed IF there was evidence I was In your word "a Danger to myself
> or others" they would all but insatnly
>
> Since you are making claims just what have you Allegedly done

Steve makes claims. Steve lies. Steve has shamed his family.

# 2362. Re: The Markie and Brain Show, Joined Live By An Anonymous Peanut Gallery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 02:43:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124419438.523941.53620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116663

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 01:31:42 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> > <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
> > <mdk0g1di0f12r7c6dot93n1k3rcukj54bc@4ax.com>:
> > >On 15 Aug 2005 01:17:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > ><1124093879.407606.250430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> > >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >>> On 14 Aug 2005 18:55:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > >>> <1124070944.647708.19140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > >>
> > >>> >He can't. He slipped up one day and said that he was in seven hostile
> > >>> >actions, so everything has been hush hush since then. Len did a nice
> > >>> >expose with respect to Steve's age and the possible periods of service,
> > >>> >and it didn't add up to seven events. In-Your-Face about absolutely
> > >>> >everything else, Steve has yet to set the record straight. Stolen
> > >>> >Valor comes to mind.
> > >>>
> > >>> I googled a few of his posts. "281.6" isn't a USMC MOS...(SNIP)
> > >>
> > >> What ever you were "Googling", Frank, it wasn't one of MY posts.
> > >>
> > >> All of my MOS's except one started 63xx or 66xx. 8981 was the
> > >>fifth.
> > >> Most likely you hit one of Lennie's posts wherein he cited one of
> > >>his 1950's era MOS's...
> > >
> > >Yep. It's been a long day.
> >
> > I now see the source of my confusion -- those posts would have been a
> > lot easier to read (i.e, figure out who said what) if you had learned
> > a little earlier about the standard newsgroup quoting protocol (using
> > an arrow, >, at the beginning of a quoted line).

Steve is quite adept at using arrows. For example, this is one of his
gems:

">>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>MARS IS AMATEUR RADIO<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<"

> > Now that I have your posts figured out, I see that you do (or did)
> > tend to brag quite a bit about being a Marine. Hey, I do it myself.
> > But out here with the civilian crowd it's much easier to speak in
> > reference to subjects that -they- are familiar with -- the USMC -not-
> > being one of them. When you cram your military service down their

> > throats, many of them see it as having an elitist attitude. It's not
> > your fault, it's not their fault. Wanna blame somebody? Blame the
> > government -- they tell the military to recruit kids, culture-shock
> > them into hard-core killing machines, then when their service is
> > completed dump them back into the civilian population without any sort
> > of de-programming or re-integration phase.
>
> But Steve claims he's had therapy in conjunction with his early release
> from active duty...

He'll deny it today, but he's claimed it in the past.

> It's not so suprising that
> > there are a disproportionate number of homeless people that are vets
> > when you realize that most of them simply never adjusted to civilian
> > life.....
> >
> > Anyway, I'm done ranting. Looks like you have been in a flame war with
> > this guy for over seven years..... do you actually enjoy it? Do you
> > think you are winning? Or haven't you ever heard of a killfile?
>
> Steve has been in flame wars with multitudes of amateurs and one
> professional. Most have grown tired of the harrassment, and have left
> the group. It is his reason for being.

But he can't make me leave.

# 2363. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 03:04:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124420643.895315.98510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116664

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 16 Aug 2005 15:41>
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
> > >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> >> >>b.b. wrote:
> > >> >> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> >> >>>>b.b. wrote:
> >
> > >> >> >>Your single supporter at the
> > >> >> moment?--a bisexual, pagan S&M freak who admits that he lies based upon
> > >> >> convenience.
> > >> >
> > >> >No supporters are needed, none solicited.
> > >>
> > >> davie needs no supporter. A Truss, for sure, but no athletic
> > >> supporter. Or maybe an operation is needed due to the hernia
> > >> caused by lifting all those heavy insults onto the newsgroup.
> > >
> > >I think a nit could have lifted his insults. Perhaps even a witty nit.
> >
> > Maybe he should try for protection under the Wit Protection
> > Program...say his name was "Ness." :-)
>
> Good guess.
>
> > >> > That you take Steve's tact
> > >> >and attempt to discredit Mark based upon his lifestyle says even more
> > >> >about you than your perverse perception of proper amateur radio
> > >> >operations.
> > >>
> > >> Brian, I disagree. davie was doing nastygrams BEFORE Stebie da
> > >> wundermurine showed up in here.
> > >
> > >Probably. But he was hugely ignorable back then.
> >
> > Not that ignorable. He was in-your-face a lot.
>

> He's got a stack of logs to protect.

Nah I am the logs with a stack of Logs enough feul there to keep my
home war for the next 2 years
>
> > Maybe you meant just "ignoble?"
>
> I do not revere Heil.
>
> > >> >> My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> > >> >> fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> > >> >
> > >> >I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
> > >> >QSO on 10M when you showed up.
> > >> >
> > >> >> You were worked.
> > >> >
> > >> >Indeed, you were worked.
> > >>
> > >> It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
> > >> Brian...all for just one contact. :-)
> > >
> > >Probably from the comfort of his office chair.
> >
> > ...and in the comfort of all-paid-for lodging, courtesy of the
> > U.S. gubmint.
>
> Likely.
>
> > >> >> I have no memory of those QSOs but I'll bet you were
> > >> >> treated courteously.
> > >> >
> > >> >No doubt the out of band Frenchmen were treated courteously as well.
> > >>
> > >> Pity that "courtesy" doesn't manifest itself in OFF-air
> > >> behavior...
> > >
> > >A double-faced ham sammich.
> >
> > Sliced very thin...as thin as his skin...
>
> ...very, very thin.
>
> > >> Of course, one must take into account davie's perception of
> > >> "courtesy"...being nice to folks who agree with him... :-)
> > >
> > >Very typical PCTA behavio[u]r.
> >
> > Well, dishonorable judge Heil should have been all over Jeswald
> > on his Fulda Gap Heroes posting about Dan using his shack-onna-
> > belt while standing on top of his shack-onna-track in Germany.
> > He ain't said a word. Maybe he has a "protection contract" to
> > defend Somalia?

>
> Shack-onna-belt OK if you the "right" kind of ham. Beep beep.
>
> > >He's probably in a severe funk from not being the object of "CQ rare
> > >DX."
> >
> > Sic transit gloria mundi. ["so goes the glory of the world"]
> >
> > fly pap
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> He wants to activate "Krud?" He'il make fun of a whole ethnic group to
> poke at me.

# 2364. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 04:01:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7QcNe.7158$Wi6.6205@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

```
-=jd=- wrote:
> On Thu 18 Aug 2005 10:20:35p, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
> message news:TlbNe.7119$Wi6.1741@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>...would likely redouble thier efforts to bring their fellows
>>>>>>>>>>kruds...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Brilliant!
```

>>>>
>>>>>>>>>why thank you
>>>>
>>>>>>>>...and give my regards to the rest of the kruds.
>>>>
>>>>>>>Why don't you give your regards in person and make a DXpedition out
>>>>>>>of it?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'm sure they'd welcome a DXer such as yourself.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>That's a very interesting idea. Is the krud homeland in the
>>>>>>neighborhood of Pickerington or is it nearer to Reynoldsburg?
>>>>
>>>>>How smug of you to ridicule a whole ethnic group in an attempt to
>>>>>bother me.
>>>>
>>>>To which ethnic group do the kruds belong, Mr. Cheese?
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>Maybe you'll find the "Kruds" in the ARRL DXCC Countries List, Mr DXer.
>>> Or maybe you won't. In any case, it doesn't excuse your ridiculing an
>>>entire ethnic group in an attempt to bother me. You one helluva
>>>ambasador in the amateur community, Mr. A1 Op!
>>
>>I submit that there is no ethnic group called "kruds", despite Colonel
>>Morgan's best efforts to introduce them. I find your indignity over a
>>non-existent ethnic group to be very amusing.
>>
>>How does one insult a group of non-existent people?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>
>
> It requires an active imagination on the part of the offended. Are the
> kruds from Alabamastan or Kentuckystan?
>
> -=jd=-

I'm afraid to say now. I wouldn't want to offend an entire ethnic
group. Perhaps they're nomadic!

Dave K8MN

# 2365. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 04:01:13 UTC
From: Glass Tower <nobodyHome@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de3lg5$3rj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

> Maybe you'll find the "Kruds" in the ARRL DXCC Countries List, Mr DXer.
> Or maybe you won't. In any case, it doesn't excuse your ridiculing an
> entire ethnic group in an attempt to bother me. You one helluva
> ambasador in the amateur community, Mr. A1 Op!

I submit that there is no ethnic group called "kruds", despite Colonel
Morgan's best efforts to introduce them. I find your indignity over a
non-existent ethnic group to be very amusing.

How does one insult a group of non-existent people?

Dave K8MN
.....

Liberals are bred to be super sensitive to minority groups, whether real or
perceived. They learn at an early age how to wring their hands and take on
an air of condescending patience with us, the Great Unwashed.
They are, after all, in their minds, morally superior to the rest of us.

## 2366. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 04:05:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yUcNe.7160$Wi6.4525@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116667

an_old_friend wrote:

> Nah I am the logs with a stack of Logs enough feul there to keep my
> home war for the next 2 years

You're the logs, huh? Well, you looked pretty wooden-headed in your
recent Google misrepresentations. Is that part of your home war?

I've seen no sign of an apology for your outright fabrications.

Dave K8MN

# 2367. Re: "Bill Clinton and his administration killed three thousand Americans"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 04:15:54 UTC
From: Glass Tower <nobodyHome@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de3mbl$7ji$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.mideast

>>>To which ethnic group do the kruds belong, Mr. Cheese?
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>> Maybe you'll find the "Kruds" in the ARRL DXCC Countries List, Mr DXer.
>> Or maybe you won't. In any case, it doesn't excuse your ridiculing an
>> entire ethnic group in an attempt to bother me. You one helluva
>> ambasador in the amateur community, Mr. A1 Op!
>
> I submit that there is no ethnic group called "kruds", despite Colonel
> Morgan's best efforts to introduce them. I find your indignity over a
> non-existent ethnic group to be very amusing.
>
> How does one insult a group of non-existent people?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>

It requires an active imagination on the part of the offended. Are the
kruds from Alabamastan or Kentuckystan?

-=jd=-
--
Many kruds (note no use of caps) seem to hail from the costal regions. Many
live sheltered lives, work in academia or the media and are entirely
clueless. There is likewise quite a generous smattering of kruds in the
Democrat party.

We should initiate an effort to design an "adopt a krud" week. Ted "the
swimmer" Kennedy will most likely throw his considerable weight (no pun)
behind this effort. Toss in a case of Vodka and he will most likely try to
make a national holiday of it.

## 2368. Re: Sing a song of Lardass, the 31 year old VIRGIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 04:21:48 UTC
From: Nomen Woger <GlendaleFool@anonremailer.u>
Message-ID: <de3mmn$93l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame

Keep posting, Wogie. Someday you might even say something intelligent.

--
"There you have it: Just start talking about fags, asses, or
cocksucking, and Woger comes stumbling in stepping on his crank, with
both cap guns blazing." -- John Kimball

# 2369. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 05:08:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124428082.267451.285440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116670

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 18 Aug 2005 14:45

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message

>> > Well We NoCoders did exactly that and NCI was never all that large
>
>> It was large enough and organized enough for its issue. And being a single
>> issue organization, the membership was in agreement about its issue.
>
>Heck, agreement was/is a condition of membership!

Oh, my, watch out everyone, Jimmie is tossing them old
red herrings around again! :-)

>It's interesting that NCI was and is so secretive about its numbers.

Why? You sound worried.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie, you were all a-twitter about that
back in the days of "No SSB International" and "Know
Code International"! :-)

I'm a member of NCI. Carl Stevenson is a mamber of NCI.
Bill Sohl is a member of NCI.

>Last I heard, it amounted to less than 7000 members worldwide.

You MIGHT try the entire International Amateur Radio Union
as far as no-code-test advocacy. Remember them? IARU?
ALL the REST of the amateur radio organizations in the
world?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, the IARU came out in favor of DROPPING the
morse code test about two years BEFORE WRC-03. Really!
It was on their website and everything!

Ya know what, yanno, S25 got REWRITTEN at WRC-03 and
S25.5 had the compulsory everybody-gotta test for morse
for privileges below 30 MHz licenses.

By the way, the membership of the ARRL is or rather was
149,583 as of 30 June 2005. Ya wanna know how easy it
was to find that out, yanno? NOT easy. The ONLY place
it was in was the "Publisher's Sworn Statement" under
the Advertising page of the QST page of the Services

page. The ARRL can't be up-front about it. Even so,
those "Publisher's Sworn Statement" numbers come out
only twice a year.

Based on www.hamdata.com statistics of a month ago
(18 July 2005), about as close to 30 June as anything,
the total INDIVIDUAL U.S. amateur radio licenses then
were 721,953 (731,543 with the 9,590 "club" licenses
added on). That means that only 20.72% of all U.S.
licensees are League members...roughly one out of five.

>--
>
>I think that crediting NCI for the code test reduction/elimination is
>a bit like crediting the rooster for the dawn. Look at the history:

Yawn, Jimmie crack corn and I don't care... :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk...forgetting that the rest of the world
"didn't do anything" are you? :-)

>1990: FCC creates medical waivers at White House
> request, to do a favor for a foreign head of state.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...UNVERIFIED urban myth, Jimmie. You
FORGOT one very important little detail: The NPRM
on creation of the no-code-test Technician class was
released.

>1991: FCC creates a nocodetest ham license in USA by simply
> eliminating code test from Technician.

"Simply?" :-) It was called a REPORT and ORDER.

That no-code-test Technician class accounted for
about 200 K *new* amateur licensees in its 14 year
span...many, many more than any OTHER *new* class
licensees.

>1996: NCI formed

By Bruce Perens, a 20 WPM code-tested Extra.

Tsk, you really ought to credit "No SSB International"
and "Know Code International" for something, shouldn't
you? :-)

>2000: FCC reduces code testing to 5 wpm for all classes requiring
> a code test despite majority of comments supporting 2 or 3
> code test speeds. States that treaty requirement is only
> reason 5 wpm was kept. Also reduces written testing.

Oh, my, "the majority wanted lots of code testing"
urban myth surfaces again! Maybe you ought to look
at LeRoy (Larry) Klose III exhibits back in 1999

again? I have them. Need a copy because you can't
find them on the ECFS?

2001: The IARU comes out against compulsory amateur
radio code testing, wanting to rewrite S25 to make it
optional for any administration.

2002: The IWG 6 group handling amateur radio matters
for the WRC discusses the S25 revision and Carl
Stevenson, then-chief of NCI was present at those
discussions (plural, you can find the minutes on the
FCC website under International Bureau documents).

>2003: WRC-2003 eliminates treaty requirement for code test.

Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT the IARU input to WRC-03,
Jimmie. Best you read the FCC International Bureau
REPORT on WRC-03 again (if you ever did). The report
was written by the leader of the U.S. Administration
team that was there.

ALSO in that year marked the first of the 18 Petitions
for various "restructurings" of U.S. amateur regulations,
the majority of those Petitions favoring No Code Test
either entirely or in part.

2004: The rest of the 18 Petitions show up with the
VEC wanting NO CODE TEST whatsoever.

>2005: FCC proposes complete elimination of code testing, as proposed
> by several petitions.

...and you see "NO" influence of NCI at all, do you?
Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>The trend to nocodetest, and to less testing overall, was clear long
>before NCI appeared on the scene.

Yawn, yanno. What next? Dropping code testing was
controlled by the Trilateral Commission or the
Illuminati?!? :-)

con gam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2370. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 05:10:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124428208.013163.211950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116671

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 18, 3:33 pm

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > cut
>> > > > > dis dat
>> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>> > > > Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
>> > > > disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
>> > > > problems with that hypothesis:
>
>> sorry about mssing this one earlier
>
>You gotta look at both sides if you want to be known as being fair and
>balanced.

Some of us DO but, when messaging to unbalanced, unfair folks,
it is rather difficult... :-)

>> > > > a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
>> > > > century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
>
>> > > and right Now I can't see how NCTA can be disgruntled the only
>> > > bothering me now is chopping at the bit wiating for it to be over
>
>> > > Even my non Ham freinds have noticed the improvement in my often
>> > > somewhat dower expression
>> > > and dared asked me to explain
>
>> > > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
>> > > stuff like that
>
>> > Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
>> > mouth might be mistaken as gloating.

[only the NCTA are allowed to gloat... :-) ]

>> way too late but I realy don't care, even at the club meeting with a
>> fair number of code supporter there were gracious enough to ask me what
>> I thought of NPRM, after first sugest ing everyone brace themsleves for
>> my response which a loud "YES, Bout damn time!!"
>

>Was it tough getting the tar and feathers off? ;^)

:-)

>> > > > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
>
>> > > OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks
>
>> > They'll form support groups to try to cope.
>
>> I hope so. think we should start a fund to help out?
>
>Compassionate No-Codism? I'm good with that.

Heh heh. Expect the ARRL to get in on the act, maybe the
"Pro-coder Compassion Supporter (or Truss?) Fund" or some
title like that...

On the ARRL's "poll" for 5 Aug 05 and an opinion on NPRM
05-143, of the 9,484 votes cast: 48.5% said it was a fine
idea, 47.1% sait it was a terrible idea, and 4.4% had no
particular opinion either way.

Nah...let's just pick up the 2x4s and beat them over the head
with the issue...just like they used to do it to no-coders
in the last 50 years or so. I think they deserve it... :-)

two for
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2371. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 05:12:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124428321.831516.108910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116672

From: Dan/W4NTI on Aug 18, 3:17 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed, Aug 17 2005 3:47 pm
>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>>>> But, something ELSE operative here. Note: Your CO gave you
>>>> permission to operate. On another thread in here, some time
>>>> ago, Brian Burke was given permission by HIS CO to operate
>>>> amateur radio from a foreign land. Now all the JAG-wannabes
>>>> in here (PCTAs all) jumped all over Brian's case on that,
>>>> citing absolutely NOTHING germane, one (a "seven-hostile-action"
>>>> hero) even implying that the UCMJ didn't apply, only the FCC
>>>> regulations applied (they don't but the shell-shocked murine
>>>> didn't understand that).
>
>>>> Under the terms of the Kangaroo Kourt of the PCTAs:
>
>>>> If Brian Burke is guilty of improper amateur radio operation,
>>>> then Dan Jeswald is EQUALLY GUILTY of doing so from Germany.
>
>>>> If Dan Jeswald is not guilty, then Brian Burke is not guilty.
>
>>>> It's the SAME SORT of "OFFENSE" as codified by the PCTA.
>
>>>Offense? By operating under my legal German callsign of DA2LJ, based on my
>>>just as legal US Callsign, both based on the "Status of Forces" agreement
>>>in place at the time does NOT constitute ANY SORT OF OFFENSE.

Tsk, tsk, TSK! Every member of the United States military is
subject to the UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE (UCMJ) anywhere
he or she is. The UCMJ >>OVERRIDES<< every other civil law
as long as they are IN the U.S. military.

That applied to YOU. That applied to Brian when he was in
active duty. That even applied to me long ago.

>> Dannie boy, you didn't see the big brouhaha in here on Brian
>> making a single comment about doing amateur ops from Somalia
>> and ALL the resident PCTAs in here jumping on his case? All
>> of those PCTA extras were ready to give Brian a necktie party
>> for that "offense" which all of them ruled on...
>
>Oh yeah, now I know what your talking about. Well Lennie operation out of a
>foreign country does require that countries approval. I had German
>approval. So what is your problem?

The ONLY problem is the PCTA extra Double Standard. All you PCTA doublers want to rag on NCTAs for some imaginary "offense" but when confronted with the SAME THING applying to one of YOU, it is un-glue time and y'all pull a hissy-fit.

>>>Under my German Amateur Radio License I had FULL Privlidges any German
>>>National had. That included VHF on 2m FM withing the confines of the
>>>Federal Republic of Germany.
>
>> So...how do you relate that to the UCMJ that required you to
>> abide by Army regulations? Remember that you described using
>> your amateur HT "while standing on a command track." That
>> indicates you were in the field, on a field exercise for the
>> United States Army. United States of America. NOT the
>> Federal Republic of Germany ("west Germany" in 1972).
>
>Operating a amateur radio does not come under the Uniformed Code of Military
>Justice Lennie, it is controlled by the Gernam Post Office to be exact,
>under the Status of Forces agreement the US had with Germany at that time.

Sweetums, it doan matter when it comes to COMMUNICATING anything to M.I. and the JAG. COMMUNICATIONS by any means of sensitive/classified military information is an offense under the UCMJ.

>You are spinning off on a dead end tanget Lennie.

Not at all. When IN the U.S. military, all are under the UCMJ first...everything else is secondary.

>> To whom did you owe the greater loyalty to? Ham radio? The
>> FRG? Or to the United States of America?
>
>My loyalties have NOTHING WHATSOEVER to do with operating my ham radio
>anywhere or anytime.

So, as long as you are operating your ham radio, you HAVE NO LOYALTY to anyone? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>Again Lennie, its a a dead end and I'm not falling for it.

No. The UCMJ is NOT a "dead end." It applied just before my Army service time began in 1952...it applied 20 years later when you were in your shack-onna-track...it applies TODAY to EVERY member of the United States military.

>Hey Lennie the loser....I'm shaking in my boots.

Call the VA's nearest medical facility to ease that trembling, Dan Disabled. They will fix you up.

>All you were was a broom pushing tube puller. That once
>in a while pushed a button or two.

Now, now, you are getting all ANGRY again. I was a "soldier first, signalman second." We were all reminded of that often...along with a yearly reading of the UCMJ (true).

Operations and Maintenance Supervisors of Microwave Radio Relay Systems facilities (MOS 281.6, the "0.6" denoting the supervisor part, E-5 or higher) did MORE than "push brooms and pull tubes." :-)

On the real side, none of us were too proud to refrain from such routine tasks when there was a need. Yes, we all "pulled tubes" on those 9 microwave radio relay terminals...they had over 250 vacuum tubes in each one and those didn't last forever.

There was NO "Fulda Gap" in Japan or Korea. Jimmie Noserve could have told you that; he is a renowned military expert for having read so much about it. Brain Kellie might have told you, too, but he is having some personal problems right now on spelling of others' names.

>Take your best shot idiot.

Not necessary. I qualified Expert on personal small arms shooting but I won't have to shoot anything. You've already shot yourself in the foot more than once. "No loyalty owed to anyone anywhere!" Tsk, tsk, tsk.

non loy
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2372. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 05:42:29 UTC
From: Nomen Woger <GlendaleFool@anonremailer.u>
Message-ID: <de3re0$ssd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116673

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

Yawn

# 2373. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 05:42:53 UTC
From: Nomen Woger <GlendaleFool@anonremailer.u>
Message-ID: <de3reo$ste$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116674

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

Yawn

# 2374. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 05:43:22 UTC
From: Nomen Woger <GlendaleFool@anonremailer.u>
Message-ID: <de3rfl$t3m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116675

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

More boredom...

# 2375. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 05:47:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124430420.550012.133970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116676

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 18 Aug 2005 18:45

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 16 Aug 2005 15:41>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm

>> >> Of course, one must take into account davie's perception of
>> >> "courtesy"...being nice to folks who agree with him... :-)
>> >
>> >Very typical PCTA behavio[u]r.
>>
>> Well, dishonorable judge Heil should have been all over Jeswald
>> on his Fulda Gap Heroes posting about Dan using his shack-onna-
>> belt while standing on top of his shack-onna-track in Germany.
>> He ain't said a word. Maybe he has a "protection contract" to
>> defend Somalia?
>
>Shack-onna-belt OK if you the "right" kind of ham. Beep beep.

How about shack-onna-track? :-)

Heh, not even the Roll-i-gone got one of THOSE in the air farts.

>> >He's probably in a severe funk from not being the object of "CQ rare
>> >DX."
>>
>> Sic transit gloria mundi. ["so goes the glory of the world"]
>>
>He wants to activate "Krud?" He'il make fun of a whole ethnic group to
>poke at me.

Davie will make fun of anything/anybody if he can make message
points. He does. Diplomacy was NOT one of his strong suites
in da "foreign service" and it sure as hell is MISSING in here.

But, a point in his favor: He knows Hunnish, speaks krud
and probably has his Capital One credit card all paid up...
he can go get new clothes to replace the barbarian costumes
he wears.

new get
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2376. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 06:02:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124431323.407806.39130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116677

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Nah I am the logs with a stack of Logs enough feul there to keep my
> > home war for the next 2 years
>
> You're the logs, huh? Well, you looked pretty wooden-headed in your
> recent Google misrepresentations. Is that part of your home war?

Yea I got the logs, 10 Chords of them

What Home war are you talking about
>
> I've seen no sign of an apology for your outright fabrications.

No and you will not see an apology esp as nothing was frabricated
>
> Dave K8MN

## 2377. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 09:29:36 UTC
From: Nomen Wogie <GlendaleFool@anonremailer.u>
Message-ID: <de48nr$lad$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116678

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
More tripe...

Never say in one K that which can be belabored, ad nauseum, in seven K.

## 2378. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 10:42:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124448149.452456.137190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116679

Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in regards to FCC NPRMs.

Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and commenters' views on code testing.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/bd661a50825a37f3?dmode=source&hl=en

http://tinyurl.com/7t3te

It was posted Mar 12 1999, by WA6VSE. Here's a relevant quote

BEGIN QUOTE:

Here's a summary of how the numbers came out ... more detail will be available from the NCI website soon ... special thanks to Larry Close

[Larry Klose, KC8EPO]

who put in a herculean effort to read EVERY record in the ECFS database and do a very comprehensive statistical analysis of the body of comment.

Code Exam Proposal Summary

Position Supported # %
No Code Comments 711 43% (favoring 5 wpm MAX or NO code test)
Pro-Code Comments 607 37% (status quo, including rants for faster code tests)
ARRL Comments 331 20% ("I support the ARRL proposal" or supporting 5/12/12)
---
TOTAL COMMENTS 1649 100%

END QUOTE

(the bit about "rants" is from the poster of the results, not KC8EPO)

Larry eliminated dupes and responses that did not address the code test issue.

It's clear that:

43% is not a majority, yet they got what they wanted.

57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 2 or 3 code test speeds. That majority did not get what they wanted.

57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12, 13 or 20 wpm for Extra.
That majority did not get what they wanted.

57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12 or 13 wpm for Advanced.
That majority did not get what they wanted.

80% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 5 wpm for General
That majority did get what they wanted.

But only 43% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 5 wpm or less code
testing. That's a minorty, but that's what FCC did.

57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all
license classes requiring a code test.

If someone thinks 43% is a majority, they're either lying or they
don't understand what the words mean.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2379. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 13:36:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124458592.783290.301250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116680

more abusing of poor dead equines Jim
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> regards to FCC NPRMs.
>
> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
> commenters' views on code testing.
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/bd661a50825a37f3?dmode=
source&hl=en
>
> http://tinyurl.com/7t3te
>
> It was posted Mar 12 1999, by WA6VSE. Here's a relevant quote
>
> BEGIN QUOTE:
>
> Here's a summary of how the numbers came out ... more detail will be
> available from the NCI website soon ... special thanks to Larry Close
>
> [Larry Klose, KC8EPO]
>
> who put in a herculean effort to read EVERY record in the ECFS database
> and do a very comprehensive statistical analysis of the body of
> comment.
>
>
> Code Exam Proposal Summary
>
> Position Supported # %
> No Code Comments 711 43% (favoring 5 wpm MAX or NO code test)
> Pro-Code Comments 607 37% (status quo, including rants for faster
> code tests)
> ARRL Comments 331 20% ("I support the ARRL proposal" or
> supporting 5/12/12)
> ---------------------------------------------------------
> TOTAL COMMENTS 1649 100%
>
> END QUOTE
>
> (the bit about "rants" is from the poster of the results, not KC8EPO)
>
> Larry eliminated dupes and responses that did not address the
> code test issue.

> It's clear that:
>
> 43% is not a majority, yet they got what they wanted.
>
> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 2 or 3 code test speeds.
> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>
> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12, 13 or 20 wpm for Extra.
> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>
> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12 or 13 wpm for Advanced.
> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>
> 80% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 5 wpm for General
> That majority did get what they wanted.
>
> But only 43% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 5 wpm or less code
> testing. That's a minorty, but that's what FCC did.
>
> 57% is a clear majority, but FCC ignored it and went to 5 wpm for all
> license classes requiring a code test.
>
> If someone thinks 43% is a majority, they're either lying or they
> don't understand what the words mean.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2380. day 8 of Stevie Promised action, still MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 13:40:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124458828.874186.222620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116681

KY4Z, Stevie why don't you give up the lie that you have any real ability to harm me in the real world.

You promised Action action that would more than anoy me.

Where is it?

I see nothing Nada from your claimed "action"

You seem to be proven a braggard unable to deliver on his bold words.

A coward unable to admit his mistakes

Bully able to dish our abuse but unable to satnd it being returned in kind

## 2381. Re: day 8 of Stevie Promised action, still MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 13:48:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124459310.307915.88570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116682

an_old_friend wrote:

> KY4Z, Stevie...(SNIP)

Another day, another MarkieLie.

Mark, why are you lying?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2382. Re: day 8 of Stevie Promised action, still MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 14:26:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124461568.000208.53070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116683

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > KY4Z, Stevie...(SNIP)
stevie evading the Issue again

KY4Z, Stevie why don't you give up the lie that you have any real ability to harm me in the real world.

You promised Action action that would more than anoy me.

Where is it?

I see nothing Nada from your claimed "action"

You seem to be proven a braggard unable to deliver on his bold words.

A coward unable to admit his mistakes

Bully able to dish our abuse but unable to satnd it being returned in kind

## 2383. When is Stevie going to fess up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 14:27:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124461677.099100.88970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116684

when will stevie fess up to being unable to deliver his promised
actions

Most likely never Stevie doesn't fess up

## 2384. Re: When is Stevie going to fess up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:31:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124465499.692913.254230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116685

an_old_friend wrote:
> when will stevie fess up to being unable to deliver his promised
> actions
>
> Most likely never Stevie doesn't fess up

It's out of my hands.

And while we're at it, why don't you tell us why you keep lying?

Steve, K4YZ

## 2385. Reminding Stveie of his words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:32:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124465541.300874.149960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116686

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"
> >
> > total results to date, nothing
> >
> > It sure looks like Stevie promise of action was somekind of lie
> >
> > Did he even call anyone? maybe
>
> No maybe.

where's the proof?
>
> > Did any one take him seriously? looks like that is a NO
>
> Looks like they are taking thier time. Notice anyone looking at
> you in public? Cars driving by slowly?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You got anithing but your silling fuck games

## 2386. Markie Anxious To Get Into Therapy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:33:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124465634.954510.235490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116687

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > KY4Z, Stevie...(SNIP)
>
> KY4Z, Stevie...(SNIP)

Mark, why do you continue to perpetuate this lie?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2387. Re: When is Stevie going to fess up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:37:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124465852.475414.160920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116688

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > when will stevie fess up to being unable to deliver his promised
> > actions
> >
> > Most likely never Stevie doesn't fess up
>
> It's out of my hands.

That isn't what you said

You promised action that would more than anoy me

>
> And while we're at it, why don't you tell us why you keep lying?

No lies but yours

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2388. Re: Reminding Stveie of his words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:38:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124465891.303744.170020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116689

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"
> > >
> > > total results to date, nothing
> > >
> > > It sure looks like Stevie promise of action was somekind of lie
> > >
> > > Did he even call anyone? maybe
> >
> > No maybe.
>
> where's the proof?

Coming, Markie.

I never said anything was going to happen in any given time frame.

(I know you'll say 'yes you did', but you can't produce a post to
substantiate it....)

> > > Did any one take him seriously? looks like that is a NO
> >
> > Looks like they are taking thier time. Notice anyone looking at
> > you in public? Cars driving by slowly?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You got anithing but your silling #### games

"anything" "silly"

Sure I do. And I don't need to resort to gutter mouth language.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2389. day 8 of Stevie Promised action, still MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:39:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124465969.926915.257260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116690

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > KY4Z, Stevie...(SNIP)
> >
> > KY4Z, Stevie...(SNIP)
>
> Mark, why do you continue to perpetuate this lie?

No lie at all. I told you youd be called till you apolozied for
mangling my call and not apologizing

I guess you don't know the meaning of the word "lie"

stevie evading the Issue again

KY4Z, Stevie why don't you give up the lie that you have any real
ability to harm me in the real world.

You promised Action action that would more than anoy me.

Where is it?

I see nothing Nada from your claimed "action"

You seem to be proven a braggard unable to deliver on his bold words.

A coward unable to admit his mistakes

Bully able to dish our abuse but unable to satnd it being returned in
kind

# 2390. Re: When is Stevie going to fess up? (He already did, But I won't admit it...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:41:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124466091.695833.261040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116691

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > when will stevie fess up to being unable to deliver his promised
> > > actions
> > >
> > > Most likely never Stevie doesn't fess up
> >
> > It's out of my hands.
>
> That isn't what you said
>
> You promised action that would more than anoy me

And it's out of my hands. The State of Michigan get's to do their
thing now...We'll see where they take it from there.

> > And while we're at it, why don't you tell us why you keep lying?
>
> No lies but yours

Sure there is...Like your repeated use of a callsign that doesn't
belong to me.

Just one more example of why Mark Morgan's word is worthless.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2391. Re: Reminding Stveie of his words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:41:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124466101.090767.186980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116692

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > well here we are on day 5 of Stevie promised "action"
> > > >
> > > > total results to date, nothing
> > > >
> > > > It sure looks like Stevie promise of action was somekind of lie
> > > >
> > > > Did he even call anyone? maybe
> > >
> > > No maybe.
> >
> > where's the proof?
>
> Coming, Markie.

when?

>
> I never said anything was going to happen in any given time frame.

Right the Cops and Mental health people are just Biding their time,
toying with me

>
> (I know you'll say 'yes you did', but you can't produce a post to
> substantiate it....)
>
> > > > Did any one take him seriously? looks like that is a NO
> > >
> > > Looks like they are taking thier time. Notice anyone looking at
> > > you in public? Cars driving by slowly?
> > >
> > > Steve, KY4Z
> >
> > You got anithing but your silling #### games
>
> "anything" "silly"
>
> Sure I do. And I don't need to resort to gutter mouth language.

then produce
```

You promised results Stevie
>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2392. Re: Reminding Stveie of his words (<<<More Markie Mistakes Making Mounds of Markie Mularkie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:43:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124466234.105874.295120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116693

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > You got anithing but your silling #### games
>
> "anything" "silly"
>
> Sure I do. And I don't need to resort to gutter mouth language.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2393. Re: When is Stevie going to fess up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:46:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124466396.979448.195060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116694

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > when will stevie fess up to being unable to deliver his promised
> > > > actions
> > > >
> > > > Most likely never Stevie doesn't fess up
> > >
> > > It's out of my hands.
> >
> > That isn't what you said
> >
> > You promised action that would more than anoy me
>
> And it's out of my hands. The State of Michigan get's to do their
> thing now...We'll see where they take it from there.

Now where it seems

becuase of course there was nowhere to take it

What was Michigan going to do Arest me for Lying on your say so

Comit me to a mental ward on your say so

They have more folsk than they can keep now, and people that are realy
sick too

Not people suffering from the Illness of oposing the great Stevie

>
> > > And while we're at it, why don't you tell us why you keep lying?
> >
> > No lies but yours
>
> Sure there is...Like your repeated use of a callsign that doesn't
> belong to me.

That is a simple retorthorical tactic not a lie
>
> Just one more example of why Mark Morgan's word is worthless.

Your word is worthless

You promised action

You were a Fool to promise it, but you did it
>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2394. Re: Reminding Stevie of his words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:50:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124466616.531633.322650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116695

what nothing to say
KY4Z wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > You got anithing but your silling #### games
> >
> > "anything" "silly"
> >
> > Sure I do. And I don't need to resort to gutter mouth language.
> >
> > Steve, KY4Z

All Stevie can do is running around screaming He said a bad word

Grow up

Admit you can't deliver on your promises

That the world does not think that higher of an LPN as to take action
at every little whining LPN's furstartion

You called no doubt you in trying to pile up enough bullshit you showed
yourself to be the hate fill SoS we all know you are, and they wrote
you off

# 2395. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:56:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124466977.755064.176540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116696

an_old_friend wrote:
> Jim your posts could be made A Lot easier to read, by simply geting to
> your point in staed of going and and on about event starting in mnay
> case before i was born, and that you have related a number of times

"getting" "instead" "many"

> Why don't you post more clearly?

His posts are crystal clear to anyone with more than a fifth grade
education.

> simply becuase it does not suit YOUR style and wishes

So...it's your "suggestion" that Jim lobotomize himself just so
YOU can understand him a bit better...?!?!

Somehow I don't see that happening!

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > Allegedly.
> >
> > Mark has claimed a lot of things in the past that
> > simply did not add up. Like claims of a 248 IQ...
>
> proving you don't know much about medical matters

Where in that did Jim state ANYthing that required any "knowledge"
about medical matters...?!?!

You DID state that you have an IQ of 248.

> > Perhaps his condition is real, perhaps it isn't.
> > That's not the issue at all - the issue is what
> > he does about it.
> >
> > He says the use of a spellchecker would slow him
> > down too much and that we're not worth the effort.
>
> it would at least doueblt the amount of Time and the medium is not
> worth the effort. If you want to take saying that Medium is not worth
> the effort personaly you can of course

"doueblt"...I'm not even going to guess what that was supposed to
be...

"personally"

And what were you trying to say, anyway..?!?! Again, more
non-sensical jibberish masquerading as "English"....

> I am not convinced the medium is worth the time I am spending Now let
> alone more

Huh...?!?! What...?!?!

> > > Give your newcomer a medical problem
> > > (speech impediment?) and rewrite the story.
> >
> > How about a person with a speech problem who
> > refuses to go to speech therapy, even though
> > the cost is low and improvement is clearly
> > possible?
>
> and Who are you know what is possible. Stevie at least has some
> exposure to medical matter although he clearly is off target

Nope. Got you nailed to the wall, Markie.

Not even Ray Charles could miss you!

> > > Then we'll answer your question
> > > "How should the group respond?"
> >
> > Who is "we"?
>
> who is we indeed?

You just don't get it, Markie...

Steve, K4YZ

## 2396. Re: here is an issue, Operating and the Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 15:56:57 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <UDAX6FE438583.4978819444@anonymous>
Source record: 116697

In article <de3re0$ssd$0@pita.alt.net>
"Nomen Woger" <GlendaleF...@anonremailer.u> wrote:
>
>
> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> Yawn

Good, fat boy Lloydie, get all the oxygen you can to that atrophied little brain cell.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

# 2397. Re: Brain Sets the Odds, Loses Own Percentage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 16:02:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124467370.928414.218470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116698

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
> > > > > > keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
> > > > > > don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
> > > > > > at the point of being "braggard" about it.
> > > >
> > > > > really ?
> > > >
> > > > > more whoopers
> > >
> > > Alla time.
> >
> > Such as?
> >
> > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
>
> Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.

It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
into Lennie's game.

> > Nope. The right to be addressed as "Marine".
>
> OK "Marine."
>
> > > Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
> > > status.
> >
> > Seems you're no better at getting it right than I was, Brain.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Doh!
>
> I guess the little red-hatted monkey in me should have voted on that
> one.

Brain...You ARE the little red-hatted monkey...And Lennie's
cranking out your tunes...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2398. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 16:09:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124467751.631240.75340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116699

Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:
> In article <1124297716.405592.99790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > The "notching" solution is simple: Their BPL system does not use
> > frequencies that are also ham bands. Whether it works or not is an open
> > question.
>
> But how long will that last?

Exactly.

> Here in Israel we call it the "boiled lobster"
> effect. A live lobster placed in a pot of cold water is happy. As the
> water gets hotter it falls asleep. It never realizes it's being cooked.

There's a similar story on this side of the pond about a frog. Says
that
if you put a frog into hot water it will jump out, but if you put one
in
cool water and warm it up slowly, it will not.

Except that's not what happens at all - real frogs jump out when the
water gets hot enough.

www.snopes.com
>
> This what will happen with BPL. By the time you realize that it's taken
> over the ham bands, it will be too late.

The ARRL and others have made a lot of noise about BPL, and they're not
letting up.

> That's why I'm boycotting
> Google, they with two other companies invested $100,000,000 in BPL.

Does boycotting Google help? I don't pay them any money.

73 de Jim, N2EY

> Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
> IL Voice: (077)-424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
> Support the growing boycott of Google by radio users and hobbyists.
> It's starting to work, Yahoo has surpassed Google.

## 2399. Re: Sing a song of Lardass, the 31 year old VIRGIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 16:15:01 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <70YO3CGX38583.5104282407@anonymous>
Source record: 116700
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <de3mmn$93l$0@pita.alt.net>
"Noballs fatty" <Roger owns you lloydie...@anonremailer.u> whined:
>
> Keep po(Lardass' girly whine)

Keep Whining, fatty, you know deep down you will never post anything
intelligent...ever!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

## 2400. News Flash Stevie KY4Z admits Error, is UPI interested in the stroy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 16:28:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124468891.784635.233240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116701

KY4Z wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> >
> > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
>
> It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> into Lennie's game.

Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up

>
> > > Nope. The right to be addressed as "Marine".
> >
> > OK "Marine."
> >
> > > > Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
> > > > status.
> > >
> > > Seems you're no better at getting it right than I was, Brain.
> > >
> > > Steve, KY4Z
> >
> > Doh!
> >
> > I guess the little red-hatted monkey in me should have voted on that
> > one.
>
> Brain...You ARE the little red-hatted monkey...And Lennie's
> cranking out your tunes...
>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2401. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 16:31:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124469066.305213.256320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116702

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Jim your posts could be made A Lot easier to read, by simply geting to
> > your point in staed of going and and on about event starting in mnay
> > case before i was born, and that you have related a number of times
>
> "getting" "instead" "many"
>
> > Why don't you post more clearly?
>
> His posts are crystal clear to anyone with more than a fifth grade
> education.

if the reader can stay awake long enough

>
> > simply becuase it does not suit YOUR style and wishes
>
> So...it's your "suggestion" that Jim lobotomize himself just so
> YOU can understand him a bit better...?!?!

Not all, More Stevie lie. I sugfested he could get to the point, or at
least have one

>
> Somehow I don't see that happening!
>
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > > Allegedly.
> > >
> > > Mark has claimed a lot of things in the past that
> > > simply did not add up. Like claims of a 248 IQ...
> >
> > proving you don't know much about medical matters
>
> Where in that did Jim state ANYthing that required any "knowledge"
> about medical matters...?!?!

in claiming that he can test someone IQ over the net

>
> You DID state that you have an IQ of 248.
>

> > > Perhaps his condition is real, perhaps it isn't.
> > > That's not the issue at all - the issue is what
> > > he does about it.
> > >
> > > He says the use of a spellchecker would slow him
> > > down too much and that we're not worth the effort.
> >
> > it would at least doueblt the amount of Time and the medium is not
> > worth the effort. If you want to take saying that Medium is not worth
> > the effort personaly you can of course
>
> "doueblt"...I'm not even going to guess what that was supposed to
> be...

then don't bother

>
> "personally"
>
> And what were you trying to say, anyway..?!?! Again, more
> non-sensical jibberish masquerading as "English"....
>
> > I am not convinced the medium is worth the time I am spending Now let
> > alone more
>
> Huh...?!?! What...?!?!
>
> > > > Give your newcomer a medical problem
> > > > (speech impediment?) and rewrite the story.
> > >
> > > How about a person with a speech problem who
> > > refuses to go to speech therapy, even though
> > > the cost is low and improvement is clearly
> > > possible?
> >
> > and Who are you know what is possible. Stevie at least has some
> > exposure to medical matter although he clearly is off target
>
> Nope. Got you nailed to the wall, Markie.

More lies

Where is your Action?

>
> Not even Ray Charles could miss you!
>
> > > > Then we'll answer your question
> > > > "How should the group respond?"
> > >
> > > Who is "we"?
> >

> > who is we indeed?
>
> You just don't get it, Markie...
>
> Steve, KY4Z

## 2402. Stevie tries to be clever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 16:35:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124469321.039514.325410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116703

KY4Z titled a post

When is Stevie going to fess up? (He already did, But I won't admit it...)

Well Stevie you going to confess to your lies

Confess you can't cause me any real anoyance

Confess you can't get anyone busted

confess you don't bother with the truth

# 2403. Proof of Stevie Double Standard, if proof were really needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:04:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124471048.944775.303060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116704

>KY4Z
> > Hot ham and cheese
> > >KY4Z
> > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> >
> > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
>
> It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> into Lennie's game.
>

in the Above Stevie claims that He may lie if someone else makes him

But he will not allow that after He goes on about being a Marine Sniper
and on about his kills and finishes off with "One Shot, One Kill, even
in Illopolis) I decided to lie about my rank to cause you some
confusion Until I could get back to protect my family

But here again we have Proof of Stevie Double standard

# 2404. AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:04:53 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <43061123.8020007@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116705

Comments submitted to the FCC,
advocating ARRL administration of
Morse license endorsment:
---

18 Aug. 2005
Re: WT Docket 05-235,
Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.

I respectfully submit that we can relieve
the FCC of the burden and expense of administering
Amateur Radio Element One (Morse Code),
while preserving a skill which has both
a direct bearing on our nation's security
and a "global heritage" aspect.

We should maintain some level of incentive to
preserve and develop skill in Morse Code:

* Morse Code is still in use for covert
and intelligence operations throughout the world,
and will remain so for the foreseeable future.

* Morse code transmitters and receivers
are simple to make and operate,
needing only a handful of low-tech, inexpensive parts,
making them available even in less-developed
areas of the globe, where expensive and complicated
"hi-tech" systems are unavailable and, if present,
are subject to multiple failure modes.

* The only ready and sizable reservoir of trained
Morse operators is the Amateur Radio community.
If we remove any incentive to develop Morse skill,
this valuable asset will quickly cease to exist.

There is also a global historic and "Heritage of Humanity"
aspect to this issue. Morse Code has served as a
reliable means of communications for one and a half centuries.
It has been a primary tool in life-saving and part of
the great communication web that has knit us together,
first spanning neighborhoods, then continents, and
finally the world. As a tool in the evolution of
the global community, it ranks with the sailing ship,
steam ship, railroad and telephone. We preserve early
examples of these other means of connecting with

the larger world; Morse Code surely deserves at least
a modest effort at preservation, just as we preserve
these other "touch-stones" of our progress.
Without some form of incentive,
this important skill will be lost to us.

We can accomplish this while removing the burden
and expense from the FCC.
I respectfully suggest the following steps be adopted:

1. Drop the Element One (Morse Code) testing requirement
from Amateur Radio regulations. The FCC would
no longer be responsible for, or need to allocate
resources to, this task.

2. Reallocate the bottom 10 kiloHertz of each Amateur Radio
spectrum allocation to exclusive Morse Code use.
This is a small window, but is easily sufficient bandwidth
for skilled Morse operators.
It provides an "historic preserve," protected from
new and wider-bandwidth modes and will have
no impact on the development and use of new techniques.

3. Authorize the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) to
administer and issue, through the Volunteer Examiner
program, a license endorsement, attachable to any class
of Amateur Radio license, awarded for demonstration
of Morse skill at 5 WPM or better. Only those Amateur
operators with the endorsement could operate their stations
in the 10 kHz "historic preserves." The ARRL could
establish premiums for contesting and skill certifications
earned within the "preserves."
Continue to allow Morse Code use throughout
the remaining Amateur spectrum, subject to present rules
and/or future reallocations.

These modest steps will preserve this valuable and historic skill,
while removing the administrative burden from the FCC.
I respectfully submit them for your consideration.

Kind Regards,
David L. Stinson AB5S
Field Engineer
Wylie, Texas

# 2405. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:09:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124471389.738285.55080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116706

Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
set aside

One can either USE Morse Code or not

But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure

David Stinson wrote:
> Comments submitted to the FCC,
> advocating ARRL administration of
> Morse license endorsment:
> -------------------
>
> 18 Aug. 2005
> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.

cuting to save BW

# 2406. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:13:25 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <VqoNe.7539$Wi6.3666@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116707

an_old_friend wrote:

> Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
> set aside
>
> One can either USE Morse Code or not
>
> But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure

Yes, just as the U.S.S. Constitution needs "welfare," and
the U.S.S. Arizona memorial, and the local steam train
preservation societies, and WWII Warbird museums,
and The Grand Canyon National Park, etc.
All important historical things need some assets to
preserve them. It's too bad that some people let
their personal bigotries and unwillingness to
work for a goal get in the way of good sense...
D.S.

# 2407. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:29:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124472585.481211.47690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116708

David Stinson wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
> > set aside
> >
> > One can either USE Morse Code or not
> >
> > But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure
>
> Yes, just as the U.S.S. Constitution needs "welfare," and
> the U.S.S. Arizona memorial, and the local steam train
> preservation societies, and WWII Warbird museums,
> and The Grand Canyon National Park, etc.
> All important historical things need some assets to
> preserve them. It's too bad that some people let
> their personal bigotries and unwillingness to
> work for a goal get in the way of good sense...
> D.S.

Well if you think Morse Code needs this aid to suvive then it isn't the usefull mode that you describe

Morse Code either needs aid to survive or not If it needs such help to survive it isn't the usefull mode you describe

Your comments are better than most, but they still have this slipshod logic

Of course you come back with those that oppose you are lazy and unpatriotic

It is amazing that the blindness that results from not examing your beliefs

# 2408. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:36:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.19.17.36.30.220620@gmail.com>
Source record: 116709

Walking was developed way before man ever thought of riding a horse,
camel, oxen, donkey, etc. Indeed, the automobile is only a very recent
development in mankinds' history, even predated by the bicycle...

Yet, few keep horses today as a reliable means of transportation...

Covert operations are mainly don't via the web...

But, nice bit of inaccurate obfuscation... CW will die with ancient
amateurs, however, there is always some faction which will use it, people
still ride oxen...

John

On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 17:04:53 +0000, David Stinson wrote:

> Comments submitted to the FCC,
> advocating ARRL administration of
> Morse license endorsment:
> -------------------
>
> 18 Aug. 2005
> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.
>
> I respectfully submit that we can relieve
> the FCC of the burden and expense of administering
> Amateur Radio Element One (Morse Code),
> while preserving a skill which has both
> a direct bearing on our nation's security
> and a "global heritage" aspect.
>
> We should maintain some level of incentive to
> preserve and develop skill in Morse Code:
>
> * Morse Code is still in use for covert
> and intelligence operations throughout the world,
> and will remain so for the foreseeable future.
>
> * Morse code transmitters and receivers
> are simple to make and operate,
> needing only a handful of low-tech, inexpensive parts,
> making them available even in less-developed
> areas of the globe, where expensive and complicated
> "hi-tech" systems are unavailable and, if present,
> are subject to multiple failure modes.

>
> * The only ready and sizable reservoir of trained
> Morse operators is the Amateur Radio community.
> If we remove any incentive to develop Morse skill,
> this valuable asset will quickly cease to exist.
>
> There is also a global historic and "Heritage of Humanity"
> aspect to this issue. Morse Code has served as a
> reliable means of communications for one and a half centuries.
> It has been a primary tool in life-saving and part of
> the great communication web that has knit us together,
> first spanning neighborhoods, then continents, and
> finally the world. As a tool in the evolution of
> the global community, it ranks with the sailing ship,
> steam ship, railroad and telephone. We preserve early
> examples of these other means of connecting with
> the larger world; Morse Code surely deserves at least
> a modest effort at preservation, just as we preserve
> these other "touch-stones" of our progress.
> Without some form of incentive,
> this important skill will be lost to us.
>
> We can accomplish this while removing the burden
> and expense from the FCC.
> I respectfully suggest the following steps be adopted:
>
> 1. Drop the Element One (Morse Code) testing requirement
> from Amateur Radio regulations. The FCC would
> no longer be responsible for, or need to allocate
> resources to, this task.
>
> 2. Reallocate the bottom 10 kiloHertz of each Amateur Radio
> spectrum allocation to exclusive Morse Code use.
> This is a small window, but is easily sufficient bandwidth
> for skilled Morse operators.
> It provides an "historic preserve," protected from
> new and wider-bandwidth modes and will have
> no impact on the development and use of new techniques.
>
> 3. Authorize the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) to
> administer and issue, through the Volunteer Examiner
> program, a license endorsement, attachable to any class
> of Amateur Radio license, awarded for demonstration
> of Morse skill at 5 WPM or better. Only those Amateur
> operators with the endorsement could operate their stations
> in the 10 kHz "historic preserves." The ARRL could
> establish premiums for contesting and skill certifications
> earned within the "preserves."
> Continue to allow Morse Code use throughout
> the remaining Amateur spectrum, subject to present rules

> and/or future reallocations.
>
> These modest steps will preserve this valuable and historic skill,
> while removing the administrative burden from the FCC.
> I respectfully submit them for your consideration.
>
> Kind Regards,
> David L. Stinson AB5S
> Field Engineer
> Wylie, Texas

# 2409. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:40:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.19.17.40.04.368721@gmail.com>
Source record: 116710

Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
regards to the modes used... (past year or two)

As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
and their modes...

John

On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 10:09:49 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

> Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
> set aside
>
> One can either USE Morse Code or not
>
> But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure
>
> David Stinson wrote:
>> Comments submitted to the FCC,
>> advocating ARRL administration of
>> Morse license endorsment:
>> -------------------
>>
>> 18 Aug. 2005
>> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
>> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.
>
> cuting to save BW

# 2410. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:48:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124473691.126780.210030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116711

John Smith wrote:
> Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
> regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
>
> As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
> no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
> and their modes...
>
> John

And yet His comments are some of the best I have seen in defense of
Code testing

He addreses the reasoning to the Public Good tries to relive the FCC of
the Burdens involved

all in all a decent defense of the indefensable
>
> On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 10:09:49 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
> > set aside
> >
> > One can either USE Morse Code or not
> >
> > But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure
> >
> > David Stinson wrote:
> >> Comments submitted to the FCC,
> >> advocating ARRL administration of
> >> Morse license endorsment:
> >> -------------------
> >>
> >> 18 Aug. 2005
> >> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
> >> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.
> >
> > cuting to save BW

## 2411. just cleaing up my screen pay it no mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 17:56:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124474201.312251.268200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

[No readable article body recovered]

# 2412. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 18:14:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.19.18.14.45.833296@gmail.com>
Source record: 116713

AOF:

One thing for sure, this group sure has a lot which do not value
having anyone respecting their credibility... after a sufficient length
of time it becomes obvious, the vast amount dis-information which flows
off their keyboards... I think it is deeper than just them stating
falsehoods they know to be untrue, it really is a depiction of their
ignorance...

John

On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 10:48:11 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
>> regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
>>
>> As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
>> no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
>> and their modes...
>>
>> John
>
> And yet His comments are some of the best I have seen in defense of
> Code testing
>
> He addreses the reasoning to the Public Good tries to relive the FCC of
> the Burdens involved
>
> all in all a decent defense of the indefensable
>>
>> On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 10:09:49 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> > Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
>> > set aside
>> >
>> > One can either USE Morse Code or not
>> >
>> > But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure
>> >
>> > David Stinson wrote:
>> >> Comments submitted to the FCC,
>> >> advocating ARRL administration of
>> >> Morse license endorsment:

>> >> --------------------
>> >>
>> >> 18 Aug. 2005
>> >> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
>> >> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.
>> >
>> > cuting to save BW

# 2413. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 18:31:04 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <IzpNe.7564$Wi6.6831@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116714

John Smith wrote:
>
> Yet, few keep horses today as a reliable means of transportation...

That is a very U.S.- centric comment.
Horses are still very much a "reliable means of transportation"
over a great deal of the world, as are oxen.
Your comments indicate you place no value on the
large segment of humanity that lacks your wealth.
There is no "web" in ItchyScratchyStan, nor
money for $250,000 portable sat downlinks
in other such places; if they have one, it stays
broken most of the time from one of dozens of
failure modes. But one can usually get
hold of a few parts to piece together a CW rig,
*if* they have been wise enough to encourage
the preservation of the skill.

The United States is not the whole world,
and it's past time we remembered that.
D.S.

# 2414. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 18:34:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.19.18.34.55.737345@gmail.com>
Source record: 116715

David:

Excellent argument for taking the USA to the "rest-of-the-world" and not
the opposite... if they want it, if not, let 'em ride the oxen...

John

On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 18:31:04 +0000, David Stinson wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>>
>> Yet, few keep horses today as a reliable means of transportation...
>
> That is a very U.S.- centric comment.
> Horses are still very much a "reliable means of transportation"
> over a great deal of the world, as are oxen.
> Your comments indicate you place no value on the
> large segment of humanity that lacks your wealth.
> There is no "web" in ItchyScratchyStan, nor
> money for $250,000 portable sat downlinks
> in other such places; if they have one, it stays
> broken most of the time from one of dozens of
> failure modes. But one can usually get
> hold of a few parts to piece together a CW rig,
> *if* they have been wise enough to encourage
> the preservation of the skill.
>
> The United States is not the whole world,
> and it's past time we remembered that.
> D.S.

# 2415. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 18:44:44 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <wMpNe.7569$Wi6.4285@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116716

John Smith wrote:

> David:
>
> Excellent argument for taking the USA to the "rest-of-the-world" and not
> the opposite... if they want it, if not, let 'em ride the oxen...

It's not a matter of them "wanting it;"
that is also a U.S.- and Western-centric attitude.
People do not generally choose to ride oxen,
have high infant mortality and suffer from disease.
They do so because they do not have access to the wealth
of the West. You indicate that you think everyone can
just run right down to Wal-Mart and buy a new cell phone
anytime they like. Since they don't have the wealth to do this,
should we discard them as human beings?
These people need to communicate
just as you do, and there will be need for intelligence
operations in these communities. Morse is simple,
reliable and- despite the protests of indolent
and spoiled rich Westerners- easy to learn.
My suggestion will preserve only a small cadre' of
operators, but that will be enough.
The scorned few have always carried the fire
for the lazy many.

D.S.

# 2416. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:06:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.19.19.06.24.554208@gmail.com>
Source record: 116717

David:

Then your argument must be centered on such as:

. since parts of the world don't have out hospitals, we should abandon
them for simpler medical practices.

. americans need more rickshaws.

. we are going digital tv, we should abandon this, as it will be years or
decades before some of the world updates.

... get real ... no one should design the world on the least of available
technologies. Instead, at every turn of the road, the bar needs
raised--challenging those lagging to catch up...

John

On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 18:44:44 +0000, David Stinson wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> David:
>>
>> Excellent argument for taking the USA to the "rest-of-the-world" and not
>> the opposite... if they want it, if not, let 'em ride the oxen...
>
> It's not a matter of them "wanting it;"
> that is also a U.S.- and Western-centric attitude.
> People do not generally choose to ride oxen,
> have high infant mortality and suffer from disease.
> They do so because they do not have access to the wealth
> of the West. You indicate that you think everyone can
> just run right down to Wal-Mart and buy a new cell phone
> anytime they like. Since they don't have the wealth to do this,
> should we discard them as human beings?
> These people need to communicate
> just as you do, and there will be need for intelligence
> operations in these communities. Morse is simple,
> reliable and- despite the protests of indolent
> and spoiled rich Westerners- easy to learn.
> My suggestion will preserve only a small cadre' of
> operators, but that will be enough.
> The scorned few have always carried the fire
> for the lazy many.
>
> D.S.

# 2417. Re: Proof of Stevie Double Standard, if proof were really needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:22:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124479334.219963.37590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116718

an_old_friend wrote:
> >KY4Z
> > > Hot ham and cheese
> > > >KY4Z
> > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > >
> > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> >
> > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > into Lennie's game.
>
> in the Above Stevie claims that He may lie if someone else makes him

"The devil made him do it."

> But he will not allow that after He goes on about being a Marine Sniper
> and on about his kills and finishes off with "One Shot, One Kill, even
> in Illopolis)

Steve hold a dual mos? Radioman/Sniper? Hi!

> I decided to lie about my rank to cause you some
> confusion Until I could get back to protect my family
>
> But here again we have Proof of Stevie Double standard

...Since I've seen him posting on here.

# 2418. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:26:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124479581.922202.170850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116719

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Wed 17 Aug 2005 20:33
>
>
> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >> Your little joke wasn't funny.
> >
> >It wasn't a joke, and it wasn't meant to be funny.
>
> Congratulations! You've both failed and succeeded, all
> at the same time, Hans! :-)
>
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Paradox Lost

## 2419. Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:37:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124480275.430399.155280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116720

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 18, 3:33 pm
>
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > cut
> >> > > > > dis dat
> >> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org
> >
> >> > > > Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
> >> > > > disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
> >> > > > problems with that hypothesis:
> >
> >> sorry about mssing this one earlier
> >
> >You gotta look at both sides if you want to be known as being fair and
> >balanced.
>
> Some of us DO but, when messaging to unbalanced, unfair folks,
> it is rather difficult... :-)

Difficult, but not insurmountable. Remember, compassionate no-codism
serves both faith-based modes as well as all of the other modes.

> >> > > > a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
> >> > > > century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
> >
> >> > and right Now I can't see how NCTA can be disgruntled the only
> >> > bothering me now is chopping at the bit wiating for it to be over
> >
> >> > Even my non Ham freinds have noticed the improvement in my often
> >> > somewhat dower expression
> >> > and dared asked me to explain
> >
> >> > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
> >> > stuff like that
> >
> >> Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
> >> mouth might be mistaken as gloating.
>
> [only the NCTA are allowed to gloat... :-) ]

Openly.

> >> way too late but I realy don't care, even at the club meeting with a
> >> fair number of code supporter there were gracious enough to ask me what
> >> I thought of NPRM, after first sugest ing everyone brace themsleves for
> >> my response which a loud "YES, Bout damn time!!"
> >
> >Was it tough getting the tar and feathers off? ;^)
>
> :-)

Turpentine bath.

> >> > > > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
> >
> >> > > OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks
> >
> >> > They'll form support groups to try to cope.
> >
> >> I hope so. think we should start a fund to help out?
> >
> >Compassionate No-Codism? I'm good with that.
>
> Heh heh. Expect the ARRL to get in on the act, maybe the
> "Pro-coder Compassion Supporter (or Truss?) Fund" or some
> title like that...

With Carl in the ARRL, all things are possible.

> On the ARRL's "poll" for 5 Aug 05 and an opinion on NPRM
> 05-143, of the 9,484 votes cast: 48.5% said it was a fine
> idea, 47.1% said it was a terrible idea, and 4.4% had no
> particular opinion either way.

Looks like a concensus. Those numbers were flipped when we were told
that we had to keep the code.

> Nah...let's just pick up the 2x4s and beat them over the head
> with the issue...just like they used to do it to no-coders
> in the last 50 years or so. I think they deserve it... :-)

Please, no.

Remember that compassionate no-codism is tolerant of faith-based modes.

# 2420. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:42:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124480564.768145.122120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116721

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 16 Aug 2005 16:33
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> All you EXTRA MORSEMEN ought to be PROUD of a fellow EXTRA, N9KKY,
> >> David G. Brink, now listed under WT Docket 05-235 in the ECFS as
> >> received on 8 August 2005 and added by the FCC on 15 August 2005.
> >> A one-page scrawled hand-printed and very shaky written name
> >> signature dated (by the sender) as 31 July 2005, a Sunday. No
> >> doubt that Sunday featured some slightly excessive imbibing prior
> >> to scrawling this magnificent missive.
> >
> >Len, we could apply the null hypothesis. It could have been a
> >disgruntled NCTA trying to make the PCTA look bad. But there's two
> >problems with that hypothesis:
> >
> >a. The NCTA have nothing to be disgruntled about except a quarter
> >century of arbitrary and unnecessary government regulation, and
> >
> >b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
>
> Heh heh heh...I agree on both counts!
>
> Quod erat demonstrandum.
>
> end nul
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

In order to be fair and balanced, we looked at each side of the issue.
Unfortunately, the rationale does not go with the PCTAs.

I like this compassionate no-codism when applied to faith-based modes.

## 2421. Re: Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:44:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124480662.667693.135140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116722

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 17 Aug 2005 18:19:28 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1124327968.333209.122420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> > So..are you the N0IGZ that's expired? If so, why'd you let the
> >> > ticket lapse?
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
> >status.
>
>
> Try "I am not a ham"..... N7VCF lives on the soggy side of the state.

Report to the Principal's office.

# 2422. Re: Brain Sets the Odds, Loses Own Percentage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:46:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124480816.918669.274430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116723

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > I guess everyone sees things in degrees, Frank...I have tried to
> > > > > > keep an objective mind after re-reading some of my old posts andjust
> > > > > > don't agree with you. Yes..I let folks know I was a Marine...But NOT
> > > > > > at the point of being "braggard" about it.
> > > > >
> > > > > really ?
> > > > >
> > > > > more whoopers
> > > >
> > > > Alla time.
> > > >
> > > Such as?
> > >
> > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> >
> > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
>
> It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> into Lennie's game.

Poor Steve got tricked by that awful Len into telling lies. That Len
is a bad, bad man. Hi!

> > > Nope. The right to be addressed as "Marine".
> >
> > OK "Marine."
> >
> > > > Steve, try N7VCF before you go casting doubt about Frank's amateur
> > > > status.
> > >
> > > Seems you're no better at getting it right than I was, Brain.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Doh!
> >
> > I guess the little red-hatted monkey in me should have voted on that

> > one.
>
> Brain...You ARE the little red-hatted monkey...And Lennie's
> cranking out your tunes...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The red-assed monkey in you got it wrong, too, Steve. Or did you
forget?

# 2423. Re: News Flash Stevie KY4Z admits Error, is UPI interested in the stroy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:48:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124480938.551184.148510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116724

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> cut
> > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > >
> > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> >
> > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > into Lennie's game.
>
> Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up

Steve cannot be blamed. That awful Len tricked him into telling lies.

## 2424. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 19:58:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124481539.445730.48550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116725

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> regards to FCC NPRMs.
>
> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
> commenters' views on code testing.
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/bd661a50825a37f3?dmode=
source&hl=en
>
> http://tinyurl.com/7t3te
>
> It was posted Mar 12 1999, by WA6VSE. Here's a relevant quote
>
> BEGIN QUOTE:
>
> Here's a summary of how the numbers came out ... more detail will be
> available from the NCI website soon ... special thanks to Larry Close
>
> [Larry Klose, KC8EPO]
>
> who put in a herculean effort to read EVERY record in the ECFS database
> and do a very comprehensive statistical analysis of the body of
> comment.
>
>
> Code Exam Proposal Summary
>
> Position Supported # %
> No Code Comments 711 43% (favoring 5 wpm MAX or NO code test)
> Pro-Code Comments 607 37% (status quo, including rants for faster
> code tests)
> ARRL Comments 331 20% ("I support the ARRL proposal" or
> supporting 5/12/12)
> ---------------------------------------------------------
> TOTAL COMMENTS 1649 100%
>
> END QUOTE
>
> (the bit about "rants" is from the poster of the results, not KC8EPO)
>
> Larry eliminated dupes and responses that did not address the
> code test issue.
>

> It's clear that:
>
> 43% is not a majority, yet they got what they wanted.
>
> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 2 or 3 code test speeds.
> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>
> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12, 13 or 20 wpm for Extra.
> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>
> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12 or 13 wpm for Advanced.
> That majority did not get what they wanted.

Lessee, 57% + 57% + 57% = 171%, a clear majority.

## 2425. Hey, Dave, did you correct your A22MN logs yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 20:04:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124481878.714498.3740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116726

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
> > >
> > >>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>I'm curious what it takes to
> > >>>>impeach a so-called "A1 Operator?"
> > >>
> > >>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
> > >>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
> > >>
> > >>>I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
> > >>>QSO on 10M when you showed up.
> > >>
> > >>>You were worked.
> > >>
> > >>>Indeed, you were worked.
> > >
> > > It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
> > > Brian...all for just one contact. :-)
> >
> > 10/25/92 1916Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
> > 02/15/93 1552Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
> > 03/07/93 1541Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
> > 12/12/93 1425Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
> >
> > It would appear that Brian worked four times harder than he needed to
> > work A22MN on the same band and mode, lennie.
>
> Hmmmm? I worked you from Guam, recall the "tailending KH2D remark
> above???". I was in Illinois from Jan 92 onward, except when deployed
> to Somalia. Fix your logs with a script of "-2" in the year column.
> Best of Luck.
>
> snip
>
> > >>>If you requested a QSL card(s) via WA8JOC and
> > >>>enclosed an SASE, you received your A22MN QSL very quickly.

> > >>
> > >>Ditto the out of band Frenchmen.
> >
> > WA8JOC sent no one an out of band Frenchman.
>
> Hi! You're notorious for your confusions. I just don't know how you
> ever made it in the DX world. Pffft!

Didja fix your logs??

# 2426. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 20:33:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124483607.552011.228540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116727

David Stinson wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> >
> > Yet, few keep horses today as a reliable means of transportation...
>
> That is a very U.S.- centric comment.
> Horses are still very much a "reliable means of transportation"
> over a great deal of the world, as are oxen.
> Your comments indicate you place no value on the
> large segment of humanity that lacks your wealth.
> There is no "web" in ItchyScratchyStan, nor
> money for $250,000 portable sat downlinks

Showing your prejudges again

but gee I could get a portable self alining up link downlink for a
couple of garnd these days,and since it was made in China I suspect
that in Uzbekistan they could still get get for under 5 g

> in other such places; if they have one, it stays
> broken most of the time from one of dozens of
> failure modes. But one can usually get
> hold of a few parts to piece together a CW rig,
> *if* they have been wise enough to encourage
> the preservation of the skill.
>
> The United States is not the whole world,
> and it's past time we remembered that.
> D.S.

# 2427. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 20:37:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124483832.485727.93790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116728

David Stinson wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
> > David:
> >
> > Excellent argument for taking the USA to the "rest-of-the-world" and not
> > the opposite... if they want it, if not, let 'em ride the oxen...
>
> It's not a matter of them "wanting it;"
> that is also a U.S.- and Western-centric attitude.
> People do not generally choose to ride oxen,
> have high infant mortality and suffer from disease.
> They do so because they do not have access to the wealth
> of the West. You indicate that you think everyone can
> just run right down to Wal-Mart and buy a new cell phone
> anytime they like. Since they don't have the wealth to do this,
> should we discard them as human beings?
> These people need to communicate

And the US retianing morse will help them How?

> just as you do, and there will be need for intelligence
> operations in these communities. Morse is simple,
> reliable and- despite the protests of indolent

and findable to RDF jamable

> and spoiled rich Westerners- easy to learn.
> My suggestion will preserve only a small cadre' of
> operators, but that will be enough.
> The scorned few have always carried the fire
> for the lazy many.

feel free to try and prsrve the mode of your choice I doubt it will die
out. AM hasn't, I doubt Morse Coded CW will either, but at least the
rest of us will not have to be emabrashed by the horse and buggy
aproach
>
> D.S.

# 2428. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:01:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124488906.610729.303390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116729

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of midnight, EDT, 18 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1720

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 80

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 454 27.68%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 952 58.05%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 234 14.27%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 17 Aug 05 that would be 1640.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

not yet
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2429. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:05:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124489114.383230.264940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116730

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:37

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 18, 3:33 pm
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >You gotta look at both sides if you want to be known as being fair and
>> >balanced.
>>
>> Some of us DO but, when messaging to unbalanced, unfair folks,
>> it is rather difficult... :-)
>
>Difficult, but not insurmountable. Remember, compassionate no-codism
>serves both faith-based modes as well as all of the other modes.

I sort of draw the line at the cretin who shouts, "God is for
morse code testing and morse code!!!"

>> >> > > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
>> >> > > stuff like that
>> >
>> >> > Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
>> >> > mouth might be mistaken as gloating.
>>
>> [only the NCTA are allowed to gloat... :-) ]
>
>Openly.

Feels rather good. Time to turn things around now and let all
the coders have it as those smug, arrogant, "superior" types
have acted for the half century I've observed them. :-)

>> >> > > > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
>> >
>> >> > > OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks
>> >
>> >> > They'll form support groups to try to cope.
>> >
>> >> I hope so. think we should start a fund to help out?
>> >
>> >Compassionate No-Codism? I'm good with that.
>>

>> Heh heh. Expect the ARRL to get in on the act, maybe the
>> "Pro-coder Compassion Supporter (or Truss?) Fund" or some
>> title like that...
>
>With Carl in the ARRL, all things are possible.

Carl ANDERSON? Yeah, right, just like Brain Kallie to make
nastynoises. :-)

>> On the ARRL's "poll" for 5 Aug 05 and an opinion on NPRM
>> 05-143, of the 9,484 votes cast: 48.5% said it was a fine
>> idea, 47.1% said it was a terrible idea, and 4.4% had no
>> particular opinion either way.
>
>Looks like a concensus. Those numbers were flipped when we were told
>that we had to keep the code.

[whut yu mean "we," white man?] [Tonto not hear dat...]

NCTA super-extras like Jimmie Noserve will come out with the
standard disclaimer that the website poll is "not scientific."

They are so forking predictable that one doesn't have to
think twice about what kind of rationalizations they write.

>> Nah...let's just pick up the 2x4s and beat them over the head
>> with the issue...just like they used to do it to no-coders
>> in the last 50 years or so. I think they deserve it... :-)
>
>Please, no.
>
>Remember that compassionate no-codism is tolerant of faith-based modes.

Geez...TUFF requirement! Not even a little 1x1 piece of oak?

Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...

There's "compassion" on the prarie, the badlands, and the great
West for horses that can't make it. A gun or a knife solves
their problems, provides time-tested "compassion." So it is
with the coders. They can't make it without that TEST...so
we should "do the right thing" and end their suffering.

I'll have to consult with Pastor Midtlyng on that and get back
to you...

bye bye
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2430. Re: News Flash Stevie KY4Z admits Error, is UPI interested in the stroy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:06:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124489202.993355.6480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116731

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 19, 12:48 pm

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> KY4Z wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > KY4Z wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
>
>> > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
>
>> > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
>> > into Lennie's game.
>
>> Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
>
>Steve cannot be blamed. That awful Len tricked him into telling lies.

Ve SS haf vays uf making him talk!

:-)

way him
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2431. Re: News Flash Stevie KY$Z<<<< More Markie Lies, Now In the Subject Line Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:20:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124490037.040920.239070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116732

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> cut
> > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > >
> > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> >
> > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > into Lennie's game.
>
> Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up

"Stevie"

Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
"blames others" gig?

And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
forum?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2432. Re: News Flash Stevie KY$Z Conites to avoind responsiblity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:24:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124490276.969087.98400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116733

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > > >
> > > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > >
> > > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > into Lennie's game.
> > >
> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
>
> "Stevie"
>
> Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
> "blames others" gig?

Nope you blamed Len for playing games

>
> And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
> forum?

Nope contie to poke you with it I'll continue as long as it amuses me

>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2433. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:26:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124490366.218973.106830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116734

an_old_friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
> > regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
> >
> > As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
> > no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
> > and their modes...
> >
> > John
>
> And yet His comments are some of the best I have seen in defense of
> Code testing

Gee whillikers, Mr Wizard...Some of those very same sentiments
were uttered by other posters in this forum and you didn't hold the
same opinion for them...

> He addreses the reasoning to the Public Good tries to relive the FCC of
> the Burdens involved

"addresses" "relieve"

> all in all a decent defense of the indefensable

"Lying" is indefensable. "Deceit" is indefensable. "Child
Pornography" and "Spousal Abuse" are "indefensable.

Seems to me he made some on-the-head comments.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2434. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:29:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124490547.591298.99440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116735

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
> > > regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
> > >
> > > As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
> > > no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
> > > and their modes...
> > >
> > > John
> >
> > And yet His comments are some of the best I have seen in defense of
> > Code testing
>
> Gee whillikers, Mr Wizard...Some of those very same sentiments
> were uttered by other posters in this forum and you didn't hold the
> same opinion for them...

Such as whom?

>
> > He addreses the reasoning to the Public Good tries to relive the FCC of
> > the Burdens involved
>
> "addresses" "relieve"
>
> > all in all a decent defense of the indefensable
>
> "Lying" is indefensable. "Deceit" is indefensable. "Child
> Pornography" and "Spousal Abuse" are "indefensable.

Your placement of lying, Kiddy porn, and Spousal abuse on the same
level shows just how sick you truly are

>
> Seems to me he made some on-the-head comments.

it would to you

but then you omitted the bonehead idea that It realy reuires some kind
of manual code tst in order to USE Morse Code

>
> Steve, KY4Z

## 2435. Reminding Stevie of his Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:31:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124490663.986044.39280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116736

Still reminding stevie of His Lies
an_old_friend wrote:
> what nothing to say
> KY4Z wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > You got anithing but your silling #### games
> > >
> > > "anything" "silly"
> > >
> > > Sure I do. And I don't need to resort to gutter mouth language.
> > >
> > > Steve, KY4Z
>
> All Stevie can do is running around screaming He said a bad word
>
> Grow up
>
> Admit you can't deliver on your promises
>
> That the world does not think that higher of an LPN as to take action
> at every little whining LPN's furstartion
>
> You called no doubt you in trying to pile up enough bullshit you showed
> yourself to be the hate fill SoS we all know you are, and they wrote
> you off

# 2436. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:35:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.19.22.35.10.567988@gmail.com>
Source record: 116737

Len:

I don't think I would take a key into heaven, those harp playing angels
would damn yer butt to hell for sure....

beep-beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep!

John

On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 15:05:14 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:37
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 18, 3:33 pm
>>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>> >You gotta look at both sides if you want to be known as being fair and
>>> >balanced.
>>>
>>> Some of us DO but, when messaging to unbalanced, unfair folks,
>>> it is rather difficult... :-)
>>
>>Difficult, but not insurmountable. Remember, compassionate no-codism
>>serves both faith-based modes as well as all of the other modes.
>
> I sort of draw the line at the cretin who shouts, "God is for
> morse code testing and morse code!!!"
>
>
>>> >> > > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
>>> >> > > stuff like that
>>> >
>>> >> > Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
>>> >> > mouth might be mistaken as gloating.
>>>
>>> [only the NCTA are allowed to gloat... :-) ]
>>
>>Openly.
>
> Feels rather good. Time to turn things around now and let all

> the coders have it as those smug, arrogant, "superior" types
> have acted for the half century I've observed them. :-)
>
>
>
>>> >> > > > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
>>> >
>>> >> > > OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks
>>> >
>>> >> > They'll form support groups to try to cope.
>>> >
>>> >> I hope so. think we should start a fund to help out?
>>> >
>>> >Compassionate No-Codism? I'm good with that.
>>>
>>> Heh heh. Expect the ARRL to get in on the act, maybe the
>>> "Pro-coder Compassion Supporter (or Truss?) Fund" or some
>>> title like that...
>>
>>With Carl in the ARRL, all things are possible.
>
> Carl ANDERSON? Yeah, right, just like Brain Kallie to make
> nastynoises. :-)
>
>
>>> On the ARRL's "poll" for 5 Aug 05 and an opinion on NPRM
>>> 05-143, of the 9,484 votes cast: 48.5% said it was a fine
>>> idea, 47.1% said it was a terrible idea, and 4.4% had no
>>> particular opinion either way.
>>
>>Looks like a concensus. Those numbers were flipped when we were told
>>that we had to keep the code.
>
> [whut yu mean "we," white man?] [Tonto not hear dat...]
>
> NCTA super-extras like Jimmie Noserve will come out with the
> standard disclaimer that the website poll is "not scientific."
>
> They are so forking predictable that one doesn't have to
> think twice about what kind of rationalizations they write.
>
>>> Nah...let's just pick up the 2x4s and beat them over the head
>>> with the issue...just like they used to do it to no-coders
>>> in the last 50 years or so. I think they deserve it... :-)
>>
>>Please, no.
>>
>>Remember that compassionate no-codism is tolerant of faith-based modes.
>
> Geez...TUFF requirement! Not even a little 1x1 piece of oak?

>
> Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
> picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...
>
> There's "compassion" on the prarie, the badlands, and the great
> West for horses that can't make it. A gun or a knife solves
> their problems, provides time-tested "compassion." So it is
> with the coders. They can't make it without that TEST...so
> we should "do the right thing" and end their suffering.
>
> I'll have to consult with Pastor Midtlyng on that and get back
> to you...
>
> bye bye
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2437. LOOK....MORSE FROM MARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:49:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <PltNe.207$I93.200@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116738

HAM RADIO IN SPACE; AMATEURS INVITED TO LISTEN FOR MARS ROVER

Post-launch checkout of the Electra software-defined UHF radio aboard the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter will be conducted on or about September 26th ad ham redio is invited to take part. That's because the tests will include CW transmissions during spacecraft turns to verify the UHF helix antenna pattern.

Interested radio amateurs and amateur and professional radio-astronomers in North America with sensitive receiving capabilities at 437.1 MHz can attempt to receive the UHF signal from MRO during this test. Please note that this is advance information and is subject to change. (ASR)

# 2438. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:52:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <UotNe.209$I93.170@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116739

"Nomen Woger" <GlendaleFool@anonremailer.u> wrote in message
news:de3rfl$t3m$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> More boredom...
>
>
I think Lennie missed his calling. He would have been a great speach
writter for Ted Kennedy.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2439. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 22:56:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <istNe.211$I93.125@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116740

10 KC? Not enough. At least 25 per HF band.

Dan/W4NTI

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:43061123.8020007@ix.netcom.com...
> Comments submitted to the FCC,
> advocating ARRL administration of
> Morse license endorsment:
> ------------------
>
> 18 Aug. 2005
> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.
>
> I respectfully submit that we can relieve
> the FCC of the burden and expense of administering
> Amateur Radio Element One (Morse Code),
> while preserving a skill which has both
> a direct bearing on our nation's security
> and a "global heritage" aspect.
>
> We should maintain some level of incentive to
> preserve and develop skill in Morse Code:
>
> * Morse Code is still in use for covert
> and intelligence operations throughout the world,
> and will remain so for the foreseeable future.
>
> * Morse code transmitters and receivers
> are simple to make and operate,
> needing only a handful of low-tech, inexpensive parts,
> making them available even in less-developed
> areas of the globe, where expensive and complicated
> "hi-tech" systems are unavailable and, if present,
> are subject to multiple failure modes.
>
> * The only ready and sizable reservoir of trained
> Morse operators is the Amateur Radio community.
> If we remove any incentive to develop Morse skill,
> this valuable asset will quickly cease to exist.
>
> There is also a global historic and "Heritage of Humanity"
> aspect to this issue. Morse Code has served as a
> reliable means of communications for one and a half centuries.

> It has been a primary tool in life-saving and part of
> the great communication web that has knit us together,
> first spanning neighborhoods, then continents, and
> finally the world. As a tool in the evolution of
> the global community, it ranks with the sailing ship,
> steam ship, railroad and telephone. We preserve early
> examples of these other means of connecting with
> the larger world; Morse Code surely deserves at least
> a modest effort at preservation, just as we preserve
> these other "touch-stones" of our progress.
> Without some form of incentive,
> this important skill will be lost to us.
>
> We can accomplish this while removing the burden
> and expense from the FCC.
> I respectfully suggest the following steps be adopted:
>
> 1. Drop the Element One (Morse Code) testing requirement
> from Amateur Radio regulations. The FCC would
> no longer be responsible for, or need to allocate
> resources to, this task.
>
> 2. Reallocate the bottom 10 kiloHertz of each Amateur Radio
> spectrum allocation to exclusive Morse Code use.
> This is a small window, but is easily sufficient bandwidth
> for skilled Morse operators.
> It provides an "historic preserve," protected from
> new and wider-bandwidth modes and will have
> no impact on the development and use of new techniques.
>
> 3. Authorize the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) to
> administer and issue, through the Volunteer Examiner
> program, a license endorsement, attachable to any class
> of Amateur Radio license, awarded for demonstration
> of Morse skill at 5 WPM or better. Only those Amateur
> operators with the endorsement could operate their stations
> in the 10 kHz "historic preserves." The ARRL could
> establish premiums for contesting and skill certifications
> earned within the "preserves."
> Continue to allow Morse Code use throughout
> the remaining Amateur spectrum, subject to present rules
> and/or future reallocations.
>
> These modest steps will preserve this valuable and historic skill,
> while removing the administrative burden from the FCC.
> I respectfully submit them for your consideration.
>
> Kind Regards,
> David L. Stinson AB5S
> Field Engineer

> Wylie, Texas

# 2440. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 23:00:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zwtNe.217$I93.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116741

I actually agree with you on this one. Even today....well actually for
many years....the 80 meter band is a classic example of wasted space.
Mostly dead air in the "CW" allocations. In particular from 3.5 to 3.6.
Lots of open space from 3.6 to 3.750 if you want to be open minded on this
subject.

40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that mess straight..
hi.

20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.

I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY. No
digital, etc. That way those that want can.

Those that don't.....won't.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.19.17.40.04.368721@gmail.com...
>
> Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
> regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
>
> As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
> no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
> and their modes...
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 10:09:49 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
>> set aside
>>
>> One can either USE Morse Code or not
>>
>> But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure
>>
>> David Stinson wrote:
>>> Comments submitted to the FCC,
>>> advocating ARRL administration of
>>> Morse license endorsment:
>>> -------------------
>>>
>>> 18 Aug. 2005

>>> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
>>> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.
>>
>> cuting to save BW
>

# 2441. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 23:00:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124492458.695374.137870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116742

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> 10 KC? Not enough. At least 25 per HF band.
>
Why so little? Should be at least this much:

Morse Code Only Subbands:

1800-1830
3500-3575
7000-7050
10100-10115
14000-14050
18068-18083
21000-21075
24890-24905
28000-28100

Why not?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2442. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 23:02:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2ytNe.218$I93.1@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116743

I think it is an excellent solution (except for the 10KHZ only part) to the
situation.

Knowing the FCC, if it takes it off their hands they will jump on it. If
they have time to write up the NPRM that is.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124473691.126780.210030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
>> regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
>>
>> As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
>> no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
>> and their modes...
>>
>> John
>
> And yet His comments are some of the best I have seen in defense of
> Code testing
>
> He addreses the reasoning to the Public Good tries to relive the FCC of
> the Burdens involved
>
> all in all a decent defense of the indefensable
>>
>> On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 10:09:49 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> > Just why would there need to be a test in order to use this specturm
>> > set aside
>> >
>> > One can either USE Morse Code or not
>> >
>> > But still the plea that Morse Code needs welfare in order to endure
>> >
>> > David Stinson wrote:
>> >> Comments submitted to the FCC,
>> >> advocating ARRL administration of
>> >> Morse license endorsment:
>> >> -------------------
>> >>
>> >> 18 Aug. 2005

>> >> Re: WT Docket 05-235,
>> >> Amateur Radio Morse Code Testing Requirement.
>> >
>> > cuting to save BW
>

# 2443. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 23:30:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124494230.938495.302340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116744

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:58

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
>> regards to FCC NPRMs.
>>
>> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
>> commenters' views on code testing.
>>
>> http://groups->>beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/bd661a50825a37f3?>>d
mode=source&hl=en
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/7t3te
>>
>> It was posted Mar 12 1999, by WA6VSE. Here's a relevant quote

Ackshully, one ought to go to the SOURCE which is easily
accessible by anyone with Internet access. Just go to the
FCC ECFS and for WT Docket 98-143, look under 25 and 26
January 1999 for postings by LeRoy Klose III. Include the
attachment links.

That gets one into Larry Klose's remarkable summary of ALL
Comments on 98-143 up to 25 January 1999 (the official
cut-off date was 15 January 1999).

Brian, Jimmie wants to have everyone look at "second-hand"
information, an encapsulated form. It is better to look at
the REAL stuff, FIRST-HAND, which is readily available.

>> Larry eliminated dupes and responses that did not address the
>> code test issue.
>>
>> It's clear that:
>>
>> 43% is not a majority, yet they got what they wanted.
>>
>> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 2 or 3 code test speeds.
>> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>>
>> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12, 13 or 20 wpm for Extra.
>> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>>
>> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12 or 13 wpm for Advanced.
>> That majority did not get what they wanted.
>

>Lessee, 57% + 57% + 57% = 171%, a clear majority.

Jimmie has a wonderful way with numbers... :-)

Jimmie is also stuck firmly in the PAST. 1998 was SEVEN YEARS AGO and the Internet was in its 7th public year.

FCC 99-412, ordered in December, 1999, established the "Amateur Restructuring" which took place in mid-2000.

AT THE TIME (1998-1999), it was IMPOSSIBLE to eliminate the morse code test for ANY U.S. amateur radio license having privileges of operating below 30 MHz. The barrier was S25.5 of the ITU-R...colloquially known (or mis-known) as "the treaty." [there is NO specific treaty on morse code, only the honor system whereby all administrations are supposed to adhere to ITU decisions on standards and allocations]

Conveniently missing is that the FCC's reasons for 90-53, of 1990, 15 years ago, was that it did not feel that any manual morse code skill test was any sort of qualifier for the Commission to grant an applicant a license. That established the reason-for-being of creation of the no-code-test Technician class license.

Also conventiently omitted is EIGHTEEN Petitions, nearly all varying in general "re-re-structuring" having none-some-all code testing. Absolutely NO CONSENSUS could be gained from reviewing all 18 Pentitions...even though the Commission had stated publicly several times that it wanted a consensus. The "amateur community" is highly polarized on the subject of code testing and remains so seven years after 1998.

This year, 2005, is SEVEN years from the 98-143 Docket. It is also the 14th year of public access to the Internet (it went public in 1991). Far more citizens have access to the Internet in 2005 than they did in 1998. In 2003 the Census Bureau reported that one in five Americans had some form of Internet access then. Nearly all the Comments on WT Docket 05-235 are electronic rather than written on paper. So far, in the 23rd day of Comments on WT Docket 05-235, there are 1720 documents on file, about 75 a day on the average! Compare that to the 2300+ Comments of WT Docket 98-143 whose commentary period was extended for nearly six months after release. There's far more "traffic" on 05-235 than there was on 98-143.

At time NOW, in 2005, the MAJORITY are very adamantly showing they ARE a majority. Unambiguous opinions (95.3% of all filings) show a 4:2:1 ratio of For:Against:Extra-Only on elimination of the code test. There is easily a 2:1 ratio of those favoring NPRM 05-143 versus those opposing it.

The IARU, helped/nudged/influenced by international membership of NCI, was the main operator in wanting S25 of the ITU Radio Regulations re-written. [it was more than just S25.5 covering code testing] It was done in mid-July, 2003, over two years ago. Since then TWENTY-THREE other countries have dropped morse code testing for their radio amateurs licenses having below-30-MHz operating privileges.

Summary: The FCC wants to drop code testing, the IARU wants to drop code testing, 23 nations already have done so, and a CLEAR MAJORITY of WT Docket 05-235 Commenters want it dropped. That CLEAR MAJORUTY is 2:1 for dropping it versus those wanting it retained. That CLEAR MAJORITY is 58% of those who have unambiguously commented.

Jimmie wants to crawl back seven years and live there... :-(

sin die
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2444. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 23:37:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124494629.018879.152080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116745

NOT the Angels, John, the Clippers! :-)

hum bug
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2445. Re: Hey, Dave, did you correct your A22MN logs yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-19 23:52:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BguNe.7665$Wi6.2869@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116746

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:07 pm
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>>>>>>My guess would be "more than your say so". You had what--four rapid
>>>>>>fire 10m SSB QSOs with me from Botswana in the '92-'93 time frame?
>>>>>
>>>>>I recall only one, where I tailended KH2D. We had been having a local
>>>>>QSO on 10M when you showed up.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>You were worked.
>>>>>
>>>>>Indeed, you were worked.
>>>>
>>>> It would appear that davie "worked" four times as hard as you did,
>>>> Brian...all for just one contact. :-)
>>>
>>>10/25/92 1916Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
>>>02/15/93 1552Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
>>>03/07/93 1541Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
>>>12/12/93 1425Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB
>>>
>>>It would appear that Brian worked four times harder than he needed to
>>>work A22MN on the same band and mode, lennie.
>>
>>Hmmmm? I worked you from Guam, recall the "tailending KH2D remark
>>above???". I was in Illinois from Jan 92 onward, except when deployed
>>to Somalia. Fix your logs with a script of "-2" in the year column.
>>Best of Luck.

> Didja fix your logs??

So, if we do it per your instructions, would it be:

10/25/92-2 1916Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB

or

10/25/-2 1916Z N0IMD 28 MHz SSB ??

Perhaps the 1993 QSOs should read 93-2.

At any rate, I don't think I'll be changing the logs.

Dave K8MN

# 2446. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 02:52:45 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1WwNe.7713$Wi6.2414@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116747

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>10 KC? Not enough. At least 25 per HF band.
>>
>
> Why so little?

I love code, too, but we are going to change, like
it or not. We need to work toward a "win-win" for
both sides of this argument, instead of ending-up
with a "lose-lose" by an "all or nothing" attitude.

One of the chief arguments against Code is the large
slice of spectrum needlessly allocated to it.
The new digital modes are a fact of life we
must accept, and they require spectrum.
That most hams want their CW freqs to end in "0" or "5"
is a matter of convention and laziness, not necessity.
10 KC will handle 15-18 CW QSOs at once with even
modest equipment, and it is rare during non-contest
days to hear that many in the present allocation on
any band at any one time.
After the Code requirement is dropped,
there will be far less CW operators- not more,
and they will need less dedicated spectrum.
Moreover, a request for a 10 or 15 kHz "preserve"
is much more likely to be granted, given the arguments
against the current CW spectrum alocations,
than asking for needless and wasteful swaths of
50 and 100 kHz. A compromise that gives
exclusivity and premium incentives in exchange
for the current wasted spectrum is a good deal
for both the digital and CW communities.

73 Dave S. AB5S

# 2447. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 03:49:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <xLxNe.318$I93.26@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116748

> 
> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY. No
> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
> 

We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
need for FCC micromanagement here.

# 2448. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 04:04:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116749

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY. No
> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
> >
>
> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
> need for FCC micromanagement here.

well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
everything out side of it

## 2449. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 04:28:59 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <fkyNe.8665$WD.5656@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116800

"There is little in the world
more stupid than a majority."

Alexander Hamilton

# 2450. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 04:49:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.20.04.49.23.751335@gmail.com>
Source record: 116801

Funny, Saddam Husein might have said those very words...

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 04:28:59 +0000, David Stinson wrote:

> "There is little in the world
> more stupid than a majority."
>
> Alexander Hamilton

# 2451. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 05:59:51 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de6gqh$ea6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116802

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.20.04.49.23.751335@gmail.com...

Funny, Saddam Husein might have said those very words...

John
...
...and let us not leave Adolph and Hillary out of this group.

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 04:28:59 +0000, David Stinson wrote:

> "There is little in the world
> more stupid than a majority."
>
> Alexander Hamilton

# 2452. The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 07:32:00 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1124523120.544365.180540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

# 2453. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 08:55:28 UTC
From: Conrad Poos <poos@hamsexual.com>
Message-ID: <4eCNe.9104$Il.5309@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 116804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

Polymath wrote:

> Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear.

Even though its true that only amateurs are allowed to make their own stuff, I don't see the relevance of bringing the armed services, police etc into the argument. This FarceAQ becomes increasingly bitter and bizarre with each passing week.

<yoda>
Strong in this one is the dark side of the farce!!
</yoda>

Poos

--
vy 73 de Conrad Poos

"Ich bin ein Jedi radio amateur"

# 2454. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 09:06:02 UTC
From: Highland Ham <abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <5LCdnea5at7tbpveRVnysA@pipex.net>
Source record: 116805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

> Even though its true that only amateurs are allowed to make their own
> stuff, I don't see the relevance of bringing the armed services, police
> etc into the argument. This FarceAQ becomes increasingly bitter and
> bizarre with each passing week.
==========
My suggestion : Just ignore FarceAQs.

Frank GM0CSZ / KN6WH

## 2455. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 09:10:11 UTC
From: Highland Ham <abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <5LCdneG5at7tbpveRVnysA@pipex.net>
Source record: 116806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

> Even though its true that only amateurs are allowed to make their own
> stuff, I don't see the relevance of bringing the armed services, police
> etc into the argument. This FarceAQ becomes increasingly bitter and
> bizarre with each passing week.
==========
Suggestion : Just ignore FarceAQs

Frank GM0CSZ / KN6WH

# 2456. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 10:17:33 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1124533053.584932.124700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

Perhaps it is that those who respond in a negative style,
or those who respond with Childish Broadcasts (CB), are
from the very group of CBers that are
warned against in the FAQ?

I just hope that we have not left it too late to
prevent Ham Radio from becoming a gangrenous
degeneration of such non-technical CBers.

Highland Ham wrote:
> > Even though its true that only amateurs are allowed to make their own
> > stuff, I don't see the relevance of bringing the armed services, police
> > etc into the argument. This FarceAQ becomes increasingly bitter and
> > bizarre with each passing week.
> ========================
> My suggestion : Just ignore FarceAQs.
>
>
> Frank GM0CSZ / KN6WH

## 2457. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 10:55:13 UTC
From: Conrad Poos <poos@hamsexual.com>
Message-ID: <l_DNe.6509$jr4.5704@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 116808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

Polymath wrote:

> I just hope that we have not left it too late to
> prevent Ham Radio from becoming a gangrenous
> degeneration of such non-technical CBers.

Better a non-technical CBer than a hate-filled mentalist!

Poos

--
vy 73 de Conrad Poos

"Ich bin ein radio amateur"

## 2458. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 11:34:55 UTC
From: the real ME <realME@here.there>
Message-ID: <de74gv$9o3$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 116809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

"Conrad Poos" <poos@hamsexual.com> wrote in message
news:4eCNe.9104$Il.5309@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> Polymath wrote:
>
>> Users, such as broadcasters,
>> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
>> users have to purchase ready-made gear.
>
> Even though its true that only amateurs are allowed to make their own
> stuff, I don't see the relevance of bringing the armed services, police
> etc into the argument. This FarceAQ becomes increasingly bitter and
> bizarre with each passing week.
>
> <yoda>
> Strong in this one is the dark side of the farce!!
> </yoda>
>
> Poos
>
> --
> vy 73 de Conrad Poos
>
> "Ich bin ein Jedi radio amateur"

Wot ? You not kilfiled the twat yet ?

# 2459. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 11:44:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124538243.468802.290070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116810

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > > > Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics generated in
> > > > regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
> > > >
> > > > As CW continues its' drop, it needs less and less allocations... as
> > > > no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the new users
> > > > and their modes...
> > > >
> > > > John
> > >
> > > And yet His comments are some of the best I have seen in defense of
> > > Code testing
> >
> > Gee whillikers, Mr Wizard...Some of those very same sentiments
> > were uttered by other posters in this forum and you didn't hold the
> > same opinion for them...
>
> Such as whom?

Such as me.

> > > He addreses the reasoning to the Public Good tries to relive the FCC of
> > > the Burdens involved
> >
> > "addresses" "relieve"
> >
> > > all in all a decent defense of the indefensable
> >
> > "Lying" is indefensable. "Deceit" is indefensable. "Child
> > Pornography" and "Spousal Abuse" are "indefensable.
>
> Your placement of lying, Kiddy porn, and Spousal abuse on the same
> level shows just how sick you truly are

Why?

I consider all of them equally offensive.

Each of them harm society in thier own right.

For example, look where lying has got you!

> > Seems to me he made some on-the-head comments.
>
> it would to you

Becasue it did. Why do you think not?

> but then you omitted the bonehead idea that It realy reuires some kind
> of manual code tst in order to USE Morse Code

"really" "requires" "test"

It's not boneheaded. It's real.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2460. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 12:02:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124537095.119421.253970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116811

David Stinson wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>10 KC? Not enough. At least 25 per HF band.

> > Why so little?

> I love code, too, but we are going to change, like
> it or not.

I think the change will be far less than some expect.

Back in 1991 we got a nocodetest license for all of VHF/UHF. Did we get lots more new hams in the 1991-2000 time period than we got in the 1982-1991 time period?

In 2000 the code test was reduced to 5 wpm only and the writtens cut almost in half. Yet we have almost 10,000 fewer hams now than in 2000.

> We need to work toward a "win-win" for
> both sides of this argument, instead of ending-up
> with a "lose-lose" by an "all or nothing" attitude.

Here's the win for Morse Code loving hams: Free space

Here's the win for non-Morse-Code loving hams: All the rest.

> One of the chief arguments against Code is the large
> slice of spectrum needlessly allocated to it.

*WHAT* large slice of spectrum?

There is currently *no* part of the HF/MF ham bands for Morse Code only in the USA. Not one kHz. All of the bandspace not allowed to voice/image is open to digital/data modes like PSK31, MFSK, RTTY, etc.

The technical advances we keep being promised will be in those modes, not in SSB.

> The new digital modes are a fact of life we
> must accept, and they require spectrum.

And they have it! Take 80 meters - from 3500 to 3750 is wide open to every digital mode you can imagine, as long as it isn't digital voice.

> That most hams want their CW freqs to end in "0" or "5"
> is a matter of convention and laziness, not necessity.
> 10 KC will handle 15-18 CW QSOs at once with even
> modest equipment, and it is rare during non-contest
> days to hear that many in the present allocation on
> any band at any one time.

Maybe where you are, but I hear a lot more than that on 40 meters at
night.

> After the Code requirement is dropped,
> there will be far less CW operators- not more,
> and they will need less dedicated spectrum.

I would not be so sure.

Hams in Germany report *more* interest in Morse Code
among new hams, not less.

Without a test, new hams will learn Morse at a reasonable
speed (13-16 wpm) from the beginning, sending and receiving, rather
than just the bare minimum to pass the test.

> Moreover, a request for a 10 or 15 kHz "preserve"
> is much more likely to be granted, given the arguments
> against the current CW spectrum alocations,
> than asking for needless and wasteful swaths of
> 50 and 100 kHz.

That's not how FCC works.

Look at the history - every time a compromise has been offered, FCC
goes way beyond it.

Back in 1990, when FCC was pushing a nocodetest license, ARRL
and others suggested a limited-privileges VHF/UHF license. Low
power, no 2 meters, etc. FCC took that as an endorsement of
the nocodetest idea and simply dumped the code test for
Technician, which was not what was wanted at all.

In 1998-1999, various compromises were offered, like the 5 wpm General
and 12 wpm Extra. FCC just went to 5 wpm across the board.

The nocodetest folks don't offer any compromises. I say that
15% of each HF/MF band as Morse Code only space is perfectly
reasonable. The Morse Code using hams will flock there, and
leave the rest of the bands to other modes.

What possible reason is there not to? The voice and digital modes all
have more than 15%.

> A compromise that gives
> exclusivity and premium incentives in exchange
> for the current wasted spectrum is a good deal
> for both the digital and CW communities.

What wasted spectrum?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2461. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 12:42:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116812

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Even today....well actually for
> many years....the 80 meter band is a classic example of wasted > space.
> Mostly dead air in the "CW" allocations. In particular from
> 3.5 to 3.6.

I think you meant "3.6 to 3.7"

> Lots of open space from 3.6 to 3.750 if you want to be open
> minded on this
> subject.

All of 80 meters is open to digital modes. You know, the
modes all those new, young, modern hams are going to use
when Element 1 goes away.

If there's so much room, then what's the problem making
3500 to 3575 Morse Code only?

> 40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that
> mess straight..
> hi.

Not really. The mess is due to the rest of the world wanting
7100-7300 for SWBC. That's going away, even as we speak, and
more and more of the rest of the world is letting their hams
have 7100-7200. Eventually 7000-7300 will be worldwide
exclusive amateur.

So what's the problem with 7000-7050 being Morse Code only?
>
> 20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.

Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.
>
> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW > ONLY. No
> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>
> Those that don't.....won't.
>
The trouble is that it will take an Extra to get down there.
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.19.17.40.04.368721@gmail.com...

> >
> > Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics
> > generated in
> > regards to the modes used... (past year or two)

A year or two is "long tern"? HAW, that's a good one!

(Does this guy know what a sunspot cycle is?)

> > As CW continues its'

"its".

> > drop, it needs less and less allocations...

Who says CW is dropping?

> > as
> > no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the > > new users and their modes...

You mean SSB, right? Because there's no Morse-Code-only subbands
on HF-MF in the USA.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2462. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 12:49:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <WFFNe.7015$RZ2.6727@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116813

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:xLxNe.318$I93.26@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY. No
>> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>
>
> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
> need for FCC micromanagement here.

Works for me. Such is the case already
with ARRL bandplans for USA already.
I'd have no problem with the bottom 25KHz
each HF band being CW only.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2463. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 12:55:37 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <dLFNe.7016$RZ2.3304@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116814

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> robert casey wrote:
>> >
>> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>> > No
>> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>> >
>>
>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>
> well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
> see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
> everything out side of it

Yet the reality of today is that except for two VHF bands,
50.0 MHz to 50.1 and 144.0 MHz to 144.1, there are no
other exclusive CW segments at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 2464. Re: just cleaing up my screen pay it no mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 13:10:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <cf7be60c5da1ce0834cdac95aceb86c6@dizum.com>
Source record: 116815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <1124474201.312251.268200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>

Yes, Bisexual faggot and sexual deviant Marky Morgan wants to have a sexual relationship with Booger Boy Lloydie....Marky wants to give Lloydie his AIDS so Little Lardass Lloydie can finally lose weight.

## 2465. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 16:47:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124556456.642620.171590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116816

> "There is little in the world
> more stupid than a majority."
>
> Alexander Hamilton

Remember what happened to ol' Alex?

bam oop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2466. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 16:48:04 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1124556484.683367.276260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

If you think that a very genuine concern being
expressed about what Ham Radio is and what it is
not is a troll, then I fear that you yourself may
have been taken in by the CB fanatics and have become
a CBer although misbelieving that you were a Radio Ham.

Do not side with the infantile Childish Broadcasters (CBers)
who use such unnecessary phraseology as "hate-filled
mentalist".

(There is no hate in what I publish - all-comers are
welcome to join the ranks of _REAL_ Radio Hams - there
are no exceptions! However, that is not to say that we
must accept the Mongolian Hordes of untechnical CBers
as our fellows because that is one thing that they ain't.)

Jim Higgins wrote:
> On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 10:55:13 GMT, in
> <l_DNe.6509$jr4.5704@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>, Conrad Poos
> <poos@hamsexual.com> wrote:
> >Polymath wrote:
> >> I just hope that we have not left it too late to
> >> prevent Ham Radio from becoming a gangrenous
> >> degeneration of such non-technical CBers.
> >Better a non-technical CBer than a hate-filled mentalist!
> Better that you get the same clue most got some time ago and
> realize the author of this "FAQ" is a troll and you're feeding
> him.
> Non-technical CBers are just another bunch of guys having fun
> with their hobby. Live and let live. There's absolutely nothing
> redeeming about troll feeders.

# 2467. Day 9 Stevie when you going to fess up to your lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 16:48:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124556519.938944.191100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116818

Stevie has for some time been spearding the Lie that he could o
something to more than anoy for what he sees as many and manifold sins

9 Days ago I demanded he put up or shut up, I'd prfer of course that he
shut up, but it was his choice.

His response was to threaten me even further

Finaly 7 days ago he claimed to have taken action

He has claimed to have taken action that would result in people staring
at me or driving past the house differently

Action that I would notice

Guess what? Stevie Lied.

And Stevie can't tolerate Liars

yet he lies

Stevie claims Lying is a evil on a par with Kiddy Porn and spouse
abuse, and yet he lies.

when are you going to come clean and admit your lies

# 2468. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 16:53:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.20.16.53.19.637228@gmail.com>
Source record: 116824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

PM:

What you "publish" is bizarre...

Frankly, in my very humble opinion, I can't imagine anyone short of a
ma'roon being able to "see it" for any resemblance of truth... anyone with
common sense would be ashamed to associate themselves with such... but
hey, never hurts to try...

... good luck ...

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 09:48:04 -0700, Polymath wrote:

> If you think that a very genuine concern being
> expressed about what Ham Radio is and what it is
> not is a troll, then I fear that you yourself may
> have been taken in by the CB fanatics and have become
> a CBer although misbelieving that you were a Radio Ham.
>
> Do not side with the infantile Childish Broadcasters (CBers)
> who use such unnecessary phraseology as "hate-filled
> mentalist".
>
> (There is no hate in what I publish - all-comers are
> welcome to join the ranks of _REAL_ Radio Hams - there
> are no exceptions! However, that is not to say that we
> must accept the Mongolian Hordes of untechnical CBers
> as our fellows because that is one thing that they ain't.)
>
> Jim Higgins wrote:
>> On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 10:55:13 GMT, in
>> <l_DNe.6509$jr4.5704@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>, Conrad Poos
>> <poos@hamsexual.com> wrote:
>> >Polymath wrote:
>> >> I just hope that we have not left it too late to
>> >> prevent Ham Radio from becoming a gangrenous
>> >> degeneration of such non-technical CBers.
>> >Better a non-technical CBer than a hate-filled mentalist!
>> Better that you get the same clue most got some time ago and
>> realize the author of this "FAQ" is a troll and you're feeding
>> him.
>> Non-technical CBers are just another bunch of guys having fun
>> with their hobby. Live and let live. There's absolutely nothing

>> redeeming about troll feeders.-

# 2469. Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 17:04:54 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <TxOdne5x5axb_5reUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116819

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> robert casey wrote:
>> >
>> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>> > No
>> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>> >
>>
>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>
> well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
> see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
> everything out side of it
>

It seems true that many, if not most, CW fans fear that other modes will "over-run" them if the ARRL's "plan" for regulation by bandwidth goes forward in its present form. I have always stated truthfully here that I would never support any proposal to ban or restrict the use of CW in any way, shape, or form and that position still stands. I *also* firmly believe that CW and other modes should NOT be "squeezed out of existence" or "over-run by Winlink/PactorIII robots" as many fear will happen if the "plan" adopted by the ARRL BoD in July were to become FCC regulation.

As a candidate for the ARRL Atlantic Division director's position, I have gone on record publicly (on the QRP-L reflector and on qrz.com and now here on r.r.a.p) that, had I been on the ARRL BoD in July, I would NOT have voted for "the plan" because I believe that the fact that virtually NOBODY seems to like it indicates to me that it's broken and needs to be fixed if it's to go forward at all.

Bandplans and band usage are complicated issues where the ARRL or anyone else is highly unlikely to be able to please everyone - the objective needs to be to work with the different interest groups towards compromises that allow us to get to something that at least a significant majority can accept and say "I can live with that." If I become a member of the ARRL BoD I would work with all of the interested parties in an effort to forge that sort of result.

In addition to significantly improving the general level of technical knowledge and skill of hams, growing our numbers (both licensees and ARRL

members), protecting our spectrum, and getting more people trained for and involved in emergency communications, one of the MOST pressing problems we face is to reverse the trend of "compartmentalizing" ourselves into "factions" whose whole world revolves around one mode or one activity, because the resulting "turf wars," suspicion/mistrust/paranoia, in-fighting, and attacks on each other divide us in ways that both are bad for the ARS as it's seen externally and bad for the ARS internally as we get along with (or don't) each other.

We should ALL be "hams" (period) and work together cooperatively and constructively going forward into the future on the truly important issues facing ham radio and the ARRL. ALL hams should treat each other with respect and courtesy, regardless of license class or operating preferences. Experienced hams need to welcome new hams with the spirit of patience and helpfulness that "Elmering" embodies, rather than treating them as some inferior form of life.

As far as "dumbing down" goes - I don't buy it - as Ed Hare, W1RFI (someone who I think most here respect), has recounted ... the "beginner's test (novice)" in his day had a 3-1/2 page study guide, the general study guide was 16 pages (I had mis-remembered and stated 12-14 pages in a couple of presentations, but that was an honest mistake and doesn't really alter the point). Today, the "Now You're Talking" - the study guide for the "beginner's test (tech)" is on the order of 200 pages or slightly more and covers MANY more topics than the study guides of Ed's test-taking days ever covered.

The point is that things have NOT been "dumbed down" ... there is more to study and learn than ever before - just to become a "beginner." I was licensed long enough ago to have been a member of QCWA for some time, and I am FIRMLY convinced that those who complain about "dumbing down" of the testing are either being disingenuous, or more likely simply remember the tests that they took many years ago as being MUCH harder than they actually were. Besides, the test isn't a proof that you "know all there is to know," nor SHOULD it be.

I'd ask older hams with higher class licenses to think back to the mistakes that they made when they first went on the air many years ago - and how the more experienced hams of the time (generally) were patient, tolerant, and helpful. Show the newcomers the way in polite, respectful, and constructive ways, rather than slamming them and telling them they're no good!

If anyone (particularly from the Atlantic Division) wants to see information on my background and qualifications and some issues material, it's available on my personal website at http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c. Questions and comments via direct e-mail are, of course, welcomed (again, particularly from ARRL Atlantic Division members).

73,
Carl - wk3c

# 2470. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 17:11:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124557887.528015.319280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116820

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of midnight, EDT, 19 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1743

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 80

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 461 27.72%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 966 58.09%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 236 14.19%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
midnight of 19 Aug 05 that would be 1663.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

end off
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2471. 05-235 FCC URL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 17:17:32 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11gepds1v9q6m5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 116821

What is the URL for commenting on FCC NPRM 05-235?

Jim

# 2472. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 17:18:36 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <xQ2dnTxEqdhh-JreUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116822

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:WFFNe.7015$RZ2.6727@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:xLxNe.318$I93.26@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>>> No digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>>
>>
>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>
> Works for me. Such is the case already
> with ARRL bandplans for USA already.
> I'd have no problem with the bottom 25KHz
> each HF band being CW only.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Nor would I, per se, but since narrow modes like PSK31 take about the same bandwidth, does it make sense to keep them out?

However, whichever way that question is answered, there is a problem is that in some bands the bottom 25 kHz is for Extras only.

Would the Extra CW ops be willing to share their "exclusive playground" ??? Would they be willing to share with, e.g. PSK31???

(I should point out here again that I do NOT favor expansion of the phone bands to allow SSB to "run roughshod" over CW and the digital modes any more than I think that Winlink/PactorIII "robots" should run roughshod over CW, PSK31, etc.)

See my other, longer post for more on this issue and others.

73,
Carl - wk3c
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

# 2473. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 18:08:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124561326.559429.313780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116823

From: N...@AOL.COM on Aug 20, 5:42 am

>Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>> Even today....well actually for
>> many years....the 80 meter band is a classic example of wasted > space.
>> Mostly dead air in the "CW" allocations. In particular from
>> 3.5 to 3.6.
>
>I think you meant "3.6 to 3.7"

That may have been 33 years ago while fighting the
commies around the Fulda Gap in Yurp.

>> Lots of open space from 3.6 to 3.750 if you want to be open
>> minded on this
>> subject.
>
>All of 80 meters is open to digital modes. You know, the
>modes all those new, young, modern hams are going to use
>when Element 1 goes away.

Define "new, young, modern." Did you mean the kind of
electronics typified by all-vacuum-tube, state-of-the-
art, designed by yourself in 1990" new, yound, and
modern?

>If there's so much room, then what's the problem making
>3500 to 3575 Morse Code only?

What makes you think that a MINORITY has any "right" to
an exclusive radio playground?

>> 40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that
>> mess straight..
>> hi.
>
>Not really. The mess is due to the rest of the world wanting
>7100-7300 for SWBC. That's going away, even as we speak, and
>more and more of the rest of the world is letting their hams
>have 7100-7200. Eventually 7000-7300 will be worldwide
>exclusive amateur.

Bad "analysis." The "40m issue" has been going on since
1979...for 26 years. The RESOLUTION of it came about in
2003 at WRC-03. 40 meters will be resolved as to who gets
what in 2009...as outlined in the resolutions made in 2003.

That was adequately explained in the WRC-03 REPORT as
written by the U.S. delegation leader and appearing a year
ago on the FCC International Bureau pages.

>So what's the problem with 7000-7050 being Morse Code only?

What makes you think that a MINORITY has any "right" to
an exclusive radio playground?

>> 20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.
>
>Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
>less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
>about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
>but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.

...and some folks talk big about "exclusivity for Them,"
"old directions" and "archaic conservatism."

Cutting to the chase, the PCTA insist on EXCLUSIVITY for
their "nobility" as if they were Gods of Radio. They
consider themselves some kind of Elite, a rationalization
for being able to evolve, to cope, to interact with the
rest of the "amateur community."

>> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW > ONLY. No
>> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>
>> Those that don't.....won't.
>
>The trouble is that it will take an Extra to get down there.

Irrelevant. WT Docket 05-235 is NOT about license classes
or the rank/status/privileges of the "ruling class." :-)

>> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message

>> > Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics
>> > generated in
>> > regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
>
>A year or two is "long tern"? HAW, that's a good one!

Do you have a "5-Year Plan" for the Party, Commissar?

>(Does this guy know what a sunspot cycle is?)

Does Commisar Miccolis realize that RADIO itself is only 109
years old? Almost "10" in "Sun Years."

Of course, to a 14-year-old, waiting 11 years until 25 seems
like a virtual eternity. It's a mental state, very subjective.

>> > As CW continues its'
>

>"its".

Sister Nun of the Above has a bottomless drawer of rulers.
[she should empty out her drawers...ugh!]

>> > drop, it needs less and less allocations...
>
>Who says CW is dropping?

Tsk, tsk. As in the old saying, "if all you have is a hammer,
everything looks like a nail."

Coders who play in their almost-exclusive radio playground
just can't seem to keep count or recognize the territory.

>> > as
>> > no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the
>> > new users and their modes...
>
>You mean SSB, right? Because there's no Morse-Code-only subbands
>on HF-MF in the USA.

Feeling disenchanted, Jimmie? Contact KH2D and seek to open
up "No SSB International." Technically well-done website of
the past, but stuck in its little Guamian territory in the
middle of the Pacific, totally surrounded by water (it was
all wet in thinking).

Coders ALREADY have TOTAL EXCLUSIVITY for OOK CW in 6m, 2m
bands. They can move on up to those bands and "work" ALL
the "CW" they can, undisturbed by the unwashed masses doing
(hack, ptui) Voice or Data. ALL THEIRS! Think of the
glorious possibilities to "advance the state of the art" of
morse code! [first used in 1844, 161 years ago]

You don't like that idea? Awwwww. It is JUST LIKE CODERS
DID for the no-coders and anti-morse heathen many years ago.
BANISH them to "the world above 30 MHz" in a perfect example
of elitism, gross class bigotry. But, you Coders consider
yourself "above" the mundane, better than best, the "extra"
of the radio world, superior to all others through beeping.

Hang onto your "extra" license obtained through morsemanship,
Jimmie. It may be all you'll have in the future to show
your "greatness" in AMATEUR radio.

key not
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2474. Re: 05-235 FCC URL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 18:15:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124561744.541201.117850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116825

>What is the URL for commenting on FCC NPRM 05-235?

www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs

...gets you to the ECFS page. There, at the upper right are
two links, "submit a filing" or "search for a filing." To submit
a filing you only need to enter "05-235" (without quote marks)
into the upper left block, then enter all the REQUIRED info.

the now
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2475. Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 18:30:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124562607.471769.281650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116826

Being of course the only regular ham poster who is memebr of the Tech Class. I frankly don't undersatdn the "Problem" being discussed with Pactor.

Are Pactor stations not obeying the rules?

Or is it that the rules are so loose as to permit very bad usages of the Mode?

please forgo the flaming after all as Far as I know Pactor is little used (if at all) at VHF, and of course VHF with its wider bands (generalyly shorter ranges) and fewer users at any given momnet doesn't have these "problems"

# 2476. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 19:59:53 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <7jydnQ-CtstRFpreUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116827

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124562607.471769.281650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Being of course the only regular ham poster who is memebr of the Tech
> Class. I frankly don't undersatdn the "Problem" being discussed with
> Pactor.
>
> Are Pactor stations not obeying the rules?
>
> Or is it that the rules are so loose as to permit very bad usages of
> the Mode?
>
> please forgo the flaming after all as Far as I know Pactor is little
> used (if at all) at VHF, and of course VHF with its wider bands
> (generalyly shorter ranges) and fewer users at any given momnet doesn't
> have these "problems"
>

The concern/fear/issues being raised by many are that the ARRL "regulation by bandwidth" proposal will result in practically all of the HF CW/data bands being "over-run by Winlink/PactorIII robots," that those stations don't "play nice" with real-time human to human modes, that PactorIII takes a lot of bandwidth for a non-proportional gain in throughput, and that Winlink and PactorIII are closed, proprietary modes that are only available through the purchase of some rather expensive, sole-source hardware and software.

There seem to be rather widely held views that "robot" stations that "don't play nice" with conventional human-human modes should be restricted to limited sub-bands because otherwise they will cause considerable interference problems, that they don't need to be able to take over huge swaths of the bands, and that closed, proprietary systems should not be "pushed" in the ham bands. (conversely, the feeling seems to be widespread that modes used in the ham bands should be "open source" - both h/w and s/w)

I hope that answers your question about what's the (at least perceived) "problem with Pactor."

73,
Carl - wk3c

# 2477. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 21:13:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.20.21.13.51.650598@gmail.com>
Source record: 116828

Carl:

Exactly, why would arrl back such a system?

Amateur radio should not allow any PROPRIETARY hardware/software to become
a standard. We are not about supporting monopolies, we are about the free
experimentation, development, testing, construction, use, etc. of equip.
and methods/protocols, even that equipment encompassed by the homebrewer.

We need some more linux people in amateur radio for the software and more
computer hardware people for the hardware... it would be a mistake to
support companies holding a monopoly, there are already commercial
stations for that... besides, windows software just ends up enriching
bill g.

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 19:59:53 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124562607.471769.281650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Being of course the only regular ham poster who is memebr of the Tech
>> Class. I frankly don't undersatdn the "Problem" being discussed with
>> Pactor.
>>
>> Are Pactor stations not obeying the rules?
>>
>> Or is it that the rules are so loose as to permit very bad usages of
>> the Mode?
>>
>> please forgo the flaming after all as Far as I know Pactor is little
>> used (if at all) at VHF, and of course VHF with its wider bands
>> (generalyly shorter ranges) and fewer users at any given momnet doesn't
>> have these "problems"
>>
>
> The concern/fear/issues being raised by many are that the ARRL "regulation
> by bandwidth" proposal will result in practically all of the HF CW/data
> bands being "over-run by Winlink/PactorIII robots," that those stations
> don't "play nice" with real-time human to human modes, that PactorIII takes
> a lot of bandwidth for a non-proportional gain in throughput, and that
> Winlink and PactorIII are closed, proprietary modes that are only available
> through the purchase of some rather expensive, sole-source hardware and
> software.
>

> There seem to be rather widely held views that "robot" stations that "don't
> play nice" with conventional human-human modes should be restricted to
> limited sub-bands because otherwise they will cause considerable
> interference problems, that they don't need to be able to take over huge
> swaths of the bands, and that closed, proprietary systems should not be
> "pushed" in the ham bands. (conversely, the feeling seems to be widespread
> that modes used in the ham bands should be "open source" - both h/w and s/w)
>
> I hope that answers your question about what's the (at least perceived)
> "problem with Pactor."
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

# 2478. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:08:30 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <hb9fg1ps1ru1laonj0cguada9nucua4iue@4ax.com>
Source record: 116829

On 19 Aug 2005 15:05:14 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
> picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...

I realize this code test issue is a bit like shooting fish in a barrel
at this point, but I learned it as BRASS rather than BASS. ;-)

73 de John, KC2HMZ

## 2479. Random surfing (OT alert)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:30:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <R8adnT-RHL-KMpreRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116830

http://j-walk.com/other/todd/aboutme.htm

I did some random surfing and found this. Maybe it will lighten things
up around here! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 2480. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:33:03 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <zcONe.8040$Wi6.3705@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116831

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Hang onto your "extra" license obtained through morsemanship,
> Jimmie. It may be all you'll have in the future to show
> your "greatness" in AMATEUR radio..,..

Amazing how bitter some people can be
when confronted with their indolence....

# 2481. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:33:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bdONe.9180$ns.8659@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116832

I don't think that will work any longer. Just listen to the low end of 40
meters. Lots of digital types moving down the band. They probably can't
copy cw, or not much, and giving them the benefit of the doubt "may not"
know they are interfering.

Gentleman's agreement wont work.

Dan/W4NTI

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:xLxNe.318$I93.26@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY. No
>> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>
>
> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
> need for FCC micromanagement here.

# 2482. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:40:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <L9qdnVYQK6T_LJreRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 116833

John Smith wrote:
> Carl:
>
> Exactly, why would arrl back such a system?

SOUNDS like a good system. Sounds modern and up to date.

> Amateur radio should not allow any PROPRIETARY hardware/software to become
> a standard.

Agreed.

> We are not about supporting monopolies, we are about the free
> experimentation, development, testing, construction, use, etc. of equip.
> and methods/protocols, even that equipment encompassed by the homebrewer.
>
> We need some more linux people in amateur radio for the software and more
> computer hardware people for the hardware...

Here is a cool Linux thing for Hams:

http://hamshack-hack.sourceforge.net/

It is a hack of the Knoppix Linux distribution. Lots of Ham radio
goodies. Make a disk image, and you can boot your computer up in Linux.
then switch back to Windoze. Works prefect on my desktop 8^), but needs
a few tweaks on my Laptop.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 2483. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:44:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ynONe.7178$RZ2.1707@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116834

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> robert casey wrote:
>> >
>> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>> > No
>> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>> >
>>
>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>
> well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
> see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
> everything out side of it
>

That's right.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2484. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:44:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <BnONe.7180$RZ2.6873@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116835

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
news:TxOdne5x5axb_5reUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> robert casey wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>>> > No
>>> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>> >
>>>
>>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>>
>> well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
>> see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
>> everything out side of it
>>
>
> It seems true that many, if not most, CW fans fear that other modes will
> "over-run" them if the ARRL's "plan" for regulation by bandwidth goes
> forward in its present form. I have always stated truthfully here that I
> would never support any proposal to ban or restrict the use of CW in any
> way, shape, or form and that position still stands. I *also* firmly
> believe that CW and other modes should NOT be "squeezed out of existence"
> or "over-run by Winlink/PactorIII robots" as many fear will happen if the
> "plan" adopted by the ARRL BoD in July were to become FCC regulation.
>
> As a candidate for the ARRL Atlantic Division director's position, I have
> gone on record publicly (on the QRP-L reflector and on qrz.com and now
> here on r.r.a.p) that, had I been on the ARRL BoD in July, I would NOT
> have voted for "the plan" because I believe that the fact that virtually
> NOBODY seems to like it indicates to me that it's broken and needs to be
> fixed if it's to go forward at all.
>
> Bandplans and band usage are complicated issues where the ARRL or anyone
> else is highly unlikely to be able to please everyone - the objective
> needs to be to work with the different interest groups towards compromises
> that allow us to get to something that at least a significant majority can
> accept and say "I can live with that." If I become a member of the ARRL
> BoD I would work with all of the interested parties in an effort to forge
> that sort of result.

>
> In addition to significantly improving the general level of technical
> knowledge and skill of hams, growing our numbers (both licensees and ARRL
> members), protecting our spectrum, and getting more people trained for and
> involved in emergency communications, one of the MOST pressing problems we
> face is to reverse the trend of "compartmentalizing" ourselves into
> "factions" whose whole world revolves around one mode or one activity,
> because the resulting "turf wars," suspicion/mistrust/paranoia,
> in-fighting, and attacks on each other divide us in ways that both are bad
> for the ARS as it's seen externally and bad for the ARS internally as we
> get along with (or don't) each other.
>
> We should ALL be "hams" (period) and work together cooperatively and
> constructively going forward into the future on the truly important issues
> facing ham radio and the ARRL. ALL hams should treat each other with
> respect and courtesy, regardless of license class or operating
> preferences. Experienced hams need to welcome new hams with the spirit of
> patience and helpfulness that "Elmering" embodies, rather than treating
> them as some inferior form of life.
>
> As far as "dumbing down" goes - I don't buy it - as Ed Hare, W1RFI
> (someone who I think most here respect), has recounted ... the "beginner's
> test (novice)" in his day had a 3-1/2 page study guide, the general study
> guide was 16 pages (I had mis-remembered and stated 12-14 pages in a
> couple of presentations, but that was an honest mistake and doesn't really
> alter the point). Today, the "Now You're Talking" - the study guide for
> the "beginner's test (tech)" is on the order of 200 pages or slightly more
> and covers MANY more topics than the study guides of Ed's test-taking days
> ever covered.
>
> The point is that things have NOT been "dumbed down" ... there is more to
> study and learn than ever before - just to become a "beginner." I was
> licensed long enough ago to have been a member of QCWA for some time, and
> I am FIRMLY convinced that those who complain about "dumbing down" of the
> testing are either being disingenuous, or more likely simply remember the
> tests that they took many years ago as being MUCH harder than they
> actually were. Besides, the test isn't a proof that you "know all there
> is to know," nor SHOULD it be.
>
> I'd ask older hams with higher class licenses to think back to the
> mistakes that they made when they first went on the air many years ago -
> and how the more experienced hams of the time (generally) were patient,
> tolerant, and helpful. Show the newcomers the way in polite, respectful,
> and constructive ways, rather than slamming them and telling them they're
> no good!
>
> If anyone (particularly from the Atlantic Division) wants to see
> information on my background and qualifications and some issues material,
> it's available on my personal website at http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c.
> Questions and comments via direct e-mail are, of course, welcomed (again,

> particularly from ARRL Atlantic Division members).
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
Good luck to you Carl.

One parting shot ..... now a days the new comers are not like we used to be. The new hams today are educated by using Cobra's and kickers, and all the assorted crap that goes along with that mindset.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2485. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:46:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <WoONe.7182$RZ2.5473@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116836

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:dLFNe.7016$RZ2.3304@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> robert casey wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>>> > No
>>> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>> >
>>>
>>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>>
>> well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
>> see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
>> everything out side of it
>
> Yet the reality of today is that except for two VHF bands,
> 50.0 MHz to 50.1 and 144.0 MHz to 144.1, there are no
> other exclusive CW segments at all.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
Very true Bill. The gentlemans agreements worked....then. Not anymore.
The gentlemen have died off, and the CBers have replaced them. Think about
it.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2486. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:50:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <OsONe.7185$RZ2.2987@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116837

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
news:xQ2dnTxEqdhh-JreUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:WFFNe.7015$RZ2.6727@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:xLxNe.318$I93.26@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>>>> No digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>>>
>>>
>>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>>
>> Works for me. Such is the case already
>> with ARRL bandplans for USA already.
>> I'd have no problem with the bottom 25KHz
>> each HF band being CW only.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
> Nor would I, per se, but since narrow modes like PSK31 take about the same
> bandwidth, does it make sense to keep them out?
>

Why should we allow PSK31 in the CW segement at all? Isn't the REST of the
band (pick your band) enough?

> However, whichever way that question is answered, there is a problem is
> that in some bands the bottom 25 kHz is for Extras only.
>
So what? Change it.

> Would the Extra CW ops be willing to share their "exclusive playground"
> ??? Would they be willing to share with, e.g. PSK31???
>
I've been an Extra for 20 years. A ham for 44. I have no problem with
dropping the silly "Extra exclusive CW subbands". I answered the PSK issue
earlier.

> (I should point out here again that I do NOT favor expansion of the phone
> bands to allow SSB to "run roughshod" over CW and the digital modes any
> more than I think that Winlink/PactorIII "robots" should run roughshod

> over CW, PSK31, etc.)
>
> See my other, longer post for more on this issue and others.
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>
Dan/W4NTI

# 2487. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:53:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3wONe.7186$RZ2.2122@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116838

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Even today....well actually for
>> many years....the 80 meter band is a classic example of wasted > space.
>> Mostly dead air in the "CW" allocations. In particular from
>> 3.5 to 3.6.
>
> I think you meant "3.6 to 3.7"
>
No I didn't....I don't consider 5 CW stations in 100 KC over use of a
segement. Or should I say "Use of a segement". Nets are there for sure,
but not for long. Then the band is dead again.

>> Lots of open space from 3.6 to 3.750 if you want to be open
>> minded on this
>> subject.
>
> All of 80 meters is open to digital modes. You know, the
> modes all those new, young, modern hams are going to use
> when Element 1 goes away.
>
> If there's so much room, then what's the problem making
> 3500 to 3575 Morse Code only?
>
Because we don't use it now. 25 on the bottom of all bands is plenty IF it
is CW exclusive to ALL classes.

>> 40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that
>> mess straight..
>> hi.
>
> Not really. The mess is due to the rest of the world wanting
> 7100-7300 for SWBC. That's going away, even as we speak, and
> more and more of the rest of the world is letting their hams
> have 7100-7200. Eventually 7000-7300 will be worldwide
> exclusive amateur.
>
> So what's the problem with 7000-7050 being Morse Code only?
>>
See above

>> 20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.
>
> Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and

> less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
> about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
> but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.
>>
>> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW > ONLY.
>> No
>> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>
>> Those that don't.....won't.
>>
> The trouble is that it will take an Extra to get down there.
>>

No it won't. Drop the Extra only and be done with that Dinosaur.
Dan/W4NTI

>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.19.17.40.04.368721@gmail.com...
>> >
>> > Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics
>> > generated in
>> > regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
>
> A year or two is "long tern"? HAW, that's a good one!
>
> (Does this guy know what a sunspot cycle is?)
>
>> > As CW continues its'
>
> "its".
>
>> > drop, it needs less and less allocations...
>
> Who says CW is dropping?
>
>> > as
>> > no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the > > new
>> > users and their modes...
>
> You mean SSB, right? Because there's no Morse-Code-only subbands
> on HF-MF in the USA.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

# 2488. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:58:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <CAONe.7187$RZ2.2727@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116839

I no longer care to waste my time with you Lennie. You are Anti-Amateur Radio. You have no reason to be in this group. Other than to sew hate and discontent.

You don't even have a license or any experience in Amateur Radio at all. Thus your comments and input are of no interest to me. Nor should they be to any other person here.

In other words I no longer give a rip what you say or think.

Have a nice life.

Dan/W4NTI

Lennie's idiotic comments deleted
<plonk>

# 2489. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 22:59:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hBONe.7188$RZ2.4257@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116840

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124492458.695374.137870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> 10 KC? Not enough. At least 25 per HF band.
>>
> Why so little? Should be at least this much:
>
> Morse Code Only Subbands:
>
> 1800-1830
> 3500-3575
> 7000-7050
> 10100-10115
> 14000-14050
> 18068-18083
> 21000-21075
> 24890-24905
> 28000-28100
>
> Why not?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Don't need that much.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2490. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 23:02:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.20.23.02.56.748610@gmail.com>
Source record: 116845

... dual boot here, slackware & xp ...

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 18:40:37 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Carl:
>>
>> Exactly, why would arrl back such a system?
>
> SOUNDS like a good system. Sounds modern and up to date.
>
>> Amateur radio should not allow any PROPRIETARY hardware/software to become
>> a standard.
>
> Agreed.
>
>> We are not about supporting monopolies, we are about the free
>> experimentation, development, testing, construction, use, etc. of equip.
>> and methods/protocols, even that equipment encompassed by the homebrewer.
>>
>> We need some more linux people in amateur radio for the software and more
>> computer hardware people for the hardware...
>
> Here is a cool Linux thing for Hams:
>
> http://hamshack-hack.sourceforge.net/
>
> It is a hack of the Knoppix Linux distribution. Lots of Ham radio
> goodies. Make a disk image, and you can boot your computer up in Linux.
> then switch back to Windoze. Works prefect on my desktop 8^), but needs
> a few tweaks on my Laptop.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 2491. Re: Random surfing (OT alert)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 23:08:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.20.23.08.56.649250@gmail.com>
Source record: 116844

... looks like the "futurists" vision of america, I sold my crystal-ball when it started coming up with that stuff!

I feel I have just visited a web page forecasting the future if we don't change our current course... <frown>

I forwarded the URL to Sylvia Browne to get her take on it...

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 18:30:50 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> http://j-walk.com/other/todd/aboutme.htm
>
> I did some random surfing and found this. Maybe it will lighten things
> up around here! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 2492. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 23:17:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.20.23.17.20.564469@gmail.com>
Source record: 116843

Dan:

I know it may seem that way, but gentlemen have not died off, they are
just not found in radio anymore... <frown>

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 22:46:14 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:dLFNe.7016$RZ2.3304@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> robert casey wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
>>>> > No
>>>> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
>>>> need for FCC micromanagement here.
>>>
>>> well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
>>> see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
>>> everything out side of it
>>
>> Yet the reality of today is that except for two VHF bands,
>> 50.0 MHz to 50.1 and 144.0 MHz to 144.1, there are no
>> other exclusive CW segments at all.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>>
> Very true Bill. The gentlemans agreements worked....then. Not anymore.
> The gentlemen have died off, and the CBers have replaced them. Think about
> it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 2493. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 23:31:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116841

an_old_friend wrote:
> Stevie has for some time been spearding the Lie that he could o
> something to more than anoy for what he sees as many and manifold sins

"spreading" "do" "annoy" "manifest"

No lies, Markie...Except from you.

> 9 Days ago I demanded he put up or shut up, I'd prfer of course that he
> shut up, but it was his choice.

"prefer"

> His response was to threaten me even further

What threats?

> Finaly 7 days ago he claimed to have taken action

I have.

> He has claimed to have taken action that would result in people staring
> at me or driving past the house differently

I didn't say they would. I said "Have you noticed?" That's a
question, not a statement.

> Action that I would notice
>
> Guess what? Stevie Lied.

Nope.

> And Stevie can't tolerate Liars

I don't. That's why you can't post without having obvious
mistruths laid bare.

> yet he lies

Nope.

> Stevie claims Lying is a evil on a par with Kiddy Porn and spouse
> abuse, and yet he lies.

"is AN evil"

And no lies.

> when are you going to come clean and admit your lies

Had a real nice hot shower this morning before going to the Huntsville Hamfest. Didn't need one to admit any lies as I haven't lied.

You have, however.

Mostly to yourself.

And your "day-by-day" accounting adds up to more paranoia. Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2494. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-20 23:56:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124582189.113074.56660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116842

David Stinson wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Hang onto your "extra" license obtained through morsemanship,
> > Jimmie. It may be all you'll have in the future to show
> > your "greatness" in AMATEUR radio..,..
>
> Amazing how bitter some people can be
> when confronted with their indolence....

Wherever the bee in Lennie's bonnet came from, David, it must have
been a big one.

My whole theory is that he never achieved the "recognition" that
he thought he deserved from his professional colleagues. He was never
professionally published nor was he the recipient of any award of any
importance.

Seeing a possible light at the end of his dismal tunnel, Lennie
tied his fortunes to "Ham Radio" magazine where he did enjoy getting
some bylines, however he still did not get the "recognition" he felt
entitled to.

That only further incurred his wrath since we are "mere amateurs",
incapable of understanding the complexities of RF theory and practice.

The result has been a near-decade long rant against anything and
everything that is "Amateur Radio" related. Nothing done by/with/for
Amateur Radio/Amateur Radio Operators is "worthy" or "valid". Anyone
with an Extra class licesne is a "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", "elitist",
etc etc etc.

He IS a putz.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2495. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 00:21:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <37ifg1pp7g1vin445ome2lb9h5ii7c4hdm@4ax.com>
Source record: 116846

On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.

Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.

After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?

BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2496. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 00:34:01 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ZZPNe.8165$Wi6.6921@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116847

K4YZ wrote:
The result has been a near-decade long rant against anything and
> everything that is "Amateur Radio" related. Nothing done by/with/for
> Amateur Radio/Amateur Radio Operators is "worthy" or "valid". Anyone
> with an Extra class licesne is a "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", "elitist",
> etc etc etc.
>

Alas, Steve; the world is full of people like that, who don't understand
why they should not be *given* that for which they have not worked
and which they have not earned. They become bitter and hateful adults
(being forced to give up taunting and pitching fits
after about 16... one would hope).
They have other sociopathic characteristics, as well.
Probably raised by "Dr. Spock" parents.
D.S.

# 2497. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 00:42:12 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <9iGdncwLn89xUJreUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116848

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.20.21.13.51.650598@gmail.com...
> Carl:
>
> Exactly, why would arrl back such a system?

If they, indeed are, I don't think they should be ...

> Amateur radio should not allow any PROPRIETARY hardware/software to become
> a standard. We are not about supporting monopolies, we are about the free
> experimentation, development, testing, construction, use, etc. of equip.
> and methods/protocols, even that equipment encompassed by the homebrewer.
>
> We need some more linux people in amateur radio for the software and more
> computer hardware people for the hardware... it would be a mistake to
> support companies holding a monopoly, there are already commercial
> stations for that... besides, windows software just ends up enriching
> bill g.

While I have experience with linux I am forced by the need for a number of
applications to use Windows XP on most of my machines.
I think there are a lot of people in the same boat, so I don't think that
you're going to get an overwhelming majority of hams to abandon the Windows
OS and move to linux exclusively ... HOWEVER, open source ham APPLICATIONS
can be ported to both operating systems so the users don't have to be locked
out by their choice (whether they're "forced" to use Windows for other
reasons or not) of OS.

73,
Carl - wk3c

# 2498. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 00:59:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.00.59.07.611389@gmail.com>
Source record: 116853

Carl:

I can't say the lack of anything in linux forces me to use windows... however, the lack of commercial video games written for linux forces me to revert to windows to run them... "Neverwinter Nights" is an exception, and is ported to linux, however, the game is now a few years old and I went on to others and this is the main reason my private computers sport windows also...

The only factor truly forcing windows on me is other windows users, and I am paid 85%+ of the time to develop on the windows platform because of them, and almost exclusively for NT these days (thin clients like cell phones are an exception)...

Anything windows can do--Linux can do, Linux can just do it better... windows on the other hand cannot do all which linux can--mostly this is because of MS having to hold the source secret and pursue proprietary ends... what is good for MS pockets is not good for the consumer--generally...

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 00:42:12 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.20.21.13.51.650598@gmail.com...
>> Carl:
>>
>> Exactly, why would arrl back such a system?
> 
> If they, indeed are, I don't think they should be ...
> 
>> Amateur radio should not allow any PROPRIETARY hardware/software to become
>> a standard. We are not about supporting monopolies, we are about the free
>> experimentation, development, testing, construction, use, etc. of equip.
>> and methods/protocols, even that equipment encompassed by the homebrewer.
>>
>> We need some more linux people in amateur radio for the software and more
>> computer hardware people for the hardware... it would be a mistake to
>> support companies holding a monopoly, there are already commercial
>> stations for that... besides, windows software just ends up enriching
>> bill g.
> 
> While I have experience with linux I am forced by the need for a number of
> applications to use Windows XP on most of my machines.

> I think there are a lot of people in the same boat, so I don't think that
> you're going to get an overwhelming majority of hams to abandon the Windows
> OS and move to linux exclusively ... HOWEVER, open source ham APPLICATIONS
> can be ported to both operating systems so the users don't have to be locked
> out by their choice (whether they're "forced" to use Windows for other
> reasons or not) of OS.
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

## 2499. Re: The Weekly F.A.Q.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 01:05:28 UTC
From: M0WWS <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124586328.922655.16590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

10-4 good buddy. Keep your shiney side up and your dirty side down (profound even for CB ?) we up we down we left we right we catch you on the flip side. Bye bye for now, bye bye for them, bye bye for who,m bye bbye for whom, we gotta a zoom. You up You down we down we out bye bye and best 73s 88s 51s and 501's we clear...

## 2500. Re: Day 9 Stevie when you going to fess up to your lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 01:15:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124586902.853658.274900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116850

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Stevie has for some time been spearding the Lie that he could o
> > something to more than anoy for what he sees as many and manifold sins
cuting spelling cop
> No lies, Markie...Except from you.
>
> > 9 Days ago I demanded he put up or shut up, I'd prfer of course that he
> > shut up, but it was his choice.

cuting more speling cop
>
> > His response was to threaten me even further
>
> What threats?

"Be carefull what you ask for"
>
> > Finaly 7 days ago he claimed to have taken action
>
> I have.

Nope
>
> > He has claimed to have taken action that would result in people staring
> > at me or driving past the house differently
>
> I didn't say they would. I said "Have you noticed?" That's a
> question, not a statement.

Yes you did more lies Stevie

>
> > Action that I would notice
> >
> > Guess what? Stevie Lied.
>
> Nope.

Stevie lied

No action that could be noticed let alone even anoy me

>
> > And Stevie can't tolerate Liars
>
> I don't. That's why you can't post without having obvious

> mistruths laid bare.

Then confess your lies

You promised action that would be moe than merely anoying

You did not deliver

so You lied

>
> > yet he lies
>
> Nope.
>
> > Stevie claims Lying is a evil on a par with Kiddy Porn and spouse
> > abuse, and yet he lies.
>
> "is AN evil"
>
> And no lies.

You promised action that would be more than anoying

you did not deliver

so you lied

It is just that simple

I knew you could not deleiver when you made the boastful threat

But you did not delever

>
> > when are you going to come clean and admit your lies
>
> Had a real nice hot shower this morning before going to the
> Huntsville Hamfest. Didn't need one to admit any lies as I haven't
> lied.

You promised actiion that be more than anoying
>
> You have, however.

Nope just the one admited to years ago
>
> Mostly to yourself.

I never lie to myself

>
> And your "day-by-day" accounting adds up to more paranoia.
> Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.

Not paranoia just rubbing your you nose in your lies

No effect that can be detected

When you going to fess and admit you can't bring down something that I will even notice let alone something that will do more than anoy me

And My Day by does seem to be afecting your output of shit so It is worthwhile

>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2501. Re: Day 9 Stevie when you going to fess up to your lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 01:24:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124587455.528599.212370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116851

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>
>
> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>
> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?

you noticed Stevie caginess Now if they were combined with bit of
caution elsewhere I could understand them Indeed thanks to folks like
Stevie ia void anything in here that can be pinned down

As to prostate exams well that would fit with Stevie obsesstion about
male body parts that he clearly displays

>
> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?

I would sugest telling them that it isn't worth their while presuing
Him, The Powers that be dlon't care if threaten to kil people on
Usenet, most of Law enforcement looks on the place as a sewer. IiT has
its uses but with the current crop it is rough even using it to hash
out ideas
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2502. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 01:35:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124588105.894160.274850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116852

David Stinson wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> The result has been a near-decade long rant against anything and
> > everything that is "Amateur Radio" related. Nothing done by/with/for
> > Amateur Radio/Amateur Radio Operators is "worthy" or "valid". Anyone
> > with an Extra class licesne is a "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", "elitist",
> > etc etc etc.
> >
>
> Alas, Steve; the world is full of people like that, who don't understand
> why they should not be *given* that for which they have not worked
> and which they have not earned. They become bitter and hateful adults

Ah yes another of the Robeson S&M school of licenses

> (being forced to give up taunting and pitching fits
> after about 16... one would hope).

Well Stevie still hasn't given up on it at 51

> They have other sociopathic characteristics, as well.
> Probably raised by "Dr. Spock" parents.
> D.S.

# 2503. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 01:42:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.01.42.12.470297@gmail.com>
Source record: 116854

AOF:

I think new inductees should have to demonstrate the ability to walk on
hot coals and broken glass... nice to be entertained...

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 18:35:05 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> David Stinson wrote:
>> KY4Z wrote:
>> The result has been a near-decade long rant against anything and
>> > everything that is "Amateur Radio" related. Nothing done by/with/for
>> > Amateur Radio/Amateur Radio Operators is "worthy" or "valid". Anyone
>> > with an Extra class licesne is a "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", "elitist",
>> > etc etc etc.
>> >
>>
>> Alas, Steve; the world is full of people like that, who don't understand
>> why they should not be *given* that for which they have not worked
>> and which they have not earned. They become bitter and hateful adults
>
> Ah yes another of the Robeson S&M school of licenses
>
>> (being forced to give up taunting and pitching fits
>> after about 16... one would hope).
>
> Well Stevie still hasn't given up on it at 51
>
>> They have other sociopathic characteristics, as well.
>> Probably raised by "Dr. Spock" parents.
>> D.S.

# 2504. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 02:46:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iljopb5.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 116855

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 00:42:12 -0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

>While I have experience with linux I am forced by the need for a number of
>applications to use Windows XP on most of my machines.

Ditto.

>I think there are a lot of people in the same boat, so I don't think that
>you're going to get an overwhelming majority of hams to abandon the Windows
>OS and move to linux exclusively ... HOWEVER, open source ham APPLICATIONS
>can be ported to both operating systems so the users don't have to be locked
>out by their choice (whether they're "forced" to use Windows for other
>reasons or not) of OS.

I used to trumpet the same thing about IBM's Warp-OS/2 OS, which
remains my main system. If and when my favorite text editor gets
released in a version for Linux (it's allegedly in beta) and I find
a mailer and newsreader that I like, I may migrate the whole shebang
to Linux and bid Warp a reluctant farewell.

For ham use, I'm running XP for APRS and Win 98 for Packet (the DOS
program that I use doesn't run well under XP). Those "data" modes
which don't run on a TNC are on the XP machine. Three machines
next to my operating console - don't need to turn the heat on in
this room during the heating season.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

# 2505. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 03:21:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.03.21.17.593985@gmail.com>
Source record: 116859

Phil:

I never could figure IBM dropping os/2, they dropped the ball twice, once when they didn't purchase DOS directly from bill, second when they let bill out-market 'em with windows (an idea he stole from apple.) OS/2 was a much superior os to windows, warp was a true contender to linux.

Shows you that the power of marketing can beat any superior product...

John

On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 19:46:23 -0700, Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 00:42:12 -0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
>>While I have experience with linux I am forced by the need for a number of
>>applications to use Windows XP on most of my machines.
>
> Ditto.
>
>>I think there are a lot of people in the same boat, so I don't think that
>>you're going to get an overwhelming majority of hams to abandon the Windows
>>OS and move to linux exclusively ... HOWEVER, open source ham APPLICATIONS
>>can be ported to both operating systems so the users don't have to be locked
>>out by their choice (whether they're "forced" to use Windows for other
>>reasons or not) of OS.
>
> I used to trumpet the same thing about IBM's Warp-OS/2 OS, which
> remains my main system. If and when my favorite text editor gets
> released in a version for Linux (it's allegedly in beta) and I find
> a mailer and newsreader that I like, I may migrate the whole shebang
> to Linux and bid Warp a reluctant farewell.
>
> For ham use, I'm running XP for APRS and Win 98 for Packet (the DOS
> program that I use doesn't run well under XP). Those "data" modes
> which don't run on a TNC are on the XP machine. Three machines
> next to my operating console - don't need to turn the heat on in
> this room during the heating season.

# 2506. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 03:21:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124594502.745139.233390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116856

From: John Kasupski on Aug 20, 3:08 pm

>On 19 Aug 2005 15:05:14 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
>> picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...
>
>I realize this code test issue is a bit like shooting fish in a barrel
>at this point, but I learned it as BRASS rather than BASS. ;-)

Heyo, John, off the subject but "BASS" was Breath-Aim-Sight-Squeeze
acronym in the U.S. Army of the 1950s.

If you search through past posts of Stebie da Wundermurine (K4YZ)
you will find his implied "threat" of "a few ounces of pressure"
which was, of course, in reference to the squeezing of a trigger.

Hence my remark that you quoted. :-)

In his emotional volatility he forgot to look to see if anyone
could shoot back, hence his continuing anger and frustration
at those who CAN and DARE to do such a thing! :-)

gun sit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2507. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 03:24:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124594691.160407.13480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116857

From: David Stinson on Aug 20, 5:34 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> The result has been a near-decade long rant against anything and
>> everything that is "Amateur Radio" related. Nothing done by/with/for
>> Amateur Radio/Amateur Radio Operators is "worthy" or "valid". Anyone
>> with an Extra class licesne is a "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", "elitist",
>> etc etc etc.
>
>Alas, Steve; the world is full of people like that, who don't understand
>why they should not be *given* that for which they have not worked
>and which they have not earned.

David, you are relatively new to THIS din of inequity and haven't
been exposed to the Wrath of Stevie when you have Opposed his
personal opinions! :-)

Robeson has seldom, very seldom argued a SUBJECT in here, choosing
instead to launch a series of Personal Attacks on anyone daring
to oppose him on anything. Some Google searching will show that
in sufficient quantity (thousands of postings insulting others)
to bear that out. [the best referene on his personal frustrations
anguish, and hatred displayed for all to see]

In the last eight years in here, I've written (and opined) that
United States amateur radio activity is interesting and fun for
those who take a real part in it, for their personal enjoyment as
an avocational pursuit. Having BEGUN HF radio communications in
1953 and NEVER having had to use/operate/know morse code then or
at any time in a successful career as an electronics design
engineer (I am retired - from regular hours), I feel that morse
code testing for a radio AMATEUR license is unwarranted and the
mystique around it ridiculous.

If you wish to see where I began in communications 52 years ago:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf

> They become bitter and hateful adults
>(being forced to give up taunting and pitching fits
>after about 16... one would hope).

David, you are free to think anything you wish of me, but in the
reality of the newsgroup, it won't matter a great deal to me. I
will remain as outspoken as ever. :-) All that nastygrams do is
to take up space and a tiny amount of time in showing just how

vacuuous and puerile such commentary is...

>They have other sociopathic characteristics, as well.

Robeson's long history of postings in here reads like a Psych 101 introductory precis on Sociopathy. :-)

>Probably raised by "Dr. Spock" parents.

Not in my case. :-) I was raised by naturalized citizen (born in Sweden) parents in the 1930s and 1940s. Benjamin Spock probably had not yet entered college yet...and certainly hadn't begun any PhD Dissertation. :-)

David, you were born in 1957 and are 48 years old. I have MORE years as an adult working for a living than you have in total life experience. Now, I've read your Comment on WT Docket 05-235 and agree with you that morse code testing has no place in federal amateur radio licensing examinations. On the veneration of morse code itself, we differ markedly. We can confine any public discussions on WT Docket 05-235 to that and avoid personal squabbling such as demonstrated by Robeson and Jeswald...or not. Your choice. But, "ya gotta know da territory!" first... :-)

RTF NCM
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2508. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 03:54:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124596459.245380.185020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116858

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 20, 5:21 pm

>On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
><snip>
>
>>Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>
>Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.

Oh, ohhh...now begins another tirade of Stebie against the world.

>After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
>details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
>not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie.

Welcome to the club, Frank. :-)

Stebie gonna shout, "CALL THE VA!" :-)

Of course, if one isn't a personnel person in a business or a
family member/relation, DETAILS will be null, zero, none. It's
a matter of a LAW passed (in the 70s?) by Congress forbidding
free and open release of data to just anyone about military
records. <shrug>

> So I emailed
>several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
>of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
>remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
>giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?

Stebie wouldn't admit it if he was... :-)

Stebie now gonna shout, "YOU ARE AGINST HAM RADIO!" or other,
unrelated to the subject personal insults in response. It's
predictable from past puerility of his.

>BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
>a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
>active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?

Well, Frank, all I can say at this point is that we got a NEW
kettle of fish to make more bad bouillabaise about in here. :-)

vin fiz
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2509. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 04:52:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1124599951.901443.100070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116860

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.20.21.13.51.650598@gmail.com...
> > Carl:
> >
> > Exactly, why would arrl back such a system?
>
> If they, indeed are, I don't think they should be ...

They aren't.

> > Amateur radio should not allow any PROPRIETARY hardware/software to become
> > a standard. We are not about supporting monopolies, we are about the free
> > experimentation, development, testing, construction, use, etc. of equip.
> > and methods/protocols, even that equipment encompassed by the homebrewer.
> >
> > We need some more linux people in amateur radio for the software and more
> > computer hardware people for the hardware... it would be a mistake to
> > support companies holding a monopoly, there are already commercial
> > stations for that... besides, windows software just ends up enriching
> > bill g.
>
> While I have experience with linux I am forced by the need for a number of
> applications to use Windows XP on most of my machines.
> I think there are a lot of people in the same boat, so I don't think that
> you're going to get an overwhelming majority of hams to abandon the Windows
> OS and move to linux exclusively ... HOWEVER, open source ham APPLICATIONS
> can be ported to both operating systems so the users don't have to be locked
> out by their choice (whether they're "forced" to use Windows for other
> reasons or not) of OS.

Right. The huge majority of us don't "choose" our operating systems, we
choose our apps for our own particular purposes and use the O/S needed
to run the apps. As is the case in just about all fields, including ham
radio, Gates has a virtual hammerlock on us because the vast majority
of the apps we need are written for Windows and not for Linux or OS X
or O/S2. Yes it's a vicious circle, the momopoly from hell. Reality is
what it is.

Take my own primary operating interest which is HF dxing and dx
contesting and the current leading edge software used by the tens of
thousands of us dxers and contesters. Writelog, DX4WIN and TRLog and
others, almost all Windows apps. There are a few legacy DOS loggers
like CT which are still widely used and a few MAC and rudimentary Linux
loggers floating around but they're all but invisible in competitive

contesting.

Consider the N1MM contest logging program which is very much a collaborative, open source program. It's *huge*, the manual alone is 350 pages. It does stunts like variable speed keying CW via the printer port, a mouse click on an onscreen 2M packet spot will bandswitch just about any brand/model HF xcvr to a specific freq on any band almost instantly and on and on.

Maybe it can be ported to Linux, I wouldn't know. What I do know is that I utterly fail to understand why anybody would even think about porting this beast over to Linux when it's obviously so much easier to simply run it in Win 98 or whatever in some surplus $100 Winbox and be done with it.

> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

w3rv

# 2510. Re: just cleaing up my screen pay it no mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 04:53:56 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de91at$fi0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:cf7be60c5da1ce0834cdac95aceb86c6@dizum.com...
In article <1124474201.312251.268200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>

Yes, Bisexual <AB8MQ filth snipped>

Somebody should toss this misfit a ladder.
He needs it to climb out of the sewer...

# 2511. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 07:21:01 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrndggajr.5ao.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 116862

In article <1124467751.631240.75340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Does boycotting Google help? I don't pay them any money.

If you click on their ads, you are giving them money. I discussed this
with the people who run eHam.net, and one of the things they told me
is that they could not survive without the revenue from "ads by Google".

Not only do I boycott google, but I boycott sites with "ads by google" too.
I do give them the courtesy of an email, but it has not been met with
great success as I seem to be the only one that cares :-(

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (077)-424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Support the growing boycott of Google by radio users and hobbyists.
It's starting to work, Yahoo has surpassed Google.

# 2512. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 09:14:25 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <de9gjb$ge4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116863

David, you are relatively new to THIS din of inequity and haven't
been exposed to the Wrath of Stevie when you have Opposed his
personal opinions! :-)

Yes, leave it to a self-proclaimed, loquatious oldster to say in seven
paragraphs that which could be said in a single sentence.
Brevity and humility are not in Lennie's dictionary.
Flatulence, on the other hand......

# 2513. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 11:03:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124622203.942229.146580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116864

an_old_friend wrote:
> David Stinson wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > The result has been a near-decade long rant against anything and
> > > everything that is "Amateur Radio" related. Nothing done by/with/for
> > > Amateur Radio/Amateur Radio Operators is "worthy" or "valid". Anyone
> > > with an Extra class licesne is a "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", "elitist",
> > > etc etc etc.
> > >
> >
> > Alas, Steve; the world is full of people like that, who don't understand
> > why they should not be *given* that for which they have not worked
> > and which they have not earned. They become bitter and hateful adults
>
> Ah yes another of the Robeson S&M school of licenses

Science and Mechanics? Because the only "pain" I am "into" is
pain RELIEF.

> > (being forced to give up taunting and pitching fits
> > after about 16... one would hope).
>
> Well Stevie still hasn't given up on it at 51

Who's 51?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2514. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 11:27:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124623651.364516.61740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116865

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: David Stinson on Aug 20, 5:34 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> The result has been a near-decade long rant against anything and
> >> everything that is "Amateur Radio" related. Nothing done by/with/for
> >> Amateur Radio/Amateur Radio Operators is "worthy" or "valid". Anyone
> >> with an Extra class licesne is a "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", "elitist",
> >> etc etc etc.
> >>
> >Alas, Steve; the world is full of people like that, who don't understand
> >why they should not be *given* that for which they have not worked
> >and which they have not earned.
>
> David, you are relatively new to THIS din of inequity and haven't
> been exposed to the Wrath of Stevie when you have Opposed his
> personal opinions!

Many here have "opposing" opinions.

MOST don't find it necessary to respond with the adjectives and
diminutives that you have, Lennie.

You eap what you sew, little man.

> Robeson has seldom, very seldom argued a SUBJECT in here, choosing
> instead to launch a series of Personal Attacks on anyone daring
> to oppose him on anything. Some Google searching will show that
> in sufficient quantity (thousands of postings insulting others)
> to bear that out. [the best referene on his personal frustrations
> anguish, and hatred displayed for all to see]

Uhhhhhhhhhh...Acutally you'll find that those types of posts are
pretty much resricted to you, Brain, and Markie, all three of whom are
known to be documented and proven liars.

> In the last eight years in here, I've written (and opined) that
> United States amateur radio activity is interesting and fun for
> those who take a real part in it, for their personal enjoyment as
> an avocational pursuit. Having BEGUN HF radio communications in
> 1953 and NEVER having had to use/operate/know morse code then or
> at any time in a successful career as an electronics design
> engineer (I am retired - from regular hours), I feel that morse
> code testing for a radio AMATEUR license is unwarranted and the
> mystique around it ridiculous.

Actually you have gone to great lengths to harrass, intimidate, deceive and disparge Amateur Radio at every turn.

> If you wish to see where I began in communications 52 years ago:
>
> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf

And "52 years ago" was the last time you had anything to do with "radio" that even closely approximates anything "Amateru Radio"-ish...And even then you were only a radio mechanic at a rear-area radio station in the Army...

> > They become bitter and hateful adults
> >(being forced to give up taunting and pitching fits
> >after about 16... one would hope).
>
> David, you are free to think anything you wish of me, but in the
> reality of the newsgroup, it won't matter a great deal to me. I
> will remain as outspoken as ever...(SNIP)

That means that regardless of how effectively he might be able to otherwise express his opinions, he will ALSO take every opportunity to be as foul-mouthed and deceitful as possible, never missing an opportunity to misrepresent the reality of what is MODERN-DAY Amateur Radio.

> All that nastygrams do is
> to take up space and a tiny amount of time in showing just how
> vacuuous and puerile such commentary is...

So there we have it...

The same progentiator of "Jimmie", "Reverend Jim", "Der Feldwebel", "Dill Sergeant", "Katapult Kelly", "High El Heil", etc etc etc trying to wash his soul clean of his own newsgrouup misdeeds......

> >They have other sociopathic characteristics, as well.
>
> Robeson's long history of postings in here reads like a Psych 101
> introductory precis on Sociopathy.

One can follow your own history on mistruths and insults and know exactly why you never made it to the top of your class in your chosen profession.

> >Probably raised by "Dr. Spock" parents.
>
> Not in my case.

More like Mr. Spock parents.

> I was raised by naturalized citizen
> (born in Sweden) parents in the 1930s and 1940s.

That answers a lot...Lennie is "all about" giving things
away...He's the product of parents rom the second biggest welfare state
in the world!

Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh!

> Benjamin Spock
> probably had not yet entered college yet...and certainly hadn't
> begun any PhD Dissertation.

Whcih leaves my "Mr Spock" suggestion pretty close to the mark.

> David, you were born in 1957 and are 48 years old. I have MORE
> years as an adult working for a living than you have in total
> life experience.

Hey David...this is Lennie "claiming seniority" yet he routinely
belittles any Amatuer who points out that they ahve more experience in
what THEY do...

> Now, I've read your Comment on WT Docket 05-235
> and agree with you that morse code testing has no place in federal
> amateur radio licensing examinations.

> On the veneration of morse code itself, we differ markedly.

The only thing "venerated" in Lennie's life is Lennie.

> We can confine any public
> discussions on WT Docket 05-235 to that and avoid personal
> squabbling such as demonstrated by Robeson and Jeswald...or not.
> Your choice. But, "ya gotta know da territory!" first...

It only takes a couple of your "Gee, I'm a nice guy" posts
followed by your venomous attacks when things don't go your way to
"learn the territory

> LenIamAtwoFacedFoulMouthedPunkAtTheDropOfAhatAnderson@ieee.com

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2515. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 11:39:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124624341.639525.93370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116866

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>
>
> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.

BBWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?

Well, "Frank", you have the same OFFICIAL resources at your disposal as I do, so I recommend you use them instead, rather than making foolish statements that land you squarely in the "running my mouth off without adequate substantiation of my position" behind them!

Several of my "buds" (with whom I still stay in contact with) from the MCAS El Toro Aero Club were tracks and armor guys from Pendleton. What are the odds if I say "Who's Frank Gilliland and what did he do for the Marines?" that they'll shrug their shoulders and say "Frank who...?!?!"

> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?

Whelp, all I can say is use some of those same OFFICIAL sources to keep from looking like a fool, Frank.

But I'd also say "hurry" because your credibility is slipping.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2516. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 11:51:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124625091.309992.110610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116867

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:53 pm

> > Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
> >have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in your
> >distorted mind?
>
> Take a trank, Dannie Disabled, settle down.

"Dannie Disabled"

Sure am glad that LENNIE doesn't participate in those "personal
ATTACKS" that he suggested to anothr person in another thread that
"others" make...!!!!

> I realize that YOU think ham radio is super-secret stuff which
> can't be revealed to the outside world, but that is NOT so.
> But, you are totally confusing ARMY communications with your
> ham hobby activies and that is NOT good for the U.S. Army.

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...actually, I believe it is YOU who have gotten your
1950's era rear-area radio mechanic days confused with what you THINK
Amateur Radio is.

Huge Snippage

> >Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
> >BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I was NEVER in Bavaria in the 1970s. But, I was
> IN the U.S. Army in the 1950s and as a civilian engineer working
> for civilian contractors engaged in Department of Defense contract
> work from the late 1950s on through to 1989.

Lennie's closest approach to any "unfriendly territory" was
crawling back to the barracks drunk-as-a-skunk and finding himslef in a
benjo ditch.

> Dannie Disabled,
> I've had a bit MORE investigation by the U.S. government on
> SECURITY matters (including background checks for Top Secret
> and "Q") for the simple reason that I've been around longer than
> you have.

No doubt you've been investigated, Lennie...No doubt...

> Don't try this Tuff Guy bull#### with me.

Yeah, Dan...we ALL know who the "Tuff Guy" full of bull#### is in
THIS forum...And Lennie does NOT like being in second on that one!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2517. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 12:20:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124626807.187844.34950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116868

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> The concern/fear/issues being raised by
> many are that the ARRL "regulation
> by bandwidth" proposal will result in
> practically all of the HF CW/data
> bands being "over-run by Winlink/PactorIII
> robots," that those stations
> don't "play nice" with real-time human to
> human modes, that PactorIII takes
> a lot of bandwidth for a non-proportional
> gain in throughput, and that
> Winlink and PactorIII are closed, proprietary
> modes that are only available
> through the purchase of some rather expensive,
> sole-source hardware and software.

I'd state the first part somewhat differently:

One concern is that the proposed rules would/could
unleash "robot" stations of all kinds anywhere in the
non-voice/image parts of the bands. There would be
no way for the typical PSK31, RTTY or Morse Code
operator to know they were on a frequency used by
a "robot" until the robot fired up on top of their
QSO.

A human operator who fires up on top of an existing
QSO can be in violation of the rules. What gives
robots an exception?

PactorIII and Winlink are indeed proprietary, which
goes against the grain of open-sourcing and freeware.
Compare those modes to, say, PSK31, with its wide-open
software and hardware.

To most of us there's nothing wrong with hams using
propietary software or hardware - until it becomes
an endorsed standard. IOW, 'buy this particular
piece of hardware and software from this particular
company or you cannot play the game' doesn't sit well.
>
> There seem to be rather widely held views that
< "robot" stations that "don't
> play nice" with conventional human-human modes
> should be restricted to
> limited sub-bands because otherwise they will

> cause considerable interference problems,

Yup. Makes sense, too.

> that they don't need to be able to take over huge
> swaths of the bands, and that closed, proprietary systems
> should not be
> "pushed" in the ham bands. (conversely, the feeling seems
> to be widespread
> that modes used in the ham bands should be "open source" - both > h/w and s/w)

Exactly.

It is my understanding that Winlink has become the method of
choice for some folks with boats to send and receive their
email. This raises the question of commercial/pecuniary content
as well - how are such things filtered?

Add to the mix that it's ARRL pushing the Winlink/PactorIII
thing and you can see the opposition rising...

What's your take on such 'robots' on HF, Carl? Should they
be treated just like any other station, or should they have
some special restrictions based on their unattended nature?

Should ARRL endorse/standardize/push modes requiring the
purchase of proprietary hardware and software from specific
providers?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2518. Re: just cleaing up my screen pay it no mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 13:00:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <7ad864c900c95db71587a67a1d32750e@dizum.com>
Source record: 116869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <de91at$fi0$0@pita.alt.net>
"Digital" <Wanking Lloydie@anon.uz> wrote:
>
>
> "Nomen Nescio" <nob...@dizum.com> wrote in message
> news:cf7be60c5da1ce0834cdac95aceb86c6@dizum.com...
> In article <1124474201.312251.268...@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
>
> Yes, Bisexual faggot and sexual deviant Marky Morgan wants to have a sexual
> relationship with Booger Boy Lloydie....Marky wants to give Lloydie his AIDS
so
> Little Lardass Lloydie can finally lose weight.

Thanks for confirming that Marky has AIDS and a sexual attraction for chubby
Davies.
You faggots stick together, huh?

> Som <whines and cries>

Poor Lardass LLoydie the 31 year old Virgin, posting on the internet, drunk and
alone at 12:53AM..what's the matter,fatty, no date AGAIN? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
Of Course, you NEVER have anything else going on in your life but the internet.

# 2519. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 13:37:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qksgg199ivfvite4d1c7bps3snaqpl4fus@4ax.com>
Source record: 116870

On 21 Aug 2005 04:39:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124624341.639525.93370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>>
>>
>> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>
>>BBWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHA

>What are the odds if I say "Who's Frank Gilliland and what did he do
>for the Marines?" that they'll shrug their shoulders and say "Frank
>who...?!?!"

You never know. Quite a few friends from boot camp ended up at Pendleton (including one of the Marines currently on active-duty that I contacted), and I was with 2nd AAV for almost a year. I also used to hang out with some of the tankers at Stumps. Don't forget that there weren't too many Marines in garrison after Viet-Nam, and techs were an even smaller group. If you know a radio tech from tanks or amtraks at the same time I was in then there's a real possibility he knows me or I know him (or her, although most WM techs were sent to 4th or 5th echelon stations). Heck, I even know a couple that got stationed in Hawaii, the lucky bastards! But it might help to mention that I went to GRRC at Stumps with the late Cpl. Moses Arnold -- the "class clown", and truly one of the nicest guys you could ever meet (yeah, people say that casually about a lot of people but Moses earned it). To make things even easier for you, would it help if I provided a list of recruits from my platoon at MCRD? Or the Marines from the BE and GRRC classes at MCCES? Or from the Comm Platoons at 3/8 or 2nd AAV? It's not a problem since I remember almost all their names. Most Marines do, which is why I don't think you will have much of a problem finding at least one or two that remember me. So feel free to check with your fellow Marines (assuming, of course, you are telling the truth).

And if you happen to doubt -my- service in the USMC, feel free to check the front desk register (late Spring to early Fall, 1984) at the US/UK embassy in Beirut. Or you could email Ollie North (then a Major) who's office was on the 4th floor at the end of the hall opposite to the ambassador's office. He might remember me as the tech from the Nassau who put his sat dish back up after the mortar round bounced off the roof, and replaced the power supply for his URM-201 with the shop's ugly-but-reliable supply with the plywood bottom. (BTW, if you -do- get into contact with Lt. Col. North, ask him if he knows who owned that mint 1961 Chrysler 300 in the back parking lot!)

>> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
>> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
>> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
>
> Whelp, all I can say is use some of those same OFFICIAL sources to
>keep from looking like a fool, Frank.
>
> But I'd also say "hurry" because your credibility is slipping.

I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise in the USMC.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2520. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 14:14:53 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <x%%Ne.8692$Wi6.5875@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116871

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> .... We can confine any public
> discussions on WT Docket 05-235 to that and avoid personal
> squabbling such as demonstrated by Robeson and Jeswald...or not.
> Your choice. But, "ya gotta know da territory!" first... :-)

A careful reading of my post
(and it was written carefully, just because of this)
will show that I did not aim it specifically at you,
though it was easy to imply, I suppose. I said
"people like" those he mentioned.
Though I do admit your first post within the tread read
"tart" to me, so I added a little lemon to mine, as well ;-).

I don't know you, Mr. Anderson, any more than I know
Steve, but it's a good bet you have both halo and horns
at the same time, just like everyone else on UseNet.
Some have more one than the other, and at different times.
But we can discuss this issue despite harps and pitchforks.

I believe that Morse Code deserves preservation on two counts:

1. It has proven simple, practical and useful for 160+ years.
That *you* have not used it, or that I have, is irrelevant.
A very great many have, and successfully, over a long time.
Bicycles are also "old technology," but that does not
invalidate them as a simple and reliable means of transportation.
A large fraction of humanity uses them, because they
do not have the luxury of expensive automobiles and gas.
Continuing within the context of such a society
(or what an more wealthy society can certainly become,
as anyone in South Africa can tell you):
One must practice and develop skill in using a bicycle,
if he wants to get around faster than walking.
If one is unwilling to do the work and take the occasional
fall needed to become a proficient bicycle rider,
then they must accept walking.
It is foolish and futile
for those who have chosen to walk
to curse those riding bicycles and the bicycles themselves.

It is also foolish and short-sighted to take for granted that,
once one has an SUV, that the need to ride a bicycle
will never come again. On such a day,
If *all* have forgotten how to ride,
than all of us shall walk.

If even a few are rewarded for remembering how to ride,
they can teach the many.

2. Historic preservation.
There are some who place no value on spending assets to
preserve touch-stones of humanity's progress.
Most of us do, which is why we spend money and labor
preserving "obsolete" ships, aircraft, telephones,
steam engines, etc. All of them take money and work
to preserve. Morse Code has been at least as important
as the development of the telephone, radio and even
the internet, having been the first "real-time" means
of knitting-together the globe. I submit that is a
valid reason to incentivize its preservation,
and that my proposal is an inexpensive, efficient
and reasonable means of doing so.

73 Dave AB5S

# 2521. Re: 05-235 FCC URL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 15:00:44 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11gh5pbl1luof6d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 116872

Thank you.

Jim

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124561744.541201.117850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >What is the URL for commenting on FCC NPRM 05-235?
>
> www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs

## 2522. Day 10 Of Stevie action MIA, when you going to fess up to your LIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 15:24:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124637878.633990.291250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116873

Stevie promised action action that could be noticed

what has Stevie deleivered

Nada except...

Stupid mind fuck games

More lies

Proof that ispretty darn sick (equating Lying and kiddy porn)

When confronted by his Lie, what does Stevie?

Why he attacks to divert attention from being caught

# 2523. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 15:29:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124638161.530321.222200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116874

David Stinson wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > .... We can confine any public
> > discussions on WT Docket 05-235 to that and avoid personal
> > squabbling such as demonstrated by Robeson and Jeswald...or not.
> > Your choice. But, "ya gotta know da territory!" first... :-)
>
> A careful reading of my post
> (and it was written carefully, just because of this)
> will show that I did not aim it specifically at you,
> though it was easy to imply, I suppose. I said
> "people like" those he mentioned.
> Though I do admit your first post within the tread read
> "tart" to me, so I added a little lemon to mine, as well ;-).
>
> I don't know you, Mr. Anderson, any more than I know
> Steve, but it's a good bet you have both halo and horns
> at the same time, just like everyone else on UseNet.
> Some have more one than the other, and at different times.
> But we can discuss this issue despite harps and pitchforks.
>
> I believe that Morse Code deserves preservation on two counts:
>
> 1. It has proven simple, practical and useful for 160+ years.
> That *you* have not used it, or that I have, is irrelevant.
> A very great many have, and successfully, over a long time.
> Bicycles are also "old technology," but that does not
> invalidate them as a simple and reliable means of transportation.
> A large fraction of humanity uses them, because they
> do not have the luxury of expensive automobiles and gas.
> Continuing within the context of such a society
> (or what an more wealthy society can certainly become,
> as anyone in South Africa can tell you):
> One must practice and develop skill in using a bicycle,
> if he wants to get around faster than walking.
> If one is unwilling to do the work and take the occasional
> fall needed to become a proficient bicycle rider,
> then they must accept walking.
> It is foolish and futile
> for those who have chosen to walk
> to curse those riding bicycles and the bicycles themselves.
>
> It is also foolish and short-sighted to take for granted that,
> once one has an SUV, that the need to ride a bicycle

> will never come again. On such a day,
> If *all* have forgotten how to ride,
> than all of us shall walk.
> If even a few are rewarded for remembering how to ride,
> they can teach the many.
>
break
> 2. Historic preservation.
> There are some who place no value on spending assets to
> preserve touch-stones of humanity's progress.
> Most of us do, which is why we spend money and labor
> preserving "obsolete" ships, aircraft, telephones,
> steam engines, etc. All of them take money and work
> to preserve. Morse Code has been at least as important
> as the development of the telephone, radio and even
> the internet, having been the first "real-time" means
> of knitting-together the globe. I submit that is a
> valid reason to incentivize its preservation,
> and that my proposal is an inexpensive, efficient
> and reasonable means of doing so.

But most of the money we spend are rasied form private sources with occasional expenses of public money. you want to set aside of the few things we can't buy or make any more of

Spend your effort effort if you like

but frist show that Morse is in any real danger before expecting to me to chip in for something that is

what is the Value of the Spectrum you propose to set aside soley for Morse Code, without of course vacating its use from the rest of the ARS spectrum

>
> 73 Dave AB5S

# 2524. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 15:36:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124638588.570454.183170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116875

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > The concern/fear/issues being raised by
> > many are that the ARRL "regulation
> > by bandwidth" proposal will result in
> > practically all of the HF CW/data
> > bands being "over-run by Winlink/PactorIII
> > robots," that those stations
> > don't "play nice" with real-time human to
> > human modes, that PactorIII takes
> > a lot of bandwidth for a non-proportional
> > gain in throughput, and that
> > Winlink and PactorIII are closed, proprietary
> > modes that are only available
> > through the purchase of some rather expensive,
> > sole-source hardware and software.
>
> I'd state the first part somewhat differently:
>
> One concern is that the proposed rules would/could
> unleash "robot" stations of all kinds anywhere in the
> non-voice/image parts of the bands. There would be
> no way for the typical PSK31, RTTY or Morse Code
> operator to know they were on a frequency used by
> a "robot" until the robot fired up on top of their
> QSO.

How is that different from anything else In a strnge place I don't know
when I start an FM simplex QSO that this area uses that simplex freq
for packet links

and why is it a problem I thought CW always got through, yet it needs
protecting from Pactor?

Assuming the robot listens before sending well it looks like anything
else I hear about in HF

>
> A human operator who fires up on top of an existing
> QSO can be in violation of the rules. What gives
> robots an exception?

Only if the Human operators does not know, if they check then well how
is this different from a Human operator smash a distant low power
signal not reaching his attenna

>
> PactorIII and Winlink are indeed proprietary, which
> goes against the grain of open-sourcing and freeware.
> Compare those modes to, say, PSK31, with its wide-open
> software and hardware.
>
> To most of us there's nothing wrong with hams using
> propietary software or hardware - until it becomes
> an endorsed standard. IOW, 'buy this particular
> piece of hardware and software from this particular
> company or you cannot play the game' doesn't sit well.

What is so specail about the coding thatham cold not mange to make
something that does essentcaily the same thing?

> >
> > There seem to be rather widely held views that
> < "robot" stations that "don't
> > play nice" with conventional human-human modes
> > should be restricted to
> > limited sub-bands because otherwise they will
> > cause considerable interference problems,
>
> Yup. Makes sense, too.

why?

>
> > that they don't need to be able to take over huge
> > swaths of the bands, and that closed, proprietary systems
> > should not be
> > "pushed" in the ham bands. (conversely, the feeling seems
> > to be widespread
> > that modes used in the ham bands should be "open source" - both > h/w and s/w)
>
> Exactly.
>
> It is my understanding that Winlink has become the method of
> choice for some folks with boats to send and receive their
> email. This raises the question of commercial/pecuniary content
> as well - how are such things filtered?

>
> Add to the mix that it's ARRL pushing the Winlink/PactorIII
> thing and you can see the opposition rising...
>
> What's your take on such 'robots' on HF, Carl? Should they
> be treated just like any other station, or should they have
> some special restrictions based on their unattended nature?
>
> Should ARRL endorse/standardize/push modes requiring the
> purchase of proprietary hardware and software from specific
> providers?

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2525. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 15:53:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.15.53.24.922550@gmail.com>
Source record: 116876

David:

No where in amateur radios mission statement is anything mentioned about a purpose to be "amateur museum-ists."

CW will stand or fall upon its own merits. If there are amateurs who wish to collect ancient forms of communications (african messages drum, indian smoke signals, message arrow, morse code, etc) they should do so on their own.

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 14:14:53 +0000, David Stinson wrote:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> .... We can confine any public
>> discussions on WT Docket 05-235 to that and avoid personal
>> squabbling such as demonstrated by Robeson and Jeswald...or not.
>> Your choice. But, "ya gotta know da territory!" first... :-)
>
> A careful reading of my post
> (and it was written carefully, just because of this)
> will show that I did not aim it specifically at you,
> though it was easy to imply, I suppose. I said
> "people like" those he mentioned.
> Though I do admit your first post within the tread read
> "tart" to me, so I added a little lemon to mine, as well ;-).
>
> I don't know you, Mr. Anderson, any more than I know
> Steve, but it's a good bet you have both halo and horns
> at the same time, just like everyone else on UseNet.
> Some have more one than the other, and at different times.
> But we can discuss this issue despite harps and pitchforks.
>
> I believe that Morse Code deserves preservation on two counts:
>
> 1. It has proven simple, practical and useful for 160+ years.
> That *you* have not used it, or that I have, is irrelevant.
> A very great many have, and successfully, over a long time.
> Bicycles are also "old technology," but that does not
> invalidate them as a simple and reliable means of transportation.
> A large fraction of humanity uses them, because they
> do not have the luxury of expensive automobiles and gas.
> Continuing within the context of such a society
> (or what an more wealthy society can certainly become,
> as anyone in South Africa can tell you):

> One must practice and develop skill in using a bicycle,
> if he wants to get around faster than walking.
> If one is unwilling to do the work and take the occasional
> fall needed to become a proficient bicycle rider,
> then they must accept walking.
> It is foolish and futile
> for those who have chosen to walk
> to curse those riding bicycles and the bicycles themselves.
>
> It is also foolish and short-sighted to take for granted that,
> once one has an SUV, that the need to ride a bicycle
> will never come again. On such a day,
> If *all* have forgotten how to ride,
> than all of us shall walk.
> If even a few are rewarded for remembering how to ride,
> they can teach the many.
>
> 2. Historic preservation.
> There are some who place no value on spending assets to
> preserve touch-stones of humanity's progress.
> Most of us do, which is why we spend money and labor
> preserving "obsolete" ships, aircraft, telephones,
> steam engines, etc. All of them take money and work
> to preserve. Morse Code has been at least as important
> as the development of the telephone, radio and even
> the internet, having been the first "real-time" means
> of knitting-together the globe. I submit that is a
> valid reason to incentivize its preservation,
> and that my proposal is an inexpensive, efficient
> and reasonable means of doing so.
>
> 73 Dave AB5S

# 2526. Re: NPR "Morning Edition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 15:58:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.15.58.31.697772@gmail.com>
Source record: 116877

Geoffrey:

Lean to edit your "hosts" file if you use windows. You can stop windows
from accessing the ads, and thereby anyone from receiving revenue from
those ads. (will also work in linux)

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 07:21:01 +0000, Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:

> In article <1124467751.631240.75340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Does boycotting Google help? I don't pay them any money.
>
> If you click on their ads, you are giving them money. I discussed this
> with the people who run eHam.net, and one of the things they told me
> is that they could not survive without the revenue from "ads by Google".
>
> Not only do I boycott google, but I boycott sites with "ads by google" too.
> I do give them the courtesy of an email, but it has not been met with
> great success as I seem to be the only one that cares :-(
>
> Geoff.

# 2527. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 16:02:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.16.02.00.342265@gmail.com>
Source record: 116878

K4YZ:

Personal attacks are catching. If someone pulls a personal attack on you,
you are certainly tempted to return it.

However, when studying what advantages a person pulling personal attacks
receives--loss of respect, loss of credibility, loss of self-respect, loss
of anyone taking them seriously... some have pulled these "tricks" for
such a long length of time, it is doubtful they have any shred of dignity
left to recover!

One is soon placed back on a more conventional road...

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 04:51:31 -0700, K4YZ wrote:

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:53 pm
>
>> > Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
>> >have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in your
>> >distorted mind?
>>
>> Take a trank, Dannie Disabled, settle down.
>
> "Dannie Disabled"
>
> Sure am glad that LENNIE doesn't participate in those "personal
> ATTACKS" that he suggested to anothr person in another thread that
> "others" make...!!!!
>
>> I realize that YOU think ham radio is super-secret stuff which
>> can't be revealed to the outside world, but that is NOT so.
>> But, you are totally confusing ARMY communications with your
>> ham hobby activies and that is NOT good for the U.S. Army.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...actually, I believe it is YOU who have gotten your
> 1950's era rear-area radio mechanic days confused with what you THINK
> Amateur Radio is.
>
> Huge Snippage
>
>> >Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
>> >BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.

>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I was NEVER in Bavaria in the 1970s. But, I was
>> IN the U.S. Army in the 1950s and as a civilian engineer working
>> for civilian contractors engaged in Department of Defense contract
>> work from the late 1950s on through to 1989.
>
> Lennie's closest approach to any "unfriendly territory" was
> crawling back to the barracks drunk-as-a-skunk and finding himslef in a
> benjo ditch.
>
>> Dannie Disabled,
>> I've had a bit MORE investigation by the U.S. government on
>> SECURITY matters (including background checks for Top Secret
>> and "Q") for the simple reason that I've been around longer than
>> you have.
>
> No doubt you've been investigated, Lennie...No doubt...
>
>> Don't try this Tuff Guy bull#### with me.
>
> Yeah, Dan...we ALL know who the "Tuff Guy" full of bull#### is in
> THIS forum...And Lennie does NOT like being in second on that one!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2528. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 16:11:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1124640686.358863.55500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116879

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> and why is it a problem I thought CW always got through, yet it needs
> protecting from Pactor?

No, CW does *not* "always get through".

> Assuming the robot listens before sending well it looks like anything
> else I hear about in HF

No, robots do *not* listen before transmitting which is against the
regs and is the crux of the problem.

A human operator causing QRM is either lousy operating practice or an
accident, a robot blindly causing QRM via it's inherent design is
illegal. One solution might be to come up with a robot which tunes
around it's frequency before transmitting. There's one for you
code-writers to chew on.

w3rv

# 2529. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 17:15:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124644541.894155.159100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116880

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > and why is it a problem I thought CW always got through, yet > > it needs protecting from Pactor?
>
> No, CW does *not* "always get through".

No mode always gets through. There are some times when Morse Code gets through and other available modes do not. This plain, simple fact has been misquoted and perverted by some.

> > Assuming the robot listens before sending well it looks like > > anything else I hear about in HF
>
> No, robots do *not* listen before transmitting which is against > the regs and is the crux of the problem.

There's also the issue of what constitutes "listening". A robot may listen for another Pactor III signal, yet not for a PSK31 or Morse Code signal.

How much of a listen is long enough, and on how much on either side of the frequency?
>
> A human operator causing QRM is either lousy operating practice > or an accident, a robot blindly causing QRM via it's inherent
> design is illegal.

There's also the 24/7 nature of the robots.

> One solution might be to come up with a robot which tunes
> around it's frequency before transmitting.

Yup. And maybe sends "QRL?" in Morse Code before it opens up.

> There's one for you code-writers to chew on.

The situation is somewhat like the dawn of the FM repeater era on the ham bands. A typical ham FM repeater essentially takes over two frequencies (input and output)in its coverage area.

There was a time when a ham repeater required a special license with special callsign, and the application for it involved a pretty detailed description of the setup, its operation, etc., with things like HAAT specified. Even today we have repeater

coordination.

But VHF/UHF coverage is fairly predictable and consistent. A typical ham VHF/UHF repeater covers a few hundred square miles except during unusual conditions. Even a moderately powered HF station can cover millions of square miles.

The "regulation by bandwidth" proposal has some good basic concepts, but it needs some serious work before it is ready for prime time. The fact that so many different groups are opposed to it, and so few in favor, shows that it needs rework.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2530. Re: Random surfing (OT alert)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 17:26:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124645200.760241.211630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116881

John Smith wrote:
> ... looks like the "futurists" vision of america, I sold my crystal-ball
> when it started coming up with that stuff!
>
> I feel I have just visited a web page forecasting the future if we don't
> change our current course... <frown>
>
> I forwarded the URL to Sylvia Browne to get her take on it...
>
> John
>
> On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 18:30:50 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > http://j-walk.com/other/todd/aboutme.htm
> >
> > I did some random surfing and found this. Maybe it will lighten things
> > up around here! ;^)
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -

They must've changed URLs or sumptin. When I clicked, it took be to
WA8ULX's son's website. Or was that his nephew since he married his
sister?

# 2531. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 17:34:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124645678.588217.41610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116882

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>
>
> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>
> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie.

He does that with his threats, too. "Bricks through windows, slashed tires, and terrorized wives." I think he's a creep.

So I emailed
> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?

Steve has almost total fixation with Len's "putz." He frequenly used phrases like "bunk-buddy" "in bed with" when referring to two men.

> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?

I'm still waiting to hear Steve's war stories about his claimed "seven hostile actions." The Stolen Valor investigators might be interested.

## 2532. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 17:43:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124646211.055775.90330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116883

```
K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > <snip>
> > >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
> >
> >
> > Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>
> BBWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> > After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
> > details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
> > not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
> > several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
> > of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
> > remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
> > giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?
>
> Well, "Frank", you have the same OFFICIAL resources at your
> disposal as I do, so I recommend you use them instead, rather than
> making foolish statements that land you squarely in the "running my
> mouth off without adequate substantiation of my position" behind them!

Oh, oh! There it is Frank. Do you know what Steve says happens to
people that "run their mouth off???" Yep! "Bricks through windows,
slashed tires, and terrorized wives." Steve said so hisself. Of
course, it's always "somebody else" that does the damage. Steve
follows the path of Ghandi.

> Several of my "buds" (with whom I still stay in contact with) from
> the MCAS El Toro Aero Club were tracks and armor guys from Pendleton.
> What are the odds if I say "Who's Frank Gilliland and what did he do
> for the Marines?" that they'll shrug their shoulders and say "Frank
> who...?!?!"

You had "buds" in the USMC???

BBWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
```

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
> > a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
> > active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
>
> Whelp, all I can say is use some of those same OFFICIAL sources to
> keep from looking like a fool, Frank.
>
> But I'd also say "hurry" because your credibility is slipping.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Frank, hurry, hurry, hurry!!! Steve said so.

BBWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

## 2533. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 17:47:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124646451.528472.226210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116884

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
> to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
> in the USMC.

Stolen Valor comes to mind when Steve slipped about his "seven hostile
actions."

# 2534. Re: Day 9 Stevie when you going to fess up to your lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 17:49:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124646559.633914.123000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116885

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Stevie has for some time been spearding the Lie that he could o
> > > something to more than anoy for what he sees as many and manifold sins
> cuting spelling cop
> > No lies, Markie...Except from you.
> >
> > > 9 Days ago I demanded he put up or shut up, I'd prfer of course that he
> > > shut up, but it was his choice.
>
> cuting more speling cop

Mark, how many days do you think Steve can hold out before he starts
telling truths?

# 2535. Re: LOOK....MORSE FROM MARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 17:54:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124646849.003963.149890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116886

Sorry, Dan, but Sam was from Massachusets.

http://inventors.about.com/cs/inventorsalphabet/a/communication_4.htm

# 2536. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 18:08:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124647731.711034.53420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116887

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Aug 18, 3:17 pm
>
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed, Aug 17 2005 3:47 pm
> >>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>
> >>>> But, something ELSE operative here. Note: Your CO gave you
> >>>> permission to operate. On another thread in here, some time
> >>>> ago, Brian Burke was given permission by HIS CO to operate
> >>>> amateur radio from a foreign land. Now all the JAG-wannabes
> >>>> in here (PCTAs all) jumped all over Brian's case on that,
> >>>> citing absolutely NOTHING germane, one (a "seven-hostile-action"
> >>>> hero) even implying that the UCMJ didn't apply, only the FCC
> >>>> regulations applied (they don't but the shell-shocked murine
> >>>> didn't understand that).
> >
> >>>> Under the terms of the Kangaroo Kourt of the PCTAs:
> >
> >>>> If Brian Burke is guilty of improper amateur radio operation,
> >>>> then Dan Jeswald is EQUALLY GUILTY of doing so from Germany.
> >
> >>>> If Dan Jeswald is not guilty, then Brian Burke is not guilty.
> >
> >>>> It's the SAME SORT of "OFFENSE" as codified by the PCTA.

Regardless of who Dan Jeswald is, I operated legally. I had the
permission of my commanding officer.

David Heil/K8MN, worlds greatest DXer, claimed that a commanding
officer cannot give those priveleges. I proved him wrong with a quote
from the ARRL website.

Needless to say, I'm ALWAYS wary of the expertise of Extra's. They
just get it wrong so much of the time.

# 2537. Re: A Sample Of The Supporters Pro-No-Code WT 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 18:21:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124648514.798884.322150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116888

Nomen Woger wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> Yawn

Try a nap after lunch, old-timer.

# 2538. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 18:37:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124649439.352153.286830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116889

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:37
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 18, 3:33 pm
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> >You gotta look at both sides if you want to be known as being fair and
> >> >balanced.
> >>
> >> Some of us DO but, when messaging to unbalanced, unfair folks,
> >> it is rather difficult... :-)
> >
> >Difficult, but not insurmountable. Remember, compassionate no-codism
> >serves both faith-based modes as well as all of the other modes.
>
> I sort of draw the line at the cretin who shouts, "God is for
> morse code testing and morse code!!!"

So what's Steve been up to while I was camping with the BSA?

> >> >> > > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
> >> >> > > stuff like that
> >> >
> >> >> > Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
> >> >> > mouth might be mistaken as gloating.
> >>
> >> [only the NCTA are allowed to gloat... :-) ]
> >
> >Openly.
>
> Feels rather good. Time to turn things around now and let all
> the coders have it as those smug, arrogant, "superior" types
> have acted for the half century I've observed them. :-)

Nah. Let's just press on and accept them as the red-headed
step-children that they are. Definitely spare the rod and Spoil those
brats.

> >> >> > > > b. The PCTA make themselves look bad without any help.
> >> >
> >> >> > > OTOH they do even better by just making em SEE happy folks
> >> >
> >> >> > They'll form support groups to try to cope.
> >> >
> >> >> I hope so. think we should start a fund to help out?
> >> >
> >> >Compassionate No-Codism? I'm good with that.
> >>
> >> Heh heh. Expect the ARRL to get in on the act, maybe the
> >> "Pro-coder Compassion Supporter (or Truss?) Fund" or some
> >> title like that...
> >
> >With Carl in the ARRL, all things are possible.
>
> Carl ANDERSON? Yeah, right, just like Brain Kallie to make
> nastynoises. :-)

If I had been three or four decades older, I might have wet myself over
that one. Hi!

> >> On the ARRL's "poll" for 5 Aug 05 and an opinion on NPRM
> >> 05-143, of the 9,484 votes cast: 48.5% said it was a fine
> >> idea, 47.1% said it was a terrible idea, and 4.4% had no
> >> particular opinion either way.
> >
> >Looks like a concensus. Those numbers were flipped when we were told
> >that we had to keep the code.
>
> [whut yu mean "we," white man?] [Tonto not hear dat...]
>
> NCTA super-extras like Jimmie Noserve will come out with the
> standard disclaimer that the website poll is "not scientific."

Yet he never, ever, ever, commented on Mike Deignan's comments that the
ARRL poll was "substantive." Hi! What a goose turd.

> They are so forking predictable that one doesn't have to
> think twice about what kind of rationalizations they write.

You know what they're going to say before they say it. EXTRAcept when
they goof up, Like Jim/N2EY did when he said that a code exam would be
a barrier to code use! Hi! It always was!!! Hi, hi! Where was he
when they were passing out Greenlee Punch?

> >> Nah...let's just pick up the 2x4s and beat them over the head
> >> with the issue...just like they used to do it to no-coders
> >> in the last 50 years or so. I think they deserve it... :-)
> >
> >Please, no.
> >
> >Remember that compassionate no-codism is tolerant of faith-based modes.

>
> Geez...TUFF requirement! Not even a little 1x1 piece of oak?
>
> Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
> picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...

Whadda hell Steve doing here?

> There's "compassion" on the prarie, the badlands, and the great
> West for horses that can't make it. A gun or a knife solves
> their problems, provides time-tested "compassion." So it is
> with the coders. They can't make it without that TEST...so
> we should "do the right thing" and end their suffering.

Suffering is good for them. I think they call it Martyrdom.

> I'll have to consult with Pastor Midtlyng on that and get back
> to you...
>
> bye bye
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If the dit don't fit, you cannot convict.

# 2539. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 18:43:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124649800.477766.316260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116890

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> NOT the Angels, John, the Clippers! :-)
>
>
> hum bug
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Columbus Clippers?

# 2540. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 18:44:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124649880.201447.306200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116891

John Kasupski wrote:
> On 19 Aug 2005 15:05:14 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
> > picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...
>
> I realize this code test issue is a bit like shooting fish in a barrel
> at this point, but I learned it as BRASS rather than BASS. ;-)
>
> 73 de John, KC2HMZ

Brass is just some copper with lead in it's ass.

# 2541. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 18:49:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124650150.625262.290270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116892

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Kasupski on Aug 20, 3:08 pm
>
> >On 19 Aug 2005 15:05:14 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
> >> picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...
> >
> >I realize this code test issue is a bit like shooting fish in a barrel
> >at this point, but I learned it as BRASS rather than BASS. ;-)
>
> Heyo, John, off the subject but "BASS" was Breath-Aim-Sight-Squeeze
> acronym in the U.S. Army of the 1950s.
>
> If you search through past posts of Stebie da Wundermurine (K4YZ)
> you will find his implied "threat" of "a few ounces of pressure"
> which was, of course, in reference to the squeezing of a trigger.

Did Steve ever admit what was so obvious to everyone else?

> Hence my remark that you quoted. :-)
>
> In his emotional volatility he forgot to look to see if anyone
> could shoot back, hence his continuing anger and frustration
> at those who CAN and DARE to do such a thing! :-)
>
> gun sit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

That is a guaranteed inevitability. Instead of the neighbors saying,
"he was such a nice man," they'll be saying, "I knew the VA should have
kept him on his meds."

# 2542. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 19:10:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124651447.854966.145250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116893

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:58
>
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Recently there have been some claims about "what the majority wants" in
> >> regards to FCC NPRMs.
> >>
> >> Here's what happened wrt 98-143, the last big restructuring NPRM, and
> >> commenters' views on code testing.
> >>
> >> http://groups->>beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/bd661a50825a37f3?>
>dmode=source&hl=en
> >>
> >> http://tinyurl.com/7t3te
> >>
> >> It was posted Mar 12 1999, by WA6VSE. Here's a relevant quote
>
> Ackshully, one ought to go to the SOURCE which is easily
> accessible by anyone with Internet access. Just go to the
> FCC ECFS and for WT Docket 98-143, look under 25 and 26
> January 1999 for postings by LeRoy Klose III. Include the
> attachment links.
>
> That gets one into Larry Klose's remarkable summary of ALL
> Comments on 98-143 up to 25 January 1999 (the official
> cut-off date was 15 January 1999).
>
> Brian, Jimmie wants to have everyone look at "second-hand"
> information, an encapsulated form. It is better to look at
> the REAL stuff, FIRST-HAND, which is readily available.

Jimmie No-Serve has always wanted the truth filtered. When wasn't it
so?

> >> Larry eliminated dupes and responses that did not address the
> >> code test issue.
> >>
> >> It's clear that:
> >>
> >> 43% is not a majority, yet they got what they wanted.
> >>
> >> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 2 or 3 code test speeds.
> >> That majority did not get what they wanted.
> >>

> >> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12, 13 or 20 wpm for Extra.
> >> That majority did not get what they wanted.
> >>
> >> 57% of those who commented on 98-143 wanted 12 or 13 wpm for Advanced.
> >> That majority did not get what they wanted.
> >
> >Lessee, 57% + 57% + 57% = 171%, a clear majority.
>
> Jimmie has a wonderful way with numbers... :-)
>
> Jimmie is also stuck firmly in the PAST. 1998 was SEVEN
> YEARS AGO and the Internet was in its 7th public year.
>
> FCC 99-412, ordered in December, 1999, established the
> "Amateur Restructuring" which took place in mid-2000.
>
> AT THE TIME (1998-1999), it was IMPOSSIBLE to eliminate
> the morse code test for ANY U.S. amateur radio license
> having privileges of operating below 30 MHz. The barrier
> was S25.5 of the ITU-R...colloquially known (or mis-known)
> as "the treaty." [there is NO specific treaty on morse
> code, only the honor system whereby all administrations are
> supposed to adhere to ITU decisions on standards and
> allocations]

Nebbermind that the US of A has broken lots of treaties that Jimmy
No-Serve has never protested.

> Conveniently missing is that the FCC's reasons for 90-53,
> of 1990, 15 years ago, was that it did not feel that any
> manual morse code skill test was any sort of qualifier for
> the Commission to grant an applicant a license. That
> established the reason-for-being of creation of the no-code-
> test Technician class license.

But, but, but, that was because of some King that nobody cares about
anymore. CAn't we just ignore him?

> Also conventiently omitted is EIGHTEEN Petitions, nearly all
> varying in general "re-re-structuring" having none-some-all
> code testing. Absolutely NO CONSENSUS could be gained from
> reviewing all 18 Pentitions...even though the Commission had
> stated publicly several times that it wanted a consensus.
> The "amateur community" is highly polarized on the subject of
> code testing and remains so seven years after 1998.

Highly polarized. But as long as the good EXTRAS are being
satisfied...

> This year, 2005, is SEVEN years from the 98-143 Docket.

One, two, three, four, five, six, seven...

It is
> also the 14th year of public access to the Internet (it went
> public in 1991). Far more citizens have access to the Internet
> in 2005 than they did in 1998. In 2003 the Census Bureau
> reported that one in five Americans had some form of Internet
> access then. Nearly all the Comments on WT Docket 05-235 are
> electronic rather than written on paper. So far, in the 23rd
> day of Comments on WT Docket 05-235, there are 1720 documents
> on file, about 75 a day on the average! Compare that to the
> 2300+ Comments of WT Docket 98-143 whose commentary period
> was extended for nearly six months after release. There's
> far more "traffic" on 05-235 than there was on 98-143.

And there should be.

> At time NOW, in 2005, the MAJORITY are very adamantly showing
> they ARE a majority.

They are merely delusional.

Unambiguous opinions (95.3% of all filings)
> show a 4:2:1 ratio of For:Against:Extra-Only on elimination of
> the code test. There is easily a 2:1 ratio of those favoring
> NPRM 05-143 versus those opposing it.

You'll always have your EXTRA Jims in the ARS.

> The IARU, helped/nudged/influenced by international membership
> of NCI, was the main operator in wanting S25 of the ITU Radio
> Regulations re-written. [it was more than just S25.5 covering
> code testing] It was done in mid-July, 2003, over two years
> ago. Since then TWENTY-THREE other countries have dropped
> morse code testing for their radio amateurs licenses having
> below-30-MHz operating privileges.

We need people like Carl Anderson pushing the buttons at the ARRL.

> Summary: The FCC wants to drop code testing, the IARU wants
> to drop code testing, 23 nations already have done so, and
> a CLEAR MAJORITY of WT Docket 05-235 Commenters want it
> dropped. That CLEAR MAJORUTY is 2:1 for dropping it versus
> those wanting it retained. That CLEAR MAJORITY is 58% of
> those who have unambiguously commented.
>
> Jimmie wants to crawl back seven years and live there... :-(
>
> sin die
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Much much further.

# 2543. Re: More Evidence Of Steve's inability to handle a fact.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 19:15:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124651710.313165.276520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116894

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > >Mark, you are big on calling others "liers". What lie has been told by
> > > > >me? Do you not understand that the term "slapped silly" means that
> > > > >Brian has been made to look foolish by quite a number of posters, for
> > > > >his absurd accusations? There is no foundation for Brian's rant. I
> > > > >have no control over the action of any other countries amateurs. I
> > > > >broke no U.S. regulations. I broke no Tanzanian regulations.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Then again you admit the charge
> > > >
> > > > No, I don't. There is no "charge" to admit.
> > >
> > > of course there is
> > >
> > > The charge that you knowingly aisted French Ham in working out of their
> > > band
> > >
> > "aisted"...?!?!
> >
> > He didn't "assist" anyone. He was in Tanzania.
>
> David completed a circuit that was illegal.
>
> But it's not that he unwittingly completed an illegal circuit, but that
> he would knowingly do so again.

And that is the problem that I have with David Heil.

## 2544. EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 19:18:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.19.18.55.680594@gmail.com>
Source record: 116896

... read all about it!

Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of licenses"
into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
God"...

... but then, what is news about that ...

John

# 2545. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 19:22:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com>
Source record: 116897

... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful, as
of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual, as
of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting to
glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras so
worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the unwitting...

... rumors only, mind you!

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:

>
> ... read all about it!
>
> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of licenses"
> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
> God"...
>
> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>
> John

# 2546. Re: more Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 19:37:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124653043.849413.30700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116895

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Nah I am the logs with a stack of Logs enough feul there to keep my
> > home war for the next 2 years
>
> You're the logs, huh? Well, you looked pretty wooden-headed in your
> recent Google misrepresentations. Is that part of your home war?
>
> I've seen no sign of an apology for your outright fabrications.
>
> Dave K8MN

Where can we view you logs?

# 2547. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 20:15:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124655321.635414.286230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116898

From: David Stinson on Aug 21, 7:14 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> .... We can confine any public
>> discussions on WT Docket 05-235 to that and avoid personal
>> squabbling such as demonstrated by Robeson and Jeswald...or not.
>> Your choice. But, "ya gotta know da territory!" first... :-)
>
>A careful reading of my post
>(and it was written carefully, just because of this)
>will show that I did not aim it specifically at you,
>though it was easy to imply, I suppose.

ALL public postings are OPEN for comment, any newsgroup. ANY
kind of postings as you will gather with some experience in
here. It's been like that even before ARPANET spun off the
newly-created University Net (USENET).

> I said "people like" those he mentioned.

"People like" turns into whatever your reaction is to
certain posters. To repeat, you WILL notice the "flavor"
of Robeson's "style" in this newsgroup...which is little
more than a frustrated, angry person's wanting to turn
every single thread into some personal vendetta.

>Though I do admit your first post within the tread read
>"tart" to me, so I added a little lemon to mine, as well ;-).

Not a problem to me. :-) That's the "territory."

>I don't know you, Mr. Anderson, any more than I know
>Steve, but it's a good bet you have both halo and horns
>at the same time, just like everyone else on UseNet.
>Some have more one than the other, and at different times.

Irrelevant, really. The SUBJECTS are not quantified or
qualified by personal attacks against others. Yet, they
are colored and flavored and chopped into meaningless
puerile babble by a few who must vent their aggressions,
caring little for anyone but themselves.

>But we can discuss this issue despite harps and pitchforks.

Absolutely! [but...:-)...the harps will be discordant
and the pitchforks jabbed by others in their obsessive
need to fight]

>I believe that Morse Code deserves preservation on two counts:
>
>1. It has proven simple, practical and useful for 160+ years.
> That *you* have not used it, or that I have, is irrelevant.
> A very great many have, and successfully, over a long time.

Also true is that morse code has been SUPPLANTED, displaced, replaced by more efficient means of communication by humans in all radio AND wired venues...except in the avocational activities of radio hobbyists.

Insofar as WT Docket 05-235 is concerned, that issue is solely about Test Element 1 of the U.S. amateur radio license examination. Anything other than elimination or retention of Test Element 1 in regards to morsemanship skills can be regarded as personal polemics that reach far beyond the subject.

A closer examination of the history of Al Vail's contribution to the "morse code" shows merely that it is a representation of the English language characters used in a PRIMITIVE technology. One can only describe the original Morse-Vail Telegraph System as Primitive (with a capital P). One can only describe the OLD radio of 1896, the one without any active amplification devices as PRIMITIVE. At the time, some 109 years ago, about the ONLY practical means of using this new communications medium called "radio" (later description) was by ON-OFF KEYING. Since this landline morse code was then mature, past the 50-year mark, and had spread throughout most of the earth, is was practical to ADAPT it for radio communication. ADAPTATION is all that it was, not some "magick" conjured up by techno-wizards of the century before last.

That "many used it" in the latter half of the 1800s is more due to it being BETTER THAN WHAT WAS USED FOR COMMUNICATIONS BEFORE. The ONLY other means of instantaneous communications farther than audible means was optical, by semaphore vanes or flags, those being rendered ineffective by bad weather occluding the optical path. The Morse-Vail Telegraph System, patented largely through the "relay" part of their invention, was practical (for its time) and "efficient" (relative only to all other means of very-fast communications) despite an enormous (then) infrastructure of WIRE...wire that had to be strung on poles above ground due to as-yet undeveloped long-lasting insulation material. Expense was large for this infrastructure then but, the public it served was willing to pay for services rendered. It was BETTER THAN WHAT WAS USED FOR COMMUNICATIONS BEFORE. As the Morse-Vail Telegraph System spread throughout the globe, a great many operators became proficient in this "morsemanship" skill. The period of 1844 to 1896 encompasses nearly three generations of "operators." That is certainly sufficient time to develop a set of skills on par with any other craft of that time, but a specialized one. It has no qualifications of being "better than"

or "lesser than" any other skill of humans then.

What did the Morse-Vail Telegraph System displace? The various optical means used in Europe and Great Britain, all of which required a heavy burden of infrastructure support. The horseback courier, a most common means of surface communications well before the overly-storied Pony Express (a short-lived system). The common paper letter postal system in part, those postings being carried by any means available. The then-cheap Morse-Vail Telegraph could reach about 100 miles maximum for a single circuit of one operator at each end. A much more expensive version (through underwater cable) could increase that distance ten times, but at only a slight reduction in throughput (rate of communications transmission)...still faster than by ship surface transport. The telegraph was BETTER THAN WHAT WAS USED BEFORE.

Enter "radio" as demonstrated in Italy and Russia in 1896. It was telegraphy WITHOUT wires. No expensive infrastructure of wires on poles and the unattended relay stations, no squabbles over rights-of-way of the telegraph lines. Even better, it could be used on water! The maritime world loved it...it was something THEY NEVER HAD BEFORE...a means of instant communications BEYOND the horizon! Incredible invention embraced whole-heartedly by navies and deep-water shipping then. A miracle of the times. RADIOTELEGRAPHY WAS BETTER THAN WHAT WAS USED BEFORE.

However, all was not as wonderful with land-based telegraphy at the turn of the last century. Manual telegraphy itself was being displaced, replaced by the PRINTING telegraph, the teleprinter. Teleprinter circuits were as long as the manual telegraph circuits, still required the wire-pole infrastructure, but the specialist telegrapher was NOT NEEDED at each end. The native written language was conveyed directly, could be read by any literate person, no need for translation into dots and dashes to send, then re-translation back to the written language on receipt. Telegraphers were being "downsized," displaced by something BETTER THAN WHAT WAS USED BEFORE.

Manual telegraphers turned to this new "radio." They could use their skills and craft in a new medium with minimal adaptation. The technology of "electronics" was then sufficiently primitive that the manual telegrapher specialist could generally learn this new "technology" and to operate the primitive controls. Early radio was a godsend to the displaced telegrapher specialist.

However, technology did not stop with this magical new means of communication. The invention of the vacuum tube now made radio of far greater sensitivity, thus longer range, clean power amplification in transmitters, and it enabled VOICE transmission! Voice, nearly as clear as the land-line telephone, instantaneous, understandable to anyone speaking the language. New modes of

communication opened up. The land-line teleprinter was adapted
for use over radio. Those new modes were BETTER THAN WHAT WAS
USED BEFORE (radiotelegraphy).

"Radio" has been in CONTINUAL EVOLUTION over its quite-short
lifespan barely over a century of time. Those who worship the
beginnings of anything may embrace the first mode of radio,
telegraphy, but that is solely on emotional, subjective terms.
Radiotelegraphy came about ONLY because the on-off nature of
telegraph transmission was suited to the primitive technology
of ealy radio. EVOLUTION is a natural course of events, a
sort of "Darwinism" applied to technology, humankind adopting
what is BETTER THAN USED BEFORE.

> Bicycles are also "old technology," but that does not
> invalidate them as a simple and reliable means of transportation.

The general sublect of TRANSPORT is not involved in WT Docket
05-235. In the subject of transport, HORSES were used as a
simple and reliable means of transportation for CENTURIES
before the evolution of metalworking and machinery made the
bicycle possible. See two-wheeled chariots of the Roman
Empire time, centuries past, all "powered" by horses.

> A large fraction of humanity uses them, because they
> do not have the luxury of expensive automobiles and gas.

Horses can graze off the land, avoiding cost of feed. They
can also reproduce themselves, thus avoiding costs of
manufacturing.

> Continuing within the context of such a society
> (or what an more wealthy society can certainly become,
> as anyone in South Africa can tell you):
> One must practice and develop skill in using a bicycle,
> if he wants to get around faster than walking.

In trying to get back to "radio," the invention of the manually
wound spring generator radio receiver happened in South Africa.

For that matter, while few written records exist to verify it,
middle Africa had the "jungle telegraph" of drum rhythm
representation of spoken native languages for centuries...

> If one is unwilling to do the work and take the occasional
> fall needed to become a proficient bicycle rider,
> then they must accept walking.

Not a problem. Walking is as natural to humans as speech.
We learn that as infants and progress in such things as we
grow up.

> It is foolish and futile
> for those who have chosen to walk

> to curse those riding bicycles and the bicycles themselves.

[that's beginning to sound like some Sunday School morality
lesson...:-) ]

Is anyone "cursing" riding bicycles? Other than on streets,
that is? I think not. The parable of the bicycle is overdone.

> It is also foolish and short-sighted to take for granted that,
> once one has an SUV, that the need to ride a bicycle
> will never come again.

While I've never driven an SUV nor do I own a bicycle, I was as
fully qualified to drive an ancient "SUV" called the "Jeep" plus
the "three-quarter-ton" truck and "deuce-and-a-half" (2 1/2 ton)
truck in the military...as carrying a 70-pound field pack with a
AN/PRC-6 handheld transceiver and personal weapon in the Army.

I have never "sat" a horse nor do I intend to, yet horses have
been used for human transport for centuries. [there are more
horses in all of southern California than there are in the country
of Sweden...as my late father used to remark with some humor]
I do not venerate horses - which were there and used LONG before
the bicycle - but can appreciate the feelings of horse lovers.

> On such a day,
> If *all* have forgotten how to ride,
> than all of us shall walk.

Not a problem. Walking is fine, healthy personal transport.

> If even a few are rewarded for remembering how to ride,
> they can teach the many.

"Always begin with the left foot" is a basic instruction in
military close-order drill. Not a problem. :-)

I must have learned walking as an infant, not remembering
the empirical data derivation method of self-teaching since
it has always been very natural. Humans ARE capable of
self-learning a great many things.

>2. Historic preservation.
> There are some who place no value on spending assets to
> preserve touch-stones of humanity's progress.

I support the IEEE History section and regularly receive their
bulletin/newsletter (containing "Static from the Editor"
editorials). Marconi and Popov are given their rightful
place in electronics history as are all the greats, the
innovators, the inventors, the true pioneers of the electronics
world such as James Clerk Maxwell and Heinrich Hertz and
Lee de Forest and Edwin Armstrong (the troubled genius) and
many, many others.

> Most of us do, which is why we spend money and labor
> preserving "obsolete" ships, aircraft, telephones,
> steam engines, etc. All of them take money and work
> to preserve. Morse Code has been at least as important
> as the development of the telephone, radio and even
> the internet, having been the first "real-time" means
> of knitting-together the globe.

I prefer the Morse-Vail Telegraph System rather than just
the manual telegraphic code used ON it. Without the "relay"
of that invention, it would bave been limited to relatively
short distances on land.

> I submit that is a
> valid reason to incentivize its preservation,
> and that my proposal is an inexpensive, efficient
> and reasonable means of doing so.

The Federal Communications Commission is NOT chartered by law
of Congress as a "historical preservation agency." There
ALREADY exist a number of museums containing artifacts and
recorded history of telegraphy all over the world. There exist
at least a half dozen computer programs that permit self-learning
of cognition of the "morse code' sound patterns, three of which
are in use at the Military Intelligence School at Fort Huachuca,
AZ, to train military intercept operators.

I see NONE of that "incentivization" as being ANY reason for
the retention of a Living Museum of Archaic Radiotelegraphy
through federally-required radio operator licensing, commercial
or amateur. I see the above as merely a desperate attempt to
preserve a purely personal desire for a mode which is evolving
out of practical existance...and has done so in every other civil
radio service in the United States of America.

Much of the "incentivization" by others on WT Docket 05-235
has been nothing more than transparent rationalization of their
desire to maintain their PERSONAL rank-status-privileges, to
keep the status as much quo as possible out of fear that
changes in regulations will reduce their rank and status. May
be fine for a clubhouse environment but NOT for federal laws
and regulations applicable to ALL citizens.

bit bat
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2548. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 20:17:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124655432.566634.42000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116899

From: Dan/W4NTI on Aug 20, 3:58 pm

>I no longer care to waste my time with you Lennie. You are Anti-Amateur
>Radio.

No, just anti-PCTA. :-)

>You have no reason to be in this group.

Feel free to "throw me out." :-)

> Other than to sew hate and discontent.

Incomplete sentence, Jeswald. I'm not a tailor. If you can't take
sew much, then leave. It's obvious that the newsgroup is not for
your kind. <shrug>

>You don't even have a license or any experience in Amateur Radio at all.

I have NO amateur radio license. I have a Commercial radio license.

I have lots of experience in radio.

Is AMATEUR radio "different" than all other radio? I think not.

>Thus your comments and input are of no interest to me.

Translation: You are totally pissed off because you can't "get" me.

>Nor should they be to any other person here.

Incomplete or faulty sentence. This group is NOT exclusively
for the PCTA or the morsemen.

>In other words I no longer give a rip what you say or think.

Tsk, tsk. You lie in your teeth. :-)

>Have a nice life.

I do. Every day, every night. :-)

>Lennie's idiotic comments deleted
><plonk>

Dannie boy take his balls and go home, no play no more? Awwww...

bye bye
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2549. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 20:19:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124655558.678306.53340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116900

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 21, 6:37 am

>On 21 Aug 2005 04:39:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>>> <snip>
>>> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>
>>> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>
>>BBWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA?HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA?>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
A
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>Thank you for that passive-agressive response; it did much to confirm
>my suspicions.

You are quite right in your suspicions, Frank.

You will note that Robeson, when challenged, will immediately go
into deliberate misdirection with his hyena laughter or the
accusations of some sort of personal perfidy. It's almost SOP
for him.

>>> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
>>> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
>>> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
>>> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
>>> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
>>> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
>>> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?
>
>> Well, "Frank", you have the same OFFICIAL resources at your
>>disposal as I do,
>
>Even more than you might expect.

:-)

>> so I recommend you use them instead, rather than
>>making foolish statements that land you squarely in the "running my
>>mouth off without adequate substantiation of my position" behind them!
>

>I call it like I see it.

We all do. :-)

<snip>

>>> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
>>> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
>>> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
>
>> Whelp, all I can say is use some of those same OFFICIAL sources to
>>keep from looking like a fool, Frank.
>
>> But I'd also say "hurry" because your credibility is slipping.

More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare. :-(

>I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
>to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
>in the USMC.

Robeson has only ONCE made ANY reference to radio or electronic
equipment name, nomenclature, or familiar name. That one time
was in regards to MARS radio equipments. Eighteen years as an
avionics MOS and he can't name a single piece of equipment by
formal or familiar name? Unheard of in my service days, in my
work, including field trips to the military installations and
being around servicemen IN radio-electronics.

Earlier on, Robeson tried to avoid naming anything by stating
"he couldn't give away any secrets." A rather stupid remark in
iteself since the NAMES of radio-electronics equipment has NOT
been secret, has been published in Defense Electronics trade
magazine, been on the various branches' procurement IFBs
(Invitation For Bid), government reports, and so forth. Their
names, general function, all have been on public documents
for a half century. Brochures and pamphlets have been released
for publication about many forms of communications, done by
military units themselves...one of which is the main part of:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/alphabetsoup.pdf

Robeson couldn't even name the very common all-service small-unit
radio of his early time, the AN/PRC-25 or PRC-77. Only an eighth
of a million of those two types were produced. He couldn't recall
a single airborne radio name, not even the venerable AN/ARC-27
(before his time, really) or the (after his time) AN/ARC-210.
He didn't know the SINCGARS family of all-service small-unit
radios even though a quarter million sets were produced between
1989 and 2004. Radios. Military radios. "Not known" by someone
who had been in the Corps for 18 years, claiming to be "in"

radio communications? Highly unlikely. None of the "military radio experience" posters in here knew the military designation of the Collins KWM-2 transceiver, often used in MARS operations in Vietnam. A ham, an Extra, who isn't familiar with Collins Radios? Highly unlikely.

In much earlier newsgroup postings, Robeson stated he had a medical discharge to explain not completing a full 20 years, "due to an accident." Then, after several days, he changed that to "honorable" claiming it was "changed later" by some unspecified authority. Medical discharges are given for a variety of reasons, not always due to physical disabilities. [that's in Google archives]

Robeson has consistently tried to make fun of others' military assignments, claiming his (classic) been in "seven hostile actions." First of all servicemenbers don't have any choice of what they do, they go and do whatever they are assigned, wherever commanded. Only one out of about seven military land forces, Army or Marines, are IN any combat zone or actual fighting territory during a "hostile action." Second, Robeson has NEVER DEFINED HIS "hostile action" in any specifics as to WHEN and WHERE. He has glossed it over in generalities "explaining" that he "knows it and need not explain it to us." He usually remarks that HE has the "documentation" for it but it remains unseen by the rest of us. He postures and preens, implies greatness but without details. In other words, BS.

A classic case of Robeson's word-twisting is his "dishonoring" charge that I "embellish my military career" by honoring the 19 members of my Signal Battalion killed in a transport crash on 1 July 1950, three years before I was assigned to that Battalion. "My" battalion lost 4 more afterwards. I have a list of all those names, even their ASNs (Army Serial Numbers) and honor them especially every Memorial Day when I join other veterans in Memorial Day ceremonies, even for simple things like putting flags on their gravesites. That sort of word-twisting has become common to Robeson's postings in here, an extreme sensitivity (or volatility) to anything negative against his words spat out in a flurry of personal insults.

Take his use of the word "Putz" above his message signatures. He isn't of a Yiddish ethnic group, isn't a Jew, yet he uses a Yiddish pejorative freely as if he were. [as a pejorative it means "penis head" in reference to one who can only think with his penis] That four-letter word is "acceptible" yet if another poster is quoted using four-letter words they are octothorped-out (the octothorpe or # symbol replacing the letters). This fierce warrior, self-promoted "seven hostile action" implied hero can't even swear like a Marine among other veterans? Highly unlikely. :-)

Phrases and euphemisms identified with the USMC are easy to get in literature and from TV and movies (tons of that material). Using such things is also easy enough to do...in generalities. Imposters slip up when confronted with DETAILS or specific-time/era-use phrases that weren't familiar to the pharse-user's time. Robeson is big on generalities, very short on specifics.

Robeson wants to be a Big Name. Any which way he can. He is military-minded, with any photo of him on the 'web IN UNIFORM of some sort, green scrubs to CAP-patched flight suit. He is an amateur extra, yet never worked IN military radio comms. He bragged of having a civilian private pilot's license before joining the Corps yet never became Air Crew in the Corps. He uses phrases like "pilot in command" of a general aviation single-engine aircraft like he was the commander of a 747. :-)

He gets what he probably wanted all the time - ATTENTION. He manages to cuss out every one of his opponents in any thread, turning that thread into a running battle of Stebie FIGHTS The World...heaping abuse on his "opponents" as if his life depends on it. Maybe it does.

TEM fry
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2550. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 20:27:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124656057.739476.213970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116901

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 21, 10:34 am

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>
>> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>
>> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
>> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
>> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie.
>
>He does that with his threats, too. "Bricks through windows, slashed
>tires, and terrorized wives." I think he's a creep.

Don't forget the "...just a few ounces of pressure" classic, or
"I will be up your way soon [and confront you]" impled threat, or
"I only have to pick up a phone and my authority will have you
picked up!" (which I think is the topper of them all).

>> So I emailed
>> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
>> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
>> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
>> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?
>
>Steve has almost total fixation with Len's "putz."

Jealousy? :-)

>He frequenly used
>phrases like "bunk-buddy" "in bed with" when referring to two men.

Oy, veh, such a schlemiel! :-)

[has Stebie been to Temple yet?]

>> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
>> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
>> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
>
>I'm still waiting to hear Steve's war stories about his claimed "seven
>hostile actions." The Stolen Valor investigators might be interested.

Maybe we could get the producers of "CSI" to do a special episode
on the resolution of all this? Get him on the stainless steel

"bed" and do a Y-cut to check his innards? Or maybe the Home and Garden TV Channel for a special Lawn Order show? :-)

[I find more entertainment in watching my hard disk defrag...:-) ]

gun poo
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2551. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 20:38:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xD5Oe.962$I93.135@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116902

<plonk>
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124655432.566634.42000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

## 2552. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 20:54:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IR5Oe.966$I93.924@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116903

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1124625091.309992.110610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:53 pm
>
>> > Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
>> >have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in
>> >your
>> >distorted mind?
>>
>> Take a trank, Dannie Disabled, settle down.
>
> "Dannie Disabled"
>
> Sure am glad that LENNIE doesn't participate in those "personal
> ATTACKS" that he suggested to anothr person in another thread that
> "others" make...!!!!
>
>> I realize that YOU think ham radio is super-secret stuff which
>> can't be revealed to the outside world, but that is NOT so.
>> But, you are totally confusing ARMY communications with your
>> ham hobby activies and that is NOT good for the U.S. Army.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...actually, I believe it is YOU who have gotten your
> 1950's era rear-area radio mechanic days confused with what you THINK
> Amateur Radio is.
>
> Huge Snippage
>
>> >Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
>> >BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I was NEVER in Bavaria in the 1970s. But, I was
>> IN the U.S. Army in the 1950s and as a civilian engineer working
>> for civilian contractors engaged in Department of Defense contract
>> work from the late 1950s on through to 1989.
>
> Lennie's closest approach to any "unfriendly territory" was
> crawling back to the barracks drunk-as-a-skunk and finding himslef in a
> benjo ditch.
>
>> Dannie Disabled,
>> I've had a bit MORE investigation by the U.S. government on

>> SECURITY matters (including background checks for Top Secret
>> and "Q") for the simple reason that I've been around longer than
>> you have.
>
> No doubt you've been investigated, Lennie...No doubt...
>
>> Don't try this Tuff Guy bull#### with me.
>
> Yeah, Dan...we ALL know who the "Tuff Guy" full of bull#### is in
> THIS forum...And Lennie does NOT like being in second on that one!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

What amazes me is how he can sit there and make all these unwarranted assumptions. Example; TS clearance. Does he think he is the only one in the world that had a TS clearance?

I was a COMMO NCOIC. Does he think I didn't get a Security Clearance?

Yep...he is a PUTZ.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2553. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 20:57:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116904

There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...
>
> ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful, as
> of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual, as
> of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting to
> glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras so
> worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
> practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the unwitting...
>
> ... rumors only, mind you!
>
> John
>
> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>>
>> ... read all about it!
>>
>> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of licenses"
>> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
>> God"...
>>
>> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>>
>> John
>

# 2554. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 21:08:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.21.08.20.459749@gmail.com>
Source record: 116905

Dan:

At this point, all I see which has been "proved" is that in humanity there is a need to set ones own image as being "special", the things they use as as qualifications to these ends are preposterous--even resorting to flashing a hobby license at you in pursuit of those ends...

... grab a chair and view this "circus" of performers out there, and just to think, they have no clue on the opinions of others viewing them in their silly performances... indeed, even if you attempt to explain it to them, it just doesn't make it though that image they have constructed for themselves.

It is much like watching a "lotus blossom eater" and unable to fathom what visions must dance before their eyes obscuring the reality which lies about them... the term "glorified CB'ers" pops to the forefront of ones mind...

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 20:57:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...
>>
>> ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful, as
>> of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual, as
>> of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting to
>> glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras so
>> worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
>> practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the unwitting...
>>
>> ... rumors only, mind you!
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> ... read all about it!
>>>

>>> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of licenses"
>>> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
>>> God"...
>>>
>>> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>>>
>>> John
>>

# 2555. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 21:12:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.21.12.01.509736@gmail.com>
Source record: 116906

Len:

We share the same fondness for stories of fiction, daredevils and men saving the world with a brass key. Secret agent types who the gov't has hidden away in secret and strategic positions on this planet--posing under the guise of amateur radio operators with hobby permits...

Diabolical, don't ya think? Those stupid terrorists probably don't even have a clue!!!

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 13:27:37 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 21, 10:34 am
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
>
>>> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>>
>>> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>>
>>> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
>>> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
>>> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie.
>>
>>He does that with his threats, too. "Bricks through windows, slashed
>>tires, and terrorized wives." I think he's a creep.
>
> Don't forget the "...just a few ounces of pressure" classic, or
> "I will be up your way soon [and confront you]" impled threat, or
> "I only have to pick up a phone and my authority will have you
> picked up!" (which I think is the topper of them all).
>
>
>>> So I emailed
>>> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
>>> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
>>> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
>>> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?
>>
>>Steve has almost total fixation with Len's "putz."
>

> Jealousy? :-)
>
>>He frequenly used
>>phrases like "bunk-buddy" "in bed with" when referring to two men.
>
> Oy, veh, such a schlemiel! :-)
>
> [has Stebie been to Temple yet?]
>
>>> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
>>> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
>>> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
>>
>>I'm still waiting to hear Steve's war stories about his claimed "seven
>>hostile actions." The Stolen Valor investigators might be interested.
>
> Maybe we could get the producers of "CSI" to do a special episode
> on the resolution of all this? Get him on the stainless steel
> "bed" and do a Y-cut to check his innards? Or maybe the Home and
> Garden TV Channel for a special Lawn Order show? :-)
>
> [I find more entertainment in watching my hard disk defrag...:-) ]
>
> gun poo
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2556. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 21:17:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.21.17.49.782184@gmail.com>
Source record: 116907

... what is amazing is anyone even trying to build a bridge between a
gov't security clearance and a hobby, or imposing yourself on people
with inane chatter about such... well, unless your favorite hobby is
dreaming you are a secret agent with a CW key, and annoying others...

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 20:54:00 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1124625091.309992.110610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Mon, Aug 15 2005 4:53 pm
>>
>>> > Because YOU didn't see it. Because YOU
>>> >have NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO other than what you conjure up in
>>> >your
>>> >distorted mind?
>>>
>>> Take a trank, Dannie Disabled, settle down.
>>
>> "Dannie Disabled"
>>
>> Sure am glad that LENNIE doesn't participate in those "personal
>> ATTACKS" that he suggested to anothr person in another thread that
>> "others" make...!!!!
>>
>>> I realize that YOU think ham radio is super-secret stuff which
>>> can't be revealed to the outside world, but that is NOT so.
>>> But, you are totally confusing ARMY communications with your
>>> ham hobby activies and that is NOT good for the U.S. Army.
>>
>> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...actually, I believe it is YOU who have gotten your
>> 1950's era rear-area radio mechanic days confused with what you THINK
>> Amateur Radio is.
>>
>> Huge Snippage
>>
>>> >Being close to "unfriendly territory" was not a problem at all. You NOT
>>> >BEING a ham of course, would not comprehend these things.
>>>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I was NEVER in Bavaria in the 1970s. But, I was
>>> IN the U.S. Army in the 1950s and as a civilian engineer working

>>> for civilian contractors engaged in Department of Defense contract
>>> work from the late 1950s on through to 1989.
>>
>> Lennie's closest approach to any "unfriendly territory" was
>> crawling back to the barracks drunk-as-a-skunk and finding himslef in a
>> benjo ditch.
>>
>>> Dannie Disabled,
>>> I've had a bit MORE investigation by the U.S. government on
>>> SECURITY matters (including background checks for Top Secret
>>> and "Q") for the simple reason that I've been around longer than
>>> you have.
>>
>> No doubt you've been investigated, Lennie...No doubt...
>>
>>> Don't try this Tuff Guy bull#### with me.
>>
>> Yeah, Dan...we ALL know who the "Tuff Guy" full of bull#### is in
>> THIS forum...And Lennie does NOT like being in second on that one!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> What amazes me is how he can sit there and make all these unwarranted
> assumptions. Example; TS clearance. Does he think he is the only one in
> the world that had a TS clearance?
>
> I was a COMMO NCOIC. Does he think I didn't get a Security Clearance?
>
> Yep...he is a PUTZ.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 2557. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 22:12:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124662356.879016.107350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116908

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 21, 11:37 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:37
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 18, 3:33 pm
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> >You gotta look at both sides if you want to be known as being fair and
>> >> >balanced.
>
>> >> Some of us DO but, when messaging to unbalanced, unfair folks,
>> >> it is rather difficult... :-)
>
>> >Difficult, but not insurmountable. Remember, compassionate no-codism
>> >serves both faith-based modes as well as all of the other modes.
>
>> I sort of draw the line at the cretin who shouts, "God is for
>> morse code testing and morse code!!!"
>
>So what's Steve been up to while I was camping with the BSA?

The usual... :-)

>> >> >> > > that and I have have found myself breaking into song on the repeater
>> >> >> > > stuff like that
>
>> >> >> > Stop right there. Even the slightest upturn at the corner of your
>> >> >> > mouth might be mistaken as gloating.
>
>> >> [only the NCTA are allowed to gloat... :-) ]
>
>> >Openly.
>
>> Feels rather good. Time to turn things around now and let all
>> the coders have it as those smug, arrogant, "superior" types
>> have acted for the half century I've observed them. :-)
>
>Nah. Let's just press on and accept them as the red-headed
>step-children that they are. Definitely spare the rod and Spoil those
>brats.

Spoil the brats?!? Not the Johnsonville brats...they're the wurst!

>> >> Heh heh. Expect the ARRL to get in on the act, maybe the
>> >> "Pro-coder Compassion Supporter (or Truss?) Fund" or some
>> >> title like that...
>
>> >With Carl in the ARRL, all things are possible.
>
>> Carl ANDERSON? Yeah, right, just like Brain Kallie to make
>> nastynoises. :-)
>
>If I had been three or four decades older, I might have wet myself over
>that one. Hi!

Hehehehehehehehehehe......

>> >> On the ARRL's "poll" for 5 Aug 05 and an opinion on NPRM
>> >> 05-143, of the 9,484 votes cast: 48.5% said it was a fine
>> >> idea, 47.1% said it was a terrible idea, and 4.4% had no
>> >> particular opinion either way.
>
>> >Looks like a concensus. Those numbers were flipped when we were told
>> >that we had to keep the code.
>
>> [whut yu mean "we," white man?] [Tonto not hear dat...]
>
>> NCTA super-extras like Jimmie Noserve will come out with the
>> standard disclaimer that the website poll is "not scientific."
>
>Yet he never, ever, ever, commented on Mike Deignan's comments that the
>ARRL poll was "substantive." Hi! What a goose turd.

You're RIGHT! Slipped my mind...but then it was long ago...:-)

>> They are so forking predictable that one doesn't have to
>> think twice about what kind of rationalizations they write.
>
>You know what they're going to say before they say it. EXTRAcept when
>they goof up, Like Jim/N2EY did when he said that a code exam would be
>a barrier to code use! Hi! It always was!!! Hi, hi! Where was he
>when they were passing out Greenlee Punch?

Good old Greenlee Punch! I grew up in the city were that is
made!

It's so refreshing on a hot day...just pull up a blank chassis
and punch away! :-)

>> >> Nah...let's just pick up the 2x4s and beat them over the head
>> >> with the issue...just like they used to do it to no-coders
>> >> in the last 50 years or so. I think they deserve it... :-)
>
>> >Please, no.
>

>> >Remember that compassionate no-codism is tolerant of faith-based modes.
>
>> Geez...TUFF requirement! Not even a little 1x1 piece of oak?
>
>> Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
>> picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...
>
>Whadda hell Steve doing here?

Hehehehehehehehehe...some "recon marine" he are...didn't do a recon
to see who he's up against... ):->

It's my "insidious plan," Brian. I yank out Stebie's phrases
and wait for his inevitable "IT'S A LIE!" shouting...then just
point to his own words used against him. Heh heh heh heh.

>> There's "compassion" on the prarie, the badlands, and the great
>> West for horses that can't make it. A gun or a knife solves
>> their problems, provides time-tested "compassion." So it is
>> with the coders. They can't make it without that TEST...so
>> we should "do the right thing" and end their suffering.
>
>Suffering is good for them. I think they call it Martyrdom.

Okay, I'll go along with them suffering.

Hmmm...from Major Domo to Martyr Dumb...has a ring to it...

>If the dit don't fit, you cannot convict.

EXCELLENT turn of phrase! Love it!

fit dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2558. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 23:04:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124665466.269631.299080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116909

From: John Smith on Aug 21, 2:12 pm

>Len:
>
>We share the same fondness for stories of fiction, daredevils and men
>saving the world with a brass key.

"Fondness" is a bit strong for me... :-)

A GOOD tall tale is fun. Too many tales in one place and you've
got a conclave of coders.

> Secret agent types who the gov't has
>hidden away in secret and strategic positions on this planet--posing under
>the guise of amateur radio operators with hobby permits...

In an "undisclosed location" (next door to Dick Cheney).

Ready and waiting to SAVE THE WORLD from terrorists!!!

>Diabolical, don't ya think? Those stupid terrorists probably don't even
>have a clue!!!

Machiavellian in concept. :-)

Of course, those terrorists are already stupid, so the whole thing
is just a sequel to "Dumb and Dumber." :-)

>>>> Maybe we could get the producers of "CSI" to do a special episode
>>>> on the resolution of all this? Get him on the stainless steel
>>>> "bed" and do a Y-cut to check his innards? Or maybe the Home and
>>>> Garden TV Channel for a special Lawn Order show? :-)
>
>>> [I find more entertainment in watching my hard disk defrag...:-) ]

Actually, I should stay with HGTV. I can drive over to the Media
Center studios (its on the same street as the Hill Street Cafe)
and talk to Carol Duvall on it. She could have all these cut-ups
on her Arts & Crafts show! Ah, something to do on Monday!

Has possibilities! :-)

vid biz
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2559. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 23:06:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124665574.729858.251350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116910

From: John Smith on Aug 21, 2:17 pm

>... what is amazing is anyone even trying to build a bridge between a
>gov't security clearance and a hobby, or imposing yourself on people
>with inane chatter about such... well, unless your favorite hobby is
>dreaming you are a secret agent with a CW key, and annoying others...

...or a heroic cold warrior keeping the commies contained in
the Fulda Gap! :-)

John, you should have been here around 1997 or so and the
(unidentified) "reserve colonel" telling us all about how
"his son was 'behind the lines' in Iraq" during the First
Gulf War, "sending intelligence reports by morse code!"

In 1990-1991. NO military occupation specialties called for
radio ops with morsemanship skill training then. Besides,
the U.S. Army had the AN/PSC-3 that handled TEXT at 1200
BPS on the military aviation band of 225 to 400 MHz...with
capability to bounce off relay sats or to orbiting Joint
Stars aircraft for relay. Three antennas with that set, two
of them directional to avoid unfriendly DF on the position.
PSC-3 went obsolete soon after and the current model is PSC-7.

>On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 20:54:00 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> I was a COMMO NCOIC. Does he think I didn't get a Security Clearance?

Irrelevant. Thirty-one Charlies probably get the lowest level
now as they did for us back a half century ago...CONFIDENTIAL.

BFD. It's all paperwork, name and Confidential form goes
through a few agencies, is checked against computer listings
and that's that. Fail the Confidential check and it's OUT
of that MOS school into something else. The Uniform Code of
Military Justice rules all military.

Standard three levels, least sensitive to most sensitive, is:
Confidential, Secret, Top Secret. [the forms get longer, the
more sensitive the clearance...the FBI gets the fun task of
having to interview friends and family and neighbors for a
"Top"...which they grudgingly do] I've had them all. <shrug>
No big deal.

The FCC specifically FORBIDS ANY communication "intended to
obscure he meaning" in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.

Dannie has now become fascinated with my "Putz." We'll have
to wait and see what he do about dat. [strange stuff!]

put zen
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2560. Re: Echos from the past - from cut and past Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 23:12:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124665961.811691.171950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116911

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dan/W4NTI on Aug 18, 3:17 pm
> >
> > ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > >> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed, Aug 17 2005 3:47 pm
> > >>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > >
> > >
> > >>>> But, something ELSE operative here. Note: Your CO gave you
> > >>>> permission to operate. On another thread in here, some time
> > >>>> ago, Brian Burke was given permission by HIS CO to operate
> > >>>> amateur radio from a foreign land. Now all the JAG-wannabes
> > >>>> in here (PCTAs all) jumped all over Brian's case on that,
> > >>>> citing absolutely NOTHING germane, one (a "seven-hostile-action"
> > >>>> hero) even implying that the UCMJ didn't apply, only the FCC
> > >>>> regulations applied (they don't but the shell-shocked murine
> > >>>> didn't understand that).
> > >
> > >>>> Under the terms of the Kangaroo Kourt of the PCTAs:
> > >
> > >>>> If Brian Burke is guilty of improper amateur radio operation,
> > >>>> then Dan Jeswald is EQUALLY GUILTY of doing so from Germany.
> > >
> > >>>> If Dan Jeswald is not guilty, then Brian Burke is not guilty.
> > >
> > >>>> It's the SAME SORT of "OFFENSE" as codified by the PCTA.
> >
> > Regardless of who Dan Jeswald is, I operated legally. I had the
> > permission of my commanding officer.

You operated with the tacit approval of your "commanding officer".
Since you were only an enlisted guy, it might have gotten the pressure
off of you and onto him/her had the REAL authorites decided to say
something about it.

> David Heil/K8MN, worlds greatest DXer, claimed that a commanding
> officer cannot give those priveleges. I proved him wrong with a quote
> from the ARRL website.

And the ARRL website is not the United Nations telecommunications
authority.

> Needless to say, I'm ALWAYS wary of the expertise of Extra's. They
> just get it wrong so much of the time.

But not THIS time. Nor any other time that one of your rants has been involved. YOU just can't get it right. You were, for all intents and purposes, bootlegging. You got away with it, but it was bootlegging nonetheless. That's why T5/N0IMD cards are no good for DXCC purposes.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2561. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 23:17:07 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <212ig1p2175d4mt3hpa23rtq6uhv850il8@4ax.com>
Source record: 116913

On 20 Aug 2005 20:21:42 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: John Kasupski on Aug 20, 3:08 pm
>
>>On 19 Aug 2005 15:05:14 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> Difficult. One SO wants to get a Hasty sling, a good sight
>>> picture, BASS, and apply just a few ounces of pressure...
>>
>>I realize this code test issue is a bit like shooting fish in a barrel
>>at this point, but I learned it as BRASS rather than BASS. ;-)
>
> Heyo, John, off the subject but "BASS" was Breath-Aim-Sight-Squeeze
> acronym in the U.S. Army of the 1950s.

I learned it as BRASS: Breathe-Relax-Aim-Sight-Squeeze.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

## 2562. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 23:28:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124666893.362971.268630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116912

```
Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 21 Aug 2005 04:39:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124624341.639525.93370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
> >>
> >>
> >> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
> >
> >BBWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>
> Thank you for that passive-agressive response; it did much to confirm
> my suspicions.

I am sure it did. But then I am beginning to see a pattern too...

> >> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
> >> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
> >> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
> >> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
> >> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
> >> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
> >> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?
> >
> > Well, "Frank", you have the same OFFICIAL resources at your
> >disposal as I do,
>
> Even more than you might expect.
>
> > so I recommend you use them instead, rather than
> >making foolish statements that land you squarely in the "running my
> >mouth off without adequate substantiation of my position" behind them!
>
> I call it like I see it.
```

Then your vision is in need os assessment by a good opthamologist
of your choice.

> > Several of my "buds" (with whom I still stay in contact with) from
> >the MCAS El Toro Aero Club were tracks and armor guys from Pendleton.
>
>
> ....."armor guys"?

Uh huh...Neat thing, military aeroclubs. The person sitting next
to you might be fresh off the yellow foot prints or wear stars on thier
collar.

> >What are the odds if I say "Who's Frank Gilliland and what did he do
> >for the Marines?" that they'll shrug their shoulders and say "Frank
> >who...?!?!"
>
>
> You never know. Quite a few friends from boot camp ended up at
> Pendleton (including one of the Marines currently on active-duty that
> I contacted), and I was with 2nd AAV for almost a year. I also used to
> hang out with some of the tankers at Stumps. Don't forget that there
> weren't too many Marines in garrison after Viet-Nam, and techs were an
> even smaller group. If you know a radio tech from tanks or amtraks at
> the same time I was in then there's a real possibility he knows me or
> I know him (or her, although most WM techs were sent to 4th or 5th
> echelon stations). Heck, I even know a couple that got stationed in
> Hawaii, the lucky bastards! But it might help to mention that I went
> to GRRC at Stumps with the late Cpl. Moses Arnold -- the "class
> clown", and truly one of the nicest guys you could ever meet (yeah,
> people say that casually about a lot of people but Moses earned it).
> To make things even easier for you, would it help if I provided a list
> of recruits from my platoon at MCRD? Or the Marines from the BE and
> GRRC classes at MCCES? Or from the Comm Platoons at 3/8 or 2nd AAV?
> It's not a problem since I remember almost all their names. Most
> Marines do, which is why I don't think you will have much of a problem
> finding at least one or two that remember me. So feel free to check
> with your fellow Marines (assuming, of course, you are telling the
> truth).

My I draw your obviously tainted opinon to SSGT selection board of
1983, Precendent number 60A. You may also refer to the Gunnery
Sergeant selection board of 1987. To be truthful, I forgot my
precedent number on that one but it was high due to the overlap with
the previous years promotions.

> And if you happen to doubt -my- service in the USMC...(SNIP)

No...but I doubt your understanding of the motto "Semper Fidelis".

I also question your motives in this forum. So far, nothing
relevent, other than catching the back of guys who are archived chronic
liars.

> >> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
> >> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
> >> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
> >
> > Whelp, all I can say is use some of those same OFFICIAL sources to
> >keep from looking like a fool, Frank.
> >
> > But I'd also say "hurry" because your credibility is slipping.
>
> I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
> to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
> in the USMC.

And I told you if you'd care to e-mail me directly I'd be glad to
give it to you off-group. I've explained my reasons for doing so.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2563. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 23:49:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.23.49.14.730777@gmail.com>
Source record: 116915

Len:

Just about the time these guys have me believing I accidentally stumbled into rec.radio.amateur.secret.agent, someone says something sane and I switch back to thinking I am still in rec.radio.amateur.policy--then it happens all over again...

I really suspect we are in rec.radio.amateur.merry.go.round...

... but I am afraid one of these guys will one day produce a "secret decoder ring" from the 1960's (and bestowed upon them from a god in a cheerio box), then the theme from the x-files will startup in the background, and I will feel, once again, like the carpet has been pulled beneath my feet--I hate when that happens! Usually when that happens, I see a vision of art bell and wayne greene discussing colloidal silver and burying locomotive piggy-back containers in your back yard to protect you from "The Big One!"

... course, once I remove the wool from over my eyes, things do start looking better ...

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 16:06:14 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 21, 2:17 pm
>
>>... what is amazing is anyone even trying to build a bridge between a
>>gov't security clearance and a hobby, or imposing yourself on people
>>with inane chatter about such... well, unless your favorite hobby is
>>dreaming you are a secret agent with a CW key, and annoying others...
>
> ...or a heroic cold warrior keeping the commies contained in
> the Fulda Gap! :-)
>
> John, you should have been here around 1997 or so and the
> (unidentified) "reserve colonel" telling us all about how
> "his son was 'behind the lines' in Iraq" during the First
> Gulf War, "sending intelligence reports by morse code!"
>
> In 1990-1991. NO military occupation specialties called for
> radio ops with morsemanship skill training then. Besides,
> the U.S. Army had the AN/PSC-3 that handled TEXT at 1200
> BPS on the military aviation band of 225 to 400 MHz...with
> capability to bounce off relay sats or to orbiting Joint
> Stars aircraft for relay. Three antennas with that set, two

> of them directional to avoid unfriendly DF on the position.
> PSC-3 went obsolete soon after and the current model is PSC-7.
>
>>On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 20:54:00 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>> I was a COMMO NCOIC. Does he think I didn't get a Security Clearance?
>
> Irrelevant. Thirty-one Charlies probably get the lowest level
> now as they did for us back a half century ago...CONFIDENTIAL.
>
> BFD. It's all paperwork, name and Confidential form goes
> through a few agencies, is checked against computer listings
> and that's that. Fail the Confidential check and it's OUT
> of that MOS school into something else. The Uniform Code of
> Military Justice rules all military.
>
> Standard three levels, least sensitive to most sensitive, is:
> Confidential, Secret, Top Secret. [the forms get longer, the
> more sensitive the clearance...the FBI gets the fun task of
> having to interview friends and family and neighbors for a
> "Top"...which they grudgingly do] I've had them all. <shrug>
> No big deal.
>
> The FCC specifically FORBIDS ANY communication "intended to
> obscure he meaning" in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.
>
> Dannie has now become fascinated with my "Putz." We'll have
> to wait and see what he do about dat. [strange stuff!]
>
> put zen
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2564. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-21 23:50:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124668237.501646.181790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116914

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 21, 6:37 am
>
> >On 21 Aug 2005 04:39:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
> >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>> On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
> >>> <snip>
> >>> >Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
> >
> >>> Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
> >
> >>BBWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA?HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA?>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> >Thank you for that passive-agressive response; it did much to confirm
> >my suspicions.
>
> You are quite right in your suspicions, Frank.
>
> You will note that Robeson, when challenged, will immediately go
> into deliberate misdirection with his hyena laughter or the
> accusations of some sort of personal perfidy. It's almost SOP
> for him.

Nope.

> >>> After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
> >>> details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
> >>> not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
> >>> several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
> >>> of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
> >>> remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
> >>> giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?
> >
> >> Well, "Frank", you have the same OFFICIAL resources at your
> >>disposal as I do,
> >
> >Even more than you might expect.

Oviously not.

> >> so I recommend you use them instead, rather than
> >>making foolish statements that land you squarely in the "running my
> >>mouth off without adequate substantiation of my position" behind them!
> >
> >I call it like I see it.
>
> We all do.

Nope.

Most Lennie posts are obfuscation, deceit and diversion.
>
> <snip>
>
> >>> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
> >>> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
> >>> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
> >
> >> Whelp, all I can say is use some of those same OFFICIAL sources to
> >>keep from looking like a fool, Frank.
> >
> >> But I'd also say "hurry" because your credibility is slipping.
>
> More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
> trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
> worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare.

Nope.

It's the "tactic" of truth. Mr Gilliland insinuates that I didn't
serve in the Marines because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
who are in the Air Wing. He furthermore drops the name of LtCol
Olivere North, and further insinuates he has significant resources at
his disposal that would, seemingly, indicate that I didn't serve.

Mr Gilliland is challenged to use those resources. Anything less,
assuming his suggestions are factual, is bufoonery.

> >I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
> >to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
> >in the USMC.
>
> Robeson has only ONCE made ANY reference to radio or electronic
> equipment name, nomenclature, or familiar name. That one time
> was in regards to MARS radio equipments. Eighteen years as an
> avionics MOS and he can't name a single piece of equipment by
> formal or familiar name? Unheard of in my service days, in my
> work, including field trips to the military installations and
> being around servicemen IN radio-electronics.

I am still at a loss as to understand how Avionics nomenclatures
are relevent here...

> Earlier on, Robeson tried to avoid naming anything by stating
> "he couldn't give away any secrets."

Lennie, Lennie, Lennie...

WHY do you persist in perpetuating that lie?

Huge snip.

> Robeson couldn't even name the very common all-service small-unit
> radio of his early time...HUGE SNIP

No Lennie...

YOU ahve gone on about military radios in the Amateur forum...Then
you claim unwillingness to play your game equates to not "knowing" or
being familiar with same.

Sorry for you.

> In much earlier newsgroup postings, Robeson stated he had a
> medical discharge to explain not completing a full 20 years,
> "due to an accident." Then, after several days, he changed
> that to "honorable" claiming it was "changed later" by some
> unspecified authority. Medical discharges are given for a
> variety of reasons, not always due to physical disabilities.
> [that's in Google archives]
>
> Robeson has consistently tried to make fun of others' military
> assignments...(SNIP)

Oh LENNIE! Coming from YOU, this is H I L A R I O U S ! ! ! ! ! !
!

There's not a SINGLE PERSON here, save for Gilliland, that you
haven't take slaps at!

> (UNSNIP)...claiming his (classic) been in "seven hostile
> actions." First of all servicemenbers don't have any choice
> of what they do, they go and do whatever they are assigned,
> wherever commanded. Only one out of about seven military
> land forces, Army or Marines, are IN any combat zone or
> actual fighting territory during a "hostile action." Second,
> Robeson has NEVER DEFINED HIS "hostile action" in any specifics
> as to WHEN and WHERE. He has glossed it over in generalities
> "explaining" that he "knows it and need not explain it to us."
> He usually remarks that HE has the "documentation" for it but
> it remains unseen by the rest of us. He postures and preens,
> implies greatness but without details.

No...YOU implied "greatness". I only acknowledged that I had the
misfortune of being in places where we got shot at.

> In other words, BS.

Nope. I just don't discuss military duties here.

I know it chaps your hide because making up military tales is all
you have to fall back on.

> A classic case of Robeson's word-twisting is his "dishonoring"
> charge that I "embellish my military career" by honoring the
> 19 members of my Signal Battalion killed in a transport crash
> on 1 July 1950, three years before I was assigned to that
> Battalion. "My" battalion lost 4 more afterwards. I have a
> list of all those names, even their ASNs (Army Serial Numbers)
> and honor them especially every Memorial Day when I join other
> veterans in Memorial Day ceremonies, even for simple things
> like putting flags on their gravesites. That sort of word-
> twisting has become common to Robeson's postings in here,
> an extreme sensitivity (or volatility) to anything negative
> against his words spat out in a flurry of personal insults.

Lennie, your name belongs on a "wall of shame" somewhere for your
attempts to manipulate your actual duties with the sacrifices of the
guys who were KIA before you were even inducted.

> Take his use of the word "Putz" above his message signatures.
> He isn't of a Yiddish ethnic group, isn't a Jew, yet he uses
> a Yiddish pejorative freely as if he were.

So...Not being Jewish means I can't call a putz a putz?

Snipped Lennie's whining.

> Phrases and euphemisms identified with the USMC are easy to
> get in literature and from TV and movies (tons of that
> material). Using such things is also easy enough to do...in
> generalities. Imposters slip up when confronted with DETAILS
> or specific-time/era-use phrases that weren't familiar to the
> pharse-user's time. Robeson is big on generalities, very
> short on specifics.
>
> Robeson wants to be a Big Name.

Nope. Just an individual.

> Any which way he can. He is
> military-minded, with any photo of him on the 'web IN UNIFORM
> of some sort, green scrubs to CAP-patched flight suit.

"Green scrubs"...?!?!

And please, Lennie, go ahead and tell us all about your experience
with CAP, also...

> He is an amateur extra, yet never worked IN military radio comms.

Yep, I did.

> He bragged of having a civilian private pilot's license
> before joining the Corps yet never became Air Crew in the Corps.

Ummmmmmmmmmm...there's LOT'S of guys in the Corps who hold a civilian airman's certificate but aren't aircrew. Did I miss something? I never say anything that said "those with an FAA certificate will be aircrew, period".

> He uses phrases like "pilot in command" of a general aviation
> single-engine aircraft like he was the commander of a 747.

Nope.

I use "pilot-in-command" like the FAA uses it in reference to anyone exercising thier airman's privileges.

Privileges, by the way, that you don't have.

> He gets what he probably wanted all the time - ATTENTION.

Excuse me...?!?!

Remind me why you're all-over a forum for an avocation you have expressed nothing but vile disrespect and contempt for...?!?!

> He manages to cuss out every one of his opponents in any thread...(SNIP)

Actually the profanity is yours, Markies, Toiddies, etc.

> (UNSNIP)...turning that thread into a running battle of Stebie FIGHTS
> The World...heaping abuse on his "opponents" as if his life
> depends on it. Maybe it does.

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2565. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 00:14:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124669695.309104.207160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116916

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> Even today....well actually for
> >> many years....the 80 meter band is a classic example of wasted > space.
> >> Mostly dead air in the "CW" allocations. In particular from
> >> 3.5 to 3.6.
> >
> > I think you meant "3.6 to 3.7"
> >
> No I didn't....I don't consider 5 CW stations in 100 KC
> over use of a
> segement. Or should I say "Use of a segement".
> Nets are there for sure,
> but not for long. Then the band is dead again.

> >> Lots of open space from 3.6 to 3.750 if you want to be open
> >> minded on this
> >> subject.

> > All of 80 meters is open to digital modes. You know, the
> > modes all those new, young, modern hams are going to use
> > when Element 1 goes away.

> > If there's so much room, then what's the problem making
> > 3500 to 3575 Morse Code only?

> Because we don't use it now. 25 on the bottom of all bands is
> plenty IF it is CW exclusive to ALL classes.

Is 80 meters full of digital signals? Or is it equally underused
by those modes as well?

> >> 40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that
> >> mess straight..
> >> hi.
> >
> > Not really. The mess is due to the rest of the world wanting
> > 7100-7300 for SWBC. That's going away, even as we speak, and
> > more and more of the rest of the world is letting their hams
> > have 7100-7200. Eventually 7000-7300 will be worldwide
> > exclusive amateur.

> > So what's the problem with 7000-7050 being Morse Code only?
> >>

> See above

The band is 300 kHz wide. 50 kHz is 16%. There are plenty of
times - noncontest times - when 40 is one Morse Code signal
after another from 7000 to 7050. And that's with cascaded
8 pole 500 Hz filters in the rx.

> >> 20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.
> >
> > Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
> > less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
> > about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
> > but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.

Is that what is best? More room for SSB and AM, less for
CW and digital modes?

> >> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW > > >> ONLY. No
> >> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
> >>
> >> Those that don't.....won't.
> >>
> > The trouble is that it will take an Extra to get down there.

> No it won't. Drop the Extra only and be done with that Dinosaur.

FCC won't go for that. Read the NPRM - they specifically state that
they think 3 license classes is the right number, and that we'll
get to three classes by attrition. They specifically denied
auto-upgrades, new entry level licenses, etc.

They also said that more frequencies was the best incentive.

> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:pan.2005.08.19.17.40.04.368721@gmail.com...
> >> >
> >> > Band allocation should be allocated on long term statistics
> >> > generated in
> >> > regards to the modes used... (past year or two)
> >
> > A year or two is "long tern"? HAW, that's a good one!
> >
> > (Does this guy know what a sunspot cycle is?)

Obviously not!

> >> > As CW continues its'
> >
> > "its".
> >
> >> > drop, it needs less and less allocations...
> >
> > Who says CW is dropping?

> >
> >> > as
> >> > no-coders now enter CW will have to shrink to accommodate the > > new
> >> > users and their modes...
> >
> > You mean SSB, right? Because there's no Morse-Code-only subbands
> > on HF-MF in the USA.

There should be, though. 15% or so of each band. I'm yet to see one
good reason not to do that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2566. Re: just cleaing up my screen pay it no mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 00:55:24 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <deb7nl$u42$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 116917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Wogie<whines and cries>

Poor Lardass ?
Of Course, you NEVER have anything else going on in your life but the
internet.

>
After making over 200 posts on the Nim board, and all in less than a day,
you have no room to talk, Woger.
Here. Read what the admin had to say.
"150 posts in one hour is spam you moron, and to top it off you use at
least 4 different dial up accounts"
http://1st.net/
MSN
AOL
netzero.net
1Cust5558.an3.cle11.da.uu.net

# 2567. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 00:57:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124672248.432648.104990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116918

From: John Smith on Aug 21, 4:49 pm

>Len:
>
>Just about the time these guys have me believing I accidentally stumbled
>into rec.radio.amateur.secret.agent?, someone says something sane and I
>switch back to thinking I am still in rec.radio.amateur.policy--then it
>happens all over again...
>
>I really suspect we are in rec.radio.amateur.merry.go.rou?nd...

Where do you think the producers of "Groundhog Day" got their
plot from? :-)

...at the signpost up ahead...rec.radio.twilight.zone!

>... but I am afraid one of these guys will one day produce a "secret
>decoder ring" from the 1960's (and bestowed upon them from a god in a
>cheerio box), then the theme from the x-files will startup in the
>background, and I will feel, once again, like the carpet has been pulled
>beneath my feet--I hate when that happens!

It IS disconcerting! :-) But, those are the fantasies of the
Mighty Macho (Mutant?) Morsemen, dreaming under the headphones
and hearing signals that aren't there...

> Usually when that happens, I
>see a vision of art bell and wayne greene discussing colloidal silver and
>burying locomotive piggy-back containers in your back yard to protect you
>from "The Big One!"

Understood. However, from a practical point of view, those shipping
containers are quite practical for storage or even working on one's
hobbies. Riverside Convalescent Hospital in North Hollywood has one
for storage, tucked into the back of one parking lot. A Corvette
car restorer uses one to hold his in-work 'Vettes. Being all metal,
they would be excellent for amateur radio "shacks" pumping 2 gallons
into a "shack-top" antenna (big all-metal box is an excellent
ground plane/counterpoise). Of course, I'm a bit prejudiced because
this city carries the most sea freight on this coast and the U/S
(for sea use) containers are abundant. They need large trees and
bushes to hide their gargantuan rectangular shapes; esthetically
they are bad for a neighborhood if left uncovered. [yes, I know
Stockton carries a lot of sea freight also, but it ain't the size
of San Pedro - Long Beach harbor facilities]

>... course, once I remove the wool from over my eyes, things do start
>looking better ...

Coders are trying to pull STEEL wool over our eyes. Problem is,
they don't realize that their steel wool is all rusty and
unserviceable. They don't realize that the same wooly stuff
has been pulled on THEM by the wonderful olde-tymers of the
League (of Indistinguishable Gentlemen)(with apologies to Sean
Connery).

Excuse me while I get a cold drick from da fridge...it makes
nice code inside aw day log.

old tim
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2568. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:06:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124672766.731623.3070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116919

>I learned it as BRASS: Breathe-Relax-Aim-Sight-Squeez?e.

John, unload and secure your weapon. This be the
rec.radio.twilight.zone (see signpost up ahead?).

Compassionate no-codism frowns on violins in this
din of inequity cum musical chairs chorus.
Compose yourself. Orchestrate a new outlook.

Or fiddle around with radio. At your rhythm.

hup too
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2569. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:17:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124673457.420688.175400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116920

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:58
> >
cut
> > AT THE TIME (1998-1999), it was IMPOSSIBLE to eliminate
> > the morse code test for ANY U.S. amateur radio license
> > having privileges of operating below 30 MHz. The barrier
> > was S25.5 of the ITU-R...colloquially known (or mis-known)
> > as "the treaty." [there is NO specific treaty on morse
> > code, only the honor system whereby all administrations are
> > supposed to adhere to ITU decisions on standards and
> > allocations]
>
> Nebbermind that the US of A has broken lots of treaties that Jimmy
> No-Serve has never protested.

and not to mention that the Treaty never said what a code test was, by
plain reading one could argue that sending a did and requiring the
teste to Id it it as E would be a test

cut

# 2570. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:19:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124673575.775241.160900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116921

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > and why is it a problem I thought CW always got through, yet it needs
> > protecting from Pactor?
>
> No, CW does *not* "always get through".

then the proceder have been teling another lie

>
> > Assuming the robot listens before sending well it looks like anything
> > else I hear about in HF
>
> No, robots do *not* listen before transmitting which is against the
> regs and is the crux of the problem.

then the problem is a lack of enforcement not a rules issue at all

>
> A human operator causing QRM is either lousy operating practice or an
> accident, a robot blindly causing QRM via it's inherent design is
> illegal. One solution might be to come up with a robot which tunes
> around it's frequency before transmitting. There's one for you
> code-writers to chew on.

Or for it just to listen a bit for a signal first

>
> w3rv

# 2571. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:24:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.01.24.18.422817@gmail.com>
Source record: 116922

Len:

I was just here "Twiddling With Amateur Things" and attempting to come up with an acronym for what I was doing, when I seen your post, pleasant diversion--your post...

... well, back to figuring out the acronym--you have any ideas? <grin>

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 18:17:37 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:58
>> >
> cut
>> > AT THE TIME (1998-1999), it was IMPOSSIBLE to eliminate
>> > the morse code test for ANY U.S. amateur radio license
>> > having privileges of operating below 30 MHz. The barrier
>> > was S25.5 of the ITU-R...colloquially known (or mis-known)
>> > as "the treaty." [there is NO specific treaty on morse
>> > code, only the honor system whereby all administrations are
>> > supposed to adhere to ITU decisions on standards and
>> > allocations]
>>
>> Nebbermind that the US of A has broken lots of treaties that Jimmy
>> No-Serve has never protested.
>
> and not to mention that the Treaty never said what a code test was, by
> plain reading one could argue that sending a did and requiring the
> teste to Id it it as E would be a test
>
> cut

# 2572. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:25:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fcaig19k3v4fhdeloft9ati1lenv6u2o2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 116923

On 21 Aug 2005 13:19:18 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1124655558.678306.53340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Robeson couldn't even name the very common all-service small-unit
> radio of his early time, the AN/PRC-25 or PRC-77.

I wouldn't call it "small" -- those puppies get mighty heavy on a
forced march. A "small" radio would be the PRC-68. But the RT-841 (the
RT used for the PRC-77) was the bread and butter of ground radio comm.

<snip>
> In much earlier newsgroup postings, Robeson stated he had a
> medical discharge to explain not completing a full 20 years,
> "due to an accident." Then, after several days, he changed
> that to "honorable" claiming it was "changed later" by some
> unspecified authority. Medical discharges are given for a
> variety of reasons, not always due to physical disabilities.
> [that's in Google archives]

A medical discharge is an administrative, or "general", discharge
"under honorable conditions". They are not changed to "honorable"
unless there were mitigating circumstances that led to the original
discharge being incorrect. But there is no way he would have been
granted retirement benefits without serving the full 20 years. (And
BTW, the rank of Gy.Sgt. is pretty low for retired enlisted.)

> Robeson has consistently tried to make fun of others' military
> assignments, claiming his (classic) been in "seven hostile
> actions."

I can't find that post.

> First of all servicemenbers don't have any choice
> of what they do, they go and do whatever they are assigned,
> wherever commanded.

Upon completion of MOS training, some are allowed to request a
particular duty station at first. Sometimes that request is granted.
After that it's a crap shoot.

<snip>
> This fierce warrior, self-promoted "seven hostile
> action" implied hero can't even swear like a Marine among
> other veterans? Highly unlikely. :-)

In all fairness, I knew quite a few Marines that didn't swear.

> Phrases and euphemisms identified with the USMC are easy to
> get in literature and from TV and movies (tons of that
> material).

Very easy. What's missing from his stories are unique experiences.

> Using such things is also easy enough to do...in
> generalities. Imposters slip up when confronted with DETAILS
> or specific-time/era-use phrases that weren't familiar to the
> pharse-user's time. Robeson is big on generalities, very
> short on specifics.
>
> Robeson wants to be a Big Name. Any which way he can. He is
> military-minded, with any photo of him on the 'web IN UNIFORM
> of some sort, green scrubs to CAP-patched flight suit. He is
> an amateur extra, yet never worked IN military radio comms.
> He bragged of having a civilian private pilot's license
> before joining the Corps yet never became Air Crew in the Corps.

I got to fly a Huey for about 5 seconds, then the pilot pulled us out
of the spin. I've heard it said that flying a helicopter is like
roller-skating on a greased bowling ball. I agree.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2573. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:28:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124674090.948028.111060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116924

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > and why is it a problem I thought CW always got through, yet > > it needs protecting from Pactor?
> >
> > No, CW does *not* "always get through".
>
> No mode always gets through. There are some times when Morse
> Code gets through and other available modes do not. This plain,
> simple fact has been misquoted and perverted by some.

but can't you read the CW signal though the noise?
>
> > > Assuming the robot listens before sending well it looks like > > anything else I hear about in HF
> >
> > No, robots do *not* listen before transmitting which is against > the regs and is the crux of the problem.
>
> There's also the issue of what constitutes "listening". A robot
> may listen for another Pactor III signal, yet not for a PSK31
> or Morse Code signal.

so your issue is one that the robot doesn't do a good enough job of
listening

>
> How much of a listen is long enough, and on how much on either
> side of the frequency?

Isn't pactor relitively wide compared to CW and PSK 31? so if they are
lsitening across thier bandwidth they should detect a signal wether
they can read or not

and how long would satify you?

> >
> > A human operator causing QRM is either lousy operating practice > or an accident, a robot blindly causing QRM via it's inherent
> > design is illegal.
>
> There's also the 24/7 nature of the robots.

Why is this a problem? indeed if they are doing thiss al thetime then
you could avoid them esierly enough

again if the they are lsitening
>
> > One solution might be to come up with a robot which tunes
> > around it's frequency before transmitting.
>
> Yup. And maybe sends "QRL?" in Morse Code before it opens up.

why should it favor Morse code over PSK 31?

>
> > There's one for you code-writers to chew on.
>
> The situation is somewhat like the dawn of the FM repeater
> era on the ham bands. A typical ham FM repeater essentially
> takes over two frequencies (input and output)in its coverage
> area.
>
> There was a time when a ham repeater required a special license
> with special callsign, and the application for it involved a
> pretty detailed description of the setup, its operation, etc.,
> with things like HAAT specified. Even today we have repeater
> coordination.

and today things seem to go enough without specail licenses

Why should Morse Code receive specail breaks that PSK 31 and RTTY don't
get?

>
> But VHF/UHF coverage is fairly predictable and consistent. A
> typical ham VHF/UHF repeater covers a few hundred square miles
> except during unusual conditions. Even a moderately powered HF
> station can cover millions of square miles.

that is the story you folks tell gues you haven't dealt with 6M
repeaters and the coverage is more viable than I think you accept Jim,
althought they are generaly smaller than HF I grant you

>
> The "regulation by bandwidth" proposal has some good basic
> concepts, but it needs some serious work before it is ready
> for prime time. The fact that so many different groups are
> opposed to it, and so few in favor, shows that it needs rework.

Indeed I am not certain why everyone is keying in this discussion on
the ARRL's regualtion by bandwidth proposal or is there only a real
problem with pactor when that proposal is discussed
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2574. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:28:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mcaig1lnvqsmncf14hop5nja9jk4m13i94@4ax.com>
Source record: 116925

On 21 Aug 2005 16:28:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124666893.362971.268630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> ....."armor guys"?
>
> Uh huh...Neat thing, military aeroclubs. The person sitting next
>to you might be fresh off the yellow foot prints or wear stars on thier
>collar.

I think you referred to yellow footprints in an earlier post. That's
interesting since I didn't even remember they were yellow until I
looked in my boot camp 'yearbook'. After that I started remembering
all kinds of things about receiving, like the lines on the floor, the
overwhelming smell of brasso and dura-glit, and blood on the shower
room floor because some of those guys didn't even know how to shave.

<snip>
> My I draw your obviously tainted opinon to SSGT selection board of
>1983, Precendent number 60A. You may also refer to the Gunnery
>Sergeant selection board of 1987. To be truthful, I forgot my
>precedent number on that one but it was high due to the overlap with
>the previous years promotions.

What you can't understand is that we all know the public DOD files
only have the name, rank, service, MOS, and sometimes the hometown.
That's far from a definitive identification since anyone with the same
name can 'steal' that serviceman's identity and make up the rest. Like
when someone writes me a check and I go to their bank to cash it. I
stand there with a camera in my face while they ask me for two pieces
of ID and a thumbprint. One day I asked them how they can verify that
I'm the same Frank Gilliland to whom the check was written (since
there are at least three of us in this town). After a Bush-long pause
the teller finally admitted that he couldn't make that distinction.

Duh.

>> And if you happen to doubt -my- service in the USMC...(SNIP)
>
> No...but I doubt your understanding of the motto "Semper Fidelis".

Prove you were a Marine and I'll vanish those doubts.

> I also question your motives in this forum. So far, nothing
>relevent, other than catching the back of guys who are archived chronic
>liars.

yada, yada, yada.

>> >> BTW, one of them wants to follow up with this because apparently it's
>> >> a crime to impersonante a military officer -including- non-coms,
>> >> active -or- retired. So what should I tell him, "Gunny"?
>> >
>> > Whelp, all I can say is use some of those same OFFICIAL sources to
>> >keep from looking like a fool, Frank.
>> >
>> > But I'd also say "hurry" because your credibility is slipping.
>>
>> I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
>> to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
>> in the USMC.
>
> And I told you if you'd care to e-mail me directly I'd be glad to
>give it to you off-group. I've explained my reasons for doing so.

And I questioned why your military service is so secret. That's why I
alluded to "Col. Vinson at Ft. Gordon", which you obviously didn't
understand but should have. You can email -me- if you want but don't
expect me to keep anything you say quiet if you fail to verify your
story.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2575. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:31:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <biaig119ss1fo36llmilr0tbun8vkp6857@4ax.com>
Source record: 116926

On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124668237.501646.181790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
<snip>
>> More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
>> trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
>> worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare.
>
> Nope.
>
> It's the "tactic" of truth. Mr Gilliland insinuates that I didn't
>serve in the Marines

Wrong. I didn't "insinuate" anything -- I made a clear and blunt
accusation that you are lying about your military service because you
have consistently refused to provide anything substantial to back up
your claims.

> because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
>who are in the Air Wing.

Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).

> He furthermore drops the name of LtCol
>Olivere North, and further insinuates he has significant resources at
>his disposal that would, seemingly, indicate that I didn't serve.

I think his name is Oliver, not Olivere. And again, I didn't
"insinuate" that I have any more resources than you -- just that there
are more resources available to -both- of us than you might realize.

> Mr Gilliland is challenged to use those resources. Anything less,
>assuming his suggestions are factual, is bufoonery.

It appears that, for several years, -you- have been challenged to
support your claims about your military service. That pretty much
makes -you- the bufoon.

>> >I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
>> >to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
>> >in the USMC.
>>
>> Robeson has only ONCE made ANY reference to radio or electronic

>> equipment name, nomenclature, or familiar name. That one time
>> was in regards to MARS radio equipments. Eighteen years as an
>> avionics MOS and he can't name a single piece of equipment by
>> formal or familiar name? Unheard of in my service days, in my
>> work, including field trips to the military installations and
>> being around servicemen IN radio-electronics.
>
> I am still at a loss as to understand how Avionics nomenclatures
>are relevent here...

Because someone with the experience you claim would have intimate
familiarity with the equipment. You have failed to demonstrate -any-
such familiarity -at all-.

<snip>
> No...YOU implied "greatness". I only acknowledged that I had the
>misfortune of being in places where we got shot at.

Philly? Or just a few field ops?

I'll tell you what a "hostile action" is, Steve: First of all, it's in
a place where the Holiday Inn is pocked full of holes ranging in size
from .22 caliber to whatever an RPG can do in concrete. It's when you
sew a target (the US flag) on your shoulder and stroll through a
country in the midst of a chaotic civil war, occupied by peoples that
are mortal enemies, most of whom hate Americans and have bigger guns
than you. It's when you shoot strangers but don't care because your
adrenalin is flowing too heavy to think about your actions. It's when
the air is thick with the smell of gunpowder and rotting flesh.

So Steve..... how does an avionics tech wind up in not just one but
-seven- such predicaments?

>> In other words, BS.
>
> Nope. I just don't discuss military duties here.

You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
is moot. Try again.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2576. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:35:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124674505.203467.98350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116927

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Have you realy found folsk that honestly disgree

this Discontent is why I have a strong inclanation to a One Class
license feeling that what the ARS loses in intail skill would be mad
eup for in in removing that discontent
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...
> >
> > ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful, as
> > of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual, as
> > of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting to
> > glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras so
> > worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
> > practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the unwitting...
> >
> > ... rumors only, mind you!
> >
> > John
> >
> > On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> ... read all about it!
> >>
> >> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of licenses"
> >> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
> >> God"...
> >>
> >> ... but then, what is news about that ...
> >>
> >> John
> >

# 2577. Re: More Evidence Of Steve's inability to handle a fact.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:36:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124674605.864505.173580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116928

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > David completed a circuit that was illegal.
> >
> > But it's not that he unwittingly completed an illegal circuit, but that
> > he would knowingly do so again.
>
> And that is the problem that I have with David Heil.

Indeed and that he would dare to call it good amateur practice and
legal while he did it

# 2578. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:39:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124674756.717952.296230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116929

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
cut

> There should be, though. 15% or so of each band. I'm yet to see one
> good reason not to do that.

I have yet to see a reason good or otherwise to do so

All I see is bunch of folks still promoting th idea that they and thier
specail mode deserve protections and prevledges deined the rest of us
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2579. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 01:43:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124674998.363361.148870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116930

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> Just about the time these guys have me believing I accidentally stumbled
> into rec.radio.amateur.secret.agent, someone says something sane and I
> switch back to thinking I am still in rec.radio.amateur.policy--then it
> happens all over again...
>
> I really suspect we are in rec.radio.amateur.merry.go.round...
>
> ... but I am afraid one of these guys will one day produce a "secret
> decoder ring" from the 1960's (and bestowed upon them from a god in a
> cheerio box), then the theme from the x-files will startup in the
> background, and I will feel, once again, like the carpet has been pulled
> beneath my feet--I hate when that happens! Usually when that happens, I
> see a vision of art bell and wayne greene discussing colloidal silver and
> burying locomotive piggy-back containers in your back yard to protect you
> from "The Big One!"
>
> ... course, once I remove the wool from over my eyes, things do start
> looking better ...
>
> John

I will now share a medevil Wisdom written by the monks of 6th and 7th
Century English. In their they worte in the English Book of Prayer "
Protect us oh Lord from the Wrath of the Morsemen"

<viking theme come up>

# 2580. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:13:22 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <fa-cnU2V-4pTqZTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116931

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.21.00.59.07.611389@gmail.com...
> Carl:
>
> I can't say the lack of anything in linux forces me to use windows...
> however, the lack of commercial video games written for linux forces me to
> revert to windows to run them... "Neverwinter Nights" is an exception, and
> is ported to linux, however, the game is now a few years old and I went
> on to others and this is the main reason my private computers sport
> windows also...
>
> The only factor truly forcing windows on me is other windows users, and I
> am paid 85%+ of the time to develop on the windows platform because of
> them, and almost exclusively for NT these days (thin clients like cell
> phones are an exception)...
>
> Anything windows can do--Linux can do, Linux can just do it better...
> windows on the other hand cannot do all which linux can--mostly this is
> because of MS having to hold the source secret and pursue proprietary
> ends... what is good for MS pockets is not good for the
> consumer--generally...
>
> John

John,

I don't disagree ... there are applications (CAD programs and others) that I
*must* use, and running dual-boot and having to shift back and forth and not
have "everything in one place" is just too annoying for me.

I had a Linux box running Fedora Core, but had to repurpose it for something
else and haven't gotten a new machine yet to run Linux on ... but I will.

73,
Carl - wk3c

# 2581. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:25:24 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <0amcndeimec9qpTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116932

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124626807.187844.34950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
[snip]
>
> What's your take on such 'robots' on HF, Carl? Should they
> be treated just like any other station, or should they have
> some special restrictions based on their unattended nature?

Jim, et al,

I don't think that unattended stations should be allowed to "set up camp"
anywhere they choose in the HF bands ... at least until someont *proves(
that they have solved the QRM problems that such stations can and do cause
do to the "hidden terminal" problem.

For now, at least, I think the only reasonable solution is to confine them
to a (reasonably sized - YMMV on what that means and I would need more data
on the "requirements" to pick a number) sub-band so that the machines don't
pound the human operators into submission with their (effectively)
relentless attempts to get a message through. (Let them figure out how to
"play nice" with the other machines first ...)

> Should ARRL endorse/standardize/push modes requiring the
> purchase of proprietary hardware and software from specific
> providers?

I do not believe so ... I think that proprietary modulation techniques and
protocols are "bad" for several reasons:
1) It locks out the expermenters who could, in an "open source" model
provide enhancements, additional features, etc.
2) It prevents people from building their own compatible unit if the want to
and have the necessary level of technical knowledge and skill
3) The lack of competition amongst vendors of compatible hardware
artificially inflates prices to the detriment of the user community.
(I am big on "open consensus standards" - something I do in IEEE 802.)

73,
Carl - wk3c

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2582. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:27:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.02.27.57.11694@gmail.com>
Source record: 116933

Carl:

Slackware has zipslack, and if my understanding is correct, you can boot
it right off windows and go into linux, when done with zipslack, you just
terminate like any other app...

I am sure you also know about wine and you can run most any windows
software on the linux boxes with it...

I have never toyed with zipslack even though I have a bunch of zip drives
here, and I think you can install zipslack on any spare partition (or hd)
you have... I run slack 9 with an updated 2.6 kernel...

That would fit most hams who are windows only users, but wish to run a
open source linux app or two for some experimentation...

http://www.slackware.com/zipslack/
http://www.slackware.com

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 02:13:22 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.21.00.59.07.611389@gmail.com...
>> Carl:
>>
>> I can't say the lack of anything in linux forces me to use windows...
>> however, the lack of commercial video games written for linux forces me to
>> revert to windows to run them... "Neverwinter Nights" is an exception, and
>> is ported to linux, however, the game is now a few years old and I went
>> on to others and this is the main reason my private computers sport
>> windows also...
>>
>> The only factor truly forcing windows on me is other windows users, and I
>> am paid 85%+ of the time to develop on the windows platform because of
>> them, and almost exclusively for NT these days (thin clients like cell
>> phones are an exception)...
>>
>> Anything windows can do--Linux can do, Linux can just do it better...
>> windows on the other hand cannot do all which linux can--mostly this is
>> because of MS having to hold the source secret and pursue proprietary
>> ends... what is good for MS pockets is not good for the
>> consumer--generally...
>>
>> John

> 
> John,
> 
> I don't disagree ... there are applications (CAD programs and others) that I
> *must* use, and running dual-boot and having to shift back and forth and not
> have "everything in one place" is just too annoying for me.
> 
> I had a Linux box running Fedora Core, but had to repurpose it for something
> else and haven't gotten a new machine yet to run Linux on ... but I will.
> 
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

# 2583. Re: Echos from the past - tell the whole story.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:36:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.02.36.15.882652@gmail.com>
Source record: 116934

AOF:

Really, those morsemen aren't all that bad--if only they could lose that
Neanderthal look...

Now that you have shared that though, I can see a resemblance to norwegian
cavemen, must be a racial trait--or perhaps the brass key combined with
slowly-pulsed rf causes some type of physical deformities?

Maybe someday the Oak Ridge Boys will do a song about them, who knows...

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 18:43:18 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> Just about the time these guys have me believing I accidentally stumbled
>> into rec.radio.amateur.secret.agent, someone says something sane and I
>> switch back to thinking I am still in rec.radio.amateur.policy--then it
>> happens all over again...
>>
>> I really suspect we are in rec.radio.amateur.merry.go.round...
>>
>> ... but I am afraid one of these guys will one day produce a "secret
>> decoder ring" from the 1960's (and bestowed upon them from a god in a
>> cheerio box), then the theme from the x-files will startup in the
>> background, and I will feel, once again, like the carpet has been pulled
>> beneath my feet--I hate when that happens! Usually when that happens, I
>> see a vision of art bell and wayne greene discussing colloidal silver and
>> burying locomotive piggy-back containers in your back yard to protect you
>> from "The Big One!"
>>
>> ... course, once I remove the wool from over my eyes, things do start
>> looking better ...
>>
>> John
>
> I will now share a medevil Wisdom written by the monks of 6th and 7th
> Century English. In their they worte in the English Book of Prayer "
> Protect us oh Lord from the Wrath of the Morsemen"
>
> <viking theme come up>

# 2584. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:40:59 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <SKqcnTUmX9nappTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116935

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1124640686.358863.55500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> and why is it a problem I thought CW always got through, yet it needs
>> protecting from Pactor?
>
> No, CW does *not* "always get through".
>
>> Assuming the robot listens before sending well it looks like anything
>> else I hear about in HF
>
> No, robots do *not* listen before transmitting which is against the
> regs and is the crux of the problem.

Brian,

Most of the "robots" *do* (at least make an attempt to) listen before transmitting ... however the vagaries of propagation and the highly dynamic nature of usage in the HF bands cause a serious "hidden terminal" problem and that results in interference (it's unintentional, but still there - and it happens more with "robots" because their "listen before talk" is not as effective as a human sending "Is the frequency in use" and being appropriately patient before blasting away).

> A human operator causing QRM is either lousy operating practice or an
> accident, a robot blindly causing QRM via it's inherent design is
> illegal. One solution might be to come up with a robot which tunes
> around it's frequency before transmitting. There's one for you
> code-writers to chew on.

Solving the hidden terminal problem on HF for automated stations is a difficult nut to crack ... in addition to the propagation issues and the dynamics of usage, there are so many modes that a "robot" would have to sense/detect/recognize to optimize the "clear channel assessment" and it would have to do it quasi-continuously ... I'm not saying that it's a permanently insoluble problem, but for now the mechanisms aren't up to the level that's needed.

My working group, IEEE P802.22, (http://www.ieee802.org/22) is working on "cognitive radio," but in response to the FCC's NPRM on license-exempt devices using geographically unused TV channels ... this situation makes the "incumbent detection/avoidance/protection" a more soluble problem because there are a limited number of incumbents, they are high power transmitters

at generally fixed, stable locations, they use the same standards (NTSC, which will be going away, and ATSC the new digital TV standard), the spectral characteristics of their transmissions have "features" that are easily detectable (the NTSC carriers or the DTV "pilot carrier"), etc.

However the "detect and avoid" problem becomes much more difficult in an environment with many lower powered stations that come and go, whose locations vary, and who use a wide variety of different modulation techniques ... again, these problems will likely be solved in the future, but we're not there yet.

73,
Carl - wk3c

# 2585. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:48:25 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <SKWcnS6m0r2YoJTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116936

>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> The "regulation by bandwidth" proposal has some good basic
> concepts, but it needs some serious work before it is ready
> for prime time. The fact that so many different groups are
> opposed to it, and so few in favor, shows that it needs rework.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I agree completely ... If I am elected to the ARRL Board, I will work with the various interest groups to find ways to modify the proposal so that it will better address the issues and concerns that have been voiced. It will require some reasonable compromise to arrive a plan that at least a significant majority of people can accept. It's probably totally unrealistic to believe that it's possible to please 100% of the ham population, but the goal should be to achieve a MUCH broader consensus. If a preponderance of hams from the various interest groups can say "It's not perfect in my ideal world, but I can sign up for that." we've probably reached the best possible solution.

We're not there yet ...

73,
Carl - wk3c
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

## 2586. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:49:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124678948.626460.180280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116937

That was mainly Brian Burke's and Mark Morgan's post, John.

I spent a whole summer testing Travelling Wave Amplifier Tubes...
know what you mean...:-)

you men
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2587. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:52:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124679121.970192.199730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116938

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 21, 12:10 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:58
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> Brian, Jimmie wants to have everyone look at "second-hand"
>> information, an encapsulated form. It is better to look at
>> the REAL stuff, FIRST-HAND, which is readily available.
>
>Jimmie No-Serve has always wanted the truth filtered. When wasn't it
>so?

Possibly before he left the Seminary? <shrug>

>> AT THE TIME (1998-1999), it was IMPOSSIBLE to eliminate
>> the morse code test for ANY U.S. amateur radio license
>> having privileges of operating below 30 MHz. The barrier
>> was S25.5 of the ITU-R...colloquially known (or mis-known)
>> as "the treaty." [there is NO specific treaty on morse
>> code, only the honor system whereby all administrations are
>> supposed to adhere to ITU decisions on standards and
>> allocations]
>
>Nebbermind that the US of A has broken lots of treaties that Jimmy
>No-Serve has never protested.

...nor protected.

>> Conveniently missing is that the FCC's reasons for 90-53,
>> of 1990, 15 years ago, was that it did not feel that any
>> manual morse code skill test was any sort of qualifier for
>> the Commission to grant an applicant a license. That
>> established the reason-for-being of creation of the no-code-
>> test Technician class license.
>
>But, but, but, that was because of some King that nobody cares about
>anymore. CAn't we just ignore him?

I don't believe that "King of Jordan request of Papa Bush".

Yurp had the "T-hams" well before 1990. The movement to
eliminate the code test has beginning to gather momentum
in 1980, a decade before.

>> Also conventiently omitted is EIGHTEEN Petitions, nearly all
>> varying in general "re-re-structuring" having none-some-all

>> code testing. Absolutely NO CONSENSUS could be gained from
>> reviewing all 18 Pentitions...even though the Commission had
>> stated publicly several times that it wanted a consensus.
>> The "amateur community" is highly polarized on the subject of
>> code testing and remains so seven years after 1998.
>
>Highly polarized. But as long as the good EXTRAS are being
>satisfied...

Yes, they have "lesser classes" to look down upon.

>> also the 14th year of public access to the Internet (it went
>> public in 1991). Far more citizens have access to the Internet
>> in 2005 than they did in 1998. In 2003 the Census Bureau
>> reported that one in five Americans had some form of Internet
>> access then. Nearly all the Comments on WT Docket 05-235 are
>> electronic rather than written on paper. So far, in the 23rd
>> day of Comments on WT Docket 05-235, there are 1720 documents
>> on file, about 75 a day on the average! Compare that to the
>> 2300+ Comments of WT Docket 98-143 whose commentary period
>> was extended for nearly six months after release. There's
>> far more "traffic" on 05-235 than there was on 98-143.
>
>And there should be.

Once NPRM 05-143 is included in the Federal Register (it wasn't
up to last Friday), the floodgates may be opened. Mondays always
have the most documents received; so far nothing has appeared on
Saturdays or Sundays. The 458 Comments of 8 August 2005 was a
veritable deluge.

>> At time NOW, in 2005, the MAJORITY are very adamantly showing
>> they ARE a majority.
>
>They are merely delusional.
>
>Unambiguous opinions (95.3% of all filings)

Somehow that just isn't getting through to the coders. They
just can't understand that the NCTA are sick and tired of
that code test as some kind of "maintainer of a living
museum of morsemanship." The NCTA are in the MAJORITY, no
ifs, ands, buts, or conditionals.

>You'll always have your EXTRA Jims in the ARS.

I'm sure. They see themselves as "an elite force" or whatever.

>> The IARU, helped/nudged/influenced by international membership
>> of NCI, was the main operator in wanting S25 of the ITU Radio
>> Regulations re-written. [it was more than just S25.5 covering
>> code testing] It was done in mid-July, 2003, over two years
>> ago. Since then TWENTY-THREE other countries have dropped

>> morse code testing for their radio amateurs licenses having
>> below-30-MHz operating privileges.
>
>We need people like Carl Anderson pushing the buttons at the ARRL.

I wish CARL STEVENSON all good fortune on getting elected.
By all accounts, Carl GETS THINGS DONE. He was IN Geneva
for WRC-03...while the League was still objecting to changing
S25, back two years ago...they may not have fully recovered
from that. The League needs some new blood...not reprocessed
plasma.

Kellie can vote NO on Carl Anderson if he wants to... :-)

>> Summary: The FCC wants to drop code testing, the IARU wants
>> to drop code testing, 23 nations already have done so, and
>> a CLEAR MAJORITY of WT Docket 05-235 Commenters want it
>> dropped. That CLEAR MAJORUTY is 2:1 for dropping it versus
>> those wanting it retained. That CLEAR MAJORITY is 58% of
>> those who have unambiguously commented.
>
>> Jimmie wants to crawl back seven years and live there... :-(
>
>Much much further.

His choice. The rest of the world continues on...to the future.

the now
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2588. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 02:54:29 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <u6ecnVnUCM7vo5TeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116939

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124651447.854966.145250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
[snip]
>
> We need people like Carl Anderson pushing the buttons at the ARRL.

Who's Carl Anderson??? (I didn't see that name in the e-mail I got from
Dave Sumner listing those who had been nominated for ARRL offices ...)

73,
Carl - wk3c
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

## 2589. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:01:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124679663.767980.246470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116940

Carl, go to another thread, one begun by Brian Kelly. The "Carl Anderson" is/was a major goof by Kellie. :-)

out nam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2590. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:01:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124679055.913130.153290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116941

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 21, 12:10 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 19 Aug 2005 12:58
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> Brian, Jimmie wants to have everyone look at "second-hand"
>> information, an encapsulated form. It is better to look at
>> the REAL stuff, FIRST-HAND, which is readily available.
>
>Jimmie No-Serve has always wanted the truth filtered. When wasn't it
>so?

Possibly before he left the Seminary? <shrug>

>> AT THE TIME (1998-1999), it was IMPOSSIBLE to eliminate
>> the morse code test for ANY U.S. amateur radio license
>> having privileges of operating below 30 MHz. The barrier
>> was S25.5 of the ITU-R...colloquially known (or mis-known)
>> as "the treaty." [there is NO specific treaty on morse
>> code, only the honor system whereby all administrations are
>> supposed to adhere to ITU decisions on standards and
>> allocations]
>
>Nebbermind that the US of A has broken lots of treaties that Jimmy
>No-Serve has never protested.

...nor protected.

>> Conveniently missing is that the FCC's reasons for 90-53,
>> of 1990, 15 years ago, was that it did not feel that any
>> manual morse code skill test was any sort of qualifier for
>> the Commission to grant an applicant a license. That
>> established the reason-for-being of creation of the no-code-
>> test Technician class license.
>
>But, but, but, that was because of some King that nobody cares about
>anymore. CAn't we just ignore him?

I don't believe that "King of Jordan request of Papa Bush".

Yurp had the "T-hams" well before 1990. The movement to
eliminate the code test has beginning to gather momentum
in 1980, a decade before.

>> Also conventiently omitted is EIGHTEEN Petitions, nearly all
>> varying in general "re-re-structuring" having none-some-all

>> code testing. Absolutely NO CONSENSUS could be gained from
>> reviewing all 18 Pentitions...even though the Commission had
>> stated publicly several times that it wanted a consensus.
>> The "amateur community" is highly polarized on the subject of
>> code testing and remains so seven years after 1998.
>
>Highly polarized. But as long as the good EXTRAS are being
>satisfied...

Yes, they have "lesser classes" to look down upon.

>> also the 14th year of public access to the Internet (it went
>> public in 1991). Far more citizens have access to the Internet
>> in 2005 than they did in 1998. In 2003 the Census Bureau
>> reported that one in five Americans had some form of Internet
>> access then. Nearly all the Comments on WT Docket 05-235 are
>> electronic rather than written on paper. So far, in the 23rd
>> day of Comments on WT Docket 05-235, there are 1720 documents
>> on file, about 75 a day on the average! Compare that to the
>> 2300+ Comments of WT Docket 98-143 whose commentary period
>> was extended for nearly six months after release. There's
>> far more "traffic" on 05-235 than there was on 98-143.
>
>And there should be.

Once NPRM 05-143 is included in the Federal Register (it wasn't
up to last Friday), the floodgates may be opened. Mondays always
have the most documents received; so far nothing has appeared on
Saturdays or Sundays. The 458 Comments of 8 August 2005 was a
veritable deluge.

>> At time NOW, in 2005, the MAJORITY are very adamantly showing
>> they ARE a majority.
>
>They are merely delusional.
>
>Unambiguous opinions (95.3% of all filings)

Somehow that just isn't getting through to the coders. They
just can't understand that the NCTA are sick and tired of
that code test as some kind of "maintainer of a living
museum of morsemanship." The NCTA are in the MAJORITY, no
ifs, ands, buts, or conditionals.

>You'll always have your EXTRA Jims in the ARS.

I'm sure. They see themselves as "an elite force" or whatever.

>> The IARU, helped/nudged/influenced by international membership
>> of NCI, was the main operator in wanting S25 of the ITU Radio
>> Regulations re-written. [it was more than just S25.5 covering
>> code testing] It was done in mid-July, 2003, over two years
>> ago. Since then TWENTY-THREE other countries have dropped

>> morse code testing for their radio amateurs licenses having
>> below-30-MHz operating privileges.
>
>We need people like Carl Anderson pushing the buttons at the ARRL.

I wish CARL STEVENSON all good fortune on getting elected.
By all accounts, Carl GETS THINGS DONE. He was IN Geneva
for WRC-03...while the League was still objecting to changing
S25, back two years ago...they may not have fully recovered
from that. The League needs some new blood...not reprocessed
plasma.

Kellie can vote NO on Carl Anderson if he wants to... :-)

>> Summary: The FCC wants to drop code testing, the IARU wants
>> to drop code testing, 23 nations already have done so, and
>> a CLEAR MAJORITY of WT Docket 05-235 Commenters want it
>> dropped. That CLEAR MAJORUTY is 2:1 for dropping it versus
>> those wanting it retained. That CLEAR MAJORITY is 58% of
>> those who have unambiguously commented.
>
>> Jimmie wants to crawl back seven years and live there... :-(
>
>Much much further.

His choice. The rest of the world continues on...to the future.

the now
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2591. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:01:35 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <i6acnRFISL6H3ZTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116942

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.21.19.18.55.680594@gmail.com...
>
> ... read all about it!
>
> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of licenses"
> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
> God"...
>
> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>
> John

Wait a cotton-pickin' minute here ... there are MANY of us who hold extra class licenses who don't treat other hams that way.

In my travels around the Atlantic Division to club meetings and hamfests, I have not had a SINGLE person insist that the code test MUST remain.

(Some, but VERY few, have expressed a *mild* personal preference for keeping the 5 wpm for the extra only, but have indicated that they aren't going to "get their skivies in a bunch" if the FCC eliminates ALL code testing. The VAST majority have said, something along the lines of either "I don't use CW myself and I think it's high time the test was eliminated." or "I like to use CW, but it's time for the test to go so we can get more people (particularly kids) involved in ham radio so there are hams remaining when we're gone.")

73,
Carl - wk3c
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

# 2592. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:07:03 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116943

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!

We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.

We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like dirt.

This stuff has GOT to stop!

73,
Carl - wk3c
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

# 2593. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:08:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.03.08.03.371089@gmail.com>
Source record: 116945

Carl:

Really man, just poking a bit of fun, it is all good...

It will all work out, I just attempt to appeal to those with a sense of humor who do not take themselves too seriously...

I would not want to see anyone denied the use of CW if that is what they wish--I am an american believing in the pursuit of happiness... I don't think CW'ers dumb or stupid or anything of the sort, but hey, it gets an argument out of 'em... I do believe element 1 should go the way of the dinosaur and dodo though... it is good for the old timers...

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 03:01:35 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.18.55.680594@gmail.com...
>>
>> ... read all about it!
>>
>> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of licenses"
>> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
>> God"...
>>
>> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>>
>> John
>
> Wait a cotton-pickin' minute here ... there are MANY of us who hold extra
> class licenses who don't treat other hams that way.
>
> In my travels around the Atlantic Division to club meetings and hamfests, I
> have not had a SINGLE person insist that the code test MUST remain.
>
> (Some, but VERY few, have expressed a *mild* personal preference for keeping
> the 5 wpm for the extra only, but have indicated that they aren't going to
> "get their skivies in a bunch" if the FCC eliminates ALL code testing. The
> VAST majority have said, something along the lines of either "I don't use CW
> myself and I think it's high time the test was eliminated." or "I like to
> use CW, but it's time for the test to go so we can get more people
> (particularly kids) involved in ham radio so there are hams remaining when
> we're gone.")
>

> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

# 2594. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:13:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.03.12.59.252362@gmail.com>
Source record: 116946

Carl:

Damn man, you are beginning to sound like a professional politician!
While yesterday I would not have given you a pharts chance in a windstorm,
today I am rethinking that analysis!

Can you suck eggs though a straw too? <friendly-grin>

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 02:48:25 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

>
>>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> The "regulation by bandwidth" proposal has some good basic
>> concepts, but it needs some serious work before it is ready
>> for prime time. The fact that so many different groups are
>> opposed to it, and so few in favor, shows that it needs rework.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I agree completely ... If I am elected to the ARRL Board, I will work with
> the various interest groups to find ways to modify the proposal so that it
> will better address the issues and concerns that have been voiced. It will
> require some reasonable compromise to arrive a plan that at least a
> significant majority of people can accept. It's probably totally
> unrealistic to believe that it's possible to please 100% of the ham
> population, but the goal should be to achieve a MUCH broader consensus. If
> a preponderance of hams from the various interest groups can say "It's not
> perfect in my ideal world, but I can sign up for that." we've probably
> reached the best possible solution.
>
> We're not there yet ...
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

## 2595. Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:33:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124681602.313149.172620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116944

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124668237.501646.181790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> <snip>
> >> More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
> >> trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
> >> worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > It's the "tactic" of truth. Mr Gilliland insinuates that I didn't
> >serve in the Marines
>
>
> Wrong. I didn't "insinuate" anything -- I made a clear and blunt
> accusation that you are lying about your military service because you
> have consistently refused to provide anything substantial to back up
> your claims.

Then your claim to have the resources you allege is fraudulent.

I've given you (and others here) more than enough to determine
that my "claim" of having served in the United States Marine Corps is
valid.

> > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
> >who are in the Air Wing.
>
> Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
> know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
> throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).

You said they were in Avionics.

So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?

> > He furthermore drops the name of LtCol
> >Olivere North, and further insinuates he has significant resources at
> >his disposal that would, seemingly, indicate that I didn't serve.
>
>
> I think his name is Oliver, not Olivere.

Excuse me.

> And again, I didn't
> "insinuate" that I have any more resources than you -- just that there
> are more resources available to -both- of us than you might realize.

It doesn't matter if you happen to be sleeping with a records
clerk at HQMC.

You HAVE alleged to have these resources, yet obviously haven't
used them.

> > Mr Gilliland is challenged to use those resources. Anything less,
> >assuming his suggestions are factual, is bufoonery.
>
> It appears that, for several years, -you- have been challenged to
> support your claims about your military service. That pretty much
> makes -you- the bufoon.

Hardly.

I've been asked to provide specifics. I've provided more than
enough. I can't (won't) give my service number as it was also my SSN.
So you now have my last grade, my MOS fields, and even the dates of my
two SNCO selection boards. A quick perusal of "Navy Times" archives
with Selection Board result will, at the very least, verify my having
served.

That you care to "diss" the rest is irrelevent.

> >> >I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
> >> >to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
> >> >in the USMC.
> >>
> >> Robeson has only ONCE made ANY reference to radio or electronic
> >> equipment name, nomenclature, or familiar name. That one time
> >> was in regards to MARS radio equipments. Eighteen years as an
> >> avionics MOS and he can't name a single piece of equipment by
> >> formal or familiar name? Unheard of in my service days, in my
> >> work, including field trips to the military installations and
> >> being around servicemen IN radio-electronics.
> >
> > I am still at a loss as to understand how Avionics nomenclatures
> >are relevent here...
>
> Because someone with the experience you claim would have intimate
> familiarity with the equipment. You have failed to demonstrate -any-
> such familiarity -at all-.

And such familiarity in an Amateur Radio POLICY forum is
neessary...WHY?

Sounds like Lennie's arguments.

> > No...YOU implied "greatness". I only acknowledged that I had the
> >misfortune of being in places where we got shot at.
>
>
> Philly? Or just a few field ops?
>
> I'll tell you what a "hostile action" is, Steve: First of all, it's in
> a place where the Holiday Inn is pocked full of holes ranging in size
> from .22 caliber to whatever an RPG can do in concrete.

You don't know what an RPG can do to concrete?

> It's when you
> sew a target (the US flag) on your shoulder and stroll through a
> country in the midst of a chaotic civil war, occupied by peoples that
> are mortal enemies, most of whom hate Americans and have bigger guns
> than you.

Thankfully I never had to do that.

> It's when you shoot strangers but don't care because your
> adrenalin is flowing too heavy to think about your actions. It's when
> the air is thick with the smell of gunpowder and rotting flesh.

Sounds very Clancyesque.

> So Steve..... how does an avionics tech wind up in not just one but
> -seven- such predicaments?

Because Marines do more than what their MOS suggests.

> >> In other words, BS.
> >
> > Nope. I just don't discuss military duties here.
>
>
> You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
> is moot. Try again.

Nope.

As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.

As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.

I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.

This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you and one of the Infamous Four.

Lastly, I find it curious (and certainly lends significant credence to doubting you and YOUR stories...) that you show up from no where in an Amateur Radio forum, CLAIM to be a former Marine with impeccable "references", yet have absolutely nothing to say ABOUT Amateur Radio policy.

Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your own lap.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2596. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:36:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.03.36.11.540572@gmail.com>
Source record: 116947

Len:

LOL!!!

Yes, you are right, hit the wrong mouse button and attached my response to the wrong post... just lucky you found it and probably figured out it was a goof on my end--had not noticed until just now...

Traveling Wave Amplifier Tubes sounds like an interesting subject, one which could really catch a guys interest! Especially after a drink or two and some soft music... more than likely, takes a lifetime to explore fully... <grin>

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 19:49:08 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> That was mainly Brian Burke's and Mark Morgan's post, John.
>
> I spent a whole summer testing Travelling Wave Amplifier Tubes...
> know what you mean...:-)
>
> you men
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2597. Re: Day 10 Of Markie paranoia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 03:59:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124683199.813213.136340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116948

an_old_friend wrote:
> Stevie promised action action that could be noticed
>
> what has Stevie deleivered
>
> Nada except...
>
> Stupid mind #### games
>
> More lies
>
> Proof that ispretty darn sick (equating Lying and kiddy porn)

Lying IS on the same level.

> When confronted by his Lie, what does Stevie?
>
> Why he attacks to divert attention from being caught

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....

"Attacks to divert attention", eh...?!?!

Markie, it seems to me that YOU are the one who has been starting
a new thread each and every day with such notable comments as defending
lying, personal and professional attacks, and basically just paranoid
behaviour.

Seek help, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2598. Another Unintelligible Markie Tag Line...More Markie Lies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 04:07:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124683661.879150.204950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116949

QUOTE:

" Re: News Flash Stevie KY$Z Conites to avoind responsiblity "

(1) What is "KY$Z"...?!?!

(2) What is "Conites"...?!?!

(3) Why do you continue to perpetuate mistruthful representations?

(4) "responsibility" for WHAT...?!?!

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > > >
> > > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > >
> > > > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > > into Lennie's game.
> > >
> > > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> >
> > "Stevie"
> >
> > Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
> > "blames others" gig?
>
> Nope you blamed Len for playing games

He does.

Just like you do.

> > And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
> > forum?
>
> Nope contie to poke you with it I'll continue as long as it amuses me

"contie"

Then what you are doing is misusing the VALID callsign of a person who does not participate in this forum.

In short...You're the liar, bully and abuser you claim others, specifically me, of being.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2599. Re: Stevie continues to deny his deeds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 04:34:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124685276.715147.113480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116950

KY4Z wrote:
cuting evasion of the issue
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > cut
> > > > > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > > > > into Lennie's game.
> > > > >
> > > > > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > > >
> > > "Stevie"
> > >
> > > Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
> > > "blames others" gig?
> >
> > Nope you blamed Len for playing games
>
> He does.

And you can't admit your own Misdeeds
>
> Just like you do.

You are the game player

You are the one that accuses evryone of being mentaly ill

>
> > > And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
> > > forum?
> >
> > Nope contie to poke you with it I'll continue as long as it amuses me
cuting
> Then what you are doing is misusing the VALID callsign of a person
> who does not participate in this forum.

You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect

I promised that I would contiue to mangle your callsign until you apologize, which is likely to be forever since you don't take responiblity for your actions

You just blame others

>
> In short...You're the liar, bully and abuser you claim others,
> specifically me, of being.

Not at all I am using Sarcasm more

But then you can't stand anyone dening you your imagined place in the heavens'

>
>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2600. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 04:42:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124685740.244895.244570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116951

KY4Z wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "KY4Z" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1124668237.501646.181790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > <snip>
> > >> More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
> > >> trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
> > >> worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > It's the "tactic" of truth. Mr Gilliland insinuates that I didn't
> > >serve in the Marines
> >
> >
> > Wrong. I didn't "insinuate" anything -- I made a clear and blunt
> > accusation that you are lying about your military service because you
> > have consistently refused to provide anything substantial to back up
> > your claims.
>
> Then your claim to have the resources you allege is fraudulent.

Well your claims that you can cause Medical and Legal authorities to
act

>
> I've given you (and others here) more than enough to determine
> that my "claim" of having served in the United States Marine Corps is
> valid.

Not realy another lie on your part

>
> > > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
> > >who are in the Air Wing.
> >
> > Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
> > know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
> > throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
>
> You said they were in Avionics.
>

> So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?
>
> > > He furthermore drops the name of LtCol
> > >Olivere North, and further insinuates he has significant resources at
> > >his disposal that would, seemingly, indicate that I didn't serve.
> >
> >
> > I think his name is Oliver, not Olivere.
>
> Excuse me.

and when you going to apolize?

>
> > And again, I didn't
> > "insinuate" that I have any more resources than you -- just that there
> > are more resources available to -both- of us than you might realize.
>
> It doesn't matter if you happen to be sleeping with a records
> clerk at HQMC.
>
> You HAVE alleged to have these resources, yet obviously haven't
> used them.
>
> > > Mr Gilliland is challenged to use those resources. Anything less,
> > >assuming his suggestions are factual, is bufoonery.
> >
> > It appears that, for several years, -you- have been challenged to
> > support your claims about your military service. That pretty much
> > makes -you- the bufoon.
>
> Hardly.
>
> I've been asked to provide specifics. I've provided more than
> enough. I can't (won't) give my service number as it was also my SSN.
> So you now have my last grade, my MOS fields, and even the dates of my
> two SNCO selection boards. A quick perusal of "Navy Times" archives
> with Selection Board result will, at the very least, verify my having
> served.
>
> That you care to "diss" the rest is irrelevent.
>
> > >> >I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
> > >> >to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise
> > >> >in the USMC.
> > >>
> > >> Robeson has only ONCE made ANY reference to radio or electronic
> > >> equipment name, nomenclature, or familiar name. That one time
> > >> was in regards to MARS radio equipments. Eighteen years as an
> > >> avionics MOS and he can't name a single piece of equipment by
> > >> formal or familiar name? Unheard of in my service days, in my

> > >> work, including field trips to the military installations and
> > >> being around servicemen IN radio-electronics.
> > >
> > > I am still at a loss as to understand how Avionics nomenclatures
> > >are relevent here...
> > >
> > Because someone with the experience you claim would have intimate
> > familiarity with the equipment. You have failed to demonstrate -any-
> > such familiarity -at all-.
>
> And such familiarity in an Amateur Radio POLICY forum is
> neessary...WHY?

It is curious however with your back ground that you don't

>
> Sounds like Lennie's arguments.
>
> > > No...YOU implied "greatness". I only acknowledged that I had the
> > >misfortune of being in places where we got shot at.
> > >
> > >
> > Philly? Or just a few field ops?
> >
> > I'll tell you what a "hostile action" is, Steve: First of all, it's in
> > a place where the Holiday Inn is pocked full of holes ranging in size
> > from .22 caliber to whatever an RPG can do in concrete.
>
> You don't know what an RPG can do to concrete?
>
> > It's when you
> > sew a target (the US flag) on your shoulder and stroll through a
> > country in the midst of a chaotic civil war, occupied by peoples that
> > are mortal enemies, most of whom hate Americans and have bigger guns
> > than you.
>
> Thankfully I never had to do that.
>
> > It's when you shoot strangers but don't care because your
> > adrenalin is flowing too heavy to think about your actions. It's when
> > the air is thick with the smell of gunpowder and rotting flesh.
>
> Sounds very Clancyesque.
>
> > So Steve..... how does an avionics tech wind up in not just one but
> > -seven- such predicaments?
>
> Because Marines do more than what their MOS suggests.

Real marines do

wether that has anything to do with you is another matter

>
> > >> In other words, BS.
> > >
> > > Nope. I just don't discuss military duties here.
> >
> >
> > You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
> > is moot. Try again.
>
> Nope.

Yep more Stevies lies

>
> As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
> information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
> intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.

Not realy

without the SSN which you wisely choose not to provide you can't verify
much of anything, esp with a name like yours

You may simply pick out those dates of the selection boards of someone
else
>
> As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
> the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
> it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
> how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.

If you had provided anything with any solid basis it might be different

You advance a Callsign and claim it proves you an expect on MARS

>
> I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
> directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
> didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.

Why should he?

>
> This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and
> I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you
> and one of the Infamous Four.

Such Parania Stevie

>
> Lastly, I find it curious (and certainly lends significant
> credence to doubting you and YOUR stories...) that you show up from no
> where in an Amateur Radio forum, CLAIM to be a former Marine with

> impeccable "references", yet have absolutely nothing to say ABOUT
> Amateur Radio policy.
>
> Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
> Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
> own lap.
>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2601. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 04:46:50 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <FticnbUKnN9bxZTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116952

John,

Not a professional politician, just an RF engineer with a lot of experience
in negotiating and brokering compromises, both in the international
regulatory arena and in IEEE standards development.

73,
Carl - wk3c

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.22.03.12.59.252362@gmail.com...
> Carl:
>
> Damn man, you are beginning to sound like a professional politician!
> While yesterday I would not have given you a pharts chance in a windstorm,
> today I am rethinking that analysis!
>
> Can you suck eggs though a straw too? <friendly-grin>
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 02:48:25 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
>>
>>>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>> The "regulation by bandwidth" proposal has some good basic
>>> concepts, but it needs some serious work before it is ready
>>> for prime time. The fact that so many different groups are
>>> opposed to it, and so few in favor, shows that it needs rework.
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> I agree completely ... If I am elected to the ARRL Board, I will work
>> with
>> the various interest groups to find ways to modify the proposal so that
>> it
>> will better address the issues and concerns that have been voiced. It
>> will
>> require some reasonable compromise to arrive a plan that at least a
>> significant majority of people can accept. It's probably totally
>> unrealistic to believe that it's possible to please 100% of the ham
>> population, but the goal should be to achieve a MUCH broader consensus.
>> If
>> a preponderance of hams from the various interest groups can say "It's

>> not
>> perfect in my ideal world, but I can sign up for that." we've probably
>> reached the best possible solution.
>>
>> We're not there yet ...
>>
>> 73,
>> Carl - wk3c
>> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>

# 2602. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 04:51:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.04.51.28.944558@gmail.com>
Source record: 116953

Carl:

You sound like a progressive thinker...

good luck

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 04:46:50 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> John,
>
> Not a professional politician, just an RF engineer with a lot of experience
> in negotiating and brokering compromises, both in the international
> regulatory arena and in IEEE standards development.
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.22.03.12.59.252362@gmail.com...
>> Carl:
>>
>> Damn man, you are beginning to sound like a professional politician!
>> While yesterday I would not have given you a pharts chance in a windstorm,
>> today I am rethinking that analysis!
>>
>> Can you suck eggs though a straw too? <friendly-grin>
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 02:48:25 +0000, Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>> The "regulation by bandwidth" proposal has some good basic
>>>> concepts, but it needs some serious work before it is ready
>>>> for prime time. The fact that so many different groups are
>>>> opposed to it, and so few in favor, shows that it needs rework.
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>> I agree completely ... If I am elected to the ARRL Board, I will work
>>> with
>>> the various interest groups to find ways to modify the proposal so that

>>> it
>>> will better address the issues and concerns that have been voiced. It
>>> will
>>> require some reasonable compromise to arrive a plan that at least a
>>> significant majority of people can accept. It's probably totally
>>> unrealistic to believe that it's possible to please 100% of the ham
>>> population, but the goal should be to achieve a MUCH broader consensus.
>>> If
>>> a preponderance of hams from the various interest groups can say "It's
>>> not
>>> perfect in my ideal world, but I can sign up for that." we've probably
>>> reached the best possible solution.
>>>
>>> We're not there yet ...
>>>
>>> 73,
>>> Carl - wk3c
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>

# 2603. Re: Day 10 Of Stevie action MIA, when you going to fess up to your LIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 05:01:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124684996.043681.88800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116954

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Stevie promised action action that could be noticed
> >
> > what has Stevie deleivered
> >
> > Nada except...
> >
> > Stupid mind #### games
> >
> > More lies
> >
> > Proof that ispretty darn sick (equating Lying and kiddy porn)
>
> Lying IS on the same level.

Proof that you are sick

>
> > When confronted by his Lie, what does Stevie?
> >
> > Why he attacks to divert attention from being caught
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....
>
> "Attacks to divert attention", eh...?!?!

You are attacking to divert attention for YOUR lies about your ability
to cause action in my life

>
> Markie, it seems to me that YOU are the one who has been starting
> a new thread each and every day with such notable comments as defending
> lying, personal and professional attacks, and basically just paranoid
> behaviour.

Your lying again You have NO Professional eveidence

You lie whan you say you do

You lied when you promised that you put up

I am just pointing your LIES

>
> Seek help, Markie.

Put up or shut up

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2604. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 05:10:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e8jig1d7vjbg31h2osi6caq3juhf5orfrg@4ax.com>
Source record: 116955

On 21 Aug 2005 20:33:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124681602.313149.172620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124668237.501646.181790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> <snip>
>> >> More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
>> >> trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
>> >> worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare.
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> > It's the "tactic" of truth. Mr Gilliland insinuates that I didn't
>> >serve in the Marines
>>
>>
>> Wrong. I didn't "insinuate" anything -- I made a clear and blunt
>> accusation that you are lying about your military service because you
>> have consistently refused to provide anything substantial to back up
>> your claims.
>
> Then your claim to have the resources you allege is fraudulent.

I see you haven't yet read my other reply.

> I've given you (and others here) more than enough to determine
>that my "claim" of having served in the United States Marine Corps is
>valid.

You have provided nothing to me except vague generalizations. No
verifiable details at all.

>> > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
>> >who are in the Air Wing.
>>
>> Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
>> know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
>> throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
>
> You said they were in Avionics.

Wrong again:

++++++++++
On Sat, 20 Aug 2005 17:21:38 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<37ifg1pp7g1vin445ome2lb9h5ii7c4hdm@4ax.com>:

>On 20 Aug 2005 16:31:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1124580671.692994.282070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Methinks I have had more effect than you care to admit.
>
>
>Methinks you are full of sewage about your USMC career.
>
>After parsing your posts I got suspicious because you revealed some
>details but nothing verifiable -- almost like you were very careful
>not to declare anything that could be proven to be a lie. So I emailed
>several of my old Marine buds (a couple are still active duty). None
>of them remember any avionics tech named Robeson, although two of them
>remember a Navy -corpsman- named Robeson that got into trouble for
>giving unnecessary prostate exams. You wouldn't be him, would you?
>
++++++++++++

That's three times you have misrepresented my statements. If you are
going to mount a defensive argument then at least stick to the facts.
Otherwise you are just validating everything others here are saying
about you.

> So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?

Quite a few. Back when I was active, the three batallions of the 8th
regiment were rotated into the 22nd and 24th MAUs. I was deployed with
the 24th MAU on The USS Nassau. This ship is an LHA -- basically it's
a small aircraft carrier with a massive well deck. It can accomodate a
lot of different aircraft including the Cobra, Huey, CH-46, CH-53,
OV-10 and Harrier. Being a Marine in the avionics field you should
have already known this. In fact, if you -were- USMC for 18 years it's
more than likey that you would had served aboard an LHA or a similar
amphibious assault ship. Either way you would have known of both their
existence and their capabilities.

Or was that just a rhetorical question?

>> > He furthermore drops the name of LtCol
>> >Olivere North, and further insinuates he has significant resources at
>> >his disposal that would, seemingly, indicate that I didn't serve.
>>
>>
>> I think his name is Oliver, not Olivere.
>

> Excuse me.

Why? I was just responding the same way you respond to other people in
this group. You're the spelling cop, aren't you?

>> And again, I didn't
>> "insinuate" that I have any more resources than you -- just that there
>> are more resources available to -both- of us than you might realize.
>
> It doesn't matter if you happen to be sleeping with a records
>clerk at HQMC.
>
> You HAVE alleged to have these resources,

Yet another misrepresentation.

> yet obviously haven't
>used them.

The DOD records are available at www.military.com. There was indeed a
Steve Robeson, USMC, E-7, and in avionics. But you have yet to prove
that you are him.

>> > Mr Gilliland is challenged to use those resources. Anything less,
>> >assuming his suggestions are factual, is bufoonery.
>>
>> It appears that, for several years, -you- have been challenged to
>> support your claims about your military service. That pretty much
>> makes -you- the bufoon.
>
> Hardly.
>
> I've been asked to provide specifics. I've provided more than
>enough.

.....uh, where? You have a jillion or so posts on Google. I'm not
going to spend a month reading through all your flame wars just to
find out a couple tidbits that you can simply retype.

> I can't (won't) give my service number as it was also my SSN.

Did I ask for it?

>So you now have my last grade, my MOS fields, and even the dates of my
>two SNCO selection boards. A quick perusal of "Navy Times" archives
>with Selection Board result will, at the very least, verify my having
>served.
>
> That you care to "diss" the rest is irrelevent.

You're still missing the big picture, Steve. See my other post.

>> >> >I'm not worried about my credibility. On the contrary, -you- have yet
>> >> >to give a direct answer to any specific question regarding your cruise

>> >> >in the USMC.
>> >>
>> >> Robeson has only ONCE made ANY reference to radio or electronic
>> >> equipment name, nomenclature, or familiar name. That one time
>> >> was in regards to MARS radio equipments. Eighteen years as an
>> >> avionics MOS and he can't name a single piece of equipment by
>> >> formal or familiar name? Unheard of in my service days, in my
>> >> work, including field trips to the military installations and
>> >> being around servicemen IN radio-electronics.
>> >
>> > I am still at a loss as to understand how Avionics nomenclatures
>> >are relevent here...
>>
>> Because someone with the experience you claim would have intimate
>> familiarity with the equipment. You have failed to demonstrate -any-
>> such familiarity -at all-.
>
> And such familiarity in an Amateur Radio POLICY forum is
>neessary...WHY?

For the same reason that declaring your career in the USMC was
neessary [sic]..... it's not. But you made the claim so back it up.

> Sounds like Lennie's arguments.

If that was intended to somehow discredit the validity of the
argument, well, it didn't. Facts are facts regardless of the source.

>> > No...YOU implied "greatness". I only acknowledged that I had the
>> >misfortune of being in places where we got shot at.
>>
>>
>> Philly? Or just a few field ops?
>>
>> I'll tell you what a "hostile action" is, Steve: First of all, it's in
>> a place where the Holiday Inn is pocked full of holes ranging in size
>> from .22 caliber to whatever an RPG can do in concrete.
>
> You don't know what an RPG can do to concrete?

Depends on the concrete. Hit a weak spot and it makes a big fucking
hole that you can walk through. Hit some of that steel-reinforced
high-grade stuff and it just skins the surface down to the rebar. You
could see both on the South wall.

>> It's when you
>> sew a target (the US flag) on your shoulder and stroll through a
>> country in the midst of a chaotic civil war, occupied by peoples that
>> are mortal enemies, most of whom hate Americans and have bigger guns
>> than you.
>
> Thankfully I never had to do that.

That much I figured out already.

>> It's when you shoot strangers but don't care because your
>> adrenalin is flowing too heavy to think about your actions. It's when
>> the air is thick with the smell of gunpowder and rotting flesh.
>
> Sounds very Clancyesque.

No, it's very horrific. And I'm not suprised that you equate war with
popular fiction -- most civilians do.

>> So Steve..... how does an avionics tech wind up in not just one but
>> -seven- such predicaments?
>
> Because Marines do more than what their MOS suggests.

Ah yes, the scullery! Lot's of action there, but nobody shooting at
you. Maybe working the butts at the rifle range.... now -there's-
something that fits your definition of "hostile action". So you
qualified seven times in 18 years..... that's about right.

>> >> In other words, BS.
>> >
>> > Nope. I just don't discuss military duties here.
>>
>>
>> You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
>> is moot. Try again.
>
> Nope.
>
> As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
>information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
>intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.

I have never said, or even suggested, that Ollie has anything to do
with my resources. You are trying to connect the dots into a picture
that doesn't exist.

> As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
>the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
>it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
>how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.

More excuses.

> I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
>directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
>didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.

Let's check to see what the FACTS are, shall we?

++++++++++
On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 02:20:30 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in

<b6n0g1d8gdpkvfj4jsnec2cb4i3hvsub54@4ax.com>:

>On 15 Aug 2005 01:08:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1124093331.361922.298770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 14 Aug 2005 15:15:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> <1124057728.819861.136790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >> KY4Z, that great bully and bragard alleegded Marine.....
>>>
>>>
>>> Really? What units and when?
>>
>> "Braggard"
>>
>> "alleged"
>>
>> Nothing "braggard about being a Marine, Markie.
>>
>> Frank, E-mail me directly if you care to. I'd be gald to tell you
>>directly.
>
>
>Either you were a Marine or you weren't. Why the secrecy? Did you
>serve under Colonel Vinson at Ft. Gordon or something?
>
+++++++++

Looks to me like I did NOT snip that part of your post; in fact, I
even REPLIED to it. You, however, did -not- reply. Regardless, I will
snip no more messages if that makes you happy. And there's nothing
that prevented you from e-mailing me first.

> This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and
>I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you
>and one of the Infamous Four.

.....uh-oh, here come the paranoia police!

> Lastly, I find it curious (and certainly lends significant
>credence to doubting you and YOUR stories...) that you show up from no
>where in an Amateur Radio forum, CLAIM to be a former Marine with
>impeccable "references",

Who said my references were "impeccable"? Not me. I wasn't exactly the
'model Marine'. Heck, I was suprised when they promoted me back to PFC
(for the third time) just a month before I was discharged. Enough with
your misrepresentations, Steve.

> yet have absolutely nothing to say ABOUT
>Amateur Radio policy.

Sure I do. Wanna hear what I have to say about the service in general
or about the few cronies that screw it up?

> Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
>Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
>own lap.

And all your ranting has done nothing to resolve the topic of this
discussion. If you can think of anything that would make me believe
that you were in the USMC then feel free to speak up. Otherwise, it
looks like you are just stalling -- making excuses, obfuscating,
changing topics -- in hopes that I'll give up and leave.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2605. Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 05:44:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lDdOe.9299$Wi6.7021@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116956

Carl Stevenson 1997

"We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how fast they can beep ..."

Carl Stevenson 1998:

"The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay, and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."

"In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how the good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of move coming for some time."

" More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation speaks volumes about the ARRL."

"I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades) ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf' in holding this opinion."

Carl Stevenson 1999:

"I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides for ANY legacy technology ... "

Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.

Dave K8MN

# 2606. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 05:50:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.05.50.43.403829@gmail.com>
Source record: 116957

Dave:

Sounds to me, if those are Carl's words, he is right on...

That is exactly what has went on, what has happened, and what the "old pharts" don't want to face up to, the truth...

Why would anyone be shocked?

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 05:44:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> Carl Stevenson 1997
>
> "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
> whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the
> 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how
> fast they can beep ..."
>
> Carl Stevenson 1998:
>
> "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
> experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies
> for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
> totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
> thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
> and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."
>
> "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who
> are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how
> the good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of
> move coming for some time."
>
> " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
> speaks volumes about the ARRL."
>
> "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
> ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
> in holding this opinion."
>
> Carl Stevenson 1999:
>
> "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
> for ANY legacy technology ... "
>

>
> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

# 2607. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 06:27:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1124692055.238853.89250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116958

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message

> > No, robots do *not* listen before transmitting which is against the
> > regs and is the crux of the problem.
>
> Brian,
>
> Most of the "robots" *do* (at least make an attempt to) listen before
> transmitting ... however the vagaries of propagation and the highly dynamic
> nature of usage in the HF bands cause a serious "hidden terminal" problem
> and that results in interference (it's unintentional, but still there - and
> it happens more with "robots" because their "listen before talk" is not as
> effective as a human sending "Is the frequency in use" and being
> appropriately patient before blasting away

I realize that the things are advertsied as "listening before
transmitting" but from any practical point of view they simply don't to
any even half-decent extent, they're deaf as rocks. It's just
advertising hype.

> > A human operator causing QRM is either lousy operating practice or an
> > accident, a robot blindly causing QRM via it's inherent design is
> > illegal. One solution might be to come up with a robot which tunes
> > around it's frequency before transmitting. There's one for you
> > code-writers to chew on.
>
> Solving the hidden terminal problem on HF for automated stations is a
> difficult nut to crack ... in addition to the propagation issues and the
> dynamics of usage, there are so many modes that a "robot" would have to
> sense/detect/recognize to optimize the "clear channel assessment" and it
> would have to do it quasi-continuously ...

Depends on how "optimum" is good enough to get the basic job done well
enough within the Part 97 hobby spectrum. I don't see why it would be
necessary for the algorithms to actually decode any of the modulations,
all they need to do is tune up & down 500Hz or so from their center
freq and sum the total level of signal activity/energy over some
reasonable short period of time vs. some threshold and make the
decision to transmit or not. Nor do I see why they should have to
listen continuously either. Once an acceptably intelligent robot
decides the freq is "clear enough" it's *his* and the devil can take
the hindmost. Which is exactly what human ops do.

As far as optimization goes how many times have you watched a
development effort die because the engineers hung too much gold plate

on it?

> I'm not saying that it's a
> permanently insoluble problem, but for now the mechanisms aren't up to the
> level that's needed.

Mmmm . . I dunno . . I'm working on a project right now which is giving
me a good look at what neural network technology can do these days and
it's pretty impressive and it's not just academic pushups, it's fully
commercialized. I can easily visualize even simplistic implementations
of NNT giving robot stations ears which actually work to a useful
extent. But somebody has to actually quit talking about it and actually
DO it which is the ultimate tough nut to crack. Like actually getting
spread spectrum running on any ham band . . heh. Sorry, had to do that
for old time's sake Carl!

> My working group, IEEE P802.22, (http://www.ieee802.org/22) is working on
> "cognitive radio," but in response to the FCC's NPRM on license-exempt
> devices using geographically unused TV channels ... this situation makes the
> "incumbent detection/avoidance/protection" a more soluble problem because
> there are a limited number of incumbents, they are high power transmitters
> at generally fixed, stable locations, they use the same standards (NTSC,
> which will be going away, and ATSC the new digital TV standard), the
> spectral characteristics of their transmissions have "features" that are
> easily detectable (the NTSC carriers or the DTV "pilot carrier"), etc.

. . OK . . makes sense here . .

> However the "detect and avoid" problem becomes much more difficult in an
> environment with many lower powered stations that come and go, whose
> locations vary, and who use a wide variety of different modulation
> techniques ... again, these problems will likely be solved in the future,
> but we're not there yet.

Agreed.

In the meantime in ham radio however we have the current flap over the
ARRL proposal to deal with. My bet is that in whatever any final form
the ARRL comes up with and submits the FCC will toss it back at us to
muddle through because the general public has no stake whatsoever, for
instance, in Pactor stations being rude.

> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

w3rv

# 2608. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 08:29:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124699342.593191.41030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116959

John Smith wrote:
> Carl:
>
> Really man, just poking a bit of fun, it is all good...
>
> It will all work out, I just attempt to appeal
> to those with a sense of
> humor who do not take themselves too seriously...
>
> I would not want to see anyone denied the use
> of CW if that is what they
> wish--I am an american believing in the
> pursuit of happiness... I don't
> think CW'ers dumb or stupid or anything
> of the sort, but hey, it gets an
> argument out of 'em...

In other words, "John Smith" is just
an anonymous troll, making baseless
insulting statements just to stir up
an argument.

And he thinks it's "all good" and
"funny" to do so.

# 2609. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 08:49:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124700588.777526.229030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116960

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> 
> I don't think that unattended stations should
> be allowed to "set up camp"
> anywhere they choose in the HF bands ... at least
> until someont *proves(
> that they have solved the QRM problems that such
> stations can and do cause
> do to the "hidden terminal" problem.
> 
> For now, at least, I think the only reasonable
> solution is to confine them
> to a (reasonably sized - YMMV on what that
> means and I would need more data
> on the "requirements" to pick a number) sub-band
> so that the machines don't
> pound the human operators into submission
> with their (effectively)
> relentless attempts to get a message through.
> (Let them figure out how to
> "play nice" with the other machines first ...)

I agree 100%, Carl. Give them a nice usable chunk
of each band to work with, but not the whole
enchilada.

> > Should ARRL endorse/standardize/push modes requiring the
> > purchase of proprietary hardware and software from specific
> > providers?
> 
> I do not believe so ... I think that proprietary modulation
> techniques and
> protocols are "bad" for several reasons:
> 1) It locks out the expermenters who could, in
> an "open source" model
> provide enhancements, additional features, etc.
> 2) It prevents people from building their own
> compatible unit if the want to
> and have the necessary level of technical knowledge and skill
> 3) The lack of competition amongst vendors of
> compatible hardware
> artificially inflates prices to the detriment
> of the user community.
> (I am big on "open consensus standards" - something
> I do in IEEE 802.)

All reasonable and I agree 100%. But there's more:

4) If the proprietary company decides to stop supporting
the hardware or software for any reason, hams can be
left high and dry, with a "legacy system". (Example:
Win95 was left unsupported by Microsoft after less
than 10 years. Their advice was to migrate to a newer
Windoze version.)

5) Standardization on proprietary stuff acts as a disincentive
for other manufacturers and individual hams to even get a good
understanding of how the system works. Why should they bother
if they can't do anything to it?

6) It's traditional in amateur radio that standards be determined
conceptually, with many ways of realization. For example, hams
standardized on LSB below 10 MHz and USB above decades ago, but
used all sorts of methods to get there - LC filters just above the
audio range, phasing, crystal filters in the ~455 kHz region,
mechanical filters, HF crystal filters, even the "third method
of SSB" were all used by hams. Nowadays HF crystal filtering is
almost universal, but other methods are still usable if someone wants
to bother with them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2610. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 13:28:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124717330.975110.118010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116961

John Smith wrote:
> Dave:
>
> Sounds to me, if those are Carl's words, he is right on...
>
> That is exactly what has went on, what has happened, and what the "old
> pharts" don't want to face up to, the truth...
>
> Why would anyone be shocked?

Indeedintersting that Dave should try to flame Carl with a ringing
endorsement of Carl for director, were i living in the Atlandtic dision
I might rush out and rejion just to vote for him, because of statements
like that
>
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 05:44:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Carl Stevenson 1997
> >
> > "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
> > whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the
> > 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how
> > fast they can beep ..."
> >
> > Carl Stevenson 1998:
> >
> > "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
> > experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies
> > for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
> > totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
> > thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
> > and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."
> >
> > "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who
> > are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how
> > the good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of
> > move coming for some time."
> >
> > " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
> > speaks volumes about the ARRL."
> >

> > "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
> > ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
> > in holding this opinion."
> >
> > Carl Stevenson 1999:
> >
> > "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
> > for ANY legacy technology ... "
> >
> >
> > Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> > at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
> > seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
> >
> >
> > Dave K8MN

# 2611. Day 11 Stevie Action is MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 13:39:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124717946.374585.239170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116962

yes it that time for yet anoother questioning of Stevie on where his promised actions is?

<insert Stevie claim of Paranoia here>

Paranoia BTW Stevie is believeing that everyone is out to get you. I certainly don't believe. I do know you'd like to silence me, you have said so in as many words, but you are not everyone.

Stevie has claimed to have taken action.

Stevie has claimed that this action would "more than anoy me"

Clearly Stevie has lied but which lie has he told?

We all know by now that you are truely sick in equating lying and Kiddy Porn, not even sure there would be help for you if you were willing to seek it out, but...

You certainly in no position to be suggesting other get help as sick as you are

# 2612. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 13:48:18 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <1facnQe3TlotSpTeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 116963

Dave,

I *have* had strong differences of opinion with the ARRL BoD's policies in the past, particularly with respect to code testing, but the code test issue is essentially a dead issue now, since the NPRM makes the FCC's intent very clear and I simply don't see anyone presenting any new arguments for keeping code testing that the FCC hasn't already considered and rejected, let alone one that's rational and compelling.

I disagree with the current form/implementation of the "regulation by bandplan" plan that has (virtually) everyone upset and have stated so quite publicly.
I don't think it should go forward until it's fixed to the satisfaction of at least a significant majority, so yes, I still have differences of opinion with some of what's being done by the BoD - and I'm getting a tremendous amount of feedback that the membership does as well.

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:lDdOe.9299$Wi6.7021@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Carl Stevenson 1997

Taking selective quotes in isolation and out of context can be misleading ... besides, my views have changed in some areas and I have learned to be less confrontational over the years since these relatively ancient quotes that you dredged up were posted (and, what has gone on in the relatively distant past in heated debates on usenet has never been indicative of my behavior on the air ...)
>
> "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
> whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the 30s
> and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how fast
> they can beep ..."

Even Mr. Hollingsworth, I'm told, has refered to "BOFs" (bitter old farts). My comment about "deserving licenses today" refers to those who have never gotten past the tube stage and probably couldn't pass today's written tests. As you will see from my campaign statement, I strongly believe that the ARRL needs to provide much better and more extensive technical eductation programs, both for newcomers and as "refreshers" for long-time hams, so that we can inprove the general level of technical competence in our ranks.

>
> Carl Stevenson 1998:
>
> "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
> experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies

> for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
> totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
> thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
> and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."

Everywhere I go (clubs and hamfests), I'm getting a tremendous amount of feedback/input that members *widely* believe that the League's leadership IS out of touch, both with the membership and with many of the realities of today's world. (So I'm not alone in that view by ANY stretch of the imagination, which is one of my primary reasons for running. The other reason is that, after enjoying the benefits of ham radio for about 30 years, I'm at a point in my life where I'm in a position to give something back and I want to do so.)

> "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who are
> not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how the
> good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of move
> coming for some time."
>
> " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
> speaks volumes about the ARRL."
>
> "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
> ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
> in holding this opinion."

This, if I recall correctly, was in response to the League's attempt to effectively codify bandplans. That's what I meant by "end-run regulation."

Ed and I have long since gotten past my arguing with him and being suspicious that he was "just a party line man," and we are good friends (I'm also on good terms with Dave Sumner, Paul Rinaldo, and others at HQ and have been working with them on BPL).
However, the basic thrust, while it could have been worded more "diplomatically," is still an issue and something that I'm also hearing from the membership as I visit clubs and hamfests.

>
> Carl Stevenson 1999:
>
> "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
> for ANY legacy technology ... "

I have actually CHANGED that view to some extent ... I could support a reasonable "CW only" section at the bottom of each HF band. I do NOT support phone band expansion at the expense of CW and data. I believe that the "robot" stations that are causing harmful interference because they can't adequately detect and avoid ongoing operations (due largely to "hidden terminal" effects resulting fromt he nature of HF propagation) should NOT be allowed to go anywhere in the HF bands that data is allowed. There IS a difference between machine operation and human to human operation and the machines are not (at least yet) smart enough to "play nice(ly enough)."

They should, therefore, be limited to reasonably-sized sub-bands.

> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the seasoned
> veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.

I am committed to working with whoever constitutes the BoD should I get elected. No, I will not be a "yes man." Yes, I will prod them for more progressive appoaches to many of the issues facing the League and ham radio in general. I will do that based on input from the membership, my technical expertise, my regulatory expertise, my proven track record of leadership and consensus-building in IEEE standards activites and other venues, and my dedication to a healthy, secure, and harmonious future for ham radio.

> Dave K8MN

73,
Carl - wk3c
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

# 2613. Re: Day 11 Markie Still Anxious To Get Into A Program...ANY Program...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 14:20:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124720426.573396.61410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116964

an_old_friend wrote:
> yes it that time for yet anoother questioning of Stevie on where his
> promised actions is?
>
> <insert Stevie claim of Paranoia here>

It's not my "claim"...

It's YOUR conduct...

> Paranoia BTW Stevie is believeing that everyone is out to get you. I
> certainly don't believe. I do know you'd like to silence me, you have
> said so in as many words, but you are not everyone.

Nope...I'm not.

But paramoia is NOT just the perception that "evryone" is out to
get you.

Try again.

> Stevie has claimed to have taken action.
>
> Stevie has claimed that this action would "more than anoy me"
>
> Clearly Stevie has lied but which lie has he told?

Obviously it's working...On several levels...Some you can
see...Some WE can see...Some you can't...

> We all know by now that you are truely sick in equating lying and Kiddy
> Porn...(SNIP)

It's not sick at all.

It's the truth.

> (UNSNIP)...not even sure there would be help for you if you were willing to seek it out,
but...

There IS help for you, Markie...

> You certainly in no position to be suggesting other get help as sick as
> you are

I'm not ill, Markie...Physically or mentally...

You, on the otherhand, still ahve nongoing issues that need to be
dealt with. Your continuing "MIA" series is evidence of that.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2614. Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 14:27:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124720849.206340.89420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116965

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> (And BTW, the rank of Gy.Sgt. is pretty low for retired enlisted.)

I was trying to keep an open mind as to YOUR "status" as a Marine
and your experience in matters of the Armed Forces...

Until this.

Gunnery Sergeant (and indeed pay grade E7 throughout the services
as a whole) is the MEDIAN retirement rank.

Also, Marines abbreviate "Gunnery Sergeant" as "GySgt"...Not
"Gy.Sgt"

My suspiscions as to you being a LenniePhantom and gleaning your
"knowledge from Clancy novels is vindicated.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2615. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 14:35:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124721329.929694.137340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116966

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> > Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
> >Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
> >own lap.
>
> And all your ranting has done nothing to resolve the topic of this
> discussion. If you can think of anything that would make me believe
> that you were in the USMC then feel free to speak up. Otherwise, it
> looks like you are just stalling -- making excuses, obfuscating,
> changing topics -- in hopes that I'll give up and leave.

Oh no, don't leave, "Frank"...

It just makes the LennieRants more colorful.

Lesssee...You claim I've given you nothing but vague information,
yet you refuse to e mail me directly and get "the details"...

Furthermore, I've given you MORE than enough to verify my duty
without giving you my SSAN to do it with.

You demonstrate lapses in proper USMC etiquette yet try and "dis"
my service just because I won't do a LennieRant and give a complete CV
of my service here. You were in an AAV unit so of course you know
EVERYONE who ever served, including a guy who's service ended 13 years
ago.

LenniePhantom.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2616. Frank Gilliland...Lennie Phantom...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 14:51:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124722285.124269.115600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116967

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 21 Aug 2005 16:28:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124666893.362971.268630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> ....."armor guys"?
> >
> > Uh huh...Neat thing, military aeroclubs. The person sitting next
> >to you might be fresh off the yellow foot prints or wear stars on thier
> >collar.
>
>
> I think you referred to yellow footprints in an earlier post. That's
> interesting since I didn't even remember they were yellow until I
> looked in my boot camp 'yearbook'. After that I started remembering
> all kinds of things about receiving, like the lines on the floor, the
> overwhelming smell of brasso and dura-glit, and blood on the shower
> room floor because some of those guys didn't even know how to shave.

Very colorful.

> <snip>
> > My I draw your obviously tainted opinon to SSGT selection board of
> >1983, Precendent number 60A. You may also refer to the Gunnery
> >Sergeant selection board of 1987. To be truthful, I forgot my
> >precedent number on that one but it was high due to the overlap with
> >the previous years promotions.
>
>
> What you can't understand is that we all know the public DOD files
> only have the name, rank, service, MOS, and sometimes the hometown.
> That's far from a definitive identification since anyone with the same
> name can 'steal' that serviceman's identity and make up the rest.

Uh huh...Two "Steven J Robeson"...With the exact same DOB...?!?!

Right.....................

Snip

> >> And if you happen to doubt -my- service in the USMC...(SNIP)
> >
> > No...but I doubt your understanding of the motto "Semper Fidelis".
>
> Prove you were a Marine and I'll vanish those doubts.

I already have. You simply wish to argue.

LenniePhantom

> > I also question your motives in this forum. So far, nothing
> >relevent, other than catching the back of guys who are archived chronic
> >liars.
>
> yada, yada, yada.

Guess you don't like having it thrown back at you...especially
when there's nothing in your defense you can say about it.

> > And I told you if you'd care to e-mail me directly I'd be glad to
> >give it to you off-group. I've explained my reasons for doing so.
>
> And I questioned why your military service is so secret. That's why I
> alluded to "Col. Vinson at Ft. Gordon", which you obviously didn't
> understand but should have. You can email -me- if you want but don't
> expect me to keep anything you say quiet if you fail to verify your
> story.

I know who Col Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never
served with him/for him/within 100 miles of him.

Again...colorful. Clancyesque.

That you attempt to diminish my military service simply because I
do not wish to discuss it in this forum like Lennie's rantings, or like
some drunk at the end of the bar at 3AM only further substantiates MY
claim that your motives here have nothing to do with Amateur Radio,
have more to do with being argumenative, and are dubious at best.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2617. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 14:58:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.14.57.59.951337@gmail.com>
Source record: 116968

N2EY:

It is probably easy for you to make that mistake in your analysis. As you totally ignore the fact the real problem is the amateurs who take themselves FAR too seriously, attempt to manipulate others with their control freak attitudes, have held amateur radio back in the stone age because of their unwillingness to accept and adopt progress. Ignore the almost total lack of newbies entering the hobby, etc, etc...

Yes, I can accept it looks that way to you, and you want to block discussion on the matter because any discussion and thought causes the facts to come foreword, and the truth becomes obvious. However, I think you too late, the cat is out of the bag and all can see who can face reality...

Cheap shots at diverting attention away from the real facts and onto attacks and battles over personalities only work on those who do not see reality in the stark light which depicts the decayed state amateur radio has drifted into under those stewardship who have used these tactics... I'd cut out the personal attacks and show some embarrassment if I was you, if there was a shred of credibility left to be had, I'd attempt to win it back--if I were you...

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 01:29:02 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Carl:
>>
>> Really man, just poking a bit of fun, it is all good...
>>
>> It will all work out, I just attempt to appeal
>> to those with a sense of
>> humor who do not take themselves too seriously...
>>
>> I would not want to see anyone denied the use
>> of CW if that is what they
>> wish--I am an american believing in the
>> pursuit of happiness... I don't
>> think CW'ers dumb or stupid or anything
>> of the sort, but hey, it gets an
>> argument out of 'em...
>
> In other words, "John Smith" is just
> an anonymous troll, making baseless

> insulting statements just to stir up
> an argument.
>
> And he thinks it's "all good" and
> "funny" to do so.

# 2618. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 15:02:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.15.02.32.965453@gmail.com>
Source record: 116969

AOF:

Exactly, they have become so blinded to the sorry, decrepit, decayed and dying state of amateur radio--they have began to accept it as the norm! And, to resist the very change which would breath new life into the hobby and restore it to some dignity and status--high strangeness alright! They make the mistake of not seeing the insanity in their own words!

Some things in life are just painful to observe, like a wino so addicted to the bottle he just continues on his course, oblivious to the reality of the mess and sorry state he depicts to other around him not sharing the same drunken visions--so are the "lotus blossom eaters" here...

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 06:28:51 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> Sounds to me, if those are Carl's words, he is right on...
>>
>> That is exactly what has went on, what has happened, and what the "old
>> pharts" don't want to face up to, the truth...
>>
>> Why would anyone be shocked?
>
> Indeedintersting that Dave should try to flame Carl with a ringing
> endorsement of Carl for director, were i living in the Atlandtic dision
> I might rush out and rejion just to vote for him, because of statements
> like that
>>
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 05:44:49 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> > Carl Stevenson 1997
>> >
>> > "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
>> > whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the
>> > 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how
>> > fast they can beep ..."
>> >
>> > Carl Stevenson 1998:

>> >
>> > "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
>> > experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies
>> > for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
>> > totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
>> > thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
>> > and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."
>> >
>> > "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who
>> > are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how
>> > the good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of
>> > move coming for some time."
>> >
>> > " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
>> > speaks volumes about the ARRL."
>> >
>> > "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
>> > ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
>> > in holding this opinion."
>> >
>> > Carl Stevenson 1999:
>> >
>> > "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
>> > for ANY legacy technology ... "
>> >
>> >
>> > Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
>> > at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
>> > seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>> >
>> >
>> > Dave K8MN

# 2619. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 15:12:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124723534.172906.137040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116970

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "KY4Z" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > <1124668237.501646.181790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > <snip>
> > > > >> More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
> > > > >> trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
> > > > >> worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's the "tactic" of truth. Mr Gilliland insinuates that I didn't
> > > > >serve in the Marines
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Wrong. I didn't "insinuate" anything -- I made a clear and blunt
> > > > accusation that you are lying about your military service because you
> > > > have consistently refused to provide anything substantial to back up
> > > > your claims.
> > >
> > > Then your claim to have the resources you allege is fraudulent.
> >
> > Well your claims that you can cause Medical and Legal authorities to
> > act

I have. That they don't move at the speed-of-light is evident.

> > I've given you (and others here) more than enough to determine
> > that my "claim" of having served in the United States Marine Corps is
> > valid.
> >
> > Not realy another lie on your part

Nope.

More than enough...Name, grade, DOB, even gave "Frank" my last two
promotion board dates...Still finds excuses to "dismiss" those
resources.

> > > > > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
> > > > >who are in the Air Wing.
> > > >

> > > Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
> > > know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
> > > throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
> >
> > You said they were in Avionics.
> >
> > So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?
> >
> > > > He furthermore drops the name of LtCol
> > > >Olivere North, and further insinuates he has significant resources at
> > > >his disposal that would, seemingly, indicate that I didn't serve.
> > >
> > >
> > > I think his name is Oliver, not Olivere.
> >
> > Excuse me.
>
> and when you going to apolize?

"apolize"...?!?!

I said "excuse me", Markie.

> > > Because someone with the experience you claim would have intimate
> > > familiarity with the equipment. You have failed to demonstrate -any-
> > > such familiarity -at all-.
> >
> > And such familiarity in an Amateur Radio POLICY forum is
> > neessary...WHY?
>
> It is curious however with your back ground that you don't

Why?

What bit of knowledge about the nomenclature of avionics systems
that were developed before I reached puberty and were antiquated before
I got on Active Duty could be of the least bit of interest, other than
to give Lennie some tidbit to make weeks-long rants out of...?!?

> > > So Steve..... how does an avionics tech wind up in not just one but
> > > -seven- such predicaments?
> >
> > Because Marines do more than what their MOS suggests.
>
> Real marines do

"Marines" is capitalized, Markie.

And yes, I was a "real" Marine.

> wether that has anything to do with you is another matter

So you think.

> > > >> In other words, BS.
> > > >
> > > > Nope. I just don't discuss military duties here.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
> > > > is moot. Try again.
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> Yep more Stevies lies

Nope.

> > As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
> > information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
> > intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.
> >
> Not realy

Yes, "realy".

> without the SSN which you wisely choose not to provide you can't verify
> much of anything, esp with a name like yours

At one time there were no more than 3 Robeson's on active duty in
the USMC. Myself, one who was an ATC in Yuma, and the other fellow who
was African American.

Only one of us named Steve.

> You may simply pick out those dates of the selection boards of someone
> else

Uh huh...righhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhht.

This is why I do not offer any MORE information than what I have.

Even when I >>DO<< provide some irrefuteable third party source,
there's some cowardly excuse as to why it's not "valid".

> > As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
> > the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
> > it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
> > how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.
> >
> If you had provided anything with any solid basis it might be different

I did.

> You advance a Callsign and claim it proves you an expect on MARS

"advance a "callsign"...?!?!

"expect on MARS"...?!?!

If what you MEANT to say that I provided ALL of my callsigns in
various MARS programs at one time or another to verify that I HAD been
an ACTIVE and PARTICIPATING member, you'd be correct.

No where, in ANY post have I EVER suggested I was an "expert" (I
assume that
s what you meant) on the MARS program.

> > I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
> > directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
> > didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.
>
> Why should he?

He says he's a Marine. Why wouldn't he?

> > This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and
> > I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you
> > and one of the Infamous Four.
>
> Such Parania Stevie

"paranoia", Markie.

And no, just an observation.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2620. Markie Mularkie Multifold and Mindboggling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 15:27:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124724466.561656.227650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116971

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z didn't write:
> cuting evasion of the issue
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > > > > into Lennie's game.
> > > > >
> > > > > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > > >
> > > > "Stevie"
> > > >
> > > > Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
> > > > "blames others" gig?
> > >
> > > Nope you blamed Len for playing games
> >
> > He does.
>
> And you can't admit your own Misdeeds

What "misdeeds"..?!?!

> > Just like you do.
>
> You are the game player

Nope.

> You are the one that accuses evryone of being mentaly ill

Not "evryone". Just those who exhibit the signs and symptoms of
being "mentaly" ill, Mark. Like those who lie about being "colonels"
in the Armed Forces, etcetcetc.

> > > > And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
> > > > forum?
> > >
> > > Nope contie to poke you with it I'll continue as long as it amuses me
> cuting
> > Then what you are doing is misusing the VALID callsign of a person
> > who does not participate in this forum.
>
> You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect

I never said anything of the sort.

I DID make ONE mistake typing your callsign.

I acknowledged my mistake in the VERY NEXT POST.

WHERE, since then, have I made any error attributing YOUR callsign
to YOU, Markie?

WHERE, since then, have I mistyped your callsign?

Yet YOU continue to misrepresent MY words with the callsign of a
person who probably doesn't even know this forum exists.

Why? Other than to substantiate MY claim of YOUR mistruthfulness
and deceit, what do you think mis-attributing my comments to someone
else accomplishes?

> I promised that I would contiue to mangle your callsign until you
> apologize, which is likely to be forever since you don't take
> responiblity for your actions

Apologize for what?

And Markie...Don't look now...But everyone else sees you
mis-attributing my comments to another person.

That's DECEITFUL.

> You just blame others

You're attributing my comments to another Amateur.

That's DECEITFUL.

> > In short...You're the liar, bully and abuser you claim others,
> > specifically me, of being.
>
> Not at all I am using Sarcasm more

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! THAT'S IT!

When I say something you don't like, it's "bullying"..?!?!

When YOU do it it's "sarcasm"..?!?!?!

> But then you can't stand anyone dening you your imagined place in the
> heavens'

"denying"

And sentences end with a period, not an apostrophe, Markie...At
least in English.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2621. Re: Day 11 Stevie contiues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 16:29:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124728183.188979.219690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116972

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > yes it that time for yet anoother questioning of Stevie on where his
> > promised actions is?

and more of Stevie hoping we mss the fact he has been lying for 11 days
> >
> > <insert Stevie claim of Paranoia here>
>
> It's not my "claim"...
>
> It's YOUR conduct...

More lies on your part

Where is your action
>
> > Paranoia BTW Stevie is believeing that everyone is out to get you. I
> > certainly don't believe. I do know you'd like to silence me, you have
> > said so in as many words, but you are not everyone.
>
> Nope...I'm not.
>
> But paramoia is NOT just the perception that "evryone" is out to
> get you.
>
> Try again.
>
> > Stevie has claimed to have taken action.
> >
> > Stevie has claimed that this action would "more than anoy me"
> >
> > Clearly Stevie has lied but which lie has he told?
>
> Obviously it's working...On several levels...Some you can
> see...Some WE can see...Some you can't...

what is working?

that you are trying to play your little mind games

>
> > We all know by now that you are truely sick in equating lying and Kiddy
> > Porn...(SNIP)
>
> It's not sick at all.

Sure is sick

>
> It's the truth.

Bullshit

>
> > (UNSNIP)...not even sure there would be help for you if you were willing to seek it out, but...
>
> There IS help for you, Markie...

No need

>
> > You certainly in no position to be suggesting other get help as sick as
> > you are
>
> I'm not ill, Markie...Physically or mentally...

You sure are

>
> You, on the otherhand, still ahve nongoing issues that need to be
ahve Nongoing issues?

gee I guess you might be right after they sure are nongoing issues

> dealt with. Your continuing "MIA" series is evidence of that.

Your promised action is still MIA
>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2622. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 16:40:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124728814.341877.218490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116973

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > > On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "KY4Z" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > > <1124668237.501646.181790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > <snip>
> > > > >> More SOP responses from Robeson. He avoids the direct response,
> > > > >> trying to imply that anyone who challenges him is a "fool" or
> > > > >> worse. It's an old tactic in pubs-newsgroup word warfare.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's the "tactic" of truth. Mr Gilliland insinuates that I didn't
> > > > >serve in the Marines
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Wrong. I didn't "insinuate" anything -- I made a clear and blunt
> > > > accusation that you are lying about your military service because you
> > > > have consistently refused to provide anything substantial to back up
> > > > your claims.
> > >
> > > Then your claim to have the resources you allege is fraudulent.
> >

> > Well your claims that you can cause Medical and Legal authorities to
> > act
>
> I have. That they don't move at the speed-of-light is evident.

11 days sure right they are playing with me and the safety of the
public

why don't you admit your lie? It is easier that way

>
> > > I've given you (and others here) more than enough to determine
> > > that my "claim" of having served in the United States Marine Corps is
> > > valid.
> >
> > Not realy another lie on your part
>

> Nope.

not realy

>
> More than enough...Name, grade, DOB, even gave "Frank" my last two
> promotion board dates...Still finds excuses to "dismiss" those
> resources.

becuase they are not proof that any of it has anything to do with YOU

>
> > > > > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
> > > > >who are in the Air Wing.
> > > >
> > > > Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
> > > > know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
> > > > throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
> > >
> > > You said they were in Avionics.
> > >
> > > So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?
> > >
> > > > > He furthermore drops the name of LtCol
> > > > >Olivere North, and further insinuates he has significant resources at
> > > > >his disposal that would, seemingly, indicate that I didn't serve.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I think his name is Oliver, not Olivere.
> > >
> > > Excuse me.
> >
> > and when you going to apolize?
>
> "apolize"...?!?!
>
> I said "excuse me", Markie.

no apology there

>
> > > > Because someone with the experience you claim would have intimate
> > > > familiarity with the equipment. You have failed to demonstrate -any-
> > > > such familiarity -at all-.
> > >
> > > And such familiarity in an Amateur Radio POLICY forum is
> > > neessary...WHY?
> >
> > It is curious however with your back ground that you don't
>
> Why?

because it tends to show you a liar as has been said many times before

Why do you need everything repeated over and over again

cuting more evasion

> > > > So Steve..... how does an avionics tech wind up in not just one but
> > > > -seven- such predicaments?
> > >
> > > Because Marines do more than what their MOS suggests.
> >
> > Real marines do
>
> "Marines" is capitalized, Markie.

weall know you can play at speeling cop
>
> And yes, I was a "real" Marine.

but not an honest one

>
> > wether that has anything to do with you is another matter
>
> So you think.

of course I do. at one point you were goign on about a sniper now an
avoincs tech

indeed I have to wonder if either has any truth

>
> > > > >> In other words, BS.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. I just don't discuss military duties here.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
> > > > > is moot. Try again.
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Yep more Stevies lies
> >
> > Nope.

You are the one that tried to demand respect becuase you were a marine

You made it an issue and then you start telling lies

>
> > > As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
> > > information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
> > > intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.
> >

> > Not realy
>
> Yes, "realy".
>
> > without the SSN which you wisely choose not to provide you can't verify
> > much of anything, esp with a name like yours
>
> At one time there were no more than 3 Robeson's on active duty in
> the USMC. Myself, one who was an ATC in Yuma, and the other fellow who
> was African American.
>
> Only one of us named Steve.

none of whish proves you are the Steve

We have inf act no proof you are Steve as opposed to James
>
> > You may simply pick out those dates of the selection boards of someone
> > else
>
> Uh huh...righhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhht.
>
> This is why I do not offer any MORE information than what I have.
>
> Even when I >>DO<< provide some irrefuteable third party source,
> there's some cowardly excuse as to why it's not "valid".

You have never provided irrefutable proof

In cliaiming you have you are either lying or showing you don't know
the meaning of the word "Proof"
>
> > > As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
> > > the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
> > > it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
> > > how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.
> > >
> > If you had provided anything with any solid basis it might be different
>
> I did.
>
> > You advance a Callsign and claim it proves you an expect on MARS
>
> "advance a "callsign"...?!?!
>
> "expect on MARS"...?!?!
>
> If what you MEANT to say that I provided ALL of my callsigns in
> various MARS programs at one time or another to verify that I HAD been
> an ACTIVE and PARTICIPATING member, you'd be correct.

and the callsgins prove nothing of the kind

they prove you might have been an active member

>
> No where, in ANY post have I EVER suggested I was an "expert" (I
> assume that
> s what you meant) on the MARS program.

Bullshit
>
> > > I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
> > > directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
> > > didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.
> >
> > Why should he?
>
> He says he's a Marine. Why wouldn't he?

avoiding the question. it was and remains "why should he?"

why should he play your games?

>
> > > This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and
> > > I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you
> > > and one of the Infamous Four.
> >
> > Such Parania Stevie
>
> "paranoia", Markie.
>
> And no, just an observation.

paranoia

like when you accused me of hiring him

>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2623. Re: Stveie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 16:49:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124729365.979258.9130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116974

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z didn't write:
> > cuting evasion of the issue
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > > > > > > into Lennie's game.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Stevie"
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
> > > > > "blames others" gig?
> > > > >
> > > > Nope you blamed Len for playing games
> > > >
> > > He does.
> > >
> > And you can't admit your own Misdeeds
> >
> What "misdeeds"..?!?!

Your lies about & hositles actions for one

Your lies about taking some effective against me for another

yout threats to Todd

why do you need them listed so often

are you that dumb that you can't remeber

>
> > > Just like you do.

> >
> > You are the game player
>
> Nope.

sure are

evade change the subject anything but deal with the truth

that being you are a Bully a bragrat and a liar

a proven liar

>
> > You are the one that accuses evryone of being mentaly ill
>
more evasion

> > > > > And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
> > > > > forum?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope contie to poke you with it I'll continue as long as it amuses me
> > cuting
> > > > Then what you are doing is misusing the VALID callsign of a person
> > > who does not participate in this forum.
> >
> > You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect
>
> I never said anything of the sort.

yes you did
>
> I DID make ONE mistake typing your callsign.

and refused to apolgize for it

>
> I acknowledged my mistake in the VERY NEXT POST.

nope you told I had to go LOOKing for your acknowledgement

Bullshit

nor did you apoligize

>
> WHERE, since then, have I made any error attributing YOUR callsign
> to YOU, Markie?

you still refuse to apologize for it

so

>
> WHERE, since then, have I mistyped your callsign?

>
> Yet YOU continue to misrepresent MY words with the callsign of a
> person who probably doesn't even know this forum exists.

until YOU apologize I certainly will

>
> Why? Other than to substantiate MY claim of YOUR mistruthfulness
> and deceit, what do you think mis-attributing my comments to someone
> else accomplishes?

it clearly anoys you

and agains show how you refuse to deal the issues at hand

You always evade and obfucate, nothing is ever your fault

>
> > I promised that I would contiue to mangle your callsign until you
> > apologize, which is likely to be forever since you don't take
> > responiblity for your actions
>
> Apologize for what?

use your brain

>
> And Markie...Don't look now...But everyone else sees you
> mis-attributing my comments to another person.

and they see exactly what I am doing showing you sause for the is sause
for the gander

>
> That's DECEITFUL.

Not at all

I am prefectly upfront about it

Nothing decietful at all

>
> > You just blame others
>
> You're attributing my comments to another Amateur.

Not at all

more stevie lies

>
> That's DECEITFUL.
Not at all

I am prefectly upfront about it

Nothing decietful at all

and you can stop it with a single sentence but you refuse

you refuse to apologize to me for abusing my call sign
>
> > > In short...You're the liar, bully and abuser you claim others,
> > > specifically me, of being.
> >
> > Not at all I am using Sarcasm more
>

more evasion

end your lies

> > But then you can't stand anyone dening you your imagined place in the
> > heavens'
>
> "denying"
>
> And sentences end with a period, not an apostrophe, Markie...At
> least in English.
>
> Steve, KY4Z

## 2624. when will stevie stop lying about his actions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 16:54:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124729655.426761.32320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116975

Stvei when you going stop and admit you can't cause any real action from the cops of mental health or even get the easter bunny to stop his rounds

You have claimed powers beyond those you have

tried to use them to bully and browbeat people and then when your bluff was called you lie

either you never called (which would have been wisest but I have never accused you of being wise) or your calls feel on deaf ears

confess to your lies

get help for your delusion that Kiddy is the equal of Lying

Grow up

# 2625. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 16:56:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124729806.314451.93680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116976

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Dave,
cut
> >
> > Carl Stevenson 1999:
> >
> > "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
> > for ANY legacy technology ... "
>
> I have actually CHANGED that view to some extent ... I could support a
> reasonable "CW only" section at the bottom of each HF band. I do NOT
> support phone band expansion at the expense of CW and data. I believe that
> the "robot" stations that are causing harmful interference because they
> can't adequately detect and avoid ongoing operations (due largely to "hidden
> terminal" effects resulting fromt he nature of HF propagation) should NOT be
> allowed to go anywhere in the HF bands that data is allowed. There IS a
> difference between machine operation and human to human operation and the
> machines are not (at least yet) smart enough to "play nice(ly enough)."
> They should, therefore, be limited to reasonably-sized sub-bands.

Carl carefull there don't you know you are not allow to change your
mind ;)
>
> > Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> > at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the seasoned
> > veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>
> I am committed to working with whoever constitutes the BoD should I get
> elected. No, I will not be a "yes man." Yes, I will prod them for more
> progressive appoaches to many of the issues facing the League and ham radio
> in general. I will do that based on input from the membership, my technical
> expertise, my regulatory expertise, my proven track record of leadership and
> consensus-building in IEEE standards activites and other venues, and my
> dedication to a healthy, secure, and harmonious future for ham radio.
>
> > Dave K8MN
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

# 2626. When Will Mark Learn That He's Making A Fool Out OF Himself?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 17:20:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124731220.021557.139440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116977

an_old_friend wrote:

> Stvei...(SNIP)

You can't even insult someone without screwing it up, Markie.

> when you going stop and admit you can't cause any real action
> from the cops of mental health or even get the easter bunny to stop his
> rounds

Have you seen the Easter Bunny, Markie? Did he stop at your house
on his "rounds"...?!?!

> You have claimed powers beyond those you have

No, I've not. All of my normal, adult human "powers" are pretty
much the same as everyone else's.

> tried to use them to bully and browbeat people and then when your bluff
> was called you lie

I've neither "browbeat" nor "bullied" anyone, Mark.

And I've not lied about anything.

> either you never called (which would have been wisest but I have never
> accused you of being wise) or your calls feel on deaf ears

Or they ahve more than their fair share of casework, which is,
these days, pretty much universal in ALL aspects of
healthcare...Especially mental health.

> confess to your lies

What lies?

> get help for your delusion that Kiddy is the equal of Lying

It's not a delusion. People who participate in and promulgate
kiddy porn are liars. They lie in order to conduct thier activities,
they lie that it's not harmful to the children, and they lie that it's
"OK" because it's allegedly a "victimless crime".

They are liars. You're a liar. Maybe not the same stroke of the
oars, but definitely in the same boat.

> Grow up

Already have. Why don't you join me?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2627. Re: Stveie...(SNIP) Markie Can't Even Get His Insults Correct...Publically States He Will Continue to Overtly Lie And Deceive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 17:41:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124732477.936490.260250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116978

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z didn't write:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > cuting evasion of the issue
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > > > > > > > > > > into Lennie's game.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Stevie"
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
> > > > > > > > > > > "blames others" gig?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Nope you blamed Len for playing games
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > He does.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And you can't admit your own Misdeeds
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What "misdeeds"..?!?!
> > >
> > > Your lies about & hositles actions for one

"& hositles"...?!?!

> Your lies about taking some effective against me for another

What lies?

> yout threats to Todd

I didn't threaten Todd.

> why do you need them listed so often

You've not "listed" anythig yet except more of your own deceit,
Markie.

> are you that dumb that you can't remeber

Speaking of "dumb", the word is "remember"...

And so far you've not substantiated anything.

> > > > Just like you do.
> > >
> > > You are the game player
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure are

Nope.

> evade change the subject anything but deal with the truth

You're the one who keeps changing the "subject", Markie...

> that being you are a Bully a bragrat and a liar

ROTMFFLMMFAO on that sentence, Markie! Some English!

None of the above, Markie.

> a proven liar

Nope.

Not by you!

> > > You are the one that accuses evryone of being mentaly ill
> >
> more evasion

Those were YOUR words, Markie!

And I don't "accuses evryone", Markie...Just those who show the
signs and symptoms of it. Such as yourself for example.

> > > > > > And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
> > > > > > forum?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope contie to poke you with it I'll continue as long as it amuses me
> > > > cuting
> > > > > Then what you are doing is misusing the VALID callsign of a person
> > > > > who does not participate in this forum.
> > >
> > > You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect

> >
> > I never said anything of the sort.
>
> yes you did

Then prove THAT too!

> > I DID make ONE mistake typing your callsign.
>
> and refused to apolgize for it

I acknowledged it in my very next post, Markie!

> > I acknowledged my mistake in the VERY NEXT POST.
>
> nope you told I had to go LOOKing for your acknowledgement
>
> Bull#####
>
> nor did you apoligize

More profanity...More lies from Mark C. Morgan.

> > WHERE, since then, have I made any error attributing YOUR callsign
> > to YOU, Markie?
>
> you still refuse to apologize for it
>
> so

I already acknowledged my error, Markie.

> > WHERE, since then, have I mistyped your callsign?
> >
> > Yet YOU continue to misrepresent MY words with the callsign of a
> > person who probably doesn't even know this forum exists.
>
> until YOU apologize I certainly will

Then you are intentionally lying and deceiving. You're also misdirecting MY commets to those of a person who's not a part of this forum.

Set's the record straight on who's the liar here, Markie.

> > Why? Other than to substantiate MY claim of YOUR mistruthfulness
> > and deceit, what do you think mis-attributing my comments to someone
> > else accomplishes?
>
> it clearly anoys you

It only "anoys" me that you are continuing to misrepresent the truth.

You substantiate my assertion that you're a liar.

> and agains show how you refuse to deal the issues at hand

I am dealing with it.

You misrepresent my comments to another person. You know it and
said you're doing it intentionally.

Makes you the lair and deceiver I claim you are.

> You always evade and obfucate, nothing is ever your fault

You're the one attributing my commetns to a third party.

> > > I promised that I would contiue to mangle your callsign until you
> > > apologize, which is likely to be forever since you don't take
> > > responiblity for your actions
> >
> > Apologize for what?
>
> use your brain

I am.

And so far you've not shown where I have done anything worthy of
an apology.

I made a mistake, corrected it and acknowledged it.

You made a mistake, thought it was funny, and now perpetuate it in
the face of the truth.

> > And Markie...Don't look now...But everyone else sees you
> > mis-attributing my comments to another person.
>
> and they see exactly what I am doing showing you sause for the is sause
> for the gander

No........

They see you intentionally lying.

They see you intentionally mis-attributing my comments to a third
party.

And it's "sauce".

> > That's DECEITFUL.
>
> Not at all

Sure it is.

> I am prefectly upfront about it
>
> Nothing decietful at all

It's still deceitful...Not to mention you've now dragged a third
party who probably doesn't even know this forum exists into your little
demented world, Markie.

> > > You just blame others
> >
> > You're attributing my comments to another Amateur.
>
> Not at all

Sure you are.

You keep "relabelling" the attributes to "KY4Z", and not to me.

> more stevie lies

Nope. More of the truth.

> > That's DECEITFUL.
>
> Not at all
>
> I am prefectly upfront about it
>
> Nothing decietful at all

Absolutely deceitful.

And disrespectful to KY4Z.

> and you can stop it with a single sentence but you refuse

> you refuse to apologize to me for abusing my call sign

I'm not.

> > > > In short...You're the liar, bully and abuser you claim others,
> > > > specifically me, of being.
> > >
> > > Not at all I am using Sarcasm more
> >
>
> more evasion

Allow me to restate it...

I seeeeeeeeeeeee...When I say "something", it's "bullying" and
"abuse".......

When YOU do it it's "SARCASM"..............

Uh huh...Righhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhht.

> end your lies

I'd say "end yours", but then you'd accuse me of encouraging you to
do a Kevorkian...

That is, afterall the only way Mark C. Morgan will ever stop lying.

> > > But then you can't stand anyone dening you your imagined place in the
> > > heavens'
> >
> > "denying"
> >
> > And sentences end with a period, not an apostrophe, Markie...At
> > least in English.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

Steve, K4YZ

# 2628. Re: Day 11 Stevie continues to point out Markies lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 17:46:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124732818.413757.34000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116979

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z didn't write:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > yes it that time for yet anoother questioning of Stevie on where his
> > > promised actions is?
>
> and more of Stevie hoping we mss the fact he has been lying for 11 days
> > >
> > > <insert Stevie claim of Paranoia here>
> >
> > It's not my "claim"...
> >
> > It's YOUR conduct...
>
> More lies on your part

Nope.

> Where is your action

That should have ended with a "question mark".

On file.

> > > Paranoia BTW Stevie is believeing that everyone is out to get you. I
> > > certainly don't believe. I do know you'd like to silence me, you have
> > > said so in as many words, but you are not everyone.
> >
> > Nope...I'm not.
> >
> > But paramoia is NOT just the perception that "evryone" is out to
> > get you.
> >
> > Try again.
> >
> > > Stevie has claimed to have taken action.
> > >
> > > Stevie has claimed that this action would "more than anoy me"
> > >
> > > Clearly Stevie has lied but which lie has he told?
> >
> > Obviously it's working...On several levels...Some you can
> > see...Some WE can see...Some you can't...
>
> what is working?

You don't know?

> that you are trying to play your little mind games

What mind games?

> > > We all know by now that you are truely sick in equating lying and Kiddy
> > > Porn...(SNIP)
> >
> > It's not sick at all.
>
> Sure is sick

Nope.

> > It's the truth.
>
> Bull#####

Nope.

> > > (UNSNIP)...not even sure there would be help for you if you were willing to seek it out, but...
> >
> > There IS help for you, Markie...
>
> No need

LOTS of NEED! More than the State of Michigan will be able to
render, I am afraid.

> > > You certainly in no position to be suggesting other get help as sick as
> > > you are
> >
> > I'm not ill, Markie...Physically or mentally...
>
> You sure are

Nope.

> > You, on the otherhand, still ahve nongoing issues that need to be
>
> ahve Nongoing issues?

Yep...those too.

> gee I guess you might be right after they sure are nongoing issues

But they are ON-going issues.

> > dealt with. Your continuing "MIA" series is evidence of that.
>
> Your promised action is still MIA

Yep...(M)ichigan (I)s (A)ssessing

Steve, K4YZ

# 2629. Re: just cleaing up my screen pay it no mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 18:30:05 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <ab2902edc1f66c6f97d3734d007e137e@dizum.com>
Source record: 116980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <deb7nl$u42$0@pita.alt.net>
"Hi Lardass" <W...@anon.uz> whined:

You still whined at 3:55 AM about Roger, Lardass!
And tell us why you have to hide behind two dozen different screen names!

Besides you had to cheat by having Roger's posts hidden to "win." Looks like
Roger wins ultimately!
>
>
>
> Wogie<whines and cries>
>
> Poor <more whines, lies and cries>

Poor Lardass posting under "digital" and still can't get it right.

Kepp guessing, dumbass and hiding those words that hurt you so on the other
baord, PUSSY!

# 2630. Re: when will stevie stop lying about his actions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 19:09:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124737768.976574.292510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116981

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Stvei...(SNIP)
>
> You can't even insult someone without screwing it up, Markie.

more evasion the subject is YOUR lies

>
> > when you going stop and admit you can't cause any real action
> > from the cops of mental health or even get the easter bunny to stop his
> > rounds
>
> Have you seen the Easter Bunny, Markie? Did he stop at your house
> on his "rounds"...?!?!
>
> > You have claimed powers beyond those you have
>
> No, I've not. All of my normal, adult human "powers" are pretty
> much the same as everyone else's.

You have claimed that you can cuase action

You Lied

>
> > tried to use them to bully and browbeat people and then when your bluff
> > was called you lie
>
> I've neither "browbeat" nor "bullied" anyone, Mark.

Bullshit

you are still trying to browbeat everyone around you

>
> And I've not lied about anything.

You lied about being able to produce action

you have lied about a lot more

>
> > either you never called (which would have been wisest but I have never
> > accused you of being wise) or your calls feel on deaf ears
>
> Or they ahve more than their fair share of casework, which is,

> these days, pretty much universal in ALL aspects of
> healthcare...Especially mental health.

and you still are going to try to brazen out the lie that they will
listen to you and take action

Bullshit

>
> > confess to your lies
>
> What lies?

all of them or any of them

>
> > get help for your delusion that Kiddy is the equal of Lying
>
get help for your delusion

>
> They are liars. You're a liar. Maybe not the same stroke of the
> oars, but definitely in the same boat.

then you are as guilty as the perps of kiddy porn BYS

>
> > Grow up
>
> Already have. Why don't you join me?

you have not grown up you are still a kid a spoiled one, and a disgarce
to your family

>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2631. Re: Stveie Continues to Overtly Lie And Deceive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 19:23:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124738587.494147.211050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116982

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > > cuting evasion of the issue
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > > > > > > > > > into Lennie's game.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Stevie"
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nope...Took the blame myslef, Markie. Where'd you get that
> > > > > > > > > > > "blames others" gig?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nope you blamed Len for playing games
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > He does.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And you can't admit your own Misdeeds
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What "misdeeds"..?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your lies about & hositles actions for one
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "& hositles"...?!?!

again you lied not i
>
> > Your lies about taking some effective against me for another
>

> What lies?

That you were going to take some kind of EFECTIVE action for one

>
> > yout threats to Todd
>
> I didn't threaten Todd.

you sure did

>
> > why do you need them listed so often
>
> You've not "listed" anythig yet except more of your own deceit,
> Markie.

smore stevie lies

>
> > are you that dumb that you can't remeber
>
> Speaking of "dumb", the word is "remember"...
>
> And so far you've not substantiated anything.

Sure have

you have failed to produce any effective action

that is a simple fact

you must have sounded like such a loon they did not bother to even
investagate you charges

>
> > > > > Just like you do.
> > > >
> > > > You are the game player
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure are
>
> Nope.
>
> > evade change the subject anything but deal with the truth
>
> You're the one who keeps changing the "subject", Markie...

nope you change it every post

the subject is YOUR Lie

>
> > that being you are a Bully a bragrat and a liar
>
> ROTMFFLMMFAO on that sentence, Markie! Some English!
>
> None of the above, Markie.
>
> > a proven liar
>
> Nope.
>
> Not by you!

No You did that

you proved yourself a liar

>
> > > > You are the one that accuses evryone of being mentaly ill
> > >
> > more evasion
>
> Those were YOUR words, Markie!
nope they were yours words
More lies

>
> And I don't "accuses evryone", Markie...Just those who show the
> signs and symptoms of it. Such as yourself for example.

everyone that dares disagree with you

>
> > > > > > > And why do you continue to lie about "KY4Z" being involved in this
> > > > > > > forum?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope contie to poke you with it I'll continue as long as it amuses me
> > > > > cuting
> > > > > > Then what you are doing is misusing the VALID callsign of a person
> > > > > > who does not participate in this forum.
> > > > >
> > > > > You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect
> > >
> > > I never said anything of the sort.
> >
> > yes you did
>
> Then prove THAT too!

already have go back in the threads
>
> > > I DID make ONE mistake typing your callsign.
> >

> > and refused to apolgize for it
>
> I acknowledged it in my very next post, Markie!

Lie

>
> > > I acknowledged my mistake in the VERY NEXT POST.
> >
> > nope you told I had to go LOOKing for your acknowledgement
> >
> > Bull#####
> >
> > nor did you apoligize
>
> More profanity...More lies from Mark C. Morgan.

Nope Stevie acted then told his victum to go look for his explanation

what you going to do threaten to report me again?

You are the little kid screaming that someone said a bad word

>
> > > WHERE, since then, have I made any error attributing YOUR callsign
> > > to YOU, Markie?
> >
> > you still refuse to apologize for it
> >
> > so
>
> I already acknowledged my error, Markie.

but not apolized for it

>
> > > WHERE, since then, have I mistyped your callsign?
> > >
> > > Yet YOU continue to misrepresent MY words with the callsign of a
> > > person who probably doesn't even know this forum exists.
> >
> > until YOU apologize I certainly will
>
> Then you are intentionally lying and deceiving. You're also
> misdirecting MY commets to those of a person who's not a part of this
> forum.

no another Stvie lie every knows what is happening

>
> Set's the record straight on who's the liar here, Markie.
>
> > > Why? Other than to substantiate MY claim of YOUR mistruthfulness

> > > and deceit, what do you think mis-attributing my comments to someone
> > > else accomplishes?
> >
> > it clearly anoys you
>
> It only "anoys" me that you are continuing to misrepresent the
> truth.

then apologize

Be a man

>
> You substantiate my assertion that you're a liar.

and I am not the subject you are

that you lie and decieve all the time

>
> > and agains show how you refuse to deal the issues at hand
>
> I am dealing with it.

nope
you are evading and changing the subject

>
> You misrepresent my comments to another person. You know it and
> said you're doing it intentionally.
>
> Makes you the lair and deceiver I claim you are.
>
> > You always evade and obfucate, nothing is ever your fault
>
> You're the one attributing my commetns to a third party.

No such act is occouring

>
> > > > I promised that I would contiue to mangle your callsign until you
> > > > apologize, which is likely to be forever since you don't take
> > > > responiblity for your actions
> > >
> > > Apologize for what?
> >
> > use your brain
>
> I am.
>
> And so far you've not shown where I have done anything worthy of
> an apology.

and I can do the same as you do

If you need not apolgize to me I need not to you

Fair is fair

>
> I made a mistake, corrected it and acknowledged it.

You never corrected it

you never apologized for it
>
> You made a mistake, thought it was funny, and now perpetuate it in
> the face of the truth.

No mistake I decided on it as a method of attack

never claimed it was a fact merely a tactic

>
> > > And Markie...Don't look now...But everyone else sees you
> > > mis-attributing my comments to another person.
> >
> > and they see exactly what I am doing showing you sause for the is sause
> > for the gander
>
> No.....

sure is

>
> They see you intentionally lying.

I doubt it

they see me making a point

>
> They see you intentionally mis-attributing my comments to a third
> party.
>
> And it's "sauce".
>
> > > That's DECEITFUL.
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Sure it is.
>
> > I am prefectly upfront about it
> >
> > Nothing decietful at all

cuting the ending repition

> You keep "relabelling" the attributes to "KY4Z", and not to me.

nope

cuting the repitition

> And disrespectful to KY4Z.

Not at all I am using him in noble cause

>
> > and you can stop it with a single sentence but you refuse
>
> > you refuse to apologize to me for abusing my call sign
>
> I'm not.

the you choose for this happen

you are choosing to abuse the poor fellow you claim to feel for rather
than be man and apologize for your mistake

and then flaming me for demnding my due

> > end your lies
>
> I'd say "end yours", but then you'd accuse me of encouraging you to
> do a Kevorkian...

do yourself a kevorkian

That is, afterall the only way Stevie Robeson will ever stop lying.

>
> Steve, KY4YZ

## 2632. why doesn't Stevie contiue to lie about the sate of michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 19:26:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124738803.173709.196340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116983

Why contiue this lie

Why continue to claim that Michigan is going to act on your say so?

Why do you maintian this sill lie?

# 2633. Re: Day 9 Markie when you going to fess up to avoiding your own lies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 19:58:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124740686.695153.90400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116984

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 21, 6:25 pm

>On 21 Aug 2005 13:19:18 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in

>> Robeson couldn't even name the very common all-service small-unit
>> radio of his early time, the AN/PRC-25 or PRC-77.
>
>I wouldn't call it "small" -- those puppies get mighty heavy on a
>forced march. A "small" radio would be the PRC-68. But the RT-841 (the
>RT used for the PRC-77) was the bread and butter of ground radio comm.

True, but "small" in weight depends on the era of use. The
PRC-8, -9, -10 that the PRC-25 replaced were fully backpack
carry while the -25 and -77 are not. The -25 was first and
had one vacuum tube in the final amplifier (everything else
solid state). The -77 was ALL solid-state plus some other
minor changes. For about a 1965 design start it was
state-of-the-art then.

BTW, the first REAL "walkie-talkie" of WW2 was the SCR-300
(with BC-1000 R/T) of WW2. The full-on assembly weighed in
about38 pounds and the handset was cobbled from a standard
telephone handset having a push-to-talk lever. Far from
the H-33 and its descendents still in the inventory. I've
got a little paper on that SCR-300 at Harold Hallikainen's
website for history. A plateau-jump in design in its time.

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/

Click on Motorola to get the TheFirstWalkieTalkie.pdf.
Appendix C is kind of interesting, a "horse mobile" transceiver
that Motorola produced in 1943 but didn't design...battery-powered
radio-on-a-guidon-pole that fit into a military cavalry
saddle guidon socket. Only problem was that, by 1943, there
was NO MORE horse cavalry in the U.S. Army! :-) Infantry and
others used this HF-only set, an addition of a vehicle mount
was done for Jeeps and trucks.

>> unspecified authority. Medical discharges are given for a
>> variety of reasons, not always due to physical disabilities.
>> [that's in Google archives]
>
>A medical discharge is an administrative, or "general", discharge
>"under honorable conditions". They are not changed to "honorable"
>unless there were mitigating circumstances that led to the original
>discharge being incorrect. But there is no way he would have been

>granted retirement benefits without serving the full 20 years. (And
>BTW, the rank of Gy.Sgt. is pretty low for retired enlisted.)

GySgt was an E-7 (same as SFC in Army)...USMC enlisted ratings
go to E-9, Sergeant Major. I don't think Stebie would con
anyone on saying he was that other E-9, Sergeant Major of the
Marine Corps! :-)

I was Honorably Discharged in April 1960 as an E-5. Curious
thing with Army insignia for about a decade: They dropped the
"buck sergeant" three chevrons, no rockers, insignia and began
all NCOs with rockers. They also dropped the "recruit" rank in
favor of Private-1 (no stripe), elevated to Private-2 on passing
Basic Training (Army and Air Force don't have "boot camp"), then
began PFC as E-3 with one chevron. Corporal was E-3, two
chevrons. The enlisted insignia changed once again around the
mid 1960s, restoring the "buck sergeant" sans rockers.

Hmmmm...the only time I have a medical discharge now is blood
work twice a year. I DO study for that test and pass it
each time. :-)

>> Robeson has consistently tried to make fun of others' military
>> assignments, claiming his (classic) been in "seven hostile
>> actions."
>
>I can't find that post.

I've seen it, Brian Burke has seen it. I know he wrote it as
clearly as he wrote his classic "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<<
amateur radio!" :-)

A classic "rebuke" in newsgroups is "show me where I said that!"
in terms of great outrage. :-) Anyone who falls for that has
to go back to archives (we used to have DejaNews but Google
bought them), search for the post, copy it out, paste it on
the return post...and find that the "outraged" will not respond,
say it is "false", or rationalize it saying "he meant something
'else.'" :-)

>> First of all servicemenbers don't have any choice
>> of what they do, they go and do whatever they are assigned,
>> wherever commanded.
>
>Upon completion of MOS training, some are allowed to request a
>particular duty station at first. Sometimes that request is granted.
>After that it's a crap shoot.

Some of the military experts in here never served. They
think not being assigned to a combat area was somehow a
negative moral attribute, cowardice implied of course. :-(
They've read all the books, seen all the movies, watched all
the TV shows and KNOW WHAT IT WAS LIKE! :-)

>> This fierce warrior, self-promoted "seven hostile
>> action" implied hero can't even swear like a Marine among
>> other veterans? Highly unlikely. :-)
>
>In all fairness, I knew quite a few Marines that didn't swear.

OK, we differ. :-)

>> Phrases and euphemisms identified with the USMC are easy to
>> get in literature and from TV and movies (tons of that
>> material).
>
>Very easy. What's missing from his stories are unique experiences.

Them we ain't got yet. Not in six-plus years. :-(

What we get instead is a constant barrage of personal insults
directed at us...but no definite answers of his. He's got a
CON going and loves that too much. <shrug>

con gam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2634. Re: Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:01:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124737497.377055.161550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116985

KY4Z wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > yes it that time for yet anoother questioning of Stevie on where his
> > > > promised actions is?
> >
> > and more of Stevie hoping we mss the fact he has been lying for 11 days
> > > >
> > > > <insert Stevie claim of Paranoia here>
> > >
> > > It's not my "claim"...
> > >
> > > It's YOUR conduct...
> >
> > More lies on your part
>
> Nope.
>
> > Where is your action
>
> That should have ended with a "question mark".
>
> On file.

really?

Prove it

>
> > > > Paranoia BTW Stevie is believeing that everyone is out to get you. I
> > > > certainly don't believe. I do know you'd like to silence me, you have
> > > > said so in as many words, but you are not everyone.
> > >
> > > Nope...I'm not.
> > >
> > > But paramoia is NOT just the perception that "evryone" is out to
> > > get you.
> > >
> > > Try again.
> > >
> > > > Stevie has claimed to have taken action.
> > > >
> > > > Stevie has claimed that this action would "more than anoy me"
> > > >

> > > > Clearly Stevie has lied but which lie has he told?
> > >
> > > Obviously it's working...On several levels...Some you can
> > > see...Some WE can see...Some you can't...
> > >
> > what is working?
>
> You don't know?

I know you have shot your mouth off and not devileried

>
> > that you are trying to play your little mind games
>
> What mind games?

claiming action claiming this and that

>
> > > > We all know by now that you are truely sick in equating lying and Kiddy
> > > > Porn...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > It's not sick at all.
> > >
> > Sure is sick
>
> Nope.

Any one claiming Lying and kiddy porn are equatable is SICK

>
> > > It's the truth.
> > >
> > Bull#####
>
> Nope.

It is proof of your sick mind

>
> > > > (UNSNIP)...not even sure there would be help for you if you were willing to seek it
out, but...
> > >
> > > There IS help for you, Markie...
> > >
> > No need
>
> LOTS of NEED! More than the State of Michigan will be able to
> render, I am afraid.

no need at all

you need the help to conect you with reality

>
> > > > You certainly in no position to be suggesting other get help as sick as
> > > > you are
> > >
> > > I'm not ill, Markie...Physically or mentally...
> >
> > You sure are
>
> Nope.

Any one thinking kiddy porn is equatable to lying is truely sick

>
> > > You, on the otherhand, still ahve nongoing issues that need to be
> >
> > ahve Nongoing issues?
>
> Yep...those too.
>
> > gee I guess you might be right after they sure are nongoing issues
>
> But they are ON-going issues.

such as ?

You OTOH are so sick that you think Kiddy porn is on the same level as
lying

>
> > > dealt with. Your continuing "MIA" series is evidence of that.
> >
> > Your promised action is still MIA
>
> Yep...(M)ichigan (I)s (A)ssessing

right for 11 days

Michigan listened to you and wrote you off as a kook or a crank

>
> Steve, KY4Z

# 2635. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:03:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124740984.690329.207830@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116986

From: "K4YZ" on Sun 21 Aug 2005 20:33

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
>> is moot. Try again.
>
> Nope.
>
> As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
>information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
>intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.

"...insinuations of intimacy with..."? :-)

> As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
>the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
>it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
>how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. We all "must" accept Stebie's "word" on HIS
service, yet all he can do is shout "call the VA!" :-)

"It doesn't matter how objective or reliable the corroborating
source is..." if its against what Stebie said or if Stebie no
like it, it is a "LIE." :-)

Poor Stebie...he can't stand up to scrutiny and his "respone" is
to heap abuse on the personals of his challengers.

> I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
>directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
>didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.

Stebie, it takes as much time to write a single private e-mail
as it does to write a PUBLIC posting in here. Just write your
response IN PUBLIC if you want to be believed. That way anyone
can check it out as they wish. If it is verifiable, it will be
be believed. If it isn't verifiable, then you are just making
with a Confidence Game piece of BS.

> This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and
>I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you
>and one of the Infamous Four.

"...close relationship?!?" :-)

Anyone can "research headers" and find the ISP of a poster, and
that's about IT. :-)

Sorry, little Stebie, but nobody has hacked the IEEE website
for the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
professional association. I may be the only one with that
"header origin." I have NO "relationship" with Frank, with Mark
or with Brian Burke, or Todd, don't know them personally, haven't
met them, don't even live close to them (don't know where Frank
lives, but that is irrelevant). WE all SHARE a feeling that all
you do is lay down a Snow Job, A Con Game Scam, lots of BS, and
a bunch of other nefarious braggadoccio. <shrug>

> Lastly, I find it curious (and certainly lends significant
>credence to doubting you and YOUR stories...) that you show up from no
>where in an Amateur Radio forum, CLAIM to be a former Marine with
>impeccable "references", yet have absolutely nothing to say ABOUT
>Amateur Radio policy.

Tell us how the USMC "career" of Stebie Robeson "affects amateur
radio policy?" :-)

Tell us how the Civil Air Patrol "pilot in command" experience
relates to amateur radio policy? :-)

> Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
>Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
>own lap.

Poor baby, feeling worried are you?

YOU just POST some verifiable REAL claims to your statements in
order to be believed. So far all you've done is shout "call the
VA!" [the VA will NOT divulge details unless one is a family
member or a reputable, verifiable personnel department of a
company or a verifiable agent of a police force]

You could digitize your DD214 and have it available for private
e-mail (this newsgroup doesn't carry binaries) to PROVE your
military release from active duty. It's only one page long.
But, you won't, claiming some "personal outrage" at having to
do such a thing! Oh, my. We are all supposed to BELIEVE you,
right? While everyone else not believing you LIES?" :-)

con gam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2636. Re: when will stevie stop laughing at me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:07:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124741258.829863.247800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116987

an_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z didn't write:
> > nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > Stvei...(SNIP)
> >
> > You can't even insult someone without screwing it up, Markie.
>
> more evasion the subject is YOUR lies

Nope.

Pointing out the obvious. You're incompetent.

> > > when you going stop and admit you can't cause any real action
> > > from the cops of mental health or even get the easter bunny to stop his
> > > rounds
> >
> > Have you seen the Easter Bunny, Markie? Did he stop at your house
> > on his "rounds"...?!?!
> >
> > > You have claimed powers beyond those you have
> >
> > No, I've not. All of my normal, adult human "powers" are pretty
> > much the same as everyone else's.
>
> You have claimed that you can cuase action

I didn't say I could "cuase" anything.

> You Lied

Nope.

> > > tried to use them to bully and browbeat people and then when your bluff
> > > was called you lie
> >
> > I've neither "browbeat" nor "bullied" anyone, Mark.
>
> Bull#####
>
> you are still trying to browbeat everyone around you

Nope.

There's a LOT of people "around" me.

> > And I've not lied about anything.
>
> You lied about being able to produce action

Nope.

> you have lied about a lot more

Nope.

> > > either you never called (which would have been wisest but I have never
> > > accused you of being wise) or your calls feel on deaf ears
> >
> > Or they ahve more than their fair share of casework, which is,
> > these days, pretty much universal in ALL aspects of
> > healthcare...Especially mental health.
>
> and you still are going to try to brazen out the lie that they will
> listen to you and take action

Nope. I just received a nice letter in this afternoon's mail
acknowledging my letter.

> Bull#####

Uh huh...you are.

> > > confess to your lies
> >
> > What lies?
>
> all of them or any of them

But WHAT lies?

So far you've not proven that a single lie has been uttered.

You can posture and bluster since there's not been any direct
action on your case taken yet, but even that will come out in the wash,
little man.

> > > get help for your delusion that Kiddy is the equal of Lying
> >
> get help for your delusion

What delusion?

YOU are the one who keeps telling us that lying is OK...THAT is a
delusion.

> > They are liars. You're a liar. Maybe not the same stroke of the
> > oars, but definitely in the same boat.
>
> then you are as guilty as the perps of kiddy porn BYS

Nope.

I havent' lied. Neither you, Lennie, Brain, Toiddie, or
Son-of-Lennie, Frank, have "proven" anything even APPROACHING a "lie".

> > > Grow up
> >
> > Already have. Why don't you join me?
>
> you have not grown up you are still a kid a spoiled one, and a disgarce
> to your family

Whatever a "disgarce" is...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2637. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:14:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124741653.970304.292140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116988

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> Stebie, it takes as much time to write a single private e-mail
> as it does to write a PUBLIC posting in here. Just write your
> response IN PUBLIC if you want to be believed. That way anyone
> can check it out as they wish. If it is verifiable, it will be
> be believed. If it isn't verifiable, then you are just making
> with a Confidence Game piece of BS.

You've been GIVEN information IN PUBLIC that can be verified on an number of topics, Lennie.

You blow it off with your own little song-and-dance.

> WE all SHARE a feeling that all
> you do is lay down a Snow Job, A Con Game Scam, lots of BS, and
> a bunch of other nefarious braggadoccio. <shrug>

So far you also share (with the exception of "Frank") deceit and dishonesty. You also have COPIOUS quantities of your own snow jobs, con games, BS, and nefarious braggadoccio in this forum, Lennie...Your pointing finger has plenty of all of them dripping off of them.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2638. Re: Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:21:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124742090.441939.210600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116989

nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z didn't write:
> > nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > yes it that time for yet anoother questioning of Stevie on where his
> > > > > promised actions is?
> > >
> > > and more of Stevie hoping we mss the fact he has been lying for 11 days
> > > > >
> > > > > <insert Stevie claim of Paranoia here>
> > > >
> > > > It's not my "claim"...
> > > >
> > > > It's YOUR conduct...
> > >
> > > More lies on your part
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > Where is your action
> >
> > That should have ended with a "question mark".
> >
> > On file.
>
> really?
>
> Prove it

You'll find out.

> > > > Obviously it's working...On several levels...Some you can
> > > > see...Some WE can see...Some you can't...
> > >
> > > what is working?
> >
> > You don't know?
>
> I know you have shot your mouth off and not devileried

You're darn right I've not "devileried"...What ever THAT was
supposed to be...

> > > that you are trying to play your little mind games
> >
> > What mind games?
>
> claiming action claiming this and that

What "this and that"...?!?!

> > > > > We all know by now that you are truely sick in equating lying and Kiddy
> > > > > Porn...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not sick at all.
> > > >
> > > > Sure is sick
> > >
> > > Nope.
>
> Any one claiming Lying and kiddy porn are equatable is SICK

Nope.

Anyone DEFENDING lying and kiddy porn is.

Sure seems to be what YOU are saying.

> > > > It's the truth.
> > > >
> > > > Bull####
> > >
> > > Nope.
>
> It is proof of your sick mind

How?

As substantiated by what medical or psychiatric theory or process,
Markie?

Go ahead...show us...

> > > > > (UNSNIP)...not even sure there would be help for you if you were willing to seek
it out, but...
> > > > >
> > > > > There IS help for you, Markie...
> > > >
> > > > No need
> > >
> > > LOTS of NEED! More than the State of Michigan will be able to
> > > render, I am afraid.
>
> no need at all

Lot's of need.

> you need the help to conect you with reality

YOU need the "connect", Markie.

Perhaps you CAN connect with reality if you ahd a better command
of trhe English language, both in expression AND comprehension.

> > > > > You certainly in no position to be suggesting other get help as sick as
> > > > > you are
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not ill, Markie...Physically or mentally...
> > > >
> > > You sure are
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> Any one thinking kiddy porn is equatable to lying is truely sick

Oh?

As substantiated by WHAT medical or psychiatric theory or process,
Mark?

> > > > You, on the otherhand, still ahve nongoing issues that need to be
> > > >
> > > ahve Nongoing issues?
> > >
> > Yep...those too.
> > >
> > > gee I guess you might be right after they sure are nongoing issues
> > >
> > But they are ON-going issues.
>
> such as ?
>
> You OTOH are so sick that you think Kiddy porn is on the same level as
> lying

They are.

> > > > dealt with. Your continuing "MIA" series is evidence of that.
> > > >
> > > Your promised action is still MIA
> > >
> > Yep...(M)ichigan (I)s (A)ssessing
>
> right for 11 days

Nope. For about four.

> Michigan listened to you and wrote you off as a kook or a crank

Not so far. We'll see.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2639. NewsFlash stevie action may no longer be MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:24:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124742266.734762.300810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116990

I just got the phone with the local ss worker.

he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words) has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat. He asked after my relationship with Mr Roberson, I explained that Steve Robeson (and gave him your address from Qrz.com) has made threatening statements in past and present, that they were must likely idle, holding the opinion that Lying and Kiddy Porn are on the same level. that anyone online whould answer turthfully questions about there personal info (he laughed and told me never to give out such info online, or to lie if pressed, that You were threatening others (went into you and BB you and Todd) Claimed that you could get someone more than anoyed over there.

He siad that a case would be added to the Adult protective orders docket where the State Of Michigan would ask for protection of Mark Morgan and my family from Steven Robeson. that he would be served the papers by publising them in the local news paper, it would become effect 10 days after that or about 10 days from thursday if the judge agreed with him.

It would then be illegal for you to come here

Of course it is unlikely to mean much to you since while you were threatening to come UP but I am sure that was more of your blowing smoke, but I am have made aware of how it changes thing in self defense and reminded that the Suprume Court has ruled the cops realy don't have to protect me

# 2640. Re: why doesn't Stevie contiue to lie about the sate of michigan? He doesn't "continue" to lie about the "sate" of Michigan because he never started!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:25:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124742321.103251.232290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116991

an_old_friend wrote:
> Why contiue this lie

What lie?

> Why continue to claim that Michigan is going to act on your say so?

I didn't say they'd "act". I said I'd report my concerns. I
have. We'll see what happens.

> Why do you maintian this sill lie?

"maintain" "silly"

A "sill" lie? One you put at on the window frame to ripen like a
tomato?

What lie?

Steve, K4YZ

## 2641. Re: when will stevie tell the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:26:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124742390.552746.18080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116992

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > Stvei...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > You can't even insult someone without screwing it up, Markie.
> >
> > more evasion the subject is YOUR lies
>
> Nope.
>
> Pointing out the obvious. You're incompetent.

more evasion of your lies
>
> > > > when you going stop and admit you can't cause any real action
> > > > from the cops of mental health or even get the easter bunny to stop his
> > > > rounds
> > >
> > > Have you seen the Easter Bunny, Markie? Did he stop at your house
> > > on his "rounds"...?!?!
> > >
> > > > You have claimed powers beyond those you have
> > >
> > > No, I've not. All of my normal, adult human "powers" are pretty
> > > much the same as everyone else's.
> >
> > You have claimed that you can cuase action
>
> I didn't say I could "cuase" anything.

lie
>
> > You Lied
>
> Nope.

yep
you lied

>
> > > > tried to use them to bully and browbeat people and then when your bluff
> > > > was called you lie

> > >
> > > I've neither "browbeat" nor "bullied" anyone, Mark.
> >
> > Bull####
> >
> > you are still trying to browbeat everyone around you
>
> Nope.

yes you still try browbeat everyone around you
>
> There's a LOT of people "around" me.
>
> > > And I've not lied about anything.
> >
> > You lied about being able to produce action
>
> Nope.

yes you did
>
> > you have lied about a lot more
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > either you never called (which would have been wisest but I have never
> > > > accused you of being wise) or your calls feel on deaf ears
> > >
> > > Or they ahve more than their fair share of casework, which is,
> > > these days, pretty much universal in ALL aspects of
> > > healthcare...Especially mental health.
> >
> > and you still are going to try to brazen out the lie that they will
> > listen to you and take action
>
> Nope. I just received a nice letter in this afternoon's mail
> acknowledging my letter.
>
> > Bull####
>
> Uh huh...you are.
>
> > > > confess to your lies
> > >
> > > What lies?
> >
> > all of them or any of them
>
> But WHAT lies?
>
> So far you've not proven that a single lie has been uttered.

>
> You can posture and bluster since there's not been any direct
> action on your case taken yet, but even that will come out in the wash,
> little man.
>
> > > > get help for your delusion that Kiddy is the equal of Lying
> > >
> > get help for your delusion
>
> What delusion?
>
> YOU are the one who keeps telling us that lying is OK...THAT is a
> delusion.

Not according to the State of Michigan

>
> > > They are liars. You're a liar. Maybe not the same stroke of the
> > > oars, but definitely in the same boat.
> >
> > then you are as guilty as the perps of kiddy porn BYS
>
> Nope.
>
> I havent' lied. Neither you, Lennie, Brain, Toiddie, or
> Son-of-Lennie, Frank, have "proven" anything even APPROACHING a "lie".

sure have
>
> > > > Grow up
> > >
> > > Already have. Why don't you join me?
> >
> > you have not grown up you are still a kid a spoiled one, and a disgarce
> > to your family
>
> Whatever a "disgarce" is...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2642. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:31:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124742672.499856.115990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116993

here BTw is stevie accusing me of hiring Frank

Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Stebie, it takes as much time to write a single private e-mail
> > as it does to write a PUBLIC posting in here. Just write your
> > response IN PUBLIC if you want to be believed. That way anyone
> > can check it out as they wish. If it is verifiable, it will be
> > be believed. If it isn't verifiable, then you are just making
> > with a Confidence Game piece of BS.
>
> You've been GIVEN information IN PUBLIC that can be verified on an
> number of topics, Lennie.
>
> You blow it off with your own little song-and-dance.

You have not given anything to verify

one can verify the existance of a marine but nothing to contecting it
you
>
> > WE all SHARE a feeling that all
> > you do is lay down a Snow Job, A Con Game Scam, lots of BS, and
> > a bunch of other nefarious braggadoccio. <shrug>
>
> So far you also share (with the exception of "Frank") deceit and
> dishonesty. You also have COPIOUS quantities of your own snow jobs,
> con games, BS, and nefarious braggadoccio in this forum, Lennie...Your
> pointing finger has plenty of all of them dripping off of them.
>
> Putz.

more of your sex obsession
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2643. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:32:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6DqOe.334$FW1.8@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116994

John,

My "point" is this....ever since the creation of "incentive licensing". Forcing one to upgrade. I have found a serious degradation of attitudes in ham radio.

I have seen the class of license being used to determine ones "pecking order" in the society of Amateur Radio. Thus forcing upon us a system of "class structure". A structure of unwarranted superiority because of ones license class. I stated it back in 1965 when I first heard of this stupid idea. And I state it today.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.21.21.08.20.459749@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> At this point, all I see which has been "proved" is that in humanity there
> is a need to set ones own image as being "special", the things they use as
> as qualifications to these ends are preposterous--even resorting to
> flashing a hobby license at you in pursuit of those ends...
>
> ... grab a chair and view this "circus" of performers out there, and just
> to think, they have no clue on the opinions of others viewing them in
> their silly performances... indeed, even if you attempt to explain it to
> them, it just doesn't make it though that image they have constructed for
> themselves.
>
> It is much like watching a "lotus blossom eater" and unable to fathom what
> visions must dance before their eyes obscuring the reality which lies
> about them... the term "glorified CB'ers" pops to the forefront of ones
> mind...
>
> John
>
> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 20:57:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...

>>>
>>> ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful, as
>>> of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual,
>>> as
>>> of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting to
>>> glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras so
>>> worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
>>> practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the unwitting...
>>>
>>> ... rumors only, mind you!
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> ... read all about it!
>>>>
>>>> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of
>>>> licenses"
>>>> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
>>>> God"...
>>>>
>>>> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>
>

# 2644. Re: why doesn't Stevie contiue to lie about the sate of michigan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:33:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124742801.835471.78510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116995

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Why contiue this lie
>
> What lie?

your lie
>
> > Why continue to claim that Michigan is going to act on your say so?
>
> I didn't say they'd "act". I said I'd report my concerns. I
> have. We'll see what happens.

yes you did

you promised that you'd do more than anoy me

>
> > Why do you maintian this sill lie?
>
> "maintain" "silly"
>
> A "sill" lie? One you put at on the window frame to ripen like a
> tomato?
>
> What lie?

your lie that Michigan would ever act

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2645. Re: Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right! Proving steve to be realy sick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:35:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124742918.770532.39840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116997

K4YZ wrote:
> nobody's_old_friend wrote:
cut
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > Where is your action
> > >
> > > That should have ended with a "question mark".
> > >
> > > On file.
> >
> > really?
> >
> > Prove it
>
> You'll find out.

I have and you craped out
cut

# 2646. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:35:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dGqOe.335$FW1.199@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116996

Before 1968 when the first phase of incentive licensing was forced upon us
there was a defacto one license system for HF access.

You had the General which gave you all Amateur privileges. As did the old
Class A. As did the Extra. NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL. And the Novice was just
the "stepping stone" to the General.

The Technician was for those that couldn't hack the 13WPM code and had to do
the test to keep a license. The Novice expired after 1 year and was
non-renewable.

Dan/W4NTI
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124674505.203467.98350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Have you realy found folsk that honestly disgree
>
> this Discontent is why I have a strong inclanation to a One Class
> license feeling that what the ARS loses in intail skill would be mad
> eup for in in removing that discontent
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...
>> >
>> > ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful,
>> > as
>> > of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual,
>> > as
>> > of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting
>> > to
>> > glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras
>> > so
>> > worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
>> > practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the
>> > unwitting...
>> >
>> > ... rumors only, mind you!
>> >
>> > John

>> >
>> > On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> ... read all about it!
>> >>
>> >> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of
>> >> licenses"
>> >> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great
>> >> CW
>> >> God"...
>> >>
>> >> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >
>

# 2647. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:36:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124742997.161982.47420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 116998

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> John,
>
> My "point" is this....ever since the creation of "incentive licensing".
> Forcing one to upgrade. I have found a serious degradation of attitudes in
> ham radio.
>
> I have seen the class of license being used to determine ones "pecking
> order" in the society of Amateur Radio. Thus forcing upon us a system of
> "class structure". A structure of unwarranted superiority because of ones
> license class. I stated it back in 1965 when I first heard of this stupid
> idea. And I state it today.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
and State something many of us can agree with you on

# 2648. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:37:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <VHqOe.336$FW1.160@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116999

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>
> We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
> following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
> passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>
> We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
> Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
> preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
> to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
> dirt.
>
> This stuff has GOT to stop!
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>

Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an
attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2649. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:38:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124743139.848774.90130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117050

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
> >
> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
> >
> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
> > dirt.
> >
> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
> >
> > 73,
> > Carl - wk3c
> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
> >
>
> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an
> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.

Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 2650. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:42:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124743351.431788.181140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117051

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of 1 PM, EDT, 22 Aug 05, up to 19 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235
Comments on Test Element 1 Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1748

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 81

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 463 27.77%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 967 58.01%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 237 14.22%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 19 Aug 05 that would be 1667.

Five total letter documents were added into the ECFS on 22 Aug 05
for the 17th, 18th, 19th August. As of about 1 PM EDT on 22 Aug,
104 Comments have been entered for that date; more are expected
later in the day and totals will be upgraded and posted following.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

end off
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2651. Markie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:43:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124743432.138951.88800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117052

an_old_friend wrote:
> I just got the phone with the local ss worker.

Nice try, Markie!

> he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.

Double nice try. I sent a letter.

And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".

> He asked
> after my relationship with Mr Roberson, I explained that Steve Robeson
> (and gave him your address from Qrz.com) has made threatening
> statements in past and present, that they were must likely idle,
> holding the opinion that Lying and Kiddy Porn are on the same level.

Uh huh. Suuuuuuuuuuuure you did.

> that anyone online whould answer turthfully questions about there
> personal info (he laughed and told me never to give out such info
> online, or to lie if pressed...(SNIP)

Uh huh...more phantom "officials" telling you to lie...

Just like the "DoJ" and the "FBI" did when you went off on your
OTHER "he's out to get me" rants.

> (UNSNIP)...that You were threatening others (went
> into you and BB you and Todd) Claimed that you could get someone more
> than anoyed over there.

Uh huh.

> He siad that a case would be added to the Adult protective orders
> docket where the State Of Michigan would ask for protection of Mark
> Morgan and my family from Steven Robeson. that he would be served the
> papers by publising them in the local news paper, it would become
> effect 10 days after that or about 10 days from thursday if the judge
> agreed with him.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

> It would then be illegal for you to come here

No, Markie, it would not!

If MI protection orders are like TN, they would prohibit an
enjoined party from approaching or being in closer than 500ft proximity
to the protected party.

Nice try...AGAIN!

> Of course it is unlikely to mean much to you since while you were
> threatening to come UP but I am sure that was more of your blowing
> smoke, but I am have made aware of how it changes thing in self defense
> and reminded that the Suprume Court has ruled the cops realy don't have
> to protect me

Protect you...?!?!

From what? Your imagination...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Nice try, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2652. Mark Morgan Piles Ludicrous On Top of Hillarious!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:48:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124743701.483865.165230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117054

nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> cut
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > > Where is your action
> > > >
> > > > That should have ended with a "question mark".
> > > >
> > > > On file.
> > >
> > > really?
> > >
> > > Prove it
> >
> > You'll find out.
>
> I have and you craped out

"crapped"

See, Markie, you can't even insult people correctly!

And if you mean by that fairey tale about some "ss" (I assume you
meant "Social Security") representitve alledging I called them, then
you've only piled ludicrous on top of hillarious, Markie!

It was a slow day, Markie! Thanks for the laughs!

Steve, K4YZ
>
> cut

# 2653. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:48:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <sSqOe.339$FW1.159@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117053

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124669695.309104.207160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> Even today....well actually for
>> >> many years....the 80 meter band is a classic example of wasted >
>> >> space.
>> >> Mostly dead air in the "CW" allocations. In particular from
>> >> 3.5 to 3.6.
>> >
>> > I think you meant "3.6 to 3.7"
>> >
>> No I didn't....I don't consider 5 CW stations in 100 KC
>> over use of a
>> segement. Or should I say "Use of a segement".
>> Nets are there for sure,
>> but not for long. Then the band is dead again.
>
>> >> Lots of open space from 3.6 to 3.750 if you want to be open
>> >> minded on this
>> >> subject.
>
>> > All of 80 meters is open to digital modes. You know, the
>> > modes all those new, young, modern hams are going to use
>> > when Element 1 goes away.
>
>> > If there's so much room, then what's the problem making
>> > 3500 to 3575 Morse Code only?
>
>> Because we don't use it now. 25 on the bottom of all bands is
>> plenty IF it is CW exclusive to ALL classes.
>
> Is 80 meters full of digital signals? Or is it equally underused
> by those modes as well?
>

80/75 is a seasonal band, as is 160. Summertime activity of any kind is
quite low. With the exception of SSB. It is always full up. At least in 4
land anyway.

Digital is indeed increasing, but so far are staying above 3575. On
occassion I am QRMed from them on the Alabama net, 3575. But they move
when they hear activity...to their credit.

>> >> 40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that
>> >> mess straight..
>> >> hi.
>> >
>> > Not really. The mess is due to the rest of the world wanting
>> > 7100-7300 for SWBC. That's going away, even as we speak, and
>> > more and more of the rest of the world is letting their hams
>> > have 7100-7200. Eventually 7000-7300 will be worldwide
>> > exclusive amateur.
>
>> > So what's the problem with 7000-7050 being Morse Code only?
>> >>
>> See above
>
> The band is 300 kHz wide. 50 kHz is 16%. There are plenty of
> times - noncontest times - when 40 is one Morse Code signal
> after another from 7000 to 7050. And that's with cascaded
> 8 pole 500 Hz filters in the rx.
>

40 meters is a butchered band. And yes I know that changes are FINALLY on
the way. Perhaps when it is a exclusive Amateur allocation (at least for
Region 1 and 2) things will improve. But for now it is a complete mess.

It is indeed a active band, for all modes. In reality it needs expanded to
7.5 or so. But that will never happen.

>> >> 20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.
>> >
>> > Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
>> > less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
>> > about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
>> > but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.
>
> Is that what is best? More room for SSB and AM, less for
> CW and digital modes?
>

I don't know what is "best". I would just like to see a clean spot for CW
only. That is a personal choice, nothing more. Whether I get it is
another story.

>> >> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW > > >>
>> >> ONLY. No
>> >> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>> >>
>> >> Those that don't.....won't.
>> >>
>> > The trouble is that it will take an Extra to get down there.
>
>> No it won't. Drop the Extra only and be done with that Dinosaur.
>
> FCC won't go for that. Read the NPRM - they specifically state that

> they think 3 license classes is the right number, and that we'll
> get to three classes by attrition. They specifically denied
> auto-upgrades, new entry level licenses, etc.
>

Then only extra's do CW. I have no solution for that. If the FCC don't
want it, it won't happen.

> They also said that more frequencies was the best incentive.
>

The FCC has NO IDEA what is good for Ham radio. Nor do they give a RIP.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2654. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:49:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <mTqOe.341$FW1.239@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117055

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124674756.717952.296230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> cut
>
>> There should be, though. 15% or so of each band. I'm yet to see one
>> good reason not to do that.
>
> I have yet to see a reason good or otherwise to do so
>
> All I see is bunch of folks still promoting th idea that they and thier
> specail mode deserve protections and prevledges deined the rest of us
>>

So what is wrong with that? Everyone promotes their own thing.

Dan/W4NTI

>

## 2655. Stevie bated into the open at last

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:50:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124743846.451809.129780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117056

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
>
> Nice try, Markie!

want try?
>
> > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
>
> Double nice try. I sent a letter.

Well then one of your freind was trying to help you

just reporting the facts of his call

>
> And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".

well you should have sent it there

>
> > He asked
> > after my relationship with Mr Roberson, I explained that Steve Robeson
> > (and gave him your address from Qrz.com) has made threatening
> > statements in past and present, that they were must likely idle,
> > holding the opinion that Lying and Kiddy Porn are on the same level.
>
> Uh huh. Suuuuuuuuuuuure you did.

yep I did

>
> > that anyone online whould answer turthfully questions about there
> > personal info (he laughed and told me never to give out such info
> > online, or to lie if pressed...(SNIP)
>
> Uh huh...more phantom "officials" telling you to lie...

no one officail advocates telling the truth to threatening people
stevie

The Shiriff does not
>
> Just like the "DoJ" and the "FBI" did when you went off on your
> OTHER "he's out to get me" rants.

no he is out to get me but you did threaten me Stevie Idly but it was
threat
>
> > (UNSNIP)...that You were threatening others (went
> > into you and BB you and Todd) Claimed that you could get someone more
> > than anoyed over there.
>
> Uh huh.

Yep

>
> > He siad that a case would be added to the Adult protective orders
> > docket where the State Of Michigan would ask for protection of Mark
> > Morgan and my family from Steven Robeson. that he would be served the
> > papers by publising them in the local news paper, it would become
> > effect 10 days after that or about 10 days from thursday if the judge
> > agreed with him.
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> > It would then be illegal for you to come here
>
> No, Markie, it would not!

sure would
>
> If MI protection orders are like TN, they would prohibit an
> enjoined party from approaching or being in closer than 500ft proximity
> to the protected party.

well more like a mile in rural areas, and that does prevent you from
coming here since you don't where I'd be

>
> Nice try...AGAIN!
>
> > Of course it is unlikely to mean much to you since while you were
> > threatening to come UP but I am sure that was more of your blowing
> > smoke, but I am have made aware of how it changes thing in self defense
> > and reminded that the Suprume Court has ruled the cops realy don't have
> > to protect me
>
> Protect you...?!?!

yes me or anyone else

>
> From what? Your imagination...?!?!

people that make threats

>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Nice try, Markie!

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2656. Re: Stevie craps out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:52:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124743938.713659.138440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117057

K4YZ wrote:
> nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobody's_old_friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Where is your action
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That should have ended with a "question mark".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > On file.
> > > > >
> > > > > really?
> > > > >
> > > > > Prove it
> > > >
> > > You'll find out.
> > >
> > I have and you craped out
> >
> "crapped"
>
> See, Markie, you can't even insult people correctly!

so

>
> And if you mean by that fairey tale about some "ss" (I assume you
> meant "Social Security") representitve alledging I called them, then

nope Socail services

more stupid remarks from stevie

> you've only piled ludicrous on top of hillarious, Markie!
>
> It was a slow day, Markie! Thanks for the laughs!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > cut

# 2657. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:53:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124744014.696116.200160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117058

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124674756.717952.296230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > cut
> >
> >> There should be, though. 15% or so of each band. I'm yet to see one
> >> good reason not to do that.
> >
> > I have yet to see a reason good or otherwise to do so
> >
> > All I see is bunch of folks still promoting th idea that they and thier
> > specail mode deserve protections and prevledges deined the rest of us
> >>
>
> So what is wrong with that? Everyone promotes their own thing

Then you are sick

Not everyone promotes thier own thing over the interest of the public
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> >

## 2658. Stevie admit to lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 20:56:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124744160.702079.187820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117059

stevie claimed to have called Michigan now claims to have sent a letter

to whom Stevie

# 2659. Markie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 21:13:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124745189.697827.325140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117060

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> >
> > Nice try, Markie!
>
> want try?

Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!

> > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> >
> > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
>
> Well then one of your freind was trying to help you

What's a "freind"...??!!

And no one tried to "help me".

> just reporting the facts of his call

Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
rest of it ludicrous.

> > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
>
> well you should have sent it there

Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!

WHY DON'T YOU JUST FESS UP TO THE ABSLOUTE LACK OF TRUTH OF THIS
TALE NOW, MARKIE...?!?!

> > > He asked
> > > after my relationship with Mr Roberson, I explained that Steve Robeson
> > > (and gave him your address from Qrz.com) has made threatening
> > > statements in past and present, that they were must likely idle,
> > > holding the opinion that Lying and Kiddy Porn are on the same level.
> >
> > Uh huh. Suuuuuuuuuuure you did.
>
> yep I did

Uh huh...

> > > that anyone online whould answer turthfully questions about there
> > > personal info (he laughed and told me never to give out such info
> > > online, or to lie if pressed...(SNIP)
> >
> > Uh huh...more phantom "officials" telling you to lie...
>
> no one officail advocates telling the truth to threatening people
> stevie

And you've not SPOKEN to anyone "officaill", Markie.

> The Shiriff does not

What's a "Shiriff"...?!?!

Some Arabic sultan? Maybe he rode in on his magic carpet to grant
your three wishes...

> > Just like the "DoJ" and the "FBI" did when you went off on your
> > OTHER "he's out to get me" rants.
>
> no he is out to get me but you did threaten me Stevie Idly but it was
> threat

What threat?

Seven years of this silly claim and you have yet to make it stick.

> > > (UNSNIP)...that You were threatening others (went
> > > into you and BB you and Todd) Claimed that you could get someone more
> > > than anoyed over there.
> >
> > Uh huh.
>
> Yep

Uh huh...

> > > He siad that a case would be added to the Adult protective orders
> > > docket where the State Of Michigan would ask for protection of Mark
> > > Morgan and my family from Steven Robeson. that he would be served the
> > > papers by publising them in the local news paper, it would become
> > > effect 10 days after that or about 10 days from thursday if the judge
> > > agreed with him.
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
> >
> > > It would then be illegal for you to come here
> >
> > No, Markie, it would not!
>

> sure would

Nope.

> > If MI protection orders are like TN, they would prohibit an
> > enjoined party from approaching or being in closer than 500ft proximity
> > to the protected party.
>
> well more like a mile in rural areas, and that does prevent you from
> coming here since you don't where I'd be

More like 'in your dreams' DelusionBoy!

> > Nice try...AGAIN!
> >
> > > Of course it is unlikely to mean much to you since while you were
> > > threatening to come UP but I am sure that was more of your blowing
> > > smoke, but I am have made aware of how it changes thing in self defense
> > > and reminded that the Suprume Court has ruled the cops realy don't have
> > > to protect me
> >
> > Protect you...?!?!
>
> yes me or anyone else

from WHAT...?!?! Your imagination...?!?!

> > From what? Your imagination...?!?!
>
> people that make threats

No one and no legal document can protect you from people making
threats.

No legal order could STOP someone from harming you if they were so
determined...The papers are FULL of stories every week of some poor
soul who HAD "orders of protection" that were assaulted or murdered by
the enjoined party.

Those orders are a placebo, Markie. They don't work.

Neither did this story about a Social Security clerk and your
order of protection!

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Nice try, Markie!

Again!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2660. Re: StevieNow Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional as he boasts of his criminal abilites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 21:55:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124747700.637218.293010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117061

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
cut
>
> > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > >
> > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> >
> > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
>
> What's a "freind"...??!!
>
> And no one tried to "help me".

and you know this how?

>
> > just reporting the facts of his call
>
> Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> rest of it ludicrous.

never said social security you made that up

I though socail services was clear enough

cuting

> > sure would
>
> Nope.
>
> > > If MI protection orders are like TN, they would prohibit an
> > > enjoined party from approaching or being in closer than 500ft proximity
> > > to the protected party.
> >
> > well more like a mile in rural areas, and that does prevent you from
> > coming here since you don't where I'd be
>
> More like 'in your dreams' DelusionBoy!

you are the one with delusions

>
> > > Nice try...AGAIN!
> > >
> > > > Of course it is unlikely to mean much to you since while you were
> > > > threatening to come UP but I am sure that was more of your blowing
> > > > smoke, but I am have made aware of how it changes thing in self defense
> > > > and reminded that the Suprume Court has ruled the cops realy don't have
> > > > to protect me
> > >
> > > Protect you...?!?!
> >
> > yes me or anyone else

cuting stvie rant
>
> Those orders are a placebo, Markie. They don't work.

not for real protection I agree I already said that more or less

But they would make it a crime for you to come here

you just can't get that fact through your head

you have also admitted to using the US mail for fraud BTW

cuting stevie lies

> Steve, K4YZ

## 2661. A real title to be proud of for "Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 22:02:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124748149.990085.207100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117062

Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!

Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people

Yes indeed Stevie is sick

and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a Kiddy porn producer

You just keep covering one lie with even worse one

Not even spausal abuse is as bad as Kiddy porn, at least the spouse can run away or defend themselves, a kid can do neither

And that Stevie thinks about kiddy porn to makes such judgements and to bring them out on his own proves who is sick

To bad that TN would not likely do anything about ti if reported

# 2662. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 22:04:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.22.03.48.389859@gmail.com>
Source record: 117076

... attitude adjustment, or plans to attempt to make newbies conform
to failed ways?

If you try something and it fails, and you try it again and it fails, and
you try it again it fails--there is something wrong with what you are
doing!

Simple logic really... at some point you must realize you need not ever
be afraid of that "solution" working!

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:37:09 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>
>> We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>> following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>> passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>
>> We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>> Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>> preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>> to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>> dirt.
>>
>> This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>
>> 73,
>> Carl - wk3c
>> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>
>
> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an

> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 2663. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 22:06:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.22.06.22.708007@gmail.com>
Source record: 117063

AOF:

I most strongly suspect:

newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on the
newbies! <grin>

... what say you?

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>> >
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>> >
>> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>> >
>> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>> > dirt.
>> >
>> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>> >
>> > 73,
>> > Carl - wk3c
>> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>> >
>>
>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the

>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an
>> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>
> Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI

# 2664. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 22:16:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.22.15.55.817817@gmail.com>
Source record: 117064

Dan:

Perhaps I misunderstood you. I agree I have run into way too many extras with an attitude they are superior in some way, they are superior at CW, but for some reason that looks like a damn simple thing to excited about, it won't get you a job, it isn't an indication of IQ, it won't help you feed your family, it is not suitable training for any real world skill, etc, etc.

And, you know, the problem really isn't the extras enjoying their "opium dreams" and looking ridiculous to really educated men while they are doing so, it is the damn idiots they are able to snooker that makes you lose faith in the human race! Has a good portion of this world become "extra worshiping fools?", what kind of mental disorder is that? How come I get the feeling like I went to bed and the world was sane, I woke up and everyone has gone crazy?

I mean, it is really too lunatic for intelligent men to argue--fools are grabbing hobby licenses and pretending to be intellectuals--I can't even begin to describe the bizarre nature of this, or how they are so successful at fooling others... I mean it is as simple as drugs--just say NO!

I was afraid this is what you meant by "attitude adjustment" for the newbies, indoctrination into the "church of extra worshiping hams!" Sorry if I was mistaken...

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:32:02 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> John,
>
> My "point" is this....ever since the creation of "incentive licensing".
> Forcing one to upgrade. I have found a serious degradation of attitudes in
> ham radio.
>
> I have seen the class of license being used to determine ones "pecking
> order" in the society of Amateur Radio. Thus forcing upon us a system of
> "class structure". A structure of unwarranted superiority because of ones
> license class. I stated it back in 1965 when I first heard of this stupid
> idea. And I state it today.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message

> news:pan.2005.08.21.21.08.20.459749@gmail.com...
>> Dan:
>>
>> At this point, all I see which has been "proved" is that in humanity there
>> is a need to set ones own image as being "special", the things they use as
>> as qualifications to these ends are preposterous--even resorting to
>> flashing a hobby license at you in pursuit of those ends...
>>
>> ... grab a chair and view this "circus" of performers out there, and just
>> to think, they have no clue on the opinions of others viewing them in
>> their silly performances... indeed, even if you attempt to explain it to
>> them, it just doesn't make it though that image they have constructed for
>> themselves.
>>
>> It is much like watching a "lotus blossom eater" and unable to fathom what
>> visions must dance before their eyes obscuring the reality which lies
>> about them... the term "glorified CB'ers" pops to the forefront of ones
>> mind...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 20:57:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...
>>>>
>>>> ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful, as
>>>> of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual,
>>>> as
>>>> of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting to
>>>> glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras so
>>>> worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
>>>> practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the unwitting...
>>>>
>>>> ... rumors only, mind you!
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> ... read all about it!
>>>>>
>>>>> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of
>>>>> licenses"

>>>>> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great CW
>>>>> God"...
>>>>>
>>>>> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>>>>>
>>>>> John
>>>>
>>

# 2665. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 22:22:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.22.22.40.828605@gmail.com>
Source record: 117065

Dan:

What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people", and NOT "what is good for my klick." Which is what you are really stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of themselves in some glorified manner! Disgusting really... and yes, I remember a time when it was NOT this way, you had a few anti-social weirdos who were loners and thought themselves special because of a hobby license, but that seems to have become catching and has almost infected the whole lot, the sane ones are rather few and far between these days...

"Amateur Worship is a Mental Disorder", is going to be the title of a book I am working on! <grin>

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:48:24 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124669695.309104.207160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >> Even today....well actually for
>>> >> many years....the 80 meter band is a classic example of wasted >
>>> >> space.
>>> >> Mostly dead air in the "CW" allocations. In particular from
>>> >> 3.5 to 3.6.
>>> >
>>> > I think you meant "3.6 to 3.7"
>>> >
>>> No I didn't....I don't consider 5 CW stations in 100 KC
>>> over use of a
>>> segement. Or should I say "Use of a segement".
>>> Nets are there for sure,
>>> but not for long. Then the band is dead again.
>>
>>> >> Lots of open space from 3.6 to 3.750 if you want to be open
>>> >> minded on this
>>> >> subject.
>>
>>> > All of 80 meters is open to digital modes. You know, the
>>> > modes all those new, young, modern hams are going to use
>>> > when Element 1 goes away.

>>
>>> > If there's so much room, then what's the problem making
>>> > 3500 to 3575 Morse Code only?
>>
>>> Because we don't use it now. 25 on the bottom of all bands is
>>> plenty IF it is CW exclusive to ALL classes.
>>
>> Is 80 meters full of digital signals? Or is it equally underused
>> by those modes as well?
>>
>
> 80/75 is a seasonal band, as is 160. Summertime activity of any kind is
> quite low. With the exception of SSB. It is always full up. At least in 4
> land anyway.
>
> Digital is indeed increasing, but so far are staying above 3575. On
> occassion I am QRMed from them on the Alabama net, 3575. But they move
> when they hear activity...to their credit.
>
>>> >> 40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that
>>> >> mess straight..
>>> >> hi.
>>> >
>>> > Not really. The mess is due to the rest of the world wanting
>>> > 7100-7300 for SWBC. That's going away, even as we speak, and
>>> > more and more of the rest of the world is letting their hams
>>> > have 7100-7200. Eventually 7000-7300 will be worldwide
>>> > exclusive amateur.
>>
>>> > So what's the problem with 7000-7050 being Morse Code only?
>>> >>
>>> See above
>>
>> The band is 300 kHz wide. 50 kHz is 16%. There are plenty of
>> times - noncontest times - when 40 is one Morse Code signal
>> after another from 7000 to 7050. And that's with cascaded
>> 8 pole 500 Hz filters in the rx.
>>
>
> 40 meters is a butchered band. And yes I know that changes are FINALLY on
> the way. Perhaps when it is a exclusive Amateur allocation (at least for
> Region 1 and 2) things will improve. But for now it is a complete mess.
>
> It is indeed a active band, for all modes. In reality it needs expanded to
> 7.5 or so. But that will never happen.
>
>>> >> 20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.
>>> >
>>> > Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
>>> > less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big

>>> > about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
>>> > but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.
>>
>> Is that what is best? More room for SSB and AM, less for
>> CW and digital modes?
>>
>
> I don't know what is "best". I would just like to see a clean spot for CW
> only. That is a personal choice, nothing more. Whether I get it is
> another story.
>
>>> >> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW > > >>
>>> >> ONLY. No
>>> >> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
>>> >>
>>> >> Those that don't.....won't.
>>> >>
>>> > The trouble is that it will take an Extra to get down there.
>>
>>> No it won't. Drop the Extra only and be done with that Dinosaur.
>>
>> FCC won't go for that. Read the NPRM - they specifically state that
>> they think 3 license classes is the right number, and that we'll
>> get to three classes by attrition. They specifically denied
>> auto-upgrades, new entry level licenses, etc.
>>
>
> Then only extra's do CW. I have no solution for that. If the FCC don't
> want it, it won't happen.
>
>> They also said that more frequencies was the best incentive.
>>
>
> The FCC has NO IDEA what is good for Ham radio. Nor do they give a RIP.
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 2666. a balst from the past 1998/09/08 form robeson stevie death in the origainl or only a copy off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 22:24:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124749483.147196.269490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117066

Mark,

Dumb Mark comment #1:>> Not FORCING BUT PREVETING you bastard,
and it is
not going anywhere any time real soon. <<

I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
to be
buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?

Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
disagree

To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
participants at
the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
then have
at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
something
shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
don't
waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
consequence.
YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
subject
to change!

Now it is my turn to call names, Mark. YOU ARE A LIAR!!!!!>>Yes
you have
, you siad we Should all Have to suffer Torture by Morse because Morse
is an
art from, so can BDSM be art form and it is is fun for those that like
it, but
none say they be forced to endure it either<<

I have never ONCE said anyone should be tortured by ANY means.
The only
comments I have made that you dug your gimmie gimmie gimmie claws into
was that
I said code was here for now BUT THAT IT WAS BEING CHANGED.

Ever since, you have dug into anything I say making it a "procode"
agenda,
and there fore "Anit-Mark" What mularkey!

For the record, I now make the following statements that yopu MAY quote,
and I hope you can get THESE correct!:

1. Mark Morgan is a clueless jerk with no life, therefore he must

belittle and berate others, despite the fact he can neither spell his insults
correctly, nor can he assemble more than two coherent sentences. He is a boil
on the Gluteus Maximus of Life.

2. Mark Morgan will probably die lonely, unfulfilled and desperate
because he spent so much time whining about how "we" have so abused him without
him trying to get past his front door to go out and find a life. I know so
many people who are blind, deaf, missing an appendage, lost children in

accidents, etc, and they get on with thier lives. My own daughter is terminally ill. but I don't cry "VICTIM" at every turn! You are a loser punk,
Morgan, unworthy of squat.

I've tried to be nice, even confidential in some of my comments, but you
are such an infuriating twerp that it makes my hair crawl

You can't take ANYTHING without trying to make it a rallying point for
the "Pro-Victim" crowd, even when it's in your defense! Are you stupid or do
you just do this for sport? If you are, you aren't getting any points on the
board!

# 2667. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 22:30:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124749825.609150.213720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117067

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> I most strongly suspect:
>
> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on the
> newbies! <grin>
>
> ... what say you?

In honesty I don't think Dan knows what dan means on that one
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
> >> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> >> >
> >> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
> >> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
> >> >
> >> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
> >> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
> >> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
> >> >
> >> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
> >> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
> >> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
> >> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
> >> > dirt.
> >> >
> >> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
> >> >
> >> > 73,

> >> > Carl - wk3c
> >> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
> >> >
> >>
> >> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
> >> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an
> >> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
> >
> > Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI

# 2668. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:18:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124752723.484858.62080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117068

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Before 1968 when the first phase of incentive licensing
> was forced upon us
> there was a defacto one license system for HF access.
>
> You had the General which gave you all Amateur privileges.
> As did the old
> Class A. As did the Extra. NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL.
> And the Novice was just
> the "stepping stone" to the General.

Actually it's a bit more complicated....

>From the early 1930s until 1951, there was the three-class "ABC"
system. B and C were the same except C was by mail and B was by FCC
examiner. 13 wpm and a pretty serious written test.

Class A required a Class B or C for a year, plus another written test.
Only available from an FCC examiner, and if you had a Class C you had
to do the exam for Class B all over again.

The *only* operating difference was that Class A had 'phone on HF/MF
ham bands except 160, 10 and 11 meters, and Class B and C did not.

Back then there were no 30, 17, 15 or 12 meter ham bands. And 40 meters
was all Morse Code. The Class A 'phone bands were 75 and 20.

Then in 1951, FCC changed everything. Class A became Advanced, Class B
became General and Class C became Conditional. The new Extra,
Technician and Novice licenses were created. And they announced that
after the end of 1952 there would be no more new Advanceds - you'd need
an Extra to do 'phone on the above-mentioned HF bands.

But in December 1952, just as time was running out, FCC reversed itself
completely, and gave all privileges to all US hams except Novices and
Techs.

FCC continued on that path in the following years. 40 meters got a
'phone segment, and when 15 became a ham band, it soon got a 'phone
segment too.

Some folks were very unhappy about it all. The Class A 'phone bands
became full of newbies with General and Conditional tickets. Only a few
thousand hams got Extras. The Novice was
enormously popular even though it gave extremely limited privileges.

This happy state of affairs lasted only a decade - then FCC began making noises of disappointment about how few hams had Extras and how much appliance operating was going on. ARRL responded with a simple proposal: reopen the Advanced to new issues, and go back to the old system where HF 'phone required an Advanced or Extra.

For about 5 years there were discussions that made the code-test issue look tame. All sorts of proposals came and went - the idea of a rabbit-warren of subbands-by-license-class came from CQ magazine, for example.

A *lot* of folks were very unhappy because when the new rules went into effect, they lost operating privs.
>
> The Technician was for those that couldn't hack the 13WPM code > and had to do
> the test to keep a license.

The Tech was originally meant for those not interested in HF.
It originally did not have 6 and 2 meters.

> The Novice expired after 1 year and was
> non-renewable.

Not only that, it was "non-retakeable". Only those who had never held any class of ham license before could get a Novice. One year, one shot - upgrade or go off the air.

At the end of WW2, there were about 60,000 US hams

By 1951, there were about 100,000 US hams. About 30% were Class A and the rest B and C.

By 1965, there were over 250,000 US hams. About 18,000 were Novices, about 40,000 were Advanced, and only about 4,000 were Extras. The rest were mostly Generals and Conditionals, with a few thousand Techs.

The result was that the vast majority (at least 85%) of US hams had all operating priviliges.

Despite all the changes in the intervening years, FCC says in the NPRM that it thinks there need to be 3 license classes - Tech, General and Extra, with frequency space as the incentive to upgrade. FCC is content to let the Novice, Advanced and Tech Plus licenses disappear by attrition and upgrading Technicians as Techs.

Was the old system better?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2669. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:19:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I82dnZ2dnZ2RdbjcnZ2dnfnAI96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117069

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>
> We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
> following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or
> "I passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.

class warfare? Oy!

> We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
> Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
> preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
> to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like dirt.

> This stuff has GOT to stop!

Sounds good, but I suspect that there would be some sort of pecking order *regardless* of the situation. Were there only *one* class of ham, some people would still set themselves up as the "anointed ones" by virtue of having some top notch radio, antenna, or whatever. It is that way in every vocation, social group, hobby, sport, or whatever. Kinda like human nature.

In other words, Morse code could go away entirely, no test, no use, nothing, and the situation wouldn't be much different.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 2670. Yet Another Incomprehensible Subject Line By Markie The Incomprehensible

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:25:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124753135.938553.93170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117070

You're really into "nice tries" today, aren't, you, markie.

I'll leave the bottom intact ehre so folks can re-read the entire
post.

No threat was made.

Having failed to impress anyone with your "a clerk at the ss told
me..." story, you try this again.

No on threatened you, least of all me.

Must be a boring day in Michigan today. Weather got ya pinned
down, does it?

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE

nobody's old friend wrote

> Mark,
>
>
> Dumb Mark comment #1:>> Not FORCING BUT PREVETING you bastard,
> and it is
> not going anywhere any time real soon. <<
>
>
> I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> to be
> buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
>
>
> Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> disagree
>
>
> To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> participants at
> the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> then have
> at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> something
> shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> don't
> waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of

> consequence.
> YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> subject
> to change!
>
>
> Now it is my turn to call names, Mark. YOU ARE A LIAR!!!!!>>Yes
> you have
> , you siad we Should all Have to suffer Torture by Morse because Morse
> is an
> art from, so can BDSM be art form and it is is fun for those that like
> it, but
> none say they be forced to endure it either<<
>
>
> I have never ONCE said anyone should be tortured by ANY means.
> The only
> comments I have made that you dug your gimmie gimmie gimmie claws into
> was that
> I said code was here for now BUT THAT IT WAS BEING CHANGED.
>
>
> Ever since, you have dug into anything I say making it a "procode"
> agenda,
> and there fore "Anit-Mark" What mularkey!
>
>
> For the record, I now make the following statements that yopu MAY
> quote,
> and I hope you can get THESE correct!:
>
>
> 1. Mark Morgan is a clueless jerk with no life, therefore he must
>
> belittle and berate others, despite the fact he can neither spell his
> insults
> correctly, nor can he assemble more than two coherent sentences. He is
> a boil
> on the Gluteus Maximus of Life.
>
>
> 2. Mark Morgan will probably die lonely, unfulfilled and
> desperate
> because he spent so much time whining about how "we" have so abused him
> without
> him trying to get past his front door to go out and find a life. I
> know so
> many people who are blind, deaf, missing an appendage, lost children in
>
> accidents, etc, and they get on with thier lives. My own daughter is

> terminally ill. but I don't cry "VICTIM" at every turn! You are a
> loser punk,
> Morgan, unworthy of squat.
>
>
> I've tried to be nice, even confidential in some of my comments,
> but you
> are such an infuriating twerp that it makes my hair crawl
>
>
> You can't take ANYTHING without trying to make it a rallying
> point for
> the "Pro-Victim" crowd, even when it's in your defense! Are you stupid
> or do
> you just do this for sport? If you are, you aren't getting any points
> on the
> board!

# 2671. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:30:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124753432.963740.274270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117071

From: K4YZ on Aug 22, 1:14 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Stebie, it takes as much time to write a single private e-mail
>> as it does to write a PUBLIC posting in here. Just write your
>> response IN PUBLIC if you want to be believed. That way anyone
>> can check it out as they wish. If it is verifiable, it will be
>> be believed. If it isn't verifiable, then you are just making
>> with a Confidence Game piece of BS.
>
> You've been GIVEN information IN PUBLIC that can be verified on an
>number of topics, Lennie.

Those consist solely of Stebie's messages. That is all.

> You blow it off with your own little song-and-dance.

"Song and dance?" :-)

[just a minute while I put on my Haney plates and move the rug...]

[dancers count in Octal, did you know that? :-) ]

"Song and Dance" Listings I've made:

1. Short-form WORK RESUME, not a "CV" or Curriculum Vitae.
Curriculum Vitae is for academics, is a whole Life
Experience listing.
2. Names and callsigns of living radio amateurs (N2JTV, KD6JG,
W6MJN) who know me (Gene was IN my signal battalion and
worked at the same transmitting station, different shift).
3. Issue date of the Pacific Stars and Stripes military
newspaper which had my interview in it, still archived at
the www.estripes website.
4. E-mail digitized copy of my first 'First Phone license
certificate which you said you didn't look at (impossible
to verify that a recipient has looked at anything).
5. Twice given others' listings of Ham Radio magazine articles
indexes which include my articles published there plus
mention of the fact that I was on their masthead as
Associate Editor in the 1980s (sold to CQ Communications
in 1990 after 22 years of independent publishing).
6. Included mentions of enough events, history, details of
the entire radio world to indicate a familiarity with
that documented history, giving specific websites for
information to verify that.

7. Given websites' URLs for collections for some of my
papers on radio.
8. Given websites for verification of DoD directives,
government radio activities, the NTIA (including Reports),
radio history other than ARRL versions of same.
9. Given book references on radio history and theory.

ALL of that is verifiable by anyone, anyone who cares to LOOK,
write the corporations' personnel departments about my employment,
or write the individuals I've listed.

In addition, I've made all of my PC programs for radio-electronics
freeware. I've mentioned only one, LCie4 (design-analysis of
passive L-C filters) in public communications with Jim Weir,
(WX6RST?) both in here and in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew.

NONE of what I've said/written is any sort of fabrication. It is
ALL independently verifiable. NONE of it is "song and dance."

Do we readers of this newsgroup see anything remotely similar
from Stebie? NO! All we get is vague generalities, no details,
smokescreened by innumerable personal insults against the
challengers in an attempt to divert attention.

>> WE all SHARE a feeling that all
>> you do is lay down a Snow Job, A Con Game Scam, lots of BS, and
>> a bunch of other nefarious braggadoccio. <shrug>
>
> So far you also share (with the exception of "Frank") deceit and
>dishonesty.

What "deceit" and "dishonesty?" Anyone is free to independently
verify for themselves what I've said is TRUE.

You seem to be operating from your own fantasy world where you
IMAGINE what others "really are." THAT is wrong, that isn't the
real world where the rest of us live.

You REFUSE TO ACCEPT what some of us have done in radio-electronics
and prefer to engage in petty squabbling and Flame War ignition
because you get challenged on your own opinions. Your ego is
seemingly so sensitive that you can't stand anything negative
said about your opinions, attitudes, or general comportment...yet
you insist on being "blameless" for heaping personal abuse on
others IN PLACE OF replying on the SUBJECT under discussion.

You've done the latter as shown quoted above. You do the same
thing in the quoted text following -

> You also have COPIOUS quantities of your own snow jobs,
>con games, BS, and nefarious braggadoccio in this forum, Lennie...Your
>pointing finger has plenty of all of them dripping off of them.

ANYONE can verify my "background" (work/hobby/life experiences) from the references I've given in public. Independently verify them. Having a different opinion on something is NOT a "snow job," "con game", "BS", or (love this one) "nefarious braggadoccio". It is simply HAVING A DIFFERENT OPINION. That YOU don't like an opinion different from yours is NOT some kind of "exemption" to call others names, to insult them. Yet, you routinely insult, demean, heap abuse on those who differ in their opinions. Sooner or later you MUST learn to take it in regards to differing opinions. The real world does NOT run on Stebie fantasies or ego-centric thought.

All you need do is to post some VERIFIABLE information or have some digitized documents available for e-mail to prove your statements. You SHOULD state YOUR case on "experiences" in enough first-person detail so that others - who have shared similar experiences - can judge whether or not they are "true." Avoid the constant barrage of petty insults thrown at those who challenge you in place of supplying verifiable references.

The constant, almost unremitting Flame War you engage in here only makes "your case" unbelievable, as if your whole reason-for-being is to FIGHT with everyone. I'm sure there's a "rec" newsgroup just for FIGHTING. Go there and work out if you must. Remember that THIS newsgroup is for recreational radio, amateur policy matters, NOT "Stebie Against The World."

Oh, work on your salesmanship skills. All good con men are salesmen at heart. So far you've not sold much...if anything.

for gon
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2672. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:31:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124753512.713461.84050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117072

From: K4YZ on Aug 22, 7:35 am

>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> > Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
>> >Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
>> >own lap.
>
>> And all your ranting has done nothing to resolve the topic of this
>> discussion. If you can think of anything that would make me believe
>> that you were in the USMC then feel free to speak up. Otherwise, it
>> looks like you are just stalling -- making excuses, obfuscating,
>> changing topics -- in hopes that I'll give up and leave.
>
> Oh no, don't leave, "Frank"...
>
> It just makes the LennieRants more colorful.
>
> Lesssee...You claim I've given you nothing but vague information,
>yet you refuse to e mail me directly and get "the details"...

So far we've got NOTHING but vagueness.

Must be a lot of that vagueness stuff going around...

> Furthermore, I've given you MORE than enough to verify my duty
>without giving you my SSAN to do it with.

"SSAN?" :-)

"Lesssee"...You claim that you were "in seven hostile actions."
Tsk, we don't know the Where or When of your involvement.

"Lesssee"...You claim to have been an "avionics tech" yet
you've been UNABLE to mention a single piece of aviation
electronics by nomenclature or familiar name. [were you
"brain-wiped" on leaving the Corps?]

"Lesssee"...You've NOT mentioned a single name of a "bud" or
Corps comrade who can be reached for independent verification
of your Corps existance...after 18 years of service you have
NO friends or references? [that's cause for alarm to everyone
right there]

> You demonstrate lapses in proper USMC etiquette yet try and "dis"
>my service just because I won't do a LennieRant and give a complete CV
>of my service here.

"...proper USMC etiquette...?"

[did your mess tables have little doilies and place settings? :-) ]

Tsk, Stebie had better look up "curriculum vitae" in his Latin dictionary. Those of us who WORKED FOR A LIVING in the radio-electronics industry called it a "resume" (pronouce it "resz-u-may") and, lo and behold, so did the Human Resources folks (fancy new name for Personnel Departments)!

> You were in an AAV unit so of course you know
>EVERYONE who ever served, including a guy who's service ended 13 years
>ago.

Don't YOU? :-)

Tsk, back a few years in here, I made a humorous mention of "Street Road" going through Bucks County, PA. A big Flame War erupted about my being at Naval Air Development Center in Warminster, PA (as a field engineer for my employer, RCA Corporation, specifically on air tests of an R&D collision-avoidance system). I was there in the winter of '71-'72 for three months plus three other short trips there in '72. At NO time did I ever "seek employment" there or "work for the USN" at any time (I worked for a private corporation). YOU said "you knew several" there that were "your buds" who aid I "wasn't a good employee" or words to that effect. That was a fabrication but you did not yield ANY details about me or your "buds" or even where I was working (the Warminster NAS or Naval Air Station, a one-runway field was bisected by a road separating it from the NADC main building...I was mainly in the main building).

Later on, in here, you brought out some UNNAMED "now-PhD" who "knew me" back then and said "I was not a good employee [of the Navy]." You kept insisting that unnamed person was "real" yet you "were obliged to keep his identity secret." That's ten kinds of BS crock, Stebie. In the short three months bisected by year-end Holidays, I worked directly with only 3 civilian employees of the USN, was NEVER a USN employee, always had a Visitor badge worn in addition to my RCA badge, met some of the pilots and aircrew (enlisted all USN, one civilian pilot, three USN commission pilots), and assorted USN and civilian NADC and NAS workers. The three civilians I worked with directly all retired long ago but here are their initials: S O B M J R - all you have to do is get them in pairs to think up their names...and then NAME the NADC section I was visiting for this R&D program's flight test for the U.S. government, NOT specifically for Navy development. While you are at it, you have to name the OTHER private corporation who ALSO had their anti-collision system flight tested there also for the U.S. government, not for Navy development. Feel free to name the USN aircraft used in those flight tests if you wish...I was in ALL of them.

You CANNOT complete any of those requirements, Stebie, because
- if you were really assigned to Warminster NAS - you were there
TEN YEARS AFTERWARDS when the NADC main building was shutting down
and projects there moved elsewhere. NADC is long GONE now and
perhaps the NAS is also (I don't really care). I was NEVER trying
for any Navy civilian employment position there just as I NEVER
tried to do so at China Lake or Point Mugu or Key West NAS or
Patuxent River (MD) Test Range ("Pax River"). You kept saying I
was either a "Navy employee" or "tried to get a job there", neither
of which was true.

Now YOU NAME this "now-PhD who knew me" back 33 1/2 years ago or
admit it was all a weird FABRICATION of yours. Your choice.
You haven't given detail One on this fabricated individual other
than glossed-over generalities and dire implications.

Tsk, you've "accused" Frank Gilliland of "making things up" yet
that is what YOU have been doing for years in here!

oop now
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2673. Mark Bored In Michigan Today...Starts whole Riff Of New Personal Attack Threads Despite Insisting He Doesn't Do Such Things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:37:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124753841.527895.113290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117073

nobody's old friend wrote:
> Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
>
> Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people

Lying is a sickness.

> Yes indeed Stevie is sick

Other than a bit of indigestion from too much pizza this
afternoon, I am quite fit.

> and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a
> Kiddy porn producer

You have yet to validate a single one, Markie.

> You just keep covering one lie with even worse one

Nope.

> Not even spausal abuse is as bad as Kiddy porn, at least the spouse can
> run away or defend themselves, a kid can do neither

Untrue. Many kids can do exactly that too. Most don't, but then
most abused spouses and domestic partners don't "run away or defend
themselves" either.

> And that Stevie thinks about kiddy porn to makes such judgements and to
> bring them out on his own proves who is sick

As much as I hate to do it, Markie, being made alert for such
potential abuses of children is a requirement of my job. There are
classes specifically taught to recognize such potential activities.

> To bad that TN would not likely do anything about ti if reported

Report away, Markie.

Because Tennessee ENCOURAGES people to report any questionable
behaviour. They DO investigte all claims.

However they ALSO have protection written into the Act which
allows for very serious fines and incarceration for anyone who knowing
"reports" another (regardless if they are a healthcare worker or
not...) with deceptive intent.

That would be you, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2674. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:39:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <R4Wdnf8wfaa0_5feRVn-1w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117074

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>
>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>>passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>
>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>dirt.
>>
>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>
>>73,
>>Carl - wk3c
>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>
>
>
> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an
> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.

You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student is good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to feel inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self esteem" students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of newbies out there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to offer advice in a kindly manner.

But I personally know of a case of an Extra who had no HF experience who told me "If you don't mind, I'd like you to leave now, I don't need

your advice.", and then proceed to make 6 QSO's during a 6 hour stint during a QSO contest. This guy made Extra without ever getting on HF.

Another case in which a fellow was working PSK31, and wasn't having any success. I sat with him, and it was obvious that the problem was that the guy had happy fingers, and was changing the modulation frequency way too quickly. He'd call CQ, and then switch frequencies after only one or two seconds.Couldn't hear people calling him back. Unfortunately, he "knew" that the trouble was actually in the antenna I put up.

There are other stories, but I won't belabor you with them.

While most hams *are* accepting and eager for Elmering, there are a number who have come into the hobby already knowing everything.

And there is some advice that I would offer to those who would set themselves up as the shakers and movers of the Amateur radio world:

While it may not be palatable in this new "high self esteem" world, there is a place for the Elmer, and there is a place for the student. The Elmer must be willing to teach, and the most important knowledge for the student must be knowing that he or she is getting a great gift.

It goes both ways.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 2675. Re: Compassionate No-Codism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:40:43 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <tunkg1dv7dhvr6opaj6lko8jakqgvm269f@4ax.com>
Source record: 117078

On 21 Aug 2005 18:06:06 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>>I learned it as BRASS: Breathe-Relax-Aim-Sight-Squeez?e.
>
> John, unload and secure your weapon.

Rock-and-roll show cancelled...even though it will cause many of us to sing the blues.

> This be the
> rec.radio.twilight.zone (see signpost up ahead?).

Ah, yes, the one with Rod Serling peering out from behind it. I had to um, refrain from doing a double-take when I saw it/him/whatever.

> Compassionate no-codism frowns on violins in this
> din of inequity cum musical chairs chorus.

Some of us could care less about compassionate no-codism, and would much rather, uh, cello-brate. Openly. However...

> Compose yourself. Orchestrate a new outlook.

I'll try to arrange it. I cannot guarantee the cooperation of others in the movement...they may not share your symphony...er, sympathy!

> Or fiddle around with radio. At your rhythm.

Actually, I've been doing just that here in my "bass" of operations, and almost spilled coffee on my keyboard (the computer one, not the musical instrument). Therefore, if you'll excuse me, Maestro, I'm going to try to strike a chord that is more in harmony with tuning around on the HF bands by bringing this message to its crescendo.

73 de John, KC2HMZ

## 2676. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:42:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124754149.114980.139910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117075

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Aug 22, 7:35 am

> > Furthermore, I've given you MORE than enough to verify my duty
> >without giving you my SSAN to do it with.
>
> "SSAN?"

Yep.

Social Security Account Number.

> Now YOU NAME this "now-PhD who knew me" back 33 1/2 years ago or
> admit it was all a weird FABRICATION of yours. Your choice.
> You haven't given detail One on this fabricated individual other
> than glossed-over generalities and dire implications.

Pfffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffft.

No fabrication.

Sorry you don't like it.

I'll give you the name just as soon as I see your "Extra Lite" hit
the FCC database, Lennie.

> Tsk, you've "accused" Frank Gilliland of "making things up" yet
> that is what YOU have been doing for years in here!

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2677. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-22 23:46:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.22.23.46.23.872341@gmail.com>
Source record: 117077

Mike:

Yes, that is the crux of the matter, isn't it? What is the line you draw
between legitimate elmering and manipulation of the newbies in support of
ones personal wants, desired, goals, etc...

... newbies be warned!

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:39:11 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>
>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>
>>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>>>passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>
>>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>>dirt.
>>>
>>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>
>>>73,
>>>Carl - wk3c
>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>
>>
>>
>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an

>> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>
> You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student
> is good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to
> feel inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self
> esteem" students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of
> newbies out there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to
> offer advice in a kindly manner.
>
> But I personally know of a case of an Extra who had no HF experience
> who told me "If you don't mind, I'd like you to leave now, I don't need
> your advice.", and then proceed to make 6 QSO's during a 6 hour stint
> during a QSO contest. This guy made Extra without ever getting on HF.
>
> Another case in which a fellow was working PSK31, and wasn't having any
> success. I sat with him, and it was obvious that the problem was that
> the guy had happy fingers, and was changing the modulation frequency way
> too quickly. He'd call CQ, and then switch frequencies after only one or
> two seconds.Couldn't hear people calling him back. Unfortunately, he
> "knew" that the trouble was actually in the antenna I put up.
>
> There are other stories, but I won't belabor you with them.
>
> While most hams *are* accepting and eager for Elmering, there are a
> number who have come into the hobby already knowing everything.
>
> And there is some advice that I would offer to those who would set
> themselves up as the shakers and movers of the Amateur radio world:
>
> While it may not be palatable in this new "high self esteem" world,
> there is a place for the Elmer, and there is a place for the student.
> The Elmer must be willing to teach, and the most important knowledge for
> the student must be knowing that he or she is getting a great gift.
>
> It goes both ways.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 2678. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:19:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <R92dnaRnWOMN9pfeRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117079

Dave Heil wrote:

I'll write my thoughts on these quotes below. Hopefully Carl and whoever will take them in a constructive manner.

> Carl Stevenson 1997
>
> "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
> whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the
> 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how
> fast they can beep ..."

Ouch! That never should have been written. If a person doesn't like CW, fine. But that never should have been written by any person who would someday count those people among his/her constituents.

Better things could be written, such as: We would be better off if we could engage the more experienced Hams in the concept of lifetime education. Radio technology has come so far that it can only be advantageous to strongly encourage, perhaps even require the knowledge of new technology, as old and obsolete technology falls to the wayside.

Probably better than calling them olde fartz.

> Carl Stevenson 1998:
>
> "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
> experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies
> for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
> totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
> thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
> and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."

> "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who
> are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how
> the good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of
> move coming for some time."
>
> " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
> speaks volumes about the ARRL."
>
> "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
> ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
> in holding this opinion."

These aren't in enough context for me to make any judgment on.

> Carl Stevenson 1999:
>
> "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
> for ANY legacy technology ... "

>
> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.

I do believe that a person is entitled to change their mind. This is of course as long as there is adequate reasons given. Too many people have been hamstrung by the idea that for a person to be consistent, they must make up their mind early (usually by a party line) and to never never ever change it.

So while it raises my interest that Carl has changed his mind on some items, I am inclined to grant him the Benefit. I see nothing particularly wrong with his present position.

That is that part. On the other hand, I am concerned with the "Olde Fartz" business, as well as some discussions I have had with him here. Was he just being a little wound up one day, or does he really support removing the licenses of "whining olde fartz". And regarding elimination of Element one, and the consequent endorsement of reduction of the test requirements, after saying the "n" word, (never), do I take him at his word, or do I be careful for (at best) strained interpretations of what was actually said.

There ya go, Carl. I'm not trying to be confrontational, just honest. I might still support you, I suspect that you would do a good job, but I do have some concerns.

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 2679. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:39:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.23.00.39.27.960261@gmail.com>
Source record: 117080

Mike:

No one should ever be confused by the term "old phart", or any derivative of that terms' meaning.

At ~55 I AM AN OLD PHART! But, perhaps there is fudge room" till 60, ask a teenager and 35+ is an old phart, ask an old phart and he will claim there ain't none (especially if he is the oldest-old phart--otherwise he just points at "the old guy!" <grin>)

By 65+ you are past the concern of the world--if you are still attempting to maintain control, have a trophy wife on your arm, and find a viagra tab--you just look pathetic to anyone in the real world... 70 is ancient, 75-80 is dead, however some dead people are still animate, and even past 80! Science is working on prolonging lifespans, they need to work more on keeping 'em from looking like corpses! (I just love the guys with a rug stretched over their head--if they were wondering if I notice--I DO!)

I may be accused of "being insensitive", I won't even consider arguments claiming I am in error...

A good way to know you are just in the way and embarrassing yourself, and having others embarrassed for you, is when:

You no longer are current and up-to-date, break into long boring stories of yesterday, and find younger people a bother when they are participating in your activity and especially when showing you up, and you fail to notice what a drain you are on the younger people about you...

... nothing gets better as you get older, and that's a fact! (well, except the younger women! <grin>)

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:19:32 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> I'll write my thoughts on these quotes below. Hopefully Carl and
> whoever will take them in a constructive manner.
>
>
>> Carl Stevenson 1997
>>
>> "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
>> whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the

>> 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how
>> fast they can beep ..."
>
> Ouch! That never should have been written. If a person doesn't like CW,
> fine. But that never should have been written by any person who would
> someday count those people among his/her constituents.
>
> Better things could be written, such as: We would be better off if we
> could engage the more experienced Hams in the concept of lifetime
> education. Radio technology has come so far that it can only be
> advantageous to strongly encourage, perhaps even require the knowledge
> of new technology, as old and obsolete technology falls to the wayside.
>
> Probably better than calling them olde fartz.
>
>
>> Carl Stevenson 1998:
>>
>> "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
>> experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies
>> for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
>> totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
>> thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
>> and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."
>
>
>> "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who
>> are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how
>> the good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of
>> move coming for some time."
>>
>> " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
>> speaks volumes about the ARRL."
>>
>> "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
>> ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
>> in holding this opinion."
>
>
> These aren't in enough context for me to make any judgment on.
>
>> Carl Stevenson 1999:
>>
>> "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
>> for ANY legacy technology ... "
>
>>
>> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
>> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
>> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.

>
>
> I do believe that a person is entitled to change their mind. This is of
> course as long as there is adequate reasons given. Too many people have
> been hamstrung by the idea that for a person to be consistent, they must
> make up their mind early (usually by a party line) and to never never
> ever change it.
>
> So while it raises my interest that Carl has changed his mind on some
> items, I am inclined to grant him the Benefit. I see nothing
> particularly wrong with his present position.
>
> That is that part. On the other hand, I am concerned with the "Olde
> Fartz" business, as well as some discussions I have had with him here.
> Was he just being a little wound up one day, or does he really support
> removing the licenses of "whining olde fartz". And regarding elimination
> of Element one, and the consequent endorsement of reduction of the test
> requirements, after saying the "n" word, (never), do I take him at his
> word, or do I be careful for (at best) strained interpretations of what
> was actually said.
>
> There ya go, Carl. I'm not trying to be confrontational, just honest. I
> might still support you, I suspect that you would do a good job, but I
> do have some concerns.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

## 2680. Stevie avoiding his remarks about Kiddy porn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:47:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124758029.162363.134300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117081

K4YZ wrote:
> nobody's old friend wrote:
> > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> >
> > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
>
> Lying is a sickness.

Not according to AMA
>
> > Yes indeed Stevie is sick
>
> Other than a bit of indigestion from too much pizza this
> afternoon, I am quite fit.

No you are sick, saying kiddy porn is related to lying

>
> > and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a
> > Kiddy porn producer
>
> You have yet to validate a single one, Markie.

sure have

>
> > You just keep covering one lie with even worse one
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> > Not even spausal abuse is as bad as Kiddy porn, at least the spouse can
> > run away or defend themselves, a kid can do neither
>
ratonalizing his sickness

> > And that Stevie thinks about kiddy porn to makes such judgements and to
> > bring them out on his own proves who is sick
>
more rationalizing his remarks about kiddy porn

> > To bad that TN would not likely do anything about ti if reported
>
> Report away, Markie.

>
> Because Tennessee ENCOURAGES people to report any questionable
> behaviour. They DO investigte all claims.

>
> However they ALSO have protection written into the Act which
> allows for very serious fines and incarceration for anyone who knowing
> "reports" another (regardless if they are a healthcare worker or
> not...) with deceptive intent.

>
> That would be you, Markie.

No deceptive intent You are sick and discusting

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2681. an archiv a some things stave would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:50:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124758213.627296.235010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117082

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark,
>
cutting

> I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> to be
> buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?

Stevie making a pass at me

>
>
> Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> disagree
>
>
> To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> participants at
> the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> then have
> at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> something
> shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> don't
> waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> consequence.
> YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> subject
> to change!

a nice clear death threat

no way that is anything but a death threat

no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
shooting and killing

## 2682. More stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:51:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124758316.750633.244380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117083

K4YZ wrote:
> You're really into "nice tries" today, aren't, you, markie.
>
> I'll leave the bottom intact ehre so folks can re-read the entire
> post.
>
> No threat was made.

Bulshit

quoting you
To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
participants at
the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
then have
at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
something
shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
don't
waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
consequence.
YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
subject
to change!

Unquote

the last sentence is particularly ilumanting

>
> Having failed to impress anyone with your "a clerk at the ss told
> me..." story, you try this again.
>
> No on threatened you, least of all me.

Liar
>
> Must be a boring day in Michigan today. Weather got ya pinned
> down, does it?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

# 2683. Re: an archiv a some things stave would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:53:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124758398.558245.92200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117084

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark,
> >
> cutting
>
> > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > to be
> > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
>
> Stevie making a pass at me
>
> >
> >
> > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > disagree
> >
> >
> > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > participants at
> > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > then have
> > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > something
> > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > don't
> > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > consequence.
> > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > subject
> > to change!
>
>
> a nice clear death threat
>
>
> no way that is anything but a death threat
>
> no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> shooting and killing

Steve loves charades. His favorite is the "I'm almost going to make a
threat, but I am going to make you think I made a threat" charade.

# 2684. Markie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:55:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124758517.391104.159800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117085

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> cut
> >
> > > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > > > >
> > > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> > >
> > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
> >
> > What's a "freind"...??!!
> >
> > And no one tried to "help me".
>
> and you know this how?

Because a friend wouldn't put me in that position.

Would a "friend" send e-mail to me in YOUR name making
inapproprite suggestions, Mark?

If ANYONE approached the "ss" in my name in regards to you, it was
an effort to compromise me. That's not friendship.

And if anyone DID approach them in my name, they were stupid.

However I am still of the opinion that this is nothing more tha

> > > just reporting the facts of his call
> >
> > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > rest of it ludicrous.
>
> never said social security you made that up

Nope.

YOU did. Unless you were intending "ss" to be in reference to
some neo-Nazi office that you call on a regular basis?

> I though socail services was clear enough

Nope. Not at all. What's "socail" services?

This is why YOU have a problem being understood.

You "assume" that everyone else in the world knows which set of
definitions, abbreviations, or other abuses of the English language you
intend to use today.

And in any case, it still stands that I made no phone calls.

> cuting

Of course you would.

> > > sure would
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > > If MI protection orders are like TN, they would prohibit an
> > > > enjoined party from approaching or being in closer than 500ft proximity
> > > > to the protected party.
> > >
> > > well more like a mile in rural areas, and that does prevent you from
> > > coming here since you don't where I'd be
> >
> > More like 'in your dreams' DelusionBoy!
>
> you are the one with delusions

Nope.

> > > > Nice try...AGAIN!
> > > >
> > > > > Of course it is unlikely to mean much to you since while you were
> > > > > threatening to come UP but I am sure that was more of your blowing
> > > > > smoke, but I am have made aware of how it changes thing in self defense
> > > > > and reminded that the Suprume Court has ruled the cops realy don't have
> > > > > to protect me
> > > >
> > > > Protect you...?!?!
> > >
> > > yes me or anyone else
>
> cuting stvie rant

"cutting" "Stevie"

What rant?

> > Those orders are a placebo, Markie. They don't work.
>
> not for real protection I agree I already said that more or less
>
> But they would make it a crime for you to come here

Nope. Only for me to contact you directly or intentionally
approach within a set distance. Usually 500 feet.

> you just can't get that fact through your head

You're the one with "fact" issues, Markie.

No protection order can keep me from visiting ANY part of Michigan
I chose to visit, other than a moving 500ft bubble around you. And
trust me, Markie...My sense of smell will redirect my travel if I get
that close to you!

> you have also admitted to using the US mail for fraud BTW

No, I've not.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2685. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:56:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124758578.402823.181690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117086

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Aug 22, 1:14 pm
>
cuting
> ANYONE can verify my "background" (work/hobby/life experiences)
> from the references I've given in public. Independently verify
> them. Having a different opinion on something is NOT a "snow
> job," "con game", "BS", or (love this one) "nefarious
> braggadoccio". It is simply HAVING A DIFFERENT OPINION. That
> YOU don't like an opinion different from yours is NOT some
> kind of "exemption" to call others names, to insult them. Yet,
> you routinely insult, demean, heap abuse on those who differ in
> their opinions. Sooner or later you MUST learn to take it in
> regards to differing opinions. The real world does NOT run on
> Stebie fantasies or ego-centric thought.

Sorry Len but I must call you on that one I am certain alas you are
worng Stevie doesn't have to learn to deal with the real world
cut

## 2686. How long are you going to contiue with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 00:59:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124758756.855327.252820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117087

how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
your claims seriously?

# 2687. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:00:16 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <4KydnfhUce-y6JfeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117088

Mike,

Have you seen my response to Dave's post? I believe I addressed the point
you seem most concerned about.
(and yes, there were days when we were ALL more than just a bit "wound up"
:-)

If you have further questions, you can either ask them here, in private
e-mail, or e-mail me a phone number and I'll be happy to call you for a
chat.

--
73,
Carl R. Stevenson - wk3c
Grid Square FN20fm
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
---------------------------------------------------
Life Member, ARRL
Life Member, QCWA (31424)
Member, TAPR
Member, AMSAT-NA
Member, LVARC (Lehigh Valley ARC)
Member, Lehigh County ARES/RACES
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, IEEE Standards Association
Chair, IEEE 802.22 WG on Wireless Regional Area Networks
---------------------------------------------------
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:R92dnaRnWOMN9pfeRVn-oA@adelphia.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> I'll write my thoughts on these quotes below. Hopefully Carl and whoever
> will take them in a constructive manner.
>
>
>> Carl Stevenson 1997
>>
>> "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
>> whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the
>> 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how
>> fast they can beep ..."
>
> Ouch! That never should have been written. If a person doesn't like CW,
> fine. But that never should have been written by any person who would

> someday count those people among his/her constituents.
>
> Better things could be written, such as: We would be better off if we
> could engage the more experienced Hams in the concept of lifetime
> education. Radio technology has come so far that it can only be
> advantageous to strongly encourage, perhaps even require the knowledge of
> new technology, as old and obsolete technology falls to the wayside.
>
> Probably better than calling them olde fartz.
>
>
>> Carl Stevenson 1998:
>>
>> "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
>> experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies
>> for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
>> totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
>> thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
>> and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."
>
>
>> "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who
>> are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how the
>> good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of move
>> coming for some time."
>>
>> " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
>> speaks volumes about the ARRL."
>>
>> "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
>> ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
>> in holding this opinion."
>
>
> These aren't in enough context for me to make any judgment on.
>
>> Carl Stevenson 1999:
>>
>> "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
>> for ANY legacy technology ... "
>
>>
>> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
>> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the seasoned
>> veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>
>
> I do believe that a person is entitled to change their mind. This is of
> course as long as there is adequate reasons given. Too many people have
> been hamstrung by the idea that for a person to be consistent, they must

> make up their mind early (usually by a party line) and to never never ever
> change it.
>
> So while it raises my interest that Carl has changed his mind on some
> items, I am inclined to grant him the Benefit. I see nothing particularly
> wrong with his present position.
>
> That is that part. On the other hand, I am concerned with the "Olde Fartz"
> business, as well as some discussions I have had with him here. Was he
> just being a little wound up one day, or does he really support removing
> the licenses of "whining olde fartz". And regarding elimination of Element
> one, and the consequent endorsement of reduction of the test requirements,
> after saying the "n" word, (never), do I take him at his word, or do I be
> careful for (at best) strained interpretations of what was actually said.
>
> There ya go, Carl. I'm not trying to be confrontational, just honest. I
> might still support you, I suspect that you would do a good job, but I do
> have some concerns.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 2688. Re: an archiv a some things stave would like to forget <<< It's "archive" and "Steve" (or "Stevie" if you perfer), Markie, and STILL No Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:01:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124758884.389653.297440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117089

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark,
> >
> cutting
>
> > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > to be
> > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
>
> Stevie making a pass at me

Nope.

> > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > disagree
> >
> >
> > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > participants at
> > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > then have
> > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > something
> > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > don't
> > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > consequence.
> > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > subject
> > to change!
>
>
> a nice clear death threat

Nope.

> no way that is anything but a death threat

It's a lot of things...A death threat isn't one of them.

> no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> shooting and killing

"tlak" "tlaking"

Just responding to your "is that your best shot" comment in kind.

"...I don't waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that
are not of consequence. YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware
of, but situations ARE subject to change!..."

And STILL no death threat!

Give it up, DelusionBoy! That was no more a "death threat" than
your "I am a Colonel in the Army Chemical Corps" was true!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2689. Re: Markie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:03:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124759004.834549.243440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117090

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> > >
> > > Nice try, Markie!
> >
> > want try?
>
> Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!
>
> > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > >
> > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> >
> > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
>
> What's a "freind"...??!!
>
> And no one tried to "help me".
>
> > just reporting the facts of his call
>
> Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> rest of it ludicrous.

Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.

> > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> >
> > well you should have sent it there
>
> Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!

More playing dumb? Indeed.

# 2690. Re: More Markie Trying to Make Stories To "Vicitimize" Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:05:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124759104.946936.300610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117091

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > You're really into "nice tries" today, aren't, you, markie.
> >
> > I'll leave the bottom intact ehre so folks can re-read the entire
> > post.
> >
> > No threat was made.
>
> Bulshit

"bull#####", Markie.

And no threat was made.

> quoting you

> To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> participants at
> the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> then have
> at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> something
> shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> don't
> waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> consequence.
> YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> subject
> to change!
>
> Unquote
>
> the last sentence is particularly ilumanting

"illuminating"

No, it wasn't.

Seven years later and it's STILL no threat.

> > Having failed to impress anyone with your "a clerk at the ss told
> > me..." story, you try this again.
> >
> > No on threatened you, least of all me.
>
> Liar

Nope.

> > Must be a boring day in Michigan today. Weather got ya pinned
> > down, does it?

Obviously it's impaired his comprehension skills.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2691. Re: an archiv a some things stave would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:05:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124759142.744157.232200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117092

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mark,
> > >
> > cutting
> >
> > > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > > to be
> > > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
> >
> > Stevie making a pass at me
>
> Nope.

yep you are in plain langauge

>
> > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > disagree
> > >
> > >
> > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > participants at
> > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > then have
> > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > something
> > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > don't
> > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > consequence.
> > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > subject
> > > to change!
> >
> >
> > a nice clear death threat
>
> Nope.

sure is

>
> > no way that is anything but a death threat

>
> It's a lot of things...A death threat isn't one of them.

It can only be a death threat

It has nothing to do with hamradio

nothing to with the topic "Cw it more than just a mode"

it is and remains a death threat
>
> > no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> > shooting and killing
>
> "tlak" "tlaking"
>
> Just responding to your "is that your best shot" comment in kind.
>
> "...I don't waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that
> are not of consequence. YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware
> of, but situations ARE subject to change!..."
>
> And STILL no death threat!

sure is

>
> Give it up, DelusionBoy! That was no more a "death threat" than
> your "I am a Colonel in the Army Chemical Corps" was true!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2692. Re: Markie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:08:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124759317.836976.143860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117093

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > rest of it ludicrous.
>
> Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.

It could also mean "stupid story", which is what I am betting on.

Markie's story STILL doesn't bear weight.

> > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > >
> > > well you should have sent it there
> >
> > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
>
> More playing dumb? Indeed.

If I were, then I WOULD be PLAYING.

You and the rest of the Infamous Four think it's a birthright.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2693. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:10:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124759448.712169.243200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117094

an old friend wrote:
> how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
> your claims seriously?

What BS?

"Michigan" is a proper name and should be capitalized.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2694. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:14:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.23.01.14.30.659985@gmail.com>
Source record: 117095

Dan:

I can think of at least one way to make incentive licensing more
effective, perhaps up to 100% effective:

Trick those in question into consuming a very slow acting poison. Then
tell them, "Those who pass a CW exam at 13+ wpm will get the antidote, the
poison will kill you in a month if you are unsuccessful. Then, shove a
CPO in their hand and a study book, come back just short of a month and
administer the test--I expect very few would die."

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:35:21 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Before 1968 when the first phase of incentive licensing was forced upon us
> there was a defacto one license system for HF access.
>
> You had the General which gave you all Amateur privileges. As did the old
> Class A. As did the Extra. NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL. And the Novice was just
> the "stepping stone" to the General.
>
> The Technician was for those that couldn't hack the 13WPM code and had to do
> the test to keep a license. The Novice expired after 1 year and was
> non-renewable.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124674505.203467.98350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> Have you realy found folsk that honestly disgree
>>
>> this Discontent is why I have a strong inclanation to a One Class
>> license feeling that what the ARS loses in intail skill would be mad
>> eup for in in removing that discontent
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...
>>> >
>>> > ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful,

>>> > as
>>> > of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been ineffectual,
>>> > as
>>> > of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting
>>> > to
>>> > glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras
>>> > so
>>> > worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
>>> > practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the
>>> > unwitting...
>>> >
>>> > ... rumors only, mind you!
>>> >
>>> > John
>>> >
>>> > On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >> ... read all about it!
>>> >>
>>> >> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of
>>> >> licenses"
>>> >> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great
>>> >> CW
>>> >> God"...
>>> >>
>>> >> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>>> >>
>>> >> John
>>> >
>>

# 2695. Re: Past Gems from Candidate Carl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:14:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124759682.759158.45880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117096

Dave Heil wrote:
> Carl Stevenson 1997
>
> "We'd be better off if we could, instead, find a way to reeducate the
> whining olde fartz ... those whose knowledge of radio is frozen in the
> 30s and 40s somewhere don't deserve their licenses today, no matter how
> fast they can beep ..."
>
> Carl Stevenson 1998:
>
> "The majority of the opposition is, IMNSHO, based on people's
> experiences with "frequency coordinators" who warehouse frequencies
> for private repeaters for their cronies and an ARRL which is
> totally out of touch with today's world, tries at every turn to
> thwart progress in favor of the status quo of stagnancy and decay,
> and could care less what the majority of hams feel or believe."
>
> "In a word, 'bullshit,' Ed ... it's just that the majority of hams who
> are not ARRL members (and even many of us who ARE) know full-well how
> the good-old-boy politics of the ARRL work and have seen this type of
> move coming for some time."
>
> " More BS, Ed ... the ARRL's sleazy attempt at end-run regulation
> speaks volumes about the ARRL."
>
> "I freely admit my bias against current (counting the past few decades)
> ARRL policy and current 'leadership.' I am by no means a 'lone wolf'
> in holding this opinion."
>
> Carl Stevenson 1999:
>
> "I don't think we should establish 'protected class' setasides
> for ANY legacy technology ... "
>
>
> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

Perhaps they'll try to stuff the ballot box so they don't have to
welcome him.

# 2696. Re: an archiv (archive) a (of) some things stave (Steve or Stevie) would like to forget <<< Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:15:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124759754.893273.182400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117097

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Mark,
> > > >
> > > cutting
> > >
> > > > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > > > to be
> > > > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
> > >
> > > Stevie making a pass at me
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep you are in plain langauge

Nope. More of your delusions of grandeur, Markie.

> > > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > > disagree
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > > participants at
> > > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > > then have
> > > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > > something
> > > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > > don't
> > > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > > consequence.
> > > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > > subject
> > > > to change!
> > >
> > >
> > > a nice clear death threat
> >
> > Nope.
>

> sure is

Nope.

Not then...Not today...

> > > no way that is anything but a death threat
> >
> > It's a lot of things...A death threat isn't one of them.
>
> It can only be a death threat

Nope.

> It has nothing to do with hamradio

The what were YOU doing making your own "best shot" comments?

> nothing to with the topic "Cw it more than just a mode"

What were you doing making slanderous comments?

> it is and remains a death threat

Nope. Double nope.

> > > no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> > > shooting and killing
> >
> > "tlak" "tlaking"
> >
> > Just responding to your "is that your best shot" comment in kind.
> >
> > "...I don't waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that
> > are not of consequence. YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware
> > of, but situations ARE subject to change!..."
> >
> > And STILL no death threat!
>
> sure is

Nope.

Just more of your "self victimization" role.

> > Give it up, DelusionBoy! That was no more a "death threat" than
> > your "I am a Colonel in the Army Chemical Corps" was true!

Repeated for emphasis.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2697. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:16:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124759791.524381.46140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117098

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
> > your claims seriously?
>
> What BS?

you bs
cuting the spelling cop

when you going to admit you are full of shit in claiming Michigan is
going to do something on your say so

> Steve, K4YZ

# 2698. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:20:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124760003.024601.292260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117099

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> > > >
> > > > Nice try, Markie!
> > >
> > > want try?
> >
> > Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!
> >
> > > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > > >
> > > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> > >
> > > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
> >
> > What's a "freind"...??!!
> >
> > And no one tried to "help me".
> >
> > > just reporting the facts of his call
> >
> > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > rest of it ludicrous.
>
> Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.

Indeed it does

How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
is beyond my understanding

Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
and claim to be Stevie

>
> > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".

> > >
> > > well you should have sent it there
> >
> > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
>
> More playing dumb? Indeed.

He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb

# 2699. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:21:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Pr6dnZ2dnZ3FMFKPnZ2dnb_nl96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117000

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> Yes, that is the crux of the matter, isn't it? What is the line you draw
> between legitimate elmering and manipulation of the newbies in support of
> ones personal wants, desired, goals, etc...
>
> ... newbies be warned!

The drawn line? The inexperienced Extra who refused advice on how to work in a QSO party probably didn't have much fun in the contest. Staying overnight, and making 6 QSO's probably wasn't much fun.

The other guy with the happy fingers on the keyboard did eventually calm down enough to make a few QSO's, but still blamed his problems on the antenna.

I doubt that either of these guys will go on to have much fun in Amateur radio. Who knows why some people are so reluctant to take a little advice. Perhaps they are sensitive, and don't want to be thought of as not knowing everything (like who does)

OTOH, I did indeed treat my Elmers with respect, and learned a lot. Some of them were old, and some were cantankerous. Big deal. Treat a person right, and they are usually happy to share their knowledge with ya. I'm happy to learn from anyone, even those who think I am a horses patoot.

- mike KB3EIA -

> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:39:11 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>>news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI

>>>>
>>>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>>
>>>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>>>>passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>>
>>>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>>>dirt.
>>>>
>>>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>>
>>>>73,
>>>>Carl - wk3c
>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>>newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an
>>>attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>
>> You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student
>>is good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to
>>feel inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self
>>esteem" students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of
>>newbies out there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to
>>offer advice in a kindly manner.
>>
>> But I personally know of a case of an Extra who had no HF experience
>>who told me "If you don't mind, I'd like you to leave now, I don't need
>>your advice.", and then proceed to make 6 QSO's during a 6 hour stint
>>during a QSO contest. This guy made Extra without ever getting on HF.
>>
>> Another case in which a fellow was working PSK31, and wasn't having any
>>success. I sat with him, and it was obvious that the problem was that
>>the guy had happy fingers, and was changing the modulation frequency way
>>too quickly. He'd call CQ, and then switch frequencies after only one or
>>two seconds.Couldn't hear people calling him back. Unfortunately, he
>>"knew" that the trouble was actually in the antenna I put up.
>>
>> There are other stories, but I won't belabor you with them.
>>
>> While most hams *are* accepting and eager for Elmering, there are a
>>number who have come into the hobby already knowing everything.
>>
>> And there is some advice that I would offer to those who would set

>>themselves up as the shakers and movers of the Amateur radio world:
>>
>> While it may not be palatable in this new "high self esteem" world,
>>there is a place for the Elmer, and there is a place for the student.
>>The Elmer must be willing to teach, and the most important knowledge for
>>the student must be knowing that he or she is getting a great gift.
>>
>> It goes both ways.
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

## 2700. Markie Making It Up As He Goes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:26:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124760393.447290.16430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117001

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > >
> > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> >
> > Lying is a sickness.
>
> Not according to AMA

It's not a medical issue.

> > > Yes indeed Stevie is sick
> >
> > Other than a bit of indigestion from too much pizza this
> > afternoon, I am quite fit.
>
> No you are sick, saying kiddy porn is related to lying

They are. And no, I am quite well..The earlier indigestion from
the pizza waned, thanks to some Zantac OTC.

> > > and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a
> > > Kiddy porn producer
> >
> > You have yet to validate a single one, Markie.
>
> sure have

Nope.

Not a one.

> > > You just keep covering one lie with even worse one
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes

Nope.

> > > Not even spausal abuse is as bad as Kiddy porn, at least the spouse can
> > > run away or defend themselves, a kid can do neither
> >
> ratonalizing his sickness

Those were YOUR words.

Here are mine, since you "commented" on them but didn't cite what
you were replying to (very poor netiquette, by the way...)

QUOTE:

Untrue. Many kids can do exactly that too. Most don't, but then most
abused spouses and domestic partners don't "run away or defend
themselves" either.

UNQUOTE.

Number one cause of premature death of adults in families under
age 50 is homicide-by-partner. Most of those cases were of persons
killed by spouses/partners who had abused them before.

This is a fact.

> > > And that Stevie thinks about kiddy porn to makes such judgements and to
> > > bring them out on his own proves who is sick
> >
> more rationalizing his remarks about kiddy porn

More of me reinstating comments you are commenting on but didn't
quote:

QUOTE:

As much as I hate to do it, Markie, being made alert for such
potential abuses of children is a requirement of my job. There are
classes specifically taught to recognize such potential activities.

UNQUOTE.

That's not "rationalizing", Markie. It's the truth.

> > > To bad that TN would not likely do anything about ti if reported
> >
> > Report away, Markie.
> >
> > Because Tennessee ENCOURAGES people to report any questionable
> > behaviour. They DO investigte all claims.
>
> >
> > However they ALSO have protection written into the Act which
> > allows for very serious fines and incarceration for anyone who knowing
> > "reports" another (regardless if they are a healthcare worker or
> > not...) with deceptive intent.
>
>
> >
> > That would be you, Markie.
>
> No deceptive intent You are sick and discusting

Nope...But I am sure you will do your best to make everyone think so.

If you were a bit more literate or graceful with the printed word, you MIGHT convince someone...until you started in with more of your tales, that is...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2701. Re: an archiv (archive) a (of) some things stave (Steve or Stevie) would like to forget <<< Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:29:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124760556.789641.131700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117002

```
K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Mark,
> > > > >
> > > > cutting
> > > >
> > > > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > > > to be
> > > > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
> > > >
> > > Stevie making a pass at me
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> yep you are in plain langauge
>
> Nope. More of your delusions of grandeur, Markie.

nope more of you your sexual harassment

>
> > > > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > > > disagree
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > > > participants at
> > > > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > > > then have
> > > > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > > > something
> > > > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > > > don't
> > > > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > > > consequence.
> > > > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > > > subject
> > > > > to change!
> > > >
> > > >
```

> > > > a nice clear death threat
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure is
>
> Nope.
>
> Not then...Not today...

was then and remains today
>
> > > > no way that is anything but a death threat
> > >
> > > It's a lot of things...A death threat isn't one of them.
> >
> > It can only be a death threat
>
> Nope.
>
> > It has nothing to do with hamradio
>
> The what were YOU doing making your own "best shot" comments?

shots do not always involve gun or killing

>
> > nothing to with the topic "Cw it more than just a mode"
>
> What were you doing making slanderous comments?

I was not making one

can't have been I could have been making libelous one, but I was doing
that either

# 2702. Markie Now Continues To Show Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:31:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124760662.815775.293600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117003

nobodys old friend wrote:
> hot-sham-and-sleaze...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > rest of it ludicrous.
> > >
> > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> >
> Indeed it does
>
> How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> is beyond my understanding

Nothing is beyond your "understanding", Markie...You've said so
yourself.

> Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
> that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
> and claim to be Stevie

That's not waht I said, Markie.

YOU said a "freind" was "helping me out".

I said that anyone who was doing that wasn't a friend of mine.

> > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > > >
> > > > well you should have sent it there
> > > >
> > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> > >
> > More playing dumb? Indeed.
> >
> He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb

Nope.

But the "telephone call" story sure was!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2703. Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:31:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124760702.419448.29320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117004

Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
there at the end

from ERLPN Sep 18 1998, 3:00 am
Mt. T,

Re your:>>If he was a Marine I would take credits away from him.
We use to
say the
Marine Motto was "Charge,Kill, kill Die." Charge up the hill,kill as
many as
you can and die as you get to the top.<<

My Drill Instructors were a bit more realistic. Our focus was
"It's NOT
your job to die for your Country....It's your job to make that other
poor
S.O.B. die for his."

Yes, spoken by General Patton (or alleged to have been), but
sensical to
me. A dead Marine can't kill other enemy soldiers.

Perhaps in "your day" there was a glut of Marines to use as cannon
fodder,
but when I hit the Yellow Footprints on September3rd, 1974, it had been
"all
volunteer" for a year, and the Recruiters weren't exactly overwhelmed
by
persons jamming the hallways to get to MCRD. Taking out "more' of
them" than
took us made (makes) sense to me!

(In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
start
with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
above,
finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)

Semper Fidelis

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

# 2704. Re: A real title to be proud of for "Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:35:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124760948.245193.51560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117005

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > > >
> > > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> > >
> > > Lying is a sickness.
> >
> > Not according to AMA
>
> It's not a medical issue.

of course it is

Unless it is a religious one in which Lying is not a sickness, it can
be a religious requirement

and In amercia we still have freedom of religion

>
> > > > Yes indeed Stevie is sick
> > >
> > > Other than a bit of indigestion from too much pizza this
> > > afternoon, I am quite fit.
> >
> > No you are sick, saying kiddy porn is related to lying
>
> They are. And no, I am quite well..The earlier indigestion from
> the pizza waned, thanks to some Zantac OTC.

more stevie lies

kiddy porn is in no way related to lying

>
> > > > and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a
> > > > Kiddy porn producer
> > >
> > > You have yet to validate a single one, Markie.
> >
> > sure have
>

> Nope.

indeed I have

a new lie validated is your claim to respect the constitution of the US

cuting more repitous crap

# 2705. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:35:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124760959.357820.139230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117006

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
> > > your claims seriously?
> >
> > What BS?
>
> you bs

What BS?

(And that should have been "Your BS.", Markie)

> cuting the spelling cop

"cutting".

And Michigan should STILL be capitalized as a "proper" name.

> when you going to admit you are full of #### in claiming Michigan is
> going to do something on your say so

Well...IF I were full of ####, I would let my doctor
know...However I'd prefer to get some OTC Colace or Milk-of-Mag first.

And I never said anyone was going to "do something" on my "say
so".

You're welcome to recover and re-post the item wherein you believe
I did....

Steve, K4YZ

# 2706. Re: News Flash Stevie KY4Z admits Error, is UPI interested in the stroy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:39:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124761182.448003.13800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117007

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 19, 12:48 pm
>
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> KY4Z wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > KY4Z wrote:
> >> > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> >> > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > >
> >> > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > >
> >> > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> >> > into Lennie's game.
> > >
> >> Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > >
> >Steve cannot be blamed. That awful Len tricked him into telling lies.
>
> Ve SS haf vays uf making him talk!
>
> :-)
>
> way him
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

But can you make him tell a truth?

# 2707. Markie Creates Yet Another Subject Line Lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:40:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124761216.350572.74400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117008

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> there at the end

Nope.

And where's the "admits to mental" discharge comments you assert,
Markie?

> (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> start
> with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> above,
> finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)

And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
to the pile by Markie himslef....

Thanks, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2708. Re: Stevie Now Continues To Show Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:40:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124761257.350209.189100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117009

```
K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > hot-sham-and-sleaze...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > > > rest of it ludicrous.
> > > >
> > > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> > >
> > Indeed it does
> > >
> > How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> > agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> > is beyond my understanding
> >
> Nothing is beyond your "understanding", Markie...You've said so
> yourself.

No I have not
>
> > Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
> > that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
> > and claim to be Stevie
> >
more evasion
> > > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > > > >
> > > > > well you should have sent it there
> > > > >
> > > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> > > >
> > > More playing dumb? Indeed.
> > >
> > He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb
> >
> Nope.
```

you are
>
> But the "telephone call" story sure was!

sure was but it served its purpose Now I know what you did and that you
are full of shit to sugest it could have any effect

Your letter was circlar filed as soon as it arived
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2709. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:43:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124761380.167231.31040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117010

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
> > > > your claims seriously?
> > >
> > > What BS?
> >
> > you bs
>
> What BS?
>
> (And that should have been "Your BS.", Markie)

It is your BS?

you claim Michigan would do something.

It will not

so you are lying and bsing when you claim it will

so how long you going to keep it up
>
> > cuting the spelling cop
>

> > when you going to admit you are full of #### in claiming Michigan is
> > going to do something on your say so
>
more bs

you have leid and said they are investagting

a letter form out of state they laughed and threw it away
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2710. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:46:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124761591.854767.219370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117011

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > there at the end
>
> Nope.
>
> And where's the "admits to mental" discharge comments you assert,
> Markie?
right there at the end

you claim to medicaly discharged and deny it was physical more recently
so it must be a mental

Simple logic
>
> > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > start
> > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > above,
> > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
>
> And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> to the pile by Markie himslef....

If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
history
>
> Thanks, Markie!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2711. Re: News Flash Stevie KY4Z admits Error, is UPI interested in the stroy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:48:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124761703.135953.117480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117013

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 19, 12:48 pm
> >
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> KY4Z wrote:
> > >> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > > KY4Z wrote:
> > >> > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > >> > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > >
> > >> > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > >
> > >> > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > >> > into Lennie's game.
> > >
> > >> Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > >
> > >Steve cannot be blamed. That awful Len tricked him into telling lies.
> >
> > Ve SS haf vays uf making him talk!
> >
> > :-)
> >
> > way him
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> But can you make him tell a truth?

no you can't

# 2712. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:48:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.23.01.48.29.719713@gmail.com>
Source record: 117012

Mike:

It is all what you are after, usually my amateur interaction is not a group effort, I take the extra time to search out real conversation, not just signal reports, phone quality, equip. lists, etc... perhaps I fancy myself a cowboy, I just think of it as making good use of my time... some net work is alright, mostly there I listen a lot, heck, if they are willing to do all the talking might as well fall asleep listening to them...

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 21:21:42 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> Yes, that is the crux of the matter, isn't it? What is the line you draw
>> between legitimate elmering and manipulation of the newbies in support of
>> ones personal wants, desired, goals, etc...
>>
>> ... newbies be warned!
>
> The drawn line? The inexperienced Extra who refused advice on how to
> work in a QSO party probably didn't have much fun in the contest.
> Staying overnight, and making 6 QSO's probably wasn't much fun.
>
> The other guy with the happy fingers on the keyboard did eventually
> calm down enough to make a few QSO's, but still blamed his problems on
> the antenna.
>
> I doubt that either of these guys will go on to have much fun in
> Amateur radio. Who knows why some people are so reluctant to take a
> little advice. Perhaps they are sensitive, and don't want to be thought
> of as not knowing everything (like who does)
>
> OTOH, I did indeed treat my Elmers with respect, and learned a lot. Some
> of them were old, and some were cantankerous. Big deal. Treat a person
> right, and they are usually happy to share their knowledge with ya. I'm
> happy to learn from anyone, even those who think I am a horses patoot.
>
> - mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:39:11 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>>

>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>>>
>>>>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>>>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>>>>>passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>>>
>>>>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>>>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>>>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>>>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>>>>dirt.
>>>>>
>>>>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>>>
>>>>>73,
>>>>>Carl - wk3c
>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>>>newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need an
>>>>attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>>
>>> You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student
>>>is good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to
>>>feel inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self
>>>esteem" students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of
>>>newbies out there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to
>>>offer advice in a kindly manner.
>>>
>>> But I personally know of a case of an Extra who had no HF experience
>>>who told me "If you don't mind, I'd like you to leave now, I don't need
>>>your advice.", and then proceed to make 6 QSO's during a 6 hour stint

>>>during a QSO contest. This guy made Extra without ever getting on HF.
>>>
>>> Another case in which a fellow was working PSK31, and wasn't having any
>>>success. I sat with him, and it was obvious that the problem was that
>>>the guy had happy fingers, and was changing the modulation frequency way
>>>too quickly. He'd call CQ, and then switch frequencies after only one or
>>>two seconds.Couldn't hear people calling him back. Unfortunately, he
>>>"knew" that the trouble was actually in the antenna I put up.
>>>
>>> There are other stories, but I won't belabor you with them.
>>>
>>> While most hams *are* accepting and eager for Elmering, there are a
>>>number who have come into the hobby already knowing everything.
>>>
>>> And there is some advice that I would offer to those who would set
>>>themselves up as the shakers and movers of the Amateur radio world:
>>>
>>> While it may not be palatable in this new "high self esteem" world,
>>>there is a place for the Elmer, and there is a place for the student.
>>>The Elmer must be willing to teach, and the most important knowledge for
>>>the student must be knowing that he or she is getting a great gift.
>>>
>>> It goes both ways.
>>>
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>

## 2713. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 01:53:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124762023.464753.54470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117014

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124651447.854966.145250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> [snip]
> >
> > We need people like Carl Anderson pushing the buttons at the ARRL.
>
> Who's Carl Anderson??? (I didn't see that name in the e-mail I got from
> Dave Sumner listing those who had been nominated for ARRL offices ...)
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

Brian Kelly/W3RV started a thread about your run for office. He
referred to you as "Carl Anderson." I guess anything is possible after
downing a 5L box of Almaden.

# 2714. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:03:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124762618.595683.140600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117015

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > there at the end
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > And where's the "admits to mental" discharge comments you assert,
> > Markie?
> right there at the end
>
> you claim to medicaly discharged and deny it was physical more recently
> so it must be a mental
>
> Simple logic

Simple until you factor in the mind of Robeson. Steve has had ample opportunity to set the record straight (at the tune of 1,000 posts per month), yet he doesn't. Wonder why?

> > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > start
> > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > above,
> > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> >
> > And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> > to the pile by Markie himslef....
>
> If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
> history

I agree with your deduction.

Now I can only wonder how a medical discharge/retirement at 18 years of service doesn't permit a disability pension. More and more of Robeson's "facts" just don't add up. Robeson couldn't tell a truth if his reputation depended on it (and it does).

## 2715. Another Stevie lie uncovered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:06:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124762817.095345.252620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117016

from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
promptly
editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but nothing
less than
what we have come to expect.

I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
rat's kazoo
to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his pont:

>>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,

reminder cut

Stevei has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it

try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
of them this one

# 2716. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:09:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124762976.330427.241510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117017

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > > there at the end
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > And where's the "admits to mental" discharge comments you assert,
> > > Markie?
> > right there at the end
> >
> > you claim to medicaly discharged and deny it was physical more recently
> > so it must be a mental
> >
> > Simple logic
>
> Simple until you factor in the mind of Robeson. Steve has had ample
> opportunity to set the record straight (at the tune of 1,000 posts per
> month), yet he doesn't. Wonder why?
>
> > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > start
> > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > above,
> > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > >
> > > And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> > > to the pile by Markie himslef....
> > >
> > If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
> > history
>
> I agree with your deduction.
>
> Now I can only wonder how a medical discharge/retirement at 18 years of
> service doesn't permit a disability pension. More and more of
> Robeson's "facts" just don't add up. Robeson couldn't tell a truth if
> his reputation depended on it (and it does).

Indeed if he did not recieve a pension for something at that point he
should have hired a lawyer and fought it, maybe even just gone to JAG
and gotten them to fight for him

# 2717. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:11:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124763079.570103.147260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117018

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > > there at the end
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > And where's the "admits to mental" discharge comments you assert,
> > > Markie?
> > right there at the end
> >
> > you claim to medicaly discharged and deny it was physical more recently
> > so it must be a mental
> >
> > Simple logic
>

> Simple until you factor in the mind of Robeson. Steve has had ample
> opportunity to set the record straight (at the tune of 1,000 posts per
> month), yet he doesn't. Wonder why?

becuase a Bi and knky as I am am striaghter than Stevie
>
> > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > start
> > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > above,
> > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > >
> > > And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> > > to the pile by Markie himslef....
> > >
> > If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
> > history
>
> I agree with your deduction.
>
> Now I can only wonder how a medical discharge/retirement at 18 years of
> service doesn't permit a disability pension. More and more of
> Robeson's "facts" just don't add up. Robeson couldn't tell a truth if
> his reputation depended on it (and it does).

Indeed if he did not recieve a pension for something at that point he should have hired a lawyer and fought it, maybe even just gone to JAG and gotten them to fight for him

BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed Stevie has changed his email over the years

and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff

# 2718. Re: Stveie (Stevie) Continues to Overtly Expose Markie's Lies And Deceit <<< Read Midway Down...Markie Says "I Made An Attack"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:13:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124763226.286069.159720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117019

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> KY4Z never wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> > > > > And you can't admit your own Misdeeds
> > > >
> > > > What "misdeeds"..?!?!
> > >
> > > Your lies about & hositles actions for one
> > >
> > "& hositles"...?!?!
>
> again you lied not i

Nope.

> > > Your lies about taking some effective against me for another
> > >
> > What lies?
>
> That you were going to take some kind of EFECTIVE action for one

So far, it HAS been...

> > > yout threats to Todd
> > >
> > I didn't threaten Todd.
>
> you sure did

Nope.

> > > why do you need them listed so often
> > >
> > You've not "listed" anythig yet except more of your own deceit,
> > Markie.
>
> smore stevie lies

I don't like Smores. Never did.

> > > are you that dumb that you can't remeber
> > >
> > Speaking of "dumb", the word is "remember"...

> >
> > And so far you've not substantiated anything.
>
> Sure have

Nope.

> you have failed to produce any effective action

Sure I have.

> that is a simple fact

It's a simple fact that I have had an impact.

> you must have sounded like such a loon they did not bother to even
> investagate you charges

"your"

Nope. The letter was written professionally.

(No 'pool of typists' needed here, Markie...)

> > > evade change the subject anything but deal with the truth
> >
> > You're the one who keeps changing the "subject", Markie...
>
> nope you change it every post
>
> the subject is YOUR Lie

Nope.

> > > that being you are a Bully a bragrat and a liar
> >
> > ROTMFFLMMFAO on that sentence, Markie! Some English!
> >
> > None of the above, Markie.
> >
> > > a proven liar
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Not by you!
>
> No You did that
>
> you proved yourself a liar

Nope.

> > > > > You are the one that accuses evryone of being mentaly ill
> > > >
> > > more evasion

> >
> > Those were YOUR words, Markie!

> nope they were yours words

Not in the quote above, which is YOUR words.

(See what happens when you "comment" on words you cut out,
Markie?)

> More lies

Nope.

> > And I don't "accuses evryone", Markie...Just those who show the
> > signs and symptoms of it. Such as yourself for example.
>
> everyone that dares disagree with you

Nope.

Dee has disageed with me before. So has Carl, several of the
"Jim's", Larry Roll, Hans, Kim and others.

So there we have you at an obvious disadvantage AGAIN.

> > > > > You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect
> > > >
> > > > I never said anything of the sort.
> > >
> > > yes you did
> >
> > Then prove THAT too!
>
> already have go back in the threads

Nope.

I made ONE error, a typo, and immediately acknowledged it and have
corrected it since.

> > > > I DID make ONE mistake typing your callsign.
> > >
> > > and refused to apolgize for it
> >
> > I acknowledged it in my very next post, Markie!
>
> Lie

No lie.

> > > > I acknowledged my mistake in the VERY NEXT POST.
> > >
> > > nope you told I had to go LOOKing for your acknowledgement
> > >

> > > Bull####
> > >
> > > nor did you apoligize
> > >
> > More profanity...More lies from Mark C. Morgan.
>
> Nope Stevie acted then told his victum to go look for his explanation

You claimed I didn't acknowledge it.

I did. Told you EXACTLY where it was.

> what you going to do threaten to report me again?
>
> You are the little kid screaming that someone said a bad word

Maybe if more little kids were screaming about "bad words", some
of the bad words would go away.

> > > > WHERE, since then, have I made any error attributing YOUR callsign
> > > > to YOU, Markie?
> > > >
> > > you still refuse to apologize for it
> > > >
> > > so
> > >
> > I already acknowledged my error, Markie.
>
> but not apolized for it

What was to "apolize" for...?!?!

The callsign I accidentally typed was for an unassigned callsign.

> > > > WHERE, since then, have I mistyped your callsign?

You didn't anser the question, Markie.

WHERE SINCE have I made that error?

I did it and it was an accident. I acknowledged it.

You did it once and I wrote it off as an accident. You decided
that mis-attributing my comments to a non-participating third party was
"cool" or something...

Certainly neither effective or productive.

> > > > Yet YOU continue to misrepresent MY words with the callsign of a
> > > > person who probably doesn't even know this forum exists.
> > > >
> > > until YOU apologize I certainly will
> > >
> > Then you are intentionally lying and deceiving. You're also
> > misdirecting MY commets to those of a person who's not a part of this

> > forum.
>
> no another Stvie lie every knows what is happening

"Stevie".

Yes...they see...

They see you continuing to mis-attribute my comments to a
non-participating third party.

> > Set's the record straight on who's the liar here, Markie.
> >
> > > > Why? Other than to substantiate MY claim of YOUR mistruthfulness
> > > > and deceit, what do you think mis-attributing my comments to someone
> > > > else accomplishes?
> > >
> > > it clearly anoys you
> >
> > It only "anoys" me that you are continuing to misrepresent the
> > truth.
>
> then apologize

For what?

> Be a man

I am one.

> > You substantiate my assertion that you're a liar.
>
> and I am not the subject you are

Sure your the subject. Look up.

> that you lie and decieve all the time

Nope. I don't need to.

> > > and agains show how you refuse to deal the issues at hand
> >
> > I am dealing with it.
>
> nope
> you are evading and changing the subject

Nope.

> > You misrepresent my comments to another person. You know it and
> > said you're doing it intentionally.
> >
> > Makes you the lair and deceiver I claim you are.
> >
> > > You always evade and obfucate, nothing is ever your fault

> >
> > You're the one attributing my commetns to a third party.
>
> No such act is occouring

"occuring"

Every place you re-attributed my comments to "KY4Z" it did.

> > And so far you've not shown where I have done anything worthy of
> > an apology.
>
> and I can do the same as you do
>
> If you need not apolgize to me I need not to you
>
> Fair is fair

When I typo'd your callsign, it was an accident and I acknowledged
it.

You're doing this maliciously.

Big difference.

> > I made a mistake, corrected it and acknowledged it.
>
> You never corrected it

Sure I did.

Show me JUST ONE INSTANCE where I have mis-attributed your callsign
since, Markie..?!?!

> you never apologized for it

It wasn't YOUR callsign I mad the mistake on...It was KB9EQZ.

No one holds that call anymore.

AT THIS POINT MARK MORGAN ACKNOWLEDGES JUST WHO'S ATTACKING WHOM.

> > You made a mistake, thought it was funny, and now perpetuate it in
> > the face of the truth.
>
> No mistake I decided on it as a method of attack

So here wehave and OVERT acknowledgement of an "Attack"...

BY YOU on ME.

> never claimed it was a fact merely a tactic

A foolish one.

Now made DOUBLY foolish!

> > > > And Markie...Don't look now...But everyone else sees you
> > > > mis-attributing my comments to another person.
> > > >
> > > and they see exactly what I am doing showing you sause for the is sause
> > > for the gander
> > >
> > No........
>
> sure is
>
> >
> > They see you intentionally lying.
>
> I doubt it
>
> they see me making a point

They see you lying, Markie.

> > They see you intentionally mis-attributing my comments to a third
> > party.
> >
> > And it's "sauce".
> >
> > > > That's DECEITFUL.
> > > >
> > > Not at all
> > >
> > Sure it is.
> >
> > > I am prefectly upfront about it
> > >
> > > Nothing decietful at all
>
>
> cuting the ending repition
>
> > You keep "relabelling" the attributes to "KY4Z", and not to me.
>
> nope

Sure you have.

Everyone's seen it.

Now they also have you making an over lie.

> cuting the repitition
>
> > And disrespectful to KY4Z.
>
> Not at all I am using him in noble cause

First of all you admit you're "using" him...WITHOUT his consent.

That's identity theft. A federal felony, since you're doing it
across state lines.

Then you assert it's for a 'noble cause'...the problem is that
your 'noble cause' is one of overt lying and deceit.

What's noble about that?

> > > and you can stop it with a single sentence but you refuse
> >
> > > you refuse to apologize to me for abusing my call sign
> >
> > I'm not.
>
> the you choose for this happen

Nope.

> you are choosing to abuse the poor fellow you claim to feel for rather
> than be man and apologize for your mistake

Alrady acknowledged the error, Markie.

But it wasn't YOUR callsign...KB9EQZ is unassigned.

> and then flaming me for demnding my due

"demanding"

You're not "due" anything, Markie...not from me, anyway.

> > > end your lies
> >
> > I'd say "end yours", but then you'd accuse me of encouraging you to
> > do a Kevorkian...
>
> do yourself a kevorkian

Nope.

> That is, afterall the only way Stevie Robeson will ever stop lying.

I'd have to start in order to stop.

> > Steve, KY4YZ

And you still can't get your insults right.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2719. Markie Making It Up As He Goes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:20:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124763610.912438.77670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117020

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> hot-sham-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> > Simple until you factor in the mind of Robeson. Steve has had ample
> > opportunity to set the record straight (at the tune of 1,000 posts per
> > month), yet he doesn't. Wonder why?
>
> becuase a Bi and knky as I am am striaghter than Stevie

"because" "kinky" "straighter"

I doubt that.

> > > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > > start
> > > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > > above,
> > > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > > >
> > > > And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> > > > to the pile by Markie himslef....
> > >
> > > If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
> > > history
> >
> > I agree with your deduction.
> >
> > Now I can only wonder how a medical discharge/retirement at 18 years of
> > service doesn't permit a disability pension. More and more of
> > Robeson's "facts" just don't add up. Robeson couldn't tell a truth if
> > his reputation depended on it (and it does).
>
> Indeed if he did not recieve a pension for something at that point he
> should have hired a lawyer and fought it, maybe even just gone to JAG
> and gotten them to fight for him

Because I elected a one-time cash out at separation.

Regular retirement will effect at age 62.

> BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> Stevie has changed his email over the years
>
> and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff

Nope. K4YZ has always been a part of my screen names...And I have never tired to "hide" who I was...You have (as if anyone could hide THAT jiberish!)

Steve, K4YZ

## 2720. Let's Get Your Stories Correct, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:23:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124763829.204040.173950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117021

an_old_friend wrote:
> from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
>
> Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> promptly editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but > nothing less than what we have come to expect.
>
> I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> rat's kazoo to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his point:
>
>
> >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
>
> reminder cut

"remainder"

> Stevei...(SNIP)

"Stevie"

> (UNSNIP) has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it

No...

I said I never sent you a THREAT, public or private. Markie.

> try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
> of them this one

And still no facts, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2721. Re: Stveie (Stevie) Continues to Lie And Deceit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:28:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124764093.120592.87070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117022

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > KY4Z never wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > > > > And you can't admit your own Misdeeds
> > > > >
> > > > > What "misdeeds"..?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Your lies about & hositles actions for one
> > >
> > > "& hositles"...?!?!
> >
> > again you lied not i
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > Your lies about taking some effective against me for another
> > >
> > > What lies?
> >
> > That you were going to take some kind of EFECTIVE action for one
>
> So far, it HAS been...

at geting you flamed I guess it has

>
> > > > yout threats to Todd
> > >
> > > I didn't threaten Todd.
> >
> > you sure did
>
> Nope.

sure have

>
> > > > why do you need them listed so often
> > >
> > > You've not "listed" anythig yet except more of your own deceit,
> > > Markie.

> >
> > smore stevie lies
>
> I don't like Smores. Never did.
>
> > > > > are you that dumb that you can't remeber
> > >
> > > Speaking of "dumb", the word is "remember"...
> > >
> > > And so far you've not substantiated anything.
> >
> > Sure have
>
> Nope.
>
> > you have failed to produce any effective action
>
> Sure I have.

what action?

>
> > that is a simple fact
>
> It's a simple fact that I have had an impact.

what impact?

>
> > you must have sounded like such a loon they did not bother to even
> > investagate you charges
>
> "your"
>
> Nope. The letter was written professionally.

how can you professional say something like "becuase so and is liar he
is a danger to himself and others"

you can't it had to be loaded with you twisted illogic

>
> (No 'pool of typists' needed here, Markie...)
>
> > > > > evade change the subject anything but deal with the truth
> > >
> > > You're the one who keeps changing the "subject", Markie...
> >
> > nope you change it every post
> >
> > the subject is YOUR Lie
>
> Nope.

sure is
Your lie in claiming to acomlished something
cut

> > > > > > You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect
> > > > >
> > > > > I never said anything of the sort.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes you did
> > > >
> > > Then prove THAT too!
> >
> > already have go back in the threads
>
> Nope.
>
> I made ONE error, a typo, and immediately acknowledged it and have
> corrected it since.

No correction no apology

you don't go making a guy look for an apology

cut the repeat

> I did. Told you EXACTLY where it was.

No you did not

since your posts don't hit the usene tin the oerder you send em
sometimes they spread apart by several hours

another stevie lie

>
> > what you going to do threaten to report me again?
> >
> > You are the little kid screaming that someone said a bad word
>
> Maybe if more little kids were screaming about "bad words", some
> of the bad words would go away.

maybe if you were adult enough to accept that others view the world
differently things would be better too, but no chance of that

cuting another rep

> > > Set's the record straight on who's the liar here, Markie.
> > >
> > > > > Why? Other than to substantiate MY claim of YOUR mistruthfulness
> > > > > and deceit, what do you think mis-attributing my comments to someone
> > > > > else accomplishes?
> > > >
> > > > it clearly anoys you
> > >

> > > It only "anoys" me that you are continuing to misrepresent the
> > > truth.
> >
> > then apologize
>
> For what?

for your error

>
> > Be a man
>
> I am one.

nope

> > that you lie and decieve all the time
>
> Nope. I don't need to.

then why do you ?

cuting another ound or ten of rep

> > and I can do the same as you do
> >
> > If you need not apolgize to me I need not to you
> >
> > Fair is fair
>
> When I typo'd your callsign, it was an accident and I acknowledged
> it.

I don't believe it was an accident, here is newsflash I don't believe
much of what you say

>
> You're doing this maliciously.

I am doing to porve a point no malice

cut

> So here wehave and OVERT acknowledgement of an "Attack"...

yes

>
> BY YOU on ME.

yes indeed

the best defense is good offense

cuting repition

> > cuting the repitition
> >
> > > And disrespectful to KY4Z.
> >
> > Not at all I am using him in noble cause
>
> First of all you admit you're "using" him...WITHOUT his consent.

you use people all the time you were using and abusing me when I wasn't
here, without my consent

> Nope.
>
> > you are choosing to abuse the poor fellow you claim to feel for rather
> > than be man and apologize for your mistake
>
> Alrady acknowledged the error, Markie.
>
> But it wasn't YOUR callsign...KB9EQZ is unassigned.

so You did not apologize to the one offended

cut

> > do yourself a kevorkian
>
> Nope.
>
> > That is, afterall the only way Stevie Robeson will ever stop lying.
>
> I'd have to start in order to stop.

you started long ago

I just posted proof of another of your lies
>
> > > Steve, KY4YZ
>
> And you still can't get your insults right.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2722. Re: Another Stevie lie uncovered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:30:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124764258.591331.28560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117023

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
> >
> > Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> > promptly editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but > nothing less than what we have come to expect.
> >
> > I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> > rat's kazoo to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his point:
> >
> >
> > >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
> >
> > reminder cut
>
> "remainder"
>
> > Stevei...(SNIP)
>
> "Stevie"
>
> > (UNSNIP) has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> > there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it
>
> No...
>
> I said I never sent you a THREAT, public or private. Markie.

you said you never sent private email
of course you have said alot of thing few of them true

>
> > try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
> > of them this one
>
> And still no facts, Markie.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2723. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines, and to recieving disablity pension

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:33:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124764428.368470.116250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117024

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-sham-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > Simple until you factor in the mind of Robeson. Steve has had ample
> > > opportunity to set the record straight (at the tune of 1,000 posts per
> > > month), yet he doesn't. Wonder why?
> >
> > becuase a Bi and knky as I am am striaghter than Stevie
>
> "because" "kinky" "straighter"
>
> I doubt that.

of course you do
>
> > > > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > > > start
> > > > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > > > above,
> > > > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > > > >
> > > > > And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> > > > > to the pile by Markie himslef....
> > > >
> > > > If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
> > > > history
> > >
> > > I agree with your deduction.
> > >
> > > Now I can only wonder how a medical discharge/retirement at 18 years of
> > > service doesn't permit a disability pension. More and more of
> > > Robeson's "facts" just don't add up. Robeson couldn't tell a truth if
> > > his reputation depended on it (and it does).
> >
> > Indeed if he did not recieve a pension for something at that point he
> > should have hired a lawyer and fought it, maybe even just gone to JAG
> > and gotten them to fight for him
>
> Because I elected a one-time cash out at separation.

There we have it stevie admits to recieving a disablity pension just
took in one lump sum

>
> Regular retirement will effect at age 62.
>
> > BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> > Stevie has changed his email over the years
> >
> > and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> > deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff
>
> Nope. K4YZ has always been a part of my screen names...And I have
> never tired to "hide" who I was...You have (as if anyone could hide
> THAT jiberish!)

part your deciet was in not maing not show up when checking first for
post by you

just decietfullness stevie BYS
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2724. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:42:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <983lg1l06u5g52a1s2hqkvreqe9762an1l@4ax.com>
Source record: 117025

On 22 Aug 2005 07:35:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124721329.929694.137340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<?????????>

Gee Steve, first you whine about me snipping your posts then you snip
almost my entire reply. Looks like you are a hypocrite, too.

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> > Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
>> >Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
>> >own lap.
>>
>> And all your ranting has done nothing to resolve the topic of this
>> discussion. If you can think of anything that would make me believe
>> that you were in the USMC then feel free to speak up. Otherwise, it
>> looks like you are just stalling -- making excuses, obfuscating,
>> changing topics -- in hopes that I'll give up and leave.
>
> Oh no, don't leave, "Frank"...

Where did I say I was going to leave? I didn't. It was just wishful
thinking on your behalf.

> It just makes the LennieRants more colorful.
>
> Lesssee...You claim I've given you nothing but vague information,
>yet you refuse to e mail me directly and get "the details"...

All I was looking for was a simple tidbit of info that only a Marine
would know, but that seems to be beyond your capabilities. But it
doesn't matter anymore..... see my other post.

> Furthermore, I've given you MORE than enough to verify my duty
>without giving you my SSAN to do it with.

I don't need your SSN, nor did I ask for it. What's your obsession
with your SSN?

> You demonstrate lapses in proper USMC etiquette

.....say WHAT?

> yet try and "dis"
>my service just because I won't do a LennieRant and give a complete CV
>of my service here.

I don't seem to recall asking for any long-winded rant. Just any bit
of information I can use to verify your claim.

> You were in an AAV unit so of course you know
>EVERYONE who ever served, including a guy who's service ended 13 years
>ago.

Yep, you're right..... I bypassed boot camp, went straight to AAVs,
stayed there until my discharge, and never spoke to anyone outside the
unit. Sure thing, Steve.

You twist the facts almost as bad as Bush.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2725. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:42:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <g83lg1lmrv96ilf1280us8pj6k8njptcla@4ax.com>
Source record: 117026

On 22 Aug 2005 07:27:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124720849.206340.89420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> (And BTW, the rank of Gy.Sgt. is pretty low for retired enlisted.)
>
> I was trying to keep an open mind as to YOUR "status" as a Marine
>and your experience in matters of the Armed Forces...
>
> Until this.

Uh-huh.....

> Gunnery Sergeant (and indeed pay grade E7 throughout the services
>as a whole) is the MEDIAN retirement rank.

Really? I have never heard of -any- Marine retiring as a Staff
Sergeant or lower (unless they retired before Korea). I suppose there
were a few, but not many.

> Also, Marines abbreviate "Gunnery Sergeant" as "GySgt"...Not
>"Gy.Sgt"

Marines don't give a shit just as long as what's printed, written or
typed is understood.

> My suspiscions as to you being a LenniePhantom and gleaning your
>"knowledge from Clancy novels is vindicated.

I'm happy for you.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2726. Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:44:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e93lg1doc3ukcsh3dnj8eo2o85rjldkqgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 117027

On 22 Aug 2005 07:51:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124722285.124269.115600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 21 Aug 2005 16:28:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124666893.362971.268630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> ....."armor guys"?
>> >
>> > Uh huh...Neat thing, military aeroclubs. The person sitting next
>> >to you might be fresh off the yellow foot prints or wear stars on thier
>> >collar.
>>
>>
>> I think you referred to yellow footprints in an earlier post. That's
>> interesting since I didn't even remember they were yellow until I
>> looked in my boot camp 'yearbook'. After that I started remembering
>> all kinds of things about receiving, like the lines on the floor, the
>> overwhelming smell of brasso and dura-glit, and blood on the shower
>> room floor because some of those guys didn't even know how to shave.
>
> Very colorful.

Thank you. My minor was Communications so I took a few lit classes. My
favorite is Pynchon. Clancy, IMO, is the Danielle Steele of action
novels -- i.e, his stuff is mental popcorn. If you want to break into
fiction that is at least readable (barely) try some early Dean Koontz.

>> <snip>
>> > My I draw your obviously tainted opinon to SSGT selection board of
>> >1983, Precendent number 60A. You may also refer to the Gunnery
>> >Sergeant selection board of 1987. To be truthful, I forgot my
>> >precedent number on that one but it was high due to the overlap with
>> >the previous years promotions.
>>
>>
>> What you can't understand is that we all know the public DOD files
>> only have the name, rank, service, MOS, and sometimes the hometown.
>> That's far from a definitive identification since anyone with the same
>> name can 'steal' that serviceman's identity and make up the rest.
>
> Uh huh...Two "Steven J Robeson"...With the exact same DOB...?!?!
>

> Right.....

I guess I have to spell it out for you: There's no proof that you are
him. In fact, there's a good possibility that you are one of his
deadbeat offspring; i.e, little Stevie Junior, the jobless mutant
child coddled by mommy and living in the basement. Assuming you really
-are- who you claim, you sure are setting a -=BAD=- example of the
discipline both required and expected of a retired Marine Gunny of 18
years (which is bullshit in and of itself) by wasting so much time
engaging in flame wars on Usenet. I can get away with it because I was
a shitbird..... but you? Heck, if I was USMC retired and behaving the
way you do I'd go outside and kick my -own- ass out of respect for the
Corps. And -THAT'S- what Semper Fidelis means, Steve.

> Snip

And there you go snipping again, right after whining about being
snipped.

>> >> And if you happen to doubt -my- service in the USMC...(SNIP)
>> >
>> > No...but I doubt your understanding of the motto "Semper Fidelis".
>>
>> Prove you were a Marine and I'll vanish those doubts.
>
> I already have. You simply wish to argue.
>
> LenniePhantom

STOP....hey, what's that sound?

>> > I also question your motives in this forum. So far, nothing
>> >relevent, other than catching the back of guys who are archived chronic
>> >liars.
>>
>> yada, yada, yada.
>
> Guess you don't like having it thrown back at you...especially
>when there's nothing in your defense you can say about it.

See below.

>> > And I told you if you'd care to e-mail me directly I'd be glad to
>> >give it to you off-group. I've explained my reasons for doing so.
>>
>> And I questioned why your military service is so secret. That's why I
>> alluded to "Col. Vinson at Ft. Gordon", which you obviously didn't
>> understand but should have. You can email -me- if you want but don't
>> expect me to keep anything you say quiet if you fail to verify your
>> story.
>
> I know who Col Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never
>served with him/for him/within 100 miles of him.

And here is where your lies finally come to an end. If -you- were in avionics in the 80's then you most certainly -DID- 'serve' under "Colonel Vinson"..... who, BTW, is not a real person, and you would have known that if your story was true. VINSON was the crypto system that was used for almost all radio equipment in that era, and EVERY tech -- avionics AND ground -- was trained to do first and second echelon repairs on that equipment. You could have easily replied with "KY-58" (the avionics equivalent of the KY-57), or KYK-13 (key holder used for both). But you didn't even recognize the name VINSON even in context with Ft. Gordon, home of the crypto schools.

You had several chances, "Steve"..... you flunked.

And BTW, the info above isn't much of a secret since Time magazine put a picture of a KY-57 on the front cover back in '83 -- nice pic of a Beirut Marine next to a jeep with a VRC-12.

> Again...colorful. Clancyesque.

You and fiction seem to get along quite well.

> That you attempt to diminish my military service simply because I
>do not wish to discuss it in this forum like Lennie's rantings, or like
>some drunk at the end of the bar at 3AM only further substantiates MY
>claim that your motives here have nothing to do with Amateur Radio,
>have more to do with being argumenative, and are dubious at best.

Blah, blah, blah. You've been both outed and spanked. Either fess up or shut up.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2727. Re: Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:54:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1t3lg1d1e4vfqd7aldc8noq3n6kshdumeo@4ax.com>
Source record: 117030

On 22 Aug 2005 19:59:04 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote in <1124765944.189654.85520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 22 Aug 2005 07:51:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>massive cut
>>
>> Blah, blah, blah. You've been both outed and spanked. Either fess up
>> or shut up.
>
>please don't hold your breath

I won't. My guess is that he'll fabricate more lame excuses and/or
whine a lot. That tactic might work with his mommy but not with me.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2728. Re: Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 02:59:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124765944.189654.85520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117028

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 22 Aug 2005 07:51:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
massive cut
>
> Blah, blah, blah. You've been both outed and spanked. Either fess up
> or shut up.

please don't hold your breath
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2729. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 03:00:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124766012.516795.294770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117029

From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm

>Dan:
>
>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people",
>and NOT "what is good for my klick."

No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
but their clique as being "amateur radio."

> Which is what you are really
>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
>themselves in some glorified manner!

To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

Kind of a low-grade "one-world, one-government" kind of thing,
all molded around THEIR concept of how the hobby "is."

Dannie can't accept anything else but HIS beliefs. For other,
different ideas he gets hostile, volatile, tries to batter
the different to the floor tile.

> Disgusting really... and yes, I
>remember a time when it was NOT this way, you had a few anti-social
>weirdos who were loners and thought themselves special because of a hobby
>license, but that seems to have become catching and has almost infected
>the whole lot, the sane ones are rather few and far between these days...

We differ, John. I can easily remember a mere two decades ago
on visiting the Lockheed ARC...when Lockheed was having a lot of
difficulties with the state and the city of Burbank. In general
a bunch of disheartening, don't-tell-me-nothing-because-we-rule
group of "extras" whose major dissatisfaction was really that
they were in imminent danger of being on LAY OFF.

Lockheed California eventually moved out entire from the Burbank
area (a division is still at AF Plant 42 in Palmdale) and ALL
the old Lockheed buildings have been razed, hardly any rubble is
left. The fabled Skunk Works in Building 82 was one of the first
to be torn down. The Lockheed ARC is but a shell of its former
self and the laid-off Lockheed workers (who didn't want to go to
Georgia) are off muttering in their isolated little corners.

The huge Lockheed production complex along Empire Avenue just
disappeared and, like a Phoenix from the ashes, the fabulous
new Empire Center of many, many stores and services, two office

buildings and two hotels grew on the place where all the famous Lockheed aircraft were built. All that remains of Lockheed is the silhouettes of the Vega, the Constellation, the P-38, and the SR-71 on the parking lot section signs. Rebirth.

I was reminded of this from yesterday when my wife and I were at a store in the Empire Center. At the large entrance we saw an old geezer regaling a couple of younger women about his work at Lockheed ("over there where building 15 was" "we built airplanes!"). The young women were polite, smiled, but clearly didn't find any interest or amusement at this. Eventually the old geezer wound down and all left. In one way that's the way it will be with U.S. amateur radio. Rebirth. The new replacing the old. The old will become a memory, one not treasured so emotionally as by the old-timers. The future will be different, brighter, full of new things. New leaders will form and lead. New-timers will enjoy the new environment. Oldsters will grouse and bitch, complaining mightily about it not being as good as "the old days." Of course not. "The old days" were only a figment of imagination after all, a nostalgia of never-was, an emotion of discovery only to individuals then new to radio.

>"Amateur Worship is a Mental Disorder", is going to be the title of a book
>I am working on! <grin>

There ARE those of that disorder. They exist. They have transported
themselves to their own imaginary fairyland, a lifestyle of imagining
they are "masters of radio"...but "masters" only of an imaginary world of the 30s and 40s long gone...when Kode was King and all was simple and orderly, fixed in place.

I look forward to a FUTURE, not a past. I was in the past and all wasn't as good as it is now. The future looks like a better place, something to enjoy, to have fun in, free of the ties to old standards and practices that are out of place now.

out old
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2730. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 03:06:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124766373.011524.212980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117031

From: K4YZ on Aug 22, 4:42 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ on Aug 22, 7:35 am

>> Now YOU NAME this "now-PhD who knew me" back 33 1/2 years ago or
>> admit it was all a weird FABRICATION of yours. Your choice.
>> You haven't given detail One on this fabricated individual other
>> than glossed-over generalities and dire implications.
>
> Pfffffffffffffffffffffffffff?fffft.
>
> No fabrication.

PURE FABRICATION. YOU LIE.

IT'S AS SIMPLE AS THAT...YOU LIE.

STEBIE LIES.

> Sorry you don't like it.

It doesn't bother me because your FABRICATION doesn't exist in
the real world.

You're imaginary creatures take up space in here. Sometimes a
few of us feel we have to remind you of your FANTASIES at work
and hope you return to reality.

> I'll give you the name just as soon as I see your "Extra Lite" hit
>the FCC database, Lennie.

YOU CAN'T because that FABRICATION doesn't exist.

YOU LIE.

IT'S AS SIMPLE AS THAT.

>> Tsk, you've "accused" Frank Gilliland of "making things up" yet
>> that is what YOU have been doing for years in here!
>
> Nope.

YOU ARE SICK. MENTALLY INCOMPETENT TO EXIST IN REALITY.

YOU LIE CONSTANTLY, YOU INSULT OTHERS CONSTANTLY.

YOU ARE SICK.

IT IS SIMPLE AS THAT.

GET HELP. IF NOT FOR YOURSELF, YOUR FAMILY OF TWO.

STOP SPAMMING US WITH YOUR FAIRY STORIES, CORPSMAN.

YOU ARE A DISCREDIT TO THE USMC, THE MILITARY OF THE UNITED STATES.

bye bye
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2731. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 03:28:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.23.03.15.49.188208@gmail.com>
Source record: 117032

Len:

Your text was interesting...

I kind feel guilty though, that book I am working on, ""Amateur Worship is a Mental Disorder"--I stole the idea from Michael Savage, a radio talk show host, and his book "Liberalism is a Mental Disorder."

Please don't tell anyone, I am counting on only you and I knowing...

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:00:12 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
>
>>Dan:
>>
>>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people",
>>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
>
> No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
> but their clique as being "amateur radio."
>
>> Which is what you are really
>>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
>>themselves in some glorified manner!
>
> To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Kind of a low-grade "one-world, one-government" kind of thing,
> all molded around THEIR concept of how the hobby "is."
>
> Dannie can't accept anything else but HIS beliefs. For other,
> different ideas he gets hostile, volatile, tries to batter
> the different to the floor tile.
>
>> Disgusting really... and yes, I
>>remember a time when it was NOT this way, you had a few anti-social
>>weirdos who were loners and thought themselves special because of a hobby
>>license, but that seems to have become catching and has almost infected
>>the whole lot, the sane ones are rather few and far between these days...
>
> We differ, John. I can easily remember a mere two decades ago
> on visiting the Lockheed ARC...when Lockheed was having a lot of
> difficulties with the state and the city of Burbank. In general

> a bunch of disheartening, don't-tell-me-nothing-because-we-rule
> group of "extras" whose major dissatisfaction was really that
> they were in imminent danger of being on LAY OFF.
>
> Lockheed California eventually moved out entire from the Burbank
> area (a division is still at AF Plant 42 in Palmdale) and ALL
> the old Lockheed buildings have been razed, hardly any rubble is
> left. The fabled Skunk Works in Building 82 was one of the first
> to be torn down. The Lockheed ARC is but a shell of its former
> self and the laid-off Lockheed workers (who didn't want to go to
> Georgia) are off muttering in their isolated little corners.
>
> The huge Lockheed production complex along Empire Avenue just
> disappeared and, like a Phoenix from the ashes, the fabulous
> new Empire Center of many, many stores and services, two office
> buildings and two hotels grew on the place where all the famous
> Lockheed aircraft were built. All that remains of Lockheed is
> the silhouettes of the Vega, the Constellation, the P-38, and
> the SR-71 on the parking lot section signs. Rebirth.
>
> I was reminded of this from yesterday when my wife and I were at
> a store in the Empire Center. At the large entrance we saw an old
> geezer regaling a couple of younger women about his work at
> Lockheed ("over there where building 15 was" "we built
> airplanes!"). The young women were polite, smiled, but clearly
> didn't find any interest or amusement at this. Eventually the
> old geezer wound down and all left. In one way that's the way
> it will be with U.S. amateur radio. Rebirth. The new replacing
> the old. The old will become a memory, one not treasured so
> emotionally as by the old-timers. The future will be different,
> brighter, full of new things. New leaders will form and lead.
> New-timers will enjoy the new environment. Oldsters will grouse
> and bitch, complaining mightily about it not being as good as
> "the old days." Of course not. "The old days" were only a
> figment of imagination after all, a nostalgia of never-was, an
> emotion of discovery only to individuals then new to radio.
>
>>"Amateur Worship is a Mental Disorder", is going to be the title of a book
>>I am working on! <grin>
>
> There ARE those of that disorder. They exist. They have
> transported
> themselves to their own imaginary fairyland, a lifestyle of
> imagining
> they are "masters of radio"...but "masters" only of an imaginary
> world of the 30s and 40s long gone...when Kode was King and all was
> simple and orderly, fixed in place.
>
> I look forward to a FUTURE, not a past. I was in the past and
> all wasn't as good as it is now. The future looks like a better

> place, something to enjoy, to have fun in, free of the ties to
> old standards and practices that are out of place now.
>
> out old
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2732. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 03:28:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.21.18.20.55.961727@gmail.com>
Source record: 117033

N2EY:

If there are going to be fully automated nets run by automatons, then practical "open" protocols and hardware need to be established. Wide experimentation of many different protocols needs to be experimented with and the best one or two adopted--and, the ease which "newbies" can participate should be given a substantial weight in this endeavor. A narrow range of freqs would best be established for robots, it can always be widened if there is sufficient call for such.

A protocol where a "control robot" to be chosen, and adaptive procedures so the control can change as necessary. A protocol where a robot requests entrance in the net and its' traffic logically queued and handled in a "ring" approach. Also, a protocol which allows for a human operator (with the correct software/hardware) to get info and interact in an emergency or otherwise situation.

I find discussion of these matters highly exciting and believe amateur radio should help foster such directions, seems it would be beneficial to all...

John

On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 10:15:41 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> > and why is it a problem I thought CW always got through, yet > > it needs protecting from Pactor?
>>
>> No, CW does *not* "always get through".
>
> No mode always gets through. There are some times when Morse
> Code gets through and other available modes do not. This plain,
> simple fact has been misquoted and perverted by some.
>
>> > Assuming the robot listens before sending well it looks like > > anything else I hear about in HF
>>
>> No, robots do *not* listen before transmitting which is against > the regs and is the crux of the problem.
>
> There's also the issue of what constitutes "listening". A robot
> may listen for another Pactor III signal, yet not for a PSK31

> or Morse Code signal.
>
> How much of a listen is long enough, and on how much on either
> side of the frequency?
>>
>> A human operator causing QRM is either lousy operating practice > or an accident, a robot blindly causing QRM via it's inherent
>> design is illegal.
>
> There's also the 24/7 nature of the robots.
>
>> One solution might be to come up with a robot which tunes
>> around it's frequency before transmitting.
>
> Yup. And maybe sends "QRL?" in Morse Code before it opens up.
>
>> There's one for you code-writers to chew on.
>
> The situation is somewhat like the dawn of the FM repeater
> era on the ham bands. A typical ham FM repeater essentially
> takes over two frequencies (input and output)in its coverage
> area.
>
> There was a time when a ham repeater required a special license
> with special callsign, and the application for it involved a
> pretty detailed description of the setup, its operation, etc.,
> with things like HAAT specified. Even today we have repeater
> coordination.
>
> But VHF/UHF coverage is fairly predictable and consistent. A
> typical ham VHF/UHF repeater covers a few hundred square miles
> except during unusual conditions. Even a moderately powered HF
> station can cover millions of square miles.
>
> The "regulation by bandwidth" proposal has some good basic
> concepts, but it needs some serious work before it is ready
> for prime time. The fact that so many different groups are
> opposed to it, and so few in favor, shows that it needs rework.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2733. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 03:51:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t3xOe.450$z2.40@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117034

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
>
>
>>Dan:
>>
>>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people",
>>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
>
>
> No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
> but their clique as being "amateur radio."

You have a point, Len. There is an amateur radio clique. Those who are
radio amateurs are a part of it. You aren't.

>
>> Which is what you are really
>>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
>>themselves in some glorified manner!
>
>
> To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

Is there proof of your statement?

> Kind of a low-grade "one-world, one-government" kind of thing,
> all molded around THEIR concept of how the hobby "is."
>
> Dannie can't accept anything else but HIS beliefs. For other,
> different ideas he gets hostile, volatile, tries to batter
> the different to the floor tile.

That description would certainly apply to you, Leonard. There are
plenty of others here who have a view of amateur radio which is entirely
at odds with yours. The big difference is that they *are* radio
amateurs. Your view is that of a total outsider. For years you have
attempted battering the different to the floor tile. Go figure.

>
>> Disgusting really... and yes, I
>>remember a time when it was NOT this way, you had a few anti-social
>>weirdos who were loners and thought themselves special because of a hobby
>>license, but that seems to have become catching and has almost infected
>>the whole lot, the sane ones are rather few and far between these days...
>

>
> We differ, John. I can easily remember a mere two decades ago
> on visiting the Lockheed ARC...when Lockheed was having a lot of
> difficulties with the state and the city of Burbank. In general
> a bunch of disheartening, don't-tell-me-nothing-because-we-rule
> group of "extras" whose major dissatisfaction was really that
> they were in imminent danger of being on LAY OFF.

<snip of a dreadfully lengthy treatise on the Extra Class hams at
Lockheed being responsible for the demise of the company>

> All that remains of Lockheed is
> the silhouettes of the Vega, the Constellation, the P-38, and
> the SR-71 on the parking lot section signs. Rebirth.
>
> I was reminded of this from yesterday when my wife and I were at
> a store in the Empire Center. At the large entrance we saw an old
> geezer regaling a couple of younger women about his work at
> Lockheed ("over there where building 15 was" "we built
> airplanes!").

A fellow about your age, Len? In another place, the tale could have
been something about your having worked 24/7 at ADA in Japan a
half-century ago.

> The young women were polite, smiled, but clearly
> didn't find any interest or amusement at this.

Perhaps now you understand the reaction to the continual retelling of
your ADA tale.

> Eventually the
> old geezer wound down and all left. In one way that's the way
> it will be with U.S. amateur radio. Rebirth. The new replacing
> the old.

Amateur radio? It sounds like you're working on a story about life itself.

> The old will become a memory, one not treasured so
> emotionally as by the old-timers. The future will be different,
> brighter, full of new things. New leaders will form and lead.
> New-timers will enjoy the new environment. Oldsters will grouse
> and bitch, complaining mightily about it not being as good as
> "the old days." Of course not. "The old days" were only a
> figment of imagination after all, a nostalgia of never-was, an
> emotion of discovery only to individuals then new to radio.
>
>
>>"Amateur Worship is a Mental Disorder", is going to be the title of a book
>>I am working on! <grin>
>
>
> There ARE those of that disorder. They exist. They have

> transported
> themselves to their own imaginary fairyland, a lifestyle of
> imagining
> they are "masters of radio"...but "masters" only of an imaginary
> world of the 30s and 40s long gone...when Kode was King and all was
> simple and orderly, fixed in place.

Some live in a very different fairyland, one where the unlicensed in amateur radio can make believe that they are a part of the game. They could, for example, haunt an amateur radio newsgroup for nearly a decade and attempt impressing *mere radio amateurs* with tales of their exploits in commercial radio or in the military of decades and decades back.

> I look forward to a FUTURE, not a past.

Well, OT, the odds are that it will be a fairly short one. Best hustle or be forever something less than a footnote in amateur radio.

> I was in the past and
> all wasn't as good as it is now.

Some things were better then. Some things are better now.

> The future looks like a better
> place, something to enjoy, to have fun in, free of the ties to
> old standards and practices that are out of place now.

I beat you to it. I thought of that forty years back. It was sometimes a better place. I enjoyed some things but there were problems and there were things which I didn't enjoy. Some new standards and practices were introduced. Some of them worked out well. Others were scrapped and we saw people doing the things the old way, because those ways are better. It doesn't really matter now. It is all in the past.
>
> out old
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I love the words over your sig. You're out and you're old.

By the way, didn't "John" say that you're dead but animated?

Dave K8MN

# 2734. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 03:56:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.23.03.56.50.399920@gmail.com>
Source record: 117035

Len:

You might have said, I missed it if that is the case, when/if CW is dead,
are you going to grab your extra ticket?

If so, ya wanna meet down on 3.840 and give art a run for his money--in a
gentlemanly way of course. Don't go with disruptive actions myself...
debate and argument yes, trouble no... suspect you might be the same...
could be fun, ya never know... <grin>

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:00:12 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
>
>>Dan:
>>
>>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people",
>>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
>
> No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
> but their clique as being "amateur radio."
>
>> Which is what you are really
>>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
>>themselves in some glorified manner!
>
> To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Kind of a low-grade "one-world, one-government" kind of thing,
> all molded around THEIR concept of how the hobby "is."
>
> Dannie can't accept anything else but HIS beliefs. For other,
> different ideas he gets hostile, volatile, tries to batter
> the different to the floor tile.
>
>> Disgusting really... and yes, I
>>remember a time when it was NOT this way, you had a few anti-social
>>weirdos who were loners and thought themselves special because of a hobby
>>license, but that seems to have become catching and has almost infected
>>the whole lot, the sane ones are rather few and far between these days...
>
> We differ, John. I can easily remember a mere two decades ago
> on visiting the Lockheed ARC...when Lockheed was having a lot of
> difficulties with the state and the city of Burbank. In general

> a bunch of disheartening, don't-tell-me-nothing-because-we-rule
> group of "extras" whose major dissatisfaction was really that
> they were in imminent danger of being on LAY OFF.
>
> Lockheed California eventually moved out entire from the Burbank
> area (a division is still at AF Plant 42 in Palmdale) and ALL
> the old Lockheed buildings have been razed, hardly any rubble is
> left. The fabled Skunk Works in Building 82 was one of the first
> to be torn down. The Lockheed ARC is but a shell of its former
> self and the laid-off Lockheed workers (who didn't want to go to
> Georgia) are off muttering in their isolated little corners.
>
> The huge Lockheed production complex along Empire Avenue just
> disappeared and, like a Phoenix from the ashes, the fabulous
> new Empire Center of many, many stores and services, two office
> buildings and two hotels grew on the place where all the famous
> Lockheed aircraft were built. All that remains of Lockheed is
> the silhouettes of the Vega, the Constellation, the P-38, and
> the SR-71 on the parking lot section signs. Rebirth.
>
> I was reminded of this from yesterday when my wife and I were at
> a store in the Empire Center. At the large entrance we saw an old
> geezer regaling a couple of younger women about his work at
> Lockheed ("over there where building 15 was" "we built
> airplanes!"). The young women were polite, smiled, but clearly
> didn't find any interest or amusement at this. Eventually the
> old geezer wound down and all left. In one way that's the way
> it will be with U.S. amateur radio. Rebirth. The new replacing
> the old. The old will become a memory, one not treasured so
> emotionally as by the old-timers. The future will be different,
> brighter, full of new things. New leaders will form and lead.
> New-timers will enjoy the new environment. Oldsters will grouse
> and bitch, complaining mightily about it not being as good as
> "the old days." Of course not. "The old days" were only a
> figment of imagination after all, a nostalgia of never-was, an
> emotion of discovery only to individuals then new to radio.
>
>>"Amateur Worship is a Mental Disorder", is going to be the title of a book
>>I am working on! <grin>
>
> There ARE those of that disorder. They exist. They have
> transported
> themselves to their own imaginary fairyland, a lifestyle of
> imagining
> they are "masters of radio"...but "masters" only of an imaginary
> world of the 30s and 40s long gone...when Kode was King and all was
> simple and orderly, fixed in place.
>
> I look forward to a FUTURE, not a past. I was in the past and
> all wasn't as good as it is now. The future looks like a better

> place, something to enjoy, to have fun in, free of the ties to
> old standards and practices that are out of place now.
>
> out old
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2735. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 04:04:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124769842.672523.126990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117036

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
> >
> >
> >>Dan:
> >>
> >>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people",
> >>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
> >
> >
> > No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
> > but their clique as being "amateur radio."
>
> You have a point, Len. There is an amateur radio clique. Those who are
> radio amateurs are a part of it. You aren't.

More lies on your part

You and I are not part of the same clique

>
> >
> >> Which is what you are really
> >>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
> >>themselves in some glorified manner!
> >
> >
> > To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Is there proof of your statement?

yes

your support of morse code welfare

cut

# 2736. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 05:03:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.23.05.03.33.293767@gmail.com>
Source record: 117044

AOF:

"Morse Code Welfare", I think you hit upon it man, they consider the bands
a "RF Social Entitlement!"

We are making progress in their psychiatric diagnosis!

John

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 21:04:02 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
>> >
>> >
>> >>Dan:
>> >>
>> >>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people",
>> >>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
>> >
>> >
>> > No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
>> > but their clique as being "amateur radio."
>>
>> You have a point, Len. There is an amateur radio clique. Those who are
>> radio amateurs are a part of it. You aren't.
>
> More lies on your part
>
> You and I are not part of the same clique
>
>>
>> >
>> >> Which is what you are really
>> >>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
>> >>themselves in some glorified manner!
>> >
>> >
>> > To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>>
>> Is there proof of your statement?
>
> yes
>

> your support of morse code welfare
>
> cut

## 2737. another Blast from the past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 05:07:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124773625.199412.221900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117037

was chatching up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)

k4yz said on May 29 2004
>Subject: Re: CW Testing Question
>From: lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040528225612.28114.00000...@mb-m10.aol.com>
>> If somethings true, it's not libelous.

> AOL thought it was VERY libelous and cancelled that alternate
> screen name you used then.

> You went ballistic on that "home page" and were clearly deep
> into libelous personal attack. AOL concurred.

AOL did what AOL always does...they took the path of least resistance.

>>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
>>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.

> Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!

cut the rest

# 2738. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 05:10:03 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <Ycydnfxj7akjMpfeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117038

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124762023.464753.54470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124651447.854966.145250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> [snip]
>> >
>> > We need people like Carl Anderson pushing the buttons at the ARRL.
>>
>> Who's Carl Anderson??? (I didn't see that name in the e-mail I got from
>> Dave Sumner listing those who had been nominated for ARRL offices ...)
>>
>> 73,
>> Carl - wk3c
>> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>
> Brian Kelly/W3RV started a thread about your run for office. He
> referred to you as "Carl Anderson." I guess anything is possible after
> downing a 5L box of Almaden.

I did not :-)

--
73,
Carl R. Stevenson - wk3c
Grid Square FN20fm
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
---
Life Member, ARRL
Life Member, QCWA (31424)
Member, TAPR
Member, AMSAT-NA
Member, LVARC (Lehigh Valley ARC)
Member, Lehigh County ARES/RACES
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, IEEE Standards Association
Chair, IEEE 802.22 WG on Wireless Regional Area Networks
---
>

# 2739. Re: Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 05:38:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124775539.288240.192740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117040

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 22, 7:44 pm

>On 22 Aug 2005 07:51:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 21 Aug 2005 16:28:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> I know who Col Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never
>>served with him/for him/within 100 miles of him.
>
>And here is where your lies finally come to an end. If -you- were in
>avionics in the 80's then you most certainly -DID- 'serve' under
>"Colonel Vinson"..... who, BTW, is not a real person, and you would
>have known that if your story was true. VINSON was the crypto system
>that was used for almost all radio equipment in that era, and EVERY
>tech -- avionics AND ground -- was trained to do first and second
>echelon repairs on that equipment. You could have easily replied with
>"KY-58" (the avionics equivalent of the KY-57), or KYK-13 (key holder
>used for both). But you didn't even recognize the name VINSON even in
>context with Ft. Gordon, home of the crypto schools.
>
>You had several chances, "Steve"..... you flunked.

Flunked badly enough to be terminated without prejudice.

I loved that "Colonel Vinson" sentence of yours and was getting
tired waiting for Stebie's bluffing reply to show up. :-)
Stebie was bluffing along, trying to take advantage of so very
few in here knowing anything about military electronics or
practices.

He's failed to respond to "KIR" and "KIT" that I mentioned a few
years ago...apparently NOT knowing that they set the codes for
the common IFF transponder on nearly all military aircraft.

>And BTW, the info above isn't much of a secret since Time magazine put
>a picture of a KY-57 on the front cover back in '83 -- nice pic of a
>Beirut Marine next to a jeep with a VRC-12.

VERY little of the outside of those "K" boxes have been "secret"
nor have the names. Some of the insides are, obviously, but
not names or contract numbers for production nor contract monies
for those contracts. All public knowledge.

Back around 1996 (?) the Army released for PUBLIC DISSEMINATION
the "catalog" of land-forces Signal equipment, FM 24-24. It is
open for public viewing at the Army's Training and Doctrine
Command Digital Library. At least two ham websites have links

to those PDF chapters for free downloads. Nearly ALL signal
equipment is described in there with pictures and all that. :-)

Nowadays, though, COMSEC is being built-in such as in the SIP
version of SINCGARS ground-air transceivers and the new
AN/PRC-150 IHFR (Improved HF Radio) now under contract to Harris.
See AN/ARC-210 for the SIP (SINCGARS Improvement Program)
version of that for aircraft.

>> Again...colorful. Clancyesque.
>
>You and fiction seem to get along quite well.

Stebie just doesn't know what he thinks he is talking about. :-)

He once tried to spew insults about my "not knowing civilian
avionics" (despite my having been IN that line of work) and
took to task my "faulty" description of civilian VOR. Never
mind that VOR (I consider elegant in simplicity for the tube
era) was conceived, tested, and found acceptible for the
international air community in the 1950s. Likewise, he
didn't speak out when I mentioned "Mode 4" for IFF transponders.

He just doesn't mention ANYTHING in regards to military radio-
electronics systems, no details, no names of any kind. At best
he once talked about two civilian radios supposedly at the
Okinawa MARS location where he claimed to be "Assistant NCOIC"
(as if they had so many that they needed "assistant" titles).

>> That you attempt to diminish my military service simply because I
>>do not wish to discuss it in this forum like Lennie's rantings, or like
>>some drunk at the end of the bar at 3AM only further substantiates MY
>>claim that your motives here have nothing to do with Amateur Radio,
>>have more to do with being argumenative, and are dubious at best.
>
>Blah, blah, blah. You've been both outed and spanked. Either fess up
>or shut up.

I predict Stebie will do neither. He can't admit to duplicity
(he is too deep now to have any chance of backing out) and he
doesn't ever admit to any wrongdoing (his duplicity depends on
him giving the impression he is always right).

If Stebie LIES about his military "radio experience" then it is
almost a sure bet he LIES about amateur radio experience.

What we CAN bank on is a series of personal insults directed at
his "opponents" that challenge him. Standard Operating Procedure.

lie SOP
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2740. Re: Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 05:39:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124775543.858722.301420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117041

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 22, 7:44 pm

>On 22 Aug 2005 07:51:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 21 Aug 2005 16:28:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> I know who Col Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never
>>served with him/for him/within 100 miles of him.
>
>And here is where your lies finally come to an end. If -you- were in
>avionics in the 80's then you most certainly -DID- 'serve' under
>"Colonel Vinson"..... who, BTW, is not a real person, and you would
>have known that if your story was true. VINSON was the crypto system
>that was used for almost all radio equipment in that era, and EVERY
>tech -- avionics AND ground -- was trained to do first and second
>echelon repairs on that equipment. You could have easily replied with
>"KY-58" (the avionics equivalent of the KY-57), or KYK-13 (key holder
>used for both). But you didn't even recognize the name VINSON even in
>context with Ft. Gordon, home of the crypto schools.
>
>You had several chances, "Steve"..... you flunked.

Flunked badly enough to be terminated without prejudice.

I loved that "Colonel Vinson" sentence of yours and was getting
tired waiting for Stebie's bluffing reply to show up. :-)
Stebie was bluffing along, trying to take advantage of so very
few in here knowing anything about military electronics or
practices.

He's failed to respond to "KIR" and "KIT" that I mentioned a few
years ago...apparently NOT knowing that they set the codes for
the common IFF transponder on nearly all military aircraft.

>And BTW, the info above isn't much of a secret since Time magazine put
>a picture of a KY-57 on the front cover back in '83 -- nice pic of a
>Beirut Marine next to a jeep with a VRC-12.

VERY little of the outside of those "K" boxes have been "secret"
nor have the names. Some of the insides are, obviously, but
not names or contract numbers for production nor contract monies
for those contracts. All public knowledge.

Back around 1996 (?) the Army released for PUBLIC DISSEMINATION
the "catalog" of land-forces Signal equipment, FM 24-24. It is
open for public viewing at the Army's Training and Doctrine
Command Digital Library. At least two ham websites have links

to those PDF chapters for free downloads. Nearly ALL signal
equipment is described in there with pictures and all that. :-)

Nowadays, though, COMSEC is being built-in such as in the SIP
version of SINCGARS ground-air transceivers and the new
AN/PRC-150 IHFR (Improved HF Radio) now under contract to Harris.
See AN/ARC-210 for the SIP (SINCGARS Improvement Program)
version of that for aircraft.

>> Again...colorful. Clancyesque.
>
>You and fiction seem to get along quite well.

Stebie just doesn't know what he thinks he is talking about. :-)

He once tried to spew insults about my "not knowing civilian
avionics" (despite my having been IN that line of work) and
took to task my "faulty" description of civilian VOR. Never
mind that VOR (I consider elegant in simplicity for the tube
era) was conceived, tested, and found acceptible for the
international air community in the 1950s. Likewise, he
didn't speak out when I mentioned "Mode 4" for IFF transponders.

He just doesn't mention ANYTHING in regards to military radio-
electronics systems, no details, no names of any kind. At best
he once talked about two civilian radios supposedly at the
Okinawa MARS location where he claimed to be "Assistant NCOIC"
(as if they had so many that they needed "assistant" titles).

>> That you attempt to diminish my military service simply because I
>>do not wish to discuss it in this forum like Lennie's rantings, or like
>>some drunk at the end of the bar at 3AM only further substantiates MY
>>claim that your motives here have nothing to do with Amateur Radio,
>>have more to do with being argumenative, and are dubious at best.
>
>Blah, blah, blah. You've been both outed and spanked. Either fess up
>or shut up.

I predict Stebie will do neither. He can't admit to duplicity
(he is too deep now to have any chance of backing out) and he
doesn't ever admit to any wrongdoing (his duplicity depends on
him giving the impression he is always right).

If Stebie LIES about his military "radio experience" then it is
almost a sure bet he LIES about amateur radio experience.

What we CAN bank on is a series of personal insults directed at
his "opponents" that challenge him. Standard Operating Procedure.

lie SOP
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2741. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 05:42:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124775769.261943.129300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117042

From: John Smith on Aug 21, 8:36 pm

>Len:
>
>LOL!!!

>Traveling Wave Amplifier Tubes sounds like an interesting subject, one
>which could really catch a guys interest! Especially after a drink or two
>and some soft music... more than likely, takes a lifetime to explore
>fully... <grin>

Ackshully, the industry acronym of TWT (Travelling Wave Tube) is for a very interesting late-era vacuum tube, a very broadband amplifier (at least an octave of bandwidth) having low internal noise and many milliWatts of output, low (around 50 Ohms) In/Out impedance and good from L-Band (1 to 2 GHz) on up to X-Band (8 to 12 GHz). The Quail decoy missle used them in several applications, one as a five-octave mixer (!). The Quail was made by MacDonnell (before the amalgamation with Douglas) and carried on the BUFFs. It could fly out along a predetermined course and act like one to three BUFFs to Soviet radar. Good insurance for SAC.

The Electronic Warfare Labs at Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation made the avionics package. I tested those cylindrical tubes in the summer of '58, the same summer I decided on a major switch of majors into electronics engineering.

TWTs are still being used in communications satellites as the octave bandwidth final amplifiers, ideal since many, many transponder channels can be amplified together, with very little intermodulation distortion. TWTs are one of the last family types of vacuum tube devices still useful and being used...the others are CRTs (becoming scarcer and scarcer), photo mulitpliers in optical instrumentation, the heart of Night Vision devices, microwave oven magnetrons, and high-power VHF-and-up transmitters.

Oh, and, in a few rare cases, vacuum tubes are used by beepers in "state-of-the-art" vacuum tube transmitters they "designed" in the 1990s... <BSEG>

I'm not going to touch the "other" acronym...would upset Kim and Dee too much...not to mention certain pansy posters in here. :-)

ace nam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2742. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 05:45:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1124775953.281867.69780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117043

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> If there are going to be fully automated nets run by automatons, then
> practical "open" protocols and hardware need to be established. Wide
> experimentation of many different protocols needs to be experimented with
> and the best one or two adopted--and, the ease which "newbies" can
> participate should be given a substantial weight in this endeavor. A
> narrow range of freqs would best be established for robots, it can always
> be widened if there is sufficient call for such.
>
> A protocol where a "control robot" to be chosen, and adaptive
> procedures so the control can change as necessary. A protocol where a
> robot requests entrance in the net and its' traffic logically queued and
> handled in a "ring" approach. Also, a protocol which allows for a human
> operator (with the correct software/hardware) to get info and interact in
> an emergency or otherwise situation.
>
> I find discussion of these matters highly exciting and believe amateur
> radio should help foster such directions, seems it would be beneficial to
> all...
>
> John

Hey Jim, does this ring a familiar bell . . . ? Node clashes . .

## 2743. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 06:38:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <us@that.moc>
Message-ID: <TOWdndyQ-bg1KJfeRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117039

John Smith wrote:

>
> If you try something and it fails, and you try it again and it fails, and
> you try it again it fails--there is something wrong with what you are
> doing!

If at first you don't succeed, you're running about average.

## 2744. Gee, it's awfully quiet in here.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 11:19:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <o81mg15i64fs10j083c5fiv4v0a0ca144b@4ax.com>
Source record: 117045

It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
mommy's basement.

BTW, it's getting close to my off-season so that means vacation time.
I make my way to Jacksonville (NC) every now and then. I haven't taken
I-40 for almost 20 years -- I really hated Knoxville. Has it changed
since then? Anyone taken that drive recently? And isn't that part of
the old Route 66?

Any suggestions would be helpful.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2745. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 11:30:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124796605.073465.140140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117046

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> "Morse Code Welfare", I think you hit upon it man, they consider the bands
> a "RF Social Entitlement!"
>
> We are making progress in their psychiatric diagnosis!
>
> John

not really that was rendered at 7 years ago
>
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 21:04:02 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
>

# 2746. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 11:31:42 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>
Source record: 117047

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

```
>
>
> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
>> a few countries.
>>
>
> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters where
> I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and stretches
> upward for 100KHz.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

Not true for phone ops though, is it?
```

# 2747. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 12:29:15 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <wEEOe.928$9X.669@fe09.lga>
Source record: 117048

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>>
>>
>> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
>>> a few countries.
>>>
>>
>> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters where
>> I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and stretches
>> upward for 100KHz.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Not true for phone ops though, is it?

"Learn it... Earn it." That spectrum has always been available to all
willing to upgrade their ticket.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

# 2748. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 13:31:35 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <XyFOe.623$z2.353@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117049

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>>For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters where
>>I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and stretches
>>upward for 100KHz.
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB

> Not true for phone ops though, is it?

Channels 1-40 on the CB work for them..... heh heh....

## 2749. Legislature to Rescind Comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 14:00:28 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1u83cs@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1u83cs@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 117102

http://kennebecjournal.mainetoday.com/view/letters/1880216.shtml

--
John Johnston

# 2750. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 14:00:29 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <qc-dnc_g0-qcsZbeRVn-qA@crocker.com>
Source record: 117100

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:XyFOe.623$z2.353@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>>For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters where
>>>I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and stretches
>>>upward for 100KHz.
>>>
>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>> Not true for phone ops though, is it?

sure it is, they just have to know how to use their 'split' button. of
course now some europeans can go up to 7200, so that is a bit of a help.

# 2751. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 14:17:33 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <KhCdnVj0kKGSrZbeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117101

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>>
>>
>> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
>>> a few countries.
>>>
>>
>> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters where
>> I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and stretches
>> upward for 100KHz.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Not true for phone ops though, is it?

Actually, quite a few of the EU countries have opened 7100-7200 to their
hams as a result of the outcome of WRC-03.

About 2 weeks ago, I worked G0EVY, Dave, in central UK, on about 7195 SSB
from my mobile at around 11:00 pm EDT while driving back from a ham club
meeting in New Jersey. Dave was a solid S9+ and indicated that he's on that
part of the band practically every night for several hours.

73,
Carl - wk3c

# 2752. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 15:17:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124810255.271358.187700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117103

Dave wrote:

> sure it is, they just have to know how to use their 'split' button. of
> course now some europeans can go up to 7200, so that is a bit of a help.

A-yup...My FT-757 isn't the most luxurious rig on the market, but
that split feature works well...Use it lots for the DX tests...Even for
routine calls...I've made a lot of "random" QSOs by tuning 7050 to 7100
then transmitting where they indicate they are listening.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2753. Re: Markie lying about noin-existant confessions of mental dischage from Marines...Why Does Markie Lie So Prolifically?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 15:22:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124810559.010433.79710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117104

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-sham-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > > Simple until you factor in the mind of Robeson. Steve has had ample
> > > > opportunity to set the record straight (at the tune of 1,000 posts per
> > > > month), yet he doesn't. Wonder why?
> > >
> > > becuase a Bi and knky as I am am striaghter than Stevie
> >
> > "because" "kinky" "straighter"
> >
> > I doubt that.
>
> of course you do

Yep.

> > > > > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > > > > start
> > > > > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > > > > above,
> > > > > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> > > > > > > to the pile by Markie himslef....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
> > > > > > history
> > > > >
> > > > > I agree with your deduction.
> > > >
> > > > Now I can only wonder how a medical discharge/retirement at 18 years of
> > > > service doesn't permit a disability pension. More and more of
> > > > Robeson's "facts" just don't add up. Robeson couldn't tell a truth if
> > > > his reputation depended on it (and it does).
> > >
> > > Indeed if he did not recieve a pension for something at that point he
> > > should have hired a lawyer and fought it, maybe even just gone to JAG
> > > and gotten them to fight for him
> >
> > Because I elected a one-time cash out at separation.

>
> There we have it stevie admits to recieving a disablity pension just
> took in one lump sum

Nope.

There's more to follow. And sorry..it was not then nor is it now
a "disability pension".

> > Regular retirement will effect at age 62.
> >
> > > BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> > > Stevie has changed his email over the years
> > >
> > > and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> > > deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff
> >
> > Nope. K4YZ has always been a part of my screen names...And I have
> > never tired to "hide" who I was...You have (as if anyone could hide
> > THAT jiberish!)
>
> part your deciet was in not maing not show up when checking first for
> post by you

"deceit"

"not maing not"...WTF was that...?!?!

> just decietfullness stevie BYS

Nope.

But we have YOU demonstrating your ignorance in THIS post, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2754. Re: Stevie continues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 15:37:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124811471.904574.14470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117105

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > > > > > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > > > > > > start
> > > > > > > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > > > > > > above,
> > > > > > > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And even more evidence of validation of my previous service, added
> > > > > > > > > to the pile by Markie himslef....
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > If that is you, you are refering not stealing some one else name and
> > > > > > > > > history
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I agree with your deduction.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Now I can only wonder how a medical discharge/retirement at 18 years of
> > > > > > > > service doesn't permit a disability pension. More and more of
> > > > > > > > Robeson's "facts" just don't add up. Robeson couldn't tell a truth if
> > > > > > > > his reputation depended on it (and it does).
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Indeed if he did not recieve a pension for something at that point he
> > > > > > > should have hired a lawyer and fought it, maybe even just gone to JAG
> > > > > > > and gotten them to fight for him
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Because I elected a one-time cash out at separation.
> > > >
> > > > There we have it stevie admits to recieving a disablity pension just
> > > > took in one lump sum
> > >
> > > Nope.

you are simply lying

> > There's more to follow. And sorry..it was not then nor is it now
> > a "disability pension".

you are simply lying in saying it is not a disablity pension
> > > > Regular retirement will effect at age 62.
> > > >
> > > > > BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed

> > > > Stevie has changed his email over the years
> > > >
> > > > and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> > > > deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff
> > >
> > > Nope. K4YZ has always been a part of my screen names...And I have
> > > never tired to "hide" who I was...You have (as if anyone could hide
> > > THAT jiberish!)
> >
> > part your deciet was in not maing not show up when checking first for
> > post by you
>
> "deceit"
>
> "not maing not"...WTF was that...?!?!
>
> > just decietfullness stevie BYS
>
> Nope.
>
> But we have YOU demonstrating your ignorance in THIS post, Markie!
not at all just the fact you can't tell the turth

Posibily becuase you can't face the truth but you certainly can't tell
the truth

You were disabled out... fact

You deny it was physcial...fact

therefore it is was mental... simple logic

you were paid..fact

you are therefore drawing a disablity pension...fact

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2755. day 12 when is stevie going to stop with the bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 15:41:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124811717.987110.280790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117106

when are you going to stop with the bullshit?

when you going to admit you can't affect me with claims of reporting me?

How long is long enough before you will admit the state will do nothing?

when you going to stop lying about your license in the medcial field?

just a simply when is all that is needed.

yes this is an attack thread

# 2756. Stevie admitts to lying to the NG and to the state of Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 15:48:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124812128.510615.113850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117107

Stevie yesterday admitt hat he has been lying in claiming that lying is
a sign of mental illiness and so admits that many of his rants over the
years have been lies

It isn't Dr Robeson, but Rev. Robeson that has been speaking, preaching
and trying to force his morality down everyone's throat

quote
K4YZ Aug 22, 9:26 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 22 Aug 2005 18:26:33 -0700
Local: Mon, Aug 22 2005 9:26 pm
Subject: Markie Making It Up As He Goes
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!

> > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people

> > Lying is a sickness.

> Not according to AMA

It's not a medical issue.

endquote

# 2757. Sumery of Stvie missteps 22aug2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 15:54:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124812482.900161.57820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117108

on 22 aug stevie did number of things

He admitted to receivng a mental discharge

He admited then deined, then admited to it again, receiving a disablity pension, just as a lump sum payment

he got caught not knowing the mahor peice of equipment he would have been working with as a marine (prehaps an understandable lapse in view of the mental discharge)

He admitted to lying to NG about his rants over the years about lying

he therefore also admitted to lying and/or decieving the state of Michigan

he tired dening making his death threats

He got found out on his libel issues, (he still denies then but admitted to geting his access terminated)

a Busy day for stevie boy

## 2758. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 15:57:49 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050823155749.35D5617057@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 117109

In article <1124753512.713461.84050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> - if you were really assigned to Warminster NAS - you were there
> TEN YEARS AFTER

"I'd Love to Change the World" was a great song! I bet you would too, to fit
your narrow views.

# 2759. Re: Gee, it's awfully quiet in here.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 16:16:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124813780.225401.117170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117110

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
> mommy's basement.

Looks like Stevie is going totry the pretend it never happened tactic
>
> BTW, it's getting close to my off-season so that means vacation time.
> I make my way to Jacksonville (NC) every now and then. I haven't taken
> I-40 for almost 20 years -- I really hated Knoxville. Has it changed
> since then? Anyone taken that drive recently? And isn't that part of
> the old Route 66?
>
> Any suggestions would be helpful.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2760. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 16:33:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124814782.810941.135940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117111

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124669695.309104.207160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> >> > All of 80 meters is open to digital modes. You know, the
> >> > modes all those new, young, modern hams are going to use
> >> > when Element 1 goes away.
> >
> >> > If there's so much room, then what's the problem making
> >> > 3500 to 3575 Morse Code only?
> >
> >> Because we don't use it now. 25 on the bottom of all bands is
> >> plenty IF it is CW exclusive to ALL classes.
> >
> > Is 80 meters full of digital signals? Or is it equally underused
> > by those modes as well?
>
> 80/75 is a seasonal band, as is 160. Summertime activity of any kind is
> quite low. With the exception of SSB. It is always full up. At least in 4
> land anyway.

Here in 3 land the activity is both diurnal and seasonal. During the
day 80/75 is very quiet - in part because of propagation, in part
because of people not
being near a rig, and in part because other bands are "more open".
Winter is more active than summer, sunspot minimum more active than
sunspot maximum.

> Digital is indeed increasing, but so far are staying above 3575. On
> occassion I am QRMed from them on the Alabama net, 3575. But they move
> when they hear activity...to their credit.

But in general there isn't much digital going on either.

Some years back, a small PSK31 rig called the "Warbler" made the
3579.545 colorburst frequency popular among PSK31 folks. Although the
Warbler only covered about 2 kHz of the band there, folks with other
rigs flocked around.

Is 3575 to 3675 full of digital signals? I don't think so!

There's another effect going on, too:

Good 80 meter antennas are pretty big to folks used to VHF and 10 meter type stuff. The popular G5RV is a compromise antenna on 80, at best. The band doesn't really come into its own until after dark. Etc.

How many folks on rrap have an 80 meter setup? As in "at least a G5RV that works on 80, 35 feet up at least") There's W4NTI, N2EY, K8MN, K0HB, and probably W3RV.

Out of how many?

> >> >> 40 is another case and it is gonna be real tough to put that
> >> >> mess straight..
> >> >> hi.
> >> >
> >> > Not really. The mess is due to the rest of the world wanting
> >> > 7100-7300 for SWBC. That's going away, even as we speak, and
> >> > more and more of the rest of the world is letting their hams
> >> > have 7100-7200. Eventually 7000-7300 will be worldwide
> >> > exclusive amateur.
> >
> >> > So what's the problem with 7000-7050 being Morse Code only?
> >> >>
> >> See above
> >
> > The band is 300 kHz wide. 50 kHz is 16%. There are plenty of
> > times - noncontest times - when 40 is one Morse Code signal
> > after another from 7000 to 7050. And that's with cascaded
> > 8 pole 500 Hz filters in the rx.
>
> 40 meters is a butchered band. And yes I know that changes are FINALLY on
> the way.

Not just on the way - they're here, and growing every day as SWBC folks move out and more countries allow their hams to use 7100-7200. Soon there will be no good reason for SSB below 7100 at all.

> Perhaps when it is a exclusive Amateur allocation (at least for
> Region 1 and 2) things will improve. But for now it is a complete mess.

The rules take so long to change that the time to ask is now. Look to the future, when 7000-7200 or even 7000-7300 will be worldwide exclusive amateur.
>
> It is indeed a active band, for all modes. In reality it needs expanded to
> 7.5 or so. But that will never happen.

It won't happen if we say "never". Look how long the band stopped at 7100 outside Region 2. But the rules *did* change.

> >> >> 20/15/10 could all use some "CW Trimming" today.

> >> > Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
> >> > less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
> >> > about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",

> >> > but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.
> >
> > Is that what is best? More room for SSB and AM, less for
> > CW and digital modes?

> I don't know what is "best". I would just like to see a clean spot for CW
> only. That is a personal choice, nothing more. Whether I get it is
> another story.

I think what is best for amateur radio is for the bottom 15% or so of each HF/MF ham band to be Morse Code only. If that is done, and at least some of it is open to all license classes, hams who are interested in Morse Code can and will flock there. The problems of incompatible modes will be greatly reduced.

> >> >> I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW
> >> >> ONLY. No
> >> >> digital, etc. That way those that want can.
> >> >>
> >> >> Those that don't.....won't.
> >> >>
> >> > The trouble is that it will take an Extra to get down there.
> >
> >> No it won't. Drop the Extra only and be done with that Dinosaur.
> >
> > FCC won't go for that. Read the NPRM - they specifically state that
> > they think 3 license classes is the right number, and that we'll
> > get to three classes by attrition. They specifically denied
> > auto-upgrades, new entry level licenses, etc.

> Then only extra's do CW. I have no solution for that. If the FCC don't
> want it, it won't happen.

The trick is to offer the FCC something that doesn't contradict the way they think. Telling them to dump the Extra is a nonstarter because they're convinced it's a good thing. The idea of devoting 15% of each band to be Morse Code only hasn't been presented to them. They might go for it as a way to eliminate QRM complaints. "Here's a Morse-Code-only preserve, folks the only QRM you'll have is from each other!".

Other modes have similar protection. Look at the 'phone subbands - data modes are not allowed there! Morse Code ops avoid the 'phone subbands - when't the last time you heard real Morse Code operation in a 'phone subband?

All you hear in the 'phone subbands are SSB, a bit of AM, some SSTV, and maybe some digital voice and narrow FM. Some might even say those rules are 'welfare' for analog voice modes.

If those modes can be protected from digital/data QRM, why can't a much smaller part of each band be set up as a Morse Code preserve?
>
> > They also said that more frequencies was the best incentive.

> The FCC has NO IDEA what is good for Ham radio. Nor do they give a RIP.
>
Doesn't matter - they make the rules. If we ask for something that goes along with their mindset we just might get it. Asking for something they
have already said is not on their agenda has little or no chance or success.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2761. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 16:41:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124815266.094681.257110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117112

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.

> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
> >
> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or
> > "I passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>
> class warfare? Oy!
>
> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like dirt.
>
> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>
> Sounds good, but I suspect that there would be some sort of pecking
> order *regardless* of the situation.

It was that way back in those days too. I was there.

Some of the SSB folks thought they were better than the AM gang. Some
folks with Collins looked down on others with less-expensive rigs. Some
of those with Drake looked down on others, yet considered the Collins
folks to be snobs. Some with 1x3 calls looked down on those with 2x3
calls.

Etc. You get the drift. Key word is "some".

> Were there only *one* class of ham,
> some people would still set themselves up as the "anointed ones" by
> virtue of having some top notch radio, antenna, or whatever. It is that
> way in every vocation, social group, hobby, sport, or whatever. Kinda
> like human nature.

Heck, we've got someone here in rrap who isn't even a ham, yet looks
down on practically all of us! ;-)
>
> In other words, Morse code could go away entirely, no test, no use,

> nothing, and the situation wouldn't be much different.

Of course. The lines would be drawn another way. Equipment, modes, etc.

Shall I post the Smith Chart piece again?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2762. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 16:46:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124815578.586047.18740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117113

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > N2EY:
> >
> > If there are going to be fully automated nets run by automatons, then
> > practical "open" protocols and hardware need to be established. Wide
> > experimentation of many different protocols needs to be experimented with
> > and the best one or two adopted--and, the ease which "newbies" can
> > participate should be given a substantial weight in this endeavor. A
> > narrow range of freqs would best be established for robots, it can always
> > be widened if there is sufficient call for such.
> >
> > A protocol where a "control robot" to be chosen, and adaptive
> > procedures so the control can change as necessary. A protocol where a
> > robot requests entrance in the net and its' traffic logically queued and
> > handled in a "ring" approach. Also, a protocol which allows for a human
> > operator (with the correct software/hardware) to get info and interact in
> > an emergency or otherwise situation.
> >
> > I find discussion of these matters highly exciting and believe amateur
> > radio should help foster such directions, seems it would be beneficial to
> > all...
> >
> > John
>
> Hey Jim, does this ring a familiar bell . . . ? Node clashes . .

"Everything old is new again"

It's really funny to see the neophiles ignore history and what has
already
been learned as "old stuff", then go out and try to solve the same
problems all over again.

Then when you try to Elmer them, you get called a dinosaur.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2763. Re: Gee, it's awfully quiet in here.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 17:00:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124816429.575080.92110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117114

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
> mommy's basement.

Steve is finally getting the attention that he deserves. Contrats
Steve.

> BTW, it's getting close to my off-season so that means vacation time.
> I make my way to Jacksonville (NC) every now and then. I haven't taken
> I-40 for almost 20 years -- I really hated Knoxville. Has it changed
> since then? Anyone taken that drive recently? And isn't that part of
> the old Route 66?
>
> Any suggestions would be helpful.

Dunno. I always get to Gnashville and turn West. Welp, almost always
except when I have to attend meeting in Ashville.

# 2764. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 17:03:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124816623.861779.110130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117115

an_old_friend wrote:

> BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> Stevie has changed his email over the years
>
> and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff

Indeed, Steve has said that my change of venue was only to deceive.

He has a devious mindset.

# 2765. Re: The Majority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 17:06:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124816783.927928.75890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117116

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124762023.464753.54470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1124651447.854966.145250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> [snip]
> >> >
> >> > We need people like Carl Anderson pushing the buttons at the ARRL.
> >>
> >> Who's Carl Anderson??? (I didn't see that name in the e-mail I got from
> >> Dave Sumner listing those who had been nominated for ARRL offices ...)
> >>
> >> 73,
> >> Carl - wk3c
> >> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
> >
> > Brian Kelly/W3RV started a thread about your run for office. He
> > referred to you as "Carl Anderson." I guess anything is possible after
> > downing a 5L box of Almaden.
>
> I did not :-)

Nice!

## 2766. One More Time with "DD"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 17:15:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124817353.937296.219730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117117

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> As far as "dumbing down" goes - I don't buy it - as Ed Hare, W1RFI (someone
> who I think most here respect), has recounted ... the "beginner's test
> (novice)" in his day had a 3-1/2 page study guide, the general study guide
> was 16 pages (I had mis-remembered and stated 12-14 pages in a couple of
> presentations, but that was an honest mistake and doesn't really alter the
> point). Today, the "Now You're Talking" - the study guide for the
> "beginner's test (tech)" is on the order of 200 pages or slightly more and
> covers MANY more topics than the study guides of Ed's test-taking days ever
> covered.

Except that's not the whole story. I've had this discussion with W1RFI
both
online and in person. There's a lot more to the old vs. new exams.

First off, the "3-1/2 page study guide" refers to the part of the old
ARRL License Manual that had the sample questions. These were
essay-type questions
meant to indicate subject areas that would be on the test. The old LM
was *not*
meant to be a stand-alone study guide, nor did it contain the exact
Q&A. One or two essay questions could cover an enormous amount of
ground, yet take up a small part of one page.

In addition, the prospective ham had to know the rules and regulations
(not part of those 3-1/2 pages) plus Morse Code sending and receiving.

Most of all, the old 1963 Novice was an extremely limited license. Good
for one
year, small parts of 4 bands bands, two modes and low power with
crystal control. Every US ham had a year to pass at least the General
written (same exam was used for Technician, General and Conditional) or
leave the ham bands.

> The point is that things have NOT been "dumbed down" ... there is more to
> study and learn than ever before - just to become a "beginner."

Yes and no.

If someone wants to really *understand* the material, there's lots to
learn. If they want to be able to practically apply it, there's even
more.

But if all they want to do is pass the test, all they need is to get
enough multiple choices right and the license is theirs. FCC doesn't
care if someone understands the material or not, or if they got a
perfect score or just enough for a passing mark. Same license is issued

either way.

This isn't meant as a put-down of newer hams - they don't control the
testing process or requirements!

> I was
> licensed long enough ago to have been a member of QCWA for some time, and I
> am FIRMLY convinced that those who complain about "dumbing down" of the
> testing are either being disingenuous, or more likely simply remember the
> tests that they took many years ago as being MUCH harder than they actually
> were.

Or maybe they're using a poor choice of words.

The old tests required some understanding and detailed knowledge in a
few well-defined areas. The new tests are more amenable to memorization
without much understanding, and treat a wide variety of subjects in a
very basic manner.

On top of all this is the fact that in the bad old days just getting to
an exam was a major effort for a lot of prospective hams. So we tended
to overprepare just to be sure.

> Besides, the test isn't a proof that you "know all there is to know,"
> nor SHOULD it be.

Of course not! At the same time, if the test is "too easy", the
newcomer has so much to learn that they can be frustrated to the point
of giving up.

> I'd ask older hams with higher class licenses to think back to the mistakes
> that they made when they first went on the air many years ago - and how the
> more experienced hams of the time (generally) were patient, tolerant, and
> helpful. Show the newcomers the way in polite, respectful, and constructive
> ways, rather than slamming them and telling them they're no good!

Of course - but that's a two-way street! Being called "olde fartz",
"obsolete", "dinosaurs", "beepers", "key tappers", "elitists",
"one-by-twos who need a whack from a two-by-four" and such doesn't make
an experienced ham - *any* experienced ham - want to Elmer the name
caller.

Look at KB3EIA's experiences - see the problem? I had a similar one
here on rrap when I tried to help someone with an HF antenna problem,
then realized the person expected me to completely solve his problem
with incomplete information and a barrage of put-downs. Eventually I
realized it was a waste of my time - the person involved would not
accept any solution provided.

Of course a lot of Elmering *does* go on - via reflectors, in person,
on the air, with books, websites, etc. I've done a bit of that
myself....

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2767. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 18:00:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124820005.707761.276350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117118

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> > Stevie has changed his email over the years
> >
> > and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> > deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff
>
> Indeed, Steve has said that my change of venue was only to deceive.
>
> He has a devious mindset.

Nah I think he is projecting again

He does it to decieve therefor he thinks everybody else does

just a sign of his mental problems

# 2768. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 18:08:33 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <-zOdnaS8F86u-5beUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117119

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124814782.810941.135940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
[snip]
> How many folks on rrap have an 80 meter setup? As in "at least a G5RV
> that works on 80, 35 feet up at least") There's W4NTI, N2EY, K8MN,
> K0HB, and probably W3RV.

I do ... 160m-70cm here ... with digital modes as well as voice.

[snip]

>> >> > Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
>> >> > less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
>> >> > about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
>> >> > but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.

I, for one, do NOT support more bandspace for SSB ... I think it's
unnecessary.
The main problems are on contest weekends and a lot of those problems are
caused by too much testosterone and not enough operating courtesy from
*some( but not all) contesters and the "retaliations" from some equally
discourteous non-contesters.

>> >
>> > Is that what is best? More room for SSB and AM, less for
>> > CW and digital modes?

No ... see above.

--
73,
Carl R. Stevenson - wk3c
Grid Square FN20fm
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
---
Life Member, ARRL
Life Member, QCWA (31424)
Member, TAPR
Member, AMSAT-NA
Member, LVARC (Lehigh Valley ARC)
Member, Lehigh County ARES/RACES
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, IEEE Standards Association
Chair, IEEE 802.22 WG on Wireless Regional Area Networks
---

# 2769. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 18:11:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124820673.995224.94720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117120

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
cut
> There's another effect going on, too:
>
> Good 80 meter antennas are pretty big to folks used to VHF and 10 meter
> type stuff. The popular G5RV is a compromise antenna on 80, at best.
> The band doesn't really come into its own until after dark. Etc.
>
> How many folks on rrap have an 80 meter setup? As in "at least a G5RV
> that works on 80, 35 feet up at least") There's W4NTI, N2EY, K8MN,
> K0HB, and probably W3RV.

and KB9RQZ, and I believe N9OGL as well
>
> Out of how many?

more than half the newsgroup if the NG was a reprentive sample then not
bad
>
>cut

> > > FCC won't go for that. Read the NPRM - they specifically state that
> > > they think 3 license classes is the right number, and that we'll
> > > get to three classes by attrition. They specifically denied
> > > auto-upgrades, new entry level licenses, etc.
>
> > Then only extra's do CW. I have no solution for that. If the FCC don't
> > want it, it won't happen.
>
> The trick is to offer the FCC something that doesn't contradict the way
> they
> think. Telling them to dump the Extra is a nonstarter because they're
> convinced
> it's a good thing. The idea of devoting 15% of each band to be Morse
> Code only hasn't been presented to them. They might go for it as a way
> to eliminate QRM
> complaints. "Here's a Morse-Code-only preserve, folks the only QRM
> you'll have is from each other!".
>
> Other modes have similar protection. Look at the 'phone subbands - data
> modes are not allowed there! Morse Code ops avoid the 'phone subbands -
> when't the last time you heard real Morse Code operation in a 'phone
> subband?

Last night in fact it was of course in The area where the bandplan
calls 2M SSB calling, some joker sending a signal that read in part
"get off the air" and "CW Rules", my contact says this a common a
common occourance. before that on FD on 6m and on 10M and 15 M
>
> All you hear in the 'phone subbands are SSB, a bit of AM, some SSTV,
> and maybe some digital voice and narrow FM. Some might even say those
> rules are 'welfare' for analog voice modes.
>
> If those modes can be protected from digital/data QRM, why can't a much
> smaller part of each band be set up as a Morse Code preserve?

becuase enough morse code users don't respect those "preserves" Nor do
I BTW support the existance of the current mandated bandplan either so
why should I support making it worse

But the FCC has rejuected micromanaging spectrum further
> >
> > > They also said that more frequencies was the best incentive.
>
> > The FCC has NO IDEA what is good for Ham radio. Nor do they give a RIP.
> >
> Doesn't matter - they make the rules. If we ask for something that goes
> along with their mindset we just might get it. Asking for something
> they
> have already said is not on their agenda has little or no chance or
> success.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2770. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 18:31:49 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pYJOe.272656$x96.141327@attbi_s72>
Source record: 117121

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:Ie0Ne.37133$084.32934@attbi_s22...
> I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I see
> that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
it
> was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> issued instead of Amateur Extra.
>
> Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if it
> gets "fixed" in the database?

I wanted to say thanks to all who responded and to provide an update in case
anyone else has a similar problem.

My VEC contacted the ARRL VEC office and they corrected the error. A new
Amateur Extra callsign was issued along with the status change, and
presumably a new operator's license certificate will arrive in the mail.

# 2771. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 18:34:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124822088.085404.277210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117122

DesignGuy wrote:
> "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:Ie0Ne.37133$084.32934@attbi_s22...
> > I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
> > paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I see
> > that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
> it
> > was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
> > issued instead of Amateur Extra.
> >
> > Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if it
> > gets "fixed" in the database?
>
> I wanted to say thanks to all who responded and to provide an update in case
> anyone else has a similar problem.
>
> My VEC contacted the ARRL VEC office and they corrected the error. A new
> Amateur Extra callsign was issued along with the status change, and
> presumably a new operator's license certificate will arrive in the mail.

well good for you fellow glad to hear the offcil channels worked as
they are suposed to

# 2772. Re: FCC issued wrong license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:06:09 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <YSudnSQxYOku7pbeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117123

Congrats and welcome to ham radio!

Glad you got the snafu fixed.

--
73,
Carl R. Stevenson - wk3c
Grid Square FN20fm
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
---
Life Member, ARRL
Life Member, QCWA (31424)
Member, TAPR
Member, AMSAT-NA
Member, LVARC (Lehigh Valley ARC)
Member, Lehigh County ARES/RACES
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, IEEE Standards Association
Chair, IEEE 802.22 WG on Wireless Regional Area Networks
---
"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:pYJOe.272656$x96.141327@attbi_s72...
>
> "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:Ie0Ne.37133$084.32934@attbi_s22...
>> I just passed all exams (up through Extra) this past weekend, and my
>> paperwork was submitted to the FCC. After checking the FCC database, I
>> see
>> that I have been issued a Technician license and callsign. I'm surprised
> it
>> was issued so quickly, but more surprised that a Tech license/call was
>> issued instead of Amateur Extra.
>>
>> Should I call the FCC # on the CSCE form or wait a few days and see if it
>> gets "fixed" in the database?
>
> I wanted to say thanks to all who responded and to provide an update in
> case
> anyone else has a similar problem.
>
> My VEC contacted the ARRL VEC office and they corrected the error. A new
> Amateur Extra callsign was issued along with the status change, and
> presumably a new operator's license certificate will arrive in the mail.
>

>
>
>

# 2773. Re: Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage from Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:27:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124825229.740134.225080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117124

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> > > Stevie has changed his email over the years
> > >
> > > and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> > > deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff
> >
> > Indeed, Steve has said that my change of venue was only to deceive.
> >
> > He has a devious mindset.
>
> Nah I think he is projecting again

"Projecting"? Im do own a Power Point projecter, but that's all.

> He does it to decieve therefor he thinks everybody else does

Nope.

> just a sign of his mental problems

What problems?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2774. Re: Gee, it's awfully quiet in here.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:30:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124825447.779371.262730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117125

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
> mommy's basement.

Awwwwwwww..."Frank"...Is that any way to be...?!?!

> BTW, it's getting close to my off-season so that means vacation time.
> I make my way to Jacksonville (NC) every now and then. I haven't taken
> I-40 for almost 20 years -- I really hated Knoxville. Has it changed
> since then? Anyone taken that drive recently? And isn't that part of
> the old Route 66?
>
> Any suggestions would be helpful.

Unless you're willing to arrange your travel times through
Knoxville to miss "rush hours" by a good margin, plan on getting jammed
up in K-Ville...The usual traffic is bad enough, but the construction
zones and other hazards make it absolutley horrific.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2775. Re: Gee, it's awfully quiet in here.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:32:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124825541.012005.132140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117126

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
> > mommy's basement.
>
>
> Looks like Stevie is going totry the pretend it never happened tactic

Nope....that was YOUR "tactic"...

But we all clearly remembered, "Colonel"...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2776. Re: Stevie confesses to lying about mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:37:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124825843.066826.245680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117127

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> > > > Stevie has changed his email over the years
> > > >
> > > > and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> > > > deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff
> > >
> > > Indeed, Steve has said that my change of venue was only to deceive.
> > >
> > > He has a devious mindset.
> >
projecting

> > Nah I think he is projecting again
>
> "Projecting"? Im do own a Power Point projecter, but that's all.
>
> > He does it to decieve therefor he thinks everybody else does
>
> Nope.
>
> > just a sign of his mental problems
>
> What problems?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
htere you have it gentlemen Stevie doesn't even bother to deny it

# 2777. Re: Gee, it's awfully quiet in here.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:38:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124825937.808359.86420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117128

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
> > > mommy's basement.
> >
> >
> > Looks like Stevie is going totry the pretend it never happened tactic
>
> Nope....that was YOUR "tactic"...

Nope not at all

I clearly confess I lied about my rank . I also explained why I did so
>
> But we all clearly remembered, "Colonel"...

ah yess the blame everyone else for your error tactic stevie Guess Len
was right

You are the one caught with your lies hanging out
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2778. Re: Sumery of Stvie missteps 22aug2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:40:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124826040.051646.302210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117129

an_old_friend wrote:
> on 22 aug stevie did number of things
>
> He admitted to receivng a mental discharge
>
> He admited then deined, then admited to it again, receiving a disablity
> pension, just as a lump sum payment
>
> he got caught not knowing the mahor peice of equipment he would have
> been working with as a marine (prehaps an understandable lapse in view
> of the mental discharge)
>
> He admitted to lying to NG about his rants over the years about lying
>
> he therefore also admitted to lying and/or decieving the state of
> Michigan
>
> he tired dening making his death threats
>
> He got found out on his libel issues, (he still denies then but
> admitted to geting his access terminated)
>
> a Busy day for stevie boy

Stevie has taken 2 rounds of posting and not bothered to condict the
scorecard in any of his posts

# 2779. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:41:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124826110.872794.269740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117130

the question still stands

when you are you going to confess to your lies?\

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
> > > > > your claims seriously?
> > > > >
> > > > What BS?
> > > >
> > > you bs
> > >
> > What BS?
> > >
> > (And that should have been "Your BS.", Markie)
> >
> It is your BS?
>
> you claim Michigan would do something.
>
> It will not
>
> so you are lying and bsing when you claim it will
>
> so how long you going to keep it up
> >
> > > cuting the spelling cop
> > >
> >
> > > when you going to admit you are full of #### in claiming Michigan is
> > > going to do something on your say so
> > >
> > more bs
> >
> > you have leid and said they are investagting
> >
> > a letter form out of state they laughed and threw it away
> > Steve, K4YZ

## 2780. Stevie lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 19:54:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124826872.679053.56160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117131

quoting from Stevie "Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage from Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)"

Here we have it again another lie from the man that does not tolerate lying

Since Of Course I have not confessed to lying about Stevies mental discharge, Stevie has lied about the facts. Even if I were lying I have not confessed to it. and I am not lying I believe based on Stevie own words that Stevie has received a mental discharge once known formaly as a section 8 now with some other name, but still often called a section 8

If Stevie had said "Mark is lying..." then he could claim with some basis to be stating an opinion or he could claim he was stating fact, but in stating "Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage from Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)" he lies on the basis of fact

How you do tolerate yourself.

Liar

Fraud.

Coward.

# 2781. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 20:27:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <s3vmg1t5jpt63g7knthmipdekmpcjpi0h1@4ax.com>
Source record: 117132

On 23 Aug 2005 12:41:50 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote in <1124826110.872794.269740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> the question still stands
>
>when you are you going to confess to your lies?\

Probably never. After so many years of rationalizing his lies I'm sure
he actually believes that they are justified. I effectively nuked him
with the facts and his response was avoidance. It's been a few years,
but if I remember my Psych 101 correctly he has what you would call
"Narcissistic Personality Disorder"; his false sense of superiority
will never let him fess up, and his need for attention will never let
him shut up. Too bad cause he's obviously a smart guy -- just really
screwed up.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2782. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 20:37:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124829433.058533.300980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117133

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Aug 2005 12:41:50 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote in <1124826110.872794.269740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > the question still stands
> >
> >when you are you going to confess to your lies?\
>
>
> Probably never. After so many years of rationalizing his lies I'm sure
> he actually believes that they are justified. I effectively nuked him
> with the facts and his response was avoidance. It's been a few years,
> but if I remember my Psych 101 correctly he has what you would call
> "Narcissistic Personality Disorder"; his false sense of superiority
> will never let him fess up, and his need for attention will never let
> him shut up. Too bad cause he's obviously a smart guy -- just really
> screwed up.
>
You are more than likely right

OTOH I have found over the years if you keep pressing him on his faults
he tends to be quieter and better behaved

# 2783. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 20:37:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124829459.081234.258480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117134

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Aug 2005 12:41:50 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote in <1124826110.872794.269740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > the question still stands
> >
> >when you are you going to confess to your lies?\
>
>
> Probably never. After so many years of rationalizing his lies I'm sure
> he actually believes that they are justified. I effectively nuked him
> with the facts and his response was avoidance. It's been a few years,
> but if I remember my Psych 101 correctly he has what you would call
> "Narcissistic Personality Disorder"; his false sense of superiority
> will never let him fess up, and his need for attention will never let
> him shut up. Too bad cause he's obviously a smart guy -- just really
> screwed up.
>
You are more than likely right

OTOH I have found over the years if you keep pressing him on his faults
he tends to be quieter and better behaved

there is also the factor of his crediblity

# 2784. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 22:00:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124834437.830736.277790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117135

Bert Craig wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
> > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
> > @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >>
> >> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>>
> >>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
> >>> a few countries.
> >>
> >> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters where
> >> I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and stretches
> >> upward for 100KHz.
> >>
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Not true for phone ops though, is it?
>
> "Learn it... Earn it." That spectrum has always been available to all
> willing to upgrade their ticket.

There are plenty of 20WPM Code-Tape Extras out there who've earned it
but don't use the mode.

# 2785. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 22:02:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124834530.350257.286690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117136

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave wrote:
>
> > sure it is, they just have to know how to use their 'split' button. of
> > course now some europeans can go up to 7200, so that is a bit of a help.
>
> A-yup...My FT-757 isn't the most luxurious rig on the market, but
> that split feature works well...Use it lots for the DX tests...Even for
> routine calls...I've made a lot of "random" QSOs by tuning 7050 to 7100
> then transmitting where they indicate they are listening.

If they are indicating where they are listening, it's not random.

# 2786. Re: Markie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 22:16:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124835398.946286.133050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117137

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > rest of it ludicrous.
> >
> > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
>
> It could also mean "stupid story", which is what I am betting on.

It could also mean social service worker, which makes far more sense
than your stupid assumption.

> Markie's story STILL doesn't bear weight.

Nor do yours.

> > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > >
> > > > well you should have sent it there
> > >
> > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> >
> > More playing dumb? Indeed.
>
> If I were, then I WOULD be PLAYING.

Call it what you want. You can -be- dumb, or you can be -playing-
dumb. Your choice.

# 2787. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 22:25:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124835939.964900.85270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117138

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nice try, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > > want try?
> > > >
> > > > Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!
> > > >
> > > > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> > > > >
> > > > > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
> > > >
> > > > What's a "freind"...??!!
> > > >
> > > > And no one tried to "help me".
> > > >
> > > > > just reporting the facts of his call
> > > >
> > > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > > rest of it ludicrous.
> > >
> > > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> >
> > Indeed it does
> >
> > How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> > agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> > is beyond my understanding

Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?

> Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
> that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan

> and claim to be Stevie

Trying to shed notice of his actions?

> > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > >
> > > > well you should have sent it there
> > >
> > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> >
> > More playing dumb? Indeed.
>
> He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb

There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's very, very practiced in that art.

# 2788. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 22:36:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124836599.836200.237520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117139

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124510691.130124.215760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > robert casey wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I still like my suggestion......bottom 25 of ALL HF bands....CW ONLY.
> >> > No
> >> > digital, etc. That way those that want can.
> >> >
> >>
> >> We could and should do this as a gentlemen's' agreement. No
> >> need for FCC micromanagement here.
> >
> > well in the eyes of those that see CW under attack they do see still
> > see a need for a coded reservation, and they fear that they will lose
> > everything out side of it
>
> It seems true that many, if not most, CW fans fear that other modes will
> "over-run" them if the ARRL's "plan" for regulation by bandwidth goes
> forward in its present form. I have always stated truthfully here that I
> would never support any proposal to ban or restrict the use of CW in any
> way, shape, or form and that position still stands. I *also* firmly believe
> that CW and other modes should NOT be "squeezed out of existence" or
> "over-run by Winlink/PactorIII robots" as many fear will happen if the
> "plan" adopted by the ARRL BoD in July were to become FCC regulation.

Works for me!
>
> As a candidate for the ARRL Atlantic Division director's position, I have
> gone on record publicly (on the QRP-L reflector and on qrz.com and now here
> on r.r.a.p) that, had I been on the ARRL BoD in July, I would NOT have voted
> for "the plan" because I believe that the fact that virtually NOBODY seems
> to like it indicates to me that it's broken and needs to be fixed if it's to
> go forward at all.

Or at least rewritten so that it's clear what is being proposed in the
first place.

> Bandplans and band usage are complicated issues where the ARRL or anyone
> else is highly unlikely to be able to please everyone - the objective needs
> to be to work with the different interest groups towards compromises that
> allow us to get to something that at least a significant majority can accept
> and say "I can live with that." If I become a member of the ARRL BoD I

> would work with all of the interested parties in an effort to forge that
> sort of result.

With all due respect, that's what everybody says. The trouble is with
the specifics. You've given us some good specifics, like support of a
'reasonable' subband for Morse Code only, and a similar 'reasonable'
subband for 'robots'.
The devil is in "what's reasonable"?

> In addition to significantly improving the general level of technical
> knowledge and skill of hams,

That was a prime reason for "incentive licensing" 40 years ago!

> growing our numbers (both licensees and ARRL
> members), protecting our spectrum, and getting more people trained for and
> involved in emergency communications, one of the MOST pressing problems we
> face is to reverse the trend of "compartmentalizing" ourselves into
> "factions" whose whole world revolves around one mode or one activity,
> because the resulting "turf wars," suspicion/mistrust/paranoia, in-fighting,
> and attacks on each other divide us in ways that both are bad for the ARS as
> it's seen externally and bad for the ARS internally as we get along with (or
> don't) each other.

> We should ALL be "hams" (period) and work together cooperatively and
> constructively going forward into the future on the truly important issues
> facing ham radio and the ARRL.

The trouble is that ham radio covers such a wide range of activities
that
there's trouble finding common ground in some cases.

For example, you have folks who want to use equipment and modes that
are
decades old, and folks who think anything less than their concept of
SOTA is "obsolete". Folks who want more room for SSB (and even "hi-fi
SSB") and folks who want more room for digital. Folks who don't even
have a computer in the shack and folks who never actually listen to a
signal (they watch it on the waterfall display).

Appliance ops and homebrew-from-scratch folks. DXers, contesters,
ragchewers, emcomm folks. Those who are stuck with compromise and
stealth antennas and those with tons of aluminum aloft.

How do you get all those folks to see that there is value in what each
of them brings to the table?

> ALL hams should treat each other with
> respect and courtesy, regardless of license class or operating preferences.
> Experienced hams need to welcome new hams with the spirit of patience and
> helpfulness that "Elmering" embodies, rather than treating them as some
> inferior form of life.

As mentioned before - that goes both ways.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2789. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 22:37:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JIKdnZ2dnZ2ZY2HVnZ2dnaw4lt6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 117140

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:R4Wdnf8wfaa0_5feRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>
>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>
>>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>>>passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>
>>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>>dirt.
>>>
>>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>
>>>73,
>>>Carl - wk3c
>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>
>>
>>
>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need
>> an attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>
> You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student is
> good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to feel
> inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self esteem"
> students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of newbies out
> there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to offer advice
> in a kindly manner.

>

I think this "protect their self esteem at all costs" approach was badly mishandled. While one should never put down a person, they do need guidance and it has to be pointed out that mistakes are mistakes. Because these students were protected from their mistakes and told it was OK, in the end, I believe that they end up with lower self-esteem. They do often realize that they've made a mistake but the fact that no one points it out or helps them correct it transfroms in their minds into the concept that they are so lowly that they aren't worth helping. This is a bigger hit on their self esteem than anything I can think of.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 2790. Re: One More Time with "DD"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 22:49:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124837362.133673.85590@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117141

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > As far as "dumbing down" goes - I don't buy it -

OK, here's some sample test questions:

>From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:

Study Question #31:
Draw a schematic diagram of a circuit having the following components:
(a) battery with internal resistance,
(b) resistive load,
(c) voltmeter,
(d) ammeter.

Study Question #32:
>From the values indicated by the meters in the above circuit, how can the value of the resistive load be determined? How can the power consumed
by the load be determined?

Study Question #33:
In the above circuit, what must the value of the resistive load be in order for the maximum power to be delivered from the battery?

Study Question #34:
Draw the schematic diagram of an RF power amplifier circuit having the following components:
(a) triode vacuum tube,
(b) pi-network output tank,
(c) high voltage source,
(d) plate-current meter,
(e) plate-voltage meter,
(f) rf chokes,
(g) bypass capacitors, coupling capacitor.

Study Question #35:
What is the proper tune-up procedure for the above circuit?

Of course those questions seem simple if someone has reasonable knowledge and experience with the radio technology of the times. The last two questions are
still arguably somewhat relevant because there are still vacuum-tube based amplifiers on the market and in wide use by hams.

But the most interesting thing about those questions is that they are just *some* of the study questions for the *Novice* exam of 1976. Took

up less
than a page. How many pages of explanation would it take to
teach the above material in detail?

The actual exam did not use these questions. Instead, it might show,
for
example, a schematic of the amplifier circuit similar to, but not
exactly like
the one shown in the license manual, with 5 of the components labeled
"a" thru "e". The question would be something like, "which is the
coupling
capacitor?" "which is an rf chokes?" "what is function of the capacitor

labelled ''d' in the circuit above?"

And that's at the *Novice* level. The raw beginner, with limited
privileges.

Does anyone think that the current entry-level exams are tougher than
that?

The breadth of material has increased but the depth has decreased. The
number of questions has decreased and the nature of the test has
changed.

Here's proof:

Written test requirements before April 15, 2000:

Novice: 1 test, 30 questions
Tech/Tech Plus: 2 tests, 30/35 questions (65 total)
General: 3 tests, 30/35/35 questions (100 total)
Advanced: 4 tests, 30/35/35/50 questions (150 total)
Extra: 5 tests, 30/35/35/50/40 questions (190 total)

Written test requirements after April 15, 2000:
Tech: 1 test, 35 questions
General: 2 tests, 35/35 questions (70 total)
Extra: 3 tests, 35/35/50 questions (120 total)

I did this from memory so the number of questions may not be perfect,
but the trend is very clear. Fewer tests and fewer questions across
the board.

Back when I took the exams (1967-1970) the Novice was about 20
questions, and all of the other classes about 50 questions each. About
170 total questions. The exact number of questions on the test was not
known in advance back then, nor were the exact Q&A.

Of course we're not going to see a return to 'secret' tests, because
FCC doesn't have the resources, nor do they see the need. And tests
alone are not the only indicator of knowledge and skill, of course.
There were folks who "tightened all the loose screws" in those days,
too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2791. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:05:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <67ednTT0vI5ENpbeRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 117142

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:qc-dnc_g0-qcsZbeRVn-qA@crocker.com...
>
> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:XyFOe.623$z2.353@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>
>>>>For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters where
>>>>I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and stretches
>>>>upward for 100KHz.
>>>>
>>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>> Not true for phone ops though, is it?
>
> sure it is, they just have to know how to use their 'split' button. of
> course now some europeans can go up to 7200, so that is a bit of a help.
>

Yup. One can run quite a few DX stations split during the major voice
contests on 40 meters.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2792. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:16:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xpWdnWeP27TJM5beRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 117143

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124814782.810941.135940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1124669695.309104.207160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
[snip]
>
> How many folks on rrap have an 80 meter setup? As in "at least a G5RV
> that works on 80, 35 feet up at least") There's W4NTI, N2EY, K8MN,
> K0HB, and probably W3RV.
>
> Out of how many?

For 80m, I'm set up so I can choose between a G5RV, 80m skyloop, ground
mounted vertical, or random wire.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2793. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:29:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124839743.462663.199070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117144

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

22 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1866

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 92

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 497 28.02%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1020 57.50%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 257 14.49%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and three who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 22 Aug 05 that would be 1774.

As of 23 Aug 05, there still hasn't been any notice in the
Federal Register under Federal Communications Commission on
the NPRM FCC 05-143.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

not cod
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2794. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:34:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124840075.498026.272660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117145

>Len:
>
>You might have said, I missed it if that is the case, when/if CW is dead,
>are you going to grab your extra ticket?

Maybe, maybe not. That's MY option, not based on the puerile taunts of middle-schoolers who are of middle age going "nyah, nyah, can't get a ticket, can't get a ticket!!!" :-)

Hmmm...I started out in HF communications with much more "action" than the average, doing 24/7 comms with high-power (up to 40 KW) transmitters shooting across the Pacific, plus doing VHF, UHF, and - finally - multi-channel microwave radio relay over a half century ago...winding up as an operations and maintenance supervisor NCO. Then, on release from active duty, getting a First 'Phone at an FCC field office (no COLEMs then) and working four broadcast stations as vacation relief or on weekends or full time for WREX-TV to gain enough money to come out west...having already interviewed for and secured a job at Hughes Aircraft. That led to a whole career, major major change to electronics engineering winding up as senior staff in design. I'm supposed to get a ham license to "prove I know something about radio?!?!?"

I don't have anything to "prove" to a bunch of yokels who want to recreate the 1930s and 1940s in radiotelegraphy! Geezus, gimme a break from those neanderthallers! What the fork do think a ham license IS...some kind of Nobel Award for Science?!? :-)

Amateur radio is fun, a recreational avocation done not for money but for personal pleasure. It involves NO different radio physics than any other radio service but it allows all the choice of buying state-of-the-art radios to use or in building them from their own designs. It requires a license to transmit RF due to a federal law (an act of Congress) that created a federal regulatory agency for ALL civil radio. The mindset of many hase been "conditioned" by a certain membership organization to be much, much more, a virtual lifestyle that has gotten too deep into the myth and fantasy of long-ago times and dreams of glory and heroism that never happened.

One argument is that "a ham can have their OWN station." Yes, I've had "my own station" or properly, one-third of it in a business partnership with two others. I've built/converted three "stations" and checked them thoroughly befoe selling them, never once "using" them or caring to use them. I've designed and built two other

transceivers for CB, one a prototype for a CB company in Burbank
that went bankrupt when faced with off-shore CB products cut them
out of profit action.

"I can work the world on radio with an amateur license!!!" Yes,
and I could pick up a handset in Tokyo, at ADA Control, and talk
to Seattle, Anchorage, San Francisco, Hawaii, or Okinawa any time
of the day or night, as I did for a while in 1955...without any
"license" or even any specific HF with/without SSB schooling of
any kind. I can "talk" to the rest of the world any time I want
to on the Internet, and have, plus being able to share images
with dozens of long-time friends (from pre-Internet days) faster
than by surface mail, uninterrupted by vagaries of the ionosphere.

"I can explore new radio territory and advance the state of the
radio art" with a ham license. What the fork do some of these
cretins think I was DOING FOR A LIVING since 1956? Without a ham
license I've legally transmitted RF on frequencies ranging through
EM bands from LF into EHF, on up to 4mm wavelengths. Gotten one
patent as sole inventor, had a terrific time in the labs and in the
field, still do it once in a while.

I once "worked a station" ON the moon. No moonbounce stuff. I
have to learn morse code in order to do THAT as an amateur?!?
(I don't have to test for morse code at VHF and up, just for
frequencies below 30 MHz...where I began doing HF communications
a half century before...without having to know or use morse code
then or any time afterwards)

>If so, ya wanna meet down on 3.840 and give art a run for his money--in a
>gentlemanly way of course. Don't go with disruptive actions myself...
>debate and argument yes, trouble no... suspect you might be the same...
>could be fun, ya never know... <grin>

No. If anyplace on ham bands, it would be on 20m where a bunch
of ex-RCA Corporation folks hang out on Saturday mornings. Talk
there is shared-interest stuff, not the personal polemics of
self-propelled radio potentates. Listen for KD6JG and W6MJN,
among others. I know them by their real names, not callsigns.

"I can be FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED with MY OWN CALLSIGN if I get a
ham license!!!" Wow, ain't that something (like I've already
done that, but not with a ham license). I know where to get a
good ham sandwich nearby, the vendors needing only a Health
Department license to operate. [great pastrami at one place]

I DO need to renew my Poetic License. Time to study for Mores
Goad. :-)

buy buy
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2795. Re: another Blast from the past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:36:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124840195.166577.326530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117146

From: an_old_friend on Aug 22, 10:07 pm

>was chatching up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL
>found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)
>
>k4yz said on May 29 2004
>
>>Subject: Re: CW Testing Question
>>From: lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 5/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040528225612.28114.00000...@mb-m10.aol.com>
>>> If somethings true, it's not libelous.
>> AOL thought it was VERY libelous and cancelled that alternate
>> screen name you used then.
>> You went ballistic on that "home page" and were clearly deep
>> into libelous personal attack. AOL concurred.
>
> AOL did what AOL always does...they took the path of least
>resistance.

Mark, that happened when you were away from the newsgroup for
a while. Leading up to this posting was Stebie "challenging"
me to provide "proof" of my First "Phone license. No problem,
I scanned it in color with enough resolution to make even the
filligree on the edges nicely visible. I labeled that scanned
image "Attachment."

At about the same time, a hometown (and school days) friend
sent me some images in e-mail and one was labeled "Attach."
That image was a high-quality image of an airborne B-26
converted to firebomber, good shot of the forward part from
above. In the plexiglas nose, one crewmember was "mooning"
the photo plane and camera. Funny!

So, when I got back on line later, I sent Stebie an e-mail
with an attachment. On AOL that I was using then, one can
select attachments from a series of overlay menus. I grabbed
the file "Attach" with the mouse, saw it got attached, and
sent the e-mail. Very quickly (I was still on line) Stebie
sent me a nice e-mail in return thanking me and saying "he
knew he could count on me..." :-)

Obviously, I didn't check file names, separating "Attach"
from "Attachment." You can guess which one got sent the
first time. :-)

A day went by till I got on-line again, only to find a TOTALLY BERSERK Stebie going absolutely ballistic, cussing me out, and saying he made a denunciatory Home Page on AOL to show what a terrible person I am. In the newsgroup I posted my error explanation but that was NOT ENOUGH. Stebie wanted more than a "pound of flesh," he wanted a whole meat market full. :-)

The new Home Page created by Stebie under some alternate screen name of "NeverTrustLennie" or something like that was pure, utter LIBEL, so much that it could be spelled out all-caps. Geezus, Stebie had gone NUTS with all that libelous snit.

I messaged AOL Member Services after making a copy of that page and had to wait another day for a reply. I let AOL know that I was considering retaining a lawyer on that matter. No sweat, I could pay retainer and court cost fees for civil court action on that. It would have been a sure winner for me had it come to that. No problem. AOL simply erased that Home Page, notified Stebie, and erased his new alternate screen name. OK, that was fair and I said so to AOL Member Services.

During the three days for all that to happen, I re-checked my directory used for In/Out files, renamed them after making sure which one was which, and RESENT in e-mail the proper scanned image of my first First 'Phone (front and back, the back having had four signatures from the Chief Engineers of WBEL, WRRR, WREX-TV, and WMCW, all indicating "satisfactory service"). Stebie replied in both e-mail and newsgroup posting that he "refused to look at it." It was a good scan...I have a good one ...and Adobe PhotoShop made it easy enough to scratch out enough of my signature to prevent forgery of that yet remain legible (my name was typed in on the certificate by the FCC).

I sent copies of that scan to some others, the "air moon" image to some others (Brian Burke thought it was funny). Stebie stayed ballistic, perhaps in orbit, and has yet to re-enter the atmosphere of reality.

>>>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
>>>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.
>> Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!

That Stebie did. Surprising outburst of total ANGER and un-thinking clearly-libelous prose on that Home Page. Stebie is an uncontrolled volatile emotionally-driven sociopath when he doesn't get his way OR if he perceives he was "deceived." He hasn't changed one bit since...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2796. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:44:47 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <MkWdndQHr5SZKJbeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117147

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124836599.836200.237520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

[snip]

>> Bandplans and band usage are complicated issues where the ARRL or anyone
>> else is highly unlikely to be able to please everyone - the objective
>> needs
>> to be to work with the different interest groups towards compromises that
>> allow us to get to something that at least a significant majority can
>> accept
>> and say "I can live with that." If I become a member of the ARRL BoD I
>> would work with all of the interested parties in an effort to forge that
>> sort of result.
>
> With all due respect, that's what everybody says. The trouble is with
> the specifics. You've given us some good specifics, like support of a
> 'reasonable' subband for Morse Code only, and a similar 'reasonable'
> subband for 'robots'.
> The devil is in "what's reasonable"?

The way I see it there's probably no way to please everyone 100%.

Therefore, I think the solution is to work with all of the interested
"camps" to forge a compromise that at least a significant majority can
accept.
The optimum balance is probably something that will result in all of the
"camps" being able to say "It's not perfect in my ideal world, but I can
accept it and 'sign up' to support it."

I think the suggestion from the CW folks for a modest "CW only" segement at
the bottom of the band is reasonable and would ease a lot of concerns about
getting "squeezed out of existence."
I think that the proposal that some have made to "repurpose" the "refarming"
of the novice bands to provide a "digital playground" for the experimenters
who want to develop, test, and operate the higher speed, more robust digital
modes that the emergency management agencies want is also something that
merits consideration.

I agree that "robots" should not be allowed to take over the bands at the
expense of all of the other modes.

All of this would require some degree of compromise, but I think that's what will be required to formulate something that gains widespread acceptance instead of massive resistance.

>> In addition to significantly improving the general level of technical
>> knowledge and skill of hams,
>
> That was a prime reason for "incentive licensing" 40 years ago!

I'm talking about improved educational programs ... it's clear that "incentive licensing" created a huge schysm in the amateur community and hasn't really worked. (I think part of the problem was linking increased voice frequency privileges to the totally unrelated Morse test and the other part was that it created in too many people's minds the idea that the license meant you "knew all there was to know" - thereby removing the motivation to progress even further.)

>> growing our numbers (both licensees and ARRL
>> members), protecting our spectrum, and getting more people trained for
>> and
>> involved in emergency communications, one of the MOST pressing problems
>> we
>> face is to reverse the trend of "compartmentalizing" ourselves into
>> "factions" whose whole world revolves around one mode or one activity,
>> because the resulting "turf wars," suspicion/mistrust/paranoia,
>> in-fighting,
>> and attacks on each other divide us in ways that both are bad for the ARS
>> as
>> it's seen externally and bad for the ARS internally as we get along with
>> (or
>> don't) each other.
>
>> We should ALL be "hams" (period) and work together cooperatively and
>> constructively going forward into the future on the truly important
>> issues
>> facing ham radio and the ARRL.
>
> The trouble is that ham radio covers such a wide range of activities
> that there's trouble finding common ground in some cases.

The common ground should be that we're all hams - with recognition that different people have different operating interests and cooperating instead of always being so defensive and turf-war oriented.

> For example, you have folks who want to use equipment and modes that
> are
> decades old, and folks who think anything less than their concept of
> SOTA is "obsolete". Folks who want more room for SSB (and even "hi-fi
> SSB") and folks who want more room for digital. Folks who don't even
> have a computer in the shack and folks who never actually listen to a
> signal (they watch it on the waterfall display).
>
> Appliance ops and homebrew-from-scratch folks. DXers, contesters,

> ragchewers, emcomm folks. Those who are stuck with compromise and
> stealth antennas and those with tons of aluminum aloft.
>
> How do you get all those folks to see that there is value in what each
> of them brings to the table?

Education, encouragement, and, in severe cases, peer pressure (through the
clubs is one way) to "play nicer together."

>> ALL hams should treat each other with
>> respect and courtesy, regardless of license class or operating
>> preferences.
>> Experienced hams need to welcome new hams with the spirit of patience and
>> helpfulness that "Elmering" embodies, rather than treating them as some
>> inferior form of life.
>
> As mentioned before - that goes both ways.

That's true ... newbies shouldn't "cop an attitude" and neither should OTs.

--
73,
Carl R. Stevenson - wk3c
Grid Square FN20fm
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
---
Life Member, ARRL
Life Member, QCWA (31424)
Member, TAPR
Member, AMSAT-NA
Member, LVARC (Lehigh Valley ARC)
Member, Lehigh County ARES/RACES
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, IEEE Standards Association
Chair, IEEE 802.22 WG on Wireless Regional Area Networks
---

# 2797. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:48:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124840931.620204.310620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117148

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nice try, Markie!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > want try?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!
> > > > >
> > > > > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
> > > > >
> > > > What's a "freind"...??!!
> > > > >
> > > > And no one tried to "help me".
> > > > >
> > > > > just reporting the facts of his call
> > > > >
> > > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > > rest of it ludicrous.
> > > >
> > > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> > >
> > Indeed it does
> >
> > How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> > agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> > is beyond my understanding
>
> Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?
>
> > Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge

> > that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
> > and claim to be Stevie
>
> Trying to shed notice of his actions?
>
> > > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > > >
> > > > > well you should have sent it there
> > > >
> > > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> > >
> > > More playing dumb? Indeed.
> >
> > He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb
>
> There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short
> hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been
> threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's
> very, very practiced in that art.

No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
victum that decides what is a threat

# 2798. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:52:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cFOOe.898$_84.308@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117149

No John,

I do not believe having an Extra Amateur Radio License should, or does make the holder somehow superior. All it tells me is that they followed to rules to get what privileges are available, in a legal manner. It shows dedication and perseverance. Not superiority.

As I stated before, I believe incentive licensing is the scourge of Amateur Radio, and is directly related to all this "in fighting" we have these days.

For what it's worth.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.22.22.15.55.817817@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> Perhaps I misunderstood you. I agree I have run into way too many extras
> with an attitude they are superior in some way, they are superior at CW,
> but for some reason that looks like a damn simple thing to excited about,
> it won't get you a job, it isn't an indication of IQ, it won't help you
> feed your family, it is not suitable training for any real world skill,
> etc, etc.
>
> And, you know, the problem really isn't the extras enjoying their "opium
> dreams" and looking ridiculous to really educated men while they are doing
> so, it is the damn idiots they are able to snooker that makes you lose
> faith in the human race! Has a good portion of this world become "extra
> worshiping fools?", what kind of mental disorder is that? How come I get
> the feeling like I went to bed and the world was sane, I woke up and
> everyone has gone crazy?
>
> I mean, it is really too lunatic for intelligent men to argue--fools are
> grabbing hobby licenses and pretending to be intellectuals--I can't even
> begin to describe the bizarre nature of this, or how they are so
> successful at fooling others... I mean it is as simple as drugs--just say
> NO!
>
> I was afraid this is what you meant by "attitude adjustment" for the
> newbies, indoctrination into the "church of extra worshiping hams!" Sorry
> if I was mistaken...
>
> John
>
>

> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:32:02 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> John,
>>
>> My "point" is this....ever since the creation of "incentive licensing".
>> Forcing one to upgrade. I have found a serious degradation of attitudes
>> in
>> ham radio.
>>
>> I have seen the class of license being used to determine ones "pecking
>> order" in the society of Amateur Radio. Thus forcing upon us a system of
>> "class structure". A structure of unwarranted superiority because of
>> ones
>> license class. I stated it back in 1965 when I first heard of this
>> stupid
>> idea. And I state it today.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.21.21.08.20.459749@gmail.com...
>>> Dan:
>>>
>>> At this point, all I see which has been "proved" is that in humanity
>>> there
>>> is a need to set ones own image as being "special", the things they use
>>> as
>>> as qualifications to these ends are preposterous--even resorting to
>>> flashing a hobby license at you in pursuit of those ends...
>>>
>>> ... grab a chair and view this "circus" of performers out there, and
>>> just
>>> to think, they have no clue on the opinions of others viewing them in
>>> their silly performances... indeed, even if you attempt to explain it
>>> to
>>> them, it just doesn't make it though that image they have constructed
>>> for
>>> themselves.
>>>
>>> It is much like watching a "lotus blossom eater" and unable to fathom
>>> what
>>> visions must dance before their eyes obscuring the reality which lies
>>> about them... the term "glorified CB'ers" pops to the forefront of ones
>>> mind...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 20:57:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and

>>>> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:pan.2005.08.21.19.22.42.188882@gmail.com...
>>>>>
>>>>> ... rumor has just reached me that the extras have been unsuccessful,
>>>>> as
>>>>> of lately... rumor continues to exist they have only been
>>>>> ineffectual,
>>>>> as
>>>>> of late, because of the vast numbers of "lower class hams" attempting
>>>>> to
>>>>> glue their lips to the bottoms of the extras--thus keeping the extras
>>>>> so
>>>>> worn out in finding a place to sit they cannot partake in the usual
>>>>> practice of deception, manipulation and mind control of the
>>>>> unwitting...
>>>>>
>>>>> ... rumors only, mind you!
>>>>>
>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 12:18:55 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ... read all about it!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Extras are attempting to bully all other "lower class forms of
>>>>>> licenses"
>>>>>> into submission to their wills (and of course, worship of "The Great
>>>>>> CW
>>>>>> God"...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ... but then, what is news about that ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>
>

# 2799. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:57:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <YJOOe.902$_84.487@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117150

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1124752723.484858.62080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Before 1968 when the first phase of incentive licensing
>> was forced upon us
>> there was a defacto one license system for HF access.
>>
>> You had the General which gave you all Amateur privileges.
>> As did the old
>> Class A. As did the Extra. NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL.
>> And the Novice was just
>> the "stepping stone" to the General.
>
> Actually it's a bit more complicated....
>
>>From the early 1930s until 1951, there was the three-class "ABC"
> system. B and C were the same except C was by mail and B was by FCC
> examiner. 13 wpm and a pretty serious written test.
>
> Class A required a Class B or C for a year, plus another written test.
> Only available from an FCC examiner, and if you had a Class C you had
> to do the exam for Class B all over again.
>
> The *only* operating difference was that Class A had 'phone on HF/MF
> ham bands except 160, 10 and 11 meters, and Class B and C did not.
>
> Back then there were no 30, 17, 15 or 12 meter ham bands. And 40 meters
> was all Morse Code. The Class A 'phone bands were 75 and 20.
>
> Then in 1951, FCC changed everything. Class A became Advanced, Class B
> became General and Class C became Conditional. The new Extra,
> Technician and Novice licenses were created. And they announced that
> after the end of 1952 there would be no more new Advanceds - you'd need
> an Extra to do 'phone on the above-mentioned HF bands.
>
> But in December 1952, just as time was running out, FCC reversed itself
> completely, and gave all privileges to all US hams except Novices and
> Techs.
>
> FCC continued on that path in the following years. 40 meters got a
> 'phone segment, and when 15 became a ham band, it soon got a 'phone
> segment too.
>

> Some folks were very unhappy about it all. The Class A 'phone bands
> became full of newbies with General and Conditional tickets. Only a few
> thousand hams got Extras. The Novice was
> enormously popular even though it gave extremely limited privileges.
>
> This happy state of affairs lasted only a decade - then FCC began
> making noises of disappointment about how few hams had Extras and how
> much appliance operating was going on. ARRL responded with a simple
> proposal: reopen the Advanced to new issues, and go back to the old
> system where HF 'phone required an Advanced or Extra.
>
> For about 5 years there were discussions that made the code-test issue
> look tame. All sorts of proposals came and went - the idea of a
> rabbit-warren of subbands-by-license-class came from CQ magazine, for
> example.
>
> A *lot* of folks were very unhappy because when the new rules went into
> effect, they lost operating privs.
>>
>> The Technician was for those that couldn't hack the 13WPM code > and had
>> to do
>> the test to keep a license.
>
> The Tech was originally meant for those not interested in HF.
> It originally did not have 6 and 2 meters.
>
>> The Novice expired after 1 year and was
>> non-renewable.
>
> Not only that, it was "non-retakeable". Only those who had never held
> any class of ham license before could get a Novice. One year,
> one shot - upgrade or go off the air.
>
> At the end of WW2, there were about 60,000 US hams
>
> By 1951, there were about 100,000 US hams. About 30% were Class A and
> the rest B and C.
>
> By 1965, there were over 250,000 US hams. About 18,000 were Novices,
> about 40,000 were Advanced, and only about 4,000 were Extras. The rest
> were mostly Generals and Conditionals, with a few thousand Techs.
>
> The result was that the vast majority (at least 85%) of US hams had all
> operating priviliges.
>
> Despite all the changes in the intervening years, FCC says in the NPRM
> that it thinks there need to be 3 license classes - Tech, General and
> Extra, with frequency space as the incentive to upgrade. FCC is content
> to let the Novice, Advanced and Tech Plus licenses disappear by
> attrition and upgrading Technicians as Techs.

>
> Was the old system better?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

It must have been. The FCC is going back to it. Modern version of 3 license structure.

I should have been a bit more clear. I was referening to the period from 1961 on. However I do have some gaps. Especially from 64 to 68, I was busy elsewhere.

Dan/W4NTI

Dan/W4NTI

# 2800. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-23 23:59:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <LLOOe.904$_84.253@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117151

I say you full of yourself John.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.22.22.06.22.708007@gmail.com...
> AOF:
>
> I most strongly suspect:
>
> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on the
> newbies! <grin>
>
> ... what say you?
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>> >
>>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>> >>
>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>> >
>>> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>> >
>>> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or
>>> > "I
>>> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>> >
>>> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD
>>> > be
>>> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
>>> > personal
>>> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
>>> > want

>>> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>> > dirt.
>>> >
>>> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>> >
>>> > 73,
>>> > Carl - wk3c
>>> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>> >
>>>
>>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
>>> need an
>>> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>
>> Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>

## 2801. Re: another Blast from the past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:00:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124841614.423623.49440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117152

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an_old_friend on Aug 22, 10:07 pm
>
> >was chatching up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL
> >found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)
> >
cut
> A day went by till I got on-line again, only to find a
> TOTALLY BERSERK Stebie going absolutely ballistic, cussing me
> out, and saying he made a denunciatory Home Page on AOL to
> show what a terrible person I am. In the newsgroup I posted
> my error explanation but that was NOT ENOUGH. Stebie wanted
> more than a "pound of flesh," he wanted a whole meat market
> full. :-)
I know what you mean

I choose to flumox Stevie when he threatened when I wasn't even home to
deal with him if did carry through, explained later when it was safe to
do so, but stevie is still ranting about that Today 7 years later
cut
> I sent copies of that scan to some others, the "air moon" image
> to some others (Brian Burke thought it was funny). Stebie
> stayed ballistic, perhaps in orbit, and has yet to re-enter
> the atmosphere of reality.

Was he ever realy in the same reality as you and I
>
> >>>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
> >>>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.
> >> Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!
>
> That Stebie did. Surprising outburst of total ANGER and un-
> thinking clearly-libelous prose on that Home Page. Stebie is
> an uncontrolled volatile emotionally-driven sociopath when he
> doesn't get his way OR if he perceives he was "deceived."
> He hasn't changed one bit since...

He does frighten me somewhat, just gald Todd and brain are closer than
I am and he doesn't like them any better than me or you
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2802. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:02:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dOOOe.905$_84.597@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117153

To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all the CB bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with HAM RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.

And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn something from those that have been there and done it.

SEE?

Dan/W4NTI

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1124749825.609150.213720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> I most strongly suspect:
>>
>> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
>> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on
>> the
>> newbies! <grin>
>>
>> ... what say you?
>
> In honesty I don't think Dan knows what dan means on that one
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>> >> >
>> >> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>> >> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >> >
>> >> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!

>> >> >
>> >> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of
>> >> > the
>> >> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license."
>> >> > or "I
>> >> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>> >> >
>> >> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD
>> >> > be
>> >> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
>> >> > personal
>> >> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
>> >> > want
>> >> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them
>> >> > like
>> >> > dirt.
>> >> >
>> >> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>> >> >
>> >> > 73,
>> >> > Carl - wk3c
>> >> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>> >> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
>> >> need an
>> >> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>> >
>> > Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>

# 2803. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:03:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124841824.550263.110810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117154

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I say you full of yourself John.

of course he is as you are full of yourself

Without having Met John or you I am 100% certain that the contents of
each of your boddies is 100 percent you, unless you have jiont
replacement or something like that
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.22.22.06.22.708007@gmail.com...
> > AOF:
> >
> > I most strongly suspect:
> >
> > newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
> > lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on the
> > newbies! <grin>
> >
> > ... what say you?
> >
> > John
> >
> > On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> >>> >
> >>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >>> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
> >>> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
> >>> >>
> >>> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>> >
> >>> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
> >>> >
> >>> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
> >>> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or
> >>> > "I
> >>> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.

> >>> >
> >>> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD
> >>> > be
> >>> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
> >>> > personal
> >>> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
> >>> > want
> >>> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
> >>> > dirt.
> >>> >
> >>> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
> >>> >
> >>> > 73,
> >>> > Carl - wk3c
> >>> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
> >>> >
> >>>
> >>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
> >>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
> >>> need an
> >>> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
> >>
> >> Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
> >>>
> >>> Dan/W4NTI
> >

# 2804. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:09:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xUOOe.910$_84.769@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117155

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> While it may not be palatable in this new "high self esteem" world, there
> is a place for the Elmer, and there is a place for the student. The Elmer
> must be willing to teach, and the most important knowledge for the student
> must be knowing that he or she is getting a great gift.
>
> It goes both ways.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Everyone out there copy and paste this to your foreheads. It is great
advice.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2805. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:12:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5YOOe.912$_84.592@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117156

You want to give these Liberal taught kids a lesson in self respect?

Draft them into the military.

That will fix the situation in LESS than a generation.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:JIKdnZ2dnZ2ZY2HVnZ2dnaw4lt6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:R4Wdnf8wfaa0_5feRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>
>>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>>
>>>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or
>>>>"I passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>>
>>>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>>>dirt.
>>>>
>>>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>>
>>>>73,
>>>>Carl - wk3c
>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
>>> need an attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>
>> You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student is
>> good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to
>> feel inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self
>> esteem" students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of
>> newbies out there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to
>> offer advice in a kindly manner.
>>
>
> I think this "protect their self esteem at all costs" approach was badly
> mishandled. While one should never put down a person, they do need
> guidance and it has to be pointed out that mistakes are mistakes. Because
> these students were protected from their mistakes and told it was OK, in
> the end, I believe that they end up with lower self-esteem. They do often
> realize that they've made a mistake but the fact that no one points it out
> or helps them correct it transfroms in their minds into the concept that
> they are so lowly that they aren't worth helping. This is a bigger hit on
> their self esteem than anything I can think of.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

# 2806. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:16:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <G%OOe.913$_84.325@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117157

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124744014.696116.200160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124674756.717952.296230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > cut
>> >
>> >> There should be, though. 15% or so of each band. I'm yet to see one
>> >> good reason not to do that.
>> >
>> > I have yet to see a reason good or otherwise to do so
>> >
>> > All I see is bunch of folks still promoting th idea that they and thier
>> > specail mode deserve protections and prevledges deined the rest of us
>> >>
>>
>> So what is wrong with that? Everyone promotes their own thing
>
> Then you are sick
>
> Not everyone promotes thier own thing over the interest of the public
>>
>> >
>
Say WHAT ??????? What school system did you attend? The KGB school of
anti Western teachings?

You call me sick? Your a flippin SOCIALIST, or worse.

In this me generation world, all that seems to go on is self promotion.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2807. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:20:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Q2POe.914$_84.160@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117158

I get a real "kick" out of Lennie calling me those things. When all one has to do is put his name in place and you have a perfect picture of Len Anderson.

Amazing.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:pan.2005.08.23.03.15.49.188208@gmail.com...
> Len:
>
> Your text was interesting...
>
> I kind feel guilty though, that book I am working on, ""Amateur Worship is
> a Mental Disorder"--I stole the idea from Michael Savage, a radio talk
> show host, and his book "Liberalism is a Mental Disorder."
>
> Please don't tell anyone, I am counting on only you and I knowing...
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 20:00:12 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>
>> From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
>>
>>>Dan:
>>>
>>>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the people",
>>>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
>>
>> No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
>> but their clique as being "amateur radio."
>>
>>> Which is what you are really
>>>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
>>>themselves in some glorified manner!
>>
>> To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>>
>> Kind of a low-grade "one-world, one-government" kind of thing,
>> all molded around THEIR concept of how the hobby "is."
>>
>> Dannie can't accept anything else but HIS beliefs. For other,
>> different ideas he gets hostile, volatile, tries to batter

>> the different to the floor tile.
>>
>>> Disgusting really... and yes, I
>>>remember a time when it was NOT this way, you had a few anti-social
>>>weirdos who were loners and thought themselves special because of a hobby
>>>license, but that seems to have become catching and has almost infected
>>>the whole lot, the sane ones are rather few and far between these days...
>>
>> We differ, John. I can easily remember a mere two decades ago
>> on visiting the Lockheed ARC...when Lockheed was having a lot of
>> difficulties with the state and the city of Burbank. In general
>> a bunch of disheartening, don't-tell-me-nothing-because-we-rule
>> group of "extras" whose major dissatisfaction was really that
>> they were in imminent danger of being on LAY OFF.
>>
>> Lockheed California eventually moved out entire from the Burbank
>> area (a division is still at AF Plant 42 in Palmdale) and ALL
>> the old Lockheed buildings have been razed, hardly any rubble is
>> left. The fabled Skunk Works in Building 82 was one of the first
>> to be torn down. The Lockheed ARC is but a shell of its former
>> self and the laid-off Lockheed workers (who didn't want to go to
>> Georgia) are off muttering in their isolated little corners.
>>
>> The huge Lockheed production complex along Empire Avenue just
>> disappeared and, like a Phoenix from the ashes, the fabulous
>> new Empire Center of many, many stores and services, two office
>> buildings and two hotels grew on the place where all the famous
>> Lockheed aircraft were built. All that remains of Lockheed is
>> the silhouettes of the Vega, the Constellation, the P-38, and
>> the SR-71 on the parking lot section signs. Rebirth.
>>
>> I was reminded of this from yesterday when my wife and I were at
>> a store in the Empire Center. At the large entrance we saw an old
>> geezer regaling a couple of younger women about his work at
>> Lockheed ("over there where building 15 was" "we built
>> airplanes!"). The young women were polite, smiled, but clearly
>> didn't find any interest or amusement at this. Eventually the
>> old geezer wound down and all left. In one way that's the way
>> it will be with U.S. amateur radio. Rebirth. The new replacing
>> the old. The old will become a memory, one not treasured so
>> emotionally as by the old-timers. The future will be different,
>> brighter, full of new things. New leaders will form and lead.
>> New-timers will enjoy the new environment. Oldsters will grouse
>> and bitch, complaining mightily about it not being as good as
>> "the old days." Of course not. "The old days" were only a
>> figment of imagination after all, a nostalgia of never-was, an
>> emotion of discovery only to individuals then new to radio.
>>
>>>"Amateur Worship is a Mental Disorder", is going to be the title of a
>>>book

>>>I am working on! <grin>
>>
>> There ARE those of that disorder. They exist. They have
>> transported
>> themselves to their own imaginary fairyland, a lifestyle of
>> imagining
>> they are "masters of radio"...but "masters" only of an imaginary
>> world of the 30s and 40s long gone...when Kode was King and all was
>> simple and orderly, fixed in place.
>>
>> I look forward to a FUTURE, not a past. I was in the past and
>> all wasn't as good as it is now. The future looks like a better
>> place, something to enjoy, to have fun in, free of the ties to
>> old standards and practices that are out of place now.
>>
>> out old
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

# 2808. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:21:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <w4POe.915$_84.858@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117159

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124769842.672523.126990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
>> >
>> >
>> >>Dan:
>> >>
>> >>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the
>> >>people",
>> >>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
>> >
>> >
>> > No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
>> > but their clique as being "amateur radio."
>>
>> You have a point, Len. There is an amateur radio clique. Those who are
>> radio amateurs are a part of it. You aren't.
>
> More lies on your part
>
> You and I are not part of the same clique
>
>>
>> >
>> >> Which is what you are really
>> >>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
>> >>themselves in some glorified manner!
>> >
>> >
>> > To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>>
>> Is there proof of your statement?
>
> yes
>
> your support of morse code welfare
>
> cut
>
Thank goodness. Well at least "old friend" knows he is not in the group.

I for one am proud to hold a Amateur Radio License. I have NO REASON at all to not be. On the other hand we have.....well you know who you are.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2809. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:25:28 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <18POe.4474$_7.271@fe08.lga>
Source record: 117160

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124834437.830736.277790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Bert Craig wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
> > "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
> > @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >>
> >> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>>
> >>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
> >>> a few countries.
> >>
> >> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters
> >> where
> >> I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and
> >> stretches
> >> upward for 100KHz.
> >>
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Not true for phone ops though, is it?
>
> "Learn it... Earn it." That spectrum has always been available to all
> willing to upgrade their ticket.

There are plenty of 20WPM Code-Tape Extras out there who've earned it
but don't use the mode.

Conversely, there are plenty of 5-wpm Extras who "earned" it and discovered
that CW is actually quite fun, not to mention a seriously "bitchin'" (Pardon
my French.) mode for snagging the DX that rarely, if ever, shows up on
phone.

Oops gotta run... Toly, 4L1MA just showed up on the bottom of 20. <hihi>

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

# 2810. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:30:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <fcPOe.918$_84.173@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117161

I am presently QRV from 160 to 2 meters.

I am in the process of putting up my beam on my recently installed tower and hazer. This will be for 20/15/10, and six meters.

Dan/W4NTI

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
news:-zOdnaS8F86u-5beUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124814782.810941.135940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> [snip]
>> How many folks on rrap have an 80 meter setup? As in "at least a G5RV
>> that works on 80, 35 feet up at least") There's W4NTI, N2EY, K8MN,
>> K0HB, and probably W3RV.
>
> I do ... 160m-70cm here ... with digital modes as well as voice.
>
> [snip]
>
>>> >> > Let's cut to the chase. It's about more room for 'phone and
>>> >> > less for Morse Code and digital modes. Some folks talk big
>>> >> > about "new directions" and "modernization" and "fresh ideas",
>>> >> > but what they really mean is more bandspace for SSB.
>
> I, for one, do NOT support more bandspace for SSB ... I think it's
> unnecessary.
> The main problems are on contest weekends and a lot of those problems are
> caused by too much testosterone and not enough operating courtesy from
> *some( but not all) contesters and the "retaliations" from some equally
> discourteous non-contesters.
>
>>> >
>>> > Is that what is best? More room for SSB and AM, less for
>>> > CW and digital modes?
>
> No ... see above.
>
> --
> 73,
> Carl R. Stevenson - wk3c
> Grid Square FN20fm
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
> ----------------------------------------------------
> Life Member, ARRL

> Life Member, QCWA (31424)
> Member, TAPR
> Member, AMSAT-NA
> Member, LVARC (Lehigh Valley ARC)
> Member, Lehigh County ARES/RACES
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, IEEE Standards Association
> Chair, IEEE 802.22 WG on Wireless Regional Area Networks
> ----------------------------------------------------
>

# 2811. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:35:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124843740.949464.147630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117162

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124769842.672523.126990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Dan:
> >> >>
> >> >>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the
> >> >>people",
> >> >>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
> >> > but their clique as being "amateur radio."
> >>
> >> You have a point, Len. There is an amateur radio clique. Those who are
> >> radio amateurs are a part of it. You aren't.
> >
> > More lies on your part
> >
> > You and I are not part of the same clique
> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> Which is what you are really
> >> >>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking of
> >> >>themselves in some glorified manner!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
> >>
> >> Is there proof of your statement?
> >
> > yes
> >
> > your support of morse code welfare
> >
> > cut
> >
> Thank goodness. Well at least "old friend" knows he is not in the group.

>
> I for one am proud to hold a Amateur Radio License. I have NO REASON at all
> to not be. On the other hand we have.......well you know who you are.

So am I proud of my license just not proud of many of the other holders
of them, esp those that put their mode ahead of the interests of the
rest of us
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 2812. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:40:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124844007.574466.25040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117163

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nice try, Markie!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > want try?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > > > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
> > > > > >
> > > > > What's a "freind"...??!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > And no one tried to "help me".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > just reporting the facts of his call
> > > > > >
> > > > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > > > rest of it ludicrous.
> > > > >
> > > > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> > > >
> > > Indeed it does
> > >
> > How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> > > agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> > > is beyond my understanding
> >
> > Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?
> >
```

> > > Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
> > > that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
> > > and claim to be Stevie
> >
> > Trying to shed notice of his actions?
> >
> > > > > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > well you should have sent it there
> > > > > >
> > > > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > More playing dumb? Indeed.
> > > >
> > > He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb
> >
> > There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short
> > hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been
> > threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's
> > very, very practiced in that art.
>
> No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> victum that decides what is a threat

You're right! And those were his very words.

# 2813. Re: Another Stevie lie uncovered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:51:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124844668.749988.102290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117164

an_old_friend wrote:
> from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
> Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> promptly
> editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but nothing
> less than
> what we have come to expect.
>
>
> I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> rat's kazoo
> to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his pont:
>
>
> >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
>
> reminder cut
>
> Stevei has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it
>
> try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
> of them this one

Notice his fixation on male genitals. He has a long, long history of
such behavio[u]r.

# 2814. Re: Another Stevie lie uncovered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:53:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124844816.708943.15380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117165

an_old_friend wrote:
> from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
> Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> promptly
> editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but nothing
> less than
> what we have come to expect.
>
>
> I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> rat's kazoo
> to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his pont:
>
>
> >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
>
> reminder cut
>
> Stevei has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it
>
> try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
> of them this one

Notice his fixation on your genitals. He has a long, long, long
history of such, though he denies it.

# 2815. Re: Another Stevie lie uncovered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:56:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124844962.580764.113100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117166

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
> > Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> > promptly
> > editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but nothing
> > less than
> > what we have come to expect.
> >
> >
> > I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> > rat's kazoo
> > to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his pont:
> >
> >
> > >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
> >
> > reminder cut
> >
> > Stevei has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> > there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it
> >
> > try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
> > of them this one
>
> Notice his fixation on your genitals. He has a long, long, long
> history of such, though he denies it.

Yes I have it sugests much about stevie sexaulity

# 2816. Re: Another "Stevie lie" made up by Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:56:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124844997.635421.32110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117167

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
> > >
> > > Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> > > promptly editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but > nothing less
than what we have come to expect.
> > >
> > > I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> > > rat's kazoo to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his point:
> > >
> > >
> > > >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
> > >
> > > reminder cut
> >
> > "remainder"
> >
> > > Stevei...(SNIP)
> >
> > "Stevie"
> >
> > > (UNSNIP) has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> > > there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it
> >
> > No...
> >
> > I said I never sent you a THREAT, public or private. Markie.
>
> you said you never sent private email
> of course you have said alot of thing few of them true

Nope.

I said I never sent a threat, public or private.

> > > try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
> > > of them this one
> >
> > And still no facts, Markie.

And still no facts, Markie. When will you learn?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2817. Re: Another Stevie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 00:59:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124845182.694968.109240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117168

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
> > > >
> > > > Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> > > > promptly editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but > nothing
less than what we have come to expect.
> > > >
> > > > I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> > > > rat's kazoo to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his point:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
> > > >
> > > > reminder cut
> > >
> > > "remainder"
> > >
> > > > Stevei...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > "Stevie"
> > >
> > > > (UNSNIP) has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> > > > there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it
> > >
> > > No...
> > >
> > > I said I never sent you a THREAT, public or private. Markie.
> >
> > you said you never sent private email
> > of course you have said alot of thing few of them true
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> I said I never sent a threat, public or private.
which is a lie of course

you have also said you haven't sent me private email which is of course
a lie too
>
> > > > try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one

> > > > of them this one
> > >
> > > And still no facts, Markie.
>
> And still no facts, Markie. When will you learn?
learn what that you are liar I know that already
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2818. Re: Markie and PuppetBoy Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:04:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124845459.516113.84120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117169

hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nice try, Markie!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > want try?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
> > > > > >
> > > > > What's a "freind"...??!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > And no one tried to "help me".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > just reporting the facts of his call
> > > > > >
> > > > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > > > rest of it ludicrous.
> > > > >
> > > > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> > > >
> > > Indeed it does
> > > >
> > > How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> > > agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> > > is beyond my understanding
> > >
> > Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?

> > >
> > > > Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
> > > > that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
> > > > and claim to be Stevie
> > >
> > > Trying to shed notice of his actions?
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > well you should have sent it there
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > > > > > > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > More playing dumb? Indeed.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb
> > > > > >
> > > > > There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short
> > > > > hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been
> > > > > threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's
> > > > > very, very practiced in that art.
> > >
> > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > victum that decides what is a threat

No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
could say that's a "threat"

> You're right! And those were his very words.

Sure is fun watching the two of you pat each other on the back!
Haven't seen so much self-congratulation for nothing at all since
Clinton's book came out...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2819. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:08:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124845684.216638.157010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117170

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > I just got the phone with the local ss worker.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nice try, Markie!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > want try?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Huh? English, DelusionBoy, English!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > he informed me that someone claiming to be Steven Roberson (his words)
> > > > > > > > has called and made claims that he was unwilling to repeat.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Double nice try. I sent a letter.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Well then one of your freind was trying to help you
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What's a "freind"...??!!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And no one tried to "help me".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > just reporting the facts of his call
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Markie, the simple fact that you tried to start off your STORY by
> > > > > > > suggesting that it was a "Social Security" representitive made all the
> > > > > > > rest of it ludicrous.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> > > > >
> > > > > Indeed it does
> > > > >
> > > > > How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> > > > > agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> > > > > is beyond my understanding
> > > >

> > > > Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?
> > > >
> > > > > Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
> > > > > that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
> > > > > and claim to be Stevie
> > > >
> > > > Trying to shed notice of his actions?
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > And Markie...I know better than to send it to "ss".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > well you should have sent it there
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why would I, a healthcare professional, send medically related
> > > > > > > > > correspondence to a SOCIAL SECURTIY office, Markie...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > More playing dumb? Indeed.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > He isn't playing dumb, he is dumb
> > > > > >
> > > > > There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short
> > > > > hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been
> > > > > threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's
> > > > > very, very practiced in that art.
> > > >
> > > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > > victum that decides what is a threat
>
break
> No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
> Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
> could say that's a "threat"

nope the court makes a final judgement but for example as long as
someone could reasonably take something as a threat like one shot one
kill.. then the intent of the speacker doesn't matter
>
> > You're right! And those were his very words.

> Steve, K4YZ

# 2820. OK Markie...You Said I Admitted Libel...Now Show us WHERE...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:13:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124846020.697782.237530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117171

an_old_friend wrote:
> was chatching (catching) up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL
> found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)

Here's YOUR post, intact, Markie.

YOU said that I "admit(ed)" that AOL "found (my) stuff libelous".

WHERE in that post, Markie, did I "admit" that AOL found my stuff
libelous?

AOL's letter to me only stated that there was a "complaint" and
they removed the page due to "controversy".

LENNIE said it was libelous...but then Lennie also chides others
for being "thin skinned", but clearly can't stand having his own deciet
and misdeeds fed back to him.

MY comment was that AOL took the path of least resistance. No
more...no less...

> k4yz said on May 29 2004
> >Subject: Re: CW Testing Question
> >From: lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 5/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040528225612.28114.00000...@mb-m10.aol.com>
> >> If somethings true, it's not libelous.
>
> > AOL thought it was VERY libelous and cancelled that alternate
> > screen name you used then.
>
> > You went ballistic on that "home page" and were clearly deep
> > into libelous personal attack. AOL concurred.
>
> AOL did what AOL always does...they took the path of least
> resistance.
>
> >>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
> >>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.
>
> > Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!
>
> cut the rest

Of course you "cut the rest".

You usually do when it portends embarrasment.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2821. Another new Stevie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:17:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124846258.845678.260940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117172

Re: OK Markie...You Said I Admitted Libel...Now Show us WHERE...

another lie stevie I never said you admitted to libel I said you
admitted to getting you access cut becuase of libel. I also said youd
deny it was libel

so buzz another lie on your part

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > was chatching (catching) up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL
> > found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)
>
> Here's YOUR post, intact, Markie.
>
> YOU said that I "admit(ed)" that AOL "found (my) stuff libelous".
>
> WHERE in that post, Markie, did I "admit" that AOL found my stuff
> libelous?
>
> AOL's letter to me only stated that there was a "complaint" and
> they removed the page due to "controversy".
>
> LENNIE said it was libelous...but then Lennie also chides others
> for being "thin skinned", but clearly can't stand having his own deciet
> and misdeeds fed back to him.
>
> MY comment was that AOL took the path of least resistance. No
> more...no less...
>
> > k4yz said on May 29 2004
> > >Subject: Re: CW Testing Question
> > >From: lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > >Date: 5/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20040528225612.28114.00000...@mb-m10.aol.com>
> > >> If somethings true, it's not libelous.
> >
> > > AOL thought it was VERY libelous and cancelled that alternate
> > > screen name you used then.
> >
> > > You went ballistic on that "home page" and were clearly deep
> > > into libelous personal attack. AOL concurred.
> >
> > AOL did what AOL always does...they took the path of least
> > resistance.

> >
> > >>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
> > >>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.
> >
> > > Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!
> >
> > cut the rest
>
> Of course you "cut the rest".
>
> You usually do when it portends embarrasment.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2822. More Of Markie's Altered Reality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:19:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124846354.728393.167310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117173

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: nobodys_old_friend on Aug 22, 10:07 pm
> >
> > >was chatching up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL
> > >found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)
> > >
> cut
> > A day went by till I got on-line again, only to find a
> > TOTALLY BERSERK Stebie going absolutely ballistic, cussing me
> > out, and saying he made a denunciatory Home Page on AOL to
> > show what a terrible person I am. In the newsgroup I posted
> > my error explanation but that was NOT ENOUGH. Stebie wanted
> > more than a "pound of flesh," he wanted a whole meat market
> > full. :-)
> I know what you mean
>
> I choose to flumox Stevie when he threatened when I wasn't even home to
> deal with him if did carry through, explained later when it was safe to
> do so, but stevie is still ranting about that Today 7 years later

You didn't "flumox" anyone, Markie...If you THINK you did and it
makes you feel better to think you did something, that's one thing.

> > I sent copies of that scan to some others, the "air moon" image
> > to some others (Brian Burke thought it was funny). Stebie
> > stayed ballistic, perhaps in orbit, and has yet to re-enter
> > the atmosphere of reality.
>
> Was he ever realy in the same reality as you and I

Absolutely not.

I'd never be allowed to be a licensed healthcare person if I was
even remotely like you.

The "air moon" was sent under false colors.

A "lie".

> > >>>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
> > >>>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.
> > >> Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!
> > >
> > That Stebie did. Surprising outburst of total ANGER and un-
> > thinking clearly-libelous prose on that Home Page. Stebie is

> > an uncontrolled volatile emotionally-driven sociopath when he
> > doesn't get his way OR if he perceives he was "deceived."
> > He hasn't changed one bit since...
>
> He does frighten me somewhat, just gald Todd and brain are closer than
> I am and he doesn't like them any better than me or you

It wouldn't matter if you lived next door, Markie.

You'd just have to contend with the laughing in person, rather
than in print...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2823. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:19:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124846390.583338.67750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117174

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun 21 Aug 2005 20:33
>
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >
> > >> You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
> > >> is moot. Try again.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
> > >information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
> > >intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.
> >
> > "...insinuations of intimacy with..."? :-)

Whenever Steve sees two mens names side by side, he see a love
connection. Hope he never visits the Vietnam War Memorial.

> > As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
> > >the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
> > >it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
> > >how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. We all "must" accept Stebie's "word" on HIS
> > service, yet all he can do is shout "call the VA!" :-)
> >
> > "It doesn't matter how objective or reliable the corroborating
> > source is..." if its against what Stebie said or if Stebie no
> > like it, it is a "LIE." :-)
> >
> > Poor Stebie...he can't stand up to scrutiny and his "respone" is
> > to heap abuse on the personals of his challengers.

Steve is finally getting the attention that he seeks.

> > I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
> > >directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
> > >didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.
> >
> > Stebie, it takes as much time to write a single private e-mail
> > as it does to write a PUBLIC posting in here. Just write your

> response IN PUBLIC if you want to be believed. That way anyone
> can check it out as they wish. If it is verifiable, it will be
> be believed. If it isn't verifiable, then you are just making
> with a Confidence Game piece of BS.

He can't. After his widespread claims of seven hostile actions, he'll
never be able to show his records because they will prove that there
were no hostile actions.

> > This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and
> >I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you
> >and one of the Infamous Four.
>
> "...close relationship?!?" :-)

Probably a love quadrangle.

> Anyone can "research headers" and find the ISP of a poster, and
> that's about IT. :-)
>
> Sorry, little Stebie, but nobody has hacked the IEEE website
> for the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
> professional association. I may be the only one with that
> "header origin." I have NO "relationship" with Frank, with Mark
> or with Brian Burke, or Todd, don't know them personally, haven't
> met them, don't even live close to them (don't know where Frank
> lives, but that is irrelevant). WE all SHARE a feeling that all
> you do is lay down a Snow Job, A Con Game Scam, lots of BS, and
> a bunch of other nefarious braggadoccio. <shrug>

A hugely useless effort because none of it is credible.

> > Lastly, I find it curious (and certainly lends significant
> >credence to doubting you and YOUR stories...) that you show up from no
> >where in an Amateur Radio forum, CLAIM to be a former Marine with
> >impeccable "references", yet have absolutely nothing to say ABOUT
> >Amateur Radio policy.
>
> Tell us how the USMC "career" of Stebie Robeson "affects amateur
> radio policy?" :-)
>
> Tell us how the Civil Air Patrol "pilot in command" experience
> relates to amateur radio policy? :-)

Tell us how being "A" NCOIC (or probably not being "A" NCOIC) of
Okinawa MARS relates to amateur radio policy?

> > Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
> >Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
> >own lap.
>
> Poor baby, feeling worried are you?

Steve knows that Frank knows his game.

> YOU just POST some verifiable REAL claims to your statements in
> order to be believed. So far all you've done is shout "call the
> VA!" [the VA will NOT divulge details unless one is a family
> member or a reputable, verifiable personnel department of a
> company or a verifiable agent of a police force]
>
> You could digitize your DD214 and have it available for private
> e-mail (this newsgroup doesn't carry binaries) to PROVE your
> military release from active duty. It's only one page long.
> But, you won't, claiming some "personal outrage" at having to
> do such a thing! Oh, my. We are all supposed to BELIEVE you,
> right? While everyone else not believing you LIES?" :-)
>
> con gam
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I don't beleive him. Too much sewage under the bridge.

## 2824. More stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:22:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124846555.388506.194650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117175

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: nobodys_old_friend on Aug 22, 10:07 pm
> > >
> > > >was chatching up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL
> > > >found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)
> > > >
> > cut
> > > A day went by till I got on-line again, only to find a
> > > TOTALLY BERSERK Stebie going absolutely ballistic, cussing me
> > > out, and saying he made a denunciatory Home Page on AOL to
> > > show what a terrible person I am. In the newsgroup I posted
> > > my error explanation but that was NOT ENOUGH. Stebie wanted
> > > more than a "pound of flesh," he wanted a whole meat market
> > > full. :-)
> > I know what you mean
> >
> > I choose to flumox Stevie when he threatened when I wasn't even home to
> > deal with him if did carry through, explained later when it was safe to
> > do so, but stevie is still ranting about that Today 7 years later
>
> You didn't "flumox" anyone, Markie...If you THINK you did and it
> makes you feel better to think you did something, that's one thing.

well you claimed to have called the DoD so I did something to you

>
> > > I sent copies of that scan to some others, the "air moon" image
> > > to some others (Brian Burke thought it was funny). Stebie
> > > stayed ballistic, perhaps in orbit, and has yet to re-enter
> > > the atmosphere of reality.
> >
> > Was he ever realy in the same reality as you and I
>
> Absolutely not.
>
> I'd never be allowed to be a licensed healthcare person if I was
> even remotely like you.

wrong

another Stevie lie

>
> The "air moon" was sent under false colors.

it was a mistake

>
> A "lie".

mistake

not evrything you don't like is a lie

>
> > > >>>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
> > > >>>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.
> > > >> Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!
> > >
> > > That Stebie did. Surprising outburst of total ANGER and un-
> > > thinking clearly-libelous prose on that Home Page. Stebie is
> > > an uncontrolled volatile emotionally-driven sociopath when he
> > > doesn't get his way OR if he perceives he was "deceived."
> > > He hasn't changed one bit since...
> >
> > He does frighten me somewhat, just gald Todd and brain are closer than
> > I am and he doesn't like them any better than me or you
>
> It wouldn't matter if you lived next door, Markie.

It sure would one or both of us would be dead right now

>
> You'd just have to contend with the laughing in person, rather
> than in print...

nope
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2825. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:25:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124846735.209473.255060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117176

From: Dee Flint on Aug 23, 3:37 pm

>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3c...@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w...@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message

>>>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>
>>>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!

:-)

>>>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>>>>passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>
>>>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>><dirt.

[tsk, if that could only extend beyond...to newsgroups...:-) ]

>>>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>
>>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need
>>> an attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.

[whoops...Dan blew it with the "attitude adjustement" remark...]

>> You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student is
>> good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to feel
>> inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self esteem"
>> students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of newbies out
>> there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to offer advice
>> in a kindly manner.

[Carl, some folks in here will disagree with you...not in a kindly
manner...:-) ]

>I think this "protect their self esteem at all costs" approach was badly
>mishandled.

Hello? Is Dee talking about the Public School System now?

Where is amateur radio taught in public schools?

> While one should never put down a person, they do need guidance
>and it has to be pointed out that mistakes are mistakes.

It MUST be the public school system. Sure as "heck" that doesn't
apply in HERE. :-)

> Because these
>students were protected from their mistakes and told it was OK, in the end,
>I believe that they end up with lower self-esteem.

Sounds like what happened to all them olde-tyme hammes trying to
perpetuate the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) & morsemanship.

> They do often realize
>that they've made a mistake but the fact that no one points it out or helps
>them correct it transfroms in their minds into the concept that they are so
>lowly that they aren't worth helping.

Yup, that's what all them olde-tyme hamme morsemen are doing
with all the NCTA (lowly beyond belief, not having the "proper
attitude" and worshiping these mighty masters of radio).

> This is a bigger hit on their self esteem than anything I can think of.

Except in some females' cases where their remarks are, well, it
can't be said in here... :-)

OKAY, all you ESTEEMED ELMERS...GET OUT THERE and Win One for Hiram!

ADJUST THOSE ATTITUDES! STAND AT ATTENTION WHEN AN ELMER TALKS
TO YOU!

Farf...snort... :-)

elm oak
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2826. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:25:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124846756.750845.102120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117177

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 22 Aug 2005 07:35:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > You demonstrate lapses in proper USMC etiquette
>
>
> .....say WHAT?

Wink, wink. You're supposed to give Steve a pass because he's a fellow
Marine.

> Yep, you're right..... I bypassed boot camp, went straight to AAVs,
> stayed there until my discharge, and never spoke to anyone outside the
> unit. Sure thing, Steve.

Right.

# 2827. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:27:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124846847.896966.213510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117178

From: Frank Gilliland on Tues 23 Aug 2005 13:27

>On 23 Aug 2005 12:41:50 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>wrote in <1124826110.872794.269740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>> the question still stands
>>
>>when you are you going to confess to your lies?\
>
>Probably never. After so many years of rationalizing his lies I'm sure
>he actually believes that they are justified. I effectively nuked him
>with the facts and his response was avoidance.

Your diagnosis is on-the-money with what we've seen for years
in here. He is 99 44/100 percent BLUFF.

> It's been a few years,
>but if I remember my Psych 101 correctly he has what you would call
>"Narcissistic Personality Disorder"; his false sense of superiority
>will never let him fess up, and his need for attention will never let
>him shut up.

Proper psych name or not, that's IT. [my formal psych two
semesters were in 1959...all the rest of the time in the
Great School of Life with PLENTY of examples to study...:-)]

Author and screenwriter Ernest K. Gann's semi-autobiography
"Fate Is The Hunter" described how a guy faked his way into
being #3 (seniority) pilot on a new airline starting up between
San Francisco and Hawaii around the 1950s (Gann was #2 and an
airline pilot since before WW2).* This fake had "self-taught"
himself how to fly while in the State Department during WW2
going to and from South America. He's faked his way into
this new airline but had NO time on four-motor aircraft nor
could he fly well with the autopilot off. After an FAA
check-ride resulted in such a poor demo, the FAA found out
he had NEVER gotten an Air Transport rating! Fake went bye-
bye but later got onto another beginning airline doing the
same thing...but killing himself and passengers.

* In the book, not in the shortened black-and-white movie of
the same title name. It's in Chapter 16 (or "XVI" as typeset),
character name given as "Dudley."

> Too bad cause he's obviously a smart guy -- just really
>screwed up.

Stebie has an Extra. He's also said his nine-year-old can
pass it. Doesn't say much about the mentality or maturity
required to pass an amateur test.

Amateur radio is NOT on par with aircraft flying, not in any
respect. The "Dudley" of Gann's book was real and, as aircraft
can be deadly when mishandled by fakers, resulted in the
ultimate penalty. The penalty is less severe in this radio
hobby but the fakery there is more widespread...by example.

not FAQ
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2828. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:29:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1124846943.356571.222360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117179

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 23, 3:25 pm

>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:

>> > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
>
>> Indeed it does

With Heil "SS" means SchutzStaffel, complete with black uniform,
twin lightning bolts, and jackboots. Monocle optional.

>> How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
>> agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
>> is beyond my understanding
>
>Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?

Farf!! Snort... :-)

>> Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
>> that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
>> and claim to be Stevie
>
>Trying to shed notice of his actions?

Stebie learned that serving under "Colonel Vinson" at Ft. Gordon.

:-)

>There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short
>hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been
>threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's
>very, very practiced in that art.

Wiley Coyote but badly animated in black and white?

Stalking uses implied threats. Stalking is part of the Con
Game he is doing, more bluff than stuff.

But, Stebie GETS THE ATTENTION HE CRAVES! HE IS A SOMEBODY! :-)

boo his
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2829. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:31:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124847115.003006.228850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117180

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124836599.836200.237520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> >
> [snip]
> >
> > >> Bandplans and band usage are complicated issues where the ARRL or anyone
> > >> else is highly unlikely to be able to please everyone - the objective
> > >> needs
> > >> to be to work with the different interest groups towards compromises that
> > >> allow us to get to something that at least a significant majority can
> > >> accept
> > >> and say "I can live with that." If I become a member of the ARRL BoD I
> > >> would work with all of the interested parties in an effort to forge that
> > >> sort of result.
> > >
> > > With all due respect, that's what everybody says. The trouble is with
> > > the specifics. You've given us some good specifics, like support of a
> > > 'reasonable' subband for Morse Code only, and a similar 'reasonable'
> > > subband for 'robots'.
> > > The devil is in "what's reasonable"?
> >
> > The way I see it there's probably no way to please everyone
> > 100%.

That's a given.
>
> Therefore, I think the solution is to work with all of the
> interested
> "camps" to forge a compromise that at least a significant
> majority can accept.
> The optimum balance is probably something that will
> result in all of the
> "camps" being able to say "It's not perfect in my
> ideal world, but I can
> accept it and 'sign up' to support it."
>
Definition of "consensus".

However the specifics are where the arguing will be.

> I think the suggestion from the CW folks for a modest
> "CW only" segement at
> the bottom of the band is reasonable and would ease a lot of
> concerns about getting "squeezed out of existence."

Yup.

Would also tend to gather up the activity rather than spread it out.

> I think that the proposal that some have made to "repurpose"
> the "refarming"
> of the novice bands to provide a "digital playground" for the
> experimenters
> who want to develop, test, and operate the higher speed, more
> robust digital
> modes that the emergency management agencies want is also
> something that merits consideration.

There was an ARRL proposal some time back to "refarm" the Novice bands
- which was just a slick way of saying "use them for SSB". Some of us
(including both you and me, IIRC) commented that a better use would be
to create that "digital playground", where all modes except analog
voice/image would be allowed - with primary priority to digital modes
not allowed elsewhere.

> I agree that "robots" should not be allowed to take over the
> bands at the expense of all of the other modes.

Or even *any* other modes.
>
> All of this would require some degree of compromise, but I
> think that's what
> will be required to formulate something that gains widespread
> acceptance instead of massive resistance.

Key question: Will the digital playground include the robots?

Biggest problem: Convincing FCC to accept moving the Novices and Tech
Pluses down into the "General" part of the band.

For example, suppose 80 were "refarmed" like this:

3500-3575: Morse Code only
3575-3675: Digital and Morse Code, bandwidth less than 1000 Hz
3675-3725: "Digital playground" - all documented digital modes
(including Morse Code) regardless of bandwidth.

Extras have the whole band
Generals and Advanceds have all but 3500-3525
Novices and Tech Pluses have 3525-3575
>
> >> In addition to significantly improving the
> >> general level of technical
> >> knowledge and skill of hams,
> >

> > That was a prime reason for "incentive licensing"
> > 40 years ago!
>
> I'm talking about improved educational programs ...
> it's clear that
> "incentive licensing" created a huge schysm in
> the amateur community and
> hasn't really worked.

I think the big problem was that the causes of the apparent
problems were misunderstood.

There was a time when, to be a ham with an effective station, you
needed to a pretty good mix of technical knowledge, skill, and other
resources. There wasn't much manufactured equipment for hams, and what
did exist was very expensive by the average incomes of the day.

And what hams used not only had to be inexpensive, it had to be usable
without a lot of test equipment.

Then as technology, manufacturing and affluence advanced, more and more
hams simply bought their equipment. And as the reliability improved,
and operation simplified, the need to know how it all worked went down.
And those who were less technically inclined found it easier to be
hams.

For quite some years now we've had rigs that require almost no
technical knowledge to operate. No tune-up, no critical adjustments,
self-protected against many operating errors. And so complex that most
*professionals* wouldn't try to build one or even fix one without a lot
of specialized test gear and information.

Incentive licensing couldn't reverse that trend. How will voluntary
education programs do it if the hams themselves don't want it?

And remember all those arguments used against the Morse Code test? Most
of them can be used against the written tests as well, particularly the
General and Extra writtens.

>(I think part of the
> problem was linking increased
> voice frequency privileges to the totally unrelated Morse test

The original ARRL proposal would have only required a written test.

Remember too that at the time (1960s) there was a real need for Morse
Code proficient radio operators.

But most of all, consider that for the unrelated privileges of the
bottom of four HF bands, Generals had to pass *two* written exams.

> and the other
> part was that it created in too many people's minds the idea
> that the

> license meant you "knew all there was to know" - thereby
> removing the
> motivation to progress even further.)

Nope.

Long before incentive licensing, there were hams who thought that
because they passed the test they were fully qualified. I recall hams
who, when they passed the General, would sell their Novice setup, buy a
manufactured transceiver, give away their Handbooks and other
materials, and consider themselves "done" with the serious learning of
radio.
>
> >> growing our numbers (both licensees and ARRL
> >> members), protecting our spectrum, and getting more people trained for
> >> and
> >> involved in emergency communications, one of the MOST pressing problems
> >> we
> >> face is to reverse the trend of "compartmentalizing" ourselves into
> >> "factions" whose whole world revolves around one mode or one activity,
> >> because the resulting "turf wars," suspicion/mistrust/paranoia,
> >> in-fighting,
> >> and attacks on each other divide us in ways that both are bad for the ARS
> >> as
> >> it's seen externally and bad for the ARS internally as we get along with
> >> (or
> >> don't) each other.
> >
> >> We should ALL be "hams" (period) and work together cooperatively and
> >> constructively going forward into the future on the truly important
> >> issues
> >> facing ham radio and the ARRL.
> >
> > The trouble is that ham radio covers such a wide range of activities
> > that there's trouble finding common ground in some cases.
>
> The common ground should be that we're all hams - with
> recognition that
> different people have different operating interests and
> cooperating instead
> of always being so defensive and turf-war oriented.

Agreed!
>
> > For example, you have folks who want to use
> > equipment and modes that
> > are
> > decades old, and folks who think anything
> > less than their concept of
> > SOTA is "obsolete". Folks who want more room for SSB
> > (and even "hi-fi

> > SSB") and folks who want more room for digital.
> > Folks who don't even
> > have a computer in the shack and folks who never
> > actually listen to a
> > signal (they watch it on the waterfall display).
> >
> > Appliance ops and homebrew-from-scratch folks.
> > DXers, contesters,
> > ragchewers, emcomm folks. Those who are stuck with
> > compromise and
> > stealth antennas and those with tons of aluminum aloft.
> >
> > How do you get all those folks to see that there is
> > value in what each
> > of them brings to the table?
>
> Education, encouragement, and, in severe cases, peer pressure
>(through the
> clubs is one way) to "play nicer together."
>
> >> ALL hams should treat each other with
> >> respect and courtesy, regardless of license class or operating
> >> preferences.
> >> Experienced hams need to welcome new hams with the spirit of patience and
> >> helpfulness that "Elmering" embodies, rather than treating them as some
> >> inferior form of life.
> >
> > As mentioned before - that goes both ways.
>
> That's true ... newbies shouldn't "cop an attitude" and neither > should OTs.

Works for me!

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2830. Re: Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 01:47:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124848020.128865.49880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117181

Frank Gilliland wrote:

snip
Assuming you really
> -are- who you claim, you sure are setting a -=BAD=- example of the
> discipline both required and expected of a retired Marine Gunny of 18
> years (which is bullshit in and of itself) by wasting so much time
> engaging in flame wars on Usenet. I can get away with it because I was
> a shitbird..... but you? Heck, if I was USMC retired and behaving the
> way you do I'd go outside and kick my -own- ass out of respect for the
> Corps. And -THAT'S- what Semper Fidelis means, Steve.

;^))

> And here is where your lies finally come to an end. If -you- were in
> avionics in the 80's then you most certainly -DID- 'serve' under
> "Colonel Vinson"..... who, BTW, is not a real person, and you would
> have known that if your story was true. VINSON was the crypto system
> that was used for almost all radio equipment in that era, and EVERY
> tech -- avionics AND ground -- was trained to do first and second
> echelon repairs on that equipment. You could have easily replied with
> "KY-58" (the avionics equivalent of the KY-57), or KYK-13 (key holder
> used for both). But you didn't even recognize the name VINSON even in
> context with Ft. Gordon, home of the crypto schools.

VINSON! I remember those. The 2ID provided us with aviation,
mobile/tactical radios. The kick13 was on a sweatty piece of parachute
cord that got passed from shift to shift; had to wear it on my neck.
The QRCT HF system also required a ky58, though the depot was Ft Gillem
(brac?).

What were the little tear-off code pages called?

KAC? Authenticate.

Haven't thought about that stuff in years.

> Blah, blah, blah. You've been both outed and spanked. Either fess up
> or shut up.

Thank you.

# 2831. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 03:00:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.24.03.00.17.566449@gmail.com>
Source record: 117182

Dee:

There are naturally gifted teachers, but they are not all that common place, the rest need some formal training, and some will never be teachers. From personal experience, I have grown to think the very worst--think themselves the very best--with some, no amount of real world proof will get the point across that they need to adjust their teaching method, for this reason, some should NOT have tenure.

What is true knowledge and what is just personal dislikes, opinions and beliefs is a real problem to, unless you have a strong ability to keep the two separated, science and religion, you are going to have great difficulty with any but avg and below avg students--they know the difference.

There are bandwidth allocations, math formulas dealing with electronic circuits, regulations in the FCC rules, etc.--and then there is "style." If you attempt to teach your "style", be prepared to be frustrated--some will accept it to be nice--then go about their business, others will need religion and are searching for purpose and acceptance and will adopt it--and, yet again, others may think you a control freak... but you have seen this in the real world about you and I waste both our time reiterating such...

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 18:37:16 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:R4Wdnf8wfaa0_5feRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>
>>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>>There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>>discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>>
>>>>We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the

>>>>following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or "I
>>>>passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>>
>>>>We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD be
>>>>Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your personal
>>>>preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they want
>>>>to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>>>dirt.
>>>>
>>>>This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>>
>>>>73,
>>>>Carl - wk3c
>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers need
>>> an attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>
>> You raise a good point, Dan. Elmering is good. Being a willing student is
>> good. You must have both for it to work. Many new Hams do not want to feel
>> inferior. I don't know if it is the first generation of "high self esteem"
>> students hitting adulthood or what. But there are a number of newbies out
>> there that bristle when offered advice. And I do know how to offer advice
>> in a kindly manner.
>>
>
> I think this "protect their self esteem at all costs" approach was badly
> mishandled. While one should never put down a person, they do need guidance
> and it has to be pointed out that mistakes are mistakes. Because these
> students were protected from their mistakes and told it was OK, in the end,
> I believe that they end up with lower self-esteem. They do often realize
> that they've made a mistake but the fact that no one points it out or helps
> them correct it transfroms in their minds into the concept that they are so
> lowly that they aren't worth helping. This is a bigger hit on their self
> esteem than anything I can think of.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2832. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 03:02:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.24.03.02.01.142525@gmail.com>
Source record: 117183

Dan:

Strange, that is what I was thinking about you--and put to text... you
did manage to sum it up with few words...

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 23:59:39 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> I say you full of yourself John.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.22.22.06.22.708007@gmail.com...
>> AOF:
>>
>> I most strongly suspect:
>>
>> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
>> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on the
>> newbies! <grin>
>>
>> ... what say you?
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>> >
>>>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>>> >
>>>> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>> >
>>>> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of the
>>>> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license." or

>>>> > "I
>>>> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>> >
>>>> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD
>>>> > be
>>>> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
>>>> > personal
>>>> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
>>>> > want
>>>> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them like
>>>> > dirt.
>>>> >
>>>> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>> >
>>>> > 73,
>>>> > Carl - wk3c
>>>> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
>>>> need an
>>>> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>>
>>> Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>

# 2833. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 03:06:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.24.03.06.22.505182@gmail.com>
Source record: 117184

Dan:

The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...

John

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all the CB
> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with HAM
> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>
> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn something
> from those that have been there and done it.
>
> SEE?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1124749825.609150.213720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> AOF:
>>>
>>> I most strongly suspect:
>>>
>>> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
>>> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on
>>> the
>>> newbies! <grin>
>>>
>>> ... what say you?
>>
>> In honesty I don't think Dan knows what dan means on that one
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>>> >> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>> >> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> >> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>> >> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>> >> >
>>> >> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of
>>> >> > the
>>> >> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license."
>>> >> > or "I
>>> >> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD
>>> >> > be
>>> >> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
>>> >> > personal
>>> >> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
>>> >> > want
>>> >> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them
>>> >> > like
>>> >> > dirt.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>> >> >
>>> >> > 73,
>>> >> > Carl - wk3c
>>> >> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>> >> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
>>> >> need an
>>> >> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>> >
>>> > Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>>> >>
>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>

# 2834. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 03:17:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.24.03.17.32.633794@gmail.com>
Source record: 117185

N2EY:

I like to think I gauge each and every man and woman on their merits. How broadminded they are, how current they are, how adaptive they are, if their pursuits are near mine, if they don't take themselves too seriously, if they can communicate on the complex, the unique, if they are not hampered by too many preconceived notions, if they are interesting, if they are fun, if they are educated (and a few have done a darn good job of educating themselves with little formal education--and a discussion with them quickly will disclose that), etc, etc...

I have found about 3-5 out of a hundred are worth a persons time, out in the general world--usually, at work that ratio comes close to a 50-50%.

I think that is a fairly accurate take on it--a person mileage may vary with location and type of employment, of course in heaven I suspect this ratio would be much higher, and in hell it would drop to zero...

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 09:46:18 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> 
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > N2EY:
>> >
>> > If there are going to be fully automated nets run by automatons, then
>> > practical "open" protocols and hardware need to be established. Wide
>> > experimentation of many different protocols needs to be experimented with
>> > and the best one or two adopted--and, the ease which "newbies" can
>> > participate should be given a substantial weight in this endeavor. A
>> > narrow range of freqs would best be established for robots, it can always
>> > be widened if there is sufficient call for such.
>> >
>> > A protocol where a "control robot" to be chosen, and adaptive
>> > procedures so the control can change as necessary. A protocol where a
>> > robot requests entrance in the net and its' traffic logically queued and
>> > handled in a "ring" approach. Also, a protocol which allows for a human
>> > operator (with the correct software/hardware) to get info and interact in
>> > an emergency or otherwise situation.
>> >
>> > I find discussion of these matters highly exciting and believe amateur
>> > radio should help foster such directions, seems it would be beneficial to
>> > all...
>> >

>> > John
>>
>> Hey Jim, does this ring a familiar bell . . . ? Node clashes . .
>
> "Everything old is new again"
>
> It's really funny to see the neophiles ignore history and what has
> already
> been learned as "old stuff", then go out and try to solve the same
> problems all over again.
>
> Then when you try to Elmer them, you get called a dinosaur.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2835. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 03:23:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.24.03.23.45.423054@gmail.com>
Source record: 117186

Len:

I would like to encourage you to an amateur license, it is men like you who will restore the caliber of the hobby... in some ways, your text reminds me of the "old phart" who coached me on how to be a decent "old phart." (well, I have been indecent too, but only around those of the opposite sex--with their permission mind you! <grin>)

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 16:34:35 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:

>
>>Len:
>>
>>You might have said, I missed it if that is the case, when/if CW is dead,
>>are you going to grab your extra ticket?
>
> Maybe, maybe not. That's MY option, not based on the puerile
> taunts of middle-schoolers who are of middle age going "nyah,
> nyah, can't get a ticket, can't get a ticket!!!" :-)
>
> Hmmm...I started out in HF communications with much more "action"
> than the average, doing 24/7 comms with high-power (up to 40 KW)
> transmitters shooting across the Pacific, plus doing VHF, UHF,
> and - finally - multi-channel microwave radio relay over a half
> century ago...winding up as an operations and maintenance
> supervisor NCO. Then, on release from active duty, getting a
> First 'Phone at an FCC field office (no COLEMs then) and working
> four broadcast stations as vacation relief or on weekends or full
> time for WREX-TV to gain enough money to come out west...having
> already interviewed for and secured a job at Hughes Aircraft.
> That led to a whole career, major major change to electronics
> engineering winding up as senior staff in design. I'm supposed
> to get a ham license to "prove I know something about radio?!?!?"
>
> I don't have anything to "prove" to a bunch of yokels who want
> to recreate the 1930s and 1940s in radiotelegraphy! Geezus,
> gimme a break from those neanderthallers! What the fork do
> think a ham license IS...some kind of Nobel Award for Science?!?
> :-)
>
> Amateur radio is fun, a recreational avocation done not for money
> but for personal pleasure. It involves NO different radio physics
> than any other radio service but it allows all the choice of

> buying state-of-the-art radios to use or in building them from
> their own designs. It requires a license to transmit RF due to a
> federal law (an act of Congress) that created a federal regulatory
> agency for ALL civil radio. The mindset of many hase been
> "conditioned" by a certain membership organization to be much,
> much more, a virtual lifestyle that has gotten too deep into the
> myth and fantasy of long-ago times and dreams of glory and heroism
> that never happened.
>
> One argument is that "a ham can have their OWN station." Yes, I've
> had "my own station" or properly, one-third of it in a business
> partnership with two others. I've built/converted three "stations"
> and checked them thoroughly befoe selling them, never once "using"
> them or caring to use them. I've designed and built two other
> transceivers for CB, one a prototype for a CB company in Burbank
> that went bankrupt when faced with off-shore CB products cut them
> out of profit action.
>
> "I can work the world on radio with an amateur license!!!" Yes,
> and I could pick up a handset in Tokyo, at ADA Control, and talk
> to Seattle, Anchorage, San Francisco, Hawaii, or Okinawa any time
> of the day or night, as I did for a while in 1955...without any
> "license" or even any specific HF with/without SSB schooling of
> any kind. I can "talk" to the rest of the world any time I want
> to on the Internet, and have, plus being able to share images
> with dozens of long-time friends (from pre-Internet days) faster
> than by surface mail, uninterrupted by vagaries of the ionosphere.
>
> "I can explore new radio territory and advance the state of the
> radio art" with a ham license. What the fork do some of these
> cretins think I was DOING FOR A LIVING since 1956? Without a ham
> license I've legally transmitted RF on frequencies ranging through
> EM bands from LF into EHF, on up to 4mm wavelengths. Gotten one
> patent as sole inventor, had a terrific time in the labs and in the
> field, still do it once in a while.
>
> I once "worked a station" ON the moon. No moonbounce stuff. I
> have to learn morse code in order to do THAT as an amateur?!?
> (I don't have to test for morse code at VHF and up, just for
> frequencies below 30 MHz...where I began doing HF communications
> a half century before...without having to know or use morse code
> then or any time afterwards)
>
>>If so, ya wanna meet down on 3.840 and give art a run for his money--in a
>>gentlemanly way of course. Don't go with disruptive actions myself...
>>debate and argument yes, trouble no... suspect you might be the same...
>>could be fun, ya never know... <grin>
>
> No. If anyplace on ham bands, it would be on 20m where a bunch
> of ex-RCA Corporation folks hang out on Saturday mornings. Talk

> there is shared-interest stuff, not the personal polemics of
> self-propelled radio potentates. Listen for KD6JG and W6MJN,
> among others. I know them by their real names, not callsigns.
>
> "I can be FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED with MY OWN CALLSIGN if I get a
> ham license!!!" Wow, ain't that something (like I've already
> done that, but not with a ham license). I know where to get a
> good ham sandwich nearby, the vendors needing only a Health
> Department license to operate. [great pastrami at one place]
>
> I DO need to renew my Poetic License. Time to study for Mores
> Goad. :-)
>
> buy buy
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2836. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 05:34:24 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124861664.578645.131270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117187

From: John Smith on Aug 23, 8:23 pm

>Len:
>
>I would like to encourage you to an amateur license, it is men like you
>who will restore the caliber of the hobby... in some ways, your text
>reminds me of the "old phart" who coached me on how to be a decent "old
>phart." (well, I have been indecent too, but only around those of the
>opposite sex--with their permission mind you! <grin>)

Sorry, John, but encouragment requires much training into
being a human modem working with a 161-year-old code set.
Further, I would have to go into detoxification of ANY THOUGHT
of CHANGING AMATEUR RADIO FROM WHAT IT IS! Dan da Morse Man
has SPOKEN! Change is NOT allowed.

Dan da Macho Morse Man speaks in FIFTY CALIBER forbidding ALL
change.

Dan is a hidebound, set-in-concrete-with-armor-plate traditionalist
conservative ready to go into combat with Weapons of Morse
Dedication to rid the world of upstart, liberal (euywww, spit)
thoughts of EVER removing the morse code test!

Dan is in his command track right now directing traffic (through
the nearest 2m repeater of course), ready to Flash Fire any
least sign of disturbance of his thoughts. Meanwhile, from an
undisclosed location, I am silently watching, monitoring all this
from a high UAV loaded with missles...taking aim... :-)

This is NOT about having fun with amateur radio, doing hobby
things for personal pleasure. This is WAR to Dan da Morse Man.
All do as HE SAY!

bip bip
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2837. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 05:37:08 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124861828.557145.52790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117188

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:12

>You want to give these Liberal taught kids a lesson in self respect?
>
>Draft them into the military.
>
>That will fix the situation in LESS than a generation.

Don't y'all LOVE it when Dannie do his George C. Scott imitation from the movie "Patton?" Gotta love that Amateur Radio Service Uniform, the shiny helmet, polished riding boots (no mud from the Fulda Gap on them, nossir!), all those Medals of glorious ham service, the chrome-plated morse key in its holster at his side!

The huge American flag behind him on the stage is quite effective. However, the equally huge banner saying DEATH TO NO-CODERS! is a bit tacky.

Here's some recruits to "be taught a lesson through military service...they haven't served yet:"

James Miccolis
Brian Kelly
Michael Coslo
Dee Flint

Tension-hutt! Keep a straight line! Eyes front! No talking in ranks! You OBEY DAN, do whatever he say! He be da new Dill Sergeant! He God!

sho biz
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2838. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 05:39:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1124861962.274537.222800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117189

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> I like to think I gauge each and every man and woman on their merits. How
> broadminded they are, how current they are, how adaptive they are, if
> their pursuits are near mine, if they don't take themselves too seriously,
> if they can communicate on the complex, the unique, if they are not
> hampered by too many preconceived notions, if they are interesting, if
> they are fun, if they are educated (and a few have done a darn good job
> of educating themselves with little formal education--and a discussion
> with them quickly will disclose that), etc, etc...
>
> I have found about 3-5 out of a hundred are worth a persons time, out in
> the general world--usually, at work that ratio comes close to a 50-50%.
>
> I think that is a fairly accurate take on it--a person mileage may vary
> with location and type of employment, of course in heaven I suspect this
> ratio would be much higher, and in hell it would drop to zero...

<snicker>

Keep it up "John", we all enjoy the gigglers you toss out.

> John

w3rv

# 2839. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 05:44:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124862241.288336.187090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117190

From: John Smith on Aug 23, 8:06 pm

>Dan:
>
>The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do
>not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most
>likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...

>On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all the CB
>> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with HAM
>> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>
>> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn something
>> from those that have been there and done it.
>
>> SEE?
>
>> Dan/W4NTI

John, Dere you have it. Dan Jeswald >>IS<< amateur radio! He
RULEZ!

"If the dit don't fit, Dan will convict!"
- paraphrasing Brian Burke

Dannie he say NO ONE CAN CHANGE HAM RADIO!!! He won't allow it!

[ farf...snort... ]

dan say
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2840. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 06:34:23 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200508.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <5f4090c3cef913ee82c78892b8053635@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 117191

In article <1124753512.713461.84050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> - if you were really assigned to Warminster NAS - you were there
> TEN YEARS AFTER

"I'd Love to Change the World" was a great song! I bet you would too, to fit your narrow views.

# 2841. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 07:18:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <sa6og1hlnhngkc3mufa3guemd90f1cmb1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 117192

On 23 Aug 2005 18:27:27 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1124846847.896966.213510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Author and screenwriter Ernest K. Gann's semi-autobiography
> "Fate Is The Hunter" described how a guy faked his way into
> being #3 (seniority) pilot on a new airline starting up between
> San Francisco and Hawaii around the 1950s (Gann was #2 and an
> airline pilot since before WW2).* This fake had "self-taught"
> himself how to fly while in the State Department during WW2
> going to and from South America. He's faked his way into
> this new airline but had NO time on four-motor aircraft nor
> could he fly well with the autopilot off. After an FAA
> check-ride resulted in such a poor demo, the FAA found out
> he had NEVER gotten an Air Transport rating! Fake went bye-
> bye but later got onto another beginning airline doing the
> same thing...but killing himself and passengers.

How does that saying go..... "fake it till you make it". Apparently he
didn't make it.

> * In the book, not in the shortened black-and-white movie of
> the same title name. It's in Chapter 16 (or "XVI" as typeset),
> character name given as "Dudley."

That might be a good nickname for Steve -- "Dudly the Dud", or maybe
"Dudly Didn't-Do-Right".....

>> Too bad cause he's obviously a smart guy -- just really
>>screwed up.
>
> Stebie has an Extra. He's also said his nine-year-old can
> pass it. Doesn't say much about the mentality or maturity
> required to pass an amateur test.

What makes you think he's even a ham? It's clear that the person
claiming to be K4YZ in this newsgroup isn't Gunny Robeson. If the
-real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
military history -and- his amateur status. From now on I'm going to
call him "Dudly" just to make the distinction between the real K4YZ
and the newsgroup imposter. (The NIS should have fun with this guy!)

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2842. Re: Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 07:28:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <388og1hivs9rfob1jlo86185chnm7a9hli@4ax.com>
Source record: 117193

On 23 Aug 2005 18:47:00 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1124848020.128865.49880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>VINSON! I remember those. The 2ID provided us with aviation,
>mobile/tactical radios. The kick13 was on a sweatty piece of parachute
>cord that got passed from shift to shift; had to wear it on my neck.
>The QRCT HF system also required a ky58, though the depot was Ft Gillem
>(brac?).

1st & 2nd echelon repair (USMC, ground) was taught at MCAGCC; 3rd
through 5th was taught at Ft. Gordon for all branches.

>What were the little tear-off code pages called?

Emergency toilet paper? Beats me. I was a tech, not an operator.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2843. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 08:59:55 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BC32D5516B8elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>
Source record: 117194

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in
news:wEE0e.928$9X.669@fe09.lga:

> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
>> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
>>>> a few countries.
>>>>
>>>
>>> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters
>>> where I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and
>>> stretches upward for 100KHz.
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Not true for phone ops though, is it?
>
> "Learn it... Earn it." That spectrum has always been available to all
> willing to upgrade their ticket.
>

You just don't get it, do you? I'm alreadt an Extra and I passed 20 wpm. I
want to talk to people, not beep at them!

# 2844. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 09:08:11 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BC343D88DC5elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>
Source record: 117195

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1124834530.350257.286690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Dave wrote:
>>
>> > sure it is, they just have to know how to use their 'split' button.
>> > of course now some europeans can go up to 7200, so that is a bit of
>> > a help.
>>
>> A-yup...My FT-757 isn't the most luxurious rig on the market, but
>> that split feature works well...Use it lots for the DX tests...Even
>> for routine calls...I've made a lot of "random" QSOs by tuning 7050 to
>> 7100 then transmitting where they indicate they are listening.
>
> If they are indicating where they are listening, it's not random.
>

That's exactly the problem. Until each country gets the additional 7100-
7200 spectrum, truly random phone QSOs remain impossible. If someone over
there calls CQ and doesn't listen up, they get to work any country they
want bar one, the USA, so why should 90% of them listen up? Ergo, most of
them don't.

# 2845. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 09:31:39 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BC3837D7869elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>
Source record: 117196

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in news:xpWdnWeP27TJM5beRVn-qg@comcast.com:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1124814782.810941.135940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1124669695.309104.207160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1124541759.637027.115460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
> [snip]
>>
>> How many folks on rrap have an 80 meter setup? As in "at least a G5RV
>> that works on 80, 35 feet up at least") There's W4NTI, N2EY, K8MN,
>> K0HB, and probably W3RV.
>>
>> Out of how many?
>
> For 80m, I'm set up so I can choose between a G5RV, 80m skyloop, ground
> mounted vertical, or random wire.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

I confess I am using a random end fed wire (270 feet long, I have a 2 acre lot, heavily wooded) admittedly far too low off the ground. However, it does get out on 80 well enough to work some DX, or at least Europe anyway, and I've worked VK6 on it on 40 (split). OTOH, on 160 it doesn't work worth a d*mn, no doubt due to the low height. It also doesn't tune well on 15, but is OK on the other high bands.

One day I'll get my tower up, but that'll mostly help me on the high bands. I have a tribander and beams for 6m and 70cm to go up there. I also do some mobile and portable HF, although I haven't yet got the current car set up.

Alun, N3KIP

# 2846. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 09:32:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124875948.917931.28650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117197

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Until each country gets the additional 7100-
> 7200 spectrum, truly random phone QSOs remain impossible.

Why "impossible"?

More and more countries are opening 7100-7200 to their hams. In less than 5 years the SWBC will all be gone. Non-split 'phone DX QSOs on 40 are becoming more and more common.

> If someone over
> there calls CQ and doesn't listen up, they get to work any
> country they
> want bar one, the USA, so why should 90% of them listen up?
> Ergo, most of them don't.

Here's a clue: Many of them don't want just another Yank QSL. There are so many US hams that if they don't "listen up", there's probably a very good reason.

A lot of DX 'phones work split almost as a rule - not just on 40 but on every band, as a way of thinning out the QRM and keeping their own QRG clear.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2847. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 09:47:14 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BC3ADB5C154elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>
Source record: 117198

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1124847115.003006.228850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1124836599.836200.237520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >> Bandplans and band usage are complicated issues where the ARRL or
>> >> anyone else is highly unlikely to be able to please everyone - the
>> >> objective needs to be to work with the different interest groups
>> >> towards compromises that allow us to get to something that at least
>> >> a significant majority can accept and say "I can live with that."
>> >> If I become a member of the ARRL BoD I would work with all of the
>> >> interested parties in an effort to forge that sort of result.
>> >
>> > With all due respect, that's what everybody says. The trouble is
>> > with the specifics. You've given us some good specifics, like
>> > support of a 'reasonable' subband for Morse Code only, and a similar
>> > 'reasonable' subband for 'robots'.
>> > The devil is in "what's reasonable"?
>>
>> The way I see it there's probably no way to please everyone 100%.
>
> That's a given.
>>
>> Therefore, I think the solution is to work with all of the interested
>> "camps" to forge a compromise that at least a significant
>> majority can accept.
>> The optimum balance is probably something that will
>> result in all of the
>> "camps" being able to say "It's not perfect in my
>> ideal world, but I can
>> accept it and 'sign up' to support it."
>>
> Definition of "consensus".
>
> However the specifics are where the arguing will be.
>
>> I think the suggestion from the CW folks for a modest

>> "CW only" segement at
>> the bottom of the band is reasonable and would ease a lot of concerns
>> about getting "squeezed out of existence."
>
> Yup.
>
> Would also tend to gather up the activity rather than spread it out.
>
>> I think that the proposal that some have made to "repurpose"
>> the "refarming"
>> of the novice bands to provide a "digital playground" for the
>> experimenters who want to develop, test, and operate the higher speed,
>> more robust digital
>> modes that the emergency management agencies want is also something
>> that merits consideration.
>
> There was an ARRL proposal some time back to "refarm" the Novice bands
> - which was just a slick way of saying "use them for SSB". Some of us
> (including both you and me, IIRC) commented that a better use would be
> to create that "digital playground", where all modes except analog
> voice/image would be allowed - with primary priority to digital modes
> not allowed elsewhere.
>
>> I agree that "robots" should not be allowed to take over the bands at
>> the expense of all of the other modes.
>
> Or even *any* other modes.
>>
>> All of this would require some degree of compromise, but I
>> think that's what
>> will be required to formulate something that gains widespread
>> acceptance instead of massive resistance.
>
> Key question: Will the digital playground include the robots?
>
> Biggest problem: Convincing FCC to accept moving the Novices and Tech
> Pluses down into the "General" part of the band.
>
> For example, suppose 80 were "refarmed" like this:
>
> 3500-3575: Morse Code only
> 3575-3675: Digital and Morse Code, bandwidth less than 1000 Hz
> 3675-3725: "Digital playground" - all documented digital modes
> (including Morse Code) regardless of bandwidth.
>
> Extras have the whole band
> Generals and Advanceds have all but 3500-3525
> Novices and Tech Pluses have 3525-3575
>>
>> >> In addition to significantly improving the

>> >> general level of technical knowledge and skill of hams,
>> >
>> > That was a prime reason for "incentive licensing" 40 years ago!
>>
>> I'm talking about improved educational programs ...
>> it's clear that
>> "incentive licensing" created a huge schysm in
>> the amateur community and hasn't really worked.
>
> I think the big problem was that the causes of the apparent
> problems were misunderstood.
>
> There was a time when, to be a ham with an effective station, you
> needed to a pretty good mix of technical knowledge, skill, and other
> resources. There wasn't much manufactured equipment for hams, and what
> did exist was very expensive by the average incomes of the day.
>
> And what hams used not only had to be inexpensive, it had to be usable
> without a lot of test equipment.
>
> Then as technology, manufacturing and affluence advanced, more and more
> hams simply bought their equipment. And as the reliability improved,
> and operation simplified, the need to know how it all worked went down.
> And those who were less technically inclined found it easier to be
> hams.
>
> For quite some years now we've had rigs that require almost no
> technical knowledge to operate. No tune-up, no critical adjustments,
> self-protected against many operating errors. And so complex that most
> *professionals* wouldn't try to build one or even fix one without a lot
> of specialized test gear and information.
>
> Incentive licensing couldn't reverse that trend. How will voluntary
> education programs do it if the hams themselves don't want it?
>
> And remember all those arguments used against the Morse Code test? Most
> of them can be used against the written tests as well, particularly the
> General and Extra writtens.
>
>>(I think part of the
>> problem was linking increased
>> voice frequency privileges to the totally unrelated Morse test
>
> The original ARRL proposal would have only required a written test.
>
> Remember too that at the time (1960s) there was a real need for Morse
> Code proficient radio operators.
>
> But most of all, consider that for the unrelated privileges of the
> bottom of four HF bands, Generals had to pass *two* written exams.

>
>> and the other
>> part was that it created in too many people's minds the idea
>> that the
>> license meant you "knew all there was to know" - thereby
>> removing the
>> motivation to progress even further.)
>
> Nope.
>
> Long before incentive licensing, there were hams who thought that
> because they passed the test they were fully qualified. I recall hams
> who, when they passed the General, would sell their Novice setup, buy a
> manufactured transceiver, give away their Handbooks and other
> materials, and consider themselves "done" with the serious learning of
> radio.
>>
>> >> growing our numbers (both licensees and ARRL
>> >> members), protecting our spectrum, and getting more people trained
>> >> for and involved in emergency communications, one of the MOST
>> >> pressing problems we face is to reverse the trend of
>> >> "compartmentalizing" ourselves into "factions" whose whole world
>> >> revolves around one mode or one activity, because the resulting
>> >> "turf wars," suspicion/mistrust/paranoia, in-fighting, and attacks
>> >> on each other divide us in ways that both are bad for the ARS as
>> >> it's seen externally and bad for the ARS internally as we get along
>> >> with (or don't) each other.
>> >
>> >> We should ALL be "hams" (period) and work together cooperatively
>> >> and constructively going forward into the future on the truly
>> >> important issues facing ham radio and the ARRL.
>> >
>> > The trouble is that ham radio covers such a wide range of activities
>> > that there's trouble finding common ground in some cases.
>>
>> The common ground should be that we're all hams - with
>> recognition that
>> different people have different operating interests and
>> cooperating instead
>> of always being so defensive and turf-war oriented.
>
> Agreed!
>>
>> > For example, you have folks who want to use
>> > equipment and modes that are
>> > decades old, and folks who think anything
>> > less than their concept of
>> > SOTA is "obsolete". Folks who want more room for SSB
>> > (and even "hi-fi
>> > SSB") and folks who want more room for digital.

>> > Folks who don't even
>> > have a computer in the shack and folks who never
>> > actually listen to a
>> > signal (they watch it on the waterfall display).
>> >
>> > Appliance ops and homebrew-from-scratch folks.
>> > DXers, contesters,
>> > ragchewers, emcomm folks. Those who are stuck with
>> > compromise and
>> > stealth antennas and those with tons of aluminum aloft.
>> >
>> > How do you get all those folks to see that there is
>> > value in what each of them brings to the table?
>>
>> Education, encouragement, and, in severe cases, peer pressure
>>(through the
>> clubs is one way) to "play nicer together."
>>
>> >> ALL hams should treat each other with
>> >> respect and courtesy, regardless of license class or operating
>> >> preferences. Experienced hams need to welcome new hams with the
>> >> spirit of patience and helpfulness that "Elmering" embodies, rather
>> >> than treating them as some inferior form of life.
>> >
>> > As mentioned before - that goes both ways.
>>
>> That's true ... newbies shouldn't "cop an attitude" and neither >
>> should OTs.
>
> Works for me!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Yhr FCC has already agreed to let the Novices and Tech plusses use the
General frequencies for CW. It's mentionned in passing in the NPRM.
However, I think they do envisage simply turning over an equivalent amount
of spectrum to phone, that is to say equivalent to the size of the current
Novice CW subbands.

# 2848. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 10:21:02 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrndgoiat.6sp.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 117199

In article <Xns96BC343D88DC5elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>,
Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> That's exactly the problem. Until each country gets the additional 7100-
> 7200 spectrum, truly random phone QSOs remain impossible. If someone over
> there calls CQ and doesn't listen up, they get to work any country they
> want bar one, the USA, so why should 90% of them listen up? Ergo, most of
> them don't.

Not only that, but for many of us "listening up" is worthless. 7100 up
and 3850 up are full of broadcast stations, often several on the same
frequency. There is no place to listen up.

The only chance I have of a voice QSO with the U.S. is on 20m just before
and after sunset. Since that's currently around noon on the east coast,
there aren't a lot of people listening.

When the sunspots are with me, I can pick up 10m repeaters with an
HT. I've never been able to work one with it.

73,

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (077)-424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Support the growing boycott of Google by radio users and hobbyists.
It's starting to work, Yahoo has surpassed Google.

# 2849. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 11:20:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124882454.552278.192230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117300

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
> Yhr FCC has already agreed to let the Novices and Tech plusses > use the General frequencies for CW.

Where?

> It's mentionned in passing in the NPRM.
> However, I think they do envisage simply turning over an
> equivalent amount
> of spectrum to phone, that is to say equivalent to the size of > the current Novice CW subbands.

Not in NPRM 05-235. I just reread it - they specifically deny almost everything that is mentioned. Changes to the test process, new license classes, more license classes, fewer license classes, more privileges, free upgrades, and much more, are all discussed and specifically *denied* by FCC. FCC mentions several times that if Novices, Technicians, and Technician Pluses want more privileges, all they will have to do is pass one or two written tests. They even mention that all Tech Pluses and Novices have to do *right now* to get lots more privileges is to take those written tests.

After all the discussion, the *only* proposed change is to eliminate Element 1. No other changes are proposed by FCC.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2850. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 11:21:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Je2dnaaFk56gxZHeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 117301

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96BC3ADB5C154elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1124847115.003006.228850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1124836599.836200.237520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>
>
> Yhr FCC has already agreed to let the Novices and Tech plusses use the
> General frequencies for CW. It's mentionned in passing in the NPRM.
> However, I think they do envisage simply turning over an equivalent amount
> of spectrum to phone, that is to say equivalent to the size of the current
> Novice CW subbands.

No that is not what the FCC has agreed to as of yet. They mention that there is another proposal out there to do this but they have not acted on it. There wording does seem to indicate that they favor the change though. It's not a really hot issue as there are very few active Novices and Tech Plus amateurs out there. Most of the active ones have upgraded and it's irrelevant to the inactive ones. Hopefully it will encourage them to become more active since there is much more activity in the General portion of the band.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2851. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 11:22:41 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <aMYOe.1075$9X.216@fe09.lga>
Source record: 117302

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96BC32D5516B8elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in
> news:wEEOe.928$9X.669@fe09.lga:
>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
>>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
>>> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
>>>>> a few countries.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters
>>>> where I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000 and
>>>> stretches upward for 100KHz.
>>>>
>>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Not true for phone ops though, is it?
>>
>> "Learn it... Earn it." That spectrum has always been available to all
>> willing to upgrade their ticket.
>>
>
> You just don't get it, do you? I'm alreadt an Extra and I passed 20 wpm. I
> want to talk to people, not beep at them!

I "get it" just fine, Alun. I just don't agree with it. I'm quite aware that you're already an Extra and passed Element 1C, however, there is plenty of spectrum where one can "talk."

The bottom of the bands is a place to "beep" and is quite often the only place where some pretty rare DX shows up. It's sad enough that the FCC

appears willing to acquiesce to the spirit of underachievement. I certainly don't want to have the bottom of the bands QRM'd with phone transmissions just because those who celebrate this underachievement want more RF real estate to "talk."

Those who have had a phone QSO pop up on top of their CW QSO on or about 7040 late at night may understand this a little better.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

# 2852. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 12:59:26 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050824125926.5A78317142@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 117303

In article <1124846847.896966.213510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Stebie has an Extra. He's also said his nine-year-old can
> pass it. Doesn't say much about the mentality or maturity
> required to pass an amateur test.

And you still can't pass it.

> Amateur radio is NOT on par with aircraft flying, not in any
> respect.

And still, you are either too stupid or lazy or both to be able to even pass
the beginning ham radio license class test. So much for your "commercial radio"
psuedo-elitist claptrap you just love to spout.
And your excuse of "I don't need it", doesn't fly, Wrongway Anderson.

# 2853. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 13:10:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124889058.862477.69080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117304

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
> > > > > your claims seriously?
> > > >
> > > > What BS?
> > >
> > > you bs
> >
> > What BS?
> >
> > (And that should have been "Your BS.", Markie)
>
> It is your BS?

The proper grammatical structure was, Markie...Not that being
"proper" is important to you.

> you claim Michigan would do something.

According to them, they are.

> It will not

Ooops. Wrong again.

> so you are lying and bsing when you claim it will

Nope.

> so how long you going to keep it up

It's not "mine" to "keep up", Markie.

> > > cuting the spelling cop

"cutting"

> > > when you going to admit you are full of #### in claiming Michigan is
> > > going to do something on your say so
> >
> more bs

You censor out what I said but "respond" to it...

Coward.

> you have leid and said they are investagting

"lied" "investigating"

> a letter form out of state they laughed and threw it away

"from"

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2854. Another Newsgroup Liar and Deceiver: Frank Gilliland (Subtitled: "Someone Call NASA...We've Got One Of Thier Space Cdets Here!")

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 13:18:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124889513.910600.64690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117305

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> > Stebie has an Extra. He's also said his nine-year-old can
> > pass it. Doesn't say much about the mentality or maturity
> > required to pass an amateur test.
>
>
> What makes you think he's even a ham? It's clear that the person
> claiming to be K4YZ in this newsgroup isn't Gunny Robeson. If the
> -real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
> military history -and- his amateur status. From now on I'm going to
> call him "Dudly" just to make the distinction between the real K4YZ
> and the newsgroup imposter. (The NIS should have fun with this guy!)

Be my guest, Liar Frank. Call NIS. Give them my QRZ address and
allow them to knock on my front door.

What's a "Frank Gilliland"...?!?! He not an Amateur, and other
than seeminly being able to cite the unit histories of some USMC units,
is unlikely a fellow Marine.

He appears from nowhere, enters an Amateur Radio newsgroup for no
other purpose than trying to antagonize one person on behalf of persons
who are themselves documented liars and deceivers.

The company he keeps should be enough.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2855. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie PupeetBoy?...Twins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 13:28:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124890094.682955.198180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117306

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 22 Aug 2005 07:35:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124721329.929694.137340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> >> And all your ranting has done nothing to resolve the topic of this
> >> discussion. If you can think of anything that would make me believe
> >> that you were in the USMC then feel free to speak up. Otherwise, it
> >> looks like you are just stalling -- making excuses, obfuscating,
> >> changing topics -- in hopes that I'll give up and leave.
> >
> > Oh no, don't leave, "Frank"...
>
> Where did I say I was going to leave? I didn't. It was just wishful
> thinking on your behalf.

I guess "sarcasm" is a concept beyond you...

> > It just makes the LennieRants more colorful.
> >
> > Lesssee...You claim I've given you nothing but vague information,
> >yet you refuse to e mail me directly and get "the details"...
>
> All I was looking for was a simple tidbit of info that only a Marine
> would know, but that seems to be beyond your capabilities. But it
> doesn't matter anymore..... see my other post.

Why? It's just as deceitful as these...

> > Furthermore, I've given you MORE than enough to verify my duty
> >without giving you my SSAN to do it with.
>
> I don't need your SSN, nor did I ask for it. What's your obsession
> with your SSn?

It's not MY obsession, "Frank"...It's everyone elses...

I geive everything EXCEPT my service number and everyone else says
taht without that I could be "lying" since noting "precise" can be

> > You demonstrate lapses in proper USMC etiquette
>
> .....say WHAT?

You heard me ClancyBoy.

A fellow Marine would take another's "back" (or at least taken the
time to get some verifyable FACTS) until something had been

"PROVEN"...You're just another USENET antagonist...Harrassing to
harrass.

> > yet try and "dis"
> >my service just because I won't do a LennieRant and give a complete CV
> >of my service here.
>
> I don't seem to recall asking for any long-winded rant. Just any bit
> of information I can use to verify your claim.

And I've given it.

Markie himself has even re-quoted other information.

You CLAIM to have all of these great resources at your disposal,
but seem painfully inept at using them.

> > You were in an AAV unit so of course you know
> >EVERYONE who ever served, including a guy who's service ended 13 years
> >ago.
>
> Yep, you're right..... I bypassed boot camp, went straight to AAVs,
> stayed there until my discharge, and never spoke to anyone outside the
> unit. Sure thing, Steve.

WHERE did I say THAT, "Frank"...???

> You twist the facts almost as bad as Bush.

So far you've not presented any...But in the very paragraph
receding this one you do some pretty colorful twisting of your own.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2856. Re: NPR "Morning Addition" article paints BPL as rosy solution for rural broadband.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 14:21:10 UTC
From: rwitmer@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <1124893269.997812.163010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117307

> You can listen to the stream at:
> http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4801446

I found the transcript:

Analyis: Utilities look to new broadband over power lines

16 August 2005
NPR: Morning Edition

STEVE INSKEEP, host:

There's a new broadband technology spreading across the United States called broadband over power lines or BPL. It uses the utility's electric power lines to deliver a high-speed Internet access into a home or business. And because your house is already wired for electricity, it turns every outlet into a connection point. NPR's Wade Goodwyn traveled to one small town that's betting on that technology's future.

WADE GOODWYN reporting:

Halfway between Houston, San Antonio and Austin is the town of Flatonia. Population: 1,400.

(Soundbite of train)

GOODWYN: Flatonia happens to be where the Union Pacific's north-south line crosses the railroad company's east-west line. A lot of trains come through Flatonia, and to its amazement, train buffs have started showing up to watch. So the town built a covered observation platform.

(Soundbite of train)

GOODWYN: Flatonia's got many things. There's a huge kitty litter factory, an even bigger cow main egg processing plant(ph), but one thing it could not seem to get no matter how it begged was broadband service.

Mayor LORI BERGER (Flatonia, Texas): We want to offer our citizens--just because we're rural doesn't mean they're not entitled to the same thing everybody has in Austin, Houston and San Antonio.

GOODWYN: Lori Berger grew up in Flatonia and now she's the mayor. Berger says that having high-speed Internet is critical to the town's future and she's betting $200,000 of taxpayer money on

broadband over power lines technology. And a big bonus comes with her purchase because, in addition to high-speed Internet access, BPL will give a municipal-owned utility powerful new capabilities.

Mayor BERGER: We would be able to read meters, water meters and electric meters, through the system, and we'd know if there was a power outage exactly where it was.

GOODWYN: Broadband over power lines technology turns the utility's electric lines into a data network. So say if a transformer explodes during a lightning storm, instead of sending trucks out into the dark in a search mission, BPL software can pinpoint the blown transformer. The utility operator can see on a computer screen precisely how many customers lost service and who exactly those customers are. Berger says this will be a major improvement over the way Flatonia used to operate.

Mayor BERGER: We had a power outage about three months ago in the evening, and I realized it was going on. So I came up to City Hall to answer phones. So we sat here for two hours and answered phones, trying to figure out exactly what line was down.

GOODWYN: Although city officials are excited about remote meter reading and fantasizing how fast their reaction is going to be the next time a big thunderstorm knocks out the electricity, it's the new broadband connections that Flatonians are happy about.

Ms. CARLENE CARLOCK(ph): It's new for me.

GOODWYN: Carlene Carlock doesn't look like a great-grandmother as she spritely moves around her antique shop, but her granddaughters have been getting busy, sending her pictures of her new great-grandchildren from locations far and wide.

Ms. CARLOCK: They're in Germany, in California, and my granddaughters, they e-mail me pictures of them. She was just born, my last. And within a day, I had pictures of her. So, you know, it's pretty important when your great-grandchildren are that far away, and I get pictures every week.

GOODWYN: Until two weeks ago, Carlock had to endure up to two hours of frustration on her dial-up connection every time she'd download a picture file.

Ms. CARLOCK: It was horrible. That doesn't happen anymore. I mean, it just comes through real fast. Just blip, blip and it's there.

GOODWYN: BPL is pretty fast, four megabytes, comparable to cable broadband. But the new generation of BPL equipment, just now coming out, will boost speeds up to 90 megabytes, capable of video on demand. Mike Bates is the co-founder of Broadband Horizons, which is setting up the system in Flatonia. Bates says that BPL is perfect for small-town America, especially towns which own their own

utilities.

Mr. MIKE BATES (Co-Founder, Broadband Horizons): With broadband over power line, the real benefit is that they then can control their own destiny. They can use what they already own, this utility asset, and transform the asset and provide broadband in every home via their electric outlets. So it's--that's what the promise is for these communities.

GOODWYN: Bates sells the town the equipment, maintains it and then splits the $25 monthly service fee each customer pays. It's all pretty new. Word is just getting out in Texas, but there's one groups that wary, and that's ham radio operators. Ed Hare is the laboratory manager at the American Radio Relay League, the national organization of ham radio operators.

Mr. ED HARE (Laboratory Manager, American Radio Relay League): BPL that operates at the FCC limits can and does cause strong local interference problems on any spectrum it's using.

GOODWYN: But BPL operators say they've come up with a technological fix. It's called notching, and it notches out of the BPL signal that part of the spectrum that amateur radio operators use. Mike Bates says he's currently working with a dozen Texas small towns and one rural electric cooperative in Kentucky, setting up their new BPL systems. Like Wal-Mart before him, Bates is betting small-town America is hungry for what he's selling. Only this time it's broadband over power lines at everyday low prices.

Wade Goodwyn, NPR News.

# 2857. Re: Markie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 14:56:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124895411.056515.324130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117308

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> > > > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > > > victum that decides what is a threat
> > >
> > break
> > > No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
> > > Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
> > > could say that's a "threat"
> >
> > nope the court makes a final judgement but for example as long as
> > someone could reasonably take something as a threat like one shot one
> > kill.. then the intent of the speacker doesn't matter

"speaker"

Sure the intent matters. That's why there are courts, Markie.

And remember...they are going to have to consider whether or not
you were a "mutual combatant" by inviting such comments with your
"...is that your best shot" comment.

Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2858. Summary Of Mark Morgan Deceit...Why Does He Highlight His Silliness This Way?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 15:08:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124896097.863825.23290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117309

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> on 22 aug stevie did number of things

Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.

Mark, I guess you think this stuff happens in a vaccuum and that
no one else but you and I read it (although I doubt many do...)

WHY do you insist on publishing not one but SEVEN absolutely
UNTRUE and DECEITFUL comments in ONE post...?!?!

> He admitted to receivng a mental discharge

Nope. Not a single word from me of any such.

Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> He admited then deined, then admited to it again, receiving a disablity
> pension, just as a lump sum payment

I never "admitted" any such thing.

Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> he got caught not knowing the mahor peice of equipment he would have
> been working with as a marine (prehaps an understandable lapse in view
> of the mental discharge)

"major" "piece" "Marine"

"...got caught not knowing the "mahor peice" of equipment..."

There's a blatant lie.

Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> He admitted to lying to NG about his rants over the years about lying

"He admitted to lying..."

A Mark Morgan lie in and of itself. You've outdone yourself this
time, Markie!

Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> he therefore also admitted to lying and/or decieving the state of
> Michigan

And yet ANOTHER out-and-out bit of Mark Morgan deceit!

I've "admitted" no such thing!

Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> he tired dening making his death threats

"tried" "denying"

I haven't made any death threats to "deny".

> He got found out on his libel issues, (he still denies then but
> admitted to geting his access terminated)

"getting"

Had WHAT "access terminated", Markie? AOL took a webpage down.
They didn't sanction so much as one second of my access to the web.

> a Busy day for stevie boy

Lie upon lie from Mark Morgan. I'd dare you to actually PROVE any
of those "admissions", however we both know they are NOT there.

You really ARE the creep I claim!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2859. Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 16:33:08 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1124901188.161752.187850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117310

Dave Heil wrote:

> 
> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
> 

I was a tad surprised to see his candidacy announced, based on his somewhat negative casting of ARRL in the past. As, when I was ARRL Dakota Division Vice Director, and he sent this request to me..... "Now why don't you do us all a favor and take your lying, stagnated, ARRL apologist ass out of here ..."

Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

# 2860. Summary Of Mark Morgan Deceit...Why Does He Highlight His Silliness This Way?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 16:58:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124902734.822479.125360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117311

nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> Stevie has taken 2 rounds of posting and not bothered to condict the
> scorecard in any of his posts

By the way, Markie...

I find it more than a bit amusing that you have, on numerous occassions, "accused" me of "hanging on (your) every word", ready to "pounce" at each and every post.

However at each and every time I have demonstrated that I DON'T hang on your "every word", you "show out" like a three year old that can't get daddy's attention to buy him a candy bar at the five-and-dime.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2861. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 18:20:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JT2Pe.1729$z2.1396@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117312

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
>>at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
>>seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>>
>
>
> I was a tad surprised to see his candidacy announced, based on his
> somewhat negative casting of ARRL in the past. As, when I was ARRL
> Dakota Division Vice Director, and he sent this request to me..... "Now
> why don't you do us all a favor and take your lying, stagnated, ARRL
> apologist ass out of here ..."
>
> Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.

There's a distinct possibility that Carl is a new person. We'll find out through the passage of the time leading up to the election. All it would take to sink his boat would be a single "Howard Dean Moment" in responding to a hot button issue.

I was referred to as a jackbooted thug, a Nazi and worse by him in the past. We were all treated to "beeper", "jumping through hoops", "hazing ritual", "electronic paintball wars" and the like. I have to admit though, that Carl's response to my recent posting of a few of his past quotes was quite diplomatic in tone. I understand that Carl is actively campaigning by visiting Atlantic Division clubs. If the leopard hasn't changed his spots, it would be nearly impossible for him to keep up a false front under, what is certain to be, intense questioning from some.

The membership of the Atlantic Division will have a chance to learn about the views of the three candidates and that they'll make the best choice from the information available to them.

Dave K8MN

# 2862. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie PupeetBoy?...Twins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 18:40:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fbfpg1pj28dej61stcv64aets4hqiiehee@4ax.com>
Source record: 117313

On 24 Aug 2005 06:28:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124890094.682955.198180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snipola>

I'm not going to engage you in a flame war, Dudly. You can continue
your little charade as long as you want, I really don't care since
you've been fully exposed as a USMC imposter -- in fact, you exposed
yourself. Get some therapy before you go off the deep end.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2863. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 20:27:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ilqlun2.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 117314

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 10:21:02 +0000 (UTC), Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:

>The only chance I have of a voice QSO with the U.S. is on 20m just before
>and after sunset. Since that's currently around noon on the east coast,
>there aren't a lot of people listening.

When I was living in Ramat Gan, that was the schedule that I maintained, two sunspot cycles ago (38 years or so). 15 and 20 meters. The US was a double hop away, and the Tel Aviv - NY commercial HF radiotelephone circuit (pre-underseas cable, pre-satellite) was the worst one we had. We had a better chance Tel Aviv - Paris or Tel Aviv - London and then on TAT-5 to New York. I was involved in the upgrade negotiations, but the Tel Aviv - Marseilles cable and eventually the IntelSat circuits came on line several years after I left.

No sweat - the folks whom I communicated with on 20 and 15 meters were available. I made the first legal ham phone patch between 4X4 and the US in 1965, and had to beat the Germans and Russian stations off with a stick!!

"Ah, for the good old days..."

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane (4X4UQ)

# 2864. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie PuppetBoy?...Twins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 21:17:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124918235.641636.295110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117315

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2005 06:28:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124890094.682955.198180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snipola>
>
> I'm not going to engage you in a flame war, Dudly.

Wise decision, PutzBoy.

> You can continue
> your little charade as long as you want, I really don't care since
> you've been fully exposed as a USMC imposter -- in fact, you exposed
> yourself. Get some therapy before you go off the deep end.

And you've exposed YOURself as a liar, an antagonist and unworthy
of even the most cautious of trust.

More than adequate resources to verify my service in the Marines
has been provided. You claim to have resources "beyond (my)
understanding". You claim >>I<< give only "vague" references, yet you
can't seem to make anything "happen" with that data other than to make
more noise in here.

You have nothing.

Shove off, Putz. Ollie's calling. Say's you're double parked.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2865. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 21:39:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124919575.298712.79380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117316

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

As of 23 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1885

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 94

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 507 28.31%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1025 57.23%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 259 14.46%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 23 Aug 05 that would be 1791.

As of 24 Aug 05, there still hasn't been any notice in the
Federal Register under Federal Communications Commission on
the NPRM FCC 05-143.

In the 20 Comments received on 23 Aug 05, 10 were against, only
6 were for the NPRM. This, the 25th day of Comments, is the
first time the Against Comments were higher than For Comments.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

not for
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2866. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 21:44:41 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124919881.020440.161050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117317

From: Frank Gilliland on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:18

>On 23 Aug 2005 18:27:27 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in

><snip>
>> Author and screenwriter Ernest K. Gann's semi-autobiography
>> "Fate Is The Hunter" described how a guy faked his way into
>> being #3 (seniority) pilot on a new airline starting up between
>> San Francisco and Hawaii around the 1950s (Gann was #2 and an
>> airline pilot since before WW2).* This fake had "self-taught"
>> himself how to fly while in the State Department during WW2
>> going to and from South America. He's faked his way into
>> this new airline but had NO time on four-motor aircraft nor
>> could he fly well with the autopilot off. After an FAA
>> check-ride resulted in such a poor demo, the FAA found out
>> he had NEVER gotten an Air Transport rating! Fake went bye-
>> bye but later got onto another beginning airline doing the
>> same thing...but killing himself and passengers.
>
>How does that saying go..... "fake it till you make it". Apparently he
>didn't make it.

Sometimes an imposter can hang around a long time if he's got
a knack of diverting attention from himself to others. That
is what Dudly does in here.

For a while, Dudly was "communications officer" of a
Tennessee State Guard unit with a "title" of "1st Lieutenant."
[TN State Guard is NOT affiliated with federal National Guard]
Had his picture in the State Guard web pages. For a while.
Then it wasn't there anymore. Stebie claimed he "had to move"
and other things. But he quit mentioning his "commission"
soon afterwards. Sound suspicious? :-)

There's Dudly's famous foray into civilian electronics
"engineering" for a few months...as a Purchasing Agent. A
Purchasing Agent just doesn't DO a single bit of engineering
yet Dudly could "tell us all about it from his 'experience.'"
Didn't last a half year. Even then he would get confused on
which "division" he was in. Why so SHORT an employment?

Perhaps Dudly really has a private pilot's license. But,
having once been keen on private flying as a fun thing to do,
and then being around aviation while engaged in designing
civilian and military avionics, I've NEVER heard a private

pilot refer to themselves as "pilot in command!" That's a
USAF thing for high-rankers entitled to wear wings with the
laurel wreath around the star above the shield on their wings.
He is in a TN CAP unit "flying missions" involving search-and-
rescue. Ahem. Like there's a LOT of flying around TN? :-)

Dudly LOVES uniforms. On himself. Scrubs, cammies, or a
one-piece "flight suit." Doesn't matter...as long as it
makes him look IMPORTANT. He be a "somebody."

That "somebody-ness" is not unusual in the hobby of amateur
radio. Licensees are "federally-authorized" and they have to
pass a TEST! Some consider themselves VITAL to the nation
in emergencies when "all else fails" and they can save the
day by heroic morsemanship...the only mode that gets through!
[geez]

>> * In the book, not in the shortened black-and-white movie of
>> the same title name. It's in Chapter 16 (or "XVI" as typeset),
>> character name given as "Dudley."
>
>That might be a good nickname for Steve -- "Dudly the Dud", or maybe
>"Dudly Didn't-Do-Right".....

You've got it. I opt for just "Dudly."

>>> Too bad cause he's obviously a smart guy -- just really
>>>screwed up.
>
>> Stebie has an Extra. He's also said his nine-year-old can
>> pass it. Doesn't say much about the mentality or maturity
>> required to pass an amateur test.
>
>What makes you think he's even a ham? It's clear that the person
>claiming to be K4YZ in this newsgroup isn't Gunny Robeson.

Well, there IS a Steven James Robeson in the FCC database for
amateur radio licensees under granted callsign of K4YZ. From
there on we have NO evidence to support Dudly's claims of USMC
service other than his "word."

There IS a registry with the state of Tennessee for a Licensed
Practical Nurse (LPN) with the name Steven James Robeson. Not
for an RN (Registered Nurse) as would be common in California
for ER (Emergency Room) work. Agreed that there are differences
between states on medical organization/procedure but it is
UNLIKELY that "lower-ranking" nurses would be directly involved
with ER work. [I know some medical people in California,
including two MDs, who say that is unlikely] We don't know if
Dudly is employed right now or even where he is employed as an
LPN...not unusual since our "renowned historian of amateur lore"
in here refuses to give the name of his engineering employer.

> If the
>-real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
>military history -and- his amateur status.

Not an idle matter for military. They DO have rather complete
information on military personnel and agencies who can investigate
fully. For amateur radio it can be an idle matter since amateur
radio is essentially a hobby, a personal radio activity done for
recreation. Amateur radio is NOT a "vital service for the
nation" regardless of the fantasies of some individual hams.

Corroborating evidence would be old documents. Paper isn't as
ephemeral as electronic data but it can be faked. However, it
is fairly easy to see where old forms have been "touched up" to
insert other data. A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
in 50 years, for example...the major change being in that old
military records were typed in by manual typists...as were old
government forms such as license certificates. It is almost
IMPOSSIBLE to fake/substitute/distort old books and periodicals
that were printed in thousands of identical copies and
distributed to subscribers, libraries, and stores. Nearly all
old documents can be scanned, digitized for distribution as
proof of something...or xerocopied at a nickel a page.

> From now on I'm going to
>call him "Dudly" just to make the distinction between the real K4YZ
>and the newsgroup imposter. (The NIS should have fun with this guy!)

NIS? I'd suggest NIH (National Institute of Health). Nut case.

pea nut
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2867. Re: OT American Taliban decrees Fatwa ( now see THE VIDEO !! )

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 21:47:12 UTC
From: "Mr. Folley Catherer #4" <wheee404@channel4.com>
Message-ID: <bf8a$430ceafe$d1cc7a16$15696@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117319
Cross-posted to: alt.law.enforcement,alt.military,alt.politics.gw-bush,alt.politics.libertarian,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"m II" <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
news:MZQOe.236886$on1.201767@clgrps13...
> dxAce's pastor gets cheers from lunatic fringe.
>
> http://news.independent.co.uk/world/americas/article307946.ece
>
> ..and people wonder why 'Christianity' is getting a bad name. This
> activity is disgusting.
>
> mike

....BUT WAIT, DON'T GO AWAY YET FOLKS !!

Now, just for you and just in time for $5.00 per gallon
gasoline around Xmas comes:

- - USAMA'S VIDEO FATWAH - - (the movie!!!)

Staring Usama Bin Laden, George Bush Jr, Shiek Boyardee and
of course.... ALLAH's SEVENTY-TWO VESTAL VIRGINS !!!

"Probably the best news & music video I've seen come
out of Michigan in quite some time. I nearly s--t myself
with joy" ( - Iman Ramalmmadngdng Hassan Patel)
Propreitor: Exit 52 Exxon I-40 Dustbowl NV

Go here---> http://www.lamprey-systems.com/flix.shtml

When you get to the URL above, page down until you
see the clickable box for "Osama's Video Fatwah"

Click on it and a video box will open. AND ENJOY!

note: 49Mb Quicktime movie with stereo audio.
High Bandwith connections and headphones are
strongly reccomended for maximum enjoyment.

Praise da Laawd and Buy Premimum Unleaded !

# 2868. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie Phantom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 21:47:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124920046.956215.286200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117318

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 23 Aug 2005 18:19

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Sun 21 Aug 2005 20:33
>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >> On 21 Aug 2005 16:50:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >> You have already discussed your military service here so that excuse
>> >> is moot. Try again.
> >
>> > Nope.
> >
>> > As much as anyone else here, "Frank", you have more than enough
>> >information to validate my service, assuming your insinuations of
>> >intimacy with such notables as LtCol North are accurate.
>
>> "...insinuations of intimacy with..."? :-)
>
>Whenever Steve sees two mens names side by side, he see a love
>connection. Hope he never visits the Vietnam War Memorial.

...or comes within a klick of any group of BSA.

>> > As I have found with OTHERS here, even when you DO give specifics,
>> >the pat answer is "So, we just accept it because you say so?" , and
>> >it's "just one more thing" to add to the flame war. It doesn't matter
>> >how objective or reliable the corroborating source is.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. We all "must" accept Stebie's "word" on HIS
>> service, yet all he can do is shout "call the VA!" :-)
>
>> "It doesn't matter how objective or reliable the corroborating
>> source is..." if its against what Stebie said or if Stebie no
>> like it, it is a "LIE." :-)
>
>> Poor Stebie...he can't stand up to scrutiny and his "respone" is
>> to heap abuse on the personals of his challengers.
>
>Steve is finally getting the attention that he seeks.

It's a sick, sociopathic way of getting attention.

>> > I also notice you snipped the line where I suggested you e mail me
>> >directly and I'd provide more than enough information, off group. You
>> >didn't even take the time to answer it HERE, let alone privately.

>
>> Stebie, it takes as much time to write a single private e-mail
>> as it does to write a PUBLIC posting in here. Just write your
>> response IN PUBLIC if you want to be believed. That way anyone
>> can check it out as they wish. If it is verifiable, it will be
>> be believed. If it isn't verifiable, then you are just making
>> with a Confidence Game piece of BS.
>
>He can't. After his widespread claims of seven hostile actions, he'll
>never be able to show his records because they will prove that there
>were no hostile actions.

They will prove HE wasn't in them. Between 1974 and 1992 the USA
was involved in MORE than 7 hostile actions.

>> > This leads me to believe that it's most likely that if you did and
>> >I research the headers, we'll find some close relationship between you
>> >and one of the Infamous Four.
>
>> "...close relationship?!?" :-)
>
>Probably a love quadrangle.

Heh heh heh heh. I'll call up my friend, the independent writer,
to see if he wants to contribute another "news item" to the
National Enquirer. :-)

>> Anyone can "research headers" and find the ISP of a poster, and
>> that's about IT. :-)
>
>> Sorry, little Stebie, but nobody has hacked the IEEE website
>> for the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
>> professional association. I may be the only one with that
>> "header origin." I have NO "relationship" with Frank, with Mark
>> or with Brian Burke, or Todd, don't know them personally, haven't
>> met them, don't even live close to them (don't know where Frank
>> lives, but that is irrelevant). WE all SHARE a feeling that all
>> you do is lay down a Snow Job, A Con Game Scam, lots of BS, and
>> a bunch of other nefarious braggadoccio. <shrug>
>
>A hugely useless effort because none of it is credible.

Credible? It isn't even edible.

>> > Lastly, I find it curious (and certainly lends significant
>> >credence to doubting you and YOUR stories...) that you show up from no
>> >where in an Amateur Radio forum, CLAIM to be a former Marine with
>> >impeccable "references", yet have absolutely nothing to say ABOUT
>> >Amateur Radio policy.
>
>> Tell us how the USMC "career" of Stebie Robeson "affects amateur
>> radio policy?" :-)

>
>> Tell us how the Civil Air Patrol "pilot in command" experience
>> relates to amateur radio policy? :-)
>
>Tell us how being "A" NCOIC (or probably not being "A" NCOIC) of
>Okinawa MARS relates to amateur radio policy?

To quote: "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" :-)

>> > Add that to language in your posts that sounds hauntingly like
>> >Lennie Anderson and I'd say your accusations of fraud belong in your
>> >own lap.
>
>> Poor baby, feeling worried are you?
>
>Steve knows that Frank knows his game.

WE all know his "game" by now.

>> YOU just POST some verifiable REAL claims to your statements in
>> order to be believed. So far all you've done is shout "call the
>> VA!" [the VA will NOT divulge details unless one is a family
>> member or a reputable, verifiable personnel department of a
>> company or a verifiable agent of a police force]
>
>> You could digitize your DD214 and have it available for private
>> e-mail (this newsgroup doesn't carry binaries) to PROVE your
>> military release from active duty. It's only one page long.
>> But, you won't, claiming some "personal outrage" at having to
>> do such a thing! Oh, my. We are all supposed to BELIEVE you,
>> right? While everyone else not believing you LIES?" :-)
>
>I don't beleive him. Too much sewage under the bridge.

Well, we've now got a NEW name for the sewage: "Dudly."

Thanks to Frank for emphasizing that. Too bad that Ernest K.
Gann isn't around to write that up much better now.

sew age
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2869. Lennie Delves Into Another Multipage Rant, Shows Ignorance On Numerous Topics Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 22:10:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124921418.482365.46660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117320

LenIamAchronicLiarAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Just Here to Cover Lennie's back Gilliland on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:18

> >How does that saying go..... "fake it till you make it". Apparently he
> >didn't make it.

Wrong.

> For a while, Steve was "communications officer" of a
> Tennessee State Guard unit with a "title" of "1st Lieutenant."
> [TN State Guard is NOT affiliated with federal National Guard]
> Had his picture in the State Guard web pages. For a while.
> Then it wasn't there anymore. Stebie claimed he "had to move"
> and other things. But he quit mentioning his "commission"
> soon afterwards. Sound suspicious?

(1) The Tennessee State Guard (the counterpart of California's
own "State Military Reserve") IS affiliated with the Tennessee National
Guard. Tennessee State's website (under Military Department) refers.

(2) Move?

(3) I didn't continue my membership in the TNSG as they didn't
have an effective mission as opposed to the CAP.

> Perhaps Steve really has a private pilot's license.

The FAA's database is too complicated for you?

> But, having once been keen on private flying as a fun thing to do,
> and then being around aviation while engaged in designing
> civilian and military avionics, I've NEVER heard a private
> pilot refer to themselves as "pilot in command!"

Again, you're welcome to refer to the FAR's.

If you're the manipulator of the controls in a crewed aircraft or
are the sole aviator, you are the "pilot-in-command".

> That's a
> USAF thing for high-rankers entitled to wear wings with the
> laurel wreath around the star above the shield on their wings.

Nope.

That's a "Command Pilot."

Having never been in the USAF, I guess you wouldn't know the
difference...but then you don't let a lack of practical experience stop
you for a LOT of things, now do you, Lennie...?!?!

> He is in a TN CAP unit "flying missions" involving search-and-
> rescue. Ahem. Like there's a LOT of flying around TN?

As a matter of fact...there is.

AND disaster relief.

AND Homeland Defense.

AND communications support.

> Steve LOVES uniforms. On himself. Scrubs, cammies, or a
> one-piece "flight suit." Doesn't matter...as long as it
> makes him look IMPORTANT. He be a "somebody."

Yep...On many different levels.

Lennie, by his own admission, has only particpated in ONE act of
civil support at ANY time in his life.

The rest of his life has been spent harrassing and antagonizing
those who do.

> >What makes you think he's even a ham? It's clear that the person
> >claiming to be K4YZ in this newsgroup isn't Gunny Robeson.

Frank Gilliland is a liar. Or a fool. I am torn as to which might
be more appropriate. Maybe both?

> Well, there IS a Steven James Robeson in the FCC database for
> amateur radio licensees under granted callsign of K4YZ. From
> there on we have NO evidence to support Dudly's claims of USMC
> service other than his "word."

As much as we have YOUR "word" about your service in the Army in
1953.

> There IS a registry with the state of Tennessee for a Licensed
> Practical Nurse (LPN) with the name Steven James Robeson. Not
> for an RN (Registered Nurse) as would be common in California
> for ER (Emergency Room) work. Agreed that there are differences
> between states on medical organization/procedure but it is
> UNLIKELY that "lower-ranking" nurses would be directly involved
> with ER work.

Oh?

I used to WORK in hospitals in Southern California (Hoag Memorial,
Irvine Medical center) both of which employed LVN's (CA and TX use the
term LVN...The other 48 use "LPN")

> [I know some medical people in California,
> including two MDs, who say that is unlikely]

Unlikely in THIER facilites, perhaps.

Quite common elsewhere.

> > If the
> >-real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
> >military history -and- his amateur status.
>
> Not an idle matter for military. They DO have rather complete
> information on military personnel and agencies who can investigate
> fully.

Obviously not.

Neither of you seem to be able to utilize ANY system.

> pea nut
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Yes, you are, Lennie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2870. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 22:54:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <64tpg1p5ihuulediv0kbm48cmiberuapdt@4ax.com>
Source record: 117321

On 24 Aug 2005 14:44:41 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1124919881.020440.161050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Dudly LOVES uniforms. On himself. Scrubs, cammies, or a
> one-piece "flight suit." Doesn't matter...as long as it
> makes him look IMPORTANT. He be a "somebody."

Classic narcissism -- he thinks the uniform will command the respect
he thinks he deserves. And by looking through a few of his posts it
looks like he has a 'wannabe-hero' complex as well. But I really think
this newsgroup facade is just the tip of the iceberg. How much you
wanna bet he has a Crown Victoria with door-mounted spotlights and a
light bar in the trunk?

<snip>
>> If the
>>-real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
>>military history -and- his amateur status.
>
> Not an idle matter for military.

Certainly not. The Master Gunny I called up the other day was really
adamant about checking out Dudly's story.

<snip>
> A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
> in 50 years,

While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the
public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.

> for example...the major change being in that old
> military records were typed in by manual typists...as were old
> government forms such as license certificates. It is almost
> IMPOSSIBLE to fake/substitute/distort old books and periodicals
> that were printed in thousands of identical copies and
> distributed to subscribers, libraries, and stores. Nearly all

> old documents can be scanned, digitized for distribution as
> proof of something...or xerocopied at a nickel a page.

I have a microfiche copy of both my SRB and medical records. They were
sent to me after my final discharge. It's pretty hard to retouch a
hundred or so pages crammed onto a 4' x 5' negative.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2871. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 22:55:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kV6Pe.1417$FW1.308@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117322

You know better than that John Smith. Quit trying to blow smoke up
someone's posterior.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.24.03.06.22.505182@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
> doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do
> not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most
> likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...
>
> John
>
>
> On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all the
>> CB
>> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with HAM
>> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>>
>> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn something
>> from those that have been there and done it.
>>
>> SEE?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124749825.609150.213720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>> AOF:
>>>>
>>>> I most strongly suspect:
>>>>
>>>> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
>>>> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on
>>>> the
>>>> newbies! <grin>
>>>>
>>>> ... what say you?

>>>
>>> In honesty I don't think Dan knows what dan means on that one
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >
>>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> >> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>>> >> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>> >> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>> >> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate
>>>> >> >> and
>>>> >> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it
>>>> >> >> is.
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of
>>>> >> > the
>>>> >> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class
>>>> >> > license."
>>>> >> > or "I
>>>> >> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They
>>>> >> > SHOULD
>>>> >> > be
>>>> >> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
>>>> >> > personal
>>>> >> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
>>>> >> > want
>>>> >> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them
>>>> >> > like
>>>> >> > dirt.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > 73,
>>>> >> > Carl - wk3c
>>>> >> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>> >> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>>> >> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers

>>>> >> need an
>>>> >> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>>> >
>>>> > Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>

## 2872. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 22:55:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_V6Pe.1418$FW1.980@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117323

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124862241.288336.187090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>

<plonk>

# 2873. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 22:57:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IX6Pe.1420$FW1.865@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117324

Good, were even, next.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.24.03.02.01.142525@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> Strange, that is what I was thinking about you--and put to text... you
> did manage to sum it up with few words...
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 23:59:39 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> I say you full of yourself John.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.22.22.06.22.708007@gmail.com...
>>> AOF:
>>>
>>> I most strongly suspect:
>>>
>>> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
>>> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on
>>> the
>>> newbies! <grin>
>>>
>>> ... what say you?
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>>> >
>>>>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate and
>>>>> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it is.

>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>>> >
>>>>> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of
>>>>> > the
>>>>> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class license."
>>>>> > or
>>>>> > "I
>>>>> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They SHOULD
>>>>> > be
>>>>> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
>>>>> > personal
>>>>> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
>>>>> > want
>>>>> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them
>>>>> > like
>>>>> > dirt.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>>> >
>>>>> > 73,
>>>>> > Carl - wk3c
>>>>> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>>>> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
>>>>> need an
>>>>> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>>>
>>>> Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>>>>>
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>

# 2874. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 22:58:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nY6Pe.1422$FW1.615@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117325

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1124861828.557145.52790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
<plonk>

# 2875. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:03:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <017Pe.1427$FW1.235@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117326

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124843740.949464.147630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124769842.672523.126990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: John Smith on Aug 22, 3:22 pm
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>Dan:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>What is "good for amateur radio" has to be "what is good for the
>> >> >>people",
>> >> >>and NOT "what is good for my klick."
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > No, John, it IS for their clique...except they can't see anything
>> >> > but their clique as being "amateur radio."
>> >>
>> >> You have a point, Len. There is an amateur radio clique. Those who
>> >> are
>> >> radio amateurs are a part of it. You aren't.
>> >
>> > More lies on your part
>> >
>> > You and I are not part of the same clique
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> Which is what you are really
>> >> >>stating, it is just a bunch of "good ole cb buddies", but thinking
>> >> >>of
>> >> >>themselves in some glorified manner!
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > To Dan the ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>> >>
>> >> Is there proof of your statement?
>> >
>> > yes
>> >

>> > your support of morse code welfare
>> >
>> > cut
>> >
>> Thank goodness. Well at least "old friend" knows he is not in the
>> group.
>>
>> I for one am proud to hold a Amateur Radio License. I have NO REASON at
>> all
>> to not be. On the other hand we have.......well you know who you are.
>
> So am I proud of my license just not proud of many of the other holders
> of them, esp those that put their mode ahead of the interests of the
> rest of us
>>
And by that you are infering that I do that, eh? Give me an example.
Besides a quote from Lennie that is.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2876. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:09:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <h5vpg1hnugaftafnibq7hfr3lk099ip3sc@4ax.com>
Source record: 117327

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 15:54:43 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<64tpg1p5ihuuledіv0kbm48cmiberuapdt@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>Discharge").

Correction: I checked my own DD-214 and the 'edition' date is 1 JUL 79
and entitled "Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty".
So it has changed over the years but not much -- the only difference
is that they don't use it for transfers anymore.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2877. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:15:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <fc7Pe.1622$_84.423@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117328

I agree, that way he can get his butt kicked in real style. Live on the
air.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.24.03.23.45.423054@gmail.com...
> Len:
>
> I would like to encourage you to an amateur license, it is men like you
> who will restore the caliber of the hobby... in some ways, your text
> reminds me of the "old phart" who coached me on how to be a decent "old
> phart." (well, I have been indecent too, but only around those of the
> opposite sex--with their permission mind you! <grin>)
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 16:34:35 -0700, LenAnderson wrote:
>
>>
>>>Len:
>>>
>>>You might have said, I missed it if that is the case, when/if CW is dead,
>>>are you going to grab your extra ticket?
>>
>> Maybe, maybe not. That's MY option, not based on the puerile
>> taunts of middle-schoolers who are of middle age going "nyah,
>> nyah, can't get a ticket, can't get a ticket!!!" :-)
>>
>> Hmmm...I started out in HF communications with much more "action"
>> than the average, doing 24/7 comms with high-power (up to 40 KW)
>> transmitters shooting across the Pacific, plus doing VHF, UHF,
>> and - finally - multi-channel microwave radio relay over a half
>> century ago...winding up as an operations and maintenance
>> supervisor NCO. Then, on release from active duty, getting a
>> First 'Phone at an FCC field office (no COLEMs then) and working
>> four broadcast stations as vacation relief or on weekends or full
>> time for WREX-TV to gain enough money to come out west...having
>> already interviewed for and secured a job at Hughes Aircraft.
>> That led to a whole career, major major change to electronics
>> engineering winding up as senior staff in design. I'm supposed
>> to get a ham license to "prove I know something about radio?!?!?"
>>
>> I don't have anything to "prove" to a bunch of yokels who want
>> to recreate the 1930s and 1940s in radiotelegraphy! Geezus,

>> gimme a break from those neanderthallers! What the fork do
>> think a ham license IS...some kind of Nobel Award for Science?!?
>> :-)
>>
>> Amateur radio is fun, a recreational avocation done not for money
>> but for personal pleasure. It involves NO different radio physics
>> than any other radio service but it allows all the choice of
>> buying state-of-the-art radios to use or in building them from
>> their own designs. It requires a license to transmit RF due to a
>> federal law (an act of Congress) that created a federal regulatory
>> agency for ALL civil radio. The mindset of many hase been
>> "conditioned" by a certain membership organization to be much,
>> much more, a virtual lifestyle that has gotten too deep into the
>> myth and fantasy of long-ago times and dreams of glory and heroism
>> that never happened.
>>
>> One argument is that "a ham can have their OWN station." Yes, I've
>> had "my own station" or properly, one-third of it in a business
>> partnership with two others. I've built/converted three "stations"
>> and checked them thoroughly befoe selling them, never once "using"
>> them or caring to use them. I've designed and built two other
>> transceivers for CB, one a prototype for a CB company in Burbank
>> that went bankrupt when faced with off-shore CB products cut them
>> out of profit action.
>>
>> "I can work the world on radio with an amateur license!!!" Yes,
>> and I could pick up a handset in Tokyo, at ADA Control, and talk
>> to Seattle, Anchorage, San Francisco, Hawaii, or Okinawa any time
>> of the day or night, as I did for a while in 1955...without any
>> "license" or even any specific HF with/without SSB schooling of
>> any kind. I can "talk" to the rest of the world any time I want
>> to on the Internet, and have, plus being able to share images
>> with dozens of long-time friends (from pre-Internet days) faster
>> than by surface mail, uninterrupted by vagaries of the ionosphere.
>>
>> "I can explore new radio territory and advance the state of the
>> radio art" with a ham license. What the fork do some of these
>> cretins think I was DOING FOR A LIVING since 1956? Without a ham
>> license I've legally transmitted RF on frequencies ranging through
>> EM bands from LF into EHF, on up to 4mm wavelengths. Gotten one
>> patent as sole inventor, had a terrific time in the labs and in the
>> field, still do it once in a while.
>>
>> I once "worked a station" ON the moon. No moonbounce stuff. I
>> have to learn morse code in order to do THAT as an amateur?!?
>> (I don't have to test for morse code at VHF and up, just for
>> frequencies below 30 MHz...where I began doing HF communications
>> a half century before...without having to know or use morse code
>> then or any time afterwards)
>>

>>>If so, ya wanna meet down on 3.840 and give art a run for his money--in a
>>>gentlemanly way of course. Don't go with disruptive actions myself...
>>>debate and argument yes, trouble no... suspect you might be the same...
>>>could be fun, ya never know... <grin>
>>
>> No. If anyplace on ham bands, it would be on 20m where a bunch
>> of ex-RCA Corporation folks hang out on Saturday mornings. Talk
>> there is shared-interest stuff, not the personal polemics of
>> self-propelled radio potentates. Listen for KD6JG and W6MJN,
>> among others. I know them by their real names, not callsigns.
>>
>> "I can be FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED with MY OWN CALLSIGN if I get a
>> ham license!!!" Wow, ain't that something (like I've already
>> done that, but not with a ham license). I know where to get a
>> good ham sandwich nearby, the vendors needing only a Health
>> Department license to operate. [great pastrami at one place]
>>
>> I DO need to renew my Poetic License. Time to study for Mores
>> Goad. :-)
>>
>> buy buy
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

# 2878. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:20:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124925629.538341.121370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117329

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:18
>
> >On 23 Aug 2005 18:27:27 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
>
> ><snip>
> >> Author and screenwriter Ernest K. Gann's semi-autobiography
> >> "Fate Is The Hunter" described how a guy faked his way into
> >> being #3 (seniority) pilot on a new airline starting up between
> >> San Francisco and Hawaii around the 1950s (Gann was #2 and an
> >> airline pilot since before WW2).* This fake had "self-taught"
> >> himself how to fly while in the State Department during WW2
> >> going to and from South America. He's faked his way into
> >> this new airline but had NO time on four-motor aircraft nor
> >> could he fly well with the autopilot off. After an FAA
> >> check-ride resulted in such a poor demo, the FAA found out
> >> he had NEVER gotten an Air Transport rating! Fake went bye-
> >> bye but later got onto another beginning airline doing the
> >> same thing...but killing himself and passengers.
> >
> >How does that saying go..... "fake it till you make it". Apparently he
> >didn't make it.
>
> Sometimes an imposter can hang around a long time if he's got
> a knack of diverting attention from himself to others. That
> is what Dudly does in here.

He spends long hours at it.

> For a while, Dudly was "communications officer" of a
> Tennessee State Guard unit with a "title" of "1st Lieutenant."
> [TN State Guard is NOT affiliated with federal National Guard]
> Had his picture in the State Guard web pages. For a while.
> Then it wasn't there anymore. Stebie claimed he "had to move"
> and other things. But he quit mentioning his "commission"
> soon afterwards. Sound suspicious? :-)

He'll do any-damned-thing to get into a uniform, including becoming a
nurse. Then he claims he doesn't wear a white dress.

> There's Dudly's famous foray into civilian electronics
> "engineering" for a few months...as a Purchasing Agent. A
> Purchasing Agent just doesn't DO a single bit of engineering
> yet Dudly could "tell us all about it from his 'experience.'"

> Didn't last a half year. Even then he would get confused on
> which "division" he was in. Why so SHORT an employment?

Didn't he work at a "You've got questions, Steve's got blank stares"
location?

> Perhaps Dudly really has a private pilot's license. But,
> having once been keen on private flying as a fun thing to do,
> and then being around aviation while engaged in designing
> civilian and military avionics, I've NEVER heard a private
> pilot refer to themselves as "pilot in command!" That's a
> USAF thing for high-rankers entitled to wear wings with the
> laurel wreath around the star above the shield on their wings.

Hey, hey, hey! I had an anemometer with a star and a laurel wreath
around it but it didn't entitle me to be "Weatheman In Command."

Pffft.

It was the "master meteorologist" badge.

> He is in a TN CAP unit "flying missions" involving search-and-
> rescue. Ahem. Like there's a LOT of flying around TN? :-)

Steve likes to volunteer for things where there's nothing to do...
"A" NCOIC, for example.

> Dudly LOVES uniforms. On himself. Scrubs, cammies, or a
> one-piece "flight suit." Doesn't matter...as long as it
> makes him look IMPORTANT. He be a "somebody."

He's "somebodies buddy."

> That "somebody-ness" is not unusual in the hobby of amateur
> radio. Licensees are "federally-authorized" and they have to
> pass a TEST! Some consider themselves VITAL to the nation
> in emergencies when "all else fails" and they can save the
> day by heroic morsemanship...the only mode that gets through!
> [geez]

They dream up incredible disaster scenarios where only Morse Code will
get through. Hi!

> >> * In the book, not in the shortened black-and-white movie of
> >> the same title name. It's in Chapter 16 (or "XVI" as typeset),
> >> character name given as "Dudley."
> >
> >That might be a good nickname for Steve -- "Dudly the Dud", or maybe
> >"Dudly Didn't-Do-Right".....
>
> You've got it. I opt for just "Dudly."

Wonder who's tied to the RR track?

> >>> Too bad cause he's obviously a smart guy -- just really
> >>>screwed up.
> >
> >> Stebie has an Extra. He's also said his nine-year-old can
> >> pass it. Doesn't say much about the mentality or maturity
> >> required to pass an amateur test.
> >
> >What makes you think he's even a ham? It's clear that the person
> >claiming to be K4YZ in this newsgroup isn't Gunny Robeson.
>
> Well, there IS a Steven James Robeson in the FCC database for
> amateur radio licensees under granted callsign of K4YZ. From
> there on we have NO evidence to support Dudly's claims of USMC
> service other than his "word."

He doesn't even have a Marine haircut.

> There IS a registry with the state of Tennessee for a Licensed
> Practical Nurse (LPN) with the name Steven James Robeson. Not
> for an RN (Registered Nurse) as would be common in California
> for ER (Emergency Room) work.

Maybe he hangs around just in case they need a mop-up.

Agreed that there are differences
> between states on medical organization/procedure but it is
> UNLIKELY that "lower-ranking" nurses would be directly involved
> with ER work. [I know some medical people in California,
> including two MDs, who say that is unlikely] We don't know if
> Dudly is employed right now or even where he is employed as an
> LPN...not unusual since our "renowned historian of amateur lore"
> in here refuses to give the name of his engineering employer.

Ambulance driver.

> > If the
> >-real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
> >military history -and- his amateur status.
>
> Not an idle matter for military. They DO have rather complete
> information on military personnel and agencies who can investigate
> fully. For amateur radio it can be an idle matter since amateur
> radio is essentially a hobby, a personal radio activity done for
> recreation. Amateur radio is NOT a "vital service for the
> nation" regardless of the fantasies of some individual hams.
>
> Corroborating evidence would be old documents. Paper isn't as
> ephemeral as electronic data but it can be faked.

Ask CBS.

However, it
> is fairly easy to see where old forms have been "touched up" to
> insert other data. A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged

> in 50 years, for example...the major change being in that old
> military records were typed in by manual typists...as were old
> government forms such as license certificates. It is almost
> IMPOSSIBLE to fake/substitute/distort old books and periodicals
> that were printed in thousands of identical copies and
> distributed to subscribers, libraries, and stores. Nearly all
> old documents can be scanned, digitized for distribution as
> proof of something...or xerocopied at a nickel a page.

All I asked for was a copy of his letter of appointment to "A" NCOIC
Okinawa MARS.

> > From now on I'm going to
> >call him "Dudly" just to make the distinction between the real K4YZ
> >and the newsgroup imposter. (The NIS should have fun with this guy!)
>
> NIS? I'd suggest NIH (National Institute of Health). Nut case.
>
> pea nut
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

NIMH = National Institute of Mental Health.

# 2879. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:24:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fO6dnche0uN9nJDeRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 117330

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:fc7Pe.1622$_84.423@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I agree, that way he can get his butt kicked in real style. Live on the
>air.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.24.03.23.45.423054@gmail.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> I would like to encourage you to an amateur license, it is men like you
>> who will restore the caliber of the hobby... in some ways, your text
>> reminds me of the "old phart" who coached me on how to be a decent "old
>> phart." (well, I have been indecent too, but only around those of the
>> opposite sex--with their permission mind you! <grin>)
>>
>> John

No decent "old phart" resorts to derogatory diminutives in his dealings with other people as Mr. Anderson has habitually done. You might want to think carefully about who you would really want to emulate when you become one yourself.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2880. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:28:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <So7Pe.1438$FW1.219@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117331

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1124901188.161752.187850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>
>> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
>> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
>> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>>
>
> I was a tad surprised to see his candidacy announced, based on his
> somewhat negative casting of ARRL in the past. As, when I was ARRL
> Dakota Division Vice Director, and he sent this request to me..... "Now
> why don't you do us all a favor and take your lying, stagnated, ARRL
> apologist ass out of here ..."
>
> Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB
>

Or perhaps he decided to make changes from within.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2881. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:31:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124926260.971399.44980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117332

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2005 14:44:41 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> <1124919881.020440.161050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> > Dudly LOVES uniforms. On himself. Scrubs, cammies, or a
> > one-piece "flight suit." Doesn't matter...as long as it
> > makes him look IMPORTANT. He be a "somebody."
>
>
> Classic narcissism -- he thinks the uniform will command the respect
> he thinks he deserves. And by looking through a few of his posts it
> looks like he has a 'wannabe-hero' complex as well. But I really think
> this newsgroup facade is just the tip of the iceberg. How much you
> wanna bet he has a Crown Victoria with door-mounted spotlights and a
> light bar in the trunk?

So he can drive like a bat-outta-hell to get to the CAP Cessna to chase
down malfunctioning epirbs.

> <snip>
> >> If the
> >>-real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
> >>military history -and- his amateur status.
> >
> > Not an idle matter for military.
>
>
> Certainly not. The Master Gunny I called up the other day was really
> adamant about checking out Dudly's story.

Also claims to have held USN/MC MARS calls. It would be interesting to
see how much equipment he's drawn out of DRMO and how often he makes
training and operating quotas.

# 2882. Re: Sumery of Stvie missteps 22aug2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:32:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124926359.923713.130460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117333

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
>
> Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
>
> Mark, I guess you think this stuff happens in a vaccuum and that
> no one else but you and I read it (although I doubt many do...)
>
> WHY do you insist on publishing not one but SEVEN absolutely
> UNTRUE and DECEITFUL comments in ONE post...?!?!

not one inture thing in the post
>
> > He admitted to receivng a mental discharge
>
> Nope. Not a single word from me of any such.

sure have
>
> Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

Already done it

>
> > He admited then deined, then admited to it again, receiving a disablity
> > pension, just as a lump sum payment
>
> I never "admitted" any such thing.

sure did

>
> Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
>
already done it

> > he got caught not knowing the mahor peice of equipment he would have
> > been working with as a marine (prehaps an understandable lapse in view
> > of the mental discharge)
>
> "major" "piece" "Marine"
>
> "...got caught not knowing the "mahor peice" of equipment..."
>
> There's a blatant lie.

>
> Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

Another Lie you haven't admitted to it you just got caught is all

>
> > He admitted to lying to NG about his rants over the years about lying
>
> "He admitted to lying..."

you admitted that your rants about lying being a sickness were NOR
medcial

therefore you have been lying when you claimed it was your Professional
opinion I was mentaly ill becuae I lie

>
> A Mark Morgan lie in and of itself. You've outdone yourself this
> time, Markie!
>
> Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

already done it

>
> > he therefore also admitted to lying and/or decieving the state of
> > Michigan
>
> And yet ANOTHER out-and-out bit of Mark Morgan deceit!
>
> I've "admitted" no such thing!
>
> Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
>
> > he tired dening making his death threats
>
> "tried" "denying"
>
> I haven't made any death threats to "deny".

more lies Stevie
>
> > He got found out on his libel issues, (he still denies then but
> > admitted to geting his access terminated)
>
> "getting"
>
> Had WHAT "access terminated", Markie? AOL took a webpage down.
> They didn't sanction so much as one second of my access to the web.

I simply quoted you Stevie boy

>
> > a Busy day for stevie boy
>
> Lie upon lie from Mark Morgan. I'd dare you to actually PROVE any
> of those "admissions", however we both know they are NOT there.

Oh you dare me.

Come grow up little boy

already porven it all

with your help of course
>
> You really ARE the creep I claim!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2883. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:34:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124926466.132022.33260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117334

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > > > > > > > > victum that decides what is a threat
> > > >
> > > break
> > > > No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
> > > > Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
> > > > could say that's a "threat"
> > >
> > nope the court makes a final judgement but for example as long as
> > someone could reasonably take something as a threat like one shot one
> > kill.. then the intent of the speacker doesn't matter
>
> "speaker"
>
> Sure the intent matters. That's why there are courts, Markie.

More lies Stevie The court is there to judge wether the one using the
claim of self defense was reasonable in his belief your intentions
matter not in a self defense case

>
> And remember...they are going to have to consider whether or not
> you were a "mutual combatant" by inviting such comments with your
> "...is that your best shot" comment.

No one invites a death threat
>
> Good luck.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2884. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:41:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124926900.292409.75270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117335

First Stevie when you goign to cut the bullshit about michigan
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
cut
>
> > That "somebody-ness" is not unusual in the hobby of amateur
> > radio. Licensees are "federally-authorized" and they have to
> > pass a TEST! Some consider themselves VITAL to the nation
> > in emergencies when "all else fails" and they can save the
> > day by heroic morsemanship...the only mode that gets through!
> > [geez]
>
> They dream up incredible disaster scenarios where only Morse Code will
> get through. Hi!

I still like to hear one however unlikely where only morse coded cw
will work and yet the messages can do do anything the best I have was
Independance day
cut
> > There IS a registry with the state of Tennessee for a Licensed
> > Practical Nurse (LPN) with the name Steven James Robeson. Not
> > for an RN (Registered Nurse) as would be common in California
> > for ER (Emergency Room) work.
>
> Maybe he hangs around just in case they need a mop-up.

Stevie has admitted to being an RN dropout
cut
> > > From now on I'm going to
> > >call him "Dudly" just to make the distinction between the real K4YZ
> > >and the newsgroup imposter. (The NIS should have fun with this guy!)
> >
> > NIS? I'd suggest NIH (National Institute of Health). Nut case.
> >
> > pea nut
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> NIMH = National Institute of Mental Health.

# 2885. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:43:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124927027.466411.87300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117336

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124843740.949464.147630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>cut> >> > your support of morse code welfare
> >> >
> >> > cut
> >> >
> >> Thank goodness. Well at least "old friend" knows he is not in the
> >> group.
> >>
> >> I for one am proud to hold a Amateur Radio License. I have NO REASON at
> >> all
> >> to not be. On the other hand we have.......well you know who you are.
> >
> > So am I proud of my license just not proud of many of the other holders
> > of them, esp those that put their mode ahead of the interests of the
> > rest of us
> >>
> And by that you are infering that I do that, eh? Give me an example.
> Besides a quote from Lennie that is.

your own support of proetected segments for CW for one another you
attitutde that new comers must mind there place
all your words not lens
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 2886. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-24 23:56:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124927818.643089.326580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117337

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > > how long are you going to carry on with you BS about michigan taking
> > > > > > your claims seriously?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What BS?
> > > > >
> > > > > you bs
> > > >
> > > > What BS?
> > > >
> > > > (And that should have been "Your BS.", Markie)
> > >
> > It is your BS?
> >
> The proper grammatical structure was, Markie...Not that being
> "proper" is important to you.

so what

it is your bullshit you shovel it
>
> > you claim Michigan would do something.
> >
> According to them, they are.

Lying again Stevie

>
> > It will not
> >
> Ooops. Wrong again.
> >
> > so you are lying and bsing when you claim it will
> >
> Nope.

yep

if you were telling the turth and sent a letter then you have n't
talked to them so you don't know they are doing something or not or

else you lied when you said you contacted them by letter

either way you lied

>
> > so how long you going to keep it up
>
> It's not "mine" to "keep up", Markie.

it sure is

>
> > > > cuting the spelling cop
>
> "cutting"
>
> > > > when you going to admit you are full of #### in claiming Michigan is
> > > > going to do something on your say so
> > >
> > more bs
>
> You censor out what I said but "respond" to it...

another stevie lie

You censor my words, I don't cesor your I choose to dismiss them and
say so, but unlike you I don't alter the words of another person

You do

you violate the intelectual property of other people

that makes you a theft of sorts, certainly a fraud

>
> Coward.

you are the coward

and the bully

you have no right to demend I respond to every peice of shit you write

>
> > you have leid and said they are investagting
>
> "lied" "investigating"
>
>
> > a letter form out of state they laughed and threw it away
>
> "from"
>
> Nope.

yep

they have done something by now, or else theyd have lost it by now with
there caseload

if you are truely involeved in the medcail feild youd know that
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2887. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 00:35:53 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124930153.958439.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117338

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 24, 3:54 pm

>On 24 Aug 2005 14:44:41 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in

><snip>
>
>> Dudly LOVES uniforms. On himself. Scrubs, cammies, or a
>> one-piece "flight suit." Doesn't matter...as long as it
>> makes him look IMPORTANT. He be a "somebody."
>
>Classic narcissism -- he thinks the uniform will command the respect
>he thinks he deserves. And by looking through a few of his posts it
>looks like he has a 'wannabe-hero' complex as well.

Heh heh. It comes out in some of his other remarks, notably the personal insult kind: "You think you're so important!" - I've never assumed MY "importance" in much of anything done over the last seven decades. Dudly remarked more than once that "I just swept floors in aerospace [industry]" or that I was "just a technician." Well, I HAVE swept a floor or two and, in early years was an electronics technician but I worked my way up to being a Senior Engineering Staff employee with a load of responsibilities such as being the final sign-off on drawings' title blocks for a project I was assigned to, as engineer or project engineer. Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others that he deems are his "opponents."

Dudly went on at great length about how Ham Radio magazine went "defunct" after I was on its staff as Associate Editor. Ham Radio was IN BUSINESS for 22 years as an independent ham radio oriented monthly. It is still regarded as the superior periodical for radio technology (in practical and theoretical ways) in North America. It never had the support of an entire membership organization to back them up monetarily (as does QST or QEX). The advertising monies were tightening up in the late 1980s and, without ad sales, an independent periodical can't operate. Seeing the handwriting on the ledger sheet, co-founder Publisher Skip Tenney decided to sell everything to CQ in 1990. CQ would have to drop its spin-off monthly, "CQ VHF", due to less and less ad sales. Even "73" magazine had to shut down completely. BUSINESS conditions. Dudly (and a few other duds in here) snarled and spouted about "defunct! defunct!" like it was a moral-negative condition. Both

CQ and ARRL still sell 3-disk CD sets of all 22 years of Ham
Radio's articles for $150. Not quite as "defunct" as they want
to demonstrate.

> But I really think
>this newsgroup facade is just the tip of the iceberg. How much you
>wanna bet he has a Crown Victoria with door-mounted spotlights and a
>light bar in the trunk?

Heh heh heh...I wouldn't bet against it... :-)

><snip>
>
>>> If the
>>>-real- Gunny Robeson is K4YZ then this imposter is stealing both his
>>>military history -and- his amateur status.
>
>> Not an idle matter for military.
>
>Certainly not. The Master Gunny I called up the other day was really
>adamant about checking out Dudly's story.

In this Los Angeles area, it's not unusual to have a few dozen
Crown Vics all dolled up as "official 'to protect and serve'"
police cars. Several rental companies have them for movies and
TV location shooting. A grip I met once said he'd bought a
banged-up prop cop car from a rental company for his personal
vehicle...said he made a mistake of keeping the paint black and
white and the slogan on the side (but not the Mars Light bar or
the City of L.A. logo on the door)...a BIG mistake he said...he
got tickets for ALL kinds of things, moving and stationary
violations, was hauled out and frisked more than once. He had
to spend extra money to repaint the car "more civilian." Was a
good vehicle even when he had to install smog controls that went
into effect here (real cop cars were exempt from that). LAPD are
fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
and DO do things about those who are pretenders.

><snip>

>> A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
>> in 50 years,
>
>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the
>public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
>like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
>faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
>has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.

I was referring to the general nature of the form. :-)

Can't help you on later editions. My single DD-214 form is dated
1 Jul 52 and was filled in at Fort Sheridan, IL, in February 1956.
Back then its title was "Report of Separation From the Armed Forces
of the United States." Other than an acknowledgement from the
Winnebago County, IL, draft board on status change to "reserve"
(inactive) received shortly thereafter, the only other form I got
was the Honorable Discharge certificate in April 1960. Not a fancy
certificate, either...:-)

I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.

>> for example...the major change being in that old
>> military records were typed in by manual typists...as were old
>> government forms such as license certificates. It is almost
>> IMPOSSIBLE to fake/substitute/distort old books and periodicals
>> that were printed in thousands of identical copies and
>> distributed to subscribers, libraries, and stores. Nearly all
>> old documents can be scanned, digitized for distribution as
>> proof of something...or xerocopied at a nickel a page.
>
>I have a microfiche copy of both my SRB and medical records. They were
>sent to me after my final discharge. It's pretty hard to retouch a
>hundred or so pages crammed onto a 4' x 5' negative.

Ow! Mass-reduction 'fiche! I'm used to the "IBM punch card 'fiche"
which have only one image on the card, for drawings...or the library
style 'fiche film reels, one newspaper-page-size image per frame.

per fil
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2888. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie PupeetBoy?...Twins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 01:06:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IQ8Pe.1874$5B4.1341@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117339

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2005 06:28:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124890094.682955.198180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snipola>
>
> I'm not going to engage you in a flame war, Dudly. You can continue
> your little charade as long as you want, I really don't care since
> you've been fully exposed as a USMC imposter -- in fact, you exposed
> yourself. Get some therapy before you go off the deep end.

Frankly, Frank, your attempt at setting yourself up as an expert on who is or isn't a Marine falls a little short.

Dave K8MN

# 2889. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 01:15:53 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124932553.716955.306210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117340

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 24, 4:20 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Frank Gilliland on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:18
>
>> >On 23 Aug 2005 18:27:27 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in

>> Sometimes an imposter can hang around a long time if he's got
>> a knack of diverting attention from himself to others. That
>> is what Dudly does in here.
>
>He spends long hours at it.

Tsk. He could be ON his radios "working the rare ones" or
something like that vital to the nation's well-being.

>> For a while, Dudly was "communications officer" of a
>> Tennessee State Guard unit with a "title" of "1st Lieutenant."
>> [TN State Guard is NOT affiliated with federal National Guard]
>> Had his picture in the State Guard web pages. For a while.
>> Then it wasn't there anymore. Stebie claimed he "had to move"
>> and other things. But he quit mentioning his "commission"
>> soon afterwards. Sound suspicious? :-)
>
>He'll do any-damned-thing to get into a uniform, including becoming a
>nurse. Then he claims he doesn't wear a white dress.

Maybe he evolved into a George Clooney wannabe? [was one of
the actors playing docs on "ER" but left]

>> There's Dudly's famous foray into civilian electronics
>> "engineering" for a few months...as a Purchasing Agent. A
>> Purchasing Agent just doesn't DO a single bit of engineering
>> yet Dudly could "tell us all about it from his 'experience.'"
>> Didn't last a half year. Even then he would get confused on
>> which "division" he was in. Why so SHORT an employment?
>
>Didn't he work at a "You've got questions, Steve's got blank stares"
>location?

That's what he claimed. [Radio Shack to be exact...but the
description probably fits...:-) ]

Radio Shack is not exactly a hotbed of electronics expertise.

>> Perhaps Dudly really has a private pilot's license. But,
>> having once been keen on private flying as a fun thing to do,
>> and then being around aviation while engaged in designing
>> civilian and military avionics, I've NEVER heard a private
>> pilot refer to themselves as "pilot in command!" That's a
>> USAF thing for high-rankers entitled to wear wings with the
>> laurel wreath around the star above the shield on their wings.
>
>Hey, hey, hey! I had an anemometer with a star and a laurel wreath
>around it but it didn't entitle me to be "Weatheman In Command."
>
>Pffft.
>
>It was the "master meteorologist" badge.

Dudly needs to buy hisself one of those "official" Radio Cop
shields complete with artificial leather wallet holder.

>> He is in a TN CAP unit "flying missions" involving search-and-
>> rescue. Ahem. Like there's a LOT of flying around TN? :-)
>
>Steve likes to volunteer for things where there's nothing to do...
>"A" NCOIC, for example.

In truth, I've never met many MARS military personnel so I have
NO idea what "Assistant" Non-Commissioned Officers In Charge
would do. I suspect it is on par with "communications officer"
in a state guard unit (not affiliated with National Guard)...get
together with "the boys" once a month and play sojer.

>> Dudly LOVES uniforms. On himself. Scrubs, cammies, or a
>> one-piece "flight suit." Doesn't matter...as long as it
>> makes him look IMPORTANT. He be a "somebody."
>
>He's "somebodies buddy."

Really? I thought he hated everyone...

>> That "somebody-ness" is not unusual in the hobby of amateur
>> radio. Licensees are "federally-authorized" and they have to
>> pass a TEST! Some consider themselves VITAL to the nation
>> in emergencies when "all else fails" and they can save the
>> day by heroic morsemanship...the only mode that gets through!
>> [geez]
>
>They dream up incredible disaster scenarios where only Morse Code will
>get through. Hi!

Fevered imaginations listening to too much QRN...hearing signals
that aren't there.

>> >> * In the book, not in the shortened black-and-white movie of
>> >> the same title name. It's in Chapter 16 (or "XVI" as typeset),
>> >> character name given as "Dudley."

>
>> >That might be a good nickname for Steve -- "Dudly the Dud", or maybe
>> >"Dudly Didn't-Do-Right".....
>
>> You've got it. I opt for just "Dudly."
>
>Wonder who's tied to the RR track?

Ahem...not THAT "Dudly" but a less-animated black-and-white
cartoon version tying his OWN feet to the track...

>> There IS a registry with the state of Tennessee for a Licensed
>> Practical Nurse (LPN) with the name Steven James Robeson. Not
>> for an RN (Registered Nurse) as would be common in California
>> for ER (Emergency Room) work.
>
>Maybe he hangs around just in case they need a mop-up.
>
>Agreed that there are differences

VAST differences I think...betweeen what he says and what he really
is.

>All I asked for was a copy of his letter of appointment to "A" NCOIC
>Okinawa MARS.

Did you really expect you would get one, Brian? :-)

>> NIS? I'd suggest NIH (National Institute of Health). Nut case.
>
>NIMH = National Institute of Mental Health.

Correction noted. Thank you. :-)

Hmmm...on second thought, maybe the Center for Disease Control?
Dudly's condition seems to be catching...Jeswald may have it now...
Dan keeps falling down a lot with all that "plonking." :-)

say sic
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2890. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 01:56:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.25.01.56.51.790156@gmail.com>
Source record: 117342

K0HB:

I think carl is just trying to be nice, he just can't come right out and say the amateur community has degraded badly... in a perfect world, he could...

John

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 09:33:08 -0700, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>
>> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
>> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
>> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>>
>
> I was a tad surprised to see his candidacy announced, based on his
> somewhat negative casting of ARRL in the past. As, when I was ARRL
> Dakota Division Vice Director, and he sent this request to me..... "Now
> why don't you do us all a favor and take your lying, stagnated, ARRL
> apologist ass out of here ..."
>
> Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

# 2891. "A" NCOIC Duty Checklist: Make Coffee, Count Candy Bars, Wash Coffee Cups...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 01:57:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124935048.976622.184470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117341

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 24, 4:20 pm

> >Steve likes to volunteer for things where there's nothing to do...
> >"A" NCOIC, for example.
>
> In truth, I've never met many MARS military personnel so I have
> NO idea what "Assistant" Non-Commissioned Officers In Charge
> would do.

Geedunk. Making coffee for the radio ops.

# 2892. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:00:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.25.02.00.06.723143@gmail.com>
Source record: 117343

Len:

Dan looks more like a gestapo agent hunting jews... dan is just an
extremest amateur...

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:37:08 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:12
>
>>You want to give these Liberal taught kids a lesson in self respect?
>>
>>Draft them into the military.
>>
>>That will fix the situation in LESS than a generation.
>
>
> Don't y'all LOVE it when Dannie do his George C. Scott imitation
> from the movie "Patton?" Gotta love that Amateur Radio Service
> Uniform, the shiny helmet, polished riding boots (no mud from the
> Fulda Gap on them, nossir!), all those Medals of glorious ham
> service, the chrome-plated morse key in its holster at his side!
>
> The huge American flag behind him on the stage is quite effective.
> However, the equally huge banner saying DEATH TO NO-CODERS! is a
> bit tacky.
>
> Here's some recruits to "be taught a lesson through military
> service...they haven't served yet:"
>
> James Miccolis
> Brian Kelly
> Michael Coslo
> Dee Flint
>
> Tension-hutt! Keep a straight line! Eyes front! No talking
> in ranks! You OBEY DAN, do whatever he say! He be da new
> Dill Sergeant! He God!
>
> sho biz
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2893. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:03:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.25.02.02.59.97630@gmail.com>
Source record: 117344

Dan:

On the contrary, I doubt the sanity of anyone who thinks there is
something inherently evil in cb'ers, I know quite a few who have gotten
amateur tickets over the years...

A witch-hunt for cb'ers who want amateur tickets is an evil thing...

John

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 22:55:12 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> You know better than that John Smith. Quit trying to blow smoke up
> someone's posterior.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.24.03.06.22.505182@gmail.com...
>> Dan:
>>
>> The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>> doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do
>> not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most
>> likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>> On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all the
>>> CB
>>> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with HAM
>>> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>>>
>>> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn something
>>> from those that have been there and done it.
>>>
>>> SEE?
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1124749825.609150.213720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>

>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>>> AOF:
>>>>>
>>>>> I most strongly suspect:
>>>>>
>>>>> newcomer = "doesn't agree with dan." And, dan munches down a couple of
>>>>> lotus blossoms and has visions of performing "attitude adjustments" on
>>>>> the
>>>>> newbies! <grin>
>>>>>
>>>>> ... what say you?
>>>>
>>>> In honesty I don't think Dan knows what dan means on that one
>>>>>
>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 13:38:59 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>> >> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
>>>>> >> news:eaacnbTH8Nj53JTeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>> >> > news:NU5Oe.967$I93.674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>> >> >> There it is. Proof that "Incentive licensing" has caused hate
>>>>> >> >> and
>>>>> >> >> discontent in Ham Radio. I've said it for years. And here it
>>>>> >> >> is.
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > Dan ... at last we agree!!!!!!
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > We *MUST* eliminate this "I'm better than you because (pick one of
>>>>> >> > the
>>>>> >> > following, or any other reason ... "I have a higher class
>>>>> >> > license."
>>>>> >> > or "I
>>>>> >> > passed an xx wpm Morse test.") - class warfare.
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > We are ALL hams ... period. Some are more experienced. They
>>>>> >> > SHOULD
>>>>> >> > be
>>>>> >> > Elmering (and Elmering doesn't mean "browbeating them into your
>>>>> >> > personal
>>>>> >> > preferences") the newbies and HELPING them to learn (whatever they
>>>>> >> > want
>>>>> >> > to) about ham radio, rather than "dising" them and treating them
>>>>> >> > like

>>>>> >> > dirt.
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > This stuff has GOT to stop!
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > 73,
>>>>> >> > Carl - wk3c
>>>>> >> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Sure does......most of the newcomers are into learning. Most of the
>>>>> >> newcomers do want to be Elmered. However......some of the newcomers
>>>>> >> need an
>>>>> >> attitude adjustment. Most of them are here it seems.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Bullshit Dan there are almost no newcommers here
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>

# 2894. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:04:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.25.02.04.41.821351@gmail.com>
Source record: 117345

Len:

If this were the 1930's, dan would be a dangerous man. Besides serving
hitler in the search for evil jews, he would also be pursuing cb'ers who
want an amateur license...

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:44:01 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 23, 8:06 pm
>
>>Dan:
>>
>>The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>>doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do
>>not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most
>>likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...
>
>>On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all the CB
>>> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with HAM
>>> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>>
>>> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn something
>>> from those that have been there and done it.
>>
>>> SEE?
>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> John, Dere you have it. Dan Jeswald >>IS<< amateur radio! He
> RULEZ!
>
> "If the dit don't fit, Dan will convict!"
> - paraphrasing Brian Burke
>
> Dannie he say NO ONE CAN CHANGE HAM RADIO!!! He won't allow it!
>
> [ farf...snort... ]
>
> dan say
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2895. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:08:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.25.02.08.08.141704@gmail.com>
Source record: 117347

Dee:

From the text and exchanges here, I honestly wonder how most here got their tickets! Then, they have the audacity to act like judges on who should have tickets--I hope you all stick together, you are all you guys have got!

John

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 19:24:56 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:fc7Pe.1622$_84.423@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>I agree, that way he can get his butt kicked in real style. Live on the
>>air.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.24.03.23.45.423054@gmail.com...
>>> Len:
>>>
>>> I would like to encourage you to an amateur license, it is men like you
>>> who will restore the caliber of the hobby... in some ways, your text
>>> reminds me of the "old phart" who coached me on how to be a decent "old
>>> phart." (well, I have been indecent too, but only around those of the
>>> opposite sex--with their permission mind you! <grin>)
>>>
>>> John
>
> No decent "old phart" resorts to derogatory diminutives in his dealings with
> other people as Mr. Anderson has habitually done. You might want to think
> carefully about who you would really want to emulate when you become one
> yourself.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2896. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:10:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.25.02.10.11.578981@gmail.com>
Source record: 117348

Len:

Most here are a prime example of why some look at amateur radio and just say--HELL NO!

I get the feeling I am in a room of blind people on an LSD trip, and they think they have vision!

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:34:24 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 23, 8:23 pm
>
>>Len:
>>
>>I would like to encourage you to an amateur license, it is men like you
>>who will restore the caliber of the hobby... in some ways, your text
>>reminds me of the "old phart" who coached me on how to be a decent "old
>>phart." (well, I have been indecent too, but only around those of the
>>opposite sex--with their permission mind you! <grin>)
>
> Sorry, John, but encouragment requires much training into
> being a human modem working with a 161-year-old code set.
> Further, I would have to go into detoxification of ANY THOUGHT
> of CHANGING AMATEUR RADIO FROM WHAT IT IS! Dan da Morse Man
> has SPOKEN! Change is NOT allowed.
>
> Dan da Macho Morse Man speaks in FIFTY CALIBER forbidding ALL
> change.
>
> Dan is a hidebound, set-in-concrete-with-armor-plate traditionalist
> conservative ready to go into combat with Weapons of Morse
> Dedication to rid the world of upstart, liberal (euywww, spit)
> thoughts of EVER removing the morse code test!
>
> Dan is in his command track right now directing traffic (through
> the nearest 2m repeater of course), ready to Flash Fire any
> least sign of disturbance of his thoughts. Meanwhile, from an
> undisclosed location, I am silently watching, monitoring all this
> from a high UAV loaded with missles...taking aim... :-)
>
> This is NOT about having fun with amateur radio, doing hobby
> things for personal pleasure. This is WAR to Dan da Morse Man.
> All do as HE SAY!
>

> bip bip
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2897. Steve lies again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:10:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124935815.802422.106430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117349

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:

> > > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > > victum that decides what is a threat
>
> No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
> Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
> could say that's a "threat"
>
> > You're right! And those were his very words.
>
> Sure is fun watching the two of you pat each other on the back!
> Haven't seen so much self-congratulation for nothing at all since
> Clinton's book came out...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve lies. Here's proof:

---
Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
case of your
misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."

Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
might be
read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
it be
perceived as such."
---

So, was Steve lying then, or now?

# 2898. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:15:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124936107.969983.312360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117200

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 23, 3:25 pm
>
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >> > Playing dumb like Heil? SS could mean social services worker.
> >
> >> Indeed it does
>
> With Heil "SS" means SchutzStaffel, complete with black uniform,
> twin lightning bolts, and jackboots. Monocle optional.

Salty Schnitzel?

> >> How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
> >> agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
> >> is beyond my understanding
> >
> >Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?
>
> Farf!! Snort... :-)

It was a fair question...

> >> Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
> >> that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
> >> and claim to be Stevie
> >
> >Trying to shed notice of his actions?
>
> Stebie learned that serving under "Colonel Vinson" at Ft. Gordon.
>
> :-)

Col Vinson make him "A" NCOIC of Geedunk?

> >There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short
> >hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been
> >threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's
> >very, very practiced in that art.

>
> Wiley Coyote but badly animated in black and white?

Just black? Darkside?

> Stalking uses implied threats. Stalking is part of the Con
> Game he is doing, more bluff than stuff.

His game is going to get him into trouble.

> But, Stebie GETS THE ATTENTION HE CRAVES! HE IS A SOMEBODY! :-)
>
> boo his
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Dialing..."

## 2899. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:17:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124936240.025174.144630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117201

KØHB wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >
> > Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
> > at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
> > seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
> >
>
> I was a tad surprised to see his candidacy announced, based on his
> somewhat negative casting of ARRL in the past. As, when I was ARRL
> Dakota Division Vice Director, and he sent this request to me..... "Now
> why don't you do us all a favor and take your lying, stagnated, ARRL
> apologist ass out of here ..."

But you stayed.

> Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.

Why would it want to?

# 2900. Re: Just exactly what is the "Problem" with Pactor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:18:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.25.02.18.11.772633@gmail.com>
Source record: 117202

Kelly:

I have long suspect the majority of the people are your superiors, after all, you are one who thinks a hobby license is a great achievement, I find it akin to graduating kindergarten... have you always missed when educated men smirk and laugh behind your back? Think about it man... you didn't ever really fool anyone--they were just trying to be nice...

John

On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:39:22 -0700, kelly wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I like to think I gauge each and every man and woman on their merits. How
>> broadminded they are, how current they are, how adaptive they are, if
>> their pursuits are near mine, if they don't take themselves too seriously,
>> if they can communicate on the complex, the unique, if they are not
>> hampered by too many preconceived notions, if they are interesting, if
>> they are fun, if they are educated (and a few have done a darn good job
>> of educating themselves with little formal education--and a discussion
>> with them quickly will disclose that), etc, etc...
>>
>> I have found about 3-5 out of a hundred are worth a persons time, out in
>> the general world--usually, at work that ratio comes close to a 50-50%.
>>
>> I think that is a fairly accurate take on it--a person mileage may vary
>> with location and type of employment, of course in heaven I suspect this
>> ratio would be much higher, and in hell it would drop to zero...
>
> <snicker>
>
> Keep it up "John", we all enjoy the gigglers you toss out.
>
>> John
>
> w3rv

## 2901. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:32:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124937150.311461.232300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117203

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:12
>
> >You want to give these Liberal taught kids a lesson in self respect?
> >
> >Draft them into the military.
> >
> >That will fix the situation in LESS than a generation.
>
> Don't y'all LOVE it when Dannie do his George C. Scott imitation
> from the movie "Patton?" Gotta love that Amateur Radio Service
> Uniform, the shiny helmet, polished riding boots (no mud from the
> Fulda Gap on them, nossir!), all those Medals of glorious ham
> service, the chrome-plated morse key in its holster at his side!

With ivory finger pieces?

> The huge American flag behind him on the stage is quite effective.
> However, the equally huge banner saying DEATH TO NO-CODERS! is a
> bit tacky.

Compassionate No-Codism is about to erupt. Or maybe not.

> Here's some recruits to "be taught a lesson through military
> service...they haven't served yet:"
>
> James Miccolis
> Brian Kelly
> Michael Coslo
> Dee Flint
>
> Tension-hutt! Keep a straight line! Eyes front! No talking
> in ranks! You OBEY DAN, do whatever he say! He be da new
> Dill Sergeant! He God!

You forgot "Fall In" and "Taller Tap." Aw forgeddit. It would be
wasted on that group.

> sho biz
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Welp, at least Kelly has "real military experience." Probably photo'd
Steve washing down the Geedunk. Photo's stamped "FOUO" and faxed to
the NSA.

## 2902. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:34:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124937240.858814.106070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117204

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1124861828.557145.52790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> <plonk>

Plonk is cheap wine. Seems like you've been getting enough lately.

# 2903. Re: Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage from Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 02:50:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1124938233.456707.9060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117205

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > BTw i was having trouble finding this stuff till by chance I noticed
> > > > Stevie has changed his email over the years
> > > >
> > > > and according to stevie the only reason I could do that is for
> > > > deception therefore Stevie is trying to hide from this stuff
> > >
> > > Indeed, Steve has said that my change of venue was only to deceive.
> > >
> > > He has a devious mindset.
> >
> > Nah I think he is projecting again
>
> "Projecting"? Im do own a Power Point projecter, but that's all.

"Own?" Or drawn out of DRMO as pro gear?

# 2904. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie PupeetBoy?...Twins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 03:08:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Us6dneiTUpEcupDeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117346

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On 24 Aug 2005 06:28:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124890094.682955.198180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snipola>
>>
>> I'm not going to engage you in a flame war, Dudly. You can continue
>> your little charade as long as you want, I really don't care since
>> you've been fully exposed as a USMC imposter -- in fact, you exposed
>> yourself. Get some therapy before you go off the deep end.
>
>
> Frankly, Frank, your attempt at setting yourself up as an expert on who
> is or isn't a Marine falls a little short.
>
> Dave K8MN

Frank setting himself up as an expert on anything falls a little short.

# 2905. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 03:52:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124941959.487399.133620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117206

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 24, 7:32 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Wed 24 Aug 2005 00:12

>> >You want to give these Liberal taught kids a lesson in self respect?
>
>> >Draft them into the military.
>
>> >That will fix the situation in LESS than a generation.
>
>> Don't y'all LOVE it when Dannie do his George C. Scott imitation
>> from the movie "Patton?" Gotta love that Amateur Radio Service
>> Uniform, the shiny helmet, polished riding boots (no mud from the
>> Fulda Gap on them, nossir!), all those Medals of glorious ham
>> service, the chrome-plated morse key in its holster at his side!
>
>With ivory finger pieces?

Right. [grips really] I forgot the Croix de Gruyere around his
neck (the cheese, not the Croix du Guerre medal).

>> The huge American flag behind him on the stage is quite effective.
>> However, the equally huge banner saying DEATH TO NO-CODERS! is a
>> bit tacky.
>
>Compassionate No-Codism is about to erupt. Or maybe not.

Doubt it. That was a Cold War Hero talking, a veteran of the
Fulda Gap Campaign...!

>> Here's some recruits to "be taught a lesson through military
>> service...they haven't served yet:"
>
>> James Miccolis
>> Brian Kelly
>> Michael Coslo
>> Dee Flint
>
>> Tension-hutt! Keep a straight line! Eyes front! No talking
>> in ranks! You OBEY DAN, do whatever he say! He be da new
>> Dill Sergeant! He God!
>
>You forgot "Fall In" and "Taller Tap." Aw forgeddit. It would be
>wasted on that group.

True. I think all but Dee ran off to Canada to avoid serving...

>Welp, at least Kelly has "real military experience." Probably photo'd
>Steve washing down the Geedunk. Photo's stamped "FOUO" and faxed to
>the NSA.

I can't "bear" the thought of that... :-)

bam hit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2906. Re: Stevie Now Shows Us Beyond A Shadow Of A Doubt Who's Delusional ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 04:03:12 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124942099.019820.221850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117207

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 24, 7:15 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 23, 3:25 pm
>> >an old friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:

>> >> How anyone even Stevie could come up with Social security a federal
>> >> agency when the subject was possible actions of the state of michigan
>> >> is beyond my understanding
>
>> >Do you think that Steve, unlike David Heil, is actually dumb?
>
>> Farf!! Snort... :-)
>
>It was a fair question...

Sorry, I can't rate alien beings from other planets... :-(

>> >> Did you catch how Stevie is also claiming to have certain knowledge
>> >> that no one seeing the exchance between us decided to call Michigan
>> >> and claim to be Stevie
>
>> >Trying to shed notice of his actions?
>
>> Stebie learned that serving under "Colonel Vinson" at Ft. Gordon.
>
>> :-)
>
>Col Vinson make him "A" NCOIC of Geedunk?

Sure as hell wasn't Carl Vinson, Secretary of the Navy once.

>> >There you have it. But he really is wiley about coming just a short
>> >hair shy of making threats. He wants you to think that you've been
>> >threatened, but he's too chickenexcrement to actually do it. He's
>> >very, very practiced in that art.
>
> Wiley Coyote but badly animated in black and white?
>
>Just black? Darkside?

"Darkman!"

>> Stalking uses implied threats. Stalking is part of the Con
>> Game he is doing, more bluff than stuff.
>
>His game is going to get him into trouble.

It already has...

>> But, Stebie GETS THE ATTENTION HE CRAVES! HE IS A SOMEBODY! :-)
>
>"Dialing..."

:-)

got som
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2907. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 04:03:31 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1124942611.844371.195730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117208

From: John Smith on Aug 24, 7:08 pm

>Dee:
>
>From the text and exchanges here, I honestly wonder how most here got
>their tickets! Then, they have the audacity to act like judges on who
>should have tickets--I hope you all stick together, you are all you guys
>have got!

Lissen up, witeboy, dey gots da 'HOOD organ-ized!

Nobody mess wif no homeboys, you got dat yanno?

^^^^^ - That's the way they come across.

>On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 19:24:56 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> No decent "old phart" resorts to derogatory diminutives in his dealings with
>> other people as Mr. Anderson has habitually done.

Tsk. Poor Dee is miffed and a half because I didn't liberally apply
nice-nice oil to her transcript of recorded ARRL maxims some time
ago. Her feelings are still hurt. Boo hoo.

>> You might want to think
>> carefully about who you would really want to emulate when you become one
>> yourself.

Tsk. Dee's vision suffers extreme League-induced astigmatism.

The PCTA are about as OLDE-PHARTE as one can possibly be. Look at
them: A middle-aged-going-on-ninety "renowned historian"
desperately wanting to come across as numero uno guru; a nearly past
middle-aged snarlygram-spouting "veteran of the holy foreign
service" who thinks his velvet glove is an iron fist; a middle-aged
"veteran of foreign wars" spouting off like it was well-drink time
at the Legion Hall; a past-middle-age hoagie-muncher who shot bears
for navel intelligence. Then we have the female acolyte worshiping
at the Church of St. Hiram repeating the beloved maxims of the
order as if she were mama superior.

All must do as they DICTATE. In a hobby. All who do not obey are
sub-human for they believe intelligence only shines through
morsemanship. All will have fun whether we like it or not...

Wow. Show some individuality, some freedom of expression, some free
thinking OUTSIDE of the cloister and they become unglued, unable to
cope with new thoughts, new ideas, differing opinions. All must be

as ordained by the Ancients, never ever to be changed...

Amen.

all sam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2908. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 05:54:46 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <dYSdnZ2dnZ1XkBvunZ2dnc3TkN6dnZ2dRVn-0p2dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117209

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> If this were the 1930's, dan would be a dangerous man. Besides serving
> hitler in the search for evil jews, he would also be pursuing cb'ers who
> want an amateur license...

There weren't any cb'ers in the 1930's.

# 2909. Re: Frank Gilliland...Another Lennie PupeetBoy?...Twins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 06:33:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <u1pqg114kaih8n4s1bm0pegao5ddkvavqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 117210

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 20:08:50 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
wrote in <Us6dneiTUpEcupDeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>> On 24 Aug 2005 06:28:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> <1124890094.682955.198180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>
>>> <snipola>
>>>
>>> I'm not going to engage you in a flame war, Dudly. You can continue
>>> your little charade as long as you want, I really don't care since
>>> you've been fully exposed as a USMC imposter -- in fact, you exposed
>>> yourself. Get some therapy before you go off the deep end.
>>
>>
>> Frankly, Frank, your attempt at setting yourself up as an expert on who
>> is or isn't a Marine falls a little short.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Frank setting himself up as an expert on anything falls a little short.

It's the Cavalry to the rescue! Kind of ironic (and suspicious) that
you two suddenly come to defend Gunny Dudly from a shitbird PFC, isn't
it? But hey, birds of a feather.....

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2910. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 06:58:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <alpqg1l3atl8gpbf9d5koqk31olm92ngup@4ax.com>
Source record: 117211

On 24 Aug 2005 17:35:53 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1124930153.958439.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
> down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
> that he deems are his "opponents."

Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
fighting his fights for him.

<snip>
> LAPD are
> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.

So do Marines.

>><snip>
>
>>> A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
>>> in 50 years,
>>
>>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>>Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the
>>public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
>>like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
>>faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
>>has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.
>
> I was referring to the general nature of the form. :-)

My bad.

> Can't help you on later editions. My single DD-214 form is dated
> 1 Jul 52 and was filled in at Fort Sheridan, IL, in February 1956.
> Back then its title was "Report of Separation From the Armed Forces
> of the United States."

One of Kerry's DD-214's (filled out 15 Dec. 66) was edition 1 NOV 55,
and states that it "replaces edition of 1 JUL 52". Looks like your
admin clerk took an extra-long Christmas vacation.....;-)

<snip>
> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.

Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
Blue Nose card.

<snip>
>>I have a microfiche copy of both my SRB and medical records. They were
>>sent to me after my final discharge. It's pretty hard to retouch a
>>hundred or so pages crammed onto a 4' x 5' negative.
>
> Ow! Mass-reduction 'fiche! I'm used to the "IBM punch card 'fiche"
> which have only one image on the card, for drawings...or the library
> style 'fiche film reels, one newspaper-page-size image per frame.

The reels are bad enough, but the 4x5..... I can't even read it by
scanning it at 1200 dpi! I would have to take it to a photo shop and
have it enlarged.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2911. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 07:31:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124955097.729474.126760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117212

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> First Stevie when you goign to cut the bull#### about michigan

"going"

What "bull####"...?!?!

> hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> cut

That's the first WISE thing you've done with ANYthing Lennie or
PuppetBoy has written!

> > > There IS a registry with the state of Tennessee for a Licensed
> > > Practical Nurse (LPN) with the name Steven James Robeson. Not
> > > for an RN (Registered Nurse) as would be common in California
> > > for ER (Emergency Room) work.
> >
> > Maybe he hangs around just in case they need a mop-up.
>
> Stevie has admitted to being an RN dropout

Yet another Markl Morgan lie...

Wonder where the proof of THAT assertion is...?!?!

Sheeeeesh.

Losers making up lies to facilitate being losers...

That's the Infamous Four...Now the Feeble Five.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2912. Frank of Silliland Makes the Infamous Four the Feeble Five.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 07:44:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124955886.999768.125400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117213

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2005 17:35:53 -0700, "LenAnderscum@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderscum@ieee.org> wrote in
> <1124930153.958439.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> > Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
> > down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
> > that he deems are his "opponents."

Of course we have over seven YEARS of Lennie's "remarks"
diminishing any/everyone who dared to "oppose" him...

> Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
> fighting his fights for him.

So Frank of Silliland shows us his true colors, not that it was
too much in doubt...

Funny how when Frank of Silliland came in here his FIRST posts
slammed Lennie as an "obvious fraud"...until it was pointed out that he
was talking about Lennie...

Frank wasn't even sure WHO he was "dissing".

Of course you make the sarcastic commetns about anyone who may
have something in support of me as being "Tweedle..".....

But I wonder where that leaves YOU IRT fighting Lennie's and
Mark's fights for them, Frank of Silliland...?!?! Afterall, that IS
your only purpose here.

> <snip>
> > LAPD are
> > fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
> > and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
>
> So do Marines.

So stop pretending to be something, Frank...

Put the Clancy novels away.

SNIP

> <snip>
> > I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
> > have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the

> > detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
> > who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
> > we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
>
> Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> Blue Nose card.

Judging by your interactions with Lennie, I'd say that should be a "Brown Nose" card. Being someone's yes-man isn't a USMC MOS, but I bet you were good at it.

You seem to have it down pat here.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2913. Summary Of Mark Morgan Deceit...Why Does He Highlight His Silliness This Way?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 07:56:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124956615.967691.192730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117214

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
> >
> > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
> >
> > Mark, I guess you think this stuff happens in a vaccuum and that
> > no one else but you and I read it (although I doubt many do...)
> >
> > WHY do you insist on publishing not one but SEVEN absolutely
> > UNTRUE and DECEITFUL comments in ONE post...?!?!
>
> not one inture thing in the post

"untrue".

The whole thing was untrue, Markie.

> > > He admitted to receivng a mental discharge
> >
> > Nope. Not a single word from me of any such.
>
> sure have

Then quote it, verbatim, with headers.

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
>
> Already done it

No, you've not.

> > > He admited then deined, then admited to it again, receiving a disablity
> > > pension, just as a lump sum payment
> >
> > I never "admitted" any such thing.
>
> sure did

Nope. Another lie. Now repeated which makes it TWO lies.

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
> >
> already done it

No, you've not.

> > > he got caught not knowing the mahor peice of equipment he would have
> > > been working with as a marine (prehaps an understandable lapse in view
> > > of the mental discharge)
> >
> > "major" "piece" "Marine"
> >
> > "...got caught not knowing the "mahor peice" of equipment..."
> >
> > There's a blatant lie.
> >
> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
>
> Another Lie you haven't admitted to it you just got caught is all

I didn't get "caught", either.

You believe too much of Lennie's lying, Markie.

> > > He admitted to lying to NG about his rants over the years about lying
> >
> > "He admitted to lying..."
>
> you admitted that your rants about lying being a sickness were NOR
> medcial

"NOT"

What "rant"...?!?!

Just because something is not to your liking does not make it a
"rant", markie.

And lying is NOT a medical condition...It's psychological.

> therefore you have been lying when you claimed it was your Professional
> opinion I was mentaly ill becuae I lie

"mentally" "because".

You can be in perfect PHYSICAL health and still be psychologically
ill, Markie. Ask your 'dontor'.

> > A Mark Morgan lie in and of itself. You've outdone yourself this
> > time, Markie!
> >
> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
>
> already done it

No, you've not.

> > > he therefore also admitted to lying and/or decieving the state of
> > > Michigan
> >

> > And yet ANOTHER out-and-out bit of Mark Morgan deceit!
> >
> > I've "admitted" no such thing!
> >
> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
> >
> > > he tired dening making his death threats
> >
> > "tried" "denying"
> >
> > I haven't made any death threats to "deny".
>
> more lies Stevie

Nope.

> > > He got found out on his libel issues, (he still denies then but
> > > admitted to geting his access terminated)
> >
> > "getting"
> >
> > Had WHAT "access terminated", Markie? AOL took a webpage down.
> > They didn't sanction so much as one second of my access to the web.
>
> I simply quoted you Stevie boy

No, you didn't.

I NEVER said I had ANY "access terminated", Markie...

Proof? Quotes?

> > > a Busy day for stevie boy
> >
> > Lie upon lie from Mark Morgan. I'd dare you to actually PROVE any
> > of those "admissions", however we both know they are NOT there.
>
> Oh you dare me.
>
> Come grow up little boy
>
> already porven it all

"proven"

You've not "proven" anything.

You're like a kid in a sandbox arguing over some perceived
infraction of getting hit with sand...

"DID NOT!"..."DID TOO!" "DID NOT!"..."DID TOO!"

No PROOF, mind you...just silly accusations with unfounded
assertions of "I proved it"...

> with your help of course

With nothing....

You're making up lies, Markie...

They are adding up to your OTHER lies.

# 2914. Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 08:50:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124959808.126665.163260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117215

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 20:08:50 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
> wrote in <Us6dneiTUpEcupDeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>:
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>
> >>> On 24 Aug 2005 06:28:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>> <1124890094.682955.198180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>>
> >>> <snipola>
> >>>
> >>> I'm not going to engage you in a flame war, Dudly. You can continue
> >>> your little charade as long as you want, I really don't care since
> >>> you've been fully exposed as a USMC imposter -- in fact, you exposed
> >>> yourself. Get some therapy before you go off the deep end.
> >>
> >> Frankly, Frank, your attempt at setting yourself up as an expert on who
> >> is or isn't a Marine falls a little short.
>
> >Frank setting himself up as an expert on anything falls a little short.
>
>
> It's the Cavalry to the rescue! Kind of ironic (and suspicious) that
> you two suddenly come to defend Gunny Dudly from a ####bird PFC, isn't
> it? But hey, birds of a feather.....

Well...there we have it.

Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
dared while he WAS on active duty....

And now making allegeations that the comments of others is
"suspicious" because it flies in the face of his "grand entrance"...

The SUSPICIOUS part, Frankie, is why you suddenly show up in an
Amateur Radio newsgroup with no other agenda than trying to undermine
my credibility with lame suggestions that YOU are a "credible" source
and that YOUR take is one of validity.

I think your own self-assessment of "####bird PFC" says it all,
Frankie my boy!

Frank...You have singlehandedly managed, just like Lennie and his
crew, to put yourself where you belong...In the "Liar and Loser"

category.

Make yourself at home.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2915. Re: Gee, it's awfully quiet in here...Markie Warming Up For Next Round Of Lying And Deceit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 08:56:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124960181.488139.40140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117216

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > > > It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
> > > > mommy's basement.
> > >
> > >
> > > Looks like Stevie is going totry the pretend it never happened tactic
> >
> > Nope....that was YOUR "tactic"...
>
> Nope not at all

Absolutely "at all"....

> I clearly confess I lied about my rank . I also explained why I did so

The bottom line being you lied...

You were never a Colonel...

You were never a Lieutenant, Second, First, Junior Grade or
otherwise.

You were never in the Armed Forces...Oh, I have no doubt you may
have tried to get in...Even may have worked as a civilian on a base
somewhere, but you were never a Veteran.

> > But we all clearly remembered, "Colonel"...
>
> ah yess the blame everyone else for your error tactic stevie Guess Len
> was right

There's no one else to blame for YOUR lies EXCEPT you, Markie...

> You are the one caught with your lies hanging out

No lies here, Markie.

Just laughing at yours!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2916. Re: Good News About 40 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 09:11:01 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BD34BB7807Delektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>
Source record: 117217

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in
news:aMYOe.1075$9X.216@fe09.lga:

> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96BC32D5516B8elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
>> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in
>> news:wEEOe.928$9X.669@fe09.lga:
>>
>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns96BB4C933A5F0elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
>>>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:dZcid.2863$O11.2406
>>>> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> Well, it gives us a window to work with, for
>>>>> a few countries.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> For as long as I can remember there's been a "window" on 40 meters
>>>>> where I've been able to work any country in EU. Starts at 7.000
>>>>> and stretches upward for 100KHz.
>>>>>
>>>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Not true for phone ops though, is it?
>>>
>>> "Learn it... Earn it." That spectrum has always been available to all
>>> willing to upgrade their ticket.
>>>
>>
>> You just don't get it, do you? I'm alreadt an Extra and I passed 20
>> wpm. I want to talk to people, not beep at them!
>
> I "get it" just fine, Alun. I just don't agree with it. I'm quite aware
> that you're already an Extra and passed Element 1C,

That's not apparent from what you said

>however, there is
> plenty of spectrum where one can "talk."
>
> The bottom of the bands is a place to "beep" and is quite often the
> only place where some pretty rare DX shows up. It's sad enough that the
> FCC appears willing to acquiesce to the spirit of underachievement. I
> certainly don't want to have the bottom of the bands QRM'd with phone
> transmissions just because those who celebrate this underachievement
> want more RF real estate to "talk."
>

If underacheivement isn't not having passed element 1C, then you must be labelling wanting to operate phone atall as underacheivement? So you don't like phone ops - at least we are getting down to your true colours!

> Those who have had a phone QSO pop up on top of their CW QSO on or
> about 7040 late at night may understand this a little better.
>

Those who look at the IARU bandplans will see that a phone op on 7040 is in the right place in many countries. Even here in region 2 phone extends down to 7050.

# 2917. Re: Is CW usage "under attack"? and other issues (was Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 09:41:41 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BD39EDB3B26elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90>
Source record: 117218

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:Je2dnaaFk56gxZHeRVn-qQ@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96BC3ADB5C154elektrosmdonet@130.225.247.90...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1124847115.003006.228850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> news:1124836599.836200.237520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>> >
>>>> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>>
>>
>> Yhr FCC has already agreed to let the Novices and Tech plusses use the
>> General frequencies for CW. It's mentionned in passing in the NPRM.
>> However, I think they do envisage simply turning over an equivalent
>> amount of spectrum to phone, that is to say equivalent to the size of
>> the current Novice CW subbands.
>
>
> No that is not what the FCC has agreed to as of yet. They mention that
> there is another proposal out there to do this but they have not acted
> on it. There wording does seem to indicate that they favor the change
> though. It's not a really hot issue as there are very few active
> Novices and Tech Plus amateurs out there. Most of the active ones have
> upgraded and it's irrelevant to the inactive ones. Hopefully it will
> encourage them to become more active since there is much more activity
> in the General portion of the band.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

It does say it will be in a different NPRM, but as you say, they seem to
favour it, so I beleive that means it will happen.
```

## 2918. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 09:49:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124963358.792747.166770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117219

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >>Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be > >>interesting
> >>at the very least. Should he actually get elected,
> >>I'm sure the
> >>seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him
> >>with open arms.

Well, maybe.

Interesting race - the incumbent isn't running again (due to health, I
think) and there are two other candidates.

> > I was a tad surprised to see his candidacy announced,
> > based on his
> > somewhat negative casting of ARRL in the past.

I wasn't surprised at all. It's been clear for 5 years plus
that FCC was sold on complete elimination of Element 1. NCI's
work in the USA is about done. Logical next step for him.

> > As, when I was ARRL
> > Dakota Division Vice Director, and he sent this
> > request to me..... "Now
> > why don't you do us all a favor and take your lying,
> > stagnated, ARRL
> > apologist ass out of here ..."
> >
> > Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.

Perhaps.
>
> There's a distinct possibility that Carl is a new person.
> We'll find
> out through the passage of the time leading up to the
> election. All it
> would take to sink his boat would be a single "Howard Dean
> Moment" in
> responding to a hot button issue.
>
> I was referred to as a jackbooted thug, a Nazi and worse by
> him in the past.

Was it Carl who wrote that or Len Anderson? There was a time when their styles were quite similar. But Carl calmed down and cleaned up his act about 5 years ago.

Maybe it was his exposure to W1RFI and some others. Maybe it was his anti-BPL work, maybe it was his upgrading to Extra and getting a 2x1 vanity call that caused the change.

> We were all treated to "beeper", "jumping through
> hoops", "hazing
> ritual", "electronic paintball wars" and the like.

Those I do recall. But you have to look back several years to see that sort of stuff.

> I have to admit
> though, that Carl's response to my recent posting of a few of
> his past
> quotes was quite diplomatic in tone. I understand that Carl is > actively
> campaigning by visiting Atlantic Division clubs. If the
> leopard hasn't
> changed his spots, it would be nearly impossible for him to
> keep up a
> false front under, what is certain to be, intense questioning
> from some.

Who knows? Most hams don't read rrap. Most don't know about NCI either.

> The membership of the Atlantic Division will have a chance to
> learn
> about the views of the three candidates and that they'll make
> the best
> choice from the information available to them.
>
Yes, we will. ;-)

Maybe a lot of folks will join ARRL in order to vote for WK3C. Maybe a lot will join to vote against him. Maybe the whole Morse Code test issue isn't such a big deal to the majority of members in the Atlantic Division, or maybe they see it as a done deal and time to move along.

In any event, we haven't seen any of those 'HD moments' in many a year. And that's a good thing.

None of this is an endorsement for or against WK3C in his run for the Directorship. Just some observations.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2919. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 10:19:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124965177.436230.254620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117220

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > I was referred to as a jackbooted thug, a Nazi and worse by
> > him in the past.
>
> Was it Carl who wrote that or Len Anderson? There was a time when their
> styles were quite similar. But Carl calmed down and cleaned up his act
> about 5 years ago.
>
> Maybe it was his exposure to W1RFI and some others. Maybe it was his
> anti-BPL work, maybe it was his
> upgrading to Extra and getting a 2x1 vanity call that caused
> the change.

I am pretty sure that those epithets were strictly Lenniesque. I
remember some heated exchanges with Carl, but never remember him
falling back to Third Reich references.

In either case, Good Luck to Carl...Stepping up to an elected post
in ANY volunteer organization can be a very fulfilling experience.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2920. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 10:42:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BghPe.102$4P5.75@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117221

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2005 17:35:53 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> <1124930153.958439.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
>> Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
>> down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
>> that he deems are his "opponents."
>
>
>
> Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
> fighting his fights for him.

It's only fair, Twiddle Frank. After all, we've got a CBer and a
non-ham (Twaddle Len) writing all sorts of malicious nonsense.

>
> <snip>
>
>> LAPD are
>> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
>> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
>
>
>
> So do Marines.

There you go. You've got a mission. Contact the Corps about Steve and
let them know that he wasn't a Marine.

>
>
>>><snip>
>>
>>>> A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
>>>> in 50 years,
>>>
>>>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>>>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>>>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>>>Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the

>>>public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
>>>like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
>>>faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
>>>has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.
>>
>> I was referring to the general nature of the form. :-)
>
>
>
> My bad.

...but just a few posts ago, a DD-214 (according to you) was for
transfers and made Steve guilty of something.

>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
>
>
>
> Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> Blue Nose card.

What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?

Dave K8MN

## 2921. Markie Making More Stuff Up as He Goes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 10:47:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124966841.362392.28820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117222

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Re: OK Markie...You Said I Admitted Libel...Now Show us WHERE...
>
> another lie stevie

No, it's not.

> I never said you admitted to libel I said you
> admitted to getting you access cut becuase of libel. I also said youd
> deny it was libel

"because" "you'd"

S T I L L not true, Markie!

I >>N E V E R<< said AOL "cut" my "access".

And it wasn't libel. Read the post YOU quoted.

> so buzz another lie on your part

S T I L L no lie from me, Markie!

WHY do you insist on making posts that are, in-and-of-themselves,
patently false, Markie...?!?!

I left the entire previous post intact below...

SHOW ME where the admission of having my AOL access cut was made,
Markie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > was chatching (catching) up on Stevie and noticed this post where Stevie admit AOL
> > > found his stuff libelous (he denies it was but admits AOL thought so)
> >
> > Here's YOUR post, intact, Markie.
> >
> > YOU said that I "admit(ed)" that AOL "found (my) stuff libelous".
> >
> > WHERE in that post, Markie, did I "admit" that AOL found my stuff
> > libelous?
> >
> > AOL's letter to me only stated that there was a "complaint" and
> > they removed the page due to "controversy".
> >

> > LENNIE said it was libelous...but then Lennie also chides others
> > for being "thin skinned", but clearly can't stand having his own deciet
> > and misdeeds fed back to him.
> >
> > MY comment was that AOL took the path of least resistance. No
> > more...no less...
> >
> > > k4yz said on May 29 2004
> > > >Subject: Re: CW Testing Question
> > > >From: lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > >Date: 5/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <20040528225612.28114.00000...@mb-m10.aol.com>
> > > >> If somethings true, it's not libelous.
> > >
> > > > AOL thought it was VERY libelous and cancelled that alternate
> > > > screen name you used then.
> > >
> > > > You went ballistic on that "home page" and were clearly deep
> > > > into libelous personal attack. AOL concurred.
> > >
> > > AOL did what AOL always does...they took the path of least
> > > resistance.
> > >
> > > >>You DID misrepresent your intentions with an e-mail that
> > > >>contained OTHER than what you attested to it being.
> > >
> > > > Poor baby. A simple mistake and you go BALLISTIC!
> > >
> > > cut the rest
> >
> > Of course you "cut the rest".
> >
> > You usually do when it portends embarrasment.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

## 2922. Re: day 12 when is Markie going to stop with the paranoia?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 10:53:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124967186.158323.266580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117223

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> when are you going to stop with the bull####?

In order to "stop" I would have had to "start".

> when you going to admit you can't affect me with claims of reporting
> me?

I already have. The "post" I am responding to is proof itself.

> How long is long enough before you will admit the state will do
> nothing?

It's not for me to "admit"...It's for the State to do.

> when you going to stop lying about your license in the medcial field?

"medical"

I don't have a medical license. Never did, never SAID I did.

> just a simply when is all that is needed.

How about putting that into comprehensible English?

> yes this is an attack thread

Of course it is. There's no other kind for you, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2923. Re: Markie admitts (admits) to lying to the NG and to the state of his mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 10:59:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124967590.508492.99950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117224

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Stevie yesterday admitt (admit) hat he has been lying in claiming that lying is
> a sign of mental illiness and so admits that many of his rants over the
> years have been lies

I said nothing of the sort.

Mark, I DARE you to quote a SINGLE SENTENCE where I made any such
"admission".

> It isn't Dr Robeson, but Rev. Robeson that has been speaking, preaching
> and trying to force his morality down everyone's throat

It's better than what Pagan Pervert Markie wants to shove down
people's throats.

> quote
> K4YZ Aug 22, 9:26 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> Date: 22 Aug 2005 18:26:33 -0700
> Local: Mon, Aug 22 2005 9:26 pm
> Subject: Markie Making It Up As He Goes
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
>
>
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
>
> > > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
>
> > > Lying is a sickness.
>
> > Not according to AMA
>
> It's not a medical issue.
>
> endquote

You forgot to leave intact where I said it IS a psychological issue, Markie.

Chronic lying IS a mental health issue.

You ARE a chronic liar.

One can be in perfect "physical" (read that "medical") health all-the-while being a mental train wreck.

That you tried to pawn off THIS post as some sort of "evidence" that I "admitted" something to which I had never said in the first place IS evidence that you are a liar.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2924. Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 11:12:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124968377.446171.230560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117225

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:

> > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> > Blue Nose card.
>
> What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?

The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...

Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird PFC"...?!??!

Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...

I made Lance Corporal before I could get the "cash sales" smell out of my uniforms...Corporal on the second round of cutting scores (and that was in the rank-tight Avionics MOS of 6616)...Sergeant in less three years of my arrival in boot camp...

Some resource on USMC policy Frank of Silliland turned out to be!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2925. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 11:22:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fd9rg1l3tl4lvc23i681n5pbh0epdoafgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 117226

On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 10:42:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> sang:
"H-e-e-e-r-e I come to save the d-a-a-a-y!"

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 24 Aug 2005 17:35:53 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> <1124930153.958439.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>> Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
>>> down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
>>> that he deems are his "opponents."
>>
>>
>>
>> Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
>> fighting his fights for him.
>
>It's only fair, Twiddle Frank. After all, we've got a CBer and a
>non-ham (Twaddle Len) writing all sorts of malicious nonsense.

What you have is a USMC imposter that is probably also a ham imposter,
and two EE's with verifiable military backgrounds.

>> <snip>
>>
>>> LAPD are
>>> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
>>> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
>>
>>
>>
>> So do Marines.
>
>There you go. You've got a mission. Contact the Corps about Steve and
>let them know that he wasn't a Marine.

I let them know that a person in here is claiming to be a retired USMC
gunny but is most likely an imposter, if that's what you mean. If they
choose to act on it that's up to them.

>>>><snip>
>>>

>>>>> A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
>>>>> in 50 years,
>>>>
>>>>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>>>>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>>>>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>>>>Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the
>>>>public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
>>>>like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
>>>>faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
>>>>has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.
>>>
>>> I was referring to the general nature of the form. :-)
>>
>>
>>
>> My bad.
>
>...but just a few posts ago, a DD-214 (according to you) was for
>transfers and made Steve guilty of something.

I see that you are just as deficient in quantitative reasoning skills
as you are in qualitative reasoning skills. To wit: From 1955 to 1979
the DD-214 was used for both transfers and discharges. Dudly claims to
have served from 1974 until 1992, and therefore would have served five
of those years during the time when the DD-214 was used for transfers.
The only people who stay at one duty station for five years or more
are high-ranking uppity-ups. Therefore, Gunny Robeson would have at
least one (and probably two) DD-214's -in addition to- the DD-214 used
for his discharge. Dudly only claims to have -one- DD-214. Therefore,
Dudly is -not- Gunny Robeson.

Did you understand that or do you need me to draw you a picture?

>>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
>>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
>>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
>>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
>>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
>>
>>
>>
>> Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>> Blue Nose card.
>
>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?

What kind of Marine lets someone else fight his battles?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2926. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 12:22:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4KiPe.1947$z2.1643@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117227

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>>I was referred to as a jackbooted thug, a Nazi and worse by
>>>him in the past.
>>
>>Was it Carl who wrote that or Len Anderson? There was a time when their
>>styles were quite similar. But Carl calmed down and cleaned up his act
>>about 5 years ago.
>>
>>Maybe it was his exposure to W1RFI and some others. Maybe it was his
>>anti-BPL work, maybe it was his
>>upgrading to Extra and getting a 2x1 vanity call that caused
>>the change.
>
>
> I am pretty sure that those epithets were strictly Lenniesque. I
> remember some heated exchanges with Carl, but never remember him
> falling back to Third Reich references.
>
> In either case, Good Luck to Carl...Stepping up to an elected post
> in ANY volunteer organization can be a very fulfilling experience.

It must have been Len's work. I apologize to incorrectly attributing
such statements to Carl. I searched and found only a couple of similar
things which could be traced to Carl:

1996

"Discipline and blind acceptance of dogma are crucial if your idea of
government is the jackbooted, indocrinate the youth, cram 'the way'
down everyone's throats whether they like it or not because we say so"
form of government ... that seems to be the pro-code ideal in many
cases ..."

1997 to Mike Deignan:

"That you pro-coders wish to limit participation in the debate to
only incumbent, coded hams shows your EXTREME need for control and
your EXTREME lack of good faith in these debates. Your tactics
border on Fascist/Totalitarian_dictatorship (gee, that's a LOT like
the ARRL BOD as I think about it ...) in nature, because you seek
to eliminate/ignore all voices who dare utter opposing views."

1998 to me:
"It would seem that your *facist* beliefs would preclude the
possibility of freedom of choice in such matters when that
choice would disagree with your personal preference, even
though that choice would have virtually NO impact on you."

and

"Right Dave ... and everyone who has ever been accused of war crimes
tried to use the excuse 'I was just following orders. It wasn't *MY*
fault.' Wrong is wrong ... and your tacit support of the maintenance of
tyranical Morseodism marks you amongst the guilty despite your
protestations to the contrary."

Additionally, I ran across a couple of Carl's past statements on the
League and its officials:

From late 1997:

"What part of that surprises you??? Sumner is a weasel and all he cares
about is begging for more money so he can make sure his paycheck doesn't
bounce."

and

"I hope it made you feel better to 'vent' ... because I'll bet money
that that was all the exercise (telling your ARRL BOD member how you
felt) was good for ... I have YET to find one who gives a damn what
anyone else thinks ... maybe one exists, but I sure haven't found him/her."

and

"I have yet to find a BOD member who has a good answer for ANYTHING, Gary."

and

"So he's a typical ARRL official ... backward and clueless."

and

"The BOD member I am talking about is EXACTLY like that ... he has NO
vision whatsoever ... he comes across nice, and he's probably worked
DXCC, but he is totally clueless about anything that is more than
minimally technical and he has all of the leadership instincts of a
sub-average lemming. But he's SO nice ... in a
Bill_Clinton_slick_politican/really_smooth_used_car_salesman
sort of way, so he keeps getting elected."

Dave K8MN

# 2927. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 12:31:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pSiPe.123$4P5.32@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117228

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 10:42:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> sang:
> "H-e-e-e-r-e I come to save the d-a-a-a-y!"
>
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>On 24 Aug 2005 17:35:53 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>><1124930153.958439.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
>>> down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
>>> that he deems are his "opponents."
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
>>>fighting his fights for him.
>>
>>It's only fair, Twiddle Frank. After all, we've got a CBer and a
>>non-ham (Twaddle Len) writing all sorts of malicious nonsense.
>
>
>
> What you have is a USMC imposter that is probably also a ham imposter,
> and two EE's with verifiable military backgrounds.

I'm beginning to understand how you came to make PFC over and over.
According to a CBer named Frank Gilliland, Steve was not a Marine and is
probably not a radio amateur. I see. *guffaw*

>
><snip>
>>>
>>>LAPD are
>>> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
>>> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>So do Marines.
>>
>>There you go. You've got a mission. Contact the Corps about Steve and
>>let them know that he wasn't a Marine.
>
>
>
> I let them know that a person in here is claiming to be a retired USMC
> gunny but is most likely an imposter, if that's what you mean. If they
> choose to act on it that's up to them.

You let them know? Really? I have trouble believing you, Frank.

>
>
>>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
>>>>>> in 50 years,
>>>>>
>>>>>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>>>>>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>>>>>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>>>>>Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the
>>>>>public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
>>>>>like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
>>>>>faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
>>>>>has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.
>>>>
>>>> I was referring to the general nature of the form. :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>My bad.
>>
>>...but just a few posts ago, a DD-214 (according to you) was for
>>transfers and made Steve guilty of something.
>
>
>
> I see that you are just as deficient in quantitative reasoning skills
> as you are in qualitative reasoning skills. To wit: From 1955 to 1979
> the DD-214 was used for both transfers and discharges. Dudly claims to
> have served from 1974 until 1992, and therefore would have served five
> of those years during the time when the DD-214 was used for transfers.
> The only people who stay at one duty station for five years or more
> are high-ranking uppity-ups. Therefore, Gunny Robeson would have at
> least one (and probably two) DD-214's -in addition to- the DD-214 used
> for his discharge. Dudly only claims to have -one- DD-214. Therefore,
> Dudly is -not- Gunny Robeson.

>
> Did you understand that or do you need me to draw you a picture?

Sure, Frank. I understood what you wrote. I just can't accept it as fact. My DD-214 (you know, the full-sized one which I don't carry around with me), issued in 1972 says nothing about transfers. It is the only DD-214 issued to me in four years of service. I had three transfers while in the Air Force. None were on a DD-214. Go figure.

>
>>>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
>>>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
>>>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
>>>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
>>>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>>Blue Nose card.
>>
>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>
>
>
> What kind of Marine lets someone else fight his battles?

You answer my question, I'll answer yours.

Dave K8MN

# 2928. Frank of Silliland: Newsgroup Mercenary...Now To Figure Out Who's Paying the Tab...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 12:37:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124973440.819041.178710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117229

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 10:42:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> sang:
> "H-e-e-e-r-e I come to save the d-a-a-a-y!"

> >> Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
> >> fighting his fights for him.
> >
> >It's only fair, Twiddle Frank. After all, we've got a CBer and a
> >non-ham (Twaddle Len) writing all sorts of malicious nonsense.
>
> What you have is a USMC imposter that is probably also a ham imposter,
> and two EE's with verifiable military backgrounds.

What we REALLY ahve here is some mealy-mouthed newsgroup mercenary
who thought he could through some 'nomenclature" around and impress
folks.

Didn't work.

Back to Silliland, frank.

> >> <snip>
> >>
> >>> LAPD are
> >>> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
> >>> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
> >>
> >> So do Marines.
> >
> >There you go. You've got a mission. Contact the Corps about Steve and
>
> I let them know that a person in here is claiming to be a retired USMC
> gunny but is most likely an imposter, if that's what you mean. If they
> choose to act on it that's up to them.

You did nothing of the sort.

> I see that you are just as deficient in quantitative reasoning skills
> as you are in qualitative reasoning skills. To wit: From 1955 to 1979
> the DD-214 was used for both transfers and discharges. Dudly claims to
> have served from 1974 until 1992, and therefore would have served five
> of those years during the time when the DD-214 was used for transfers.
> The only people who stay at one duty station for five years or more
> are high-ranking uppity-ups. Therefore, Gunny Robeson would have at
> least one (and probably two) DD-214's -in addition to- the DD-214 used

> for his discharge. Dudly only claims to have -one- DD-214. Therefore,
> Dudly is -not- Gunny Robeson.
>
> Did you understand that or do you need me to draw you a picture?

You might as well draw a picture, Frankie...crayons or
watercolors?

In either case it will look as infantile as your efforts to
belittle MY service in the United States Marine Corps.

> >>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
> >>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
> >>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
> >>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
> >>
> >> Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >> Blue Nose card.
> >
> >What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>
> What kind of Marine lets someone else fight his battles?

Hey, YOU'RE the mercenary here, Frankie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2929. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 12:43:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124973813.014407.93470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117230

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank of Silliland wrote:

> > I let them know that a person in here is claiming to be a retired USMC
> > gunny but is most likely an imposter, if that's what you mean. If they
> > choose to act on it that's up to them.
>
> You let them know? Really? I have trouble believing you, Frank.

That makes two of us.

The Sergant Major of the Marine Corps would fall off his chair
laughing at some silliness like that!

But of course Frank IS rom Silliland, so I bet he's used to it!

> > I see that you are just as deficient in quantitative reasoning skills
> > as you are in qualitative reasoning skills. To wit: From 1955 to 1979
> > the DD-214 was used for both transfers and discharges. Dudly claims to
> > have served from 1974 until 1992, and therefore would have served five
> > of those years during the time when the DD-214 was used for transfers.
> > The only people who stay at one duty station for five years or more
> > are high-ranking uppity-ups. Therefore, Gunny Robeson would have at
> > least one (and probably two) DD-214's -in addition to- the DD-214 used
> > for his discharge. Dudly only claims to have -one- DD-214. Therefore,
> > Dudly is -not- Gunny Robeson.
> >
> > Did you understand that or do you need me to draw you a picture?
>
> Sure, Frank. I understood what you wrote. I just can't accept it as
> fact. My DD-214 (you know, the full-sized one which I don't carry
> around with me), issued in 1972 says nothing about transfers. It is the
> only DD-214 issued to me in four years of service. I had three
> transfers while in the Air Force. None were on a DD-214. Go figure.

The ONLY DD-214's I received in the USMC were at re-enlistments
and my final -214.

The -214 is NOT a "transfer" document except to "transfer" from
Active Duty to severence or retirement.

> >>>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
> >>>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
> >>>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
> >>>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...

> >>>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >>>Blue Nose card.
> >>
> >>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >
> >
> >
> > What kind of Marine lets someone else fight his battles?
>
> You answer my question, I'll answer yours.

In other words Frankie wants you to back off so he and the Feeble
Five can "have at me" by them selves...Guess it TAKES five...

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2930. Re: Stevie can't admit to lying when he didn't do it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 12:51:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124974265.178007.277490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117231

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> stevie claimed to have called Michigan now claims to have sent a letter

I never said I "called" anyone, Markie.

> to whom Stevie

Why, Markie?

If they decide to act on it, you'll be given your opportunity to
answer it.

Should I tell you I have no doubt you'd try to call and
intercede...But then you'd only substantiate my claims of your
paranoia.

And you don't want THAT, do you...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2931. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 12:55:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hgfrg15a6l4d9jq4tkvshr8nc24oo85qsf@4ax.com>
Source record: 117232

On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 12:31:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <pSiPe.123$4P5.32@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 10:42:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> sang:
>> "H-e-e-e-r-e I come to save the d-a-a-a-y!"
>>
>>
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 24 Aug 2005 17:35:53 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>>><1124930153.958439.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
>>>>> down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
>>>>> that he deems are his "opponents."
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
>>>>fighting his fights for him.
>>>
>>>It's only fair, Twiddle Frank. After all, we've got a CBer and a
>>>non-ham (Twaddle Len) writing all sorts of malicious nonsense.
>>
>>
>>
>> What you have is a USMC imposter that is probably also a ham imposter,
>> and two EE's with verifiable military backgrounds.
>
>I'm beginning to understand how you came to make PFC over and over.
>According to a CBer named Frank Gilliland, Steve was not a Marine and is
>probably not a radio amateur. I see. *guffaw*

No, I don't think you see at all; I'm saying that the person in this
newsgroup posting as N4YZ is not Gunnery Sergeant Steven J. Robeson,
USMC Retired. As far as I know it's you posting as K4YZ (seeing as how
you two tend to post at almost the same time of day).

>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>LAPD are
>>>>> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
>>>>> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So do Marines.
>>>
>>>There you go. You've got a mission. Contact the Corps about Steve and
>>>let them know that he wasn't a Marine.
>>
>>
>>
>> I let them know that a person in here is claiming to be a retired USMC
>> gunny but is most likely an imposter, if that's what you mean. If they
>> choose to act on it that's up to them.
>
>You let them know? Really? I have trouble believing you, Frank.

I really don't care what you believe, Dave.

>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
>>>>>>> in 50 years,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>>>>>>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>>>>>>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>>>>>>Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the
>>>>>>public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
>>>>>>like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
>>>>>>faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
>>>>>>has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.
>>>>>
>>>>> I was referring to the general nature of the form. :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>My bad.
>>>
>>>...but just a few posts ago, a DD-214 (according to you) was for
>>>transfers and made Steve guilty of something.
>>
>>
>>
>> I see that you are just as deficient in quantitative reasoning skills
>> as you are in qualitative reasoning skills. To wit: From 1955 to 1979
>> the DD-214 was used for both transfers and discharges. Dudly claims to
>> have served from 1974 until 1992, and therefore would have served five

>> of those years during the time when the DD-214 was used for transfers.
>> The only people who stay at one duty station for five years or more
>> are high-ranking uppity-ups. Therefore, Gunny Robeson would have at
>> least one (and probably two) DD-214's -in addition to- the DD-214 used
>> for his discharge. Dudly only claims to have -one- DD-214. Therefore,
>> Dudly is -not- Gunny Robeson.
>>
>> Did you understand that or do you need me to draw you a picture?
>
>Sure, Frank. I understood what you wrote. I just can't accept it as
>fact. My DD-214 (you know, the full-sized one which I don't carry
>around with me), issued in 1972 says nothing about transfers.

You may have some new information. What's the title and edition date
of -your- DD-214?

> It is the
>only DD-214 issued to me in four years of service. I had three
>transfers while in the Air Force. None were on a DD-214. Go figure.

Interesting indeed.

>>>>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
>>>>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
>>>>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
>>>>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
>>>>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>>>Blue Nose card.
>>>
>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>>
>>
>>
>> What kind of Marine lets someone else fight his battles?
>
>You answer my question, I'll answer yours.

Grow up.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2932. Hey Markie...Do The "Rules" Not Apply To You Too...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 13:00:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124974819.949336.7210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117233

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Mark,
> > > > > >
> > > > > cutting
> > > > >
> > > > > > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > > > > > to be
> > > > > > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
> > > > >
> > > > > Stevie making a pass at me
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep you are in plain langauge
> >
> > Nope. More of your delusions of grandeur, Markie.
>
> nope more of you your sexual harassment

What "sexual harrassment"...?!?!

> > > > > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > > > > disagree
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > > > > participants at
> > > > > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > > > > then have
> > > > > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > > > > something
> > > > > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > > > > don't
> > > > > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > > > > consequence.
> > > > > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > > > > subject
> > > > > > to change!
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > a nice clear death threat
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > sure is
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Not then...Not today...
>
> was then and remains today

Nope and nope.

But your continuous ranting about it certainly substantiates my
claims that you are paranoid.

> > > > > no way that is anything but a death threat
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a lot of things...A death threat isn't one of them.
> > > >
> > > > It can only be a death threat
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > It has nothing to do with hamradio
> > >
> > > The what were YOU doing making your own "best shot" comments?
> >
> > shots do not always involve gun or killing

No, they don't.

But afterall, Markie, YOU have stated it's not what the "spaeker"
intended, but what YOU perceived...

A "shot" in the context you made YOUR comment, especially
considering my military service, either meant a firearm or a punch...In
either case, a violent act.

> > > nothing to with the topic "Cw it more than just a mode"
> > >
> > > What were you doing making slanderous comments?
> >
> > I was not making one

Here we are again, Markie. YOU have stated it's not what the
"spaeker" intended, but what (YOU) perceived...

So...does that concept NOT apply to YOU...?!?!

> can't have been I could have been making libelous one, but I was doing
> that either

Sure you were...

The tone, content and delivery of your comments were ALL meant to incite and inflame. No more...no less...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2933. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 13:06:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124975170.080554.226960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117234

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 12:31:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <pSiPe.123$4P5.32@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

> >I'm beginning to understand how you came to make PFC over and over.
> >According to a CBer named Frank Gilliland, Steve was not a Marine and is
> >probably not a radio amateur. I see. *guffaw*
>
>
> No, I don't think you see at all; I'm saying that the person in this
> newsgroup posting as N4YZ is not Gunnery Sergeant Steven J. Robeson,
> USMC Retired.

No one here IS posting as N4YZ, Fankie.

> As far as I know it's you posting as K4YZ (seeing as how
> you two tend to post at almost the same time of day).

You're posting a the same time too, Frankie...

Maybe YOU are Dave, K8MN >>AND<< K4YZ...?!?!

> >> I let them know that a person in here is claiming to be a retired USMC
> >> gunny but is most likely an imposter, if that's what you mean. If they
> >> choose to act on it that's up to them.
> >
> >You let them know? Really? I have trouble believing you, Frank.
>
> I really don't care what you believe, Dave.

You're responding to his posts...Obviously you DO care.

> >Sure, Frank. I understood what you wrote. I just can't accept it as
> >fact. My DD-214 (you know, the full-sized one which I don't carry
> >around with me), issued in 1972 says nothing about transfers.
>
> You may have some new information. What's the title and edition date
> of -your- DD-214?

I imagine YOUR "information" is only as current as the last Clancy
novel you read.

> > It is the
> >only DD-214 issued to me in four years of service. I had three
> >transfers while in the Air Force. None were on a DD-214. Go figure.
>

> Interesting indeed.

What's interesting about it?

> >>>>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
> >>>>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
> >>>>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
> >>>>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
> >>>>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >>>>Blue Nose card.
> >>>
> >>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >>
> >> What kind of Marine lets someone else fight his battles?
> >
> >You answer my question, I'll answer yours.
>
> Grow up.

Well HEAVEN FORBID that you'd actually answer a question instead of
trying to fan flamewars that you are only Johnny-come-lately too,
Frankie!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2934. The True Mark Morgan Agenda...Let's See If Markie Can Answer the Questions Without Changing, "cuting" Or Avoiding The Questions (My Money's On Him Wimping Out)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 13:57:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124978276.675989.109180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117235

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > KY4Z never wrote:

> > > That you were going to take some kind of EFECTIVE action for one
> >
> > So far, it HAS been...
>
> at geting you flamed I guess it has

Nope...Just doing part of what I said I could do.

It's working.

> > > > > yout threats to Todd
> > > >
> > > > I didn't threaten Todd.
> > >
> > > you sure did
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure have

Nope.

> > > you have failed to produce any effective action
> >
> > Sure I have.
>
> what action?

You can't tell?

> > > that is a simple fact
> >
> > It's a simple fact that I have had an impact.
>
> what impact?

You can't tell?

I can!

> > > you must have sounded like such a loon they did not bother to even
> > > investagate you charges
> >
> > "your"
> >
> > Nope. The letter was written professionally.
>
> how can you professional say something like "becuase so and is liar he
> is a danger to himself and others"

"because"

It had nothing to do with simple lying, Markie.

> you can't it had to be loaded with you twisted illogic

"your"

There was nothing "twisted" about it.

> > (No 'pool of typists' needed here, Markie...)
> >
> > > > > evade change the subject anything but deal with the truth
> > > > >
> > > > You're the one who keeps changing the "subject", Markie...
> > >
> > > nope you change it every post
> > >
> > > the subject is YOUR Lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure is

Nope.

> Your lie in claiming to acomlished something

"accomplished"

I have.

> > > > > > > You claim you need not treat MY callsign with respect
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I never said anything of the sort.
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes you did
> > > > >
> > > > Then prove THAT too!
> > >
> > > already have go back in the threads
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I made ONE error, a typo, and immediately acknowledged it and have

> > corrected it since.
>
> No correction no apology

Sure there was a correction.

Show me JUST ONCE from that posting forward where I have made the same error, Markie...

> you don't go making a guy look for an apology
>
> cut the repeat

The "repeat" here is YOU looking to make something out of nothing in order to validate your "victim" status.

> > I did. Told you EXACTLY where it was.
>
> No you did not

Yes I did.

> since your posts don't hit the usene tin the oerder you send em
> sometimes they spread apart by several hours

Sure they hit USENET in the order I sent them!

Usually within 30 seconds.

> another stevie lie

No...Another MARKIE lie!

And allow me to RE-INSERT part of what you "cut" as a "rep"...

(What it IS is YOU refusing to acknowledge that the ERROR you claim I am making was never addressed...)

QUOTE:

> > > > WHERE, since then, have I mistyped your callsign?

You didn't answer the question, Markie.

WHERE SINCE have I made that error?

I did it and it was an accident. I acknowledged it.

UNQUOTE

Are you going to acknowledge and answer the question, or just keep "cuting" it, hoping no one sees you dodging the facts...?!?!

> > > what you going to do threaten to report me again?
> > >
> > > You are the little kid screaming that someone said a bad word
> >

> > Maybe if more little kids were screaming about "bad words", some
> > of the bad words would go away.
>
> maybe if you were adult enough to accept that others view the world
> differently things would be better too, but no chance of that

Maybe if you were man enough to ACT like a man instead of a 3 year
old that refuses to be weaned from his security blanket...

> cuting another rep

"cuting" more embarrassing (for Markie) stuff he doesn't want to
answer and hopes no one else saw...

> > > > Set's the record straight on who's the liar here, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > > > Why? Other than to substantiate MY claim of YOUR mistruthfulness
> > > > > > and deceit, what do you think mis-attributing my comments to someone
> > > > > > else accomplishes?
> > > > >
> > > > > it clearly anoys you
> > > >
> > > > It only "anoys" me that you are continuing to misrepresent the
> > > > truth.
> > >
> > > then apologize
> >
> > For what?
>
> for your error

You are not due any apology by me...

> > > Be a man
> >
> > I am one.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > > that you lie and decieve all the time
> >
> > Nope. I don't need to.
>
> then why do you ?

I don't. That I so easily unravel your tales is proof enough.

> > When I typo'd your callsign, it was an accident and I acknowledged
> > it.
>
> I don't believe it was an accident, here is newsflash I don't believe

> much of what you say

No newsflash needed, Markie.

People who chronically lie like you do do so because they
can't/won't deal with reality or truth...

> > You're doing this maliciously.
>
> I am doing to porve a point no malice

There's MORE of your cutting stuff out but commenting on it
anyway...

Allow me to re-install it.

> cut

More embarrasment to avoid, eh, Markie?

> > So here we have and OVERT acknowledgement of an "Attack"...
>
> yes
>
> >
> > BY YOU on ME.
>
> yes indeed
>
> the best defense is good offense

But your "offense" is to lie and deceive, and to drag third
parties into fights that they have no idea are going on...

> cuting repition

Congratulations!

You made 100%

"cutting" "repetition"

> > > cuting the repitition
> > >
> > > > And disrespectful to KY4Z.
> > >
> > > Not at all I am using him in noble cause
> >
> > First of all you admit you're "using" him...WITHOUT his consent.
>
> you use people all the time you were using and abusing me when I wasn't
> here, without my consent

I don't "use" anyone!

I don't "involve" anyone who isn't in this forum.

And like it or not, your PREVIOUS lies, deceit and conduct in this
forum are part of it's archives!

> > Nope.
> >
> > > you are choosing to abuse the poor fellow you claim to feel for rather
> > > than be man and apologize for your mistake
> >
> > Already acknowledged the error, Markie.
> >
> > But it wasn't YOUR callsign...KB9EQZ is unassigned.
>
> so You did not apologize to the one offended

For what? To whom?

> > > do yourself a kevorkian
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > That is, afterall the only way Stevie Robeson will ever stop lying.
> >
> > I'd have to start in order to stop.
>
> you started long ago

Nope.

> I just posted proof of another of your lies

To post "another" there'd have to be a first.

Now...let's see if we can get Markie to REALLY answer the
questions I posed above...How many did he "cut"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2935. "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 14:41:12 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <cMkPe.1972$z2.1240@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117236

If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
will there be anyone left?

## 2936. Re: Summary Of Stevie Deceit..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:38:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124984294.456621.93360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117238

```
K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
> > >
> > > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
> > >
all ture

massive pruming
```

# 2937. Re: More Of Stevei lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:41:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124984504.625050.280820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117239

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > > > > It's so quiet you can almost hear Steve pouting in the corner of his
> > > > > mommy's basement.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Looks like Stevie is going totry the pretend it never happened tactic
> > >
> > > Nope....that was YOUR "tactic"...
> >
> > Nope not at all
>
> Absolutely "at all"....

indeed another cofession

and you gtry to go on the attack
>
> > I clearly confess I lied about my rank . I also explained why I did so
>
> The bottom line being you lied...

so what?

you threatened to kill me

>
> You were never a Colonel...
>
> You were never a Lieutenant, Second, First, Junior Grade or
> otherwise.
>
> You were never in the Armed Forces...Oh, I have no doubt you may
> have tried to get in...Even may have worked as a civilian on a base
> somewhere, but you were never a Veteran.

worng again Stevie I have my dd 214
>
> > > But we all clearly remembered, "Colonel"...
> >
> > ah yess the blame everyone else for your error tactic stevie Guess Len
> > was right
>

> There's no one else to blame for YOUR lies EXCEPT you, Markie...

you made the threat 7 years ago and you are still pissed about it

grow up
more bs

# 2938. Re: Stevie Making More Stuff Up as He Goes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:43:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124984635.998353.224960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117240

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Re: OK Markie...You Said I Admitted Libel...Now Show us WHERE...
> >
> > another lie stevie
>
> No, it's not.

yes it is lie

I never said you admited to the libel

there your title was and is a lie

>
> > I never said you admitted to libel I said you
> > admitted to getting you access cut becuase of libel. I also said youd
> > deny it was libel
>
> "because" "you'd"
>
> S T I L L not true, Markie!
>
> I >>N E V E R<< said AOL "cut" my "access".

sure did
>
> And it wasn't libel. Read the post YOU quoted.

I read it it was libelous

>
> > so buzz another lie on your part
>
> S T I L L no lie from me, Markie!

sure was

>
> WHY do you insist on making posts that are, in-and-of-themselves,
> patently false, Markie...?!?!

I have not
cuting stevie efforts to waste bandwidth

## 2939. Stevie admits to lying about his LPN license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:46:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124984796.917038.309280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117241

K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > when you going to stop lying about your license in the medcial field?
>
> "medical"
>
> I don't have a medical license. Never did, never SAID I did.

There we have it ladies and gents

# 2940. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) to lying to the NG and to the state of his mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:49:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124984941.256603.322710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117242

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Stevie yesterday admitt (admit) hat he has been lying in claiming that lying is
> > a sign of mental illiness and so admits that many of his rants over the
> > years have been lies
>
> I said nothing of the sort.
it was right there in the stuff you cut

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> > Lying is a sickness.
> Not according to AMA

It's not a medical issue.

endquote

you said it isn't a medical issue

there you have lied everytime you have said it was
>
> Mark, I DARE you to quote a SINGLE SENTENCE where I made any such
> "admission".
>
> > It isn't Dr Robeson, but Rev. Robeson that has been speaking, preaching
> > and trying to force his morality down everyone's throat
>
sexual rant cut
>
cuting

## 2941. Re: Stevie can't stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:51:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124985078.346201.203610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117243

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > stevie claimed to have called Michigan now claims to have sent a letter
>
> I never said I "called" anyone, Markie.

lying

sure did

yousaid you tlaked to someone and that they said theyd do something

>
> > to whom Stevie
>
> Why, Markie?

to prove or not prove you action
>
> If they decide to act on it, you'll be given your opportunity to
> answer it.
>
> Should I tell you I have no doubt you'd try to call and
> intercede...But then you'd only substantiate my claims of your
> paranoia.

not at all
>
> And you don't want THAT, do you...?!?!

another lie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2942. Stevie thinks The Rules do Not Apply To him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:53:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124985225.817993.221680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117244

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > Mark,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cutting
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > > > > > > to be
> > > > > > > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Stevie making a pass at me
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > yep you are in plain langauge
> > > >
> > > Nope. More of your delusions of grandeur, Markie.
> > >
> > nope more of you your sexual harassment
> >
> What "sexual harrassment"...?!?!

yours

>
> > > > > > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > > > > > disagree
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > > > > > participants at
> > > > > > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > > > > > then have
> > > > > > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > > > > > something
> > > > > > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > > > > > don't
> > > > > > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > > > > > consequence.
> > > > > > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE

> > > > > > > subject
> > > > > > > to change!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > a nice clear death threat
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > sure is
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Not then...Not today...
> >
> > was then and remains today
>
> Nope and nope.

sure a death

and no one invites a death threat

just like no one invites rape or any other crime

# 2943. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 15:55:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124985341.036861.36240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117245

when you going to stop avoiding the question

most likely never
K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 12:31:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > in <pSiPe.123$4P5.32@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
cut
> > >You answer my question, I'll answer yours.
> >
> > Grow up.
>
> Well HEAVEN FORBID that you'd actually answer a question instead of
> trying to fan flamewars that you are only Johnny-come-lately too,
> Frankie!

why should or I for that matter answer your question

you don't answer answeringany yourself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2944. Cuting stveie long winded liblous title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:01:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124985666.893913.68010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117246

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KY4Z never wrote:
> >
> > > > That you were going to take some kind of EFECTIVE action for one
> > > >
> > > So far, it HAS been...
> > >
> > at geting you flamed I guess it has
> >
> Nope...Just doing part of what I said I could do.

then you lied

>
> It's working.

really?

cue.
>
> > > > you have failed to produce any effective action
> > > >
> > > Sure I have.
> > >
> > what action?
> >
> You can't tell?

indeed I can't

all I see is your normal Bullshit

more bs

> > > > you must have sounded like such a loon they did not bother to even
> > > > investagate you charges
> > > >
> > > "your"
> > >
> > > Nope. The letter was written professionally.
> > >
> > how can you professional say something like "becuase so and is liar he
> > is a danger to himself and others"
> >

> "because"
>
> It had nothing to do with simple lying, Markie.

that is all you have
>
> > you can't it had to be loaded with you twisted illogic
>
> "your"
>
> There was nothing "twisted" about it.

has to be it is all you have

cuting more BS

>
> > you don't go making a guy look for an apology
> >
> > cut the repeat
>
> The "repeat" here is YOU looking to make something out of nothing
> in order to validate your "victim" status.

You blew you should apologize for it

simple as that

>
> > > I did. Told you EXACTLY where it was.
> >
> > No you did not
>
> Yes I did.

Nope
you told me to go search for it

>
> > since your posts don't hit the usene tin the oerder you send em
> > sometimes they spread apart by several hours
>
> Sure they hit USENET in the order I sent them!

Big whooper

>
> Usually within 30 seconds.
>
cutting massive waste of Badnwidth

> > > > do yourself a kevorkian
> > >
> > > Nope.

> > >
> > > > That is, afterall the only way Stevie Robeson will ever stop lying.
> > >
> > > I'd have to start in order to stop.
> >
> > you started long ago
>
> Nope.
>
> > I just posted proof of another of your lies
>
> To post "another" there'd have to be a first.
>
the first was long ago

Indeed the first of lies was likely a post saying your were an adult

made that one 7 years ago

# 2945. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:01:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124985713.323554.4370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117247

David Stinson wrote:
> If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
> will there be anyone left?

no which is Stevie goal

stopp anything by attacking the posters

## 2946. Stevie proves he is mental ILL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:03:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124985820.170871.51690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117248

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> > > Blue Nose card.
> >
> > What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>
> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...

grow up
Steve, K4YZ

# 2947. Day 14 when will Stevie deal with his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:11:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124986309.323691.60650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117249

Sorry folks gee I take aday and attend to my stuff and Stvie realy goes off the deep end (or is it the showllow end in a deep dive)

BTW as a result of yesterday My quartly meeting with My father's Caseworker filling out and filing paperwork for the various from of state aid a man in my father health is elible for even with our income, but yes we do quailfy for some types of assitance, indeed for this summer we get a new one thanks to the Gov with unsaesanable heat wave we had up here we quailfy regardless of incomefor some help paying the AC (the state wants to keep the old and infirm from being cheap and dying of the heat) If the stae isgoing to dole it out I'll take it, but back to the subject, discussed Stevie with the caseworker went online and show her some of Svierants and threats and indeedlooks a protective order against will be put in place, which just allows me more options in selfdefense, since as Stevie does correctly point out the law hardly matters to someone like Stevie

# 2948. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:18:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1124986716.431956.180450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117350

David Stinson wrote:
> If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
> will there be anyone left?

Well, there's me.

Unless you consider me one of those "always fighting".

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 2949. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:24:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124987041.733880.71040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117351

again and again perhaps you should indulge in the acholoic brevaage of
your choice and relax dave

# 2950. Re: Day 14 when will Stevie stop laughing at me and deal deal with the lies I've told about him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:26:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124987218.917488.46750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117352

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Sorry folks gee I take aday and attend to my stuff and Stvie realy goes
> off the deep end (or is it the showllow end in a deep dive)

"really"

"shallow" (as in "your mind")

> BTW as a result of yesterday My quartly meeting with My father's
> Caseworker filling out and filing paperwork for the various from of
> state aid a man in my father health is elible for even with our income,
> but yes we do quailfy for some types of assitance, indeed for this
> summer we get a new one thanks to the Gov with unsaesanable heat wave
> we had up here we quailfy regardless of incomefor some help paying the
> AC (the state wants to keep the old and infirm from being cheap and
> dying of the heat) If the stae isgoing to dole it out I'll take it, but
> back to the subject...(SNIP)

Up to the point where I snipped it, 110 words without a period.

"eligible" "qualify" "assistance" "unseasonable" "state"

> (the state wants to keep the old and infirm from being cheap and
> dying of the heat)

So what you've said here, although I am sure your intent was
otherwise, is that the State of Micigan considers the ill and elderly
who die of the heat to be cheap...Perhaps they died so as to not have
to pay thier utilities?

> discussed Stevie with the caseworker went online
> and show her some of Svierants and threats and indeedlooks a protective
> order against will be put in place, which just allows me more options
> in selfdefense, since as Stevie does correctly point out the law hardly
> matters to someone like Stevie

"Svierants"...?!?! WTF was that?

And I DOUBT with all seriousness that there will be ANY kind of an
order put in place...Just like there was never an offical "order" from
"Colonel Morgan" received.

If there is, I then have actual grounds to file a CIVIL complaint
against you.

It's illegal to file for an order of protection under false
pretenses.

This is nothing more than your "I am a Colonel" ruse to "flumox"
me.

Nice try.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2951. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:30:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124987432.430921.248280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117353

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> > > > > Blue Nose card.
> > > >
> > > > What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> > >
> > > The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> > > the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> > > Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
> >
> > grow up
> > Steve, K4YZ

No, Markie...>>I<< am Steve, K4YZ.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2952. Re: The True Mark Morgan Agenda...Let's See If Markie Can Answer the Questions Without Changing, "cuting" Or Avoiding The Questions (My Money's On Him Wimping Out) [I Was Right...Markie Wimped Out!]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:46:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124988362.276501.193010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117354

FROM THE GIT-GO:

Mark changed the title to "Cuting stvie long winded libelous
title"

I was right.

Markie wimped out.

He "cut" the paragraph of interest.

Let's see what he does THIS time:

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > KY4Z never wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > That you were going to take some kind of EFECTIVE action for one
> > > > >
> > > > > So far, it HAS been...
> > > >
> > > > at geting you flamed I guess it has
> > >
> > Nope...Just doing part of what I said I could do.
> >
> then you lied

Nope.

> > It's working.
> >
> really?

Yep.

> cue.

Sheesh...Now you can't even spell "cut" correctly!

> > > > > you have failed to produce any effective action
> > > >
> > > > Sure I have.

> > >
> > > what action?
> >
> > You can't tell?
>
> indeed I can't
>
> all I see is your normal Bull####
>
> more bs

Awwwwwwwww....Markie...More profanity..."Is that your best shot?"

> > > > > you must have sounded like such a loon they did not bother to even
> > > > > investagate you charges
> > > >
> > > > "your"
> > > >
> > > > Nope. The letter was written professionally.
> > >
> > > how can you professional say something like "becuase so and is liar he
> > > is a danger to himself and others"
> >
> > "because"
> >
> > It had nothing to do with simple lying, Markie.
>
> that is all you have

No, it's not.

> > > you can't it had to be loaded with you twisted illogic
> >
> > "your"
> >
> > There was nothing "twisted" about it.
>
> has to be it is all you have

Nope again.

> cuting more BS

Avoiding facing up to embarrassing questions.

Mark's a coward.

> > > you don't go making a guy look for an apology
> > >
> > > cut the repeat
> >
> > The "repeat" here is YOU looking to make something out of nothing
> > in order to validate your "victim" status.

>
> You blew you should apologize for it

Nope. Nothing to apologize to YOU for...it wasn't YOUR call I
used...

> simple as that

>>IF<< there was a current "KB9EQZ" I would write him/her an
e-mail and tell them I had inadeverantly used "EQZ" in connection with
you, a known pathological liar, cheat, deviant and coward, and if they
suffered any for it, to let me know.

YOU were NOT the "offended party"...

Anyone whose calsign was mis-associated with you IS.

> > > > I did. Told you EXACTLY where it was.
> > >
> > > No you did not
> >
> > Yes I did.
>
> Nope
> you told me to go search for it

Remember about "carrying other people's freight", Markie?

> > > since your posts don't hit the usene tin the oerder you send em
> > > sometimes they spread apart by several hours
> >
> > Sure they hit USENET in the order I sent them!
>
> Big whooper

"whopper"

No, it wasn't.

> > Usually within 30 seconds.
> >
> cutting massive waste of Badnwidth

"bandwidth"

Right back in your face, Coward:

QUOTE:
> another stevie lie

No...Another MARKIE lie!

And allow me to RE-INSERT part of what you "cut" as a "rep"...

(What it IS is YOU refusing to acknowledge that the ERROR you
claim I am making was never addressed...)

QUOTE:

> > > > WHERE, since then, have I mistyped your callsign?

You didn't answer the question, Markie.

WHERE SINCE have I made that error?

I did it and it was an accident. I acknowledged it.

UNQUOTE

Are you going to acknowledge and answer the question, or just keep

"cuting" it, hoping no one sees you dodging the facts...?!?!

> > > > > do yourself a kevorkian
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > > That is, afterall the only way Stevie Robeson will ever stop lying.
> > > >
> > > > I'd have to start in order to stop.
> > >
> > > you started long ago
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > I just posted proof of another of your lies
> >
> > To post "another" there'd have to be a first.
> >
> the first was long ago

Nope.

> Indeed the first of lies was likely a post saying your were an adult

I am one.

> made that one 7 years ago

It's been a fact for 32 years now.

Almost as long as you've been breathing.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2953. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:49:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124988591.277766.60600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117355

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> when you going to stop avoiding the question

What question? What "bull####"...?!?!

When are YOU going to stop avoiding the question (about errors
with your callsign? You've "cut" it seven times now...Wassamatta..?!?!

> most likely never

What question? What "bull####"...?!?!

> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 12:31:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > > in <pSiPe.123$4P5.32@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> cut
> > > >You answer my question, I'll answer yours.
> > >
> > > Grow up.
> >
> > Well HEAVEN FORBID that you'd actually answer a question instead of
> > trying to fan flamewars that you are only Johnny-come-lately to,
> > Frankie!
>
> why should or I for that matter answer your question

Why indeed. You usually (not always) avoid tough questions,
Markie.

> you don't answer answering any yourself

Sure I do.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2954. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) that Markie is lying to the NG and to the state of his mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 16:54:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124988864.379808.93190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117356

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Stevie yesterday admitt (admit) hat he has been lying in claiming that lying is
> > > a sign of mental illiness and so admits that many of his rants over the
> > > years have been lies
> >
> > I said nothing of the sort.
> it was right there in the stuff you cut

No, I did not.

I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.

Cut or otherwise...

> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> > > Lying is a sickness.
> > Not according to AMA
> >
> >
> It's not a medical issue.
>
> endquote
>
>
> you said it isn't a medical issue
>
> there you have lied everytime you have said it was

No, I have not.

Markie..As H A R D as this is for you to fathom, "medical"
health and "mental" health are NOT synonomous.

> > Mark, I DARE you to quote a SINGLE SENTENCE where I made any such
> > "admission".
> >
> > > It isn't Dr Robeson, but Rev. Robeson that has been speaking, preaching
> > > and trying to force his morality down everyone's throat

> >
> sexual rant cut

There was no "sexual rant"

> cuting

The only thing you "cut" are issues you are embarrassed over.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2955. Re: Marke demonstrates lying about Steve's LPN license...Mark Doesn't Know Difference Between "Medical" and "Nursing".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 17:00:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124989249.620227.139810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117357

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > when you going to stop lying about your license in the medcial field?
> > >
> > "medical"
> > >
> > I don't have a medical license. Never did, never SAID I did.
> >
> There we have it ladies and gents

There we have WHAT, Markie?

Do you not know the difference between a medical license and a
nursing license, Markie?

Sure does seem like it.

You've been unsuccessfully trying tie "medical" and
"psychological" health together for the last week now...Now you're
trying to say I've "lied" about my LPN license because I acknowledge
that I don't have a medical license?

That's why Nurses get their licenses from a "Board of Nursing",
not a "Board of Medicine", Markie.

Get a paper towel and wipe the egg off your face, chump.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2956. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 17:03:55 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xr-dnaP4ptieZ5DeRVn-uw@crocker.com>
Source record: 117358

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:cMkPe.1972$z2.1240@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
> will there be anyone left?

yes, but it won't be any fun any more.

# 2957. Summary Of Mark Morgan Deceit...Why Does He Highlight His Silliness This Way?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 17:12:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124989967.903688.162850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117359

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
> > > >
> > > > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
> > > >
> > all ture

"true"

You're right...It's true that everything you wrote was one lie
after another...

That was the first step, Markie.
>
> massive pruming

"pruning"

Reinstated becasue you made a LOT of allegations that you left
UNFOUNDED.

And unfounded allegation is a LIE:

QUOTE:
nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things

> > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.

> > Mark, I guess you think this stuff happens in a vaccuum and that
> > no one else but you and I read it (although I doubt many do...)

> > WHY do you insist on publishing not one but SEVEN absolutely
> > UNTRUE and DECEITFUL comments in ONE post...?!?!

> not one inture thing in the post

"untrue".

The whole thing was untrue, Markie.

> > > He admitted to receivng a mental discharge

> > Nope. Not a single word from me of any such.

> sure have

Then quote it, verbatim, with headers.

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> Already done it

No, you've not.

> > > He admited then deined, then admited to it again, receiving a disablity
> > > pension, just as a lump sum payment

> > I never "admitted" any such thing.

> sure did

Nope. Another lie. Now repeated which makes it TWO lies.

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> already done it

No, you've not.

> > > he got caught not knowing the mahor peice of equipment he would have
> > > been working with as a marine (prehaps an understandable lapse in view
> > > of the mental discharge)

> > "major" "piece" "Marine"

> > "...got caught not knowing the "mahor peice" of equipment..."

> > There's a blatant lie.

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> Another Lie you haven't admitted to it you just got caught is all

I didn't get "caught", either.

You believe too much of Lennie's lying, Markie.

> > > He admitted to lying to NG about his rants over the years about lying

> > "He admitted to lying..."

> you admitted that your rants about lying being a sickness were NOR
> medcial

"NOT"

What "rant"...?!?!

Just because something is not to your liking does not make it a
"rant", Markie.

And lying is NOT a medical condition...It's psychological.

> therefore you have been lying when you claimed it was your Professional
> opinion I was mentaly ill becuae I lie

"mentally" "because".

You can be in perfect PHYSICAL health and still be psychologically

ill, Markie. Ask your 'dontor'.

> > A Mark Morgan lie in and of itself. You've outdone yourself this
> > time, Markie!

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> already done it

No, you've not.

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> > > he therefore also admitted to lying and/or decieving the state of
> > > Michigan

> > And yet ANOTHER out-and-out bit of Mark Morgan deceit!

> > I've "admitted" no such thing!

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.

> > > he tired dening making his death threats

> > "tried" "denying"

> > I haven't made any death threats to "deny".

> more lies Stevie

Nope.

> > > He got found out on his libel issues, (he still denies then but
> > > admitted to geting his access terminated)

> > "getting"

> > Had WHAT "access terminated", Markie? AOL took a webpage down.
> > They didn't sanction so much as one second of my access to the web.

> I simply quoted you Stevie boy

No, you didn't.

I NEVER said I had ANY "access terminated", Markie...

Proof? Quotes?

> > > a Busy day for stevie boy

> > Lie upon lie from Mark Morgan. I'd dare you to actually PROVE any
> > of those "admissions", however we both know they are NOT there.

> Oh you dare me.

> Come grow up little boy

> already porven it all

"proven"

You've not "proven" anything.

You're like a kid in a sandbox arguing over some perceived
infraction of getting hit with sand...

"DID NOT!"..."DID TOO!" "DID NOT!"..."DID TOO!"

No PROOF, mind you...just silly accusations with unfounded
assertions of "I proved it"...

> with your help of course

With nothing....

You're making up lies, Markie...

They are adding up to your OTHER lies.

UNQUOTE

So, Markie...there were SEVERAL items in there where you made
UNFOUNDED ALLEGATIONS. You were asked to substantiate them, but all
you did was "cut" them.

So...Do the "rules" apply to Markie Morgan like the rest of us?

Or is it "OK" for you to make obviously untrue and unfounded
allegations because you're a "victim"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2958. Hey Markie...Do The "Rules" Not Apply To You Too...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 17:19:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124990367.358061.83070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117360

Wondering if Markie will answer this question:

"Hey Markie...Do The "Rules" Not Apply To You Too...?!?!"

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > What "sexual harrassment"...?!?!
>
> yours

I repeat the question since you didn't answer it...

What "sexual harrassment"...?!?!

> > > > > > > > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > > > > > > > disagree
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > > > > > > > participants at
> > > > > > > > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > > > > > > > then have
> > > > > > > > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > > > > > > > something
> > > > > > > > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > > > > > > > don't
> > > > > > > > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > > > > > > > consequence.
> > > > > > > > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > > > > > > > subject
> > > > > > > > > to change!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > a nice clear death threat
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > sure is
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Not then...Not today...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > was then and remains today
> > > >

> > Nope and nope.
>
> sure a death

"sure a death"...?!?!

That wasn't English.

Try again.

> and no one invites a death threat

For once something we can agree on.

> just like no one invites rape or any other crime

Who invited what crime?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2959. Markie Can't Tell Difference Between A Statement and a Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 17:53:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124992381.968680.66850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117361

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > stevie claimed to have called Michigan now claims to have sent a letter
> >
> > I never said I "called" anyone, Markie.
>
> lying

Nope.

> sure did
>
> yousaid you tlaked to someone and that they said theyd do something

"talked"

> > > to whom Stevie
> >
> > Why, Markie?
>
> to prove or not prove you action

The proof is in your actions. I am already having the desired
effect.

> > If they decide to act on it, you'll be given your opportunity to
> > answer it.
> >
> > Should I tell you I have no doubt you'd try to call and
> > intercede...But then you'd only substantiate my claims of your
> > paranoia.
>
> not at all

Sure you would.

> > And you don't want THAT, do you...?!?!
>
> another lie

That was a QUESTION, Markie...Not a lie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2960. Why Does Mark Morgan Enjoy Being Made A Fool Of With His Own Words?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:02:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124992938.123480.40840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117362

an_old_friend wrote:
> quoting from Stevie "Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage
> from Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)"
>
> Here we have it again another lie from the man that does not tolerate
> lying

Nope.

You've blatantly lied in recent days stating that I have
"admitted" to have been "dischaged" for the Marine Corps for mental
health reasons.

> Since Of Course I have not confessed to lying about Stevies mental
> discharge, Stevie has lied about the facts.

Yes you HAVE lied about the nature of my separation and yes you
HAVE misrepresented the truth CHRONICALLY.

> Even if I were lying I have not confessed to it.

But you already HAVE confessed to it, Markie!

You've stated, point blank, that you lie, you consider it "normal"
to lie, and that you will continue to lie at will.

> and I am not lying I believe based on Stevie own
> words that Stevie has received a mental discharge once known formaly as
> a section 8 now with some other name, but still often called a section
> 8

There's a "Section 8" here, alright Markie...In Michigan.

> If Stevie had said "Mark is lying..." then he could claim with some
> basis to be stating an opinion or he could claim he was stating fact,
> but in stating "Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage from
> Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)" he lies on the basis of
> fact

Nope.

My statement IS fact...You are LYING about my having been
dischaged from the USMC for mental health reasons.

> How you do tolerate yourself.

Easily.

> Liar
>
> Fraud.
>
> Coward.

None of the above. But I've got YOU dead-to-rights on all three.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2961. Re: Why Does Stevie lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:27:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124994442.855956.84190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117363

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > quoting from Stevie "Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage
> > from Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)"
> >
> > Here we have it again another lie from the man that does not tolerate
> > lying
>
> Nope.
>
> You've blatantly lied in recent days stating that I have
> "admitted" to have been "dischaged" for the Marine Corps for mental
> health reasons.

No lie at all

You admit you were discharged medicaly then you deny it was physical
therefore it must be mental
>
> > Since Of Course I have not confessed to lying about Stevies mental
> > discharge, Stevie has lied about the facts.
>
> Yes you HAVE lied about the nature of my separation and yes you
> HAVE misrepresented the truth CHRONICALLY.

more stevie lies

I have teaken Stevie at his word dangerous I agree

and No i have not misrepresented Stevie posts

Nor have I admited to any lie

>
> > Even if I were lying I have not confessed to it.
>
> But you already HAVE confessed to it, Markie!

No I have not

Nope
>
> You've stated, point blank, that you lie, you consider it "normal"
> to lie, and that you will continue to lie at will.

Not exaclty but this has nothing to do with the the subject

>
> > and I am not lying I believe based on Stevie own
> > words that Stevie has received a mental discharge once known formaly as
> > a section 8 now with some other name, but still often called a section
> > 8
>
> There's a "Section 8" here, alright Markie...In Michigan.

more libel

>
> > If Stevie had said "Mark is lying..." then he could claim with some
> > basis to be stating an opinion or he could claim he was stating fact,
> > but in stating "Markie confesses to lying about mental dischage from
> > Marines (if he could SPELL "discharge"...)" he lies on the basis of
> > fact
>
> Nope.
>
> My statement IS fact...You are LYING about my having been
> dischaged from the USMC for mental health reasons.

your statement was that I cofess to lying which I have not done

also I am not lying about about your discharge, only puting your quotes
together

>
> > How you do tolerate yourself.
>
> Easily.

Meaning you were lying when you said you can't tolerate liars then

>
> > Liar
> >
> > Fraud.
> >
> > Coward.
>
> None of the above. But I've got YOU dead-to-rights on all three.

Nope
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2962. Re: Stvie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:29:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124994556.315093.260040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117364

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > stevie claimed to have called Michigan now claims to have sent a letter
> > >
> > > I never said I "called" anyone, Markie.
> >
> > lying
>
> Nope.
>
> > sure did
> >
> > yousaid you tlaked to someone and that they said theyd do something
>
> "talked"
>
> > > > to whom Stevie
> > >
> > > Why, Markie?
> >
> > to prove or not prove you action
>
> The proof is in your actions. I am already having the desired
> effect.
If ture then you were lying about the intended effect

and you should stop bitching about geting flamed then since you have
just admitted that is what you wanted

>
> > > If they decide to act on it, you'll be given your opportunity to
> > > answer it.
> > >
> > > Should I tell you I have no doubt you'd try to call and
> > > intercede...But then you'd only substantiate my claims of your
> > > paranoia.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Sure you would.

not at all

>
> > > And you don't want THAT, do you...?!?!
> >
> > another lie
>
> That was a QUESTION, Markie...Not a lie.

no it wasn't a question questions end with question marks

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2963. Sumery of Stvie missteps 22aug2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:43:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124995405.962758.219370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117365

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
> > > > > >
> > all ture
>
> "true"
>
> You're right...It's true that everything you wrote was one lie
> after another...

all my statement were true

>
> That was the first step, Markie.
> >
> > massive pruming
>
> "pruning"
>
> Reinstated becasue you made a LOT of allegations that you left
> UNFOUNDED.

not a one
so recutting

Stvie has been caught in number of thing recently not that he is man
enough to admit most of it

# 2964. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) to is lying to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:46:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124995564.149089.191230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117366

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Stevie yesterday admitt (admit) hat he has been lying in claiming that lying is
> > > > a sign of mental illiness and so admits that many of his rants over the
> > > > years have been lies
> > >
> > > I said nothing of the sort.
> > it was right there in the stuff you cut
>
> No, I did not.
>
> I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.

yes you have

>
> Cut or otherwise...
>
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > > > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> > > > Lying is a sickness.
> > > Not according to AMA
> >
> >
> > It's not a medical issue.
> >
> > endquote
> >
> >
> > you said it isn't a medical issue
> >
> > there you have lied everytime you have said it was
>
> No, I have not.
>
> Markie..As H A R D as this is for you to fathom, "medical"
> health and "mental" health are NOT synonomous.

more lies

>
> > > Mark, I DARE you to quote a SINGLE SENTENCE where I made any such
> > > "admission".
> > >
> > > > It isn't Dr Robeson, but Rev. Robeson that has been speaking, preaching
> > > > and trying to force his morality down everyone's throat
> > >
> > sexual rant cut
>
> There was no "sexual rant"

sure was

>
> > cuting
>
> The only thing you "cut" are issues you are embarrassed over.

Not at all

But your effort to relate everything to sex simply are improper in this
NG

Not at all embarrished to Bisexual, but it has NOTHING to do ham radio,
or my character for that matter

No matter how many time you lie and say it does
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2965. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:49:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124995766.421468.296860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117367

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > when you going to stop avoiding the question
>
> What question? What "bullshit"...?!?!

Your Bullshit

your claims that Michigan is going to do something to me ?

you claims that My lying to you is in anyway subejct to any knid of
scantion from michigan

>
> When are YOU going to stop avoiding the question (about errors
> with your callsign? You've "cut" it seven times now...Wassamatta..?!?!

becuase the question is irelavant wther or not you have continued to
mangle my callsign has nothing to do the fact you did it

>
> > most likely never
>
> What question? What "bull#####"...?!?!
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > > On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 12:31:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > > > in <pSiPe.123$4P5.32@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > cut
> > > > > >You answer my question, I'll answer yours.
> > > > >
> > > > > Grow up.
> > > >
> > > Well HEAVEN FORBID that you'd actually answer a question instead of
> > > trying to fan flamewars that you are only Johnny-come-lately to,
> > > Frankie!
> > >
> > why should or I for that matter answer your question
>
> Why indeed. You usually (not always) avoid tough questions,
> Markie.
>
> > you don't answer answering any yourself
>

> Sure I do.

Your Seven Hostile Actions?

Proof that did anything with your Mars Callsigns

Why don't you know the equipment you worked with?

there are many others that show a liar
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2966. Stvie shows his delusions again, he thinks I have to answer any bullshit question he asks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:55:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124996108.891463.286440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117368

K4YZ wrote:
bs

> > cue.
>
> Sheesh...Now you can't even spell "cut" correctly!

so what?

you clearly had no trouble with it

bs
> > more bs
>
> Awwwwwwwww....Markie...More profanity..."Is that your best shot?"

I call Bullshit bullshit simple honesty
bs
> > > It had nothing to do with simple lying, Markie.
> >
> > that is all you have
>
> No, it's not.

more lies

>
> > > > you can't it had to be loaded with you twisted illogic
> > >
> > > "your"
> > >
> > > There was nothing "twisted" about it.
> >
> > has to be it is all you have
>
> Nope again.

certinaly all you have is the fact I will lie on Usenet

Big deal

that isn't a crime or endaner anybody
>
> > cuting more BS
cuting still more BS

>
> > simple as that
>
> >>IF<< there was a current "KB9EQZ" I would write him/her an
> e-mail and tell them I had inadeverantly used "EQZ" in connection with
> you, a known pathological liar, cheat, deviant and coward, and if they
> suffered any for it, to let me know

Then likely I will apoligize if KY4Z wishs not for the likes of you

>
> YOU were NOT the "offended party"...

I sure was

bs

> > >
> > > Yes I did.
> >
> > Nope
> > you told me to go search for it
>
> Remember about "carrying other people's freight", Markie?

It is your freight

Your apology you have the responiblity to deliever it

Your freight

Your laziness

>
> > > > since your posts don't hit the usene tin the oerder you send em
> > > > sometimes they spread apart by several hours
> > >
> > > Sure they hit USENET in the order I sent them!
> >
> > Big whooper
>
> "whopper"
>
> No, it wasn't.

sure is

>
> > > Usually within 30 seconds.
> > >
cutting massive waste of Badnwidth

# 2967. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:56:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124996178.765087.23310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117369

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> > > > > Blue Nose card.
> > > > >
> > > > What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> > > >
> > > The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> > > the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> > > Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
> > >
> > grow up
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> No, Markie...>>I<< am Steve, K4YZ.

prove it

and Prove that you are the Gunn sgt you claim to and prove they are the
same person

you can't BTW
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2968. Day 14 when will Stevie deal with his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 18:59:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124996346.649962.313150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117370

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Sorry folks gee I take aday and attend to my stuff and Stvie realy goes
> > off the deep end (or is it the showllow end in a deep dive)
>
> "really"
>
> "shallow" (as in "your mind")

you understood every word it seems

when will you stop lying with your claim Michigan will take a LPN
seriously about mental illness

If you sent a letter it was circular filed, since the Computers know
nothing about it

and what was mihcigan going to do? Force me to take meds because I lie
on Usenet?

get real

stop lying

## 2969. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:01:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124996488.831579.3750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117371

Dave wrote:
> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:cMkPe.1972$z2.1240@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
> > will there be anyone left?
>
> yes, but it won't be any fun any more.

well at least you are admitting to your motives now

progress Boyo Progress

## 2970. More Stevie Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:07:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124996842.602656.79920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117372

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > when you going to stop lying about your license in the medcial field?
> > > >
> > > > "medical"
> > > >
> > > > I don't have a medical license. Never did, never SAID I did.
> > >
> > > There we have it ladies and gents
> >
> > There we have WHAT, Markie?
> >
> > Do you not know the difference between a medical license and a
> > nursing license, Markie?

That a Nursing license is a Type of medcial license, just like MD is is
type of medical license

there if you have No Medcial you have no Nursing license

You blew it and I caught you

get over and fess up and move on

cuting the BS

# 2971. IARN AND AARA BROADCAST AND POLICY STATEMNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:12:55 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1124997175.354463.184430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) AND AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)BROADCAST OWNERSHIP AND POLICY STATEMENT

IARN is a non commercial sister organization of AARA. IARN's Amateur Radio short wave, two meter, satellite and internet bulletin service is available to AARA directly and all other Amateur Radio organizations indirectly through its AARA owned and operated affiliates Amateur Radio Newsline, RAIN and ANS. The amateur radio public has instant and direct 800 number access through the daily talk show. All radio amateurs worldwide are required to listen daily.

IARN is governed by the IARN Amateur Radio Peace Corps Foundation, Inc. which has a Board of Directors who appoint IARN's Manager (currently Glenn Baxter, K1MAN). IARN also has 501(c)(3) tax exempt status with the IRS.

IARN has free lifetime memberships, Directors, International Amateur Radio Clubs around the world, and a free newsletter The International Amateur Radio Digest. IARN's primary purpose is emergency communications and its secondary purpose is to maintain the IARN Bulletin Service and support AARA via access to this service.

# 2972. Re: Hey Stevie mind your manner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:20:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124997605.145702.225160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117374

K4YZ wrote:
> Wondering if Markie will answer this question:

> "Hey Markie...Do The "Rules" Not Apply To You Too...?!?!"

no question there

> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > What "sexual harrassment"...?!?!

> > yours

> I repeat the question since you didn't answer it...

I did you just did notlike the answer

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> What "sexual harrassment"...?!?!

> > > > > > > > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > > > > > > > disagree

> > > > > > > > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > > > > > > > participants at
> > > > > > > > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > > > > > > > then have
> > > > > > > > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > > > > > > > something
> > > > > > > > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > > > > > > > don't
> > > > > > > > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > > > > > > > consequence.
> > > > > > > > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > > > > > > > subject
> > > > > > > > > to change!

> > > > > > > > a nice clear death threat

> > > > > > > Nope.

> > > > > > sure is

> > > > > Nope.

> > > > Not then...Not today...

> > > > was then and remains today

> > > Nope and nope.

> > sure a death

> "sure a death"...?!?!

> That wasn't English.

> Try again.

> > and no one invites a death threat

> For once something we can agree on.

then you were lying in saying I invited it

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> > just like no one invites rape or any other crime

> Who invited what crime?
any crime

> Steve, K4YZ

## 2973. Stevie showing his lack of charter as an NCO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:26:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124997968.903866.259490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117375

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 20:08:50 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
> > wrote in <Us6dneiTUpEcupDeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>:
cut

> > It's the Cavalry to the rescue! Kind of ironic (and suspicious) that
> > you two suddenly come to defend Gunny Dudly from a ####bird PFC, isn't
> > it? But hey, birds of a feather.....
>
> Well...there we have it.
>
> Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
> at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
> dared while he WAS on active duty....

stevie claiming the basic building block of the armed forces is a
lowlife

cuting Stvie paranoia

> Make yourself at home.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2974. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:28:13 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <VeSdnaEvp-VIhpPeRVn-2w@crocker.com>
Source record: 117376

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1124996488.831579.3750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave wrote:
>> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:cMkPe.1972$z2.1240@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
>> > will there be anyone left?
>>
>> yes, but it won't be any fun any more.
>
> well at least you are admitting to your motives now
>
> progress Boyo Progress
>

yep, i don't killfile most of them so i have something to laugh at.

# 2975. Re: IARN AND AARA BROADCAST AND POLICY STATEMNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:30:53 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <yu6dnYdTh-PogZPeRVn-3Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 117377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1124997175.354463.184430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) AND AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO
> ASSOCIATION (AARA)BROADCAST OWNERSHIP AND POLICY STATEMENT
>
>All radio amateurs worldwide are required to listen daily.

ummmm, so where are they?? haven't heard a word out of the great
broadcaster in a while now.

# 2976. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:31:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124998287.124571.175770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117378

Like I said progress boyo

## 2977. proof of Stevie lack of adultness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:39:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124998749.530327.23500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117379

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Frank of Silliland wrote:

> > > > > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> > > > > Blue Nose card.

> > > > What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?

> > > The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> > > the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> > > Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...

> > grow up
> > Steve, K4YZ

> No, Markie...>>I<< am Steve, K4YZ.

prove it

and Prove that you are the Gunn sgt you claim to and prove they are the

same person

## 2978. Reminding Stevie again of one of his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:49:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124999378.139082.82880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117380

Stvie when you going to admit that you are lying

That do and have threatened people

that you harrass them in email

I post proof of that you do this and you still you lie about it
an_old_friend wrote:
> from ERLPN Jan 31 1999, 4:00 am
> Several days ago I wrote a private mail to Mark NoOrgan which he
> promptly
> editied and put on this NG. A disrespectful thing to do, but nothing
> less than
> what we have come to expect.
>
>
> I repost that letter in it enirety here for anyone who gives a
> rat's kazoo
> to see how Mark NoOrgan manipulates words to TRY to make his pont:
>
>
> >>KB9RQZ de K4YZ,
>
> reminder cut
>
> Stevei has gone and on about how has he never sent me private email
> there is a post in which he boasts publicly of sending it
>
> try google search in this group on erlpn and organ 3 entiries found one
> of them this one

## 2979. another reminder Re: "Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 19:52:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1124999529.689832.16240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117381

Stvie still satnds behind the claiming that lying equates to Kiddy porn

when you going to get help for this obvious sickness

an old friend wrote:
> Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
>
> Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
>
> Yes indeed Stevie is sick
>
> and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a
> Kiddy porn producer
>
> You just keep covering one lie with even worse one
>
> Not even spausal abuse is as bad as Kiddy porn, at least the spouse can
> run away or defend themselves, a kid can do neither
>
> And that Stevie thinks about kiddy porn to makes such judgements and to
> bring them out on his own proves who is sick
>
> To bad that TN would not likely do anything about ti if reported

# 2980. N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 20:31:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125001862.360165.195270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117382

Hello everyone, I see there is another discussion on K1MAN. I am currently working on a petition to send to the FCC regarding K1MAN. This petition is real not for K1MAN nor is it against him. I mainly wish to bring some of my concerns and questions to the commission. I am currently as I stated working on it but here is what I've got so far.

I. Broadcasting and Information Bulletins

1. N9OGL believes that the FCC should clarify the rules regarding Information Bulletins and Broadcasting.
2. N9OGL questions the FCC decision regarding K1MAN "broadcast" was this decision "content-neutral" and how does the decision conform to Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 and the First Amendment?

II. Pecuniary Interest

1. 97.113(a)(2) prohibits ANY amateur station from making money direct or indirect from the amateur service. N9OGL questions while K1MAN made money directly the ARRL and its W1AW is making money indirectly how is one allowed to do it and another isn't?
2. What is the FCC definition of non-commercial radio? Because it seems that the definition has an arbitrary assortment of definitions from one service to the next.

Todd N9OGL

## 2981. Re: Summary Of Stevie Deceit..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 21:48:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%0rPe.2207$z2.838@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117383

an_old_friend wrote:

> all ture
>
> massive pruming

Priceless!

Dave K8MN

## 2982. The perception of a threat... (the world according to Steve)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 21:51:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125006703.615859.49320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117384

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > > > victum that decides what is a threat
> >
> > No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
> > Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
> > could say that's a "threat"
> >
> > > You're right! And those were his very words.
> >
> > Sure is fun watching the two of you pat each other on the back!
> > Haven't seen so much self-congratulation for nothing at all since
> > Clinton's book came out...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve lies. Here's proof:
>
> ----------
> Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> case of your
> misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
>
> Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> might be
> read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> it be
> perceived as such."
> ----------
>
> So, was Steve lying then, or now?

# 2983. Re: archive of some things steve would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 21:57:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125007027.784153.118280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117385

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mark,
> > >
> > cutting
> >
> > > I said: Geez, Mark, and I just thought we were getting to get
> > > to be
> > > buddies. Guess the engagement's off, huh?
> >
> > Stevie making a pass at me
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Mark said: >That is your best shot. I notice that you don't
> > > disagree
> > >
> > >
> > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > participants at
> > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > then have
> > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > something
> > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > don't
> > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > consequence.
> > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > subject
> > > to change!
> >
> >
> > a nice clear death threat
> >
> >
> > no way that is anything but a death threat
> >
> > no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> > shooting and killing
>
> Steve loves charades. His favorite is the "I'm almost going to make a
> threat, but I am going to make you think I made a threat" charade.

---
Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."

Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that it be perceived as such<<<."
---

Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to have the courts decide.

# 2984. Re: News Flash Stevie KY4Z admits Error, is UPI interested in the stroy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 21:59:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125007183.635974.159350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117386

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 19, 12:48 pm
> > >
> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> KY4Z wrote:
> > > >> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > > KY4Z wrote:
> > > >> > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> > > > > True, I won't discuss my service in the Corps,
> > > >
> > > >> > > > Especially after slipping up and claiming the seven hostile actions.
> > > >
> > > >> > It was only a "slip up" in that I allowed myself to get pulled
> > > >> > into Lennie's game.
> > > >
> > > >> Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> > > >
> > > >>Steve cannot be blamed. That awful Len tricked him into telling lies.
> > >
> > > Ve SS haf vays uf making him talk!
> > >
> > > :-)
> > >
> > > way him
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > But can you make him tell a truth?
>
> no you can't

Archived in Google time after time after time.

## 2985. Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:01:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125007315.769474.173410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117387

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
>
> "Stevie"

If you insist. Stevie it is.

# 2986. Re: The perception of a threat... (the world according to Steve)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:08:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125007720.621131.144730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117388

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > Steve lies. Here's proof:
> >
> > ----------
> > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > case of your
> > misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> >
> > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > might be
> > read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> > it be
> > perceived as such."

Indeed But you over look the hidden escape clause printed in that
secret Maraudars Map (with adknowledgement to the Harry Potter author)
Of Part 97

Noen of the rules applies to those dreaded and powerfull foes that we
are warned about in the english book of prayer , "the Wrathful
Morsemen"
> > ----------
> >
> > So, was Steve lying then, or now?

always but it can be so hard to sort out which lie he is telling since
he changes his stories so often

# 2987. Re: archive of some things steve would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:11:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125007868.336529.62670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117389

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Mark,
> > > >
cut
> > > no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> > > shooting and killing
> >
> > Steve loves charades. His favorite is the "I'm almost going to make a
> > threat, but I am going to make you think I made a threat" charade.
>
> ---
> Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
>
> Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y
> requires that it be perceived as such<<<."
> ---
>
> Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to
> have the courts decide.

In all fairness I beleive Stevie allows this power also the MMM

## 2988. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:11:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125007900.073397.65690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117390

K4YZ wrote:

>
> In either case, Good Luck to Carl...Stepping up to an elected post
> in ANY volunteer organization can be a very fulfilling experience.

As long as it involves a uniform. Hi!

# 2989. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:28:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <9CrPe.1988$_84.1979@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117391

Well John Smith, you just blew it. So now you are calling me a Nazi. Or
at least a wanabee Nazi. You should be ashamed of yourself.

I honestly thought you were made of better stuff. I was wrong.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.25.02.04.41.821351@gmail.com...
> Len:
>
> If this were the 1930's, dan would be a dangerous man. Besides serving
> hitler in the search for evil jews, he would also be pursuing cb'ers who
> want an amateur license...
>
> John
>
> On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:44:01 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> From: John Smith on Aug 23, 8:06 pm
>>
>>>Dan:
>>>
>>>The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>>>doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do
>>>not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most
>>>likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...
>>
>>>On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all
>>>> the CB
>>>> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with
>>>> HAM
>>>> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>>>
>>>> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn
>>>> something
>>>> from those that have been there and done it.
>>>
>>>> SEE?
>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> John, Dere you have it. Dan Jeswald >>IS<< amateur radio! He
>> RULEZ!

>>
>> "If the dit don't fit, Dan will convict!"
>> - paraphrasing Brian Burke
>>
>> Dannie he say NO ONE CAN CHANGE HAM RADIO!!! He won't allow it!
>>
>> [ farf...snort... ]
>>
>> dan say
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

# 2990. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:33:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <GGrPe.1989$_84.1675@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117392

This is what YOU wrote John Smith

>> The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>> doctrines."

This is what I said to you.

>> You know better than that John Smith. Quit trying to blow smoke up
>> someone's posterior.

I'm not talking about hating CBers. Im talking about you should KNOW
better than to say ham radio is basically the same as CB.

That doesn't mean I hate CBers. It means your blowing smoke up someones
rear...And it ain't mine.

Your getting more like Lennie the idiot every day.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.25.02.02.59.97630@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> On the contrary, I doubt the sanity of anyone who thinks there is
> something inherently evil in cb'ers, I know quite a few who have gotten
> amateur tickets over the years...
>
> A witch-hunt for cb'ers who want amateur tickets is an evil thing...
>
> John
>
>

# 2991. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:37:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a-ydneEAg_XX1ZPeRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 117393

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:cMkPe.1972$z2.1240@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
> will there be anyone left?

Depends on your definition of fighting. I think you would at least be left with Mike Coslo, John Smith, Jim (N2EY) and myself as we at least try to have interesting debates rather than fights.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2992. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:41:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <aOrPe.1991$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117394

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124927027.466411.87300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124843740.949464.147630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>cut> >> > your support of morse code welfare
>> >> >
>> >> > cut
>> >> >
>> >> Thank goodness. Well at least "old friend" knows he is not in the
>> >> group.
>> >>
>> >> I for one am proud to hold a Amateur Radio License. I have NO REASON
>> >> at
>> >> all
>> >> to not be. On the other hand we have.......well you know who you
>> >> are.
>> >
>> > So am I proud of my license just not proud of many of the other holders
>> > of them, esp those that put their mode ahead of the interests of the
>> > rest of us
>> >>
>> And by that you are infering that I do that, eh? Give me an example.
>> Besides a quote from Lennie that is.
>
> your own support of proetected segments for CW for one another you
> attitutde that new comers must mind there place
> all your words not lens
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Well at least I have the gonads to use a real call and name.

First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that far fetched. I have
been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came out with the latest
"gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within the CW ranges.
Total failure. So why should I expect anything better? Of course BB you
wouldn't know that would you? So why not just stick with what you KNOW
about? And do us all a favor.

You are obviously a product of the 1960 feel good free love society. You
were most certainly TAUGHT by them. I feel sorry for you. This actually
explains your problem. So I can't blame you for your "problems".

Don't forget to send in those dues to the ACLU.

Dan/W4NTI

# 2993. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 22:58:38 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125010718.246410.3250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117395

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

24 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1904

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 94

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 516 28.51%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1033 57.07%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 261 14.42%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 24 Aug 05 that would be 1810.

As of 25 Aug 05, there still hasn't been any notice in the
Federal Register under Federal Communications Commission on
the NPRM FCC 05-143 or WT Docket 05-235. I wonder why not?

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

non cod
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2994. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:01:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125010899.455408.82620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117396

From: David Stinson on Thurs 25 Aug 2005 14:41

>If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
>will there be anyone left?

Absolutely YES. But, they will ALL be in various Archives
somewhere, in places other than Google.*

The "killfile" feature affects ONLY YOUR particular browser's cache.
Filtering out "objectionable" postings will leave you deaf/sightless
to what others' opinions are and only reinforce whatever your pre-
conceived opinions might be. Call it a subset of bigotry...it can't
be much of anything else.

"Killfiling" is good for things like spam about things NOT related
to the main subject of a newsgroup. There it would be justified.
But, justified to eliminate opinions which are contrary to your
own? That's rather egocentric.

One major problem with "killfiling" is that OTHERS can comment at
will on what you've written...and you will NOT know about that
unless someone includes a "killfiled" person's quotes. That makes
you vulnerable to all kinds of things in addition to adding a time
delay on some particular argument. Some in here have been doing
that already. It's sometimes called the "ostrich syndrome;" i.e.,
burying the head in sand to cut out the 'awful noise' of contrary
opinions. All that gets one is sand in the ears. :-)

* There are several "private" archive sites on the 'web which both
download newsgroups and permit "discussion" on those, sometimes
yielding "challenges" from the upset/outraged/disturbed inviduals
who want to fight about a subject. Few of those archive sites have
two-way interaction with the 'web so it winds up a bunch of "locals"
thinking they are arguing with you...yet not going any farther than
the local archive. :-)

Essentially, "killfiling" is just self-deception. It may be
part of an egocentric attitude, might not. But denial that
contrary opinions exist is still just self-deception. Such may
make you feel better, but that is only inside your own head.
The rest of the world continues on, like it or not. :-)

You are, of course, free to do whatever you want.

you are
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2995. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:04:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125011062.022456.160820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117397

From: Frank Gilliland on Wed 24 Aug 2005 23:58

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in

><snip>
>> Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
>> down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
>> that he deems are his "opponents."
>
>Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
>fighting his fights for him.

Tweedle Davie is real. Tweedle Buzzy is an anony-mouse...worse,
Buzzy taking the name of a TV show "hero" of yesteryear (or is it
a comic strip character?). I classify Buzzy as about as relevant
as "Brewster Rockit, Space Guy" or "Buzz Lightyear." :-)

><snip>
>> LAPD are
>> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
>> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
>
>So do Marines.

So do all the military branches. Anyone who puts their life on
the line for their country/community feels protective about
their service organization.

>>><snip>
>>
>>>> A DD 214 form has been relatively unchanged
>>>> in 50 years,
>>>
>>>While I was hashing out Kerry's record with Dave Hall (N3CVJ) it
>>>became obvious that the DD-214 was used for both discharges -and-
>>>transfers until around 1980 (official title: "Report of Transfer or
>>>Discharge"). That's how the Rove/Bush campaign managed to confuse the
>>>public regarding Kerry's service -- using shill blogs (and sheople
>>>like N3CVJ) to suggest that Kerry's records were somehow forged or
>>>faked. Anyway, Gunny Robeson should have more than one DD-214. Dudly
>>>has claimed to have only one. Looks like he flunked again.
>>
>> I was referring to the general nature of the form. :-)
>
>My bad.

No problem with me. :-)

>> Can't help you on later editions. My single DD-214 form is dated
>> 1 Jul 52 and was filled in at Fort Sheridan, IL, in February 1956.
>> Back then its title was "Report of Separation From the Armed Forces
>> of the United States."
>
>One of Kerry's DD-214's (filled out 15 Dec. 66) was edition 1 NOV 55,
>and states that it "replaces edition of 1 JUL 52". Looks like your
>admin clerk took an extra-long Christmas vacation.....;-)

Heh heh heh heh. How about that? :-)

All I know is that mine was typed-in by a cute but robotic E-4
gal on a Saturday at Fort Sheridan. Our processing was pushed
suddenly due to an influx of others expected in from Yurp on
the next Monday (ahead of some kind of schedule they thought
they had).

Unfortunately, some yo-yo will pick that item up as some kind of
"proof" that "I never served" or something vacuous or puerile.
However, the Social Security folks, the Department of the Army,
the National Archives and Records Administration, FBI, IRS,
etc., all accept the fact. :-)

><snip>
>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
>
>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>Blue Nose card.

I bought one at Fort Sheridan in '56 for $2...was mailed to me
in a week. Impulse buy then. Has been fun to pull out when the
need arises to "prove" something to big-mouths met in person.
Laminated in plastic, it has lasted 49 years in my wallet. :-)

I would rank it FAR higher in value than some "ham radio badge"
(shield)(cop-wannabe style) that some outfit was advertising on
a ham website once. Jay-suss, imagine elevating a hobby license
to police-like status with a BADGE.

THAT kind of raddio kopp ought to be read a "Miranda": "You have
the right to remain silent...anything you do or say will be held
against you...<etc>"

Sounds like Davie Heil has one of those buzzers...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2996. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:05:47 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125011147.655416.100820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117398

From: Frank Gilliland on Thurs 25 Aug 2005 04:22

>On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 10:42:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> sang:
>"H-e-e-e-r-e I come to save the d-a-a-a-y!"

:-)

>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 24 Aug 2005 17:35:53 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>> Those are typical remarks Dudly tried to put
>>>> down in here. He wants to diminish ANY accomplishments of others
>>>> that he deems are his "opponents."
>>>
>>> Well, he failed there, too. Now he has Tweedle Dave and Tweedle Buzz
>>> fighting his fights for him.
>>
>>It's only fair, Twiddle Frank. After all, we've got a CBer and a
>>non-ham (Twaddle Len) writing all sorts of malicious nonsense.
>
>What you have is a USMC imposter that is probably also a ham imposter,
>and two EE's with verifiable military backgrounds.

Tsk. Davie is jumping in NOT to "save the day" but to avenge
his own ego, his perception of himself as "superior" to most
others not of his exhaulted extra status...and from wounds still
open from long ago in the newsgroup when he was a "rare one" in
foreign ham lands. :-)

>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>> LAPD are
>>>> fussy about imitators here, even IN the entertainment industry,
>>>> and DO do things about those who are pretenders.
>>>
>>> So do Marines.
>>
>>There you go. You've got a mission. Contact the Corps about Steve and
>>let them know that he wasn't a Marine.
>
>I let them know that a person in here is claiming to be a retired USMC
>gunny but is most likely an imposter, if that's what you mean. If they

>choose to act on it that's up to them.

That's as it should be...for ALL impersonators of military service.

>>...but just a few posts ago, a DD-214 (according to you) was for
>>transfers and made Steve guilty of something.
>
>I see that you are just as deficient in quantitative reasoning skills
>as you are in qualitative reasoning skills. To wit: From 1955 to 1979
>the DD-214 was used for both transfers and discharges. Dudly claims to
>have served from 1974 until 1992, and therefore would have served five
>of those years during the time when the DD-214 was used for transfers.
>The only people who stay at one duty station for five years or more
>are high-ranking uppity-ups. Therefore, Gunny Robeson would have at
>least one (and probably two) DD-214's -in addition to- the DD-214 used
>for his discharge. Dudly only claims to have -one- DD-214. Therefore,
>Dudly is -not- Gunny Robeson.
>
>Did you understand that or do you need me to draw you a picture?

Davie needs it done in triplicate on official Department of
State forms. He was in "the foreign service," ya know. :-)

Ackshully, Davie just loves to FIGHT...but not in any continuous
day-in, day-out basis like Dudly. Davie thinks he is in some
kind of "restricted area" open only for "his kind." Davie also
totally lacks any of the diplomatic skills of the Department of
State in his postings here. Archtypical "ugly American" picture
he presents.

>>>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
>>>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
>>>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
>>>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
>>>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.
>>>
>>> Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>> Blue Nose card.
>>
>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>
>What kind of Marine lets someone else fight his battles?

Davie was NEVER in the USMC. He claims to be ex-air-farts...plus
another bunch of Dudly-like generalities of implied "in-country"
action in Vietnam. Lots of generalities, NO specifics...save for
supposedly doing MARS work in 'Nam.

There it is interesting in similarities. At first Davie did not
mention his MARS work there. In another thread I did quote some
items from the Army Center of Military History wherein they said
that MARS radio contacts with the States were excellent for morale

purposes and did much for both servicemen and their families and friends. Later, Davie was claiming HE did MARS things in Vietnam. For the USA the Vietnam War ENDED 30 years ago...and so did the "Draft."

WE (the readers) are NOT supposed to challenge anything of this esteemed (or steamed) veteran of "the foreign service." Nor have WE any right to request any proof of HIS statements. Tsk, tsk.

I carry that little miniature DD-214 with me because I got it in 1956 then as a memento. Laminated in plastic, no bigger than any other card in my wallet, it doesn't take up much space. It IS a handy proof, easily producible, for those who challenge my time and service (a few have in-person). If they need more, I can always copy the original documents full-size, stored in my safety deposit box. So far, only personnel departments have requested that much. That miniature form is also a good conversation piece at social gatherings...:-) It also caused one "imposter" in a social group to slink away when confronted about His "exploits." :-)

gon imp
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2997. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:08:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125011300.894812.114990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117399

From: John Smith on Wed 24 Aug 2005 19:10

>Len:
>
>Most here are a prime example of why some look at amateur radio and just
>say--HELL NO!
>
>I get the feeling I am in a room of blind people on an LSD trip, and they
>think they have vision!

You ARE and they are all having visions... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2998. Spamming queer Toadie N9OGL spams again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:10:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <d19493a07a46571db4143fe87a7a444c@dizum.com>
Source record: 117250

In article <1125001862.360165.195270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Hello <FLUSH! Like what the piece of shit Toad should have done to him)

Fuck off, Toadie. I see you still use AT&T, hmmm "Stagger Lee" used a AT&T
"source" for a post that had a typo in it in another group. You're not socking
again are you, Toadie, you little faggot?

HTTP-Posting-Host:12.156.123.39

12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
AT&T WorldNet Services
AT&T
200 S. LAUREL AVE.
MIDDLETOWN, NJ
US

Kostick, Deirdre
help@ip.att.net
+1-919-319-8249

12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINES
1100 SPORTSMAN DR
TAYLORVILLE, IL
US

Vocks, Adam
ADAM@ctitech.com
+1-217-824-6398

# 2999. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:10:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125011409.364275.125410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117251

From: John Smith on Wed 24 Aug 2005 19:04

>Len:
>
>If this were the 1930's, dan would be a dangerous man. Besides serving
>hitler in the search for evil jews, he would also be pursuing cb'ers who
>want an amateur license...

Cartoon character hero Buzz Corey remarked that there were no CB-ers
in the 1930s. Neither was there any "Commander Buzz Corey." :-)
Only Buck Rogers and the beginnings of science-fiction writing.

Buzzy baby just can't understand any allegories or analogies.

The 1930s didn't have a heckuva lot of radio of any kind except
broadcast receivers. The number of radio amateurs was small and
they made little impact on the radio world then. The "wireless
broadcasters," largely wireless coupling of phonographs to AM
radios, hadn't even started to pick up yet. Communications radio
was largely confined to the maritime world, the (many) radio
communications providers and carriers, and governments. SSB was
there on HF but nearly all of those SSB were of the 4-voice-
channel kind carrying both voice and TTY. A few police
departments were experimenting with two-way voice radios for
vehicle communications and finding it worthwhile. Aviation
radio was almost non-existant (compared to today) and the
"radionavigation aids" consisted of the LF two-antenna-lobe
"A-N" beacon. In smaller radio stations code was king. The
EM spectrum was far from being allocated beyond 30 MHz and
there would come many many changes in the near future. Morse
code skill was then a necessity in amateur radio since so few
radio amateurs had any other mode capability. The Titanic
sinking was only 18 to 28 years past the 1930s and the morse
myths of "saving lives" (via the new international 500 KHz
maritime distress-safety frequency) were in full flower.

With the beginning of the Great Depression in 1929, consumer
radio was having a tough time in the market, not much left to
play around with "technical hobbies" by many. By 1930 over a
quarter of the American work force was out of a regular job and
had to fend for themselves at whatever they could. The thought
of ANY kind of "personal communications radio" was a rare pipe-
dream...NOT possible for most of the denizens of this din of
inequity.

Buzzy-baby was born on the black and white TV tube and never really left that fantasyland. Even today he is only two-dimensional. :-)

dim two
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3000. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:15:31 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125011731.511359.260280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117252

>K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
>
>> "Stevie"
>
>If you insist. Stevie it is.

I dunno...in a couple of past postings, he signed "Stebe."

He has also signed "Seve."

I call him "Dudly" now. As in "Dudly-don't-do-right." :-)

Temper fly.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3001. More flames a little water perhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:15:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125011755.590299.163620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117253

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> In article <1125001862.360165.195270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:

# 3002. Free guide on how to make your website rank high in Yahoo, Google, MSN...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:22:24 UTC
From: Benrick Soh <benricksoh@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <430de147$1@news.starhub.net.sg>
Source record: 117237

I am providing a free guide on how to make your website rank high in the top search engines. Using this guide and the seoelite software mentioned inside, I was able to make my website - www.studiotrafficguide.com rank in the top 3 positions. (You can type "studiotraffic guide" in Yahoo, Google or MSN search to check.)

I don't know how long I am going to offer this guide for free, so download it ASAP by visiting the link below:

http://www.studiotrafficguide.com/seo_madeeasy.pdf

Be sure to read every word of it, and use the methods diligently. You'd be amazed at how well it works (especially seoelite)!

---
MAF Anti-Spam ID: 20050719052236H3i9PaJ3

# 3003. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:27:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125012440.247144.221980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117254

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1124927027.466411.87300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
cut
s
> >> >>
> >> And by that you are infering that I do that, eh? Give me an example.
> >> Besides a quote from Lennie that is.
> >
> > your own support of proetected segments for CW for one another you
> > attitutde that new comers must mind there place
> > all your words not lens
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Well at least I have the gonads to use a real call and name.
>
> First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that far fetched. I have

After for a CW Only segment and expecting to gte what you want is plain
nuts. look what happend in VHF you got a couple right at the top of 2M
and at the top of some other band

> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came out with the latest
> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within the CW ranges.
> Total failure. So why should I expect anything better? Of course BB you

Total failure meaning CW can't compete as I have beens aying for years

> wouldn't know that would you? So why not just stick with what you KNOW
> about? And do us all a favor.

why don't you grow up and stop asking for protection of your petty
interests?

>
> You are obviously a product of the 1960 feel good free love society. You
> were most certainly TAUGHT by them. I feel sorry for you. This actually
> explains your problem. So I can't blame you for your "problems".

Naw you have it wrong again. I am a bit too old to taught by the 1960's
folks

>
> Don't forget to send in those dues to the ACLU.

No way the ACLU isn't the demon say Bill O'Riealy wants to make them
out as as but they are not folks that I support either
>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 3004. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:41:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117255

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that
> far fetched.

It's actually a very good idea.

> I have
> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came
> out with the latest
> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within
> the CW ranges.

?? I'm not sure what you mean, Dan.

For decades it's been legal to operate "digital modes" (RTTY, PSK31, etc.) everywhere in the non-voice parts of the HF ham bands. Including 40 meters.

I dunno which gentleman's agreement you mean, but the ARRL "regulation by bandwidth" proposal is just that - a proposal, nothing more. Needs more work IMHO.

> Total failure.

Well, I just worked a K4 station not far from you. Nice QSO. Neither of us had high power or big antennas, but we did fine. 7037 kHz. Morse Code, of course.

btw, there were many Morse Code signals on 40 between 7000 and 7050 - and it's not even dark out yet.

> So why should I expect anything better?

Well, I hope for the best.

See you in 40, Dan.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3005. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-25 23:55:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125014135.697496.62010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117256

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> > > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>> > > Blue Nose card.
>
>> > What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>
>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
>> the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
>> Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
>
>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
and implying he was in the thick of them.

I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]

I have no recollection of any "Panama Beach" in southern
California. There's Santa Monica beach (municipal beach).
There's Hermosa Beach (a city) and Redondo Beach (also a city)
and Huntington Beach (a city). Used to frequent the "Lighthouse"
in Hermosa Beach for totally great jazz on weekends and reasonable
drink prices in the late 1950s, early 1960s; they got too popular
and raised prices plus adding a ticket-cover-charge.

The "loudmouth at the end of THIS bar" is Dudly and his "seven
hostile actions" and "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" blabbering.

>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>> PFC"...?!??!
>
>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>

>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?

Jimmie Miccolis never served.
Mikey Coslo never served.
Dee Flint never served.
Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!

The Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse are riding! Gallop. Gallop.

>----
>snipped denigration of one who served.

PCTA extras will invoke their Double Standard and denigrate some
more. Screum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3006. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:22:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125015766.441235.244150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117257

From: Dan/W4NTI on Aug 25, 3:41 pm

>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>Well at least I have the gonads to use a real call and name.

Is "W4NTI" your legal surname? :-)

We can't see your "gonads." Is having some a requirement of
being licensed as an amateur? I don't think so.

>First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that far fetched.

That is NOT a subject of NPRM 05-143 nor for WT Docket 05-235.

You CAN Petition the FCC to get a RADIOTELEGRAPHY only sub-band.
You CANNOT run Continuous Wave (CW) any longer than is required
for tune-up purposes. That's in the regulations. Didn't you
see it?

You WILL have to make a good, reasonable case for this sole-
mode segment of 40m band. Remember that the government of the
United States does NOT run solely on your personal wishes.

Meanwhile, WT Docket 05-235 is solely about the elimination or
retention of the morse code test for a U.S. amateur radio license
having below-30-MHz operating privileges. Try to remember that.

> I have
>been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came out with the latest
>"gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within the CW ranges.
>Total failure.

Try using a radio and a decent antenna. That works better.

> So why should I expect anything better? Of course BB you
>wouldn't know that would you? So why not just stick with what you KNOW
>about? And do us all a favor.

Dannie boy, will you PLEASE quit hopping up and down when you
get so angry? Remember you are on disability...if the authorities
catch you being so physically aggressive you might lose your
benefits. Tsk, tsk.

>You are obviously a product of the 1960 feel good free love society.

I thought the 1960s were an EXCELLENT time. That's when I met my late first wife. Whatsamatta, Dannie, couldn't you "get any?" :-)

>You were most certainly TAUGHT by them. I feel sorry for you.

Now, now, you are sending nastygrams again. Remember when the Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse were TAUGHT. You are insulting your morse brethren.

> This actually
>explains your problem. So I can't blame you for your "problems".

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Still more of the nastygrams. Did you get those off the NTS?

>Don't forget to send in those dues to the ACLU.

Don't forget to return your rented uniform of General George Patton to Western Costume Company. Late fees are steep.

Tenshion-HUTT! <salute> <one-finger>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3007. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:27:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mfosg156gg77pajmq99cnl03mpt6si2jdp@4ax.com>
Source record: 117258

On 25 Aug 2005 16:04:22 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1125011062.022456.160820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>>> Can't help you on later editions. My single DD-214 form is dated
>>> 1 Jul 52 and was filled in at Fort Sheridan, IL, in February 1956.
>>> Back then its title was "Report of Separation From the Armed Forces
>>> of the United States."
>>
>>One of Kerry's DD-214's (filled out 15 Dec. 66) was edition 1 NOV 55,
>>and states that it "replaces edition of 1 JUL 52". Looks like your
>>admin clerk took an extra-long Christmas vacation.....;-)
>
> Heh heh heh heh. How about that? :-)
>
> All I know is that mine was typed-in by a cute but robotic E-4
> gal on a Saturday at Fort Sheridan. Our processing was pushed
> suddenly due to an influx of others expected in from Yurp on
> the next Monday (ahead of some kind of schedule they thought
> they had).
>
> Unfortunately, some yo-yo will pick that item up as some kind of
> "proof" that "I never served" or something vacuous or puerile.

Just be glad you weren't running for President.

> However, the Social Security folks, the Department of the Army,
> the National Archives and Records Administration, FBI, IRS,
> etc., all accept the fact. :-)

Four months through the supply line in 1952 isn't worrisome enough to
require even a mild laxative. It's not like they could have downloaded
the form off the internet and printed it up on an HP. And it's still
common practice to use up old forms before popping open a fresh box of
replacements -- as long as the intended purpose is within the scope of
the old form. But I'm sure -someone- will pitch a bitch.

>><snip>
>>> I carry around a credit-card-size reduction of my DD-214 for fun,
>>> have used it as local proof (needs a magnifying glass to see the
>>> detail). Once got into a conversation with a Vietvet at a party
>>> who had gotten a similar laminated reduction...he got out in '74...
>>> we compared forms and they seemed to be very nearly identical.

>>
>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>Blue Nose card.
>
> I bought one at Fort Sheridan in '56 for $2...was mailed to me
> in a week. Impulse buy then. Has been fun to pull out when the
> need arises to "prove" something to big-mouths met in person.
> Laminated in plastic, it has lasted 49 years in my wallet. :-)
>
> I would rank it FAR higher in value than some "ham radio badge"
> (shield)(cop-wannabe style) that some outfit was advertising on
> a ham website once. Jay-suss, imagine elevating a hobby license
> to police-like status with a BADGE.

Yeah, some of these hams have real issues. They aren't all hams, but
for some reason they are often attracted to ham radio. A couple years
ago I tried to sell an old 16-ch Regency that covered 137-150 MHz and
would have been a great starter radio or backup for 2m. Yet almost
everyone who responded wanted to know if it could be converted to
cover the police and/or fire bands and none of them would show proof
of licensure when asked. Kinda scary to think that there are so many
nuts out there just waiting for an opportunity to impersonate a cop.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3008. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:41:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_ytPe.1937$9i4.698@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117259

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125012440.247144.221980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124927027.466411.87300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> cut
> s
>> >> >>
>> >> And by that you are infering that I do that, eh? Give me an example.
>> >> Besides a quote from Lennie that is.
>> >
>> > your own support of proetected segments for CW for one another you
>> > attitutde that new comers must mind there place
>> > all your words not lens
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> Well at least I have the gonads to use a real call and name.
>>
>> First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that far fetched. I
>> have
>
> After for a CW Only segment and expecting to gte what you want is plain
> nuts. look what happend in VHF you got a couple right at the top of 2M
> and at the top of some other band
>
Dang......are you saying we had a CW assignment at the 147.995 range or
something? If we did, I don't remember it.

>> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came out with the latest
>> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within the CW
>> ranges.
>> Total failure. So why should I expect anything better? Of course BB
>> you
>
> Total failure meaning CW can't compete as I have beens aying for years
>

No dummy that is not what I said, or mean. It is the non CW qualified types
that just dump on top of a on-going CW communication....because they have no

idea it is a real communication. Because they can't copy it. Clear now?

And that is exactly what will happen in the event of NOT having a exclusive
CW segement.
>

>> wouldn't know that would you? So why not just stick with what you KNOW
>> about? And do us all a favor.
>
> why don't you grow up and stop asking for protection of your petty
> interests?
>
Why can't you admit that you know NOTHING about the subject and just go
away???

>>
>> You are obviously a product of the 1960 feel good free love society. You
>> were most certainly TAUGHT by them. I feel sorry for you. This
>> actually
>> explains your problem. So I can't blame you for your "problems".
>
> Naw you have it wrong again. I am a bit too old to taught by the 1960's
> folks

Then I really feel sorry for you. You must have grown up in the 60s and
your MaryJane brain is still screwed up from all the sessions.

>>
>> Don't forget to send in those dues to the ACLU.
>
> No way the ACLU isn't the demon say Bill O'Riealy wants to make them
> out as as but they are not folks that I support either

Wow.....so when are you going to start acting right?

Dan/W4NTI

# 3009. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:44:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <LBtPe.1939$9i4.1306@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117260

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that
>> far fetched.
>
> It's actually a very good idea.
>
>> I have
>> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came
>> out with the latest
>> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within
>> the CW ranges.
>
> ?? I'm not sure what you mean, Dan.
>
> For decades it's been legal to operate "digital modes" (RTTY, PSK31,
> etc.) everywhere in the non-voice parts of the HF ham bands. Including
> 40 meters.
>
> I dunno which gentleman's agreement you mean, but the ARRL
> "regulation by bandwidth" proposal is just that - a
> proposal, nothing more. Needs more work IMHO.
>
>> Total failure.
>
> Well, I just worked a K4 station not far from you. Nice QSO. Neither of
> us had high power or big antennas, but we did fine. 7037 kHz. Morse
> Code, of course.
>
> btw, there were many Morse Code signals on 40 between 7000 and 7050 -
> and it's not even dark out yet.
>
>> So why should I expect anything better?
>
> Well, I hope for the best.
>
> See you in 40, Dan.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend. Like when the
NAQP CW is on this winter season.

It is just an example of what it will be like with massive digital action. Just watch and see.

There are no more gentlemen Jim. Take away their ability to recognize CW as a real communication method and you will have chaos. This is what I'm referring to.

Dan/W4NTI

# 3010. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:46:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <tDtPe.1940$9i4.567@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117261

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125015766.441235.244150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>

<plonk>

Dan/W4NTI

## 3011. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:47:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125017245.098448.140610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117262

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> >
> >> "Stevie"
> >
> >If you insist. Stevie it is.
>
> I dunno...in a couple of past postings, he signed "Stebe."
>
> He has also signed "Seve."

I thought those were just anger management issues.

> I call him "Dudly" now. As in "Dudly-don't-do-right." :-)
>
> Temper fly.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dud. As in UXO.

# 3012. Re: archive of some things steve would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:57:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125017869.429034.196810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117263

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Mark,
> > > > >
> cut
> > > > no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> > > > shooting and killing
> > >
> > > Steve loves charades. His favorite is the "I'm almost going to make a
> > > threat, but I am going to make you think I made a threat" charade.
> >
> > ---------------------
> > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> >
> > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y
> > requires that it be perceived as such<<<."
> > --------------------
> >
> > Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to
> > have the courts decide.
>
> In all fairness I beleive Stevie allows this power also the MMM

Ah, those Morsemen. The Mighty Macho Morsemen! As long as they
realize that the Dud (as in UXO) has bestowed such privelege upon them.

## 3013. Re: Spamming queer Toadie N9OGL spams again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:58:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125017917.520212.92440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117264

If you not going to discuss the issue, my suggestion to you is shut the hell up, because no one want to read your shit you fucking little troll.

## 3014. Re: Spamming queer Toadie N9OGL spams again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 00:59:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125017947.343528.95170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117265

If you not going to discuss the issue, my suggestion to you is shut the hell up, because no one want to read your shit you fucking little troll.

# 3015. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 01:19:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125019184.408839.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117266

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125012440.247144.221980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
> >> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came out with the latest
> >> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within the CW
> >> ranges.
> >> Total failure. So why should I expect anything better? Of course BB
> >> you
> >
> > Total failure meaning CW can't compete as I have beens aying for years
> >
>
> No dummy that is not what I said, or mean. It is the non CW qualified types
> that just dump on top of a on-going CW communication....because they have no
> idea it is a real communication. Because they can't copy it. Clear now?

The you are full of Bullshit I can reconize CW for what it is.

If Cw can't survive in the real world then I am all for having a wake
for it

What you are saying is that CW can't survive amoungst other modes,
plain and simple

I don't buy your CW welfare program

>
> And that is exactly what will happen in the event of NOT having a exclusive
> CW segement.

Then CW can't compete and will very properly fold, but I doubt it.

Cw Ops will just have to work a bit harder, they do Claim to enjoy a
challange

> >
>
> >> wouldn't know that would you? So why not just stick with what you KNOW
> >> about? And do us all a favor.
> >
> > why don't you grow up and stop asking for protection of your petty
> > interests?
> >
> Why can't you admit that you know NOTHING about the subject and just go
> away???

I know quite a bit about it

I know you are lobbing for protection of YOUR mode, and for the FCC to continue in some form the Morse Code Welfare State that has existed for years

There may be a case for more restrictions on robots ( am not convinced either way on that point), but no case at all for CW needing protection form ALL other mode

> 
> >> 
> >> You are obviously a product of the 1960 feel good free love society. You
> >> were most certainly TAUGHT by them. I feel sorry for you. This
> >> actually
> >> explains your problem. So I can't blame you for your "problems".
> > 
> > Naw you have it wrong again. I am a bit too old to taught by the 1960's
> > folks
> 
> Then I really feel sorry for you. You must have grown up in the 60s and
> your MaryJane brain is still screwed up from all the sessions.

I was Born in 1964 I was 6 when the 60's ended Never touched that weed

> 
> >> 
> >> Don't forget to send in those dues to the ACLU.
> > 
> > No way the ACLU isn't the demon say Bill O'Riealy wants to make them
> > out as as but they are not folks that I support either
> 
> Wow.....so when are you going to start acting right?

i act just fine, just not the way you want me to, so grow up

> 
> Dan/W4NTI

# 3016. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 01:21:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125019298.468491.249960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117267

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>
> >> First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that
> >> far fetched.
> >
> > It's actually a very good idea.
> >
> >> I have
> >> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came
> >> out with the latest
> >> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within
> >> the CW ranges.
> >
> > ?? I'm not sure what you mean, Dan.
> >
> > For decades it's been legal to operate "digital modes" (RTTY, PSK31,
> > etc.) everywhere in the non-voice parts of the HF ham bands. Including
> > 40 meters.
> >
> > I dunno which gentleman's agreement you mean, but the ARRL
> > "regulation by bandwidth" proposal is just that - a
> > proposal, nothing more. Needs more work IMHO.
> >
> >> Total failure.
> >
> > Well, I just worked a K4 station not far from you. Nice QSO. Neither of
> > us had high power or big antennas, but we did fine. 7037 kHz. Morse
> > Code, of course.
> >
> > btw, there were many Morse Code signals on 40 between 7000 and 7050 -
> > and it's not even dark out yet.
> >
> >> So why should I expect anything better?
> >
> > Well, I hope for the best.
> >
> > See you in 40, Dan.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend. Like when the

> NAQP CW is on this winter season.
>
> It is just an example of what it will be like with massive digital action.
> Just watch and see.
>
> There are no more gentlemen Jim. <cut>
Well you got one right but you know what they say about broken clocks

> <cut> Take away their ability to recognize CW as
> a real communication method and you will have chaos. This is what I'm
> referring to.

But here you are just lying, No one is taking the ability to reconize
CW. It can't be done even if someone wants to try

>
> Dan/W4NTI

# 3017. Re: "Policy" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 01:57:39 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <qktsg11c7h7br9pbgce7liivcgt1kga1nr@4ax.com>
Source record: 117268

On 25 Aug 2005 09:18:36 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>David Stinson wrote:
>> If I killfile the half-dozen guys who are always fighting in here,
>> will there be anyone left?
>
>Well, there's me.
>
>Unless you consider me one of those "always fighting".

Not any more - you're definitely above that, I'd say!

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

# 3018. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:09:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125022156.634536.167100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117269

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>
> >> First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that
> >> far fetched.
> >
> > It's actually a very good idea.
> >
> >> I have
> >> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came
> >> out with the latest
> >> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within
> >> the CW ranges.
> >
> > ?? I'm not sure what you mean, Dan.
> >
> > For decades it's been legal to operate "digital modes" (RTTY, > > PSK31,
> > etc.) everywhere in the non-voice parts of the HF ham bands. > > Including 40 meters.
> >
> > I dunno which gentleman's agreement you mean, but the ARRL
> > "regulation by bandwidth" proposal is just that - a
> > proposal, nothing more. Needs more work IMHO.
> >
> >> Total failure.
> >
> > Well, I just worked a K4 station not far from you. Nice QSO. > > Neither of
> > us had high power or big antennas, but we did fine. 7037 kHz. > > Morse Code, of
course.
> >
> > btw, there were many Morse Code signals on 40 between 7000
> > and 7050 -
> > and it's not even dark out yet.
> >
> >> So why should I expect anything better?
> >
> > Well, I hope for the best.
> >
> > See you in 40, Dan.
> >
> Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend.
> Like when the
> NAQP CW is on this winter season.

That's a different story.
>
> It is just an example of what it will be like with massive
> digital action.
> Just watch and see.

All the more reason to have a reasonable Morse Code only subband. Say
7000 to 7050.

> There are no more gentlemen Jim.

Sure there are! But it only takes a few bad apples to
make a mess.

> Take away their ability to recognize CW as
> a real communication method and you will have chaos. This is > what I'm referring to.

Then the thing to do is to get a place for Morse Code.

There's plenty of precedent for this sort of thing. There are sidewalks
for pedestrians, bike lanes, etc. There are large parts of state and
national parks and wilderness areas where motor vehicles are not
allowed.

Some will say "but it's 'just a hobby'". Well, camping and backpacking
are 'just a hobby' for most people - yet there
are plenty of spaces left in a natural state and protected
for those "hobbyists". Why not for Morse Code?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3019. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:12:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uUuPe.2276$z2.692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117270

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>>>>Blue Nose card.
>>
>>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>>
>>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
>>>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
>>>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
>>
>>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
>>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
> and implying he was in the thick of them.
>
> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions.

Don't get too carried away, Lennie. Aren't you forgetting your classic sphincter post? There was the distinct implication that you'd experienced the things of which you wrote--except it turned out that you hadn't experienced them at all.

What, by the way, was the flying time for those Soviet Bears which didn't yet exist, to your location in Japan?

> If fact,
> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> assigned.

But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?

> Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]

Well, grizzled old Sarge, I just put up a brand new, enormous triband yagi all by my lonesome. I'll wager I've put up more antennas in the past five years than you have in your lifetime. Wanna play some more "mine's bigger than yours"?

I got to work real military HF communications during a four-year Air Force hitch and plenty of government HF communications during a State Department career. I've used antennas like rhombics, 3-30 MHz inverted discones, double boom Collins LPA's at 90 feet and I've used magnetic loops, open wire-fed flattops with autotuners, fan dipoles and even crummy B&W "broadband" folded dipole "resistors". I've operated satellite terminals with 9 meter dish antennas and with little suitcase sat terminals. I've installed an maintained several generations of STU's and operated high speed leased lines. My stint as a professional in radio communications exceeded yours by several magnitudes.

Now, what're you using for antennas for your lil R-70 vintage inhaler?

>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>>>PFC"...?!??!
>>
>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>>
>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
>
>
> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
> Mikey Coslo never served.
> Dee Flint never served.
> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!

You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military. Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in the rear someplace.

Dave K8MN

# 3020. Re: Spamming queer Toadie N9OGL spams again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:13:58 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125022438.587294.187860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117271

N9OGL widdle faggot Toadie was so upset he followed up twice:

> If you not going to discuss the issue,

What issue, dumbass? That Baxturd is S.O.L.?

> my suggestion to you is shut the
> hell up, because no one want to read your shit you fucking little
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> troll.

Now, that's funny, coming from a bird beaked nosed, four eyed, parent's
basement dweller who can't even write a sentence in the proper tense
and who trolls like no tomorrow.
Why don't you make me shut the hell up, Toadie, you little pussy.

Now get back to jamming your so-called broadcasts over the airwaves.

## 3021. Re: More flames a little water perhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:16:21 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125022581.169541.202030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117272

In article <1125011755.590299.163620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> (nothing)

Markie, are you watering down that vodka now, you bisexual deviant? You still show off your lack of writing skills,though.

Guess that self-medicating with alcohol beats all that electro-shock the shrinks make you go through,huh?

# 3022. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:19:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125022770.316008.22460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117273

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
cut> > Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend.
> > Like when the
> > NAQP CW is on this winter season.
>
> That's a different story.
> >
> > It is just an example of what it will be like with massive
> > digital action.
> > Just watch and see.
>
> All the more reason to have a reasonable Morse Code only subband. Say
> 7000 to 7050.

why?

why is it that Morse Code supporter are always insisting that Morse
Code needs props in order to survive?

>
>
> > There are no more gentlemen Jim.
>
> Sure there are! But it only takes a few bad apples to
> make a mess.
>
> > Take away their ability to recognize CW as
> > a real communication method and you will have chaos. This is > what I'm referring to.
>
> Then the thing to do is to get a place for Morse Code.

Again you endorse this Notion that Only though Code testing is the
ability to notice CW encoded Morse Code exists?

cut
> are plenty of spaces left in a natural state and protected
> for those "hobbyists". Why not for Morse Code?

They are not left just for theose hobbists. Many hunderd acres ar ein
this area and never hiked to preserve the tree and lifefroms like deer
and wolves and Mtn lions

the question is why is Morse Code entitled to a such a preserve all to
itself?

And why if it is Such an EFECTIVE mode does it need the protection?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 3023. Re: More flames a little water perhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:21:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125022876.172998.234730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117274

Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> In article <1125011755.590299.163620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > (nothing)
>
cuting the sexaul rant

> Guess that self-medicating with alcohol beats all that electro-shock
> the shrinks make you go through,huh?

Gee No Bar or liquor could ever depend on me, and elctroshock has
largely gone the way of the DoDO thank god

## 3024. Re: More flames a little water perhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:32:24 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125023544.460653.77430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117275

an_old_friend wrote:
> Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > In article <1125011755.590299.163620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > (nothing)
> >
> cuting the sexaul rant

"what's a "sexaul rant?"

(restored)
Markie, are you watering down that vodka now, you bisexual deviant? You

still show off your lack of writing skills,though.
(end restore)

Markie shows us what alcohol abuse will do you even the simplest of
minds like his.

> > Guess that self-medicating with alcohol beats all that electro-shock
> > the shrinks make you go through,huh?
>
> Gee No Bar or liquor could ever depend on me, and elctroshock has
> largely gone the way of the DoDO thank god

Oh, so being stupid just comes natural to you?

## 3025. Re: More flames a little water perhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:34:34 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125023674.290460.89170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117276

typo: " will do to the simplest minds".....

# 3026. Re: More flames a little water perhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:39:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125023971.786885.137670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117277

Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > > In article <1125011755.590299.163620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > (nothing)
> > >
> > cuting the sexaul rant
>
> "what's a "sexaul rant?"
>
the one I just cut again

> Markie shows us what alcohol abuse will do you even the simplest of
> minds like his.

really wrong again more of the libel we are used from the anonoies like
you

>
> > > Guess that self-medicating with alcohol beats all that electro-shock
> > > the shrinks make you go through,huh?
> >
> > Gee No Bar or liquor could ever depend on me, and elctroshock has
> > largely gone the way of the DoDO thank god
>
> Oh, so being stupid just comes natural to you?

no why do you ask?

it seem to come to you

as frimly as you insist that sexualy has something to with radio

## 3027. FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:45:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125024311.032806.110980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117278

Ill be gone from sometime tommarrow so don't get your hopes up Stevie

BTW you want the turck plate number so you can to again with Wisconsin where I will be visting for a few days

I leave with few thoughts Stevie

One, grow up and learn the wolrd does not revolve around you

Two, seriously consider treatment for your Delsional nature, and your paranoia

Three, stop lying and join in discussing subjects, we have had afew over the last week of course you were to busy with your flame wars to notice

Four try to learn the difference between mental illness and being different from YOURSELF

# 3028. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:48:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125024490.035075.81850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117279

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 25 Aug 2005 16:04:22 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> <1125011062.022456.160820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
cut

> > Unfortunately, some yo-yo will pick that item up as some kind of
> > "proof" that "I never served" or something vacuous or puerile.
>
>
> Just be glad you weren't running for President.

Anyone runing for that job is certainly in serious need of mental help

cut

> Yeah, some of these hams have real issues. They aren't all hams, but
> for some reason they are often attracted to ham radio. A couple years
> ago I tried to sell an old 16-ch Regency that covered 137-150 MHz and
> would have been a great starter radio or backup for 2m. Yet almost
> everyone who responded wanted to know if it could be converted to
> cover the police and/or fire bands and none of them would show proof
> of licensure when asked. Kinda scary to think that there are so many
> nuts out there just waiting for an opportunity to impersonate a cop.
>

Indeed a lot of cop wannabes out there

## 3029. Pointing out another Stevie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 02:55:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125024919.826672.101870@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117280

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > > > What's a "condiction"?
> > >
> > > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > > communication deficit can understand what he meant.
> >
> > nice to see Stevie called on something like that
break
>
> Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!

When you going to admit this lie Stevie?

Likely somewhere around the 12 of never

cuting to save bandwidth

# 3030. Re: again stevie why doesn't the FCC find these folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 03:00:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125025228.064525.234130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117281

again Stevie why doesn't the FCC catch these folks, all code test hams
good and pure and yet able to do such rotten stuff

You comaplain long and hard if I don't asnwer your question

but you have been ducking this one since July

an_old_friend wrote:
> well stvie answer the question
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > agian why aren't these folks caught by the FCC
> >
> > and why aren't other like em
> >
> > If I were doing something I expect not to be operating as I do on VHF
> > and up and not near the FCC's back yard as the poor unforuantes mention
> > in the why are you saying Shit thread elsewhere
> > but these folks and others like are operating on HF with it wide range
> > than my signals
> >
> > Me if I blow it I can see them not missing it but these clowns
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > loray wrote:
> > > > > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> > > > >
> > > > > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> > > > > following:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> > > > > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> > > > > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a

> > > > > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> > > > > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
> > > >
> > > > if the FCC can find anybody they want why not these folks
> > >
> > > Who said they haven't?
> > >
> > > Look under NOUO in FCC sanctions and violations...lotsa 28Mhz
> > > CB'ers busted there.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

# 3031. Re: Spamming queer Toadie N9OGL spams again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 03:38:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125027507.581727.38880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117282

>What issue, dumbass? That Baxturd is S.O.L.?

I suggest you read the first post again..

Now, that's funny, coming from a bird beaked nosed, four eyed, parent's

basement dweller who can't even write a sentence in the proper tense
and who trolls like no tomorrow.
Why don't you make me shut the hell up, Toadie, you little pussy.

What I see is some who libel against me...Like i said discuss the topic
if not i don't what listen to your crap.

todd N9OGL

## 3032. New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 03:51:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hello all, i've started a new group for the discussion of amateur radio policy and regulations. This group is for those who want to talk about REAL AMATEUR RADIO POLICY AND REGULATION.

http://groups.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Policy

Todd N9OGL

# 3033. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 04:16:53 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125029813.611129.319700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117284

From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> If fact,
>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>> assigned.
>
>But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
>in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?

Of course. :-) If Jimmie say it true, it must be true! :-)

Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?" :-)

By the way, WHERE was this "front?" You guys keep talking about
the "front lines" like it was in World War ONE. Wasn't like
that. Ask Jimmie Noserve. He was THERE...in spirit...through
his books...he read all about it.

>> Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
>> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
>> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
>> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
>
>Well, grizzled old Sarge, I just put up a brand new, enormous triband
>yagi all by my lonesome.

Wow! All by your LONESOME? Gee, Davie, you need to get out more.
It would keep you from being so lonesome...

You have some PROOF of this accomplishment, yes?

> I'll wager I've put up more antennas in the
>past five years than you have in your lifetime.

I'm absolutely positive you have, dozens and dozens, hundreds and
hundreds of them, more than in several mens' lifetimes. Whatever
it is, YOU did MORE. You say so and that be that.

> Wanna play some more "mine's bigger than yours"?

I don't "play" with antennas. On the big ones, I let the pros
do their jobs. They know how to erect them. I know how to
erect mine. :-)

>I got to work real military HF communications during a four-year Air
>Force hitch and plenty of government HF communications during a State
>Department career. I've used antennas like rhombics, 3-30 MHz inverted
>discones, double boom Collins LPA's at 90 feet and I've used magnetic
>loops, open wire-fed flattops with autotuners, fan dipoles and even
>crummy B&W "broadband" folded dipole "resistors". I've operated
>satellite terminals with 9 meter dish antennas and with little suitcase
>sat terminals. I've installed an maintained several generations of
>STU's and operated high speed leased lines.

All by yourself? Wow! You have PROOF of that, yes?

I'll bet you were Behind The Lines in 'Nam, sending valuable intel
back to Hq, right? A vital asset to the military! Hip hip hooray!

Sorry, Davie, I didn't do a lot of radio communications (all by
myself) after my military service. I went into DESIGN of radio-
electronics, making the equipment from scratch.

>> My stint as a professional
>>in radio communications exceeded yours by several magnitudes.

Hundreds of magnitudes. Googles of them. Off the scale...

>Now, what're you using for antennas for your lil R-70 vintage inhaler?

Why do you ask? Do you need HELP? Just ask.

BTW, my Icom receiver, purchased long ago, is NOT used in the wine
industry nor for private brewing. It has no olfactory sensing
inputs. It still works well as a 50 KHz to 30 MHz RADIO RECEIVER...
is within specification as of this March.

>>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>>>>PFC"...?!??!
>
>>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>
>>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
>
>> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
>> Mikey Coslo never served.
>> Dee Flint never served.
>> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
>
>You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
>entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.

Tsk. I must be subconsciously picking up YOUR attitude! Gotta
watch myself.

No, your snarlingness, I don't feel "entitled" to condescension.
That's YOUR schtick. I DO feel absolutely ENTITLED to cuss out any
sumbish who wants to meanmouth whatever service I did for my country
especially so if they didn't serve. I don't take no snit from
pansies who were NEVER IN who want to "tell me like it is" IN the
military. YOU live with that, because that's how it goes down.

>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>the rear someplace.

Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3034. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bullshit about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 04:18:36 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125029916.867698.263700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117285

From: Frank Gilliland on Thurs 25 Aug 2005 17:27

>On 25 Aug 2005 16:04:22 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
><1125011062.022456.160820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>> I would rank it FAR higher in value than some "ham radio badge"
>> (shield)(cop-wannabe style) that some outfit was advertising on
>> a ham website once. Jay-suss, imagine elevating a hobby license
>> to police-like status with a BADGE.
>
>Yeah, some of these hams have real issues. They aren't all hams, but
>for some reason they are often attracted to ham radio. A couple years
>ago I tried to sell an old 16-ch Regency that covered 137-150 MHz and
>would have been a great starter radio or backup for 2m. Yet almost
>everyone who responded wanted to know if it could be converted to
>cover the police and/or fire bands and none of them would show proof
>of licensure when asked. Kinda scary to think that there are so many
>nuts out there just waiting for an opportunity to impersonate a cop.

Heh heh heh...some of them are in HERE...being Raddio Kopps.
One of them was impersonating an SS officer but I think he's
really an SA fairy...keeps talking about "rear action" stuff.
Weird.

Those that deny being cops are perhaps striving to be lawyers.
Some keep referring to Google and posts that are years and years
old. They want to rehash and work over old, old arguments hoping
to win one in a re-trial. Waste of time. They are a bunch of
sore losers with painful sores from long ago. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
All of the above are morsemen, too! Sort of defeats the myth
that morsemanship "instills good behavior." :-)

Gotta go...the SA wannabe is hollering about "his is bigger
than mine" and "rear action." He wants satisfaction! :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3035. Re: More flames a little water perhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 04:20:39 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050826042039.0C15D170E3@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 117286

In article <1125023971.786885.137670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_faggot" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > > > In article <1125011755.590299.163...@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > (nothing)
> > > >
> > > cuting the sexaul rant
> > >
> > "what's a "sexaul rant?"
> >
> the one I just cut again

Why are you ashamed of being bisexual and a deviant? Maybe you should consider
changing your perverted lifestyle.

>>Markie, are you watering down that vodka now, you bisexual deviant? You
>>still show off your lack of writing skills,though.

You mean this one above?

>> Markie shows us what alcohol abuse will do you even the simplest of
>> minds like his.

>really wrong again

You prove me right, Markie.

>more of the libel we are used from the anonoies like you

And a poor little drunk retard and sexual deviant like you can't do anything
but whine about it.

>
> > > Guess that self-medicating with alcohol beats all that electro-shock
> > > the shrinks make you go through,huh?
> >
> Gee No Bar or liquor could ever depend on me, and elctroshock has
> largely gone the way of the DoDO thank god
>
>> Oh, so being stupid just comes natural to you?

Markie confirms that it does.

Do you always try to be stupid?

>no why do you ask?

I guess when you are as stupid as you are, you don't have to try.

>it seem to come to you

That you are stupid? No, it's painfully obvious that you are stupid, Markie.

>as frimly as you insist that sexualy has something to with radio

What would you know anything about radio, you can barely communicate in English.
What's "frimly?" or "sexualy?"????????.

# 3036. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 04:33:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125030808.593967.152080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117287

rom: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 5:47 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:

>> >> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
>
>> >> "Stevie"
>
>> >If you insist. Stevie it is.
>
>> I dunno...in a couple of past postings, he signed "Stebe."
>
>> He has also signed "Seve."
>
>I thought those were just anger management issues.

He desperately needs new management!

>> I call him "Dudly" now. As in "Dudly-don't-do-right." :-)
>
>> Temper fly.
>
>Dud. As in UXO.

That too! :-)

Except...that ordnance isn't exactly "unexploded"...it goes off in
here all over the place! Oy, such a noise, gives a head ache...!

shh shh
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3037. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 05:28:23 UTC
From: Primordial Greenhousegasses <orator500b@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8c4a9$430ea889$d1cc56f5$5295@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117289

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125001862.360165.195270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hello everyone, I see there is another discussion on K1MAN. I am
> currently working on a petition to send to the FCC regarding K1MAN.

I have a much better idea Todd.
Just don't LISTEN to Glen Baxter and his bullcrap.
It's that simple.

Here's an example of what I mean. About 5 miles from my
home there is a wastewater treatment plant. When you get to
within 1/2 a mile of the plant it really starts to stink like shi+.
Stay away from it and you don't smell it. Same thing goes for
Baxter and his broadcasts. I know where "the stink" is on the
dial so I just stay away when he's on and as far as I am
concerned he does not even EXIST ! (wow! that's effective)

Just ignore the goofy bastard from Belgrade Lakes like
I do in the above example and he'll not only
go away eventually, but you'll not ever hear him also!

# 3038. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 05:52:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S6yPe.1820$Wd7.1074@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117290

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

'smatter, Len? No comments about your sphincter post or the flying time
to Japan by Soviet Bear bombers?

>>> If fact,
>>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>>> assigned.
>>
>>But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
>>in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
>
>
> Of course. :-) If Jimmie say it true, it must be true! :-)

Why do you need to involve Jim? I just wrote it. :-)

> Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true.

Well, there it is.

> The
> Occupation was over when I was assigned there.

Yep.

So you were in a different country and we still got treated, by you, to
what it was like to be under artillery attack.

> Was I supposed
> to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?" :-)

Not at all, Len. You just go into some social setting and whip out your
tiny DD-214. Maybe you could even recount the sphincter post.

> By the way, WHERE was this "front?"

They didn't tell you? Well, during your time, it was in Korea.

> You guys keep talking about
> the "front lines" like it was in World War ONE. Wasn't like
> that.

It wasn't? There weren't any defined front lines in Korea?

> Ask Jimmie Noserve. He was THERE...in spirit...through
> his books...he read all about it.

Anyone can read about it. It made all the history books too.

>
>>> Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
>>> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
>>> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
>>> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
>>
>>Well, grizzled old Sarge, I just put up a brand new, enormous triband
>>yagi all by my lonesome.
>
>
> Wow! All by your LONESOME? Gee, Davie, you need to get out more.
> It would keep you from being so lonesome...
>
> You have some PROOF of this accomplishment, yes?

I have 8x10 glossies. I'll sell any number of them to you for $4.95
each, postpaid. Alternatively, you can take a cross country drive.

>
>> I'll wager I've put up more antennas in the
>>past five years than you have in your lifetime.
>
>
> I'm absolutely positive you have, dozens and dozens, hundreds and
> hundreds of them, more than in several mens' lifetimes.

In the past five years? Nothing approaching that number. It doesn't
even have to be.

> Whatever
> it is, YOU did MORE. You say so and that be that.

I believe you have me confused with you.

>
>> Wanna play some more "mine's bigger than yours"?
>

>
> I don't "play" with antennas.

No, I don't suppose you do.

> On the big ones, I let the pros do their jobs.

Do you have big antennas at either of your two mortgage-free homes?
Perhaps you meant your comment in the usual Andersonesque sidewalk
superintendant way--that the pro antenna installers do their jobs and
that you stand by in case your advice might be needed.

> They know how to erect them.

Don't you?

> I know how to erect mine. :-)

Knowing how it is supposed to work and actually getting the job done can
be two very different things. :-)

>
>>I got to work real military HF communications during a four-year Air
>>Force hitch and plenty of government HF communications during a State
>>Department career. I've used antennas like rhombics, 3-30 MHz inverted
>>discones, double boom Collins LPA's at 90 feet and I've used magnetic
>>loops, open wire-fed flattops with autotuners, fan dipoles and even
>>crummy B&W "broadband" folded dipole "resistors". I've operated
>>satellite terminals with 9 meter dish antennas and with little suitcase
>>sat terminals. I've installed an maintained several generations of
>>STU's and operated high speed leased lines.
>
>
> All by yourself? Wow! You have PROOF of that, yes?

Oh yes, I have proof. I'll be happy to send you photocopies at $4.95
per page, postpaid. Alternatively, you can choose to drive cross country.

> I'll bet you were Behind The Lines in 'Nam, sending valuable intel
> back to Hq, right? A vital asset to the military! Hip hip hooray!

I've done no bragging at all about my military communications work in
Vietnam. I will correct your claim in another post that I was assigned
to Air Force MARS. I was not. I operated MARS circuits in my off duty
time.

> Sorry, Davie, I didn't do a lot of radio communications (all by
> myself) after my military service.

Yessir. That was my point. You didn't.

> I went into DESIGN of radio-
> electronics, making the equipment from scratch.

Good for you, Len.

>
>>> My stint as a professional
>>>in radio communications exceeded yours by several magnitudes.
>
>
> Hundreds of magnitudes. Googles of them. Off the scale...

I wrote nothing of "hundreds of magnitudes". I wrote "several magnitudes".

>
>>Now, what're you using for antennas for your lil R-70 vintage inhaler?
>
>
> Why do you ask? Do you need HELP? Just ask.

Because you brought up my name and antennas earlier. I just wanted to
be clear on your abilities and experience in antennas. Thanks for the
offer of help though. If you drive cross country and actuall help, I'll
provide all the proof you seek at a heavily discounted rate.

> BTW, my Icom receiver, purchased long ago, is NOT used in the wine
> industry nor for private brewing.

I'm sorry. You've obviously misunderstood.

> It has no olfactory sensing
> inputs.

I understood that. I didn't ask what you were using for a nose.

> It still works well as a 50 KHz to 30 MHz RADIO RECEIVER...
> is within specification as of this March.

I'm happy for you.

>
>
>>>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>>>>>PFC"...?!??!
>>
>>>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>>
>>>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
>>
>>> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
>>> Mikey Coslo never served.
>>> Dee Flint never served.
>>> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
>>
>>You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
>>entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.
>
>
> Tsk. I must be subconsciously picking up YOUR attitude! Gotta

> watch myself.

Try to find a post where I've done any such thing toward those who never served in the military. This isn't the first time you've wrapped yourself in bunting and belittled those, who for whatever reason, didn't serve in the U.S. Armed Forces. I'm quite proud that I served, but I don't find it necessary to take shots at those who did not.

> No, your snarlingness, I don't feel "entitled" to condescension.

Then why have you done it so often?

> That's YOUR schtick.

No, that's incorrect. You've done it frequently to a number of posters here, who have not served in the U.S. Armed Forces.

> I DO feel absolutely ENTITLED to cuss out any
> sumbish who wants to meanmouth whatever service I did for my country
> especially so if they didn't serve. I don't take no snit from
> pansies who were NEVER IN who want to "tell me like it is" IN the
> military. YOU live with that, because that's how it goes down.

...and you've just done it again.

>
>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>the rear someplace.
>
>
> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?

Not yours.

Dave K8MN

# 3039. Re: Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 06:05:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Ta-dnS0Whp74P5PeRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117288

K4YZ wrote:

>
> The SUSPICIOUS part, Frankie, is why you suddenly show up in an
> Amateur Radio newsgroup with no other agenda than trying to undermine
> my credibility with lame suggestions that YOU are a "credible" source
> and that YOUR take is one of validity.

And the best he can do is cb.

# 3040. Re: IARN AND AARA BROADCAST AND POLICY STATEMNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 09:36:54 UTC
From: PeteDBNZA <zs5actRemovethis@mweb.co.za>
Message-ID: <demnrm$p9o$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 117291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

International=800 phone numbers that don't work outside USA!

--
Pete . .
ZS5ACT
http://www.electronic-ideas.com/zs5act/

------ Reply Separator ------

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:yu6dnYdTh-PogZPeRVn-3Q@crocker.com...
>
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1124997175.354463.184430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) AND AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO
>> ASSOCIATION (AARA)BROADCAST OWNERSHIP AND POLICY STATEMENT
>>
>>All radio amateurs worldwide are required to listen daily.
>
> ummmm, so where are they?? haven't heard a word out of the great
> broadcaster in a while now.
>
>

# 3041. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 11:41:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125056477.008989.120390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> hello all, i've started a new group for the discussion of amateur radio
> policy and regulations. This group is for those who want to talk about
> REAL AMATEUR RADIO POLICY AND REGULATION.
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Policy

Yeah...right.

And Number One Item in his "new group" is yet another serving of
N9OGL trying to justify being a K1MAN wannabe.

Uh huh...reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal policy issues...

Steve, K4YZ

# 3042. Re: Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 11:48:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125056917.653695.63250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117293

> Stevie showing his lack of charter as an NCO

"character"

Don't address me about "character" issues, "Colonel". You haven't
earned that right.

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
> > at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
> > dared while he WAS on active duty....
>
> stevie claiming the basic building block of the armed forces is a
> lowlife

You wouldn't know what the "basic building blocks" are, Markie.

And please note that Frankie himself refered to PFC's as a
"####bird"

> cuting Stvie paranoia

"cutting" "Stevie"

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3043. Re: IARN AND AARA BROADCAST AND POLICY STATEMNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 11:51:07 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <vmDPe.8593$p5.3452@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 117294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

> All radio amateurs
> worldwide are required to listen daily.
Ummm, no. I did not see that anywhere in the regs.

>
> IARN is governed by the IARN Amateur Radio Peace Corps Foundation, Inc.
> which has a Board of Directors who appoint IARN's Manager (currently
> Glenn Baxter, K1MAN).

Isn't K1MAN the fellow that keeps getting in hot water with the FCC??

Methinks that post was more 'spamdate' than 'mandate.'

# 3044. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:07:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125058072.581350.269260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117295

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
> >> If fact,
> >> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> >> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> >> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> >> assigned.
> >
> >But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
> >in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
>
> Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
>
> Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
> Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
> to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"

The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
bad manners and a propensity to deceive.

> By the way, WHERE was this "front?" You guys keep talking about
> the "front lines" like it was in World War ONE. Wasn't like
> that. Ask Jimmie Noserve. He was THERE...in spirit...through
> his books...he read all about it.

Then it would seem you were in good company, Lennie.

The only place YOU ever "served" on a "front line" was in a Clancy
novel or in the chow hall.

> >> Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
> >> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
> >> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
> >> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
> >
> >Well, grizzled old Sarge, I just put up a brand new, enormous triband
> >yagi all by my lonesome.

>
> Wow! All by your LONESOME? Gee, Davie, you need to get out more.
> It would keep you from being so lonesome...
>
> You have some PROOF of this accomplishment, yes?

Where's PROOF of YOUR station, Lennie?

Since you can't TRANSMIT, we've been asking for a pic of your
"monitoring station"...None yet.

Maybe you got one of those "Monitoring Station Registrations" that
CRB Books advertizes in the back of CQ and Popular
Communications...?!?!

I've worked Dave on the air...At least WE know he HAS antennas
up...

> > I'll wager I've put up more antennas in the
> >past five years than you have in your lifetime.
>
> I'm absolutely positive you have, dozens and dozens, hundreds and
> hundreds of them, more than in several mens' lifetimes. Whatever
> it is, YOU did MORE. You say so and that be that.

Seems Lennie has a problem with truth.

> > Wanna play some more "mine's bigger than yours"?
>
> I don't "play" with antennas. On the big ones, I let the pros
> do their jobs. They know how to erect them. I know how to
> erect mine.

I'm willing to bet the only antenna YOU have ever "erected" was a
set of Rabbit Ears.

> >I got to work real military HF communications during a four-year Air
> >Force hitch and plenty of government HF communications during a State
> >Department career. I've used antennas like rhombics, 3-30 MHz inverted
> >discones, double boom Collins LPA's at 90 feet and I've used magnetic
> >loops, open wire-fed flattops with autotuners, fan dipoles and even
> >crummy B&W "broadband" folded dipole "resistors". I've operated
> >satellite terminals with 9 meter dish antennas and with little suitcase
> >sat terminals. I've installed an maintained several generations of
> >STU's and operated high speed leased lines.
>
> All by yourself? Wow! You have PROOF of that, yes?

Gee, Lennie...Seems you ahve a bit of competition...

Well...No...I stand corrected...

You're no competition for anyone in THIS forum....

> I'll bet you were Behind The Lines in 'Nam, sending valuable intel
> back to Hq, right? A vital asset to the military! Hip hip hooray!
>
> Sorry, Davie, I didn't do a lot of radio communications (all by
> myself) after my military service. I went into DESIGN of radio-
> electronics, making the equipment from scratch.

"All by yourself? Wow! You have PRROF of that, yes?"

Sorry Lennie...had to use it...It fit so appropriately!

> >> My stint as a professional
> >>in radio communications exceeded yours by several magnitudes.
>
> Hundreds of magnitudes. Googles of them. Off the scale...
>
> >Now, what're you using for antennas for your lil R-70 vintage inhaler?
>
> Why do you ask? Do you need HELP? Just ask.

Why?

You wouldn't begin to know how to get one up, Lennie.

> BTW, my Icom receiver, purchased long ago, is NOT used in the wine
> industry nor for private brewing. It has no olfactory sensing
> inputs. It still works well as a 50 KHz to 30 MHz RADIO RECEIVER...
> is within specification as of this March.

Obviously not.

You've not managed to log a single one of us so far!

> >>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
> >>>>PFC"...?!??!
> >
> >>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
> >
> >>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
> >
> >> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
> >> Mikey Coslo never served.
> >> Dee Flint never served.
> >> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
> >
> >You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
> >entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.
>
> Tsk. I must be subconsciously picking up YOUR attitude! Gotta
> watch myself.

I found myself dealing with YOUR attitude this morning, Lennie.

But then I dropped it in the bowl and pushed the handle and it
went away.

> No, your snarlingness, I don't feel "entitled" to condescension.
> That's YOUR schtick. I DO feel absolutely ENTITLED to cuss out any
> sumbish who wants to meanmouth whatever service I did for my country
> especially so if they didn't serve.

The point here is that NO ONE has "mean mouthed" your "service",
Lennie.

And what >>I<< have "mean mouthed" was your attempts to use the
sacrifices of men who died three years BEFORE you were even IN the Army
to facilitate your sillinessx in here...

> I don't take no snit from
> pansies who were NEVER IN who want to "tell me like it is" IN the
> military. YOU live with that, because that's how it goes down.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! Now we have it...There's the "manhood" issue!
Lennie "served" (wore a uniform, an act he tries to deride ME about...)
ergo anyone else is "less a man"...

> >Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
> >the rear someplace.
>
> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?

You been spending time at Markie's house, Lennie? That sounds
like something he'd do......

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3045. Re: How long are you ("you" who...?!?!) going to contiue (continue) with your bull#### about Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:14:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125058457.988095.304790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117296

Frank of Silliland wrote:

> Yet almost
> everyone who responded wanted to know if it could be converted to
> cover the police and/or fire bands and none of them would show proof
> of licensure when asked. Kinda scary to think that there are so many
> nuts out there just waiting for an opportunity to impersonate a cop.

Gee, "Frank"...

WE have asked YOU to show "proof of licensure" and you've yet to
ante-up a callsign in ANY radio service.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3046. Re: A Bit Of News Markie Is Not Going To Like To See.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:20:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125058804.107273.253170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117297

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > What's a "condiction"?
> > > > >
> > > > > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > > > > communication deficit can understand what he meant.
> > > >
> > > > nice to see Stevie called on something like that
> > break
> > >
> > > Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!
> >
> > When you going to admit this lie Stevie?

What "lie"..?!?!

> Likely somewhere around the 12 of never
>
> cuting to save bandwidth

"cutting"

Putting back in to rub Markie's nose in it:

QUOTE:

But perhaps if "Frank" had been paying attention, by riding you
about your horrific abuse of the King's English, you've enjoyed a 62%
IMPROVEMENT over your typo rate of only a month ago.

I know...It sucks to think I actually forced you to do something
CONSTRUCTIVE, huh...?!?! Even worse to have to admit that I was
successful, eh?

You can thank me later.

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

# 3047. Re: A Bit Of News Markie Is Not Going To Like To See.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:25:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125059159.403534.6400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117298

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > What's a "condiction"?
> > > > >
> > > > > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > > > > communication deficit can understand what he meant.
> > > >
> > > > nice to see Stevie called on something like that
> > >
> > > Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!
> >
> > more lies and with out a bit of proof

Sure we have proof!

Frankie shows up from "nowhere".

He initially slams into Lennie, calling him a "complete fraud"
allegedly due to the content of his posts...(assuming him to be me...)

But then when he's corrected, digs in on me, although he obviously
didn't find anything wrong BEFORE he was pointed in the right
direction.

Pretty obvious to me. To others too, it seems...

> > But perhaps if "Frank" had been paying attention, by riding you
> > about your horrific abuse of the King's English, you've enjoyed a 62%
> > IMPROVEMENT over your typo rate of only a month ago.
>
> so what?

So I did you a favor.

> > I know...It sucks to think I actually forced you to do something
> > CONSTRUCTIVE, huh...?!?! Even worse to have to admit that I was
> > successful, eh?
>
> You forced nothing

Sure I did.

> > You can thank me later.
>

> nope

Don't worry, Markie...I already work in a profession where I am used to helping folks but not getting any "thanks" in return. If it was "gratitude" that I was looking for, I'd certainly look elsewhere.

But the FACT remains that I rendered a skilled service to you and it worked. On the house.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3048. Re: FYI (Sub-titled: Markie Can't Take A Day Off Without Making Sure We Will Miss Him)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:34:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125059666.310761.66190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117299

an_old_friend wrote:
> Ill be gone from sometime tommarrow so don't get your hopes up Stevie

"tomorrow"

A day without Markie is like a day WITH sunshine!

> BTW you want the turck plate number so you can to again with Wisconsin
> where I will be visting for a few days

"truck"

I am not sure what you were trying to say, Markie, but I am sure
you impressed yourself writing it.

> I leave with few thoughts Stevie

Oh, THAT was PRECIOUS, Markie, and I bet you don't even know why !
!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> One, grow up and learn the wolrd does not revolve around you

"world"

It would seem that YOU are the "center of the universe" person,
Markie...Felt like you couldn't leave your keyboard for a day without
making excuses for your absence.

> Two, seriously consider treatment for your Delsional nature, and your
> paranoia

I have neither.

> Three, stop lying and join in discussing subjects, we have had afew
> over the last week of course you were to busy with your flame wars to
> notice

No...YOU were too busy to notice that I have commented on SEVERAL
issues that DIDN'T involve YOU, Markie!

See...there's that "egocentric" thing again!

> Four try to learn the difference between mental illness and being
> different from YOURSELF

I do know the difference.

Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between mental illness and wellness.

Enjoy your trip. Looking for goats, are you?

Steve, K4YZ

# 3049. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) that Mark Morgan is lying to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:41:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125060109.134034.200430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117400

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Stevie yesterday admitt (admit) hat he has been lying in claiming that lying is
> > > > > a sign of mental illiness and so admits that many of his rants over the
> > > > > years have been lies
> > > > >
> > > > I said nothing of the sort.
> > > it was right there in the stuff you cut
> > >
> > No, I did not.
> >
> > I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.
> >
> yes you have

Then you are again lying, Markie.

I've asked you to quote, verbatim, where you think I allegedly
said that and you've not done it.

The REASON you've not done it is that it NEVER happened...

> > Cut or otherwise...
> >
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > > > > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > > > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> > > > > Lying is a sickness.
> > > > Not according to AMA
> > > >
> > > >
> > > It's not a medical issue.
> > > >
> > > endquote
> > > >
> > > >
> > > you said it isn't a medical issue
> > > >
> > > there you have lied everytime you have said it was

> >
> > No, I have not.
> >
> > Markie..As H A R D as this is for you to fathom, "medical"
> > health and "mental" health are NOT synonomous.
>
> more lies

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > > Mark, I DARE you to quote a SINGLE SENTENCE where I made any such
> > > > "admission".
> > > >
> > > > > It isn't Dr Robeson, but Rev. Robeson that has been speaking, preaching
> > > > > and trying to force his morality down everyone's throat
> > > >
> > > sexual rant cut
> >
> > There was no "sexual rant"
>
> sure was

MORE Mark Morgan lying!

> > > cuting
> >
> > The only thing you "cut" are issues you are embarrassed over.
>
> Not at all

Sure you have.

I've reproven it everytime I've had to re-insert items you
"cut"...

They were ALL about YOU!

> But your effort to relate everything to sex simply are improper in this
> NG

I don't.

That's YOUR schtick, Markie!

> Not at all embarrished to Bisexual, but it has NOTHING to do ham radio,
> or my character for that matter

"embarraassed".

It has nothing to do with Amateur Radio, but has EVERYTHING to do
with your character.

> No matter how many time you lie and say it does

I didn't lie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3050. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:47:12 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <kbEPe.1845$Wd7.1344@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:

> hello all, i've started a new group for the discussion of amateur radio
> policy and regulations. This group is for those who want to talk about
> REAL AMATEUR RADIO POLICY AND REGULATION.
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Policy

Is it moderated?
If so, that's also got a "down side,"
in that discussions are limited to the parameters
set by the prejudices and bigotries of the moderator.
Sometimes, kill files are the best answer.

# 3051. Re: FYI (Sub-titled: Markie Can't Take A Day Off Without Making Sure We Will Miss Him)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:48:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125060483.237190.169360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117402

K4YZ wrote:

> Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between
> mental illness and wellness.

It is unfortunate. Mark makes the same observation and comments that many others have observed and commented on for years. The unfortunate part is that you brush off such comments and don't get the help that you need.

# 3052. Summary Of Mark Morgan Deceit...Why Does He Highlight His Silliness This Way?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 12:52:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125060755.302042.194560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117403

```
nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > all ture
> > > >
> > > "true"
> > >
> > > You're right...It's true that everything you wrote was one lie
> > > after another...
> >
> > all my statement were true

Nope.

Not then.

Not today.

Not tomorrow.

> > That was the first step, Markie.
> > >
> > > massive pruming
> > >
> > "pruning"
> >
> > Reinstated becasue you made a LOT of allegations that you left
> > UNFOUNDED.
>
> not a one
> so recutting

STILL unfounded, so re-inserting to rub Markie's nose in it and
see if he can be convinced to answer the questions HONESTLY:

QUOTE:
```

Reinstated becasue you made a LOT of allegations that you left
UNFOUNDED.

And unfounded allegation is a LIE:

QUOTE:

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
> > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
> > Mark, I guess you think this stuff happens in a vaccuum and that
> > no one else but you and I read it (although I doubt many do...)
> > WHY do you insist on publishing not one but SEVEN absolutely
> > UNTRUE and DECEITFUL comments in ONE post...?!?!
> not one inture thing in the post

"untrue".

The whole thing was untrue, Markie.

> > > He admitted to receivng a mental discharge
> > Nope. Not a single word from me of any such.
> sure have

Then quote it, verbatim, with headers.

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
> Already done it

No, you've not.

> > > He admited then deined, then admited to it again, receiving a disablity
> > > pension, just as a lump sum payment
> > I never "admitted" any such thing.
> sure did

Nope. Another lie. Now repeated which makes it TWO lies.

> > Please show the post where I "admitted" such a thing.
> already done it

No, you've not.

UNQUOTE

> Stvie has been caught in number of thing recently not that he is man
> enough to admit most of it

"Stevie"

You've not substantiated the FIRST lie, let alone any others,
Markie.

This makes the FOURTH re-intro of the same questions to which you
claim you've already answered.

Try again, LiarBoy.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3053. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:08:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125061721.354079.301600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117404

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> >> > > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >> > > Blue Nose card.
> >
> >> > What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >
> >> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> >> the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> >> Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
> >
> >You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
> >arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
> and implying he was in the thick of them.
>
> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]

Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
military.

> I have no recollection of any "Panama Beach" in southern
> California.

There is a Panama "City" Beach in Florida. There is even a military
base nearby where Steve may have been short sheeted seven times. All
very hostile actions for the mentally ill.

There's Santa Monica beach (municipal beach).
> There's Hermosa Beach (a city) and Redondo Beach (also a city)
> and Huntington Beach (a city). Used to frequent the "Lighthouse"

> in Hermosa Beach for totally great jazz on weekends and reasonable
> drink prices in the late 1950s, early 1960s; they got too popular
> and raised prices plus adding a ticket-cover-charge.
>
> The "loudmouth at the end of THIS bar" is Dudly and his "seven
> hostile actions" and "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" blabbering.

Dud = UXO.

> >> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
> >> PFC"...?!??!
> >
> >> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
> >
> >Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
>
> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
> Mikey Coslo never served.
> Dee Flint never served.
> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!

Not even Rear Echelon. Yikes!

> The Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse are riding! Gallop. Gallop.
>
> >----
> >snipped denigration of one who served.
>
> PCTA extras will invoke their Double Standard and denigrate some
> more. Screum.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Sometimes these armchair types only have their double standard to fall
back on.

# 3054. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:15:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125062150.976788.249790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117405

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
> >>>PFC"...?!??!
> >>
> >>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
> >>
> >>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
> >
> > Jimmie Miccolis never served.
> > Mikey Coslo never served.
> > Dee Flint never served.
> > Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
>
> You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
> entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.
> Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
> the rear someplace.
>
> Dave K8MN

Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
denigrated.

Jim acts as if status as a "amateur" is something which gives him
entitlement to be condescending to those radio professionals who were
never amateurs.

Dave acts as if status as a DXer is something which gives him
entitlement to be condescending to those who enjoyed DX while not
holding the highest class of amateur licesne.

Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
insecure about his own accomplishments.

# 3055. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:16:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125062176.146985.4420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117406

hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> Sometimes these armchair types only have their double standard to fall
> back on.

You'd know best, Your Couch Potatoness.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3056. Re: FYI (Sub-titled: Markie Can't Take A Day Off Without Making Sure We Will Miss Him)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:19:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125062352.641554.269140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117407

hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between
> > mental illness and wellness.
>
> It is unfortunate. Mark makes the same observation and comments that
> many others have observed and commented on for years.

"Many others"...You? Lennie? Toiddie?

BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !

> The unfortunate
> part is that you brush off such comments and don't get the help that
> you need.

What help? I wasn't aware that rubbing liar's noses in their lies
was a treatable malady, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3057. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:22:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125062556.318658.105820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117408

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> > >>>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> > >> If fact,
> > >> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> > >> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> > >> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> > >> assigned.
> > >
> > >But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
> > >in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
> >
> > Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
> >
> > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
> > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
> > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
>
> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
> a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
> bad manners and a propensity to deceive.

The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
your military service lies.

# 3058. Re: Day 14 when will Stevie deal with Markie and his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:25:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125062715.487881.142370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117409

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Sorry folks gee I take aday and attend to my stuff and Stvie realy goes
> > > off the deep end (or is it the showllow end in a deep dive)
> >
> > "really"
> >
> > "shallow" (as in "your mind")
>
> you understood every word it seems

Not without effort.

And my placing of certain words in quotations is for YOUR benefit,
not mine.

> when will you stop lying with your claim Michigan will take a LPN
> seriously about mental illness

It's not a lie.

Any credible entity will take ANY report of mental defect and
INVESTIGATE it, just like they are doing you right now.

And Markie...

I didn't tell them you are "mentally ill"...I told them I have
reason to be concerned for your health and welfare and WHY I am
concerned.

> If you sent a letter it was circular filed, since the Computers know
> nothing about it

No letter of that nature is "circular filed"...Not unl;ess they
want to take a HIPPA fine that can range up to $250K.

> and what was mihcigan going to do?

"Michigan".

I don't know. That will be up to them.

> Force me to take meds because I lie on Usenet?

If you lie so liberally here, there's no reason to doubt that you
do it in real life.

> get real

I have.

> stop lying

I'm not.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3059. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:31:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125063110.457598.92120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117410

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> cut> > Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend.
> > > Like when the
> > > NAQP CW is on this winter season.
> >
> > That's a different story.
> > >
> > > It is just an example of what it will be like with massive
> > > digital action.
> > > Just watch and see.
> >
> > All the more reason to have a reasonable Morse Code only subband. Say
> > 7000 to 7050.
>
> why?
>
> why is it that Morse Code supporter are always insisting that Morse
> Code needs props in order to survive?

Nope.

It needs reasonable bandwidth in order to avoid interference. OOK
signals to not mix well with digital and modes such as PSK31

> > are plenty of spaces left in a natural state and protected
> > for those "hobbyists". Why not for Morse Code?
>
> They are not left just for theose hobbists. Many hunderd acres ar ein
> this area and never hiked to preserve the tree and lifefroms like deer
> and wolves and Mtn lions

"those" "hobbyist" "lifeforms"

Sure they are left for "hobbyists", although in your example
"naturalists" is the appropriate term.

> the question is why is Morse Code entitled to a such a preserve all to
> itself?

Why not?

The ONLY mode that OOK is compatable with is Single Side Band.

> And why if it is Such an EFECTIVE mode does it need the protection?

"EFFECTIVE"

We could ask the same about who needs the ADA, Mark.

Steve, K4YZ

## 3060. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:33:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125063220.339689.163820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117411

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> rom: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 5:47 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >> >> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> >
> >> >> "Stevie"
> >
> >> >If you insist. Stevie it is.
> >
> >> I dunno...in a couple of past postings, he signed "Stebe."
> >
> >> He has also signed "Seve."
> >
> >I thought those were just anger management issues.
>
> He desperately needs new management!

After he gets new management, he needs a PR firm to try to change the
way we perceive him.

> >> I call him "Dudly" now. As in "Dudly-don't-do-right." :-)
> >
> >> Temper fly.
> >
> >Dud. As in UXO.
>
> That too! :-)
>
> Except...that ordnance isn't exactly "unexploded"...it goes off in
> here all over the place! Oy, such a noise, gives a head ache...!

Figuratively. He's a ticking time bomb.

# 3061. Mark says: "Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:43:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125063798.254360.86360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117412

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > > > >
> > > > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> > > >
> > > > Lying is a sickness.
> > >
> > > Not according to AMA
> >
> > It's not a medical issue.
>
> of course it is

Nope. It's a psychological one.

One can be in perfect PHYSICAL health and still manifest a
PSYCHOLOGICAL disorder. Lying has nothing to do with your metabolism.

> Unless it is a religious one in which Lying is not a sickness, it can
> be a religious requirement
>
> and In amercia we still have freedom of religion

"America", you jerk.

Lying is not a protected religious freedom.

> > > > > Yes indeed Stevie is sick
> > > >
> > > > Other than a bit of indigestion from too much pizza this
> > > > afternoon, I am quite fit.
> > >
> > > No you are sick, saying kiddy porn is related to lying
> >
> > They are. And no, I am quite well..The earlier indigestion from
> > the pizza waned, thanks to some Zantac OTC.
>
> more stevie lies

Nope. I feel quite well.

> kiddy porn is in no way related to lying

Sure it is.

That YOU are an ADMITTED liar and that putting you in that basket
makes you uncomfortable is just too bad, Markie.

Ya shudda considered that (a) before you started lying and (b)
before you bragged about BEING a liar.

> > > > > and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a
> > > > > Kiddy porn producer
> > > > >
> > > > > You have yet to validate a single one, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > sure have
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > indeed I have

Nope. Not a one.

> a new lie validated is your claim to respect the constitution of the US

I do.

YOU on the otherhand have yet to show where I HAVEN'T, other than
to express your frustration that I refuse to yield to an admitted liar.

> cuting more repitous crap

"repetitious"

QUOTE

> ratonalizing his sickness

Those were YOUR words.

Here are mine, since you "commented" on them but didn't cite what
you were replying to (very poor netiquette, by the way...)

QUOTE:

Untrue. Many kids can do exactly that too. Most don't, but then most

abused spouses and domestic partners don't "run away or defend
themselves" either.

UNQUOTE.

Number one cause of premature death of adults in families under
age 50 is homicide-by-partner. Most of those cases were of persons
killed by spouses/partners who had abused them before.

This is a fact.

> > > And that Stevie thinks about kiddy porn to makes such judgements and to
> > > bring them out on his own proves who is sick

> more rationalizing his remarks about kiddy porn

More of me reinstating comments you are commenting on but didn't
quote:

QUOTE:

As much as I hate to do it, Markie, being made alert for such
potential abuses of children is a requirement of my job. There are
classes specifically taught to recognize such potential activities.

UNQUOTE.

That's not "rationalizing", Markie. It's the truth.

UNQUOTE

No matter how often you "cut" it, Markie, it remains true.

Sorry it runs counter to your pattern of conduct and deceit.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3062. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:46:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125063968.061556.186640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117413

hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
> > > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
> > > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
> >
> > The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
> > a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
> > bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
>
> The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
> your military service lies.

Obviously not.

He didn't get any of it right.

And his "agenda" was laid bare in the process.

Try again, Your Couch Potatoness.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3063. Re: Finally..... proof of Steve's big lie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 13:49:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125064172.551950.123640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117414

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Aug 2005 18:47:00 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1124848020.128865.49880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >VINSON! I remember those. The 2ID provided us with aviation,
> >mobile/tactical radios. The kick13 was on a sweatty piece of parachute
> >cord that got passed from shift to shift; had to wear it on my neck.
> >The QRCT HF system also required a ky58, though the depot was Ft Gillem
> >(brac?).
>
>
> 1st & 2nd echelon repair (USMC, ground) was taught at MCAGCC;

Where dat?

3rd
> through 5th was taught at Ft. Gordon for all branches.
>
>
> >What were the little tear-off code pages called?
>
>
> Emergency toilet paper? Beats me. I was a tech, not an operator.

Speaking of the world's greatest radio op, Heil was just bragging about
his use of the STU. Hi!

# 3064. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 14:19:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125065943.708172.302390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What's the matter Dudley, upset because you won't be able to get on
there and harass people.

# 3065. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 14:21:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125066115.998294.141150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Sometimes, kill files are the best answer.

well there's a lot of people on here that use google which doesn't have
that feature. The reason I created it was to discuss amateur radio, not
have a weekly flame war by calling people names.
Todd

# 3066. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 14:55:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125068150.687534.9530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117417

Any amateur radio station can run an information bulletin. My pet pea with it is that the thin line between information bulletin and broadcasting. An Information Bulletin is a message directed to amateur radio operators consisting of subject matter of interest to amateur radio. Many amateur's has taken it to be "a short, to the point newscast" and that Information bulletins cannot be opinionated. First there is nothing in the rules that state an information bulletin cannot be opinionated; nor any rules that state an information bulletin has to be a newscast. If there is a rule feel free to let me know which rule. As for short and to the point the FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN stated that there was no time limit on information bulletins as a matter of fact a club station that runs a information bulletin or a code practice transmission 40 hours a week (that's 8 hr. a day) may be compensated (47 CFR 97.113©). The FCC on their website has also stated that it is up to the station transmitting the bulletin to determine if it is amateur interest. Which brings me to the FCC, the FCC is prohibited from controling the content of any station, they are also prohibited from regulation or condition shall be promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the right of free speech by means of radio communication. (47 USC 326) (this however doesn't apply to obscene and indecent material) So if one of the key points of the NAL was the content of his transmission it has to be asked if the FCC violated section 326 of the Communication Act. the courts has also stated that the FCC is prohibited from making content based rules. I also am going to bring up about the ARRL's W1AW and how it, like K1MAN has also cause interference with on going communication and that it should also be fined.

Todd N9OGL

# 3067. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 15:00:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125068417.512772.294190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117418

I have a much better idea Todd.
Just don't LISTEN to Glen Baxter and his bullcrap.
It's that simple.

Who said I wanted to stop listening??

Here's an example of what I mean. About 5 miles from my
home there is a wastewater treatment plant. When you get to
within 1/2 a mile of the plant it really starts to stink like shi+.
Stay away from it and you don't smell it. Same thing goes for
Baxter and his broadcasts. I know where "the stink" is on the
dial so I just stay away when he's on and as far as I am
concerned he does not even EXIST ! (wow! that's effective)

My problem is the definitions for information bulletin and broadcasting
are at lease vague. Something I hope to try and resolve.

Just ignore the goofy bastard from Belgrade Lakes like
I do in the above example and he'll not only
go away eventually, but you'll not ever hear him also!

I don't listen to him, I have my own bulletin station I run

Todd N9OGL

# 3068. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 16:54:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125075273.318980.297420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117419

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > cut> > Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend.
> > > > Like when the
> > > > NAQP CW is on this winter season.
> > >
> > > That's a different story.
> > > >
> > > > It is just an example of what it will be like with massive
> > > > digital action.
> > > > Just watch and see.
> > >
> > > All the more reason to have a reasonable Morse Code only subband. Say
> > > 7000 to 7050.
> >
> > why?
> >
> > why is it that Morse Code supporter are always insisting that Morse
> > Code needs props in order to survive?
>
> Nope.
>
> It needs reasonable bandwidth in order to avoid interference.

All modes need reasonable bandwidth in order to avoid interference.

> OOK
> signals to not mix well with digital and modes such as PSK31

But not because of bandwidth.

> > > are plenty of spaces left in a natural state and protected
> > > for those "hobbyists". Why not for Morse Code?
> >
> > They are not left just for theose hobbists. Many hunderd acres ar ein
> > this area and never hiked to preserve the tree and lifefroms like deer
> > and wolves and Mtn lions
>
> "those" "hobbyist" "lifeforms"
>

> Sure they are left for "hobbyists", although in your example
> "naturalists" is the appropriate term.

There are wilderness areas/preserves where human access is strictly limited in an attempt to maintain the "wild" nature of the place. The radio analogy to such preserves is the quiet zone (both geographic and spectrum) around some radio astronomy observatories.

But that's not what I'm talking about.

There are parks, recreational areas, seashores, lakes, and other areas reserved from "development" and access in various ways. The rules for their use are aimed at letting "hobbyists" have the best possible experience (as in "fun") from the area - even though the rules limit the use of the area by some.

For example, there are plenty of such places where motor vehicles are simply not allowed. In many cases the only way to reach such places is to walk in and walk out. The presence of motor vehicles would change the place, and the experience, so much that they are simply not allowed.

There's a nature trail near my home that just opened last fall. Used to be an interurban right-of-way. It's a favorite for walkers, runners, bicyclists and rollerbladers. No motor vehicles of any type are allowed, even though the surface is paved.

At the other end of that spectrum is the Appalachian Trail, stretching from Stone Mountain in Georgia to Mount Katahdin (sp?) in Maine. No motor vehicles or even wheeled vehicles allowed on most of it.

Does walking need "props" in order to survive?
>
> > the question is why is Morse Code entitled to a such a preserve all to
> > itself?
>
> Why not?
>
> The ONLY mode that OOK is compatable with is Single Side Band.

In some ways yes, but in most ways no. Even those two modes are incompatible in many ways. That's why they have separate subbands.

Consider the fact that most "data" modes are not allowed in the voice/image subbands. Is that a "prop" so that SSB and AM will survive?

Imagine a stretch of band where there are Morse Code signals every 1 kHz. Is there anyplace in such a band where an SSB voice signal can operate without causing interference to at least one Morse Code signal?

>
> > And why if it is Such an EFECTIVE mode does it need the protection?
>
> "EFFECTIVE"

>

There's a fundamental divide appearing in radio modes nowadays.

Modes like Morse Code and the analog voice modes are real time, "direct experience" modes. A human listens to the demodulated signal directly, in real time.

The "digital" modes are fundamentally different in that there is decoding beyond the demodulation process. A machine does the decoding - the human does not 'listen' to the signal at all in most cases.

Look at PSK31 - you see a particular pattern on the waterfall, click on it, and the decoded text appears. If there is interference, the text is garbled, and there's not very much you can do about it. And what you can do is a matter of equipment adjustment, not skill in listening.

Because of this difference, it makes sense to allow certain modes - like Morse Code - a place free of interference from "machine modes", just like the trails where motor vehicles are not allowed.

Voice modes like SSB and AM are protected from modes like PSK31 and RTTY. The spectrum allowed to those modes in the US HF ham bands amounts to more than half the total spectrum available! If such protection is good enough for SSB and AM, why not Morse Code?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3069. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 17:36:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FqIPe.1927$Wd7.1371@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117420

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>>>>>Blue Nose card.
>>>
>>>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>>>
>>>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
>>>>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
>>>>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
>>>
>>>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
>>>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
>> and implying he was in the thick of them.
>>
>> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>> assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
>> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
>> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
>> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
>
>
> Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
> because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
> military.

Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
many occasions. Now then, Len has been vocal in his attempts to change
regulations in an endeavor in which he is not a participant. Has anyone

here, who has never served in the military, attempted to change
military regulations?

Dave K8MN

# 3070. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 17:41:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WuIPe.1932$Wd7.1812@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117421

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>>>>>PFC"...?!??!
>>>>
>>>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>>>>
>>>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
>>>
>>> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
>>> Mikey Coslo never served.
>>> Dee Flint never served.
>>> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
>>
>>You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
>>entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.
>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>the rear someplace.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
> denigrated.

Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
proud of?

> Jim acts as if status as a "amateur" is something which gives him
> entitlement to be condescending to those radio professionals who were
> never amateurs.

Does he? I've seen no evidence of it. The only radio professional
here, who isn't a radio amateur, is Len Anderson. Len is an insulting
churl.

> Dave acts as if status as a DXer is something which gives him
> entitlement to be condescending to those who enjoyed DX while not
> holding the highest class of amateur licesne.

To those? Well, there's you and you don't seem to know very much about
DXing. You seem to equate it with ragchewing across continents.

> Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
> insecure about his own accomplishments.

Then again, you've been wearing a chip on your shoulders for years.

Dave K8MN

# 3071. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 17:42:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QvIPe.1933$Wd7.1859@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117422

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>>> If fact,
>>>>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>>>>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>>>>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>>>>> assigned.
>>>>
>>>>But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
>>>>in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
>>>
>>> Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
>>>
>>> Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
>>> Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
>>> to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
>>
>> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
>>a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
>>bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
>
>
> The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
> your military service lies.

...or so he claims.

Dave K8MN

# 3072. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 18:31:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.26.18.31.20.635998@gmail.com>
Source record: 117423

Dan:

You are either unable or unwilling to "get it"...

Let me put it simply for you...

A radio frequency is a radio frequency (whether cb or amateur, federal, state, county, city, foreign, etc, etc)...

An idiot with a mike is an idiot with a mike (whether cb, amateur, etc, etc)

... end of story ...

John

On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 22:33:10 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> This is what YOU wrote John Smith
>
>>> The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>>> doctrines."
>
> This is what I said to you.
>
>>> You know better than that John Smith. Quit trying to blow smoke up
>>> someone's posterior.
>
> I'm not talking about hating CBers. Im talking about you should KNOW
> better than to say ham radio is basically the same as CB.
>
> That doesn't mean I hate CBers. It means your blowing smoke up someones
> rear...And it ain't mine.
>
> Your getting more like Lennie the idiot every day.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.25.02.02.59.97630@gmail.com...
>> Dan:
>>
>> On the contrary, I doubt the sanity of anyone who thinks there is
>> something inherently evil in cb'ers, I know quite a few who have gotten
>> amateur tickets over the years...

>>
>> A witch-hunt for cb'ers who want amateur tickets is an evil thing...
>>
>> John
>>
>>

# 3073. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 18:35:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.26.18.35.21.372311@gmail.com>
Source record: 117424

Dan:

When your "take" on anything deserves any consideration, I'll get let you know... the nazi was an attempt of mine in just trying to be nice, frankly all your text is just simply an attack on CB, CB'ers and anyone not agreeing with you--it is my opinion that only an idiot could consider it anything else...

John

On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 22:28:21 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Well John Smith, you just blew it. So now you are calling me a Nazi. Or
> at least a wanabee Nazi. You should be ashamed of yourself.
>
> I honestly thought you were made of better stuff. I was wrong.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.25.02.04.41.821351@gmail.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> If this were the 1930's, dan would be a dangerous man. Besides serving
>> hitler in the search for evil jews, he would also be pursuing cb'ers who
>> want an amateur license...
>>
>> John
>>
>> On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:44:01 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> From: John Smith on Aug 23, 8:06 pm
>>>
>>>>Dan:
>>>>
>>>>The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>>>>doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do
>>>>not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most
>>>>likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all
>>>>> the CB
>>>>> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with
>>>>> HAM

>>>>> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>>>>
>>>>> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn
>>>>> something
>>>>> from those that have been there and done it.
>>>>
>>>>> SEE?
>>>>
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> John, Dere you have it. Dan Jeswald >>IS<< amateur radio! He
>>> RULEZ!
>>>
>>> "If the dit don't fit, Dan will convict!"
>>> - paraphrasing Brian Burke
>>>
>>> Dannie he say NO ONE CAN CHANGE HAM RADIO!!! He won't allow it!
>>>
>>> [ farf...snort... ]
>>>
>>> dan say
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>

# 3074. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 18:54:04 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125082444.386860.20820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117425

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

25 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1913

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 94

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 520 28.59%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1037 57.01%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 262 14.40%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 25 Aug 05 that would be 1819.

As of 26 Aug 05, there still hasn't been any notice in the
Federal Register under Federal Communications Commission on
the NPRM FCC 05-143 or WT Docket 05-235. I wonder why not?

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

cod boo
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3075. A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 18:56:13 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1125082573.078138.29130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

# 3076. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 18:57:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125082640.311465.35330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117427

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 26 Aug 2005 06:08

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:

>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
>military.

Minor correction. I have a non-amateur radio license. I've
had several of them, all station licenses.

>> I have no recollection of any "Panama Beach" in southern
>> California.
>
>There is a Panama "City" Beach in Florida. There is even a military
>base nearby where Steve may have been short sheeted seven times. All
>very hostile actions for the mentally ill.

The military base for the mentally ill is speculation and
suspicion. However, given that Dudly has NEVER presented ANY
proof of his claims, nor any names of corroborating witnesses,
such conjecture seems more correct than his claims of military
service.

>> >Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
>>
>> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
>> Mikey Coslo never served.
>> Dee Flint never served.
>> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
>
>Not even Rear Echelon. Yikes!

Some others who claim they served in the military are way too
concerned over "rear areas."

>Sometimes these armchair types only have their double standard to fall
>back on.

Their own "rear area." They are anal-retentive on "rear area."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3077. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 18:58:57 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125082737.144922.259930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117428

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 26 Aug 2005 06:22

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> > >>>K4YZ wrote:
>> > >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> > >>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> > >
>> > >> If fact,
>> > >> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>> > >> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>> > >> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>> > >> assigned.
>> > >
>> > >But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
>> > >in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
>> >
>> > Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
>> >
>> > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
>> > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
>> > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
>>
>> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
>> a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
>> bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
>
>The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
>your military service lies.

Tsk. The stealers of valor cry foul when their stealing is stolen.

Dudly has NO PROOF whatsoever of his "forward area" action. NONE.
Aircraft ground maintenance personnel are NOT in any "forward area."

If one is NOT in a "forward area," one is in the "rear area." Such
as an Okinawa MARS station where Dudly claimed to be "Assistant
NCOIC." [wow...lots of responsibility there...in a NON-commo role
if he was really there...MARS was never a part of the Defense
Communications System]

Dudly has never referred to any common small-unit land force radio
by nomenclature or familiar name. Neither has he done so for any

common avionics radio of the 1974-1992 period. That is unthinkable for anyone who has really been IN the military involved in radio communications of any kind. Ergo, Dudly NEVER DID what he claimed.

Dudly has presented NO PROOF of this claimed military service. He has presented nothing but verbal generalities that can be gleaned from publications or entertainment shows. Anyone truly proud to have served will have some sort of documentation which can be scanned and presented for proof. Dog tags can be scanned. Dudly has offered NONE. Not even personal snapshots.

Dudly says all who challenge him on his military claims should "call the VA [Veteran's Administration]." The VA will not reveal details to non-familiy members and must have assurance that a requestor is legitimate. The VA cannot reveal details due to a federal law that is almost three decades old. The same is true for NARA, the National Archives and Records Administration, which has a large records archive in St. Louis, MO. NARA has a website which contains the form required to request details...the filled-in form can be e-mailed for personal data, but must be sent surface mail for full disclosure.

The only logical conclusion is that Dudly's claims to military service are a FRAUD, a fabrication, a LIE. In his case, a "rear area" is what he has been giving us.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3078. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:00:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125082846.400180.271060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117429

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 26, 6:33 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 5:47 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:

>> >> >> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
>
>> >> >> "Stevie"
>
>> >> >If you insist. Stevie it is.
>
>> >> I dunno...in a couple of past postings, he signed "Stebe."
>
>> >> He has also signed "Seve."
>
>> >I thought those were just anger management issues.
>
>> He desperately needs new management!
>
>After he gets new management, he needs a PR firm to try to change the
>way we perceive him.

A challenge to the best of PR professionals!

>> >> I call him "Dudly" now. As in "Dudly-don't-do-right." :-)
>
>> >> Temper fly.
>
>> >Dud. As in UXO.
>
>> That too! :-)
>
>> Except...that ordnance isn't exactly "unexploded"...it goes off in
>> here all over the place! Oy, such a noise, gives a head ache...!
>
>Figuratively. He's a ticking time bomb.

As you've said before, any time now that vein gonna go POP and it's
bye-bye Dudly.

Until then, we are faced with a deluge of Dudly's personal efforts
to "justify" his military claims...and to denigrate all those who
challenge his massive snow job. That's NOT what this newsgroup is

about but he ignores that in efforts to promote himself.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3079. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:03:45 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125083025.644978.129950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117430

From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>>the rear someplace.
>>
>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
>
>Not yours.

If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3080. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:09:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kNJPe.1963$Wd7.615@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117431

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>>>the rear someplace.
>>>
>>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
>>
>>Not yours.
>
>
> If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.

You took my comment and turned it around. Then, when I respond to your silly question, you think I'm discussing anal sex? What in the world is the matter with you?

Dave K8MN

# 3081. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:28:58 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <denqhq$gem$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 117432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

"Polymath" wrote
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.

==========

I do not wholely agree.

There are many radio amateurs who are, or have been, professional engineers, not necessarily radio engineers, who contribute in their many various ways towards the hobby.

'Hobby' is perhaps not the best of descriptions. It is an international affair.
----
Reg, G4FGQ

# 3082. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:44:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125085441.509839.306950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117433

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
> > > > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
> > > > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
> > >
> > > The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
> > > a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
> > > bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
> >
> > The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
> > your military service lies.
>
> Obviously not.
>
> He didn't get any of it right.
>
> And his "agenda" was laid bare in the process.

Grand claims you make. Got any proof?

# 3083. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:46:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125085567.953252.280850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117434

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>> If fact,
> >>>>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> >>>>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> >>>>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> >>>>> assigned.
> >>>>
> >>>>But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
> >>>>in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
> >>>
> >>> Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
> >>>
> >>> Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
> >>> Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
> >>> to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
> >>
> >> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
> >>a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
> >>bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
> >
> >
> > The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
> > your military service lies.
>
> ...or so he claims.
>
> Dave K8MN

Steve got real quiet. It were almost as if someone, somewhere were
"dialing..."

# 3084. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:46:53 UTC
From: ...
Message-ID: <430f71f9$0$97132$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader03.plus.net>
Source record: 117435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

why is amateur radio not a hobby ?

Definition of a hobby

A spare-time recreational pursuit

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:denqhq$gem$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>
> "Polymath" wrote
> > Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> > are interested in the science of radio wave
> > propagation and who are also interested in the
> > way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> > tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> > are born naturals.
>
> ============================
>
> I do not wholely agree.
>
> There are many radio amateurs who are, or have been, professional
> engineers, not necessarily radio engineers, who contribute in their
> many various ways towards the hobby.
>
> 'Hobby' is perhaps not the best of descriptions. It is an
> international affair.
> ----
> Reg, G4FGQ
>
>

# 3085. Va gur urnqref

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:58:17 UTC
From: Fgnttre Yrr <FYrr@ubhfgba-uhaxf.pbz>
Message-ID: <va.gur.urnqref@ubhfgba-uhaxf.pbz>
Source record: 117436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vg'f nyy va gur urnqref.

==========
"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

==========
"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through
somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just
to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" --
AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2ebf@posting.google.com>

==========
"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called
here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the
black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one
to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id
<737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>

==========
"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You
ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet
Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled
his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still
can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

==========
In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>, Secwet
Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim:
"If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink
so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and
'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

# 3086. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 19:58:26 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dens91$1avi$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117437

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<snip>

> There's a fundamental divide appearing in radio modes nowadays.
>
> Modes like Morse Code and the analog voice modes are real time, "direct
> experience" modes. A human listens to the demodulated signal directly,
> in real time.
>
> The "digital" modes are fundamentally different in that there is
> decoding beyond the demodulation process. A machine does the decoding -
> the human does not 'listen' to the signal at all in most cases.

Which is great for people such as myself!

> Look at PSK31 - you see a particular pattern on the waterfall, click on
> it, and the decoded text appears. If there is interference, the text is
> garbled, and there's not very much you can do about it. And what you
> can do is a matter of equipment adjustment, not skill in listening.

Thank goodness for that! If listening skill was the main criteria, I
wouldn't be much of a Ham! Well hearing skills maybe.....

> Because of this difference, it makes sense to allow certain modes -
> like Morse Code - a place free of interference from "machine modes",
> just like the trails where motor vehicles are not allowed.

I'm certainly all for keeping those accursed robot stations in their
own section of the bands (actually, I am not in favor of their existance
- I think they violate the spirit if not the law). How is a robot
station that wipes out sometimes dozens of QSO's any different from
certain Amateurs who have been known to broadcast "bulletins right over
top of ongoing QSOs?

> Voice modes like SSB and AM are protected from modes like PSK31 and
> RTTY. The spectrum allowed to those modes in the US HF ham bands
> amounts to more than half the total spectrum available! If such
> protection is good enough for SSB and AM, why not Morse Code?

I have to smile at the concept of SSB and AM being protected from my
wimpy little PSK31 signal.

I understand your analogy, but I don't think it quite hits the
fundamental divide point. Certainly RTTY and SSTV and ATV and HELL mode
have been around for quite a while.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 3087. State of the Stats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 20:00:26 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125086426.941968.285250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117438

Some statistics from Google as of 8 PM EDT 25 Aug 05:

THIS MONTH -

Mark Morgan 588 (total under two screen names)
John Smith 416 (peudonym)
Dudly Robeson 321 (whoever he is)
Brian Burke 251 (total under two screen names)
Len Anderson 168
Jimmie Miccolis 95
Dannie Jeswald 82

ALL-TIME TOP POSTERS -

Cecil A. Moore 17,326 (total, last on rrap 6 Jun 03)
Dudly Robeson 9,947 (whoever he is)
Jimmie Miccolis 8,277 (has more under another pseudonym)
Dick Carroll 7,051 (SK? last post 22 Sep 03)
Len Anderson 6,151 (total all screen names)
Ed Hare 5,253 (not here now, busy with ARRL Lab matters)
Jim Rosenthal 4,286 (status unknown, last post 27 Dec 00)
Davie Heil 4,022
Larry Roll 3,977 (status unknown, last post 15 Apr 04)

Note: "ALL-TIME" posting numbers include other newsgroups besides
rec.radio.amateur.policy.

# 3088. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 20:06:06 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125086766.712438.69910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117439

From: Dave Heil on Aug 26, 12:09 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>>>>the rear someplace.
>
>>>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
>
>>>Not yours.
>
>> If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.
>
>You took my comment and turned it around.

NOTHING was "turned around." The quotes are exact, in a linear form
from your posting.

> Then, when I respond to your
>silly question, you think I'm discussing anal sex?

Since you are anal-retentive on "rear area" issues, what I wrote
is the most plausible explanation for your non-turned-around
statement.

> What in the world is the matter with you?

Nothing. I'm trying to (vainly it seems) to return to amateur
radio policy issues.

Up before the FCC at this very moment is 05-143, an NPRM on the
government's proposal to remove test element 1 from the license
examination requirements. Comments continue to come in on that
via Docket WT 05=235. The results of that and whether or not a
Report and Order will be issued removing the code test will most
definitely affect United States amateur radio in the near future.

You do not choose to discuss CURRENT amateur radio policy issues
but rather dwell on old, old arguments in here and are now anal-
retentive on "rear area" statements.

What is the matter with YOU?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3089. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 20:06:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125086799.560265.137640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117440

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 26 Aug 2005 06:22
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >> If fact,
> >> > >> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> >> > >> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> >> > >> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> >> > >> assigned.
> >> > >
> >> > >But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
> >> > >in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
> >> >
> >> > Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
> >> >
> >> > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
> >> > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
> >> > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
> >>
> >> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
> >> a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
> >> bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
> >
> >The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
> >your military service lies.
>
> Tsk. The stealers of valor cry foul when their stealing is stolen.

Adding to the basis of "th[i]er" double-standard.

> Dudly has NO PROOF whatsoever of his "forward area" action. NONE.
> Aircraft ground maintenance personnel are NOT in any "forward area."

Actually, it just might be possible. I know of some rear area
personnel who were permitted to go on helo excursions so that they
would qualify for hazardous duty pay. Yet the douche bags had no
weapon, no TA50, and no sense. It was just a scam, and they should
have been reprimanded.

I beleive that Steve is up to such stunts.

> If one is NOT in a "forward area," one is in the "rear area." Such
> as an Okinawa MARS station where Dudly claimed to be "Assistant

> NCOIC." [wow...lots of responsibility there...in a NON-commo role
> if he was really there...MARS was never a part of the Defense
> Communications System]

How could it have been??? "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

> Dudly has never referred to any common small-unit land force radio
> by nomenclature or familiar name. Neither has he done so for any
> common avionics radio of the 1974-1992 period. That is unthinkable
> for anyone who has really been IN the military involved in radio
> communications of any kind. Ergo, Dudly NEVER DID what he claimed.

Ergo, Dud UXO lied.

> Dudly has presented NO PROOF of this claimed military service. He
> has presented nothing but verbal generalities that can be gleaned
> from publications or entertainment shows.

Such as a 1950's issue of "This is the Air Force?"

Anyone truly proud to
> have served will have some sort of documentation which can be
> scanned and presented for proof. Dog tags can be scanned. Dudly
> has offered NONE. Not even personal snapshots.

He got sand in his eye.

> Dudly says all who challenge him on his military claims should "call
> the VA [Veteran's Administration]." The VA will not reveal details
> to non-familiy members and must have assurance that a requestor is
> legitimate. The VA cannot reveal details due to a federal law that
> is almost three decades old. The same is true for NARA, the
> National Archives and Records Administration, which has a large
> records archive in St. Louis, MO. NARA has a website which
> contains the form required to request details...the filled-in form
> can be e-mailed for personal data, but must be sent surface mail
> for full disclosure.

He hides behind his "Ask the VA" claims. Wonder where he hid during
the "seven hostile actions?"

> The only logical conclusion is that Dudly's claims to military
> service are a FRAUD, a fabrication, a LIE. In his case, a "rear
> area" is what he has been giving us.

He fully understands that disclosure of his military records will
expose him as the fraud that he is. So he hides behind his claims that
the "VA" will somehow release his data, knowing full well that they
won't.

# 3090. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 20:18:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125087534.703281.177840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117441

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
> >>>>>PFC"...?!??!
> >>>>
> >>>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
> >>>>
> >>>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
> >>>
> >>> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
> >>> Mikey Coslo never served.
> >>> Dee Flint never served.
> >>> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
> >>
> >>You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
> >>entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.
> >>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
> >>the rear someplace.
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
> > denigrated.
>
> Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
> proud of?

Like Billy Mitchell?

> > Jim acts as if status as a "amateur" is something which gives him
> > entitlement to be condescending to those radio professionals who were
> > never amateurs.
>
> Does he? I've seen no evidence of it. The only radio professional
> here, who isn't a radio amateur, is Len Anderson. Len is an insulting

> churl.

Jim is a thin-skinned Evangelical CWer.

> > Dave acts as if status as a DXer is something which gives him
> > entitlement to be condescending to those who enjoyed DX while not
> > holding the highest class of amateur licesne.
>
> To those? Well, there's you and you don't seem to know very much about
> DXing. You seem to equate it with ragchewing across continents.

Hmmmm? I know not to work French hams out of band on 6 meters.

Hmmmm? I know where to get permission to operate from a piece of real estate that has no government.

It took a guy who you claim "doesn't seem to know very much about DXing" to point that info out to you. But you just can't accept it, coming from a younger, brighter, better looking, and lower ranking amateur. Too bad for you.

> > Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
> > insecure about his own accomplishments.
>
> Then again, you've been wearing a chip on your shoulders for years.
>
> Dave K8MN

No chip. No dip. No parrot.

I've got the facts; you make smug remarks.

# 3091. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 20:20:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125087649.616209.185720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117442

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Sometimes these armchair types only have their double standard to fall
> > back on.
>
> You'd know best, Your Couch Potatoness.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You hide behind the Privacy Act. Spare us any more nonsense about your
claims of seven hostile actions. Never happened.

# 3092. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 20:24:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125087854.566004.212070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117443

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >>>>>>Blue Nose card.
> >>>
> >>>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >>>
> >>>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> >>>>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> >>>>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
> >>>
> >>>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
> >>>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
> >>
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
> >> and implying he was in the thick of them.
> >>
> >> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
> >> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> >> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> >> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> >> assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
> >> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
> >> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
> >> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
> >
> > Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
> > because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
> > military.
>
> Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
> many occasions.
```

And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?

# 3093. Is the Axis Of Evil of Blair/Bush/Windsor destroying Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 20:56:09 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1125089769.323149.73530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Ham Radio is a pursuit for those who dabble in
technical matters. Knowing how things work and
modifying and creating is what it is all about.

Can it be, though, that such aficionados would be
seen as a threat to national security ("Last week
I visited a farmyard and the national security came
up to one's knees?) because of their ability to get round
technical naziism?

Consider the whole basis of copying DVD's, for example -
it is a trivial matter for the technical aficionado
to throw together a few lines of code to do a low-level
block-to-block copy. Yet in the USA, there is the DMCA
to make such things illegal.

How long before attempts are made to make it illegal
to sell a computer without an operating system, and to
make it illegal to use a computer other than with the
operating system with which it is sold?

When the Axis Of Evil introduces ID cards, it will
be a trivial exercise for us to produce a reader that
will be able to excite the ID cards and read it.
The Axis Of Evil won't like anybody to have the knowledge
to do that!

No wonder that the govenments of the world are taking steps
to deskill Ham Radio and to make it indistinguishable from
CB Radio. Already licences are being issued that forbid
the design and manufacture of your own rigs. The thin
end of the wedge. I understand that in Tex-arse it is
illegal to own chemistry sets (test-tubes and the like)
without a licence.

Time to resurrect _REAL_ Ham radio and to boycott
these CB-like licences (The M3 in Britland)?

# 3094. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 21:40:24 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125092424.765788.120070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117445

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 26, 1:06 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 26 Aug 2005 06:22
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
>> >> > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
>> >> > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
>
>> >> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
>> >> a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
>> >> bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
>
>> >The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
>> >your military service lies.
>
>> Tsk. The stealers of valor cry foul when their stealing is stolen.
>
>Adding to the basis of "th[i]er" double-standard.

Notice that Dudly NEVER explains EXACTLY which "front" He was in.
His usual tactic is to immediately denigrate his accuser in an
attempt to avoid a direct answer.

I arrived in Japan in February 1953. Combat action in Korea was
in a minimal state due to on-going talks about a cease-fire/truce.
In July 1953 that Truce state was entered and has remained so for
52 years! On the other hand, the USSR had aircraft bases in
Manchuria, the biggest being a cluster in Kamchatka. Those were
within range of Honshu Island, Japan (Tokyo is in the middle).
It doesn't matter on aircraft type numbers that the USSR had, the
point was that there were USSR aircraft that could reach Tokyo
from land bases. Jimmie Noserve picked out my one error in USSR
bomber types of 1953 and tried to imply that I was "in error" in
all my postings.

Compare that to Dudly. Dudly has NEVER specified the Where or
When of HIS "seven hostile actions." Probably because he was
never IN them. He could have been in the military at that time
but then he would be in the non-heroic position of being in a
rear area.

>> Dudly has NO PROOF whatsoever of his "forward area" action. NONE.
>> Aircraft ground maintenance personnel are NOT in any "forward area."
>
>Actually, it just might be possible. I know of some rear area
>personnel who were permitted to go on helo excursions so that they
>would qualify for hazardous duty pay. Yet the douche bags had no
>weapon, no TA50, and no sense. It was just a scam, and they should
>have been reprimanded.
>
>I beleive that Steve is up to such stunts.

I have no opinion on that.

>> If one is NOT in a "forward area," one is in the "rear area." Such
>> as an Okinawa MARS station where Dudly claimed to be "Assistant
>> NCOIC." [wow...lots of responsibility there...in a NON-commo role
>> if he was really there...MARS was never a part of the Defense
>> Communications System]
>
>How could it have been??? "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

The Defense Communications System (and whatever names it was changed
to) was NEVER any amateur effort. It has always been run by
professionals. MARS served as a sort-of auxilliary that MIGHT be
an asset "if all else failed" but all else did NOT fail. MARS was
valuable for troop morale in Vietnam in the first half of the 1970s.
The U.S. Army acknowledged that and it is written up on the website
of the Army Center for Military History. But, that was over 30
years ago and before Dudly's claimed time in service.

>> Dudly has never referred to any common small-unit land force radio
>> by nomenclature or familiar name. Neither has he done so for any
>> common avionics radio of the 1974-1992 period. That is unthinkable
>> for anyone who has really been IN the military involved in radio
>> communications of any kind. Ergo, Dudly NEVER DID what he claimed.
>
>Ergo, Dud UXO lied.

An 18-year period of enlistment is quite long in an average human's
lifespan. To have NO record, no memento, not even a snapshot or a
name of a "buddy" to use as a reference in all that time is so
strange
that it is unthinkable. Ergo, what Dudly claimed was a LIE.

>> Dudly has presented NO PROOF of this claimed military service. He
>> has presented nothing but verbal generalities that can be gleaned
>> from publications or entertainment shows.
>
>Such as a 1950's issue of "This is the Air Force?"

Not if Dudly was in the USMC or USN. :-)

>> Anyone truly proud to
>> have served will have some sort of documentation which can be

>> scanned and presented for proof. Dog tags can be scanned. Dudly
>> has offered NONE. Not even personal snapshots.
>
>He got sand in his eye.

For 18 years, yes. It's a wonder he can see anything at all now...

>> Dudly says all who challenge him on his military claims should "call
>> the VA [Veteran's Administration]." The VA will not reveal details
>> to non-familiy members and must have assurance that a requestor is
>> legitimate. The VA cannot reveal details due to a federal law that
>> is almost three decades old. The same is true for NARA, the
>> National Archives and Records Administration, which has a large
>> records archive in St. Louis, MO. NARA has a website which
>> contains the form required to request details...the filled-in form
>> can be e-mailed for personal data, but must be sent surface mail
>> for full disclosure.
>
>He hides behind his "Ask the VA" claims. Wonder where he hid during
>the "seven hostile actions?"

I'm tempted to reply "in a bud's rear-area" but that would be in
bad taste so I won't reply that...

>> The only logical conclusion is that Dudly's claims to military
>> service are a FRAUD, a fabrication, a LIE. In his case, a "rear
>> area" is what he has been giving us.
>
>He fully understands that disclosure of his military records will
>expose him as the fraud that he is. So he hides behind his claims that
>the "VA" will somehow release his data, knowing full well that they
>won't.

There we have it. A big NOTHING from Dudly, no proof, no documents,
not even snapshots, and all he can do is generate vague generalities
and implications of where and what he has done. His "descriptions"
don't have a ring of personal experience to them. He is a con man,
a fraud, an imposter, and like all of those, tries to smokescreen
direct answers to challenges with personal insults against those
same
challengers. He gives the appearance of answering yet never
answered
anything at all. Typical con man syndrome.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3095. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 22:26:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125095207.900098.136320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117446

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> > There's a fundamental divide appearing in radio modes nowadays.
> >
> > Modes like Morse Code and the analog voice modes
> > are real time, "direct
> > experience" modes. A human listens to the
> > demodulated signal directly, in real time.
> >
> > The "digital" modes are fundamentally different
> > in that there is
> > decoding beyond the demodulation process. A
> > machine does the decoding -
> > the human does not 'listen' to the signal
> > at all in most cases.
>
> Which is great for people such as myself!

Agreed! One more tool in the toolbox.

> > Look at PSK31 - you see a particular pattern on the
> > waterfall, click on
> > it, and the decoded text appears. If there is
> > interference, the text is
> > garbled, and there's not very much you can do
> > about it. And what you
> > can do is a matter of equipment adjustment,
> > not skill in listening.
>
> Thank goodness for that! If listening skill
> was the main criteria, I
> wouldn't be much of a Ham! Well hearing skills maybe.....

Actually, Mike, your *listening* skills are probably excellent.

> > Because of this difference, it makes sense to allow
> > certain modes -
> > like Morse Code - a place free of interference
> > from "machine modes",
> > just like the trails where motor vehicles are not allowed.
>
> I'm certainly all for keeping those accursed robot

> stations in their
> own section of the bands (actually, I am not in
> favor of their existance
> - I think they violate the spirit if not the law).

Repeaters, satellites and beacons are robots of a sort.
Should we ban those too?

> How is a robot
> station that wipes out sometimes dozens of QSO's any different > from
> certain Amateurs who have been known to broadcast "bulletins
> right over top of ongoing QSOs?

Several important measures:

1) Does the bulletin station operate on a published schedule of
times and frequencies?

2) Does the bulletin station transmit only information of
clear and special interest to radio amateurs? (IOW, not general
news and such?)

3) Is the bulletin station using an approved method of control?

> > Voice modes like SSB and AM are protected from modes like
> > PSK31 and
> > RTTY. The spectrum allowed to those modes in the US HF ham
> > bands
> > amounts to more than half the total spectrum available! If
> > such
> > protection is good enough for SSB and AM, why not Morse Code?
>
> I have to smile at the concept of SSB and AM being
> protected from my wimpy little PSK31 signal.

But they are! You can legally transmit PSK31 anywhere on the HF ham
bands where voice modes are *not* allowed. Why does SSB need
protection from PSK31 but not Morse Code?

This sort of thing has some odd ramifiactions. Imagine if you wanted to
use a combined text/voice mode. Such a mode might
use SSB *with carrier* for the voice part, with the carrier
phase-shifted to send the text. Such a mode is not allowed
on amateur HF.

One can even imagine a mode consisting of SSB on one sideband,
SSTV-type images (digitally encoded) on the other, and text
on the phase-shifted carrier. Something neat to try out, huh?
Except it's not allowed on the amateur HF bands either.

Butfull-carrier double-sideband AM voice is allowed.

In both cases the prohibition is not due to the bandwidth used
but because of the content (voice/image vs. text)

> I understand your analogy, but I don't think it quite hits the
> fundamental divide point. Certainly RTTY and SSTV and ATV
> and HELL mode
> have been around for quite a while.
>
Sure - but they've been of limited use until recently because of
the difficulty of implementation. With the drastic reduction
in the cost of a computer, the increased computing power, and
the wide selection of easy-to-use freeware, the game is very
different than even 10 years ago.

Of course none of this prevents someone from having "happy fingers"....

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3096. Re: IARN AND AARA ( ---> k1man FINALLY shutdown by the FCC !!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 22:42:51 UTC
From: Maritime Phone Patcher <baaahahahahah@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6703a$430f9b46$d1cc79e4$5792@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1124997175.354463.184430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) AND AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO
> ASSOCIATION (AARA)BROADCAST OWNERSHIP AND POLICY STATEMENT

*** SAY GOODBE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***

(snip of much bs)

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
(from the ARRL Letter )

The FCC has confirmed that the agency has set aside the license
renewal application of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, of Belgrade
Lakes, Maine. This after routinely granting it on July 22nd.

As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice
of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet
been paid. His current license expires on October 18th.
In years past, if a person contested fine and his or her
license expired during the period when the matter was
being adjudicted, that person could continue to operate
until a final determination was made.

That all changed in November of 2004 when the
agency enacted the so-called "Red Light Rule"
With the "Red Light Rule" in place, the FCC can
decline to renew a license during the appeals
process and order the person off the air once his
or her current license expires.

The agency's July 25th notice to K1MAN was signed
by Tracy Simmons who is Associate Chief for Licensing
Operations of the Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure.
Division of the FCC. In it, the FCC says that the set
aside is for enforcement review purposes. Whether or not
the regulartory agency will invoke the Red Light rule in
Baxter's case won't be known until after October 18th
unless he pays the NAL or settles the matter in another
way before that date.

Back on November 1, 2004, the FCC's put its "Red Light"
rule into effect. Under it, the agency must withhold action
on applications and other requests for benefits when the
applicant is shown in the FCC's database as being delinquent
in non-tax debts owed to the Commission. This means that

the regulatory agency will no longer accept applications
from debtors, process their pending requests, or provide
other benefits until the delinquency is resolved.

To make this work the Commission checks its records against
each application under that applicant's federal registration number.
This, to determine if the applicant or any other entity using
the same taxpayer identification number is delinquent in
any debt owed to the Commission. If the Commission finds
that the person to be a delinquent debtor, the request is "red lighted."
The Commission then stops the processing and notifies the
applicant that he or she has 30 days to either pay the debt
or make arrangements for payment of the debt. If payment
arrangements or correction of the records is not made,
the Commission will dismiss the application or request.

There are three extremely limited exceptions to this rule.
First, if the applicant through an attorney files a timely
administrative appeal or has contested the existence
or amount of the debt, the debt will not be considered
delinquent under the red light rule until the order in
question is final. Also, the FCC will not consider it to
be an immediate debt if the automatic stay provisions
of the United States Bankruptcy Code have been
invoked and are deemed to be applicable in a given case.
Lastly, the Commission will process applications for
emergency or special temporary authority involving safety
of life or property including national security emergencies.
Other than these, the delinquent applicant either must
pay up or take the chance of loosing his or her ability
to continue on the air.

# 3097. FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 22:44:43 UTC
From: Maritime Phone Patcher <baaahahahahah@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8b74a$430f9b49$d1cc79e4$5792@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

*** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
(from the ARRL Letter )

The FCC has confirmed that the agency has set aside the license renewal application of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, of Belgrade Lakes, Maine. This after routinely granting it on July 22nd.

As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet been paid. His current license expires on October 18th. In years past, if a person contested fine and his or her license expired during the period when the matter was being adjudicted, that person could continue to operate until a final determination was made.

That all changed in November of 2004 when the agency enacted the so-called "Red Light Rule" With the "Red Light Rule" in place, the FCC can decline to renew a license during the appeals process and order the person off the air once his or her current license expires.

The agency's July 25th notice to K1MAN was signed by Tracy Simmons who is Associate Chief for Licensing Operations of the Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure. Division of the FCC. In it, the FCC says that the set aside is for enforcement review purposes. Whether or not the regulartory agency will invoke the Red Light rule in Baxter's case won't be known until after October 18th unless he pays the NAL or settles the matter in another way before that date.

Back on November 1, 2004, the FCC's put its "Red Light" rule into effect. Under it, the agency must withhold action on applications and other requests for benefits when the applicant is shown in the FCC's database as being delinquent in non-tax debts owed to the Commission. This means that the regulatory agency will no longer accept applications from debtors, process their pending requests, or provide other benefits until the delinquency is resolved.

To make this work the Commission checks its records against each application under that applicant's federal registration number.

This, to determine if the applicant or any other entity using
the same taxpayer identification number is delinquent in
any debt owed to the Commission. If the Commission finds
that the person to be a delinquent debtor, the request is "red lighted."
The Commission then stops the processing and notifies the
applicant that he or she has 30 days to either pay the debt
or make arrangements for payment of the debt. If payment
arrangements or correction of the records is not made,
the Commission will dismiss the application or request.

There are three extremely limited exceptions to this rule.
First, if the applicant through an attorney files a timely
administrative appeal or has contested the existence
or amount of the debt, the debt will not be considered
delinquent under the red light rule until the order in
question is final. Also, the FCC will not consider it to
be an immediate debt if the automatic stay provisions
of the United States Bankruptcy Code have been
invoked and are deemed to be applicable in a given case.
Lastly, the Commission will process applications for
emergency or special temporary authority involving safety
of life or property including national security emergencies.
Other than these, the delinquent applicant either must
pay up or take the chance of loosing his or her ability
to continue on the air.

---

"Herbie Baby is Number One !"

# 3098. Re: EXTRA, EXTRA, EXTRA...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 23:13:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nmNPe.2501$_84.1201@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117449

There you go again "John Smith" calling names again, but this time to
yourself.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.26.18.35.21.372311@gmail.com...
> Dan:
>
> When your "take" on anything deserves any consideration, I'll get let you
> know... the nazi was an attempt of mine in just trying to be nice,
> frankly all your text is just simply an attack on CB, CB'ers and anyone
> not agreeing with you--it is my opinion that only an idiot could consider
> it anything else...
>
> John
>
> On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 22:28:21 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> Well John Smith, you just blew it. So now you are calling me a Nazi.
>> Or
>> at least a wanabee Nazi. You should be ashamed of yourself.
>>
>> I honestly thought you were made of better stuff. I was wrong.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.25.02.04.41.821351@gmail.com...
>>> Len:
>>>
>>> If this were the 1930's, dan would be a dangerous man. Besides serving
>>> hitler in the search for evil jews, he would also be pursuing cb'ers who
>>> want an amateur license...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:44:01 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>> From: John Smith on Aug 23, 8:06 pm
>>>>
>>>>>Dan:
>>>>>
>>>>>The only difference between cb and amateur is megacycles and "church
>>>>>doctrines." Of course, if you can BS those without a ticket and who do

>>>>>not "CB", then anything you are capable of convincing them of will most
>>>>>likely float--but don't BS a BS'er, it don't work...
>>>>
>>>>>On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 00:02:17 +0000, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>> To help you out 'old friend'....."attitude adjustment" = Leaving all
>>>>>> the CB
>>>>>> bullcrap where it belongs and pay attention to what is going on with
>>>>>> HAM
>>>>>> RADIO. They are NOT THE SAME.
>>>>>
>>>>>> And instead of trying to change the world of Ham Radio, learn
>>>>>> something
>>>>>> from those that have been there and done it.
>>>>>
>>>>>> SEE?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>> John, Dere you have it. Dan Jeswald >>IS<< amateur radio! He
>>>> RULEZ!
>>>>
>>>> "If the dit don't fit, Dan will convict!"
>>>> - paraphrasing Brian Burke
>>>>
>>>> Dannie he say NO ONE CAN CHANGE HAM RADIO!!! He won't allow it!
>>>>
>>>> [ farf...snort... ]
>>>>
>>>> dan say
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>

# 3099. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 23:21:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3uNPe.2505$_84.625@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117450

I am arguing with a child. I should have known better. He knows nothing
about anything.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125019184.408839.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125012440.247144.221980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> cut
>> >> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came out with the
>> >> latest
>> >> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within the CW
>> >> ranges.
>> >> Total failure. So why should I expect anything better? Of course
>> >> BB
>> >> you
>> >
>> > Total failure meaning CW can't compete as I have beens aying for years
>> >
>>
>> No dummy that is not what I said, or mean. It is the non CW qualified
>> types
>> that just dump on top of a on-going CW communication....because they have
>> no
>> idea it is a real communication. Because they can't copy it. Clear now?
>
> The you are full of Bullshit I can reconize CW for what it is.
>
> If Cw can't survive in the real world then I am all for having a wake
> for it
>
> What you are saying is that CW can't survive amoungst other modes,
> plain and simple
>
> I don't buy your CW welfare program
>
>>
>> And that is exactly what will happen in the event of NOT having a
>> exclusive
>> CW segement.
>

> Then CW can't compete and will very properly fold, but I doubt it.
>
> Cw Ops will just have to work a bit harder, they do Claim to enjoy a
> challange
>
>> >
>>
>> >> wouldn't know that would you? So why not just stick with what you
>> >> KNOW
>> >> about? And do us all a favor.
>> >
>> > why don't you grow up and stop asking for protection of your petty
>> > interests?
>> >
>> Why can't you admit that you know NOTHING about the subject and just go
>> away???
>
> I know quite a bit about it
>
> I know you are lobbing for protection of YOUR mode, and for the FCC to
> continue in some form the Morse Code Welfare State that has existed for
> years
>
> There may be a case for more restrictions on robots ( am not convinced
> either way on that point), but no case at all for CW needing protection
> form ALL other mode
>
>>
>> >>
>> >> You are obviously a product of the 1960 feel good free love society.
>> >> You
>> >> were most certainly TAUGHT by them. I feel sorry for you. This
>> >> actually
>> >> explains your problem. So I can't blame you for your "problems".
>> >
>> > Naw you have it wrong again. I am a bit too old to taught by the 1960's
>> > folks
>>
>> Then I really feel sorry for you. You must have grown up in the 60s and
>> your MaryJane brain is still screwed up from all the sessions.
>
> I was Born in 1964 I was 6 when the 60's ended Never touched that weed
>
>>
>> >>
>> >> Don't forget to send in those dues to the ACLU.
>> >
>> > No way the ACLU isn't the demon say Bill O'Riealy wants to make them
>> > out as as but they are not folks that I support either
>>

>> Wow.....so when are you going to start acting right?
>
> i act just fine, just not the way you want me to, so grow up
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

# 3100. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 23:24:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bxNPe.2506$_84.1089@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117451

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125022156.634536.167100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >>
>> >> First off asking for a CW only segment is not all that
>> >> far fetched.
>> >
>> > It's actually a very good idea.
>> >
>> >> I have
>> >> been trying to work on 40 cw ever since the ARRL came
>> >> out with the latest
>> >> "gentleman's agreement" of allowing digital to operate within
>> >> the CW ranges.
>> >
>> > ?? I'm not sure what you mean, Dan.
>> >
>> > For decades it's been legal to operate "digital modes" (RTTY, > >
>> > PSK31,
>> > etc.) everywhere in the non-voice parts of the HF ham bands. > >
>> > Including 40 meters.
>> >
>> > I dunno which gentleman's agreement you mean, but the ARRL
>> > "regulation by bandwidth" proposal is just that - a
>> > proposal, nothing more. Needs more work IMHO.
>> >
>> >> Total failure.
>> >
>> > Well, I just worked a K4 station not far from you. Nice QSO. > >
>> > Neither of
>> > us had high power or big antennas, but we did fine. 7037 kHz. > > Morse
>> > Code, of course.
>> >
>> > btw, there were many Morse Code signals on 40 between 7000
>> > and 7050 -
>> > and it's not even dark out yet.
>> >
>> >> So why should I expect anything better?
>> >
>> > Well, I hope for the best.
>> >

>> > See you in 40, Dan.
>> >
>> Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend.
>> Like when the
>> NAQP CW is on this winter season.
>
> That's a different story.
>>
>> It is just an example of what it will be like with massive
>> digital action.
>> Just watch and see.
>
> All the more reason to have a reasonable Morse Code only subband. Say
> 7000 to 7050.
>
>
>> There are no more gentlemen Jim.
>
> Sure there are! But it only takes a few bad apples to
> make a mess.
>
>> Take away their ability to recognize CW as
>> a real communication method and you will have chaos. This is > what I'm
>> referring to.
>
> Then the thing to do is to get a place for Morse Code.
>
> There's plenty of precedent for this sort of thing. There are sidewalks
> for pedestrians, bike lanes, etc. There are large parts of state and
> national parks and wilderness areas where motor vehicles are not
> allowed.
>
> Some will say "but it's 'just a hobby'". Well, camping and backpacking
> are 'just a hobby' for most people - yet there
> are plenty of spaces left in a natural state and protected
> for those "hobbyists". Why not for Morse Code?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Uh Jim, your preaching to the choir here. I agree with you. Just I think
25khz is enough.

Dan/W4NTI

# 3101. Re: Is the Axis Of Evil of Blair/Bush/Windsor destroying Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 23:25:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.26.23.25.06.19660@gmail.com>
Source record: 117453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Polymath:

It is far from sane to attempt to hold amateur and the ridiculously low numbers it now has, being the smallest minority on the planet, it already lacks sufficient numbers to be able to do anything...

Rather, it is time to attract real technical savvy people to the hobby and bring it up to date and with a membership large enough to have a voice...

"DO NOTHING! CHANGE NOTHING!" has been the call for decades, with very negative results and is the reason we have ended up here behind the times with ancient members or SK members--only a fool could possibly counsel to continue that course of action (or rather, inaction.)

John

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 13:56:09 -0700, Polymath wrote:

> Ham Radio is a pursuit for those who dabble in
> technical matters. Knowing how things work and
> modifying and creating is what it is all about.
>
> Can it be, though, that such aficionados would be
> seen as a threat to national security ("Last week
> I visited a farmyard and the national security came
> up to one's knees?) because of their ability to get round
> technical naziism?
>
> Consider the whole basis of copying DVD's, for example -
> it is a trivial matter for the technical aficionado
> to throw together a few lines of code to do a low-level
> block-to-block copy. Yet in the USA, there is the DMCA
> to make such things illegal.
>
> How long before attempts are made to make it illegal
> to sell a computer without an operating system, and to
> make it illegal to use a computer other than with the
> operating system with which it is sold?
>
> When the Axis Of Evil introduces ID cards, it will
> be a trivial exercise for us to produce a reader that
> will be able to excite the ID cards and read it.
> The Axis Of Evil won't like anybody to have the knowledge
> to do that!
>

> No wonder that the govenments of the world are taking steps
> to deskill Ham Radio and to make it indistinguishable from
> CB Radio. Already licences are being issued that forbid
> the design and manufacture of your own rigs. The thin
> end of the wedge. I understand that in Tex-arse it is
> illegal to own chemistry sets (test-tubes and the like)
> without a licence.
>
> Time to resurrect _REAL_ Ham radio and to boycott
> these CB-like licences (The M3 in Britland)?

# 3102. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-26 23:26:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <1zNPe.2507$_84.2177@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117452

You can't argue with Brian Burke. He has his mind made up and no matter
what you say he comes back with the same ole dry BS. Why bother?

Dan/W4NTI

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1125063110.457598.92120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > > news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> cut> > Tell you what Jim, listen during a RTTY contest weekend.
>> > > Like when the
>> > > NAQP CW is on this winter season.
>> >
>> > That's a different story.
>> > >
>> > > It is just an example of what it will be like with massive
>> > > digital action.
>> > > Just watch and see.
>> >
>> > All the more reason to have a reasonable Morse Code only subband. Say
>> > 7000 to 7050.
>>
>> why?
>>
>> why is it that Morse Code supporter are always insisting that Morse
>> Code needs props in order to survive?
>
> Nope.
>
> It needs reasonable bandwidth in order to avoid interference. OOK
> signals to not mix well with digital and modes such as PSK31
>
>> > are plenty of spaces left in a natural state and protected
>> > for those "hobbyists". Why not for Morse Code?
>>
>> They are not left just for theose hobbists. Many hunderd acres ar ein
>> this area and never hiked to preserve the tree and lifefroms like deer
>> and wolves and Mtn lions
>
> "those" "hobbyist" "lifeforms"

>
> Sure they are left for "hobbyists", although in your example
> "naturalists" is the appropriate term.
>
>> the question is why is Morse Code entitled to a such a preserve all to
>> itself?
>
> Why not?
>
> The ONLY mode that OOK is compatable with is Single Side Band.
>
>> And why if it is Such an EFECTIVE mode does it need the protection?
>
> "EFFECTIVE"
>
> We could ask the same about who needs the ADA, Mark.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

# 3103. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 02:36:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <WkQPe.2877$FW1.2704@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

On the individual/personal level,
for a great many of us it IS a hobby.

Strictly speaking, however, amateur radio is, by FCC
rules definition, a service.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"..." <..@..> wrote in message
news:430f71f9$0$97132$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader03.plus.net...
> why is amateur radio not a hobby ?
>
> Definition of a hobby
>
> A spare-time recreational pursuit
>
>
> "Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:denqhq$gem$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>>
>> "Polymath" wrote
>> > Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
>> > are interested in the science of radio wave
>> > propagation and who are also interested in the
>> > way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
>> > tradition of providing a source of engineers who
>> > are born naturals.
>>
>> ============================
>>
>> I do not wholely agree.
>>
>> There are many radio amateurs who are, or have been, professional
>> engineers, not necessarily radio engineers, who contribute in their
>> many various ways towards the hobby.
>>
>> 'Hobby' is perhaps not the best of descriptions. It is an
>> international affair.
>> ----
>> Reg, G4FGQ
>>
>>
>

>

# 3104. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 02:42:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125110529.445436.192980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117455

From: Dan/W4NTI on Aug 26, 4:26 pm

>You can't argue with Brian Burke. He has his mind made up and no matter
>what you say he comes back with the same ole dry BS. Why bother?
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message
>
>news:1125063110.457598.92120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>- Hide quoted text -
>- Show quoted text -
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> > > <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> > > news:1125013260.161296.95660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:

Jeswald's Nett Kopp days are numbered. Brian Burke is NOT in that
series of quotes. I seriously suggest a good eye doctor and
perhaps a tranquilizer from your general-care physician.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3105. Re: State of the Stats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 02:58:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <BFQPe.869$Xo3.747@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117456

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125086426.941968.285250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Some statistics from Google as of 8 PM EDT 25 Aug 05:
>
> THIS MONTH -
>
> Mark Morgan 588 (total under two screen names)
> John Smith 416 (peudonym)
> Dudly Robeson 321 (whoever he is)
> Brian Burke 251 (total under two screen names)
> Len Anderson 168
> Jimmie Miccolis 95
> Dannie Jeswald 82
>
>
> ALL-TIME TOP POSTERS -
>
> Cecil A. Moore 17,326 (total, last on rrap 6 Jun 03)
> Dudly Robeson 9,947 (whoever he is)
> Jimmie Miccolis 8,277 (has more under another pseudonym)
> Dick Carroll 7,051 (SK? last post 22 Sep 03)
> Len Anderson 6,151 (total all screen names)
> Ed Hare 5,253 (not here now, busy with ARRL Lab matters)
> Jim Rosenthal 4,286 (status unknown, last post 27 Dec 00)
> Davie Heil 4,022
> Larry Roll 3,977 (status unknown, last post 15 Apr 04)
>
>
> Note: "ALL-TIME" posting numbers include other newsgroups besides
> rec.radio.amateur.policy.
>

Hello, Len

I hope you'll excuse me. I landed a permanent job at almost 4 times what I was making. Due to the shift in jobs, I wasn't to be paid for a few weeks. I wanted to ensure enough funding for gasoline (quite expensive of late) as well as food, cigarettes (yep, I'm one of *them* - gasp) and so didn't pay the phone bill until last week. They shut off the DSL for a week until they were certain the check cleared. Due to that unfortunate fact, I was unable to fairly compete with the various whiners in the group. The good news is that the DSL was upgraded and I'm running 3 to 7 megabaud so I should be able to whine quite loudly in the future ;)

Might I be credited with a few extra posts, or must I wait until next month to see if I can whine as loudly as some of the other folks?

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

p.s. - I think Ed Hare got tired of the same junk going on, but not positive.

# 3106. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 03:11:13 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <deoli6$ihn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125066115.998294.141150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>Sometimes, kill files are the best answer.

well there's a lot of people on here that use google which doesn't have
that feature. The reason I created it was to discuss amateur radio, not
have a weekly flame war by calling people names.
Todd

////////////////////////////////////////////////

You tell em', Todd.
Lord knows YOU have never called anybody names....

# 3107. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 03:54:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PtRPe.954$4P5.524@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117458

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Aug 26, 12:09 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>>>>>the rear someplace.
>>
>>>>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
>>
>>>>Not yours.
>>
>>>> If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.
>>
>>You took my comment and turned it around.
>
>
> NOTHING was "turned around." The quotes are exact, in a linear form
> from your posting.

I wrote nothing of serving anybody's rear. You did.

>
>> Then, when I respond to your
>>silly question, you think I'm discussing anal sex?
>
>
> Since you are anal-retentive on "rear area" issues, what I wrote
> is the most plausible explanation for your non-turned-around
> statement.

It doesn't sound plausible at all.

>
>> What in the world is the matter with you?
>
>
> Nothing. I'm trying to (vainly it seems) to return to amateur
> radio policy issues.

That isn't evident from your recent posts.

> Up before the FCC at this very moment is 05-143, an NPRM on the
> government's proposal to remove test element 1 from the license
> examination requirements. Comments continue to come in on that
> via Docket WT 05=235. The results of that and whether or not a
> Report and Order will be issued removing the code test will most
> definitely affect United States amateur radio in the near future.

But you've chosen to discuss whether I can put up antennas.

> You do not choose to discuss CURRENT amateur radio policy issues
> but rather dwell on old, old arguments in here and are now anal-
> retentive on "rear area" statements.

Your posts on Steve's service in the Marine Corps are about amateur
radio policy matters?

> What is the matter with YOU?

One of my knees is bothering me and I haven't been getting enough sleep.
I'm wondering how best to tackle the mounting of a 6m yagi above my
homebrew 12/17m yagi.

Dave K8MN

# 3108. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 04:02:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jBRPe.2612$z2.316@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117459

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>>>>>>>PFC"...?!??!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
>>>>>
>>>>> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
>>>>> Mikey Coslo never served.
>>>>> Dee Flint never served.
>>>>> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
>>>>
>>>>You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
>>>>entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.
>>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>>>the rear someplace.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>>>denigrated.
>>
>>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
>>proud of?
>
```

>
> Like Billy Mitchell?

No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.

>>>Jim acts as if status as a "amateur" is something which gives him
>>>entitlement to be condescending to those radio professionals who were
>>>never amateurs.
>>
>>Does he? I've seen no evidence of it. The only radio professional
>>here, who isn't a radio amateur, is Len Anderson. Len is an insulting
>>churl.
>
>
> Jim is a thin-skinned Evangelical CWer.
>
>
>>>Dave acts as if status as a DXer is something which gives him
>>>entitlement to be condescending to those who enjoyed DX while not
>>>holding the highest class of amateur licesne.
>>
>>To those? Well, there's you and you don't seem to know very much about
>>DXing. You seem to equate it with ragchewing across continents.
>
>
> Hmmmm? I know not to work French hams out of band on 6 meters.

Have you worked any French stations on 6m?

> Hmmmm? I know where to get permission to operate from a piece of real
> estate that has no government.

That hasn't been demonstrated.

> It took a guy who you claim "doesn't seem to know very much about
> DXing" to point that info out to you.

It did? That hasn't been demonstrated either.

> But you just can't accept it,
> coming from a younger, brighter, better looking, and lower ranking
> amateur. Too bad for you.

I thought you were the only person involved. Now it sounds like there
were four in your group.

>
>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
>>>insecure about his own accomplishments.
>>
>>Then again, you've been wearing a chip on your shoulders for years.

>
> No chip. No dip. No parrot.

> I've got the facts; you make smug remarks.

I'm always happy to provide a smug remark or two to a pipsquawk who thinks he's got all the answers. Maybe you can have your "facts" miniaturized along with your DD-214 so you'll have them all at your disposal.

Dave K8MN

# 3109. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 04:04:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WCRPe.2615$z2.1631@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117460

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>>>>>>Blue Nose card.
>>>>>
>>>>>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>>>>>
>>>>>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
>>>>>>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
>>>>>>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
>>>>>
>>>>>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
>>>>>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
>>>>
>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
>>>> and implying he was in the thick of them.
>>>>
>>>> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
>>>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>>>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>>>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>>>> assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
>>>> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
>>>> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
>>>> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
>>>

>>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
>>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
>>>military.
>>
>>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
>>many occasions.
>
>
> And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?

It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
prevent Len from making those comments.

Dave K8MN

# 3110. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 04:05:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AERPe.2616$z2.2268@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117461

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 26, 1:06 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 26 Aug 2005 06:22
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>>>>> Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
>>>>>> Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
>>>>>> to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
>>
>>>>> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
>>>>>a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
>>>>>bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
>>
>>>>The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
>>>>your military service lies.
>>
>>> Tsk. The stealers of valor cry foul when their stealing is stolen.
>>
>>Adding to the basis of "th[i]er" double-standard.
>
>
> Notice that Dudly NEVER explains EXACTLY which "front" He was in.
> His usual tactic is to immediately denigrate his accuser in an
> attempt to avoid a direct answer.
>
> I arrived in Japan in February 1953. Combat action in Korea was
> in a minimal state due to on-going talks about a cease-fire/truce.
> In July 1953 that Truce state was entered and has remained so for
> 52 years! On the other hand, the USSR had aircraft bases in
> Manchuria, the biggest being a cluster in Kamchatka. Those were
> within range of Honshu Island, Japan (Tokyo is in the middle).
> It doesn't matter on aircraft type numbers that the USSR had, the

> point was that there were USSR aircraft that could reach Tokyo
> from land bases. Jimmie Noserve picked out my one error in USSR
> bomber types of 1953 and tried to imply that I was "in error" in
> all my postings.
>
> Compare that to Dudly. Dudly has NEVER specified the Where or
> When of HIS "seven hostile actions." Probably because he was
> never IN them. He could have been in the military at that time
> but then he would be in the non-heroic position of being in a
> rear area.
>
>
>>> Dudly has NO PROOF whatsoever of his "forward area" action. NONE.
>>> Aircraft ground maintenance personnel are NOT in any "forward area."
>>
>>Actually, it just might be possible. I know of some rear area
>>personnel who were permitted to go on helo excursions so that they
>>would qualify for hazardous duty pay. Yet the douche bags had no
>>weapon, no TA50, and no sense. It was just a scam, and they should
>>have been reprimanded.
>>
>>I beleive that Steve is up to such stunts.
>
>
> I have no opinion on that.
>
>
>>> If one is NOT in a "forward area," one is in the "rear area." Such
>>> as an Okinawa MARS station where Dudly claimed to be "Assistant
>>> NCOIC." [wow...lots of responsibility there...in a NON-commo role
>>> if he was really there...MARS was never a part of the Defense
>>> Communications System]
>>
>>How could it have been??? "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
>
> The Defense Communications System (and whatever names it was changed
> to) was NEVER any amateur effort. It has always been run by
> professionals. MARS served as a sort-of auxilliary that MIGHT be
> an asset "if all else failed" but all else did NOT fail. MARS was
> valuable for troop morale in Vietnam in the first half of the 1970s.
> The U.S. Army acknowledged that and it is written up on the website
> of the Army Center for Military History. But, that was over 30
> years ago and before Dudly's claimed time in service.
>
>
>>> Dudly has never referred to any common small-unit land force radio
>>> by nomenclature or familiar name. Neither has he done so for any
>>> common avionics radio of the 1974-1992 period. That is unthinkable
>>> for anyone who has really been IN the military involved in radio

>>> communications of any kind. Ergo, Dudly NEVER DID what he claimed.
>>
>>Ergo, Dud UXO lied.
>
>
> An 18-year period of enlistment is quite long in an average human's
> lifespan. To have NO record, no memento, not even a snapshot or a
> name of a "buddy" to use as a reference in all that time is so
> strange
> that it is unthinkable. Ergo, what Dudly claimed was a LIE.
>
>
>>> Dudly has presented NO PROOF of this claimed military service. He
>>> has presented nothing but verbal generalities that can be gleaned
>>> from publications or entertainment shows.
>>
>>Such as a 1950's issue of "This is the Air Force?"
>
>
> Not if Dudly was in the USMC or USN. :-)
>
>
>>> Anyone truly proud to
>>> have served will have some sort of documentation which can be
>>> scanned and presented for proof. Dog tags can be scanned. Dudly
>>> has offered NONE. Not even personal snapshots.
>>
>>He got sand in his eye.
>
>
> For 18 years, yes. It's a wonder he can see anything at all now...
>
>
>>> Dudly says all who challenge him on his military claims should "call
>>> the VA [Veteran's Administration]." The VA will not reveal details
>>> to non-familiy members and must have assurance that a requestor is
>>> legitimate. The VA cannot reveal details due to a federal law that
>>> is almost three decades old. The same is true for NARA, the
>>> National Archives and Records Administration, which has a large
>>> records archive in St. Louis, MO. NARA has a website which
>>> contains the form required to request details...the filled-in form
>>> can be e-mailed for personal data, but must be sent surface mail
>>> for full disclosure.
>>
>>He hides behind his "Ask the VA" claims. Wonder where he hid during
>>the "seven hostile actions?"
>
>
> I'm tempted to reply "in a bud's rear-area" but that would be in
> bad taste so I won't reply that...

>
>
>>> The only logical conclusion is that Dudly's claims to military
>>> service are a FRAUD, a fabrication, a LIE. In his case, a "rear
>>> area" is what he has been giving us.
>>
>>He fully understands that disclosure of his military records will
>>expose him as the fraud that he is. So he hides behind his claims that
>>the "VA" will somehow release his data, knowing full well that they
>>won't.
>
>
> There we have it. A big NOTHING from Dudly, no proof, no documents,
> not even snapshots, and all he can do is generate vague generalities
> and implications of where and what he has done. His "descriptions"
> don't have a ring of personal experience to them. He is a con man,
> a fraud, an imposter, and like all of those, tries to smokescreen
> direct answers to challenges with personal insults against those
> same
> challengers. He gives the appearance of answering yet never
> answered
> anything at all. Typical con man syndrome.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

According to your recent directive, aren't you supposed to be addressing
amateur radio policy issues?

Dave K8MN

# 3111. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 06:19:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1900h1h2jrdm4ejd2802q2g3s7f1mh5n8g@4ax.com>
Source record: 117462

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 04:02:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>,
AMATEUR radio operator and defender of liars and identity thieves,
wrote in <jBRPe.2612$z2.316@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>>>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>>>>denigrated.
>>>
>>>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
>>>proud of?
>>
>>
>> Like Billy Mitchell?
>
>No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.

Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
anything I did in the Marines, not even the actions that resulted in
my loss of rank. But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
something I am most definitely proud to admit.

Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3112. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 06:23:09 UTC
From: T-10 <anon@jumper>
Message-ID: <dep0q2$3n2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117463

Your posts on Steve's service in the Marine Corps are about amateur
radio policy matters?

> What is the matter with YOU?

One of my knees is bothering me and I haven't been getting enough sleep.
I'm wondering how best to tackle the mounting of a 6m yagi above my
homebrew 12/17m yagi.

Dave K8MN

.....

May I be so bold as to have the audacity to agree with K8MN? While my
comments may not rest well with some of you "chairborne" commandos, it is
cheap and tawdry to call into question or submit falsehoods about the
service of Veterans.
Whether or not you approve of Veterans such as W4NTI or K4YZ, the fact
remains that they SERVED!
While you Chairborne Hams were nit-picking over license qualifications these
two guys were serving their, and YOUR, country.
I defer to K8MN. He is correct and "on the money". Would that the rest of
you do the same.

# 3113. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 06:57:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ul30h1pcdh1811c618j7sv9ie40j4h5kcv@4ax.com>
Source record: 117464

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 01:23:09 -0500, "T-10" <anon@jumper> wrote in
<dep0q2$3n2$0@pita.alt.net>:

>
>May I be so bold as to have the audacity to agree with K8MN? While my
>comments may not rest well with some of you "chairborne" commandos, it is
>cheap and tawdry to call into question or submit falsehoods about the
>service of Veterans.

It's even worse to impersonate a vet. Wouldn't you agree?

>Whether or not you approve of Veterans such as W4NTI or K4YZ, the fact
>remains that they SERVED!

No, that's not a fact. K4YZ has, through his own words, proved beyond
any reasonable doubt that he did -NOT- serve, at least not in the
capacity he claims. I've run into many of these impersonators over the
years, most of whom claim to be Viet-Nam vets that did "secret ops" or
worked independently "behind enemy lines", and often quoting lines
from the movies "Apocolypse, Now", "Platoon" and "Full Metal Jacket"
(and even that early Jack Webb movie). But ask them for proof and they
clam up and get all defensive, just like K4YZ, and now K8MN.

>While you Chairborne Hams were nit-picking over license qualifications these
>two guys were serving their, and YOUR, country.
>I defer to K8MN. He is correct and "on the money". Would that the rest of
>you do the same.

Honor is earned, not stolen. By defending a valor-thief you are
subverting your own intentions and disrespecting those who -did-
serve, those who are serving right now, and those who have died and
will die in the future. If you want to do the right thing then don't
let yourself be fooled by these fakes -- any true vet will have no
problem with showing proof of service when asked.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3114. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 08:20:29 UTC
From: T-10 <anon@jumper>
Message-ID: <dep7m1$1bj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117465

correct and "on the money". Would that the rest of
>you do the same.

Honor is earned, not stolen. By defending a valor-thief you are
subverting your own intentions and disrespecting those who -did-
serve, those who are serving right now, and those who have died and
will die in the future. If you want to do the right thing then don't
let yourself be fooled by these fakes -- any true vet will have no
problem with showing proof of service when asked.

///////////////

And just where you step in is indeed a question. You, as easily as the
others, can be just as much a "fake".
I don't know you, so for all I know you are a Troll. I know Dan, W4NTI, and
I know of his service.
Dan has earned, in your childish words, his "honor". So also have several
other Veterans who comment in this or other groups.
I, unlike you, don't question the service of fellow Veterans. I accept them
as they are.
It has been, and will continue to be my practice to give a hand salute to
all Vets.

Now, about jumping a T-10 chute...ever been there?

# 3115. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 08:48:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125132498.039263.144200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117466

hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > Sometimes these armchair types only have their double standard to fall
> > > back on.
> >
> > You'd know best, Your Couch Potatoness.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You hide behind the Privacy Act. Spare us any more nonsense about your
> claims of seven hostile actions. Never happened.

Nope. Not "claims". Sure did.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3116. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 09:01:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125133317.213352.277210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117467

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 04:02:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>,
> AMATEUR radio operator and defender of liars and identity thieves,
> wrote in <jBRPe.2612$z2.316@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

I think the "tag line editorial" leaves us with a pretty good idea
of "Frank's" real identity...Sure LOOKS familiar!

> >No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.
>
>
> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
> anything I did in the Marines, not even the actions that resulted in
> my loss of rank.

"...not even the actions that resulted in my loss of rank..."

Whelp...that pretty well answers most of why Frank of Silliland has
taken this opportunity to "diss" a SNCO Marine.

> But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
> that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
> something I am most definitely proud to admit.

Frank, if that IS your name...

You're a loser AND a liar...

> Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
> when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
> butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
> as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?

Of what business do YOU have, Frank of Silliland? Dave's got a
lot more in common with me than you do.

You obviously have no AMATEUR RADIO issues to discuss.

You came in "guns-a-blazin" over "military" credentials, only for
it to be found out you're a disgraced non-rated enlisted yourself.

Some "resource" YOU turned out to be!

Frank Gilliland is a disgraced liar.

Period.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3117. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 09:15:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125134153.332973.216750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117468

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 01:23:09 -0500, "T-10" <anon@jumper> wrote in
> <dep0q2$3n2$0@pita.alt.net>:

> >Whether or not you approve of Veterans such as W4NTI or K4YZ, the fact
> >remains that they SERVED!
>
>
> No, that's not a fact. K4YZ has, through his own words, proved beyond
> any reasonable doubt that he did -NOT- serve, at least not in the
> capacity he claims.

Yes, I did serve, and you're a disgraced liar, Frankie.

And that WAS through your own words!

You claim that MY "words" prove I didn't serve.

You're a liar. Period.

It's probably part-and-parcel why you left the Marines as a PFC
instead of as an NCO or SNCO, and is also no doubt as to why you
entered this flame fest to start one of your own.

> I've run into many of these impersonators over the
> years...(SNIP)

YOU'RE the "impersonator", "Frankie"...

"Impersonating" someone who served Honorably...

> most of whom claim to be Viet-Nam vets that did "secret ops" or
> worked independently "behind enemy lines", and often quoting lines
> from the movies "Apocolypse, Now", "Platoon" and "Full Metal Jacket"
> (and even that early Jack Webb movie). But ask them for proof and they
> clam up and get all defensive, just like K4YZ, and now K8MN.

No "defensive"...No "secretive".

> >While you Chairborne Hams were nit-picking over license qualifications these
> >two guys were serving their, and YOUR, country.
> >I defer to K8MN. He is correct and "on the money". Would that the rest of
> >you do the same.
>
> Honor is earned, not stolen. By defending a valor-thief you are
> subverting your own intentions and disrespecting those who -did-
> serve, those who are serving right now, and those who have died and
> will die in the future.

Words spoken by a non-hacking, busted-to-non-rate loser.

I am sooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo unimpressed!

> If you want to do the right thing then don't
> let yourself be fooled by these fakes -- any true vet will have no
> problem with showing proof of service when asked.

I have "proof of service", Frankie, and offered more than enough
non-Privacy Act protected sources for any marginally interested person
to find the results themselves...Even specific dates of promotion
boards...

You're a liar and a loser, Gilliland. and now YOU have been
exposed.

Go ahead...let's see what excuse or rationalization you spin up
for this...

Steve, K4YZ

# 3118. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 09:51:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2ic0h19b22l06e1kc6fa25q00c1hqb1q12@4ax.com>
Source record: 117469

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 03:20:29 -0500, "T-10" <anon@jumper> wrote in
<dep7m1$1bj$0@pita.alt.net>:

>
>correct and "on the money". Would that the rest of
>>you do the same.
>
>
>Honor is earned, not stolen. By defending a valor-thief you are
>subverting your own intentions and disrespecting those who -did-
>serve, those who are serving right now, and those who have died and
>will die in the future. If you want to do the right thing then don't
>let yourself be fooled by these fakes -- any true vet will have no
>problem with showing proof of service when asked.
>
>///////////////
>
>And just where you step in is indeed a question. You, as easily as the
>others, can be just as much a "fake".

Fair enough. Here, for your viewing pleasure, is a scan of part of my
DD-214 (with DOB blocked out). It's in high resolution so you can
analyze it should you think it's a forgery:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dd214ex.jpg

Here's my bluenose card:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/bluenose.jpg

And just to see how well it would scan, here's something I picked up
in Haifa. Know what it is? The name of the file is a clue:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/tt.jpg

Any more doubts? Need more proof?

>I don't know you, so for all I know you are a Troll. I know Dan, W4NTI, and
>I know of his service.
>Dan has earned, in your childish words, his "honor". So also have several
>other Veterans who comment in this or other groups.

Where, in any of my posts, did I refer to W4NTI? I didn't. The issue
is Dudly, not anyone else. So why drag yet another person into the
fray?

>I, unlike you, don't question the service of fellow Veterans. I accept them
>as they are.

Sorry, but after all the fake vets I've met over the years I'm not so
easily fooled. Nor do I conclude that something is true just because
someone says so.

>It has been, and will continue to be my practice to give a hand salute to
>all Vets.
>
>Now, about jumping a T-10 chute...ever been there?

How about a name?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3119. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 10:22:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125138125.047864.270390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117470

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 03:20:29 -0500, "T-10" <anon@jumper> wrote in

> >I don't know you, so for all I know you are a Troll. I know Dan, W4NTI, and
> >I know of his service.
> >Dan has earned, in your childish words, his "honor". So also have several
> >other Veterans who comment in this or other groups.
>
> Where, in any of my posts, did I refer to W4NTI? I didn't. The issue
> is Dudly, not anyone else. So why drag yet another person into the
> fray?

Sorry, Frankie...the issue now is YOU...

By YOUR own words, you're a disgraced, busted-to-nonrate ex-Marine
who obviously has a serious chip on his shoulder...Not "left behind"
due to over-billeting of MOS's or other personnel issues beyond your
control, but through some act of negligence or misconduct.

You were in an MOS that the Marine Corps spent a lot of money in
order to get you there, yet they deemed you UNFIT to leave the Corps
after less than 4 years as anything but a Private First Class.

A loser.

Ironically you refered to yourself as a "####bird PFC"...

Unfortuantely I knew more than my fair share of "####birds", but
most of them at least managed to get their tours done HONORABLY and get
out the door as Corporals or even Sergeants after a 4 year tour.

At least on the six occassions I got to stand in front of a Marine
officer in regards to my rank, it was prefaced with the words "To All
Who Shall See These, Greetings..."

Guess Frankie of Silliland wasn't listening when the repeated the
words about "Special Trust and Confidence"...Because that's exactly
what being a Marine is all about.

And Frankie couldn't hack it...

Loser.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3120. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 10:44:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125139490.711390.69720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117471

N9OGL wrote:
> Any amateur radio station can run an information bulletin. My pet pea
> with it is that the thin line between information bulletin and
> broadcasting.

"peeve"

There's no "thin line".

It's either an "information bulletin" or it's broadcasting.

> An Information Bulletin is a message directed to amateur
> radio operators consisting of subject matter of interest to amateur
> radio. Many amateur's has taken it to be "a short, to the point
> newscast" and that Information bulletins cannot be opinionated.

Once it's "opinonated" it stops being an "information bulletin"
and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.

> First
> there is nothing in the rules that state an information bulletin cannot
> be opinionated;

Sure there is. Right where it says "information bulletin".

As soon as it's "opinionated" it stops being an "information
bulletin" and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.

> nor any rules that state an information bulletin has to be a newscast.

But an information bulletin has to have INFORMATION in it.

Once tainted by editorialization, it's no longer objective.

> If there is a rule feel free to let me know which rule.

"97.1369 When in doubt about what constitutes an "information
bulletin" as opposed to a "broadcast", anything Todd McDaugherty says
is wrong."

> As for short and to the point the FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN
> stated that there was no time limit on information bulletins as a
> matter of fact a club station that runs a information bulletin or a
> code practice transmission 40 hours a week (that's 8 hr. a day) may be
> compensated (47 CFR 97.113©). The FCC on their website has also stated
> that it is up to the station transmitting the bulletin to determine if
> it is amateur interest.

And one has to be relatively objective in what constitutes
"amateur interest".

Toiddie, the opinions of a foul mouthed, immature, basement
dwelling domestic parasite such as your self are of zero-point-squat
interest to the Amateur Radio service.

Set up 1000 blogs if you want to...Internet bandwidth is almost
limitless...Once your tripe hits the airwaves it's QRM.

> Which brings me to the FCC, the FCC is
> prohibited from controling the content of any station, they are also
> prohibited from regulation or condition shall be promulgated or fixed
> by the Commission which shall interfere with the right of free speech
> by means of radio communication. (47 USC 326) (this however doesn't
> apply to obscene and indecent material)

Which in this case does not apply.

The only "content" issues were Baxter's pecuniary use of Amateur
Radio. Those are clearly within the FCC's purview to limit.

> So if one of the key points of
> the NAL was the content of his transmission it has to be asked if the
> FCC violated section 326 of the Communication Act.

So ask. But ask the right people.

> the courts has also
> stated that the FCC is prohibited from making content based rules.

Again...You keep avoiding the fact that the FCC C L E A R L Y is
allowed to prohibit commerical use of the Amateur Service.

This isn't about Baxter discussing religious preferences or the
color of his wallpaper...

> I also am going to bring up about the ARRL's W1AW and how it, like K1MAN
> has also cause interference with on going communication and that it
> should also be fined.

Do yourself a favor if you're serious...

Draft out your thoughts and then give them to someone else to
prepare. The use of a typewriter and lack of profanity might more
readily impress the FCC.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3121. Re: Is the Axis Of Evil of Blair/Bush/Windsor destroying Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 12:12:04 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a2003@yahooooo.fr>
Message-ID: <h0m0h1ti4n92jvaot8tdnr8cqqi7oef2hb@4ax.com>
Source record: 117472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 16:25:08 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>It is far from sane to attempt to hold amateur and the ridiculously low
>numbers it now has, being the smallest minority on the planet, it already
>lacks sufficient numbers to be able to do anything...
>
>Rather, it is time to attract real technical savvy people to the hobby and
>bring it up to date and with a membership large enough to have a voice...
>
>"DO NOTHING! CHANGE NOTHING!" has been the call for decades, with very
>negative results and is the reason we have ended up here behind the times
>with ancient members or SK members--only a fool could possibly counsel to
>continue that course of action (or rather, inaction.)

As has been pointed out here many times, the number of licences
world-wide has been stable for a long time.

73 de Jock.
--

Do not short the output or severe damage will be
caused to the fuse!
- Sony Corp.

# 3122. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 12:56:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125147364.094667.295730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> What's the matter Dudley, upset because you won't be able to get on
> there and harass people

Who? You and who else?

Steve, K4YZ

# 3123. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 13:15:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
Source record: 117474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL:

Yes, it would be best if you left here and went there. You don't seem to
do well in an open forum where matters are freely discussed.

There you will be able to pick and choose those which agree with you or
who can only mount weak disagreements. You can also control and influence
the course of conversation, or else "pull the plug on them."

I will attempt to help you out and refer complete nincompoops your way,
try to be gentle with them...

If you ever get tired of running a "fixed game", come back, we will be
waiting... bring your bunch with you, after you have them all brain washed
and figure ten of you will be able to go "toe-to-toe" with one of us...

John

On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 20:51:14 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> hello all, i've started a new group for the discussion of amateur radio
> policy and regulations. This group is for those who want to talk about
> REAL AMATEUR RADIO POLICY AND REGULATION.
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Policy
>
>
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

# 3124. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 13:23:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UOZPe.2188$Wd7.563@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117475

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 04:02:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>,
> AMATEUR radio operator and defender of liars and identity thieves,
> wrote in <jBRPe.2612$z2.316@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>>>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>>>>>denigrated.
>>>>
>>>>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
>>>>proud of?
>>>
>>>
>>>Like Billy Mitchell?
>>
>>No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.
>
>
>
> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
> anything I did in the Marines, not even the actions that resulted in
> my loss of rank.

Okay. Maybe you're a slow learner or perhaps you just have no sense of
remorse. You told us that you weren't a model Marine.

> But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
> that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
> something I am most definitely proud to admit.

So, the truth is, according to you, that Steve isn't a Marine or that
he's lying. That's an assumption made by you and you've offered no
proof, just accusations.

> Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
> when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
> butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
> as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?

I challenge you to expose me as a "military imposter", Frank. Make it
your life's work. As far as your last comment: read up on how usenet
works. After all, how did Steve's business become *your business*?

Dave K8MN

# 3125. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 13:25:44 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <sRZPe.587$sP7.302@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 117476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com...
> N9OGL:
>
> Yes, it would be best if you left here and went there. You don't seem to
> do well in an open forum where matters are freely discussed.
>
> ...[snip]
>
> John
>

Wow, y'all. Remember Larry's favorite 'ism? Pot meet Kettle. sheesh

Kim W5TIT

# 3126. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 13:31:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.13.31.53.562169@gmail.com>
Source record: 117477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Kim:

Good to see you, for awhile I thought you had left us for google groups!

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:25:44 +0000, Kim wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com...
>> N9OGL:
>>
>> Yes, it would be best if you left here and went there. You don't seem to
>> do well in an open forum where matters are freely discussed.
>>
>> ...[snip]
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Wow, y'all. Remember Larry's favorite 'ism? Pot meet Kettle. sheesh
>
> Kim W5TIT

# 3127. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 13:37:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.13.36.30.552305@gmail.com>
Source record: 117478

Dave remarked, "You told us that you weren't a model Marine."

My son saved some "GI Joe Action Figures", while not exactly a "model marine", you can pose them realistically and stage pseudo-military scenarios which might be more entertaining than you find here... I'd loan ya a couple if you get bored...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:23:00 +0000, Dave Heil wrote:

> You told us that you weren't a model Marine.

## 3128. If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 13:58:28 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <deprie$21u$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 117479

....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?

https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_9.htm

# 3129. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 14:08:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.14.08.09.71478@gmail.com>
Source record: 117480

W2DNE:

So that no one confuses military radios with amateur hobby radios?

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:58:28 +0000, W2DNE wrote:

> ....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
> SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>
> https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_
9.htm

## 3130. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 14:09:14 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <eu_Pe.2196$Wd7.797@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117481

W2DNE wrote:

> ....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
> SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?

Because it's not dead, and never will be.
It will just get harder to find and train operatives.

# 3131. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 14:19:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125152341.836490.109410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117482

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 04:02:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>,
> > AMATEUR radio operator and defender of liars and identity thieves,
> > wrote in <jBRPe.2612$z2.316@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >>>>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
> >>>>>denigrated.
> >>>>
> >>>>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
> >>>>proud of?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Like Billy Mitchell?
> >>
> >>No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.
> >
> > Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
> > anything I did in the Marines, not even the actions that resulted in
> > my loss of rank.
>
> Okay. Maybe you're a slow learner or perhaps you just have no sense of
> remorse. You told us that you weren't a model Marine.

By a far stretch.

He was in an expensive technical MOS (Ground Radar Repair).
You've got to go out of your way to get the USMC to send you home as a
PFC with 3 1/2 years of service..."####bird" was an understatement on
Frankie's part.

The rank of PFC and the less-than-four year tour lends one to
believe that the reason he didn't publish the other 3/4ths of his -214
was that somewhere on that form it said "LESS THAN HONORABLE", or one
of those categories of discharge.

> > But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
> > that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
> > something I am most definitely proud to admit.
>
> So, the truth is, according to you, that Steve isn't a Marine or that
> he's lying. That's an assumption made by you and you've offered no
> proof, just accusations.

And O N L Y accusations, Dave.

They claim I am "hiding behind the Privacy Act", but I have
offered them more than adequate PUBLIC resources to validate my
service.

This ludicrous spin about how I am one Steven Robeson claiming the
service of another is assinine.

> > Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
> > when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
> > butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
> > as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?
>
> I challenge you to expose me as a "military imposter", Frank. Make it
> your life's work. As far as your last comment: read up on how usenet
> works. After all, how did Steve's business become *your business*?

Frankie will do nothing of the kind, Dave.

He was given adequate resources to validate my service...MORE than
adequate if we were to take his not-so-subtle suggestions of having
resources that "you don't even know about" at his disposal. Yet he
claims that he's "most definitely proud to admit" how truthful he is.

He's all three of the "oser's" Hoser Poser Loser

Frankie's done himslef in, Dave, just like Lennie, Markie, Toiddie
and Brain have done in turn...

The Feeble Five.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 3132. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 14:24:38 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050827142438.E14511706E@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 117483

In article <1125014135.697496.62010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:

> Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
> for three years in a 24/7 radio station

Unsubstantiated.

>...even living IN a two square mile antenna field for a while.

And they say long term exposure to RF causes mental
illness.....apparently, after reading your posts, there is some truth to
that assertion.

<shave and a haircut, snip snip>.

# 3133. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 14:32:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125153122.305379.178120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117484

hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com (N0IMD in yet another drag) wrote:

Big Snip

> Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
> denigrated.

It is when the Marine obtained that rank on the way DOWN, and then
tries to act as if he was some kind of hero for having got that way.

Another big snip.

> Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
> insecure about his own accomplishments.

Gee...How ironic. I was thinking the same about YOU and your
"Somalia" operation...Funny how that came out after Dave had been
talking about his DXpeditions and I had been talking about operating
from Okinawa...

Kinda has that "ME TOO! ME TOO!" ring to it...

Funny, isn't it..?!?!

I've been busting your chops for years to PROVE that your
bootlegging from Somalia WASN'T bootlegging, and you refuse to ante-up
some "proof" of ANY sort of it's legitimacy...Yet here YOU are trying
to "diss" me on military service that can be verified in any of several
PUBLIC files.

That's why you're pivot-man for the Feeble Five. Wave quick...the
other four may get PO'ed if you let go too long.....

Steve, K4YZ

## 3134. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 14:38:45 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <85OdnR1bEcid5o3eRVn-sA@crocker.com>
Source record: 117485

"W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message
news:deprie$21u$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
> ....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
> SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>
> https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_
9.htm

because you have to have something to use when the aliens have invaded and
destroyed all the satellites and cities.

# 3135. posting 20050827

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 15:05:57 UTC
From: camdin_s@40networks.com
Message-ID: <43108155.rec.radio.amateur.policy@mail2>
Source record: 117486

"You'd probably have to work for a magazine that didn't devote six pages to an illustrated article about porno pictures on the Internet," I said. "You remember, the one where big star's heads were cut and pasted on to pictures of women having sex with dogs and glass bottles? The one that just about every movie studio in the city sued you over."

Three hours later, scratched, bruised, and limping from where I jammed my foot into a rabbit hole, twisting my ankle, I resurfaced from the Angeles National Forest miles from where I had entered. I would have been completely disoriented if I hadn't had the luck to emerge from the brush two hundred yards from my high school; as it was it took me nearly another hour to get home because of my ankle.

"Yes," Gwedif said. "Give me a second to translate it." He was quiet for a moment, then, "It's called the Ionar. It's the name of our first sentient ancestor, like an Adam or Eve for you. It also means 'explorer' or 'teacher' in a loose sense of those words, in that Ionar, realizing he was the first of his kind, learned as much as he could about the world so that his" -- another pause here -- "children could know as much as possible. His exploration is our culture's first and greatest memory epic. We thought that his name would be a good one for this ship. Provident. That reminds me, we should plug your nose before we go out into the ship."

"You're the man," I said, "Who gets to read Michelle Beck's mind."

# 3136. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 15:48:35 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <nX%Pe.1008$Xo3.4@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117487

"W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message
news:deprie$21u$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
> ....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
> SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>
>
https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_9.
htm
>
>
>
The reality is that the arguements will continue of code vs no code.

Few folks understand that a fair number of hams can send and receive Morse
faster than these folks can type. A surprising number of people cannot type
more than 30 or 40 words per minute; their skill is that they can point and
click with a mouse ;)

Likewise, a number of folks think that typing is outdated. It is, so long
as you only wish to copy and paste other's work and pass it off as your own.
Somehow, if one wishes to actually create an article, it is useful to be
able to type.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3137. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 16:03:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.16.03.28.65030@gmail.com>
Source record: 117488

Jim:

You should fully investigate "text-to-speech" and "speech-to-text"; typing
is indeed becoming as extinct as the dodo bird (and cw.)

Frankly, keyboards are probably only as prevalent as they are for much the
same reasons as cw--humans are creatures of habit, the newest generations
probably will kill the keyboard...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 15:48:35 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message
> news:deprie$21u$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
>> ....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
>> SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>>
>>
> https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_
9.htm
>>
>>
>>
> The reality is that the arguements will continue of code vs no code.
>
> Few folks understand that a fair number of hams can send and receive Morse
> faster than these folks can type. A surprising number of people cannot type
> more than 30 or 40 words per minute; their skill is that they can point and
> click with a mouse ;)
>
> Likewise, a number of folks think that typing is outdated. It is, so long
> as you only wish to copy and paste other's work and pass it off as your own.
> Somehow, if one wishes to actually create an article, it is useful to be
> able to type.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

# 3138. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 16:13:08 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d7a30f173213132989697@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 117489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

In article <1125082573.078138.29130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...

> What is Ham Radio?

<snippety>

Sooooo many more things than Airy R. Bean, no matter how he may
have misspelled his name in the message headers, could ever imagine.

> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Isn't that what we have going on in Iraq at this very moment?

> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this

A gross (and inaccurate) generalization. A great many hams I've
met in recent years barely remember what Ohm's Law is, let alone how to
do anything resembling design. They do indeed purchase their radios
'off-the-shelf,' talk about the things that matter to them on the air,
however non-technical the subject matter may be, and often show up at
radio club meetings.

And you know what? The vast majority of them are very smart, very
nice people who, while they're very interested in the public-service and
volunteer emergency service aspects of amateur radio, and may have made
significant contributions to the hobby and their community in other
ways, may never have so much as learned how to pick up a soldering
pencil.

Lack of real electronics experience does not make someone with a
ham license any less worthy of respect, Beany, no matter what you may
believe. I say this as an amateur radio license holder for the last 27
years (first as WD6EOS, then later as KC7GR), and as a professional
electronics engineering tech (for just about the same number of years).

And, for the record: Yes, I've been tinkering with electronic and
radio projects for most of my life.

Many have said that amateur radio is a dying hobby. Personally, I don't believe that. However, if there is any grain of truth to such statements, it's not the lack of technical skill in SOME newcomers to the hobby that's hurting the hobby.

What will quickly kill amateur radio will be expressing ongoing disrespect and hostility to those who choose to contribute to the service in non-technical ways. You don't need to know how to use a multimeter or whatever to be a good on-air operator, or to be of value in a disaster situation.

You can continue to post whatever kind of misguided "FAQ" you want to, Beany-boy. It won't change the fact that I, at least, have seen enough of your previous rantings on Usenet over the last few years to know your true colors.

*PLONK!*

--
Dr. Anton T. Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, ARS KC7GR,
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech[d=o=t]calm -- www.bluefeathertech.com
"If Salvador Dali had owned a computer, would it have been equipped with surreal ports?"

# 3139. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 16:23:31 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1125159811.400778.79320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

Your Childish Broadcast (CB) below serves to
classify you.

Those whom you mention who are operators but
who are non-technical are the CBers whom I warn
against in the FAQ.

Ham Radio is not about operating - that is CB Radio
including CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams whom you
repeatedly mention.

I have never "ranted" on the Internet - I have only
posted sincere and genuine concerns about the decline
in Ham Radio by the influx of the very Childish
Broadcasters (CBers) that you typify.

If you have indeed been around for as long as you
claim, then you should know better than to enjoin
an international public forum in the rather
silly and infantile style that you adopted.

Shame on you.

Stupid boy.

Dr. Anton T. Squeegee wrote:
> In article <1125082573.078138.29130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
>
> > What is Ham Radio?
>
> <snippety>
>
> Sooooo many more things than Airy R. Bean, no matter how he may
> have misspelled his name in the message headers, could ever imagine.
>
> > Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> > they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> > else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> > the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Isn't that what we have going on in Iraq at this very moment?
>
> > users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers

> > are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> > are qualified to design, build and then
> > operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
>
> A gross (and inaccurate) generalization. A great many hams I've
> met in recent years barely remember what Ohm's Law is, let alone how to
> do anything resembling design. They do indeed purchase their radios
> 'off-the-shelf,' talk about the things that matter to them on the air,
> however non-technical the subject matter may be, and often show up at
> radio club meetings.
>
> And you know what? The vast majority of them are very smart, very
> nice people who, while they're very interested in the public-service and
> volunteer emergency service aspects of amateur radio, and may have made
> significant contributions to the hobby and their community in other
> ways, may never have so much as learned how to pick up a soldering
> pencil.
>
> Lack of real electronics experience does not make someone with a
> ham license any less worthy of respect, Beany, no matter what you may
> believe. I say this as an amateur radio license holder for the last 27
> years (first as WD6EOS, then later as KC7GR), and as a professional
> electronics engineering tech (for just about the same number of years).
>
> And, for the record: Yes, I've been tinkering with electronic and
> radio projects for most of my life.
>
> Many have said that amateur radio is a dying hobby. Personally, I
> don't believe that. However, if there is any grain of truth to such
> statements, it's not the lack of technical skill in SOME newcomers to
> the hobby that's hurting the hobby.
>
> What will quickly kill amateur radio will be expressing ongoing
> disrespect and hostility to those who choose to contribute to the
> service in non-technical ways. You don't need to know how to use a
> multimeter or whatever to be a good on-air operator, or to be of value
> in a disaster situation.
>
> You can continue to post whatever kind of misguided "FAQ" you want
> to, Beany-boy. It won't change the fact that I, at least, have seen
> enough of your previous rantings on Usenet over the last few years to
> know your true colors.
>
> *PLONK!*
>
>
> --
> Dr. Anton T. Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
> (Known to some as Bruce Lane, ARS KC7GR,
> kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech[d=o=t]calm -- www.bluefeathertech.com

> "If Salvador Dali had owned a computer, would it have been equipped
> with surreal ports?"

# 3140. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 17:11:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <i91Qe.5487$cg.2452@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 117491

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.27.16.03.28.65030@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> You should fully investigate "text-to-speech" and "speech-to-text"; typing
> is indeed becoming as extinct as the dodo bird (and cw.)
>
> Frankly, keyboards are probably only as prevalent as they are for much the
> same reasons as cw--humans are creatures of habit, the newest generations
> probably will kill the keyboard...
>
> John
>

Hello, John

Well, I guess I've got to admit that I might be getting stumped.

How do I use speach to text to write programs in Visual Basic or C? Can the program understand the difference between "to", "too", and "two"?

Don't worry as the China will probably do your work for you ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3141. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 18:08:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.18.08.22.609043@gmail.com>
Source record: 117492

Jim:

It is only necessary to create the association between any spoken word and the series of characters you wish to generate when that word is spoken, into a library of such associations...

In other words, if I speak "the" and make the association to the characters "t-h-e" the speech to text engine will always generate those characters when I speak that specific word--I could just as easily associate the spoken "the" with any other series of characters.

Now, while you and I might not go to that trouble if we are fast typists, others who do not type will... those who are blind will... companies and corps will (and especially those employing disabled workers.) I have seen such libraries on the net for specific uses, such as programming, before. You most likely can download one for the speech engine in question...

There are groups devoted to the blind who could easily supply you with them, I am sure...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 17:11:42 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.27.16.03.28.65030@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> You should fully investigate "text-to-speech" and "speech-to-text"; typing
>> is indeed becoming as extinct as the dodo bird (and cw.)
>>
>> Frankly, keyboards are probably only as prevalent as they are for much the
>> same reasons as cw--humans are creatures of habit, the newest generations
>> probably will kill the keyboard...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Hello, John
>
> Well, I guess I've got to admit that I might be getting stumped.
>
> How do I use speach to text to write programs in Visual Basic or C? Can the
> program understand the difference between "to", "too", and "two"?
>

> Don't worry as the China will probably do your work for you ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

## 3142. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 18:48:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6KidnQsyzJAPKI3eRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117493

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.27.16.03.28.65030@gmail.com...
>
>>Jim:
>>
>>You should fully investigate "text-to-speech" and "speech-to-text"; typing
>>is indeed becoming as extinct as the dodo bird (and cw.)
>>
>>Frankly, keyboards are probably only as prevalent as they are for much the
>>same reasons as cw--humans are creatures of habit, the newest generations
>>probably will kill the keyboard...
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> Hello, John
>
> Well, I guess I've got to admit that I might be getting stumped.
>
> How do I use speach to text to write programs in Visual Basic or C? Can the
> program understand the difference between "to", "too", and "two"?
>
> Don't worry as the China will probably do your work for you ;)

The way I figure it, as long as we know how to ask "Would you like
fries with that?" We've had all the job training we need these days! 8^(

For better or worse, I would hazard that 99 people out of 100 would not
even think of programming when they think of keyboards. But then, isn't
programming something they do in India?

Congrats on getting that new job!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 3143. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 18:53:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dear Asshole,

I've started this group to allow people to discuss amateur radio
issues, and get away from assholes like YOU and your little buddy
STEBIE, Command BitchWhore and all the other harassing people one here.
Perhaps one day you too will get tired of the name calling, libel, and
homobashing on this group and come to a group that talks about AMATEUR
RADIO POLICY AND RULES AND REGULATIONS.
I hope you and your little name calling buddies have fun on this
so-called amateur radio discussion group.

And one more thing Johnny, you can talk about anything you want the
only thing I don't want on it is all the crap (name calling, libel and
harassment) that's on this so-called discussion group

again you and your little harassing buddies have fun on this so-called
and worthless newsgroup

Todd N9OGL

# 3144. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:01:24 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <ML2cnYRCNuUOJY3eUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117495

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1124901188.161752.187850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>
>> Carl's race for the Atlantic Division Directorship should be interesting
>> at the very least. Should he actually get elected, I'm sure the
>> seasoned veterans of the League will welcome him with open arms.
>>
>
> I was a tad surprised to see his candidacy announced, based on his
> somewhat negative casting of ARRL in the past. As, when I was ARRL
> Dakota Division Vice Director, and he sent this request to me..... "Now
> why don't you do us all a favor and take your lying, stagnated, ARRL
> apologist ass out of here ..."

Hans,

Please accept my public apology for my insult to you (a number of years
past).

>
> Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.

I have changed my view of the ARRL ... in fact, I became a Life Member.

If you read my Candidate Statement (a 2 page .pdf) which is available via a
link from my website at http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c,
you'll see that I've come to believe that a strong and inclusive ARRL is
important to the future of ham radio.

I even have developed good relations with Dave Sumner, Ed Hare, and some of
the other staffers and personally consider them to be a friends.

Yes, my views towards the ARRL have changed *considerably* over the past
years.

73,
Carl - wk3c

## 3145. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:13:10 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <9X2Qe.1587$mH.61@fed1read07>
Source record: 117496

Then the world has come to an end
Long live CW and it will.

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

# 3146. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:18:41 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agn@NOSPAMw2agn.net>
Message-ID: <4310BC91.5020508@NOSPAMw2agn.net>
Source record: 117497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

Polymath wrote:
> Your Childish Broadcast (CB) below serves to
> classify you.
>
> Those whom you mention who are operators but
> who are non-technical are the CBers whom I warn
> against in the FAQ.
>
> Ham Radio is not about operating - that is CB Radio
> including CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams whom you
> repeatedly mention.
>
> I have never "ranted" on the Internet - I have only
> posted sincere and genuine concerns about the decline
> in Ham Radio by the influx of the very Childish
> Broadcasters (CBers) that you typify.
>
> If you have indeed been around for as long as you
> claim, then you should know better than to enjoin
> an international public forum in the rather
> silly and infantile style that you adopted.
>
> Shame on you.
>
> Stupid boy.
>
> Dr. Anton T. Squeegee wrote:
>
>>In article <1125082573.078138.29130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
>>aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
>>
>>
>>>What is Ham Radio?
>>
>> <snippety>
>>

Having read this thread, I must agree with the assessment that lowering
standards will NOT help Ham Radio. In the USA, the ARRL is doing its
best to turn the HF bands into an email forwarding facility, by pushing
regulations that will allow PACTOR II and III, used by the PROPRIETARY
SCS Modems, and Winlink, across ALL the bands. Soon there will be
nothing but robots, relaying email to and from the internet. Anyone who

can get a cereal-box license and is willing to spend $1000+ for the modem, as well as whatever an HF rig will go for, will be able to use the "ARRL HF Internet Service Provider."

# 3147. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:27:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.19.27.54.727429@gmail.com>
Source record: 117502

Mike:

Text-to-speech and speech-to-text should be thought of in the same way as
the internet in regards to the pony-express or the US Postal System...

While in the future there will be keyboards, it would make as much sense
to use them as attempting to participate in this newsgroup via us postal
mail--worse, get someone on horseback to deliver your post!

... some adapt easier than others ...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 14:48:50 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.27.16.03.28.65030@gmail.com...
>>
>>>Jim:
>>>
>>>You should fully investigate "text-to-speech" and "speech-to-text"; typing
>>>is indeed becoming as extinct as the dodo bird (and cw.)
>>>
>>>Frankly, keyboards are probably only as prevalent as they are for much the
>>>same reasons as cw--humans are creatures of habit, the newest generations
>>>probably will kill the keyboard...
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>
>>
>> Hello, John
>>
>> Well, I guess I've got to admit that I might be getting stumped.
>>
>> How do I use speach to text to write programs in Visual Basic or C? Can the
>> program understand the difference between "to", "too", and "two"?
>>
>> Don't worry as the China will probably do your work for you ;)
>
>
> The way I figure it, as long as we know how to ask "Would you like
> fries with that?" We've had all the job training we need these days! 8^(
>
> For better or worse, I would hazard that 99 people out of 100 would not

> even think of programming when they think of keyboards. But then, isn't
> programming something they do in India?
>
> Congrats on getting that new job!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

# 3148. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:31:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.19.31.16.285058@gmail.com>
Source record: 117503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

John:

How do you figure anyone here is for lowering standards?

We are for bringing standards up-to-date and getting rid of archaic,
seldom used methods and "historic concepts."

No one is for reducing standards... indeed, how could standards fall much
lower than where they exist now? Amateur radio is already decades behind
technology... that should be nothing less than totally obvious--well,
other than to the the totally clueless...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 15:18:41 -0400, John L. Sielke wrote:

> Polymath wrote:
>> Your Childish Broadcast (CB) below serves to
>> classify you.
>>
>> Those whom you mention who are operators but
>> who are non-technical are the CBers whom I warn
>> against in the FAQ.
>>
>> Ham Radio is not about operating - that is CB Radio
>> including CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams whom you
>> repeatedly mention.
>>
>> I have never "ranted" on the Internet - I have only
>> posted sincere and genuine concerns about the decline
>> in Ham Radio by the influx of the very Childish
>> Broadcasters (CBers) that you typify.
>>
>> If you have indeed been around for as long as you
>> claim, then you should know better than to enjoin
>> an international public forum in the rather
>> silly and infantile style that you adopted.
>>
>> Shame on you.
>>
>> Stupid boy.
>>
>> Dr. Anton T. Squeegee wrote:
>>
>>>In article <1125082573.078138.29130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,

>>>aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
>>>
>>>
>>>>What is Ham Radio?
>>>
>>> <snippety>
>>>
>
> Having read this thread, I must agree with the assessment that lowering
> standards will NOT help Ham Radio. In the USA, the ARRL is doing its
> best to turn the HF bands into an email forwarding facility, by pushing
> regulations that will allow PACTOR II and III, used by the PROPRIETARY
> SCS Modems, and Winlink, across ALL the bands. Soon there will be
> nothing but robots, relaying email to and from the internet. Anyone who
> can get a cereal-box license and is willing to spend $1000+ for the
> modem, as well as whatever an HF rig will go for, will be able to use
> the "ARRL HF Internet Service Provider."

# 3149. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:39:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
Source record: 117504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL:

Well, I must admit that it will be nice have some homosexual/queer worshiping weirdo like you move off straight avenues.

And, I have noticed that all you have to offer is "historic protocols", "historic justifications", "historic data", religious devotion to ancient ideals, etc--and that you want to plonk your worthless behind-the-times right in the middle of everything and bring everything to a halt, so by all means I do welcome your leaving to form your own "good ole boys club"...

And, I am afraid you will only get my ridicule, disdain and polite tolerance for your very limited methods of participation and your concept of non-advancement for the technology, policies and course which will lead amateur radio away from the destruction you hold in store for it and have most obviously participated in... what ever vision blind you, there is probably a cure or treatment when you are ready...

Progress can move mountains, a few old-timers stuck in yesterday should be no problem...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 11:53:38 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> Dear Asshole,
>
> I've started this group to allow people to discuss amateur radio
> issues, and get away from assholes like YOU and your little buddy
> STEBIE, Command BitchWhore and all the other harassing people one here.
> Perhaps one day you too will get tired of the name calling, libel, and
> homobashing on this group and come to a group that talks about AMATEUR
> RADIO POLICY AND RULES AND REGULATIONS.
> I hope you and your little name calling buddies have fun on this
> so-called amateur radio discussion group.
>
> And one more thing Johnny, you can talk about anything you want the
> only thing I don't want on it is all the crap (name calling, libel and
> harassment) that's on this so-called discussion group
>
> again you and your little harassing buddies have fun on this so-called
> and worthless newsgroup
>
> Todd N9OGL

# 3150. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:52:25 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <nhg1h113p3p3575lainq525n5e1e5qnohc@4ax.com>
Source record: 117499

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:58:28 -0000, "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote:

>If CW is dead....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for
>setting up SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>
>https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_9
.htm
>

Answer: For the same reason that, if you search the web long enough,
you might possibly come up with all or part of an operating manual for
an old military spark-gap transmitter - namely that a lot of
information about old military stuff can be found on the Internet.

For example, I followed the link above, only to find myself staring at
part of the instructions for the AN/VRC-45 and AN/VRC-49 radios. Not
unlike the use of CW in US military communications, these two radios
are obsolete, having been replaced by the AN/VRC-93 UHF TACSAT (as in
TACtical SATellite) radios.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

# 3151. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 19:55:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Py3Qe.1028$Xo3.345@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117498

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.27.18.08.22.609043@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> It is only necessary to create the association between any spoken word and
> the series of characters you wish to generate when that word is spoken,
> into a library of such associations...
>
> In other words, if I speak "the" and make the association to the
> characters "t-h-e" the speech to text engine will always generate those
> characters when I speak that specific word--I could just as easily
> associate the spoken "the" with any other series of characters.
>
> Now, while you and I might not go to that trouble if we are fast typists,
> others who do not type will... those who are blind will... companies and
> corps will (and especially those employing disabled workers.) I have seen
> such libraries on the net for specific uses, such as programming, before.
> You most likely can download one for the speech engine in question...
>
> There are groups devoted to the blind who could easily supply you with
> them, I am sure...
>
> John
>

Hello, John

You have an idea that is remiss in a thought or two. One of my friends I have known for years is blind. He types. You do *not* have to see in order to type. I need not (and usually do not) look at the keyboard. In fact, I never look at the keyboard. Once you know where the home keys are, you don't need to see. In fact, check and see if your "f" and "j" keys have little bumps on them to assist you in finding the home keys. Amazing, ain't it LOL

I learned grade 1.5 Braille when I was a teenager. I used a Braille slate, although it is far easier to use a Braille writer. My friend has a text to speach translator. They work quite well and are great for his e-mail, but speach to text has a problem.

This brings to mind a simple diddy:
Rockabye homonym, on the tree top
when the wind blows, the homonym will rock
and when the bow breaks, the homonym will fall
and down comes your arguement, homonym and all.

<takes a bough>

As you can see, I might associate "to" with the letters "t" and "o" combined for one word. Unfortunately, when I wish to add the word "two", I will see that the spoken word is already associated with the word "to". Too big a problem, I suspect. Woops, there just went another too, too - or is that tu-tu?

Further, how does one do addresses? I want the numbers to be stated as one block, with no commas should the address be something like 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue. Of course, no one is usually there at 1600 Pennsylvania avenue, but the arguement seems solid. I can't even use "my address" as two words come out rather than my street address - heaven help me if I want to add my e-mail address. Will "at" appear as "at" or "@"? Will ampersand appear as "ampersand" or "@"? Suppose I wish to use the word in a sentence?

If you can't speak, you could always be in a restaurant with a lap-top with text to speach and type in "blew cheese dressing, please" and it sounds perfectly proper, despite the error in spelling. However, it doesn't work in the other direction. Now you have a spelling and/or context problem.

Hope you understand my point ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3152. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 20:06:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.20.06.44.973136@gmail.com>
Source record: 117505

Jim:

Yes, I thought "typing by touch" was a given, I thought it a waste of time
in mentioning to anyone using a keyboard...

However, once it is necessary to present the blind with text-to-speech it
is only obvious they can make excellent use of speech-to-text--especially
since the text is usually spelled back to them for checking...

We used to have a blind coder at a kernel driver shop I worked for, that
was ten years ago, and he was using the both engines... I don't even have
a concept of how far all that has advanced in a decade.

But, I take it for granted, the only reason I am still using a keyboard is
because of my religious devotion to it... on IRC chat I come into contact
with those using the speech-to-text engines (many blind/disabled use
IRC for social contact)--if they did not make me aware, I would only
believe they were faster typists than myself...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:55:27 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.27.18.08.22.609043@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> It is only necessary to create the association between any spoken word and
>> the series of characters you wish to generate when that word is spoken,
>> into a library of such associations...
>>
>> In other words, if I speak "the" and make the association to the
>> characters "t-h-e" the speech to text engine will always generate those
>> characters when I speak that specific word--I could just as easily
>> associate the spoken "the" with any other series of characters.
>>
>> Now, while you and I might not go to that trouble if we are fast typists,
>> others who do not type will... those who are blind will... companies and
>> corps will (and especially those employing disabled workers.) I have seen
>> such libraries on the net for specific uses, such as programming, before.
>> You most likely can download one for the speech engine in question...
>>
>> There are groups devoted to the blind who could easily supply you with
>> them, I am sure...
>>

>> John
>>
>
> Hello, John
>
> You have an idea that is remiss in a thought or two. One of my friends I
> have known for years is blind. He types. You do *not* have to see in order
> to type. I need not (and usually do not) look at the keyboard. In fact, I
> never look at the keyboard. Once you know where the home keys are, you
> don't need to see. In fact, check and see if your "f" and "j" keys have
> little bumps on them to assist you in finding the home keys. Amazing, ain't
> it LOL
>
> I learned grade 1.5 Braille when I was a teenager. I used a Braille slate,
> although it is far easier to use a Braille writer. My friend has a text to
> speach translator. They work quite well and are great for his e-mail, but
> speach to text has a problem.
>
> This brings to mind a simple diddy:
> Rockabye homonym, on the tree top
> when the wind blows, the homonym will rock
> and when the bow breaks, the homonym will fall
> and down comes your arguement, homonym and all.
> <takes a bough>
>
> As you can see, I might associate "to" with the letters "t" and "o" combined
> for one word. Unfortunately, when I wish to add the word "two", I will see
> that the spoken word is already associated with the word "to". Too big a
> problem, I suspect. Woops, there just went another too, too - or is that
> tu-tu?
>
> Further, how does one do addresses? I want the numbers to be stated as one
> block, with no commas should the address be something like 1600 Pennsylvania
> Avenue. Of course, no one is usually there at 1600 Pennsylvania avenue, but
> the arguement seems solid. I can't even use "my address" as two words come
> out rather than my street address - heaven help me if I want to add my
> e-mail address. Will "at" appear as "at" or "@"? Will ampersand appear as
> "ampersand" or "@"? Suppose I wish to use the word in a sentence?
>
> If you can't speak, you could always be in a restaurant with a lap-top with
> text to speach and type in "blew cheese dressing, please" and it sounds
> perfectly proper, despite the error in spelling. However, it doesn't work
> in the other direction. Now you have a spelling and/or context problem.
>
> Hope you understand my point ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

# 3153. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 20:14:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.20.14.01.184175@gmail.com>
Source record: 117506

John:

I entered #monitor though dalnet servers. The channel welcome notice
states it is cross linked with zirc (if there isn't a split or other tech
probs), what is your nick there?

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 15:52:25 -0400, John Kasupski wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:58:28 -0000, "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote:
>
>>If CW is dead....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for
>>setting up SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>>
>>https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_
9.htm
>>
>
> Answer: For the same reason that, if you search the web long enough,
> you might possibly come up with all or part of an operating manual for
> an old military spark-gap transmitter - namely that a lot of
> information about old military stuff can be found on the Internet.
>
> For example, I followed the link above, only to find myself staring at
> part of the instructions for the AN/VRC-45 and AN/VRC-49 radios. Not
> unlike the use of CW in US military communications, these two radios
> are obsolete, having been replaced by the AN/VRC-93 UHF TACSAT (as in
> TACtical SATellite) radios.
>
> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> zIRC #monitor Admin

# 3154. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 20:14:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lhh1h15e0n0kkvcoiiil1heqg198rlk9a8@4ax.com>
Source record: 117500

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:23:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <UOZPe.2188$Wd7.563@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 04:02:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>,
>> AMATEUR radio operator and defender of liars and identity thieves,
>> wrote in <jBRPe.2612$z2.316@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>>>>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>>>>>>denigrated.
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
>>>>>proud of?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Like Billy Mitchell?
>>>
>>>No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.
>>
>>
>>
>> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
>> anything I did in the Marines, not even the actions that resulted in
>> my loss of rank.
>
>Okay. Maybe you're a slow learner or perhaps you just have no sense of
>remorse. You told us that you weren't a model Marine.

Feel free to speculate all you want about my service.

>> But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
>> that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
>> something I am most definitely proud to admit.
>
>So, the truth is, according to you, that Steve isn't a Marine or that
>he's lying. That's an assumption made by you and you've offered no
>proof, just accusations.

You haven't been paying attention, Dave. I tossed out several tidbits
of info that he would know if his claim was true, but he tripped up
and proved that he knew -nothing- of what I was talking about.

>> Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
>> when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your

>> butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
>> as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?
>
>I challenge you to expose me as a "military imposter", Frank. Make it
>your life's work. As far as your last comment: read up on how usenet
>works. After all, how did Steve's business become *your business*?

I see you missed that part, too. I was in here on a totally different
issue when someone mentioned that Dudly was a Marine. So I asked him
when he served and what units he was with -- a really simple question.
Heck, it's not like I was asking him his SSN or something. But that's
the way he acted. Up went the red flag. Ever since then he's been
tripping over his tongue and frothing like a rabid dog.

Now that I have answered -your- question, just why are you defending
him with such passion?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3155. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 20:22:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.20.22.56.149483@gmail.com>
Source record: 117507

John:

From the following:

John_Smith, lexicon says John_Smith, lexicon says: KNY2VS
(~sorry@pm4-ppp046.dialup.wzrd.com) was last seen quitting #satcom 4 days
18 hours 53 minutes ago (22.08. 17:23) stating ""th y R .g"" after
spending 1 hour 34 minutes there.: KNY2VS

I take it, KNY2VS is it...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 15:52:25 -0400, John Kasupski wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:58:28 -0000, "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote:
>
>>If CW is dead....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for
>>setting up SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>>
>>https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_
9.htm
>>
>
> Answer: For the same reason that, if you search the web long enough,
> you might possibly come up with all or part of an operating manual for
> an old military spark-gap transmitter - namely that a lot of
> information about old military stuff can be found on the Internet.
>
> For example, I followed the link above, only to find myself staring at
> part of the instructions for the AN/VRC-45 and AN/VRC-49 radios. Not
> unlike the use of CW in US military communications, these two radios
> are obsolete, having been replaced by the AN/VRC-93 UHF TACSAT (as in
> TACtical SATellite) radios.
>
> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> zIRC #monitor Admin

# 3156. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 20:25:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.20.25.33.648755@gmail.com>
Source record: 117508

Frank:

When you are all done, won't what he said which is true still be true, and what he said which is false still be false...

Or, are you making a case that you can now claim the truth, if he stated it, is now false?

If so, I must admit, I don't understand how that would be any where near accurate...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:14:25 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:23:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <UOZPe.2188$Wd7.563@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 04:02:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>,
>>> AMATEUR radio operator and defender of liars and identity thieves,
>>> wrote in <jBRPe.2612$z2.316@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>>>>>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>>>>>>>>denigrated.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
>>>>>>proud of?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Like Billy Mitchell?
>>>>
>>>>No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
>>> anything I did in the Marines, not even the actions that resulted in
>>> my loss of rank.
>>
>>Okay. Maybe you're a slow learner or perhaps you just have no sense of
>>remorse. You told us that you weren't a model Marine.
>
>

> Feel free to speculate all you want about my service.
>
>
>>> But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
>>> that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
>>> something I am most definitely proud to admit.
>>
>>So, the truth is, according to you, that Steve isn't a Marine or that
>>he's lying. That's an assumption made by you and you've offered no
>>proof, just accusations.
>
>
> You haven't been paying attention, Dave. I tossed out several tidbits
> of info that he would know if his claim was true, but he tripped up
> and proved that he knew -nothing- of what I was talking about.
>
>
>>> Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
>>> when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
>>> butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
>>> as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?
>>
>>I challenge you to expose me as a "military imposter", Frank. Make it
>>your life's work. As far as your last comment: read up on how usenet
>>works. After all, how did Steve's business become *your business*?
>
>
> I see you missed that part, too. I was in here on a totally different
> issue when someone mentioned that Dudly was a Marine. So I asked him
> when he served and what units he was with -- a really simple question.
> Heck, it's not like I was asking him his SSN or something. But that's
> the way he acted. Up went the red flag. Ever since then he's been
> tripping over his tongue and frothing like a rabid dog.
>
> Now that I have answered -your- question, just why are you defending
> him with such passion?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3157. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 20:29:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1ei1h1hnk692l18mlhkf4kdvh9i2q3fru2@4ax.com>
Source record: 117501

On 27 Aug 2005 07:19:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125152341.836490.109410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> This ludicrous spin about how I am one Steven Robeson claiming the
>service of another is assinine.

I see you finally calmed down long enough to comprehend the issue. And
it's far from assinine. With the advent of the internet it's a simple
matter to look up your own name on a database, and it's much easier to
steal the identity of someone with the same name than someone with a
different name. Doing that I could impersonate any number of people.
It would even be simpler than that if my father had the same name and
didn't hang around the newsgroups to see what I was doing.

And BTW, notice the title of box 11: ".... years and months in
specialty...." IOW, that time doesn't include boot camp or the three
months I had to wait at 29 Palms for an opening in the BE course
(during which I worked as an assistant for the supply officer working
on the TICKET computer system -- an educational mainframe system that
was under development).

You may now resume your tirade.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3158. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 21:08:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qgl1h1hp6vlapcilai7mc0cjj4fhugvtjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 117509

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:25:35 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<pan.2005.08.27.20.25.33.648755@gmail.com>:

>Frank:
>
>When you are all done, won't what he said which is true still be true, and
>what he said which is false still be false...
>
>Or, are you making a case that you can now claim the truth, if he stated
>it, is now false?
>
>If so, I must admit, I don't understand how that would be any where near
>accurate...
>
>John

People lie. It's that simple.

So have you had problems with the alien interfering with your CB
moon-bounce lately?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3159. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 21:38:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <D35Qe.1034$Xo3.187@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117510

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.27.19.27.54.727429@gmail.com...
> Mike:
>
> Text-to-speech and speech-to-text should be thought of in the same way as
> the internet in regards to the pony-express or the US Postal System...
>
> While in the future there will be keyboards, it would make as much sense
> to use them as attempting to participate in this newsgroup via us postal
> mail--worse, get someone on horseback to deliver your post!
>
> ... some adapt easier than others ...
>
> John
>

Hello, John

It is all a matter of the proper tool at the proper time. Sure, you can
order a new computer via the Internet, but the Internet cannot deliver it.
They you go to the post office or UPS.

One tool doesn't work for everything LOL.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

## 3160. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 21:42:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125178960.165854.176510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117511

W2DNE wrote:
> ....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
> SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>
> https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_
9.htm

This is an amateur radio forum.

For an answer to you question, may I direct you to the United States
Army?

# 3161. Re: IARN AND AARA ( ---> k1man FINALLY shutdown by the FCC !!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 21:47:02 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1125179222.738106.47490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Incorect troll. The FCC has not taken the K1MAN off the air and it
never will. K1MAN has 11 lawyers in Washington and 4 in Maine who will
tie the FCC up in court for years. K1MAN, IARN and AARA will win.

Maritime Phone Patcher wrote:
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1124997175.354463.184430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) AND AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO
> > ASSOCIATION (AARA)BROADCAST OWNERSHIP AND POLICY STATEMENT
>
> *** SAY GOODBE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***
>
>
> (snip of much bs)
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
> (from the ARRL Letter )
>
> The FCC has confirmed that the agency has set aside the license
> renewal application of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, of Belgrade
> Lakes, Maine. This after routinely granting it on July 22nd.
>
> As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice
> of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet
> been paid. His current license expires on October 18th.
> In years past, if a person contested fine and his or her
> license expired during the period when the matter was
> being adjudicted, that person could continue to operate
> until a final determination was made.
>
> That all changed in November of 2004 when the
> agency enacted the so-called "Red Light Rule"
> With the "Red Light Rule" in place, the FCC can
> decline to renew a license during the appeals
> process and order the person off the air once his
> or her current license expires.
>
> The agency's July 25th notice to K1MAN was signed
> by Tracy Simmons who is Associate Chief for Licensing
> Operations of the Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure.
> Division of the FCC. In it, the FCC says that the set
> aside is for enforcement review purposes. Whether or not
> the regulartory agency will invoke the Red Light rule in

> Baxter's case won't be known until after October 18th
> unless he pays the NAL or settles the matter in another
> way before that date.
>
> Back on November 1, 2004, the FCC's put its "Red Light"
> rule into effect. Under it, the agency must withhold action
> on applications and other requests for benefits when the
> applicant is shown in the FCC's database as being delinquent
> in non-tax debts owed to the Commission. This means that
> the regulatory agency will no longer accept applications
> from debtors, process their pending requests, or provide
> other benefits until the delinquency is resolved.
>
> To make this work the Commission checks its records against
> each application under that applicant's federal registration number.
> This, to determine if the applicant or any other entity using
> the same taxpayer identification number is delinquent in
> any debt owed to the Commission. If the Commission finds
> that the person to be a delinquent debtor, the request is "red lighted."
> The Commission then stops the processing and notifies the
> applicant that he or she has 30 days to either pay the debt
> or make arrangements for payment of the debt. If payment
> arrangements or correction of the records is not made,
> the Commission will dismiss the application or request.
>
> There are three extremely limited exceptions to this rule.
> First, if the applicant through an attorney files a timely
> administrative appeal or has contested the existence
> or amount of the debt, the debt will not be considered
> delinquent under the red light rule until the order in
> question is final. Also, the FCC will not consider it to
> be an immediate debt if the automatic stay provisions
> of the United States Bankruptcy Code have been
> invoked and are deemed to be applicable in a given case.
> Lastly, the Commission will process applications for
> emergency or special temporary authority involving safety
> of life or property including national security emergencies.
> Other than these, the delinquent applicant either must
> pay up or take the chance of loosing his or her ability
> to continue on the air.

# 3162. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 22:10:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125180630.943913.63320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117513

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
> >>>>>>>PFC"...?!??!
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Did you catch the part where Jim didn't serve?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Jimmie Miccolis never served.
> >>>>> Mikey Coslo never served.
> >>>>> Dee Flint never served.
> >>>>> Brain Kellie WAS served (by "drudges") at the captain's table!
> >>>>
> >>>>You act as if status as a veteran is something which gives you
> >>>>entitlement to be condescending to those who were never in the military.
> >>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
> >>>>the rear someplace.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
> >>>denigrated.
> >>
> >>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
> >>proud of?

> >
> >
> > Like Billy Mitchell?
>
> No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.

Sorry, but I missed that. Please point me to the post.

> >>>Jim acts as if status as a "amateur" is something which gives him
> >>>entitlement to be condescending to those radio professionals who were
> >>>never amateurs.
> >>
> >>Does he? I've seen no evidence of it. The only radio professional
> >>here, who isn't a radio amateur, is Len Anderson. Len is an insulting
> >>churl.
> >
> > Jim is a thin-skinned Evangelical CWer.
> >
> >>>Dave acts as if status as a DXer is something which gives him
> >>>entitlement to be condescending to those who enjoyed DX while not
> >>>holding the highest class of amateur licesne.
> >>
> >>To those? Well, there's you and you don't seem to know very much about
> >>DXing. You seem to equate it with ragchewing across continents.
> >
> > Hmmmm? I know not to work French hams out of band on 6 meters.
>
> Have you worked any French stations on 6m?
>
> > Hmmmm? I know where to get permission to operate from a piece of real
> > estate that has no government.
>
> That hasn't been demonstrated.
>
> > It took a guy who you claim "doesn't seem to know very much about
> > DXing" to point that info out to you.
>
> It did? That hasn't been demonstrated either.

It's archived.

> > But you just can't accept it,
> > coming from a younger, brighter, better looking, and lower ranking
> > amateur. Too bad for you.
>
> I thought you were the only person involved. Now it sounds like there
> were four in your group.

Hi!

> >>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
> >>>insecure about his own accomplishments.

> >>
> >>Then again, you've been wearing a chip on your shoulders for years.
> >
> > No chip. No dip. No parrot.
>
> > I've got the facts; you make smug remarks.
>
> I'm always happy to provide a smug remark or two

Indeed.

> to a pipsquawk who
> thinks he's got all the answers.

Where did I ever say that I've got all the answers? It's just that I
had a few more answers than you did about a particular situation.

But you go shooting off your mouth about another person's ethics, then
post the French out-of-band frequencies where you were working French
Hams. Some A1 Op you are!

> Maybe you can have your "facts"
> miniaturized along with your DD-214 so you'll have them all at your
> disposal.
>
> Dave K8MN

My facts are archived on Google. My DD214 is on file in St Louis.

# 3163. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 22:15:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125180901.691689.174670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117514

K4YZ wrote:

> Of what business do YOU have, Frank of Silliland? Dave's got a
> lot more in common with me than you do.

Ahem, you might want to check with Dave before making such sweeping
statements. Hi!

# 3164. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 22:23:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125181380.558538.244670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117515

From: W2DNE on Aug 27, 6:58 am

>....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
>SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>
>https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/479...

Answer: THEY DO NOT for manual radiotelegraphy ("morse code").

FM 24-18 is a basic PRIMER on radio communications, an introductory text which has been around for at least three decades. The version approved for public distribution is dated 30 Sep 87, superseding the one for 13 Dec 84. Much of the equipment mentioned is OBSOLETE now and has been for decade(s). The AN/GRC-26D, for example, (an HF station in a hut on the bed of a 2 1/2 ton truck) dates back to the first half of the 1950s! The AN/PRC-70 manpack HF set went bye-bye in the 1980s, replaced with the AN/PRC-104 designed by Hughes Aircraft Ground Division.

There is NO "SINCGARS-V" in the U.S. military. You are confusing "single-channel" as in one set, one operator, with the SINCGARS family of SINgle Channel Ground Air Radio System that begins with the manpack AN/PRC-119 (first operational 1989) and continues on through two ground/vehicular versions (using same R/T) and two airborne avionics versions. Just as the AN/PRC-77 replaced the AN/PRC-25 VHF portable FM transceiver, the frequency-hopping digitized voice/data (with selectable COMSEC internal) AN/PRC-119 replaced the PRC-77. The PRC-25 and PRC-77 were both used in the Vietnam War that ended 30 years ago. The SINCGARS family is perhaps the most produced of any military radio communications set with 250 Thousand produced and fielded between 1989 and end of 2003 by ITT Fort Wayne, IN, and General Dynamics Ground Division (now dissolved) in Florida. The PRC-119 is expected to be replaced by the PRC-150 designed and built by Harris Corporation, NY.

SINCGARS sets, along with nearly every HF-VHF-UHF radio set designed and built since WW2, have provisions for remote operation through various interface-control equipments. When remote operation talks about "CW" they do NOT mean manual radiotelegraphy as is common in radio amateur parlance. "CW" in the military manual sense is control over the basic CARRIER transmission. In actual practice SINCGARS is used in small-unit operations (a few vehicles, squads) and many may be in the same radio-range area but "separated" (non-interference operation) by their digital/frequency-hopping option. SINCGARS sets, manpack through airborne, have NO provision for connecting any manual "morse code" key or sending any "morse code"

radiotelegraphy signals.

The U.S. military does NOT teach any manual radiotelegraphy skills for communications purposes. It does teach radiotelegraphy cognition for ELINT intercept-analysis as part of four MOSs for Military Intelligence operations at the M.I. School in Fort Huachuca, AZ.

FM 24-18 is a fairly good introductory handbook on radio for anyone who wishes to learn basic radio facts and radio wave propagation along with several types of antennas. It is a free download through the Army Training and Doctrine Digital Library (in the given link) and may be copied from military CDs containing Field and Techmical Manuals (not fully public distribution) through LOGSA (LOGistics Supply Agency). In particular, the "nevis" (pronounced version of NVIS or Near Vertical Incidence Skywave) techniques used by U.S. land forces radio since the 1970s; known colloquially as "cloud burners" by amateurs.

Manual radiotelegraphy for communications is essentially "dead" for every other U.S. radio service...except amateur radio. Accept that and carry on. As you were...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3165. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 22:26:49 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125181609.398903.265650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117516

From: "K4YZ" on Sat 27 Aug 2005 02:15

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 01:23:09 -0500, "T-10" <anon@jumper> wrote in
>> <dep0q2$3n2$0@pita.alt.net>:
>
>> >Whether or not you approve of Veterans such as W4NTI or K4YZ, the fact
>> >remains that they SERVED!
>>
>> No, that's not a fact. K4YZ has, through his own words, proved beyond
>> any reasonable doubt that he did -NOT- serve, at least not in the
>> capacity he claims.
>
> Yes, I did serve, and you're a disgraced liar, Frankie.

No, Dudly, you have NOT produced ANY proof of having served in the
USMC.

> And that WAS through your own words!
>
> You claim that MY "words" prove I didn't serve.

So far, with NO PROOF presented, YOUR words are vague generalities
without specifics. You've claimed being "in seven hostile actions"
but have never said WHERE or WHEN you were actually IN those.

> You're a liar. Period.

No period, Dudly. Lots of us readers have come to the conclusion
that you are simply running a con job, a snow job, and cannot
come up with enough details or familiarity to establish that you
actually did all those vague/general things you've described.

> It's probably part-and-parcel why you left the Marines as a PFC
>instead of as an NCO or SNCO, and is also no doubt as to why you
>entered this flame fest to start one of your own.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Personal insults do not YOUR bona fides make,
Dudly.

The personal-insult-reply is something you use constantly when
challenged to produce ANY proof of what you've said. It is
NOT AN ANSWER. It is just misdirection to avoid YOUR answer.

>> I've run into many of these impersonators over the
>> years...(SNIP)
>

> YOU'RE the "impersonator", "Frankie"...
>
> "Impersonating" someone who served Honorably...

We readers have NO PROOF that YOU "served honorably." By your
own words you exited the USMC through a MEDICAL DISCHARGE.
Then you changed that to an "honorable discharge." Inconsistent.

>> most of whom claim to be Viet-Nam vets that did "secret ops" or
>> worked independently "behind enemy lines", and often quoting lines
>> from the movies "Apocolypse, Now", "Platoon" and "Full Metal Jacket"
>> (and even that early Jack Webb movie). But ask them for proof and they
>> clam up and get all defensive, just like K4YZ, and now K8MN.
>
> No "defensive"...No "secretive".

NO PROOF from you, Dudly.

>> >While you Chairborne Hams were nit-picking over license qualifications these
>> >two guys were serving their, and YOUR, country.
>> >I defer to K8MN. He is correct and "on the money". Would that the rest of
>> >you do the same.
>>
>> Honor is earned, not stolen. By defending a valor-thief you are
>> subverting your own intentions and disrespecting those who -did-
>> serve, those who are serving right now, and those who have died and
>> will die in the future.
>
> Words spoken by a non-hacking, busted-to-non-rate loser.
>
> I am soooooooooooooooooooooooooooo unimpressed!

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More misdirection by personal insults to a
challenger.
NO PROOF from you, Dudly.

>> If you want to do the right thing then don't
>> let yourself be fooled by these fakes -- any true vet will have no
>> problem with showing proof of service when asked.
>
> I have "proof of service", Frankie, and offered more than enough
>non-Privacy Act protected sources for any marginally interested person
>to find the results themselves...Even specific dates of promotion
>boards...

PRODUCE THEM, Dudly. Give us real links if you have them. Give
us scanned documents in e-mail if you have no links. Give us
some personal references that we can contact, those who you
claim have "served with you." So far you've provided NONE.

> You're a liar and a loser, Gilliland. and now YOU have been
>exposed.

Tsk, more personal insults from Dudly masquerading as a "reply."

Dudly has NO PROOF of his.

> Go ahead...let's see what excuse or rationalization you spin up
>for this...

Frank has presented some links for his proof. Frank's words ring true. YOURS are just vague generalities, no specifics, sound like they've been cribbed from books, movies, and TV shows.

Quit trying to point fingers at others, Dudly. Remember that every time you point a finger at someone there are four other fingers of yours pointing right back at YOU.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3166. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 22:30:42 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125181842.094759.291150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117517

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 26, 11:19 pm

>On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 04:02:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net>,
>AMATEUR radio operator and defender of liars and identity thieves,
>wrote in <jBRPe.2612$z2....@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.?net>:
>
><snip>
>
>>>>>Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>>>>>denigrated.
>
>>>>Do you think being repeatedly busted to a lower rank is something to be
>>>>proud of?
>
>>> Like Billy Mitchell?
>
>>No, like Frank "Not-a-model-Marine" Gilliland.
>
>Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
>anything I did in the Marines, not even the actions that resulted in
>my loss of rank. But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
>that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
>something I am most definitely proud to admit.
>
>Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
>when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
>butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
>as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?

Dave was in the "foreign service," i.e., the Department of State
as a government employee. "He's from the government and is here
to help" or something like that...:-)

Dave is a Pro-Code-Test Advocate. Dudly is more-or-less a PCTA.
Dave wants to fiercely attack ANY No-Code-Test Advocate (NCTA).

Frank, you've come out as an NCTA and thus are on Dave's shitlist.

Dave thinks you've "sided" with me. I'm on Dave's shitlist from
years ago. :-)

Dave makes it "his business" to denigrate, demean, and personally
insult any NCTA. He imagines himself a "leader." He isn't leider
fabled in song and story.

Ackshully, Dave hasn't specified a lot of detail of what HE DID
in his military service in the air farts in "a country at war."
He's admitted to doing something in regards to MARS, but MARS
was never part of mainstream military communications.

Dave shows no indication of EVER picking up the art of diplomacy
in a government secretariat that is supposed to use diplomacy.

Maybe that's one reason why U.S. foreign policy isn't universally
beloved around the world? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3167. Re: State of the Stats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 22:33:10 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125181990.470678.235180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117518

From: Jim Hampton on Aug 26, 7:58 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1125086426.941968.285250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>Hello, Len
>
>I hope you'll excuse me. I landed a permanent job at almost 4 times what I
>was making. Due to the shift in jobs, I wasn't to be paid for a few weeks.
>I wanted to ensure enough funding for gasoline (quite expensive of late) as
>well as food, cigarettes (yep, I'm one of *them* - gasp) and so didn't pay
>the phone bill until last week. They shut off the DSL for a week until they
>were certain the check cleared. Due to that unfortunate fact, I was unable
>to fairly compete with the various whiners in the group. The good news is
>that the DSL was upgraded and I'm running 3 to 7 megabaud so I should be
>able to whine quite loudly in the future ;)

Good on that, Jim! Been close to your situation in the past, done
that, have the old T-shirt, etc. Know the feeling.

However, not since 1963 have I EVER taken out a loan, had any lien
on anything since 1983 when I finished paying that mortgage. Takes
some belt-tightening to get into that debt-free condition but it's
been worth it.

In late 1960 a former girl friend talked me into getting a new
Chevy Impala convertible (didn't like my lil '53 Austin-Healey two-
seater scarf-wagon). Got a Ramo-Wooldridge Corp. Credit Union
loan for 3.5% annual interest. Then I was "downsized" from Ramo.
Credit Union loan was independent of that. I got a new job soon
after but girl friend went to another guy with more money right
after. :-( When the two-year Credit Union loan was paid, I kept
paying myself the same amount each month. That built up personal
funding even though the savings account rate was only 3% annual;
it paid ME rather than me paying anyone else. Never took out any
loan for a new car since ('67, '70, '82 and now 2005).

>Might I be credited with a few extra posts, or must I wait until next month
>to see if I can whine as loudly as some of the other folks?

Google did the numbers, Jim. I just copied them off the Google
newsgroup main message listing (through the "About" link at the
top of the page). You (and anyone else) has to REALLY hump to
get the 17 thousand plus messages that Cecil Moore (W5DXP, ex-
W6RCA) did! :-) Cecil Moore is listed under two separate
accounts at Google: he relocated to Texas and got a W5 call and

also changed ISPs, had a slight pause in the rrap postings while
he did that.

>p.s. - I think Ed Hare got tired of the same junk going on, but not
>positive.

Yes, he did. His last rrap posting was 18 October 2003 according
to Google archives. Cecil stopped posting here for the same
reason. Reasons were stated in public, BTW.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3168. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 22:47:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <h46Qe.3302$FW1.684@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117519

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125110529.445436.192980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

<plonk>

## 3169. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 23:00:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ag6Qe.3305$FW1.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117520

"W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message
news:deprie$21u$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
> ....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
> SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>
> https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/4794-1/fm/24-18/FM24-_
9.htm
>
>
>

CQ Magazine reports they had the most EVER CW entries in the CQWWDX (World
Wide DX Contest) in 2004.

Not bad for a dead mode, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

# 3170. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 23:01:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125181198.913481.227990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117521

K4YZ wrote:
>
> The rank of PFC and the less-than-four year tour lends one to
> believe that the reason he didn't publish the other 3/4ths of his -214
> was that somewhere on that form it said "LESS THAN HONORABLE", or one
> of those categories of discharge.

And your DD-214?

# 3171. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 23:25:14 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125185114.914555.268750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117522

From: K4YZ on Aug 27, 7:32 am

>hot-scam-and-sle...@hotmail.com (N0IMD in yet another drag) wrote:
>
> Big Snip
>
>> Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>> denigrated.
>
> It is when the Marine obtained that rank on the way DOWN, and then
>tries to act as if he was some kind of hero for having got that way.

"Act as if he was some kind of hero?" Didn't see that of Frank
at all.

WE all saw that "some kind of hero" stuff of YOU, Dudly.

Show us some PROOF of having been IN those "seven hostile actions."

Show us some PROOF of having done all that avionics work.

Show us some PROOF of having been that "Assistant NCOIC of MARS."

>> Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
>> insecure about his own accomplishments.
>
> Gee...How ironic. I was thinking the same about YOU and your
>"Somalia" operation...Funny how that came out after Dave had been
>talking about his DXpeditions and I had been talking about operating
>from Okinawa...
>
> Kinda has that "ME TOO! ME TOO!" ring to it...
>
> Funny, isn't it..?!?!

Not funny at all. YOU are the one insecure.

Tsk, Diplomat Dave went on "DXpeditions" while working for the
State Department in those "DX" embassies? Looked like he was
there in government service, not in any amateur radio junket.

Just exactly WHAT did you do for "operations from Okinawa?"
Did you help save Guam? Establish national security through
contact with MARS? What PROOF do you have of that esteemed
(or steamed) "Assistant NCOIC" position? Can you describe
the MARS station from memory at least? Do you have any friends
(ahem) who can be your references? You've not named a single

one. After 18 years of supposed service you have none?

> I've been busting your chops for years to PROVE that your
>bootlegging from Somalia WASN'T bootlegging, and you refuse to ante-up
>some "proof" of ANY sort of it's legitimacy...Yet here YOU are trying
>to "diss" me on military service that can be verified in any of several
>PUBLIC files.

Just exactly WHAT are those "PUBLIC files?" How "PUBLIC" are
they? Who can easily access those "PUBLIC files?" Tell us
WHAT those "PUBLIC files" are.

For one thing, Dudly, you are definitely NOT in any JAG nor have
you been. Even if you're just an ex-medical-corpsman in the USN
instead of the USMC, you would have NO knowledge of either USAF
or USA special regulations. Both USA and USAF special regs
cover MARS operations; I've given links to the U.S. Army websites
which have specific ARs and SRs concerning amateur radio
operation. YOU have given squat, dink, nothing but shouting and
hollering of how others are all "bootlegging" or doing dishonest
things...in short, just personal insults to others instead of
showing us any of "your proof." Describe the applicable UCMJ
text saying that amateur radio operations from Somalia is
"bootlegging." Diplomat Dave never showed us any legal cites
that stated armed forces officials cannot allow/disallow
armed forces personnel from doing such things.

We readers are still waiting for YOUR PROOF of claims, brags,
assorted braggadoccio from years back of your bullshit in here.

Tell us specifically just WHERE and WHEN you were IN those
"seven hostile actions." Do so in more detail than the vague
generalities you've posted so far. Surprise us. <yawn>

What "chops" have you "busted?" So far, only your own
credibility. All you do in regards to "chop busting" is
personal insults of those who challenge you to provide proof.

You have not. You cannot. You are an imposter. Simple as that.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3172. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-27 23:52:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.27.23.52.02.173047@gmail.com>
Source record: 117526

Len:

Unfortunately, the only things more dead than CW is the brain dead
amateurs too dumb to stop sounding ignorant, I mean, before they opened
their mouths (or fingers on the keyboard) we only wondered, now we know,
having been shown time and time again... <frown>

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 15:23:00 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: W2DNE on Aug 27, 6:58 am
>
>>....why do the US Army Field Manuals provide instructions for setting up
>>SINGCARS-V radios in CW mode?
>>
>>https://atiam.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/479...
>
> Answer: THEY DO NOT for manual radiotelegraphy ("morse code").
>
> FM 24-18 is a basic PRIMER on radio communications, an introductory
> text which has been around for at least three decades. The version
> approved for public distribution is dated 30 Sep 87, superseding
> the one for 13 Dec 84. Much of the equipment mentioned is OBSOLETE
> now and has been for decade(s). The AN/GRC-26D, for example, (an
> HF station in a hut on the bed of a 2 1/2 ton truck) dates back to
> the first half of the 1950s! The AN/PRC-70 manpack HF set went bye-
> bye in the 1980s, replaced with the AN/PRC-104 designed by Hughes
> Aircraft Ground Division.
>
> There is NO "SINCGARS-V" in the U.S. military. You are confusing
> "single-channel" as in one set, one operator, with the SINCGARS
> family of SINgle Channel Ground Air Radio System that begins with
> the manpack AN/PRC-119 (first operational 1989) and continues on
> through two ground/vehicular versions (using same R/T) and two
> airborne avionics versions. Just as the AN/PRC-77 replaced the
> AN/PRC-25 VHF portable FM transceiver, the frequency-hopping
> digitized voice/data (with selectable COMSEC internal) AN/PRC-119
> replaced the PRC-77. The PRC-25 and PRC-77 were both used in the
> Vietnam War that ended 30 years ago. The SINCGARS family is
> perhaps the most produced of any military radio communications set
> with 250 Thousand produced and fielded between 1989 and end of
> 2003 by ITT Fort Wayne, IN, and General Dynamics Ground Division
> (now dissolved) in Florida. The PRC-119 is expected to be replaced
> by the PRC-150 designed and built by Harris Corporation, NY.

>
> SINCGARS sets, along with nearly every HF-VHF-UHF radio set designed
> and built since WW2, have provisions for remote operation through
> various interface-control equipments. When remote operation talks
> about "CW" they do NOT mean manual radiotelegraphy as is common
> in radio amateur parlance. "CW" in the military manual sense is
> control over the basic CARRIER transmission. In actual practice
> SINCGARS is used in small-unit operations (a few vehicles, squads)
> and many may be in the same radio-range area but "separated" (non-
> interference operation) by their digital/frequency-hopping option.
> SINCGARS sets, manpack through airborne, have NO provision for
> connecting any manual "morse code" key or sending any "morse code"
> radiotelegraphy signals.
>
> The U.S. military does NOT teach any manual radiotelegraphy skills
> for communications purposes. It does teach radiotelegraphy
> cognition for ELINT intercept-analysis as part of four MOSs for
> Military Intelligence operations at the M.I. School in Fort
> Huachuca, AZ.
>
> FM 24-18 is a fairly good introductory handbook on radio for anyone
> who wishes to learn basic radio facts and radio wave propagation
> along with several types of antennas. It is a free download through
> the Army Training and Doctrine Digital Library (in the given link)
> and may be copied from military CDs containing Field and Techmical
> Manuals (not fully public distribution) through LOGSA (LOGistics
> Supply Agency). In particular, the "nevis" (pronounced version of
> NVIS or Near Vertical Incidence Skywave) techniques used by U.S.
> land forces radio since the 1970s; known colloquially as "cloud
> burners" by amateurs.
>
> Manual radiotelegraphy for communications is essentially "dead" for
> every other U.S. radio service...except amateur radio. Accept that
> and carry on. As you were...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3173. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:00:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.00.00.03.349285@gmail.com>
Source record: 117527

Len:

Little boys who never grow up only have their fairy tale dreams, how could it be different--it is obvious from the get-go that they dream they are secret agents fighting some secret war. They imagine themselves important "radio operatives", not ever realizing the gov't has let old, retired, decrepit men dream they are still vitally important as they have been warehoused in "amateur service."

No longer can they tell reality from the dream, they are either unable or unwilling to face the stark reality--it would be too painful... now they must be left to hide within this dream world, they no longer have the courage to face the truth... strange, but it probably all started out with everyone wanting to be nice to them, but it became an evil and diabolical trap to the demise of their credibility and respectability...

No one takes any joy in such a sorrowful thing...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 16:25:14 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: K4YZ on Aug 27, 7:32 am
>
>
>>hot-scam-and-sle...@hotmail.com (N0IMD in yet another drag) wrote:
>>
>> Big Snip
>>
>>> Steve acts as if status as a lower-ranking Marine is something to be
>>> denigrated.
>>
>> It is when the Marine obtained that rank on the way DOWN, and then
>>tries to act as if he was some kind of hero for having got that way.
>
> "Act as if he was some kind of hero?" Didn't see that of Frank
> at all.
>
> WE all saw that "some kind of hero" stuff of YOU, Dudly.
>
> Show us some PROOF of having been IN those "seven hostile actions."
>
> Show us some PROOF of having done all that avionics work.
>
> Show us some PROOF of having been that "Assistant NCOIC of MARS."
>

>
>>> Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who is
>>> insecure about his own accomplishments.
>>
>> Gee...How ironic. I was thinking the same about YOU and your
>>"Somalia" operation...Funny how that came out after Dave had been
>>talking about his DXpeditions and I had been talking about operating
>>from Okinawa...
>>
>> Kinda has that "ME TOO! ME TOO!" ring to it...
>>
>> Funny, isn't it..?!?!
>
> Not funny at all. YOU are the one insecure.
>
> Tsk, Diplomat Dave went on "DXpeditions" while working for the
> State Department in those "DX" embassies? Looked like he was
> there in government service, not in any amateur radio junket.
>
> Just exactly WHAT did you do for "operations from Okinawa?"
> Did you help save Guam? Establish national security through
> contact with MARS? What PROOF do you have of that esteemed
> (or steamed) "Assistant NCOIC" position? Can you describe
> the MARS station from memory at least? Do you have any friends
> (ahem) who can be your references? You've not named a single
> one. After 18 years of supposed service you have none?
>
>> I've been busting your chops for years to PROVE that your
>>bootlegging from Somalia WASN'T bootlegging, and you refuse to ante-up
>>some "proof" of ANY sort of it's legitimacy...Yet here YOU are trying
>>to "diss" me on military service that can be verified in any of several
>>PUBLIC files.
>
> Just exactly WHAT are those "PUBLIC files?" How "PUBLIC" are
> they? Who can easily access those "PUBLIC files?" Tell us
> WHAT those "PUBLIC files" are.
>
> For one thing, Dudly, you are definitely NOT in any JAG nor have
> you been. Even if you're just an ex-medical-corpsman in the USN
> instead of the USMC, you would have NO knowledge of either USAF
> or USA special regulations. Both USA and USAF special regs
> cover MARS operations; I've given links to the U.S. Army websites
> which have specific ARs and SRs concerning amateur radio
> operation. YOU have given squat, dink, nothing but shouting and
> hollering of how others are all "bootlegging" or doing dishonest
> things...in short, just personal insults to others instead of
> showing us any of "your proof." Describe the applicable UCMJ
> text saying that amateur radio operations from Somalia is
> "bootlegging." Diplomat Dave never showed us any legal cites
> that stated armed forces officials cannot allow/disallow

> armed forces personnel from doing such things.
>
> We readers are still waiting for YOUR PROOF of claims, brags,
> assorted braggadoccio from years back of your bullshit in here.
>
> Tell us specifically just WHERE and WHEN you were IN those
> "seven hostile actions." Do so in more detail than the vague
> generalities you've posted so far. Surprise us. <yawn>
>
> What "chops" have you "busted?" So far, only your own
> credibility. All you do in regards to "chop busting" is
> personal insults of those who challenge you to provide proof.
>
> You have not. You cannot. You are an imposter. Simple as that.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3174. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:03:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.00.03.36.534565@gmail.com>
Source record: 117528

Frank:

Their are either aliens, or their are angels--a belief in either is likely
to get a man laughed at, in some place at some time...

I have trouble in believing in either, but one is true!

Why, you just don't think and so don't have to worry?

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 14:08:48 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:25:35 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <pan.2005.08.27.20.25.33.648755@gmail.com>:
>
>>Frank:
>>
>>When you are all done, won't what he said which is true still be true, and
>>what he said which is false still be false...
>>
>>Or, are you making a case that you can now claim the truth, if he stated
>>it, is now false?
>>
>>If so, I must admit, I don't understand how that would be any where near
>>accurate...
>>
>>John
>
>
> People lie. It's that simple.
>
> So have you had problems with the alien interfering with your CB
> moon-bounce lately?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3175. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:07:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

well dickhead, for your information I'm not queer or gay, but i
consider what you said slander!

# 3176. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:07:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.00.07.46.682848@gmail.com>
Source record: 117529

Jim:

Did you have a job where you were paid to state the obvious, or is this a
new career for you? A mental disorder? A fad?

hmmmmm......

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 21:38:43 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.27.19.27.54.727429@gmail.com...
>> Mike:
>>
>> Text-to-speech and speech-to-text should be thought of in the same way as
>> the internet in regards to the pony-express or the US Postal System...
>>
>> While in the future there will be keyboards, it would make as much sense
>> to use them as attempting to participate in this newsgroup via us postal
>> mail--worse, get someone on horseback to deliver your post!
>>
>> ... some adapt easier than others ...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Hello, John
>
> It is all a matter of the proper tool at the proper time. Sure, you can
> order a new computer via the Internet, but the Internet cannot deliver it.
> They you go to the post office or UPS.
>
> One tool doesn't work for everything LOL.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

# 3177. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:18:13 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11h20mkf4l87c42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 117524

Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode. Model Ts aren't dead.
Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.

However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-cranking Model T engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include 3-point landings in tailwheel airplanes. Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?

Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model Ts, nor are there special runways for tailwheel airplanes. Why are there special segments of the band for CW. Makes no sense to me.

Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:ag6Qe.3305$FW1.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> Not bad for a dead mode, eh?

# 3178. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:24:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.00.24.50.293802@gmail.com>
Source record: 117530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL:

Anyone supporting homosexuality is so perverted I make no separation
between them and the most vile child molester (well, there is a little
difference--but not worth attention), you are free to whatever beliefs
you hold--and whatever you can convince others of...

Just so you understand where I stand, exactly... that is not slander, that
is my right to my beliefs--cemented together from my observations of the
world, the people, the perversions, the crimes and the times... if I
didn't see you make a statement supporting homosexuality, or defending it,
I stand corrected, otherwise I just stand correct--you can view me any way
you damn well choose to, I stand by the belief I have only stated fact...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 17:07:31 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> well dickhead, for your information I'm not queer or gay, but i
> consider what you said slander!

# 3179. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:28:02 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ip02h1hcc109lt97j6p933jrl2sr2ms2bs@4ax.com>
Source record: 117525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This is quite amazing.

On 26 Aug 2005 17:07:31 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>Sometimes, kill files are the best answer.

>well there's a lot of people on here that use google which doesn't have that feature. The reason I created it was to discuss amateur radio, not have a weekly flame war by calling people names.

>Todd

Just one day later you followed that up with:

On 27 Aug 2005 17:07:31 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Dear Asshole,

>I've started this group to allow people to discuss amateur radio issues, and get away from assholes like YOU and your little buddy STEBIE, Command BitchWhore and all the other harassing people one here.

>Perhaps one day you too will get tired of the name calling, libel, and homobashing on this group and come to a group that talks about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY AND RULES AND REGULATIONS. I hope you and your little name calling buddies have fun on this so-called amateur radio discussion group.

>And one more thing Johnny, you can talk about anything you want the only thing I don't want on it is all the crap (name calling, libel and harassment) that's on this so-called discussion group

>again you and your little harassing buddies have fun on this so-called and worthless newsgroup

>Todd N9OGL

Then, you couldn't even let a day go by and you posted this:

On 27 Aug 2005 17:07:31 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>well dickhead, for your information I'm not queer or gay, but i consider what you said slander!

So, unless asshole, dickhead, little harrassing buddies, worthless
newsgroup and some of your other choice descriptions aren't
namecalling on the planet you live on, it looks to me like you're the
problem. Maybe google groups is a good place for you...for us. Now we
won't have to hear all the name calling, filty language, atrocious

spelling, fractured syntax and other spew that you try to pass off as intelligent repartee.

Good bye.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

## 3180. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 00:49:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DS7Qe.2496$Wd7.1519@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117531

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
news:ML2cnYRCNuUOJY3eUSdV9g@ptd.net...
>
> Hans,
>
> Please accept my public apology for my insult to you (a number of years past).
>
>>
>> Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.
>
> I have changed my view of the ARRL ... in fact, I became a Life Member.
>
> If you read my Candidate Statement (a 2 page .pdf) which is available via a
> link from my website at http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c,
> you'll see that I've come to believe that a strong and inclusive ARRL is
> important to the future of ham radio.
>
> I even have developed good relations with Dave Sumner, Ed Hare, and some of
> the other staffers and personally consider them to be a friends.
>
> Yes, my views towards the ARRL have changed *considerably* over the past
> years.
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c

Thanks Carl. And thanks for the pleasant phone call this afternoon. Wish we could have talked longer, but we had just arrived at the MN State Fair, and needed the phone to coordinate arrival of two or three parties who were joining us there.

I wish you success in your quest for a seat on the ARRL BoD, as whatever differences we've had in the past, we both passionately agree on the need to refurbish the tinkerer/experimenter/technical nature of our Amateur Radio Service. ARRL needs to lead that effort.

Good luck es 73,

de Hans, K0HB
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Fumes of Solder

# 3181. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 01:48:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <vJ8Qe.5514$cg.2827@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 117532

Hello, John

One thing that I hadn't thought of is Windows and Linux/Unix. Just wait
until the unthinkable happens and you get dropped into the command line
interface. Then you either know what you are doing and start typing, or you
drop in the bootable cdrom, reboot, reformat, and start over.

Been there, worn that t-shirt LOL.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.27.20.06.44.973136@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> Yes, I thought "typing by touch" was a given, I thought it a waste of time
> in mentioning to anyone using a keyboard...
>
> However, once it is necessary to present the blind with text-to-speech it
> is only obvious they can make excellent use of speech-to-text--especially
> since the text is usually spelled back to them for checking...
>
> We used to have a blind coder at a kernel driver shop I worked for, that
> was ten years ago, and he was using the both engines... I don't even have
> a concept of how far all that has advanced in a decade.
>
> But, I take it for granted, the only reason I am still using a keyboard is
> because of my religious devotion to it... on IRC chat I come into contact
> with those using the speech-to-text engines (many blind/disabled use
> IRC for social contact)--if they did not make me aware, I would only
> believe they were faster typists than myself...
>
> John
>

## 3182. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 01:49:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <HK8Qe.1042$Xo3.713@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117533

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.28.00.07.46.682848@gmail.com...
> Jim:
>
> Did you have a job where you were paid to state the obvious, or is this a
> new career for you? A mental disorder? A fad?
>
> hmmmmm......
>
> John
>
Of course not. However, it seems just as silly for someone to argue that
any one thing has no purpose. So ... :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

## 3183. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 01:58:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <WS8Qe.1045$Xo3.609@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117534

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in message
news:11h20mkf4l87c42@corp.supernews.com...
> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode. Model Ts aren't dead.
> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
>
> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-cranking Model T
> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include 3-point landings
in
> tailwheel airplanes. Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM
for
> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
>
> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model Ts, nor are
there
> special runways for tailwheel airplanes. Why are there special segments
of
> the band for CW. Makes no sense to me.
>
>
> Jim

Well, that sounds like a good deal (eliminate the cw only bands) for the no-codes, but it would end up a very *good* deal for the cw enthusiasts. Believe me, ssb would get clobbered from one end to the other by cw stations simply because of the wide spectrum that ssb occupies (compared to cw, that is). I have a feeling that if the cw bands were eliminated, a lot of phone folks would be screaming to bring them back. I'm not sure, but based upon my 75 watts being able to cut through a kilowatt station trying to clobber me and another station ..... both of us maintained a solid qso for half an hour at 35 to 45 words per minute cw whilst Mike, W2OY, was trying to jam us with a kilowatt of am. Didn't work then and I doubt it would work now. You're talking a 6 kHz wide am signal vs cw signals needing only a couple hundred of Hertz. You're talking at least a 14 dB or so advantage for the cw based upon bandwidth of the receiver.

For the protection of the phone stations, I believe you will want to keep the cw bands intact.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3184. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:01:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.02.01.08.353180@gmail.com>
Source record: 117543

Jim:

You put words in my mouth (or text on my fingers, rather) CW has a great entertainment value for some... I would never choose to argue that...

I do not make light of that fact, some of the most important things I have found in life are books, movies, songs, stories, hobbies--entertainment.

Things need to be no bigger than they are, nor diminished, they are as they are...

I see now why you made the statement you did, however, it was not my point CW was/is "worthless", it is just not what some attempt to make it...

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 01:49:27 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

> 
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.28.00.07.46.682848@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Did you have a job where you were paid to state the obvious, or is this a
>> new career for you? A mental disorder? A fad?
>>
>> hmmmmm......
>>
>> John
>>
> Of course not. However, it seems just as silly for someone to argue that
> any one thing has no purpose. So ... :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

# 3185. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:08:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <oc62h1peknco1166rntoor9gck59qqm127@4ax.com>
Source record: 117535

On 27 Aug 2005 15:30:42 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1125181842.094759.291150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Dave is a Pro-Code-Test Advocate. Dudly is more-or-less a PCTA.
> Dave wants to fiercely attack ANY No-Code-Test Advocate (NCTA).
>
> Frank, you've come out as an NCTA and thus are on Dave's shitlist.

Then Dave is barking up the wrong tree. I'm not a ham but I do see the
value of keeping the code as a requirement. Not only is it one of the
most efficient and universal forms of radio communication, learning
the skill demonstrates both a willingness and dedication to the hobby
and it's history. Besides, 5wpm isn't so hard that it leads to chronic
insomnia or constipation, but some of these no-coders whine about as
much as Dudly does when he's asked for proof of his military service!
Code isn't that big of a deal. Learn it, pass the test, then either
use it or don't use it but at least you'll have a skill you didn't
have before. IMO.

> Dave thinks you've "sided" with me. I'm on Dave's shitlist from
> years ago. :-)

Well, that's between you and Dave. Apparently he can't make that
distinction.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3186. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:10:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1872h1drvdafitup8l50il125t5apgo4oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 117536

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 17:03:37 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<pan.2005.08.28.00.03.36.534565@gmail.com>:

>Frank:
>
>Their are either aliens, or their are angels--a belief in either is likely
>to get a man laughed at, in some place at some time...
>
>I have trouble in believing in either, but one is true!
>
>Why, you just don't think and so don't have to worry?

LOL!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3187. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:10:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.02.10.20.151115@gmail.com>
Source record: 117544

Jim:

Strange how you place everyone who disagrees with you in a "wannabe"
category. I have always been "commandline material." As we speak right
now, I am compiling my linux 2.4.20 (yes, I should get the new 2.6.9x
kernel.) In windows xp I drop to the command line to move files, program
(in the old borlandbuilder c++ commandline compiler) my "personal stuff",
etc...

However, the commandline is dead, I know it, there is nothing you can do
at the commandline which cannot be done in gui, launchers and installers
do exist... in the future there will be no commandline, I just have a
religious devotion to the commandline--if I followed that in my
employment--I would soon be unemployed--like it or not the gui does make
me more productive... still, once in awhile, I can come up with an excuse
to use it... in my personal life, I will probably die using the
commandline, some who follow after me will only know it from books... so
it is with CW... the brave men call the truth for what it is, the
cowards still just die a thousand deaths, and look pitiful as they snivel...

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 01:48:11 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

> Hello, John
>
> One thing that I hadn't thought of is Windows and Linux/Unix. Just wait
> until the unthinkable happens and you get dropped into the command line
> interface. Then you either know what you are doing and start typing, or you
> drop in the bootable cdrom, reboot, reformat, and start over.
>
> Been there, worn that t-shirt LOL.
>
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.27.20.06.44.973136@gmail.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Yes, I thought "typing by touch" was a given, I thought it a waste of time
>> in mentioning to anyone using a keyboard...
>>

>> However, once it is necessary to present the blind with text-to-speech it
>> is only obvious they can make excellent use of speech-to-text--especially
>> since the text is usually spelled back to them for checking...
>>
>> We used to have a blind coder at a kernel driver shop I worked for, that
>> was ten years ago, and he was using the both engines... I don't even have
>> a concept of how far all that has advanced in a decade.
>>
>> But, I take it for granted, the only reason I am still using a keyboard is
>> because of my religious devotion to it... on IRC chat I come into contact
>> with those using the speech-to-text engines (many blind/disabled use
>> IRC for social contact)--if they did not make me aware, I would only
>> believe they were faster typists than myself...
>>
>> John
>>

# 3188. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:13:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vc72h19qh5fv5n8dulu51j3420s3majpev@4ax.com>
Source record: 117537

On 27 Aug 2005 16:01:13 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1125181198.913481.227990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> The rank of PFC and the less-than-four year tour lends one to
>> believe that the reason he didn't publish the other 3/4ths of his -214
>> was that somewhere on that form it said "LESS THAN HONORABLE", or one
>> of those categories of discharge.
>
>And your DD-214?

That is something you will never see.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3189. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:35:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Kp9Qe.1051$Xo3.574@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117541

"Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:5budnZ2dnZ2gILmAnZ2dnZ-8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Hello, John
> > >
> > > It is all a matter of the proper tool at the proper time. Sure, you can
> > > order a new computer via the Internet, but the Internet cannot deliver
it.
>
> Darn, and I just ordered a pizza over the Internet but couldn't figure
> out how to download it.

Downloading is easy. Once it is delivered, you open your mouth and download
the thing, one byte at a time ;)

73,
Jim AA2QA

# 3190. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:37:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.02.37.44.30152@gmail.com>
Source record: 117545

Frank:

You are much more dense than I'd imagined, I am sorry to have given you too much credit, let me explain it to you, perhaps you can get the picture.

While the evolutionists have gone around spinning a story of life beginning in mud puddles (and who knows for certain, I certainly don't), SETI has been created and searches the heavens, also, in some southwestern desert there is a "big ear" which searches for ET--and at least a good portion of a billion has been spent on its' creation, recently.

If we came from a mud puddle, then in some of those billions and billions of mud puddles out in the universe, which formed millions, tens of millions, hundreds of millions or even billions of years before ours, life also began... surely you can realize that--unless you still are afflicted by the same disease and consider yourself "SPECIAL!" (perhaps you consider your amateur license proof you are a member of a sole-solitary race?)

Then there is "life by intelligent design" and a supreme being, I am sure you are familiar with that argument--and there are some points needing further investigating...

Then again, even if it a "mud puddle god" which is now on his/her/their way to us right now, we may not be able to tell the difference between them/it and a/the supreme being(s)--or perhaps, "God forbid!", they did create us and now study us from a "duck blind"...

Surely if you can scoff at that, you would know you risk being a complete idiot... but if you believe in a mud puddle, you are actually betting on aliens existence, make no mistake, I don't, and just because the gov't or FCC hasn't told you is no excuse for not thinking--"they" just think it would be too unsettling to let the "dumb masses" in on the "little secret", or perhaps the driving force is not to insult them, like the reason they don't tell queers what sick buggers they are... go figure... maybe it is the mental health bill to "cure" 'em which they are avoiding...

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:10:22 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 17:03:37 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <pan.2005.08.28.00.03.36.534565@gmail.com>:
>
>>Frank:
>>
>>Their are either aliens, or their are angels--a belief in either is likely

>>to get a man laughed at, in some place at some time...
>>
>>I have trouble in believing in either, but one is true!
>>
>>Why, you just don't think and so don't have to worry?
>
>
> LOL!
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 3191. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:38:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125196737.835185.56030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117542

RST Engineering wrote:
> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.

Yes, they have. Obviously they haven't actually
listened to the parts of the ham bands where
Morse Code is used.

> Model Ts aren't dead.
> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.

True enough.

> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-
> cranking Model T
> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include 3-point > landings in tailwheel
airplanes.

That's because the percentage of autos with handcranks is very
small. So is the percentage of taildragger aircraft.

But the percentage of ham stations on HF/MF using Morse Code
is much, much higher.

However, the remaining Morse Code test is probably going away
soon.

> Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for
> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?

"Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
in HF amateur radio.

Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
those who chose not to build their radios?
>
> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model Ts, > nor are there
> special runways for tailwheel airplanes.

But there are special lanes on some roads for cars only, high-occupancy
vehicles only, etc.

There are sidewalks and trails on which motor vehicles are banned.

> Why are there special segments of
> the band for CW.

The only CW-only parts of the US ham bands are 50.0-50.1 MHz and
144.0-144.1 MHz. All other HF "CW" subbands are shared with
digital/data modes.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ag6Qe.3305$FW1.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> > Not bad for a dead mode, eh?

# 3192. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:42:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.02.42.22.977088@gmail.com>
Source record: 117546

Jim:

Judging from the obese state of this nation--I'd almost be willing to
suffer the argument "they" have figured out a way to download 'em! <grin>

I may be the only height/weight proportionate person within blocks of my
home--and I am beginning to worry about my resolve to stay thin!

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 02:35:22 +0000, Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> "Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:5budnZ2dnZ2gILmAnZ2dnZ-8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Hello, John
>> >
>> > It is all a matter of the proper tool at the proper time. Sure, you can
>> > order a new computer via the Internet, but the Internet cannot deliver
> it.
>>
>> Darn, and I just ordered a pizza over the Internet but couldn't figure
>> out how to download it.
>
> Downloading is easy. Once it is delivered, you open your mouth and download
> the thing, one byte at a time ;)
>
>
> 73,
> Jim AA2QA

# 3193. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:44:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cv72h1l18i49vc3djgbegfir8fg6tf9j4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 117547

On 26 Aug 2005 11:58:57 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1125082737.144922.259930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 26 Aug 2005 06:22
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>> > >>>K4YZ wrote:
>>> > >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > >>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> >
>>> > >> If fact,
>>> > >> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>>> > >> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>>> > >> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>>> > >> assigned.
>>> > >
>>> > >But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
>>> > >in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
>>> >
>>> > Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
>>> >
>>> > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
>>> > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
>>> > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
>>>
>>> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
>>> a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
>>> bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
>>
>>The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
>>your military service lies.
>
> Tsk. The stealers of valor cry foul when their stealing is stolen.
>
> Dudly has NO PROOF whatsoever of his "forward area" action. NONE.
> Aircraft ground maintenance personnel are NOT in any "forward area."
>
> If one is NOT in a "forward area," one is in the "rear area." Such

> as an Okinawa MARS station where Dudly claimed to be "Assistant
> NCOIC." [wow...lots of responsibility there...in a NON-commo role
> if he was really there...MARS was never a part of the Defense
> Communications System]
>
> Dudly has never referred to any common small-unit land force radio
> by nomenclature or familiar name. Neither has he done so for any
> common avionics radio of the 1974-1992 period. That is unthinkable
> for anyone who has really been IN the military involved in radio
> communications of any kind.

Not only that, but when presented with -partial- information he can't
even fill in the blanks; i.e, VINSON, discharge upgrades, etc.

> Ergo, Dudly NEVER DID what he claimed.
>
> Dudly has presented NO PROOF of this claimed military service. He
> has presented nothing but verbal generalities that can be gleaned
> from publications or entertainment shows. Anyone truly proud to
> have served will have some sort of documentation which can be
> scanned and presented for proof. Dog tags can be scanned.

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dogtag.jpg

> Dudly
> has offered NONE. Not even personal snapshots.

Here's one that should be good for a laugh:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/skivs.jpg

> Dudly says all who challenge him on his military claims should "call
> the VA [Veteran's Administration]." The VA will not reveal details
> to non-familiy members and must have assurance that a requestor is
> legitimate. The VA cannot reveal details due to a federal law that
> is almost three decades old.

OVER three decades old: The Privacy Act of 1974.

> The same is true for NARA, the
> National Archives and Records Administration, which has a large
> records archive in St. Louis, MO. NARA has a website which
> contains the form required to request details...the filled-in form
> can be e-mailed for personal data, but must be sent surface mail
> for full disclosure.
>
> The only logical conclusion is that Dudly's claims to military
> service are a FRAUD, a fabrication, a LIE. In his case, a "rear
> area" is what he has been giving us.

Well, any proof he offers now will need to be pretty damn convincing.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3194. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:48:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125197307.701067.93960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I didn't support Homsexuality, never.

Todd

# 3195. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:53:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5e92h1lk302e5qv7o1h8174k107rj11p84@4ax.com>
Source record: 117549

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:37:46 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<pan.2005.08.28.02.37.44.30152@gmail.com>:

>Frank:
>
>You are much more dense than I'd imagined, I am sorry to have given you
>too much credit, let me explain it to you, perhaps you can get the picture.
<snip>

No need, but I read it anyway. You have concluded that I think life
does not exist elsewhere in the universe. I said no such thing. On the
contrary, I think that extraterrestrial life is not just a possibility
but a statistical probability with a level of confidence that's better
than the sun rising each morning.

What I find amusing is the way some people use that as a justification
for their belief that the government is hiding little grey aliens.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3196. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 02:54:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8q92h153flujpcc11ldq509oael43cu7mg@4ax.com>
Source record: 117550

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:34:14 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
wrote in <5budnZ2dnZ2iILmAnZ2dnUG8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
>> anything I did in the Marines,
>
>But the Marines probably do.

What's this..... tag-team flame wars?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3197. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:06:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.03.06.43.34080@gmail.com>
Source record: 117551

Frank:

Grey? Who told you grey? I heard it was green! <grin>

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:53:31 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:37:46 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <pan.2005.08.28.02.37.44.30152@gmail.com>:
>
>>Frank:
>>
>>You are much more dense than I'd imagined, I am sorry to have given you
>>too much credit, let me explain it to you, perhaps you can get the picture.
> <snip>
>
>
> No need, but I read it anyway. You have concluded that I think life
> does not exist elsewhere in the universe. I said no such thing. On the
> contrary, I think that extraterrestrial life is not just a possibility
> but a statistical probability with a level of confidence that's better
> than the sun rising each morning.
>
> What I find amusing is the way some people use that as a justification
> for their belief that the government is hiding little grey aliens.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3198. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:11:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <coa2h15a7jedfh4e4bbh81ods2k93e60it@4ax.com>
Source record: 117552

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:06:44 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<pan.2005.08.28.03.06.43.34080@gmail.com>:

>Frank:
>
>Grey? Who told you grey? I heard it was green! <grin>

Dave Hall (N3CVJ) said they were grey. He also said they were from
Zeta Reticuli. I guess he's an expert with those sorts of things.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3199. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:11:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KX9Qe.3346$z2.2840@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117553

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>
> Then again, even if it a "mud puddle god" which is now on his/her/their
> way to us right now, we may not be able to tell the difference between
> them/it and a/the supreme being(s)--or perhaps, "God forbid!", they did
> create us and now study us from a "duck blind"...
>

In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic loneliness.

And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see what We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth, and one was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as man sat up, looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of all this?" he asked politely.

"Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.

"Certainly," said man.

"Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.

And He went away.

--- Book of Bokonon, Chapter 1, Verses 2-4

# 3200. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:13:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.03.13.36.469468@gmail.com>
Source record: 117554

Frank:

Frankly, if I thought anything, I would suspect that it would be the "supreme aliens" who were able to call the shots--I don't think our gov't or the world gov'ts for that matter would be doing much of anything--at least not anything the aliens were not telling them to do...

Who knows, keep an open mind, maybe they are just raising us like a head of cattle--and butchering time is near!!! <terrified-look!>

... do I think that is really happening? Heck, I don't know if there is a supreme being, I don't know if we came from a mud puddle, how should I know, if you ask me--all the choices just sound crazy, ask the aliens! <chuckle>

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:53:31 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:37:46 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <pan.2005.08.28.02.37.44.30152@gmail.com>:
>
>>Frank:
>>
>>You are much more dense than I'd imagined, I am sorry to have given you
>>too much credit, let me explain it to you, perhaps you can get the picture.
> <snip>
>
>
> No need, but I read it anyway. You have concluded that I think life
> does not exist elsewhere in the universe. I said no such thing. On the
> contrary, I think that extraterrestrial life is not just a possibility
> but a statistical probability with a level of confidence that's better
> than the sun rising each morning.
>
> What I find amusing is the way some people use that as a justification
> for their belief that the government is hiding little grey aliens.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3201. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:28:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.03.28.37.440971@gmail.com>
Source record: 117555

K0HB:

Interesting...

All I know from observing the elements, electromagnetic spectrum and
the nature of things is that nature never creates symmetry (well,
crystals and spheres), never creates extremely complex designs, machines
or beings--indeed, the only argument and/or example anyone can possibly
pose is us--or rather, life on earth.

I would find one believing you can throw a handful of glass, plastic,
metal into a mud puddle--come back a million or so years later and start
pulling out microwaves, cell phones, amateur equip., etc... as all of
those are much simpler, we can make those ourselves!

I find it strange men have designed a whole complex theory explaining how
it can happen and stating they have "proofs", and yet we are the only
"proof", and really no proof at all to the original question--i.e., we are
simply proof we exist, not how we came to exist...

In my personal opinion, evolution is nothing more than a religion, and one
needing a leap of faith to participate in...

Nature is a prime example of decay, destruction of complex chemicals,
reduction to the base elements--devolution if you will... but then, some
would argue black is white...

If I was forced to guess, a beginning without the intervention of an
intelligence with a plan I would venture is impossible, someone obviously
"made" us...

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 03:11:38 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Then again, even if it a "mud puddle god" which is now on his/her/their
>> way to us right now, we may not be able to tell the difference between
>> them/it and a/the supreme being(s)--or perhaps, "God forbid!", they did
>> create us and now study us from a "duck blind"...
>>
>
> In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic
> loneliness.

> 
> And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see what
> We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth, and one
> was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as man sat up,
> looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of all this?" he
> asked politely.
> 
> "Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.
> 
> "Certainly," said man.
> 
> "Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.
> 
> And He went away.
> 
> --- Book of Bokonon, Chapter 1, Verses 2-4

## 3202. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:30:36 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <5budnZ2dnZ2gILmAnZ2dnZ-8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117538

Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> Hello, John
>
> It is all a matter of the proper tool at the proper time. Sure, you can
> order a new computer via the Internet, but the Internet cannot deliver it.

Darn, and I just ordered a pizza over the Internet but couldn't figure
out how to download it.

## 3203. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:32:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <5budnZ2dnZ2jILmAnZ2dnem8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117539

RST Engineering wrote:
> nor does the airplane certificate test include 3-point landings in
> tailwheel airplanes.

But you will have to get checked out on a tail dragger before you can
fly it.

# 3204. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:34:14 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <5budnZ2dnZ2iILmAnZ2dnUG8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117540

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
> anything I did in the Marines,

But the Marines probably do.

# 3205. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 03:38:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.03.38.26.345812@gmail.com>
Source record: 117557

Frank:

To be honest--it wouldn't surprise me, but then you are talking to a guy
who thought the stain on monicas' dress might be ice cream! <straight-face>

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:11:31 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:06:44 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <pan.2005.08.28.03.06.43.34080@gmail.com>:
>
>>Frank:
>>
>>Grey? Who told you grey? I heard it was green! <grin>
>
>
> Dave Hall (N3CVJ) said they were grey. He also said they were from
> Zeta Reticuli. I guess he's an expert with those sorts of things.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3206. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 04:03:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GIaQe.3353$z2.3182@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117558

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>
> If I was forced to guess, a beginning without the intervention of an
> intelligence with a plan I would venture is impossible, someone obviously
> "made" us...
>

Are you from Kansas?

Evolution is (in my mind) too fuzzy a term, because it has become popularized to imply a lock-step progression from "lower to higher". I subscribe to the basic premise ("natural selection") but I believe it to be a stochastic process in which some randomly scattered "lucky breaks" occured. For a good read, go to a good university library and check out "The Blind Watchmaker" by Richard Dawkins (Oxford) and "The Mind of God" by Paul Davies (University of Adelaide).

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3207. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 04:22:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZZaQe.2540$Wd7.799@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117559

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>
> I have trouble in believing in either, but one is true!
>

There is a teleological argument, popular in the bible belt, which goes
something like this:

Premise 1: The universe was designed by someone "intelligent"
Premise 2: If it was designed by an intelligent being, it was designed by
either humans or God.
Premise 3: The universe was not designed by human intelligence.
4: From (1) and (2), the universe was designed by either humans or God.
5: From (3), it was designed by God.

The third premise is generally scientifically accepted, but not so the first
and second.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3208. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 04:34:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <AYednW7Xw82QrYzeRVn-1Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117556

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>Then again, even if it a "mud puddle god" which is now on his/her/their
>>way to us right now, we may not be able to tell the difference between
>>them/it and a/the supreme being(s)--or perhaps, "God forbid!", they did
>>create us and now study us from a "duck blind"...
>>
>
>
> In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic
> loneliness.
>
> And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see what
> We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth, and one
> was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as man sat up,
> looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of all this?" he
> asked politely.
>
> "Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.
>
> "Certainly," said man.
>
> "Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.
>
> And He went away.

But God, being the first electrical engineer, came back and took a rib
from the man and created the first "loud speaker".

# 3209. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 04:55:12 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125204912.345723.182810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117560

From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 27, 7:08 pm

>On 27 Aug 2005 15:30:42 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
><1125181842.094759.291...@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>?:

><snip>
>
>> Dave is a Pro-Code-Test Advocate. Dudly is more-or-less a PCTA.
>> Dave wants to fiercely attack ANY No-Code-Test Advocate (NCTA).
>
>> Frank, you've come out as an NCTA and thus are on Dave's shitlist.
>
>Then Dave is barking up the wrong tree. I'm not a ham but I do see the
>value of keeping the code as a requirement.

Okay, I stand corrected. No problem to me.

However, under the ROE (Rules of Engagement) in here, if you
agree with me in the slightest on anything, that puts you in
"aligned with me" and in Dave's shitlist. :-)

> Not only is it one of the
>most efficient and universal forms of radio communication, learning
>the skill demonstrates both a willingness and dedication to the hobby
>and it's history. Besides, 5wpm isn't so hard that it leads to chronic
>insomnia or constipation, but some of these no-coders whine about as
>much as Dudly does when he's asked for proof of his military service!
>Code isn't that big of a deal. Learn it, pass the test, then either
>use it or don't use it but at least you'll have a skill you didn't
>have before. IMO.

Opinion noted. I have a surfeit of acquired skills already,
don't need any old ones. :-)

I don't need to demonstrate how to hand-crank-start a car to
the state motor vehicle department. I've done that anyway.

I don't need to learn musketry skills, of hand-loading a lead
ball, to shoot well. I've shot well with modern firearms. No
personal firearms license in my locality requires demonstration
of shooting skills.

I don't need to "sit" a horse in order to convey myself a large
distance. I've never done that nor do I expect to. All the
"horsepower" I need is in our new Chevy. The state motor
vehicle department does not recognize horsemanship.

I don't need to learn blacksmithing in order to shape iron or most other metals. I've already shaped metal to what I want and none of it was for horseshoes. :-)

I don't need to learn to grow all my food, either in ground or that walking upon it. Food markets serve me and wife well. I've learned enough to survive on the land in emergencies and that is, in my opinion, sufficient.

Since 1952 I've learned old-fashioned vacuum tube radio communications techniques and never had to demonstrate any morsemanship nor to use it in any transmission mode then...or afterwards. That afterwards included transmitting on many more parts of the EM spectrum than is allowed to U.S. radio amateurs.

One thing I have learned in the last half century is that our government CAN and DOES accept cogent arguments on changing existing regulations to better suit all citizens. At the same time I also learned that there is a large body of citizenry that absolutely forbids any thought of changing "their" beloved standards and practices in legislated law! :-)

>> Dave thinks you've "sided" with me. I'm on Dave's shitlist from
>> years ago. :-)
>
>Well, that's between you and Dave. Apparently he can't make that
>distinction.

He sure can't. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3210. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 04:57:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125205040.858455.194550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117561

From: John Smith on Aug 27, 5:00 pm

>Len:
>
>Little boys who never grow up only have their fairy tale dreams, how could
>it be different--it is obvious from the get-go that they dream they are
>secret agents fighting some secret war. They imagine themselves important
>"radio operatives", not ever realizing the gov't has let old, retired,
>decrepit men dream they are still vitally important as they have been
>warehoused in "amateur service."

That's a tad harsh, John. Close, though. Ham radio is essentially
a solo operation: One man, one radio, listening a lot to assorted
radio signals...and natural/man-made noise. There's ample
opportunity to start imagining things, even to reading "signals"
in natural noise.

With OOK CW the ONLY clue they have to the other operator is the
kind of keying they use/do, the so-called "fist". OOK CW has NO
other clue as to that other person, no voice tone, no expression,
no body language, nothing of what we in-person humans use to
base social interaction on...just the absolute formality of certain
procedures, jargon, abbreviations. That other operator could be
of another gender and there is NO clue as to which one with OOK CW.

That alienation to normal other-person input can be responsible for
some of the strident bigotry about morsemanship...a defense AGAINST
having to conform as humans in social, in-person situations. With
a minimum of normal human clues to others, they can be whatever they
want to be, whatever they dream about, the emobdiment of their
internal fantasies. Who is to tell with OOK CW? All the normal
human clues are missing in such interaction.

In much the same way is computer-modem communications. All we have
is words on a screen. The "clues" to what another person is like
is dependent on how well they can compose sentences, communicate
ideas and feelings. Not all have such capabilities. It is similar
to OOK CW morse code but has at least one approximation of human-
interaction clue, the representation of speech. Voice is a NATURAL
thing in human interaction, all races, all genders, any nation.
Monotonic sound patterns are NOT natural for communications, not a
part of our natural behavior.

>No longer can they tell reality from the dream, they are either unable or
>unwilling to face the stark reality--it would be too painful... now they
>must be left to hide within this dream world, they no longer have the

>courage to face the truth... strange, but it probably all started out
>with everyone wanting to be nice to them, but it became an evil and
>diabolical trap to the demise of their credibility and respectability...

There are many psychological causes for a retreat into a fantasy
world. They might not be able to handle the pressures of reality.
They might be too ego-driven to accept change if they've become
good at some old procedure...and need that to retain their sense
of self-worth. They might be just fraudulent individuals seeking
prestige under a fantasy identification; personal income is not
always monetary. They might be just nuts.

>No one takes any joy in such a sorrowful thing...

Certain other individuals in this newsgroup have. They have openly
wished others dead and thought nothing of it. That's perverted.

I've found enormous satisfaction in the entire technologic field
of radio-electronics, so much so that it has been my work as well
as hobby; one need not be licensed to build/experiment/explore if
the RF radiation is below government-specified standards. All of
electronics has been continuosly evolving, changing, IMPROVING,
opening up new vistas of technology in other fields, a working-
together of techno trades that benefit all. It is insane to have
to confine oneself to certain old procedures and standards in a
hobby endeavor, to have to behave in such utter formality of
everything. Amateur radio is NOT a job, not a guild, not a craft
of professionals. Neither is it some specific national resource
or a "service" for/of/about the nation. It is basically a HOBBY,
personal interest for recreation in a radio area that requires
licensing due to the nature of EM waves and human legislation to
regulate such EM wave uses.

I will be happy if more people recognize that amateur radio IS a
hobby and not some mythical "service" to their own imaginations
and desires for personal glory and misguided self-patriotism.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

>On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 16:25:14 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ on Aug 27, 7:32 am
>
>>>hot-scam-and-sle...@hotmail.com (N0IMD in yet another drag) wrote:

<text removed since it has already been posted in public>

# 3211. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 04:59:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125205154.992773.202080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117562

From: John Smith on Aug 27, 4:52 pm

>Len:
>
>Unfortunately, the only things more dead than CW is the brain dead
>amateurs too dumb to stop sounding ignorant, I mean, before they opened
>their mouths (or fingers on the keyboard) we only wondered, now we know,
>having been shown time and time again... <frown>

Well, so be it, I'm saddened to see. I'll just try to inform
these poor souls (or pour souls in some, they obviously pouring
something before writing) what military radio IS, not what they
imagine it to be.

Ackshully, FM 24-18 is a good tutorial for a beginner. In re-
checking the link given, there's a download-the-whole-thing link
at the bottom but the file is roughly 10 MB in size. Takes a few
minutes to get. [glad I already had it on a CD) It has an
objective comparison of wire antenna gains in various
terrain/environment, untainted by advertising claims and
myths of some amateur users.

FM 24-24 is available from the Army Training and Doctrine Command
Digital Library. It is a veritable catalog of land force radios
and communications devices as of 1994. Public distribution. I've
given the link to it before in here. The ATDLS website has
changed slightly so those precise links I gave before won't get
there, but anyone can do so from the 'web, through their home
page. Some of the equipment shown has gone obsolete in the past
9 years, or it is in storage in a depot "just in case" or whatever.

The ITT 'web page has more informative technical material on the
SINCGARS family of radios. Aerospace and Ground Division at
Fort Wayne, Indiana, at the old Magnavox plant. Harris Corporation
has some more plus future things they are trying to get contracts
on, forgotten division name for the moment (somebody will pipe up
with the correct name in triumph and imagined glory). Harris
has already sold some SINCGARS-compatible work-alikes to the UK
last year.

SINCGARS is interesting in that it doesn't have so many of the
conventional controls. From day one it has a Touchscreen for
entering frequency, for entering net properties (frequency
hopping pattern). A little OS built into the internal micro-
processor. When commanding it to frequency/net operation, one
enters a "hopset" (colloquial) which is a rather large data

group with its own authenticators from a separate piece of equipment to be used at local Net central. Internal power demand at idle (such as in transport or listening only) is so low that it all the entered data is retained until the LiON battery is replaced. Internal time/frequency accuracy is phenomenal over the full military environmental range. Newer models (the SINCGARS Improvement Plan or SIP versions) will allow the "Plugger" (AN/PSN-11) GPS receiver to connect to it to synchronize the internal time/frequency to the GPS. The "Plugger" (military refined nickname in place of what GIs have called it - the PSN) saw its first field operational duty in the First Gulf War. A very few PRC-119s were tried then, but not many fielded in 1990 since the first ones went to Army forces in Korea. The frequency hopping rate is 10 per second, damn hard to get a handle on in the field for either DF or interception. With digitized voice or data, SIP versions have built-in crypto (selectable) while the older versions needed external COMSEC keyers. It is also "QRP"-like in that there's a three-position front panel switch to select RF power output; DX it ain't but that isn't needed in small-unit ops. The vehicular model with larger PA can push out some RF for (easily) up to 200 miles. It ain't yer daddie's old backpack raddio and it beats the old (but still neat) AN/PRC-10 I once wore on weekly sojer training sessions in the 1950s. The Harris AN/PRC-150 covering HF through UHF is compatible with some more bells and whistles in it, all in manpack size and weight.

The AN/PRC-104 IHFR (Improved High Frequency Radio) family debuted in 1986 out of Hughes Aircraft Co. Ground Division. For those missions where HF is thought to be better, it can do so nicely, even the manpack version having an automatic antenna tuner (using latching relays to hold the L and C selections for the internal L network). Little microprocessor in that, too, also controlling the frequency synthesizer permitting good SSB performance. COMSEC is external with that model but they handle all the voice/data crypto formats. Early PRC-104s had a KY-114 knee key (why, I don't know) which was left out of later models.

Back in World War 2 times, someone at the Pentagon thought it a fine idea to improve the horse cavalry radio...a lighter and better version than the 1930s model they did have but needed to be set up and operated while the troop was stopped. The answer was in the BC-511, the infamous "guidon radio" (set was IN the combination guidon-bottom with top mount whip antenna, carried like the old horse cavalry guidon pennant). That was thunk up around 1942. However, at the same time HORSE cavalry was disbanded in the U.S. Army! Motorola in Chicago made a bunch of them. Neat little sets, AM and on low HF, crystal controlled. So, a whole bunch of horse cavalry radios being made with no horse cavalry to use it! Stagnated old-soldier thinking in DC. Infantry got some of them, GIs calling it the "pogo stick,"

terribly clumsy to use on foot. Some new-soldier thinking got vehicle adapters for them but those pogo-sticks went surplus storage when the BC-1000 Walkie-Talkies were built (also by Motorola in Chicago, also beginning in 1943). The SCR-300 (using BC-1000 R/T) was FM voice-only on low VHF. It weighed the same as the cavalry pogo-stick but was in backpack form and much more mobile on foot, worked far better in the field as a radio.

Some of the "old radio ops" just can't give up morsemanship. It must be part of their religion or whatever. Like the never-quit horse cavalryman of long ago, their beliefs insist that "CW" or on-off keying of a carrier is somehow "necessary" for today. They can't be budged from that in "the service." :-)

It's like 60+ years ago, the cavalrymen insisting that all "good soldiers" had to know how to ride a horse...even when the horses were put out to pasture, glue, or pet food. So it is when all other radio services have abandoned morse code for communications purposes, U.S. amateur radio morsemen INSIST that morsemanship MUST be in the amateur license test. Horsesnit.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3212. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 05:04:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.05.04.30.32163@gmail.com>
Source record: 117563

K0HB:

Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most of the authors strike me as being rather weak in math and especially in the area of probability and statistics--quite possibly lotus-blossom-eaters.

First, just for starters, to get all the necessary elements formed into the complex amino acids to create the RNA is preposterous--let alone the actual creation of the RNA (and this would only be a virus--unable to replicate on its own.) Next, to get a complex DNA structure would be another extraordinary event, for the proper structure (organism) to be present and form around the DNA AND be able to use the DNA would be another extraordinary event, for this organism to be able to replicate would be one more extraordinary event, for just one of these single celled organisms to go "multi-cellular" would be one more extraordinary event, then for each cell to develop specialized functions--another extraordinary event, for them to form complete organs handling a specific function--another extraordinary event.... AND THIS IS SUPPOSED TO GO RIGHT ON UP TO WHERE THE ORGANISM IS CAPABLE OF SELF-REALIZATION, COMPLEX THOUGHT AND CONSIDERS ITSELF TO HAVE A SPIRIT!

... as you can quickly see, this chain of impossible, seemingly endlessly numbered and impossible links of extraordinary events to have all occurred, all at just the right time, all in just the proper order is just too mathematically impossible to have any believe but those willing to believe the most preposterous impossibility which could ever be devised... in plain english--IT IS IMPOSSIBLE--END OF STORY!

Those books on the subject, start quickly to, toss around these CHAINS of extraordinary events without the slightest considerations to the mathematical possibilities, which end up being NON-EXISTENT!

I had the fortune to have a mathematics professor who I worked with at the university, who obtained a grant and was into computing these possibilities, he WAS an atheist... and that is a true story!

In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for angels or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...

I just flat do not know what to think, it is all too impossible... perhaps the answers are out there...
<X-Files-theme-plays-in-the-background>

... or, perhaps there is a very simple explanation we just have not thought of--yet... any guess is as valid as another...

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 04:03:50 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> If I was forced to guess, a beginning without the intervention of an
>> intelligence with a plan I would venture is impossible, someone obviously
>> "made" us...
>>
>
> Are you from Kansas?
>
> Evolution is (in my mind) too fuzzy a term, because it has become popularized to
> imply a lock-step progression from "lower to higher". I subscribe to the basic
> premise ("natural selection") but I believe it to be a stochastic process in
> which some randomly scattered "lucky breaks" occured. For a good read, go to a
> good university library and check out "The Blind Watchmaker" by Richard Dawkins
> (Oxford) and "The Mind of God" by Paul Davies (University of Adelaide).
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3213. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 05:12:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cJbQe.1306$4P5.696@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117564

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for angels
> or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from
> a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...

The only thing that I can think of which is more impossible to believe than "mud became man" is angels that just "were".

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3214. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 05:18:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.05.18.13.452430@gmail.com>
Source record: 117565

Len:

ahhhhh....

I like to build antennas... I like to experiment with them...

But, I am a software engineer, not a hardware engineer (some of the
math interests me) and frankly, anyone who will pay attention to my
rants about the either consider me a loon <frown>... something has to seem
like "magic" to me--or I will lose faith altogether! <grin>

I tend to look at the whole antenna as a "tunable balun" which interfaces
the signal from the transmitter to the ether--the ether being a
near-superconductor, or at the very least--a "superior conductor."

I am still stuck on just studying, devising new feeds for, and generally
playing with the 1/2 vertical on 10 meters, nice size to work with,
some local amateurs on the freqs there, etc... and the lack of need of a
counterpoise (virtually) makes the 1/2 wave interesting and fun... I have
built dozens of them and given quite a few away... my "coaxial match" is
my most exciting development to date, simple, stable, almost bullet proof
and an excellent performer! I am waiting for the next revelation as I
type here, and type here, and type here, and type here, and type here...

<deep-in-thought-and-highly-intellectual-look-on-the-face-to-fool-'em!>

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 21:59:15 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 27, 4:52 pm
>
>>Len:
>>
>>Unfortunately, the only things more dead than CW is the brain dead
>>amateurs too dumb to stop sounding ignorant, I mean, before they opened
>>their mouths (or fingers on the keyboard) we only wondered, now we know,
>>having been shown time and time again... <frown>
>
> Well, so be it, I'm saddened to see. I'll just try to inform
> these poor souls (or pour souls in some, they obviously pouring
> something before writing) what military radio IS, not what they
> imagine it to be.
>
> Ackshully, FM 24-18 is a good tutorial for a beginner. In re-
> checking the link given, there's a download-the-whole-thing link
> at the bottom but the file is roughly 10 MB in size. Takes a few

> minutes to get. [glad I already had it on a CD) It has an
> objective comparison of wire antenna gains in various
> terrain/environment, untainted by advertising claims and
> myths of some amateur users.
>
> FM 24-24 is available from the Army Training and Doctrine Command
> Digital Library. It is a veritable catalog of land force radios
> and communications devices as of 1994. Public distribution. I've
> given the link to it before in here. The ATDLS website has
> changed slightly so those precise links I gave before won't get
> there, but anyone can do so from the 'web, through their home
> page. Some of the equipment shown has gone obsolete in the past
> 9 years, or it is in storage in a depot "just in case" or whatever.
>
> The ITT 'web page has more informative technical material on the
> SINCGARS family of radios. Aerospace and Ground Division at
> Fort Wayne, Indiana, at the old Magnavox plant. Harris Corporation
> has some more plus future things they are trying to get contracts
> on, forgotten division name for the moment (somebody will pipe up
> with the correct name in triumph and imagined glory). Harris
> has already sold some SINCGARS-compatible work-alikes to the UK
> last year.
>
> SINCGARS is interesting in that it doesn't have so many of the
> conventional controls. From day one it has a Touchscreen for
> entering frequency, for entering net properties (frequency
> hopping pattern). A little OS built into the internal micro-
> processor. When commanding it to frequency/net operation, one
> enters a "hopset" (colloquial) which is a rather large data
> group with its own authenticators from a separate piece of
> equipment to be used at local Net central. Internal power
> demand at idle (such as in transport or listening only) is so low
> that it all the entered data is retained until the LiON battery
> is replaced. Internal time/frequency accuracy is phenomenal over
> the full military environmental range. Newer models (the
> SINCGARS Improvement Plan or SIP versions) will allow the "Plugger"
> (AN/PSN-11) GPS receiver to connect to it to synchronize the
> internal time/frequency to the GPS. The "Plugger" (military
> refined nickname in place of what GIs have called it - the PSN)
> saw its first field operational duty in the First Gulf War. A
> very few PRC-119s were tried then, but not many fielded in 1990
> since the first ones went to Army forces in Korea. The frequency
> hopping rate is 10 per second, damn hard to get a handle on in
> the field for either DF or interception. With digitized voice
> or data, SIP versions have built-in crypto (selectable) while
> the older versions needed external COMSEC keyers. It is also
> "QRP"-like in that there's a three-position front panel switch
> to select RF power output; DX it ain't but that isn't needed in
> small-unit ops. The vehicular model with larger PA can push out
> some RF for (easily) up to 200 miles. It ain't yer daddie's

> old backpack raddio and it beats the old (but still neat)
> AN/PRC-10 I once wore on weekly sojer training sessions in the
> 1950s. The Harris AN/PRC-150 covering HF through UHF is
> compatible with some more bells and whistles in it, all in
> manpack size and weight.
>
> The AN/PRC-104 IHFR (Improved High Frequency Radio) family debuted
> in 1986 out of Hughes Aircraft Co. Ground Division. For those
> missions where HF is thought to be better, it can do so nicely,
> even the manpack version having an automatic antenna tuner (using
> latching relays to hold the L and C selections for the internal L
> network). Little microprocessor in that, too, also controlling
> the frequency synthesizer permitting good SSB performance. COMSEC
> is external with that model but they handle all the voice/data
> crypto formats. Early PRC-104s had a KY-114 knee key (why, I don't
> know) which was left out of later models.
>
> Back in World War 2 times, someone at the Pentagon thought it a
> fine idea to improve the horse cavalry radio...a lighter and
> better version than the 1930s model they did have but needed to
> be set up and operated while the troop was stopped. The answer
> was in the BC-511, the infamous "guidon radio" (set was IN the
> combination guidon-bottom with top mount whip antenna, carried
> like the old horse cavalry guidon pennant). That was thunk up
> around 1942. However, at the same time HORSE cavalry was
> disbanded in the U.S. Army! Motorola in Chicago made a bunch
> of them. Neat little sets, AM and on low HF, crystal controlled.
> So, a whole bunch of horse cavalry radios being made with no
> horse cavalry to use it! Stagnated old-soldier thinking in DC.
> Infantry got some of them, GIs calling it the "pogo stick,"
> terribly clumsy to use on foot. Some new-soldier thinking got
> vehicle adapters for them but those pogo-sticks went surplus
> storage when the BC-1000 Walkie-Talkies were built (also by
> Motorola in Chicago, also beginning in 1943). The SCR-300 (using
> BC-1000 R/T) was FM voice-only on low VHF. It weighed the same
> as the cavalry pogo-stick but was in backpack form and much more
> mobile on foot, worked far better in the field as a radio.
>
> Some of the "old radio ops" just can't give up morsemanship. It
> must be part of their religion or whatever. Like the never-quit
> horse cavalryman of long ago, their beliefs insist that "CW" or
> on-off keying of a carrier is somehow "necessary" for today.
> They can't be budged from that in "the service." :-)
>
> It's like 60+ years ago, the cavalrymen insisting that all "good
> soldiers" had to know how to ride a horse...even when the horses
> were put out to pasture, glue, or pet food. So it is when all
> other radio services have abandoned morse code for communications
> purposes, U.S. amateur radio morsemen INSIST that morsemanship
> MUST be in the amateur license test. Horsesnit.

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3215. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 05:29:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.05.29.23.930490@gmail.com>
Source record: 117566

Len:

Just answer me one thing. Is it just me who sees "them" constructing control-freak rules which work at 180 degrees opposite anything conductive to experimentation and research in new protocols, equip, methods, etc?

I mean these rules are beginning to look a bit like a religious cults', and deal with the proper form, how to conduct yourself, the status quo, the "amateur class system", proper worship of "Radio Gods", belief systems, etc....

If so, I might try a few of those lotus-blossoms myself! This chit gets a bit old fast!

John

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 21:55:12 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 27, 7:08 pm
>
>>On 27 Aug 2005 15:30:42 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>><1125181842.094759.291...@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>?:
>
>
>><snip>
>>
>>> Dave is a Pro-Code-Test Advocate. Dudly is more-or-less a PCTA.
>>> Dave wants to fiercely attack ANY No-Code-Test Advocate (NCTA).
>>
>>> Frank, you've come out as an NCTA and thus are on Dave's shitlist.
>>
>>Then Dave is barking up the wrong tree. I'm not a ham but I do see the
>>value of keeping the code as a requirement.
>
> Okay, I stand corrected. No problem to me.
>
> However, under the ROE (Rules of Engagement) in here, if you
> agree with me in the slightest on anything, that puts you in
> "aligned with me" and in Dave's shitlist. :-)
>
>> Not only is it one of the
>>most efficient and universal forms of radio communication, learning
>>the skill demonstrates both a willingness and dedication to the hobby
>>and it's history. Besides, 5wpm isn't so hard that it leads to chronic
>>insomnia or constipation, but some of these no-coders whine about as

>>much as Dudly does when he's asked for proof of his military service!
>>Code isn't that big of a deal. Learn it, pass the test, then either
>>use it or don't use it but at least you'll have a skill you didn't
>>have before. IMO.
>
> Opinion noted. I have a surfeit of acquired skills already,
> don't need any old ones. :-)
>
> I don't need to demonstrate how to hand-crank-start a car to
> the state motor vehicle department. I've done that anyway.
>
> I don't need to learn musketry skills, of hand-loading a lead
> ball, to shoot well. I've shot well with modern firearms. No
> personal firearms license in my locality requires demonstration
> of shooting skills.
>
> I don't need to "sit" a horse in order to convey myself a large
> distance. I've never done that nor do I expect to. All the
> "horsepower" I need is in our new Chevy. The state motor
> vehicle department does not recognize horsemanship.
>
> I don't need to learn blacksmithing in order to shape iron or
> most other metals. I've already shaped metal to what I want
> and none of it was for horseshoes. :-)
>
> I don't need to learn to grow all my food, either in ground
> or that walking upon it. Food markets serve me and wife well.
> I've learned enough to survive on the land in emergencies and
> that is, in my opinion, sufficient.
>
> Since 1952 I've learned old-fashioned vacuum tube radio
> communications techniques and never had to demonstrate any
> morsemanship nor to use it in any transmission mode then...or
> afterwards. That afterwards included transmitting on many
> more parts of the EM spectrum than is allowed to U.S. radio
> amateurs.
>
> One thing I have learned in the last half century is that our
> government CAN and DOES accept cogent arguments on changing
> existing regulations to better suit all citizens. At the
> same time I also learned that there is a large body of citizenry
> that absolutely forbids any thought of changing "their" beloved
> standards and practices in legislated law! :-)
>
>
>>> Dave thinks you've "sided" with me. I'm on Dave's shitlist from
>>> years ago. :-)
>>
>>Well, that's between you and Dave. Apparently he can't make that
>>distinction.

>
> He sure can't. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3216. Re: Sumery of Stvie missteps 22aug2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 06:20:52 UTC
From: Radio Active <kc7flr@arrl.INVALID.net>
Message-ID: <S86dnRJPtbtZyozeRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 117567

an old friend wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
>> > > > > >
>> > all ture
>>
>> "true"
>>
>> You're right...It's true that everything you wrote was one lie
>> after another...
>
> all my statement were true
>
>>
>> That was the first step, Markie.
>> >
>> > massive pruming
>>
>> "pruning"
>>
>> Reinstated becasue you made a LOT of allegations that you left
>> UNFOUNDED.
>
> not a one
> so recutting
>
>
> Stvie has been caught in number of thing recently not that he is man
> enough to admit most of it

I take it English is a second or third language for you.

# 3217. Re: Sumery of Stvie missteps 22aug2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 07:04:00 UTC
From: Mogen David <JustDoIt@anon>
Message-ID: <dernik$drm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117568

"Radio Active" <kc7flr@arrl.INVALID.net> wrote in message
news:S86dnRJPtbtZyozeRVn-tg@comcast.com...
an old friend wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > > on 22 aug stevie did number of things
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Nope. One Mark Morgan lie after another.
>> > > > > >
>> > all ture
>>
>> "true"
>>
>> You're right...It's true that everything you wrote was one lie
>> after another...
>
> all my statement were true
>
>>
>> That was the first step, Markie.
>> >
>> > massive pruming
>>
>> "pruning"
>>
>> Reinstated becasue you made a LOT of allegations that you left
>> UNFOUNDED.
>
> not a one
> so recutting
>
>
> Stvie has been caught in number of thing recently not that he is man
> enough to admit most of it

I take it English is a second or third language for you.

Aw, come on. Give the poor guy a break. His lack of proper education is
probably the result of the New Liberalism so prevalent in our public schools

today. It is, after all, what he meant to say, not how he said it.
As these things go today, it is not his fault that he is only semi literate.
There is always someone else to blame.

# 3218. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 09:55:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ddr2h15lm9rm0as6toqb9pva5ba4nhn1go@4ax.com>
Source record: 117569

On 27 Aug 2005 21:55:12 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1125204912.345723.182810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Aug 27, 7:08 pm
>
>>On 27 Aug 2005 15:30:42 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>><1125181842.094759.291...@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>?:
>
>
>><snip>
>>
>>> Dave is a Pro-Code-Test Advocate. Dudly is more-or-less a PCTA.
>>> Dave wants to fiercely attack ANY No-Code-Test Advocate (NCTA).
>>
>>> Frank, you've come out as an NCTA and thus are on Dave's shitlist.
>>
>>Then Dave is barking up the wrong tree. I'm not a ham but I do see the
>>value of keeping the code as a requirement.
>
> Okay, I stand corrected. No problem to me.
>
> However, under the ROE (Rules of Engagement) in here, if you
> agree with me in the slightest on anything, that puts you in
> "aligned with me" and in Dave's shitlist. :-)

Typical partisan politics: you have to be on one side or the other, no
independent thinking allowed.

>> Not only is it one of the
>>most efficient and universal forms of radio communication, learning
>>the skill demonstrates both a willingness and dedication to the hobby
>>and it's history. Besides, 5wpm isn't so hard that it leads to chronic
>>insomnia or constipation, but some of these no-coders whine about as
>>much as Dudly does when he's asked for proof of his military service!
>>Code isn't that big of a deal. Learn it, pass the test, then either
>>use it or don't use it but at least you'll have a skill you didn't
>>have before. IMO.
>
> Opinion noted. I have a surfeit of acquired skills already,
> don't need any old ones. :-)
>
> I don't need to demonstrate how to hand-crank-start a car to
> the state motor vehicle department. I've done that anyway.

>
> I don't need to learn musketry skills......
<snip for brevity>

The difference between our opinions seems to be in our perceptions of the service. My own perception is that the ARS, while intended to be used for various reasons, is primarily a hobby. And obsolete or not, CW is still a significant part of the hobby as a whole. I think it should be dropped when the mode has been abandoned by the -hams-, not just the ITU.

You can apply this argument to your analogies for dropping the code: For example, you don't need to pass a horsemanship test for your driver's license because horses have been almost completely abandoned for traveling on the roads. On the contrary, CW is still widely used in the ARS. So in this respect I don't think it's very fair to equate horsemanship with code.

And again, since it's just a hobby, the requirement of 5wpm just isn't any big deal. I learned Morse at an age when things like that are easy to learn, but I can understand how trying to learn it at a later age might cause a little anxiety. However, there is a huge assortment of anti-anxiety drugs available these days (and plenty of doctors who hand them out like candy). No, that's not a legitimate reason to keep the code requirement, but it -is- a reason not to make such a big deal about it.

What I think -is- a big deal is the dumbing-down of the written test. Giving out the question pool to memorize before the test is a complete and utter joke. It's nothing more than a memory test, -not- a test of knowledge and skills. Who's bright idea was that, anyway?

> One thing I have learned in the last half century is that our
> government CAN and DOES accept cogent arguments on changing
> existing regulations to better suit all citizens. At the
> same time I also learned that there is a large body of citizenry
> that absolutely forbids any thought of changing "their" beloved
> standards and practices in legislated law! :-)

The requirement will eventually be dropped. That much is inevitable. The question is really about -when- it should be dropped. Either way, I still have no intentions of getting a ham license -- that is, not unless ARRL of Borg decides to assimilate the 1750m band.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 3219. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 10:43:55 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <4311950b.407019803@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 117570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 20:46:53 +0100, "..." <..@..> wrote:

>why is amateur radio not a hobby ?
>
>Definition of a hobby
>
>A spare-time recreational pursuit

Sadly, for many it's a way of life... takes over from family, kids,
work etc... hardly a hobby then!

# 3220. Re: A Weekly F.A.Q. - What Is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 11:04:26 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <_SgQe.3101$_84.2648@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,uk.radio.amateur

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:4311950b.407019803@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 20:46:53 +0100, "..." <..@..> wrote:
>
>>why is amateur radio not a hobby ?
>>
>>Definition of a hobby
>>
>>A spare-time recreational pursuit
>
> Sadly, for many it's a way of life... takes over from family, kids,
> work etc... hardly a hobby then!

That's true for every hobby or recreational sport.
There's always a minority of enthusiasts (hams, golfers,
you name it) that are extremists.

Cheers,
Bill S.

## 3221. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 11:32:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <khhQe.3104$_84.426@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117572

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125196737.835185.56030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> RST Engineering wrote:
>> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
>
> Yes, they have. Obviously they haven't actually
> listened to the parts of the ham bands where
> Morse Code is used.
>
>> Model Ts aren't dead.
>> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
>
> True enough.
>
>> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-
>> cranking Model T
>> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include
>> 3-point landings in tailwheel airplanes.
>
> That's because the percentage of autos with handcranks is very
> small. So is the percentage of taildragger aircraft.

Better example from the auto anology is manual gearboxes.
There are significant numbers of new vehicles made every
day which have manual gearboxes...but no state mandates
driver testing on a manual gearbox to be able to drive one.

> But the percentage of ham stations on HF/MF using Morse Code
> is much, much higher.

So? Nothing in the amateur rules requires anyone to every
make a CW QSO, or, for that matter any contact
using any mode at all. The issue is and always has been
the exclusive CW test in comparison to knowledge
tested for any other modes.

> However, the remaining Morse Code test is probably going away
> soon.

Just a matter of time.

>> Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for
>> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
>
> "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode

> in HF amateur radio.
>
> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
> those who chose not to build their radios?

No separate test exists for only the electronics. The written is
scored on an overall basis....not on a subject area stand-alone basis.
Add some CW questions (similar in forat to existing
questions on the phonetic alphabet) to the tests then.

>> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model Ts,
>> nor are there special runways for tailwheel airplanes.
>
> But there are special lanes on some roads for cars
> only, high-occupancy vehicles only, etc.
>
> There are sidewalks and trails on which motor vehicles
> are banned.
>
>> Why are there special segments of
>> the band for CW.
>
> The only CW-only parts of the US ham bands are 50.0-50.1 MHz and
> 144.0-144.1 MHz. All other HF "CW" subbands are shared with
> digital/data modes.

Correct.

Cheers and I see my July 06 prediction becoming more of a
possibility every day that passes now.
Bill K2UNK

## 3222. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 11:44:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125229457.482881.69540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117573

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1125196737.835185.56030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > RST Engineering wrote:
> >> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
> >
> > Yes, they have.

The anonymouse "John Smith" has claimed that repeatedly.
He's wrong, of course.

> > Obviously they haven't actually
> > listened to the parts of the ham bands where
> > Morse Code is used.
> >
> >> Model Ts aren't dead.
> >> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
> >
> > True enough.
> >
> >> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-
> >> cranking Model T
> >> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include
> >> 3-point landings in tailwheel airplanes.
> >
> > That's because the percentage of autos with handcranks is very
> > small. So is the percentage of taildragger aircraft.
>
> Better example from the auto anology is manual gearboxes.
> There are significant numbers of new vehicles made every
> day which have manual gearboxes...but no state mandates
> driver testing on a manual gearbox to be able to drive one.

Last statistics I saw were that 5% of new cars have manual
transmissions. The other 95% sold today are automatics.

Morse Code accounts for a lot more than 5% of amateur radio
HF/MF operation.

> > But the percentage of ham stations on HF/MF using Morse Code
> > is much, much higher.
>
> So? Nothing in the amateur rules requires anyone to every
> make a CW QSO, or, for that matter any contact
> using any mode at all.

Exactly. Yet there are all sorts of test questions on things no ham is
required to do.

Why?

> The issue is and always has been
> the exclusive CW test in comparison to knowledge
> tested for any other modes.

Without knowledge of those other modes, you can't get a
license, even if all you want to do is to use Morse Code.

> > However, the remaining Morse Code test is probably going away
> > soon.
>
> Just a matter of time.

Probably.

> >> Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for
> >> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
> >
> > "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
> > in HF amateur radio.
> >
> > Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
> > those who chose not to build their radios?
>
> No separate test exists for only the electronics.

Nope - but try to pass the exam without electronics knowledge.

> The written is
> scored on an overall basis....not on a subject area stand-alone > basis.
> Add some CW questions (similar in forat to existing
> questions on the phonetic alphabet) to the tests then.

What Canada has done solves that problem.

> >> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model
> >> Ts,
> >> nor are there special runways for tailwheel airplanes.
> >
> > But there are special lanes on some roads for cars
> > only, high-occupancy vehicles only, etc.
> >
> > There are sidewalks and trails on which motor vehicles
> > are banned.
> >
> >> Why are there special segments of
> >> the band for CW.
> >
> > The only CW-only parts of the US ham bands are 50.0-50.1 MHz > > and
> > 144.0-144.1 MHz. All other HF "CW" subbands are shared with

> > digital/data modes.
>
> Correct.
>
> Cheers and I see my July 06 prediction becoming more of a
> possibility every day that passes now.

Let's see...comments close sometime this fall...FCC takes six months to produce the R&O, coming out in early spring 2006...effective early summer 2006.

You may be the winnah!

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3223. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 12:34:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <YaiQe.3435$FW1.3344@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117574

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125229457.482881.69540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1125196737.835185.56030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > RST Engineering wrote:
>> >> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
>> >
>> > Yes, they have.
>
> The anonymouse "John Smith" has claimed that repeatedly.
> He's wrong, of course.
>
>> > Obviously they haven't actually
>> > listened to the parts of the ham bands where
>> > Morse Code is used.
>> >
>> >> Model Ts aren't dead.
>> >> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
>> >
>> > True enough.
>> >
>> >> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-
>> >> cranking Model T
>> >> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include
>> >> 3-point landings in tailwheel airplanes.
>> >
>> > That's because the percentage of autos with handcranks is very
>> > small. So is the percentage of taildragger aircraft.
>>
>> Better example from the auto anology is manual gearboxes.
>> There are significant numbers of new vehicles made every
>> day which have manual gearboxes...but no state mandates
>> driver testing on a manual gearbox to be able to drive one.
>
> Last statistics I saw were that 5% of new cars have manual
> transmissions. The other 95% sold today are automatics.

Agreed, but in terms of total vehicles sold new each year
in the US, that is several hundred thousand vehicles
with stick shifts every year.

> Morse Code accounts for a lot more than 5% of amateur radio
> HF/MF operation.

The point still reverts to the exclusivity (i.e. stand-alone)
testing for one mode and one mode only. No other mode, or
subject area is so tested for an amateur license.

>> > But the percentage of ham stations on HF/MF using Morse Code
>> > is much, much higher.
>>
>> So? Nothing in the amateur rules requires anyone to every
>> make a CW QSO, or, for that matter any contact
>> using any mode at all.
>
> Exactly. Yet there are all sorts of test questions on things no ham is
> required to do.
>
> Why?

Read again the following:
The point still reverts to the exclusivity (i.e. stand-alone)
testing for one mode and one mode only. No other mode, or
subject area is so tested for an amateur license.

>> The issue is and always has been
>> the exclusive CW test in comparison to knowledge
>> tested for any other modes.
>
> Without knowledge of those other modes, you can't get a
> license, even if all you want to do is to use Morse Code.

Wrong....you can ignore or not learn about several specific
subject areas...one or more modes of operation, etc. and
still get a passing test grade.

>> > However, the remaining Morse Code test is probably
>> > going away soon.
>>
>> Just a matter of time.
>
> Probably.
>
>> >> Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for
>> >> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
>> >
>> > "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
>> > in HF amateur radio.
>> >
>> > Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
>> > those who chose not to build their radios?
>>
>> No separate test exists for only the electronics.
>
> Nope - but try to pass the exam without electronics knowledge.

It still isn't a separate exclusive test. If you get all
the other stuff (rules, regs, etc) 100%, you can miss
a greater percentage of electronic questions then
if it was a separate subject area test.

>> The written is
>> scored on an overall basis....not on a subject area stand-alone > basis.
>> Add some CW questions (similar in format to existing
>> questions on the phonetic alphabet) to the tests then.
>
> What Canada has done solves that problem.

Works for me.

>> >> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model
>> >> Ts,
>> >> nor are there special runways for tailwheel airplanes.
>> >
>> > But there are special lanes on some roads for cars
>> > only, high-occupancy vehicles only, etc.
>> >
>> > There are sidewalks and trails on which motor vehicles
>> > are banned.
>> >
>> >> Why are there special segments of
>> >> the band for CW.
>> >
>> > The only CW-only parts of the US ham bands are 50.0-50.1 MHz > > and
>> > 144.0-144.1 MHz. All other HF "CW" subbands are shared with
>> > digital/data modes.
>>
>> Correct.
>>
>> Cheers and I see my July 06 prediction becoming more of a
>> possibility every day that passes now.
>
> Let's see...comments close sometime this fall...FCC takes six months to
> produce the R&O, coming out in early spring 2006...effective early
> summer 2006.
>
> You may be the winnah!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 3224. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 12:54:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125233686.756372.266140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117575

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:23:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <UOZPe.2188$Wd7.563@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

> >Okay. Maybe you're a slow learner or perhaps you just have no sense of
> >remorse. You told us that you weren't a model Marine.
>
> Feel free to speculate all you want about my service.

It's not speculation...You were incompetent.

> >> But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
> >> that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
> >> something I am most definitely proud to admit.
> >
> >So, the truth is, according to you, that Steve isn't a Marine or that
> >he's lying. That's an assumption made by you and you've offered no
> >proof, just accusations.
>
> You haven't been paying attention, Dave. I tossed out several tidbits
> of info that he would know if his claim was true, but he tripped up
> and proved that he knew -nothing- of what I was talking about.

Bull. Just plain bull.

> >> Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
> >> when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
> >> butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
> >> as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?
> >
> >I challenge you to expose me as a "military imposter", Frank. Make it
> >your life's work. As far as your last comment: read up on how usenet
> >works. After all, how did Steve's business become *your business*?
>
> I see you missed that part, too. I was in here on a totally different
> issue when someone mentioned that Dudly was a Marine. So I asked him
> when he served and what units he was with -- a really simple question.
> Heck, it's not like I was asking him his SSN or something. But that's
> the way he acted. Up went the red flag. Ever since then he's been
> tripping over his tongue and frothing like a rabid dog.
>
> Now that I have answered -your- question, just why are you defending
> him with such passion?

So far you've "proved" nothing except that YOUR trivia isn't
necessarilly someone elses, and even then you've so grossly discredited

yourself as to make ANY argument lost.

Loser. Hoser. Poser.

That's Frank of Silliland.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3225. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 13:00:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125234041.350872.214130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117576

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:25:35 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <pan.2005.08.27.20.25.33.648755@gmail.com>:
>
> >Frank:
> >
> >When you are all done, won't what he said which is true still be true, and
> >what he said which is false still be false...
> >
> >Or, are you making a case that you can now claim the truth, if he stated
> >it, is now false?
> >
> >If so, I must admit, I don't understand how that would be any where near
> >accurate...

> People lie. It's that simple.

Yes, Frankie...You do..

> So have you had problems with the alien interfering with your CB
> moon-bounce lately?

Have you had trouble looking yourself in the mirror to shave
knowing the United States Marine Corps busted you and sent you home as
incompetent and unworthy of wearing the uniform...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 3226. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 13:03:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125234228.120776.97670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> I didn't support Homsexuality, never.

Todd's like that mayor on the Left Coast that was so "anti-gay" in
his public life, but was scoring the young guys left-and-right...

He doesn't "support" it...Just PARTICIPATES in it...

Steve, K4YZ

# 3227. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 13:13:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125234798.216826.150050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117578

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:34:14 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
> wrote in <5budnZ2dnZ2iILmAnZ2dnUG8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> >> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
> >> anything I did in the Marines,
> >
> >But the Marines probably do.
>
>
> What's this..... tag-team flame wars?

Well, Sparky, you're the one who lit this one.

I've given references to PUBLIC sources to verify my service, yet
you continue ot try and diminish my serivce.

HOWEVER, for what ever pea-brained reason you thought it pertinent
to do so, you have PROVEN to us that YOU were incapable of honoring
your contract with the Marines.

Sucks to be you, non-rate.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3228. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 14:00:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <psjQe.2699$Wd7.1380@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117579

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Morse Code accounts for a lot more than 5% of amateur radio
> HF/MF operation.
>

That's obvious-to-the-most-casual-observer-with-a-receiver correct. It's the favorite mode of a lot of hams (including you and me), and that fact isn't likely to change.

It's also an inherently self-testing mode. In other words, if I'm on the air using Morse code, then obvious-to-the-most-casual-observer, I've taken the time/effort to learn it. The need for a government test is obviated by this simple observation.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
E=IR. It's not just a good idea; it's the LAW!

# 3229. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 14:10:10 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Little faggot "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> had a hissy fit:
>
> well dickhead, for your information I'm not queer or gay, but i
> consider what you said slander!

Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.

# 3230. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 14:58:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125241108.225624.70690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117581

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Aug 2005 16:01:13 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1125181198.913481.227990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> The rank of PFC and the less-than-four year tour lends one to
> >> believe that the reason he didn't publish the other 3/4ths of his -214
> >> was that somewhere on that form it said "LESS THAN HONORABLE", or one
> >> of those categories of discharge.
> >
> >And your DD-214?
>
>
> That is something you will never see.

Just in case I do, I want to be prepared. Wonder what the code is for
a section 8 discharge?

## 3231. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:02:45 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11h3kh6prgu0b81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 117582

No, according to G. S. Ohm, R=E/I. The other two forms are merely algebraic manipulations.

{;-)

Jim

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:psjQe.2699$Wd7.1380@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> --
> E=IR. It's not just a good idea; it's the LAW!

## 3232. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:04:15 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11h3kk0gar6d3b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 117583

That is a rather recent addition to part 61. I've got about 3000 hours in taildraggers and not a checkout in the logbook.

Jim

"Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:5budnZ2dnZ2jILmAnZ2dnem8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> RST Engineering wrote:
>> nor does the airplane certificate test include 3-point landings in
>> tailwheel airplanes.
>
> But you will have to get checked out on a tail dragger before you can fly
> it.

# 3233. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:06:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125241582.059234.170810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117584

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> Just answer me one thing. Is it just me who sees "them" constructing
> control-freak rules which work at 180 degrees opposite anything conductive
> to experimentation and research in new protocols, equip, methods, etc?
>
> I mean these rules are beginning to look a bit like a religious cults',
> and deal with the proper form, how to conduct yourself, the status quo,
> the "amateur class system", proper worship of "Radio Gods", belief
> systems, etc....
>
> If so, I might try a few of those lotus-blossoms myself! This chit gets a
> bit old fast!
>
> John

John, for some here (and elsewhere), CW Morse is a Faith-Based Mode.
It transcends rationality. For example, it overcomes all propagation
problems, all equipment malfunctions, and is the world's best language
translator. Just ask them.

bb

# 3234. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:11:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125241863.047022.40480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117585

Dave Heil wrote:

> According to your recent directive, aren't you supposed to be addressing
> amateur radio policy issues?
>
> Dave K8MN

Steven J. Robeson/KY4Z (aka K4YZ) sees himself as an instrument of
Amateur Radio Policy. Len is addressing said instrument.

# 3235. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:15:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oykQe.1427$4P5.638@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117586

K&#65533;HB wrote

>> E=IR. It's not just a good idea; it's the LAW!

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote

> No, according to G. S. Ohm, R=E/I. The other two forms are merely algebraic
> manipulations.

Somebody is always trying to twist the law to suit there own agenda! Damned
lawyers!

73, de Hans, K0HB
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

# 3236. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:18:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125242332.388266.284210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117587

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >>>>>>>>>>Blue Nose card.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> >>>>>>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> >>>>>>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
> >>>>>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
> >>>>
> >>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
> >>>> and implying he was in the thick of them.
> >>>>
> >>>> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
> >>>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> >>>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> >>>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> >>>> assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
> >>>> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
> >>>> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
> >>>> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
> >>>
> >>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
> >>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
> >>>military.
> >>
> >>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
> >>many occasions.
> >
> > And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
>
> It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical

> insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> prevent Len from making those comments.
>
> Dave K8MN

The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon discrediting Len's comments and opinions. In the end, if they cannot lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s), they claim that his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham. David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as well.

# 3237. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:20:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.15.20.40.692754@gmail.com>
Source record: 117595

K0HB:

Yes, well, enigmas which run in circles, that is all there is...

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 05:12:40 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>> In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for angels
>> or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from
>> a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...
>
> The only thing that I can think of which is more impossible to believe than "mud
> became man" is angels that just "were".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3238. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:28:43 UTC
From: Jerseyj <jerseyj69@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jerseyj69-A84217.11284328082005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 117588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"he who owns the presses owns the press"...if someone starts up a moderated newsgroup or list on Yahoo, they "own the press" and can setup whatever rules they like. I don't bitch about it. If I don't like the rules I don't join the group. If I want a group with different rules, I setup one of my own. Pretty simple, actually.

# 3239. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:28:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125242939.182499.62140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117589

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sat 27 Aug 2005 02:15
>
> > Go ahead...let's see what excuse or rationalization you spin up
> >for this...
>
> Frank has presented some links for his proof. Frank's words ring
> true. YOURS are just vague generalities, no specifics, sound
> like they've been cribbed from books, movies, and TV shows.
>
> Quit trying to point fingers at others, Dudly. Remember that every
> time you point a finger at someone there are four other fingers of
> yours pointing right back at YOU.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

As noted on numerous occassions, Steve is long on demands, but very, very short on answers. When a question comes his way, he turns it into an attack, usually a personal attack. Then he wonders why everyone thinks he's nuts.

# 3240. Re: IARN AND AARA ( ---> k1man FINALLY shutdown by the FCC !!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:35:49 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <pRkQe.98355$Ep.82226@lakeread02>
Source record: 117590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

> Incorect troll. The FCC has not taken the K1MAN off the air and it
> never will. K1MAN has 11 lawyers in Washington and 4 in Maine who will
> tie the FCC up in court for years. K1MAN, IARN and AARA will win.
>

better check their database then. I do believe that the report (as cited)
can be found on the fcc newsletter section. as such, it pretty much means
that in 2 months, he's gone. no if's, and's or but's.

I begin to wonder though? where does he have all the money for the lawyers
when he can't even deal with an fcc fine?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

# 3241. Re: Leopard changes his spots?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:38:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125243514.095252.130540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117591

KØHB wrote:
> "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
> news:ML2cnYRCNuUOJY3eUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> >
> > Hans,
> >
> > Please accept my public apology for my insult to you (a number of years past).
> >
> >>
> >> Perhaps a leopard can change his spots.
> >
> > I have changed my view of the ARRL ... in fact, I became a Life Member.
> >
> > If you read my Candidate Statement (a 2 page .pdf) which is available via a
> > link from my website at http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c,
> > you'll see that I've come to believe that a strong and inclusive ARRL is
> > important to the future of ham radio.
> >
> > I even have developed good relations with Dave Sumner, Ed Hare, and some of
> > the other staffers and personally consider them to be a friends.
> >
> > Yes, my views towards the ARRL have changed *considerably* over the past
> > years.
> >
> > 73,
> > Carl - wk3c
>
> Thanks Carl. And thanks for the pleasant phone call this afternoon. Wish we
> could have talked longer, but we had just arrived at the MN State Fair, and
> needed the phone to coordinate arrival of two or three parties who were joining
> us there.
>
> I wish you success in your quest for a seat on the ARRL BoD, as whatever
> differences we've had in the past, we both passionately agree on the need to
> refurbish the tinkerer/experimenter/technical nature of our Amateur Radio
> Service. ARRL needs to lead that effort.
>
> Good luck es 73,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Fumes of Solder

I've got to get bifocals before I try to tinker with electronics
anymore. But that's my problem. I do agree that tinkering is

something that most amateurs can get behind, isn't controversial, and might close the abyss created by the Code Wars. What will the ARRL do with such an idea? That's anyones guess. bb

# 3242. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:43:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.15.43.40.967854@gmail.com>
Source record: 117594

HHAE:

There you have it. One of the simplest, most ridiculous things I have
ever seen, if you don't like the message--attack the messenger...

... and he never seems to tire of the same simple game!

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 08:28:59 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese wrote:

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Sat 27 Aug 2005 02:15
>>
>> > Go ahead...let's see what excuse or rationalization you spin up
>> >for this...
>>
>> Frank has presented some links for his proof. Frank's words ring
>> true. YOURS are just vague generalities, no specifics, sound
>> like they've been cribbed from books, movies, and TV shows.
>>
>> Quit trying to point fingers at others, Dudly. Remember that every
>> time you point a finger at someone there are four other fingers of
>> yours pointing right back at YOU.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> As noted on numerous occassions, Steve is long on demands, but very,
> very short on answers. When a question comes his way, he turns it into
> an attack, usually a personal attack. Then he wonders why everyone
> thinks he's nuts.

## 3243. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 15:46:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125243988.699672.187010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117592

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 26, 6:33 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 5:47 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >> >> >> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
> >
> >> >> >> "Stevie"
> >
> >> >> >If you insist. Stevie it is.
> >
> >> >> I dunno...in a couple of past postings, he signed "Stebe."
> >
> >> >> He has also signed "Seve."
> >
> >> >I thought those were just anger management issues.
> >
> >> He desperately needs new management!
> >
> >>After he gets new management, he needs a PR firm to try to change the
> >>way we perceive him.
>
> A challenge to the best of PR professionals!

I'll bet they pass and send him to a Psy professional.

> >> >> I call him "Dudly" now. As in "Dudly-don't-do-right." :-)
> >
> >> >> Temper fly.
> >
> >> >Dud. As in UXO.
> >
> >> That too! :-)
> >
> >> Except...that ordnance isn't exactly "unexploded"...it goes off in
> >> here all over the place! Oy, such a noise, gives a head ache...!
> >
> >>Figuratively. He's a ticking time bomb.
>
> As you've said before, any time now that vein gonna go POP and it's
> bye-bye Dudly.

Hope he's at least on medication for his bulging vein, if not his other issues.

> Until then, we are faced with a deluge of Dudly's personal efforts
> to "justify" his military claims...

I wish. The only evidence of military service is the photo of him wearing a Civil Air Patrol flight suit.

I once saw a photo of Cecil in a cowboy getup. That means Cecil was a cowboy, right?

Anyway, he's shot his mouth off about seven hostile actions...

> and to denigrate all those who
> challenge his massive snow job. That's NOT what this newsgroup is
> about but he ignores that in efforts to promote himself.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Steve is an instrument of Amateur Radio Policy. His purpose is to lay waste to the persons (not their opinions) disagreeing with the Hiram Hams.

# 3244. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:04:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aglQe.1447$4P5.172@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117593

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>>>>>>>>>>Blue Nose card.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
>>>>>>>>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
>>>>>>>>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
>>>>>>>>>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
>>>>>>> and implying he was in the thick of them.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
>>>>>>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>>>>>>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>>>>>>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>>>>>>> assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
>>>>>>> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
>>>>>>> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
>>>>>>> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
>>>>>
>>>>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio

>>>>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
>>>>>military.
>>>>
>>>>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
>>>>many occasions.
>>>
>>>And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
>>
>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>prevent Len from making those comments.

> The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> discrediting Len's comments and opinions.

Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.

> In the end, if they cannot
> lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...

I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
one who has little experience.

> ...they claim that
> his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.

Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
deliberately fabricates.

> David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
> well.

Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
participated over a period of years. Len isn't involved in amateur
radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done
those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
or ridiculing him or his ideas. Len writes of being denigrated or
insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.

He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
policy, then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.

You, of course, are Len's little electrolytic acolyte.

Dave K8MN

# 3245. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248017.483850.292060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3246. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248017.522463.212330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3247. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248017.686012.293510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3248. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248017.881973.11940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3249. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248018.060177.79500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3250. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248018.313279.292160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3251. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248018.473409.118060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3252. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248018.472712.79520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3253. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248018.764756.212450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3254. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 16:53:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125248018.749308.12100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 3255. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:16:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125249413.710857.99340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117606

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1125229457.482881.69540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1125196737.835185.56030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > RST Engineering wrote:
> >> >> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
> >> >
> >> > Yes, they have.
> > >
> > > The anonymouse "John Smith" has claimed that repeatedly.
> > > He's wrong, of course.
> > >
> >> > Obviously they haven't actually
> >> > listened to the parts of the ham bands where
> >> > Morse Code is used.
> >> >
> >> >> Model Ts aren't dead.
> >> >> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
> >> >
> >> > True enough.
> >> >
> >> >> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-
> >> >> cranking Model T
> >> >> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include
> >> >> 3-point landings in tailwheel airplanes.
> >> >
> >> > That's because the percentage of autos with handcranks is very
> >> > small. So is the percentage of taildragger aircraft.
> >>
> >> Better example from the auto anology is manual gearboxes.
> >> There are significant numbers of new vehicles made every
> >> day which have manual gearboxes...but no state mandates
> >> driver testing on a manual gearbox to be able to drive one.
> > >
> > > Last statistics I saw were that 5% of new cars have manual
> > > transmissions. The other 95% sold today are automatics.
> >
> > Agreed, but in terms of total vehicles sold new each year
> > in the US, that is several hundred thousand vehicles
> > with stick shifts every year.

Out of total sales in the millions. A tiny niche market. In fact,
almost all cars can be ordered with an automatic transmission, but many
*cannot* be ordered with a manual transmission.

> > Morse Code accounts for a lot more than 5% of amateur radio
> > HF/MF operation.
>
> The point still reverts to the exclusivity (i.e. stand-alone)
> testing for one mode and one mode only. No other mode, or
> subject area is so tested for an amateur license.

Sure - because no other popular mode requires skills the
average person does not already posess.

How many hams would have to learn to talk in order to use voice
modes? How many would have to learn to read and type to use keyboard
modes?

What's different about Morse Code is that most new hams today have to
learn it just for amateur radio. And that, IMHO, is what bugs some
folks so much.

> >> > But the percentage of ham stations on HF/MF using Morse
> >> > Code is much, much higher.
> >>
> >> So? Nothing in the amateur rules requires anyone to every
> >> make a CW QSO, or, for that matter any contact
> >> using any mode at all.
> >
> > Exactly. Yet there are all sorts of test questions on things no ham is
> > required to do.
> >
> > Why?
>
> Read again the following:
> The point still reverts to the exclusivity (i.e. stand-alone)
> testing for one mode and one mode only. No other mode, or
> subject area is so tested for an amateur license.
>
> >> The issue is and always has been
> >> the exclusive CW test in comparison to knowledge
> >> tested for any other modes.
> >
> > Without knowledge of those other modes, you can't get a
> > license, even if all you want to do is to use Morse Code.
>
> Wrong....you can ignore or not learn about several specific
> subject areas...one or more modes of operation, etc. and
> still get a passing test grade.

That depends entirely on what you consider a "subject area". If you
define "subject area" as "questions about SSB voice", one could
probably get all the questions about SSB voice wrong and still pass -

*if* almost all of the others were answered correctly.

But if you define "subject area" as "questions about voice modes", it's doubtful that one could get all the questions about voice modes wrong and still pass - even if almost all of the others were answered correctly.

> >> > However, the remaining Morse Code test is probably
> >> > going away soon.
> >>
> >> Just a matter of time.
> >
> > Probably.
> >
> >> >> Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for
> >> >> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
> >> >
> >> > "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
> >> > in HF amateur radio.
> >> >
> >> > Why are there written exams with questions on electronics > >> > for
> >> > those who chose not to build their radios?
> >>
> >> No separate test exists for only the electronics.
> >
> > Nope - but try to pass the exam without electronics knowledge.
>
> It still isn't a separate exclusive test.

Doesn't have to be.

> If you get all
> the other stuff (rules, regs, etc) 100%, you can miss
> a greater percentage of electronic questions then
> if it was a separate subject area test.

But you can't miss all of them.

The fact of the matter is that the current written tests involve a lot of subject areas, but not in a lot of depth. Morse Code testing involves one subject area, in somewhat more depth (although at 5 wpm, "depth" becomes somewhat questionable).

It's like saying we have a manual-transmission test where the person must get the car in first gear and drive around an empty parking lot at 5 mph for one minute. And folks say that's too much to ask!

> >> The written is
> >> scored on an overall basis....not on a subject area stand-alone > basis.
> >> Add some CW questions (similar in format to existing
> >> questions on the phonetic alphabet) to the tests then.
> >
> > What Canada has done solves that problem.

>
> Works for me.
>
> >> >> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model
> >> >> Ts,
> >> >> nor are there special runways for tailwheel airplanes.
> >> >
> >> > But there are special lanes on some roads for cars
> >> > only, high-occupancy vehicles only, etc.
> >> >
> >> > There are sidewalks and trails on which motor vehicles
> >> > are banned.
> >> >
> >> >> Why are there special segments of
> >> >> the band for CW.
> >> >
> >> > The only CW-only parts of the US ham bands are 50.0-50.1 MHz > > and
> >> > 144.0-144.1 MHz. All other HF "CW" subbands are shared with
> >> > digital/data modes.
> >>
> >> Correct.

So would you support a reasonable set of Morse Code only subbands,
Bill? Say, the bottom 10-15% of each HF ham band?

> >> Cheers and I see my July 06 prediction becoming more of a
> >> possibility every day that passes now.
> >
> > Let's see...comments close sometime this fall...FCC takes six > > months to
> > produce the R&O, coming out in early spring 2006...effective > > early summer 2006.
> >
> > You may be the winnah!

The Pool is still ongoing.

>
One thing I notice about FCC R&Os for the amateur service is that
they almost never put changes into effect on the first of a month -
always midmonth or something like that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3256. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:32:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250324.332813.184590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.

prove it you lying sack of fucking shit

# 3257. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250513.454936.5190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp

# 3258. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250513.820521.120610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp
```

# 3259. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250513.323736.202530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp
```

# 3260. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250513.631762.202590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp

# 3261. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250514.098536.307080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp

# 3262. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250514.353018.307110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp

# 3263. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250514.660769.26280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp

# 3264. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:35:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250514.624214.312210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp
```

# 3265. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:47:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZMmQe.3619$z2.2873@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117616

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> 
> It's like saying we have a manual-transmission test where the
> person must get the car in first gear and drive around an empty parking
> lot at 5 mph for one minute. And folks say that's too much to ask!
> 

Since it serves no useful purpose, such a test would be ludicrous (and thus "too much to ask"). Couldn't have stated it better myself.

> 
> So would you support a reasonable set of Morse Code only subbands,
> Bill? Say, the bottom 10-15% of each HF ham band?
> 

There are no such subbands on MF/HF now. Why in heavens name would we establish them at this point?

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3266. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 17:58:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.17.58.19.303367@gmail.com>
Source record: 117617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL:

Let me explain something to you, from your posts here:

1) I consider you "One SICK BASTARD!"
2) If you lived in my neighborhood I would warn my neighbors of you.
3) I would not be comfortable having you around children.
4) I would refer you to mental health.
5) etc.
6) etc.

You get the picture?

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 10:35:14 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

>>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
> Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.
>
>
> Path:
> g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
> Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 10
> Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
> <1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
> <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
> 14:10:15 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
> In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>

> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
> posting-host=207.248.240.118;
> posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp

# 3267. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 18:01:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125250197.568877.39700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I"m not gay asshole

# 3268. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 18:09:07 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125252547.519209.217280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117619

From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 27 Aug 2005 19:44

>On 26 Aug 2005 11:58:57 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
><1125082737.144922.259930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 26 Aug 2005 06:22
>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> > From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>> > >>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>> > >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> > >>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> >
>>>> > >> If fact,
>>>> > >> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
>>>> > >> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
>>>> > >> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
>>>> > >> assigned.
>>>> > >
>>>> > >But it is true, isn't it, that you were so far to the rear that you were
>>>> > >in a different country from where the Korean conflict was taking place?
>>>> >
>>>> > Of course. If Jimmie say it true, it must be true!
>>>> >
>>>> > Japan was not DIRECTLY involved in the Korean WAR, true. The
>>>> > Occupation was over when I was assigned there. Was I supposed
>>>> > to break rules, go against the UCMJ, to go to the "front?"
>>>>
>>>> The point being that you never served in a forward area, let alone
>>>> a combat area. Matter of fact, the only thing "forward" about you is
>>>> bad manners and a propensity to deceive.
>>>
>>>The point is that a low-ranking Marine knew enough to call you out on
>>>your military service lies.
>>
>> Tsk. The stealers of valor cry foul when their stealing is stolen.
>>
>> Dudly has NO PROOF whatsoever of his "forward area" action. NONE.
>> Aircraft ground maintenance personnel are NOT in any "forward area."
>>
>> If one is NOT in a "forward area," one is in the "rear area." Such

>> as an Okinawa MARS station where Dudly claimed to be "Assistant
>> NCOIC." [wow...lots of responsibility there...in a NON-commo role
>> if he was really there...MARS was never a part of the Defense
>> Communications System]
>>
>> Dudly has never referred to any common small-unit land force radio
>> by nomenclature or familiar name. Neither has he done so for any
>> common avionics radio of the 1974-1992 period. That is unthinkable
>> for anyone who has really been IN the military involved in radio
>> communications of any kind.
>
>Not only that, but when presented with -partial- information he can't
>even fill in the blanks; i.e, VINSON, discharge upgrades, etc.

Frank, I gotta say I loved your question about "serving under
Colonel Vinson!" One of the gems of this newsgroup in my opinion!
:-)

>> Ergo, Dudly NEVER DID what he claimed.
>>
>> Dudly has presented NO PROOF of this claimed military service. He
>> has presented nothing but verbal generalities that can be gleaned
>> from publications or entertainment shows. Anyone truly proud to
>> have served will have some sort of documentation which can be
>> scanned and presented for proof. Dog tags can be scanned.
>
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dogtag.jpg

I downloaded your previous three images. Now I know what a
"bluenose" is! :-)

Please tell me what a "TT" is...it is unfamiliar to one who has
never been to the Middle East.

>> Dudly says all who challenge him on his military claims should "call
>> the VA [Veteran's Administration]." The VA will not reveal details
>> to non-familiy members and must have assurance that a requestor is
>> legitimate. The VA cannot reveal details due to a federal law that
>> is almost three decades old.
>
>OVER three decades old: The Privacy Act of 1974.

Thank you, correction noted. I seem to recall an amendment or
addition to that in 1976...but that may be my confusion with
several pieces of legislation done in 1976 such as a major
revision of the Copyright Laws and the Code of Etiquette on
The Flag. :-(

>> The same is true for NARA, the
>> National Archives and Records Administration, which has a large
>> records archive in St. Louis, MO. NARA has a website which
>> contains the form required to request details...the filled-in form

>> can be e-mailed for personal data, but must be sent surface mail
>> for full disclosure.
>>
>> The only logical conclusion is that Dudly's claims to military
>> service are a FRAUD, a fabrication, a LIE. In his case, a "rear
>> area" is what he has been giving us.
>
>Well, any proof he offers now will need to be pretty damn convincing.

If Dudly actually has any "proof" now and it is convincing, he
is then stuck with years of his insulting and demeaning behavior
in here, all nicely archived in Google. He will be NO better off
"with proof."

As is, I call him a POSEUR, a FRAUD, a SNOW JOBBER who wants others
to believe him even though he hasn't got a shred of evidence to
present for his proof.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3269. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 18:14:16 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125252856.216623.208360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117620

From: John Smith on Sat 27 Aug 2005 22:29

>Len:
>
>Just answer me one thing. Is it just me who sees "them" constructing
>control-freak rules which work at 180 degrees opposite anything conductive
>to experimentation and research in new protocols, equip, methods, etc?

Not just you, John, but thousands are realizing it. They are
speaking up on it in regards to WT Docket 05-235. They began
seeing all the wool pulled over their eyes back in the 1980s
when the no-code-test movement began in earnest among amateurs.

>I mean these rules are beginning to look a bit like a religious cults',
>and deal with the proper form, how to conduct yourself, the status quo,
>the "amateur class system", proper worship of "Radio Gods", belief
>systems, etc....

I've tried to show that years ago, only to be met with extreme
resistance by the Believers, the acolytes serving the Church of
St. Hiram, the self-styled self-propelled Heroes of the Hams.

THEY claim territorial imperative. THEY are da boyz in da hood
ready to gang-bang anyone intruding on their sacred turf and
changing it.

>If so, I might try a few of those lotus-blossoms myself! This chit gets a
>bit old fast!

Believers can't be turned off by logic or reasoning.

The only answer is prolonged deprogramming to remove the last
vestige of their brain-washing suds.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3270. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 18:16:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125252991.995949.247710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117621

From: N...@AOL.COM on Aug 27, 7:38 pm

>RST Engineering wrote:

>> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
>
>Yes, they have. Obviously they haven't actually
>listened to the parts of the ham bands where
>Morse Code is used.

"Ham bands" on the HF spectrum are small in width. Listen to the
OTHER 86 percent of HF bandspace to hear "all the morse code."
Hear any? No? Well, then, to all those other radio services,
morse code radiotelegraphy is DEAD.

>> Model Ts aren't dead.
>> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
>
>True enough.
>
>> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-
>> cranking Model T
>> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include 3-point
>> landings in tailwheel airplanes.
>
>That's because the percentage of autos with handcranks is very
>small. So is the percentage of taildragger aircraft.
>
>But the percentage of ham stations on HF/MF using Morse Code
>is much, much higher.

Quantify "much, much higher." Give us a NUMBER, not the
subjective wish-fulfillment of a radiotelegraphist lifestyler.

>However, the remaining Morse Code test is probably going away
>soon.

Then why are you persisting?

>> Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for
>> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
>
>"Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
>in HF amateur radio.

What about the other 99% of allocated amateur bandspace?

Is morse code radiotelegraphy "number two" there?

>Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
>those who chose not to build their radios?

Ask the VEC QPC. They make up the questions.

Hint: The VEC are made up of licensed radio amateurs.

Show us WHERE in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. where the FCC
specifies the minimum number of various kinds of questions
that are supposed to be on a license test.

>> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model Ts,
>> nor are there special runways for tailwheel airplanes.
>
>But there are special lanes on some roads for cars only, high-occupancy
>vehicles only, etc.
>
>There are sidewalks and trails on which motor vehicles are banned.

You are confused. Hop on a Bombardier ATV and see how one can
travel all those "banned" trails.

>> Why are there special segments of the band for CW.
>
>The only CW-only parts of the US ham bands are 50.0-50.1 MHz and
>144.0-144.1 MHz. All other HF "CW" subbands are shared with
>digital/data modes.

Thank you, Capt. Oblivious. Now tell us WHY those VHF sub-
bands are devoted to morse code radiotelegraphy?

For moonbounce? How much "EME" have you done, Jimmie?

Aren't those sub-bands a result of LOBBYING by codeaholics for
"on-air practice morse code transmissions," put there in another
effort to make all U.S. radio amateurs know and love the code?

Why else is there such EXCLISIVITY in a singular mode at VHF?

Please give us your renowned, learned experience at VHF and up...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3271. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 18:19:07 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125253147.285844.127960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117622

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:46 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 26, 6:33 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 5:47 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:

>> >> >> >> > Stvie admits slip but true to form blames others for his slip up
>
>> >> >> >> "Stevie"
>
>> >> >> >If you insist. Stevie it is.
>
>> >> >> I dunno...in a couple of past postings, he signed "Stebe."
>
>> >> >> He has also signed "Seve."
>
>> >> >I thought those were just anger management issues.
>
>> >> He desperately needs new management!
>
>> >After he gets new management, he needs a PR firm to try to change the
>> >way we perceive him.
>
>> A challenge to the best of PR professionals!
>
>I'll bet they pass and send him to a Psy professional.

We can only hope...

>> >> >> I call him "Dudly" now. As in "Dudly-don't-do-right." :-)
>
>> >> >> Temper fly.
>
>> >> >Dud. As in UXO.
>
>> >> That too! :-)
>
>> >> Except...that ordnance isn't exactly "unexploded"...it goes off in
>> >> here all over the place! Oy, such a noise, gives a head ache...!
>
>> >Figuratively. He's a ticking time bomb.

>
>> As you've said before, any time now that vein gonna go POP and it's
>> bye-bye Dudly.
>
>Hope he's at least on medication for his bulging vein, if not his other
>issues.

He prolly treats himself "as one medical professional to another!"
:-)

He ought to arrest himself for practicing medicine without a
license.

>> Until then, we are faced with a deluge of Dudly's personal efforts
>> to "justify" his military claims...
>
>I wish. The only evidence of military service is the photo of him
>wearing a Civil Air Patrol flight suit.
>
>I once saw a photo of Cecil in a cowboy getup. That means Cecil was a
>cowboy, right?

Right...in clothing from the 1800s along with his two pretty
daughters. Makes Cecil a "settler" type from over a century
past.

So far, Dudly hasn't shown us a picture of himself IN real USMC
uniform anywhere. According to his brag, he had 18 years of
Marine Corps service. In 18 years he "never" had his picture
taken in uniform? Unbelievable.

>Anyway, he's shot his mouth off about seven hostile actions...

Dudly has NEVER told us the WHERE or WHEN of his participation IN
those "seven hostile actions." Ergo, he was never IN them.

>> and to denigrate all those who
>> challenge his massive snow job. That's NOT what this newsgroup is
>> about but he ignores that in efforts to promote himself.
>
>Steve is an instrument of Amateur Radio Policy.

His "instrument" is out of tune. He can't get it up enough to
play it (or with it). FALSE NOTES come out of it.

> His purpose is to lay
>waste to the persons (not their opinions) disagreeing with the Hiram
>Hams.

He is our albatross to be worn around our necks...sort of "the
rhyme of the ancient marine-er." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3272. Re: Why does Toadie N9OGL look like one of the "Proclaimers?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 18:22:11 UTC
From: toad in a hole <vinnietheretard@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <75c2b$431200da$d1cc4ee7$14423@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117623

"Fuck off, Toadie" <fu@fu.net> wrote in message
news:K7SP481D38592.3175925926@anonymous.poster...
> Toadie:

"This account has either been suspended or
is inactive. To restore an inactive account,
simply login, or contact the customer service department...."

Baaaaaaaaaa hahahahhahahhahahahahahaa!

## 3273. Re: Why does Toadie N9OGL look like one of the "Proclaimers?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 19:17:29 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125256649.472386.275580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117624

toad in a hole wrote:
> "Fuck off, Toadie" <fu@fu.net> wrote in message
> news:K7SP481D38592.3175925926@anonymous.poster...
> > Toadie:
>
> "This account has either been suspended or
> is inactive. To restore an inactive account,
> simply login, or contact the customer service department...."
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaa hahahahhahahhahahahahahaa!

Wrong, stupid, I just clicked the link and it displays fine.

# 3274. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 19:32:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125257559.533932.38280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117625

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>> >>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> >>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
>> >>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
>> >>>military.
>
>> >>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
>> >>many occasions.

[...and I will continue to do so, regardless of control freaks
who attempt to silence those against them...]

>> > And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
>
>> It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>> insulting demeanor.

Oh, my! "typical insulting demeanor?" Davie is above such
things? Not by all the archives in Google! :-)

> What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>> prevent Len from making those comments.

Right now, there's not a damn thing Jimmie can do about it...

>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>discrediting Len's comments and opinions. In the end, if they cannot
>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s), they claim that
>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham. David
>Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>well.

The PCTA have been bound and determined to keep morse code
purity in U.S. amateur radio IN ANY WAY THEY CAN...since back
in the 1990s and led by Jim Kehler, KH2D, an avowed PCTA.
[sorry, Brian, I know you like Kehler, but he was NOT kind,
gracious, or anything else civil to me...as old archives show]

Heil's "argument" is that NO ONE UNLICENSED CAN POSSIBLY TALK ANYTHING ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. That is patently FALSE. The FCC does not require any staff or Commissioners to hold amateur radio license grants in order to REGULATE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio. There is NO SUCH PROVISO forbidding U.S. citizens from discussing ANY federal regulations or laws.

Heil says one MUST have a license, be a "participant" in amateur radio in order to speak about it in any way. That is still FALSE. Such would forbid newcomers from doing anything priod to any test, to remain silent, to blindly accept anything about law, regulation, or even "moral-ethical" issues. Heil wants SHEEP who blindly accept HIS control/dictates/commands.

Diplomatic Dave seems to have completely MISSED what Department of State uses as its primary tool in carrying out U.S. foreign policy: Diplomacy. Dave is the antithesis of this as a demanding dictator, a self-styled self-propelled tyrant who forbids any opposite opinions from his. Diplomatic Dave would have us ALL throw out the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution because HE doesn't like opinions contrary to his!

It's no wonder that U.S. amateur radio is stagnating in the number of licensed amateurs with EXAMPLES of the "highest rank" in amateurdom such as Heil.

LenAndeson@ieee.org

# 3275. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 19:33:14 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <_joQe.287420$x96.10473@attbi_s72>
Source record: 117626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Maritime Phone Patcher" <baaahahahahah@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8b74a$430f9b49$d1cc79e4$5792@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> *** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***

No one ever accused the FCC of acting hastily in this matter, did they? OM
==========
January 29, 2002

Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
RR1 Box 779
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
Re: Amateur Radio license K1MAN

Dear Mr. Baxter:

On May 14, 1999, after numerous complaints about your Amateur station regarding broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to identify, poor signal quality and erratic starting and stopping times, personnel from the Boston office of the Commission monitored your station and attempted to inspect it. There was no one present at your station while it was transmitting on Amateur Service frequencies. The transmissions continued while Commission personnel went to your residence at 1 Long Point Road, but there was no one present there either. Again on May 15 while your station was transmitting, Commission personnel attempted an inspection but there was no one present.

Since the Amateur Radio Service rules require that Amateur stations be under the control of a control operator, and that the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, we requested by letter dated June 25, 1999, that you provide information to the Commission, pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, regarding the operation of your Amateur station. You responded by letter dated July 25, 1999, but your response failed to provide the information we requested. We notified you of that fact by letter dated August 4, 1999, and detailed the inadequacies of your response.

Specifically, you did not provide the name, address or phone number of the control operator for any of the "broadcasts" or "bulletins" such as prerecorded interviews, transmissions of answering machine responses, live interviews, or live, pre-recorded or automated station identifications transmitted by your station. Among other deficiencies of the response, you did not provide dates and times Amateur station K1MAN transmitted without a control operator.

You also stated in your July 25, 1999 reply that you had not received our June 25 letter by certified mail and that our letter had "misrepresented itself as Certified Mail". We reminded you that you had signed the certified receipt for that letter, and we provided you with a copy of that certified receipt.

You responded to our August 4 letter by letter dated August 24, 1999. During our review of the information you provided, your Amateur station ceased operation. As a result, we held our inquiry in abeyance. The U.S. District Court in Maine subsequently dismissed your lawsuit against the Commission, and dismissed your petition for reconsideration of that dismissal as well.

You recently resumed operation of Amateur station K1MAN. In view of that resumption and the resulting complaints we are receiving about deliberate interference, threats against those who do not relinquish frequencies for your use or who would complain, or who have complained, erratic operation, broadcasting, unauthorized tape recording and broadcast of telephone conversations, business use of an Amateur station and lack of station control, we have resumed our inquiry into the operation of K1MAN.
In reviewing your letter dated August 24, 1999, referenced above, and your actions subsequent to coming back on Amateur frequencies, it appears that your understanding of certain Amateur Radio Service rules may be incorrect.

We will outline the apparent misunderstandings below.

Amateur Station Control
With regard to control of an Amateur station, your letter of August 24 indicated that the control device for your station was a "Radio Shack timer", and that the control points for the station were "the main power switch at the transmitter site" and at the location of the control operator, wherever that may be". You further stated that on the dates in question, May 14 and 15, 1999, you were the control operator and were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable modes".

Section 97.7 of the Commission's rules states that "when transmitting, each Amateur station must have a control operator". Section 97.105 states that "the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, regardless of the type of control (emphasis added). Section 97.109 states that "each Amateur station must have at least one control point", and that the control operator must be at the control point when the station is locally controlled or remotely controlled.

Section 97.7 further states that a control operator need not be at the control point if a station is automatically controlled. However, as Section 97.109 also explains, only stations specifically designated in Part 97, such as a space, repeater, beacon or auxiliary station, may be controlled automatically. There is no provision in Part 97 that allows an Amateur station transmitting information bulletins to be automatically controlled.

In summary, your control of the station by a "Radio Shack timer" while you are absent from your Amateur station is a violation of the Commission's Rules. You were not in control of your station on May 14 and 15, 1999 while you were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable

modes". Your statement in your response that "K1MAN has never transmitted during the current license term without a control operator acting in full compliance with rules 97.105 and 97.109 as well as all other applicable FCC rules" is untrue.

Simply put, to comply with Commission rules, you must be at the transmitter, or at the transmitter control point, every moment your station is transmitting, when your station is locally or remotely controlled. If the station is controlled by telecommand from the control point using a radio link, the frequencies used for telecommand must comply with the Section 97.201 requirements for an auxiliary station (may transmit only on the 1.25 m and shorter wavelength bands, except 219-220, 222-222.150, 431-433 and 435-438 MHz segments).

Publishing a Transmitting Schedule & Interference
It appears that you may be under the misconception that by publishing a transmitting schedule of K1MAN, you have certain rights under Commission rules regarding the control operator and frequency sharing requirements that you would not otherwise have.

With regard to the requirement for a control operator, publishing a schedule does not relieve you of that requirement in any way whatsoever. Section 97.113(d) of the Amateur Radio rules states that by publishing a schedule of transmissions at least 30 days in advance, a control operator of a club station may accept compensation for the periods of time when the station is transmitting...information bulletins, providing certain additional conditions are met. Publishing a schedule of proposed transmissions, therefore, relates only to a club station control operator accepting compensation. We note that this is irrelevant to the operation of K1MAN because K1MAN is not a club station.

Simply put, to the extent that you start your transmissions on top of ongoing communications of other amateur service stations, you will be engaging in deliberate interference, in violation of Section 97.101 of the Commission's rules. No amateur service frequency is assigned for the exclusive use of any station. Your publishing an intended transmission schedule does not exempt you from that rule.

Threats to Complainants and Other Users
Your sending "Felony Complaint Affidavits" to other Amateur Service licensees who complain or intend to complain of your operation, or who do not relinquish frequencies to you, was the subject of a Warning letter to you dated December 11, 2001. You have also been warned about such "Felony Complaint Affidavits" by the United States Attorney in Maine.

The Commission will view any threats made by telephone, through the U.S. Mail or on radio frequencies to Amateur Radio licensees who file complaints with the Commission, or who do not relinquish frequencies for your use, as very serious.

Broadcasting
We also note that on your web site and in your radio transmissions, you

refer to your transmissions variously as "broadcasts, programs, talk shows, bulletins, pre-recorded interviews" and so forth. We have received complaints that you have broadcast comedy shows and commercial messages. We caution you that Section 97.113(b) of the Amateur service rules prohibits amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting. Certain one-way transmissions, such as information bulletins, are allowed as specified in the rules.

Tape Recording and Broadcasting Telephone Conversations
We have received and have under review complaints that you have tape-recorded telephone conversations without the consent of the recorded party and that you have broadcast such tape recordings. We caution you that such recording without consent may be in violation of state or federal law. We will contact you under separate cover regarding those complaints.

In summary, it is very important for you to understand that we will review all valid complaints concerning the operation of your Amateur station, and that Commission personnel will again inspect your station. The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed.

# 3276. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 19:33:19 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <fh34h15kke21d260kc9okrh6d2ipetq8p6@4ax.com>
Source record: 117628

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:22:58 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>John:
>
>From the following:
>
> John_Smith, lexicon says John_Smith, lexicon says: KNY2VS
> (~sorry@pm4-ppp046.dialup.wzrd.com) was last seen quitting #satcom 4 days
> 18 hours 53 minutes ago (22.08. 17:23) stating ""th y R .g"" after
> spending 1 hour 34 minutes there.: KNY2VS
>
>I take it, KNY2VS is it...

On IRCnet, yes.

On zIRC, I usually use KC2HMZ, but also have KNY2VS registered. It
makes a good alternate nick in case I ping out and have to rejoin
under another nick so I can kill my ghost.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

# 3277. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 19:33:20 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <hm34h1hp3sbl7e9qhdgi9mass325iv9jij@4ax.com>
Source record: 117629

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:14:02 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>John:
>
>I entered #monitor though dalnet servers. The channel welcome notice
>states it is cross linked with zirc (if there isn't a split or other tech
>probs), what is your nick there?

Usually KC2HMZ.

The #monitor channel is linked across four networks - dalnet,
StarChat, zirc, and ircnet. The usual tech problem that would cause a
severance of the crosslink would be if one of the relay bots pings
out, or if the server a linkbot is on gets lost in a netsplit.

Otherwise you can join any of the four networks - though if you want
to avail yourself of the NSA logbot you'll need to be on either ircnet
or zirc.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

# 3278. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 19:38:49 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125257929.351571.208060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117627

From: "K□B" on Sun 28 Aug 2005 17:47

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> So would you support a reasonable set of Morse Code only subbands,
>> Bill? Say, the bottom 10-15% of each HF ham band?
>
>There are no such subbands on MF/HF now. Why in heavens name would we establish
>them at this point?

Simple reason: To keep the MINORITY happy, the minority who still
believe in the efficacy, the nobility of purpose ascribed to the
morse code mode.

The claimed efficacy is that "it will get through when nothing else
will." In that case it will work THROUGH any QRM and therefore
doesn't NEED any exclusivity.

The claimed nobility of purpose ("first mode in radio" "must be
treasured as traditional") is nothing but a rationalization on
the PCTA's part to keep even a vestige of CONTROL over regulations
that they've grown accustomed to having. THEY are the "nobility,"
not the mode and all must bow to THEIR wishes. THEY rule. Not.

If morse code cognition is "so easy to learn, all can do it," then
WHY MUST THERE BE A FEDERAL TEST REQUIRED FOR IT? Something so
"easy" can be taught in code schools OUTSIDE of federal jurisdiction
and supervision. NO FEDERAL TEST IS NEEDED FOR PRESERVATION.

The PCTA want to retain CONTROL. They want to have their elite
EXCLUSIVITY in the radio playground. It makes them feel "happy" to
keep "undesireables" out of THEIR turf. They feel they somehow
"own" the right to exclusive EM bandspace. They are the Radio
Royals. Their blood is bluest of the blue.

Blue blood is caused by oxygen deprivation. Oxygen deprivation
leads to malfunctioning thinking. QED. Merde.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3279. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 20:27:56 UTC
From: Meta <Joe@joez.uk>
Message-ID: <det6m0$shr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The below comments were posted by Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ.
Roger most often hides behind anonymous remailers.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125250513.323736.202530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-
mail
From: "Stagger Leechildraper" <Staggerleerapeschildren2...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group
Date: 28 Aug 2005 07:10:10 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <1125238210.354507.227930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.13.15.24.592612@gmail.com>
<1125168818.284900.316950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<pan.2005.08.27.19.39.32.414362@gmail.com>
<1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.118
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1125238215 32392 127.0.0.1 (28 Aug 2005
14:10:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Aug 2005 14:10:15 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1125187651.423106.134280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=207.248.240.118;
posting-account=OIw0yAwAAADz2NXo5mwLWWLOT89FcZBp

# 3280. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 20:31:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GapQe.3690$z2.2135@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117631

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> [sorry, Brian, I know you like Kehler, but he was NOT kind,
> gracious, or anything else civil to me...as old archives show]

That's why a lot of folks like him.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3281. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 20:31:55 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3p74h15un4ptguq1o6u0gllbplm9if54kp@4ax.com>
Source record: 117632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Aug 2005 10:32:04 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
>>defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
>>suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.
>
>prove it you lying sack of fucking shit

Will this be the sort of riposte permitted on your discussion group?

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

# 3282. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 21:05:29 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125263129.579418.89470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117633

From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am

>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>
>> The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>> discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>
>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.

>> In the end, if they cannot
>> lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>
>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>one who has little experience.

I have "little experience" in toadying up to self-styled
"masters of radio" who pretend to know the answers. I have
LOTS of experience in being around such. You are just one
more in a long line of self-assumed masters of radio.

>> ...they claim that
>> his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>
>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>has no experience in amateur radio.

"No experience?" Is amateur radio different from all other radio?
Tsk, tsk. NO. ALL radio is subject to the SAME physical laws.
Only MAN-MADE laws differentiate "amateur radio" from all other
radio.

Heil has NO EXPERIENCE in regulating radio, of controlling those MAN-MADE laws. Ergo, Heil has NO EXPERIENCE in law-making policy concerning radio!

To repeat: NOTHING in the laws establishing the FCC require any staffer or Commissioner to hold an amateur radio license grant in order to REGULATE U.S. amateur radio. By Heil's reasoning, the FCC has "no experience" in regulating amateur radio. Obviously it does. Just as obviously, Heil's personal opinion is invalid in reality.

> Sometimes his opinions are no good
>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind.

"Geezer?" "Axe?" :-)

That from a former employee of the U.S. government supposedly involved in "diplomacy" who demands that all newcomers to amateur radio test for skill in a 161-year-old primitive communications code?

"Geezer:" Dictionary definition is "Noun, slang, an eccentric olf man." Tsk, tsk, that applies to HEIL more than I. :-)

Heil is NO youth. His youth was left behind many years ago. Heil keeps defending the "necessity" to test for morse code skill for an amateur radio license. The morse code test for an amateur radio license has NEVER left U.S. amateur radio regulations...a length of time longer than Heil has been alive (71 years). Heil has shown NO valid reasons to retain the code test in U.S. regulations; his main comments on that is to act uncivilly to all who propose eliminating that code test. That fits "eccentric" far more than my wishes to eliminate the OLD, unnecessary morse code test.

Heil fits the "geezer with a (blunt) axe" to grind far better than I. He refuses any change, will not give in to progress in regulations.

> Often,
>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>deliberately fabricates.

Nonsense. I do not "often make factual errors." Google archives will show that.

I fabricate electronic hardware sometimes. Some of that involves radio. I fabricate other physical things as well. What I do NOT fabricate is specious, illogical, emotional DEMANDS that ALL must follow Heil's dictates in amateur radio regulation changes (or "unchanges" in Heil's apparent viewpoint).

I have NOT "fabricated" what I've said about beginning in HF radio communications in (what I term) the "Big Leagues of Radio" over a half century ago.

I have NOT "fabricated" my personal references in experience in
radio. They are still alive and licensed radio amateurs.

I have NOT "fabricated" any of my work experience, have listed
my past employers in here. Anyone can check those out
independently.

Obviously one other in here HAS done considerable "fabrication."

I need NOT "fabricate" things with deliberate omissions of facts
in order to make a point...nor do I need to "fabricate" opinions
of others who deliberately try to demean, denigrate, or insult
my person just because I have opinions different to them. I need
only refer to the overall history of radio-electronics available
to all who care to look. Not like the ARRL deliberately leaving
out other radio services activities in attempting to "prove" that
amateurs "pioneered all radio."

>> David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>> well.
>
>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>participated over a period of years.

4,022 posts as of 25 August 2005 according to Google archives.

>Len isn't involved in amateur radio.

Neither are any FCC staffers or Commissioners required to have
amateur radio license grants in order to REGULATE and ENFORCE
U.S. amateur radio.

Sunnuvagun!

> He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
>rights of free speech and to petition his government.

I wear rather conventional clothing and eschew "bunting."

Yes, I am a citizen of the United States of America and choose
to exercise my RIGHTS (guaranteed under the Consitution) as I
see fit. Heil has a "problem" with that?

>Well, he has done those things.

Amazing observation. Heil has "monitored" ALL of my actions?
He has a dossiere of what I have done? [wouldn't put it past
a control-freak to do that kind of "stalking"]

>Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
>or ridiculing him or his ideas.

Nothing in this universe can prevent ME from laughing right
back at the ultra-conservative geezer called Heil...and
exposing his dictatorial viewpoints on who is "allowed to
express themselves" in this free society.

>Len writes of being denigrated or
>insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
>denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.

Poor baby, don't like it when return fire is stronger than
your attempted character assassination shootings at me? :-)

>He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
>policy, then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
>personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.

WT Docket 05-235 (now before the FCC and all citizens) is about
nothing else but the morse code test for a U.S. amateur radio
license. Is discussion of that "ranting?" I think not.

Discussion, debate, argument about a test for GETTING INTO U.S.
amateur radio is "ranting?" When it comes to specious, invalid,
illogical, emotional, subjective "reasons" for its retention IS
"ranting." By the PCTA.

The NCTA want to OPEN UP U.S. amateur radio, to all who care to
get into it, NOT restrict entry by some old, outmoded, dictatorial
and arbitrary barriers which the FCC themselves have said is
unneccessary. Heil keeps on RANTING that those old, outmoded,
dictatorial, arbitrary reasons are "valid" yet has presented NO
proof to qualify them. All Heil has presented are a number of
personal insults directed to those who oppose him, as below:

>You, of course, are Len's little electrolytic acolyte.

I do not know Brian Burke personally. We live 2000+ miles apart.
By all his words in here, Brian Burke has demonstrated that he is
HIS OWN MAN, not some "acolyte" or "little electrolyte." You
insult him and myself in saying he is some kind of "acolyte" to
my thinking. FREEDOM is not a "cult." It is the basis for the
creation and continuation of the United States of America.

That Brian and I SHARE THE SAME VIEWPOINT of yourself is due to
YOUR words. You do not regulate U.S. amateur radio, have never
been in any position to do so. All you have done is to keep an
amateur radio license grant for four decades. Many others have
done so for longer.

I repeat once again: The FCC does NOT require any staffer or
Commissioner to hold an amateur radio license grant in order to
REGULATE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio. YOU are NOT a regulator
or enforcer of U.S. amateur radio; only a wannabee dictator who
has no more power than any other U.S. citizen, licensed or
unlicensed. Try to adjust to that very real FACT.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3283. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 22:03:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125266619.022025.222810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117634

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > It's like saying we have a manual-transmission test where the
> > person must get the car in first gear and drive around an
> > empty parking
> > lot at 5 mph for one minute. And folks say that's too
> > much to ask!

> Since it serves no useful purpose,

It would serve the useful purpose of making sure drivers had
the basic skills required to drive a manual transmission car.

> such a test would be
> ludicrous (and thus "too
> much to ask"). Couldn't have stated it better myself.

Apply that same logic to the written tests.

Explain why it's necessary to learn all the stuff necessary to
pass Elements 2, 3 and 4, just to operate QRP CW on 14.020 MHz.

> > So would you support a reasonable set of Morse Code only
> > subbands,
> > Bill? Say, the bottom 10-15% of each HF ham band?
>
> There are no such subbands on MF/HF now.

There should be.

> Why in heavens name would we establish
> them at this point?
>
Several reasons. One is that we're about to unleash a lot of
hams with no Morse Code skill upon the bands where Morse Code
is primarily used by hams.

But the more important reason, IMHO, is that as amateur radio
becomes more diverse and varied, the regulations of necessity
become more complex.

There was a time, a bit less than 60 years ago, when 99.99% of amateur
radio operations used either Morse Code or AM voice. Almost all
operations were "simplex" too - satellites, repeaters, and other
automatic stations were in the future.

The regulations back then were simpler, because the range of amateur activities were fewer.

Then hams in considerable numbers began to use SSB voice. And RTTY, though the number of RTTY stations was limited by the cost, size and complexity of an RTTY setup. (Yes, we all know The Armed Forces used lots of RTTY, which they called RATT. They also had somewhat greater resources than the average ham).

Then hams began to use SSTV, and FM, and satellites, and repeaters, and RTTY modes besides five-level Baudot. And packet and pactor and PSK and HELL and WSJT and all sorts of other stuff.

Now we have a whole tower of babel of modes. That complexity would benefit from some rules changes. Like a protected space for good old Morse Code.

Hans, I know you think the best system would be to simply allow all authorized modes anywhere in the ham bands, by any licensed radio amateur. The reality of such a system might be very different from your imagined nirvana.

btw, FCC doesn't go for that system either.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3284. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 23:14:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.23.14.41.522865@gmail.com>
Source record: 117639

N2EY:

The computer has made most everything simple, hassle free and even
children can do it. If you have a ham right and a computer with a sound
card this page:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Heights/4477/?200528

will get you started. There is no need for expensive equip. A SW
receiver, homebrew transmitter and a homebrew linear with you computer and
you are off into the ether.

Old hams trying to scare everyone off is ridiculous... it is childs' play...

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 15:03:39 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> > It's like saying we have a manual-transmission test where the
>> > person must get the car in first gear and drive around an
>> > empty parking
>> > lot at 5 mph for one minute. And folks say that's too
>> > much to ask!
>
>> Since it serves no useful purpose,
>
> It would serve the useful purpose of making sure drivers had
> the basic skills required to drive a manual transmission car.
>
>> such a test would be
>> ludicrous (and thus "too
>> much to ask"). Couldn't have stated it better myself.
>
> Apply that same logic to the written tests.
>
> Explain why it's necessary to learn all the stuff necessary to
> pass Elements 2, 3 and 4, just to operate QRP CW on 14.020 MHz.
>
>> > So would you support a reasonable set of Morse Code only
>> > subbands,
>> > Bill? Say, the bottom 10-15% of each HF ham band?
>>
>> There are no such subbands on MF/HF now.

>
> There should be.
>
>> Why in heavens name would we establish
>> them at this point?
>>
> Several reasons. One is that we're about to unleash a lot of
> hams with no Morse Code skill upon the bands where Morse Code
> is primarily used by hams.
>
> But the more important reason, IMHO, is that as amateur radio
> becomes more diverse and varied, the regulations of necessity
> become more complex.
>
> There was a time, a bit less than 60 years ago, when 99.99% of amateur
> radio operations used either Morse Code or AM voice. Almost all
> operations were "simplex" too - satellites, repeaters, and other
> automatic stations were in the future.
>
> The regulations back then were simpler, because the range of amateur
> activities were fewer.
>
> Then hams in considerable numbers began to use SSB voice. And RTTY,
> though the number of RTTY stations was limited by the
> cost, size and complexity of an RTTY setup. (Yes, we all know
> The Armed Forces used lots of RTTY, which they called RATT.
> They also had somewhat greater resources than the average ham).
>
> Then hams began to use SSTV, and FM, and satellites, and repeaters, and
> RTTY modes besides five-level Baudot. And packet
> and pactor and PSK and HELL and WSJT and all sorts of other stuff.
>
> Now we have a whole tower of babel of modes. That complexity
> would benefit from some rules changes. Like a protected
> space for good old Morse Code.
>
> Hans, I know you think the best system would be to simply allow all
> authorized modes anywhere in the ham bands, by any licensed radio
> amateur. The reality of such a system might be very
> different from your imagined nirvana.
>
> btw, FCC doesn't go for that system either.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

## 3285. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 23:28:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <gMrQe.3817$FW1.645@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117635

And if your CW rig "Chirps" it drives a DSP notch filter NUTS.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:WS8Qe.1045$Xo3.609@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in message
> news:11h20mkf4l87c42@corp.supernews.com...
>> Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode. Model Ts aren't dead.
>> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
>>
>> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-cranking Model T
>> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include 3-point landings
> in
>> tailwheel airplanes. Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM
> for
>> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
>>
>> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model Ts, nor are
> there
>> special runways for tailwheel airplanes. Why are there special segments
> of
>> the band for CW. Makes no sense to me.
>>
>>
>> Jim
>
> Well, that sounds like a good deal (eliminate the cw only bands) for the
> no-codes, but it would end up a very *good* deal for the cw enthusiasts.
> Believe me, ssb would get clobbered from one end to the other by cw
> stations
> simply because of the wide spectrum that ssb occupies (compared to cw,
> that
> is). I have a feeling that if the cw bands were eliminated, a lot of
> phone
> folks would be screaming to bring them back. I'm not sure, but based upon
> my 75 watts being able to cut through a kilowatt station trying to clobber
> me and another station ..... both of us maintained a solid qso for half an
> hour at 35 to 45 words per minute cw whilst Mike, W2OY, was trying to jam
> us
> with a kilowatt of am. Didn't work then and I doubt it would work now.
> You're talking a 6 kHz wide am signal vs cw signals needing only a couple
> hundred of Hertz. You're talking at least a 14 dB or so advantage for the
> cw based upon bandwidth of the receiver.

>
> For the protection of the phone stations, I believe you will want to keep
> the cw bands intact.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

# 3286. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 23:30:59 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125271859.865129.97500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117636

From: K0HB on Aug 28, 1:31 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> [sorry, Brian, I know you like Kehler, but he was NOT kind,
>> gracious, or anything else civil to me...as old archives show]
>
>That's why a lot of folks like him.

Absolutely...even when he wrote the following:

"HAM RADIO OPERATORS ARE INTROVERTS - In most cases, FACT. It's much easier to speak your mind on the 75 meter Goober net than it is to stand up in front of a group of real people and do it. Meeting a ham in person whom you've talked to on the air for a long time is usually an eye opening (and sometimes heart breaking) experience. Most times you'll find that the mental image you've created of a person you haven't met gets shattered when you do finally meet them. If you've been talking to John Wayne on the radio and you're finally going to meet him at the flea market, don't get your hopes up. In years gone by, hams fell into a few well known categories - A1 Ops, Lids, Kids, and Space Cadets. Today those categories have changed. Most hams can be classified into one of three commonly found groups - Freaks, Jerks, and Wierdos."

[quoted direct from some beloved opinion at http://kh2d.net]

So, Hans, which one are you? Freak, Jerk, or Weirdo? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3287. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 23:32:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cQrQe.3820$FW1.1917@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117637

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125257929.351571.208060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: "K?B" on Sun 28 Aug 2005 17:47

Idiotic diatribe deleted.

<plonk>

Dang not much else left is there?

Dan/W4NTI

# 3288. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-28 23:53:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.23.53.30.507326@gmail.com>
Source record: 117638

Len:

Let me see LID, you mean "Licensed IDiot" ???

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 16:30:59 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: K0HB on Aug 28, 1:31 pm
>
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>>
>>> [sorry, Brian, I know you like Kehler, but he was NOT kind,
>>> gracious, or anything else civil to me...as old archives show]
>>
>>That's why a lot of folks like him.
>
> Absolutely...even when he wrote the following:
>
> "HAM RADIO OPERATORS ARE INTROVERTS - In most cases, FACT. It's
> much easier to speak your mind on the 75 meter Goober net than
> it is to stand up in front of a group of real people and do it.
> Meeting a ham in person whom you've talked to on the air for a
> long time is usually an eye opening (and sometimes heart
> breaking) experience. Most times you'll find that the mental
> image you've created of a person you haven't met gets shattered
> when you do finally meet them. If you've been talking to John
> Wayne on the radio and you're finally going to meet him at the
> flea market, don't get your hopes up. In years gone by, hams
> fell into a few well known categories - A1 Ops, Lids, Kids, and
> Space Cadets. Today those categories have changed. Most hams
> can be classified into one of three commonly found groups -
> Freaks, Jerks, and Wierdos."
>
> [quoted direct from some beloved opinion at http://kh2d.net]
>
> So, Hans, which one are you? Freak, Jerk, or Weirdo? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3289. Re: Steve prefers "Stevie!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 00:48:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125276505.072268.254610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117640

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:46 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >> As you've said before, any time now that vein gonna go POP and it's
> >> bye-bye Dudly.
> >
> >Hope he's at least on medication for his bulging vein, if not his other
> >issues.
>
> He prolly treats himself "as one medical professional to another!"
> :-)

!!!

> He ought to arrest himself for practicing medicine without a
> license.

"Dialing..."

> >> Until then, we are faced with a deluge of Dudly's personal efforts
> >> to "justify" his military claims...
> >
> >I wish. The only evidence of military service is the photo of him
> >wearing a Civil Air Patrol flight suit.
> >
> >I once saw a photo of Cecil in a cowboy getup. That means Cecil was a
> >cowboy, right?
>
> Right...in clothing from the 1800s along with his two pretty
> daughters. Makes Cecil a "settler" type from over a century
> past.

Yup.

> So far, Dudly hasn't shown us a picture of himself IN real USMC
> uniform anywhere. According to his brag, he had 18 years of
> Marine Corps service. In 18 years he "never" had his picture
> taken in uniform? Unbelievable.

His military identification card said, "Valid Without Photo."

# 3290. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 00:55:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125276938.040257.239650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117641

John Smith wrote:
> HHAE:
>
> There you have it. One of the simplest, most ridiculous things I have
> ever seen, if you don't like the message--attack the messenger...
>
> ... and he never seems to tire of the same simple game!
>
> John

I'm suprised that he isn't employed in a box-folding factory.

# 3291. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 01:02:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125275095.997756.94040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117642

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >>>>>>>>>Blue Nose card.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> >>>>>>>>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> >>>>>>>>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>You don't mind one bit bing the RRAP loudmouth. Always waving your
> >>>>>>>>>arms and claiming, "Liar, Liar Pants on Fiar!"
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly kept talking about his "seven hostile actions"
> >>>>>>> and implying he was in the thick of them.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> I've never bragged about being IN any hostile actions. If fact,
> >>>>>>> Jimmie Noserve took me to task about being a "rear-area" type.
> >>>>>>> I guess all those books he read (to become an expert on warfare)
> >>>>>>> didn't tell him that NOBODY got to "choose" where they were
> >>>>>>> assigned. Nonetheless, I got to work real HF radio communications
> >>>>>>> for three years in a 24/7 radio station...even living IN a two
> >>>>>>> square mile antenna field for a while. [many more antennas
> >>>>>>> there than overweight "scampering" Davie can possibly put up]
> >>>>>

> >>>>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
> >>>>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
> >>>>>military.
> >>>>
> >>>>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
> >>>>many occasions.
> >>>
> >>>And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
> >>
> >>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
> >>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> >>prevent Len from making those comments.
>
> > The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> > discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>
> Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
> said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.

We were instructed to discard Len's comments.

> > In the end, if they cannot
> > lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>
> I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
> one who has little experience.

As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.

> > ...they claim that
> > his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>
> Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
> has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
> because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
> he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
> deliberately fabricates.

You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.

> > David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
> > well.
>
> Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
> participated over a period of years.

Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
radio operator?

> Len isn't involved in amateur
> radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
> rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done
> those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him

> or ridiculing him or his ideas.

Nor him you.

> Len writes of being denigrated or
> insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
> denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.

Perhaps Len is correct to do so.

> He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
> policy,

Get a clue, he's giving it back to you. He's been told that he is not
an amateur radio operator and should be here. This is a place only of
amateurs and amateur things.

> then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
> personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.

Have you ever thought of reigning in Robeson? When you do, get back to
me about Len and we'll talk some more.

> You, of course, are Len's little electrolytic acolyte.
>
> Dave K8MN

And you are the World Famous DXer that works out of band Frenchmen on 6
Meters.

# 3292. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 01:39:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8ep4h1dhjp5udbjl67snlb7kqfdosl0dg5@4ax.com>
Source record: 117643

On 28 Aug 2005 11:09:07 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1125252547.519209.217280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dogtag.jpg
>
> I downloaded your previous three images. Now I know what a
> "bluenose" is! :-)

Hit the tropics on ship and you become a "Turtleback".

> Please tell me what a "TT" is...it is unfamiliar to one who has
> never been to the Middle East.

That is a telephone token. The telephones in Israel didn't take money
because the inflation rate was super high -- when we arrived in the
spring the "moneychangers" rate was 200 sheckles to the dollar, and on
our last visit in July it was 600 per dollar. And to think people here
get upset if the inflation rate rises above 5%.....

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3293. Hurricane Katrina approaches New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 02:24:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125282253.420057.39460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117644

http://weather.noaa.gov/cgi-bin/iwszone?Sites=:laz069#t2

Note the predictions of damage...

Only three Category 5 hurricanes have hit the USA since records have been kept. They hit in 1935, 1969 and 1992. 34 years, 23 years, and now 13 years between such storms.

My thoughts and prayers are with those on Katrina's path.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3294. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 02:43:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <heq4h11d07b7iljce19p67dlh4pvk495t6@4ax.com>
Source record: 117645

On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125233686.756372.266140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:23:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> in <UOZPe.2188$Wd7.563@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>> >Okay. Maybe you're a slow learner or perhaps you just have no sense of
>> >remorse. You told us that you weren't a model Marine.
>>
>> Feel free to speculate all you want about my service.
>
> It's not speculation...You were incompetent.

Quite the contrary, Dudly: my proficiency marks were consistently
high; it was my conduct marks that took a couple nose-dives.

>> >> But there's one big difference between me and Dudly
>> >> that you can't seem to comprehend: I'm telling the truth. And that's
>> >> something I am most definitely proud to admit.
>> >
>> >So, the truth is, according to you, that Steve isn't a Marine or that
>> >he's lying. That's an assumption made by you and you've offered no
>> >proof, just accusations.
>>
>> You haven't been paying attention, Dave. I tossed out several tidbits
>> of info that he would know if his claim was true, but he tripped up
>> and proved that he knew -nothing- of what I was talking about.
>
> Bull. Just plain bull.

Yada, yada, yada. See below.

>> >> Now what I don't understand is why you are so passionate about Dudly
>> >> when this discussion has absolutely nothing to do with you. Is he your
>> >> butt-buddy? Or are you afraid that you are next in line to be exposed
>> >> as a military imposter? Why is his business -your- business, Dave?
>> >
>> >I challenge you to expose me as a "military imposter", Frank. Make it
>> >your life's work. As far as your last comment: read up on how usenet
>> >works. After all, how did Steve's business become *your business*?
>>
>> I see you missed that part, too. I was in here on a totally different
>> issue when someone mentioned that Dudly was a Marine. So I asked him
>> when he served and what units he was with -- a really simple question.

>> Heck, it's not like I was asking him his SSN or something. But that's
>> the way he acted. Up went the red flag. Ever since then he's been
>> tripping over his tongue and frothing like a rabid dog.
>>
>> Now that I have answered -your- question, just why are you defending
>> him with such passion?
>
> So far you've "proved" nothing except that YOUR trivia isn't
>necessarilly someone elses, and even then you've so grossly discredited
>yourself as to make ANY argument lost.

Wrong again, Dudly. Neither of my disciplinary actions resulted in my discharge. I fulfilled the full term of my contract. But after two court-martials my conduct marks were just one tenth of a point too low for an honorable discharge so I got a "General under Honorable". And here is where I know that your claim to have upgraded your discharge is bullshit -- because I -did- upgrade my discharge. (Warning: long story.....)

Back in early '85 I injured my knee on a forced march. The corpsman wrote me a light-duty chit that was to stay in effect until I could get to the mainside (Lejeune proper) hospital to have it checked out. But before that could happen we were to go on a field-op. Since I was on light-duty I wasn't supposed to go on the op, but the shop chief (comm shop, not the tech shop) took the chit, put it in his desk and ordered me to go anyway. Since the chain of command was already in the field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.

Shortly afterwards I was transferred to 2nd AAV where I was finally allowed to get my knee examined at the hospital. Being an RN and/or LPN you should probably know what a torn lateral -and- medial meniscus means -- pain! Simple orthoscopic surgury fixed it up right as rain. And it also vindicated me of the shop chief's "indiscretion" (as it was called in his reprimand).

So after I got my 'general' discharge I challenged my conduct marks based on getting shafted by 3/8's shop chief and won. In the process I learned quite a bit about how a discharge is upgraded; i.e, a general discharge cannot be upgraded to honorable unless there are mitigating circumstances -- circumstances such as mine -- that caused the wrong discharge to be issued. You can't get it upgraded just by asking, for being a good citizen after your discharge, or for any other reasons not related to having been given the wrong discharge.

Now a medical discharge is also a "general under honorable" discharge. If you wanted to upgrade -your- discharge then you would have to show that the reasons for your general discharge were wrong; which, in your case, is utterly ridiculous since the reasons were medical. You even

went one step further and claimed that you are "USMC Retired", which is absolutely impossible unless you served your full 20 years, which you couldn't possibly do if you had been discharged prior to 20 years for medical reasons. And it's impossible for you to have taken two full years of terminal leave since a) you would have had to serve 24 years without taking -any- leave, and b) they didn't permit anyone to accumulate more than 90 days leave on the books -- you either use it or lose it.

So all those claims of yours about your discharge are completely bogus, Dudly. They have absolutely no foundation in reality.

> Loser. Hoser. Poser.
>
> That's Frank of Silliland.

Coming from a retired Marine Corps gunny, that's pathetic. If you are going to continue this "truth-by-repitition" charade then at least get someone to ghost-write your posts so you don't sound like a 3rd grade dropout.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3295. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 02:51:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9it4h1p8l0g43r00cs9otd72p74e88ngeh@4ax.com>
Source record: 117646

On 28 Aug 2005 06:13:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125234798.216826.150050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:34:14 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
>> wrote in <5budnZ2dnZ2iILmAnZ2dnUG8jN6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >
>> >> Well, I'll tell ya, Dave -- I have absolutely no regrets about
>> >> anything I did in the Marines,
>> >
>> >But the Marines probably do.
>>
>>
>> What's this..... tag-team flame wars?
>
> Well, Sparky, you're the one who lit this one.

I see you've been swimming in google-juice. Do you want a list of the
other names/emails I've used for usenet?

> I've given references to PUBLIC sources to verify my service, yet
>you continue ot try and diminish my serivce.

Public sources prove nothing -- they are just a source of information
to be used for identity theft.

> HOWEVER, for what ever pea-brained reason you thought it pertinent
>to do so, you have PROVEN to us that YOU were incapable of honoring
>your contract with the Marines.

And again you are mistaken -- the USMC didn't honor it's contract with
ME, which is what led to my -first- court-martial. Do you want to hear
that story, too?

> Sucks to be you, non-rate.

Aw, gee, that hurts, Dudly. It's too bad that you get an intrinsic
reward from your false sense of superiority, but that's just a typical
symptom of your narcissistic personality disorder. Like I suggested
before, get some help.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3296. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 02:57:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yQuQe.1766$4P5.303@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117647

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
>>>>>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
>>>>>>military.
>>
>>>>>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
>>>>>many occasions.
>
>
> [...and I will continue to do so, regardless of control freaks
> who attempt to silence those against them...]

That's nice, Len. Who attempted to silence you? Have you told anyone
to shut up or leave the newsgroup? :-) :-)

>
>>>>And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
>>
>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>insulting demeanor.
>
>
```

> Oh, my! "typical insulting demeanor?" Davie is above such
> things? Not by all the archives in Google! :-)

Yes, Len, your typical insulting demeanor. You know, the one which brought about the creation of Jim's apropos profile of your likely actions:

N2EY: "Besides, here's a simple, plain fact:

No matter what job, educational level, employer, or government/military service that a radio amateur has, if said radio amateur opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be the target of Mr. Anderson's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."

>
>> What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>
>>>prevent Len from making those comments.

> Right now, there's not a damn thing Jimmie can do about it...

Slow down and regroup, Len. Jim has done nothing to prevent you from commenting to this newsgroup or to your government. Your rant is vapor.

>
>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions. In the end, if they cannot
>>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s), they claim that
>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham. David
>>Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>well.
>
>
> The PCTA have been bound and determined to keep morse code
> purity in U.S. amateur radio IN ANY WAY THEY CAN...since back
> in the 1990s and led by Jim Kehler, KH2D, an avowed PCTA.

Yes, by God, he's an AVOWED PCTA, heh heh. Those characters have tried to retain morse testing "IN ANY WAY THEY CAN". Do you think they were ever involved in kidnappings or dog poisonings?

> [sorry, Brian, I know you like Kehler, but he was NOT kind,
> gracious, or anything else civil to me...as old archives show]

As I recall, you never gave him any reasons to be kind, gracious or civil toward you.

> Heil's "argument" is that NO ONE UNLICENSED CAN POSSIBLY TALK
> ANYTHING ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. That is patently FALSE.

You're right. Your statement is patently false. You've written and written and written and written. I've made no attempt to stop you from writing. I've countered you. I've pointed out that you have no standing in amateur radio. I've stated that you have no experience in

amateur radio. Those things are all true. I've laughed at you. I've ridiculed you.

> The FCC does not require any staff or Commissioners to hold
> amateur radio license grants in order to REGULATE and ENFORCE
> U.S. amateur radio.

That's great. You aren't with the FCC. You don't regulate or enforce amateur radio. FCC staffers are paid to regulate and enforce amateur radio.

You aren't a licensed radio amateur. You don't participate in amateur radio.

You've commented to the FCC. The FCC is under no obligation to adopt your ideas, agree with your comments or to take them seriously.

> There is NO SUCH PROVISO forbidding U.S.
> citizens from discussing ANY federal regulations or laws.

You've discussed. Many have laughed.

> Heil says one MUST have a license, be a "participant" in amateur
> radio in order to speak about it in any way.

There's one of your factual errors now. No, I've not stated such. You've "spoken" if you want to consider a newsgroup posting or written comments to the FCC as "speaking".

> That is still
> FALSE.

Your statement? Yes, it is.

> Such would forbid newcomers from doing anything priod
> to any test, to remain silent, to blindly accept anything about
> law, regulation, or even "moral-ethical" issues. Heil wants
> SHEEP who blindly accept HIS control/dictates/commands.

As inspirational reading goes, your statement is pretty b-a-a-a-a-d.

> Diplomatic Dave seems to have completely MISSED what Department
> of State uses as its primary tool in carrying out U.S. foreign
> policy: Diplomacy.

I'll remember your words if you're ever declared a foreign country.

> Dave is the antithesis of this as a
> demanding dictator, a self-styled self-propelled tyrant who
> forbids any opposite opinions from his.

You're getting confused again, old timer. I'm not the one who has told others to shut up or leave a newsgroup. The person who did that would be *you*.

> Diplomatic Dave would
> have us ALL throw out the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution
> because HE doesn't like opinions contrary to his!

There, there. You *are* confused. You don't like opposite opinions and you don't like anyone not taking your pontifications seriously. You insult and denigrate. You tell others to shut up or to leave.

> It's no wonder that U.S. amateur radio is stagnating in the
> number of licensed amateurs with EXAMPLES of the "highest rank"
> in amateurdom such as Heil.

Amateur radio isn't stagnating, Len. You continue to show that you simply don't know much about it.

Dave K8MN

# 3297. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 03:29:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125286184.708978.183830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117648

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> > The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>> > discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>
>> Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>> said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>
>We were instructed to discard Len's comments.
>
>> > In the end, if they cannot
>> > lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>
>> I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>> one who has little experience.
>
>As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.

Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.

I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
rendered.

Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
expenses.

>> > ...they claim that
>> > his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>
>> Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>> has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good

>> because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
>> he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>> deliberately fabricates.
>
>You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.

Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)

>> > David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>> > well.
>
>> Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>> participated over a period of years.
>
>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>radio operator?

He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)

I've never said I had an amateur radio license. From day one in
here
I've stated that I do not. I have a Commercial radio license.

But, that constant repetition of "not having a license" masks Heil's
INABILITY to reply ON the subject of what he was challenged for. He
tries to wiggle out of a challenge by semi-denigrative, moral
something or others implying that I should NOT be posting in here.

>> Len isn't involved in amateur
>> radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
>> rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done
>> those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
>> or ridiculing him or his ideas.
>
>Nor him you.

Heil is a bundle of laughs. :-)

>> Len writes of being denigrated or
>> insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
>> denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.
>
>Perhaps Len is correct to do so.

Tsk tsk tsk. Heil thinks he has some special dispensation that
allows
him to insult others but others are "not permitted" to fire right
back
at him.

>> He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
>> policy,

>
>Get a clue, he's giving it back to you. He's been told that he is not
>an amateur radio operator and should be here. This is a place only of
>amateurs and amateur things.

Supposedly. Lots of different things are discussed in here. One
such seems to be winding down: The one about evolution versus
creationists. All of radio hasn't been around more than 109 years
and fits NEITHER. :-)

>> then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
>> personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.
>
>Have you ever thought of reigning in Robeson? When you do, get back to
>me about Len and we'll talk some more.

Heil must LIKE Dudly...because Dudly attacks me. Heil is living
vicariously, enjoying another personally-insult me. "An enemy of
an enemy is his friend" to slightly paraphrase an old folk saying.

Heil doesn't realize that Dudly's fraudulent behavior is HURTING
the image of U.S. amateur radio.

Miccolis can't rein-in Dudly. Hans Brakob couldn't hold him down.
Katapult Kellie doesn't seem to have tried either way. Jeswald
hasn't said much. NOT a good case for building a good image of
U.S. amateur radio to the public.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3298. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 03:53:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yEvQe.3112$Wd7.128@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117649

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
>
>
>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>
>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>
>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.

Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.

>
>

>>>In the end, if they cannot
>>>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>>
>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>>one who has little experience.
>
>
> I have "little experience" in toadying up to self-styled
> "masters of radio" who pretend to know the answers. I have
> LOTS of experience in being around such. You are just one
> more in a long line of self-assumed masters of radio.

There's one of your factual errors now, Len. I've never claimed to be a master of radio. You wrote it. Then again, I never claimed to be a radio god, but you told me that I was...and that I wasn't...and that I was...and that I wasn't.

>
>>>...they claim that
>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>
>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>>has no experience in amateur radio.
>
>
> "No experience?" Is amateur radio different from all other radio?

Yes, it is.

> Tsk, tsk. NO.

Ooops! There's another of your factual errors.

> ALL radio is subject to the SAME physical laws.

Yep. If only amateur radio was simply about the physics of radio, you might have had a point.

> Only MAN-MADE laws differentiate "amateur radio" from all other
> radio.

There's another of your factual errors. There are operating styles and interests. There are, as you so like to point out, modes which are quite popular in amateur radio but which see little use elsewhere. Any number of things set amateur radio apart from other radio services.

> Heil has NO EXPERIENCE in regulating radio, of controlling those
> MAN-MADE laws. Ergo, Heil has NO EXPERIENCE in law-making policy
> concerning radio!

My experience in such matters is pretty much equal to your own. I did serve for two years as the president of the Botswana Amateur Radio Society, the IARU voice of Botswana. Five, six or maybe seven of us got together monthly and decided on whether to vote for or against admitting

the Croation or Ukrainian or such amateur radio society to the IARU.
Our vote carried the same weight as the JARL's or ARRL's.

> To repeat: NOTHING in the laws establishing the FCC require any
> staffer or Commissioner to hold an amateur radio license grant in
> order to REGULATE U.S. amateur radio.

I'm not unclear on this, Len. I understand that you don't work for the
FCC, nor are you a radio amateur.

> By Heil's reasoning, the
> FCC has "no experience" in regulating amateur radio. Obviously
> it does. Just as obviously, Heil's personal opinion is invalid
> in reality.

I've told you and told you, FCC staffers *are paid to regulate,
adminster and enforce* amateur radio. It is their job. No one at the
DMV is required to have a driving license either. Yet those folks are
paid to handle motor vehicles matters within a state. Go figure.

>
>> Sometimes his opinions are no good
>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind.
>
>
> "Geezer?" "Axe?" :-)

Yep. Geezer and ax.

> That from a former employee of the U.S. government supposedly
> involved in "diplomacy" who demands that all newcomers to
> amateur radio test for skill in a 161-year-old primitive
> communications code?

Yes, that. You, on the other hand, demand that morse testing be done
away with in an endeavor in which you play to role.

> "Geezer:" Dictionary definition is "Noun, slang, an eccentric
> olf man." Tsk, tsk, that applies to HEIL more than I. :-)

Not in any realistic sense, it doesn't. You're the "olf man" here.

> Heil is NO youth.

It is all relative, Leonard. When you entered the military, I was
several years from entering primary school. You're "olfer" than me by
at least a decade-and-a-half.

> His youth was left behind many years ago.

I can still remember it easily.

> Heil keeps defending the "necessity" to test for morse code
> skill for an amateur radio license.

Well, at least you've remembered that much. As I recall, you take the opposite view.

> The morse code test for
> an amateur radio license has NEVER left U.S. amateur radio
> regulations...a length of time longer than Heil has been
> alive (71 years).

That's about the same length of time you've been around, isn't it?

> Heil has shown NO valid reasons to retain
> the code test in U.S. regulations; his main comments on that
> is to act uncivilly to all who propose eliminating that code
> test. That fits "eccentric" far more than my wishes to
> eliminate the OLD, unnecessary morse code test.

There've been a number of good reasons advanced for retention of morse testing. Your out-of-hand dismissal of them does not invalidate them. You'd better be careful of advocating doing away with everything OLD and unnecessary in the interests of self-preservation.

> Heil fits the "geezer with a (blunt) axe" to grind far better
> than I. He refuses any change, will not give in to progress
> in regulations.

Change for change's sake does not define progress. Your claim that I refuse to accept any change is another of your factual errors. I quickly accepted the internet. I quickly made the move to digital cameras. I embraced CD and DVD technology. Some change is good. Some change is bad.

>
>> Often,
>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>>deliberately fabricates.
>
>
> Nonsense. I do not "often make factual errors." Google archives
> will show that.

They'll certainly show it. Be careful lest you find yourself eating your own words.

> I have NOT "fabricated" what I've said about beginning in HF radio
> communications in (what I term) the "Big Leagues of Radio" over
> a half century ago.

You might have stretched a bit in the "Big Leagues of Radio" department. Tell us about what it is like to be under an artillery barrage.

> I have NOT "fabricated" my personal references in experience in
> radio. They are still alive and licensed radio amateurs.

They're licensed radio amateurs. You aren't.

> I have NOT "fabricated" any of my work experience, have listed
> my past employers in here. Anyone can check those out
> independently.

I have no interest in chasing down your employment history. I haven't
that much interest in you.

> Obviously one other in here HAS done considerable "fabrication."

It isn't obvious at all

> I need NOT "fabricate" things with deliberate omissions of facts
> in order to make a point...

You've made omissions and you've made additions. Like to see a few?

> ...nor do I need to "fabricate" opinions
> of others who deliberately try to demean, denigrate, or insult
> my person just because I have opinions different to them.

...or even because you first took to insulting others for their
opinions--the ones which were very different from yours.

> I need
> only refer to the overall history of radio-electronics available
> to all who care to look. Not like the ARRL deliberately leaving
> out other radio services activities in attempting to "prove" that
> amateurs "pioneered all radio."

Your anti-ARRL, anti-radio amateur posts are easily found.

>
>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>>well.
>>
>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>>participated over a period of years.
>
>
> 4,022 posts as of 25 August 2005 according to Google archives.

In number of posts to this newsgroup, your output vastly exceeds mine.
If we include your tendency to be windy and to pontificate and go by
word output, my contributions pale when compared to your own.

>
>>Len isn't involved in amateur radio.
>
>
> Neither are any FCC staffers or Commissioners required to have
> amateur radio license grants in order to REGULATE and ENFORCE
> U.S. amateur radio.
>
> Sunnuvagun!

Yep. You still don't get it, do you?

>
>>He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
>>rights of free speech and to petition his government.
>
>
> I wear rather conventional clothing and eschew "bunting."

You're more apt to fabricate out of whole cloth and to chew bunting when
others point out your errors.

> Yes, I am a citizen of the United States of America and choose
> to exercise my RIGHTS (guaranteed under the Consitution) as I
> see fit. Heil has a "problem" with that?

What was I called--a little feldwebel? Didn't you use that word and
tell me to shut up? Rights seem to be a one-way street with you.

>
>>Well, he has done those things.
>
>
> Amazing observation. Heil has "monitored" ALL of my actions?

I don't know what inappropriate things you've done in all areas of your
life, Len. My interest in you doesn't extend so far. I just know about
those which have been manifested here.

> He has a dossiere of what I have done? [wouldn't put it past
> a control-freak to do that kind of "stalking"]

"Dossier", Len. Do you really believe there is a dossier on you?

>
>>Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
>>or ridiculing him or his ideas.
>
>
> Nothing in this universe can prevent ME from laughing right
> back at the ultra-conservative geezer called Heil...and
> exposing his dictatorial viewpoints on who is "allowed to
> express themselves" in this free society.

You've expressed yourself. There is no Federal protection to prevent
you from making a horse's patoot of yourself in a public venue. No one
is forced to applaud. No one is mandated to refrain from laughing or
ridiculing you. The audience may throw tomatoes and may jeer you. Deal
with it.

>
>>Len writes of being denigrated or
>>insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
>>denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.
>

>
> Poor baby, don't like it when return fire is stronger than
> your attempted character assassination shootings at me? :-)

I'll let you know when and if I ever see such *return fire*. :-) :-)

>
>>He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
>>policy, then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
>>personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.
>
>
> WT Docket 05-235 (now before the FCC and all citizens) is about
> nothing else but the morse code test for a U.S. amateur radio
> license. Is discussion of that "ranting?" I think not.

Am I given to understand that you believe that the only thing you have
been doing here is discussing 05-235?

> Discussion, debate, argument about a test for GETTING INTO U.S.
> amateur radio is "ranting?" When it comes to specious, invalid,
> illogical, emotional, subjective "reasons" for its retention IS
> "ranting." By the PCTA.

No, your diatribe above is ranting.

> The NCTA want to OPEN UP U.S. amateur radio, to all who care to
> get into it, NOT restrict entry by some old, outmoded, dictatorial
> and arbitrary barriers which the FCC themselves have said is
> unneccessary.

To all who care to get into it, regardless of qualification to do so?
Please define "old, outmoded, dictatorial and arbitrary barriers", old
timer. You used the plural but go on to say "which the FCC...have said
*is*...

> Heil keeps on RANTING that those old, outmoded,
> dictatorial, arbitrary reasons are "valid" yet has presented NO
> proof to qualify them.

You're still using "them". Beside morse testing, what are the others?

> All Heil has presented are a number of
> personal insults directed to those who oppose him, as below:

If I present a list of your past personal insults directed to other and
if I include the diminuitive forms of names and the insulting names, do
you think you'd have time to read them all before your time on the
planet is done?

>
>>You, of course, are Len's little electrolytic acolyte.
>
>

> I do not know Brian Burke personally. We live 2000+ miles apart.
> By all his words in here, Brian Burke has demonstrated that he is
> HIS OWN MAN, not some "acolyte" or "little electrolyte."

Not really, Leonard. Brian, under a variety of names is a one-liner kind of yes man to you. You, as Hans pointed out, are the organ grinder. Brian is the little red-hatted monkey.

> You
> insult him and myself in saying he is some kind of "acolyte" to
> my thinking. FREEDOM is not a "cult." It is the basis for the
> creation and continuation of the United States of America.

Break out the martial music and the bunting. Here he goes again!

> That Brian and I SHARE THE SAME VIEWPOINT of yourself is due to
> YOUR words.

"Viewpoint of yourself"? Weren't you supposed to be a PROFESSIONAL writer?

> You do not regulate U.S. amateur radio, have never
> been in any position to do so. All you have done is to keep an
> amateur radio license grant for four decades. Many others have
> done so for longer.

That's nice, but I'm not finished yet. If you start now, do you think you could keep an amateur radio license for better than four decades? I mean, you've told me several times that you were involved in radio before I was born (or some such thing). Do you think it'll even out in the end?

> I repeat once again: The FCC does NOT require any staffer or
> Commissioner to hold an amateur radio license grant in order to
> REGULATE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio. YOU are NOT a regulator
> or enforcer of U.S. amateur radio; only a wannabee dictator who
> has no more power than any other U.S. citizen, licensed or
> unlicensed. Try to adjust to that very real FACT.

I've responded to it countless times. No, I don't regulate. Then again, you don't regulate. I participate in amateur radio. You do not. FCC staffers are paid to regulate. They may or may not participate in amateur radio. Got it?

Dave K8MN

# 3299. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 04:15:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mZvQe.4434$z2.3843@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117650

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Hans, I know you think the best system would be to
> simply allow all authorized modes anywhere in the
> ham bands, by any licensed radio amateur.

Now that's a STRETCH!

I do believe that the FCC over-manages our allocations on most bands with their arbitrary slicing/dicing by mode/license class, without any obvious regard to "market forces". I'd like to see that cumbersome system replaced with a bandplanning model similar to many UHF/VHF bands where the users (that'd be guys like you and me) work out band plans which can be dynamically adjusted to meet our changing needs.

The current US plan which allocates virtually 100% of our spectrum (less some minor slices near 5MHz and 219MHz) to narrowband CW, yet restricts every other mode regardless of popularity to smaller segments, is so backwards as to be spherical in its backwardness (backward from every possible viewing angle).

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3300. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 04:42:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mnwQe.3121$Wd7.3070@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117651

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> It would serve the useful purpose of making
> sure drivers had the basic skills required to
> drive a manual transmission car.

There currently is no such test, but drivers who decide to use manual transmissions seem to learn that skill without a government test.

Similarly if there is no Morse skill test, hams who decide to use that mode will take it upon themselves to learn that skill without the need for government testing.

> That complexity would benefit from some rules changes.
> Like a protected space for good old Morse Code.

Morse code currently enjoys free access to essentially every Hz of amateur spectrum. Since CW is your (and my) favorite mode, that's what Martha Stewart might call "a good thing&#65533;" Would you support removal of that free access in exchange for "protected space" pro-rated by bandwidth relative to "protected space" for other popular modes?

For example, a good fast CW signal might require "protection" for 150Hz and a properly operated SSB station might require "protection" for 2400Hz. Based on that ratio and your notion of "protected space" for each mode, for each 10KHz protected segment of CW spectrum, SSB operators should have a protected segment of 160KHz.

Be careful what you wish for.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3301. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 04:48:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4swQe.3124$Wd7.1507@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117652

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

```
>
> Hit the tropics on ship and you become a "Turtleback".
>

Spent 21 years in the Navy and never met a "Turtleback".

But on the wall next to my Bluenose certificate are a Shellback certificate and
a Golden Shellback certificate.

73, de Hans, K0HB
```

# 3302. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 05:28:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125293312.288855.58930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It's suppose to be No, I'm not you fucking asshole

# 3303. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 05:52:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DoxQe.4473$z2.2549@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117657

"Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote

> So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it had no
> beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning, the what was
> before that?

Since there was no universe, there was no time. If there was no time, there
obviously was no "before".

# 3304. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 06:21:04 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <vbCdnUfFtdToB4_eRVn-2A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> No I'm you fucking asshole!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

You got that right.

# 3305. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 06:30:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <X6KdnZ2dnZ0sx3CInZ2dnTgBj96dnZ2dRVn-yZ2dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117654

John Smith wrote:
> Jim:
>
> It is only necessary to create the association between any spoken word and
> the series of characters you wish to generate when that word is spoken,
> into a library of such associations...
>
> In other words, if I speak "the" and make the association to the
> characters "t-h-e" the speech to text engine will always generate those
> characters when I speak that specific word--I could just as easily
> associate the spoken "the" with any other series of characters.
>
> Now, while you and I might not go to that trouble if we are fast typists,
> others who do not type will... those who are blind will... companies and
> corps will (and especially those employing disabled workers.) I have seen
> such libraries on the net for specific uses, such as programming, before.
> You most likely can download one for the speech engine in question...
>
> There are groups devoted to the blind who could easily supply you with
> them, I am sure...
>
> John

Too many words in the English language that are pronounced the same but
spelled differently for speech-to-text to work very well.

Know-no, new-knew, see-sea, I-eye, nose-knows, sail-sale, to-two-too-tu
tu, seem-seam, Sue-sue (name vs litigation), cheep-cheap, but-butt,
ect., ect., ect.

A speech-to-text probgram would have to be able to put the words into
context. Probably doable to some level.

# 3306. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 06:38:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <g5b5h1puubocgnroh8jbe79an3lb10bbm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 117658

On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 04:48:00 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <4swQe.3124$Wd7.1507@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Hit the tropics on ship and you become a "Turtleback".
>>
>
>Spent 21 years in the Navy and never met a "Turtleback".
>
>But on the wall next to my Bluenose certificate are a Shellback certificate and
>a Golden Shellback certificate.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Shellback.... Turtleback..... same difference, but my bad. Still, you
know what I meant. I didn't get that far South.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3307. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 06:39:26 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_LWdnbh9dvtbA4_eRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117656

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for angels
>>or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from
>>a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...
>
>
> The only thing that I can think of which is more impossible to believe than "mud
> became man" is angels that just "were".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it
had no beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning,
the what was before that?

# 3308. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 06:45:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pkb5h11646gteae1vln3kmg8q765tnqc9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 117659

On 28 Aug 2005 07:58:28 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1125241108.225624.70690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 27 Aug 2005 16:01:13 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> <1125181198.913481.227990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> The rank of PFC and the less-than-four year tour lends one to
>> >> believe that the reason he didn't publish the other 3/4ths of his -214
>> >> was that somewhere on that form it said "LESS THAN HONORABLE", or one
>> >> of those categories of discharge.
>> >
>> >And your DD-214?
>>
>>
>> That is something you will never see.
>
>Just in case I do, I want to be prepared. Wonder what the code is for
>a section 8 discharge?

Everyone I knew that got a medical discharge was RE-4.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3309. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 06:51:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7qb5h1hf0lk4lh6nrampjcnfbs9684mkq5@4ax.com>
Source record: 117660

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:13:38 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<pan.2005.08.28.03.13.36.469468@gmail.com>:

>Frank:
>
>Frankly, if I thought anything, I would suspect that it would be the
>"supreme aliens" who were able to call the shots--I don't think our gov't
>or the world gov'ts for that matter would be doing much of anything--at
>least not anything the aliens were not telling them to do...
>
>Who knows, keep an open mind, maybe they are just raising us like a head
>of cattle--and butchering time is near!!! <terrified-look!>

I think "The Outer Limits" already did that story.

>... do I think that is really happening? Heck, I don't know if there is a
>supreme being, I don't know if we came from a mud puddle, how should I
>know, if you ask me--all the choices just sound crazy, ask the aliens!
><chuckle>

Well, I don't care if there are aliens tourists on this planet or not,
just as long as they don't take our jobs, rape our women, or try to
masquerade as retired Marine Corps Gunnery Sergeants.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3310. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 07:05:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kdc5h118lmnnaet79e5hb56262v1u1op3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 117661

On 27 Aug 2005 03:22:05 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125138125.047864.270390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> At least on the six occassions I got to stand in front of a Marine
>officer in regards to my rank, it was prefaced with the words "To All
>Who Shall See These, Greetings..."

Your communication deficit is acting up again, Dudly; If you are
referring to form DD-216MC, it begins with, "To all who shall see
these presents, greeting:"

Just for kicks I googled your misquotation; very interesting.....

> Guess Frankie of Silliland wasn't listening when the repeated the
>words about "Special Trust and Confidence"...Because that's exactly
>what being a Marine is all about.
>
> And Frankie couldn't hack it...

Just like everything else you know about the Marine Corps, you
couldn't even get a promotion certificate right..... and you claim to
have six of them? I don't think so, Dudly.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3311. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 07:10:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <90d5h15j4g79sf24i1fv4rcrd678vtqj0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 117662

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:29:52 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<1ei1h1hnk692l18mlhkf4kdvh9i2q3fru2@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>And BTW, notice the title of box 11: ".... years and months in
>specialty...." IOW, that time doesn't include boot camp or the three
>months I had to wait at 29 Palms for an opening in the BE course
>(during which I worked as an assistant for the supply officer working
>on the TICKET computer system -- an educational mainframe system that
>was under development).

Come to think of it, if you had several different specialties (as you
claim) then you would have have a LIST in box 11 of -your- DD-214; and
you would have also known that those times listed were for time served
in the MOS indicated.

You bungled again, Dudly.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3312. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 07:11:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m7d5h11bqfuo8b1r64fmetkqjkmqbtoum6@4ax.com>
Source record: 117663

On 25 Aug 2005 04:12:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124968377.446171.230560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>> > Blue Nose card.
>>
>> What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>
> The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
>the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
>Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...

Try Myrtle Beach and Huntington Beach -- the latter should be required
duty for every single Marine!

> Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>PFC"...?!??!
>
> Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>
> I made Lance Corporal before I could get the "cash sales" smell
>out of my uniforms...

If that's true then you must have skated right through boot camp.....
especially the gas chamber.

>Corporal on the second round of cutting scores
>(and that was in the rank-tight Avionics MOS of 6616)

Very impressive, especially when enlisted in the only branch of the
military that doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

>...Sergeant in
>less three years of my arrival in boot camp...

Which means you were promoted only twice in the 15 years that
followed. A record to be proud of, I'm sure.

> Some resource on USMC policy Frank of Silliland turned out to be!

Your ignorance about the Marine Corps is exceeded only by your
third-grade rhetoric -- hopefully that Pee-Wee Herman seminar you
attended didn't include tips on public masterbation.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3313. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 10:21:49 UTC
From: Chameleon <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hco5h1dnqk0fq8jdrl67h6lb5stc2s2alc@4ax.com>
Source record: 117665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 18:44:43 -0400, "Maritime Phone Patcher"
<baaahahahahah@yahoo.com> wrote:

>As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice
>of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet
>been paid.

Of course it hasn't been "paid."
You don't PAY an "apparent" liability.
You pay it IF and WHEN when it becomes a fine.

# 3314. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 10:22:49 UTC
From: Chameleon <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <bfo5h1t3f01k3eq30570i8irto06fm19ig@4ax.com>
Source record: 117664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It ain't over until it's over.

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 18:44:43 -0400, "Maritime Phone Patcher"
<baaahahahahah@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>*** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***
>
>
>
>ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
>(from the ARRL Letter )
>
>The FCC has confirmed that the agency has set aside the license
>renewal application of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, of Belgrade
>Lakes, Maine. This after routinely granting it on July 22nd.
>
>As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice
>of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet
>been paid. His current license expires on October 18th.
>In years past, if a person contested fine and his or her
>license expired during the period when the matter was
>being adjudicted, that person could continue to operate
>until a final determination was made.
>
>That all changed in November of 2004 when the
>agency enacted the so-called "Red Light Rule"
>With the "Red Light Rule" in place, the FCC can
>decline to renew a license during the appeals
>process and order the person off the air once his
>or her current license expires.
>
>The agency's July 25th notice to K1MAN was signed
>by Tracy Simmons who is Associate Chief for Licensing
>Operations of the Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure.
>Division of the FCC. In it, the FCC says that the set
>aside is for enforcement review purposes. Whether or not
>the regulartory agency will invoke the Red Light rule in
>Baxter's case won't be known until after October 18th
>unless he pays the NAL or settles the matter in another
>way before that date.
>
>Back on November 1, 2004, the FCC's put its "Red Light"
>rule into effect. Under it, the agency must withhold action

>on applications and other requests for benefits when the
>applicant is shown in the FCC's database as being delinquent
>in non-tax debts owed to the Commission. This means that
>the regulatory agency will no longer accept applications
>from debtors, process their pending requests, or provide
>other benefits until the delinquency is resolved.
>
>To make this work the Commission checks its records against
>each application under that applicant's federal registration number.
>This, to determine if the applicant or any other entity using
>the same taxpayer identification number is delinquent in
>any debt owed to the Commission. If the Commission finds
>that the person to be a delinquent debtor, the request is "red lighted."
>The Commission then stops the processing and notifies the
>applicant that he or she has 30 days to either pay the debt
>or make arrangements for payment of the debt. If payment
>arrangements or correction of the records is not made,
>the Commission will dismiss the application or request.
>
>There are three extremely limited exceptions to this rule.
>First, if the applicant through an attorney files a timely
>administrative appeal or has contested the existence
>or amount of the debt, the debt will not be considered
>delinquent under the red light rule until the order in
>question is final. Also, the FCC will not consider it to
>be an immediate debt if the automatic stay provisions
>of the United States Bankruptcy Code have been
>invoked and are deemed to be applicable in a given case.
>Lastly, the Commission will process applications for
>emergency or special temporary authority involving safety
>of life or property including national security emergencies.
>Other than these, the delinquent applicant either must
>pay up or take the chance of loosing his or her ability
>to continue on the air.
>
>-----------------------
>
>"Herbie Baby is Number One !"
>

# 3315. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 12:25:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <c9DQe.3746$9i4.1945@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117666

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125249413.710857.99340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1125229457.482881.69540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1125196737.835185.56030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Obviously they haven't actually
>> >> > listened to the parts of the ham bands where
>> >> > Morse Code is used.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Model Ts aren't dead.
>> >> >> Tailwheel airplanes aren't dead. Neither is CW.
>> >> >
>> >> > True enough.
>> >> >
>> >> >> However, the driver's license test doesn't include hand-
>> >> >> cranking Model T
>> >> >> engines, nor does the airplane certificate test include
>> >> >> 3-point landings in tailwheel airplanes.
>> >> >
>> >> > That's because the percentage of autos with handcranks is very
>> >> > small. So is the percentage of taildragger aircraft.
>> >>
>> >> Better example from the auto anology is manual gearboxes.
>> >> There are significant numbers of new vehicles made every
>> >> day which have manual gearboxes...but no state mandates
>> >> driver testing on a manual gearbox to be able to drive one.
>> >
>> > Last statistics I saw were that 5% of new cars have manual
>> > transmissions. The other 95% sold today are automatics.
>>
>> Agreed, but in terms of total vehicles sold new each year
>> in the US, that is several hundred thousand vehicles
>> with stick shifts every year.
>
> Out of total sales in the millions. A tiny niche market. In fact,
> almost all cars can be ordered with an automatic transmission, but many
> *cannot* be ordered with a manual transmission.
>
>> > Morse Code accounts for a lot more than 5% of amateur radio
>> > HF/MF operation.
>>
```

>> The point still reverts to the exclusivity (i.e. stand-alone)
>> testing for one mode and one mode only. No other mode, or
>> subject area is so tested for an amateur license.
>
> Sure - because no other popular mode requires skills the
> average person does not already posess.

Digital modes involve a certain skill level at typing.
No one is tested to be sure they can operate at
X wpm minimum speed. Additionally, what's wrong with
a ham starting out at even 1 wpm on the air. Why
must there be a minimum skill level. Two no-code
techs can do that now on VHF. What's so special
about HF vs VHF. Clearly the international community
no longer sees the need for a morse skill test.

> How many hams would have to learn to talk in order to use voice
> modes? How many would have to learn to read and type to use keyboard
> modes?
>
> What's different about Morse Code is that most new hams today have to
> learn it just for amateur radio. And that, IMHO, is what bugs some
> folks so much.

Probably so.

>> >> > But the percentage of ham stations on HF/MF using Morse
>> >> > Code is much, much higher.
>> >>
>> >> So? Nothing in the amateur rules requires anyone to every
>> >> make a CW QSO, or, for that matter any contact
>> >> using any mode at all.
>> >
>> > Exactly. Yet there are all sorts of test questions on things no ham is
>> > required to do.
>> >
>> > Why?
>>
>> Read again the following:
>> The point still reverts to the exclusivity (i.e. stand-alone)
>> testing for one mode and one mode only. No other mode, or
>> subject area is so tested for an amateur license.
>>
>> >> The issue is and always has been
>> >> the exclusive CW test in comparison to knowledge
>> >> tested for any other modes.
>> >
>> > Without knowledge of those other modes, you can't get a
>> > license, even if all you want to do is to use Morse Code.
>>
>> Wrong....you can ignore or not learn about several specific

>> subject areas...one or more modes of operation, etc. and
>> still get a passing test grade.
>
> That depends entirely on what you consider a "subject area". If you
> define "subject area" as "questions about SSB voice", one could
> probably get all the questions about SSB voice wrong and still pass -
> *if* almost all of the others were answered correctly.
>
> But if you define "subject area" as "questions about voice modes", it's
> doubtful that one could get all the questions about voice modes wrong
> and still pass - even if almost all of the others were answered
> correctly.

You assume ALL unknown questions would be answered wrong.
Test taking 101 sez for ALL questions you don't know the answer to
pick C. Doing so for 10 questions the test taker has no clue on
is bound to net at least 2 or 3 correct answers.

>> >> > However, the remaining Morse Code test is probably
>> >> > going away soon.
>> >>
>> >> Just a matter of time.
>> >
>> > Probably.
>> >
>> >> >> Why hang on to an obsolete technology on the EXAM for
>> >> >> those who choose not to participate in the obsolete mode?
>> >> >
>> >> > "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
>> >> > in HF amateur radio.
>> >> >
>> >> > Why are there written exams with questions on electronics
>> >> > for those who chose not to build their radios?
>> >>
>> >> No separate test exists for only the electronics.
>> >
>> > Nope - but try to pass the exam without electronics knowledge.
>>
>> It still isn't a separate exclusive test.
>
> Doesn't have to be.
>
>> If you get all
>> the other stuff (rules, regs, etc) 100%, you can miss
>> a greater percentage of electronic questions then
>> if it was a separate subject area test.
>
> But you can't miss all of them.

But let's say you get 50% of those. Then you may end up
passing the test if all the other stuff (rules/regs) is answered

correctly. That isn't the case for the stand-alone morse
test.

> The fact of the matter is that the current written tests involve a lot
> of subject areas, but not in a lot of depth. Morse Code testing
> involves one subject area, in somewhat more depth (although at 5 wpm,
> "depth" becomes somewhat questionable).
>
> It's like saying we have a manual-transmission test where the
> person must get the car in first gear and drive around an empty parking
> lot at 5 mph for one minute. And folks say that's too much to ask!

And the IARU plus the WRC have stated it isn't required. They have
left the choice to each individual administration...and that's where we
are today in USA regs. The FCC has said it will end all morse
testing (NPRM 05-235). Commentors are now and have been
submitting their views for a month or more already. I haven't
seen even ONE persuasive new argument to save code testing.
All the procode test support argumennts are the same ones
as before which the FCC has already reviewed and dismissed.

>> >> The written is
>> >> scored on an overall basis....not on a subject area stand-alone >
>> >> basis.
>> >> Add some CW questions (similar in format to existing
>> >> questions on the phonetic alphabet) to the tests then.
>> >
>> > What Canada has done solves that problem.
>>
>> Works for me.
>>
>> >> >> Moreover, there aren't special lanes on the road for Model
>> >> >> Ts,
>> >> >> nor are there special runways for tailwheel airplanes.
>> >> >
>> >> > But there are special lanes on some roads for cars
>> >> > only, high-occupancy vehicles only, etc.
>> >> >
>> >> > There are sidewalks and trails on which motor vehicles
>> >> > are banned.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Why are there special segments of
>> >> >> the band for CW.
>> >> >
>> >> > The only CW-only parts of the US ham bands are
>> >> > 50.0-50.1 MHz and 144.0-144.1 MHz.
>> >> > All other HF "CW" subbands are shared with
>> >> > digital/data modes.
>> >>
>> >> Correct.
>

> So would you support a reasonable set of Morse Code only subbands,
> Bill? Say, the bottom 10-15% of each HF ham band?

I've said before that I have no problem with doing so.
Within the USA, we could do so via ARRL voluntary
bandplans without ever involving the FCC.
Doing so might actually serve as a good incentive for some
folks to learn and use morse. Makes far more sense to
me than trying to keep the test itself.

>> >> Cheers and I see my July 06 prediction becoming more of a
>> >> possibility every day that passes now.
>> >
>> > Let's see...comments close sometime this fall...FCC takes
>> > six months to produce the R&O, coming out in early
>> > spring 2006...effective early summer 2006.
>> >
>> > You may be the winnah!
>
> The Pool is still ongoing.
>
> One thing I notice about FCC R&Os for the amateur service is that
> they almost never put changes into effect on the first of a month -
> always midmonth or something like that.

We'll see.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 3316. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 12:34:36 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <whDQe.3751$9i4.2050@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117667

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mnwQe.3121$Wd7.3070@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> It would serve the useful purpose of making
>> sure drivers had the basic skills required to
>> drive a manual transmission car.
>
> There currently is no such test, but drivers who decide to use manual
> transmissions seem to learn that skill without a government test.

And they appear to do so with no threat to anyone else.
When my kids got their licenses they first learned and passed
their test using an automatic transmission. They later then
took on the task of learning manual transmissions at a point
where they alraedy were comfortable with the basic driving
skills and could then focus more on the additional skills
needed to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.

> Similarly if there is no Morse skill test, hams who decide to use that
> mode will take it upon themselves to learn that skill without the need for
> government testing.
>
>> That complexity would benefit from some rules changes.
>> Like a protected space for good old Morse Code.
>
> Morse code currently enjoys free access to essentially every Hz of amateur
> spectrum. Since CW is your (and my) favorite mode, that's what Martha
> Stewart might call "a good thing�" Would you support removal of that free
> access in exchange for "protected space" pro-rated by bandwidth relative
> to "protected space" for other popular modes?
>
> For example, a good fast CW signal might require "protection" for 150Hz
> and a properly operated SSB station might require "protection" for 2400Hz.
> Based on that ratio and your notion of "protected space" for each mode,
> for each 10KHz protected segment of CW spectrum, SSB operators should have
> a protected segment of 160KHz.

Excellent point.

> Be careful what you wish for.
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>

# 3317. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 12:54:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.29.12.54.51.204699@gmail.com>
Source record: 117668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL:

No.

That is what is known as a "Freudian Slip." (and, not be confused with a ham in a slip)

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 22:28:32 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> It's suppose to be No, I'm not you fucking asshole

# 3318. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 12:56:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.29.12.56.46.896800@gmail.com>
Source record: 117669

K0HB:

There is no such thing as time... time is something humans make up to
deal with their universe--there is only movement...

John

On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 05:52:35 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>
>> So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it had no
>> beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning, the what was
>> before that?
>
> Since there was no universe, there was no time. If there was no time, there
> obviously was no "before".

# 3319. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 12:59:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.29.12.59.40.193559@gmail.com>
Source record: 117670

Frank:

I am kind of the same mind--live and let live...

However, it does kinda bother me when I think they may be here having
trans-gender operations though--and getting amateur licenses! <blank-look>

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 23:51:15 -0700, Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 20:13:38 -0700, John Smith
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <pan.2005.08.28.03.13.36.469468@gmail.com>:
>
>>Frank:
>>
>>Frankly, if I thought anything, I would suspect that it would be the
>>"supreme aliens" who were able to call the shots--I don't think our gov't
>>or the world gov'ts for that matter would be doing much of anything--at
>>least not anything the aliens were not telling them to do...
>>
>>Who knows, keep an open mind, maybe they are just raising us like a head
>>of cattle--and butchering time is near!!! <terrified-look!>
>
>
> I think "The Outer Limits" already did that story.
>
>
>>... do I think that is really happening? Heck, I don't know if there is a
>>supreme being, I don't know if we came from a mud puddle, how should I
>>know, if you ask me--all the choices just sound crazy, ask the aliens!
>><chuckle>
>
>
> Well, I don't care if there are aliens tourists on this planet or not,
> just as long as they don't take our jobs, rape our women, or try to
> masquerade as retired Marine Corps Gunnery Sergeants.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3320. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 14:11:29 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125324688.995489.200650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <det6m0$shr$0@pita.alt.net>
"Meta" <J...@joez.uk> wrote:
>
>
> The below comments were posted by Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ.

BWHAHAHAHA..You are so fucking stupid, Davies. You are so mentally ill
you are seeing Roger behind everything. I bet you even see him in your
dreams.

> Roger most often hides behind anonymous remailers.

And you hide behind two dozen different sockpuppets, Lardass Lloyd
Daives.
That is until your money (which will soon run out) problems forces you
off the net.

>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125250513.323736.202530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
> >defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
> >suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.

> Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Toadie, you can't even get out of your parents' basement to live. Now
have another one of your fag fits, you fucking spammer.

# 3321. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 14:28:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125325698.219106.123540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117672

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 04:48:00 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <4swQe.3124$Wd7.1507@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Hit the tropics on ship and you become a "Turtleback".
> >>
> >
> >Spent 21 years in the Navy and never met a "Turtleback".
> >
> >But on the wall next to my Bluenose certificate are a Shellback certificate and
> >a Golden Shellback certificate.
> >
> >73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Shellback.... Turtleback..... same difference...(SNIP)

No, they're not.

> but my bad.

There you go...Especially since you fancy yourself the "corporate
knowledge" on all things Naval and Marine to "trip up" others on,
Frankie...

Seems you suffer a bit of knowledge lag yourself.

> Still, you know what I meant. I didn't get that far South.

I bet...

Steve, K4YZ

# 3322. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 14:50:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125327039.883640.301860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117673

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125233686.756372.266140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank of Silliland wrote:
> >> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 13:23:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> in <UOZPe.2188$Wd7.563@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> >> >Okay. Maybe you're a slow learner or perhaps you just have no sense of
> >> >remorse. You told us that you weren't a model Marine.
> >>
> >> Feel free to speculate all you want about my service.
> >
>
> Quite the contrary, Dudly: my proficiency marks were consistently
> high; it was my conduct marks that took a couple nose-dives.

You were STILL incompetent.

COMPETENCY as a Marine is more than mastering the technical
aspects of your MOS.

Huge snip.

> > So far you've "proved" nothing except that YOUR trivia isn't
> >necessarilly someone elses, and even then you've so grossly discredited
> >yourself as to make ANY argument lost.
>
>
> Wrong again, Dudly. Neither of my disciplinary actions resulted in my
> discharge. I fulfilled the full term of my contract. But after two
> court-martials...(SNIP)

TWO COURT MARTIALS...?!?!

IN ONE ENLSITMENT...?!?!?!

I didn't get ONE "Office Hours" IN NEARLY TWO decades of service!

> (UNSNIP)...my conduct marks were just one tenth of a point too low
> for an honorable discharge so I got a "General under Honorable". And
> here is where I know that your claim to have upgraded your discharge
> is bull#### -- because I -did- upgrade my discharge. (Warning: long
> story.....)

There's nothing "bull####", Frankie, except YOUR suggestion that
you're some sort of valid point of reference as to what constitutes
having "served" in the Marines or not.

> Back in early '85 I injured my knee on a forced march....(SNIP)

What happened to YOU happened to YOU, and is not indicative of how
EVERY Marine's case is handled, Frankie...Mine included.

Big snip of sob story.

> Now a medical discharge is also a "general under honorable" discharge.

My original says "HONORABLE."

No qualifiers.

Sorry yours was otherwise.

> So all those claims of yours about your discharge are completely
> bogus, Dudly. They have absolutely no foundation in reality.

What has "no foundation in reality" is any suggestion that you are
a competent, reliable source of "corporate knowledge" on the Marine
Corps other than being a one-tour, sick-bay-commando discipline
problem.

> > Loser. Hoser. Poser.
> >
>
> Coming from a retired Marine Corps gunny, that's pathetic.

Sorry, Frankie.

I "call's em as I see's em"...

You come in here whipping up a storm making claims of who is a
"valid" Marine and who isn't, and you turn out to be the aforementioned
one-tour, sick-bay-commando discipline problem.

> If you are
> going to continue this "truth-by-repitition" charade then at least get
> someone to ghost-write your posts so you don't sound like a 3rd grade
> dropout.

"repetition"

And if you'e going to come at me with assertions of what is and
isn't "The Real Marine Corps", at least have the decency of having
Honorably served that same Marine Corps. YOU DIDN'T. You made a
PROMISE when you enlisted and you FAILED to keep up your end of the
bargain. Not once, but twice, by your own admission.

Loser.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3323. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 15:01:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125327686.038165.39680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117674

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 25 Aug 2005 04:12:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124968377.446171.230560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> >> > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >> > Blue Nose card.
> >>
> >> What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >
> > The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> >the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> >Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
>
> Try Myrtle Beach and Huntington Beach -- the latter should be required
> duty for every single Marine!

Was at Myrtle Beach as a TME'er with VMFA-333 and got tired of
Huntington Beach driving by it all the time while stationed at MCAS(H)
Tustin.

> > Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
> >PFC"...?!??!
> >
> > Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
> >
> > I made Lance Corporal before I could get the "cash sales" smell
> >out of my uniforms...
>
> If that's true then you must have skated right through boot camp.....
> especially the gas chamber.

How many sets of your Class A's did you wear IN the gas chamber,
Frankie...?!?!

> >Corporal on the second round of cutting scores
> >(and that was in the rank-tight Avionics MOS of 6616)
>
> Very impressive, especially when enlisted in the only branch of the
> military that doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

They did in the 70's, Frankie.

> >...Sergeant in
> >less three years of my arrival in boot camp...
>
> Which means you were promoted only twice in the 15 years that
> followed. A record to be proud of, I'm sure.

I wasn't the only Sergeant in the Avionics field that had to suck
air for promotions, Frankie...

Too bad your frame of reference doesn't seem to expand beyond your
knee and your two court martials.

> > Some resource on USMC policy Frank of Silliland turned out to be!
>
>
> Your ignorance about the Marine Corps is exceeded only by your
> third-grade rhetoric -- hopefully that Pee-Wee Herman seminar you
> attended didn't include tips on public masterbation.

Well now, Frankie! I see who's pulling THIS into the sandlot!

Gotta hurt, though...I am sure that, for a couple of days, it felt
"good" taking some swipes at someone who managed to accomplish
something you DIDN'T in the Marines...make it to a Staff NCO rank.

Loser.

Steve, K4YZ

## 3324. It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 15:08:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.29.15.08.35.324586@gmail.com>
Source record: 117675

Here you have a true picture of the sorry state of amateur radio. A bunch of ancient, silly old men lying about military service, and arguing about such... all which has nothing to do with the amateur hobby in this century, in this millennium...

These subjects, which the vast majority of amateurs have no interest in, do nothing to assist amateur radio, indeed, they only further aids its' decay.

CW is as important to the future as the model A, the steam engine, the the horse and buggy, etc... and yet you have the greatest expenditure of energy revolving around it. There are no men with the vision, courage and skills to be able to step in and move focus on points which would move amateur radio ahead...

Only old gossipers using ancient technology to further their own self-serving interests are the problem, sane men have fled for other frontiers...

It is ridiculous to watch...

John

# 3325. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 15:59:06 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <devbca$1e6i$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117676

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:

<snip>

>>I'm certainly all for keeping those accursed robot
>>stations in their
>>own section of the bands (actually, I am not in
>> favor of their existance
>>- I think they violate the spirit if not the law).
>
>
> Repeaters, satellites and beacons are robots of a sort.
> Should we ban those too?

Of course, the repeater is supposed to have an active control OP. The frequencies are also agreed upon. IOW, anyone operating simplex on say the portions of 2 meters designated as repeater frequencies might expect some problems. Sats are also pretty well defined too.

The nature of PSK31 is to use what is essentially the BW that 1 SSB signal would use. We pack a lot of signals in that small space. Due to the nature of the signal and modulation, we tend to congregate in just that one area.

When the pactor station opens up beside us, we can't tell each other to QSY, we are done for the day. Turn off the rig, or maybe change the band.

I suppose that we could agree on a predefined frequency to change to in the event of interference, since there is no way to let the robot station know that it is interfering with us.

But it seems to me that we are allowing unattended operation to interfere with what is a popular, BW conserving mode, populated by Amateurs who are at least (moreso IMHO) as gentlemanly and ladylike as CW to be QRM'ed in the interest of getting the spam through.

Yeah - progress.....

>
>>How is a robot
>>station that wipes out sometimes dozens of QSO's any different > from
>>certain Amateurs who have been known to broadcast "bulletins
>>right over top of ongoing QSOs?
>
>
> Several important measures:

>
> 1) Does the bulletin station operate on a published schedule of
> times and frequencies?
>
> 2) Does the bulletin station transmit only information of
> clear and special interest to radio amateurs? (IOW, not general
> news and such?)
>
> 3) Is the bulletin station using an approved method of control?

First, let me state my position:

I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the
amateur bands.

Period.

All of the "qualifications as to published schedules, frequencies,
interests, and controls is bafflegab, designed to justify the ARRL
transmissions.

There are people like K1MAN in the world, ready to rub peoples noses in
the mud any chance they get. and this is a big fat chance here!

>
>>>Voice modes like SSB and AM are protected from modes like
>>>PSK31 and
>>>RTTY. The spectrum allowed to those modes in the US HF ham
>>>bands
>>>amounts to more than half the total spectrum available! If
>>>such
>>>protection is good enough for SSB and AM, why not Morse Code?
>>
>> I have to smile at the concept of SSB and AM being
>> protected from my wimpy little PSK31 signal.
>
>
> But they are! You can legally transmit PSK31 anywhere on the HF ham
> bands where voice modes are *not* allowed. Why does SSB need
> protection from PSK31 but not Morse Code?

Dunno. Nothing like pertectin killerwatt signals from QRP!

> This sort of thing has some odd ramifiactions. Imagine if you wanted to
> use a combined text/voice mode. Such a mode might
> use SSB *with carrier* for the voice part, with the carrier
> phase-shifted to send the text. Such a mode is not allowed
> on amateur HF.
>
> One can even imagine a mode consisting of SSB on one sideband,
> SSTV-type images (digitally encoded) on the other, and text
> on the phase-shifted carrier. Something neat to try out, huh?
> Except it's not allowed on the amateur HF bands either.

>
> Butfull-carrier double-sideband AM voice is allowed.
>
> In both cases the prohibition is not due to the bandwidth used
> but because of the content (voice/image vs. text)

Now those are all things that can be worked on.

Did you hear about the proposed PSK31 text/voice mode? It actually
would probably work better as BPSK64, but it is both interesting and
goofy at the same time.

>
>>I understand your analogy, but I don't think it quite hits the
>>fundamental divide point. Certainly RTTY and SSTV and ATV
>>and HELL mode
>>have been around for quite a while.
>>
>
> Sure - but they've been of limited use until recently because of
> the difficulty of implementation. With the drastic reduction
> in the cost of a computer, the increased computing power, and
> the wide selection of easy-to-use freeware, the game is very
> different than even 10 years ago.
>
> Of course none of this prevents someone from having "happy fingers"....

hehe.

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 3326. No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 16:20:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QAGQe.4585$z2.2885@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117677

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

> 
> I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the amateur
> bands.
> 
> Period.
> 

No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency activations?

No code practice sessions?

No remote control of satellites?

No remote control of model airplanes?

No remote control of repeaters?

No telemetry from satellites?

No propagation beacons?

No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)

No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater system?

No.............

"Period"

Damn, Mike, you one ultra-conservative summabitch!

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3327. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 16:43:45 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <4OudnYrzhOjYpo7eRVn-oA@crocker.com>
Source record: 117678

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:QAGQe.4585$z2.2885@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>>
>> I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the
>> amateur bands.
>>
>> Period.
>>
>
> No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency
> activations?
>
> No code practice sessions?
>
> No remote control of satellites?
>
> No remote control of model airplanes?
>
> No remote control of repeaters?
>
> No telemetry from satellites?
>
> No propagation beacons?
>
> No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)
>
> No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater system?
>
> No.....
>
> "Period"
>
> Damn, Mike, you one ultra-conservative summabitch!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

don't forget, you have to call cq until someone answers you, otherwise it would be a one-way transmission! so you better be darn sure there is someone that is going to answer you before you call cq.

# 3328. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 18:29:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117679

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the amateur
>>bands.
>>
>>Period.
>>
>
>
> No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency activations?

Not unless it is part of an emergency net, and therefore inherently
part of two way conversations. If it is just a broadcast, turn on Fox
News or CNN.

> No code practice sessions?

No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self
taught. Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.

> No remote control of satellites?

That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way communications

> No remote control of model airplanes?

Is that us?

> No remote control of repeaters?

That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way communications.

> No telemetry from satellites?

That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way communications.

> No propagation beacons?

No. Try calling CQ! ;^)

> No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)

That is part of a two way system. (balloons)

I must confess that I don't know enough about ground based APRS to make
an informed judgment.

> No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater system?

Still part of two way comms.

> No.....
>
> "Period"

There is a big difference between what happens when a repeater or satellite is used, and when someone starts yappin or beepin with no intention of getting a reply.

The determination is made by the litmus test of whether or not the signals are used in two way transmissions or not.

> Damn, Mike, you one ultra-conservative summabitch!

Hehe, yes, sometimes I am!.....

- mike KB3EIA -

## 3329. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 18:36:47 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <devkju$eh6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117680

Dave wrote:

> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:QAGQe.4585$z2.2885@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>
>>>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the
>>>amateur bands.
>>>
>>>Period.
>>>
>>
>>No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency
>>activations?
>>
>>No code practice sessions?
>>
>>No remote control of satellites?
>>
>>No remote control of model airplanes?
>>
>>No remote control of repeaters?
>>
>>No telemetry from satellites?
>>
>>No propagation beacons?
>>
>>No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)
>>
>>No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater system?
>>
>>No.............
>>
>>"Period"
>>
>>Damn, Mike, you one ultra-conservative summabitch!
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> don't forget, you have to call cq until someone answers you, otherwise it
> would be a one-way transmission! so you better be darn sure there is

> someone that is going to answer you before you call cq.

Negative.

Unless a person is some kind of nut case where they just sit and call CQ without any intention of establishing a QSO, they are trying to engage in a two-way conversation.

Is K1MAN trying to engage in a QSO?

Is ARRL trying to engage in a QSO?

Is someone calling CQ trying to engage in a QSO?

Someone using a repeater?

Presumably, the answers are no, no, yes, yes.

See, it isn't to hard to have my opinion on this. It is at least as consistent as the Byzantine qualifications that people try to use to justify W1AW broadcasts versus K1MAN bulletins!

Some of us think perhaps a little more consistent.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

## 3330. What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 18:40:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <devkqn$eh8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117681

Since it seems that I am hopelessly confused between control signals and broadcasts, could some of the more knowledgeable hams give me a little primer on how K1MAN broadcasts are the same thing as sending a control signal to a repeater?

# 3331. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 18:46:02 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125341162.164775.298570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117682

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

26 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1923

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 94

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 524 28.65%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1040 56.86%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 265 14.49%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 26 Aug 05 that would be 1829.

As of 29 Aug 05, there still hasn't been any notice in the
Federal Register under Federal Communications Commission on
the NPRM FCC 05-143 or WT Docket 05-235. I wonder why not?

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

cup kin
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3332. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 18:47:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125341250.216452.148720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117683

From: John Smith on Aug 29, 8:08 am

>Here you have a true picture of the sorry state of amateur radio. A bunch
>of ancient, silly old men lying about military service, and arguing about
>such... all which has nothing to do with the amateur hobby in this
>century, in this millennium...
>
>These subjects, which the vast majority of amateurs have no interest in,
>do nothing to assist amateur radio, indeed, they only further aids its'
>decay.
>
>CW is as important to the future as the model A, the steam engine, the
>the horse and buggy, etc... and yet you have the greatest expenditure of
>energy revolving around it. There are no men with the vision, courage and
>skills to be able to step in and move focus on points which would move
>amateur radio ahead...

Actually, there ARE those. Only one of them has been in this
newsgroup (Carl Stevenson)...and has been roundly booed or
snubbed by those "ancient, silly old men" you mentioned.

>Only old gossipers using ancient technology to further their own
>self-serving interests are the problem, sane men have fled for other
>frontiers...
>
>It is ridiculous to watch...

I find it morbidly fascinating! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3333. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 18:49:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125341386.266836.319560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117684

From: Bill Sohl on Aug 29, 5:25 am

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message

<snip of analogies into far-different activities>

>>> > Morse Code accounts for a lot more than 5% of amateur radio
>>> > HF/MF operation.

The U.S. amateur radio frequency allocations show that
MF-HF accounts for only 1 percent (rounding to integers)
of total bandspace. Manual radiotelegraphy ("CW") is
optional for ANY amateur class (except the old Novice)
on ANY amateur band above 30 MHz...yet, without taking
any "official poll" on it, it is obvious that "CW" is
LESS than 1 percent of all amateur operation above 30 MHz.
One percent of one percent gets to be a tiny value.

>>> The point still reverts to the exclusivity (i.e. stand-alone)
>>> testing for one mode and one mode only. No other mode, or
>>> subject area is so tested for an amateur license.
>
>> Sure - because no other popular mode requires skills the
>> average person does not already posess.
>
>Digital modes involve a certain skill level at typing.
>No one is tested to be sure they can operate at
>X wpm minimum speed. Additionally, what's wrong with
>a ham starting out at even 1 wpm on the air. Why
>must there be a minimum skill level. Two no-code
>techs can do that now on VHF. What's so special
>about HF vs VHF. Clearly the international community
>no longer sees the need for a morse skill test.

Anyone with a communications receiver or scanner can find
out that FEW "average hams" speak or enunciate well. :-)
There has NEVER been a speaking test for ANY U.S. amateur
radio license examination.

FEW "average persons" can operate TV modes, slow or fast
scan, without the automatic easy-to-use features found on
all consumer grade motion-picture cameras on the market

now. I've not only used an RCA studio TV camera WITHOUT
those features but checked alignment/scanning and the
electronics of same. NO SUCH TV mode MANUAL TEST is done
in U.S. amateur radio licensing.

NO Manual Data mode TEST has ever been required in U.S.
amateur radio licensing EVER, yet the FCC allocates Data
modes coexisting with "CW" on almost all amateur bands.

Manual radiotelegraphy "proficiency" testing has ALWAYS
been in U.S. amateur radio licensing, through the three
predecessor radio regulating agencies before the FCC was
created. It is an "artifact" of testing that has never
been removed due to opposition from just enough lobbying
efforts to keep it in place. That it has "always been
there" is absolutely NO valid reason to keep it.

The international community, as represented by the IARU,
came out over a year before WRC-03 on eliminating the code
test for any license...at the option of each
administration. The ARRL was opposed to elimination on
through the last day of WRC-03 and now are ambivilent on
that. We have yet to see the ARRL's comments on NPRM
05-143 in WT Docket 05-235.

The ARRL's "compromise" plan is to retain the code test
for Amateur Extra only. That is clearly a sop to their
core membership who favor radiotelegraphy. However, at
only 145 thousand members, the total ARRL membership is
only about 20% of all individual licensed radio amateurs
in the USA (721,481 as of 26 August 2005).

>> How many hams would have to learn to talk in order to use voice
>> modes?

More than you would believe to listen to them on the air. :-)

>> How many would have to learn to read and type to use keyboard
>> modes?

"Data" modes are not restricted to just keyboard input.

>> What's different about Morse Code is that most new hams today have to
>> learn it just for amateur radio. And that, IMHO, is what bugs some
>> folks so much.
>
>Probably so.

Not "probably." MOST CERTAINLY.

52 years ago when I operated my first big HF transmitter, "CW"
skills were NOT required to send TTY and Voice on HF over "DX"
paths. Of course that was in the military and the morsemen's
argument is "that isn't amateur radio!" :-) Well, neither is

broadcasting (called "Mass Media" radio services now), private
land mobile radio service, aircraft radio service, or personal
radio services...but all those services operate by the very
same physical laws as does amateur radio service. Broadcasting,
PLMRS, aircraft, personal radio services do NOT use "CW" mode
nor do they test for that.

Probably what REALLY "bugs" the NCTA is the terrible arrogance
of the PCTA that morse code is the heart and soul of amateur
radio, or words to that effect...plus, "only 'real' amateurs
know code," a terribly elitist, exclusionary bit of bigotry
that grew stronger with the so-called "incentive plan" licensing
(lobbied for by the ARRL).

<snip>

>> But if you define "subject area" as "questions about voice modes", it's
>> doubtful that one could get all the questions about voice modes wrong
>> and still pass - even if almost all of the others were answered
>> correctly.
>
>You assume ALL unknown questions would be answered wrong.
>Test taking 101 sez for ALL questions you don't know the answer to
>pick C. Doing so for 10 questions the test taker has no clue on
>is bound to net at least 2 or 3 correct answers.

Miccolis is trying to tap-dance around the plain, simple fact:
Fail the code test on a General or Extra exam and one FAILS
to get the General or Extra upgrade. NOT a question of
"so many 'other' questions right/wrong," a matter of singular
FAILURE.

<snip>

>> But you can't miss all of them.
>
>But let's say you get 50% of those. Then you may end up
>passing the test if all the other stuff (rules/regs) is answered
>correctly. That isn't the case for the stand-alone morse
>test.

You said that before, I've said that before, lots of others have
said that before, and the FCC says so in the regulations.
Miccolis is trying to smokescreen the plain, simple fact that
the manual radiotelegraphy test is stand-alone and NOT a part
of the written test elements.

>> The fact of the matter is that the current written tests involve a lot
>> of subject areas, but not in a lot of depth. Morse Code testing
>> involves one subject area, in somewhat more depth (although at 5 wpm,
>> "depth" becomes somewhat questionable).
>
>> It's like saying we have a manual-transmission test where the

>> person must get the car in first gear and drive around an empty parking
>> lot at 5 mph for one minute. And folks say that's too much to ask!

Automotive vehicle operation IS NOT A PART OF NPRM 05-143 OR
WT DOCKET 05-235. Geez...

>And the IARU plus the WRC have stated it isn't required. They have
>left the choice to each individual administration...and that's where we
>are today in USA regs. The FCC has said it will end all morse
>testing (NPRM 05-235). Commentors are now and have been
>submitting their views for a month or more already. I haven't
>seen even ONE persuasive new argument to save code testing.

Excuse me? Morse code will be extremely valuable in coordinating
communications to counter-attacking alien invaders; the will
never understand morse code so they will be caught be surprise.
That has been amply documented in the film "Independence Day."

:-)

>All the procode test support argumennts are the same ones
>as before which the FCC has already reviewed and dismissed.

Deja vu all over again. <yawn>

>> So would you support a reasonable set of Morse Code only subbands,
>> Bill? Say, the bottom 10-15% of each HF ham band?
>
>I've said before that I have no problem with doing so.
>Within the USA, we could do so via ARRL voluntary
>bandplans without ever involving the FCC.
>Doing so might actually serve as a good incentive for some
>folks to learn and use morse. Makes far more sense to
>me than trying to keep the test itself.

NPRM 05-143 is NOT about "bandplans." It is SOLEY
concerned with elimination or retention of Test Element 1,
the manual radiotelegraphy skill test.

<snip>

>> One thing I notice about FCC R&Os for the amateur service is that
>> they almost never put changes into effect on the first of a month -
>> always midmonth or something like that.
>
>We'll see.

Hello? WHEN was R&O 99-412 issued? [it was on "restructuring"]

Good grief, all these "insiders" haven't been making notes...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3334. Re: What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 18:56:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GTIQe.4626$z2.2650@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117685

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

> Since it seems that I am hopelessly confused between control signals and
> broadcasts, could some of the more knowledgeable hams give me a little primer
> on how K1MAN broadcasts are the same thing as sending a control signal to a
> repeater?

Both are "one-way" transmissions in the amateur bands.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3335. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 19:05:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o0JQe.1991$4P5.1387@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117686

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>
>>>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the amateur
>>>bands.
>>>
>>>Period.
>>>
>>
>>
>> No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency
>> activations?
>
> Not unless it is part of an emergency net, and therefore inherently part of
> two way conversations. If it is just a broadcast, turn on Fox News or CNN.

In other words, information bulletins from W1AW (or whoever) announcing FCC
invoked communications emergencies should not be allowed?
>
>> No code practice sessions?
>
> No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught. Get
> on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.

Until you learn to COPY Morse code, it'll be kinda hard to "get on the air and
find someone".

>> No remote control of satellites?
>
> That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way communications

It's still a one-way transmission. Period.

>> No remote control of model airplanes?
>
> Is that us?

Says so in paragraph 97.215

>> No remote control of repeaters?
>
> That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way communications.

It's still a one-way transmission. Period.

>> No telemetry from satellites?
>
> That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way communications.

It's still a one-way transmission. Period.

>> No propagation beacons?
>
> No. Try calling CQ! ;^)

CQ is a one way transmission. Period.

>> No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)
>
> That is part of a two way system. (balloons)

It's still a one-way transmission. Period.

> I must confess that I don't know enough about ground based APRS to make
> an informed judgment.

It's still a one-way transmission. Period.

>> No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater system?
>
> Still part of two way comms.

It's still a one-way transmission. Period.

> The determination is made by the litmus test of whether or not the signals are
> used in two way transmissions or not.

None of the examples I gave "listen for a return signal", so by definition they
are "one way".

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3336. Re: What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 19:16:12 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <devmtr$174u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117687

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Since it seems that I am hopelessly confused between control signals and
>>broadcasts, could some of the more knowledgeable hams give me a little primer
>>on how K1MAN broadcasts are the same thing as sending a control signal to a
>>repeater?
>
>
> Both are "one-way" transmissions in the amateur bands.

What does the one way transmission to the repeater do? Is it just something that is done for fun, or "information" or is it something else? What about a signal to say, turn on a stray elimination filter? Is that just for sending out a signal, or is that signal made in order to improve the communications that the repeater handles? Are the signal tones that I send to activate the autopatch one way transmissions?

We seem to be very close to arguing that the subaudible tones, which are used to get me into some repeaters in the first place, are one way transmissions.

Or like the cute post about how if no one answers my CQ, I am making a broadcast.

Seems like people are doing a bit of stretch on what I am talking about here. Slippery slopin' it the whole way down. Slippery slope arguments are so tedious. Kinda like the old dudes at the mall getting upset because teenage girls are wearing shirts that expose their bellybuttons, and that means that soon we'll all be forced to worship Satan or sumpthin'..... yeah I suppose so. ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 3337. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 19:20:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_dJQe.4630$z2.105@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117688

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Actually, there ARE those. Only one of them has been in this
> newsgroup (Carl Stevenson)...and has been roundly booed or
> snubbed by those "ancient, silly old men" you mentioned.
>

"Only one"?

Present company excepted, how about these representative examples just for starters....

...W1RFI
...KE4ZV
...KA9Q
...WB9IVR(SK)
...KE3Z

# 3338. Re: What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 19:31:49 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <EtadndaR-Os1_47eRVn-vA@crocker.com>
Source record: 117689

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:devmtr$174u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Since it seems that I am hopelessly confused between control signals and
>>>broadcasts, could some of the more knowledgeable hams give me a little
>>>primer on how K1MAN broadcasts are the same thing as sending a control
>>>signal to a repeater?
>>
>>
>> Both are "one-way" transmissions in the amateur bands.
>
> What does the one way transmission to the repeater do? Is it just
> something that is done for fun, or "information" or is it something else?
> What about a signal to say, turn on a stray elimination filter? Is that
> just for sending out a signal, or is that signal made in order to improve
> the communications that the repeater handles? Are the signal tones that I
> send to activate the autopatch one way transmissions?
>
> We seem to be very close to arguing that the subaudible tones, which are
> used to get me into some repeaters in the first place, are one way
> transmissions.
>
> Or like the cute post about how if no one answers my CQ, I am making a
> broadcast.
>
no, a cq may be a one way transmission, but it is not a broadcast... a
review of the basics is in order i think.

```
definition in 97.3(a)
(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general
public, either direct or relayed.

from operating standards in 97.111

(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere
in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way
communications:
```

(1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
(2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way communications with other stations;

(3) Telecommand;

(4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;

(5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in, the international Morse code;

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;

(7) Transmissions of telemetry.

so, to totally ban one-way transmissions you would have to stop all these specifically permitted types of one-way transmissions. it is interesting to note the definition of broadcasting specifically aims the transmission at the general public... and the paragraphs that talk about transmitting information bulletins or code practice do not use the word broadcasting, they call it transmissions or transmitting.

# 3339. Re: What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 19:54:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IJJQe.4640$z2.1030@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117690

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

> Seems like people are doing a bit of stretch on what I am talking about here.

No stretch at all, Mike, when an educated fella says

" I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the amateur bands.

"Period."

That "period" business doesn't seem to leave any room for exceptions.

"One way' is "one-way". Period.

"Yes Officer, I saw the sign with the arrow, and I was only going one way!"

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 3340. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 20:32:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125347563.471592.138180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117691

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> >>I'm certainly all for keeping those accursed robot
> >>stations in their
> >>own section of the bands (actually, I am not in
> >> favor of their existance
> >>- I think they violate the spirit if not the law).

> > Repeaters, satellites and beacons are robots of a sort.
> > Should we ban those too?

> Of course, the repeater is supposed to have an active control
> OP.

True, but all that really means is that there needs to be somebody who
can shut the repeater down in case of trouble.

If a repeater is operating normally and the hams using it
follow the rules, its operation can be completely
automatic.

> The
> frequencies are also agreed upon. IOW, anyone operating simplex > on say
> the portions of 2 meters designated as repeater frequencies
> might expect
> some problems. Sats are also pretty well defined too.

And that's the whole point - let there be a place for the robots, not a
ban on them.
>
> The nature of PSK31 is to use what is essentially the BW
> that 1 SSB
> signal would use.

Not really. That's just current practice. It was driven in part by rigs
like the Warbler, and in part by the desire to avoid manually tuning
your rig.

> We pack a lot of signals in that small space. Due to
> the nature of the signal and modulation, we tend to congregate > in just that one area.

I think the congregating is due more to the nature of the
hardware/software implementations.

The Warbler is/was the ultimate example of that implementation - no
tuning at all! It takes in a couple-of-kHz slice of the band
and lets the soundcard and audio do the heavy demodulation and
modulation. Makes for a simple but highly inflexible radio.

> When the pactor station opens up beside us, we can't tell
> each other to
> QSY, we are done for the day. Turn off the rig,
> or maybe change the band.

Or switch modes to tell the others the new QRG. Gee, what mode
could do that job......?
>
> I suppose that we could agree on a predefined frequency
> to change to in
> the event of interference, since there is no way to
> let the robot
> station know that it is interfering with us.

Perhaps the robot's design should be such to detect what is going on..

But you raise a question - does the robot open up on top of the PSK31
watering hole, or adjacent to it?
>
> But it seems to me that we are allowing unattended operation to
> interfere with what is a popular, BW conserving mode, populated
> by
> Amateurs who are at least (moreso IMHO) as gentlemanly and
> ladylike as
> CW to be QRM'ed in the interest of getting the spam through.

Which is why coordination is needed. The robots need their place
and the PSKers *their* place.

You might be interested to research what used to go on around 3579
before PSK31 made that QRG popular for the mode...
>
> Yeah - progress.....

> >
> >>How is a robot
> >>station that wipes out sometimes dozens of QSO's any
> >>different from
> >>certain Amateurs who have been known to broadcast "bulletins
> >>right over top of ongoing QSOs?

> > Several important measures:
> >
> > 1) Does the bulletin station operate on a published
> > schedule of
> > times and frequencies?
> >
> > 2) Does the bulletin station transmit only information of
> > clear and special interest to radio amateurs? (IOW, not

> > general news and such?)
> >
> > 3) Is the bulletin station using an approved method of
> > control?

> First, let me state my position:
>
> I do not believe that one way transmissions
> should be legal on the amateur bands.
>
> Period.

As has been demonstrated in other posts, that's not a very
tenable position. Banning "one way" transmissions of all
types from amateur radio would seriously impede many
important aspects of the Amateur Radio Service, without
much in the way of benefits.
>
> All of the "qualifications as to published schedules,
> frequencies,
> interests, and controls is bafflegab, designed to
> justify the ARRL transmissions.

Not bafflegab at all, but rules designed to permit
important activities while still banning out-and-out
broadcasting.

> There are people like K1MAN in the world, ready to
> rub peoples noses in
> the mud any chance they get. and this is a big fat chance here!

IIRC, 'MAN violated several of the above requirements. For example,
there were times when there was no control operator
apparent.

> >>>Voice modes like SSB and AM are protected from modes like
> >>>PSK31 and
> >>>RTTY. The spectrum allowed to those modes in the US HF ham
> >>>bands
> >>>amounts to more than half the total spectrum available! If
> >>>such
> >>>protection is good enough for SSB and AM, why not Morse Code?
> >>
> >> I have to smile at the concept of SSB and AM being
> >> protected from my wimpy little PSK31 signal.
> >
> >
> > But they are! You can legally transmit PSK31 anywhere on the > > HF ham
> > bands where voice modes are *not* allowed. Why does SSB need
> > protection from PSK31 but not Morse Code?
>
> Dunno. Nothing like pertectin killerwatt signals from QRP!

That's a situation which "regulation by bandwidth" can fix *if* it's
done with some sense!
>
> > This sort of thing has some odd ramifiactions. Imagine if you > > wanted to
> > use a combined text/voice mode. Such a mode might
> > use SSB *with carrier* for the voice part, with the carrier
> > phase-shifted to send the text. Such a mode is not allowed
> > on amateur HF.
> >
> > One can even imagine a mode consisting of SSB on one sideband,
> > SSTV-type images (digitally encoded) on the other, and text
> > on the phase-shifted carrier. Something neat to try out, huh?
> > Except it's not allowed on the amateur HF bands either.
> >
> > Butfull-carrier double-sideband AM voice is allowed.
> >
> > In both cases the prohibition is not due to the bandwidth used
> > but because of the content (voice/image vs. text)
>
> Now those are all things that can be worked on.

Only if the rules change.

> Did you hear about the proposed PSK31 text/voice mode?

Not yet. As I understand the present rules, it's not allowed
on amateur HF in the USA. If you use it in the 'phone/image
subbands it's not allowed because of the text part, and if
you use it in the Morse Code/data subbands it's not allowed because of
the voice part.

> It actually
> would probably work better as BPSK64, but it is both
> interesting and
> goofy at the same time.

So what? I say, let those who are interested try it out!

> >>I understand your analogy, but I don't think it quite hits the
> >>fundamental divide point. Certainly RTTY and SSTV and ATV
> >>and HELL mode
> >>have been around for quite a while.

> > Sure - but they've been of limited use until recently because > > of
> > the difficulty of implementation. With the drastic reduction
> > in the cost of a computer, the increased computing power, and
> > the wide selection of easy-to-use freeware, the game is very
> > different than even 10 years ago.
> >
> > Of course none of this prevents someone from having "happy
> > fingers"....
>
> hehe.

>
Which shows the real problem: Short-circuit between the head-phones.

The robot problem has nothing to do with one-way transmissions.
It's a completely different situation.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3341. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 21:00:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oHKQe.3280$Wd7.2874@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117692

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Which is why coordination is needed. The robots need their place
> and the PSKers *their* place.
>

Coordination is the key word. Not inflexible regulation, not government mandated "indian reservations". As football fans are wont to plead against overly zealous referees ---- "Let 'em play!"

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 3342. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 21:26:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <c4LQe.4365$FW1.2987@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117693

He is talking about you.....Lennie.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125341250.216452.148720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Aug 29, 8:08 am
>
>
>>Here you have a true picture of the sorry state of amateur radio. A bunch
>>of ancient, silly old men lying about military service, and arguing about
>>such... all which has nothing to do with the amateur hobby in this
>>century, in this millennium...
>>
>>These subjects, which the vast majority of amateurs have no interest in,
>>do nothing to assist amateur radio, indeed, they only further aids its'
>>decay.
>>
>>CW is as important to the future as the model A, the steam engine, the
>>the horse and buggy, etc... and yet you have the greatest expenditure of
>>energy revolving around it. There are no men with the vision, courage and
>>skills to be able to step in and move focus on points which would move
>>amateur radio ahead...
>
> Actually, there ARE those. Only one of them has been in this
> newsgroup (Carl Stevenson)...and has been roundly booed or
> snubbed by those "ancient, silly old men" you mentioned.
>
>>Only old gossipers using ancient technology to further their own
>>self-serving interests are the problem, sane men have fled for other
>>frontiers...
>>
>>It is ridiculous to watch...
>
> I find it morbidly fascinating! :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

# 3343. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 21:57:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125352666.675294.192450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117694

From: K0HB on Aug 29, 12:20 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> Actually, there ARE those. Only one of them has been in this
>> newsgroup (Carl Stevenson)...and has been roundly booed or
>> snubbed by those "ancient, silly old men" you mentioned.
>
>"Only one"?

YES. Are you running for anything now, Hans? :-)

>Present company excepted, how about these representative examples just for
>starters....
>
>...W1RFI
>...KE4ZV
>...KA9Q
>...WB9IVR(SK)
>...KE3Z

Have those listed individuals been here in the last year? Last two
years?

Ed Hare (W1RFI) and Jon Bloom (KE3Z) are both EMPLOEES of the ARRL.
[Jon makes more than Ed]

I suppose either or both could run for some League office, but, to
avoid obvious conflict of interest charges and finger-pointing, they
would have to quit.

Gary Coffman (KE4ZV) USED to post in here on a fairly regular basis.
If he is running for some kind of post, I don't know it and will
civilly accept a correction. He hasn't been here lately.

Phil Karn (KA9Q) is vice-president for technology of Qualcomm. I
remember only one posting from him in THIS newsgroup.

Remember that "cell phones 'always' fail in an emergency" and can't
ever be used for emergency communications according to some amateur
mythologists. :-) [Qualcomm makes cell phone stuff for those too
iggorant to keep up with raddio things]

Hiram Percy Maxim (W1AW) is reputed to have "done a lot for amateur
radio" but he passed on before the electronic computer became
reality, well before the PC and the Internet existed.

Don Stoner did a lot for advancing the state of the (HF) amateur radio art and he and Pierre Goral formed a company in WA state called SGC (the Stoner-Goral Company). I don't think either one has been here, much less lately. They are both SK now.

All sorts of names come to mind. What else would you like to be corrected on? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3344. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 21:59:29 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <5zLQe.2957$Nx.83@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 117695

In article <devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

| > No remote control of model airplanes?
|
| Is that us?

Some of it is, yes.

The 72 mHz band is the `main' R/C plane band, and not ham radio, but there's frequencies in the six meter band allocated to R/C control.

Several of my R/C planes are on channel 5, 50.900 MHz. Ham band. According to the FCC regulations, I don't have to ID myself for model control like this, but I do have to put my call sign on the transmitter. And so there's a little sticker on the module that says AD5RH and has my name and number.

I'm not sure how anybody could claim that model control is not a one way transmission -- the plane doesn't have any sort of transmitter at all.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
Why is it considered necessary to nail down the lid of a coffin?

# 3345. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 22:01:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125352869.137822.274540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117696

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > Which is why coordination is needed. The robots need their
> > place and the PSKers *their* place.

> Coordination is the key word. Not inflexible regulation,
> not government mandated "indian reservations".

Coordination can take many forms.

At one extreme is the pure
"gentleman's agreement", which is not even formally codified
but is widely observed. For example, you don't hear routine
Morse Code operation in the 'phone/image subbands, even though
it's perfectly legal there. You may occasionally hear some
Morse Code used when conditions go bad enough to make SSB or AM
voice unusable, (such as KT4ST's experiences in a hurricane situation)
but not so bad as to keep Morse Code from getting
through. But that's the exception which proves the rule.

At the other extreme is absolute FCC regulation, like the
prohibition of "data" modes from the 'phone/image subbands.

In between are what is considered "good amateur practice" which,
while not formally part of FCC rules, needs to be followed to
avoid FCC enforcement action. For example, Part 97 does not
formally spell out repeater input and output frequencies nor
coordination rules. But if someone sets up an uncoordinated
repeater, and causes harmful interference to a coordinated
repeater, FCC can force the uncoordinated machine to move or
leave the air.
air.

> As football fans are wont to plead against
> overly zealous referees ---- "Let 'em play!"

Easy to say when one's team is winning....

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3346. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 22:16:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125353764.640287.237970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117697

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > So far you've "proved" nothing except that YOUR trivia isn't
> >necessarilly someone elses, and even then you've so grossly discredited
> >yourself as to make ANY argument lost.
>
> Wrong again, Dudly. Neither of my disciplinary actions resulted in my
> discharge. I fulfilled the full term of my contract. But after two
> court-martials my conduct marks were just one tenth of a point too low
> for an honorable discharge so I got a "General under Honorable". And
> here is where I know that your claim to have upgraded your discharge
> is bullshit -- because I -did- upgrade my discharge. (Warning: long
> story.....)
>
> Back in early '85 I injured my knee on a forced march. The corpsman
> wrote me a light-duty chit that was to stay in effect until I could
> get to the mainside (Lejeune proper) hospital to have it checked out.
> But before that could happen we were to go on a field-op. Since I was
> on light-duty I wasn't supposed to go on the op, but the shop chief
> (comm shop, not the tech shop) took the chit, put it in his desk and
> ordered me to go anyway. Since the chain of command was already in the
> field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
> me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
> refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
> summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
> private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.

It's all becoming very, very clear.

Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?

# 3347. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 22:16:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125353784.523194.202070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117698

Michael Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:

> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> >>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the amateur
> >>bands.
> >>
> >>Period.

> > No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications
> > emergency activations?
>
> Not unless it is part of an emergency net, and therefore
> inherently
> part of two way conversations. If it is just a broadcast, turn > on Fox News or CNN.

Fox News and CNN don't seem to cover the situation in the detail
needed by those in the affected area.

> > No code practice sessions?
>
> No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can
> now be self taught.

??

It's always been possible for code to be self-taught.
That's how I learned - listening to hams on 80 meters.
With a homebrew two-tube regenerative receiver and a wire
out to the crab apple tree.

>Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no
>anyhow.

If someone wants to learn Morse Code in order to actually
*use* the mode, rather than just to pass the test, being
able to listen to real live ham stations is the best way
to learn. Code practice like W1AW is predictable, dependable,
high quality and of known speed.

Is there no room on the bands for a few hours of Morse Code
practice?

> > No remote control of satellites?

> That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way
> communications

The first amateur radio satellite, launched more than 40
years ago, only carried a transmitter. It sent some basic
telemetry. Under your rules it would not have been allowed.

> > No remote control of model airplanes?
>
> Is that us?

Yes.

> > No remote control of repeaters?
>
> That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way
> communications.

Sounds like bafflegab to me. If the repeater sticks on and I
send a shutdown command, and the repeater goes dead, that's
one way.
>
> > No telemetry from satellites?
>
> That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way
> communications.

Only if the satellite is capable of two way. See Oscar 1,
above.
>
> > No propagation beacons?
>
> No. Try calling CQ! ;^)

The beacons are useful because they are a known quantity.

> > No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)
>
> That is part of a two way system. (balloons)

Not necessarily.

> I must confess that I don't know enough about ground based
> APRS to make
> an informed judgment.
>
> > No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater
> > system?
>
> Still part of two way comms.

Bafflegab.
>
> > No.....
> >
> > "Period"
>

> There is a big difference between what happens when
> a repeater or
> satellite is used, and when someone starts yappin
> or beepin with no
> intention of getting a reply.

So it's really all about *intent*, not about two-way
or one-way communications.

That much I can agree with!
>
> The determination is made by the litmus test of whether or not > the signals are used in two way transmissions or not.

Why?

What's wrong with beacons? Radio control? Code practice?
Telecommand and telemetry?

Seems the "no one way" stuff would really cut out a lot
of good things from the ARS, for no good reason.

btw, the pactor robots are not one-way devices - they are trying to
carry out two-way comms, right?

73 de Jim N2EY

# 3348. Re: AB5S WT 05-235 Comments to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 22:36:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o5MQe.2053$4P5.1260@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117699

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Easy to say when one's team is winning....

And here I thought hams were all on the same team.

Silly me!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 3349. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 23:02:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125356535.011465.234110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117700

hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > Since the chain of command was already in the
> > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
> > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
> > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
> > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
> > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
>
> It's all becoming very, very clear.
>
> Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?

It wouldn't matter.

Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".

A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.

That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.

He had recource. He didn't pursue it.

Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
Frankie's story, either. USMC Court Martials don't like having
judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...

Steve, K4YZ

## 3350. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-29 23:40:28 UTC
From: eberhard faber pontificator maximus <grapeseedextract5@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <81587$4313c3ad$d1cc455d$10758@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117704

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.29.15.08.35.324586@gmail.com...
>
> Here you have a true picture of the sorry state of amateur radio. A
bunch
> of ancient, silly old men lying about military service, and arguing about
> such... all which has nothing to do with the amateur hobby in this
> century, in this millennium...
>
> These subjects, which the vast majority of amateurs have no interest in,
> do nothing to assist amateur radio, indeed, they only further aids its'
> decay.
>
> CW is as important to the future as the model A, the steam engine, the
> the horse and buggy, etc... and yet you have the greatest expenditure of
> energy revolving around it. There are no men with the vision, courage
and
> skills to be able to step in and move focus on points which would move
> amateur radio ahead...
>
> Only old gossipers using ancient technology to further their own
> self-serving interests are the problem, sane men have fled for other
> frontiers...
>
> It is ridiculous to watch...
>
> John

Actually John the boys on 75 meters will have much to talk about
over the comming days with Hurrican Katrina and it's effects on
New Orleans. Last night I was listening up on 3.9-- and heard
conversations going on like.....

"..hey Clem, did you just see the bigassed black woman complaining
on MS-NBC because shes been waiting for 3 hours to get into the
Superdome with her 4 nigglets and how that it ain't right keeping
needy families out in the rain.... HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!"

(to which Clem -name changed- replies:)

"naw I'm watchin CNN ain't interested, those ni-gers can all drown for what
I care"

It's great to see that Ham Radio still has it's monopoly on
racist 4-land rednecks like it had back in 1950. Then I went
to tuning across the band and the hurricane was the topic

of conversation with comments like tihis:

"I saw a pix of the bros packing in there a few min ago..
can U imagine the stench when the toilets back up from
the flooding??? Ughhhh.... Think about the smell of the
food wrappers and food leftovers in the trash cans, the
dregs of New Orleans without showers. Unless they
let people cycle through the dressing room showers.
It will be funky enough with the sewers working.
The really sad part is the foreigners who were caught in
all this. They will be stuck in the Superdome with the
very worst America has to offer."

# 3351. Re: What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 00:01:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <x7GdnUBiZbxyPI7eRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117701

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Seems like people are doing a bit of stretch on what I am talking about here.
>
>
> No stretch at all, Mike, when an educated fella says
>
> " I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the amateur
> bands.
>
> "Period."
>
> That "period" business doesn't seem to leave any room for exceptions.
>
> "One way' is "one-way". Period.
>
> "Yes Officer, I saw the sign with the arrow, and I was only going one way!"

Yeah Hans, You are right. I really meant any transmission that does not
elicit a return transmission. This means no control signals, no polling
receivers and transmitters, nothing other than humans talking or beeping
to each other. No sub-audible tones, nothing to make a machine on which
you want to talk to another do something that aids in that talking.
Yeah, that is what I meant. I don't consider those one way transmissions
because they aren't IMO.

Have fun!

- Mike KB3EIA -

# 3352. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 00:03:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.30.00.03.33.333948@gmail.com>
Source record: 117702

Len:

Yes, I think I rather like carl...

At first I was just wondering if he was another status quo type, and maybe they have managed to transform him into one. But, when someone had posted past comments of his (which I was in horror to watch him apologize for!) I realized he might be the right man for the job, at least a help rather than a hindrance.

But, you are correct... it is morbidly fascinating, in sick way... <frown>

John

On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 11:47:30 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: John Smith on Aug 29, 8:08 am
>
>
>>Here you have a true picture of the sorry state of amateur radio. A bunch
>>of ancient, silly old men lying about military service, and arguing about
>>such... all which has nothing to do with the amateur hobby in this
>>century, in this millennium...
>>
>>These subjects, which the vast majority of amateurs have no interest in,
>>do nothing to assist amateur radio, indeed, they only further aids its'
>>decay.
>>
>>CW is as important to the future as the model A, the steam engine, the
>>the horse and buggy, etc... and yet you have the greatest expenditure of
>>energy revolving around it. There are no men with the vision, courage and
>>skills to be able to step in and move focus on points which would move
>>amateur radio ahead...
>
> Actually, there ARE those. Only one of them has been in this
> newsgroup (Carl Stevenson)...and has been roundly booed or
> snubbed by those "ancient, silly old men" you mentioned.
>
>>Only old gossipers using ancient technology to further their own
>>self-serving interests are the problem, sane men have fled for other
>>frontiers...
>>
>>It is ridiculous to watch...
>
> I find it morbidly fascinating! :-)
>

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3353. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 00:41:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125362475.673538.17880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117703

From: K4YZ on Aug 29, 4:02 pm

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> > Since the chain of command was already in the
>> > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
>> > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
>> > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
>> > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
>> > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
>
>> It's all becoming very, very clear.
>
>> Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?
>
> It wouldn't matter.
>
> Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".

Tsk, tsk. Dudly takes a spill just about every time he posts
here. :-)

> A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
>irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.

UCMJ doesn't apply to USMC anymore? :-)

Not so!

> That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.
>
> He had recource. He didn't pursue it.

Dudly yanks our chains with nearly every posting also... :-)

Dudly ought to pay attention to his words. "Recourse" or
"resource," which is it?

> Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
>Frankie's story, either.

Those are called "President of the Court," Dudly. It's spelled
out in the UCMJ.

> USMC Court Martials don't like having
>judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and

>had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
>at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
>15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
>"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...

What's the "big story" with Dudly? So far he's said dink on
his "medical-turned-honorable discharge due to an accident."

Dudly no spell out specifics, just make vague generalities.

Dudly also FORGET that UCMJ applies to ALL branches, including
USMC. "It's the LAW."

Dudly do a BAD imitation of David James Elliott in here. This
is NOT the "JAG" show production office. :-)

Dudly need better writers. He no pay scale. He may have scales.

This forum be about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, not blood-pinning of
USMC wannabes like Dud.

Temper fry, wannabe.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3354. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 02:41:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i56dnYHTTov4Wo7eRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 117705

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>
>>>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the
>>>amateur bands.
>>>
>>>Period.
>>>
>>
>>
>> No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency
>> activations?
>
> Not unless it is part of an emergency net, and therefore inherently part
> of two way conversations. If it is just a broadcast, turn on Fox News or
> CNN.
>
>> No code practice sessions?
>
> No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
> Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
>

With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers available
to teach those wish to learn.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3355. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 02:43:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e4OdnZ2dnZ2T2db5nZ2dnW5ajt6dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 117706

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>
>>>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the
>>>amateur bands.
>>>
>>>Period.
>>>
>>
>>
>> No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency
>> activations?
>
> Not unless it is part of an emergency net, and therefore inherently part
> of two way conversations. If it is just a broadcast, turn on Fox News or
> CNN.
>
>> No code practice sessions?
>
> No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
> Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
>
>> No remote control of satellites?
>
> That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way communications
>
>> No remote control of model airplanes?
>
> Is that us?
>

It is currently allowed under the FCC rules. And some people do use it for
that. I forget the details since I don't get into remote control models.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 3356. The penis is way too delicate for masturbation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 02:44:42 UTC
From: dgr1400@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125369882.156245.253830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117707

.

# 3357. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 02:45:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <R8mdncvnLOfqVY7eRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 117708

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:devkju$eh6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>

[snip]

>
> See, it isn't to hard to have my opinion on this. It is at least as
> consistent as the Byzantine qualifications that people try to use to
> justify W1AW broadcasts versus K1MAN bulletins!
>
> Some of us think perhaps a little more consistent.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

I've heard both the K1MAN transmissions and the W1AW transmissions. They
are so far apart that it doesn't take "Byzantine qualifications" to separate
the two.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3358. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 03:10:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <b2j7h1dnvc9uj45p9030otplj4onkd89p4@4ax.com>
Source record: 117709

On 29 Aug 2005 07:50:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125327039.883640.301860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> And if you'e going to come at me with assertions of what is and
>isn't "The Real Marine Corps", at least have the decency of having
>Honorably served that same Marine Corps. YOU DIDN'T. You made a
>PROMISE when you enlisted and you FAILED to keep up your end of the
>bargain. Not once, but twice, by your own admission.

Yes, by my own admission. Yes, I could have walked right up to the
commanding General, but I didn't. I chose a different course of action
based on the circumstances. If you had ever been in a grunt unit you
would understand those circumstances, but you weren't so don't try.

But this discussion isn't about me, Dudly -- it's about YOU and the
bogus claims you have made about your service (if you even served at
all).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3359. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 04:16:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mgj7h11hd38ppsdsgkvpqgl4un9efbok67@4ax.com>
Source record: 117710

On 29 Aug 2005 16:02:15 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125356535.011465.234110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Frank of Silliland wrote:
>> > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
>> > Since the chain of command was already in the
>> > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
>> > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
>> > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
>> > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
>> > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
>>
>> It's all becoming very, very clear.
>>
>> Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?
>
> It wouldn't matter.
>
> Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".
>
> A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
>irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.
>
> That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.
>
> He had recource. He didn't pursue it.
>
> Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
>Frankie's story, either. USMC Court Martials don't like having
>judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
>had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
>at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
>15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
>"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...

Nothing that you couldn't figure out from what I told you already. The
presiding officer ("OIC of the Court Martial"? LOL!) made his decision
based on the evidence and testimony presented, which -didn't- include
the medical evaluation from mainside hospital because that had not yet
occured. You also missed the part about the shop chief getting a
reprimand for lifting my light-duty chit and giving me an illegal
order. It's clear that you can't comprehend anything that doesn't fit

into your twisted little fantasies.

And as far as "trip ups" are concerned, let's take a closer look at your postings lately..... Since I started confronting your lies with facts your entire personality has changed. You used to be relatively calm and controlled, but now your posts are filled with nothing but repititious 'Hermanesque' catch-phrases and buzzwords that only -you- think are effective at bolstering your credibility. You used to try and exert control over your challengers by starting new threads with almost every reply, yet for the past week you can't seem to break out of -this- thread. You used to be the spelling cop, but now almost every post by you has several spelling errors because of the frantic rage that comes over you when replying to my posts. All your defensive tag-team parters have abandoned you. In your frenzy of hatred you don't even realize that you frequently contradict your previous claims, sometimes even in the same sentence; e.g, "My original says 'HONORABLE.'" Yes it does, Dudly, but you left out a few words, like "General under 'Honorable' conditions". And if your "original" was honorable then there's no need to get it changed. Just one more bogus excuse after another. Rarely do you reply to any post that confronts you with hard facts -- instead you seek posts that you think you can spin and maybe bluff yourself into a positive light. Even when you -do- address hard facts you are always wrong; e.g, the USMC didn't use cutting scores for promotions in the '70's, either. I tell the truth about my service, good or bad; but while all you do is try to crush my credibility by dwelling on my mistakes, you don't even realize that openly admitting my mistakes gives me more credibility than you will -ever- achieve. And then there's the biggie: You put -so much- effort into protecting your story when it would be so much easier to just scan your DD-214, or discharge certificate, or dog-tag, etc, block out the important info just like I did, and post it. The issue would be resolved and you wouldn't be challenged any more. But you don't because your claims are nothing but lies and you -love- the attention.

And you accuse -ME- of making "trip ups"? You're a fraud, Dudly. Your stories are a fraud, your USMC career is a fraud, your personality is a fraud, and your life is a fraud.

Now I'm going to give you a suggestion: Take a couple weeks off from the newsgroups. Go camping, get some fresh air, a fresh perspective, and get laid if you can. Then come back here, come clean about your lies, and be yourself. You will catch some flack to be sure, but that will eventually die down after a few months. Maybe you don't realize this but people -will- respect you for who you are even if you haven't accomplished much with your life -- heck, I should know! But if you keep up with this ridiculous facade then don't expect things to improve because they won't. Of course if you really -like- all this negative attention then..... well, just know that it's people like you who keep state-funded mental health agencies in business.

So what'll it be, Dudly?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3360. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 05:02:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bkp7h1ps0071v9sl595jh6r7mqtl9ifhkt@4ax.com>
Source record: 117713

On 29 Aug 2005 08:01:26 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125327686.038165.39680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 25 Aug 2005 04:12:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124968377.446171.230560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >
>> >> > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
>> >> > Blue Nose card.
>> >>
>> >> What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
>> >
>> > The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
>> >the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
>> >Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
>>
>> Try Myrtle Beach and Huntington Beach -- the latter should be required
>> duty for every single Marine!
>
> Was at Myrtle Beach as a TME'er with VMFA-333 and got tired of
>Huntington Beach driving by it all the time while stationed at MCAS(H)
>Tustin.

I see you took my advice and hired a ghost-writer. Either that or you
finally did a little research before coughing up more BS.

>> > Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
>> >PFC"...?!??!
>> >
>> > Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
>> >
>> > I made Lance Corporal before I could get the "cash sales" smell
>> >out of my uniforms...
>>
>> If that's true then you must have skated right through boot camp.....
>> especially the gas chamber.
>
> How many sets of your Class A's did you wear IN the gas chamber,
>Frankie...?!?!

Where did you specify Alpha's, Dudly?

>> >Corporal on the second round of cutting scores
>> >(and that was in the rank-tight Avionics MOS of 6616)
>>
>> Very impressive, especially when enlisted in the only branch of the
>> military that doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.
>
> They did in the 70's, Frankie.

No, they didn't, Dudly.

>> >...Sergeant in
>> >less three years of my arrival in boot camp...
>>
>> Which means you were promoted only twice in the 15 years that
>> followed. A record to be proud of, I'm sure.
>
> I wasn't the only Sergeant in the Avionics field that had to suck
>air for promotions, Frankie...
>
> Too bad your frame of reference doesn't seem to expand beyond your
>knee and your two court martials.

Plural of 'court-martial' is 'courts-martial'.

>> > Some resource on USMC policy Frank of Silliland turned out to be!
>>
>>
>> Your ignorance about the Marine Corps is exceeded only by your
>> third-grade rhetoric -- hopefully that Pee-Wee Herman seminar you
>> attended didn't include tips on public masterbation.
>
> Well now, Frankie! I see who's pulling THIS into the sandlot!
>
> Gotta hurt, though...I am sure that, for a couple of days, it felt
>"good" taking some swipes at someone who managed to accomplish
>something you DIDN'T in the Marines...make it to a Staff NCO rank.

Two promotions in 15 years as a staff NCO, selected by cutting scores
that were never used, and granted with promotion certificates you
can't read. Yeah, you sure made your case, Dudly.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3361. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 05:44:19 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ZMGdna-RJoHEfo7eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117711

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>
>
>>So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it had no
>>beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning, the what was
>>before that?
>
>
> Since there was no universe, there was no time. If there was no time, there
> obviously was no "before".
>
>
>
>
>
If there were no time, then there could never have been anything, since
it would take even the smallest fraction of time for the "big bang" to
begin.

# 3362. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 05:51:11 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <wY2dnQbbH5VpeY7eRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117712

Michael Coslo wrote:
>> No remote control of model airplanes?
>
>
> Is that us?

Yep, six meters.

# 3363. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 06:18:27 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <5K6dnbG-2KfEdo7eRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117714

John Smith wrote:
>
> In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for angels
> or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from
> a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...
>
> I just flat do not know what to think, it is all too impossible...
> perhaps the answers are out there...
> <X-Files-theme-plays-in-the-background>
>
> ... or, perhaps there is a very simple explanation we just have not
> thought of--yet... any guess is as valid as another...
>
> John

The balance between the universe (as we know it) existing and not existing is very delicate. Take the gravitional constant (the force of gravity). If the gravitional constant were larger, stars would form no bigger than the earth and would burn up in a very short time (less than a year). If the gravitional constant were smaller, expansion of matter would proceed at such a fast pace that gravity could not keep stars together and ignite nuclear burning of their cores.

So how did this force of gravity come to be just the right amount of force to allow creation of stars and planets? By accident or by design?

A question not likely to be answered anytime soon, if ever, but curious minds want to know.

# 3364. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 09:05:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125392750.384681.109630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117715

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 29 Aug 2005 07:50:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125327039.883640.301860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> > And if you'e going to come at me with assertions of what is and
> >isn't "The Real Marine Corps", at least have the decency of having
> >Honorably served that same Marine Corps. YOU DIDN'T. You made a
> >PROMISE when you enlisted and you FAILED to keep up your end of the
> >bargain. Not once, but twice, by your own admission.
>
>
> Yes, by my own admission. Yes, I could have walked right up to the
> commanding General, but I didn't. I chose a different course of action
> based on the circumstances. If you had ever been in a grunt unit you
> would understand those circumstances, but you weren't so don't try.
>
> But this discussion isn't about me, Dudly -- it's about YOU and the
> bogus claims you have made about your service (if you even served at
> all).

Sorry, Frankie of Silliland..it IS about you.

You "served" 1/4th as long as I did and got court martialed twice.
Then you want to come in here throwing your "reputation" around to try
and diminish MY service.

Sorry, putz...You don't add up to the top of my jump boots.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3365. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 09:22:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kk88h1t0ab5fb9e8tg0237j298ik6c4i87@4ax.com>
Source record: 117716

On 30 Aug 2005 02:05:50 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125392750.384681.109630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 29 Aug 2005 07:50:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1125327039.883640.301860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> > And if you'e going to come at me with assertions of what is and
>> >isn't "The Real Marine Corps", at least have the decency of having
>> >Honorably served that same Marine Corps. YOU DIDN'T. You made a
>> >PROMISE when you enlisted and you FAILED to keep up your end of the
>> >bargain. Not once, but twice, by your own admission.
>>
>>
>> Yes, by my own admission. Yes, I could have walked right up to the
>> commanding General, but I didn't. I chose a different course of action
>> based on the circumstances. If you had ever been in a grunt unit you
>> would understand those circumstances, but you weren't so don't try.
>>
>> But this discussion isn't about me, Dudly -- it's about YOU and the
>> bogus claims you have made about your service (if you even served at
>> all).
>
> Sorry, Frankie of Silliland..it IS about you.

I see you made your choice.

> You "served" 1/4th as long as I did

You still haven't proven that you served -at all-!

> and got court martialed twice.

"Court martialed" isn't even a word, Dudly.

> Then you want to come in here throwing your "reputation" around

Wrong. I challenged you with facts. Once again, Dudly, it's not about
me -or- my "reputation" whether be it good -or- bad.

> to try
>and diminish MY service.

You haven't provided -any- proof that you served -at all-! Nor have
you described anything about your service that could be "diminished",

except for your few claims that resulted in you "diminishing" yourself
because they were contradicted by facts. It was YOU that lied about
your "service" -- don't blame me for the consequences of your lies.

> Sorry, putz...You don't add up to the top of my jump boots.

"Jump boots"? LOL!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3366. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 09:33:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125394431.177060.259480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117717

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On 29 Aug 2005 16:02:15 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125356535.011465.234110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Frank of Silliland wrote:
> >> > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >
> >> > Since the chain of command was already in the
> >> > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
> >> > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
> >> > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
> >> > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
> >> > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
> >>
> >> It's all becoming very, very clear.
> >>
> >> Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?
> >
> > It wouldn't matter.
> >
> > Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".
> >
> > A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
> >irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.
> >
> > That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.
> >
> > He had recource. He didn't pursue it.
> >
> > Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
> >Frankie's story, either. USMC Court Martials don't like having
> >judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
> >had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
> >at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
> >15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
> >"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...
>
>
> Nothing that you couldn't figure out from what I told you already. The
> presiding officer ("OIC of the Court Martial"? LOL!) made his decision
> based on the evidence and testimony presented, which -didn't- include
> the medical evaluation from mainside hospital because that had not yet

> occured. You also missed the part about the shop chief getting a
> reprimand for lifting my light-duty chit and giving me an illegal
> order. It's clear that you can't comprehend anything that doesn't fit
> into your twisted little fantasies.

I understand them fine.

You violated a lawful order and took your chances for it.

And if there was mitigating evidence as to your culpability in
your court martials, they could have been overturned on appeal.

Obviously they weren't.

> And as far as "trip ups" are concerned, let's take a closer look at
> your postings lately..... Since I started confronting your lies with
> facts your entire personality has changed. You used to be relatively
> calm and controlled, but now your posts are filled with nothing but
> repititious 'Hermanesque' catch-phrases and buzzwords that only -you-
> think are effective at bolstering your credibility.

My "credibility" doesn't hinge on one tour in the USMC that was
pock-marked with not one but two court martials.

You're the one in the credibility deficit, Frankie.

Nor does my "credibility" in this NG count on ANY of my service in
the Corps...This is an Amatuer Radio forum, and I am an Amateur Radio
operator.

You're not. Nor is Lennie. And it shows. (And that's NOT a
"positive" thing...)

> You used to try
> and exert control over your challengers by starting new threads with
> almost every reply, yet for the past week you can't seem to break out
> of -this- thread.

If you were paying attention, Frankie, the threads were in
response to MARKIE'S frequent changes.

And it seems to me that "Laying Waste To Frank of Silliland's
Silliness" is pretty appropriate.

> You used to be the spelling cop, but now almost
> every post by you has several spelling errors because of the frantic
> rage that comes over you when replying to my posts.

No more than any other, Frankie.

Nice try.

And you ahve tried to make some "points" about typing mistakes I
ahve made, yet have had a few of your own..Big deal.

> All your defensive tag-team parters have abandoned you.

So someone doesn't post for 24 hours and they've "abandoned" the
thread?

Or maybe they just realize that you're the loser you've turned out
to be...

> In your frenzy of hatred you
> don't even realize that you frequently contradict your previous
> claims, sometimes even in the same sentence; e.g, "My original says
> 'HONORABLE.'" Yes it does, Dudly, but you left out a few words, like
> "General under 'Honorable' conditions". And if your "original" was
> honorable then there's no need to get it changed.

Mine just says "HONORABLE", Your Busted-to-Nonratedness. JUST
"Honorable". No qualifiers.

And again you try to base what happened to YOU as the one-and-only
truthfulness of the Marine Corps.

You're a disgraced loser, Frankie. There's nothing I can do to
fix that.

And now it appears that "liar" is appropriate for you, too.

> Just one more bogus
> excuse after another. Rarely do you reply to any post that confronts
> you with hard facts -- instead you seek posts that you think you can
> spin and maybe bluff yourself into a positive light. Even when you
> -do- address hard facts you are always wrong; e.g, the USMC didn't use
> cutting scores for promotions in the '70's, either.

Lie, lie and more lie, Frankie.

Stop it. You're embarrassing yourself. You're embarrassing me.

> I tell the truth
> about my service, good or bad; but while all you do is try to crush my
> credibility by dwelling on my mistakes, you don't even realize that
> openly admitting my mistakes gives me more credibility than you will
> -ever- achieve.

Congratulations on being able to look yourself in the mirror and
convince yourself that having been court martialed twice is a prideful
thing, Frankie.

Maybe you can teach that technique to some of the folks who appear
on "America's Most Wanted".

> And then there's the biggie: You put -so much- effort
> into protecting your story when it would be so much easier to just
> scan your DD-214, or discharge certificate, or dog-tag, etc, block out
> the important info just like I did, and post it. The issue would be
> resolved and you wouldn't be challenged any more. But you don't
> because your claims are nothing but lies and you -love- the attention.

And you...?!?!

You need to learn how to use effective paragraphs, Frankie.

As for the rest, I've provided more than a few public resources to validate my "claims", as you call them through...

YOUR answer was to claim that they were, most likely, just someone with a similar name claiming someone else's "service".

Even if I do provide a copy of my -214, I expect no less than the same claims of "it's a forgery" or other such silliness.

> And you accuse -ME- of making "trip ups"? You're a fraud, Dudly. Your
> stories are a fraud, your USMC career is a fraud, your personality is
> a fraud, and your life is a fraud.

Nope.

But your stories about it are.

Frank Gilliland is a liar.

> Now I'm going to give you a suggestion: Take a couple weeks off from
> the newsgroups. Go camping, get some fresh air, a fresh perspective,
> and get laid if you can. Then come back here, come clean about your
> lies, and be yourself.

What lies?

The only one's being told here are by you and The Feeble Five Bretheren.

> You will catch some flack to be sure, but that
> will eventually die down after a few months. Maybe you don't realize
> this but people -will- respect you for who you are even if you haven't
> accomplished much with your life -- heck, I should know!

People already respect me for who I am, what I have accomplished in my life, even my REAL failures...Not the one's you've tried to manufacture here.

> But if you
> keep up with this ridiculous facade then don't expect things to
> improve because they won't. Of course if you really -like- all this
> negative attention then..... well, just know that it's people like you
> who keep state-funded mental health agencies in business.

And it's people like you that keep me from WANTING to discuss my service in public.

You're a humiliation to yourself and the Marine Corps, Gilliland...

You're that drunk at the end of the bar I was talking about.

> So what'll it be, Dudly?

I'll just keep making fun of your lying and deceit, Frankie.

You've not "proved" a thing other than you are a disgraced non-rate and a newsgroup scoufflaw.

A loser.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3367. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 09:39:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125394794.028814.281450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117718

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 30 Aug 2005 02:05:50 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125392750.384681.109630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 29 Aug 2005 07:50:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1125327039.883640.301860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> > And if you'e going to come at me with assertions of what is and
> >> >isn't "The Real Marine Corps", at least have the decency of having
> >> >Honorably served that same Marine Corps. YOU DIDN'T. You made a
> >> >PROMISE when you enlisted and you FAILED to keep up your end of the
> >> >bargain. Not once, but twice, by your own admission.
> >>
> >>
> >> Yes, by my own admission. Yes, I could have walked right up to the
> >> commanding General, but I didn't. I chose a different course of action
> >> based on the circumstances. If you had ever been in a grunt unit you
> >> would understand those circumstances, but you weren't so don't try.
> >>
> >> But this discussion isn't about me, Dudly -- it's about YOU and the
> >> bogus claims you have made about your service (if you even served at
> >> all).
> >
> > Sorry, Frankie of Silliland..it IS about you.
>
> I see you made your choice.

No...YOU did.

> > You "served" 1/4th as long as I did
>
> You still haven't proven that you served -at all-!

I missed the part where a disgraced ex-serviceman had any
"authority" to demand anything, Frankie...

> > and got court martialed twice.
>
> "Court martialed" isn't even a word, Dudly.

Yet it's a common term in both military and civilian conversation,
Frankie.

> > Then you want to come in here throwing your "reputation" around
>
> Wrong. I challenged you with facts. Once again, Dudly, it's not about
> me -or- my "reputation" whether be it good -or- bad.

No, you have NOT "challenged (me) with facts"...

You've made allegations and barroom taunts meant only to salve your
disgraced ego.

> > to try
> >and diminish MY service.
>
>
> You haven't provided -any- proof that you served -at all-!

I still haven't found the part that says I owe you one, Frankie.

> Nor have
> you described anything about your service that could be "diminished",
> except for your few claims that resulted in you "diminishing" yourself
> because they were contradicted by facts. It was YOU that lied about
> your "service" -- don't blame me for the consequences of your lies.

I've not lied.

> > Sorry, putz...You don't add up to the top of my jump boots.
>
> "Jump boots"? LOL!

I didn't designate the nomenclature, Frankie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3368. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 10:09:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125396550.408992.127270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117719

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >
> > > Since the chain of command was already in the
> > > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
> > > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
> > > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
> > > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
> > > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
> > >
> > It's all becoming very, very clear.
> > >
> > Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?
> >
> It wouldn't matter.

Says the "A" NCOIC with a God complex.

> Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".

Did he trip over seven hostile actions? Or was that you?

> A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
> irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.
>
> That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.
>
> He had recource. He didn't pursue it.
>
> Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
> Frankie's story, either. USMC Court Martials don't like having
> judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
> had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
> at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
> 15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
> "big story" than Frankie's telling of it...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You've got is bass ackwards. Anyone offered Article 15 has the right
to refuse it and go to court instead. Once it goes to court you will
be judged there.

# 3369. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 10:14:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125396866.220874.142930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117720

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Aug 29, 4:02 pm

> > USMC Court Martials don't like having
> >judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
> >had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
> >at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
> >15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
> >"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...
>
> What's the "big story" with Dudly? So far he's said dink on
> his "medical-turned-honorable discharge due to an accident."

> Dudly no spell out specifics, just make vague generalities.

RE4YZ said his only injury was some sand in his eye. How do you get a
-medical- discharge for sand in the eye?

> Dudly also FORGET that UCMJ applies to ALL branches, including
> USMC. "It's the LAW."

Wonder if it applies to CAP Flying Aces?

> Dudly do a BAD imitation of David James Elliott in here. This
> is NOT the "JAG" show production office. :-)
>
> Dudly need better writers. He no pay scale. He may have scales.
>
> This forum be about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, not blood-pinning of
> USMC wannabes like Dud.
>
> Temper fry, wannabe.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Back to radio.

# 3370. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 10:43:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125398587.637666.158510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117721

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 29 Aug 2005 16:02:15 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125356535.011465.234110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Frank of Silliland wrote:
> >> > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >
> >> > Since the chain of command was already in the
> >> > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
> >> > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
> >> > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
> >> > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
> >> > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
> >>
> >> It's all becoming very, very clear.
> >>
> >> Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?
> >
> > It wouldn't matter.
> >
> > Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".
> >
> > A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
> >irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.
> >
> > That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.
> >
> > He had recource. He didn't pursue it.
> >
> > Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
> >Frankie's story, either. USMC Court Martials don't like having
> >judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
> >had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
> >at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
> >15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
> >"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...
>
>
> Nothing that you couldn't figure out from what I told you already. The
> presiding officer ("OIC of the Court Martial"? LOL!) made his decision
> based on the evidence and testimony presented, which -didn't- include
> the medical evaluation from mainside hospital because that had not yet
> occured.

Oooops! Gulp! RE4YZ missed that part.

> You also missed the part about the shop chief getting a
> reprimand for lifting my light-duty chit and giving me an illegal
> order.

The shop chief could have refused the Article 15 and goen to court.
Wonder why he didn't?

> It's clear that you can't comprehend anything that doesn't fit
> into your twisted little fantasies.

To borrow a phrase from Col Jessup, "CRYSTAL."

> And as far as "trip ups" are concerned, let's take a closer look at
> your postings lately..... Since I started confronting your lies with
> facts your entire personality has changed.

He has many of them, you know.

You used to be relatively
> calm and controlled, but now your posts are filled with nothing but
> repititious 'Hermanesque' catch-phrases and buzzwords that only -you-
> think are effective at bolstering your credibility. You used to try
> and exert control over your challengers by starting new threads with
> almost every reply, yet for the past week you can't seem to break out
> of -this- thread.

Mark Morgan has recently borrowed this tactic. I think RE4YZ likes
having his name in the spotlight.

> You used to be the spelling cop, but now almost
> every post by you has several spelling errors because of the frantic
> rage that comes over you when replying to my posts. All your defensive
> tag-team parters have abandoned you.

Sayonara!

> In your frenzy of hatred you
> don't even realize that you frequently contradict your previous
> claims, sometimes even in the same sentence; e.g, "My original says
> 'HONORABLE.'" Yes it does, Dudly, but you left out a few words, like
> "General under 'Honorable' conditions". And if your "original" was
> honorable then there's no need to get it changed.

RE4YZ protesteth too much.

Just one more bogus
> excuse after another. Rarely do you reply to any post that confronts
> you with hard facts -- instead you seek posts that you think you can
> spin and maybe bluff yourself into a positive light. Even when you
> -do- address hard facts you are always wrong; e.g, the USMC didn't use
> cutting scores for promotions in the '70's, either. I tell the truth
> about my service, good or bad; but while all you do is try to crush my
> credibility by dwelling on my mistakes, you don't even realize that

> openly admitting my mistakes gives me more credibility than you will
> -ever- achieve. And then there's the biggie: You put -so much- effort
> into protecting your story when it would be so much easier to just
> scan your DD-214, or discharge certificate, or dog-tag, etc, block out
> the important info just like I did, and post it. The issue would be
> resolved and you wouldn't be challenged any more. But you don't
> because your claims are nothing but lies and you -love- the attention.

He craves it. Even negative attention.

> And you accuse -ME- of making "trip ups"? You're a fraud, Dudly. Your
> stories are a fraud, your USMC career is a fraud, your personality is
> a fraud, and your life is a fraud.
>
> Now I'm going to give you a suggestion: Take a couple weeks off from
> the newsgroups. Go camping, get some fresh air, a fresh perspective,
> and get laid if you can. Then come back here, come clean about your
> lies, and be yourself. You will catch some flack to be sure, but that
> will eventually die down after a few months. Maybe you don't realize
> this but people -will- respect you for who you are even if you haven't
> accomplished much with your life -- heck, I should know! But if you
> keep up with this ridiculous facade then don't expect things to
> improve because they won't. Of course if you really -like- all this
> negative attention then..... well, just know that it's people like you
> who keep state-funded mental health agencies in business.
>
> So what'll it be, Dudly?

RE4YZ is gonna keep on keepin on.

# 3371. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 10:55:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125399314.806297.102970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117722

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >> >>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> >> > The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >> > discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> >
> >> Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
> >> said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
> >
> >We were instructed to discard Len's comments.
> >
> >> > In the end, if they cannot
> >> > lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
> >
> >> I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
> >> one who has little experience.
> >
> >As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
>
> Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
> that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.

Closing a net with CW?

> I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
> PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
> rendered.
>
> Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
> as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
> expenses.

Obviously he's not professional.

> >> > ...they claim that
> >> > his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
> >
> >> Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
> >> has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
> >> because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
> >> he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
> >> deliberately fabricates.
> >
> >You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
>
> Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
> discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)

demonized.

> >> > David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
> >> > well.
> >
> >> Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
> >> participated over a period of years.
> >
> >Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
> >radio operator?
>
> He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)

And there is a purpose for his stating that you're not an amateur.

> I've never said I had an amateur radio license. From day one in
> here
> I've stated that I do not. I have a Commercial radio license.
>
> But, that constant repetition of "not having a license" masks Heil's
> INABILITY to reply ON the subject of what he was challenged for. He
> tries to wiggle out of a challenge by semi-denigrative, moral
> something or others implying that I should NOT be posting in here.

Every time.

> >> Len isn't involved in amateur
> >> radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
> >> rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done
> >> those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
> >> or ridiculing him or his ideas.
> >
> >Nor him you.
>
> Heil is a bundle of laughs. :-)

Among other things.

> >> Len writes of being denigrated or
> >> insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and

> >> denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.
> >
> >Perhaps Len is correct to do so.
>
> Tsk tsk tsk. Heil thinks he has some special dispensation that
> allows
> him to insult others but others are "not permitted" to fire right
> back
> at him.

He reaps what he sows.

> >> He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
> >> policy,
> >
> >Get a clue, he's giving it back to you. He's been told that he is not
> >an amateur radio operator and should be here. This is a place only of
> >amateurs and amateur things.
>
> Supposedly. Lots of different things are discussed in here. One
> such seems to be winding down: The one about evolution versus
> creationists. All of radio hasn't been around more than 109 years
> and fits NEITHER. :-)
>
>
> >> then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
> >> personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.
> >
> >Have you ever thought of reigning in Robeson? When you do, get back to
> >me about Len and we'll talk some more.
>
> Heil must LIKE Dudly...because Dudly attacks me. Heil is living
> vicariously, enjoying another personally-insult me. "An enemy of
> an enemy is his friend" to slightly paraphrase an old folk saying.

I can't imagine anyone "liking" RE-4YZ. Steve merely serves a purpose.

> Heil doesn't realize that Dudly's fraudulent behavior is HURTING
> the image of U.S. amateur radio.
>
> Miccolis can't rein-in Dudly. Hans Brakob couldn't hold him down.
> Katapult Kellie doesn't seem to have tried either way. Jeswald
> hasn't said much. NOT a good case for building a good image of
> U.S. amateur radio to the public.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Noop! But if that's who they want out in front creating a new thread
or five every day....

# 3372. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 11:16:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <q1a8h1pn1o291k5s74ajkgvvqkumeqobu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 117723

```
On 30 Aug 2005 02:33:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125394431.177060.259480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank of Silliland wrote:
>> On 29 Aug 2005 16:02:15 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1125356535.011465.234110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> Frank of Silliland wrote:
>> >> > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >
>> >> > Since the chain of command was already in the
>> >> > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
>> >> > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
>> >> > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
>> >> > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
>> >> > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
>> >>
>> >> It's all becoming very, very clear.
>> >>
>> >> Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?
>> >
>> > It wouldn't matter.
>> >
>> > Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".
>> >
>> > A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
>> >irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.
>> >
>> > That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.
>> >
>> > He had recource. He didn't pursue it.
>> >
>> > Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
>> >Frankie's story, either. USMC Court Martials don't like having
>> >judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
>> >had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
>> >at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
>> >15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
>> >"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...
>>
>>
```

>> Nothing that you couldn't figure out from what I told you already. The
>> presiding officer ("OIC of the Court Martial"? LOL!) made his decision
>> based on the evidence and testimony presented, which -didn't- include
>> the medical evaluation from mainside hospital because that had not yet
>> occured. You also missed the part about the shop chief getting a
>> reprimand for lifting my light-duty chit and giving me an illegal
>> order. It's clear that you can't comprehend anything that doesn't fit
>> into your twisted little fantasies.
>
> I understand them fine.
>
> You violated a lawful order and took your chances for it.
>
> And if there was mitigating evidence as to your culpability in
>your court martials, they could have been overturned on appeal.
>
> Obviously they weren't.

Because they weren't appealed, or couldn't you figure that out by
yourself? You can appeal a summary court martial on an issue of law or
an issue of procedure, but not based on evidence that wasn't available
or not presented at the hearing. Hence, no appeal. 18 alleged years in
the USMC and you don't know squat about the UCMJ......

>> And as far as "trip ups" are concerned, let's take a closer look at
>> your postings lately...... Since I started confronting your lies with
>> facts your entire personality has changed. You used to be relatively
>> calm and controlled, but now your posts are filled with nothing but
>> repititious 'Hermanesque' catch-phrases and buzzwords that only -you-
>> think are effective at bolstering your credibility.
>
> My "credibility" doesn't hinge on one tour in the USMC that was
>pock-marked with not one but two court martials.

No, it's dependent upon the ability to independently verify the claims
you have presented as facts; but alas, your claims CONTRADICT the
facts while mine don't. Ergo, -you- have no credibility and I -do-.

> You're the one in the credibility deficit, Frankie.

Only in -your- eyes, Dudly, because you deny the facts.

> Nor does my "credibility" in this NG count on ANY of my service in
>the Corps...This is an Amatuer Radio forum, and I am an Amateur Radio
>operator.

So? It's not like there haven't ever been any off-topic threads in
this group before.

> You're not. Nor is Lennie. And it shows. (And that's NOT a
>"positive" thing...)

Where, in the charter, does it require that anyone who posts here be a ham?

>> You used to try
>> and exert control over your challengers by starting new threads with
>> almost every reply, yet for the past week you can't seem to break out
>> of -this- thread.
>
> If you were paying attention, Frankie, the threads were in
>response to MARKIE'S frequent changes.

So you have the mentality of a lemming -- as if -that's- a suprise....

> And it seems to me that "Laying Waste To Frank of Silliland's
>Silliness" is pretty appropriate.

Especially considering the source of the phrase -- someone that would rather call me schoolyard names instead of addressing the facts. But I really am suprised that you haven't started with the Gilligan jokes; like, "Hey Frank, where's the professor?", or "How come you haven't bopped Mary Ann?" After all, that type of rhetoric certainly isn't beneath you, as you have proven in the past few days. Were you saving those quips for later? Naw, you probably aren't smart enough to think of stuff like that -- I didn't start to hear the Gilligan jokes until 5th or 6th grade, and you seem to be limited to a 3rd grade education.

>> You used to be the spelling cop, but now almost
>> every post by you has several spelling errors because of the frantic
>> rage that comes over you when replying to my posts.
>
> No more than any other, Frankie.
>
> Nice try.

Nice try yourself; just a couple weeks ago you prided yourself on your ability to correct others on -their- spelling, putting yourself on an intellectual pedestal above everyone else. But all of a sudden you can't even find the spell-check button. Now you excuse yourself from bad spelling by claiming that you are just as faulty as everyone else. You fell off your pedestal, Dudly.

> And you ahve tried to make some "points" about typing mistakes I
>ahve made, yet have had a few of your own..Big deal.

The difference is that I never tried to be the spelling cop. You did. Once again, it's not about me, Dudly. It's about you and your lies (or hypocrisy, as the case may be).

>> All your defensive tag-team parters have abandoned you.
>
> So someone doesn't post for 24 hours and they've "abandoned" the
>thread?

Dave Heil was quite passionate about your defense, yet even -he-
hasn't posted for quite a while. Maybe he started looking at the
situation objectively and saw that you -are- a fraud. Who knows. I'm
sure he will speak for himself on the subject..... eventually.

> Or maybe they just realize that you're the loser you've turned out
>to be...

Well, if -they- realized that much, why haven't you? You could have
simply put me in your killfile and be done with me, just like you
could have done with Len and others. Nope, you need to keep tabs on me
and others so as to make sure that you can counter any bit of proof
that exposes you as a liar. Your "friends" have abandoned you because
they have no interest in defending your lies.

>> In your frenzy of hatred you
>> don't even realize that you frequently contradict your previous
>> claims, sometimes even in the same sentence; e.g, "My original says
>> 'HONORABLE.'" Yes it does, Dudly, but you left out a few words, like
>> "General under 'Honorable' conditions". And if your "original" was
>> honorable then there's no need to get it changed.
>
> Mine just says "HONORABLE", Your Busted-to-Nonratedness. JUST
>"Honorable". No qualifiers.

That's all it says, huh? An 8-1/2" x 11" sheet of paper that has only
one word printed on it: "HONORABLE". No qualifiers that would indicate
to whom or what the word is referring, who printed it, who authorized
it, or even why it exists? Whatever you say, Dudly.

> And again you try to base what happened to YOU as the one-and-only
>truthfulness of the Marine Corps.
>
> You're a disgraced loser, Frankie. There's nothing I can do to
>fix that.
>
> And now it appears that "liar" is appropriate for you, too.

And even after your tirade about me snipping your posts you just
snipped my point about your "HONORABLE" discharge being "original"
while claiming to have had it later upgraded. That's evasive, Dudly.
So what does your -REVISED- discharge certificate say?

>> Just one more bogus
>> excuse after another. Rarely do you reply to any post that confronts
>> you with hard facts -- instead you seek posts that you think you can
>> spin and maybe bluff yourself into a positive light. Even when you
>> -do- address hard facts you are always wrong; e.g, the USMC didn't use
>> cutting scores for promotions in the '70's, either.
>
> Lie, lie and more lie, Frankie.

Prove it. Prove me wrong, Dudly. Post any sort of convincing proof
that you are who you claim. Anything that I have posted to prove my

-own- service will be just fine (except for the telephone token, of course).

> Stop it. You're embarrassing yourself. You're embarrassing me.

You're embarrassing yourself but you are too embellished in your lies to admit it -- probably even to yourself.

>> I tell the truth
>> about my service, good or bad; but while all you do is try to crush my
>> credibility by dwelling on my mistakes, you don't even realize that
>> openly admitting my mistakes gives me more credibility than you will
>> -ever- achieve.
>
> Congratulations on being able to look yourself in the mirror and
>convince yourself that having been court martialed twice is a prideful
>thing, Frankie.

So you are trying once again to misrepresent my statements -- I said nothing of the sort. I -am- proud of what I have accomplished in life, and I -am- proud to have the integrity to be honest about who I am. Apparently these simple concepts elude your understanding. That's something for which you can blame your mommy and daddy -- clearly they didn't teach you about such virtues as honesty and integrity.

> Maybe you can teach that technique to some of the folks who appear
>on "America's Most Wanted".

Why would I do that? The criminals that are profiled may have the same anti-social characteristics as you, but as far as I know you haven't done anything so bad as to get your mug-shot shown on national TV..... or have you?

>> And then there's the biggie: You put -so much- effort
>> into protecting your story when it would be so much easier to just
>> scan your DD-214, or discharge certificate, or dog-tag, etc, block out
>> the important info just like I did, and post it. The issue would be
>> resolved and you wouldn't be challenged any more. But you don't
>> because your claims are nothing but lies and you -love- the attention.
>
> And you...?!?!

I have already posted more than enough info to prove my service -beyond- the scope of public records, yet short of disclosing my SSN. I showed you that it -can- be done, and -how- it can be done. What's your excuse -NOW-?

> You need to learn how to use effective paragraphs, Frankie.

What does that have to do with your failure to provide proof of your USMC service?

> As for the rest, I've provided more than a few public resources to
>validate my "claims", as you call them through...

What does it mean to "call them through..."? Is that how to use
"effective paragraphs"?

> YOUR answer was to claim that they were, most likely, just someone
>with a similar name claiming someone else's "service".

It's just another type of "identity theft" and it happens all the
time. Don't you watch the news?

> Even if I do provide a copy of my -214, I expect no less than the
>same claims of "it's a forgery" or other such silliness.

Of course you expect such criticism -- you have already rationalized
that as an excuse to -not- provide such information. But you are
ignoring the fact that I provided my DD-214 in high resolution just to
pre-empt such a claim. And because it was high resolution I didn't
scan the whole document -- it would have been a -huge- file. Besides,
the whole document isn't needed. Just the important parts, such as
what I included in mine. So what's your excuse -=NOW=-, Dudly?

>> And you accuse -ME- of making "trip ups"? You're a fraud, Dudly. Your
>> stories are a fraud, your USMC career is a fraud, your personality is
>> a fraud, and your life is a fraud.
>
> Nope.

Well, that's proof for sure. I guess all the facts are moot and you
must be telling the truth about everything because you replied with a
definitive "Nope". Thanks for clarifying that for me, Dudly.

> But your stories about it are.

Feel free to prove me wrong.

> Frank Gilliland is a liar.

More "truth-by-repitition". One would think by now that you could come
up with a more effective argument..... or maybe even some proof!

>> Now I'm going to give you a suggestion: Take a couple weeks off from
>> the newsgroups. Go camping, get some fresh air, a fresh perspective,
>> and get laid if you can. Then come back here, come clean about your
>> lies, and be yourself.
>
> What lies?
>
> The only one's being told here are by you and The Feeble Five
>Bretheren.

Apparently you haven't been reading my posts..... oh, that's right,
your reading comprehension extends only so far as to absorb whatever
fits into your fantasy world. Well, that's your problem, Dudly.
Everyone else here can read them with an objective frame of mind. And
don't forget that your lies are now in the archives for however long
the archives exist. IOW, they might be read by your great-great-

grandkids (god forbid you should ever reproduce). I can only imagine how disillusioned they will be to find out who and what you really are. Well, don't be discouraged because you probably won't have to face them, even though they will be the victims of your public dysfunction. Maybe even the subject of public ridicule. And all because you get your kicks by impersonating someone you aren't. Even if you -are- who you claim, they sure as hell aren't going to be proud of your behavior in this newsgroup over the past several years.

But that's not your problem.

>> You will catch some flack to be sure, but that
>> will eventually die down after a few months. Maybe you don't realize
>> this but people -will- respect you for who you are even if you haven't
>> accomplished much with your life -- heck, I should know!
>
> People already respect me for who I am, what I have accomplished
>in my life, even my REAL failures...Not the one's you've tried to
>manufacture here.

Who?

>> But if you
>> keep up with this ridiculous facade then don't expect things to
>> improve because they won't. Of course if you really -like- all this
>> negative attention then..... well, just know that it's people like you
>> who keep state-funded mental health agencies in business.
>
> And it's people like you that keep me from WANTING to discuss my
>service in public.

Then don't discuss your service. Just prove that you served. For the past couple weeks you have had the opportunity to make an absolute fool out of me -- what's preventing you from doing so? I'll tell you: because your claims are nothing but lies.

> You're a humiliation to yourself and the Marine Corps,
>Gilliland...

...yawn.

> You're that drunk at the end of the bar I was talking about.

Actually, I'm the bartender.

>> So what'll it be, Dudly?
>
> I'll just keep making fun of your lying and deceit, Frankie.

Of course you will -- you can't face the reality of your mental illness so you need someone else to blame (it's always someone else's fault, isn't it, Dudly?).

> You've not "proved" a thing other than you are a disgraced
>non-rate and a newsgroup scoufflaw.
>
> A loser.

If you believe it, it must be true. Facts? FACTS? We don't need no
stinking FACTS!!! LOL!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3373. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 11:25:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <r1g8h15rmn4cm9mgvpiqc8qmcbgr3mr3q8@4ax.com>
Source record: 117724

On 30 Aug 2005 02:39:54 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125394794.028814.281450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 30 Aug 2005 02:05:50 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1125392750.384681.109630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >> On 29 Aug 2005 07:50:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1125327039.883640.301860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>> >>
>> >> <snip>
>> >> > And if you'e going to come at me with assertions of what is and
>> >> >isn't "The Real Marine Corps", at least have the decency of having
>> >> >Honorably served that same Marine Corps. YOU DIDN'T. You made a
>> >> >PROMISE when you enlisted and you FAILED to keep up your end of the
>> >> >bargain. Not once, but twice, by your own admission.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Yes, by my own admission. Yes, I could have walked right up to the
>> >> commanding General, but I didn't. I chose a different course of action
>> >> based on the circumstances. If you had ever been in a grunt unit you
>> >> would understand those circumstances, but you weren't so don't try.
>> >>
>> >> But this discussion isn't about me, Dudly -- it's about YOU and the
>> >> bogus claims you have made about your service (if you even served at
>> >> all).
>> >
>> > Sorry, Frankie of Silliland..it IS about you.
>>
>> I see you made your choice.
>
> No...YOU did.

You make your own choices, Dudly. Don't blame me for the consequences
of those decisions.

>> > You "served" 1/4th as long as I did
>>
>> You still haven't proven that you served -at all-!
>
> I missed the part where a disgraced ex-serviceman had any
>"authority" to demand anything, Frankie...

Who's "demanding", Dudly? I simply gave you a choice.

>> > and got court martialed twice.
>>
>> "Court martialed" isn't even a word, Dudly.
>
> Yet it's a common term in both military and civilian conversation,
>Frankie.

By golly, you're right -- except that it's spelled "court-martialled".

>> > Then you want to come in here throwing your "reputation" around
>>
>> Wrong. I challenged you with facts. Once again, Dudly, it's not about
>> me -or- my "reputation" whether be it good -or- bad.
>
> No, you have NOT "challenged (me) with facts"...

Now you're in denial. Your sickness runs deep.

> You've made allegations and barroom taunts meant only to salve your
>disgraced ego.

You like to refer to the bars quite a bit..... do you spend a lot of
time at the bars, Dudly?

>> > to try
>> >and diminish MY service.
>>
>>
>> You haven't provided -any- proof that you served -at all-!
>
> I still haven't found the part that says I owe you one, Frankie.

That's because I said nothing of the sort. Got any excuses that aren't
characteristic of someone trying to evade the truth?

>> Nor have
>> you described anything about your service that could be "diminished",
>> except for your few claims that resulted in you "diminishing" yourself
>> because they were contradicted by facts. It was YOU that lied about
>> your "service" -- don't blame me for the consequences of your lies.
>
> I've not lied.

Prove it.

>> > Sorry, putz...You don't add up to the top of my jump boots.
>>
>> "Jump boots"? LOL!
>
> I didn't designate the nomenclature, Frankie.

Well, now we know what you -didn't- do in the USMC.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3374. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 11:38:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <71h8h15t7pabss5dkbatqhd2dgm01cpspf@4ax.com>
Source record: 117725

On 30 Aug 2005 03:43:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1125398587.637666.158510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> You also missed the part about the shop chief getting a
>> reprimand for lifting my light-duty chit and giving me an illegal
>> order.
>
>The shop chief could have refused the Article 15 and goen to court.
>Wonder why he didn't?

He was never brought up on charges. His reprimand was a Page 11 entry.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3375. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 14:18:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.30.14.18.46.573813@gmail.com>
Source record: 117726

Give up on the argument for time. We measure time by the spinning of the earth. Our most accurate way of measuring time is an atomic clock, it measures how many atomic particles are given of by a decaying sample of radioactive material, when so many particles have been lost--we say a certain amount of time has passed--rather crude really.

We have even developed convoluted methods to use light as a clock, however, under different conditions (gravity for one) or though different materials not even light always travels at the same speed, and theoretical physicists already know light may move at, at least slightly different speeds in different parts of the universe.

Anyway, what all these methods have in common are movement, even the atomic particles moving away from the radioactive sample. If you attempt to capture time in a bottle you only end up with a moving object in that bottle...

... there is absolutely no such thing as time, it is a figment of our imagination which allows you to get to work on "time"--time is very useful--but time is not real ...

John

On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 22:44:19 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>>
>>
>>>So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it had no
>>>beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning, the what was
>>>before that?
>>
>>
>> Since there was no universe, there was no time. If there was no time, there
>> obviously was no "before".
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> If there were no time, then there could never have been anything, since
> it would take even the smallest fraction of time for the "big bang" to
> begin.

# 3376. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 14:25:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.30.14.24.58.827307@gmail.com>
Source record: 117727

... yep, no matter how you slice or dice it, at some place in the past,
something "... was and always will be ...

The only argument left is if that "something" has an intelligence, I think
it is more than obvious it does, it made all this ...

The real argument is posed by people who do NOT want you to be endowed by
rights given to you by a creator. They wish to own you and be able to
either allow you some rights, or deny you those rights--usually based on
their appraisal of how much you are worth to them--it has always been the
story of all peoples and civilizations--"God" is very dangerous to those
who wish to control, use and own other individuals, groups and areas--as
they can only do so if those in question do not have rights which are
endowed them by a supreme creator...

... be careful to argue against "God" too quickly, the forefathers placed
him in our constitution--they had a real purpose in doing so ...

John

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 23:39:26
-0700, Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for angels
>>>or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from
>>>a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...
>>
>>
>> The only thing that I can think of which is more impossible to believe than "mud
>> became man" is angels that just "were".
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it
> had no beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning,
> the what was before that?

# 3377. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 14:28:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.30.14.28.15.79762@gmail.com>
Source record: 117728

Dee:

Kinda of like the "Jehovah Witnesses" and the Catholics? Or, kinda like
the republicans and the democrats? Or, kinda like the left wing and the
right wing? Or, kinda like the vegetarians and the meat eaters?

Point is, if one can--the other can too!!!

John

On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 22:45:36 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:devkju$eh6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>
> [snip]
>
>>
>> See, it isn't to hard to have my opinion on this. It is at least as
>> consistent as the Byzantine qualifications that people try to use to
>> justify W1AW broadcasts versus K1MAN bulletins!
>>
>> Some of us think perhaps a little more consistent.....
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> I've heard both the K1MAN transmissions and the W1AW transmissions. They
> are so far apart that it doesn't take "Byzantine qualifications" to separate
> the two.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3378. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 15:44:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <59%Qe.2430$4P5.1026@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117729

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>
> The only argument left is if that "something" has an intelligence, I think
> it is more than obvious it does, it made all this ...
>

Ah, yes, the last refuge of "I can't figure it out, so it must be magic done by the "creator".

> "God" is very dangerous to those who wish to control, use
> and own other individuals, groups and areas.....

Actually history is replete with evidence that the most heinous "controllers" tend to be members (often the leaders) of the dominant religious cult in their era/region. Almost without exception they invoke the name and power of their god as they march out to smite His enemies.

> ... be careful to argue against "God" too quickly, the forefathers placed
> him in our constitution--they had a real purpose in doing so ...

Invoking the name of a god is a good way to control the ignorant masses.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 3379. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 17:23:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.30.17.23.35.724791@gmail.com>
Source record: 117730

K0HB:

Sounds to me like you need a history lesson!

The crusades were wars against the same enemy we have today, muslim terrorists--of course the muslims were/are claiming the christians (you know, the guys whose motto is "turn the other cheek") were/are the "bad guys." If they win this war, they will be claiming it in american streets--the only good muslim is a dead one, I would volunteer to help... actually, we only continue this crusades started long ago...

John

On Tue, 30 Aug 2005 15:44:01 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> The only argument left is if that "something" has an intelligence, I think
>> it is more than obvious it does, it made all this ...
>>
>
> Ah, yes, the last refuge of "I can't figure it out, so it must be magic done by
> the "creator".
>
>> "God" is very dangerous to those who wish to control, use
>> and own other individuals, groups and areas.....
>
> Actually history is replete with evidence that the most heinous "controllers"
> tend to be members (often the leaders) of the dominant religious cult in their
> era/region. Almost without exception they invoke the name and power of their
> god as they march out to smite His enemies.
>
>
>> ... be careful to argue against "God" too quickly, the forefathers placed
>> him in our constitution--they had a real purpose in doing so ...
>
> Invoking the name of a god is a good way to control the ignorant masses.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

# 3380. Profit Stair 9.320 LIVE on Katrina - gravedancing ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 19:43:57 UTC
From: RF Burns <binalingthing@assholepreacherinsc.com>
Message-ID: <e224b$4314b6e2$d1cc4e73$8103@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

RG Stair is actually taking calls live and appears to be doing
a happy gravedance on 9.320 right now, saying Katrina was "G-d's judgement"
on the "sin and homosexual filled city of New Orleans"

I CANNOT BELIEVE WHAT THE F-CK I AM HEARING
COME OUT OF MY RADIO SPEAKER !! (right now as I type)
Profit stair LIVE and ON THE AIR NOW on 9.320 or 9.330

What a shitstain this bastard in SC is! What a HATEFULL old bastard!!!
I thought Fred Phelps in Topeka was bad.....this guy is FAR WORSE.
Listen yourself on shortwave and see.

# 3381. Re: Profit Stair 9.320 LIVE on Katrina - gravedancing ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 19:54:40 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <4Q2Re.4278$9i4.3582@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Where are you? I got nothin' here in San Jose...

"RF Burns" <binalingthing@assholepreacherinsc.com> wrote in message
news:e224b$4314b6e2$d1cc4e73$8103@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> RG Stair is actually taking calls live and appears to be doing
> a happy gravedance on 9.320 right now, saying Katrina was "G-d's
judgement"
> on the "sin and homosexual filled city of New Orleans"
>
> I CANNOT BELIEVE WHAT THE F-CK I AM HEARING
> COME OUT OF MY RADIO SPEAKER !! (right now as I type)
> Profit stair LIVE and ON THE AIR NOW on 9.320 or 9.330
>
> What a shitstain this bastard in SC is! What a HATEFULL old bastard!!!
> I thought Fred Phelps in Topeka was bad.....this guy is FAR WORSE.
> Listen yourself on shortwave and see.
>

# 3382. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 20:44:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <l8h9h1hu0kvv1osr38anjcl63l26c3cm2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 117733

On Tue, 30 Aug 2005 10:23:38 -0700, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<pan.2005.08.30.17.23.35.724791@gmail.com>:

>K0HB:
>
>Sounds to me like you need a history lesson!
>
>The crusades were wars against the same enemy we have today, muslim
>terrorists--of course the muslims were/are claiming the christians (you
>know, the guys whose motto is "turn the other cheek") were/are the "bad
>guys." If they win this war, they will be claiming it in american
>streets--the only good muslim is a dead one, I would volunteer to help...
>actually, we only continue this crusades started long ago...

=<plonk>=

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3383. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 21:53:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125438785.282742.208360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117734

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> >
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> >>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
> >>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> >>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>
> >>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> >>
> >>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
> >>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>
> Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.

No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.

# 3384. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 21:59:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125439154.665680.115430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117735

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 30 Aug 2005 02:33:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > Nor does my "credibility" in this NG count on ANY of my service in
> >the Corps...This is an Amatuer Radio forum, and I am an Amateur Radio
> >operator.
>
> So? It's not like there haven't ever been any off-topic threads in
> this group before.
>
> > You're not. Nor is Lennie. And it shows. (And that's NOT a
> >"positive" thing...)
>
> Where, in the charter, does it require that anyone who posts here be a
> ham?

Jim Miccolis/N2EY, Steve Robeson/RE-4YZ, David Heil/K8MN, and Brian Kelly/W3RV discussed closing this newsgroup to non-hams.

"...Where never is heard a discouraging word, and the skies are filled with CW signals all day..."

# 3385. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 22:15:44 UTC
From: eternalsquire@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117736

All,

I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.

1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can squeeze it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still manage to get heard.

2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get reach the furtherest across the world with it.

3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer machinery to interpret.

4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to implement. Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver to make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!

To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW operation is the next best thing.

The Eternal Squire

# 3386. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 22:21:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125440508.276282.223010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117737

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Dave wrote:
>
> > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:QAGQe.4585$z2.2885@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> >>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
> >>
> >>
> >>>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the
> >>>amateur bands.
> >>>
> >>>Period.
> >>>
> >>
> >>No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications emergency
> >>activations?
> >>
> >>No code practice sessions?
> >>
> >>No remote control of satellites?
> >>
> >>No remote control of model airplanes?
> >>
> >>No remote control of repeaters?
> >>
> >>No telemetry from satellites?
> >>
> >>No propagation beacons?
> >>
> >>No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)
> >>
> >>No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater system?
> >>
> >>No.................
> >>
> >>"Period"
> >>
> >>Damn, Mike, you one ultra-conservative summabitch!
> >>
> >>73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> > don't forget, you have to call cq until someone answers you, otherwise it

> > would be a one-way transmission! so you better be darn sure there is
> > someone that is going to answer you before you call cq.
>
> Negative.
>
> Unless a person is some kind of nut case where they just sit and call
> CQ without any intention of establishing a QSO, they are trying to
> engage in a two-way conversation.
>
> Is K1MAN trying to engage in a QSO?
>
> Is ARRL trying to engage in a QSO?
>
> Is someone calling CQ trying to engage in a QSO?
>
> Someone using a repeater?
>
>
> Presumably, the answers are no, no, yes, yes.
>
> See, it isn't to hard to have my opinion on this. It is at least as
> consistent as the Byzantine qualifications that people try to use to
> justify W1AW broadcasts versus K1MAN bulletins!
>
> Some of us think perhaps a little more consistent.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Who'se listening to W1AW anyway? I mean, except for field day points.

Shut down Hiram and Baxter and be done with it.

# 3387. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 22:22:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xcadnVnBi_M4QYneRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 117738

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.08.30.14.28.15.79762@gmail.com...
> Dee:
>
> Kinda of like the "Jehovah Witnesses" and the Catholics? Or, kinda like
> the republicans and the democrats? Or, kinda like the left wing and the
> right wing? Or, kinda like the vegetarians and the meat eaters?
>
> Point is, if one can--the other can too!!!
>
> John
>

No your comparison is not valid. In the case of W1AW & K1MAN, the point is that one adheres to the rules as they exist at this time and the other does not. If you study the "history" of amateur radio, those rules were crafted to allow W1AW to do exactly what it was doing at the time and they adhere to those same requirements today. If K1MAN adhered to those rules, it would not be possible to stop him. But he chose not too. If you think W1AW ought to go too, then work to change the rules.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3388. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 22:30:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125441030.294222.20520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117739

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 30 Aug 2005 02:05:50 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > > to try
> > > and diminish MY service.
> >
> > You haven't provided -any- proof that you served -at all-!
>
> I still haven't found the part that says I owe you one, Frankie.

RE-4YZ is looooooong on demands, short on answers. Everyone owes Steve
proof. Steve owes nothing.

## 3389. Stonewalling WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 23:01:05 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125442865.318580.85940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117740

NPRM 05-143, the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would eliminate morse code test element 1 from all amateur radio license examinations was supposedly released on 15 July 2005. It is marked "approved" as of 19 July 2005. A scanned copy of that NPRM was marked "received" by date stamp as 20 July 2005 and introduced to WT Docket 05-235 supposedly on 15 July 2005...but did not appear to anyone accessing the ECFS until 21 July 2005.

NPRM 05-143 is clearly marked as to the Comment Perior being "60 Days after published in the Federal Register." That's on the first page and page 25 of that 30-page NPRM document.

One problem: NPRM 05-143 HAS NOT BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER AS OF THE MORNING OF 30 AUGUST 2005! A month and a half has elapsed since the middle of July, 1962 Comments have been filed on WT Docket 05-235 as of 29 August 2005.

Access the Federal Register Contents page through GPO at:

http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/fedreg/frcont05.html

Noticeably missing is ANY filed Comment from the ARRL. In fact, NO organization except for one local 23-member "DX club" has filed anything under WT Docket 05-235. No Code International Executive Director Carl Stevenson has stated that NCI will not make any Comment for NCI until after the NPRM has been published in the Federal Register. The ARRL has been very noticeably present at every other amateur radio docket proceding before the FCC...but not yet on NPRM 05-143.

The ARRL was opposed to revision of ITU-R Recommendation S25, in particular S25.5 making it mandatory for all administrations to test for morse code proficiency for any license having below-30-MHz operating privileges. The IARU was for the revision, being its principal author of change which was voted in at WRC-03. In the two years since WRC-03 (July of 2003), the ARRL has generally appeared ambivalent on morse code testing with the exception of their Petition RM-10867 of 18 March 2004. RM-10867 called for a retention of morse code testing for Amateur Extra class licensees. RM-10867 was DENIED in NPRM 05-143.

From there on, it is speculation. The Comment period on NPRM 05-143 in WT Docket 05-235 has NOT OFFICIALLY STARTED. No notice has yet been made in the Federal Register. There have been 1920 Comments already filed with the FCC on that Docket. Are these to be rendered "useless" for consideration because of no Federal

Register notice given before they were filed? [see the Title Block of NPRM 05-143 as well as page 25, item 54, for the period of Comments and Replies to Comments]

Further speculation - fueled by suspicion - is that some sort of behind-the-scenes "stonewalling" is going on...perhaps by lobbyists urging the FCC to delay the Federal Register notice. Of all the possible organizations having sufficient "clout" to lobby the FCC, the ARRL is pre-eminent, retaining the services of both a communications-law firm and a professional lobbying firm in the District of Columbia area.

Yes, speculation is only speculation, no proof. However, time is elapsing and a month and a half has gone by since the release of NPRM 05-143 and NO notice in the Federal Register. That is out of the ordinary procedure of the FCC. The legality of such delay is not known. We taxpayers can't peer far enough into the inner workings of the FCC (or its lobbyists) to know for sure.

It may be that the failure to make notice in the Federal Register is a human error, a lapse of duty performance. If so, it could be corrected easily...but we ordinary citizens don't know. If so, there still remains a question on whether or not 1920 filed documents will be considered by the FCC on issuing a final Report and Order in regards to that NPRM. Will those be a useless effort by over 1700 citizens commenting?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3390. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 23:04:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125443040.714104.129950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117741

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

29 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1962

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 96

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 540 28.94%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1052 56.38%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 274 14.68%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 29 Aug 05 that would be 1866.

As of 30 Aug 05, there still hasn't been any notice in the
Federal Register under Federal Communications Commission on
the NPRM FCC 05-143 or WT Docket 05-235. I wonder why not?

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

pie sky
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3391. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 23:36:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125444979.429625.180520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117742

>If you think W1AW ought
>to go too, then work to change the rules.

The problem with this is many have tried to change the rules regarding information bulletins and the FCC has dismissed them all. The FCC last time someone purposal to change the rules regarding Information Bulletins (Which was W5YI) back in the 90's said they would not hear any rule changes regarding information bulletin again.

Todd N9OGL

# 3392. Re: Profit Stair 9.320 LIVE on Katrina - gravedancing ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 23:45:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7c6Re.1385$Xo3.473@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"RF Burns" <binalingthing@assholepreacherinsc.com> wrote in message
news:e224b$4314b6e2$d1cc4e73$8103@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> RG Stair is actually taking calls live and appears to be doing
> a happy gravedance on 9.320 right now, saying Katrina was "G-d's
judgement"
> on the "sin and homosexual filled city of New Orleans"
>
> I CANNOT BELIEVE WHAT THE F-CK I AM HEARING
> COME OUT OF MY RADIO SPEAKER !! (right now as I type)
> Profit stair LIVE and ON THE AIR NOW on 9.320 or 9.330
>
> What a shitstain this bastard in SC is! What a HATEFULL old bastard!!!
> I thought Fred Phelps in Topeka was bad.....this guy is FAR WORSE.
> Listen yourself on shortwave and see.
>

The solution is simple. There are three controls that you can use. You can change frequency (and find more interesting topics, perhaps), you can turn the volume down (or off), or you can shut off the power to the receiver.

You can thank George Bush for most of this with his "family values", with which he has done nothing. It was a ploy to get re-elected. I've noticed that one preacher is blaming the deaths of our soldiers on the fact that America "protects" gays and g*d's wrath is upon us. Bush's agenda to get re-elected has caused much hate and discontent. Our federal deficit is unbelievable and getting worse. Finally, he is coming off vacation to make a big show of how he is going to help the states that have been devistated by the hurricane. Perhaps he can go back to an elementary school and twiddle his thumbs and toes and bounce his eyes around if and when we get attacked again.

After Bush pushing for (and obtaining) big tax breaks for SUVs, how's the price of gasoline in your area? Ever wonder why after the big tobacco settlement and all the information as to how bad tobacco is for you, the tobacco farmers get big subsidies? Think, man, think for a change. Follow the money trail.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3393. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 23:46:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125445567.415570.245590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117744

>No your comparison is not valid. In the case of W1AW & K1MAN, the point is
>that one adheres to the rules as they exist at this time and the other does
>not. If you study the "history" of amateur radio, those rules were crafted
>to allow W1AW to do exactly what it was doing at the time and they adhere to
>those same requirements today. If K1MAN adhered to those rules, it would
>not be possible to stop him. But he chose not too. If you think W1AW ought
>to go too, then work to change the rules.

The problem is the rules are very vague. There is a thin line between
broadcasting and information bulletins but here is a list of things
K1MAN SHOULD of done.

1. Make sure the frequency wasn't in uses. If the frequency was in use
then he should of moved to a different fequency.
2. Stay at the control point while the transmission was going on
3. Don't avertise or talk about his website.

If he would of done this he would not be in the trouble he's in now.

Todd N9OGL

# 3394. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-30 23:51:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125445904.226015.220920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It isn't over yet, there is a long process that the FCC and K1MAN has to go through. including a hearing before an administrative law judge and the US Court of Appeal.

Todd N9OGL

# 3395. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 00:53:58 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <Jcadne5w-f5YYoneUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 117746

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125442537.718312.43920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> NPRM 05-143, the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would eliminate
> morse code test element 1 from all amateur radio license
> examinations was supposedly released on 15 July 2005. It is
> marked "approved" as of 19 July 2005. A scanned copy of that NPRM
> was marked "received" by date stamp as 20 July 2005 and introduced
> to WT Docket 05-235 supposedly on 15 July 2005...but did not appear
> to anyone accessing the ECFS until 21 July 2005.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is clearly marked as to the Comment Perior being "60
> Days after published in the Federal Register." That's on the
> first page and page 25 of that 30-page NPRM document.
>
> One problem: NPRM 05-143 HAS NOT BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE FEDERAL
> REGISTER AS OF THE MORNING OF 30 AUGUST 2005! A month and a half
> has elapsed since the middle of July, 1962 Comments have been filed
> on WT Docket 05-235 as of 29 August 2005.

Len,

It is not at all uncommon for items to take this length of time and more to
appear in the Federal Register.

I know they (the FCC) are as frustrated as anyone else with the "lead time"
they sometimes face for the Fed Reg (produced by the GPO).

>
> Access the Federal Register Contents page through GPO at:
>
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/fedreg/frcont05.html
>
> Noticeably missing is ANY filed Comment from the ARRL. In fact,
> NO organization except for one local 23-member "DX club" has filed
> anything under WT Docket 05-235. No Code International Executive
> Director Carl Stevenson has stated that NCI will not make any
> Comment for NCI until after the NPRM has been published in the
> Federal Register. The ARRL has been very noticeably present at
> every other amateur radio docket proceding before the FCC...but
> not yet on NPRM 05-143.

Perhaps ARRL is waiting until the Fed Reg publication, too, so that their
comments will not "technically" be "premature."

> The ARRL was opposed to revision of ITU-R Recommendation S25, in
> particular S25.5 making it mandatory for all administrations to

> test for morse code proficiency for any license having below-30-
> MHz operating privileges. The IARU was for the revision, being
> its principal author of change which was voted in at WRC-03.

That is misinformation ... the ARRL "got with the program" on the revision of S25.5 and was represented on the US Delegation. They also pushed the improvement of S25.6 by adding a reference to a recommendation that gives administrations guidance on the (technical) things that applicants for amateur licenses should be tested for (which NCI also supported).

> From there on, it is speculation. The Comment period on NPRM
> 05-143 in WT Docket 05-235 has NOT OFFICIALLY STARTED. No notice
> has yet been made in the Federal Register. There have been 1920
> Comments already filed with the FCC on that Docket. Are these to
> be rendered "useless" for consideration because of no Federal
> Register notice given before they were filed? [see the Title
> Block of NPRM 05-143 as well as page 25, item 54, for the period
> of Comments and Replies to Comments]

As a practical matter, while comments file before the Fed Reg publication are "technically" "premature," they will never the less be read and considered by the FCC.

> Further speculation - fueled by suspicion - is that some sort of
> behind-the-scenes "stonewalling" is going on...perhaps by
> lobbyists urging the FCC to delay the Federal Register notice.
> Of all the possible organizations having sufficient "clout" to
> lobby the FCC, the ARRL is pre-eminent, retaining the services of
> both a communications-law firm and a professional lobbying firm
> in the District of Columbia area.

As I said, the publication in the Fed Reg is beyond the FCC's control and I know that they are just as frustrated as anyone else when this sort of delay occurs.
Trying to "nail" the ARRL on some sort of half-baked "conspiracy theory" is simply bogus. If the FCC doesn't have control over when the item gets published, which they don't, how can you rationally assert that the ARRL is "behind the delay???"

BTW, I *do* appreciate all the effort you've been putting into reading all of the comments filed and giving us the stats ...

73,
Carl - wk3c

# 3396. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 00:54:35 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <fd7Re.5840$cg.2269@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 117747

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:oykQe.1427$4P5.638@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> K�HB wrote
>
> >> E=IR. It's not just a good idea; it's the LAW!
>
> "RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote
>
> > No, according to G. S. Ohm, R=E/I. The other two forms are merely
algebraic
> > manipulations.
>
> Somebody is always trying to twist the law to suit there own agenda!
Damned
> lawyers!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>
>
>
Hello, Hans

If there *is* any difference, for heaven's sake, please, someone prove it.

It is all relative or mind over matter. If you don't mind, it doesn't
matter ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3397. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 01:03:14 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125450193.987523.129120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117748

From: eternalsqu...@comcast.net on Aug 30, 3:15 pm

>All,
>
>I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.
>
>1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can squeeze
>it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still
>manage to get heard.
>
>2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
>get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get
>reach the furtherest across the world with it.

ONLY if the ionosphere is "kind" to you and you stay BELOW
VHF. Get below MF and them lil QRP things will go dead.

>3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer
>machinery to interpret.

The "digital" label is incorrect. Since OOK CW morse has
only two states, carrier-on or carrier-off, it is BINARY.

The Morse-Vail "code" is so simple in technology that it can
be done with wires and a battery, NO radio required.

Why are you doing the ex deus machina thing? What are you
using to get your posting out to the rest of the Internet?

>4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to implement.
>Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver to
>make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!

In 1844 the Morse-Vail Telegraph successfully demonstrated
wired communications between Baltimore, MD, and Washington,
DC. WITHOUT any radio whatsoever! That should "qualify" as
"contact one state away."

If "contacting another state" is so important, I need only
drive a day or two to another state...where I can stand in
that state and TALK to three other states! No radio of any
kind needed! :-)

>To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are
>archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
>made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
>operation is the next best thing.

Obi-wannabe, a "lightsaber" is a FICTIONAL thing out of the imaginary world of George Lucas' creativity. It does NOT exist. Neither do "Jedi Knights." Such is FICTION.

Don't tell me you are going to drag out the 1996 movie "Independence Day" as a "documentary?!?" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3398. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 01:12:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <cu7Re.1397$Xo3.1211@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117749

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125341162.164775.298570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!
>
> 26 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention are:
>
> Total 1923
>
> Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 94
>
> Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 524 28.65%
> Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1040 56.86%
> Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 265 14.49%
>
> Notes:
>
> 1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
> or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
> polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
> do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
> scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
> submission, and six who were commenting on another
> matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.
>
> 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
> the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
>
> 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
> NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.
>
> 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
> test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
> eliminating the code test for other classes.
>
> Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
> the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
> being received by 26 Aug 05 that would be 1829.
>
> As of 29 Aug 05, there still hasn't been any notice in the
> Federal Register under Federal Communications Commission on
> the NPRM FCC 05-143 or WT Docket 05-235. I wonder why not?
>
> Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,

> like it or not.
>
> cup kin
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

I personally am not concerned about code/no code. My problem is how easy do they wish to make the multiple guess test. Frankly, folks that don't have to work for something seldom appreciate it. Didn't they find that out with welfare?

Then again, I might be wrong.

If you gave away the licenses with no test at all (no test international?), a lot of folks might well say "no" and a lot of the rest wouldn't appreciate it anyways.

Just my humble opinion.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3399. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 01:14:38 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125450878.904762.231610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117750

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 30 Aug 2005 03:55

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> >> >>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

<snip>

>> >> > In the end, if they cannot
>> >> > lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>> >
>> >> I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>> >> one who has little experience.
>> >
>> >As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
>>
>> Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
>> that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.
>
>Closing a net with CW?

The "CW" in that case would be a "Coder's Wisdom"; i.e., one
where all wisdom derives from skill at morsemanship.

>> I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
>> PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
>> rendered.
>>
>> Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
>> as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
>> expenses.
>
>Obviously he's not professional.

He's a "was-pro" in that the U.S. government paid him for his
services. At the same time he's been an amateur (one who is NOT

paid for his services) for 40 years, presumably "working" at least
8 hours a day, 5 days a week at his ham radio hobby. :-)

>> >> Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>> >> has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
>> >> because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
>> >> he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>> >> deliberately fabricates.
>> >
>> >You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
>>
>> Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
>> discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)
>
>demonized.

Oho! Heil is an "exorcist?" :-)

>> >> > David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>> >> > well.
>> >
>> >> Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>> >> participated over a period of years.
>> >
>> >Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>> >radio operator?
>>
>> He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)
>
>And there is a purpose for his stating that you're not an amateur.

Of course. Heil can't stay on the subject of a thread so he
attempts personal insults and/or deinigrations in order to win
message points for himself.

>> But, that constant repetition of "not having a license" masks Heil's
>> INABILITY to reply ON the subject of what he was challenged for. He
>> tries to wiggle out of a challenge by semi-denigrative, moral
>> something or others implying that I should NOT be posting in here.
>
>Every time.

Evident to all.

>> >> Len isn't involved in amateur
>> >> radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
>> >> rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done
>> >> those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
>> >> or ridiculing him or his ideas.
>> >
>> >Nor him you.
>>

>> Heil is a bundle of laughs. :-)
>
>Among other things.

Isn't the French equivalent of Heil's "laughs" called "merde?" :-)

>> Tsk tsk tsk. Heil thinks he has some special dispensation that allows
>> him to insult others but others are "not permitted" to fire right back
>> at him.
>
>He reaps what he sows.

He needs Crop Rotation. He can already spread fertilizer...

>> Heil must LIKE Dudly...because Dudly attacks me. Heil is living
>> vicariously, enjoying another personally-insult me. "An enemy of
>> an enemy is his friend" to slightly paraphrase an old folk saying.
>
>I can't imagine anyone "liking" RE-4YZ. Steve merely serves a purpose.

"Serves a purpose?" Yes, I suppose, much like the Antichrist.

>> Heil doesn't realize that Dudly's fraudulent behavior is HURTING
>> the image of U.S. amateur radio.
>>
>> Miccolis can't rein-in Dudly. Hans Brakob couldn't hold him down.
>> Katapult Kellie doesn't seem to have tried either way. Jeswald
>> hasn't said much. NOT a good case for building a good image of
>> U.S. amateur radio to the public.
>
>Noop! But if that's who they want out in front creating a new thread
>or five every day....

...and INSULTING each and every person who contradicts him or just
expresses an opposing viewpoint. NOT a good image.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3400. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 01:22:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <4D7Re.1398$Xo3.741@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117751

<eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> All,
>
> I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.
>
>
> 1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can squeeze
> it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still
> manage to get heard.
>
> 2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
> get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get
> reach the furtherest across the world with it.
>
> 3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer
> machinery to interpret.
>
> 4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to implement.
> Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver to
> make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!
>
> To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are
> archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
> made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
> operation is the next best thing.
>
> The Eternal Squire
>

CW is not the narrowest bandwidth mode; currently, psk-31 is. With
something like a 32 Hz bandwidth, cw pales in comparison with its' 100 to
200 Hz bandwidth.

That said, it is easy to grab a key (or keyer) and have an intelligent
conversation (yes, the cw gang can and does rag-chew) with simple equipment.
It should be noted that PSK takes its' cue from CW in that it is a
variable-length encoded mode. Like CW, the most common characters are the
shortest (and CW is worthless? Folks learned and built upon the earlier
mode).

PSK-31 can send/receiver far faster than the best cw operator can
send/receive. However, there are some folks that argue that keyboards are
obsolete. I suspect they simply want no tests LOL.

CW can be a most effective way of communicating your message. One guy on a local repeater was screaming "cw forever". Fortunately, the repeater owner was in the group so ..... int f2? And .... 40 words per minute mcw was sent over the repeater. The owner had no problem. I had no problem. Yes, I can stir the pot a bit LOL

The guy pushing for cw only? My point was made :)))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3401. Re: Profit Stair 9.320 LIVE on Katrina - gravedancing ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 01:41:09 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125452469.503860.234580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The profit is having his moment - he's been "predicting" such a disaster for years.

So now that one of his "predictions" has finally come true, he feels that he owns the bragging rights.

Yep .. all them "sinners" in New Orleans got what was coming to 'em.

The profit has a lotta room to talk after what he did to those young "sistahs" out behind the barn a year or 2 ago.

# 3402. Re: Profit Stair 9.320 LIVE on Katrina - gravedancing ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 01:51:19 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <833ah1t6lfa6eq1o5fillkfsetpp17umv4@4ax.com>
Source record: 117754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 30 Aug 2005 15:43:57 -0400, "RF Burns"
<binalingthing@assholepreacherinsc.com> wrote:

>RG Stair is actually taking calls live and appears to be doing
>a happy gravedance on 9.320 right now, saying Katrina was "G-d's judgement"
>on the "sin and homosexual filled city of New Orleans"
>
>I CANNOT BELIEVE WHAT THE F-CK I AM HEARING
>COME OUT OF MY RADIO SPEAKER !! (right now as I type)
>Profit stair LIVE and ON THE AIR NOW on 9.320 or 9.330
>
>What a shitstain this bastard in SC is! What a HATEFULL old bastard!!!
>I thought Fred Phelps in Topeka was bad.....this guy is FAR WORSE.
>Listen yourself on shortwave and see.

Sorry, but I don't listen to talking arseholes...

## 3403. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 02:00:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-uWdnZ2dnZ1xsS71nZ2dnQuSiN6dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 117753

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:4D7Re.1398$Xo3.741@news01.roc.ny...
>
> <eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> All,
>>
>> I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.
>>
>>
>> 1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can squeeze
>> it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still
>> manage to get heard.
>>
>> 2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
>> get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get
>> reach the furtherest across the world with it.
>>
>> 3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer
>> machinery to interpret.
>>
>> 4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to implement.
>> Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver to
>> make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!
>>
>> To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are
>> archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
>> made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
>> operation is the next best thing.
>>
>> The Eternal Squire
>>
>
>
> CW is not the narrowest bandwidth mode; currently, psk-31 is. With
> something like a 32 Hz bandwidth, cw pales in comparison with its' 100 to
> 200 Hz bandwidth.
>

However CW is the lowest power consumption mode. All the other digital
modes require a computer and monitor. The latter two combined can require
250watts to 350 watts even before adding in the transmitter requirements.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 3404. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 02:58:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <A19Re.5858$cg.4051@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 117755

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:-uWdnZ2dnZ1xsS71nZ2dnQuSiN6dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:4D7Re.1398$Xo3.741@news01.roc.ny...
> >
> > <eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> All,
> >>
> >> I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.
> >>
> >>
> >> 1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can squeeze
> >> it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still
> >> manage to get heard.
> >>
> >> 2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
> >> get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get
> >> reach the furtherest across the world with it.
> >>
> >> 3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer
> >> machinery to interpret.
> >>
> >> 4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to implement.
> >> Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver to
> >> make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!
> >>
> >> To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are
> >> archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
> >> made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
> >> operation is the next best thing.
> >>
> >> The Eternal Squire
> >>
> >
> >
> > CW is not the narrowest bandwidth mode; currently, psk-31 is. With
> > something like a 32 Hz bandwidth, cw pales in comparison with its' 100
to
> > 200 Hz bandwidth.
> >
>
> However CW is the lowest power consumption mode. All the other digital

> modes require a computer and monitor. The latter two combined can require
> 250watts to 350 watts even before adding in the transmitter requirements.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

An excellent point, Dee

Of course, if your computer is a Cray .....
Just plug into your nearest substation LOL.

A good point and well taken. Then again, RTTY does not necessarily require
a computer. Anyone have a model 28 ASR? :)))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 3405. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 03:02:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <059Re.2679$4P5.498@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117756

John Smith wrote:
> K0HB:
>
> Sounds to me like you need a history lesson!
>
> The crusades were wars against the same enemy we have today, muslim
> terrorists--of course the muslims were/are claiming the christians (you
> know, the guys whose motto is "turn the other cheek") were/are the "bad
> guys." If they win this war, they will be claiming it in american
> streets--the only good muslim is a dead one, I would volunteer to help...
> actually, we only continue this crusades started long ago...

Spoken like a fellow who doesn't actually know any Muslims, "John".

Dave K8MN

# 3406. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 03:04:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <V69Re.2681$4P5.2533@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117757

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>>>
>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.

>>>>
>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>>>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>>
>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
>
>
> No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.

You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.

By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.

Dave K8MN

# 3407. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 03:09:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GoGdnUVSHfUHgojeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 117758

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:A19Re.5858$cg.4051@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:-uWdnZ2dnZ1xsS71nZ2dnQuSiN6dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:4D7Re.1398$Xo3.741@news01.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > <eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> > news:1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> All,
>> >>
>> >> I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> 1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can squeeze
>> >> it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still
>> >> manage to get heard.
>> >>
>> >> 2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
>> >> get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get
>> >> reach the furtherest across the world with it.
>> >>
>> >> 3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer
>> >> machinery to interpret.
>> >>
>> >> 4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to implement.
>> >> Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver to
>> >> make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!
>> >>
>> >> To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are
>> >> archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
>> >> made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
>> >> operation is the next best thing.
>> >>
>> >> The Eternal Squire
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> > CW is not the narrowest bandwidth mode; currently, psk-31 is. With
>> > something like a 32 Hz bandwidth, cw pales in comparison with its' 100
> to
>> > 200 Hz bandwidth.

>> >
>>
>> However CW is the lowest power consumption mode. All the other digital
>> modes require a computer and monitor. The latter two combined can
>> require
>> 250watts to 350 watts even before adding in the transmitter requirements.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
>
> An excellent point, Dee
>
> Of course, if your computer is a Cray .....
> Just plug into your nearest substation LOL.
>
> A good point and well taken. Then again, RTTY does not necessarily
> require
> a computer. Anyone have a model 28 ASR? :)))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Curiosity prompts me to ask how much power that drew?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3408. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 03:24:55 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <bq9Re.4379$_84.3110@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117759

Jim,

Nothing that might happen as aresult of this NPRM will
involve changing the written tests.

This NPRM as currently put forth ONLY ends Element 1,
the code test.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:cu7Re.1397$Xo3.1211@news01.roc.ny...
>
(SNIP of latest statistics analysis on comments)
>
> Hello, Len
> I personally am not concerned about code/no code.
> My problem is how easy do
> they wish to make the multiple guess test. Frankly, folks that don't have
> to work for something seldom appreciate it. Didn't they find that out
> with
> welfare?
>
> Then again, I might be wrong.
>
> If you gave away the licenses with no test at all (no test
> international?),
> a lot of folks might well say "no" and a lot of the rest wouldn't
> appreciate
> it anyways.
>
> Just my humble opinion.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

# 3409. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 03:28:43 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Lt9Re.4380$_84.399@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117760

<eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> All,
>
> I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.
>
(SNIP of arguments as to USE)
>
> To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are
> archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
> made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
> operation is the next best thing.
>
> The Eternal Squire

The current rules chnange does NOT prohibit you or anyone else
from USING morse code. The rules, if changed as per NPRM 05-235,
only ends the code test. Anyone now or in the future will still
be able to learn and use morse code to their heart's content.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 3410. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 03:57:57 UTC
From: eternalsquire@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1125460677.306406.30980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117761

>Obi-wannabe, a "lightsaber" is a FICTIONAL thing out of the
>imaginary world of George Lucas' creativity. It does NOT
>exist. Neither do "Jedi Knights." Such is FICTION.

>Don't tell me you are going to drag out the 1996 movie
>"Independence Day" as a "documentary?!?" :-)

Oh come on, don't you know a metaphor when you see one?
A little escapism is good for the human soul.

The Eternal Squire

# 3411. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 05:05:29 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125464729.407667.107590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117762

From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>It is not at all uncommon for items to take this length of time and more to
>appear in the Federal Register.

Is a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking a legal document or not?

Does it not say on NPRM 05-143 that a stated Comment period
exists on both the title block on page 1 and in item 54 on
page 25? It says that the Comment period BEGINS when the
notice is published in the Federal Register. Both pages.

>I know they (the FCC) are as frustrated as anyone else with the "lead time"
>they sometimes face for the Fed Reg (produced by the GPO).

I don't "know" that. I'm just a citizen living roughly
3000 miles from Washington, DC, and depend on the Internet
for "quick" news out of my government. I have NO law firm
to "represent me" and can't afford a Lobbyist firm to push
for anything.

The only "problem" observed with the Federal Register TOC
page was a four-day gap two weeks ago...when they failed to
update the links to appropriate days for Volume 70 (all of
2005). Without a link to the Day TOC, NO ONE can see what
is in them unless they get the paper version.

>Perhaps ARRL is waiting until the Fed Reg publication, too, so that their
>comments will not "technically" be "premature."

...and perhaps the ARRL is NOT so all-fired "pure" as you say.

Then again, I am not running for any office at the ARRL.

Neither am I running for any office at NCI.

>> The ARRL was opposed to revision of ITU-R Recommendation S25, in
>> particular S25.5 making it mandatory for all administrations to
>> test for morse code proficiency for any license having below-30-
>> MHz operating privileges. The IARU was for the revision, being
>> its principal author of change which was voted in at WRC-03.
>
>That is misinformation ... the ARRL "got with the program" on the revision
>of S25.5 and was represented on the US Delegation. They also pushed the
>improvement of S25.6 by adding a reference to a recommendation that gives
>administrations guidance on the (technical) things that applicants for

>amateur licenses should be tested for (which NCI also supported).

I wasn't IN Geneva, Switzerland, for WRC-03. All I read are the
U.S. Delegation's Report on WRC-03, comments from the IARU on
their web page, comments on several other web pages, and a few
items that have made it into print so far.

I read what everyone else reads...and that INCLUDES Dave
Sumnner's infrequent postings from Geneva during WRC-03.

>> From there on, it is speculation. The Comment period on NPRM
>> 05-143 in WT Docket 05-235 has NOT OFFICIALLY STARTED. No notice
>> has yet been made in the Federal Register. There have been 1920
>> Comments already filed with the FCC on that Docket. Are these to
>> be rendered "useless" for consideration because of no Federal
>> Register notice given before they were filed? [see the Title
>> Block of NPRM 05-143 as well as page 25, item 54, for the period
>> of Comments and Replies to Comments]
>
>As a practical matter, while comments file before the Fed Reg publication
>are "technically" "premature," they will never the less be read and
>considered by the FCC.

Hello? Who are you trying to kid here? Ever hear of "sunshine"
or see the big red type on some dockets' Comment listings?

When did you work IN the FCC Carl?

>> Further speculation - fueled by suspicion - is that some sort of
>> behind-the-scenes "stonewalling" is going on...perhaps by
>> lobbyists urging the FCC to delay the Federal Register notice.
>> Of all the possible organizations having sufficient "clout" to
>> lobby the FCC, the ARRL is pre-eminent, retaining the services of
>> both a communications-law firm and a professional lobbying firm
>> in the District of Columbia area.
>
>As I said, the publication in the Fed Reg is beyond the FCC's control and I
>know that they are just as frustrated as anyone else when this sort of delay
>occurs.

Gratuitous corporate doublespeak phrase.

The FCC is simply NOT THAT CONCERNED about amateur radio matters.
Want evidence of that? Go to the FCC home page, click on Wireless
Communications Bureau. When you get to the Bureau, click on
"Amateur." What do you see in "news" of procedings there?

Hello, the LATEST date is 8 October 2002 on DA 02-2475, Antenna
siting and support structure revision to Part 97. Damn near
THREE YEARS OLD. Not one single thing about the 18 Petitions that
went through from 2003 to 2004. Not one notice that NPRM 05-143
was ever released. Not one notice in the separate page of "open"
procedings from all Bureaus and Offices.

"Delay" is like a few days, maybe two weeks. The NOTICE for 05-143
hasn't been there for SIX WEEKS.

>Trying to "nail" the ARRL on some sort of half-baked "conspiracy theory" is
>simply bogus.

Bullsnit. Hello? I'm SPECULATING. Understand the word?

Don't get your legal briefs in a knot. A legal beagle you ain't
and this is NOT "moot court."

> If the FCC doesn't have control over when the item gets
>published, which they don't, how can you rationally assert that the ARRL is
>"behind the delay???"

RATIONALLY, one only has to look over the past half-century or so
and see that, in the beginning, the ARRL used to get all that it
asked for, lobbied for, etc. All the way up to creation of the
much-beloved (by some) "incentive plan."

Since I don't work for the ARRL and am NOT running for office, I
simply observe what everyone else can observe and RATIONALLY put
together all the available information...and "connect the dots."
It's not difficult for anyone with a RATIONAL mind. Note I
emphasize RATIONAL. Those whose minds are "conditioned" or easily
swayed by nice-nice words that cater to what they want to believe
won't connect all the "dots"...those minds are NOT rational, just
conditioned (or "brainwashed" in the indelicate common phrase).

I'm just a citizen of the USA without a fancy title, can't put
words down on nice letterhead paper sounding like they are oh, so
important(!). Not being an "insider" or having opportunity to
hobnob with the rich and famous, all I or any other citizen can
so is SPECULATE...based on what has happened in years past.

>BTW, I *do* appreciate all the effort you've been putting into reading all
>of the comments filed and giving us the stats ...

Here's some "charm school" advice, Carl. If you want to SOUND
appreciative, put such phrases FIRST in the body of text. Putting
them at the last makes them look like the phony-baloney they
probably are.

I don't work for NCI. In fact, NCI REFUSED my no-dues membership
for over a year. I don't work for ANY big money or big
organizations and am NOT running to do so. Got that so far?
I've been doing small-scale arguing on this code-test issue since
three decades ago. And received the usual boilerplated form-
letter "replies" in surface mail, like a truly wonderful "answer"
from the late Vic Clark (?) once the elected president of the
older ARRL...which said if I "wanted to know more about radio,
buy the new book out "Now You're Talking!" Judas H. Cottonpicker,
after my beginning in HF radio communications, I was supposed to
read a "radio for dummies" book? Yeah, like thanks a lot, big

League. And the same to you.

I do some bookkeeping on numbers and percentages which are a bit of extra effort. I read EVERY SINGLE COMMENT...for ME, not for anyone else. I post the stats in here because I CAN...for ANYONE's information-input. If those stats show code-test retention, then that is what I would show. But, the stats favor code-test elimination. Push aside the gratuitous corporate-speak phrases and understand that. NPRM 05-143 is going to have as large, possibly larger, effect on U.S. amateur radio in the near future than the "restructuring" of R&O 99-412 did. Had you read all of those Comments on WT Docket 05-235 and done an honest synopsis of them, you would see the same. But, you are running for office now, and that may not be in the center of your vision.

Oh, did you want nice-nice phrases down here? :-) Sorry, I don't HAVE to work for anybody or run for office, I can speak my mind. I've done the "charm school" thing (manager's classes) and passed just fine. :-) Don't have to use those "lessons learned" now. Difficult to take a RATIONAL, independent mind, ain't it? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3412. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 05:07:54 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125464874.107800.121790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117763

From: Dee Flint on Aug 30, 7:00 pm

>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> <eternalsqu...@comcast.net> wrote in message

>> CW is not the narrowest bandwidth mode; currently, psk-31 is. With
>> something like a 32 Hz bandwidth, cw pales in comparison with its' 100 to
>> 200 Hz bandwidth.
>
>However CW is the lowest power consumption mode. All the other digital
>modes require a computer and monitor.

Tsk, tsk, BAD engineer! Go sit in the corner cubicle with Wally.

No, "all the other digital modes" do NOT need a full-on PC.

It is COMMON to use a full-on PC because that is the SIMPLEST
solution to implementing such mode...and because so few
manufacturers have designed and made stand-alone equipment
for some digital modes.

One example that was withdrawn from kit production was the
DZ Engineering "PSKube," a stand-alone PSK31 transceiver. Full
manual and details, photos, are available at the DZ website.

> The latter two combined can require
>250watts to 350 watts even before adding in the transmitter requirements.

Tsk, tsk, tsk! Why not figure in the entire HOUSE that holds
the radio? :-)

Back in 1947 or so, ENIAC was crunching numbers using around 50
thousand tubes (give or take) and gulping KWe like a small
housing project. In 2000, my little HP 32S II pocket programmable
calculator was loaded with three small Lithium-ion battery cells
and allowed me to crunch numbers FASTER than ENIAC, with MORE
PRECISION than ENIAC, with FAR MORE RELIABILITY than ENIAC, and
had MORE BUILT-IN FUNCTIONS for calculation than was even dreamed
of for ENIAC. Ya know what? It still has those SAME BATTERIES!
Even after seeing a lot of use, it still has the juice.

Go take apart an AMTOR peripheral coupler, analyze its innards,
and report back on power consumption. A modern one, not one of
the first using discrete transistors. Ain't no 100 Watt power
consumption or even close to it. My ancient HP 722 inkjet printer
takes only a few Watts on standby, hardly more than that to print
text at a reasonable rate; it has an in-line-cord 'wall wart' style

power supply unit that doesn't get warm in-use.

I grant you that an electronic display takes some Watts. I don't immediately recall the power demand on my Samsung 712n flat-panel display but just from feeling the top vents, it can't be more than 50 Watts. It's a year old and as bright as ever, fine distortionless detail, a "17-inch" size flat-panel. That display COULD simultaneously show all the details of an operating HF transceiver as well as the text into and out of an AMTOR box (or any of the 'TORs). Of course that needs the computer box itself which DOES take some Watts...but the box power demand has dropped considerably since 1981 in addition to increasing its functioning waayyyyyy above the original 4 MHz clock first Boca Raton boxes. The HP box I've got now is lazily doing all this word processing, running SETI@home data unit in the background, running diagnostics on itself, all seemingly simultaneous. It can do much more, and does with AVIs or MPEGs and other things.

Might I suggest an even ittier-bittier power demand "CW" unit, doesn't even need a hardware receiver, just the optical wetware and perhaps a telescope (zero power demand). Connect a manual code key in place of a white-LED flashlight switch and "blip" away as fast as you can in morse code. No problem, the LED light can follow all amateur Data on-off switching. Excellent efficiency in Lumens/Watt, better than a transistorized Class C amplifier. Of course, the frequency is ABOVE the maximum ITU frequency allocation of 300 GHz and therefore doesn't need any license of any kind! Of course, daylight operation isn't too swift for "DX." :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3413. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 05:14:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125465262.478022.155560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117764

>Oh come on, don't you know a metaphor when you see one?
>A little escapism is good for the human soul.

Metaphors be with you Obi-wannabe.

"Escapism" is wonderful for the movies. In radio-electronics
things ain't fantasy. I learned that long, long ago, on Terra
not far away.

Electrons, fields, and waves behave by THEIR rules, not human ones.
You learn THEIR rules and work with those, then you've got a chance
to make them do your bidding. Believe in THAT, not magic or the
moom-pitchas.

Have you memorized the rectangular-form complex number arithmetic
yet?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3414. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 05:16:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125465375.849213.109100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117765

From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

<snip>

>I personally am not concerned about code/no code. My problem is how easy do
>they wish to make the multiple guess test.

NPRM 05-143 is concerned about elimination/retention of the
morse code test for amateur radio licensing.

If you have a bitch, moan, cuss-word, or whatever about the
written test questions, you just contact the VEC Question Pool
Committee. The VEC QPC make up ALL the written test questions
and answers. FCC no longer has a hand in that, they only approve
(or disapprove) the Pool.

> Frankly, folks that don't have
>to work for something seldom appreciate it.

I personally am not concerned about some "work ethic" or "moral
virtue" bullsnit or its holier-than-thou sounding phrases.

I've WORKED for my living since graduating high school. Spare
me some of that folksy filosophizing floobydust, okay?

> Didn't they find that out with welfare?

You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
"incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
didn't it?

>Then again, I might be wrong.

No, the "incentive plan" worked very well for the welfare of
the morsemen. They got real big and important, looked down
their noses at the "mundane" and felt good. Made the "class
distinction" thing into a fine art.

But, it kind of went sour with R&O 99-412, didn't it? FCC made
code test rates 5 WPM maximum and cut the number of license
classes in half! My, my, what a calamity to the super-special
ultra-extra-morsemen!

>If you gave away the licenses with no test at all (no test international?),
>a lot of folks might well say "no" and a lot of the rest wouldn't appreciate
>it anyways.

Gosh, REALLY? Wow, gee-whilikers, Captain Code, is that true?

>Just my humble opinion.

Hardly. Hardly "unbiased" either. :-)

If you don't like what I publish in this "scorecard," then you
welcome to go read all 1967 comments on WT Docket 05-235 that have
arrived by midnight EDT on 30 August 2005. Do your own stats.
It's easy. Anyone can do it. Just takes a little work. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3415. Re: Profit Stair 9.320 LIVE on Katrina - gravedancing ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 05:49:18 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-FD2FE5.22491830082005@newsclstr01.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 117766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7c6Re.1385$Xo3.473@news01.roc.ny>,
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

< Snip >

> The solution is simple. There are three controls that you can use.
> You can change frequency (and find more interesting topics, perhaps),
> you can turn the volume down (or off), or you can shut off the power
> to the receiver.
>
> You can thank George Bush for most of this with his "family values",
> with which he has done nothing.

< Snip >

Get off it. Bother Stair has been around a long time before Bush was
president. Try not to look stupid while doing your Bush bashing.

You were doing OK with the first paragraph you wrote and should have
stopped there.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

# 3416. Re: Stonewalling WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 10:37:28 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96C343E1245CDelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 117767

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1125442865.318580.85940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> NPRM 05-143, the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would eliminate
> morse code test element 1 from all amateur radio license
> examinations was supposedly released on 15 July 2005. It is
> marked "approved" as of 19 July 2005. A scanned copy of that
> NPRM was marked "received" by date stamp as 20 July 2005 and
> introduced to WT Docket 05-235 supposedly on 15 July 2005...but
> did not appear to anyone accessing the ECFS until 21 July 2005.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is clearly marked as to the Comment Perior being "60
> Days after published in the Federal Register." That's on the first
> page and page 25 of that 30-page NPRM document.
>
> One problem: NPRM 05-143 HAS NOT BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE FEDERAL
> REGISTER AS OF THE MORNING OF 30 AUGUST 2005! A month and a half
> has elapsed since the middle of July, 1962 Comments have been filed
> on WT Docket 05-235 as of 29 August 2005.
>
> Access the Federal Register Contents page through GPO at:
>
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/fedreg/frcont05.html
>
> Noticeably missing is ANY filed Comment from the ARRL. In fact,
> NO organization except for one local 23-member "DX club" has filed
> anything under WT Docket 05-235. No Code International Executive
> Director Carl Stevenson has stated that NCI will not make any
> Comment for NCI until after the NPRM has been published in the
> Federal Register. The ARRL has been very noticeably present at
> every other amateur radio docket proceding before the FCC...but
> not yet on NPRM 05-143.
>
> The ARRL was opposed to revision of ITU-R Recommendation S25, in
> particular S25.5 making it mandatory for all administrations to
> test for morse code proficiency for any license having below-30-
> MHz operating privileges. The IARU was for the revision, being
> its principal author of change which was voted in at WRC-03. In
> the two years since WRC-03 (July of 2003), the ARRL has generally
> appeared ambivalent on morse code testing with the exception of
> their Petition RM-10867 of 18 March 2004. RM-10867 called for a
> retention of morse code testing for Amateur Extra class
> licensees. RM-10867 was DENIED in NPRM 05-143.
>

> From there on, it is speculation. The Comment period on NPRM
> 05-143 in WT Docket 05-235 has NOT OFFICIALLY STARTED. No notice
> has yet been made in the Federal Register. There have been 1920
> Comments already filed with the FCC on that Docket. Are these to
> be rendered "useless" for consideration because of no Federal
> Register notice given before they were filed? [see the Title
> Block of NPRM 05-143 as well as page 25, item 54, for the period
> of Comments and Replies to Comments]
>
> Further speculation - fueled by suspicion - is that some sort of
> behind-the-scenes "stonewalling" is going on...perhaps by
> lobbyists urging the FCC to delay the Federal Register notice.
> Of all the possible organizations having sufficient "clout" to
> lobby the FCC, the ARRL is pre-eminent, retaining the services of
> both a communications-law firm and a professional lobbying firm
> in the District of Columbia area.
>
> Yes, speculation is only speculation, no proof. However, time
> is elapsing and a month and a half has gone by since the release
> of NPRM 05-143 and NO notice in the Federal Register. That is
> out of the ordinary procedure of the FCC. The legality of such
> delay is not known. We taxpayers can't peer far enough into the
> inner workings of the FCC (or its lobbyists) to know for sure.
>
> It may be that the failure to make notice in the Federal Register
> is a human error, a lapse of duty performance. If so, it could
> be corrected easily...but we ordinary citizens don't know. If so,
> there still remains a question on whether or not 1920 filed
> documents will be considered by the FCC on issuing a final
> Report and Order in regards to that NPRM. Will those be a useless
> effort by over 1700 citizens commenting?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

I doubt if the League have that much pull, although they probably would if
they could. Someone certainly got s25 kept off the ITU docket for years,
otherwise the international code test requirement would have gone in 1993
or thereabouts, so sandbagging has certainly been a part of this.

# 3417. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 11:01:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125486103.821457.43680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117768

Jim Hampton wrote:

> I personally am not concerned about code/no code.

Hello Jim, (from another Jim who used to live in
Wayne County).

Concerned or not, it seems FCC *really* wants to
dump Element 1. That's no surprise at all, given
the past 25-30 years or so.

> My problem is how easy do
> they wish to make the multiple guess test.

A key factor is which "they" you mean.

Several of the proposals asked for a new beginner
license. The scariest of those was the NCVEC idea,
which was derived from a paper titled "Amateur
Radio in the 21st Century". I wrote a rebuttal to
that paper which I sent to the authors, and also
posted here. Kinda long but it's detailed. You can
skip through the code test discussion and focus on
the written-test stuff, which says they think the
Tech is too hard for newcomers!

Other proposals (like the FISTS proposal) detail
how the written tests could be improved.

But FCC specifically denied all proposed changes
to the written exam structure and methods, and the
number of license classes in the NPRM. So the
writtens won't change much one way or the other.

> Frankly, folks that don't have
> to work for something seldom appreciate it.

Yup. It's called "investment", in the general
sense, not just money.

> Didn't they find that out with welfare?

"Welfare" takes a lot of forms - corporate,
lifestyle, geographic, etc.

Of course if a behavior is rewarded, you tend to
get more of it.

> Then again, I might be wrong.

Not really.

> If you gave away the licenses with no test at all (no test
> international?),
> a lot of folks might well say "no" and a lot of the rest
> wouldn't appreciate
> it anyways.

FCC did that with a service called 'cb'. Look what happened.

Of course some folks will say that cb became a mess because
of overcrowding and/or lack of enforcement. IOW, they blame
everyone other than those actually breaking the rules.

--

But you are correct to be concerned. Back in 2000, when FCC
dropped all code testing except Element 1, they also reduced
the number and size of the written tests. The written test
reduction was dramatic, particularly for Technician and Extra.

Just watch. FCC will probably dump Element 1 regardless of
what the comment total works out to be in the end. They ignored
majority opinion in 2000 on how many code test speeds there should be,
and there's no requirement that FCC follow majority opinion on
anything.

I've predicted before that when Element 1 goes you'll see a flurry of
upgrades and some short-term growth - but no big
technological changes, nor sustained increases in the number
of hams.

Once all that settles down, you'll see renewed attacks on the *written*
tests from some folks, claiming the written tests
are obsolete, outmoded, too hard, unfair to handicapped people, etc.

Practically all of the objections to the code tests can be
modified for use against the writtens. In fact they have been -
read the "21st Century" paper.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 3418. "Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he is sick"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:04:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125493470.080743.49550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117769

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Day 11 Stevie says Kiddy porn is the same as lying, and he was right!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Stevie claims that lying about oneself compares to Kiddy Porn the
> > > > > > explotation of Kidds for the sexual pleasures of sick people
> > > > > >
> > > > > Lying is a sickness.
> > > >
> > > Not according to AMA
> > >
> > It's not a medical issue.
> >
> > of course it is
>
> Nope. It's a psychological one.

Medical is medical
>
> One can be in perfect PHYSICAL health and still manifest a
> PSYCHOLOGICAL disorder. Lying has nothing to do with your metabolism.

more bulhshit form Stevie

Medical is medical

>
> > Unless it is a religious one in which Lying is not a sickness, it can
> > be a religious requirement
> >
> > and In amercia we still have freedom of religion
>
cuting speeling cop

> Lying is not a protected religious freedom.

wrong again

the Value you are placing on Honesty is a religious one, and therfore
is a mtter of you trying to deny freedom to others to disagree

>
> > > > > > Yes indeed Stevie is sick

> > > > >
> > > > > Other than a bit of indigestion from too much pizza this
> > > > > afternoon, I am quite fit.
> > > > >
> > > > > No you are sick, saying kiddy porn is related to lying
> > > >
> > > > They are. And no, I am quite well..The earlier indigestion from
> > > > the pizza waned, thanks to some Zantac OTC.
> > >
> > more stevie lies
> >
> Nope. I feel quite well.

indeed but to quote you. "One can be in perfect PHYSICAL health and
still manifest a PSYCHOLOGICAL disorder."

and you are clearly quite sick
>
> > kiddy porn is in no way related to lying
> >
> Sure it is.

more lies from the man that can't tolerate lying

>
> That YOU are an ADMITTED liar and that putting you in that basket
> makes you uncomfortable is just too bad, Markie.

you are just sick to say that

>
> Ya shudda considered that (a) before you started lying and (b)
> before you bragged about BEING a liar.

more BS
>
> > > > > > and since Stevie lies often Stevie should feel he is the same as a
> > > > > > Kiddy porn producer
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You have yet to validate a single one, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure have
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > indeed I have
> >
> Nope. Not a one.

sure have

>
> > a new lie validated is your claim to respect the constitution of the US

>
> I do.
>
> YOU on the otherhand have yet to show where I HAVEN'T, other than
> to express your frustration that I refuse to yield to an admitted liar.

you are tying to foist your morality on other in violation of the
constitution

then you lie and try to call it medicine

>
> > cuting more repitous crap
>
> "repetitious"
>

I can cut it very easiy

# 3419. Re: FYI (more steive lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:09:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125493764.406180.75820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117770

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Ill be gone from sometime tommarrow so don't get your hopes up Stevie
>
> "tomorrow"
>
> A day without Markie is like a day WITH sunshine!
>
> > BTW you want the turck plate number so you can to again with Wisconsin
> > where I will be visting for a few days
>
> "truck"
>
> I am not sure what you were trying to say, Markie, but I am sure
> you impressed yourself writing it.

more lies I am sure
>
> > I leave with few thoughts Stevie
>
> cuting crybaby> > One, grow up and learn the wolrd does not revolve around you
>
cut
> It would seem that YOU are the "center of the universe" person,
> Markie...Felt like you couldn't leave your keyboard for a day without
> making excuses for your absence.

No I was tying to sare your feeling of dispointment when I returned

Indeed I am shocked not to find you boasting that Michigan had not
droped a net on me

>
>
> > Two, seriously consider treatment for your Delsional nature, and your
> > paranoia
>
> I have neither.
you suffer from both
>
> > Three, stop lying and join in discussing subjects, we have had afew
> > over the last week of course you were to busy with your flame wars to
> > notice
>
> No...YOU were too busy to notice that I have commented on SEVERAL

> issues that DIDN'T involve YOU, Markie!

I notoiced your coments, but youduck out on severl threads ole boy

cut
> > Four try to learn the difference between mental illness and being
> > different from YOURSELF
>
> I do know the difference.

no you don't
>
> Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between
> mental illness and wellness.

But I do unlike you

>
> Enjoy your trip. Looking for goats, are you?

more of bashing stevie

grow up learn respect for those who aredifferent
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 3420. Re: Day 14 when will Stevie deal with his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:15:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125494147.859523.229260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117771

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Sorry folks gee I take aday and attend to my stuff and Stvie realy goes
> > > > off the deep end (or is it the showllow end in a deep dive)
> > >
> > > "really"
> > >
> > > "shallow" (as in "your mind")
> >
> > you understood every word it seems
>
> Not without effort.

Ah yes Stevie is lazy needs everything spoon feed to him

>
cut nonsense

>
> > when will you stop lying with your claim Michigan will take a LPN
> > seriously about mental illness
>
> It's not a lie.

sure seems to be

>
> Any credible entity will take ANY report of mental defect and
> INVESTIGATE it, just like they are doing you right now.

still instagating right

>
> And Markie...
>
> I didn't tell them you are "mentally ill"...I told them I have
> reason to be concerned for your health and welfare and WHY I am
> concerned.

Obviously you told them nothing of substance
>
> > If you sent a letter it was circular filed, since the Computers know
> > nothing about it
>
> No letter of that nature is "circular filed"...Not unl;ess they

> want to take a HIPPA fine that can range up to $250K.

more Bullshit it was filed and forgotten

you should know that

>
> > and what was mihcigan going to do?
>
> "Michigan".
>
> I don't know. That will be up to them.

More Lies Stevie.

It is not up to them. it is up to the LAW and true medical practice,
not your delusional BS.

Without a suicide or homocide or assualt, or maybe a drunken episode,
they can't even ask me to submit to an exam
>
> > Force me to take meds because I lie on Usenet?
>
> If you lie so liberally here, there's no reason to doubt that you
> do it in real life.

Indeed as I am certain you must lie all the time yourself

haven't you said to a dying man in the ER "youar going to be all right"
or somesuch?
>
> > get real
>
> I have.

nope

you are living in dream world where you can impose your morality on
others

>
> > stop lying
>
> I'm not.

you are
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 3421. Re: Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:18:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125494316.786716.244750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117772

K4YZ wrote:
> > Stevie showing his lack of charter as an NCO
>
> "character"
>
> Don't address me about "character" issues, "Colonel". You haven't
> earned that right.

more of Stevie bullshit

everyone has the right

stevie just shows his lack of moral fiber
>
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
> > > at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
> > > dared while he WAS on active duty....
> >
> > stevie claiming the basic building block of the armed forces is a
> > lowlife
>
> You wouldn't know what the "basic building blocks" are, Markie.

I sure do guess you don't
>
> And please note that Frankie himself refered to PFC's as a
> "####bird"

no he did not refer to himself as "####bird" that is what you altered
his word to
>
> > cuting Stvie paranoia
>
cut
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 3422. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) he is lying to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:21:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125494469.840450.54840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117773

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Stevie yesterday admitt (admit) hat he has been lying in claiming that lying is
> > > > > > a sign of mental illiness and so admits that many of his rants over the
> > > > > > years have been lies
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I said nothing of the sort.
> > > > > it was right there in the stuff you cut
> > > >
> > > No, I did not.
> > >
> > > I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.
> >
> > yes you have
>
> Then you are again lying, Markie.

I have i quoted you in another thread

You admitted to a disablity discharge in one post denied it was
physical in another therefore you have admitted to a Mental discharged
obviously granted for mental illness
>
> I've asked you to quote, verbatim, where you think I allegedly
> said that and you've not done it.

more stvie lies
cut the bs

# 3423. Re: A Bit Of News Markie Is Not Going To Like To See.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:32:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125495142.869973.124470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117774

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > What's a "condiction"?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's "condition" spelled incorrectly. Anyone that doesn't have a
> > > > > > communication deficit can understand what he meant.
> > > > >
> > > > nice to see Stevie called on something like that
> > >
> > > Well, Markie...Glad you were able to hire someone to "support" you!
> >
> > more lies and with out a bit of proof
>
> Sure we have proof!

More lies from stevie
>
> Frankie shows up from "nowhere".

No He came looking around

>
> He initially slams into Lennie, calling him a "complete fraud"
> allegedly due to the content of his posts...(assuming him to be me...)

I hired someone to attack Len right stevie take your meds
>
> But then when he's corrected, digs in on me, although he obviously
> didn't find anything wrong BEFORE he was pointed in the right
> direction.

He just had not had enough time to read your shit
>
> Pretty obvious to me. To others too, it seems...
>
> > > But perhaps if "Frank" had been paying attention, by riding you
> > > about your horrific abuse of the King's English, you've enjoyed a 62%
> > > IMPROVEMENT over your typo rate of only a month ago.
> >
> > so what?
>
> So I did you a favor.

what favor?

>
> > > I know...It sucks to think I actually forced you to do something
> > > CONSTRUCTIVE, huh...?!?! Even worse to have to admit that I was
> > > successful, eh?
> >
> > You forced nothing
>
> Sure I did.
more lies
>
> > > You can thank me later.
> >
> > nope
>
> Don't worry, Markie...I already work in a profession where I am
> used to helping folks but not getting any "thanks" in return. If it
> was "gratitude" that I was looking for, I'd certainly look elsewhere.
>
> But the FACT remains that I rendered a skilled service to you and
> it worked. On the house.

more lies Stevie

more arrogant pretentsion
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 3424. NPRM has been published in Fed Register 8/30/05

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:32:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kiRe.4501$_84.2377@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117775

NPRM 05-235 has been published in Fed Register:

http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20051800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2005/pdf/05-17226.pdf

Comment window closes per the Fed Register on 10/31/05.

Reply comments window closes on 11/14/05

Cheer

Bill K2UNK

NCI Director

# 3425. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:37:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125495445.963871.201470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117776

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> RST Engineering wrote:
> > Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
cut

> "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
> in HF amateur radio.

When are you going ENGLISH

Obsolete is not useless, ask the marines from Leyte about the
uselessness of the obseltete Batteships tat rendered gunfire support
>
> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
> those who chose not to build their radios?

Indeed I ask why there are so many question about electronics on the
tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.

I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and even pieces
of the band plan in general pool I am reading now

but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
cut

## 3426. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 13:45:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <jwiRe.4510$_84.152@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117777

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125495445.963871.201470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> RST Engineering wrote:
>> > Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
> cut
>
>> "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
>> in HF amateur radio.
>
> When are you going ENGLISH
>
> Obsolete is not useless, ask the marines from Leyte about the
> uselessness of the obseltete Batteships tat rendered gunfire support
>
>> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
>> those who chose not to build their radios?
>
> Indeed I ask why there are so many question about electronics on the
> tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.

Same old tired analogy. PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
off the written test, then say so. Failing that, your wasting your
time and effort dragging up this tired argument.

> I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and even pieces
> of the band plan in general pool I am reading now

I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
Band edges are dynamic and change over time.

> but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
> cut

Yup, Jim's smoke screen anyway... :-) :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 3427. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 14:09:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125497368.007345.63060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117778

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >
> >
> > KØHB wrote:
cut
> > No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
> > Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
> >
>
> With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
> have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers available
> to teach those wish to learn.

What? is there a part of the NPRM I missed like carting off the Code
users to some kind of death camp?

The ending of code testing will not reduce the number of code using
hams.

Time may do that though the actions of the grim reaper and the failure
of Code users to recruit others to replace them, but I doubt that will
kill CW USE or learning of the mode

Further with computers and programs in existance today any one that
wishes to make the attempt to learn Morse Code has the tools to do so
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3428. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 15:23:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125501785.468906.76550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117779

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125495445.963871.201470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> RST Engineering wrote:
> >> > Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
> > cut
> >
> >> "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
> >> in HF amateur radio.
> >
> > When are you going ENGLISH
> >
> > Obsolete is not useless, ask the marines from Leyte about the
> > uselessness of the obseltete Batteships tat rendered gunfire
> > support
> >
> >> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
> >> those who chose not to build their radios?
> >
> > Indeed I ask why there are so many question about
> > electronics on the
> > tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.
>
> Same old tired analogy.

It's a valid question, Bill. And I'm not the only
one asking it.

> PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
> off the written test, then say so.

I don't. In fact I think there should be *more* in-depth
electronics testing on the exams. And I'll take the new
exams myself if needed.

> Failing that, your wasting your
> time and effort dragging up this tired argument.

It's not me who is bringing it up.

Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.

Even better, look at the "Amateur Radio In The 21st Century"
paper, which was in CQ and also on the 'net. That one says
the future of amateur radio depends on an easier-to-get
entrylevel license. Says there's too much math and theory
in the current Tech (!).

Worse, it proposed to remove *all* regulations questions from
the test for the new entry-level license, and instead just
require a signed statement that the licensee had read and
understood the rules.

Is that acceptable to you, Bill? It's not acceptable to me,
with or without code test!

Fortunately, FCC denied NCVEC's idea. This time. But I'll bet we
haven't heard the end of it.

> > I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and
> > even pieces
> > of the band plan in general pool I am reading now
>
> I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
> better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
> permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
> test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
> Band edges are dynamic and change over time.

Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
already.

> > but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
> > cut
>
> Yup, Jim's smoke screen anyway... :-) :-)

Not a smoke screen - a valid analogy. Ask the Gang of Four at
NCVEC...

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3429. Re: NPRM has been published in Fed Register 8/30/05

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 15:25:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125501928.615954.261830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117780

Bill Sohl wrote:
> NPRM 05-235 has been published in Fed Register:
>
> http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20051800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2005/pdf/05-17226.pdf
>
> Comment window closes per the Fed Register on 10/31/05.
>
> Reply comments window closes on 11/14/05
>
Excellent! Thanks, Bill.

Your July 2006 pool date looks better and better.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3430. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 15:33:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125502422.741548.178060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117781

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On 29 Aug 2005 08:01:26 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125327686.038165.39680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 25 Aug 2005 04:12:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1124968377.446171.230560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> Frank Silliland wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> > Hey, that's a neat idea! I gotta do that, keep it right next to my
> >> >> > Blue Nose card.
> >> >>
> >> >> What kind of guy carries a copy of his DD-214 around with him?
> >> >
> >> > The same kind of guy that I try to avoid being...the loud mouth at
> >> >the end of the bar telling everyone how he stormed the beaches...Laguna
> >> >Beach...Redondo Beach...Panama Beach...
> >>
> >> Try Myrtle Beach and Huntington Beach -- the latter should be required
> >> duty for every single Marine!
> >
> > Was at Myrtle Beach as a TME'er with VMFA-333 and got tired of
> >Huntington Beach driving by it all the time while stationed at MCAS(H)
> >Tustin.
>
> I see you took my advice and hired a ghost-writer. Either that or you
> finally did a little research before coughing up more BS.

No "ghost writing" needed here, Frankie the Liar.

> >> > Didya catch the part where he says he was ONLY a "####bird
> >> >PFC"...?!??!
> >> >
> >> > Sheesh...even Lennie made E5...
> >> >
> >> > I made Lance Corporal before I could get the "cash sales" smell
> >> >out of my uniforms...
> >>
> >> If that's true then you must have skated right through boot camp.....
> >> especially the gas chamber.
> >>

> > How many sets of your Class A's did you wear IN the gas chamber,
> >Frankie...?!?!
>
> Where did you specify Alpha's, Dudly?

I didn't, but you suggested that I wasn't wearing out that "Cash
Sales" smell otherwise.

> >> >Corporal on the second round of cutting scores
> >> >(and that was in the rank-tight Avionics MOS of 6616)
> >>
> >> Very impressive, especially when enlisted in the only branch of the
> >> military that doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.
> >
> > They did in the 70's, Frankie.
>
> No, they didn't, Dudly.

Yes they did, Frankie the Liar.

> >> >...Sergeant in
> >> >less three years of my arrival in boot camp...
> >>
> >> Which means you were promoted only twice in the 15 years that
> >> followed. A record to be proud of, I'm sure.
> >
> > I wasn't the only Sergeant in the Avionics field that had to suck
> >air for promotions, Frankie...
> >
> > Too bad your frame of reference doesn't seem to expand beyond your
> >knee and your two court martials.
>
> Plural of 'court-martial' is 'courts-martial'.
>
>
> >> > Some resource on USMC policy Frank of Silliland turned out to be!
> >>
> >>
> >> Your ignorance about the Marine Corps is exceeded only by your
> >> third-grade rhetoric -- hopefully that Pee-Wee Herman seminar you
> >> attended didn't include tips on public masterbation.
> >
> > Well now, Frankie! I see who's pulling THIS into the sandlot!
> >
> > Gotta hurt, though...I am sure that, for a couple of days, it felt
> >"good" taking some swipes at someone who managed to accomplish
> >something you DIDN'T in the Marines...make it to a Staff NCO rank.
>
>
> Two promotions in 15 years as a staff NCO, selected by cutting scores
> that were never used, and granted with promotion certificates you

> can't read. Yeah, you sure made your case, Dudly.

Hey screwball...

WHERE did >>I<< say SNCO ranks were selected by cutting scores...?!?!

SNCO's never were...

But LPCL through Sergeant were! PFC was almost automatic unless you picked your nose in morning formation.

You're such an idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3431. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 16:17:17 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1undd8@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1undd8@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 117814

N9OGL Wrote:
> It isn't over yet...

Not over. Nearly over though. And about time too!

J.J.

--
John Johnston

# 3432. Re: Hurricane Katrina approaches New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 16:19:28 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1undd9@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1undd9@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 117813

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
> http://weather.noaa.gov/cgi-bin/iwszone?Sites=:laz069#t2
>
> Note the predictions of damage...
>
> My thoughts and prayers are with those on Katrina's path.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

And did anyone notice K1MAN was advising people to drive to the
disaster area? Excuse me, but, what a moron!

J.J.

--
John Johnston

# 3433. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 16:41:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125506493.075473.281310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117782

Frank of Silliland wrote:
> On 30 Aug 2005 02:33:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125394431.177060.259480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 29 Aug 2005 16:02:15 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1125356535.011465.234110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >hot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> Frank of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> > On 28 Aug 2005 05:54:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >
> >> >> > Since the chain of command was already in the
> >> >> > field I couldn't request mast, so I refused the order. He then ordered
> >> >> > me to serve on mess duty while awaiting office hours, which I also
> >> >> > refused (since I was supposed to be on light-duty). The result was a
> >> >> > summary court-martial, a month in the brig and reduction back down to
> >> >> > private. And a big hit on my conduct marks.
> >> >>
> >> >> It's all becoming very, very clear.
> >> >>
> >> >> Was the shop chief an "A" NCOIC with a God complex?
> >> >
> >> > It wouldn't matter.
> >> >
> >> > Frankie took a spill over one of his own "trip ups".
> >> >
> >> > A Marine has the RIGHT to Request Mast to HIGHER headquarters
> >> >irrespective of the consent or advice of the lower level command.
> >> >
> >> > That his "chain of command" was "in the field" was irrelevent.
> >> >
> >> > He had recource. He didn't pursue it.
> >> >
> >> > Obviously the OIC of the Court Martial wasn't impressed with
> >> >Frankie's story, either. USMC Court Martials don't like having
> >> >judgements overturned on appeal anymore than civilian courts do, and
> >> >had Frankie had VALID reason for refusing an order, they would have,
> >> >at the very least, returned the issue back to his commander for Article
> >> >15 (Office Hours). Seemingly he HAD that reason. There's more to the
> >> >"big story" than Frankie's telling of it...
> >>

> >>
> >> Nothing that you couldn't figure out from what I told you already. The
> >> presiding officer ("OIC of the Court Martial"? LOL!) made his decision
> >> based on the evidence and testimony presented, which -didn't- include
> >> the medical evaluation from mainside hospital because that had not yet
> >> occured. You also missed the part about the shop chief getting a
> >> reprimand for lifting my light-duty chit and giving me an illegal
> >> order. It's clear that you can't comprehend anything that doesn't fit
> >> into your twisted little fantasies.
> >
> > I understand them fine.
> >
> > You violated a lawful order and took your chances for it.
> >
> > And if there was mitigating evidence as to your culpability in
> >your court martials, they could have been overturned on appeal.
> >
> > Obviously they weren't.
>
> Because they weren't appealed, or couldn't you figure that out by
> yourself? You can appeal a summary court martial on an issue of law or
> an issue of procedure, but not based on evidence that wasn't available
> or not presented at the hearing. Hence, no appeal. 18 alleged years in
> the USMC and you don't know squat about the UCMJ......

I know more than you think.

I also know your convictions can still be appealed.

Guess you don't care enough to fix them...or you were trutly
guilty as charged and just don't want to get your nose rubbed in your
Silliness again...

> >> And as far as "trip ups" are concerned, let's take a closer look at
> >> your postings lately..... Since I started confronting your lies with
> >> facts your entire personality has changed. You used to be relatively
> >> calm and controlled, but now your posts are filled with nothing but
> >> repititious 'Hermanesque' catch-phrases and buzzwords that only -you-
> >> think are effective at bolstering your credibility.
> >
> > My "credibility" doesn't hinge on one tour in the USMC that was
> >pock-marked with not one but two court martials.
>
> No, it's dependent upon the ability to independently verify the claims
> you have presented as facts; but alas, your claims CONTRADICT the
> facts while mine don't. Ergo, -you- have no credibility and I -do-.

Based on what?

A less than Honorable discharge?

Yeeeah...Right.

> > You're the one in the credibility deficit, Frankie.
>
> Only in -your- eyes, Dudly, because you deny the facts.

What facts?

That you were incompetent as a Marine and twice court martialed?

I accept that. You have certainly proven your worthiness of the
discharge you received here, that's for sure.

> > Nor does my "credibility" in this NG count on ANY of my service in
> >the Corps...This is an Amatuer Radio forum, and I am an Amateur Radio
> >operator.
>
> So? It's not like there haven't ever been any off-topic threads in
> this group before.

For once you come close to some truth.

That didn't hurt too bad, did it?

> > You're not. Nor is Lennie. And it shows. (And that's NOT a
> >"positive" thing...)
>
> Where, in the charter, does it require that anyone who posts here be a
> ham?

It doesn't. But your snide, "Cut their throats any way possible"
attitude shows...

> >> You used to try
> >> and exert control over your challengers by starting new threads with
> >> almost every reply, yet for the past week you can't seem to break out
> >> of -this- thread.
> >
> > If you were paying attention, Frankie, the threads were in
> >response to MARKIE'S frequent changes.
>
> So you have the mentality of a lemming -- as if -that's- a suprise....

As do you...Following your Feeble Five brothers without detour...

> > And it seems to me that "Laying Waste To Frank of Silliland's
>
> Especially considering the source of the phrase -- someone that would
> rather call me schoolyard names instead of addressing the facts.

They've been "addressed", Frankie.

You just insist on continuing to try and make it appear otherwise.

> But I really am suprised that you haven't started with the Gilligan jokes;
> like, "Hey Frank, where's the professor?", or "How come you haven't
> bopped Mary Ann?"

Perhaps the Professor bores easily and Mary Ann has better
taste...?!?!

> After all, that type of rhetoric certainly isn't
> beneath you, as you have proven in the past few days. Were you saving
> those quips for later? Naw, you probably aren't smart enough to think
> of stuff like that -- I didn't start to hear the Gilligan jokes until
> 5th or 6th grade, and you seem to be limited to a 3rd grade education.

As a matter of fact, no, I hadn't thought of any "Gilligan" jokes,
nor would I have...

But YOU did, which leads me to wonder from what scarred psyche you
draw that from.

As for the "last few days", I point out that YOU have done nothing
but simply go from being marginally plausible in your arguments to
being absolutely comical.

> >> You used to be the spelling cop, but now almost
> >> every post by you has several spelling errors because of the frantic
> >> rage that comes over you when replying to my posts.
> >
> > No more than any other, Frankie.
> >
> > Nice try.
>
> Nice try yourself; just a couple weeks ago you prided yourself on your
> ability to correct others on -their- spelling, putting yourself on an
> intellectual pedestal above everyone else. But all of a sudden you
> can't even find the spell-check button. Now you excuse yourself from
> bad spelling by claiming that you are just as faulty as everyone else.
> You fell off your pedestal, Dudly.

I never was on one, Frankie, and had never put myself over anyone.

Had you been paying attention at some time in the past, you would
have known that.

> > And you ahve tried to make some "points" about typing mistakes I
> >ahve made, yet have had a few of your own..Big deal.
>
>
> The difference is that I never tried to be the spelling cop. You did.
> Once again, it's not about me, Dudly. It's about you and your lies (or
> hypocrisy, as the case may be).

What lies?

What hipocracy?

> >> All your defensive tag-team parters have abandoned you.
> >
> > So someone doesn't post for 24 hours and they've "abandoned" the
> >thread?

>
> Dave Heil was quite passionate about your defense, yet even -he-
> hasn't posted for quite a while. Maybe he started looking at the
> situation objectively and saw that you -are- a fraud. Who knows. I'm
> sure he will speak for himself on the subject..... eventually.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhh! I see...Someone doesn't tow your line for more
than a post or two and they have "abandoned" something...

Most of what "goes on" in this forum HAS been going one for YEARS,
Frankie...The "last few days" is irrelevent in the over all scheme of
things.

> > Or maybe they just realize that you're the loser you've turned out
> >to be...
>
> Well, if -they- realized that much, why haven't you? You could have
> simply put me in your killfile and be done with me, just like you
> could have done with Len and others. Nope, you need to keep tabs on me
> and others so as to make sure that you can counter any bit of proof
> that exposes you as a liar. Your "friends" have abandoned you because
> they have no interest in defending your lies.

What lies?

Neither you nor any of the other Feeble Five have proven a single
one, Frankie.

No, I am not predisposed to copying and posting my military
records, but I HAVE provided more than a few PUBLIC reference to
records that verify my having served.

YOUR lie disposed of.

> >> In your frenzy of hatred you
> >> don't even realize that you frequently contradict your previous
> >> claims, sometimes even in the same sentence; e.g, "My original says
> >> 'HONORABLE.'" Yes it does, Dudly, but you left out a few words, like
> >> "General under 'Honorable' conditions". And if your "original" was
> >> honorable then there's no need to get it changed.
> >
> > Mine just says "HONORABLE", Your Busted-to-Nonratedness. JUST
> >"Honorable". No qualifiers.
>
>
> That's all it says, huh? An 8-1/2" x 11" sheet of paper that has only
> one word printed on it: "HONORABLE". No qualifiers that would indicate
> to whom or what the word is referring, who printed it, who authorized
> it, or even why it exists? Whatever you say, Dudly.

Nice try again, Frankie.

You're getting lame.

> > And again you try to base what happened to YOU as the one-and-only
> >truthfulness of the Marine Corps.
> >
> > You're a disgraced loser, Frankie. There's nothing I can do to
> >fix that.
> >
> > And now it appears that "liar" is appropriate for you, too.
>
> And even after your tirade about me snipping your posts you just
> snipped my point about your "HONORABLE" discharge being "original"
> while claiming to have had it later upgraded. That's evasive, Dudly.
> So what does your -REVISED- discharge certificate say?

What you quote as "upgraded" was nothing of the sort.

But it seems YOU are all about fighting other people's fights, all
the while trying to "diss" others who speak up in support of me.

> >> Just one more bogus
> >> excuse after another. Rarely do you reply to any post that confronts
> >> you with hard facts -- instead you seek posts that you think you can
> >> spin and maybe bluff yourself into a positive light. Even when you
> >> -do- address hard facts you are always wrong; e.g, the USMC didn't use
> >> cutting scores for promotions in the '70's, either.
> >
> > Lie, lie and more lie, Frankie.
>
> Prove it. Prove me wrong, Dudly. Post any sort of convincing proof
> that you are who you claim. Anything that I have posted to prove my
> -own- service will be just fine (except for the telephone token, of
> course).

Already proven "wrong" by (1) my name and picture on several sites
and (2) by providing refrence to public records that you have already
"dismissed".

> > Stop it. You're embarrassing yourself. You're embarrassing me.
>
> You're embarrassing yourself but you are too embellished in your lies
> to admit it -- probably even to yourself.

Nope.

> >> I tell the truth
> >> about my service, good or bad; but while all you do is try to crush my
> >> credibility by dwelling on my mistakes, you don't even realize that
> >> openly admitting my mistakes gives me more credibility than you will
> >> -ever- achieve.
> >
> > Congratulations on being able to look yourself in the mirror and
> >convince yourself that having been court martialed twice is a prideful
> >thing, Frankie.
>

> So you are trying once again to misrepresent my statements -- I said
> nothing of the sort. I -am- proud of what I have accomplished in life,
> and I -am- proud to have the integrity to be honest about who I am.
> Apparently these simple concepts elude your understanding. That's
> something for which you can blame your mommy and daddy -- clearly they
> didn't teach you about such virtues as honesty and integrity.

I am not misrepresenting your statements in the least.

You present yourself here as some sort of "authority" on
all-things-Marine, but have been proven to have been an incompetent
Marine...Yet you wear your failure like some badge of honor...

That you put your failed USMC service up front is nothing to be
proud of other than to admit to yourself that you did indeed fail to do
what you promised you'd do.

I was never a "poster" Marine, nor was I guest of honor at any of
the presentations at 8th and I, but I DID manage to serve without a
single black mark in my SRB.

> > Maybe you can teach that technique to some of the folks who appear
> >on "America's Most Wanted".
>
> Why would I do that? The criminals that are profiled may have the same
> anti-social characteristics as you, but as far as I know you haven't
> done anything so bad as to get your mug-shot shown on national TV.....
> or have you?

Nice twist..smooth...avoided the bullet but still close enough to
hear it whistle by your ear...

> >> And then there's the biggie: You put -so much- effort
> >> into protecting your story when it would be so much easier to just
> >> scan your DD-214, or discharge certificate, or dog-tag, etc, block out
> >> the important info just like I did, and post it. The issue would be
> >> resolved and you wouldn't be challenged any more. But you don't
> >> because your claims are nothing but lies and you -love- the attention.
> >
> > And you...?!?!
>
>
> I have already posted more than enough info to prove my service
> -beyond- the scope of public records, yet short of disclosing my SSN.
> I showed you that it -can- be done, and -how- it can be done. What's
> your excuse -NOW-?

What "excuse" do I need?

I HAVE provided reference to public records, and those records are
as irrefuteable as the Privacy Act protected ones.

> > You need to learn how to use effective paragraphs, Frankie.
>
> What does that have to do with your failure to provide proof of your
> USMC service?

I haven't failed.

You simply refuse to accept that it's the truth. That's YOUR
problem, frankie, not mine.

> > As for the rest, I've provided more than a few public resources to
> >validate my "claims", as you call them through...
>
> What does it mean to "call them through..."? Is that how to use
> "effective paragraphs"?
>
> > YOUR answer was to claim that they were, most likely, just someone
> >with a similar name claiming someone else's "service".
>
> It's just another type of "identity theft" and it happens all the
> time. Don't you watch the news?

Sure I do.

And it's not applicable here.

It's just a Feeble Five attempt to avoid the truth.

> > Even if I do provide a copy of my -214, I expect no less than the
> >same claims of "it's a forgery" or other such silliness.
>
> Of course you expect such criticism -- you have already rationalized
> that as an excuse to -not- provide such information. But you are
> ignoring the fact that I provided my DD-214 in high resolution just to
> pre-empt such a claim. And because it was high resolution I didn't
> scan the whole document -- it would have been a -huge- file.

Now THERE is an excuse!

Thirty years ago that WOULD have been a "-huge-" file to a TRS-80
that loaded data on music cassette tapes.

> Besides,
> the whole document isn't needed. Just the important parts, such as
> what I included in mine. So what's your excuse -=NOW=-, Dudly?

There's no "excuse", Frankie...

References to publically available resources have been made. You
refuse to follow them up. Oh well.

> >> And you accuse -ME- of making "trip ups"? You're a fraud, Dudly. Your
> >> stories are a fraud, your USMC career is a fraud, your personality is
> >> a fraud, and your life is a fraud.
> >

> > Nope.
>
> Well, that's proof for sure. I guess all the facts are moot and you
> must be telling the truth about everything because you replied with a
> definitive "Nope". Thanks for clarifying that for me, Dudly.

Unlike you and Lennie, I don't need to re-write "War and Peace" to
effective respond.

"Nope" was adequate.

> > But your stories about it are.
>
> Feel free to prove me wrong.

Already done.

You're too much the coward to follow the trail yourself, Frankie.

> > Frank Gilliland is a liar.
>
>
> More "truth-by-repitition". One would think by now that you could come
> up with a more effective argument..... or maybe even some proof!

"repetition".

It's already been proven...In each and every line where you call
me a "fraud" or other such adjective over my USMC service.

Refrences to public records have been provided.

They prove that Frank Gilliland is a liar.

> >> Now I'm going to give you a suggestion: Take a couple weeks off from
> >> the newsgroups. Go camping, get some fresh air, a fresh perspective,
> >> and get laid if you can. Then come back here, come clean about your
> >> lies, and be yourself.
> >
> > What lies?
> >
> > The only one's being told here are by you and The Feeble Five
> >Bretheren.
>
> Apparently you haven't been reading my posts..... oh, that's right,
> your reading comprehension extends only so far as to absorb whatever
> fits into your fantasy world.

Obvioulsy you've not been reading MINE, or you'd know whatever
fantasies I hold have nothing to do with you or the Feeble Five.

> Well, that's your problem, Dudly.

Nope. It's yours.

> Everyone else here can read them with an objective frame of mind. And
> don't forget that your lies are now in the archives for however long
> the archives exist. IOW, they might be read by your great-great-
> grandkids (god forbid you should ever reproduce). I can only imagine
> how disillusioned they will be to find out who and what you really
> are.

Actually, Frank, it will be YOUR offspring who are disillusioned.

Disillusioned that grandpa got into a "fight" with a man he didn't
know over issues that were easily disporven in the public domain.

Silly Frankie...

> Well, don't be discouraged because you probably won't have to
> face them, even though they will be the victims of your public
> dysfunction. Maybe even the subject of public ridicule. And all
> because you get your kicks by impersonating someone you aren't.

And there we have it again...

Frank Gilliland making an untrue assertion in a public forum.

> Even if you -are- who you claim, they sure as hell aren't going to be proud
> of your behavior in this newsgroup over the past several years.
>
> But that's not your problem.

And I seriously doubt it will be thiers...

> >> You will catch some flack to be sure, but that
> >> will eventually die down after a few months. Maybe you don't realize
> >> this but people -will- respect you for who you are even if you haven't
> >> accomplished much with your life -- heck, I should know!
> >
> > People already respect me for who I am, what I have accomplished
> >in my life, even my REAL failures...Not the one's you've tried to
> >manufacture here.
>
> Who?

Anyone who has had professional interaction with me. Physicians
and Nurses from California to Chattanooga...Marines that I served with.
People who's lives I touch each and every day I go to work.

> >> But if you
> >> keep up with this ridiculous facade then don't expect things to
> >> improve because they won't. Of course if you really -like- all this
> >> negative attention then..... well, just know that it's people like you
> >> who keep state-funded mental health agencies in business.
> >
> > And it's people like you that keep me from WANTING to discuss my
> >service in public.
>
> Then don't discuss your service. Just prove that you served.

I already have.

> For the
> past couple weeks you have had the opportunity to make an absolute
> fool out of me -- what's preventing you from doing so? I'll tell you:
> because your claims are nothing but lies.

No...

Frank Gilliland has been making an absolute fool out of Frank
Gilliland...What more could I possibly do?

Frank has proven that he's a disgraced, court martialed (twice)
ex-Marine.

Now, just because he "says so", wants anyone/everyone to "trust"
him when "dissing" >>MY<< service...

Yeeeeeeeeeeah. Right.

> > You're a humiliation to yourself and the Marine Corps,
> >Gilliland...
>
> ...yawn.

And that is, no doubt, why you're an EX Marine.

> > You're that drunk at the end of the bar I was talking about.
>
> Actually, I'm the bartender.

No wonder the drinks are watered down.

> >> So what'll it be, Dudly?
> >
> > I'll just keep making fun of your lying and deceit, Frankie.
>
> Of course you will -- you can't face the reality of your mental
> illness so you need someone else to blame (it's always someone else's
> fault, isn't it, Dudly?).

Ah, yes!

Just like the REST of the Feeble Five...

Can't carry the day with assinine arguments that are easily
disproven with PUBLIC records, so start in on claims of "mental
illness"...

> > You've not "proved" a thing other than you are a disgraced
> >non-rate and a newsgroup scoufflaw.
> >
> > A loser.
>
> If you believe it, it must be true. Facts? FACTS? We don't need no
> stinking FACTS!!! LOL!!!

There are TWO facts here...

I did Honorably serve in the United States Marine Corps and you're still a loser.

To quote you...."yawn"...

Steve, K4YZ

# 3434. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) that Markie is lying to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:21:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125508867.432875.310110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117783

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.
> > >
> > > yes you have
> >
> > Then you are again lying, Markie.
>
> I have i quoted you in another thread

No, you have not.

Break open a "Webster's Dictionary" and look up the term "quoted"

> You admitted to a disablity discharge in one post...(SNIP)

No, I've not admitted to a "disability discharge".

> denied it was
> physical in another therefore you have admitted to a Mental discharged
> obviously granted for mental illness

There's nothing "obvious" about something that was never said,
Markie.

> > I've asked you to quote, verbatim, where you think I allegedly
> > said that and you've not done it.
>
> more stvie lies

"Stevie"

Nope.

> cut the bs

Only if you're cutting your own throat will the "bs" be "cut".

Steve, K4YZ

# 3435. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:27:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125509256.611045.177120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117784

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > On 30 Aug 2005 02:33:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1125394431.177060.259480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > > >
cut
> >
> > Because they weren't appealed, or couldn't you figure that out by
> > yourself? You can appeal a summary court martial on an issue of law or
> > an issue of procedure, but not based on evidence that wasn't available
> > or not presented at the hearing. Hence, no appeal. 18 alleged years in
> > the USMC and you don't know squat about the UCMJ......
>
> I know more than you think.

you'd have to know more than he think you do, or for that matter than I
think you do.

OTOH no one is likely to find out what you might know under all the
Bullshit you spew forth
>
> I also know your convictions can still be appealed.
>
> Guess you don't care enough to fix them...or you were trutly
> guilty as charged and just don't want to get your nose rubbed in your
> Silliness again...
>
> > >> And as far as "trip ups" are concerned, let's take a closer look at
> > >> your postings lately...... Since I started confronting your lies with
> > >> facts your entire personality has changed. You used to be relatively
> > >> calm and controlled, but now your posts are filled with nothing but
> > >> repititious 'Hermanesque' catch-phrases and buzzwords that only -you-
> > >> think are effective at bolstering your credibility.
> > > >
> > > My "credibility" doesn't hinge on one tour in the USMC that was
> > >pock-marked with not one but two court martials.
> >
> > No, it's dependent upon the ability to independently verify the claims
> > you have presented as facts; but alas, your claims CONTRADICT the
> > facts while mine don't. Ergo, -you- have no credibility and I -do-.
>
cut.

> > > You're not. Nor is Lennie. And it shows. (And that's NOT a
> > >"positive" thing...)
> >
> > Where, in the charter, does it require that anyone who posts here be a
> > ham?
>
> It doesn't. But your snide, "Cut their throats any way possible"
> attitude shows...

that is you balliwick Stevie
>
> > >> You used to try
> > >> and exert control over your challengers by starting new threads with
> > >> almost every reply, yet for the past week you can't seem to break out
> > >> of -this- thread.
> > >
> > > If you were paying attention, Frankie, the threads were in
> > >response to MARKIE'S frequent changes.
> >
> > So you have the mentality of a lemming -- as if -that's- a suprise....
>
> As do you...Following your Feeble Five brothers without detour...

Brothers? really now you are charting new ground in your delusions

or is it just another Stevie lie
cut

> > >> You used to be the spelling cop, but now almost
> > >> every post by you has several spelling errors because of the frantic
> > >> rage that comes over you when replying to my posts.
> > >
> > > No more than any other, Frankie.
> > >
> > > Nice try.
> >
> > Nice try yourself; just a couple weeks ago you prided yourself on your
> > ability to correct others on -their- spelling, putting yourself on an
> > intellectual pedestal above everyone else. But all of a sudden you
> > can't even find the spell-check button. Now you excuse yourself from
> > bad spelling by claiming that you are just as faulty as everyone else.
> > You fell off your pedestal, Dudly.
>
> I never was on one, Frankie, and had never put myself over anyone.

big whooper

>
> Had you been paying attention at some time in the past, you would
> have known that.

I guess it is Stevie that hasn't been paying attention but that is
hardly news

cut

> Most of what "goes on" in this forum HAS been going one for YEARS,
> Frankie...The "last few days" is irrelevent in the over all scheme of
> things.

hmm you have made major admissiions in the last week been caught lying
again yea very little in the scheme of things

>
> > > Or maybe they just realize that you're the loser you've turned out
> > >to be...
> >
> > Well, if -they- realized that much, why haven't you? You could have
> > simply put me in your killfile and be done with me, just like you
> > could have done with Len and others. Nope, you need to keep tabs on me
> > and others so as to make sure that you can counter any bit of proof
> > that exposes you as a liar. Your "friends" have abandoned you because
> > they have no interest in defending your lies.
>
> What lies?

one the lie that you were going to killfile some of us
>
> Neither you nor any of the other Feeble Five have proven a single
> one, Frankie.

of course we have
>
> No, I am not predisposed to copying and posting my military
> records, but I HAVE provided more than a few PUBLIC reference to
> records that verify my having served.

more stevie lies

cut
> >
> > That's all it says, huh? An 8-1/2" x 11" sheet of paper that has only
> > one word printed on it: "HONORABLE". No qualifiers that would indicate
> > to whom or what the word is referring, who printed it, who authorized
> > it, or even why it exists? Whatever you say, Dudly.
>
> Nice try again, Frankie.
>
> You're getting lame.

you have already admitted to being disabled and then denied it was
pyscial so course it is mental as you are showing right now

>
cut

> That you put your failed USMC service up front is nothing to be
> proud of other than to admit to yourself that you did indeed fail to do

> what you promised you'd do.

Failed?

Gee I would say being court marialed would make someone better suited
to comment on such, Not that I would value such experence to go through
it for nothing

>
> I was never a "poster" Marine, nor was I guest of honor at any of
> the presentations at 8th and I, but I DID manage to serve without a
> single black mark in my SRB.

yea you were somekind kiss ass of course
cut

> Actually, Frank, it will be YOUR offspring who are disillusioned.

you do think a lot of yourself and of this medium
cut

> > Then don't discuss your service. Just prove that you served.
>
> I already have.

proven nothing Stevie
cut
> > > You're a humiliation to yourself and the Marine Corps,
> > >Gilliland...
> >
> > ...yawn.
>
> And that is, no doubt, why you're an EX Marine.

indeed shows he grew up and moved on
cut
> Can't carry the day with assinine arguments that are easily
> disproven with PUBLIC records, so start in on claims of "mental
> illness"...

you are the one going about mental illness threats to call folks

cut

# 3436. Re: Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:27:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125509278.284122.139500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117785

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > > Stevie showing his lack of charter as an NCO
> > >
> > > "character"
> > >
> > > Don't address me about "character" issues, "Colonel". You haven't
> > > earned that right.
> >
> > more of Stevie bull####

In order to have "more" 'bull####' there would have had to ahve
been BS in the first place.

> everyone has the right

Not on "character issues" wherein you and the Armed Forces are a
part of the discussion in the same breath.

> stevie just shows his lack of moral fiber

You're an admitted liar and sexual deviant, Markie.

"Moral fiber" is yet another issue on which you have no legs to
stand.

> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
> > > > > at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
> > > > > dared while he WAS on active duty....
> > > >
> > > > stevie claiming the basic building block of the armed forces is a
> > > > lowlife
> > >
> > > You wouldn't know what the "basic building blocks" are, Markie.
> >
> > I sure do guess you don't

I do.

Unlike you I was one of those "basic buidling blocks" once.

> > And please note that Frankie himself refered to PFC's as a
> > "####bird"
>

> no he did not refer to himself as "####bird" that is what you altered
> his word to

I care to not repeat the profanity.

Yet the point remains...Frankie himself had no respect for
himself, his Honor, or the United States Marine Corps/

> > > cuting Stvie paranoia
> >
> cut

Uncut.

"cutting" "Stevie"

And you're STILL an idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3437. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) that he is lying to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:29:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125509372.332666.191460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117786

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes you have
> > > >
> > > Then you are again lying, Markie.
> > >
> > I have i quoted you in another thread
> >
> No, you have not.

yep sure have
cut spelling cop

> > You admitted to a disablity discharge in one post...(SNIP)
> >
> No, I've not admitted to a "disability discharge".

sure did

>
> > denied it was
> > physical in another therefore you have admitted to a Mental discharged
> > obviously granted for mental illness
> >
> There's nothing "obvious" about something that was never said,
> Markie.

of course there is but you can't tell the turth

cut
> > cut the bs
> >
> Only if you're cutting your own throat will the "bs" be "cut".

more stevie bs
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 3438. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:35:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125509702.696077.177920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117787

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1125495445.963871.201470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> RST Engineering wrote:
> > >> > Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
> > > cut
> > >
> > >> "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
> > >> in HF amateur radio.
> > >
> > > When are you going ENGLISH
> > >
> > > Obsolete is not useless, ask the marines from Leyte about the
> > > uselessness of the obseltete Batteships tat rendered gunfire
> > > support
> > >
> > >> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
> > >> those who chose not to build their radios?
> > >
> > > Indeed I ask why there are so many question about
> > > electronics on the
> > > tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.
> >
> > Same old tired analogy.
>
> It's a valid question, Bill. And I'm not the only
> one asking it.

no it isn't
but no you are not the only one using this red herring

>
> > PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
> > off the written test, then say so.
>
> I don't. In fact I think there should be *more* in-depth
> electronics testing on the exams. And I'll take the new
> exams myself if needed.

WHY?

why does anyone need to memorized what Inductor do? or how caps ad in
paralell?

>
> > Failing that, your wasting your
> > time and effort dragging up this tired argument.
>
> It's not me who is bringing it up.
>
> Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
> exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.

Elcetronics is the only thing techinal?

>
> Even better, look at the "Amateur Radio In The 21st Century"
> paper, which was in CQ and also on the 'net. That one says
> the future of amateur radio depends on an easier-to-get
> entrylevel license. Says there's too much math and theory
> in the current Tech (!).

and there is not enough Pratical stuff
>
> Worse, it proposed to remove *all* regulations questions from
> the test for the new entry-level license, and instead just
> require a signed statement that the licensee had read and
> understood the rules.

not a bad idea given the way even the extras don't seem to agree on
what the rules say.

But an entry license without the ability to anything but shelf gear
limited power etc

>
> Is that acceptable to you, Bill? It's not acceptable to me,
> with or without code test!
>
> Fortunately, FCC denied NCVEC's idea. This time. But I'll bet we
> haven't heard the end of it.

no it isn't

we will always see the tug between getting folks in the door and the
keep em out with hard tests

>
> > > I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and
> > > even pieces
> > > of the band plan in general pool I am reading now
> >
> > I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
> > better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
> > permitted uses and then ask questions which have the

> > test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
> > Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
>
> Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
> shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
> recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
> already.
>
> > > but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
> > > cut
> >
> > Yup, Jim's smoke screen anyway... :-) :-)
>
> Not a smoke screen - a valid analogy. Ask the Gang of Four at
> NCVEC...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3439. Re: Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:40:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125510013.129937.269740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117788

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Stevie showing his lack of charter as an NCO
> > >
> > > "character"
> > >
> > > Don't address me about "character" issues, "Colonel". You haven't
> > > earned that right.
> >
> > more of Stevie bull####
>
> In order to have "more" 'bull####' there would have had to ahve
> been BS in the first place.

It is bullshit

I have the right to question your character

You remark is and remains Bullshit

>
> > everyone has the right
>
> Not on "character issues" wherein you and the Armed Forces are a
> part of the discussion in the same breath.

I certainly do

dispite your lies and obfucation

>
> > stevie just shows his lack of moral fiber
>
cuting again stevie sex refferences which have NOTHING to do with my
character

>
> "Moral fiber" is yet another issue on which you have no legs to
> stand.

Sure do better than yours

>
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >

> > > > > Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
> > > > > at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
> > > > > dared while he WAS on active duty....
> > > > >
> > > > > stevie claiming the basic building block of the armed forces is a
> > > > > lowlife
> > > >
> > > > You wouldn't know what the "basic building blocks" are, Markie.
> > >
> > > I sure do guess you don't
> >
> > I do.
> >
> > Unlike you I was one of those "basic buidling blocks" once.

more lies

>
> > > And please note that Frankie himself refered to PFC's as a
> > > "#####bird"
> > >
> > > no he did not refer to himself as "#####bird" that is what you altered
> > > his word to
> >
> > I care to not repeat the profanity.

but you violated HIS property rights indeed you have comitted fraud in
making the change

cuting rant

# 3440. Re: FYI (more steive lies MARKIE: It's "Stevie", You Blockhead...You Can't Even Get Your Own Diminutives Spelled Correctly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:40:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125510027.363823.158800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117789

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Ill be gone from sometime tommarrow so don't get your hopes up Stevie
> >
> > "tomorrow"
> >
> > A day without Markie is like a day WITH sunshine!
> >
> > > BTW you want the turck plate number so you can to again with Wisconsin
> > > where I will be visting for a few days
> >
> > "truck"
> >
> > I am not sure what you were trying to say, Markie, but I am sure
> > you impressed yourself writing it.
>
> more lies I am sure

Where?

> > > I leave with few thoughts Stevie
> >
> > cuting crybaby> > One, grow up and learn the wolrd does not revolve around you
> >
> cut
> > It would seem that YOU are the "center of the universe" person,
> > Markie...Felt like you couldn't leave your keyboard for a day without
> > making excuses for your absence.
>
> No I was tying to sare your feeling of dispointment when I returned

"sare"...?!?!

"disappointment"

> Indeed I am shocked not to find you boasting that Michigan had not
> droped a net on me

"dropped"

I never said it would. And according to correspondence I have
received since, it is not IMMEDIATELY liklely.

> > > Two, seriously consider treatment for your Delsional nature, and your
> > > paranoia
> >
> > I have neither
>
> you suffer from both

Please do tell, Dr Morgan.

> > > Three, stop lying and join in discussing subjects, we have had afew
> > > over the last week of course you were to busy with your flame wars to
> > > notice
> >
> > No...YOU were too busy to notice that I have commented on SEVERAL
> > issues that DIDN'T involve YOU, Markie!
>
> I notoiced your coments, but youduck out on severl threads ole boy

"noticed" "comments" "you duck" "several"

What you NOTICED is that I don't do what you insist that I do.

In other words, we have even MORE evidence that you do not tell
the truth.

> cut

> > > Four try to learn the difference between mental illness and being
> > > different from YOURSELF
> >
> > I do know the difference.
>
> no you don't

Sure I do.

> > Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between
> > mental illness and wellness.
>
> But I do unlike you

No, you do not.

You keep insisting that mental health and physical health are the
same.

They are not.

You also continue to insist that my Nursing license is a "medical"
license, and I ahve clearly shown you to be wrong there, too.

> > Enjoy your trip. Looking for goats, are you?
>
> more of bashing stevie

I am not bashing Stevie...That's YOUR schtick.

> grow up learn resprect for those who aredifferent

"respect"

I respect "difference"

I do NOT "respect" liars.

YOU are a liar.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3441. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) that Markie is lying to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:44:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125510262.840406.271620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117790

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yes you have
> > > > >
> > > > Then you are again lying, Markie.
> > > >
> > > I have i quoted you in another thread
> > >
> > No, you have not.
> >
> yep sure have

No, you have not.

> cut spelling cop

It wasn't about "spelling" you jerk...

It was about learning the meaning of the term "quoted"

> > > You admitted to a disablity discharge in one post...(SNIP)
> > >
> > No, I've not admitted to a "disability discharge".
> >
> sure did

Nope.

See, Markie, this is yet another of those instances where you say
you have "quoted" me, yet have not provided the quote.

> > > denied it was
> > > physical in another therefore you have admitted to a Mental discharged
> > > obviously granted for mental illness
> > >
> > There's nothing "obvious" about something that was never said,
> > Markie.
> >
> of course there is but you can't tell the turth

"truth"

Sure I can.

> cut
> > > cut the bs
> >
> > Only if you're cutting your own throat will the "bs" be "cut".
>
> more stevie bs

Nope.

# 3442. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:46:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125510371.332456.237220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117791

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Any amateur radio station can run an information bulletin. My pet pea
> > with it is that the thin line between information bulletin and
> > broadcasting.
>
> "peeve"
>
> There's no "thin line".
>
> It's either an "information bulletin" or it's broadcasting.

such a inspired response by Stevie well done truely well done

the rules are vauge even you have admitted that
>
> > An Information Bulletin is a message directed to amateur
> > radio operators consisting of subject matter of interest to amateur
> > radio. Many amateur's has taken it to be "a short, to the point
> > newscast" and that Information bulletins cannot be opinionated.
>
> Once it's "opinonated" it stops being an "information bulletin"
> and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.

according to whom?

>
> > First
> > there is nothing in the rules that state an information bulletin cannot
> > be opinionated;
>
> Sure there is. Right where it says "information bulletin".
>
> As soon as it's "opinionated" it stops being an "information
> bulletin" and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.

says who?

then Arrl is certain braodcasting at times by expressing opinions on
the air

>
> > nor any rules that state an information bulletin has to be a newscast.
>
> But an information bulletin has to have INFORMATION in it.

indeed. Your point?

>
> Once tainted by editorialization, it's no longer objective.

which means nothing

Nothing in the rules says anything on the air must be objective

>
> > If there is a rule feel free to let me know which rule.
>
> "97.1369 When in doubt about what constitutes an "information
> bulletin" as opposed to a "broadcast", anything Todd McDaugherty says
> is wrong."

whose doubt Your?

>
> > As for short and to the point the FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN
> > stated that there was no time limit on information bulletins as a
> > matter of fact a club station that runs a information bulletin or a
> > code practice transmission 40 hours a week (that's 8 hr. a day) may be
> > compensated (47 CFR 97.113©). The FCC on their website has also stated
> > that it is up to the station transmitting the bulletin to determine if
> > it is amateur interest.
>
> And one has to be relatively objective in what constitutes
> "amateur interest".

where is Objectivity mentioned

cuting rant

> > Which brings me to the FCC, the FCC is
> > prohibited from controling the content of any station, they are also
> > prohibited from regulation or condition shall be promulgated or fixed
> > by the Commission which shall interfere with the right of free speech
> > by means of radio communication. (47 USC 326) (this however doesn't
> > apply to obscene and indecent material)
>
> Which in this case does not apply.
>
> The only "content" issues were Baxter's pecuniary use of Amateur
> Radio. Those are clearly within the FCC's purview to limit.
>
> > So if one of the key points of
> > the NAL was the content of his transmission it has to be asked if the
> > FCC violated section 326 of the Communication Act.
>
> So ask. But ask the right people.
>
> > the courts has also
> > stated that the FCC is prohibited from making content based rules.
>
> Again...You keep avoiding the fact that the FCC C L E A R L Y is

> allowed to prohibit commerical use of the Amateur Service.
>
cuting ranting

# 3443. Re: FYI (more steive lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:50:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125510655.897135.326700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117792

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Ill be gone from sometime tommarrow so don't get your hopes up Stevie
> > > >
> > > "tomorrow"
> > > >
> > > A day without Markie is like a day WITH sunshine!
> > > >
> > > > BTW you want the turck plate number so you can to again with Wisconsin
> > > > where I will be visting for a few days
> > > >
> > > "truck"
> > > >
> > > I am not sure what you were trying to say, Markie, but I am sure
> > > you impressed yourself writing it.
> >
> > more lies I am sure
>
> Where?

right there

>
> > > > I leave with few thoughts Stevie
> > > >
> > > cuting crybaby> > One, grow up and learn the wolrd does not revolve around you
> > > >
> > cut
> > > > It would seem that YOU are the "center of the universe" person,
> > > > Markie...Felt like you couldn't leave your keyboard for a day without
> > > > making excuses for your absence.
> > >
> > No I was tying to sare your feeling of dispointment when I returned
>
cuting spelling cop
> > Indeed I am shocked not to find you boasting that Michigan had not
> > droped a net on me
>
> "dropped"
>
> I never said it would. And according to correspondence I have
> received since, it is not IMMEDIATELY liklely.

Lying again

>
> > > > Two, seriously consider treatment for your Delsional nature, and your
> > > > paranoia
> > >
> > > I have neither
> >
> > you suffer from both
>
> Please do tell, Dr Morgan.

Indeed I have

>
> > > > Three, stop lying and join in discussing subjects, we have had afew
> > > > over the last week of course you were to busy with your flame wars to
> > > > notice
> > >
> > > No...YOU were too busy to notice that I have commented on SEVERAL
> > > issues that DIDN'T involve YOU, Markie!
> >
> > I notoiced your coments, but youduck out on severl threads ole boy
cuting your ranting

> In other words, we have even MORE evidence that you do not tell
> the truth.

so?

>
> > cut
>
> > > > Four try to learn the difference between mental illness and being
> > > > different from YOURSELF
> > >
> > > I do know the difference.
> >
> > no you don't
>
> Sure I do.

then show it

and stop claiming that your personal bigotries as mental condictions

>
> > > Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between
> > > mental illness and wellness.
> >
> > But I do unlike you
>
> No, you do not.

sure do

>
> You keep insisting that mental health and physical health are the
> same.

another Stevie lie

I have never said any such thing

I have said they are both Parts of one Medical state

>
> They are not.
>
> You also continue to insist that my Nursing license is a "medical"
> license, and I ahve clearly shown you to be wrong there, too.

It sure is a medical license

>
> > > Enjoy your trip. Looking for goats, are you?
> >
> > more of bashing stevie
>
> I am not bashing Stevie...That's YOUR schtick.

more of your lies and evasion
>
> > grow up learn resprect for those who aredifferent
>
> "respect"
>
> I respect "difference"

lying agin
>
> I do NOT "respect" liars.

Nice to see you admit to not respecting yourself
>
> YOU are a liar.
as are you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 3444. Re: Stevie admitts (admits) that stvie is lying to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:53:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125510789.146070.164260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117793

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > I have NEVER "admitted" to ANY "mental illness", Markie.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > yes you have
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then you are again lying, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have i quoted you in another thread
> > > >
> > > No, you have not.
> > >
> > yep sure have
> >
> No, you have not.

I did it you comented on it

>
> > cut spelling cop
> >
> It wasn't about "spelling" you jerk...

sure was

>
> It was about learning the meaning of the term "quoted"
not what it looked like to me

>
> > > > You admitted to a disablity discharge in one post...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > No, I've not admitted to a "disability discharge".
> >
> > sure did
> >
> Nope.

yes you did
I reposted a few days ago or is it a week

cut
> "truth"
>
> Sure I can.

then feel to begin at any time
>
> > cut
> > > > > cut the bs
> > > >
> > > Only if you're cutting your own throat will the "bs" be "cut".
> > >
> > more stevie bs
>
> Nope.
yep bS which is all you have

# 3445. Re: Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:53:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125510795.997176.14290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117794

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Stevie showing his lack of charter as an NCO
> > > > >
> > > > > "character"
> > > > >
> > > > > Don't address me about "character" issues, "Colonel". You haven't
> > > > > earned that right.
> > > >
> > > > more of Stevie bull####
> > >
> > > In order to have "more" 'bull####' there would have had to have
> > > been BS in the first place.
> >
> > It is bull####

Yes, you are.

> I have the right to question your character

Not on issues regarding the Armed Forces you don't, "Colonel".

> You remark is and remains Bull####

No, it isn't.

> > > everyone has the right
> > >
> > > Not on "character issues" wherein you and the Armed Forces are a
> > > part of the discussion in the same breath.
> >
> > I certainly do

Nope.

> dispite your lies and obfucation

"despite"

No lies. No "obfuscation".

> > > stevie just shows his lack of moral fiber
> > >
> > cuting again stevie sex refferences which have NOTHING to do with my
> > character

You ARE a sexual deviant, Markie, and no matter HOW badly you'd
like to think otherwise your "lifestyle" choices ARE directly related
to your "character".

> > "Moral fiber" is yet another issue on which you have no legs to
> > stand.
>
> Sure do better than yours

Nope.

> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
> > > > > > at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
> > > > > > dared while he WAS on active duty....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > stevie claiming the basic building block of the armed forces is a
> > > > > > lowlife
> > > > > >
> > > > > You wouldn't know what the "basic building blocks" are, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > I sure do guess you don't
> > >
> > I do.
> > >
> > Unlike you I was one of those "basic buidling blocks" once.
>
> more lies

Nope. Hit the Yellow Footprints at MCRD San Diego on 03 September
1974.

You just had yellow going down your spine.

> > > > And please note that Frankie himself refered to PFC's as a
> > > > "####bird"
> > > >
> > > no he did not refer to himself as "####bird" that is what you altered
> > > his word to
> > >
> > I care to not repeat the profanity.
>
> but you violated HIS property rights indeed you have comitted fraud in
> making the change

It's no fraud.

I choose to not perpetuate a profanity in a public forum.

> cuting rant

There was no "rant".

Yet the point remains...Frankie himself had no respect for
himself, his Honor, or the United States Marine Corps.

This is truth, Markie. Frankie himslef has admitted his failure
as a Marine.

> > > cuting Stvie paranoia

> cut

Uncut.

"cutting" "Stevie"

And you're STILL an idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3446. Re: Frank of Silliland's True Agenda Exposed...BY FRANK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 17:59:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125511160.675136.22150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117795

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Stevie showing his lack of charter as an NCO
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "character"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Don't address me about "character" issues, "Colonel". You haven't
> > > > > > earned that right.
> > > > > >
> > > > > more of Stevie bull####
> > > >
> > > In order to have "more" 'bull####' there would have had to have
> > > been BS in the first place.
> > >
> > It is bull####
> >
> Yes, you are.
>
> > I have the right to question your character
> >
> Not on issues regarding the Armed Forces you don't, "Colonel".

I certainly do Stevie

You have no standing to challenge anyone after making death threats on
here

>
> > You remark is and remains Bullshit
> >
> No, it isn't.

Sure is

>
> > > > everyone has the right
> > > >
> > > Not on "character issues" wherein you and the Armed Forces are a
> > > part of the discussion in the same breath.
> > >
> > I certainly do
> >
> Nope.

Yes I do
grow up

>
> > dispite your lies and obfucation
cuting spelling cop

> > > > stevie just shows his lack of moral fiber
> > >
> > cuting again stevie sex refferences which have NOTHING to do with my
> > character
cuting more irelavant sexual references

> > > "Moral fiber" is yet another issue on which you have no legs to
> > > stand.
> >
> > Sure do better than yours
>
> Nope.

sure is I am far more honest than you

>
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Some lowlife who never made it to an NCO rank taking those swipes
> > > > > > > at a SNCO, albeit no longer on active duty, that he never would have
> > > > > > > dared while he WAS on active duty....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > stevie claiming the basic building block of the armed forces is a
> > > > > > > lowlife
> > > > >
> > > > > You wouldn't know what the "basic building blocks" are, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > I sure do guess you don't
> > >
> > > I do.
> > >
> > > Unlike you I was one of those "basic buidling blocks" once.
> >
> > more lies
>
cuting irrelavant response

Yep was and remains a lie
cuting simple rant

> > > > > And please note that Frankie himself refered to PFC's as a
> > > > > "####bird"
> > > >
> > > > no he did not refer to himself as "####bird" that is what you altered
> > > > his word to

> > >
> > > I care to not repeat the profanity.
> >
> > but you violated HIS property rights indeed you have comitted fraud in
> > making the change
>
> It's no fraud.

It is fraud

>
> I choose to not perpetuate a profanity in a public forum.

by comitting fraud

>
> > cuting rant

recutting the rant
>
> > > > cuting Stvie paranoia
>
> > cut

# 3447. Re: FYI (more steive lies Re: FYI (more steive lies MARKIE: It's "Stevie", You Blockhead...You Can't Even Get Your Own Diminutives Spelled Correctly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:03:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125511391.932509.280230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117796

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Ill be gone from sometime tommarrow so don't get your hopes up Stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > "tomorrow"
> > > > >
> > > > > A day without Markie is like a day WITH sunshine!
> > > > >
> > > > > > BTW you want the turck plate number so you can to again with Wisconsin
> > > > > > where I will be visting for a few days
> > > > >
> > > > > "truck"
> > > > >
> > > > > I am not sure what you were trying to say, Markie, but I am sure
> > > > > you impressed yourself writing it.
> > > >
> > > > more lies I am sure
> > >
> > > Where?
> >
> > right there

Right where?

> > > > > I leave with few thoughts Stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > cuting crybaby> > One, grow up and learn the wolrd does not revolve around you
> > > > >
> > > > cut
> > > > > It would seem that YOU are the "center of the universe" person,
> > > > > Markie...Felt like you couldn't leave your keyboard for a day without
> > > > > making excuses for your absence.
> > > >
> > > > No I was tying to sare your feeling of dispointment when I returned
> > >
> > cuting spelling cop

"trying" "sare" "disappointment" "cutting"

> > > Indeed I am shocked not to find you boasting that Michigan had not
> > > droped a net on me

> >
> > "dropped"
> >
> > I never said it would. And according to correspondence I have
> > received since, it is not IMMEDIATELY liklely.
>
> Lying again

Nope.

> > > > > Two, seriously consider treatment for your Delsional nature, and your
> > > > > paranoia
> > > > >
> > > > > I have neither
> > > >
> > > you suffer from both
> > >
> > Please do tell, Dr Morgan.
>
> Indeed I have

And your medical or psychiatric credentials are.....?!?!

> > > > > Three, stop lying and join in discussing subjects, we have had afew
> > > > > over the last week of course you were to busy with your flame wars to
> > > > > notice
> > > > >
> > > > No...YOU were too busy to notice that I have commented on SEVERAL
> > > > issues that DIDN'T involve YOU, Markie!
> > > >
> > > I notoiced your coments, but youduck out on severl threads ole boy

> cuting your ranting

No ranting.

Pointing out your idiocy.

"noticed" "comments" "you duck" "several"

> > In other words, we have even MORE evidence that you do not tell
> > the truth.
>
> so?

"So" just that much more "evidence' as to YOUR lack of character.

That you can't even defend your dishonesty is telling.

> > > cut
> > >
> > > > > > Four try to learn the difference between mental illness and being
> > > > > different from YOURSELF
> > > >

> > > > I do know the difference.
> > >
> > > no you don't
> >
> > Sure I do.
>
> then show it

I have.

> and stop claiming that your personal bigotries as mental condictions

I don't have a mental "condictions"

> > > > Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between
> > > > mental illness and wellness.
> > >
> > > But I do unlike you
> >
> > No, you do not.
>
> sure do
>
> >
> > You keep insisting that mental health and physical health are the
> > same.
>
> another Stevie lie
>
> I have never said any such thing

Sure you have.

> I have said they are both Parts of one Medical state

Nope.

One can be in PERFECT physical shape yet be in poor mental health,
and vice versa.

They are not synonomous.

> > They are not.
> >
> > You also continue to insist that my Nursing license is a "medical"
> > license, and I have clearly shown you to be wrong there, too.
>
> It sure is a medical license

Prove it.

BTW, I HAVE provided references IN THIS FORUM to the appropriate
Board's of Nursing that demonstrate otherwise.

> > > > Enjoy your trip. Looking for goats, are you?
> > >
> > > more of bashing stevie
> >
> > I am not bashing Stevie...That's YOUR schtick.
>
> more of your lies and evasion

None of either.

> > > grow up learn resprect for those who aredifferent
> >
> > "respect"
> >
> > I respect "difference"
>
> lying agin

Nope.

> > I do NOT "respect" liars.
>
> Nice to see you admit to not respecting yourself

Your algebra is faulty, Markie.

> > YOU are a liar.
>
> as are you

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3448. day 21 of Stevvvvvviesss Bullshit about michigan and mental health

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:04:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125511495.402809.288890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117797

for 21 days now we have been regaled by Stvie refusaul to accept the basic fact he does not carry much wieght at least here in Michigan

Now he adds the "assurance" that becuase someone sent a letter saying something like the "matter will recieve the attention it deserves (which it will receive that being almost none)" that we can still look forward to Stevie somehow forcing me to into Thrapy for for my typing and lying

Give us all a brake stevie admitt your failure

# 3449. Re: FYI "Stevie", You Blockhead..Anyway I spell my insults is the poper way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:11:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125511905.376386.103820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117798

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Ill be gone from sometime tommarrow so don't get your hopes up Stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "tomorrow"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > A day without Markie is like a day WITH sunshine!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > BTW you want the turck plate number so you can to again with Wisconsin
> > > > > > where I will be visting for a few days
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "truck"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am not sure what you were trying to say, Markie, but I am sure
> > > > > > you impressed yourself writing it.
> > > > >
> > > > > more lies I am sure
> > > >
> > > > Where?
> > >
> > > right there
> >
> > Right where?

right there a few lines up

cut
> > > > Indeed I am shocked not to find you boasting that Michigan had not
> > > > droped a net on me
> > >
> > > "dropped"
> > >
> > > I never said it would. And according to correspondence I have
> > > received since, it is not IMMEDIATELY liklely.
> >
> > Lying again
> >
> > Nope.

yes indeed

You have also in the past promised my arrest as well

>
> > > > > > Two, seriously consider treatment for your Delsional nature, and your
> > > > > > paranoia
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have neither
> > > > >
> > > > > you suffer from both
> > > >
> > > Please do tell, Dr Morgan.
> >
> > Indeed I have
>
> And your medical or psychiatric credentials are.....?!?!

as good as yours

>
> > > > > > Three, stop lying and join in discussing subjects, we have had afew
> > > > > > over the last week of course you were to busy with your flame wars to
> > > > > > notice
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No...YOU were too busy to notice that I have commented on SEVERAL
> > > > > > issues that DIDN'T involve YOU, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > I notoiced your coments, but youduck out on severl threads ole boy
>
> > cuting your ranting
cuting again

>
> > > In other words, we have even MORE evidence that you do not tell
> > > the truth.
> >
> > so?
>
> "So" just that much more "evidence' as to YOUR lack of character.

nope I have already admitted that I lie under varies circumstances

therefore your are just beating a dead horse

>
> That you can't even defend your dishonesty is telling.

I can certainly do so and I have done so

so another Stevie lie

>
> > > > cut
> > >
> > > > > > Four try to learn the difference between mental illness and being

> > > > > > different from YOURSELF
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I do know the difference.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no you don't
> > > > >
> > > > Sure I do.
> > >
> > then show it
> >
> I have.

where?
>
> > and stop claiming that your personal bigotries as mental condictions
> >
> I don't have a mental "condictions"

of course you do
unless you have no mind at all

>
> > > > > > Unfortunately the ISSUE here is YOU knowing the difference between
> > > > > > mental illness and wellness.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I do unlike you
> > > > >
> > > > No, you do not.
> > >
> > sure do
> >
> > >
> > > > You keep insisting that mental health and physical health are the
> > > > same.
> > >
> > another Stevie lie
> >
> > I have never said any such thing
> >
> Sure you have.

nope

>
> > I have said they are both Parts of one Medical state
> >
> Nope.

sure have

>
> One can be in PERFECT physical shape yet be in poor mental health,

> and vice versa.

agreed never said otherwise

>
> They are not synonomous.

never said they were
>
> > > They are not.
> > >
> > > You also continue to insist that my Nursing license is a "medical"
> > > license, and I have clearly shown you to be wrong there, too.
> >
> > It sure is a medical license
>
> Prove it.

I don't have to the law has
cuting another evasion

> > > > > Enjoy your trip. Looking for goats, are you?
> > > > >
> > > > > more of bashing stevie
> > > >
> > > > I am not bashing Stevie...That's YOUR schtick.
> > >
> > more of your lies and evasion
>
> None of either.
both

>
> > > > > grow up learn resprect for those who aredifferent
> > > >
> > > > "respect"
> > > >
> > > > I respect "difference"
> > >
> > lying agin
>
> Nope.

sure are

>
> > > I do NOT "respect" liars.
> >
> > Nice to see you admit to not respecting yourself
>
> Your algebra is faulty, Markie.

No algebra there so another steivie lie

>
> > > YOU are a liar.
> >
> > as are you
>
> Nope.

yes you are

everybody lies

Some of us are honest enough to admit it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 3450. Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:11:52 UTC
From: Bob <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117799

Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the phone subband.

This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs physical infrastructure to function.

# 3451. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:19:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125512345.820882.40020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117800

Bob wrote:
> Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
> and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
> communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
> displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
> phone subband.
>
> This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
> are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
> physical infrastructure to function.

indeed this the sort of thing that the ARS does well

I notice no mention of CW simply in passing

# 3452. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:21:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125512504.229506.143740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117801

reminding Ole stevie of his word

again
an old friend wrote:
> Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> there at the end
>
> from ERLPN Sep 18 1998, 3:00 am
> Mt. T,
>
>
> Re your:>>If he was a Marine I would take credits away from him.
> We use to
> say the
> Marine Motto was "Charge,Kill, kill Die." Charge up the hill,kill as
> many as
> you can and die as you get to the top.<<
>
>
> My Drill Instructors were a bit more realistic. Our focus was
> "It's NOT
> your job to die for your Country....It's your job to make that other
> poor
> S.O.B. die for his."
>
>
> Yes, spoken by General Patton (or alleged to have been), but
> sensical to
> me. A dead Marine can't kill other enemy soldiers.
>
>
> Perhaps in "your day" there was a glut of Marines to use as cannon
> fodder,
> but when I hit the Yellow Footprints on September3rd, 1974, it had been
> "all
> volunteer" for a year, and the Recruiters weren't exactly overwhelmed
> by
> persons jamming the hallways to get to MCRD. Taking out "more' of
> them" than
> took us made (makes) sense to me!
>
>
> (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> start

> with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> above,
> finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
>
>
> Semper Fidelis
>
>
> Steve Robeson, K4YZ

# 3453. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:24:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125512671.889316.158350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117802

an_old_friend wrote:
> reminding Ole stevie of his word
>
> again

now to Hightlight the relavant pasage again

> an old friend wrote:
> > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > there at the end
cut
> >
> > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > start
> > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > above,
> > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)

there you have

confessing to disablity of some kind and he denies it was physcial so
it must be mental

No other logical choice except of course that Stvei isn't the marrine
in question or other such lie

# 3454. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 18:56:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <u7vbh157u423pacehnmhfssacajc5itcmk@4ax.com>
Source record: 117803

On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
<1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
>and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
>communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
>displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
>phone subband.
>
>This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
>are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
>physical infrastructure to function.

I guess that means CB radios aren't working either, huh?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3455. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 19:29:15 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <fynRe.4757$9i4.2914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117804

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125501785.468906.76550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125495445.963871.201470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> RST Engineering wrote:
>> >> > Nobody ever claimed that it is a dead mode.
>> > cut
>> >
>> >> "Obsolete"? Morse Code is the second most popular mode
>> >> in HF amateur radio.
>> >
>> > When are you going ENGLISH
>> >
>> > Obsolete is not useless, ask the marines from Leyte about the
>> > uselessness of the obseltete Batteships tat rendered gunfire
>> > support
>> >
>> >> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
>> >> those who chose not to build their radios?
>> >
>> > Indeed I ask why there are so many question about
>> > electronics on the
>> > tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.
>>
>> Same old tired analogy.
>
> It's a valid question, Bill. And I'm not the only
> one asking it.

It is only valid if the point is made with the FCC. So far
I don't see that being a valid question to the FCC.

>> PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
>> off the written test, then say so.
>
> I don't. In fact I think there should be *more* in-depth
> electronics testing on the exams. And I'll take the new
> exams myself if needed.
>
>> Failing that, your wasting your
>> time and effort dragging up this tired argument.

>
> It's not me who is bringing it up.

You appear to be the champion of the idea/question
in this RRAP forum.

> Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
> exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.
>
> Even better, look at the "Amateur Radio In The 21st Century"
> paper, which was in CQ and also on the 'net. That one says
> the future of amateur radio depends on an easier-to-get
> entrylevel license. Says there's too much math and theory
> in the current Tech (!).
>
> Worse, it proposed to remove *all* regulations questions from
> the test for the new entry-level license, and instead just
> require a signed statement that the licensee had read and
> understood the rules.
>
> Is that acceptable to you, Bill? It's not acceptable to me,
> with or without code test!
>
> Fortunately, FCC denied NCVEC's idea. This time. But I'll bet we
> haven't heard the end of it.

The future I can't be responsible for. As you properly point out...it is
NOT an issue now because the FCC has dismissed the ide.

>> > I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and
>> > even pieces
>> > of the band plan in general pool I am reading now
>>
>> I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
>> better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
>> permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
>> test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
>> Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
>
> Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
> shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
> recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
> already.
>
>> > but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
>> > cut
>>
>> Yup, Jim's smoke screen anyway... :-) :-)
>
> Not a smoke screen - a valid analogy. Ask the Gang of Four at
> NCVEC...

Gang of Four??? But in any case, your analogy hasn't had any effect on the FCC and they are the only ones that count.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 3456. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 19:51:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125517906.786555.215020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117805

>K4YZ said...
>There's no "thin line".
>It's either an "information bulletin" or it's broadcasting.

There's a BIG difference between an information bulletin and a broadcast. A broadcast is directed to the general public as a whole while an information Bulletin is directed to a small group.

>Once it's "opinonated" it stops being an "information bulletin"
>and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.

Not necessary, You can get information out of an opinion, it's up to the person hearing the opinion to determine if he go's along with that train of thought.A good example is look at court cases, a ruling by a court is not called a ruling but an opinion. At any rate Society is based on two input General information and Opinions you can't just have one.

>But an information bulletin has to have INFORMATION in it.
>Once tainted by editorialization, it's no longer objective

There is nothing in the FCC rules that stated that, Nor does the FCC rules state that an Information Bulletin has to be a pure piece of facts.
The Definition that YOU have given is the Genreral definition of the word Information bulletin, However, It is NOT defined in the FCC rules. The FCC has the power to define what a definition is in the rules, for example the FCC can stated that an informational bulletin may only be a bono fide newscast, but that is not what we see here. In other words the FCC can limit what something is provided it the lease restrictive means necessary to promote govenment interest.

> "97.1369 When in doubt about what constitutes an "information
>bulletin" as opposed to a "broadcast", anything Todd McDaugherty says
>is wrong."

Your going to have to quote that rule for me steve, I looked in the rules and couldn't find it. here's what I found

97.3(a)(10)

"(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed. "

97.3(a)(25)

"(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the

amateur service."

97.111(b)(6)

"(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way communications:

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins; "

97.113(b)

"(b) An amateur station shall not engage in any form of broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way communications EXCEPT as specifically provided in these rules; nor shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the event. " [EMP. ADDED]

But I didn't see the rule you stated.

And one has to be relatively objective in what constitutes "amateur interest".

> Toiddie, the opinions of a foul mouthed, immature, basement
>dwelling domestic parasite such as your self are of zero-point-squat
>interest to the Amateur Radio service.
> Set up 1000 blogs if you want to...Internet bandwidth is almost
>limitless...Once your tripe hits the airwaves it's QRM.

That's YOUR opinion, and who said I use profanity on the air??? I very civil on the radio. As for as QRM, as long as I'm using a unused frequency it's legal.

>Which in this case does not apply.
>The only "content" issues were Baxter's pecuniary use of Amateur
>Radio. Those are clearly within the FCC's purview to limit

K1MAN would of been legal if he would of these things

1. pick an Unused frequency
2. didn't discuss or promote his website
3. remained at the control point.

appart from that his INFORMATION BULLETINS were legal.

>Again...You keep avoiding the fact that the FCC C L E A R L Y is
>allowed to prohibit commerical use of the Amateur Service.
>This isn't about Baxter discussing religious preferences or the
>color of his wallpaper

First the FCC over the last 10 to 15 years has allowed more commerical use of the amateur radio service. The point of arguement isn't really about "commercial use" but the other subject matter which the FCC has over the last 15 to 20 years has question regarding general content of the person transmitting the information. K1MAN is not only one the FCC has gone after, they have gone after others not because of commercial use but of use they felt could be used by other forums and media. Back in the 90's the FCC went after BBS operators because of certain content as well as other people. Commercial use isn't the issue I'm trying to raise. Secondly there seems to be two different definitions regarding non-commercial, non-commercial normal means a station can't make a profit off of it's transmission, but can raise money to support itself. As a matter of fact a club station running CW practice or an Information bulletin 40 hours per week can compensate the operator. So some "commercial" operations are allowed.

Todd N9OGL

# 3457. Re: Stonewalling WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 20:10:58 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125519058.698100.269220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117806

From: Alun L. Palmer on Aug 31, 3:37 am

>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1125442865.318580.85940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>> NPRM 05-143, the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would eliminate
>> morse code test element 1 from all amateur radio license
>> examinations was supposedly released on 15 July 2005. It is
>> marked "approved" as of 19 July 2005. A scanned copy of that
>> NPRM was marked "received" by date stamp as 20 July 2005 and
>> introduced to WT Docket 05-235 supposedly on 15 July 2005...but
>> did not appear to anyone accessing the ECFS until 21 July 2005.
>
>> NPRM 05-143 is clearly marked as to the Comment Perior being "60
>> Days after published in the Federal Register." That's on the first
>> page and page 25 of that 30-page NPRM document.
>
>> One problem: NPRM 05-143 HAS NOT BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE FEDERAL
>> REGISTER AS OF THE MORNING OF 30 AUGUST 2005! A month and a half
>> has elapsed since the middle of July, 1962 Comments have been filed
>> on WT Docket 05-235 as of 29 August 2005.

<snip>

>I doubt if the League have that much pull, although they probably would if
>they could. Someone certainly got s25 kept off the ITU docket for years,
>otherwise the international code test requirement would have gone in 1993
>or thereabouts, so sandbagging has certainly been a part of this.

Hello Alun, did I call it or what? <smirk> :->

The day after I posted that, Cross and company got the Federal
Register NOTICE in...six weeks after the NPRM was released. Six
weeks and 1968 filed Comments later...

I don't believe in coincidences that "justify" some rather obvious
hanky-panky going on behind the scenes that us ordinary folks can't
see. Us ordinary folk don't have communications-law firms on
retainer nor can we generally afford Lobbyist firms to "represent"
us in DC.

One thing important in the Federal Register notice that is NOT in
the body of text of NPRM 05-143: SPECIFIC DATES for the end of
the Comment period and for the end of Reply to Comments period.
31 October 2005 for end of Comments, 14 November 2005 for end of
Reply to Comments.

Had the FR notice been timely, the end of Comments would have been 15th or 16th September 2005, end of Reply to Comments about 30 September for the "60/75 day" normal sequencing. It was SIX WEEKS LATE. "Human error?" Highly unlikely. Specific scheduling, quite likely. A rather small group of staff is going to be stuck with sorting through the "old" Comments (over 1800 unambiguous ones) and whatever comes in from 31 August 2005 until the end of October. The poor schlumphs who have to wade through all the documents will have at least until year-end Holidays to complete their task.

Will we get a "reading" on what the FCC is going to do with those 1800+ unambiguous filings that came in prior to 31 August? We don't know yet. The Supreme Amateur Court of Newington hasn't issued a Ruling as of this morning of the 31st of August. We must await their Final Disposition or whatever. ["oyez, oyez"]

---

As to what went on in DC and with the IARU and WARCs/WRCs prior to WRC-03 and revision of S25, all we have is PARTIAL INFORMATION. The U.S. government wasn't fully on-line with archives in 1993 (Internet went public in 1991). It's difficult for us in farther states to get to the big Reading Room at 445 12th St., SW, and see all the various correspondence that went on in regards to U.S. amateur radio regulations from two decades ago. We get second-hand "information" from "insiders" (such as Miccolis, and now Stevenson) who "know" what went on. The only REAL insider is Phil Kane who actually worked IN the FCC...but he hasn't been talking much lately. Kane left the FCC some time ago. Our easiest-to-get sources of old information are the periodicals of the 1980s (ink on real paper isn't ephemeral, is hard to change all after the many press runs of 50 thousand+ copies each done years ago).

The "movement" to end code testing began to be more earnest about the mid-1980s, just barely moving then...but enough to come to the attention of the FCC. Witness the wordings in the NPRM and final R&O of 1990 that created the no-code Technician class license in 1991. In 1990 the FCC stated publicly that it did not consider the morse code test as an indicator of the qualifications of any amateur to be granted a license. The FCC did not make a sudden decision on that; it already had some documentation on hand from citizens by then (that is in their Reading Room archives).

Gleanings from paper periodicals internationally show to me that the IARU was split several ways on code testing until about 2000. [others' take on that will vary no doubt] From about then the no-code-test movement gathered speed, pushed first by New Zealanders and then spreading with the speed and efficiency of the Internet. By 2001 it was unmistably for changing and revising S25 of the international regulations, not just for code testing but to modernize them entire. That

was done in 2003. Since then up to 23 administrations have either eliminated the code test or made the code test an alternate qualifier (not an absolute) for below-30-MHz privileges. Up to June 2003 the ARRL had NOT budged on its adamant stand in favor of code testing.

Since the FCC denied the ARRL's Petition (RM-10867 of 18 Mar 04) the League hasn't said much. Their in-house seer and editorializer, executive president Dave Sumner, seems to have lost his pen somewhere on that. They seem to be building a case of invading something to find those WMDs though (Weapons of Morse Destruction), perhaps laying in body bags and such? This is building to be a Fight To The Death over Ham Radio As The League Knows It. A Jihad in the making... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3458. Re: NPRM has been published in Fed Register 8/30/05

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 20:13:53 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125519233.381382.3710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117807

From: Bill Sohl on Aug 31, 6:32 am

>NPRM 05-235 has been published in Fed Register:
>
>http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20051800/edocket.access....

http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/fedreg/frcont05.html

And click on "31 August 2005", scroll down to "Federal
Communications Commission" and click on the "special
radio service."

Ain't gonna be found in the TOC for 30 August 2005...

>Comment window closes per the Fed Register on 10/31/05.
>
>Reply comments window closes on 11/14/05
>
>Cheer

Absolutely! But...SIX WEEKS LATE!

Did I call it or didn't I? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3459. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 20:17:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125519421.838167.117490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117808

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed 31 Aug 2005 08:23

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> RST Engineering wrote:

>> >> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
>> >> those who chose not to build their radios?
>> >
>> > Indeed I ask why there are so many question about
>> > electronics on the
>> > tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.
>>
>> Same old tired analogy.
>
>It's a valid question, Bill. And I'm not the only
>one asking it.

Paranoia loves company...

>> PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
>> off the written test, then say so.
>
>I don't. In fact I think there should be *more* in-depth
>electronics testing on the exams. And I'll take the new
>exams myself if needed.

Hello? Who ya gonna call? Test Busters?

The VEC Question Pool Committee, all licensed radio amateurs,
make up ALL the questions and answers.

Nasty evil FCC doesn't make them up, they only approve the
Pools.

>> Failing that, your wasting your
>> time and effort dragging up this tired argument.
>
>It's not me who is bringing it up.

Paranoia NEEDS company?

>Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
>exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.

Nasty old VECs! What do they know? :-)

>Even better, look at the "Amateur Radio In The 21st Century"
>paper, which was in CQ and also on the 'net. That one says
>the future of amateur radio depends on an easier-to-get
>entrylevel license. Says there's too much math and theory
>in the current Tech (!).

"What evil lurks in the hearts of men...it is the VEC!"

>Worse, it proposed to remove *all* regulations questions from
>the test for the new entry-level license, and instead just
>require a signed statement that the licensee had read and
>understood the rules.

Waaaaa! Waaaaa!

>Is that acceptable to you, Bill? It's not acceptable to me,
>with or without code test!

Run for office at the ARRL! Get a political appointment
as Commissioner at the FCC! Show 'em who's Boss!

>Fortunately, FCC denied NCVEC's idea. This time. But I'll bet we
>haven't heard the end of it.

Not from Jimmie, Mighty Macho Morseman!

Mount the barricades, the Enemy are approaching!

>> > I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and
>> > even pieces
>> > of the band plan in general pool I am reading now
>>
>> I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
>> better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
>> permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
>> test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
>> Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
>
>Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
>shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
>recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
>already.

"Come with us now to the days of yesteryear, before RF
exposure and NO BANDPLANS!" - intro to "The Lone Stranger" of
the wild, wild east when real men were morsemen, feared
throughout all radioland. "Hi-yo Silverplate!"

>> > but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
>> > cut
>>
>> Yup, Jim's smoke screen anyway... :-) :-)
>
>Not a smoke screen - a valid analogy. Ask the Gang of Four at
>NCVEC...

Only "four?" :-) Count again, using both hands' fingers...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3460. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 20:23:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125519806.622024.29670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117809

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
> > > >> those who chose not to build their radios?
> > > >
> > > > Indeed I ask why there are so many question about
> > > > electronics on the
> > > > tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.
> > >
> > > Same old tired analogy.
> >
> > It's a valid question, Bill. And I'm not the only
> > one asking it.
>
> no it isn't
> but no you are not the only one using this red herring

Except it's not a red herring.
>
> >
> > > PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
> > > off the written test, then say so.
> >
> > I don't. In fact I think there should be *more* in-depth
> > electronics testing on the exams. And I'll take the new
> > exams myself if needed.
>
> WHY?

Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
that I can't pass myself.
>
> why does anyone need to memorized what Inductor do? or how caps > ad in paralell?
>
> > > Failing that, your wasting your
> > > time and effort dragging up this tired argument.
> >
> > It's not me who is bringing it up.
> >
> > Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
> > exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.
>
> Elcetronics is the only thing techinal?

> > Even better, look at the "Amateur Radio In The 21st Century"
> > paper, which was in CQ and also on the 'net. That one says
> > the future of amateur radio depends on an easier-to-get
> > entrylevel license. Says there's too much math and theory
> > in the current Tech (!).
>
> and there is not enough Pratical stuff
> >
> > Worse, it proposed to remove *all* regulations questions from
> > the test for the new entry-level license, and instead just
> > require a signed statement that the licensee had read and
> > understood the rules.
>
> not a bad idea given the way even the extras don't seem to
> agree on
> what the rules say.
>
> But an entry license without the ability to anything but shelf > gear
> limited power etc

That's what NCVEC wanted...

> > Is that acceptable to you, Bill? It's not acceptable to me,
> > with or without code test!
> >
> > Fortunately, FCC denied NCVEC's idea. This time. But I'll bet > > we haven't heard the
end of it.
>
> no it isn't
>
> we will always see the tug between getting folks in the door
> and the keep em out with hard tests

Thank you, Mark - you've just proved my point!

For some folks (like Mark) it's not about the code test
in isolation. It's about "hard" tests in general - written,
code, practical, whatever.

Did you read the "21st Century" paper? I wrote a detailed
rebuttal.

> > > > I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and
> > > > even pieces
> > > > of the band plan in general pool I am reading now
> > >
> > > I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
> > > better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
> > > permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
> > > test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
> > > Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
> >
> > Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that

> > shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
> > recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
> > already.
> >
> > > > but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
> > > > cut
> > >
> > > Yup, Jim's smoke screen anyway... :-) :-)
> >
> > Not a smoke screen - a valid analogy. Ask the Gang of Four at
> > NCVEC...
> >
That's what they called themselves in the "21st Century" thing...

There was a time, not so long ago, when if someone had suggested
a nocodetest amateur license, they would have been told it was a
"red herring" and "something FCC would never consider".

Now look where we are.

The trend isn't just towards less code testing, but to less
testing overall.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3461. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 20:29:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125520143.335764.60370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117810

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
> > > > >> those who chose not to build their radios?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Indeed I ask why there are so many question about
> > > > > > electronics on the
> > > > > > tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Same old tired analogy.
> > > > >
> > > > It's a valid question, Bill. And I'm not the only
> > > > one asking it.
> > > >
> > > no it isn't
> > > but no you are not the only one using this red herring
> >
> Except it's not a red herring.
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
> > > > > off the written test, then say so.
> > > > >
> > > > I don't. In fact I think there should be *more* in-depth
> > > > electronics testing on the exams. And I'll take the new
> > > > exams myself if needed.
> > > >
> > > WHY?
> >
> Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
> that I can't pass myself.
No why should we all be tested a=on more electronics

> > > why does anyone need to memorized what Inductor do? or how caps > ad in paralell?
> > >
> > > > > Failing that, your wasting your
> > > > > time and effort dragging up this tired argument.
> > > > >
> > > > It's not me who is bringing it up.
> > > >
```

> > > Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
> > > exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.
> >
> > Elcetronics is the only thing techinal?
>
> > > Even better, look at the "Amateur Radio In The 21st Century"
> > > paper, which was in CQ and also on the 'net. That one says
> > > the future of amateur radio depends on an easier-to-get
> > > entrylevel license. Says there's too much math and theory
> > > in the current Tech (!).
> >
> > and there is not enough Pratical stuff
> > >
> > > Worse, it proposed to remove *all* regulations questions from
> > > the test for the new entry-level license, and instead just
> > > require a signed statement that the licensee had read and
> > > understood the rules.
> >
> > not a bad idea given the way even the extras don't seem to
> > agree on
> > what the rules say.
> >
> > But an entry license without the ability to anything but shelf > gear
> > limited power etc
>
> That's what NCVEC wanted...
>
> > > Is that acceptable to you, Bill? It's not acceptable to me,
> > > with or without code test!
> > >
> > > Fortunately, FCC denied NCVEC's idea. This time. But I'll bet > > we haven't heard
the end of it.
> >
> > no it isn't
> >
> > we will always see the tug between getting folks in the door
> > and the keep em out with hard tests
>
> Thank you, Mark - you've just proved my point!
>
> For some folks (like Mark) it's not about the code test
> in isolation. It's about "hard" tests in general - written,
> code, practical, whatever.
>
> Did you read the "21st Century" paper? I wrote a detailed
> rebuttal.
>
> > > > > I also question the real need to memeroize band edges and
> > > > > even pieces
> > > > > of the band plan in general pool I am reading now

> > > >
> > > > I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
> > > > better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
> > > > permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
> > > > test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
> > > > Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
> > >
> > > Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
> > > shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
> > > recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
> > > already.
> > >
> > > > > but neither of these issues is anoything but a smoke screen
> > > > > cut
> > > >
> > > > Yup, Jim's smoke screen anyway... :-) :-)
> > >
> > > Not a smoke screen - a valid analogy. Ask the Gang of Four at
> > > NCVEC...
> > >
> That's what they called themselves in the "21st Century" thing...
>
> There was a time, not so long ago, when if someone had suggested
> a nocodetest amateur license, they would have been told it was a
> "red herring" and "something FCC would never consider".
>
> Now look where we are.
>
> The trend isn't just towards less code testing, but to less
> testing overall.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3462. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 20:29:11 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125520151.297717.88480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117811

From: "an_old_friend" on Wed 31 Aug 2005 07:09

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> >
>> >
>> > K□B wrote:
>cut
>> > No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
>> > Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
>> >
>>
>> With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
>> have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers available
>> to teach those wish to learn.
>
>What? is there a part of the NPRM I missed like carting off the Code
>users to some kind of death camp?

Mark, it is The End Of Amateur Radio as the morsemen know it.

Their Krystalnacht was sounded with FCC 90-53 of 15 years ago.
Their Burning of The Reichstag was FCC 99-412, the R&O for
Restructuring...5 WPM morse maximum and cutting classes in half.

>The ending of code testing will not reduce the number of code using
>hams.

Rumor has it that Black and Decker, Makita, and other tool makers
have begun development of a device to remove code keys from cold,
dead fingers, to be sold to morticians.

>Time may do that though the actions of the grim reaper and the failure
>of Code users to recruit others to replace them, but I doubt that will
>kill CW USE or learning of the mode

Morse will never die as long as there are space-faring aliens
who will invade Terra but counter-attacks will be coordinated
by Mighty Macho Morsemen working secret (from aliens) morse code!

>Further with computers and programs in existance today any one that
>wishes to make the attempt to learn Morse Code has the tools to do so

Oh! The Ideological Conflict! Digital machinery of a very
complex nature to TEACH their "most simplest, effective, low-
power, basic communications skill!"

Woe to the Morsemen! Let their Tennysons to be exclaim in
memoriam, "Look upon my [code] works, ye mighty, and despair!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3463. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 21:01:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125522080.227752.291380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117812

Today's Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur NPRM Opinions!

30 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

Total 1968

Indeterminate/duplicates (note 1) 97

Unambiguously Against NPRM (note 2) 542 28.97%
Unambiguously For NPRM (note 3) 1054 56.33%
Code test ONLY for Extras (note 4) 275 14.70%

Notes:

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated against the Total less
the number of Indeterminate entries. For all up to
being received by 30 Aug 05 that would be 1871.

This is the last daily posting IN THIS FORMAT. With the notice
of NPRM 05-143 finally appearing in the Federal Register and
the end of Comment period established as 31 October 2005, end
of Replies to Comments on 14 November 2005, and NO statement
on whether or not the previous 1968 Comments are effective for
Commission R&O decisions, the FORMAT will change. There will
be "total" Comments (and percentages) columns and "new"
Comments (and percentages) filed from 31 August onward.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3464. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 21:10:51 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <10pRe.1821$%j7.17@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 117815

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:u7vbh157u423pacehnmhfssacajc5itcmk@4ax.com...
> On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
> <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
>>and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
>>communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
>>displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
>>phone subband.
>>
>>This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
>>are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
>>physical infrastructure to function.
>
>
> I guess that means CB radios aren't working either,
huh?

Yeah! They're "a-standin' by to pass that 'ere eee-mer-gen-cee traffic
thar". (Hmmm, I wonder why they aren't callin'?) LOL! ;) j/k

J

>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

# 3465. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 21:13:38 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <w2pRe.1829$%j7.1012@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 117816

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:4D7Re.1398$Xo3.741@news01.roc.ny...
>
> <eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> All,
>>
>> I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for CW.
>>
>>
>> 1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can squeeze
>> it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still
>> manage to get heard.
>>
>> 2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
>> get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get
>> reach the furtherest across the world with it.
>>
>> 3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer
>> machinery to interpret.
>>
>> 4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to implement.
>> Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver to
>> make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!
>>
>> To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both are
>> archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
>> made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
>> operation is the next best thing.
>>
>> The Eternal Squire
>>
>
>
> CW is not the narrowest bandwidth mode; currently, psk-31 is. With
> something like a 32 Hz bandwidth, cw pales in comparison with its' 100 to
> 200 Hz bandwidth.
>
> That said, it is easy to grab a key (or keyer) and have an intelligent
> conversation (yes, the cw gang can and does rag-chew) with simple
> equipment.
> It should be noted that PSK takes its' cue from CW in that it is a
> variable-length encoded mode. Like CW, the most common characters are the
> shortest (and CW is worthless? Folks learned and built upon the earlier

> mode).
>
> PSK-31 can send/receiver far faster than the best cw operator can
> send/receive. However, there are some folks that argue that keyboards are
> obsolete. I suspect they simply want no tests LOL.
>
> CW can be a most effective way of communicating your message. One guy on
> a
> local repeater was screaming "cw forever". Fortunately, the repeater
> owner
> was in the group so ..... int f2? And .... 40 words per minute mcw was
> sent
> over the repeater. The owner had no problem. I had no problem. Yes, I
> can
> stir the pot a bit LOL
>
> The guy pushing for cw only? My point was made :)))

And either one can really play havoc with the truckers!

J
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

# 3466. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?- NO LONGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 21:14:52 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <43161DC7.2040802@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117817

NPRM was published today (8/31) in Federal Register. Says comments
accepted on or before 10/31 and reply comments on or before 11/14. That
on or before wording does not state a start date - only an end date for
the comments. I have no idea what that means in legalese.
John

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm
>
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>
>>It is not at all uncommon for items to take this length of time and more to
>>appear in the Federal Register.
>
>
> Is a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking a legal document or not?
>
> Does it not say on NPRM 05-143 that a stated Comment period
> exists on both the title block on page 1 and in item 54 on
> page 25? It says that the Comment period BEGINS when the
> notice is published in the Federal Register. Both pages.
>
>
>>I know they (the FCC) are as frustrated as anyone else with the "lead time"
>>they sometimes face for the Fed Reg (produced by the GPO).
>
>
> I don't "know" that. I'm just a citizen living roughly
> 3000 miles from Washington, DC, and depend on the Internet
> for "quick" news out of my government. I have NO law firm
> to "represent me" and can't afford a Lobbyist firm to push
> for anything.
>
> The only "problem" observed with the Federal Register TOC
> page was a four-day gap two weeks ago...when they failed to
> update the links to appropriate days for Volume 70 (all of
> 2005). Without a link to the Day TOC, NO ONE can see what
> is in them unless they get the paper version.
>
>
>>Perhaps ARRL is waiting until the Fed Reg publication, too, so that their
>>comments will not "technically" be "premature."

>
>
> ...and perhaps the ARRL is NOT so all-fired "pure" as you say.
>
> Then again, I am not running for any office at the ARRL.
>
> Neither am I running for any office at NCI.
>
>
>
>>> The ARRL was opposed to revision of ITU-R Recommendation S25, in
>>> particular S25.5 making it mandatory for all administrations to
>>> test for morse code proficiency for any license having below-30-
>>> MHz operating privileges. The IARU was for the revision, being
>>> its principal author of change which was voted in at WRC-03.
>>
>>That is misinformation ... the ARRL "got with the program" on the revision
>>of S25.5 and was represented on the US Delegation. They also pushed the
>>improvement of S25.6 by adding a reference to a recommendation that gives
>>administrations guidance on the (technical) things that applicants for
>>amateur licenses should be tested for (which NCI also supported).
>
>
> I wasn't IN Geneva, Switzerland, for WRC-03. All I read are the
> U.S. Delegation's Report on WRC-03, comments from the IARU on
> their web page, comments on several other web pages, and a few
> items that have made it into print so far.
>
> I read what everyone else reads...and that INCLUDES Dave
> Sumnner's infrequent postings from Geneva during WRC-03.
>
>
>>> From there on, it is speculation. The Comment period on NPRM
>>> 05-143 in WT Docket 05-235 has NOT OFFICIALLY STARTED. No notice
>>> has yet been made in the Federal Register. There have been 1920
>>> Comments already filed with the FCC on that Docket. Are these to
>>> be rendered "useless" for consideration because of no Federal
>>> Register notice given before they were filed? [see the Title
>>> Block of NPRM 05-143 as well as page 25, item 54, for the period
>>> of Comments and Replies to Comments]
>>
>>As a practical matter, while comments file before the Fed Reg publication
>>are "technically" "premature," they will never the less be read and
>>considered by the FCC.
>
>
> Hello? Who are you trying to kid here? Ever hear of "sunshine"
> or see the big red type on some dockets' Comment listings?
>
> When did you work IN the FCC Carl?

>
>
>
>>> Further speculation - fueled by suspicion - is that some sort of
>>> behind-the-scenes "stonewalling" is going on...perhaps by
>>> lobbyists urging the FCC to delay the Federal Register notice.
>>> Of all the possible organizations having sufficient "clout" to
>>> lobby the FCC, the ARRL is pre-eminent, retaining the services of
>>> both a communications-law firm and a professional lobbying firm
>>> in the District of Columbia area.
>>
>>As I said, the publication in the Fed Reg is beyond the FCC's control and I
>>know that they are just as frustrated as anyone else when this sort of delay
>>occurs.
>
>
> Gratuitous corporate doublespeak phrase.
>
> The FCC is simply NOT THAT CONCERNED about amateur radio matters.
> Want evidence of that? Go to the FCC home page, click on Wireless
> Communications Bureau. When you get to the Bureau, click on
> "Amateur." What do you see in "news" of procedings there?
>
> Hello, the LATEST date is 8 October 2002 on DA 02-2475, Antenna
> siting and support structure revision to Part 97. Damn near
> THREE YEARS OLD. Not one single thing about the 18 Petitions that
> went through from 2003 to 2004. Not one notice that NPRM 05-143
> was ever released. Not one notice in the separate page of "open"
> procedings from all Bureaus and Offices.
>
> "Delay" is like a few days, maybe two weeks. The NOTICE for 05-143
> hasn't been there for SIX WEEKS.
>
>
>>Trying to "nail" the ARRL on some sort of half-baked "conspiracy theory" is
>>simply bogus.
>
>
> Bullsnit. Hello? I'm SPECULATING. Understand the word?
>
> Don't get your legal briefs in a knot. A legal beagle you ain't
> and this is NOT "moot court."
>
>
>> If the FCC doesn't have control over when the item gets
>>published, which they don't, how can you rationally assert that the ARRL is
>>"behind the delay???"
>
>
> RATIONALLY, one only has to look over the past half-century or so

> and see that, in the beginning, the ARRL used to get all that it
> asked for, lobbied for, etc. All the way up to creation of the
> much-beloved (by some) "incentive plan."
>
> Since I don't work for the ARRL and am NOT running for office, I
> simply observe what everyone else can observe and RATIONALLY put
> together all the available information...and "connect the dots."
> It's not difficult for anyone with a RATIONAL mind. Note I
> emphasize RATIONAL. Those whose minds are "conditioned" or easily
> swayed by nice-nice words that cater to what they want to believe
> won't connect all the "dots"...those minds are NOT rational, just
> conditioned (or "brainwashed" in the indelicate common phrase).
>
> I'm just a citizen of the USA without a fancy title, can't put
> words down on nice letterhead paper sounding like they are oh, so
> important(!). Not being an "insider" or having opportunity to
> hobnob with the rich and famous, all I or any other citizen can
> so is SPECULATE...based on what has happened in years past.
>
>
>>BTW, I *do* appreciate all the effort you've been putting into reading all
>>of the comments filed and giving us the stats ...
>
>
> Here's some "charm school" advice, Carl. If you want to SOUND
> appreciative, put such phrases FIRST in the body of text. Putting
> them at the last makes them look like the phony-baloney they
> probably are.
>
> I don't work for NCI. In fact, NCI REFUSED my no-dues membership
> for over a year. I don't work for ANY big money or big
> organizations and am NOT running to do so. Got that so far?
> I've been doing small-scale arguing on this code-test issue since
> three decades ago. And received the usual boilerplated form-
> letter "replies" in surface mail, like a truly wonderful "answer"
> from the late Vic Clark (?) once the elected president of the
> older ARRL...which said if I "wanted to know more about radio,
> buy the new book out "Now You're Talking!" Judas H. Cottonpicker,
> after my beginning in HF radio communications, I was supposed to
> read a "radio for dummies" book? Yeah, like thanks a lot, big
> League. And the same to you.
>
> I do some bookkeeping on numbers and percentages which are a bit
> of extra effort. I read EVERY SINGLE COMMENT...for ME, not for
> anyone else. I post the stats in here because I CAN...for
> ANYONE's information-input. If those stats show code-test
> retention, then that is what I would show. But, the stats
> favor code-test elimination. Push aside the gratuitous corporate-
> speak phrases and understand that. NPRM 05-143 is going to have
> as large, possibly larger, effect on U.S. amateur radio in the

> near future than the "restructuring" of R&O 99-412 did. Had you
> read all of those Comments on WT Docket 05-235 and done an honest
> synopsis of them, you would see the same. But, you are running
> for office now, and that may not be in the center of your vision.
>
> Oh, did you want nice-nice phrases down here? :-) Sorry, I don't
> HAVE to work for anybody or run for office, I can speak my mind.
> I've done the "charm school" thing (manager's classes) and passed
> just fine. :-) Don't have to use those "lessons learned" now.
> Difficult to take a RATIONAL, independent mind, ain't it? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

# 3467. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 21:59:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125525589.964032.244180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117818

an_old_friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > reminding Ole stevie of his word
> >
> > again
>
> now to Hightlight the relavant pasage again
>
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > there at the end
> cut
> > >
> > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > start
> > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > above,
> > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
>
> there you have
>
> confessing to disablity of some kind and he denies it was physcial so
> it must be mental
>
> No other logical choice except of course that Stvei isn't the marrine
> in question or other such lie

It could have been spiritual. If Steve had been a Chaplain and lost
his faith...

# 3468. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 22:01:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125522296.661437.57000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117819

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
> <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
> >and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
> >communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
> >displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
> >phone subband.
> >
> >This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
> >are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
> >physical infrastructure to function.
>
> I guess that means CB radios aren't working either, huh?

I am sure they work fine where they are not otherwise mechanically
damaged.

It's not the tool...It's how you use it...

Steve, K4YZ

# 3469. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 22:07:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125526055.821329.284180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117820

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
> >>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> >>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon

> >>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> >>>>
> >>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
> >>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
> >>>
> >>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
> >>
> >>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> >>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
> >
> >
> > No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> > moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>
> You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.

You seem to love playing stupid.

> By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
> newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>
> Dave K8MN

Do your own homework.

# 3470. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 22:50:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <d_-dnfDtSuvWqYveRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 117821

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125501785.468906.76550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:

[snip]

>> I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
>> better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
>> permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
>> test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
>> Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
>
> Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
> shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
> recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
> already.
>

You know, I'd object to a ham trying to read charts and bandplans while they
are driving. It's just as bad as reading a newspaper or trying to shave.
So I say yes they should at least be required to know from memory where at
least the regulations allow them to be.

The read the chart/bandplan concept has the underlying assumption that one
will be operating from a fixed location with access to reference materials.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3471. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 22:54:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8MWdnaiyXpf4qIveRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 117822

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125512345.820882.40020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bob wrote:
>> Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
>> and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
>> communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
>> displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
>> phone subband.
>>
>> This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
>> are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
>> physical infrastructure to function.
>
> indeed this the sort of thing that the ARS does well
>
> I notice no mention of CW simply in passing
>

Nor was there mention of SSB, RTTY, PSK, Amtor, and so on.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3472. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 23:05:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0fGdnYE3cpVuqoveRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 117823

BEGIN QUOTE
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125497368.007345.63060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >
> >
> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
cut
> > No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
> > Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
> >
>
> With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
> have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers
> available
> to teach those wish to learn.

What? is there a part of the NPRM I missed like carting off the Code
users to some kind of death camp?

The ending of code testing will not reduce the number of code using
hams.

Time may do that though the actions of the grim reaper and the failure
of Code users to recruit others to replace them, but I doubt that will
kill CW USE or learning of the mode

Further with computers and programs in existance today any one that
wishes to make the attempt to learn Morse Code has the tools to do so
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
END QUOTE

We could easily become short of code elmers as I know a number of people who
taught it only out of a sense of duty to the incoming amateurs.

There will of course be people who continue to learn it and use it.

However what we will lose are those people who choose to never try it but
would like it if they did. Some will fall prey to the "obsolete" argument.
Others will think that it is "too hard" and so on.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 3473. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 23:22:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <wYqRe.5164$FW1.460@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117824

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125445567.415570.245590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >No your comparison is not valid. In the case of W1AW & K1MAN, the point
> >is
>>that one adheres to the rules as they exist at this time and the other
>>does
>>not. If you study the "history" of amateur radio, those rules were
>>crafted
>>to allow W1AW to do exactly what it was doing at the time and they adhere
>>to
>>those same requirements today. If K1MAN adhered to those rules, it would
>>not be possible to stop him. But he chose not too. If you think W1AW
>>ought
>>to go too, then work to change the rules.
>
> The problem is the rules are very vague. There is a thin line between
> broadcasting and information bulletins but here is a list of things
> K1MAN SHOULD of done.
>
> 1. Make sure the frequency wasn't in uses. If the frequency was in use
> then he should of moved to a different fequency.
> 2. Stay at the control point while the transmission was going on
> 3. Don't avertise or talk about his website.
>
> If he would of done this he would not be in the trouble he's in now.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

OH MY GAWD !!!!!!! I actually AGREE with the TOAD.

Dan/W4NTI

# 3474. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 23:22:13 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125530533.623588.294190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117825

Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
on water while the hams walk on water...:-)

## 3475. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-08-31 23:25:02 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125530702.493646.17630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117826

Dee, it's nice to know you don't shave while driving to work... :-)